LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Screenshot of WikiMap #1	
Figure 2.1: Energy consumption by mode	18
Figure 2.2: Relative space for different travel modes	19
Figure 2.5: Comparison of social connections on streets with light, moderate and high traffic volumes	21
Figure 2.6: 74% of Americans polled want to maintain or increase federal funding for biking and walking	22
Figure 2.7: Bicyclist Types and Proportions	23
Figure 3.1: Examples of bicycle facilities on non-commercial streets shown to survey respondents	33
Figure 3.2: Concord Ave. separated bike lane (top)	34
Figure 3.3: Vassar St. separated bike lane (middle)	34
Figure 3.4: Norfolk St. contra-flow bike lane (bottom)	34
Figure 3.5: Mode Split for Cambridge Residents Commuting to Work	35
Figure 3.6: Porter Square Mode of Transit Survey	36
Figure 3.7: Cambridge Bicycle Count Map, 2002-2012, Combined AM and PM Peak Counts	37
Figure 3.8: Cambridge Bicycle Count Chart, 2002-2014, Combined AM and PM Peak Counts	
Figure 3.9: Net change in volumes at intersections with and without construction during 2014 counts	38
Figure 3.10: Cambridge Bicycle Counts, 2002-2014: Net Change by Count Location	38
Figure 3.12: The intersection of Vassar St and Massachusetts Ave	39
Figure 3.11: Cambridge Bicycle Counts, Massachusetts Ave and Vassar St, 2010-2014	39
Figure 3.14: Cambridge "Eco-TOTEM" Design	40
Figure 3.15: Cambridge Bicycle Counts vs. Crash Rate	42
Figure 3.16: Bicycle Crash Frequency, 2004-2012	43
Figure 3.17: Primary Bicycle Crash Types	44
Figure 3.18: Bicycle Miles Traveled & Prevalent Bicycle Crash Types by Corridor	45

Figure 3.19: Injury severity for bicyclists involved in crashes, 2004-2012	46
Figure 3.20: Cambridge Department of Public Works employees demonstrating truck side guards on City trucks	47
Figure 5.1: WikiMap 1 Comment Frequency by Location: Improvement Needed	72
Figure 5.2: WikiMap 1 Comment Frequency by Location: Great Streets and Paths	73
Figure 5.3: Bicycle Level of Comfort Criteria and Examples	77
Figure 5.4: Bicycle Level of Comfort Sample User Types	78
Figure 5.5: Bicycle Level of Comfort Analysis: BLC 1-5	79
Figure 5.6: Bicycle Level of Comfort Analysis: BLC 1 & BLC 2	80
Figure 5.7: Level of Accommodation Example for Off-Street Paths	82
Figure 5.8: Level of Accommodation Example for Separated Bike Lanes	83
Figure 5.9: Level of Accommodation Example for Volume and Speed Reduction	84
Figure 5.10: Key Destinations in Cambridge	85
Figure 5.11: Existing Bicycle Network	86
Figure 5.12: Existing Network + Planned Projects	87
Figure 5.13: Existing Network, Planned Projects + Proposed Off-Street Paths	
Figure 5.14: Existing Network, Planned Projects, Proposed Off-Street Paths + Proposed Separation	89
Figure 5.15: Existing Network, Planned Projects, Proposed Off-Street Paths, Proposed Separation + Propo Speed/Volume Reductions	sed 90
Figure 5.16: Bicycle Network Vision with Key Destinations	91
Figure 6.1: Examples of educational materials	96
Figure 6.2: Examples of educational materials available at www.cambridgema.gov/bike	97
Figure 6.3: Metro Pedal Power delivery bicycles used for CitySmart.	99
Figure 6.4: Examples of educational materials available at www.cambridgema.gov/bike	100
Figure 6.5: Average weekday traffic volumes for Kendall Square measured between 1994 - 2014	. 105

Figure 6.6: The Cambridge Bicycle Committee organizes regular community rides through Cambridge	105
Figure 6.7: EF Hubway Station	107
Figure 7.1: "Post and ring" style bicycle parking provided in front of EF Education First Building adjacent to North Point Park	.113
Figure 7.2: The "Swerve" rack on a rail system enables racks to be located where it is not feasible to drill interpavement	o .114
Figure 7.2: Privately provided bicycle parking at One Kendall Square	.116
Figure 7.4: Whimsical racks welcome students at Cambridge Schools	.118
Figure 7.5: Example of a public repair stand and on-street bike corral located in Kendall Square	.119
Figure 8.1: Bikes loaded onto MBTA buses	.122
Figure 8.3: Pedal & Park at Alewife MBTA Station (photo: David Loutzenheiser)	123
Figure 8.2: Bike CharlieCards allow users to access Pedal & Park stations	123
Figure 8.4: Stair channels allow people to easily roll their bicycles up and down stairs	.124
Figure 8.5: CambridgeSide Galleria Mall Hubway	.125
Figure 8.7: Table showing increase of bike-sharing around the world as of December 31, 2014	.127
Figure 9.1: LED signs placed around Cambridge remind road users to share the road during construction or after major snowfall events	130
Figure 9.2: The Western Ave separated bike lane shown after a major snowfall event	.131
Figure 9.3: "Bikes May Use Full Lane" sign, MUTCD R4-11	133
Figure 9.4: Construction zone featuring recessed metal plates featuring non-slippery textured surface	.134
Figure 9.5: Street repaving zone featuring manholes painted with reflective pink paint	134
Figure 9.6: Construction zone featuring temporary bike lane	135
Figure 9.7: Construction crew installing bicycle decal and green pavement markings	136