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PROCEEDINGS
(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea
A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the December 15, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am Chair for tonight's meeting.

This meeting is being held remotely, due statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change based on the number of speakers, and at the Chair's discretion.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Present.

(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The first case I will call tonight is Case No. 163881 -- 130 Cushing Street.

Mr. McCarthy? Or --
GREG MCCARTHY: Yep. Hi, how are you?
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, this is Andrea Hickey.
I believe this is a case heard, and I was not on the original case. Would you confirm that, please?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. So myself was on it, Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina, and Jason Marshall were on it.

ANDREA HICKEY: Great. Then I'll just --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And you were not on the next one that we roll call; the third one, which would be the 1654 Mass Avenue; we will need you for that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Great. I'll be listening in and be ready to join when that case comes up. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
Mr. McCarthy?
GREG MCCARTHY: So unfortunately, tonight we're here to ask for a continuance. We unfortunately did not get our signs up in time to inform the neighborhood. It was kind of a huge mistake on our part, on my part. It went overlooked. And it kind of left us in a difficult position.

I wanted to ask for a continuance and apologize for wasting anybody's time or making the schedule difficult, or just the overall inconvenience, and hope that we're able to continue at another date.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. The reason I asked Maria to ask you to be online tonight is basically to -- not here to beat you up, but, you know, the Board extends these courtesies, but we also except sort of reciprocal respect coming back.

What happens is that we hold a time slot for you. Other people who are ready to go forward or need to be continued, you know, we look at the agenda, and we don't want to overload the agenda, not fair to the Board members, applicants, and not fair to the public or the applicants. And so, you're holding a slot.

You know, this is the second time this has happened that we've had to -- actually, this is the third time that we've had to continue this case for various reasons. This will be the last time that we will continue this case.

So you've got to be ready. You've got to do what is necessary. You need to put up the posting sign; it needs to be maintained. And you have to be prepared to go forward.

The earliest date that we have would be either February 9 or February 23. Now, let me ask the members of the Board if they are available for either one of those two dates, either the ninth of February or the twenty-third? And Jim Monteverde, are you available on either the ninth or the twenty-third?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, both.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy Leiserson, are you available for either the ninth or the twenty-third?

WENDY LEISERSON: I am only available on the ninth.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the ninth, okay. Matina Williams, available on the ninth?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, on the ninth. Not the -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Jason Marshall, are you available on February 9?

JASON MARSHALL: Yes. February 9 is fine.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me -- back to Mr. McCarthy, are you prepared to go forward on February 9 at 6:00 p.m.?

GREG MCCARTHY: I am. I really appreciate it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that's a yes that you're ready to go forward on the ninth?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yes. Absolutely on the ninth.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to February 9, 2023 as a case heard on the condition that the petitioner obtain and post the posting sign to reflect the new date of February 9, 2023, and the time of 6:00 p.m.

Such posting sign must be maintained at least 14 days prior to the February 9 hearing. Doesn't have to be put up immediately, but at least 14 days prior to February 9, the sign has to be posted.

Any changes to the documents that are now in the file must be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to
the February 9, 2023 hearing. And again, we will go forward on that night. If you're not ready, then I'll make a motion to potentially have it withdrawn or denied.

So it's very critical; if you have any questions on this, you really need to mark your calendar. And as the date approaches, you know, a couple weeks ahead of time, if you have any questions at all, you can call staff at Inspectional Services.

So on the motion, then, to continue this matter until February 9, 2023, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall.
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This matter is continued until 6:00 p.m. on February 9, 2023. Okay, Mr. McCarthy. See you then.

GREG MCCARTHY: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
(6:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case we'll hear is No. 194179 -- 8 Winter Street. We are in receipt of correspondence from Mr. Dan Anderson dated December 12, 2022 to Ms. Maria Pacheco.
"My client has requested that a BZA application for 8 Winter Street be withdrawn.
"Thanks,
Dan."
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the motion, then, to accept the withdrawal, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes. This

```
matter is withdrawn.
```

(6:09 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case we'll hear is No. 199523 -- 1654 Mass Avenue. Where's Freyman? 1654 Mass Avenue? Ellen Freyman?

ELLEN FREYMAN: Sorry. I apologize. I was -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's all right. ELLEN FREYMAN: -- having trouble. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The floor is yours. ELLEN FREYMAN: Thank you. And then there's two other parties that are participating representing Verizon. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. If you could just run through what you would like to do, the Board is familiar with the application. And then we will get into Community Development comments, and then your response to that. But if you will just run through --

ELLEN FREYMAN: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- what is there now, and what you're proposing to do.

ELLEN FREYMAN: Okay. So we're already -- have antennas up on the rooftop at 1654 Mass Avenue, and then we're looking to replace some of the antennas. We're going to be taking off two and installing three new antennas. This is an upgrade and provides improved coverage and service.

And we think that with the proposal that we're bringing forth that actually we're going to make the appearance -- you know, improve the appearance in terms of painting the antennas and moving them so they're even at the top and some of the other comments from the Planning Board that we've addressed.

So actually I think we're, you know, improving the installation from what is existing at the present time. So both in terms of service and in appearance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me have Olivia pull up sort of a photo sim of -- okay. That is what it is now. And if we could --

Ellen, do you know if you guys are the only telecom, or is there another --

ELLEN FREYMAN: Yeah, we believe we are. We have 12 antennas up there, and that's -- yeah, so they're all
ours.
So I know there was a question about can we have the other carriers, you know, paint them? Well, they're all ours, so they will all be painted.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me go through what the Planning Board had said. Communication from the Planning Board dated November 17. The proposal involved modifications of an existing wireless antenna installation on the rooftop of 1654 Mass Ave.

Two panel antennas will be replaced with three new panel antennas and assigned equipment will be installed within an enclosed equipment room. All antennas and supporting equipment will be wrapped or painted to match existing equipment.

Since the antennas are mounted to the chimney façade, the installation is quite visible from surrounding streets. Additionally, the new antennas are larger, having varying dimensions and appear to interrupt the chimney crown when viewed from grade, which draws further attention to the proposed modifications.

So their comment, "If feasible, the antennas should be moved down the chimney façade so that the antennas

```
do not visually interrupt the chimney crown."
And your retort?
```

ELLEN FREYMAN: Is that we will do that, and we submitted revised plans, and those are in effect noted on drawings that we will be doing that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. "Number 2. Since the new antennas all have varying sizes, if feasible, consider utilizing antenna covers, sheets, so that the new antennas have either similar dimensions or are more symmetrically laid out."

And your response?
ELLEN FREYMAN: So we looked into that, and because of certain attributes of these antenna panels -there's a heat shield and certain things at the bottom -- we can't add to them to make, like a full extension. But they are going to be even at the top. It will be closer together --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ELLEN FREYMAN: -- so it won't be so apparent that they're different sizes. So we're going to do whatever we can to make that look better.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. "Utilize the smallest
mounting brackets available so that the antennas can be mounted as close as possible the chimney surface."

ELLEN FREYMAN: And we sent photos showing that we have done that, and we will do that, and we have agreed to that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. "Utilize -- reduce the lengths of the unused pipe mounts."

ELLEN FREYMAN: Yes. And we agreed to reduce the length of unused pipe mounts as much as reasonably possible. And we've included a note in the revised construction drawings saying that we will cut the tops of the pipes if they extend above the top of the upper mounting angle and are higher than the antenna.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. "Ensure all --
ELLEN FREYMAN: But yes, we will agree to that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- ensure all exposed cables, mounts and wires are tightly fitted to the chimney surface."

ELLEN FREYMAN: And our response is Verizon Wireless agrees to ensure that all exposed, cables, mounts and wires are tightly fixed to the chimney surface. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: "All painted and wrapped materials including cabling and mounts should have a

```
consistent matte finish, a slightly darker finish, may blend
better with the existing brickwork, and the color depicted
in the renderings."
```

    ELLEN FREYMAN: And we've agreed to do that. And
    that's also noted on the drawings, and that we will also
include painting the other existing antennas that aren't
part of --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ELLEN FREYMAN: -- this application. So all of
them. And the photo sims that we submitted have a --
indicate what it will look like.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
ELLEN FREYMAN: And it will do a better job of
matching.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: "If possible, encourage changes
to the antennas owned by other carriers to reflect the
recommendations above." And I think that this is probably
out of your control.
ELLEN FREYMAN: Right. Except that they are --
all are ours. So --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
ELLEN FREYMAN: So this one, we can also comply
with.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, again, I think the exercise was well worth it, and I think that the response, it will be part of the record. I have initialed it, and it will be part of the --

ELLEN FREYMAN: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- requirements.
ELLEN FREYMAN: Great.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If --
ELLEN FREYMAN: So yeah, we have a written
response addressing each of those comments, and then of
course the revised plans and photo sims.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good.
ELLEN FREYMAN: So and --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to members of the Board.

Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall.
JASON MARSHALL: No questions. I appreciate the responses as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no questions. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There appears to be nobody calling in. The only real correspondence was the one from the Community Development by way of the Planning Board, which we have run through, and also it will be part of the record, the petitioner's response.

So, nothing else to add, Ellen?
ELLEN FREYMAN: No, that's it. We appreciate the review and the comments. And so we think that this will be a better installation overall.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Seeking relief, it is a telecom -- I should know this. I lost my notes here, if
you'd bear with me for a moment. I think one thing that we need to consider is any limitations that have been imposed upon the carrier. Regarding their licenses and according to your submittals, there is no -- that you are duly licensed, the carrier is duly licensed and that there are no limitations placed upon the carrier; that all work shall be as stealth as possible, and in response to the comments by the Planning Board, so state that the --

Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, special permit.

The Board finds that it is -- it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. In fact, with the upgrading in equipment, it would be greatly enhanced.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of
the occupants of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the City.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. In fact, the intent and purpose of the ordinance would be enhanced by providing updated telecommunication facilities to the general population, and also to First Responders.

The Board finds that the modifications -- so it's the existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower.

The Board finds that the Board granting the special permit, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, as initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Also that the work be in compliance with the
correspondence from Counsel dated November 30, 2022, which is the response to the Board from the November 17 letter from the Community Development Department and their good faith efforts to address the concerns from that Department, and it's initialed by the Chair and incorporated as a condition by reference to this special permit.

That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to and incorporated also on the November 30 memo.

That should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practical.

That the petitioner continue to comply with the conditions imposed by the Board with respect to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

That in as much as the health effects of the
transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and safety study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the

Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emitting from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fail to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations, whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise, the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such
notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable containing such application disclose in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraphs a) or b) above. Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan also in
favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And on the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

ELLEN FREYMAN: Great.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Ellen.
ELLEN FREYMAN: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight.
ELLEN FREYMAN: Happy holidays.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Happy holidays.
ELLEN FREYMAN: Thank you.
(6:26 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 200775 -- 575 Memorial Drive. Adam?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board, Adam Braillard with Prince Lobel Tye on behalf of the applicant, T-Mobile Northeast LLC.

We're here in connection with a special permit in front of the Board to modify an existing wireless communications facility located on the façades of the building in the penthouse that is located at 575 Memorial drive. It's also known as the Hyatt Regency, located in Residential 3 or C-3 Zoning District.

The proposal is to, like I said, modify the existing facility (indiscernible) existing nine panel antennas and a remote radio unit.

We propose to replace all nine antennas with new panel antennas -- nine new panel antennas, so it will be similar in size. Obviously, those will be all painted to
match the same tone color of the existing façade with the antenna.

Remote radio units will also be painted to match. We will swap out two radio cabinets with two new radio cabinets. They're actually going to be smaller in size.

And -- but when this is all said and done, as the photo sims I think show, there will be very little difference to what it looks like now. No additional antennas will be added, just that we changed them around.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by members of the Board? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any members of the public who wishes to speak should now -- I should know this by heart -- click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button
that says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in. The only correspondence we have regarding this case was the correspondence from the Community Development Department, and we have addressed those issues. Anything else to add, Adam, at this time?

ADAM BRAILLARD: No, Mr. Chair. I think that's it. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, photo simulations, and comments by petitioners, Counsel, and let me run through the pro forma.
"The Board shall consider the scope of, or limitations imposed by any license secured from any state or federal agency having jurisdiction over this matter." And there appears to be no limits according to your application.
"The Board shall consider the extent to which the visual impact of the various elements of the proposed facility is minimized"; and through the photo sims, and also
through the presentation by Counsel it appears that that requirement has been satisfied.
"That where it is proposed to erect a facility in any residential zone, the extent to which there was a demonstrated public need for the facility at the proposed location, the existence of alternative functional suitable sites and nonresidential location, the character of the prevailing uses in the area, and the prevalence of other existing mechanical systems carried on or above the roof of nearby structures."

This is in a Residence C-3 Zone. The Board finds that even though it is in a residential zone, that nonresidential uses do predominate in the area.

That there was an existing telecommunication facility on there, and the Board has found it to be a suitable and necessary and advance the purpose of the Ordinance to provide updated telecommunication facilities.

So it appears that the application complies with the special permit criteria set forth in 10.43.

It appears that traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or the established changed or established -- would not cause
congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds -- notes the existence of the existing telecommunication facility and no detrimental effect has emitted from that installation.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. In fact, updated telecommunication facilities would be an asset to the citizens of the City, and also to First Responders.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, or to the citizens.

The Board notes that the operations will not have any adverse impact at all, and that a reliable, state-of-the-art digital wireless voice and data services would be an asset and in fact quite necessary in today's environment.

That for other reasons, the proposed installation would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and the purpose of the ordinance to provide updated telecommunication facilities.

Further, that the Board finds that the work -that the granting of the special permit is subject to the following conditions: That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, as initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and which have been initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work consistent with the photo simulations and updated presentation by the petitioner's Counsel submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

That should the petitioner -- shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred.

That should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to
its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practical.

That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner.

That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact remain consistent with the photo simulations.

That the petitioner continue to comply with the conditions imposed by the Board with respect to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

That in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:

That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emitting from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the
Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business
days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.

That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked, or terminated.

That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioners may apply to this Board for a new special
permit, provided that the public notice containing such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraphs a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application photo sims, supporting statements and comments by the applicants' Counsel, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, Matina Williams? I
lost my train of thought there.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Matina. And Brendan
Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Adam.
(6:38 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Going to the regular agenda -- okay, I lost my schedule someplace. Nope. No. No, I don't have that. Yeah. I have another left, and on the right.

Let me -- oh, is it 6:30? 6:38. Let me call Case No. 200647 -- 322 Pearl Street.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sarah Rhatigan?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is sort of Rhatigan night at the opera.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I hope I get a plaque or something for the night.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. You're on.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening, everyone. My name is Sarah Rhatigan. And I am with Trilogy Law LLC, 12

Marshall Street in Boston.
This case is for the property 322 Pearl Street.
And I'm on the call with my clients, who own the property, Tobias Schatton -- Can you wave, Tobias, just so people can see who you are? Great; and Quinn Charbonneau. And we're also on the phone with Jim DiBurro, who's the architect who has drawn the plans for the project.

So thank you so much for hearing this case. This is a two -- and existing two-family preexisting, nonconforming home. And Dr. Schatton and Dr. Charboneau purchased the home in about 2014. They live in the upper floors. They have tenants in the first floor, and they have made some improvements to the basement preparing for their in-laws to move in. And that work was done -- I don't know, sometime around 2018 time period.

At the time, they didn't quite realize the local rules not being from the States, and when the City informed them that they needed to get permits, they applied for building permits. When the inspector came out, they noted some changes that they thought required zoning relief.

So after they came to me, we filed this petition to approve an accessory apartment in the basement of the
building.
And we are applying to you for a special permit under the provision that allows for this Board to waive certain setback requirements or height requirements in the case that they're necessary to ensure -- let's see, the language is -- I'm just going to read it to you, because I think it's helpful -- if the -- it allows the Board to alter applicable yard setback or height limitations to the extent necessary to enable site improvements that may be required to ensure the safe habitation of the accessory apartment, including but not limited to emergency egress routes.

So I think it would be helpful to just take a look at the site plan in the photos.

Olivia, do you mind pulling up the presentation for us?

Thank you. So the next slide, you'll just see a nice 3D rendering of the project that shows the stairway that you see on the left is a new exterior egress stairwell that goes down to the basement. You can also see one of the window wells in the front, in the front setback there. That is a new issue, a new structure.

Next slide, please?

So as I mentioned, the request is to create an accessory apartment in the basement. It's 741 square feet. It otherwise meets all of the requirements of the accessory apartment bylaw, Section 4.22.1.

So in terms of it's not greater than 900 square feet. The building existed for a long time, and the ratio and the size of the apartment is appropriate and meets those requirements.

So the relief that we're asking for are some window wells that are within setbacks, and those window wells also create a very tiny increase in the calculated height of the structure.

As this Board is well aware, when you calculate height, it's a height above average grade. So this is a case where when you take into account the new window wells that are created, the height of the existing structure -which is not increasing an actual, you know, height. There's nothing changing about the building. The calculated height goes up a bit.

And the relief that's needed as well for a railing around the exterior stair that $I$ showed you in that first image, which is within the side setback.

Next page, please?
I tried to simplify the slides that I'm show you, because the only changes of relevance $I$ think are shown on this page. So the existing basement you can see is open, and improved space.

And as you're looking at these plans, Pearl Street is on the bottom of the page. This is a corner lot, so Henry Street is on the right side of the structure. So we have two front yards, two side yards. And when you see the existing conditions, there's a bulkhead at the back that's the exit from the basement.

So if you look to -- on the right side of this plan, the proposed basement layout, you'll see that there are some new window wells that are included in order to allow for light and access from -- to the bedroom, a new kitchen for the accessory apartment.

And then the bulkhead is turned into a window well for egress at the rear. And then we talked about the exterior stairs, which are on the left of the basement for people to get out of the apartment.

Next slide, please?
This is showing you a before and after of the
survey conditions. So I mentioned this is on a corner lot. So the front of the house you see from Pearl Street, and we have some photos in the file as well.

You can see where the exterior side stairs are located. And it's really -- it's just the fact that there will be a safety railing that guards that stairwell to -you know, to make sure obviously that it's safe for, you know, the family's kids running around the yard. That is the intrusion into -- the new intrusion into the setback.

And then there's also the -- I mentioned that there was a rear window well. It's actually a better condition than having the bulkhead, but there's a shortened distance -- well, not shortened, but there's -- the distance between the existing garage and the house is less than the required minimum of 10 feet. Thanks for singling them out. Yeah, that helps.

Next slide, please?
So we'll just show you these are the original elevations. And next slide? And here are the proposed conditions. So if you look at the top left, you can see where the exterior stair railing is. And you can see the window wells along the side. Well, it's actually the front
along Henry Street.
And if you can just scroll down a bit, Olivia, just to see the other two elevations.

And if Board members want us to slow down, please just let me know.

Okay. And now we're going to look at some photos. Next slide, please.

So we provided street views of the pre- and postconditions, acknowledging that the work has been completed. So you can see this is the view from Pearl Street. There is a side fence. So the exterior basement stairs are not visible from the street. I don't think they're visible from the neighbors' yard as well.

Next page, please?
This is a view just from the corner, so that you could see, you know, what the view is like for the neighbors. Again, with the construction of a fence there, all the window well changes along Henry Street are not visible. They probably would not have been visible with the bushes previously, but that's the view there.

Next page, please?
And this is the view from Henry Street looking at
the garage, and you can see the space between the existing garage and the house. And the location of the window well that's within that setback.

And final slide?
There are some more images that were in the application file that I'm happy to share with you if Board members would like to see those. Essentially, the clients have canvassed the neighborhood, done a visual canvassing of the neighborhood to see some very similar physical structures beside the stairwells, railings, window wells, et cetera, all close to lot lines.

And I'm sure the Board is very familiar with these neighborhoods, and I'm sure is familiar with similar conditions. But in terms of meeting a special permit standard, I hope that the Board agrees that we do -- I'm sorry, the Slide 12 I think refers to our public outreach, which I'll just mention. Nope, it doesn't. I'm sorry. But the family did reach out to neighbors.

And you can end the slides, Olivia, at this point. Unless there are questions, we can come back to them.

They sent a letter to all their abutters and had a conversations with them as well. And I know that there are
a number of letters in the file. I think there are at least four, but there may be as many as six letters from all of the close abutters that are potentially - even potentially impacted by the project. All of them are expressing support, which is great.

I think the only owner who maybe didn't respond to them was - as they said, it's an abandoned home and the squirrels didn't respond to the letter of outreach. So, you know, there's no changes to the neighborhood character, no increases in traffic.

To the extent that it's relevant to the Board, this basement apartment will be used by their in-laws who are moving to town, sadly because one of their parents is very ill and is going to be seeking medical treatment locally.

But it will be a great allowance for this family to be able to, you know, keep their in-laws in town, maintain the rental apartment which is necessary for them to be able to be living in Cambridge.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. Let me open it to members --

SHANNON REED: Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- of the Board.
Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Nothing at the moment, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions right now, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no questions. Let
me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Brad Harkavy?
BRAD HARKAVY: Hi, it's Brad Harkavy and my wife, Ann Marie Mador. We live across the street from the house and we're big supporters of the family and the changes.

It's all good by us. And we're actually looking at it as we speak, so I think it's a good idea.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you for calling in. There seems to be nobody else calling in. We are in receipt of seven letters in support, everybody extolling the benefits to the community by the owners and are in support from 110-112 Henry Street.

There's also support from -- of the owners that are at 2 Pearl Street Place, and 116 Henry Street have no problem; asking us to approve.

And also from Melinda O'Leary, Anna Collins, and Maria Clara Valenzuela are strong supporters.

And there is correspondence from 120-122 Henry Street also in strong support. And from Anne Davis, Denise and John Sullivan, 321 Pearl Street. And that is the sum and substance of correspondence. I will close that portion of it. Back to Sarah for any further comments before we take it to the Board.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Hi. I have no other comments unless there are still questions --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- from the Board. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any questions by members of the Board, are you ready for a motion?

JASON MARSHALL: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me just go through the prerequisite. You're seeking relief under Section 4.22.2.3, and if you could just run through that, it appears that according to your pleadings, that it will comply with all the requirements for the accessory apartment.

The building containing the accessory apartment has been in existence since approximately 1894, and then well before February 1, 2019, which is the start of the statute.

That prior to the alteration, the dwelling contained at least 1,800 square feet of gross area, and that the accessory apartment will only be 741 square feet, thus shall not occupy more than 900. And that there will be no more than one accessory apartment shall exist on the lot. And it is your presentation it is not for shortterm rental, it is for rental for a family member, or the alternative would be long-term rental. Would that be correct?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. It appears that the alterations to the preexisting, non-conforming two-family structure will comply with the dimensional requirements; that the alterations will be non-conforming, but that they are not creating any new non-conformity.

And also, you're seeking relief under 8.22.2.d. Let me go through that. The language of the ordinance:

The Board has to find that in all districts, the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family dwelling or twofamily dwelling not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of

Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does find -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43.

In 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

That traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. The Board references the letters of support from adjoining properties, other people/persons in the neighborhood who are in full support of the proposal.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -- in fact a code-compliant accessory apartment would be a boon to anybody who occupies the unit, and that the safety would be further enhanced.

That the proposed use would not impair the
integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

Section 4.22 allows the creation of accessory apartments. This complies with that requirement, and that the intent and purpose of the ordinance is to provide housing for persons of all income levels, and to allow existing homeowners to improve and enhance their structure, as per the ordinance's granting of a special permit.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor on the condition that the work comply with the drawings submitted to the Board entitled, "322 Pearl Street by JTA Architects" dated 09/19/2022 initialed by the Chair, and
also complies with the supporting statements and the dimensional form, as submitted and incorporated by reference.
[All vote YES]
On five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good luck.
(6:58 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 201432 -- 1640 Mass Avenue.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Hello again, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. For the record, I'm Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law LLC, 12 Marshall Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02108 and I am here representing the owners of the property, which is 1640 Mass Ave Realty Trust.

And here with me on this call are -- from the client ownership group Andy Martineau.

Andy, can you wave for us? Thank you. Marc Levin? And -- thank you -- and then Derek Rubinoff is the architect who's on the call as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Sarah --

SARAH RHATIGAN: So thanks very much for --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- Sarah, before you start the presentation just --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- want to, there was
obviously, and you were aware of opposition to the proposal before us. And --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- there are two ways to proceed; that if you felt it would be helpful to, we could continue this case so that you could have further dialogue with the person who is in opposition to the grant.

And we could, again, continue this to sometime either the end of January or that it would be the end of February would be the earliest that we could.

If it were -- if we were to open up the case and it's a case heard, we would have to assemble the same five people. And that would be toward the end of February.

Or you can proceed tonight, and should it get continued, it would be continued later -- again, into the end of February if -- I'm sorry, I lost my train of thought.

If you were to continue it, we could probably hear it on January 26. If we were to open the case as a cash, the earliest date would be February 23.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you for laying that out for us, Mr. Chair. And the -- we will address the discussions
that we've had with the neighbor in the rear who's expressed opposition. But we would like to proceed.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. That's fine. Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Being aware of that timetable, it's very helpful for us to know that. Thank you. JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, Just in terms of the end of February time frame, I am not available that Thursday, so we probably would have to push it into March. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. SARAH RHATIGAN: Again, thank you for informing us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Great. Thank you. So what we would like to do is to present the project. And we do understand that the neighbor has asked to speak as well, of course. And then hopefully we will have a chance to respond if there are further questions or issues.

So I am going to just briefly kind of lay out some of the factual pieces of the application, the relief that's needed, and then pass this over to Andy Martineau, who's going to walk through the plans, and give everybody a visual sense of what we're doing here.

So as members of the Board I'm sure have probably driven by, this is a property that is 19 mid-century home built as a single-family home back in 1860, I think, approximately.

And sometime before 1920 it was -- the lot was carved out, and a bank of single-story retail buildings were erected along Mass Ave.

So as one's on Mass Ave driving, if you were in Harvard Square driving towards Porter Square, you'd see a row of stores, and then in the distance -- well, not that far distance, but sort of peeking over the top you would see this older home.

And so, the 1640 Mass Ave Realty Trust purchased this property. Andy's going to describe some of the thoughts that went into this project.

But what they're doing is renovating and doing some modest additions to the home on the existing footprint and converting its use from what is now essentially a nonconforming mixed-use of bookstore in a basement, architect's office on the first floor, and a lodging house use up above on the second and third floors; converting that to seven residential apartments.

And as you will see from the application, the lot is not only incredibly unusually shaped, this is -- you know, we often talk about unusually shaped lots because we like to, but this one's really truly unique, never have seen anything quite like it before.

The house is also located closer to the -- both the front right and rear setbacks. And so these preconformities make any project very difficult.

And so, the zoning relief that's being requested is also dealing with the fact that the lot is in two districts, so there's a C-2 District at the front. The majority the lot is in the $C-2$ District. And then a small, triangular section at the rear which is Residential B.

And as you'll see from the application, we're requesting that the Board exercise its special permit authority to extend the $C-2$ zoning 25 feet into the lot to allow for the project to go forward.

So the -- let me just briefly go through the zoning relief that's requested, and then we're going to get some visuals and pictures to see how this works.

So there are two variances that are requested, and then some special permit relief. So the variances are: We
need to do some renovation and some addition within nonconforming setbacks. They're already preexisting, nonconforming, but they are extending walls up within those non-conforming, but they are extending walls up within those non-conforming setbacks.

So of note, if you look at the dimensional table and you look down the list of existing and proposed conditions, there is nowhere that we're exacerbating and, you know, creating -- you know, an extended non-conformity. And there's really been a lot of effort to try to keep this as close as we can.

The second variance that we're requesting is to the extent that some of the structure that's being extended into the area that's now Residential B district, to the extent that some of that area would be used for more than one apartment, because of the dwelling, because of the Residential $B$ requirements for residential units per lot size, we're requesting variance relief for that.

And that relief, I believe, is only needed if the Board does not exercise their special permit discretion to extend the $C-2$ zoning into the Res $B$ section.

We can talk about that a little bit more later.

In terms of special permit relief, the basement is being improved. It's now used by a bookstore, but it doesn't have full ceiling heights. And so, we're wishing to exercise the exemption for GFA in the basement, which we think is reasonable, considering that we're in a basement -the Basement Overlay District, which incentivizes that sort of use. And it's consistent with other uses in the area. We are requesting a -- sorry -- we are requesting the special permit that I've already mentioned of extending the C-2 zoning into the Residential B district.

And we are also requesting some relief for some windows, new windows within setback walls. And we'll show those to you on the plans as we go through them.

Andy, want to take it from here?
ANDY MARTINEAU: Sure. Can I go ahead and share my screen?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Is that okay, Olivia? It's the same slide presentation that $I$ sent to you, but if you don't mind if Andy pulls it up on his screen, then he can control the pages?

OLIVIA RATAY: He can try them. I might have to give him permission.

ANDY MARTINEAU: Yeah, you just have to enable the Screen Share, it looks like.

OLIVIA RATAY: I actually don't know how to do that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Down at the bottom, it says, "Share screen." There we go. Thank you. Thank you for that.

ANDY MARTINEAU: Good evening. Andy Martineau, Project Manager for Chestnut Hill Realty. Thank you to the Board for meeting with us tonight to hear our proposal for our proposed changes and renovations to 1640 Mass Ave.

I'd like to briefly walk you through some floor plans, elevations and perspectives, as well as some shadow studies that we undertook at the request of one of our neighbors following a dialogue we've been having with him over the past couple of weeks about some of his concerns about this project.

Just to orient you to the site, we're located just outside of Harvard Square. Sarah had mentioned the word, "unique" and I know that's a saturated term, but in this case, I think it definitely applies, whereas we're -- the site is effectively landlocked behind the single-story
storefront that Sarah mentioned, and then tucked in between a couple of mid-rise buildings to the north and to the south, which then opens up into the parking lot for 1-3 Langdon Street, transitioning to the neighborhood behind. Just to give you the bore of the full context, this is the image that Sarah had mentioned in her opening remarks. I've been kind of calling this the missing tooth in this particular instance where we have the two mid-rise buildings on either side, the commercial storefront directly on Mass Ave.

And then you can see the top part of our building behind the commercial building as well as the beginnings of some of the residential buildings in the neighborhood to the rear.

This is a view from the 1 -3 Langdon Street driveway. And again, underscoring that the site is unique in terms of its landlocked siting. This is actually the entry to the site. This is how you enter into the site now. You can see the arbor and the fence.

Moving in to the 1-3 Langdon Street parking and driveway, this is a view from the driveway and this kind of gives the Board the full view, if you will, of the existing
conditions. And you can see what this site begins to transition to the residential neighborhood behind.

These next couple images I think really help underscore the existing condition and, in our view, how modest our proposed additions and renovations are. You can see here on the second level where the proposed addition off to the wing here would take place, as well as where the new central staircase would be located.

And then moving back across the street, 1-3
Langdon Street parking lot, I think this image is helpful not only in underscoring the uniqueness of the lot, but also kind of illustrating a set of circumstances that $I$ don't think you often find in Cambridge, because of this sort of missing tooth effect that $I$ referred to directly off of Mass Ave, which transitions into this parking lot.

You know, you effectively have a corridor here where there's a lot of openness, a lot of sunlight, a lot of air and other conditions in Cambridge where you might have houses closer to each other. This is a benefit not only to our site, but to the neighborhood behind as well.

So you don't have houses that are directly on top of each other, and here $I$ think you can begin to really see
how minor our proposed changes would be in terms of its impact on our direct abutters.

And then this is a view from the corner of Shepard and Rutland Street again. You know, you really kind of have to look hard to see what the proposed changes would be, and the impact it would have on the neighborhood.

A couple more views -- the view from Langdon Street, so looking back towards Mass Ave. The view to the west from Shepard Street. Again, you see the site opening up. Our abutter in question, who we've been dialoguing with over the past couple of weeks, you can see the sunlight pouring through.

So programmatically, I think our approach to this project -- you know, initially when we acquired the site, we looked at the this and said, "Well, this is a tough site." You know, this is a building that we tear down and try and max out the zoning envelope.

And I think it quickly became apparent as we started to work with our architect that there was a good project to be had here working to the extent possible within the existing building footprint.

And there were some other drivers related to that
as well. You know, the opportunity cost of knocking down the building versus working with what we have, but also the building is not without its charm. We like it.

Its character is consistent with the surrounding neighborhood. And, you know, I think our proposed changes and renovations use that as a reference point to the overall design.

And so, in looking at what we did programmatically here transitioning from a bit of a hodgepodge of different uses, some of which were non-conforming, this table is meant to underscore our effort to work with what we have with some modest additions, but certainly not maxing out the zoning envelope.

And the other design driver from us programmatically is certain standards and criteria that we try to implement in all of our projects, which becomes more challenging whenever you're trying to retrofit an existing structure -- kitchens of a certain size, prioritizing bedrooms and living room areas.

And that's really at the heart of our proposal trying to work within this existing building envelope to create -- to take an underutilized parcel and a misused
parcel and create seven quality units that don't just function well from an operation or residence perspective, but also seven units that someone might to live in longer term than you might in a normal apartment complex.

Changes to the height are primarily driven by minor changes to the grading around the building. And that's just a function of the Cambridge Zoning Formula. So we have the window wells that Sarah mentioned, and then a couple of sunken patios for the garden-level apartments, which I'll show you in the plans. And then the stair -- the central staircase with the mansard extending slightly above the existing roofline.

So here we have our existing conditions site plan. So you can see again sort of the narrow channel by which you enter the site. And I think this image as well as the next, which is the proposed conditions site plan, really start to illustrate how modest the proposed additions are.

I think another underscoring point related to that is we have a couple letters of support from our neighboring abutters. And it took them a couple of passes looking at both the site plan and elevations and perspectives to really understand the scope of changes.

And I think that's a testament to our ability to stay consistent architecturally with the existing structure in the neighborhood, but also to not overstep our bounds, knowing that we have some zoning issues that we need to deal with here.

Here we have the proposed condition site plan, which also illustrates those setback relief requests that Sarah outlined. Here you have the new central staircase on the front of the building. The sunken patio's off the garden-level apartments, the basement unit's here. You have the second floor addition extending out here.

Another thing I'll say about this is in looking at, you know, how to manage this portion of the project and how to create a unit that works, there was some consideration in an effort to try and push away the massing away from 9 Rutland Street.

So that's really what's driving us to have this portion of the building footprint extend out over that first floor wing, rather than trying to encompass the full area -again, trying to work with what we have and not seeking more than we need.

You have a roof deck off the second floor here and
third floor as well, the emergency egress window wells that Sarah mentioned, and then a staircase leading up to a mechanical room off the back of the building.

So what does that look like in the floor plan? So we have seven units, two per floor with one unit up on the third floor. This is the basement-level plan. You can see the sunken patios in the front, a one-bedroom apartment to the left, 2 BA to the right.

And then that program generally repeats itself as you move up to the first floor, the kitchen and living areas are flipped here in this two-bedroom unit.

But you can see that the effort was made here to have the bathrooms and MEP stack which is, you know, which is, you know, something that can be a challenge within, you know, a building like this.

Moving up to the second floor, you can see the extension here, which is the living and dining area, the second floor roof deck, and then a two-bedroom apartment on the right.

And then lastly, on the top floor, the third floor, a one-bedroom apartment and the termination of that new central staircase and a roof deck here. And you can see
the roofline of the proposed addition on the second floor below.

Some before and after elevations. So these are our existing conditions.

Again, $I$ just want to emphasize that, you know, really have to look, in my opinion, to understand the scope of the changes and just how modest they are. So here we have the front and rear elevations, and then the proposed conditions.

So you see the new central staircase and maintain the mansard roof and the second floor addition here. And then you see it on the back elevation as well on the rear, as well as the decks, the window wells, and then the staircase that I'd mentioned leading up to the mechanical room.

Existing elevations for the sides of the building, and then proposed. Again, the new central staircase here in this profile, the stairs leading up to the mechanical room here at the rear, and then here you see the second floor addition as well as the decks on the second and third floor.

Some before or existing and proposed perspectives: Existing is up top, proposed underneath.

This image here, again, you know, in that neighborhood context image I showed, you know, in an effort to try and have the Board understand the scope of the changes and what we view as relatively modest, you know, this is that same perspective, that view from the 1-3 Langdon Street parking lot, the angled view dead on, and then the rear elevations' perspectives.

And then here we have the existing view to the west and the proposed below. You can see the second floor addition and the deck, and the beginnings of the deck on the third floor here, east side of the building.

And then finally, the rear of the building facing to the north.

So I mentioned some shadow studies that we undertook at the request of our neighbor, Mr. Moore, at 9 Rutland Street, who contacted me following our neighborhood outreach efforts. We met on site to review his concerns.

Chief among them was the potential for shadow impacts resulting from the new additions; primarily a skylight that is located on a first floor roofline here towards the back of his house.

There was a concern that the morning sunlight
would be blocked by virtue of the additions. So we did the shadow studies for all of the normal times of the year -winter, spring and summer. And I'm happy to report that there's no new shadow impacts resulting on the skylight. There are shadow impacts, some minor new shadow impacts resulting on the rear portion of his house here.

So this is a continuation of that first floor roofline. And this is the rear. But in the scheme of things, pretty minimal.

The remainder -- excuse me. So just some images: Here's the skylight in question. And then you see that roofline where the new shadow impacts would occur. This is taken earlier this week at 9:00.

And then throughout the year, as I mentioned, no new shadow impacts as a result of the additions. We chose to focus on the morning hours, as that was the primary topic of discussion with Mr. Moore. So no shadow impacts at morning hours during the rest of the year or the afternoon or evening hours for that matter.

Here you have the summer. Obviously, the sun is at its highest point.

So that's really all I have for the Board. I'm
happy to entertain any questions they may have. And thank you again for your time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the shadow studies, we have December 21, at 9:00 a.m. Do we have at noon?

ANDY MARTINEAU: Yes, we do. I can pull up those. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do we have that in there somewhere?

ANDY MARTINEAU: Not in this presentation, but the full shadow studies were submitted as part of the application.

SARAH RHATIGAN: So Olivia probably -- Olivia, I'm
not sure if you have the full panel of documents?
ANDY MARTINEAU: I have them.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Or if you have it, Andy, you can pull it up. That's great.

ANDY MARTINEAU: Yeah. So you were looking for other hours, is that correct?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I see -- you know, 9:00 a.m. is one thing. I'm more concerned about the 12:00 and maybe, well 3:00 --

ANDY MARTINEAU: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- in the afternoon, you know,
as the sun starts to move around. 9:00 in the morning the sun is, you know, over Harvard Yard type of thing. So as it starts to move --

ANDY MARTINEAU: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- toward the west --

ANDY MARTINEAU: So basically --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and 9 Rutland, as it starts to move around toward the right, looking for the effect on that.

ANDY MARTINEAU: Sure. So here we have December 21 at noon. So the sun moving in this direction from east to west. So you can see clearly that by the time noon rolls around, winter solstice, there's no new shadow impacts; the sun's over here.

So no new impacts would result from our proposed additions. It's only that earlier morning hours for a small portion of the winter months when the sun's at its lowest angle.

And then obviously, you know, as you move on throughout the day, 3:00, here you see the sun is moving towards the west. And then 6:00 p.m. the sun's, you know, setting if not --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: December 21 at 6:00 p.m. it's dark out. There is no shadow study. There is no solar. It's dark.

ANDY MARTINEAU: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So.
DEREK RUBINOFF: Yeah, $I$ think it's -- Derek
Rubinoff here. It's -- I'm the architect for the project. The way the software works, you know, there aren't trees off in the distance or anything like that, so, you know, you're just catching a very small sliver of some light there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Anything else, Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me start the question. I guess the thought that I had on this when I first saw it, and actually even before $I$ saw the correspondence from Mr. and Mrs. Moore was, you know, you buy a piece of property like this.

And then you start reading your pleadings, and it basically says that the aged structure is in disrepair and need of substantial renovations.

The petitioner could face substantial hardship if
the Board were to require strict adherence to the dimensional requirements.

And that a literal enforcement in this instance would result in severely constraining the ability of the petitioner to renovate, improve and modestly expand the existing structure in order to provide for updated, codecompliant residential housing within the existing structure.

And the petitioner is always very savvy in real estate, especially in this part of town. And yet when you buy a structure like this, which $I$ think is actually a lovely building, you buy it as is with its -- you know, whats (phonetic) and defects, and yet what can $I$ do with this as-of-right, without having to come down before the Zoning Board and basically enhance the investment, justify the investment?

And if it's in disrepair and in need of substantial renovation, then the purchase price should reflect that. And, you know, I sort of -- gives me pause where I say, "Well, you know, are they using the Board as a vehicle to enhance or to justify that investment? Or to --"

You know, and again, I don't care if somebody makes a dollar or a million dollars or 10 million dollars,
that's not -- it doesn't make any difference to me, other than the fact is that when you buy a property like this that you buy it for the value.

The value is not what you pay for it, the value is what you can do with it as-of-right, and not have to come down before a board and get any special dispensation from any of the Ordinance requirements.

You know, and then $I$ will sort of go back. The Board has heard this sort of many times, where it's the hardship. And what is the hardship in this particular case? And, you know, I go back to some court cases.

The cases are clear that reference to financial hardship in the statute does not mean the every inability to maximize the possible gain theoretically available to be extracted from a lot. And it does not constitute a valid hardship.

And that is basically a -- wording from the court, Hoffman v. the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. And then Morgan v. the Zoning Board of Appeal of Weymouth. The court said that "inability to maximize the theoretical potential of a parcel of land is not a hardship within the meaning of the zoning law."

And then I read the Moores' letter, and then I go back to the landmark decision of Blackman $v$. the Board of Appeal of Barnstable, where the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court stated, "The court has said repeatedly that the power to vary the application of a zoning ordinance must be sparingly exercised, and only in rare instances and under exceptional circumstances particular in their nature, and with due regard to the main purpose of a zoning ordinance is to preserve the property rights of others."

And I think that the Moores are asking us to preserve their property rights in this particular instance.

So the question, again, that gives me pause is when you buy a piece of property like this and you pay a certain amount of money for it, what drives that, or should drive that purchase price, is the condition of the property, but what can $I$ do with this property as-of-right now, without having to come down before the Board and ask for more building to create more units. So that's my thought.

Jim Monteverde, your thoughts?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just a quick question. Are there any neighborhood or any other groups that have purviewed (sic) or reviewed the project?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There is not in this district,
no.
ANDY MARTINEAU: No.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any comments?
SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. I was just going to respond to Mr. Monteverde as well. And we did consult with Charlie Sullivan and his staff about the project. And their expression was being very pleased that the project was able to retain the existing home.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I'd like to withhold my comments at that moment. I'm eager to hear from abutters and others before I make any comments or have any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, that's fine. Matina Williams, any comments or questions at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm with Andrea. I want to hear from abutters before I make a comment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's fine. Jason Marshall, any comments or questions?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions at this time. And
like my colleagues, I look forward to public comment.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes or at the Chair's discretion to continue.

OLIVIA RATAY: Gordon Moore?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Moore?
GORDON MOORE: Yes, I'm here. And I'm not quite sure. Your Zoom is different than the one that I normally use academically, and $I$ don't seem to have a way to get my picture up.

Can you -- Olivia, can you control that remotely or should -- one other possibility is that I just go ahead and do this the way -- as a phone call since much of this will be a presentation that uses a slide pack that we prepared?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we'll give Olivia a try. OLIVIA RATAY: I can't turn your video on, but if
you take your mouse and put it, like, at the bottom of your screen, you should see a video camera.

GORDON MOORE: It does not come up. That's interesting. The --

OLIVIA RATAY: So --
GORDON MOORE: -- something is wrong, and I don't want to take the Commission's time to try to fix it now. So I'm sorry that you can't see me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's all right. That's okay.
GORDON MOORE: It won't be a huge loss.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's alright.
GORDON MOORE: So.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we can hear you. So
that's --
GORDON MOORE: Okay, good. So Olivia, let's go to the slides so that $I$ can see the slides, please. Can we go to the first slide, please? I'm going to go to the Commissioner's -- I'm going to go as fast as $I$ can.

It's a complicated proposal with my view, two setback requirements and one density variants -- two setback variances and one density variance. I think there's a mistake on the deck.

But they -- I do have adequate and really quite detailed backup for some of the claims that I'm going to be making in the form particularly of photos, and $I$ would be happy, if any of you have questions, to show you those at your request.

So I'm not going to repeat the -- there was the setback continuing in my view includes both the second floor deck, outdoor deck and the building extension.

If we can go to the next slide, please? I'm going to go very quickly through this. The red line is the zoning line between Residence C-2 and Residence B. You've already seen this in Andy's presentation.

And I want to be clear that we're in favor of the use. We think taking this beautiful old building and putting residents in it is a good idea.

But we are very much against just one part of their proposal, and that is to put the deck and this building, which is about the size of a 20 -foot shipping container, within a distance of our house that's actually even less than the required setback requirements for them.

Next picture?
This is where it goes.

Next picture?
This is what we see, and if we could go to the next picture, I thought you'd find it interesting to see the view from our window -- our bedroom window.

And I want to make a couple of very brief points here. The house was built in 1867. It was a sole house on a rather large plot of land. There were three plots of land between Langdon Street and Shepard Street. And each one had a house on it.

In 1887, this one floor addition was built. It would have been complying, I'm sure, if they had zoning rules. I don't know what they were at the time.

And then in 1893 they sold the portion where the fence is here to Henry Wyeth, who was the owner of the Wyeth Funeral Parlor, and he built a home, having purchased the land from them in 1893.

He lived there until he was single, until 1976 when we bought the house. There's been continuous occupation just by two of us for 129 years.

And up until this point, since 1976 when we have owned the house, we felt we got a good deal, because this was crowding the house. But it in our view lowered the
purchase price. And we've had absolutely no problem with the uses or the owners of the house during that period of time.

So this is really the first time that this has come up as an issue for us.

I also want to point out that this is a very wellmaintained house. And it's a little misleading to say the house is in disrepair. The exterior is excellent. The roof on the first floor noncomplying addition here, the 1887 addition, was just replaced about two years ago. And the house is both attractive and in good shape, certainly as good as anything in the neighborhood.

Next picture?
This is what you would see from our house looking at the same view. You see the roof deck and the extension, and if we can go to the next slide -- stop me by the way if I'm going too fast; I'm really trying to be careful of your time.

So in my reading of the setback requirements, I think there actually is a mistake on the roof deck, and I believe they require two setback variances. But let's move on. I don't think that's material to my argument.

Next slide?
So Charlotte and I -- my wife and I -- have a problem with the petitioner's claim for hardship for the proposed variance. I'm not going to repeat this; this is exactly what the Chairman said.

Their claim is largely, we're not making enough money with this unless we have seven units. We have to have seven units, or else this would not be sufficiently profitable for us to undertake this building.

So the -- a couple of things that I want to say. Number 1, just applying reason: We don't see why removing the small additions on that second floor -- which is our only concern, that extension and the roof deck -- would really materially constrain their ability to renovate, improve or offer seven units.

Secondly, I wanted to say that we have looked closely at the plans. We've done lots of building on our own. And with a very simple change, they could still have seven units. They would take one bedroom from the twobedroom unit on the east side of the building on the second floor. That would become a bedroom for the unit on the west side, which is really -- extensions are being proposed.

And the living room would occupy what is now the single bedroom of the unit that -- the second floor, second unit. So from our point of view, we really found it a little hard to believe that there wasn't a) a solution that would still allow them to have the seven units.

And secondly that we don't -- we find it hard to believe that losing 150 square feet, 2.5 percent of the gross floor area of their proposed change, and one bedroom would make this project financially infeasible.

And it just doesn't make sense to use that this would qualify -- financial feasibility would qualify; infeasibility would qualify as a hardship.

Then, the third point that $I$ want to make is the one that the Chairman brought up. And that is that as a layperson, when they bought -- as we did when we bought our house -- they knew what the hardship was. And we knew -they knew what the zoning setback was.

And they presumably bargained hard and got a reduction in the price, because that was the condition that would have constrained their development to the maximum that they wished.

So they knew the zoning infractions, which were
obvious and presumably reflected in that lowered price. In effect, they bought the hardship.

I don't understand now how they can claim that this hardship, which is cause by zoning constraints that they knew about, and for which they got financial relief. And their purchase can once again be used as justification for relief.

It seems to me that this doubles their benefit at our or the City's expense. And why should we be the ones to suffer to relieve them of a known hardship that was part of the deal?

I sort of jokingly said to my wife, "This is a form of chutzpah." I don't think -- our conclusion was we do not believe the petitioners made a persuasive case for hardship for relief from setback requirements. They have two variances that are part of the setback requirements.

Next slide, please?
Let's just pass this one, in the interest of saving time.

So this is now showing our house, and the apartment building next door.

And I want to point out two things. One is that
there's kind of a nice confluence of four back yards between our house, the abutter to our north, and the apartment building, the abutter that goes around the north side -excuse me, the abutter to the west and to the north. And to the people that live in the apartment building in the front, which is about six stories high.

There's been an effort made by the apartment building owner to tidy up and really actually make that area look nice, but it's a feeling of openness that $I$ think is important.

If we look at the placement, I've circled the edge of the existing building. And I want to point out that the distance from the edge of that building and our kitchen, library and breakfast room is about 14 or 15 feet, depending on exactly where you measure it.

And the master building, which is really spaced in a rear setback line, is 15 feet from point $X$. And you can see I've drawn a 20-foot Residence B setback -- distance for rear setback, just to give you some idea about the crowding and how close we are to this house.

So I'd like to now go to the next slide and show you a list of what we consider to be the hardship for us as
abutters, withstanding from the floor 2 addition and the attached roof deck. I'm going to run through these very quickly. You can stop me and ask for more documentation on any of these.

The sunlight: Olivia, could we go to the last two slides very quickly? Here, I want to show you that at 9:00 at the beginning of December in the winter months, that we're -- our kitchen and our dining area in the kitchen are pretty much flooded with light, sunlight.

You -- it's hard to see this looking at the shadow diagrams, so I decided I would just take pictures. You can show the next slide also.

This is our rear window, the one that Andy said was not affected. And you can see the sunlight -- I pulled the shade down. You can see the sunlight streaming through and into our pantry and kitchen.

For us, the sunlight's important. Our kitchen is a little on the dark side. And we eat about 9:00, 8:30 or 9:00. And for years, we've enjoyed the bright sunlight streaming in there. And also, the sunlight, as Sandy pointed out, does stream in from the window on the east -the kitchen windows on the east.

So there's -- and all of those three areas of sunlight: The roof deck, the window, and then the front windows to the dining room area, kitchen area itself, are -receive bright sunlight, which would be blocked by the addition.

Could we go back to 12, please? The -- light, air and openness, I've already talked about the contiguous residence. Sort of putting this building up, this shipping container size building gives a tenement feeling in the confluent back yards. And it blocks our open view now from the second floor.

And I don't know how much wind effect, but it certainly isn't helping our breeze in the summer months when the windows are open.

Density and crowding, which come up in the other variance, where they're asking for relief -- a variance relief -- for a Residence B extension density requirement to get the seven units, there is, $I$ believe, an issue of density and crowding, which I think are inconsistent with the intent of Section 1.3, the preamble of the Zoning Ordinance. And again, this is sort of alluded to by the Chairman.

The noise and privacy and intrusive are really two big deals for us. These are both very close. They have -they're proposing an outdoor deck where people will congregate during the summer months when the windows are open, and the proposed structures, the roof deck and the extension, look directly into our bedroom and bed, and into our library.

And the total distance from the edge of the deck to our house is about 15 feet, and to the edge of the addition is about 20 feet.

Can we go to the next slide, please?
This is my foot from my bed. And we're looking out the window at the top of this one-story structure on which they're going to be building the extension.

Next picture?
So I've sort of colored in my estimate at the size of the extension -- this is a little bit enlarged so you can see it -- and put in where they're proposing a window with my picture in it. And I don't fancy looking at this in the morning from a window that's that close. It's very intrusive. I don't want to get into my personal sleeping habits, but $I$ would find this embarrassing.

And the next picture?
This is the view out another window in our
bedroom. This now looks exactly at the area where the roof deck is.

Next slide, please?
And I've tried to give you a feel of what it would look like if the roof deck were there and were capable of being occupied in the summer months.

Slide 17 next slide, please?
I'm going to skip this. It's an interesting point about this, but I'm -- you'll see in a minute with my recommendations why I'm skipping it.

Slide 18, next slide, please?
So our case at this particular point is that they do not -- there are a couple of cases. Let me skip this and go to the next slide, I'm sorry. It's easier here. So we would request that the petitioner's variance for the noncomplying second floor addition and the roof deck be denied.

But if it's denied, then we would say we would accept their petition for the variance for density relief. Our major objections on density as an abutter would have
been eliminated if they did not build the floor addition roof deck or put in a large air conditioning unit on that house, on that one-story building.

On the other hand, if you choose to accept Variance 1, then they have a second hurdle on the grounds of density, which is in our view inconsistent with the Ordinance Section 1.3, the purpose of zoning.

And we would then make the same arguments that I've just made about standing and about hardship for the variance and about the effects on $u s$ in order to try to sort of get a second swat at the pinata to try to stop them from building these two structures.

So then, the -- now let me speak now about the permitting request to extend Residence C-2 into the current Residence B on the -- our basis for this is that there is no difference in the setback requirements. They do not come into compliance on setback requirements if they were in fact creating Residence $C-2$ in the triangle of Residence $B$.

They do not use and do not need to use that privilege in that little triangle. And I -- we believe that this creates a taking of our rights under Residence B, because it eliminates the chance at some point in the
future, because -- because the conversion of $B$ to Residence C-2 is permanent; it's not reviewable later on.

In other words, if you were to approve it, this to our view is a permit without a purpose. And if you were to approve it on that condition, they get the right then, in that space to put in now an 85-foot building in a space where $I$ think it really doesn't belong. And that really would severely reduce our ability to sell the house at some point, presumably for our estate.

And it also means that you as a Commission would be giving up on your opportunity to review the use of the permit to extend into that Residence B triangle on any future proposal that might be made by the petitioner or whoever they sell the property to, under the terms at the time that that petition was lodged.

So we would urge rejecting the permanent request, because it's useless. If you look at this, clearly, they do not need that even for the variance of the deck, the deck and they still don't meet the setback requirements if it were Residence 2.

Finally, and I thank you so much for giving me the time to get through this, we've had a long fight going back
about a year with code violations on the garbage from the Chestnut Hill Realty, which is the common name of this trust, plus other properties that are owned that are contiguous to us.

And it's a very minor point, but we really feel that there is no indication of where they're going to put garbage. They've kind of overused every square inch of the building.

And I'd liked -- we've asked them, but they have not given us indication of how they would comply, and we would hope comply with the other building, which we approved as abutters a number of years ago -- about 10 years ago, for basement tenantship when they requested permitting to allow them to build basement units, but which has brought in young people who don't care about the garbage, and it consistently overflows.

And we've been fighting rats, and at the moment I'm still fighting an infestation of rats in our house, largely because they can go and feast over from the garbage at --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's really not our purview, but anyhow. It's --

GORDON MOORE: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
GORDON MOORE: I thank you for --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
GORDON MOORE: -- for giving me the chance to say
that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
GORDON MOORE: That's it. Thank you so much for
--

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
GORDON MOORE: -- your generous allowance of our
talking about this in full.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. Anybody else calling in? There's nobody else calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence dated December 2.
"Dear Board members,
"I'm writing to express my support for the proposal to convert 1640 Mass Avenue into seven apartment units. The proposal will greatly improve the use of the site and the use is consistent with the surrounding neighborhood.
"The applicant's effort to largely preserve the
building's architecture are greatly appreciated. As the closest abutter to this project, we as also appreciate their efforts to largely preserve the project's architecture are greatly appreciated.
"As the closest abutter to this project, we also appreciate their efforts to ensure the project's impacts to our property are minimized and --
"Salime Tabit -- T-a-b-i-t, 1638, 1646 Mass
Avenue."
And that, $I$ believe, is the sum and substance of correspondence, other than some correspondence from Gordon Moore. And he has spoken to that.

So I will close the public comment portion and send it back to Sarah for comments.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. I think -- I want to make just one general comment, and then $I$ know Andy Martineau wanted to comment as well.

There are times when, Mr. Sullivan, I've heard similar concerns from you about developments where developers may be trying to quote, unquote "max out development potential." And they come to this Board requesting a variance because it would be difficult, it
would be expensive for them not to be able to max out their development potential.

And I have to admit that I'm surprised a bit that this proposal, which when seen in the context of what one could do potentially as-of-right on the site, and what this development team has $I$ think pretty cleverly managed to do within the existing for the past, this doesn't feel at all to me like a max of the development site.

Just a few things on the -- on what the kind of the combination of factors that leads to hardship: So if one were to max out the development site, one would build a taller structure within the $C-2$ portion of the lot, as tall as one is permitted to go, which a C-2 district allows for 85 feet in height.

Now, I'm not saying that we could build 85 feet, and we haven't -- like the client has not asked me to max out development potential.

So, you know, we're not prepared to tell the Board, you know, what one could do, but you could imagine that the height would go up.

I believe that the unit count -- and this is not even extending the $C-2$ zoning into the Res B, but just with
its current configuration, I believe the unit count number is something around -- this is from recollection -something like nine units could be, again, as-of-right use. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, that's if you comply with the other --

SARAH RHATIGAN: The fact that they're asking -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- other requirements. I mean, that's one --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- number, but then -SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep, no, exactly.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- there's whole other factors which start chipping away at, you know, your ability to put in nine units or nine units that would be very, very small. So --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure, sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- obviously, you're going to
--

SARAH RHATIGAN: And so, I think --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- build units that are very marketable.

SARAH RHATIGAN: The other version of a story for
this structure would be the luxury homebuilder who converts this to a two-family, you know, uses provisions of the Ordinance that allow them to max out on the -- you know, 8.2, what is it the 8.22.d. and you'd get very large, luxury homes that are sold.

And one of the things that $I$ also just wanted to kind of add to the discussion is that, you know, the City of Cambridge is very interested in creating something less than luxury apartment homes.

And we -- this -- and let me also just say this is not to say that we have not heard our neighbor, and we don't -- you know, want to work with him. Because that's not the case at all.

But one of the intents and purposes of the Ordinance is to allow for the creation of quality housing. And the unit sizes in this project are modest.

That's not to say that they won't be in some way profitable. I mean, the developer's an owner of the residential real estate. So, you know, they want their buildings to succeed. But I just kind of want to -- I want to add this to the discussion. The fact that they're dealing with incredibly difficult preexisting, non-
conformities that are very difficult to work around, the fact that they are trying to preserve, you know, a significant older building and not, you know, demolish it and build more, are all things that, you know, the Board within its discretion has in other cases seen as valid bases for hardship and for, you know, bases for granting relief when appropriate.

I'm sorry, I spoke longer than $I$ wanted to. Andy said he wanted to add a few comments.

ANDY MARTINEAU: Yeah. You covered a lot of what I wanted to say, and just I'll underscore the point you made about this is not at all an effort on our part to maximize the zoning or to leverage the Board's ability to grant zoning relief to max out and do more than what we felt was a good project; you know, preserving the existing structure, creating seven quality units where currently, you know, effectively none exist.

And so, you know, that was really our approach and trying to work with what we have, as I said during the meeting.

A couple other points: We offered to remove the deck that Mr. Moore indicated was problematic, and he
declined. And so, that's, you know, something that we certainly are willing to continue to engage in discussion with him about, as well as his other concerns.

You know, we like to be good neighbors. We have a vested interest in being good neighbors. We invest in the communities where we have a presence. We try and work with our neighbors as best we can. And so, Sarah's right, you know; we're willing to continue those discussions.

And a note on the condition of the existing structure: While the outside is in seemingly okay condition, the interior is quite a different story. It's in shambles. It was not particularly well maintained, and by virtue of the hodgepodge of uses that existed there, the floor plans really make no sense at all.

And so, a lot of the economics if you will of the project relate to converting that incredibly problematic interior into our proposal, which, again, we're trying to manage the existing footprint and work within the existing footprint with some modest additions that allow us to create a project that we feel is of great quality, and in -- again, not leveraging the Board or the -- their ability to grant someone relief to do that.

And so, we're seeking zoning relief because of the circumstances that dictate it with the split zoning district, a landlocked parcel. And as Sarah said, you know, not attempting to instead max out the zoning by doing a very different project.

So we felt like this was a good approach, and something that we thought would resonate with the Board in that regard, and also the neighborhood. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, what gives me pause is that, you know, understandably it's going to be a gut rehab. You're going to have two all new mechanical systems, I mean from the foundation all the way up to the street.

But, you know, what can you do with this building without coming down and seeking relief from the ordinance? And, you know, if you make a business decision, yes, this property is available, we're going to offer $x$ number of dollars.

And again, these are all internal discussions that we're not going to get into, but you can say, you know, we can rehab this building, we can put in two units on the first floor, two units on the second floor -- well three units on the second floor.

I'm sorry, two in the basement, two on the first floor, three on the second floor, and then one on the third floor. Yeah, seven units does it. Well, will six units do it?

And what can we do without again coming in down and seeking relief from the Ordinance? What can we do -within the existing envelope? Can we make this a viable project?

And again, without getting into the forensics and without getting into all of the accounting of, you know, hard costs, soft costs, and so on and so forth, and what you want to get out of this -- you know, we're not going to get into that exercise, but to just say, you know, we cannot do this project if it becomes financially not viable, well, or feasible is the word that used in the pleadings here -- we have to take that, I guess, at face value.

But I went to 9 Rutland Street, and stood in their back yard, a lovely spot. And I went there at noontime. And I imagined that addition there with the decks and so on and so forth. And if I lived at 9 Rutland Street, I would object to it too. So -- because I think it takes away -- it severely impacts the quality of life at 9 Rutland Street.

That's my opinion.
And I think, again, I go back to what the courts have found, and that the Moores are asking us to protect their property rights, and to not allow this structure to be built there. So that's my thought on that.

Jim Monteverde, I'm going to ask you for your thoughts and wisdom.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Olivia, could you bring up the second floor plan, please?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You've got to speak a little closer --

JIM MONTEVERDE: The proposed?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to the mic, I think.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you bring up the proposed second floor plan, please?

I have two questions, in the spirit of compromise. Is it possible -- so I heard you talk about the -- actually three -- the eliminating the -- being willing to eliminate the deck?

In addition to that, is there a way that you could
foresee to reduce the -- how far you advance the addition on the -- it's page left toward the adjacent property? Could that addition on that roof be reduced?

ANDY MARTINEAU: That's something that we could certainly consider. I think in looking at it, there's a possibility that some or all of that roof deck would become perhaps interior space, rather than roof deck.

But that's something that we would certainly consider if that's something that, you know, would be -that Dr. Moore would be amenable to, and of course the Board as well as a compromise as you suggest. It's something we could consider.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And the third item is can you explain -- or if you did, I'm sorry, if you can repeat -- why the extension of a less restrictive dimensional provisions, the -- changing the zoning category -- is important to you? Or necessary for you?

ANDY MARTINEAU: Sarah, do you want to take that one?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure. Like -- I'll take that on. Thanks, Mr. Monteverde. This is one that we had a little back and forth with the Commissioner on as well. So the
extension of the zoning is critical to the unit mix that's within the portions of the building that are located within the Residential B district.

So if you just have the basement unit and the first floor unit, so we don't even have a second floor addition, that would be two units within a Residential B zone where the square footage of the lot -- of the portion of the lot that's in Residential B isn't sufficient to support two units.

So by adding the second level, we're actually asking for three units within that. So the extension of the zoning for that purpose is important. It also lessens the variance relief needed for the setbacks by extending the C -2 district out. But, you know, Dr. Moore has a very good point, which is it's not critical to it, because we still do need variances for --

ANDY MARTINEAU: Correct.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the side and the rear setback. JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

SARAH RHATIGAN: But we would need less of a variance. And so, it would be -- I mean, we haven't looked at the this yet, but if we were talking about bringing the
line of the second floor addition back further into compliance, you know, we could look at what that number -what that setback number would be if the $C-2$ extension were granted. I'm sorry if that was a little confusing there, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, we -- no, it's -- so those are --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Do you get the gist of it? You know, it could be - technically, we could become compliant in the rear setback under $C-2$ rules. I don't believe we can become compliant on the right-side setback, because that's already so close there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. But that could be a different -- a separate variance for a special permit. SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct, yep, yep. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so you could solve it -SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- without moving the zoning district? Okay.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct. But we do need -- just to point out, if we're going to have basement uses in this building, which we all -- I mean we all think is a good idea, and I don't think that Dr. Moore has concerns about
that -- we do need variance relief for the window wells in those locations.

We also need variance relief for the new exterior stair. That's a mechanical stair that's critical to, you know, getting to mechanical systems in the building.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
DEREK RUBINOFF: And exploring those changes. I mean, I think we could definitely get closer to compliance. I don't know if we would get all the way there. But it's something, like I said, that we're willing to look at.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thanks.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Where are all of the condensers going --

ANDY MARTINEAU: Ah --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- for the site?

ANDY MARTINEAU: We talked a little bit about that with Mr. Moore. There's some space towards the east in the back yard. I don't know if we can pull up the site plan, or should I share my screen? We haven't -- the short answer is we haven't designed all the MEPs yet.

You know, this is the approval phase of getting
the concept, early schematic plans approved like you do. And so, it's possible that some of them would be located on the ground towards the back where we have more space there.

Some of them could be roof mounted. There would certainly be an effort made to locate them away from 9 Rutland as the more likely impacted abutter.

But we just -- the short answer is that we have not designed the MEPs yet.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DEREK RUBINOFF: I might add that one of the advantages of doing a mansard is the ability to shield things that are on the roof.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim, anything else?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. No more questions from me. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any questions or comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. So I'd like to inquire about the deck that the doctor showed the view of from his bedroom. To do away with that deck would go a long way for me. But filling that in with living space to me is worse than the deck.

Is there a way that deck could just go away altogether and not be filled in with living space?

ANDY MARTINEAU: We'd have to look at it. I mean, I think this -- there are sort of two asks there, or two different asks of the deck, you know. As I said, we were comfortable eliminating that in the proposal as is.

If the ask is to eliminate -- both eliminate the deck and reduce the amount of the second floor addition extending towards the rear and side yard there, you know, it's just something that we would need to study to see what that looks like in terms of a unit functioning well.

And as I said, it's possible that some portion of the deck, if it were no longer exterior living space, would be converted to interior. It's something we would need to look at in greater detail.

ANDREA HICKEY: You indicated you sort of proposed to Dr. Moore doing away with the deck, and he declined. Did you propose doing away with the deck in place of filling that in with living space?

ANDY MARTINEAU: No.
ANDREA HICKEY: And is that why he declined? I'm just trying to understand that dialogue, and whether it
really was a give and take.
ANDY MARTINEAU: Yeah. So we met on site with Dr.
-- I met on site with Dr. Moore to review his concerns, which he reviewed in his presentation. And his concerns related to the second floor additions, if you will, were sort of two-fold. There was the interior living space approach his house, and then the deck.

And so, eliminating just the deck and not replacing it with anything, it would just become roof, or would stay roof for that first floor existing portion of the building.

You know, that was an attempt to sort of meet him halfway. And we just didn't get there.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. In my mind, I'm not ready to endorse the ask here. But I think if there was some revision that could address Dr. Moore's concern, I'd be much more inclined to approve it. That's all I have at the moment, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Andrea. Matina Williams, any comments or questions at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes. I'm in agreement with all the comments that we -- I am not ready to vote on this as of
now. If they can do some revision, it would be great. ANDY MARTINEAU: We're certainly open to that. So, I mean, I think I can see where this is heading. So if we want to continue, if there are no other comments from the Board or other members of my team and the public?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Jason Marshall, any comments, questions at this time?

JASON MARSHALL: I think Andy sort of went where I was going where it seems like there's been a lengthy conversation already, and sort of what's the line, "Everything's been said, but not by everybody."

Agree, I guess, if you want a short circuit if you intend to seek a continuance, that's certainly something that I would support. And I don't need to restate things that have already been said here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, you've heard from the Board, and I would not support the proposal that is before us. Anyhow, I really take pause on that whole unit there, the whole addition. Because I think it's going to have an adverse effect on the abutting property at 9 Rutland.
The -- Mr. Marshall is not available on February

23, so that Matina Williams, are you available on March 9? MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, I should be available on March 9.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Because I am scheduled for March 9. Jim, you're scheduled for March 9. Andrea Hickey, you're on for March 9 and Jason, you're on for March 9. So if, Matina, you're available on March 9, then all five members would be available for March 9, 2023. Will that work for you, Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sorry, just one moment. I'm checking my schedule. Thank you.
[Pause]
Okay. Yes. March 9 works for me as well.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter until March 9, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of March 9, 2023 and the date at 6:00 p.m.

That any new submittals be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the March 9 meeting. We would
ask that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered therefore, and that the waiver be in the file by 5:00 p.m. next Monday, if that's possible, Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And that any new submittals will also reflect a change in the dimensional form, and that also be submitted.

Is there anything else to add on the conditions?
No? So on the motion, then, to continue this matter to March 9, 2023 at 6:00 p.m., Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes. [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, this matter is continued until March 9, 2023 at 6:00 p.m.

Okay. See you then.
ANDY MARTINEAU: Thank you for your time. SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
(8:16 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, the Board will hear Case No. 201320 -- 34 Pearl Street.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening, again. Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law LLC, 12 Marshall Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108.

Thank you, members of the Board. I am here representing the owners of this property, 34 Pearl Street LLC. And with me are the principals of the firm Ryan Wittig is here and -- let's see. I don't see our others yet. But they will be joining us.

And I'm sorry, Phil, you are here. Phil Sima is the architect on the project. And Matthew Moore just signed on. Matthew, if you don't mind turning your camera on just briefly so you can say hello.

MATTHEW MOORE: Hey, everyone.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thanks, Matthew. Great. So thank you all. This is a project for a brownstone on Pearl

Street just outside of Central Square. It is in the Central Square Overlay District. It is a brownstone that is bordered on two sides by other brownstones, and it's in significant disrepair. We have some lovely photos in the application file to give you a sense of it.

I am going to first turn this over to Ryan. He's just going to say a quick hello and introduce their group.

RYAN WITTIG: Hello, Board. This is Ryan Wittig from Kinvarra Capital, the owners of 34 Pearl Street. Thank you for taking the time to hear us tonight.

As Sarah mentioned, the property that we're looking at now is currently a single-family brownstone rowhouse. Pretty large, existing 27, almost 2800 square feet of existing living space, which is -- you know, obviously very large.

Our proposal is to, you know, build out the -finish the basement, which is currently not habitable, and make that livable space and create two units, which are still very -- you know, good-sized units that are capable of supporting a family.

Everything we're doing is within the existing footprint of the building, and very much in keeping with the
neighboring buildings. And I think, you know, we'll get into that later as we go on with the presentation. But we're active in the area.

We have another case that we're hearing tonight with another property that -- it's the corner of Pleasant and Franklin that we'll be discussing in a few months and many more to come. We've been in front of the Board twice in the past, and once again appreciate your time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I just have --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
MATINA WILLIAMS: -- one question.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, Ms. Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Was this -- is this the property
where the sign is taped to the door all the way up the steps?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, I'm sorry, who's speaking?

MATINA WILLIAMS: It's Matina.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I'm sorry

MATINA WILLIAMS: I was asking [if this is] the
property where the sign is taped all the way up the stairs on the door?

RYAN WITTIG: Yes, it is. That's correct.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay. Because it was -- first
it was blank. It was blank for a few days, and then it was -- then you added the wordage, which you'd have to climb the stairs --

RYAN WITTIG: It was --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Hm?
RYAN WITTIG: We put up -- we put up the sign the day before it was required. Then there was a big storm that ripped it off the next day, still within the time frame.

So the wording is up there today, and it's been that way for 13 days. You must have walked by it, the one -- the eight-hour stretch where it was blown off the -- blown off the door and we had to put it back on and tape it on there? It's -- you know, there's no easy place to put it there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I mean, I saw the sign there anyhow, so I -- at least it was I think a good faith effort to post it and to maintain it. So.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, Matina? No?
Okay.
MATINA WILLIAMS: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sarah, anything to add before we go to the Board?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Yes. Olivia, do you mind putting the slides up for me? Thank you.

MATINA WILLIAMS: -- being requested, as you mentioned -- I'm sorry, I was switching audio. You can hear me? Yes?

PHIL SIMA: Yes, yes.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. A conversion from a single to a two-family dwelling and some alterations to a non-conforming structure with the addition of a deck.

Next slide, please?
So the variance request is for -- there's a new egress window well located in the front setback, which we'll show you, and then the rear deck -- the second floor deck; essentially anything -- anything constructed at this property is going to be non-conforming as to setbacks, because the lot is extremely narrow.

And so this rear deck does violate a setback.
And the special permit that's requested is for some new windows that are at the rear side for the basement or lower level and as well as some skylights on the roof that are located within setbacks.

And just to be extra cautious, we included those for zoning relief, although the Commissioner thought that they may not be necessary, technically.

I am going to ask Phil to take it away with the planned review from here.

PHIL SIMA: Sure. Good evening, everyone. My name is Phil Sima from Balance Architects. I'm actually wondering if we could go to slide no. 8, which is the existing conditions, just to familiarize everyone.

So as Ryan mentioned, all the way on the left of the plan, that's the existing basement. It's not habitable. We do have some existing windows in the front, but we're going to be making some new openings towards the rear.

First floor, it was the living areas with two sets of stairs and a kitchen. The plan is a gut reno of all interior partitions. Upstairs you have bedrooms that will all be renovated as well. And then on the third floor there
were more bedrooms. And there is an existing fire escape that we will keep in the project.

If you go to the next slide, Slide 9, you can see really the extent of modifications on the exterior are changing the existing windows, and then creating some additional window openings.

And if you go to 10, you can get a sense of what the building looks like now. You know, it's got a mansard roof, rowhouse, existing brick masonry.

And then keep going again, you can see kind of the condition. Really not in good shape at all -- at least, you know, all that trash will be removed.

And these are some of the interior photos on slide no. 12 So amazing kind of high ceilings, but in a really bad state of disrepair.

And then the proposed plans I think start on page 6. And we'll show you what we're looking to do. So Unit 1 is the basement and ground floor. On the plan left, you're looking at the basement. And we'd be doing an egress window well at the front of building, which you can kind of see in the plan bottom left.

So that's one thing we're asking for as well as
two additional egress windows in the back in the primary bedroom there, where we have new openings to meet code requirements for natural light as well as egress.

And then having a second means of egress from the family room that's down there to go out to the back yard. You can look just over at the next plan, which is the first floor. This is where you enter the building. So you come up the stairs, there's the unit entries to both units. So for Unit 1, this is the primary living space, as well as a bedroom.

There is a door off the kitchen out to the back, where we're going to rebuild the existing deck in the same dimensions that it is now.

And then can you go up to Unit 2. It's a very similar layout to Unit 1. So when you're up there you have one bedroom, and then your living area, kitchen, really nice stairs going up to the top living areas with the skylight above those stairs, two bedrooms, bathrooms.

And then a proposed roof deck off of the rear of the building. So that's -- I think you can look at the next ones, the elevations. But again, just showing some of the -- in the front and the bottom left that new window well.

And then on the side elevation, the new egress window and window for light, as well as some change to the grade to allow for emergency egress from the basement.

So that pretty much summarizes the plan. And Sarah, I don't know if you want to take it from here? SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I was just curious as -- and I didn't see it -- if the, any other buildings have roof decks, or is this the only one in that block proposed?

PHIL SIMA: There are other buildings with roof decks. In fact, the adjacent building if you're looking at the elevation right now to the right, there is a roof deck that's pretty much the same size that we're proposing. And I don't know, Ryan, if you know of any more?

RYAN WITTIG: Yeah. Yes, yes. So both of our abutters actually have roof decks, including the one that we share our kind of physical roof with. And then several neighbors down to going away from Central Square also have roof decks.

So the roof decks off of the back, off of the second floor are a very common feature in the neighborhood, and it's not out of keeping with anything else going on in
that stretch of street.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. There's a lot going on.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And if I could also -- I also
wanted to just clarify, Mr. Sullivan, that it's a rear deck, it's not technically -- I mean, it's on the roof of the lower portion of the building, but it's not, you know, on tippity top of the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Anything else to add at this point?

SARAH RHATIGAN: If I could just do a brief discussion of the public outreach and support that we have? If you look at Slide 14, there was the efforts to outreach to neighbors, which these folks did with a -- you know, "Dear Neighbor" letter to everybody on the abutter list.

And then they were -- they did have some nice letters of support which should be in the file from the abutters on both sides, the owner of the building two down on the corner, and there may be one other letter. But I know that you do have those in the file.

They also appeared before the Central Square Advisory Committee on December 7 -- evening of December 7 -and I believe that there was a letter of support that was
filed this morning. So just in case Board members haven't seen that.

But they voted unanimously to support the project, and we're pleased to see that it was going to be -- you know, the building was going to be renovated in two units there, instead of just the one. Just a benefit to the community.

And just one last comment, which is that we also did outreach to the Cambridge Historic Commission, and Charlie Sullivan helpfully provided some very good masons as recommendations to the owners because of the lovely brick work that they'll be working on, you know, for storing.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. Okay. Let me take it to the Board. I have no questions at this time. Jim Monteverde, questions or comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Just one clarification, and

Attorney Rhatigan, I commend you for your stamina this evening. It's very --

SARAH RHATIGAN: [Laughter] Thank you.
JASON MARSHALL: -- very impressive. I -- this is
an easy one. Just want to clarify that so the bases for the hardship, it's not just the size of the lot, correct?

It's also topography and shape, and you understand
I ask because the courts have interpreted that provision literally to not include size? So I just want to make sure there are other bases there?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, thank you. And the issue is my stamina that I didn't say those out loud. But I think you'll agree that those were written in our application, and they certainly are true, because you can see how the topography, you know, slopes down, and the way that it does that, you know, creates some -- you know, existing complications with access to rooms, et cetera.

And the -- you know, preexisting it's the narrow width of the lot, and it's also that the building takes up, you know, the whole lot on both sides -- long and narrow buildings, so there's -- there's no way to really do much, without being within setbacks.

JASON MARSHALL: All right. That's helpful. And I'm not going to let you take away anything from your stamina, so thanks for your advocacy.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Lauren Reznick? Hi, can $I$ be heard?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

OLIVIA RATAY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Identify yourself for the record.

LAUREN REZNICK: Yes. My name is Lauren Reznick. I'm the owner of 48 Pearl Street, which is seven doors down from this property. I happened to notice when $I$ was out in the neighborhood the sign that was up on the door. And so, I wanted to hear and learn more about the project.

And from what I've seen tonight in the presentation, and I reviewed the application materials, I think this looks like a great project.

Living on this particular road, there's 10 houses in this row altogether. There's five brick, of which this is one and then there's five wooden ones, where I -- where my home is.

And it's really great to see that there's investment going on in this neighborhood. So I would just like to say that $I$ think this is a great project. I'm very supportive of it. I think the plans look good.

I hope the restoration work also includes maintaining the beautiful slate on the mansard roof, so I would just say that. But $I$ just wanted to hear about this and then offer my words of support.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence dated November 15.
"To whom it may concern, Lubavitch -- L-u-b-a-v-i-t-c-h of Cambridge owns the property of 38 Pearl Street. We support the renovations our neighbors ta 34 Pearl Street are proposing. We wish them success in their efforts to enhance the property, which will also elevate the neighborhood.
"Best regards,
Hershey (phonetic) Zarchi, Z-a-r-c-h-i."
Also in receipt of correspondence from Mr. Jeffrey
Dunn (phonetic), 32 Pearl Street.
"My name is Jeff Dunn, and I own 32 Pearl Street, which directly abuts 34 Pearl Street for 39 years.
"I'm writing to lend my support for the renovations of the house at 34 Pearl in order to convert it from a single-family to two separate units that the owners intend to build for sale.
"I've walked the property with the owners. The current sale (sic) of the property is an absolute disrepair and needs extensive renovation.
"We've had an opportunity to review the plans of the new building. I am in support of their project and think it will be a great improvement for the neighborhood, and I support their needed zoning approvals, and hope it is approved.
"The petitioners have been attentive to concerns raised by the neighbors and have taken our feedback into consideration with their plans.
"For all these reasons, we hope you will vote
favorably upon this application, and find in favor of the owner's proposal.
"Regards, Jeff Dunn."
Also in receipt of correspondence from the Community Development Department, the Central Square Advisory Committee.
"Central Square Advisory Committee met on Wednesday, December 7 to discuss BZA special permit application for 34 Pearl. The applicant proposes to renovate an existing single-family dwelling and convert it into a two-family dwelling on Pearl Street.
"The proposed improvements to the building include construction of a new bedroom in the raised basement of the structure.
"The existing rowhouse is preexisting, nonconforming and requires variances for the construction of a new window well in the front yard setback, and a second floor rear deck in the side yard setback.
"Members of the Committee supported the project proposed. Committee members appreciated the desire to maximize light and air to both units in the project and supported the concept of slightly increasing the intensity
of use at this location, in order to support more housing units approximate to Central Square.
"Many members emphasized that many existing structures on Pearl Street have similar upper-story decks, as being planned at this location, and felt that on balance, the requested relief was benign and was outweighed by the positive impacts this proposal would bring."

There were no public comments, and it is signed by Daniel Messplay, M-e-s-s-p-l-a-y, AICF.

And that is the sum and substance of any correspondence. I will close public comment portion and send it back to Sarah for any parting words.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Not unless there are any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, any questions by members of the Board?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim? Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: No further questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, let me make a -take it to a -- make a motion, then. So this is a two-part motion here on the variance. Let me make a motion to grant the relief requested, as per the drawings submitted, entitled, "34 Pearl Street" by BA, Balance Architects, initialed by the Chair, and also incorporating the supporting statements and dimensional forms as part of the record.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner from providing code-compliant two units, which would be an asset to the community to provide housing in this section of the City -- which is much-needed -- and reference the comments from the Community Development Department, the Harvard Square Advisory Committee, supporting this project and the benefit that it will bring to the street, and also to the neighborhood.

The Board finds that the proposed renovation and conversion to a two-family dwelling does comply in almost all respects to Zoning.

The only element would be a new egress window well located -- new egress window well; it's well located, but it's also a well -- within the front yard setback, which will provide, obviously, egress, also light and fenestration into the basement unit and a second floor rear deck located within the side setbacks.

The Board notices -- notes that the property is constrained by being a rowhouse, having no side yard setbacks at all, and as such inherits the nature of such, and that a deck -- second floor deck of this nature, which is an asset to anyone who occupies this unit, would require some relief from this Board, and is encumbered by the current ordinance.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the shape of the lot, which is very wide and narrow. Also the fact that it is encumbered by having zero lot lines and a very narrow siting on the lot.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

The Board finds that relief will allow the creation of a unit in the basement, which is an asset. Also provide adequate light fenestration, and that the roof deck
also provides much-needed outdoor space, especially in light of the fact that a singular outdoor space is much desirable with regarding -- with an eye on the current COVID-19 situation, and people desiring outdoor space and also the distancing.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide housing for individuals of all incomes levels -- and again, notice the Harvard Square Advisory Committee applauding this particular development and the -providing additional housing in this section of the city. On the motion, then, to grant the variance requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Five affirmative votes;
the variance is granted, with. Now for the special permit, which I believe is really just windows within the side yard setback. Is that right, Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: And skylights, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application and the supporting statements and the dimensional form regarding the location and number of windows.

The Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that it will have absolutely no impact on the neighborhood; in fact it would be an asset to the neighborhood.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, and the Board notes the letters of support from abutters.

And again, referencing the comments from the Central Square Advisory Committee.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, and in fact that the addition of the windows to the location proposed and the size would be an asset to the health and safety of any person who occupies such unit.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, as stated in the previous motion that it would allow for the creation of livable, up to date units, which are desirable in this section of the city -- in fact, throughout the city, and it would be repurposing of this building, which will be an asset to the city.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted. Okay.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JASON MARSHALL: Thank you all.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's keep it rolling.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Good luck.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have an interest in
that.
(8:43 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. The Board will hear Case No. 201486 -- 359 Cambridge Street.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law LLC, 12 Commercial Street, Boston, Massachusetts. Still the same person.

Thank you for your patience, everyone. Just I am here on behalf of the owner of the project, 359 Cambridge Real Estate Group LLC, and Emmanuel Doe is with me from the ownership group.

And this is a project on Cambridge Street in East Cambridge. And the -- let's see, Olivia, would you mind bringing up the slides for me?

This is a variance application to convert what is now a basement office to a residential apartment, and it involves slightly extending a side entry port.

Next slide, please? Thank you.
So this is the building along Cambridge Street.

It has two retail spaces on the first floor that you can see in this picture.

And then apartments -- there are two apartments on the first floor at the rear of the building, and then one, two, four apartments -- you know, two on each floor up to the third floor.

And then you can't see from this photo, but you'll be able to see from the drawings that the land kind of slopes down so that there's a full walkout basement.

And that basement area has been used as an office for many years, and it's accessed by a very kind of narrow sidewalk.

Next slide, please?
So here's a picture of the plot plan. As you can see, Cambridge Street is on the left. And the part of the building that we were looking at is sort of the wider front section of the building, and then the back ell extends back.

And, you know, the lot is constrained by some narrow setbacks to the main part of the building. And then as I mentioned, the topography slopes down a bit. And there's sort of a less than compliant setback at rear as well.

And all of this goes to one of the reasons for
requiring a variance in this case. We're not really increasing much at all, square footage.

Next slide, please?
However, because we are creating a new apartment in the building by converting the basement, Section 5.26 with the Ordinance requires that whenever you are creating a new apartment, either by subdivision or conversion of space within a building, if it's with a preexisting, nonconforming structure, then you need to get a variance to do that.

So that's kind of the bulk of our variance requests. There are two bases for -- also for -- well, yes, there are two other bases for requiring a variance that are pretty minimal, we think. One is that there's a small net increase in the gross floor area.

Once we relocate some mechanical space in the basement, so that it frees up a little bit of living space for the new apartment. And then also the extended bit of the side porch adds a little bit of GFA. But it's really quite minimal.

Also, the extended portion of the side porch is
located within the right-side setback -- also requiring relief.

So I'm going to look through these slides with you pretty quickly but slow me down if you need to. Page 5, please? I'm sorry, page 6? So that's just showing the unit necks (phonetic) here.

So what I wanted to do is to focus on the area of the building that is changing. So it's really the basement where the space is being converted; its use is being converted. And then the first floor just the little porch area that I'll point out.

So this is the proposed lower floor plan. So you can see the front of the building at the lowest level is the mechanical space for the main part of the building -- gas meters, et cetera. There's a little storage area that's for one of the retail spaces.

And then you can see the conversion allows for a three-bedroom unit that will be, you know, because the land slopes away, it's a full unit with nice windows and a door out from the study out to grade.

And next slide, please?
And this is showing the proposed first floor plan.

And the only thing that is changing here of relevance with this application is if you look at the top left, you can see there's a porch. There was an existing porch there, and then we're proposing to extend it just a bit towards the left rear of the plan here. Just to give it a little bit more space; someone could maybe sit out there with a rocking chair.

## Page 8?

This is showing the elevations. So let's see, the right side of the building -- yep, so these are sides of the building that would be a little difficult to see for anyone, except for maybe the direct abutter. But the right-side elevation you can see the extended porch, roofed porch, which is what's adding to a little GFA.

And then the other thing I would point out to you -- actually no, I'm sorry, that's the only elevation that has a noticeable change that requires any relief.

Next page, please?
The existing floor areas -- I'm sorry, we can skip
over 9, 10 -- yeah. And if you could go to page 12 for me? Here are just some -- some pictures of the -- of the rear area where the existing porch is, so that you can get the
sense of what it looks like around the back of the building, which you would not have been able to see if you were driving by.

And then I'm going to just show you some context pictures as well.

Next page please?
So that's the front view we saw, 14. Sorry, I'm sorry, next page? This is just giving you a view of where it's located within East Cambridge, the East Cambridge Corridor looking towards Boston.

And then next slide, please?
Here you can get a view of what the building looks like in context. And you can see the top roof that we're seeing is the main central portion of the building, then it slopes down from there.

And next slide, please?
And here's a view as well. And you can see that the entry to the side alley is on the right there for how you gain access to the basement area.

Next slide?
In terms of neighbor outreach, similar to other folks who I've worked with, we've reached out to neighbors
with a letter that was sent out to all the folks on the abutter's list.

There was also direct communication between Mr.
Doe and the immediate abutters, and I'm sorry, when I finalized these slides, at this point we actually hadn't gotten any letters back yet but since then, there have been several abutters -- direct abutters -- who have submitted letters of approval, which should be in your file. There have been no objections or concerns raised throughout this kind of neighborhood outreach.

Also, back in September, before we had filed the application, we presented to the East Cambridge Planning Team and had a nice presentation to that group. There were no objections that $I$ can recall. I mean, I think that people were really pleased that the project entailed maintaining the existing street front retail, which is the case.

And then after that meeting, Mr. Doe has met with Jason Alves, and I'm not sure if there's anybody else there from the East Cambridge Business Association. And they had a good discussion about the project, and I believe Mr. Alves also wrote a letter of support for the project.

So in terms of the variance relief, you can just move to the next slide if you like, just so we're looking at a picture, to see how it works there.

EMMANUEL DOE: Sure.
SARAH RHATIGAN: In terms of, you know, the variance relief, the project -- you know, the lot size is constrained on the side lots. There's the topography sloping down so that it, you know, it creates the need for, you know, for that extended porch area that's one of the sources of the variance.

And, you know, the other aspects of this requiring a variance is if you're going to work within an existing -preexisting, non-conforming structure and try to convert, you know, with an office use to residential, there is no way to do it unless the Board is willing to grant this relief, which in this case $I$ think we've proven is not presenting any difficulties or any negative impacts on the neighbors, and in fact, you know, supports some strong policy positions of the East Cambridge Planning Team and supporting residential apartments.

So we're pleased with the support that we've gotten there.

EMMANUEL DOE: Just of note --

SARAH RHATIGAN: And -- yeah.
EMMANUEL DOE: Sorry, just to add one thing for the Board is that we are not changing --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you could just introduce yourself for the record, Mr. Doe?

EMMANUEL DOE: Oh, I'm so sorry. My name is
Emmanuel Doe on behalf of Cambridge Street Real Estate Group. Thank you for the Board's time and attention this evening on this matter.

Just of note to add to Sarah's comments, we are not changing any of the commercial footprint for the two retail spaces that you see in this picture here, which is iFixOnWheelz and Amaya Comics bookstore. They've had their space preexisting. They will continue to enjoy the same space on an ongoing basis.

And then to Sarah's other point, with regards to direct abutters, there are e-mails and letters of support from the building on the left, which is the gray building, as well as the building on the right, which is the brick building occupied by Colman Electric. Sorry. Go ahead, Sarah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. I was going to ask if there were any questions from the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it up to the Board.

Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Just if I could ask you to confirm, what is the basement area being used for at present?

EMMANUEL DOE: The basement area currently is being used as a -- it's a foul commercial space.

SARAH RHATIGAN: So does that mean it's not being used at present?

EMMANUEL DOE: It's not being rented currently, yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's been vacant for a while, is that correct, Mr. Doe?

EMMANUEL DOE: I don't know exactly how long, but a couple of years.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

ANDREA HICKEY: And when it was last rented, what was it -- what was the use? What was it rented for? I don't mean the amount; I mean what was the use?

EMMANUEL DOE: Yeah, I -- it was an office of some sort. I don't know; it preexisted me, and I was asking around as to what it was used for. But it was even Jason Alves of the East Cambridge Business Association said it was some sort of a -- you know, chiropractor's office or something that was in the back or something. So he wasn't quite sure. But based on my discussion with him, he had said that he had recommended tenants or potential commercial occupants to the prior owners of the building, and over the years, and sort of nothing has taken place.

ANDREA HICKEY: So how long have you owned this building?

EMMANUEL DOE: I've owned the building since August of 2022.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay, great. That's all I have. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions or comments at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, anything?
Questions?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any members of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6 and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.

There's nobody calling in. We are in receipt of communication -- Linda Ciampa, 371 Cambridge Street.
"It was nice to speak to you weeks ago, and I spoke with my siblings about your proposed renovations. We don't see any downside to your plan. As long as the work is done during reasonable hours, so our tenants are not disturbed.
"Given the housing shortage in the area, this seems like a good use of the space. We wish you success with your plan."

There is correspondence from Mark Rogers.
"On behalf of my father, Manny -- Manuel Rogers
and myself -- we are in favor of the requested variance related to Case No. 201486.
"My family controls the abutting properties located at 350-400 Cambridge Street. Mr. Emmanuel Doe, the owner of 357-361 Cambridge Street has assured the community that he will maintain the retail street presence for both the existing frontage units, presently, iFixOnWheelz and Amaya -- A-m-a-y-a Bros. Comics. The unit and the rear of the property is better served through an occupancy other than retail."

There is correspondence from John Moore, who's the owner of 349-351 Cambridge Street.
"Thank you for letting me know about your construction and variance application. I think it would be great for the area to have an additional residential unit, and we are wholly supportive for you to convert the basement commercial unit into a residential unit."

And from the East Cambridge Business Association dated December 14:
"Dear members of the Board, I am writing on behalf of the East Cambridge Business Association to lend our support for the application by 359 Cambridge Realty LLC,
which will allow the conversion of rear-garden-level
commercial space into residential space.
"The space in question has been vacant for a number of years, while commercial space like this can lend value to the business district, this space can likely be more impactful as a residential unit.
"There are two other retail spaces in the building currently occupied by iFixOnWheelz and Amaya Bros. Comics.
"These spaces are very important to the commercial continuity of Cambridge Street and should be preserved and enhanced at all costs. We all -- we ask that no work being done in the rear unit should negatively impact the viability of the street-facing units or impact the local businesses occupying those commercial units.
"Thank you for taking the time and consideration. I will hope you find favor with application.

Jason Alves, A-l-v-e-s."
And that is the sum and substance communication. I will close the public comment part and send it back to Sarah.

> SARAH RHATIGAN: No further comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, any members of the

Board have any questions or comments, or ready for a motion? ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Seeking relief under 8.22.3, which is a non-conforming structure and 5.26 , which is a conversion to additional dwelling units.

Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application, the drawings dated 07/11/22 by A-n-z-z-a Architects, initialed and dated by the Chair, also incorporating the supporting statements and the dimensional form.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner from repurposing this particular part of the building from an outdated and really not a very useable commercial space into a viable and much-needed living space, which is desirable in the area.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing the unique shape of the lot and the topography, where the building grade drops off toward the back, and as such that this particular section of the building is considered above
story grade.
And that the Board finds that the shape of the structure is wide at the street, providing only a narrow, four-foot-wide passageway to access the rear of the building, resulting in a very restricted access for a commercial tenant, and as such not very viable.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. We note and incorporate the letters of support from abutting properties, and also from the East Cambridge Business community, noting that the addition of a rental unit is very desirable.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board notes that the intent and purpose of this Ordinance, Article 1, states that it's to encourage the rational use and development of land throughout the city, and to encourage appropriate economic development, which this proposal does create quality housing with close proximity to public transportation. It benefits the property and also the neighborhood.

That there was no substantial impact on abutters, the established neighborhood character or is of mixed commercial and residential use in East Cambridge, and this proposal will not cause any hazard or negatively impact the -- any traffic or patterns of access or egress. There would be no result in the use of activity not otherwise permitted in the ordinance.

And that there would not be any impact regarding the traffic, population density, blight, and pollution.

And also that the granting of this variance will encourage the preservation of and the reuse and repurposing of an existing structure at this particular section.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes,
the variance as per the application is granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that's it, Sarah?
SARAH RHATIGAN: That's it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Good luck, everybody.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. We'll see you
again. Happy holidays.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, can I get five?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, absolutely.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. The Board will take a slight recess for a moment or two. And when we return it will be 188-194 Green Street.
(BREAK)
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Who was asking, was it Andrea? OLIVIA RATAY: Matina.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, Matina.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Should we take a roll call, Mr. Chair?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: I'm here.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Matina?
[Pause]
What time is it?
[Pause]
Matina, have you returned?
[Pause]
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good. Okay. I think we're all
back. All right.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yep.
(9:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me call Case No. 201972 --188-194 Green Street.

RYAN WITTIG: Hello, Board. This is Ryan Wittig again calling from -- coming in from Kinvarra Capital. We are the owners of 188 and 194 Green Street. It's myself and Matt Moore. I do not have --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're on mute. Well, we can't --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ryan, you muted yourself. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can't hear you. Somehow you muted yourself. Nope, not audible.

RYAN WITTIG: Olivia, are you able to pull up the first slide of the property?

JASON MARSHALL: We missed all that, Ryan. We couldn't hear you.

RYAN WITTIG: Okay. Switching audio inputs.
Hello, Board. This is Ryan Wittig again coming from

Kinvarra Capital. We're the owners of 188-194 Green Street, which is currently or previously and currently a four-unit residential building.

The reason we're here is because we have -- we're doing extensive gut rehab on this building. And we're enclosing the rear porch on the second floor and building out living areas in the basement.

The basement -- nothing in the basement requires relief. However, some of -- as we're, you know, doing an extensive gut rehab on this building, we're adding some new windows into the building to, you know, bring light and air into the building, and also just windows in the bedroom that are required for legal bedroom units.

So we've got a few windows that fall within the side and rear setbacks. So we're asking for a special permit on those.

So I guess I'm not really sure the best way to go about this, Olivia. If you could go onto the diagram that shows the windows, we have a -- keep going, it might be towards the end. We had a -- there it is, yeah. I think I have 15. Yes. If you could expand that out and Zoom over -- pan over to the left.

So as you can see, on the left-hand side we've got
two windows on the rear portion that used to be the former enclosed, or the former roof deck or rear patio, and then three windows on the rear corner there. There were already existing windows there, but we just enlarged them a little bit, so that's what triggered the special permit on those.

And then we have one on the third floor on the right-hand side, which is in the bathroom. It's a newly added bathroom.

So previously the building was a four-unit building, but there was no living space in the basement. And the upper units had -- you know, pretty old -- old layouts from, you know, being built in the 1800s.

The layouts -- it only had one bathroom in each unit, and it didn't have a good number of bedrooms or, as we're reconfiguring this, each unit will have three bedrooms, three bathrooms. So they're good-sized units, and they'll be able to support families if they so choose.

And, you know, the reason why we just -- we need these windows is for the bedrooms and for the living areas to get additional light.

We've met with all of our direct abutters to
discuss our plans. Nobody had any concerns revolving around the windows.

Olivia, if you could pan over to the right a little bit? Yeah, to show the layouts.

Yeah, so these -- the red hashmarks show the property setbacks. Originally, we weren't even sure if the area in the rear that was formerly the open rear deck was going to require relief, because we're essentially enclosing it and already opened an existing opening. But, as you can see on the -- if you scroll down a little bit, Olivia, just there's no issues in the basement. Two of the windows -yeah, the bottom left-hand side starting in the rear, these two bedrooms in the rear, on the second floor the windows are in the rear yard setback.

And then all of the windows along the left-hand side, because the way the property juts in in the back, it's kind of an odd -- a very odd-shaped lot. It's not a square lot.

The setbacks reset along the left-hand sides, and we're just barely grazing it on the left corner there. They're just falling within the setbacks.

So, you know, it's -- in the grand scheme of
things, we didn't think that was -- we didn't think that required any setbacks to begin with, but after further examination it was determined that they were. And here we are. I don't know, you know -- Olivia, if you could scroll down, we can go through a few more of the pictures. Yeah.

So there's -- there's the front of the building.
I don't think that we're changing it. It's out of conformance.

Next picture, Olivia?
This is the rear, the former open deck that we're enclosing and adding living space into.

Next picture?
And this is the right-hand side of the rear, so showing that there are already preexisting windows there, we're just kind of changing the configuration, and there were some windows on the left-hand side here as well. We just were adding that window in the bedroom space, but just into the setbacks.

And one more, Olivia, the next picture?
This is on the rear left-hand side, similar -similar story here. The only window that we're adding that's out of conformance is the rear window going towards
the -- above the existing or preexisting door, that we actually filled in.

Go ahead and go to the next plot plans just after that, if the Board wants to see it. It's the plot plan which shows kind of how it's an odd-shaped lot, which gave us some challenges from a configuration standpoint.

And -- yeah, I don't really know what else is worth mentioning, beyond the fact that we've already met with all of our neighbors, and no one had any concerns with the window placements.

It's in keeping with the neighborhood, actually. Our neighbor to our left, 196 Green Street, they've already enclosed their rear porch, and they've done exactly what we're showing here already. And nobody has any concerns about the placement of our windows invading their privacy or anything like that.

So with that, I guess I'll open it up to the Board for any questions or comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, it's obvious the building needs something, and it looks like you guys are doing that something. So --

RYAN WITTIG: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions. Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments? JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Nothing from me, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, questions or comments?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions at this time. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Jason Marshall any comments or questions?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from the Central Square Advisory Committee.

RYAN WITTIG: Oh yeah, sorry. We did -- we also met with the Central Square Advisory Committee, went over the plans. They agree that the building's in obviously previously very rough disrepair. They unanimously supported our proposal as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
"Central Square Advisory Committee met on Wednesday, December 7. The applicant proposes to renovate an existing multifamily residential building with four dwelling units.
"The proposed renovation will include the addition of windows on a side of the building which has a preexisting, non-conforming side and rear yard setback, which requires a BZA special permit.
"Members of the Committee were supportive of the project. They appreciated that the project would help to renovate a house in the neighborhood and bring much-needed housing units near to the Square.
"Committee members also felt that the requested relief was benign, and the resulting project would not adversely affect the existing neighborhood character. There were no public comments on the 188-194 Green Street project.
"Respectfully submitted for the Committee,
"Daniel Messplay, M-e-s-s-p-l-a-y."
And that is the sum and substance of any
correspondence. And we will accept and include as part of the record the petitioner's presentation that he spoke to abutters, and there was no objection to what was proposed.

I will close the public comment part, send it back. Anything else to add or -- before we take it to the Board?

RYAN WITTIG: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by the Board, ready for a motion?

ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Seeking a special permit to allow for adding new windows to the left yard and rear setback, the Board finds that under 8.22.c, that the project will comply with the dimensional other requirements of the ordinance, and meet the requirements for a special permit under 8.22.2.c to allow for new, modified or enlarged windows, doors or skylights on exterior walls, nonconforming structures within the side or rear setback that would not otherwise be allowed under 8.22.1.d with such new
modified and/or enlarged openings will not be detrimental to abutters or the district.

The Board finds that traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that the changes proposed are consistent with a modest change to a preexisting, nonconforming structure that will bring the property's uses into conformity with neighboring, multifamily residential use. There will be no change to access or egress patterns.

The Board finds that the proposed windows will actually largely be unseen by neighbors and are necessary to allow adequate light, air and code-compliant living areas for the bedrooms.

The Board finds that the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that the proposed renovation and conversion will be a welcome improvement benefitting from the abutting properties and the neighborhood.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare to the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city; in fact, it would be greatly enhanced by having adequate windows, positioned in a better area to allow better light and fenestration into the unit.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow the petitioner to modify, upgrade the properties to provide adequate, code-compliant air fenestration and light coming in to the rooms.

And the Board also notes the letter of support from the Central Square Advisory Committee applauding the efforts of the petitioner to provide much-needed housing units in an upgraded nature.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor on
the condition that the work comply with the drawings as submitted dated 06/07/22 initialed by the Chair, and also the supporting statements.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you, all, see you in a month or two. Thank you.
(9:26 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: the Board will now hear Case No. 201758 -- 204 Fayerweather Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good evening, members of the Board. For the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Mass Avenue in Cambridge.

I'm appearing this evening on behalf of Karl and Keya Dannenbaum, $D-a-n-n-e-n-b-a-u-m$, and the Dannenbaums are the owners of this property, and it is located on Fayerweather Street in West Cambridge.

Four Board members out of tonight's five may recall that in May of this year, this case was heard by you to approve renovations and additions to the property.

And around the time that the decision was issued, the Dannenbaums also got additional exciting news they were going to have a new baby.

So they set about making some modifications to the
interior of the plan, none of which would have a zoning implication, but the new bedroom that they added has led to decision to try to reorganize some of the windows on the non-conforming walls.

If Ms. Ratay could direct the Board's attention to page A 2.3, I think this elevation tells nearly the entire story. On one side of the sheet you see the window -- the fenestration organized in the plan that the Board approved in May, and on the right is the modification to that plan showing the relocation and reorganization of some of the windows.

So we're asking for a revision to the special permit to allow for this type of alignment. This is the non-conforming wall.

There's one other provision associated with the application, and that has to do with creating an entry over -- covering over an entrance to the basement.

And page A 2.1, I think that elevation identifies what's going on on the left -- again, what was approved in the May special permit. You'll see the slider, and you'll see on the left on the dotted lines the stairs going down to the basement.

After some analysis of the groundwater and other factors, there was a determination made by Joseph Kennard, the architect, and others, that it would be extremely helpful to be able to put a covering over that entry. So you'll see on the right a covering.

And the decision was made to extend the roof of that covering over the slider. More of an aesthetic concern, but nonetheless because it creates an overhang, the combination of the covering into the basement and the covering over the slider is 78 square feet.

So the request also includes an additional 78 square feet to allow for this modest change to be approved, a lower entry.

We have Joseph Kennard, the architect, present, happy to answer any questions or review any other aspects of the plan if the Board would like.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. I have no questions. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thanks.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me go to public
comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are -have no corporate sent to the Board, so I will close the public comment portion.
[Noise/side conversation]
Is somebody calling in? No, okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think Mr. Wittig may have had
his --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, still?
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- mic, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Anything else to add, Mr. Rafferty or take it to the Board?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, thank you. Nothing more. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by the Board, or are we ready for a motion? JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It appears to me that the changes are actually quite necessary, and if this was the original proposal, I would dare say that we would probably approve it as part of the original application that was before us. So I think that the -- what is before us is somewhat de minimis.

So let me make the motion to grant the relief requested for the special permit on the condition that the work comply with the drawings that are submitted, supporting statements and dimensional form initialed and dated by the Chair.

Under 8.22.d special permit, the Board finds that it may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that
any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family may only increase a preexisting dimensional non-conformity but does not create a new dimensional non-conformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required -- and does find -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the enlargement or alteration satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operations of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be affected by the nature of the proposed use, and realignment to changing the windows, any covering over an entryway, which has a direct benefit to the property.

The Board finds that there would not be any
nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, in fact it would be enhanced by the relocation of these windows, and especially the covering as per proposed from the elements.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district or derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to improve their property, provide adequate light and air into the property and also protect the -especially the basement area by putting a covering over entryways as a protection from the elements.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much and have a wonderful holiday season to all Board members. I hope to see you in the New Year. Bye.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
(9:34 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 200907 -- 50 Pemberton Street.

ERIC PARKES: Hello, good evening. Can you see me?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

ERIC PARKES: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can hear you.
ERIC PARKES: I can't see myself. Good evening, I'm Eric Parkes, an architect. I'm working with Creighton and Andrea Eldridge, the owners of 50 Pemberton Street. They own a single-family, and the scope of this, we're seeking a special permit because there are some dimensional non-conformities to the structure.

And the project entails enlarging an existing rear basement, their cellar window, so that it can serve as an egress -- emergency egress route, code-compliant route -the finished basement -- like to have an additional way out.

The reason we're here is there are two issues: One is that the wall that the window is located in is within the rear setback, so it's the issue of moving, enlarging the window in a setback.

And the other issue is that by creating a window well outside or excavating about three feet, we're lowering the effect of the average grade, from which the building elevation is measured.

So we're effectively increasing the building height by about three-quarters of an inch, which it's already non-conforming with respect to height, so we're looking to increase that under I think that's 8.22.2.d for that extra three-quarters of an inch.

We feel that this is -- just to make our sales pitch -- that the elevation that this is located on is not visible from a public way. The only person that would be able to see it at all is the rear neighbor, who I believe has written a letter of support for the project. And I think there are also letters from the neighbors on either side.

It won't -- obviously won't increase the nature or intensity of use of the site. It won't lead to increase in
traffic or noise. Or I don't believe there are any hazards that will be created or increased because of this. So I think it's a pretty minimal tweak to the building. And it would allow the owners another way out from the basement. They have two young children who would like to be down there as a safety measure.

I think that's the gist of it in a nutshell, if you have any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, no, pretty simply.
ERIC PARKES: Oh, I can pull up -- do you want me to walk you through the drawings? I didn't do that. The floor plan shows the location of the window in the back there, and the rear elevation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Jim
Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan, I have no questions.

Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
So it appears nobody is calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence dated December 6 from Peter Kim.
"We are writing in support of Creighton and Andrea Eldrige's petition to be allowed to put in a basement egress window as they finish their basement.
"As the house behind them at 37 Fairview (sic), we may in fairness be the only house that would even see or notice this, and only if we were looking down from a higher floor over the fence.
"This should absolutely be allowed; it's coming to the Board only on a technicality. To deny this would be an abuse and a misinterpretation of the intent of the zoning.
"Thank you.
"Peter \& Sibylle Kim.
"37 Fairview -- Fairfield Street."
The Board is in receipt of correspondence from Jennifer Lawrence dated December 7 .
"As an abutter to the property owned by Creighton and Andrea Eldridge at 50 Pemberton, I have recently received a notice for renovations being proposed for their property, specifically 'to enlarge an existing cellar window and construct a window well at exterior to provide an emergency egress from the basement.'
"I would like to go on record in support of the proposed renovation for three reasons: (1) I understand that the window and window wells area in question would be almost entirely out of sight to abutters and other pedestrians, so no aesthetic impact; (2) Taking steps to make the property safer by adding an emergency exit seems like a useful and wise update; (3) The Eldridge family have been outstanding neighbors who care deeply about the community, and I cannot imagine them undertaking a project that would have a negative impact.
"Thanks -
"Jennifer Lawrence

55 Pemberton Street, Unit 1."
Correspondence from Lissa Emily Ravicz, R-a-v-i-c-
z.
"I would like to give a comment regarding BZA Case 200907, 50 Pemberton St.
"I fully support the petitioners' request. Their request to enlarge an existing cellar window and construct a window well is very much in keeping with the neighborhood vernacular and the existing structures in the neighborhood."

And that is the sum and substance of correspondence. I will close the public comment part, send it back to the petitioner. Anything else to add?

ERIC PARKES: I don't believe so. I'm happy to walk you through plans if that is helpful. It's pretty straightforward otherwise.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All set from me. Any questions by members of the Board, or are you ready for a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to enlarge the existing cellar window and construct a window well at the exterior to provide emergency egress from
the basement, seeking relief under Section 8.22.2.d.
And I'll make a motion to grant that the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached singlefamily dwelling or two-family not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board is required and does find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood. And that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met. Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or
substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board notes letters of support from abutting properties, especially the property in the rear, which is in full support.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, in fact with this proposal it will be greatly enhanced, especially a safe exit from the basement area.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to improve their property, provide adequate air, light fenestration into the living rooms -- livable rooms and their structure, and also to provide a safe egress -- entrance and egress out of the basement area.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested
on the condition that it comply with the drawings as dated, special permit for basement egress window, designed by Andrea $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{o-s-c-h-w-a-n-a-z}. \mathrm{I'm} \mathrm{going} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{butcher} \mathrm{that}$, I'll just spell it, and dated October 27, 2022, initialed by the Chair, also incorporating the supporting statements and dimensional form.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the motion.
[All vote YES]
And on the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

ERIC PARKES: Thank you, folks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. And that completes tonight's agenda. Thank you all.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you, goodnight, have a good holiday.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And great holiday to all. Stay
well.
[9:44 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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