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October 30, 2014
(Hearing is being audio and videotaped by Xavier Dietrich.)

-     -         - 

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: Good
morning. This is the License Commission Decision Hearing, Thursday, October 30 at 11:30 a.m. We are in the Michael J. Lombardi

Building, 831 Mass. Ave, basement conference room.
Before you are the Commissioners, Chair

Andrea Jackson and Commissioner Robert Haas.
Be aware this hearing is being audio
taped and videotaped.
APPLICATION: SHAN DONG DUMPLING HOUSE,

INC., D/B/A DUMPLING HOUSE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: First
matter is application: Shan Dong Dumping House,

Inc., d/b/a Dumping House Jing Liu Sloane,
manager, holder of a common victualer license at 950 Massachusetts Avenue has applied for a new wine and malt beverages restaurant license at said address. The already-approved hours and capacity will remain unchanged.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Good morning, Madam

Chair and Commissioner.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Good morning.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Good morning.

James Rafferty on behalf of the applicant.

Seated to my right is Adam Bing, $B-I-N-G$,
and do you want to ...

JING SLOANE: Jing Sloane, J-I-N-G
$S-L-O-A-N-E$.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: One moment,
please. Excuse me.

```
    If you would like to videotape, that's
```

fine; however, standing right there in the way in
which you are doing is very disruptive, so I
would like to ask if you could please step back.
(Xavier Dietrich moves)
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If you could
please step back, sir.
(Xavier Dietrich moves.)
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So let me be
clear, can you please step back towards the door.
It's just very disruptive where you're at.
Thank you.
XAVIER DIETRICH: This is disruptive
right here?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Where you were
standing at directly behind them was disruptive,
yes.
XAVIER DIETRICH: How about this?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: That's fine.

Mr. Rafferty, please continue.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Madam

Chair. As was suggested at last month's meeting we did convene a meeting with the residents of the condominium association where the restaurant is located.

You recall that Mr. Bing has been
operating his business there now for
approximately six months, so I prepared a memo, I
hope the Board has had an opportunity, the

Commissioners, an opportunity to see it,
summarizing the event.

I would describe it as a well-attended
meeting, generally a positive meeting with most residents expressing support for the restaurant, the manner in which it was operated, but I did identify several areas of concern that were noted and we're proposing remediation on each of those.

Some of the work that we're proposing to be done has already commenced.

I just learned today that the sound
insulation between -- in the new ceiling tiles between the ceiling of the restaurant and the floor above has already taken place this week, and I have some photographs of that work.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Is that the work,
counsel, that you submitted in terms of the quote
that was attached?

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: No. That's the
exhaust work, and that's been approved now by the management company since we had the meeting, and an engagement letter and retainer check has been
sent to the contractor Simon Brothers and that
work is probably expected in the next week or
two.

That was one of the more informative
aspects of this exploration. We kind've had a
forensic analysis of the existing exhaust system and it was found to have many shortcomings.

This was an inherited system from the
prior operator, and the shortcomings involved the location of the equipment, exhaust equipment, on
the roof, there was some covering over it that
was creating excessive noise and vibrations and
in the opinion of the exhaust company it was not allowing the odors to emanate correctly into the
air. It was causing them actually to back up.

They also believe that the cleaning, even
though Mr. Bing has a contract with the cleaning service was having the three-month cleanings as required, the feeling of the contractor was that they were not adequate.

Their inspection of the exhaust shaft was
that they were not being properly cleaned.

So this new company is providing new --
in addition to their insulation work, they'll

```
provide ongoing maintenance and we reviewed the
features of the maintenance work with the
management company because the recommendation is
there are actually locations in the building, the
exhaust obviously begins -- the shaft begins in
the restaurant, but it goes up to the building to
the roof, and there are locations in the corridor
of the building that the cleaner needs to get
access to so they can do interim cleaning along
the length of the shaft as it goes up the
building, and that has been arranged.
So we are anticipating, as I said, that
work being completed. So that addresses the
noise and the exhaust.
The sound issues we are proposing, a few
alternatives, including creating an interior
waiting area.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Can I
stop you for a minute?
```


## ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So the exhaust piece, you said it's an ongoing, so that means it's going to be more frequent than the three months or it's just gonna be more thorough in terms of cleaning?

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: I would say more thorough. I think the three month is adequate, but it's two things. It will be, if you've had an opportunity to review invoice, it's an enhancement of the system itself including a new
fan and reworking of creating some vibration
stabilization on the roof, but going forward a
new method and a more extensive method of
cleaning the exhaust than has happened previously
by a new vendor, by this vendor.
Frankly, what the licensee did was he
inherited the system and he inherited the company
that had been cleaning it. He had his

```
certificates showing it was being cleaned every
three months, but the contractor was helpful in
pointing out that they thought that the work was
not effective, so that that's the order on the
exhaust issue.
```

    The noise issue, which we've tried to
    address both inside the restaurant in terms of
the sound, the sound reducing insulation in the
ceiling. There's typical ceiling tile and then a
vacuum between there.
After the neighborhood meeting, and the
meeting with the residents, we had an opportunity
to tour the premises.
(Reporter needs to break file -
Computer freeze.)
ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: As $I$ was saying, we
did have an opportunity to tour the restaurant
with one of the residents who lives directly
above it after the meeting and her attorney and

```
were able to identify a few things.
```

    There's an exceptionally long vestibule
    as you first enter the restaurant from the
street, and it amounts to a large corridor, so it
could easily accommodate some seating or a bench
or two that would provide an alternative for
people to stay inside while they were waiting.
You recall one of the concerns is that,
particularly late in the week, Thursday, Friday,
and Saturday, during peak dining hours, estimated
between 6:30 and 8:30, people arrive and have to
sometimes wait for their table, and those patrons
are often standing on the sidewalk and their
conversations and such can sometimes effect the
residents above, so we -- by identifying this
area we think people might be incentivized to
stay inside.
We also went into the restaurant and
located an area in the back of the restaurant
that's largely unused. It is not used for patron seating, it is used almost by the staff to have meals before the restaurant opens, and this area we've looked at it and it could easily take four small circular tables with three chairs and we would create a seating area so if people arrive and their table is not ready and they can go to that area of the restaurant.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: But they won't be eating at that table?

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: They would not be eating, there wouldn't be food service there.

But did contemplate that we could as an incentive be able to say that where the beer and wine license be issued, we thought that they might be able to serve them a glass of wine or beer as an incentive to keep them in the restaurant. This actually happens to be a more preferable location for waiting in the view of
the resident because the vestibule area is under her bedrooms, and as we looked at the restaurant, she found this area, which was deep into the restaurant, it actually would be more preferable so we're proposing 12 seats in a waiting area only for customers waiting for tables.

And as $I$ said, the restaurant itself, is
successful but it does have -- is not a late night designation. They don't accept customers after 10 a.m., and generally on most nights everyone is out of the restaurant by 11. That's even true on weekend nights.

Mass. Ave, those blocks of Mass. Ave are
relatively active at those hours, so I don't
think these customers or these patrons would be changing the overall conditions on the street in
this area. And, again, these are patrons who
would coming to the restaurant anyway. They are not going to have a bar, they are not going allow
for wine or beer service as anything other than a complement to the food service.

This is very much a restaurant, great attention focused on menu and cuisine, kitchen staff and preparation. So it is a full-service restaurant open for lunch and dinner, serving significant local population, high percentage of walk-in trade, and as contained in the record many, hundreds of signatures by patrons mostly from Cambridge expressing a desire to be able to have a glass of wine or beer with their meal, and it's for this reason that the applicant would ask that the Commission consider allowing them to demonstrate that they can effectively hold a beer and wine license.

I think the first six months of
operation, under their CV license has proven to be successful. I know Ms. Lint had an
opportunity to attend the resident meeting, and I
must say it was not just, you know, trying to spin it, it was a very positive meeting, and the residents, in my estimation, even the residents that had concerns, spoke well of the operators and the attempt to be responsive to issues. There was a time quite awhile ago where the Commission had kind of a six-month policy, I recall, on things like this, that an operator could come in, couldn't actually apply for a beer and wine license for six months, to essentially prove their credibility and their effectiveness in operating a restaurant. I would say that is what has occurred here. Since the issuance of
the CV license, the Commission can look at a
record here of performance and we're hoping that
they would -- the Commission would be able to
conclude that with the types of mitigation
measures that we're proposing, that the licensee would be given an opportunity to serve beer and
wine.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Thank you.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I know we also
received correspondence from Attorney Franzel.

Typically we don't allow testimony, new
testimony, at the Decision hearing but I would
like to give him an opportunity to be heard.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Can I
ask a question?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Sure. Sorry.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: You
mentioned with -- there's two waiting areas,
there's one you are envisioning in the vestibule
with benches and then there's one further towards
the back of the restaurant where you mentioned
that that there's an opportunity for patrons to
get drinks while they are waiting, so --

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: No, go ahead.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Am I
getting ahead?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: No. Go ahead.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: No, that's correct. POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I know you have represented that the beer and wine
license as being principally to complement
dinner, $I$ 'm just trying to figure out if you have this other piece of the operation, and I appreciate why you are trying to do it -ATty JAmes RAfferty: No. It's a
legitimate question, and it/s not the intention, and we would not want it to become a lounge or have the appearance of a lounge. Frankly, it was a bit of brainstorming with the neighbors, well, what is it that we could do to incentivize people to remain in, particular in the warm weather, in good months if you have a five- or ten-minute wait, it's a busy, active restaurant, some
patrons may simply prefer to stand outside on the sidewalk and have a conversation, so we thought of it as a way to possibly incentivize waiting patrons to remain in.

I would say it is not critical to our
operation. It was only offered as a suggestion to, as I said, incentivize patrons to wait while they are in the restaurant, but $I$ had the same thought, well, we wouldn't want this to morph into some type of lounge, which is not what it is. If you saw it physically, it's really just a corner of the restaurant. But we certainly wouldn't serve anything in the vestibule, but the area where the service bar would be, it would be right there, and we thought well, maybe if someone had a 20-minute wait and we said, well, if you sat there, we could give you a glass of wine, the may choose to do so. So that's the thinking behind it but $I$ understand the caution.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS:

Typically what is the waiting time for patrons that do come when the restaurant is at its full operation?

ADAM BING: Ten minutes to 15 minutes.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: You can turn over that quickly, ten minutes? So you are not having people sitting there upwards to an hour, hour and a half waiting?

ADAM BING: No. Usually not that long. ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: They have recently instituted an on-line -- they joined one of those on-line reservation systems, they didn't have that earlier, so they've reported to me it has improved wait time.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: It helps cut down with the wait time?

ADAM BING: They also can call in to make reservation, so to avoid a big group of people

```
waiting outside.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'm
```

good.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You're good?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Uh-huh.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Let's step back.

ATTY JONATHAN FRANZEL: Good morning.

I'm Attorney Jonathan Franzel, and this is

Deborah Koplow.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: If you could
please state your name for the record, again
louder, if you could please spell your first and
last name along with your client.

ATTY JONATHAN FRANZEL: Certainly.

Jonathan, J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N, Franzel,

F-R-A-N-Z-E-L. And Debbie, D-E-B-B-I-E, Koplow, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{W}$.

I agree with almost everything Mr.

Rafferty has said. The steps that they have taken have be proven to be very constructive as if their intention is to be terrific neighbors. There remains a couple of issues that exist. I don't know when the insulation was installed, but as recently as last night Ms. Koplow was still able to hear the scraping of chairs on the floor and the dull murmur of conversation downstairs.

Another issue, which was not mentioned is
that of smoke on the street from patrons waiting outside.
I think that the steps that have been
taken and have been suggested to bring the
patrons into the restaurant are extremely helpful
in mitigating the number of people that would be
waiting outside, but $I$ would like to see signage
outside saying No Smoking. On this building
there's a sign that says No Smoking within 25

```
feet of the building. I would like to see
```

something like that with a universal symbol for
not smoking in order to discourage people waiting
to get into the restaurant from smoking
underneath my client's window.
Steps such as enabling customers to make
reservations, $I$ heard talk of pagers that would
be given to patrons so that they wouldn't have to
wait underneath my client's window, and the
seating area in the back of the restaurant, I
think are all very constructive in terms of
reducing the number of people that will be
waiting outside.
Those are really the main concerns that
remain. I believe we would waive an objection to
beer and wine license if those steps are taken
and the signage is replaced and the sound
deadening beefed up a little more.
DEBBIE KOPLOW: The vestibule.

ATTY JONATHAN FRANZEL: I'm not sure if
the seating in the vestibule would be great
unless the sound deadening is improved because,
as you mentioned, it is under Ms. Koplow's
bedroom.

DEBBIE KOPLOW: And my daughter's
bedroom.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you very
much.

DEBBIE KOPLOW: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Mr. Rafferty, if you would like to have your clients come back, it's fine just in case there's any follow-up questions.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: In response to the suggestion, all of these measures are intended to be responsive to concerns expressed by neighbors and particularly Ms. Koplow and so if the vestibule seating doesn't turn out to be an
improvement, we certainly would modify it, but we can take a look at how the sound deadening has worked.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So the
inside waiting hasn't been determined yet in
terms of the operations?

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. No. I mean

I think it wouldn't involve much more than buying a couple benches or seats, so it is not a very extensive --

ADAM BING: Right now, we left some
chairs outside to have them use.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: They have taken a
few of the typical restaurant chairs and have put
them into the vestibule. It just struck me it happens to be an exceptionally sized vestibule, it's not the typical, you open the door and then two steps you're in. It's almost like a long narrow queuing area, that would -- you know, if

```
you were there and you were waiting in the rain,
it would be, you know, I'm sure people must wait
there in inclement weather, as opposed to staying
on the sidewalk.
```

    The signage was a big part of we've done.
    We agreed to provide a copy of the sign and have
at least two, if not three signs posted promoting
awareness among patrons of the close proximity of
residents and the request to be respectful.
I'm sure Attorney Franzel would agree, we
probably have a limited activity to restrict
conduct on the public way. The sidewalk is
beyond perhaps our ability to enforce a smoking
restriction, but we're happy to try to encourage
self-regulating there.
But I wouldn't want the licensee have to
be responsible for enforcing conduct on the
sidewalk that might otherwise be permitted and
create that type of conflict where they would be

```
attempting to tell people they couldn't smoke.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So how
does your client feel amending the sign just to
incorporate, especially in the front of the
restaurant, trying to dissuade or discourage
patrons from smoking immediately outside the
restaurant. Again, I think you are right, I
don't think you can enforce it but I think you
can make people aware of the fact that it is a
troubling behavior for the area residents and I
think most people can be respectful of that.
ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: We had talked about
a policy which we would put on the sign that if
you do smoke, you have to wait five additional
minutes to be seated. Like some type of a
sanction.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Five more minutes
under the window.
                ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: True things are
```

said in jest. The thinking being that $I$ think
through humor and other measures you can create some atmosphere or awareness around that. And
that's what we said in the signage, we would -we might try to be a little cheeky or a little
clever to at least draw people's attention to this problem, so ...

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Any other
questions?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: NO
questions.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So I think your
clients have done a great job at least trying to work with the residents and they have run a clean
shop for the last, what, six months has it been.

I appreciate the meeting and hope you
will continue the dialogue with the residents of
the building. I think that's very important.

It seems as though you have tried to

```
address all the concerns raised.
```

So based on that, I would like to make a motion that we approve the application for the beer and wine license with the proposed 12 seats in the waiting area, but alcohol not be served to those people who are waiting.

I think, as you discussed, the alcohol
would just be table service, and that's the way $I$ would like it to remain, and subject this to a review in six months.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Is there a second?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in favor
signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You are all set.

ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm assuming the
representation in our memo would serve as

```
conditions on the license. We are happy to live
with that.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes. In terms of
    everything you have agreed to with -- I mean, I
really see it as between your client and the
condo board and the residents but $I$ would assume
if this is what you have represented and this is
what you have agreed to and you will take care of
it.
ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And I'm sure if
you haven't, then when you come back in six
months, we'll know about it.
ATTY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. Well, thank
you for your time.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
- - -
APPLICATION: GROUPZOOM, INC. D/B/A BRIDJ
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Application: Groupzoom Incorporated, doing
business as Bridj. Matthew George, CEO, has
applied for a jitney license to operate 8 routes
in Cambridge. The routes are available in the

License Commission office and it will be
published on the License Commission's website before the hearing.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Your position with

Bridj, so we can have it on the record.

MICHAEL IZZO: I'm the operations
director. I'm here representing Bridj.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So I have the memo
of understanding, which makes me very happy that
it was done beforehand, signed, et cetera, and my
only comment about the memo of understanding and
just as it relates to the jitney license, I think
we covered it during the initial hearing, was
whether or not there can be signage placed on the
buses so people know that it is a Bridj bus, so
in case there are any --

MICHAEL IZZO: I believe that's one of
the last bullets in the memo.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: No. 9.

MICHAEL IZZO: Yeah, it is in there.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So are you doing
it with magnets? I think that was the question
that we had before. You were saying the magnetic signs didn't work.

MICHAEL IZZO: Correct. Currently we have signs in the windows and our intent is to, as we progress, to put larger decals on both -you know, not wrap the vehicle but almost wrap it. So our intent is to brand it, brand the vehicles more.

But right now we have signs in the
windows, so people on the side -- standing on the sidewalk can see.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Can see? In my

```
opinion this is a great effort between Bridj and
the city to try to hammer this out.
    MICHAEL IZZO: Thank you.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Do you have any
comments or questions?
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: NO
comments.
    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So based on that,
I make a motion that we recommend to the City
Manager's office -- or is it the City Council via
the City Manager's office?
    EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:
Recommend approval to the City Manager for the
City Council.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: That. I make a
    motion that we recommend approval to the City
Manager -- to City Council through the City
Manager approval of the jitney license. Is there
a second?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in favor signify by saying.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Aye.

You are all set. Good luck.

MICHAEL IZZO: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And so $I$ can add it, even with the stipulations that are in the memo of understanding, that in six months we'll reconvene and see how it goes.

MICHAEL IZZO: Yes.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

Last item.

POLICY: CREDIT CARD ACCEPTANCE FOR
$\underline{\text { CAMBRIDGE TAXIS }}$

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT:

Policy: The Board of Commissioners will discuss

```
and take comments on a policy that would require
all Cambridge taxicabs to be capable of accepting
credit cards.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: This is a
    continuation of the hearing that we had. Do you
remember the original date?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: I can
tell you. September 9.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Requiring that all
credit cards -- all cabs be required to take
credit cards.
And $I$ know you gentleman are here and I'm
assuming you have seen the language. What we're
looking at putting in effect on April 1, 2015 is
that all cabs have electronic credit card
processing capability, the equipment to be used
shall be at the discretion the driver. Such
equipment shall list fare, tolls, fees and tips
separately for processing purposes.

Such equipment shall have the ability to electronically authorize a transaction in a timely manner. Such equipment will provide a printed receipt and/or email receipt that includes cab number, Hackney number, date, transaction number, start and end miles, fare, any extra charges, tolls, surcharge, tip, total, type of card, card number, authorization, something that says the customer copy, the

Cambridge Hackney hotline number, along with a Hackney website.
We'd also like to add that it says, while
recognizing that in accordance with Federal law drivers may charge a $\$ 10$ minimum for credit card usage, the Board of License Commissioners would strongly encourage all taxis to accept credit cards regardless of the amount of the fare. If the driver will only accept a credit card for the $\$ 10$ minimum, the cab must have this posted
visibly in the cab. The sticker shall be posted by the Hackney officer at the time of vehicle inspection. If the total amount of the cab fare,
including tip, will be $\$ 10$, the driver must
accept a credit card.

I think we can, if it's approved, I think
we can work out the fine tuning of the language
to actually put in, but this is, to me, the good basis of it, and I guess the first motion should be whether or not we want to accept, require,
that all Cambridge taxicabs accept credit cards.

Do you have any comments?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: No. I
think we heard testimony before in the prior
public hearings and $I$ think many of the
applicants that were here indicated that the
credit cards are long overdue with the taxicab
business understanding that it's been
undercutting their business to some degree
because it's just the way people do transactions now.

So it seems to me that this is really
codifying what many of the cab drivers are already doing in order to keep up with the competing business out in the field or in any industry.

So I think the meeting we had was relatively positive in terms of supporting the notion of regulating or at least requiring credit cards be allowed in taxicabs.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: And $I$ guess from
my standpoint, I am not inclined to try to
dictate the type of credit card processing equipment that drivers should use.

I think technology is ever changing and I
think if we were to say, implement, you know, $X$ machine, in six months it will be something else, and we'd be constantly going back. So I think
as long as we are able to outline out what it is
that we want the screen to look like, the
information contained, and the information
contained in the receipt, then that's, at the end
of the day, that's my concern. I want to make
sure that the customer can get a receipt that has
all the information on it, and if there's a
problem, they know who to contact.

I also, you know, am just, I won't say
weary, because $I$ think, unfortunately, I think
there are a couple drivers who make it bad for
everyone else where you go to maybe the airport
and they say, you know, my credit card machine
doesn't work, and $I$ think in those instances we
have to stay on top of the drivers.

I know that I've had it happen several
times when you go to the airport they say, my
machine doesn't work. But then when I say, I'm
not going to pay you then, then magically the
machine works.

So I think, you know, unfortunately, like

I said, I don't think it is all drivers. I think
it is just sometimes we do have drivers out there who make it bad for everyone else.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I think
there's a way of having a paper back-up also that
they can get the credit card number, produce a receipt for the patron, and things like that. So

I think there's ways to -- and I would, as a
safeguard, just to avoid that kind the conflict, that the drivers do, in fact, have some kind of paper back-up process so that if the machine goes down, at least they can still process or accept payment by credit card.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I agree. So based
on --
(Unidentified speaker begins talking.)

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I need you first
to please -- you can't speak out. We are on the record, so $I$ need you to come to the table, please, you can identify yourself for the record and then you can provide whatever feedback you like quickly.

```
WALID NAKHOUL: My name is Walid Nakhoul.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You have to spell
if for her.

WALID NAKHOUL: My name is $W-A-L-I-D$.

Last name $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{L}$. And I'm the owner the Checker Cab, okay, and in the event of a credit card machine is down or anything like that, I always encourage the driver, for example, put the customer on the phone and we do it manually on the machine in the office. So the customer still pay by credit card and we email the customer the receipt or fax or whatever they like.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay, and they
would still be able to have that same

```
information?
```

WALID NAKHOUL: Yes, because all the machines are programmed the same way. I mean, at least mine, you know what I mean? So whether it is machine 1 or machine 100 , the receipt is going to print out the same way.

Okay, the only thing gonna be different
is the terminal ID number and amount from everybody. That's all. And the time and the date will be different. You know what $I$ mean?

For example, you may have a machine with a
transaction at 12:00 and the other person has a different ID number, different terminal ID number runs at 2:00, for example, and different money,
but all the information, the basic information is the same.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Okay. Thank you.

So based on that, I would like to make a motion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LAWRENCE PRIFT: Can I speak or }-- \\
& \text { CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You can come to }
\end{aligned}
$$

the table. Please address yourself and state your name and your affiliation for the record and if you can make it brief, please.

LAWRENCE PRIFT: I will make it very,
brief. Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioner. My name is Lawrence Prift, $L-A-W-R-E-N-C-E . \quad$ Prift
is spelled $P$, as in Peter, $R-I-F-T$. One licensed Hackney driver.

I'll make this brief. My sole concern is
where -- will it be required where the equipment
is to be installed in the vehicle and does the passenger have direct access to that equipment.

My concern is theft and vandalism by the passenger. We do not have the protective shields in these cabs, nor am $I$ suggesting that we have them, but there is the temptation to somehow take things. It doesn't happen to all of them but it
does happen that they may decide to take that equipment if they have direct access to it.

That's my concern.

I speak from experience. I have been
held up twice in my career as a driver, once in Boston, the was gun placed to my head, they stole the stuff and they took off and they left me with a fare thee well, $I$ was whacked in the side of the head by the barrel of the gun. The second time was in Cambridge, and thanks to the prompt action of the Cambridge police, the thieves were apprehended and my property returned, and thank you, Commissioner Haas for your force. But again, access to it, potential for vandalism, if not just Tom Foolery or theft, I'm quite concerned about that because in Boston, and Mr. Sheinfeld is here today to confirm this, the problem is it connects to the meter, the card equipment, and if that is disrupted, the meter
may also be thrown out of the whack, so the passenger -- where is it to be installed in the vehicle and does the passenger have direct access to that equipment. Those are my concerns. POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: SO I
think when we heard testimony, and $I$ think this is why the Chair was specific in saying that
we're not going to specify the type of equipment you have because $I$ think a number of cab drivers are using a hand-held device to actually capture that information.
And we're saying we don't have an
objection to that as long as this information can be transmitted to the customer, so they have this information whether it is through email or paper copy, no matter how you transmit it, just transmit it.

So I think whatever you think is the best
in terms of, and as the Chair said, the

```
technology keeps changing so there's no point of
us trying to keep up with that.
    And I think it's just really for you
decide what is gonna be the best for your
operation as long as you can produce this
information for the customer.
LAWRENCE PRIFT: That answers all of my questions, and \(I\) thank you for your time.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
Sir, if you can please come forward.
PETER SHEINFELD: Peter Sheinfeld.
\(S-H-E-I-N-F-E-L-D\).
```

As Mr. Prift said, separate, keeping it so, if the radio goes down, the meter doesn't is critical and that's one of the problems in Boston where everything is all lumped into one, and as you specified, Commissioner Haas, specifying what kind of equipment, you specify capabilities, not brand, or make, or whatever, and in a capability

```
situation that the meter is not tied to the
```

radio. For example, in Boston you are on a radio
association and you violated someone in their
operating the rules, they want to take you off
the air, well, that shuts down everything, you
can't earn a living or anything.
They have to be separate, so you can
continue to run even though you are not able to
take any work on so-and-so's radio.
So I think the point that Lawrence Prift
raised is very good, and $I$ think this is a step
in the right direction from a \$10 minimum.
Remember, at least in Boston, you are hit with a
six or eight percent handling charge, and that
money doesn't come on to your account for
anywhere two to seven to eight days. In the taxi
business it's still pretty much a cash business
and if your owner -- if you lease from your
owner, you have to buy your gas and all that, so

```
cash flow is of critical importance. That's why
the $10 minimum, you know, why have your money, a
lot much more of your money, and a lot of work is
relative short. So that's -- I think separation,
allowing for the separation of meter and receipt
-- or meter and charge equipment, so if the
charge equipment goes down, the whole thing
doesn't go kaput.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: That's
good.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.
    Did you want to be heard as well or you
    are good? If you are going to say the same
thing, then we're good. Again, come to the
table.
AMIR SASSINE: My name is A-M-I-R. $S-A$,
double $S, \quad I-N-E$.
I have a concern about the $\$ 10$ minimum.
You keep this moving and quick and people jump in

```
the cab and being kicked out, I would like to see
it to be eliminated, like there's no -- you know,
I know we suffer financially but to keep the
industry rolling quick and give us better pay is
to omit the $10.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I would love to be
able to eliminate the $\$ 10 . I$ don't have that
ability. I don't think we as a board have that
ability to eliminate the $\$ 10$.
So what I'm proposing is if we approve
this, which $I$ think we will, is that when the
cabs -- we're saying the effective date on this
is April, 1, 2015.
When drivers come for their spring
inspection, they need to identify at that time
whether or not there will be a $\$ 10$ minimum or not
and then the appropriate signage will be put in
the cab. But $I$ think it has to be clear then,
and it can't be -- we see it sometimes even with

```
some of the cars now, sometimes they want to be
cash only, and then if someone is going on a long
ride to the airport, all of a sudden they take a
credit card. You can't have it both ways.
So at the time of inspection is when it
will be declared and the cab will have the
appropriate stickers on it.
    AMIR SASSINE: One more thing. Like
currently we are using the print machines and I'm
not sure if we be able to fit all the info you
are asking about. I don't know if technically it
is doable.
```

    CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: I say it is only
    because $I$ know $I$ took a cab and this is what was
on my receipt, and everything that was on --
everything that we have listed out was what was
on my receipt.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: That's
programmable. You can actually program what you

```
want to come out on the receipt, right?
    AMIR SASSINE: I think something -- but I
```

don't know if they have a limit how many lines.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: It has
to be a longer piece of paper, that's all.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: The print was
small but it was all there.
AMIR SASSINE: I believe the one you have
that it's coming out from the meter connected to
the machine in the back because it will read the
meter between tip, tolls and the extra. Those
may not be technically doable because after we
process the first time, the customer wants to tip
the driver, then we have to run it again, so
there might be some technical issues on those --
both of those machines.
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We'll see.
AMIR SASSINE: I mean, it's giving the
total, right? I mean --

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: It's the total but
it's important, $I$ believe, for the passenger to be able to have the breakdown of that total, so what are they paying in base fare, what are they paying for the tip. Again, we have had instances recently, too, of complaints of drivers adding in their own tip or extra to the tip.

So I think it's important that passengers
are able to see if $I^{\prime} m$ giving you a $\$ 5$ tip, I
want to be able to see it on my receipt.

So I think it's important that all of
that information is broken out for the passenger to be able to see.

AMIR SASSINE: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Thank you.

LAWRENCE PRIFT: Information, if I may.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: One last time.

LAWRENCE PRIFT: One last time. Lawrence

Prift again. Just to clarify the items on Page

```
2, each cab company or driver has the discretion
```

of -- does all this have to be there? Or is that
something that each cab company may decide?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: This is the
information that we want there.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: At
minimum.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: At minimum.

LAWRENCE PRIFT: Start, end miles we have
that on there. But you want all this on here?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Again, I have seen
it on other receipts.

LAWRENCE PRIFT: In Cambridge?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yep.

LAWRENCE PRIFT: (Inaudible) number too?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Yes. I don't know
if you still have those copies upstairs but -EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: If
not, we can find some.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: We can find some.

```
I'll find them for you.
```

LAWRENCE PRIFT: I'll take your word on
it.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: So based on the
testimony, I make a motion that we approve effective April 1, 2015 that all taxicabs in the City of Cambridge have an electronic credit card processing capability with the equipment to be used at the discretion the driver with the equipment listing the fare, tolls, fees, tips definitely for processing purposes, and the items that were discussed in terms of outlining on the receipt and notification sent to all owners and drivers. Is that possible, Ms. Lint? At least all owners and they can
distribute it to drivers. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ELIZABETH LINT: And
we can send it to the radio services well.

## CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Based on that,

that's my motion. Is there a second?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: So can

I ask you a clarifying question?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Sure.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: We did have a conversation a little bit about having some type of back-up system in place, is that a recommendation, or do you want to incorporate that into the regulations as well. If they are going to accept credit cards. They need to have a back-up system as well. Walid talked about, he has a mechanism where they call back into his shop, and I'm sure there are other ways that they can actually -- without specifying it, but again, making sure you don't find yourself in the situation like you described where you get to the airport and all of a sudden they are telling you the system doesn't work any longer. So is that
going to be a requirement or do we want to make
that a recommendation, I guess, as part of the motion is my question to you?

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Let's do it as two separate motions then.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I'll
second your motion.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in favor
signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Aye.

So now in regard to having back-up
equipment, is that a phone call-- (cell phone
ringing) if you could please turn your phone on
to vibrate, please.

Is that a phone call you can come back
and get your payment another day?

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: MY
view, quite honestly, is that we should be as
liberal with respect to whatever that back-up is,
as long as there is a back-up in place, so if
it's the paper credit card slips, it's a way to
call into the dispatch center or the operating
entity to, again, then generate an email type of
receipt, right, so $I$ would leave it up to the
different operators to figure out what the
different back-up is. But what we don't want to
hear is about a complaint that for some reason
the system went down, and therefore, somebody was
forced to pay cash, so whatever you -- the
industry does, but it's a requirement, I would
say that it's incorporated in the regulations,
and if, in fact, when we get that kind of
complaint, that we can take action with respect
to the fact that that back-up system was not in place.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Perhaps make it as
a recommendation that there is a back-up system

```
that not only gives the driver the ability to
process the credit card but it also has to make
sure that it provides the passenger with
information in which they can follow up if there
are any issues.
    POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Right.
```

Right.
So is that a recommendation or is it a
requirement of the regulation?
CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: You can make the
motion if you so desire.
POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: I would
suggest that we require as part of this new
policy decision that each operator have the
ability or at least identify -- have the ability
to have a back-up system should the electronic
version of their credit card system fail, and if
we find out that doesn't take place or there's no
mechanism in place, then $I$ would determine that

```
as violation of the regulation.
```

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Motion's been
made. I second. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Aye.

That's it. I make a motion we adjourn.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Second.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: All those in favor
signify by saying aye.

POLICE COMMISSIONER ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

CHAIR ANDREA JACKSON: Aye.
(Hearing was adjourned)
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| \$ | $26: 10,26: 11,29: 14,$ |  | attempt ${ }_{[1]}-5: 8$ | bench [1]-1:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10[10]-25: 14,25: 19, \\ & 26: 4,36: 13,37: 3, \\ & 37: 19,38: 6,38: 8, \\ & 38: 10,38: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACCEPTANCE }[1]- \\ & 23: 17 \\ & \text { accepting }[1]-24: 3 \\ & \text { access }[4]-32: 14, \\ & 33: 2,33: 15,34: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:13, 18:15, 18:18, } \\ & \text { 19:4, 19:12, 19:17, } \\ & \text { 20:9, 20:13, 21:5, } \\ & 21: 7,22: 1,22: 5, \\ & 22: 9,22: 16,23: 3, \end{aligned}$ | attend [1] - 5:4 <br> attention [2] - 4:8, 17:7 <br> Attorney [3] - 6:8, | ```14:10 best [3] - 34:19, 35:5, 36:12 better [1] - 38:5``` |
| 1 | accommodate [1] | 23:6, 23:9, 23:14 | attorney [1]-1:4 | $19: 6,22: 2,40: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{[4]}-24: 15,31: 5, \\ & 38: 14,43: 8 \\ & 10:[1]-3: 14 \\ & 100[1]-31: 5 \\ & 11[1]-3: 15 \\ & 12[2]-3: 9,18: 5 \\ & 12: 00{ }_{[1]}-31: 12 \\ & 1490{ }_{[1]}-50: 14 \\ & 15_{[1]}-9: 8 \end{aligned}$ | ```accordance [1] - 25:13 account [1] - 36:16 accurate [2] - 49:12, 50:5 action [2]-33:11, 46:16 active [2] - 3:18, 8:3 activity [1] - 15:12``` | 27:12, 29:16, 29:19, 30:7, 30:18, 31:17, 32:2, 35:10, 37:12, 37:13, 38:7, 39:14, 40:7, 40:18, 41:2, 41:16, 41:18, 42:5, 42:9, 42:12, 42:15, 42:17, 43:2, 43:6, 44:3, 44:7, 45:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATTY [19] - 1:1, 2:15, } \\ & \text { 6:6, 7:7, 7:14, 9:14, } \\ & \text { 10:10, 10:11, 10:18, } \\ & \text { 13:3, 13:17, 14:8, } \\ & \text { 14:14, 16:13, 17:1, } \\ & \text { 18:12, 18:19, 19:11, } \\ & \text { 19:15 } \\ & \text { authorizations }[1]- \\ & 25: 9 \\ & \text { authorize }[1]-25: 3 \end{aligned}$ | beyond [1] - 15:14 <br> big ${ }_{[2]}$ - 10:3, 15:5 <br> BING [4] - 9:8, 9:13, <br> 10:2, 14:12 <br> bit [2] - 7:18, 44:9 <br> blocks [1]-3:17 <br> board [2] - 19:7, $38: 9$ <br> Board [4]-24:1, <br> 25:15, 50:11, 50:12 <br> Boston [5] - 33:6, |
| 2 | ADAM [4]-9:8, 9:13 10:2, 14:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:10, 48:2, 48:6, } \\ & 48: 9,48: 12 \end{aligned}$ | available [1] - <br> Ave [2]-3:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 17,35: 16,36: 3 \\ & 36: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2}[1]-42: 2 \\ & \text { 20-minute }[1]-8: 19 \\ & \text { 2015[3] -24:15, } \\ & \text { 38:14, 43:8 } \\ & \mathbf{2 5}[1]-12: 3 \\ & \mathbf{2 : 0 0}[1]-31: 14 \end{aligned}$ | add [2] - 23:9, 25:12 <br> adding [1] - 41:7 <br> additional [1] - 16:15 <br> address [2] - 18:1, 32:3 <br> adjourn [1] - 48:7 <br> adjourned [1] - 48:13 | answers [1] - 35:8 <br> ANY [2] - 50:16, 50:17 <br> anyway [1] - 4:3 <br> appear [1]-50:6 <br> appearance [1] - 7:17 <br> applicant $[1]-4: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { avoid }[2]-10: 3,29: 11 \\ & \text { aware }[1]-16: 10 \\ & \text { awareness }[2]-15: 8 \text {, } \\ & \text { 17:4 } \\ & \text { awhile }[1]-5: 10 \\ & \text { aye }[12]-18: 16,18: 17, \\ & 23: 5,45: 11,45: 12, \end{aligned}$ | brainstorming [1] - <br> 7:18 <br> brand $[3]-21: 15,36: 1$ <br> breakdown [1] - 41:4 <br> BRIDJ [1] - 19:19 <br> Bridj [5] - 20:3, 20:10, <br> 20:12, 21:1, 22:2 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { administered }[1] \text { - } \\ & 50: 10 \end{aligned}$ | applicants $[1]-26: 16$ application [2]-18:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 13,48: 4,48: 5 \\ & 48: 6,48: 10,48: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { brief }[3]-32: 5,32: 7, \\ & 32: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $5_{[1]}-41: 10$ | affiliation [1] - 32:4 | 20:2 <br> APPLICATION [1] - | 48:12 | bring [1] - 11:17 <br> broken [1] - 41:1 |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { agree }[3]-11: 3, \\ 15: 12,29: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:19 } \\ & \text { applied }[1]-20: 4 \end{aligned}$ | B | building [3]-12:2, |
| 6:30 [1] - 1:15 | $\text { agreed }[3] \text { - 15:6, }$ | APPLY [1] - 50:16 <br> apply ${ }_{[1]}-5: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { back-up [11] - 29:7, } \\ & 29: 13,44: 10,44: 14, \end{aligned}$ | bullets [1]-21:4 |
| 8 | ahead $[3]-7: 3,7: 5$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appreciate }[2]-7: 13 \text {, } \\ & 17: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 14,46: 2,46: 3 \\ & 46: 9,46: 17,46: 19 \end{aligned}$ | buses [1]-21:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8_{[1]}-20: 4 \\ & 8: 30[1]-1: 15 \end{aligned}$ | air [1] - 36:6 <br> airport [4]-28:12, | apprehended ${ }_{[1]}$ - $33: 12$ | 47:16 <br> bad [2] - 28:11, 29:5 <br> bar [2]-4:4, 8:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 18,26: 19,27: 6, \\ & 36: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 |  | $38: 18,39: 8$ | barrel [1] - 33:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { busy }[1]-8: 3 \\ & \text { buy }[1]-37: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9[2]-21:5, 24:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:8 } \\ & \text { allow }[2]-4: 4,6: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { approval [3] - } \\ \text { 22:17, 22:19 } \end{gathered}$ | based [6] - 18:3, 22:9, | buying [1] - 14:9 |
| A | allowed [1]-27:11 <br> allowing [2]-4:17 | approve [3] - $38: 11,43: 7$ | $44: 3$ | C |
| A-M-I-R $[1]-37: 17$ a.m $[1]-3: 14$ ability $[7]-15: 14$, $25: 2,38: 9,38: 10$, $47: 1,47: 15$ able $[15]-1: 5,2: 18$, $2: 19,4: 14,6: 1$, 11:10, 28:1, $30: 19$, $36: 9,38: 8,39: 11$, $41: 4,41: 10,41: 11$, 41:14 accents $[1]-50: 6$ accept $[8]-3: 13$, $25: 17,25: 18,26: 5$, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 6 \\ & \text { almost }[4]-2: 6,11: 3, \\ & \text { 14:19, } 21: 14 \\ & \text { alternative }[1]-1: 10 \\ & \text { amending }[1]-16: 4 \\ & \text { AMIR }[6]-37: 17,39: 9, \\ & \text { 40:3, } 40: 9,40: 19, \\ & \text { 41:15 } \\ & \text { amount }[3]-25: 17, \\ & \text { 26:3, } 31: 8 \\ & \text { amounts }[1]-1: 8 \\ & \text { AND/OR }[1]-50: 17 \\ & \text { ANDREA }[71]-2: 13, \\ & \text { 6:5, } 6: 7,6: 14,7: 3, \\ & 7: 6,9: 19,10: 5,10: 8, \end{aligned}$ | ```approved [1] - 26:6 April [3] - 24:15, 38:14, 43:8 area \([14]-2: 1,2: 4\), 2:7, 2:10, 2:12, 3:5, 3:7, 3:9, 4:2, 8:17, 12:12, 15:1, 16:11, 18:6 areas [1]-6:16 arrive \([2]-1: 15,2: 10\) association [1] - 36:4 assume [1] - 19:7 assuming [2] - 18:19, 24:14 atmosphere [1] - 17:4``` | basic [1] - 31:15 <br> basis [1] - 26:9 <br> become [1]-7:16 <br> bedroom [2]-13:7, <br> 13:9 <br> bedrooms [1] - 3:6 <br> beefed [1] - 13:1 <br> beer [10]-2:18, 3:1, <br> 4:5, 4:15, 4:18, 5:13, <br> 6:4, 7:9, 12:18, 18:5 <br> beforehand [1] - 20:15 <br> begins [1] - 29:18 <br> behavior $[1]$ - 16:11 <br> behind [1]-9:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { cab }[12]-25: 6,25: 19, \\ & 26: 1,26: 4,27: 4, \\ & 34: 10,38: 2,38: 19, \\ & 39: 7,39: 15,42: 2, \\ & 42: 4 \\ & \text { Cab }[1]-30: 11 \\ & \text { cabs }[4]-24: 11, \\ & 24: 16,32: 17,38: 13 \\ & \text { Cambridge }[11]-4: 14, \\ & 20: 5,24: 3,25: 11, \\ & 26: 11,33: 10,33: 11, \\ & 42: 14,43: 9,50: 11, \\ & 50: 12 \\ & \text { CAMBRIDGE }[1]- \\ & 23: 18 \end{aligned}$ |



| eat $[1]-2: 16$ <br> eating [1] - 2:14 | expressing $[1]$ - 4:14 <br> extensive [1]-14:11 | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { high }_{[1]}-4: 11 \\ & \text { hit }_{[1]}-36: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:6 } \\ & \text { inspection [3] - 26:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| effect [2] - 1:18, 24:15 effective [2]-38:13, 43:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extra }[3]-25: 8,40: 12, \\ & 41: 8 \\ & \text { extremely }[1]-11: 18 \end{aligned}$ | gas [1] - 37:1 <br> generally [1] - 3:14 <br> generate [1] - 46:6 | hold [1] - 4:18 honestly [1] - 46:1 hope [1] - 17:17 | $\begin{gathered} 38: 16,39: 6 \\ \text { installed }[3]-11: 9, \\ 32: 13,34: 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { effectiveness }[1] \text { - } \\ & 5: 15 \end{aligned}$ | F | George [1] - 20:3 <br> given [2]-6:3, 12:10 | hotline [1]-25:11 <br> hour [2]-9:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:6 } \\ & \text { instituted }[1] \text { - 9:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { effort }[1]-22: 2 \\ & \text { eight }[2]-36: 15 \text {, } \\ & 36: 17 \\ & \text { electronic }[3]-2 \end{aligned}$ | ```F-R-A-N-Z-E-L [1] - 11:1 fact [4]-16:10, 29:12, 46:15, 46:16``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { glass }[3]-3: 1,4: 15, \\ & 9: 1 \\ & \text { gonna }[2]-31: 7,35: 5 \\ & \text { great }[4]-4: 7,13: 4, \end{aligned}$ | hours [2] - 1:14, 3:18 <br> humor [1]-17:3 <br> hundreds [1] - 4:13 | ```INSTRUCTIONS [1] - 49:2 insulation [1] - 11:8``` |
| 43:9, 47:16 electronically ${ }_{[1]}$ - | fail [1]-47:17 <br> fare $[7]-24: 19,25: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17:14, 22:2 } \\ \text { group }[1]-10: 3 \end{gathered}$ | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { intent [2] - 21:12, } \\ & 21: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25: 3 \\ & \text { eliminate }[2]-38: 8 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 18,26: 4,33: 8, \\ & 41: 5,43: 12 \\ & \text { favor }[5]-18: 15,23: 3, \end{aligned}$ | GROUPZOOM ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-N-E }[1]-37: 18 \\ & \text { ID }_{[3]}-31: 8,31: 13 \end{aligned}$ | intention [2]-7:15, 11:6 |
| 38:10 eliminated [1] - $38: 3$ | $45: 10,48: 3,48: 9$ | guess [3] - 26:9, | $38: 16,47: 15$ | issuance [1] - 5:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELIZABETH }_{[7]}-20: 1, \\ & 22: 13,23: 19,24: 8, \\ & 42: 19,43: 17,44: 1 \end{aligned}$ | fax [1] - 30:17 <br> Federal [1]-25:14 feedback [1] - 30:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 12,45: 4 \\ & \text { gun }[2]-33: 6,33: 9 \end{aligned}$ | ```identifying [2] - 1:19, 50:7 immediately [1] - 16:7``` | issue ${ }_{[1]}-11: 13$ <br> issued [1]-2:19 <br> issues [4]-5:9, 11:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { email [4] - } 25: 5,30: 16 \text {, } \\ & 34: 16,46: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fees }[2]-24: 19,43: 12 \\ & \text { feet }[1]-12: 4 \end{aligned}$ | H | implement ${ }^{[1]}$ - 27:17 <br> importance [1] - 37:2 | $40: 16,47: 5$ |
| enabling [1] - 12:9 <br> encourage [3]-15:16, <br> 25:16, 30:13 | few [2]-1:5, 14:15 <br> field [1]-27:6 <br> figure [2]-7:11, 46:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HAAS }[33]-6: 12,6: 15, \\ & 7: 4,7: 8,9: 4,9: 9, \\ & 10: 6,10: 9,14: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { important [4]-17:19, } \\ \text { 41:3, 41:9, 41:12 } \\ \text { improved }[2]-9: 18, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Items }[2]-42: 1,43: 13 \\ & \text { itself }[1]-3: 11 \\ & \text { IZZO }[7]-20: 11,21: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| end [3]-25:7, 28:4, | financially ${ }_{[1]}$ - $38: 4$ fine $[2]$ - 13:15, 26:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 3,17: 11,18: 1 \\ & 18: 17,22: 7,23: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 13:5 | 21:6, 21:11, 22:4, |
| 42:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fine }[2]-13: 15,2 \\ & \text { first }[5]-1: 7,5: 1, \end{aligned}$ | 23:5, 26:13, 29:6, | improvement [1] 14:2 | 23:8, 23:13 |
| 16:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:9, 29:19, 40:1 } \\ & \text { fist }[1]-10: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 6,37: 10,39: 19 \\ & 40: 5,42: 7,44: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { IN }{ }_{[1]}-50: 16$ | J |
| enter [1] - 1:7 | fit [1] - 39:11 | 4:8, 45:8, 45:1 | INC [1] - 19:1 | JACKSON ${ }_{[71]}$ - 2:13, |
| entity $[1]$ - 46:6 envisioning ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { five }[3]-8: 2,16: 15, \\ & 16: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 19,47: 6,47: 12, \\ & 48: 5,48: 8,48: 11 \end{aligned}$ | incentive [2]-2:17, 3:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 5,6: 7,6: 14,7: 3 \\ & 7: 6,9: 19,10: 5,10: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| equipment [16] - | floor [1] - 11:1 <br> flow [1] - 37:2 | Haas [2] - 33:13, 35:18 Hackney [5] - 25:6, | incentivize [3]-7:19, 8:6, $8: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:14, 13:10, 13:13, } \\ & \text { 16:18, 17:9, 17:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24:17, 24:19, 25:2, $25: 4,27: 15,32: 12$ | $\text { focused }[1]-4: 8$ | 25:11, 25:12, 26:2, | incentivized [1] - 2 | 18:13, 18:15, 18:18, |
| 32:14, 33:2, 34:1, | follow [2]-13:15, 47:4 | 32:10 | inclement [1] - 15:4 | 19:4, 19:12, 19:17, |
| 34:9, 35:19, 37:8, | follow-up [1] - 13:15 | half [1] - 9:12 <br> hammer ${ }_{[1]}$ - 22:3 | inclined $\left.{ }_{[1]}\right]-27: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:9, 20:13, 21:5, } \\ & \text { 21:7, 22:1, 22:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 43:10, 43:12, 45:15 | food [2] - 2:16, 4:6 | hand [1] - 34:11 | includes [1] - 25:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:7, 22:1, 22:5, } \\ & \text { 22:9, 22:16, 23:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| ERRATA [1] - 49:1 errata [1] - 49:3 | Foolery $[1]-33: 16$ FOR $[1]-23: 17$ | hand-held [1] - 34:11 | including [1] - 26:4 incoherently [1]-50:7 | 23:6, 23:9, 23:14, |
| especially ${ }^{[1]}-16: 5$ | force ${ }_{[1]}$ - $33: 14$ | handling [1] - 36:1 | incorporate [1] - | $3: 15,24: 5,24: 10,$ |
| essentially $[1]-5: 14$ | forced ${ }_{[1]}-46: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { happy }[3]-15: 15, \\ 19: 2,20: 14 \end{gathered}$ | 44:11 | 27:12, 29:16, 29:19, 30:7, 30:18, 31:17, |
| estimated $[1]$ - 1:14 estimation [1]-5:7 | FOREGOING ${ }_{[1]}$ 50:16 | head [2]-33:6, 33:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incorporated [1] - } \\ & 20: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $32: 2,35: 10,37: 12,$ |
| et $[1]-20: 15$ | foregoing [1] - 49:10 | hear [2] - 11:10, 46:10 | incorporated [2] | $: 13,38: 7,39: 14,$ |
| event [1] - 30:11 | formal ${ }_{[1]}-50: 9$ | 26:14, 34:7, 37 | 6:5, 46:1 | 41:16, 41:18, 42:5, |
| example [4]-30:13, | forth ${ }^{[1]}$ - $50: 5$ | hearing [6] - 6:10 | indicated [1]-26:16 | 42:9, 42:12, 42:15, |
| 31:11, $31: 14,36: 3$ except $[1]-49: 11$ | forward ${ }^{[1]}-35: 11$ four $[1]-2: 8$ | $20: 8,20: 18,24: 6$ | industry [3]-27:7, | $42: 17,43: 2,43: 6,$ |
| except [1] - 49:11 exception [1] - 50:5 | $\text { frankly }{ }_{[1]}-7: 17$ | $48: 13,50: 4$ |  | 44:3, 44:7, 45:6, |
| exceptionally [2] - 1:6, 14:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FRANZEL [3] - 10:11, } \\ & \text { 10:18, 13:3 } \end{aligned}$ | hearings [1]-26:15 heavy [1] - 50:6 | information [14] 28:3, 28:7, 31:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 10,45: 13,46: 1 \\ & 47: 10,48: 2,48: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXECUTIVE }[7]-20: 1, \\ & 22: 13,23: 19,24: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Franzel [4] - } 6: 8 \text {, } \\ & 10: 12,10: 19,15: 11 \end{aligned}$ | held [2] - 33:5, 34:11 helpful [1]-11:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 15,34: 12,34: 14, \\ & 34: 16,35: 7,41: 13, \end{aligned}$ | JAMES [16] - 1:1, |
| 2:19, 43:17, 44:1 | Friday [1] - 1:13 | helps [1] - 9:19 | $41: 17,42: 6,47: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 15,6: 6,7: 7,7: 14, \\ & 9: 14,10: 10,13: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| exist [1]-11:8 | $\text { front }[1]-16: 5$ | hereby [2]-49:11, 50:4 | inhouse [1] - 50:10 | 14:8, 14:14, 16:13, |
| experience [1]-33:4 <br> expressed [1]-13:19 | full $[2]-4: 9,9: 6$ <br> full-service [1] - 4:9 | herein [1] - 50:5 | initial [1] - 20:18 <br> inside [3]-1:11, 2:2, | 17:1, 18:12, 18:19, |


| 19:11, 19:15 | leave [1] - 46:7 | 22:18, 22:19 | 22:10, 22:17, 26:9, | one [14]-1:3, 1:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jes | left [2] - 14:12, $33: 7$ | -22:1 | 7, 44:4 | 6:18, 9:1 |
| [2] - 50:3, 50 | [1] - 7:1 |  | 5:9, 47:11 | 32:9, 35:16 |
| e [3]-20:4, 20:17, | liberal [1] - 46:2 | m | 48: | , 37:8, 39:9 |
| :19 | License [4] - 2 | manually [1]-30:1 | 's [1] - 48:2 | :9, 41:18, 41:1 |
| job [1] - 17:14 | 20:7, 25:15, 50:1 | Mass [2] - $3: 1$ | [1] - 45 | n[2] - 4:10, 14:18 |
| joined [1] - 9: | licen | Massachusett | moving [1] - 38:1 | opens [1] - 2:7 |
| JONATHAN ${ }^{4}$ | 4:19, 5:2, 5:14, 5:18 | 50:2, 50: | murmur [1] - 11:1 | operate [1] - 20:4 |
| 10:11, 10:18, 10:19 | 7:10, 12:18, 18:5, | matter [1]-34:1 | must [4]-5:4, 15:3, | operating [3]-5:16 |
| 13:3 | 20:4, 20:17 | Matthew [1]-20 | 25:19, 26:5 | 5, 46:5 |
| ```Jonathan [2]-10:12, 10:19 jump [1] - 38:1``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:19 } \\ & \text { licensed }[1]-32: 9 \\ & \text { licensee }[2]-6: 3, \\ & 15: 17 \end{aligned}$ | meal [1] - 4:15 <br> meals [1]-2:7 | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { operation }[5]-5: 2, \\ & 7: 12,8: 9,9: 7,35: 6 \\ & \text { operations }[2]-14: 7, \\ & 20: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 3,31: 4,31: 10 \\ & 40: 19,41: 1 \end{aligned}$ | N-A-K-H-O-U-L [1] - 30:10 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Licensing }[2]-50: 11, \\ & 50: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAKHOUL [3] - 30:6, } \\ & 30: 9,31: 2 \end{aligned}$ | operator [2]-5:12,$47: 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-O-P-L-O-W }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & 11: 2 \end{aligned}$ | limit ${ }_{[1]}-40: 4$limited $[1]-1$ | measures [2]-6:2, $17 \cdot 3$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Nakhoul [1] - 30:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { operators [2] - } 5: 8 \text {, } \\ & 46: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| keep [5] - $3: 2,27: 5$, | line [2]-9:15, 9:1 | $44: 15,47: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:17, 30:6, 30:9, } \\ & 30: 10,32: 4,32: 8, \end{aligned}$ | opinion [1] - 22:2 <br> opportunity [5] - 1:2, |
| 38:1, 38 | lines [1] - 40: | meeting [5] - 1:4, 5: | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 10,32: 4,32: 8 \text {, } \\ & 37: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { opportunity }[5]-1: 2, \\ 5: 3,6: 4,6: 11,7: 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| eeping [1] - 35:1 | Lint [2] - 5:3, 43:1 | 5:6, 17:17, 27:8 | names/places | opposed [1] - 15:4 <br> order [2] - 12:6, 27:5 |
| keeps $[1]-35: 2$ kicked $[1]-38: 2$ | LINT [7] - 20:1, 22:13, | memo [5] - 19:1 |  |  |
| kind [5] - 5:11, 29:11 | $43: 17,44: 1$ |  | 50:8 |  |
| 12, 35:19, 46:1 |  | mention | narrow [1] - 14:19 <br> need [4] - 29:19, 30:2, | outline [1] - 28:1 |
| kitchen [1] - 4:8 | listed [1] - 39:1 | 6:19, 11:13, $13:$ | $38: 16,44: 13$ | outlining ${ }_{[1]}$ - 43:14 |
| Koplow [4]-10:13, | listing $[1]-43: 1$ | menu [1] - 4:8 | neighbors [3] - 7:18, | outside [8]-8:4, 10:4, |
|  |  | 33 | $\text { new }[2]-6: 9,47: 13$ | 12:15, 14:13, 16:7 |
| $13: 8,13: 12$ | lives $[1]-1: 3$ living $[1]-36$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 1,35: 15,36: 2 \\ & 37: 7,40: 10,40: 1 \end{aligned}$ | night [2] - 3:13, 11:9 |  |
| Koplow's [1] - 13:6 | local [1] - 4:1 | MICHAEL [7] - 20:11, | nights [2]-3:14, 3:16 Norfolk [1] -50:2 | overall [1] - 4:1 <br> overdue [1]-26:17 |
| Kourafas [2] - 50:3, |  | 21:6, 21:11 | NOT ${ }_{[1]}-50: 16$ | overlapping [1] - 50:6 |
| 50:14 | location [1] - 3:4 | 22:4, 23:8, 23:13 |  | own [1] - 41:8 <br> owner [3] - 30:10, |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { look }[3]-5: 18,14: 3, \\ & 28: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { might }[5]-2: 1,2: 19, \\ 15: 19,17: 6,40: 16 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 19,37: 1 \\ & \text { owners [2] - 43:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { L-A-W-R-E-N-C-E }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 32:8 } \\ & \text { language }[2]-24: 14, \\ & 26: 7 \end{aligned}$ | looked [2] - 2:8, 3:6 looking [1] - 24:15 | miles [2]-25:7, $42: 10$ mine $[1]-31: 4$ | noted [1] - 49:11 <br> notification [1] - 43:15 | 43:18 |
|  | 7:17, 8:13 <br> love [1]-38:7 <br> luck [1]-23:7 <br> lumped [1] - 35:17 <br> lunch [1] - 4:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 19,36: 13,37: 3, \\ & 37: 19,38: 17,42: 8, \\ & 42: 9 \end{aligned}$ | number [13]-11:19, |  |
| large [1] - 1:8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 9,25: 11,29: 8, \\ & 31: 8,31: 13,34: 10 \\ & 42: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAGE }[1]-49: 4 \\ & \text { Page }[1]-42: 1 \\ & \text { pagers }[1]-12: 10 \\ & \text { paper }[5]-29: 7, \\ & 29: 13,34: 16,40: 6, \\ & 46: 4 \\ & \text { part }[3]-15: 5,45: 4 \text {, } \\ & 47: 13 \\ & \text { particular }[1]-8: 1 \\ & \text { particularly }[2]-1: 13 \text {, } \\ & \text { 14:1 } \\ & \text { passenger }[7]-32: 14, \\ & 32: 16,34: 3,34: 4, \\ & 41: 3,41: 13,47: 3 \\ & \text { passengers }[1]-41: 9 \\ & \text { patron }[2]-2: 5,29: 9 \\ & \text { patrons }[14]-1: 16, \\ & 3: 19,4: 2,4: 13,7: 1, \\ & 8: 3,8: 7,8: 10,9: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| largely [1] - 2:5 |  | minute [1]-8:2 <br> minutes [6] -9:8, |  |  |
| larger [1]-21:13 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:4, 23:15 |  | 16, 16:1 |  |  |
| $30: 10,41: 18,41: 1$ late $[2]-1: 13,3: 12$ | M | mitigating ${ }_{[1]}-11: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { objection [2]-12:17, } \\ & 34: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| law [1]-25:14 | ```machine [11]-27:18, 28:13, 28:18, 29:1, 29:13, 30:12, 30:15, 31:5, 31:11, 40:11 machines [3] - 31:3, 39:10, 40:17 Madam [1] - 32:7 magically \({ }_{[1]}-28: 19\) magnetic [1]-21:9 magnets [1]-21:8 main [1] - 12:16 Manager [3]-22:14,``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { modify }[1]-14: 3_{\text {money }[4]-31: 14,}^{36: 16,37: 3,37: 4} \end{gathered}$ <br> month [1] - 5:11 |  |  |
| LAWRENCE [9] - 32:1, $32: 6,35: 8$ |  |  | occurred [1] - 5:17 |  |
| 41:17, 41:19, 42:10, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF }[3]-50: 16,50: 16, \\ & 50: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 42:14, 42:16, 43:4 |  |  | offered [1] - 8:9 office [4]-20:6, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lawrence }[3]-32: 8 \text {, } \\ & 36: 11,41: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { months [8]-5:1, } 5: 14 \\ 8: 2,17: 16,18: 11, \\ 19: 14,23: 11,27: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $22: 11,22: 12,30: 15$ |  |
| lease [1] - 36:19 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:14, 23:11, 27:18 } \\ & \text { morning }[1]-10: 11 \end{aligned}$ | officer [1]-26:2 <br> often [1] - 1:17 |  |
| $\text { least }[9]-15: 7,17: 7 \text {, }$ |  | morph [1] - 8:12 |  |  |
| 31:4, 36:14, 43:18, |  | $\text { most }[2]-3: 14,16: 12$ | omit [1] - 38:6 <br> on-line [2]-9:15, 9:16 |  |
| $47: 15$ |  | mostly [1] $-4: 13$ <br> motion [11]-18:4, | once [1] - 33:5 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:14, 11:18, 12:10, } \\ & 15: 8,16: 7 \end{aligned}$ | prefer [1]-8:4 <br> preferable [2]-3:4 | Q | reducing ${ }_{[1]}-12: 14$ <br> regard [1] - 45:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:7, 2:3, 2:4, 2:7, } \\ & \text { 2:12, 3:2, 3:6, 3:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { pay }[4]-28: 19,30: 16, \\ 38: 5,46: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $3: 8$ preparation ${ }_{[1]}-4: 9$ | questions [5] - 13:16, | less [1] - 25:17 | $3: 11,3: 15,4: 3,4: 7,$ |
| paying [2] - 41:5, 41:6 | prepared [1] - 50: | 35:9 | 27: | $: 11,8: 15,9: 6,$ |
| payment [2]-29:15, <br> $45 \cdot 18$ | pretty ${ }_{[1]}$ - $36: 18$ | queuing [1] - 15:1 <br> quick [2]-38:1, 38 | regulation [2] - 47:9, | 11:18, 12:7, 12:13, |
| peak [1] - 1:14 | $5: 8,41: 17,41: 19$ | quickly ${ }^{[2]}-9: 10,30: 5$ | regulations [2] | restrict [1]-15:13 |
| people [19]-1:11, | 42:10, 42:14, 42:16, | quite [2]-5:10, 46:1 | 44:12, 46:14 | estriction [1] - 15: |
| , 2:10, 7:19 | 43:4 | quoted [1] - 50:9 | relates [1]-20:17 | ed [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 11,10: 3,11: 19, \\ & \text { 12:6, 12:15, 15:3, } \end{aligned}$ | Prift [4] - 32:8, 35:14, 36:11, 42:1 |  | relative [1]-37:5 | eview [1] - 18:11 |
| 16:2, 16:10, 16:12 | prift [1] - 32:8 |  | 27:9 | ROBERT [33] - 6:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:7, 21:1, 21:18, } \\ & 27: 1,38: 1 \end{aligned}$ | principally [1]-7:10 print [3]-31:6, 39:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-I-F-T }{ }_{[1]}-32: 9 \\ & \text { radio }[5]-35: 15,36: 3, \end{aligned}$ | remain [4]-8:1, 8:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 15,7: 4,7: 8,9: 4 \\ & 9: 9,10: 6,10: 9,14: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| people's [1] - 1 | pris | 36:10, 44:2 | remains [1]-11 | 17:11, 18:14 |
| percent ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 36 | printed [1] - 25:5 | RAFFERTY [16]-1:1, | remember [2]-24:7 | 17, 22:7, 23:2, |
| percentage $[1]$ - 4:11 | private [1]-50:7 | :15, 6:6, 7:7, 7:1 $: 14,10: 10,13: 17$ | 36:14 | 5, 26:13, 29:6, |
| performance [1] - | problem [3]-17:8 | 14, 10:10, 13:17 $: 8,14: 14,16: 1$ | replaced [1] - 12:1 | $: 6,37: 10,39: 19,$ |
|  | $2$ |  | [1] - 9:17 |  |
| perhaps [2]-15:14, 46:18 | problems $[1]-35: 16$ proceeding $[1]-50:$ | 9:11, 19:15 | Reporter [2]-50:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 8,45: 8,45: 12 \\ & 19,47: 6,47: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| permitted [1] - 15:19 | proceedings [1]-50:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rafferty }{ }_{[2]}-11: 2 \\ & 13: 13 \end{aligned}$ | REPORTER [1] | $48: 5,48: 8,48: 11$ |
| person [1] - 31:12 | process [4]-29:13, |  | $50: 17$ | rolling [1] - 38:5 |
| PETER [1] - 35:12 | 29:14, 40:14, 47:2 | rain [1] - 15:2 <br> raised $[2]-18 \cdot 2$ | representation [1] | routes [2] - 20:4, 20:5 |
| Peter [2] - 32:9, 35:12 <br> phone [4]-30:14, | processing [5] - $24: 17,25: 1,27$ | raised [2]-18:2, 36:12 | $19$ repr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rules [1] - 36:5 } \\ & \text { run }[3]-17: 15,36: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 45:16, 45:1 | 43:10, 43:13 | rapid [1] | $19$ | 40:15 |
| etically [1] -50:8 | produce [2] - 29:8 |  | representing | runs [1]-31:14 |
| physically [1] - 8:14 |  | reading [1] - 49:2 <br> ready [1]-2:11 | 20:12 |  |
| piece [2] - 7:12, 40:6 place [5] - 44:10, $46: 3$ | program [1] - 40:1 | ready [1]-2:11 <br> really [5] - 8:14, 12: | REPRODUCTION [1] - | S |
| place [5] - 44:10, 46:3 46:17, 47:18, 47:19 | programmable [1] $40: 1$ | $19: 6,27: 3,35: 4$ | request [1] - 15 | S-H-E-I-N-F-E-L-D ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| placed [2]-20:19, | pr | REASON [6] - 49:5 | require [3]-24:2 | $\begin{aligned} & -35: 13 \\ & \text { SA } 11]-37: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $33: 6$ point | progress [1]-21:13 |  | 26:10, 47:13 | safeguard [1]-29 |
| point [2]-35:2, 36:1 | progress ${ }_{[1]}-21: 13$ | reason [3]-4:1 | required [2]-24:1 | $\text { SAME }_{[1]}-50: 16$ |
|  | promoting [1] - 15:8 prompt ${ }_{[1]}$ - $33: 11$ | $46: 10,49: 2$ |  | sanction [1]-16:17 |
| 9:9, 10:6, 10:9, 14:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pr} \\ & \mathrm{pr} \end{aligned}$ | receipt [14]-25:5 | $\begin{aligned} \text { requirement }[3] \\ 45: 3,46: 13, ~ 47 \end{aligned}$ | SASSINE [6] - 37:17, |
| 16:3, 17:11, 18:14, | property ${ }_{[1]}$ - $33: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 4,28: 6,29: 9, \\ & 30: 17,31: 5,37: 7 \end{aligned}$ | requiring [2] - 24:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 9,40: 3,40: 9 \\ & 40: 19,41: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:17, 22:7, 23:2, } \\ & 23: 5,26: 13,29: 6, \end{aligned}$ | proposed [1] - 18:5 | 39:16, 39:18, 40:2, | $27: 10$ | sat [1] - 9:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23: 5,26: 13,29: 6, \\ & 34: 6,37: 10,39: 19 \end{aligned}$ | proposing [3] - 3:9 | $: 11,43: 15,46: 7$ | reservation [2] | Saturday ${ }_{[1]}-1$ : |
| 40:5, 42:7, 44:5, |  | receipts [1] - 42: | reservations [1] - 12:9 | [1]-8:1 |
| 44:8, 45:8, 45:12 |  | received [1] - 6 | resident [2] - 3:5, | scraping [1]-11:1 |
| 45:19, 47:6, 47:12, | proven [2]-5:2, 1 | recently [3]-9:14 | residents [9]-1:3 | reen [1] - 28:2 |
| 48:5, 48:8, $48: 11$ police $[1]-33: 11$ | provide [4]-1:10 | 11:9, 41:7 recognizing ${ }_{[1]}$ | 5:6, 5:7, 15:9, | seated [1] - 16:16 <br> seating [6] - 1:9, 2:6, |
| police [1] - 33:11 <br> POLICY ${ }_{[1]}-23: 17$ | $15: 6,25: 4,30:$ | recognizing [1] $25: 13$ | 11, 17:15, 17:18, | 2:10, 12:12, 13:4, |
| policy [5] - 5:11, | provides [1] - 47:3 | re |  | $14: 2$ |
| 16:14, 24:1, 24:2, | pl | $22: 10,22: 14,22: 17$ |  | seats [3]-3:9, 14:10 |
|  | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { recommendation [4] - } \\ \text { 44:11, 45:4, 46:19, } \end{gathered}$ | - 50:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 5 \\ & \text { second }[9]-18: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| tion 11-20:9 | published [1]-20:7 | $47: 8$ | respectful [2]-15: | $18: 14,23: 1,23: 2,$ |
| positive ${ }_{[2]}-5: 6,27: 9$ | purposes [2]-25: | reconvene [1]-23:12 |  | $3: 10,44: 4,45:$ |
| ssible [1] - 43:16 |  | re |  | 48:3, 48:8 |
| possibly [1] - 8:6 | $21: 13,26: 8,30: 13$ | 5:18, 10:15, 20:10, |  | see [12] - 12:1, 12:4 |
| posted [3]-15:7, |  | 30:2, 30:3, 32:4, | responsive [2] - 5:9, | 19:6, 21:19, 22:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:1, } 26: 2 \\ & \text { potential [1] - 33:15 } \end{aligned}$ | putting [1]-24:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:12, 50:5, 50:8, } \\ & 50: 9,50: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 13:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:12, 38:2, 39:1, } \\ & 40: 18,41: 10,41: 11, \end{aligned}$ |



| W |
| :--- |
| W-A-L-I-D $[1]-30: 9$ |
| wait $[9]-1: 16,8: 3$, |
| 8:10, 8:19, 9:18, |
| 10:1, 12:11, 15:3, |
| 16:15 |
| waiting $[18]-1: 11$, |
| 3:4, 3:9, 3:10, 6:16, |
| $7: 2,8: 6,9: 5,9: 12$, |
| 10:4, 11:14, 12:1, |
| 12:6, 12:15, 14:6, |
| 15:2, 18:6, 18:7 |
| waive $[1]-12: 17$ |
| WALID $[3]-30: 6$, |
| $30: 9,31: 2$ |
| Walid $[2]-30: 6,44: 14$ |
| walk $[1]-4: 12$ |
| walk-in $[1]-4: 12$ |
| wants $[1]-40: 14$ |
| warm $[1]-8: 1$ |
| ways $[3]-29: 10,39: 5$, |
| 44:16 |
| weary $[1]-28: 10$ |
| weather $[2]-8: 1,15: 4$ |
| website $[2]-20: 7$, |
| $25: 12$ |
| week $[1]-1: 13$ |
| weekend $[1]-3: 16$ |
| whack $[1]-34: 2$ |
| whacked $[13-33: 8$ |
| whole $[1]-37: 9$ |
| window $[3]-12: 8$, |
| $12: 12,16: 19$ |
| windows $[2]-21: 12$, |
| $21: 18$ |
| wine $[11]-2: 18,3: 1$, |
| $4: 5,4: 15,4: 19,5: 14$, |
| $6: 4,7: 9,9: 2,12: 18$, |
| 18:5 |
| word $[1]-43: 4$ |
| works $[1]-29: 1$ |
| wrap $[2]-21: 14$ |
|  |
|  |

