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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, William Boehm,
and Carol Agate
JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the March 28, 2024
meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is
Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.
Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
by the Massachusetts General Court and approved by the
Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation
at meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal.
This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within
Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the
public will state their name before speaking. All votes
will be taken by roll call.
Members of the public will be kept on mute until
it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for
public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Steven Ng?
STEVEN NG: Present.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Daniel Hidalgo?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Carol Agate?
CAROL AGATE: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Bill Boehm?
BILL BOEHM: Present.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Virginia Keesler?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We have one extra. One second,
please. So we should be Virgina, Daniel, Bill, myself, and Carol. Steven, you can sit this one out.

STEVEN NG: Very good, I will sit out.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you coming back for the Regular Agenda? Steven? STEVEN NG: I can. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. STEVEN NG: Okay.
(6:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, William Boehm, and Carol Agate JIM MONTEVERDE: First case I'm going to call is a continued case. It is BZA-251115 -- 55 Harvard Street -Harvey Street, sorry.

Is there anyone, proponent who wishes to speak?

RICHARD LYNDS: Mr. Chairman, good evening.
Richard Lynds on behalf of ARM Developers, LLC and we have Matt Mueller with us as well.

MATT MUELLER: Yes. I'm here.

RICHARD LYNDS: Just a second, Mr. Chairman. Bear with me.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. For the record, my name is Richard Lynds. I'm an attorney with a business address of 245 Summer Street in Boston, on behalf of petitioner for 55 Harvey Street.

Mr. Chairman, as you know, we were before the Board last on February 1, at which time the Board heard from a number of residents who raised concerns over the design of the proposed project.

The Board had also expressed its concurrence with many of the comments that were raised.

We want to thank the Board for giving us the opportunity to work on this and address some of those comments that we did hear, as well as comments that were made in letters that were provided to the Board. And we were able to make some updates in response to those comments that we heard.

Since that February 1 meeting, Mr. Chairman, my clients have diligently reached out directly to each neighbor, who either submitted comments or opposition or spoke at the hearing.

I've included copies of the letters that were delivered by my client, and I am pleased to inform the Board that based on that outreach, we believe we were able to address a number of the chief concerns that were raised at the hearing, as well as comments that were raised in letters.

And I will have Matt walk through that in a moment.

Also, the Board now has in its file several
follow-up letters. I believe those should be in the system

Page 8
-- from abutters who did raise objections to the original design at the February hearing. They are now either revised their opposition to either be supportive of the design for the updated changes or no longer in opposition to some of the design that we've proposed.

In addition, I would point out there's a letter of general support for the project from an abutter who was previously neutral.

Real briefly, Mr. Chairman, specifically the concerns about the design -- that's to refresh the Board's recollection -- was the size of the proposed dormer on the right side of the building, which has been eliminated; the size of the dormer and the windows on the Westley Street (sic) side of the building, which have been reduced; the proximity and the size of the proposed deck and the balcony in relationship to the abutters of the rear, which have both been reduced, as well as moved further away from that abutter.

And we've also provided a rendering with an updated depiction that illustrates the driveway location in relationship to the abutter to our rear.

I'll finish with this, Mr. Chair: While I do
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understand the abutter that's located at 6 Westley continues to object to the proposed garage, I did have a chance to read the most recent letter.

And I want to point out that most of the concerns that are being raised to the Board would be no more detrimental than what would be experienced by the use of his own garage.

Issues such as fumes and noise and vehicles entering and exiting are all things that would be evident, or that would exist with respect to that owner's operation of his own property with the garage. So I don't understand the objection to that.

But would also want to point out that the introduction of the garage would actually eliminate a nonconformity on the property and bring it to compliance with the Zoning Code with respect to parking.

So at this time, Mr. Chairman, if I may, I would like to turn over to Matt Mueller to walk through the Board, if we can, of some updates. And I would be happy to answer questions of the Board after Matt concludes. He has a very brief presentation on his updates. If that's okay with the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That is good. Yeah. Just focus on what's new before us tonight.

RICHARD LYNDS: Sure. Will do.
So -- And I'm not sure if the person handling the slide deck -- we did upload - there we go, right here, perfect -- so we're ready to jump to that next slide now, and then I'll let Matt jump in on the next slide. Here we go.

MATT MUELLER: Good evening, Chairman and members of the Board. My name is Matt Mueller from Hue Architecture. My business address is 53H Harvard Street in Dorchester, Massachusetts. And I'll quickly jump into this.

As Richard was saying, we took great care to listen to the abutters and try to address their concerns while still trying to maintain the character that we saw -the beautiful characteristics that we saw in the architecture.

In this slide, we're showing that large dormer on the street-facing side was reduced in height by, I believe, over 5'. And we divided that window, so it was into two distinct smaller window.

I'd also like to point out that the upper window
is in a master bathroom. So that window will be -- there will be a translucency to it, so that those can't -- people can't see in, and also the people using the bathroom won't be able to see out. It's more of a light -- to allow light to come into the room.

And the window below it is a bedroom. Therefore, it will be closed off a lot of the time. It won't be open. The window on the corner is to a double height space. That's allowing light to come into the space. And it's important to point out that we didn't want to -- well, we're not allowed to, by right, open windows on the back sides of this building. And we didn't want to open windows because of privacy concerns with the neighbors.

So these large windows on the street-facing side are really -- have a practical purpose to allow light deep into the space, because we cannot open windows on the back sides of the building.

Next slide, please?
On this side of the building, we did have a dormer
there that was over the stair. But we managed to make the stair work without the dormer and the window. So we completely removed that dormer and added the skylight above
to allow light to come in.
Next slide, please?
On the back side, we had the garage, of course, and we had balconies that stretched from the -- from our building all the way to the back of the site.

We dramatically reduced the balcony on the second
floor and the roof. You can see along the second floor, there will be practically no viewing into the neighboring site.

And the one on the roof we reduced it. It's over 12' from the back rear line. So there will be no practical viewing into the neighbor's rear yard, as he was concerned with in the previous objection.

Next slide, please?
This is showing on the first floor. The only change we made here was we widened the garage slightly -you know, besides giving the car more room, we were also hoping to move the garage door away from the neighbor as much as we could and move the curb cut away as much as we could.

You know, it's only -- you know, maybe we added another foot there in terms of the curb cut, moving away
from the neighbor. But we do think that was something small to address his concerns.

Next slide, please?
This is -- if you'll notice the red dimensions.
Previously, we had that second-floor balcony going all the way to the back of the building. It was over -- almost 17' deep, and now it's over 7' -- it's almost $8^{\prime}$ from the rear of that -- above the lot. And it's only -- so it's been reduced by over 30 percent, and we've taken away the viewing into the neighbor's property.

Next slide, please?
You can see here we had a full-sized roof deck over that -- at that rear portion. And we've reduced it by over 75 percent. So we're over 12 ' from the rear line and over 5' from the side lot line. So that gives both abutting neighbors on that corner more privacy.

And, you know, one of the concerns was there would be parties up there, there would be a lot of gatherings. So really, it's only functionally -- you know, you can maybe fit a couple chairs and a small table there.

It was always meant for private use for that master suite, but now it literally cannot be used for
anything more than that.
Next slide, please?
RICHARD LYNDS: I think that was it, Matt.

MATT MUELLER: Yeah.
RICHARD LYNDS: So if I may, Mr. Chairman, just
briefly. So the reductions that were proposed in the modifications including the elimination of the dormer to the right actually allowed us to reduce the total square footage of floor area in that attic area.

So the only addition now, or at least the area that would be additional -- and Matt, correct me if I'm wrong -- involves the basement level.

And we -- because we are compliant with the Resiliency Requirements for utilization of the basement, I believe there's a certification for that -- that would not count towards the gross floor area.

So it's really been now just reduced down to the private open space matter that remains.

And I would point out we did -- we were asked about this at the last hearing about, you know, what is the hardship and I'm sure I don't have to educate this Board as, you know, understanding that the hardship refers to
conditions affecting the parcel that does make it difficult to comply with the regulations of course -- based upon whether it's, you know, physical characteristics, topography, or things that make it impractical or impossible to develop the property.

With the zoning requirement size, the Board is well aware this is a preexisting, nonconforming structure, and a nonconforming use. While hardship has many different avenues by which you can demonstrate, there are things such as financial constraints, unique circumstances, and certainly preexisting conditions.

With this one being a preexisting, nonconforming use of a structure, there are a number of characteristics that certainly make this property unique, and certainly challenging to meet all of the requirements of the code for a renovation like this.

I would point out and stress to the Board that with these changes to the remaining relief that we're asking for is the minimum relief that would be necessary and appropriate for an investment of the property, while allowing preservation of the existing structure extending its use for life and longevity.

I would also point out that the conditions
concerning the open space be the only item left for relief -- you know, based upon our modifications. It's already a preexisting, nonconforming condition.

But lastly, you know, as this Board I'm sure is aware, it's a generally stated goal of Zoning Ordinance is that nonconforming uses and nonconforming conditions not be continued indefinitely.

And therefore, changing this proposed occupancy -and I certainly understand the policy arguments that have been raised about going from four units to one -- but it cannot be overlooked that changing this from a four-unit to a one-unit and making it a conforming use certainly with the addition of parking would brings this more in line compliant to the zoning code, and certainly isn't contrary to the public good and does not substantially derogate from the purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance.

So with that, I thank the Board for its time for allowing us to represent and make these -- you know, make these changes available to the Board. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the

Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. Can you just help me understand exactly why -- I guess I'm -- one thing I'm just questioning -- I have a question about now is the need for a variance as opposed to a special permit.

So because -- let's see -- you know, things like the open space were a preexisting nonconformity, so -- you know, typically that doesn't require a variance.

Is it the GFA that's causing this? Because, as you noted, the basement is exempt. And so, I guess I don't -- you can just walk through what the need for the variance is as opposed to the special permit.

RICHARD LYNDS: Sure. Thank you.
MATT MUELLER: Richard -- quickly can I make one comment?

RICHARD LYNDS: Yup.
MATT MUELLER: We do have one -- we do have a slight violation with the FAR because that one dormer, it raises the height from over $7^{\prime}$ where it was previously below $5^{\prime}$.

RICHARD LYNDS: Oh.

MATT MUELLER: But it only adds, like, maybe I
think 20, 25 square feet to the -- that's nonconforming. DANIEL HIDALGO: I see. So that's the new existing nonconformity. Okay, that -- I just missed that. RICHARD LYNDS: Yeah, I apologize. So that's not -- we were still requesting relief. I guess I probably should have clarified. That is reducing that original requested, extent of variance was being reduced from the previous item to a lower amount.

And then the remaining item is the reduction in the private usable open space as well. So those are both items that do require a variance.

And I believe based upon the provisions, I think it's Article 8.22.3 -- we don't meet the requirements of 8.22.1 or 8.22.2, and therefore we can't obtain a special permit for that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yes. Can you go to the slide that shows Project Updates No. 4 and 5, please, Olivia? My question is, is on the image -- the larger
image lower left presented March 28, we see the neighbor's garage adjacent to the proposed garage. And the image presented on February 1, we only see the proposed garage.

So I'm just questioning is there a change to the neighbor's property that is happening at the same time, or what's going on with these two images?

MATT MUELLER: I can address that. So the original image we -- that was -- we didn't render it accurately. And that was one of the complaints by the neighbor, that we weren't showing the accurate depiction. So we didn't want to go back and alter that, we just wanted to show what we originally presented.

And the second image is actually the accurate rendering, where it's showing the neighbor's garage and how close that is to the property. You can see in the Google Earth image there the edge of his garage as it exists with the existing property.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. And then just while we're there, tell me -- in this image, can you describe the change you made to your -- to the proposed garage from your previous design?

MATT MUELLER: Yes. So the garage -- the only
change with the garage is it expands toward our -- toward the white portion of the house by about a foot. So it doesn't get any closer to the neighbor's property.

But the main change you're seeing here is that the balconies are pushed back further away from his property. If you look above the garage, that's the main thing we're trying to show there.

BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
MATT MUELLER: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

CAROL AGATE: Yeah. The -- as far as the hardship goes, you say that the literal enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance would not allow for the needed repairs.

Why is this -- why is that a hardship in the
repairs? I would think the repairs would be a lot simpler than a total redo of the building. So why is a total redo more feasible than the repairs?

RICHARD LYNDS: Yeah, I don't -- if I may, I don't believe that the entire renovation of the building represents the hardship. I think we're speaking specifically to the two items that we're requesting relief
for, and that would be the slight increase in the floor area for the upper level.

And I believe that's based upon the design with the stairwell. I can have -- Matt certainly can discuss the more intricate details of that.

And because -- it's really just because of the way that the stairwell was working and the way that the dormer in the bathroom area is aligned.

And the second issue is I don't believe there's really any way around it.

We're bringing the property into compliance with parking. And the only way to actually make that work results in some reduction of that open space area.

But those are already nonconforming conditions. So, you know, by requesting the relief for those items, those are the bare minimum that we would need -- and we feel that, you know, the overall bringing the property into compliance both parking and use, you know, is certainly something the Zoning Code recognizes being an important component as well.

CAROL AGATE: Thank you.
BILL BOEHM: Just clarification: I don't believe
the Zoning Code requires any -- there is any mandatory parking in Cambridge anymore, unless I'm -- unless I'm mistaken.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Correct.
Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I will summarize the pieces of correspondence we have in the file before we open it up to public commentary.

We have a letter -- I'm looking for a date, no date -- Jason Sakos, 61 Harvey Street: No objection to the proposed project.

Emily Moreshead, March 26; 5 Westley Avenue: There are a couple things being mentioned here. Their concerns about the conversion from multifamily to singlefamily, saying Westley Avenue consists of single-family homes that are approximately 1,500 square feet, and commenting that this proposal for $4,000^{\prime}$ or whatever the new number is is vastly out of place.

Second: concern with the proposed curb cut.
Third: Concern about the -- significant concerns about construction impacts to the neighborhood, which really isn't the Zoning purview.

And lastly, that they are concerned the design is
-- the overall design is incompatible with the neighborhood.
Next: March 28 -- this is from Jonathan Slate, 6 Westley Avenue, whose home directly abuts the back of 55 Harvey Street. They're saying that the dormer alteration facing Westley Avenue is acceptable, with its altered state.

The revised decks are acceptable. They ask you provide a privacy screen as high as possible for each deck to limit visual and sound effects.

And finally, they strongly oppose the garage proposed next to their residence that would affect quality of life; hears cars coming and going, garage door opening and closing, people talking, things moving in and out.

And they ask that we not approve the zoning relief requested.

And that is all the new correspondence.
So I will open it up to public commentary. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

RICHARD LYNDS: Mr. Chairman, I apologize. Was there one other correspondence? I think it was -- did you -- I don't know if I missed it, maybe my audio was cut out.

It was a Ms. Van Campen as well, who had provided follow-up correspondence.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on one second. Yes. Thank
you. This is from Jennifer Van Campen, March 17, which basically starts off by being disappointed that a fourfamily building containing approximately 3,000 square feet is being changed to a single-family of approximately 4,000 square feet -- public policy difference and not within your purview.

But it finishes, "While I cannot support this project for the above reasons, I do not object to it." RICHARD LYNDS: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: And the rest from the previous hearing.

So that's everything we have in the file. And I'll open it up to public. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and

Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Do we have anybody -- yes, we do. Someone calling
in.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Jonathan Slate?
[Pause]
Jonathan Slate to speak?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jonathan Slate, do you have your hand raised? Okay. Can we go to the next one?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Shimon Rura?
SHIMON RURA: Hi, everyone. This is Shimon Rura from 10 Westley Avenue. I think you've heard and read some of the objections from my neighbors.

I would just call the Board's attention to the design of this home and the proportions. I find them highly out of place for this neighborhood and would urge serious reconsideration of the design.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in.
Jonathan Slate, are you there? You raised your hand.
[Pause]

No. Anyone else?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Mary Kent? Mary Ellen Kent? 617
-- 5557 to speak.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can the Board members hear us?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
CAROL AGATE: It's strange that so many are having problems.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. I think we're going to close public testimony. Discussion from members of the Board.

BILL BOEHM: Question -- sorry, Jim, a question of process. If people are having a hard time calling in, and maybe they're hearing us, can they text in comments or something? Just close them out if they're having technical difficulties?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't know. Stephen just -our Zoning Assist just left the room. When he comes back, I'll ask him that question.

Carol, did you have -- something for discussion?
CAROL AGATE: Yes. And I'm eager to hear what the neighbors say. Because $I$ find this is really a dilemma.

Because it's such a clear case of gentrification, which really is not one of the things that we've been considering or $I$ don't even think we're allowed to consider it, I don't know.

But as far as the substantial detriment to the public good, this is a radical change in this neighborhood. That location is right smack in the middle of a lot of twofamily houses, small houses. It's going to dominate over them. It's -- it's changing housing for four people into housing for one family. And yet none of this really fits within not allowing a variance or maybe it does, after all. If it's considered a detriment to the public good -- and of course we need to hear from more members of the public there -- then that might be enough for not allowing a variance.

Because I don't think that this -- as beautiful as the house is, that's not where it belongs. And it's going to have a dramatic effect on Westley Street. It's right there at the entrance to the street.

That's it -- a street of small houses.

RICHARD LYNDS: May I respond briefly, Mr.
Chairman?

CAROL AGATE: Jim, you're muted.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, Carol. No, this is discussion among the Board, so --

RICHARD LYNDS: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- let us have our chat. Anyone else have any discussion? Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I am inclined to agree with Carol. I do feel that converting a building from four units into one does derogate from the intent of the Code in terms of prioritizing housing stock within the city.

I -- you know, we've heard cases in the last couple of months where it was converting buildings from three units into two, where I felt that $I$ wasn't very comfortable taking a hard line in those cases because I also recognize the importance of diversity in size of units, but to me this case is a bit more extreme than that. And so, I do find that to be a concern.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And Steven, you can correct me if I'm wrong, but $I$ don't think there's anything in the Ordinance that prevents someone from -- I forget what the correct term is, but basically going from a multifamily to a single-family, right? I think there's a move afoot to introduce that through the City Council, but I don't think
that's in the documentation at the moment.
So I hear you both, but I don't know that we could
make a statement based on that. Just keep that in mind.
Anyone else have any comments, discussion?
BILL BOEHM: Yeah. On the same topic, I agree there is no -- presently no -- nothing about down converting to smaller numbers of units in buildings.

But I do -- I think it's fair to look back at the overall purpose of the Zoning Ordinance, which is the state mandate that sort of sets up the whole Zoning Board process.

And the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance -- and because we're the wardens of the Zoning Ordinance I think it's fair for us to sort of pay attention to these -- it's a long paragraph, but it includes things like, "Avoid undue concentration of population... encouraging housing for persons of all income levels... encourage the most rationale use of land..." and "... to protect residential neighborhoods from incompatible activities, including consideration of plans and policies adopted by the Cambridge Planning Board."

So it's a big paragraph that could be taken different ways, but I read it to be the underlying purpose of the Zoning Ordinance is to pay attention to what's going
on in the city and be in line with that.
And it's very clear that in our city we have a
housing crisis. And so, this is, as witnessed in the last City Council meeting, been recognized as one of the problems of the housing crisis is downconversion. So I think it's fair for us.

It wouldn't be the only reason for a no variance on this. I mean, the basis of the variance is still questionable. So I think it -- but this plays into it, in my opinion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
When you mentioned the variance criteria, that's the one that I'm stuck on. I don't --

SHIMON RURA: Excuse me. Sorry, this is Shimon Rura. I just want to mention that my neighbor Jonathan Slate, who was having trouble commenting on before --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

SHIMON RURA: -- has come over to my home and can comment through here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We're going to open it back up for public in two minutes.

SHIMON RURA: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: If you'll hang on, please. But thank you for letting us know.

So at the moment, I'm rereading what the Board has to find all of the conditions for a variance. And I don't see it. So I am stuck there.

I don't know if other Board members feel the same way.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. May I add my two cents? Jim, are you done, or -- yeah. This is Daniel Hidalgo. I just want to concur on that point. While I probably agree with Virginia and Bill. My actually primary concern is the criteria for the variance.

And in particular, I guess I haven't been sold on why -- why this couldn't be done by just increasing existing nonconformities sitting under the special permit process.

I mean, maybe there's something I'm missing, but to me that seems like more -- you know, the barrier. The requirements are lower, and $I$ just don't see what's inherent to the property that would lead -- that leads this development to go farther than the requirements for the variance.

So that's where I'm coming from.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any other discussion from members of the Board? Otherwise, I think we've hopefully fixed our technical issues, and we can bring some of the public back.

CAROL AGATE: Jim, do you feel there is substantial detriment to the public good?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, that or I'm not seeing it related to the -- that the hardship is relating to soil condition, shape, or topography of the land. I'm not seeing that it's based on that.

And I don't even have to go into the substantial detriment. Again, we have to find -- you know, that it meets all of those.

And I'm stuck on just "owing to the circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape or topography of the land or structures, and" et cetera.

So I'm stuck there. I realize the next sentence is basically, "desirable relief may be granted without either substantial detriment to the public good," but I'm unsure that $I$ could -- that it's within our purview to say that the change from four-family to one family is detriment to the public good? Although I think it's kind of obvious?

But --

RICHARD LYNDS: Mr. Chairman, I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- that's not clear.
RICHARD LYNDS: May I add one thing?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Go ahead.
RICHARD LYNDS: So I mean I respect the Board's conversation on this, but the -- it seems that the focus is the reduction from four units to one unit, which I believe has already been stated is not a violation of the Cambridge City Ordinance or the Zoning Code.

So the reduction from the four to the one, I understand that that is weighing heavily on the consideration of whether or not there's a substantial detriment to the public good.

But I believe the Board's responsibility is to focus on the items that are being requested for a variance, not necessarily -- you know, what the windows look like or, you know, what color the paint is.

And in this particular case, the two issues are the floor area ratio and the additional floor area, and the reduction -- very slight, I might add, in the private open space.

So those are the items that $I$ believe the Board needs to be focusing on when it comes to determining whether or not there's a substantial detriment to the public good.

I fully understand and I hear the Board's position. There's a reluctance to want to see a reduction in housing units. But that's not what the Board's being asked to consider here. And the Board is being asked to consider the variances that are before it, not -- whether or not we are permitted to reduce from four to one. I just want to make sure that's on the record.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
RICHARD LYNDS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. I'm going to reopen public comments, since we have folks who can call in. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you
will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Shimon Rura?

JONATHAN SLATE: Yes. This is Jonathan Slate at 6 Westley Avenue. I was unable to connect at my home. And after I'm done speaking, my other neighbor Mary Ellen Kent at 8 Westley Avenue, who was also unable to connect, would like to speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Go ahead.
JONATHAN SLATE: Yes, my -- the side of my house directly abuts the back of 55 Harvey Street. And at the beginning, it was mentioned that my carport has the same issues. It would be nothing different than the garage that they're proposing to put in.

But my carport is already there. And this is something new they're proposing. And so, that's what the issue is.

First of all, in an attempt to be agreeable with the people at 55 Harvey Street, though I don't like the dormer -- the changes they made -- I could live with this I said in my letter.

In regards to the decks, I appreciate the fact
that they've listened to our concerns and decreased the size of the decks. And as I said in my letter to the Board that as long as the size is the same, you know, that's okay with me. The size of the deck on the second floor they've left the same.

But the size of the deck on the third floor I've now realized -- I misunderstood it before -- is different than it is now. Right now, there's just a small deck facing Westley Avenue.

And there's a large area that's raised on the roof next to it, sort of like a hump, which sort of protects me from that deck. And that raised area is going to be leveled, and the new deck will be facing me.

So that's something that would be really, really disconcerting to me. And now that $I$ see how it is at this presentation, I would oppose that third deck -- third floor deck.

In terms of the garage, I'm very, very opposed to that. Because it's going to add so much new traffic and noise and other things right next to my house. I sent in a picture, and you can see that I'm just inches away from their house.

And we're going to be hearing, you know, garage doors open and close, cars coming in and out, people coming out, things going in and out, the way that people use their garages.

And there's going to be a lot more noise added as well as traffic at the end of the street. And there's already a narrow street with trucks parked there as it is. And they made a route showing the car entering that garage or driveway from Westley Avenue, and it's really unrealistic. You cannot drive down the road and turn right into the driveway.

I know, because I have a wider girth than they do, and I have to go past my driveway back up and then go in. And that's the same thing that they will need to do, and they'll be backing up in front of myself and in front of 5 Westley Avenue as well.

In addition, they're going to have to --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Shimon (sic), I'm going to ask you to wrap up, please. You're beyond the three minutes.

JONATHAN SLATE: Okay. Well, there will be a garage extension as well, which will affect me. And so, because of that I strongly oppose this proposal. And I hope
that the Board does not approve it.
Thank you very much. And I know my neighbor, Mary Ellen, would like to speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Mary Ellen?
MARY ELLEN KENT: Hi. This is Mary Ellen. I'm at 8 Westley Avenue. And I just wanted to thank Carol and Emily and Virginia. They were very well-spoken about all my concerns and objections.

And I also wanted to mention there's a lot of other remodeling going on in the neighborhood: Rindge Avenue, Reed Street, and a very good example would be right across the street, 5 Westley Avenue. And they were all done beautifully and keeping with the architecture of the neighborhood.

And I just want to chime in and voice my objections to this, and to thank the other Board members for what they had to say and considering our objections.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Anyone else, Steven, or is that everybody?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Jessica Cashdan?
JESSICA CASHDAN: Hello. Jessica Cashdan, 67
Dudley Street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Go ahead.
JESSICA CASHDAN: Yeah. I just want to echo what I've heard a few others say that I am concerned about the architectural design that doesn't stay in line with the look and the feel of the neighborhood.

We have an abundance of workers cottages and other structures that have a specific distinct nature and look. And this doesn't align with the look of the neighborhood at all.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Thanks for calling in. Anyone else?

STEPHEN NATOLA: No.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think that's it. So once again, we'll close public testimony.

Members of the Board, at this point, I'm trying to read the tea leaves, as Brendan Sullivan used to say. I'm stuck on the hardship portion.

I appreciate what they've done to revise the scheme. But I'm stuck on it being following all the pieces required for a variance and particularly the hardship. And in that case, I don't think -- I would not be in favor at the moment.

So does anybody else have similar feelings of not being in favor?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, so I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm not looking for a vote, I'm just looking for the sentiment.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Right. I agree on that ground. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.

CAROL AGATE: I'm sorry, I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Lynds? Mr. Lynds, are you still with us?

RICHARD LYNDS: Yes. I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So when we go forward to a vote, if we have two seemingly opposed, you'll be denied. And you can't come back for two years unless something is a significant change.

RICHARD LYNDS: Yeah. Understood. Let me just -I'm texting with my client to see if $I$ can just give an answer on what $I$ think is the appropriate step here. But let me confirm with him if you can give me a moment, Mr. Chairman.
[Pause]
So if the Chair would entertain this, and the

Board would consider this, my recommendation in these instances is to ask that the matter be withdrawn without prejudice, if that's acceptable to the Board? I'm not sure if that's the procedure that the Board would like to take.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
RICHARD LYNDS: That's -- that would be my
recommendation to my client.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That works. Stephen? I assume we are good to do that?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to withdraw without prejudice BZA Case 251115 for 55 Harvey Street. By a voice vote, please, Carol?

CAROL AGATE: Affirms. In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Withdrawn without prejudice.

RICHARD LYNDS: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Do they have to sign anything here, Stephen?
STEPHEN NATOLA: There you go.
CAROL AGATE: Jim, I take it you don't need me here anymore?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Steven, are you still with us?

STEVEN NG: Yes, sir.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yep. Thank you, Carol.
CAROL AGATE: Bye.
STEVEN NG: Bye Carol.
BILL BOEHM: Bye Carol.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So we have Daniel, Virginia, Steven, Bill, and myself.
(6:50 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Next case, the Regular Agenda, is BZA 257728 -- 30 Shea Road. And we have a letter?

STEPHEN NATOLA: 30 Fairmont.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm ahead of myself. Take a step back.

On the Continued Agenda, BZA-159246 -- 30 Fairmont
Circle is requesting a six month extension for the special permit only. So. Do we have to pick a date, or just leave it at that?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Six month extension?
JIM MONTEVERDE: September something? Anyway, the Chair makes a motion to grant the six month extension request for the special permit only on 30 Fairmont Avenue BZA Case 159246, and that's six month extension will take us to October 6, 2023. Right?

No --

STEPHEN NATOLA: 4.

JIM MONTEVERDE: 4. Sorry, that's wrong then. Because 4, that would be -- what's the -- if it expires on $04 / 07$ is that correct? It expired a year ago?

STEPHEN NATOLA: The variance expired on 04/07.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And what about the special
permit? What's the date we're going for that?
STEPHEN NATOLA: It would be in that. JIM MONTEVERDE: This one?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So it'll go out to October 7, 2024. On a voice vote, please? Did Carol leave? BILL BOEHM: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. We'll do David? BILL BOEHM: Daniel. JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel. Sorry, Daniel. DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The extension is granted. STEPHEN NATOLA: If you could sign that, I
believe.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEPHEN NATOLA: And we're --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And sorry for the confusion there. So back to the Regular Agenda.
(6:54 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: BZA 257728 -- 30 Shea Road. I believe that's going to be continued. Yes. We have a letter from Sarah Roszler requesting a continuance to this case. They ask if it could possibly get on the April 11 meeting, I believe not.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: What date do we have?
STEPHEN NATOLA: 04/25.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It will be continued to April 25, 2024. All right. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter until April 25, 2024, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of April 25, 2024 and the new time of 6 p.m.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to
the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals,
changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any
supporting statements that those be in our files by 5 p.m.
on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.
On the motion to continue this matter until April
25, 2024, by a voice vote of the Board members, Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The continuance is granted.
(6:56 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is -STEPHEN NATOLA: Give me one second, sir. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- BZA 258026 -- 241 Norfolk Street. Adam, are you with us tonight?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes. Good evening, Jim, and
members of the Board. This is Adam Glassman of GCD Architects, with a business address of 17 Brown Street No. 2. I'm here representing Tom Klemas for his property at 241 Norfolk Street.

We are applying for a special permit to add several new windows to the right side of the house within a required setback.

Olivia, could you scroll through my plans?
There we go. There's two structures on the property. Tom lives in the front structure. We have a right yard setback of no less than 7.5'. The existing right-side wall is about 5.5' from the lot line.

This view on the right is the existing structure.

The wood fence is the lot line and he's proposing, or we're proposing new windows in the stairway only, from the first to the second floor. None of the windows will be in bedrooms or bathrooms or living rooms; it's purely to get light into the stairway.

We have an existing nonconforming lot, and we would have to relocate the entire house to be able to put windows in the right side, in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance. We have no objections from our neighbors, and would say this causes no detriment to the neighborhood or the community.

This photo on the upper left, you can see the red outline of where most of the windows will be locate. On the lower right in the site plan, you can see the location of the proposed windows.

Oh, it's useful to see that on the lower left-hand photo, our abutting building on the right has no windows looking directly at us, which is nice.

Next slide, please?
The areas in red are proposed windows in the stairwell on the first and second floor.

Next slide?

Floor plans showing the proposed windows. We have one existing on the first floor on the right, right before the beginning of the stair. Then we're adding a window of the first run, from the first to the second floor.

And then two windows on the second floor. That will bring in a lot of natural light where we currently have none in this portion of the house.

Next slide, please?
And these two elevations, existing and proposed, show the locations of the three new window openings. And it is for these three windows that we are here seeking the relief for a special permit. That sums it up.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Any questions from members of the Board? If not, public comment? We have no correspondence in the file, either for or against. So I'll open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Nobody.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nobody's raised their hand.
Okay. We'll close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Nope? Okay. Then we go to a motion. This is a special permit. I'll get to that in a second.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 and 8.22.2.c and 10.40 for a special permit, specifically regarding the three new windows on the right side of the property that will be within the right-side setback.

As a special permit, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance cannot or will not be met. That's correct.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion. No.

The continued operation of or the development of the adjacent uses would be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety, or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. No.

So it meets all the criteria for a special permit under sections.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "241 Norfolk Street, Cambridge, Mass," prepared by GCD Architects, dated February 12, 2024; initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional form submitted as part of the application.

On a voice vote, please, Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Five in favor. The relief is granted. Thank you.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you very much. Goodnight.
(7:03 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: 202 Elm Street North. Is the proponent in? Okay.

GARY GILBERT: Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you introduce yourself, please?

GARY GILBERT: Yeah. Thank you very much. My name is Gary Gilbert. I've been the owner of this property for over a decade. And I live in Manchester-by-the-Sea. Should I make a presentation or --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Please, just tell us what you're seeking relief for. And what relief you're seeking.

GARY GILBERT: Originally, I applied for this under the Zoning Ordinance 4.22 about -- concerning the accessory apartments.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

GARY GILBERT: And I believe that this old -looks like a garage. This old back building, it's been there for a very long time -- ideally supported the City's
goals to make use of underutilized structures without witnessing any new construction.

However, I was originally and unfortunately
relying on a document called an "Amendment by Substitution" which laid out all the verbiage of the law 4.22 and did not require owner occupancy.

I just recently took notice of the fact that the law, the actual statute 4.22 requires owner occupancy to have an accessory apartment in a multifamily structure. So that brings me to the conclusion to perhaps, based on your counsel, request that I can withdraw without prejudice. What I'd like to ask for [is] some direction, and I'd like to know if since this is a very -- it's a classic, underutilized structure that would be ideal forth kind of law -- this structure would go to waste otherwise. It's also sited on the very edge of a residential district. We all know Elm Street is a really busy place with all of the -- I think it's all zone Business C, which I believe does allow multifamily structures as well as businesses.

But should I -- would you consider if I was to come back with the application for a Use Variance?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steve? The Zoning Expert, do you follow Mr. Gilbert's Section 4.22 where it says, "Accessory Apartments" and I'm not seeing anything in it about owneroccupant.

GARY GILBERT: Excuse me. I didn't hear any words until you just said, "owner-occupied."

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm reading Section 4.22 for accessory apartments, and I'm not finding any mention of owner-occupants.

GARY GILBERT: Oh. Well, I -- okay then. I've listed myself perhaps to Google.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. So do you want to go forward with what you have?

GARY GILBERT: I beg your pardon. Google's usually your friend, but not in this case. Okay. Well, I gave my logic in the application that $I$ believe $I$ think -- I believe this is -- I could -- think of it as a poster child for the accessory apartment effort in Cambridge, because it faces the busy Elm Street and has absolutely no impact on the nearby residential neighborhood.

There's a tiny little rear yard that -- with two units, the residents of the two-family structure can make
use of. This doesn't increase the intensity of that usage. There's plenty of parking -- more than enough parking in that lot.

It -- it's located in a strange place. The -most multifamily districts lead right onto a commercial Street. What can I say? There's -- I don't believe there would be any adverse effect on the neighborhood, and I got a letter of support from at least one neighbor that I'm aware of .

JIM MONTEVERDE: Alright. Are you done with your presentation? Good to go?

GARY GILBERT: Yes, sir.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. I just wanted to make sure the other members of the Board concur with what I'm reading in Section 4.22 .

DANIEL HIDALGO: I just read through it as well, and can't find any mention of the owner occupancy --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay.
DANIEL HIDALGO: -- requirement, so.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I believe that it -- that's in relation to accessory uses, perhaps, rather than accessory
-- like in 4.21 rather than 4.22 .
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Oh, right.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: So those are not relevant, yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the
Board? If not --
BILL BOEHM: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, go ahead Bill.
BILL BOEHM: Yeah.
Gary Gilbert, question: I'm looking at the floor plan Sheet A101, maybe you want to bring that up, Stephen? Thank you. I'm a little concerned about light and ventilation.

GARY GILBERT: Yeah.
BILL BOEHM: I mean, we're not building inspectors here, but if we're going to approve something, I just want to make sure it seems safe and healthy, and it feels kind of like a garage. Are there more windows than what appear to be in this plan?

GARY GILBERT: Thank you for asking that question. I would love to put more windows in, but I believe because
of proximity to the property lines, I'd have to return for a special permit application for windows.

I do believe I could get a skylight in there and not be in the setback. And I'd be happy to do that as part of the building permit application.

BILL BOEHM: I think there's one window that's not shown, if I'm not mistaken, next to the primary entry in the photograph. Is that not a window right next to the front door?

GARY GILBERT: Yes, it is. That would be -- I would love to make the door full glass, and that window come down close to the ground, and then add also a window flanking the rear door as well as the skylight. That was in my desired list. But --

BILL BOEHM: So my concern is that we pass it here, and then it gets kicked back at building permit level for, you know, not having $I$ think it's 8 percent of the floor area as light and ventilation or something to that effect.

And I -- speaking for myself, I agree we need more accessory apartments in the city, and I would be amenable to a special permit or a variance allowing additional windows,
if that was applied for. And I'm wondering if it would make
sense, actually, to withdraw and come back with that
consideration in mind.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you may -- we might
suggest a continuance instead of a withdrawal.
BILL BOEHM: Right. Sorry, that's what I meant, a
--
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
BILL BOEHM: -- continuance.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just come back, get your -- get
whatever scope you want together, address the -- go see the
folks at ISD, show them what you're trying to do, talk to
them about the amount of openings you've got, whether it's
skylights or windows.
I agree with Bill's concern: Get that, and have
that be the proposal that's in front of us, whatever you're
looking for.
GARY GILBERT: Would the -- so the Board would
consider allowing windows within the setbacks?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Special permit.
BILL BOEHM: We often see -- the last case was,
you know, windows in the setback and they were approved, so
it's not unusual.
GARY GILBERT: I'm happy to do that, and I would ask you do you have any other comments? I would welcome them.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. We're not doing a Design Review. So let's just leave it at that, and I suggest you take this to -- if you haven't already -- to ISD and just show them what you're intending to do and see what the comments are, before you give it to us.

BILL BOEHM: Sorry. I do have one comment, just because it comes up a lot in the basement units that are proposed, and that is what flood zone you're in and do you need to provide any kind of flood mitigation.

Again, I'm not going to propose or suggest anything, but just something to think about when you're talking to ISD.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay, so Mr. Gilbert, are you okay with the continuance?

GARY GILBERT: I would appreciate it. And I appreciate your comments.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Stephen, do we --

GARY GILBERT: I lost -- I cannot hear you.
BILL BOEHM: I think he's muted while he's talking to Staff.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We're just talking about where in
fact windows would be most reasonable, looking at this diagram. But again, I'll let you the proponent decide that and come in to talk to ISD. Come in to see Stephen, please?

GARY GILBERT: Yes. I'll just come.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Hold on a second. Should we let John -- we have a member of the public who's raised their hand before we do the continuance.

John, do you have a comment for us?
JOHN HAWKINSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Not specific to this case, and I'm sorry $I$ was on my phone earlier, so I couldn't address it more clearly but the calendar entry for this meeting, for the 6:30 meeting, has both the correct and the incorrect meeting number.

And so I worry -- not to the same extent as previously, the last time we had this problem, but I still worry that a person of ordinary firmness might attempt to join the meeting based on the calendar entry and fail and give up. And I don't know what you should do about it,
other than perhaps to institute some kind of procedure so this doesn't happen again in the future. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Okay. Let's
continue. Mr. Gilbert, if you're amenable to a continuance, let me make a motion to continue this matter until -STEPHEN NATOLA: April 25. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- April 25. Does that give you enough time to do what you need to do, Mr. Gilbert? GARY GILBERT: Is that the earliest time slot? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. GARY GILBERT: And can I just go into ISD without an appointment, or do I need -- just during business hours? STEPHEN NATOLA: You can walk in or call. JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you hear that? GARY GILBERT: Yes, I do. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So let me make a motion to continue this matter until April 25, 2024 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of April 25, 2024 and the new time of 6 p.m.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the

Inspectional Services Department.
I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals, changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements that those be in our files by 5 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter until April 25, 2025, by a voice vote of the Board members: Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The matter is continued. Thank you.

GARY GILBERT: Thank you.
(7:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: We are going to move to BZA 257228 -- 359 Walden Street.

Is there anybody wishing to speak on Walden
Street?

LAUREN MARETT: Hi there. Yes. We're from 359
Walden Street. We're just -- our architect I think is going to be on shortly. Just wanted to let you know if he's not already.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay. I am here now. Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you introduce yourself, please?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. This is Steve Hiserodt from DH Architects.

And Adam or Lauren, do you want to introduce yourselves?

LAUREN MARETT: Yes, hi. I'm Lauren Marett and this is Adam Munnelly. And we are Cambridge residents, and
we are the owners of this project. Thank you for hearing our case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay. I'll just begin with a brief summary. We have an existing nonconforming two-family house. It is nonconforming with respect to FAR or GFA, right side yard setback and front yard setback.

Olivia, if you could take us to the site plan on A100? There we go.

So we can see on the right-hand side we are approximately 1.7' encroaching on the side yard setback. So it's a 5.7 ' setback currently, and the requirement is 7.5 .

The front yard setback violation is just a small corner on the left front porch, which you can see the red dashed line, which denotes a setback crossing through the front corner of the building.

And the GFA is also nonconforming.
So the relief we are requesting today is first with an increase in nonconforming GFA. And all of this is in accordance with Section 8.22.2.d, which allows an increase in existing nonconforming nature of a nonconforming building, provided it doesn't have a detrimental impact on
the neighborhood at large.
So the increase in area is largely on the third floor. There's currently a hip roof, and there is about 360 square feet existing area captured on that third floor, which is completely unusable. It's trapped on four sides by the hip roof. Its maximum height is just over 7' and 50 percent of the area is between $7^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$.

So we wanted to try and get some use out of that by gabling the front and rear sections of the roof to get more exterior wall and light into the third-floor space, and also adding two dormers: one on the right side, one on the left side, which brings in natural light and makes that useable for bedrooms, all with egress potential.

But that increases our floor area. There's also a small bay on the first floor on the right-side yard setback, and then a small entry added on the left driveway side yard.

If we go to the right-side elevation?
The other impact or impacting changing is we are raising the peak of the roof. We have relatively shallow ceiling height, so we're going to give each floor a little bump of about 6" to 8" in ceiling height, which is pushing the eave line and the ridge line up to the maximum of $35^{\prime}$.

The side yard setback further encroachments are the dormer on the third floor, which is in line with the existing exterior wall, but it's an additional element that encroaches on the setback.

There's a slight increase in the bay on the first floor, which projects about 16". So it's a very minor projection, more to get definition of space inside the unit.

And then we have two egress doors and their entry porches or access porches, which both encroach further on the side yard setback. And that is really the sum total of adjustments we're making that fit within the confines of 8.22.2.d.

So the -- I guess the bar is set at whether these additional or increase in nonconformity is more detrimental to the neighborhood. And, I mean, the impacts as far as -in my estimation -- is relatively minor in terms of the change or its relationship to the adjacent structures and to the neighborhood at large.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Any questions or clarifications?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Give me one second. Let me
-- we'll get there.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: I have an architect -- sort of a Building Code question that could potentially have a zoning impact, and that is does the second means of egress you're proposing there in that lower elevation, is that okay to be open to the air like that, or does it need to be enclosed to --

STEPHEN HISERODT: No, it does not need to be enclosed.

BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
STEVEN NG: If you could just confirm, Steve, the dormer -- the length of dormer up on the third floor on each side? I couldn't find the dimension there.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Oh, no, I don't think -- it should be 12'.

STEVEN NG: Okay.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. They're under the 15'. STEVEN NG: Okay. Yeah. So --

STEPHEN HISERODT: -- requirement.

STEVEN NG: Yeah, you're -JIM MONTEVERDE: I assume it's 12' on the right side?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Say that again?
JIM MONTEVERDE: 12' -- there are two dormers? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.

STEVEN NG: Yes.
STEPHEN HISERODT: They're both --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Are they both --
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- equal size.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 12'?
STEPHEN HISERODT: But they're under the 15'
requirement.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, let me repeat that.
STEPHEN HISERODT: They're --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The width of the dormer on the right side -- in the right-side setback that you're
requesting the relief for, that's the one that's 12 ' wide, correct?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And what's the other one on the opposite side?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It is also 12'. But it is -it is conforming --

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine. I've so noted that on the plans in front of me. So it's part of the record. Thank you.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

I have one question. Can you -- the front yard setback, are you asking for any relief for that front yard setback?

STEPHEN HISERODT: As long as our deck on the third level does not cross into that small triangle, which it won't, then we will not need any relief there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. There's no relief in the front yard. Do you need relief for the -- you're adding window wells, correct? Three of them?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Window wells do not require relief.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And they'll change the building height. Is that how you get the 35'?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. That 35' height is based
on the new or the proposed average grade.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So thank you from that, I won't mention the front yard setback again. And I think you also need relief from Section 8.22.2.c really for the windows up in the dormer. So we'll add that in when we come to a conclusion.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I'll open it up to public comment. No other commentary. I have -- let me just check. We have no correspondence in the file, either for or against. So let me open it up to the public.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

SCOTT WALKER: Hello, this is Scott Walker from 359 Walden Street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, introduce yourself again?

SCOTT WALKER: Scott Walker, 363 -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

SCOTT WALKER: -- Walden Street.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

SCOTT WALKER: Right next door. And I don't have any problem with their plans. I think it will be an improvement to a house that was getting a little run down, and there's four units in our building. I think everybody has looked at the plans. Nobody really expressed any reservations about what they are planning to do.

I would just ask that, you know, if they need to block our driveway or anything like that, that's -- that's often going to be okay. If we just get a little heads up, we can park in the street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Very good. Before you go away, Scott, can we ask you a question --

SCOTT WALKER: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- from our Staff specialist?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Did you have any trouble accessing the meeting?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I did not. Okay. We're just following up a comment that was made earlier. So thank you. Thank you for calling in.

SCOTT WALKER: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there anyone else who's calling in? One second, please.

Discussion among members of the Board, or are we ready for a motion? I'll take that as ready for a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 -- and that has to do with the side yard setback that the new dormer is within. So we're looking for relief from it. Also, an increase to the existing nonconforming GFA. Sections 8.22.2.d for a Nonconforming Structure and 8.22.2.c, relief.

All right. Scratch that 8.22.2.c. Since that wasn't advertised, we can't include that. And this is a special permit. And again, the criteria for it is it appears that the requirements of the ordinance cannot or will not be met. Correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion. No.

The continued operation of or the development of the adjacent uses would be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment and health, safety, or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district.

So it meets the criteria under 10.43 for a special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "359 Walden Street," prepared by DH Architects, dated December 7 -- no, December 7, 2020, is that correct?

STEPHEN HISERODT: That is not correct. That is a mistake on my part.

JIM MONTEVERDE: How about 11/17/22? I'm looking at the elevation sheet that's up on the screen. Oh, I've got the wrong -- I took these out of that.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Oh, yeah. I'm not -- no, it's -- there are multiple dates strewn on various sheets. I'm not sure how we resolve this issue.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, we are going to just --
it'll be recorded by the dates that are on the sheets that you submitted.

Initialed and dated by the Chair. And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions, and that is that we've had annotated a third-floor plan to indicate that both dormers are 12' wide. While I would swear looking at this elevation that the top one is not $12^{\prime}$ wide, but I'll take your word for it.

You also need to come back and ask for a special permit under Section 8.22.2.c for windows, since that was -STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- a condition in the application, you need to come back and do that.

STEPHEN HISERODT: That is something I discussed with Olivia this afternoon.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. Board members, on a voice vote, please? Bill? BILL BOEHM: Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief
is granted.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you for your time.
ALAN MUNNELLY: Thank you.
LAUREN MARETT: Thank you.
(7:39 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-258526 -- 125

Jackson Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on this case? About this case?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Hello? Can you hear me?

BILL BOEHM: Barely.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hello?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: All right. I'll speak up and
hope that helps.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you introduce yourself,
please?
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Sure. I'm Ashley. I live at 9
--

JIM MONTEVERDE: I can barely hear you.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Try it again.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: I'm Ashley. Any better?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Better.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: All right.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You still sound like you're far, far, far away. But give it a try.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: All right. If it becomes problematic, I'll get a headset. I'm Ashley. I live at 95 Jackson Street right now. My family and I have been here for approximately five years. My wife's lived here her whole life and so does our daughter.

And about a year ago, we purchased 125 Jackson Street, which is just down the road. We've loved our neighborhood, and this is our opportunity to move to a single-family for the rest of our lives hopefully, because we envision being in Cambridge for the rest of our lives.

But the apartment we're in now is a little bit smaller for our growing family, and hoping to have a place where we'll have an in-law suite for our family as they age.

The current property, as we started getting estimates and having architects and engineers come through, proved that to make it energy-efficient to make the basement livable, to increase the second floor to a full height space was going to be very cost-prohibitive to do all at once.

And so, the solution that we've been looking at is using this group called Unity Homes out of New Hampshire,
and they produce the single-family structure offsite and bring in panels, which are fully insulated. They create near Net Zero efficiency homes, and we have a home.

We talked to the Historic Committee. We're trying a design that is Cape style, in line with what we currently have in the neighborhood.

The footprint itself is similar to what currently exists, but given the limitations to customizing the preset dimensions and the fact that these walls are going to be extra thick for that additional insulation, the new building is going to extend 3 and $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ into the total yard setback requirement of 20'. So the variance we're seeking is for that 3 and $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ on the total side yard.

We are proposing a shift of the house a couple feet to the south of where it currently sits, but will increase the north side setback by a couple of feet. It decreases the south side setback by a couple of feet, but both will exceed the 7.5 minimum.

So neither side is at the worst. It should prevent additional shadow, create a little bit more space around the entirety of the building.

And we would be proposing the removal of the
existing porch on the south side, which encroaches the current setback. A lot of the back yard is currently paved. We're looking to remove that to increase the amount of permeable space.

Overall, not trying to change a lot about the current setup, just that the new home will create this variance issue with the total side yard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Any questions from members of the Board? This is
a variance, by the way. If not, I'll start. Do you have the Dimensional Form, Stephen?

Can you enlarge that for us?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it? There we go.
Can you -- I'm confused by the -- in the
advertisement -- and I think you just mentioned with the relief you're seeking, it says, "which meets each side minimum setback separately, but which extends 3 and $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ into total side yard setback requirement."

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm looking at the Dimensional
Form. It's up on the screen. The left side, the
requirement is $12.5^{\prime}$ and you're at the requested condition is 10.67', correct?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: So the -- that is the -JIM MONTEVERDE: So the right side is fine. So is the issue only on the left side?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: So the left side minimum is 12.5' based on the total must be $20^{\prime}$ and not that the left side specifically must be 12.5.'

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you follow that one, Steven?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Uh --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, I'm querying my expert here, because you've lost me there.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: So the side yards each should be a minimum of 7.5. But --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: -- totaled should be 20'.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Yeah.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: And so, the issue is that the side yards will each be more than 7.5', but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Fine. Correct.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: -- will not total 20'. They
will be 19. --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: -- 6, or --
JIM MONTEVERDE: So that's the --
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 3 and 3/8" you'll be off?
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So it's the total. Gotcha
thank you.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: I guess I'd just like to hear a little bit more about meeting the requirements of the variance, which -- you know, the requirements of the substantial hardship that is linked to the property itself.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yep. So the primary hardship is the financial component in trying to establish this singlefamily home to modern efficiency to create the living space in the basement and the second floor.

In doing that with the existing building, there are structural issues in the basement. It would need to be
dug up and underpinned fully around to support a full height ceiling for living space, as well as to support the full height second story.

And doing that, when we got estimates, comes around to the amount that building an entirely new home was going to amount to. And then building from scratch creates a large cost component. It also takes a significant amount of time to the neighborhood.

The benefit to the offsite build is that it reduces the overall waste. They're going to be measuring in a large interior environment. They insulate to that environment. They bring in these panels and assemble the exterior in the course of a week, rather than several weeks to a couple of months of exterior construction.

So the main components are that the impact in terms of the finance for trying to establish the singlefamily and then trying to reduce the overall building impact in creating that structure.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay, thank you.
BILL BOEHM: I have a question. Am I to understand that if this home was built a few inches narrower, it would be -- it would go as-of-right. Is that
the only?
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

BILL BOEHM: Did you consider building it a few
inches narrower to make it fit?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: So that is unfortunately the challenge with this offsite build is that the structures come predimensioned. So the interior space, the exterior space is all in a set amount of ratios. And so, we don't have unfortunately the luxury of reducing it by a few inches.

BILL BOEHM: That's disappointing, because -ASHLEY LESOLTIS: I know.

BILL BOEHM: I mean, I think that the -- I think what you're hearing is where's the hardship? And all of your rationale for building a new house is all fine and makes sense and -- but as you may know, sort of the way this works is we need to, you know, kind of agree that there's a hardship. So I'm -- that's what we're looking for, I think.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: You touched on this, but perhaps you could just speak a bit more to the structural integrity of the existing structure. Unless any other
details you would add in there.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yeah. The current home was built in the '50s. We've already gone through a process of asbestos removal, knowing that was going to be an issue. But the foundation itself has unleveled over time, and the basement height does not exceed the $7^{\prime}$ for living space.

And so, in trying to make this an in-law apartment for our family, it's going to be difficult to do that. And then to create that additional basement space, plus the added weight of a full-height ceiling, or not full-height ceiling, increasing the ceiling height of the second story, which is currently very low under the eaves, there was going to need to be significant new structure and underpinning of the current foundation.

So they essentially have to -- to keep the current house, raise it, dig out the bottom of the basement floor, underpin, set the interior of the floor a couple feet in from the current foundation line, and then they would put in new supports to create the full height second story.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions? If not, I have one.

Stephen, can you go to the side elevation?
Are you familiar with the City's Dormer
Guidelines? That's, Ashley, that's for you.
ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yes. So the way I have read through the Guidelines indicates that the dormer should be fine at the single-family home on a second story, and that it applies when it is a multifamily home or on the third floor or above.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen, do you agree?
STEPHEN NATOLA: What's that?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: The dormers.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Oh, we can hear you perfectly now. Can you say that one more time?

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: So for the dormers, the way I read the Building Code was that for a single-family, it was not necessary to have a limit to the dormer length on a single-family home at the second story, and that the guidelines for limiting the dormers was for multifamilies or third floors and up.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Thank you.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm going to open it to public commentary. We have one letter in the file from Charles Teague, dated March 26, from 90 Jackson Street, who strongly urges the Board to approve the proponent's plan. We can open up to anyone else calling in.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anybody there? No? All right. No one's calling in? We'll close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board? I'll speak personally about the variance and the hardship.

It's such a small ask. I can see my way around the variance conditions, and from the discussion that the
proponent presented about cost of the renovation, basic cost of new and having an improved condition and a new structure, and the fact that the structure is limited -- not limited, but comes at a predetermined width and that's what drives this 3 and $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ overstepping into the side yard setback in total, I am moving to favor this, at least to be amenable to this for a variance.

Anyway, that's my discussion. Anybody else have anything else for discussion, or can we move to a motion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: I guess I think it's reasonable to take into account the sense of the nonconformity size. It's minuscule so I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
DANIEL HIDALGO: -- agree.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else? Bill?
STEVEN NG: I totally agree with both of you on that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you.
BILL BOEHM: Bill Boehm in agreement as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. All right.
Thank you. With that, we'll go to a motion.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the
requirements of the Ordinance under Section 5.31. And that really has to do with the total side yard setbacks and the fact that the proposed building is 3 and $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ over the required setback, and we'll grant that relief.

And also, for 10.30 for a variance. And it'll involve -- a literal enforcement will involve a substantial hardship, and I think there's also the benefit to the proponent for a new structure, insulated, et cetera.

And the dimensional bust really has to do with a premanufactured house that comes in a size that is 3 and 3/8" larger than you otherwise would want. So I'm prepared to accept that as the rationale for the variance.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "125 Jackson Street," prepared by Unity Homes, dated July 20,2023; initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members on a voice vote, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Variance is granted.

ASHLEY LESOLTIS: Thank you all. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(7:56 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA-259041 - 163

Allston Street.
Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on -- Mr.
Rafferty?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi there.

JAMES RAFFERTY: My apologies. Good evening. For
the record, Mr. Chair and members of the Board, James
Rafferty appearing on behalf of the applicant, Maryellen Carvello.

And I believe Maryellen is also here this evening, and has registered to participate. I don't know if she's been recognized yet or --

MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Yes, hi.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, do you know if Ms. Cavello has been accepted into the meeting?

STEPHEN NATOLA: She's coming over.
MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Hi. Good evening, everyone.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you introduce yourself, please?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Maryellen, could you introduce yourself and give your address?

MARY ELLEN CARVELLO: Yes, I'm sorry. Maryellen Carvello. I'm trying to get my camera on, so I apologize for that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's all right. Could you speak --

MARY ELLEN CARVELLO: Mary Ellen Carvello.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MARY ELLEN CARVELLO: I am the property owner at 163 Allston Street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Mr. Chair, this is an application for an accessory dwelling unit, pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.22.1 of the Ordinance.

The property at 163 Allston Street contains a three-family dwelling in the main structure, and there's an accessory structure on the lot today, which is a garage with an office above it.

This property was owned by Ms. Carvello's brother for many years. Unfortunately, he passed away a few years ago, and she inherited this property.

This accessory structure fits the characterization in the Ordinance of an underutilized accessory building. And given the way the office -- the second-floor office is laid out, you can see from the floor plans that this space can be converted to a dwelling unit without any alterations to the dwelling, to the structure -- excuse me.

So the criteria in 4.22 .1 requires that for an accessory apartment require that the building be in existence since February of 2019. That's certainly the case here.

There's also a requirement that the dwelling unit not be greater than 900 square feet, and that is the case here on this floor of the accessory dwelling unit. Level 2 is 500 square feet and Level 1 there are -- there's an additional 374 square feet that would serve as storage for the unit upstairs.

And the requirement is that there not be more than one accessory dwelling unit on the lower part. And this would be the only dwelling unit on this lot.

So in light of that, we think that the criteria are met. One of the criteria for the Board to consider an application such as this is whether any exterior alterations are compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

As I noted earlier, there are no exterior alterations associated with this conversion. The space already exists, and it's simply a change to utilize it for the purposes of a dwelling unit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: This is more a question for you, Jim. So under that section of the code, are we able to approve this as a special permit in that it's a three-unit structure building versus a single or two-family dwelling?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, I'm -- sorry, I'm not hearing you clearly enough.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Oh, sorry, are you -- I was just wondering for 4.22 .1 since it references single-family and two-family dwellings, is that still applicable, given that this is a three-unit dwelling?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, one second.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, I would note that
there is that reference in that section. This section also addresses accessory buildings. This is --

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Oh.
JAMES RAFFERTY: -- and accessory dwelling unit of an accessory --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
JAMES RAFFERTY: -- structure. It's not occurring
within the body of the house.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yes. I have a question. What is the -- on the Level 1, the section in front, "Area not in contract" can you just explain to me how this plan works? It looks like it's being created as a separate room to the rear part. It appears to have no door into it?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. So the ground floor is the garage presently, obviously.

And the idea here is that the front portion of the garage is -- the ground floor is no longer going to be used as a garage. The front portion of the garage would be used as storage for the property owner.

The thinking was that the balance of the space
could serve as storage now and possibly, in some future date, an interior staircase could be created that would allow for access.

Since the 900 square-foot limitation would still be in place, it was thought that there might be an opportunity in the future to place an interior staircase into that space and make it part of the accessory dwelling unit.

So for purposes of this application, we're asking that that portion of the ground floor, the garage, be treated as part of the unit.

BILL BOEHM: But the plan shows no access to that area.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No access, no windows, no -zippo.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. That has a lot to do with why we didn't identify it as living space. It would take some work for that to occur. So in this case, one would have to access it through the garage. There is a door.

There isn't an intention to do much with it now. It was just the thinking that, in the future, that space might be utilized. But as noted, that would require
extensive alteration to the building.
The building itself on the ground floor, it's a cinder block structure. So it is not the present intention to put in windows and make it living space.

But the thinking was if we included that square footage within the 900 square-foot limit allowed for the accessory dwelling unit, that opportunity might be available at a later date.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So the way it's -- I'm sorry, any other questions from members of the Board?

So Mr. Rafferty --
BILL BOEHM: Um-- yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- sorry, go ahead.
BILL BOEHM: Well, I just -- I don't quite see how that's understood by say a Building Inspector. It's a large space with no windows, no doors into it.

It's essentially a -- I don't know, you're creating like a -- the equivalent of a crawl space, I suppose -- something that's not to be accessed. And yet it's considered part of the project. So I find it a little strange and a little unresolved, but --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I don't disagree with the
characterization but for the fact that it's a huge storage space. It's a garage that won't accommodate a vehicle, but it can accommodate a whole bunch of other things.

And admittedly, it is there to take advantage of the provision that said that these units can be as big as 900 square feet. It's not Ms. Carvello's intention to fit this out to make it a living space; it's storage space.

But I should make very clear: It is not the driving factor here. And if the Board is uncomfortable with that or feels that the Ordinance -- applying the Ordinance in this way isn't consistent with the intent, you know, that Ms. Carvello doesn't find it absolutely essential. It was the case that she's not going to use the garage, the lower level of the garage to park vehicles for a while.

So the thinking was to capture I think the total
here is 875 square feet. The second floor is 501. It is available for habitability now, and at some point in the future the opportunity would be available without having to return to the Board or to amend the special permit. That's the objective.

As I said, if Board members are uncomfortable with that approach, we're happy to have the special permit apply
only to the second floor portion of the structure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, thank you. The way it's advertised doesn't seem to agree with what you're describing. It's advertised as to convert portions of an existing -- of the -- of existing two-story garage and office. And in fact, what you're presenting is you're converting all of it. So it's a bit confusing.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, the reason it says, "portions," Mr. Chair -- as I said, I don't want to belabor it -- if you look at the plan, it would not be the case that the entire ground floor would be included, because in that case we would exceed the 900 square-foot limitation.

So the use of the word, "portions" and plural was intended to reflect the fact that a portion of the first floor, the ground floor, would be -- would go with the upstairs dwelling unit and the balance would just be storage.

But as I said, it's in anticipation of perhaps someday creating the living space on the lower level. That -- there is no present intention to do so.

And if the Board feels that if that intention were to become prominent that the applicant should return for an
amendment to the special permit or a secondary special permit, I know that she's comfortable with that determination as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. I have another concern, which is the two means of egress from that upper unit are both exterior. And I guess they're both in place, so perhaps both grandfathered in.

And I'm -- I believe and I'm not a building code expert, but I believe -- you know, the idea would be to have at least one of those indoors, with the idea being that in say an ice storm or a weather event, there's a way to get out of this thing safely. That would be a concern.

Again, it's a building code issue. But I just want to raise it because we're -- you know, we're looking to approve this thing.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. It's a valid concern. And frankly, I reviewed it with the Building Department. Because the exterior second -- the exterior staircases have a similar concern. But this staircase is preexisting and was approved. A conversion of the building was authorized
by a prior zoning decision.
BILL BOEHM: So just to wrap up my thoughts
because they're related, I think the right thing to do here would be to install a stair down into that large space below and a door outside and windows in that large space.

And I understand that would cost money, but -- you know, if you're looking to really create a good accessory apartment, it feels like what this space needs to me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Rafferty, is that something your proponent is interested in pursuing?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, candidly, Mr. Chair, that isn't what the program contemplated is. The space itself today lends itself to a rather straightforward conversion, given how it's currently laid out.

And creating an apartment larger and the cost and expense of converting the slab on the ground floor to living space, that's not what the application seeks, and that's not the intention.

So I think with all due respect, I think the criteria in the special permit is satisfied by this proposal. And I understand there could be improvements down the road, as far as the lower level is concerned.

But as was noted in the -- one of the earlier cases this evening, $I$ know a question was asked of the proponent in this case whether an exterior staircase was permitted. And the response was that it was, except it was approved under the Building Code.

BILL BOEHM: The difference being that had an interior staircase as well. So there's one exterior and on the interior.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. And I recognize that. But this issue, $I$ have had experience with this issue in the past and exterior staircases are -- but I think the other thing here, frankly, is this would require a building permit from the Building Department.

And if the Building Department found that these staircases weren't adequate, then an alteration suggested by Mr. Boehm would have to be explored.
[Chair reads aloud.]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me just read it. Sorry, give me one moment, please.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Sorry for the delay. I've been chatting with our expert. We're just kicking
around, Mr. Rafferty; it's the section 4.22 and then 4.22.1 and that most of the references in those sections deal with a single- or two-family dwelling, and what you're talking about is a three-family with an accessory building on the lot. Is that correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. Yes, that's correct. JIM MONTEVERDE: So it doesn't seem like the granting relief for 4.22 , is that -- do you really need relief from it? Or is that the relief you're seeking, that it's not a one- or two-family dwelling, it's a three-. JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, it -- the one- or twofamily dwelling relies upon the special permit, because usually there's an issue with the lot area per dwelling unit. This is a three-family in a Residence $C$ district that -- three-family is an allowed use in that district. So it -- so in any respects, that's correct. The relief -- the special permit relief that a one-or two-family would have to rely upon isn't necessary in this case.

But the accessory dwelling unit -- the accessory structures, $I$ do think the conversion of this to a dwelling unit as an accessory is necessary because the lot cannot accommodate four dwelling units under the lot area per
dwelling unit restriction in this zoning district. But that's why we're pursuing under 4.22.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: I see though too, I apologize,
Ms. Carvello has texted me that there are in fact two windows and two doors on the ground floor of this structure. I think there are two doors and two windows on the first floor. I don't see them in the photographs, but maybe Ms. Carvello could speak.

MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Hi. I'm sorry again for my camera is not working. So the -- I understand that the plan that shows the first level does not show that there are two windows on the first floor, because we were really focused really on the second floor as the Accessory Use.

That being said, hoping to maybe get some storage and, you know, potentially as Attorney Rafferty said, in the future or down the road a little bit, maybe the room for a staircase, an internal -- interior staircase to the second floor.

There are two windows on either side. There is a door you can see on the right-hand side of the first floor sort of in the blue shaded area. That is a full door into
the first floor.

And then the front, there's another door in the back corner of the left-hand side. And then there is in the front a full garage.

So there are lots of windows and lots of doors on the first floor level. And I think we really didn't focus on the fact that they're not shown in this again because we were more focused on the use of the second-floor level for accessory use purposes at this time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
BILL BOEHM: Maryellen, did you say there's a full garage in front?

MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Garage door.
JAMES RAFFERTY: An overhead garage door.
BILL BOEHM: Garage door, okay.
MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Of course.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? I find no correspondence in our file from the public, so $I$ will open it to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: JDA?

JAIME D'ALMEIDA: Hello, Jaime D'Almeida, 156 Hamilton Street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yup. Thank you. Go ahead. JAIME D'ALMEIDA: I own the property directly behind the unit, the building. And I just -- I understand that that access has been grandfathered in, but just for some history here, there have been people living in that unit previously, and some stairs went up without any discussion that $I$ was aware of.

I applaud Maryellen for coming forward and doing this the right way. So I want to make sure that she gets commended for that.

I just want to make sure $I$ just want to make sure I understand what the process is here for the access to -or what she's actually -- what she needs to get a variance for. It's not clear to me what exactly is -- based on the questions I've heard from the Board, sort of what needs to happen here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, are you directing that question at the Board?

JAIME D'ALMEIDA: Yeah, I guess I am. It's not -so that the unit is -- I guess what I'm trying to understand is what is the process for sort of further changes to the building. Because you're -- my understanding was you only -- that she's only asking to convert the use of this property.

But there are access -- there's access doorways that I think are contemplating being changed. I just don't know if that's part of this process or not, or if that's part of another process.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's part of the discussion we as a Board have to have when we're done with the public commentary because in essence, we can only take action on what's presented to us. And that's --

JAIME D'ALMEIDA: Understood.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- from what I'm seeing is
improvements on the second level only, and the first level, the storage and the toned-out area.

So I think -- again, this is me speaking personally, we haven't come to this yet, but it may require the proponent to come back once they want to use that other portion of the ground floor.

JAIME D'ALMEIDA: Okay. I -- that's very helpful.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's --

JAIME D'ALMEIDA: The question that I'm -- the place it is coming from that $I$ have, and $I$ know that one of my neighbors has a similar question is, you know, what's going to be the main access to this building? Right?

What's going to be the main, the front door?
Because there are two iron -- sort of iron access. One is a spiral staircase, and the other is sort of a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
JAIME D'ALMEIDA: -- a metal staircase. And I just want to know what the plan is for that; is that part of this process or if that's -- again, another hearing?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's shown on the plan, and
that's what we have to assume is the method to access the second floor, both those two external stairs -- exterior stairs.

JAIME D'ALMEIDA: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right? All right, thank you.
Anyone else?
STEPHEN NATOLA: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it for public commentary. I'll close public testimony. Discussion among the members of the Board? If not, let me jump in.

I'm perplexed by what the plans submitted for the ground floor don't show. And I could see proceeding with the improvements on the second floor, only.

I could do two paths. I could do only the second floor only, conditioned that the improvements on the first floor not happen, or they have to come back for another relief to do those, that's one way.

And the second is to ask the proponent to continue and to modify the plans to correct them on the ground floor to what the current condition is, and to show what they intend to do with that ground floor, if they intend to make that part of the second floor unit. To me, that's the two
paths. And maybe that's not correct.
But any other member of the Board have a comment
or discussion?
STEVEN NG: This is Steve Ng --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Would it help the deliberation --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Rafferty, just a second.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Give us one second.
STEVEN NG: I think that's up to the applicant what they'd like to do. But I agree with the two approaches you propose.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I was only offering, Mr. Chair, we would be happy to withdraw the application as it applies to the ground floor. I understand the concern expressed by you. As I noted earlier, the thinking was at some future date. But it is not depicted accurately on the plan. And there is no present intention in the near term to use that as living space.

So I think an attempt to include it at this point was probably a mistake on our part. I would ask the Board only to focus its attention on what's depicted on the plan, which is living space and defining the apartment as the area
on the second floor of the accessory building.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any other
discussions from members of the Board?

I'll phrase the motion that way; that this is
specifically for the improvements shown on the second floor, and it does not include any improvements on the ground floor, which would be future improvements that the proponent would have to come back for other relief.

So we're ready for a motion.
BILL BOEHM: Sorry, Jim, I'm going to jump in here one more time. I am comfortable with that approach. But I feel like there's still ambiguity in the language in 4.22 about accessory apartments, where it specifically says, "single and two-family dwellings" --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- and I don't follow exactly Mr. Rafferty's logic. So I feel like if we're approving this on what is not a single- or two-family dwelling, although that's the way it's stated in the Zoning Code, we need to either correct that language or acknowledge ourselves that it doesn't matter, because it says, "in all
districts." So it seems like conflicting language, and we should be clear on that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I think we can wordsmith around it. Steven, did you have a comment?

STEVEN NG: Nope.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm sorry Stephen -- we're having further debate about the -- just amongst us two here -- about the accessory dwelling unit and which section it applies to and whether this should be a variance or whether this should be an accessory apartment. For a simple proposal, this is getting awful convoluted.

So, members of the Board, are you comfortable moving forward with a motion to grant a relief, special permit, on the condition that it's only for the improvements shown on the second floor and nothing else, and anything else would have to be by a separate request for relief? DANIEL HIDALGO: I'm comfortable with that. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

BILL BOEHM: I am too.
STEVEN NG: Also in support of that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Motion: The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the

Ordinance under Sections 10.22 for Accessory Apartment -10, did I say 10? -- 4.22, 4.22.1, the relief there is that that section specifically talks about and -- or seems to talk about an accessory building for a one- or two-family dwelling and this is three-. We're accepting that change.

And Section 4.21 for Accessory Use and a special permit, the criteria under 10.43 where it appears that the requirements of the ordinance cannot or will not be met. Correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion. Which it would not.

The continued operation of or the development of the adjacent uses would be adversely affected. It will not.

Nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety, or welfare. It will not.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, which it will not.

So we find that it complies with all the criteria of Section 10.43 for a special permit.

On the condition that this action only applies to the improvement shown on the second floor, and not to any
improvements on the ground floor, which would need to be a future application for relief. On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "163 Allston Street, Sheet A102," by DRT Architects, dated February 14, 2024. Again, on the condition that it's only the secondfloor work that's being relieved here or relief being granted for and not the ground floor -- initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of this application.

On a voice vote, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief
is granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, members of the Board.
Have a good evening. Goodnight.
MARYELLEN CARVELLO: Thank you very much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
(7:29 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-258992 -- 31
Bowdoin Street. From this, we have correspondence that the homeowners request they are going to withdraw without prejudice. So on a voice vote to accept the withdrawal without prejudice; Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: This matter is withdrawn without prejudice.
(8:30 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: BZA-258983 -- 75 Dudley Street.
We'd like to continue this. I'd like to suggest that we continue this case. We're having some issues with the way things were advertised, and public being able to call in. And since it's a variance, we'd like to continue this.

Is the proponent on hand?

MARK SEREDA: Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Veronique? No. I am the architect -- Mark Sereda from O'Sullivan Architects, 606 -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. MARK SEREDA: -- Main Street. JIM MONTEVERDE: Did you hear my introduction, Mark?

MARK SEREDA: What's that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Did you hear my introduction?

MARK SEREDA: That --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'd like to continue; I suggest we continue this case.

MARK SEREDA: Yes, okay. Yes, that's fine. You want to continue it?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MARK SEREDA: Okay, very good.
JIM MONTEVERDE: May 9?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you do May 9, does that work for you, Mark?

MARK SEREDA: Yes. Yes, that would be fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Members of the Board? May 9, okay? Well, this is a case not heard, right?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Don't worry about it. All right.
MARK SEREDA: All right. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So let me make a motion to continue this matter to May 9, 2024, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 9, 2024, and the new time of 6 p.m.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be
obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to
the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this
coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this
Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals,
changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any
supporting statement that those be in our files by 5 p.m. on
the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.
On the motion to continue this matter until May 9,
2024, by a voice vote of the Board members, Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.

> [All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: The matter is continued. Thank
you.

MARK SEREDA: All right. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Board members, that's a wrap.

Thank you for your help.
STEVEN NG: Thank you. Goodnight, everybody. DANIEL HIDALGO: Goodnight.
[08:36 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91:2 | 23:13 26:18 | 16 69:6 | 91:10 | 50s 87:3 |
| yards 83:13,20 | 29:9,10,11,12 | 163 2:12 93:5 | 3,000 24:6 | 53H 10:11 |
| yeah 5:4 10:1 | 29:22 33:10 | 94:14,19 116:3 | 3/8 81:11,13 | 54 2:9 |
| 14:4 17:2 18:4 | 49:8 54:17 | 17 24:4 48:11 | 82:18 84:6 | 55 2:3 6:6,18 |
| 20:12,19 26:5 | 56:1 70:6 | 123:18 | 90:5 91:3,11 | 23:3 35:11,19 |
| 29:5 30:17 | 103:1 106:1 | 17' 13:6 | 30 2:5,7 13:9 | 41:12 |
| 31:8,9 39:2 | 113:20 | 19 84:1 | 43:6,8,11,18 | 5557 26:3 |
| 40:3,16 41:10 | Zoom 23:18 |  | 46:5 |  |
| 44:9,13 46:10 | 24:18 34:16 | $\frac{2}{23: 10 ~}$ | 31 2:13 118:5 | 6 |
| 54:10 58:5,10 | 50:19 73:14 | 23:10 48:12 | 35' 68:22 72:21 | 62:3 9:1 23:2 |
| 58:15 70:20,21 | 89:8 108:1 | 95:16 | 72:22 | 24:20 34:19 |
| 71:1 72:15,22 |  | $2018: 1$ | 359 2:10 66:6,9 | 35:4 43:20 |
| 76:19 83:18 | 0 | 20' 81:12 83:7 | 74:2 76:11 | 46:17 50:22 |
| 84:2 87:2 | 01/11/24 2:4 | 83:16,22 | 360 68:3 | 63:19 68:21 |
| 90:13 99:12 | 02/10/22 2:6 | 20,2023 91:15 | 363 74:5 | 73:17 84:3 |
| 101:2 102:6 | 02139 1:6 | 2019 95:12 | 374 95:18 | 89:11 108:4 |
| 109:9,19 120:6 | 04/07 44:3,4 | $2022: 9$ 54:5 |  | 120:20 |
| 120:14 | 04/25 46:12 | 2020 76:12 | 4 | 6:00 1:4 3:3 |
| year 44:3 80:8 | 08:36 122:8 | 2023 3:10 43:20 | $418: 21$ 43:22 | 6:03 6:2 |
| years 40:14 80:6 |  | 2024 1:3 3:7 | 44:1,2 | 6:30 62:16 |
| 95:2,2 | 1 | 44:11 46:14,15 | 4,000 $24: 7$ | 6:50 43:2 |
| Yep 28:17 33:5 | 16:20 7:9 19:3 | 46:17 47:9 | 4,000' 22:16 | 6:54 46:2 |
| 38:4 41:5 | 95:17 97:12 | 52:10 63:17,19 | 4.21 58:1 115:6 | 6:56 48:2 |
| 42:12 45:7 | 1,500 22:15 | 116:5 120:18 | 4.22 54:17 55:5 | 606 119:13 |
| 54:19 56:12 | 1.7' 67:11 | 120:20 121:12 | 55:8 56:2,7 | 61 22:9 |
| 57:13 61:17 | $1025: 13$ 115:2,2 | 123:13 | 57:15 58:1 | 617 26:2 |
| 62:9 66:15 | 10.22 115:1 | 2025 64:11 | 105:1,8 106:2 | 66 2:10 |
| 69:22 72:20 | $10.3091: 5$ | 2028 123:18 | 113:12 115:2 | 67 38:21 |
| 74:6 77:19 | 10.40 51:13 $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 3} 76: 8115: 7$ | 223:15 | 4.22.1 94:18 |  |
| 82:13 83:15,17 | 10.43 76:8 115:7 | 22nd 123:13 | 95:10 96:18 | $\frac{7}{744 \cdot 1176 \cdot 12.12}$ |
| 84:5,10,17 | 115:20 10.67' 83.2 | $2412: 8$ 48:7,12 | 105:1 115:2 | $7 \text { 44:11 76:12,12 }$ |
| 86:2 87:22 | 10.67' 83:2 | 52:9 | 43 2:5 | 7' 13:7 17:19 |
| 89:1 94:12 | 1146:8 | 245 6:17 | $462: 7$ | 68:6,7 87:6 |
| 97:6 110:18 | 11/17/22 76:16 1182.13 | 25 18:1 46:13,15 | 48 2:8 | 7.5 67:12 81:18 $83 \cdot 14$ |
| 114:3 119:14 | 1182:13 | 46:17 47:9 $63: 6,7,17,19$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 83:14 } \\ \text { 7.5' } 48: 20 \text { 83:20 } \end{gathered}$ |
| $120: 3$ Yup 17:16 | 1192:14 | 63:6,7,17,19 64:11 | $\frac{5}{518: 2122: 11}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7.5' } 48: 20 \text { 83:20 } \\ & \text { 7:03 54:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Yup 17:16 } \\ 108: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $1252: 10$ 12' 12:11 13:14 | 64:11 251115 41:12 | $518: 2122: 11$ $37: 1538: 12$ | 7:03 54:2 |
|  | 70:18 71:2,5 | 257228 66:6 | 47:6 64:8 | 7:29 118:2 |
| Z | 71:11,18 72:1 | 257728 43:6 | 121:9 | 7:39 79:2 |
| Zero 81:3 | 77:9,10 | 46:5 | 5' 10:20 13:15 | 7:56 93:2 |
| zippo 98:15 | 12.5' 83:1,7 | 258026 48:7 | 17:20 68:7 | 75 2:14 13:14 |
| zone 55:18 | 12.5.' 83:8 | 26 22:11 89:4 | 5.31 51:12 75:12 | 119:5 |
| 61:12 | 125 2:11 79:5 | 28 1:3 3:7 19:1 | 91:1 | 79 2:11 |
| zoning 1:1 $3: 8$ | 80:8 91:14 | 23:2 | 5.5' $48: 21$ | 8 |
| 3:13 9:16 15:6 | 14116:4 |  | 5.7' 67:12 $\mathbf{5 0} 68.6$ |  |
| 16:6,15,17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15' 70:20 71:12 } \\ & \mathbf{1 5 6} \text { 108:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{3}{381: 11,1382: 18}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{5 0} 68: 6 \\ \mathbf{5 0 0} 95: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 835: 738: 6 \\ 59: 1768: 21 \end{array}$ |



