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## INTRODUCTION



## PROJ ECT OVERVIEW




# CITY QUESTIONS (RED LINE @ MIT/KENDALL STATION) 

On-Time Performance

Wait Time
Peak of the Peak Service Analysis

## TRANSIT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY



## EXISTING UTILIZATION

Frequency (\#oftrains)
x
Passenger Load Limit (\#pass per train)


## ANALYSIS VERSIONS

| Data Input | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Standard } \\ & \text { 2012-2013 } \\ & \text { MBTA Data } \end{aligned}$ | Enhanced 2012-2013 MBTA Data Adjusted | $2015$ <br> Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Capacity <br> > Frequency <br> > Passenger Load | - MBTA Schedules <br> - MBTA Service Policy <br> - No OTP adjustment | - MBTA Schedules <br> - MBTA Service Policy <br> - With OTP adjustment | - Actual counted trains <br> - MBTA Service Policy |
| Existing Ridership | - MBTA Counts 2012/2013 (average counts in hourly increments) <br> - No growth applied | - MBTA Counts 2012/ 2013 (average counts in hourly increments) <br> - Grown to year 2015 year (per MBTA historical ridership data) | - Actual counts at station entrances (15 min increments) + Observations of passenger loads on each train during peak periods |

OTP $=$ On time performance

## MBTA SERVICE DELIVERY POLICY

" ... average maximum number of passengers allowed per vehicle, to provide a safe and comfortable ride.'

## Red Line Policy Capacity $=167$ passengers per car

REFERENCE POINT

- Standard Red Line 6-car train set operation = capacity for 1,002 passengers per train
- 167 passengers per car translates to 1.7 people standing to each seated passenger (avg. 60 seats on each car)


## Red Line Crush Capacity = 269 passengers per car

- Standard Red Line 6-car train set operation = capacity for 1,614 passengers per train
- 269 passengers per car translates to 3.5 people standing to each seated passenger (avg. 60 seats on each car)
- Crush level is not used for planning purposes


## STANDARD ANALYSIS

(RED LINE @ MIT/KENDALL SQ STATION)
MBTA data

- 2012/ 2013 counts for Red Line @ MIT/Kendall Station
- Average ridership counts in hourly increments

| Red Line | Frequency <br> (\# of trains/ peakhr) | Pax per car | Cars per train | CAPACITY (pax/peakhr) | Entering <br> Pax Load | Utilization \% Entering | Exiting Pax Load | Utilization \% Exiting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inbound | 13 | 167 | 6 | 13,026 | 9,524 | 73\% | 8,513 | 65\% |
| Outbound | 13 | 167 | 6 | 13,026 | 4,784 | 37\% | 3,120 | 24\% |
| PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inbound | 13 | 167 | 6 | 13,026 | 4,033 | 31\% | 5,469 | 42\% |
| Outbound | 13 | 167 | 6 | 13,026 | 8,094 | 62\% | 8,821 | 68\% |

## ENHANCED ANALYSIS



## MBTA data +

## 1.) Adjustment for growth

- 2012/2013 counts are grown to year 2015 (4\% per year)
" growth rate developed from historical MBTA Red Line ridership (2007 to 2013);
" also reviewed ULI's Hub\&Spoke rates


## 2.) Adjusted for On Time Performance

- Capacity reduced to account for trains not arriving as scheduled

Source One: Monthly Scorecards Nov. 2014 lists Red Line OTP @ 95\% (assumes 150\% operating allowance for headway)
Source : Annual Report for 2014 lists Red Line OTP @ 86\% (uses passenger wait time) USED FOR ANALYSIS / MORE CONSERVATIVE

## ENHANCED ANALYSIS

(RED LINE @ MIT/KENDALL SQ STATION)

| Red Line | CAPACIIT * <br> (pax/peak hr) | Entering <br> Pax Load | Utilization \% <br> Entering | Exiting <br> Pax Load | Utilivation <br> \% Exiting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inbound | 11,202 | 10,713 | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | 9,576 | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |
| Outbound | 11,202 | 5,381 | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | 3,510 | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inbound | 11,202 | 4,537 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | 6,152 | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ |
| Outbound | 11,202 | 9,105 | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | 9,922 | $\mathbf{8 9 \%}$ |

* 6 cars/ train, 13 trains/ peak hour, 167 pax/ car, OTP $=0.86$


## OBSERVATION BASED ANALYSIS

(RED LINE @ MIT/ KENDALL SQ STATION)


## VHB Field Observations \& Counts

1.) Methodology

- Coordination with MBTA (permit)
- 6 staff on platform, each assigned to one Red Line car (trains run in 6 car sets)
- Data collection over 2 days (May 12 \& 13, 2015)
- AM peak period 7-10am \& PM peak period 4-7pm
- Inbound and outbound platforms
2.) Data collected
- Train wheel stop time
- Door open time
- Door close time
- Wheel move time
- Car pax load at arrival
- Car pax load at departure
- Number of pax left behind on platform (Overcrowding vs. Destination)
- Platform crowding levels

Video counts at each of the 4 station entrances of pax entering and exiting the station

## OBSERVATION BASED ANALYSIS

(RED LINE @ MIT/KENDALL SQ STATION)

| Red Line | Frequency <br> (\# of trains/ <br> peak hr ) | CAPACITY <br> (pax/peak <br> hr) | Entering <br> Pax Load | Utilivation <br> \% Entering | Exiting <br> Pax Load | Utilization <br> \% Exiting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inbound | 14 | 14,028 | 13,300 | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | 11,300 | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |
| Outbound | 14 | 14,028 | 6,700 | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | 3,500 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inbound | 12 | 12,024 | 4,900 | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | 6,800 | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ |
| Outbound | 10 | 10,020 | 10,700 | $\mathbf{1 0 7 \%}$ | 11,800 | $\mathbf{1 1 8 \%}$ |
| *6 cars/train sets; 167 pax/car |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Observed PM overcapacity due to MBTA operating 10 trains during the peak hour versus 13 scheduled trains

## PM Peak Hour - Red Line MIT/ Kendall Sq. Station



OTHER FINDINGS
(RED LINE @ MIT/KENDALL SQ STATION)
> Min. observed headways were in the 2 min . range
> Impacts to capacity, stemming from observed system issues
> Train car loads and platform loads unevenly distributed

|  | AM <br> Inbound | AM <br> Outhound | PM <br> Inbound | PM <br> Outibound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in MIN:SEC) | $4: 30$ | $4: 30$ | $4: 30$ | $4: 30$ |
| Scheduled Headway | $3: 56$ | $3: 27$ | $3: 36$ | $4: 37$ |
| Max. Avg. Wait Time | $1: 55$ | $1: 35$ | $2: 12$ | $1: 46$ |
| Min. Wait Time | $7: 00$ | $10: 03$ | $5: 25$ | $7: 30$ |
| Max. Wait Time |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 trains | 13 trains | 13 trains | 13 trains |
| Scheduled Frequency | 14 trains | 14 trains | 12 trains* | 10 trains** |
| Observed Frequency | 13,026 | 13,026 | 13,026 | 13,026 |
| Scheduled Capacity (pax) | 14,028 | 14,028 | 12,024 | 10,020 |
| Observed Capacity (pax) |  |  |  |  |

*PM Inbound=1 of 12 trains (8\%) with major delay due to medical emergency;
**PM Outbound $=6$ of 10 trains ( $60 \%$ ) with major delays due to signal problems at MGH station ( 4 pm to 7 pm )

## OTHER FINDINGS

(RED LINE @ MIT/ KENDALL SQ STATION)

Chart 3: Observed Passenger Load
Entering Inbound Platform (Southbound to Ashmont/Braintree) (May 13, 2015, 7-10am)


AM Peak Hour Inbound
Entering Trains
Peak $15=8: 45-9: 00 a m$
Individual trains observed above policy; when averaged over entire hour utilization is at 95\%

14 trains during peak hour

## OTHER FINDINGS

(RED LINE @ MIT/ KENDALL SQ STATION)


PM Peak Hour Outbound Entering Trains

- Peak $15=5: 30-5: 45 \mathrm{pm}$
- Between Policy and Crush; hourly average utilization at 107\%.
- Signal problems from 4-7pm
- 10 trains during peak hour
- This graph shows entering trains only; 5 of the 10 exiting trains reported some "left behinds" on platform


## TRANSIT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY



## MODE SPLIT DATA ASSUMPTIONS

| Mode | R\&D/Office | Residential | Retail | Academic/ <br> Institutional |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Auto | $41 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Transit | $42 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Walk | $7 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Bike | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Other | $\underline{0 \%}$ | $\underline{3 \%}$ | $\underline{2 \%}$ | $\underline{3 \%}$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Source: K2C2 Study |  |  |  |  |

## PROPOSED PROJ ECT TRANSIT TRIPS

(MIT AT KENDALL SQUARE DEVELOPMENT)

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode | Trips From Project (Boardings) | Trips To Project (Alightings) | Total Project AM Trips | Trips From Project (Boardings) | Trips To Project (Alightings) | Total Project PM Trips |
| Red Line Inbound Outbound | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 178 \\ & 279 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 327 \\ & 329 \end{aligned}$ |

## MIT/ KENDALL SQ STATION - AM PEAK HOUR



## MIT/KENDALL SQ STATION - PM PEAK HOUR



## SUMMARY

- On-Time Performance Analysis
- Passenger Wait Time Analysis
- Peak of the Peak Service Analysis
- Two Day Observation Data
- Uneven platform and car passenger distribution
- Service ran at shorter headways than scheduled
- Observed overcapacity caused by fewer trains operating during the PM peak hour due to system issues
- Based on ridership observations, existing and new PM Project riders would be accommodated if scheduled 13 peak hour trains operate
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