CSO Control Plans Update Tools and Alternatives Development

Octobe 24, 2023







Today's Agenda

Welcome and introductions

Status of CSO Control Plans

Preview the Nov 15th public meeting

Discussion about projects progress and content

CSO control tools

Bookend scenario development

Alternatives development process

Unified Model preliminary results with 2050 typical year

Introductions

Name & affiliation

In small groups: Why does the Mystic / Charles / Alewife or this work matter to you?



November 15	Public meeting details
Purpose	Provide a chance for the public to learn what we are doing and give input
Format	Virtual
Audience	General public
Language	Interpreting into at least 2 languages, maybe more
Outreach	Spreading the word now, please help!

CSO Control Toolbox

Image Source: https://magazinebeach.org/2013/10/22/fabulous-tour-of-cottage-farm-cso-treatment-plant/





"Bookend evaluations" were performed with the Unified Model Baseline Conditions, quantifying CSO performance with theoretical, full-coverage implementation in the 2050 Typical Year.

CSO Control Tool #1: Source Control

Reducing CSOs to the variance waters through:



Build separate/ parallel pipe network; route stormwater directly to waterways.

Green stormwater b) Infrastructure (GSI)



Construct decentralized features to capture and infiltrate runoff before it enters the combined system.

Infiltration/Inflow Reduction C)

Rehabilitate old, leaky pipes to minimize groundwater and stormwater entering the combined system.



Sheeting for Drain MH on Concord Avenue **Cambridge Sewer Separation Contract 9**



Constructed Bioretention Basin. Somerville 2022



Concord Avenue Drain Installation Cambridge Sewer Separation Contract 9



Root Intrusion in sewer pipe

CSO Control Tool #1a: Sewer Separation

Sewer Separation Bookend Scenario: fully separate combined areas in Cambridge, Somerville, and Boston; discharge stormwater to waterways.



Areas to

separate stormwater and route to waterways:

- 2,600 acres in Cambridge
 2,100 acres in Somerville
- 1,200 acres in Boston

When discharging more stormwater, we must consider:

- Stormwater quality
- Stormwater quantity

CSO Control Tool #1a: Sewer separation **Bookend results by**

Outfall



Installation of storm drain on Merrimac Street



	2050 7		2050 T	
	Baseline Conditions		2050 Typical Year* - Baseline Conditions	
Quitfall				
Outian			+ Regional Sev	ver Separation
	Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)	Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)
	A	EWIFE BROOK		
CAM001	1	0.02	0	0.00
CAM002	0	0.00	0	0.00
CAM401A	12	10.97	0	0.00
CAM401B	3	0.30	0	0.00
MWR003	3	1.08	0	0.00
SOM001A 13		8.51	0	0.00
Alewife Total	13	20.87	0	0.00
		VIYSTIC RIVER		
SOM007A/MWR205A	8	29.32	0	0.00
Mystic Total	8	29.32	0	0.00
	CI	HARLES RIVER		
CAM005	6	0.74	0	0.00
CAM007	0	0.00	0	0.00
CAM017	3	0.72	0	0.00
MWR010	0	0.00	0	0.00
MWR018	4	1.85	0	0.00
MWR019	3	1.33	0	0.00
MWR020	3	3.07	0	0.00
MWR201 - Cottage Farm	4	29.80	1	0.13
MWR023	6	0.12	6	0.14
Charles Total	6	37.63	6	0.27

DRAFT

* The Unified Model is still being developed and reviewed. Model results provided in this presentation are preliminary and are subject to change.

CSO Control Tool #1a: Sewer separation





Special Structure 6, Beacon Street, Brookline, June 2012

Trenching along Beacon Street, Brookline, August 2012





CSO Control Tool #1a: Sewer separation 🕕

Case Study: Stormwater Increase with Full Separation to Alewife Brook 2050 TY



88 MG

DRAFT

3,200 MG

CSO Control Tool #1b: Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI)



GSI Bookend Scenario: route runoff from 10% of impervious surface in combined areas (excluding roofs) to GSI systems; GSI captures the first 1-inch of rain and infiltrates in 48 hours.



Representative number of GSI projects* by community:

- Cambridge: 350-400 projects
- Somerville: 300-350 projects
 Boston: 150-200 projects

*assuming 1,000 cubic feet (cf) storage capacity per GSI project



Bookend results by Outfall



(200 sf footprint, 250 cf storage)

Outfall	2050 Typical Year* - Baseline Conditions (Preliminary Draft)		2050 Typical Year* - Baseline Conditions + Regional GSI	
	Activation Frequency Volume (MG)		Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)
	AI	LEWIFE BROOK		-
CAM001	1	0.02	1	0.01
CAM002	0	0.00	0	0.00
CAM401A	12	10.97	12	10.06
CAM401B	3	0.30	3	0.26
MWR003	3	1.08	3	1.05
SOM001A	13	8.51	12	6.18
Alewife Total	13	20.87	12	17.56
	7	MYSTIC RIVER		1
SOM007A/MWR205A	8	29.32	8	27.38
Mystic Total	8	29.32	8	27.38
	CI	HARLES RIVER		-
CAM005	6	0.74	6	0.63
CAM007	0	0.00	0	0.00
CAM017	3	0.72	2	0.57
MWR010	0	0.00	0	0.00
MWR018	4	1.85	4	1.82
MWR019	3	1.33	3	1.28
MWR020	3	3.07	3	3.02
MWR201 - Cottage Farm	4	29.80	4	29.35
MWR023	6	0.12	6	0.12
Charles Total	6	37.63	6	36.79

* The Unified Model is still being developed and reviewed. Model results provided in this presentation are preliminary and are subject to change.

CSO Control Tool #1b: Green Stormwater Infrastructure

Bookend results





Ave (100 sf footprint, 100 cf storage)

DRAFT

CSO Control Tool #1b: Green Stormwater Infrastructure





CSO Control Tool #1c: Infiltration/Inflow Reduction

I/I Reduction Bookend Scenario: reduce 30% of I/I from upstream communities tributary to variance waters



CSO Control Tool #1c: Infiltration/Inflow Reduction



Bookend results by Outfall



Pipe Inspection Equipment Entering Pipe to Assess Condition & Identify I/I





Outfall	2050 Typical Year* - Baseline Conditions		2050 Typical Year* - Baseline Conditions + 30% Regional I/I Reduction	
	Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)	Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)
	AI	EWIFE BROOK		
CAM001	1	0.02	0	0.00
CAM002	0	0.00	0	0.00
CAM401A	12	10.97	12	10.84
CAM401B	3	0.30	3	0.21
MWR003	3	1.08	3	0.67
SOMOOIA	13	8.51	13	8.14
Alewife Total	13	20.87	13	19.86
		MYSTIC RIVER		
SOM007A/MWR205A	8	29.32	8	28.99
Mystic Total	8	29.32	8	28.99
	CI	HARLES RIVER		
CAM005	6	0.74	6	0.68
CAM007	0	0.00	0	0.00
CAM017	3	0.72	3	0.82
MW R010	0	0.00	0	0.00
MWR018	4	1.85	4	1.85
MWR019	3	1.33	3	1.33
MWR020	3	3.07	3	3.09
MWR201 - Cottage Farm	4	29.80	4	24.66
MWR023	6	0.12	6	0.12
Charles Total	6	37.63	6	32.55

* The Unified Model is still being developed and reviewed. Model results provided in this presentation are preliminary and are subject to change.

Groundwater Infiltration

CSO Control Tool #1c: Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Reduction



DRAFT

CSO Control Tool #2: Storage



Providing a means to store peak flows until the system can accept them after the storm, through:

- Adjusting Weirs
- Expanding pipe capacity
- Implementing/optimizing real-time controls
- Building a new storage tank or tunnel







BOS019 CSO Storage Conduit – 670,000 gallons

DRAFT

CSO Control Tool #2: Storage

CSO Storage: MWRA Bookend results:

Estimated Storage Volumes by Region			
Largest Discharge 205			
	(MG)		
	Based on Preliminary Modeling		
Outfall	Results		
Alewife	4.3		
CAM005&CAM007	0.3		
CAM017	0.3		
MWR018/019/020	4.8		
Cottage Farm	11.2		
SOM007A/MWR205A	10.5		

• Existing MWRA Facilities:

Storage Facility	Storage Type	Storage Volume (MG)	Facility Site Area (acres)
BOS019	Box Conduit	0.67	0.3
Cottage Farm	Tank	1.3	0.76
Prison Point	Tank	1.3	1.3
Union Park	Tank	2.2	1.3
North Dorchester Bay	Tunnel	18	10,832 ft. long 17 ft. inside diameter + Dewatering Pump Station = 0.82 acres +Odor Control= 0.36 acres

North Dorchester Bay Storage Tunnel

Union Park CSO Facility Storage Basins: Photo Credit: Simpson Gumpertz & Heger (SGH) https://www.sgh.com/project/union-park-detention-facility/

CSO Control Tool #3: Treatment

Providing a means to treat CSOs prior to discharge up to the following levels of treatment:

- Screening and disinfection
- Screening, settling, and disinfection
- High rate clarification with disinfection
- Treatment at Deer Island Treatment Plant

Somerville Marginal CSO Facility

Cottage Farm CSO Facility

CSO Control Tool #3: Treatment –

CSO Treatment: MWRA Bookending Evaluation

• MWRA CSO Facilities

Existing MWRA CSO Facilities	Capacity (MGD)	Treatment Process	Site Area (acres)
Cottage Farm (MWR201)	233	Screening, settling, disinfection	0.76
Prison Point (MWR203)	323	Screening, settling, disinfection	1.3
Union Park (MWR215)	288	Screening, settling, disinfection	1.3
Somerville Marginal (MWR205 and MWR205A)	245	Screening, disinfection	0.75

CSO Control Tool #4: Conveyance

Improving conveyance of CSO flows through:

- Upsizing pipelines
- Improving hydraulic control structures
 - Improve hydraulics at siphons or internal regulators
- Expanding/optimizing pump stations
 - Increase pump capacity
 - Modify pump station operations

48-inch Storm Drain Installation on E. Third Street Reserved Channel Sewer Separation Contract 3B 18-inch Sewer Installation on E. Third Street Reserved Channel Sewer Separation Contract 3B

CSO Control Tool #4: Conveyance **Conveyance Bookend Scenario:** Alewife Brook Pump Station (ABPS) Unlimited Pumping Capacity

- Model run was conducted to simulate ABPS with unlimited pumping capacity beyond 90 MGD
- Modifications would need to be made to the upstream conveyance systems to improve the benefit
- Downstream modifications would be required to convey the additional flow to Deer Island WWTP

	2050TY	
ABPS System Condition	Peak Flow at ABPS (MGD)	CSC Volume to Alewife (MG)
Current Configuration	90	20.8
Unlimited pump capacity with no conveyance system modifications	109	19.5
Unlimited pump capacity with modifications to upstream and downstream conveyance systems	261	0

CSO Volume to Alewife Brook

Modifications to existing conveyance system to accommodate increased pump station capacity from 90 MGD to 260 MGD.

CSO Tools - Summary

- 1. Source Control
 - a) Sewer separation 🕕
 - b) Green stormwater infrastructure
 - c) Inflow/infiltration reduction C
- 2. Storage
- 3. Treatment 🖻
- 4. Conveyance 🔍

CSO Control Alternatives and Evaluation Criteria

Developing CSO Control Alternatives

What are CSO control alternatives?

A suite of CSO control tools that, in combination, meet a range of CSO reduction targets.

How do we evaluate and compare CSO control alternatives?

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION CRITERIA:

	CSO reduction
	Flooding impacts
	SSO impacts
	Water quality impacts to waterways
	System resilience
	Community co-benefits
	Construction impacts to neighborhoods
	Cost and affordability
	Impacts to Environmental Justice (EJ) communities

Unified Model Preliminary Results with 2050 Typical Year

Unified Model Preliminary Results with 2050 Typical Year

- Unified model was developed as part of the Updated CSO Control Plan by combining the MWRA, Cambridge and Somerville hydraulic models to provide consistent results
- Unified Model results for the 2050 TY were used for bookend analysis and will be used for alternatives analyses.

Additional information on the 2050 TY can be found: 2050 TY

Outfall	1992 Typical Year - Future Baseline Conditions*		2050 Typical Year - Future Baseline Conditions*		
	Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)	Activation Frequency	Volume (MG)	
	F	LEWIFE BROOK			
CAM001	0	0.00	1	0.02	
CAM002	0	0.00	0	0.00	
CAM401A	8	5.29	12	10.95	
CAM401B	1	0.02	3	0.30	
MWR003	2	0.13	3	1.08	
SOM001A	8	4.45	13	8.51	
Alewife Total	8	9.89	13	20.85	
		MYSTIC RIVER			
SOM007A/MWR205A	2	1.30	8	29.31	
Mystic Total	2	1.30	8	29.31	
		CHARLES RIVER			
CAM005	1	0.19	6	0.73	
CAM007	0	0.00	0	0.00	
CAM017	0	0.00	3	1.04	
MWR018	3	0.50	4	1.86	
MWR019	2	0.26	3	1.33	
MWR020	2	0.28	3	3.14	
MWR201 - Cottage Farm	2	6.59	4	30.12	
MWR023	3	0.05	6	0.13	
Charles Total	3	7.87	6	37.61	

* The Unified Model is still being developed and reviewed. Model results provided in this presentation are preliminary and are subject to change. Model run 06FC

Volume Comparison 1992 and 2050 TY Baseline Conditions

DRAFT FOR INTERNAL DISCUSSION

Questions & Feedback

Submit further questions, comments, and feedback via email by Monday, October 30th, 2023:

- Cambridge: Catherine Woodbury <u>cwoodbury@cambridgema.gov</u>
- Somerville: Lucica Hiller Ihiller@somervillema.gov
- MWRA: Brian Kubaska brian.kubaska@mwra.com