



Relationship Between City Council and City Manager Staff

A Key Ingredient for Success: An Effective City Council/City Manager Relationship

Kevin C. Duggan
ICMA West Coast Regional Director

Introduction

Mayors and councilmembers need to have effective working relationships with a number of key audiences in order to successfully undertake their responsibilities. These audiences include citizens/voters, community groups, the press, other governmental agencies, other elected officials, nonprofit organizations and many more. However, critical to a successful and satisfying career on the city council in a city council/city manager form of government is a successful and effective working relationship with the city manager. This article suggests the necessary ingredients for a mutually successful council/manager working relationship.

The City Council/City Manager Form of Local Government:

The "Council/Manager Plan" is designed for the elected city council to set policy direction as the direct representatives of the community with the city manager providing the professional expertise to manage the organization and carry out the council's direction. Policy direction is provided in a variety of ways, including through local laws/ordinances, planning policies (general plan and zoning), financial policies, the annual budget and capital improvement plan, the adoption of city council policies and through numerous other program directives.

The city manager is responsible for carrying out the council's policy direction through the day-to-day management of city functions, including the oversight of city operating departments. Key tasks associated with this role include the hiring and supervision of department heads, the implementation of the annual budget and the assurance of quality service delivery. This "plan" is predicated on the philosophy that elected representatives are better able to make community value judgments on behalf of residents and translate these values into policy direction—the "what" in city government. It is also based on the belief that professional staff are best able to determine the "how" of implementing policies and delivering day-to-day services due to their experience and training. Since both roles are closely related, it is the city manager's responsibility to coordinate between both realms to assure the effective delivery of services consistent with city council direction.

This is often referred to as the policy/administration dichotomy (the separation of these responsibilities)—which, oftentimes, has a good deal of "gray" on the boundaries. When it works most effectively, the elected officials focus on the big picture of policy

development and minimize their role relating to administrative implementation while the staff works to avoid an undue influence on policy development while focusing on policy implementation and service delivery. While the line between the two can become blurred, the city council/city manager system is subject to failure if the line is disregarded.

If the city council and the city manager have an effective and mutually supportive working relationship, the likelihood of the policy and administrative roles being effectively coordinated increases significantly, resulting in a more successful city governance and management.

Among the issues to consider in trying to establish the best possible city council/city manager working relationship are the following:

- The relationship cannot be taken for granted and requires attention and work to establish and maintain. If not diligently pursued, it will not be accomplished.
- The work of city councils is not easy! Not only do you confront the typical challenges of group decision-making, but the "group" in this case may not have a great deal in common. Also, the issues can be weighty and controversial. The decision-making is also done in public, often on TV or the Web, scrutinized by the public and press, and anyone can join in on the deliberations.
- Among the factors that can get in the way of an effective relationship include:
 - Not understanding/appreciating/respecting each other's roles.
 - Differing philosophies.
 - Differing personalities.
 - Challenging issues.
 - External pressures from the media, community groups, employee organizations, etc.
- Fully appreciate that you need to establish a good working relationship with your fellow councilmembers and the city manager in order to maximize:
 - Organizational performance.
 - Organizational reputation.
 - Value to the community.

- Personal reputation.
- Community pride and confidence.
- Don't underestimate how important it is to a city manager to have a good working relationship with the city council. It has been reported that the relationship with the city council is the primary factor impacting a city manager's job satisfaction. Among the reasons for this are the following:
 - City councils are the source of formal performance feedback. Like almost everyone, positive feedback from supervisor(s) is very important.
 - City councils control the city manager's job security.
 - City councils determine the city manager's compensation.
 - City councils establish the city manager's "parameters of success."
 - City council support for the city manager, particularly during tough times, is of tremendous value.

Recommended Practices:

So while the stakes are high and the challenges significant, there are a variety of "best practices" and techniques that can improve the odds of your individual success as a mayor or councilmember, as well as the success of the city council and city as a whole.

The following are offered as ideas to consider in your efforts to establish and maintain a strong and effective working relationship with your city manager:

- **Recognize that you are now "different" than before you were elected (and more than you may realize):**

You are now viewed as a community/city leader and what you do and say can have a much greater impact. Your comments will now be viewed as representing "the city." What you do and say will also be more closely scrutinized. You should be aware that the city staff will view you much differently now that you are one of the organization's leaders. Even an offhand comment can be viewed as a directive for action. So even though you may not view yourself any differently than before you were elected, don't underestimate to what degree others are viewing you differently.

- **Understand and respect the city council/city manager plan of governance:**

It is critical for you to understand why your city is organized under this plan of governance and how it should operate. It is important to understand and appreciate the distinction between policymaking and implementation and the different roles played by individual councilmembers, the city council as a whole, the city manager and the city staff.

- **Allow time for you and the city manager to get to know each other and develop a working relationship:**

Try not to overly rely on what you have heard from others regarding what it will be like to work with the manager—others' views may or may not be accurate. Most city managers understand the need to work very hard to adjust to the issues, concerns and priorities of the new city council. Try to be open-minded to your ability to establish a productive and effective working relationship with the manager.

- **City managers will do their best to carry out the policy direction of the city council (even when there is a major change in policy direction):**

Professional city managers are committed to carry out the policy direction of the city council regardless if they personally agree or disagree with the policy as long as what they are asked to implement is:

- Legal.
- Ethical.
- Within their/the city's authority.

It is often misunderstood that when a city manager effectively implements a city council's policy, the manager personally agrees with the policy or can only implement city services consistent with that policy. City managers can change the organization's approach to an issue as may be directed by a new city council. Avoid overly associating the city manager with the policies that the city has previously implemented at the direction of the previous council.

- **Take your role seriously, but not yourself:**

This common advice is particularly important for mayors and councilmembers. While you are doing important and serious work on behalf of the community, you will do it better and more effectively if you keep the normal "ups and downs" and "wins and losses" in perspective. You were elected to make tough decisions on

oftentimes controversial issues. It's a given that you will be criticized and there will be those who vehemently disagree with your decisions. That is unavoidable. Develop a thick skin and do your best to not take personally the conflicts and disagreements that are a normal part of your new role. If you don't develop a thick skin, you will overreact to criticism.

Additionally, you are now part of an organization and will be blamed/criticized for the actions of the organization that you had nothing to do with. That is the reality of your new role and you should keep that in mind.

And remember, the city manager is not always to blame when things go wrong, though he/she should take appropriate responsibility for the organization's actions. It can be easy to focus your frustration on the city manager. You will be happier and more effective if you can experience the normal "ups and downs" of city life without needing to always find someone at fault.

Whatever the issue or encounter, try not to take it personally. Try to keep personal likes and dislikes out of the equation. Your fellow councilmembers and the city manager are not your family or personal friends; they are your "professional colleagues," and you need to work effectively with them even if you would not select them as friends.

Lastly, always "live to fight another day." There are always future issues to decide; focus on those versus the votes already taken. And always remember not to burn bridges due to a difficult defeat; you will need those "bridges" for future votes!

- **Appreciate the legitimate difference between the "community perspective" and the "professional/technical" perspective:**

While you will primarily view issues from your perspective as a resident/citizen in a manner similar to the other residents of the community, the city staff will often have a more "technical/professional" perspective. What might make a great deal of sense to the staff looking at an issue from a purely "business" point of view may not be the right answer based on community perspectives and values. While the city manager will do his or her best to bridge the gap between the two points of view, it is very helpful for councilmembers to understand that while the staff should be sensitive to community values, they will often raise issues based on their professional training that can differ from a purely community values point of view. An appreciation for these varied perspectives is critical to the council-staff relationship. That does not mean the one perspective is "right" while the other is "wrong"; but both parties should try to understand and appreciate the views of the other.

- **Don't fall into the trap of feeling you are VERY special:**

While being elected to a city council is an honor bestowed upon you by the voters, keep that "honor" in perspective. Citizens view electing you as showing trust in your judgment in representing their interests in community decision-making. They did not elect you because they felt you were personally deserving of special rights and privileges. Don't expect the city manager or staff to be able to assist you in ways outside your formal role on the city council. By and large, they will be required to treat you just the same as any other citizen on matters outside the realm of your official duties. Any compensation and "perks" of office should be visible and public.

- **Professional city managers will not "play favorites":**

Professional city managers will strive to have a positive working relationship with all the members of the city council regardless of personality, philosophy or positions on specific issues. They will also not let personal likes or dislikes affect how he or she interacts with councilmembers, and you should not expect the manager to act otherwise.

- **It is critical to city managers to have clear policy direction:**

The city manager and the city organization cannot carry out the policy direction of the city council if that direction is not clearly established. The clearer the direction, the more effectively the manager and staff can implement. Even when the council is split on an issue, the majority's will needs to be clearly stated. The manager should seek clarification from the city council when necessary.

- **Be sensitive to the need for city managers to sometimes tell you "what you don't want to hear":**

One of the least favorite tasks for a city manager is to tell an individual councilmember or the council as a whole something they don't want to hear. This could range from a mistake the organization has made to informing a councilmember that something they want done (or want to do) cannot be done or is not appropriate. While city managers try to be as flexible as possible in meeting the needs of the city council, you will not be well served by a manager who will not tell you when something is not appropriate or cannot be achieved simply to avoid appearing uncooperative. While the manager needs to be clear why the request cannot be fulfilled, it is very helpful to understand the manager has a professional obligation to give you advice contrary to what you would like to hear when he/she is so required.

- **Why managers can't always do what you want them to do:**

The manager can often be confronted with a situation of an individual council-member wanting something done that is not consistent with the wishes of the city council. The manager needs to respond to the direction of the city council as a whole. While managers try to be as responsive as possible to the needs/desires of individual members, on matters of any consequence, the direction of the city council will often be required.

- **Take your role to evaluate your city manager's performance seriously:**

Like any other employee, the city manager benefits from regular and thoughtful performance evaluations. Performance evaluations are an important communication tool between the manager and council. Working for multiple individuals is challenging enough without clear and consistent feedback on performance. At least annual evaluations should be conducted. This provides the opportunity to communicate how the council views the manager's performance, including areas of strength and areas for potential additional emphasis. This is also the only opportunity for the council as a whole to provide this input in private. Use this valuable communication tool effectively.

- **Tolerance for organizational imperfection (mistakes!):**

While no one likes mistakes, they are unavoidable in the context of organizational life. Cities are complex organizations dealing with a wide variety of services with unique and sometimes challenging clientele. It is not a matter of whether mistakes will be made, but when. It is critical as a leader of your city that you react to mistakes appropriately. While mistakes should be avoided to the greatest extent possible, overreacting can cause further damage. You should expect that mistakes will be addressed promptly, fully disclosed and that steps will be taken to avoid repeating the same mistakes again. You will need to trust the manager to follow up and effectively address the situation when organizational miscues occur. So, have high expectations but recognize that mistakes will occur, even in the best organizations and try not to overreact when they do.

- **Support of reasonable risk-taking:**

High-performing organizations will occasionally need to take "reasonable risks" in order to achieve community objectives. Sometimes these endeavors will not be successful. However, organizations that avoid ANY unnecessary risk are not likely to accomplish a great deal. While city councils need to be informed and concur that the risk being taken is reasonable for the potential benefit being gained, councils should also be understanding that projects and initiatives that have inherent unknowns may not always turn out as hoped. Intolerance for any

mistakes/risk will breed an overly conservative organization and will stifle creativity and flexibility and the benefits these values can bring.

- **Try to focus feedback on service quality, not individuals:**

An ongoing challenge is the difficulty of reconciling the ultimate responsibility of the city council for city service quality versus the need to avoid interfering in the daily management of the organization. It is much better for the city council to communicate service level or quality concerns to the city manager versus performance judgments regarding individual staff members. It is particularly inappropriate for individual members or the council as a whole to try to direct the manager to hire, fire, or promote members of the city staff.

- **Don't expect managers to take sides in councilmember disputes:**

Regardless of how they may personally feel, most city managers will avoid, at all costs, "taking sides" in disputes between councilmembers. While on occasion the manager might try to help reconcile councilmembers, don't expect the manager to take your side in a dispute with a fellow member. Even if they may agree with you, most managers will avoid taking part in public or private criticism of councilmembers unless professionally required to do so in extreme cases.

- **Don't jump to conclusions regarding citizen/customer feedback:**

While it is your responsibility to be available to listen to citizen and customer feedback regarding the city organization, be careful not to jump to conclusions based on what you are told. Oftentimes an individual may sound completely sincere and credible while providing you an inaccurate account of their experience with the city organization. It is best to not jump to conclusions, one way or the other, until the manager is able to provide you a response to the concern. It is embarrassing to criticize staff for poor performance only to find out that the information you relied on was not accurate. At the same time, the manager needs to not be overly defensive regarding staff performance until he or she also has heard "both sides of the story."

- **Don't expect the manager to exercise "political leadership":**

While managers are inherently leaders of their organizations and, to varying degrees, in their communities, their role is not to be political leaders. That is, it is not intended for the manager to be "out front" on community policy issues. Sometimes city councils want managers to take leadership (advocate publicly) on controversial issues to avoid potential political consequences to themselves. While tempting, this is contrary to the intent of the council/manager plan under which the elected officials are to take the lead on purely policy matters.

- **Disagree with the recommendation/don't attack the "messenger":**

When dealing with a difficult issue at a council meeting, it can be tempting to not only disagree with the recommendation being presented, but to challenge/discredit the manager or staff member presenting the recommendation. The best practice is to focus your comments on the recommendation, not the individual. Having a policy discussion devolve into a personal attack is uncomfortable and embarrassing to everyone involved. Even if you are frustrated by the recommendation, it is poor form to attack the presenter. If you do have concerns regarding how a recommendation was developed or presented, that should be provided privately to the manager.

P.S.: Don't play "stump the staff" by trying to ask questions at the meeting that you think staff will have difficulty answering on the spot. It doesn't really make you look smarter, nor is it helpful to the deliberations to ask a question that cannot be answered. While staff members should work diligently to anticipate questions, it is not possible to anticipate all possible questions. If you really want the answer, get the question answered before the meeting or provide a heads up regarding what you will be asking.

- **Conduct yourself at council meetings in a professional/businesslike manner:**

Even on very controversial topics with greatly varying opinions, the council deliberation can be and should be "businesslike" and professional. While it may be more entertaining (possibly from a reality TV perspective) to see councilmembers and citizens yelling and having temper tantrums, it gets in the way of thoughtful deliberations and only tends to lower the respect for the council and city in the eyes of your constituents.

- **Consider the use of council team-building and goal-setting workshops:**

Recognizing the importance of both effective councilmember/councilmember and council/city manager working relationships, often an investment of time in team-building workshops is very worthwhile. These workshops allow for a thoughtful conversation of working relationships outside the context of discussing specific issues. These discussions can help create a better understanding of work styles and perspectives.

Additionally, recognizing that clear direction and priorities are critical for effective council/manager relations, goal-setting workshops can be very effective forums for establishing city council and organizational priorities.

- **Have a clear understanding with the manager of the type and frequency of communication you prefer:**

While a fundamental value of city managers is to provide regular and complete information equally to each councilmember, councilmembers can vary significantly regarding the type and frequency of contact with the manager they desire. While the manager will use written reports in one form or another as a base line of information to all the members, it is important for the manager to understand your preference for how information should be communicated, including the frequency of personal contact. While some members prefer regular "face-to-face" contact with the city manager, others prefer less time-intensive information-sharing methods. While sharing the same information among all councilmembers, it is helpful for you and the manager to understand how much and what type of contact you prefer.

- **Do your homework:**

It takes time and effort to be a successful councilmember. It makes the manager's and staff's job a lot easier if you have reviewed the reports and related materials provided to you prior to the city council meeting. This facilitates efficient meetings, accelerates decision-making and gives the impression that staff and council are working well as a team. Additionally, it will avoid you appearing unprepared to your fellow councilmembers, the staff and your constituents.

- **Trust above all:**

As in all relationships, the city council/manager relationship must be based on trust. Other potential obstacles such as differing personalities, styles, philosophies, etc., can be overcome if there is mutual trust. Without trust, little else will be successful. Both parties need to treat each other with respect and be truthful and forthcoming in their dealings.

- **No Surprises:**

Both the manager and council should do their best to make sure that important information is not first learned from others. While in this age of instant communication this is more difficult, and in some cases impossible, the parties should do their best to make sure that noteworthy information to which they are privy is not communicated to other organizational leaders by third parties (particularly the media). The manager needs to work hard to make sure that the council is not taken off guard while councilmembers should keep the city manager in the loop as well. "Surprises" can have a very negative impact on the working relationship in that it speaks directly to the trust issue.

- **Work through the city manager to get things done:**

While practice and philosophy can vary to some degree between cities, councilmembers should generally work through the city manager to obtain action by staff. Individual councilmembers are not authorized to direct staff, though routine referral of citizen requests (or to simply request information) is sometimes appropriate depending on local practice. Coordinating through the manager will make sure the issue is sent to the right staff person for action and will allow the manager to confirm timely follow-up. This also helps the manager stay informed regarding issues of community concern.

- **Council/Mayor Role:**

It is also important to make sure there is clarity between the council, the manager and the mayor on respective roles. At times there can be a conflict between the role the mayor views themselves as playing and the expectations of the remainder of the council. It is difficult if the manager gets caught in a dispute over these respective roles. In particular, how the city manager relates to the mayor versus the other councilmembers needs to be clear.

- **Don't blame the manager or staff for carrying out the direction of the city council:**

The city manager and staff are required to faithfully carry out the direction of the city council, regardless if they did or did not recommend it and regardless if some councilmembers oppose it. Respect the staff for faithfully carrying out the will of the council, whether or not you share the view of the council majority.

- **If the council/manager relationship is not going well:**

If you or the council are having difficulty with the city manager, try your best to resolve the issue. First of all, find an appropriate way to communicate the concerns. The manager can't respond if he/she is not aware of the concern. As noted earlier, try to obtain clear council consensus for the expectations for the manager and communicate those expectations clearly. Try to be optimistic regarding the possibility of the manager making adjustments to satisfy the concerns of the council and give sufficient time to do so. Additionally, provide the manager opportunities to respond to the feedback and communicate how he/she will address the concerns.

- **If all else fails and there needs to be a "parting of the ways":**

A forced separation of the manager is not a good experience for the council, the manager or the city. It can be costly, controversial and can cast everyone in a negative light. It can also erode citizen confidence in the city. Assuming all

reasonable steps have been taken to avoid a forced transition and recognizing a parting based on "irreconcilable differences" is always better than an "ugly, contested divorce" (in an "ugly divorce," both parties end up looking bad), keep the following in mind:

- Keep it professional.
- Don't unnecessarily damage the manager's reputation—it is not needed to make a change.
- Provide a reasonable period of time for the manager to find another position or provide reasonable severance—it takes time to find a manager's job.
- Remember, how you handle the transition of the current manager will have an impact on the quality of the candidate pool for the next manager.

Summary/Concluding Thoughts:

An unstable council/manager relationship has negative consequences for the city council, the manager, the organization and the community. A positive and mutually supportive relationship will increase the odds of having a high-performing and successful city. The councilmembers and manager need to make creating, supporting and sustaining the relationship a high priority. If made a high priority, the odds of success are great.

Remember that your service on the city council is a unique honor that has been bestowed on you by your fellow citizens. While it is often a challenge, with inherent difficulties, someone needs to do it and your community has selected you for that responsibility. You have been selected to serve in a professional and honorable manner, during good times and bad. Your service on the city council will be over soon enough. Conduct yourself in a manner that will allow you to look back with pride—not only for what you accomplished, but also the way in which you conducted yourself (which will likely be remembered the longest).

Leadership & Governance: Tips for Success


Electeds and Staff Bring a Different & Necessary Perspective

Understanding and embracing different perspectives is part of what makes our democratic institution at the local level so great. Both perspectives are vital when making decisions in the community's interests.

- Elected officials focus on what their constituents value and need from the agency; and
- Staff has technical expertise in policy areas and what can work, given their day-to-day experiences with implementing agency policies, practices and service delivery that can help inform the decision-making process.¹


Bridging Function

Elected officials play a key bridging function between the community needs and staff; the chief executive officer in turn plays a bridging function between elected officials and staff.



Role Division

Current thinking is that elected and appointed officials operate in all four dimensions of the governance process: mission, policy, administration and management, although to differing degrees.² The graphic³ below illustrates this phenomenon. The curved line illustrates the division of roles between governing boards and executive staff; how this line looks for each local agency varies.



Role Clarity

A shared understanding of the chief executive's role and the governing board's expectations optimizes the working relationship. This understanding is informed by local charter and ordinance provisions that provide the overall framework for the relationship.

- The process of developing such a shared understanding begins with the hiring process and each participant in the process being forthright about their respective expectations.
- An annual evaluation process is an ongoing opportunity for such communication, particularly as governing board members change.

Staff will be most able to perform to expectations if those expectations are clear and mutually acceptable.

Tips for Governing Board Members

Setting Goals and Priorities. A helpful practice is to have the governing board establish priorities and strategic goals for the organization; such goals and priorities are a tool to guide the chief executive and staff on where to focus their efforts.⁴

Establishing an annual time for board reflection on community priorities would also offer an opportunity to discuss decorum among members and the executive-board relationship.

Limited Resources Means Difficult Trade-offs.

An unhappy reality is that there are likely insufficient resources to accomplish everything that the community and elected governing board members desire. This reality creates challenges for the chief executive in proposing a budget as well as well as managing the agency work force.

Finding Common Ground. A key skill for a governing board member is finding areas of agreement and common interests with other board members. Within the parameters of the state's open meeting laws, work with the other governing board members to find areas of agreement on what courses of action best serves the public's interests.

In the event that board members disagree, clear ground rules can quell acrimony before it becomes a public spectacle.

When the Governing Board Changes

Staff's job is to implement the policies adopted by the governing body. This includes implementing changes in policy direction when the philosophy of the governing board changes.

New majorities on boards sometimes impute the policy preferences of the previous boards to staff. This causes them to worry that staff will not be as diligent in implementing changes to previous policies. They sometimes believe staff has to change in order for policies to change.

This is another area where frank and ongoing communication is helpful. Most professional staff understand that policy directions change and that their role is to implement that change, as long as the policy falls within the bounds of the laws and public service ethics.

Allow a certain amount of time for staff and the new majority to get to know one another and see if a productive working relationship can occur.

Understanding that Public Policymaking Involves Value Choices. Policy choices tend to be choices among different values, including the values of fairness, compassion, efficiency, individual rights, common good and others. The “correct” answer is likely to be an elusive goal,⁵ particularly since members of the community as well as other members of the governing board are likely to give different values different weight.

Chief Executive Works for the Entire Board. All members of the body were elected by the community to participate in the agency’s decision-making processes. As a result, the chief executive’s responsibility is to strive for positive working relationships with all members of the body equally and provide information equally to all members of the body.

Communications Needs. Let the chief executive know what kinds of communications work for you. While the chief executive’s job is to share information with all members of the governing body equally (and typically through a combination of written communications and one-on-one meetings), governing body members will often have different communications preferences as to what combination of these two techniques work best for them.

Transparent Decision-Making. The governing board makes decisions (and gives staff direction) at open and well-publicized governing board meetings. These decisions occur after listening to, inquiring of and learning from interactions with all segments of the community and staff.

The Benefits of Collaboration. Be forthright on your objectives and goals with the chief executive, so he or she can do what is possible to help you achieve them (as opposed to “going around” him or her). A chief executive will try to be as responsive as possible to the needs of individuals on the governing board; however understand that significant tasks are likely to require governing body buy in and some tasks may conflict with priorities and policies adopted by the full governing body.

Ground Rules. A helpful practice is for the governing board as an entity to adopt, regularly review and update how the board will conduct its meetings and make decisions.⁶ Such protocols typically address meeting procedures (agenda preparation, how to put issues on the agenda, debate and voting procedures (parliamentary rules) and standards of decorum (civility).⁷

Managing Difficult Board Members. Staff’s role is to provide information to enable elected officials to knowledgeably participate in the decision-making process. However, from time to time, there will be difficult and divisive board members that create a challenging and uncomfortable environment for both the board and staff. There is no one-size-fits-all solution to solve such a problem. In the end, the board must manage its own behavior—not staff.⁸

Staff Preparation. If you have questions, concerns and/or information needs (or know that members of the community do), provide staff a heads up in advance of meetings so staff can be prepared to address them.

Unwelcome Information. One of staff’s least favorite roles is providing information and analysis that will make one or more governing board members unhappy. Typically, providing such information is part of staff’s job to avoid surprising the board. If pursuing a given course of action could have negative outcomes (a lawsuit, unintended consequences or a chance that a given goal will not be achieved), it is

staff's job to let the board know so the board can factor such information and risks into the decision. If possible, staff will also try to identify options and alternatives for reducing the risk of negative outcomes.

Directing Questions and Criticisms. Question, and if appropriate, criticize ideas, policies, programs or outcomes, but not the individuals involved (whether those individuals are fellow elected officials, staff or members of the public). Remember that staff is your tool to accomplish your objectives. Public praise for things you like will motivate; public criticism and embarrassment will discourage. Criticism or information regarding staff missteps should be directed to the chief executive to address.

Responding to Mistakes and Disappointing Outcomes. Mistakes are likely to happen in any organization. If something bad happened, ask what measures can and will be taken to prevent such missteps in the future.⁹

Tips for Chief Executive & Staff

Clear Goals and Priorities. A key task is for the governing body and chief executive work together to assure staff has clear direction on the agency's goals and priorities.

Goal setting workshops can be useful forums for establishing governing board and organizational priorities. This includes holding annual workshops in which goals are set, reviewed, updated and/or retained, as well as direction on how the group wants to be kept updated on progress, goals and priorities.¹⁰ Follow up, of course, is critical to maximizing a goal setting session's value.¹¹

Such clarity enables staff to know where to devote scarce/limited resources in proposing budget and work program priorities for the governing board's consideration.

Documented goals and priorities serve as a reference point when issues and potentially competing priorities come up throughout the year. Priorities may need to change of course; the key is if a new priority is added, an old one must be subtracted.¹²

Engaging a broad range of the community in the conversation about hard choices can help the governing body in aligning agency goals with community wishes. Such processes offer important opportunities to inform and consult the community on what can be difficult tradeoffs due to scarce resources. Such engagement can also make the resulting decisions more enduring.

Focus on the Core Functions. For those areas over which the agency has discretion (for example, non-state mandated efforts without maintenance of effort requirements), the conversation can focus on identifying what is most important for the agency to accomplish. This tends to be an intersection of three things: what the community is passionate about, what the organization can be best at and what resources are available.¹³

Identifying this intersection does not necessarily mean that tasks outside the intersecting area will not get accomplished. Some functions may be more effectively accomplished by other agencies, community-based organizations or the private sector.

Capacity Building. The entire community benefits from well-prepared and knowledgeable local officials. Some tools for assisting with this goal include:¹⁴

- Leadership academies that help the public, including potential future governing board candidates, understand key elements of the agency's work and processes.
- Candidate orientations that provide information about agency functions, pending policy issues, including budget issues and any regulations that apply to the campaigning process.
- Newly elected official orientations conducted as soon as possible after election results are certified. Content should include the nuts and bolts of how to accomplish objectives in their new role, as well as briefings on current issues the agency faces, the status of long-range plans and capital projects, and the budget process. Connecting newly elected officials with former electeds who are respected in the community and can offer advice and share experience is also helpful.¹⁵
- Ongoing education through local workshops, references to helpful information about local governance and policy issues and conference attendance.

Credit for Commitment to Elective Office. One dimension of staff's role is to help governing board members receive the recognition they deserve for their actions as public servants.¹⁶ As media opportunities occur, ensure the electeds are aware so they may receive recognition for their service on community issues.

Evenhandedness. A positive working relationship with all governing body members regardless of personality, philosophy, positions on issues or whether the member is in the majority or minority on the body (remember majorities can change) can be another important success strategy. Communication preference may require that you spend more time with certain members. "Evenhandedness" does not necessarily mean communicating with all decision-makers in the same way.

A Sustained Effort. Successful relationships require ongoing effort and attention. Communication is a central element of this effort.

- Staff's role is to present information and analysis objectively, fairly and without spin.
- This includes willingness, when necessary, to deliver unwelcome information and minimize surprises for the governing board.

When Elected Officials Disagree with Staff Recommendations and Analysis. Professionals recognize smart, conscientious and reasonable people can disagree on the best course of action (particularly given the differing perspectives that staff and electeds contribute to the analysis of what best serves the community's interests).

- Such disagreements are not and should not be taken personally.
- All governing board decisions must be faithfully implemented, even those which differed from what staff recommended.
- Staff should never speak ill of elected officials, even to seemingly sympathetic and discreet listeners. Word of what was said inevitably seems to get back.

Attention to Detail. Doing the small things well helps governing board members trust staff on the big items.

Defining Success. Enjoying good relationships with elected officials is a worthy goal, with a few caveats.

- Be clear on the lines (legal, ethical and professional) over which you are not willing to step, before finding yourself in a difficult situation.
- As difficult as it may be, your professional reputation for competence and integrity in the long term is a more valuable career asset than keeping a particular job.

More Resources

International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials* (1999)

International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Leading Your Community: A Guide for Local Elected Leaders* (2008)

This resource reflects the insights and thoughts of a number of individuals, including: Kevin C. Duggan, West Coast Director, International City/County Management Association, Pete Kutras, Retired County Executive, Santa Clara, and Principal Consultant, Municipal Resource Group, LLC, Richard A. Haffey, County Executive Officer, Nevada County, and William Chiat, Director, CSAC Institute for Excellence in County Government.

© 2015 Institute for Local Government. All rights reserved.

References

¹ International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials* (1999) at 22.

² *Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials*, at 19-20.

³ Based on the work of James H. Svara in "Dichotomy and Duality: Reconceptualizing the Relationship between Policy and Administration in Council-Manager Cities," *Public Administration Review* 45 (1998): 228. This material is reproduced with permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

⁴ Kevin C. Duggan, A Key Ingredient for Success: An Effective City Council/City Manager Relationship, at 9.

⁵ Julia Novak and John Nalbandian, Preparing Councils for Their Work, *PM Magazine*, August 2009, available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9107/public/feature3.cfm?author=Julia%20Novak%20and%20John%20Nalbandian&title=Preparing%20Councils%20for%20Their%20Work&subtitle=>

⁶ See International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials* (1999), at 59. Mike Conduff, Council Relations, *PM Magazine* (June 2012), available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9405/public/council.cfm?author=&title=Council%20Relations&subtitle=>

⁷ See International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Leading Your Community: A Guide for Local Elected Leaders* (2008) at 30-33.

⁸ Julia Novak and John Nalbandian, Preparing Councils for Their Work, *PM Magazine* (August 2009), available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9107/public/feature3.cfm?author=Julia%20Novak%20and%20John%20Nalbandian&title=Preparing%20Councils%20for%20Their%20Work&subtitle=>

⁹ A Key Ingredient for Success: An Effective City Council/City Manager Relationship, at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9107/public/feature3.cfm?author=Julia%20Novak%20and%20John%20Nalbandian&title=Preparing%20Councils%20for%20Their%20Work&subtitle=>

¹⁰ Julia Novak and John Nalbandian, Preparing Councils for Their Work, *PM Magazine* (August 2009) available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9107/public/feature3.cfm?author=Julia%20Novak%20and%20John%20Nalbandian&title=Preparing%20Councils%20for%20Their%20Work&subtitle=>

¹¹ Mike Conduff, ICMA-CM, A Great Retreat!, *PM Magazine* (April 2012) available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9403/public/council.cfm?author=&title=Council%20Relations&subtitle=>

¹² Frank Benest, Ten New Rules for Elected Officials in Times of Economic Meltdown (2011) available at <http://www.ca-ilg.org/post/leadership-strategies-times-economic-meltdown>.

¹³ Adapted from Jim Collins, *Good to Great in the Social Sectors*, 2005 at 19 (the "hedgehog concept"). Also recommended by Frank Benest in Ten New Rules for Elected Officials in Times of Economic Meltdown (2011) available at <http://www.ca-ilg.org/post/leadership-strategies-times-economic-meltdown>.").

¹⁴ From Mike Conduff, Council Relations, *PM Magazine* (June 2012), available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9405/public/council.cfm?author=&title=Council%20Relations&subtitle=>

¹⁵ Preparing Councils for Their Work, *PM Magazine*, available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9107/public/feature3.cfm?author=Julia%20Novak%20and%20John%20Nalbandian&title=Preparing%20Councils%20for%20Their%20Work&subtitle=>

¹⁶ This concept is part of the International City/County Management Association's Code of Ethics:

Tenet 6. Recognize that elected representatives of the people are entitled to the credit for the establishment of local government policies; responsibility for policy execution rests with the members.

Board/Executive Staff Communications Strategies

Establish Communication Priorities at the Beginning of the Relationship

Establishing rapport and communication styles early on will help throughout the board members' and executive's appointment tenure.

Ongoing Communications/No Surprises

A mutual goal in executive/board member communications is for each to keep the other informed of developments relevant to the others' roles and responsibilities. Another important goal is to avoid situations in which either elected officials or the chief executives are surprised.

Board Workshops

A board workshop, or series of workshops, can help to set goals and priorities for the agency. Workshops and communicating about decorum are key tools for the governing body. Such workshops enable the board to establish overall goals and priorities the community and objectives for the chief executive to pursue. Workshops can also create mutual expectations among board members on how they will work together to achieve goals.

Role of the Chair

One member of the board may be selected to serve as chair of the board. The chair may handle issues among the electeds as they arise so staff is not in the middle of any situations. An executive can work directly with the chair on agenda setting and logistical priorities.

Tailored Communications Methodologies

On a more day-to-day basis, regular communications between the chief executive and elected officials are advisable. How those communications occur will vary according to the preferences and styles of the individuals involved. Elected officials are likely to vary in how, when and where they want to engage in

communication with staff. As one former elected official observed, “One size does not fit all, but all need to feel fit.”

Although the mode of communication may vary; all board members should receive the same information. The method and amount of time for delivering and receiving communication may differ among members. Understanding the communication needs of each elected official is a key executive task.

Regular in-Person Meetings

Experts suggest that one-on-one meetings between the agency chief executive and each governing body member should occur frequently - if not weekly, then biweekly or monthly.

- Regular meetings with governing board are especially important when the body is divided.¹ If the chief executive meets only with members of the majority, the executive may undermine perceptions of staff objectivity and neutrality.
- Although staff is bound to implement the policy adopted by the majority, the relationship the chief executive develops must be with the body as a whole as well as with each individual who makes up the body.

Weekly Updates

Some agencies find a weekly newsletter/email from the chief executive to governing body is helpful practice.

- These should be informational only - not an effort to achieve consensus among decision-makers outside open and publicized meetings.²
- Executives and governing board members also need to be aware that such communications are public documents subject to disclosure to the media or in litigation.

Voice-to-Voice for Sensitive Matters

Communications relating to confidential or sensitive matters are best accomplished in person or by telephone.

Newly Elected Official Orientations

A helpful practice is for each newly-elected governing board member and the chief executive to meet individually early on. The meeting can include a tour of agency facilities and a briefing on key issues, as well as a preview of issues to be covered in any additional orientation sessions planned. It also offers elected officials the opportunity to get their most pressing questions answered.³ For more information, visit ILG's *New to Public Service* resources at: www.ca-ilg.org/new-public-service.

Staff Reports

Another form of communication between staff and elected officials (and others) are the staff reports the governing body receives in preparation for meetings. Good communication between the governing board and staff about the board's needs and expectations is important. In general, the following are recognized as good practices.⁴

- **Complete Information.** Staff reports should contain all of the information necessary to make an informed decision. This includes options and alternatives when appropriate, as well as anticipating questions and concerns.
- **Usability.** Complete information is useful only if it is in useable form. Executive summaries, graphics, tables and decision-trees are ways to summarize complex information in an easier-to-understand manner.
- **Plain Language.** Acronyms, jargon and technical language should be avoided. Any term that is likely to be unfamiliar to the average resident should be either defined or avoided in favor of more easily understandable wording. Be succinct and prepare executive summaries for the members as often as possible.
- **Analytic Framework.** Agency staff should use a consistent framework for presenting policy analyses. Typical components include: problem definition, options and alternatives, evaluation of options, staff recommendation, implementation and evaluation.

Subjects of Communication

Board/executive communications should include not only what is happening or needs to happen, but how decision-making processes occur. This can be especially important on major or potentially contentious issues. The governing body may feel it needs additional time to evaluate the difficult issues presented or engage in additional public engagement processes to hear and consider public input.

More Resources

International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials* (1999)

International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Leading Your Community: A Guide for Local Elected Leaders* (2008)

This resource reflects the insights and thoughts of a number of individuals, including: Kevin C. Duggan, West Coast Director, International City/County Management Association, Pete Kutras, Retired County Executive, Santa Clara, and Principal Consultant, Municipal Resource Group, LLC, Richard A. Haffey, County Executive Officer, Nevada County, and William Chiat, Director, CSAC Institute for Excellence in County Government.

References

¹ Julia Novak and John Nalbandian, Preparing Councils for Their Work, *PM Magazine* (August 2009) available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9107/public/feature3.cfm?author=Julia%20Novak%20and%20John%20Nalbandian&title=Preparing%20Councils%20for%20Their%20Work&subtitle>

² See *Wolfe v. City of Fremont*, 144 Cal. App. 4th 533 (2006); Cal. Gov't Code § 54952.2(b):

(b) (1) A majority of the members of a legislative body shall not, outside a meeting authorized by this chapter, use a series of communications of any kind, directly or *through intermediaries*, to discuss, deliberate, or take action on any item of business that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not be construed as preventing an employee or official of a local agency, from engaging in *separate* conversations or communications outside of a meeting authorized by this chapter with members of a legislative body in order to answer questions or provide information regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the local agency, if that person does not communicate to members of the legislative body the comments or position of any other member or members of the legislative body.

See also *Open and Public IV: A User's Guide to the Ralph M. Brown Act* (2010) at 17 (noting that chief executive officer briefings can be a violation of the state's open meeting laws when the process reveals information about governing body members' respective views).

³ From Mike Conduff, Council Relations, *PM Magazine* (June 2012), available at <http://webapps.icma.org/pm/9405/public/council.cfm?author=&title=Council%20Relations&subtitle>

⁴ International City/County Management Association, *Partnerships in Local Governance: Effective Council-Manager Relations* (1989) at 42-45 (chapter by Kevin C. Duggan and Terry Ellis "Preparing Staff to Work with the Council").

Dealing with Bumps in the Road

At some point in the journey, the board/executive relationship may encounter difficulties. In fact, a consistently comfortable relationship could in itself be an indicator that one or both parties may be avoiding both testing ideas and possible conflict to the detriment of the agency and public they serve.

Strategies to Try¹

1. **Avoid Complacency.** Strong relationships require ongoing investment of time. The environment, challenging situations, changes in players, unanticipated demands and day-to-day politics all place stress on board/executive relationships. Take time to step back and assess relationships on an ongoing basis. Be prepared to adjust approaches if necessary.
2. **Communicate.** Often, communication is the first place where relationships begin to suffer. Something happens; human nature can be to avoid confrontation and uncomfortable discussions. When communication barriers emerge, schedule time for a private face-to-face and earnest discussion with the individual in question. Acknowledge any elephants-in-the-room, share perspectives of what happened, and explore how to improve the relationship.
3. **Address Issues Directly.** Avoidance tends to make problems fester; rarely does avoidance cause problems to go away.
4. **View the Relationship as a Partnership.** Work together to address community needs without focusing on distinctions between policy and administration. Each party to the relationship should feel that their contributions are valued and respected.
5. **Avoid Being a Barrier to Staff Contact with Electeds.** Electeds may prefer to deal with staff directly; staff should keep the chief executive in the loop when significant issues come up in their conversations with members of the governing body.
6. **Focus Credit and Attention on Elected Officials².** The center of positive attention at governing body meetings or at community meetings should be elected officials, not staff.
7. **Try to See the Situation from Others' Perspectives.** Ask open-ended, non-judgmental and even-toned questions. Take a step back to assess what happened and is happening in the relationship. Ask clarifying questions to understand the perspective of the other person; share your own perspective in neutral terms. Examine the problem and not the individuals. Treat the situation as a learning opportunity to avoid future missteps.

8. **Delve Deeper.** Listen for the meaning behind the words. Try to identify the underlying issues, which may have both a logical and an emotional dimension. What are the values, beliefs and anxieties that might be at stake? Sometimes the issue is not more data and facts; it is about connecting on a more personal level. Sometimes people just want to be acknowledged and understood.
9. **Accept Responsibility.** You have surely contributed to the difficulties in a relationship, intentionally or unintentionally. Accept responsibility, apologize if necessary, discuss how to avoid a repeat and move on.
10. **DWYSYWD (“Do What You Say You Will Do”).** People may judge your commitment to a board/executive relationship by what you do, not what you say. If a relationship has been damaged, the first thing people will look for is evidence of commitment to rebuild the relationship. Do what you said you will do. It will be noticed. Start with simple steps (for example, gather information, arrange a meeting, resolve a problem, or create a follow-up plan). These can be immediate and observable demonstrations of your sincerity in wanting to address concerns.
11. **Find a Confidant.** Serving as an elected official or a chief executive can be a solitary world. Identify an objective sounding board to bounce ideas off of and test assumptions and theories. The best confidants are typically outside your organization. They say what you need to hear, not necessarily what you want to hear and can help you navigate through difficult issues.
12. **Take Care of Yourself.** Maintaining a balance in the demands of public service and personal life is challenging. Make time to get away, to decompress, to work off frustrations, to reflect, and create space between public service and your private life. Working through difficulties requires a certain mental and emotional stamina. In addition, people can overreact when they are tired and under stress. Even if it is only an hour at the gym or a long weekend, make time for yourself.

Red Flags for Relationship Attention²

- **Instinct.** If your sense is something isn't as good as it might be, you may well be right. Don't hope it goes away.
- **Information Flows Diminish.** Sometimes one party will feel the other isn't keeping them properly informed or they aren't getting the information they need to do their respective jobs well. Other times, the issue may be that people communicate less and less frequently or information isn't being shared equally.
- **Interpersonal Relations.** Another warning sign is when the chief executive or board member stops being included in conversations that he or she would ordinarily be included in. Watch for any signs of changes in the relationship changes (less forthright/more evasive)
- **Performance Reviews.** Avoiding an annual review (the board doesn't want to give one or the chief executive doesn't want to receive one) can be a sign of trouble.
- **Badmouthing.** This usually signifies significant frustration levels.
- **Focal Point is the Staff.** Another potential sign of trouble is when the chief executive or other staff become the focus of media or election attention.
- **Dissatisfaction with Staff.** Governing board members are dissatisfied with key staff and the chief executive is perceived as not addressing the problem and/or being able to get things done.
- **Trust Diminishes.** The governing board regularly second guesses the executive's recommendations.

About the Institute for Local Government

This tip sheet is a service of the Institute for Local Government (ILG) whose mission is to promote good government at the local level with practical, impartial and easy-to-use resources for California communities. ILG is the nonprofit 501(c)(3) research and education affiliate of the League of California Cities, the California State Association of Counties and the California Special Districts Association.

© 2015 Institute for Local Government. All rights reserved.

References

¹ Heifetz, Ronald A. and Marty Linsky, *Leadership on the Line: Staying Alive through the Dangers of Leading*, 2002, Harvard Business School Press, Boston.

² International City/County Management Association and National League of Cities, *Working Together: A Guide for Elected and Appointed Officials*, 1999 at pages 63-71.

Attributes of Exceptional Councils

Leading public organizations and governing with colleagues on a council is a challenging art of community service. The Institute recognizes that many aspects of leadership and governance are not intuitive. This piece is intended to provide councilmembers and city managers insight into the attributes of exceptional councils as well as provide practical tips to help them become exceptional.

1. Exceptional councils develop a sense of team – a partnership with the city manager to govern and manage the city

The mayor, councilmembers and city manager see themselves and work as a team as they undertake a series of tasks to further their common purpose. The individual team members work in a coordinated and collaborative manner with a high degree of respect, trust and openness. The team values diversity in style and perspective. The team thinks and acts strategically as it examines issues/situations and decides on a course of action serving their city's mission and goals.

KEY CHARACTERISTICS

- Successfully transition from candidate to a member of the council.
- Become a champion of the city. Make decisions based on the needs and interests of the community at-large / the greater good.
- Develop, communicate and support policy goals and council decisions.
- Demonstrate a willingness to work collaboratively (as a team) and have a citywide perspective.

BEST PRACTICE TIPS

Build capacity to create a more effective team. The governance team (mayor, councilmembers and city manager) should get to know each other; how each person approaches issues, decision making style and so on. This can be accomplished at annual meetings or workshops through-out the year. In the event that councilmembers disagree, clear ground rules (norms of behavior and practice) can help quell acrimony before it becomes a problem. It's important to remember that trust is built around understanding and respect, not necessarily agreement.

2. Exceptional councils have clear roles and responsibilities that are understood and adhered.

Exceptional councils understand their role is to serve as policy maker - to represent the values, beliefs and priorities of their community while serving in the community's best interest. They carry out a variety of responsibilities including: developing and adopting a vision for the city; focusing and aligning plans, policies, agreements and budgets in furtherance of this vision; and holding themselves and the city manager accountable for results.

Exceptional councils understand that the city manager is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the city. The city manager is responsible for undertaking and accomplishing the policy objectives of the council. Exceptional councils recognize the subject matter expertise of staff and utilize their knowledge and experience to guide and inform decision making.

KEY CHARACTERISTICS

- Understand the role of local government and their responsibilities.
- Know their role- to set vision and policy, avoid micromanagement.
- Councilmembers should strive to be informed about the issues facing the city and be prepared to ask questions of staff and each other.

BEST PRACTICE TIPS

Create a shared understanding of the city manager's role and the council's expectations to optimize the working relationships. This shared understanding is informed by local charter and ordinance provisions that provide the overall framework for the relationship. The council should make time to have conversations during retreats and or study sessions to define and/or reveal and refine their role and responsibilities. Since role clarity between the city council and city management is critical to mutual success, having clear protocols helps avoid misunderstandings.

3. Exceptional councils honor the relationship with staff and each other

Exceptional councils understand that a good working relationship with staff is vital for the city to be run successfully. Exceptional councils treat each other and staff with dignity and respect. They act with civility and a high level of professional decorum. Councilmembers build trust by not playing the “gotcha game” and strive to have a no secrets, no surprises approach as an operating norm. Finally, they respect the diversity of styles and perspectives among their colleagues and staff and are open to new ideas.

KEY CHARACTERISTICS

- Councilmembers have the ability to respectfully disagree (to disagree without being disagreeable). They are able to leave it at the dais; debates are about policy, not personality.
- Exceptional councilmembers reflect positive decorum/model of leadership by providing respectful tone with colleagues.
- Establish a set of behaviors ahead of time, potentially documented in a code of conduct, to help promote civility and respect.

BEST PRACTICE TIPS

Set council priorities and strategic goals at an annual meeting; these goals and priorities are a tool to guide the city manager and staff on where to focus their efforts. This annual meeting provides time for the council to reflect on community priorities as well as offer an opportunity to discuss their decorum and their relationship among each other and the relationship between the city manager/staff and the council.

4. Exceptional councils routinely conduct effective meetings

Open and public meetings are central to democratic decision-making. Exceptional councils master the art of effective meetings. They develop and adhere to meeting protocols and processes. They spend time planning and organizing the agenda with the aim of having a more focused meeting. They allocate the council’s time and energy appropriately (focused on the council’s role and responsibilities) and meeting short- and long-term priorities. They honor the public’s participation and engagement and they generally start on time and are held during reasonable hours.

Exceptional councils use public meetings not only for their intended purpose, information sharing and decision-making,

KEY CHARACTERISTICS

- Councilmembers are respectful of each other, the public and everyone’s time.
- Councilmembers use engaging body language as a way to demonstrate respect.
- Issues are not personalized, thoughtful dialogue is the objective.
- Agenda packets are read, councilmembers come prepared and have an open mind
- Respect is demonstrated for varied opinions.
- Everyone strives to be civil and act with decorum.

but they also use the meeting to demonstrate respect and civility for each other, staff and the public. Exceptional councilmembers prepare in advance of the meeting, remain focused on the city goals and objectives and mindful of their role and responsibilities.

BEST PRACTICE TIPS

Develop and adopt (with regular reviews and updates), guidelines for conducting meetings and making decisions. These governance protocols typically address meeting procedures (agenda preparation, how to put issues on the agenda, debate and voting procedures (parliamentary rules) and standards of decorum (civility)). As part of a regular self-assessment, councils should evaluate their meetings and their effectiveness and adjust behavior and practices for better results.

5. Exceptional councils hold themselves and the city accountable

Exceptional councils operate openly, ethically and work to engage the community in a myriad of decisions impacting the prosperity and well-being of their community. Toward that end, exceptional councils consistently provide short- and long-term strategic direction and goals, as well as provide budget, program and policy oversight.

Exceptional councils hold themselves accountable for the conduct, behavior and effectiveness of the council. They establish clear priorities and goals and hold the city manager accountable for results. And finally, they embrace accountability as a process and tool to calibrate ongoing efforts to address and meet policy and program objectives.

KEY CHARACTERISTICS

- Councilmembers operate ethically and with integrity.
- Councils conduct team building / goal setting exercise to track progress towards mutually agreed upon goals
- Councils taking responsibility for the results (good and bad).
- Councils celebrate success.
- Councilmembers hold themselves responsible for adhering to operating protocols and codes of conduct.

BEST PRACTICE TIPS

Annually evaluate council and city manager performance toward achieving the city's priorities and goals (consider having this be part of an annual goal setting meeting). Council should consider assessing its own behavior and effectiveness as part of its annual self-assessment.

6. Exceptional councils have members who practice continuous personal learning and development

Governance is not intuitive. In addition, the policy and economic environment impacting cities are ever changing. Exceptional councils continually provide the opportunity to build their knowledge and skills, to enhance their understanding of key issues, increase their awareness of best practices and sharpen their leadership and governance skills.

KEY CHARACTERISTICS

- Stay informed on key issues
- Gain key insights and knowledge on all aspects of governing, from budgets to plans and everything in between.
- Learning to listen is sometimes more important than learning to give a speech.

BEST PRACTICE TIPS

Seek out national, state and local professional growth and educational opportunities. These opportunities can focus on the nuts and bolts of governing to helping you gain valuable information and/or insights on key policy issues facing your city. In addition, city run orientations for newly elected officials provide a good way to acclimate new members to the council's norms and protocols as well as the budget and key policy issues.