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## INDEX OF AGENDA

AGENDA MATTERS

## PROCEEDINGS

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
apologize for the late start.

License Commission decision making
hearing Wednesday, January (pause) 30th -- see, two hours in the car and I'm like a lunatic.
It's 9:37 a.m., we're in the Michael J.

Lombardi Municipal Building, 831 Massachusetts Ave, basement conference room.
Before you are the Commissioners:

Chairman Michael Gardner, Chief Gerald Reardon and Commissioner Robert Haas.

We have one matter left from the January 8th agenda which was Conductor's. There was an issue with the background check which has been explained.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Have there
been any other matters since this incident which,

I believe, was in 2003?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: There has not.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: And we have a written statement from the applicant?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Any comments or reflections from either of the Commissioners?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I think

I'm satisfied with the explanation, and I think
the matter is probably about ten years old at
this point and there has not been any subsequent
issues.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I would
agree.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So then,

Ms. Lint, what is left here is the motion to
approve the manager of record?

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: And do you
have the agenda item?

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: It would be the
full transfer.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I was
just going to say that.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.

The transfer of the license from Hoffa's.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Could I
just see the agenda?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Sure.
(Forwarding agenda.)

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So I will make
the motion to approve the application for the
transfer of the alcohol beverages as a restaurant
license at -- from Hoffa's to Conductor's

Restaurant with Darren Messina as the manager of record, approving the change of location and the hours as stated in the application subject to completion of all the appropriate training and meeting any other administrative requirements of the Commission.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second. CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been made and seconded, all those in favor, signify by saying "aye." POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye. FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye. CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Aye.

None opposed.

So, good luck.

ATTY. JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very
much.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Very
interesting ora for the building, and it sounds
like it will be quite a nice place to see.

We wish you all the best. We apologize
for the late start this morning.

ATtY. JAMES RAFFERTY: That's no problem.

Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: And
the only other matter was the pedicab matter.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So we deferred action on this in part for more reflection to give us a chance to review the written
submissions from the three applicants.

And, unfortunately, as $I$ understand it,

Chief -- Assistant Chief Mahoney is unavailable this morning?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: He is.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Has he had any
conversations with you about it?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Yes. We both
have in the past. I've been involved with

```
several of the other meetings with different
applicants and the same applicant in the past.
We heard from -- he's from Plymouth. We have
seen this a number of times.
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Have you had a
chance to look at the applications?
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Not
completely, no.
    CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Do you feel as
if you're -- well, why don't we take a minute and
have Corey briefly review the actions that were
discussed at the last hearing --
    COREY PILZ: So --
    COMMISSIONER MICHAEL GARDNER: -- about
the recommendations of the panel.
    COREY PILZ: Okay. So, as you know, the
License Commission received three applications
for the Pedicab Pilot Program.
    We received one from Pedicab
```

International, LLC, who is currently operating a business in Plymouth. He has been doing so for three years.
And we received one from Pirate Pedicab,

LLC, who is operating a business in Newport, and
he was been doing so for, I believe, two years.

And then, we've also received the
application from USA Pedicab, who is operating in many cities across the United States and has been operating in Boston since the inception of their Pedicab Pilot Program, I believe, around 2006.

Now, once we received all the
applications per the Pilot Program that we've established, we put the applications before the Pedicab Pilot Review Committee, which consisted
of members of Traffic and Parking, the Police

Department, the License Commission, the Cambridge Office for Tourism and also the Central Square Business Association.

We reviewed the applications per the criteria that we have established, which was the ability to meet the Commission's established standards, rules and regulations, also to meet -to review their operation history in any other municipality to see how they would partner with the business and tourism community. I believe they're missing one criteria.

And the impact on the City's
infrastructure and transportation network, the most important one, of course.

Based on that, the Committee had made a recommendation to cap the program at a total of 20 pedicabs, eight to ten per business, and the two companies that we recommended were the

International Pedicab, which is the Plymouth

Pedicab, and also the USA Pedicab.

We made those recommendations based on
their extensive operation history, and the fact

```
that they actually started these programs, they
built these programs and they maintained them
fairly well without any really operational
hiccups or any needed disciplinary action in any
of the municipalities they're operating in.
```

We also took a look at their capacity in
terms of what they could provide for the number
of pedicabs. As we think it's important that we
have a fair sampling since this is a Pilot
Program, we want to make sure if we are going to
allow this, we want to know how a good number of
pedicabs are going to operate within the City's
infrastructure.
Other than that, we thought the
applications were all pretty equal in terms of
their ideas about partnering with the business
and tourism communities.
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So, for this
morning, presumably, among the things that we

```
need to decide are -- since I believe that the
call for applications was structured to have us
select up to two, is we do have to decide if, in
fact, we want to have two separate operators or
go with just one.
```

    We need to ratify or modify the total
    capacity of 20?
He's nodding his head yes.
And then we need to select from among the
three and allocate a number of licenses to each,
is that right?
COREY PILZ: Yes, Mr. Chairman. And also
on hours of operation, if you choose, attached to
the licenses.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Is there a
recommendation of the Committee on hours of
operation? Did you discuss that?
COREY PILZ: It was discussed, but we did
-- ultimately, we did not make any
recommendation.

One of the things that we were trying to
figure out is how they would interact with our
local establishments after they closed, specifically the ones that had nightlife.

So, we weren't sure how the Commission
felt towards that in terms of public safety.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So we have USA

Pedicab's proposal for 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. as
an outside window, but with regular operations between 10:00 a.m. and 1:00 a.m.

We have Pedicab International suggesting

11:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday, Wednesday and Sunday, and 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m., Thursday Friday and Saturday.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: That
would be Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. He had clarified that.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Monday,

Tuesday, Wednesday. I'm sorry.

And Pirate Pedicab it looks like, I take it, 11:00 a.m. to 12:30 a.m. That's not --

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: 2:30. CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: 2:30. That's
not at 11:00 p.m., is it?
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I think
it's an a.m., isn't it?
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I think it
must be.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: 9:00 p.m.
closed Monday through Wed -- or Sunday through

Wednesday seems a little, at least in the
summertime, it seems a little early to me. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
would agree with that.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Was there any
discussion of that?

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I

think the discussion of the Committee was more in terms of seeing what the need is and setting an hour that way and certainly there are going to be peak times.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Do we have the capacity to simply be flexible about the hours and let them run hours as they think the demand justifies?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
think we can be a little flexible. My only
concern is that if we don't give, say, a stop
time, it's gonna be really hard to regulate.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO you
could say they'd operate to a certain hour, but
they could operate -- like in weather and things
like that, they could just shut down early? EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: TO
shut down, right.

COREY PILZ: I think the gentleman who submitted that application was basing it on his experience in Plymouth, which was not exactly comparable to the nightlife we have here in the city.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: But, again, this is not the only form of transportation. This is a new formed augment where we have, so it is not like we'll leave people high and drive for transportation if they're not running, but at least, from my perspective, this is to see how this works in general in terms of is it viable for them, and as it makes sense, you know, we had some other -- you know, years ago in the past and it wasn't -- it didn't seem to be sustainable for the ones who tried it. But they do seem to have made sustainability in other marketplaces, so I assume it's here as well.

```
say generally I'm of the view that it makes sense
to select two different operators during the
pilot period at least to see what the -- if we
can learn anything from different styles of
operation.
```

Do either of your feel any different than
that?
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No. I
think -- you know, I have to tell you I was
impressed with Pirate's application, but my
thinking is that this is a pilot project, it
gives really an opportunity to kind've assess
what a large operation would look like against a
small one.
So, I think if we were just to simply
give it to two smaller operations, that wouldn't
give us that ability do that over the pilot
period.
The other thing $I$ kinda took into

```
consideration with respect to Pirate is -- I
```

mean, I thought the application was much better
prepared than the other two applicants in terms
of the background material, and talking about
like environments, I mean, I think Newport is
probably a close type of environment than
Plymouth would be, but $I$ do appreciate the fact
that the gentleman from Pedicab International has
been the one that's been kinda championing this
thing all along right from the very start.
So, you know, my thinking is I would like
to see a large operation to see what it looks
like, so that kind've brings USA Pedicab into the
mix, and then, it really comes down to whether or
not Pedicab International or Pirate Cab would
give us a real good appreciation of operation
capacity and what it would look like with a
smaller operator operating here in Cambridge.
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: My impression
was that the Pirate application was really quite well done. A couple of concerns about that are -- I'm not satisfied that the managerial
structure is there for it. I believe that he's
an own -- the owner is a full-time educator or
teacher, I believe, in Rhode Island system.

I'm a little worried about how he could
satisfactorily handle non-summer hours out here.

I do think that the applicant who
operates in Plymouth has taken the matter the
furthest in terms of actually making arrangements
to secure storage space for the vehicles,
something that presumably they could all figure
out over time.

But $I$ don't see anything in the
application that would lead me to reject the
recommendation of the Review Committee.

There's the interesting problem that

Pedicab International from Plymouth was saying - -

```
I thought I understood it -- that his tipping
point was he needed to be able to lease a number
of cabs here to sort've make it worth his while,
and I believe his application suggests that he
get 15 and somebody else get five. I'm not
myself particularly inclined to do that, and
don't see a reason, if we're doing 20 to not
split it evenly 10 and 10.
    And I just wonder, Ms. Pilz, if you could
give us some more of the ideas about pros and
cons of having as many as 20, if either you or
the Review Committee thought about that in terms
of -- this is pretty new to us in terms of
establishing capacity.
    COREY PILZ: I think the idea -- and once
again, because this is a Pilot Program, I do
believe we could be flexible in terms of the
number of pedicabs depicted, you know, if they
start in a couple months and see if it's doing
```

```
very well, we wanted to add, or if suddenly we
realize we're having issues and we wanted to
retract, we can do so.
I think the idea of having 20 pedicabs is
```

we wanted to make sure, number one, we had enough
pedicabs to cover the area that had been
proposed, which is all of our major business
squares, just so we can see, you know, how they
operate in certain areas and they're all not
crowded in one area.
If we only had five or ten pedicabs all
in Harvard Square that generally wouldn't give us
a good idea how the Pilot Program would operate
because we want to see how they're going to
really fit into the transportation network all
over the city.
I think that was our thought process
having 20 and then having an even number for each
company in terms of looking at competition, in
terms of how they compete with each other as a company, are there certain areas and so on and so forth.

And the other thing we wanted to be mindful of is, you know, since they will be an attraction at special events, we wanted to make sure we had an appropriate number when we first started. So, special events can be overly saturated causing big transportation issues. I think that's with how we established that number. FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I guess I would like to see an even number whatever. I'm not necessarily tied to the 20. But, I think, in order to be fair, an even number would be better for people to review in terms of, you know, missed trips, not being able to keep drivers, only getting so many on the road.
It's kind've hard to compare a large cab
company and a small cab company in terms of how
they respond. If they have less calls and less numbers, $I$ think, it really should be even for us to get a feeling.

COREY PILZ: From a business standpoint as well, when we were talking with the pedicab companies, since they have to set this up so quickly, in terms of hiring, inspecting the vehicles on our end as well, and really getting all the advertising in place, this was kind've manageable for them, too, since we do have a relatively short turnover time from starting the program until actually announcing the applicants and then putting them on the road. CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I could be -I'm thinking about it wrong, but I sort've worry that 20 sounds like a lot and my vision is they're sort've clogged all around the square geographically disbursed around the city. Having them jostling with each other or taking up too
much physical space, but having too many is more my worry than having too few.

COREY PILZ: One of the ways we're also going manage that is when the applicants are announced, we're going to sit down with the Traffic and Parking Department to figure out whether or not we can dedicate some space in the areas where we expect it to be more popular, and by doing so, when the pedicabs do stand or
station themselves, we'll limit the number that are allowed to be on that stand, similar to the cab stand.

> So we figure that's another way we can
make sure they're disbursed. Where we say like we have a stand potentially in Kendall Square, we're allowing three to sit there and we'll have a stand in Harvard Square and we allow three to sit there.

```
And so, we're hoping that will also
```

```
encourage them to spread out more versus clogging
in one area.
```

    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Are we going
    to mandate that they geo space so to speak? Have
you thought about this because, obviously, you
know, economics will drive where they go. In
Kendall Square and Central Square and Harvard
Square are the places they believe that they're
going to -- there's no economic reason for them
to go other places, so, and that might be good,
but we don't want them oversaturated.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: But it
might be interesting doing a Pilot Program to
just kinda see where they're going to cluster and
where they're not going to operate, then we can
go back, I think, and then, if we need to then
establish a finite number where they can operate
if we encounter some problems. I think if we
structure too much in the very beginning, we're

```
not going to learn a lot, or we're going to miss
opportunities to learn things that we ordinarily
wouldn't be able to see if we didn't allow some
degree of flexibility, I think, in terms of
operation.
```

    So I think, you know, you're going to
    find a clustering, we have to just figure where
the clustering is. I can guess where the
clustering's going to be. And I think I see that
clustering change during the course of the day
depending on activities.
Kendall Square, for example, I think
you're going to see a clustering around lunchtime
and things like that.
Harvard Square clearly is going to be
more of a late night and then Central square, I
don't know, it's kind've no man's land. I don't
know how that will play out. But it will be
interesting to see that. I really don't see it's
being a main attraction. You think about the major businesses. I think the initial thinking was putting so many cabs in each of the five or four per square, five business districts, but I'm not too sure you're going to see a lot in the Back Bay of Porter Square.

It will be interesting to see how it
playa out, if we're going to take advantage of this as a true pilot project.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I guess ten
each, $I$ think, is a fair number for them to try.

To say one would have 15 and one would have five,
that's an economic disadvantage.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
worried abut having too many. I think that's
more a problem than having too few.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: And, Corey,
have you thought much about kinda the way in
which this will be evaluated or data will be

```
collected?
    And, Commissioner Haas, will this be
primarily the responsibility of the Hackney
Division or will other investigators and you as
in the consumer role be involved in site
observations? Just tell us a little bit about
how you envision collecting the data over the
pilot period.
```

    COREY PILZ: We do have a bimonthly
    reporting requirement for all the companies that
are awarded, the actual operating permit. They
have to report back certain information to the
License Commission, which we're still kinda
finalizing in terms of what we're looking for.
Ideally we want the number of rides that have
been provided, the number of ride refusals and
reasons as to why.
We're going take a look at any citations
that have been issued, and this is where the

```
Hackney officer is really doing to come in
because he's going to be primarily responsible
for overseeing all the hearings to the
regulations that we have established, the number
of consumer complaints received by the company,
if any, and specifically here, one of the things
we're concerned about is if there's been any
issues with how much they paid the pedicabs, if
the driver demanded certain things out of them
and so on and so forth.
    And then any other information that we
deem relevant. And one of the things we will be
taking a closer look at is their management
structure as they put it into place and how
they're running the business.
    So, with that said, we also will be doing
site observations, most likely myself and Matt
and the officer will be out and about on the town
seeing how they interact at different times
```

```
during the day, we'll do as much monitoring as
possible, as well as reporting from the companies
themselves.
```

    CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Have we given
    any thought to any way to get any consumer
satisfaction data? I understand there's the
complaint side of it. But are there any ways to
actually get impressions from customers?
COREY PILZ: This is something we have
actually discussed, and one of the things we're
thinking about doing is creating an on-line
survey where a consumer can go after they finish
their pedicab ride, go on, log on and they can
fill out the survey.
What we would have the driver do is kinda
just distribute a little card that says this is a
Pilot Program, the city is looking for your
feedback, go to this website and tell us what you
think about it.

In terms of other ways to do it, you
know, we don't want to actually distribute surveys while they're taking the ride because we don't foresee that happening in terms of them completing it right there or pressure from the driver.

We think that's the best way to do it.

And also in our site observations, if possible, just talking to people, asking them what they think.

We have a big commitment from the business community, they're very excited for this and the tourist community as well, and we're working with them to get their feedback in terms of what the local establishments are thinking and the hotels are thinking, that this is a benefit or a hindrance.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: What is the term of this license going to be?

COREY PILZ: Just for the duration of the Pilot Program.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: And that will
be what? Did we define that?

COREY PILZ: Yes. I believe we defined
it from the beginning of March to the end of

October and then we figure we give ourselves time in order to go back and get the data.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I just want
to make sure we actually gave them a time.

COREY PILZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: If weather
permits, they will be allowed to operate after the pilot is over, and so we can render our
decisions, or are we saying we're shutting it
down at the end of October?

COREY PILZ: I think that's something the

Commission can determine.

```
think we can reschedule or schedule a review
hearing in the beginning of October to see how
it's worked out, and if we want to let it
continue further without putting a limit on it,
say, you know, like December.
                    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: That would
give us --
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Like
today, it is 60 degrees.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: That would
give us an opportunity to find out whether the
operators are coming back in because things are
successful and they wish to continue probably
would be helpful.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO, I'm
wondering if the bimonthly proposal if that's
really too long a window. Maybe I can see in the
beginning where you're starting in March, you
know, waiting two months and it's going to slow
```

-- slow ramp up. And then $I$ think, once you get into the summer, $I$ think you'd want to see more frequent reporting, and then, $I$ think, if we get more frequent reporting, I think could reconvene maybe in August just to kinda see where it's going, you know, kinda have a check point so that we're not up against the wire trying to decide if we extend this thing or not.

But, $I$ think, you know, June, July and

August is going to tell us something about -- and that is the height of the tourist season -- I think we will get a good appreciation of any problems, we're going to see them early on. I am wondering if it makes sense to do a
bimonthly for that first reporting period, and
then it goes to a monthly reporting period for months of June, July and August, and then, maybe beginning of September, during our first
meetings, come back and get a sense of how things

```
are going, look at your numbers in terms of what
you're seeing and any kinds of concerns we have.
    I don't think there's anything that
precludes us from making some modifications doing
the pilot project. If we see something that's
really going to be problematic that we didn't
encounter or anticipate, I think we should make
the applicants aware of the fact that they're
subject to change in relation to this pilot
project, so we're not going to be fixed. I think
the other thing we do is establish hours that we
have to give the applicants an opportunity to say
"yes" or "no," and if they don't do it, then, I
think, we have to go back and visit the third
person that we don't pick -- or the third vendor
if we don't pick the third vendor. But really
kind've set what our conditions are and making
sure they're agreeable to it. And if something
is a show stopper for them, then, I think, we'd
```

go back -- $I$ think, as you said, Corey, all three applicants are viable applicants. It's just a matter now of picking who we think are the best two to kind've test out this period, but $I$ think they need to be very much agreeable to the fact that there is going to be some changes along the way based on what we're seeing in terms of patterns and trends.

I don't want to wait for a month if we
start to have some serious problems. I want to bring them back right away and deal with what those problems are.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: We can also
deal with that in terms of -- if we're getting
complaints, we'll bring them in.

If they're doing very well, I think
conversely, they will come and say "We're doing
extremely well. We'd like want to know whether
or not we can increase..."

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: But I
think we kinda want gauge how it's resolving from our standpoint, not necessarily from their standpoint.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I'd rather
not overregulate the reporting. But I guess it
depends on what side of the fence you're on. I'd rather not overregulate the reporting.

But I think they should be made aware
that if we have issues, we're gonna bring them
in. If they found that they're very successful
and want to change, they would probably
voluntarily come in.

I personally am not sure if $I$ would like to throw the third one in the mix. I think it'd like only two.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
saying one or two, just hold the third one out.

That's our place holder, the first two.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: If someone

```
doesn't perform --
```

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Not
necessarily doesn't perform, but $I$ think once we set up the conditions, I mean, because you have a variation of hours or something like this, and we decided to do the allowable time frame and they say that's not acceptable or whatever the case will be, or they say it will not be profitable for me to run ten as opposed to 15.

You know, I think those are the decisions
they have to let us know about, and I think they need to make some commitment to us that they plan on riding out the whole pilot period. We can't have them dropping out, you know, midway on us.

But I think those things will also be set upfront that these are the conditions, these are what our expectations are and that you agree to -- you know, short of any unforeseen
circumstances, you know, like all pedicabs break down or something like that.

COREY PILZ: And this has been made very
clear to them on the latitude both the Commission
and city will have the Pilot Program right from
the start. They should understood all this going
into it and they're -- speaking with all the
applicants, they know it's a Pilot Program, they
know things are going to have to be tweaked here
and there and there are going to be changes
throughout. They've all -- that hasn't deterred
them.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: And
then, they, in turn, would be making a commitment
to purchase the pedicabs which is --

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No.

That was one of my concerns because $I$ know one
vendor doesn't have the number of cabs we're
proposing. That will be an investment on his

```
part to now shift his operation here to
Cambridge.
```

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So, in terms
of the hours of operation issue, $I$ think I'm
inclined to just set a sort've late night stop,
and the feeling is we need to have some
regulation over it, but to leave the start times
to them, and understand that, you know, there's
no business in the cab peddlers, drivers,
whatever it's called, and wants to go home, it's
not a problem for me if they leave.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: If you
set a time at 2:00 and they started at 2:30, that
will effect the start time? You need a start
time. The earliest they can operate, right?
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I think
you need a defined time frame.
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm starting

```
at 2:00 and I end at 2:00, but I'm now starting
at 2:30 or 2:01.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I don't
know what kind of morning business this is.
    CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: One of them
```

has proposed --
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
would have taken one this morning.
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: One did
propose as early as 7:00 a.m.
Is there public safety issues with
letting them operate as late as 2:30 or 3:00?
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Well,
my concern would be the morning rush hour. I'd
like not to compound problems with possibly
trying to compete against pedicabs during, what
we consider, the rush hour morning. And I
suspect the other two applicants are more looking
more as lunchtime as being their kickoff time for

> the business as opposed to any kind of morning
> business, so I'm not sure -- I'd like to see
> something at 7:00 in the morning as opposed to
> maybe something -- if you want to say $10: 00$ or
> $11: 00, ~ I ~ t h i n k, ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ m o r e ~ a p p r o p r i a t e . ~$

That morning rush hour between the buses and everything else going on, I think it's kinda crazy.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So if we set a

10:00 a.m. start, how late would you want it to
go given at least part of the idea is give late
night patrons at alcohol establishments another option as to how to get home?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I mean,

I want to say 2:00, but $I$ know that's not going to be able to make sure you give people time to get the pedicabs or stuff like that.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Somebody with
a 2:00 license can actually shut down as late as

2:30?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So that's why

I see the 3:00 a.m. I just don't know.

Is there a public safety issue with
setting it up so that they could operate as late
as ending business at 3:00 a.m. in the morning?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: It's a
tradeoff. I mean, there's a lot less traffic,
but then you have a greater tendency to see other
drivers on the street, too.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: My expectation
is most people wouldn't be out until 3:00 or

2:30. I'm not in that business, but I'm not actually here that often at that time of day, so I'm not sure.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: The
other thing --

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Have you gone through the square recently you wouldn't say that.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I mean, it's possible.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: The other thing you can do is set the window up and then if they need to extend hours because of special events and stuff like that, have them come in for one-day application or something like that to go later if they need to.

I mean, 3:00, I think -- I'm just really
leery about the hour of the morning, but $I$ could see that's when you want people to take advantage of a pedicab, right?

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yeah.

I have visions of Middle East emptying on a

Friday and Saturday night, and it would be a really good option for people who desperate to
find cabs.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: What time is

Middle East.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: 2:30.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: But we have
to understand that these are pedicabs and they're not going to go to the Back Bay or Framingham Center.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: No,
of course.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So, it does
limit. You know, it would have to be local
people that within reason they're not the same as other forms of transportation.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Who
would go to Back Bay on a pedicab?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Well, I mean,

I'm just trying to point out a fact this is not
like hoping in a cab.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: On Harvard graduation day last year or commencement day, I did see a pedicab riding from in front of city hall, I assume they came from across the river, but...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Well, we have since learned that they were hired by Harvard specifically to be there for graduation. CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: We didn't know that. So there were more than --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: There was more than one. But likewise you could hire one to take you from the church to your reception, so it's -- we didn't have to have had that licensed.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, I'm
prepared to make the recommendation that we approve the application of Pedicab International,

LLC, and USA Pedicab for ten pedicabs each with

```
operating hours beginning no earlier then 10:00
and ending no later than -- to me, it's either
2:30 or 3:00 a.m.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I'd say 2:00
or 3:00.
```

    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: If
    you're trying to accommodate the people leaving
late night, $I$ think --
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So, I'll make
that motion that we approve the licensing of 20
pedicabs, ten assigned to USA Pedicab, ten
assigned to Pedicab International, LLC for hours
of operation beginning no earlier than 10:00 a.m.
and ending no later than 3:00 a.m. for a pilot
period from -- is it March 1st? From the date in
March when the application said we would begin
running through October 31st, with our option to
continue procedures or operations during the
review period, and that this be a Pilot Program

```
    and that we would notify the applicants that
    we're doing some interim regulations and we'll be
    doing -- expecting them to be filing reports, and
    perhaps, coming in for a checkup or review
    hearings during the pilot period.
```

    That's the motion.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.
    CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion having
    been made and seconded, any further discussion?
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: The
    only thing I'm just wondering about is do you
    want to set a review date in the future just to
make sure that we don't -- it doesn't slip past
us?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Sure.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
thinking maybe September so we can really kinda'
look back over the summer and see what -- that
way, you know, Corey, as you're compiling the

```
data and stuff like that, we, you know, can
probably have a report and then also give the
applicants an opportunity to come in and speak to
the Commission on their experiences and, you
know, see if they'll make recommendations as to
how they think we could improve the regulations.
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
haven't scheduled out hearings that far yet, but
we could say put it on for the first hearing in
September.
```

    CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: First hearing
    in september. So I would accept that has a
friendly amendment to the motion.
Chief, are you prepared to vote or would
you prefer --
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I'm fine.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: What
does that mean?
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I'll vote.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Any further

```
discussion?
```

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
favor, signify by saying "aye."

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: None opposed.

So, you'll take care of the notifications
to the applicants?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So if it
really is March 1 st, that is --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes,
it is. We confirmed that.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Five weeks
from now.

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Yikes.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: So, you will
have some regulations for us to look at in a

February meeting?

COREY PILZ: Correct.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm sorry.

Our February meetings are, the 5 th and $19 t h ?$

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I'm

sorry?

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm asking if
our February meetings are the 5th and 19th?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: No.

The 12th and $22 n d--26 t h$.

COREY PILZ: And the rules will be on for
the 26 th.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
will not be here on the 26 th.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I may

```
not be here on the 12th.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: The 12th, I'm
out of state.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm out
of state also.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: What was the
other one?
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: 26th.
    CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm sure that
my two uniformed colleagues will make as good
arrangements to have appropriate backup.
    Is there any other business before the
```

Commission?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
have nothing.
COREY PILZ: No.
CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none,
move to adjourn at approximately 10:19 on the
morning of whatever it is, January the $30 t h$,
2013.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
favor, signify by saying "aye."

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MICHAEL GARDNER: None opposed.

Stand adjourned.

Thank you very much.

Thank very much, Mr. Pilz, for the fine
work you're doing and your Committee did. I apologize for not putting that on the record. Keep it in the record.

COREY PILZ: Thank you.

```
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