

MINUTES OF THE MID CAMBRIDGE NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION DISTRICT COMMISSION ARCHITECT'S COMMITTEE MEETING FOR 50 INMAN STREET

APPROVED AT THE MARCH 6, 2017 HEARING

Friday, November 18, 2016, 8:08 AM, 50 Inman Street, Cambridge

Commission Members present: Nancy Goodwin, *Chair*; Tony Hsiao, *Vice Chair*; Lestra Litchfield, *Member*; Margaret McMahon, *Alternate*

Commission Members absent: Sue-Ellen Myers and Monika Pauli, *Members*; and Charles Redmon, *Alternate*

Staff present: Samantha Paull Elliott

Proponents: Scott Cullen, Owner

Members of the Public: *None*

Ms. Nancy Goodwin, Commission Chair, called the meeting to order at 8:08 AM.

Dr. Scott Cullen, an owner, welcomed everyone to the site and handed out updated plans, comparing the existing proposal to the previous proposal. He noted that the new proposal would keep the project within the required setbacks and was zoning compliant.

Mr. Tony Hsiao, Vice Chair, asked for Dr. Cullen to elaborate on the proposal window changes. Dr. Cullen replied that the proposal was to install six-over-nine cottage-style Marvin Integrity clad windows with exterior muntins. He noted that they were hoping to install full height windows on the proposed porch. Mr. Hsiao asked what material was proposed for the trim board. Dr. Cullen replied cedar. Mr. Hsiao asked what was proposed for the window trim. Dr. Cullen replied flat stock to match historic details around the area and louvered shutters.

Ms. Litchfield asked what material was proposed for the addition. Dr. Cullen replied clapboard.

Ms. Lestra Litchfield, Commissioner, asked how many parking spaces would be provided. Dr. Cullen replied one.

Ms. Goodwin asked if there were skylights proposed. Dr. Cullen clarified that there were some existing and some new skylights.

Ms. Goodwin asked if they were adding fireplaces. Dr. Cullen said they had been previously removed but they were looking at a gas insert.

Mr. Hsiao asked why there was a shift in the rear edge on the rear expansion. Dr. Cullen replied that it was currently non-conforming and that the new addition needed to be conforming.

Mr. Hsiao noted that connecting an addition to the existing structure can be tricky, pointing to the roof intersection. He continued that sketches help, as would setting the addition back and picking up the trim edges. He said that a handful of pieces would have to be worked out in a 3D rendering to help sort out the symmetry. Mr. Hsiao suggested adding more glass to lighten up the space.

Ms. Goodwin said that the amended proposal was an improvement from the previous proposal. Ms. Margaret McMahon agreed.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:42am.

Respectfully submitted,

Samantha Elliott
Preservation Administrator