



## PROCEEDINGS

*     *         *             *                 * 

H. THEODORE COHEN: Welcome to the September 29th meeting of the Planning Board. We're later this evening than usual. That was because the hearings that were scheduled for earlier than eight o'clock got continued for a variety of reasons. But we'11 start as always with the update from the Community Development Department.

JEFF ROBERTS: Jeff Roberts. As a reminder to everyone, I'11 take this since Iram is away in Amsterdam on a business trip with a bunch of other people from the city, and I'm sure she'11 tell you al1 about that when she comes back.

I've been away for a while. Sorry I missed the last meeting. I was planning to be here, but turned out that trying to walk
on crutches in 95 degree heat after four days of surgery didn't really work. So at that last -- I guess at the last meeting I heard that you guys met Swaathi Joseph who is our new planner in Zoning Development. You'll be seeing her more at the Planning Board and helping us out on these cases. I'm talking kind of slow because we have to stall until 8:30.

Just as a -- I'11 try to go through it.
There are actually a lot of updates to make as things are starting to get busy as we get into the last few months of the year.

Before I get into the City Council or the Planning Board schedule, just some updates on some City Council actions. Last night the Council voted to adopt the proposed changes to the Incentive Zoning regulations that those changes will raise the fee for new
non-residential development in the City and expand the regulations to any or to almost a11 types of non-residential development that are at least 30,000 square feet in size, and those projects starting today and going on wil1 be required to pay $\$ 12$ per square foot to the Cambridge Affordable Housing Trust. That will escalate over the next few years, at which point there will be a reevaluation of the rate. So that was a big accomplishment by -- over at the City Counci1. And the Planning Board did recommend in favor of doing that.

Another thing that the Planning Board looked at while I was away was a Zoning Proposal for a district at the corner of Walden and Sherman Streets. The City Council passed that Zoning to a second reading. The Planning Board recommendation, because I've
been a little bit behind on work, hadn't been submitted yet, but that will be submitted to the Council on October 19th and at which is the next regular City Council meeting, and we imagine that they will be able to take a vote on it then.

So that brings us pretty much up to date with Zoning at the City Council.

Looking forward, we have public hearings tonight. We have a public hearing tonight.

We have next week a meeting where we have two scheduled public hearings. The first is a continuation of the 249 Third Street project review, which also includes requests for parking relief on that site in combination with 303 Third Street and 195 Binney Street. They've been doing a lot of work with staff and submitted some new
materials to review.
We also have a scheduled hearing which was a postponed hearing from September 1st, and that is a case for development review at 1718 Massachusetts Ave., and that wil1 have more information on in the future.

On October 13th, the following week, and we do have five scheduled Planning Board meetings in a row, watch out for that. We have a public hearing that was rescheduled from tonight and that is to review the Cambridge Redevelopment Authority's proposal for a Zoning Amendment in the MXD Zoning District that's in Kendall Square. You may know it as Cambridge Center. They've now re-branded it Kendal1 Center, and that is a site that was a component of the Kenda11 Square study along with the MIT's property in Kendal1 Square and the Volpe site. That is
the third now area to come forward with a rezoning proposal and we'11-- the Board and the City Council held its public hearing back on September 23rd. They heard presentations, put some issues out on the table. I imagine the same thing will happen, they'11 give the same presentation and get some comments from the Planning Board on October 13th.

And then on October 20th we have scheduled the PUD KS or the Volpe area rezoning to come back to the Planning Board. That was re-filed by the City Council. There are no changes to it at this point. We predict there will be changes at some point, but for October 20th our expectation is we'11 be giving an update to the Planning Board on the work that's been done in terms of the outreach with the community and internal work that we've been doing, internal thinking that
we've been doing in response to the discussions that have taken place and give some idea of where we see that process heading. And then we expect that hearing wil1 continue into November.

So, another note on October -- on the PUD KS Volpe Zoning is that we will be hosting a community forum, and that had to be rescheduled a couple of times, and this may be the first announcement, but we think that wi11 1and on October 17th. That's a Saturday. But we have had some success doing these kinds of forums on Saturdays. So we think it will be a good opportunity for people to get together and talk about -- and talk about this proposal before -- before the hearing gets opened again for the Planning Board and the City Council. There is no City Council date for that rezoning petition quite
yet.
So, another thing to put on your
calendar is October 26th, the City Council has scheduled a roundtable discussion on citywide planning. I don't know if we have a formal request at this point for attendance from the Planning Board. If I were to make a prediction, I would guess that the attendance by Planning Board members would be highly desired at that roundtable.

And then in terms of good news, at this point we have a Planning Board meeting scheduled for October 27th, but we don't have any hearings that need to be scheduled quite yet, so we're looking at that as a possible date for a Planning Board walking tour. And so we should have more information about that hopefully by next week.

So looking beyond that date, we have

Planning Board meetings scheduled November 10th where we will, we have -- we plan to schedule continued hearings on the car sharing zoning petition which also was re-filed by the City Council, and then we have additional meetings scheduled November 17th and November 24th and that's all we have right now. And I assume we'11 have additional business, either continued or new business, to carry us all the way through to the end of the year.

So that's an overview of the fall. And if there are any questions or topics or cases that board members are curious about, then I'm happy to talk about them a little more.
H. THEODORE COHEN: What, if there were a walking tour on October 27th, what would it be of?

JEFF ROBERTS: There are some ideas
that have been floated around. We're probably open to ideas as well, but one of the areas we were looking at is North Point which has seen some new projects and some new public improvements take place between the EF Complex, the new residential building by HYM, and there's of course the T station work hasn't started yet, but that is expected to be coming in.

There's also -- we also talked about the Binney Street, particularly along the Alexandria development projects. A couple of projects have been -- are completed or I believe are actually at completion at this point. The new residential building at the corner of Binney and Third, the lab building that's next-door to that, which includes the interior atrium space that connects to Rogers Street Park, and then the construction is
underway on the two office and lab buildings on the south side of Binney Street. So there's, so there's a lot to see around that area. There's also some additional buildings that come in somewhat recently around the Cambridge Park area, the Broad Cana1. So the walking tour around that eastern part of Kendal1 Square may be something we want to do. And maybe have an opportunity to look inside some of the buildings.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay.

So I understand we don't have a meeting on November 3rd because that's election day.

JEFF ROBERTS: That's correct.
H. THEODORE COHEN: But I wil1 point
out, as I'm sure you know, that November 24th is the Tuesday before Thanksgiving, and I would assume that there will not be many public around and I'm not sure that there
will be many Planning Board members around. And I think if we could not have a meeting that evening, it would be great. And if we did have a meeting, I would suggest that it be limited to very minor events. And I know scheduling is a problem, but I think that's not a day when we'11 expect to have many people.

JEFF ROBERTS: We'11 bring that to our scheduling meeting tomorrow.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes, please do. Okay, thank you.

Are there any transcripts?
LIZA PADEN: We have the August 4th, the August 11th, and August 18th have been submitted and certified.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Do I have a motion to accept those?

HUGH RUSSELL: I move we approve

| 1 | those. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Second? |
| 3 | STEVEN COHEN: Second. |
| 4 | H. THEODORE COHEN: A11 those in |
| 5 | favor? |
| 6 | (Show of hands). |
| 7 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Thank you. |
| 8 | Are there any Zoning cases that anybody |
| 9 | wanted to talk about? There had been a |
| 10 | request for 11 Blanchard Road. A Variance to |
| 11 | construct a second-story addition to an |
| 12 | existing non-conforming building. I think we |
| 13 | all received the papers about it, and I don't |
| 14 | know if anybody has any additional questions |
| 15 | or comments about it. |
| 16 | It seemed to me the perfect thing for |
| 17 | the BZA to resolve on its own. |
| 18 | Are there any other ZBA matters? |
| 19 | (No Response.) |

## H. THEODORE COHEN: No?

Perhaps, Liza, maybe you could give us a brief update of things that may be happening in the city. Projects that have been approved and are in development?

LIZA PADEN: Starting at North Point, Building $N$ is a residential building and that's being phased into its Certificate of Occupancy. So about two-thirds of the floors are open and available for leasing, and they said the leasing is going strong.

Another project in North Point is 22 Water Street, which is not part of the North Point development. This is where the Macrae (phonetic) site was, and it's over behind the hote1 on Monsignor 0'Brien Highway and they also have a partial Certificate of Occupancy. This is the building that also is responsible for building the multi-use path that leads
out of the North Point park area into
Somerville. So that's coming along.
As Jeff mentioned, the 270 Third Street which is part of the Alexandria Real Estate, that building is opened. And it's very interesting, it's not a very large building, not a very large residential building compared to some of the others we've seen, but it has a lot of really nice features in that when you're in the hallways, you always can see out. There's a lot of glass in the hallways, a lot of glass in the stairways, so it's come across as a very nice friendly building to be in.

And the open space at Rogers Park as we11 as against the office R\&D next-door to it, is really a nice continuation to be able to move across and move and stay off busy streets. And they are this close to having a
restaurant tenant on the ground floor. And the design of the building is such that they have a beautiful outdoor patio space that they'11 be able to use in good weather. So that's, that's really coming together very, very nicely. I think that's one of the reasons why I wanted to take the Planning Board on a tour of that development down Binney Street just so you can see how that's coming together.

Let's see --
H. THEODORE COHEN: Does that building have a green roof?

LIZA PADEN: It does have a green roof and it's quite, it's quite nice because it's very simple and they've left it very simple. And it's beautiful views and it's just quite interesting. And you overlook the public park as well from that one.

Let's see, moving along. Hathaway Bakery on Ridgedale Avenue on Porter Square. They're moving along. They're making great progress. It's a somewhat more complicated project because part of it is a renovation, they're using an existing building plus in-filling with new construction. And it's, it's coming along. And that's very exciting.

The Building Permit for the Kennedy School of Government's been issued so that should be coming out of the ground soon.

And we are currently reviewing building permits for the office development, the addition that's going upstairs at the Crimson Galleria on J.F.K. Street. So that one's going to be moving along.

Novartis is this close to being done with their Certificate of Occupancy. And so I've heard that there's going to be a very
exciting celebration of the building being opened. And the landscaping and open space is being put in place. And I think that it's, it's really going to be spectacular. It's going to be very, very exciting.

Has anybody seen anything and they can't figure out what it was?
H. THEODORE COHEN: We11, what is the status of Harvard and the Smith Center?

LIZA PADEN: So Harvard and the Smith Center...so, the Smith Center is -there have been plans submitted which are redesigning some of the space at the Forbes Plaza area, and I've looked at the plans, but not -- I've just looked at the renderings. It looks like what they're doing at the second floor level, they're still coming out and it will overhang outside open space. So I'm trying to describe this.

The first floor will be pushed back but the second floor comes out and juts out over the Forbes Plaza. And so that one's now making its way through the review process at Historical, for example, and things like that.

HUGH RUSSELL: They had a long presentation of the project and you've hit the highlights. There was a big planter paralle1 to Mass. Avenue, that's sort of blocking the plaza, that's gone. And the trees are -- there's going to be essentially five trees, new trees to replace the four old trees.

The -- that sort of overhang thing, which means you can be outside under weather protection. You can also -- there are tables up on the second floor level so you can sit right up against the glass, overlook the

| 1 | plaza. All those things are kind of nice |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | things. And the ugly wart that they wanted |
| 3 | to put on the top floor, they've withdrawn. |
| 4 | LIZA PADEN: The tenth floor piece |
| 5 | is gone? |
| 6 | HUGH RUSSELL: They're in-filling a |
| 7 | patio, setting the in-fill back. They've |
| 8 | done that several times on the building in |
| 9 | other places and it's -- gives you a lot of |
| 10 | space, but it doesn't change the look of the |
| 11 | building. |
| 12 | H. THEODORE COHEN: So are they |
| 13 | still going for a Variance for height? |
| 14 | HUGH RUSSELL: No. So they don't |
| 15 | need that Variance anymore. |
| 16 | H. THEODORE COHEN: And they did |
| 17 | away with the lantern? |
| 18 | HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. |
| 19 | I attended the Zoning hearing which |

went on for about three hours. And I think this is an example of, I mean, the Zoning Board's not very used to dealing with big powerful projects, but I think, you know, they said this doesn't seem to be right and they were able to communicate what wasn't right well enough so they fixed it. So, and in terms of public process, I think it's actually, you know, it's working well. And I guess as a symbol of that, James Williamson is now in support.

JOHN HAWKINSON: I have the slides if the Board would like to see them.

HUGH RUSSELL: So, when I attended the hearing, I felt like they don't have the tradition that we have of trying to talk to each other, trying to see if you can find common ground, and I thought we do a better job because we're -- because that's our kind

| 1 | of mindset. And they were kind of |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | floundering around trying to deal with the |
| 3 | different points of view that were on the |
| 4 | Board. But I think it's coming out fine. |
| 5 | H. THEODORE COHEN: So that will go |
| 6 | back to -- because as I understood, they |
| 7 | didn't take a vote -- |
| 8 | HUGH RUSSELL: That's right. |
| 9 | H. THEODORE COHEN: -- yes or no? |
| 10 | LIZA PADEN: They're revising the |
| 11 | plans. |
| 12 | HUGH RUSSELL: It's fairly soon. |
| 13 | October. |
| 14 | LIZA PADEN: Thursday night. |
| 15 | JOHN HAWKINSON: Thursday. |
| 16 | HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. |
| 17 | HEATHER HOFFMAN: The only thing on |
| 18 | the agenda that night. |
| 19 | H. THEODORE COHEN: So is there any |

other questions for Liza about projects in the works? Are the two breweries moving along?

LIZA PADEN: Yes, as far as I know they're moving along. Lots of enthusiasm for that.

There's another fast order food request that's coming in for Cambridge Research Park. So that will be here next week. I don't have the details about what that is, but we'11 send out that material for that one.

4551 Mass. Ave., which is one of your open cases, they're going to request an extension for that through to November. They are working with the abutters on the project and --

HUGH RUSSELL: That's the one that's way out on the right?

LIZA PADEN: Yes. That's at the

LaPlante's (phonetic) Dry Cleaning. It used to be Hayes Oil. That's what's going on there.

In case you haven't noticed Discovery Park, the hotel is under construction. We got an e-mail today that their sample wall is going to be up in the next three weeks. So if you happen to be out there and you have an opinion about the sample wall, let us know. And they're working on their Building Permits for the parking garage, building 400, and building 500.

HUGH RUSSELL: CambridgePark Drive.
LIZA PADEN: Yes.
HUGH RUSSELL: The first parking garage on the left side seems to be about done.

LIZA PADEN: It is done. It's done. HUGH RUSSELL: So now they can start
taking on the third residential building?
LIZA PADEN: Right. So, yes, that one's kind of complicated to keep track of the design review for the Building Permits. But now that they have a place to put the cars, they can clear the parking lots and start construction on the other two residential buildings. So CambridgePark Drive is going to be very different soon. And there's no -- very little excavation for those developments. So it will go quickly once they clear the site.

I got you up to 8:27.
H. THEODORE COHEN: The next thing we can do even though she's not here is congratulate Iram Farooq on being appointed Assistant City Manager for Community Development. I think that is terrific and we a11 look forward to continuing to work very
closely with her. And I understand she's in the Netherlands now investigating bicycle parking.

JEFF ROBERTS: Among other things.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Among other things.

A working holiday. I guess just working, not a holiday.

Anyway, so we are just about to go to our 8:30 hearing and before we get to it, let me try to explain where we are. There had been a -- well, as you know requests for PUD Special Permits or Major Amendments to PUD Special Permits require two hearings:

The first one is a preliminary stage for the Planning Board to review things in concept and to make a determination whether they are in accordance with the general guidelines for the PUD and the City's general
guidelines. And if the Planning Board gives that preliminary determination, then there's a second hearing where final plans are submitted and there is a final determination made. So we had -- there had been a proposal with regard to the properties at 159 First Street, 65 Bent Street, and 29 Charles Street made several months ago whereby there would be a new PUD for those three buildings, but since there was already an existing PUD that was going to become part of it, it was a -there was a proposal for a new PUD and the existing one was going to have an amendment to take a piece of that one out of the existing PUD and move it into the new one. We did make a preliminary determination about the new PUD and the transfer of the property, however, the proponents in their infinite wisdom have decided that's not the
way they wish to proceed. That they have now made a request that the old PUD, as it were, will be expanded to incorporate all the property that had been in the proposed new PUD. So even though the proposals are very similar, this -- tonight, this is the first hearing on the Major Amendment to the existing PUD to enlarge its scope and bring in the three or four buildings that we 've been discussing in the past.

And, you know, if we get there, we will make a preliminary determination whether this project can go forward. And we are now at 8:30 and so we can start the public hearing with that background. But, Mr. Rafferty, if you're going to make proposal quick -- one question I would like you to answer, put on the record, is assuming this project gets a preliminary determination, will there then be
a request to withdraw the previous PUD so that we do not have two different projects in the pipeline?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Fine, thank you. ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'd attempt to lower it, but the last time I broke it. I don't think I'11 try that.

So good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. For the record, James Rafferty. I'm appearing on behalf of the applicant in this PUD matter. And the Chair has correctly identified kind of the unique procedural position we're in. And first we should begin by a mild apology for any confusion about this. So \#231A was a PUD approved by the Board several years ago and it involved three separate lots. And this works at a visually, if you can see it -- so
do you have that pointer?
And so this is \#231A. It consisted of three lots. This is a residential building completed now and open. This is an R\&D building which is now opened by Alexandria. And this was proposed to be a townhouse complex. It's a surface parking lot now.

So when Urban Spaces acquired this -Urban Spaces was able to acquire this lot and this lot. So Urban Spaces actually developed the multi-family building that was permitted in \#231A, not withstanding the fact that they weren't the original applicant. It was originally a Jones Lang south project. So Urban Spaces bought these two buildings and Alexandria wound up -- Skanska built that building and sold it to Alexandria now, and Alexandria has it tenanted as an R\&D building.

Urban Spaces acquired additional
properties which we'11 show you in a minute. And we looked at combining the PUD, so what we -- the initial thought we had in that we brought before the Board, you'11 see in the next slide, which was to take, to modify it \#231A by removing the townhouse portion and incorporating that into a new PUD. We would amend this by going to a new PUD.

Okay, so to be more precise. So we took out a portion of the townhouse lot that you see there. So we reduced the size of \#231A. We didn't propose to build a townhouse. So \#231A was modified and approved as a Major Amendment, and we were last here in May I believe it was, that allowed for a reduction in the size of \#231A by taking a portion of that Charles Street lot out of \#231A. The balance of the Charles

Street lot and then some adjoining properties, became 297. And that became -it's a new PUD. Now throughout this process I have to give credit to Mr. Roberts because he has never said to me "I told you so." Because when we first thought about doing this, we said well, we're going to modify \#231A, we're going to take some land out of \#231A, and we're going to do 297. And he said, okay, but you can just combine them all and make a single PUD. And now, we had reasons for not doing it, and it had to do with the multiple ownerships, different parcels, and the willingness of non-applicants to participate in this and it seemed at the time easier. For a variety of reasons after we got the approval here of the preliminary determination for 297 and we continued to analyze the opportunities here,
it became apparent that it was more -- it was beneficial to go back to the original thinking here, was why not combine the entire PUD, make it a single PUD, and therefore put a modified Major Amendment in a \#231A and make it all \#231A subject to a Major Amendment. So we find ourselves back here. So we've added a step, cost a little time and money here, but we took one step back. So what's happening tonight is really a hearing on the preliminary determination for a modified Major Amendment to \#231A.

Having said that, it's a bit of a hybrid hearing because in preparing for this hearing, what we did was respond to the items identified in the preliminary determination in 297, because at the end of the day what's really before the Board is what has been before the Board since we began the process,
which is buildings A, B, C, and D.
So A, B, C, and D, received a
preliminary determination from this Board.
It was the second part of the hearing on the modified \#231A, and you identified here is that you wanted us to address in those buildings. So tonight and for the past several months we've been working with the design staff at CDD, making responsive modifications to not only the buildings but also the site plan. You recall the significant amount of attention was paid in the prior hearings about the site plan, particularly the surface parking, and was the amount of surface parking necessary, and what effort could be taken to reduce surface parking? And we have spent a lot of time analyzing the relationship between surface parking and retail. And a key component of
the proposal, then, as is now was continuous retail along First Street.

So we, we heard those comments. We've worked closely to try to address those comments, how to create an actual reduction in spaces, and also equally as important to improve the visibility of those spaces, to create visual screens, particularly along Hurley Street, so that pedestrians in that area wouldn't necessarily see the spaces.

So tonight what you' 11 hear from our architect Christopher Boyce and I should -Christopher Boyce and John Pears from Perkins Eastman are the project architects, and they're going to walk us through this. We've had the benefit of a very productive collaboration with Ms. Bigolin, Mr. Dash, and the staff around all four of the buildings and the site plan. So what we're going to do
tonight is to show you what A looked like before, what you said about $A$, and what $A$ looks like now. Now, the one change in the, the one change in doing a single PUD does mean that there was additional GFA, so it will become very apparent to you that building A, I'm sure you noticed already from the review of the material, building $A$ is now a full floor building. The top floor was truncated frankly because the GFA didn't exist. So that's a full-sized building. And we'11 go through, we'11 go through those elements.

The other big change, though, and it has a very positive ripple effect is that there were, there were two changes to the parking spaces. And the parking feels closest to Hurley Street, behind parcel B we've been able to eliminate a few spaces and
create significant visual screening. And then there were three spaces in tucked under the residential building, you may recall, a rather awkward relationship between parking and a multi-family building, and we were urged to really take a close look at that. And I think it's fair to say that the staff sent us a very strong signal that they thought that was urbanistically and from a parking demand perspective something that really needed further examination. So what you'11 see tonight are those two changes. The other changes in building $B$ and building $C$ and D are very consistent, and I'm pleased to say that the memo provided to the Planning Board from CDD dated September 23rd, we've tailored or presentation around the memo because frankly we were very pleased to receive it. We view it as a strong
affirmation of the design moves that have been made here.

So our goal would be that we would be able to address in this preliminary determination as many of the issues as were on the table in the last hearing, so that if the Board were prepared to act upon this preliminary determination, that the third and final meeting here would have a limited number of issues left to discuss. And obviously the Board will be the final arbiters to the extent to which we address the issues identified. But it has, it has provided us with a road map in terms of design and site modifications and we're eager to share them with you and obviously hear your feedback.

So Mr. Boyce will walk you through our four buildings and our site plan.
all well oriented to the site now.
This shows the existing conditions of our proposal and this is the -- just the expanded PUD that we're proposing.

So since the last time we met our goals and priorities for the project have relatively remained the same. As you can tell, it's provided significant housing, reinforce and enhance the quality of Charles Street, and the pedestrians experience between First and Second and create a lively and active experience on First Street which continues retail frontage which has remained our priority, while providing significant open space and a central mid-block connection.

This is the site plan for the expanded development. So I'm not going to cover too
much of what already exists in terms of 65
Bent and 165 First. So this is the expansion for PUD \#231A. And the massing and building sighting that was previously approved in our early preliminary determination has relatively remained the same, but we wanted to illustrate kind of the continuous retail frontage in this slide that is still our focus and the mid-block open space.

Throughout the process the team's main goal has really been to bring people and vibrant street life to this area of First Street that right now doesn't really have it. This mixed use development fills the existing gap that sits along First Street and creates continuous retail frontage. But our focus on street life wasn't only really on First Street, we really wanted to provide a pedestrian life that started to exist up

Charles and Hurley and some of the side streets. With PetCo occupying the ground floor parcel A, parcel B offers the opportunity for multiple retail openings and frontages onto First Street while cutting the corner and providing 100 feet of retail that will exist up Second to Charles Street. The primary residential entrance for the 118 units on parcel B is going to be set back on Charles Street. That entrance combined with -- will bring a residential street life to this one way streetscape and it will activate some of these primary street corners.

The garage entrance is on Charles Street farther up on the site. And that's -that will direct -- since Charles Street is a one way street, that will direct traffic in towards front street and away from the
neighborhood.
Retail on Hurley Street will be double sided to provide entrances and provide retail and pedestrian activity that starts to bleed up Hurley Street, and balanced open space on both Charles and Hurley with the mid-block connection path that still exists from the last proposal, will kind of create a vibrant street life that starts to exist on the interior of this block.

The Hurley housing building is a small, freestanding building that exists as it was proposed previously, and multiple ground floor entrances on both Hurley Street, and on the interior of the open space buffered by these private patios create a great residential living environment that exists within this publicly accessible open space.

So as the site plan is continuing to
evolve, there's a few major changes in refinements that we want to call your attention to and Jim kind of mentioned previously.

We 've addressed our Hurley Street edge that Jim talked about by eliminating two parking spaces on either side of the parking lot. We feel this allows for better screening from the remaining parking and a buffer for pedestrians that are walking up on Hurley Street.

We also recently eliminated three parking spaces that were previously located under the building, and I feel that this change helps to makes the pedestrian access between the retail, the back side of retail, and the open space safer and potentially more lively. So the space that existed previously where the red circle is now, their
elimination makes this a pedestrian corridor that now starts to activate retail and provide a safe passage to the open space.

The elimination of these two spaces on Hurley also allows for the opportunity to plant native New England grasses, some are proposed on these slides, provide some brick piers and fencing option to further screen this zone and this surface lot from what exists on Hurley Street. The first parking stalls are now going to be nearly 18 feet set back from the Hurley Street edge. So the short-term bike parking, which you can see, if everybody can see it here, located adjacent to the parcel $B$ building services the retail storefront and this proposed pavered parking lot kind of softens the appearance of a surface lot.

So I wanted to walk through, even
though it's a preliminary determination, walk through our revised designs after a lot of conversations with the staff. And while I do that, I want to reorient you to the parcels as they exist today, what we proposed previously, and then where our designs have evolved to. This is parcel A which sits -what we're determining as parce1 A, sits at the corner of Charles Street and First Street. It's currently by Big John's Mattress Store and it's flanked on two sides by new development. And those two sides are 159 First and 65 Bent which are now part of this proposal.

So to remind you of our previous proposal parcel A, a lot of dialogue has led to some dramatic revisions that we feel really help to resolve some of the issues cited in your preliminary determination which
are referenced and quoted above. We are tasked with reconsidering the building facade, the chief beneficial balance, and the arrangement of the sound materials, colors, and geometry of the windows.

Our proposed office building of parcel A, this is our new proposal, will provide continuous ground floor retail, only broken by the primary grand entrance to the office floors above. The design folks on the dramatic corner at Bent and First Street where the materials have been reconsidered to present a more rational balance over the course of this facade. The natural brick coursing that occupies a majority of the buildings supports a lighter grey zinc metal panel on the top floor that accentuates the building before returning to the ground on the Bent Street corner. The building's
approximately 55,000 square feet and wil1 stand 63 feet to the top of roof.

The large industrial scaled windows have been revised for a more regular grid to help reinforce existing history, while also offering views of the active work environment within.

This is a view from the Bent Street corner of the building that helped to show this zinc composite metal that we're proposing for the upper floors and where it terminates down to the pedestrian level.

This corner of the building is very shallow. It's going to be 30 feet deep. While the rest of the building is 65 feet deep. So this narrow corner building, we feel this corner of the building will prevent a very dramatic experience with transparent glass and metal that will really kind of speak to
the active environment inside. This is going to primarily be conference rooms and rooms used throughout the day.

So as you run to a lot of our meetings with the staff, renderings and we have some printed images, can't always accurately show the best colors in what we're trying to propose for these materials. So we wanted to call your attention to some of the greater detail, more notable design features of the building. The composite metal being proposed is a zinc clad natural metal and it will offer a variety of texture for the upper floors, not just a flat Alucobond or aluminum panel. We're proposing using a long, natural masonry block and two different textured finishes for the majority of the facade. A smooth faced brick will cover the upper floors, and you can kind of see in this zone
here, while a rough finished brick will
occupy the lower floors.
The main entrance of the building is expressed as a four-story kind of illuminated void. This volume kind of separates the masonry facade that exists for the office building, on the right-hand side here from the kind of thin metal bar that will jet towards Bent Street.

The entrance is also -- will also have illuminated canopy that will extend interior of the space and cover the ceiling for the main residential lobby, kind of creating further celebrating this entrance moment for the office occupants above.

The ground floor retails, as I mentioned, will be the floor that will have the rough or hammered finished brick. That kind of further accentuates the base, middle,
top that is a goal of ECaPs, and this helps to show how that stone combined with exterior wall sconces will really help to kind of illuminate this retail floor at night and start to differentiate the retail floor from the office floors of the building.

So if you think of the last existing image that I showed you to now still standing at the corner of First Street and Charles Street and we turned and looking at what we're terming parcel B, where our primary residential buildings are going to be proposed. This is the PetCo corner currently with surface parking occupying the primary intersection. An empty storefront that's still right now kind of lined First Street. Our previous proposal for parcel B, I think had some references to cruise ships and had general discomfort looking at the images.

So a lot of work with Suzannah and her team, we've resolved a lot of these issues while maintaining the development goals that create a varied neighborhood of diverse living environments on parcels $B$ and $C$ while continuing to enhance the retail corridor that we're striving for on First Street. So the new proposal for this First

Street facade, and we'11 just cover the First Street facade, the image, and then we'11 move around the building, has evolved to create a softer transition between the two residential forms, while remaining true to our masonry and industrial corridor on First Street and then increasing the residential environment that exists as you get closer to Second Street. Darker metal is now woven in between the windows to create the appearance of larger openings, and that combined with a --
the more dominant metal on the recess is starting to create a more cohesive First Street facade. This metal panel system that waves through the window as it covers this recess zone helps create more balance and removes the conflicting styles that previously existed in the building. The dramatic recess that still exists alludes to the residential community that exists beyond First Street, and now has more windows than it did previously. More glass than what was proposed the first time through and it is filled with residential balconies that really start to allow the domesticity of the building to such a read along the busy environment that we're envisioning for First Street.

As I mentioned, we're proposing to continue this ground floor retail along
parcel B. And here you can see how the retail corner entrances along Charles Street is vignette, kind of close out better how you can cut through this corner, and the retai 1 still extending a hundred feet down Charles Street. And multiple entrances between Hurley and Charles are going to fulfill this retail block.

A more dramatic two-story base has evolved and now greets the corner of First Street and Charles Street before stepping down to one story after this large recess. And you can see the two-story volume that's being created at the corner of Charles and both these vignettes, and it's capturing the upper floors and making the building feel grander. That we feel combined with widening this brick pier by another additional ten feet helps to create a more stable corner for
the mixed use building.
Since our last meeting the building has been set back further from First Street. So now there will be an eleven-foot sidewalk that will exist along First Street, with additional three-foot recesses for all the retail entries. We feel this gives a nice buffer as pedestrians are moving along what's essentially going to be a one-sided retail street.

On top of this active retail sits five floors of housing and sti11 the 118 proposed units. The residential window bays are accentuated with brick coursing that creates a texture and vertical connection so you start to see how they weave through the building by focusing on this pier. This building will really start to complete the block for the emerging residential
neighborhood from 159 First up to One First Street.

At times some of these views from across the street really can't paint the accurate picture of the new environment that we're proposing to create. So this view helps to show that widened eleven-foot sidewalk, the recessed retail entries, and the new built forum that we're proposing above as juxtaposed to the existing conditions that are there today.

So now we've walked up the secondary street. Walked up Charles Street against traffic and looking back towards First Street where we were previously just standing at the corner, this shows the end of our parcel B lot where the windowless walled PetCo still sits and an impervious surface parking lot. To remind you kind of quickly of our previous
proposal, we've stepped back to view the corner of Charles Street where the retail environment still extends passed the corner, and this shows the conflicting styles and their abrupt intersection. This set back portion of the building, some aspects of it in our last meeting were somewhat positively received if only for their increased residential vocabulary and features.

The massing of this parcel $B$ residential building has remained the same. It's still set back 18 feet from Charles Street. It still reduces in height as it proceeds towards Second Street, and it still sits atop a transparent amenity level for the residents above. But the treatment and the materials for this mass have been softened and modified to create a more subtle transition to enhance our theme of a varied
community. The metal panel that emerges on First Street has now found its way into Charles Street but only briefly, and it functions as a visual buffer or a void between these two differing forms.

The composite wood cladding has also been modified and relocated in many instances to follow more rational grid and a rhythm that also changes in color to create a more weathered wood appearance and starts to pick up the same pallet as a metal panel.

The multiple balconies that adorn this facade will also have the same composite wood materials. And just beyond this building you kind of get the first view of the smaller parcel C residential building that's 18 units and just across our primary open space.

It's another -- another pedestrian level view that helps to illustrate the
building that as it's set back on Charles Street where our primary residential entrance will be. This entrance is flanked by kind of a hardscape open space and it offers an area of respite for people to move off the street as they enter their building. And along sea wall bench and plantings for passive use kind of to its north.

This area in particular I'll explain in further detail when we get to the kind of landscape and site plans that are later in the presentation.

So from our last vantage point to stand and turn to our right, you're now looking from Charles Street across to Hurley Street, and this is the existing lot that is there today with a bulk of our open space is proposed.

Now while the Hurley building has
continued to evolve subtly during the design process, we feel during the last presentation here and in a lot of meetings with the staff, it has been relatively well received. So rather than showing before and afters, I wanted to kind of show the current status of these designs. Here we see the differing end of our parcel B building with the ground floor amenity level and the green space beyond with -- as it starts to connect to the smaller Hurley Street building that abuts Hurley Street on the opposite side. The Hurley Street building continues its use with balconies with residential windows, and the upper floors maintain that material change to kind of further reduce its visual height. So we now walked across that open space or the surface lot that exists today. We're looking from Hurley Street back towards Charles.

First Street, you'11 notice, down to our left.

So this Hurley Street building is sited as it was before and it's set back about ten feet from the Hurley Street edge. This is to provide relief for the multiple ground floor entrances that we're proposing. The entries and balconies on this building are surrounded by open space, and this adds to what we feel is a new and kind of differing emerging environment. Additional street trees and entry level green space along this area of Hurley Street really make it feel like it sits within the open space. It's kind of an pavilion rather than a building abutting street. Just beyond as you look towards First Street, you can see the larger mass of the parcel B residential building to kind of help orient you.

So this is the final image of that
residential site. This shows existing conditions, multiple curb cuts and kind of unused spaces. So now we stand almost at the corner of First Street and we're looking back up Hurley Street. And by setting the building back this further helps to show greener arbor and landscape entrance to our mid-block connecting open space which sits just between these two buildings.

Only one curb cut is proposed on Hurley. And with the retail, turn the corner not only on Charles Street, but also here on Hurley Street, retail entries will be benefitted by facing this open space.

To our right in this frame you can just start to see the beginning of our parcel $D$ retail project, a building that will aid in the development of a continuous and unbroken
street of activity. This view also helps to show that the elimination of the two street side parking spaces has helped to create a buffer of green landscape for the surface lot that further separates the surface parking from Hurley Street. So this shows that this is the extent of the first space more than 18 feet back from the sidewalk edge.

So you walk down Hurley Street, we're now back on First Street, and we're looking towards Lechmere. So this is the final building in our proposed development, and it will replace the existing structure on 85 First. 85 First is the lot that extends from Hurley Street just to kind of right before David's Shoes. So it does not include David's Shoes parcel.

Parcel D proposes a new single-story retail building of just under 8,000 square
feet. This building has been designed as a jewel box for the neighborhood with a taller illuminated corner on Hurley Street presenting a wall of light and transparency all along First Street. As a strictly retail environment, we felt that the glass and street lighting will present a glowing and safe environment for this side of the street. With its change in height within our development, this building provides relief that's commonly found in a vibrant urban fabric. And it succeeds in creating a presence at it its smaller scale. The green wall adds a feature from this building as it turns the corner onto Hurley Street.

So now we're still on First Street and we've just walked just farther up towards Lechmere overlooking back at the entirety of our proposed development with the parcel D
lot sitting to our immediate right.
So the taller corner at Hurley is now more apparent and the halo and signage band that we're proposing will softly illuminate any future retail signs and also to kind of create a recognizable sense of place for this emerging retail environment. Multiple store fronts are also proposed to proceed along First Street for this building and will exist within this transparent facade.

The sidewalk for parcel D mimics the sidewalk for parcel B, and they'11 both be about eleven feet deep which will provide for potential for additional seating or active pedestrian use as these buildings take shape in the neighborhood.

So now we've walked back up Hurley, we're looking back towards First Street and we've viewed the looming parking garage on

First Street that sits across the street from the, our proposed retail corridor. Since First Street is essentially being proposed as currently as a one-sided retail street, we also felt it important that the side streets, which would be Hurley that we're on, Charles, and Bent create their own identity as active and safe pedestrian paths.

The back side of this parce1 D retail environment is intended to also offer this same kind of transparent and inviting facade so that it doesn't feel like the back of house and you feel like you're in the interior of the neighborhood as you're walking down First Street. We anticipate that pedestrians that maybe are passing through the open space or experiencing Hurley Street will find this to be a well lit and inviting building from all sides. It will
have a clear front door on First Street through conversations with a lot of our retailers, but we want this to avoid feeling, you know, kind of dingy and like a storage area.

The pedestrian sidewalk on First Street will increase in worth as I mentioned, and will be created by this open and transparent facade will that drastically change the environment that exists there today.

So we've discussed various aspects of the publicly accessible open space, but I kind of wanted to quickly walk you through some of the more inviting features that we're proposing.

Our landscape architect is Hobson Wagner. And he's done a great job of creating an intimate open space that greets both sides of the street in differing but
successful ways. This is the Charles Street side of the street. So on Charles Street he's created a small patio for residential use. And you can see this kind of abutting the back side of our parcel B residential building. This patio will turn the corner onto Charles Street, yet is defined and buffered by a seat wall and tall native grasses so it remains a private space as an amenity for the building. This patio enhances the interior amenity on two sides of this parcel B building. We're also creating a zone for public respite along Charles Street.

The entrance to this open space and mid-block path is also further set back as you walk up the street. This is the traditional six-foot, eight-foot public sidewalk that exists today. And this is
grown to be about a twelve-foot hardscape space to allow people to kind of bleed off the sidewalk and into the open space.

Access to the garage, the parking garage below, is set back within a transparent headhouse that's located within this open space and adjacent to the garage entrance ramp.

So this is a further illustration by our landscape architect that helps to show the proposed Charles Street path entrance and some of the proposed plantings. You can see that some of the proposed fencing and screening options that will buffer this private patio is zoned here will mimic the wood materials that exist on the building and kind of feel like the extension of the building and they were shown on the previous slide.

So now we're on the Hurley Street side of this kind of balanced open space. So on the opposite side we have a better perspective of how the parce1 C building is sited within the open space. You see the setback and entrances with the green buffers along Hurley Street and the small private patios that help to buffer it from a larger lawn space that exists within this open space. A small pocket lawn south of parce1 C contains a hardscaped area for additional relief that connects to our covered bike parking. We felt that this is an area that pedestrians or bicyclists would have a moment to pull off of Hurley Street, kind of gather, collect themself or sit along one of the benches that exist on the mid-block connection path.

So this is a final sketch. We've kind
of walked across entire mid-block connection path from Charles over to Hurley Street, and it tries to paint a picture of what the experience is to walk across that path and be greeted with a small kind of pocket lawn space and the hardscaped area of varying pavers that exist along the bike parking. If you look, the transparent back facade of the parcel D building as it exists just at the end of this view which we thought this was a two sided building to also greet people that are emerging from this open space.

## So Scott Thornton from Vanasse

Associates is here today and he will walk you through the responses of the traffic study from our preliminary determination. SCOTT THORNTON: Good evening. So we had submitted a TIS last year following the scoping determination that was
issued by TPT. That study looked at 151 indicators of impact with a Special Permit criteria, and in general we looked at what that impacts at ten intersections between Hurley Street and Binney Street. This slide shows the study area with the sites parcels A, B, and C and D shown here. Second Street, and First Street. The circled areas represent the intersections that were studied. There's four signalized intersections and about six unsignalized intersections.

A lot of these -- a lot of these -there were some uses that were in place that were occupied generating some traffic at the time of our counts that were not subtracted from the area counts. So that makes this analysis somewhat conservative in that there's some traffic that's sort of being
double counted between the occupied sites and the proposed sites.

The TIS showed zero exceedances of the criteria, and Traffic and Parking certified the study on November 14th. As Chris and Jim mentioned, the office component has increased by about 5,000 square feet, but that -- and that results in two additional trips during the morning peak hour and two additional trips during the evening peak hour, but it does not go through any exceedances of the Special Permit criteria. So, again, we're looking at the same 151 indicators of impact and no, no changes and no exceedances of the criteria.

And just so -- just to go through it briefly, this slide indicates the access points for vehicles with, with Hurley Street. You've got the pretty much the existing
access to parcel $D$ for that surface parking. Lined up directly across from there is the one access point for parcel B for that surface parking, and I know this has kind of gone back and forth that were initially two access points, kind of a one way flow that was identified with an entering curb cut and an exiting curb cut. That's been
consolidated to one access point for entering and exiting traffic. And then the underground garage access point for the residential and office parking is further up on Charles Street, one way traffic flow down to First Street. And then parcel A has a small surface lot associated with it. Again, one access point off of Bent Street.

In terms of mitigation, you know, without the, without really any exceedances of the criteria, we're not looking at large
impacts to be mitigated. We think that the, you know, if we can, if we can continue to continue the trend of pulling people out of their personal vehicles, get them on to the T, get them into biking and walking, that's really the biggest, the biggest improvement and mitigation of the traffic impact from this project. So providing the MBTA -whoops, broken.

There we go.
Providing the MBTA Charlie Cards for adult residents upon moving in, joining the Charles River TMA, which helps to provide things like emergency rides home for employees of the office space and also carpool matching, providing MBTA pass subsidy to the federal maximum which is I think $\$ 130$ a month now. Working with the office of workforce development to attract Cambridge
residents to work at the site because we know Cambridge residents, you know, walk -- or 27 percent of Cambridge residents walk to work. So if we can get people to walk there, obviously that's fewer trips made by personal vehicles.

And we'11 continue to work with Traffic and Parking on additional mitigation measures. They had identified sort of a tweaking of some of these TDM measures, but one thing that they had identified was updating the signal control at the intersection of First Street and Charles Street to include audible pedestrian signals and a new signal controller there.

So, that's really the summary of the TIS. I'11 turn it back over to Jim if there aren't any questions.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I think
that pretty much concludes our presentation at this point.
H. THEODORE COHEN: A11 right.

Do any of the board members have questions or comments right now or should we go to the public comments?

THACHER TIFFANY: I've got one. This might be a question for staff or maybe the proponent. What are the widths of the sidewalks in the area generally? Both maybe existing at these parcels, and also across the street in front of the Galleria and other buildings just as a reference? If anyone has a sense of those widths it would be very helpful.

JEFF HIRSCH: Jeff Hirsch, Urban Spaces. I happen to do a lot of work over there and our office is right there. We know that on the north -- I'm sorry, on the west
side.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you have the site plan?

JEFF HIRSCH: Facing towards Second Street you have six, six to eight feet deep pending on where you are there. And on the opposite side, on the Galleria side of the street you have anywhere from ten, six to eleven, six. I know this because I just recently measured it for another project.
H. THEODORE COHEN: While that's up, what is behind parcel A, the building on parcel A?

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: That zone there?
H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes.

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: That's NStar or Eversource transformer vault and a ten-foot roadway access.
H. THEODORE COHEN: For them?

| 1 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Easement for |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | them, correct. |
| 3 | H. THEODORE COHEN: And behind that? |
| 4 | Between that and -- |
| 5 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: This here? |
| 6 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes. |
| 7 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: That is surface |
| 8 | parking that is part of the 65 Bent Street |
| 9 | lot. |
| 10 | H. THEODORE COHEN: That's that, |
| 11 | it's part of that building? |
| 12 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's their |
| 13 | loading and parking. So this is the property |
| 14 | line. |
| 15 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Thank you. |
| 16 | Anyone else have any questions right |
| 17 | now? |
| 18 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes. |
| 19 | MARY FLYNN: Yes. |

Can you just clarify the surface, the surface parking on parcel A? What is that going to be used for? Is that what I'm seeing or not?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes,
that's correct. That is the surface parking that will accommodate the ground floor retail in building A which is PetCo. And PetCo as we explained it in the earlier hearing, PetCo currently is in the location where that 21 Charles Street is it and they have surface parking there. And to bring them in to the development, it was necessary, to incentivize them. They had a requirement of the developer that they wanted to still be able to maintain the surface parking that they presently have. And relocating them and taking their building down is a key piece of making this redevelopment happen. So, we
have provided that opportunity at that location. So that is exclusive of parking for the retail. The office parking in the balance of the building will be shared in the below grade parking garage that you'll see on parcel C. So the tenants in the office building and the residential building will use that below grade garage. But that's surface parking for PetCo customers.

MARY FLYNN: Is it the same number of spaces that they had?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Correct.
They had ten before and they insisted on getting ten again.

MARY FLYNN: Okay, thank you. LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: On your traffic study I noticed none of the intersections were from Cambridge Street south. Can somebody give me a reason for
that?
SCOTT THORNTON: When we looked at the study area, there was a formula that we, that we go through, basically looking at -yeah, expected of the trip generation for the site and then trip distribution identifying where that traffic is likely to go. And if we have, if we have intersections that reach a total of 40 trips, 40 peak hour trips in the morning and evening then that gets categorized as an intersection to be studied. Because we looked at the paths of traffic is to come down, expecting a lot of them to come down onto First Street, coming from Cambridge Street, coming the other way from Memorial Drive, up Land Boulevard, coming down Third Street. The intersections on Cambridge Street didn't really rise to that threshold level.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: It should be noted, Mr. Chair, just as a comment that the scope of the traffic study is determined essentially ultimately by the Traffic Department. So it's a collaborative exercise. So based on the program as presented, the study area is identified. So it's important to know that's a collaborative exercise with City's Traffic Department.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Being that I live in that area, seems like we overlooked a lot of traffic coming in from McGrath Highway, Cambridge Street. The numbers may be there, it just seems strange that nothing from McGrath Highway, Cambridge Street, and down was studied. It's a lot of traffic there now.

SCOTT THORNTON: So we did look at assigning traffic through there. But, again,
at the point where it gets to the site, it's really the -- the impact is really focussed right on those locations close to the site.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I guess I'd like to see the numbers from that study from the Cambridge Street down.

SCOTT THORNTON: Sure.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Please.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Anyone else have any questions right now?
(No Response.)
H. THEODORE COHEN: Do you have a rendering that shows the building on parcel B head on?

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: We don't have a flat elevation -- we have some flat elevations in our submission package, but otherwise this is it, the rendering.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Right, they all

| 1 | seem to be at the angle and I would really |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | like it see the recessed, because I guess you |
| 3 | refer to balconies, and I can barely see |
| 4 | them. |
| 5 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: If you look |
| 6 | here, they line both sides of this zone. On |
| 7 | both sides of the recess. So there's -- |
| 8 | they're tucked in here and tucked in here |
| 9 | with full height windows in between. |
| 10 | JOHN PEARS: Do we have elevations |
| 11 | anywhere? |
| 12 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: In the |
| 13 | submissions there's elevations. |
| 14 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: They're about |
| 15 | a half a mile away. Another problem. |
| 16 | H. THEODORE COHEN: They're far |
| 17 | away. |
| 18 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: We can blow them |
| 19 | up. |


| 1 | H. THEODORE COHEN: While I'm going |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | through the renderings, let me ask you these |
| 3 | questions now. So let's go through all the |
| 4 | buildings. Start with A. |
| 5 | A11 right. Go back to the previous |
| 6 | one. |
| 7 | Is that how the penthouse is going to |
| 8 | be enclosed? Is that how the mechanicals |
| 9 | will be enclosed? That's what we're going to |
| 10 | see? |
| 11 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yes, that's a |
| 12 | ten-foot tall screen as proposed now and all |
| 13 | the rooftop units sit, if you look at the |
| 14 | plan, they sit within that long linear piece |
| 15 | that extends towards Second Street. |
| 16 | H. THEODORE COHEN: And so they're |
| 17 | all within the screening? |
| 18 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yes. |
| 19 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. |


| 1 | And the same thing, is that also true |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | with the residential building? |
| 3 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: The residential, |
| 4 | you can see that this penthouse is |
| 5 | incorporated in to the metal panel. |
| 6 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay, so |
| 7 | everything is screened? |
| 8 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's more |
| 9 | expensive than what we need and it's more -- |
| 10 | it's more and smaller rooftop units for the |
| 11 | residential building and they'11 all be |
| 12 | contained within this penthouse screen. |
| 13 | H. THEODORE COHEN: And parcel D, |
| 14 | the building on D. |
| 15 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: And parcel D |
| 16 | shows this. So parcel C you can see the |
| 17 | penthouse on top. |
| 18 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Right. |
| 19 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's just |


| 1 | centrally located. And parcel D, this is our |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | louvered screen. This is kind of a faux |
| 3 | heightened ceiling for the corner retail. |
| 4 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Right. So the |
| 5 | screen is the same height. |
| 6 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Same height as |
| 7 | the -- |
| 8 | H. THEODORE COHEN: -- corner piece? |
| 9 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: And that will |
| 10 | contain all the canvas. |
| 11 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay, thank you. |
| 12 | If there are no other questions why |
| 13 | don't we go to public comment now. |
| 14 | Is there a sign-up sheet? |
| 15 | LIZA PADEN: No one signed up. |
| 16 | H. THEODORE COHEN: No one signed |
| 17 | up. |
| 18 | Is there anyone who would like to |
| 19 | speak? |



## ROBERTA GOTO: Spaces?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: It's for the office building in A and the residential units in B and residential units in C .

ROBERTA GOTO: Okay, how many for each?
H. THEODORE COHEN: Sorry, Ma'am, would you please address your comments to the Board rather than to the proponent. I know we don't have a lot of people here tonight. But we don't usually allow that. We like to try to keep it in the --

PAUL OGNIBENE: Paul Ognibene, Urban Spaces, for the record. So the 142 spaces -to the Chair. So there's 40 spaces for the A office and the balance of 102 represents 0.75 spaces per residential unit for the 136 proposed residential units on B and C. So it's, again, approximately 40 for the office
and 102 for the residential.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And as we analyzed the parking spaces with the Traffic Department back when Ms. Clippinger was there, those 40 spaces obviously have varying demands on the residential spaces, so there's a shared opportunity on evenings and weekends. So guests or whatever. So it provides a bit of a safety net. So it's a 0.75 with a safety net of 40 spaces, and the idea would be that if there was a demand beyond the 0.75 in the residential, the opportunity on evening and weekends exists to take advantage of the office parking. ROBERTA GOTO: Okay.

And so the spaces -- sorry, the spaces up by 21 Charles Street on the L, the upper part of the $L$, there doesn't seem to be an access road. Are they going over the pavers
to access those?
CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: The entire
parking lot is essentially pavers, and the pavers will vary in color and appearance to kind of designate zones of activities. So this will be, let's just say, it's a grey paver. The sidewalk and this kind of access way becomes a different long linear paver of a tan color. So all cars can drive over all that zone and there will be bollards that separate the sidewalks. Sidewalks are separated by bollards.
H. THEODORE COHEN: A11 right. Thank you.

Is there anyone else who wishes to speak?

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street. And I would point out that because of the numbering anomaly on

Hurley Street there's a huge block of numbers that it's I believe pooled at the bottom, you know, underneath Third Street. I'm actually just a block and a half away from this, so I'm on the other side of Third Street. So I'm really familiar with this area, and I will start with things that I want to say thank you for:

No. 1, the -- there -- the -- yes, the back of that building now is uninviting to say the least. So I really appreciate having something nice looking there. And I would say that currently that surface parking lot is barely used. So unless you're expecting a whole ton more use for it, you may find that you could like make a garden there or something.

Speaking of gardens, I hope that the open space will be more than a lawn with a
sidewalk through it. And I would also point out, and I understand the geometric reason why you're doing this, but I would bet you that in the real world the desire line is the other direction. That people actually would want to go from, you know, if you imagine that as being an $X$, they want to go on the other leg of the X, but I understand you got a building there.

And on the transportation stuff, I would, I think that the really, really heavy traffic in the area is no surprise on Third Street. And I don't ever see a whole lot of traffic on Hurley, Charles, or Sprague at any one time, it's just kind of steady. And I can say that, you know, I've lived at 213 Hurley Street since 1984, and the amount of traffic has increased quite a bit in that time, but it's still not debilitating or
anything.
And I was pleased to see that apparently this project is on a far greater -- or a far more stable financial setting than 88 Ames Street since you can provide a far better Charlie Card subsidy than Boston Properties was able to. Good for you.

And I hope that you get a better design for the Hurley Street building. That is mighty stark. I -- it just seems so flat and unadorned and not particularly residential looking, at least as far as I can tell. I am terrible at being able to translate some flat picture into what it's really going to look 1ike. But I think you can do way better.

And the last thing that I wanted to talk about was something that I only recently discovered. I needed to pay attention to is
the number of affordable units in this -- I don't know if that has already been calculated. If it hasn't, I will strongly urge you that if you, if you don't want me to be kicking up a ruckus as $I$ have been with several other buildings, don't, don't mess around with it. Be honest.

And then I hope that the -- oh, the illuminated sign that you were planning on First Street. Really, we don't need more 1ights. You're gonna be illuminating so much stuff along there. Honest to goodness, we do not need this to look like Times Square or, you know, the Las Vegas strip. We really don't. People will know. Certainly people in the neighborhood will find out that there are stores there. And if there are any stores that attract people in the neighborhood, they won't need lights to find

| 1 | them. So please tone it down. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Thanks . |
| 3 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Thank you. |
| 4 | Is there anyone else who wishes to |
| 5 | speak? |
| 6 | (No Response.) |
| 7 | H. THEODORE COHEN: None appearing. |
| 8 | Then why don't we board members have our own |
| 9 | comments, questions. |
| 10 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, |
| 11 | just by way of suggestion. I do want to |
| 12 | point out that we did spend a considerable |
| 13 | amount of time with Ms. Bigolin with making |
| 14 | revisions of the building, and I don't know |
| 15 | if the Board wi11 benefit from the assessment |
| 16 | of that. |
| 17 | H. THEODORE COHEN: I think we wil1 |
| 18 | be getting to that. Why don't we start with |
| 19 | our own comments and questions. I do want to |

point out that we have received the memorandum from staff that incorporates into it the earlier preliminary determination and staff's earlier memorandum and memorandum from an earlier Traffic and Parking memorandum and a subsequent Traffic and Parking and memorandums from Public Works, and all of those will be incorporated into the record of this hearing in this preliminary determination.

Hugh, why don't you start?
HUGH RUSSELL: Okay, I have quite a long list of architectural comments and a couple of side comments.

I think some of the architectural problems have been resolved. Maybe some have been reduced and so there's more to be done.

So building A. So building A used to be four stories and it used to be a kind of a
light grey color. Now it's five stories.
It's gotten a lot darker, and the fifth floor is kind of taped down with dark duct tape on top of the old four-story building. I understand you like these images, it's really awful. The -- something that was at a certain lightness has gotten extremely heavy. I'd really hate the dark charcoal color. I understand that maybe that's not what it's really going to be. I mean, when I send out a rendering to a client, I print it first to see what it's going to look like. And if it doesn't look like the way I want it to look, I mess with the rendering values so that it does. So I'm assuming this is exactly what you intend. That you intend that the top be really dark, ugly grey, depressing, and I don't like it.

The mullions have gotten very heavy.

They're dark and black. That's also very depressing.

I think they're probably more realistic than your earlier rendering, which basically just had lines there, but I don't know whether the, the mullions project out from the face of the glass, in which case you see more of the material. If they project out, I think they have to be lighter. I think if they're more flush with the glass, they can be a, just seeing the line. So that's something to work on. Going on to building B. You by now all realize I really hate the dark grey portion of that building. I think you've done a lot on the brick. I hope it's not that depressing dark brick. I hope it's more like the brick that was there on the last set of renderings, but I think the -- all of the
things you described about the double height cornice, you know, the creating the bay rhythm, all of that stuff is really quite successful. I think the way in which the part that is recessed and is metal is not so bad. I think the top cornice, you know, seven or eight feet of dark heavy metal that's -- I don't like that much at all. Particularly when I guess I -- it doesn't have to be there. It can be set back. But it could be lighter. Maybe it is intended to be lighter.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: It seems like it's in shade all the time.

HUGH RUSSELL: Right.
And so, now, I'm -- I've got a -- if
you can show the site plan of the courtyard between B and C. I want you to get rid of several parking spaces and I want to add a 35
by 45 -foot piece of grass. So right next to that circle there are five parking places and there's a 30 -foot wide driveway that serves them, that is in different colored pavers. And I want you to basically make that driveway a 15 -foot walkway and make that -those five spaces and half of that driveway into more green space. I think that would be a real, real bonus to the open space concept on the site. And I'm not at all convinced that you don't have plenty of parking down in your garage. I think you can actually -- you don't have to lose all five spaces, because when you, you do that, can you actually -you've got like a half a space at the top. And if you add another, you know, 13 feet of paving to that half space, you get two, two more spaces back. You might get one more space on the bottom. Then that is actually
quite smal1, but 1500 feet, 1600 feet to grass as opposed to paving is, I think, a real advantage.

I'm very glad that you set the building back 11 feet. That was really important.

And then if you could go to the, to a view of the -- on Hurley Street of the apartment building. Yeah, that's good. Go back. That one.

So the wooden -- the grey in-fill on the apartment things I think has way too much contrast. It needs to be -- it can be a change of texture. It could be somewhat a change of color, but it's this sort of dark and light. It doesn't work very well for me. And I also think you need to keep the corners all light, because I think you need to hold the edges of the building. You do that on the brick building. You have the brick
corners that are strong. On this building those corners are very weak and I think you have to draw up what it looks like with those corner pieces being the light material and show those to Suzannah and see what you -see what happens.

Going on to building $C$, the previous renderings had the top material somewhat lighter and it was nicer. Again, this may be the rendering getting away from you or maybe the intention to make it dark and depressing. I don't like it dark and depressing.

I'd also like -- you notice how that brick bay next to the tree goes up and it got a little bit of brick above the windows. And it looks like just arbitrarily stopped at the floor line. And I think that -- in proportions are bad. So what I think I would like to see you do is make that whole brick
panel go up to full height of the building, maybe do it once on this side, once on the other side, and then where it doesn't go to the top, maybe carry it all the way to the windowsills so that the amount of brick that's coming across there is more like the amount of brick in the spandrels down below. I think those proportions will be more pleasing. Because I, I mean, I agree with Heather's comment that it's a little -- for all of its volumetric richness, the actual surfaces are pretty flat. And I'm not quite sure -- I mean, I think it's a good observation. I don't know what to do about it, but I think she had really hit on something nice.

If you go to the old rendering, the -actually brick did go up to the windowsills before at least on the Hurley Street side.

And then building $D$, you know, that's all new. I think -- it's glass. I don't know how you're going to keep the tenants keeping the glass on the parking lot side, but I think it's a great idea. I'd like to grab one more parking space out of that lot and turn it into green space. If you look at detail at the site plan behind that building, on closer to First Street there's about a -there's some bicycle parking spaces and a wide planter. So that first car is about 20 feet back from the sidewalk. On the other side of the lot there's a planner that maybe looks five or six feet wide and a parking space. That's the parking space I'd like you to turn green so that you can have a better buffer to the pedestrians. Because six feet, you know, that's sort of a distance from that table to the wall, it doesn't really do very

| 1 | much. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Does anybody |
| 3 | know what the grey box in the corner is on |
| 4 | that same parking lot on your plan? |
| 5 | H. THEODORE COHEN: I think staff |
| 6 | referred to it in the memo. |
| 7 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Okay, it's |
| 8 | part of your building. The box on the |
| 9 | corner, correct? |
| 10 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Services, |
| 11 | electrical room, maintenance. Trying to keep |
| 12 | it out of the retail zone. |
| 13 | HUGH RUSSELL: So those are my |
| 14 | comments. You know, I think the basic |
| 15 | planning is pretty good. I'm uncertain about |
| 16 | that extra 5,000 feet. Maybe it needs to get |
| 17 | set back. Something better has to happen |
| 18 | along the top. |
| 19 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: The building |


| 1 | (inaudible)? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. But it's -- |
| 3 | that's progress. |
| 4 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Thacher? |
| 5 | THACHER TIFFANY: No, go ahead. |
| 6 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Mary? |
| 7 | MARY FLYNN: I missed the earlier |
| 8 | presentations on this project so if you could |
| 9 | just bear with me a little bit, fill me in on |
| 10 | a couple of things. |
| 11 | Could you describe a little bit more |
| 12 | the mix of retail that you're envisioning and |
| 13 | why you need surface parking when there are, |
| 14 | you know, garages around and people will be |
| 15 | coming by public transportation? I'd like to |
| 16 | hear a little bit more about the link between |
| 17 | the retail and the need for surface. I get |
| 18 | the PetCo site having walked by that a |
| 19 | million times. I think that's just the way |

they operate. So there's that.
And then just in general I think I like Hugh's idea about the surface parking behind building B. I think extending the open space into the area that he was talking about makes a lot of sense. I do have some concerns about building D and the back access. I like the fact that it goes all the way through. I don't know -- and maybe you discussed this as part of the retail overview, but flow throughs like that present certain security issues for retailers, and I don't know exactly how that will be addressed, but I certainly wouldn't want it at some point in the future see all of those back doors locked.

And I agree that I also think that the screening on site $D$ could be improved as well.

## In terms of building materials and

 things like that, I usually state in these hearings, I'm not an architect. I don't have the same aversion to black that Hugh does. I happen to like black, it's my favorite color. I do think that some of the renderings do look very dark, so I would, I would agree with Hugh in that they, you know, again, it seems dark. Maybe you lighten it up a little bit, but so far, you know, based on what I see, I think it's a very well done project.So, again, it's really just, if you could talk a little bit more about the surface parking and the types of retail.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You want us to address that now?
H. THEODORE COHEN: If you can.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure, I
can give you a kind of a thing. It's the
question of surface parking, we did spend a considerable amount of time in the hearings --

MARY FLYNN: I'm sure.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: -- and dealing with the staff.

A couple of things about the surface parking: It's noted that the surface parking on $A$ is really strictly related to $A$.

The surface parking on $B$ is to accommodate the retail tenants in building $B$ and in building $D$. Building $B$ has square footage on the ground floor retail.

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: 14,800.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So it's
14,800 square feet, we have envisioned a series of retailers here and we are working with a retail developer in trying to identify uses and retail uses here that will be
successful, that will be responsive. We work closely with the city's intercept survey trying to identify the types of retail needs that exist. The Community Development did some surveys near the T station and in the surrounding neighborhood. So our focus on the retail is based on the experience that linear retail has had with other urban locations. We noted their South End project and in areas like that. But they, the driver for them in terms of understanding the demand for retail parking is a direct correlation between the pedestrian counts, and they analyzed closely the pedestrian counts, we performed pedestrian counts on the street. And as emerging as a new area this is, the pedestrian counts here are actually relatively low given other comparable locations. So we looked at some models of
what looked successful. And Coolidge Corner was one of the locations which we thought had good transit access but also had surface parking but existed in a mixed use environment. So in many ways in looking at size of retail, storefront, and surface parking, that was one of the models we looked at. We looked at Central Square and Harvard Square and there is a range of parking.

The garage parking, the experience for the retail landlord has been that the garage parking really doesn't attract the type of retailers that the surface parking does. That their experience in leasing locations is that there's a focus by these quality retailers, and we have talked internally, repeatedly about this. We talked with staff about it since the very first discussion the of the project. And without disparaging any
particular retail locations here or
elsewhere, the notion of well, why is it that we're seeing cellphone stores, banks, and mattress stores? And what do we need to get a more diverse retail mix, not just food, but maybe soft clothing, what about hardware, what about a health club, what about Pilate studios? The whole range of active ground floor uses. They're firm7y convinced that there's a certain amount of surface parking that's needed. So we were asked to really examine what we could do.

So we removed five spaces from what was there before. We actually had more parking than when we came in initially. We've continually struggled to try to create the right mix.

We've talked about the possibility, and that's why this area here where we took out
the three spaces, we do see this as an area that we talked at one point about second generation retail, that as the pedestrian counts increase, it was noted at the prior hearings that the property owners, the developers don't get revenue from the lease, the parking spaces. They would rather have building. They would rather have leasable space. They would rather leasable store restaurant and use that space and activate it. But it's their strong belief that the current pedestrian environment in the short term, we're talking about a ten-year window doesn't support all of that. And the parking is necessary to attract those tenants. So, it has been a long time focus of our efforts, but we are somewhat driven by the experience that linear has within leasing retail. And they are in the retail leasing business. And
they are telling us that the type of tenants that they hope to attract here require this. So we heard some interesting comments tonight which would be constraining in some places and that was -- we're obviously going to have to examine that, but I can assure you that we have tried to understand that and be responsive to that, but I think at the end of the day there is, there is a, there is a point here where the retail aspect of this development is dependent on surface parking and it's not. It's intended to be a different type of retail environment than say Third Street which has a series of cafes. This is trying to be more of a retail. It's trying to make something happen on First Street. We put a lot of effort into pointing out the intricacy of the assemblage of parcels here. The very ground deals that
exist here between ground leases, street acquisitions, joint ventures, it's really allowed an emerging synergy to be created here between these four blocks. So that's the long and short story about retail parking. It's a necessary evil to use perhaps a term that some might take exception with, but there's a strong feeling that we're at the point now to get the type of retail that would really make First Street succeed we need to provide that.

MARY FLYNN: Okay. Just one other question.

Obviously, you know, we talked about PetCo relocating, and I haven't been on First Street in a while now, so I'm not totally familiar with how many of the other buildings have ongoing concerns. But are any of the other retail tenants proposing to relocate
within this?
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I don't believe we're -- with the exception of building $D$, I don't believe there are any. We've got some -- but I stand to be corrected. Are they all vacant?

HEATHER HOFFMAN: There's a frame store.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: With the exception of building $D$, right?

HEATHER HOFFMAN: That's on B.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And is
there other -- you want to give your name.
DAVID NOTTER: David Notter, N-o-t-t-e-r, Urban Spaces.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Maybe you
can just speak to what the frontages are on these three blocks today $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and D in terms of any retail existing.

## DAVID NOTTER: Sure.

On A there's a vacant building, Big John's Mattress Factory, and the remaining parcels comprise A and the surface parking lot.

Building B -- well, actually on the corner is a parking lot right now which is the PetCo parking lot and PetCo is located at 119 First Street behind there.

Building B has -- Urban Spaces has their offices there.

Cambridge Art and Frame is on the corner between B and D.

Custom Made has offices on the second floor above Cambridge Art and Frame. And there's a small stationery -- warehouse is the wrong term, storage area for supplies.

Building D is currently vacant. There were three or four tenants, and then actually

> in building B also is a small office for Watts Security.

MARY FLYNN: So for the few uses that are there besides PetCo, have you had any discussions with those tenants as to whether they want to be part of this new development or are they just planning on moving?

DAVID NOTTER: Many of them are planning on relocating. One or two have expressed an interest in very preliminary conversations because we're just pulling together what those spaces would be.

MARY FLYNN: Thank you. Thanks.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Catherine?

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: So I'm not going to belabor the surface parking point too much except to say that I agree with Hugh's plan with increasing the green
space and I'11 leave it at that.
On the office tenants, I noticed the
TDM measures indicated that there was a plan to subsidize T passes, but the -- and it says up to the federal maximum, but there was no specific commitment to the percentage. So is that 100 percent up to the federal maximum? Whoever wants to take that.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I think our assumption was that we would follow the -- what's become somewhat the standard practice. And I want to state it correctly. I know on the residential side it's two months at 50 percent. I'm looking at Mr. Shulman to see what TP\&T is these days.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Right.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And I imagine, Ms. Preston, you would know better than us. On the employee side -- I mean, on
the office side --
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: It's
not specified and so I would assume 100, but I'd like to have that officially stated.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:
Mr. Thornton says it's 100 percent.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay, that's fine.

That's fine. I just want -- like I said, that would be my assumption, but it's -- when the amount isn't specified and then I see an "up to," I want to make sure we're all on the same page.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Al1 right.
CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: In
general, I think -- I'm excited about the project and about the opportunity for some mixed use development here, some different kind of retail. I still don't love that
we're devoting this much space to surface parking, but I'm not a retail expert. I also know that once it's there, it's not going away. So I think any idea that we have that's second generation retail won't want it -- is optimistic at best. But that being said, if it makes the retail work and it gets some life to First Street, I am enthusiastically behind that.

I wasn't perhaps as put off by the architecture as some, but I certainly think it could use some refinement and be made to feel more neighborhood friendly, less office parky. Better architecture vocabulary. That's al1 I have.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Steve.

STEVEN COHEN: I guess I just have some general comments.

First, you know, this is four buildings
with a lot of different spaces and different conditions and different perspectives on it and, you know, frankly, I have a little bit of difficulty grasping what it's all going to look like and feel like. And perhaps I don't have some of the spatial ability that the architects do, though, I'm pretty good at it generally. This is a lot for me to grasp. So I'm just going to make some general comments and then raise a couple of procedural questions.

So in terms of generally for the uses and mix of uses and the intensity and the location of what's being proposed, al1 100 percent supportive. It's the right, up close and right uses and the right intensity for this, and this is an urban location and it is a fact that it's an urban location that justifies and supports that kind of intensity
though I'm going to come back to that in a moment.

The massing. I'm really having the greatest difficulty with understanding the massing. And I must say I have said over and over expressing my own, you know, view that for substantial projects in the city that I think that we as a Board and the public benefit from a model.

And I know, Hugh, you have frequently said that good renderings and perspectives and so forth pretty much do it for you. And I understand and respect that and perhaps, Hugh, you have a perceptive ability from your profession and years of experience --

HUGH RUSSELL: And training.
STEVEN COHEN: -- and training that some of us lack. For me, especially and then to my eyes a fairly complex collection of
shapes and spaces here, I personally would benefit greatly from viewing a model. So it's difficult for me to say much on the massing. You know when I look at perspectives, you know, especially from the angles, they look interesting. When you look at these straight on elevation on First Street, which of course is kind of misleading elevations are, nevertheless, it's a kind of a, you know, long, relentless collection. I've made the point. I would benefit from a mode1.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Just a point of information, Mr. Chair, the massing is the same that was approved by the Board in May. The only change in massing is occurring at building A.

STEVEN COHEN: We11, that may be but my view might have been the same back then.

You know, but this is now and that's my view now. But I'11 get to a procedural thing later.

As for the colors and the architecture and the materials, I very much agreed with Hugh, though, I don't think I would have had the courage to express it quite the way he did.

But I do agree. Very grey kind of depressing elements but that gets me to a procedural thing.

Now, you know, this is a preliminary determination and then we're going to a final determination of the PUD and then beyond that we go to a review of each individual building; four buildings here. So, and both the presentation and in the commentary I mean we focussed a lot on the details of these buildings and it just seemed to me that we're
putting the cart before the horse. On the one hand I have difficulty absorbing and commenting on all of it at once today. On the other hand, it seems to me that that's precisely what we should be doing in greater detail, you know, down the road when we look at each building. So I think it's good to get some of the general feedback, and certainly Hugh's general reactions, it gives you something to chew on. But, you know, my understanding of this process is that this isn't the time and point in the process to be dealing with it, with that level of detail.

I would, though, and this is the process thing that I'd like to ask Jeff. I know this comes up over and over and I'm frequently asking the same question and perhaps you're giving me the same answer, but I would like to reiterate again for the benefit of myself
and the Board and the public, exactly what we're being asked to approve in a preliminary determination. Exactly what we will be approving in a final determination. And what portion of what is being presented here is then to be left for the review of each individual building as it comes along?

JEFF ROBERTS: You want me to do that?

STEVEN COHEN: Please.
JEFF ROBERTS: I'11 try to do the best I can to give my perspective on how the Zoning works for PUDs.

So first of all, it's a Special Permit, so it's like any other Project Review Special Permit that the Planning Board grants and it has the overall citywide urban design objectives and criteria to look at. But with the PUD you have multiple -- you could have
multiple buildings on multiple sites. And the way the review works procedurally, it's as if all these different buildings and public spaces were all kind of folded together into one unified project that the Planning Board looks at. So even though it's multiple sites, and like you said, it's sort of difficult to get a handle on it all around, it is a, it is up to one project review. So that's one aspect of it.

The other, another part is that the PUD review process has these two public hearing phases. I tend to look at the preliminary and the first phase as sort of a gatekeeper step. It's an opportunity for the Board to look at a proposal at a very high level and give an indication of whether this proposal is heading in the right direction given what the, given what the citywide objective or the
citywide and the area citywide objectives are in the Zoning and the plans. So it's an opportunity for the Board to sort of open the gate and say okay, we're willing to look at this as a, you know, as a candidate for a Special Permit -- for granting a Special Permit. It doesn't assume that the Special Permit is going to be granted. It just let's it move forward. But it's also an opportunity for the Board to do as you're doing right now, to highlight some particular concerns that are, that are raised at this initial stage so that the applicant has an opportunity to work on those and to have an indication of what are really the critical areas that need to be reviewed, what are the areas that maybe require a bit more substantial work to address. I'd like to look at projects really from a high --
starting from a high level and then working their way down and then first thinking well, what are the issues with this project that may be the most difficult to resolve or may require the most work to resolve? And then to start there and then as I work my way through those higher level issues, then you can get down to the more detailed issues like the -- what's the selection of materials? What's the color of materials being used that as you suggested often comes at, you know, at the later stages of project review. So that's another way to look at it.

And for a final thing I'11 say about PUD projects, I always encourage both applicants and the Board to think about these as phase developments. To think about them not necessarily as just as one project. It's all going to land at once, but something that
is going to be built out over time and it is likely to undergo some rethinking. I mean, we've seen mostly it's on a procedural level but we have seen even between March and now some rethinking of the project and how it's going to proceed. That kind of rethinking and evolution is very typical of these projects. So, and I think it's really on the applicant to talk about this a little bit more in the final development plan, but the applicant may want to come forward and say here's our anticipated phasing. Here's the building that we want to do first. And so this is the building that we really want to focus on at this stage of design review. But other future phases of development, the Board could make subject to future additional design review at the Board. And these are all provisions that ultimately get written
into the Special Permit. So in the Special Permit for a PUD is granted it says, it will comment on the phasing. It will say, for instance, this phase will proceed first. The Planning Board has reviewed the design and, you know, approves the design of this in detail. Future building sites will be required to come before the Planning Board for design review and approval prior to getting a Building Permit and proceeding. So that's another consideration which will come out as we get into the final development plan and that's where the phasing details generally get established.

STEVEN COHEN: Jeff, can I just to
follow up with one question? I mean, I personally today at last time, you know, it feels that there's enough merit here that this should go forward to the second
go-round. But I guess my question is this: We're presented with buildings which are fairly well thought out already and fairly specific, and while we might readily agree that things of color and materials may ultimately be reviewed again in the building by building proposals, but we're seeing pretty specific buildings with, you know, massing and heights and rhythm and so forth. If and when we give the final determination for this, to what extent -- as those fairly specific images, you know, that we're reviewing and approving, to what extent are we approving that level of specificity and to what extent is it still an open matter as the individual buildings are brought before us?

Do you understand the question? JEFF ROBERTS: I understand the question. It may not be a satisfying answer,
but certainly it's whatever level of specificity the Board wishes to approve at that stage of project approval. So the PUD project approval can specify in the conditions what elements of the proposal are approved at a more detailed level and what phases, or I shouldn't say phases of the project, may be subject to additional change and review. It's -- and the Board, as they do, even for just single building projects can approve it at a level and then leave some of the details of the design to continuing review by staff and that's something that's typically done, too. So it's really -- the Planning Board has this sort of larger jurisdiction to authorize the project to move forward. And then if the Board feels comfortable that the project, as it's been proposed in broad terms would be approved,
then the conditions of the Special Permit can specify the level of more detailed design review that needs to occur and whether that needs to come back to the Planning Board or whether that could be done at a staff level.

STEVEN COHEN: So it's up to us?
H. THEODORE COHEN: If I can jump in
now just following up on that, I mean
obviously your questions are correct and about what our jurisdiction is and what we're doing, but, you know, in the -- in March when we made the preliminary determination, we -one of the conditions was that in the final development plan we wanted to see some design change to separate the buildings. And we expected to see that. Or justification why they weren't changing it. Now we're in an odd situation where because they've changed the PUD, they didn't go to a final
determination, that they've come back. But clearly they've done a lot of work in the interim and have met with staff and have attempted to address some of the issues we've raised. And I think it would have been a disservice to them to simply say, you know, we're not going to talk about the design issues right now and then at the final plans hit them with well, we don't like this, we don't like that, we don't like the next thing. So I think, you know, in fairness to them this is an appropriate time for us to get some of the issues out on the table, acknowledging that, you know, the final determination of the Special Permit is probably still going to raise some issues and there's still going to be individual approval of buildings.

STEVEN COHEN: Absolutely, I agree
with that. And it's certainly appropriate to give feedback to what we're seeing. But as I say, for me anyway, and I don't know of any other board members are experiencing it the same way, but to be presented with four buildings and complex spaces at once, you know, I'm fine giving sort of general feedback now but I think, you know, I would very much want the opportunity when we get to the individual buildings, I think, to be able to study it better, to give more detail feedback and not to necessarily be constrained by what may have come before. And as I say, look, I said last time, Jim, I'm sorry I've said this consistently on every project on this and others, that I would benefit from a model especially when there's complex massing such as this.

As I say, I'm supportive of the project
overal1. I just don't feel that I have a firm grasp, though, of what it's going to look and feel like.

And that -- let me just finish up my comments. Just on the parking issue, which it seems to come up a lot. You know, I -when you do retail, whether or not you provide parking is a big issue and not providing enough parking is a scary prospect for an owner/developer and, you know, obviously it can totally kill the retail if there isn't enough parking. That's certainly a suburban concern. On the one hand it's certainly not much of a concern in an intense urban location. Downtown Crossing you don't necessarily need a lot of parking and certainly not surface parking.

So which is this? Is this suburban or is this downtown? You know, again, we're
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talking about it as a fairly tense urban location which justifies the height and the density and the intensity of the development here. But I also understand it's a bit of an offbeat and untested location from a retai 1 perspective, and it's just really not clear. I mean, the foot traffic doesn't exist right now. You know, will it materialize to support this? Well, while I understand the concern, I also know, I mean look at the incredible location that this is in. All of the development on Binney Street is just coming online. You know, all the warm bodies from down there don't exist yet. They will. These buildings themselves have a number of warm bodies and they're both residents and office users. They're going to generate a lot more human traffic in the area. You know, one day in the foreseeable future, the
courthouse is going to be developed and that's right around the corner, and that's going to generate a lot. And well, North Point is a bit far, but I'm talking about uses right around the corner here.

So, as I say, on the one hand I
understand the insecurity and the desire and the need for surface parking. On the other hand, I think in very short order, it may turn out to be that it's unnecessary and that all the nearby development is going to obviate for the parking. And so just a thought that I would throw out there would be contemplating precisely that possible evolution of the neighborhood. You know, whether we start with a certain amount of office parking, but whether we're able to revisit and reevaluate in the years to come as the neighborhood changes and as there are
more and more residents and office workers around here, you wonder whether we could evaluate and determine at a future time that there is no longer as much of a need for the surface parking. And at that point eliminate them and go in the direction that Hugh has talked about and, you know, provide more landscaped areas. We'd have to do it in a way to, you know, you make sure that we don't compromise the feasibility of the retail on the one hand. And we try to understand that on the other hand, you know, the neighborhood is going to change. And you've certainly heard from this Board that we really would prefer to not have so much surface parking. So, you know, I wonder if we can incorporate some sort of dynamic flexible mechanism as that.

HUGH RUSSELL: So I just like to
make -- just make a -- put another factor on the table which is there's a shopping mall across the street.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Across the street.

HUGH RUSSELL: With huge parking resources. And so --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And a lot of foot traffic.

HUGH RUSSELL: Right.
And so the situation for the last 20
years has been that there's a robust residential neighborhood that starts a block away, and there hasn't been a lot of other development. Now you're pointing out all of this new development that's coming along that's going to change that, but for the last 20 years essentially the shopping mall has sucked all of the pedestrian traffic off
this. And what retailer wants to be not in a ma11? And so, you know, PetCo's there for a reason. PetCo doesn't want to be in the mall. They want to be able to run in like I do and, you know, buy 25 pounds of cat food and get out. And, you know, I can't carry 25 pounds of cat food on my bike. I can take the 10 pound bag, but -- and so it's changing. We also have to remember the shopping mall and how that's -- makes it more difficult for -- to establish the retail business because of this sort of affect. And the Board has seen this in the constant flow of request to decommission retail space within a block of the mall that started -it's been going on for the 20 -- nearly 27 years I've been on the Board. And we've routinely been responsive to those requests. STEVEN COHEN: I hear you. And all
good points. The only thing I would mention is that this neighborhood is going to be changing dramatically and it's going to be a much more urban intense pedestrian-oriented neighborhood. And, you know, while everything you say is certainly true, if anything the trend in the country is to be de-malling such buildings because for some unknown reason shoppers who would only go to a mal1 for decades now don't want to go to the mall and they want to go in the other direction. Now, of course, when they de-mal1 these buildings, they have lots of surface parking. Yeah. And the shoppers want to drive right up to the front door of the store that they're going to. But, you know, I hear you. All I'm suggesting is that they may not be much of a need for the surface parking and it would be nice to have the ability to
revisit the issue and not have the surface parking in grade for eternity.

HUGH RUSSELL: One thing we could do in a decision is to make it easy to
decommission parking spaces in those retail lots.
H. THEODORE COHEN: We11, could we perhaps move on? Because I think we're not going to resolve the parking issue right now. It's clearly an issue that the proponent is going to have to think more about and work with staff on and come back to us with, assuming we approve this, with a final plan and we'll talk about it and staff can talk about it and think about whether there is some way we can reevaluate the parking as time goes by and decommission it.

Steve, do you have further comments you want to make?

STEVEN COHEN: No, on7y reiterating my wish for models.
H. THEODORE COHEN: And I think we've heard that.

STEVEN COHEN: Well, you know, we should make a decision. We always talk about this. If the Board is going to say we don't need models, then fine.
H. THEODORE COHEN: We're in the process of working on the new rules and regulations which have provisions with regard to models and the requirements for them. And they will be coming to the Board in the very near future.

STEVEN COHEN: Al1 right.
H. THEODORE COHEN: I mean, you know -- Lou, do you have questions?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Oh, yeah.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Comments?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: The parking
garage exit and entrance? Pedestrians?
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: There's at the -- there's the ramp entrance for the vehicles and right next to it is a headhouse that will have an elevator coming out.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So that will be the only --

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, and that's for the office tenants. The residential tenants, there will be elevator directly accessing the B and the C .

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Okay, so the office people have to walk down the street to get into the building?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Need some better drawings. I like to see elevations on all four sides of this buildings and not from
a half mile away. There's very little detail that can be derived from these drawings that are an inch and a half tall.

I'd like somebody to explain the loading for all of these buildings.

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: There is no loading requirement for parcel A.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: PetCo has no loading requirement?

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: No. It's under 10,000 square feet. And as a tenant, they haven't requested any.

Parcel B, the loading will be on the dock here. Trucks will come in, that's part of the reason that this elbow is needed so a truck can turn and get max heads for a loading dock.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So the loading dock will be interior of the building or

| 1 | exterior? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: It's not a |
| 3 | dock. |
| 4 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's a loading |
| 5 | bay. |
| 6 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Exterior or |
| 7 | interior? |
| 8 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Exterior door. |
| 9 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: An exterior |
| 10 | door into the building? |
| 11 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yes. Back up |
| 12 | into it. |
| 13 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So the trucks, |
| 14 | whatever, will be backing into the building? |
| 15 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: To the building. |
| 16 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Just to the |
| 17 | building. So they will be blocking these |
| 18 | parking spots? |
| 19 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: No, they won't |


| 1 | be. They'11 be in the driving -- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So they'11 |
| 3 | have to go parallel to the building? |
| 4 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yes. |
| 5 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: In the |
| 6 | walkway? |
| 7 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: The walkway is |
| 8 | bollarded off right here and this is garage |
| 9 | loading access. |
| 10 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Where the |
| 11 | truck is there will be pedestrians? |
| 12 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Not when the |
| 13 | trucks are there I imagine. |
| 14 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, |
| 15 | there's a pedestrian area -- the bollards on |
| 16 | the left there, the sidewalk and will -- |
| 17 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I can see the |
| 18 | space between the two bollards. |
| 19 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: So a 20-foot |


| 1 | drive aisle (inaudible) overlap with the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | pedestrian. |
| 3 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So one truck |
| 4 | at a time? |
| 5 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yes. One |
| 6 | loading bay requirement. |
| 7 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And trash, |
| 8 | dumpsters, all that? |
| 9 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Commercial trash |
| 10 | within the retail space. Residential trash |
| 11 | comes from chutes within the core of the |
| 12 | building. |
| 13 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Interior? |
| 14 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Interior |
| 15 | to the building. |
| 16 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Okay. |
| 17 | Beside the truck that's parked there |
| 18 | loading, correct? |
| 19 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yeah. |


| 1 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: You need some |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | elevations on that to make that clear what |
| 3 | this place looks like and possibly put a |
| 4 | truck in there see what it looks like when |
| 5 | it's occupied. |
| 6 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: A truck? |
| 7 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: In the staff |
| 8 | memo Eversource seems to have a problem with |
| 9 | supply in the area? Anybody talked with them |
| 10 | yet? |
| 11 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Staff memo |
| 12 | addressed that. |
| 13 | MARY FLYNN: It's in the Public |
| 14 | Works memo. She mentioned something about |
| 15 | that Eversource had capacity issues and just |
| 16 | urged the proponent to get in touch with them |
| 17 | as early as possible. |
| 18 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. |
| 19 | Well, we have -- we have had extensive |

engagement with them and the siting of the mechanical equipment.

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: There's a transformer for the 21 Charles Street building that sits in this corner of the building. There's a transformer pad under this overhang for the office building. And then there will be a small pad mount transformer for 22 Hurley that's required. LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Included in the drawings it would be nice to see all those transformers in place and how you are going --

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It sounds like we just need to blow the drawings up.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: -- shield dome and so force.

JOHN PEARS: They're inside the buildings.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: A11 the transformers are interior?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Okay.
There was some issue on the staff memo on what you were going to do to dress them up or put them -- so now they're all interior? And every -- all the buildings get separate? CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yeah.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Suzannah, do you want to comment about that?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Just with the staff memo there was just a comment about the building A transformer and the -- I agree, the elevations are really small and it's kind of dark.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: And line work is kind of blurred, but there is sort of
interesting screening proposed but we want to keep working on the element of the design.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Have we seen that at all?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: You can see it in the elevations, but if we get them -- it's in the submission. But we would want to keep working on those elements of the design.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Also the issue of the eight-foot to eleven-foot sidewalk on building A, has that been talked about at all or come to any conclusion on that?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: That was in our memo as well and we felt that it's eleven foot for the other two blocks so it's -makes sense to make it consistent for the longer street.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And it seems to be staying at eight.

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: That would be something --

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's currently still at eight feet. The reason the others widened is there are multiple retail entrances on first Street. This primarily retail entrance would be off the PetCo parking lot, and just a parking lot feasible office floor plate to continue to reduce the building. We'll eliminate the entire corner of the building at that point would be almost taken over at the NSTAR transformer vault and eliminate active use and the floor plate in the building with structure and carry (inaudible) frames would be too narrow for a rentable space.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So a three-foot difference in the building is going to create all of that?

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yeah. And the primary reason this bar of parcel A is kind of at the moment frames. There's three different owners and ground lease arrangements and that we can get into if you need it. There's expansion joint, so separable buildings. And as a result, the structure's heavier than it would be in a normal office building three feet with three-foot columns becomes hard for desk and true office use that -- and compared with the corner at Bent Street the concern there is we have a small kind of -- we're hoping for a commercial use thereby eliminating three feet is enough and now it's not deep enough and the transformer would take over that corner.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: So this is a hard no or a maybe?

CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's a no. The
building won't survive.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: It's a no. We have another response for that.

Are the retail trips included in the traffic impact study?

SCOTT THORNTON: Yes.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And I'm really
surprised you said there's no foot traffic in the area. If anybody's been at the corner of First and Cambridge Street any time during the day you see hundreds of people coming out of the T station and just general traffic through East Cambridge into the mall. To say there's no foot traffic is a little bit of a stretch.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm not sure -- I don't think -- just to correct you. I don't think anyone said that. The pedestrian counts are low.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I heard no foot traffic.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: We11, we said -- we'11 be happy to resubmit it. We've submitted the pedestrian counts.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: That's all I have so far. But really need some more views of this so we can all understand exactly what's there.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Wel1, people have covered most everything I had to say, but I will just reiterate a couple of sma11 things:

My initial comment when I saw the plans was that it was just so grey. I mean, it was just overwhelming. And while I might not hate any individual building that the correlation or the combination of all of certainly $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C bringing grey together
was just really overwhelming. And personally I would prefer them being viewed as separate, freestanding buildings than a sort of suburban complex. I think if they looked more organic, you know, that each building just sort of grew out of the ground on its own and didn't necessarily relate to the other buildings in, you know, that it didn't need to be a complex. It's an urban environment and, you know, the streetscape can be the streetscape.

I did prefer the prior massing of parcel A. The building on parcel A, I mean, I think filling in that space it now is, you know, $A$ and $B$ are just pretty much the same height and they just go straight across and it just looks like a wall rather than having some, you know, greater rhythm and movement. I do want to see better elevations,
especially the front of parcel B. I just don't know what that looks like.

You know, I endorse all of Hugh's comments about the way $B$ and $C$ look.

We talked about the sidewalk setback. That was an issue. The parking and the need for how much parking you have for retail, I think is an ongoing issue and was discussed in great detail. And I think we need to discuss it more or you have to address it more in the final.

I'd also -- well, while we're talking about parking, staff raised the issue about the bicycle parking. That's all going to be, as I understand it, underground in the lot with C. I personally don't have any problem with that because, you know, I think bicyclists are not in any better category than pedestrians or car drivers that the cars
are going to be there and people who are taking the T are either going to be coming from Kenda11 or Lechmere. So I don't have difficulty with that, but if other people do have concerns about it, that should be discussed in greater detail.

And the last issue I have really is with regard to the mix of units in the residential property which is an enormous number of studios. And they're very large studios. And so I don't know why they couldn't actually become one bedrooms or why some of them cannot be combined to get more two bedrooms and some three bedrooms. I think -- I don't remember the exact numbers right now, but I would like you to rethink that mix. We've been pretty successful with getting three bedrooms in the neighborhood. We want to keep families, you know, I'm not
dissing in any way people who would live in a studio apartment, but they're not people who have kids and so, we like to keep the mix of families and single people and even, you know, two and three roommates, you know, alive. And so I'd like you to rethink that mix.

So those really are my comments. I don't know anyone else has any other comments right now.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I have another one.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Lou.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: There was also a request for Hubway station here. Any movement on that?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: We haven't been able to identify a location on the site. There are other Hubway locations. We did
have some preliminary discussions about
losing a portion of that green space in that area, and frankly, we were discouraged from exploring that. At the moment we don't have it --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Sounds like behind D would be a nice location.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: For a Hubway?

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Maybe you can work that into the screening on the site. CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: The short term -- there's 12 short-term spots along the street here right before this green space that houses the two trees in terms of a short-term supply. But we haven't explored that yet for a Hubway just because their minimum sizes.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I think
they have a minimum 16 spaces, is it?
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Yes.
STEVEN COHEN: Where's the closest existing?

ROBERTA GOTO: Over by the Galleria.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: On First
Street by the main entrance of the Galleria.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. Now there were also numerous comments in the staff memo, both in their memo and in Traffic and Parking's memo. Comments had been made and questions had been raised in their earlier March memos requesting various narratives and answers to issues they've raised, and as far as I can tell, those have still not been answered. So we'd like you to address that.

And, Suzannah, or, Jeff, or, Adam, do you have anything you'd like to add to the
conversation that we have not touched upon yet?

SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: I might. I
guess, I might just add that as it's already been mentioned, we've been working with the applicant quite a lot to the kind of cross-reference the Planning Board's earlier comments and work on the design of the buildings. The colors and the materials in the renderings I agree have been difficult to kind of determine. I feel grey can be a good combination with red brick, so I'm not really opposed to it. But we can continue to sort of work on those details as the design develops.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: But they took the red brick out and put grey brick.

## SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: It's grey siding

 I think.| 1 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: And grey |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | brick. |
| 3 | H. THEODORE COHEN: And grey brick. |
| 4 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: It's a |
| 5 | limestone, a calcium silicate. |
| 6 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Grey. |
| 7 | JOHN PEARS: It's a red brick in the |
| 8 | office building. In the residential |
| 9 | building. |
| 10 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: In the |
| 11 | residential building, but the office building |
| 12 | used to have a side of red brick and the rest |
| 13 | was lighter grey. |
| 14 | SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: It was never grey |
| 15 | brick. |
| 16 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: The corten steel |
| 17 | in the original rendering was corten. |
| 18 | SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: It was a red. |
| 19 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Corten. So |


| 1 | the red was a stee1? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHRISTOPHER BOYCE: Yes. |
| 3 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Rusty |
| 4 | building. |
| 5 | SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: So we feel the |
| 6 | designs are moving in the right direction and |
| 7 | we'11 take the Planning Board's comments -- |
| 8 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: How what about |
| 9 | red brick and grey? |
| 10 | SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: Red brick and |
| 11 | grey? Is this for the office building? |
| 12 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: For the office |
| 13 | building. Instead of that -- |
| 14 | SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: I think the grey |
| 15 | is not that grey. It's just very light. |
| 16 | LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: The whole |
| 17 | building of it is pretty grey. |
| 18 | SUZANNAH BIGOLIN: I think the |
| 19 | renderings are not exactly accurate |

material --
JOHN PEARS: We should bring
materials.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes, we'11 need to see material boards.

Adam, do you have anything you want to add? Have you covered your --

ADAM SHULMAN: Yes. I don't think I really have anything substantial to add. I think a lot of questions that have been raised are questions that have been raised in previous memos and questions that even came up again tonight. You know, still need to be addressed as the project goes forward, we'11 just continue to work with, you know, with the proponents and other staff members. And if there are any questions, I'11 try to answer them.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. that they're not here, but the folks from our economic division have had prior discussions with Linear Retail related to the questions that the Board brought up around the mix and character of the retail types. And so we also hope that there will be a response to that in the development plan.
H. THEODORE COHEN: So -- yes, Hugh.

HUGH RUSSELL: I just wanted to circle back to the issue that Steve brought up about procedure. Because I think maybe the proponent is feeling like they showed us too much. I mean, the richness of the renderings aside from the shading of them, you know, there's many, many points of view. And they were getting into a lot of detail that would normally be handled much later. LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: But didn't
they asked for us to point out some of this in their presentation?

HUGH RUSSELL: Right. So I mean I think when they present material, it's helpful if we respond to what we've seen even though we don't have to resolve the issue at this stage. So I think we can comfortably make a determination tonight on the basis of the planning, the intentions --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Absolutely.
HUGH RUSSELL: And I think we may even get to the point at the final approval where -- you know, I mean this is at the level, this is even beyond the level that we approve PUD projects in the past. So tonight it's easy. I think we can make a determination based on the planning and the intentions that are clear, and I think we're all in basic support of --

## LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Absolutely.

HUGH RUSSELL: -- with the
discussions that we obviously are trying to face on. And maybe we would ask when they come back for the final approval that they give some thought to -- I mean, with the staff as to what's getting approved in terms of a11 that other detail. What is it that we really are not saying is final and give us some suggestions as to how to address that in that -- in the final approval which presumably is going to come fairly quickly because they've made so much progress and they know so much about the project.

So anyway, that's my comment.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Right. We11, I think is -- well, I think one thing that we haven't said which is, you know, somewhat unfortunate, is that we like the project.

| 1 | That, you know -- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: I said |
| 3 | that |
| 4 | H. THEODORE COHEN: You said that. |
| 5 | Wel1, you know, I didn't say it clearly. |
| 6 | You know, I think we liked it a couple |
| 7 | of months ago. |
| 8 | We like what it's doing to First |
| 9 | Street. |
| 10 | We like the massing in general. |
| 11 | We like, you know, the project. And, |
| 12 | yes, because you have provided us with lots |
| 13 | of information, we've had the opportunity to |
| 14 | give you lots of comments about that |
| 15 | information, but bottom line, I think, is |
| 16 | that we do like it. |
| 17 | We gave the preliminary determination |
| 18 | before, and so I think, you know, if we're |
| 19 | ready, we could make a preliminary |

determination now. What was necessary is for us to make findings that the development proposal conforms with general PUD development controls and district development controls.

It conforms with adopted policy plans or development guidelines for that portion of the city.

It provides benefit for the city which outweighs adverse affects considering quality of the said design, traffic safety, adequacy of utilities and other public works, impact on existing public facilities, and potential fiscal impacts.

We found favorably on all of those issues before --

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I just have one question.
H. THEODORE COHEN: -- before --
yes.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: Does this lock
in the parking that's it shows now?
STEVEN COHEN: Nothing --
H. THEODORE COHEN: No. Nothing is going to lock us in.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: I just wanted to make sure.
h. THEODORE COHEN: No. And so we made findings in the previous determination, and I suggest that rather than going through that in detail again we could just adopt what was done previously.

Also adopting the comments we had made previously to the extent that they are still relevant, which is primarily with regard to looking at issues about the design of the buildings:

That we want more detail.

That issues with regard to the amount of parking and whether it can somehow be reduced.

The requirements of whether the retail requirements require that much parking or whether there is something that can be done about it, and I think that's evolved into a larger question of whether there is something that we can take a second look at the parking at some point down the road if the buildings had been built or whether there is some way we can talk about decommissioning parking at some time in the future.

And then incorporating the other comments of CDD and Traffic and Parking and of Public Works.

So do we feel that we can do that at this point?
(A11 members agree).

| 1 | STEVEN COHEN: So moved. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Basically move that we make the |
| 3 | required preliminary determination based upon |
| 4 | the findings that you have recited and |
| 5 | reiterating and affirming the findings that |
| 6 | we made in this project last came before us. |
| 7 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay. |
| 8 | Before I ask for a second on that, |
| 9 | Jeff, do you feel that you have everything |
| 10 | that you need? |
| 11 | JEFF ROBERTS: Yes, I think we have |
| 12 | a pretty good record of comments, and it will |
| 13 | all get carried through and addressed in the |
| 14 | final plan. |
| 15 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay, great. |
| 16 | Do we have a second for that motion? |
| 17 | MARY FLYNN: Second. |
| 18 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Al1 those in |
| 19 | favor? |


| 1 | (Show of hands) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | H. THEODORE COHEN: It's unanimous. |
| 3 | Thank you very much. |
| 4 | LIZA PADEN: One more. We have |
| 5 | deadline right now for filing decision on |
| 6 | October 13th. We'd like to ask for an |
| 7 | extension. |
| 8 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, in |
| 9 | light of the unanimous vote we're happy to. |
| 10 | LIZA PADEN: What do you want to put |
| 11 | it down as? |
| 12 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm not |
| 13 | being flippant, whatever you want to us do. |
| 14 | JEFF ROBERTS: We could start -- |
| 15 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Whatever |
| 16 | makes everyone comfortable. |
| 17 | JEFF ROBERTS: I think there may be |
| 18 | still one more procedural point about |
| 19 | withdrawing the previous application so I |


| 1 | think we could make -- just state on the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | record and make sure that everyone has agreed |
| 3 | that the 90 days could start from today. |
| 4 | LIZA PADEN: Today? Okay. |
| 5 | JEFF ROBERTS: If that's amenable. |
| 6 | I mean, if that -- this is a new application |
| 7 | so we would generally start the 90 days from |
| 8 | this point. |
| 9 | LIZA PADEN: Right. |
| 10 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: If that's |
| 11 | what's needed. |
| 12 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Right. And also |
| 13 | I would request that there be a formal |
| 14 | withdrawal of I guess it was No. 297? |
| 15 | LIZA PADEN: Right. |
| 16 | H. THEODORE COHEN: So that we don't |
| 17 | have two preliminary determinations that are |
| 18 | pending with different time lines. |
| 19 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. So |


| 1 | when we submit -- we should only submit one |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | final development plan, and at that time we |
| 3 | should withdraw the other development plan. |
| 4 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes. |
| 5 | Liza, do you have any time problems |
| 6 | with waiting? |
| 7 | LIZA PADEN: With 297 -- |
| 8 | H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes. |
| 9 | LIZA PADEN: -- the 90 days for |
| 10 | final decision is October 13TH. |
| 11 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: We'11 |
| 12 | happily grant an extension. |
| 13 | LIZA PADEN: Okay. That's. |
| 14 | H. THEODORE COHEN: So you'11 grant |
| 15 | an extension for that and the timing on the |
| 16 | final determination on this you'11 work out |
| 17 | with Liza -- |
| 18 | ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. |
| 19 | H. THEODORE COHEN: -- which is 90 |

days from now. When we get that paper, we'll -- there will be a vote to withdraw one and then there will be action presumably on the final determination.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right, right.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Okay.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I would -I mean, just a -- I think of one more -wel1, I suppose you're right. We're better off with the withdrawal.
H. THEODORE COHEN: You'd rather not leave it hanging out there?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Agreed.
H. THEODORE COHEN: Is there
anything else to come before us this evening?
(No Response.)
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
H. THEODORE COHEN: No?
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