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June 17, 2014

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Good
evening.

This is Licensing Commission General

Hearing, Tuesday, June 17, 2014 at 6:09 p.m.

Please keep quiet. It's very hard to hear up
here. We're in the Michael J. Lombardi Building at 831 Mass Ave, Basement Conference Room.
Before you are Commissioners Chair Andrea

Jackson, Police Commissioner Robert Haas, and

Chief Reardon will be joining us. He's on his way back from the western part of the state.

This hearing is being audiotaped and videotaped.

And we have approximately five matters on
the agenda before the one that $I$ believe most of you here are to listen to.

> If you would like to step outside, we
will absolutely call you back in before we take any discussion or testimony on that matter.

INFORMATIONAL - LIBBY'S MARKET, INC.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: The
first matter on the agenda is informational.

Libby's Market, Inc., d/b/a Libby's

Liquor Market, Amrik Pabla, manager, holder of an
all alcoholic beverages package license at 575

Massachusetts Avenue due to completing work
inside the package store without Building

Department and Fire Department approval.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.

Ms. Boyer, if I can start with you. If
you can identify yourself for the record, please?

ANDREA BOYER: Andrea Boyer, B-O-Y-E-R,

Chief Licensing Investigator for the City of

```
Cambridge Licensing Commission.
    Libby's Liquors is located at 575
Massachusetts has installed a food preparation
area, tables, condiment counter, back entrance
and signage for an establishment known as Bo
Nation within the existing floor plan.
    These are the pictures that I have.
    Please note during my investigation the
equipment had not been in use. At this time our
Office did not have a common victualers
application on file for Bo Nation.
    According to Inspectional Services'
records, Libby's Liquors requested and received
an electrical permit for a 2013 installation of
video surveillance equipment but there have been
no other permits applied for or issued regarding
the build-out.
```

We're here because a possible public

```
safety issue of not requiring proper permitting
```

as a food service preparation.
I spoke with Inspectional Services'
Inspector Peter McLaughlin last week.
He went to the location and informed a
representative on premise to come in and fill out
a building permit application, have a licensed
contractor come into Inspectional Services, who
is insured, and also have construction drawings
that have been drawn up by a licensed architect,
and as by 4:30 this afternoon no permits have
been applied for.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Can you
tell me the dates you did your inspection again?
ANDREA BOYER: I was at the location a
couple times.
I spoke with the owner during the H Mart
opening to tell him I had heard that he had been

```
serving sandwiches and told him to come in and
apply.
```

    I would say, been there twice to even
    speak with them, and then to get updates for a
restaurant that's coming soon to the back of
Libby's for fast-food Asian dumplings. From
what I heard, there's actually not allowability
from Inspectional Services for fast-food at this
time.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: We
can't hear up here if you're talking in the
back.
ANDREA BOYER: There's no room or
allowability to have a fast-food new entity in
Central Square at this time. And so that's why I
had Inspectional Services --
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Can you
describe this area compared to the retail store?

ANDREA BOYER: If you can see the

Heineken bottle beer right there, from there forward is their regular liquor, wine, their coolers. That's actually in the back of the establishment.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: IS there a separate entry into the back of the restaurant.

ANDREA BOYER: There is now. That's the picture $I$ gave you. That's from the parking lot area on the back of Bishop Allen Drive.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You said "there is
now." Was there not one before?

ANDREA BOYER: Not to that extent where
it has the Bo Nation.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Do you
remember what was it in this area prior to the build-out?

ANDREA BOYER: As far as $I$ can tell, it was just more storage.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS:

Storage?

ANDREA BOYER: Yes. It's not a huge area but it's significant.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS:

I assume these benches are designed for
eating. There's --

ANDREA BOYER: There's only two stools
there when $I$ came in but it seems as if you could eat a sandwich on top of it, it's like a high-top table.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: When were they contacted by ISD?

ANDREA BOYER: Last week.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any questions?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Counsel, please
identify yourself for the record.

ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: William

Goldberg, Cambridge. Representing Libby's

Liquors.

For your information, $I$ was contacted by the principals of Libby's a month ago or longer with regard to the use of the rear of the liquor package store for what was described as the presentation of sandwiches for sale which were made else somewhere other than in the premises itself.

I talked with Ronji (phonetic) with
regard to that use.

And there were some issues, which need
not be discussed today, but $I$ had prepared, in anticipation, application to License Commission
for the use of that premise for the purpose for
which I stated.

I was aware the tables that were set
there. I looked at the pictures that were
presented to the board and $I$ guess, if you look at the pictures, it's like, if it barks like a dog, then it's a dog.

The circumstances as it presents itself
indicates that it's more than just a sale of prepared food.

The area is really being developed. As
you see from $H$, the magnificent edifice that it
is, and there's an area on the side of $H$ which is
going to be used as a patio for people to sit
down and eat whether food comes from $H$ or whether
the food comes from Libby's, it can be utilized as a public venue.

I'm not disputing what the pictures show,
the best description of what I'm saying, I just

```
want to give you background of what I was doing
at the behest of the personnel of Libby's Liquors
and what they intended to do.
```

They just went forward without the
applicable approval from the departments that
were stated by Ms. Jackson.
Can't deny that. Pictures speak for
themselves.
We are still going to go forward with
attempting to get what $I$ described to you as part
of the venue in that store to take advantage of
the patio outside and the rear entrances which
are applicable to the store here.
I would indicate that the facilities that
are there now, we will remove them and we'll
start from scratch with respect to an application
to the License Commission for a victualer
license.

And that will be the intent if the board
would allow a certain period of time to remove that equipment and then visualize what is there so that $I$ can then proceed with the application.

I may proceed with the application in any event, but the removal of this equipment would be paramount.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO
you're talking about this food preparation area?

ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: No.

It's my intent, and I thought it was the
intent of the owners, to just have a sandwich
which could be taken outside.

The sandwich would be prepared other than
in that facility.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: But
it's clear from the preparation area that he was

```
planning on doing some kind of food preparation
on premise.
```

    ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: I don't
    disagree. I don't disagree.
I think that it went ahead unbeknownst to
me with regard to the build-out, and it's simply
a case of where the build-out will be removed and
the original purpose of preparing food,
sandwiches, other than in that premise, just for
take-out patio.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So is
this -- was it your clients' intent to run that
operation as well as the package goods store?
ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: Yes.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: And was
it his intent then to sell alcoholic beverages as
part of his concession here?
ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: If that would be

```
permissible, the answer would be yes.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I don't
think you could do that.
```

    ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: Then it would be
    a sandwich take-out. There are soft drinks as
well.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
just wondering how far this project would have
gone before we were notified about it. That's
all I'm concerned about.
It looks like it has gone quite a bit.
In fact, you're actually announcing that you're
going to be up -- open it, right?
ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: That was
premature. That was premature.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: To follow-up on
the Commissioner's question, was the original
plan then to serve food and alcoholic

## beverages?

ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: No.

It was just a -- the preplan was to have
a sandwich wrapped up already prepared elsewhere
to be purchased by a customer who wants to sit
out in the sun on the patio. That really was the main purpose.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: But not with this set-up.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Yeah, not with this set-up.

ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: I see that.

I'm saying that was the understanding
that I had.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Right.

ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: But the client
went ahead and put this equipment in there, which
is the kitchen, quote/unquote, and what I'm

```
suggesting is to give them the chance to remove
that equipment and to pursue the initial
performance, the initial intent, that was my
understanding that they were going to do.
    Why they went forward with it, I'm not
going to answer.
```

    But I'm asking to be allowed to remove
    that equipment so it can go forward with what was
intended. And then leave it up to the License
Commission to make a decision as to whether it
can be done.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I think
the problem, in addition to the fact that it
looks like, as you indicated, Mr. Goldberg, this
went a lot further than what was represented when
you first talked to your client, I'm trying to
figure out how you're separating your package
goods service even if you going to sell pre-made
sandwiches and not have people going buying
alcohol, buying sandwiches and going some place else to drink -- presumably drink in public, right, if they are not going to eat here, or buy an alcoholic beverage and sit at one of these tables and consume a pre-made sandwich. ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: If there was a restriction on that then, something would have to be done to protect against it.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS:

Probably a wall, $I$ would imagine.

ANDREA BOYER: If I may interject, also
one of the main concerns, regardless of the
common victualer license and eating on premise
and all that, there was equipment put in without
electrical and possibly gas permits, so safety is

No. 1 right here.

So even pulling it back out again,

```
without even a preinspection of what is already
there --
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: MY
guess is ISD and the Fire Department will have
issues with this whole arrangement since there
was no permits sought to --
```

    ANDREA BOYER: And no one's came in since
    last week to even step up to say, All right,
yeah, we've made a mistake. I'm not sure why
either.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So are you
suggesting, Ms. Boyer, that ISD and Fire have to
be there when they try and pull it back out?
ANDREA BOYER: I believe someone needs to
inspect this a little bit closer to see what is
actually on premise.
ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: Would not that
be the premise of License Commission because if
you go before there seeking an application, you need the approval of the Health Department, you need the approval of the Fire Department, you need the approval of the Public Works, so what I'm saying is, it would be their province to make a decision as to whether not to have this type of a food sold in an alcohol package store and also with regard to the sale of alcohol. POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: What is disturbing to me is that we been have down this road with your client before because he owned a restaurant, plus a package and he had to give up interest in one of those because he couldn't maintain both of these.
So for him now to go forward with
something like this goes very against what we --
it had to be, what, two or three years ago we
went through this process.

```
ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: Longer than
```

that.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS:

Whatever. I lost track of time.

We had the discussion before because he couldn't own a restaurant and have a package store, he decided to give up his interest in the restaurant to maintain his interest in the package store but then to turn around and build a, for all intents and purposes, a food preparation area, $I$ agree he probably didn't represent it correctly to you, but he clearly had motives of doing something very different which basically flies in the face of this permit.

He has been a businessman in this
community a long time and he should understand
what the regulations are with respect to this
kind of operation.
hear your premise and $I$ am not questioning it.

It may be a different entity with some of the same principals however.

So I would leave it to the discretion,
the decision of the board so I'll now how to act and may have to substitute principals with regard to this operation other than the principals involved in the past.

They're not going to go around the corner and try to subvert what your intent is and what your declaration is.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO, I
mean, my concern is this clearly crosses over just informational process.
There's clear violations I see with
respect to his intent not only for us but for other departments within the city, right, an I

```
think it's clear what his intent was.
    I think he should have known, or I
believe he does know what the process is in order
to get a CV license, plus this kind of operation
is, as far as I can tell, can't co-exist with a
package store.
    So I'm not too sure, despite the fact
that he represented to you that he was doing
prepared sandwiches, that maybe he would have
gone further in terms of serving alcohol with his
prepared meal.
    You can shake your head "no." But you
clearly went further beyond what your attorney --
you represented to your attorney.
    And I still maintain that you should have
known that this kind of enterprise couldn't
co-exist.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So all this is
informational.

And I think right now we needed to think
in terms of public safety. You can't use it and
they will have to contact Inspectional Services
in the morning to have them go down. He can't
dismantle this on his own.

He will need Inspectional Services and
possibly the Fire Department if we are talking about electrical and gas.

AtTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: We'll submit to
that.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do I need to make
a motion to do such?

I make a motion that we contact

Inspectional Services and the Fire Department
through you, Ms. Boyer, to work with Libby's

Liquors to take down the stands that have been put in place.

Is there a second?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
favor, signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All right, so we are clear?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I make
a motion that this has got to be brought before
the License Commission for disciplinary
consideration in the future.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Second.

All those in favor signify by saying
aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: Just one
question, where it's an informational hearing,
how does your board utilize this information?

```
You act just as you decided by your vote?
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes. So the stand
will be taken down and then we will have you
eventually back on the agenda as it relates to
possible discipline but you will have an
opportunity to be heard on that.
```

    ATTY. WILLIAM GOLDBERG: Thank you.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
    APPLICATION: LONE STAR TACO BAR
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
    Application: Elm Street, LLC, d/b/a, Loan Star
Taco Bar, Christopher Robichaud, manager, has
applied to transfer the all alcoholic beverages
restaurant license held by Lizzy's LLC, d/b/a
Lizzy's at 635 Cambridge Street.
Applicant is requesting 76 seats inside
and operating hours from 1 a.m. to 1 a.m. Monday

```
through Friday and 10 a.m. to 1 a.m. on Saturday
and Sunday.
```

    Applicant is also applying for an
    entertainment license for an audio tape machine,
CD, which may play music below, at or above
conversation level and 1 TV. Pledge of license
to Leader Bank.
GORDON GOSSAGE: Excuse me, Chair
Jackson, could we change the order of these?
I think it's in the public interest to go
straight to the issue that all these people are
here for and then certainly you could do the
other licensing issues after that issue is
completed.
Does that make sense?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I respectfully
disagree with that.
Although I completely understand your
point, I do, I don't think these will take as
long. I think we should be able to move at an adequate clip, and $I$ do think once we start the conversation that everyone is here for, I think
there's been a lot of misunderstanding and
hopefully that will be cleared up at the very beginning.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: And
you want the Fire Chief here.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And $I$ need the

Fire Chief for the conversation.

Sir, can you please identify yourself for
the record so our transcriber will have it. Sir?

GORDAN GOSSAGE: Gordon Gossage,

G-O-S-S-A-G-E.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.

ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: Good afternoon --
good evening, Madam Chair, Karen Simao, McDermott

Quilty and Miller; Commissioner Haas, pleasure to see you this evening.

With me I have the team from Elm Street,

LLC, a proposed new business here in Cambridge.

To my immediate right is Mr. Aaron

Sanders. To his right we have the proposed manager of record, Mr. Robichaud. And we have
the other Mr. Sanders, which is a brother also involved in the operation, to my left.

And in the interest of your time, $I$ will
give a very brief presentation and defer to the board on any questions, understanding you have a full agenda.

$$
\text { By way of reference, if } I \text { may, I would }
$$

like to just to submit some, it's really a press packet to the board, through Ms. Lint.

The folks before you today are proposed
to go into the Lizzy's, the existing Lizzy's
operation.

These are extremely experienced
operators. They have two locations currently in

Boston, Deep Ellum as well as a Lone Star
location there as well.

It's a very high-traffic area, and I
raise that going specifically to the legal
requirement of the consideration of the character
and fitness of the applicant, and $I$ would
respectfully submit to the board this particular applicant is exemplary of the types of operators
that $I$ believe Cambridge would like to see in the city.

As I said, they have had extensive
experience in the industry, but more notable, and
this can be cross-referenced with the Boston

Licensing Board, there's been no violation
related to liquors sales at either of their

```
locations and that's pretty telling.
    I will say, and it has been seven years,
    it's pretty incredible in a high-traffic area
    where there are a lot of college students and all
    the standard challenges that operators in those
    neighborhoods would have.
    Again, no violation for any type of
over-service, service to minors, or anything to
the like.
    It's a restaurant operation. It's a
tapas-style concept.
    I think will note that the price points
on the menu reflect the concept of these folks,
which is they want it to be approachable. They
want people to come in and, in fact, order
multiple dishes unlike some tapas restaurants
where you see the dishes are often double the
price for one of their menu items.
```

```
    I believe the package is complete in
terms of the financial information that the
Commission and the ABCC requests.
    And the last item that I will put on the
record, and open it up to any questions, deals
with letters of support and specifically the
building where this location is.
    It's a residential condo'd building and
we have the support of all the folks in the
building.
```

    There's a letter from the condo
    association trustees evidencing that support as
well letter support from the Cambridge community
generally.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
have those.
ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: Wonderful.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you have the

```
abutter notifications?
```

    ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: I will submit those
    now to Ms. Lint.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
have other letters of support as well.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I know. I
received an email as well.
ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: Again, this is, in
terms of my business, I have been doing this for
14 years, this is one of the easiest jobs that I
do representing these folks. They are just great
people. Great operators. Present owners. And
all of their employees are TIPS trained and
certified.
They have both initial training in-house
as well as the third party, but more importantly,
there's continuous training, which is always the
key for success in any of these operations.

The last item I would note is that the application, as read into the record, is for the 76 seats.

We would like the board to consider that.

I know the sign-off from the Building

Department, I believe, was limited to the 55 for
now.

We are going for a Special Permit request
for the difference in the seats. To the extent
it's possible for the Commission to do so, and if
you're comfortable, we would like to ask for the

76 with the condition that the difference between
the 55 and the 76 evidence of Special Permit
approval would be required for that number just
for the ease of the business and not have to
do another hearing to the extent that's
possible.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Why
wasn't that addressed initially when you were making your application?

ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: When we submitted the application, we were not aware that there was
the restriction on the seating, on the seating number.

In meeting with the Building Department
and going through their files which, frankly, we did do a lot of digging, there wasn't a lot of current information, we discovered the
discrepancy in the numbers.

And so the issue is the parking issue, is
the only thing that triggers this seating number
and the reason that we can't get automatically
the additional seats.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So if
parking is a confining issue for the number of
seats, what would be your rational to go to 76 ?

```
I mean that's still going exist, right?
    ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: That's still going to
    exist, correct.
```

    The nature of the business as it is, this
    is neighborhood operators. I mean, this is not a
    destination location.
    In looking at the menu and looking at the
    offerings and looking at the history of the
operation in the Allston-Brighton area it's a
neighborhood-based business and that's the same
goal here.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: The
proposed manager will he be managing either one
of the other two restaurants?
ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: No, he's not.
He's dedicated this location but been
with the team for almost the entire seven years.
AARON SANDERS: For the whole time.

```
ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: For the entire seven
```

years.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: When
did this go up on the market?

AARON SANDERS: It didn't go on the
market.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: You
approached them? Or they approached you?

AARON SANDERS: We know them.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So they
approached you? Or you approached them?

AARON SANDERS: They kind've approached
us.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Are
they going to stay affiliated with the business at all?

AARON SANDERS: No, sir.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Counsel, are you

```
aware of the letter that was submitted by the
Commission for Persons with Disabilities?
```

    ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: We are. And we are
    comfortable with the conditions stated therein.
We intend to comply and have proactively
already sought out some of the information needed
to address the issues. The issues exist. They
need to be addressed.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: As it relates to
the second entrance?
ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: That's correct.
And I believe that the letter asks that
the Commission place conditions on the approval
and we are comfortable with those conditions.
We're fine with those conditions.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Why
the -- so why the extra hour in the morning from
11 to 10?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: I'm sorry? } \\
& \text { POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: It }
\end{aligned}
$$

looks like you're going from 11 a.m. to 1 a.m. and then requesting 10 a.m. to $1 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

ATtY. KAREN SIMAO: Because we do breakfast on the weekends. You mean, for 10 a.m.?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Yes.

ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: We do lunch, brunch, and dinner seven days a week, so during the week, the brunch would open at 11, but on the weekends, the earlier start time is more appropriate for the brunch.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And the proposed manager of record, has he had an alcohol license in his name before?

CHRISTOPHER ROBICHAUD: No.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can you tell me

```
what your experience is?
```

CHRISTOPHER ROBICHAUD: I have been with
this restaurant group for seven years. So I have
been part managing the kitchen for probably the
last five. So I have been working 65 to 70 hours
a week in the building, so 1 feel like my
experience hands-on alone has been pretty
extensive.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Have
you managed the other two restaurants?

CHRISTOPHER ROBICHAUD: I've managed the
back of the house, the kitchen itself.

ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: He has not been
posted as a manager of record.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
trying to figure out what managerial experience he may have had.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You understood

```
that Cambridge has a different requirement as it
relates to alcohol training, and it's 21 Proof
training that's required and not TIPS, right?
    ATTY. KAREN SIMAO: Yes.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: When are you
looking at open?
```

    AARON SANDERS: As soon as possible. We
    estimate the construction may be two months at
the most.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: HOW
long has Lizzy's been closed?
AARON SANDERS: They are not closed.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: They
are still operating.
AARON SANDERS: They are still operating,
yes, sir.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: What are the
changes that you will be making?

AARON SANDERS: Just cosmetic inside.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Is all the
paperwork in order?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Actually, no.

We need a check for the city -- okay, for the city and the ABCC.

And $I$ just wanted it clear on the record what the letters that we have in support of the application are from Patrick McGee, President of the East Cambridge Business Association.

Counselor Toomey is very much in support
of the application. This location has a
longstanding tradition of serving the
neighborhood and he has no reason to believe this would have a negative impact in any way. The
operators come to Cambridge with a solid
reputation of serving and meeting the needs of

```
their local patrons and neighbors.
    You may recall that prior to Lizzy's this
was Pug's.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Lizzy's?
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
Before Lizzy's it was Pug's. It has been a
neighborhood establishment for a long time and
Mr. Sanders actually had worked in the city
before as well, in that neighborhood.
    AARON SANDERS: That's right.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you have any
additional questions?
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: NO
other questions.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: No other
```

questions?
Any members the public that wish to be
heard on this matter?

I'm going to assume there's nobody in the hallway, but that's fine to ask.

I make a motion that we approve the
application for Elm Street, LLC, doing business
as Loan Star Taco Bar, transfer the all alcohol
beverage restaurant license held by Lizzy's, LLC
for the hours as stated and for the entertainment
license as stated and the pledge of license to

Leader Bank with the condition as specified by
this Commission for Persons With Disabilities and with upon completion of 21 Proof training.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Are you
going to make it contingent upon the Building

Department's approval of the 75 seats?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes. Contingent
upon the Building Department's approval of 75
seats. Thanks.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Long motion.

All those in favor signify by saying aye.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
think you need to clarify that you're approving
the 55 seats, you're approving the 76
contingent --

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All right, so I
accept the friendly amendment.

So the motion's been made and seconded.

All those in favor, signify by saying
aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I abstain.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You're all set.

APPLICATION: FUJI RESTAURANT

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

```
Application: Fuji Group, Inc., d/b/a Fuji
```

Restaurant, Matthew Smith, manager, holder of an
all alcoholic beverages license at 300 Third
Street has applied for a change of manager to
Natasha Stickney.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.
Please state your names for the record
spelling your last name and speak up too.
NATASHA STICKNEY: Natasha Stickney.
$S-T-I-C-K-N-E-Y$.
TONY LIANG: Tony Liang, L-I-A-N-G.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You're looking for
a change of manager?
TONY LIANG: Correct.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Matthew Smith.
What happened to Matthew Smith.
TONY LIANG: He's working somewhere else
now.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: How long ago did Mr. Smith leave?

TONY LIANG: It's been about five months.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Ms. Stickney, I
know that you have prior experience in other Cambridge establishments.

NATASHA STICKNEY: I do.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So, briefly, if I can just have that on the record, if you can tell us your history.

NATASHA STICKNEY: Yup, I was general
manager at Floating Rock.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: How long were you general manager there?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Less than a year.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And prior to that?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Nothing in the
restaurant industry.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: When you were
general manager at Floating Rock, was the alcohol
license held in your name?

NATASHA STICKNEY: It was not.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Have you attended

21 Proof training before?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Yes, I have.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: How long have you
been with the Fuji?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Two and a half years.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: What capacity?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Everything. I have
been a waitress, manager, and now general
manager.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: The

21 Proof has been amended since the time she did
it. It would be a requirement to do that again.

NATASHA STICKNEY: I have a call in. I am waiting for a date to go in.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you have any
questions?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So when you left Floating Rock, you went to Fuji?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Yes, I did.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: And how
long have you been general manager of the restaurant now?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Since September.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Just
for the Commissioner, Floating Rock closed.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I know
it did. I know they were only open for a short awhile.

So you say prior to Floating Rock you had no prior experience in the restaurant industry?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Not in restaurant. I was in retail management.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: You
served as a manager in that capacity?

NATASHA STICKNEY: Yes, I did.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No other questions.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any questions?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: You have been
at Fuji Group at the Third Street address?

NATASHA STICKNEY: I was in the Quincy
location first briefly, and then to Third Street.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any other
questions?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No
other questions.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any questions?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: No questions.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any members of the

```
public this wish to be heard on this matter?
    Seeing none, I make a motion that we
approve the change in manager to Natasha Stickney
with the provision of completion of 21 Proof
training.
```

    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
    favor, signify by saying aye.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
APPLICATION: POLICE AMERICAN CITIZENS
ASSOCIATION OF CAMBRIDGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
Application Polish American Citizens of
Cambridge, Inc., James Voutiritsa, manager,
holder of all alcoholic beverages club license at 747 Cambridge Street has applied for a change of manager to Robert Kelly, II.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Please state your
name for the record spelling your last name please.

ROBERT KELLY: Robert Francis Kelly, II, K-E-L-L-Y.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can you tell me your position with the Polish American Citizens?

ROBERT KELLY: I'm the president of the Polish American Club and also the bartender.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: How long have you been the president?

ROBERT KELLY: I have been the president for two years.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Did you hold any
other office there prior to that?

ROBERT KELLY: Yes, I was on the Board of Directors for the previous four years.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: How long have you been a bartender there?

ROBERT KELLY: I have been there -- this is my second stint there so $I$ have been there now three years. Prior to that, I was there for two years.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Have you attended

21 Proof training?

ROBERT KELLY: No.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Will
you remain in the capacity of president and
manager of record?

ROBERT KELLY: I'm sorry?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Will
you maintain both position as president and
manager of record?

ROBERT KELLY: Well, until November when
we have our next elections, it's not guaranteed
that $I$ will be president again. But until then
yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: How many members
does the club have?

ROBERT KELLY: Right now we have 160 paid
members.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: 160?

ROBERT KELLY: 160 .

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: HOW
long ago did the previous manager leave?

ROBERT KELLY: He left towards the end of

February.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Who has
served in that capacity since then?

ROBERT KELLY: Me.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Have
there been any issues since you have been serving in that capacity?

ROBERT KELLY: There was one instance
where there was an incident that happened outside of the building.

That was investigated, and from what I
understand, nothing came of it because $I$ haven't received any new notification of it.

We supplied, you know, they talked to our
bartenders and stuff like that. And other than that, $I$ can't recall any instances.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If I recall, that
was an incident that happened out on the
sidewalk, it was not inside of the club.

ROBERT KELLY: Correct.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Did it
involve members of the club?

ROBERT KELLY: No.

```
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: The club was
granted a delay on the sprinkler and fire system
and that's been all completed right now?
    ROBERT KELLY: Excuse me?
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: You were
granted several years ago a delay on installing a
sprinkler system, but that's all complete,
correct?
    ROBERT KELLY: No. From what I
understand, they lowered our capacity down to 99
and we were told that we did not have to have a
sprinkler system installed.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So that's
where the club license is now?
    ROBERT KELLY: 99.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: That would
include the back hall as well?
    ROBERT KELLY: Yes. 99 total.
```

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Thank you. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any additional questions?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: All set. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Any additional

```
questions?
```

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Are any members
of the public that wish to be heard in this matter?

Seeing none, I make a motion that we approve the change in manager to Robert Kelly, II, for the Polish American Citizens Association of Cambridge holder of an all alcoholic beverages club license.

I would like you, sir, to attend 21 Proof
training.

ROBERT KELLY: Where do I go for that?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We'll make sure we get you the contact information.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
favor, signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You're all set.

ROBERT KELLY: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

DISCIPLINARY: JEAN MOISE MEDALLION 250

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Disciplinary: Jean Moise, owner of medallion 250
due to his accessible taxi not being available 24
hours per day as required by the rules and
regulations of Hackney Division of the Cambridge

License Commission.

> It's a straight violation of our rules in
regards to the accessible medallions. We've had many conversations with him.

ANDREA BOYER: I'm not able to find him. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay.

So in terms of this disciplinary matter, we can go ahead and just leave it for the end and see if he shows up and go ahead and take the regs.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: We can do that or you can make a decision.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can you provide us with some additional information?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.

But we can re-call it.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yeah, we can
re-call it.

```
    POLICY: REGULATIONS FOR SMARTPHONE
    TECHNOLOGY FOR TAXICAB, LIMOUSINE
    EXECUTIVE SEDAN INDUSTRY
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
Policy matters: Board of License Commissioners
will meet to discuss policy with respect to
regulations for smartphone technology for the
taxicab and limousine, executive sedan industry
in Cambridge.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So let me start
with --
    ANDREA BOYER: One second. I have people
in the hallway. How do you want them to be able
to hear?
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I will try to talk
as loud as possible so everyone can hear me. So
I apologize. I know this is not the most ideal
space.
```

This is on our agenda tonight simply to have a discussion with Commissioners and because we're not allowed to talk to one another about it, we have to hold a public hearing in terms of having a conversation. This is a preliminary conversation. We are not having a vote tonight. Contrary to everything that's been on social media, there's not a vote tonight in terms of approving, not approving this, it's simply a preliminary conversation as it relates to proposed regs.

With that being said, $I$ know that we -Executive Director Lint had a meeting earlier today with Meghan from Uber, that $I$ think was a very productive meeting and kinda helped clarify what is going on and what we're looking for. And as we shared earlier, one of the challenges of the Commission is that it's not so

```
much people have said this is all about Uber,
it's not so much just looking at Uber, but it's
also looking at the number of illegal taxis we
have in the city and trying to address that
issue.
```

So this was kind've an initial wide,
really wide, net that was thrown out, again, just
to really start the conversation.
But there's not going to be a decision
tonight as it relates to these proposed regs.
We recognize that they are not perfect
and we welcome the input. I know we have
received lots and lots and lots of emails of
people who love Uber.
These are not an I-hate-Uber or any other
smartphone apps type of reg. If anything, it's
really again starting the dialogue and the
conversation and getting the input and having
some questions that we needed answered that I believe Executive Director Lint was able to get some of the answers of earlier today.

So I would invite Meghan from Uber to come up and at least we can get maybe like ten minutes of that on the record so people can at least understand that because, again, I think it's important for me that people understand. Again, this is just a preliminary conversation. This isn't a -- we are trying to adopt these regs as-is. At least $I$ can only speak for myself. I don't know about my fellow Commissioners.

Again, we are not allowed to discuss it with one another unless we have it in a public body like this.

So Meghan?

MEGHAN JOYCE: My name is Meghan Verena

```
Joyce, M-E-G-H-A-N, Verena, V-E-R-E-N-A, Joyce,
J-O-Y-C-E. And I'm the general manager of Uber
Boston. I'll try to speak loudly. I know there
are a lot of people here as well.
If it's okay with you, I'll start with a
quick overview of Uber and the proposed regs, and
then I would be happy to answer any questions you
might have. I want to leave the bulk of the time
for that.
```

So good evening, Chair Jackson and
Commissioner Haas, Fire Chief Reardon, Executive
Director Lint and all of you.
My name is Meghan Verena Joyce. I'm the
general manager of Uber Boston, and I really
appreciate the opportunity to appear before you
this evening and speak about this matter.
First and foremost, $I$ want to recognize
the very productive meeting we had this afternoon

```
with Director Lint to express our concerns and I
want to appreciate the Commission's overall
willingness to hear the concerns of many of its
citizens about the availability of innovative
transportation options in the City of Cambridge.
    We also want to acknowledge Mayor Maher
and Councilor Carlone and many others who have
spoken out on this issue.
    We are pleased to hear that this
evening's hearing is the beginning of this
process in considering these regs, and not the
end, and are completely committed to engaging
with the Commission to find a solution that
promotes safe, affordable and plentiful options
for transportation in the City of Cambridge.
    As I think you may be aware, Uber is a
technology company founded in San Francisco in
June 2010 and is now available in over 130
```

markets worldwide.

Uber software connects people who wants
rides -- who want rides with the most reliable
transportation providers in the local area. Uber does not own any cars or employ any drivers, but rather Uber's lead generation platform enhances financial and professional freedom for local
small businesses and access to reliable, safe,
affordable rides for local residents and
visitors.

Uber utilizes industry best standards to
ensure the safety of its passengers and partners
and the quality of the service provided.

We require comprehensive and independent
background checks for anyone whoever wants to
access our driver software.

We have very high insurance standards.

Any ride requested through the app is

```
insured with at least a million dollars in
liability insurance which is 25 times what is
required of a taxi in the State of
```

Massachusetts.
We have feedback and quality monitoring
that literally takes place 24 hours a day. High
standards for vehicle and unprecedented degree of
accountability that comes through our innovative
technology.
If drivers do not meet the high
expectations that Uber users have come to expect,
then they are subject to removal from the system
and the same is true for riders as well.
In addition, Uber provides economic
opportunities for small businesses. Uber's
technology platform is generating tens of
thousands of jobs across the country and having
overwhelmingly positive impact on local

```
economies, including Cambridge.
    I suspect you will hear from a few of our
driver partners here this evening.
    As you may have noticed, Uber users are
frequently passionate about having access to
inactive transportation options. And that's
because transportation options are good for
riders, they're good for drivers, and they're
good for the city.
    Riders get access to the highest quality
transportation providers in the local area with
fast response time. They get reliable and
convenient ways to get around, great customer
service and transparent pricings, and the safest
option available, far less anonymous than street
hails for both the passenger and driver.
    Drivers get lower operating expenses and
increase revenue through Uber's lead generation
```

```
technology platform and improve quality of life
and safety, including cashless and GPS track
systems that creates a safer environment for
everyone involved. And flexible schedules
creating more time for them for family, loved
ones, and even the opportunity to earn extra
income as a second job that will lend additional
income opportunities.
Cities get reliable coverage for all
neighborhoods, particularly historically
underserved areas; a reduction in impaired
driving through reliable transportations options
that are available 24 hours a day; a new market
generating tens of thousands of well-paying
opportunities; elimination of single-occupancy
vehicles significantly reducing car congestion
and parking issues.
```

Again, we're incredibly appreciative that
this is the beginning of the dialogue with the

License Commission and that we have this
opportunity to discuss innovative transportation
options in Cambridge.

I do want to take a brief moment to put
on the record our concerns with the current draft regulations that are being presented for discussion.

First, the draft regulations create new
and at times prohibitive regulatory requirements without any commensurate benefit for public
safety, or the quality of transportation options
in Cambridge; (2) the draft regulations
unnecessarily restrict or eliminate the use of
technology that can add an unprecedented degree
of accountability to the delivery of
transportation services. And more importantly, a
technology that Cambridge consumers have embraced

```
and want and use on a daily basis; (3) draft
regulations seek to impose a minimal allowable
charge regardless of time or distance that will
eliminate the ability for Uber to compete -- Uber
and Uber's partners to compete in Cambridge's
transportation market.
```

    Many of these regulations were based on
    regs that were written back in the $1920 s$ and
1930s, and furthermore, these draft regulations
would regulate licensed technology software as
traditional dispatch barrages which is not an
inappropriate distinction, but also unnecessary
for these reasons.
We greatly appreciate the opportunity to
begin these discussions with the License
Commission and are committing to providing more
feedback and information as we move along in this
process.

There are examples throughout the country of jurisdictions that have worked very positively with Uber and other such technologies to find systems that promote affordable, reliable, safe transportation options and also economic
development and opportunity. We are very excited about the opportunity to work with you to develop a similar system here.

And with that, $I$ would love to answer any questions that you might have.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Again, I know you
spoke to Executive Director Lint.

Can you just very, very, very briefly,
one of the concerns for me, and again, $I$ can only
speak for myself, is public safety in terms of
the background checks that are conducted on the
drivers. If you can briefly tell me about the
background checks that are conducted?

MEGHAN JOYCE: Absolutely. So before any
transportation provider even has access to our software, they need to come back with a clean bill of health on industry leading background checks.

We have a zero tolerance policy for any drug, alcohol, sexual offense. And as I said, before even accessing the software, anyone who wants to access the driver app needs to come back with a clean bill of health. Our standards are so strict that ten percent of Boston taxi drivers who pass the City of Boston annual check actually fail our checks because ours are that much stricter.

This is something we take extraordinary seriously and we wouldn't have it any other way. POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: How do you acquire that information?

MEGAN JOYCE: The background information? POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Yes.

MEGAN JOYCE: When a partner applies and
there are a number of people in this room who can
tell you about the process, they need to provide their information and Social Security number and also their consent to have a background check
run. It's multi-state, county and Federal check
and it is done on anyone who wants to even access the software.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So similar to
a Triple I?

MEGAN JOYCE: Yes.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: You
have the ability to run Triple I checks?

MEGHAN JOYCE: What is the Triple I?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Triple

I is an interstate check, but it's through

```
system. I'm trying to figure out how you access
that information.
```

MEGAN JOYCE: It's my understanding that
that is what it is, but $I$ can follow up with you
with the exact details of exactly what that
background check goes through.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Are the cars
inspected regularly?
MEGHAN JOYCE: Yeah. Obviously in order
to put a car on the system, you need to provide
your registration and detail and we keep all that
documentation on file. Not only do we do monthly
doc audits to ensure that any of the registration
information that's coming up for expiration
during that month is refreshed, and if it's not,
then we freeze the account and we no longer allow
that partner with the expired registration to --
and inspection information to be on the system.

Moreover, when those cars come on the system, they're examined, and in order to regularly stay on the system, you need to ensure that the quality of the vehicle remains high. So
there are multiple examples of a rider writing in
or even a driver writing in and saying, you know,
"I'm talking my car into the shop or this -- I
notice that there was an issue here or there,"
and immediately, we're able to freeze that
partner's account and not let it back on the
system until we have inspected the vehicle and
can ensure it's in great working order.
And, finally, we do have vehicle year
requirements to ensure that it truly is only the
highest quality of vehicles that are coming on
the system.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Do you also
track inspection sticker expiration dates? So

```
registration, insurance --
```

    MEGAN JOYCE: Yes. Registration,
    insurance, driver's license, of course. We have
all of these documents on file.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: That's
obviously the insurance liability is also part of
that when they submit it?
MEGHAN JOYCE: Yep, of course.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Do you know
what the term of the liability is?
MEGHAN JOYCE: Absolutely. All of that
needs to meet Uber's strict standards before that
driver even has access.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I mean
there's a myriad of questions around all of these
things on every side. But my -- I don't want to
speak for my fellow Commissioners -- but my issue
is to make sure that if someone is using one that

```
the liability insurance is there, similar to what
is expected out in the industry.
```

                    MEGHAN JOYCE: Absolutely.
                    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: That the
    drivers are safe and their records have been
checked in multiple states so we don't have
issues with that. And the other issues with cab
standards and what their rules and regulations
are. Maybe you can speak to that in terms of
what the Uber explains to the Uber drivers in
terms of their responsibilities in terms of cabs
and hailing.
MEGHAN JOYCE: Yeah. We were speaking
about this earlier. And Director Line brought to
my attention the issue that Cambridge has on
occasion come across cars that appeared to be
Uber partners, or may have self-identified as
Uber partners who were sitting in cab stands or
in places where they shouldn't have.

If that's the case, please let me know
and $I$ shared my contact information with Director

Lint because that's obviously something we want
to know about.

We expect that our partners will abide by
the full letter of the law, and if that's not the case, we want to make that known and ensure that
they are abiding to the full letter of the law. We also have the ability to send emails, text messages, voicemails out to our partners to let them know as a reminder that that kind of behavior or certain regulations, violating certain regulations will not be stood for. We have multiple examples of this. One great one is our relationship with Massport
where we have a wonderful working relationship
with Massport where they let us know where the
areas are at the airport available for pickup and where is not. And not only are we able to set those guidelines in our app, and not even send a
request to a driver partner who is not in the appropriate area of the airport, but we can also send an email out to everyone and say "FYI:

Massport has a new policy" or "FYI: As a
reminder, Massport officials will be enforcing
and there will be no tolerance for whatever
behavior is being observed."

And so, those issues in all candor have
been few and far between. But when they do
occur, we do want to know about them and ensure
that the partners who are using our software are
in full compliance with the laws and regulations
of the local area.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO I
think one of the concerns that the taxi industry

```
will have is the notion that somebody will ask
for a taxicab in Uber and I guess we have a big
discussion about taxis coming in from outside the
jurisdiction in Cambridge.
    Is there a way to safeguard that if
somebody's in Cambridge and let's just say,
requesting a specific vendor that Uber could
disengage?
```

    MEGHAN JOYCE: That's a great question.
    A few of my driver operations team members are
here with me. Let us brainstorm on that. But
those are the very source of issues that we would
love to be able to engage with you on.
As I noted, Massport, you know, is a
great example of where we're able to hear the
concerns of the city. And the concerns of local
riders and driver base and say our technology is
incredibly flexible and allows for an
unprecedented degree of accountability. And we would like to be able to satisfy that with
technological means and not even have to address
the issue.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I mean
that would be a big selling issue or selling
feature for listing local taxies and limousines.

Limousines, I'm not certain, are taking advantage
of Uber, but the taxi industry is probably
something that's unrepresented in your database, and obviously, it would be nice if we, the
customers in Cambridge, asking for a taxi,
preferably a Cambridge taxi, gets dispatched to
that location.

MEGHAN JOYCE: As I said, we hope this is
only the beginning of the dialogue. So these are
the very sorts of issues that we're eager to
hear. And as soon as we know and help identify,

```
I think the conversation with Director Lint,
started to surface some of those issues.
As soon as we know what the objectives
are of this Commission, and the goals that you're
trying to satisfy in this regulation, then we can
start to figure out the best possible way to get
after them. And, quite frankly, the best most
accountability driving ways to get after them
might be something that we can do through the app
that doesn't actually need a regulation written
around it or that we can get after it more
effectively by addressing it in the app than
anything.
```

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Do you know
if you have a lot of cab drivers are on Uber as
well?
MEGHAN JOYCE: There are hundreds of both
Boston taxi medallion licensed cabs and also

```
former taxi drivers who use our software, and I
know there are some in this room tonight. I'll
let them speak to their experience.
    But the feedback has been quite positive.
And I think the economic opportunity, the respect
that is afforded both drivers and riders when
they know that this is a system that they both
feel lucky to be able to use, the feedback we
have gotten is that the -- this kind of software
affords both professional and economic freedom
for a lot of people in the Cambridge and Boston
area, including taxi drivers.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: In
terms of complaints, I know you do track
complaints and things like that. How liberal are
you about sharing those complaints with the
licensing authority so that we are aware of the
complaints that you may be?
```

MEGHAN JOYCE: You know, we after every
ride, we ask for a rating, one to five stars,
from both the rider and driver. We also ask for specific kinda check the box, did you experience
these issues and also an open-ended area for any
commentary they would like to provide.

And in addition, we also have an email
address that people can check 24 hours a day
monitoring for issues and complaints.

If there are issues that are of the level
that they violate local laws, absolutely those would be shared.

If they're issues, such as this car
spelled like cigarette smoke, then, you know, I
think those are the kinds of things that $I$ think
probably wouldn't be the best use of your time,
and, frankly, that we hop on immediately. It's
our mission to connect riders to the safest, most

```
reliable, most affordable highest quality
transportation providers in the local area. And
it's in our best interest to take that feedback
extraordinarily seriously and we have zero
flexibility around that. Both rider and driver
feedback we handle with the utmost care and
seriousness and our reputation rests on it.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: HOW
readily apparent is it that if we stop a
limousine or taxi that it's an Uber partner or
you just don't know unless you actually inquire
with Uber?
            MEGHAN JOYCE: If you are curious, you
can always ask. And an Uber partner will not
only have a smartphone with the app loaded on it,
but also a waybill. And the waybill details the
full information about the request, where that
ride was requested so that you can ensure that
```

that person really is there to pick someone up at the place that they say they are.

And so that you can ensure that this is
an issue of a legitimate business for lack of a better term, rogue street hails or Gipsy street hails are illegal for a reason. They're dangerous for both riders and drivers. And we are deeply opposed to them, and, in fact, feel this software provides an incredible alternative to people in the past might have resorted to in an illegal street hail in a time when -- at a
time of day or week when it was very difficult to get another form of transportation home.

And so, we want to ensure that our
partners who use our software are armed with the
full detail to show that they are there to pick
up in a place and time that they said they were.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: We're

```
one of the few communities that actually license
our limousine businesses, and I'm wondering how
```

fastidious Uber would be in terms of honoring
that requirement. In other words, if somebody is
garaging their limousine there, comes to you and
wants to be a partner, would that be part of the
-- I guess the selection progress to determine
whether or not they're licensed or not with the
City?
MEGHAN JOYCE: So this issue came up back
in the fall, and $I$ was in this room with a few of
you here where letters were sent to our partners
and saying you need a Cambridge license in
operate to operate in this city. And our
partners showed up at city hall and said, "Great,
I had no idea. I didn't realize. I'm here to
get a license."
We're told that there had actually been a

```
moratorium for many years, ten or so years, on
these licenses.
```

    You know, I think where we become
    concerned, and $I$ think where our users and riders
become concerned is where controls are put in
place to cap or limit the number of
transportation providers that exist in a city.
The quality controls that Uber requires
of its partners are best in class. And I'm not
convinced that -- or $I$ haven't seen any data to
suggest that there are safety concerns that are
not being addressed by our current system. If
there are, let's figure out the best way to
address them for sure.
But, you know, Uber partners -- Cambridge
livery companies have been Uber partners for the
last few years. And they have been operating in
a way that has been quite satisfying to Cambridge

```
riders, as you all know.
    And so, again, I -- if there are specific
safety concerns, let's talk about those and let's
talk about the best way to address them. That
also fosters economic development and
opportunity.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: It
seems to me, and I'm speaking for myself, that,
in fact, if we're going to venture down this
road, the moratorium has to be lifted because you
want to allow for a free market, and I think it
puts you in bind if we are saying to you we are
going only to restrict certain limosines unless
we have a valid reason and we can particulate
that reason. I mean, that's something that will
have to go hand and hand with respect to the
notion of if we are asking you to make sure our
limosines are probably licensed, we don't have
```

our official barriers in the way for people who want to be a partner of Uber.

MEGHAN JOYCE: I think the question does
come down to the reason. What is the public
safety and policy reason that we would find this
to be an effective policy tool? What is the
problem we are actually trying to solve?

You know, every rider who takes a ride
with Uber gets a receipt and immediately upon
finishing their trip with the driver's picture,
name, license plate number, with the exact route
they took, the to and from and the time of the
trip. It shows a map. They have access to the
contact information of that driver if they forgot
something in the car and need to get in touch.

All of that accountability and safety is there.

And, you know, as someone who has closely
monitored the feedback coming out of Cambridge

```
and the Greater Boston area for the better part
of the last 18 months, I have not heard any
concerns about the safety issues of liberty
partners picking up in Cambridge even with
moratorium in place.
    So I would really question the value of
putting that kind of system in place, where it's
truly not serving any public safety interest that
I'm aware of.
```

    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO when
    you say --
MEGHAN JOYCE: A licensing system back in
place.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
curious to see what your background checks look
like compared to ours, where your databases are
coming from compared to us, and we're also
looking at an ordinance relative to which goes a
little further than you're able to go with the
local ordinance. So $I$ would be curious to match up what those two things look like.

MEGHAN JOYCE: Yeah, absolutely.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: That's
what we are clearly looking for to make sure that we can ensure the public they have a license. We have been due diligent about making sure that they pass all the background and screening, and before we throw that out, I need to look at that. MEGHAN JOYCE: Absolutely. Let's look at
the data both what the background checks entail
and what additional opportunities exist from
these alternatives.

I'll remind you that ten percent of

Boston taxi drivers who go through the very kind
of system you're describing actually fail our
checks.

So, again, $I$ just question the additional
value that comes in a creating a system that
fundamentally puts a cap on the number of people who are able to access it.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I don't disagree with you.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: They have a printer in each car for the waybill?

MEGHAN JOYCE: No. It's all electronic.

So when you -- if you approached a car or asked a partner, they can tap a button on their app and pull up the waybill.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I kinda knew
the answer, I'm just asking.

MEGHAN JOYCE: Yeah, for sure, for the
record. It's all done electronically. And then
the receipts as well are immediately, you know -FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So the driver

```
could very easily turn around and show the
waybill for a particular ride?
MEGHAN JOYCE: Yes.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Meghan, are their
regs that you know of that are in place that Uber
is subject to somewhere else?
MEGHAN JOYCE: Absolutely. So there are
regulations in places like Colorado and
Washington, D.C. that where the local authorities
and lawmakers have actually partnered with
innovative technologies like Uber and competitors
to come up with a system that actually looks at
this new technology for what it is. It doesn't
try to apply a 1920 s or 1930 s base system onto a
completely new business model, but rather says,
"Look, we have a software and we have some local
transportation providers that are getting lead
generation from that software. Let's put
standards in place to ensure, to rest assure that
every transportation provider who uses that
software is background checked, and every
transportation provider who uses that software does have the appropriate insurance."

These are standards that we undertake on
our own as it is. But absolutely if that would make the people of Cambridge more comfortable,
and more safe in using these forms of technology,

I would be thrilled to share those regs with you. CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I would love to
see them. I think that would kinda help us
instead of feeling like we are reinventing the
wheel, not too well, just to be able to kind've
look at what other municipalities or other states
have done, I think that would be very helpful.

MEGHAN JOYCE: Absolutely. And I would
be happy to send those over as soon as we get out

```
of this hearing.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If you can send
that to Ms. Lint, that would be great.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: And as to the
Commissioner's point earlier, if a car was
stopped by the police here, and they had the
livery number, it would very easy for you to let
us know whether or not they're an Uber
participant?
    MEGHAN JOYCE: If you reach out to me,
and you can obviously ask the driver, and, you
know, how the question --
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: They say yes
and they don't seem to have any documentation --
    MEGHAN JOYCE: Yes.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: -- and
there's a question about whether or not they're
valid or not?
```

```
MEGHAN JOYCE: Please reach out to me.
```

We want to make sure that --
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: That would be
something easily attainable by you?
MEGHAN JOYCE: We want to make sure that
anyone who says that they're a partner of Uber
actually is. And so, if someone is telling you
that they're not, we want to be the first to
know, so please let us know.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: In
terms of our livery officers, how difficult would
it be to create a database of your partners of
your Cambridge base, if they want to do a query
to see if, in fact, a certain taxicab or
limousine was using Uber as a partner, is that
something that's doable or...?
MEGHAN JOYCE: So the one thing that I
would make you aware of is we take the security

```
of the data of the people who use our app very
seriously on both the rider and driver's side.
So that would be the one question in my mind
about kind've creating a database to just hand
over to you.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I
suspect you'd probably need some kind of
administrative subpoena if we wanted to get that.
I am just thinking as general query like the guy
XYZ, is that a partner, simply make a query based
on that; it's a yes or no almost answer.
    MEGHAN JOYCE: Certainly if we have a
subpoena or if we had a rider who wanted to
confirm something who is using the system, for
sure.
    There are numerous examples of how Uber
here in Boston has worked very productively with
local law enforcement to track down information
```

```
for the public safety.
```

    Just a couple months ago, I got an email
    from the Massachusetts Department of Public
Health that one of the measles patients who in
the local Boston area had taken an Uber ride to
and from the hospital, and his physician from the
Massachusetts Department of Public Health said,
"We believe that this patient may have exposed
the driver and also anyone who had been in the
car shortly thereafter."
Through this the system, we were able to
confidentially look up the small handful of
people who were affected, and $I$ personally called
each and everyone of them, and they agreed, "Yes,
of course, please provide my information to
Department of Public Health." And not only said
that, but also, "My gosh, this is incredible."
If this had been an anonymous street hail

```
of a taxi, I never would have known that I had
been exposed. And thank you so much, we were
able to send Public Health nurses to people's
homes to ensure that they were okay, follow up
with them. And later, the physician praised the
system and the technology for being able to help
isolate a potential breakout of the measles just
because of the additional transparency and
information that that technology affords.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Are
there --
    GORDON GOSSAGE: Excuse me, can we hear
from somebody other than Uber, like a Lyft
driver, for example?
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Excuse me. I was
going to say that this is not just about Uber.
It's just that's where we received the bulk of
our emails from. This just is not about Uber.
```

```
It obviously affect Lyft, Sidecar -- I can't
```

think of the other ones that are out there.
GORDON GOSSAGE: Taxi drivers.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes, absolutely.
It affects everybody. For me --
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: And
rogue taxis.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And the rogue
taxies. It's the process really about having a
healthy dialogue, and $I$ think, again, before
we're even looking at putting any regs in place,
it's getting as much information as possible to
try to be as thoughtful as possible.
So $I$ know that, yes, we are spending a
lot of time talking to Uber, but I think at the
end of the day, we all probably have the same
objective as that, we want something that works
for everyone. Not everyone will obviously be
happy. But, again, this is -- for us tonight, we're not looking at implementing what has been out on the internet. It's about getting as much
information as possible.

And the big piece for me is the rogue
taxis. It's a big problem in Cambridge. I'm not sure but $I{ }^{\prime \prime} m$ assuming probably in Boston as well.

But we have several vehicles that we know
operate in Cambridge that have markings on the
side of the car that will say "Cambridge."

There's numbers on the side, but they're not
licensed taxis. There's no taxi light on the
top. Usually it's some generic sign, if a sign at all.

Those are really the ones I'm concerned
about who are out there parading themselves as
licensed taxis and they're not.

GORDON GOSSAGE: I have information on
the California regulation.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have a question, too.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Just a second.

Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa. So those are the ones I'm really, really concerned about.

And also there are several cars operating
out there that have meters in them and they're
not taxis. So how do we draft thoughtful
regulations that encompass all of that?

I understand fully that in this day and age not everybody walks around with cash. I am one of them. And we prefer to pay by credit card
and not fight about it at the end of my ride and
want a ride that is clean, without someone
talking on their phone or without trash in the
back or you name it. I'm sure there's a long
list that everybody could probably help me with.

So I get that. I'm sure my colleagues get that
as well.

We are not looking at stifling
competition, but, again, just making sure that everything is included.

That being said, if you can send over whatever drafts there are and you can correspond with Director Lint.

MEGHAN JOYCE: I would be happy to.

Thank you again.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

Any questions?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: All set.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: To try do this as
fairly as possible, if there are still people
here who would like to speak, if I could ask
everyone to possibly limit it to two minutes or
less, or less, and if you have heard something

```
that the previous speaker has already said, if
you can simply say "ditto," that would be
wonderful.
    I think none of us want to here until
10:00 at night. So I'm going to start here.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Do we have
anyone that represents large groups?
    I mean, is there any of the associations
```

who have large numbers that they represent that
might help?
ANDREA BOYER: There's a couple people in
the hallway representing like eight people at one
time.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Madam
Chair, if $I$ may, it might be helpful to hear from
a Lyft driver.
I did receive a phone call from --
actually $I$ returned a phone call to the general
manager of Lyft who also wanted to reach out and meet with me to discuss ways that we can all come to the table together and try to promulgate something that will be positive for across the board.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Sure.

Councillor Benzan, did you have anything
you wanted to add or are you just here to
observe?

COUNCILLOR BENZAN: Just to observe.

Thank you.

MARIA HARDIMAN: We have a statement from

Cambridge City Councillor Nadeem Mazen.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Excuse me?

MARIA HARDIMAN: Cambridge City

Councillor Nadeem Mazen, we have a statement to
read on his behalf.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you want that

```
now or...?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
```

That's your pleasure.
GORDON GOSSAGE: Maybe a Lyft driver, who
knows; you never know.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You're gonna get
there.
Let me start with you. If you're a Lyft
driver, why don't you come forward, please,
identify yourself for the record and then we're
going to go straight down the row.
GORDON GOSSAGE: My name is Gordon
Gossage.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Spell your last
name for the record, please.
GORDON GOSSAGE: G-O-S-S-A-G-E. And I
own my own business. I use my own car to find
passengers to give rides to. I use a software

```
platform called Lyft, and I am separate legally
    from Lyft. I also, by the way, sell used books
on Amazon, but I'm also not an employee of
Amazon.
```

And $I$ have done, as of last night, 2,346
rides for Lyft. So I think I know something about it. And one thing $I$ would urge you to do
is to separate who benefits if you pass this
draft legislation, draft regulation.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I don't mean to
cut you off. This is not even --

GORDON GOSSAGE: I understand. I
understand.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: This will not be
passed. So, again, more healthy dialogue.

GORDON GOSSAGE: Thanks for correcting
me. If anything similar to this regulation draft
was adopted, $I$ think you have to look at who
benefits. I know, as an attorney, you guys know
the phrase "who benefits. " Do the consumers benefit? Do the drivers benefit. Do the taxi
drivers benefit? Do the people who drive for

Lyft benefit? And then, especially, do the taxi
medallion owners benefit?

I think it's very clear that the people
who would benefit the most would be taxi
medallion owners.

And right now, it's too bad we didn't
have anybody representing them. Jean Moise, I
guess, didn't respect you enough to show up.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: They're here.

GORDON GOSSAGE: There are taxi medallion
owners?

Great. Okay.

So, as of -- the most recent sale of

Cambridge taxi medallion was $\$ 615,000$. There are
a total of 257 taxi medallions. That adds up to $\$ 158,000,000$ of taxi medallion assets. So if this regulation, anything close to
it, was adopted, if Lyft and Uber were banned in Boston and banned in Cambridge, then the medallion owners, their asset, would remain preserved. And I do think it's -- they don't have the constitutional right to be protected from competition.

And just so you understand, these are
real people. The fellow who did that recent sale, a guy named Dulgy Cower (phonetic), he sold his taxi medallion here in Cambridge for $\$ 615,000$ and then he bought a new one in Boston for \$694, 000 .

So these people are not people who work
for a living.

And what $I$ 'm saying is to try to figure
out who would benefit by something like this
draft legislation versus who benefits if this
regulation was adopted in Cambridge?

This is a simple, eight-page description
of the California legislation that was put
statewide by the Public Utility Commission.

Eight pages. Here. I made four copies just in
case. Commissioner, Chair.

And I guess I would urge you to talk to
maybe somebody from Nelson Nygaard which did the study in Boston for the taxi industry report for
the mayor or Charler (phonetic) Consulting also
in New York who have studied these sort of things
and compare the current draft regulations to the

California one.

And I really challenge you to find where
the current ones are superior to the TNC,

Transportation Network Company Regulations,

```
either in safety or an insurance or the
regulations you're talking about to require
strict enforcement against straight hails,
against being in cabbie stands as well as the
necessity to pick up in any neighborhood, in
Boston or Cambridge.
And the way they're doing with the TNCs
there is that they're getting reports from Uber
and Lyft by zip code of where people are being
picked up. And because we said this is
auditable --
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You have to start
wrapping it up, please.
GORDON GOSSAGE: Okay, yes.
So this is auditable. So basically if
you wanted to determine if there is pickup and
designation discrimination, you can find that
out.
```

So if people say they don't want to pick
up in black, Hispanic neighborhoods, then Lyft and Uber have that data in California.

So anyway, in conclusion, I would urge you to look at the TNC regulations.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

GORDON GOSSAGE: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I would like to
start with the woman at the end with the black
shirt on.

SASSI OUTWATER: My name is Sassi

Outwater.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can you spell your name, please?

SASSI OUTWATER: S-A-S-S-I,
$O-U-T-W-A-T-E-R$.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

SASSI OUTWATER: I'm a resident of

```
Cambridge. I'm also totally blind and travel
with a guide dog, and I would first like to thank
you for considering those of us with disabilities
in these regulations.
Oftentimes that is missed or an
overlooked point, and I would strongly extend my
thank you for considering that.
That being said, there's a crucial part
that is missing from the regulations currently as
I feel. Over a dozen times in the past year, I
have been refused transport by Uber due to the
fact that I travel with and use a service dog.
Under The Americans with Disabilities Act, this
is illegal.
```

    And I would like to point out that in
    that California law that Gordon put forth,
California was the first state in the United
States to reach out to ride sharing services and

```
say that they need to transport service dogs.
    Ride sharing services fall into a gray
area unfortunately with the Americans with
Disabilities Act because it was enacted before
they existed.
```

    Taxicabs in the City of Cambridge and in
    the State of Massachusetts are required to
transport persons with disabilities with their
service animals without any questions, comments
or arguments.
I would ask that these additional papers
be placed into these regulations so I'm not left
trapped out in the winter, in temperatures of 15
degrees with no way to get home.
Uber and Lyft serve an incredibly
important purpose to persons with disabilities
since we cannot hail a cab out on the street like
a normal person if you cannot see the taxi
lights.

They're safe way for us to make our payments since not all screens in taxicabs are be accessible to us at this point with
text-to-speech options.
Boston cabs have started to improve this,
but there are many cabs that are still not
compliant with accessibility requirements.

This is a national problem and this is
not just myself. There are many, many patrons
that $I$ have heard from in the City of Cambridge
as also in Boston and Somerville who are having
refusals from Uber drivers to transport their
service animals.
In conclusion, I would like to ask that
you continue to move forward with these
disability regulations and continue to set the
precedent and make them part of your regulations,

```
therefore, encouraging the nation to also follow
suit since this is a national issue and has been
brought forth in many national stories.
    And I would encourage Cambridge to be a
leader in accessibility rights for persons with
disabilities, and to allow my service dog and
myself to safely and securely get around
Cambridge by use of accessible apps and
technology such as Uber provides, but also
allowing us to travel in their cars and vehicles
safely with my service dog.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I would like
to ask you a question.
    SASSI OUTWATER: Please.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: When you do
the application to Uber, does the driver show up
and when the driver arrives, he or she refuses
service because of your service dog?
```

SASSI OUTWATER: Correct. And I have
been in touch with Uber many times, and this
issue has been discussed, and the same driver --
they have discussed disciplining the driver.

They do agree with me that this is
illegal and this will not to be tolerated and the same driver will show up in one case three times and continued to refuse the service dog. So I'm not seeing discipline being followed through. I'm also not seeing training being properly implementing. Sending an email or a text blast saying, Hey, transport service dogs
is what we're required to do is not enough, obviously.

The training is not being implemented
that these dogs are here for a specific reason.

In one case $I$ explained very calmly to
the driver that my dog is my eyes. It's that
simple. If you tell me that $I$ need to leave my
dog and $I$ can't take my dog in the cab, you need
to tell the next passenger to leave their
eyeballs. It's that simple.

So I'm getting refusals. And then there
will be sometimes just a kind of an exchange of,
okay, I will order another Uber, and the second
driver will usually be more than happy to take my
dog.

To the Lyft drivers in the room, they
have never refused me. Thank you.

But $I$ will also say that many of the
drivers will argue with me and they will also
involve bystanders, or bystanders will see what
is going on and try to step in and argue with the
driver, so it has become kind of a big public
issue.

Another blind customer that $I$ know who

```
recently tried to transport her service dog was
sworn at by the driver. So it's a big issue and
it needs to be addressed.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Thank you.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
    And the woman that is sitting next to
you, if she can please come testify.
    Good evening.
    MIRIAM COOPER: Good evening.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Could you please
state your name for the record, spelling your
last name?
```

    MIRIAM COOPER: Yes. My name is Miriam
    Cooper, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}$. Miriam is $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}$.
I am a resident of Cambridge. I'm also a
member of the Cambridge Commission for Persons
With Disabilities. Mostly I'm going to, at this
point, say ditto to what Sassi Outwater had to
say.

I do just very briefly want to
reemphasize the point that as people with
disabilities, and specifically as blind
individuals, we are not against this technology.

We love this technology.

It allows us to use our mobile devices to
accessibly access transportation, and the more
transportation options we have, the more
independently we can get around.

Also, as far as paying a fare, it allows
us to -- the app allows us to see accessibly what
we owe and not just rely on what the driver is
telling us.

I am going to be a little less generous
than Sassi and say that $I$ specifically found

Meghan's testimony to be fairly disingenuous in
terms of responding to customer complaints

```
because the refusals in my community for people
with service dogs have gone directly to her and
to her Boston customer complaint center. They
```

have assured us they take this very seriously,
but they can't be taking it too seriously because
it happens repeatedly.
So I would say regulation where you're
regulating out the use of the technology that is
universally beneficial to people with and without
disabilities is not what anybody would be looking
for, but regulation to allow -- to give incentive
to companies like Uber to comply with civil
rights laws, an incentive that they don't seem to
have right now, is something that we would be
strongly in favor of.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Thank you.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.
State your name for the record, spelling your
last name.

DONNA BLYTHE-SHAW: My name is Donna

Blythe, $B-L-Y-T-H-E-h y p h e n-S-H-A-W$.

I represent the Boston Taxi Drivers Union
with 1500 members, and we're here in support of
regulation of smarter technology, and we're also here to declare objections to Uber and the way
they do business in our industry.

We've recognized over the last several
months that the unregulated industry of passenger for hire has not only tapped into and taken away

30 to 40 percent of our business, but Uber, as
they conduct business is totally unregulated,
their drivers are unvetted, their cars are
uninspected.

There's no responsibility to the
community or to any public entity. And they can
pretty much run roughshod over our industry.
It's not competition or American -- good
old-fashioned American competition when you have a highly-regulated industry and you have a clearly unregulated industry competing for the same work.

You also have an industry that has gone from a small smart app operation in San Francisco to being invested by 18 billion dollars by Goldman Sachs and everyone on Wall Street. And what we would like to say here is Wall Street is coming to take over our main streets. And when that happens, what do we do after that without regulations?

As you heard from the last two speakers, they're not required to comply with ADA or any other regulations. They're not -- they don't need to comply to inspection of vehicles or any other public safety issues.

So it's very important that regulations
like those that are being proposed by the City of Cambridge, and what we here in Boston will be doing shortly to regulate Uber has open and
transparent hearings and understanding what
actually Uber is.
Uber wants to take over the
transportation industry and deregulate every
single public transportation industry that we
have.

We have Uber boat. We might now have

Uber bus and Uber train, Uber plane and maybe
they will go into, you know, deliveries of
services up to delivering your first baby. Who
knows what they want to delivery or do in
transportation.

But if they come here -- every innovative
industry that comes through this country and has

```
made this country great has regulations. It's
not going -- it's not unusual and it should be
expected that Uber be expected to comply to the
needs of every city and town in the Commonwealth
of Massachusetts.
```

    I thank you for your time. I applaud you
    for your efforts.
We look forward to seeing, you know,
regulations for Uber and any other smart app.
You have a population of workers here in this
town, in Cambridge, as we do in Boston, hard
working people who deserve to have a level
playing field, so we thank you very much.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
Did you want to speak?
I like that.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.
JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: Hi everybody.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If you could
please state your name for the record, spelling your last name please, first and last?

JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: My name is Jean

Lamartine Syrion. Syrion, $S-Y-R-I-O-N$. And $I^{\prime} m$ a cab driver.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Please spell your name again?
JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: Jean, J-E-A-N,

Lamartine, $L-A-M-A-R-T-I-N-E, \quad$ Syrion, $S-Y-R-I-O-N$.
I'm a cab driver and actually I am in the

Uber system at work. Before driving as a cab
driver, $I$ used to drive for a person that owns a medallion, and they charge you either \$110 for 12 hours. $\$ 110$ for 12 hours. Plus, you have to put gas in this car that's gonna cost you at least \$45 for these 12 hours. Sometimes you may not

```
make any money, but you have to pay the rent.
    So that cause me some stress and I was
asking myself, "Do I have a future in this
economy" while you working just for medallion
owner.
```

This medallion owner he has two people
working two shifts at $\$ 110$ for this day he makes
\$220. He just sits and make money while these
two guys, we don't make no money out there.
Thank God Uber came as the new technology
in the world. That's why we have MIT in
Cambridge, we have Harvard University that's
working on technology, how to improve the economy
of this world.
So I thank you, the committee here, I'm
thinking this is a nice thing that you applaud.
You may applaud Uber because they use their brain
as student to develop the new system that make

```
life easier for drivers and riders.
    So when I sign up to Uber -- and I did
not understand what Uber mean. People kept
telling me come to Uber. I'm like, Well, I used
to make some money, cash money, in the street
while Uber, you got paid every week, so I don't
think I can afford to do that.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If I can get you
to start wrapping it up a little bit.
    JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: All right. So I
got to Uber now and I start to move up, and I
have a new hope and I feel the change, I have my
new companion, thanks to Uber, that support me
and now I have a $56,000 car, that I'm working
and I pay less money than I used to pay for the
taxi. And Uber give me the chance within three
years I will pay off this car and this car gonna
be my car and I will be making way more money.
```

As far as what Ms. Sassi just said about Uber driver not picking her up, but me, I'm the witness of picking Ms. Sassi a couple weeks ago going to the Logan airport. That was an address between Common Street in Cambridge.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We don't need to give specific addresses.

JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: I was very nice
to Ms. Sassi. I take her to the airport. And
then $I$ understand a driver may not want to pick up somebody with a dog, but not because this person has some disability problem. The dog is a pet. A driver may be allergic.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: No. The dog is
not a pet. And that's part -- that's part of the misconception -- excuse me, excuse me -- that's part of the misconception, and apparently, that's part of the piece that we need to work on

```
educating drivers about, but the dog is not a
pet.
```

JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: I am sorry if I
say it's a pet. But if somebody come, I see the
person with the snake around his neck --
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: That's very
different. And that's not an even apples and
oranges.
GORDON GOSSAGE: California regs cover
that.
JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: Can we say the
dog is --
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If I can get you
to wrap it up, please.
JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: So as a driver,
me, I have no problem with dogs because I don't
have allergy.
SASSI OUTWATER: You did pick me up.

Thank you.

JEAN LAMARTINE SYRION: But if somebody
would and they consult their doctor, they have a
certificate to that, $I$ think if that person say
okay, well, this issue and to be out of Uber
because of that as a driver.

I think Uber's great. Thank you for
everybody that start this conversation, and I
hope the best.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

I was trying to think of the people who I
know have been sitting in here who were probably
here before everybody who is out in the hallway
and $I$ know it seems unfair, but $I$ don't know any
other way to do it other than just kind've go
down the row. I don't -- I don't know possibly
think $I$ can listen to everyone this evening.

I don't know if there is someone who has

```
something different than they want to add --
    AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Just a second,
please.
    -- than what has already been said.
    Again, I will say it again, we're not
talking a vote on these this evenings.
    This is the beginning of a dialogue.
```

We'll be scheduling another hearing because again
we can't talk to one another about it unless
we're sitting here in front of everyone which is
fine, but this is about having a healthy
dialogue.
If there's something different you would
like to add than what I heard already, please
come forward, and again, I would ask for two
minutes and $I$ would like the two minutes to be
adhered to, please.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PARMJIT SINGH: My name is Parmjit, } \\
& \text { P-A-R-M-J-I-T. Last name Singh, S-I-N-G-H. } \\
& \text { CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Go ahead, sir. } \\
& \text { I'm going to have to ask people in the } \\
& \text { back to please not speak when other people are } \\
& \text { speaking. It's very hard for everyone to hear. } \\
& \text { So please just be courteous to those that are } \\
& \text { speaking. } \\
& \text { PARMJIT SINGH: I'm the Cambridge taxi } \\
& \text { drivers, and I like to tell you that Uber, you } \\
& \text { know, Uber she said, Do you have insurance? The } \\
& \text { private vehicle, they have buy the app for } \\
& \text { meople, the Uber, private -- they don't have } \\
& \text { responsible for this one, and the Uber, they're } \\
& \text { not responsible. }
\end{aligned}
$$

They try to dispatch companies, you know.

Just anyone come to the Uber, they allow to,
anybody come to Uber, anybody come, come. You
know, like, private. You know, like, livery
license. No have anything license like that, you
know.

And other thing, they charge for the people when you download the app. I show you the app. too. In the rush hour they always -- they always charging, like, up on the money, you know, like \$10.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Wouldn't you agree
that's a personal choice that if someone decides
that they want to use Uber and if they're -- they
don't mind paying that fee, that that's a
personal choice for them?

PARMJIT SINGH: So why don't - -

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Excuse me.

PARMJIT SINGH: No, no. The taxi, they
have regulation for us, you know, like, I have a
snow, in rush hour, you have to charge, like,
same. I like to put out the question for this
company you charge -- if they help for the

American citizens, they have to charge, like,
same amount, you know, like taxi driver charge
for, like, same amount. You know, not, like,
rush hour and, like, any, you know, like...

And other thing, the guy -- I drive to

Cambridge, like, they said to $\$ 110$, they not
charge for, like, that, you know. I make,
like -- I make my own medallion now. I drive for someone else. I drive for myself now, because I work hard and now have my own medallion. I never complain to the owner.

They have a city -- they have a rule and
regulation how much you charge for the driver.

If they charge you too much, you have to complain for the Hackney. Not for the, like, the \$110. I
never heard of my life. I never seen this guy in Cambridge.

And my question is, Uber is not, like,
livery, you know. They're not taxi, you know.

Like any car they pick up, they pick up. You
know, like, they're not, like, livery taxi like that, you know.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Thank you.

The woman in the plaid shirt who has
been -- sorry. I'm just trying to -- I see
people here raising their hands.

Do we have people over here? I see,
right there. Is there anyone here in the second
row that wanted to speak? No?

Is there anyone in the following row?

Sir, starting with the green shirt with
the blue stripe across?

The woman with the green necklace? No?

There was a woman who raised her hand back there.

Yes, you. If you could please come forward, please.

I need you to come forward and state your
name for the record.

CASSANDRA LOVERING: I can project really
loudly.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: No, we can't hear with the air. Sorry.

CASSANDRA LOVERING: Hi. My name is

Cassandra, C-A-S-S-A-N-D-R-A, Lovering, L-O-V-as
in Victor-E-R-I-N-G.

So I'll keep this really, really short.

Thank you for considering all of the different
sides. I know it's difficult. It's a burgeon
industry, and you guys need to take it all in
consideration, obviously.

I have a question for you rather than an

```
explanation. Before you make any decisions, and
```

I know you're going to hear a lot of opinions and
a lot of voices, have any of you taken a ride
sharing service?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I have.
CASSANDRA LOVERING: What are your
thoughts?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I thought it was
fine.
CASSANDRA LOVERING: Wonderful. Have
you?
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I have not.
CASSANDRA LOVERING: Have you?
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I have
not.
CASSANDRA LOVERING: I implore you.
Because we all grew up with taxis. We know the
story, we know the system, so please in this
burgeoning industry where $I$ think many
improvements can be made, have the experience
with Lyft and Uber, and then you're going to see
the differences not only between businesses, but
how they can improve the overall ecosystem for
drivers and passengers. That's all I ask.

Thank you.
(Applause.)

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

MARIA HARDIMAN: I'm reading on behalf of

Cambridge City Council, Nadeem Mazen, M-A-Z-E-N.

He's not able to be here today. I am his --

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: We need your
name for the record.

MARIA HARDIMAN: Okay. My name is Maria

Hardiman, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}$.
"Dear License Commission,

I write you today in my capacity as a tech
advocate. My most recent film Silicon Prairie:

America's New Internet Economy looks at
entrepreneurship across the $U S$ and the ways in
which tech-industry regulation tends to support a
handful of lobbyists, but at greater costs to all of us and to innovation in general.

As a result of this and other advocacy
work, I am periodically called to Capitol Hill to describe tech and internet innovation to congress people, staffers, and research entities that deal with internet regulation.

For the most part, legislative moves to
limit new players in tech (whether in transit, content distribution, or communication), have
failed for two reasons. One, regulating
innovation to preserve existing commercial
interests is a disservice to consumers and
consumer outcry has consistently (sometimes

```
unexpectedly) overwhelmed legislators and
regulators. Two, the actual text of
tech-limiting regulations rarely stands up to
scrutiny. Assuring safety in the community and
fairness in the market is one thing. But binding
the hands innovative companies simply creates new
unfairness, rather than increasing fairness for
existing players.
Innovation in the transit space presents
us with a unique opportunity not an
inconvenience. Can we improve service and income
in the taxi industry by learning from mobile-app
based transit services? Can we leverage city or
third-party data on safety and on performance in
order to improve service, both in traditional and
new transit options.
```

Through all of this, it's crucial to
assure that all concerns and regulations are

```
driven by concrete data and that concerns about
competition are divorced from concerns about
safety. Indeed, concerns about competitiveness
in this particular market sector are more easily
addressed by alleviating outmode regulations on
existing commercial interests or rather than by
regulating new interests.
```

Personally speaking, when choosing
whether to take a taxi or a ride sharing service,
five main criteria affect my decision:

1. The ubiquity and availability of service
2. Timeliness from point of dispatch
3. Customer Care and politeness
4. Ease of payment and transaction
5. Affordability (especially during off-peak)
In all five categories mobile app
ride-sharing services outperform taxis. But it
doesn't have to be that way. In the city of

Boston, taxis have evolved with the changing market by mandating working credit card payment systems in all cabs. In terms of competitive off-peak (and increased on-peak) fares, the Cambridge taxicab community could someday employ a variable rate system or tie rates to accurate DPS solutions.

I want to emphasize that neither cab companies nor mobile-app ride sharing services are at fault.

This hearing and the proposed regulation
provide an excellent opportunity for both sectors
to learn from each other's best practices.

In terms of Uber, Lyft, Bridj and similar
services specifically, we do not want to take
away services people depend on (in addition to
and not in lieu of taxicab service), we do,
however, want to keep the transit ecosystem

```
sustainable, customer centric and innovative.
```

That is why I oppose the proposed
regulations and suggest we find a course of
action that improves the quality and
competitiveness of existing taxi services
instead. Sincerely, Nadeem Mazen."

Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

Is there anyone sitting who -- because I
originally said $I$ would go across.

With the glasses on, yeah.

JOEL FLEMING: Good evening. My name is

Joel, J-O-E-L, Fleming, F-L-E-M-I-N-G.

I'm a resident of Cambridge since 2008,

I'm also an attorney, although I'm here in my
capacity as a concerned private citizen.

As an attorney, I look first to the
regulation, and it's been suggested today that

```
this is about rogue taxis, this is not an
I-hate-Uber reg, but, frankly, looking at the
regulation that's not what I see.
```

    The first page is the entitled
    "Regulations for Smartphone Technology," not
Regulations for Rogue Taxis.
The definition of taxicab on Page 4
requires you to have a taxi medallion. Everyone
else is a limousine or executive sedan.
And if we turn to Page 13 and 14 we see
limousines and executive sedans have to charge a
minimum of a 50-dollar fare. This is targeted at
the heart of Uber's business model. This
regulation cannot be the starting point.
I would also look at Page 3, the
definition of mobile application. And I would
point out there are three spelling mistakes in
this paragraph. They misspell smartphone, they

```
misspell iPod and they misspell iPad.
```

    The point is not to make fun of anyone's
    spelling. The point is this is symbolic of the
lack of thought, the lack of care and the lack of
technological sophistication that went into these
proposed regulations.
I would urge the Commission, start with
the California standards or start from scratch.
This is clearly not the place to go.
Finally, I would ask the Commission to
consider the broader message here.
This just isn't Uber, this just isn't
about the taxicab industry, this is about
innovation in Cambridge generally. And this kind
of Luddite regulations sends a dangerous message
to started-ups.
It sends a message to students at
Harvard, MIT, considering whether they want to
start their careers here in Cambridge or New York or Silicone Valley. The message these
regulations send is that this is a city that
hates innovation and will protect incompetent
incumbents, like the taxi medallion owners, at
the expense of consumers.

Thank you.
(Applause.)

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I was going across
the row. The gentleman in the light blue shirt.

Let me do this. Let me just say -- and I
know I'm going to irritate people, and I
apologize -- $I$ think I'm going to give this about

15 more minutes in terms of testimony, and again,

I can't say it any more clearly, we're not
approving these this evening.

We are clearly going back and looking at
these and giving thoughtful consideration to all
the testimony presented.

This will not be the only hearing that's
held. There will be another discussion.

Again, we can't just pass them on until
we have a hearing. So there will be other
hearings. I would forecast probably a few to
make sure at least that way we have everybody
represented and everybody who gives their input.

So if $I$ can ask everybody to please stick
to the two-minute limit.

DAVID BROSE: They were a troubling
starting point.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Excuse me?

DAVID BROSE: They were a troubling
starting point.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can you please
identify yourself for the record?

DAVID BROSE: Yeah. David Brose, B-as in
boy-R-O-S-E.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I understand that, so we'll go from there. It's a starting point.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Madam

Chair, you may want --

DAVID BROSE: Troubling.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: -- to
announce we accept written testimony. We have
many emails. They all become part of the record.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Right. So, again,
if you're not able to speak this evening, you are able certainly to send an email into the office. With that being said...

JUSTIN DUCOTE: Sure. I'll try to keep
it under two minutes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

JUSTIN DUCOTE: My name is Justin Ducote,

D-U-C-O-T-E, I am a Cambridge resident.

$$
\text { With respect to time, I will say that } I
$$

have seven dittos and two disagrees.

Let's talk about only two disagrees.

The two disagrees are the first lady that
came on representing a group of taxi owners who
was making some amusing, though highly anecdotal
statements of about 30 to 40 percent of
businesses from taxis being taken away, and that
this is not competition, that they're coming for your main street, that they're going to start
delivering your babies, buses, et cetera.

The second one with which I disagree was
a gentleman that was representing medallion
saying that Uber drivers don't have insurance,
who is responsible in the accidents, made a point about sliding cost scale, and that his last
point, which I did actually agree with, was that Uber is not a livery service or anything else.

```
It is a unique service and it needs to be
regulated as such.
    I would say then what is the purpose of a
regulation? It is a thing by which we can
protect the public to make sure that competition
is fair, that public safety is actually
addressed.
```

    The proposed regulation as it stands does
    none of these things. Instead it attempts to
adopt -- adapt -- excuse me -- a non-applicable
statuette or at least idea to statuette to a
technology that has not existed in, well,
previous to four years ago.
So the gentleman the preceded me directly
much more eloquently made most of my points.
I will echo just one thing which is what
I really wanted to get that word in because that
is what we see in this case and it is what we see

```
across the nation.
    It's not a case of, oh, gee, we're
```

worried about people getting into unsafe taxis or
unregulated taxies. It is, well, we have a
business that is threatened by a new way of
conducting that same business. And so, we need
something to do to stop that new business from
infringing on the older one. That's really what
we see here.
Instead, $I$ would encourage that taxi
drivers, cab drivers, owners, medallions,
whatever, that they adapt to the new emerging
standard, adapt or die.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Got it. Thank
you.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Just for the
record, I want to, my point is public safety in
terms of making sure that everyone who takes any

```
form of transportation is a safe reliable
vehicle, and you know, those particular draft
regs that have been floating out, they are not
something that we're -- is part of -- my
philosophy right now other than the safety, so
that it is a new entrepreneur, it's a new area.
    We just want to make sure that the
playing field for the public is that they're
getting safe, reliable service regardless what
mode they particularly chose, so...
    JUSTIN DUCOTE: Certainly.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I want you to
understand that that's the goal here for me.
JUSTIN DUCOTE: I definitely understand
that and I feel like that you're spot on.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I can't speak
for my fellow commissioners. I want you to
understand that.
```

JUSTIN DUCOTE: Yeah, I feel like -- yes, we can benefit from exactly your point. Not just on the new services, but also on the old.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Correct.

Thank you.

JUSTIN DUCOTE: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: The hand I see up
in the back over here, are you drivers, taxi
drivers?

PETER BRUCE: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes?

PETER BRUCE: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Come forward.

Please state your name for the record, spelling your last name.

PETER BRUCE: Peter Bruce, B-R-U-C-E.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Mr. Bruce, why are you here this evening if you can tell me in two
minutes or less?

PETER BRUCE: Okay. Well, I think that

Uber ought to be regulated the way that regular taxis are regulated.

I think it's a fiction that $u b e r$ is not a
taxi. Maybe it doesn't buy medallions, but there are so many other important aspects of taxi driving and limousine driving.

Basically you're picking up people and
charging them money. Private insurers don't
allow people to just, you know, without
insurance, without commercial insurance pick up
their friends and charge them. I don't think any
insurance company in the state will do that.

Now, my main concern is Uber can
undercharge us sometimes ten or 15 percent,
sometimes even more, and the way that they do
that is by having very spotty insurance.

Their insurance basically kicks in when
the app is on and it doesn't at other times.

But there are a lot of other times when
the app is not on when they're still driving
those cars that picks up people for pay, and when they hit somebody and kill them, like they did in San Francisco, who pays? Well, the drivers, the emergency room, the City of San Francisco who tries to make the family whole and so forth. Basically Uber shifts its costs onto the public and socializes its costs and privatizes the extra profit that it makes from that.
And that's how they can charge a lot
less than we do because they don't pay full insurance.

Now, if you look at the insurance
policies that they have, you will find that there are loopholes.

```
    Uber can -- Uber's considered to be an
insurer when there's an Uber passenger in the car
and when the app is on. But when it's off, the
insurance is also off.
    And if a driver is between jobs, or maybe
going home after a shift, or going to work and
hits somebody and kills them or something like
that, or if that -- at those times, the insurance
does not kick in.
    You can find these exclusions and these
kind of strained definitions of working and
taxi in the middle pages of their contract and on
the website even. It says that Uber is not a
taxi.
    But I would say that despite the lack of
medallions, overall the basic concept of a taxi
Or a limousine or a livery vehicle is that
you're, you know, picking up somebody for pay and
```

I think that should be the controlling idea for defining Uber should be a taxi.

One other thing. I was at the airport
last Sunday, the last day I drove for Veterans Taxi, and $I$ encountered two Uber drivers there and they're not supposed to be there, as far as I know. I believe Mr. Ferro --

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You need to wrap it up, please.

PETER BRUCE: Okay. Anyway, the relevant agency at Massport does not allow Uber to pick up there.
And yet, I saw two of these cars there
and the police in Boston recently have caught Uber drivers scooping up flags, hails off the street that we pay medallions for.

I would be out of my jurisdiction in

Boston if I picked a flag like that, I would get

```
charged $500, but Uber, because it's unmarked,
can get away with this easily because nobody
knows what they're doing, but I know they have
done it and now the police in Boston are
reporting it.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
    PETER BRUCE: Thanks very much.
    (Applause.)
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I will start with
the guy in the plaid shirt and work my way down.
I'm trying to figure out how many more people are
out in the hall. I'm trying to be as fair and as
respectful as possible.
Again, if you're hearing the same
testimony, can you please tell me "ditto," and
again there's nothing that precludes you from
submitting an email.
TIM HARRINGTON: Hi. Name is Tim

Harrington. I'm sorry if I'm speaking very loud.

I don't want to make it seem like I'm yelling at you guys.

So I'm a resident of Cambridge.

Harrington, spelling okay?

My concern is given that -- this is kinda
given nature of the taxi industry and Uber in
general, $I$ urge you when you draft these, to
coordinate with other neighborhoods. So

Cambridge, Boston, considering many, many fares go through Cambridge, my concern is that for a group like Uber or any other group that uses GPS
locations, if there's any sort of discrepancy
between one neighborhood to another, then they
might be particularly targeted.

So that's just something to keep in mind
when you're writing. And that's it, I'm done.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you. Sir?

```
Yep, I'm working my way down.
    Again, if I can ask you to adhere to two
```

minutes. If it's something that's already been
said, please feel free to say "ditto."
Please state your name for the record,
spelling your name.
YONAS HALIEMICHAEL: My name is Yonas,
Y-O-N-A-S. Last name is Haliemichael,
$H-A-L-I-E-M-I-C-H-E-A-L$.
First the lady, Uber refused them
service. I really would like to --
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Please address me,
please?
YONAS HALIEMICHAEL: I would like to
apologize to them in Uber's name. My question is
-- I'm a Uber driver. I have been working for
Uber and I'm asking the City of Cambridge how
many complaints did you get about Uber drivers

```
and where did the complaint come from? Do you
have the data?
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Is that a question
you're asking?
YONAS HALIEMICHAEL: That's my question.
And how many drivers have you caught
doing something illegal in the City of Cambridge?
And we do this for a living. This is how we make
a living.
I have been a cab driver. Now I'm
working for myself. I'm independent, and if
there's any complaints, Uber will not let me work
at all, so there's the safety-wise. I pay my
insurance, and $I$ pay all the fees and all the
drivers over here would like to -- you to see all
the angles before you make a decision. That is
what I would like to say.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Thank you
very much.

Again, working my way down, there's a
gentleman sitting with the glasses who $I$ know you
have been there for a minute and then I'm going
to the gentleman who is standing up. You, who is sitting down. Yes, you.

ANGEDA TILAHUN: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If you could
please state your name for the record, speling your last name.

ANGEDA TILAHUN: My last name is
$T-I-L-A-H-U-N, \quad A-N-G-E-D-A$.

Well, I want to just stress two points
because $I$ heard the gentleman before person talk
arguing about the Uber drivers that's picking
people illegally from airport.

I'm the witness for that. We are legal,
number one. We have to pass over the security
checkpoint, you have to have a badge to pick up a customer from Logan Airport. We do our job
locally.

Second of all, we have insured. I been
working for Uber since October of 2012 . From the
first day $I$ joined Uber, my car is insured for
one million dollars, so $I$ don't understand why
the gentleman just mentioning this.

As far as $I$ know, the $u b e r$ drivers are
insured. We work legally, safely. That's what I think I'm trying to mention.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Thank you
very much.
(Applause.)

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Gentleman with the
folder.

Good evening. State your name for the
record --

ZAGLOUL AYAD: Good evening.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: -- spelling your
last name.

ZAGLOUL AYAD: My name is Zagloul,
$Z-A-G-L-O-U-L$ Last name Ayad, A-Y-A-D. And I
have a license from City of Cambridge for a
livery license. And what $I$ see on the road every
day and I have documented here, basically Uber is
threatening public safety.

Number one, Uber does not check
employment authorization with any agency. Any
international student will have driver license
issued by the Commonwealth which they get their
social security after one year and they would be
able drive with license. Soon they own the car,
they could be working on the street of Boston
without any legalization documentation.

Uber does not check that at all.

And is basically a lot of city in the

Commonwealth they do not have regulation for
regulating the livery. Anybody will just go to
the city hall and go to the Massport and said is
not required by the city and be able to go and get Massport authorization.

Number two, Uber acts -- use the Mass
highway and they do not pay the tolls for the taxi or livery vehicles.

Also, as a livery we required to have a million dollars insurance to go to Massport and to be minimum monthly fee Massport (inaudible)
also by Uber since Uber -- they do not pay

Massport too. If $I$ pay $\$ 5.25$ to exit the
airport, they should also paying that. Plus here

I'm regulated by the City of Cambridge which
under the current regulations is a minimum of
$\$ 40$, not to compete with a taxi, but is -- Uber

```
coming would take the job for $15 and $20. They
```

could jack that up to 400 percent during rush
hour, during snow emergency, during heat, during
Rex Sox event, and that's basically whatever they
call it, GPS, is a meter. They don't have the
authorization from Weight and Measure Commission
to be able to do that if they put just -- in a
second just decide in half an hour, we're going
to jack. Is the taxi driver allowed to -- able
to do the taxi, they just basically hijack the
rate the same thing is unfair.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If I can ask you
to start to wrap it up, please.
ZAGLOUL AYAD: Yeah. I think I'm certain
I just (inaudible) is a public safety trap for
Uber because every citizen in the city has a
commercial vehicles and basically does not pay
the insurance required and does not pay the

```
highway tolls which is off the highway --
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: So you're
saying that if they exit Logan, they don't pay
the same as a taxi with a livery plate?
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: One speaker at a
time.
```

    ZAGLOUL AYAD: Nope. Uber ask any
    citizen has a private car can work for Uber.
They have the app for. I experience one is also
Uber envision the privacy.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: I'm asking if
they have a livery plate, do they get charged
that?
ZAGLOUL AYAD: Yes. They get charge --
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: You're saying
someone without a livery plate who's working for
Uber? I was trying to understand.
ZAGLOUL AYAD: Yes, with Uber. And with

Uber there's also not a check in employment authorization in US.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Okay. I
gotcha.

ZAGLOUL AYAD: I don't think -- that's
any person coming in and driving a car and
basically Uber give them the authorization to go
to various building or to various location. I
think that's a threat to public safety.

Thank you.
(Applause.)

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

Were you out in the hall?

LEAH SCHAUBACH: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You may not have
heard. I asked -- please have a seat. State
your name for the record. Spell your name. If
you're giving me testimony that we heard before,

```
you can just say "ditto."
    LEAH SCHAUBACH: I don't know all the
testimony that's been given.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I'll catch you up
as appropriate.
```

LEAH SCHAUBACH: Sounds good, thank you.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If you could
please spell your name for the record?
LEAH SCHAUBACH: My name is Leah
Schaubach. That is L-E-A-H, last name
$S-C-H-A-U-B-A-C-H . \quad I$ have been a resident of
Cambridge for five -- for one year.
I guess my point of view is from a
security standpoint as a young female. A lot of
my friends are in Boston. When I go out downtown
and $I$ try to come back to cambridge, $I$ have been
kicked out of cabs because they will not take me
to Cambridge.

Uber offers me a very reliable way and
affordable way, $I$ mean, $I$ will pay surge pricing for someone to come to me, pick me up at the bar, go right to the cab and then drop me off right at home.

So my fear as a resident of Cambridge is
if you make it very difficult and very expensive
to take Uber, it's an argument for me to leave

Cambridge and $I$ don't want to do that. So,
anyway, that's my point of view.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you. Thank
you for coming in and thank you for waiting.
(Applause.)

The gentleman with the striped shirt,
hand up. Working my way through.

DHARMVIR SHARMA: Good evening, everyone.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good evening.

Please state your name for the record, spelling
your first and last name.

DHARMVIR SHARMA: First name is Dharmvir,
last name Sharma. $D-H-A-R-M-V-a s$ Victor-I-R, and

Sharma is my last name, $S-H-A-R-M-A$.

All right. So I'm here (inaudible) like
livery service. I'm here to support the drivers, the professional drivers. So the thing is, like, the taxis have taxi plates and ambulance have ambulance plates.

And, you know, like, when are those --
the Uber, if they want to do the livery service, they should have the livery plates.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay.

DHARMVIR SHARMA: And, you know, I'm a
little nervous too.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: That's okay. Just
think you're in your living room and it's warm.

DHARMVIR SHARMA: The other thing is like
taxi drivers have to be checked, you know.

And, unfortunately, $I$ don't think the

Uber they do that. If they do that, what
happened already, you know, a couple of things happened in San Francisco.

There's things going to be happening like people driving 100 miles per hour, they get a
call and they start driving for Uber. So I just
here for the professionals, you know.

Anything should happen, rules, regulation
and professionally, and I'm just here for safety
of the American people and, you know, quality.

We always -- you know, we want the
quality, and the lady, she talk about she talk
about taxi, sometimes these arguments happen, you
know. This is -- it's not like the clapping is
with both hands, it's not only with a single
hand.

Sometimes this happens, but sometimes
whenever (inaudible), there's Hackney department we can complain on this, you know. They take the action against our driver and other things too, you know, but that's the thing $I$ just want to say, you know.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay.

DHARMVIR SHARMA: If they want to come
into the transportation industry, they have to follow the rules of the regulation, follow the rules and regulation of the transportation.

That's all.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you. Thank
you for coming in.
(Applause.)

Sir?

ROBERT BURKE: Hi. My name is Robert

Burke, $B-U-R-K-E . \quad I \quad a m$ an Uber taxi driver. 58
years old. Laid off. Needed a job and I got one. Fully insured. Background checked.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Please address
your comments to me, please.

ROBERT BURKE: Fully insured. Background
checked. Okay. Safety driving school. It's
about the consumer here. If the people that are upset or so upset, they need to change their model. And the consumer will make the decision.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
(Applause.)

Sir, with the blue shirt.

ASHVIN GANDHI: My name is Ashvin Gandhi,

A-S-H-V-I-N, Gandhi, G-A-N-D-H-I. I'm a Ph.D.
candidate in economics at Harvard University.

I'll try to be very brief and stick to
something I might know something about.

So I heard at least one person talk about Uber's surge pricing. I know there didn't appear to be anything in the proposed regulation on
this.

But I would urge you not necessarily to
regulate the pricing structure that Uber uses
too heavily. At least as an economist my feeling
is that what they do is they clear the
market.

They try and set prices such that the maximum number of people who can get rides and are able to pay such that drivers are willing to drive are able to get rides.

And that's a good thing because sometimes
it's really hard to get a taxi.

And if it means either walking home or
worse yet driving home drunk, and people have an
option even if it's an expensive option to get
back versus no option, that's certainly a better thing.

Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

## (Applause.)

Sir, all the way in the back with the
stripped shirt.

Good evening.

CARY CHATHAM: Good evening.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Please state your
name.

CARY CHATHAM: My name is Cary Chatham.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Would you spell
your name, please?

CARY CHATHAM: $\quad \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}$ is my last
name. $C-A-R-Y$.
Basically I'm going to read an email that

I sent to the Mayor's Office this morning, to the
citymanagerofcambridgema.gov.
"Dear City Official. It has come to my
attention today that there's a hearing planned
for this evening to discuss regulated ride
sharing. I have read the proposed regulations.

That will in essence cease free market
competition in favor of inefficient, unfriendly, overpriced taxi services.

I am happy user of these safe and
reliable, inexpensive ride sharing services that

I often take in and around Cambridge. That fact
that $I$ can get transport to any location,
including my home, in a matter of minutes is
invaluable to me.

When might the last time you requested a
cab to wait over an hour not to have them show
up, or if they finally do pick you up, feel that
they could care less.

There's something so wrong with the
current taxi business model. My feeling about ride sharing is that customers are always number one priority and it shows.

Nearly all drivers $I$ have encountered have made my Uber or Lyft experience an extremely pleasant one, in which $I$ have never received a level of service from taxis.

Safety is always a question no matter
what transport you take, whether it be the $T$, taxi, or ride share.

What I like most about app-based services
is $I$ always know who $I$ mean riding with. Cars
are always clean, drivers are always curious, and they always use GPS.

The two-sided information and data that's
shared through the app services collect and share with me, as a passenger, and assures a safe time
for both myself and the driver. Having my credit card on file makes things simple, no cash. Safe for me, safe for the driver.

These services already self-regulate
better as far $I$ can see even traditional
services. Cars are inspected in addition to

Massachusetts inspections, drivers are fully
background checked. Drivers carry a million
dollars in gap and liability coverage from the
companies they drive for. And lastly, they do
not compete with taxis or street taxi stand
fares.

It's my hope you will reconsider these
ideas of overregulation that are being proposed
at tonight's hearing and stand behind the people
of Cambridge and essentially Greater Boston and
completely support the movement that is changing
an archaic and bad business model that supports

```
one that is community -- in the community, but
not the taxis' interest and the owners.
    Free market, free competition are needed
to advance and grow the community and the nation.
Technology has changed many businesses for the
better.
```

Taxi companies will need to compete on
the customer service level not by forcing out a
better business, but by self-reflecting on what
they can do better, clearer cars, friendly
attentive drivers, staying off the phone the
entire ride, knowing where they're going and
being an honest to goodness human being.
I hope you will take these words to heart
and protect the sharing community that is
customer driven and not the other way around and
as innovation and technology that Cambridge
represents through its prestige, through its

```
tenets, such as MIT and Harvard, and more. Thank
for your time. Best regards.
(Applause.)
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
The woman all the way in the back.
JENNIFER PETERSON: I was in the hall.
Say my name and spell it?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes.
JENNIFER PETERSON: Jennifer Peterson,
$P-E-T-E-R-S-O-N$.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And because you
were out in the hall, you may have not have heard
some of the other testimony that was been
presented. So I've asked people that if you
heard the same testimony, please just say
"ditto."
JENNIFER PETERSON: Sure. Lots and lots
of ditto. I did want to say I work in Cambridge.

I live in Jamaica Plain. And so, being able to get a ride to and from work -- I work late at night and so $I$ don't know where a cab stands are, and so it is a safety issue, which I am sure you heard a lot of it.
I also wanted to -- I was always felt
very safe, I've always felt very -- my valuables,

I lost a Charlie card when $I$ first moved to

Boston in a cab never to be seen again. I lost several things in Uber or Lyft, and because they're all tracked and because you know who you rode with and you know when you rode with them, I've not had any problem getting my stuff back and they bring it to me. It's a very personal connection.
I feel -- yeah, they're the whole reason

I got a smartphone was so $I$ would be able to have the ability to use these things. I -- sorry, I'm
overwhelmed.
If -- if -- there are a number of
occasions if there weren't Lyft and there weren't

Uber, I would not have made it to work on time and I'm a manager in a local Starbucks. And, yeah, I guess that's all. I'm sorry.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: That's okay.

Thank you.
(Applause.)

Please come forward.

PETER SHEINFELD: Peter Sheinfeld. I
live at 20 Lee Street here in Cambridge.
$S-H-E-I-N-F-E-L-D$.

And I support the institution of
regulations because we need to have a level
playing field.

I mean, if a livery service is going to
operate in Cambridge, well, that's the City of

```
Cambridge's decision. And they either do or
don't.
```

    But there should not be an uninsured,
    unregulated, unlicensed, unvetted drivers to
varying degrees in varying situations whether
it's Uber $X$ or Uber regular or any of the other
so-called ride sharing services.
That has to be brought into a level
playing field.
(Applause.)
(Audience member shouts out.)
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Excuse me.
Inappropriate.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, you're not in
your cab, cut it out, will you?
PETER SHEINFELD: But the -- and the
other thing, though, $I$ think is a message that a
lot of speakers have made which is $I$ think that
the cab industry basically should get their act together. I mean, they've gotta to -- you know, there is a new technological situation out here.

Why don't they take it and seize it and let them compete with Uber. That's how they can drive Uber away and how they should. They hopefully I think have the leg up on
them because they're the established entity, and
they have proven their worth and all that, and a
number of comments have been made about customer service and related things, I couldn't agree more on that, but $I$ support the regulations. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

## (Applause.)

I'm assuming -- are we done with
testimony? Is that everybody? There's always
got to be one last.

## CLARENCE RISHER: My name is Clarence

Risher, R-I-S-H-E-R.

I commute to Cambridge from Medford. I
was recently injured and I'm a cyclist.

I tried to use taxies for about a week
arranging to get rides in and out of the two cities and that really didn't work.

I was able to use Uber for some stuff on
my end of the trip. I've had trouble trying to use taxis in various ways since then. I'm kinda new to calling cabs since I'm used to getting myself around, and being able to get a ride where

I need to go when I need to go was a big change and very helpful.

I would like to mention I missed the
testimony of an attorney who spoke earlier who
mentioned a typo in the regulations.

Much more substantive than that, there
are a couple of actual mistakes in the
regulations, particularly fares for speed and
time, which definitely, to reiterate his point,
cover -- indicates that there was a lack of care
in drafting these regulations and they need at
least much more scrutiny.

That's really all $I$ have to say.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
(Applause.)

So with that, I would like to bring this
to a close.

I want to thank everybody for bearing
through the heat and the small room. Next time we will schedule it probably across the way in a bigger room with more air.
We're going to take this matter under
advisement. As I said, we're not going to be
voting on regs. It's really a starting point for
us to kinda go back and look and see what is here and work from there.

With that, I'll make a motion that we
adjourn.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: You
have to make a motion to take it under advisement.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All right. I make
a motion that we take this matter under
advisement. Is there a second?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
favor, signify by saying aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I make a motion
that -- do you want to call that one again?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Has

```
anyone seen Jean Moise?
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Jean Moise?
    I make a motion that the Executive
Director send Mr. Moise a letter.
    FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
```

favor --
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: And
advise him.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: What?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I need to know
more about the allegations.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I
would be more than happy to fill you in.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You have to fill
all of us in, so $I$ guess we'll stay here a little
longer.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Mr. Moise has had an accessible medallion 250 for several years.

Under the accessible medallion agreement,
he's required to keep that vehicle on the road 24/7 and he does not.

We have over the last year and a half, easily, invited him into the office for
conversation to remind him of his obligation. At
one point he -- his excuse was that he had a back problem. At another point, it was he couldn't find another driver.

We have told him repeatedly that if he does not live up to his obligation that we were going to take the medallion.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Was he before this Board? Was he same driver that was here before?

```
driver.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: When was that?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I've
got my dates all messed up.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Several years
ago.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Probably a year or two ago.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I
remember we had the same issues.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: We
have had this discussion with him many times.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Was discipline
imposed before?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: It
was not.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: What are our
options in terms of discipline?

## EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: We

 seize the medallion. He's not living up to his obligations.CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And he's been in
here before for the same issue?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: He
has.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I make a motion
that we seize the medallion effective
immediately. Is there a second?

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Discussion?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No discussion.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
favor, signify by saying aye.

FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

```
Now may I make a my motion?
    (Applause.)
    I make a motion that we adjourn. Is
```

there a second?
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Second.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in
favor, signify by saying aye.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
FIRE CHIEF GERALD REARDON: Aye.
(The proceedings were adjourned.)
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|  |  | $81: 15 \text {, }$ | accents | [1] - |
| 6:09 [2] - | a.m [9] - | 82:2, | [1] - | 135:14 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 8, \\ & 93: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197: 6 \\ & \text { accept } \end{aligned}$ | accidents |
| $1: 15,3: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 18, \\ & 27: 1, \\ & 39: 3, \\ & 39: 4, \quad 39: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | [1] - |
| 7 |  | $94: 4$, $96: 14$, | - 45:8, | 152:15 |
|  |  | 96:14 | 151:8 | according |
| 70 [1] - | Aaron <br> [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 10, \\ & 101: 2, \end{aligned}$ | access | $[1]-5: 12$ |
| 40:5 |  | 101:5, | $\begin{aligned} & {[12]-} \\ & 66: 8 . \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 747 [1] - |  |  |  | [2] |
| 52:2 | AARON [10] | 141:12, | 66:16, | 75:16, |
| 75 [2] - | $-36: 18$, $37: 5$, | 151:11, | $68: 5,$ | 76:10 |
| 44:14, | 37: 9, | 151:12, | $68: 10 \text {, }$ | accountabi |
| 44:16 | 37:12, | 167:15 | 73: 2 , | accountabi |
| 76 [6] - |  | $168: 5$,$169: 7$, | 73:9, | 67:8, |
| 26:17, | 37:17, |  | 74:9, | 70:16, |
| $34: 3$, | $41: 7 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169: 7, \\ & 169: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 75:1, | 82:1, |
| 34:12, | $41: 12$, $41: 15$, | $178: 11$ | 77:13, | 83:8, |
| 34:13, | 41:15 | 178:12, | 91:13, | 91:16 |
| 35:18, | $43: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 185: 1, \\ & 185: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 94:4, | accurat |
| 45:5 |  |  | 122:7 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 3,42: 7 \\ & \text { abide }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 189: 8, \\ & 189: 12 \end{aligned}$ | accessibil | $\begin{aligned} & 145: 6, \\ & 197: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 |  | 189:12 |  |  |
| 831 [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & -79: 6 \\ & \frac{\text { abiding }}{[1]-79: 9} \\ & \text { ability } \end{aligned}$ | absolutely | $\begin{aligned} & 117: 7, \\ & 118: 4 \end{aligned}$ <br> accessible | acknowledg |
| $1: 13,3: 9$ |  | $4: 2, \quad 73: 1,$ |  | e [1] - |
|  |  | $77: 11 \text {, }$ | $\frac{\text { accessible }}{[6]-}$ | $65: 6$ |


| [1] - | 35:15, | [1] - 28:3 | [1] - | 64:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73:18 | 43:12, | adhere [1] | 142:7 | age [1] |
| act [3] - | 57:2, | - 163:2 | advocate | 104:10 |
| 22: 6, | 57:5, | adhered | [1] - | agency [2] |
| 26:1, | 59:13, | [1] - | 142:1 | - 160:11, |
| 188:1 | 69:7, | 134:18 | affect [2] | 167:11 |
| Act [2] - | 93:13, | adjourn | - 101:18, | AGENDA [2] |
| 115:12, | 94:1, | [2] - | 144:10 | - 2:1, 2:2 |
| 116:3 | 101:7, | 191:4, | affected | agenda [5] |
| action [2] | 116:10 | 196:3 | [1] - | - 3:17, |
| - 146:4, | address | adjourned | 100:12 | 4:7, 26:4, |
| $176: 3$ | [10] - | [1] - | affects | 29:13, |
| active [1] | 38:7, | 196:10 | [1] - | 61:1 |
| - 99:7 | 50:10, | administer | 102:4 | ago [10] - |
| acts [1] - | 62: 4 , | ed [1] - | affiliated | 10:7, |
| 168:7 | 82:3, | 197:10 | [1] - | 20:17, |
| actual [2] | 85:8, | adopt [2] | 37:15 | 47:1, |
| $-143: 2,$ | 89:14, | - 63:11, | afford [1] | 54:12, |
| $190: 1$ | 90:4, | 153:10 | - 130:7 | 56:6, |
| ADA [1] - | 131:4, | adopted | Affordabil | 100:1, |
| 125:15 | 163:12, | [3] - | ity [1] - | 131:3, |
| adapt [3] | 177:2 | 109:17, | 144:15 | 153:13, |
| - 153:10, | addressed | 111:3, | affordable | 194: 6, |
| 154:12, | [6] - | 112:2 | [5] - | 194:8 |
| $154: 13$ | 35:1, | advance | 65:14, | agree [5] |
| add [4] - | 38:8, | [1] - | 66:9, | - 21:11, |
| 70:15, | 89:12, | 183:4 | 2:4, | 119:4, |
| 107:7, | 121:2, | advantage | 86:1, | 136:10, |
| 134:1, | 144:5, | [2] - | 173:2 | 152:17, |
| 134:15 | 153:7 | 2:11 | afforded | 188:11 |
| addition | addresses | 82:8 | [1]-84:6 | agreed [1] |
| [5] - | [1] - | advise [1] | affords | - 100:13 |
| 17:13, |  | - 192:9 | ] | agreement |
| 67:14, | addressing | advisement | 84:10, | [1] - |
| 85:7, | [1] - | [3] - | 101:8 | 193:3 |
| 145:16, | 83:12 | 190:17, | afternoon | ahead [5] |
| 182: 6 | adds [1] - | 191:7, | [3] - | - 14:5, |
| additional | 110:18 | 191:10 | 6:11, | 16:17, |
| [10] - | adequate | advocacy | 28:17, | 59:7, |


| 59:8, | 192:12 | 122:11 | 52:10, | 18:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 135:3 | Allen [1] | Allston | 52:12, | 19:7, |
| air [2] - | - 8:11 | [1] - 36:9 | 57:13, | 19:11, |
| 139:9, | allergic | Allston- | 125:1, | 19:14, |
| 190:15 | [1] - | Brighton | 125:2, | 23:18, |
| airport | 131:13 | [1] - 36:9 | 137: 4, | 24:12, |
| [7] - | allergy | almost [2] | 175:12 | 25:3, |
| 80:1, | [1] - | - 36:17, | Americans | 25:6, |
| 80:5, | 132:17 | 99:10 | [2] - | 25:12, |
| 131:4, | alleviatin | alone [1] | 115:12, | 26:2, |
| 131:9, | g [1] - | - 40:7 | 116:2 | 26:8, |
| 160:3, | 144:5 | alternativ | amount [2] | 27:16, |
| 165:16, | allow [8] | e [1] - | - 137:5, | 28:10, |
| 168:15 | - 13:2, | $87: 9$ | 137:6 | 28:16, |
| Airport | 75:16, | alternativ | Amrik [1] | 32:18, |
| [1] - | 90:11, | $\frac{\text { alternativ }}{\text { es [1] - }}$ | - 4:9 | 33:6, |
| 166:2 | 118:5, | $\overline{93}: 14$ | amusing | 37:18, |
| alcohol | 123:10, | 93:14 <br> Amazon [2] | [1] - | 38:9, |
| [9] - | 135:18, | $\frac{\text { Amazon }}{-109: 2,}$ | 152:6 | 39:14, |
| 18:2, | 157:11, | - 109:2, | AND/OR [1] | 39:18, |
| 20:7, | 160:11 | 109:3 | - 197:17 | 40:18, |
| 20:8, | allowabili | - | Andrea [2] | 41:5, |
| 23:10, |  | [2] - | $\frac{\text { Andrea }}{-3: 10}$ | 41:17, |
| 39:15, | $\frac{\text { ty }}{7: 7}$, 7 | 174:8, | $4: 17$ | 42:2, |
| 41:2, | 7:7, allowable | 174:9 | ANDREA | 43:4, |
| 44:5, | allowab | amended | ANDRE | 43:11, |
| 48:2, 73:7 | [1]-71:2 | [1] - | $1: 6 \cdot 4: 14$ | 43:15, |
| alcoholic | $\frac{\text { allowed }}{\text { [4] - }}$ | 48:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 6,4: 14, \\ & 4: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 44:15, |
| [8] - | [4] | amendment | 6.15, | 45:1, |
| $4: 10,$ | 17:7, | [1] - 45:8 | $7: 13,8: 1,$ | 45:7, |
| 14:16, | $61: 3$, $63: 14$, | America's | $3,8: 1,$ | 45:13, |
| 15:18, | 63:14, $169: 9$ | [1] - | 8:14, | 45:15, |
| 18:5, | 169:9 | 142:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 14, ~ 9: 1, \\ & 9: 5, \\ & 9: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 46:6, |
| 26:14, | allowing | AMERICAN | 9.14, | 46:12, |
| 46:3, | [1] - | [2] - 2:6, |  | 46:15, |
| 52:1, | 118:9 | 51:14 | 9.16, | 47:4, |
| 57:14 | allows [4] | American |  | 47:8, |
| allegation | - 81:18, | [8] - | 15:16, | 47:13, |
| s [1] - | $2:$ | 51:17, | 16:8, | 47:16, |


| 48:5, | 104:2, | 149:9, | 184:8, | annual [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:8, | 105:10, | 150:13, | 184:11, | - 73:12 |
| 48:11, | 105:13, | 150:16, | 186:7, | anonymous |
| 49:3, | 106:10, | 151:2, | 187:12, | [2] - |
| 50:8, | 107:5, | 151:10, | 188:14, | 68:15, |
| 50:13, | 107:13, | 151:16, | 190:8, | 100:17 |
| 50:17, | 107:17, | 154:14, | 191:8, | answer [6] |
| 51:1, | 108:5, | 156:7, | 191:12, | - 15:1, |
| 51:8, | 108:13, | 156:11, | 191:16, | 17: 6, |
| 51:12, | 109:9, | 156:13, | 192:2, | 64:7, |
| 52: 4 , | 109:13, | 156:17, | 192: 6, | 72:9, |
| 52:9, | 110:12, | 160:8, | 192:11, | 94:14, |
| 52:13, | 113:11, | 161:6, | 192:15, | 99:10 |
| 52:17, | 114:5, | 161:9, | 194:2, | answered |
| 53:9, | 114:7, | 162:18, | 194:13, | [1] - 63:1 |
| 54:5, | 114:12, | 163:12, | 194:17, | answers |
| 54:9, | 114:16, | 164:3, | 195:4, | [1] - 63:3 |
| 55:12, | 121:4, | 164:18, | 195:8, | anticipati |
| 57:2, | 121:9, | 165:8, | 195:12, | on [1] - |
| 57: 5, | 123:16, | 166:12, | 195:15, | 10:17 |
| 57:8, | 127:14, | 166:15, | 196:6 | ANY [2] _ |
| 58:1, | 127:17, | 167:2, | anecdotal | 197:16, |
| 58: 4 , | 128:1, | 169:12, | [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 197: 16, \\ & 197.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 58:8, | 128:7, | 170:5, | 152:6 | 197:17 |
| 58:10, | 130:8, | 171:12, | ANGEDA [2] | $\frac{\text { anyway }}{-114 \cdot 3}$ |
| 59:4, | 131:6, | 171:15, | - 165:7, | - 114:3, |
| 59:5, | 131:14, | 172:4, | $165: 11$ | $160: 10$ |
| 59:12, | 132:6, | 172:7, | angles [1] | $173: 10$ |
| 59:16, | 132:13, | 173:11, | $-164: 16$ | $\frac{\text { apologize }}{\text { [3] - }}$ |
| 60:10, | 133:10, | 173:17, | animals | $\begin{aligned} & {[3]-} \\ & 60: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| 60:12, | 134:3, | 174:13, | [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 17 \\ & 149: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 60:15, | 135:3, | 174:16, | 116:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 149: 13 \\ & 163: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 72:11, | 136:10, | 176:6, | 117:13 | $\begin{gathered} 163: 15 \\ \text { app [23] } \end{gathered}$ |
| 75:7, | 136:16, | 176:12, | announce | $\frac{\text { app }}{66}$ [23] |
| 95:4, | 138:8, | 177:2, | [1] - | 66:18, |
| 96:11, | 139:8, | 177:10, | 151: 8 | 73: 9, |
| 97:2, | 140:5, | 179:3, |  | $80: 3 \text {, }$ |
| 101:14, | 140:8, | 179:9, | $\frac{\text { announcing }}{\text { [1] - }}$ | $83: 9,$ |
| 102:3, | 141:9, | 179:12, | $15: 12$ | 83:12, |
| 102:7, | 146:8, | 184: 4, |  | 86:15, |


| 94:11, | Applause | $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [17] - | 69:18 | 62:16, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99:1, | [12] - | 5:11, 6:7, | approachab | 118:7 |
| 122:11, | 141:8, | 10:17, | le [1] - | archaic |
| 125:7, | 149:8 | 12:16 | 31:14 | [1] - |
| 127:9, | 161:8, | 13:5, | approached | 182:18 |
| 135:12, | 166:14 | 13: 6, | [6] - | architect |
| 136:6, | 171:11, | 20:1, | 37:8, | [1] - 6:10 |
| 136:7, | 173:13, | 26:12, | 37:11, | area [24] |
| 143:12, | 176:14, | 34:2, | 37:12, | - 5:4, |
| 144:16, | 177:11, | 35:2, | 94:10 | 7:18, |
| 145:9, | 179:4, | 35:4, | appropriat | 8:11, |
| 158:2, | 184:3, | 42:10, | e [4] - | 8:17, 9:5, |
| 158: 4, | 188:15, | 42:13, | 39:12, | 11:10, |
| 159:3, | 190:9 | 44:4, | 80:5, | 11:12, |
| 170:9, | apples [1] | 51:17, | 96:5, | 13:10, |
| 181:12, | - 132:7 | 118:15, | 172:5 | 13:18, |
| 181:17 | applicable | 147:16 | approval | 21:11, |
| app-based | [3] - | applied | [9] - | 30:6, |
| [1] - | 12:5, | [5] - | 4:13, | $31: 3$, |
| 181:12 | 12:13, | 5:16, | 12:5, | 36:9, |
| apparent | 153:10 | 6:12, | 20:2, | 66:4, |
| [1] - 86:9 | applicant | 26:14, | 20:3, | 68:11, |
| appear [3] | [3] - | 46:4, 52:2 | 20:4, | 80:5, |
| - 64:15, | 26:17 | applies | 34:14, | 80:16, |
| 178:1, | 30: | [1] - 74:3 | 38:13, | 84:12, |
| 197: 6 | 30:11 | APPLY [1] | 44:14, | 85:5, |
| appeared | Applicant | - 197:16 | 44:16 | 86:2, |
| [1] - | [1] - 27:3 | apply [2] | approve | 92:1, |
| 78:16 | APPLICATIO | - 7:2, | [3] - | 100:4, |
| applaud | $\underline{N}$ [6] - | 95:14 | 44:3, | 116:2, |
| [3] - | 2:4, 2:5, | applying | 51: 4 , | 155: 6 |
| 127: 6, | : 6 | [1] - 27:3 | 57:12 | areas [2] |
| 129:16, | 26:10 | appreciate | approving | 69:11, |
| 129:17 | 45:17 | [3] - | [5] - | 80:1 |
| applause | 51:14 | 64:15 | 45:4 | argue [2] |
| [3] - | Applicatio | 65:2 | 45:5, | - 120:12, |
| 186:9, | $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [1] - | 71:14 | 61:9, | 120:14 |
| 187:10, | 46:1 | appreciati | 149:16 | arguing |
| 196:2 | applicatio | ve [1] - | apps [2] - | [1] - |



| 167:4, | -H-A-W [1] | BAR [2] - | [1] - | 110:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169:14, | - 124:2 | 2:4, 26:10 | 190:12 | 110:3, |
| 170:7, | B-R-U-C-E | Bar [2] - | become [4] | 110:4, |
| 170:14, | [1] - | 26:13, | - 89:3, | 110:5, |
| 170:18, | 156:16 | 44:5 | 89:5, | 110:7, |
| 171:5 | B-U-R-K-E | bar [1] - | 120:15, | 111:18, |
| Ayad [1] - | [1] - | 173:3 | 151:9 | 156:2 |
| 167:5 | 176:17 | barks [1] | beer [1] - | benefits |
| aye [22] | babies [1] | - 11:5 | 8:2 | [4] - |
| 25:4, | - 152:11 | barrages | begin [1] | 109:7, |
| 25:5, | baby [1] - | [1] - | - 71:15 | 109:18, |
| 25:14, | 126:14 | 71:11 | beginning | 110:1, |
| 25:15, | background | barriers | [5] - | 112:1 |
| 45:2, | [15] - | [1] - 91:1 | 28:7, | Benzan [1] |
| 45:11, | 12:1, | bartender | 65:10, | - 107:6 |
| 45:12, | 66:15, | [2] - | $70: 1$, | BENZAN [1] |
| 45:13, | 72:16, | 52:12, | 82:16, | - 107:9 |
| 51: 9, | 72:18, | 53:4 | 134:8 | best [12] |
| 51:10, | 73:4, | bartenders | behalf [2] | - 11:18, |
| 51:11, | 74:1, | [1] - | - 107:16, | 66:11, |
| 58:5, | 74:7, | 55:10 | 141:10 | 83: 6, |
| 58: 6, | 75: 6, | base [3] - | behavior | $83: 7,$ |
| $58: 7,$ | 92:15, | 81:17, | [2] - | $85: 16$ |
| 191:13, | 93: 9, | $95: 14,$ | 79:13, | 86:3, |
| 191:14, | 93:12, | $98: 13$ | 80:10 | $89: 9,$ |
| $191: 15,$ | 96:3, | based [5] | behest [1] | 89:13, |
| 195:16, | 177:1, | $-36: 10,$ | - 12:2 | 90:4, |
| 195:17, | 177:4, | $71: 7,$ | behind [1] | 133:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 195: 18, \\ & 196 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | 182:8 | $99: 9,$ | - 182:15 | $145: 13,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 196: 7 \\ & 196: 8 \end{aligned}$ | bad [2] - | 143:13, | below <br> [1] | $184: 2$ |
| Aye <br> [1] - | 110:9, | 181:12 | - 27:5 | $\frac{\text { better }}{-87: 5,}$ |
| 196:9 | badge [1] | $\frac{\text { Basement }}{[2]-}$ | $\frac{\text { benches }}{[1]-9: 8}$ | $92: 1,$ |
| B | - 166:1 | 1:12, 3:9 | beneficial | $\begin{aligned} & 178: 18, \\ & 182: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| B-as [1] - | 27:7, 44:9 | basic [1] | [1] - 123: 8 | 183: 6, |
| 150:18 | banned [2] | b | benefit | 183:9, |
| B-L-Y-T-H- | - 111:3, | 71:1 | [9] - | 183:10 |
| E-hyphen-S | 111: 4 | bearing | 70:11, | between |


| [6] - | binding | 29:12, | 123:2, | 19:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:12, | [1] - | 29:16, | 124:3, | 59:4, |
| 80:12, | 143:5 | 30:10, | 126:3, | 60:12, |
| 131:5, | Bishop [1] | 34:4, | 127:11, | 106:10 |
| 141:4, | - 8:11 | 107:4 | 145:1, | brain [1] |
| 159:5, | bit [3] - | Board [6] | 160:14, | - 129:17 |
| 162:14 | 15:11, | - 30:17, | 160:18, | brainstorm |
| beverage | 19:15, | 53:1, | 161:4, | [1] - |
| [2] - | 130:9 | 60:5, | 162:10, | 81:11 |
| 18:5, 44:6 | black [2] | 193:16, | 167:16, | breakfast |
| beverages | - 114:1, | 197:11, | 172:15, | [1] - 39:6 |
| [7] - | 114:8 | 197:12 | 182:16 | breakout |
| 4:10, | blast [1] | boat [1] - | 185:9 | [1] - |
| 14:16, | - 119:11 | 126:11 | bottle [1] | 101:6 |
| 16:1, | blind [3] | body [1] - | - 8:2 | Bridj [1] |
| 26:14, | - 114:18 | 63:16 | bought [1] | - 145:14 |
| 46:3, | 120:17, | books [1] | - 111:13 | brief [3] |
| 52:1, | 122:3 | - 109:1 | box [1] - | - 29:11, |
| 57:14 | blue [3] - | Boston | 85:4 | $70: 5$ |
| beyond [1] | 138:17, | [32] - | boy [1] - | $177: 16$ |
| - 23:13 | 149:10, | 30:4, | 151:1 | briefly |
| big [7] | 177:12 | 30:16, | boy-R-o-S- | [5] - |
| 81:2, | BLYTHE [1] | 64:3, | E [1] - | 47:8, |
| 82: 6, | - 124:1 | 64:14, | 151:1 | 50:12, |
| 103: 4, | Blythe [1] | 73:11, | Boyer [4] | 72:13, |
| 103:5, | - 124:2 | $73: 12$, | - 4:15, | $72: 17$, |
| 120:15, | BLYTHE- | 83:18, | 4:17, | 122:1 |
| 121:1, | SHAW [1] - | 84:11, | 19:12, | Brighton |
| 189:13 | 124:1 | 92:1 | 24:16 | [1] - 36:9 |
| $\underline{\text { bigger [1] }}$ | Bo [3] - | 93:16, | BOYER [17] | bring [2] |
| - 190:15 | $5: 5,5: 11,$ | 99:16, | - 4:17, | - 185:14, |
| bill [2] - | $8: 15$ | 100:4, | $6: 15,$ | $190: 10$ |
| $73: 4,$ | BOARD [1] | 103: 6, | 7:13, 8:1, | broader |
| 73:10 | $-1: 5$ | 111:4, | 8:9, 8:14, | [1] - |
| billion | board [9] | $111: 13,$ | 9:1, 9:5, | 148:11 |
| $[1]-$ | - 11:4, | $112: 10,$ | $9: 10,$ | BROSE [4] |
| $125: 8$ | $13: 1,$ | 113:5, | $9: 16,$ | - 150:11, |
| $\frac{\text { bind }}{90: 12}[1] \text { - }$ | $22: 6$, | 117:11, | 18:12, | 150:14, |
| 90:12 | 25:18, | 117:11, | 19:7, | 150:18, |


| 151: 6 | 34:5, | 36:10, | C | 112: 4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brose [1] | 35:7, | 37:15, |  | 112:14, |
| - 150:18 | 44:13, | 44:4, | C-A-R-Y | 114:2, |
| brother | 44:16 | 87: 4, | [1] - | 115:15, |
| [1] - 29:8 | building | 95:15, | 179:15 | 115:16, |
| brought | [7] - 6:7, | 108:16, | C-O-O-P-E- | 132:9, |
| [4] - | 32:7, | 124:7, | $\underline{\mathrm{R}}$ [1] - | 148:8 |
| 25:9, | 32:8, | 124:11, | 121:13 | calmly [1] |
| 78:14, | 32:10, | 124:12, | cab [17] - | - 119:16 |
| 118:2, | 40:6, | 147:13, | 78:7, | CAMBRIDGE |
| 187:8 | 55:5, | 154:5, | 78:18, | [3] - 1:1, |
| BRUCE [6] | 171:8 | 154: 6, | 83:15, | 1:2, 51:15 |
| - 156:10, | bulk [2] - | 154:7, | 116:16, | Cambridge |
| 156:12, | 64:8, | 181:2, | 120:1, | [86] - |
| 156:16, | 101:16 | 182:18, | 128: 6, | 1:13, 5:1, |
| 157:2, | burgeon | 183:9 | 128:12, | 10: 4, |
| 160:10, | [1] - | businesses | 128:13, | 26:16, |
| 161:7 | 139:15 | [6] - | 145:8, | 29:4, |
| Bruce [2] | burgeoning | 66:8, | 154:11, | 30:12, |
| - 156:16, | [1] - | 67:15, | 164:10, | 32:13, |
| 156:17 | 141:1 | 88:2, | 173:4, | 41:1, |
| brunch [3] | BURKE [2] | 141:4, | 180:16, | 42:11, |
| - 39:9, | - 176:16, | 152:8, | 185:3, | 42:17, |
| 39:11, | 177:4 | 183:5 | 185:9, | 47:6, |
| 39:13 | Burke [1] | businessma | 187:15, | 51:18, |
| build [5] | - 176:17 | $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [1] - | 188:1 | 52:2, |
| - 5:17, | bus [1] - | 21:15 | cabbie [1] | 57:14, |
| 8:18, | 126:12 | button [1] | - 113:3 | 58:17, |
| 14: 6, | buses [1] | - 94:11 | cabs [7] - | 60: 9, |
| 14:7, 21:9 | - 152:11 | buy [3] - | 78:11, | 65:5, |
| build-out | Business | 18: 4, | 83:18, | $65: 15$, |
| [4] - | [1] - | 135:12, | 117:5, | 68:1, |
| 5:17, | 42:11 | 157:6 | 117: 6, | 70: 4 , |
| $8: 18 \text {, }$ | business | buying [2] | 145:3, | $70: 13$, |
| 14:6, 14:7 | $\frac{\text { [20] - }}{\text { desiness }}$ | - 18:1, | 172:17, | $70: 18$, |
| Building | 29:4, | 18:2 | 189:11 | 78:15, |
| [7] - | 33:9, | bystanders | California | 81: 4 , |
| $1: 12,3: 8,$ | $34: 15$ | [2] - | [8] - | 81: 6, |
| $4: 12,$ | 36:4, | 120:13 | 103:18, | 82:12, |


| 82:13, | 162:10, | 53:13, | 144:13 | 119:16, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84:11, | 162:11, | 54:16, | care [4] | 153:18, |
| 88:13, | 163:17, | 55:2, | 86:6, | 154:2 |
| 89:15, | 164:7, | 56:10, | 148:4, | cash [3] - |
| 89:18, | 167: 6, | 141:18, | 180:18, | 104:10, |
| 91:18, | 168:16, | 146:16 | 190:4 | 130:5, |
| 92: 4 , | 172:12, | Capitol | careers | 182:2 |
| 96:8, | 172:16, | [1] - | [1] - | cashless |
| 98:13, | 172:18, | 142:8 | 149:1 | [1] - 69:2 |
| 103:5, | 173: 6, | CAPTURING | Carlone | CASSANDRA |
| 103:8, | 173:9, | [1] - 1:17 | [1] - 65:7 | [7] - |
| 103: 9, | 180:11, | car [22] - | carry [1] | 139:6, |
| 107:12, | 182:16, | 69:16, | - 182:8 | 139:10, |
| 107:14, | 183:17, | 75:10, | cars [12] | 139:11, |
| 110:17, | 184:18, | 76:7, | - 66:5, | 140:6, |
| 111:4, | 186:12, | 85:13, | 75:7, | 140:10, |
| 111:12, | 186:18, | 91:15, | $76: 1$, | 140:13, |
| 112:2, | 189:3, | 94:8, | 78:16, | 140:16 |
| 113:5, | 197:11, | 94:10, | 104:5, | Cassandra |
| 114:18, | 197:12 | 97:5, | 118:9, | [1] - |
| 116:5, | Cambridge' | 100:9, | 124:13, | 139:11 |
| 117:10, | s [2] - | 103:9, | 158:5, | catch [1] |
| 118:3, | 71:5, | 108:16, | 160:13, | - 172:4 |
| $118: 7$, $121: 14$, | 187:1 | 128:17, | 181:13, | categories |
| $\begin{aligned} & 121: 14, \\ & 121: 15, \end{aligned}$ | candidate | 130:14, | 182: 6, | [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 121: 15, \\ & 126: 3, \end{aligned}$ | [1] - | 130:17, | 183:10 | 144:16 |
| 126:3, | 177:15 | 130:18, | CARY [4] - | caught [2] |
| 127:11, | candor [1] | 138:5, | 179:8, | - 160:14, |
|  | - 80:11 | 159:2, | 179:11, | 164:6 |
| $135: 9,$ | cannot [3] | $166: 6$, $167: 15$, | 179:14, | CD [1] - |
| $137: 9,$ | - 116:16, | 167:15, | 179:16 | 27:5 |
| 138:2, | 116:17, | 170:8, | Cary [1] - | cease [1] |
| 141:11, | 147:14 | 71: 6 | 179:11 | - 180:6 |
| 145:5, | cap [2] - | $\frac{\text { card }}{104: 11,}$ | case [8] - | center [1] |
| 146:14, | $89: 6, ~ 94: 3$ capacity | $145: 2,$ | 14:7, | - 123:2 |
| 148:14, | $\frac{\text { capacity }}{[8]-}$ | 182:2, | 79:2, | Central |
| 149:1, | $48: 11,$ | $185: 8$ | $79: 8$, $112: 7$, | [1] - 7:15 |
| 151:18, | $50: 4,$ | Care [1] - | 119:6, | centric |


| 146:1 | [1] - | 45:7, | 60:15, | 136:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| certain | 197:17 | 45:13, | 72:11, | 136:16, |
| [7] - | cetera [1] | 45:15, | 75:7, | 138:8, |
| 13:2, | - 152:11 | 46:6, | 95: 4 , | 139:8, |
| 79:13, | CHAIR | 46:12, | 96:11, | 140:5, |
| 79:14, | [183] - | 46:15, | 97:2, | 140:8, |
| 82:8, | 1:6, 4:14, | 47: 4 , | 101:14, | 141:9, |
| 90:13, | 8:12, | 47:8, | 102:3, | 146:8, |
| 98:14, | 9:14, | 47:13, | 102:7, | 149:9, |
| 169:14 | 9:17, | 47:16, | 104:2, | 150:13, |
| certainly | 10:1, | 48:1, | 105:10, | 150:16, |
| [4] - | 15:16, | 48:5, | 105:13, | 151:2, |
| 27:12, | 16:8, | 48:8, | 107:5, | 151:10, |
| 151:12, | 19:11, | 48:11, | 107:13, | 151:16, |
| 155:11, | 23:18, | 49:3, | 107:17, | 154:14, |
| 178:18 | 24:12, | 50:8, | 108:5, | 156:7, |
| Certainly | 25:3, | 50:13, | 108:13, | 156:11, |
| [1] - | 25: 6, | 50:17, | 109:9, | 156:13, |
| 99:11 | 25:12, | 51:1, | 109:13, | 156:17, |
| certificat | 26:2, | 51:8, | 110:12, | 160:8, |
| e [1] - | 26:8, | 51:12, | 113:11, | 161:6, |
| 133:4 | 27:16, | 52: 4 , | 114:5, | 161:9, |
| CERTIFICAT | 28:10, | 52:9, | 114:7, | 162:18, |
| E [1] - | 28:16, | 52:13, | 114:12, | 163:12, |
| - $197: 1$ | 32:18, | 52:17, | 114:16, | 164:3, |
| CERTIFICAT | 33: 6, | 53:9, | 121:4, | 164:18, |
| ION [1] - | 37:18, | 54:5, | 121:9, | 165:8, |
| ION [1] - | 38:9, | 54: 9, | 123:16, | 166:12, |
| 197:16 | 39:14, | 55:12, | 127:14, | 166:15, |
| $\frac{\text { certified }}{\text { [1] - }}$ | 39:18, | 57:2, | 127:17, | 167:2, |
| [1] - | 40:18, | 57:5, | 128:1, | 169:12, |
| 33:14 | 41:5, | 57: 8, | 128:7, | 170:5, |
| Certified | 41:17, | 58:1, | 130:8, | 171:12, |
| [2] - | 42:2, | 58: 4 , | 131:6, | 171:15, |
| 197:3, | 43:4, | 58:8, | 131:14, | 172:4, |
| 197:14 | 43:11, | 58:10, | 132:6, | 172:7, |
| certify | 43:15, | 59:5, | 132:13, | 173:11, |
| [1] - | 44:15, | 59:12, | 133:10, | 173:17, |
| 197:4 | 45:1, | 59:16, | 134:3, | 174:13, |
| CERTIFYING |  | 60:10, | 135:3, | 174:16, |


| 176:6, | 112:15 | 137:10, | 167:10, | 58:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 176:12, | challenges | 137:16, | 167:18, | 58:7, |
| 177:2, | [2] - | 137:17, | 171:1 | 74:11, |
| 177:10, | 31:5, | 147:11, | checked | 76:17, |
| 179:3, | 61:18 | 157:13, | [6] - | 77:5, |
| 179:9, | chance [2] | 158:13, | 78: 6, | 77:9, |
| 179:12, | - 17:1, | 170:14 | 96:3, | 77:14, |
| 184:4, | 130:16 | charged | 175:1, | 78: 4 , |
| 184:8, | change [9] | [2] - | 177:1, | 83:14, |
| 184:11, | - 27:9, | 161:1, | 177:5, | 94:7, |
| 186:7, | 46:4, | 170:12 | 182:8 | 94:13, |
| 187:12, | 46:13, | charging | checkpoint | 94:18, |
| 188:14, | 51: 4 , | [2] - | [1] - | 97: 4 , |
| 190:8, | 52:2, | 136:8, | 166:1 | 97:13, |
| 191:8, | 57:12, | 157:10 | checks [9] | 97:16, |
| 191:12, | 130:12, | Charler | - 66:15, | 98:3, |
| 191:16, | 177:7, | [1] - | 72:16, | 105:12, |
| 192:2, | 189:13 | 112:11 | 72:18, | 106:5, |
| 192:6, | changed | Charlie | 73:5, | 118:11, |
| 192:11, | [1] - | [1] - | 73:13, | 118:14, |
| 192:15, | 183:5 | 185:8 | 74:15, | 121:3, |
| 194:2, | changes | CHATHAM | 92:15, | 123:15, |
| 194:13, | [1] - | [5] - | 93:12, | 140:12, |
| 194:17, | 41:18 | 179:8, | 93:18 | 141:13, |
| 195:4, | changing | 179:11, | CHIEF [54] | 154:16, |
| 195: 8, | [2] - | 179:14, | - 1:8, | 155:12, |
| 195:12, | 145:1, | 179:16 | 45:14, | 155:16, |
| 195:15, | 182:17 | Chatham | 47:1, | 156:4, |
| 196:6 | character | $\frac{\text { Chatham }}{\text { [ }}$ | 50: 9, | 170:2, |
| Chair [7] | $\frac{\text { character }}{\text { [1] 30:8 }}$ | 179:11 | 50:18, | 170:11, |
| $-3: 10,$ | charge | check [11] | 51:7, | 170:15, |
| $27: 8,$ | $\frac{\text { charge }}{\text { [14] }}$ | - 42:6, | 51:11, | 171:3, |
| 28:18, | 71:3, | 73:12, | 53:3, | 191:11, |
| 64:10, | 128:15, | 74:7, | 56:1, | 191:14, |
| 106:14, | $128: 15$, $136: 5$, | 74:8, | 56:5, | 192:5, |
| 112:7, | 137:1 | $74: 18$, | 56:13, | 194:5, |
| 151:5 | 137:3, | 75:6, | 56:16, | 195:11, |
| challenge | 137:4, | $85: 4 \text {, }$ | 57:1, | 195:17, |
| [1] - | 137:5, | $85: 8 \text {, }$ | 57: 4 , | 196:5, |


| Chief [5] | 52:10, | 88:14, | - 13:18, | [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 3:12, | 57:13 | 88:15, | 22:16, | 91:17 |
| 4:18, | citizens | 89:7, | 23:1, | closer [1] |
| 28:9, | [2] - | 127: 4, | 25:7, | - 19:15 |
| 28:11, | 65:4, | 137:15, | 42:8, | club [7] - |
| 64:11 | 137:4 | 143:13, | 110: 6, | 52:1, |
| choice [2] | CITIZENS | 144:18, | 178:7 | 54:6, |
| - 136:11, | [2] - 2:7, | 149:3, | cleared | 55:14, |
| 136:14 | 51:14 | 168:1, | [1] - 28:6 | 55:17, |
| choosing | City [18] | 168:4, | clearer | 56:1, |
| [1] - | - 4:18, | 168:5, | [1] - | 56:14, |
| 144:8 | 65:5, | 169:16 | 183:10 | 57:15 |
| chose [1] | 65:15, | citymanage | clearly | Club [1] - |
| - 155:10 | 73:12, | rofcambridg | max8] - | 52:12 |
| Christophe | 88: 9, | . gov [1] - | 21:12, | co [2] - |
| $\underline{\underline{r}}$ [1] - | 107:12, | 180:1 | 22:14, | 23:5, |
| 26:13 | 107:14, | civil [1] | 23:13, | 23:17 |
| CHRISTOPHE | 116:5, | - 123:11 | 93:6, | co-exist |
| R [3] - | 117:10, | clapping | 125:4, | [2] - |
| 39:17, | 126:2, | [1] - | 148:9, | 23:5, |
| 40:2, | 141:11, | 175:16 | 149:15, | 23:17 |
| 40:11 | 158:8, | CLARENCE | 149:17 | codeof [1] |
| cigarette | 163:17, | [1] - | client [3] | - 113:8 |
| [1] - | 164:7, | 189:1 | - 16:16, | colleagues |
| 85:14 | 167:6, | Clarence | 17:16, | [1] - |
| circumstan | $\begin{aligned} & 168: 16, \\ & 180: 2 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - | $20: 11$ | $104: 17$ |
| ces [1] - | 180:2, | 189:1 | clients' | collect |
| 11:7 | 186:18 | clarify | [1] - | [1] - |
| cities [2] | $\frac{\text { CITY }}{1 \cdot 2}$ [1] - | [2] - | 14:12 | 181:17 |
| - 69:9, |  | 45:4, | clip [1] - | college |
| 189:7 | city [20] | 61:15 | 28:3 | [1] - 31:4 |
| citizen | - 22:18, | class [1] | close [2] | Colorado |
| [3] - | $30: 13$, 42.6, | -89:9 | - 111:2, | [1]-95:8 |
| 146:16, | 42:6, | clean [4] | 190:11 | comfortabl |
| $169: 16 \text {, }$ | 42:7, | - 73:3, | closed [3] | e [4] - |
| $170: 8$ | 43:8, | 73:10, | - 41:11, | 34:11, |
| Citizens |  | 104:13, | 41:12, | 38:4, |
| [3] - | $68: 9$, $81: 16$ | 181:14 | 49:13 | 38:14, |
| 51:17, |  | clear [7] | closely | 96:8 |


| coming | 34:10, | 14:11, | 51:10, | commission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [12] - | 38:2, | 14:15, | 53:12, | ers [1] - |
| 7:5, | 38:13, | 15:2, | 53:16, | 155:17 |
| 75:14, | 44:10, | 15:7, | $54: 11$, | Commission |
| 76:15, | 58:18, | 16:10, | 54:15, | ers [5] - |
| 81:3, | 61:18, | 16:15, | $54: 18$, | 3:10, |
| 91:18, | 65:13, | 17:12, | 55:16, | 60:5, |
| 92:17, | 70:2, | 18:10, | 57:7, | 61:2, |
| 125:11, | $71: 16$, | 19:3, | 58: 6, | 63:13, |
| 152:9, | 83: 4 , | 20:9, | 73:17, | 77:17 |
| 169:1, | 112:5, | 21:3, | $74: 2$, | committed |
| 171:6, | 121:15, | 22:13, | $74: 14$, | [1] - |
| 173:12, | 141:17, | 25:2, | $74: 17$, | 65:12 |
| 176:13 | 148:7, | 25:5, | 80:17, | committee |
| commensura | 148:10, | 25: 8, | $82: 5 \text {, }$ | [1] - |
| te [1] - | $169: 6$ | 25:15, | 84:13, | $129: 15$ |
| 70:11 | COMMISSION | $34: 18$, | $86: 8 \text {, }$ | committing |
| commentary | [3] - 1:1, | $35: 16$, | 87:18, | [1] - |
| $[1]-85: 6$ | $1: 3,1: 5$ | $36: 12$, $37 \cdot 3$, | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 7, \\ & 92: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 71:16 |
| comments | Commission | $37: 3 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 10, \\ & 92.11 \end{aligned}$ | common [2] |
| [3] - | 's [1] - | $37: 7$, $37: 10$, | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 14, \\ & 93: 5 . \end{aligned}$ | - 5:10, |
| 116:8, | 65:2 | $37: 10$, $37: 14$, | $93: 5$, 94.5, | 18:14 |
| 177:3, | commission | $37: 14$, $38: 16$, | $94: 5$, $98: 10$, | Common [1] |
| 188:10 | er [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 16, \\ & 39: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $99: 6,$ | - 131:5 |
| commercial | 112:7 | $39: 8 \text {, }$ | 101:9, | Commonweal |
| [4] - | Commission | $40: 9$ | $140: 14,$ | th [5] - |
| 142:16, | er [4] - | $40: 15 \text {, }$ | 191:15, | 127: 4, |
| $144: 6$, $157: 12$, | 3:11, | 41:10, | 192:10, | 167:13, |
| 157:12, | 29:1, | $41: 13$, | 193:15, | 168:2, |
| 169:17 | 49:13, | 43:13, | 194:3, | 197:2, |
| Commission | 64:11 | 44:12, | 194:9, | 197:4 |
| [24] - 3.5 5.1 | COMMISSION | $44: 18$, | 195:13, | communicat |
| 3:5, 5:1, | ER [85] - | 45:12, | 195:18, | ion [1] - |
| 10:17, | 1:7, 6:13, | 49:5, | 196:8 | 142:14 |
| 12:17, | 7:17, 8:6, | 49:8, | Commission | communitie |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17: 10, \\ & 19: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 8:16, 9:3, | 49:14, | er's [2] - | s [1] - |
| $19: 18$, 25:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 7, \quad 9: 18, \\ & 13: 9 . \end{aligned}$ | $50: 3$, | 15:17, | 88:1 |
| 32:3, | $13: 9,$ | 50:6, | 97:5 | community |


| [10] - | 92:16, | complaint | comply [5] | 92:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:16, | 92:17 | [2] - | - 38:5, | 143:18, |
| 32:13, | compete | 123:2, | 123:11, | 144:1, |
| 122:18, | [6] - | 164:1 | 125:15, | 144:2, |
| 124:16, | 71:4, | complaints | 125:17, | 144:3 |
| 143:4, | 71:5, | [8] - | 127:3 | concession |
| 145:5, | 168:18, | 84:14, | comprehens | [1] - |
| 183:1, | 182:11, | 84:15, | ive [1] - | 14:17 |
| 183:4, | 183:7, | 84:16, | 66:14 | conclusion |
| 183:15 | 188:5 | 84:18, | concept | [2] - |
| commute | competing | 85:9, | [3] - | 114:3, |
| [1] - | [1] - | 122:17, | 31:11, | 117:14 |
| 189:3 | 125:4 | 163:18, | 31:13, | concrete |
| companies | competitio | 164:12 | 159:16 | [1] - |
| [7] - | $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [9] - | complete | concern | 144:1 |
| 89:16, | 105:2, | [2] - | [4] - | condiment |
| 123:11, | 111:8, | 32:1, 56:7 | 22:14, | [1] - 5:4 |
| 135:17, | 125:1, | completed | 157:15, | condition |
| 143:6, | 125:2, | [2] - | 162: 6, | [2] - |
| 145:9, | 144:2, | 27:14, | 162:11 | 34:12, |
| 182:10, | 152:9, | 56:3 | concerned | 44:9 |
| 183:7 | 153:5, | completely | [6] - | conditions |
| companion | 180:7, | [4] - | 15:10, | [4] - |
| [1] - | 183:3 | 27:18, | 89:4, | 38:4, |
| 130:13 | competitiv | 65:12, | 89:5, | 38:13, |
| company | e [1] - | 95:15, | 103:14, | 38:14, |
| [3] - | 145:3 | 182:17 | 104:4, | 38:15 |
| 65:17, | competitiv | completing | 146:16 | condo [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 137: 3, \\ & 157.1 \end{aligned}$ | eness [2] | [1] - 4:11 | concerns | - 32:11 |
| 157:14 | - 144:3, | completion | [15] - | condo'd |
| Company | 146:5 | [2] - | 18:13, | [1] - 32:8 |
| [1] - | competitor | 44:11, | $65: 1$, | conduct |
| 112:17 | s [1] - | 51:5 | 65:3, | [1] - |
| compare | 95:11 | compliance | 70:6, | 124:12 |
| [1] - | complain | [1] - | $72: 14$, | conducted |
| $112: 13$ | [3] - | 80:15 | 80:18, | [2] - |
| compared | 137:14, | compliant | 81:16, | 72:16, |
| [3] - | $137: 17,$ | [1] - | 89:11, | $72: 18$ |
| 7:18, | 176:2 | 117:7 | 90:3, | conducting |


| [1] - | 149:18 | 149:6 | CONTROL | coordinate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154: 6 | considered | contact | [1] - | [1] |
| Conference | [1] - | [5] - | 197:17 | 162:9 |
| [2] - | 159:1 | 24:4, | controllin | copies [1] |
| 1:12, 3:9 | considerin | 24:14, | $\underline{\underline{g}}$ [1] - | - 112:6 |
| confidenti | $\underline{\underline{g}}$ [6] - | 58:2, | 160:1 | corner [1] |
| ally [1] - | 65:11, | 79:3, | controls | - 22:10 |
| 100:11 | 115:2, | 91:14 | [2] - | correct |
| confining | 115: 6, | contacted | 89:5, 89:8 | [7] - |
| [1] - | 139:14, | [2] - | convenient | 36:3, |
| 35:17 | 148:18, | 9:15, 10:6 | [1] - | 38:11, |
| confirm | 162:10 | content | 68:13 | 46:14, |
| [1] - | consistent | [1] - | conversati | 55:15, |
| 99:13 | ly [1] - | 142:14 | on [12] - | 56:8, |
| congestion | 142:18 | contingent | 27:6, | 118:18, |
| [1] - | constituti | [3] - | 28: 4, | 156:4 |
| 69:16 | onal [1] - | 44:13, | 28:11, | correcting |
| congress | 111:7 | 44:15, | 61:5, | [1] - |
| [1] - | constructi | 45:6 | 61: 6, | 109:15 |
| 142:9 | on [2] - | continue | 61:10, | correctly |
| connect | 6:9, 41:8 | [2] - | 62:8, | [1] - |
| [1] - | consult | 117:15, | 62:18, | 21:12 |
| 85:18 | [1] | 117:16 | 63:10, | correspond |
| connection | 133:3 | continued | 83:1, | [1] - |
| [1] - | Consulting | [1] - | 133:8, | 105:5 |
| $185: 15$ | [1] - | $119: 7$ | 193:8 | cosmetic |
| connects | 112:11 | continuous | conversati | [1] - 42:1 |
| $[1]-66: 2$ | consume | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 22.17 \end{aligned}$ | ons [1] - | cost [2] - |
| consent | [1]-18:6 | $33: 17$ | $59: 3$ | 128:17, |
| [1] - 74:7 | consumer | contract | convinced | $152: 16$ |
| consider | [3] - | [1] - | [1] - | costs [3] |
| [2] - | 142:18, | 159:12 | 89:10 | - 142:5, |
| 34: 4, | 177:6, | contractor | coolers | 158:10, |
| 148:11 | 177:8 | [1] - 6:8 | [1] - 8:4 | 158:11 |
| considerat | consumers | contrary | COOPER [2] | Council |
| ion [4] - | [4] - | [1] - 61:7 | - 121:8, | [1] - |
| 25:11, | 70:18, | control | 121:12 | 141:11 |
| 30:8, | 110:1, | [1] - | Cooper [1] | Councillor |
| 139:17, | 142:17, | 197:11 | - 121:13 | [3] - |


| $\begin{aligned} & 107: 6, \\ & 107: 12, \\ & 107: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135: 7 \\ \frac{\text { cover }}{-132: 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 115: 7, \\ \text { 143:17 } \\ \text { curious } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { CV }}{23: 4} \\ & \underline{\text { cyclist }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 92: 16 \end{aligned}$ <br> DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNCILLOR | 190:4 | [4] - | [1] - | 1:14 |
| [1] - | coverage | 86:13, | 189:4 | date [1] |
| 107:9 | [2] - | 92:15, |  | 49:2 |
| Councilor | 69:9, | 93:2, | D | dates [3] |
| $[1]-65: 7$ <br> counsel | $182: 9$ <br> Cower | 181:14 <br> current <br> $\underline{[7]}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{M}-}{\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{as}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6: 14, \\ & 76: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| [2] - | - 111:11 | [7] - | $\frac{\mathrm{V}-\mathbf{a s}}{174: 3}{ }^{[1]}$ | $194: 4$ |
| 10:1, | create [2] | 35:10, | D.C [1] - | DAVID [4] |
| 37:18 | - 70:9, | $70: 6$, | -95:9 | - 150:11, |
| counselor | 98:12 | 89:12, | d/b/a | 150:14, |
| [1] - | creates | 112:13, | $\frac{\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}}{-4 \cdot 8}$ | 150:18, |
| 42:12 | [2] - | 112:16, | - 4:8, | 151:6 |
| counter | 69:3, | 168:17, | 26:12, | david [1] |
| [1] - 5:4 | 143:6 | 181:2 | 46 | - 150:18 |
| country | creating | Customer | $\begin{gathered} 46: 1 \\ \text { daily [1] } \end{gathered}$ | days [1] - |
| [4]- | [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 144: 13 \end{aligned}$ | - 71:1 | 39:10 |
| $67: 17,$ | $69: 5,$ | $144: 13$ | dangerous | deal [1] |
| $72: 1,$ | $94: 2, \quad 99: 4$ | $\frac{\text { customer }}{[10]-}$ | $[2]-$ | $142: 10$ |
| 126:18, | credit [3] | $\begin{array}{ll} {[10]} \end{array}$ | $87 \text { : 7, }$ | deals |
| $127: 1$ | - 104:11, | $16: 5 \text {, }$ | $148: 15$ | - 32:5 |
| county [1] | 145:2, | 68:13, | data [8] | Dear [1] |
| - 74:8 | 182:1 | 120:17, | 89:10, | 141:17 |
| couple [6] | criteria | 122:17, | $93: 12$ | dear [1] |
| - 6:16, | [1] - | 123:2, | 99:1, | 180:2 |
| 100:1, | 144:10 | 146:1, | $114 \cdot 2$ | decide [1] |
| 106:10, | cross [1] | 166:2, | $143: 14,$ | - 169:8 |
| 131:3, | - 30:16 | 183:8, | $144: 1,$ | decided |
| 175:4, | cross- | 183:16, | 164:2, | [2] - |
| 190:1 | referenced | 188:10 | 181:16 | 21:7, 26:1 |
| course [4] | [1] - | customers | database | $\underline{\text { decides }}$ |
| - 77:3, | 30:16 | $82: 12$ | [3] - | [1] - |
| 77:8, | crosses |  | 82:10, | 136:11 |
| 100:14, | [1] - | $[2]$ | 98:12, | decision |
| 146:3 | 22:14 | 109:10 | 99:4 | [9] - |
| courteous | crucial | 109:10, | databases | 17:10, |
| [1] - | [2] - | 187:15 |  | 20: 6, |


| 22: 6, | degree [3] | 176:1 | destinatio | 62:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59:11, | - 67:7, | Department | n [1] | $70: 1$, |
| 62:9, | 70:15, | 's [2] - | 36:6 | 82:16, |
| 144:10, | 82:1 | 44:14, | detail [2] | 102:9, |
| 164:16, | degrees | 44:16 | - 75:11, | 109:14, |
| 177:8, | [2] - | department | 87:16 | 134:8, |
| 187:1 | 116:13, | s [2] - | details | 134:13 |
| decisions | 187:5 | 12:5 | [2] - | die [1] - |
| [1] - | delay [2] | 22:18 | 75:5, | 154:13 |
| 140:1 | - 56:2, | deregulate | 86:16 | difference |
| declaratio | 56:6 | [1] - | determine | [2] - |
| $\underline{\mathbf{n}}$ [1] - | deliveries | 126:8 | [2] - | 34:9, |
| 22:12 | [1] - | describe | 88:8, | 34:12 |
| declare | 126:13 | [2] - | 113:15 | difference |
| [1] - | delivering | 7:18, | develop | $\underline{s}$ [1] - |
| 124:6 | [2] - | 142:9 | [2] - | 141:4 |
| dedicated | 126:14, | described | 72:7, | different |
| [1] - | 152:11 | [2] - | 129:18 | [7] - |
| 36:16 | delivery | 10: 9, | developed | 21:13, |
| Deep [1] - | [2] - | 12:10 | [1] - | 22:3, |
| 30:4 | 70:16, | describing | 11:10 | 41:1, |
| deeply [1] | 126:15 | [1] - | developmen | 132:7, |
| - 87:8 | deny [1] - | 93:17 | t [2] - | 134:1, |
| defer [1] | 12:7 | descriptio | 72:6, 90:5 | 134:14, |
| 29:11 | Department | $\underline{n}$ [ | devices | 139:14 |
| defining | 12] - | 11:18, | [1] - | difficult |
| [1] - | 4:13, | 112:3 | 122:6 | [4] - |
| 160:2 | 19:4, | deserve | DHARMVIR | 87:12, |
| definitely | 20:2, | [1] | [5] - | 98:11, |
| [2] - | 20:3, | 127:12 | 173:16, | 139:15, |
| 155:14, | 24:8, | designatio | 174:2, | 173:7 |
| 190:3 | $24: 15$ | n [1] - | 74:14, | digging |
| definition | $34: 6$, | 113:16 | 174:18, | [1] - $35: 9$ |
| [2] - | 35:7, | designed | 176:7 | diligent |
| 147:7, | 100:2, | [1] - 9:8 | Dharmvir | [1] - 93:8 |
| 147:16 | 100:6, | despite | [1] - | dinner [1] |
| definition | 100:15 | $\frac{\text { [2] - }}{\text { despite }}$ | 174:2 | - 39:10 |
| s [1] - | department | $23: 7,$ | dialogue | DIRECT [1] |
| 159:11 | [1] - | 159:15 | [7] - | - 197:17 |


| direction | 59:14, | disagree | 113:16 | 135:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] - | 60: 4, | [5] - | discuss | 144:12 |
| 197:11 | 102:5, | 14:4 | [5] | dispatched |
| DIRECTION | 106:13, | 27:17, | 60:6, | [1] - |
| [1] - | 108:1, | 94:6, | 63:14, | 82:13 |
| 197:17 | 151: 4, | 152:12 | 70:3, | disputing |
| directly | 151:7, | disagrees | 107:1, | [1] - |
| [2] - | 191:5, | [3] - | 180:4 | 11:17 |
| 123:1, | 191:18, | 152:2, | discussed | disservice |
| 153:14 | 192:8, | 152:3 | [3] - | [1] - |
| Director | 192:13, | 152:4 | 10:16, | 142:17 |
| [10] - | 192:18, | disciplina | 119:2, | distance |
| $61: 13$, | 193:18, | ry [3] - | 119:3 | [1] - 71:3 |
| 63:2, | 194:7, | 25:10, | discussion | distinctio |
| $64: 12$, | 194:11, | $58: 14$ | [9] - 4:3, | $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [1] - |
| 65:1, | $194: 15 \text {, }$ | $59: 6$ | 21:5, | $\overline{71}: 12$ |
| 72:12, | 195:1, | DISCIPLINA | 61:2, | distributi |
| 78:14, | $195: 6$ | RY [2] - | $70: 8 \text {, }$ | on [1] - |
| 79:3, | $\frac{\text { Directors }}{[1]-53.2}$ | $2: 8,58: 12$ | $81: 3,$ | 142:14 |
| 83:1, | [1] - 53:2 <br> disabiliti | discipline | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 3, \\ & 194: 12 \end{aligned}$ | disturbing |
| $105: 6$, $192: 4$ | $\frac{\text { disabiliti }}{\text { es [6] - }}$ | [4] - | $194: 12,$ | [1] - |
| 192:4 DIRECTOR | es [6] - | 26:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 195: 12, \\ & 195: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $20: 10$ |
| $\frac{\text { DIRECTOR }}{\text { [35] - }}$ | 115:2, | 119:8, | 195:14 | ditto [7] |
| $[35]$ $1: 9$, $3: 3$ | $116: 7$, $116: 15$ | 194:13, | discussion | - 106:1, |
| $1: 9$, $4: 6$, $7: 10$, | 116:15, | 194:18 | $\underline{\mathbf{s}}$ [1] | 121:17, |
| $4: 6,7: 10$, 26:11, | 118 | disciplini | 71:15 | 161:15, |
| $26: 11$, $28: 8$, | $123: 9$ | $\underline{\mathrm{ng}}$ [1] - | disengage | 163:4, |
| $28: 8$, $32: 15$, | Disabi | 119:3 | [1] - 81:8 | 172:1, |
| $32: 15$, 33.4 |  | discovered | dishes [2] | 184:16, |
| 33:4, | es [5] - | [1] - | - 31:16, | 184:18 |
|  |  | 35:10 | 31:17 | dittos [1] |
| $43: 5$, $45: 3$, | $44: 10 \text {, }$ | discrepanc | disingenuo | - 152:2 |
| 45:1 | 116 | $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [2] - | us [1] - | Division |
| 48:15, | 121:16 | 35:11, | :16 | [1] - |
| 49:12, | disab | 162:13 | dismantle | 58:17 |
| 51:16, | [2] | discretion | [1] - 24 : | divorced |
| 58:13, | 117: | [1] - 22:5 | dispatch | [1] - |
| 59:10, | 131:12 | discrimina | [3] | 144:2 |
|  |  | tion [1] | 71:11, | doable [1] |


| $\begin{gathered} -98: 16 \\ \underline{\text { doc }[1]}- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 132:16 } \\ \text { dollars } \end{array}$ | $165: 6$ <br> download | $\begin{aligned} & 128: 14, \\ & 137: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 17 \\ & 120: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75:13 | [5] - | [1] - | 137:11, | 120:15, |
| doctor [1] | 67:1, | 136:6 | 137:12, | 121:1, |
| $-133: 3$ | 125:8, | downtown | $167: 15 \text {, }$ | $122: 12,$ |
| documentat | 166:7, | [1] - | $178: 12$ | 128:6, |
| ion [3] - | 168:11, | 172:15 | 182:10, | 128:12, |
| 75:12, | 182:9 | dozen [1] | 188:5 | 128:14, |
| 97:14, | done [9] - | - 115:9 | Drive [1] | 131:2, |
| 167:17 | 17:11, | DPS [1] - | - 8:11 | 131:10, |
| documented | 18:9, | 145:7 | driven [2] | 131:13, |
| [1] - | 74:9, | draft [13] | - 144:1, | 132:15, |
| 167:8 | 94:16, | - 70:6, | 183:16 | 133:6, |
| documents | 96:16, | 70:9, | driver | 137:5, |
| [1] - 77:4 | 109:4, | 70:13, | [51] - | 137:16, |
| DOES [1] - | 161:4, | 71:1, | 66:16, | 159:5, |
| 197:16 | 162:17, | 1:9, | 68:3, | 163:16, |
| $\operatorname{dog}[18]$ | 188:16 | 104:7, | 68:16, | 164:10, |
| 11: 6, | DONNA [1] | 109:8 | 73:9, | 167:12, |
| $11: 6$, $115: 1$, | - 124:1 | 109:16, | 76:6, | 169:9, |
| 115:11, | Donna [1] | 112:1, | 77:13, | 176:3, |
| 118:5, | 4:1 | 112:13, | 80:4, | 176:17, |
| 118:10, | double [1] | 155:2 | 81:10, | 182:1, |
| 118:17, | - 31:17 | 162:8 | 81:17, | 182:3, |
| 119:7, | down [15] | drafting | 85:3, | 193:11, |
| 119:17, | - 11:14, | [1] - | 86:5, | 193:16, |
| 120:1, | 20:10, | 190:5 | 91:14, | 194:1 |
| 120:8, | 24:5, | drafts [1] | 94:18, | driver's |
| 120:18, | 24:17, | - 105:5 | 97:11, | [3] - |
| 131:11, | 26:3, | drawings | 100:8, | 77:3, |
| 131:12, | 56:10, | $\frac{\text { [1] - } 6}{}$ | 101:13, | 91:10, |
| 131:14, | 90:9, | draw | 106:15, | 99:2 |
| 132:1, | 91:4, | $\frac{\text { drawn }}{-6: 10}$ | 108:3, | drivers |
| 132:12 | 99:17, | drin | 108:8, | [48] - |
| dogs [5] - | 108:10, | $\frac{\text { - 18:3 }}{}$ | 118:15, | 66:5, |
| 115:18, | 133:16, | drin | 118:16, | 67:10, |
| 119:11, | 161:10, | drin | 119:2, | 68:8, |
| 119:15, | 163:1, |  | 119:3, | $68: 17$, |
| 123:1, | 165:2, | $\frac{\text { drive }}{-110: 3,}$ | 119:6, | 72:17, |



| $\begin{gathered} 70: 14, \\ 71: 4 \\ \text { eliminatio } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195: 6 \\ & \frac{\text { Ellum }}{-30: 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { employ }}{-66: 5,} \\ & 145: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -85: 5 \\ & \text { enforcemen } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 1, \\ & 183: 12 \\ & \text { entities } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [1] - | elm [1] - | employee | 99:17, | [1] - |
| 69:15 | 26:12 | [1] | 113:2 | 142:10 |
| ELIZABETH | Elm [2] - | 109:2 | enforcing | entitled |
| [35] - | 29:3, 44:4 | employees | [1] - 80:8 | [1] - |
| 1:9, 3:3, | eloquently | [1] - | engage [1] | 147:4 |
| 4:6, 7:10, | [1] - | 33:13 | - 81:13 | entity [4] |
| 26:11, | 153:15 | employment | engaging | - 7:14, |
| 28:8, | elsewhere | [2] - | [1] - | 22:3, |
| 32:15, | [1] - 16:4 | 167:11, | 65:12 | 124:16, |
| 33: 4 , | email [8] | 171:1 | enhances | 188:8 |
| 42: 4, | - 33:7, | enacted | [1] - 66:6 | entrance |
| 43:5, | 80: 6, | [1] - | ensure | [2] - 5:4, |
| 45:3, | 85:7, | 116:3 | [13] - | 38:10 |
| 45:18, | 100:1, | encompass | 66:12, | entrances |
| 48:15, | 119:10, | [1] - | 75:13, | [1] - |
| 49:12, | 151:12, | 104:8 | 76:3, | 12:12 |
| 51:16, | 161:17, | encountere | 76:12, | entreprene |
| 58:13, | 179:17 | d [2] - | 76:14, | ur [1] - |
| 59:10, | emails [4] | 160:5, | 79:8, | 155: 6 |
| 59:14, | - 62:13, | 181:5 | 80:13, | entreprene |
| 60: 4 , | 79:10, | encourage | 86:18, | urship [1] |
| $102: 5$, $106: 13$, | 101:17, | [2] - | 87:3, | - 142:3 |
| 106:13, | 151:9 | 118:3, | 87:14, | entry [1] |
| 108:1, | embraced | 154:10 | 93:7, | - 8:7 |
| $151: 4$, $151: 7$, | [1] - | encouragin | 96:1, | environmen |
| 151:7, 191:5, | 70:18 | $\underline{\mathrm{g}}$ [1] - | 101:3 | t [1] - |
| 191:18, | emergency | 117:18 | entail [1] | 69:3 |
| 192:8, | [2] - | end [7] - | - 93:12 | envision |
| 192:13, | 158:8, | 54:13, | enterprise | [1] - |
| 192:18, | 169:3 | 59:7, | [1] - | 170:10 |
| 193:18, | emerging | 65:12, | 23:16 | equipment |
| $194: 7,$ | [1] - | 102:15, | entertainm | [8] - 5:9, |
| 194:11, | 154:12 | 104:12, | ent [2] - | 5:15, |
| 194:15, | emphasize | 114:8, | 27:4, 44:7 | 13:3, |
| 195:1, | [1] - | 189:9 | entire [3] | 13:7, |
|  | 145:8 | ended [1] | - 36:17, | 16:17, |


| 17:2, | 123:16, | 75:5, | 153:10, | executive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:8, | 127:17, | 156:2 | 187:12, | [3] - |
| 18:15 | 133:17, | examined | 193:9 | 60:8, |
| especially | 146:12, | [1] - 76:2 | EXECUTIVE | 147:9, |
| [2] - | 149:16, | example | [37] - | 147:11 |
| 110:4, | 151:11, | [2] - | 1:9, 2:9, | Executive |
| 144:15 | 156:18, | 81:15, | 3:3, 4:6, | [5] - |
| essence | 166:17, | 101:13 | 7:10, | 61:13, |
| [1] - | 167:1, | examples | 26:11, | 63:2, |
| 180:6 | 173:16, | [4] - | 28:8, | 64:11, |
| essentiall | 173:17, | 72:1, | 32:15, | 72:12, |
| $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [1] - | 179:7, | 76:5, | $33: 4,$ | $192: 3$ |
| 182:16 | 179:8, | $79: 15$ | $42: 4,$ | exemplary |
| establishe | 180:4 | 99:15 | 43:5, | [1] - |
| $\mathrm{d}[1]-$ | evening's | excellent | 45:3, | 30:11 |
| $188: 8$ | [1] - | [1] - | 45:18, | exist [7] |
| establishm | 65:10 | 145:12 | 48:15, | - 23:5, |
| ent [3] - | evenings | exception | 49:12, | 23:17, |
| 5:5, 8:5, | [1] - | [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 16, \\ & 58 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $36: 1,$ |
| $43: 7$ | $134: 7$ | 197:5 | $58: 13,$ | $36: 3$ |
| establishm | event [2] | exchange | $59: 10 \text {, }$ | 38:7, |
| ents [1] - | - 13:7, | [1] - | $59: 14$ $60: 3$ | 89:7, |
| 47:6 | 169:4 | 120:5 | 60:3, | 93:13 |
| estimate | eventually | excited | 60: 4 , | existed |
| [1] - 41:8 | [1] - 26:4 | [1] - 72:6 | $102: 5$, $106: 13$, | [2] - |
| et [1] - | evidence | exclusions | 106:13, | 116:4, |
| $152: 11$ | [1] - | [1] - | 108:1, | 153:12 |
| evening | 34:13 | 159:10 | 151:4, | existing |
| $\frac{\text { evening }}{[25] ~-~}$ | evidencing | Excuse [2] | 151:7, | [6]-5:6, |
| $[25]$ $3: 4$, $4: 14$, | [1] - | $-27: 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191: 5, \\ & 191: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 29:18, |
| 3:4, 4:14, $28: 16$ | $32: 12$ | $101: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191: 18, \\ & 10.8 \end{aligned}$ | $142: 16,$ |
| $28: 16$, 28:18, | evolved | excuse | $192: 8$, $192: 13$, | 143:8, |
| 29:2, | [1] - | [10] - | 192:13, | 144:6, |
| 29:2, | 145:1 | 56:4, | 192:18, | 146:5 |
| $46: 6$, $64: 10$, | exact [2] | 101:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 193: 18, \\ & 194: 7, \end{aligned}$ | exit [2] - |
| 64:16, | - 75:5, | 107:13, |  | 168:14, |
| 68:3, | 91:11 | 131:16, | $194: 15,$ | 170:3 |
| 121:7, | exactly | 136:16, | 195:1, | expect [2] |
| 121:8, | [2] - | 150:13, | 195:6 | - 67:11, |


| $\begin{aligned} & 79: 6 \\ & \text { expectatio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 75: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 2, \\ & 181: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { fairly }}{-105: 14,}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[3]-7: 6,} \\ & 7: 8,7: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ns [1] - | explained | eyeballs | 122:16 | fastidious |
| 67:11 | [1] - | [1] | fairness | [1] - 88:3 |
| expected | 119:16 | 120:3 | [2] - | fault [1] |
| [3] - | explains | eyes [1] - | 143:5, | - 145:10 |
| 78:2, | [1] | 119:17 | 143:7 | favor [12] |
| 127:3 | 78:10 |  | fall [2] - | - 25:4, |
| expense | explanatio | F | 88:11, | 25:13, |
| [1] - | $\underline{n}$ [1] - | F-L-E-M-I- | 116:1 | 45:2, |
| 149:6 | 140:1 | N-G [1] - | family [2] | 45:10, |
| expenses | exposed | 146:13 | - 69:5, | 51: 9, |
| [1] - | [2] - | face [1] - | 158:9 | 58:5, |
| 68:17 | 100:7, | 21:14 | far [10] - | 123:14, |
| expensive | 101:1 | facilities | 9:1, 15:8, | 180:7, |
| [2] - | express | [1] - | 23:5, | 191:13, |
| 173:7, | [1]-65:1 | 12:14 | 68:15, | 192:7, |
| 178:17 | extend [1] | facility | 80:12, | 195:16, |
| experience | 115:5 | $\frac{\text { cacility }}{\text { [1] - }}$ | $122: 10,$ | $196: 7$ |
| [11] - | extensive | $13: 16$ | 131:1, | fear [1] |
| 30:15, | [2] - | fact | 160:6, | 173:6 |
| 40:1, | 30:14, | fact [9] - | 166:9, | feature |
| 40:7, | 40:8 |  | 182:5 | [1] - 82:7 |
| 40:16, | extent [3] | $23: 7,$ | fare [2] - | February |
| 47:5, | - 8:14, | $31: 15,$ | 122:10, | [1] - |
| 49:18, | 34: 9, | $87: 8$ | 147:12 | 54:14 |
| 84:3, | 34:16 | 90:9 | fares [4] | Federal |
| 85:4, | extra [3] | $98: 14,$ | - 145:4, | [1] - 74:8 |
| 141:2, | - 38:17, | 115:11 | 162:10, | fee [2] - |
| 170:9, | 69:6, | 180:11 | 182:12, | 136:13, |
| 181:6 | 158:12 | fail | 190:2 | 168:12 |
| experience | extraordin | $73: 13 \text {, }$ | fashioned | feedback |
| d [1] - | arily [1] | 93:17 | [1] - | [7] - |
| 30:2 | - 86:4 | failed [1] | 125:2 | 67:5, |
| expiration | extraordin | - 142:15 | fast [4] - | 71:17, |
| [2] - | ary [1] - | fair [2] - | 7:6, 7:8, | 84:4, |
| 75:14, | $73: 15$ | 153: 6, | 7:14, | 84:8, |
| 76:18 | extremely | $153: 6$, 1612 | 68:12 | 86:3, |
| expired | [2] - | 161.12 | fast-food | 86:6, |


| 91:18 | 111:17, | 28:11, | 141:13, | [1] - $30: 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fees [1] - | 161:11 | 64:11 | 154:16, | five [7] |
| 164:14 | file [4] - | FIRE [54] | 155:12, | 3:16, |
| fellow [4] | 5:11, | - 1:8, | 155:16, | 40:5, |
| - 63:12, | 75:12, | 45:14, | 156:4, | 47:3, |
| 77:17, | 77:4, | 47:1, | 170:2, | 85:2, |
| 111:10, | 182:2 | 50: 9, | 170:11, | 144:10, |
| 155:17 | files [1] | 50:18, | 170:15, | 144:16, |
| felt [2] - | - 35:8 | 51:7, | 171:3, | 172:12 |
| 185: 6, | fill [3] - | 51:11, | 191:11, | $\underline{\text { fix [2] - }}$ |
| 185:7 | 6:6, | 53:3, | 191:14, | 88:5, 99:8 |
| female [1] | 192:14, | 56:1, | 192:5, | flag [1] - |
| - 172:14 | 192:15 | 56:5, | 194:5, | 160:18 |
| Ferro [1] | film [1] - | 56:13, | 195:11, | flags [1] |
| - 160:7 | 142:1 | 56:16, | 195:17, | - 160:15 |
| few [7] - | finally | 57:1, | 196:5, | FLEMING |
| 68:2, | [3] - | 57: 4, | 196:9 | [1] - |
| 80:12, | 76:13, | 58:3, | fire [1] - | 146:12 |
| 81:10, | 148:10, | 58:7, | 56:2 | Fleming |
| 88:1, | 180:17 | $74: 11$, | first [18] | [1] - |
| 88:11, | financial | 76:17, | - 4:7, | 146:13 |
| 89:17, | [2] - | 77:5, | 17:16, | flexibilit |
| 150:6 | 32:2, 66:7 | $77: 9$, | 50:12, | $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [1] - |
| fiction | fine [4] - | $77: 14$, | 64:17, | $86: 5$ |
| [1] - | 38:15, | $78: 4$, $83: 14$, | 70:9, | flexible |
| 157:5 | 44:2, | $83: 14$, $94: 7$, | 98: 115: , | [2] - |
| field [4] | 134:12, | $94: 7$, $94: 13$, | 115:1, | $69: 4,$ |
| - 127:13, | 140:9 | $94: 13$, $94: 18$, | 115:16, | 81:18 |
| 155:8, | finishing | $94: 18$, $97: 4$, | $126: 14$, $128: 3$, | flies [1] |
| 186:16, | [1] - | 97: 4 , 97: | $128: 3$, $146: 17$, | - 21:14 |
| 187:9 | 91:10 | 97:16, | $146: 17$, $147: 4$, | floating |
| fight [1] | Fire [9] - | $97: 16$, $98: 3$, | $147: 4$, $152: 4$, | [1] - |
| - 104:12 | 4:13, | 105:12, | 163:10, | 155:3 |
| figure [7] | 19:4, | 106:5, | 166:6, | Floating |
| - 17:17, | 19:12, | 118:11, | 174:1, | [5] - |
| 40:16, | 20:3, | 118:14, | 174:2, | 47:12, |
| 75:1, | 24:8, | 121:3, | 185:8 | 48:2, |
| 83: 6, | 24:15, | 123:15, | fitness | 49:6, |
| 89:13, | 28:9, | $140: 12,$ |  | 49:13, |


| 49:17 | 2:9, 60:1, | 127:8, | freeze [2] | fundamenta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| floor [1] | 60:2 | 134:16, | - 75:16, | lly [1] - |
| - 5:6 | forcing | 139:2, | 76:9 | 94:3 |
| folder [1] | [1] - | 139:4, | frequently | furthermor |
| - 166:16 | 183:8 | 156:13, | [1] - 68:5 | e [1] - |
| folks [4] | forecast | 186:10 | Friday [1] | 71:9 |
| - 29:17, | [1] - | fosters | - 27 :1 | future [2] |
| 31:13, | 150:6 | [1] - 90:5 | friendly | $-25: 11,$ |
| 32:9, | FOREGOING | founded | [2] - | 129:3 |
| 33:11 | [1] - | [1] - | 45:8, | FYI [2] - |
| follow [6] | 197:16 | 65:17 | 183:10 | 80:6, 80:7 |
| - 15:16, | foremost | four [3] - | friends |  |
| 75:4, | [1] - | 53:2, | [2] - | G |
| 101:3, | 64:17 | 112: 6, | 157:13, |  |
| 117:18, | forgot [1] | 153:13 | 172:15 |  |
| 176:9 | - 91:14 | Francis | front [1] | $\underline{I}[1] \text { - }$ |
| follow-up | form [2] - | [1] - 52:7 | - 134:11 | $177: 14$ |
| [1] - | 87:13, | Francisco | FUJI [2] - | G-O-S-S |
| 15:16 | 155:1 | [5] - | 2:5, 45:17 | G-E [2] |
| followed | formal [1] | 65:17, | Fuji [5] - | 28:15, |
| [1] - | - 197:9 | 125:7, | 46:1, | 108:15 |
| 119:9 | former [1] | 158:7, | 48:9, | GANDHI [1] |
| following | - 84:1 | 158:8, | 49:6, | - 177:13 |
| [1] - | forms [1] | 175:5 | 50:10 | Gandhi [2] |
| 138:15 | -96:9 | frankly | full [7] - | - 177:13, |
| food [14] | forth [4] | [4] - | 29:13, | $\begin{gathered} 177: 14 \\ \text { qap }[1] \end{gathered}$ |
| - 5:3, | - 115:15, | 35:8, | 79:7, | $\frac{\text { gap }}{182: 9}$ |
| 6:2, 7:6, | 118:2, | $83: 7 \text {, }$ | 79:9, | $\begin{gathered} 182: 9 \\ \text { gas [3] } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7:8, 7:14, | 158:9, | 85:17, | 80:15, | $\frac{\text { gas }}{18: 16]} \text {. }$ |
| 11: 9, | 197:5 | 147:2 | 86:17, | $18: 16,$ |
| 11:14, | forward | free [5] - | 87:16, | 24:9, |
| 11:15, | [14] - | 90:11, | 158:14 | 128:17 |
| 13:10, | 8:3, 12:4, | 163:4, | fully [4] | gee [1] |
| 14:1, | $12: 9,$ | 180: 6, | - 104:9, | 154:2 |
| 14:8, | 17:5, | 183:3 | 177:1, | general |
| 15:18, | 17:8, | freedom | 177:4, | [11] - |
| 20:7, | 20:15, | [2] - | 182:7 | 47:11, |
| 21:10 | 108:8, | 66:7, | fun [1] - | 47:14, |
| FOR [4] - | 117:15, | 84:10 | 148:2 | 48:2, |


| 48:13, | 166:8, | 123:15, | 13:11, | 102:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:9, | 166:15, | 140:12, | 14:3, | 103:17, |
| 64:2, | 173:14 | 141:13, | 14:14, | 108:3, |
| 64:14, | GERALD | 154:16, | 14:18, | 108:11, |
| 99:8, | [54] - | 155:12, | 15:4, | 108:15, |
| 106:17, | 1:8, | 155:16, | 15:14, | 109:11, |
| 142: 6, | 45:14, | 156:4, | 16:2, | 109:15, |
| 162:8 | 47:1, | 170:2, | 16:12, | 110:13, |
| GENERAL | 50: 9, | 170:11, | 16:16, | 113:13, |
| [1] - 1:3 | 50:18, | 170:15, | 18:7, | 114: 6, |
| General | 51:7, | 171:3, | 19:17, | 132:9 |
| [1] - $3: 5$ | 51:11, | 191:11, | 21:1, | Gordon [3] |
| generally | 53:3, | 191:14, | 22:1, | - 28:14, |
| [2] - | 56:1, | 192:5, | 24:10, | 108:11, |
| 32:14, | 56:5, | 194:5, | 25:16, | 115:15 |
| 148:14 | 56:13, | 195:11, | 26:7 | gosh [1] - |
| generating | 56:16, | 195:17, | Goldberg | 100:16 |
| [2] - | 57:1, | 196:5, | [2] - | GOSSAGE |
| 67:16, | 57: 4 , | 196:9 | 10:4, | [14] - |
| 69:14 | $58: 3$, | Gipsy [1] | 17:14 | 27:8, |
| generation | $58: 7$, | - 87:5 | Goldman | 28:14, |
| [3] - | 74:11, | given [3] | [1] - | 101:11, |
| 66:6, | 76:17, | - 162:6, | 125:9 | 102:2, |
| 68:18, | 77:5, | 162:7, | gonna [3] | 103:17, |
| 95:18 | 77:9, | 172:3 | - 108:5, | 108:3, |
| generic | 77:14, | glasses | 128:17, | 108:11, |
| [1] - | 78:4, | [2] - | 130:17 | 108:15, |
| 103:12 | 83:14, | 146:11, | goodness | 109:11, |
| generous | 94:7, | 165:3 | [1] - | $109: 15$ |
| [1] - | 94:13, | goal [2] - | 183:13 | $110: 13 \text {, }$ |
| 122:14 | 94:18, | 36:11, | goods [2] | 113:13, |
| gentleman | 97: 4 , | 155:13 | - 14:13, | $114: 6$ |
| [9] - | 97: 13, | goals [1] | 17:18 | $132: 9$ |
| 149:10, | 97:16, | - 83:4 | GORDAN [1] | Gossage |
| 152:13, | 98:3, | God [1] - | - 28:14 | [2] - |
| 153:14, | 105:12, | 129:10 | GORDON | 28:14, |
| 165:3, | 106:5, | GOLDBERG | [13] - | 108:12 |
| 165:5, | 118:14, | [17] - | 27:8, | gotcha [1] |
| 165:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 14, \\ & 121: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 10:3, | 101:11, | - 171:4 |


| gotta [1] | grew | $162: 3$ | $37: 10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 188: | 140 |  | 37: | $4: 5$ |
| GPS [4] - | Group | H | 38:16, | $98: 10 \text {, }$ |
| 69:2, | - 46:1, | H-A-L-I-E- | 39:2, | 99:6, |
| 162:12, | 50:10 | M-I-C-H-E- | 39:8, | 101:9, |
| 169:5, | group [4] | M-I-C-H-E | 40:9, | 140:14, |
| 181:15 | - 40:3, | $\frac{\text { A-L }}{163.9}$ | 40:15, | 191:15, |
| granted | 152:5, |  | 41:10, | 192:10, |
| [2] - | 162:12 | $\frac{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}-}{\text { M-A-N }}$ | 41:13, | 193:15, |
| 56:2, 56:6 | groups [1] | $\underline{\text { M-A-N [1] }}$ | 43:13, | 194:3, |
| gray [1] - | $\frac{\text { groups }}{-106: 6}$ | - 141:16 | 44:12, | 194:9, |
| $116: 1$ | grow [1] | HAAS [85] | 44:18, | 195:13, |
| Great [1] | 183:4 | - 1:7, | 45:12, | 195:18, |
| - 88:15 | guaranteed | 6:13, | 49:5, | 196:8 |
| great [11] | $\frac{\text { guaranteed }}{[1]-54: 2}$ | $7: 17$, <br> $8: 16,6$, | 49:8, | Haas [3] |
| - 33:11, | guess [9] | 8:16, 9:3, | $49: 14,$ | 3:11, |
| $33: 12$ | - 11:4, | 9:7, 9:18, | 50:3, | 29:1, |
| $68: 13,$ |  | 13:9, | 50: 6, | 64:11 |
| $76: 12,$ |  | 13:17, | 50:15, | Hackney |
| $79: 16,$ |  | 14:11, | 51:10, | [3] - |
| 81:9, | 88 | 14:15, | 53:12, | 58:17, |
| $81: 15,$ |  | 15:2, | 53:16, | 137:18, |
| $97: 3 \text {, }$ |  | 15:7, | 54:11, | 176:1 |
| $110: 15 \text {, }$ | $172: 13$ $186: 6$, | 16:10, | 54:15, | hail [3] |
| 127:1, | $186: 6$, $192: 16$ | 16:15, | 54:18, | 87:11, |
| $133: 7$ | guide [1] | 17:12, | 55:16, | 100:17, |
| Greater | - 115:1 | 18:10, | 57: 7, | 116:16 |
| [2] - | guideline |  | 58:6, | hailing |
| 92:1, | $\frac{\text { [1] - 80:3 }}{}$ |  | 73:17, | [1] - |
| 182:16 | guy [5] - |  | 74:2, | 78:12 |
| greater | guy [5] - | 22:13, | $74: 14$, | hails [5] |
| [1] - |  |  | 74:17, | - 68:16, |
| 142:5 | 137:8, | : 8 | 80:17, | 87:5, |
| greatly | 138:1, | $25: 8$, 25:15, | 82:5, | 87:6, |
| [1] - | 161:10 | 34:18, | 86:8, | 113:2, |
| 71:14 | guys [4] - | 35:16, | $86: 8$, $87: 18$, | 160:15 |
| green [2] | 109:18, | 36:12, | 80:7, | half [3] |
| - 138:16, | 129:9, | 37:3, | $92: 10 \text {, }$ | 48:10, |
| 138:18 | 139:16, | 37:7, | 92:14, | 169:8, |


| 193: 6 HALIEMICHA | $\begin{aligned} & 143: 6 \\ & 175: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 184: 1 \\ & \text { hate [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { heard }}{-6: 18,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 197:4 } \\ \text { hearings } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EL [3] - | hands-on | 62:15, | 7:7, 26:6, | [2] - |
| 163:7, | [1] - 40:7 | 147:2 | 43:18, | 126:5, |
| 163:14, | happy [7] | hates [1] | 51:2, | 150:6 |
| 164:5 | - 64:7, | - 149:4 | 57: 9, | heart [2] |
| Haliemicha | 96:18, | head [1] - | 92:2, | - 147:13, |
| el [1] - | 102:18, | 23:12 | 105:17, | 183:14 |
| 163:8 | 105:7, | health [2] | 117:10, | heat [2] |
| hall [7] - | 120:7, | - 73:4, | 125:14, | 169:3, |
| 56:17, | 180:9, | 73:10 | 134:15, | 190:13 |
| 88:15, | 192:14 | Health [5] | 138:1, | heavily |
| 161:12, | hard [5] - | - 20:2, | 165:14, | [1] - |
| 168:4, | 3:7, | 100:3, | 171:16, | 178:6 |
| 171:13, | 127:11, | 100:6, | 171:18, | heavy [1] |
| 184:6, | 135: 6, | 100:15, | 177:18, | - 197:6 |
| 184:12 | 137:13, | 101:2 | 184:12, | Heineken |
| hallway | 178:14 | healthy | 184:15, | [1] - 8:2 |
| [4] - | HARDIMAN | [3] - | 185:5 | held [4] - |
| 44:2, | [4] - | 102:9, | HEARING | 26:15, |
| 60:13, | 107:11, | 109:14, | [2] - 1:1, | 44:6, |
| 106:11, | 107:14, | 134:12 | 1:3 | 48:3, |
| 133:13 | 141:10, | hear [16] | Hearing | 150:3 |
| hand [7] - | 141:15 | - 3:7, | [1] - $3: 6$ | help [6] - |
| 90:16, | Hardiman | 7:11, | hearing | 82:18, |
| 99:4, | [1] - | 22:1, | [14] - | 96:12, |
| 139:1, | 141:16 | 22:2, | 3:14, | 101:5, |
| 156:7, | HARRINGTON | 60:14, | 25:17, | 104:16, |
| 173:15, | [1] - | 60:16, | 34:16, | 106:9, |
| 175:17 | 161:18 | 65:3, | 61: 4 , | 137:3 |
| handful | Harrington | 65:9, | 65:10, | helped [1] |
| [2] - | [2] - | 68:2, | 97:1, | - 61:15 |
| 100:11, | 162:1, | 81:15, | 134:9, | helpful |
| 142:5 | 162:5 | 82:18, | 145:11, | [3] - |
| handle [1] | Harvard | 101:11, | 150:2, | 96:16, |
| - 86:6 | [4] - | 106:14, | 150:5, | 106:14, |
| hands [4] | 129:12, | 135:6, | 161:14, | 189:14 |
| - 40:7, | 148:18, | 139:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 180: 3, \\ & 182: 15, \end{aligned}$ | hereby [1] |
| 138:11, | 177:15, | 140:2 | 182:15, | - 197:4 |


| herein [1] | - 169:10 | honoring | huge [1] - | 150:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 197:5 | Hill [1] | [1] - 88:3 | 9:5 | identifyin |
| hi [2] - | 142:8 | hop [1] - | huh?? ? <New | $\underline{\mathrm{g}}$ [1] - |
| 161:18, | hire [1] | 85:17 | [1] - | 197:7 |
| 176:16 | 124:10 | hope [5] - | 124:17 | II [3] - |
| Hi [2] - | Hispanic | 82:15, | human [1] | 52:3, |
| 127:18, | [1] - | 130:12, | - 183:13 | 52:7, |
| 139:10 | 114:1 | 133:9, | hundreds | 57:13 |
| high [7] - | historical | 182:13, | [1] - | illegal |
| 9:12, | $\underline{19}$ [1] - | 183:14 | 83:17 | [6] - |
| $30: 6$, | 69:10 | hopefully | hyphen [1] | 62:3, |
| 31:3, | history | [2] - | - 124:2 | 87: 6, |
| 66:17, | [2] - | 28:6, |  | $87: 11 \text {, }$ |
| 67: 6, | 36:8, | 188:7 | I | $115: 13,$ |
| 67:10, | 47:10 | hospital | I-hate- | 119:5, |
| 76:4 | hit [1] - | [1] - | Uber [2] - | 164:7 |
| high-top | 158: 6 | 100:5 | $62: 15$ | illegally |
| [1] - 9:12 | hits [1] - | hour [8] | $147: 2$ | [1] - |
| high- | $159: 7$ | $38: 17,$ | I/ve [1] - | $165: 16$ |
| traffic | hold [2] - | $136: 7,$ | 189:9 | imagine |
| [2] - | 52:17, | 137:1, | idea [3] | [1] - |
| $30: 6,31: 3$ | 61: 4 | 137:7, | $88: 16,$ | $18: 11$ |
| highest | holder [4] | 169:3, | 153:11, | immediate |
| [3] - | $-4: 9$ | 169:8, | $160: 1$ | $[1]-29: 5$ |
| $68: 10,$ | $46: 2,$ | 175:7, | ideal | immediatel |
| $76: 15$ | $52: 1$ | 180:16 | $-60: 17$ | $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{y}}[5] ~-~}$ |
| $86: 1$ | $57: 14$ | hours [10] | ideas | $76: 9,$ |
| $\frac{\text { highly }}{-125: 3,}$ | $\underline{\text { home [7] - }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -26: 18, \\ & 40: 5, \end{aligned}$ | - 182:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 17, \\ & 91: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & -125: 3, \\ & 152: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $87: 13 \text {, }$ | $44: 7,$ | identified | 91:9, |
| highly- |  | 58:16, | [1] - | 195:10 |
| regulated | 173:5, | 67: 6, | 78:17 | impact [2] |
| [1] - | 178:15, | 69:13, | identify | - 42:16, |
| 125:3 | 178:16, | 85:8, | [6] - | 67:18 |
| highway | 180:13 | 128:16, | 4:16, | impaired |
| [3] - | homes [1] | 128:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 2, \\ & 28: 12, \end{aligned}$ | [1] - |
| 168:8, | - 101:3 | house [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 12, \\ & 82: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 69:11 |
| 170:1 | honest [1] | - 33:15, | $108: 9$ | implemente |
| hijack [1] | - 183:13 | 40:12 |  | d [1] - |


| 119:14 | 197:16 | 84:12, | independen | 125:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| implementi | in-house | 180:13 | t [2] - | 125:4, |
| ng [2] - | [1] - | incoherent | 66:14, | 125: 6, |
| 103:1, | 33:15 | ly [1] - | 164:11 | 126:8, |
| 119:10 | inactive | 197:7 | independen | 126:9, |
| implore | [1] - 68:6 | income [3] | tly [1] - | 126:18, |
| [1] - | inappropri | - 69:7, | 122:9 | 139:16, |
| 140:16 | ate [2] - | 69:8, | INDEX [1] | 141:1, |
| important | 71:12, | 143:11 | - 2:1 | 142:4, |
| [4] - | 187:13 | incompeten | indicate | 143:12, |
| 63:8, | inaudible | t [1] - | [1] - | 148:13, |
| 116:15, | [4] - | 149:4 | 12:14 | 162:7, |
| 126:1, | 168:12, | inconvenie | indicated | 176:8, |
| 157:7 | 169:15, | nce [1] | [1] | 188:1 |
| importantl | 174:5, | $\frac{143: 11}{}$ | 17:14 | inefficien |
| $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{y}}}$ [2] - | 176:1 | increase | indicates | t [1] - |
| 33:16, | Inc [3] - | [1] - | [2] - | 180:7 |
| 70:17 | 4:8, 46:1, | 68:18 | 11:8, | inexpensiv |
| impose [1] | 51:18 | increased | 190:4 | e [1] - |
| - 71:2 | INC [2] - | [1] - | individual | 180:10 |
| imposed | 1:17, 4:5 | 145:4 | s [1] - | informatio |
| [1] - | incentive | increasing | 122:4 | $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ [24] - |
| 194:14 | [2] - | [1] - | INDUSTRY | 10: 6, |
| improve | 123:10, | 143:7 | [1] - 60:3 | 25:18, |
| [6] - | 123:12 | incredible | industry | 32:2, |
| 69:1, | incident | [3] - | [27] - | 35:10, |
| 117:5, | [2] - | 31:3, | 30:15, | 38:6, |
| 129:13, | 55:4, | 87:9, | 47:18, | 58:2, |
| 141:5, | 55:13 | 100:16 | 49:18, | 59:13, |
| 143:11, | include | incredibly | $60: 8$, | 71:17, |
| 143:15 | [1] - | $\frac{\text { [3] - }}{\text { chel }}$ | 66:11, | $73: 18$, |
| improvemen | 56:17 | 69:18, | 73: 4, | $74: 1$, |
| ts [1] - | included | 81:18, | 78:2, | 74: 6, |
| 141:2 | [1] - | 116:14 | 80:18, | 75:2, |
| improves | 105:3 | incumbents | 82:9, | 75:14, |
| [1] - | including | [1] - | 112:10, | 5:18, |
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| [1] - | 29:7, | 107:14, | 116:6, | 46:2, |
| 141:11 | 36:13, | 141:10 | 127:5, | 46:15, |
| M-I-R-I-A- | 39:15, | 141:15 | 182:7, | 46:16 |
| M [1] - | 40:14, | Maria [1] | 197:2, | maximu |
| 121:13 | 46:2, | - 141:15 | 197:4 | [1] - |
| machine | 46:4, | Market [2] | Massport | 178:10 |
| [1] - 27:4 | 46:13, | - 4:8, 4:9 | [11] - | Mayor [1] |
| Madam [3] | 47:12, | market | 79:16, | - 65:6 |
| - 28:18, | 47:14, | 1] - | 79:18, | mayor [1] |
| 106:13, | 48:2, | 7: 4 | 80:7, | - 112:11 |
| 151:4 | 48:13, | 37:6, | 80:8, | Mayor's |
| magnificen | 48:14, | 69:13, | 81:14, | [1] - |
| t [1] - | 49:9, | $71: 6$, | 160:11, | 179:17 |
| 11:11 | 50: 4 , | 90:11, | 168:4, | Mazen [4] |
| Maher [1] | 51: 4, | 143:5, | 168: 6, | $-107: 12$ |
| -65:6 | $51: 18$, $52: 3$, | 144:4, | 168:11, | 107:15, |
| main [6] - | $52: 3$, 53.14, | 145:2, | $168: 12,$ | 141:11, |
| 16:7, | 53:14, | 178:8, | 168:14 | 146:6 |
| 18:13, | 53:18, | 180: 6, | match [1] | McDermott |
| 125:11, | 54:12, | 183:3 | - 93:2 | [1] - |
| 144:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 12, \\ & 4: 2, \end{aligned}$ | MARKET [2] | matter | 28:18 |
| 152:10, | 64:2, $64: 14$ | - 2:3, 4:5 | [11] - | McGee [1] |
| $157: 15$ | $106: 18$ | markets | $4: 3,4: 7,$ | - 42:10 |
| maintain | $186: 5$ | [1] - 66:1 | 3:18, | McLaughlin |
| [4] - | managerial | markings | 51:2, | [1] - 6:4 |
| 20:14, | $\frac{\text { managerial }}{[1]-}$ | [1] - | $59: 6,$ | meal [1] - |
| 21:8, | 40:16 | 103:8 | 59:6, $64: 16$, | 23:11 |
| 23:15, | managing | Mart [1] - | $180: 13$ | mean [14] |
| 53:17 | $\frac{\text { managing }}{[2] ~-~}$ | 6:17 | 181:9, | - 22:14, |
| managed | 36:13, | Mass [2] - | 181:9', | 36:1, |
| [2] - | $40: 4$ | 3:9, 168:7 |  | 36:5, |
| 40:10, | mandating | Massachuse | 191:9 | 39:6, |
| 40:11 | $\frac{\text { [1] - }}{\text { mandating }}$ | tts [12] - | $\frac{\text { matters }}{[2] ~-~}$ | 77:14, |
| management | 145:2 | 1:13, | $3: 16,60: 5$ | 82:5, |
| $[1]-50: 2$ | map [1] - | $1: 13,$ | MATTERS | 90:15, |


| 109:9, | 193:3, | 64:13, | - 121:15, | messages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130:3, | 193:14, | 95:4 | 187:11 | [1] - |
| 173:2, | 195:2, | MEGHAN | MEMBER [3] | 79:11 |
| 181:13, | 195:9 | [31] - | - 104:1, | messed [1] |
| 186:17, | medallions | 63:18, | 134:2, | - 194:4 |
| 188:2 | [6] - | 64:1, | 187:14 | meter [1] |
| means [2] | 59:2, | 73:1, | members | - 169:5 |
| - 82:3, | 110:18, | $74: 16$, | [8] - | meters [1] |
| 178:15 | 154:11, | 75:9, | 43:17, | - 104:6 |
| measles | 157: 6, | 77:8, | $51: 1$, | Michael |
| [2] - | 159:16, | 77:11, | 54:5, | [2] - |
| 100:3, | 160:16 | $78: 3$, | $54: 8$, | 1:12, 3:8 |
| 101: 6 | Medford | 78:13, | 55:17, | middle [1] |
| Measure | [1] - | 81: 9, | 57: 8, | - 159:12 |
| [1] - | 189:3 | 82:15, | 81:10, | might [10] |
| 169: 6 | media [1] | 83:17, | 124:4 | - 64:8, |
| MEDALLION | - 61:8 | 85:1, | MEMBERS | $72: 10 \text {, }$ |
| [1] - | meet [4] - | 86:13, | [1] - 1:5 | $83: 9 \text {, }$ |
| 58:12 | $60: 6,$ | $88: 10 \text {, }$ | mention | $87: 10 \text {, }$ |
| medallion | 67:10, | $91: 3,$ | [2] - | 106:9, |
| [22] - | 77:12, | 92:12, | 166:11, | 106:14, |
| 58:14, | 107:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 41 \\ & 93: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $189: 15$ | 126:11, |
| $83: 18 \text {, }$ | meeting | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 11, \\ & 94: 9, \end{aligned}$ | mentioned | $162: 15,$ |
| 110:5, | [5] - | 94:9, | [1] - | 177:17, |
| 110:8, | $35: 7 \text {, }$ | $94 \text { :15, }$ $95: 3$ | $189: 17$ | 180:15 |
| 110:13, | $42: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 3, \\ & 95: 7 \end{aligned}$ | mentioning | miles [1] |
| 110:17, | $61: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 7, \\ & 96: 17, \end{aligned}$ | [1] - | - 175:7 |
| 111:1, | $61: 15$ | 96:17, | $166: 8$ | Miller [1] |
| 111:5, | 64:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 10, \\ & 97 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | menu [3] - | - 29:1 |
| 111:12, | MEGAN [5] | 97:15, | 31:13, | million |
| 128:15, | $\overline{-74: 1, ~}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 1, \\ & 98: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $31: 18,$ | $[4]-$ |
| 129:4, | 74:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 5, \\ & 98: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $36: 7$ | $67: 1,$ |
| 129: 6, | 74:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 17, \\ & 99: 11 . \end{aligned}$ | message | 166:7, |
| 137:11, | 75:3, 77:2 | $105: 7$ | [5] - | 168:11, |
| 137:13, | Meghan [6] | Meghan's | 148:11, | 182:8 |
| 147:8, | - 61:14, | $\frac{\text { Meghan }}{}$ [1] - | 148:15, | mind [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 149: 5, \\ & 152.12 \end{aligned}$ | $63: 4,$ | $122: 16$ | 148:17, | 99:3, |
| $152: 13$, $193: 1$ | $63: 17$ | 122:16 <br> member <br> [2] | 149:2, | 136:13, |
| 193:1, | 63:18, | member [2] | 187:17 | $162: 16$ |


| minimal | mission | - 58:14, | 100:1, | 191:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] - 71:2 | [1] - | 110:10, | 124:9 | 191: 6, |
| minimum | 85:18 | 192:1, | moratorium | 191:9, |
| [3] - | misspell | 192:2, | [3] - | 191:16, |
| 147:12, | [3] - | 192:4, | 89:1, | 192:3, |
| 168:12, | 147:18, | 193:1 | 90:10, | 195:8, |
| 168:17 | 148:1 | MOISE [2] | 92:5 | 196:1, |
| minors [1] | mistake | - 2:8, | moreover | 196:3 |
| - $31: 8$ | [1] - 19:9 | 58:12 | [1] - 76:1 | motion's |
| minute [2] | mistakes | moment [1] | morning | [1] - 45:9 |
| - 150:10, | [2] - | - 70:5 | [3] - | motives |
| 165:4 | 147:17, | Monday [1] | 24:5, | [1] - |
| minutes | 190:1 | - 26:18 | 38:17, | 21:13 |
| [10] - | misunderst | money [9] | 179:18 | move [4] |
| 63: 6, | anding [1] | - 129:1, | most [13] | 28:2, |
| 105:16, | - $28: 5$ | 129:8, | - 3:17, | 71:17, |
| 134:17, | MIT [3] - | 129:9, | 41:9, | 117:15, |
| 149:14, | 129:11, | 130:5, | 60:17, | 130:11 |
| 151:15, | 148:18, | 130:15, | 66:3, | moved [1] |
| 157:1, | 184:1 | 130:18, | 83:7, | - 185:8 |
| 163:3, | mobile [5] | 136:8, | 85:18, | movement |
| 180:13, | - 122:6, | 157:10 | 86:1, | [1] - |
| 197:10 | 143:12, | monitored | 110:7, | 182:17 |
| MIRIAM [2] | 144:16, | [1] - | 110:16, | moves [1] |
| - 121:8, | 145:9, | 91:18 | 142:1, | - 142:12 |
| 121:12 | 147:16 | monitoring | 142:12, | multi [1] |
| Miriam [2] | mobile-app | [2] - | 153:15, | -74:8 |
| - 121:12, | [2] - | 67:5, 85:9 | 181:12 | multi- |
| 121:13 | 143:12, | month [2] | mostly [1] | state [1] |
| misconcept | 145:9 | - 10:7, | - 121:16 | -74:8 |
| ion [2] - | mode [1] - | 75:15 | motion | multiple |
| 131:16, | 155:10 | monthly | 15] - | [4] - |
| 131:17 | model [5] | [2] - | 24:13, | 31:16, |
| missed [2] | - 95:15, | 75:12, | 24:14, | 76:5, |
| - 115:4, | 147:13, | 168:12 | 25:9, | 78:6, |
| 189:15 | 177:8, | months [5] | $44: 3$, | 79:15 |
| missing | 181:2, | - 41:8, | 45:1, | municipali |
| [1] - | 182:18 | 47:3, | 51:3, | ties [1] - |
| 115:8 | Moise [6] | 92:2, | 57:11, | 96:15 |


| music [1] | 141:15, | names/ | 162:7 | 131:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $27: 5$ | 146:12, | places [1] | nearly [1] | 139:4, |
| myriad [1] | 151:17, | - 197:8 | - 181:5 | 139:16, |
| - 77:15 | 156:14, | Natasha | necessaril | 141:13, |
|  | 156:15, | [2] - | $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [1] - | 154:6, |
| N | 161:18, | 46:5, $51: 4$ | $\underline{178: 4}$ | 160:8, |
| Nadeem [4] | 163:5, | NATASHA | necessity | 177:7, |
| $\frac{\text { Nadeem }}{-107: 12, ~}$ | 163: 6, | [15] - | [1] - | 183:7, |
| $-107: 12$, 107:15, | 163:7, | 46:9, | 113:4 | $186: 15 \text {, }$ |
| 141:11, | 163:8, | 47:7, | neck [1] | 189:13, |
| $146: 6$ | 163:15, | 47:11, | 132:5 | 190:5, |
| 146:6 name [64] | 165:9, | 47:15, | necklace | 192:11 |
| $\frac{\text { name }}{-39: 16,}$ | 165:10, | 47:17, | [1] - | needed [5] |
| 46:8, | 165:11, | 48: 4, | 138:18 | - 24:2, |
| 48:3, | 166:17, | 48:7, | need [37] | 38:6, |
| 52:5, | 167:3, | 48:10, | - 10:15, | 63:1, |
| 63:18, | 167:4, | 48:12, | 20:2, | 176:18, |
| 64:13, | 167:5, | 49:1, | 20:3, | 183:3 |
| 91:11, | 171:17, | 49:7, | 20:4, | needs [7] |
| 104:15, | 172:8, | 49:11, | 24:7, | - 19:14, |
| 108:11, | 172:9, | 50:1, | 24:12, | 42:18, |
| 108:14, | 172:10, | 50: 5, | 28:10, | 73:9, |
| 114:10, | 173:18, | 50:11 | 38:8, | 77:12, |
| 114:13, | 174:2 | natasha | 42: 6, | 121:2, |
| 121:10, | 174:2, | [1] - 46:9 | 45:4, | 127:4, |
| 121:11, | 174:3, | nation [3] | 73:3, | 153:1 |
| 121:12, | 174:4, | - 117:18, | 74:5, | negative |
| 123:17, | 176:16, | 154:1, | 75:10, | [1] - |
| 123:18, | 77:13, | 183:4 | 76:3, | 42:16 |
| 124:1, | 9: | Nation [3] | 83:10, | neighborho |
| 128:2, |  | - 5:6, | 88:13, | od [7] - |
| 128:3, | 179:13 | 5:11, 8:15 | 91:15, | 36:5, |
| 128:4, | $179: 15$, $184: 7$, | national | 93:10, | 36:10, |
| 128:8, | 184: | [3] - | 99:7, | 42:15, |
| 135:1, |  | 117:8, | 115:18, | 43:7, |
| 135:2, | named | 118:1, | 119:18, | 43:9, |
| 139:5, |  | 118:2 | 120:1, | 113:4, |
| 139:10, | names [1] | nature [2] | 125:17, | 162:14 |
| 141:14, |  | - 36:4, | 131: 6, | neighborho |


| od-based | 129:10, | [1] - | 33:1 | Nygaard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] - | 129:18, | 153:10 | notified | [1] - |
| 36:10 | 130:12, | none [4] - | [1] - 15:9 | 112:9 |
| neighborho | $130: 13 \text {, }$ | $51: 3$ | notion [2] |  |
| ods [4] - | $142: 13,$ | $57: 11 \text {, }$ | $-81: 1,$ | O |
| 31: 6, | 143: 6, | 106:3, | 90:17 | O-U-T-W-A- |
| 69:10, | 143:16, | 153:9 | November | T-E-R [1] |
| 114:1, | 144:7, | Norfolk | [1] - $54: 1$ | $-114: 15$ |
| 162:9 | $154: 5 \text {, }$ | [1] - | number | objections |
| neighbors | $154: 7 \text {, }$ | $197: 2$ | [17] - | $\frac{\text { [1] - }}{\text { chections }}$ |
| [1] - 43:1 | 154:12, | normal [1] | 34:14, | $124: 6$ |
| Nelson [1] | 155:6, | - 116:17 | $35: 6 \text {, }$ | objective |
| $-112: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156: 3, \\ & 188: 3 \end{aligned}$ | NOT [1] - | $35: 13$ | [1] - |
| nervous | $\begin{aligned} & 188: 3, \\ & 189 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $197: 16$ | $35: 17 \text {, }$ | 102:16 |
| [1] - |  | notable | 62:3, | objectives |
| $174: 15$ | New [3] - <br> 112:12, | $[1]-$ | $74: 4,$ | [1] - 83:3 |
| net [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:12, } \\ & 142: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $30: 15$ | $74: 6 \text {, }$ | obligation |
| $62: 7$ | $149: 1$ | notary [1] | $89: 6 \text {, }$ $91: 11$ | [2] - |
| Network | next [4] - | - 197:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 11, \\ & 94: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 193:8, |
| [1] - | $\frac{\text { next }}{54: 2,}$ | note [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 3, \\ & 97 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | 193:13 |
| 112:17 | $54: 2$, $120: 2$, | 5:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 7, \\ & 165: 18 \end{aligned}$ | obligation |
| never [8] | 121:5, | 31:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 18, \\ & 168: 7, \end{aligned}$ | s [1] - |
| - 100:18, | $190: 13$ | 34:1 | 168:7, | $195: 3$ |
| 108: 4, | nice [3] - | noted [1] | 181:3, | observe |
| 120:10, | 82:11, | - 81:14 | 186:2, | [2] - |
| 137:13, |  | $\frac{\text { nothing }}{[3]}$ | $188: 10$ | 107:8, |
| 138:1, | $131: 8$ | [3] - | Number <br> [1] | 107:9 |
| 181:7, |  | 47:17, | $\frac{\text { Number }}{-167: 10}$ | observed |
| $\begin{aligned} & 185: 9 \\ & \text { new }[25]- \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{-106: 4, ~}{\text { - }}$ | 55:7, $161: 16$ | numbers | [1] - |
| 7:14, | 109:4, | notice | ] - | 80:10 |
| 29:4, | 185:3 | - 76:8 | 35:11, | obviously |
| 55:8, | nobody [2] | notice | 103:10, | [9] - |
| 69:13, | - 44:1, |  | 106:8 | 75:9, |
| $70: 9$ | 161:2 | notificati | numerous | 77:6, |
| $80: 7 \text {, }$ | non [1] - | notificati | [1] - | 79:4, |
| 95:13, | 153:10 |  | 99:15 | 82:11, |
| 95:15, | non | notificati | nurses [1] | 97:11, |
| 111:13, | applicable | ons [1] - | - 101:2 |  |


| 102:17, | 193:7 | 18:5, | 167:14, | 26:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119:13, | officers | 18:13, | 170:5, | 41:14, |
| 139:17 | [1] - | 20:13, | 170:9, | 41:15, |
| occasion | 98:11 | 25:16, | 172:12, | 68:17, |
| [1] - | OFFICIAL | 31:18, | 177:1, | 89:17, |
| 78:16 | [1] - 1:17 | 33:10, | 177:18, | 104:5 |
| occasions | official | 36:13, | 181:4, | operation |
| [1] - | [1] - 91:1 | $55: 3$, | 181:7, | [9] - |
| 186:3 | Official | 60:12, | 183:1, | 14:13, |
| occupancy | [1] - | $61: 3$, | 188:18, | 21:18, |
| [1] - | 180:2 | $61: 17$, | 191:17, | 22:8, |
| 69:15 | officials | $63: 15$, | 193:9 | 23: 4, |
| occur [1] | [1] - 80:8 | $72: 14$, | one's [1] | 29:9, |
| - 80:13 | often [2] | $77: 18$, | - 19:7 | $30: 1$, |
| October | - 31:17, | $79: 15$, | ones [5] - | 31:10, |
| [1] - | 180:11 | $79: 16$, | 69:6, | 36:9, |
| 166:5 | oftentimes | 80:18, | 102:1, | 125:7 |
| OF [6] - | $\frac{\text { oftentimes }}{[1]-}$ | 85:2, | 103:14, | operations |
|  |  | 88:1, | 104:3, | [2] - |
| $1: 2,2: 1,$ | old [3] - | 98:17, | 112:16 | 33:18, |
| 197:16, |  | 99:3, | open [7] - | 81:10 |
|  | $\frac{125: 2,}{}$ | 100:3, | 15:13, | operators |
| $197: 16$, $197: 17$ | 156:3, | 104:11, | 32:5, | [6] - |
| off-peak | old- | 106:11, | 39:11, | 30:3, |
| [2] - | fashioned | 109:6, | 41: 6, | 30:11, |
| $144: 15$,$145: 4$ |  | 111:13, | 49:15, | 31:5, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]} \\ & 125: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 112:14, | 85:5, | 33:12, |
| 145:4 | older [1] | 119:6, | 126:4 | 36:5, |
| [1] - 73:7 | Older [1] | 119:16, | open-ended | 42:17 |
|  | on-peak | 134:10, | [1] - 85:5 | opinions |
| $\frac{\text { offerings }}{[1]-36: 8}$ | [1] | 135:15, | opening | [1] - |
| offers [1] | 145:4 |  | [1] - 6:18 | 140:2 |
| $-173: 1$ | once [1] - | 153:16, | operate | opportunit |
|  |  | $154: 8 \text {, }$ | [4] - | ies [4] - |
| - 179:17 <br> office [4] | 28:3 |  | 88:14, | 67:15, |
|  | One [1] - | 162:14, | 103:8, | 69:8, |
| - 5:10, | 142:15 |  | 186:18 | 69:15, |
| $52: 18$,$151: 12$, | 3.17 |  | operating | 93:13 |
|  | 8:13, | 167:10, | [6] - | opportunit |


| $\underline{\mathbf{y}}$ [11] - | 132:8 | 114:10, | owe [1] - | S-O-N [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:6, | order [9] | 114:14, | 122:12 | - 184:10 |
| 64:15, | - 23:3, | 114:17, | own [10] | p.m [2] |
| 69:6, | 27:9, | 118:13, | 21: 6, | 1:15, 3:6 |
| 70:3, | 31:15, | 118:18, | 24: 6, | Pabla [1] |
| 71:14, | 42:3, | 132:18 | 66:5, | - 4:9 |
| 72: 6, | 75:9, | Outwater | 96:7, | package |
| $72: 7$, | 76:2, | [2] - | 108:16, | [11] - |
| 84:5, | 76:12, | 114:11, | 137:11, | 4:10, |
| 90: 6, | 120:6, | 121:17 | 137:13, | 4:12, |
| 143:10, | 143:15 | over- | 167:15 | 10:9, |
| 145:12 | ordinance | service | owned [1] | 14:13, |
| oppose [1] | [2] - | [1] - 31:8 | - 20:11 | 17:17, |
| - 146:2 | 92:18, | overall | owner [5] | 20:7, |
| opposed | 93:2 | [3] - | - 6:17, | 20:12, |
| [1] - 87:8 | original | 65:2, | 58:14, | 21: 6, |
| option [4] | [2] - | 141:5, | 129:5, | 21: 9, |
| - 68:15, | 14:8, | 159:16 | 129:6, | 23:6, 32:1 |
| 178:17, | 15:17 | overlappin | 137:14 | packet [1] |
| 178:18 | originally | $\underline{\mathrm{g}}$ [1] - | owners | - 29:16 |
| options | [1] - | 197:6 | [10] - | Page [3] - |
| [12] - | 146:10 | overlooked | 13:13, | 147:7, |
| 65:5, | ought [1] | [1] - | 33:12, | 147:10, |
| 65:14, | - 157:3 | 115:5 | 110:5, | 147:15 |
| 68: 6, | outcry [1] | overpriced | 110:8, | page [2] - |
| 68:7, | - 142:18 | [1] - | 110:14, | 112:3, |
| 69:12, | outmode | 180:8 | 111:5, | 147:4 |
| 70: 4, | [1] - | overregula | 149:5, | PAGE [1] - |
| 70:12, | 144:5 | tion [1] - | 152:5, | 2:2 |
| 72:5, | outperform | 182:14 | $154: 11,$ | pages [2] |
| 117: 4, | [1] - | overview | $183: 2$ | $-112: 6,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 122: 8, \\ & 143: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $144: 17$ | [1]-64:6 | $\frac{\text { owns }}{128: 14}$ | $159: 12$ |
| $194: 18$ | outside | overwhelme |  | paid [2] - |
| or. . [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & {[5]-4: 1,} \\ & 12: 12, \end{aligned}$ | d [2] - | P | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 7, \\ & 130: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 98: 16, \\ & 107: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 14, \\ & 55: 4,81: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 186:1 | P-A-R-M-J- | papers [1] |
| oranges | OUTWATER | overwhelmi | I-T [1] - | - 116:10 |
| [1] - | [6] - | $\frac{\text { ngly }}{67: 18}$ | P-E-T-E-R- | [1]-42:3 |


| parading | participan | 68:3, | [1] - 68:5 | 170:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] - | t [1] - | 71:5, | past [3] | 173:2, |
| 103:15 | 97:9 | 78:17, | 22:9, | 178:11 |
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| 66:3, | rent [1] - | 10: 4 , | [11] - | 172:11, |
| 66:8, | 129:1 | 33:11, | 34:14, | 173:6 |
| 68:12, | repeatedly | 106:11, | 41:3, | residentia |
| 69:9, | [2] - | 110:10, | 58:16, | $\underline{1}$ [1] - |
| 69:12, | 123:5, | 152:5, | 67:3, | 32:8 |
| 72: 4 , | 193:12 | 152:13 | 116: 6, | residents |
| 86:1, | report [1] | represents | 119:12, | [1]-66:9 |
| 155:1, | - 112:10 | [2] - | $125: 15,$ | resorted |
| 155: 9, | Reporter | 106:6, | 168:5, | [1] - |
| 173:1, | [2] - | 183:18 | 168:10, | 87:10 |
| 180:10 | 197: 4, | REPRODUCTI | $169: 18$, $193: 4$ | respect |
| rely [1] - | 197:14 | ON [1] - | 193:4 | [8] - |
| $122: 12$ | REPORTER | $197: 16$ | $\frac{\text { requiremen }}{\text { d }}$ | 12:16, |
| $\underline{\text { remain [2] }}$ | [1] - | reputation | t [4] - | 21:17, |
| - 53:13, | 197:17 | [2] - | 30:8, | 22:17, |
| 111:5 | REPORTERS | 42:18, | 41:1, | 60:6, |


| 84:5, | restaurant | Rex [1] - | 68:8, | 1:7, 6:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90:16, | [13] - | 169:4 | 68:10, | 7:17, 8:6, |
| 110:11, | 7:5, 8:8, | ride [22] | 81:17, | 8:16, 9:3, |
| 152:1 | 20:12, | - 66:18, | 84: 6, | 9:7, 9:18, |
| RESPECT | 21: 6, | 85:2, | 85:18, | 13:9, |
| [1] - | 21:8, | 86:18, | 87:7, | 13:17, |
| 197:17 | 26:15, | 91:8, | 89:4, | 14:11, |
| respectful | 31:10, | 95:2, | 90:1, | 14:15, |
| [1] - | 40:3, | 100:4, | 130:1 | 15:2, |
| 161:13 | 44: 6, | 104:12, | rides [8] | 15:7, |
| respectful | 47:18, | 104:13, | - 66:3, | 16:10, |
| ly [2] - | 49:10, | 115:17, | 66:9, | 16:15, |
| 27:16, | 49:18, | 116:1, | 108:17, | 17:12, |
| 30:10 | 50:1 | 140:3, | 109:5, | 18:10, |
| responding | restaurant | 144:9, | 178:10, | 19:3, |
| [1] - | s [3] - | 144:17, | 178:12, | 20:9, |
| 122:17 | 31:16, | 145:9, | 189:6 | 21:3, |
| response | 36:14, | 180:4, | riding [1] | 22:13, |
| [1] - | 40:10 | 180:10, | - 181:13 | 25:2, |
| 68:12 | restrict | 181:3, | rights [2] | 25:5, |
| responsibi | [2] - | 181:11, | - 118:4, | 25:8, |
| lities [1] | 70:14, | 183:12, | 123:12 | 25:15, |
| -78:11 | 90:13 | 185:2, | RISHER [1] | 34:18, |
| responsibi | restrictio | 187:7, | - 189:1 | 35:16, |
| $\frac{\text { responsibi }}{\text { lity [1] - }}$ | $\underline{\underline{n} \text { [2] - }}$ | 189:12 | Risher [1] | 36:12, |
| $\overline{124: 15}$ | 18:8, 35:5 | ride- | - 189:2 | 37:3, |
| responsi. | rests [1] | sharing | road [4] - | 37:7, |
| e [3] - | -86:7 | [1] - | 20:11, | 37:10, |
| e | result [1] | 144:17 | 90:10, | 37:14, |
| 6 | - 142:7 | rider [6] | 167:7, | 38:16, |
| $135: 16$, $152: 15$ | retail [2] | - 76:5, | 193:4 | 39:2, |
| rest [1] - | $-7: 18,$ | 85:3, | Robert [5] | 39:8, |
| $\frac{\text { rest }}{96: 1}{ }^{[1]}$ - | $50: 2$ | 86:5, | - 3:11, | 40:9, |
| 96:1 |  | 91:8, | $52: 3$ | 40:15, |
| RESTAURANT | $\frac{\text { returned }}{\text { [1] - }}$ | 99:2, |  | 41:10, |
| $[2]-2: 5,$ | [1] - | 99:12 | $57: 12 \text {, }$ | 41:13, |
| $45: 17$ | 106:17 | riders |  | 43:13, |
| Restaurant | revenue | $\frac{\text { riders }}{[10]}$ |  | 44:12, |
| [1] - 46:2 | [1] - | $67: 13$ | ROBERT | 44:18, |
|  | 68:18 | 67:13, | [108] - | 45:12, |


| 49:5, | 80:17, | rode [2] - | 176:9, | - 65:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:8, | 82:5, | 185:12 | 176:10 | 66:8, |
| 49:14, | 84:13, | rogue [5] | run [4] - | 72: 4, |
| 50:3, | 86:8, | - 87:5, | 14:12, | 78:5, |
| 50: 6, | 87:18, | 102:6, | 74:8, | 96:9, |
| 50:15, | 90:7, | 102:7, | 74:15, | 117:1, |
| 51:10, | 92:10, | 103: 4, | 124:17 | 155:1, |
| 52:7, | 92:14, | 147:1 | rush [4] - | 155:9, |
| 52:11, | 93:5, | Rogue [1] | 136:7, | 180: 9, |
| 52:15, | 94:5, | - 147:6 | 137:1, | 181:18, |
| 53:1, | 98:10, | Ronji [1] | 137:7, | 182:2, |
| 53:5, | 99:6, | - 10:13 | 169:2 | 182:3, |
| 53:11, | 101:9, | Room [2] - |  | 185:7 |
| 53:12, | $140: 14,$ | $1: 12,3: 9$ | S | safeguard |
| 53:15, | 176:16, | room [9] - | S-C-H-A-U- | [1] - 81:5 |
| 53:16, | 177:4, | 7:13, | B-A-C-H | safely [3] |
| $54: 1$, $54: 7$, | $191: 15$, 192:10, | 74:4, | [1] - | - 118:6, |
| $54: 7$, $54: 10$, | $192: 10$, $193: 15$, | 84:2, | 172:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 118: 10, \\ & 166: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:11, | 194:3, | 88:11, | S-H-A-R-M- | 166:10 |
| 54:13, | 194:9, | 120:9, | A [1] - | safer |
| 54:15, | 195:13, | 158:8, | 174:4 | safest |
| 54:17, | 195:18, | $190: 13 \text {, }$ | $\underline{\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-}$ | - 68:14, |
| 54:18, | 196:8 | 190:15 | F-E-L-D | 85:18 |
| 55:3, | ROBICHAUD | route | [1] - | safety |
| 55:15, | [3] - | - 91:11 | 186:13 | [30] - |
| 55:16, | 39:17, | - ${ }^{\text {row [5] _ }}$ | S-I-N-G-H | 6:1, |
| 55:18, | 40:2, | row [5] - | [1] - | $18: 16,$ |
| 56:4, | 40:11 | 108:10, | 135:2 | $24: 3,$ |
| 56:9, | Robichaud | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 16, \\ & 138: 14, \end{aligned}$ | S-T-I-C-K- | 66:12, |
| 56:15, | [2] - | 138:15, | $\underline{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Y}}$ [1] | 69:2, |
| 56:18, | 26:13, | 149:10 | - 46:10 | 70:12, |
| 57:7, | 29:7 | rule [1] - | S-Y-R-I-O- | 72:15, |
| 57:18, | Rock [5] - | 137:15 | $\underline{N}$ [2] - | 89:11, |
| 58:6, | 47:12, | rules [6] | 128:5, | 90:3, |
| 58:9, | 48:2, | $\overline{-58: 16,}$ | 128:11 | 91:5, |
| 73:17, | 49:6, | $\begin{aligned} & -58: 16, \\ & 59: 1, \end{aligned}$ | Sachs [1] | 91:16, |
| $74: 2$, | 49:13, | $78: 8 \text {, }$ | - 125:9 | 92:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 14, \\ & 74: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 49:17 | $175: 10$ | safe [13] | 92:8, |


| 99:18, | [10] - | 122:15, | [2] - | 104:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112:18, | 36:18, | 131:1, | 12:16, | 120:6, |
| 125:18, | 37:5, | 131:3, | 148:8 | 134:3, |
| 143:4, | 37: 9, | 131:9 | screening | 138:13, |
| 143:14, | 37:12, | satisfy | [1] - 93:9 | 152:12, |
| 144:3, | 37:17, | [2] - | screens | 166:4, |
| 153: 6, | 41:7, | 82:2, 83:5 | [1] - | 169:8, |
| 154:17, | 41:12, | satisfying | 117:2 | 191:10, |
| 155:5, | 41:15, | [1] - | scrutiny | 191:11, |
| 164:13, | 42:1, | 89:18 | [2] - | 192:5, |
| 167:9, | 43:10 | Saturday | 143:4, | 195:10, |
| 169:15, | Sanders | [1] - 27:1 | 190:6 | 195:11, |
| 171:9, | [1]-29:6 | saw [1] - | seat [1] - | 196:4, |
| $175: 11$ | sanders | 160:13 | 171:16 | $196: 5$ |
| 177:5, | [2] - | scale [1] | seating | seconded |
| 181: 9, | 29:8, 43:8 | - 152:16 | [3] - | [1] - 45:9 |
| 185:4 | sandwich | SCHAUBACH | 35:5, | sector [1] |
| safety- | [6] - | [4] - | 35:13 | - 144:4 |
| wise [1] | 9:12, | 171:14, | seats [8] | sectors |
| $164: 13$ | 13:13, | 172:2, | - 26:17, | [1] - |
| $\underline{\text { said. . [1] }}$ | 13:15, | 172: 6, | 34:3, | 145:12 |
| - 151:13 | 15:5, | 172:9 | 34:9, | securely |
| sale [5] - | 16:4, 18:6 | Schaubach | 35:15, | [1] - |
| 10:10, | sandwiches | [1] - | 35:18, | 118:6 |
| 11:8, | [6] - 7:1, | 172:10 | 44:14, | security |
| 20:8, | 10:10, | schedule | 44:17, | [4] - |
| 110:16, | 14:9, | [1] - | 45:5 | 98:18, |
| 111:11 | 18:1, | 190:14 | second | 165:18, |
| sales [1] | 18:2, 23:9 | schedules | [24] - | 167:14, |
| - 30:18 | $\underline{\text { SASSI [7] }}$ | [1] - 69:4 | 25:1, | 172:14 |
| SAME [1] - | - 114:10, | scheduling | 25:2, | Security |
| 197:16 | 114:14, | [1] - | 25:12, | [1] - 74:6 |
| San [5] - | 114:17, | 134:9 | 38:10, | SEDAN [2] |
| 65:17, | 118:13, | school [1] | $44: 18$, | $-2: 9$ |
| 125:7, | 118:18, | - 177:5 | 51:7, | $60: 3$ |
| 158:7, | 132:18 | scooping | $53: 6 \text {, }$ | sedan [2] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 158: 8, \\ & 175: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Sassi }}{-114}: 10$, | $[1]-$ | $58: 3,$ | $-60: 8,$ |
| $175: 5$ <br> SANDERS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - 114:10, } \\ & \text { 121:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $160: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 12, \\ & 69: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 147:9 |
| SANDERS | 121:17, | scratch | 69:7, | sedans [1] |


| $\begin{gathered} -147: 11 \\ \text { see }[29] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-20: 1} \\ & \text { seem [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 2, \\ & 105: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { served }}{-50: 4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 17, \\ & 115: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:1, | 97:14, | 149:3, | 54:16 | 116:1 |
| 11:11, | 123:12, | 151:12, | service | 126:14, |
| 16:12, | 162:2 | 192:4 | [34] - | 143:13, |
| 19:15, | seize [3] | sending | 6:2, | 144:17, |
| 22:16, | - 188:4, | [1] - | 17:18, | 145:9, |
| 29:2, | 195:2, | 119:10 | $31: 8$, | 145:15, |
| $30: 12$, | 195:9 | sends [2] | 66:13, | 145:16, |
| 31:17, | selection | - 148:15, | 68:14, | 146:5, |
| 59:8, | [1] - 88:7 | 148:17 | 115:11, | 156:3, |
| 92:15, | self [3] - | sense [1] | 115:18, | 180:8, |
| 96:12, | 78:17, | - $27: 15$ | 116:8, | 180:10, |
| 98:14, | 182:4, | sent [2] - | 117:13, | 181:12, |
| 116:17, | 183:9 | 88:12, | 118:5, | 181:17, |
| 120:13, | self- | 179:17 | 118:10, | 182:4, |
| 122:11, | identified | separate | 118:17, | 182:6, |
| 132:4, | [1] - | [3] - 8:7, | 119:7, | $187: 7$ |
| 138:10, | 78:17 | 108:18, | 119:11, | Services |
| 138:12, | self- | 109:7 | $120: 18 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[6]-6: 8,} \\ & 7: 8,7: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| $141: 3$, $147: 3$, | reflecting | separating | $123: 1$, $140: 4$, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 8,7: 16, \\ & 24: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| $147: 3$, 1470, | [1] - | [1] - | $40: 4$, $43: 11$, | $24: 7$ |
| 153:18, | 183:9 | 17:17 | 143:11, | $24: 15$ |
| 154:9, | self- | $\frac{\text { September }}{[1] ~-~}$ | 144:9, | Services |
| 156:7, | regulate | $49: 11$ | 144:11, | [2] - |
| 164:15, | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 182: 4 \end{aligned}$ | seriousl | 145:17, | 5:12, 6:3 |
| 167:7, | sell | $\frac{\text { seriously }}{\text { [5] - }}$ | 152:18, | serving |
| 182:5, | $14: 16$ | 73:16, | 153:1, | [6]-7:1, |
| seeing | 17:18, | 86:4, | 155:9, | $23: 10 \text {, }$ |
| $\frac{\text { seeing }}{-51: 3,}$ | 109:1 | 99:2, | $174: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 14, \\ & 42: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 57:11, | selling | 123:3, | 174:11, | 55:1, 92:8 |
| 119:8, | $[2]-82: 6$ | 123:4 | 181:8, | set [11] - |
| 119:9, | send [10] |  | 183:8, | 11:2, |
| 127:8 | - 79:10, | $\frac{\mathbf{s}}{86: 7}$ | 186:17, | 16:9, |
| seek [1] - | 80:3, | 86:7 | 188:11 | 16:11, |
| 71:2 | 80: 6, | serve [2] | services | 45:15, |
| seeking | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 18, \\ & 97: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -15: 18, \\ & 116: 14 \end{aligned}$ | [18] - | 57: 4, |


| 58:8, | [12] - | 138:16, | 99:2, | [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80:2, | 84:16, | 149:10, | 103:9, | 142:1 |
| 105:12, | 115:17, | 161:10, | 103:10 | Silicone |
| 117:16, | 116:1, | 173:14, | Sidecar | [1] - |
| 178:9, | 140:4, | 177:12, | [1] - | 149:2 |
| 197:5 | 144:9, | 179:6 | 101:18 | SIMAO [15] |
| set-up [2] | 144:17, | shop [1] - | sided [1] | - 28:17, |
| - 16:9, | 145:9, | 76:7 | - 181:16 | $32: 17$, |
| 16:11 | 180:5, | short [2] | sides [1] | 33:2, |
| seven [6] | 180:10, | - 49:15, | - 139:15 | 33: 8 , |
| - 31:2, | 181:3, | 139:13 | sidewalk | 35:3, |
| 36:17, | 183:15, | Shorthand | [1] - | 36:2, |
| 37:1, | 187:7 | [2] - | 55:14 | 36:15, |
| 39:10, | SHARMA [5] | 197:3, | sign [4] - | $37: 1$, |
| 40:3, | - 173:16, | 197:14 | 34:5, | 38:3, |
| 152:2 | 174:2, | shortly | 103:12, | 38:11, |
| several | 174:14, | [2] - | 130:2 | 39:1, |
| [7] - | 174:18, | 100:9, | sign-off | 39:5, |
| 56:6, | 176:7 | 126:4 | [1]-34:5 | 39:9, |
| 103:7, | $\underline{\text { Sharma [2] }}$ | shouts [1] | signage | 40:13, |
| 104:5, | - 174:3, | - 187:11 | [1] - 5:5 | 41:4 |
| $124: 8 \text {, }$ | $174: 4$ | show [8] - | significan | $\underline{\text { Simao }}$ [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 185: 10, \\ & 193: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { SHAW }}{124}$ [1] - | 11:17, | $\underline{t}[1]-$ | - 28:18 |
| $193: 2$, $194: 5$ | $124: 1$ | 87:16, | $9: 6$ | similar |
| $194: 5$ sexual | SHEINFELD | 95:1, | significan | [5] - |
| $\frac{\text { sexual }}{-73: 7}$ | [2] - | 110:11, | tly [1] - | 72:8, |
| shake [1] | 187:16 | 15, | 69:16 | $8: 1$ |
| - $23: 12$ | Sheinfeld | 136:6, | signify | 109:16, |
| share [3] | [1] - | 180:16 | [9] - | 145:14 |
| - 96:10, | 186:11 | showed [1] | 25:4, | simple [4] |
| 181:11, | shift [1] | - 88:15 | $45: 2$ | - 112:3, |
| 181:17 | - 159:6 | shows [3] |  | 119:18, |
| shared [4] | shifts [2] | - 59:8, |  | 120:3, |
| - 61:17, | - 129:7, | 91:13, |  | 182:2 |
| 79:3, | 158:10 | 181:4 | $191: 13,$ | simply [5] |
| 85:12, | shirt [8] | side [5] - | 191:13, | - 14:6, |
| 181:17 | - 114:9, | 11:12, | $196: 7$ | 61:1, |
| sharing | 138:9, | 77:16, | Silicon | 61: 9, |


| 106:1, | 187:5 | 137:1, | 111:11 | 175:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 143:6 | sliding | 169:3 | solely [1] | 175:18, |
| Sincerely | [1] - | so-called | - 197:11 | 178:13 |
| [1] - | 152:16 | [1] - | solid [1] | somewhere |
| 146:6 | small [5] | 187:7 | - 42:17 | [3] - |
| SINGH [4] | - 66:8, | so.. [1] - | solution | 10:11, |
| - 135:1, | 67:15, | 155:10 | [1] - | 46:17, |
| 135:9, | 100:11, | Social [1] | 65:13 | 95: 6 |
| 136:15, | 125:7, | - 74:6 | solutions | soon [6] |
| 136:17 | 190:13 | social [2] | [1] - | 7:5, 41:7, |
| Singh [1] | smart [2] | - 61:8, | 145:7 | 82:18, |
| - 135:2 | - 125:7, | 167:14 | solve [1] | 83:3, |
| single [3] | 127:9 | socializes | - 91:7 | 96:18, |
| - 69:15, | smarter | [1] - | someday | 167:15 |
| 126:9, | [1] - | 158:11 | [1] - | sophistica |
| 175:17 | 124:5 | soft [1] - | 145:5 | tion [1] - |
| single- | SMARTPHONE | 15:5 | someone | 148:5 |
| occupancy | [2] - 2:9, | softly [1] | [11] - | sorry [8] |
| [1] - | 60:1 | - 197:7 | 19:14, | - 39:1, |
| 69:15 | Smartphone | software | 77:18, | 53:15, |
| sit [3] - | [1] - | [16] - | 87:1, | 132:3, |
| 11:13, | 147:5 | 66:2, | 91:17, | 138:10, |
| 16:5, 18:5 | smartphone | 66:16, | 98:7, | 139:9, |
| sits [1] - | [5] - | 71:10, | 104:13, | 162:1, |
| 129:8 | 60:7, | 73:3, | 133:18, | 185:18, |
| sitting | 62:16, | 73:8, | 136:11, | 186:6 |
| [7] - | 86:15, | $74: 10$, | 137:12, | sort [2] |
| 78:18, | 147:18, | 80:14, | 170:16, | 112:12, |
| 121:5, | 185:17 | 84:1, | 173:3 | 162:13 |
| 133:12, | Smith [4] | 84:9, | Somerville | sorts [1] |
| 134:11, | - 46:2, | 87: 9, | [1] - | - 82:17 |
| 146:9, | 46:15, | 87:15, | 117:11 | sought [2] |
| 165:3, | 46:16, | 95:16, | sometimes | - 19:6, |
| 165:6 | 47:2 | 95:18, | [9] - | 38:6 |
| situation | smoke [1] | 96:3, | 120:5, | sounds [1] |
| [1] - | - 85:14 | 96:4, | 128:18, | - 172:6 |
| 188:3 | snake [1] | 108:17 | 142:18, | source [1] |
| situations | - 132:5 | sold [2] - | 157:16, | - 81:12 |
| [1] - | snow [2] - | 20:7, | 157:17, | Sox [1] - |


| 169:4 | 145:15 | - 65:8 | [1] - | 148:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| space [2] | specified | spot [1] - | 165:5 | 149:1, |
| - 60:18, | [1] - 44:9 | 155:15 | standpoint | 152:10, |
| 143:9 | speech [1] | spotty [1] | [1] - | 161:9, |
| speaker | 117:4 | - 157:18 | 172:14 | 169:13, |
| [2] - | speed [1] | sprinkler | stands [6] | 175:8 |
| 105:18, | - 190:2 | [3] - | - 24:17, | started |
| 170:5 | spell [7] | 56:2, | 78:18, | [3] - |
| speakers | - 108:13, | 56:7, | 113:3, | 83:2, |
| [2] - | 114:12, | 56:12 | 143:3, | 117:5, |
| 125:14, | 128:7, | Square [1] | 153:8, | 148:16 |
| 187:18 | 171:17, | - 7:15 | 185:3 | started- |
| speaking | 172:8, | ss [1] - | STAR [2] - | ups [1] - |
| [9] - | 179:12, | 197:2 | 2:4, 26:10 | 148:16 |
| 78:13, | 184:7 | STAFF [1] | Star [3] - | starting |
| 90:8, | spelled | - 1:9 | 26:12, | [7] - |
| 135: 6, | [3] - | staffers | 30:4, 44:5 | 62:17, |
| 135:8, | 85:14, | [1] - | Starbucks | 138:16, |
| 144:8, | 197:8, | 142:10 | [1] - | 147:14, |
| 162:1, | 197:8 | stand [3] | 186:5 | 150:12, |
| 197: 6, | spelling | - 26:2, | stars [1] | 150:15, |
| 197:7 | [13] - | 182:11, | - 85:2 | 151:3, |
| Special | 46:8, | 182:15 | start [22] | 190:18 |
| [2] - | 52:5, | standard | - 4:15, | State [2] |
| 34:8, | 121:10, | [2] - | 12:16, | - 67:3, |
| 34:13 | 123:17, | 31:5, | 28:3, | 116:6 |
| specific | 128:2, | 154:13 | 39:12, | state [17] |
| [5] - | 147:17, | standards | 60:10, | - 3:13, |
| 81:7, | 148:3, | [9] - | 62:8, | 46:7, |
| 85: 4 , | 156:14, | 66:11, | 64:5, | 52: 4 , |
| 90:2, | 162:5, | 66:17, | 83: 6, | 74:8, |
| 119:15, | 163: 6, | 67:7, | 106:4, | 115:16, |
| 131:7 | 165:9, | 73:10, | 108:7, | 121:10, |
| specifical | 167:2, | $77: 12$, | 113:11, | 123:17, |
| ly [5] - | 173:18 | $78: 8$, | 114:8, | 128:2, |
| $30: 7$, | spending | 96:1, | 130:9, | 139:4, |
| 32: 6, | [1] - | 96:6, | 130:11, | 156:14, |
| 122:3, | 102:13 | 148:8 | 133:8, | 157:14, |
| 122:15, | spoken [1] | standing | 148:7, | 163:5, |


| 165:9, | 76:18 | - 79:14 | 29:3, | - 138:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166:17, | Stickney | stools [1] | 44:4, | striped |
| 171:16, | [4] - | - 9:10 | 46:4, | [1] - |
| 173:18, | 46:5, | stop [2] - | 50:10, | 173:14 |
| 179:9 | 46:9, | 86:9, | 50:12, | stripped |
| statement | 47:4, 51:4 | 154:7 | 52:2, | [1] - |
| [2] - | STICKNEY | stopped | 125:9, | 179:6 |
| 107:11, | [15] - | [1] - 97:6 | 125:10, | strongly |
| 107:15 | 46:9, | storage | 131:5, | [2] - |
| statements | 47:7, | [2] - 9:2, | 186:12 | 115:5, |
| [2] - | 47:11, | 9:4 | street | 123:14 |
| 152:7, | 47:15, | store [10] | [11] - | structure |
| 197: 6 | 47:17, | - 4:12, | 68:15, | [1] - |
| States [1] | 48: 4, | 7:18, | 87:5, | 178:5 |
| - 115:17 | 48:7, | 10:9, | 87:11, | student |
| states [2] | 48:10, | 12:11, | 100:17, | [2] - |
| - 78:6, | 48:12, | 12:13, | 116:16, | 129:18, |
| 96:15 | 49:1, | 14:13, | $130: 5,$ | $167: 12$ |
| statewide | 49:7, | 20:7, | 152:10, | students |
| $[1] \quad-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 11, \\ & 50 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $21: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160: 16, \\ & 167: 16 . \end{aligned}$ | [2] - |
| $112: 5$ | $50: 1$ | 21:9, $23: 6$ | $167: 16 \text {, }$ | $31: 4,$ |
| statuette | $50: 5 \text {, }$ | stories | $182: 11$ | $148: 17$ |
| [2] - | 50:11 | [1] - | $\frac{\text { streets }}{[1] \text { - }}$ | studied |
| $153: 11$ | stifling | 118:2 | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 125: 11 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - |
| stay [3] - | [1] - $105: 1$ | story [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 11 \\ & \text { stress } \end{aligned}$ | $112: 12$ |
| $37: 15 \text {, }$ | $105: 1$ | $-140: 18$ | $\frac{\text { stress }}{-120 . ?}$ | study [1] |
| $76: 3$ | $\underline{\text { still [9] }}$ | straight | - 129:2, | - 112:10 |
| 192:16 | - 12:9, | [4] - | $165: 13$ | stuff [3] |
| staying | $23: 15 \text {, }$ | 27:11, | $\frac{\text { strict }}{-73 \cdot 11}$ | - 55:10, |
| [1] - | $36: 1,$ | 59:1, | - 73:11, | 185:13, |
| 183:11 | 36:2, | 108:10, | $77: 12 \text {, }$ $113: 2$ | 189:8 |
| step [3] - | 41:14, | 113:2 | $113: 2$ | style |
| $4: 1,19: 8 \text {, }$ | $41: 15,$ | strained | stricter | $\overline{-31: 11}$ |
| $120: 14$ | $105: 14,$ | [1] - | [1] - | subject |
| stick [2] | 117:6, | 159:11 | 73:14 | [2] - |
| - 150:9, | 158:4 | Street | strictly | 67:12, |
| 177:16 | stint [1] | [12] - | [1] - | 95:6 |
| sticker | - 53:6 | 26:12, | 197:10 | submit [5] |
| [1] - | $\underline{\text { st }}$ | 26:16, | stripe [1] | - 24:10, |


| 29:15, | Sunday [2] | [2] - | 89:12, | - 31:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30:10, | - 27:2, | 68:2, 99:7 | 92:7, | 31:16 |
| 33:2, 77:7 | 160:4 | sustainabl | 92:12, | tapas- |
| submitted | superior | e [1] - | 93:17, | style [1] |
| [2] - | [1] - | 146:1 | 94:2, | - 31:11 |
| 35:3, 38:1 | 112:16 | sworn [2] | 95:12, | tape [1] |
| submitting | supplied | - 121:1, | 95:14, | 27:4 |
| [1] - | [1] - 55:9 | 197:10 | 99:13, | tapped [1] |
| 161:17 | support | symbolic | 100:10, | - 124:10 |
| subpoena | [14] - | [1] - | 101:5, | targeted |
| [2] - | 32: 6, | 148:3 | 128:13, | [2] - |
| 99:7, | 32: 9, | SYRION [9] | 129:18, | 147:12, |
| 99:12 | 32:12, | - 127:18, | 140:18, | 162:15 |
| substantiv | 32:13, | 128:4, | 145:6 | taxi [60] |
| e [1] - | 33:5, | 128:9, | systems | - 58:15, |
| 189:18 | 42:9, | 130:10, | [3] - | $67: 3,$ |
| substitute | 42:12, | 131:8, | 69:3, | 73:11, |
| [1] - 22:7 | 124:4, | 132:3, | 72: 4 , | 80:18, |
| subvert | 130:13, | 132:11, | 145:3 | 82: 9, |
| [1] - | 142:4, | 132:15, | T | 82:12, |
| 22:11 | 174: 6, | 133:2 | ㄴ | 82:13, |
| success | 182:17, | Syrion [3] | table [2] | 83:18, |
| [1] - | 186:14, | - 128:5, | - 9:13, | 84:1, |
| 33:18 | 188:12 | 128:10 | 107:2 | 84:12, |
| suggest | supports | system | tables [3] | 86:10, |
| [2] - | [1] - | [27] - | - 5:4, | 93:16, |
| 89:11, | 182:18 | 56:2, | 11:2, 18:6 | 100:18, |
| 146:3 | supposed | 56:7, | TACO [2] - | 103:11, |
| suggested | [1] - | 56:12, | 2:4, 26:10 | 110:2, |
| [1] - | 160:6 | 67:12, | Taco [2] - | 110:4, |
| 146:18 | surface | 72:8, | 26:13, | 110:7, |
| suggesting | [1] - 83:2 | $75: 1$, | 44:5 | 110:13, |
| [2] - | surge [2] | $75: 10$, | take-out | 110:17, |
| 17:1, | - 173:2, | 75:18, | [2] - | 110:18, |
| 19:12 | 178:1 | 76:2, | 14:10, | 111:1, |
| suit [1] - | surveillan | 76:3, | 15:5 | 111:12, |
| $118: 1$ | ce [1] - | 76:11, | tap [1] - | 112:10, |
| sun [1] - | 5:15 | 76:16, | 94:11 | 116:17, |
| 16:6 | suspect | 84:7, | tapas [2] | 130:16, |



| 184:13, | - 46:3, | 96:10 | 141:18, | - $42: 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 184:15, | 50:10, | throughout | 146:18, | top [3] |
| 188:17, | 50:12 | [1] - 72:1 | 180:3 | 9:12, |
| 189:16, | third [2] | throw [1] | together | 103:12 |
| 197:10 | - 33:16, | - 93:10 | [2] - | total [2] |
| text [4] - | 143:14 | thrown [1] | 107:2, | - 56:18, |
| 79:11, | third- | - 62:7 | 188:2 | 110:18 |
| 117:4, | party [1] | tie [1] - | tolerance | totally |
| 119:11, | - 143:14 | 145:6 | [2] - | [2] - |
| 143:2 | THIS [1] - | TILAHUN | 73:6, 80:9 | 114:18, |
| text-to- | 197:16 | [3] - | tolerated | 124:12 |
| speech [1] | thoughtful | 165:7, | [1] - | touch [2] |
| - 117:4 | [3] - | 165:11, | 119:5 | - 91:15, |
| THE [5] - | 102:12, | 165:12 | tolls [2] | 119:1 |
| 1:17, | 104:7, | TIM [1] - | - 168:8, | towards |
| 197:16, | 149:18 | 161:18 | 170:1 | [1] - |
| 197:16, | thoughts | Tim [1] - | tonight | 54:13 |
| 197:17, | [1] - | 161:18 | [6] - | town [2] |
| 197:17 | 140:7 | TIME [1] - | 61:1, | 127: 4, |
| themselves | thousands | 1:15 | 61: 6, | 127:11 |
| [3] - | [2] - | Timeliness | 61:8, | track [5] |
| 12:8, | 67:17, | [1] - | 62:10, | - 21:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 103: 15, \\ & 107 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | 69:14 | 144:12 | $84: 2,$ | 69:2, |
| $197: 7$ | threat [1] | TIPS [2] - | $102: 18$ | 76:18, |
| thereafter | - 171:9 | 33:13, | tonight's | 84:14, |
| [1] - | threatened | 41:3 | [1] - | 99:17 |
| $100: 9$ |  | TNC [2] - | 182:15 | tracked |
| therefore | $154: 5$ | $\overline{112}: 16,$ | TONY [4] - | [1] - |
| [1] - | threatenin | 114:4 | 46:11, | 185:11 |
| 117:18 | $\underline{\underline{g}}$ [1] - | TNCs [1] - | 46:14, | tradition |
| therein | 167:9 | 113: 6 | 46:17, | [1] - |
| [1] - 38:4 <br> they've | three [5] | TO [1] - | $47: 3$ <br> tony [1] | $42: 14$ |
| $\frac{\text { they ve }}{\text { [1] - }}$ | - 20:17, | 197:16 | $\frac{\text { tony }}{46: 11}$ | traditiona |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-} \\ & 188: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 53:7, | today [8] | took [1] | $\underline{1}$ [3] - |
| thinking | 119:6, | - 10:16, | $91: 12$ | 71:11, $143: 15,$ |
| [1] - | 147:17 |  | tool [1] - | 182:5 |
| 129:16 | thrilled | $63: 3$ | 91:6 | traffic |
| Third [3] | [1] - | 141:12, | Toomey [1] | [2] - |


| 30:6, 31:3 | transparen | 126:8, | 74:17 | 63:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| train [1] | cy [1] - | 126:9, | trouble | $75: 1$, |
| 126:12 | 101:7 | 126:16, | [1] - | 83:5, |
| trained | transparen | 155:1, | 189:9 | 91:7, |
| [1] - | t [2] - | 176:8, | troubling | 133:11, |
| 33:14 | 68:14, | 176:10 | [3] - | 138:10, |
| training | 126:5 | Transporta | 150:11, | 161:11, |
| [11] - | transport | tion [1] - | 150:14, | 161:12, |
| 33:15, | [8] - | 112:17 | 151: 6 | 166:11, |
| 33:17, | 115:10, | transporta | true [2] - | 170:17, |
| 41:2, | 115:18, | tions [1] | 67:13, | 189:9 |
| 41:3, | 116:7, | - 69:12 | 197:5 | Tuesday |
| 44:11, | 117:12, | trap [1] - | truly [2] | [1] - 3:6 |
| 48: 6, | 119:11, | 169:15 | -76:14, | turn [3] - |
| 51: 6, | 120:18, | trapped | 92:8 | 21: 9, |
| 53:10, | 180:12, | [1] - | trustees | 95:1, |
| 57:17, | 181:10 | 116:12 | [1] - | 147:10 |
| 119: 9, | transporta | trash [1] | 32:12 | TV [1] - |
| $119: 14$ | tion [26] | - 104:14 | try [15] - | 27:6 |
| transactio | - 65:5, | travel [3] | 19:13, | twice [1] |
| $\underline{n}$ [1] - | 65:15, | - 114:18, | 22:11, | - 7:3 |
| 144:14 | 66:4, | 115:11, | 60:15, | Two [1] - |
| transcribe | 68: 6, | 118:9 | 64:3, | 143:2 |
| $\underline{\underline{r}}$ [1] - | 68:7, | tried [2] | 95:14, | two [32] - |
| 28:13 | 68:11, | - 120:18, | 102:12, | 9:10, |
| TRANSCRIPT | $70: 3$, | 189:5 | 105:13, | 20:17, |
| [1] - | 70:12, | tries [1] | 107:2, | $30: 3$, |
| 197:16 | $70: 17$, | - 158:9 | 111:17, | 36:14, |
| transfer | 71: 6, | triggers | 120:14, | 40:10, |
| [2] - | 72:5, | [1] - | 135:17, | 41:8, |
| 26:14, | $73: 2$, | 35:13 | 151:14, | 48:10, |
| 44:5 | 86:2, | trip [3] - | 172:16, | 52:16, |
| transit | 87:13, | 91:10, | 177:16, | $53: 7$, |
| [5] - | 89:7, | $91: 13 \text {, }$ | 178:9 | 93:3, |
| 142:13, | 95:17, | 189:9 | trying | 105:16, |
| 143:9, | 96:2, | Triple [4] | [14] - | 125:14, |
| 143:13, | 96:4, | - 74:12, | 17:16, | 129:6, |
| 143:16, | 122:7, | $74: 15,$ | 40:16, | 129:7, |
| 145:18 | 122:8, | 74:16, | 62: 4 , | 129:9, |


| 134:16, | 63: 4 , | 111:3, | 141:3, | 170:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134:17, | 64:2, | 113:7, | 145:14, | 171:1, |
| 142:15, | 64: 6, | 114:2, | 147:2, | 171:7, |
| 150:10, | 64:14, | 115:10, | 148:12, | 173:1, |
| 151:15, | 65:16, | 116:14, | 152:14, | 173:8, |
| 152:2, | 66:2, | 117:12, | 152:18, | 174:11, |
| 152:3, | 66:4, | 118:8, | 157:3, | 175:3, |
| 152:4, | 66:11, | 118:15, | 157:5, | 175:8, |
| 156:18, | 67:11, | 119:1, | 157:15, | 176:17, |
| 160:5, | 67:14, | 120:6, | 158:10, | 178:5, |
| 160:13, | 68: 4 , | 123:11, | 159:1, | 181: 6, |
| 163:2, | 71:4, | 124:6, | 159:2, | 185:10, |
| 165:13, | 72:3, | 124:11, | 159:13, | 186:4, |
| 168:7, | 78:10, | 126:4, | 160:2, | 187: 6, |
| 181:16, | 78:17, | 126:6, | 160:5, | 188:5, |
| 189:6, | 78:18, | 126:7, | 160:11, | 188: 6, |
| 194:8 | 81:2, | 126:11, | 160:15, | 189:8 |
| two-minute | 81:7, | 126:12, | 161:1, | Uber's |
| [1] - | 82:9, | 127:3, | 162:7, | [10] - |
| 150:10 | 83:15, | 127:9, | 162:12, | 66:6, |
| two-sided | 86:10, | 128:13, | 163:10, | 67:15, |
| [1] - | 86:12, | 129:10, | 163:16, | 68:18, |
| 181:16 | 86:14, | 129:17, | 163:17, | 71:5, |
| type [3] - | 88:3, | 130:2, | 163:18, | 77:12, |
| 20:6, | 89:8, | 130:3, | 164:12, | 133:7, |
| 31:7, | 89:15, | 130:4, | $165: 15,$ | 147:13, |
| 62:16 | 89:16, | 130:6, | 166:5, | 159:1, |
| types [1] | 91:2, | 130:11, | 166:6, | 163:15, |
| - 30:11 | 91:9, | 130:13, | 166:9, | 178:1 |
| typo [1] - | 95:5, | 130:16, | 167:8, | ubiquity |
| 189:17 | $95: 11$, | 131:2, | 167:10, | [1] - |
|  | 97:8, | 133:5, | 167:18, | 144:11 |
| U | 98: 6, | 135:10, | 168:7, | unbeknowns |
|  | 98:15, | 135:11, | 168:13, | t [1] - |
| Uber [148] <br> - $61 \cdot 14$ | 99:15, | 135:13, | $168: 18,$ | 14:5 |
| - 61:14, | 100:4, | 135:15, | 169:16, | unclear |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 1, \\ & 62 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | 101:12, | 135:18, | $170: 7$ | [1] - |
| $62: 2 \text {, }$ | 101:15, | 136:1, | $170: 8 \text {, }$ | 197: 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 14, \\ & 62: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 101:17, | $136: 12,$ | $170: 10 \text {, }$ | UNDER [1] |
| 62:15, | 102:14, | 138:3, | 170:17, |  |


| - 197:17 | d [1] - | $\underline{\underline{y}}$ [1] - | 32:5, | 158:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| under [8] | 124:14 | 71:12 | 37: 4 , | 159:18, |
| - 115:12, | uninsured | unpreceden | 46:8, | 160:9, |
| 151:15, | [1] - | ted [3] - | 59:8, | 160:11, |
| 168:17, | 187:3 | 67:7, | 63:5, | 160:15, |
| 190:16, | Union [1] | 70:15, | 75:4, | 165:5, |
| 191:6, | - 124:3 | 82:1 | 75:14, | 166:1, |
| 191:9, | unique [2] | unregulate | 87:1, | 169:2, |
| 193:3, | - 143:10, | d [5] - | 87:17, | 169:13, |
| 197:11 | 153:1 | 124:9, | 88:10, | 172: 4, |
| undercharg | United [1] | 124:12, | 88:15, | 173:3, |
| e [1] - | - 115:16 | 125:4, | 92: 4 , | 173:15, |
| 157:16 | universall | 154:4, | 93:3, | 180:17, |
| underserve | y [1] - | 187:4 | 94:12, | 188:7, |
| d [1] - | $123: 8$ | unrepresen | 95:12, | 193:13, |
| $\overline{69}$ :11 | University | ted [1] - | 100:11, | 194:4, |
| understood | $\frac{\text { University }}{\text { [2] - }}$ | $\frac{\text { ted }}{82: 10}$ | 101:3, | 195:2 |
| [1] - | 129:12, | unsafe [1] | 110:11, | updates |
| 40:18 | 177:15 | $\frac{\text { ansafe }}{-154: 3}$ | 110:18, | [1] - 7:4 |
| undertake | unless | unusual | 113:4, | ups [1] |
| [1] - 96:6 | - 63:15, | $\frac{\text { unusual }}{[1] ~-}$ | 113:9, | 148:16 |
| unexpected | 86:11, | 127:2 | 113:12, | upset [2] |
| ly [1] - | 90:13, | unvetted | 118:15, | - 177:7 |
| 143:1 | 134:10 | [2] - | 118:15, | urge [6] - |
| unfair [2] | UNLESS [1] | 124:13, | 126:14, | 109: 6, |
| - 133:14, | - 197:17 | 187:4 | 126:14, | 112:8, |
| 169:11 | unlicensed | up [72] - | 130:9, | 114:3, |
| unfairness | [1] - | 3:7, 6:10, | 130:9, | 148:7, |
| [1] - | 187:4 | 7:11, |  | 162:8, |
| 143:7 | unlike [1] | 15:13, | 131:11, | 178:4 |
| unfortunat | - 31:16 | 15:16, | 132:14, | US [2] - |
| ely [2] - | unmarked | 16:4, | 132:18, | 142:3, |
| 116:2, | [1] - | 16:9, | 136:8, | 171:2 |
| 175:2 | 161:1 | 16:11, | 138:5, | user [1] - |
| unfriendly | unnecessar | 17:9, | 140:17, | 180:9 |
| [1] - | ily [1] - | 19:8, | 143:3, | users [3] |
| 180:7 | 70:14 | 20:12, | 156:7, | - 67:11, |
| uninspecte | unnecessar | $21: 7,$ | 157:9, | $68: 4, ~ 89: 4$ uses [4] _ |
|  |  | 28: 6, | 157:12, | uses [4] - |


| 96:2, | var | 1 | 66:10 | 18:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96:4, | [2] | 160:4 | visualize | wants [7] |
| 162:12, | 187:5 | Victor [1] | [1] - 13:3 | - 16:5, |
| 178:5 | vehicle | - 174:3 | voicemails | 66:2, |
| Utility | [8] - | Victor"-E- | [1] - | 66:15, |
| [1] - | 67:7, | R-I-N-G | 79:11 | 73:9, |
| 112:5 | $76:$ | [1] - | voices [1] | $74: 9$, |
| utilize | 76:11, | 139:12 | - 140:3 | 88: 6, |
| [1] - | 76:13, | Victor-I-R | vote [4] - | 126:7 |
| 25:18 | 135:12, | [1] - | 26:1, | warm [1] |
| utilized | 155:2, | 174:3 | 61:6, | 174:17 |
| [1] - | 159:17, | victualer | 61:8, | Washington |
| 11:15 | 193:4 | [2] - | 134:7 | [1] - 95:9 |
| utilizes | vehicles | 12:17, | voting [1] | waybill |
| [1] - | 69:16, <br> 76:15, <br> 103:7, <br> 118:9, | 18:14 | - 190:18 | [5] - |
| 66:11 |  | $\frac{\text { victualers }}{[1]-5: 10}$ | Voutiritsa | 86:16, |
| utmost [1] |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [1] - } \\ & 51: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 12, \\ & 95: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| - 86:6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-5: 10} \\ & \text { video [1] } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | videotaped |  |  |
| V | 125:17, |  | W | ways [5] - |
| valid [2] | 8:9, | [1] - 3:15 | wait [1] - | $68: 13,$ |
| :14, | 169:17 | view [2] | 180:16 | 3:8, |
| 7:18 | vendor [1] | 2:13 | waiting | 107:1, |
| Valley [1] | venture | 173:10 | [2] - | 142:3, |
| 149:2 |  | violate |  | 189:10 |
| valuables | venue <br> [2] | [1] - | 19:2, | website |
| [1] - |  | 85:11 | waitress | [1] - |
| 185:7 | - 11:16, | violating | waitress | 159:13 |
| value [2] | :11 | [1 | -1 | week [9] - |
| - 92:6, | Verena [3] | 79:13 |  | 6:4, 9:16, |
| 94:2 | - 63:18, | violati | walking | 19:8, |
| variable | 64:1, | violat |  | 39:10, |
|  | 64:13 |  |  | 40:6, |
| $145$ | VERENA [1] |  | [1] | 87:12, |
| vari | 64:1 |  | - 104:10 | 130:6, |
|  | r |  | Wall [2] - | 189:5 |
| 171:8, | 2:1, | [1] | 25:9, | weekends |
| $171: 8$, $189: 10$ | 178:18 |  | 125:10 | [2] - |
| 189:10 | Veterans | sitor | wall [1] - | 39:6, |
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