9:00-9:05 Welcome
9:05-9:35 Background Presentation - Central Square Built Form Workshop: Context, Character Goals, Concepts for Consideration
9:45-11:15 Breakout group exercise
   Part 1: Please focus attention throughout the study area, keeping in mind:
   • Heart of Central Square: Core and Neighborhood Transitions
   • Osborn Triangle: Core and Neighborhood Transitions
   • Surface Parking Lots: City and Private
   Consider these questions:
   • How should height transitions be handled? Are the current 45 degree plane approaches appropriate where implemented?
   • Is it appropriate to allow additional density and/or height as an incentive for community-defined goals (e.g. low/middle income housing)?
   • If yes:
     1. Where would greater density be acceptable?
     2. Where would greater heights be acceptable?
     3. How close should tall volumes come to streets or other public places?
     4. Should tall footprints be limited in size and/or separated by minimum distances?
     5. What potential impacts are most important to consider as criteria? e.g.
        Shadows on public places
        Shadows on neighborhoods
        Loss of sky view
        Loss of green space
        Other _____________________
     6. Prioritize these potential community benefits into at least two tiers:
        Middle-income/family housing (80-120% AMI)
        Affordable housing (up to 80% AMI)
        Student housing
        Market rate housing (unspecified income mix)
        Additional public parks, plazas and/or “public room”
        Public realm investments such as in plantings, seating, public art, play elements
        Affordable retail space
        Affordable non-profit office/cultural space
        Public parking replacing existing surface spaces
        Other _____________________
   Part 2: City parking lots
   • What is the most appropriate future use of City parking lots?
   • If city parking lots should be released for redevelopment, what conditions should be established for redevelopment?
   • Please record your ideas on the large base map using markers, colored paper and by pasting on any precedent images you consider appropriate.
11:15-12:00 Report-backs. Each group should provide a 2-minute summary of the principal themes and ideas they discussed
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