	•
1	
2	PLANNING BOARD FOR THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
3	GENERAL HEARING
4	Tuesday, December 6, 2011
5	7: 00 p.m.
6	i n
7	Second Floor Meeting Room, 344 Broadway City Hall Annex McCusker Building
8	Cambri dge, Massachusetts
9	Hugh Russell, Chair Thomas Anninger, Vice Chair
10	William Tibbs, Member Pamela Winters, Member
11	Steven Winter, Member
12	H. Theodore Cohen, Member Charles Studen, Associate Member
13	Ahmed Nur, Associate Member
14	Community Development Staff:
15	Brian Murphy, Assistant City Manager for Community Development
16	Susan Glazer Liza Paden
17	Roger Boothe Stuart Dash Jeff Roberts
18	Jeri Roberts
19	
20	REPORTERS, INC. CAPTURING THE OFFICIAL RECORD
21	617. 786. 7783/617. 639. 0396 www. reportersi nc. com

1	INDEX
ı	INDLA
2	GENERAL BUSI NESS PAGE
3	OLIVEIVAL BOSITIESS TAGE
4	1. Board of Zoning Appeal Cases 3
5	2 Undata Dri on Murahy
6	2. Update, Bri an Murphy, Assi stant Ci ty Manager for Communi ty Devel opment 11
7	
8	3. Adoption of the Meeting Transcript(s) 9
9	<u>PUBLI C HEARI NGS</u>
10	PB#265, 181 Massachusetts Avenue and 22
11	Windsor Street, Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Inc. Requests a Project
12	Review Special Permit. 14
13	GENERAL BUSI NESS
14	1. PB#141, Parcel E, Watermark II, Master Plan Minor Amendment 161
15	Waster Hall Willow Allehanert 101
16	2. PB#237, 1924 Massachusetts Avenue,
17	KayaKa Hotel, request for extension of Special Permit 9
18	
19	
20	
21	

PROCEEDINGS

(Sitting Members: Hugh Russell, Thomas Anninger, Pamela Winters, Steve Winter, H. Theodore Cohen, Charles Studen.)

HUGH RUSSELL: Good evening. This is a meeting of the Cambridge Planning Board. The first item on our agenda is a review of the Board of Zoning Appeal cases for Thursday, December 15th.

LIZA PADEN: The first case I wanted to draw your attention to is the first one on the agenda for 168-172 Hampshire Street. And if you remember, you actually had the Special Permit portion of this application. I've spoken with Mr. Aposhian and he has decided that he's going to request a continuance on both this and probably on the Special Permit. He's reworking the design and looking at various things so that will not be an the agenda.

Third from the bottom is the 200

1 Cambridge Park Drive case which you've 2 already sent the comments that came earlier 3 with their whip antenna. 4 If there are any other questions, I'll 5 see if I can answer them. 6 Case 10195. That's STEVEN WINTER: 7 just to install a driveway narrower than 8 regui red. Does the proponent need to prove a 9 hardship for that? 10 Well, it's a Variance LIZA PADEN: 11 so they would have to. 12 STEVEN WINTER: I guess, 13 Mr. Chairman, my question is should we leave 14 this to the Zoning Board as it is or should 15 we make some kind of a comment to the effect 16 that this is bad urban fabric to install 17 driveways where they don't fit? 18 HUGH RUSSELL: This is a kind of 19 case that does frequently come to the Zoning 20 Apparently some of it -- it's unclear Board. 21 whether there's going possible parking in the

front yard or not?

LIZA PADEN: What ends up happening in this particular case is there is a -- I'll send around the parking plan. It's the hatched area. And there's a photograph attached to the end, the back sheet. So what happens is they're on, there is a business district, and they're competing for parking spaces with the businesses that are open in the evening.

HUGH RUSSELL: So it's at the corner of Western Avenue or something?

LIZA PADEN: Right. So they're proposing to convert a section of their open space into a driveway. And because of the shape of -- the space narrows, it ends up that the car is not all the way back behind the setback.

STEVEN WINTER: But it looks like
it's within the fenced area that they have?

LIZA PADEN: Yes. It's totally off

1	the street and it's behind the fence, yes.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: Soit seems like
3	that's the sort of case we would normally
4	leave to the Zoning Board.
5	STEVEN WINTER: Yes, I have no more
6	comments on that.
7	Thank you.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
9	PAMELA WINTERS: Liza, did you have
10	any issues with the whip antenna, case No.
11	10193?
12	LIZA PADEN: That's the one the
13	Board looked at at the last meeting. The
14	applicant came in from Pfizer
15	Pharmaceuticals, so I sent the recommendation
16	off.
17	PAMELA WINTERS: Great, okay. Thank
18	you.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: I guess we ought to
20	Look at the 117 Cushing Street to see what
21	they' re doi ng.

LIZA PADEN: This case is across the street from the Hegarty School and it's an existing building and they're proposing to put in a nursery school at the existing building. It is a corner lot which makes it more complicated to meet the setbacks for the two front yards.

Let's see. They are looking to -- it's in a Residence B District, and that's another Special Permit that they're looking for from the Board of Zoning Appeal.

They're looking to construct approximately 600 square feet more than is allowed in a Residence B District.

HUGH RUSSELL: So in other words, it's not an outrageous request. It's just sort of what's necessary -- looks like the footprint of the new building is the same as the footprint of the old building. They're adding a little loft off this mezzanine. They're taking down the storage shed and

1	making a play yard for children.
2	LIZA PADEN: I will tell you the
3	existing use now is a retail store and a two
4	car garage.
5	HUGH RUSSELL: Again, it seems to me
6	that we don't need to comment on this.
7	They're going to understand that nursery
8	schools are good. That small variations to
9	the Zoning Ordinance to make them happen
10	isn't a bad thing.
11	LIZA PADEN: Right.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: And the whole thing
13	is going to look a lot nicer when it's done.
14	LIZA PADEN: Yes.
15	THOMAS ANNINGER: Do you think that
16	goes without saying? I hope so.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: Well, we could
18	certainly send a recommendation with those
19	thoughts that we
20	LI ZA PADEN: Okay.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: So, is there anything

1	el se?
2	LIZA PADEN: Not on the BZA cases.
3	HUGH RUSSELL: The meeting
4	transcri pts?
5	LIZA PADEN: Unfortunately I'm a
6	little behind. Cathy's caught up. I'm
7	behind now.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: I guess we have to
9	gi ve you another vacati on.
10	LIZA PADEN: Yes, I know.
11	I do have one item we could do if you
12	want to move ahead to general business and
13	take up the KayaKa request for an extension?
14	(William Tibbs now seated.)
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Sure.
16	LIZA PADEN: Mr. Gim. I massacre
17	his name all the time requested another
18	year extension for the Special Permit for the
19	1924 Mass. Avenue hotel proposal. And they
20	are still looking to put together the
21	financing. And there are no changes to

1	either the proposal or to the Zoning or to
2	the land uses in that area.
3	HUGH RUSSELL: He says in his letter
4	he will continue to work diligently and
5	intend to file for a Building Permit in the
6	fear future.
7	LIZA PADEN: Yes.
8	THOMAS ANNINGER: Does he need six
9	months or a year?
10	LIZA PADEN: He requested a year.
11	THOMAS ANNINGER: I see no reason
12	not to grant it.
13	STEVEN WINTER: I concur.
14	CHARLES STUDEN: I agree.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: So someone make a
16	moti on.
17	THOMAS ANNINGER: I move that we
18	grant the request for an extension for one
19	year for the KayaKa Hotel project, and just
20	would reflect for the record that we have a
21	letter saying that they are moving ahead

1	diligently and intend to file suit.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: Second?
3	CHARLES STUDEN: Second.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Charles.
5	Any di scussi on?
6	On the motion, all those in favor.
7	(Show of hands).
8	HUGH RUSSELL: And all members
9	voting in favor.
10	LIZA PADEN: Thank you.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Brian, updates?
12	BRIAN MURPHY: Updates. First, the
13	next meeting will be on the 20th of December.
14	11 Brookford Street and 40 Norris Street will
15	be here for public hearings.
16	On January 3rd we'll have a public
17	hearing for 22 Cottage Park Avenue.
18	And then under general business
19	election of Chair, Central Square entrances
20	petitions as part of the overlay district and
21	the T petition will be open for discussion.

January 17th Hampshire Street will be coming before you. And on February 7th we'll be at the Senior Center for the Town Gown discussion.

Other things the Board may find of interest. The Red Ribbon report on the light and challenges of Central Square will be presented to the City Council on Monday, the 12th. When we get that, we'll be sure to send copies along to you.

In addition, the Manager put before the Council last night a proposal for school zoning given the number of schools that are going to be either remodeled or demolished and rebuilt as part of the innovation agenda. The Council decided to kick that to next year's agenda -- next year's Council, but it was put out there as sort of a piece for discussion.

The Runkel Petition passed. And we also were notified that there will be -- that

1	there's going to be a variation on the
2	Chestnut Hill petition for discussion before
3	the Council on Monday night since it expires
4	Tuesday. So we'll see where that ends up.
5	And I think that's sort of the I
6	think those are likely to be the only
7	petitions that get acted upon before the end
8	of the year. Bishop I believe has been
9	re-filed.
10	THOMAS ANNINGER: Chestnut Hill?
11	BRIAN MURPHY: Pardon me?
12	HUGH RUSSELL: The basement
13	apartments.
14	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Oh, yes.
15	BRIAN MURPHY: If you like we could
16	see if we could have it come before the Board
17	agai n.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
19	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Pl anni ng Board
20	humor. Ilikeit.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: So it appears to me

1 to be to be 7:20 p.m. So I'm going to go 2 forward with the public hearing. 3 public hearing and Planning Board case 265, 4 181 Massachusetts Avenue, 22 Windsor Street. 5 Mr. Rafferty. 6 LIZA PADEN: This is stuff I sent to 7 you electronically. You don't have to have 8 more copies if you don't want them. 9 HUGH RUSSELL: Would you identify 10 yoursel f? 11 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Good 12 evening, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, 13 my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney 14 with the law firm of Adams and Rafferty 15 located at 130 Bishop Allen Drive in 16 This evening I'm appearing on Cambri dge. 17 behalf of Novartis Institutes for Biomedical 18 Research, along with my co-counsel Robert 19 Tuchmann of the firm of Wilmer and Hale to 20 keep a close eye on everything I do. So it's 21 a pleasure to be before you this evening in

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this exciting project. Novartis is represented this evening by an individual known to you, its head of global communications, Jeffrey Lockwood and Kara Tanoya (phonetic), the community outreach person is also here.

This is an application for a Special Permit largely under project review, but also based upon other sections of the Ordinance. I'm sure the Board will recall that about a few mere months ago this was a rather ordinary Industrial B District along a lonely stretch of Mass. Ave. outside of Central Square. But thanks in large part to the efforts of the Planning Board and ultimately the City Council, a new special district was created here. Special District 15. tonight you'll see that this is perhaps the most special of all the special districts we And that's in no small part a result have. of the design approach that's been taken here

and the excitement that this project represents. Special District 15 allowed a couple of things to happen here beyond what the base zoning would allow. And just to briefly recall what those issues are, they involve the height of the buildings and they also involve some requirements at the ground floor that didn't exist in the Industrial B Zoning.

The Special Permit provisions under Special District 15 allow for building heights to exceed 120 feet to go up to 140 feet, but there are limitations. It can only occur over one-third of the site, and there also has to be a corresponding reduction height along Mass. Avenue. So in the case before the Board tonight, the low portion of the building which is before you in a green roof is actually at 65 feet, 20 feet below what's required. And the second portion of that building, its height is 125 feet. So it

1 only seeks a five-foot increase in height 2 under the Special Permit. 3 The building in the back, 22 Windsor 4 Street complies with the 120 height 5 provi si on. 6 Tonight you'll hear from our project 7 planner Mr. Tom Sieniewicz, from Chan, 8 Krieger, Sieniewicz, BBJ. 9 TOM SI ENI EWI CZ: NBB.J. 10 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: NBB.J. 11 They've gone national now. NBBJ. 12 But Mr. Si eni ewi cz has been wi th this 13 effort for many months all through the 14 planning and the rezoning effort. And what 15 you're seeing tonight is probably not much of 16 a surprise since it really is consistent with 17 the massing models that were being shown as 18 we went through the rezoning effort. 19 The project in its simplest description 20 involves two buildings for life science 21 The new building is at the corner research.

181 Mass. Ave. it's

referred to. The building to the rear of that is identified as 22 Windsor Street.

That sits on the site of the former Analog

Devices building. And up until about a week or two ago it was actually still there. But I think if you go by today, it's maybe completely gone. It's come down in the last

of Albany and Mass. Ave.

The third building that is part of the complex but technically not part of the Special Permit application because it's already there and it's merely going to be at a little sprucing up and adaptive reuse as an office building, is the building at 211 Mass. Ave. The MIT armory -- not the armory, the castle building. It was an old -- Mr. Sullivan refers to it as the laundry building. It was originally built, I believe, as a laundry. So at any rate the features of the site involve two new

two weeks.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

buildings and each of the architects for those building is here, and a dynamic courtyard which also addresses the design guideline requirements around pedestrian access and permeability. So each of the architects for those elements will describe them in detail to the Board.

We have been working, as you might imagine in a project for this size, for many, many months with a range of municipal departments and we'd like to just acknowledge the level of cooperation and work that has gone on from the Traffic Department, the Department of Public Works, the Water Department, the city arborist, the PTDM Months and months of reviewing, office. working with consultants and engineers on the project, making sure that things were being designed in accordance with city specifications and standards for areas involving both the public realm as well as

8 9

10

6

7

12

11

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

underlying infrastructure. So as is customary in Article 19 application, the requirements of 19.20 also require the Board to make a determination with regard to traffic. So tonight Scott Thornton of Vanasse is our last presenter and he will share with you the results of the traffic study. The traffic study has been certified, and I think the memorandum from the traffic department suggests that the study is adequate or was done capably, and more importantly that the building as proposed in the mitigation that's contained in the package is adequate to allow the Board to make the necessary findings that there will not be an adverse impact on city traffic.

The design guidelines of Article 19 are set forth in the application. In addition to that, the design guidelines of Special Permit 15 which are in some cases in 19 but in many cases further expanded are also set forth in

the application. And each of the three designers will speak to those design guidelines as well as their building.

The largest focus of our presentation tonight is going to be on this model. It's a little bit of a different style of presentation, but the model really is an achievement and does afford one the opportunity to experience this building particularly in its context in a way that I don't think is often the case in projects of this size.

So having said that, Mr. Sieniewicz would just like to share with you the overall planning and context issues that we address.

TOM SIENIEWICZ: Good evening. It's great to be back before you again to share the evolution of this collaborative process with you. Of course, one that the public has been involved with, the Planning Board, and city staff as Jim referenced. I will focus

of the overall design of the buildings and how they sit in the Cambridge context.

So this project is one that at its heart is about transformation. Novartis is one important element in the transformation in the City of Cambridge into the center of life sciences in the United States and in fact in the world. Novartis has already been part of that with the redevelopment and transformation, as we all know, the Necco factory buildings. These proposed buildings will continue in that transformation.

This rebuilt block shown in the model, the models here, will also be part of the transformation of Central and Kendall Squares and they're continuing expansions towards each other. More than just observers in the city's ongoing planning process around these squares, our team has worked directly with the city's planning consultants and staff on their planning.

2

3

5

4

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

This project is also obviously at the full term between the two squares. construction of the expanded research headquarters now potentially on either side This design will support the of Mass. Ave. continuing expansion of retail front from Central Square through the former manufacturing district at Main Street and towards MIT. The project is not just a hinge but also acts as a connector from Kendall Square to Central Square and to enable the support, these literal pedestrian connections, the project design will still focus as it did in the previous applications for Zoning change, still focuses on the central open courtyard where both workers and the public passing through can stop and linger and perhaps mingle together.

The development transforms the street frontages, obviously on Mass. Ave., Albany, Windsor and Osborne Street and activates the

retail edges where appropriate and where recommended.

Transparency allows all the buildings to invite the public to glance inside to research activities, and conversely the walls enable the workers inside these building to city life outside. At night the buildings will softly glow. This is, of course, a center for life science research.

The talented people that work here are focussed on transforming the world. So tonight we're excited to show you how the development of this master plan that you helped shape has evolved into an architecture and a landscape that explores transparency within and without an architecture that is inspired by light. A place and buildings that at their center are inspired by the natural world. A place that celebrates and supports collaboration and meeting and the useful exchange of brilliant ideas, and a

design shaped by the neighborhoods that surround it ultimately transforming this parking lot into a place we think that Cambridge will be delighted by and extremely proud of.

Novartis felt this assignment, the creation of a place, about collaboration and convention should be borne out of the same type of process, a civic-like discussion, a civic process. So what you see in the model before you is the result of this amazing effort among three world famous artists and designers. They in turn will describe how this city, this place, and the activities planned to go on here and inspired them to be specific solutions.

I'm going to turn over the presentation now to Maya Lin. But before I do that, at the risk of being taken out of context here, this model's kind of hard to see, but this will give you some sense. You can pass this

around as a Board of how the components come together.

MAYA LIN: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, thank you. I'm Maya Lin. And when I was called in by Novartis to begin work on a building that would be collaborative in nature, that would bring scientists together, that would be welcoming to the community, I started envisioning a twin to their existing courtyard which I think once that model makes its way back here, we can put it back in.

What was across the street at 220-250 Mass. Ave. is an urban courtyard, very hardscaped and could I make its complement. Could a make a courtyard that would be soft, green, flush, as well as welcoming, opening, inviting. So that was at the heart of the beginning of the goals.

The second thing was to -- once this balance came out, so you can create a sense

of community for Novartis and their employees, but also let people walk through, take shortcuts through so it's welcoming to the community. One of the things being very acutely sensitive is to try to create a feeling that is much more collegial in scale. The idea of a campus was very deliberate so that people who work at Novartis actually feel they're part of the community. again, trying to be very respectful of the human scale of their environment. That leads to in the design of 181 Mass. Ave. a bipartite building because I was acutely aware of the different historic grids through time that have occurred at this area. think the Charles River actually came up to about this point at one point. And so I began to envision my building as a bit of a joint, a hinge that would actually take this odd intersection of Albany, Mass. Ave., and Osborne Street and turn the corner.

(Ahmed Nur Seated.)

As well as being very acutely aware of what's been going on to welcome storefront activity here, to be able to do the same in our building to allow for storefront frontage all along Windsor and Albany. So that's how it began. And there was also this goal to keep this low enough so that it felt quite in scale to the Shire Building. I think that is why I chose to keep it at 65 feet and to add a grass green roof up top.

Then the tower portion, the light box that is connected to it, is going higher but it's also set back. I was acutely, aware again, of the narrow corridor on Windsor where with the Shire so that literally --

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Osborne.

MAYA LIN: Osborne. I keep flipping
Osborne and Windsor. On Osborne. So

literally as you walk down, it won't be
overpowering to you. So that's why, again,

there's a slope. It's 65 here. It goes to 30 feet at the other end. That's that slant.

As we go on to the next slide, you can see the storefront areas are, again, meant to connect Central heading towards Kendall. So you get a very welcoming street environment that allows you in a way this unusual sort of curve shape has a slope to it. It begins to be a portico entrance that allows you shelter as you enter the building, but it also is your gateway into the garden. And, again, I don't know if you can see the close-up detail of the storefront all along, again, Albany and Windsor.

As we go on to the next slide, there is what you would say, as the weather begins to wreak havoc on the screen, what I would call porous stone screen. It is both heavy and light. At the same time you can see right through it. It will glow at night. It is made out of a local Chelmsford stone. It's

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

about as close to Cambridge as we could get it. And it's also the stone that was used at Quincy Market and a few of the bridges. And you can see we've already begun to really explore building this.

So it has a lightness, it affords privacy to the more office-oriented and meeting room functions that are happening in the curved lower portion, but it's, it's almost more air than stone in a way. though it affords privacy, it actually allows you glimpses in. So it's not creating a wall. It's never saying you don't know what's going on in here. I think a lot of the inherent glow of the taller portion is, again, maybe it's going back to the laboratory glass facilities, to be able to present something that was both soft and iridescent at night but would also talk to -speak to what's going on within it, which is the science and the research and the guest

for knowledge. So that's it.

The other thing, every mechanical's hidden below on both buildings. The parking is hidden below the garden. The loading and off-loading is happening along State Street. So, again, trying to be very respectful to the pedestrian, trying to make it very welcoming, and also to create a very campus-like and human-scaled environment.

Thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.

TOSHIKO MORI: Hello. Good evening.

My name is Toshiko Mori. I'm an architect of

22 Windsor Street. I thank you for the

opportunity to be able to present this

project. Cambridge for me was a bit of a

second home because I've been teaching at TSD

for last 16 years. In fact, I was a resident

for six years living on Gibson Street. So

this is a fantastic and thrilling opportunity

for me to be able to propose a building in

this particular community.

And my building, 22 Windsor really sits on the footprint of pre-existing or nearly demolished building, but it's set back from both Osborne and Windsor Streets and then faces State Street.

In this model, this is just half of your buildings. It's really Main Street is right there. And State Street, as Maya mentioned, serves as a service and a service street for loading dock, garbage removal, parking and bicycle entry, to preserve the pedestrian character of both Osborne and Windsor.

And the main aspects of my building here is the south facade which is shown in the rendering there which has a diagonal stepping up ascent of stairs and mini atrium. And usually in many of the research buildings there is an interior large atrium that is common to many of the scientists, but it's

We.

1 really not visible. It's always a social hub 2 for many scientists. The idea is to make 3 them smaller into five different atriums. 4 have also balcony associated with each atrium 5 so that activity of a scientist interacting 6 with each other is visible in a facade and it 7 adds a liveliness to the facade. And also 8 from inside point of view we have organized 9 every other floor. One floor is quiet. 10 Another floor is noisy. So it forces 11 scientists to go up and down the stairs which 12 is good for their health as well as and for 13 them to engage with the community or they 14 actually know the purpose of science is 15 really for the humanity and idea of giving. 16 Also a community a human face. And that's 17 actually one of the very interesting things 18 and the concept of this building. And at the same time there are a series of what I call 19 20 pop-ups, volumes, which is inside of a lapse 21 but they're also social spaces where the

scientists interact. So those volumes which occupy the corner help to break up the elevation so it give it a different elevation from north and south, north and south, east and west.

And the facade is made up of series of terra-cotta louvers to give textures and warmth, but also there is a regular, a clear windows going throughout to give it uniformity. And south facade is more transparent, east and west translucent, and north in order to be energy efficient is more opaque. So there's a different transparency and translucency that's happening with the facade that again gives a variety to the appearance of this building here.

The main entrance is right here on Windsor Street, here which is quite -- it's about 29 feet set back here. And there's an entrance here on the mezzanine for Novartis employees coming in. And we have also as you

see that center of stairs here, there's the connection to Maya's building which become a bridge which also helps to connect those activities. And this courtyard become a very lively campus of this particular research institution.

And Maya and I, we collaborating together, but we are different designers and different architects. We have different aesthetics, but it also it gives a diverse design solution, variety, but at the same time we are collaborating together to make a very harmonious compound.

Thank you very much.

HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.

MICHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: I'm

Michael van Valkenburgh. I'm a landscape

architect. I grew up in Cambridge although,
you know, when you're 60 somehow 21 is

remembered as being very young. But I moved
here in 1973 and lived in Cambridge until

2000. I still continue to teach at the School of Design and so I'm here regularly. And also I've worked previously with Maya and Toshi ko. And in fact the beginning of my project was a whole year and a half ago, Toshi ko said would you come over to the studio, I have this idea. And I kind of want your opinion about the notion of organizing the buildings around this central space, this landscape at the middle. And specifically that when you enter from Massachusetts Avenue, there would be a very gentle incline of topography. So that, you know, instead of the conventional or I don't know if we can refer to MIT and Harvard as conventional, but that's our standard of conventional in But instead of going into Harvard Cambri dge. Yard or MIT where the campus is very flat, you would come in and there would be a sense Of course, there's a practical of ascending. dimension to that which is that with all of

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the parking coming in from this side, it uses a landscape to kind of rollover the garage. But it's -- the idea that Maya had that we've tried to follow here is that when you're looking in from Massachusetts Avenue and also from the -- from all the other sides as well, there's a sense of welcome and very, very gentle incline. All of the paths are at five percent. If you have movement, limitations or if you're disabled, it's fully compliant with the ADA Act. But there's a sense of simplicity, and also the landscape is not terribly thick. It's a landscape, this is a looking down view on the top there. So it's a piling up a little bit. But the looking in quality at the ground is very much one of transparency. Grace, maybe you can pop that lower image up there, because that's a more recent rendering of some of the character that we're thinking about.

So from the beginning we kind of set

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

aside the idea of a plaza and of centrality. I mean, I think if you would say that there's something common about the academic spaces in Cambridge, they tend to be centerless. tend to be spaces that are very democratic in their order, and that's definitely what we have here. We have a meandering of spaces. It will be a great space if you're a scientist, but it also is a space that if you're moving through the neighborhood, you will be equally welcomed in its organization and the transparency at eye level, you walk in and you'll -- there won't be hidden areas. There will be a sense of transparency and seeing under the trees. The low plants will sort of come up to waste height that when you'll walk in, you'll see everybody that's there and you'll feel safe I think.

The furniture that we're exploring is movable chairs. We were involved in the project of putting the movable chairs in

1	Harvard Square. And Novartis is very I've
2	worked with them before and they're very
3	focussed on.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Excuse me, Michael,
5	did you mean Harvard Yard?
6	MICHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: What did I
7	say?
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Harvard Square.
9	MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: No, not
10	Harvard Square. Harvard Yard. There is a
11	di fference.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: We don't have movable
13	chairs in Harvard Square.
14	MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: No, we
15	don't.
16	But the idea is that there's a series
17	of informal spaces with chairs. If it's a
18	cold day, you can pull the chair into the
19	sun. If it's a sunny day, you can pull it
20	into the shade. And the furniture we're
21	looking at is wood. The planting is

structured by native plants, New England plants. It's not exclusively native. We want to pull in things that the Arnold has introduced at seasonal variety. Especially pushing spring and fall to try to get, I mean, it doesn't feel like the second week of December today, but, you know, a lot of times in Cambridge November's great to be outside, April can be great, and so the plantings really focus on spring and fall as well.

There will be very good illumination.

And in terms of the paving there will be a combination of hard surfaces so that we're super easy to navigate and wheelchairs or people with any issues about walking services, but the area of the garden over here or courtyard will use decomposed granite, the sort of improved version of that that stays plate, stays where it is because of amendment.

And that's basically the idea of the

1	courtyard. Thank you.
2	PAMELA WINTERS: I was just curious
3	whether or not you had considered any sort of
4	a winter garden in that area?
5	MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: You mean
6	in the landscape is there like a greenhouse?
7	PAMELA WINTERS: No, no, just a
8	winter garden that would be berries and
9	MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: Oh, yeah.
10	Definitely. I mean one of the things that
11	was a delight in the process was a request
12	from Novartis to include some birch trees.
13	So there were, like, placing those against
14	evergreens so, you know, it looks good in
15	wi nter.
16	PAMELA WINTERS: Great.
17	MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: Berri es
18	are in the design, but they're always only
19	there until the birds decide they want to eat
20	them.
21	PAMELA WINTERS: No, that's true.

1 MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: They're 2 like a temporary amenity. 3 PAMELA WINTERS: Okay, thank you. 4 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thi s 5 concludes our presentation on design. 6 also have a world famous traffic engineer who 7 can go through his findings. And I know 8 that's one of the more exciting aspects for 9 the evening for many Board members. We have 10 a 500-page appendix, and if you don't believe 11 what he says, I refer to Ms. Clippinger's 12 memo because she ratifies what he's done. 13 We do try to save SCOTT THORNTON: 14 the best for last. 15 Anyway, Scott Thornton with Vanasse and 16 Associates. So just to talk about the 17 preparation of the transportation impact 18 study from the project, I'll just hit on a 19 few highlights. We did prepare the TIS in 20 coordination with the scope issued by the 21 Traffic Department. And we generally

2

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

focussed on the intersections around the So Main Street to the north; Mass. si tes. Ave. to the south; Osborne and Windsor Street about 12 intersections in total. And the thing that's working, one of the things that's working with the traffic analysis for the project is that you have a lot of the -well, the Polaroid building and, Analog Devices building both vacant. Analog Devices building obviously is not there. traffic levels on the streets adjacent to the site are minimal. Mass. Ave. carries about 19,000 vehicles a day. Osborne Street carri es about 200. State Street carri es about 500. And as Maya mentioned, State Street is where the access for the vehicles, for bicycles, for the cars to the garage and the loading is going to occur.

So in addition to the -- in addition to the fact that the vacant buildings out there providing a lot of, a lot of capacity on the

existing roadways that this, that can accommodate the traffic impact from this project, you also have the Novartis Complex at 250 and 220 Mass. Ave., and their PTDM measures that they've been very aggressive in implementing so much so that they're currently showing a 35 percent SOV low chair. And the SOV percentage relates to the percent of employees that drive alone to work. So only about 35 percent of their employees choose to drive to the garage.

So, when you combine the two, those two aspects with the load of traffic volume in the area and then those aggressive PTDM measures, we're showing there's no change in level of service at any of the intersections in the study area.

In fact, the other board shows the Special Permit criteria summary. And in the five categories the trip generation with the vehicle level of service, traffic on

residential streets, the pedestrian level of service, and the vehicle queues at the intersections is 171 total criteria that were reviewed. And the project exceeds seven of those and meets 164. Of those seven that are met, that are exceeded, four of them occur at one intersection. The intersection of Osborne Street and Main Street, and those are -- those occur under existing conditions. So with or without the project you would still have those exceedances.

The other three indicators are related to the trip generation and to pedestrian level of service at the Windsor Street intersection.

So, in summary, you know, we think that the aggressive PTDM measures, in fact, the capacity out there is -- the two things together are gonna work with this project, minimize the effect of the traffic generation from the project, and also minimize the

1 effect on the street effort. 2 And I'll turn it back over to Jim. 3 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 4 finally, we're in complete compliance with 5 the directives provided to us by Ms. Paden. 6 The two buildings, the courtyard, and traffic 7 study in less than 30 minutes. We're now 8 pleased to report that through our 9 presentation and eager to respond to any 10 inquiries that the Board may have. 11 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, thank you. 12 So this is also going to be a public 13 hearing. So do people want to ask questions 14 before or go on to the public hearing? 15 Hugh, I actual do CHARLES STUDEN: 16 have a question. 17 HUGH RUSSELL: Sure. 18 CHARLES STUDEN: I know we're going 19 to have an opportunity to talk further about 20 the design aspects of this project and I can 21 tell you already I'm liking very much what I

20

21

It's really quite interesting, and see here. responds, I think, to some of the earlier discussions that we had regarding this development earlier. But one of the things that no one talked about, and I'm curious about, is with the entrance to the complex mid-block, between Windsor Street and Albany Street and the need for pedestrians, I assume, to move from the existing Novartis campus on foot very often, are they going to be running across Mass. Ave. mid-block to get to the entrance of the building or will there be crosswalks at Windsor or Albany? It seems very confusing to me and I wondered if someone can comment on that.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, essentially we have had extensive conversations with the Traffic Parking and Transportation Department about that.

Currently there is a signalized crosswalk as you know at Albany and Mass. Ave. And the

20

21

plan does not contemplate any additional crosswalks across Mass. Ave. And I think there's -- we have discussed that, and I think it's probably best to leave the rationale behind that to the department I think there's some thinking responsi bl e. that pedestrians should be encouraged to use the crosswalks at the signalized intersection. But beyond that, I think I would probably be speaking out of turn. So I will say that it was a subject of conversation and, yes, there will be exchanges of people and employees across the street and we're planning on using existing crosswalks to accommodate them.

CHARLES STUDEN: And one further clarification actually, and that has to do with public access to the open space which I like very much. I see in the document references to controlled public access, and I wondered exactly what that meant. I think on

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

page 13, I'm not sure, I can't remember, there was some that would be accessible only during business hours. Does that mean that some way that space will be secured at the perimeters during non-business hours and on weekends or is it going to be open for the public to use it always?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I would say with confidence not always. I think there is the off hour and when -- how off hours get defined. But there definitely is a need to balance openness, permeability and public access with some very real control and security issues. Candidly we continue to explore what options exist, and we'd spent a great deal of time in the month leading up to this hearing looking at alternatives, and it became clear to us that the solution needs to be a collaborative one from the operational side at Novartis, from the design team, both the architects of the buildings and the

landscape architect, and the informed advice of the Community Development Department about what expectations are around this. So, our hope would be that we would be able to achieve a decision in this process that allowed for a return, either to the staff or perhaps more appropriately ultimately to the Board, for approval as to how that gets implemented. But there will need to be an ability to limit off hours late at night access through the courtyard.

CHARLES STUDEN: And I would suggest that that has the potential to significantly impact the design depending on what control measures are.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: We don't disagree, and that's why it became clear to us frankly that it couldn't be simply an after thought. It does require the collective input of building designers, landscape architects, and program operators

1 at Novartis. So, it was clear -- to be 2 perfectly honest, we were sitting in a room 3 looking at an exhaustive number of issues and 4 someone noticed on the calendar that the 5 timeline that they've got all around the room 6 calls for the building to be open in February 7 And we realize, well, we might have of 2015. 8 more than a week to come up with the answer 9 So the thinking was we -- it's been 10 prominent in our thinking, and even more so 11 when we brought the design to the staff, very 12 high on the list, a clear guideline issue in 13 Special District 15. So it's very mindful, 14 and I think we're now filtering through the 15 operational side of Novartis as well as the 16 design side. It's going to take a little bit 17 of a while, but the strong conviction is 18 there exists the capability to come up with 19 the solution that satisfies everyone. 20 CHARLES STUDEN: Thank you. 21 HUGH RUSSELL: I think we'll want to

1 hear from Traffic Transportation and Parking 2 Department at some point. Do you want to do 3 that now or before the --4 CHARLES STUDEN: I'm wondering if 5 perhaps we could. It seems that we're on the 6 topic and this is in reference to the 7 mid-block crossing idea. And the idea that 8 pedestrians will actually cross at the 9 intersections at the ends and I'm wondering 10 about that. 11 HUGH RUSSELL: Sue. 12 SUSAN CLI PPI NGER: Sue Clippinger. 13 You have the memo that we prepared. I mean, 14 the significant things here are that Novartis 15 has been very active on their existing 16 building in providing good TDM strategies. 17 They have a very low parking ratio. So those 18 are two very strong components of this 19 project. 20 We obviously strongly support the use 21 of State Street for the functional activities

2

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and providing the improved pedestrian environments for Osborne and Windsor. So I think that sort of was what the overall of stuff.

In terms of the mid-block crossing, you'll be really surprised to hear that I'm not a big advocate of a mid-block crossing of Mass. Ave. between two signalized locations. The existing Novartis building does have a door on Landsdowne Street which lines up with the corner where there's one crosswalk. sure there will be people who will go running across the street, but I think we would be very, very uncomfortable making that an organized crossing location. We want people using either Albany or Landsdowne. It does, it is on either side of the two buildings And we're obviously that connect there. trying to make sure that Mass. Ave. functions well. Those intersections function well.

It would be really hard to provide the

1 level of service for mid-block crossing there 2 without having a huge impact on traffic. 3 And, therefore, not necessarily making it 4 safer for the pedestrians who might not wait 5 for the light or wait for the proper 6 crossi ng. 7 Sue, where are most CHARLES STUDEN: 8 of the Novartis employees who are working in 9 the existing campus, where would they be 10 coming? Would they be walking in fact down 11 Landsdowne Street to get to the complex or is 12 there a, is there a -- what I'm not clear of, 13 and none of the drawings show this, is where 14 is the connection to the Novartis complex? 15 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 16 (Indicating.) On Windsor. 17 CHARLES STUDEN: 18 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: At Mass. 19 and Windsor. It's the gap between the Necco 20 building and the new 220 Mass. Ave. building. 21 There's a courtyard there. And that's --

1 that's the chief entrance. 2 CHARLES STUDEN: So that works then 3 I think I'm understanding that there's a 4 crosswalk at Windsor. 5 SUSAN CLI PPI NGER: At Landsdowne. 6 CHARLES STUDEN: Not one at Windsor? 7 SUSAN CLIPPINGER: No. 8 CHARLES STUDEN: Okay. 9 HUGH RUSSELL: So what you're saying 10 is that you couldn't just write a crosswalk 11 at Windsor because that wouldn't -- that 12 would be unsafe for the pedestrians. 13 have to put a pedestrian light there and a 14 pedestrian light messes up the traffic? 15 SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Yes. On a street 16 like Mass. Ave. and you've got relatively 17 short blocks, it's very hard to just strike a 18 crosswalk and have cars yield. They're, 19 like, light, light, what am I gonna 20 do? And I believe we had experience prior 21 before the Landsdowne signal was actually in

21

which was part of the Mass. Ave. project where there was a crosswalk there and it was very hard to get vehicles to yield at that l ocati on. So it's one of those things where you have a very hard time providing the level of service people are expecting because it's very hard to get the cars to yield and not expecting it with the lights and with the nature of the Mass. Ave. And so if you wanted it to be functioning safely, you would add a light. When you add a light, you're also adding delay for the person who wants to walk there waiting for that light, and you have short blocks that you're trying to manage the traffic through. So it gets to be a sort of logistical nightmare where everybody ends up being unhappy. And so, you know, we're advocating that those crossings are occurring at the two signalized locations that exist today which is Landsdowne and Al bany.

too think it's an interesting issue. How does it work in Central Square? I mean, where the traffic is stopped all the time. We have crosswalks there. As a matter of fact, I thought one of the fantastic things about Central Square, I was amazed that when people are in the crosswalks, it does slow down the traffic. So why wouldn't that -- what's the difference between this area and that area?

SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Well, I think that at Pleasant the pedestrian volume is enormous, and the friction of the bus stop there is also incredibly beneficial in getting -- and that's probably the highest yielding crosswalk in the city. And I think Temple works well but not as well as that, but Temple is -- you're sort of through the difficult parts whereas here we're talking about the Sidney, Sidney Extension

intersection is a very busy one and both
Albany and Vassar are incredibly busy
intersections. It has a different character
than the heart of Central Square. The
pedestrian volume is not going to be as high.

HUGH RUSSELL: Plus the vehicle speeds are higher. It slowed in Central Square because of the design to make people slow down.

SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Exactly.

AHMED NUR: One thing. I do think that this is really important to talk about. I think it's the only issue that I actually have, I agree with Charles, is the additional (inaudible). One question that I have for you real quick. The brown orange building of Harvard on Mass. Avenue has that same issue where they -- the pedestrians walk or on bikes is an issue, a constant so you have to stop by law and so you just come out of the traffic fire station there's you keep going

and all of a sudden, bang, someone goes here 1 2 someone goes there. 3 Where are you? SUSAN CLI PPI NGER: 4 HUGH RUSSELL: The Cambridge Street. 5 AHMED NUR: The identical two 6 buildings across from one another. 7 UNI DENTI FI ED WOMAN: CGI S. 8 SUSAN CLI PPI NGER: The two orange 9 buildings. I got it. 10 Orange brown. AHMED NUR: But that 11 is a busy crossing. And I remember sometimes 12 just sitting there for a minute or two just 13 saying this person was on the phone coming 14 across or what not, by law. So I'm wondering 15 with the traffic light that you have there, 16 are you going to have those by law you have 17 to stop even though it's a green light and 18 people from Novartis going back and forth, or other pedestrians in the summertime there's 19 20 coffee shops, and I'm pretty sure there's 21 going to be congestion at that corner.

1	There's an open parking lot at MIT where the
2	nuclear thing is. So I'm just wondering are
3	you going to have the sign there that says by
4	law you have to stop for pedestrian?
5	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: No, because there
6	is no location where you have to stop for a
7	pedestrian because they're signalized.
8	AHMED NUR: The Al bany and Mass.
9	Ave. ?
10	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Yes. Where it's
11	signalized, you know, it's good not to hit
12	the pedestrian, but they're not supposed to
13	be crossing when you have the green light.
14	AHMED NUR: Okay.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Thank you,
16	Sue.
17	Let's proceed on with the public
18	hearing portion. Only James Williamson has
19	signed up on the sign-up sheet. So, James,
20	would you like to speak first?
21	JAMES WILLIAMSON: Sure. I didn't

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-- I was surprised at how quickly the hearing got underway so I missed -- and if I may just have one 30 second. I just want to quickly look at something. Oh, okay.

I'd like to start by framing my comments with a quote from an official Swiss government publication, facts and figures about biotechnology and pharmaceuticals, specifically Novartis. Established in 1996 following the merger between two of Basel's most renowned companies, Ciba-Geigy and Sandoz. At that time this was the world's The creation of Novartis was largest merger. preceded by the merger between Ciba and Geigy. In 1948 Geigy researcher Paul Muller was awarded the Nobel Prize for his discovery of the insecticide DDT. And Sandoz became famous throughout the world following his development of the psychedelic drug LSD. There's a footnote, DDT is still one of the important insecticides in use today to combat

Malaria. So from DDT to LSD.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So it the existing converted Necco building there across the street? I'm amazed at the enormity of this proposal, but I don't think there's a lot that can be done about I'm just gonna focus on the things that. about which I think something maybe can be One of the things that I brought up in done. the past with various people, including people working with Goody Clancy, who I think had some hand in this indirectly at least, is a very pleasant pedestrian pathway that goes from the MIT Science Museum, up that street, through this lot where there is a kind of meander I guess would be one way of calling it, and then through an archway, and you can actually do a diagonal line from the MIT Museum all the way through, you can actually cross the railroad tracks at a crossing that's been designed by the cogeneration plan at MIT, and you can actually go right through

3

4

5

7

6

9

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MIT on a diagonal. And my concern is to preserve that desire line if you will. I think it seems to be at least somewhat preserved in the design. I'm not sure what's gonna happen with the new building behind it from where you all are sitting. But I do have a concern, and I would ask you to give some attention on how that path works through the site. And also I know that in the past I think I remember Paul Dietrich, when he was Chair, would sometimes express concerns about bridges and I don't know if any of you are concerned about the way that bridge works or doesn't work, contributes or doesn't contribute, but I would ask you to give some attention to that.

I guess the last thing is just to emphasize that as I understand the criteria, public pedestrian connections are encouraged, open space landscapes areas and pedestrian pathways should be integrated into the site

	plan. I think it is important that when you
2	look at whether the public spaces will indeed
3	be public, this was just alluded to, and
4	there was some discussion about it, that you
5	don't just take the word of somebody in one
6	of the city agencies for what might satisfy
7	the public in terms of public access, but
8	bring the public in as much as possible so
9	the public can decide whether the public
10	amenity of a public space is indeed
11	satisfactory for the public. And I'm not
12	saying that it won't be, but I think it's
13	important that there be robust public access
14	to a purportedly public space. Otherwise
15	PAMELA WINTERS: James, thank you.
16	JAMES WILLIAMSON: Yeah, those are
17	my comments.
18	Thank you.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: Does anyone else wish
20	to speak?
21	AHMED NUR: State your name and

address for the reporter, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

GEORGE METSKER: George Metsker, 90 I happen to be the President Antrim Street. of the Central Square Business Association, but I'm not here speaking for that if that's possi bl e.

I have no particular comment on the design proposal or some of the things that you're focussed on with the buildings, but I would like to emphasize the issues of urban design because the decisions on what goes on in the courtyard, much as we might like it or not, is in the courtyard and I'm much more interested on what goes on on the edges of the building and how it meets the city and how it extends what's going on in the city and how it is changing what goes on in the Central Square as been creeping to the ci ty. east with the first Novartis development. And one might say it stopped at Lafayette But now with both MIT's Forest City Square.

developments to the east of -- east of

Lafayette Square and this development across

the street from Novartis, clearly there's an

extension of what everyone might want to call

it, an urban center activity farther down to

the edge of MIT essentially dissolving the no

man's land that used to be there. So I think

we need to see that this is an urban place

now. It is part of Central Square or the

character of Central Square.

So a couple of comments: With all due respect to my friend Sue Clippinger, the nature of an urban district is that the pedestrian rules and cars go through at their peril quite frankly. We don't want them to go fast, so I think the more one can cross the street from one side to the other, changes the character from a strip mall to a convenient shopping destination for everybody.

So let's talk about shopping. It would

seem to me, and I don't have a good sense of the level of intensity of ground floor use, but I would like to see everything that's visible from Mass. Avenue on this building to be at the ground floor; public access, retail or other kinds of spaces, because this is a whole and significant block of the city and it needs to contribute its part to making the city a liveable place for everybody. while I understand there are sides of the block that need to be in service, there are as James said, connections perhaps through the block that might be important as part of the pathways if one enjoys going through -- I think those ground floor experiences are a paramount experience of a paramount importance in where we ought to put a lot of emphasis of making it truly public, truly accessible, truly lively and truly interesting.

HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you, George.

1	Does anyone else wish to speak?
2	(No Response.)
3	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, I see no one
4	wishing to speak.
5	So shall we close the hearing for oral
6	testi mony?
7	(All board members in agreement).
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Leaving it open to
9	the written testimony to the extent of what
10	we do.
11	(All board members in agreement).
12	HUGH RUSSELL: We've heard from Sue.
13	Roger, do you want to tell us what your
14	thoughts are about this project?
15	ROGER BOOTHE: Yes. I think it's a
16	pretty incredible set of ideas that have come
17	together here and in an extraordinary way, so
18	I'm very, very excited about what this can do
19	in that transformative way that Tom
20	Sieniewicz was talking about. I think the
21	whole team is very committed to it. At first

I was concerned about a low building on Massachusetts Avenue because we want Massachusetts Avenue to be quite robust. But I think what Maya Lin has done is very brilliant in making the lower volume because it has so much strength because of the incredible facade and the dramatic entryway that was hardly focussed on. I don't know if you have one of the boards that shows that But that lobby is extremely lobby there. powerful. The central column, the sense of space going through. And I think what they've succeeded in doing in this design effort is weaving together the landscape, two very different buildings, the pattern of movement, and the flowing of space and transparency in a way that, you know, I think that this is just going to be fabulous. only one problem I am worried about is the ability to flow through here. I understand there are security concerns, but it would be

21

a shame if this felt like you couldn't go in It's been upsetting not to be able to there. walk through Harvard Yard now, and we all know why that is, and I'm sure that's part of what's on people's minds here. You want it to just be safe for people. I think part of the promise is it's going to enliven this area in a very special way. Councillor Reeves was saying here before the meeting he doesn't want another Forest City where no one goes to that open space. We really want people to go there. At the same time we respect the need that Novartis has for safety and so forth. And I think they have a very good record with their existing courtyard, which is, the Board will remembers they came to you and it has the little gateway that we spent a lot of time thinking about how that should be done. And I think it's not a space that draws you in as much because their spaces are much smaller. And this, the

spaces here are so flowing and transparent, I have a really hard time imagining to wall them off without -- I share Charles's concern. You don't want to have this gorgeous thing and then, you know, make it so you wish you could go in, but you can't. So, but I sense there's a real commitment to continue to work on this and I think every other aspect of the project is quite brilliant.

HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.

So I'm going to transition from the sublime to the ridiculous. I had three concerns. Mr. Williamson spoke to one of them. Making sure that pathway through the adjacent block has a ready pedestrian connection through the path that goes through. I think it's not ideal, but it's a very permanent plan. When we were approving 650 Main Street, we wanted to see that pathway continue. So that's one of the

pi eces.

19

So the ridiculous thing is on the back of the laundry building there's a funny And you can see it if you -little fin. it's an addition which does not appear to be in the same brick as the building which partially blocks a window of the building. And there's sort of a little walled courtyard which looks in part to be original. lt's hard for me to tell. But that little fin seems to me to be something that ought to be removed to make the experience of your project better. And I don't think you'll have too much of a trouble with Mr. Sullivan if -- I mean, I can't imagine what's in that It's about five feet wide and two fin. stories tall. And so that's my --THOMAS ANNI NGER: The analogy of the Library.

20 HUGH RUSSELL: The analogy of the 21 library?

THOMAS ANNINGER: Some of the additions were eliminated.

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes, right. When the library was redone, part of the plan was -- plan involved removing former additions that sort of were interfering with the movement on the site. And frankly, the expression of an aesthetic (inaudible) that we soon forget. So that's a very small point.

I know, Tom, when we were out there looking at it, you were commenting on how unsightly the glass wall offices were on the building across the street. And I think that's actually one of the rationales for the perforated granite facade because that will hide the messy offices in part from the street, but keep your glimpses through rather than sort of display. If you stand across at your building and look across at the second Novartis building, you find some people are very neat and some people are not very neat

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and it's right in your face. And it may be just a little too much in your face.

THOMAS ANNINGER: Maybe I can pick up on that.

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.

THOMAS ANNINGER: Yes, I remember when that building came to us already a few years ago, it was sold to us under the heading of transparency. And what we are really subjected to is less transparency and more -- a very un-private intrusion into the whole spectrum of how people order their office from minimalist tidy to the opposite extreme of troublesome offices that you don't want to see. And frankly, in parentheses, I wish Novartis would do something about a couple of employees there. But going beyond that, I see that you've responded to that, as Hugh said, with a privacy screen, which makes a lot of sense to me. But I think I'd like to take the point one step further, which is

4

5

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to talk about the transparency of all the rest of the building. I think we want to have some discussion about how we can have some assurances that what happened on that other building that we just talked about won't happen here. The beautiful looking through from one side of the building to the other could easily be obscured if you allow something to come between them. Maybe the design of the atrium and those kinds of things will prevent that from happening, but I wish somebody would talk about how, how you can be sure that we won't be subjected to clutter because of that transparency. That's my question.

While I have the floor let me just make one comment which is a small one. But it I ooks to me when we go to Osborne Street, which is what Hugh was talking about in terms of that passageway, it looks to me like while we're talking about animating the street

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

' '

20

21

level, it seems that we've given up on Osborne Street. On one side we have an old factory warehouse now offices that has no doors for just about a whole block. And, is therefore, quite dead one could almost call And it seems that you have not tried on it. the other side to try to enliven it and make it somewhat more of a passageway. But maybe Well, I guess I am wrong and I'm I'm wrong. glad, then, to see that. Maybe you can -then I won't put it in the form of a What have you done to Osborne questi on. Street?

MAYA LIN: Opened it up

deliberately. There are, I imagined

translucent curtains on the inside. But the

idea was to allow enough light out so that

even when it's dark out, you feel safe and it

lights your way. Another thing is my

building is actually translucent, not

transparent because, again, I don't want to

put anyone inside feeling like they're in a fish bowl. So it's fritted. It's a soft frit. It doesn't make you dizzy. But, again, creates a diffusion so that they have privacy. And then the way I've set up the interior, the working labs are inside. Those are corridors with little temporary work stations so that you don't ever get -- it works both ways. It's sort of the same way the stone screen works, the frit on the glass allows for what I call almost a glow of translucency but not transparent.

TOSHIKO MORI: And also to this, the building has a layout, all that lab furniture, offices are set in, inside of it.

And that's, as I mentioned before, there's about 40 feet of space, the social space, which is really controlled here. Because there are no offices here. It's all conference rooms behind a screen. So what you see, you don't see anybody's desk or

lunch on the side for sure.

And then on this other facade there are definitely louver or translucent up to two feet high, but all the desks and everything are set back in Maya's, there's a passageway around it. So all your lab tables and so forth are set back quite a bit, five or six feet off the facade, so there's actually no direct view of anyone's desks from the street. So we have taken that into consideration in planning those buildings very well.

THOMAS ANNI NGER: Thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Other comments? Why don't you start, Bill.

WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay. This project is very interesting because for me it -- as I look at the architecture, I understand what you're doing. I don't have any real issue with it, and there's a lot of things that I like. But boy am I having a difficult time

18

19

20

21

fitting this in to the overall context of the stretch of Mass. Ave. Even the Osborne Street conversation we just had. Osborne is fairly narrow. There's a building right there. You look at that view, the person on the street just will experience the whole thing around it, not just the view. think that one of the problems I'm having is that it's so inwardly focussed, and a lot of it is the way you're presenting it, we're looking at what's on the site. Something as simple, just having the desire lines of the pedestrians it's life of the museum down to MIT and how does this either help it or not. It's a real issue for me. So I'll talk about a couple of things.

You know, I think I'll start with the open space. I'm sensitive to open spaces.
I'll give you a little story. When
University Park was designed, there was a street in front of the two first buildings

21

There's a park and there's that were there. a, there was an actual street where taxis could drive up and park off. And I'm just describing something that's maybe -- you might not understand, but at that time we, Paul Dietrich and I were asked to go to Forest City and talk about -- and they had a change they wanted to make. They wanted to take the street out. And I remember, and at the time only two members of the Planning Board could go to those -- would being coming and talking to you, but the rule was only two members of the Planning Board could do that. So Paul Dietrich and I did that. And we went and we talked and we chatted. And I remember saying, yeah, I guess you can take that street out. I have regretted that decision ever since, because I think if there was a street there, it would have been an island kind of and there would have been a separation from it. Now it's a big front

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

yard and it's a -- for which is supposed to be a very public place, isn't a public place. So when I look at this, I guess I have a question, which you don't have to answer it now, but I have a question is what, what is this? Is it a park? Is it a big corporate front yard? What makes it what it is? And the idea of it having limited control with the kind of openness that you have, that you're showing there, is mind boggling to me as to how you do it. And, Mr. Rafferty, I think that I hear you when you said you all were thinking about, so you have some time. That to me is such a critical piece of how this open space is going to work. And you have such a creative team here, that I just think that you have to tell me at least what you're doing there for me to feel comfortable that you've made the right decisions.

And it's almost like, it's almost like we have this interesting little jewel of

architecture and all these little pieces of it still need to be worked out. The fact that the entrances are where they are causes people to want to go through mid-block, the entrances. And it's not like, you know, this is just cast in stone. So I think that -and I'm sure the mid-block issue is a city issue, too, as we have heard tonight. We have to have some interim discussions with But pedestrian flow around this is that. very, very important. And I would guess that as far as who the pedestrians are and how they flow in this open space is going to be a big -- it's going to be a big thing in making it work the way you want to. Is it just -- I mean, I literally am imagining myself, as a matter of fact, this is what I thought of. When you talked about the mid-block crossing, I'm walking down Mass. Ave., coming from Central Square going towards MIT, the MIT dome is beckoning me which it does from

1 Central Square, and I get down to here, and I 2 say oh, my God, look at this big, huge open 3 And look at -- and I'm going to go space. 4 across the street. And I mean that's -- it's 5 not only the Novartis folks who are going 6 back and forth, but I think the regular folks 7 are there, too. So there's a big context issue here for me which I'm just having a 8 9 hard time placing. And I think part of it is 10 just that I don't see or I'm not hearing 11 enough about what you thought about the 12 context. Even the materials you talked 13 about, that you are using materials that 14 either beckoned other materials and stuff. 15 I'm not, I'm not sure. And I think I'm 16 reasonably professional and have a reasonable 17 professional background that I should be able 18 to imagine this in place. And it's just a 19 lot of things. There's a difference of scale 20 There's a little buildings and big here. 21 buildings, and there's open spaces and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

there's the kind of that little as it connects to MIT, and you talk about campus but there's green -- I'm just having a tough time. So I think you'll need to help me understand that a little bit better.

The ground floors. As I look at these very large pieces of glass and very large expansive pieces of ground floor, I'm interested in just what the intention is Because, again, these images, it's there. really nice, but I also think of the new cancer building at MIT on Main Street. And I remember them coming to us and saying oh, you're going to walk by there and it's going to be glass and there's a gallery and people are going to get a sense of -- and that's not working either to the way they said. just want to make sure that as nice as you're -- I mean, look at that. I mean, as I look at that, it looks nice and architecturally, but I want to know what's going there and

1

2

what is -- and am I as I'm just a person walking down the street, going to be beckoned into that very large orange space, glowing space that you have now or is that -- and I think a lot of it is more, it's not the design because the design intent is there, but it's the reality of how it's going to be built and developed and who are you going to encourage to be there. So that kind of very broad glass area with all that activity in the back looks good, but is it going to happen? I mean, and that's a real concern because I think from -- I've been on the Board a long time, and I just been, I'm a little leery of seeing these kind of images and then looking at the reality that turns up So I want to hear and maybe it's afterwards. from Novartis and from the developers as to, okay, given this design concept how, what are they going to do to make sure it works.

So I think I've said enough for the

1 time being.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.

Charl es.

CHARLES STUDEN: Yes, sort of picking up on what Bill has just said having to do with, you know, in particular with pedestrian circulation and access. Somewhere in the document reference is made to the fact that an entrance wasn't placed for the building at 181 Massachusetts Avenue on the corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Albany Street because that it could potentially conflict with pedestrian activity associated with the future urban ring stop or station I ocati on. I thought that was kind of And because when you look at i nteresti ng. the larger site plan and the adjacent uses, I don't know what plans MIT has for the corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Albany Street adjacent from this building, but there's a side to me that looks at this and says well

maybe there should be an entrance on that corner or not. And, again, this is related to this whole discomfort I have about the entrance being located where it is. It's a very elegant -- I mean, I'd like to say that I do very much like what you've come up with for this project. I really like the architecture, and I like the open space and so on, but I'm just bothered a little bit about the way people are actually going to use the space. So that's one thing.

And then the other is I'm going to step out here on the granite, floating granite screen. I understand why you're doing it on some levels, but there's another side to me that finds it somewhat disconcerting, the idea that you have this heavy material that's associated with foundations. And more typically building walls and so on, floating in the air. And I just wondered whether the same thing couldn't be achieved, because I

think it's very elegant and beautiful and I understand why you're trying to do it with some other manmade material that would be more cost-effective and lighter and easier to build and so on and so forth. Again, I could probably be convinced. I need to think about it a little bit longer. My first reaction to it was kind of wow, it seems like an odd use of material in that particular location.

HUGH RUSSELL: Pam.

PAMELA WINTERS: Well, I really like the project. I think the buildings are beautiful. I think they're elegant. I think they're placed -- the placement is very well thought out. And I really like, Charles, your comment about the -- sort of the building that I'm looking at right here that has the spongy quality to it with a green roof. I really like that. And whether it's that material or another material, I like the concept. I love the fact that it has a green

roof.

I like the thoughtfulness that was given to the pedestrians, but I also heard Mr. Metsker, and I also think that perhaps some more work can be done by staff or just some more thoughtfulness given to the pedestrian issues.

And my last question is for Bill. I would like to know a little bit more about what you're thinking. Your question was about the open space. Is it the location of the open space? Is it the grouping of the trees and the greenery? What exactly is it that bothers you about it?

WILLIAM TIBBS: I guess I wouldn't say it bothers me. I just want to hear more later about what you're trying to do because it's, to me it could easily be a very urban front corporate front yard. I mean -- and it's all about how you flow through it, whether or not people are invited into it or

13141516

17

18

19 20

21

Orisita park? I mean is it -- I not. think of Post Office Square and is it something like that? Or is it -- I'm not sure what it is. And I guess as I look at these images, it's almost like the images defy what I see. And I mean, I guess I need a lot more explanation as to why you have a That could be good. lot of trees. But I mean what's going on there? And good. what are you trying to accomplish with this not just the raising up and in a place for people so sit, but relative to the Novartis people who are working there and the people of Cambridge that may not be associated with Novartis, but this is very much a part of their place. What are you trying to do with this urban space?

I think of -- I forgot it now, in my mind I think it's Kevin Lynch, but I could be wrong. But somebody, I remember in my training somewhere, there was a device where

1

if you walked down the street, it was almost like a figure ground, kind of, you walked down the street and you looked at where the street opens up, either visually or physically, and that really makes -- it says a lot about a place. And as I walk down here and see this, it's almost like -- if you think of it just from the Mass. Ave. and sidewalk context, there's a big appendage that opens up for you as you go there and what does that mean? Is it just the entrance to the buildings or is it a place that people want to go or whatever. So, and places can be very nice or very uninviting or very un-nice just based on just what you're trying to do there. So I don't say I dislike it at all. I just, I don't understand its context so I don't understand how to assess it. And I think that I'd be very interested in you telling me more about this as we go forward. And last question, PAMELA WINTERS:

will there be a water element in the park?Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

HUGH RUSSELL: Ted.

H. THEODORE COHEN: Well, Bill, your last comment about context was very good. really been of two minds about this ever since I've seen the plans. I think the buildings are exquisite. I mean, really think they're gorgeous and I like them a lot, but I just don't see them on Mass. Ave. Ιt just is -- and I came, you know, being prepared to be wowed and to be put aside my concerns about things, and because I didn't have the right vision. But I'm -- and I'm still prepared to go that way and to be convinced that, you know, this is just going to be the most fabulous thing in Mass. Ave. and in Cambridge. But, Bill, I think you've been articulating a lot of my concerns, that I don't understand courtyard area. And now I'm even more troubled by it by the concept

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that it's going to be blocked off at certain periods of time. And I can't envision from the plans I'm seeing or from this model how you're going to block it off and make it something that's not totally different from what we're looking at right now.

I'm not concerned about the mid-block I don't mind that at all. I think crossi ng. people cross Mass. Ave. from one end to the other wherever they feel like crossing, and they'll continue to do that regardless of where we have the crosswalks. You know, I think the height is fine. I don't have any problems with that. It's actually, I think, the low piece that is confusing me. think like Roger said, you know, maybe that's what the Zoning was intended to do, but somehow it's not fitting to me. But things I like really at this end of Mass. Ave., I like the old Necco building. I like the new Novartis building. My favorite building is

1	the Metropolitan storage building which has
2	such heft to it. And, you know, I understand
3	the concept of transparency, and I don't mind
4	that you can look into the windows or
5	buildings. I just don't see it at this spot.
6	And, you know, but I'm really prepared to say
7	that, you know, I just don't have the right
8	vision and that it will be fabulous. But I
9	would be interested in Learning more about it
10	and hearing more about it.
11	PAMELA WINTERS: Ted, is this the
12	low building down here with the green roof
13	that you're talking about?
14	H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes, with the
15	green roof.
16	PAMELA WINTERS: Okay.
17	H. THEODORE COHEN: Which, you know,
18	it's 65 feet. So it's not really that low,
19	but, you know, it just seems with everything
20	else it's small.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: Well, I'm going to

1 jumpin --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2 H. THEODORE COHEN: Go, pl ease.

HUGH RUSSELL: -- to add some

di al ogue here.

When the rezoning was up for grabs, this was a question that was discussed. And so I went down and took a whole series of pictures starting from Central Square and down to the Metropolitan warehouse, and my impression was beforehand, that the Necco building was kind of typical, and there was a, it was a big building there, but it's not You know, there are four-story true. buildings along there, and they are buildings that I'd like -- I'll say like the fraternity house, that's gonna stay there for a long It's not a transitional thing. time. whole section is -- the 65 feet is perfect, like, to be saying I'm one another event along there. It's actually, I mean, it's bigger than a lot -- taller.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The other thing that's interesting about the granite screen is that all these buildings with perhaps the only real exception of the Novartis No. 2 building across the street, they're masonry buildings. They may be brick. They may be stone. They may be a combination of them. There may be some sort of modern materials. And it may be like the Necco building. A brick building with huge windows and, of course, it's hard for us to remember -- it's hard to see those huge windows because they were glass blocked for the first 40 years that I lived in the It was such a closed off building. ci ty.

So, I think the response to Mass.

Avenue to me is a very interesting response because it says I'm the size of building,

I've got the masonry materials, but I'm a

21st century building and I'm a different kind of building.

The other thing that I just love is the

1	soaking soffit which, you know, the brilliant
2	combination of the need for an auditorium
3	which creates that floor and changes the
4	whole discussion. People don't put in
5	slipping soffits like that, you know, because
6	of or what do you do with the space? And
7	so it's inviting it. So I'm very happy about
8	that portion. And it's on the other hand
9	it's not thumpy and sort of, you know,
10	pedestrian. That's the character of the
11	stretch of Mass. Avenue, is kind of
12	pedestrian, buildings without tremendous
13	character. This building is not one of
14	those.
15	So if it's out of character, it's out
16	of character because it's leading us forward
17	into the future.
18	WILLIAM TIBBS: Just if you don't
19	mi nd.
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Yes.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: I think I agree with

you and I think probably the thing that I'm missing is the team's doing what you just did, which says I took photographs of the buildings and here's the scale and here's the issue so that it's kind of leaving us to do that as opposed to use as part of your analysis or you're looking at the things in a broader context.

I think, I mean, George hit it on the nose. He was talking about it's an urban. Is this the new 21st century urban context which you're kind of implying? And if so, tell us about it. And since we're not a building design Board, we are a Planning Board, so I guess I want to hear more in terms of how this building design, what it says about the planning for this area of Cambridge and what it says about the community and a little bit more of that and a little bit less focussed on it. So, yeah, I'll just leave it at that time.

HUGH RUSSELL: Ahmed.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Well, this corner, AHMED NUR:

referring to your comment, whether this building fits in that corner or not, a lot of work that needs to be done with the -- for lack of a better word -- MIT energy power plant on this corner and the parking lot That railroad is going through and so open. on and so forth. I think that I'd welcome this building in any corner of Mass. Avenue and any other spot that we all spoke of. And Roger had complimented incredible architectural looking. The only, because I was late, the only question I have rather than a comment is that in that lower, the 60-foot two-story, three-story building that you have on the green roof. Four-story is it? Is that a -- there is a parapet on the edge; right? A knee wall of some sort?

19 20

MAYA LIN: Uh-huh. There will be a glass railing wall. It's set back so that

21

1	people that are out there fixing it, even
2	though it's a grass roof, it's a sedum roof
3	so that there will be no danger. But it
4	isn't like a raised railing. It will be a
5	clear glass railing.
6	AHMED NUR: That's what it shows
7	here. Therefore, my question is if it's a
8	green roof in the wintertime, most likely
9	that green is going to go to brown.
10	MAYA LIN: It's a sedum. So it's
11	sort of a low
12	AHMED NUR: It's low.
13	MAYA LIN: It's a low roof versus a
14	grass
15	AHMED NUR: So we're not going to
16	see dead plants on top of the roof from Mass.
17	Avenue?
18	MAYA LI N: No.
19	AHMED NUR: Okay. And you're
20	planning on having a glass railing?
21	MAYA LIN: For safety issues, yes.

1 Three foot. 2 Three foot. AHMED NUR: 3 What type of a posting are you 4 considering in doing? 5 Well, it will be an MAYA LIN: 6 extension and the design wise so that as if 7 the glass is of the fourth floor which is now been revealed comes through. So there's a 8 9 mullion, you know, I'm exploring which is 10 actually a very minimal mullion. 11 actually part of what's going on that I'm 12 exploring, so fairly clean. 13 AHMED NUR: Other question with 14 regard to the granite, so that facade is the 15 granite? And are they void? Some stones 16 missing the holes? 17 MAYA LIN: Imagine a granite that's 18 used as a brick in a way and then think to 19 say the breeze away screens that are created 20 throughout a lot of countries with a lot of

very harsh light. We're facing due south.

21

1	So in that sense it's a systematic laying up
2	of stone bricks, so to speak, even though
3	there's a repeat pattern, there's about eight
4	different panels in the way that it's
5	configured. It will look more natural, yet
6	in a way be systemized. The panels
7	AHMED NUR: I'm sorry. My question
8	is not I'm more worried about on the
9	facade itself, are there holes where I can
10	put my hands in?
11	MAYA LIN: There are indeed.
12	AHMED NUR: There are. So if the
13	snow hits that wall, what's that going to
14	look like when it freezes? It's an exterior
15	wall. So, in other words, you can be filled
16	up with snow and poke up, hang out.
17	MAYA LIN: Well, generally if we put
18	a wire guard on the inside so if you're
19	walking in, the snow's gonna get broken down.
20	So it's not like you're gonna get
21	AHMED NUR: Oh, so I see there's a

1	wi re.
2	MAYA LIN: Inside. You'll be able
3	to walk up and look sort of up through it,
4	but there will be breaks for snow.
5	AHMED NUR: Okay.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Actually we had a
7	snowstorm there will be little tiny piles of
8	snow.
9	AHMED NUR: There will be tiny
10	HUGH RUSSELL: That would be kind of
11	amusi ng.
12	AHMED NUR: Okay.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Steve.
14	STEVEN WINTER: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chai r.
16	Throughout this whole conversation
17	let's not forget that we do have things to
18	talk about, but there's so much potential
19	here with this building and with the talent
20	that we have pulled around it. So let's not
21	lose site of that.

I concur with many of the comments that we're hearing here. And I would like to say to the proponent that one thing that's happening is that we're -- now, let me speak for myself. I'm, I'm not sure that I'm completely visioning what these buildings and structures look like. So we may need some help, some more visioning tools to help us really see what these things look like.

The pedestrian permeability through this open area is very important. It's really important. And it's important to connect to existing traditional desire lines that people are using throughout the city.

Also, public safety is a critically important issue. So I think that's a dialogue that's going to continue and that we need to keep going with.

I think, you know, having worked with George Metsker on that Baldwin School public process and respecting the things that he

15 16

11

12

13

14

18

17

1920

21

says, I would have to push back a little bit and say that the pedestrian experience on Mass. Avenue, pedestrian and car experience, is a little different than a pedestrian and car experience on a residential side street. There we do have to tell the cars to stay in But I think Mass. Avenue is a their place. little different. And I think that Mass. Avenue, the pedestrians need to follow the rules the same way that the cars do. And I think that if we're hearing from Sue that there are certain places that people will be crossing, than I think that's where we ought to go.

The additional height is not problematical to me.

The parking reduction is not problematical to me. This proponent, this company has 35 percent of their people -- what was it? 35 percent are coming in -- only 35 percent are coming in SOV. That's

amazing. That's astounding. That needs to be -- we need to reward that.

I think that the 65-foot building and the granite sheathing, for lack of a better word, I think that's going to be a really wonderful part of the urban landscape. And I think that it's going to age really, really well. And I think the granite is nod to the past. And I really like that. We've had conversations before about the glass and chrome combatting with the granite and the brick, and this brings it together I think nicely for me.

And I think that when people who see
that granite, a lot of people's first
impression is going to be I don't really know
what I think about it. And my prediction is
that that's one of those pieces of urban
architecture that over the years becomes
i conic and one comes to love. But I think
when people first see it, I'm not sure

they're gonna love it.

I also think that, I know from hearing the architect that 22 Windsor is very, very complex and also completely understated. And so I think this is going to be a beautiful building when we get to it, but also I don't really know that we know what it looks like. And I don't know if we have an idea of how beautiful that building is going to be. This model doesn't show us very much certainly.

Let's see. I think, Mr. Chair, that's what I have to say. Thank you.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.

I just want to make a couple more comments. In what I'm going to call the Mori building, there is actually, as I understand it, the horizontal lines on the model are terra-cotta. And so I'm not going to ask you what color they are finally, but again, that's a masonry material. So that in fact there's a lot of masonry on the Mori

bui I di ng.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

There's a screen. And we've seen how that can work on the CGIS building, which also it's not -- it's a very different use, but still there's some of these using terra-cotta as a screen. Is the screen on the public library terra-cotta?

> ROGER BOOTHE: No. that's metal.

Right. I think at HUGH RUSSELL: one point they were going to try to do it in terra-cotta and they couldn't. So that's one point.

Second, if you feel you have to fence off the courtyard, then I would encourage you to look at not placing the fence out on the si dewal k. I look at the Windsor Street and Osborne Street sides, there's a sort of a -there's sort of a point where there's a single path and not much going on back perhaps halfway or part of the way into the building. That might become a logical place

to think about that, that way you've got the teaser on one side of the fence. I'm not sure how that, the same place does not suggest itself on Mass. Avenue. Maybe that's a place where the screen is actually a movable screen because it comes out at night and during the day it's wide open.

Any more comments before we break at this point in time?

WILLIAM TIBBS: I want to follow up on just what you said.

In my mind I don't have an answer to it obviously, I'm not the designer. But I think courtyard, I think the security screen is a part of it. So that I agree with Hugh that in my mind if you had like a pedestrian desire line and you decided, or you had some, something to that divided it and the security was one of the features in there that blends with everything else, but it's not like right along the edge of the -- on the street edge,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I think that could work. But I think it needs something to help define it which would help define where the security is occurring and how people are using it. And because any way you look at it, the security screen is going to distinguish between the public and And when I say public, I mean pri vate zone. Cambridge, regular people who don't have business in the buildings. And I think that's the thing that we -- that's another thing that just having some more clarity and understanding what your desire is and how a form and the size and stuff can help make that work could really help out there in my mind at least.

ROGER BOOTHE: If I could follow up on that just thinking some more about the case that Bill mentioned at University Park comment. I totally share your memory of that, that we shouldn't have let that street go away because that would have made it much

more of a public square. In that case, though, I would remind the Board that we had a whole master plan of 40 acres and they had a requirement that they have 50,000 square feet of open space in one contiguous place that would be dedicated open space. That's not the case here. So, I think, Bill, your questioning of what is the nature of this space is really the crux of maybe getting over some of the anxieties. I mean, this is a corporate center. It's not, you know, a master plan space that has a public requirement.

The Zoning says that open space should be incorporated and may use the term, I forget the exact terminology, but it does require a public open space.

Now, clearly the design intent here was to make this thing that makes us want to go in there. So we've got a dilemma here. And I guess it seems to me the challenge would be

very much of what Hugh was saying is to find a way to figure out what the safety needs are of Novartis and see if they can be met short of turning this into kind of a caged space.

I think that would be a terrible thing. But there's probably some way to protect comings and goings and entries and whatever else is a legitimate concern without, you know, going against the grain of what I think is just a fabulous scheme.

One thing I'd point out is models are wonderful, but the Board has been sitting here looking at one side of the model. It's always good to get up and move around look at the model from different points of view.

That's the disadvantage of sitting there this whole time. And if you get up and look around it and if you see how the low element, which as somebody pointed out, really isn't that low when you compare it to other buildings along Massachusetts Avenue. See

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

how that slopes down on the side and brings you back around. And there's incredible spatial interest in this. And I don't think anybody particularly mentioned that there's going to be ground floor retail here. very, very important and you just have to look across the street and see Flour and Central Bottle and Provisions, see what a fabulous job Novartis has done with those And they're not even that much of spaces. that whole frontage there, and because they're so beautiful and attractive and appealing, they animate that. And I think given that there's ground floor retail right at the corner here, maybe somebody can point out where that is on the plan. That corner is just hugely important and it's going to be, it will meet the kind of requirements that we're looking for at ground floors. someone mentioned the Koch Cancer facility. I think we're all kind of disappointed that

that doesn't really engage you and bring you in. It's partly that -- they actually do have a cafe but it's in the back. It's not right out there on the street. So this is going to have retail frontage that really is animated at the right space. And I hear you, Bill, that you're walking along Mass. Ave. and all of a sudden you see this space and you just go along and cross the street.

Well, I think Ted's right. We all do it. We cross wherever, I mean, it's just sort of a Cambridge thing. So I know Sue doesn't want to hear that, but I don't think it's that big

So the question is given what this building is, is it doing a lot for us? And I think the answer is absolutely, yes. I mean, this is, you know, it will be iconic and it may not be love at first site as Ted said, but it makes you think anew. And I think that's something that modern architecture

of a problem really.

We

1 really has as a strength. And we're not 2 always pushing for modern architecture. 3 think, you know, historic buildings if they 4 fit right. But this is a place that's really 5 making a pivotal point between MIT and this 6 new end of Central Square which is now a 7 different kind of place, and I think that the -- we might be uneasy about the stones 8 9 being held up there in the sky, but it's 10 making you think. It's making you kind of 11 reconsider a lot of things. And I think 12 that's a wonderful and healthy sort of thing. 13 So I hear the Board not quite getting some of 14 what's being shown. I think it's maybe 15 partly because it is challenging and I think 16 that's generally a good thing. 17 WILLIAM TIBBS: I won't let you get 18 off that easy. You can sit down. I won't 19 let you get off that easy. 20 You know, the open space -- I highly 21

agree that there's no requirement, that

20

21

there's no public open space, but it's designed to be one. Open space, you know, you can put a courtyard inside, you know, a building and it could be very private and nobody would know it was there. And the people there could enjoy it and that would be a thing. So I think that when you're looking at open space, the physical form of the buildings and the solid edges and the walls and the open space create something. this design to me creates, regardless of whether that's your intention, it creates invitation to the public to use it. And until I see the concept of saying hey, we don't want to do that and this is how we're controlling it, I think that's really It's not too different than our di fferent. feelings about MIT. I mean, obviously MIT has a fairly open mind about their open space, but even for the cancer building, remember we had a real concern that we --

that the public if they wanted to feel comfortable, just to go on to that courtyard there, kind of creating there, you know, right by the Stata Center. And so the -- you use the word campus, that's what campus is about. Unless you want to have a walled off Harvard Square or something. But that's, that's there. And so that's all my comment. I wasn't implying that this was a public space, but as designed, it is a public space. So at least in my mind. So that's good there.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:

Mr. Chairman, I think it might be of benefit if 17.607 contains the design guidelines for the public space. And I would say very emphatically it is not a park. So if the question is, is it a park? That's not what the design guideline contemplate. They say the open space in the form of plazas, landscaped areas, and pedestrian pathways

1	should be integrated into the site plan so as
2	to benefit building users and the general
3	publ i c.
4	So we've designed a space and have come
5	here tonight asking whether we have met that
6	design guideline with this approach. It was
7	never our intention to create a public park
8	here. It was to follow the design
9	gui del i nes
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: You just said I
11	didn't say I just said it was I just
12	said that it's not I didn't anticipate it
13	be a public park. As a matter of fact,
14	because I listened to what you just read.
15	All my comments apply. How is the public and
16	the private people blending?
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I agree.
18	I was only saying that contained in the
19	application is a narrative response to that
20	design guideline.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: And I'm saying that

1 that narrative response for me is not 2 adequate. 3 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. 4 WILLIAM TIBBS: We have a team here. 5 If it was all based on a narrative response, 6 we wouldn't have to have a public meeting. 7 Can I say something? MAYA LIN: 8 HUGH RUSSELL: Sure. 9 The reason we had an MAYA LIN: 10 entrance, the reason I chose to enter here, 11 and I would agree if people could get up and 12 go down at eye level because you're looking 13 at it from almost a bird's eye view, which is 14 a very different part. It's a front porch. 15 It's a front entrance. It has to do two 16 thi ngs. 17 It has to welcome everybody who has to 18 There's actually a come to work at Novartis. 19 pool of water surrounding that column. We've 20 actually been talking about where that 21 threshold can be or maybe there are two. So

1 that you could come and sit there. 2 this is the front entrance to Novartis. The 3 reason it isn't here is because we 4 actually -- I wanted to devote all this 5 frontage to storefront. The storefront is 18 6 feet high. It is what MIT and all the 7 rentals required because they want to do 8 exactly what Novartis has done over here 9 which is activate the street, enliven the 10 We did countless studies on street. 11 massings, having this project come to this 12 level and this level. This is an open 13 gateway down Mass. Ave. I didn't want to 14 feel like shutting it off. I also think 15 there are many historic buildings that are of 16 a domestic scale. So, again, you have to 17 very carefully -- and there was a lot of 18 thought that went into the planning to 19 balance where the INIAT computer was created. 20 And it is a very important building. 21 again, if you look at some of the earlier,

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

like, the lower level plan there, that is a very small scale building. So how do you create this balance between a transitioning neighborhood, because that is truly what it is doing, it's transitioning a domestic scale to more sort of 19th century industrial scale to a 21st century vision, I hope, of where science is and where we are. But at the same time it was done with an absolute idea that there are times when this is the public realm, where you're coming in and you're going shop. And hopefully you'll activate and engage the street the way it's been done To continue again that pathway over here. along here. We were very, very aware of and, again, during daylight hours, this beautiful little pass through. So there's deliberately, there's two pathways in and you have to kind of come over here. We can put up a plan. There's a handi cap accessi ble ramp, and there's also a shortcut stair.

it is done just at that point in a way you come out from that archway way in the Shire building and be able to shortcut that way or shortcut that way through. Which, again, it is and has been a goal during the daylight hours. And, again, for security reasons, and that's something everyone will closely work with, to be able to do the shortcuts.

It was also done deliberately at night to open this area up on Osborne so if for security reasons it just doesn't feel safe at times, well then this street, again, which we've studied from the buildings over here and the housing and people here, they're coming down the street and it's dark and it's actually very terrifying. So deliberately to open it up so that even, and, again, we have to go back to that one image, it was opened up completely.

And the last thing and then I'll let someone else speak, the stone walls start in

1 the ground and they're actually starting on 2 Osborne side as a low little two foot wall. 3 They emerge from that side. So it starts 4 grounded, then it picks itself up, notches 5 and begins to turn and transition and then 6 float up. And that's part of it. So we 7 An old New England stone start grounded. 8 wall, that's actually here and also part of 9 Toshiko's building and then I start blocking 10 us into something else. And that's it. 11 CHARLES STUDEN: Am I seeing the 12 stone also continuing down the face of the 13 building on the inside where the landscaping 14 is as well? 15 MAYA LIN: Yes. 16 See the grey there? CHARLES STUDEN: 17 HUGH RUSSELL: So Roger suggested 18 that maybe what we should do is take, like, a 19 ten minute break to walk around and look at 20 the rest of the model. 21 Please, walk around. MAYA LIN:

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

WILLIAM TIBBS: I do want to say, though, and I'm agreeing with Ted, is that I think in -- I think you just need to in some kind of way succinctly let us know those things you just talked about. Because just looking at the building itself you've thought a Lot about that stuff. You've thought about the dark feeling and what it is, so I think as Ted said earlier, he says he's not convinced that he's not -- I'm not not convinced, I just want to know the context and the kind of things that you've been thinking about so I can feel more comfortable.

MAYA LIN: And I think there has been a balance and, excuse me, I have a bad habit of interrupting. There's been a real balance of a shared space. When can we open it? When can we not? It's not meant to tease. It's definitely there so that when you're working in here you're looking out at

times you look down and see something you've not seen before. It's not a corporate plaza at all. It's a warm, inviting -- it's almost like a New England wood, lightened up and freed up so it's open views. So in a way the entrance is a little narrow. It's inviting. But at the same time, if you end up sitting under here protected at times, that's an invitation, too, as well as at times you'll walk on by and it's welcoming to all the -- all those shops that are there.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, so let's -MAYA LIN: There are ways of
measuring.

MUGH RUSSELL: Let's walk around the model. Take a break. Maybe after the break we could ask both Toshiko and Michael to maybe respond to some of the issues that have come up in a sense that Maya has done that already. Okay? And we'll decide how we're going to proceed for the rest of the hearing.

1 (A short recess was taken.) 2 HUGH RUSSELL: We're going to get 3 back into session. 4 Mr. Rafferty, you have a proposal for 5 us? 6 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, if 7 time permitted we would like an opportunity 8 for Mr. Van Valkenburgh just to kind of 9 address some of the comments about the 10 courtyard and perhaps think about what might 11 remain to be done. And similarly Ms. Mori 12 wanted an opportunity just to speak briefly 13 about a few of the issues and comments about 14 her building. 15 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. So please 16 proceed. 17 MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: Well, one 18 thing I share with you is I worked with Kevin 19 Lynch for four years so it would be fun to 20 have Kevin here to actually negotiate the 21 di al oque between us. But I think I

understand what you are wanting to know more about, and that's the sort of the thinking about the landscape that led up to what we've done. And I can't start by naming what this is because I think the feeling of the team about the landscape is that we're -- this is a new kind of landscape and it's very -- it's meant to be a very humanizing part of the project that's going to change a neighborhood. It's definitely forward looking. I think one of the things that Maya, Toshiko, and I share is that we feel like we have one foot going forward in terms of what it is urbanistically and what it is as a place to be. I think all three of our projects are trying to be very humane in the scale that they are. And part of the humanity is meant to be in the accessibility of the imagery. It's not terribly -- it's not too complicated. Many of the plants are plants we know in New England.

There are a couple of departures that I want to talk about, and one is the curvilinear nature of the paths. The desire I ines absolutely drive the organization on the landscape, that when you enter at either of the three sides, the paths have long, you know, just like we learned from William White, each of the paths has a long, deep view that you see far in. So it's not mysterious at the beginning. It feels quite welcoming. It's just that it meanders.

So maybe the first time that you're here and you're going through, it's not like being in Cambridge Common where there's a straight path that goes straight across and you know exactly how to do it. But being somebody who walked those straight paths for three years, they're ultimately not very magical and inspiring. And the idea here is that by curving what happens, when you curve a path, what happens is you don't put the

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

path in front of you. You put the landscape in front of you. So if you have a straight path, you always have a one point perspective. You have you, you have a path that goes to the infinity. This is a very different i dea. I think it's the fundamental conceptual difference, Bill, that I wanted to say to you, which is that you feel welcomed at the points of entry, there's a relatively straight line that invites you in, but eventually it meanders off. And that what you see -- if there were all straight paths in here, it would mean that every time you look in, you would look directly at the buildings. And you look at the buildings indirectly in this landscape.

I just want to say one other thing about materiality. Is that we also have picked up on Maya's use of the granite. And it's really have -- kind of hard to present these three projects in 30 minutes. But one

of the things that we've done is that all of the planting beds are edged with small -- bans of small sets of the same, possibly the same stone, that's what we're thinking. The same granite. So the granite, as Maya was explaining, starts in the ground, comes up, it floats around, and then in the garden it comes back down and it kind of weaves through and our hope is that it ties the whole thing together materially.

Lots of small spaces for people to gather that are like the way a garden is, but it's not a garden. I mean, it's, it's partly, it has some of the amenities of a garden, but it's also a -- it's a landscape at the center of two significant buildings where people are doing intensive research and using their brain. So it's meant to be a place that's relaxing and very comfortable for people to use.

WILLIAM TIBBS: Can you talk about

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the canopy? Obviously there's a lot of tree canopy, at least if I look at the images.

And was that also an intention and the purpose of that to break up your perception of the verticalness of the buildings around it or was it just, does that not be an issue or whatever?

MI CHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH: We're thinking a lot about comfort with the kind of matrix of how much canopy and how hot Cambridge is in the summer and how cold it is in the winter. You, know it's about -- in this model it's about 50/50. And the work we've been doing in the last week or two we're probably a little less -- we're going towards a little less canopy. Where -- an important thing about the canopy, Bill, though, is that we're using a lot of trees that over time are not going to get super big and to turn it into something that's too We want to welcome the sunlight in and dark.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we're really aware that the shoulder seasons in Cambridge are uncomfortable. It's often cold here in Boston until May. And, you know, the fall is more comfortable, but you want the sun. So we've patterned it so it's a mosaic of opened and closed. And we're also going to be using a lot of things that defoliate slowly across the fall. So you have some things losing their leaves in September and other things holding their leaves into November. So we will get another intermediate condition of sun and shadow in the fall with making a design variable, the losing of leaves. So we're just, we're not really into that far into the planting design yet, but that will be a big part of what we do.

HUGH RUSSELL: The other thing I
like about the canopy is that it actually
reaches out to the older buildings across
Mass. Avenue because the landscape isn't

shallow but it becomes tall when you're on the third or fourth floor of the Necco building. You see that space over there.

It's inviting you over to take your lunch across the street or whatever. And I think locating it where it is and also making this intense vertical planting helps that work.

Would you like to speak?

understand the fact that, yes, in this scale of model it's very difficult to describe the details of this project. And also to your comment it's true that in my building I wanted to reflect the masonry character of the neighborhood. And, therefore, it is essentially a glass building, but it has masonry screen on it. And it's a terra-cotta louver, and it's this kind of color. And it's in relationship to the stone Chelmsford. So it's more organic stone and it has a more cooler, and that's artificial material but

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

it's a natural material really. Artificially made up. So there's harmony, but also the contrast that one is more cooler grey and one is a little bit warmer. So that in relationship to two buildings, they have two tonality, and because of a louvers which vary from six inches and then also one feet, it has a texture on exterior. So in a way it's encased in horizontal louvers and exterior which you see it as more prominent material with a glass behind it. And another subtly of this building is that on the south it's mostly clear because the social zone that I described is controlled, it's about 43 feet, And where all the lab spaces are 40 feet. set back about five to six feet. And there's a two feet parapet which is all solid so you don't really see through it. But there's consistent six feet high clear window which is going through every floor and every dimension to tie together. And the nose is

21

really solid opaque panel behind. It's only -- so idea is that if you look at this building from east or west or north or south in combination, it has a different appearance so it doesn't look like one monolithic singular thing. And also it is in a way a rectangular box like this, but these pop ups I call them, the social spaces for scientists, the rows, the corner or the boxes and, therefore, it has a sinking effect. you have a person with a very nice cut out, And then you have a you look thinner. different proportion in relationship to each other. So in a way it's a perceptional way, it contributes to a livening up of the facade, by scientists meeting together here but also it gives a variation for this type of facade which often times can be So in a way there are a lot of subtle things going on. I think only way we can probably express to you is coming up the

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

larger model or more renderings in order to express this particular building. And also the idea of four different facades here. Yes, it has a different -- there are smaller pop ups facing Chire Street (sic), and then it's a subtle thing, but there's a skylight above it and you go through the side of the building, you approach the building this way, and also base of the building has the same stone as Maya's building in Chelmsford. wraps around on the south facade here so there's a relationship of material here to Maya's building that relates. So there's a transition of the granite and the terra-cotta in this facade and wraps around, and the very back is a metal which is more industrial facade on the State Street.

And also pop ups here on the north are quite large, again, to respond to more industrial character of State Street. And also on again the pop ups really notice the

2

entry here on Windsor and also entry here on the mezzanine.

20

21

And then there's another element such -- I think you can see this facade for Landsdowne Street quite a bit. So it's made that you can actually have corner views of this building which I think is going to happen from Main Street. So that's the way I'm trying to connect the relationship of this site. So as you say, Bill, it looks like it's totally enclosed, but I have to say that we did incredible amount of site analysis and especially Maya's diagram and so forth which we didn't have to show you, but idea of a view corridor, we walked around the site what we can see, what we can't see. also walking to, I think this is the path that you are talking about; right? From --

PAMELA WINTERS: Right there.

TOSHIKO MORI: -- from science?

WILLIAM TIBBS: From the MIT museum.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

TOSHI KO MORI: And the path going through here and then to the Shire building. And these are kind of things that we kept as shortcuts during the daytime for neighborhood. Those are the kinds of things we thought quite a bit. So to a lot of extent we are missing this analysis, but we think that for Osborne and Windsor Street are mainly pedestrian streets. And I think the character should be preserved by larger setback here, and also quite a large setback al so here. The sidewalks remaining as it, but nearly set back 20 feet on Osborne. this entry is about 29 feet setback here. there's a lot of consideration in terms of edge of a street. And also here it's set back four feet more. The building is set back further. So the site is about nearly 15 feet here. So there's a subtle relationship when building hits the ground that entire team thought throughout in terms of

1 pedestri an experi ence. 2 HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you. 3 I quess I have a AHMED NUR: 4 question for you. Knowing where we stand 5 tonight is it possible that we make a 6 decision tonight? I mean, we all seem to 7 adore the building, city, architect, staff 8 and so on and so forth. There are some 9 clarifications with the courtyard and public 10 access as well as --11 HUGH RUSSELL: I think the basic 12 structure of the proposal would, you know, 13 the massing, the general kind of treatment to 14 the facades, the materials, how the parking 15 is handled, all of that I think we're all 16 very comfortable with. 17 AHMED NUR: Yes. 18 HUGH RUSSELL: I think there are 19 important issues around the question of the 20 security of the courtyard and how that 21 courtyard is used. And I hate to hold -- if

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

we say well, we gotta know that before we can approve the project, the result will be that they will rush to a decision, because they're very anxious to build this building. That's just the way the world works, you know. we say these are very important and we want you to take enough time to get it right and to really think through and to maybe get a little bit less concerned about the occupy movement and things like that, then we would -- and I think we can go forward tonight saying come back and show us the courtyard, exactly how it's going to develop and all that thinking before you pull a Building That gives you some number of months Permit. to reach closure on that. And I think we can write a condition that expresses the seriousness of our concern about that issue. Because that to me is really the only big issue that's remaining. I mean, there's a

lot of work to be done and the details and

19 20

21

1	the site plan, but the concept I rather like.
2	And I think we I mean Bill's concern, I'm
3	not going to speak for you, Bill, but if you
4	start changing the way it connects to the
5	rest of the world, how does that change the
6	nature of the whole thing? Can you manage to
7	reach your corporate goals of security
8	without ruining it?
9	AHMED NUR: That's fine. I'm just
10	saying maybe staff made notes of the outlines
11	and maybe you can recommend them to follow up
12	on them as opposed to coming back to the
13	Board.
14	HUGH RUSSELL: I'd like them to come
15	back. I think we can grant a permit with a
16	condition that the final design comes back to
17	this Board.
18	AHMED NUR: Okay.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: For a review.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: And I think
21	historically, too, projects this large always

1 come back like one more time.

2 AHMED NUR: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

HUGH RUSSELL: So that's how I would like to proceed. But I'm only one voice on the Board.

Tom.

THOMAS ANNI NGER: I think you've captured the spirit of how I thought we ought That I've listened with interest to proceed. to my colleagues and they've expanded the issues that I had seen in the project and my view of them, but it really does come down to a division between the security issue that needs to be resolved and it's not an easy And the rest of the project, which as one. you say, the architecture, the materials, the massing, the layout, have all been so carefully thought out that I can't imagine any of us really wanting to really delve into that in any way. I think it would be treacherous on our part to dabble with a

21

building that really is very beautiful. I think it -- one of the things I like best is that we have two buildings done by two different architects that have worked so harmoniously together, but it does remind me that different architects working together is often better than having one do two And I see that very much going on So I'm very happy with what has been presented to us, and I think the issues that have been raised are the ones we can bracket and reserve for a later date for the reasons that you state and Mr. Rafferty has said as There is time. So I think I'm prepared to approve the Special Permit on the condition that we come to terms with the entrances to the park at various times and how that would be resolved with the hope that it won't be too different from what we see

PAMELA WINTERS: And the security

1	issue with the
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: Yes, that's what
3	I'm talking about.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: How does that notion
5	apply to the left end of the table?
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: You're referring to
7	me?
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Well, you and
9	Charl es.
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: Well, one, I think
11	the controlled access piece is critically
12	important to really making this work or not.
13	And so I think, Hugh, making sure that that
14	comes back to us.
15	I think that I am amendable to
16	approving the permit now, but I do want to
17	make the comment that the urban context of
18	this stuff is very important. And I think
19	that there's not on a project of this
20	scale, I don't see any real I don't see it
21	as a problematic to have them really talk
	1

21

about that because that's what we do. no problem with the design. I look at this and I say what would change? I mean, you know, if they explained this. And as I listened to the somewhat brief discourse as we've heard, it sounds like you've gotten an awful lot of thought and you've put an awful lot of thought into some of those things, and I think we as a Board just need to discuss those and not just lay niceness of the archi tecture and the niceness of the stone and that stuff. But -- and so my, without it necessarily being a requirement I'd like to make sure that when they do come back, they at least discuss some of that so we better understand it or else -- because I'm like Ted, I want to like it, but it's, it's that context that I want to like and I just don't -- you're leaving it to me to form that context, and I think we have way too creative of a team here to not have a discourse about

it. So that's my -- so I wouldn't be -- if we didn't do that, I mean, if we did have them come back, I surely wouldn't have any problem with that. But if the rest of the Board feels that we could move on and have them come back and talk about the security issue, I can agree to that, too.

HUGH RUSSELL: Charles.

agree with you, Bill, and my other colleagues on the Board. I think this is a very, very well thought out development proposal and I'm very pleased with what I'm seeing. The only issue, and I mentioned it earlier, and, Bill, you were just talking about it, is this whole issue of controlled public access as it's described in the permit and what impact that is going to have on the design of that space, what is it going to look like? Because I can't believe that it isn't going to have some impact. So I would be prepared to grant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the permit tonight as long as you can come back and show us what that's going -- what it will look like as a result of that.

H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm willing to go along with the same thing. I mean, this is clearly the product of -- it's the vision of at least three artists if not a larger team, and it may not be my vision of what would go there, but -- and unless you've got scheme B somewhere in the back room that you've chosen not to show us, I can't imagine what, you know, waiting would produce. could go back and look at the site again. don't know that it's going to make me feel And I'm willing to say, you any different. know, I'm comfortable enough that it may just be fabulous. But I do think that the security issue is really one that could be a major issue to address, but I'm prepared to go forward.

STEVEN WINTER: Mr. Chair, I concur

1 with my colleagues. The proponent's team is 2 a very, very strong team. And I feel like 3 they're also working together very, very well 4 with the staff and the City of Cambridge and 5 the Planning Board I really feel like they 6 can move ahead. 7 HUGH RUSSELL: There is in the 8 application a narrative or something about a 9 -- you start at page 7 in the small book 10 which discusses the relationship to the 11 standards. And I read that a couple days 12 ago, and it seems to be covering the 13 territory accurately. So nothing in there 14 that we want to change. Has everyone done 15 that exercise? 16 THOMAS ANNI NGER: I have. 17 CHARLES STUDEN: Yes. 18 HUGH RUSSELL: I've gotten a 19 memorandum from Traffic Parking and 20 Transportation. I don't actually see any 21 proposed conditions in that recommendation

because -- Sue's nodding yes which she's satisfied with the project as it is currently before us.

We've got a letter from the Water

Department, a new letter which is around here somewhere. So this is the December 2nd Water

Department comments. Are you in possession of that letter?

think there are some assertions or assumptions there about existing water service that is not consistent with what our engineers unearthed. So obviously as a condition of the Building Permit and the Special Permit we need a signoff from the Water Department. When we saw that today, we think that there are redundant -- that there is additional water service -- the only area we need to work from the Water Department is the 211 building, the laundry building, which actually isn't a building the square footage

1 is part of the Special Permit. At the end of 2 the day we'll all just do what the Water 3 Department suggests. Our engineers are 4 saying that the service is more adequate than 5 is being represented there. But we would 6 only say that as in every Special Permit and 7 Building Permit requirement we will 8 ultimately comply with whatever requirements 9 the Water Department has. I think the 10 opinion there had to do with whether or not 11 it's necessary to replace the existing main, 12 water main in Windsor Street for that 13 building. And I think we haven't yet had a 14 full opportunity to discuss the requirements 15 of that with the Water Department. It may be 16 that we will wind up having to do so, but I 17 think in our view it would be, it would be 18 less than prudent for that to be opposed at 19 this hour as a condition of the Special 20 Permit beyond simply complying with the Water 21 Department requirements in a generic sense as

1 opposed to a reference to that letter and do 2 everything in that letter. 3 HUGH RUSSELL: Right. As you say, 4 you can't get a Building Permit if the Water 5 Department doesn't signoff. 6 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Exactly. 7 HUGH RUSSELL: And whether our 8 decision tells you what they've told us to 9 tell you or not doesn't alter the fact that 10 they have responsibilities and they have the 11 authority to see that they're met. 12 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Ri ght. 13 And in my experience that's rather customary 14 for the Board to simply defer to Water. 15 know sometimes if Traffic has a condition, it 16 finds its way appropriately. I think it's 17 the nature of that condition is we would 18 request that the condition be compliant with 19 the Water Department requirement and more 20 generic typical. 21 We also received a HUGH RUSSELL:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

communication from the Bicycle and Pedestrian They're also asking questions Committee. about the access to the courtyard. recommendation is to move the entry of the Novartis, of the new building so that it's where the traffic light and crosswalk is on Albany Street which I'm not inclined to They' ve had specific support. recommendations about bicycle access and parking, and I don't know whether the current plans will meet those clear unimpeded access to bicycle parking. I think we can form some condition that captures that sense if indeed there is some changes that need to be made.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Just by way of background, Mr. Chairman, I think the current site plan is only a week old. It's not the site plan in the packet. It was revised to incorporate Traffic Department comments when that memo was in a draft form and also comments from Ms. Siderman around

1 visitor parking, bicycle parking and that's 2 why those comments aren't appearing in the 3 traffic letter. So we have met with the 4 regulatory municipal people around bicycles. 5 We are exceeding by nearly double the 6 required amount of bicycle parking. We have 7 located visitor bicycle parking at the two 8 entrances which we were directed to do. That 9 wasn't in the plan actually that's in the 10 packet. But you'll note it's in the revised 11 So we'll obviously continue to review 12 this with Traffic and Community Development, 13 but I think they may not have seen the plan 14 that emerged in this past week. I haven't 15 had the benefit of seeing the letter that 16 you're referring to. 17 This is dated 0kay. HUGH RUSSELL: 18 November 21st. So I'm sure Liza could --19 PAMELA WINTERS: I have an extra 20 one. 21 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.

1 HUGH RUSSELL: I think my colleagues 2 can see what I'm doing, I'm putting on any 3 conditions that we might have to have and 4 then spend a lot of time talking about. 5 H. THEODORE COHEN: I think for the 6 explanation we've had today we've actually 7 met the Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee's 8 requested the entry be as close to the 9 intersection as reasonably feasible, and I 10 think we've heard the rationale for why it is 11 where it is and Traffic and Parking accepting 12 that and I think that's as close to 13 reasonably feasible. 14 HUGH RUSSELL: 0kay. So I think 15 we're at the point of someone making a 16 motion. PAMELA WINTERS: 17 Hugh, I just have 18 one question. So what happens now, they come 19 back and show us the fencing and the green, 20 you know, more specific details at a later 21 date?

1 HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. And what I 2 would do is say that set a timeline for that, 3 be the time before we review it before they 4 get a Building Permit. Their first Building 5 Permit and they be staged. 6 ROGER BOOTHE: We can certainly keep 7 you updated as to the progress. 8 The only ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 9 concern is the Building Permit is anticipated 10 to be in two phases. There's a significant 11 period of excavation that will begin before 12 we get into above ground work. And the hope 13 is that there's already been discussions with 14 ISD, that may be coming in the near future. 15 And the hope would be that before we, and 16 above ground construction, full Building 17 Permit we would have this issue resolved. 18 I'm being reminded that there's a pending 19 excavation permit. 20 That's fine. HUGH RUSSELL: 21 That's fine. PAMELA WINTERS:

1	THOMAS ANNINGER: All right. How to
2	do this resolution? I think it is not
3	necessary to go through each of the
4	components of what we're trying to deal with
5	in the Ordinance. As I understand it, there
6	are three sections at play here. The
7	city-wide urban design objectives in Section
8	19; the Special District Guidelines in
9	Section 17; and the general criteria for a
10	Special Permit in Section 10. And each of
11	those components
12	HUGH RUSSELL: The other piece is
13	that there's a, there are project review
14	permit.
15	THOMAS ANNI NGER: There's a project
16	revi ew, that's 19.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: Reduction of parking.
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: That's the
19	one that wasn't identified by Mr. Anninger.
20	There's also
21	THOMAS ANNI NGER: The parking.
	1

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: the
2	parki ng.
3	THOMAS ANNINGER: And height?
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Height is
5	in the Section 17 guidelines. That the Board
6	needs to grant the Special Permit for the
7	additional five feet in height on the two
8	181 building by finding that the front
9	building is below 85 feet, and that the
10	building contained 120 additional height
11	doesn't extend more than 30 percent over the
12	si te.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Which both of those
14	things are true?
15	THOMAS ANNINGER: All right. That's
16	all Section 17?
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: All in 17,
18	yes.
19	THOMAS ANNINGER: All right.
20	Well, what I think we can we'll
21	refer to the responses that have been given

1 2 3 4 5 6 point 8. 7 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 8 THOMAS ANNI NGER: 9 square feet. 10 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 11 THOMAS ANNINGER: 12 13 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

to us in the small Novartis campus expansion booklet for each of the sections, 19, 17, and 10 and incorporate them by reference with a special acknowledgement to the issue of parking which I guess is -- speaks to the

Ri aht. Per thousand

Ri ght. And the height which you just outlined better than I could.

following up on that idea. A finding under 17.605 to grant a Special Permit for height would be warranted. The finding under 17.606 to grant a reduction in parking, would be warranted. And a finding under 19.20 that the building met the urban design objectives, warranted. And the finding of 17.607 that the design guidelines of Special District 15

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have been complied with would also be required. And then the generic finding under Section 10.40 of the Special Permit criteria exists for the issuance of a Special Permit.

THOMAS ANNI NGER: I think we can make those findings. I think we have in our discussions have covered all of the points and to the extent that we can rely further on what's written here, I would say that we have all the findings that we need, and I'm prepared to, therefore, move that we grant the Special Permit requested on the condition, and I will refer to your discussion, Hugh, of how you want to deal with the issue that we've all spent a lot of time on which is the open space and its We've learned that it is not access to it. to be accessible at all times, and the resolution of how to close that off for security reasons at certain times is one that will come back to us at a later date.

1 Do we want to define exactly when that 2 parameters of that later date? 3 HUGH RUSSELL: The Later date would 4 be before the issuing of a Building Permit 5 before the first super structure building. 6 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: That's 7 fi ne. 8 HUGH RUSSELL: Specifically saying 9 that we're not, it's not conditioned on the 10 foundational permit or a parking garage 11 permit or in that order. 12 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I presume 13 the building would go through ongoing design 14 review with staff and particularly with 15 regard to any proposal to alter the site plan 16 to include restrictions around access to the 17 courtyard, need to be reviewed with staff and 18 brought before the Board for review and 19 approval? 20 That's right. HUGH RUSSELL: 21 THOMAS ANNI NGER: Yes.

1	Anythi ng el se?
2	HUGH RUSSELL: That's a motion.
3	THOMAS ANNINGER: Therefore, it's a
4	motion to grant the Special Permit under all
5	of the discussion that we've just had.
6	Reflecting the discussion that we just had.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: Is there a second?
8	Pam.
9	Is there discussion on the motion?
10	(No Response.)
11	HUGH RUSSELL: All those in favor of
12	the motion.
13	(Show of hands).
14	HUGH RUSSELL: All members voting in
15	favor.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
17	(A short recess was taken.)
18	HUGH RUSSELL: PI ease proceed.
19	ALEX TWINING: Alex Twining, Twining
20	Properties. And actually we're here for two
21	things. One is a Special Permit amendment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

No. 4 to enable some retail which we'll show you, and second, the design review of what we're currently calling Watermark II.

So just being repetitive, but what we want to do here sot hat we can activate the retail ground plane is actually surprisingly asking for additional retail in the master plan of 10,000 square feet which is a change of one percent which is shown in your letter that you saw. And this is just the same table that's in your letter so I won't dwell on that a whole lot. But basically it would be reducing the amount of residential area by one percent or 10,000 feet and replacing with retail on the ground floor. It's just by the time this whole master plan is almost built out, we've run out of retail and so we're making an unusual request to ask for more retail so that we can have retail on the When we had the hotel before, ground floor. we had hotel bars and restaurants and all

those uses and those are no longer applicable in the apartment building.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And I won't dwell on this either, but that's showing the relative scale of various (inaudible) in Kendall Square. And this is just showing sort of the history of PUDs over And so the very right bars and then time. the No. 4 so you can see a slight change uptick in the orange 160,000 feet from 150,000 and a slight decrease in residential. And as you can see over time it sort of floated back and forth between having hotel and not.

And, again, I'm not going to -- I won't dwell on this. You know the different building parcels, but the middle building is Watermark II in this hotel configuration. And what David Nagahiro is going to show us in a second is the proposed residential building instead. And this is also depicting, again, on the left, right the

regional scheme versus what we're talking about today with 125,000 feet; 530 of residential and 10,000 of retail. And that's it. I'll let David turn it over.

Nagahiro from CBT. I don't need to orient you but looking at the site in red is where the Charles River bends and has a great, fantastic views of this site. Some of the longer views looking into the site from the Longfellow Bridge as well as coming up Third Street, continuing to the site. Adjacent to it is the Watermark I and the Genzyme building.

Moving in a little bit more closely that would be site shown in red, what you see along Third Street here, off Broadway here, and the canal that continues into the site.

I think this is an older image, but it's really changed since that time. Looking at the base of the building from Third Street

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

now has the restaurants with Evo and Za and the new restaurant Tika. So we'll be looking to continue that edge to the south. And also looking at the improvements along the water's edge, the new park that Sasaki had designed and the access to the water's edge as well, and the jumping off point from the canoe and kayaking in this location.

Some of the urban issues of responding to the context from Kendall Square looking at really perpetuating that pedestrian movement along Third Street into the site along Broad Canal Street. To the north we have Kendal I And I'll talk a little bit more Street. about how we activate that edge as well. can see the restaurants with Za and Evo and We're looking to really continuing Ti ka. that edge as well. Continuing the movement and multiple entries into the building, along this edge, and then up into the North Park that Michael Van Valkenburgh had worked on.

Just looking at the massing as Alex had mentioned. This is Watermark I, Genzyme building in the background, and Watermark II. The building is 144 units of mixed two bedrooms, one bedrooms, and studios. It's 17 stories tall and 199 feet tall with retail at the base. There's 125,000 square feet of residential, 10,000 square feet of retail at the base of the building.

This is where the canal continues into the site. The Sasaki Landscape really rounding out this edge. The difference between this massing compared to the hotel massing that you saw before, the hotel massing continued out to this edge, and it was 15 stories. Now we're looking at pushing the massing back in this location by making it slightly wider and going up to 17 stories.

From the canal view where the kayaking and canoeing is, we're really looking to continue that rusticated base, that retail

base along the base of the public access to along the street to the south. The tower will rise at this location, it will be that slot in between the two buildings. Looking from the aerial point of view really continuing that base of the residential from Watermark I, really continuing that edge with the retail, turning the corner, pushing the massing back. There's about 50 feet between the two buildings. We'll talk a little bit more about this courtyard that we're looking at along Kendall Street to the north.

At the base of the building, again, really continuing the retail with the rusticated base that will really mimic the base that was started in Watermark I.

Continuing it around the massing sort of set back in this location exposing the second floor of the rooftop garden. This is a view coming from Third Street looking down to the east with the -- to the Broad Canal again

with the resituated base with the Watermark

I. The building sits back exposing the rooftop garden.

And then looking from the Genzyme along Kendall Street, this is the small penthouse here. We're looking to create the residential entry along that corner, really activating that edge. This is where we have that small courtyard that we'll take a look at and plan in just a second.

The overall massing strategy with Watermark I, Watermark II, and the Genzyme building in the background with 450 Kendall for the future. One of the things that we're looking at, the overall massing strategy of the original Watermark was the building from a distance and then breaking it down at the midlevel scale with this sort of three level treatment. The new building we're looking at a two level sort of treatment to the facade. The continuous retail base which will

continue this retail base along the edge.

This is sort of the demarcation between Watermark I and Watermark II. We're looking at two-story treatment on the original building, and looking at a two-story treatment as well on the new Watermark building.

Looking at the fenestration strategy, again, really trying to activate the base of the building really continuing that resituated base with a deep openings at the base of the building, creating a two-story precast sort of a limestone finish. We can take a look at the materials a little bit later.

The modulation of the skin is really a reflection of the unit distribution where the smaller windows are a reflection of the units of the bedrooms. The larger windows are a reflection of the living rooms. And then the corners we're preserving for the living

rooms, for the units, we'll take a look at the layouts in just a second.

We're really trying to lighten the corner by having corner glass, try to accentuate the verticality in this location, and then topping it off with a mechanical screen that will hide the mechanical systems in the penthouse.

ALEX TWINING: Let me just mention, those are metal panel systems varying in colors.

DAVID NAGAHIRO: We'll be looking at three different metal panel colors and different levels of ins and outs on the building creating a little bit more interest and playful facade.

At the base of the building I'll go through this quickly, but, again, it's a continuation of the restaurants that have been successful, I guess, since 2007. It's a very different place than it was back then.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The Third Street to the retail that's coming up through the square. We're looking at continuing that retail coming around. One of the things that was important when we were looking at the original Watermark, was really creating multiple entries off of Third Street. So we have the restaurant entry, the entry into the health club, the lobby, and turning the corner. One of the things that we're looking at along Kendall Street because we do have the transformer vault, the entry the parking garage, and the service is to really create a little bit of a break here. We have a small courtyard. We tried to consolidate what you see in grey is the mechanical systems. And then creating the entry lobby into Watermark II into this location. Again, really trying to create as much of an active edge as possible.

One of the things that we're discussing for the retailers, Alex was talking about a

restauranteur that had -- either had an outdoor eating opportunity for a farmer's market to be able to spill out to the courtyard. Looking at a decorative fence here so that when it wasn't being used, it would be continue the edge along Kendall Street. Also talking about the possibility of a sports-related retailer where the canoe and kayak could be stored in this location as well.

Looking at the second level, the fitness center, this is the demarcation indication between Watermark I and Watermark II. It's really looking at trying to extend the terrace at the second level. The square is the tower that rises above the units. It's half the views in multiple directions.

The club room has access out on to the terrace as well. Alex was also mentioning the possibility of having a restaurant possibly getting access to that upper level

and affording that view up towards the canal.

plans. The typical floor plans, what you see is a mix of two bedrooms, one bedrooms that you see in yellow. The two bedrooms are in blue. The pale green are studios. They're ten units per floor on floors 3 through 6. Continuing 7 through 15, they are nine. And then as you move up to the top two floors, the one bedrooms at the corners of that studio turn into two bedrooms. So we're really focusing the two bedrooms along the corners.

The mechanical penthouse, which will house the tower and the emergency generators and the boilers, they'll all be screened by the mechanical penthouse.

Sections through the building. These are taken through the Broad Canal Street.

The one-story retail with a rooftop terrace.

The small little courtyard here, and the

street in this Location.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

The towers are located to coincide with the parking garage below taking advantage of the structure in the garage.

This is the overall parking deck. You see Watermark I and the L-shape of the tower Watermark II and the small tower and above. this configuration. And I think we use a three parking spaces to make the mechanical systems work below.

And then the 450 Kendall will be Located in here. That's it.

Did you want to point ALEX TWI NI NG: anything out on the model?

DAVID NAGAHIRO: Of the materials that we're looking at are some of the materials that we're looking at for the original building is precast concrete. We're looking at a metal panel system that has three different panel colors creating a bit of a variety. We're looking at three

different metal panel colors in sort of a cooler tone and a warmer tone. The base of the building, the two stories will be precast. The foot of the building will either be granite or a polished precast concrete similar to what we did in Watermark I. We're looking at spandrel colors that will work also with this composition, and a series of other glass panels on the building as well.

WILLIAM TIBBS: Are those two warm in and a cool option or are you going to combine the warm and the cool?

DAVID NAGAHIRO: We're looking at the different, but I think we're looking at trying to combine three different colors to create that sort of variety on the building face.

ALEX TWINING: I think one of the challenges you can see in here what we sort of gave to the architect was make a building

that's complimentary but distinctive. And I think that's, that was really one of the goals here. We didn't just want to replicate the same thing, but we still wanted to make it not too powerful that it overpowered it. So they both sort of hold their own.

HUGH RUSSELL: Roger, what do you think?

ROGER BOOTHE: The Board has been I ooking at this project for many years as it's unfolded, and that this site, as you know, has gone back and forth. I'm personally deleted that it's going to be more housing. I think as we're going through the Kendall Square study and trying to link the Central Square, the success of Watermark I and 303 Third Street across the way has just been tremendous. I mean, it's done so much that it's kind of rippled out of Kendall Square. And we're pushing for more housing, getting it right in the heart of Kendall

But the point of this is a wonderful Square. success with -- the Twining Group has been very bold about the retail. It's worked. They're enthusiastic about it. I see this as a great extension. And I think it's a tribute to David Nagahiro, that, you know, even though he was the architect of the first building, this one feels different. But it does feel like kind of a kin, kin folk, and l think that's fine. And clearly the continuation with retail down towards the other great success here in this area to which Twining has contributed to the canal is just terrific. So I think we're very happy with it.

HUGH RUSSELL: It looks like the small courtyard essentially has blind things on both sides because of the existing conditions and Watermark I and the mechanical equipment needs some of which are also coming up on the garage. So it seems to be very

20

18

19

21

The

1 important to try to have the back wall of the 2 retail become an opportunity to populate that 3 courtyard. And I think we're justifiably 4 reluctant to make conditions about those 5 kinds of things, but because in the retail 6 world it's very difficult to find tenants, 7 but the notion that there might be a restaurant that could use the courtyard and 8 9 animate it is a terrific idea. And it's not, 10 it's going to be shaded a lot which in fact 11 is not such a bad thing for a restaurant. 12 Just because of the height of the buildings 13 that surround it but, you know, active 14 nightlife, restaurant, plus maybe the guise 15 the apartments overlooking it might not want 16 But, you know, clearly it to be too active. 17 the plans are extremely competently thought 18 You know, if I had a -- Watermark is, out. 19 there's no warm tones on Watermark; right? 20 DAVI D NAGAHI RO: There are. 21 base of the building has the --

1	HUGH RUSSELL: The one base.
2	DAVID NAGAHIRO: Yeah, the two-story
3	of the precast has the
4	HUGH RUSSELL: But the whole
5	superstructure is cool.
6	ALEX TWINING: Yeah. But I guess
7	the precast side is a little warmer than
8	the you're right. The metal panel and
9	glass are very cool and the precasting is a
10	little warmer. And that's something why
11	we're trying to make this a little different.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: Right. But I think
13	keeping within the same family of things
14	maybe makes sense. You know, sort of that
15	suggests to me that the cooler pallet
16	Roger's frowning.
17	ROGER BOOTHE: No, I'm just trying
18	to understand. You're suggesting making this
19	one more cooler generally?
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Well, I think taking
21	the color pallet that's on the Watermark and

1	starting from there rather than trying to
2	come up with a different approach. So
3	ROGER BOOTHE: Yes, I don't know if
4	I mean, I liked it. It feels
5	significantly different. I mean, we are
6	already dealing with the same architect. My
7	reaction was I liked the difference.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: No, I'm just saying
9	that if you're choosing between two, the one
10	that's closer to the thinking of the other
11	building, to me might be more successful.
12	I'm not going to comment.
13	ROGER BOOTHE: Can would take that
14	to the stage of looking at a test wall maybe
15	and see how it looks out on the site?
16	HUGH RUSSELL: Well, I'm quite happy
17	to leave this whole discussion to you in your
18	revi ew.
19	ROGER BOOTHE: Okay. I hear what
20	you' re sayi ng.
21	HUGH RUSSELL: Tom.

1 THOMAS ANNINGER: I have only one 2 question which is I don't fully understand 3 what those pieces are. 4 ALEX TWI NI NG: Maybe explain how 5 these --6 THOMAS ANNI NGER: They look like 7 shutters or something. What are they? 8 ALEX TWI NI NG: Yes, that's a better 9 one. 10 DAVI D NAGAHI RO: It's a series of 11 panels. And I think one of the things that 12 we wanted to do is to break down the scale 13 and create that verticality on the building, 14 creating a little bit more of a playful sort 15 of movement within the skin. The plan is 16 really -- the openings are a reflection of 17 bedrooms and living rooms behind it and the 18 way they actually stack up going from 10 19 units to nine to eight creates a little bit 20 of a shift within the patterns. So we're 21 really playing off of that as a way of

creating a more interesting facade treatment.

ALEX TWINING: There is a bit -there's a little bit of an optical illusion
because they're moving, because they're
slipping every two levels, and because of the
colors sort of accentuates that which I think
was a purposeful idea to make it a little bit
more exciting.

DAVID NAGAHIRO: And interesting.

ROGER BOOTHE: When we went down to their office, we spent a lot of our time talking about that very issue. One of the things that I was pleased with because I know how the Board feels is that it's not arbitrary. I mean, they've done this with thought about how the building's layout. And the other thing that I that think David mentioned but bears repeating, by having them within the simple border, it accentuates the verticality which is a nice thing because you don't want that tower to feel to squawk. And

1 I think it's a very nice play, really, but 2 it's still one that's rooted in the 3 architecture. It's not just something just 4 thrown up. 5 ALEX TWINING: It takes a while to 6 digest l'11 agree. 7 ROGER BOOTHE: It does --8 i ntri gui ng. 9 HUGH RUSSELL: Other comments? 10 H. THEODORE COHEN: I have one. 11 HUGH RUSSELL: Sure. 12 H. THEODORE COHEN: I rather like 13 the panels and I like the color scheme. 14 only objection is when are you or somebody 15 else going to build three bedroom units? I 16 mean, there are people like me who want to 17 stay in Cambridge. Sell houses and stay in 18 Cambridge, leaving aside the people with 19 small children but there's just nothing, no 20 three bedrooms existing in Cambridge these 21 And I would think there would be a days.

huge market for it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ALEX TWI NI NG: Yeah, people have started to say that more. And we've -- we're considering it more. Probably not doing it But it's when we first looked at this years ago, people thought we were nuts to Now I think more and more think of it. people are suggesting that and it's probably worth starting to look at that. I mean, we, we have found in this building the units are most popular are actually the smaller units just because unfortunately when you build a new building, the cost is quite a bit and, therefore, the dollar per month becomes the biggest attraction to a lot of people. you're right, it would be nice to have a bigger cross section. And we do have actually quite a few people living here with small children in two bedrooms. But usually when the family grows up, then they move somewhere else. And then we have people

1 coming back from the burbs. That's a good 2 si gn. 3 No. I think so. H. THEODORE COHEN: 4 I think some of us who want to move into 5 smaller places have grown children, have 6 grandchildren, and need a third bedroom. 7 ALEX TWI NI NG: Ri ght. One of our 8 residents, actually a professor at MIT, has a 9 two bedroom. He's been here for three years 10 which is a long time with us. And now he's 11 taken another one bedroom for his children 12 and grandchildren to come visit. That's an 13 extreme case. 14 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, so are we 15 satisfied? Have we commented sufficiently? 16 I guess we need a motion to grant the change 17 of the Minor Amendment so we would probably 18 find that it is indeed a Minor Amendment. 19 That it's important to make this change 20 to reinforce the retail environment where it 21 is a place that is actually working.

1	That there is nevertheless a very small
2	percentage change to the original percentages
3	and so it doesn't represent the it's a
4	Mi nor Amendment.
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: So moved.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Second?
7	H. THEODORE COHEN: Second.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Di scussi on?
9	All those in favor.
10	(Show of hands.)
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. All members
12	voting in favor.
13	(Russell, Anninger, Tibbs, Winters,
14	Winter, Cohen, Studen.)
15	HUGH RUSSELL: And then do we need a
16	motion on the design review?
17	LIZA PADEN: Yes, pl ease.
18	HUGH RUSSELL: So we are going to
19	approve the design as presented to us with
20	the understanding that they continue to
21	discuss with the Department.

1	CHARLES STUDEN: So moved.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: Second?
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Second.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Bill.
5	All those in favor.
6	(Show of hands).
7	HUGH RUSSELL: Great. All members
8	voti ng.
9	(Russell, Anninger, Tibbs, Winters,
10	Cohen, Winter, Studen.)
11	(Whereupon, at 10:45 p.m., the
12	Pl anni ng Board Adj ourned.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	ERRATA SHEET AND INSTRUCTIONS
2	
3	The original of the Errata Sheet has
4	been delivered to the Community Development
5	Department.
6	When the Errata Sheet has been
7	completed, a copy thereof should be delivered
8	to the Community Development Department and
9	the ORIGINAL delivered to same, to whom the
10	original deposition transcript was delivered.
11	
12	I NSTRUCTI ONS
13	After reading this volume, indicate any
14	corrections or changes and the reasons therefor on the Errata Sheet supplied. DO
15	NOT make marks or notations on the transcript volume itself.
16	
17	
18	REPLACE THIS PAGE OF THE TRANSCRIPT WITH THE
19	COMPLETED ERRATA SHEET WHEN RECEIVED.
20	
21	

1	ATTACH TO PLANNING BOARD MINUTES DATE: 12/6/11
2	REP: CAZ
3	ERRATA SHEET
4	INSTRUCTIONS: After reading the transcript,
5	note any changes or corrections and the reason therefor on this sheet. DO NOT make
6	any marks or notations on the transcript volume itself. Refer to Page 188 of the
7	transcript for Errata Sheet distribution instructions.
8	PAGE LI NE
9	CHANGE: REASON:
10	CHANGE: REASON:
11	CHANGE: REASON:
12	CHANGE: REASON:
13	CHANGE: REASON:
14	CHANGE: REASON:
15	CHANGE:
	REASON: CHANGE:
16	REASON: CHANGE:
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter, the undersi gned Notary Public, certi fy that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
8	this matter.
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 3rd day of January 2012.
12	my hand this ord day or sandary 2012.
13	Cathonina I. Zalinaki
14	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public
15	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter Li cense No. 147703
16	My Commission Expires:
17	Apri I 23, 2015
18	
19	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS LINESS LINES.
20	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE
21	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.