City of Cambridge Getting to Net Zero Action Plan Zoning Amendments

Presentation to the Planning Board October 29, 2019



Agenda

- Net Zero Action Plan Background
- Green Building Requirements Background
- Green Building Requirements Zoning Proposal Overview
- Exterior Insulation Background
- Exterior Insulation Zoning Proposal Overview



Agenda

- Net Zero Action Plan Background
- Green Building Requirements Background
- Green Building Requirements Zoning Proposal Overview
- Exterior Insulation Background
- Exterior Insulation Zoning Proposal Overview



Net Zero Action Plan Background

- Significant construction activity in the city and concern that new development makes reducing GHGs harder, unless Net Zero
- Net Zero Task Force including residents, businesses, developers, building experts, and other affected stakeholder established 2013 to develop recommendations for a long-term GHG reduction plan from all buildings in Cambridge
- Actions proposed for new buildings, existing buildings, and renewable energy supply



Net Zero Action Plan Background

- Net Zero Action Plan adopted by Council on June 22, 2015 and currently in its fifth year of implementation
- Net Zero Action Plan webpage: <u>https://www.cambridgema.gov/netzero</u>





A STREET, STRE

Climate change poses a growing set of risks and challenges to cities.

Combating climate change needs to start locally

Buildings generate over 80% of Cambridge's total greenhouse gas emissions.

That is why it is Cambridge's aim to achieve

NET ZERO ENISSIONS from buildings.

Residents, universities, businesses and the City are collaborating to address the immediacy of the climate imperative.

Cambridge GHG Inventory





Commitment: Carbon Neutrality by 2050





Recommended Actions from the Net Zero Action Plan

Action 2.3: Increase Green Building Requirements

- Require higher levels of green building design and energy efficiency for new construction and major renovations for projects over 25,000 square feet that require a special permit
- Shift to LEED Gold citywide
- Require projects to pursue a prescribed number of LEED energy efficiency points, and enhanced commissioning requirements

Action 2.5: Removal of Barriers to Increased Insulation

Enable the addition of exterior insulation



Agenda

- Net Zero Action Plan Background
- Green Building Requirements Background
- Green Building Requirements Zoning Proposal Overview
- Exterior Insulation Background
- Exterior Insulation Zoning Proposal Overview



History of Green Building Requirements

- 2008-2009 Green Building/Zoning Task Force
- 2010 Article 22.000 zoning adopted by City Council
- Projects 25,000 SF or more must be LEED "certifiable"
 - Silver Level: 50,000+ SF
 - Certified Level: 25,000-50,000 SF
- Also addressed: Green Roofs, Insulation, Sun Shading, Solar Energy Systems, Wind Turbine Systems



Goal of Article 22

"To promote environmentally sustainable and energyefficient design and development practices in both new construction and renovation projects."





Project Stats*

- **92 projects** have been subject to Section 22.20
- approx. I7 million square feet of development
- 77 new construction, I4 major rehabilitation







Project Stats*

LEED Certification levels:

- Platinum
- Gold
- Silver
- Certified

N.B. Graphic reflects rating levels submitted at the permitting phase and does not indicate actual LEED Certification.



*since August 2010









Project Locations

Project Name, Latitude and Longitude









Optimize Energy Performance

"To achieve **increasing levels of energy performance** beyond the prerequisite standard to **reduce environmental and economic harms** associated with excessive energy use."









Agenda

- Net Zero Action Plan Background
- Green Building Requirements Background
- Green Building Requirements Zoning Proposal Overview
- Exterior Insulation Background
- Exterior Insulation Zoning Proposal Overview



Key Zoning Changes

- LEED Gold minimum (vs. LEED Silver) for 50,000+ SF
- Enhanced Commissioning
- Enterprise Green Communities and Passive House (optional compliance pathways)
- Net Zero Narrative
- Process clarifications



Current v. Proposed Zoning

| | Current Zoning | Proposed Zoning |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Applicable Development | 25,000+ SF projects subject to review under Article 19.000 | 25,000+ SF projects subject to review under Article 19.000 |
| Applicable Rating System | USGBC "LEED" system only | LEED, Passive House (PH), or Enterprise Green Communities (EGC) |
| Minimum Rating Level | LEED Silver for 50,000+ SF LEED Certified for <50,000 SF | LEED Gold for 50,000+ SF LEED Silver for <50,000 SF Certifiable under PH and EGC |
| Commissioning | No requirement (except LEED prerequisite) | Enhanced commissioning program required |



Procedural Changes and Clarifications

Special Permit Required Submission

Checklist and Narrative

+ "Net Zero" Narrative

Building Permit Required Submission

Updated Checklist and Narrative

- + Energy Simulation Tool Results
- + Rater/verifier (Passive House)

Certificate of Occupancy Required Submission

Updated Checklist and Narrative

- + Commissioning Plan
- + Testing report (Passive House)



Procedural Changes and Clarifications

Certification

Affidavit by Green Building Professional who is a registered architect or engineer

(program certification not required)

Timing of Review

Submit documents prior to completing application

CDD review/feedback within 30 days



Questions?



Agenda

- Net Zero Action Plan Background
- Green Building Requirements Background
- Green Building Requirements Zoning Proposal Overview
- Exterior Insulation Background
- Exterior Insulation Zoning Proposal Overview



Importance of Exterior Insulation

Continuous insulation is a proven energy efficiency measure that leads to significant energy savings by reducing "thermal bridging"



Thermal bridging in a wood-framed house



Reduced thermal bridging with continuous exterior insulation



What is Exterior Insulation?





Example of Exterior Insulation





Exterior Insulation Benefits

Energy Star estimates that approximately **20% reductions in energy used for heating and cooling needs** could be realized if existing structures were to perform continuous insulation retrofits

As approximately 60% of Cambridge's building energy consumption is attributed to heating and cooling, these potential savings could make **significant reductions in the City's carbon emissions** across the building sector

For existing buildings, **exterior insulation is often the least disruptive way to improve the energy performance** without requiring extensive renovations which interrupt use of the interior space



Agenda

- Net Zero Action Plan Background
- Green Building Requirements Background
- Green Building Requirements Zoning Proposal Overview
- Exterior Insulation Background
- Exterior Insulation Zoning Proposal Overview



2010 Zoning Amendment

Yard Exceptions for Added Exterior Insulation (22.43.2)

Existing buildings can encroach into required setback to add external insulation if:

- Thickness of exterior wall not increased more than 4" compared to existing
- Resulting wall plane no closer than 7'-2" to nearest property line (unless district setbacks are less)





Technical Study

2015 Net Zero Action Plan recommended revisiting

2017 technical study evaluated:

- Performance and compatibility of potential insulation approaches within current ordinance
- Technical options to achieve increased exterior insulation during retrofits to residential buildings in Cambridge



Question 1: Is 4" of additional insulation enough?



Yes for some building types, no for others



Residential Buildings in Cambridge by Cladding Type

| Exterior Wall Type | # of Properties | % of Total Properties |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Clapboard | 2,876 | 33.8% |
| Wood shingle/shake | 2,249 | 26.4% |
| Aluminum vinyl | 1,841 | 21.6% |
| Brick | 526 | 6.2% |
| Asbestos shingle | 483 | 5.7% |
| Stucco | 168 | 2.0% |
| Asphalt shingle | 145 | 1.7% |
| Brick veneer | 98 | 1.2% |
| Concrete block | 31 | 0.4% |
| Stone veneer | 14 | 0.2% |
| Metal/glass | 12 | 0.1% |
| Stone | 4 | 0.05% |



Range of Increase in Wall Thickness by Type

| Structural Type | Insulation Type | Cladding Type | Min. Increase | Max. Increase |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Stud-framed | EIFS | Stucco | 7/ ₈ " | 3 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| Brick | EIFS | Stucco | 7/ ₈ " | 3 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| Concrete block | EIFS | Stucco | 2" | 3 1/2" |
| Stud-framed | Rigid foam | Traditional siding | 3/4" | 4 ³ / ₄ " |
| Concrete block | Sprayed foam | Brick veneer | - | 5 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| Stud-framed | Mineral wool | Traditional siding | 2" | 5 ³ /4" |
| Brick | Rigid (all) | Traditional siding | ⁹ / ₁₆ " | 6 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| All | Rigid (all) | Specialized cladding | 7/ ₁₁ " | 6 1/2" |
| Concrete block | Rigid foam | Brick veneer | 5 1/8" | 7 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| Stud-framed | Rigid (all) | Brick veneer | 5 1/8" | 7 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| All | Full SIP | Traditional siding | 3 1/4" | 12 1/2" |



Range of Increase in Wall Thickness by Type

| Structural Type | Insulation Type | Cladding Type | Min. Increase | Max. Increase |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Stud-framed | EIFS | Stucco | ⁷ / ₈ " | 3 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| Brick | EIFS | Stucco | ⁷ / ₈ " | 3 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| Concrete block | EIFS | Stucco | 2" | 3 1/2" |
| Stud-framed | Rigid foam | Traditional siding | 3/4" | 4 ³ /4" |
| Concrete block | Sprayed foam | Brick veneer | - | 5 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| Stud-framed | Mineral wool | Traditional siding | 2" | 5 ³ /4" |
| Brick | Rigid (all) | Traditional siding | 9/ <u>1</u> 6" | 6 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| All | Rigid (all) | Specialized cladding | 7/ <mark> </mark>] | 6 ¹ /2" |
| Concrete block | Rigid foam | Brick veneer | 5 1/8'' | 7 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| Stud-framed | Rigid (all) | Brick veneer | 5 1/8'' | 7 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| All | Full SIP | Traditional siding | 3 1/4" | 12 1/2" |



Range of Increase in Wall Thickness by Type

| Structural Type | Insulation Type | Cladding Type | Min. Increase | Max. Increase |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Stud-framed | EIFS | Stucco | ⁷ / ₈ " | 3 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| Brick | EIFS | Stucco | 7/ ₈ " | 3 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| Concrete block | EIFS | Stucco | 2" | 3 1/2" |
| Stud-framed | Rigid foam | Traditional siding | 3/4" | 4 ³ / ₄ " |
| Concrete block | Sprayed foam | Brick veneer | - | 5 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| Stud-framed | Mineral wool | Traditional siding | 2" | 5 3/4" |
| Brick | Rigid (all) | Traditional siding | %/_6" | 6 ⁵ / ₁₆ " |
| All | Rigid (all) | Specialized cladding | 7/_," | 6 1/2" |
| Concrete block | Rigid foam | Brick veneer | 5 ¹ / ₈ " | 7 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| Stud-framed | Rigid (all) | Brick veneer | 5 '/8'' | 7 ⁵ / ₈ " |
| All | Full SIP | Traditional siding | 3 1/4" | 12 1/2" |



8"

Question 1: Is 4" of additional insulation enough?

RECOMMENDATION

Most wall assembly types could include continuous exterior insulation with increased thickness of **8" or less**



Question 2: Is 7'2" a reasonable setback requirement?





Setbacks of Existing Residential Buildings in Cambridge

| Distance to Nearest Property Line (approx.) | % of Existing Residential Buildings (approx.) |
|---|--|
| More than I' | 63% |
| More than 2' | 51% |
| More than 3' | 41% |
| More than 4' | 33% |
| More than 5' | 27% |
| More than 6' | 22% |
| More than 7' | 18% |
| More than 8' | 15% |
| More than 9' | 12% |
| More than 10' | 10% |

Source: CDD analysis using Cambridge GIS data, 2017. ALL FIGURES APPROXIMATE



Question 2: Is 7'2" a reasonable setback requirement?

RECOMMENDATION

Changing the minimum buffer from **7'-2" to 3 feet** would allow many more buildings to comply, while maintaining setbacks typical of existing neighborhoods.



Question 2: Is 7'2" a reasonable setback requirement?





Current v. Proposed Zoning

| | Current Zoning | Proposed Zoning |
|---|--|---|
| Maximum reduction in existing setback (as-of-right) | 4 inches | 8 inches |
| Minimum resulting distance from property line (as- of-right) | 7 feet 2 inches, or required setback if less | 3 feet, or required setback if less |
| Allowed variations (special permit) | None | Variations allowed with BZA special permit approval |







Considerations

- Many existing buildings do not conform to setback standards in zoning
- Alterations often require **variances**, which can be costly and time-consuming for small property owners
- **Greater zoning flexibility** is one way the City can help encourage positive change
- Limitations should be set to provide **case-by-case review** where necessary, but not so limiting that it discourages improvements



Questions?

