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Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the October 26, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.

Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted by the Massachusetts Court, and approved by the Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation at meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until
it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board members attendance and verify all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Bill Boehm?
BILL BOEHM: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Virginia Keesler?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Daniel Hidalgo?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Michael LaRosa?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: And Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.
We have no continued cases this evening, so we'll just jump into the regular case Agenda.
(06:32 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: The first case I'm calling tonight is Case 243960 -- 1815 Mass Avenue. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this matter?
[Pause]

No. Is this all we have? Yeah. I guess it is. [Pause]

Just give us a moment. We have a Post-it note that says this case will be continued, and we're just looking for either a letter in the file that says that, or for the proponent to step forward and speak up and tell us the same. So give us a moment until we find our paperwork.

DANIEL HIDALGO: I see a letter in the PDF that was posted -- I don't know if that's what you're looking for.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Does it have a page number?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. It's on page 6 of the PDF. It says it's a letter from Michael R. Dolan. It's a Brown

Rudnick header there.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. It says
requesting a continuance to November 9. Is that possible?
All right. Let me make a motion.
Let me make a motion to continue this matter to

November 9, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of November 9, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also that if there are any new submittals, changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements that those be in our files by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter until
November 9, 2023? Voice vote by Board members, please?

Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yes, in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Five in favor. The matter is
continued. And we have nine minutes to hang around.
Anybody have any good jokes, good stories? Have any
predictions for the World Series? What do you think about those Patriots?
[Pause]
Tough crowd tonight.
BILL BOEHM: Well, I was getting warmed up with a joke, but I'm not sure it could be told in public, so I'm going to hold on to it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Laughter] All right. Thanks for trying.
[Pause]
BILL BOEHM: Jim, it seems like not a lot of uptake on your quest for dormer renters so far?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I received none. So I was going to send out -- and thank you for reminding me. I will send out a follow-up message asking for any -- repeating requests for any comments. And then --

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I -- thank you for sending that. I actually just haven't had time to, like, sit down and go through it carefully. So -- but my plan is to respond, you know, this weekend. So thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yeah. One was just to make sure people got it --

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and see if folks are interested in reviewing and commenting on. So yeah, do that as you get time. But I'll send out a follow-up message and we'll see if we can move that along.

THEODORE GALANTE: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. My name is Ted Galante. Are we waiting for the 6:45 time frame for us to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: We are.

THEODORE GALANTE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So five more minutes, and you're on.

THEODORE GALANTE: Perfect. Thank you so much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Sure.
[Pause]
(6:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, 6:45. Next case is No. 238938 -- 10 Hilliard Place. Is there anyone who'd like to speak on this case?

THEODORE GALANTE: Good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Galante, yep?
THEODORE GALANTE: Yes. Good evening. My name is
Ted Galante. And I will speak on the case.
First of all, thank you all for attending and hearing our case. Our client, Rox and Fernanda, are -travel a lot for work. And I think they are traveling currently in D.C. They said they would try and join, but I'm not certain that they can do that.

But the house is a simple, single-family house in Residence B. It's literally directly across the street on Mount Auburn from both our office and from my personal house. I live above our office on Mount Auburn. And it's -- you know, right behind on Hilliard Place, where I can see
it.
But it's a single-family house that is existing nonconforming for the most part of the house. And the intent is to renovate and turn it into -- continue to use it as a single-family house, and keep it historically preserved.

And so, the idea is to work pretty much within the envelope of the house, extend one portion of it on the second floor, and use the entire basement, right? expand the basement as it were.

And I -- okay, thank you I was going to share my screen as well.

So the idea is to -- the foundation of the house is kind of crumbling. And so, the idea is to rebuild the foundation of the house.

One portion of it is a newer portion built in the '70s, and the rest of it we would take the masonry, the rubble masonry apart putting strong concrete foundations, reclad the foundations with the rubble masonry to keep it historically appropriate. We've been working with Eric Hill quite a bit at Cambridge Historic.

And then replace the windows. There's one window
that's in a existing nonconforming zone. Actually part of the house goes over the property line on that side from the existing, and so, we're replacing that window with a more appropriate window, preserving as much of the structure as we can and repairing and replacing.

The intent is to remove all fossil fuels from the house, which is a significant thing for our current day, insulate the house, because it's currently only partially really insulated, so kind of super insulate the house from the roof all the way down. And use -- you know, it's going to be an all-electric house at that point.

So really that's the overall scope and scale of the project, and we were seeking a special permit to increase the FAR by 187 square feet and replace a window in the nonconforming zone of the two parts and pieces that I think we wanted to come before you for.

I think that's the general discussion. We can go through the presentation if you want, either I could share, or you can. Basically, single-family house that the firstfloor will be used as it's currently used, only a little bit more modified on the interior.

The basement there's a crawl space that we're
turning into functioning space. Rox as a woodworker has three -- they have three children -- three boys, and they want to teach them all woodworking. So it's really a family room kind of place.

There's a guest bedroom for Fernanda's parents, and it's going to be their place, right? They're moving from Boston to Cambridge to raise their kids.

So the first-floor is really just a -- this is the basement plan, and it's -- we're just opening that up. The first floor is also just being opened up with an office for Rox to work from home.

And then the office -- sorry, there's a kitchen and dining room and eating area with a view down to the living area down below.

And then the second floor $I$ think has a few bedrooms. There's four bedrooms, one for each child, and then the master suite that's toward the bottom of the plan here.

And actually, the window that's in the bottom left, this -- yeah, that window right there I'm circling in red -- that window is the existing, nonconforming that we're replacing with a -- we're proposing to replace with a new
window.
And then the outside of the house largely stays within the aesthetic that's currently there. We are modifying -- we're adding a dormer between two other dormers on the lower portion on the front of the house, where this piece is, again, right here between these two dormers to get a reasonable amount of headroom in there. We're increasing the dormer.

Again, Historic Commission is comfortable with that. We've been approved for all of these items.

And then to the rear of the house, we're adding a head-house and access up to the roof up above, because they intend to have a roof deck on the rear facing out to the rear of the house.

So this portion -- this side of the house is
facing the rear. There's a -- reusing historic portion of the house. The lower portion if I circle that and this portion exists. We're modifying it slightly, and I'll try a green color. This is a portion that we're building over the roof of the existing house to create a third bedroom -fourth bedroom, I'm sorry.

And then the head-house up top gets to the roof.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any questions from members of the Board? Please say your name first, if so.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I believe you said this was the case, but just to clarify, is this version of the plans the same version that was approved by the Historical Commission a year ago?

THEODORE GALANTE: This version of the plan is being reviewed by the Historic Commission again, because a year ago we didn't know we had to change the basement walls structurally. And so, we've been working with Eric and we're going back to change the basement walls.

But otherwise, everything else remains the same.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Thank you.
THEODORE GALANTE: And I'm sorry. I neglected to mention that we have -- in my possession we have six letters of support from immediate abutters. I believe this is the seventh letter -- I could be wrong about the number; it may only be six.

But I understood there was another letter that we were getting. So it's either in your hands or it's six total, I don't recall.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: I'm going to jump ahead with that seventh letter. Perhaps it's that seventh letter, which is someone who's not happy about this because of the roof deck. And they say they didn't have a chance to meet with the owners.

I was just wondering if you know if they have had a chance to meet with the owners or if that concern was raised with the owners?

THEODORE GALANTE: I believe the owners have met with all of the neighbors, all of the abutters, and have had extensive conversations. They've held get togethers at the house when they first bought it, and they've met with them a few times in between. And I believe that's one of the reasons they have such a level of support.

And I think the presentations that the owners have talked to the neighbors about all of the work they were doing, and the neighbors were -- so they were offered many times, I think for meetings such as that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So just to clarify it, let me quote directly from the letter that Bill is referencing.

This is dated October 22, from Godfrey and Janet Amphlett. It reads that they are abutters -- I'm going to summarize -of 10 Hilliard Place.

They received a notice of this hearing by mail, and their concern -- again -- is that regarding the new roof deck, which directly overlooks their yard and could significantly impact their privacy. And then later -they're traveling, so they're not able to attend.

But it says -- I'll quote this one:
"Our experience in this neighborhood is that neighbors wishing to get approval from abutters generally reach out directly to those individuals to gather input and facilitate the approval process, so we must express disappointment that this did not happen in this case."

So --
H THEODORE COHEN: It's curious to me, because I know that there's -- the letter is dated September 24 of 2023. So I'm just hearing about this letter. So I apologize I'm not familiar with this conversation.

But I know that September 4, Rox and Fernanda sent letters to all of the abutters discussing the project and asking for their feedback.

So I did -- I'm not aware of this.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So -- and there's been no attempt, then, to address this particular folks who have written in -- the Amphletts -- about their concern about privacy?

THEODORE GALANTE: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. This is the first I'm hearing about the letter.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
THEODORE GALANTE: And had I known earlier, I would have discussed it with Rox and Fernanda.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
THEODORE GALANTE: I didn't know about this.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MICHAEL LAROSA: I had two -- I don't know if I'd say concerns, but I guess so. One being the letter that was sent out if I'm reading it correctly says, "The project would increase allowable gross floor area by 187 square feet in the basement."

But that seems a bit misleading, because you're adding 300 square feet on the second floor, or 299 to the second floor, and then creating a larger, third-floor deck.

I mean, the letter that was sent out kind of
minimizes -- at least from what $I$ can see from the plans with the overall project is. It looks like we're getting rid of some nonconforming $F A R$ in some places, then creating 300 square feet new and netting it?

THEODORE GALANTE: Correct. We are shifting FAR around. You're absolutely right. We -- there is an existing nonconforming loft and some other spaces. But yes, we are moving FAR around and not eliminating space, but in fact making the house fit the family, because it doesn't currently. So that was the intent.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah. I just my -- I guess my concern was like I said it seems as bit -- you know, one part says you'll increase gross area in the basement, which actually isn't included in that net number.

And then the other part says the existing nonconforming will not be changed, except for modifying a dormer window. But $I$ don't know how that equates to 300 square feet of the new space.

THEODORE GALANTE: I'm not sure how the letter was -- I don't know how the letter was written; I just know that the neighbors sent it out.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, no, I'm not putting it on
your house. I just -- where one neighbor has concerns about privacy, and then that's how everyone signed off on that letter. I don't know -- I'm wondering if they realize the scope of what's --

THEODORE GALANTE: I think the neighbors were sent this package with the letter. So I'm just looking at the letter now. "Cambridge will issue the building permit after knowing that the abutters - you - have been informed of the facts" -- and so that's what -- so I think it was this with our drawings. Because I remember giving them sets of drawings to send out. I didn't personally receive one, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I -- excuse me. If you'd like, let me just quote from the letter that's being referred to here. And this is a form letter that was sent out, addressed to, "Dear neighbors" from R. Rox Henderson and Fernanda Sakamoto, copied to you, Ted?

THEODORE GALANTE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Dated September 4. And it says,
"Here are the facts: The project is an alteration and historic restoration of an existing singlefamily residence, and no proposed change in use.

Second, "The existing non-conforming single-family
residence will not be changed except for modifying an existing dormer window within the existing non-conforming side set back. The arched dormer window in the rear porch overhang will be modified into a low-profile standard skylight."

Third, "The proposed project would increase allowable gross square feet by 187 square feet in the basement. This increase would not be viewable to abutting properties because it is below grade.
"The proposed house design removes fossil fuel --" On and on.
"Renovation includes replacing historically inappropriate windows with new historically appropriate --" And, "The project includes stormwater infiltration --"

So what it doesn't talk about is the rooftop deck, and it doesn't talk about the couple areas of the elevation, which I will confess to having been confused. It took me a while to flip between the existing elevation and the proposed elevation.

THEODORE GALANTE: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Because $I$ could not find out in
plan, because there's no existing set of plans, where in fact these additions are being made. You mentioned one in the elevation, where there seems to be a porch roof, and you're infilling that.

THEODORE GALANTE: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think the representations that were made to the neighbors that they signed off on are not quite the whole enchilada. There's more to it.

And I think that's what we're all alluding to or commenting on, and some in particular have to deal with a neighbor who has a concern about privacy.

THEODORE GALANTE: Yeah, I guess I could say that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: So -THEODORE GALANTE: -- yep. Go ahead. JIM MONTEVERDE: I suggest -THEODORE GALANTE: Mm-hm. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and only suggest would you like to continue this? Would you like to have the owner revise their letter to their neighbors, being a little more specific, and I guess your plans and elevations would help in showing exactly what the additions and deletions are in
terms of area, and mentioning the roof deck.
And if you choose to continue, also take the
opportunity to encourage either yourself or the owners -the proponents -- to meet with the Amphletts and address their concern. Is that an option for you?

THEODORE GALANTE: Is it possible to get a copy of that letter? Because I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Which one?
THEODORE GALANTE: The one you reference about -JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, the Amphletts?

THEODORE GALANTE: I have the one that Rox and
Fernando sent out. I certainly have that. And I have the six copies here. But $I$ don't have the letter that the neighbor is concerned about the roof deck that they haven't been notified.

So I wonder if it's possible to get a copy of that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. We could do that.
DANIEL HIDALGO: It seems the posted material on the website as well. So it's actually public already.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Is it there? Yeah.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yes, it is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We weren't sure what the date, if it was -- if it came in later than is all posted. But if you can find it online, then please --

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- access it there.
DANIEL HIDALGO: I'll take care of that. Yeah.
Okay. Sorry. Thank you for that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So if you will entertain a
continuance, I would suggest -- and I'll offer, and this is for members of the Board, a couple of conditions and that the owners' letter of September 7 be revised to more carefully delineate all of the changes that are being proposed -- additions and deletions.

That the plan set that you have be updated to include either an existing plan -- you need some way to help us understand where areas internal to the building are being modified that bring you to this 188 square-foot increased area.

So whether that's an existing set of plans, and we could compare it to the proposed plans or whether you take -- I'll leave that up to you.

And then also if you could annotate the existing
-- the proposed elevations with just the sections that you in fact are proposing to modify of the existing building. Is that possible? I'm just trying to --

THEODORE GALANTE: Yes, that's possible.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- make it clear to everyone what the scope of work actually is, because it's obviously not clear to several people.

THEODORE GALANTE: All of that is possible, Mr. Chairman, yes.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Can $I$ ask you one other, kind of an aside question procedurally?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Because I know this came up before on a recent other case. I don't know how to put it. Are we allowed to simply get rid of existing nonconforming FAR and then build it elsewhere? I thought Olivia had an issue with that on a previous case.

OLIVIA RATAY: That's correct. You can't take nonconforming FAR and place it elsewhere.

MICHAEL LAROSA: The only reason I'm bring it up now is if we're going to send him away with some comments, I figure we might as well comment on that. Because it looks
like that's what is being done on the second and third. I don't know if that -- is that accurate, Ted?

THEODORE GALANTE: We are taking out some space that is an existing loft, for example, and using that elsewhere. But I guess I really don't know how to make this house work if we don't do that.

And I think that's -- you know, one of the struggles here. That -- you know, Rox and Fernanda have been working diligently to get this house to be as conforming, historically appropriate, you know, insulated, eliminated the gas stove and all of the fossil fuels and they're trying to do the absolute right thing here. And really a simple ask is for 187'.

So I don't know how to get the house to work without shifting, you know. I don't know what else to do. And the house is lying fallow now, and it's been there for a year. And I think the neighbors are not happy about that.

So we can try. I don't know what else -- I don't know how else to do it. But I'll -- we'll work on it is what I can tell you.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah. I'm not trying to kill the whole thing. I honestly just figured bring it up now
because I didn't want to send you away with comments about the letter, and then you come back in a few weeks and that issue arises.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, so -THEODORE GALANTE: Right, but if I can't -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- let's --

THEODORE GALANTE: -- to your point, thank you, Michael. I guess to your point if I -- what I just heard is we can't use the existing nonconforming square footage. From a design perspective, we tried for months to get it to work that way.

We can't get it to work that way if we're being told that that's not appropriate, that we can't do that, then that does kind of kill the project, right? We don't -I don't have a path forward. At least I haven't seen one yet.

And I'm trying to help Rox and Fernanda get into this house, which has taken over a year of their time when they really wanted to be in it.

So I'm trying to figure out, you know, sort of on the spot of the moment --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you just give an example of
where you're -- just so we can confirm where, again, since there's a lack of clarity on a couple issues, but if you could please -- by plan or elevation show us --

THEODORE GALANTE: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- an area that you're trying to delete from the existing noncompliant square footage, and where you're trying to move it?

MICHAEL LAROSA: I think page 9 might be the most helpful. Sorry. This is Mike Larosa.

THEODORE GALANTE: That's correct. So this is where we are taking space -- you know, this existing loft is inside of a room that has certain amount of volume to it, but it's really not appropriate for the house with young children.

And so, we're trying to eliminate that 205 square feet and I guess one could argue we're trying to put that 200 square feet over in this zone in the new proposal, where, you know, it has 1,452 square feet and we're turning that into 1,700, but that 200 is sort of over here.

So, you know, that's the sort of internal maneuvering that we're doing to get to a certain place. We're also taking up mechanical spaces, because the
mechanical spaces are not calculatable, and we've redesigned the mechanical systems to be energy-efficient, and to work within the overall space.

So that's part of our calculation where there's currently a centuries-old boiler in the basement, we're trying to put more energy-efficient and upgrade the building for the 21st century. And so, that's why. So those are the changes, if that helps.

BILL BOEHM: Jim, may I just chime in here?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: I don't think that Michael is necessarily saying you can't ask for that additional square feet. If I'm understanding the point, it's that it has to be calculated correctly; that it's not a matter of just swapping FAR; you are indeed adding square footage, which may be acceptable.

I don't know if that bumps it. I'm sorry, I don't know the case well enough -- if that bumps it from special permit to variance or not, or if this is a variance case. I'm sorry to confuse things here.

But my point is, it doesn't -- I don't think you're being told you can't do that addition, it's just that
we have to be clear that what you're adding isn't, you know, added square feet, not simply sort of a shift within. Does that sound --

THEODORE GALANTE: Thank you, that helps.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think the case we might have seen before is where someone had space under a roof that by the definition of gross square footage you should count. And they were trying to convince themselves that they could say, "Well, we won't use it, so we won't count it." But that's the part you can't do.

So when you plan -- we were looking at the loft -if there's a loft there, it's not going to go away. You're not going to delete that space. You just need to update your arithmetic so it's reflective of all of the space that you have.

And the way I see your dimensional form, you are already, as your advertisement says, you're an existing structure that exceeds the allowable FAR.

THEODORE GALANTE: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're over the gross floor area already. You're already over the floor area ratio, et cetera, et cetera. So shifting -- you know, space or -- not
shifting space around, but adding spaces by itself not a dilemma, unless it comes out to be such a number that we think it's a detriment to the neighborhood, but --

So all we're asking you to do is please be clear. Don't be deleting space that actually is there and it counts by our Ordinance. Just be clear on what's being added to the existing.

THEODORE GALANTE: Okay. That's great. We can certainly clarify that. And $I$ apologize if there's any confusion. And we'll go back and redo the math and make that -- sort that out.

But the example that I gave -- and maybe I'm not clear, maybe I'm not understanding exactly, but this loft is in fact going to go away in the new proposal.

So it's currently -- the FAR is currently like a 0.48 and we would be taking it up to a 0.5 . So I don't know how to not count that space, because we -- it is existing as part of the 0.48.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It gets wiped out. It gets demolished in your renovation?

THEODORE GALANTE: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So it does get removed.

So it just needs to -- again, all $I$ can suggest is a nice, simple arithmetic chain that -- and plan chain that says, "Here are the pieces that are being removed" so we can understand why and "Here are the pieces that are being added" and "here's the net gain."

THEODORE GALANTE: Okay.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I'm also just trying to understand a little bit better the change in height that's indicated. I understand you're still underneath -THEODORE GALANTE: Yep.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: -- the maximum in the Ordinance, but I just wasn't totally following that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: If I read the height, and assuming that -- if I read the dimensional form, it basically says, "The requested condition is 33'." VIRGINIA KEESLER: Mm-hm. JIM MONTEVERDE: And allowed is 35.' VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: So there's no problem there, unless --

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes. And understood. I guess it was just $I$ was feeling like $I$ wasn't sure how that was
quite aligning with what $I$ was seeing in the plans. So it was more just a general comprehension question. JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm just looking at the -VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh -- I'm looking at the proposed elevations. And as long as we can all concur with Ted that his arithmetic is correct --

THEODORE GALANTE: The building is very short. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- or ISD can -THEODORE GALANTE: Yeah. The existing building is very low.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. But you have window wells that are being added, et cetera. So I just want to make sure all those have been factored into the height, and you're up to the top of the rooftop apartments.

THEODORE GALANTE: Yes. The average height is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So please, in everything else, you'll check for us, if you could please confirm that as well, and confirm by the Ordinance your method of measurement for the height. All right? I am going to -THEODORE GALANTE: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- propose a continuance.
November 30? And all five of us have to be there.
THEODORE GALANTE: That does work for me, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Does that work for all
members of the Board? Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Yes. It works.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes. That works for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yes, that works for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Yes, that works.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, that works.
Okay, November 30.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So let me make a motion to
continue this matter to let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to November 30, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of November 30, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be
obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, if there are any new submittals, changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements that those be in our files by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

And a couple conditions/requests: We request/suggest that the letter that the owner had prepared and sent out to the neighbors be revised and resent so that it is clearer, and it does list all of the modifications that are being made or proposed, and that that be sent out to the neighbors.

And then in particular, the neighbors Godfrey and Janet Amphlett be contacted and spoken with and discussed with them their privacy concerns and see if you can come up with a solution there.

And I guess, just on your existing proposed elevations, if you can just highlight what areas of the
façade in fact you are modifying.
And then just confirm your square footage
tabulation that we just went through about what you believe is being deleted from the current great square footage and what's being added, so we can simply find it.

And please also confirm your method of measuring the height, and do look at the Ordinance in terms of how you measure the height. It's not from the proposed first floor, it's from the average mean grade. Please be careful about that.

Okay. On the motion to continue this matter until November 30,2023, a voice vote by the Board members please?

## Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: The case is continued.
THEODORE GALANTE: Thank you, everyone. Thank you
for your time and for your feedback.
(07:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-243959, 30

Somerset Street (sic). Is there anyone wishing to speak on this case?

ESME GREEN: Yes, hi. I'm the owner. My name is Esme Green. I live at 30 Sunset Road.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. Hello.
ESME GREEN: Yeah, hi. I think I'm being joined by the architect who's working with us. And -- yep, I see him down there. It's a beautiful neighborhood. We love it.

I've been living here for -- since 2016. We're raising our daughter here. We love the neighborhood. Everyone here is so friendly; we know everyone which I don't think you can say in Cambridge.

But we do want to make some improvements and repair some things that don't work so well for us. So we're hoping that you see favorably upon us tonight.

So thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you tell us what you're -show us what you're proposing? Why you seek the relief you need?

ESME GREEN: Yeah. I'll let Michael do that part, if you don't mind.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MICHAEL KIM: I can do that. This is Michael Kim, Principal of MKA Architecture. We are a repeat -- or Ms. Green is a repeat client of ours, and this is our second project in their very small house.

The -- let's go to the next page -- I'm not sure I can rewind (phonetic) this. Okay.

The original house is the blunt rectangle. The house was expanded probably in the late ' 60 s or ' 70 s with rather inferior construction, which is now settling into the ground.

So we are proposing to rebuild the foundation, rebuild the ground floor family room place and rebuild the master bedroom on the second floor, with a small addition on the second floor.

So next slide.
Actually, next one.

So the area that's shaded on the right currently has a flat roof with a deck that has not been used in all the time we've been looking at it. And it features a sliding glass door that has been inoperable for years.

So it's kind of an eyesore. So being we're rebuilding the foundations, we want to expand the area of the master bedroom.

This area is within the setback, and the existing house is slightly above the FAR. This additional 133 square feet will take it slightly further above the allowable FAR. So that is the relief we are seeking.

Next slide.

The left elevation has no change. The right elevation, the area shaded is the elevation of the additional area. It should be noted that it is only going up to the -- it's directly above the foundation of the floor below, which is existing.

So we are not going further into the setback, we are just adding mass on the second floor within that setback.

Next slide.
The rear elevation shows that additional small
area added to the bedroom, which really enables them to get their own bathroom, and an even average-sized closet, so they no longer have to share both with their daughter -- and having a daughter myself $I$ can't imagine the horror of sharing a bathroom with her -- but yeah.

The house currently is some 1,800 square feet. It's going to be some 1,900 square feet; very small and the additional will be very modest.

Next slide.
The photos of the existing house, the bottom right shows the existing deck and the area in question.

And let's see next slide. More shots of that deck. You can see the top left; the existing 1970 addition has a flat roof. We would be putting gable roof on it. But lower than the peak of the existing gable roof in the front portion of the house.

And I think the remaining slides are the application form and so forth.

So I'd welcome any questions. Oh, and we do have a letter of support from the affected abutter. To the right, a -- Ms. Vicki Mistacco, who is a friend and neighbor of the applicant and a beloved former client in the early
days of this firm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We have that letter. Thank you.
MICHAEL KIM: Yes. And we have been in
discussions with her.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? Okay. If not, I have two just so we're clear.

What you're seeking relief from is -- saying the FAR -- the existing FAR is already beyond the Ordinance, for your noncompliance and you'll just go slightly beyond that.

So that's the relief you're asking for for the gross floor area, also the FAR gross -- yeah, the ratio also increases beyond the allowance for the Ordinance requirement for the lot.

And then your addition on the second floor is within the side yard setback. Correct? Those are the two pieces you're seeking relief on?

MICHAEL KIM: That is correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. Any more questions from members of the Board? If not, I will go to the correspondence we have in the file.

And again, Board members we have two letters that
may not have -- you may not find in the electronic file, because they came in late yesterday.

I count three affirmative, no one objecting, and we have Ann Tennis from 71 Griswold. Property abuts 30 Sunset, and they endorse the changes. Again, I'll summarize.

And we have Mary Hooker on October 25, 55 Griswold and is in support of the above-mentioned case.

And then we have Vicki Mistacco, a next-door neighbor at 28 Sunset lending her support for the petition. So that is the -- those are the final -- those are the correspondences we have in the file.

I'll open it to public comment.
Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Phone lines buzzing? No. No one's here. Okay. I will close public comment. Any discussion from members of the Board?
[Pause]
Nope. Let me just remind us all of the criteria. This is a special permit, with criteria for the special permit. And it appears the requirements of this Ordinance cannot or will not be met. I think that's correct.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access would cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change. I don't think so.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses as permitted would be adversely affected. I think not.

Nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare. Not.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. So I personally, Jim Monteverde, think we comply with all of those. If any Board member has a comment about those, please speak now.

Otherwise, I will move to make a motion. Are we ready, members?

COLLECTIVE: Ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. The Chair makes a
motion to grant relief from the requirements of the
Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional
Requirements, specifically the total gross floor area and the ratio of gross floor area to lot area.

And the setback, also the Dimensional Requirements -- the right-side setback, we'll grant relief from that as well.

And the special permit conditions that we just went through: Requirements of the Ordinance cannot be met. That's correct. Traffic generated, would cause congestion, hazard. It will not.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses would not be adversely affected It will not.

Nuisance or hazard -- detriment to the health, safety and/or welfare. It will not.

And for other reasons impair the integrity of the district. It is not. So it complies with all the criteria for a special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Green Perry" prepared by MKA

Architecture, dated 09/08/23, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting
statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members let's take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief.

Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor for the special permit. Relief is granted. Thank you.

ESME GREEN: Thank you.
MICHAEL KIM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(07:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-182164 -- 21

Mellen Street. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this case?

RICHARD XIAO: Yes. Hi, everyone. My name is Richard Xiao, and I'm the owner. So how to share the slide? Olivia?

Hello. Hi everyone. Yes, thank you.
Okay, so this is the -- I'm the owner of 21 Mellen Street, which we purchased from Lesley University. And this is -- maybe you can start with slide 13, the photo, so people -- yeah.

Yep, all the different -- yep, this slide. Yep. Thank you.

So this is three-story building, and the current views are the three-family. And in the bottom in the red right frame, that's showing the lower level, which is currently used as office.

And the entire lower level is about kind of about half of the area has been finished, and the other part is unfinished area.

So my plan is to finish those unfinished areas, and everything done will be within the wall, and nothing changing regarding the FAR or anything else, just finishing some unfinished areas.

Maybe you can put up the floor plan. Thank you.
Okay, trying to make it bigger. Yep. Okay.
On the -- sorry -- on the right side of the slide is the existing area, which is -- currently the finished area is the top left corner. The laundry area is finished, and the bottom right corner, the user office: That area is finished.

And also the hallway between the wall and on the left side in the bottom by the staircase and going to the first floor. Yeah. Those areas are currently finished areas.

The last area, which includes the utility area on the right side and also part of up area is unfinished. So.

And the plan is to -- I'm not going to remove any load-bearing wall, just finishing up adding some partition
walls on the top. You can see -- maybe you can zoom out a little bit? Yeah.

So make one bedroom on the right -- top right corner, and the living room under it near the bathroom, in that area. And changing the bottom, which is currently the office, and then make it a different -- another bedroom. And the other place that is a closet or the hallway.

So everything done would be within the current unit.

And then maybe we can go through some photos. Yeah, just quickly go through the photos. Yeah, anyone. Yeah. That's the front of the building.

Again, in the lower level it's showing the bottom.
And within the red boundary. So everything is aboveground, the lower level but not necessary (sic) basement.

The next picture?
This is the office. And has two windows on the front. And the front entry is also considered the entry door. And there is a walkway with a ramp from the driveway to the front entry.

Yeah. Next picture?
This is -- when you go inside looking inside to
outside, that was the front door back for the lower level. The next one?

And this is the office, office space. It's
currently a finished area. I'm going to change this one, the same room for a bedroom. Maybe hiding some closet here.

The next one? Oh, that's still office space.
Continue? Yeah.
Last, the right side of the building. And you can see some electronic panel meters and gas meters. And also, you can see the entire lower level on that -- for the right side. Yeah. There's two windows in the front, three windows on the right side.

And next one?
Okay, that's the side door going back. And going to the laundry area from the side. There's three doors on this lower level, and also two windows you can see down further behind those two side windows for the inside, which is currently unfinished area.

Okay, next one? Yeah.
And this is the -- from the inside of the side door. And there is about 1' lower below the ground here. Because the ground is not level.

If you can show slide 12 in addition -- number 12.
There is an elevation -- I don't know -- yeah.
Okay. Maybe you can find it later. It's on my screen.
Yeah. We can just go quickly through the slides, and I can show you. That's the back door. So if you go inside this door there's a laundry area.

You can go to the next one.
Yeah, that looks from inside out.

Next one?
Yeah, that's the back of the building, and showing some part of the neighbors' property.

The next one?

And this is the laundry area, which is currently finished as well.

Next one?
Yeah. Those are the areas, which are currently not finished. And you can see the door is to the back and near the laundry area. You can see some windows currently using as some storage.

Next?
Yeah.

Next?

Yeah, we can -- the plan is just finishing this area. this is the utility room. I'm not doing anything on that room. So that area will be remaining unfinished.

Next one?
Next one? Yeah.
Yeah, this is some space under the stair, the stair leading from the lower level to the first floor.

Next one?
Yeah. And then this is the stair. So in my plan, I'm going to remove the stair, so make the two closets, but keep the walls but just by removing the stair.

This is not a load-bearing wall where I had the architecture type -- they don't notice that this wall is okay, but I'm not planning to remove it.

Yeah, next one?
Yeah, that's -- and we are looking from the front to the back. And the door on the right side is the door to the office.

Okay, next one?
Yep. That's just a summary of what will be changed. You can see the first-floor area and there nothing has been changed, just adding in some -- you know, space and
make it a second -- make it another unit of the lower level.
And also, I have been talking with some of the neighbors as well and I have their support. And so far, I do not hear any objections.

Any questions, you can ask me here. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Before I ask if there are any questions of members of the Board, just be aware this is a variance request. And by the Ordinance, we need to find all of the following:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship.

Second, the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structures, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located.

And third, desirable relief may be granted without either substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the Ordinance. So we need to find that all three of those conditions are met.

Any members of the Board have any questions?
BILL BOEHM: I see, Virginia, you look like you
unmuted as well, but I guess I'll jump in, because I jumped in. It's okay.

My question to the proponent is can you tell us what you know about flood risks in this neighborhood, and how you might mitigate those, or what might be expected in extreme rain event or worse.

Thank you.
RICHARD XIAO: Thank you for opening the question. I've forgotten including the picture. For my property, it used -- in the past before I purchased this plan through the university and there -- their floor -- their one level the elevation is substantially lower than my property.

And there is a retaining walk light on my driveway and you can see from Google Maps of course. So that's about at least 3 to 4 feet. So my property is 3 to 4 feet higher than the other one.

So, I mean, to finish in the lower level, first of all, I'm not changing anything outside. What I worry: The water management, the stormwater management system is to remain the same. I'm going to just -- what I'm going to do is just finish the inside of the lower level.

And from the photo, you can see everything is
above grade. Just -- and yeah, so there will not be any risk of the water damage. Past that, mine probably is higher than my neighbors, than the university side.

Thank you, Bill.
BILL BOEHM: I guess -- sorry, just one follow-up question. You said you're not changing the stormwater system that's in place; can you just tell me what that stormwater system is?

RICHARD XIAO: Currently, there is a collecting valve on the walkway. I don't know whether you can see -maybe you can try Photo 15.

Oh, the number is different than mine. There is one -- yeah, 9. Maybe yours is -- oh, sorry, Olivia, yours is Number 9. Yeah. Maybe -- yeah, you can see currently there is a small, in front of the entry door there is a collecting valve stormwater. So that's located the same.

So currently it is pretty functional and there are no issues. And yeah.

And if you can -- I don't see a photo for the -no, I can't see what this one -- oh, yeah, sorry. On this Photo 13, yeah, on my slide -- no, this one.

No. The slide I downloaded is -- it had different
numbers. Can you go through -- quickly go through the other photos? There's one showing the current low level from the right side, which you can see the retaining wall. Not this one.

Is there any way I can share my screen? No? Oh, yeah, this one. Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

RICHARD XIAO: Okay. yep. You can see that one. So you can see the front, the right side. And also you can see part of the retaining wall, and on the right side of my driveway. You can see the lower level is aboveground, above grade. And the long driveway is all above ground.

And for the little slope in the walkway, there is a collection system, and also there are gutters to divert the water to other places. Yeah.

Thank you, Bill.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Since this is a subdivision request, I was just hoping to understand a bit better what -- how this is being subdivided?

RICHARD XIAO: Yeah. The other thing is when I --
before $I$ purchased this property is part -- the Lesley University.

And because they are -- my abutters belong to the same owner, they merged everything together. But when I purchased this one, and I was told this lot might be -- is a merged lot.

So what I mean by subdivided is that just me cutting this lot out from the Lesley, because I already purchased, so this -- the property no longer belongs to the university -- doesn't make sense that the two owners have this lot merged.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I follow up on that question? So can we get that survey on the --

RICHARD XIAO: Yeah, this is the survey map.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, you see it. I'm sorry. I
don't see it here. When you purchased the property, it was already subdivided, is it not? Was it not?

MEIMEI ZHAO: That's our impression. We thought when we bought this property, we only bought this piece --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, who's -- can you identify who's speaking?

MEIMEI ZHAO: Yeah, sure. Sorry. This is Meimei

Zhao --

RICHARD XIAO: I'm sorry.
MEIMEI ZHAO: -- the co-owner of the --
RICHARD XIAO: All set.

MEIMEI ZHAO: -- property.
RICHARD XIAO: Sorry. Because the back one is
blurry and hard to reach. Yeah. Sorry.
MEIMEI ZHAO: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry.
RICHARD XIAO: I can't.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I heard you, but I heard something, but I did not understand it. So --

MEIMEI ZHAO: Yeah, and --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The question, again --

MEIMEI ZHAO: Yeah, sorry. My name is Meimei
Zhao. I'm the co-owner of the property.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And when you
purchased the property, was it already subdivided?
MEIMEI ZHAO: That's our impression.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So.
MEIMEI ZHAO: Because we only bought this property.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. So my understanding is we're not -- and $I$ was going to get into this in a minute, but I really don't think there's a subdivision here -- issue before us. We're not granting you the right to subdivide, because it's already subdivided.

MEIMEI ZHAO: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The subdivision, however, does cause you some issues -- it does make your lot or the dimensional form -- as it says in the announcement, the Agenda, it does result in dimensionally nonconforming lot. RICHARD XIAO: Okay. All right. So you mean sub--

JIM MONTEVERDE: So we're not -RICHARD XIAO: -- divide the application? Sorry. Sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. We're not -- I don't think we're granting you a subdivision. You already have it. You own the lot.

RICHARD XIAO: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's already been subdivided. RICHARD XIAO: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Virginia, did that answer
your question?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions? No? I have a couple. So reading the advertisement, where it says, "To subdivide preexisting lot" I'm taking the liberty of crossing that out, because it's been done. It's nothing that we're granting you relief from or for.

It does result in -- that subdivision does result in the lot that you bought therefore is a nonconforming lot, and that's really in terms if $I$ look on the dimensional form it's nonconforming in terms of the lot area.

And then I'm not sure how you calculated the lot area for each dwelling unit, but that is undersized, according to the Ordinance.

So I think those are the pieces you're looking for relief from. I just want to confirm. I think those are the items in the Table of Dimensional Requirements. I think -so we're not doing a conversion -- and you've listed a conversion --

RICHARD XIAO: Um --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that from the Office Use to the Residential Use?

RICHARD XIAO: Yes. Residential is for the rental unit. Currently it's a three-family and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
RICHARD XIAO: -- floors 1,2 and 3 and the lower
level would be the fourth unit out to (phonetic) -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

RICHARD XIAO: -- commercial.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then you mention under
Article 4 Section 4.31.g a multifamily dwelling. What is it you're seeking relief for?

RICHARD XIAO: Currently it's a three-family.
It's changing to a four-family. And before the change, the current three-family already is a nonconforming.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
RICHARD XIAO: So that's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's -- okay.
RICHARD XIAO: -- where I'm seeking the relief.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Understood. And I think
that's in the variance. Okay. Thank you. Any other
questions from members of the Board?
If not, we have -- let me just go through the correspondence in the file.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Jim, just a quick question. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

MICHAEL LAROSA: On his application, it says there's no change to the floor area. But is that accurate where it's unfinished basement right now?

RICHARD XIAO: Yes.
MICHAEL LAROSA: We're talking about creating level --

RICHARD XIAO: Yes. There is a -- gross floor area is just no change. That's part -- about half the basement is unfinished. I'm going to finish the part -those areas, except for the utility room area I'm finishing the entire basement. For the finish -- yeah, because I mean the current level is unfinished.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. But I guess the question is where you calculated the 5,293 square feet, you included all of the area of the basement, minus what you can subtract for mechanical equipment, is that correct?

RICHARD XIAO: The number I copied from the City's record. I did not verify the number. But those numbers don't change anything. For the lot area, for the total gross floor area, all the numbers are from the record -
public record.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Jim, I think he basically just pulled the Assessor's card and put the total for all the floors. That's why I was asking.

RICHARD XIAO: Yes.
MICHAEL LAROSA: I just want to make sure if we give relief, we're giving accurate relief.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Yep. Okay.
Correspondence. All right. One second.
[Pause/side conversation]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, we're just having a chat behind the curtain here with the ISD rep about the issue of the subdivision, and whether in fact we do need to grant the subdivision, or if in fact one already exists, and therefore it would be redundant to grant relief for something you already have.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Mike again.
RICHARD XIAO: Hi, Mike.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Hi. Sorry. I just -- along that line, $I$ think the bigger problem would be if there wasn't -if a subdivision was necessary, it should have been done before he bought it. Otherwise, it was an illegal
subdivision.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Say -- could you repeat that?
MICHAEL LAROSA: I said --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The last part.
MICHAEL LAROSA: If when Lesley took title to it, they owned the property next door and was considered merged, then the subdivision either would have had to occur before these folks took title to it, or it was an illegal subdivision by Lesley when they deeded it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's why when I look at the -- I think there is two --

MICHAEL LAROSA: I don't know how old that plan is that we're looking at.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I think there are two plot plans, and they both sure as heck look like -- although it says formerly -- now "formerly Lesley College" it does seem to split it all up into a series of lots.

Again, now we're, "formerly Lesley College" as if it's been as of the date of this drawing, which $I$ think was a while ago -- it's already been split up.

It's been -- as of the date of this drawing, which I think was a while ago -- it's already been split up.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Uh --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me just find it.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Is there a differentiation
between, like, tax lots versus zoning lots or something like that?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Not really.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Hm.
MICHAEL LAROSA: So it's weird. The doctrine of merger is basically if you have a nonconforming structure on a lot and then you have a lot next door that makes it conforming --

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
MICHAEL LAROSA: -- they're deemed merged. You can't have your cake and eat it too, basically. You can't have a lot with a nonconforming house and then have, like, a vacant lot next to it that would make it conform but keep it separate. I know that's, like, bare-bone's try at an explanation. But --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: That's what the idea behind it is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. If the advice we're
getting from our ISD rep is it's listed as, you know, the subdivision relief, we can go ahead and grant that, frankly, if they already have it, it doesn't make a difference.

And if they actually need it, to do the rest of this, if we're going to go ahead with the rest of this, we could grant it.

Okay. Confusing as that might be, I will take my stricken line out of the "to subdivide a preexisting lot" and I will leave that portion of the relief in there.

Now, let me just go back to the correspondence before we open it up to public comment. It is a full moon answer.

We have a copy of e-mail from Liya -- Liya Rong, dated June 24, 2022 basically saying that you, the proponent, will have the support for your project. And what you described in your letter to Liya is you're applying to the City to finish the basement and convert the lower level into an apartment.

And then we're provided with 1,2,3,4,5,6 addresses that surround the lot either across the street, adjacent to it, or behind it. 23 Mellen, 27 Mellen, 24 Mellen, 1618 Wendell, 20 Wendell, 22 Wendell with an accompanying legal
document that is under a paragraph under "Buyer and Seller Mutual Acknowledgment."

And I've underlined the portion of this. The portion of this is highlighted. It says, "The Seller acknowledges having been informed that the Buyer of the premises intends (at some point in the future) to finish the basement of the existing building to add more living area with potentially another unit in the lower level to provide additional rental housing in the neighborhood; and the Seller agrees not to unreasonably oppose these plans."

What I don't find -- I mean I understand that -it basically says they wont unreasonably oppose, but I don't see anything in the file from these $1,2,3,4,5,6$ properties that say they either approve or object. I don't see anything.

So unless someone is going to call in for a moment, I'm not entering these as either for or against, although they're included in the file as if they're in support. But I don't read it that way.

So a little confused there, but I'm not going to enter those as in support.

With that, I'm going to open it to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
We have no one calling in, so $I$ will close public testimony. Discussion among the Board members? Let me encourage a discussion by asking you all if each of you find that all of the conditions of the variance are met here, in particular the hardship is owing to the circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structure, and especially after such land or structure, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located.

I'm having difficulty identifying the hardship here, and therefore the request for the variance. Does
anybody else have any comments on this? Members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yes, Jim. I agree it might be hard to state a hardship on those grounds. The way that the proponent says it is, there's an increased demand for affordable housing in the community, they want to finish this basement. It's wasted if not.

This falls within a Basement Apartment Overlay District in this part of the city; $I$ was reading up a little bit.

This project doesn't work with that Overlay, because it's a four-unit building, and the Overlay applies to larger buildings. There's a lot of larger apartment buildings in here with basements that were considered wasted.

And a few years ago, there was an overlay added that allowed for those basement apartments in this very area that are very much like this, what's being proposed, as a way of acknowledging that it is kind of wasted space and we do have a housing problem.

So although it's not stated by the proponent, I would accept that this is similar enough to what the Overlay
-- Basement Overlay District sort of recognized and approved and $I$ would kind of be in favor of this proposal.

I will add that part of that Overlay District asks the proponents to show how their apartments are not at risk of flooding, or what they're doing to prevent it, which was the basis of my earlier question.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay.
BILL BOEHM: Anyway, so I'll leave it at that, but I would say in general for the variance, I would accept that kind of logic.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Thank you. That was the condition $I$ was looking for in reading the proponent's description; the increased demand for affordable housing, although it doesn't say anywhere that this will be affordable housing.

Just so to accommodate more tenants, especially for students looking for affordable apartments near the campus. So I would concur.

Anybody else have a comment for a verdict?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I would just say I agree with Bill, and I think that one can argue that there is hardship based upon the existing structure and underutilization of
that structure.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. I agree, it's a little -to me, it's a little bit of a stretch.

That said, $I$ guess $I$ think it would be really nice to know if the subdivision existed or not, because if the subdivision exists, then $I$ could see an argument that, you know, given the way the subdivision was created that, you know, that makes it hard to utilize the building and okay, that makes sense to me.

But -- so I'm going to sort of kind of assume that the subdivision exists. And then I think I can go forward with it on that basis. So that's what I'm thinking.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Is that everyone? Ready for a motion?
[Pause]
Ready.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections -- let me read through all of these -- it is Article 5 Section 5.31, the Dimensional Requirements.

That has to do with the total gross floor area, the lot area per dwelling unit, and the number of dwelling
-- well, number of dwelling units is next, but that's part of it.

Conversion: again, that's from a three-family to four-. Subdivision: We're back to subdividing what was a merged lot with Lesley. Multifamily dwelling: 4.31.g Section 82 -- 8.22.3, alteration of a nonconforming structure.

And the requirements for a variance: And we're saying that is met by the condition that or by the fact that they're providing much needed housing in the neighborhood and in the city.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled -- there is no title. I will call -I will title this, "21 Mellen Street." The preparer is unknown, and the date, I will use tonight's date.

So that's drawings entitled, "21 Mellen Street." The preparer is unknown, dated 10/26/23, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members let's please take a voice vote on
the motion to grant the relief. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Reluctantly, but $I$ think it's
kind of a stretch. And so, I'm going to go against.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, was that a yes or a no?
MICHAEL LAROSA: No. Not in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's a no. Okay. And Jim
Monteverde in favor.
[FOUR vote YES, ONE Vote NO]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The motion is carried, and the variance is granted.
(08:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-244314 -- 136138 Rindge Avenue.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. For the record, I'm Adam Glassman, with GCD Architects at 17 Brattle Street, Unit 2 in Cambridge.

I'm here representing the owner, Michael Driscoll, seeking a special permit to increase an existing nonconforming FAR and within a front and left side nonconforming setback to remove an existing hip roof and replace it with a mansard roof so we can utilize the third floor.

The existing structure is a two-family. It will be developing a structure into a larger two-family. The first floor will be a duplex with a basement, and the second floor will be a duplexed third floor, creating spaces comfortable for modern living.

The proposed structure is maintaining the character at the scale of the neighborhood. And we have support from at least 11 abutters, and all those letters should be in the file.

The project would create no adverse effects. No nuisance or hazards. No negative impact on the abutting uses, and there would be no impact on the existing traffic or parking patterns.

Olivia, could we go to the slides?
This is a street view of the property, largely overgrown. The property hasn't received much attention in a very long time, so the work will include improving its street presence.

I should also add that the work will remain -- our building height will remain conforming. We're actually lowering the roof height by about a foot.

Next slide, please?
The view on the left is a view of 136-138 Rindge Ave building that we're seeking relief for in this application. The view on the right is the building at the rear of the lot, which is 140 Rindge Ave. We'll be talking about that one next.

Next slide? Our locus map shows that the lot is similar to our abutting structures on either side, our abutting properties. But we have lots with multiple primary buildings -- one closer to the street and one up against the rear lot line.

Next slide, please.
This is the plan for our proposed curb cut, and this was approved by the Zoning Board last month.

Next slide, please.
Our Zoning Legend describes our existing proposed and required and conditions. Our existing FAR with both properties -- on the Legend it says 0.69; I want to correct that. It's actually 0.70.

The proposed FAR with both properties is a 0.88 and the required maximum in a Res B zone is 0.5. So this is an existing nonconforming condition that we will be increasing.

The lot size is conforming and there will be no change. We are not adding any dwelling units, new dwelling units or accessory dwelling units to the lot. The lot width is conforming. Our front setback is existing nonconforming, and between both properties, we have an existing
nonconforming setback on all sides, but for the front property our right and rear setbacks are conforming.

Maximum height allowed is 35', and we will be bringing our proposed height to a 31' from 32'. And the required usable open space will remain conforming.

Next slide, please.
If you can -- yeah, thank you. So to the right is actually the front. To the left is the rear. You can see that we've got kind of a jogged property line, and therefore the front structure is just barely nonconforming with the yard setbacks. The front required setback of 15' just barely cuts through the front of the house.

And actually our rear setback in the lower lefthand corner of the front house you can see just clips the back of the house there.

So the mansard roof addition would technically be nonconforming with regard to these very minimal setback violations.

Next slide, please?
Our existing useable open space, our existing private open space, shows you've got 39 percent.

Next slide, please.

And with the proposed work, the front property is not taking away from that. There is a reduction because of the rear property, but our private open space -- for the entire development, our private open space remains conforming at 28 percent, and 20 percent is required.

All the new paving for the four parking spaces will be pervious pavers. So we will not be adding any non pervious surfaces to the lot.

Next slide, please?
These are our FAR calculations. We are not in any projected flood zones according to the DPW Flood Finder Maps. So we're not counting our basements in the FAR.

You can scroll through these.
Just more FAR backup. You can keep scrolling.
Yeah. That's the FAR backup for the rear of the structure. We can keep going.

On the right side you see any section through the proposed mansard; that's what we're basing our additional FAR on.

Next slide, please.
We can scroll through this.
The next two pages just show the limit of our
demolition, and this was approved as -- or this was approved by the Historic Commission.

Next slide, please.
We can go through this. This is all demo calcs. We can go through this.

Next slide.
This is the hip roof in the front. It's very difficult to utilize. Hip roofs often are, so we're proposing the mansard over that structure.

Next slide, please?
The window pattern of the house remains mostly unchanged. Our demo plans showing extensive reconfigurations on the interior.

Next slide, please.
Roof demo showing the entire hip roof.
Next slide, please.
The profile of the proposed mansard relative to the existing roof. It fills up the corners, or the ends and drops the roof height by a foot.

Next slide, please.
Same. Same descriptions, just from the back and the left side.

Next slide, please.
Our proposed mansard. It's actually keeping in character with nearby homes -- similar two-family homes -on Rindge Ave, one which was recently -- a very similar project was approved by this Board. The scale of the house remains mainly unchanged and relative to its abutters.

Next slide, please.
Additional views from the rear. The proposed mansard and dormer windows.

Next slide, please.
Our new floor plans. We're creating a one- to a three- bedroom unit. Again, no accessory apartment proposed in the basement.

Next slide, please.
Our upstairs unit 2 also is a three-bedroom unit. Rather modest, it's not oversized. You can comfortably accommodate a young family.

Next slide, please.
And just our roof plan showing the top of the mansard.

Next slide.
And here we have the proposed elevations, this
time with the -- superimposing the former or the existing hip roof just to show the extent of the addition on the top. Next slide, please.

Same thing from the rear, and the left elevations. Next slide, please.

Okay. We can back up one. This is the next project. So for these reasons, we're here seeking a special permit. Happy to take any questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: I have a question for the architect. You mentioned the Historic Commission had approved I think the extent of demo. I just want to confirm this is not in a Historic District or a Conservation?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct. They agreed with our calculations that our demo is limited. It does not trigger Historic Commission review.

BILL BOEHM: Great. Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? No? I have one. And it's a little complicated when we split up the two buildings on the one parcel. But dealing just with the arithmetic for the floor
area for --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 136-138 --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I think your Dimensional Form if $I$ understand correctly the existing gross square footage of that structure is 29-2,934?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The requested is 3,576, correct?
ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct, which means we'll
be adding 642 square feet.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And that's 21 percent add, correct?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Proportionally?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Any other questions from members of the Board? Otherwise, I will open it to public commentary. Before I do that, I have by my count 12 letters in support, none in objection. None objecting. I will just go through and summarize.

I have October 18, Megan Calm (phonetic) - sorry,

3 Wilson Avenue, direct abutter, full favor; October 23 -- I can't read the handwriting -- Leslie Lawrence, 24 Middlesex, neighbor, support -- in support. And then that's two out of the 12.

And then there's a form letter that we have 10 of, all dated September 21, 2023. 20 Middlesex Elizabeth Derham -- direct abutters, in support. Same letter September 19, 18 Middlesex, David Clemens, full support. September 25, 2023, owners of 141-143 Rindge full support. Can't read the names of their signatures.

September 19, 2023, 3 Wilson Ave \#3 in support; September 19, 2023, 134 Rindge Avenue full support, James Dunyak and Alison Stern-Dunyak; September 9, 2023, Bernadette Brooten, in full support; September 18, 2023, 146 Rindge Avenue support.

September 21, 2023, 4 Wilson Avenue, Mary O'Sullivan in support. September 25, 2023, 144 Rindge Avenue, Kathleen McCarthy, full support.

Those are the letters in the file. So any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one calling in. All right. I will close public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I would just say that since there are a number of other houses in proximity to this house that also have mansard roofs, I don't see the proposal as impairing the integrity of the district.

I think it's fine, and in fact, and as is noted in the application, the existing interiors are in bad shape, and so, it sounds to me like this proposal is improving the area and I don't take any issue with it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Jim, I kind of concur with what Virginia just said. My only question is, I'm curious why we're doing these -- not separately, but like the FAR and everything if they're all one lot and in common ownership.

Is that increase in FAR at the beginning for both or for just the one front building?

ADAM GLASSMAN: The increase in area I gave you was for both. If it was only for the front building, it would go to a 0.81, not a 0.88 .

MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: My thought was that -- you know, these -- I thought these should be a single application because of this reason. The Zoning Office, they determined these should be two applications.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So we play the hand that we're dealt.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: I mean, it's all existing non --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Came to us as two buildings on one lot, and they came in as separate cases. We'll just take them that way.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, that's fine. Thank you for clarifying.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Any other discussion among the Board members? No? I have one comment. I -unfortunately I disagree with my -- and I'm -- I hope you
can convince me otherwise -- with the other Board members who are saying the mansard of this one is consistent with similar houses on the street.

I looked up and down the street, and some of the side streets, and I see one other mansard that makes the mansard really out of character, out of keeping; not only that: larger, completes the larger FAR, et cetera.

So I don't favor the mansard approach. And I do take exception to it. If anyone would like to convince me -- any of the Board members would like to convince me otherwise, please do. Otherwise, I would not be in support of this.

MICHAEL LAROSA: Jim, I'm not going to fight to convince you, but I will say up and down the street there's a lot of gable roofs, but there's not really any --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
MICHAEL LAROSA: -- thing like this either. So it's not like -- there are a couple of mansards, but I don't think it's a far stretch from the existing view from the street, I guess.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's why it's --
MICHAEL LAROSA: That's just my opinion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- four out of five votes. MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah. No, that's just my opinion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's fine.
BILL BOEHM: I'll just chime in here. I also looked up and down the street and found the nearby mansard, which looks relatively recent -- it looks pretty nice -that Mr. Glassman said was approved by this Board.

I'm not sure that it matters in a way. I -- you know, he's not a -- it's not like we're arguing for what's the -- you know, this is the answer to the hardship or something.

So then it becomes kind of a little bit of personal taste. And so, I guess I am feeling like it's a reasonable solution for improving this home.

You know, another likely one would have been to have done a gable roof with long dormers, or as much dormer as could be gotten away with on it, which would have been maybe a more typical solution.

But I think that what's been proposed is not -you know, egregious. And obviously the neighbors don't feel that way, assuming the neighbors got to see the plans, which
is in some ways -- yeah. Anyways, that's -- I'll leave it at that that I'm not concerned about the fact that there aren't a lot of other mansards like this in the area.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I agree with Bill. I mean, while I don't see it's not like chockablock full of mansards everywhere, I do see that one that was recently developed.

And yeah, I guess I leave this to the fact that the neighbors didn't complain and, you know, I think this amounts more to a personal taste issue.

So I'm okay with it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Jim, could I just say --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Since $I$ want your vote, I -- and if it makes you feel any better.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. Wait one minute.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Adam, that's you, right?
ADAM GLASSMAN: That's me. Sorry. Go. JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. This is a discussion
among the Board members.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So let us just --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- chat amongst ourselves --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and then we'll go to a motion.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Any other discussion from
members of the Board? If not, I will make a motion -- and this is a special permit. So I will weave those requirements into the motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Dimensional Requirements; 8.22.2.d Nonconforming Structure; and 10.40 Special Permit on the condition that the work proceed according to the drawings entitled, "136-138 Rindge Avenue," prepared by GCD Architects, dated September 19, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of this application.

And further, that the Board feels that the criteria for a special permit have been met; specifically:
a) It appears the requirements of the ordinance cannot or will not be met. Correct.
b) Traffic generated would cause congestion or hazard. Will not.
c) Continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the -- would be adversely affected.
d) Nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, or welfare.

And e) for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district.

On the motion, then, Board members please take a look voice vote. On the motion to grant relief.

Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde opposed.
[FOUR vote YES, ONE vote NO]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's four votes in favor, one
opposed. The motion carries. Special permit is granted. ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
(8:27 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case -- right next door -is 244597 -- 140 Rindge Avenue.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. For the record, this is Adam Glassman of GCD Architects, at 17 Brown Street, Unit 2 in Cambridge, representing the owner, Mike Driscoll, seeking a special permit to raise an existing gable roof to achieve legal head height and add two 15' dormers to allow him to utilize his third floor to make his whole house comfortable and suitable for modern living.

It's a small house. It's a modest ask.

Olivia, maybe we can go to the floor plans for

140? Let's go -- let's go to the top. Let's go to the top. And I'll go through this quickly.

OLIVIA RATAY: The top?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah. We should probably start with the site plans. Okay. Let's go to the cover page.

Thank you, Olivia.
Okay. This is the street view, and 140 is not visible from the street, only 136-138.

Next slide, please, Olivia?
This is a view of 140 on the right; wildly
overgrown. And we are looking to rehabilitate this house and make it suitable for human occupation.

Next slide, please?
This is our locus map. You can see the rear structure, 140 , is really wedged in there right between the rear and the left side lot line, resulting in existing nonconforming setbacks.

Next slide, please?
The curb cut drawings, which the Board has already approved.

Next slide, please?
Our Zoning Relief Legend. What's pertinent to this application is that we are, again, increasing our existing nonconforming FAR and proposing to raise the roof within the rear and right-side setbacks.

Next slide, please?
The No. 140, which is on the left side of this
plan, you can see how close it is to the lot lines. In addition to raising the roof deck, dormers were also adding -- proposing to add a second-story addition over an existing one-story bump-out on the first floor.

Next slide, please?
Our private and usable open space is our existing private and useable open space, it's conforming.

Next slide, please?
And our proposed private and useable open space remains conforming.

Next slide, please?
Actually, we can sift through the FAR plans.
You can go to the -- yep, the next one. These are
the plans for 140. Again, the basement is not within the projected flood zone, so there's no GFA in the basement.

Next slide, please?
And our calculations are here on the right.
Next slide, please?
Again, the demo calc pages approved by the Historic
Commission. We can go right through these. And we can go through that, and we can look at the next slide.

The existing structure you can see looking at the
roof on the back. And on the lower rendering, you can see that one story bump-out on the left side of 140.

Next slide, please? No, that's not it.
This slide you can see the proposed increase in height, the gable roof and the 15' dormers. And on the lower right-hand elevation you can see the one-story bumpout being enlarged and becoming a two-story bump-out.

Next slide, please?
And more rendering -- more 3D views of the proposed gable roof bump-out addition.

Next slide?
Let's keep going.
Next slide?
I think some of the slides may be out of order. That's okay. So this shows the profile of the existing structure and the extent to which we're raising it. The building height remains nonconforming.

Next slide, please?
Next slide?

Next slide? Oh, no, I'm sorry. This is good.
Okay. No, my -- I'm sorry.
So you can see the -- on the left is the existing
basement.

On the right we have -- it's hard to say exactly
how these rooms are used. I suspect the room on the upper
left is really a kitchen with a living room and maybe a study or maybe a bedroom on the first floor. The house has been vacant for a very long time.

The stairway on the right up to the second floor.
Next slide, please?
Three bedrooms on the second floor, one very small bathroom, and an unusable attic.

Next slide, please?
Our profile drawings showing the increase in roof height and the enlarged bump-out addition on the side.

Next slide, please?
Again, the profiles.
Next slide, please?
The proposed floor plans -- modest, even with the increase, the proposed increase in space. The first floor we have kitchen and living room, half-bathroom and dining room. The stairs will be rebuilt to the second floor to create legal, safe, conforming stairs.

On the left side we'd be utilizing the basement
for a family room, a bedroom and a bathroom.
Next slide, please?
On the second floor, we have two more bedrooms, two more bathrooms, and on the third floor would be the main bedroom under the raised gable roof with dormers.

Next slide, please?
Our roof plan showing the dormers. A flat roof over the left side bump-out addition and first floor deck.

Next slide, please?
The proposed elevations with the existing profiles superimposed. You can see the change in scale and size.

Next slide, please?
Side view and left side view, or left side view and right-side view showing the two dormer additions and the left side the proposed bump-out.

Next slide?

Section diagrams, again showing the existing profile superimposed.

Next slide, please?
It's a very small footprint. So really, the way
to convert this into a comfortable, functional single-family is to go up.

Next slide, please?
And our Zoning Dimensional Form, which we can review. And again, I'll say that we are seeking a special permit. I would say we meet the criteria for a special permit.

We are creating no adverse effects to our neighbors or the community. No nuisance or hazards. No impacts whatsoever to the existing uses of the abutting lots, and no changes to existing traffic or parking patterns.

And again, we have about 11 letters from our neighbors supporting this proposal.

Happy to take any questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Maybe a question for Jim or the Zoning Staff as much as the architect. Because we're combining the areas, or before we combined the two areas, we don't really know the bump of each, or maybe the architect does. But I think you do, you mentioned it last time.

But what is the maximum bump you can do in a special permit, in an existing nonconforming before -- you
know, before you verge into a variance zone?
ADAM GLASSMAN: My understanding was that it's 25
percent for a conforming addition, but an increase in
nonconforming conditions -- they themselves not being conforming modifications. Could remain a special permit.

BILL BOEHM: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Does that answer your question?
BILL BOEHM: That's the case in this one, is that your increase is in the nonconforming location, so there's no --

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct. We're not proposing a conforming addition, we're increasing nonconforming compliance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So it's the -- what's already nonconforming is decreasing, and there's no new nonconformance?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Great. That's the difference.
ADAM GLASSMAN: That's the difference.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There's really no limit in terms of -- as best I know -- beside just we can wave our arms gnash our teeth, depending -- you know, how big it got. I
don't believe there's a limit for that.

Does that answer your question, Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board?

I have -- if I can, Adam -- just on the dimensional form and what you're seeking relief for? The Table of Dimensional Requirements, I think your addition is in the rear yard setback. Again, we're talking about 140 range.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? So the dimensional form, it's 0.7' as opposed to 25'?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And $I$ think it's the dormer is noncompliant on your right-side setback?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct? I think those are the two that you need relief for. Correct?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct. I mean, this whole -this whole house is within -- is inside the required setback in the rear.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Correct. Correct.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: But the small addition that you do basically just increases that, and that part -ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- wouldn't grant the relief for it?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Again, we're not creating any new setback violations on any side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct. Okay. Thank you. And public comment? There are the same letters in the file, letters that I summarized before were addressed to concerning 136-138 and 140. So I've covered all of those before under the previous soliloquies. And there are by my count 12 in favor, none opposed.

With that, I'll open it up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one has called in tonight. I'm crushed. There's no one out there. So I will close public testimony. Discussion among the Board members, or are we ready for a motion?

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Discussion for a motion.

BILL BOEHM: No, no.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, Bill, did you have a question?

BILL BOEHM: Well, discussion. So in my understanding of the Dormer Guidelines, this is well outside of what the Dormer Guidelines like to see. It doesn't meet the threshold of being a by-right dormer, because you -- I think that you're allowed one 15' dormer, and I think you're also expected to have windows -- a certain percentage of windows in your dormers.

And if $I$ get this right, one of these dormers has no windows at all.

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct. So in the past, when we --

BILL BOEHM: Sorry. Hold on, Adam -- sorry, Adam --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.
BILL BOEHM: -- this is discussion among members first.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah. Oh, okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, so in previous Boards have interpreted the Dormer Guidelines to allow 15' of dormer per side, assuming it's a gable roof. God forbid it's a hip and you can do it four times. But that's the way it's been interpreted before.

So if we want to be consistent with that, it's not clear. I don't think it's clear in the Dormer Guidelines for the piece that got added into the Ordinance. Although I'd be happy -- let me see if I -- I don't think I have it with me, I'll just read it, quote from it.

So previous Boards have said it's 15' per side, which is why I'm anxious, Bill, in our endeavors to request
this to the Ordinance be clarified, redrafted, et cetera to give more specificity to that, so we're just casting about. But that's my understanding.

BILL BOEHM: How about the no windows in the entire face of the dormer? Have previous Boards also been comfortable with that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: You know, truthfully, I think those have been few and far between, and I think as a matter of circumstance in terms of where it's located, we've allowed those as well.

Again, if it fits the particular circumstance, the particular location, particular use.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. My concern is other architects, proponents listening in hear this and now have a different understanding of what's permitted and what's not permitted.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's what happens when the Ordinance is fuzzy, right?

BILL BOEHM: I'm happy to hear the architect's viewpoint on this, if --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you. So I have been doing dormers in front of this Board for a long time. And the

Dormer Guidelines, which are guidelines, do call for 15' dormers per side. It's the max allowable, 30 ' total per building.

While the Guidelines prefer to see 50 percent of each dormer to be windows or associated window trims, when we have a dormer within three feet of a lot line, where no windows are allowed, we've been allowed to construct a dormer.

But, you know, I've always paneled it in some way to give it some kind of aesthetic as opposed to a blank wall. And this wall can't be seen from the street.

So I don't think this is a slippery slope with other cases. Every lot is different. And the one that the Board has always held to is 15'.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. I'm looking at the Guideline right now, and it says, "In general, dormer should not exceed 15' or half the main roof's length, whichever is shorter." So I don't -- if paired, the combined length should not exceed 15'.

So while I don't disagree with you that previous Boards may have decided it's fine, you're telling me that the Guidelines say you're allowed to do them on both sides?

I don't see that.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, there's 8.22 .1 or 22.2 -maybe Olivia can help with this. But it does say that -the Zoning Code does say that if you are not over your FAR, you may construct one $15^{\prime}$ dormer by right within a setback.

The second dormer would require -- the second 15' dormer would require zoning relief.

And if you are over your FAR, both dormers would require zoning relief. But the two dormer -- the double 15' dormer -- is cited in the code, and that's been -- yeah, the history of dormer applications to this Board for a very long time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. No, I understand that. I'm reading -- I have a -- I made a copy of the 8.22.1.h, which I think may be what you're referring to.

And under the Dormers -- and I have read this several times and scratched my head, but it's "additions to a structure nonconforming to the requirements for Article 5 provided that no nonconforming element or aspect of the nonconforming structure is extended or increased.
"Further, provided that to the nonconforming structure is not thereby increased in area or volume by more
than 10 percent, since the structures first became nonconforming."

And then it goes on further.
"Construction of a dormer or an addition to a nonconforming one- or two-family dwelling, which further violates the yard and height requirements [indiscernible] but no other requirements, including FAR in the following cases: Dormer additions to the second story that does not extend horizontally beyond the vertical walls of the existing first floor of the structure."

The other one that you're referring to that talks about no longer than $15 '^{\prime}$ are really dormers on the third story, which isn't the case here.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, this is the third story. JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, correct. I always thought that thing was so de minimis it didn't have a third floor.

Yeah, it says, "Dormer on the third story no more than 15'. It does not extend horizontally beyond the vertical walls of the existing second floor, nor above-grade the existing ridge, provided that the total linear length of all dormers on the third story of the building after
issuance of the permit authorized by this subparagraph does not exceed 15'."

Doesn't. Does not say per side. It just says,"15'."

ADAM GLASSMAN: You know, I don't know if this is helpful to say in front of a relatively new Board. I would just say that the previous Boards in the spirit of fairness basically decided we'll give people two 15' dormers, or they can subdivide those dormers in any way they want, as long as they don't exceed $15^{\prime}$ per side, or $30^{\prime}$ maximum.

There are so many dormer applications that -- I mean, it's become kind of, you know, as an architect I'm not allowed to promise anybody anything at the Zoning Board, but the one thing I've been able to say is they'll give you your two 15' dormers as long as you're not impacting any abutters in a negative way.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Again, members of the Board, just previous Boards I have sat on have in fact allowed exactly that. So at this point, I would not not allow it, and $I$ think Bill, to that point, that's why I'm interested in proposing some new language that the City Council can opine on to clarify or modify the Dormer

Guidelines or make them part of the Ordinance, to avoid this issue.

Previous Boards have allowed it on both sides. I'll leave it at that.

Any other discussion among members of the Board? I'll make a motion, then.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements. That's specifically the -- and again, we're talking about 140 Rindge Avenue -- specifically the small addition that intrudes on the rear yard setback and the dormer on the -- intrudes on the right-side setback. And articles 8.22 or Sections 8.22.2.d and 8.22.2.c, a special permit.

Special permit conditions I believe are met. It appears that the requirements of the Ordinance cannot or will not be met.

Traffic generated would not congestion, hazard, or substantial change. No.

Continued operation of the development of the adjacent uses would be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the
health, safety, or welfare. No.
And for other reasons, would impair the integrity
of the district or adjoining district. I think the answer to that one is no.

So on -- and I think we comply with all the requirements for the special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "140 Rindge Avenue," prepared by GCD Architects, dated September 19, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. Board members, take a voice vote.

On the motion, then, to grant relief?
Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The special permit relief is granted. Thank you. ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you very much. Goodnight. JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
(8:55 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, William Boehm, Daniel Fernando Hidalgo, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: And last, we've BZA 242825 -- 5

Craigie Circle. Is there anyone who wishes to speak?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Should I start?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Depends. Can you give us your
name?

STANISLAS HILBERT: Hi. My name is Stan Hilbert. I'm the owner of Forage at 5 Craigie Circle in Cambridge. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Are you the proponent here? STANISLAS HILBERT: I am. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Very good. Tell us what you'd like to do.

STANISLAS HILBERT: Well I'd like to move the patio that $I$ have right now on the parking lot that's in front of the restaurant, or across from the entrance of the restaurant -- I should say -- to the front of the restaurant where there's landscaping currently.

Make the structure -- build a nicer structure, a

> permanent structure, and reduce the seating capacity, and also reduce the size of the patio itself.
> So add -- you know, add something permanent there, as you can see on the pictures -- bring it up to something that looks definitely a little bit nicer than what we have currently that we used during COVID for the past -- well, during the -- since the pandemic for the past three years. Unfortunately, I don't think I included any pictures of the current patio, which I probably should have, but it's -- if you're looking at that picture right there, you would be -- the current patio would be to your back.

And the entrance to the restaurant -- so we would be getting rid of that sign that's on the upper right, and the signage, the board that's on the bottom left there.

And where all that shrubbage is, we'd be building -- you know, paving that and building a little pergola above it.

We'd be getting rid of that -- well, the sign, we'd bring that and put it up front, and we'd be getting rid of that light fixture and put lighting in place with the pergola. And that's the side view from the -- from the street where the brush is. Where the shrubs are now, we'd
be putting a little -- a wall there.
BILL BOEHM: And that's -- sorry, that's the
existing terrace that we see --
STANISLAS HILBERT: Oh, yeah, you can see -- yeah,
exactly. Perfect. Yeah. You can see the existing patio
there, the structure. So that's why -- as I was saying earlier, I want to make something that's nicer and that's -and that will be permanent.

The landlord -- you know, is okay with the patio, but I would like to do something a little -- a lot nicer than what we have now. Because that is kind of an eyesore, so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And do you have the landlord's approval to make the --

STANISLAS HILBERT: I do.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- improvements you're proposing?

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah. There's been support.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do we have that in the correspondence?

STANISLAS HILBERT: I believe you do, yep. I
looked at all the attachments this morning; it's in there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you tell me what name that's under?

STANISLAS HILBERT: The attachment or the
landlord?

JIM MONTEVERDE: The landlord. There -STANISLAS HILBERT: Stuart Rothman. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- accepted.

STANISLAS HILBERT: G --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. Yeah.
MICHAEL LAROSA: I think the landlord signed the application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's why I was confused. I thought I was waiting for Mr. Rothman to be the proponent. STANISLAS HILBERT: Oh.

JIM MONTEVERDE: But that's okay. Because that's what's listed on the agenda. And that's the letter that I wrote. But as long as you have -- that's the letter that's basically from the landlord, or shows their acceptance or approval, that's great.

Okay. Anything else to present, or is that your -- is that it?

STANISLAS HILBERT: No, I mean we -- no, I think that's pretty much it. Everything's listed there.

Again, I want to say that we wanted to reduce the size and make it nicer, bring -- you know, keep something for the community for the people that do require outdoor dining because for health conditions or other reasons.

And just really tie into our mission of being there for the community, which we have been since we opened. But it's really just adding another -- you know, another dimension to that in terms of service.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Very good.
STANISLAS HILBERT: Are there --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions?
STANISLAS HILBERT: Oh, sorry. Go ahead.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. So, you know, there's quite a bit of support, though, you know, a lot of it seems to come from people who aren't necessarily abutters, including some people from other states.

So I'm curious about the -- how the -- you know, what kind of feedback you're getting from the neighbors,
because it didn't -- at least from the documents I saw, we had one from a Jimmy Cui or C-y- --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah.
DANIEL HIDALGO: -- who has some concerns. And so, I guess I just want to hear, you know, what -- can you do anything to address his concerns, and/or do you -- have you had any support from other abutters?

STANISLAS HILBERT: Well, I have support from I think most abutters that I've talked to personally. When I read Jimmy's letter, I was a little shocked to hear about the drunken -- the drunken diners being loud after hours. We do not have anybody seated there past 9:30. And if you've ever eaten at Forage, you would know that our clientele is generally, you know, 40 plus years old, if not more than that.

So we -- you know the people that live around there, we're not, you know, catering to a college crowd. We don't -- I mean, yeah. So that's -- that kind of shocked me. And we do our best to -- the place is super clean, we have everything locked down -- again, at 9:30 at night. So I was a little shocked by that.

But if you needed more support from the neighbors,

I could petition, you know, if it's helpful I could petition night and knock on doors and do something in that sense. But I know over the years I've had support from -- and people that have come in for dinner that live in the building as well. They've been nothing but supportive.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I mean, it's just a little hard to tell from the file right now what abutters think, aside from this one -- from Jimmy.

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah, I know. I was hoping to get more. You know, I did send out some e-mails. And the giant poster was in front of the restaurant for the past two weeks. So I was hoping that more people, you know, would be vocal about it in support or not for that matter.

But I don't know, I guess people don't want to take the time to be as supportive as I would hope.

BILL BOEHM: May I ask? So there's a sign -JIM MONTEVERDE: That's all right. Go ahead, Bill.

BILL BOEHM: Yeah, there was a sign put up, and abutting owners got sent notices by mail, but does that include -- in the buildings are they mostly renters? And are they not --

STANISLAS HILBERT: They're all -- yeah, they're all renters of the building. The owners -- I know the owners that live next door, the Shells; the owners that live on the other side: Katia (phonetic), who's the Headmaster for the $B B \& N$. The folks across the street I've known since we opened as well, so they've never expressed any concern.

I mean, you wouldn't know about it working for the town, I guess. You would go through our record, and we've never had any, you know, any issue with the City over the law, or with anything of that sort.

BILL BOEHM: So your neighbors have been aware of what's going on based on the sign board that was put up, maybe word of mouth out but --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah. And based on our emails, because we have an e-mail list that would include -I'm assuming would include those -- all the neighbors, and we're pretty clear about it when $I$ sent out an e-mail to all our guests and previous guests, like, "Okay, this is what's happening, we're having a hearing." And, you know, that's probably why you got some e-mails from out of state, from people supporting us.

Everybody -- yeah, everybody knows. I mean, it's
-- the patio has been there, so if they would -- if they would be opposed to it, I'm assuming they would have complained about it by now, right? And if anything, this patio is going to look nicer. It's going to be smaller.

And, I don't know, I think it will be a nicer place to dine at than what we have now.

So I only -- for me, I only see improvement. But again, I'm biased.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's more about the procedure, I think. But we don't doubt what you're saying. And we have the 20 --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah, of course, no I get it. --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- plus letters in the file, and we can see the outreach that you -- was made to the -- I think the Forage Patrons. It's more about making the attempt to reach out -- not the attempt, but reaching out to the direct abutters to make sure that they have a chance to understand what's happening --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- they're notified that
something's going to happen, and they have the opportunity
to raise any questions or concerns or speak up in support. So, again, that's just part of the process. We don't doubt what you're telling us.

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah. I mean, I've had that giant poster and directly in front of the -- you know, the menu board that we have.

So every single -- I know because I'm there often, I see every tenant that walks by and look at it and they read it, so I'm thinking they would have raised concern if they had any, just like Jimmy did. Or I would have hoped for more support -- again, you know, but.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Given that you're seeking a variance, I was just hoping you could speak in a little more detail as to why this location is preferable for your patio versus where it exists currently?

STANISLAS HILBERT: Just for -- oh, answer the question. It's preferable aesthetically, I think. It's also preferable for the staff, though it'll be safer for them to not have to cross the parking lot.

It will be safer for the people living at -- in
the residence of 57 Craigie. It'll be safer for the -really, it's just I think a more -- it's just safer, because we won't be walking back and forth. There's not going to be a patio there blocking the entrance -- well, it's not really blocking but it'll essentially be back to normal the way it was before in terms of the parking lot.

And for the staff too it'll be a little easier to manage for us not to have to walk back and forth.

And also, it's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
STANISLAS HILBERT: -- it just, I don't know for me it's hard to explain, but it ties in -- looking at it now, it just ties in more to the aesthetic of the restaurant, because it'll be physically part of it is right -- it'll be right there.

I don't know if you've ever been to that location, but it's kind of quirky that you go down to the basement there, so it'll just be a few extra steps for us to get up there, versus now you have to do 20 steps to get to the other side of the parking lot.

And also, it'll free up some parking spaces for our guests, too. So that's a plus.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. And I think the safety component for staff and anybody else who's got to cross the parking lot to get to --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the restaurant itself is an important component.

Any other questions from members of the Board?
BILL BOEHM: Not a question but just a comment. I feel like we apparently don't have the policy of notifying abutting renters, which $I$ would question as a City policy.

We also don't have a policy of requiring proponents to notify people that -- you know, personally. The outreach that was done was extensive, although it was to clientele. But all in all, $I$ feel comfortable.

I'm just going to say I feel comfortable with the help of based on the rave support from longtime clientele and no apparent concern except for one person, who I -yeah, that's my feeling.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Thank you, Bill. Any other questions?

MICHAEL LAROSA: I just have a -- I'm trying to figure out from the building, so is this patio going to be
right in front of residential unit windows?
STANISLAS HILBERT: No, because the restaurant -so if you look at the bottom right of the drawing, you know, you've got those three figurines there?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah.
STANISLAS HILBERT: Those windows don't actually exist. The person -- I know it's kind of weird, I don't know why they drew those there; there's nobody living in those windows. Even if you look on the other drawings, the architect screwed that one up, because that's where the restaurant is. It's in a half basement.

So the person directly living above them -- it's actually a little bit better than what we have now, because the patio we have now, there's people living -- in this case directly next to it. In this case, there's just somebody living above it.

Oh, yeah, there you go. So you see those windows; the patio would come up to where those windows are. So it would just be adjacent to that one neighbor, who we know. And I could get her to weigh in, if I -- actually, now I just feel stupid not reaching -- you know, not having those letters, now that you mentioned this earlier, to not having
those letters in support. But --
MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, it's -- I'm not questioning
your intentions, I'm just -- it's I'm just trying to weigh it out. It's a very --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah.

MICHAEL LAROSA: -- residential neighborhood.
STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah. For sure.
MICHAEL LAROSA: All of a sudden, have a patio until 9:30 at night.

STANISLAS HILBERT: But they have. In this case, I mean the patio has been there for three years. And as I said, it would have -- you know, you would have heard -- I think you would have heard about it by now many times if it had been a real, real issue for people. So.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

I will not attempt to read the correspondence we have in the file, except to say that there are 20 plus pieces of correspondence in the file. Most of them are in the electronic files. So anyone can access them that are in favor.

I think we heard before there was one who had some
objection. But the majority those -- all of those 20 that $I$ referred to are in favor.

I'll open the matter up to public comment. Any
members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and mute or unmute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Meghan?
MEGHAN: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you state your name?
MEGHAN BRUNDAGE: Yes. It's Meghan Brundage. I'm a resident at Craigie Circle.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Thanks for calling in.

MEGHAN BRUNDAGE: Yeah. I appreciate it. Let me just start by simply saying $I$ don't think that it's fair
that the residents that live here have had an opportunity to represent their feelings. It has been quite an encroachment in the past three years to have such a visual and safety problem at the quite narrow entrance to our building.

And yes, like one of your Board members said, otherwise what used to be an incredibly quiet neighborhood as far as up to a mile away from other businesses or restaurants, this is not Harvard Square.

I have witnessed almost near accidents many times over the years that that patio has obstructed the front of our narrow building entrance from cars, bicyclists, pedestrians.

I absolutely agree that the noise nuisance from loud patrons at night has been a horrible disruption of our quiet enjoyment of the place that we live. It is simply not true that patrons leave at 9:30. They linger well past 10: 00 p.m., 11:00 p.m., even later sometimes, as if it were Harvard Square.

And their noise -- and they are often, of course, very happy with the wine they've been drinking. This echos around our building, often waking us up.

I cannot see how the outside patio in the new
location proposed would improve any of those circumstances, and I -- you can see clearly in this picture still up that of course it would absolutely obstruct residential windows.

But importantly, it would also obstruct the safe entry and exit to this area, which is what has caused -- or almost caused -- numerous accidents that I've been able to see over the years from passersby. There's just not enough room for something like this.

And I'm happy to answer other questions, but I just want to emphasize $I$ don't think the residents are aware that this is happening.

And there is not a gigantic sign. There's a little sign on otherwise what is the restaurant menu board, that, if you're not going to eat there, you don't look at.

So I'm quite sure that most of us that live here aren't aware this is happening.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you for your comments. Do we have anyone else calling in?
[Pause]
Okay. I will close public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board? Although there are only two, I guess of the 20 plus in favor, who have spoken
-- raised some concern -- I do have a procedural concern that the abutters, and if those be the apartment tenants adjacent to the use -- that there hasn't been an outreach to them to appraise them, share with them what the plan is for the change and to solicit their opinion or give them the opportunity to write to the Board and state their either support or concerns.

So I could understand that all the patrons who have written to us are in support, I'm just concerned about the immediate residents.

Any other Board members have any other comment, discussion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I agree with you, Jim. I mean, one thing I think it's important to have in mind $I$ guess is the status quo, they may not like the status quo, so to me I'd be very interested in the abutters' opinion.

Maybe they don't like any kind of -- any kind of patio or service, but if -- I guess I want to know is the proposal going to be an improvement from their perspective?

And without more, you know, outreach to the direct abutters, whether they be renters or owners, I feel a little uncomfortable, you know, with this at this stage.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So that would be two of us concerned. If those were to turn into two votes against, you wouldn't pass as the variance. So anyone else having those same concerns or other concerns? Any Board member?

BILL BOEHM: Yes, I am now, having heard the abutter speak. It makes me think twice about my earlier statement, and spoke too soon, and I agree that this sounds like a concern to at least that neighbor, and that's significant.

So I share Daniel's and your concern, Jim. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So I think Stan -STANISLAS HILBERT: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Hilbert, that tea leaves you would say at the moment probably don't have the votes to grant you the variance --

STANISLAS HILBERT: So I -- what I don't
understand --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- if we go to a vote.
STANISLAS HILBERT: -- so I -- the min--
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me finish, please.
STANISLAS HILBERT: Oh, yeah, sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think the opportunity is to
continue this hearing and give you the opportunity to reach out specifically to the abutters. And I'm looking at the map that's in the file. And that would be folks across the street and within the apartment complex itself.

Reach out to them, please. Set up a time that you can get together with them ideally and present what your proposal is and give them the opportunity to respond to ISD and the Zoning Board in correspondence, so we can get their opinions as well as all of you -- I think we have an -you're certainly free to get more of the folks you've already reached out to and the patrons of the restaurant. But I think we have that, and we see their support.

We'd like to get the abutters' sense of things and see what concerns there might be or how prevalent that might be .

So I think your choice tonight is we either go forward -- and it looks like the variance would be denied -in which case you can't come back for two years.

I -- if you're denied, you can't come back for two years without a -- unless the proposal is distinctly different than the one that you're asking for relief for here -- or we can continue this and give you the opportunity
to reach out to the abutters.
STANISLAS HILBERT: Sounds like a plan. I like
the option number 2 .
JIM MONTEVERDE: The continuance?
STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah. [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that's November 30. So let me just do what I have to do here, and we'll -- and then I'm happy to answer any of your questions. So I'm going to continue this.

Let me make a motion to continue this matter to November 30, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of November 30, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals,
changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements -- specifically correspondence from abutters -- that those be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter until
November 30, 2023, by a voice vote of the Board members, please?

Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Bill Boehm in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The case is continued.
Now, stan, did you have a question?
STANISLAS HILBERT: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STANISLAS HILBERT: So I guess I do. I would --
so you would want me to reach out to each abutter individually and get a letter stating that they were notified or to have a letter of support from them? JIM MONTEVERDE: What's the process?

STANISLAS HILBERT: Well, how would -- yeah,
because how would you know that -- I mean the sign, that's what kills me --

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's really -- it's really for you to decide how --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- you want to reach out to those --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah. I'll just -- I mean -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. We just -- again, you've heard the commentary from different members of the Board, concerned that --

STANISLAS HILBERT: Well, I know Meghan well, so, like, I'm not surprised --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- correspondence is all from
patrons of the restaurant, not those that are living around it.

STANISLAS HILBERT: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So please reach out in any way
you can. I mean, in other cases, other proponents have had -- you know, they have offered to -- they have set up a meeting with abutters to basically explain the plan and explain what they're trying to do, whether mailing or drop off -- I'll leave that to you to figure out how you notify them, please.

STANISLAS HILBERT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay?
STANISLAS HILBERT: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. All right. Board members,
that's it for this evening. Thank you very much for your help.

STANISLAS HILBERT: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Enjoy Halloween. I'll see you.
STANISLAS HILBERT: Have a good night.
[9:21 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 98:17 99:11,12 | 12:3,4,14 | World 7:14 | 56:15,22 57:14 | 126:16 |
| 100:9 101:10 | 13:19,20,21 | worry 54:18 | 57:22 58:7,13 | yesterday 43:2 |
| 104:2 109:10 | 14:1 19:17 | worse 54:6 | 58:15 59:1,16 | you've 124:4 |
| 117:17 119:17 | 21:3,4 33:12 | wouldn't 101:6 | 62:13 64:10,14 | young 28:13 |
| 119:19 | 79:11 105:5 | 119:7 130:3 | 65:22 70:11 | 80:17 |
| we've 11:20 | windows 11:22 | wrap 43:22 | $71: 277: 7$ $78.1585 \cdot 18,20$ |  |
| 14:10 15:11 | 21:14 49:17 | 68:10 84:6 | 78:15 85:18,20 | Z |
| 29:1 40:3 77:9 | 50:11,12,16,17 | 102:5 126:13 | 87:2 88:1,5,8 | Zhao 57:18,22 |
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| zoom 49:1 68:2 | 92:7,18 93:2,5 | 2026 136:18 | 4 17:20 20:19 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83:21 101:20 | 93:10,22 94:14 | 205 28:15 | 54:15,15 61:9 | 9 6:3,6,7,22 28:8 |
| 126:5 | 95:2 100:9 | 21 2:6 47:6,13 | 83:16 | 43:16 55:13,14 |
| 0 | 101:15 109:10 | 72:14,16 82:12 | 4.31.g 61:9 72:5 | 68:5 83:13 |
|  | 110:8 | 83:6,16 | 40 117:14 | 84:1 101:22 |
| 0.4831:16,18 | 141-143 83:9 | 21st 29:7 136:13 | 47 2:6 | 126:8 |
| $0.531: 1676: 15$ | 144 83:17 | 22 3:15 17:1 | 5 | 9:21 135:18 |
| $0.6976: 12$ | 146 83:14 | 66:22 | $5$ | 9:30 117:12,20 |
| 0.7' 100:13 | 15 55:11 | 22.2 106:2 | 5 2:3,9 71:19 | 125:9 127:16 |
| 0.70 76:13 | 15' 77:11 92:13 | 23 66:21 83:1 | 106:18 112:6 | 92 2:8 |
| 0.81 85:5 | 95:5 102:20 | 238938 10:7 | 112:12 |  |
| 0.88 76:14 85:5 | 103:12,21 | 24 17:17 66:14 | 5,293 62:16 |  |
| 00 127:17 | 105:1,14,17,19 | 66:21 83:2 | $\mathbf{5 . 3 1 4 5 : 4} 71: 19$ |  |
| 02139 1:6 | 106:5,6,9 | 242825 112:6 | 89:14 109:8 |  |
| 06:32 5:2 | 107:12,19 | 243960 5:7 | 5:00 6:19 35:9 |  |
| 07:18 38:2 | 108:2,4,8,10 | 244597 92:7 | 133:3 |  |
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