# CITY OF CAMBRIDGE 

## BOARD OF ZONING APPEAL

831 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge MA 02139

$$
617-349-6100
$$

2021 JAN 27 AM II: 47
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLEIK CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

BZA Number: 103314

## General Information

The undersigned hereby petitions the Board of Zoning Appeal for the following:
Special Permit: $\qquad$ Variance: $\qquad$ Appeal: $\qquad$

PETITIONER: FRANK P. FIORENTINO, CPA,TRUSTEE OF THE MOBIL NOMINEE TRUST U/D/T DATED MARCH 13, 2017C/O JESSE D. SCHOMER, ESQ.

PETITIONER'S ADDRESS: REGNANTE STERIO LLP - 401 EDGEWATER PL, STE 630, WAKEFIELD, 01880
LOCATION OF PROPERTY: 2615 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA
TYPE OF OCCUPANCY: GAS STATION
ZONING DISTRICT: Residence B Zone

## REASON FOR PETITION:

/New Structure/ /Petition for M.G.L. c. 40A, § 6 Finding/

## DESCRIPTION OF PETITIONER'S PROPOSAL:

CONSTRUCTION OF 2 DIMENSIONALLY-COMPLIANT ACCESSORY STRUCTURES (CANOPIES) OVER THE EXISTING FUEL PUMP ISLANDS. NO CHANGE, EXTENSION, OR ALTERATION TO THE PRE-EXISTING, NONCONFORMING USE (GAS STATION) OR BUILDING/STRUCTURES IS PROPOSED.

CONSTRUCTION OF 2 DIMENSIONALLY-COMPLIANT ACCESSORY STRUCTURES (CANOPIES) OVER THE EXISTING FUEL PUMP ISLANDS. NO CHANGE, EXTENSION, OR ALTERATION TO THE PRE-EXISTING, NONCONFORMING USE (GAS STATION) OR BUILDING/STRUCTURES IS PROPOSED.

## SECTIONS OF ZONING ORDINANCE CITED:

Article: 8.000
Article: 8.000
Article: 4.000

Section: 8.22 (Special Permit, Nonconforming Use). Section: 8.22.3 (Variance, Nonconforming Use). Section: 4.21.k (Height, Accessory Structures).

Original
Signature(s):


Address:

Tel. No. 781-710-1248
Date:, $1 / 20 / 21$
E-Mail Address: JSCHOMER@REGNANTE.COM

## BZA APPLICATION FORM - OWNERSHIP INFORMATION

To be completed by OWNER, signed before a notary and returned to The Secretary of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

I/We FRANK P. FIORENTINO, CPA, TRUSTEE OF THE MOBIL NOMINEE TRUST u/d/t DATED MARCH 13, 2017
Address: 607 NORTH AVENUE, SUITE 16, WAKEFIELD, MA 01880

State that I/We own the property located at 2615 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
which is the subject of this zoning application.

The record title of this property is in the name of $\qquad$
FRANK P. FIORENTINO, CPA, TRUSTEE OF THE MOBIL NOMINEE TRUST u/d/t DATED MARCH 13, 2017

*Written evidence of Agent's standing to represent petitioner may be requested.
commonwealth of Massachusetts, county of Middlesex
The above-name Frank Pi Fioventino personally appeared before me, this $25^{t_{2}}$ of November, 2020 , and made oath that the above statement is true.


- If ownership is not shown in recorded deed, e.g. if by court order, recent deed, or inheritance, please include documentation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore=\therefore \therefore \therefore \\
& \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

## SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR A VARIANCE

EACH OF THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS FOR A VARIANCE MUST BE ESTABLISHED AND SET FORTH IN COMPLETE DETAIL BY THE APPLICANT IN ACCORDANCE WITH MGL 40A, SECTION 10.
A) A literal enforcement of the provisions of this Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise, to the petitioner or appellant for the following reasons:

CONSTRUCTION OF CANOPIES IS NECESSARY TO SHIELD FUEL PUMPS FROM WEATHER DUE TO COMPUTER EQUIPMENT WHICH IS PRESENT IN ALL MODERN FUEL PUMPS.

The hardship is owing to the following circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or B) topography of such land or structures and especially affecting such land or structures by not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located for the following reasons:

PROPERTY IS A PRE-EXISTING, NONCONFORMING GAS STATION THAT WAS BUILT WITH UNCOVERED FUEL ISLANDS PRIOR TO THE AREA BEING ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE. THE EXISTING UNCOVERED FUEL PUMPING ISLANDS NOW REQUIRE CANOPIES TO PROTECT SENSITIVE COMPUTER EQUIPMENT WHICH IS PRESENT IN ALL MODERN FUEL PUMPS.
C) DESIRABLE RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED WITHOUT EITHER:
1)

Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good for the following reasons:

THE PROPOSED CANOPIES WILL COMPLY WITH ALL DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS, INCREASE SAFETY, AND WILL ENABLE THE SITE TO BE MODERNIZED. CANOPIES WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL LIGHT SCREENING FOR NEIGHBORS.
2)

Desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this Ordinance for the following reasons:

THE PROPOSED CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY WILL BE SUBSTANTIALLY LESS DETRIMENTAL TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAN THE EXISTING CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY, WHICH IS PRESENTLY VISUALLY UNAPPEALING, WITH UNSHIELDED LIGHT IMPACTS. THE PROPOSED CANOPIES WILL PROMOTE SAFETY AND REDUCE VISUAL IMPACTS.
*If you have any questions as to whether you can establish all of the applicable legal requirements, you should consult with an attorney.

## BZA Application Form

## SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR A SPECIAL PERMIT

Please describe in complete detail how you meet each of the following criteria referring to the property and proposed changes or uses which are requested in your application. Attach sheets with additional information for special permits which have additional criteria, e.g.; fast food permits, comprehensive permits, etc., which must be met.

Granting the Special Permit requested for 2615 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA (location) would not be a detriment to the public interest because:
A) Requirements of the Ordinance can or will be met for the following reasons:

THE PROPOSED CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY WILL BE LESS DETRIMENTAL THAN ITS EXISTING CONDITION BASED ON INCREASED SAFETY, IMPROVED VISUAL APPEAL, REDUCED LIGHT IMPACTS.
B) Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character for the following reasons:

NO CHANGE TO THE PRE-EXISTING NONCONFORMING USE IS PROPOSED, SO NO CHANGE IN TRAFFIC WILL OCCUR.
C) The continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use for the following reasons:

THE PROPOSED CANOPIES WITH IMPROVE THE VISUAL APPEAL OF THE SITE AND REDUCE LIGHT IMPACTS OF THE EXISTING COMMERCIAL GAS STATION ON ADJACENT PROPERTIES.
D) Nuisance or hazard would not be created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use or the citizens of the City for the following reasons:

THE PROPOSED CANOPIES WOULD IMPROVE HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE CONDITIONS BY PROVIDING IMPROVED SHIELDING FROM WEATHER, PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE COMPUTERIZED FUEL PUMP EQUIPMENT, AND IMPROVED FIRE SAFETY.
E) For other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district or otherwise derogate from the intent or purpose of this ordinance for the following reasons:

THE PROPOSED CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY WILL BE LESS DETRIMENTAL THAN ITS EXISTING CONDITION BASED ON INCREASED SAFETY, IMPROVED VISUAL APPEAL, REDUCED LIGHT IMPACTS.
*If you have any questions as to whether you can establish all of the applicable legal requirements, you should consult with an attorney.

## DIMENSIONAL INFORMATION

| Applicant: | FRANK P. FIORENTINO, CPA, TRUSTEE OF | Present Use/Occupancy: | GAS STATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THE MOBIL NOMINEE TRUST U/D/T DATED |  |  |
| Location: | REGNANTE STERIO LLP - 401 EDGEWATER PL, STE 630 | Zone: | Residence B Zone |
| Phone: | 781-710-1248 | Requested Use/Occupancy: | NO CHANGE |


|  |  | Existing Conditions | Requested Conditions | Ordinance <br> Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL GROSS |  | 2020 | NO CHANGE | N/A | (max.) |
| LOTAREA: |  | 21222 | NO CHANGE | 5000 | (min.) |
| RATIO OF GROSS |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLOORAREA TO |  | 0.095 | NO CHANGE | 0.5 |  |
| LOTAREA: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOT AREA OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| EACH DWELLING |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| UNIT |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIZE OF LOT: | WIDTH | >200 | NO CHANGE | 50 |  |
|  | DEPTH | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| SETBACKS IN FEET: | FRONT | 15 | 15 | 15 |  |
|  | REAR | 38.7 | 38.7 | 25 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEFT } \\ & \text { SIDE } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 15 | 15 |  |
|  | RIGHT SIDE | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.5 |  |
| SIZE OF BUILDING: | HEIGHT | 14.2 | 15 | 35 (15 FOR ACC. STRUCTURES) |  |
|  | WIDTH | 57.7 | 57.7 | N/A |  |
| RATIO OF USABLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPEN SPACE TO |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| LOT AREA: |  |  |  |  |  |
| NO. OF DWELLING |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| UNITS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| NO. OF PARKING |  | 23 | 23 | N/A |  |
| SPACES: |  | 23 |  | N/A |  |
| $\frac{\text { NO. OF LOADING }}{\text { AREAS: }}$ |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| DISTANCE TO |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEAREST BLDG. |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| ON SAMELOT |  |  |  |  |  |

Describe where applicable, other occupancies on the same lot, the size of adjacent buildings on same lot, and type of construction proposed, e.g; wood frame, concrete, brick, steel, etc.

1. SEE CAMBRIDGE ZONING ORDINANCE ARTICLE 5.000, SECTION 5.30 (DISTRICT OF DIMENSIONAL REGULATIONS).
2. TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (INCLUDING BASEMENT $7^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ IN HEIGHT AND ATTIC AREAS GREATER THAN 5') DIVIDED BY LOT AREA.
3. OPEN SPACE SHALL NOT INCLUDE PARKING AREAS, WALKWAYS OR DRIVEWAYS AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM DIMENSION OF $15^{15}$.


# City of Cambridge 

Massachusetts
2021 MAR - 1 PM 2: 41
BOARD OF ZONING APPEAL
831 Mass Avenue, Cambridge, MA.
(617) 349-6100

## Board of Zoning Appeal Waiver Form

The Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

RE: Case \# $\qquad$ .

Address: $\qquad$ 2615 past Ave $\qquad$
$\qquad$ .
$\square$ Owner, $\square$ Petitioner, or X Representative: _Jesse D. Schomer, Esq.
(Print Name)
hereby waives the required time limits for holding a public hearing as required by Section 9 or Section 15 of the Zoning Act of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 40A. The $\square$ Owner, $\square$ Petitioner, or $\mathbb{X}$ Representative further hereby waives the Petitioner's and/or Owner's right to a Decision by the Board of Zoning Appeal on the above referenced case within the time period as required by Section 9 or Section 15 of the Zoning Act of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 40A, and/or Section 6409 of the federal Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, codified as 47 U.S.C. §1455(a), or any other relevant state or federal regulation or law.

Public hearing continued from $2 / 25 / 21$ to $4 / 8 / 21$.

Date: $\qquad$

(7:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 103314 -- 2615 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter?

JESSIE SCHOMER: Yes, Mr. Chair. My name is Attorney Jesse Schomer. I represent the applicant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before -- this is our night. Before we talk further about this case, I'm going to tell you we have to continue it for a number of reasons.

First of all, the office -- Ms. Pacheco required -- requested -- at the request of one of the members of the Board that we be provided with photo simulations for the proposed canopies, and they should show the proposed color in any lettering on the canopies.

And someone -- a Jesse Schomer -- replied, "I have requested renderings of the canopies, and will try to get those to you ASAP." This was about a week ago. We never got them. So we haven't had a chance to review them as part of
our file. That's one reason to continue the case.
The second is I don't understand your application. You're applying for a variance and a special permit? What is this, take your choice? What are you? We don't proceed that way, sir. You tell us whether you want a special permit or a variance, and we'll act on that request. But we're not going to choose the form of relief for you. We had this before, and that's our policy.

And lastly, there's a memo in the file regarding a case which would suggest that if that case is applied or the standards of that case, that you don't need any relief. So you've put on the table three different forms of relief. And again, as I said before, that's not how we go about it. Take your case and get us those photo simulations, decide which way you're going to go, and argue your case on that basis.

Any other members of the Board --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. The other condition that was requested is that you reach out and submit all your materials to the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee. According to the reply to Ms. Pacheco, that has been done. And I don't know if you e-
mailed it over to them or not, but you really should have dialogued conversation with them.

And I would ask the member of the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee who is listed again that they should send us a written correspondence regarding the fruits of any of those discussions.

So there are two things: Number one is we need the photo simulations showing color and any lettering, and also, contact with the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee, before I'm willing to go forward and hear the case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any questions, sir, the Counsel for the petitioner before I make my motion to continue the case?

JESSIE SCHOMER: No, no questions, Mr. Chair, just to respond very briefly. We are in the process of getting the photo simulations that the Board had requested. I had hoped to have those before the hearing, and unfortunately that didn't come through.

And we have been requesting those, actually, from the manufacturer of the canopies that we're proposing since November. So we're as frustrated as the Board is with the
delay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I accept that. Do you have any -- we're going to have to set a specific date. I don't want to have a date -- pick a date and find out the photo simulations are not available. Do you want to take a longer date? How much time would you like to continue this case?

JESSIE SCHOMER: My suggestion, Mr. Chairman, was going to be the first meeting in April. I think that would be enough time. And we are in conversations with the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee and we had a good conversation with them last night and we hope to iron out any issues.

I would, if I may I'd like to address the issue of the relief that we're requesting?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. Go right ahead.
JESSIE SCHOMER: Thank you. I admit that the application is somewhat confusing. And that's -- what we're requesting in this case is actually a Section 6 finding under Mass. General Laws Chapter 40a Section 6. And this is how we originally filed this application. However, when it was received by the ISD, they didn't understand what it was
that we were requesting. And so, they had requested that we file applications for a special permit and a variance under the local bylaw.

So what we're requesting is a Section 6 finding under Chapter 40A 6, and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Understood. Thank you for that explanation. I think for the hearing, since it will be the first one in April, I think you want to modify your application to be more specific than we have right now.

JESSIE SCHOMER: Happy to do so.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sisia, when is the first hearing in April that we have room?

SISIA DAGLIAN: April 8, and we actually have room.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. April 8 work for you, sir?

JESSIE SCHOMER: Yes, Mr. Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on April 8, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and that's required to avoid complications under

Massachusetts General Laws. That waiver, which is a standard document that we use, and I think you'll find it's not controversial -- if we had an in-person meeting I would hand it to you, but we don't have that.

In any event, that waiver of notice must be signed and returned to the Inspectional Services Department no later than 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday. If that is not done, this case will be ipso facto terminated, and that will be it for two years.

So just make sure you work with Ms. Pacheco and get that waiver of time for decision to be signed.

Second, that the posting sign that you need to post for the new hearing date -- you can do one of two things: You can get a new sign, which I would prefer, because the old sign is in bad condition, the last time $I$ looked -- but again, a new sign with the new date and new time; or alternatively, you can mark up the existing sign to reflect the new date and the new time.

That sign, that marker must be readable. Otherwise, they will not satisfy the requirements of the ordinance, and we will not hear the case on April 8.

And lastly, to the extent you're going to submit,
as we requested, photo simulations, and any other modifications to the specifications -- data or the like regarding the relief you're seeking, that must be in the ISD files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before April 8.

That is to allow Board members and interested citizens of the city time to review those changes from what are now the facts, and formulate whatever conclusions they want or don't want to formulate.

So those are the conditions. Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance -Brendan Sullivan.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]

So this case will be continued until April 8. See you then -or- hear you then! Thank you.

JESSIE SCHOMER: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Our next case is scheduled for $7: 15$, and it's now $7: 11$, so we're going to take a quick four-minute break, it would appear.
[BREAK]

City of Cambridge
Massachusetts
BOARD OF ZONING APPEAL


831 Mass Avenue, Cambridge, MA.
(617) 349-6100

BRA

## POSTING NOTICE - PICK UP SHEET

The undersigned picked up the notice board for the Board of Zoning Appeals Hearing.

Name:
 Date: $\qquad$ address: $26 / 5$ Mast Ave .

Case No. $\sqrt[1]{ } \subset A-103314$
Hearing Date: $\quad 4 / 8 / 21$

Thank you,
Baa Members

# Parkway Condominium Trus $\dagger$ 

2595 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140
City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Massachusetts Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139
April 5, 2021
RE: BZA-103314

## To Whom it May Concern;

Allow me to introduce myself, my name is Rich Cadotte I am president, resident and managing trustee of the Parkway Condominium community. The western side of our building, which consist of nine units, directly abuts Alewife Mobil at 2615 Massachusetts Avenue. As the representative of this community I would like to voice the opposition of owners and residents to the proposed construction of canopies at this location.

In regard to light pollution Mr. Schomer was asked to share the basis of his findings, he states that light trespass would be significantly reduced and to provide us with an accurate rendition of the proposed canopies. To date neither were provided.

The petition also states that canopies are required to protect the proposed replacement of fuel dispensers, it is my belief that this statement is untrue. We requested product data from the manufacturer stating these new units could not be installed without the protection of said canopies. To date this information has also not been received.

The petition also states that project will increase the appeal of the location, it is in our opinion that the current operators have not been good stewards of the location. For the several years more than $50 \%$ of the outside lighting has been non-functioning, they have uprooted several mature plantings along the Alewife Brook Parkway leaving the area barren, their stockade fence has sections laying on its side unrepaired, curbing laying strewed about after being dislodge by the plow, clothes and book collection boxes overflowing with residual debris tossed about on both properties. We find it difficult to believe that the location would be maintained in a professional and neighborly manner.

In regard to improved safety, in our opinion it is too congested to accommodate four dispensing location. There are chronic issues especially with trucks coming into contact with the crash bars protecting the fueling islands, and crashing with the overhead fire suppression rigging. The location has suffered damage to the fire

The Board of Trustees
President, Treasurer, Managing Trustee Richard Cadotte 617.497.5651
Secretary: Amy Middleton 781.956.7869
Trustee: Denis Roulean 617.576.2841

# Parkway Condominium Trus $\dagger$ 

2595 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140
suppression located over island \#1 several months ago and to date it has not been repaired. Question, is it operational.

In closing, let me address the elephant in the room. The view from the nine units facing the location are seeing 2 fueling locations at ground level when they look out of their windows. They have a clear view of the greenway along Alewife Brook Parkway where wildlife is often observed early morning and evenings. It's obvious that the current operators of Alewife Mobil are only concerned with the business side of this project, on the other hand we will need to live with this structure outside our windows every day and night.

It is because of these reasons I respectively request that this application be denied.
Sincerely


The Board of Trustees
President, Treasurer, Managing Trustee Richard Cadotte 617.497.5661
Secretary: Amy Middleton 781.956.7869
Trustee: Denis Rouleau 617.576.2841

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners and current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".
Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)


Date: $3-15-2021$
Name: (print) Richard CalotTe


Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$


City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge. MA02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners at Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
Unit\# 3
Date: Mar 2, Lnat

## City of Cambridge

Heard of' Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ale.
(cambridge. MA 0213)

## Re: Case number 6\% A-101.14

Dear Board of /honing Appeal:
We, the unit owners at Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017 . the owners also opposed B/An(1) 308 s.2017, which also sough to a variance to construct canopies at this address.
The owners oppose BZA- 103314 for the following reasons:

- The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights. 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
- The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, 137 A .013985 .2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
- The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Grecmway, which will diminish residents enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioners statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours.
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
Unit\# 4
Name: (print)


Name: (print)


Signature:


City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners at Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
Unit\# 5
Date: 1 Marcm 2021.

Name: (print) Nathalie Nopakun Signature: N.N.

Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:
We, the unit owners at Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
Unit \# $\qquad$


Name: (print) SUVITYA NOPAVUN Signature:


Name: (print) APILAT NOPAKLN Signature:



Name: (print) Sher la Kennedy signature:


## City of Cambridge

Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners at Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

- The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downward-pointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
- The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
- The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
Unit \# 7
Date: Manct 3, 2cre,

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

## Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners or current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".
Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)


Date: 3/17/a21
Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature:


Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:
We, the unit owners and current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".
Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)

Unit \# _12
Date: Forah 14, 20d
Name: (print) PATRICIA A. BucikLEEY signature: Pativian A. Ouekley
Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners and current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".
Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
Name: (print) Levis Rouleau Signature: Denis Properer

Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners and current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.
The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".
Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)

Unit \#


Date:


Name: (print) $D A L B A S$ OlE $/ A U L O$ signature:


Name: (print) ValolETE OLE FULO Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

## Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners at Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)
Unit \# 15
Date: $3 / 2 / 2021$

Name: (print) $67 n y \quad z h_{\text {ad }}$
Signature:


Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:
We, the unit owners or current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, B2A-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)

Unit \# 17
Date: $3 / 89 / 21$

Name: (print) Nate Cu.toner


Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature; $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

## Re: Case number BZA-103314

## Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:

We, the unit owners and current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".
Sincerely, veyus.
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)


Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$

City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
831 Mass Ave.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Case number BZA-103314

Dear Board of Zoning Appeal:
We, the unit owners and current residents of the Parkway Condominiums at 2595 Mass Ave, vehemently oppose the petition for the variance and special permit at 2615 Mass Ave. In 2017, the owners also opposed BZA-013985-2017, which also sought to a variance to construct canopies at this address.

The owners oppose BZA-103314 for the following reasons:

1) The light pollution from the canopies will have a direct effect on the residents of the Parkway condominium. The petition states that the canopies will have downwardpointing lights, but it lacks information about 1) the brightness of the lights, 2) potential light trespass, and 3 ) whether there will be lighting on the sides of the canopies.
2) The exhibits presented in the petition do not show any rendering of what the finished canopies would look like. (In contrast, BZA-013985-2017 included renderings of the completed canopies.)
3) The canopies in this petition will obstruct the views of the residents of the Parkway Condominiums of the Alewife Greenway, which will diminish residents' enjoyment of their homes and negatively affect property values. This refutes the petitioner's statement that "the proposed condition of the property will be substantially less detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the property".

Sincerely yours,
Unit owners at Parkway Condominiums (see attached list)


Name: (print) $\qquad$ Signature: $\qquad$
(6:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

Okay. The case -- we start, as usual, with continued cases, before getting to our regular agenda. The continued cases are cases that have started at an earlier date and for one reason or another were deferred until this evening.

So the first continued case I'm going to call is Case Number -- where's the paper? There it is. Case Number 103314. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

No one?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The reason why I think there's no one is that the petitioner in this case has requested a further continuance. The letter -- okay, they're having problems getting some information or something --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, okay, sorry there is somebody.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- are things that we have
requested from their -- from Mobile Oil.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sorry, there is somebody.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

SISIA DAGLIAN: There is somebody that raised their hand.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh. I'm sorry. Someone wish to speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jesse Schomer.

JESSE SCHOMER: Yes, Mr. Chair, good evening. My name is Attorney Jesse Schomer. I represent the applicant on this matter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JESSE SCHOMER: We had requested a continuance tonight, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know. I'm aware of that, I had the letter. Or I have a letter requesting continuance.

JESSE SCHOMER: Can you hear me, Mr. Chair? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. Can you hear me? JESSE SCHOMER: Okay. I can, and I apologize. There was an issue -- I was just being promoted to panelist.

So I think my audio cut out for a moment.
I'm the attorney for the applicant on this, and we've requested that the Board members grant us a continuance of this matter because we're still in the process of working on the documents that the Board had requested that we provide and file with the members, and specifically that the photometric light impact study and a 3D rendering of the proposed canopies at this site.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JESSE SCHOMER: I'm led to understand from the manufacturer of these canopies that these documents should be ready and ready to be filed with the Board within the next few days, but unfortunately they couldn't be done by this past Monday at 5:00 p.m. So we weren't able to get them in time for tonight.

So if it pleases the members, we would request a continuance to the May 20 hearing, and that would allow us some time to get these documents and review them, and make sure that they show exactly what we're proposing on the site... and as well to show them to the neighbors and the neighborhood group and go over them with the neighbors in the hopes of earning their support for this proposal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Some problems with continuing it to the twentieth. We are having a hearing on the twentieth, but it is to hear a comprehensive permit case in Cambridge that's drawn a lot of attention.

JESSE SCHOMER: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And meeting that night is going to be quite protracted, I suspect. So we decided not to hear any other cases on the twentieth.

The plot thickens. The regular meeting will be the twenty-seventh of May. But I can -- I would not be able to sit that night. I'm going to be out of the state.

So you will have a choice to continue it until May 27, but there will only be four members sitting that night. And as you probably know, to get relief we need four votes. So the odds are not in your favor, so to speak.

If you had a regular five-person panel, one person could dissent, and you would still get your relief if the other four agreed to do that. You don't have that luxury for the May 27 meeting. So it's up to you to do that. If not, the next meeting would be June --

SISIA DAGLIAN: June 10, right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So either we can continue
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to June 10, then you'll have five -- presumably five members present, I'll have to check before we take a vote -- to make th
sure the other members of the Board can make the 10 . Or you can go for the twenty-seventh and take your chances with just four members.

JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think our preference would be to continue to June the tenth.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what most people do. Let me see -- Brendan, will you be available on the tenth?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, available.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm available.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, now --
JASON MARSHALL: Jason?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason didn't sit on the original case --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I think it's got to be

Laura. I don't know if Laura --
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, Laura's here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: She was here.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: She was here?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: -- I wasn't asked to be on the continuing case tonight, so $I$ assume that $I$ was not on the original case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It was a case not heard, so --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, this is a case heard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at the agenda.

JESSE SCHOMER: If I may, Mr. Chair, this is a case not heard. We did agree to continue without being opened.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, could you repeat that, sir?

JESSE SCHOMER: This is a case not heard. We did agree to a continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It is, I'm sorry. My
mistake. Well, if it's a case not heard, we could do it on the twenty-seventh -- presumably we'll be able to get five members. Would you prefer the twenty-seventh of May or June 10?

JESSE SCHOMER: That would be fine, Mr. Chair. The twenty-seventh would be fine, in that case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Twenty-seventh? We have room on our --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will continue this case.

LAURA WERNICK: This is Laura. I definitely will not be here on the twenty-seventh. But I'm sure you can get others.

ANDREA HICKEY: And I also -- this is Andrea. I also will not be here on the twenty-seventh, but I'm sure there are Associate Members that can step up.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I assume that to be the case as well. As a matter of fact, we just filled our last vacancy the other day; we now have a full staff of Board members. So anyway, Laura, you can't make the twentyseventh?

LAURA WERNICK: Sorry. It's my birthday. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Write that down.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You might be very
"chattable" that night. [Laughter] Okay. Sir, do you still want to have the twenty-seventh? The members will be presumably Mr . Sullivan, Mr . Monteverde, and three other players, to be named later.

JESSE SCHOMER: Either of those dates is fine with us, Mr. Chair. The twenty-seventh would be fine.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I will make the motion. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on May 27, subject to the following conditions:

First -- it's already been satisfied -- the petitioner will sign a waiver of time for decision and you did that in connection with tonight's meeting, so that's taken care of.

Second, a new posting sign must be put up for the 14 days requires by our ordinance. It should be a wholly
new sign. You can take the current sign and just with a magic marker or what have you change the date and the time. That's up to you.

And last, the plans and drawings or whatever it is we're waiting for and you're getting from Mobile, those -as you know, but I'll have to repeat it -- those must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before May 27.

Brendan, how you vote on the continuance?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura? Nope, not Laura -Jason. Sorry.

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I vote yes for the
continuance as well.
[All vote YES]
So this case is continued until 6:30 on May 27.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 6:00.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00. I'm sorry. Thank
you, Brendan. 6:00.
JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00 on May 27. Thank you.

JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you very much.

April 1, 2021
City of Cambridge
Board of Zoning Appeal
Attn: Maria Pacheco
831 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge MA 02139

## Re: $\quad \underline{\mathbf{2 6 1 5}}$ Massachusetts Avenue (BZA File No. 103314)

Dear Board Members:
This office is legal counsel to the applicant in the above-referenced zoning application. I write to respectfully request a continuance of the April 8, 2021 hearing of this matter. My clients have been diligently attempting to obtain the documents requested by the Board at its previous hearing (a 3D rendering of the proposed condition of the property and a photometric light impact survey) since we were last before the Board. However, the company hired to produce these documents was unable to complete them before the Board's submission deadline for the April 8 meeting. That company have advised me that the documents will not be completed likely until later this week.

Because - despite my clients' best efforts - the documents requested by the Board are not yet ready for submission to the Board, we respectfully request that this matter be continued to the Board's May 20, 2021 meeting. This would give us adequate time to obtain the requested documents, as well as to continue our efforts to work with the neighbors to earn their support for this project.

In connection with this request, we formally agree to waive and extend any/all statutory deadlines for the Board to open and conduct its public hearing on this matter.

Respectfully,

## REGNANTE STERIO LLP

$\frac{/ \text { s/ Jesse D. Schomer, Esq. }}{\text { JESSE D. SCHOMER, ESQ. }}$














## Description of Relief Requested

The property that is the subject of this application is located at 2615 Massachusetts Avenue, at the corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Alewife Brook Parkway, in the Residence B zoning district. It is currently developed with a pre-existing nonconforming gas and service station, which has existed at the site since 1921 and is proposed to remain in its current form without any change to the use or building.

The applicant requests a finding pursuant to Section 6 of Chapter 40A of the Massachusetts General Laws authorizing the construction of two accessory canopy structures over the existing fuel pump islands on the basis of a finding that such construction would be not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the site in accordance with M.G.L. c. $40 \mathrm{~A}, \S 6$ and/or Sections 8.22 and/or 8.22 .3 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance.

As shown on the attached plans and section views, the proposed canopies have been designed to comply with all dimensional requirements of Section 4.21 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance, namely: the canopies "shall not exceed fifteen (15) feet in height above the ground level" and "shall not be located nearer than ten (10) feet to the principal building or nearer than five (5) feet to any side or rear lot line or nearer to the front lot line than the minimum setback in the zoning district." The proposed canopies have been designed with as minimal a footprint as possible, so as to respect all applicable height and setback requirements. The canopies are open on all four sides and are supported only by four 7"-diameter columns, so it will not obstruct views through the site. With respect to height, it should be noted that the 15 ' height of the proposed canopies is significantly shorter than is customary for such installations, but the applicant has proposed this lesser height as an accommodation to neighbors. Additionally, the canopies have been designed with a slimline cover with a height of only 18 ", which further reduces the appearance of mass. No signage is proposed to be mounted to the canopies.

The purpose of the proposed canopies is to provide shielding from weather for motorists fueling their vehicles, and weather protection to the fuel pumps themselves, which today feature modern computerized equipment, for which protection from weather is needed. The proposed canopies will also provide greater fire protection and added shielding for nearby residential properties from light pollution, which currently emanates from the site via unshielded lighting fixtures mounted to the existing fuel pump islands. In contrast to these existing unshielded "up lights", the proposed canopy lights would light downward from above, resulting in greater protection of residential neighbors. The photometric study submitted herewith demonstrates that the canopies will have a minimal light impact on neighboring properties.

It is well-settled law that modifications to pre-existing, nonconforming uses intended to ensure that such use is "improved and made more efficient," are permitted as of right as long as the modifications are "ordinarily and reasonably adapted to the original use and do not constitute a change in the original nature and purpose of the undertaking." Derby Ref. Co. v. City of Chelsea, 407 Mass. 703, 714 (1990). In that case, the court held not only that the conversion of a gasoline storage facility to an asphalt storage facility did not constitute a change of use, but also that site upgrades (enabling the asphalt to be heated) were also permissible, particularly where, as here, there was evidence that neighborhood impacts would be ameliorated.

Similarly here, the proposed canopies are ordinarily and reasonably adapted to the existing use insofar as they have been minimized in size to accommodate only the existing fuel pumps, and there has been no proposal to expand the use of the site, such as by adding additional fuel pumps. Quite simply, advances in fuel pump technology have resulted in a need to provide weather protection to the sensitive computerized equipment that is now in use. These protections were not needed at the time when the unprotected fuel islands were originally constructed. The proposed canopies also provide ancillary benefits insofar as they protect customers from weather, provide added fire protection, and create better light protection for neighbors.

The proposed canopies - far from being a detriment to the neighborhood - would represent a vast improvement over the existing condition of the site by modernizing its appearance and providing additional screening of visual impacts for adjacent residential properties, while also improving safety conditions.
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ZONING INFORMATION:



| STE ReO | Eunfeo | Mesured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| bulong coverage | 408 | 108 |
|  |  |  |
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| JOB \# - |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| QUOTE \# Q0190803 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DRAWING \# } \\ & \text { 19-056 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24-00" $44^{-000} \times 4$ COLUMN CANOPY |  |
| CUSTOMER: |  |
| LOCATION: CAMBRIDGE, MA |  |
| SCALE: N.T.S. | DRAWN BY: AJM |
| DATE: 11.11.19 | SHEET: 1OF 3 |
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## 2615 Nass Ave



186-99
NAPAKUN, SUVITYA \& APILAJ NAPAKUN 12 DEER RUN RD.
LINCOLN, MA 01773

186-99
MADDALONI, MARIA E.
2595 MASS AVE. UNIT\#18
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140
186-99
CADOTTE, RICHARD E.
2591-2597 MASS. AVE., \#1
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

186-99
CAMBRIDGE AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION
675 MASS. AVE., 2ND FLR CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-3306

186-96
FIORENTINO, FRANK F. CPA TRUSTEE, THE MOBIL NOM TRUST 607 NORTH AVE., SUITE 16
WAKEFIELD, MA 01880

## 188-69

HARRIS, GREGORY A
2592 MASSACHUSETTS AVE \#2
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

## 186-35

MILL, OLGA, A LIFE ESTATE
10 GLADSTONE ST
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140-1005

## 186-99

ROULEAU, DENIS
2595 MASS AVE - UNIT 13
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140-1636

## 186-99

ZHAO, LING
80 HAWTHORN RD
BRAINTREE, MA 02184

## 186-99

MILEWSKI, STEVEN C., TRUSTEE THE 2595
MASSACHUSETTS AVE UNIT 11 REALTY TR
35 ELM PLACE
WHITMAN, MA 02382

186-99
CASCAP REALTY, INC. 231 SOMERVILLE AVE SOMERVILLE, MA 02143

186-36
CALLANAN, CHARLES \& SUSAN CALLANAN 8 GLADSTONE ST
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

## 186-99

RICE, ZACHARY L. \& TERESA M. PILLARS
2595 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., \#3
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

186-92
LEVY, SARA B.
4 GLADSTONE ST
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

## 186-99

BUCHNESS, PAUL
PO BOX 522
WEST SIMSBURY, CT 06092

## 188-69

JOSH, HANS PINAKIN, TRUSTEE THE
MASSACHUSETTS AVE 2015 REALTY TRUST
2592 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., \#3
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

186-99
FRIMLEY PROPERTIES, LLC
73 WOODLAND ROAD
LONGMEADOW, MA 01106

## 186-99

JAIN SACHIN H, TRS THE SACHIN H JAIN
NOMINEE REALTY, TR
2595 MASSACHUSETTS AVE UNIT 10
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

186-50
BENZAN, JAVIER
28 FOCH ST., UNIT \#1
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

## 186-99

MIDDLETON, AMY B.
TR. OF THE AMENDED ABM TRUST
14428 ROYAL ST
GEORGE ATHENS, AL 35613


REGNANTE STERIO LLD
C/O JESSE SCHOMER
401 EDGEWATER PLACE - SUITE 630 WAKEFIELD, MA 01880

186-90 /187-59/188-113
MASSACHUSETTS COMMONWEALTH OF STATE HOUSE
BOSTON, MA 02133

## 186-99

DE PAULI, VALDETE \& DALBAS DUCLOS 2591-2597 MASS. AVE.
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

186-94
MAHONEY, KELLYANNE
26 FOCH ST
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

186-99
BUCKLEY, PATRICIA A.
2595 MASS AVE. UNIT\#12
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140-1636

188-112
TSALAH, MOSH
2594 MASS AVE
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

186-99
CARROLL, THOMAS J \& SHEILA M. KENNEDY 132 ROBINS RD
ARLINGTON, MA 02476

186-99
GARIMELLA, PARAMESWAR P. \& NIRMALA V.
TRIES OF GARIMELLA FAMILY 2017 REVOC
INTER VIVOS TRT
11 BROOKFORD ST
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140
188-69
JOSHI, JAMIE
2592 MASSACHUSETTS AVE UNIT 1
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

186-50
BENZAN, JAVIER L.
28 FOCH ST UNIT 1
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02140

## Description of Relief Requested

The property that is the subject of this application is located at 2615 Massachusetts Avenue, at the corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Alewife Brook Parkway, in the Residence B zoning district. It is currently developed with a pre-existing nonconforming gas and service station, which has existed at the site for at least 60 years and is proposed to remain in its current form without any change to the use or building.

The applicant requests a finding pursuant to Section 6 of Chapter 40A of the Massachusetts General Laws authorizing the construction of two accessory canopy structures over the existing fuel pump islands on the basis of a finding that such construction would not constitute a change, extension, or alteration of the pre-existing, nonconforming use. In the alternative, if the Board determines that such construction would constitute a change, extension, or alteration of the preexisting, nonconforming use, the applicant requests a finding that the proposed canopies would be not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the site in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, § 6 and/or Sections 8.22 and/or 8.22 .3 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance.

As shown on the attached plans and section views, the proposed canopies have been designed to comply with all dimensional requirements of Section 4.21 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance, namely: the canopies "shall not exceed fifteen (15) feet in height above the ground level" and "shall not be located nearer than ten (10) feet to the principal building or nearer than five (5) feet to any side or rear lot line or nearer to the front lot line than the minimum setback in the zoning district." The proposed canopies have been designed with as minimal a footprint as possible, so as to respect all applicable height and setback requirements. The canopies are open on all four sides and are supported only by four $7^{\prime \prime}$-diameter columns, so it will not obstruct views through the site. With respect to height, it should be noted that the 15 ' height of the proposed canopies is significantly shorter than is customary for such installations, but the applicant has proposed this lesser height as an accommodation to neighbors. Additionally, the canopies have been designed with a slimline cover with a height of only 18 ", which further reduces the appearance of mass. No signage is proposed to be mounted to the canopies.

The purpose of the proposed canopies is to provide shielding from weather for motorists fueling their vehicles, and weather protection to the fuel pumps themselves, which today feature modern computerized equipment, for which protection from weather is absolutely necessary. The proposed canopies will also provide greater fire protection and added shielding for nearby residential properties from light pollution, which currently emanates from the site via unshielded lighting fixtures mounted to the existing fuel pump islands. In contrast to these existing unshielded "up lights", the proposed canopy lights would light downward from above, resulting in greater protection of residential neighbors.

In the case of Powers v. Bldg. Inspector of Barnstable, 363 Mass. 648, 653 (1973), the SJC articulated a three-pronged test for determining whether a proposed development relating to a preexisting, nonconforming use would rise to the level of a change, extension, or alteration of such use:
(1) Whether the use reflects the nature and purpose of the use prevailing when the zoning by-law took effect.
(2) Whether there is a difference in the quality or character, as well as the degree, of use.
(3) Whether the current use is 'different in kind in its effect on the neighborhood."

Here, the proposed construction of two accessory canopy structures complying with all dimensional requirements of the Zoning Ordinance satisfies all three of these tests.

First, the proposed canopies would have no effect whatsoever on the nature and purpose of the use of the site, which would remain entirely unchanged. The site, including all existing buildings and structures would continue to be used for their present purpose. The proposed canopies would merely modernize the site, which currently lacks any form of weather protection for customers and electronic equipment, nor any shielding of the existing commercial lighting.

Second, the proposed canopies would not cause any difference in the quality, character, or degree of the existing use. As noted, the use of the site would remain completely unchanged.

Third, the use of the site with the proposed canopies would not be in any way different in kind in its effect on the neighborhood as compared to the existing use, which, as noted, would be completely unchanged. Indeed, the proposal would have an ameliorative effect on the neighborhood insofar as it meets all dimensional requirements and results in improved lighting conditions.

It is well-settled law that modifications to pre-existing, nonconforming uses intended to ensure that such use is "improved and made more efficient," are permitted as of right as long as the modifications are "ordinarily and reasonably adapted to the original use and do not constitute a change in the original nature and purpose of the undertaking." Derby Ref. Co. v. City of Chelsea, 407 Mass. 703, 714 (1990). In that case, the court held not only that the conversion of a gasoline storage facility to an asphalt storage facility did not constitute a change of use, but also that site upgrades (enabling the asphalt to be heated) were also permissible, particularly where, as here, there was evidence that neighborhood impacts would be ameliorated.

Similarly here, the proposed canopies are ordinarily and reasonably adapted to the existing use insofar as they have been minimized in size to accommodate only the existing fuel pumps, and there has been no proposal to expand the use of the site, such as by adding additional fuel pumps. Quite simply, advances in fuel pump technology have resulted in a need to provide weather protection to the sensitive computerized equipment that is now in use. These protections were not needed at the time when the unprotected fuel islands were originally constructed. The proposed canopies also provide ancillary benefits insofar as they protect customers from weather, provide added fire protection, and create better light protection for neighbors.

The proposed canopies - far from being a detriment to the neighborhood - would represent a vast improvement over the existing condition of the site by modernizing its appearance and providing additional screening of visual impacts for adjacent residential properties, while also improving safety conditions.

The applicant therefore requests a finding from the Board that the construction of the proposed accessory canopies would not constitute a change, extension, or alteration of the preexisting, nonconforming gas station use, and on that basis authorizing the issuance of building permits for such work without need for further zoning relief. In the alternative, if the Board determines that such construction would constitute a change, extension, or alteration, the applicant requests a special permit and/or variance under Sections 8.22 and/or 8.22 .3 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance on the basis that such construction would be not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition of the site.
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