



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

PLANNING BOARD

CITY HALL ANNEX, 344 BROADWAY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

NOTICE OF DECISION

Case Number:	9, Amendment 3
Address:	6 Lilac Court (25 Webster Avenue and 199 Portland Street)
Zoning:	Residence C-1 District
Applicant:	Zi Wang and Yuliang Leon Sun 6 Lilac Court, Cambridge, MA 02141
Owner:	Zi Wang and Yuliang Leon Sun 6 Lilac Court, Cambridge, MA 02141
Application Date:	February 10, 2023
Date of Planning Board Public Hearing:	March 14, 2023; April 11, 2023; May 2, 2023
Date of Planning Board Decision:	May 2, 2023
Date of Filing Planning Board Decision:	May 25, 2023
Application:	Amendment to previously granted Special Permit Decision for a modification to the plans not enumerated in the original special permit to modify the existing townhouse to infill interior mezzanine space, add a dormer, remove chimney, renovate interiors, and modify exterior windows pursuant to Dimensional Standards for Townhouse Development (Section 11.15.b).
Decision:	GRANTED, with Conditions.

Appeals, if any, shall be made pursuant to Section 17 of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 40A, and shall be filed within twenty (20) days after filing of the above referenced decision with the City Clerk. Copies of the complete decision and final plans, if applicable, are on file with the Community Development Department and the City Clerk.

Authorized Representative of the Planning Board: Swaathi Joseph

For further information concerning this decision, please contact Swaathi Joseph at 617-349-4668, or sjoseph@cambridgema.gov.

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
2023 MAY 25 AM 10:26

DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED

Application Documents and Supporting Material

1. Special Permit Application dated 2/8/2023, containing the Special Permit Cover Sheet, Dimensional Form, Ownership Certificate, Project Narrative, and plan set prepared by Hammer Design & Development LLC, dated 2/8/2023.
2. Presentation slides shown to Planning Board on 5/2/2023.

City of Cambridge Documents

3. Memorandum to the Planning Board from Community Development Department staff, dated 3/2/2023.

APPLICATION SUMMARY

In the special permit decision (“Original Decision”) dated 10/3/1980, the Planning Board approved a proposal to construct a 24-unit townhouse development in the Wellington-Harrington neighborhood. The property is located across the street from One Kendall Square in the Residence C-1 District and abuts the Dante Alighieri Society Center to the south. The project was completed and the development parcel was subdivided pursuant to the Townhouse Development provisions of the Zoning Ordinance. The Board amended the special permit decision once on 4/17/2018 to modify one of the existing townhouses at 15 Lilac Court and then on 1/15/2020 to modify another existing townhouse at 21 Lilac Court. The proposed alteration to one of the units is intended to create additional interior living space by filling in a mezzanine area to create a bedroom, extend the existing dormer to 15 feet in length, and modify the windows on various sides, including a small non-conforming part of the rear side. The requested special permits are discussed in detail in the Findings below.

FINDINGS

After review of the Application Documents and other documents submitted to the Planning Board, testimony given at the public hearing, and review and consideration of the applicable requirements and criteria set forth in the Zoning Ordinance with regard to the relief being sought, the Planning Board makes the following Findings:

1. Special Permit for Townhouse Development (Section 11.15)

11.15 Dimensional Standards for Townhouse Development. The following development controls apply to the parcel of land upon which a townhouse development is constructed and are not applicable to the initial subdivision of the townhouse parcel into individual lots.

However, modifications to the townhouse development after a subdivision plan has been recorded in the Registry of Deeds shall be subject to the dimensional standards as set forth in this Section 11.15 applied to the individual lot lines of the subdivided lots; modifications that do not so conform may be permitted as set forth below:

b. For any townhouse development for which a special permit has been granted by the Planning Board, modifications specifically enumerated in the special permit. For those modifications not so enumerated, or where the special permit fails to specifically enumerate allowed modifications, after issuance of a new special permit (a Major Amendment to the original special permit) by the Planning Board to allow the proposed modification(s).

The proposed project seeks approval to extend the existing mezzanine slab in one of the townhouses to create additional living space. The original special permit approved the site plan and arrangement of townhouse units, and includes conditions pertaining to tree plantings and vehicular circulation on the site. The proposed modification remains within the allowable gross floor area (GFA) for the Residence C-1 zone and the GFA will increase from 1,368 square feet to 1,489 square feet with no increase in the existing building height. The proposed changes are modest in nature and do not affect the conditions of the special permit.

The Board finds that the standards set forth in Section 10.47.4 of this Zoning Ordinance are met as set forth below.

10.47.4 Criteria for approval of Townhouses and Multifamily Dwellings. In reviewing applications for townhouse developments and multifamily dwelling, the special permit granting authority shall consider and address the following site plan criteria as applicable:

(1) Key features of the natural landscape should be preserved to the maximum extent feasible. Tree removal should be minimized and other natural features of the site, such as slopes, should be maintained.

The modification proposes no changes to the landscape.

- (2) *New buildings should be related sensitively to the existing built environment. The location, orientation and massing of structures in the development should avoid overwhelming the existing buildings in the vicinity of the development. Visual and functional disruptions should be avoided.*

The exterior modifications are limited to new windows, skylights, extension of a dormer, and a sliding door in the existing facades.

- (3) *The location, arrangement, and landscaping of open space should provide some visual benefits to abutters and passersby as well as functional benefits to occupants of the development.*

The modification proposes no changes to the open space.

- (4) *Parking areas, internal roadways and access/egress points should be safe and convenient.*

The modification proposes no changes to the site.

- (5) *Parking area landscaping should minimize the intrusion of onsite parking so that it does not substantially detract from the use and enjoyment of either the proposed development or neighboring properties.*

The modification proposes no changes to parking.

- (6) *Service facilities such as trash collection apparatus and utility boxes should be located so that they are convenient for resident, yet unobtrusive.*

The modification proposes no changes to the existing service facilities.

2. General Criteria for Issuance of a Special Permit (Section 10.43)

The Planning Board finds that the project meets the General Criteria for Issuance of a Special Permit, as set forth below.

10.43 Criteria. Special permits will normally be granted where specific provisions of this Ordinance are met, except when particulars of the location or use, not generally true of the district or of the uses permitted in it, would cause granting of such permit to be to the detriment of the public interest because:

1. *It appears that requirements of this Ordinance cannot or will not be met, or ...*

Upon granting of the requested special permits, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance will be met.

2. *traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character, or ...*

The proposed modification is not anticipated to cause particular congestion or hazard.

3. *the continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance would be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, or ...*

The existing residential use complies with allowed uses in this district, and will not adversely affect adjacent uses that exist or are anticipated in the future.

4. *nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use or the citizens of the City, or ...*

The proposed modification will not create nuisance or hazard, and all development activity will be subject to applicable health and safety regulations.

5. *for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this Ordinance, and ...*

The neighborhood has a mix of residential and non-residential uses and the proposed modification would not impair the integrity of the district.

6. *the new use or building construction is inconsistent with the Urban Design Objectives set forth in Section 19.30.*

The Board finds no inconsistency with the citywide urban design objectives. The urban design objectives are generally supported in the proposal through consistency with the pattern of development in the area, minimal environmental impacts on abutters and minimal impact on City infrastructure.

DECISION

Based on a review of the Application Documents, testimony given at the public hearing, and the above Findings, the Planning Board hereby GRANTS the requested Special Permit Amendment subject to the following conditions and limitations. Hereinafter, for purposes of this Decision, the Permittee shall mean the Applicant for the requested Special Permits and any successor or successors in interest.

1. All use, building construction, and site plan development shall be in substantial conformance with the plan set prepared by Hammer Design & Development LLC, dated 2/8/2023 and included in the application materials. Appendix I summarizes the amended dimensional features of the project as approved.
2. The project shall be subject to continuing design review by the Community Development Department (“CDD”). Before issuance of each Building Permit for the project, CDD shall certify to the Superintendent of Buildings that the final plans submitted to secure the Building Permit are consistent with and meet all conditions of this Decision. As part of CDD’s administrative review of the project, and prior to any certification to the Superintendent of Buildings, CDD may present any design changes made subsequent to this Decision to the Planning Board for its review and comment.
3. Except as set forth above, all other Conditions set forth in the previously granted Special Permit Decision PB-9, attached to this Special Permit Decision, shall continue to apply.

Voting in the affirmative to approve the Special Permit Amendment were Planning Board Members Louis Bacci, Jr., Steven Cohen, H. Theodore Cohen, Mary Flynn, Tom Sieniewicz, Hugh Russell, and Associate Member Ashley Tan, appointed by the Chair to act on this case, constituting at least two thirds of the members of the Board, necessary to grant a special permit.

For the Planning Board,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Flynn". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Mary Flynn, Chair.

A copy of this decision PB-9 Amendment 3 shall be filed with the Office of the City Clerk. Appeals, if any, shall be made pursuant to Section 17, Chapter 40A, Massachusetts General Laws, and shall be filed within twenty (20) days after the date of such filing in the Office of the City Clerk.

ATTEST: A true and accurate copy of the above decision has been filed on May 25, 2023 with the Office of the City Clerk by Swaathi Joseph, duly authorized representative of the Planning Board. All plans referred to in the decision have been filed with the City Clerk on said date.

Twenty days have elapsed since the above decision was filed in the office of the City Clerk and:
_____ no appeal has been filed; or

_____ an appeal has been filed within such twenty days.

The person exercising rights under a duly appealed special permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone. This certification shall in no event terminate or shorten the tolling, during the pendency of any appeals, of the periods provided under the second paragraph of G.L. c. 40A, §6.

Date: _____, City Clerk

Appeal has been dismissed or denied.

Date: _____, City Clerk

Appendix I: Approved Dimensional Chart

	Existing	Allowed or Required	Proposed	Permitted
Lot Area (sq ft)	2,416	5,000	No Change	No Change
Lot Width (ft)	20.6s	50	No Change	No Change
Total GFA (sq ft)	1,368	1,812	1,489	1,489
Residential Base	1,368	1,812	1,489	Consistent with Application Documents and applicable zoning requirements
Non-Residential Base	0	n/a	0	
Inclusionary Bonus	n/a	n/a	0	
Total FAR	0.57	0.75	0.62	Consistent with Application Documents and applicable zoning requirements
Residential Base	0.57	0.75	0.62	
Non-Residential Base	0	n/a	0	
Inclusionary Bonus	0	n/a	0	
Total Dwelling Units	1	2	1	1
Base Units	n/a	n/a	n/a	Consistent with Application Documents and applicable zoning requirements
Inclusionary Bonus Units	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Base Lot Area / Unit (sq ft)	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Total Lot Area / Unit (sq ft)	2,416	1,500	2,416	
Height (ft)	29	35	No change	Consistent with Application Documents and applicable zoning requirements
Front Setbacks (ft)	38	10	No change	
Side Setback (ft)	0	n/a	No change	
Rear Setback (ft)	12.25/60.5	20/27.5	No change	
Open Space (% of Lot Area)	48%	30%	No change	Consistent with Application Documents and applicable zoning requirements
Private Open Space	42%	30%	No change	
Permeable Open Space	40%	15%	No change	
Off-Street Parking Spaces	1	0	1	1
Long-Term Bicycle Parking	n/a	n/a	n/a	Consistent with Application Documents and applicable zoning requirements
Short-Term Bicycle Parking	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Loading Bays	n/a	n/a	n/a	