1	
2	PLANNING BOARD FOR THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
3	GENERAL HEARING
4	Tuesday, March 2, 2010
5	7: 30 p.m.
6	in
7	Second Floor Meeting Room, 344 Broadway
8	City Hall Annex McCusker Building Cambridge, Massachusetts
9	Hugh Russell, Chair
10	Thomas Anninger, Vice Chair Pamela Winters, Member
11	H. Theodore Cohen, Member Patricia Singer, Member Ahmed Nur, Associate Member
12	Steven Winter, Member
13	Beth Rubenstein, Assistant City Manager
14	for Community Development
15	Community Development Staff: Liza Paden
16	Les Barber Roger Booth
17	Susan Glazer Stuart Dash
18	Iram Farooq
19	
20	REPORTERS, INC. CAPTURING THE OFFICIAL RECORD
21	617. 786. 7783/617. 639. 0396 www. reportersi nc. com

1	INDEX		
2		Page	
3	Update by Beth Rubenstein	3	
4			
5	PUBLIC HEARING DD#245 F45 Combridge Street	-	
6	PB#245, 545 Cambri dge Street	5	
7	GENERAL BUSI NESS		
8	1. PB#234, 355 Fresh Pond Parkway	32	
9	2. Cambri dge Ri verfront Pl anni ng Update	37	
10	3. Board of Zoning Appeal Cases	106	
11	4. Other	100	
12	4. Other		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PROCEEDINGS

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. We're going to get started. This is the meeting of the Cambridge Planning Board. And we will start with an update by Beth Rubenstein.

Thank you, Hugh. BETH RUBENSTEIN: We'll be meeting again on March 16th, and that's the first meeting that we're starting at seven o'clock with the BZA agenda. our first public hearing will be scheduled for 7:20. And at that meeting we're going to have a Special Permit presentation from MIT on their wind turbine. And then I think I had noted this once before, 22 Water Street is going to be back. Their residential building, their permit has expired. We need to revive it and have a hearing and start over again. And they have taken a look at si te access i ssues. Given the realities, I think the Board will recall that the access was dependent on making arrangement with the

other owner, North Point, and there's not an active party there with whom they can work so they looked at the access and proposed some not huge changes. And that will be a public hearing on March 16th.

And on April 6th the second hearing under the Alexandria will be scheduled.

And then we're also scheduled to meet April 20th.

And in May we're going to meet May 4th and 18th. And at the Council I think everyone knows, David Maar has been elected Mayor. Henrietta Davis is Vice Mayor. We don't have committees yet. But once we do have a committee, some of the work of this body will be going to the Council. More specifically the green zoning recommendations that we all worked on last year. So that's on our agenda as soon as there are committees. And I think that is everything that I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

. •

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

HUGH RUSSELL: The first item on our agenda is a public hearing in case Planning Board 245, 545 Cambridge Street.

And the way public hearings work is the petitioner starts off and explains what he's asking for. He has an opportunity for the Planning Board to get clarification, and then we go to public testimony. And I'll tell you about that process when we get to it.

MARC RESNICK: Hi . My name is Marc Resnick. I'm the recent owner of this building on Cambridge Street. As you can see from -- maybe these pictures that are best, this is the building that goes across here and that all faces Cambridge Street. Thisis Seventh Street on the side. And if you're looking at the side, you will see that this is like a little side door that I have that comes with the building, this alleyway. that runs right in there in Seventh Avenue. And if you want to get a top view of the

21

property, see, it also has this extension on the back so that when you're looking at the front of the building, all you see is the front of this. This is a one-story extension only right there, in this whole section right It's currently vacant. It's been there. vacant for quite sometime. The last thing that was in there was a futon store. can see, there are no walls in the entire building. It's been completely stripped out of all its interior walls previous to my So, this is like the first floor. purchase. It has these steel posts. And the upper floors are completely removed so there's nothing in it at all. It's been zoned as a commercial building in its previous life. The upper floors don't make any sense for any kind of commercial usage. There's already this 5,000 square foot addition that has no street frontage as it stands today, so the building is, you know, a difficult building

to reuse for any purpose.

We've setup to build four apartments upstairs with basically -- you won't be able to see them from here. So there's basically two units on each floor. There is already an existing center staircase that allows you to get up to each unit up the front of the building and you come up the stairs.

So there's a pre-existing center stairs, and we use that as the base of the floor plan. One unit on each side to the left, and one unit on each side to the right. There's an existing staircase in the corner, in the left which will allow for that secondary means of egress, to come down the stairs, all the way out a side door on the first floor. So there's two remote means of egress as the building stands. We're not going to be changing the exterior. As far as I understand, the Special Permit -- all we're trying to do is change -- we're going to

leave the first floor commercial and just make the top two floors residential. This plan actually came before the Board in 2007, it was approved. The previous owner just never made a move and let it expire. We intend to do this immediately. As soon as I can get permission so it doesn't have to sit vacant any longer.

I made you some -- also some additional drawings. If you'll look at this, it shows the green section is the one-story addition, and then the yellow section is the frontage that you would see from the street.

And then there's also a special rule that says that we have to have a place for bicycles. So up that alley, you can go right in. There's a false front on the building right now with a door, but behind the door is actually even a garage door. And so, you know, somehow we can figure that to allow you to come right inside and store bicycles

1 inside the building. 2 Any questions? Or is that not the 3 right format? BETH RUBENSTEIN: I would just add 4 5 that the Special Permit is a 5.28 conversion 6 permit for converting an existing building. 7 HUGH RUSSELL: The prior approval 8 was the same relief, correct? 9 That's right. BETH RUBENSTEIN: 10 THOMAS ANNI NGER: You want to do 11 exactly what was approved previously? 12 MARC RESNICK: Correct. The only 13 thing I'm not positive if whether he did or 14 not, I wanted to have two stores on the first 15 floor. It's still the same retail square 16 footage in size. I don't know if he required 17 one giant store or two. Again, the 18 (inaudible) natural staircase makes it 19 natural, the left-hand store would be one 20 store and then the large addition on the back 21 would be the one store on the right-hand side

1	and maybe a thousand square foot store on the
2	left.
3	HUGH RUSSELL: I'm not sure we even
4	care.
5	MARC RESNICK: Okay.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Ahmed.
7	AHMED NUR: I just had a quick
8	question. It looks like, did you empty all
9	the building? You took out all the studs and
10	everythi ng?
11	MARC RESNICK: It was done before I
12	bought it.
13	AHMED NUR: Oh, it was done before
14	you bought it?
15	MARC RESNICK: Yeah. A year or two
16	ago the previous owner has gone out of his
17	way, it's quite a job, and removed every
18	interior wall from the interior of the
19	building with four LDLs side by side like
20	every 12 feet that's been required.
21	AHMED NUR: I guess my only concern

1	in terms of rebuilding, the material now, do
2	you have any an immediate residents or
3	abutters who might care about the time you're
4	banging up the studs inside and what not?
5	Have you had any complaints so far?
6	MARC RESNICK: I haven't heard from
7	anybody. And I haven't reached out to
8	anybody. Because I only just purchased the
9	building I was forbidden until I owned it.
10	I had permission to apply for the permit.
11	And I wasn't even allowed to come to this
12	meeting if I hadn't actually closed by now.
13	I know it was approved previously and it
14	didn't appear that anybody was against it.
15	AHMED NUR: Thank you.
16	HUGH RUSSELL: Steve.
17	THOMAS ANNINGER: When is the
18	cl osi ng?
19	MARC RESNICK: We closed last
20	Friday. And that was one day early. We
21	didn't have to close until Monday.

1 STEVEN WINTER: Can any of my 2 colleagues or Beth help me remember was this 3 the project that had a basement renovation as 4 part of it, also? 5 BETH RUBENSTEIN: No. 6 That's all I need. STEVEN WINTER: 7 MARC RESNICK: There's a very raw 8 basement here that's not even six feet high. 9 It's like five-foot, five. It couldn't be 10 used for anything except for like sprinkler 11 facilities and things like that. 12 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. If there are 13 no more questions by the Board, we'll go to 14 the public testimony portion of the hearing. 15 Is there a sign-up sheet? 16 Nobody signed it, but LIZA PADEN: 17 there's people here to speak. 18 HUGH RUSSELL: That's fine. 1'11 19 ask you to raise your hand. And when I 20 recognize you, would you come forward to the 21 microphone, give your name and address to the

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

recorder, and we'd like you to speak for up to three minutes. So who would like to speak? Please come forward.

PAUL MURRAY: Hi, my name is Paul Murray. I live at 763, right around the corner. Actually my backyard almost backs up against the one-story addition in the back that he was referring to.

The issue I have is with parking. The neighborhood is over congested as it is. The last snow emergency we had the last time, there were two cars that I know are residents of Cambridge Street parked on Sixth because they can no Longer park on Cambridge Street between Sixth -- on Sixth between Cambridge and Gore Street. There are 19 units of housing and there are roughly 11 parking There are only two driveways. And spaces. when residents from Cambridge Street have no place to park, and even with the parking meters, they can no longer park during the

1 day without going out and feeding the meter, 2 they park on the side streets. 3 That's -- I welcome you to the 4 neighborhood. I think it would be fantastic 5 to have housing up there because the building 6 has been vacant for over a year now. lt's 7 just a parking issue. And if possible, if 8 where he has the door on the side where he's 9 talking about bicycles, possibly putting in 10 some sort of indoor parking or parking on the back area, that would be fantastic. 11 12 Thank you. 13 HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you. 14 Other people who would like to be 15 heard? 16 CHARLIE MARQUARDT: Charlie, last 17 name M-a-r-q-u-a-r-d-t. Ten Rogers Street. 18 First I'll congratulate you as 19 Chairmanship for the Board. Well deserved. 20 Second, I just want to point out what 21 the prior speaker did -- I think there's a

1 variance (inaudible) in regard to a Special 2 I believe they're also asking for a Permit. 3 waiver of the parking requirements. That 4 normally would require at least four spaces 5 of parking that's being waived. I think 6 that's a critical thing to discuss in light 7 of all the development that's gone on in this plan for East Cambridge, we had this 8 9 discussion a couple weeks ago in the 10 Alexandria parking for the parking, and the 11 area they showed in the red is right in front 12 of this building. That's where all that 13 traffic is going to be built up and that's no 14 wonder they couldn't fix on Cambridge Street. 15 I think we need to figure out as you keep 16 adding to the neighborhood where are we going 17 to put all these cards. I know Sue's not 18 here, they start marking the car tires. You 19 only have two hours whether you feed the 20 meter or not. They will get you. 21 appl aud you for talking this on. It's an eye

sore. It's just making it all fit within there, and I welcome you to come out to the neighborhood group and speak to them.

The development you were talking about earlier is the one basement out the back with the real estate company up the street and they left due to neighborhood opposition.

So, I applaud you coming. I applaud this work. It will be great to have some residential in there. We just need to figure out a better way to deal with parking or lack of parking. It's a rough spot. And with 48 cars there's not a whole lot there.

HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.

Someone else wish to be heard?

MARC RESNICK: Would you like me to comment on the parking?

HUGH RUSSELL: We'll discuss it and then maybe ask you. If there's no one else wishing to be heard, I would say we close the hearing for oral testimony. All agreed?

1	(All Agreed.)
2	PAMELA WINTERS: Yes, what was above
3	the ground floor initially, was it units of
4	housi ng?
5	MARC RESNICK: No, it had been a
6	futon shop.
7	PAMELA WINTERS: Upstairs.
8	MARC RESNICK: And they stored
9	futons. I think that's why they wanted to
10	remove all the walls, was they had rooms up
11	there, offices or so, but it's never been
12	housi ng before.
13	PAMELA WINTERS: It's never been
14	housi ng?
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Maybe Mr. Murray
16	knows.
17	PAUL MURRAY: At one point in time
18	there was a video store on the second floor,
19	and more recently the top two floors were
20	some sort of educational office or something
21	along those lines.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Thank you.

So, Mr. Resnick, would you talk to us about parking?

MARC RESNICK: There's two things I do know. One is that with the commercial space actually requires more parking than the residential space. So by changing the space to residential, I'll be less in compliance than I was when I started. Also, we're looking into that alley there and there is a garage door and we're trying to -- we think we may be able to create one indoor parking space and then spaces in the driveway behind So we're working on whether that's legal it. in Cambridge and whether we can do that, because there is an existing curb cut. And like I said, there's a false front that was added afterward. And if you just removed it, there would be a garage door there. There's not enough room inside, because we looked at using the -- that rear addition. You can't

21

19

20

21

get the turning radius to, you know, if you use like three-quarters of the space, you might be able to get a second car in. don't think there's any way, because you have a single lane to back out to, you can't have two cars pass in, because you would have to somehow turn around inside it and come back out that drive. So one car straight in we think we might be able to do, and then one or two or three cars in the drive behind it. I may be able to get a couple of those cars off the street. And that's the best I could I could -- there's just no other way do. around it.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Thank you. Well, what do you think?

THOMAS ANNINGER: Somebody's got to say something. I think on the parking issue, it's a tough one. We're balancing a use that we want to promote. We want to, as the neighbors said, have that space filled so

that its no longer an eyesore and no longer vacant space looking for, in a sense, trouble. And if we have no better option than to approve it without parking, I guess I'd like to know exactly, it would be better if we had a better answer to your one or two spaces. Two spaces seem to make a difference to Mr. Murray.

MARC RESNICK: Well, if it's legal by the City, we would gladly use them as spaces including the indoor space. My only issue is if the City will allow me to. In other words, we have a driveway, we will use it for parking as long as it's not forbidden.

THOMAS ANNINGER: Is there any way we could -- do we have an answer to that?

LES BARBER: Those spaces would have to be waived because they don't conform to the requirement, which is each space has access without having to move another car. So if they're all in tandem in the rear

1	alleyway, it may prove a useful element to
2	have in the development, but the parking
3	requirement still needs to be waived because
4	those spaces don't conform.
5	THOMAS ANNINGER: And as to the
6	rest, I think this being a repeat of
7	something that we approved and a decision
8	that seemed to have dealt thoroughly with all
9	of the ordinance issues already, I would see
10	this as a relatively straight-forward
11	decision tonight and I don't see a problem.
12	PATRICIA SINGER: I would agree.
13	H. THEODORE COHEN: I would agree,
14	also. I have a question, though.
15	In this photograph the car that's
16	there, is that driveway part of your
17	property?
18	MARC RESNICK: Yes.
19	H. THEODORE COHEN: And that's where
20	you're considering if it were allowed, you
21	could put two cars in there?
	1

1	MARC RESNICK: Two in the driveway
2	you can definitely fit at least two cars
3	in the open driveway tandem. And those are
4	like normally should be able to be
5	legalized. This might be the best view blown
6	up. So we own that whole drive there, and
7	then that door is really just a false door.
8	It could be removed.
9	H. THEODORE COHEN: And that's where
10	you're saying is a garage door?
11	MARC RESNICK: A garage door al ready
12	existing right behind it so we could have
13	three in the row if we can.
14	THOMAS ANNINGER: It almost looks
15	wi de enough.
16	HUGH RUSSELL: It's about 12 feet.
17	THOMAS ANNINGER: You don't think
18	you can squeeze
19	MARC RESNICK: If they let us,
20	three, I would think. Well, to turn? The
21	problem is when you go into the building, you

1 need a whole turning radius to come in and 2 out. So I really believe you can just have 3 one car go straight into the space and that's 4 about it. See, here's the drive. So when 5 you come up the drive, you go right through a 6 garage door right there. It's a turn around, 7 you know, you just would need, you know, 8 there's beams and things in there that holdup 9 the building because it's a --10 Is there an egress? AHMED NUR: 11 there a back egress to that, is that why you can't park back there? 12 13 MARC RESNICK: There's no other 14 egress other than through there and through 15 the stores. 16 AHMED NUR: Is there a fire engine 17 hook up? Or what's in there? 18 MARC RESNICK: There's nothing in 19 It's just empty, raw. But there's 20 places that holdup the -- the building here 21 It's not a wood frame addition. is concrete.

There are supports that run through it. You have to drive around those. They're not that big a deal for this type of wall here or there. So you can't really get in and around and turn around inside the building. So one straight in along the lot line. There shows it the best. It's 46 feet long. So enough for two, you know, 22 feet is a car? So we have full length for two full cars. So we think we can provide at least two parking spaces and possibly three. And we, you know, we promise we will if they let us.

HUGH RUSSELL: Ahmed.

AHMED NUR: Well, I like to --

H. THEODORE COHEN: I would just say that I certainly think it would be preferable to have something in the building. And I guess I've always assumed that were residents above the commercial space on the first floor. And it seems to be an appropriate use of it. I realize the parking is an issue,

1 but I would imagine that as Mr. Resnick 2 stated, that if it were four units, there 3 probably would be fewer cars associated with 4 that than if it were two floors of offices or 5 commercial space with employees. And so 6 based upon the reasoning in the earlier 7 decision it seems to me it does make sense to 8 allowit. But I would, you know, applaud 9 Mr. Resnick's pursuing whatever he can get 10 Traffic and Parking to agree to in terms of 11 at least having a couple of cars parked 12 tandemly in that driveway. 13 HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Is that sort 14 of a general statement of what we all agree 15 Sounds good to me. to? 16 STEVEN WINTER: Are we indicating 17 that we would like the proponent to pursue 18 with the Planning Department, putting three 19 cars having room for three cars in this 20 project? 21 Well, maybe two or PAMELA WINTERS:

1	three?
2	STEVEN WINTER: I don't know.
3	HUGH RUSSELL: I think what Les is
4	advising is that none of those spaces will
5	meet the full requirements of the Ordinance
6	so we would have to give the relief, and then
7	we could ask that he work with the Traffic
8	and Parking Department to come up with the
9	best most feasible arrangement and let them
10	figure out whether it's two or three cars.
11	STEVEN WINTER: That's exactly what
12	I was saying.
13	LES BARBER: It may actually require
14	a variance as well, because you're not
15	meeting setback requirements from property
16	lines. So it's it will take some process
17	probably further to be allowed to put parking
18	in there.
19	HUGH RUSSELL: So it's not something
20	we could grant?
21	LES BARBER: No.
	1

1 PATRICIA SINGER: I think, too, we 2 need to be mindful of the immediately 3 abutting neighbor just to make sure there's 4 not a dining room window by a tailpipe or 5 something like that. 6 HUGH RUSSELL: Are there any windows 7 on that side wall of the neighbor's house. 8 MARC RESNICK: At least one, it 9 looks like right at the front hall when they 10 first come in their door, it looks like 11 there's one window on the side and I think 12 that's it. It's on the lot line probably, so 13 it's probably not allowed to have windows. It looks like the other house is on the lot 14 15 Line. 16 HUGH RUSSELL: But when it was built 17 they can have windows. 18 We can certainly sit LES BARBER: 19 down with Mr. Resnick and review what relief 20 would be necessary, and maybe there is 21 something the Board could grant, but I

1	suspect in the end it might require a Board
2	of Zoning Appeal action.
3	HUGH RUSSELL: So we can act tonight
4	and simply put in a condition saying that to
5	go through the process, and if that means
6	they come back, has to come back for an
7	amendment from us, that's okay.
8	LES BARBER: We can grant an
9	amendment to this permit if that were
10	possible, but I think BZA action is probably
11	necessary.
12	HUGH RUSSELL: I'm wondering if
13	somebody could look through the previous
14	decision and see if there's anything in it
15	that's no longer correct or needs to be a
16	condition that needs to be added.
17	THOMAS ANNINGER: Les, I thought we
18	could act as if we were the BZA in a case
19	like this.
20	LES BARBER: If the reliefis a
21	Special Permit. If the reliefis a Variance,

1	you can't. And we weren't presented with
2	this option, so I haven't done the analysis.
3	If all the relief is possible from the
4	Planning Board, then we can come back with
5	advertising that relief and you can grant
6	that.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: But in any case, it
8	would have to be a separate hearing with a
9	separate advertisement?
10	LES BARBER: Yes.
11	THOMAS ANNINGER: Well, that might
12	be seen as a Minor Amendment in which case
13	LES BARBER: No, if it's relief that
14	has to be granted, then that's another
15	process.
16	HUGH RUSSELL: So we'll take a
17	moment to review that previous decision and
18	see if we can simply reaffirm it.
19	(A discussion off the record.)
20	HUGH RUSSELL: So I think in the
21	wording in No. 3, there's some wording that

says it's not physically possible to park on the lot. That would have to be changed in light of the discussion we've had tonight, that at this point the way the lot is set up, there is a piece that might be used. The first sentence might be modified to say it's not physically parked on the lot and in conforming configuration.

BETH RUBENSTEIN: Right.

HUGH RUSSELL: It's not on the entire lot is the alleyway. So probably the decision condition 2 could be, that's where we could insert the study of the parking, potential parking. And I don't actually see anything else that needs to be fixed. So if someone would make a motion to that effect, we could vote on it.

H. THEODORE COHEN: I would move that we affirm the decision of January 16, 2007 granting the Special Permit to convert second and third floors for non-residential

1	structure, four units of housing and to waive
2	the parking requirement to the extent
3	necessary after the applicant has consulted
4	with the Planning Department and Traffic and
5	taken whatever steps are necessary to be able
6	to utilize the existing driveway for some of
7	the parking for the four units.
8	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Is there a
9	second?
10	PAMELA WINTERS: Second.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Pam.
12	Any discussion on the motion? All
13	those in favor?
14	(Show of hands.)
15	HUGH RUSSELL: Everyone votes in
16	favor.
17	(Russell, Anninger, Winter Winters,
18	Cohen, Nur, Singer.)
19	(Discussion off the record.)
20	
21	

2

3

HUGH RUSSELL: So the next item on our agenda is the request to extend our permit No. 234.

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. It's so nice to be welcomed warmly. For the record, James Rafferty on behalf of the applicant Fresh Pond Real Estate Development, LLC. Ms. Roberta Sidney is due to arrive any But this is a Special Permit. moment. sure the Board will recall Fresh Pond Parkway. A lot of effort put into the design, the orientation of the building, the parking, good pedestrian access. Everything fell into place, but for the necessary business climate to allow this to be built. If you were to drive by the site today, you would see a big sign out there seeking tenants. But at the moment they have not secured the necessary retail tenants to warrant commencing the construction of the

1 So as is permitted under the proj ect. 2 statute of the Ordinance, the petitioner is 3 seeking a request to extend the effective 4 date of the Special Permit for an additional 5 The permit was filed in the 12 months. 6 office of the City Clerk on April 7th. So by 7 coming to you prior to that date, we're 8 hoping to be able to get that extension in 9 place prior to the expiration of the original 10 Special Permit. 11 HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you. 12 Steve. 13 We're commenting at STEVEN WINTER: 14 this point? 15 HUGH RUSSELL: That's right. 16 I do want to remind STEVEN WINTER: 17 the Board that this is the project where I 18 think the proponent worked very, very hard to 19 create an appropriate urban in-fill 20 development. It was a spectacular effort, 21 and I think we need to do whatever we can to

1 help the proponent along his or her way to 2 finish this. 3 HUGH RUSSELL: I think I would 4 comment that the Board normally grants such 5 extensions except in cases where they think 6 the basic facts and the basic situation is so 7 different that they have to look at the whole 8 So I don't think that's the thing again. 9 case here. H. THEODORE COHEN: I was just going 10 11 to say I liked the project two years ago. 12 still like it and I'd like to see it happen. 13 And I think obviously the economy has been in 14 a free fall for a couple of years, and unless 15 there were evidence that the developer was 16 consciously doing something to sabotage the 17 project, which I doubt, I would be all in 18 favor of extending it. 19 THOMAS ANNI NGER: What are the 20 timing intentions as you understand them now? 21 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Acti vel y

attempting to locate -- it's the type of location where they just wouldn't build it on I know from firsthand experience that the redevelopment at the other rotary, which is also a client of mine, a project, they did need to secure some leases. I will tell you that in conversations with my client, one of the challenges of finding a retail tenant has been the parking supply. Lots of national or big retailers have certain formulas. parking supply here is rather constrained. We're not seeking to modify that, but I think many people that drive by and see the sign and do the typical calculation, how many spaces do we need, what do we want. Thi s site has had challenges in that direction. It's listed with a broker, and the developer is a very experienced operator of commercial properties and has relationships with retailers in the Brookline area and has tried to encourage them to look at the site, but I

1	think they just haven't been able to secure
2	the tenant yet that would warrant kicking the
3	program off. I don't think they could get
4	the necessary findings of the building
5	i nspected.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. So would
7	someone like to make a motion or is there
8	more di scussi on?
9	THOMAS ANNINGER: I move that we
10	grant the extension requested.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Second.
12	STEVEN WINTER: Second.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. All those in
14	favor?
15	(Show of hands.)
16	(Russell, Anninger, Winter, Winters,
17	Cohen, Nur, Singer.)
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you
19	very much.
20	
21	

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

HUGH RUSSELL: The next item on the agenda is a treat where the staff comes and tells us about the work they've been doing.

STUART DASH: Thanks. Stuart Dash,
Community Development Department.

About a year and a half ago committee planning staff from preplanning division took a walk along the Charles River to take a look at -- we sort of regularly talk about and discuss as staff what are opportunities in the city for either making improvements for shifting things around in the planning, and we came to sort of feel that there's some opportunities in the Charles River after about 100 years of the Charles River being the basin that it's come to be today, to create a more of a sense of destination along the river. We've gotten pretty good at moving things along the riverfront whether it's pedestrians or bicycles or cars, but there's very few places that you feel that

21

you could actually go as a place to go to to linger. And while in high school they said don't linger in the hallway, in our urban design thinking we'd actually like you to linger, and to feel that there's a place to And a place that you feel you might want QO. to go with friends, whether it's a single visitor from out of town or whether it's a small group to have lunch, or whether it's something as large as the kind of festivals that we have along the river. And we're thinking probably smaller scale than actually the festival. Actually, more than the scale if you have a few friends, if you have a few hours for a place you'd like to go. There's a notion of the public spaces by a Danish urban designer that talks about the necessary, the optional and the social spaces The necessary is if you have to go outsi de. to the store and get food, you travel along this street to get there and that's a

18

19

20

21

necessary trip. That's a necessary turn in the public space. If you find a nice bench to sit in along the way, that's an optional space that you might choose to sit. It might not be anything great but it's an option you If you find a place where maybe have. there's a few benches and a tree and there's some nice view or something, you might sit down, you might have a few friends. might actually meet people that come there as well and have a social interaction. And that's the kind of thing looking to sort of create that thing that makes a city a city. I think what people enjoy about many of the great public spaces in the world and certainly in Cambridge, and we felt there's opportunities to do throughout along the Charl es Ri ver.

So, we then took it upon ourselves and Roger and Iram and Les and I walked every foot, I think, of the Charles River over

21

1

2

3

4

5

about the course of a number of months, some very hot months, and took notes and took notes of every tree well and missing tree and every pathway down to the river. Every walk that was lacking shade that wasn't, that was dangerous in terms of crossing streets, and every opportunity along the river we thought could be something special. And so what we'd like to do is have Roger and Iram walk you through that work today that we've been working on for the last number of many months and get your comments. We're starting to go out to neighborhood groups and talk to them about it and hopefully be able to come up with a plan that we can work with going forward in time. So with that, Iram first.

IRAM FAROOQ: Thanks, Stuart.

So Stuart mentioned we took this long walk. I'm not going to tell you about each of those tree wells that he mentioned -- Iram Farooq, Community Development.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So as Stuart mentioned, also originally -- so the Charles River Reservation which is essentially the entire area along the Charles River was originally conceived in the late 19th century as a parkway. So if you promenaded along it, you went out with your family on the weekend or you were in a carriage and you drove along on what is now Memorial Drive, and as over the years the nature of transportation has changed, what used to be the river experience has in fact transformed into somewhat of a barrier to experiencing the river if you live in Cambridge and you're trying to get from the Cambridge neighborhoods to the river. that was sort of what if the big issues that we were looking at as we, as we started to walk along the river; how do you connect the neighborhoods to the river? But also, you know, the other thing is that the river and the riverfront, the experience that Stuart

19

20

21

talked about, the connections to the river, is something that's come up in numerous neighborhood studies, in various areas when we've looked at it as part of the planning process, when projects have come to you for approval that are located along the river, these are the sorts of issues that we've all grappled with that we tried to get a handle on site by site. And we thought it was a good idea to look at the river comprehensively. So we're hoping that what will come out of this is sort of our vision for the river as a whole in Cambridge; sort of an aspirational document that we can all turn to from time to time. So, it will be, you know, we think that we as staff will use You as the Planning Board will use it it. similar to how you use the policy documents or the e-CAP reports for Allewife. hopefully we hope this will be a guiding document for developers as they start to look

for sites on the river, they can turn to to see what the City's vision is.

So there may be opportunities as we come up, as we walk through the river to come up with some sort of future capital projects that may then, you know, we may decide to push that forward to get into the queue for capital projects in the city.

And finally, there may be opportunities to cooperate with the state, primarily the DCR, since really the state is the entity that owns all of this riverfront. So, it's very difficult for us to think of any action that can be done just by the city alone.

And, you know, virtually everything has to be in cooperation with the state. So we hope that this will form the basis for that work.

And, you know, we -- there is sort of in terms of process, I mean, this is what you're seeing today is the result of our work as staff just, you know, doing this big walk

. •

and coming back and putting our heads together and trying to figure things out.

We've just started on our public outreach on this process. Roger and I were at the Cambridgeport Neighborhood meeting last week.

We're slated to go to East Cambridge in a few weeks. And we wanted to touch base with you early in the process, because really, you know, we're hoping to do two things today:

One is to kind of take you on the walk that we went on and tell you what are the things that we discovered and thought of.

But a second is to really hear from you, because you've all experienced the river in your own way in your day-to-day lives, and you must have other ideas that maybe we didn't come up with, and we'd like to have that back and forth today. Hence the board there.

STUART DASH: And that's the famous Brendan Monroe, the map specialist.

IRAM FAROOQ: That's right, if you wonder who creates all of those maps.

So, key issues that -- sort of global issues before we get to the side by side thing, the big global issues that came to us is one of connections. Which is how do people get to the neighborhoods and back to the neighborhoods? How do we get people back to what we hope will be this fantastic, vibrant place that Stuart talked about?

The second is what do they do when they get there? Are there really opportunities to linger and to spend time with your friends and family?

And finally, to think about how we can leverage future development and development in the riverfront to create those positive changes that we would like to see?

And so to help us in processing all of this information that we gathered, we basically divided the river into five

sections that you see on the map. You know, essentially using the bridges as our dividing lines. So there is the North Point section of the river, East Cambridge riverfront, the MIT frontage, and D is kind of at Magazine Beach Cambridgeport area. E is all of Harvard Square going all the way to the city line.

And with that, I'm going to turn it over to Roger Booth to take you through the first few sections of our walk.

ROGER BOOTH: Thanks, I ram.

So I ram has described sort of the way to think about breaking down the river in sort of an arbitrary way, but trying to use the bridges as, again, some structural way to think about it. This image shows those first two and a half sections going from North Point along the East Cambridge riverfront by the MIT campus. And I like this aerial because it really shows how much of the

subjects that the Board has been spending so much time on in my 30 years. And even more recently are so important to the river, the East Cambridge riverfront. Of course the Alexandria project we're discussing now. The Genzyme building and the Cambridge Research Park with the Broad Canal and the Friend School that's under construction. It's nice to look at this as a continuity even though we're starting to break it up. It's hard to keep it in your mind as I find as many hours and days as I've spent out there, there are these discontinuities.

The North Point area is one that the Board has spent a lot of time on. And Hugh Russell and I spent many hours on the Citizens' Advisory Committee. And before that Hugh was the City's representative on the Zakim Bridge review process. I don't know if everybody realizes that we wouldn't have the beautiful Zakim Bridge and the 40

3

4

5

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

acres of the parklands if there had not been a challenge on the Scheme Z back when we had the Central Artery project. Do people remember that whole story? That this icon that we now have guiding us from the river down to the harbor wouldn't have been there. It could easily have been just a regular old flat highway bridge because it's not that big But I think we all felt the need of a span. to have that as sort of a guiding landmark. And you see in this image the multiuse path going through North Point. These are the two buildings that were built. The tower. you can actually get down to the bridge to North Point Park.

This is an image from the Charles River Conservancy. And I know Renata is here tonight and the skate park that's meant to go right where that green asterisk is. And this of course is the North Point portion of the new Charles River Basin, it's 40 acres and

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that's parks all around the perimeter of what used to be called the lost half mile. As Stuart said we're trying to find it and actually make it a place that you want to go.

There's some diagrams that we're going to be using throughout. Where we have these red arrows, we're talking about missing connections. Where we have asterisks, it's either open space about to happen or a development about to happen. Or in the case of the blue asterisk something on the water. So this map shows that a lot has happened with the building of the common at the North Point project. You know, we get under the river, get out to this park and, of course, there are many, many aspects that haven't But one very exciting thing that happened. is about to happen is the connector we call the North Bank Bridge Linking the North Point park through an incredible weaving process getting over the Millis River and the

commuter rail line. And under the artery to get over to Paul Revere Park, which is one of the -- these new parks in the new Charles River Basin. So this is like an historic moment once you're actually able to make that connection and get all the way over to the harbor.

And that contract has been awarded.

The contractor is about to mobilize and I
think it's going to take a year, year and a
half to build. So that's very exciting to
see that happening.

And these are a couple of images from Carol Johnson who is a landscape architect on that park. We're standing now in the upper image along the new North Point Park in Cambridge and we're looking over to where the duck boats go in and out. And there you see a little bit there, the slimy soiled bridge and it goes up and down. And it's a clever design that allows the bridge to

1 circumnavigate, that's very messy series of 2 barriers in there. This is a view closer up 3 and you get the view of the Zakim Bridge and 4 it's going to be very exciting to get up that 5 bridge and out to the harbor. 6 H. THEODORE COHEN: Are those real 7 photos or photo sims? 8 ROGER BOOTH: Those are renderings 9 from the landscape architect. 10 H. THEODORE COHEN: Renderings of 11 what will be? 12 ROGER BOOTH: Yes. That's where the 13 bridge is in Cambridge. 14 And as I mentioned, the skate park is 15 And the status of that as I understand here. 16 it now, and we have an (inaudible) here the 17 Charles River Conservancy that raised the 18 money that was originally used to build the 19 park, a couple million dollars can be used to 20 maintain the park. There are still 21 discussions going on between the state and

the Conservancy how the state will build it and how it gets maintained. It's very close, and we're very excited about that.

This dotted line goes around the so-called remnant parcel which is literally the remnant of the takings that were done to be able to build the ramp through the central artery and the park. And we've always said we thought that would be an interesting site for affordable housing. The state has yet to go through the necessary land disposition process, and we are keeping in touch with them as to when that's likely to happen.

One of the components of the plan that you and (inaudible) and I have been fighting for for years is to have a little bridge that was recently in the plan to connect the Museum of Science across this little inlet right into the park. And it's complicated all the various status questions about commitments, but we still maintain that was a

commitment because we have a piece of paper that says it was. It's part of settling the lawsuit on these ramps. It's about a million dollars, and there's hope that will also get built depending how funds will pan out. There's stimulus funds that are involved and the state has about \$30 million on the table. So we're still keeping an eye on that.

This is an idea for a bridge along the face of the Museum of Science that would take you into the Lechmere Canal and, again, would bring millions of people from the Cambridgeside Galleria and the museum and vice versa.

The blue asterisk is here because we were thinking that's something where some active water use such as canoes. And these red arrows are just talking about the various ways that we'd like to be able knit the riverfront to North Point and then all of those areas to the river. So, I see Dennis

Carlone is here, too. And we all spent a lot of time in the '80s make the river canal a reality that used to be a forgotten remnant of water. And so I think it's important to think about some of these great steps that we as a community have made in the history to think about is it really possible to do some of the things we're talking about now to address some of the gaps and our ability to enjoy the river.

There's a wonderful little building here that's about to fall in. And I know Karl Haglin (phonetic) and people at DCR have been very anxious to shore it up for years and years. I think it's going to get shored up. I think it needs a million dollars more or so to turn it into something. It's always been a water-related use, and an ideal thing would be some sort of water-related function that would have boats going out into the river. But that one's still a little up in

the air. We want to make sure the building stays there because it's such an important historic icon.

This green asterisk here is on a part of the system of open space that we created in the '80s to go into Lechmere Canal and shown here. It's a nice green space but not much is happening there. And as our little group was wandering around out there, we think this could be maybe one of those places that Stuart is talking about where something more can draw you there. Perhaps a boat concession or some kind of food concession or something to make it a little more active. There are a couple of benches, but it's ready to have something more happen to it I think.

And similarly the Cambridge Parkway was I think a great triumph in the '80s where this used to be an eight-lane -- basically an expressway. We got it narrowed down to 20 feet of asphalt, and the green space here is

all carved out of what used to be highway space. And it goes along the side of the front park here. And those were both great steps forward in the '80s, but they haven't really been touched much since then. So again we're thinking that again that front park could probably stand some sprucing up. And we want to think about this very special piece of land right on the water as maybe being more actively used.

These two green asterisks you know very well because those are the parks that we're looking at in the Alexandria project. And we just put those in there because all of the ways we can get people down to the water are important.

This is just such a messy piece of highway design done in I guess the '50s or '60s. And we literally couldn't find each other as we tried to maneuver our way and find some way into the water down here. I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

sure you've all seen it probably in a car. That's probably one of those things that will take a long time to try to fix. But look at what happened with Broad Canal. Thi s magnificent walkway that just opened is really the culmination of what we started very back -- where the Planning Board required this building to put in the walkway on this side. We knew this was going to We just didn't know it was going to be come. 25 years later. So now we've got a great circuit around here tying into the new boat launch here, and the open space system at Cambridge Research Park. So that's a good step forward.

And this little plaza now is in front of the Badger Building. I don't know if everybody has noticed how much better that building has looked since they got rid of the apron on it and created a little park plaza space here. And if the Board remembers, the

Special Permit for the Sloan School included a beautiful walkway along here with a nice green space there. You were able to circulate through the Sloan School out to the water. So that's a major step forward. As is, of course, increasing the green space along the river. And there's a plan that DCR has taken forward to bring in more benches and livening that whole space.

A little bit far afield is the notion of the Grand Junction Connector that we've looked at in various projects which helps get people from Kendall Square down towards the BU Connector.

MIT talked in the Town Gown recently about a new connection across the tracks, getting the campus connected in that way that would then allow people to get down to the water as well.

Here's the rest of the grand junction going down to the BU Circle. This is the

alignment as it now looks today. And there's room to get ways for pedestrians and bicycles in there along with the pedestrians, but that's probably another ten year plan.

This is Fort Washington. And it was in the early '80s that we got that little walkway across from Fort Washington over really from a MIT parking lot. Again, they wanted people from Cambridgeport to get over there. This is along the edge along the railroad tracks.

So now I'm going to turn it back over to Iram to continue on down the river.

IRAM FAROOQ: Thanks, Roger.

All right. So off to the MIT section. We reach Magazine Beach and the city has partnered with DCR, and the city pitched in a million dollars to upgrade the Magazine Beach area. That was Phase 1. That is just concluding. And it has upgraded the playing fields in this area. This is one of the

the way we look at it, because this is one of the few places in Cambridge where the river -- the frontage, the open space along the river widens enough to really accommodate a lot of activities. So, right now there are playing fields, there's the swimming, swimming pool, there's the tot lot. And actually there are some older structures, some beautiful historic structures in the area that once again like the DCR boathouse are in some need of TLC.

This icon you're seeing for the first time. This is our little image for where you can have a nice lookout area. There's actually an existing section at Magazine Beach that offers a fantastic view of Boston. It just isn't frequented very much because the pathways don't connect very well. And I think some of us on our path, for me that was the first time catching this view when we

were doing our walk.

To Phase 2 of Magazine Beach work will incorporate some upgrades to the pathways and the create a picnic area. So that will be Phase 2, but unfortunately not funded at this moment, but the plans for that are there. A key area, a key issue continues to be crossing of Memorial Drive in this section. There is the overpass, but it's really not the optimal way to get people back and forth. So it's not something we have to continue to think about, are there at grade opportunities.

There was -- well, I guess further along, there has been a recently established and grade crossing which has really helped, but, you know, there's sort of a desire line at this point to see something happen. The other great opportunity in this area is really one that would be leveraged through redevelopment, and that's the Microcenter

Trader Joe's parcel, which even in its current format it has some potential remaining. But it's also an area that really could benefit from some more active uses facing the river. It really -- you started to see a spark of that in the Starbucks that recently went in a year or two years ago which actually has outdoor seating facing the river. And that sort of uses all along would be fantastic. There is a road, a city road actually, and now I'm forgetting what is it called. Riverside Road?

Riverside Road. It's a city road that goes this L-shaped here that has been consumed into that parking lot. And we get to think of that in the future as a real road with sidewalks and, you know, pedestrian amenity as well as just a driveway for the parking lot. There's some desire lines from the neighborhood to get to more school through that site. As well as there's

activities there. There's the farmer's market on Saturday. That could help with the crossing from the neighborhood to Magazine Beach certainly connecting to the existing overpass.

So, well, the one other thing to point out is that if and when the Trader Joe's Microcenter site redevelops, it would have to conform to the urban design guidelines that go along with the Memorial Drive Overlay District.

So moving along, the purple asterisk on the right-hand bottom corner is a series of gas stations at Memorial Drive and River Street. And while they're not really going anywhere as far as we know, they certainly offer a redevelopment opportunity in the future. It would be nice to see something different there over time. The other really key thing that has already happened here is what you see in the center image, which is

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the new park at -- the new park here. And this was made possible through redevelopment of that parcel what used to be the pony's parcel. The negotiations, you guys were a big part of that rezoning process.

And, Beth, did you want to add anything to that?

No, keep going.

You

BETH RUBENSTEIN:

That has already made IRAM FAROOQ: a big change in this area. Another piece that's coming is Western Avenue. The city is currently working on a public process to redesign Western Avenue. DPW and our transportation staff have started a public process to look at pretty wholesale improvements. They're going to be strong water improvements, sidewalk work, bike facilities. And also thinking about accommodating trees and lighting. So that's something that's coming that would really

help the nature of those crossings.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

know, we have all these roads and most of them have sidewalks and not all of them are attractive sidewalks to walk on. They don't have street trees and some are narrow.

Through this Western Ave. process that will be one major connector that will significantly be improved.

And then as we, as we continue along, we get to kind of Harvard Square area. mean, this is probably the part of the river that the majority, the largest number of people see all the visitors to Cambridge. This is the section that they get to. here, you know, we started to look at sewer asphalts as possible areas for -- there's an image here -- of a different kind of experience of the river. Very few opportunities to really get close to the water in Cambridge. And we were thinking well, here's a little structure that's already built, it has a little rail. Why not

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

capitalize on that and start to think of about those as places where you can get close.

Another little opportunity that we saw in this area is that for creating a little plaza here where Devolve Street, Devolve, Cowperthwaite connect with Memorial Drive. It's sort of no man's land right now. And right across from this image is actually right here where the asterisk is. And right across there's also a larger open space actually, but nothing happens on it partially because there's a steam pipe running underground that makes it hard to plant trees We were thinking some and Learn to survive. sort of treatment like maybe a Quincy Square type treatment might be very appropriate Also, more so because it's right there. across from the Week's Footbridge which is one of the strong pedestrian connections. So it would really be a nice piece to have

something positive happen in that zone.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Before we move along I'll just sort of point out that this whole large amenity with JFK Park is another instance that was made possible through the development of the MBTA car parks at the Harvard Square station. This happened when the T was extended out beyond Harvard Square to Alewife. And, you know, this stretch as we thought of it, it kind of made us stretch the envelope a little bit and think about what are, what are -this is an area where a lot of people come. What are some fun things that could happen? I mean, are there places along the river where a lot of people go where you could have food courts for instance? Does it make sense here? Especially on a Sunday when the section is closed for Riverbend Park. Coul d there be a water taxi that connects this area to Boston, to Lechmere Canal? Could there be the notion of incorporating this is Les's

favorite some sort of public use in the boathouses? Like, wouldn't it be nice to have a cafe at the corner of Walled Boathouse that all of us can get to? So just to -- those were kind of the more sort of the less immediate things, but you know, we think they would be really positive in the spirit of this, what we end up with being more as an inspirational document, we're not going to be able to go out and necessarily make them happen, but it would sort of be fun to try.

And going along further away from
Harvard Square, these continue to be the sort
of outfalls that we are showing here. This
stretch is where there aren't a lot of
connections from the neighborhood to the
water for a good reason. That there's this
Riverbend Park right here. But it's
interesting because this is a great access up
Longfellow Park and all the way up to
Longfellow House. It's a great park to walk

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in and it would be great to cross over at There is -- where you see this that point. There's all ready a kind of hollow red arrow. connecti on. There's a crosswalk, but it's probably one of the, you have to be a brave sole to use that crosswalk or take your bike across that crosswalk because I've done that. And in any case, so, you know is it feasible to think about some sort of light at that crossing? We have not had -- we've had early conversations with the various other departments, we haven't talked in this level of detail, so we don't really know if Sue thinks this is a good idea. But certainly these are -- we're just throwing all the ideas out there to be explored as we go It's certainly some way to improve that crossing out there whatever the real mechanism might be is a good thing.

Here, at the other end of the Riverside

Park is a little DCR to the lot called the

Secular Play Lot. And, again, we thought there's an opportunity to create one of those spots that Stuart talked about where you might want to linger. So you have little kids playing. There's a little section that is sort of a more fuss and nothing happens there. It would be nice to have some picnic tables and umbrellas where parents can sit and have some social interaction while the kids are playing. You're not actually right by the water, but you're very visually connected at that point.

Here, again, as we get to the Greenough Boulevard connection with Memorial Drive, we hit another one of those sections like Roger pointed out before where it's just a massive highway and it's hard to know what to do.

It's not quite as bad because you don't have a lot of stuff overhead. It's all at grade.

But you can see in this central image, kids trying to cross this way to get to the water,

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and it's sort of a challenging task. have to go across two to three roads to I mean, the Mount Auburn accomplish that. expansion that was permitted by you acquired this connection here, the little pink dotted line, which is a pedestrian connection from Mount Auburn to, this is Greenough. And you it gets people across, but it's really hard to navigate once you get there. So, once again an area, you know, these giant blue blobs are areas that we need to give more thought to that we weren't able to figure out But we think it really needs a an answer to. closer look.

Here's a spot, though, we thought offered a great opportunity to get close to the water, but also it's right by the boathouse. And it's here, actually here on the extreme right is the image. The slope is not very steep at that point, it's a little wider. And just by being a little below the

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

highway, actually is a tremendous difference.

It cuts out the noise and you feel like
you're in a much more sylvan environment as
you think of Cambridge regularly. Just take
a look at that, it's from -- I don't know
Winslow Homer Painting or something.

We're marked the whole of Greenough Boul evard as a blue blob. Once again primarily because this is a section where I think just a lot of cut is needed. There's no curb, so the multiuse pot disintegrates into the road. It's hard to keep that But also in our look we felt that separate. perhaps Greenough Boulevard is a little over designed for the traffic it carries. It's a too -- it's too much traffic in either And it -- I mean, that's the di recti on. image on the left is what it was like when we were there. So suddenly it was felt that probably at rush hour there's more traffic, but it certainly doesn't feel like it

1 requires four lanes. And we think this might 2 be a kind of place that offers the 3 opportunity that was used at MIT where DCR 4 took away a lane of traffic and a parking 5 lane and really widened the open space 6 section by the river at that point. I mean, 7 this is the kind of area that might offer 8 that kind of opportunity. But again, it 9 requires a closer look and some more 10 transportation input in this section. So, we 11 consolidated all of these things that Roger 12 and I have been talking about. We actually 13 have a map of this. For some reason I'm not 14 able to access it. Roger is passing it 15 Some of these ideas that started to 16 merge, we put into one map and we'd like to 17 use that as the starting point of our 18 discussion with you. Anyway, we'll just turn 19 on the lights. Everybody has a copy of the 20 I'm going to try to make this work. 21 the meantime -- there we go.

So with that we really want to turn it over to you all and we'd like to hear from you if you have thoughts on kind of the -- any big picture thoughts, any specific little ideas that you may have encountered as you go along the river or as you try to get to the river where the hurdles are and we'll take notes. And I don't know, do you want to be the MC Stuart?

STUART DASH: I think just any questions, comments and suggestions is the --

HUGH RUSSELL: I'll take the

Chairman's prerogative to kick off with a

comment. Last spring I bought a bicycle for

the first time since 1983, so I've been

discovering the open spaces. And so one of

my trips was I followed the Charles River out

to 128. And there are almost continuous

bicycle paths all the way out to 128. There

are a few barriers in various places, but

it's striking the amount of work that's been

done mostly by the what now is DCR to create those pathways. Cambridge, on the Cambridge side of the river, it's very unfriendly for bicycles. And there are two reasons:

One reason is that the actual surfaces you're riding on are very uneven, rough and in poor state of repair. And that tends to be true on both sides of Memorial Drive.

And the other thing is there isn't a lot of horizontal distance in those places. So you're having to share either space six or eight-foot wide, maybe ten-feet wide with pedestrians, and that's not enough space to travel at reasonable bicycle speed. Now, the great, I mean the part that you mentioned was lousy Greenough Boulevard is actually one of the better places for bicycles. And of course North Point is a fabulous destination. And with the new bridge, which will be 12 feet wide and will have a five percent pitch, you'll be able to keep going. So to me

that's a -- it was a disappointment. It's also hard to get to the river on a bicycle from here. I live a block away, and how to get back and maneuver the one way streets.

That Western Avenue is going to be -have some extra facilities is a good notion
if you're trying to get to the river, but you
still have to get back. I mean, I come up
Pleasant Street and then go a long way for a
little bit, come across Bigelow Street
because, you know, or a wrong way for a block
on Inman and then tuck around this building
and go back. I mean, it's, it's not very
friendly at all. It's a great thing to do to
get there. So, my dream is to have that part
of the accessibility be worked on.

IRAM FAROOQ: Just one thing to add about your first point. The DCR is contemplating what they call the Phase 2 of the Memorial Drive project which essentially will upgrade the multiuse path. There's

that are very, very narrow. But they're actually thinking of separating the pedestrian and bike movements in the areas that allow sufficient width to do that. And I believe that now they have some stimulus funding to do at least part of that project if not all. So, some good changes coming along that.

STUART DASH: Down the BU Bridge down to Longfellow, that whole stretch will be done in multifaceted.

HUGH RUSSELL: There are a lot of pedestrians down there whenever I ride my bicycle down there. And the separated paths are used in, say, going down to Jamaica Pond. I can bicycle down to the Arboretum which is again almost a continuous strip, except you have to get to it somehow. But, along there there are several places where they use, where they separate out. Obviously they

built a new bicycle pathway and many pedestrians don't recognize that, and there aren't many bicycles that recognize that. On the southwest corner it's very heavily signed but it doesn't work well. It's just hard to do.

So Steve?

STEVEN WINTER: If you're finished?

HUGH RUSSELL: Go ahead.

want to say is that this body of work that you're doing is so impressive, it's wonderful. It's one of those things that makes me proud to live in Cambridge and be part of Cambridge that we have this sort of thoughtful planning that looks ahead 50 years really in this case to make these sort of changes. I'll tear the sheet off and hand it to one of you so please don't feel like you have to get all this stuff. The first thing I wanted to say, I think the planning

21

document can be really important. And I'm not talking about the thousand page document, I'm talking about whatever the small concise summary is annunciating our values to the state and federal partners, in a way that says very directly this is what we people in Cambridge want to have happen. It's not a municipal desire. It's not a public sector desi re. This is what the citizens are saying. This is our core value for how we want this to look, and I think that's a very important statement to the public sector. think that the pedestrian connections that you noted, the ones that exist and the ones that were opening up, that's my highest priority. Frankly of all this work, to me, that's the highest priority. And I also think that in the terms of advocacy, that we need to help the folks in Cambridge understand how we as citizens can guide state and federal investment in this infrastructure

1

2

that we're looking for. Again, so it's not just a municipality lobbying or advocating with federal funders. We need the citizens to be advocacy groups to say to our elected officials to get the earmarks that we know we can get to buy this infrastructure. And I'll tell the story, I don't know if it's horribly bad taste, about when I was younger, much, much younger, we used to take lawn chairs and sit in that pedestrian overpass bridge over there on Magazine Beach and wait until a truck got stuck, it happened quite often. We weren't disappointed. It was a good way to pass by a Sunday afternoon. The Week Footbridge, the grassy area, it does look desolate. I often wondered why things didn't And now I do. It doesn't look grow there. I wanted to mention, and I think I good. read somewhere once that the lawn at the Longfellow House went all the way down to the river. And, you know, maybe that's some

1 interesting way that we can connect to the 2 Longfellow House national parks and somehow 3 make a connection for footbridge or a 4 pedestrian traffic or something that 5 continues to see that as part of the 6 Longfellow property. I don't, it's just an 7 And I -- even though it's nice, Hugh, anal e. for the bicycles, I think the Greenough 8 9 Boulevard is like a vestige tail on humans. 10 It's some weird thing there. We don't need 11 it, we don't use it. It always seemed to be 12 a very outdated and odd piece of 13 infrastructure. I just don't think it's 14 sound in terms of traffic and the function 15 that it's supposed to do. And certainly we 16 could redesign it and do something else with 17 I think there would be a lot of support it. 18 for that. 19 I think the breaking up your map in

areas gives citizens an opportunity to say,
"Oh, I want to focus on that part. That

2021

21

I want to focus over here. " Instead of "It's huge" when you see the whole thing and it's all those different parts. But I think if you -- if we're selling it to people to say this is the part that you can be concerned This is the part that you all just worry here, this is the part you need to see. I don't think that's a bad sell. I know there's a terrific regional planning agency, METC I think is mapping bicycle and pedestrian trails regionally. And what they're showing is that they're trying to get municipalities to see this connectivity that's immediately outside of their municipal borders, and it's really surprising how they are right there. We just don't see them because we often get over the border.

And, Hugh, you're right. The paths go way, way out. They go out to Walden Pond. They go out to Lincoln. They go out to the

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

new interchange at Westwood Station on Route 128 has bicycle path connections into that big Milton open space. I mean, it's huge. There's a ton of connectivity out there and this is exciting that we can connect to it all.

And the last point that I have is that when we do these paths, I think that the way finding signs are critically important because we know where we're going and we know what it all looks like. Ifit's an out-of-towner or a day tripper or somebody like that, they really don't know where they are in the same way that we wouldn't put a roadway up without putting signs on it. don't think we can put a bike trail up without really putting the signage on it that it needs. And I just have to say again, this is very exciting. This is a terrific effort, I'm happy to see it start.

BETH RUBENSTEIN: Steve, can I ask a

Were

1 quick question about something you said 2 earlier? You said that for you the 3 pedestrian connections were paramount. 4 you thinking in particular to and from the 5 river, along the river, both? 6 Every one of them. STEVEN WINTER: 7 All of them. BETH RUBENSTEIN: 8 STEVEN WINTER: Under the built 9 infrastructure, along the river. And I think 10 particularly where we've got something very 11 pretty here and something very pretty here 12 and there's just something that needs to 13 connect it, to me, those are the really 14 important parts. That's the priority. 15 HUGH RUSSELL: I guess I'd also 16 like, you talked about city, you talked about 17 the state, but I think Renata has shown us 18 that if we gather together as citizens in 19 light leadership, that's an essential part to 20 get this research used. And perhaps after 21 the Board comments, I'd like to ask Renata if

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

she'd like to make some comments. Because I think it's working together we're -- we have to work together to achieve our goals.

Are there other Board comments?

H. THEODORE COHEN: Well, I was

obviously very impressed with the presentation, but it also got me thinking about how I use the river. And I bike around the river quite frequently, and it was interesting what Stuart was saying about the three classes and the fact that the final class is someplace where you stop and really rest and relax. And in thinking about it, I almost never stop on the Cambridge side. I'm always stopping on the Boston side. because I stop by the band shell, I stop by the Esplanade, you know, and I stop by going under the BU Bridge, turn around and Look back there. And actually, you know, obviously the views are better on the Cambridge side, you know, looking towards

21

Boston as much as I love looking towards Cambridge. But there aren't spots on the Cambridge side that really make you want to stop and linger, you know. Or along Land Boulevard is nice. You know, I like stopping by the Mass. Ave. Bridge, but they're not, as you said they're not wide enough. They're just not big enough to really relax. Memorial Drive is right there. And so I don't know what we possibly can do about that, but I think it's interesting. also think, you know, I don't walk, you know, the Memorial Drive that often, but when I do walk it, it tends to be when there are the festivals or certainly Sunday when they have the park, when you can feel that Memorial Drive is closed and it's become part of the river. And most especially on the 4th of July when I always watch the fireworks from the MIT side. And then there are just thousands of people there just partying. And

if there was some way, you know, that we could make these destinations, you know, weekly or for Sundays or just something that would expand the size of the area so that you could get, you know, the critical mass of people so that it does become a destination for everybody to go to and to join in and play together.

IRAM FAROOO: Well, you know, the section in East Cambridge is one of the sections that offers a great opportunity of that nature. So if you think about Roger mentioned Front Park. You know, right now you might not go there because you don't really think of it as being sort of a public area.

H. THEODORE COHEN: Right.

IRAM FAROOQ: But imagine if this were to have some sort of raised connection across the -- across Cambridge Parkway to the -- you said to be more open edge that you

21

think of as public. That would suddenly start to feel more like it's part of the public space. We've sort of dumped this museum point. If we ever have more activity here, you can think of this potentially as a place where you have, I don't know, another Riverbend Park. It closes on Sunday. maybe it's an area that has the taste of Cambridge. You know, our License Commission was expressing an interest right now. think they are -- things are structured in such a way that they can't do that. But that would be the sort of event that would be kind of a fun draw to an area that doesn't get a lot of traffic right now. I mean, this is sort of -- and it really connects to all of the work that has been -- that was done on the East Cambridge riverfront. And then once you have all these connections across 0' Brien Highway, then you can connect to that whole regional system that Roger just described way

in the future if you have this pathway along the museum, then once again it's another connection over to the Esplanade.

HUGH RUSSELL: Patricia.

PATRICIA SINGER: A couple of points. At the risk of stealing other's thunder, I'll keep them very short. Not an original idea, but I don't understand why we are stopping at the banks of the river and not talking about going into the river. This used to be a swimming river and has been cleaned up to the point that we can swim in it at least once a year. I'll have Renata talk to that some more.

Similarly an idea that was advanced by someone else, I think it would be wonderful if we could encourage the closure of Storrow Drive either before or after the closure of Memorial Drive so that we would have one side closed at one point on Sunday and the other side closed at other hours, but that we would

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

get a longer period of time where we could enjoy the river from both sides.

And finally at the risk of wearing the black hat, although this is my backyard and I do walk it and I do bike it, and I do look at the nature and I do get down there and pull weeds and do all kinds of things, this is the property that is owned by the state, and it is really for the enjoyment of all of the public of the state and also for people from other states and other countries. And so as we're thinking of access and usage, we really need to make sure that we're not selfish and we provide a way for all people using all recreation and modes of transportation including ADA compliant transportation to get down and enjoy the river.

HUGH RUSSELL: Ahmed.

AHMED NUR: Well, I guess Tricia brought the point that I wanted to make, and that is I can sit here all night pretty much

1

2

3

and talk about rivers. I was -- I learned how to swim in a river. You're all familiar with the Nile River full of crocodiles and what not. And when I saw the post card from Boston that says it has a river, I was really excited about it. And when -- my family and I have three children less than the age of 12, go to roam, we tend to go out of our way to go to the river. And so I appreciate everything that you can do to welcome the river to come through this city of ours, and to consider in a way that my family or the family of the residents of our community to go and enjoy the river. There's a lot of open spaces that people can go and have varieties of different sports and activities, but why the river? It's because it's a place where human nature generally we go to cool down, to enjoy the nature. You know, it comes all the way from the mountains as we And so I would not go on any further say.

than that. But I would like to see, for example, at the Magazine Beach, I'd like to see some sand as opposed to grass for volleyball or for children to play in. DCR is concerned about river pollution, maybe we can put a knee wall there. It's about 24 inches high just by the river so people can sit on it, at the same time look at the sports and the activities that they can play on Magazine Beach or any other place. go to the river, we go there to -- and it's actually a great idea to have a trolley. Maybe the city can provide a trolley, multiple colors, to pick people up in different neighborhoods and just say River Trolley on the weekend. I'd like to see some sort of gondola or little boat that would take people across that would serve possibly coffee or ice cream or something like that Nothing that's contributing to the simple. pollution, you know. A couple sticks would

do, stick to the mud and push your way through, or a rope across. That's how we did it. And so, you know, with that I would say thanks.

HUGH RUSSELL: Pam.

PAMELA WINTERS: I'm just so glad
that you did this study. I think it's great.
I just have a couple of questions about
trees. I noticed that you mentioned
something about tree wells and empty tree
wells. And that's something that we do have
control over, isn't it? On the -- no? Is it
on the City of Cambridge?

IRAM FAROOO: Well, we did notice empty tree wells on all -- on the city side, but predominantly on the riverside which is DCR land. And certainly, you know, from the city side we do try to stay on top of the empty tree walls, and the asterisks aggressively trying to tackle those.

PAMELA WINTERS: Good.

IRAM FAROOQ: The DCR ones are a little bit harder and may require more preparation.

PAMELA WINTERS: Okay. I was asking because trees are so important in terms of absorbing carbon dioxide and there's so much traffic that goes along Mem Drive and so forth. So I just, you know, I would love to see more trees, not only for the aesthetics but for the shade and for the carbon dioxide issues.

STUART DASH: There was, probably during these walks as well, that we started to notice that especially for shade as you're walking on the sidewalk, that there's some areas where there's some terrific trees that are not shading the sidewalk, they happen to be offset. They're not shading you from the sun. So just thinking very carefully when you're placing trees and where they're located, where you get the most bang for your

1 buck from them. 2 Ri ght. PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you. 3 HUGH RUSSELL: Any other comments at 4 this point? Would you like to hear Renata at 5 this point? 6 THOMAS ANNI NGER: That would be 7 good. 8 RENATA von TSCHARNER: Hello, I'm 9 Renata von Tscharner, R-e-n-a-t-a v-o-n 10 T-s-c-h-a-r-n-e-r and I'm the founder and 11 president of the Charles River Conservancy. 12 We'll be celebrating our tenth 13 anniversary this year. And the mission of 14 the Conservancy is to make the urban 15 parklands from the harbor to the Watertown 16 Dam more attractive, more active and more 17 accessible to all. And we just added up, we 18 probably raised close to \$8 million of which 19 60 is in cash, and the rest is in kind. 20 volunteers, over 15,000 have donated over a 21 million dollars of labor to landscape the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

parks, to prune the trees and to -- we planted 10,000 bulbs, narcissus and daffodils that are coming up any moment now.

So making those parklands attractive is our mission. And to see Cambridge take this effort to create that plan is wonderful. all know the master plan that the MDC did which is on our website, Thecharles.org, it's a wonderful document. It's important that the Cambridge does the work now because the DCR has lost roughly about 25 percent. They are suffering greatly. And it is the residents of Cambridge as well as the all the state residents and foreigners who come here, but it is wonderful that Cambridge takes it upon itself to do the planning. And I think you covered many of the important points. agree that we need to take the water into account.

The Governor has appointed a commission that will look at swimming in the Charles.

The commission will have its first session on the 24th of March and Marty Walls sits on that commission and Senator Petrucelli. So there will be some Cambridge representation as well looking at sites of swimming. And there are the water sports that are already on the river, but they're also new ones like paddle surfing that lends themselves very well for Cambridge.

Just a few other points that I didn't really hear in the presentation that I hope you will incorporate. And as the bridges across the Charles are being restored by Mass DOT as part abbreviated accelerated bridge program are the underpasses. Something that we very much advocate, that there are underpasses similar to the Eliot Bridge that already exists, the Eliot Bridge is further up the river. But all the other bridges should really also have underpasses. And if you have a chance to go to the BU Bridge,

they just opened up the BU Bridge and you can see of what an underpass at the BU Bridge would mean. So that is something we very much are pushing to see.

events like the 4th of July, the River
Festival, the Dragon Festival, the Charles
River Conservancy has started the Sunday
program. It's called the Sunday Parkland
Games between the Weeks Bridge and the
boathouse every Sunday afternoon when the
Memorial Drive is closed we bring games out
there and we have hundreds of families
gathering. And I don't see why that couldn't
be spread to other areas. It's a relatively
inexpensive way to bring families to the
river and have them enjoy it.

I think these are the main points, but
I'll be glad then to make more detailed
comments. But I'm so happy that Cambridge is
doing that and playing this very important

1 role in a time when DCR is really suffering 2 and small non-profits like the Conservancy 3 want to work with you, but obviously there 4 are limited resources for what we can do. 5 Thank you very much. 6 HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you. I see 7 Dennis there. Do you want to make any 8 comments? 9 DENNI S CARLONE: Sure. 10 HUGH RUSSELL: Introduce yourself 11 because not everybody on the Board has been 12 seeing you. 13 DENNIS CARLONE: Hi, I'm Dennis 14 Carlone, C-a-r-I-o-n-e, 16 Martin Street, 15 Cambri dge. 16 I also applaud the presentation. 17 when Roger first told me about it I was very 18 eager to come here tonight, and I share that 19 with you. I was very happy to hear comments 20 about considering a water taxi. It's just 21 such a logical thing to happen eventually.

places where the taxi would come. And also the food concession that was mentioned, there's some logical places where those two would work together. And, you know, even if

it's Harvard Land or MIT Land, well, those

And if we want to create places along the

waterfront, one of the best ways is having

students would come to those places to have a

cup of coffee on the water. So I really am

excited about what I've heard tonight.

Just two minor thoughts: One is that along Mount Auburn Cemetery, that really is a different place. You were saying Greenough is a funny place anyway, but it probably does change at Eliot Bridge to a different place than on the other side of Eliot.

And the other is -- and I'm sure you made reference to this, is the private side planting. The luscious places along the river are obviously at the institutions in most cases, and that's because there's

planting on both sides. And I know when you have review of new projects, you will promote that. But maybe even a policy of just the recommendations for private planting on the land side and just augment what's already there. I think it's very exciting and I enjoyed all the comments tonight.

Thank you for the opportunity.

HUGH RUSSELL: Does anyone else who would like to speak?

MARY HIGGINS: Hi. My name is Mary Higgins, H-i-g-g-i-n-s. I'm actually a resident of Somerville. And I'm a graduate student at Tufts University. And I'm taking a loan impact development course right now that's the primary reason why I'm here. But I'm also a rower at Riverside Boat Club which is the boat club on next to Magazine Beach. And I just want to make a few comments.

Firstly, that I'm very excited that this conversation is happening.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- -

16

17

18

19

20

21

Secondly, all of us in the rowing community and among the boathouses along the Charles River are often in very close contact with each other and we are eager and willing to participate in this discussion and definitely make improvements to make the river more accessible.

I go to the river almost twice a day, everyday, and I have to say it's a huge pain in the butt to get there even from this area. It's just difficult to get there. There's also strong sentiment among all of the rowers that I've known and talked to over the years that there isn't really any place along the river for us to enjoy the river. And we kind of joke that we get the best view of the city from the river. But after we come in from rowing there isn't anywhere for us to grab coffee or a beer or anything and take in the So I just want to say that I've really vi ew. enjoyed this and I'm more than willing to act

1	as liaison between the rowing community and
2	any of you.
3	Thank you.
4	HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.
5	AHMED NUR: Just one more. Sorry.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Please go ahead.
7	AHMED NUR: Just one thing that came
8	to mind is public bathrooms for families.
9	There's absolutely none along the river.
10	That's all.
11	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. Any more
12	di scussi on?
13	H. THEODORE COHEN: Can I just ask a
14	question if anyone knows, since the question
15	about bathrooms and a place to have a snack
16	by the Hatch Shell, is the Hatch Shell area
17	DCR?
18	BETH RUBENSTEIN: Yes. DCR.
19	H. THEODORE COHEN: So they do allow
20	a food concession there and there are rest
21	rooms in those areas? They're not opposed to
	•

it.

BETH RUBENSTEIN: That's all DCR.

PATRICIA SINGER: It's DCR.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.

of our other colleagues that there used to be a food concession at Magazine Beach, right? That eventually went away. I guess it may just have been that there wasn't enough -- there weren't enough people to support it. So it's hard to know what the mechanisms, I mean what the forces of play were which was one of the reasons why we were thinking how about food carts that are more numberable and are able to move around to capitalize on where people are.

HUGH RUSSELL: I just want to make one more comment. I'm sort of surprised to hear people describe one part of the river is bleak which is the frontage between the Weeks Bridge and the Marge Anderson Bridge. The

soil there is terrible. It's worn out. And so -- but there are often a lot of people out there often, you know, undergraduates lying out by the river on sunny days or not so sunny days. But one of the reason it looks so scruffy is that it's soil is really terrible. And I think in general that is probably because -- and I think it's because of the intensity of the use.

BETH RUBENSTEIN: Probably a better path system would help?

HUGH RUSSELL: Renata.

RENATA von TSCHARNER: Currently there is envisioning efforts going on along the Esplanade, and both staff and maybe members of the commission would like to attend -- there's a session on the 10th of March, and then there will be two more and there's a lot of parallels of what you're doing in Cambridge, what's happening along the Esplanade. And I think these ideas can

1	help each other because it also looks at how
2	to come across that Museum of Science. So
3	there are connecting points and I think that
4	these ideas can help both sides.
5	HUGH RUSSELL: Okay.
6	STUART DASH: Thanks very much.
7	HUGH RUSSELL: Thank you.
8	So I think the last item on our agenda
9	is the Board of Zoning Appeal cases. And I
10	believe there's a special treat in store for
11	us. A special zoning case that we didn't
12	know about.
13	(A di scussi on was
14	held off the record.)
15	HUGH RUSSELL: We're back.
16	LIZA PADEN: A while ago when the
17	Planning Board was looking at some antenna
18	installations at Lesley University in Porter
19	Square they were concerned about the pattern
20	of the installations that had happened over
21	time and the condition of the existing

1 antennas on the building and requested that 2 Mr. Braillard, who is representing the 3 current applicant at Clearwireless, come back 4 with a representative from Lesley University. 5 We have George Smith here who can talk about 6 the discussions that have happened about 7 future plans for installations and the 8 possibility of creating a more coherent and 9 less chaotic array or arrangement of antennas 10 that exist today. If you look at the 11 buildings in the photographs that Adam has, I 12 also have --13 ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: I'll pass 14 these out, too. 15 LIZA PADEN: You have more, too. 16 Roger and Les and I -- Roger? Were you at 17 the meeting? 18 ROGER BOOTH: Yes. 19 That's what I thought. LIZA PADEN: 20 Roger, Les and I met with both of these 21 gentlemen and talked about what would make

the most sense on this building for 1 2 installing the antennas. And the consensus 3 was that in the areas on the -- there's a 4 recessed area, which is the darker red color, 5 that if the antennas were installed there, 6 and come to find out from Lesley University 7 is that the different carriers have different 8 -- are located at different heights on that 9 So there really can be an opportunity 10 for symmetry on the building. It really 11 would make an improved situation for this 12 building, because take the antennas off of 13 the brown colored -- I guess whatever -- I 14 don't know what the detail name of this is. 15 Taking it off of here and putting them all in 16 this area would --17 PAMELA WINTERS: In the recessed 18 area? 19 LIZA PADEN: In the recessed area 20 would lessen the chaos. And there are some

installations that are in there now.

21

1	there was also some discussion on possibly
2	pulling those closer to the building. But
3	that's not Mr. Braillard's installation. So
4	whether that can be done over time and how it
5	can be done is ongoing discussion.
6	STEVEN WINTER: Liza, you mentioned
7	that the different company's infrastructure
8	is at different levels. Does it have to be
9	that way or is that just the way it's been
10	i nstal l ed?
11	LIZA PADEN: I think it has to be
12	that way for the science, right?
13	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: Yes,
14	there's a standard vertical separation
15	requirement for different carrier based it
16	depends on the different carrier's
17	frequencies. Typically it's a ten-foot
18	separation from tip to toe.
19	STEVEN WINTER: And it affects the
20	transmi ssi on?
21	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: Right. To

1 reduce any interference that there may be. 2 It varies a little bit based on which 3 carriers are stacking on top of the other 4 because every carrier has a different 5 frequency. If one carrier has a much 6 different frequency than the other carrier, 7 there could be an encroachment on that. The 8 standard is a ten-foot separation. 9 LIZA PADEN: And the other thing to 10 point out, there are antennas on the top, 11 right? Those are the ones that are Lesley 12 Uni versi ty. 13 GEORGE SMITH: Yes. 14 LIZA PADEN: There's an antenna 15 array on the top that's used by the 16 university for other campus locations amongst 17 themselves and that's part of this 18 regul ati on. That's accessory use to the 19 building and occupants themselves. 20 ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, 21 Liza, appreciate it. Just for the record,

Adam Braillard for the applicant

3

2

in front of the Board of Zoning Appeals for a

Clearwireless here on behalf of the proposal

4

Special Permit to install or to amend the

5

existing facility or install additional

6

antennas on the existing facility. And with

7

me is George Smith who is the director of

8

operations of Lesley University.

9

As Liza mentioned, we were here about a

10

month ago and described the proposal. And

11

simply it's an addition of a one-panel

12

antenna and one dish antenna per sector, and

13

there's three sectors. And the proposal is

14

to install all of the additional antennas

15

within the, I guess, we'll call it the

1617

reveals, the dark, the maroon section, the maroon areas of the tower. And to point to

18

those, the one color maroon, not the grout

19

but just the standard one maroon color as I

know this Board likes.

20

The other proposal is to paint or

21

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

repaint any of the existing antennas that belong to Sprint. Clearwireless is an affiliate of Sprint or Clearwire is an affiliate of Sprint. And so --

AHMED NUR: Can you use the microphone?

ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: And so the proposal would be because they're one in the same, essentially that Clearwire would, as part of its application, propose to repaint the existing panel antennas that belong to Sprint, Sprint-Nextel. And in fact the only antennas are Sprint owned and those are two antennas per sector. Currently those antennas look like they were painted a while ago and they may have back then been painted with some grout lines to try to match exact. And this Board, and also the Board of Zoning Appeals has moved away from that. think that would improve the aesthetics of that Location.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. I have a radical question. If our goal was to get all of the antennas ultimately moved off of the beige brick into the maroon, are there enough spaces to accomplish that or is their installation going to make that impossible?

ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: Well, we're not -- the other proposal is not to take up any additional vertical sections on the tower. The proposal is to install where we already have antennas and where, I think it's AT&T, already have antennas on the -- on a vertical plane. So there is space below, but I do believe that Metro PCS has installed some antennas there. There may be even space even a little bit below that. But at some point there's not going to be space.

HUGH RUSSELL: I mean, there are about 36 possible slots. There are three elevations, there are three slots and there are four sides. And if you do the math, that

21

And there are a lot less right comes out 36. now than 36 antennas. But there seem to be something like six or more that are on the above at this time. Some of them are on the corners which no doubt are there per sound engineering reasons and under long term recess and all the rest. But I'm sort of dreaming here saying sort of what -- if that's -- I mean, to go and putting all the antennas in the recesses is the best we can hope for, this is a tall building in an area that doesn't have a lot of tall buildings. It has a lot of people with cell phones. so it's a very important site for this. And I think so we might as well say okay, we're going to have 36 antennas sooner or later, but make sure we have the ability that some of the guys around the buff come back and change their equipment and say uh-uh, we want you to move what you've engineered, these spaces, and I want to make sure that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

spaces are not -- are still there for them.

I gather what you're saying is if of those 36 sectors you're not taking any use of the sectors or did I misunderstand you?

ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: I would say that typical wireless carrier has anywhere from three to twelve or plus antennas per its site. Those are typically always divided into three sectors of however many there are per sector. So usually you're going to have either three antennas for a carrier or possibly up to 12 or 15 antennas per carrier, depending on the technology and what that carrier is actually overlaying on their existing installation. Or, you know, similar to what Clearwire is doing. So if you have carriers that are using six or nine or twelve antennas, then you're starting to reduce the number of carriers that can actually fit up on the tower.

What I'm saying is that the -- there's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

two things here: There's horizontal separation, there's vertical separation and there's also horizontal separation.

For horizontal separation, in order to make that happen, you would need to have some of these panel antennas out onto the brown, If you're going to stay away from grey area. that area, then you're going to have to completely rely on vertical separation. What we're saying, we're not going to change any of the locations of where there's already antennas that other carriers would be able to go because of the horizontal separation I'm not sure of the distance of concerns. the horizontal separations. It's definitely greater than ten feet. And, again, that's just more so driven by which carrier you but up against. We're not going to take up any additional vertical spots I guess is what I'm trying to say. If that makes any sense.

PAMELA WINTERS: So how many

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

carriers does Lesley plan to have in this space eventually or ultimately? Or how many are there now and how many do you plan to have?

GEORGE SMITH: Just for the record,
I'm George Smith with Lesley University
director of operations and campus planning.

At this point in time we don't -- there are three current carriers on the tower. There's AT&T at the top. Sprint in the middle. And Metro PCS at the bottom. AT&T's been there for better than ten years. Sprint's been there for better than ten years. And Metro PCS was approved about two years ago or the early part of 2008, so it's been up there for two years. Given the space that's on the tower and the, you know, the plans for Lesley out into the future here right now, we really don't have any additional plans to put any additional carriers on the tower. With the amount of

1	space that's left on the tower, I'm not
2	certain you can do that anyway. It's just
3	that our focus is elsewhere right at the
4	moment and that's not, that's not it. So, at
5	this point in time, I mean, it's the three
6	they're on the tower right now that we have
7	leases with for a period of time in the
8	future. Those are the ones that we're going
9	to be continuing with.
10	PAMELA WINTERS: And I'm just
11	curious, what is your antenna used for, the
12	one that's sticking up there?
13	GEORGE SMITH: Our antenna allows us
14	to communicate between our three campuses,
15	the three campuses.
16	PAMELA WINTERS: Oh, okay.
17	GEORGE SMITH: It's our e-mail,
18	internal communication, including telephone
19	system and that sort of thing between the
20	three campuses.
21	PAMELA WINTERS: Just curi ous.

1 Thank you. 2 H. THEODORE COHEN: Hugh, can I 3 follow up on that? 4 HUGH RUSSELL: Sure. 5 H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm sorry. Does 6 Lesley have any right to relocate the 7 antennas? 8 GEORGE SMITH: I think I'd have to 9 go back and look at each one of the 10 individual leases that we have. In the 11 conversations that I've had with Liza and 12 with Les when we met two or three weeks ago 13 here, the conversation came back at that time 14 antennas that are on the building and what do 15 we do to improve their appearance -- improve 16 the appearance of the ones we currently have. 17 So my intentions are to go back to AT&T and 18 Metro PCS and review the locations of those 19 antennas, and especially the ones that are up 20 on the corners which belong to AT&T, and that 21 the mounting systems that they currently have

for those antennas that are on the corners, we think can be improved. Now whether we can talk AT&T to actually putting new mounting systems on them so they can be located closer to the facade of the building, I guess that remains to be seen. So I, in answer to your question, no, I'd have to go back and read the leases and see what it says in there about our ability to go back and do that.

H. THEODORE COHEN: I just need to get it off my chest, and I know it's your two guy's jobs and I'm sorry to unload on you, but I personally think this is the ugliest array of antennas in the entire city. I've thought that since they've been up there.

And it just keeps getting worse and worse. I personally think putting them in the red recess will not improve things. It may make it even worse, because I think the play of recess and non-recess in light and dark is very attractive. I personally would be

interested if Lesley could rethink the entire concept of the antennas, and I would be interested in seeing the possibility of just a monopole somewhere on Lesley's campus that maybe has 10 or 15 antennas on it and it just says this is a monopole with antennas on it versus, you know, defacing a building. Now, I'm not sure my colleagues think the same thing, but I just -- I'm appalled every time I drive by this building which is four or five times a day, and I mean it just -- it was a lovely building and I think it's just been defaced.

HUGH RUSSELL: Well, I'm going to follow along on that because I just asked myself looking at View 1 where you can see the corner antennas, if I could get those off there, would I be willing to see them actually beyond the top of the roof maybe setback five or six feet from the corner? The top is sort of already a little unruly

with, you know, lightening antennas and some other gear up there. I mean, that might be a trade off that might still work technically for those guys. Now again, I make the same disclaimer that Ted made. My colleagues may not agree with that. But it's one that I keep in my mind.

THOMAS ANNINGER: I missed the heart of your idea.

HUGH RUSSELL: Take View 1.

(Si de Di scussi on).

HUGH RUSSELL: Maybe this is a case where our usual rule of not breaking the sky needs to be set aside. And the idea of a monopole makes sense until you find anybody who is going to live near it because it's going to go up in smoke. There is, in one of these pictures, a monopole that Lesley recently purchased which is the steeple of the church. And there are actual installations in steeples where you take the

1 skin of the steeples and replace it with 2 something that's electronically transparent 3 but visually identical. I mean --PAMELA WINTERS: 4 That's a great 5 i dea. 6 HUGH RUSSELL: This is not a 7 short-term thing because you're not going to 8 want to install it and lift the building up 9 and renovate it and do all the rest. 10 there are, I think Susan knows about some 11 stealth church antennas in Newton. 12 And, Hugh, we PATRICIA SINGER: 13 required that when I sat on the Lewisburg 14 Conservation in New York, we had all our 15 antennas in our town in steeples. We had a 16 plethora of churches and we didn't have one 17 antenna that you could see anywhere in town. 18 HUGH RUSSELL: So it appears to me 19 of the 36 possible dark antenna slots you're 20 going to use six more of them than you 21 presently use. Am I right, seeing where your

red arrows point?

correct.

2

ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: That is

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

You know, I think THOMAS ANNI NGER: Hugh is on to something interesting. I can imagine a creative architect coming up with a design of steeples of things, pointing with some symmetry at every corner that might almost embellish this tower rather than what we've got there now. He may be absolutely right, that we need to turn our usual concept on its head because what we have right now is unsatisfactory. It's certainly on the brick And I agree with Ted, I don't look si de. forward to taking the recess area which is full of shadows and breaking light and so on. I think it would take a clever design and it would take some symmetry, but I think there's something to the thought that maybe what we ought to have is something sticking in the area there that actually might look good.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

. .

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is a somewhat, I don't know, truncated tower, it isn't a tower like a sore, this is cut off and that has rural use to it. But some soaring symmetrical points might just improve on it. I think it's worth a try because the path you're going down now almost seems like a dead end.

HUGH RUSSELL: Pam.

PAMELA WINTERS: I'm not sure I like From an aesthetic point of that idea, Tom. view I'm not sure it will fit in with the architecture of the building and I'm not sure how the Historical Commission would react to But I do like Hugh's idea about doing it. something with the church. And perhaps we could temporarily grant permission for the antenna to go into the recesses at this point, but then have Lesley move them to the church once the church gets established, and wherever it's going to land and do something with the steeple in the church to that the

1	antennas are hidden permanently. Anyway
2	that's my thought. I do like your idea about
3	the church.
4	PATRICIA SINGER: However, the
5	practical matter sits before us that this is
6	a functioning company and a functioning world
7	that needs to go on functioning.
8	PAMELA WINTERS: Right.
9	PATRICIA SINGER: So what do we do
10	today?
11	PAMELA WINTERS: Well, my thought
12	was that we grant them
13	HUGH RUSSELL: Unfortunately we
14	don't grant them. We recommend.
15	PAMELA WINTERS: We recommend.
16	That's okay. Well, I wouldn't mind saying
17	that going in the recess personally I
18	wouldn't mind it going to the recesses
19	temporarily if Lesley would pursue, you know,
20	the thought of doing something with the
21	church steeple as Hugh suggested. And that's

1	just
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: Is this the fourth
3	carrier, is that what we're talking about?
4	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: It's an
5	overlay of Sprint. And that's a good point.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: We have this
7	technological problem because now they're
8	offering multiple kinds of services and so
9	that each carrier that used to have one kind
10	of service is now providing multiple kinds
11	and varying antennas.
12	THOMAS ANNINGER: So this is Sprint?
13	HUGH RUSSELL: This is the tip of
14	i ceberg.
15	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: This would
16	have to go in the location where the existing
17	Sprint antennas are and we need the overlay
18	for that.
19	PATRICIA SINGER: Alternately if we
20	live long enough, maybe we'll see it all go
21	because we'll be into a completely different

1	technol ogy.
2	PAMELA WINTERS: That's right.
3	THOMAS ANNINGER: You know the
4	trouble with granting temporary solutions is
5	that a temporary lasts often for a very long
6	time. It would probably out live us all. I
7	guess I'd like to understand a little bit
8	better whether there is a better idea. I'm
9	not convinced that the idea of going into the
10	sky is not the better idea. Or to put it
11	positively, I think it's something worth
12	pursui ng.
13	HUGH RUSSELL: All right.
14	AHMED NUR: Tom, you done?
15	THOMAS ANNINGER: Yes.
16	AHMED NUR: I just wanted to add, on
17	too, by saying how many antennas are exactly
18	after all this how many antennas are you
19	requesting to recommended to put in that
20	recess?
21	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: The

1	application for the Board of Zoning Appeals
2	and in front of you folks for recommendation
3	is for three additional panel antennas and
4	three additional backhall dish antennas.
5	AHMED NUR: And they would not be
6	projected above the roof level of that tower?
7	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: That's
8	correct.
9	AHMED NUR: Okay. Well, in that
10	case I think we've talked long enough. I'm
11	willing to go along with that as long as it
12	is within the recessed area and it is
13	matching the colors of that recessed area.
14	And it shows, as in this case of the photos
15	that you submitted, that I would recommend it
16	for it.
17	HUGH RUSSELL: Am I hearing that as
18	a motion, is that your intention?
19	AHMED NUR: Yes.
20	HUGH RUSSELL: Is there a second to
21	that motion that we make a recommendation?

1	PAMELA WINTERS: Second.
2	THOMAS ANNINGER: Can we have some
3	sort of straw sense on where we're coming out
4	because I'm a little confused?
5	STEVEN WINTER: I am too, Tom.
6	HUGH RUSSELL: I guess okay, I
7	think I would support that recommendation
8	that we really that what they're proposing
9	is not making things much worse, and it's a
10	necessary thing and we should be looking
11	forward to fixing the problem in the future
12	ifit's possible to do it. So that's my
13	comment.
14	PATRICIA SINGER: May I add to it?
15	For once I'm going to take your place, Steve,
16	I appreciate the extra efforts that Lesley
17	and Clearwire have made to come back to us
18	repeatedly to help us work through our
19	thi nki ng on thi s.
20	THOMAS ANNINGER: I agree. And I
21	think and I'm grateful that Lesley came

here tonight, and you seem to be taking this to heart and that alone gives me hope that it will get better because I think you care. So I'm prepared to go along with it, too.

PAMELA WINTERS: And, sir, do you think you might investigate the church steeple? Is that something you might investigate at least?

problem at all with, you know, doing an investigation, doing a study on what might be possible or, you know, and we can address some of the different thoughts that were presented here tonight, you know. And what the outcome of that is going to be, I don't know. I do know it's more than antennas because we have all the support equipment that's on the roof of the university hall right now that supports -- that's antennas up on the roof. So there's a, that's an issue that will have to be dealt with. I agree

with you, putting antennas inside church steeples makes a lot of sense. So getting them there and supporting them is, you know, another issue. But I would be glad to do a study on it to see what we can do.

PAMELA WINTERS: Thank you. Thanks.

HUGH RUSSELL: Okay. So we prepared
to take a vote or more di scussi on?

H. THEODORE COHEN: I just want to say I cannot go along with the recommendation. And I can't see putting anything more on that tower and I would prefer saying nothing, but the Board can vote as it chooses to vote.

HUGH RUSSELL: Do you want to take a vote? The thing about a recommendation is that it can express the full range of the discussion. It doesn't have to culminate or express the majority viewpoint. And, so therefore, I'm going to call for a vote on a recommendation. The primary consensus that

1	some of us have reached and the other points
2	of view being expressed.
3	So all those in favor?
4	(Show of hands Russell, Anninger,
5	Winter, Winters, Nur, Singer.)
6	HUGH RUSSELL: Opposed?
7	(Cohen.)
8	PAMELA WINTERS: And Ted's opinion
9	will get addressed at the meeting? Good.
10	ATTORNEY ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you
11	very much.
12	LIZA PADEN: So the rest of the
13	cases for March 11th, I wanted to find out if
14	anybody had any comments about them?
15	H. THEODORE COHEN: I'm just curious
16	what they want to do at 76 Fayerweather.
17	LIZA PADEN: That's the last one.
18	H. THEODORE COHEN: Just having been
19	involved with Fayerweather School, I'm just
20	curious about what they're doing.
21	LIZA PADEN: These are the

That

1 photographs of the existing locations. 2 also includes renovation of the porches. 3 They're not making excessively large windows, 4 just moving them around. 5 THOMAS ANNI NGER: What is this 6 strange appeal? 7 LIZA PADEN: Mr. Rafferty's case. He just left. This is an interpretation, a 8 9 discussion of interpretation that's been 10 going on with Inspectional Services about 11 additions and dormers and location of 12 windows. 13 LES BARBER: This is a section of 14 the non-conforming section of the Ordinance 15 that lays out five or six or seven instances 16 of changes to non-conforming buildings which 17 normally end of the Ordinance would require 18 either a Variance or a Special Permit which 19 are allowed as of right under this listing. 20 One of which is putting a dormer on our 21 second or third floor I think where that

dormer would be in violation of a setback requirement. And the other is a provision which says you can't put new windows in a wall that doesn't meet the setback requirement. And those two provisions have been interpreted to be in conflict so that you can put the dormer on the third floor within the setback but you can't put any windows in it because the -- another provision says you won't put any windows in a wall where the wall does not meet the setback requirement.

HUGH RUSSELL: As one of the persons who I think with Les drafted that provision some 25 years ago as a way of reducing the needless workload of the Zoning Board, we came up with a series of things that we always granted, and it was very clear that the dormers were going to have windows in them. But the window decision came after my tenure on the Zoning Board.

LES BARBER: The dormer provision predated the window provision which came subsequently. And no one thought to review the interaction of the two sections. The claim obviously is you put in a dormer in part to have more light into the attic, so it normally would have windows and, therefore, that was the intent of the Ordinance. And they're asking, I think, the BZA to interpret that as the meaning of the exception.

HUGH RUSSELL: All right. We'll have to send a --

THOMAS ANNINGER: Amicus brief.

HUGH RUSSELL: Yes. Saying one that is involved, but that's not perhaps for the Board to send out. But that is the case. I mean, to me most of the cases we look at here are cases that should never be heard. They should all be allowed by right to the point of being crazy. And it's the window. The window portion is basically the, you know, I

1	can't imagine there might be some at some
2	point changes to windows that would be so
3	severe that they should be reviewed. But you
4	know obviously. I guess we'll let them
5	struggle with that.
6	So I think we're adjourned.
7	(Whereupon, at 10:15 p.m., the
8	heari ng adjourned.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter, the undersi gned Notary Public, certi fy that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
8	this matter.
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 23rd day of March 2010.
12	my hand this 25rd day of wardh 2010.
13	
14	Cathonina I. Zalimaki
15	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public Cortified Shorthand Poportor
16	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter Li cense No. 147703
17	My Commission Expires: April 23, 2015
18	
19	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS LINESS LINDED THE
20	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE
21	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.