

CAMBRIDGE POLICE DEPARTMENT



2010

FIRST QUARTER CRIME REPORT

COVERING JANUARY 1- MARCH 31, 2010

Statistics, Descriptions and Analysis Covering Part I and II Crimes	Cambridge Neighborhood Crime Statistics
CRIME ANALYSIS UNIT 125 Sixth St • Cambridge, MA 02142 617.349.3390 crimeanalysis@cambridgepolice.org	Robert C. Haas , <i>Police Commissioner</i> Robert W. Healy , <i>City Manager</i>

Crime reports are now available online at www.cambridgepolice.org

CAMBRIDGE POLICE DEPARTMENT 2010 FIRST QUARTER CRIME REPORT

**Produced by the
Cambridge Police Department
Crime Analysis Unit:**

Richard Sevieri
Strategic Analysis Coordinator

Rebecca Burbank
Crime Analyst

Meghan McKenney
Crime Analyst

Dana Blech
Crime Analysis Intern

Stephen Maywalt
Information Systems Manager

Michael DeSantis
Information Systems Specialist

Ty Mekonnen
Information Systems Specialist

Lieutenant Daniel Wagner
Unit Supervisor

Cambridge Police Department
125 Sixth St, Cambridge, MA 02142
617-349-3390

crimeanalysis@cambridgepolice.org
<http://www.cambridgepolice.org>

Deputy Lester Sullivan
Deputy Superintendent of Criminal
Investigations & Administrative Services

Superintendent Christopher Burke
Superintendent of Support Services

2010 FIRST QUARTER SUMMARY

MURDER:

- ◆ There were no homicides reported during the first quarter of 2010.
- ◆ The most recent homicide conviction was that of Roslindale resident Elysee Bresilla, who was found guilty of first degree murder on February 5, 2010. The conviction stemmed from a March 2006 incident in which a Somerville man was shot and killed on Mass Ave in Cambridge.

RAPE:

- ◆ Three rapes were reported in the first quarter of 2010. All three were classified as non-stranger rapes (acquaintance rapes). None of the three incidents were related.
 - **Acquaintance Rapes** are non-domestic rapes committed by someone who knows the victim. They include rapes of co-workers, schoolmates, friends, and other acquaintances. This category includes “date rapes.”
 - **Blitz Rapes** are stranger rapes in which the rapist assaults the victim on the street with no prior contact. Generally, the suspect “comes out of nowhere.”
 - **Contact Rapes** are stranger rapes in which the suspect contacts the victim and tries to gain his/her confidence before assaulting him/her. Contact rapists typically pick up their victims in bars, lure them into their cars or houses, or otherwise try to coerce the victim into a situation in which they can begin their assault.
 - **Domestic Rapes** involve rapes between spouses, romantic partners, and family members.

ROBBERY:

- ◆ Street robberies were down by ten incidents (29%) from last year’s first quarter.
- ◆ There were two similar street robberies targeting cell phones in the area of the Cambridge Galleria in February and March, one of which resulted in the arrest of four individuals from Boston and Randolph. Otherwise, no definite patterns emerged during the first quarter.
- ◆ Cell phones were stolen most often in street robberies this quarter, followed by cash and purses. Arrests were made in four of the incidents.
- ◆ Commercial robberies dropped by 50%, from six incidents in the first quarter of 2009 to three incidents in 2010. No commercial robbery patterns emerged during the first quarter of 2010.
See the Pattern Analysis section for more information on robbery trends.

BURGLARY:

- ◆ Commercial breaks in the first quarter dropped by 50% from 24 incidents in 2009 to 12 in 2010. Unlike in 2009 when an established pattern emerged in Central Sq during the first quarter, only a few possibly related incidents took place during the same time frame in 2010.
- ◆ Residential burglary dropped by 37 incidents from the first quarter of 2009 to the first quarter of 2010. Nine of the housebreaks in 2010 were attempts where entry into the victims’ homes was not gained.
- ◆ Only one housebreak pattern emerged in the first three months of 2010. This lone pattern took place in North Cambridge along the Somerville border.

- ♦ Laptops, jewelry, cash, MP3 players, digital cameras, televisions, and video game systems were targeted most often in the housebreaks of the first quarter.
- ♦ Nine people were arrested for residential burglaries during the first quarter, three of which were for domestic-related incidents.

See the Pattern Analysis section for more information on burglary patterns.

LARCENY:

- ♦ Larcenies in the first quarter of 2009 dropped by 108 incidents in comparison to last year's first quarter. Increases were seen in larcenies from persons, larcenies of bicycles, and larcenies from residences, while some of the most noteworthy decreases were reported in larcenies from motor vehicles and shoplifting incidents.
- ♦ Larceny from motor vehicle patterns developed in West Cambridge along the periphery of Harvard Square and in Mid-Cambridge.
- ♦ A pattern of overnight tire thefts from Hondas and Acuras emerged in February and continued sporadically throughout the rest of the quarter. Other local jurisdictions also experienced an increase in tire thefts.
- ♦ Larceny from persons at restaurants and cafés in Harvard & Central Squares was one of the few prolific crimes in Cambridge during the first quarter, particularly in January and March. Arrests were made in two incidents.

For more details, see the Pattern Analysis section.

AUTO THEFT:

- ♦ Auto thefts decreased by 19 incidents in 2010, dropping to their lowest first quarter level in years. East Cambridge, Cambridgeport, and North Cambridge reported the highest number of auto thefts in this time period, with five incidents each.
- ♦ Two-thirds of the stolen cars have been recovered to date, with about half of the vehicles recovered outside of Cambridge.
- ♦ No definite patterns could be distinguished during the first quarter.


CRIMES REPORTED IN CAMBRIDGE

January 1 to March 31, 2010

Part I Crime	2007 1/1-3/31	2008 1/1-3/31	2009 1/1-3/31	2010 1/1-3/31	% Change 09-10
Murder	0	0	1	0	Inc*
Rape	5	5	2	3	Inc
Stranger	1	0	1	0	Inc
Non-Stranger	4	5	1	3	Inc
Robbery	36	37	40	27	-33%
Commercial	8	8	6	3	-50%
Street	28	29	34	24	-29%
Aggravated Assault	53	63	53	50	-6%
Burglary	173	115	124	75	-40%
Commercial	35	15	24	12	-50%
Residential	138	100	100	63	-37%
Larceny	487	593	606	498	-18%
Building	95	117	82	82	No Change
From Motor Vehicle	172	222	250	154	-38%
Person	70	74	75	92	+23%
Bicycle	14	10	19	26	+37%
Shoplifting	84	87	127	91	-28%
Residence	28	48	31	39	+26%
MV Plate	9	21	10	6	-40%
Services	5	9	8	6	-25%
Misc.	10	5	4	2	-50%
Auto Theft	67	39	43	24	-44%
Total Violent	94	105	96	80	-17%
Total Prop.	727	747	773	597	-23%
Index Total	821	852	869	677	-22%

*Note: percentages are not calculated for numbers so small as to lead to a statistically misleading percentage.

5 Year Crime Comparison January - March



Highlights: First Three Months in Review

The first quarter index crime total has decreased to its lowest level in 50 years in Cambridge.

Overall, index crimes in 2010 have dropped by 22% compared to last year. The most drastic decreases have been in commercial breaks and robberies, housebreaks, and auto thefts. On the other hand, there have been slight increases in some categories of larceny during the first quarter.

Violent crime is down by 17% in the first quarter, fueled by notable decreases in both categories of robbery (commercial and street) and aggravated assault.

Property crime totals are down by 23% compared to the same time frame in 2009. Larceny has decreased by 18% overall, with significant drops in larceny from motor vehicles and shoplifting. Of the 154 larcenies, 44 (29%) were GPS thefts. These items have been a major target citywide over the past two years but have recently seen a significant decline; in past quarters the percentage of GPS thefts has been closer to 50%. With the economy continuing to be on the mend, the larceny category may see further decreases.

CRIMES REPORTED IN CAMBRIDGE

Part II Crime	2008 1/1-3/31	2009 1/1-3/31	2010 1/1-3/31	% Change 09-10
Narcotics **	30	23	28	+22%
Malicious Destruction Total	155	94	119	+27%
Attempted Theft	2	2	0	Inc*
Business (Other)	6	7	9	+29%
Business Window	7	6	8	+33%
Car (Other)	16	20	22	+10%
Car Window	40	24	36	+50%
Graffiti	26	7	24	+243%
House Window	6	1	0	Inc
Pinstripping	12	4	6	+50%
Residence (Other)	4	11	4	-64%
Revenge	6	0	4	Inc
Tire Slashing	30	12	6	-50%
Fraud Total	123	94	116	+23%
Embezzlement	1	2	4	+100%
Counterfeiting	9	6	5	-17%
Identity Theft	25	21	27	+29%
<i>Forgery/ Uttering Total</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>-2%</i>
Application	8	0	0	No Change
Bad Check	6	7	4	-43%
Forged Check	12	9	15	+67%
ATM/Credit Card	39	39	34	-13%
Miscellaneous Forgery	5	0	1	Inc
<i>Con Game Total</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>+160%</i>
Big Carrot Con	2	1	2	Inc
Utility Impostor Con	1	0	3	Inc
Pigeon Drop Con	0	0	0	No Change
Charity	2	1	0	Inc
Other/Internet Related	10	6	16	+167%
Miscellaneous Con games	3	2	5	+150%
Sex Offenses Total	21	16	23	+44%
Annoying & Accosting	3	2	6	+200%
Indecent Assault	6	7	9	+29%
Peeping & Spying	0	3	0	Inc
Prostitution and Soliciting	2	0	0	No Change
Indecent Exposure	10	4	8	+100%
Disorderly Conduct	8	16	12	-25%
Drinking in Public	1	1	19	Inc
Extortion/Blackmail	0	1	0	Inc
Hit & Run Accidents	149	171	121	-29%
Kidnapping	0	0	1	Inc
Liquor Violations	0	2	2	No Change
OUI	11	17	15	-12%
Simple Assault	98	103	76	-26%
Threats	53	44	50	+14%
Trespassing	10	13	11	-15%
Weapons Violations	10	7	3	-57%
TOTAL Part II Crimes	669	602	596	-1%

Highlights: First Three Months in Review

Malicious destruction incidents have increased by 27% when compared to the first three months of 2009. This can be attributed to increases in graffiti incidents and broken car windows. The top four neighborhoods for malicious destruction incidents were Inman/Harrington, Area 4, Cambridgeport, and Riverside.

The most typical type of fraud in Cambridge tends to be credit and debit card forgery. In a majority of the cases, victims reported unauthorized charges made to their credit or bank accounts. Often this occurred after wallets were stolen or credit cards misplaced, but it was also reported by some victims who were still in possession of their cards and had no knowledge of how someone acquired their information. Con games have recently been on the rise; with the internet and fraudulent email, people often fall victim to scam artists. Even with an increase of 23%, it is likely that the actual incidence of fraud crimes is higher than the number reported to the police, due to underreporting.

Sex offenses have risen in comparison to 2009, but they are in line with numbers reported in 2008. A number of other crime categories have seen a decrease (simple assaults, disorderly conduct, hit and run accidents, OUI, trespassing and weapon violations), contributing to the overall decline of Part II Crimes by 1%.

*Note: Inc. = percentages are not calculated for numbers so small, so as to prevent a statistically misleading percentage.

**Numbers for narcotics represent all drug incidents that result in police reports in Cambridge, the majority of which involve arrests.

FIRST QUARTER 2010 PATTERN ANALYSIS AND POLICE RESPONSE STRATEGIES

LARCENIES FROM PERSONS RISE WHILE MOST OTHER CRIMES DECLINE:

Larcenies from persons rose by 17 incidents during the first quarter of 2010. This represents a 23% increase over the same time frame in 2009. The main cause for this increase was a rise in thefts from individuals dining in restaurants, cafés, and bars (also known as dipper activity). There were 48 incidents of this nature across the city during the first quarter of 2010, while there were only 24 reported during last year's first quarter.

Dipper activity in Cambridge tends to be focused in the Squares, particularly Harvard and Central Squares. There were 24 incidents of dipper activity reported in Harvard Square dining establishments during the first quarter of 2010, half of which took place during the month of March. Multiple incidents were reported at *Crema Café*, *Pete's Coffee*, *Border Café*, *Starbucks*, and *Felipe's Taqueria*. Over the course of the quarter, a few different suspects were identified in these larcenies. One arrest was made in March, and additional suspects have since been arrested in April.

Central Square experienced 12 incidents of dipper activity during the first quarter. Unlike Harvard Square where multiple locations were hit more than once, only the *Clear Conscience Café* in Central Square saw more than one incident. A Waltham man was arrested during the commission of a larceny outside of *Wendy's* in February.

It is important to note that these types of larcenies from persons are generally easy to prevent. Remember to always keep your belongings within your control. Do not leave purses on the floor, on the back of your chair, or otherwise unattended. Do not leave wallets or cell phones in the pockets of hanging coats.

BURGLARIES DECREASE CONSIDERABLY IN THE FIRST QUARTER:

Both residential and commercial burglaries dropped substantially during the first quarter of 2010. Compared to the same time frame in 2009, first quarter housebreaks decreased by 37% in 2010 and commercial breaks dropped a full 50%.

Only one housebreak pattern developed during the first quarter of 2010. In late January and February, there were seven incidents reported in North Cambridge along the border of Somerville. Entry was gained through forced or unlocked windows and doors, and the main targets were electronics such as laptops, game systems, and televisions. Jewelry and cash were also stolen in a few of the breaks. No arrests were made in Cambridge, but Somerville Police stopped two possible suspects and arrested a third individual, which may have played a part in the reduction of incidents in North Cambridge.

Commercial breaks dropped from 24 incidents in 2009 to 12 in 2010. This drop can be attributed to the absence of any real patterns in 2010. In 2009, a pattern developed in Central Sq that resulted in 11 incidents. No patterns of this magnitude developed in 2010, therefore leading to the significant drop. The only possibly related incidents in 2010 were two overnight breaks, one into Microcenter on Memorial Drive and another in an office building in East Cambridge; both incidents were of a semi-professional nature and targeted laptops. No arrests have been made.

ROBBERIES ALSO DOWN IN 2010:

There were 24 street robberies in the first quarter of 2010, down 10 incidents (29%) from the previous year's first quarter. Commercial robberies experienced a 50% decrease, dropping from six incidents in 2009 to only three in 2010.

Only one very brief pattern of street robberies took place in the first quarter of 2010. On March 2, four individuals from Boston and Randolph were arrested near the Galleria Mall after they allegedly followed a victim from the mall and robbed him of his cell phone. One of the arrested individuals then admitted to a similar robbery that took place in February, a few weeks earlier. No further incidents were reported after the March arrest.

No commercial robbery patterns emerged during the first quarter. One incident was reported in each month. In January, a convenience store on Walden St was robbed. In February, a robbery took place at a store in the Galleria Mall. In March, the clerk at a gas station on Memorial Drive was robbed. None of the incidents are believed to be related, but all three involved handguns.

LARCENIES FROM MOTOR VEHICLES DROP BY ALMOST 100 INCIDENTS:

There were 154 larcenies from motor vehicles (LMVs) reported in the first quarter of 2010, which is down 38% compared to last year's first quarter. GPS navigation systems were targeted most often in these larcenies, with 29% of the incidents involving the thefts of these items. This percentage is actually down compared to the past few years when GPS systems accounted for closer to 50% of the items stolen in larcenies from motor vehicles. Despite this drop, one of the main concerns continues to be that some people still leave their GPS systems unattended in plain view on car dashboards, making them easy targets for thieves that may be casing the area.

During the first quarter, patterns of larcenies from motor vehicles were seen in two main locations: in West Cambridge along the periphery of Harvard Square and in Mid-Cambridge. From mid-January through mid-February, there were over 20 LMVs reported in and around West Cambridge in close proximity to Harvard Square. The majority of these incidents took place overnight between 8:30 p.m. and 1:30 a.m. and targeted laptops, cell phones, and other small electronics. One arrest was made in the pattern area in early February, but incidents continued through mid-February before dissipating.

The other pattern area during the first quarter was centered in Mid-Cambridge. Over the course of six days in mid-February, 12 LMVs were reported in this neighborhood, the majority of which took place on two weekend nights. The incidents occurred late in the evenings and were centered in the area of Broadway, Ware St, Harvard St, and Quincy St. No arrests were made in connection with this pattern.

In February and March, sporadic overnight tire thefts from Hondas and Acuras re-emerged in Cambridge and surrounding jurisdictions. These thefts are part of a continuing trend that has been seen in Cambridge and other local cities in recent years. During the first quarter of 2010, there were 13 reported tire thefts, which were spread across the city and took place primarily overnight on weekdays. No arrests were made during the first quarter of 2010.

CAMBRIDGE POLICE RESPONSE STRATEGIES

The Cambridge Police Department utilizes a number of different strategies and tactics to identify and interdict crime patterns and trends. *Problem Oriented Policing* is a technique that is employed to combat long-standing crime problems. With POP, the Department analyzes situations, responds employing an array of suitable strategies, and then assesses the outcome of these actions. The community is kept abreast of emerging hotspots in their neighborhoods through scheduled monthly meetings. In more serious cases, a crime alert is dispatched to the affected area.

If ongoing target crime patterns arise, various patrol responses are deployed. Some officers are used as decoys, surveillance units cover potential suspects, and patrol cruisers are directed to specific locations for deterrence and suppression of criminal activity. Regardless of the response strategy, the safety of all citizens remains paramount to the Cambridge Police Department.

SOME TIPS FOR PROTECTING YOUR PROPERTY

PREVENTING RESIDENTIAL BURGLARY


- **Try “casing” your own home, at night and during the day.** Attempt to gain access to your home when the doors and windows are locked and “secure.” Make sure you have some identification on you in case your neighbors call the police.
- **Doors should be made from strong wood or metal and should be locked with a deadbolt.** Install guards on windows that prevent them from being raised more than a few inches.
- If you live in an apartment building that has a main entryway, make sure that security is enforced at the main door. **Never prop open the door or let someone in behind you.** Report residents who do this to your landlord.
- **When you go away**, even for the evening, **leave a light or two on** (perhaps on a timer) as well as the television or radio.
- **Consider buying motion sensor** lights outside your home and out of reach so the burglars cannot unscrew the light. Also, buy variable light timers to activate lights in your home.
- **Request a Cambridge Police Department Residential Security Survey**, which provides a general assessment of the vulnerability of your residence. For more information, call (617) 349-6009.

PREVENTING LARCENIES FROM MOTOR VEHICLES

- **Use common sense when leaving your vehicle unattended.**
- **Make sure all valuables are out of sight.** If you cannot bring valuables with you when you leave the car, at least move them to the trunk or under the seat where they will not be seen. Leaving expensive items out in the open creates an easy target, attracting thieves that may be casing the area.
 - ★ ***This is particularly important with GPS systems, laptops, and iPods.*** ALWAYS remove detachable GPS systems from dashboards and windshields. Make sure to remove all bases, cords, and chargers as well, and if possible, clean the dashboard or windshield to remove any indication that a GPS system was there.
- **Lock all doors when leaving the vehicle.** Thieves often pull door handles looking for unlocked cars.
- Larcenies from motor vehicles are crimes of opportunity and can be easily prevented. **Always hide or remove your belongings, lock your car, and take your keys.**
- **If your vehicle is broken into, please report it to the police**, even if nothing significant was taken or no serious damage resulted from the incident. The more information we have about these crimes (including locations, damage, and items stolen), the more likely it will be that we can stop the people who are committing them.

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

NEIGHBORHOODS



FIVE-YEAR NEIGHBORHOOD CRIME COMPARISON

NEIGHBORHOOD 1: East Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	4	5	6	7	3
Street Robbery	3	2	6	4	4
Auto Theft	3	8	4	5	5
Larceny from MVs	29	20	35	20	12
Malicious Destruction	16	22	23	10	10
Drug Incidents	0	5	6	1	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 2: M.I.T. Area

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	0	0	0	0	0
Street Robbery	0	0	0	3	0
Auto Theft	0	2	3	1	0
Larceny from MVs	4	2	4	10	2
Malicious Destruction	2	0	0	2	0
Drug Incidents	0	0	0	0	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 3: Inman/Harrington

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	4	21	11	10	11
Street Robbery	5	4	1	1	1
Auto Theft	8	2	4	3	0
Larceny from MVs	19	17	13	4	4
Malicious Destruction	13	11	18	10	21
Drug Incidents	3	1	4	5	5

NEIGHBORHOOD 4: Area 4

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	6	25	16	8	11
Street Robbery	8	6	6	4	5
Auto Theft	4	11	4	2	4
Larceny from MVs	12	7	8	7	8
Malicious Destruction	23	9	23	13	16
Drug Incidents	9	5	4	5	10

NEIGHBORHOOD 5: Cambridgeport

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	4	18	7	12	7
Street Robbery	1	6	3	8	3
Auto Theft	4	9	2	6	5
Larceny from MVs	14	14	33	28	13
Malicious Destruction	21	17	16	9	15
Drug Incidents	3	7	2	5	3

NEIGHBORHOOD 6: Mid-Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	11	23	16	17	7
Street Robbery	1	3	2	4	2
Auto Theft	7	4	9	2	0
Larceny from MVs	18	22	27	55	34
Malicious Destruction	10	14	5	9	8
Drug Incidents	2	2	2	3	5

NEIGHBORHOOD 7: Riverside

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	5	7	8	13	6
Street Robbery	1	3	1	2	2
Auto Theft	4	2	3	4	3
Larceny from MVs	4	12	20	9	15
Malicious Destruction	12	20	15	7	15
Drug Incidents	3	3	5	1	2

NEIGHBORHOOD 8: Agassiz

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	7	1	6	1	1
Street Robbery	0	1	1	0	0
Auto Theft	2	2	0	4	0
Larceny from MVs	8	16	18	34	8
Malicious Destruction	3	6	1	0	3
Drug Incidents	1	0	0	0	2

NEIGHBORHOOD 9: Peabody

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	5	16	13	11	6
Street Robbery	3	0	2	4	0
Auto Theft	2	3	4	6	0
Larceny from MVs	16	21	23	28	13
Malicious Destruction	9	10	13	7	7
Drug Incidents	1	1	1	2	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 10: West Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	7	7	6	3	3
Street Robbery	2	2	2	0	0
Auto Theft	1	4	3	4	2
Larceny from MVs	28	24	15	34	21
Malicious Destruction	17	12	11	8	8
Drug Incidents	1	0	4	0	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 11: North Cambridge

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	7	13	10	15	7
Street Robbery	5	1	5	3	6
Auto Theft	8	5	1	7	5
Larceny from MVs	10	13	16	17	13
Malicious Destruction	21	17	23	12	12
Drug Incidents	3	1	2	1	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 12: Cambridge Highlands

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	0	1	0	1	0
Street Robbery	0	0	0	0	1
Auto Theft	0	1	1	0	0
Larceny from MVs	8	3	5	3	3
Malicious Destruction	4	2	5	3	2
Drug Incidents	0	0	0	0	0

NEIGHBORHOOD 13: Strawberry Hill

CRIME	Jan-Mar 2006	Jan-Mar 2007	Jan-Mar 2008	Jan-Mar 2009	Jan-Mar 2010
Housebreaks	0	1	1	1	1
Street Robbery	0	0	0	1	0
Auto Theft	1	0	0	1	0
Larceny from MVs	9	1	5	1	8
Malicious Destruction	0	2	2	4	2
Drug Incidents	1	0	0	0	1

Neighborhood Crime Comparison Table January - March 2010

Crime	East Camb	MIT	Inman	Area 4	Camb-port	Mid-Camb	River-side	Aga-ssiz	Pea-body	West Camb	North Camb	Camb High-lands	Straw-berry Hill
Auto Theft	5	0	0	4	5	0	3	0	0	2	5	0	0
Drug Incidents	0	0	5	10	3	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	1
Housebreak	3	0	11	11	7	7	6	1	6	3	7	0	1
Larceny from Building	12	0	1	6	12	5	14	6	4	13	6	3	0
Larceny from MV	12	2	4	8	13	34	15	8	13	21	13	3	8
Larceny from Person	8	5	1	8	14	7	14	5	3	18	6	2	1
Larceny from Residence	5	0	2	3	1	7	2	2	6	3	7	0	1
Larceny of Bicycle	3	0	1	6	2	3	3	2	5	0	1	0	0
Larceny of Plate	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Larceny of Services	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mal. Dest. Property	10	0	21	16	15	8	15	3	7	8	12	2	2
Street Robbery	4	0	1	5	3	2	2	0	0	0	6	1	0