	·
1	
2	PLANNING BOARD FOR THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
3	GENERAL HEARING
4	Tuesday, March 1, 2011
5	7: 00 p.m.
6	i n
7	Second Floor Meeting Room, 344 Broadway
8	City Hall Annex McCusker Building Cambridge, Massachusetts
9	Hugh Duscoll Chairs
10	Hugh Russell, Chair Thomas Anninger, Vice Chair
11	Pamel a Winters, Member Steven Winter, Member
12	H. Theodore Cohen, Member Ahmed Nur, Associate Member
13	Susan Clazar Danuty Director for Community
14	Susan Glazer, Deputy Director for Community Development
15	Bri an Murphy, Assistant City Manager for
16	Community Development Staff:
17	Community Development Staff: Liza Paden Stuart Dach
18	Stuart Dash Jeff Roberts
19	ram Farooq
20	REPORTERS, INC.
21	CAPTURING THE OFFICIAL RECORD 617.786.7783/617.639.0396 www.reportersinc.com

1	
2	INDEX
3	CENIEDAL DUCUNIECE DACE
4	GENERAL BUSINESS PAGE Page 1 April 2
5	Board of Zoning Appeal Cases 3
6	Update by Susan Glazer,
7	Deputy Di rector for Community Development 19
8	Adoption of the Meeting Transcript(s) 18
9	PB#252 - 40 Norris Street 21
10	PB#252 - 40 Norris Street 21
11	PB#241A - 2013 and 1991 Massachusetts Avenue, St. James' Church (Continued)
12	Averue, St. James Charch (Continued)
13	PB#253 - 1801 Massachusetts Avenue Lesl ey Al B, Secti ons 20. 504. 1 27
14	Lesi cy Aib, Sections 20. 304. 1
15	PB#254 - 223, 225 & 231 Concord Turnpi ke, Secti on 19.20 73
16	
17	PB#243 - 50 Bi nney Street Design Review 144
18	
19	
20	
21	

(Sitting members: Hugh Russell, Thomas Anninger, Pamela Winters, Steven Winter, H. Theodore Cohen.)

PROCEEDINGS

HUGH RUSSELL: Good evening. This is the meeting of the Cambridge Planning
Board and we're going to start with a discussion and review of the Board of Zoning Appeal cases that are going to be heard this week or next week.

to bring to your attention is the case regarding 16 Fairfield Street. And the applicant has gone to the Board of Zoning Appeal to request a finding that this is a non-repetitive petition. And part of the process that's in the Zoning Ordinance is that it comes to the Planning Board to confirm or affirm the decision of the Board of Zoning Appeal. I have the case if you want to look at it.

1	STEVEN WINTER: Liza, unless I'm
2	just not getting it, I don't have 16
3	Fai rfi el d here.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: It's not on the
5	agenda. It's a separate letter.
6	STEVEN WINTER: Oh, oh.
7	LIZA PADEN: I did not give you
8	STEVEN WINTER: No, I have that.
9	No, I do have that.
10	H. THEODORE COHEN: I don't think I
11	do.
12	LI ZA PADEN: Okay.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: So, I have reviewed
14	the material and do you what's your
15	opinion about whether there is a different or
16	not?
17	LIZA PADEN: I'd agree with the
18	Board of Zoning Appeal that it is a
19	significantly different application in that
20	it's non-repetitive.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: So, is this something

1	we want to review in detail and do we want to
2	send a report, Liza, and make a motion to
3	endorse what she said?
4	STEVEN WINTER: I would make a
5	motion to endorse Liza's opinion on the
6	subject unless other board members feel like
7	we need to discuss it.
8	THOMAS ANNINGER: I think we need
9	don't we need a substance or sentence so at
10	least we know what we're voting on?
11	HUGH RUSSELL: It's very hard to say
12	no to something phrased that way.
13	THOMAS ANNINGER: Do you want me to
14	rephrase that?
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Maybe Mr. Rafferty
16	would like to explain to us.
17	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'd agree. I'd
18	like to have a little explanation.
19	THOMAS ANNINGER: It's very brief.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well,
21	brevity is not my strong suit, but I will

attempt. James Rafferty for the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

This is an application for a Special Permit that was heard by the BZA almost two years ago. I say almost because that's why it's here. This July it would be two years. It's a two-family house on Fairfield Street. The applicant wished to enclose the front The Zoning Board felt that the porch. proposal added too much bulk and mass to the house and therefore did not support it. applicant then went and got a copy of the transcript, hired a new architect, came up with a bow front design that was consistent with the original structure, and presented that to the BZA last month. And under the provision in the Ordinance around concerning repetitive petitions, if you come back with a petition within two years, the BZA must first determine that there are material changes sufficient to allow it to go forward. The second provision of that did that.

1 section of Article 10 is then the Planning 2 Board, all but -- all the members of the 3 Planning Board have to consent thereto. 4 THOMAS ANNI NGER: One. 5 PAMELA WINTERS: Except for one. 6 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Except for 7 one. What happens if we 8 HUGH RUSSELL: 9 all consent? 10 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm trying 11 to think which one of you is most likely not 12 to since you've asked that. 13 But the truth of the matter is frankly, 14 and I said this to the BZA, it kind of puts 15 the Planning Board in a position -- I have to 16 now go to the Planning Board and ask them if 17 they think this is materially different than 18 the earlier application that they never saw 19 in the first place. But that's the current 20 interpretation of this section. 21 it's not always been interpreted this way.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Historically in the past few years it's the current interpretation that these matters come back to the Planning Board.

So the BZA had the same question, well, should we send findings to the Planning Board to assist them? And it was suggested well, there's a transcript and maybe if they wanted to, they could read the transcript. So we -the matter is back before the BZA on the 17th of March, and tonight is an opportunity for the Planning Board to either defer to the judgment of the BZA that saw the first case and now concludes that this case is materially different, or I could get you -- I brought with me the other case, and then this case, and you can see that it's a traditional two-family that they enclosed the front porch the first time around, not particularly elegantly, and this second rendition is much softer and picks up on this geometry of the bow front window.

So, the BZA was pretty certain that it was a change that warranted allowing the matter to come back.

HUGH RUSSELL: And I guess the point of this language in the Ordinance is just if somebody's coming back, they really have to seriously hear what happened the first time and respond to it. And that sounds that's exactly what's happened. We can let the Zoning Board decide if they feel that it's to be, you know, whether we grant it relief or not, but it sounds like they've done what was intended by the Ordinance.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I've often thought, and historically that this section, it talks about repetitive petitions, my experience at this point the Planning Board role is when we're talking about repetitive Zoning petitions, a petition to amend the Zoning Ordinance. But the current thinking is no, it applies to all applications before

the BZA.

H. THEODORE COHEN: If I can jump in. I disagree with that. I think the point of the statute is that someone cannot come back repeatedly and thereby be onerous and burdensome on all the abutters who have to show up time after time after time for minor changes.

agree with that. And I think that's why the Statute directs the Board to make such a finding. The Board being the BZA which has jurisdiction over the case. I haven't historically seen the role of the Planning Board. But the repetitive petition stature is quite clear, it is to prevent just that. There has to be a material change or else you could be coming back every month. I recognize that. But I found it somewhat of a challenge to bring the history of the case before the Planning Board when they have been

1	i nvol ved.
2	H. THEODORE COHEN: Could we just
3	see the different sketches?
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure.
5	This is the new sketch. You see the bow
6	front, that's the new. The old and that
7	was the prior one. And this is the current,
8	a photo of the existing conditions.
9	(Looking over documents).
10	H. THEODORE COHEN: Thank you.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, you've reviewed
12	the plans. Would you like to make a motion?
13	H. THEODORE COHEN: I would move
14	that the Planning Board find that there's a
15	significant difference between the first and
16	second proposal and authorize to the extent
17	that we get to authorize the BZA to proceed
18	with the hearing on the matter.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Is there a
20	second?
21	PAMELA WINTERS: Second.

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I think
2	that's a fine motion, Mr. Chairman. But I
3	think what the Ordinance says is that the
4	Planning Board has to consent to the action
5	of the BZA. So I think the motion would
6	with all due respect
7	LIZA PADEN: Which actually was
8	Steve Winter's motion was.
9	That was your motion and then we went
10	to the discussion.
11	STEVEN WINTER: Oh, okay.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: But his motion was
13	never seconded.
14	LIZA PADEN: Right.
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'll second
16	Steve's motion.
17	LI ZA PADEN: Okay.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
19	Any further discussion on the motion?
20	On the motion, all those in favor?
21	(Show of hands.)

1 HUGH RUSSELL: Five members voting 2 in favor. 3 (Russell, Anninger, Winters, Winter, Cohen.) 4 5 LIZA PADEN: On the rest of the 6 Board of Zoning Appeal cases, there is a case 7 at what's called 64 Linnean Street at the 8 corner of Garden Street. And this was a 9 Board of Zoning Appeal telecommunications. If you remember, the original location was a 10 11 different building which name has escaped me, 12 on Shepard street. 13 HUGH RUSSELL: It was first on the 14 library and now it's moved to the dorm. 15 LIZA PADEN: Yes. 16 And the Planning Board requested that 17 they look for another site. The applicant 18 And now they would like found another site. 19 to alter that communications facility by 20 rearranging some of the antennas' location 21 that was originally approved in that BZA

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

case. There is a representative from AT&T here who can also walk you through the plans.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Let's do it.

FRANK KELLY: For the record, my name is Frank Kelly. I'm here representing We're looking to add three antennas to AT&T. the existing six antennas that are on the facility. Currently there are two antennas behind a stealth wall, two antennas inside a steal th chimney, and two antennas that are flush-mounted on the penthouse facing northwest along Gardener Street. penthouse is set back a little bit from the building edge. We're proposing to add one additional antenna in each of the existing And we're also proposing steal th enclosures. to add one additional antenna on the -- next to the existing two on the penthouse. penthouse antennas are painted to match the background. We're looking to do the same thing with the new antennas. So the visible

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

change will be looking southwest from Gardener Street which I think is a picture No. 2 on the photo sims over there. gonna -- two antennas will be on a dual-mount on the corner, and then next to that will be another antenna is going to be installed. But we're also going to be installing some other equipment, including some remote radio heads which will be inside the stealth enclosure for the antennas that are steal th, and we'll be on the rooftop next to the, the existing cable tray, very close to the level of the rooftop and they won't be visible from the street.

What's a radio head? STEVEN WINTER: FRANK KELLY: Typically what the equipment that they put up there, they've installed radios inside on the -- on either the equipment room or on a platform. then they've run usually a number of co-ax cables from that receiver inside the room to

the antennas. The -- this is -- AT&T is putting the radio heads closer to the antennas. So basically what we'll run from the equipment room is just a two-inch flex conduit, so it will be much less space on it which will run to the radio heads. And then from the radio heads they'll run the co-ax to the antennas.

STEVEN WINTER: Thank you. And the radio heads are within the stealth.

FRANK KELLY: Two of them will be in the stealth, yeah. And one of them will be on the rooftop, but it's going to be very low to the level of the rooftop set back from the building edge. So it won't be visible from the street.

STEVEN WINTER: Thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: So it looks like we were wise to have them move to this building which can accept another few antennas and not have any real impact.

1	So shall we communicate that the latter
2	part, that we reviewed the photo sims and we
3	don't see that there's a significant impact?
4	PAMELA WINTERS: Yes.
5	LIZA PADEN: Thank you.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Any other
7	cases on the BZA? We're all set.
8	FRANK KELLY: I just want to say
9	this is a part of a roll-out. We're going to
10	be having eight more sites that we're either
11	swappi ng antennas or addi ng antennas to whi ch
12	will be becoming before the Board.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Does another
14	member of the Board that has an Android or an
15	i Phone? Because you' re dri vi ng thi s.
16	LIZA PADEN: Are there any other
17	Board of Zoning Appeal cases?
18	HUGH RUSSELL: On case 10064, 15
19	Robi nson Street.
20	LIZA PADEN: Yes.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: There's a Variance at

1	the Table of Dimensional Requirements.
2	LIZA PADEN: The rear setback
3	they're looking for three feet, six inches.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: I'm only actually
5	interested in the floor area ratio.
6	LIZA PADEN: The floor area ratio is
7	currently a 0.41 and they're looking for a
8	0.49 in the Residence B district.
9	HUGH RUSSELL: Which permits 0.50
10	unless they have a huge lot.
11	LIZA PADEN: The lot's 5,015 square
12	feet.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Right, okay. So I
14	was just checking to make sure it wasn't a
15	very large addition.
16	LI ZA PADEN: No.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. If no one else
18	has any matters, we'll move on to the next
19	item on our agenda.
20	Are there any meeting transcripts that
21	have come in that have been reviewed?

1	LIZA PADEN: There's two that have
2	came in but unfortunately I have not reviewed
3	them yet so we'll leave them until March
4	15th.
5	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Then the next
6	item. I guess we skipped over Susan's
7	report. So why don't sorry about that.
8	SUSAN GLAZER: That's quite all
9	ri ght, Hugh.
10	First of all, for those of you who
11	don't know him, Brian Murphy started
12	yesterday as Assistant City Manager for
13	Community Development and he will be with us
14	for these meetings.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Welcome.
16	BRI AN MURPHY: Thank you.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: You've been here many
18	times before on the other side of the table.
19	BRI AN MURPHY: Exactly.
20	SUSAN GLAZER: Just by way of
21	tonight's agenda, for those of you who may be

here for St. James Petition, that is being postponed until March 15th. So, you're welcome to stay for the meeting, but you also have permission to leave if you choose to.

And speaking of March 15th, we have two public hearings: One a new petition for 70 Fawcett Street in the Concord-Alewife area.

That is a housing petition. And another housing petition for 34-36 Hampshire Street.

That's a small housing proposal.

And then as I mentioned just a moment ago, the St. James Church Petition will come back for a discussion, as well as the 65 Bent Street design review which you heard several weeks ago.

We are having a third meeting in March, on March 29th when there will be three Zoning Petitions. One is the Fox Petition which it has been re-filed. The second is the Chestnut Hill Realty Petition which also has been re-filed. That actually got snowed out

of its hearing with the Ordinance Committee, and there was insufficient time to hear it before it expired. So that one was re-filed. And then there is a new petition by Novartis for its new development on Mass. Avenue. So, three Zoning Petitions, and it should be a busy night.

Normally the Board would meet on April 5th. They will not be meeting that night, but instead we'll be meeting on April 12th.

And that will be the only meeting in April as our schedule currently allows.

HUGH RUSSELL: Tom was just saying there's going to be a lot on the agenda as there's a lot tonight. It's supposedly going to slow down, but it doesn't appear to be happening here.

So I guess then the next item on our agenda is a request from people at 40 Norris Street, Planning Board case 252. Who is going to present that request?

1 Well, you have a letter LIZA PADEN: 2 in your package from Sean Hope who represents 3 the applicant, and they have requested a 4 six-month extension on the Special Permit 5 application in order for the rezoning 6 petitions to be fully heard and go through 7 the process that's currently at the 5.28.2 8 Peti ti on. Mr. Hope is here to answer any 9 questions if you have any. 10 HUGH RUSSELL: So if we didn't 11 extend that, then we would have to act on a 12 Petition or else it would be automatically 13 granted; is that correct? 14 LI ZA PADEN: Correct. 15 The 90 days for the decision to be 16 filed falls on March 7th. So ei ther an 17 extension has to be agreed to by the Planning 18 Board with the applicant or a decision has to 19 be entered at the Clerk's office by the 7th. 20 Okay. HUGH RUSSELL: Well, 21 ordinarily we grant such requests as a

1	process going forward to try to see what is
2	the best way to respond to the reuse of this
3	building, and I think we should let that play
4	out myself. And I would prefer to grant this
5	request. I mean, clearly based on what we
6	said at the hearing, nobody's favoring
7	granting the Petition as filed. There are
8	going to have to be new changes, probably a
9	lot of changes and before we would look
10	favorably on a Petition on this parcel.
11	So, anyone el se have anything they want
12	to add?
13	THOMAS ANNINGER: I wouldn't be
14	surprised if six months is not long enough to
15	resol ve this.
16	HUGH RUSSELL: It's difficult.
17	THOMAS ANNINGER: It is typical for
18	extensions like this to be granted if I'm not
19	mistaken for a year. So I wonder why
20	LIZA PADEN: If I could interject.
21	The extensions you're thinking of for a year

1	is after a decision has been made and
2	construction hasn't started. This is
3	actually extending the process, which is
4	different and quite often has for example,
5	the Planning Board has had cases that they've
6	heard and they' ve granted three, four and
7	five extensions anywhere from six weeks to
8	six months so that the process can be
9	finished. For example, Cambridge Research
10	Park was extended three times.
11	The position in the past, the policy
12	for the Planning Board was to grant six
13	months or whatever the applicant felt was
14	appropriate just to keep people moving in the
15	forward di recti on.
16	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Any more
17	di scussi on?
18	MI CHAEL BRANDON: Mr. Chair.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: Mr. Brandon.
20	MICHAEL BRANDON: For the record, my
21	name is Michael Brandon, B-r-a-n-d-o-n. I

1 live at No. 7 Seven Pines Avenue. I was just 2 wondering if the Board will be willing to 3 hear briefly from the public? My 4 recollection is that the initial public 5 hearing was kept open both for oral and 6 written testimony because clearly the Board 7 was going to expect significant changes, and 8 I wonder if the public could be heard just on 9 the issue that's before you of an extension 10 very briefly? 11 HUGH RUSSELL: This wasn't 12 advertised as a hearing, and what do other 13 members feel? Briefly seems to me something 14 that's not likely to happen and we have a 15 very full agenda. And I think this is a 16 really small procedural matter myself. 17 Ted. 18 H. THEODORE COHEN: I think 19 traditionally we've granted these Petitions, 20 and I don't think we've ever heard any 21 testimony on it. I think the hearing is

1	still open and so, at such point in time as
2	we continue the hearing, public will have the
3	opportunity to speak at that point.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
5	MICHAEL BRANDON: The points I would
6	make would be germane to that issue. I mean,
7	I think it would be brief.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. I think
9	that's
10	MICHAEL BRANDON: I would suggest
11	three
12	HUGH RUSSELL: I would ask you that
13	you can accept that we're not going to do
14	this. Not going to hear from the public on
15	this matter at this time.
16	So, would somebody like to make a
17	moti on?
18	MI CHAEL BRANDON: Thank you anyway.
19	STEVEN WINTER: Mr. Chair, I move
20	that the Planning Board extend the decision
21	date as requested from March 7, 2011 to a

1	period of six months.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Is there a
3	second?
4	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Second.
5	HUGH RUSSELL: Tom. Di scussi on?
6	All those in favor?
7	(Show of hands.)
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Five members voting
9	in favor.
10	(Russell, Anninger, Winters, Winter,
11	Cohen.)
12	* * * *
13	(Sitting Members: Hugh Russell, Thomas
14	Anninger, Pamela Winters, Steven Winter,
15	H. Theodore Cohen.)
16	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, now we get to
17	the more lengthy items on our agenda, which
	The more rangerry reams or againa, will are
18	now are reduced to three. It's 7:30 and so
18 19	
	now are reduced to three. It's 7:30 and so
19	now are reduced to three. It's 7:30 and so we could spend as much as an hour on each

I see the folks from Lesley have come and brought a model, and I presume are prepared to make a presentation. How long were you thinking of presenting to us?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: In the ten-minute range. We simply identified the issues that the Board asked us to at the close of the public hearing, and we have a brief presentation on those six issues.

HUGH RUSSELL: So, unless someone objects, I say we go forward with that. And then after that we might ask the staff to comment.

So, who's going to start?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Just a brief note. In the package that was forwarded to board members, there are a couple of items we wanted to draw to your attention, including the decision of the Historical Commission that was issued earlier this month granting a Certificate of

Appropriateness. As you might imagine, a great deal of their focus has been on the issues associated with the church itself and there has -- you'll hear tonight from Mr. Forney that there has been one change made to the new building in response to a request by the Historical Commission regarding the height of the new building versus the height of the steeple.

I should also note for the record, I know Mr. Nur is not sitting on the case. He has recused himself, and I just know he knows that. I wouldn't want any member of public to think he was which would suggest that we're going ahead with the five members at the moment. I think.

But the other issue that Mr. Forney will walk you through is the memo that was sent out to the Board electronically that summarizes the issues. One of the issues that the Chairman has asked us to do is

respond to a list of issues from one of our abutters in the rear, and we've done that as well. So, we can take about five or ten minutes to go through the issues. We took good notes hopefully at the last meeting and identified the issues and want to respond.

HUGH RUSSELL: Please.

JASON FORNEY: Thank you,

Mr. Rafferty. Again, my name is Jason
Forney. I'm from Bruner/Cott Architects and
Planners, 130 Prospect Street in Cambridge.
And I'm glad to be back here tonight and give
you more detail on the issues that you've
identify back in January.

And the ones I'm going to focus on are the east side yard elevation. The east side yard landscaping, the mechanical equipment on the building, and the others are addressed in more detail in the additional information package that we sent out earlier this month or earlier -- later in February I guess. And

those would be the materials that are used in the building and how they're disposed. Event parking, as well as storm water management.

And I do have members of our consultant team here who can help me answer any questions you may have on those issues.

So just to jump right in -- and then we also, as Jim -- as Mr. Rafferty mentioned we wanted to give you -- explain one of the things that the Historic Commission asked us to do and show you that. So, to begin on the rear elevation, this is the elevation which on the model is facing east along the residence zone.

HUGH RUSSELL: Could you rotate the model so that we can see what we're talking about?

JASON FORNEY: Sure.

I'd like to point out some of the things that we did very early on, and were even part of the new Zoning Overlay. There's

a 20-foot setback to the property line along the side yard which means that we're really treating it as a rear yard. The building is well below 35 feet up to the roof line. And even though they're extending parapets here, they're still below 35 feet. The materials used on the rear elevation are terra-cotta tile in a cream color. And this would be variegated in four-inch sections so it would refer to the church siting and the smaller scale residential siting in the neighborhood. And as the building steps back around to Mass. Avenue, the pieces get bigger.

This middle section is a composite of transparent glass, the blue on the drawing, and a translucent cowl wall system, that would be this white section here that you see. So, they're punched windows where offices are. We strategically located programs as we told you last time. So there are offices along the rear. A gallery that

has no windows. And this area is open to below so there are no people walking along there.

What you'd really see from the residences is this, parts of the year and this other parts of the year. So that there is fence up to eight feet, six feet solid and then a two-foot lattice section. And that means that above the fence line this elevation is about 17 transparent -- 17 percent transparent. What you'd find in most residences is 20 to 30 percent.

And the size of this window is about 10 to 12 feet in that dimension, and about another 10 feet from floor to ceiling. So, again, these are a combination of existing trees and some new ones.

The materials pallet again of the building, and I'll focus a little bit more on -- I told you about the terra-cotta. This would be sort of what we would use in the

rear. And this would be along Mass. Avenue.

And some images of what that cowl wall

material looks like. And this one shows you

how it's different from transparent glass.

And black and blue views and the amount of

light transmitted. And the particular color

that we would expect, blocks 85 percent of

light.

I'm going to now move to the rear yard landscaping, which is the section highlighted in red. We would be keeping eight existing trees, and we've recently made a move to eliminate this piece from the basement so that these trees have a better chance of survival. So existing trees, and then some new understory trees planted. These happen to be Norway maples which don't like other trees very much, but we specified some understory trees that would be about 15 feet tall when they're planted and mature to 30.

There is a sculpture pad, which we

1	talked about last time. And this section
2	shows you kind of the scale of work that the
3	Art Institute might locate out there. And,
4	again, this area is closed off both by a gate
5	here and a gate here. So it's not an
6	occupi ed space. The bal ance of the plantings
7	here are
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Am I correct that the
9	sculpture pad sort of lines up with the clear
10	gl ass?
11	JASON FORNEY: Correct.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: So as you're looking
13	out you have some
14	JASON FORNEY: You're interacting
15	with that, right.
16	So, grasses and shrubs along the back,
17	along the fence. And these are some of the
18	kinds of things that we've are looking at
19	there. These are the understory trees.
20	We've reviewed the fence with the abutters.
21	They preferred this kind of fence, which is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

solid board fence and then a vertical lattice up to eight feet. It's a cedar fence that's painted.

And as you know, we've received approval from the Historic Commission. one of the things that they asked us to look at was to lower the parapet of the building by, in this case by about 20 inches, so that it aligned with the top of the belfry creating a more direct conversation. And so these are -- this is walking around the building of how those proportions change. And we have not changed the model, but if we did, it would be about three 30 seconds off the top of that parapet. So it's not a huge change. And in fact, when you look at the views that we've re-rendered from around the site, we don't think it changes the screening potential of that parapet in a significant I'll give you a second to look things way. over.

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And then moving on to mechanical equipment and reminding you that we are locating the chillers and boilers over on this building and piping them across, and that we have hydronic mechanical equipment that's doing the air conditioning and heating inside the building where there are pumps that are circulating that water through a Therefore, reducing the amount radi ant slab. of air and equipment on the roof. The new building, and so what's left are two ventilation units which are providing fresh air to the building occupants. This one is also combined with a make-up air unit that replaces air taken out by the processing exhaust systems. And our plan would be to put these in single casings, double wall casings. And in the case of unit A, that unit is about -- our primary strategy is to keep that away from the property line. it's about 85 feet from the closest neighbor.

And there as you saw in those other drawings, there's not a big line of sight. Sound travels -- the line of sound follows the line of sight.

These pieces back here are NStar electrical transformers, and in this case we are proposing to build a solid masonry wall inside the wood fence that would block the sound, any sound that that transformer might make.

Letter C is a smaller version of this one which is providing ventilation air, at room temperature to the church, and the space below the church so that we're not crossing duct work through this central piece. And that unit is about 40 feet from this building and up 50 feet from the building that's below to the south of it.

Again, a double wall casing -- and in this case I just want to remind you that these are the buildings that abut our site.

These two are rental units, and this is a single-family residence.

So our primary strategy there is to cut off the line of sight and light of sound and then equip it with a six foot or taller parapet blocking line of sight and line of sound from the second floor of those residences and even the third floor.

We also spent sometime with one of the members of the board of trustees of Oxford Court who spoke last time we were here. And we visited a fourth floor unit of that building with her and with the unit owner. And this is what -- this is what you see from the fourth floor of that building currently. And this is what you would see if this were -- if this design were constructed.

And I'd also like to remind you that we've done a lot of balancing of all these issues, preservation versus living in the 21st century. Density versus scale.

1	Vi brancy on Mass. Avenue versus privacy in
2	the rear yard. The plaza has been
3	everything wants to happen in that plaza so
4	we've had to be very careful to balance open
5	space, plantings and a place places for
6	people along with pedestrian ways along Mass.
7	Avenue. Places for bicycles, and a
8	connection to all the Mass. Transit and the
9	Square. So I hope you'll recognize that.
10	Thanks.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, thank you.
12	Pam?
13	JASON FORNEY: I do have copi es.
14	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
15	Can I ask a question, a brief question
16	about I andscapi ng?
17	JASON FORNEY: Sure.
18	PAMELA WINTERS: So, you're planting
19	Carolina silver bell as an understory tree
20	from the Norway maple; is that correct?
21	JASON FORNEY: Yes.

1 PAMELA WINTERS: That's one of my 2 favorite trees. And the only problem with 3 understory trees under a Norway maple -- I've 4 gone through three of them in my yard 5 already, and they're very -- it's very 6 difficult to plant. The only one that made 7 If the understory it was a river birch. 8 trees don't make it under the Norway maple, 9 are you planning to replace them? 10 JASON FORNEY: Yes. 11 HUGH RUSSELL: That's a Lesley 12 questi on. 13 JASON FORNEY: So I'd like to 14 introduce Tim Mackey. Actually, Tim is with 15 Richard Burke and Associates who is our 16 landscape architect, and I would pass that 17 question on to him. 18 PAMELA WINTERS: Okay, thank you. 19 TIM MACKEY: Actually, there were --20 there are two existing Norway maples right in 21 this area here that we're removing because

1 they're not in very good shape. And that's 2 where we're choosing to replant the trees 3 where there is some daylight out from under 4 the canopy of the trees. 5 PAMELA WINTERS: Oh, good. Thank 6 you. 7 HUGH RUSSELL: You menti oned, 8 Mr. Rafferty, that there was the material you 9 sent us talked about the event parking 10 questi on? 11 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. 12 HUGH RUSSELL: Which you haven't 13 touched on even though 15 minutes have 14 And about conversations with the passed. 15 Farri ngtons. 16 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay, with 17 regard to the event parking, we did prepare a 18 matrix that is included in the package. Ιt 19 basically describes the three types of 20 events. 21 One, our gallery opening style events

which occur over a period of time, which would not generate a significant amount of parking demand.

The second type of event is a guest lecture style event, and I think the frequency of those are estimated to be about two per semester. And the level of attendance is estimated to be around 150 or 180.

And then the third type of event is a -- what would that be? Oh, parents and alumnae. They estimate three to five per year. And, again, most of these are from people who are already -- while they're arriving off campus, their peak parking demands can be accommodated in University Hall parking lot based on the experience.

This is a use that currently exists as you know in Kenmore Square, and Lesley of course operates its university here. So they have some confidence in understanding the

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

programming and events that they do. chief reason that this whole project is such a low traffic generator is over 60 percent of the student body here are going to be Lesley on-campus residents who will be commuting here certainly not by single occupant vehicle, but hopefully walking, in some cases bicycling and other cases making use of the So in the other nature of Lesley shuttle. the parking demand is that the staffing here, the classes are -- a professor is not necessarily in every day. Classes don't meet five times a week. So that the programming and the parking demands really are going to be handled easily with the University Hall Lot.

We also provided the Board with a memo that did address the issues raised by

Ms. Farrington and others. In some cases we've been able to collaborate and make changes as you saw in the case of events, and

1 certainly in listening to some of the 2 concerns around activity in the back. lt's 3 been clear from the beginning what we've 4 tried to do is limit access to the back and 5 really program the building in a way that the 6 use of the back of the building has limited 7 impact both visually and otherwise to the It feels to us that the, that the 8 nei ghbor. 9 level of activity and the orientation of the 10 building is not that different from a typical 11 domestic setting in the Residence B Zone. this case whether this was a condo or an 12 13 apartment building, the distances and 14 setbacks we're talking 20 plus feet, windows 15 of less than 20 percent of the facade. 16 Activities with limited night or weekend use 17 feels that there's -- that there's going to 18 be -- that there has been an attempt to 19 minimize the impact. I think the big thing 20 that has been some conversation about is 21 could certain pieces of mechanical equipment

21

be relocated? And we've looked very closely to the one closest to there, I believe it was C on that. And there were mechanical challenges with that. That's providing all the intake there for the church. Given the church roof, it simply can't be put on the church roof. We looked at putting it on the ground. The acoustical consultants advised us on the ground it will create more noise. It's better off where it is. We have been advised to close -- to create the masonry wall for the NStar transformer. And we're still working with NStar to see that we can scale that thing down and make it as small as possible. At this stage of the process, NStar is -- you know, we had to plan for the most aggressive NStar attitude, but the experience we hear from contractors and others and the architects in the building trade is it's an ongoing dialogue and we hope to be able to continue to demonstrate to

NStar that we don't need two. There's a transformer and the switch gear out there. It's not clear to us at the moment why the switch gear might be needed. We're going to try to convince NStar that it can be accommodated with the transformer alone. So those were I think the other issues.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, thank you.

Susan you said the staff had reviewed all this. Is that Stuart going to.... And I guess the question that's in our minds, have we reached closure on all the issues that have been raised and are we ready to proceed?

IRAM FAROOQ: Yes, thanks. So, we did review this with the proponents after the last meeting and did feel that the issues that the Board had raised, particularly with regards to the mechanical, the back -- the yard on the north side had -- the proponent had made various moves to try to address those issues that had been raised. And so

from the open design perspective we felt like
the -- a significant progress had been made
in the direction that the Board had desired.
And we expect that there will be further
refinements as we move along with design
review on an ongoing basis.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, thank you.

So are there questions or comments by members of the board? Steve.

I want to compliment this team, the proponent and this team for putting together a very thoughtful and a very thorough response. I'm very, very pleased with what has happened. I also wanted to note that in the packet that came to me, what a pleasing sight this is with the church down and this building next to it that is really quite distinctive but unassuming in an odd kind of way with this church. It's just spectacular. So I think we've created something nice, and I wanted

1 again to reiterate that I think the proponent 2 has responded very thoughtfully to the 3 community. 4 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. 0ther 5 comments? Pam. PAMELA WINTERS: Just a quick 6 7 The color of that larger building questi on. 8 is in fact going to be this color? You had 9 mentioned it was going to be terra-cotta so 10 that's why I was asking. Well, voila. 11 Perfect. It is. 12 JASON FORNEY: It's a cream-colored 13 terra-cotta which is in between the buff 14 masonry of this building and the white clad 15 attachments. 16 Does anyone else want to see it? 17 H. THEODORE COHEN: Just in 18 connection with that. It's this width on the 19 rear and also on the front facade and the 20 larger panels on the sides? 21 JASON FORNEY: I'll get what I was

1	looking for over here. This is the scale of
2	tile that we would use on the main building.
3	So this is ten-by-three. And then on the
4	rear building this would be the divided like
5	that one is into smaller pieces. So it would
6	be the same material that's used in two
7	di fferent ways.
8	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm sorry, I'm
9	not visualizing it. On the front facade
10	here, is it that or is it
11	JASON FORNEY: From this point all
12	the way around to there is this plane. And
13	then once you step down to the 35-foot
14	building, it turns into that.
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. While it
16	looks narrower to my eyes on the drawing,
17	it's that size?
18	JASON FORNEY: Yes. But these lines
19	on the model
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Can you
21	lift the model up?

1	JASON FORNEY: Yes, I'm trying to do
2	that. The lines on the model represent that
3	ten inch course and that scale. So, it's
4	because the building design.
5	H. THEODORE COHEN: And then on the
6	rear?
7	JASON FORNEY: We didn't we
8	couldn't square it that way.
9	H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. So that's
10	the same terra-cotta but just in a larger
11	JASON FORNEY: Right. And this one
12	might have a texture to it to make it even
13	further different than that.
14	HUGH RUSSELL: So we're still
15	sitting on this case. Are we expecting Bill
16	Ti bbs to be here tonight?
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: He sat on
18	the earlier case.
19	LIZA PADEN: I didn't hear from Bill
20	that he wasn't going to make it. And I
21	checked everything just before I came

1 downstairs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

HUGH RUSSELL: So it's the five of us and Bill?

LIZA PADEN: Yes.

HUGH RUSSELL: What we're muttering about here is the -- there is a Special Permit being requested. The Board votes for a Special Permits. Five members of the board must vote in favor of the Special Permit to grant it. This is a seven-member board, so ordinarily there would be seven people sitting here, but Mr. Nur was unaware that he had a conflict until the night of the hearing, so he recused himself. And Mr. Tibbs is not here yet. And so in fairness to the applicant, although we'll ask them at some point if they wish to have us vote five members, and in fairness to them, we would probably want to take some sort of a straw vote beforehand so that they would be reassured that there wasn't somebody lurking

1 out there that was going to shoot them down 2 because, you know, if one of our members was 3 not -- I would not go to a vote because of 4 that. The laws -- you're supposed to get 5 five-sevenths of the board. You don't have 6 to get everybody. Anyway, that probably did 7 not make it that much clearer. 8 THOMAS ANNINGER: Let's just go 9 around the room for a second. 10 HUGH RUSSELL: Yes, maybe we should 11 all talk about it. 12 THOMAS ANNINGER: I've already 13 stated my favorable position on this case and 14 I -- nothing I've heard has changed that. 15 STEVEN WINTER: As have I. I 16 concur. 17 H. THEODORE COHEN: I also concur. 18 And I think the changes that have been made 19 with the fence and the landscaping and the 20 rear are -- have accomplished a lot, and I 21 think it's a very handsome building.

1	just would point out that the transparent
2	part in the rear is much further from any of
3	the residences than my neighbors' houses are
4	to mine and many windows are to my windows.
5	So I think it seems perfectly appropriate to
6	me.
7	PAMELA WINTERS: And I also agree
8	and I just, I love the artistic potential
9	that it will bring into Porter Square. It
10	makes me very happy being a resident of
11	Porter Square.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: And I'm also prepared
13	to vote in favor of this Petition.
14	So, with that round, I would ask that
15	the Petitioner, are you willing to have this
16	Board make a decision at this time?
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
18	THOMAS ANNINGER: I guess I'd like
19	to make two comments.
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Sure.
21	THOMAS ANNINGER: I have a question

21

Just a comment on what we and a comment. received from the Bicycle Committee. I think the architect made a careful point about all the balancing that took place in this, and it really is very evident just how carefully done this, the various tradeoffs that were made throughout. And I wouldn't want to try to rebalance it. And so I guess I want to make clear that I think adding any more bicycles to courtyard, any more bicycle racks would I think go against that balancing and add clutter to what I think is a crucial courtyard for pedestrians. And, therefore, I would not -- and this is something that we rarely do, but I would not sustain what we've heard from the Bicycle Committee. That's point No. 1.

No. 2, I would like to make sure -because we've had a, what I would call a bad,
unfortunate experience with another case
that's not being heard tonight, on just what

Special Permits are being requested. I would like to be very clear tonight before we go forward on just what sections are being asked for and just how we get there so that we don't ever trip again in such an unfortunate way. And I think that would be Mr. Rafferty who I would address that question to.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:

Mr. Chairman, in response to that, as set forth in the application, it is rather limited and specific. Given the size of the building it is subject to an Article 19 product review Special Permit which is identified. It also is seeking certain Special Permits that are authorized under the Lesley Porter Overlay District. And they've been cited in Section 20.504.1 and that allows for the transfer of certain development right and for the height of the church to --the church can remain at its historic height. There are a few other

1 sections in the Lesley Porter Overlay 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 that the project is seeking. 12 13 14 15 16 17 Di stri ct. 18 19 20 Overlay 20.504. 21 HUGH RUSSELL:

District. And then finally the Lesley Porter Overlay District also allows the Board in addition to its generic abilities under Article 6 to reduce parking and loading requirements, there's a special provision in the Porter Overlay District that allows for adjustments and modifications there as well, and we have applied for that. And beyond that those are the only three areas of relief It does have the benefit of working with a recently enacted Ordinance. So a lot of the issues that were contemplated in the drafting of the Ordinance were addressed in the Special Permit Language for the Porter Overlay And the findings are necessary for the granting of the Special Permit are pretty well spelled out in that Lesley Porter Okay, thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We also have a memorandum from the Traffic, Parking and Transportation

Department dated January 18th. And I'm trying to see what recommended conditions are in that report.

One seems to be traffic signal improvement at Mass. Avenue and Upland Road because of the pedestrian level of service at that intersection. This is pretty technical. So the Transportation Department is recommending 16 bike spaces in addition to the four bicycle spaces. And I'm assuming that these are like the city tree thing, a post with a circle on it that people can be on both sides. As I understand this, there would be a total of ten of those on the That doesn't seem to be overwhelming pl aza. the plaza if there were ten of those on the pl aza.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, can I be heard briefly on that issue?

HUGH RUSSELL: Sure.

21

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: On the memo we are in agreement with the first three mitigation measures in the memo -- the first The issue around the bicycles, to two. Mr. Anninger's point. We have had -- there is overlapping jurisdiction with the Historical Commission here. We require a Certificate of Appropriateness for everything on this site. I would say that we have heard -- and we've been told it can be attributed to the person who said it. We have heard reservations by the Executive Director of the Historical Commission about covered bicycle racks in certain locations on the plaza as they might impact the exterior of the church. Given that shared jurisdiction, it was our hope that the issue around specific locations, style of bicycle racks, could be left to a design detail worked out with Community Development, Historical and

Traffic. Lesley certainly has a big commitment around bicycles. They've got thousands of students with bicycles. We've got a lot of space on the University Hall lot where we can put additional bicycle spaces. There's every effort here to want to make bicycle accommodations work. But to achieve that level of fine detail in this process tonight, I think is going to be difficult, particularly given what we understand to be the Historical Commission's desire to weigh in on the style, location and the size of these bicycle racks.

HUGH RUSSELL: That's certainly acceptable to me not to resolve that. One thing that I guess I'm part of the problem, I got a bicycle about two years ago having not had one since 1993, and many other people are doing that. Apparently the level of bicycle usage in the city has gone up tremendously in the last several years, and it's very

difficult to find a parking -- bicycle parking space either legal or sort of ad hoc in Harvard Square these days. And I, you know, it's -- I think something that needs to be addressed and it's got to be -- we'll have to see where it's going. Any plan that comes up with has to be a plan that says well, that's what we'll do now and then maybe we'll have to do more or maybe they'll be too much, It's got to be an ongoing kind of you know. a process. And that, you know -- so.... If we then, if we were to make a condition that we would alter the item No. 3 in the Traffic, Parking and Transportation memo to be as Mr. Rafferty described a consultant work with the city departments to resolve this.

Item 2 seems to be something they don't want to happen so I'm not sure we can condition that either unless I'm misreading this.

PAMELA WINTERS: You already agreed

20

18

19

21

1 to that, Mr. Rafferty, didn't you? 2 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Ri ght. 3 think as I read, too, in the initial proposal 4 the crosswalk was a different location. It's 5 proposed in that memo to be relocated. 6 what's showed up -- what's appearing tonight 7 it is the crosswalk in the location we were 8 directed to by the Traffic Department. 9 HUGH RUSSELL: Therefore we don't 10 have to do more than approve the plans. 11 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Correct. 12 As plans submitted this evening. 13 HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. 14 We ready to move to a motion or is 15 there more to discuss? 16 PAMELA WINTERS: I think we're ready 17 to move, Hugh. 18 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. So we've got 19 in our January package there was a checklist 20 of the various pieces which was just thrown 21 out of my --

That's what we 1 THOMAS ANNI NGER: 2 So moved. expect. 3 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. So we have a 4 motion to grant the Special Permits requested 5 which are a project review Special Permit 6 under Article 19, a specific Special Permits 7 for under the Overlay District. 8 The parking and I oading LIZA PADEN: 9 relief. 10 HUGH RUSSELL: And relief on parking 11 and I oadi ng. So someone might make a motion 12 and their findings -- we have to make 13 findings under Section 10.43 that traffic 14 generated won't cause congestion or 15 substantial change in neighborhood character. 16 We have a report from the Traffic and Parking 17 Department on that. 18 Continuing operation of development of 19 adjacent uses would be adversely affected by 20 the nature of the use. I would say we could 21 say that we're all really excited about this

use. We all feel that it's going to be an asset to the community and this location.

Hazard would be created. So it's been studied very carefully, the one potential which was the equipment noise, and they've come up with a reasonable plan that's based on consultant work that would prevent that equipment becoming a nuisance.

And clearly since the district was -that it's in was created in part to view this
project is in line with the integrity of the
district. That's 10.43.

Chapter 19, the project is responsive up to the existing and anticipated pattern of development. We can take the Historic Commission's intense review of this project as being definitive about that.

It is pedestrian and bicycle friendly, and because of the nature of the use it's really going to be a very strong -- a lot of pedestrian and a lot of bicycle activity.

The building and site design mitigate adverse environmental impacts on its neighbors. We had that presentation tonight about the rear of the project. We have no evidence that the project will overburden the city infrastructure, roads, water and sewer system. And that new construction does enhance the complex urban fabric that Cambridge has developed historically. Again, we've spoken of that tonight and before.

This does not affect the inventory of housing in the city.

And enhancement of open space amenities should be incorporated in the developmental and retail potential has been placed on the size and the design of the plaza in front of the building and between the buildings, and we believe this is going to be a significant open space amenity. It's a different than the present open space, but it's more appropriate for the use and for the street.

The criteria for the Lesley Porter

Overlay District are numerous and repetitive.

So maybe I will skip to the ones that represent something different.

Well, first one is concentrating academic activities, making public accessible uses and including art libraries, galleries. That's all part of this program. Identify a sense of place by removing on-grade parking lots. There's a -- several cars that were parking on the site, but essentially that's -- but they're constructing a new structure that defines and enriches Massachusetts Avenue, and incorporates active ground floor use.

They have minimized adverse impacts on abutting low density residential by the programming of the structures and the location on the lot, massing the scale and operations.

They have preserved and reused

important historic structure. They have -these are considerations that we're making,
so they have not provided additional retail
activity, but we don't -- they don't have to
meet all these things. We have to consider
these things in making a finding.

The next one is minimize vehicular traffic and demand for street parking by concentrating activity near the T station.

Maximizing mix of uses and reinforce each other, hence pedestrian environments. So they are near the T station. They are across the street from University Hall, and they are on some of the -- that kind of student's programming where students are not going to be entirely in this building, but will be using adjacent building and the rest of the campus.

The open space along the easterly side of Mass. Avenue south of Rosel and Street shall be inviting and provide places for rest

and gathering in the appropriate public heart to focus. They seemed to have achieved that.

Open space along Roseland Street should reflect an urban campus character. This is on the other side of Roseland Street. I believe there are improvements which you may remember from the January hearing to the landscaping that worked to achieve this goal.

And then when construction abuts
existing residential development, attention
should be paid to setbacks, open space
features, building design, compatibility and
scale, use of variable height and variable
setback planes, reduction in the length,
continuous building walls, and the amount of
landscaping should be used. All of these are
things that we saw again tonight.

And in terms of privacy for the residential neighbors, a location and size of windows and screening elements also had been addressed in a way that seems to be very

sati sfactory.

There are no decks or entries that are not screened. The entries are actually on Rosel and Street and that end of the building.

Inclusion of physical improvements to the public right of way along Mass. Avenue and enhance its quality of pedestrian environment. Place for meeting and congregating neighborhood residents and visitors.

I'm assuming you're going to take your improvements out to the curb, correct?

UNI DENTI FI ED MALE: Right.

HUGH RUSSELL: And the construction mitigation plan I don't believe has been submitted at this time as a commitment that was made by the university to do that plan. And the way these things work, it stands up as a condition that the plan's been submitted. We don't review them. They're reviewed by the staff.

1	That's the end of all of the findings.
2	Now based on those findings, would somebody
3	like to make a motion, or are there more
4	fi ndi ngs?
5	THOMAS ANNINGER: I move that we
6	grant the Proponent the Special Permits
7	requested in the application materials
8	submitted to us, in what Mr. Rafferty
9	outlined to us and in what Hugh went through
10	for the reasons that Hugh stated so
11	thoroughly as only Hugh can do, and that we
12	grant the permits requested.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Is there a second?
14	STEVEN WINTER: Second.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Steve was first.
16	Okay, any more discussion? On the
17	motion, all those voting in favor?
18	(Show of hands.)
19	HUGH RUSSELL: Five members voting
20	in favor.
21	(Russel I , Anni nger, Wi nters, Cohen,

Winter.)

2

3

Mr. Rafferty. HUGH RUSSELL:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, just briefly. We appreciate the amount of effort the Board has put into the case. I just would be remiss -- I'm very appreciative of Mr. Winter's acknowledgement of the design effort. Mr. Forney and his colleagues have worked long and hard on this, but as we saw earlier this week at the Academy Awards, this notion of younger people appealing to a different demographic can be effective. There's a gentleman here with grey hair who has spent four years on this project, and I think Mr. Bruner should be acknowl edged. He's been put in the back a little bit these last few hearings, but he's been a great leader on this design team. And I know on behalf of Lesley to have a Cambridge architect whose office is around the corner, who lives here and really has an

understanding of academic institutions and the vibrancy they can provide, as he's proven in many settings, we're very thrilled that he took the lead on this design. And we appreciate all the time and effort and accomplishment that I know Lesley's very proud of, Mr. Bruner should be equally as proud of his work.

Thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: I guess I would respond that as an architect, without a client that pushes you and supports you and you cannot achieve this kind of a project.

So Lesley has done a very good job here and we should -- you know, it's a team effort, but it really depends on everybody doing a great job and I think that's what's happened.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: We'll take like a three or four minute break and set up and we'll go on to Concord Turnpike.

(A short recess was taken.)
(Sitting Members: Hugh Russell, Thomas
Anninger, Pamela Winters, Steven Winter,
H. Theodore Cohen, Ahmed Nur.)
HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, I guess we're
ready to start now three minutes having
el apsed. So we' re just going to discuss case
No. 254, 223, 225, 231 Concord Turnpi ke.
They are here for project review Special
Permit, a Parkway Overlay District. They're
building in the flood plain. They need a
Special Permit for that. And there are some
other height and yard requirement issues on
the project that need relief. So, we heard
this case, we had some questions. You're
back with some answers.
RICHARD McKINNON: May I begin,
Mr. Chairman?
HUGH RUSSELL: PI ease.
RI CHARD McKI NNON: Good eveni ng,
Mr. Chairman, members of the board. My name

15 16

17

13

14

1819

21

20

is Rich McKinnon. I live at One Leighton Street in Cambridge. I want to thank the Board for getting us back in front of you. We've been anxious to get back since our January 18th hearing. And I want to thank your staff for helping us organize all the questions that you've had into the format that you see in the presentation materials that we got out to you several weeks ago. And in talking to Liza and other members of your staff, they suggested that the best thing to do -- because we hope some of the answers are straight forward and complete rather than take the Board's time with a presentation, we'll just be available to answer whatever questions you have on those materials that are in the package we sent out several weeks ago.

There are a couple of items I just -just some business to take care of before we
get to that if I might. I just handed out a

site plan. We have been -- the Martignetti brothers, Tony and Danny are here with us tonight, have been in the Land Court for sometime. So the site plan that you have, we'd like to make that as part of the official record. There are no changes in terms of the measurements and the size, but we now have an official site plan with the proper Land Court references. So that will make both us and the Martignettis very happy so that the conveyances that are upcoming can happen appropriately.

Second issue is we talked about the lighting on the bicycle path and to make sure that it was safe. The bicycle and pedestrian path that connects our property into Discovery Park and out to Acorn Park Drive. What we said in our presentation to you in your packet is that we would mimic the lighting that it goes from Discovery Park through the former MDC parking lot out to the

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Al ewi fe T Stati on. And speaking to Kara Seiderman and some others over the last couple weeks, if you remember when I worked with the Board on Discovery Park, you try and strike a balance there between respecting the reservation and safety, and it has to do with the intensity of the lighting. It seems that for most people we've aired too much on the side -- it's not safe enough. And so we'll work with your staff, but we'll probably have a somewhat brighter sense of lighting that gives more sense of safety. But we will duplicate the call boxes that are out there and that you see throughout Discovery Park.

The other issue is in the narrative on garage screening, we -- let me get out of your way. We only spoke about landscaping.

And of course landscaping is going to be a huge part of the garage, but also the garage will be screened by materials as well. And in the front of the building they'll be

active uses. The lobby, the bicycle shop, the management office. And then there will be probably some sort of louvered materials. And we've just begun to introduce these with your staff, and obviously we'll work with Roger and those who bring those to a good resolution. But we neglected to mention that in our narrative.

And then the last thing is play space. We suspect we're not going to have a large number of kids at the property, but in the event that we do, this is the type of play space -- more natural materials rather than the traditional play space. This gives you a picture of what we have in mind if in fact there's a need for it. The other contingency is they would be in the buffer zone. So, if in fact the Conservation Commission feels that we would be able to bend our order of conditions to allow for it. So with the Board's indulgence, I think we'd like first

1	to wait and see if there's a need for it.
2	And then secondly bear in mind and be subject
3	to Con Comm's order of conditions. And
4	that's it.
5	We're ready to take any questions. We
6	have a room full of all stars here. They're
7	all ours. I don't think anyone else is up
8	here against the project tonight. And for
9	the record, to make my attorney happy, before
10	the end of the evening if in fact we get best
11	discussion to a decision, we'd just like to
12	read into the record those Special Permits
13	that we' re appl yi ng for.
14	HUGH RUSSELL: We'd welcome that
15	step.
16	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Thank you,
17	Mr. Chai rman.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: So as you note, there
19	are six members here and the hearing how
20	many was Bill part of the original
21	heari ng?

1	RICHARD McKINNON: Yes, he was.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: So I'm going to ask
3	you at some point if you're willing to have a
4	vote by only six members of the Board. But I
5	want we'll discuss if first and then we'll
6	ask you that question.
7	RICHARD McKINNON: Okay. I'm happy
8	to answer if you'd like.
9	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. You want to
10	answer now? Go ahead.
11	RICHARD McKINNON: Mr. Chairman, I
12	would go with five members so the answer is
13	yes to si x. We' re anxi ous.
14	Thank you.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
16	So, I think what we're doing now is
17	bringing up questions that aren't resolved.
18	The other thing I guess maybe Susan, does
19	your team have any comments they want to make
20	on this project at this time?
21	IRAM FAROOQ: Thank you. I am Iram

Farooq, Community Development.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We did meet with the team subsequent to the last Planning Board meeting and we feel that they've made -- they have made changes to the project based on what the Planning Board had requested. They have -- well, the traffic part I think they've described quite They met with the DOT, gotten -a bit. received those agreements. They've tried to refine the tower element to address the Board's concerns and moved the glazing to the corners to try and highlight that feature. They've also -- let me see -- yes, and the bike pedestrian entrance where they have actually reduced that -- the circulation distance in the garage, and they've talked about treatment, surface treatments that will make it a more pleasant walking experience. So we feel that they've made a lot of the moves that you've talked about, that you They are not planning to do a asked about.

1	green roof. They have though shown
2	additional green as compared to before on the
3	courtyard level which is a positive in our
4	opi ni on. So, they' ve you know, I thi nk
5	that to the extent that it is possible, I
6	think you will be the determining entities of
7	if you think they've gone far enough. But we
8	certainly feel that the moves are in the
9	right di rection.
10	RICHARD McKINNON: If I could just
11	add to that, Mr. Chairman?
12	HUGH RUSSELL: Sure.
13	RICHARD McKINNON: We've also added
14	photovoltaic panels to illuminate the tower.
15	And solar panels to heat the swimming pool.
16	And there are going to be gardens above the
17	garages in the courtyard. Beyond that we're
18	going to have a reflective roof. Okay?
19	HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.
20	So, what do people wish to bring up?
21	Steve.

1 STEVEN WINTER: The first thing that 2 I'd like to bring up is to indicate to the 3 proponent that this, the combination of 4 questions that we asked complete with 5 initials of the people who asked them and 6 this guide to go along with it, this is the 7 best presentation that I've ever seen on the 8 Planning Board. How the proponent heard the 9 issues and responded to the issues. And, but 10 it's -- it's just terrific. I was thrilled. 11 It made it a great review. I had four things 12 I wanted to mention. 13 Do the towers change colors at night? 14 Is that what the --15 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Mr. Winter, we 16 were just showing you some various ones. 17 STEVEN WINTER: Okay. 18 RI CHARD McKI NNON: They could, but 19 that's not our intention. We're hoping that 20 between your design review staff and us, we 21 could settle on one that looks well.

STEVEN WINTER: Okay. I frankly
wouldn't mind them changing colors.
I also wanted to say
RICHARD McKINNON: We want to get
away from the Faces Disco.
STEVEN WINTER: One of the concerns
I had was to the massing of the building, and
it doesn't seem to be anybody else's concern.
And interestingly so, it was the renderings,
it was the renderings coming up like you're
coming in on an airplane.
RI CHARD McKI NNON: The bird's eye
vi ew, yeah.
STEVEN WINTER: Just didn't work for
me. These renderings are terrific. And I
see what Hugh saw now that I didn't.
RI CHARD McKI NNON: Thank you.
STEVEN WINTER: That's that. And I
would ask the last question that I have
well, I want to indicate that I'm comfortable
with the changes, and I feel like you've

really done what you were supposed to do here. I want to ask what -- and if we can write this into the decision also, what indicators would trigger the purchase of play equipment for children?

RICHARD McKINNON: Having a demand for it and being able to get permission from the Conservation Commission to do it. Both of which we would need. But both of which we're happy to make as part of the decision under those conditions. Okay?

STEVEN WINTER: Okay.

know, the day the first grandparent moves into the building that you do it, and therefore I would want it done on day one. I mean, there may be relatively few kids who are residents there, and the scale of the thing might start modestly. But I believe there should be someplace that you can take your kid and I think there should be also a

1	better way to get there then by walking
2	through the garage.
3	RICHARD McKINNON: If I move into
4	the building, I'll come with five grand kids
5	and I'll be making criteria there anyway.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: You're not going to
7	be moving out of your 19th floor penthouse.
8	RICHARD McKINNON: You have a point,
9	Mr. Chair.
10	STEVEN WINTER: Thank you.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Ahmed.
12	AHMED NUR: Well, you set yourself
13	up for this playground. No child left behind
14	is the form I'm going to use on this one.
15	As, obviously my colleagues said l
16	really do appreciate all the changes that
17	you've made. You've worked really hard and
18	we can see all the changes.
19	RICHARD McKINNON: Thank you very
20	much.
21	AHMED NUR: You went beyond what we

1 You know, I shouldn't say what we wanted. 2 But went beyond what we've asked. wanted. 3 don't want to waste much of your time, but I 4 do want to say if you're going to have a 5 two-bedroom apartment, that any child living 6 there that we would often times as we take a 7 walk in that area, and so it would be really nice to distract the children to, you know, 8 9 just take a walk. So much appreciate that. 10 And also, the other question that I 11 have, and this would be more traffic related. 12 I see that there's an exit now 45 miles 13 showing one of the signage, exit sign it says 14 on the Route 2 headed east on the right side, 15 is that what it's going to look like? 16 RICHARD McKINNON: That's right. 17 (I naudi bl e). AHMED NUR: 18 (Inaudi ble) with RI CHARD McKI NNON: 19 DOT. We've made arrangements -- they 20 actually were going to do this on a previous 21 iteration of work that they did, but there

will be new signage as well as lane markings.

So we hope that will make it easier and less dangerous than before.

AHMED NUR: Great, thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Ted.

H. THEODORE COHEN: Well, if I have to keep with the child metaphor, the child I'm most concerned about is this one who is sauntering along Route 2.

RICHARD McKINNON: I understand.

H. THEODORE COHEN: And, you know, we always get renderings that show us how wonderful it's going to be and how many people are going to be congregating in these new spaces that have been created, and I'm horrified that the thought that these people are going to be sauntering along Route 2 without any fence or any guardrail or anything. So what I'd like is if you could work this through all the proposed changes to your access and -- your egress and exits and

1 also both the whole traffic pattern. 2 RICHARD McKINNON: You like us to go 3 through that now? 4 H. THEODORE COHEN: I would. 5 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Scott Thornton. 6 We'll go through it step by step, all the 7 changes that you saw before. Thanks, Scott. 8 9 I was actually SCOTT THORNTON: 10 hoping you would ask for more detail. For 11 the record, my name is Scott Thornton. I'm 12 with Vanasse and Associates. And as you can 13 see from this layout plan, things have 14 changed quite a bit in the courtyard. Where 15 before we had an entrance coming in the --16 off of Route 2, and then a direct entrance 17 right into the garage. Probably left about a 18 stacking distance of maybe two cars or so. 19 And that has been completely flipped around 20 so that now the access to the garage is 21 flipped to the other side of the courtyard.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

What you have now coming in, and there will be signage directing visitors to keep to the right, then they can pull into this angled parking area right in front of the building. Residents would keep to the left, and then they would go into this lane that's on the outside of the courtyard and then come into the garage over in this area. What this does, it provides about stacking for about five cars or so from about this -- the point where this car is back to this area. then in addition you've got probably close to the 600 feet of site distance coming in from Route 2. So it's tough to -- sure.

So, where the driveways are right in this area, you're looking back about 600 feet to where that existing sign bridge is. You can actually see from the exit driveway, you can actually see back about 1,000 feet, back to this area, to the crest of the hill. So, the point is that drivers coming in will

have, will have sufficient site distance
looking right down along the edge of the road
to be able to perceive and react to any kind
of obstacle that's in the courtyard. The
plan -- the landscaping along Route 2 has
been stepped back so that it's, it's below a
two foot-high -- a two-foot height, so as not
to interfere with any sight -- lines of sight
from the motorists whether they're entering
or whether they're exiting.

And then there's -- as you know, we've had some discussions with Route 2 -- I'm sorry, with the Mass DOT. And they had -- they had some input as to where they wanted the sidewalk located. And, again, they're concerned with functionality and with maintenance and with safety. So that's really what we're -- what we're working with them.

And to the extent, you know, we've had some discussions with them. We don't think

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that there should be any major revisions to what's shown here. Probably just in terms of details for striping for the wheelchair ramp details and those sorts of things.

RICHARD McKINNON: Could you go to the side that's closer?

SCOTT THORNTON: So then the other thing that would be worked out with Mass DOT is the installation of this overhead sign assembly on the existing sign bridge that's out there. And the intent is to provide advanced notice for motorists that the outside lane is really to be used just for exiting traffic. Looking at some type of re-striping of that outside lane where it may be something like what you see on Route 2 heading out to 95 where there's the dotted lines as opposed to the broken lines, it shows a -- it shows a definite change in lane And the idea would also be to prevent use. people from coming down the outside lane and

2

zipping in back into the traffic flow to continue up to Route 16.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So, just one other item briefly is that there were some questions about how traffic could exit the site and/or enter the site. So if traffic wanted to head out to the west from the site, there's two potential routes One, if you look at this orange line, to go. you can make it a right turn onto Acorn Park Drive, continue out to Frontage Road and then come out to Lake Street and head out Route 2 west in that fashion. Or, you could, you could stay on Route 2, get to the Alewife access ramp, continue down, make the left, turn to go passed the pond underneath Alewife Parkway and then hop on Route 2 headed west. Traffic that's coming from the east that wanted to get to the site, they'd follow that -- this red line up to Lake Street, come down around Frontage Road and then just come into the site through that manner.

1	H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Can we go
2	back to Exhi bi t 2?
3	SCOTT THORNTON: Yes.
4	H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes, that's
5	fi ne.
6	And, you know, you've really listened
7	and you've changed things and that's great,
8	but I still have some concerns. How many
9	vi si tor parki ng spots are there?
10	SCOTT THORNTON: Seven?
11	RICHARD McKINNON: Outside?
12	H. THEODORE COHEN: Well, that's
13	questi on 1. Outsi de?
14	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Seven.
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: And then are
16	there visitor parking spots inside?
17	RICHARD McKINNON: There will be.
18	It would be run like my building is run. If
19	you're going to have a guest for a
20	significant period of time, you make
21	arrangement with the concierge in advance.

_

Because there will be extra parking in the building. There's always surplus parking because of the in and out. That's really just for short term. If someone's coming to visit me, have dinner, watch the football game or something, I make arrangements in advance, and we would be doing the same type of thing here.

H. THEODORE COHEN: So, if ten unit owners are having a party on a Saturday night and you've got 20 cars, the guests will know that they have the right to park underground or wherever?

RICHARD McKINNON: Oh, yeah, that's right. And people will -- in the event, and I mentioned it in here, if there's ever a situation where the garage is closed for one reason or other, under that circumstance and only under that circumstance we've made an arrangement with Bullfinch so that we can use the garage on an overnight basis at Discovery

1 Park if we were doing repairs on the garage 2 or anything like that. 3 Now, you have one to HUGH RUSSELL: 4 one parking, right? 5 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Yes, we do. 6 HUGH RUSSELL: And in the text you 7 said everybody's going to be assigned a 8 space. 9 RICHARD McKINNON: If they're 10 willing to pay for it. 11 HUGH RUSSELL: If they're willing to 12 pay for it. So, you're saying that the extra 13 spaces will come because some people who live 14 there will not have a car and will not choose 15 to do that and that's where your inventory of 16 visitors and extra spaces comes from? 17 RICHARD McKINNON: That's right. 18 And just the natural flow of the parking lot 19 not always being used at all times, all the 20 spaces. But the major source of it, the 21 steady source you can count on the source is

1	the fact that we expect here as you've been
2	finding elsewhere in the city that we won't
3	in fact be able to rent one space for each
4	unit. We think it will be a percentage of
5	that.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, but if you're
7	assigning spaces and I come home, I don't
8	want to see a visitor in my space. So that
9	space isn't available. If I bought my
10	I've paid to rent the space, a specific space
11	as opposed to the right to park in the
12	garage.
13	RICHARD McKINNON: Right.
14	HUGH RUSSELL: I mean that
15	effectively reduces the capacity of the
16	garage.
17	RICHARD McKINNON: Right.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: Because if I'm, you
19	know, away for a week, my space is going to
20	be empty unless I make a deal with the
21	conci erge I guess.

RICHARD McKINNON: Exactly. But what we do at One Leighton -- is we make those spaces right when you come into the garage. The ones right in front of you so it's not confusing. People aren't riding around that aren't familiar with the garage looking for space numbers. We make those the visitor parking spaces, and I'd expect we do the same thing here, Mr. Chairman.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, so then if it happens at -- what if somebody asks for a second space?

RI CHARD McKI NNON: Pardon?

HUGH RUSSELL: Supposing somebody says well, you know, husband and wife are there and one of them works in Burlington and one works in Newton and why -- they want to be here because they want to be able to take the Red Line in to Boston and, you know, who knows what. But, you know, there are going to probably be people who are going to want

1 two parking spaces. What are you going to 2 say to them? 3 We say that RI CHARD McKI NNON: 4 they're not available. Because that's really 5 going against the spirit of the traffic laws 6 that you've got in the city. It's one space 7 per unit and we take that at its face value. 8 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. I believe 9 that's either going to be a marketing problem 10 for you --11 RI CHARD McKI NNON: It will. 12 HUGH RUSSELL: -- or it's going to 13 be if people will find someplace to put their 14 car or you -- which is not going to be the 15 place where you're going to want them to put 16 it. 17 RI CHARD McKI NNON: We think that 18 people may be making -- we can't stop them 19 from making their own arrangements at the 20 Allewife T Station for extra vehicles for 21 overnight parking there. But what we have

1 control over, we're going to abide by what 2 the law says. 3 HUGH RUSSELL: Well, I think the law 4 says that if you have the same number of 5 parking spaces as apartments, that doesn't go 6 into the specifics of precisely how you would 7 manage those in a rental apartment building. 8 RICHARD McKINNON: Yeah. 9 HUGH RUSSELL: And this is rental. 10 In a condominium I think the city has a 11 different deal that every unit has to have a 12 specific space, but this isn't a condominium. 13 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Right. This will 14 not be condominiums. So it's not deeded 15 They're just assigned spaces. spaces. 16 Okay. HUGH RUSSELL: Ted. 17 H. THEODORE COHEN: Can we go to the 18 question of you say there's stacking for five 19 cars, approximately five cars. What's going 20 to happen in the situation where there is an 21 obstacle and you've got more than five cars?

RICHARD McKINNON: May I speak to that, Scott? Can you it's actually a different plan.

HUGH RUSSELL: We're talking about the UPS truck parked on the restricted access lane?

H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes. Or it's six o'clock at night and 20 people are coming home at the same time and they're backing up onto Route 2 and I'm wondering what's going to happen.

RICHARD McKINNON: Yes. I can speak to that as best as I can. It's five cars in this area here, but bear in mind there's additional queued space here in coming into the garage. So it's really more like six spaces. And we have a high speed door. And I can tell you just from my own experience at One Leighton, I've got 426 units there, and we're planning to do the Maple Leaf building as a residential building. So we have been

1 doing an analysis of our own queuing into the 2 426-unit garage and we've never found more 3 than four cars at a time queued. It just 4 doesn't happen. If you know, it one way it 5 could obviously if a car broke down or 6 something. But we would have to have our 7 building management staff deal with that. 8 HUGH RUSSELL: Plus there's 9 something like 25 feet there between the 10 access aisle and the resident access lane 11 equipped. So if there is a problem, you can 12 get around it. 13 RI CHARD McKI NNON: That's right. 14 There's room to maneuver. 15 HUGH RUSSELL: It's going to be 16 defined just by pavement marking, right? 17 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Ri ght. 18 SCOTT THORNTON: Yes. The other 19 thing to keep in mind is the traffic flow 20 would be about 75 cars an hour during the 21 peak hours in the peak direction. So, in the

1 morning you'll have about 75 cars coming out 2 in the peak hour. In the evening you'd have 3 about 75 coming in. So that's about --4 that's a little over one a minute. And, you 5 know, sure I mean you could have three or 6 four coming in at the same time, but 7 there's -- between the two car storage here, the five car storage here, you know, if there 8 9 was an issue, I mean there's, you know, 10 there's delivery or truck parking over here 11 as well. So there's -- I think there's, I 12 think there's sufficient space to maneuver 13 around even if there was an obstacle. 14 H. THEODORE COHEN: What is the 15 green arrow on the left traversing? I mean, 16 is that coming in from -- off the travel lane 17 or is that a breakdown lane? 18 SCOTT THORNTON: Its, it's -- no, 19 it's coming in off of the travel lane. 20 There's three travel lanes there. 21 just -- meant to show that the general

1	traffic's coming in and then the resident
2	traffic flow would keep to the left, and
3	that's the been represented by the blue.
4	And then the visitor parking would keep to
5	the right, represented by the yellow.
6	H. THEODORE COHEN: So there is no
7	breakdown lane incoming? I know you're going
8	to try to make it a dedicated lane for going
9	into Alewife, but there's nothing separating
10	it physically
11	SCOTT THORNTON: No.
12	H. THEODORE COHEN: from the main
13	travel of traffic.
14	SCOTT THORNTON: No, no.
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: All right.
16	Now my last question I guess right now
17	is, and that sidewalk
18	SCOTT THORNTON: Yes.
19	H. THEODORE COHEN: at the upper
20	edge. Now, is that your property or is that
21	Mass. DOT?

1	SCOTT THORNTON: That's within their
2	l ayout.
3	H. THEODORE COHEN: Have there been
4	any discussions about a guardrail or a fence
5	or anything to separate the pedestrians from
6	the traffic?
7	RICHARD McKINNON: Scott, I'll take
8	that.
9	There have been discussions originally
10	we thought that there was going to be a
11	guardrail. They now seem to prefer that
12	there not be one. We're open to having one.
13	I know that that's probably been one of your
14	dri vi ng concerns.
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes.
16	RICHARD McKINNON: That not only can
17	we I mean, we've done a lot of things to
18	make it safer in terms of visibility, but l
19	think you're worried about pedestrians,
20	Mr. Cohen. So if you like that's a
21	discussion we can continue to have with Mass.

1	DOT.
2	H. THEODORE COHEN: I would
3	definitely like that. And that ultimately is
4	their decision not yours?
5	RICHARD McKINNON: Right.
6	H. THEODORE COHEN: You don't have
7	the ultimate control over that.
8	RICHARD McKINNON: But we will
9	advocate for that on your behalf.
10	H. THEODORE COHEN: Thank you.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: I would imagine they
12	would like to be able to pull out a huge pile
13	of snow on that sidewalk in a winter like
14	now. And I believe that sidewalk ends not
15	very far away, right?
16	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Ri ght.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: So my experience,
18	too, is there aren't a lot of pedestrians
19	wal ki ng down Route 2.
20	RI CHARD McKI NNON: No, there aren't.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: And once you get to

this section where there are a variety of uses, it could be an indication for a pedestrian.

Tom.

THOMAS ANNINGER: My general view is that this is a very good project and I'm happy that you've shown us in greater detail the elevations. I now understand what we saw in a more distant way last time and it all looks, it looks very promising to me.

RICHARD McKINNON: Thank you. Those bird's eye views were terribly deceptive. I think they gave the wrong image here.

THOMAS ANNINGER: Well, I think this is helpful. All of this to lay the groundwork for a reservation I have and it's one that I wish I could do a better job than I'm about to do on it. I was one of the people that you singled out using our initials, which I'm not sure is such a terrific idea by the way. On the tower --

1 RICHARD McKINNON: Yes. 2 THOMAS ANNINGER: And a lot of words 3 were used to try to -- there were somewhat 4 metaphorical to try to give you some idea --5 RICHARD McKINNON: I understand. 6 THOMAS ANNINGER: -- on what would 7 helpit. Somebody used the word bold. That was not me. So maybe you have to take the 8 9 words and put the initials right after the 10 I've got to tell you, I don't think word. 11 the tower is there yet. I know you worked 12 hard. 13 RICHARD McKINNON: We did. 14 THOMAS ANNINGER: And I know you did 15 a lot of things to it. And here is where I 16 sort of get stuck. I don't know what would 17 -- what I would suggest. I would just say to 18 you that my test is is it satisfying to me as 19 I look at it? To me it looks somewhat 20 disintegrated from the rest of the 21 archi tecture. I didn't realize how difficult

20

21

a tower is to build. I think I will look now with greater respect to people who do a But I think it has to be an outgrowth of the building that somehow does it This doesn't work for me, and I graceful I y. think it deserves some greater attention. It's not enough reason for me to not vote for this possibly tonight, because I think this is the kind of thing that's aesthetic and L would defer to others to try to keep working on it. But my, my sense is that there's still some room for improvement and possibly even thinking about starting a different tact, possibly even looking at it differently and seeing what other options there might be.

RICHARD McKINNON: Well, two things, Mr. Chairman, is continuing to work on the tower if it's the wishes of the Board as part of the administrative design review. We obviously, you know, would comply. One of the things Dennis did on all of my projects

is after we got to a point where we were kind of stuck when Dennis worked on the board he used to come in and help us with those sort of last finishing touches. And we've asked Dennis to work on the tower for us. So, if you could, I'd like to let Dennis speak on what his thinking was now on the tower for just a minute.

THOMAS ANNINGER: You know, one -you and I had a little talk about this
beforehand, so since I'm a bit of a lone
voice here on this so far, I'd like to put
Hugh on the spot a little bit to see if you
can either bolster or not what I just said
before you even say anything, Dennis, please.
Because I don't know how lonely my voice is
on this issue.

DENNIS CARLONE: It's good to put other people on the spot.

THOMAS ANNINGER: Hugh can handle it.

HUGH RUSSELL: I don't particularly like this, the way this tower is handled architecturally, but I understand the need for it in terms of kind of the urban design and the location of the project. And how do you get something that is got to be big in order to accomplish the goals? But what annoys me about the tower tonight is it looks like there's a solarium up there and it has this big overhang that's protecting the glass on the solarium. And there's some real special function up there. But of course there isn't any glass up there, there isn't anybody up there. There's just a bunch of presumably big roof trusses up there. Greg Gary did this down in Boston on Mass. Avenue, there was a lot of glass up there.

17

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

RICHARD McKINNON: The 360?

We were all a little shocked when he first

put that up there.

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. So, again, I

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

think it's something that can be continued to be worked on, and I'm delighted that Dennis is going to weigh in on this, because it's one of his particular skills, this kind of thing. So what are you going to do, Dennis? Not to put you on the spot.

DENNIS CARLONE: Well, we have a motion picture film, but it's an hour and a half so that would be too long for the presentation tonight. We, to the architect's credit, we took your words literally and there were other descriptive words, whimsical. And what you're not seeing tonight were Calatrava like very sculptural solutions, but in the end we concluded that looked more like a nightclub basis than a residential statement. We very much wanted it to feel like part of the building so we concur with that. We were trying to address what we heard and we were looking at We felt that the tower, the proporti ons.

6

7

8 9 10

12

11

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

corner had to come up another story for it to feel like a tower and not just a typical corner of the building. And then what do you do with that up there? Rich McKinnon did not want to move from his penthouse to this penthouse. And also, it's above the limit code wi se for us. So we coul dn't put a space up there per se. I mean, many of us have espoused -- have spoken to maybe we could do this, but there were reasons code wise why we couldn't. So we will continue to look at it. We'll certainly work with staff. But this was the solution you see in this scheme was what we felt as a team the most appropriate given the building design, the building character. We have changed the proportions a We have looked at the roof line a bi t. little bit. And it will get better, that's all I can say. We don't have a solution other than this that we feel comfortable with But everything that the Board at this point.

1 has said tonight was said in-house literally 2 almost word-for-word about your concerns and 3 We do want it to look our concern. 4 appropriate. We want it to look like it was 5 always part of the building. And that's why 6 you don't see something a little more 7 whimsical. We did do whimsey and probably 8 overdid to be honest. Calatrava is an 9 architect who is also an engineer and does 10 these sculptural elements on top of 11 buildings. So we will --12 HUGH RUSSELL: So the rest of the 13 building you could have had that corner done 14 by him. 15 DENNI S CARLONE: He turned down the 16 project so we're trying to do our best 17 But we'll get there. We'll get without him. 18 there. 19 HUGH RUSSELL: You know, I ooking at 20 this view, which is really in some ways the 21 important part of the tower, I keep wanting

1	to push that red up a little bit above the
2	top floor window and may be a little less
3	whi te.
4	DENNIS CARLONE: That's a good
5	suggesti on.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Because then sort of
7	a building is reaching up more into the
8	tower, you know.
9	DENNIS CARLONE: The solidity comes
10	up hi gher.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes, maybe.
12	DENNIS CARLONE: That's a good
13	suggesti on.
14	THOMAS ANNINGER: On these metaphors
15	like whimsey and so on, these descriptive
16	words, I think we have to realize how
17	significant this tower really is. It really
18	if you go too far, somebody will mock
19	Cambridge. Oh, yeah, we're coming into the
20	Cambridge now, you know. We can't do that
21	either. So I think

1	DENNIS CARLONE: Exactly.
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: it has to
3	really be a serious tower I think.
4	DENNIS CARLONE: We agree a hundred
5	percent, and also will convey the spirit of
6	the building even from a rental point of
7	view. So it has to feel right for everyone.
8	We agree.
9	THOMAS ANNINGER: I think the words
10	we all used the last time was to Les give it
11	a character, but for you to keep working on
12	it. And that's what I said last time and
13	tonight as well.
14	DENNIS CARLONE: Thank you.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Pam.
16	PAMELA WINTERS: Well, unfortunately
17	whi msi cal was my termi nology.
18	RICHARD McKINNON: Yes, it was.
19	That was a fine word, Pam.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: Put my foot right
21	in my mouth.

1	RICHARD McKINNON: We tried
2	whimsical I want you to know.
3	PAMELA WINTERS: I have one quick
4	question about the traffic.
5	RICHARD McKINNON: Yes, Ma'am.
6	PAMELA WINTERS: The traffic, and I
7	may I have so much paperwork here. The
8	last memo I have from Sue Clippinger was from
9	January 18th.
10	RICHARD McKINNON: Yes.
11	PAMELA WINTERS: And I wanted to
12	make sure that the Traffic Department is
13	happy with the outcome and if you had any
14	issues with Sue's memo. And was there
15	another memo after the January 18th?
16	RICHARD McKINNON: No. Actually we
17	worked with Sue and Adam. And I'd rather
18	have them speak for themselves, okay? There
19	is no subsequent memo.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: Is Sue here?
21	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes, she is.

SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Sue Clippinger.

There is no other memo. I think the issues in the original memo dealt with this -- this is the state's road and so we've really been urging them to deal directly with Mass. DOT on what Mass. DOT's criteria and requests are because they're going to have to have a permit from them for this project. I think their, you know, they're making the changes and presenting to you people the kinds of things, what the project will actually look like once they get their Mass. DOT approval. I think the sidewalk could be wider. incredibly narrow, but those are, you know, small, minor issues that can get worked out. And they're not our jurisdiction anyway.

PAMELA WINTERS: Okay, thanks, Sue.

HUGH RUSSELL: And I take it you

would be pleased to have a condition or

decision on the items in your memo that look

like conditions?

21

19

20

1	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Yes.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: And that hasn't
3	changed?
4	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Yes.
5	RI CHARD McKI NNON: That never
6	changes, Mr. Chairman.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: But I mean the change
8	of the design of the entry
9	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Yes. No,
10	absol utel y.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: don't really
12	affect those conditions.
13	Okay, well, I'm still very unhappy
14	about what I think you said about how a
15	pedestrian gets into the building which is
16	the only way they get into the building is by
17	walking through the parking garage. Is that
18	still true?
19	HEATHER BOUJOULIAN: No, not exactly
20	the case, and I'll pull the diagram here if
21	you don't mind. It's a little bit difficult
	•

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to read in this diagram are the blue triangles, and those are the entrance points from the outside that the pedestrian could enter. So not all access needs to go through the garage. It can go through the stairwell and up the stairwell. I know that there was some questions about whether or not the courtyards could be accessed directly by stairs. And I may ask Brian to come up and talk a little more about that, but we've -we ran into a little bit of a wall with that relative to the accessibility code, whereas if there were stairs for equal accommodation, there would also have to be ramping and And we're running into some lifts. challenges with that.

In other locations we've had stairs
from courtyards that have been fire
department access only, and it ends up being
a frustration for the residents because
they're not able to use it on a frequent

basis. So, through the, I think there are -- aside from the main entry courtyard, from the rear of the building, there are one, two, three, four different locations where you can enter the building, get to those stairwells or walk through the garage if you want to grab your mail, you can walk across the garage and grab your mail at the clubhouse or pick up a package.

And on the upper floors which we don't have a plan of, once you're on the first floor you can easily cross across courtyard to the residential wings outdoors.

HUGH RUSSELL: Now, am I missing something? I don't -- is there a sidewalk leading to any one of those doors?

HEATHER BOUJOULIAN: Scott, do you have your pointer? It is a little difficult to see in the plans. This is the fire department access which really will be more used by pedestrian and bike access. There is

a sidewalk leading to this door right here.

Access to this door right here. Here. Here.

And all of these locations, sidewalks leading to there. So that if you live on the far side of the building and you come home and you want to stroll along the path and get into the building at this location, you don't have to walk through the garage.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. And how is the fire lane going to be surfaced?

HEATHER BOUJOULIAN: We've had a bunch of conversations about that. I'd like to ask Steve to come up and talk specifically about it. In the original Conservation Commission approval it was indicated to be a gravel pave. The City Community Development Department and the Traffic Department is a little concerned about that because it's not able to be maintained during the winter months, and isn't as friendly for bikes or pedestrians, so we're looking at other

1	pavement materials that are more solid and
2	more easily obtained or a solid payment or a
3	permeable pavement.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: So the city's
5	addressing that issue trying to make it
6	pedestri an-fri endl y?
7	HEATHER BOUJOULI AN: Yes.
8	RICHARD McKINNON: Yes.
9	HEATHER BOUJOULIAN: And satisfy the
10	fire department, too. So there's a couple
11	different groups that we're trying to please
12	as well.
13	BRIAN O'CONNOR: I assume that
14	addressed the question?
15	HUGH RUSSELL: I think so, yes.
16	Are there any other matters before that
17	anybody wants to bring up?
18	AHMED NUR: I do. I just forgot
19	that I did a little research with regard to
20	the traffic based on what's there now, and
21	unfortunately the only resources I have were

a little bit of police online and Google. didn't see any accidents. Faces used to be the Martignetti brothers own it. Saturday night you've got about 75 people leaving a little tipsy. I didn't see any accidents coming in and out of there as well as the Lanes and Games has a lot of traffic going in and out of there at night as well and what not. I'm not as concerned as my colleagues with the traffic and that's why I hadn't talked about -- I'm very happy with the changes that are made. As far as the architectural and the tower is concerned, I actually liked it as you're coming from Route Although one concern that I had with the 2. panel, solar panel facing west, depending on the elevation how far back Route 2, I wonder if it's going to reflect just some sort of -if you can just add that into your study, you I assume it's 45 degrees. It looks know. like it's set back a little bit from the

1	edge.
2	RICHARD McKINNON: It is.
3	AHMED NUR: In which you couldn't
4	but I know that Route 2 further down has
5	hills.
6	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Okay.
7	AHMED NUR: And that's all I have to
8	add. Thank you.
9	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
10	H. THEODORE COHEN: Well, Hugh, can
11	I put you on the spot again? Can you talk a
12	little bit about the materials that are being
13	used?
14	HUGH RUSSELL: I'm familiar with
15	some of them. I'm not particularly familiar
16	with the and I don't understand what a
17	wood appearance siding is. And that's the
18	one that's really not known. Maybe you can
19	talk about that.
20	UNI DENTI FI ED MALE: Sure. As you
21	probably read, there's sort of a collection

1 of materials here. We're trying to really 2 utilize different materials to create focus 3 and create attention in certain areas. 4 the wood that I think you're talking about, 5 the wood-like panels are these guys. 6 actually one option that we're looking at now 7 is actually a Trespa panel that has a wood-grain texture to it. And actually it's 8 9 a composite panel. It's non-wood. It's a 10 rain screen system. And actually we've used 11 it on several projects. And really the key 12 to the material is more about creating a 13 sense of warmth and a sense of welcome with 14 some texture rather than just color. 15 really wanted to make sure that the panel 16 itself is going to stand up, be durable as a 17 long-term product, but also convey warmth and 18 texture at the same time to differentiate 19 from the hardy. 20 Does that answer your question?

Well, I guess my H. THEODORE COHEN:

21

1
 2
 3

question for all of you is, I mean, I can't tell what these are going to look like from the description and have lived in fear of something looking like the Commonwealth Hotel that ended up looking like plastic and had to be re-sided and re-done. So I was curious to see your take on the proposed materials, how it would look.

HUGH RUSSELL: I'm completely satisfied this is going to be a very high quality appearing building. That, you know, they may not be using things that we're totally familiar with, but that's because they're reaching out to accomplish the goals that were just articulated. You know, I'm familiar with some of their earlier work or criterion. These are handsome buildings, substantial high class buildings. We don't have to worry about that.

Steve.

STEVEN WINTER: Thank you,

Mr. Chair.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Tom, I want to say I appreciate your thoughtfulness about the towers. I do. what I'd like to do, though, is I mean, these are those moments when, you know, one man's meat is another man's poison. This design stuff is really hard sometimes. It's just -but my own personal examples, I loathed the Prudential building for 25 years. The one I couldn't stand it. And one day downtown. I came up on the Red Line, I saw it and I thought it was the most beautiful thing in the world. That was 25 years later, you So it's just so subjective sometimes. know. And I guess, Dennis, what I'd really like to know is have we given you enough indicators to help you do the right thing with that?

RICHARD McKINNON: I think you have.

And we all look forward -- Roger's been away.

He's going to return I think tomorrow if I'm not mistaken. And Roger Listened very

1	attenti vel y to the last di scussi ons. So
2	we've been down this road before trying to,
3	you know, do the administrative design
4	review. Roger's very good at it. Dennis,
5	Roger and I have a good relationship in terms
6	of working together professionally. So I'm
7	confident we can get there. I think we have
8	enough di recti on.
9	DENNIS CARLONE: I agree with Rich.
10	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Tom just said
11	that he was ready to proceed towards a
12	deci si on.
13	PAMELA WINTERS: Yes. Can I just
14	ask one quick question?
15	HUGH RUSSELL: PI ease.
16	PAMELA WINTERS: And somebody may
17	have al ready asked this, but the overhang on
18	the tower, is that going to be lit at night
19	so that there's did somebody already ask
20	that?
21	RICHARD McKINNON: Well, as of a

1	minute ago, we don't know any more.
2	PAMELA WINTERS: Oh, okay.
3	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Okay?
4	PAMELA WINTERS: But you haven't
5	made up your mind yet?
6	RICHARD McKINNON: Well, I think
7	that's part of the discussion that I think
8	we're going to have. I think the tower's
9	back on the table.
10	PAMELA WINTERS: Okay. All right,
11	thank you.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: For it to be
13	effective during the nighttime it needs to
14	not be invisible.
15	PAMELA WINTERS: Right.
16	RICHARD McKINNON: Right, that's
17	ri ght.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: I mean, what's
19	showing in this rendering is a pretty, you
20	know, subtle and creative thing so that the
21	tower is about the same brightness as the

1 rest of the building. 2 PAMELA WINTERS: That's right. 3 So, I take that as a HUGH RUSSELL: 4 statement of intent. 5 DENNIS CARLONE: How do you feel 6 about that? 7 HUGH RUSSELL: Well, as I said earlier, it doesn't seem to be quite there 8 9 yet from my point of view, but I mean I think 10 the style of this building to be an 11 interesting reaction to the specific place it 12 It's chunkier than most buildings. is. 13 Everything that's done is done a little more 14 The trim around the windows is bold. bol dl y. 15 The bay windows are bold. To me all of this 16 is a response to the fact that it's going to 17 be seen at 45 miles an hour from a thousand 18 feet away and it has to tell you the same 19 story that you would get if you were a 20 pedestrian walking passed a building that is 21 So, that's an interesting di fferent.

1	challenge, and I think really this would
2	really responded to. But it's a whole
3	slightly different architecture. It reminds
4	me of some things I saw maybe in the
5	Netherlands that might have been built in the
6	thirties, sort of the tail end of a
7	deco-style. So, that's why, you know, so
8	if 99 percent of it is working really well
9	and there's only one percent left to polish,
10	that's pretty good.
11	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Thank you.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: I think we're going
13	to ask Mr. Rafferty to walk us through I'm
14	sorry, Mr. Rafferty, it's not your turn.
15	RICHARD McKINNON: We're happy to
16	solicit Jimmy's opinion.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: Right, sorry.
18	RICHARD McKINNON: I'm Mr. McKinnon,
19	Mr. Russell.
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, so who's going
21	to walk us through this specific relief

1 sought in an orderly fashion? 2 If I may. RI CHARD McKI NNON: We're 3 seeking a Special Permit relief as advertised 4 and also listed on page four and seven of our 5 original application. 19.20 project review 6 Special Permit, 20.70 Flood Plain Overlay 7 District. 5.25.42 FAR because we're in the 8 flood plain with the parking garage. 9 20. 63. 70, green area open space. And 17. 42 10 Special District 4A height and front side 11 rear yard requirements. 12 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, I'm trying to 13 find the page you're reading from. Can you 14 help me find that page? 15 ATTORNEY DEBORAH HORWI TZ: It's 16 appendix three, page two. 17 RI CHARD McKI NNON: On the setbacks, 18 Mr. Chairman, just yard setbacks rather than 19 side and rear. 20 (Discussion Looking through papers). 21 And it goes on to HUGH RUSSELL:

1	Zoning relief sought. This is actually what
2	I was looking for.
3	THOMAS ANNI NGER: (I naudi bl e.)
4	HUGH RUSSELL: That's why I wanted
5	to find it because I remembered that you had
6	listed all the relief.
7	RICHARD McKINNON: Yes.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: And then you've gone
9	through the criteria.
10	RICHARD McKINNON: At the hearing,
11	that's right.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: Right. And so, if
13	you can manage to find that, those of us who
14	have it, you can review that rather than
15	trying to recreate it.
16	RICHARD McKINNON: Appreciate it.
17	H. THEODORE COHEN: Hugh, while
18	you're looking for that, can I ask one more
19	questi on?
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Go ahead.
21	H. THEODORE COHEN: The fire lane, I

1 know we had talked about last time of using 2 the fire lane for other purposes, any 3 investigation about that? And also, what 4 will prevent people who are driving from 5 Route 2 from pulling into the fire lane? 6 Well, go ahead, RI CHARD McKI NNON: 7 Heather. HEATHER BOUJOULI AN: 8 To answer your 9 second question first, DOT is requiring a 10 sloped granite curb so that fire trucks won't 11 have problems getting over the curb, but if 12 you drove into them with your car, you would 13 know right away that you're in the wrong 14 spot. 15 H. THEODORE COHEN: Will there been 16 signage right there? 17 HEATHER BOUJOULI AN: Yes, exactly. 18 Do not enter signage and well in advance so 19 that people will know exactly where to go. 20 And then relative to the additional uses 21 there was a commitment that we made early on

to not allow driving on that for regular vehicles because of the location within the buffer zone.

the heart of the argument. I think we would not adopt these findings as our own because they are very flowery, so I wouldn't want this language to be duplicated in the decision only to save a few thousand trees. But I think as I read through it, the points that are made are correct and accurate and they have identified the issues as a layer of pros in here that probably is not needed in the decision.

RICHARD McKINNON: We're happy to have the findings in the decision be as simple as possible so long as they address the criteria.

HUGH RUSSELL: Usually in a project of this sort, the staff draws a decision.

It's reviewed with your counsel.

RICHARD McKINNON: That's right.

_ _

HUGH RUSSELL: Because you have to make sure it will work for your lenders and other people. That process is I assume we go forward.

So, there's this first section that comes on pages two, three, four -- I'm now in something that's called GS. S/2033227. 32 in the bottom left corner which is essentially the narrative in support of the Special Permit application. And it's found about 20 percent of the way through the volume one of the document.

Pages two, three, four and five and six address the general Special Permit requirements and which are familiar to all of us. And I hit on the specific reasons why we can make the findings that are required opposite in 43. And then on page six it talks about the criteria for the Flood Plain Overlay District. Those are very extensive

and technical in nature and we believe those have been achieved and that could further be reviewed by the City in more detail when the final plans are drawn to make sure these criteria are met. And it has to do with, you know, volumes of water displaced at particular elevations that are very difficult and complicated calculation to make sure that essentially that the flood doesn't know that Faces is gone and this building is replacing it. Which is sort of strange in a way because that's what we want to have happen. The flood won't know it.

And then there is a Special Permit regarding the floor area ratio. And we're granting a permit because the garage is above grade and ordinarily last ten years or so we counted, but when you're in a Flood Plain Overlay District you can get a Special Permit so it doesn't count, that's important because they need it to build the project within the

1 And the reason that is in the ordi nance. 2 Ordinance -- while we can do the exception of 3 the flood plain because they need to use that 4 and to keep the cars up above where the flood 5 waters are going to be which are under the 6 parki ng area. So there are -- cars have to 7 be above grade in order to achieve that. RI CHARD McKI NNON: 8 Ri ght. 9 HUGH RUSSELL: Clearly the extensive 10 amount of screening that is being done will 11 mitigate what's going on. 12 Now, height Special Permit -- oh, yes. 13 The Ordinance permits a height of 60 feet 14 with a Special Permit to go up to 90 and 15 you're something a little over 60, right? 16 RICHARD McKINNON: We're at 55 to 70 17 at the most range. We tripped the 60 base 18 number but we didn't go near the 90 foot 19 maxi mum. 20 Right. Which we are HUGH RUSSELL: 21 very appreciative.

1	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Thank you.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, and then we get
3	into the project review criteria. And we
4	just talked about them on the last project
5	that's found on pages 11, 12, 13, 14, 15.
6	ATTORNEY DEBORAH HORWITZ:
7	Mr. Russell?
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
9	ATTORNEY DEBORAH HORWITZ: That's
10	actually just on page 10 and then what
11	follows are the city-wide variance design
12	cri teri a.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Exactly.
14	These are all in Chapter 19. And so, 19.20
15	references 19.30. 19.20 is on page 10. And
16	19.30 starts on page 10. So counsel has made
17	my statement more precise.
18	ATTORNEY DEBORAH HORWITZ: Sorry.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: No, no, we like that.
20	RICHARD McKINNON: We listen to her,
21	Mr. Chairman.
	1

1 HUGH RUSSELL: I do, too. 2 So, again the narrative describes 3 accurately the measures that have been taken 4 to meet the city-wide criteria. 5 I would just note that there's a long 6 discussion about the way in which they are 7 essentially providing sanitary sewer requires a lot of action on their part because there 8 9 isn't a sewer right in that location now. 10 And also providing a large storage tank which 11 will minimize the overflows from the other 12 stores in the area that are provided. 13 There's a reference there that needs 14 certification, and there was a submittal on 15 -- in our package that they were going to 16 public stack up against the criteria. 17 you are seeking LEED certification as well as 18 Energy Star; is that correct? 19 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Yes, sir. 20 That's a further step HUGH RUSSELL: 21 because we just require the attempting to do

1 what it wants you to do without going through 2 the steps of the -- to actually achieve 3 certification in terms of the paperwork and 4 the documentation which is significant. 5 And finally, we can say this is a nice 6 project because they actually are expanding 7 the inventory. 8 RI CHARD McKI NNON: Yes. That's an 9 easy one, Mr. Chairman. 10 HUGH RUSSELL: That's easy. 11 So I think we've -- I feel based on 12 this review again of the thing that we could 13 rely upon the statements in this section as 14 being correct and addressing the issues 15 appropriately and adopt them in principle as 16 our findings. 17 So now somebody can make a motion to 18 grant the relief sought as enumerated before? 19 THOMAS ANNI NGER: We have a request 20 by you not to use flowery language? 21 HUGH RUSSELL: Oh, that's just us.

1	That's just them. We can use as much as we
2	want.
3	THOMAS ANNINGER: I move that we
4	grant the Special Permits requested as
5	outlined in the materials you just went
6	through and that you elaborated on in a
7	non-flowery way.
8	H. THEODORE COHEN: It's subject to
9	the requirements of Transportation and
10	Parki ng?
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
12	STEVEN WINTER: Yes.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: And continuing design
14	review of the exterior features of the
15	building and the landscaping.
16	STEVEN WINTER: That's a staff
17	revi ew.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: Staff review.
19	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Parti cul ar focus
20	on the tower and maybe just as a courtesy
21	we'd like to see how you come out. But it's

1	not something that you would need to come
2	back to us on.
3	RICHARD McKINNON: I'm sure we'll do
4	that through Roger. You'll see it.
5	STUART DASH: Clarification on the
6	pl ayground.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: I would, I would
8	suggest that we have we ask them to seek
9	Conservation Commission approval for Phase 1
10	pl ayground.
11	RICHARD McKINNON: Rather than wait
12	to see if there's a demand, we'll seek
13	Conservation Commission approval immediately
14	of the material.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: And for something you
16	would construct on day one.
17	RI CHARD McKI NNON: Yes, understood.
18	THOMAS ANNINGER: Did you have a
19	questi on?
20	HUGH RUSSELL: I mean, there's
21	nothing sadder than a playground without kids

1	in it also.
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: The Lady next to
3	you I thought, I thought you were asking
4	somethi ng.
5	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: No, no. Just
6	taking out my pad to right my notes out.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: Now we have a motion.
8	Do we have a second?
9	PAMELA WINTERS: Second.
10	HUGH RUSSELL: Pam will second it.
11	Are we ready for a vote?
12	All those in favor of granting the
13	permits?
14	(Show of hands.)
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Six members are in
16	favor. Permits are granted.
17	(Russell, Anninger, Winters, Winter,
18	Cohen, Nur.)
19	(A short recess was taken.)
20	* * * *
21	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, let's get back

into session. We are doing a design review.

Continuing a design review, Planning Board

case 243, 50 Binney Street. And Tom was just

looking up a reference, but apparently we

would conclude this design review if we chose

to do so by having to take a vote. What do

we have to do procedurally?

SUSAN GLAZER: This project, if you recall, was within the PUD for the Binney Street area. So the Special Permit has been granted on this. This is a design review, and I think it would probably be helpful if you took a vote on it just to help the Petitioner on this design.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:

Mr. Chairman, this would be the second building in the PUD Special Permit to be approved, 50 Binney Street. 100 Binney Street building has been approved. And I believe in that case, while it's not a vote subject to appeal period and a new decision,

1 I think traditionally it's been a vote to 2 approve the design. 3 Now, Tom has drawn my HUGH RUSSELL: 4 attention to something that looks like maybe 5 a condition or something that says the final 6 building permits set of plans shall be 7 approved by a majority vote of the entire 8 Pl anni ng Board. That's not what we're asked 9 -- we're not there tonight. I don't know 10 where this language comes from. 11 THOMAS ANNI NGER: I've never seen 12 that before. 13 H. THEODORE COHEN: Where is that? 14 THOMAS ANNI NGER: This is from a 15 design review section of the PUD decision as 16 I understand it. Liza, help me. 17 HUGH RUSSELL: 13, 59, 6, 18 That ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 19 sounds like a section of the Ordinance as 20 opposed to a section of the procedure. 21 **HUGH RUSSELL:** It does sound like

1	that.
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: Yes, maybe this is
3	not the PUD.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: It says during the
5	design review process
6	THOMAS ANNINGER: This is not from
7	the I see. I thought this was in the
8	vicinity of the
9	HUGH RUSSELL: Right, this is
10	descri bi ng what the process.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
12	The process for a PUD. I don't believe that
13	there's a connection between from here.
14	Between here and Building Permit is usually
15	ongoing design review with the staff. I
16	don't recall that language at all in the
17	Special Permit decision.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: So, it's possibly in
19	the Ordi nance.
20	THOMAS ANNINGER: No, this is wrong.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I think

2

3

that's -- Article 13 is the PUD section of the Ordinance and that's the section that talks about....

4 5

Tom says, let's get on with it. I will

6

7

this. Are you going to walk us through the

peruse the Ordinance while you're discussing

HUGH RUSSELL: All right. Well as

8

9

desi qn?

DAVID MANFREDI: Good evening. My

10

name is David Manfredi from Elkus Manfredi

11

Architects. We are here to discuss the

12

design of the building. When we were here on

13

January 18th, the Board made some very

14

significant comments about design. They were

15

in fact conceptual in nature. They were

16

about urban design issues, and we took them

17

very seriously. What we talked about on that

18

evening was the place of this large building

19

on this site in this context. The

20

relationship of this building to 100 Binney

21

to which you have previously reviewed. And

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I'll paraphrase as best I can, the desire to create diversity, a desire for a kind of sobriety in this building in the context of 100 Binney in which 100 Binney I think is regarded as an exuberant building. there is the desire to create diversity not only in the architecture of the building, but almost the attitude of the building. that is what -- we listened. That is what we have attempted to do here. We have redesigned the building. We changed the building quite significantly. And so, I'm going to take you through some of the very simple planning principles which I don't think were controversial. In fact, I think were well received. But just as a reminder, and then we'll go to the architecture of the building, meaning really the massing and the design of the building envelope. You know we are talking about 50 Binney which is south side of Binney between First and Second, next

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to 100 Binney which you have previously seen, and 41 Linskey in between. And the building that we showed you in January and we're showing you tonight, conforms in all ways with the envelope that was part of that Zoning Amendment in terms of its height and density and setbacks and site improvements. We did talk that night about the building in its context. And I'm going to repeat this only because it is still important to what we present here tonight. And that is that 50 Binney does represent the gateway into, into Binney Street, into this entire corridor. has quite different sides in context, but I'm sure as you've seen in the materials, we've tried to make the building calmer by making it more regular in its design. But in its context it has an important street wall on Binney which is obviously the kind of Main Street of the district. It has a street wall on Linskey which is a street of quite

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

different scale. And then it has short street walls on Second.

On First, while it addresses First, it addresses the new Triangle Park which is about 0.9 acres. And the park has really inspired us in terms of massing, in fenestration and materials. We really do think of the building having a kind of hard-edged city side and a softer edge park and riverside. And I think that also goes to the place of the building at the head of the And in many ways kind of the head of this corridor and the head of this precinct. We talked a lot, and I'm going to go to I eight slightly bigger scale here.

We talked a lot the last time about the arrangement of parts on the ground floor. What we have done since back at the time of the Zoning Amendment is the building is We have increased the dimension of narrower. si dewal k. We have increased the number of

12 13 14

1516

17

1819

20

21

parking spaces along the street. I think that's all good in relationship to the immediately present condition of this building and even more important to its future condition to support ground floor As you know, in its first generation retai I . of life, we are committed to -- well, we're committed to retail actually on the east end. We have active retail on this west, northwest We think this is kind of a hundred corner. percent intersection Binney and Second. We want to take advantage of all of those It is the center of all of the corners. Al exandri a holdings. Both geographically and in terms of -- most importantly in terms of traffic.

We've designed the ground floor in a way that it has a front door today and a possible second front door in the future.

And that those could be connected by Arcade.

And all of this can become future retail and

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

subdividable that has a lease depth of about 45 to 50 feet which we think is very positive for the kind of retail you can anticipate here. And so, you can imagine a day when you have active retail edge all along, partially along Second, all along Binney, wrapping around First and then on to Linskey. Thi s, this is located here because there is a primary entrance into the Athenaeum building is right here, and so there's a kind of very natural through-block connection here. also mentioned last time we were here that we have dedicated bicycle storage at the perimeter of the building. This actually, I will go back one, if you look at it in context, there is bicycle storage above grade. And 100 Linskey and bicycle storage here above grade. And as I said, all of this kind of communicates, meaning that we expect bicycles to arrive here. Bicyclists to We expect parkers to arrive arri ve here.

here and come up through the course of these buildings. We expect this to be retail here, here, here, in the future here. And this becomes a node of alternative means of transportation, but also just of activity, of daily street activity on the corner.

Linskey is clearly a different street, and we have made alterations as you're aware in terms of arrangement of Loading dock and access to parking below grade in order to maintain as good, comfortable, wide a sidewalk as possible because the folks from the Athenaeum will likely park at 100 and so there will be pedestrian traffic on Linskey as well.

I want to point out on the upper floors just one thing. As we came to re-imagine this building while maintaining its height and square footage, we did more to break it down into component parts. And we began to think of this building as a series of kind of

21

vertical components that we could take up biometrically through the entire volume of the building. And that required cutting more deeply into the mass of the building and really defining that. And so we think of this now as a four-part composition. two, three and four. The fourth building being more plastic in its shape and more related to the park and to the river. And that is the part from our previous presentation, from our previous designer, is that we have really held on to -- I think it was well received here and we think it's an important and kind of a connected design One of the comments that the Board move. made when we were here last was there were parts of our design proposal that felt arbitrary. I think this is the least arbitrary in that it is quite specific to context and relationship to the river and the green space.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I wanted to show you this -- this is a little bit hard to see, but I did want to show you the building in that context of when all of these Alexandria holdings are built out. These buildings are not designed, but you are aware of the massing envelope. 100 Binney is designed and 50 Binney is here in front of you today. And we just wanted to show you this in the context of what a character of Binney will be. relationship of these buildings, the green The relationship of these buildings space. to each other, and also to the river and to Tri angle Park.

What we really did was change our attitude about the kind of building it is.

We wanted it to subscribe more to the order of a more traditional urban street wall. And what I mean by that is that it has a more clearly defined base. It has a more clearly defined top, and it has a middle. And it is

1 about a frame in which there is a pattern of 2 fenestration as opposed to an almost entirely 3 curtain wall expression. I think the 4 building on its north facade the last time 5 you saw it was 95 percent glass. We reduced 6 the glass on the north side significantly. 7 And we have created more in the sense of I'll call it load bearing, I'll call it 8 9 traditional urban street wall, a series of 10 these volumes that are separated by glass 11 where that separation runs all the way 12 through the building with these rather deep 13 cuts into the volume. We've greatly 14 simplified the penthouse screen so that it is 15 merely a -- it's a reflection of the volume 16 below it and it steps back and actually in 17 two intervals, and the relief for the reveal 18 between these volumes also steps back as 19 well. 20 What we've held on to that we feel

strongly about is that east end of the

21

building where you do kind of break out of the urban grid. And you are freer. And the building becomes freer, it takes on more of the character of the open space that it abuts. It continues to step back. It continues to have these kinds of kinds of curve or linear forms. It affords us these narrow terraces that can be green, and some of that green of the park can actually climb up the building. If you remember the earlier proposal, we've clearly separated these parts. And I think we've given this building more substance. And I mean that both visually and materially we've changed the ratio of solid to wood. But also I don't know, a little bit in its spirit, that it is clearly more separate from the building next to it at 100 Binney.

This is a view Looking east. 41
Linskey to your right. The new meeting
house, the Church of Latter Day Saints to

20

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

19

20

21

your left and Binney in the foreground with Second running through it. And, again, you can, I think clearly read that composition. But you can also read that, I think, I hope that the building comes together, meaning that when I show you the Linskey elevation, you will see that -- basically that same composition. So that there's a sense that this building reads around on its sides. so while the sides are different in their context, urban street, big urban boul evard, the park, the more city kind of street which is Second and the more service kind of street, the building reads as a whole. think -- I'll extrapolate a little bit from your comments that part of was troubling was it did not read enough as a whole.

This corner is glass, this is the entrance to the building. There's retail at the base. And, the only time -- and I should say by the way, while I say it's of the order

18

19

20

21

of a more traditional urban street wall, we still want it to be a modern building. mean, it is a building of science and technology. And so we have taken some liberty with the fenestration pattern while it's in that kind of more traditional kind of frame, it has more variety to it than if it were truly a load bearing stone wall. There are -- where we make moves, we make moves for Meaning where the glass is set a reason. back and the frame is interrupted, that's the front door of the building. Where the volumes break, that's the corner of the building and that's the corner that addresses what I've called this 100 percent intersection.

The materials that you're looking at, we think that this is a cast stone, a precast panel on floors three through the mechanical floor. That it is relatively simple and warm in color. It has texture. We have discussed

with Community Planning Department whether the ground two floors of the building, that future retail, at least at the ground floor, could be stone as in a light limestone color, as in a warm limestone color. And that's, that's something we have studied, and I think Alexandria is more than happy to pursue. So where you touch and feel it, you get the most precious material.

I hope also that you do see what we're trying to achieve here is to incorporate the penthouse and the mechanical which is big into the design of the building. This is the roof of the building. That's the tenth floor right there. That's the roof and the Zoning definition of roof. This is mechanical floor, and this is mechanical screen. That is, this volume is largely open to the sky. All the mechanical equipment is enclosed, meaning surrounded by vertical wall with acoustic panels. And as you remember from

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the Zoning Amendment, we are held to a very high standard of acoustics here in terms of no new sound being legible from within 100 feet on the sidewalk of the building and hence the complete surround of mechanical The east side of the building is equi pment. still exuberant if that's the right word. Meaning it sort of does break out of the It takes on that more soft form. urban gri d. We have worked -- and you'll notice by the way, we're treating the precast and the stone I think in a way that's befitting of the material. In that it is not being treated as a plain or as a screen, the stone returns or the precast returns, and so there is a more solid sense of volume.

And then this is a perspective that I showed you different design, but a view that we showed you last time to give you a sense of how all of that fits in this sky line coming over the Longfellow Bridge. And it's

a big building, but it's a big building that we have tried to break down into component parts that fits more into that landscape, and even break down the top of the building, but in a quieter, calmer sort of way when a penthouse screen has manipulation of its massing, but not kind of all of the I think visual chatter that was of concern before.

Just very quickly just to, so you see the elevations head on. This is the north elevation, the Binney elevation. That is this kind of, this four part composition that I'm talking about, separated by glass, setbacks as you can see from the shadows. So if this volume is set back and there's additional setback, the seams kind of follow in their setbacks as well. And the opportunity long term for these bays to accommodate retail when the population on the street can in fact support that much retail.

And then on the Linskey side, very

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

similar composition. Basically we've reversed it. We don't ever anticipate that we will have retail here. This is our loading docks and access department. So the scale gets a little bit finer on that south side.

We did want to -- and this is maybe a little bit hard to see, but this is a section cut through Binney Street all the way from the river and the Esplanade. We go from the center of the Esplanade Building, hence it's in grey. And we cut through the middle of the street. You're looking at 50 Binney, 100 Binney, 300 Third and then you're looking through to Watermark and then to the buildings of Kendall Square. What we're trying to get at here is simple: It's not -we're not trying to get at height and We really are trying to get at the massi ng. possibility, the opportunity to really create diversity. And it's about an attitude about

21

It's about proportions. desi an. It's about This building 100 Binney, I think materi al s. you have rightfully pointed out, celebrates i tsel f. And you might even argue, celebrates its bigness. Whereas, 50 Binney is trying to find a scale that has a peak on that street that while it's still on that building and this is a street of bigger buildings, works with its scale and size on a much different kind of way. Even to those penthouses which on 100 Binney are really about wrapping this all together at 50 Binney you're about breaking it down into its component parts.

There was a request when we were here last to give you a little bit more view of street level. And this is the entrance to the building which in its scale is actually quite modest. We don't want the entrance of the building to overwhelm that retail on the corner or future retail along the north side of the street. And that's -- this is a -- I

think this also was requested, a kind of close in view of Second and Binney Looking east. The entrance to the building, that corner that is dedicated to retail use, of -- actually the bicycle storage is right behind this with its own entrance that comes into the Lobby of the building. And we're just trying to give you a little bit of a hint here that those first two floors of building could in fact be stone, and the upper floors of the building be some precast stone. A precast kind of panel.

We didn't talk a lot last time, and I won't talk a lot now because I know you've had a chance to see the materials, but this building will be highly sustainable. There's great opportunities in these life science buildings to make them sustainable with high efficiency infrastructure. Meaning that we have the opportunity to recover heat and reuse it. And we will do this here. It's

20

21

just good building practice and good operating practice. But there's also strategies about storm water management, about holding storm water and recharging in a measured kind of way. All of the landscape, and Chris Matthews is here in sustainable landscaping, and its indigenous landscape. And the building has been designed so that it photovol tai c ready. We've talked about that before, but we've had the opportunity before in the structure of the building that as the technology of phototaics, and the efficiency of phototaics become, come with an a kind of closer in payoff, all of that structure will be in place and there's a commitment there, That I've got (inaudible) and of course too. as we've made the building, I think simpler, hopefully a little bit calmer. We've also reduced the amount of glazing and we've improved the solar heat gain around the bui I di ng.

1 I'll only make one point, and Chris is 2 here on the site plan, Community Development 3 did encourage us to find more green and less 4 hard scape especially on the east side of the 5 building. We did -- we hope, and I know 6 Alexandria will believe this will be 7 restaurant space. That it will spill out 8 into tables and chairs. We want to maintain 9 hard scape along the edge to accommodate 10 outdoor dining. But we do want to make this 11 as green as possible. So what Chris has done 12 here is add low planting as well as increased 13 the number of shade trees on each side of the 14 bui I di ng. 15 And that is our presentation. I 16 welcome your comments. 17 HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you. 18 Ted. 19 H. THEODORE COHEN: I guess I'll 20 I thought that was a fabulous start. 21 presentation and advocacy to make me love

1 this building, and I have to say I don't. 2 And I think in part you listened too well to 3 what some of us said last time. I love the 4 front, you know. I think it's fabulous. 5 can understand your rationale for, you know, 6 changing the windows to the masonry. I 7 think, you know, you say you've become more traditional, more conservative, more whatever 8 9 you want. It seems to me it's become a 10 And I think what I like the little timid. 11 least, and I understand your rationale for 12 why you did it, and so maybe I'm just wrong, 13 is the penthouse. And for some reason I think I could, you know, the masonry size 14 15 would work with everything, but the penthouse 16 just makes it so four square and so 17 forbidding up at the top of this enormous 18 building. I mean, when you look at the view 19 from the bridge, it looks like an ocean 20 liner. And I think in part it's because I 21 love the penthouse on 100 Binney so much, I

3

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

thought that was one of the greatest and creative penthouses around. That there's something about this one that just looks so Stalin to me on a very big building. know, there are lots of -- you know, I like both ends. You know, I like the far corner with the glass and all. And I really can understand what you wanted to do, but it's your design and your client's decision what you want to do and I understand, but there's something about it that there's just a little too timid to me. And from my point of view it's the penthouse but I could be totally wrong with that. So, I'm sorry.

HUGH RUSSELL: Ahmed.

AHMED NUR: Well, I have a slight different view. I really like the building.

I like the view of it. I like what you did on the south elevation looking over the river, different balconies what I thought was accessible balconies at first which would

1 have been great, but I understand it's a 2 pharmaceutical building and you have the 3 rooftop; storm water on one level, and the 4 green roof on the other level and the 5 construction manager. 6 I do have a question about the 7 retention or you called it the water 8 retention that can hold several inches of 9 water, rainwater. What do you intend to do 10 with that water? How deep is it exactly? 11 That's simply to DAVID MANFREDI: 12 measure how it gets into the storm water 13 system so it's not released all at once. 14 can hold a couple of inches. So in a big 15 storm we're releasing it in a measured way. 16 Releasing it into what? AHMED NUR: 17 DAVID MANFREDI: Into the city 18 infrastructure. 19 JOSEPH MAQUIRE: It's that the first 20 inch will be recharged. This entire 21 development will be recharged into the ground

i tsel f.
AHMED NUR: I'm sorry, sir, can you
take the microphone? I'm sorry. So others
can hear you.
JOSEPH MAQUIRE: The first inch
I'm Joe Maguire from Alexandria.
The first inch of water is going to be
retained at that site, so that there will be
irrigation systems at the ground level. The
trees will be picking up water, and in fact
we may be recycling the water at the top.
AHMED NUR: Okay, yes, that answered
my question. You are using the (inaudible).
JOSEPH MAQUIRE: Yes, we are looking
for LEEDs points relative to this.
AHMED NUR: What happens in the
wintertime? Is it indoor?
JOSEPH MAQUIRE: There is an a
storage tank
AHMED NUR: So there is a storage
tank I guess collected into

1 JOSEPH MAOULRE: Yes. In the Lower 2 level of the garage. 3 Do you from the public AHMED NUR: 4 using restaurant on that elevation looking 5 over to the river between the two buildings, 6 for example, for example, on this level here, 7 if you were to look through the river, I just 8 wonder what does the view look like towards 9 the river? 10 Well, part of JOSEPH MAQUIRE: 11 directly in front of the river up high. 12 don't know if we have a picture of that. At 13 the higher elevations you will see directly 14 across the river, particularly as you look 15 more to the southwest. 16 Well, I was going DAVID MANFREDI: 17 to go to the aerial because that's probably 18 it. 19 AHMED NUR: Okay, yes, that helps. 20 Because I didn't think those two buildings 21 were that close together on this. Thank you.

1 All right, I'm all set. Okay, thank you. 2 HUGH RUSSELL: You ready, Tom, or do 3 you want to wait for somebody else? 4 THOMAS ANNINGER: I want to wait for 5 someone el se. 6 Okay. Well, I will HUGH RUSSELL: 7 give my reaction. 8 Maybe one person's timid is another 9 person's, you know, sophisticated and 10 restrained. I find it quite interesting 11 because it's -- there's no question it's a 12 21st century building, yet the clues and the 13 references back to the older way of using 14 masonry tie it back in to the history a bit. 15 So, if you look at the elevation, it's very 16 modern and nobody, nobody did that 30 years 17 ago or 50 years ago. The proportions, the 18 scale, the way it's put together, it's --19 yet, it feels more restrained. It is more 20 restrained. And I hadn't thought much about 21 the penthouse, but I think, I agree with Ted

that maybe that's something that needs continuing thought because it -- maybe it's just the grid-like appearance that makes you think they're huge blocks of concrete sitting up there, and maybe -- and that's probably an artifact of the rendering rather than what the building really looked like. But, I would encourage you to think some more about that.

When I first saw it, I was sort of -- I thought the -- there are a couple of levels where the penthouse is enclosed with glass.

DAVID MANFREDI: Yes.

HUGH RUSSELL: And I think about that every time I'm on the traffic light at on Cambridge Street just before crossing River into Cambridge. As I look over at the Genzyme production facility and all that glass and all that equipment, and it seems sort of silly. It appears to be that you may be screening us from the nitty-gritty of

what's inside which is not really the chosen on that building. And also 40 years ago the building I worked on for CERT. At some point CERT came in and said well, the mechanical room looks too big. I'm going to put a nine foot wide glass wall in the mechanical room. And I mean, the university was appalled but they did it. Because the Dean, what could they do? I think now they've managed they didn't need the mechanical space and there are actually offices behind that window -- those windows.

So, I wonder, you know, if you're going to use glass at the end. Would that glass migrate all the way over to the top of the building and engage in a conversation with the other building? Or would that just not -- would that defeat it all? I don't know. What do you think.

DAVID MANFREDI: Well, a couple of comments. You're absolutely right. We are

thinking that this -- it's a little hard when you blow it up this big, but when you look at it, small, and we think that this is a pattern glass. And so we're going to give you a glimpse, but we're not going to give you the look that Genzyme gave you. And we have the benefit that we're not coplanar, so you know, you won't be able to put those plains together. If this was a horizontal pattern that is maybe 50 percent opaque, it allows us to maintain that volume and not be too worried about seeing this enormous glasswork behind the glass.

These pieces behind the parts of the penthouse are glass, and again, they would be pattern glass. This panels are aluminum, metal painted of the same finish as the windows themselves. And it may be that we are too flat unadorned here, and that's not the right word, but without detail. And maybe in fact it would help them read more of

1 the modularity of the metal panel. 2 reveals are deeper, wider so that you break 3 down, we're starting to hint at it, but maybe 4 not doing enough, break that down more into 5 its parts. And the other thing, and it's 6 actually very hard to read here, but that top 7 panel we actually set back, and maybe you 8 start to think about whether you do re-entry 9 corners on those and you break down the 10 volume on this a little bit more. I do say 11 or I do think that, you know, you see it over 12 We love that penthouse. But I don't 13 think we want to do it again here next to it. 14 In fact, I think we want to play the 15 juxtaposition of those two things. 16 Ready, Tom? HUGH RUSSELL: 17 THOMAS ANNI NGER: As best as I'm 18 going to be. I think this is a vast improvement over 19 20 what we had before. I think the size of the

building called for a real thought on the

21

1 attitude question. Should this celebrate 2 itself? And it's so big that to do that has 3 all sorts of risks. And I think changing the 4 attitude to one that has I think greater 5 dignity and is not timid, but I think it's 6 very dignified to do this, gives it much 7 greater appeal. And I think what it does is puts some order to the street. I think it's 8 9 going to actually feel very good to walk down 10 that street with something that has a 11 satisfying and easily grasped geometry, like 12 these three -- I don't know what you call 13 them, townhouses, rectangles. I think it 14 sits very well on the street and I'm happy 15 about it. And yet, I don't think it's square 16 at all. I think you do pick up the 17 exuberance at one end, and I think that's a 18 nice balance. So I don't think this has 19 become a background building. And yet part 20 of it has taken on a dignity that I think the 21 street required.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I have only one comment which is puzzling to me and it's a small point, but we haven't really seen a lot pictures about how it's going to feel at the sidewalk level. But looking at it from this distance and all the perspectives, again, I have no idea where It's really -- that glass the entrance is. corner to me doesn't cry out to you this is where you want to enter the building. doesn't tell that to me. If anything, some of those vertical lines in between that have broken it down into three parts. You might think that one of those might be an entrance, but it's not. So I guess that is one puzzling area that you might have to deal with at the street level if you know the way they do it in New York. With something that Maybe you'll juts out on you or something. have to do something like that. But right now it doesn't read to me as -- if anything, I think there might be three entrances.

Well, the entrance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is right here. Really the only place where this is that -- that's our clue. That's your cl ue. It's the only place where we break this pattern that we have developed which is repeated basically six times. We break it here and it goes right down and it on access with that front door. I don't disagree with Well, maybe actually the best place is you. to see it here, Tom. It is modest and maybe it is too modest, and maybe the way to do that is not with grand gesture but with as you say, an element at street level, at pedestrian level that let's you know that just because you know there's a kind of lid that cantilevers out and gives you some

DAVI D MANFREDI:

THOMAS ANNINGER: And with a building this size you could run around the building trying to figure out just how do I

protection, gives you a number, you know,

where you are.

do this. So that was -- that's -- I think that's an easy fix. But overall, I think this is an good improvement and I am grateful for the effort that you put into the redesign. I think Binney Street and the whole -- all of the projects will benefit from what you're doing. I'm okay with it.

HUGH RUSSELL: Pam.

PAMELA WINTERS: I agree with Tom.

I do think it's an improvement over the last addition, and I also agree about the front entryway. It is difficult to see. It does need an awning or it does need something that's marks it more as the opening.

And I have a question for Hugh. Hugh, when you said something about the rooftop mechanicals, did you say that you would have liked the -- them to be in glass? Is that -- was that a suggestion that you made? Because I was thinking about that. Too, how that would look if the glass were extended around

1 the building. 2 HUGH RUSSELL: Well, that was a 3 speculation. And I mean --4 PAMELA WINTERS: So that you mean 5 the mechanicals on the inside? 6 HUGH RUSSELL: No, no, absolutely 7 That's not what I said. But I think not. 8 the rendering doesn't probably -- can't 9 convey the material of the aluminum panels 10 which is the -- they're the same material 11 that's used in the window frames. And so 12 it's -- it's not, you know, that material can 13 be shinier or it can be mat. The fine textures. And you can think of -- I'm not of 14 15 that opinion as to which one -- how to go 16 But thinking about those with that. 17 questions may help you a bit. I think maybe 18 it's -- you know, maybe it's shinier and, you 19 know, more than mat so that it shimmers like 20 glass shimmers. Maybe that's terrible. 21 don't know.

1 PAMELA WINTERS: It's funny because 2 I had the same thought. Could I see that 3 other, the other side where the curve 4 linear -- the other side of the building. 5 Yes, that right there. 6 So I just had that same thought that it 7 would be interesting to see that curve linear glass just kind of extended over the top of 8 the building, but, you know, just --9 10 HUGH RUSSELL: I think if you don't 11 -- if you just run straight, you're going to 12 kind of get rid of what the rest of the 13 building has been doing to create the scale. 14 THOMAS ANNINGER: You got to make 15 the mechanicals look bigger. 16 HUGH RUSSELL: I think so. 17 PAMELA WINTERS: It will make them 18 look bigger. 19 THOMAS ANNI NGER: Think I think if 20 you run that around, you will just lower the 21 cornus line and create more glass up top.

1	HUGH RUSSELL: I mean we're, we're
2	doing a design review here.
3	THOMAS ANNINGER: Right.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: And the points we
5	bring up then get taken back for more
6	discussion and more thought. You know, has
7	Roger even seen this scheme?
8	DAVID MANFREDI: Yes, we sat with
9	Roger and viewed it with him before he left.
10	HUGH RUSSELL: Before he left.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: He
12	expressed I don't want to speak for him.
13	He expressed a high level of enthusiasm for
14	this approach.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Right.
16	THOMAS ANNINGER: He liked the first
17	one, too?
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm sorry?
19	HUGH RUSSELL: We'll leave it at
20	that. Well keep thinking about it. And
21	thinking of ways that it can be even more

1	enthusi asti c.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I mean,
3	it's Roger so it's a relative term, you know
4	what I mean? As far as Roger goes, it's
5	enthusi asti c.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Great. It is good to
7	hear that, because we do rely upon his
8	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: It was
9	very helpful. I think it was two meetings
10	with Roger and the staff.
11	DAVID MANFREDI: Yes.
12	PAMELA WINTERS: Okay.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: But I think if we
14	coul d
15	STEVEN WINTER: You want me to make
16	some comments?
17	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. And the
18	continuing development thinking about those
19	i ssues at the top.
20	Steve.
21	STEVEN WINTER: Thank you,

1 The simplified penthouse makes a Mr. Chair. 2 better profile. I like that a lot better. 3 It looks -- it makes a better profile. 4 think that I could really live with this, the 5 three -- this is calmer sides of the 6 building. And I think it really makes a 7 little more exciting, this very unusual side that looks -- that will be I think some of 8 9 the those marketable and sought after space 10 And also this building has a view in town. 11 from the Red Line. A hundred thousand people 12 a day are going to look at that and get a 13 thrill because it's very pretty to look at. 14 Very attractive. And that's good. It's part 15 of the urban landscape. I think that -- I'm 16 okay with the entrance ambiguity. 17 doesn't bother me. I think the urban 18 streetscapes develop themselves, and then 19 according to the uses and what retail is 20 there or what restaurant is there or where 21 you were -- one works in the context of it,

and I think that we define those entrances ourselves, maybe each of us in our own different way. That's my interest. If somebody else goes there, and that's the joy of urban landscape. It's not all carefully defined and laid out. So, I think that you've really -- that you're working as hard as you can with the perspectives from the Board. And I think you've, I think you pushed us to a really nice place. I like what we're doing here and that's my feedback to David.

HUGH RUSSELL: So, are we done?

Maybe we need a motion that says we've reviewed the design of this building and send it off on for the next stages of development. We can incorporate our comments in which there were a number of clarity comments and places where people said they were uncomfortable.

AHMED NUR: I agree.

1	HUGH RUSSELL: So do you all agree
2	with that decision? Raise your hand and that
3	would be a vote.
4	(Russell, Anninger, Winters, Winter,
5	Cohen, Nur.)
6	STEVEN WINTER: What do we need
7	here? Do we need to do to encourage the
8	proponent, what do we need to do?
9	HUGH RUSSELL: We need to go out and
10	find a tenant.
11	STEVEN WINTER: We don't have to
12	have an official vote is what I'm asking?
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Correct.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:
15	Mr. Chairman, it would be our understanding
16	at this point that the board level design
17	review on this building is now complete and
18	we'll continue on with staff review on the
19	desi gn?
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
21	PAMELA WINTERS: Yes.

1	HUGH RUSSELL: I was trying to say
2	that, but your words are better than mine.
3	BRIAN MURPHY: And I think that
4	would be helpful in getting a tenant.
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes,
6	i ndeed. Thank you.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: So I think we voted.
8	THOMAS ANNINGER: We did.
9	HUGH RUSSELL: We did vote.
10	DAVID MANFREDI: Thank you.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Any more business
12	before the Board tonight?
13	LI ZA PADEN: No.
14	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. We're
15	adj ourned.
16	(Whereupon, at 10:55 p.m., the
17	meeting adjourned.)
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter, the undersi gned Notary Public, certi fy that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
8	this matter.
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of March 2011.
12	my hand this foth day of warch 2011.
13	
14	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public
15	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter Li cense No. 147703
16	My Commission Expires:
17	Apri I 23, 2015
18	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS
19	TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE
20	DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER.
21	