## ARCHITECTURAL MODEL PHOTOGRAPHS



Gensler









1.16L



## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. PROJECT MODEL - VIEW FROM SOUTHWEST IQHQ

Gensler









# 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. PROJECT MODEL - VIEW FROM SOUTH

Gensler



## REVISED







1.16N









NEW







1.160



## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. PROJECT MODEL - VIEW FROM NORTHEAST IQHQ



## NEW









Gensler









1.16Q













NEW









Gensler



## NEW







## LANDSCAPE DESIGN



Gensler









1.16T



## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. OVERALL LANDSCAPE PLAN















### SEATING PLATFORMS



**CAFE SEATING** 



## **CENTRAL PLAZA**

HEART OF THE CAMPUS WITH A VARIETY OF SEATING AND SPACES TO ENJOY, AND TEMPORARY PERFORMANCE ARÉA









## **BENCH SEATING** AMPHITHEATER STYLE FOR TEMP PERFORMANCE



## REVISED

10 ft









**ENTRANCE PAVILIONS** 



**FOOD TRUCKS** 



LAWN SEATING



36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. WEST PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR













**BENCH SEATING** 







**EAST PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR** VARIETY OF SEATING AND A QUIET, GARDEN-LIKE SETTING

36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.







### **BUILT-IN SEATING**





## REVISED

10 ft







### **PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CONNECTIONS:**

NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 17.33.11, NO BUILDING PERMIT SHALL BE ISSUED...UNTIL A PEDESTRIAN AND BIKE CONNECTION HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED ... WITHIN THE DISTRICT BETWEEN THE LINEAR PARK AND WHITTEMORE AVENUE IN THE VICINITY OF MADISON AVENUE, TO A STANDARD COMPARABLE TO THE IMPROVEMENTS IN THE LINEAR PARK AND THE MINUTEMAN BIKEWAY.

**BOLLARDS** (NO VEHICLE ACCESS)

**MULTI-MODAL PATH 14'-0" WIDE** 

SHARED SERVICE DRIVE (SPECIALTY · ROAD MARKINGS WITH SHARROW)



**BLUE BIKE STATION (33 BIKES) BACK-TO-BACK CONFIGURATION** 

MULTI-MODAL PATH, 14'-0" WIDTH

**EXISTING LINEAR PATH** 















**SECONDARY ENTRANCE** ELEVATED WOOD DECK ENTRANCES TO REACH RESILIENCE HEIGHT OF +24'-0"

**36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.** BUILDING 5 SECONDARY ENTRANCE



















**AERIAL VIEW OF ENTRANCE** 



**36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.** WHITTEMORE SETBACK AND BUILDING 3 ENTRANCE



### ENTRANCE CANOPY

### **BUILDING ENTRANCE**

### WOOD ENTRANCE DECK

### WHITTEMORE SIDEWALK WITH STREET TREES









NATIVE WILDFLOWER MEADOW



**OVERLOOK** 

MATERIAL AND DETAILING CONSISTENT WITH THE ALEWIFE RESERVATION. TO INCLUDE INTERPRETIVE SIGNAGE

MULTI-MODAL PATH, 14'-0" WIDTH



## NATURAL HABITAT FENCING

FENCING TO DISCOURAGE DISTURBANCE OF THE FOUR ACRE HABITAT, WHILE PROVIDING OPEN VIEWING AND ENJOYMENT



# 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.





**NEW NATIVE HABITAT TREES** 

> NATIVE WILDFLOWER **MEADOW WITH POLLINATOR & BUTTERFLY SPECIES**

### **EXISTING FENCE ALONG EAST SIDE OF** FOUR ACRE HABITAT TO REMAIN









# 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. MULTI-MODAL PATH

















GLEDITSIA TRIACANTHOS F. **INERMIS 'DRAVES'** 



NYSSA SYLVATICA 'HAYMANRED'



CARPINUS CAROLINIANA



CORNUS FOLORIDA F. RUBRA



BETULA NIGRA 'HERITAGE'



PRUNUS VIRGINIANA



QUERCUS BICOLOR

QUERCUS PALUSTRIS







PRUNUS SERRULATA 'KANZAN'











AMELANCHIER X GRANDIFLORA 'AUTUMN BRILLIANCE'



ACER X FREEMANII 'AUTUMN BLAZE'

BETULA NIGRA 'HERITAGE'



JUNIPERUS VIRGINIANA



POPULUS DELTOIDES



QUERCUS ALBA

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. PROPOSED OPEN SPACE TREES













SITE	RECONNECTS COMMUNITITES AND LOCAL
	<ul><li>PUBLIC PLAZA WITH RETAIL CAFE</li><li>PEDESTRIAN CORRIDORS</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>NEW BIKE CONNECTIONS</li> <li>MULTI-MODAL PATH TO MBTA</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>BLUE BIKE STATIONS</li> <li>REDUCTION IN STORMWATER RUNOFF</li> <li>STORMWATER TREATMENT</li> </ul>
CENTRAL PLAZA	<ul> <li>RETAIL CAFE AND OUTDOOR SEATING</li> <li>SHADE AND ORNAMENTAL TREES</li> <li>EVENT AND PERFORMANCE SPACE</li> <li>LAWN SEATING</li> </ul>
	FOOD TRUCKS
PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR	<ul> <li>CONNECTIONS TO ALEWIFE LINEAR PARK A</li> <li>LANDSCAPE FURNISHINGS</li> <li>NATIVE AND ADAPTED VEGETATION</li> <li>PERMEABLE PAVERS</li> </ul>

### FOUR ACRE NATURAL HABITAT

- NATURALIZED HABITAT AREA DEVELOPED DUE TO LIMITED USE AND FENCING
- NATIVE PLANTINGS INCLUDING POLLINATOR AND BUTTERFLY SPECIES
- VIEWING PLATFORMS WITH BENCHES AND EDUCATIONAL SIGNAGE







## AMENITIES

ND WHITTEMORE COMMUNITY









PRECAST PERMEABLE PAVERS



## **IPE DECKING**



**PEDESTRIAN CONCRETE** 



**RAISED CROSSWALK** 



## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LANDSCAPE MATERIALS PLAN













**BENCH SEATING WITH AMPHITHEATER** ARRANGEMENT FOR TEMP. PERFORMANCES



**SEATING PLATFORMS** 



**CAFE FURNITURE** 



# 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LANDSCAPE FURNITURE PLAN













**BLUE BIKE STATION** 



**BENCHES** 





**PICNIC FURNISHINGS** 

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LANDSCAPE FURNITURE PLAN













### **ROADWAY LIGHT FIXTURE**



**PEDESTRIAN LIGHT FIXTURE** 



# **CATENARY LIGHT FIXTURE**



## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LANDSCAPE LIGHTING PLAN





## LIGHT FIXTURES ARE CUT-OFF TYPE FIXTURES TO MINIMIZE LIGHT **SPILLING INTO FOUR ACRE HABITAT**

## REVISED





0 30 ft 60 ft 120 ft





### NATURAL HABITAT NEW WOOD FENCE



SECTION

PLAN



**COATED CHAIN-LINK FENCE** 















## COMMITMENT AREAS

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.

Gensler









1.21C



# 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. COMMITTMENT AREAS, DCR MULTI-USE PATH, MBTA & JERRY'S POND











**JERRY'S POND - BIRD'S EYE VIEW** 

















**JERRY'S DECK** 



**ECO CENTER - OFF HOURS** 

**ECO CENTER - EVENT** 



**ECO CENTER - CLASSROOM** 

















**ENTRY GATEWAY** 



**BOARDWALK PATH TOWARD MBTA HEADHOUSE** 

**COMMUNAL GARDEN** 



BOARDWALK AT RINDGE AVE.

# 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. JERRY'S POND - RENDERINGS













JERRY'S DECK OVERLOOK

COMMUNITY OUTDOOR OVERLOOK













1.22E







**Repaint West, South** and East Facades of the Headhouse

**New Plantings and Exterior Lighting** 

**Replace Entry Doors** 

**Replace Plaza Paving** 

Mural at North Elevation

Widen path to create multi-modal path

Area for Food Trucks

RENDERING VIEW





1





**MBTA PLAZA & HEADHOUSE PLAN** 



**OVERLOOK AT FOUR ACRE HABITAT** 





New Bike Racks



## **Existing Blue Bike Station to Remain**

 Building 5 Beyond
 Russell Field
Harvey Street
Neighborhood Beyond
Overlook with
Interpretive Signage
 New Multi-Modal Path





## SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION AND SUBDIVISION



Gensler







## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION














Gensler

IQHQ





## **SUSTAINABILITY**

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.

Gensler









### **Primary Goals and Strategies of the Project**



IQHQ

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.



### Water



ALDRICH ASSOCIATES

Improved

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

New buildings 3-5 will include extensive Green & BioSolar roof areas

All new construction buildings are **fully compliant** with City of Cambridge Green Roof Ordinance

**BIOSOLAR ROOF SYSTEM** renewable energy **INSIGHT** 

> Biosolar roofs systems combine green roofs and solar panels resulting in improved panel efficiency

### **PV PARKING CANOPY**

energy **INSIGHT** 

Parking canopies shade surface lots to help reduce urban heat island & generate renewable energy from solar panels

**GREEN ROOF +** *PURPLE ROOF* **SYSTEM** Absorb stormwater, reduce runoff & create habitat **INSIGHT** 

Purple roof concept increases retention and detention of stormwater, **reducing peak** flows and improving plant health

### 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. DYNAMIC BUILDING ROOFS

![](_page_39_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_14.jpeg)

## Reduce urban heat island & produce

![](_page_39_Picture_16.jpeg)

Reduce heat island and generate renewable

![](_page_39_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_25.jpeg)

Preparing for a Changing World

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

IQHQ

To support tree nursery in cooperation with Green Cambridge.

36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE

Existing surface lots to receive light-color pavement + EVCS at garage and east surface lot

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

Future: Evaluating infrastructure to support 100% EV ready for garage

### **INCREASED STRUCTURAL CAPACITY**

![](_page_40_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_14.jpeg)

### **PROPOSED DESIGN**

The project is a 146,317 sf core and shell building, intended for a combination of laboratories and offices, which is located on a campus of five buildings in Cambridge, Massachusetts. The structural system consists of steel beams and columns, with composite concrete and steel slabs and concrete stairs and foundations. Concrete is specified with 20-50% SCM.

The enclosure is a primarily a combination of rainscreen and curtain wall systems, with UHPC and metal panels and double-glazed windows. The ground floor level also includes granite panels and CMU walls near loading docks. There is a double-height mechanical penthouse with acoustically insulated metal panels, and all roofs are either green or purple roof assemblies.

Materials within the project scope which could be included in the assessment include structural steel and concrete, steel reinforcements for concrete, rainscreen and curtain wall panels, insulation, framing, vapor barriers, sheathing, roofing components, and exterior doors. No materials outside of the core & shell scope have been included. A detailed breakdown of all materials and data sources is provided in the appendix.

### **BASELINE DESIGN**

The LCA baseline is a hypothetical alternative that can be used to investigate the relative lifecycle impacts of intentional design decisions. It represents a typical design for the project size, location, use type, and energy performance.

For this assessment, the baseline has been developed by modifying the proposed design to remove intentional design decisions which are expected to change the carbon footprint, using industry average data, standard specifications, and/or the Carbon Leadership Forum 2021 "Typical" materials global warming potential baselines. These modifications were made only when sufficient data of equal or greater specificity is available and technical equivalence could be confirmed;

otherwise, the material is the same in the baseline and proposed designs and no credit is taken, resulting in as fair a comparison as possible.

- Differences between the baseline and proposed design include:
- No significant SCM content in concrete mixes Industry-average and/or nearest available data to CLF
- "Typical" values for metal panels, glass, board insulation, and **TPO** membranes
- 2" standard precast panels in lieu of UHPC panels

All insulation depths in the baseline were adjusted to provide the R-value in the proposed design to maintain performance equivalence. Although not often considered typical, the green/ purple roofs were not modified in the baseline because they provide additional stormwater capture benefits meeting regulatory requirements.

### **OPTIMIZED PROPOSED DESIGN**

As the project has not vet been built, whenever there are multiple procurement options compliant with the design documents, the proposed design in this study is based on the option with the highest stage A1-A3 embodied carbon intensity, with the intent of reflecting a conservative estimate of the as-built project. In contrast, the optimized proposed design reflects the options with the lowest stage A1-A3 embodied carbon intensity, with the intent of estimating the greatest achievable lifecycle impact reductions.

- Selecting Rockwool CavityRock insulation in lieu of Thermafiber RainBarrier 45 • Selecting Carlisle SynTec TPO in lieu of Firestone UltraPly
- TPO
- specifications

![](_page_41_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_24.jpeg)

- Potential selections to further reduce lifecycle impacts within the current design documents include:
- Increasing SCM content to the 50% maximum allowed in the specifications
- Selecting structural steel decking, plates, rolled steel plates, rebar, and wire reinforcements with maximum recycled
- content, close to the CLF Achievable baseline value • Selecting the design alternative for 20 ga. steel instead of
  - painted aluminum backpan panels

• Selecting Sheetrock EcoSmart Firecode X, which is the lowest-carbon gypsum board product meeting both recycled content and regional requirements in the

![](_page_41_Picture_31.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_32.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_33.jpeg)

The total carbon footprint of the project is 8,030 mt CO2e, representing an 11.6% reduction compared to the baseline. Over a 60-year lifespan the normalized footprint is estimated at 0.91 kg CO2e/sf/year.

The primary drivers of this reduction are:

- 25% SCM additives in cement mix
- Selecting a lower-carbon insulation product
- Selecting lightweight ultra-high performance composite panels over conventional precast panels

Savings are reduced by a carbon-intensive roof membrane and glass products.

With the selections in the optimized proposed design, the project could achieve up to an 31.7% reduction compared to the baseline, or an additional reduction of 1,828 mt CO2e.

The best opportunities to maximize embodied carbon savings include:

- Reducing the carbon intensity of steel selection to the current
- Carbon Leadership Forum "Achievable" target of 1.0-1.5 kg CO2e/kg
- Maximizing the SCM additives in the cement mix to 50%
- Selecting the lowest-carbon compliant products for insulation and roofing membranes

While there are also opportunities to reduce embodied carbon through selecting steel instead of aluminum backpans, and selecting a low-carbon gypsum board product, these two materials are low in quantity and would not make a significant difference to the embodied carbon associated with the core and shell.

![](_page_42_Figure_13.jpeg)

Contributors to Global Warming Potential Savings

Increase Decrease Total

### 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LCA - GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL SAVINGS IQHQ

Gensler

1.0-1.5 kg CO2e/kg steel products	Select Steel backpans	Select Rockwool insulation	Select Carlisle Syntec membranes	Select lowest-carbon GWB	Optimized Proposed

![](_page_42_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_21.jpeg)

### **PROPOSED DESIGN DETAILS**

### STRUCTURE

Structural materials represent 80% of the proposed design's embodied carbon footprint. Steel, in particular rolled steel shapes and decking, is the greatest primary contributing material. At this stage, the steel data in the assessment represents industry-average values. Evaluating embodied carbon as part of the procurement process could provide opportunities to further reduce these impacts. Maximizing recycled content, procuring steel locally (to reduce transportation-related emissions), and if possible selecting steel from electric arc furnaces are all effective strategies.

The additives in the concrete cement mix in the proposed design are also a conservative interpretation of the specifications, showing the minimum SCM content from fossil fuel byproduct additives. If the cure times are acceptable in the schedule, maximizing the SCM content while maintaining required compressive strength could also improve savings.

### **ENCLOSURE**

The enclosure represents 19% of the carbon footprint. For the project design, roofing systems have a high impact relative to surface area, which is largely driven by the waterproofing membrane, insulation, and vapor barriers. In comparison, the green and purple roof components themselves are not significant contributors to the carbon footprint.

For the envelope, the rainscreen and curtain panels themselves comprise 5.5% of the system impacts, while the supporting structure comprises 2.3%. Insulation and framing are the primary contributors in the backup wall constructions, contributing to 3.8% of the total carbon footprint.

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **TRACI LCA INDICATORS**

The comparative difference in impact between the baseline and proposed across all the TRACI 2.1 categories are:

- Global Warming Potential (GWP): 11.6%
- Ozone Depletion (ODP): 79.5%
- Acidification: 8.3%
- Eutrophication: 26.1%
- Tropospheric Ozone Formation: 11.7%
- Depletion of Nonrenewable Energy: 9.4%

These indicators are all independently assessed and measured. Many materials with reduced global warming impacts do show reductions across all LCA categories, but the primary drivers are not always the same and for some materials there are trade-offs. An effective embodied carbon strategy should consider all the LCA indicators as much as possible to avoid unintended consequences.

XPS insulation products are an outsized contributor to ozone depletion from their manufacturing process, representing half of all total ODP. The savings to the right are driven by selecting a product with a lower total ODP and a higher R-value per inch, which also allows for dematerialization.

![](_page_44_Figure_10.jpeg)

### 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LCA - TRACI INDICATORS

IQHQ

![](_page_44_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_13.jpeg)

### LCA IMPACTS BY STAGE

The graph to the right shows the percentage of impacts in each TRACI category by LCA stage:

- Stages A1-A3: Material extraction through manufacture
- Stages A4: Transportation from factory to site
- Stages B4-B5: Replacement during 60-year lifespan
- Stages C1-C4: End of life demolition and disposal or recycling

Across all categories, the majority of impacts occur during material extraction through manufacture. Materials which have lower percentages of raw materials and require less intensive processing through either dematerialization, cleaner energy for manufacture, or other advantages are therefore shown to be effective strategies for reducing lifecycle impacts. This is especially true when considering the time value of immediate carbon reductions in slowing global climate change.

Selecting local materials which reduce transportation distances have the greatest benefit to reduce ozone depletion and nonrenewable energy resource usage. For the purpose of this study, default assumptions for transportation distance were used in all cases unless a specific distance limit was specified in the design documents. In practice, transportation stage impacts may be larger or smaller depending on procurement and availability.

The materials included in the scope of this study across all three cases are all durable materials, which limits the share of replaced materials. No non-standard assumptions were made for end-of-life reuse.

![](_page_45_Figure_9.jpeg)

IQHQ

### TRACI Indicator Impacts by Lifecycle Stage

![](_page_45_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Gensler

C4		C1-C4		C1-C4	C1-C4	
1		B4-B5		B4-B5		
<b>A</b> 3		A1-A3		A4		
				A1-A3		
cation	Tr	opospheric ozo	ne No	nrenewable en	rgy	

![](_page_45_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_17.jpeg)

Lifecycle assessment is a developing field and not all products have compliant product-specific LCA reports needed for accurate testing. In addition, many LCA reports available are generalized or rely on standard assumptions as allowed in EN 15804.

For the purposes of this study, all LCA data was used from the OneClick LCA North America database in the OneClick LCA online platform. This selection allows for the creation of an ISO 14044-compliant LCA process with as comparable as data as possible. Data was selected based on the following preference:

- Product-specific EPD (current)
- Product-specific EPD (outdated)
- Industry-wide EPD with manufacturer participation
- Industry-wide EPD without manufacturer participation
- Similar product if no generic EPD exists
- OneClick LCA default constructions

• Generic data point from databases such as Quartz or ICE If none of the above were available, then the material in question was excluded from both the baseline and proposed assessments.

This was true for:

- Ground floor garage doors
- Rooftop walkway mats
- Rainscreen clips, fasteners, and similar small components

At this stage, 15% of the data points are from product-specific EPDs, while 66% are from industry-wide EPDs or a similar representative product with the same technical specifications. Some product-specific EPDs which report using CML weighting values only could not be used for the LEED assessment, and were substituted with similar products.

![](_page_46_Figure_14.jpeg)

### 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. LCA - DATA LIMITATIONS

![](_page_46_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_17.jpeg)

### Data Source Quality

![](_page_46_Figure_19.jpeg)

ALEY ASSOCIATES

![](_page_46_Picture_21.jpeg)

## SHADOW STUDIES

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

Gensler

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

Kassul P

Whittemore Ave

Kimball

### **LEGEND:**

**EXISTING SHADOWS REMOVED** 

**EXISTING SHADOWS TO REMAIN** 

**NEW NET SHADOWS** 

12:00 pm

Alewife Brook Pkwy

**— — — EXISTING FOOTPRINT** 

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

Gensler

![](_page_48_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

**LEGEND:** 

**EXISTING SHADOWS REMOVED** 

**EXISTING SHADOWS TO REMAIN** 

**NEW NET SHADOWS** 

**— — — EXISTING FOOTPRINT** 

![](_page_49_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_10.jpeg)

Gensler

![](_page_49_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

**LEGEND:** 

Gensler

**EXISTING SHADOWS REMOVED** 

**EXISTING SHADOWS TO REMAIN** 

**NEW NET SHADOWS** 

**— — — EXISTING FOOTPRINT** 

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_13.jpeg)

## TREE PROTECTION AND FOUR ACRE PLANTING PLANS

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

Gensler

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. TREE PROTECTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT SITE & COMMITMENT AREAS

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

### NO TREES ARE BEING REMOVED IN AREAS WHERE SOIL IS NOT BEING DISTURBED

TO MITIGATE THE REMOVED TREES, IQHQ WILL BE PLANING 656 NEW TREES.

WHEN COMPLETE, OVER 1,460 TREES WILL BE LOCATED ON THE OVERALL PROPERTY

	DEVELOPMENT AREA	JERRY'S POND	TOTAL
	462	501	963
	354	453	807
on or close es	108	40	148
f Jerry's	-	8	8
	(108)	(48)	(156)
	656	TBD	656
	1,002	453	1,463
	+540	-48	+492

(

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

### REVISED

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

GEND	QUANTITY	TOTAL CALIPER INCHES
TING TREES IN ELOPMENT AREA	462	5,746
TING TREES TO REMAIN IN ELOPMENT AREA	354	4,422
TING TREES TO BE REMOVED EVELOPMENT AREA	(108)	(1,324)
TING TREES TO BE REMOVED W CORNER OF JERRY'S POND	(8)	(87)
POSED NEW TREES	656	1,420
POSED NET NEW TREE JNT	1,002	5,755
ERENCE FROM EXISTING	+540	+9

![](_page_53_Figure_10.jpeg)

STING TREE TO REMAIN

DRIPLINE OF TREE CANOP

TREE PROTECTION FENCE STAKED 5'-0" O.C. MAXIMUM STAKES. HAND EXCAVATE WITHIN THIS ZONE. REMOVAI ONLY UPON APPROVAL OF OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

- NOTE: 1. DO NOT STORE ANY MACHINERY OR MATERIALS WITHIN AREA OF THE PROTECTION FENCE. 2. TREE SHALL BE PRUNED WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETE.

TREE TRUNK

DRIPLINE OF TREE CANOPY

PROTECTION FENCE OUTSIDE OF DRIPLINE OF TREE, SEE SPECIFICATIONS

FENCE TO BE 6' HIGH. CHAIN LINK FENCE WITH 12 GA AND 2" MESH AND GALVANIZED POSTS AND RAILS.

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. NATURAL HABITAT AREA: PROPOSED TREES IQHQ

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

	QTY	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	COMMENTS	
C	CIDUOUS SHADE TREES (257 TOTAL)					
	17	Acer x freemanii 'Autumn Blaze'	Autumn Blaze Freeman Maple	4" - 4 1/2 CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH, SPRING PLANT	
	9	Acer rubrum 'October Glory'	October Glory Red Maple (oval)	3" - 3 ½ CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH, SPRING PLANT	
	36	Acer rubrum 'Armstrong'	Armstrong Red Maple (upright)	3" - 3 1/2 CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH, SPRING PLANT	
1	11	Acer rubrum 'Armstrong'	Armstrong Red Maple (upright)	1" - 1 ½ CAL.		
	6	Carya ovata	Shagbark Hickory	1" - 1 ½ CAL.		
	3	Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	3" - 3 1/2 CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH, SPRING PLANT	
2	9	Fagus grandifolia	American Beech	1" - 1 ½ CAL.	SPRING PLANT	
	15	Ginkgo biloba 'Princeton Sentry'	Princeton Sentry Maidenhair Tree	3" - 3 ½ CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
1	22	Gleditsia triacanthos var. inermis 'Draves'	Street Keeper Honeylocust (upright)	4" - 4 1/2" CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
	11	Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum	3" - 3 ½ CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
1	6	Nyssa sylvatica 'Wildfire'	Wildfire Tupelo	3" - 3 1/2 CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
1	35	Nyssa sylvatica 'Wildfire'	Wildfire Tupelo	1" - 1 ½ CAL.		
	3	Quercus alba	White Oak	3" - 3 ½ CAL.		
	7	Quercus bicolor	Swamp White Oak	3 1/2 " - 4" CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
	25	Quercus palustris	Pin Oak	3 1/2 " - 4" CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
	20	Quercus palustris	Pin Oak	1" - 1 ½ CAL.		
T	10	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	3 1/2 " - 4" CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
	12	Tilia americana	American Linden	3" - 3 ½ CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH	
NAMENTAL TREES (349 TOTAL)						
Ι	2	Acer palmatum 'Pixie'	Pixie Japanese Maple	5'-6' HT.	B & B	
	30	Amelanchier x grandiflora 'Autumn Brilliance'	Autumn Brilliance Serviceberry	10'-12' HT.	3 TRUNKS MIN. / 5 MAX.	
1	48	Amelanchier x grandiflora 'Autumn Brilliance'	Autumn Brilliance Serviceberry	1" - 1 ½ CAL.		
	41	Betula nigra 'Heritage'	Heritage River Birch	12'-14' HT.	3 TRUNKS MIN. / 5 MAX. SPRING PLANT	
	24	Betula pendula	Silver Birch	3" - 3 ½ CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
	16	Cercis canadensis 'Tennessee Pink'	Tennessee Pink Eastern Redbud	2" - 2 1/2" CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
Ī	63	Cercis canadensis 'Tennessee Pink'	Tennessee Pink Eastern Redbud	1" - 1 ½ CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
	13	Carpinus caroliniana	American Hornbeam	2 1/2 - 3" CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
1	19	Cornus florida	Flowering Dogwood	2" - 2 1/2" CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
1	9	Cornus florida var. rubra	Flowering Red Dogwood	2" - 2 1/2" CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
1	66	Prunus pensylvanica	Pin Cherry	1" - 1 ½ CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
t	2	Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan'	Kwanzan Flowering Cherry	8" CAL.	7' LOWEST BRANCH, SPRING PLANT	
1	3	Prunus virginiana	Chokecherry	2" - 2 1/2 CAL.	SINGLE STEM, SPRING PLANT	
t	12	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	Ivory Silk Japanese Tree Lilac	2" - 2 1/2 CAL.	SINGLE STEM, UPRIGHT FORM	
4				-		

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. NATURAL HABITAT AREA: PROPOSED TREES IQHQ

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

## TRAFFIC, PARKING, AND TRANSIT

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

Gensler

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. STUDY AREA INTERSECTIONS

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. OVERALL SITE CIRCULATION

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

Added separate pedestrian and bike paths to connect Whittemore and Linear Path

Added separate pedestrian and bike paths

Added separate pedestrian path at intersection

Widened existing path to accommodate a multi-modal path

Added multi-modal path width along RT16

Added separate pedestrian path along Rt 16

Added separate pedestrian path

Added multi-modal path

![](_page_63_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. ENLARGED SITE CIRCULATION

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

TO POINTS EAST

![](_page_64_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. ENLARGED SITE PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

Gensler

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

IQHQ

![](_page_66_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

39'-6"

6'-11"

....

16'-7"

16'-0"

**«**·····

![](_page_67_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_4.jpeg)

5.9A

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

### 5.9C

![](_page_70_Figure_0.jpeg)

**BUILDING 2 TOTAL SPACES: 6** 

![](_page_70_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Figure_10.jpeg)

WHITTEMORE AVE.

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)

**BUILDING 3** TOTAL SPACES: 12

# **36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.** PROPOSED SHORT-TERM BIKE STORAGE SPACES, BUILDING 3

Gensler

![](_page_71_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_9.jpeg)


BUILDING 4 **TOTAL SPACES: 8** 

# **36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.** PROPOSED SHORT-TERM BIKE STORAGE SPACES, BUILDING 4















**BUILDING 5** TOTAL SPACES: 10

# **36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE.** PROPOSED SHORT-TERM BIKE STORAGE SPACES, BUILDING 5





























5.10

## REVISED



89 PARKING STALLS

> SOLAR ARRAY CANOPY

EV LOCATION





Garage - Level 2



PARKING SUMMARY			
PARKING LEVEL	SPACES	DESCRIPTION	
P4 LEVEL	101		
P3 LEVEL	91		
P2 LEVEL	91		
P1 LEVEL	67		
Grand total: 250	•		

Grand total: 350

36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. PARKING GARAGE - LEVEL 1 & 2



















Garage - Level 4



Garage - Partial 5th Level

PARKING SUMMARY		
PARKING LEVEL	SPACES	DESCRIPTION
P4 LEVEL	109	
P3 LEVEL	91	
P2 LEVEL	91	
P1 LEVEL	67	
Grand total: 358H	•	•

IQHQ

Garage - Level 3







## REVISED

ASSOCIATES



<sup>≝</sup>vhb. 5.11B





**EXISTING PARKING LOT EAST** 

\*EXISTING PARKING LOTS WITH EXISTING STRIPING



IQHQ

















**EXISTING PARKING LOT 1 \*EXISTING PARKING LOTS** WITH NEW STRIPING

NEW TREE



**EXISTING PARKING LOT 2** 

\*EXISTING PARKING LOTS WITH NEW STRIPING



ò























































## INFRASTRUCTURE



Gensler











36-64 WHITTEMORE AVE. EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE





NATURAL GAS - ELECTRIC - TELECOM





































- 100-FOOT WETLAND BUFFER
- **ELEVATION 18.76 CCB**