

				Z
1				
2		INDEX		
3	<u>CASE NO.</u>		PAGE	
4	240		5	
5	210		0	
6	239		127	
7	General Bus	i ness	None	
8				
9	Board of Zo	ning Appeal Cases	221	
10	Other		None	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
	L			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	WILLIAM TIBBS: Welcome to the
3	August 18th meeting of the Cambridge Planning
4	Board. We have two public hearings on the
5	agenda tonight, and we also are going to be
6	di scussi ng and possi bly maki ng
7	recommendations on a Zoning petition that has
8	been where there was a previous public
9	hearing for.
10	I just want to let people know that
11	when the doors stay open to the building
12	while we're here at least, and I think we'll
13	be here a while tonight given the agenda.
14	And if you don't want to sit if you're
15	here for the second item which is the 2419
16	Mass. Ave. Special Permit hearing, you don't
17	have to sit through the first one. But if
18	you want to just go out in the hall and do
19	something, but you don't I just want to
20	let you know that. And the first one is for
21	625 Putnam Ave.
22	So, before I get started on the public

1	hearing, we'll simply have any updates from
2	Beth Rubenstein.
3	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Thank you, Bill.
4	All I have is the upcoming schedule for
5	the Planning Board. We'll be meeting next on
6	September 8th and then again on September
7	22nd. And in October our meetings will be on
8	the 6th and the 20th. And right now on the
9	8th we have scheduled a continuation of the
10	hearing that was begun I believe last time on
11	the KayaKa Hotel's request for valet parking.
12	And there may be items that may carry over
13	from tonight. If the item you've come for
14	isn't concluded tonight, we do our best to
15	tell you when it will next be on the agenda.
16	But the safest thing is always to check the
17	city's web site where the Planning Board
18	agenda is also always listed.
19	And then just looking to the fall for
20	those who follow City Council business, the
21	City Council's first meeting in September
22	will be September 14th. And then the Council

1	will be meeting again on September 21st. And
2	we expect the Council to be continuing its
3	discussion of the Conner petition at one or
4	both of those meetings. There is no meeting
5	on September 28th. And I think that's it.
6	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Okay, thank you.
7	As I said, we have two public hearings.
8	The first one is our case No. 240 or 2-4-0.
9	lt's 625 Putnam Ave. It's a multi-family
10	Special Permit.
11	In terms of how the public hearings go,
12	we typically have a presentation by the
13	proponent and then after that we'll ask
14	clarifying questions from the Board.
15	Typi cally those questions are just clarifying
16	to get more information. And then we open it
17	up for public comment. There is a sign-up
18	sheet in the corner. If you would like to
19	speak, please sign up on the sign-up sheet.
20	But if for whatever reason you're not able to
21	get to the sign-up sheet, when we go through
22	the sign-up sheet, you know, we will ask

1	people if they have anything to say. And
2	also in case people change their mind after
3	seeing the presentation about whether or not
4	they want to speak or not. So you'll have
5	that opportunity. When you do when we do
6	have the public hearing, I'll remind folks of
7	this after the proponent does their
8	presentation, but we do ask that you come up
9	and use the microphone if you can. And
10	you'll want to give your name and address.
11	And the recorder likes it if you can spell
12	your name. So with that, we'll start the
13	public hearing.
14	JANE JONES: Good evening, members
15	of the Planning Board and neighbors. My name
16	is Jane Jones. I'm the senior project
17	manager at Homeowners Rehab in Cambridge.
18	And HRI is an affordable housing developer
19	that has been developing housing in Cambridge
20	for over 30 years. We're here tonight to
21	request a Special Permit for 625 Putnam
22	Avenue which is a proposed 40 unit affordable

1	rental development in Cambridgeport. We've
2	sel ected ICON Archi tecture as the archi tect,
3	and HRI has worked with ICON in the past at
4	Auburn Court. The slide shows the Auburn
5	Court housing development that was completed
6	in 2001 with ICON Architecture as the
7	architect. Nancy Ludwig is principal and
8	she's here with me as well as Kendra
9	Halliwell who is an associate, and the three
10	of us will show you the proposed development
11	and the Powerpoint presentation.
12	We have held two community meetings
13	with neighbors who expressed concerns and
14	ideas about the development, it's density,
15	design, parking, open space and environmental
16	issues. The proposed development does
17	conform to the Zoning that was created
18	several years ago with intensive community
19	involvement that supported new residential
20	development. 625 Putnam Ave. is 40 units and
21	10 percent below the number of units
22	allowed under Zoning and below the allowable

1	height limit under Zoning. It's comprised of
2	10, one-bedroom; 20, two-bedrooms and 10,
3	three-bedroom units, including three handicap
4	accessi bl e uni ts.
5	At community meetings there were lots
6	of ideas about the design and further
7	di scussi on with neighbors. Some people
8	wanted to see more traditional design while
9	others wanted a more modern design. And we
10	think ICON's design speaks to both of those
11	principles. As you can see adequate open
12	space and parking was also a concern and
13	discussed at the meetings. These issues are
14	somewhat directly in competition with each
15	other, so parking so to address that, we
16	located the parking below grade to allow for
17	more open space. As you'll see in the slides
18	that Nancy describes, the setback on the
19	eastern side of the site which we're
20	requesting relief, provides more open space
21	on the opposite side adjacent to existing
22	neighbors. Parking, we've asked for under

1	the Special Permit a reduction in parking.
2	Historically in our affordable developments,
3	50 to 60 percent of our residents have cars
4	which is less than the one-to-one ratio. So
5	we're requesting .7 which is 15 percent over
6	our highest demand. And the city also has
7	the same experience in other affordable
8	housing developments with similar statistics.
9	And in addition, although this was
10	this is a concern of the neighborhoods, the
11	parking, we had discussions with Traffic and
12	Parking and asked them to survey the site.
13	And they have determined that they can
14	designate at least six additional resident
15	parking spaces along Putnam Ave. where no
16	existing parking spaces exist.
17	In terms of environmental issues, that
18	was also discussed at the community meetings.
19	Cambri dgeport resi dents are very conscious
20	about energy conservation and sustainability
21	and want to see a green design. The design
22	incorporates as much natural light and

passive ventilation as possible. And HRI
will also seek funding for renewable energy
and will design the proposed building to meet
these requirements as well the New Mass.
State energy stretch codes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

So, that's a brief summary of the development, and I'm now going to let Nancy from ICON describe it in more detail in the Powerpoint presentation. We're also requesting under the Special Permit a waiver of the filing fee. This is a city-sponsored project, and the filing fee is a soft cost that we're requesting a waiver of.

NANCY LUDWIG: Hi. I'm Nancy Ludwig a principal with ICON Architecture. Jane was supposed to flip to this slide so you can see that we are conforming to Zoning and we're asking for four things tonight as part of the Special Permit process.

20 We need acceptance to build more than 21 12 units of housing. We are building --22 proposing 40. We -- I will show you in the

1	Zoning layout we're 10-foot setback on one
2	side yard that we are requesting relief on.
3	Parking reduction to .7 cars per unit, and a
4	waiver of the Special Permit fee.
5	Location. The star is where we're
6	located in the southern part of
7	Cambridgeport, a wonderful neighborhood
8	surrounded by a good deal of shown in
9	green on this city map community open
10	space. We are within eight-tenths of a mile,
11	a 15-minute walk of the Red Line. And
12	interestingly a shorter distance seven-tenths
13	of a mile over the BU Bridge over to the
14	Green Line. We also are on the Easy Ride
15	transit route, so in all accounts a fairly
16	well-connected site.
17	Looking at an areal view, l've outlined
18	the site here, and I just want to point out
19	that to your east really a predominantly
20	residential neighborhood composed of two and
21	a half tall three-story residential
22	structures, many of which are multi-family,

1	some of which are single-family. But to the
2	east we're really bounded by light industrial
3	users. Some of these properties have been
4	rejuvenated. The one directly across the
5	street from us, and others, you know, may
6	because of this new Zoning, turn into other
7	uses we can't know.
8	I just want to point out one thing on
9	this map. I've shown our site. Here we are
10	on Putnam (indicating), and here is Sydney
11	Street (indicating). And I'm going to refer
12	to those street names as I show you the
13	character of some of the surrounding
14	bui I di ngs.
15	Along Sydney Street you actually see
16	the corner building that sits between the two
17	I'll just call them pieces of the site,
18	and I'll explain that more in a moment. And
19	then further up the street, this building is
20	shown on the right, a brick mansard roof
21	structure with some nice detail and
22	expression at its third floor (indicating).

1	Typically buildings in the neighborhood sit
2	up off the street. Probably this is the
3	typical and this, you know, being up at about
4	six feet is a little more extreme
5	(indicating).
6	The character of Putnam Avenue, again,
7	for orientation, our corner building
8	(indicating), six-family that we sit to
9	either side of. Here you see the long view
10	looking east on Putnam Ave. (indicating), and
11	the building that we will be taking down to
12	make way for this development (indicating).
13	And directly across the street from us one of
14	these rejuvenated warehouse tall, one-story
15	buildings. And, in fact, the open parking
16	lot that will be across the street from much
17	of our Putnam Ave. elevation.
18	I mention the character of the
19	surrounding neighborhood. This looking
20	further down Putnam Ave. (indicating) shows
21	you really the much more typical three-story
22	lifted up off the ground connected by a stoop

1	to the back of the sidewalk. Small garden in
2	front. Extended corners. And interestingly
3	this very typical bay that engages the corner
4	and turns the corner of most of these
5	buildings. And I should also mention that
6	most of the buildings predominantly in the
7	neighborhood are wood framed and clabbered
8	details with trim and simple porches.
9	The interesting thing about this site
10	is we're in two Zoning districts. We
11	straddle the line between the C1 residential
12	district and the SDAA, newly created Zoning
13	district which refers us to the C1-A
14	requirements of the Zoning which I'll plain a
15	bit more.
16	A close-up then shows the corner
17	building that I showed you in the photographs
18	(indicating), the masonry three-story
19	structure I showed you in the photographs,
20	and our proposal for setting Jane
21	mentioned 40 units, but we intend to break
22	the program down into two buildings. A

1	three-story building along Sydney Street that
2	will hold six units, and a four-story
3	building stepping down to three stories that
4	will be more of an apartment type structure
5	with a central corridor with 34 units. All
6	the parking for the development is located
7	under. You see the existing curb cut that
8	will remain, the larger building
9	(indicating).
10	Setbacks. Based upon the C1 Zoning, we
11	have a and the SDAA Zoning, we're required
12	to have a ten-foot front yard setback. We've
13	done that and more. We felt it important to
14	align with our neighbors. And so you can see
15	in both instances we're a bit further back.
16	We also think this allows us to have some
17	bays and some projecting elements into that
18	space. The side yards as calculated for the
19	three-story building in the C1 district are
20	ten-foot-eight. We've included those. In
21	the SDAA district, we're required based upon
22	height to have a 22-foot yard setback here

1	(indicating). So we're really over 30 feet
2	from the adjacent neighbor. And highlighted
3	in yellow is where we are requesting the
4	Special Permit allowance of a ten-foot
5	setback. That would be bringing us to within
6	ten foot of our property line, and our
7	neighbor is a single-story warehouse
8	building. I showed you a photograph of that.
9	It comes up very close to the property line,
10	and so we think it reasonable to request the
11	ten-foot side yard at that point only.
12	What this does is sets up a sort of a
13	site usage that gives us the ability with our
14	deeper setbacks for some entry courts which
15	I'll show you illustrations of. And breaks
16	up the space that remains in the rear into
17	what we feel are more typical rear yard
18	garden spaces in the neighborhood. And we've
19	chosen to sort of label this area
20	(indicating) as the garden space where we
21	hope that our lower floor two-, three-bedroom
22	units and some larger units over here

1	(indicating) can have some private gardens
2	out in that space as well as some private
3	open space for the townhouses above. And
4	associated with the court sorry, with the
5	apartment building will be a courtyard. Both
6	of these spaces getting southern exposure
7	during the daytime. And, again, being of a
8	scale that feels comfortable in the
9	nei ghborhood.
10	Our first floor plan acknowledges that.
11	Again, our entry to the garage from the
12	existing curb cut and then our entry to our
13	building and sort of a lobby that comes
14	through the building looking at the
15	courtyard, and our larger units at grade
16	where we can hope to have some private
17	outdoor space and gardens for them.
18	By breaking up the building, we think
19	we' ve positively dealt with the shadowing.
20	l've just clipped a couple of images from our
21	shadow model, and so here is the summertime
22	row (indicating). Here is the wintertime row

1	(indicating). But in essence since the
2	southern sun is coming from here
3	(indicating), in the morning we're shadowing
4	to the west from the eastern sun. But by the
5	time we get to noon in the summer, these open
6	spaces are actually filled with light
7	(indicating). And then, of course, we begin
8	to shadow over to the street as the sun heads
9	into the afternoon. Obviously in December
10	the sun is very low, the shadows are very
11	long, but given a similar scale of buildings
12	by us, we're sort of contributing to the same
13	length of shadow that our neighbors are along
14	Sydney street. And obviously as the sun
15	moves around, those shadows lengthen by
16	across the street.
17	Kind of switching viewpoints now. I've
18	kind of come, I'm looking, if you can follow
19	with me. Again for orientation, here's
20	Putnam (indicating), here's Sydney Street
21	(indicating), and the corner building. And
22	our site in red. And I've described the two

1	buildings to break down the massing; the
2	six-family on Sydney and the 34-unit building
3	where I mentioned were in fact four stories
4	along Putnam Ave, but in the back garden area
5	we step down to three stories. And you can
6	al so see some of the decks and the private
7	outdoor spaces we're trying to create for
8	some of these units.
9	Along Sydney Street the six-story
10	building has been designed in a rather
11	traditional way. We have flats on the ground
12	floor. Their living areas face the street,
13	so we're able to have a lot of glazing. The
14	building has no common areas. You can see
15	that there are six doors along the front.
16	So, two of those doors, enter the flats, and
17	then we have a series of two level townhouses
18	stepping along. We've engaged this very
19	traditional feature of the neighborhood with
20	a bay turning the corner, and hopefully
21	window size and character that's very
22	complementary of our neighbors. You know,

1	given our requirements to have accessibility
2	to our first floor units, we've pulled the
3	building up out of the ground just over 18
4	inches which is allowing us to actually have
5	a graded sidewalk from the corner that gets
6	us up to meet that requirement. We're
7	envisioning a building with some trim, fairly
8	simple, fairly straight forward projecting
9	porches on the street. Again, very
10	traditional and wood framed and clabbered
11	si di ng.
12	The building along Putnam Ave. is in
13	this new SDAA district primarily. We have a
14	building which is four stories tall although
15	stays below the 45-foot height limit allowed
16	in the district. We actually envision, you
17	know, coming further into the SDAA district.
18	There is an allowance for a 60-foot height
19	and going up to 120-foot back from the
20	property line. So we envision that in the
21	future there may be taller buildings to the
22	east which is the left in this image. In

1	this building we've taken care to sort of
2	treat our top floor differently. In fact, in
3	these areas it's setback. This plane is as
4	well set back to break down the massing of
5	the building. And we have engaged this
6	notion of bay in a slightly more contemporary
7	way on this building (indicating). And so
8	each of our living areas is highlighted by
9	this bay element looking over (indicating).
10	Again, a traditional idea maybe treated in a
11	little bit more of a contemporary way. Here
12	in the center the bays are joined and they
13	express our broad, open glazing which looks
14	into our community space and our office area
15	and our accessible entry porch to get us up
16	into the building.
17	Now, I'm actually kind of cutting a
18	section through the courtyard. Here you see
19	the six-family (indicating), the taller
20	building. The plane which is now back along
21	Putnam. And you see that the volume within
22	this garden area, courtyard and garden is

1	three stories. Again, sort of simply and
2	traditionally treated.
3	I want to show you views of the
4	development as proposed. Here I am on Sydney
5	Street and I am looking to the north
6	(indicating). You see the, again, the
7	six-family corner building and the existing
8	masonry house. And here you see our proposal
9	for the six-family within. You see the
10	porches and the railings and the stoops
11	meeting the street and what we intend to be a
12	garden a series of garden spaces in front
13	of the building.
14	Looking from the I'm now on the
15	north Looking south, again, the same view.
16	You see the open space between, which we
17	envision the townhouse filling in right along
18	and in line with our neighbors.
19	Looking down Putnam Ave. I'm Looking
20	east in this view no, sorry. I'm looking
21	west in this view. Again, for orientation,
22	here's the corner building and the building

1	we intend to demolish to make way for the
2	development. And here you see the building
3	stepping up and fitting in and a graded
4	wal kway coming up to meet our entry porch and
5	trellis (indicating). Again, you see this
6	recessed plane at the fourth floor and a
7	different material, a vertical siding
8	proposed up there in a slightly different
9	color to distinguish that other floor.
10	And now I am Looking east. And again,
11	here (indicating).
12	A little close up, one of the ideas in
13	the design is, you know, because we have the
14	garage below the building, we've lifted it up
15	out of the ground four feet, which is not
16	atypical for the neighborhood. But it does
17	cause us, you know, a need to get in the
18	building excessively. So we've really taken
19	this notion of trellis and making a deeper
20	porch here (indicating). We are facing this
21	with the idea of a mosaic panel. So kind of
22	artistic license here, you know, signalling

1	the address for the building and creating
2	lots of ways up and down. We think this
3	would be a nice entry.
4	So, I've gone over the 40-unit count.
5	l've shown you the ten-foot side yard setback
6	requested. Now I want to talk a bit about
7	this parking reduction. HRI has prepared
8	this table which shows four of their other
9	existing developments and the usage of
10	parking at those developments. And you see
11	that they range from, you know, 60 percent at
12	the high to 27 percent at the low. So, what
13	l'm looking at hora is number of units and
13	I'm looking at here is number of units and
14	how many of those units have cars, and coming
14	how many of those units have cars, and coming
14 15	how many of those units have cars, and coming up with this percentage. So here at 625
14 15 16	how many of those units have cars, and coming up with this percentage. So here at 625 Putnam Avenue we're proposing a .7 usage
14 15 16 17	how many of those units have cars, and coming up with this percentage. So here at 625 Putnam Avenue we're proposing a .7 usage meaning that there would be .7 cars for every
14 15 16 17 18	how many of those units have cars, and coming up with this percentage. So here at 625 Putnam Avenue we're proposing a .7 usage meaning that there would be .7 cars for every unit. Which is actually higher than the
14 15 16 17 18 19	how many of those units have cars, and coming up with this percentage. So here at 625 Putnam Avenue we're proposing a .7 usage meaning that there would be .7 cars for every unit. Which is actually higher than the usage figures that HRI has at their other
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how many of those units have cars, and coming up with this percentage. So here at 625 Putnam Avenue we're proposing a .7 usage meaning that there would be .7 cars for every unit. Which is actually higher than the usage figures that HRI has at their other developments. When we have .7 cars that

1 building in full size spaces as required by 2 the Zoning Code and some flexibility. Andin 3 fact, in this configuration makes it fairly 4 easy entry in and out of the garage. These 5 would be assigned spaces so that, you know, 6 people would know their way in and out of the 7 garage fairly simply. 8 This allows a lot of green space around 9 the development if we can keep the garage 10 under the footprint of the building. And, 11 again, I've mentioned these sort of garden 12 areas and the more open courtyard. And as 13 Jane mentioned in her overview, the Traffic 14 Department has suggested that we could, along 15 Putnam Avenue, provide -- or that the Traffic 16 Department would provide six more resident 17 only spaces that would be an increase for the 18 overal I nei ghborhood. They wouldn't be 19 limited to this development, they would be for the neighborhood. 20 21 Jane also mentioned that we intend to

design to be lead certifiable. And so there

22

1	are a number of features that we're looking
2	at, and the basic design of the building is
3	allowing some passive solar. We have a high
4	performance envel ope, high-efficiency
5	mechanical electrical plumbing systems. We
6	tried to locate as many units on the corner
7	locations so we get some cross-ventilation
8	and passive cooling. You can read this. So,
9	you know, we're trying to create a very
10	high-performing and comfortable building.
11	And I think HRI is committed to resident
12	education and training on these features and
13	a recycling program.
14	And, again, the two elevations. And l
15	think I will end there.
16	Questions? Comments?
17	CHARLES STUDEN: I actually have a
18	question. When you refer to the setback that
19	you're asking a consideration around to
20	reduce it, what would be the required setback
21	that's along the MIT property to the west?
22	NANCY LUDWIG: Along the MIT

	21
1	property, 22 feet.
2	CHARLES STUDEN: So you want to do
3	ten feet as opposed to the 22?
4	NANCY LUDWIG: Correct.
5	CHARLES STUDEN: Okay.
6	NANCY LUDWIG: Can I mention, the 22
7	feet is based upon, let me just do the math
8	real quick. There are buildings disappearing
9	all over the place. That when I tell you 22
10	feet, that's taking the length of this
11	(indicating), plus the length of this
12	(indicating), which is setback, you know,
13	over 60 feet as required by the Zoning
14	cal cul ati on. That's not just based upon that
15	height adjacent to the property line.
16	CHARLES STUDEN: I understand.
17	And what lead certification are you
18	looking for?
19	NANCY LUDWIG: Silver.
20	CHARLES STUDEN: Okay.
21	NANCY LUDWIG: At Least.
22	WILLIAM TIBBS: I think she said

1 certi fi abl e. 2 NANCY LUDWIG: We are basing our --3 whether we will be lead certified or not, I 4 don't know. That's a commitment that HRI has 5 to decide if it would make. We already had 6 our first green charette and we're hoping to 7 achi eve a silver level. 8 Do you have a photo PAMELA WINTERS: 9 of the side yard requirement that you're 10 looking to reduce by any chance on there? 11 NANCY LUDWIG: A photo of the 12 existing condition? 13 PAMELA WINTERS: Yes. 14 NANCY LUDWIG: Of the building 15 that's there now? 16 PAMELA WINTERS: Yes. 17 Oh, you want to look NANCY LUDWIG: 18 at your model? 19 PAMELA WINTERS: I want to look at 20 the building that's next to yours that you're looking for the waiver for. 21 22 NANCY LUDWIG: Oh, sure. It is this

1	building (indicating).
2	PAMELA WINTERS: Okay. Thank you.
3	H. THEODORE COHEN: When you tal ked
4	about how you calculated the side yard and
5	that the calculation included the L-shaped
6	pi ece
7	NANCY LUDWIG: Correct.
8	H. THEODORE COHEN: if is that
9	L-shaped piece did not exist and the building
10	was just straight across, what would the
11	setback
12	NANCY LUDWIG: A Lot Less.
13	H. THEODORE COHEN: requirement
14	be?
15	NANCY LUDWIG: A lot less. It would
16	be like eight feet?
17	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: We want to
18	go back.
19	NANCY LUDWIG: We would have
20	problems with the window.
21	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Can't hear
22	you.

1	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: If the
2	calculation were made only with the of the
3	building that's closest to the street on the
4	screen (indicating), then the setback would
5	be only eight feet. But since we're using
6	the length of the building that's back on the
7	other side of the courtyard, it's it is 20
8	feet.
9	NANCY LUDWIG: Actually I'm
10	guessing it would be more than.
11	THE STENOGRAPHER: I can't hear you.
12	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: 15?
13	NANCY LUDWIG: I think it would be
14	more than half.
15	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: I think it
16	would be 11 feet.
17	NANCY LUDWIG: Those are just math
18	in my mind quickly.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: Did you
20	NANCY LUDWIG: But it would be about
21	hal f.
22	HUGH RUSSELL: Did you do a

1	cal cul ati on usi ng the mul ti pl e pl ane setback
2	provision in the ordinance?
3	NANCY LUDWIG: No.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Because if you do
5	that, the requirement is actually takes an
6	account of the multiple distances, and so the
7	result is that possibly you're complying if
8	you use
9	NANCY LUDWIG: The way we read the
10	Zoning Code, it says we have to take values
11	for both that plane and that plane
12	(indicating). So what you're suggesting is
13	having come up with that distance, if we then
14	drew that line.
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Right.
16	NANCY LUDWIG: Right? And said what
17	was in front versus what was in back?
18	HUGH RUSSELL: It's essentially the
19	multiple plane setback rule. You start with
20	the overall length and the height and the
21	formula, and that produces a volume of air
22	between your building and the property line.

	32
1	NANCY LUDWIG: Correct.
2	HUGH RUSSELL: And in the multiple
3	plane formula in the ordinance it says
4	basically that you can push some things
5	forward provided other things come back and
6	provided that the total volume of air is the
7	same as it would have been if it was all in
8	one plane. So, and there may or may not be a
9	minimum in that district because I don't know
10	that district very well.
11	NANCY LUDWIG: It's the ten foot
12	that's why we requested
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
14	NANCY LUDWIG: in the SDAA
15	Special Permit is allowed to the go to the
16	ten-foot setback.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: I told my it's a
18	feature of the ordinance that looks more
19	complicated than it really is, and I suspect
20	that you're complying, but Les is coming
21	forward.
22	LES BARBER: No, you're doing find,

1	Hugh. You're right if they use the variable
2	setback plan, they may indeed be conforming
3	to the setback conformity.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.
5	NANCY LUDWIG: Thank you.
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: Any other clarifying
7	questions from the Board?
8	(No response.)
9	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you.
10	NANCY LUDWIG: Thank you.
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: I guess we'll now go
12	to the public comment portion of the public
13	hearing. As I said earlier, that there is a
14	sign-up sheet which I do have, but if you
15	were not able to sign up on the sign-up
16	sheet, I will give people an opportunity to
17	speak if they'd like to. We'd like you to
18	stick to three minutes in your comments. And
19	Pam Winters, my co-chair will remind folks as
20	they get close to their time if they're going
21	over the limit. We'd also like you to come
22	forward and use the microphone so that the

1	recorder can hear you unless you're
2	physically unable. And when you do come
3	forward, please give your name and address
4	and spell your name for the recorder.
5	First person who's selected to speak is
6	Catharine, is it Hornby?
7	And to keep things moving I'm also
8	going to let the next person come so they can
9	kind of prepare themselves. And the next
10	person would be Henry Marinsella (phonetic) l
11	thi nk.
12	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Marcucel I a.
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Marcucella, yes.
14	l'm bad at names.
15	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: That's okay.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: And people's writing
17	isn't as clear sometimes so I typically make
18	lots of name mistakes.
19	So, go ahead, Catharine.
20	CATHARI NE HORNBY: May name is
21	Catharine C-a-t-h-a-r-i-n-e Hornby
22	H-o-r-n-b-y. I live at 11 Tufts Street. I'm

1	the chair of the Cambridge Bike Committee.
2	And we were placed to see it on the plans
3	that the proposal has
4	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Can you move
5	a little closer to the microphone, please?
6	CATHARI NE HORNBY: Sure.
7	BETH RUBENSTEIN: It's bendable.
8	There you go.
9	CATHARINE HORNBY: Is that better?
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes.
11	CATHARINE HORNBY: I'm the chair of
12	the Cambridge Bike Committee. And we saw in
13	the plans that there are bike spaces and it
14	looks like the right number based on our
15	count. So we're pleased with that. We just
16	wanted to make a couple of quick comments and
17	say that we think that having those bike
18	spaces really accessible and really usable is
19	in everybody's interest to make the apartment
20	a nicer place and to reduce traffic
21	congesti on through use of bikes, not cars.
22	And to that point we'd like to make sure that

1	they're as big as they need to be, which they
2	may well be, but it was just a little hard to
3	tell from the plans. And secondly that they
4	are in practice accessible, because they're
5	all sort of lined up right next to each
6	other. And again, they may well be, it's
7	just a little hard to tell from the plans.
8	We wanted to bring attention to those points.
9	Secondly to the extent possible we
10	would like to encourage outdoor biking spots
11	as well as indoor so that casual visitors can
12	make use of them. And that's all I have to
13	say.
14	Thank you.
15	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
16	Henry?
17	The next person who didn't indicate if
18	they wanted to speak or not, it's Carolyn
19	Brad. Is Carolyn here?
20	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Oh, yeah.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Would you like to
22	speak, Carol yn?

1	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Yeah.
2	There's something I was curious about. I
3	just didn't know
4	WILLIAM TIBBS: No, no. You'II be
5	after him.
6	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Okay.
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: Sorry.
8	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: No problem.
9	WILLIAM TIBBS: Go ahead.
10	HENRY MARCUCELLA: Hi. My name is
11	Henry Marcucella, M-a-r-c-u-c-e-l-l-a. I
12	live at 266 Sydney Street adjacent to the
13	proposed bui I di ng.
14	Basically I think that this is gonna
15	forever change the character of what we got
16	now. We're taking a single-story commercial
17	building and basically a wonderful open lot
18	that was being used nine to five, Monday
19	through Friday, and turning it into a hundred
20	plus people, 24-hours a day of four stories
21	high. I think that I think it's too big.
22	I think it's a huge complex.

1	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Here, here.
2	HENRY MARCUCELLA: It more than
3	doubles the amount of units that are on the
4	block. I mean, they're all small houses. My
5	house is next to the brick building. We're
6	basically five feet sandwiched between the
7	brick building on the right and our
8	neighbors. And we're actually setback behind
9	the brick building so we get a lot of our
10	light through the back. It was a special
11	thing they did I think when we did some
12	work they actually moved the house and
13	sandwiched in between as a special thing way
14	back in the 1900s.
15	To make a long story short, the
16	three-story building's going to be about 35
17	feet outside of my kitchen window. So I'm
18	gonna look at this everyday when I'm making
19	my breakfast. I think that it's too close to
20	the building. So, I understand that the
21	Zoning what's allowed for the Zoning and,
22	you know, they've painstakingly gone through

1	that. What I think would have been nice if,
2	you know, they went above and beyond and
3	tried to maybe go further than that. I mean,
4	I know there was no obligation to do that,
5	but it would have been nice for them to see.
6	In addition, I guess I would have liked to
7	see they did have two meetings. I didn't
8	know about the first one. I was told it was
9	in the paper. The second one I did receive a
10	notice and I went to. And at that point they
11	were proposing this plan. They had all the
12	buildings and all the pictures already. So
13	there was no real input that anybody, you
14	know, could have it would have been nice
15	if someone said here's a couple different
16	plans that we're thinking about. You know,
17	what do you like about these plans? Or what
18	not? Considering we're right there. I think
19	it poorly utilizes open space. They have
20	their the courtyard I believe what they're
21	calling it. It's actually the garden area.
22	It's inside the paint company. The paint

1	company owned by MIT, California Paints is
2	it's actually puzzling that they drew this
3	building but this building is right here,
4	too. So this building comes down and goes
5	over (indicating). So the garden is really
6	tucked away. Me, living in my house right
7	here (indicating), I won't even be able to
8	see the garden. I would have much rather
9	seen the open space in the middle and had
10	sort of more of a shared thing. In addition
11	to that, my last point oh, I don't think
12	there's enough proposed parking. Right
13	NOW
14	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Here, here.
15	HENRY MARCUCELLA: Right now
16	there's we lost a whole bunch of parking
17	when Sydney Street got turned to a one way,
18	to a bike lane. I guess I went to that
19	meeting and they said that in order to get
20	the federal funds, they needed to make the
21	bi ke Tane.
22	PAMELA WINTERS: Sir, if you can

1	wind down your comments.
2	HENRY MARCUCELLA: Okay.
3	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
4	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: You can take
5	my time.
6	HENRY MARCUCELLA: My last point is
7	that I understand the numbers that they
8	calculate based upon the other developments
9	that they've done, and I think the one thing
10	that doesn't take into account is the
11	location of those developments. Those
12	developments are in Central Square. In fact,
13	I think this development is a Central Square
14	style development in scale. It's a huge
15	complex. And I'd like to see basically if
16	they're right, then they're heroes. If
17	they're wrong, then all these people are
18	going to pile down to the Cambridge traffic
19	office, get stickers and, you know, there's
20	going to be a hundred plus people in this
21	building. It's, you know, they're turning an
22	open lot and a small one-story building into

1	a hundred plus people 24 hours a day.
2	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you, sir.
3	HENRY MARCUCELLA: Thanks a lot.
4	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you.
5	And I just want to say that it's better
6	if people don't make comments in the and
7	react to other people's comments.
8	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: I guess
9	that's a gag order.
10	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is it okay
11	for me to go?
12	WILLIAM TIBBS: Sure. Before you
13	go, again, the next person didn't indicate
14	whether or not they'd like to speak or not
15	and it's hard for me to read the name, but I
16	think it's Clara Zeon (phonetic). Is that
17	it? That's a first name.
18	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: No, thank
19	you.
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: You don't want to
21	speak? Okay.
22	And what about Rachel Ziegler

1	(phonetic).
2	PAMELA WINTERS: She doesn't want to
3	speak.
4	WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay.
5	So, the next person who should be on
6	deck so to speak is Matthew Ponzio.
7	0kay.
8	CAROLYN BRADE: Okay. My name is
9	Carolyn, C-a-r-o-l-y-n. And then my last
10	name is spelled B-r-a-d-e.
11	And one of my primary concerns is I'm
12	kind of new to the area, but I remember
13	during the wintertime it was really hard. I
14	live on 89 Allston Street and I noticed on
15	Allston Street we have lots of snow piles
16	during the winter that take up parking. And
17	one of my concerns is if a lot of these
18	people move in during the wintertime with
19	these moving vans bringing everything in,
20	where are these moving vans you know, it's
21	going to be very chaotic in the neighborhood
22	with it. And I'm also kind of curious when

1	they had their percentages with the 25
2	percent of the was it 70 percent or 60
3	percent 60 percent? That were using the
4	cars, I'm kind of curious of the break down
5	in terms of multi-family housing and how
6	close they are to the public transit versus
7	where this one is. Because I kind of have
8	concerns I think a lot of other people have,
9	that you're gonna need at least a one-to-one
10	ratio. I think at one of the meetings they
11	said the Zoning required one and a half cars
12	per unit. And to have them have less than
13	one when you' re gonna have people who are
14	gonna have people visiting and, you know, if
15	there's a divorce, you're gonna have the
16	other person coming to visit who might not
17	live near Mass. Transit and have to bring a
18	car. You're going to have lots of people
19	with permits who are going to have people
20	visiting. And if they don't have enough
21	parking for them with the underground,
22	they're gonna legally it's their right and

1	they can park on the street, and it's just
2	going to make it harder for everyone. It's
3	going to bring up anxiety in terms of parking
4	spots. And the city taxes may have to go
5	up because you may have to clean-up our
6	streets a lot more often and better. So I'm
7	a little bit concerned about that. And there
8	was one other thing, but now I can't remember
9	ful I y.
10	What was one of the last things he
11	mentioned?
12	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: If I can turn
13	my three-family into a 15-family in the same
14	space.
15	CAROLYN BRADE: Well, I guess I
16	think they mentioned something about the
17	parking. Oh, the bicycle I also wonder in
18	terms of like with some of the other units
19	near there, the MIT building, in terms of
20	like fire safety with the zone there if
21	you're having it kind of narrow and later on
22	MIT expands, you know, do they have any

1	concerns for what someone next-door to them
2	can do legally zoning wise? So what they do
3	now how in the future what will affect oh,
4	I remember now, the gardening. I really have
5	a concern that if there was a parking lot
6	near there and they're going to put a garden
7	in there, there could be, you know, brown
8	field toxic muddles there and we're gonna
9	have people with health problems from the
10	garden that should be a good thing. So, I
11	want to make sure where ever they put the
12	garden is in, it's not a spot that before
13	people might have had oil or something leak
14	to make people sick. Because I like to
15	garden a bit myself, but I wouldn't want
16	someone to get themselves sick for it. And
17	I'm probably at my time. So, thank you.
18	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
19	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
20	So, Matthew. The next person who has
21	asked to speak is Charles Stead.
22	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Stead.

1	WILLIAM TIBBS: Stead. Sorry,
2	Charl es.
3	MATTHEW PONZIO: Good evening. My
4	name is Matthew Ponzio, that's P-o-n-z-i-o.
5	I live at 68 Allston Street and I'm here
6	representing also the condo association, the
7	first floor unit second floor unit as well as
8	myself, I'm on the third floor.
9	So, I'mjust here to register also our
10	objection. Based on a lot of the reasons
11	stated, there's no doubt from some of the
12	elevations shown here that it will add to the
13	aesthetic value of the neighborhood, but the
14	concern definitely is of over crowding and
15	parking. One of the aspects of the
16	neighborhood that myself and my neighbors
17	have enjoyed over the past six years that
18	we've lived in the neighborhood is, it's a
19	little bit less crowded than some of the
20	other areas of Cambridge. Just getting
21	around and parking is something that among
22	the area, you know, you just don't have to

1	worry about as much as some areas of
2	Cambridge and Boston. So, again, the numbers
3	shown in the table for parking as Henry
4	stated, you know, this is a bit further away.
5	This is also affordable housing, so I don't
6	know if they have to pay for those parking
7	spaces or they're included in the units. So
8	they may elect to park on the street instead
9	which is only \$8 a year instead of, I don't
10	know, 120, 150? I don't know how much the
11	spaces are going to cost. So, my fear is
12	that with these with the sheer number of
13	units that we are going to see a definite
14	squeeze in parking no matter that some
15	parking is provided. And just that adding to
16	the overall congestion in the neighborhood.
17	A lot more people coming and going. So
18	that's our concern.
19	Thank you very much.
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
21	Charles, you're next.
22	And the next person who would like to

1	speak is it Cathy Pojin?
2	CHARLES STEAD: I apologize for
3	being so abrupt in the mispronunciation of my
4	name, but I contended
5	WI LLI AM TI BBS: That's okay.
6	CHARLES STEAD: an announcer in
7	Madison Square Garden in 1957 cost me the
8	world's record in the high jump, which you
9	just did. Watch it.
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: Wow.
11	CHARLES STEAD: That's right.
12	I value the desire of the creators of
13	this project to share with others the value
14	of becoming a resident of Cambridge,
15	Massachusetts. Since I am a Cantabrigian, an
16	individual that has lived his life throughout
17	the City of Cambridge. And I have lived in
18	several different locations in the City of
19	Cambridge starting out with the Coast where I
20	was born, that's now called Riverside just in
21	case for those people who don't know that.
22	And then from there to Cambridgeport. And,

1	in fact, one of the pictures that was shown
2	up there, about the third or the fourth
3	projection, is a picture of my house which
4	l've owned for now since 1965. And just
5	to tell you about that house, it's a corner
6	lot, it has three stories. One family per
7	story and no driveway. That means that each
8	of the people who have vehicles in that house
9	need space to be. And the only space that
10	they can be is out on the street in parking
11	spaces. My challenge is that with this being
12	40 units strong, which says to me there's the
13	potential of the need of 80 parking spaces.
14	And I see here that they are going to provide
15	28 spaces. As a former teacher, assistant
16	principal and principal in this, again, City
17	of Cambridge, Massachusetts, somehow even as
18	a math teacher, it just doesn't add up.
19	Now right now my house is under
20	construction because of a fire back in 2007.
21	So that means there's only one person in the
22	house. Me. But when those tenants move back

1	in, and they all want to move back in, we're
2	talking about the need of potentially six
3	vehicles, because the three ladies, mother
4	and two daughters who lived on the second
5	floor, they each have their own vehicle. I
6	have mine, and the two sisters who live on
7	the first floor, they each have a vehicle.
8	That's six. Right now we're having
9	difficulty finding spaces. I sometimes have
10	to park around the corner. So, I say with
11	that go ahead with your project because I
12	know this is a very desirable city to live
13	in, but dog gone it, reduce it. You cannot
14	have that many units without providing the
15	space for them to put their vehicles. It
16	doesn't work. You come on down there on a
17	winter day
18	PAMELA WINTERS: Sir, if you can
19	wind down your comments and your time is just
20	about up. l'm sorry.
21	CHARLES STEAD: I'm just about all
22	done. Yeah, in fact what I was going to say

1	was, you come down there on a winter's day
2	just after a snowstorm and you see the people
3	moving their vehicles around because they
4	can't find a damn place to park.
5	Thank you.
6	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you very much.
8	THE REPORTER: Excuse me, can you
9	just have him spell his name please?
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: Sure. Excuse me,
11	Charles?
12	CHARLES STEAD: Yes.
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Could you spell your
14	name?
15	CHARLES STEAD: If you're an English
16	teacher and I said to you "instead," you got
17	it, right?
18	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Kathy.
19	KATHY PODGERS: Hello. I'm glad to
20	see you all here. Hello, everybody. I live
21	on Pearl Street between pearl and Decatur and
22	Pearl and Valentine across the street from

Clarence Street.

2	Well, first I'd like to address the
3	issue of parking in Cambridgeport in the
4	winter. What some folks don't understand is
5	our sidewalks in Cambridgeport are extremely
6	narrow. And with this new theory of plowing
7	to occur so we can have bicycles, we have
8	snow piled up on the sidewalk. No one can
9	walk. So then the people shovel the sidewalk
10	off they shovel snow off the sidewalk and
11	then it's back in the street. And people
12	can't open the car doors so they end up
13	parking further and further into the street.
14	And then the City of Cambridge has to come
15	out in the middle of the night with loaders
16	because the buses and fire engines can't get
17	down Pearl Street. I'd like you to think
18	about that. That's not just once that's
19	happened. This is what happens when we get
20	not two feet of snow, six inches of snow.
21	The reason is we've had more and more what's
22	called in-fill development. Most people

1	understand in-fill developments not to be
2	what we're looking at. It's called smart
3	growth. And the progresses are really big on
4	smart growth, they call it green development.
5	They mean green, it saves energy. They don't
6	mean green that's lots of grass, natural
7	spaces. The problem is we've been around in
8	a circle here. We used to have in-fill
9	development, then they turned into ghettos.
10	Then we had urban renewal. Now we're going
11	back to in-fill development and privatizing
12	public housing, which by the way you couldn't
13	build this development as public housing
14	because it doesn't have the space
15	requirements that public housing demands. So
16	I'd like you all to think about that because
17	we've been there. We've done that. Now
18	those of us that are older, know this. But
19	the younger folks today are coming along like
20	they did a few years ago, they wanted to turn
21	farmland into raising crops so we could make
22	bio fuels for cars, and then it poisoned the

1	Gulf of Mexico and then it created a food
2	shortage in the past two years. So we need
3	to have a broader way of looking at how this
4	development affects the neighborhood, not
5	just the buildings immediately next-door.
6	Now, let's look at the development
7	right next to Dana Park. The housing going
8	the Dana Park is al ready so over crowded,
9	we need to re-slot it and we just redid the
10	park. We do not have enough public space for
11	all the 40 apartments you're planning to
12	build for the people to use the current
13	public space. And where are the jungle gyms
14	and the swings and the sandboxes and the
15	barbecues here? We already have when I
16	was a candidate for City Council and when I
17	collected my nominations, we had young men
18	between the ages of 17 and 20 tell me that
19	the main problem they have in the
20	neighborhood, especially in Dana Park is
21	trash and litter. These are young folks.
22	Because people use Dana Park, like the

Г

1	development on Valentine Place, the people
2	use it for their birthday parties and their
3	outings because they don't have any yards.
4	This guy is going to donate some of his time.
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: No.
6	PAMELA WINTERS: No.
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: He doesn't have time
8	to donate.
9	KATHY PODGERS: They don't have
10	their own yards so they have to use the
11	public parks and it is overwhelming. So I
12	just share with you the over development
13	in-fill we've had around my house and l
14	assure you that these people aren't imagining
15	thi ngs.
16	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you, Ma'am.
17	KATHY PODGERS: Thank you. And do
18	think about smart growth is just another word
19	for rebuilding the ghetto. Thank you.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
22	THE REPORTER: Can you spell your

1	name for me, please?
2	KATHY PODGERS: Kathy with a K.
3	Podgers like the Brooklyn Dodgers with a P.
4	Podgers not the Dodgers.
5	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you.
6	Kathy was the last person who signed up
7	and indicated they wanted to speak. Would
8	anybody like to speak or change their mind?
9	I see we have several people. So, why don't
10	we start there and we'll wrap around. And
11	again, please give your name and address.
12	DENISE HAYNES: Sure. I have a
13	child to go home to so I'll be really quick.
14	WILLIAM TIBBS: Oh, no, no, just
15	your name and address and
16	DENI SE HAYNES: Deni se Haynes.
17	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes.
18	DENISE HAYNES: H-a-y-n-e-s. 561
19	Putnam Avenue. I will be on the part of the
20	street for the 40 units, and I'd like to talk
21	in perspective of the unit that was built on
22	274, next to 274 Putnam Ave, the Just-A-Start

1	building that went up probably about ten
2	years ago. And I don't know who built it.
3	NANCY LUDWIG: It wasn't me.
4	DENISE HAYNES: If it was HRI, but a
5	lot of the same issues came up. Parking, the
6	not necessarily the unit tenants but the
7	tenants' friends, crime. And for that unit
8	you don't even have half as many people going
9	in. And unfortunately this will be these
10	people will be my neighbors. And 40 units
11	will destroy the look of the neighborhood.
12	And unfortunately I know that this is a done
13	deal, because we went through this when they
14	were doing the Just-A-Start unit. But just
15	to be aware for you guys, what's going to be
16	coming down the pike. The complaints about
17	parking. The complaints about the tenants'
18	friends. You say you have 40 units going in,
19	but then you have additional people coming
20	into the neighborhood. It is going to
21	di srupt the nei ghborhood. And unfortunatel y
22	we do need to make allowances for people to

1	have affordable housing. But to stick that
2	many people in such a small space it may
3	work, it may not. But just down the pike, we
4	know it's a done deal, but there is going to
5	be a lot of headache as well. Because it
6	took about ten years for the unit that is
7	currently on Putnam Ave
8	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's a done
9	deal?
10	DENISE HAYNES: Yeah, it's pretty
11	much a done deal. It usually is when it gets
12	to this point, it's a done deal.
13	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Then why
14	are we here?
15	DENISE HAYNES: Exactly. This is
16	what we went through, but I'm just saying I
17	just would hope that the City of Cambridge
18	is open to responding to its residents' needs
19	when they put those needs on the table.
20	Thank you.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
22	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.

1	WILLIAM TIBBS: And could I get your
2	hands again so I can see who wanted to speak.
3	Go ahead.
4	HENRY JOSEPH: Me?
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes.
6	HENRY JOSEPH: Hi. Name is Henry
7	Joseph, J-o-s-e-p-h. And I live at Five
8	Florence Street in Cambridgeport which I
9	guess is about five or six blocks away from
10	this development. And I'm here in, I guess,
11	three capacities. One is as a resident of
12	Cambridgeport. The second one is as an
13	affordable housing professional which worked
14	on a lot of affordable housing projects in a
15	lot of communities around here, and certainly
16	as a member of the Board of Directors of HRI.
17	And I just wanted to talk about a couple of
18	poi nts.
19	The first one is parking. And as Nancy
20	mentioned, she showed the table of HRI
21	developments. And my experience with a lot
22	of other affordable housing projects in

1	different communities and in different
2	locations is that the ratio of cars to
3	dwelling units really hovers around that 50
4	percent level, and that's pretty consistent.
5	So, you know, 70 percent or .7 units is
6	really, I think, is ample. Second thing I
7	wanted to say about parking is I totally
8	understand that it's a big issue and it's a
9	big issue in every neighborhood. I
10	personally don't think that the burden on
11	affordable housing should be to solve the
12	problems, the parking problems in the
13	neighborhood. So I think the responsibility
14	for affordable housing is not to make the
15	parking problems worse, but I don't think
16	affordable housing should have to bear the
17	burden of fixing those problems.
18	And the last thing I wanted to say is
19	about design. And, you know, I think, I
20	think in this case the sort of art of design
21	is to come up with something that works
22	wi thin the constraints of the Zoning

1	Ordinance but not just kind of pushing them
2	to the max, but trying to use them creatively
3	to come up with a good project. And I think
4	this is a well-designed, well-conceived
5	project and I think it's something that I can
6	welcome as a member of the Cambridgeport
7	community. I can admire and respect as an
8	affordable housing professional and I would
9	be able to be proud of as a member of the
10	Board of HRI.
11	Thank you.
12	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
13	Yes, go ahead. We'll get there.
14	LOUISE ELVING: Thank you. My name
15	is Louise Elving, E-I-v-i-n-g and I live at
16	36 Cottage Street near the intersection of
17	Cottage and Magazine Street. I've lived
18	there for 37 years further up in
19	Cambridgeport. And like Henry Joseph I'm
20	here both as a resident of Cambridgeport and
21	as a member of the HRI Board. And I really
22	just want to speak generally to the issue of

1	density and the amount of housing. And one
2	of the reasons that 40 units are being
3	proposed here is that it fits within the
4	Zoning and the recent rezoning of this area
5	to try to encourage residential development
6	as we were losing the old industrial base in
7	the lower part of Cambridgeport. And HRI
8	has, I think tried to create a building that
9	will honor the aesthetic traditions of the
10	neighborhood, and also I think fit within it
11	in the terms of scale. Where I live near the
12	corner of Magazine Street it ranges from one
13	family to six- and seven-story apartment
14	buildings. And I think Cambridgeport is an
15	area that, that part of its diversity is not
16	only that lies within its residents which are
17	quite diverse in their backgrounds and their
18	interests and what they do, but also in the
19	architecture of the community. And I feel
20	that this has been designed to fit within
21	those traditions of Cambridgeport and I think
22	will work well. And I've heard the concerns

1	of the neighbors, but nonetheless I think
2	this building will be an asset to
3	Cambridgeport and to the larger city of
4	Cambridge. Thank you.
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
6	Can I see hands agai n? Yes, go ahead.
7	I'II come back to you.
8	BILL AUGUST: Hi. Thank you. My
9	name is Bill August. I'm on the Board of the
10	Cambridgeport Neighborhood Association. Our
11	neighborhood association members' concerns
12	are similar to the concerns of the residents
13	you've heard tonight. They're fearful of
14	excess bulk and density. They want new
15	development to be in harmony with the
16	surrounding neighborhood. And I do recognize
17	there is some effort in that direction, and
18	however, I'mjust speaking on not on
19	behalf formally of our neighborhood
20	association, just a personal gut reaction
21	feedback to the architect and the designer if
22	this is more of a design question than a

1	Planning Board question. The Sydney Street
2	building seems to be in harmony with the
3	surrounding neighborhood. The larger
4	building to melooks very cold. Just looking
5	at it I can't even tell what the entrance is.
6	When I look at the smaller building, I see
7	doors with pediments. When I look at that,
8	it just looks like a factory dressed up with
9	some attempts of bay windows which seem very
10	false and contrite and cast shadows below.
11	So I would think that, you know, that is not
12	necessarily in harmony. It's just a
13	subjective thing. I do know at the public
14	meeting where some people spoke up in favor
15	of traditional aesthetics and some people
16	spoke in favor of the modern abstracts. I do
17	think they were more of an ivory tower. When
18	you go to neighborhood association meetings
19	there are some people who like the more
20	experimental, but average Joe and Jane
21	Sixpack want a more aesthetic this is just
22	a personal reaction building that's in

1	harmony. And I don't see that effort here.
2	Where is the entry? What it looks like a
3	barricade wall with some hidden doors and a
4	garage entry that seems very cold.
5	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Here, here.
6	BILL AUGUST: And I think there's a
7	lot of work that needs to be done. We should
8	go the extra mile for Cambridgeport. People
9	really care that these buildings are in
10	harmony and that they are beautiful. And
11	that doesn't seem beautiful at all. It seems
12	like a big bulky mass. I think you should
13	scale back. And just because it's a
14	transitional zoning area, that does not mean
15	it reflects the will of the community. At
16	public meetings people they want smaller.
17	They do want to be supportive, but they want
18	smaller, you know, and this is very big.
19	That's my reaction.
20	Thank you.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
22	RUBY PEARSON: Good evening. My

1	name is Ruby, R-u-b-y, R. P-e-a-r-s-o-n. And
2	I live at 609 Putnam Avenue right next-door
3	to 625 the building that you are planning to
4	build. And my driveway is right there next
5	to it. My you'll be taking up the side of
6	my you'll be building on the side of my
7	building to the left and to the back of me.
8	So you'll be all around my building. So that
9	don't give me much space for anything. So
10	that's why I'm and I've been there since
11	1948 and raised all of our family there so
12	far. And I hate to say this, it looks like a
13	nice building, but it's so big. And I'm
14	hoping that things will change a little bit,
15	and with the high buildings I won't be able
16	to see anything. I won't get any sun from
17	the side or from my back. And I'm just
18	hoping that things can be a little bit more,
19	you know, in favor of us. So I've been there
20	for so many years, since 1948 as I said, and
21	I hate to see I have a driveway there, but
22	I don't know what's gonna be around me there.

1	It's so hard parking for my tenants and so
2	forth. So think of what you're doing and
3	just try to make things a little more
4	pleasant for us.
5	Thank you.
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
7	Go ahead. We're going to get to
8	everybody.
9	CHARLIE MARQUARDT: It's Charlie.
10	I'll spell the last name, M-a-r-q-u-a-r-d-t.
11	From East Cambridge, Ten Rogers Street. So I
12	come from a neighborhood that's used to
13	having parking issues and continues to fight
14	with parking issues. And looking at the
15	number of parking spaces here, I understand
16	from the neighbors and the neighborhood
17	they're concerned. We have a rule in place
18	for one for one or better for one for one for
19	a purpose. And I think not looking at that
20	in a little more depth puts the neighborhood
21	at some jeopardy as a number of folks have
22	mentioned here. And I also have an

additional concern when we mentioned the
re-designation. I'm not going to call it
creation, but re-designation of parking
spaces to residential. If that's counted
towards the project parking allotment, that
creates a precedent that we I know you're
shaking your head but it was mentioned. I
hope it does not, and that would be great.
Because the loss of parking spaces for
residents in the neighborhoods is creating
concern. And I know we all saw a couple
weeks ago the Hurley Street case where now
loss of parking creates a course of action
against the developers. And I wouldn't want
that to holdup what could be a great
development. It made a great start. I'm in
favor of that's an open building, let's
make good use of it. But let's put some
parking in there so that people can use the
parking without putting them out on the
street.
That's it. Thank you.

	70
1	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you.
2	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: That's the
3	parking (inaudible).
4	WILLIAM TIBBS: Excuse me. We have
5	a lot of people to get to.
6	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: And they're
7	all right here.
8	WILLIAM TIBBS: Excuse me. So go
9	ahead.
10	PHILIP JEFFERSON: First order of
11	business my name is Philip Jefferson,
12	J-e-f-f
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Could you is the
14	mic on? Is there a green light on?
15	PHILIP JEFFERSON: Yes, there is.
16	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Okay, good.
17	PHILIP JEFFERSON: Philip Jefferson,
18	J-e-f-f-e-r-s-o-n. My wife and I live at 297
19	Sydney Street which is about two blocks from
20	here. And the first point I guess I'd like
21	to make, again, is about parking. Some other
22	considerations that haven't really been

1	voiced yet is considering the effect of
2	parking as it is today as on-street cleaning
3	as well, when twice a month the parking
4	spaces in this neighborhood get cut in half
5	virtually. So it's not just the parking
6	situation that you see today when you take
7	pictures, but what it's like year round, not
8	just the winter.
9	The second point that I wanted to make
10	was that this gentleman made a comment about
11	the HRI's responsibility as not being one of
12	solving necessarily these types of issues. I
13	think I disagree with that. And I'll go a
14	little bit further, I think it's a little bit
15	arrogant primarily because I think that to be
16	successful in your business you want to be
17	able to co-habitate and blend well with
18	neighborhoodsjustlike this so that the
19	community embraces that development and the
20	inhabitants of it. And I think that that
21	makes for a much more successful overall
22	neighborhood, and not one where an

1	organization can place a building here and
2	have the neighborhood react negatively toward
3	it, and conversely negatively toward the
4	people that inhabit it.
5	The second thing or the last thing l
6	wanted to say was that I think the ratios
7	that were expressed in terms of parking may
8	need a little bit more closer evaluation,
9	because it seems like it's a maybe a
10	convenient mathematical I'II be nice,
11	explanation, when in reality I think you need
12	to look at the comparison of those
13	developments. And this from a number of
14	multiunit dwellings, like one lady said, this
15	particular building has about 30 multi-room
16	apartments, about .75 of those. And as we
17	know in Cambridge, the probability of people
18	having, you know, more than one car is not
19	uncommon.
20	And Last, I think that the original
21	ratios of further zoning were meant to
22	accommodate the consumption, the total

1	consumption of parking spaces, not just those
2	owned by the tenants in the building, but the
3	consumption that gets brought by that
4	contingent of people, including guests and
5	guest permits, etcetera. So that's it.
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
7	Go ahead.
8	GUY ASAPH: My name is Guy Asaph
9	A-s-a-p-h. I live at 30 Jay street. And
10	there's kind of two parts big issues and
11	little issues. I'd like to start with the
12	little issues because I think they're by far
13	the most important and easiest to overlook.
14	The details.
15	The architect mentioned it would be
16	simple trim. It shouldn't be simple trim.
17	It should be five-quarter stock from corner
18	boards instead of three-quarter. There
19	should be band moldings around the windows.
20	I know this is an affordable housing project,
21	but the additional cost is fractional, it's
22	marginal. It's not a consideration compared

1	to the fact that this building will stand for
2	hundreds of years and be a beautiful thing
3	rather than what looks like, oh, we cut a few
4	corners. There's no reason to cut a few
5	corners. I'd also like to mention, just many
6	of the Board probably know this, I just
7	learned it, the trellis is a really important
8	feature. But the Zoning Department, the
9	Building Department has interpreted a trellis
10	as if the cross pieces overhead are less than
11	three feet apart, it's a roof and it counts
12	as FAR. Please say something about that. It
13	just doesn't make sense. A trellis should be
14	much closer together. It's an aesthetic
15	element. And if the architect has chosen to
16	make this the focal entry point, it's the
17	kind of detail that only the Planning Board
18	can weigh in on and make a huge difference
19	into the future. So I urge you to look at
20	the details of a building that will be built,
21	and everyone's a developer and everyone's an
22	archi tect and everybody has an opi ni on, oh,

1	it's ugly, oh, it's beautiful. If they put
2	their own money up, they can do what they
3	want. But I think it's a handsome building
4	and it would fit in.
5	On the larger issue of parking, you
6	know, how many parking and you know it,
7	you've heard it every time, no, we should
8	have two parking spaces per unit. We should
9	have this. I'm selling a unit on Jay Street
10	next week, a \$900,000 townhouse to a couple
11	that don't have a car. It's possible that
12	people don't need parking. But, I guess what
13	I would argue for on that is a certain
14	consistency. If I came before you with this
15	project as a private developer well, first
16	of all I'd never be here with this project.
17	It would be cut in half before I ever got
18	here. And the thought of having less parking
19	spaces than the Zoning allowed, I mean, just
20	out of the question. But that's okay. If we
21	choose as a public policy to give relief for
22	the number of parking spaces, there should be

1	some consistent rationale that would apply to
2	private developers as well as anyone coming
3	before the Board. And I think one of the
4	largest criteria for that and why it should
5	absolutely be granted in this case is that
6	they' re putting the parking underground.
7	They can make the parking comply. They'd
8	fill that courtyard with parking spaces and
9	it would look so ugly. So if there's a
10	reason to encourage the BZA to grant the
11	variance, it should be because of how the
12	parking what parking there is, has been
13	handled. Maybe there's a compromise, make a
14	few one-bedrooms into the two-bedrooms and
15	that saves a space. But I guess what I would
16	argue for is when small property owners or a
17	small developer wants to do one or two houses
18	and everything conforms, and a few neighbors
19	can sign a petition and have a down zoning
20	and cost them hundreds of thousands of
21	dollars which is the case for some poor soul
22	and Winslow Street, that the same rules apply

1	to to larger issues. If we're going to
2	grant, we should be consistent.
3	Thank you.
4	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
5	Is there anyone else who would like to
6	speak? Go ahead.
7	JOHN HIXSON: Hi. My name is John
8	Hixson, H-i-x-s-o-n, and I'm a homeowner in
9	North Cambridge. I'm also a Board member
10	remember of Homeowners Rehab. And my wife
11	and I had our two kids growing through the
12	Cambridge Schools at the time that rent
13	control was eliminated. And back then we
14	could have had a going away party almost
15	every other week for friends and, you know,
16	friends of our kids who were having to move
17	out of city because of the lack of affordable
18	housing, especially three-bedroom type units
19	like will be provided in this building. So I
20	think again we have to look at what great
21	things Homeowners Rehab does providing for
22	families in a time that's not the trend in

1	Cambridge. Mostly we're getting one bedrooms
2	and maybe some two bedrooms, but certainly
3	not three bedrooms that families need. So,
4	this is a great project to enable families to
5	stay in Cambridge.
6	Thank you very much.
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
8	CHARLES ECCLES: Hi. My name is
9	Charles Eccles. I live at 62 Allston Street
10	in Cambridge on the next corner where the
11	proposed building would go. I'm not with the
12	Homeowners Rehab, and I'm just a regular old
13	guy, and I want to find out how will this
14	benefit our little community? I mean, will
15	there be jobs for people with this building?
16	I mean, is there any, is there anything going
17	to be done for Mrs. Pearson who's lived there
18	for 60 years? I mean, will there be any
19	concessions at all? You're going to put 40
20	units in a space where one house used to be
21	before, and one building. So that's three
22	units and one commercial building. And

1	you're going to put 40 units in that same
2	space. What, what, what advantage do we get
3	to that? And what about poor Mrs. Pearson
4	who won't be able to see daylight anymore?
5	l'm sure it doesn't bother you, you all
6	can go home after you make up your mind, but
7	she has to live with that for the rest of her
8	life, so do I. And Mr. Stead and all my
9	other neighbors. And so I mean you've
10	already made this a done deal and I have
11	nothing, I have nothing else to say to it,
12	but at least, you know, try to make some
13	concession. Scale down. That area was set
14	up for maybe, maybe 12 units at the most,
15	right? And you want to put 40 in there. Why
16	don't we make some kind of concession? Put
17	20 in there. Give her a little bit more room
18	to get and up around her house and make the
19	building smaller so she gets a little
20	sunlight. I mean, what that's compromise.
21	l guess my time is done. What else can l
22	say?

	00
1	THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you spell
2	your last name for me, please?
3	CHARLES ECCLES: E-c-c-I-e-s.
4	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
5	Go ahead.
6	LINDA HAAS: Hi. My name is Linda
7	Haas, H-a-a-s. I live at 19 Peters Street
8	which is just around the corner from the
9	development. You could actually see the
10	building in some of the pictures that were up
11	before.
12	I wanted to address I want to
13	reiterate opinions that were expressed by a
14	number of my neighbors, especially two
15	issues. One is the density in our
16	neighborhood. I think adding that number of
17	units in our neighborhood will have
18	significant impact on a number of levels.
19	Nobody has mentioned the small park that's
20	just across the street from the building that
21	I think would probably get significantly
22	greater usage. How will that or how will

1	that affect the little park? Will people
2	will it be more maintenance? I don't think
3	that issue has been looked at at all. But of
4	course the big issue for me, like many other
5	people who don't look directly next to the
6	building, and will have it in their view is
7	parking. As was mentioned, we've lost a lot
8	of parking because of the changes on Sydney
9	Street. We're going to be losing some on
10	Brookline Ave. It's getting harder and
11	harder. In the 13 years that I've lived on
12	that street the change has been dramatic
13	al ready. And I'm thi nki ng that just because
14	there are 40 units, that there are 40 units
15	just because it's affordable housing, we
16	might not have that many cars. Frankly, as
17	also was said, there will be visitors. If
18	not every spot is used all the time, visitors
19	can park in those extra spots. And doing
20	something positive for the neighborhood, in
21	one way having extra spots, also, that
22	wouldn't be the worse thing in the world. So

1	I think perhaps the concept of scaling back
2	could add positively to the effect that the
3	development will have on the neighborhood
4	both in terms of the numbs of people around
5	there but also in terms of how it will affect
6	the parking.
7	Thank you.
8	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
9	MARY LOVELESS: Hi. My name is Mary
10	Loveless, L-o-v-e-l-e-s-s. l'm at 594 Putnam
11	Avenue. Once again I'd like to reiterate
12	what other folks have said. I think it
13	basically comes down to the density, the
14	number of units. I think that we have the
15	park on Peterson Street that no one's taking
16	into account. There's no play areas.
17	Children in this particular park, there would
18	be influx there. Daily for the businesses
19	along Sydney Street with Vertex and the other
20	industries, we have a lot of influx of people
21	and also in the usage of those parks. The
22	other is the parking which is an ongoing

1	issue. And I think that for a project like
2	HRI I agree, I agree affordable housing
3	should be offered, but I think there has to
4	be some form of compromise and concession.
5	And also you want the community and the
6	neighborhood to embrace your project. And I
7	think 40 units is a lot. And it's going to
8	block views. It's going to affect everybody
9	who lives around there. And I think some
10	thought has to be taken into the influx of
11	people, cars and the usage of that area
12	around it. So that's all that I would like
13	to add at this point in time.
14	And thank you, everybody, for speaking
15	up.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
17	Is there anyone else who would like to
18	speak?
19	RACHEL ZIEGLER: Sorry, I'II make
20	this quick. My name is Rachel Ziegler,
21	Z-i-e-g-l-e-r. And I'm actually a condo
22	owner and resident at 260 Sydney Street right

1	next-door. I just wanted to ask a question
2	real quick. I was not able to attend the
3	first meetings you had. Some of the
4	information I received said it would be mixed
5	income housing, and this is saying affordable
6	housing. Is it going to be mixed income or
7	is it mainly
8	JANE JONES: No, never. It's
9	affordabl e housi ng.
10	RACHEL ZIEGLER: So generally
11	speaking, I guess, like, for parking concerns
12	initially I was concerned, but if it's
13	affordable housing, we're very conveniently
14	located to public transportation. And when I
15	first moved in, I didn't have a car. It
16	really wasn't an issue. And I think that
17	with the level of income that these people
18	are going to have, most of the money is still
19	going to go to rent. They're probably not
20	going to have cars. I think the 28 spots
21	will be okay.
22	And as far as aesthetic, right now my

1	windows it looks like over a driveway so l'm
2	actually thinking it's going to improve my
3	view. I'm little concerned in the increase
4	in noise level, partially because I work in
5	an overnight shift on rotation, so that's a
6	personal issue. But I can understand that
7	the overcrowding and the noise level and the
8	extra traffic will be an issue. But yeah, l
9	just wanted to clarify that.
10	Thank you.
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
12	Yes, go ahead.
13	ABBY MACDONALD: My name is Abby
14	MacDonald, A-b-b-y M-a-c-D-o-n-a-l-d. I live
15	at 57 Allston Street.
16	And I saw my apartment in that slide
17	show as well. I think parking is and will be
18	an issue in this area and that is a concern
19	of mine. But density is also an issue. It's
20	a quiet area. It's there's lots of green
21	space for the people that are there now. As
22	a social worker, I strongly support

1	affordable housing and look forward to what
2	is now just a parking lot to be something
3	beautiful. And but I really think that we
4	need to consider scaling back this project
5	just a little bit. Also, I think one way of
6	solving some of the parking is with the
7	proposed spaces, if those were made or I
8	don't know if HRI's already committed to like
9	a rent structure or how this parking is going
10	to be paid for, but if that were free to the
11	residents who, like our previous speaker
12	spoke, that maybe on incomes, that being able
13	to afford parking in addition to the rent
14	okay. Well, I think that that will help
15	some, but I would encourage there to be a
16	focus on building on to the parking that's
17	available now. So, thank you.
18	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: And scaling
19	back.
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
21	Any other comments?
22	(No response).

1	WILLIAM TIBBS: AII right.
2	Typically what we do at this point is close
3	the public hearing for public for verbal
4	comment, but we'll leave it open until we
5	make our decision. And I can fairly say
6	unless the really Board surprises me that
7	will be tonight because we have two other
8	issues being we'll get you, behind you.
9	But we'll keep it open for public I mean,
10	written comments so that you can still write
11	to us, but we will close the public hearing
12	if it's the Board's pleasure for verbal
13	comments. I'm holding off on you because I'm
14	sure the Board has many questions for you,
15	too. So you'll have a chance to respond to
16	some of this. So with that, we'll do that.
17	Yes.
18	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: So when
19	will your decision be made?
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: That's a question
21	I'll ask Beth to help us with. We do know
22	we typically need time to deliberate on this.

1	And, again, because of other business we
2	won't have a lot of time to do that.
3	Probably what we'll ask the Board members to
4	do, which you'll hear, is to either make
5	comments or ask questions and for
6	clarifications for that deliberation. And
7	then that's usually scheduled by the staff as
8	to when we can do that. I think we should
9	just let them know what the time frame is for
10	when the decision has to be made and how much
11	time we have to resolve this one way or the
12	other.
13	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: And once
14	the decision's made, is there any recourse at
15	that point in time for the public?
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: I'II let you answer
17	that one, too.
18	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Let me speak to
19	the schedule issue first. According to the
20	schedule for the project and the time limits
21	that are established by state law, the
22	Planning Board has until November 16th to

1	make a decision. And it was said at the
2	beginning of the meeting, upcoming meetings
3	in September are scheduled for September 8th
4	and 22nd. I think what we usually do is wait
5	and see how many questions there are, how
6	much homework HRI has, how long it will take
7	them to respond to the issues that come up,
8	and then we may be able to give you a sense
9	tonight of when they'll be back. But if not,
10	you can always call Community Development or
11	check our web site to see when they're on the
12	agenda. But probably sometime in September.
13	As to recourse, permits can be
14	appealed. That's something that can be done.
15	But, again, I think we're not quite at a
16	decision yet. We don't really know the size
17	and shape of that, but that is the
18	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Thank you.
19	WILLIAM TIBBS: So, with that if
20	Board members have comments, questions either
21	for staff or for the proponent, that will
22	help them as they try to sort this one out.

1 Hugh. 2 HUGH RUSSELL: I guess I would like 3 to see them look at paragraph 524. I think 4 it's C of the ordinance and do the 5 calculation for multiple plane setback to see 6 if the building complies with that or not. 7 also think it would be a good idea to locate 8 some bicycle parking spaces for visitors on 9 the site. Somewhere there's a sidewalk I 10 notice on the west side of the property that 11 might be a suitable spot. 12 You know, I'm an architect. I design 13 multi-family housing. I guess I would say 14 that this is a very competently designed 15 project. You' re looking at the perspective, 16 you can see that it's got scale and color and 17 variety, all the things you want to try to 18 get into a project. And so I think, you 19 know, you don't find many architectural 20 issues to make with this project. In fact, I don't find any issues at all. 21 22 WI LLI AM TI BBS: Charles.

1	CHARLES STUDEN: I would like to
2	echo what Hugh just said about the project.
3	I actually live on Montgomery Street in
4	Cambridgeport. I moved there about eight
5	years ago, and one of the things that
6	attracted me to the neighborhood was the
7	diversity, you know, in every aspect of that
8	neighborhood. And I was also encouraged by
9	the rezoning that had been done some years
10	earlier before I was on the Planning Board
11	that resulted in the special districts,
12	including the one that this project is
13	partially located within that is resulting in
14	the eventual transition to more residential
15	uses in the Cambridgeport area. This project
16	is going to be replacing a parking lot, and l
17	believe it's a vacant one-story building,
18	with something that I think is very much in
19	character with the neighborhood's housing and
20	better yet it's housing it's affordable when
21	there's so little of that in Cambridge to
22	begin with. So I and I also agree that

1	with Hugh that I'm I mean, I like the
2	design of the buildings themselves. I think
3	they fit in extremely well with the existing
4	archi tecture. I have occasi on to walk by
5	this up and down that street, I happen to
6	run. I run on the Charles River, I actually
7	come down Sydney Street to get down to the
8	river and I often look at these missing
9	teeth. I look at that parking lot as a
10	missing tooth between the building on the
11	corner and the brick condominiums to the
12	right. And I think having a building like
13	the one that's shown here on the bottom slide
14	is a significant improvement.
15	So, I and then in terms of the
16	density I, you know, I don't see 40 units in
17	this site as being overly ambitious. I see
18	given the way it's being designed, that it's
19	a very appropriate density for the site. So
20	l'm, again, l'm very enthusiastic about the
21	project at this point.
22	WILLIAM TIBBS: Pam.

	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1	PAMELA WINTERS: I guess I had a
2	couple of concerns about visitor parking and
3	that there be enough visitor parking for the
4	units. And also I was wondering why you
5	chose it seems as though most of the
6	buildings on the street are three stories and
7	why you chose to add a fourth story on the
8	34-unit apartment building? I'm just
9	wondering what that would look like if it
10	were without that top floor? It would be a
11	little less dense and, you know, just seems
12	as though it would fit in a little better.
13	But that's just my opinion.
14	JANE JONES: On that end of the site
15	we're abutting the SDAA District. So
16	eventually the adjacent one-story structure
17	that MIT owns now and around that area will
18	be demolished and they will have height
19	limits up to 100 feet. So we're stepping up
20	and the adjacent the C1 District is the 45
21	height limit restriction and we're below that
22	on the residential portion of the site.

	/+
1	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you for
2	expl ai ni ng that.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Ahmed.
4	AHMED NUR: Yes, I just have a quick
5	question. Do you have information to who the
6	tenant might be? Are they coming out of
7	affordable housing for example, are they
8	Cambridge residents to begin with? Are they
9	other Cambridge residents?
10	JANE JONES: We will work with the
11	Cambridge Housing.
12	LES BARBER: Jane, can you step up?
13	JANE JONES: The Cambridge Housing
14	Authority has a waiting list now of about
15	6,000 families. And we work with the
16	Cambridge Housing Authority in placing all
17	our families in the developments. So this
18	development is affordable and we will take
19	residents from the Cambridge Housing
20	Authority wait list.
21	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Patri ci a.
22	PATRICIA SINGER: I have two

1	questions. The first one has to do with
2	sound, and I'm wondering where you are
3	putting the mechanicals. Do I remember
4	reading that they're going into the basement?
5	Yes.
6	And then the second one has to do just
7	very generi cally with an archi tectural
8	question. You have put the garden space, the
9	open space to the inside of the property.
10	And I see that very frequently both in
11	residential and in commercial development.
12	And I'm curious why the open space is not
13	more often put to the outside of the
14	property.
15	NANCY LUDWIG: By the outside of the
16	property do you mean to the street?
17	PATRICIA SINGER: To the street
18	si de.
19	NANCY LUDWIG: Well, because
20	typically in this neighborhood, buildings
21	align with the adjacent buildings. So we
22	weren't trying to create a public park on the

1	street and force the building further back on
2	the property, but rather treat it in the more
3	traditional sense so you have a building
4	sitting back from the sidewalk with its porch
5	and wal kway up, and then subdividing the
6	backyard areas into some smaller scale garden
7	areas and a courtyard of a scale to support
8	the 34-unit apartment building. In that
9	location they get sun throughout the day as
10	opposed to if they were on the street side,
11	that open space would be in shadow all day
12	l ong.
13	JANE JONES: And also there was
14	feedback at some of the community meetings
15	that we have in the open space people felt
16	that we should have open space for the
17	residents that there were enough parks in the
18	neighborhood that we didn't have to provide
19	it was not a significant area on this site
20	to create sort of a public pocket park. So,
21	there was some sense from neighbors that we
22	didn't have to create another public pocket

1	park at this site.
2	PATRI CI A SI NGER: Thank you.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Steve, any other
4	comments?
5	STEVEN WINTER: Yes, I have some
6	comments.
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: Go ahead, Steve.
8	STEVEN WINTER: You know, when so
9	many people talk about the density in the
10	neighborhood and the fact that there's no
11	parking now, I think we have to listen to
12	that. I think we have to say what's going
13	on? What is that? And, you know, what I
14	would like to ask Sue Clippinger to help us
15	to work that issue a little bit. Sue, if you
16	could answer some questions I have.
17	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Yes.
18	STEVEN WINTER: The first question
19	is and by the way, I listen very carefully
20	to your advice as it comes in. I want to
21	make sure you know that. The memo that you
22	sent to the Planning Board, the first issue

tal ks about the proponent did a survey which
found that for affordable housing the number
of cars per household average 52 percent.
And there's been some challenge of that
methodology and that that whole way of
looking at the issue. I wonder if you could
validate that for us and tell us how you came
to embrace that, how that makes sense to you.
SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Well, I think
that Susan Clippinger. I think the first
point in the memo to the Board was basically
trying to say that in talking with HRI and
looking at the information they were using
and looking at other information, that we've
tried to gather as a city, we felt that this
was a reasonable assumption that they were
making, that generally affordable housing
units don't have a car for every single unit.
That there are people with no car. And so
the number that they're proposing seems
reasonabl e.
STEVEN WINTER: And the other piece

1	that I need help with is that we've got a lot
2	of very reasonable people coming forward
3	saying that this is an area that's so dense
4	there is no parking now. And I wonder your
5	points didn't say that the additional that
6	this building and the number of units and the
7	number of owners that would have vehicles and
8	the number of visitors, that it was your
9	interpretation that this would not create a
10	burden of additional cars, that in effect it
11	would be a burden or a difficulty for the
12	neighborhood. And I just want you to
13	elucidate on that, tell me a little bit about
14	that.
15	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: I think that as
16	you go east through the Cambridgeport
17	neighborhood and you get away from some of
18	the dense residential traditional
19	residential areas and you go toward the old
20	California Paint site and the large MIT open
21	space and the Vertex building and some of the
22	commercial buildings, that the parking

1	characteristics of the on-street parking
2	change. So if you're to the west of Sydney
3	Street, you're in a more traditional
4	Cambridge neighborhood where you're not
5	necessarily finding an open parking space
6	right in front of your house. And, you know,
7	as people talked about street cleaning, snow
8	and those kinds of challenges. As you go
9	further to the east, the and the parking
10	that's there is not proximate to people's
11	residential households, the available spaces
12	start to increase. So Putnam, in this area,
13	has had two signed residential spaces in
14	front of the existing house in which we're
15	proposing to extend that resident only
16	parking another six spaces, that covers that
17	portion of the street. And then if you're
18	all the way over to Waverly Street where
19	there's two hour parking by the Vertex
20	building, a lot of times those spaces are
21	unused at night. And in some of the areas on
22	Sydney Street it's it's erratic whether

1	it's chocker-block 24-hours a day. Clearly
2	as you go further to the west and you start
3	to get into the areas where the there's a
4	lot of long pre-existing housing, then that
5	environment starts to change. So it depends
6	a lot on where you are and how far you're
7	willing to walk.
8	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: And the
9	safety of the neighborhood.
10	STEVEN WINTER: And the last
11	question that I have, please is with the
12	with this development, with this proposed
13	development would you recommend changing any
14	of the parking regulations that are there now
15	to accommodate in a way that isn't going to
16	squeeze the commercial interest, but will
17	perhaps ease some of the residential burden.
18	Is there any way to do that?
19	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Well, I mean the
20	change that we're proposing for the six
21	additional spaces is specifically responsive
22	to this project. So once you build this

1	project, there's no reason to have
2	unrestricted parking in front of a
3	residential building. So by extending the
4	resident permit parking only down Putnam, it
5	adds those additional six spaces. So, yes.
6	And I think depending what happens long term
7	in the future for additional residential
8	development occurs in these areas that
9	currently are unrestricted, then we would
10	look at adding additional resident parking on
11	street to support those new uses. But that's
12	a future that, you know, nobody knows right
13	now what may happen.
14	STEVEN WINTER: Well, if I could
15	ask, what would trig what's the criteria
16	that would trigger that study?
17	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Well, I mean
18	we're basically looking at for a new
19	development the land the first floor land
20	uses and general needs in the area. So this
21	is not a this is not a big study. This is
22	a residential building, first of all,

	103
1	residential activities. The parking
2	available on-street parking in front of the
3	building should be resident permit only.
4	STEVEN WINTER: And finally, the
5	bicycle committee which always has thoughtful
6	feedback for us, mentioned that while they
7	don't know, they want to be certain that the
8	bicycle parking spaces are of the appropriate
9	size and of the appropriate dimensions. And
10	I wonder if you could just check on that for
11	us and make sure that they're where they're
12	supposed to be, but the dimensions of
13	those
14	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: We always do for
15	all projects that come to us for a bicycle
16	permit.
17	STEVEN WINTER: Thank you.
18	H. THEODORE COHEN: Susan before you
19	go.
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: Go ahead.
21	H. THEODORE COHEN: And I'm not sure
22	whether

1	STEVEN WINTER: Go ahead.
2	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm not sure if
3	you can answer this question or not, but is
4	in your opinion is the parking requirements
5	in the Zoning for residential development
6	just to accommodate the inhabitants of the
7	residence or does it also take into account
8	vi si tors?
9	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: I think generally
10	we're assuming it's to accommodate the
11	inhabitants of the building. But we also
12	know that the one-per-one zoning requirement
13	is a generic across the city requirement
14	which may or may not be necessary in all
15	locations. So we know that when you start to
16	get close to transit, you don't have anything
17	like one vehicle for every unit. We know
18	that in the affordable housing you don't have
19	one vehicle for every unit. We know that in,
20	you know, other you know, some of the
21	other areas you do have a vehicle. You know,
22	you're closer for one-for-one. It depends on

1	where in the city you are and what kind of
2	housing it is. And generally, you know,
3	people who are visiting are either trying to
4	find someplace that they can use a visitor
5	pass for or they may go over those two hour
6	parking on both Waverly and Sydney that's not
7	right adjacent to the project but within a
8	couple blocks, so they also have options. So
9	in this case there's a lot of options for
10	people who are visiting.
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: Tom, would like to
12	ask a question, too?
13	THOMAS ANNINGER: Sticking with
14	parking. The way the comment was made I
15	thought it was actually a thoughtful one.
16	That you were perhaps not analyzing the
17	comparati ve other projects, affordable
18	projects as deeply and as analytically as you
19	might. For example here, I was not very
20	persuaded, at least talking about by public
21	transport, I mean train transport, not buses
22	of the proximity of Central Square or the

1	Green Line. That's a long walk. It's also
2	an unpleasant walk across the river. That's
3	not a good bridge. And so, if you compare
4	that to, for example what was the
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: Auburn Court.
6	THOMAS ANNINGER: Auburn Court,
7	which is an awful lot closer to Central
8	Square. Give me a couple other examples that
9	they compared this to.
10	JANE JONES: Trolley Square.
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: Trolley Square.
12	THOMAS ANNINGER: Trolley Square has
13	got more busses than you can imagine going
14	down Mass. Avenue. It seems to me we ought
15	to talk a little about the proximity of
16	public transport when you're analyzing this
17	50 percent of rough measure. I'm not
18	entirely convinced that this is going to be
19	as easy and accessible as has been assumed
20	here.
21	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: When we're
22	looking at housing, not paying attention to

1	affordable or unaffordable or what the price
2	range is it's very close to transit, we're
3	talking about way less than .5. So, in you
4	know
5	THOMAS ANNINGER: So, less than half
6	a mile away?
7	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: No. Less than .5
8	cars per unit.
9	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Oh.
10	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: So when you start
11	getting really close to transit, the number
12	of cars that are owned drops dramatically.
13	And we are seeing in the affordable units
14	that the numbers that they were showing that
15	were down toward .5. So I think the .7 gives
16	you, you know, a fudge factor that makes it a
17	reasonable assumption.
18	NANCY LUDWIG: If you're interested,
19	did you miss that this is actually on the
20	Easy Ride bus route?
21	THOMAS ANNINGER: I heard that. I'm

not quite sure I know enough about Easy Ride.

1	I don't know about the frequency or exactly
2	where that goes.
3	SUSAN CLIPPINGER: Easy ride is
4	great. It has wonderful ridership by the
5	way.
6	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Where does it
7	go?
8	WILLIAM TIBBS: Excuse me.
9	THOMAS ANNINGER: That's wonderful.
10	I have some more comments but
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: You can go ahead.
12	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Ted, was coming
13	next. I don't know.
14	WILLIAM TIBBS: Ted, are you all
15	set?
16	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm fine.
17	WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay. We can come
18	back to you.
19	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Just sort of an
20	introductory comment, I understand the point
21	that this is a parking lot, a missing tooth
22	as my colleague mentioned, and that this is

1	really crying out for some development here.
2	It is also on the eastern edge of Putnam
3	Avenue where we have a very mixed area,
4	industrial, commercial, becoming more
5	residential, so that this project seems to
6	fit very well with what I think was
7	contemplated when we had Zoning and we
8	considered some of these things. So I think
9	in many ways this fits what was intended. On
10	the other hand, I can understand the anxiety
11	of the neighborhood. And I think some we
12	need to take a look at that a little bit more
13	closely. I'm not entirely persuaded by the
14	parking. I'd like to dig into that just a
15	little bit.
16	Let me ask a few questions: This is a
17	tenancy now that you're talking about.
18	You're talking about rental apartments?
19	JANE JONES: Yes.
20	THOMAS ANNINGER: So, of course
21	these seven units, these seven spaces for ten
22	units will be allocated according to who

1	wants to rent them? Is there any thought of
2	ever converting this to ownership type
3	apartments?
4	JANE JONES: No.
5	THOMAS ANNI NGER: And what
6	assurances do we have that that No answer
7	will remain no?
8	JANE JONES: Well, the funding
9	requirements will dictate the development.
10	And we have applied to the state for housing
11	tax credits, for rental housing and
12	perpetui ty, and the affordable housing trust
13	funds will be given to us from the Cambridge
14	Affordable Housing trust. They are going to
15	have restrictive covenants on the property
16	that it continues to be a rental affordable
17	housing development. And so it will always
18	be affordable housing rental development.
19	THOMAS ANNINGER: ALL right.
20	Why are you building the underground
21	only half underground? Is that a cost
22	matter?

1	JANE JONES: I think it's
2	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Why are you not
3	going fully under?
4	NANCY LUDWIG: Well, I had mentioned
5	that typically in the neighborhood the
6	buildings are set-up at stoop height.
7	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Right.
8	NANCY LUDWIG: So by going half
9	underground we're mimicking and in fact
10	raising those first floor windows a bit up
11	off the sidewalk. Moreover just, you know,
12	the pitch of getting a drive down into that
13	garage underground, that sort of half down
14	half out is works very well.
15	JANE JONES: And also it is a cost
16	issue. An underground parking space versus a
17	surface parking space is about \$30,000
18	difference. And we're seeing in our other
19	developments that have underground parking,
20	that to build spaces that are not utilized is
21	really a public dollar, a waste of public
22	dollars. So Trolley Square where we

1	definitely underutilized there and we didn't
2	want to create and pay for parking over
3	\$30,000 a space and then not have it used.
4	THOMAS ANNINGER: Okay. I guess
5	it's as much a hope as when you say an
6	assumption is something hortatory and hopeful
7	about it that it will work. If it doesn't, I
8	think some of the issues that have been
9	raised will create, will create a problem for
10	the neighborhood.
11	JANE JONES: One thing, too, is that
12	this is an affordable development where I
13	know Mr. Stead was talking about parking and
14	his residents have two cars, and we see the
15	same in our market rate in our mixed
16	income developments where a market rate
17	tenant will have two cars. But in an
18	affordable development, we don't see that
19	usage. So that's why we came to the Board
20	with the reduction in parking, because as a
21	hundred percent affordable development, there
22	is not the same requirement as a market rate

1	or mixed rate development in parking needs.
2	And one more thing, I'll just say about the
3	parking is interestingly enough one of our
4	mechanical engineers is a Cambridge resident
5	and we were talking about the development at
6	our green charrette and we were locating the
7	site and talking about it. And he said, Oh,
8	I know that property. I park there when I
9	have business in Harvard Square because
10	there's no there's no I'll never get a
11	ticket because there's no designated parking.
12	So I think during the day you're seeing a lot
13	of sort of a free for all. There's no
14	designation and anybody parks there. So it's
15	a really a strain on the residents that live
16	there because busi nesses or people outside of
17	the neighborhood are parking there because
18	they know they can. And the other thing that
19	we are also working with the city is there
20	are no sidewalks on that side of Putnam Ave.
21	And no street trees. And we want to try to
22	improve the infrastructure at that end of the

1	city as well as part of the development.
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: On the issue of
3	density I know the area, I don't know it as
4	well as I would like to so I will go and
5	visit it. But I would like to sort of take a
6	view for myself to see if I can imagine what
7	it's going to look like. So I think you're
8	right, as far as I'm concerned, that this is
9	not the night to conclude our discussions.
10	But I do have a question or two on whether
11	you've got quite the right density for this.
12	And I guess the last point I would make is
13	this: I'm not a traditionalist when it comes
14	to architecture, and I think I understand
15	what you've tried to do by having different
16	facades in different areas and symmetry is
17	not one of the things that I that are
18	terribly important to me. Asymmetry is
19	something that I can enjoy. And so I'm happy
20	with your attempt at doing something a little
21	bit more contemporary. I will say that this
22	facade is not quite as satisfying as I would

1	have liked it to be. I'm a little puzzled by
2	it. Maybe over time it will grow on me.
3	Just a thought.
4	PAMELA WINTERS: Are you talking
5	about the 34-unit one?
6	THOMAS ANNINGER: I'm talking about
7	this right here (indicating). What we're
8	looking at, the upper one. I see what Hugh
9	says that there's a lot going on there.
10	You've made a lot of effort with
11	different relief and I think it reads in an
12	interesting way, but not in a satisfying way
13	for me. So I'm, I'm puzzled by that. I
14	can't don't know quite what to say about
15	it. I think you've thought about it
16	carefully. That point about the entrances
17	being somewhat unclear, perhaps it's because
18	I didn't bring my glasses tonight, but I'm
19	not sure where the entrances are.
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Look at the
21	perspectives.
22	NANCY LUDWIG: Off the main stairs

1	(indicating), under the trellis and the door
2	the main entry door is tucked off the
3	porch.
4	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It looks like
5	a back door.
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: I think any
7	comments
8	THOMAS ANNINGER: I think any
9	comments are unwelcome at this point.
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes. Thank you.
11	THOMAS ANNINGER: All right. Well,
12	that will help me if I look at something like
13	that. I will still say stepping back, it is
14	something that's going to need to grow on me.
15	So I would like to take a view and I will
16	look carefully at these pictures. And I
17	don't know whether there's anything else that
18	you can show us when you come back next time
19	that might make us understand it and make,
20	for example, me see it from a different
21	perspective. But those are my comments for
22	the moment. I guess I'm slightly more

1	lukewarm than some of my colleagues is how
2	l'II sum it up.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Anymore I just
4	have a couple of comments. How is the
5	parking going to be allocated?
6	JANE JONES: It's
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: And what's your
8	normal way of doing it?
9	JANE JONES: Parking is included in
10	their in the rent.
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: In the rent?
12	JANE JONES: Yes, so parking is
13	i ncl uded.
14	WILLIAM TIBBS: For everyone?
15	JANE JONES: Yes.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: So, if they don't
17	have cars then
18	JANE JONES: Well, typically again
19	we see that for every resident they wouldn't
20	have a car, so here
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: So policy wise if I
22	don't have a car and I'm there, do I get

1	parking included in my rent or is that an
2	opti on?
3	JANE JONES: Oh, it's included for
4	everybody whether you have a car or not.
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: Oh. That's
6	interesting.
7	PAMELA WINTERS: That's a good deal.
8	JANE JONES: You don't get a space
9	if you don't have a car. So everyone if
10	you have a car, you get a space.
11	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Until you
12	run out.
13	JANE JONES: We're seeing that
14	residents not every resident will have a
15	car.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: And if you don't
17	have a car, you have to pay for a space in
18	your rent?
19	JANE JONES: No.
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: You're confusing me.
21	JANE JONES: There's no extra charge
22	for parking, it's free.

1	WILLIAM TIBBS: I see.
2	JANE JONES: Sorry.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Gotcha.
4	I guess my I come at this from a
5	slightly different perspective. This is a
6	neighborhood and I live in Cambridgeport,
7	too. But this is a neighborhood that I don't
8	really have a good sense of vision of where
9	it's we want it to go. Obviously we
10	passed Zoning a while back with the hope that
11	we would be doing something indeed with
12	housing, making that whole industrial area
13	more residential was one of the underlying
14	concerns. And obviously we have a big
15	concern about affordable housing in Cambridge
16	in general. But I just don't get a good
17	sense of this project because you've started
18	it or you're the first ones doing a
19	residential project here. I think a lot of
20	the concerns that people are expressing here
21	are crying out what's the vision beyond your
22	project? I guess I'd like to if the staff

1	could at least help us figure out what the
2	Zoning allows and maybe just have a
3	conversation about that when we meet next
4	just a brief, you know, ten stories next
5	store surprise me actually. So I would like
6	to just know that so we know what we're
7	doi ng.
8	And with the specific comment on
9	adjacent properties, properties that are near
10	this one and just what it is, because I think
11	the it sounds to me like I know I
12	don't, and it sounds to me like a lot of the
13	people here don't know what the neighborhood
14	could be like under this Zoning. And I think
15	that's important for all of us to know as we
16	do this, and for all of and for the
17	neighbors to know, too, as we go. And I
18	guess I'm not sure if this trellis issue is
19	an issue, but you can if that's something
20	you looked into or you talked about or you
21	can talk about that when you come back, too.
22	And we do have another public hearing

1	to do after this one, so I think we should
2	move on unless anybody else has any comments.
3	So I think we'll take a yes, Liza.
4	LIZA PADEN: Did you close the oral
5	comments or not?
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes, I did.
7	Yes, go ahead. You have a question?
8	JANE JONES: I do.
9	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes, go ahead.
10	JANE JONES: I just wanted to
11	clarify a few things that were mentioned with
12	some of the neighbors. That the Zoning here
13	is one-to-one and not 1.5. And, again, it's
14	free parking. The we own approximately
15	and manage approximately a thousand units in
16	the Cambridge neighborhoods, and we hire a
17	property management company and we have
18	resident coordinators that work with our
19	residents and manage our property. So this
20	typical and we'll continue here in this
21	development. And we're really proud of our
22	designs and the paths that won national

1	recognition for its design. And at Trolley
2	Square, you know, that was the first
3	development in Cambridge, affordable housing
4	development that was lead certifiable and the
5	largest PV solar installation in the city. I
6	think it might still be. But we also do
7	historic restoration in a lot of our
8	buildings. We own a property, a six-unit
9	building at 341 Columbia Ave. or Columbia
10	Street, that the vinyl siding, the asphalt
11	siding was removed and we worked with the
12	Cambridge Historic Commission to restore the
13	building. So those simple details do not
14	mean we're going to design something of low
15	quality. We really take pride in our details
16	and our developments and we're here over the
17	long haul. I've been working at HRI for 20
18	years. I still work with the neighborhoods,
19	some of the condo owners from 20 years ago
20	that we sold properties to and some of the
21	apartments, the apartments that we rent,
22	we're still working with those residents.

1	And this is just a schematic design phase.
2	This is not the design development. So we
3	haven't really worked through all the
4	details, and I think we will take back what,
5	you know, you have suggested in terms of the
6	facade the entryway. I think we're trying
7	to create something here playful that really
8	speaks of an entrance. And, again, it's the
9	schematic design phase. So it's not
10	completely developed. I think that's it on
11	public comments that I just wanted to
12	cl ari fy.
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
14	AHMED NUR: If I could just make one
15	comment.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: Sure.
17	AHMED NUR: In terms of your last
18	comment in taking back some of our concerns,
19	have you considered maybe setting a little
20	further down and having a driveway for
21	deliveries, school busses, pizza deliveries,
22	the ambulances all that? I tend to pick up

1	my child pretty much every afternoon from one
2	side of Putnam to the other to go through
3	Harvard Square, and it's extremely trafficky
4	and I can just imagine
5	JANE JONES: Well, we haven't
6	designated a drop-off area within the
7	development, and I think if the street I
8	mean, if the neighbors had a position on it,
9	they'd rather see a resident parking
10	allocation versus a designated drop-off area
11	for the development. So, right now it's not
12	in the design.
13	AHMED NUR: Okay.
14	WILLIAM TIBBS: And I guess I have a
15	question which was similar which I didn't
16	ask, but you don't have to answer now but for
17	the next time you see us. How are you
18	dealing with loading in general, and maybe
19	moving and stuff like that? Is that
20	off-street or is that particularly in the
21	larger building are you doing that some
22	different way?

	125
1	JANE JONES: Yes.
2	WILLIAM TIBBS: So I guess we will
3	take a quick ten-minute break, be back here
4	by quarter of and we'll start the next public
5	hearing then.
6	(A short recess was taken.)
7	WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay. We're going
8	to get ready to go on the next hearing. I
9	wanted to have a little clarification from
10	the last hearing. We talked about maybe
11	wanting to see the site. Is this something
12	that the Board wants to do together or is
13	this something we want to do individually?
14	STEVEN WINTER: I missed your
15	questi on.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: Seeing the Putnam
17	Ave. site, is this something we want to do
18	individually or something we want to do
19	together?
20	CHARLES STUDEN: I would prefer to
21	do it individually.
22	PAMELA WINTERS: I would also.

	120
1	WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay. Good.
2	All right. We have a our next
3	public hearing. It is I'm sorry.
4	THOMAS ANNI NGER: We were tal king.
5	And the one thing if I may go back to Putnam
6	Ave. and I know they ve all gone now. We
7	really didn't talk a lot about what we wanted
8	to see next time, and it's kind of too bad
9	that we didn't. But one of the things that
10	came up in the conversation was that it might
11	have been helpful to have a little bit
12	broader perspective on the neighborhood than
13	just what's next-door in terms of the density
14	of projects within a block or two so that we
15	get a sense of whether we're really focusing
16	just on a few three-family homes or if
17	whether there aren't some larger projects to
18	compare this to. That would be helpful I
19	thi nk.
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: And I just want to
21	say that was getting at my request for what
22	the zoning allows, too. Because it sounds

1	like the zoning allows for significantly more
2	density then even anything in the
3	neighborhood right now. So I think we just
4	need to get a better understanding of that.
5	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Okay.
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: AII right.
7	We have a continuation of case No. 239
8	which is 2419 Mass. Ave. And just so that
9	people know how the public hearings work. We
10	typically give the proponent an opportunity
11	to make a presentation. And then the Board
12	has may have some clarifying questions for
13	the proponent. And then we open it up for
14	public comments. We'd like the there is a
15	sign-up sheet. I think it's over in the
16	corner. If you'd like to speak during the
17	public comment period, we will ask before we
18	close the hearing for public comment if
19	anybody else wants to speak if they're not
20	able to get to the sign-up sheet. And we ask
21	that you when you do, in terms of the
22	public comments, that you do come forward and

speak at the microphone if you're able. And we -- for the recorder we like you to give your name and your address and spell your So unless I forgot something, with name. that we'll start with the presentation. PAUL OGNI BENE: So I'm Paul Ognibene from Urban Spaces. We're continued from two weeks ago, and we're here again today. Just by way of introduction, my company is Urban Spaces. And I'm working with Phil Terzis who is from Oak Tree. And I brought my colleague Alison Hammer from Urban Spaces as well. So, Urban Spaces and Oak Tree have partnered up with Urban Spaces doing the development and Oak Tree doing the design to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 create a lead certifiable 37-unit 17 multi-family building on the former Rounder 18 Records warehouse site. 33 of the units are 19 intended to be market rate for our 20 inclusionary. It will be a mix of one- and 21 two-bedroom units, mid-priced units, for sale 22 There will be parking condomi ni ums.

1	amenities such as function space, fitness
2	center, outdoor terraces and outdoor space
3	for the residents. We believe that
4	transforming this vacant warehouse, which it
5	is today, will make a vibrant addition to the
6	adjacent Linear Park, to the street scape and
7	the neighborhood in general. We've had an
8	opportunity to meet with neighbors and
9	abutters over the past few months to discuss
10	our plans. Basically what we'd like to do
11	tonight is just walk quickly through the
12	presentation package which you've al ready
13	received. So we'll go through it as quickly
14	as possible to save the most time for your
15	questions and for public comment. Tonight we
16	are requesting a large project review
17	pursuant to Section 20.109 because the
18	project is in the Mass. Overlay District and
19	over the size limitation. We are actually
20	not needing the originally advertised
21	multi-family Special Permit. We don't
22	believe that applies because this project is

1	situated in the Business A2 District. The
2	Board should also be aware that there is a
3	current variance and Special Permit that was
4	issued by the BZA in place. The Planning
5	Board staff is aware of it and neighbors have
6	also brought it to our attention early in the
7	process. We have met with the BZA about the
8	sequencing of this proposal, because the
9	owner of the Land, Codacam currently has and
10	is operating office space and parking on the
11	site. They're selling us through a
12	condominium exchange the warehouse space and
13	they're retaining a condominium which will
14	make up their office space. We spoke again
15	with BZA and felt that the proper approach
16	here is to work with the Planning Board to
17	see if this project makes sense, and once a
18	decision is made if it's in the affirmative,
19	then at that time Codacam, the owner of the
20	property, would need to go and forward their
21	variance process. They need to get it
22	they need to make an appearance before the

1	BZA. So we just wanted to make sure we
2	understood the sequencing and that is how we
3	understand this process should take place.
4	So with no further adeu Phil Terzis will walk
5	us through the presentation.
6	PHIL TERZIS: This is the existing
7	site (indicating). I think most of you are
8	familiar with the Mass. Ave. here
9	(indicating), Cameron Ave. here (indicating),
10	Fair Oak Street here (indicating), and Camp
11	Street here (indicating). The overall site
12	here, which is outlined in red is being
13	broken into two parcels, two condominium
14	parcels, one for the office buildings and
15	parking. And this piece is the former
16	Rounder Records warehouse which is the site
17	we're developing (indicating).
18	Existing conditions, this is the
19	existing Linear Park (indicating), which runs
20	into Davis Square. Mass. Ave, Cameron Ave.,
21	Fair Oaks, Camp Street. Two existing
22	residential buildings on Mass. Ave, one of

1	which is a direct abutter already in
2	condition with our proposed building. Some
3	existing residential single and multi two-
4	and three-family buildings along this side
5	(indicating). The existing office buildings.
6	A little industrial building here
7	(indicating), and some more residences here
8	(indicating). Then the Trolley Square,
9	Trolley Square Development over here
10	(indicating).
11	This is a zoning diagram showing the
12	constraints of zoning, and it's important to
13	note that this is not the shape of the
14	building that we're proposing (indicating).
15	This is the maximum envelope that we could
16	build under zoning based on the setbacks.
17	There's a Residential B zone here and a
18	Business A-2 zone here (indicating), and this
19	dashed line is the demising between those two
20	zones. Along we have a business zone next
21	to a residential B zone. We're required to
22	have a 50-foot setback where we can only

1	build to 35 feet. So this section of the
2	building has to be 35 feet or less above the
3	mean grade of the site. The rest of the site
4	here, can be 45 feet above the mean grade.
5	The Business A-2 zone along Mass. Ave.
6	requires a five-foot setback along the
7	streets. And because this site has more than
8	one street frontage, these are all considered
9	front yards with respect to zoning. And when
10	you have that many front yards, then the rest
11	of your yards can be side yards. But we've
12	called this a backyard (indicating). But
13	that could actually be considered a side
14	yard. So that has a ten-foot setback in this
15	zone. And then we have here the party wall
16	condition with the existing building. And
17	then along Mass. Ave. we have a 45-degree
18	bulk control limit starting at 35 feet.
19	Photos of the site and surroundings. I
20	won't spend a lot of time on this. We can
21	come back if anyone has any questions about
22	anythi ng.

1	This is our proposed site and landscape
2	plan which shows the landscaping is drawn to
3	the edge of the property line, but these red
4	lines are showing the actual setback lines
5	that we could build to by right (indicating).
6	And as you can see, the building is somewhat
7	setback from the setback lines here
8	(indicating). Especially along this area
9	where we're behind the buildings on Mass.
10	Ave. And here along the park we decided to
11	pull the building back to kind of maximize
12	the park experience for our residents and for
13	the community (indicating).
14	So the building volume is this big
15	white mass here (indicating). There's a
16	parking garage exit and entrance at the
17	bottom of the hill on Fair Oaks Street and
18	where it aligns with Camp Street. There will
19	be underground parking under this volume, and
20	then surface parking in this area
21	(indicating), with a five foot minimum
22	five-foot landscape setback. We're providing

1	more than that around these sides of the
2	parking garage and the parking lot.
3	This is the basement plan which shows
4	the parking garage which is this volume here
5	(indicating), and the surface parking here
6	with the landscaped areas around it. And
7	then we have bike parking in this area for 24
8	bikes. We also have bike parking on the
9	adjacent to the park near the entrance of the
10	bui I di ng. Trash, recycl i ng, storage,
11	mechanical, which haven't been designed yet,
12	but we're thinking it's going to go in this
13	area.
14	This is the ground floor showing the
15	main entry here which is and the lobby and
16	common space here. And the yellow is all for
17	the one- and two-bedroom units.
18	Second and third floor are both
19	identical to each other and similar to the
20	first floor building and the lobby.
21	And the fourth floor because of the
22	setbacks because of the residential zone, the

1	fourth floor is smaller than the other floors
2	and only has units fronting on the park.
3	These are the elevations (indicating).
4	This is on Fair Oaks Street where you see the
5	slope the Fair Oaks Street and the entrance
6	to the parking garage at the bottom of the
7	hill aligning with Camp Street. And this is
8	along Mass. Ave. What's not showing well
9	enough here is this area here (indicating),
10	actually has buildings in front of it. There
11	are two residential buildings on Mass. Ave.
12	that cover this part of the elevation. So
13	from Mass. Ave. all you would see is this
14	section of the building (indicating). This
15	is facing Cameron Ave. (indicating) where the
16	existing there's a little parking area on
17	Cameron Avenue that's existing here. That's
18	going away and being replaced with and
19	this is the side northwest facing, is it
20	Goldstar Road? The backs of the houses on
21	Goldstar Road. They would see this portion
22	of the building here when they look out

1	behind their houses (indicating). This here
2	is a is the building on Mass. Ave. which
3	has a party wall condition with our building.
4	And these are preliminary sections
5	through the site. If you look at this site
6	plan, this section B is from Mass. Ave. here
7	(indicating) over to Fair Oaks Street showing
8	a three-story house on Fair Oaks Street, our
9	building and then Mass. Ave.
10	Site Section A is running the other
11	direction which is from Cameron over to the
12	parking area behind our building. And these
13	two forms here, these darker things are the
14	buildings on Mass. Ave. which is sort of
15	behind the building, this view (indicating).
16	So, that's a general overview of the
17	project. And I think we're ready for
18	questi ons.
19	WILLIAM TIBBS: Go ahead. Tom.
20	THOMAS ANNINGER: Could you give us
21	a little bit more to look at and understand
22	just how this relates to your immediate

1	abutter, the one that has the party wall and
2	how that party wall will change and how
3	that's 1227 if I'm not mistaken, and 1229.
4	So that we have a good idea of just what's
5	going to happen there.
6	PAUL OGNIBENE: Sure. I'll let Phil
7	speak to that.
8	PHIL TERZIS: This is their existing
9	building here (indicating). We're abutting
10	the building, there's a party wall right here
11	(indicating).
12	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Can you use the
13	mi c?
14	PHIL TERZIS: Sorry.
15	This is their existing building here
16	(indicating) with stair there are
17	stairwells and decks in the back of their
18	building. And there's a there will be a
19	party wall condition here (indicating), and
20	then the ten-foot side yard setback here
21	starts as our building passes the back side
22	of their building. So, if you are familiar

1	with the existing building, the existing
2	warehouse building is right on this property
3	line (indicating). So we'll be a minimum of
4	ten feet back at all places. And in some
5	places we'll be more like 20 feet back in
6	this area beyond the property line
7	(indicating). So that will increase the
8	there's some open space aspect of this area.
9	The other existing building here remains, and
10	there is a stand of trees behind this
11	building which are right up against the
12	existing warehouse building. And it's our
13	intent to keep that stand of trees where it
14	is and supplement it with more plantings on
15	our side of the property line so that we
16	maintain a good buffer between our parking
17	area and this building.
18	There's also an easement an existing
19	easement here which is vehicular easement
20	from Mass. Ave. to our site, which for the
21	time being we're intending to not use and to
22	keep closed. Because right now there's l

	140
1	think a garage door here (indicating). I
2	don't know that it gets much use.
3	THOMAS ANNINGER: Adequate width for
4	a car?
5	PHIL TERZIS: I believe it's a
6	ten-foot wood easement. This is an
7	existing this is how these people access
8	their parking area. There's a drive here
9	actually if we go to the aerials. You can't
10	really see it. But there's an existing drive
11	here and there are cars parked back here
12	(indicating). So if you see this existing
13	building, it's pretty much right up to the
14	parking right up to the property line on
15	all sides except this side (indicating). So
16	we'll have five feet more of open space along
17	all of these edges (indicating), and 10 to 20
18	feet more along this edge. And then this
19	will be open space parking area (indicating).
20	THOMAS ANNINGER: I have another
21	questi on.
22	WILLIAM TIBBS: Go ahead.

1	THOMAS ANNINGER: I found it a
2	little confusing that your the person
3	you're buying from is holding on to the
4	office at the end of Fair Oaks and along Camp
5	Street. That's office, not residential.
6	What is that's not that's going to
7	continue to belong to him? And you're buying
8	the rest?
9	PAUL OGNI BENE: That's right.
10	THOMAS ANNINGER: And what is he
11	going to do?
12	PAUL OGNIBENE: So basically
13	thanks. We were going to divide the property
14	in a sense through a condominium structure as
15	opposed through a subdivision process, and
16	the existing warehouse, of course, will be,
17	you know, our piece that we will operate we
18	propose as the residential piece. And then
19	the seller will retain his piece and continue
20	to operate it as it's been operated which is
21	office, parking and office. And he has more
22	parking than is currently required. Our

1	piece will have all of its parking contained
2	within its own envelope.
3	THOMAS ANNI NGER: What's his
4	variance for?
5	PAUL OGNIBENE: So his variance is
6	for, as I understand it, to basically
7	continue the non-conforming use of office
8	within this residential zone. And then he
9	had a second component to his variance which
10	was part of a larger master plan which was
11	when this warehouse his master plan
12	anticipated being retail. And because the
13	retail didn't have enough parking, he asked
14	for a some relief from that parking
15	requirement. Now that he's no longer
16	implementing the second element to his master
17	plan, only the first element, it's my
18	understanding that he's going to go back to
19	the Zoning Board, as is required under his
20	variance, to present to them the change of
21	plans. And that change of plans will
22	essentially say, I no longer am going to do

1	this as retail. I'm selling it, and
2	therefore, the parking requirement that I
3	previously asked for relief from, I no longer
4	need. But, Zoning Board, I do still need to
5	ensure that I can continue to operate these
6	parcels as I have been under the variance as
7	it stands today.
8	WILLIAM TIBBS: Any other clarifying
9	questions from the Board?
10	CHARLES STUDEN: I actually have one
11	related to the surface parking lot. It's
12	access from the garage, you come into the
13	garage and turn right.
14	PHIL TERZIS: Yes.
15	CHARLES STUDEN: And go into that
16	I'm curious, are those visitor spaces or
17	spaces assigned to the units within the
18	bui I di ng?
19	PAUL OGNI BENE: Those are spaces
20	assigned to the units in the building. We
21	are, of course, required to have 37 spaces.
22	I believe our proposal has asked for 41, four

1	of which we would anticipate being a Zip Car
2	space or other visitor type spaces. But
3	those, because of the convenience factor,
4	would be inside the building. The visitor
5	spaces were anticipated to be inside the
6	garage here (indicating). And these plus the
7	remainder of the spaces in the inside
8	structure would be designated and tethered to
9	the 37 residential units.
10	CHARLES STUDEN: I was al so curious,
11	I noticed on the drawings on SP-6 it shows
12	these little designations S's and C's in some
13	of these parking spaces. I'm just curious
14	what that means.
15	PHIL TERZIS: Standard spaces and
16	compact spaces.
17	CHARLES STUDEN: Oh, thank you.
18	STEVEN WINTER: Bill?
19	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes, I'm sorry.
20	Steve.
21	STEVEN WINTER: I'm Looking at SP-9
22	and my question is the could you help me

1	understand the pending zoning revision, the
2	status of that, what that's all about? Both
3	on the potential common route and on the
4	potential private roof deck, please?
5	PHIL TERZIS: We were understanding
6	from talking to Community Development I guess
7	or somebody in the City of Cambridge that
8	there may be pending zoning changes to allow
9	roof decks to be built like green roofs or
10	habitable roof decks to be built that don't
11	count against your FAR requirement. Because
12	currently they count against your FAR. So we
13	were thinking that in the future if it's
14	possible to build it without affecting our
15	FAR, that we'd like to have green roofs on
16	this building.
17	STEVEN WINTER: And would that
18	happen on the roof above third floor also?
19	PHIL TERZIS: Yes. Just above the
20	third floor, but not before the fourth floor.
21	STEVEN WINTER: So it would happen

on three parts, on SF-9 it would happen on

1	roof above third floor potentially happen
2	PHIL TERZIS: That white area as
3	shown in the plan is all the same level.
4	We're just sort of designating different
5	zones. On the end there, that's potentially
6	a private roof deck associated with that end
7	unit. Probably would never be that large
8	because, you know, for costs we'd probably
9	have something that's a terrace directly off
10	of a unit. I'm not extending out to the edge
11	of the building.
12	STEVEN WINTER: And the common deck
13	would be an amenity for everyone.
14	PHIL TERZIS: Right.
15	STEVEN WINTER: And the roof above
16	third floor, is that
17	PHIL TERZIS: That would be open
18	roof. That wouldn't be
19	STEVEN WINTER: That would be a
20	green roof or something like that?
21	PHIL TERZIS: It could be.
22	STEVEN WINTER: Thank you. I get

1	it.
2	BETH RUBENSTEIN: I was just going
3	to point out that there has been discussion
4	on the Green Building Zoning Task Force of
5	which Hugh is a member about recommending a
6	number of changes to the zoning to make
7	various green building practices more common
8	and easier to accomplish. Just in terms of
9	the timing of that, those proposals will be
10	going to the City Council this fall. So,
11	we're some months away from any decision on
12	that. But Mr. Terzis is correct, that one of
13	the recommendations is that it be to make
14	green roofs exempt from FAR.
15	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Any other clari fying
16	questions?
17	H. THEODORE COHEN: I do.
18	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes, Ted.
19	H. THEODORE COHEN: Can you go back
20	to the site plan? The Linear, the park, is
21	that owned by the city or DCR or someone
22	el se?

1	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Now you're asking
2	a hard question. It's the state. I can't
3	tell you which agency. It is owned by the
4	state although the city does do a lot of
5	maintenance work. And in fact, you may
6	recall when the Trolley Square project was
7	built, a number of enhancements and additions
8	were made. That was done by the city but we
9	don't technically own it.
10	H. THEODORE COHEN: And the office
11	building right in the corner, is that still
12	pri vate ownership.
13	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Yes, I believe it
14	i s.
15	PAUL OGNIBENE: Yes, we believe it
16	is as well. Based on the Assessor's database
17	records.
18	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Patri ci a.
19	PATRI CLA SI NGER: Goi ng back a
20	couple of questions I wanted to clarify that
21	the bal conies al so are setback 10 to 20 feet
22	from the setback line or do the balconies

1	in that drawing it appears to me that they
2	move beyond the setback line.
3	PHIL TERZIS: The bal conies are
4	actually on the ground floor, there are
5	terraces above the garage. And for zoning
6	because they are lower than four feet,
7	they're allowed to extend within the setback.
8	That's my understanding. They're allowed to
9	extend within the setback because they're not
10	they' re not projecti ng bal coni es. Up
11	above the balconies are well within the
12	setback lines.
13	PATRICIA SINGER: And I didn't
14	understand one thing, when you were talking
15	about the roof deck over third floor. Is
16	that simply a green roof or is it an area
17	where people will go?
18	PHIL TERZIS: We're thinking that
19	the area that somewhere in this area here
20	(indicating), we may have, if we can do it
21	without affecting our FAR, a roof terrace
22	that is for all of the residents to share as

1	a common roof terrace. And then a private
2	terrace for this unit here (indicating).
3	This area we're not thinking would be
4	habitable roof. It might be green roof with,
5	you know, sedum or some kind of very thin
6	green roof. But I don't think we'd be making
7	it a full roof deck.
8	PATRI CI A SI NGER: Thank you.
9	HUGH RUSSELL: If I could comment on
10	that question.
11	In the green roof proposal you can, of
12	course, put a green roof on your building if
13	you right now without any penalty. But in
14	the proposal there's ability to have a
15	certain proportion of that be usable space
16	because that will provide the incentive for
17	people to do it and not have it count as FAR.
18	So that's in line with what they're planning
19	to do. But it all has to be enacted and we
20	have to see it and review it.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Ahmed.
22	AHMED NUR: I have a question with

1	regard to the height. According to the
2	current zoning table 3, the BA-2 for
3	multi-residents shows 35 feet above mean
4	grade. Right?
5	And your drawings SP-11 site Section B,
6	for example, shows the 45 mean grade, and
7	above that projects what looks like an
8	el evator or can you explain that? Are you
9	getting a variance on the ordinance?
10	PHIL TERZIS: The elevator
11	penthouse?
12	AHMED NUR: Right.
13	PHIL TERZIS: Those are allowed to
14	extend above the height limit of the main
15	roof.
16	AHMED NUR: Okay.
17	And the second question or concern I
18	have is that on the top view of the green
19	trees, this is not consistent with the
20	elevation. I'm not sure exactly which one it
21	is. Some show on the south elevation, for
22	example, six trees as opposed to pairs of

1	trees from the top.
2	PHIL TERZIS: I think the I would
3	say that the site plan is the governing, the
4	landscape architect's plan would be closer to
5	what we would be intending to plant. The
6	el evations were done simul taneously so
7	they' re probably not as coordinated as they
8	should be.
9	AHMED NUR: Okay.
10	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Any other clarifying
11	questions?
12	(No response.)
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Then we'll move to
14	the public comment portion of the public
15	hearing.
16	As I said, we have a sign-up sheet
17	which I do have. If someone has changed
18	their mind or came in late, we will give
19	folks an opportunity to speak after we go
20	through the people on the sign-up sheet. As
21	I said, please come forward if you can. What
22	I'll do is also I'll mention the next person

following so that they can begin to cue
themselves so that we can take a little time.
And we would like you to stick to three
minutes, and Pam will keep track of time and
give you a warning when you're getting close
to your time. And when you come up, please
give your name and address and spell your
name for the recorder.
So, the first person who's asked to
speak is Catharine Hornby.
CATHARINE HORNBY: Hello. Again,
I'm Catharine Hornby. C-a-t-h-a-r-i-n-e.
Hornby is H-o-r-n-b-y. I live at 11 Tufts
Street.
My comments on this project are
somewhat similar to the last project in that
the interest of making the bike parking
adequate and therefore improving traffic flow
and parking issues in the city, we'd like to
make sure that the bike parking really works
in this. We understand this is a preliminary
design so these comments might be a little

1	bit well, we understand it's a preliminary
2	design. However, it looks like the way the
3	bike parking is laid out it's a very narrow
4	corridor and then bikes sort of stuffed in on
5	either side. And maybe that works and maybe
6	it's hard to see in a small plate and a
7	small drawing, but to us it looked a little
8	crowded and it looked like in practice it
9	might be hard to get your bike all the way
10	along that corridor and into your little
11	slot. We just wanted to emphasize the
12	importance of bike parking, not just that
13	fits the dimensions but that is actually
14	usable in practice.
15	Second, the comment we had on this
16	design was we wanted to encourage outdoor
17	bike parking especially given the proximity
18	to the Linear Park. It seems the developers
19	al ready considered that, it just doesn't
20	appear in the plan. So, thank you.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
22	The next person is, I guess is William

1	Phares. Do I have that right? And then
2	after William there is Annmarie Kirsten.
3	WILLIAM PHARES: Okay. I'm Will
4	Phares. I live in the 2427 Mass. Ave. it's
5	the direct abutter with this shared wall.
6	P-h-a-r-e-s. I'm here with several other
7	residents and owners in the condo, the direct
8	abutting condo, and we're here to express our
9	strong objections to the proposal. There are
10	we've already submitted detailed
11	objections in writing that you should have
12	received. I want to touch on a couple of
13	those and then three other owners and
14	residents, also direct abutters after me will
15	go ahead and touch on some of the other
16	obj ecti ons.
17	So the first two I would like to touch
18	on have to do with especially in our back
19	garden, which if you look at the overview of
20	how our house sits with the present
21	properties, it's very close, so there's
22	proximity. You're also talking about major

1	revisions in the present property. So this
2	is going to be demolition. It's going to be
3	excavation. This is going to be loss of
4	privacy. So big changes we're looking at.
5	STEVEN WINTER: I'm sorry, if I
6	could interrupt. Could you hold this up and
7	point to show the Board where you are so
8	that we can follow you on that.
9	WILLIAM PHARES: Right. So this is
10	the, this is the present building, this C and
11	D. Cand D. We're in this this little
12	sort of the clamp of the monkey wrench.
13	STEVEN WINTER: Okay.
14	PHIL TERZIS: You okay?
15	WILLIAM PHARES: I think we're okay.
16	Thank you. Okay, so a couple of points
17	objections I would like to address
18	specifically has to do with the back garden.
19	So this is this narrow space between. First
20	of all, one point that the architect brought
21	up that this would actually because of the
22	increased setbacks in the back, that this

would actually be extending that space. But
I would like to point out that because on the
ground level because these terraces are
actually going to be occupying that space,
that this is not going to be free open space.
That this will be, again, this will be
privately used space. So that's something to
consider when you, you know, you hear that
the setback is going to be increased.
The two specific objections so that
was the point that came up during the
presentation I wanted to address.
In terms of the what's going on with
that back garden for us, we contend that
there will be restriction of sunlight. The
shadowing studies that we have seen so far
the last ones were submitted last Friday
there was actually bias in the sampling. So
these were not equal time points that were
sampled. And we have we would like to see
a much finer analysis so that we could really
see the morning hours which is when we get

our sunlight what really is going to be the impact there rather than three and four hour gaps in the sound plate. So that is not yet complete. So we don't understand exactly how that will affect us. We assume it will be negative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The second is that because the present building in the back, again, on the garden side, is one and a half stories tall when that is corn bound is increased to three stories tall, our building is three stories tall. Along the whole back side of our building, all of the back views will be blocked. We will be looking at building rather than Clarendon Hill and rooftops and trees. So this is, this is definitely going to have a negative impact on us.

And lastly there are a couple of issues that are unclear, but I think could be very serious. The first one is there's known ground contamination with volatile carcinogenic compounds in our area. This is

1	coming into our area via a sort of mysterious
2	plume that nobody really knows where it is
3	because you can only dig so many holes and
4	measure. But if you're talking about major
5	excavation of this area, it's this could
6	be potentially dangerous, and we would like
7	to hear what that plan is going to be. And
8	also what is the contingency plan going to be
9	if all of a sudden there are volatile
10	carcinogens released into the area that we'd
11	be breathing. And not only us, but everybody
12	in the area.
13	PAMELA WINTERS: Sir, your time is
14	almost up. l've given you a little extra
15	time because the question was asked by a
16	Board member.
17	WILLIAM PHARES: I appreciate that.
18	The last is very short. And this is we've
19	not yet seen a geological studies which would
20	have to do with the groundwater and also
21	drainage. Are we going to have a problem in
22	our basements?

	100
1	Okay. Thank you.
2	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you very
3	much.
4	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
5	Go ahead. After Annamarie, it's
6	Gabri el l e Lozano.
7	ANNAMARIE KERSTEN: Hi. I'm
8	Annamarie. Annamarie is one word. Kersten
9	is K-e-r-s-t-e-n. And I live at 2429 Mass.
10	Ave, Unit 4. I too am in the abutting
11	bui I di ng.
12	Will has already touched on a number of
13	our concerns, but one of the big ones is just
14	the privacy that we have currently and the
15	fact that this building is going to be pretty
16	devastating to the quality of life that we've
17	had in this building. It's been very
18	private. And if you've seen the backyard
19	before, basically we have brick on two walls.
20	We have a very secluded little backyard
21	that's brick for two walls and covered in
22	ivy. Now that's all going to be torn down,

1	and although they're going to move back about
2	ten yards, we won't have a very private area
3	anymore. We'll be looking right into their
4	building and they'll be looking right into
5	our building. When we learned initially that
6	Rounder was leaving and the developer had
7	retail plans. We were very thrilled. We
8	thought some nice little, retail stores would
9	be great, maybe one level. But now when we
10	look back, we're going to see this building.
11	My unit is on the second and third floors.
12	And from my second floor right now I can see
13	the roof of Rounder Records and I can see
14	trees and greenery. And my second level all
15	I can see are trees. And now I'm going to
16	see another building unit. We think the
17	density is too much for the neighborhood as
18	well. When our building was built in 1999,
19	there was a little vacant lot next-door to
20	us, and across the street there was a liquor
21	store, and, of course, there was Trolley
22	Square and there was nothing there. But in

1	the past ten years there's a brick building
2	right next to us on Mass. Ave. I think it's
3	2443. Across the street where the liquor
4	store was, that was torn down and that's all
5	units. And then Trolley Square is there.
6	And we think the density is really high in
7	that corner of North Cambridge right now.
8	Thank you.
9	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
10	Gabrielle. And after Gabrielle we have
11	Rochelle Zapol.
12	GABRI ELLE LOZANO: Garbi el l e Lozano,
13	2427 Mass. Ave, Apartment 6.
14	THE REPORTER: Spellit, please.
15	GABRIELLE LOZANO: L-o-z-a-n-o. I'm
16	going to pass over some of the pictures of
17	our garden. We don't oppose the project
18	overall. We haven't seen any conversations
19	from the developers. Some of the concerns
20	that we have besides the privacy, some of the
21	concerns that we'll mention are we're now
22	losing privacy. The current building, the

1	Victorian-type building at the end is going
2	to seem like a dollhouse among their
3	building. So we're concerned about that. We
4	haven't received any considerations. Not to
5	mention the demolition. What else? There
6	are but most of them are not going to be
7	affected as we are. As you can see, the
8	building, two sides of our building appear to
9	them. There was a slight I don't think
10	they showed it, how our backyard will become
11	the courtyard of them. So we are concerned
12	about that, but we don't want to be the
13	courtyard for someone else. As you can see
14	the pictures, we have our privacy. We would
15	like to continue to have that.
16	Thanks.
17	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
18	Rochelle. And after Rochelle is Robin
19	Yearwood.
20	ROCHELLE ZAPOL: Good evening. I'm
21	Rochelle Zapol, Z-a-p-o-I and I live at 2429
22	Massachusetts Avenue, the abutting building.

1	Basically as the three people who went
2	before me just described, this project does
3	not meet for the reasons that have been
4	articulated, the zoning standards in the
5	ordinance. For example, Section 1043
6	Section 10.43, the continued operation of the
7	adjacent use and one of the adjacent uses is
8	our residential building, is going to be
9	adversely affected by this proposed project
10	if it goes forward, because for all the
11	reasons mentioned, it's there's going to
12	be demolition. There's going to be noise,
13	and there's going to be a massive building
14	compared to our Victorian building that's
15	going to zoom up above, that's going to be
16	inconsistent with the other buildings in the
17	neighborhood which are all basically smaller
18	Victorian-style buildings. And this building
19	is going to be a modern building that is
20	going to look out of place.
21	Another standard that will not be met
22	is in Section 19.31, and you've already heard

1	testimony on that concerning the heights and
2	the setbacks of the building, and it's not
3	going to be an appropriate transition to our
4	building as well as other buildings that it's
5	going to be near. It's also just
6	inconsistent with the historical context of
7	the North Cambridge Trolley Square Community
8	to introduce a modern building that is in the
9	midst of these other Victorian buildings. In
10	addition, it appears that the the
11	information provided thus far doesn't show
12	how these standards in Section 19.33 of the
13	ordinance are going to be met.
14	And finally, 19.35 talks about how new
15	construction should reinforce and enhance the
16	urban aspects of Cambridge as it has
17	devel oped historically. And historically
18	this Trolley Square area has been Victorian
19	houses and it's been preserved in that way,
20	unlike other areas of Cambridge, such as
21	Technology Square where this type of building
22	might look more in place as opposed to out of

1	pl ace.
2	Thank you.
3	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you. Robi n.
4	And the after Robin is Martha Older.
5	ROBIN YEARWOOD: Robin Yearwood, 29
6	Cameron Ave. Y-e-a-r-w-o-o-d.
7	I haven't decided one way or the other
8	whether I'm for or against this. I mean, it
9	seems okay on one hand and then troubling on
10	other hands with, you know, height, setbacks.
11	Some of the questions and concerns the
12	neighbors have that have been brought up by
13	the other people that spoke before me,
14	especially with the soil and, you know,
15	different things that are going on. And then
16	the demolition. How long it's going to take?
17	What it's going to create?
18	Parking is another major concern. If
19	you look on their traffic impact and access
20	study, on page 28 they refer to parking.
21	They refer to 37 parking spaces with four
22	extra. And I think earlier they had

1	mentioned that visitors parking is going to
2	be on the outdoor part which you have to go
3	through the garage. How do you get in the
4	garage if you're a visitor? And also, they
5	want to charge market rates for these spots.
6	It's so if I'm a resident of Cambridge, I
7	don't have to pay for a parking spot. I can
8	park outsi de. Our nei ghborhood has no
9	parking. We have Trolley Square. We have
10	all these new developments all in the area.
11	You know, for residents there who live there
12	all the time, we fight for parking. If you
13	bring this in and you don't provide parking
14	without charging people, it's going to be an
15	issue. And also if you put doorways, which
16	someone had mentioned, on Fair Oaks Street,
17	that's really convenient. Let's park on Fair
18	Oaks Street. My door's right there, I'm not
19	going to go into the parking garage.
20	Also, another problem with Fair Oaks
21	Street is the shadowing. It hasn't been
22	mentioned, but this is going to shadow Fair

1	Oaks Street. And in the wintertime that's
2	going to be an issue. Because you have a
3	it's a narrow little street, it's a two way
4	street. You have a business on the end that
5	has a lot of big trucks. Not commercial
6	trucks, but, you know, pickup trucks or
7	whatever that come in during the day. We
8	don't have parking as it is. You're gonna
9	have the entrance and the exit to the garage.
10	If you don't have the snow melting, that's an
11	issue. And people who live there, if they're
12	shadowed all day, missing their sun, their
13	heating bills are going to go up. These are
14	concerns of the neighbors. And I think
15	we've only had two meetings. And it's like
16	it's being shoved down our throats. We
17	haven't had enough time to go over all of the
18	issues that we have and haven't had them all
19	addressed. And, you know, I'mjust like I
20	said, I'm neither for nor against, but I'd
21	like to see more answers before anybody makes
22	a deci si on.

	107
1	Thank you.
2	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you. Is
3	Martha
4	MARTHA OLDER: Hi. I'm Martha Older
5	as in younger, spelled O-I-d-e-r. And I'm at
6	No. 19 Cameron Ave. which is one away from
7	this. And I would like to make a number of
8	mi scel l'aneous poi nts.
9	I think for one thing that it would be
10	fairly easy for the developers to do a number
11	of small things that would be helpful to us.
12	For example, the snow. How about a snowplow?
13	You know, plowing that street so that we
14	don't have it much harder than it already is
15	to get the snow dug out. Increasing parking
16	spaces, because I don't believe that there
17	are enough in this development. And allowing
18	and this is the original owner, allowing
19	us to continue to use the parking lot between
20	those two office buildings when there is snow
21	or overnight. Parking is very tight,
22	Somerville is right up the street. I found

1	the last parking space on the other side of
2	the street at 11:30 this morning for street
3	cleaning tomorrow.
4	Jackhammers could be asked to start
5	after nine on weekdays and not at seven a.m.
6	let's see, Zip cars. Why is a Zip car going
7	to be inside a building when it could be
8	outside and maybe accessible by the
9	neighbors? These are small things but they
10	make a lot of difference.
11	Now, in terms of the demolition and
12	construction, one of the things that is of
13	great concern is the pollution, because
14	Tannery Brook perhaps the source of the plume
15	but there used to be a large dry cleaning
16	establishment that had underground tanks,
17	those tanks are been Leaking. The EPA has
18	been taking soil samples. This is a serious
19	thing. It's more than just you might run
20	into something that you find in most
21	construction. I think there needs to be a
22	plan for that ahead of time.

1	In terms of the building at 2417 Mass.
2	Ave, I understand they're going to have to
3	improve if there's structural engineering
4	damage, they're going to have to get all
5	sorts of expensive stuff done beforehand and
6	then again afterward. I think that if thee
7	is under structural damage, that the
8	developers should pay for those, you know,
9	studies that will have to be done.
10	I also wonder about the I haven't
11	heard one word about handicap units. There
12	should be handicapped units in this building.
13	There should be suitable parking for those
14	handicap units, and I would like to hear
15	about that.
16	Those are the things that I have to say
17	at this point. There are many others, but we
18	have really not had time to understand this
19	i n-depth.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
21	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
22	Martha is the last person who has

1	signed up on the sign-up sheet. Is there any
2	other folks that would like to speak? Go
3	ahead.
4	NI NA SCHWARZCHI LD: Good evening.
5	I'm Nina Schwarzchild,
6	S-c-h-w-a-r-z-c-h-i-l-d at 28 Camp Street
7	around the corner and a direct abutter, a
8	legal abutter of the property.
9	In broad brush strokes I view the
10	proposal favorably. I'm interested in seeing
11	a redevel opment of the site for residential
12	uses, but I do have a number of concerns
13	which I don't believe have been fully
14	addressed and need further consideration.
15	And I also am very concerned for the Mass.
16	Ave. abutter who has spoken and has a number
17	of concerns that I think very much need to be
18	responded to. And in particular I do have a
19	question about the setback that's been
20	proposed to that neighbor, which it's been
21	suggested that that deserves a side yard
22	setback. And I wonder whether instead that

1	would be more appropriately considered to be
2	if we could have the site plan, a rear
3	yard setback which would give them more
4	space. There's the only rear yard setback
5	that's been proposed is quite minimal. And
6	if there's any place that deserves a rear
7	yard, it would be to the rear yard of the
8	abutter.
9	I'd also like to explore the
10	possibility of changing the access to the
11	parking so that either entry and exit or one
12	of those would be through the easement on
13	Mass. Ave. to share some of the burden of the
14	parking rather than of the access to the
15	site rather than having it all go down one
16	side street. I would advocate, and the
17	developer has expressed some possible
18	interest of street trees on Fair Oaks.
19	There's some discussion about whether that
20	would be desirable or not. But I would be a
21	strong advocate for that.
22	And then I would also just ask the

1	question that was mentioned about condoing
2	the property, why is that why is it
3	supposed to be condos rather than subdivided?
4	And is there something that's related to that
5	that we should understand better?
6	Thank you very much.
7	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you.
8	Go ahead.
9	KEVIN YEARWOOD: My name is Kevin
10	Yearwood, Y-e-a-r-w-o-o-d. I live at 15
11	Cameron Avenue. I am the abutter on the
12	other side of Cameron Ave. on the other side
13	of Fair Oaks Street. And I have to admit
14	that this is the first time I've heard of the
15	proposal because I wasn't able to attend the
16	other meetings. I was with my son. But
17	given what I've heard this evening, I have to
18	say that I'm opposed to it. Trolley Square
19	was put up. I lost all the view looking out
20	to Mass. Ave. Lost the sunlight. If this
21	goes up, there's not going to be any sunlight
22	and no view whatsoever. Given the amount of

1	construction and the demolition and the
2	construction, I'm going to be very, very
3	concerned about where the construction crews
4	are going to be parking their cars. So
5	getting out I do have legal off street
6	parking, but getting out of that yard
7	especially in the wintertime, the issue of
8	street cleaning, of having to be on one side
9	or the other I think is going to be a
10	concern. It's a concern now with people
11	coming to visit in Trolley Square. We see
12	people coming in their cars, going to Joe's
13	Sent Me in the evening, parking. We've had a
14	lot of development in the area, and I don't
15	know how we can accommodate any more
16	residential development even in this economy.
17	Thank you.
18	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
19	Go ahead.
20	CHARLIE MARQUAN: Charlie Marquan,
21	M-a-r-q-u-a-n. Roger Street, East Cambridge.
22	First is a math quick math thing.

They mentioned that 33 market rate for affordable, that's 10.8 percent. I thought we were shooting for 15. So, I don't know if that's -- my math is off somewhere but that's what I came up with.

1

2

3

4

5

6 The other thing is this whole area was 7 brought up and developed and worked through 8 with the prior owners on a master plan as 9 they've mentioned and them having to come 10 back as well, that they worked closely to get 11 a variance to cover the entire section, 12 including the retail and what is now proposed 13 to be residential. It sounds a little bit 14 like they're doing a bait and switch. That 15 now he got is variance to do office in 16 residential on the premise, but they would 17 put retail where the residential would be. 18 Now they're going to get residential. 19 Without taking those two parcels together, I'm afraid we might be missing something. 20 21 And is there something with a condoization as 22 opposed to subdivision that gives them the

1	ability to do this quicker? That maybe a
2	little more time to study on behalf of the
3	Board, on behalf of the neighbors I heard
4	just about everybody come up and say that a
5	little bit of time might go a long way
6	towards helping them feel better. I think
7	that they have pretty much earned that time
8	over the time they worked with the prior
9	developer, current owner, and working with
10	the present developers to come up with a
11	project that will work with everybody rather
12	than one that seems just on the face of it to
13	be a little bit rushed, and I don't think we
14	need to rush something today.
15	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
17	Is there anyone else? Go ahead.
18	MI CHAEL BRANDON: I'm Mi chael
19	Brandon, B-r-a-n-d-o-n. I live at No. 27
20	Seven Pines Avenue and that's a two-family
21	that I also own that is directly opposite the
22	proposed garage entrance and the exit looking

1	down Camp Street. That's what I face. So
2	that is a personal concern that I have and
3	would associate with the comments of my
4	neighbor Nina who spoke about the concern of
5	all the traffic from the project coming in
6	and out there and the impact that's going to
7	have. Also, on the availability of on street
8	parking on those adjacent side streets for
9	what is basically a Mass. Ave. project. I'm
10	also the clerk for the North Cambridge
11	Stabilization Committee. Our Chairman
12	couldn't be here tonight he had succumb to
13	the heat. But I've been acting in that
14	capacity as the acting chair of the Codacam
15	Neighbor's Committee, which was a committee
16	of neighbors, mostly abutters of people
17	directly across the streets that have been
18	working for several years since Codacam
19	purchased the properties from that had
20	been the Rounder Record complex which are
21	is I'll pass this around to the Board. I
22	did send copies just today of the existing

1	variance of the plans that were approved in
2	conjunction with discussions with the
3	neighbors and then approved with conditions
4	by the Zoning Board. And as Mr. Marquan
5	noted, there was a lot of interaction that
6	went into what was basically a compromised
7	scheme that included and was a large part of
8	the reason people embraced the use of the
9	Cameron Ave. properties which were zoned Res
10	B for expanding office use and associated
11	parking use, was that the developer indicated
12	he would retain the existing one-and-a-half
13	story building and rehab that for use as
14	offices on a Mezzanine Level and nicely
15	designed retail section. So, the concern is
16	about this in effect bait and switch. This
17	is a first we've heard tonight of a
18	condominium. We were told initially told
19	that the property had al ready been sold.
20	I'II just pass this around for the Board to
21	take a look at. There's a black and white
22	version that was not in your packets that is

	180
1	available now, as is the variance.
2	I'II just hit some points because I
3	know you've been here all night.
4	PAMELA WINTERS: It has to be quick,
5	Mi chael, okay?
6	MI CHAEL BRANDON: Okay.
7	The Special Permit application was
8	incomplete when it was submitted and it still
9	is. I refer you to Mr. Phares's letter about
10	that. There's material that supposed to be
11	before you such as mechanical designs,
12	descriptions of impacts, descriptions of
13	urban there's no way it should be here.
14	It's moving too quickly. We had two
15	meetings. We've been trying to work, but the
16	pl an keeps changi ng.
17	PAMELA WINTERS: Is that it?
18	MICHAEL BRANDON: I would ask that
19	you keep the public hearing open at least for
20	that reason, both for oral and written
21	comments. There's a lot more I would say. I
22	believe some of the setbacks do not conform.

1	I'll leave it at that tonight.
2	PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
4	Is there anyone else who would like to
5	speak?
6	CHARLES TEAGUE: My name is Charles
7	Teague, T-e-a-g-u-e. I'm at 18 Edmunds
8	Street, that's across Mass. Ave. And I just
9	wanted to comment, over the past three years
10	I think my count was 95 units came on-line in
11	the neighborhood. It's Trolley Square. It's
12	across directly across the street from
13	this on again, on the Linear Park and then
14	the Just-A-Start project. 95 units, and I
15	think it's 105 parking spaces. What it's
16	resulted on on Edmunds is not being able to
17	get home. Not being able to park on your own
18	street anymore because the condo people just
19	drive over there and they park on your
20	street. And now my elderly neighbor just got
21	a ticket because she parked illegally because
22	she just can't walk that far anymore. So,

1	you know, this I understand it's the city,
2	it's the zoning. You guys control how many
3	parking spaces in this project. If you guys
4	keep it too small. So it's up to you, you
5	should put more parking.
6	WILLIAM TIBBS: Thank you.
7	Anyone el se? Yes, go ahead.
8	MARTHA FITZPATRICK: My name is
9	Martha Fitzpatrick, F-i-t-z-p-a-t-r-i-c-k and
10	I live at 17 Fair Oaks Street. So directly
11	across the street. We are in favor of
12	development of the property in some way that
13	will enhance the neighborhood. We're very
14	concerned about the density. There are two
15	houses on Fair Oaks Street and four permit
16	parking spaces. So a 37-unit and what we
17	believe is not adequate parking, and that's a
18	lot of new households in the neighborhood and
19	a lot of pressure on a street that now has
20	two houses on it and small kids playing in
21	the street. And we feel that it's very
22	overwhelming. Loss of privacy. The roof

1	decks we can now see over the warehouse to
2	Mass. Ave. Now we won't be able to see that.
3	We'll have people looking down on us from the
4	roof. So we're very much concerned with the
5	scale of it and the impact on it anyway.
6	Thank you.
7	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Thank you.
8	Anyone el se?
9	(No response.)
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: All right. I guess
11	at this point in time we typically would
12	close the hearing to verbal comment even
13	though we leave it open to written comment.
14	And I guess I ask the Board what's their
15	pleasure? I don't see would somebody like
16	to say something?
17	CHARLES STUDEN: Go ahead, Tom.
18	THOMAS ANNINGER: Well, we could see
19	how the comments go and make that postpone
20	that decision for 10 or 15 minutes to just to
21	see what the general sense of this project
22	is. Or we could treat this project the way

1 we treat just about every other project and 2 close the hearing which is what I think we 3 would normally do now that we've had a public 4 I don't see offhand a reason why hearing. 5 this ought to be treated differently. 6 CHARLES STUDEN: I would tend to 7 support that. I think we've heard public 8 testimony tonight. It would still be open 9 for written comment if we did close it. SO 10 there would be an opportunity for people to provide additional comment. But I think we 11 12 should handle this as we do other permits 13 typi cal I y. 14 I would concur H. THEODORE COHEN: 15 on that. I think we've heard the necessary 16 public input subject to written. 17 WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay. Sounds like 18 then that the Board would -- as I said, we'll 19 close the hearing for verbal comment. But 20 until we make our decision it's open for 21 written comment and you can continue to do 22 that.

1	Comments or questions from the Board?
2	BETH RUBENSTEIN: You're going to
3	ask me about the time and I'm going to be
4	ready. We know each other so well.
5	It looks like the Board will have until
6	early November to make the decision, November
7	2nd it looks like.
8	WILLIAM TIBBS: So, Charles.
9	CHARLES STUDEN: I did have a
10	question, or actually two that are related to
11	the whole issue of the parking I think. One
12	was raised by Robin, and if you could clarify
13	this for me, please, she claimed that the
14	parking spaces in the garage would be charged
15	separately. That if you buy an apartment,
16	that you have to pay for the parking space.
17	I was just wondering whether that was true or
18	not because I think that does raise some
19	issues about impact on the streets if you
20	don't buy a space, you might opt to do that,
21	and then decide you're just going to park on
22	the street instead. So if you could talk

1	about that. And then the other question had
2	to do with Zip cars. I'm assuming that the
3	garage is not secure and that a resident of
4	the neighborhood could use that Zip car by
5	simply walking into the garage or is that not
6	true? In other words, how would they use it
7	if it were in a secure garage?
8	PAUL OGNIBENE: I think there might
9	be a misunderstanding for the charging for
10	parking. I'm not recollecting that that came
11	up, it maybe did and was either misunderstood
12	by me or someone else. But our intention is
13	that every unit in the building is at the
14	tethered to a parking space, and that comes
15	along with the sale of the condominium. So
16	there would be no extra charge. Maybe one
17	thing that we did say was perhaps those four
18	guest spots might have a you know, a
19	nominal charge to control supply and demand a
20	little bit for the residents. But no charge
21	in general for the bulk of the spots.
22	And then in terms of your other

1	question about the Zip car, one is of course
2	we have to explore with Zip car their
3	interest in even putting a spot in the
4	building. Sometimes they like to put them in
5	municipal lots as opposed to private lots.
6	We would certainly welcome it if they would
7	be interested. I think it's a nice amenity
8	for not only the residents but for the
9	community in general. And we would put it in
10	wherever they would accept. I suppose
11	whether it's inside or outside the garage,
12	we'd have to work out those logistics. But
13	l'm sure we can provide a garage clicker or
14	access point or code for Zip car members.
15	Some way to get them access to the garage if
16	they so were inclined.
17	CHARLES STUDEN: So the garage will
18	be secure. It will need some kind of a code
19	to get into it?
20	PAUL OGNIBENE: Yes. The garage in
21	general needs to be secured for the safety of
22	the folks living there. That is in general

1	why Zip car tends not to want to put cars
2	their cars in private parking garages. You
3	find them outdoors in municipal public access
4	spaces. But if there's a way, we would love
5	to be able to manage it, and with some
6	creative logistics it might just happen.
7	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Excuse me,
8	can I give you their report that refers to
9	the charges?
10	CHARLES STUDEN: Do we have the copy
11	of this?
12	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Yes, that's
13	what's being handed out. And it's right here
13	5 5
14	(indicating).
14	(indicating).
14 15	(indicating). LES BARBER: Charles, to be clear,
14 15 16	(indicating). LES BARBER: Charles, to be clear, that Inspectional Services will not issue an
14 15 16 17	(indicating). LES BARBER: Charles, to be clear, that Inspectional Services will not issue an occupancy permit for a condominium unless
14 15 16 17 18	(indicating). LES BARBER: Charles, to be clear, that Inspectional Services will not issue an occupancy permit for a condominium unless there's deeded parking space. They cannot
14 15 16 17 18 19	(indicating). LES BARBER: Charles, to be clear, that Inspectional Services will not issue an occupancy permit for a condominium unless there's deeded parking space. They cannot get out of the parking requirement.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(indicating). LES BARBER: Charles, to be clear, that Inspectional Services will not issue an occupancy permit for a condominium unless there's deeded parking space. They cannot get out of the parking requirement. CHARLES STUDEN: Okay.

1	CHARLES STUDEN: I just had one
2	other thing here.
3	WI LLI AM TI BBS: Go ahead.
4	CHARLES STUDEN: This whole issue of
5	a condo condominium versus subdivision.
6	I'm wondering who would be the appropriate
7	person to explain that to us on the Board,
8	because it might be significant and I just
9	don't know enough about it? Why did you
10	choose condominium versus subdivision? And
11	what would be the difference if you had
12	chosen to do the subdivision?
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: And I have a related
14	question, has this condominium transaction
15	occurred or I guess it's something that will
16	be happening in the future?
17	PAUL OGNIBENE: Very well. As you
18	know for the public's general knowledge, the
19	two ways to separate the parcel would be
20	ei ther through a subdivision variance or
21	through a condominium process. We generally
22	have chosen not to go down the variance path

1	unless it's necessary. Our position is if we
2	don't need relief, maybe don't ask for it.
3	It's an easier path of least resistance I
4	think. So that's why we chose the
5	condominium path. The condominium has not
6	happened yet. In fact, as noted in the
7	application, we are not even the owners of
8	the property yet. That's why the owner's
9	certification has been issued by Codacam to
10	allow us to be here tonight, but we intend to
11	acquire the property eventually and then of
12	course we'll be the owners. And at that
13	point as part of that purchase and sale
14	transaction, we would be closing the
15	condominium documents accordingly. May l
16	just add that it's our understanding that
17	actually by being a condominium, although it
18	has some benefits for perhaps expediency,
19	there's no approval process required. It's
20	just a matter of legal documentation. It
21	does have its drawbacks. And one is of
22	course that we need to essentially give some

1	of the land, if you will, in calculation back
2	to the existing parcels where the retail is
3	because they are overbuilt as they are
4	currently. And so essentially our building
5	has to be a little bit under built. So at
6	the end of the day the whole parcel conforms
7	with zoning. So we gain a little through
8	process, but we lose a little through loss of
9	FAR.
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: You done, Charles?
11	CHARLES STUDEN: Actually maybe it's
12	just the hour, but I'm scratching my head
13	here on this because so there will be two
14	condominiums. Yours is a condominiums and
15	theirs is a condominium, they're part of a of
16	single association?
17	PAUL OGNIBENE: That's right.
18	CHARLES STUDEN: Okay.
19	PAUL OGNIBENE: Condominium A would
20	be the 37 units which would be sub-
21	condomi ni ums.
22	CHARLES STUDEN: Okay.

1	PAUL OGNIBENE: And then Condominium
2	B would be sub- condominiums, meaning the two
3	office buildings and the parking. They would
4	share between them common area and common
5	management. So for example, when it comes
6	time to snowplow, we would have as a group
7	Condominium A and Condominium B, we would
8	perhaps agree to send one snow plower to take
9	care of the entire property. It's really not
10	too complicated, although it sounds like at
11	first. In terms of operations, we have this
12	situation in another building we own right
13	now. It's two buildings, two separate
14	condominiums, but one common ownership.
15	CHARLES STUDEN: Other than the fact
16	that the the intent or the purpose of the
17	one condominium, the residential one is so
18	distinctly different from the other, which is
19	a business and office, and I can see the
20	potential for some rather difficult
21	di scussi ons at certai n poi nts about spendi ng
22	money on certain aspects that support the

1	association, and so how you would manage it
2	all? I don't know. You said it sounds
3	complicated, but it really isn't. But l
4	don't know, I'm not so sure.
5	PAUL OGNIBENE: Well, you know, and
6	luckily we've done it before so we're
7	familiar with the process. But really it
8	comes down to just careful drafting between
9	the parties. Just as a good, tangible
10	example, sometimes rooftops are considered
11	common area and, therefore, if the rooftop on
12	one of the office buildings springs a leak,
13	the Condominium A, the residential
14	condominium, certainly isn't going to want to
15	pay for their rooftop and vice versa. So if
16	the condominium documents and management
17	agreement aren't carefully worded and thought
18	through, there could be conflicts within the
19	association. So that's why we carefully
20	think them through and use lots of good
21	precedent and documents that are al ready out
22	there and thought those issues through.

1	CHARLES STUDEN: And you don't think
2	it will make some of the condominiums, the
3	residential ones more difficult? Because l
4	think it might, because if I were a buyer and
5	saw this relationship it would be hard to
6	I don't know, I might have some resistance to
7	it because it's so complicated.
8	H. THEODORE COHEN: Charles, can l
9	jump in?
10	CHARLES STUDEN: Oh, sure,
11	absol utel y.
12	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm real estate
13	lawyer.
14	CHARLES STUDEN: Oh, okay.
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: It really is not
16	that unusual a circumstance. Places do
17	condominiums that have residential components
18	and commercial components all the time. I'll
19	remind you that Lesley College and the
20	Divinity School condominiumized their
21	property so that they could split who was
22	controlling which buildings. I think for

1	purpose of this hearing it's something of a
2	red herring that I really don't think we need
3	to pursue it all by far. It's just a
4	question of ownership and how they work out
5	the ownership, and it's really mostly
6	drafting. And I am not familiar enough with
7	North Point but I wouldn't be surprised if
8	there isn't an overriding condominium aspect
9	to the project with separate buildings being
10	separate units or separate condominiums
11	within it.
12	CHARLES STUDEN: I'm relieved that
13	you're feeling comfortable with it.
14	H. THEODORE COHEN: Yes. I don't
15	see it as being problematic.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: I just have a
17	question that has not occurred yet, an issue
18	relative to the fact that they have al ready
19	been and coming to us. To me it seems a
20	little odd. It seems if I made a condo if
21	I had a three-family and was thinking about
22	making a condo and one of the units came and

1	said they wanted to get a permit to do
2	something, it seems odd to me, but I'm not a
3	lawyer so I don't know. And no offense.
4	H. THEODORE COHEN: As they are not
5	the owners of the property and I think we get
6	a lot of applicants who don't yet own the
7	property because they' re doing their due
8	diligence to see if they can get the
9	permitting in place first. And I would
10	imagine that would be likely what's happening
11	here.
12	WILLIAM TIBBS: And anything we do
13	would be obviously contingent upon that
14	occurring?
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: Sure.
16	WILLIAM TIBBS: Tom.
17	THOMAS ANNI NGER: Yes, a few
18	comments. On parking, my understanding when
19	I read the documents at first I thought this
20	was rental units. This has always been
21	ownership units?
22	PAUL OGNI BENE: Yes.

	.,,,
1	THOMAS ANNINGER: Not use the word
2	condominium for a moment.
3	PAUL OGNIBENE: Correct, for sale
4	uni ts.
5	THOMAS ANNINGER: And therefore my
6	understanding is exactly what Les and said,
7	you have to have a unit tied to it and
8	there's no ability to separate or subdivide
9	the two and sell the unit and the parking
10	space separately. We agree on that?
11	PAUL OGNI BENE: Yes. That's our
12	understanding of the ordinance as well.
13	THOMAS ANNINGER: That's very
14	important.
15	PAUL OGNIBENE: Now, I should be
16	clear so there's no misunderstanding. There
17	is a potential of course to rent unsold
18	condominiums. But nevertheless, they too
19	would be packaged together with a parking
20	space.
21	THOMAS ANNINGER: That's right.
22	That's fine. Okay.

1	Just a quick comment on the condo
2	issue, by condo I mean as partnership, I
3	think there's quite a distinction between
4	this partnership and the partnership between
5	Lesley and the Episcopalian Divinity school.
6	They are like-minded with similar purposes
7	and have little likelihood of conflict.
8	Here, I do see this as potentially
9	problematic. You're absolutely right that it
10	will come down to how you write the
11	documents. But I won't deny that I see some
12	anxiety, legitimate, in this relationship
13	between two people who may well not be the
14	best of partners over the long run. And how
15	do you know who your next partner will be
16	when they sell and whether you will find them
17	as compatible as this one is even if they
18	are? It is too bad. I ballonne the fact
19	that you are afraid of going to the Zoning
20	Board to get a subdivision because it is far
21	and away the better solution. And I think
22	all you're saying really is, that you're

1	afraid that everybody here is going to come
2	out and give you a hard time so that you'll
3	never get through that process and end up
4	either where you started on even worse. It's
5	too bad. And perhaps something could be
6	negotiated where that wouldn't happen, but
7	that's a very hard thing to do. I understand
8	that because you can't control everybody.
9	But I think it would be a better solution.
10	PAUL OGNIBENE: And I appreciate
11	your comments. I think that you're right
12	that there needs to be a lot of time and
13	energy spent on the legal documents to make
14	sure we get it right and that it lives on
15	beyond the current owners. Because actually
16	there will be different owners even than we
17	after we sell all the condominiums.
18	THOMAS ANNINGER: That's right.
19	PAUL OGNIBENE: So, that is a matter
20	of careful drafting. But perhaps, and we're
21	certainly willing to explore it, Codacam
22	needs to go back for a revisit to the BZA

1	anyhow. We can certainly ask if they would
2	consider perhaps based on the Planning
3	Board's suggestion, if that's a fair way to
4	characterize it, that we look at a
5	subdivision variance instead of the
6	condominium process. And of course if it
7	doesn't go through, we can always fall back
8	on the condominium plan.
9	THOMAS ANNINGER: I would like us to
10	consider that as we go through this.
11	The other comment I wanted to make is
12	just a general sense about this project.
13	I'II give you my view. I think that what
14	you've shown us is a project that is a big
15	plus for the neighborhood. I think it's
16	actually courageous what you're doing. I
17	think you're going into a neighborhood that's
18	mixed residential, small industrial. My body
19	shop is right around the corner.
20	Unfortunately I've been there. And you're
21	going into a neighborhood and playing a role
22	in transforming it, and I think for the

1	better. I think you actually make that
2	little triangular park you give it sense
3	that it does not now have by taking away the
4	warehouse building, the Rounder building, and
5	putting it in a residential framework that I
6	think is exactly what's needed at the end of
7	that Linear Park. So I think from just about
8	from every perspective I see it as a plus. I
9	can see why it's a big change for the
10	abutters. But I want to speak to that.
11	1227 spoke about privacy, spoke about
12	the back lot, spoke about big change, and
13	they' re absolutely right. They now have, and
14	the pictures show that, 20-foot, if not
15	25-foot walls, and they're enormous. Brick
16	walls that are really the walls of that
17	Rounder warehouse building. That cannot be a
18	permanent situation. I mean, it seems to me
19	entirely unrealistic for 1227 to hope and to
20	think that those huge brick walls which are
21	protecting them in their privacy serve any
22	purpose over the long run. They also project

1	enormous shadows. So I don't see how one can
2	possibly talk about shadows getting worse
3	with those huge walls. It seems to me it's a
4	big plus to have those walls taken down and
5	to have some setbacks and some greenery. The
6	solution ought to be a landscaping one using
7	fencing which could be higher than six feet,
8	maybe eight, nine, even ten. Hornbeam trees
9	that go up 12, 14 feet. All that is very
10	doable. You've got room for it. It won't be
11	20 feet like it is now, but it seems to me
12	that you've got great potential for
13	protecting their privacy and that ought to be
14	something you should be able to negotiate
15	with them. It speaking only to the ground
16	level it doesn't speak up higher, which is a
17	separate concern. I think it's one that over
18	the long run somebody has to get used to the
19	idea that there will be change here if we
20	ever get rid of that warehouse. And I think
21	it's unrealistic not to expect some changes
22	in your neighborhood. Therefore, I think the

1	concerns of 1227 they need to be addressed,
2	but I think they need to be realistic. And
3	up until now, the letters that we've
4	received, and even some of the comments, I
5	don't think have been grounded in telling us
6	something that we can really do much about
7	without at the same time bringing down
8	what I see as a very positive project.
9	WILLIAM TIBBS: Any other comments
10	or questions?
11	Hugh.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: Maybe 25 years ago I
13	was sitting on the Zoning Board and there was
14	a case for this building. And I had been
15	trying to remember what it was. It's kind of
16	irrelevant to this, but it was some kind of
17	use of the building which was incredibly
18	disruptive to the neighborhood that involved
19	lots of trucks. I'm sure the neighbors would
20	be able to tell me that
21	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It was a
22	food warehouse.

1	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: There was a
2	lot of things before that.
3	HUGH RUSSELL: Right. And I don't
4	know whether we helped or not on the Zoning
5	Board. So I have some sort of scattered
6	comments. I would like some clarification as
7	to how the exit from the stair that's closest
8	to Cameron Avenue is obtained? I don't see
9	any exit from that stair. I just you can
10	when you come back you can answer this
11	question. I don't need to know it today. I
12	feel quite confident that you don't have an
13	exit for that stair at the moment and it's
14	not very difficult to do.
15	The entrance coming off the Linear Park
16	which you don't own and apparently the city
17	doesn't own, and I was when I went up to
18	look at the project yesterday, I sat on the
19	bench that you're going to have to remove to
20	get into your building, and behind me was a
21	very nice granite curbing that's going to
22	have to get modified. And so, I think we

1	need a little bit more thought about what is
2	involved, particularly because you don't
3	control it. And I would like to see somewhat
4	edification as to who does control it and
5	that they would be willing to work with you
6	to come up with the use that you propose. I
7	mean, there are they might say no, we
8	won't do it. And then where would you be?
9	And where would we be? So I think you have
10	to address got to start addressing that
11	issue. There's a problem with this little
12	park if you're a bicyclist. Which is if
13	you're coming from say Russell Field and
14	trying to go down to Linear Park, trying to
15	get across this little piece of dirt is
16	really annoying. And actually one of the
17	pieces that makes it work is the five foot
18	wide brick sidewalk that you're proposed to
19	remove. And the curb cut from that for that
20	little parking area on Cameron Avenue, that
21	allows you to basically you can get across
22	Mass. Avenue. I think go up the driveway

1	curb cut for 2429 coming off it's very
2	awkward. And I'm surprised that bicycle
3	people didn't comment on this problem. I
4	would like to have you in your discussions
5	with the use of that, discuss this issue. I
6	obviously it was done very deliberately
7	when it was built to make it very hard for
8	bicyclists, but I think maybe that needs to
9	be rethought.
10	And then I'd like to make an
11	architectural comment which is I actually
12	think the proposed architecture of this
13	building is really very nice. And in
14	particular it does something that some people
15	sort of sometimes try to do and rarely are
16	successful at it. And I think this project
17	does it, which is to give the appearance of
18	multiple structures that are attached to each
19	other rather than as a single unified
20	project. And the use of somewhat different
21	materials and the somewhat different planes
22	and balconies are all very effective here in

1	working. It's not a historical traditional
2	style, but frankly the historical styles of
3	the buildings that are around you, and in
4	particular at 2429 are pretty weak examples.
5	2429 is not a Victorian building. It's a
6	modern building with some geocodes on it
7	frankly. You may love it as your home, but
8	it's not going to win any architectural
9	awards. I think this proposed building, by
10	addressing the issues of scale and material
11	in a way that is contemporary is doing
12	something that is very fine. I think there
13	are other approaches. Clearly, other people
14	have taken other approaches around and it's a
15	city where we have room for different ways of
16	accomplishing the same kinds of goals. So I
17	applaud your I think your plans I'll
18	say are very ingenious in terms of dealing
19	with a very unusual site and trying to
20	maximize the external appearance as well as
21	the interior layout of the building. So I
22	want to I just wanted to say that. Those

	200
1	are my comments.
2	WILLIAM TIBBS: Anyone el se?
3	Charl es?
4	I guess I have a couple of questions.
5	Are you familiar with whether you and how
6	are you dealing with the environmental issues
7	the plume and stuff that people have
8	commented on?
9	PAUL OGNIBENE: Yes, we are very
10	familiar with the issue.
11	PAMELA WINTERS: Can you explain
12	what a plume is also? I have no idea.
13	PAUL OGNIBENE: Sure. So, the issue
14	is that there's some environmental
15	contamination that occurred sometime ago from
16	somewhere upstream. It's flowing through an
17	underwater excuse me, an underground
18	waterway if you will. Something like a
19	stream that's just going underground, and it
20	kind of passes right by almost down the same
21	path as the Linear bike path. Just sort of
22	passes right by and continues to move up.

1	It's been extremely well documented which is
2	the good news for us. In fact, so well
3	documented that I think everyone had the same
4	idea, that everyone has hired the same
5	environmental engineer to look at it. So we
6	did, too. And probably 15 buildings in the
7	area have hired the same group. So, we've
8	obviously done a Phase I study. We revamped
9	the initial Phase I that was done by the
10	current owner of the property several years
11	ago when he purchased it. And we were hoping
12	he was going to able to be here tonight in
13	case any questions came up. He could speak
14	to it much better than anyone. But I think
15	the bottom line is that because as potential
16	owners, we are we have the potential
17	liability of anything that goes wrong on the
18	property. We examine these issues very
19	closely such the reason for a Phase I study.
20	And if there were any reason for further
21	examination at the Phase I studies that there
22	are some issues here that you need to explore

1	further, then we would go to a Phase II study
2	for example. And we work in projects where
3	we're even in Phase IV which you rarely hear
4	of, we're actually doing remediation. So,
5	we're quite familiar with environmental
6	issues and how to deal with them. This
7	project would be once it's approved, we would
8	move naturally into the working drawings
9	phase. And as we move into working drawings
10	then we really get into the details about how
11	the foundation is dug, where it's going,
12	what's underneath it. The one thing that is
13	quite nice about the design we have is that
14	parking is underground. When you ventilate
15	an underground area, it's quite convenient to
16	ventitinto a parking garage. You're not
17	allowed to vent it naturally into habitable
18	space, but you can vent it into a garage
19	which al ready has noxious fumes and other
20	carbon monoxide issues that are vented out
21	through fans and other systems. So, just to
22	conclude we're quite confident. We're very

1	much aware of it, and we are certain that we
2	canoperate with it.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: What about the
4	groundwater which I'm not quite sure what the
5	areas are, but I know North Cambridge has
6	traditionally has had groundwater issues in
7	general.
8	PAUL OGNIBENE: In terms of
9	contami nati on?
10	WI LLI AM TI BBS: No. Just drai nage
11	and quantities of it and water in the
12	basements and stuff like that.
13	PAUL OGNIBENE: It's the same issue
14	there. We're actually working on a building
15	right now where the construction of the
16	foundation slab actually was in the water
17	table. So naturally worst environment you
18	can have, you're creating water flow. So
19	there are construction methods to deal with
20	it. There's various storage and containment
21	ways to do it. So, we're, again, very much
22	on top of that issue and we'll deal with it

1	in the construction litigation planning
2	process.
3	WILLIAM TIBBS: Okay. One of the
4	I'm sorry. I don't remember which who it
5	was, but one of the presenters or the people
6	who made comments, commented on the
7	affordable versus market rate percentage and
8	cost and I think that's something that you
9	can clarify that this is a correct.
10	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Tonight.
11	WILLIAM TIBBS: We don't need to do
12	it tonight. But that's something that in the
13	past that that calculation is not as obvious
14	as it seems.
15	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Once you figure in
16	the bonus units that the developer is
17	entitled to get, it goes lower.
18	WILLIAM TIBBS: It goes lower. And
19	so I know that's always been an issue in the
20	past, too. So I wanted to clarify that for
21	the percentage is okay, but it doesn't if
22	you equate to the simple 15 percent. And I

1	guess my comment is more in terms of the
2	context. And I guess I I feel
3	uncomfortable that a big piece of the context
4	is your owner or joint owner or potential
5	condominium sharer, however you want to do
6	it, which isn't shown here, to me, you know,
7	with the fact that we're focusing on this
8	property, what you're doing with the
9	residents, which is good because we want to
10	see that. I mean you show it there. But in
11	terms of I'd like to see the overall design,
12	the broader context. Typically I like to see
13	that even when you don't own the property.
14	But the fact that your Landscape plans and
15	your plans kind of only focus on your piece
16	of the pie there, I'd like to see on the
17	drawing like this at least to see that other
18	stuff and seeing because you're in control
19	of that stuff. So I think we at least need
20	to see it whether you're doing anything there
21	that's pertinent or not is something we can
22	discuss. But, I think it's important not to

1	for me at least not to focus on this one
2	little parcel particularly on you however
3	your legal arrangements are, you really do
4	own both and it's both of them kind of
5	contribute to each other relative to the
6	affecting they have on the overall
7	nei ghborhood.
8	PAUL OGNIBENE: Would you like to
9	see just some photographs of the
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: No. You showed
11	photographs. I'd like to see cars and
12	parking and sidewalks.
13	PAUL OGNI BENE: On this plan?
14	WILLIAM TIBBS: On the plan. I'd
15	like to see the context by which it's not
16	just a dead zone up there, it's property you
17	own or property that this entity will own and
18	condominiumize or whatever. So I think just
19	seeing it and putting it in something we can
20	kind of see how other buildings in the
21	neighborhood relate to it and stuff like
22	that.

	215
1	Tom?
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: I think we're
3	coming to the point where we're going to move
4	this to another day.
5	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes.
6	THOMAS ANNINGER: So maybe we can
7	focus on what we would like to see when we
8	come back.
9	WILLIAM TIBBS: Sure. Yes, I was
10	just doing that.
11	THOMAS ANNINGER: You were. And I'd
12	like to add to the list a little bit.
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Sure.
14	THOMAS ANNINGER: The other side of
15	your point about showing it, is to follow up
16	what I was arguing for which is to see if
17	you're willing to subdivide this and how that
18	might play itself out if you can think that
19	through. Which I would think is the better
20	solution. I think you ought to help address
21	these 1229 questions a little bit more
22	visually so that we have a good grasp and

1	it's almost less for us and more for your
2	neighbors, but I think that hasn't been fully
3	ventilated or articulated. Maybe the soil
4	question is one that deserves at least a
5	passing reference. Maybe it's a serious one,
6	maybe not.
7	ROGER BOOTH: What was that, Tom?
8	THOMAS ANNINGER: The soil question.
9	And Hugh's park question is one that, I need
10	to say no more on that.
11	PAMELA WINTERS: Yes.
12	THOMAS ANNINGER: What else?
13	WILLIAM TIBBS: Any other questions?
14	Comments? Okay. I think we're done for the
15	time being. And we'll see you again.
16	PAUL OGNIBENE: Thank you very much.
17	PHIL TERZIS: Thank you.
18	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Five minute break
19	before we move on or go right ahead?
20	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Excuse me.
21	When is it continued to?
22	BETH RUBENSTEIN: We're not sure.

1	We have meetings on the 8th and 22nd of
2	September. So call the department and check
3	our web site. We don't know right now.
4	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: That's fine
5	with me.
6	PAMELA WINTERS: What's the time
7	situation for the Conner petition.
8	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Yes. The Conner
9	petition actually needs to be taken by the
10	City Council on September 30th, and due to
11	holidays and some other things, holidays,
12	they're only meeting, the Council is meeting
13	September 14th and the 21st. So, if it were
14	possible to persevere, it would be good to
15	get comments to them after the meeting on the
16	14th. You do have your next meeting on the
17	8th. That would involve us turning around
18	your comments basically, you know, the next
19	day to get on the agenda.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: Do you know what we
21	have coming up on the 8th? Is it going to be
22	a busy night?

	210
1	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Well, we have
2	schedul ed the continued discussion of Kaya.
3	We may have a continued discussion of the
4	Putnam Ave. project. We may have a
5	conti nuati on of this di scussi on. Those are
6	we'll have to look at the schedule and
7	talk to the various folks who have some
8	homework.
9	PAMELA WINTERS: I'm feeling as
10	though the down at this end of the table
11	we're kind of fading and the AC went off.
12	And I'm just wondering how my other fellow
13	members feel about it.
14	H. THEODORE COHEN: I personally
15	prefer not to do Conner this evening. I
16	think it's going to be a fairly lengthy
17	discussion and it's now 11:30, and I don't
18	think any of us would give it that much of a
19	fair shake.
20	PAMELA WINTERS: I'm wondering
21	there's a lot of people here.
22	FEMALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Since

	217
1	Conner is here.
2	WILLIAM TIBBS: I'm sorry.
3	FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: But I will
4	be concerned with what your decision is going
5	to be that you're I can see you're all
6	tired at 11:30 at night. And that will be
7	part of my comment to the City Council.
8	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Again, it's an
9	advi sory opi ni on.
10	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes. And because
11	it's an advisory opinion I think it's, it's
12	not as intense as if it were a Special
13	Permit.
14	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Council is able to
15	move ahead quickly. Of course, they value it
16	but they are able to move forward without
17	your opi ni on.
18	WILLIAM TIBBS: They value it
19	sometimes. They always value it, but don't
20	necessarily agree with it.
21	PAMELA WINTERS: I think we need to
22	apologize to the people who came out this

	220
1	evening and waited a long time. And in fact
2	you' ve been here all evening.
3	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Yes.
4	PAMELA WINTERS: Yes.
5	BETH RUBENSTEIN: So I'm to
6	understand obviously you want to put Conner
7	on on the 8th. We'll try to put that earlier
8	in the evening.
9	WILLIAM TIBBS: That would be good.
10	And to make sure it happens and we look at it
11	in a fresh way.
12	I think we'll just have to do it next
13	meeting.
14	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Okay.
15	MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: This is just
16	a question of procedure. You mentioned on
17	the Codacam presentation that you would be
18	accepting written comment. And e-mail is
19	acceptable as well?
20	WILLIAM TIBBS: Yes.
21	MALE AUDI ENCE MEMBER: Thank you.
22	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Comments, it's

221			
probably best to sent comments to Liza Paden			
at the Community Development Department. And			
that's Ipaden@Cambridgema.gov, and we can			
give it to you afterwards. And Liza we can			
get it to all the Planning Board members and			
the public record, I'll get all that			
correspondence.			
WILLIAM TIDDC. Do we have any DTA			

WILLIAM TIBBS: Do we have any BZA cases?

(Whereupon, a di scussi on was

held off the record.)

LES BARBER: No BZA cases.

WI LLI AM TI BBS: Then we are

adj ourned.

BETH RUBENSTEIN: Thank you all. (Whereupon, at 11:30 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)

	222
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRISTOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certified Shorthand Reporter, the undersigne Notary Public, certify that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
8	
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
12	my hand this 28th day of August 2009.
13	
14	
15	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public
16	Certified Shorthand Reporter License No. 147703
17	My Commission Expires:
18	April 23, 2015
19	
20	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION
21	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DI RECT CONTROL AND/OR DI RECTI ON OF THE
22	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.