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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Alison Hammer and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the December 10 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge's temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. In due course, there will also be a transcript of the meeting. We have a stenographer -- as we do for all
of our meetings -- have a stenographer record the meeting and produce minutes, if you will, afterwards.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their names before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. You will have up to three minutes to speak, and I mean three minutes.

I've instructed Sisia that at the end of three minutes, if you're still talking, your mic goes off. No -we're not going to go over. We've got too many -- we've got a long night, and I'm sure we're going to have many people speaking -- mostly to repeat what they put in writing before, and to repeat what three other people said $f$ them, all of which means it's a very long night for our Board, and a very frustrating night.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm here.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura Wernick?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: You have to unmute.
ANDREA HICKEY: Unmute, Laura.
SISIA DAGLIAN: She's trying. Okay, Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here.
SISIA DAGLIAN: All right, Jim. Gus and Brendan
are here?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, here.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, here.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: And transcriptionist, can you hear
us?

THE REPORTER: Yes, I can hear you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay, thanks.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, we have -- there are five members. I will start by calling our first advertised case. Let me say something at the outset. Our regular agenda is scheduled to start at 6:00 p.m. We have continued
cases -- four in fact, on the agenda. These are cases that have started at an earlier date, but for one reason or another have been continued. They are scheduled starting at 7:00.

So when we come up around 7:00, if there's a break in the action, $I$ will recess this meeting, we'll hear continued cases, and then reconvene this meeting.
(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Alison Hammer and Jason Marshall Okay, with that by way of background, I'm going to call the first case, which is Case Number 017326 -- 2072 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sorry, I just need a minute. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sisia, any problems?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No, there's just a lot of people and I have to go screen through and bring people to a panelist, and -- I should be able to start now.

SEAN HOPE: Just want to test my microphone. Can everyone hear me okay?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Jim? (sic)
SEAN HOPE: I just wanted to make sure that you can hear me okay? I can try speaking up if you can't. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, we can hear you, Sean. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. SEAN HOPE: Good evening, Mr. Chair and members of
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the Board. For the record, I am Sean Hope. I am the principal of Hope Real estate, and along with my business partner and co-developer, Jason Korb of Capstone Communities. Together, we are CC HRE, 27 Mass Ave, TENANT LLC, the applicant.

We are here tonight to present a comprehensive permit to redevelop the parcel known as 2702 Mass Ave, pursuant to Mass. General Laws Chapter 40B. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sean? Excuse me, Sean? SEAN HOPE: Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If I can interrupt you just for a second. We have a lot of -- I suspect -- I'm sure we have a lot of people on the call, most of whom are not familiar with how comprehensive permit cases go.

I'd like to spend a few minutes, at the risk of boring my fellow Board members and you and your client to just simply lay out for the general audience how comprehensive permit cases work. And then after that, I want to address a few comments to you as the applicant. So with that, basically the Board of Zoning Appeals performs three functions. This is week to week. We pass on requests for a variance from our ordinance, we pass
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on requests for special permits under our ordinance, and we also hear appeals from decisions by the Building Inspector. That last category, we rarely have those cases, but from time to time we do.

Variances involve state law. The requests for a variance are established by state law. Special permits involve matters that are generally allowed by our ordinance, but still require approval, a special permit, from the Zoning Board because of the city has decided that as to certain things they want to -- I assume they want to have our Board pass upon them applying a standard that's set forth in our ordinance.

Any appeals -- and as I mentioned before, the third category are appeals from a decision of the Building Inspector -- all of these cases require a super majority vote by the Board to obtain relief. Super majority means there are five members sitting on a case; you must get affirmative -- the applicant must get four of those five to vote in favor of the relief being sought.

We also now -- we also have a fourth category, which is what we're going to discuss tonight, and that's a comprehensive permit case. By state law, Chapter 40B of the

General Laws, we can grant a comprehensive permit. 50 years or so ago, the state legislature decided that more affordable housing was needed.

And one reason for the shortage of affordable housing was local requirements, either because of restrictive zoning provisions, or because of requirements for approval from various local Boards, all that added time and expense to a project.

So under Chapter 40B, only a comprehensive permit from the Zoning board is required. The Zoning Board need only obtain input and advice from other town boards -- not approvals. The ZBA in short has the right to override local requirements and regulations, including the zoning statute itself.

In other words, Chapter 40B expresses a strong public policy in favor of waiving local restrictions and requirements, where appropriate, in order to facilitate affordable housing.

The Zoning Board -- us -- can, however, impose conditions on an applicant to mitigate adverse impacts on citizens of the community, with a focus on height, safety, environmental design, open space, and planning aspects of
the project.
We cannot impose conditions, however, that will
make the project -- the proposed development -- uneconomic. And again, consistent with the notion that the city -- the state legislature looking to favor the affordable housing to get the comprehensive permit requires a simple majority vote; three out of five, not the four out of five for everything else.

So in short, the Zoning Board of Appeals may deny granting the comprehensive permit, only if there are intractable issues, for which the Zoning Board is unable to create conditions that mitigate the impact of the development. That, in a few words, is how comprehensive permit cases arose, and how they work.

Now I want to turn to the actual case before us. And let me say at the outset, I said I wanted to address a few comments to you, Sean.

If one am very disappointed in how this case has been developed. It's been slipshod, and it's been rushed, and it makes it difficult for the citizens and certainly this Board to follow what's going on.

I don't know why you have, for example, filed your
application before you have an eligibility letter. And fortunately for you and your client, or you -- and you are the client, or one of the clients -- we got that eligibility letter today. If we hadn't received it, there would be no hearing tonight.

So I'm not happy about it, but that's how it is. And with that, by way of background, you can proceed with the presentation of your case.

SEAN HOPE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I do appreciate the background. I do think it's helpful. And later in the application, if you could discuss why and which (sic) the site eligibility letter came in. But I -- we have made great efforts to try to make the application as clear and concise as possible. And to the extent we didn't do that, we do apologize to the Board.

So to start off with, I'd like to make some introductions, if you could go to the next slide. So we have our project team that is here to make the presentation and answer any questions the Board might have about the proposal.

So speaking tonight would be the Project Architect. We have Jason Forney, a Principal of Bruner Cott

Architects. We have our Land Use Counsel, Ruth Sillman of Nixon Peabody.

We have our energy consultants, Tom Chase or Frank Stone, and I believe Frank Stone is here on behalf of New Ecology. We have our Traffic and Parking consultant. We have Scott Thornton of Vanasse \& Associates Inc. We have our landscape consultant, Jennifer Brooke of Lemon Brooke. Next slide, please?

As the Chair had mentioned back in 2017, this Board approved a comprehensive permit for Frost Terrace. That's a 40-unit, 100 percent affordable development, very similarly with three accessible parking spaces. I bring this up, as the Chair had mentioned, so this is about 10-12 blocks away from 2072 Mass Ave.

One of the reasons why we're showing this example is the same development team. Bruner Cott Architects, they were the architects on that project. They also did the Lunder Arts Center, which is directly adjacent to that, which was a big project in the community.

And part of the reason why we continue to work with them, I mean they are one of the premier architects, I believe in Cambridge and Boston. But also, they understand
the goals of the City of Cambridge on the corridor. They understand some of the guidelines and the history of how some of those Design Guidelines have developed, and we believe that they were the appropriate architect to lead what we believe is a bold and forward- thinking proposal.

The second part is also the quality. When you go by the site, and I'm sure many Board members have, you don't know it's an affordable development. It was an element of historic preservation. There was a new building. There was buildings in the back.

And so, one of the goals of Jason and I is that we build a building that looks like any other building in Cambridge. And that is not some -- an affordable building that is of a lesser quality.

And the last piece which you'll hear more about is we are 60 percent done with the construction of Frost Terrace. And we are starting to receive applications for potential tenants.

And I won't go into detail now, but the interest and the number of applications has really been substantial. And that only speaks to the level of the need for affordable housing. We'll get into that.

So -- just a couple of procedural elements.
First, as the Board said, we did -- we received a Site Eligibility Letter in the file, and that is a prerequisite to coming before the Board.

Just to summarize, the letter states that the project is eligible for funding in the form of tax credits. An on-site inspection was completed. Also the fact that the housing and the housing design were appropriate for the location. And lastly that CC HRE Mass Ave Tenant LLC, the applicant, is a limited dividend company.

One other piece of housekeeping: So for the relief requested, I would like to refer the Board to the waiver list that was included in the application, as well as the application advertisement. I request those be included and incorporated into the record, as the requested relief.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sean? Sorry, I have to interrupt you. I'm not able to screenshare for some reason. I have an error. Do you have the document? I can give you controls.

SEAN HOPE: So --
JASON KORB: Why don't you give me control? This is Jason.

SEAN HOPE: And I think more important than the documents that I have, the presentation has the full plans, and I think that is --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jason, do you have it? The presentation in front of you?

JASON KORB: I do, yes.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay. I'm going to -- you should be able to share it now. Can you test that?

JASON KORB: All right.
SEAN HOPE: So -- and it should be slide 3.

JASON KORB: Can everybody see that?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep.

JASON KORB: Is it okay like that, or would you like me to go to full screen?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I think full screen would look better, yeah.

SEAN HOPE: Okay. So -- an when you're ready -SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.

SEAN HOPE: -- if you could go to the next slide?

JASON KORB: Where do you go to Full Screen? Do you guys -- oh, here we go. Okay. This is Frost Terrace; this is currently it.

SEAN HOPE: Right. So this is when I was referencing the 2017 40B comprehensive permit Frost Terrace. The left is the rendering, and the right is what we are right now going by. And as I mentioned, we're 60 percent through construction, and this is this same development team.

So going to the development summary, so just by way of background, the site is at the corner of Mass Ave and Malden, and it's located in the BA2 district. As the Board is familiar, the BAT District is a mixed-use district that allows for multifamily housing. And it has an emphasis on ground-floor retail.

A very small sliver of the rear portion of the lot, less than 1 percent, is in the Res B. So as we start looking at the number of waivers, we had to include all the waivers for Res B, because Res B doesn't even allow for multifamily housing.

The lot is about 8500 square feet, and it's improved by a one-story brick building and paved parking, formerly the KFC site, as people may know.

And then to the development, as I mentioned, this is 100 percent affordable housing. There will be 49
affordable apartments, and these will be affordable in perpetuity.

There is an emphasis on two and three-bedrooms, so the majority 71 percent will be what's considered family units, two and three bedrooms. The project is seeing Passive House certification. There are also green roof and rooftop solar.

As part of the Mass Ave Overlay District, there is emphasis on ground floor retail, and so we're proposing 1000 square feet of neighborhood retail and 500 square feet of resident amenity space. There is three accessible parking spaces and two drop-off spaces.

We are fully compliant with the bike parking regulations. We have 51 total long-term bike parking spaces. We have an upper-level terrace amenity, and that has been the result of augmenting the proposal to go -going from nine stories on Mass Ave dropping down to six stories in the rear, as you transition into the Walden Street neighborhood Corridor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me --
SEAN HOPE: And now --

STEVEN A. COHEN: Sean? Excuse me. You should
just point out, or maybe I will point out -- that these nine stories along Massachusetts Avenue is the result of a filing that was made by you only two days ago. The original plans, and the ones that went before the Planning Board and other Boards, and what I think most citizens of the city saw, had eight stories.

SEAN HOPE: So that is not correct. At the Planning Board, they had -- and we presented, and their memo was reflective of it, we presented to them the nine-story building.

The change from eight stories, a flat eight stories to nine stories in the front and six stories in the back was the result of direct abutter conversations. So we made that change.

The CDD memo at the time that's dated -- that went to the Planning Board, did reference that we may consider going from nine stories and six in the back, and what we did present to the Planning Board in the letter of
recommendation to the Zoning Board was the nine stories and the six stories. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I understand that. I just want to be sure that those in the audience who may not be
aware until right now about the change in the height of the building as it fronts on Massachusetts Avenue. I just wanted to call it to their attention. That's all. SEAN HOPE: I appreciate that.

JASON KORB: We also -- do you mind if I
interrupt? We also gave a community meeting on 11/24/2020, where we presented this revised design. Everything is on our website at 2072massaveepts.com -- the whole timeline is on there, along with the backup, so you can actually follow the entire timeline of when these plans were released. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

SEAN HOPE: I think what we wanted to clarify is that this was not a last-minute change that wasn't reviewed by the neighborhood. I think you'll see it -- from what we've experienced, a lot of the opposition that we received talks about nine stories, which is evidence of the fact that we've had a full discussion on it, and we have -- we have discussed it fully.

Before we get into the actual presentation of the drawings and plans, I would just like to pass over to our Land Use Counsel, Ruth Sillman, so she can just go over a couple of points.

RUTH SILLMAN: Thank you, Sean. Can you hear me? Good evening Mr. Chairman. My name is Ruth Sillman, and I'm a partner at Nixon Peabody in Boston. You have to forgive me, I have some health issues relating to my tongue, and so, my speech is not as precise as it used to be, but I thank you for bearing with me.

I just wanted to take a minute to review the Chapter 40B initial requirements. The Chair did a very good job outlining and setting the stage for a 40B application. As the Board knows, pursuant to the $40 B$ regulations, to be eligible to submit an application for a comprehensive permit, the applicant of the project must satisfy three requirements:

The applicant must be either a public agency, a non-profit organization, or a limited [33:16 indiscernible] organization. And here, applicants are organization, which means that they have agreed to limit profits and distributions from the project.

Number 2, the project shall be fundable by a subsidizing agency under a low or moderate-income housing of the program, and again the $H C E$ issued the eligibility letter today.

And third, the applicant shall control the project site environment. As noted in the application, the applicants will allow lease of the property from the owner, who is an affiliated entity.

In accordance with the requirements of Chapter 40B, the applicants request that the BZA find that although the City of Cambridge has received a 10 percent affordability housing Safe Harbor, that the BZA finds that the project may proceed, and that it is consistent with local needs, because number 1 it meets the statutory criteria that I just outlined.

Number 2, the project provides much-needed affordable housing, and that to the project is consistent with local concerns, and satisfies health, safety and other local issues, as you will hear from the presentation that will follow.

I would now like to turn it over to Jason Korb.
JASON KORB: Thank you, Ruth, and thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Jason Korb. I'm the Principal of Capstone Communities, Partner with Sean Hope.

I just wanted to discuss a little bit more of the details of what level of affordability we're proposing here.

This is very similar to the other 100 percent affordable developments that have been proposed in the city, including Frost Terrace.

All of the affordable -- all of the apartments will be affordable in perpetuity. And we would request that that's something that you write in your zoning decision, so it actually closed with the land. It's very important to us and to our funders that this affordability be forever.

Eight of the 49 apartments would be set aside at or below 30 percent of the area median income. That really has no household minimum income. It had project-based vouchers, which were rent subsidies associated with those. And all of those apartments contain two and three bedrooms for families that are really struggling right now.

41 apartments would be at or below 60 percent of the area median income. And you can see these are maximum incomes, not minimum incomes. Maximum incomes ranged between $\$ 53,000$ and $\$ 82,000$. So it's a really wide swath of folks that can't afford to live in the city, whether they're exceptionally poor or in, you know, working poor, or even middle-income I would say.
These are the rents -- just to give you a sense.

These include all utilities, except for cable and Internet. They range between about $\$ 1344$ for a studio, $\$ 1440$ for a one-bed, $\$ 1728$ for a two-bed, and [36:48 audio unclear] for a three-bed. And again, those do not include utilities.

And I would just like to -- just look at the bottom, and you look at some of the comparable market rents. And these are post-COVID market rents. The pre-COVID market rents were much higher.

At the $Y$ that's just down the street, $\$ 3600$ for a two-bed. You compare that to -- that does not include any utilities. So that's like, you know, more than double what we would be charging.
$\$ 4500$ for a three-bed. You know, you factor in utilities, you can do the math. And in order to not be rent burdened -- it says that people are rent burning when they spend more than 30 percent of their adjusted gross income on rent -- households would have to make $\$ 152,000$ to $\$ 190,000$ to afford these rents, which is a lot.

And then there will also be preference for Cambridge residents. So 70 percent of the apartments would have a preference for people who either lived or worked in Cambridge, and the city has a very detailed list of how that
works.

The engagement process: I can tell you this is probably the most robust engagement process I've been a part of since I've been in development. We started working with the City of Cambridge back in April, the Planning Staff. We went through multiple iterations.

What we didn't want to do is go out to the community with something that the professional staff at the city couldn't support. And so, we spent many, many months with Traffic and Parking, with Urban Design, Sustainability, refining our plans, refining them again and over and over again.

When we did take our plans out in September, we had two large community meetings, two meetings with the Porter Square Neighbors Association, one meeting with the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee, we're very, very sensitive to the Russell Apartments next door.

As you know, that's an elderly building owned by the Cambridge Housing Authority. My Mom is personally -she's 83 years old and disabled. I'm exceptionally sensitive to this.

And we -- in terms of getting feedback from the
community, one resident suggested that we sit at Boards in the lobby and comment cards, which we've done. We have received comments. In general, people are supportive of affordable housing. They have concerns about construction next door, and those are things that, you know, and some of the traffic that's been brought up. And those are things that we'd love to continue working with them on.

We've had nine meetings with direct abutters, four meetings with various individuals. If you haven't seen the website, I'd suggest taking a look at it. We have an extremely robust comments section. I believe we responded to the -- almost every single comment. We've had some back and forth, and I think it's been a very respectful dialogue.

We have a very robust FAQ section. We've
continued to update it. Sean and I and our team have made ourselves available over Zoom day, night, weekends, and I think our opponents can attest to that.

Whether they agree or disagree with the project, I think that everybody would agree that we've availed ourselves to anybody and everybody who wanted to chat with us and give us feedback.
I've literally given most of my life to this
project. We've had meetings with various city departments, as you can see here, all of whom $I$ believe have written favorably of it, and we had a very positive meeting with the Planning Board.

So I can say personally as someone who's lived this for the last number of months, it's taken the life out of me, and it's been an exceptionally robust process. And we've had very great attendance.

And I think a lot of it has to do with the fact that Zoom has allowed more people to participate in this process than I've ever seen. Normally meetings are held at 7:00 at night and families can participate. I have two little kids.

You know, we've had Zoom kids where we've had younger people on the Zooms. Whether they agree with us or disagree with us, they participated. And I'd give this process a lot of credit for that.

We wanted to highlight a number of changes that came out of the community process. There was an issue brought up -- we originally had the residential entrance on Walden Street. People were concerned that people would park in front of the residential entrance -- Ubers and Lyfts,
people picking up people in the building, creating a huge backup on Walden Street. So we relocated the entrance from Walden Street to Mass Ave. I think that was very wellreceived.

We're proposing to widen Walden Street. And I'm not going to get into too much detail, because I know we're short on time. I want to be respectful of your time. This will be discussed later.

But this is a huge improvement from what we understand from the Traffic Engineers. We've set back the building on the ground floor, increased the width of the sidewalk along Walden Street. We've tapered the wall at the garage entrance to provide more visibility, and that also allows us to add some planters.

One of the issues on Walden Street and Mass Ave on
the sidewalks is there's Eversource duct banks that run underneath the sidewalk, which is preventing us from putting in street trees. So any opportunity that we can do to plant ground-level, you know, greenery is a real benefit, I think.

We increased the height along Mass Ave from eight stories to nine stories. And that was the suggestion of an abutter, actually, who said, really the height belongs on

Mass Ave. Mass Ave is four lanes. There are other tall buildings on Mass Ave. And then step it down to the community.

So we responded to that. That change was due to abutter feedback, not something that we just came up with on our own. And the city and the City Planning Staff has also been supportive of that too.

We added an upper-level resident amenity space. Our prior original plans didn't have any outdoor space for residents. We heard from the community, "Where are kids going to play? Where are residents going to experience the outdoors?" And so we've hired Jennifer Brook from Lemon Brooke Architects.

They're doing -- just purchased a rooftop garden in the city right now. They did the Acton Discovery Museum, which is where my kids love playing, to help design that rooftop amenity space, which is over 1800 square feet.

There is a tree on site, that we're planning on saving; retaining a Master Arborist. We updated our traffic study, and we implemented an additional transportation demand measure. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, can I ask you
where the tree is going to be? I didn't think there was any space on the lot --

JASON KORB: Sure. Yeah, so there's an existing tree that straddles out site in the CHA's building, the Russell Apartments, and it's literally straddles the lot. And we've hired a Master Arborist to figure out a way to save that one tree. So we have a Tree Preservation Plan from him that we're going to follow, that's part of the materials that we submitted. And we plan to adhere to that plan, commit to that plan, so that tree will be saved.

I can see if -- you know, I think we have some photos coming up. And I think that maybe our architect as he starts walking us through - Jason, if you could point where that tree is as we walk around the site -- Mr. Chairman, would that be helpful?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I just wanted -- this is the first time I saw reference to a Tree Protection Plan and a Master Arborist. I'm reading the files, so I'm a little puzzled by the reference.

JASON KORB: Yeah. The original submission includes the Tree Protection Plan. Everybody hear me okay? Sometimes I lose volume.

COLLECTIVE: Yes.

JASON KORB: I think now I'll pass it off to Jason Forney, from Burner (sic) Cott.

JASON FORNEY: Thank you, Jason. Good evening Mr. Chair and members of the Board. My name is Jason Forney, F-o-r-n-e-y, from Bruner Cott Architects. I would like to start by setting the context for the project. This is very much a transit-oriented development site about a quarter mile from Porter Square and less than half a mile from Davis, both Red Line and on Mass Avenue, which is the main thoroughfare of Cambridge, served by several buses.

As -- yeah, thank you, Jason. A while ago, the site was occupied by the Odd Fellows Lodge, which unfortunately was lost in a fire around 1968, at which time it was replaced by -- or later replaced by a one-story fastfood restaurant with an asphalt parking lot.

So this is a view of that as well as the 2050 Massachusetts Avenue building owned by the CHA next door, and its party wall, which is pretty much right on the lot line.

Another view of kind of the feel of this part of Mass Avenue, fairly well-developed, with the CHA building,
the Henderson Carriage House, which is a national landmark, and a taller building in the background there, which is about eight stories.

We were particularly -- one of our goals was to kind of be in a conversation with the Carriage House. It's a beautiful building -- repetitive, large windows in sort of a grid pattern, and that had an influence on our design.

And I think that the change going from eight stories all the way through to a nine stepping down to six, really an acknowledgment that we do -- that we are transitioning into a residential neighborhood as we move further away from Mass Avenue.

Another way of looking at our context here, this is sort of a neighborhood map, indicating the volume and height of the various buildings on this stretch of Mass Avenue. There are tall -- this is an area where there are taller buildings.

So looking at that from above, we get the sense that this is a pretty well-developed part of the avenue. And so, our idea is to really in the next slide kind of primary design move of -- you know, occupying that corner of creating a light-colored, white or light grey cube or prism
that kind of floats above the ground there, making way for retail space underneath retail or other active uses that help activate the avenue in accordance with a lot of the city planning goals.

And then it transitions on both sides.
So our kind of overall design goal is obviously creating high-quality affordable housing community, responding to the site, making sure that our design enables an active streetscape and pedestrian-scaled activities, hiding vehicle parking and service areas away from Mass Avenue, using materials that are durable and traditional, but in a modern way, integrating plantings into the building and the streetscape.

And then I think you'll see on the next slide thinking about sustainability in a pretty advanced way, as we're following the city's leadership in this area.

So in summary, this is -- the building is focused on things that we think align with affordable housing. It's a Passive House design, meaning that it uses very low amounts of energy, keeping the utility costs down. It's focused on resiliency.

And also, other things that we think are a good
fit with affordable housing such as that tend to occupant well-being, such as the green roof, materials with fewer toxins and chemicals and plenty of daylighting views in the units, in the apartments.

Now I'll just walk you around. Looking at the building from a few places, this is further up Mass Avenue looking back towards Porter Square, seeing how that corner changes.

Coming a little bit closer, noticing how the building steps down in the back from the corner piece to the six-story piece, which has an outdoor space, complete with plantings that can be viewed from the ground floor, or from the ground.

And just pausing a moment to compare the all eight-story building, and the nine-story stepping down to six that was first sort of brought out in mid-November and shown at the November 24 community meeting.

Coming around, looking back up from Porter Square, and then from Walden Street looking from the residential neighborhood back to Massachusetts Avenue. I'm noticing how the building steps down there with the planted roof.

And the next slide, again, is a comparison of what
that was before, as an all eight-story design, and its modification, putting the height on Mass Avenue and bringing the height down in the back.

A closer look at the street. The Massachusetts Avenue streetscape includes a relocated bus shelter, as well as potentially a public art piece behind it. The main entrance is in the middle, an active, vibrant retail space on the corner and the residential units above.

Coming around to Walden Street, this is a rendered elevation of the building -- again, a lot of those elements are in view here; the retail in the corner, resident amenity room on the ground floor, and then the vehicle parking and service in sort of a piece that recedes into the building with the planter below.

Okay, you can go to the next one again.
Looking at the corner here, there's a few things to note on the site plan, and I will save some of them for Scott Thornton, who is our Traffic and Parking consultant to talk about. But a few important things: we're focusing the pedestrian entrance off of Mass Avenue, as well as the retail entrance.

The vehicle entrance, again, is in the rear, and
we worked with the city's Traffic and Parking folks to understand some of the dimensions requires for having a safe vehicle entering and exit.

Those red lines indicate the geometry where a driver can see folks on the sidewalk 20 feet away from the garage entrance.

Perhaps the biggest move here, which doesn't look big on this drawing, is that we're changing Walden Street. We heard a lot from city planners, and also from neighbors and residents that Walden Street has traffic problems. And we learned that it's because the street is too narrow. And it was formerly a two-lane street that was changed to a three.

And so, on the next slide, you'll see what we're proposing here is to go from three lanes of 1 ten-foot and 2 eight-foot four, to 3 proper ten-foot travel lanes.

By pulling the sidewalk back, creating an easement in -- which gives use for the city sidewalk on the private property, and then also pulling the ground floor of the building back, so that we have around a seven-foot-two sidewalk in the public, where the public can traverse. And that's compared to a six-foot-five existing condition.

I'll walk you through the plans very briefly. The basement is reserved for mechanical equipment, as well as the 51 bicycle parking spaces that are accessed from an elevator.

I've showed you the ground floor, so we'll jump up to floors 2 through 6 so they stack, and here you get an indication that the way we've laid the building out is to have three-bedroom units on the corners, two-bedroom units in the middle, and then those 2 one-beds looking out over Massachusetts Avenue.

So again, a large amount of family-sized units, which the next slide actually will -- oh, sorry. The next one after this has a grid, but going up to the seventh floor and the sixth-floor roof, this indicates the amenity space that we've designed there, which has a wide border of plantings, and then some sort of barrier pulled back from the edge that keeps people from coming over to the edge.

This is an unprogrammed space as of now, but we envision it meeting a wide variety of uses by the residents who live in the building.

Again, the unit mix, 29 percent one-bedrooms and a combined 71 percent are two and three bedrooms for families.

And then on the roof we have some mechanical equipment, which is pulled towards the middle to minimize its visibility. I'll note that it is shown and modeled in all of our renderings. Space for solar, and then looking down onto that low roof below.

We've prepared shadow studies here in the winter. From the upper left 9:00 in the morning, shadows cast to the west. In the middle of the day, 12:00 and 3:00, they sort of come out onto Mass Avenue, really blending with the existing shadow patterns, and then at the end of the day start to move towards the east.

And summer, similar patterns just a little more exaggerated because the day is longer, noting that they do begin in the morning to the west and do cast some shadows on some of the residential buildings for a short time, then move back towards Mass Avenue, and then over to the east in the afternoon.

And the spring and fall, similar shadow patterns. Again, 9:00, 12:00, 3:00 and 6:00.

And in summary, in the next slide, Jason, you know, I think that the way to summarize this is that the shadows are layered in with the existing shadows in this
part of the avenue. The majority of them are cast onto Mass Ave.

Not many of them are cast backwards to the south into the residential neighborhood, with the exception being that there are some early morning shadows cast to the -- on the buildings across Walden Street, and then some late afternoon shadows cast towards the CHA building.

And so, now I'll hand it over to Scott Thornton.

SCOTT THORNTON: Thank you, Jason. Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, my name is Scott Thornton. I'm with Vanasse \& Associates. We prepared a Traffic and Parking assessment for the project. That document is dated November 9, 2020.

That assessment included a review of the available on-street parking supply, an estimate of the project trip generation, and a transportation demand management program that's intended to mitigate the project impact on Traffic and Parking.

As has been indicated previously and you're familiar with the project -- 49 units, with a small amount of neighborhood retail on the first floor -- three, total parking spaces with 51 long-term sheltered, bike parking
spaces that are protected from the elements.
The site is an existing approximately 1900 square foot fast food restaurant, was a $K F C$ for a long time. And this slide shows the two access points that presently exist for the site. There's the one towards the back off of Walden Street, and then there's the one in the front that provides access to Mass Ave.

And there's a median -- it doesn't show in this slide, but there's a median on Mass Ave that really restricts the movements through that curb cut to right in, right out, right turn out only. And the Mass Ave curb cut has conflicts with a large amount of pedestrians, with bicycle flow, the bike lane is out there, and the bus lane or the bus stop is right in the vicinity of that curb cut.

So there's a number of issues associated with that Mass Ave curb cut.

We go to the next slide, which you've seen previously. This is the proposed ground-floor plan. And you can see that the Mass Ave curb cut has gone away, and the Walden Street curb cut is retained. And the building features have been designed to accommodate site distance for sitting vehicles.

You can see there's two short-term pickup and drop-off spots in the rear of the site and three accessible spaces are also provided. And as Jason was indicating, the features of the site have been designed to maximize visibility for people exiting the driveway with the step back of the wall and some low-lying landscaping area to preserve the lines of sight for vehicles exiting the driveway.

As Jason mentioned, I think the critical point -one of the critical points is that the applicant is providing over three feet of property to accommodate the widening of Walden Street to three 10 -foot lanes. And this provides better and safer operation for this approach. I'll show you another slide that I think you've already seen as well, but that documents the fact in a little more detail.

The applicant has also provided additional
property to widen the sidewalk from the existing six foot five inches to seven foot two inches.

And these three measures, the closure of the Mass Ave curb cut, the widening -- providing frontage to widen Walden Street to three more standard lanes, and providing initial frontage to widen the Walden Street sidewalk really
are significant improvements to vehicular operations and ways to address pedestrian safety.

If you'll go to the next slide, you can see the comparison between the existing and the proposed conditions. Where the existing Walden Street cross section has one 10foot departure lane away from Mass Ave and 2 eight-foot-four-inch approach lanes with a six-foot-five-inch sidewalk.

And it doesn't take much to turn this two-lane approach into a one-lane approach, due to the narrow width of the lanes. If you have larger trucks or even larger vehicles that are -- that don't quite provide enough access or enough space for another large vehicle to run up alongside of that movement, that vehicle, that turns the two-lane approach to a one-lane approach, and that just contributes to the vehicle queuing that exists on Walden Street.

Whereas the proposed condition provides a more standard, 10-foot or two 10-foot approach layers, which are better able to fit a variety of vehicles simultaneously. And the proposed sidewalk would be -- again, seven-feet-two inches, and anytime a sidewalk can be widened, it's a plus for pedestrians and accessibility.

So the next slide, we start to get into the parking study. And we conducted this study based on guidance from the Traffic, Parking and Transportation Department for the city.

We looked at the available parking spaces within a quarter mile radius of the project, looked at the on-site parking by quantity and type. And this study area was divided into 27 separate zones. This particular slide shows the site in relation to the study area.

We did parking counts on a typical Monday in October to identify parking -- I'm sorry, a typical weekday in October to identify parking demand.

The next slide shows the sort of summary of the regulations -- the parking regulations in the area.

So if we can go to the next slide, Jason -- there we go.

So while we inventoried all 928 spaces in the study area, the only spaces that were counted during the study were the 806 spaces that were comprised of RPP, or the residential permit parking spaces, accessible spaces, and spaces with no regulations.

And that totals approximately 806 spaces.

The next slide shows these zones, and in particular there's five zones that are located within a twoblock radius of the site. Counts were conducted every two hours from 10 a.m. to 10 p.m., and we identified a number of vacant spaces in each of these zones.

The next slide shows the number of vacant spaces available at the time periods observed. Now, this is within the entire study area. But for the zones within the two blocks of the site, there's a minimum of 50 to 51 parking spaces available during all the time periods shown on this chart.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This chart identifies -excuse me, sir.

JASON FORNEY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This chart identifies the number of parking spaces, but does it show how many are empty from various times of the day? I know that there's a lot of -- not a lot -- there's parking spaces around the site, but if you try to find a parking space there, particularly on Walden Street, it ain't there. I know -JASON FORNEY: Yeah, so --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- because I used to live
in that general area. So $I$ wonder --
JASON FORNEY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the numbers you propose are a little bit exaggerated in terms of real life, as opposed to theoretical?

JASON FORNEY: Sure. So these -- perhaps I wasn't clear. This chart shows the number of vacant spaces that we observed every two hours between 10 a and 10 p.m. And again, this is across the entire quarter mile study area, the area within a quarter-mile radius of the site.

So we know the people are obviously going to want to park closest to the site, so we also -- there's another -- the study also looks specifically at the zones within a two-block, within a two-block area of the -- two-block distance of the site.

And in that area, we identified a minimum of 50-51 spaces during each of these time periods. In some cases, it went up to 67; in some cases it went down to 51. But that --

ANDREA HICKEY: Excuse me, this is Andrea Hickey. Do you have a slide for that study within the two-block radius of availability?

JASON FORNEY: It's actually in the -- through you, Mr. Chair, it's actually in the parking memo. And, you know, we were trying to keep the parking presentation somewhat brief to go there.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I know parking is really important to the neighborhood. So that's not a slide that you're able to share now as part of your presentation?

JASON FORNEY: I don't believe we have that loaded, no. But, again, it's in the parking memo. It identifies a number of spaces that are available in the twoblock zone.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Following up on Andrea's point, the -- a lot of the -- during the process of getting to where we are tonight, there was those who were opposed or have questions about this project focused on parking.

And so, I think a fulsome parking presentation was called for, and not omitting slides that answer a very relevant question that Andrea raised. I'm disappointed that you don't have --

JASON KORB: Do you mind if I -- Mr. Chairman, if you give me 30 seconds, I can probably pull it up if I stop sharing my screen for one minute. Would that be okay?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I didn't get
that. Please be?
JASON KORB: I could -- if you -- if I had 30
seconds, I could pull it up on my screen if I stopped sharing for a minute. Would that be okay?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You've got 30 seconds -not longer than that. This is --

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, I think Sisia would have to allow him to share his screen, if --

JASON KORB: I was just sharing it.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay, thank you.
SCOTT THORNTON: I think he's already sharing his screen, so --

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
SCOTT THORNTON: So I think the -- you know, and if Jason can find that table, that'll identify what we're looking at. Particularly, it should show that there's between 52 and 54 spaces available at 10 p.m., when residential parking is higher.

JASON KORB: Everybody can see my screen?
SCOTT THORNTON: Yup. Yup, that's the table right there. So these highlighted -- these highlighted bars --
highlighted rows, show the zones, the specific zones, and also the number of spaces.

And highlighted represent the zones that are within the two-block distance of the site. So as I mentioned, the totals vary between 50 and 67 spaces available during each of these time periods.

ANDREA HICKEY: Excuse me, this is Andrea Hickey again. So do we know again within this important two-block radius which of these spaces are metered spaces and which are resident spaces?

SCOTT THORNTON: These are a combination of residential permit parking and spaces that had no regulations on them.

ANDREA HICKEY: So metered spaces are not I called?

SCOTT THORNTON: No. No, these are RPP spaces or no regulation.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
SCOTT THORNTON: And in terms of the project demands, you know, we -- the census data indicates that about 32 percent of trips in this area are due to vehicle trips, which likely indicates a lower auto use and ownership
percentage.
And in terms of trip generation, we also did a trip generation analysis for the site, and we're expecting about -- due to the mode split adjustments based on existing census data, we're expecting about six morning or six vehicle trips during the morning peak hour and nine vehicle trips during the evening peak hour, and this is an incremental increase in traffic levels of the area.

In terms of ways to mitigate that impact, the applicant is proposing the TDM program, which has a number of measures that are proven, and that are in the standard -I guess I'd call it the standard toolbox of the city community development and Traffic and Parking departments' ways of addressing project impacts.

They include measures such as a Transportation Coordinator to identify and put together packets of information for new residents identifying what types of alternative and sustainable transportation systems are available in the area.

We're proposing a transit stream installation, which provides up to date, real-time information for when the next bus is coming by, when the next Red Line car is
coming by, when -- what available Ubers or what the closest Uber and Lyft drivers may be to the site, and also, a Bluebike station and dock availability for the Bluebike stations in the area.

I just want to conclude by saying that the site plan was reviewed by Community Development, by Traffic and Parking, by DPW. The Traffic Department has reviewed our parking study. They indicated that they -- they believe the traffic impacts would be minimal, and particularly given the site's previous use as a fast-food restaurant, which generated much more traffic than this site, the proposed site will.

They also indicated that they support the project.
So I'll turn it over to Jason, but I just think that in general, you know, with the TDM program and the alternative modes of transportation that are available. We expect the project to have a very minimal effect on traffic and parking in the area, and actually improve the level of safety at the intersection along Walden Street.

Jason?
JASON KORB: Sure. I just want to close out by pulling back a little bit more, giving ourselves a 30,000-
foot view of what we're trying to accomplish here, which is to provide really high-quality affordable housing for families that desperately need it right now.

And here are just some statistics: CHA has over 20,000 unique applicants on their waitlist right now. 7100 indicate they live in Cambridge or were a veteran. You can see the mobile voucher waitlist numbers, which are just astounding right now.

Homeowners' Rehab, the Finch, which I believe was a permit project, they had 2261 applicants for 98 apartments. Port Landing, which is a project that Sean and I completed back in 2016, was 1400 applicants for 20 apartments.

And the demand at Frost Terrace, we're actually in the lease process now at Frost Terrace. Applications are due back for a lottery which will be in February over the next few weeks. We've only been marketing for two and a half weeks. We've sent out over 500 applications.

We had 340 people register for our info sessions. The demand is off the charts. We have to hire more people to put applications in envelopes and field phone calls and e-mails and people are saying we're not getting back to them
because we're totally overwhelmed with demand right now. And some of the stories are just heartbreaking, they really are.

And so, COVID has only made us so much worse. And the Joint Center for Housing Study just came out with their annual report that has all the data that backs all of this up. People really need quality housing right now.

And if you want to talk about safety, you know, people who live in overcrowded conditions with lead paint that -- or their heat doesn't work, that's unsafe. That's in my mind an unsafe condition for anybody. It's inhumane. That's how a lot of people are living right now.

And this will be some of the most high-quality housing in Cambridge. And that's of the utmost importance to Sean, it's of the utmost importance to me. So I'd just like to leave -- kind of close on that note. I'm thinking about big picture what we're proposing here. Thank you for your time, Mr. Chairman and the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Does that conclude your presentation, or your --

JASON KORB: It does.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, fine. Thank you.

I'll open the matter up to questions by members of the Board. Brendan, do you have any questions you wish to ask? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan. The one question I would have for either Sean or Jason would be what drove this thing up to nine stories? I mean, when you sat down, you obviously have a blank piece of paper with that lot, and then how does this thing grow? Obviously, the need. There's no doubt that there was a need.

I'm sure that even going through all the correspondence, there was nobody who was objecting to -- nor should they -- to affordable housing. It's a very, very worthwhile, very righteous thing to do for your fellow man. I think we all support that.

But the impact keeps popping its ugly head. And so, how did this thing get from a blank piece of paper to nine stories. I mean, is it that we're going to build from wall to wall, or and -- how did we get to that height? If you can briefly -- I know this took many months, so I do not need to resurrect all of it, but -- the minutia -- but if you can just sort of tell us how did it get to the size of this building?

JASON KORB: So let me try first, and maybe Jason
you can add to it. So I think -- so if we're on Mass Ave, a major corridor, and we looked at the some of the surrounding, taller buildings... we have six stories across the street, we have eight stories down the block. There is a nine-story building further down Mass Ave.

So part of it is we looked at the some of the larger buildings in context. These buildings aren't LEED, these buildings don't have the Passive House certification, so we looked at that.

And that's kind of how we came up with the eightstory building. When we looked at the -- part of it also too is it's about maximizing the opportunity to be able to provide family units. If we were doing a bunch of onebedrooms, we probably could do a smaller building and a shorter building.

But we were looking at doing two and threebedrooms of quality size. They were the size and scope that we needed to achieve. So that's kind of how we came up with an eight-story building.

But it was an eight-story flat building, and we didn't have -- frankly, we didn't acknowledge the residential neighborhood behind. So in our early
conversation with our direct abutters, we had a conversation and they were saying, "Look, the massing belongs on Mass Ave because that's a major thoroughfare." And reasonable minds can disagree whether eight or nine stories was appropriate.

But what we did hear loud and clear was on Walden Street, we wanted to lower it down to six stories. And so, we -- part of the key was to try to keep the unit count and the number of family units in the.

So that's how we came up to nine stories, and then lower down to six stories in the back. And six stories is obviously not the three stories, but it does acknowledge the fact that there's a lower residential neighborhood behind us. I'm not sure if that answers that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What were the guidelines under the recently passed Affordable Housing Overlay ordinance -what would --

JASON KORB: Yeah, so --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the guidelines be for that?
JASON KORB: So the Overlay would allow for a sixstory building. So 69 feet, with the idea that it is ground-floor retail on the first floor. And, you know, the Overlay has come up several times.

And we've always said to neighbors -- and I'm sure the Board knows this as well -- the Overlay is one of several tools to deliver affordable housing. 40B has always been there.

But I think as the Board knows, that there are legal challenges and other things that have stifled affordable housing. So the City Council decided to have another tool.

If you would notice that, we dropped it down to six stories in the rear, and that six stories is the same six stories that you would get with the Overlay. So in terms of the building as its approaching the city parking lot and the Walden Street neighborhood, that six stories that we're proposing is the same six-story experience that the neighborhood would achieve.

Now, some of the other benefits -- the widening of Walden Street, the Passive House, the retail -- some of these others are doing, those things are also tied to the overall development. And so, --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me interject. Is that on you guys, or is that in conjunction with the city a shared cost, or?

JASON KORB: So that's the cost of this project. We are doing this project. The city -- Traffic and Parking suggested it. We researched it and we figured out that we could make it work. So we are giving an easement to the city by pushing our building back to increase the sidewalk and we are taking the cost and the burden of this public improvement that would only be done in this project.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I give you a gold star for that. I go down Walden Street all the time, and that is a disaster. That's a bottleneck right there. So I give you a gold star for that.

But, you know, you had mentioned, or Jason had mentioned, that Cambridge Housing Authority currently has 20,700 applicants, and that the Finch Apartments there were 2261 who applied for residency for only 98 apartments. You're providing 48 units in a nine-story building.

So even if you were to -- I mean, you're never going to satisfy the need for affordable housing, and we should do whatever we can to provide it. But, you know, even if you built 100 of your projects, that only gives you 4800 units. There were 20,000 applicants.

But, you know, the question is -- again, I'm not
trying to be a wise guy here, but what does 108-story buildings look like? I mean, there has to be some urban design element to this, and the effect on surrounding neighbors.

And so, that's where I'm a little hesitant on your proposal. You know, I look at -- and again, the other day I'm up at the corner of Huron, and I want to editorialize a little bit here -- the corner of Huron and Concord Avenue, and I look and -- you know, where Sarah's is, and then you look across the way where the Hi-Rise is, and then the other way where there's the gas station, and I'm looking and I'm seeing similar sized lots. What about a nine-story building on either one of those corners? What about on two of the corners?

And, you know, the corner of Pemberton, Rindge Avenue and Mass Ave. That's a one-story retail block, and what about a nine-story building there? And then we can always point back to, "Well, you know, you approved it on the corner of Walden and Mass."

So I'm not sure if that's the road -- and I'm hesitant -- is that the road that we really need to go down or will go down? Maybe we won't. So, you know, I keep
going back to the Affordable Housing Overlay Ordinance and some of those guidelines. So anyhow, that's my comment and I will listen to other members of the Board.

The other thing is that a tremendous amount of comments have come in in the last couple of days, which I have not had a chance to review. Your application is 409 pages, which I got through, and some other comments.

So, again, $I$ value the people who took the time to write in. I was not able to read it all, and I value that. So I would be interested, other Board members, whether or not they also are comfortable with reviewing all of the material that was submitted.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? You might want to respond to Brendan's question, or you've got other questions of your own?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, please. So I do have to say that with respect to the issues regarding nine stories and sort of setting precedent, I concur with Mr. Sullivan that it troubles me a bit. I do appreciate the step back in the rear, more residential part of the parcel. But the massing on Mass Ave is still pretty significant.

It's tough, of course, to weigh that against
providing affordable housing, which, without question, is needed in Cambridge desperately. So I won't repeat what Mr. Sullivan said, except for that comment.

With respect to Traffic and Parking, I very much appreciate your parking study and the detail that you've provided tonight, it's really helpful. I know you mentioned that you have another affordable project, Port Landing. Are you still involved with that project?

JASON KORB: We are.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So that unit -- that project, you indicated, had 20 units. Are they all affordable unit?

JASON KORB: They are.
ANDREA HICKEY: And how many parking spaces are available for the occupants of those 20 units?

JASON KORB: So 14 are available. There are 16 in the garage.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
JASON KORB: We use one for management and maintenance type stuff.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. And do you have any idea -- it's sort of a random question, but -- of those 20
units, how many of those units own a car?
JASON KORB: Good question. So we know that 14 of the spaces are taken.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
JASON KORB: We did --

ANDREA HICKEY: But do you have a waiting list for spaces?

JASON KORB: I don't believe we do.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
JASON KORB: I have not heard of that. That being said, the property manager did indicate to us when we reopened that one or two residents actually bought cars because now they have a garage, which I thought was very interesting.

ANDREA HICKEY: Oh.
JASON KORB: So.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
JASON KORB: Yeah. So the reason for my question is with 49 apartments proposed here, and really three spaces because we can't count the drop-offs, I just wonder how many of those 49 residents will have cars, and how that really will impact the neighborhood? Can you speak to --

JASON KORB: Sure, so --
ANDREA HICKEY: I know you can't guess how many will have cars, but just trying to extrapolate the real situation at Port Landing, if that's at all similar?

JASON KORB: No, it's a great -- it's a really great question, so -- and Scott can speak to this to. But we anticipate about 50 percent of the units will have cars. So we'll have about 25 cars, plus or minus.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
JASON KORB: That will be the demand. So similar to Port Landing.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So from your parking study, if $I$ understood it correctly, within that two-block radius, there being sort of 50 spots available more or less on an average, those spots would seem to be able to accommodate what you anticipate for cars, do I understand that correctly?

SCOTT THORNTON: Yeah.
JASON KORB: I'd like Scott to go on the record on that one.

SCOTT THORNTON: Yeah, absolutely. I think that, you know, if we're looking -- and there's a number of
studies and statistics, particularly in Cambridge and, you know, some areas where there's -- where parking is tight and there's a reduced auto ownership percentage, you know, if we have 50 percent car ownership.

And that will have us somewhere around 25 spaces, we think that that can be accommodated within the two-block area. But absolutely within the quarter-mile radius, which is still not that far of a walk.

Obviously, people want to park closer to their residence; we found that there is sufficient space to accommodate that demand.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Just looking at the numbers again, comparing to Port Landing. So with 20 units having 14 spaces, you really sort of covered 70 percent of the units with parking. The number is here with 49 units and three accessible spaces don't come anywhere near that. What is the reasoning for not trying to reach that same ratio that you did at Port Landing?

JASON KORB: Sure, so there is a lack of space. So the basement -- first of all, in order to get cars down to the basement, you would either have a ramp, which would take up most of the basement space -- Port Landing was a
much larger site, and much more suited for a double loaded car garage. So you would actually have to install a ramp here, and Scott can talk more about that. It would have to be an automated ramp.

The issue is that when half the basement is bike parking, and all the mechanicals are going into the basement as well, including the transformer, which we know has been an issue in the community. We know that another development didn't account for the transformer in their plans and ended up in a very public location.

When we actually -- you heard a lot about this when we did Frost Terrace when we have placed the transformer in any assigned equipment over it at Frost Terrace -- we've done the same thing here as well.

Jason, I don't know if you wanted to add anything additional to that?

JASON KORB: I think you covered it well. The tradeoffs that we would have to make would be to not have active uses on Massachusetts Avenue, or we would have to go up and displace units.

ANDREA HICKEY: How many units would that tradeoff cost you, approximately? How many spaces could you get if
you sort of did a tradeoff?
JASON KORB: It's hard to say, but if I had to guess, I would say it would take a whole floor of the building, which is six units that would impact financial feasibility.

A more likely approach, which we've talked to some neighbors about, would be to add potentially some stackers. This would eliminate the drop-off parking spaces. It would add cost to the project, but the project is right teetering on the edge of financial feasibility, as it is. I mean, it's a very nicely designed building.

And so, that's not something we'd commit to today, if the Board wanted us to look at that. We would have to go back and review financial feasibility, which we have some big concerns about, and I think we'd have to even understand -- and Jason, maybe you -- Jason's done a little bit of study on this too, that in terms of the numbers it wouldn't be significant.

I think we'd be talking around eight stacking parking spaces. And then you would lose the drop-off parking spaces.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And I do understand sort
of the tradeoff between providing more desperately needed housing versus something like parking. So I appreciate your taking my questions. That's all I have.

JASON KORB: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Laura, do you have any questions you want to ask?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: I think -- no, I would just echo Mr. Sullivan's concerns about setting that amount of height on in North Cambridge. That's a big concern for me. But that's really -- that's my major contraception. Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is Jim Monteverde. I concur with --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think you're muted.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Brendan. I think I have two concerns, primarily. I --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, I think you're muted. JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry, can you hear me now?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, sorry. I have two
concerns; the initial, the primary is the height. I agree with Brendan, and just from going up and down the street, it just -- there's just too much mass there -- unarticulated mass. I mean, I look at the housing building adjacent to it. To the south, there's a lot of articulation on the façade to try and mitigate the bulk.

This one just puts it plainly on the corner, which I appreciate the clarity of it, but it's -- there's just too much and too high -- too high beyond the allowed in the Overlay District.

I think that also relates to my secondary concern, which is the urban context, and wanting to not rely -- and assuming that people will not be vehicle bound and they'll use another mode of transportation in town.

I think if it were not as tall, therefore not as many units, then I might feel more comfortable with the parking count. As it is, it's -- and I understood the presentation about the parking at Alice's, but I don't see from the floor plan.

And I appreciate that this is primarily family housing, but I've seen most of the discussion $I$ think for
the not having vehicles as really applying to the -- let's say the young, urban professional who's getting around by Uber or by bike, not a family, where that may in fact have a vehicle or have use of a vehicle.

So my secondary concern is just the very limited vehicle count, either on the site or at another site, so some accommodation for parking, and primarily it's the building height is just my concern.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I have a few points to make. Like everyone else who's spoken, I am concerned about the height, the nine-foot height on Massachusetts Avenue and the overall massing on that corner. It's not a big lot, and I understand that.

But I'm wondering whether -- why we couldn't have a smaller structure. It would mean less affordable housing to be sure, but we're talking maybe if you went down to a six-story building all around, yes, you'd lose maybe I don't know, 15, 20 units, but you would have -- city overall -the impact on the city would be beneficial. That's where the rubber meets the road in this case, is you've got a very
aggressive building that you want to have the town, the city approve.

And yes, we do need affordable housing, no question about that, but we're not no question about that, but we're not going to solve the affordable housing crisis by having 49 units as opposed to 30 units. And we do have to take into account other -- in my mind -- considerations besides just affordable housing.

That said, I would point out and remind Board members if they're not aware of it, that the petitioner did meet with the city's Traffic, Parking and Transportation body, and $I$ have in my possession -- it's in the file -- a memorandum from that body. And so, several -- it goes at length into a lot of the issue that have been touched upon already.

But the Traffic, Parking and Transportation body says that they strongly support this project, and they believe that the project's traffic impacts will be minimal. That's their view. I'm not sure I agree with that, but that's how it is.

That's all I would have to say at this point. I think it's time to open this matter up to public testimony.

And I suspect it's going to be quite a bit of it.
I would ask fervently -- ask the people who are planning to speak, if someone, if you said something in writing before, no need to say it over again orally. We do -- we have read most, if not all, of the files. And we get the message.

Second, you're going to have three minutes to speak. Sisia's going to cut off the mic after three minutes. It's sort of a -- it's going to go dead. We do this -- I'm doing this only because we have to finish this case tonight, and a lot of other cases that are sitting in the wings.

So with that, I'll open the matter up to public testimony and here are the instructions. Any member of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay, a couple things. Jason, can you unshare your screen? Okay, that would be better. Thanks. I'm going to -- we have 28 people right now raising their hands. I'm going to bring people into -- as panelists
one by one, and then when you're done with your three minutes, the next person will be brought forward as a panelist.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, I think I have to be a host. Okay. Hang on a second.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sisia has to step out to get some information from the staff. So we're just going to pause for hopefully a few minutes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I suppose while I -- this is Brendan Sullivan -- I suppose while I have the mic and there's a little pause in the action: A little comment that I would like to make to the developing team is, you know, when you think of affordable housing, I look down Rindge Avenue, which we approved, obviously, the redo of those apartments.

And we actually turned the project sort of 180 to put a bigger building in the back and then the smaller building up at the street side pushed it back a little bit, so I have a little bit better streetscape.

And the comments that we heard then, and we also heard them not too long ago regarding a Cambridge Housing

Authority redo of the apartments -- it was Miller Park or some one of them -- was that people who are in affordable housing, you know, they like trees too, they like open space, they like gardens. We were talking about affordable housing with families.

And I know that you're saying that the recreation space can be down the street at different parks and what have you, but, you know, people want to live where they can -- especially with children -- just outside their door, outside their back door, outside their apartment door. And they don't have to go down the street and walk around the corner and what have you.

Parents want to be, and guardians want to be, close to their children and their children want to be close to the home. And this doesn't really address that to me. You know, yes there is a green space in the back, to be shared by 49 other residential units, but I don't know. We're just very vertical with this project, and some other amenities that a lot of us take for granted -open space, trees, gardens, green space and so on and so forth is absent. So that's my comment.

> SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay, representative Decker?

REPRESENTATIVE MARJORIE DECKER: Thank you.
First, I'm just going to just say this out loud, and I hope it doesn't get held against me, but Mr. Alexander, I'm really stressing out about looking at you with your mask down being so close to Brendan there.

And, you know, I'm learning about more people who've gotten this, like, just going into grocery stores with masks on, so just -- I say that.

That said, I strongly support this project. I hope that you will have the time to send the letter that I wrote, and I have hardly approached this Board in my 20 years as an elected official, hardly as a City Councilor, which might surprise some people, and certainly not as a state representative.

But as somebody who grew up in public housing, I can tell you every single one of those 49 units matter. And the idea that we can't solve the problem of affordable housing, I think it's a very dismissive way of actually walking away from the idea that we can actually run to opportunities to create good, affordable housing whenever possible. Those 49 units represent 49 families and individuals whose lives will be absolutely transformed by
the opportunity to live in our community.
And I'm having a hard time wrapping my head around we want people to use smart growth urban planning. It is on a main street. It is near public transportation, it's on a bus line, and it's actually near a train station to walk to.

There's a lot of buildings in that neighborhood, and I lived three blocks from that on the corner of Walden and Richdale for many years, and I don't live too far away now on Raymond so that the traffic through there will still impact my life on Raymond.

And those of you who pay attention to these issues will know that nobody's entitled to a parking space on these city streets -- that because you were here first and you have a car, there are days on street cleaning that my husband and I park -- yes, four blocks away from our house. And it is the complexity and the tradeoffs of living in an urban community.

This project is beautiful. Both of the earlier projects this development group have done have made me weep. The idea that I might have as a child been able to live in a place where the materials and the design and the location were as beautiful as they are, it is profound.

And so, in the same way we want urban planning, I think they have done their best to respond to the needs of the neighborhood for those who have been concerned about the massing. The step back on -- that goes back towards -sorry, I'm -- Walden Street I think is brilliant. They're providing a lot of additional green space.

Let's be clear. This project is far more aesthetically pleasing than a lot of developments that are commercial -- both housing and commercial -- in that very neighborhood that had been allowed to go up.

And so I think they've gone above and beyond in responding to the needs to the best they can. And I think to say to flip it over, whenever we have the opportunity to provide affordable housing, if you understand who that speaks to -- and I, as somebody who grew up in public hearing here, and is so extraordinarily grateful to still live here, and by the way who's given back a lot to this community and will continue to do so, every unit is invaluable.

So when we have the opportunity to run towards the possibility of building units, we should be doing that. And so, I really hope that you will embrace this project. The
design is beautiful.
And we either want to building parking spaces to encourage people to have cars or we don't. And having served in this community for over 20 years, I have whiplash trying to figure out what is it that we want. We provide parking spaces, then we're encouraging people to have cars.

We don't provide parking spaces, and then we're worried about people taking public parking spots. We want good urban growth, so we put a building right there that meets every criterion of what good urban smart growth is, and all of a sudden, it's too much.

It is not too much. I think it's beautiful. I think the developers have a really good history in this city of doing good work and providing affordable housing, and I'll tell you as somebody who pre-pandemic and during the pandemic -- right, 7:30 I have not been off a Zoom call, like many of you, since 8:00 this morning -- so much of my time is about trying to address the incredible needs.

We were the number 1 state in the country up until a month ago for unemployment. We continue to be very high up there. The budget that we just passed left Massachusetts. We took half of our rainy-day fund to be
able to pass the FY21 budget. We only have half of that left, and the budget that we're predicting for next year is going to be worse.

One in eight Cambridge neighbors is feeling hungry and experiencing food insecurity. This is going to get a lot darker, even as the vaccines start to protect us from the virus. The economic devastation will continue to be experienced by a number of people at a time in which those who are very wealthy seem to be still doing very well under these pandemics (sic).

But those who are not are not doing well, and we have an opportunity to continue living our values.

And finally, I would just say that for those who are in this incredible privileged position of making this decision, $I$ have to believe that if you truly understand who has the opportunity to live there, or who will be denied the opportunity, that you would reframe what this project means and what it -- and how to actually fight for it, as opposed to pick away at it about why we shouldn't do it. Right? Thinking about if you want it, then you could -- there's a lot there to talk about why the tradeoffs are worth it.

And I want it because every day I'm exhausted
trying to think about how to meet the needs of people in this community who are suffering exponentially with this pandemic. And I want them to live in Cambridge, because Cambridge is a great community that provides really good opportunities, resources, and can be transformational for people.

Having people -- if you can't live in Cambridge because it's not affordable, guess what? You're actually traveling two hours south or north of Cambridge to find an affordable place. And $I$ think that we're a pretty amazing community that will only be amazing as long as we continue to respond to those who want to live here but can't afford to.

I don't see how we would be looking at ways to walk away from this. I think that every unit will matter, and I don't know that I have a whole lot more to say except I hope that you do read my letter. For as many of you who think you know my life, I don't tend to actually talk a lot about my private journey from -- and why this project matters to me, but it matters a lot.

And I would not be here as someone who's giving back to this community a lot and quite frankly, as someone
who's now given back to the state in very important ways through legislation. I've not only advocated for it, but I've passed.

And that legislation has touched people who've experienced domestic violence, people who are living in poverty, people who are worried about having guns used against their loved ones or used for suicide. I've passed really big laws in this state that have changed lives and will save lives.

And I would have never been able to do it without the opportunity to live in an affordable housing unit in Cambridge with the support and the choices that many people in powerful, privileged positions like you have to do today. You have an awesome opportunity and a privilege to save lives.

And this is a good project. This is not a project that we have to, like, hold our nose and vote for, because we have enough of that. This is an amazingly beautiful, well-developed, well-planned project by developers who've proven themselves through their work, not just through their words.
Those of you who are serving right now on this

Commission and who are in the chamber, I want to thank you for your service. You have a thankless job pre-pandemic, and the fact that I'm sitting here watching you and I have a little bit of palpitations for you, I just thank you for your service right now. And I hope that you will read the letters that have come through. And I hope you will embrace the incredible opportunity and privilege that you have before you. Thank you.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you. Councilor Carlone?
COUNCILLOR CARLONE: Thank you. I was expecting to speak later, but I appreciate it. As some of you know, I'm an architect/urban designer. I've done 500 units of affordable housing. Only one building was a high rise, and that was in Manhattan many years ago.

Almost all affordable housing is done up to six stories. And the reason for that is the cost per square foot is significantly less because once you go over 70 feet, the code requires high rise construction. It's a big percentage increase. I don't understand that, but I'll leave it there.

From an Urban Design point of view, I was against the Affordable Housing Overlay because I thought it should
focus on Mass Avenue and Broadway, not in the middle of neighborhoods. This -- so this is a perfect site for that. It's -- absolutely should be there. I don't think neighbors are against that.

I was asked to participate in the other housing that was mentioned on the other side of Porter Square by the Development Team, and I worked with the neighbors, and I think the resolution is a reasonable compromise.

In this case, we're putting the same number of units -- actually a few more -- on a site that's about a little more than a third of the other site size, if $I$ remember correctly.

Now, this is public money, and I'm all for maximizing affordable housing. I think the city you should be using its own land to help developers. I've pushing for that. I'm the guy that's gotten more money for affordable housing and am proposing a transfer fee to get even more.

But one of the key things I pushed for was context. You want all housing -- whether it's on Massachusetts Avenue or Walden Street -- to blend in. And the more it sticks out, the more it's different. And I think public money should balance the impact on adjoining
neighbors.
Now for instance, the Board has mentioned the AHO. The AHO is about 70 feet in height on Massachusetts Avenue, and then there would be a transaction in the back, which I believe would be 45. The development team says it can be 60 -- maybe that's correct. But on abutting of the district, I believe it should be 45. Sean's saying no. But nevertheless, the maximum height is 70 feet.

I see no reason why it should be different. Absolutely not. Let me just see if I -- 17 waivers, including Design Review. The Board said, "How do you mitigate this project?" Is this mitigation? A 102-foot building? The building next to it is 60 feet. The building across the street I believe is 68. Seems to me a 70-foothigh building fits in perfectly, especially one that doesn't really have any traditional architecture to it. This is a shining example.

I believe that's all, except I think the feasibility has a lot to do with the height, and I can't imagine that a thirty-unit building 70 feet high would be unfeasible. I don't understand that at all. And I think if that's going to be something that the Board's considering,
you should see that. It should be analyzed and you should see that, that it's unfeasible. I don't believe it.

Thank you for your time and putting in -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for your time. COUNCILLOR CARLONE: -- speaking.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Pamela Winters?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Pamela, are you able to unmute yourself?

PAMELA WINTERS: Yes, I just did. Can you hear me?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now we can.
PAMELA WINTERS: Oh, good. Can you -- can everybody hear me?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
PAMELA WINTERS: Yes? Oh, good. Okay. Hi. My name is Pamela Winters, and I live on Orchard Street, not too far from where the proposed building is going to be erected.

First of all, I just want to say that I object to

Representative Decker's comments. I don't think this project is beautiful, and $I$ know that a lot of her feelings were reflective of her growing up in affordable housing. So I just want to say that.

And I do agree with Councilor Carlone's comments, and I think the project should be lower. You -- the proponent is asking for 17 exemptions. I know that I have read 40B, and I know that it is applicable for communities that have 10 percent or less affordable housing.

We have almost 15 percent affordable housing. We're never going to accommodate all of the affordability of people who want to live in our community. It's just not possible. We are now one of the ten top densest cities in the entire United States for our population.

So meanwhile, Cambridge has 60 affordable housing apartments, and I really -- in reading 40B, I went down to part 4 number 2, and it talked about density and design -the height, the massing details and the color and relationship to the street. And all of those things are coming before the BZA to be judged on this evening. So I really want you to look at that very closely, I'm hoping that you will.

And in terms of the parking, there's no reason why they cannot have underground parking for 25 cars. Because guaranteed there will be at least 25 cars that are going to be -- people are going to want to go to work with, or to use.

It is much higher in my estimation in the carriage building across Mass Ave, and certainly the senior center next door. So those are -- I know that you're pressed for time this evening. I was on the Planning Board for 15 years, so I understand these things. And I'm just -- I'm rather horrified by this building; I have to say. And I think that I also speak for neighbors and other people that I've spoken to about this.

So thank you very much for your time. I really appreciate it, and I hope that you come to a reasonable conclusion this evening. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you. Mitzi Fennel? Three minutes.

MITZI FENNEL: Hi, thank you. I'm Mitzi Fennel. I live at 37 Brighton Street. I'm the COO of a public health organization, and $I$ work in public housing. And I
support the construction of affordable housing at 2072 Mass Ave. However, I believe it's possible to both provide this much needed housing and not put a significant negative impact on the neighborhood.

I'm very concerned about the need to provide parking. I'm specifically speaking about the impact on the residents on my street, Creighton Street, which is a logical first street that the new building residents will go to park.

I want to repeat that. If you look at the street layout, it is obvious that the building's residents will first seek to park on our street. The residents of Creighton Street have submitted a letter.

I want to highlight some specific concerns related to the parking census. Historically, evening parking has been incredibly challenging on Creighton Street. And a parking count I made for Creighton Street, there were five nights in a two-week period with no spaces at 6:00 p.m., which is a critical time when residents are starting to return home.

And by the way, the developer's census shows eight spaces on the exact time and date that I counted only four.

But regardless whether it's eight or four, those spaces will disappear with the addition of at least the 25 cars that the developers assume would be added.

We are all clear on the positive human impact of providing affordable housing. I would also like to put a human face to the negative impact on existing residents. I disagree with Representative Decker that having to park many blocks from one's home is a tradeoff of living in an urban area. I don't believe there has to be a tradeoff.

Imagine you're my 67-year-old husband with arthritis in both hips, who has arrived home from an hour plus commute, and must drive up and down the three adjacent dead-end streets, and then if a space cannot be found, drive around a half mile loop to get back home.

Or you're my neighbor with two young children trying to hold their hands and juggle backpacks crossing traffic after parking within a quarter mile, deemed acceptable by the developer's parking garage census.

Or you're my 89-year-old normally very active mother, who will not be able to go out in the evening to events at her church because she's afraid she won't find a parking space when she returns home.

These are real quality of life impacts. They'll be multiplied by the number of families on our street and in the neighborhood. I have great faith in the creativity and resources of this city to address these challenges. If you, the BZA, require parking be provided, it will be.

I would like to emphasize that this is not an either/or situation. Instead, it should be a yes/and. And thank you for your time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Alright. David Sullivan?
DAVID SULLIVAN: Thank you. And thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. My name is David Sullivan. I live at 16 Notre Dame Avenue. I'm a former member of the City Council, having served five terms. I am a 30-year-homeowner in the North Cambridge neighborhood, and I live less than half a mile from the project.

I strongly support this project. I believe, as I have said to this Board many times before, that the most important issue facing the city is affordable housing. I am very conscious of the tradeoffs that several of you have mentioned, and they are significant -- the issues of density and height and necessary parking.

I'd like to call -- and there's no doubt that those are real issues, and that they are issues in the neighborhood, which I am a member of. I'm not an abutter, but I live somewhat close -- but I I'd like to point of access a couple things.

First of all, there are always tradeoffs.
Whenever we build more housing, there are going to be tradeoffs. And, Mr. Chairman, as you eloquently pointed out at the beginning of the hearing, the point of Chapter 40B is to give some weight to affordable housing in terms of how to make those tradeoffs.

It doesn't mean that the questions are easy, but it does mean that there's supposed to be a sort of thumb on the scale in favor of affordable housing.

Secondly, the experts, the city's experts on these subjects have weighed in. The Traffic and Parking Department has said that they agree with the developer's recommendations about traffic and parking. The Planning Board and the Community Development Department, which are the city's experts on planning have weighed in in favor of the project. It doesn't mean that -- you are the decisionmakers. Your board is the decision maker here. It doesn't
mean that you have to defer entirely to their recommendations, but you should pay close attention to their recommendations.

I understand the concerned about setting a
precedent. But I think the answer to that is you will have a chance to look at every single project like this when it comes down the pike. And if you disagree with the next one, well, you can say no to the next one. Or you can impose appropriate conditions on the next one.

So this doesn't tie your hands for all future projects. It is important to consider how many people will benefit from every last unit.

And it's true that we will not solve the affordable housing project problem in Cambridge with this one project. But it doesn't mean we shouldn't try. It doesn't mean that we can simply throw up our hands and say, "Oh, the problem is too big, let's not do anything about it." we have to try every single time we have an opportunity, and this is a really important opportunity, so I hope you will say yes. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Lisa Dreier?
[Pause]

Lisa?
LISA DREIER: Thank you. I just put my timer on. Chairman Alexander and members of the Board, thank you for the opportunity to comment. My name is Lisa Dreier. I'm a native of Cambridge and a homeowner on Cogswell Avenue, which is about one block from the proposed site of the building.

I'm part of group called, "North Walden Neighbors." We strongly support affordable housing in our neighborhood, but have strong concerns about the design and safety of this project. We have submitted into the record a petition signed by over 260 nearby residents who share these strong concerns.

Our fervent plea to the members of the Board this evening is please do not waive Article 19. This project has so many issues that have raised such a strong response from the community that it really requires a project review, including a traffic study that goes beyond the flawed and incomplete parking only study that has already been conducted, and actually looks at the potential safety impacts on vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian traffic on this
highly congested neighborhood, adding 200 residents, many of whom have small children, to this congested and dangerous space.

A project review would also review the context issues that have been raised this evening. The nine-story building towering over this neighborhood is way out of context with the current buildings in the area, and we would much prefer a six-story height in alignment with the Affordable Housing Overlay.

Overall, we are very concerned that this process has been very rushed. The first community consultation on this project was held only weeks ago in the middle of a pandemic. We have an immediate abutter who was never informed about this. We have a large apartment building one block away on 35 walden that had never heard about this until last weekend. There's been a very rushed process with incomplete community consultation.

But those who have heard about it react in shock and horror when they heard that a nine-story monster building is going up on our corner with this type of impact.

Once again, we welcome affordable housing in our neighborhood. We see the need, but we do believe that it
should be an improved design that provides safety and fits the context. We feel the developers are trying to railroad this project through at too high of a speed, with incomplete and inaccurate project documents provided to the Project Board and to this Board, and that the entire process is flawed.

So we request that you slow it down, allow for its sufficient review, allow for sufficient consultation so that we can work together to create an effective design to bring this project into our neighborhood. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Dana Schaefer?
DANA SCHAEFER: Hello. My name is Dana Schaefer. I live on Cogswell Avenue as well, actually. And I do have a lot of concerns about the traffic and safety. And I am very disheartened that I heard that the Traffic and Safety Board did approve this, because we've had a history of talking with Patrick Baxter, who was our point person, about safety issues on Cogswell Avenue a year ago. And we had backups on Walden Street and cutting through Mead and Cogswell documented for the past year.

And for them to say that there's no traffic and
safety issue is really, really upsetting to us, because we've been talking to them for a while about that. So that's probably my number 1 concern.

I also concur with the other Board members' issues about the height and to scale down, and, you know, really the big impact is everybody wants affordable housing, but to put a square peg into this round hole doesn't seem to make sense.

I mean, I think that it shouldn't be rushed, there should be more of a nuanced approach to look at this and to definitely hear the concerns of the neighborhood, which we really feel like we haven't been heard, considering this has been an issue for over a year.

So I would like to say that I think that the waiver for Article 19 should not be passed by this Board. Thank you very much for your time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Anne Ferraro?
ANNE FERRARO: Hi. Can you hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
ANNE FERRARO: Thank you for listening to me
today. Again, I live on Walden Street, and I agree with the
size is too much. I don't believe people who are developing this project really understand the congestion and the impact that traffic has during regular -- like, non-COVID times.

You know, half of the people cut through Walden Street. It's one of the most traveled streets to get out of the city; the people that are avoiding the parkway and avoiding going other places that are just as congested.

And I've lived on Walden Street for 22 years now. I've owned my home, and I just think it gets worse and worse as things get developed. And there's a lot of people that aren't around here right now, students and stuff that aren't parking.

I just -- I ask that Article 19 not be waived, and that they take their time. It's a very dangerous street. There was a child killed at that corner of Mass Ave, who had the right of way, was killed by a truck a few years back. I don't believe that a nine-story building is in keeping with the landscape, on Mass Ave in this area.

And I'm -- they mentioned Port Landing, which I am familiar with. Somebody I know lives there, and it has an underground parking garage.

And I would ask that they would try to develop
something more along those lines so that it would not impact the parking. I have -- there's days when I can't even get out of my driveway at rush time, and angry people that are trying to avoid the Mass Ave light.

And also, the issue that they're taking away the curbing on Mass Ave. So you've got people entering and exiting -- 49 families entering and exiting in that righthand lane that is -- that has the first light.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Anne? I'm sorry, your three minutes are up.

ANNE FERRARO: Okay, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Cathleen Higgins?
CATHLEEN HIGGINS: Hi. My name is Cathleen Higgins, longtime renter in Cambridge, 345 Norfolk Street. We're speaking in strong support of the project.

The context of that blending into the neighborhood and the street is just one of our contexts that Dennis Carlone refers to; there's also the context of being one of 20,000 people on a waiting list to get decent housing. And also, the context of the ongoing crisis we are in due to the lack of affordable housing in Cambridge and around the
country. Those are the significant contexts.
The fact that the Affordable Housing Overlay is
now in place with its incentivization of 100 percent
affordable development is a sign that there's broad support in Cambridge for the type of building that Capstone Community is seeking to build.

The passage of the AGO came as more elected officials, policymakers and citizens have come to the realization that residential segregation resulting from racist zoning and federal housing policy needs to end. This building is a step to correcting historical inequities that have kept Cambridge unaffordable for so many.

I take issue with just the knee jerk reaction against height. What is wrong with nine stories? There are many wonderful communities -- multistory communities in Cambridge. If we want to make significant dents in the problem, we need density and we need height. Look across the world.

If you really cared about the housing emergency, you would embrace these types of buildings -- this type of building to try to end the crisis.

Those who are opposed to this beautiful structure,
please see how lucky you are that you have the opportunity to meet and welcome to your neighborhood 49 new families who will bring their talents, livelihoods and interests to this Porter Square community. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Patrick Joyce?
PATRICK JOYCE: Hi there. Hi, my name is Patrick Joyce and I live on Mass Ave, a couple of blocks north of the site, and this is my first time speaking at a zoning hearing, so thank you very much for the opportunity.

I'm asking you to support the project at 2072 Mass
Ave, and I have e-mailed my comments as well, so I'll be very brief. My parents-in-law retired and moved to Cambridge, and they were able to live here and prosper due to city-run affordable housing program. I'd really like Cambridge to not only continue to provide opportunities like that to families, young and old, but to [2:14:00 audio unclear]
[Pause]

SISIA DAGLIAN: Oops, oops, oops. Patrick?
[Pause]
PATRICK JOYCE: Hi.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sorry, you got cut off.
PATRICK JOYCE: Something happened, I was
interrupted. Can you still hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, sorry. Yeah.
PATRICK JOYCE: Oh. So I'm not sure where I left off.

SISIA DAGLIAN: You were talking about your parents.

PATRICK JOYCE: So my parents-in-law were -- they moved to Cambridge, were able to live here and prosper due to a city-run affordable housing program, and I'd really like Cambridge to not only continue to provide those kinds of opportunities to families both young and old and to expand them, and I'd like it to happen in my neighborhood. Because I know people like that, I know how it changes people's lives to have opportunities like that.

And so I want to echo both Marjorie Decker and David Sullivan and particularly the previous speaker, Cathleen Higgins who I just heard -- I don't know any of these people.

But I understand their concerns about height and mass and context which are questions of aesthetics and
appearance, and it's a building that has a relatively thoughtful and attractive design compared to what's there right now.

And I do hope that we can all keep in perspective that these are questions of aesthetics, as opposed to the much more urgent questions of affordable housing and economic crisis, and of the good that we can do. And I do hope that we'll can keep in mind sort of the relative weighing in of those kinds of concerns. And so, that's why it's an open project.

Thank you so much to the Board and to the other speakers. Thanks.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Bill McAvinney?
BILL MCAVINNEY: Hi. This is Bill McAvinney. Thank you, Chairman and members of the Board. I live at 12 Douglas Street, and I strongly support this project, and certainly hope that you will approve the comprehensive permit.

A couple of issues: I've now lived in Cambridge for over 50 years, and I have lost an awful lot of my neighbors due to a lack of affordable housing. This project will help me just by making it possible for more Cambridge
residents to stay in Cambridge. That's one point.
The -- having to do with parking, I really
appreciate that these developers have used our -- or my -public money wisely, our public money wisely by putting it almost -- putting as much as possible into housing and not into parking.

I actually believe that housing should be a human right, and we should be providing housing to everyone. I do not believe that having a place to park my car should be something that the city provides me. That doesn't seem to make sense to me.

And the last point is in terms of height, we need to make choices about if we are going to have enough housing in this city -- and I don't mean by creating extreme amounts of housing, just to maintain it reasonably as it is to accommodate its own growth, we are going to need to increase height or just take open space. We've run out, pretty much, of commercial or industrial space that we can use for housing.

So the tradeoff here is do we put that height on our main streets? That would be where I would suggest doing it, not in the neighbors. Not -- definitely not on open
spaces. Thank you for your time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Noah Maslan?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to ask the same question. How many more?

SISIA DAGLIAN: 28.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 28? No, we can't go to 28.

NOAH MASLAN: Well -- hi, good evening Chair and Board. And I think, you know, I'm just here to just say that I strongly support this project. I live on Walden Street a couple blocks down. I think it's a beautifully designed building.

I think we need to take -- as a community, we -Cambridge is at the forefront of all cities in the Commonwealth in trying to deal with the affordable housing crisis, and also, the climate change crisis.

So we allocate lots of money in the city to have Net Zero buildings, Net Zero schools, but really the primary contributor to climate change are emissions from cars and single-occupancy vehicles.

And now here we have an opportunity to build a
bit of a taller building, which is totally within context in the scale of Mass Ave, within walking distance of not only a $T$ station, but it is a transportation hub with the Commuter Rail, the Red Line, and bus lines that go all over the place. And so, folks are going to be able to live here without owning cars. And it's going to help address the climate change issue.

And then, and finally $I$ just want to say that this is going to improve the safety of Walden Street. I live here with my family. We drive, we bike, we walk down this intersection almost every single day, and by the developer wisely giving part of the private land in order to widen that street is a real -- is going to be a real significant improvement.

And I think that none of the comments have really addressed that tonight, and I think it's important that you consider that.

Thank you, and appreciate the time. SISIA DAGLIAN: Matthew Goldstein?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, before you begin, I want to repeat what I said before. We've got tons of letters, memos, e-mails, what have you commenting on this
project. Most are in favor. We don't need to hear people say, "I'm in favor" unless they're going to offer some new reasons why we should -- this Board should approve what has been presented that has not been presented before by the previous speakers.

You don't make the case any stronger by dragging this out. It's important we get to the end of the discussion, but I do want to hear from people who have things to say that have not been said before.

Go ahead?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Should we do one minute?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One minute?

SISIA DAGLIAN: To time it?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 30 people signed up?
SISIA DAGLIAN: 27 now.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hm?
SISIA DAGLIAN: 27 now?
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, if I could make a seeing no one else in favor, declare that part of the hearing closed -- this is Andrea Hickey -- perhaps as the public sort of comes up one by one, we could ask whether they've made a written submission? And if they have,
perhaps move to someone who has not?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a good suggestion. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan. To my other fellow Board members, $I$ just asked Sisia, and there are possibly potentially 27 people who have signed up to speak for three minutes. We're going to be here for another hour and a half.

And as a reminder, we've 11 cases to hear after this. So this is going to really kick in to tomorrow at the current pace. So I just raise that issue so that you're aware of it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think we would ask anyone who wants to speak if they've commented to us already in writing, and if they have, then we'll move on to the next person who wants to speak. Maybe that will move it along. But I would, again, make the suggestion urge everyone to just not speak for the sake of speaking. We hear, we read, and we'll make the best decision we can at the end of the day. But we won't make a good decision if we're exhausted from sitting here.

And as Brendan has said, we have 11 other cases -not affordable housing cases. We have people sitting out
there waiting, and we have some tough cases coming up not involving affordable housing.

So please, let your comments -- or better still
not make them -- if the comments that you want to make have already been made by someone or by you in writing.

LAURA WERNICK: Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
LAURA WERNICK: Can we limit people to one minute?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can do that. I'm not sure -- we'll try that. Okay. Starting now, the speakers will have one minute to speak. And again, if they go over the one minute, their mic will be cut off.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay, Matthew? Do you want to go ahead?

MATT GOLDSTEIN: Yeah, hi. I'm Matt Goldstein at 52 Clarendon Ave. So I think part of the point of letting the public speak in these kinds of hearings is to show, like, support one way or the other. So I get that there are a lot of people talking, but that doesn't seem right to me.

So I'll just say I've lived in North Cambridge for close to 20 years. We've rented, we now live on 52

Clarendon Ave. I'm -- I support this beautiful project.

It's site appropriate, you know, it's going to add a lot to what is sometimes a dreary walk along Mass Ave between Porter and Arlington.

Our children attend the nearby Graham and Parks Elementary School. So, like, pre-COVID we waited on Walden often in that traffic. And yes, it's congested, and it's an inconvenience, but we live in a city. So the rush hour congestion is caused mostly by, like, regional commuters trying to get across the tracks. So support the project. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Lee Farris?

LEE FARRIS: Good evening. Can you hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
LEE FARRIS: Great. I'm Lee Farris, 269 Norfolk Street. I did write in. I will be within the one minute. I'm speaking for the Cambridge Residents Alliance in overall support of the affordable housing that's proposed here.

And the Residents Alliance is a citywide volunteer organization with well over 1000 supporters. We especially like that this is homes for lower-income residents, and that most are family units, and that it's Passive House.

We have three requests and concerns. We think the building would be much better if it provided two elevators instead of only one. We would like the developers to protect the residents of the CHA apartments next door during construction; perhaps by paying to temporarily move residents on that side of the building to other apartments if they want to relocated.

And lastly, we ask that the developer pay for this city to plant as many trees as will fit in the city-owned parking lot, since no trees fit actually on its property.

But again, to repeat, we are strongly in support of this proposal. We request that you ask the developers to accept these suggestions. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Itamar Tuner-Trauring?
ITAMAR TURNER-TRAURIG: Hi, my name is Itamar Turner-Trauring. I'm speaking in support of this project to add something new. One of the Board members referred to the idea that parents need cars. We live about the same distance from Porter Square as this building will be, actually slightly farther. We don't own a car. It's fine. Our neighbors upstairs do most of their transportation of
two young children without a car.
We know other people in the neighborhood who use their car once a week, or don't have a car at all and they have small children. It is perfectly feasible when you live near a transportation hub, when you live within walking distance of a supermarket and all the stores in the Porter Square plaza to not own a car.

And one of the benefits of living in Cambridge as a parent is that there are school buses, and so, you don't even have to drive your kid to school.

So again, I support this project, and I do not think that just because you're a parent you have to own a car. I suspect that a lot -- it is perfectly -- given the location it will actually be just fine for many families.

Thank you. Again, I support this project and I hope you approve it.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you. Ruth Riles?
RUTH RILES: Hello. Can you hear me?
[Pause]
RUTH RILES: Can you hear me?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.
RUTH RILES: All right. I did write in. I am

President of the Porter Square Neighbors Association, but as I said in my letter, I'm not speaking for the whole organization.

But I just wanted to add that I am disappointed that the Board is not more -- at least in the comments I've heard so far -- more forward-looking. I spent two years of my life on the Envision Cambridge Advisory Board. We looked at Cambridge Street and Mass Ave as places in the city, focused on them to actually put taller buildings.

JASON KORB: -- on the list, can you? Sorry.
RUTH RILES: And we looked at the possibility of having buildings there as tall as nine or 10 stories, and would that be feasible? I think the building is beautiful, and I think they've made an accommodation to the neighborhood behind it.
[Pause]
JASON KORB: Hello?
CARL NAGY-KOERSHLIN: Hi. I'm not sure if my name was called, I lost connection. This is Carl Nagy-Koershlin. Can you hear me?

COLLECTIVE: Yes.
CARL NAGY-KOERSHLIN: Sisia, are you there?

JASON KORB: Looks like there's some issues going
on.
CARL NAGY-KOERSHLIN: Yeah. Shall I proceed? JIM MONTEVERDE: Might as well, you have the floor.

CARL NAGY-KOERSHLIN: Okay. Carl Nagy-Koershlin. I'm the Executive Director of Just-A-Start, and thank you for your time. I'll be very brief. In addition to being at Just-A-Start, which, like Capstone is very committed to developing high-quality affordable housing and has an established track record in that regard, I'm also a former resident of Creighton Street. And I understand the inconvenience that people are speaking of when they're looking to park their cars.

But with all due respect, there's something here that's much more inconvenient that $I$ see every day, which is the inability for people to find decent places to live for their families.

So I really do think the proper weight should be given to the tremendous opportunity and life-changing impact that a quality unit of housing in this neighborhood will have on the lives of these individuals and families,
connecting them with economic opportunity, mass transit, good schools, good services.

So every unit we lose will have a profound impact on -- and curtailing the opportunity for the eventual residents who can't be here to speak because we haven't identified them. So I really encourage the Board to approve the project which has been well thought through with a tremendous benefit for the city. Thank you.

WILL MACARTHUR: Hello. My name is Will
MacArthur, and I'm a lifelong resident of North Cambridge. This is my first time speaking before the Board, so thank you for the opportunity. I submitted written comments, so I'll keep it very brief. I mean, I just wanted to say that I strongly support the proposed homes on this site, and I think they'll be a great addition to the neighborhood.

To make just one additional point, I've heard a lot tonight about the tradeoffs of this project, and I definitely acknowledge those, but I also wanted to speak to the tradeoffs that happen when we don't take advantage of opportunities like this to add the affordable housing we need to the city.

It's a tradeoff when many of my former classmates
from Cambridge public schools leave the city because we can't afford to live here as young people.

It's a tradeoff when people who work in Cambridge make two-hour commutes by car, and it's also a tradeoff that more than 500 Cambridge residents were living without housing even before the pandemic.

I hope that the Board considers these tradeoffs as well as those identified by the neighborhood in these deliberations and grants a comprehensive permit for the proposal as written. Thanks very much for your time.

JACKSON MOORE-OTTO: Hello. It looks like I've been unmuted. Am I supposed to speak now? This is Jackson Moore-Otto.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Go right ahead.
JACKSON MOORE-OTTO: Okay. Thank you very much. So my address is 9 Doane Street, and I would like to speak and I would like to speak very briefly in support of this project, which $I$ think is an amazing project.

I've lived in Cambridge my whole life, that's almost 21 years. I've been so grateful to live in a place with such amazing education and economic opportunity. And people need a place to live. And I think there are few
better places to live than Cambridge.
So I hope that as the Board weighs all the issues that have been brought up, they will keep in mind that denying any units created will have a negative impact on potential residents, and conversely creating these units will unlock economic opportunity and housing stability for so many people. Thank you.

REVA STIEN: Am I being called on?
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go. You're live.
Riva?

REVA STIEN: Thank you very much for this opportunity to speak tonight. My name is Reva Stien. I've lived in Cambridge as a renter for 25 years, and I would like to stay here, but Cambridge is very, very unaffordable. I fully support this project. I think, you know, housing is a right that everybody should be entitled to. Having a parking space is not a right.

But I would recommend thinking about Zip cars. You know, if there's a way for the development to find a couple of spots on the street, or through the City of Cambridge or maybe giving up one of the handicapped spots for a Zipcar that could be used by the development where
people wouldn't each have to own their own car, but would have a car available to them.

I fully support this project. It's a catastrophe to be homeless and not be able to find a home where you would like to live. Thank you very much.

DANFORTH NICHOLAS: Hi, is it my turn?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, sir.
DANFORTH NICHOLAS: Okay. My name is Danforth Nicholas. I live on Richdale Avenue, right next to Walden Street just a couple of blocks from the proposed site. I've been a homeowner in the state for 15 years. I want to express my strong support for this project exactly as it's currently envisioned. This is a beautiful building; I want to see it in my neighborhood. And I don't support reducing the height or adding any additional parking.

The reason that I live in this neighborhood is because I want to be able to live without a car. And I think that this is a wonderfully car unfriendly neighborhood, and I want to keep it that way.

So thank you very much for letting me speak, and I'll let us keep going.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your time.

SUSAN FRANKLE: Hi. This is Susan Frankle. Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, ma'am.
SUSAN FRANKLE: Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak. My name is Susan Frankle. I live about 300 feet from the proposed development on Houston Park. With all due respect to this discussion, many of the supporters are not in the immediate neighborhood -- not all, but many. And I feel like the context of the safety of intersection is really critical here.

And I'm going to add new content, because it's from a set of conversations that $I$ had with Joe Barr yesterday from the -- Joe Barr in the Traffic Department yesterday, and then again, this morning. And I'm guessing Joe Barr's not going to speak today.

I really take exception to the Traffic Department's recommendation in strong support of this project. I spoke with Joe, and he told me after we discussed the complexities of the site and I queried him, he said that no one from the Traffic Department has been to the site in almost a year. I find that staggering. No observations on the site from the Traffic Department since
this proposal began circulating.
You should find this staggering too. Yet the Department is confident that there will be no impact to traffic. We've got a senior center next door with a driveway; we've got an affordable housing unit of seniors and disabled people that abuts this -- that's the Russell Apartments.

And so I don't understand how the Department -the Traffic Department -- can strongly support this project when there was a parking study done, not a traffic study done. How could they reach this conclusion?

So I strongly urge you do not waive Article 19. This project needs more study. This building will likely last 100 years. I hope it can be resized to be an affordable housing project that is safe for the neighborhood and safe for the future residents. Why wouldn't we pause for a moment and be rigorous in the planning process? Thank you so much for allowing me to speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Jessica?
JESSICA SHEEHAN: Hi.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you're on.
JESSICA SHEEHAN: Thank you. Hi. My name is

Jessica Sheehan, and I live at 48 Fairmont Street. A quick note about not wanting to have to hear from supporters: If I knew this was going to go through without sacrificing any homes, I would be happy to skip commenting. I have other things that $I$ could be doing with my time too.

I'm commenting on because $I$ care about this very much. With the power to make these decisions comes the responsibility to listen to people, even if you find it tiresome or repetitive.

With that aside, I strongly support this project. It's a great place for it, and as you well know, these units are desperately needed -- every single one of them. In survey after survey, Cambridge residents cite affordable housing as our number one priority, that's the mandate. There's obviously a lot of support for this project, it's clearly well-planned. Please approve it without sacrificing any affordable homes. Thank you for your time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
REBEKAH BJORK: Hi. My name is Rebecca Bjork. Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Please go ahead.
REBEKAH BJORK: And I live down on Concord Ave,
and I've already written in to express my extremely strong support for this project, both based off the need for affordable housing and also the need for Cambridge to walk its talk when it comes to combatting climate change. So you can see that in my e-mail.

I wanted to use my minute just to address some of the comments about the impact on parking. I'm (sic) a residential parking permit down on Concord Ave near Garden. It's a very congested parking area, but I understand that my

I wanted to use my minute just to address some of \$25 a year doesn't guarantee me a spot in front of my building. I'm happy to walk a little bit of a distance, because opt for basically free parking. And it bothers me to hear other residents of Cambridge upset that other people might also want to use the same public good that they have access to.

So again, I'm very strongly in support of this, and I definitely do not want to see parking added. That will only increase the cost, and also, encourage people to drive and continue to contribute to climate change in a way that Cambridge should not be doing. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. James?

JAMES ZALL: This is James Zall.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
JAMES ZALL: This is James Zall, 203 Pemberton Street speaking in strong support of this project. City planners across the country and policymakers here in Cambridge have come to recognize that providing more parking results in more cars and more traffic.

Our local policymakers have also recognized the severe shortage of housing, and most especially affordable housing. It has afflicted the city and the region.

Cambridge's zoning regulations and practices have lagged behind its policies, as evidenced by this hearing tonight, some of our City Councilors have reported receiving calls almost every week from longtime residents, including school families and seniors, who are being priced out of their homes and cannot find another apartment they can afford.

Our city government has repeatedly stated its intention of addressing this crisis. They've taken some steps in this direction, but have hardly made a dent.

I have great difficulty accepting the idea that trouble getting out of one's driveway or having to park a
block or two away from one's home outweighs the needs of other people to even have a home. I ask this Board to think long and hard before they decide to prioritize cars over people.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Wallis Stein (phonetic) are you on? Dave (sic) Eisner?

DAN EISNER: Oh, hi. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Like, you have the floor.
DAN EISNER: I'm sorry about that. So my name is Dan Eisner. I live at 6 Bristol Street. When I attend meetings like these, my reaction is typically something like, "I'm glad we're getting more housing, but why is it so short? It should be taller." But this project really is pretty much perfect.

When I imagine how Mass Ave would ideally be developed, $I$ envision in my mind a series of eight- and nine-story buildings. And then I say to myself, "do not get your hopes up too much." So imagine my pleasant surprise when $I$ look at this project. It really is great. And I hope this can serve as a springboard for more buildings of similar height on Mass Ave.

Mass Ave is the type of street where nine-story
buildings belong. As a previous commenter said, we don't want tall stories and tall buildings in one-story neighborhoods. So this is exactly where we should be focusing, and we really shouldn't worry about the context of what is currently there. We need to grow and put housing where it makes sense, which is on Mass Ave. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

ALAN SADUN: Hello, can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Alan, yes, we can hear you.
ALAN SADUN: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead.
ALAN SADUN: My name is Alan Sadun. I'm here representing A Better Cambridge, an all-volunteer group that advocates for more housing for all of Cambridge. We wholeheartedly support the proposed project at 2072 Mass Ave and urge you to approve it. My organization has already sent you a letter, so I'll only highlight two points.

The first, there is a growing concern amongst urban planners nationwide this most American cities, including Cambridge, have overbuilt their car infrastructure at the cost of decreased air quality, decreased walkability, underutilized land and increased carbon emissions.

To correct these trends, ABC believes it is important not to build any additional parking, unless her clear and unmet demand for it. This project located so close to transit does not create any such demand.

The second point: Without the stability that affordable housing provides, Cambridge's rising rents leads to waves of displacement, disrupting families and communities, putting all that Cambridge has to offer out of reach for those who need it most.

We shouldn't be asking how do we mitigate the project, saying we can't solve the entire problem at once, so why bother? We should be asking, "How do we maximize the project?" We urge you to approve this project. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. dan? Yeah, I think you're on.

CONSTANZA EGGERS: Hello? I think it's me -JIM MONTEVERDE: No, sorry.

CONSTANZA EGGERS: -- on.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ah. You're --
CONSTANZA EGGERS: Constanza Eggers.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- we can all hear you. Yep. CONSTANZA EGGERS: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Go right ahead.
CONSTANZA EGGERS: Constanza Eggers, and I'm a longtime resident of Porter Road, a few blocks away, where there's also a 26-unit affordable housing building, which is just lovely that we've lived with for many, many years and it fits right in with the neighborhood.

I want to say that I strongly oppose the waiving of Article 19 and the request to waive the Design Review. And these are the reasons -- and I am aware, by the way that this is a friendly 40B, not an affordable housing ordinance project, or proposed project.

I wouldn't be here -- just like Marjorie -- I wouldn't be here if it weren't for affordable housing. And I had -- I made use of everything I could and then rent control happened.

And we all know that that -- ever since then, people have been losing housing, losing housing, losing housing. And that's -- I totally support and I have worked, like many of the people here, for over 20 years on this issue.

And we've participated like, you know, Jason said he spent some time -- you know, a lot of hours and whatever,
and a lot of -- you know, well we spent a lot of years, like 20 years, in meetings participating to make the most democratic way of urban planning, not just build by --
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Gone. Dan? Looks like you have the floor. No? It's like Hollywood Squares watching my screen just trying to figure out who's speaking next. No one yet.

ANDREA HICKEY: Jim, is Sisia not on the meeting anymore?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm -- I don't -- I see her name, but I -- and I see that she's not muted, she's unmuted, but she's not -- I don't hear her.

ANDREA HICKEY: And I don't see the Chairman
either. Does he --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I know. Nathaniel?
SUZANNE BLIER: I was called.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nathaniel?
SUZANNE BLIER: This is Suzanne Blier, I was called.

ANDREA HICKEY: One moment, Suzanne.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on. Hold on one second.

ANDREA HICKEY: Hold on, Suzanne.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We have a couple people who seem to be -- we can hear them at a time. Nathaniel, you're up. We can see your face. Could you just go right ahead, please --

NATHANIEL SMITH: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for the next minute?
NATHANIEL SMITH: Sure, yep. Thank you. My name is Nathaniel Smith. I bought my house on King Street, a few blocks south on Walden from this location about four years ago. I want to thank the Board for letting me speak tonight.

Before I bought this house, I lived in the neighborhood as a renter for five years. I strongly support this project as proposed. I take a little bit of issue with the idea that only people outside the community support this project. All of my friends who own homes in this neighborhood, all under half a mile from the site are very excited about it, as proposed.

I think it's a remarkable location for an ambitious, affordable housing project. A site like this so close to public transportation and services, and so near
such diverse communities as -- you know, the affluent community in Avon Hill, does not become available very often.

If the citizens of Cambridge are serious about trying to provide more affordable housing, it has to embrace a project like this on a scale as ambitious as this. If a building like this cannot be built on Mass Ave at this location, then where is appropriate? If not here, where? There are other buildings of a similar scale in both directions on Mass Ave. And this will be in keeping with the style of Mass Ave as an urban artery. I think the project approach to parking is entirely appropriate, and a necessary approach for a city that claims to value walkability and the goals of producing fewer cars on the street.

It is entirely appropriate for people who live in cities to park on the street if this results in more cars parking in the neighborhood, thus making it less convenient for people like myself to have one or cars. And good riddance, I'll get rid of one or both of my cars. I understand --
[Pause]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Suzanne, are you still --
SUZANNE BLIER: I am.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- unmuted?

SUZANNE BLIER: I am not --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead, please.
SUZANNE BLIER: I am unmuted. Thank you, Mr.
Chair and members of the BZA. I'm going to give a contradictory statement. I really like the aesthetics of the design, $I$ think it's handsome and really well done, and I commend Jason Korb and others on this, and I have thanked him.

At the same time, he was one of several developers who can be credited with playing a key role in the Affordable Housing Overlay Guidelines making their projects financially viable.

And I feel it's really important that this be a project that conforms in every respect with what those guidelines convey from the vantage point of height and other things. We don't have a city plan, we have Envision with 114 or so goals.

So this could be a very important model for further affordable housing project that's right on a
corridor, but it's really important that they be a model for everybody else.

And we've got the time, because there's some 14,000 vacant -- available apartments in the Cambridge area. So let's get this right. People are moving away in part because of gentrification -- condos, not because of this, and I really urge you to ask them to confirm with the Affordable Housing Overlay objectives and criteria. It is now the law, and it's an overlay of the other areas. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Ruth? Ruth?
RUTH RILES: I don't wish to speak.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, Ruth. No, sorry. I just -I saw your name.

YOUNG KIN: My name is Young Kin, and can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: We can hear you, go right ahead, please.

YOUNG KIM: Yes. My name is Young Kim. I live at 17 Norris Street. Thank you for this opportunity to speak. We seem to continue to delay making a decision in this case.

We have heard a lot of -- I heard a lot of good
comments and thoughts from the members, and we really need to give Sisia and Jerry (sic) time to work with the community to formulate a compromised plan that will satisfy the critical need for affordable housing, at the same time meeting the needs of the community.

Sisia and Jerry made some attempt at trying to listen to our community, but they have been very adamant about the number 45 units. I don't know what that the magic that number 45 is, but by lowering the back half to six, they raise the front by nine to maintain the nine units.

Also, they did not follow all the protocols of submit --
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, that's the one-minute timer. Somebody's playing gatekeeper. Annemarie? I believe the floor is yours.

ANNEMARIE FLYNN: Thank you very much. I'm assuming that you can hear me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can.

ANNEMARIE FLYNN: Yep, good. What I wish to speak to is the character of the neighborhood. I married into the family that owned this house at 341 Walden, which is a
little further down, but it's still the same neighborhood. It was constructed back in the '20s by her grandfather, and at the time it was considered affordable housing. You see, black people couldn't buy a house anywhere in Cambridge, but in this neighborhood they could.

It was a tree-lined street, with mostly two-family homes, where you would own one part and rent the other. And it was yards with trees and safe parking. We didn't keep our house locked for a number of years. It was only maybe 15 years ago we started locking it.

This is a neighborhood where my kids could learn to ride their bikes in the yard and learn to climb trees, and they'd play kickball in the street when the cars weren't coming. It's changed a lot since then.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Annemarie? Sorry to interrupt.
Annmarie, sorry to interrupt, but you're going to get cut off after a minute. So could you please --

ANNEMARIE FLYNN: Okay, so please --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- let us know if you're in favor or against, or what your rationale is?

ANNEMARIE FLYNN: Strongly against it. I see the problem is when these houses turn over, these greedy
developers come in and make these overpriced condos, and that's why the affordable housing isn't here anymore. This is supposed to be an affordable housing neighborhood, not an overdeveloped hindrance to the community.

Please stop this development. Consider the traffic, consider the influence it's going to have on my kids. My grandson's growing up here. My daughter still lives in the neighborhood. Please don't do this to us. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Do you think that's 27 speakers? I haven't kept count. No.

SEYMOUR KELLER: Yes, hello. Is it my turn? JIM MONTEVERDE: Seymour, yes, you're up.

SEYMOUR KELLER: Okay, I'm --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The floor is yours.
SEYMOUR KELLER: -- speaking on behalf of 269
people, who signed a petition, what has been submitted, so it's in your file. We are concerned about the safety primarily of this dangerous corner, and what the addition of the nine-story building will do to that.

So what we request is impact study to analyze the impact of this development, resize the building in
accordance with the old, and enforce the safety and space by regulation. So those are 269 residents, the majority of whom live in close proximity, and 20 of whom are in the Mass Ave affordable housing senior center, which is four feet away from the proposed project.

Please don't ignore us.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Alexa?
ALEXA REMPIS: Hi, yes, good evening. My name -JIM MONTEVERDE: You have the floor.

ALEXA REMPIS: Thank you. My name is Alexa
Rempis. I'd like to read the following statement written by my partner, Aubra Berkowitz (phonetic).
"Dear Ms. Pacheco and Board of Zoning Appeals members, I'm writing as a neighbor of 2072 Mass Ave in support of Capstone's project. I live at 16 Walden Street, two houses over from what is now Darul Kebab.
"Pre-pandemic, I was lucky enough to meet different neighbors. I met along -- two long-term Cambridge residents, who were voucher holders. Both needed to leave the North Walden area because their rental units were for sale, and they couldn't find neighboring units would fit their vouchers' payment standards. I also met residents who
lamented that their children couldn't afford to return home and rent near them after college.
"The Capstone project will help keep people from our neighborhood in our neighborhood. The project will be an asset to neighborhood stability. Most importantly, the Capstone project will include Section 8 voucher units and family-sized units."

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Margaret?
MARGARET RUETER: Hello, everybody. Hi. I'm Margaret Reuter, and I am actually a resident at the Russell Apartments next door, the senior housing and for disabled and senior residents.

And I essentially speak for the other residents in the building here. And this is a really tough conversation. The developer in the city and everybody is really -- you know, giving acknowledgment to people trying to work together to solve an urgent crisis.

But I really want to point out to this Board and to people listening to this call that we are a community four feet away of approximately 56 residents who have particular concerns. We're residents of the city.

And I've written a letter. We are going to be
most affected by this building, and I won't even speak to the fact that we are now going to hear and see people out windows a few feet away from us.

What I really am most concerned about is the safety from on Mass Ave. I went out the front entrance today. It took me 22 steps to reach the bus shelter. I passed 2 handicap spaces at the front of our building until I got to the bus shelter -- there is a bike lane -- and then I walked 30 more steps passing what's going to be the entrance -- the residential entrance to 27 --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Margaret?
MARGARET RUETER: Yep?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Margaret, sorry. We've got a
time limit of -- you're in a minute and a half now. You're kind of at the limit. Can you --?

MARGARET RUETER: Oh, I will wrap up. All I'm

```
asking --
```

    JIM MONTEVERDE: For or against?
    MARGARET RUETER: Yeah, no, it's not that I'm
    against. I'm asking a pause to study more of the
implications.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

MARGARET RUETER: I'm not sure the size is the right amount.

JASON MARSHALL: Very good. Thank you. Michael?
[Pause]
Michael? I think we can all hear you.
MICHAEL BRANDON: Yeah, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If you want to speak, proceed, please?

MICHAEL BRANDON: Yes. It's Michael Brandon. I live at 27 Seven Pines Avenue in North Cambridge. I'm the Clerk for the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee. I have written briefly just to point out that -- ask that this hearing be canceled, because it doesn't seem to meet the requirements under Chapter 40B, the Project Eligibility Letter which suddenly popped up today, and no way for the public to have seen that.

The entire process has been just ridiculous. I would ask that you take a look at the requirements under 40B. There's a handbook for Zoning Board members to review, and I think you'll see some of the problems.

The back room planning that's occurred with certain departments, not others, a real problem. These are

```
public funds, there's dimensions and so, "Oh, we're giving
```

    [Pause]
    JAMES WILLIAMSON: Are you calling on me?
    JIM MONTEVERDE: James, hi. You can --
    JAMES WILLIAMSON: Yeah, hi.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: -- we can hear you now.
    JAMES WILLIAMSON: So this is a really --
    JIM MONTEVERDE: James, there's a one-minute
    limit, please.
JAMES WILLIAMSON: -- process from the perspective
of the public, and I -- you know, hope that's duly noted.
First of all, a colleague -- a tenant/activist/colleague of
mine are working very hard to help somebody who grew up in
Cambridge, lived in Cambridge all of his life, but doesn't
get the Cambridge resident preference. Why? Because he's
living in a basement in Brighton.
So we should take a more careful look at all these
criteria to see, you know, what's really going on here.
This is a regional problem, and it demands regional
solutions.

And if you're talking about height, why are there
boutique hotels in Porter Square, four- and five-story boutique hotels that should have been seven, eight, nine stories but now, they were allowed to come in under residential use. Whereas this is going to be a quarter mile away.

For people who talk public transit, those are the people who never take public transit. I take it every day -- the 77 and the 83 -- past this site twice a day for the last 13 years. I don't see any of those people ever taking public transportation. They are going to cut the 77 bus, as part of the service cuts. The Porter Square station is not near this. It's --
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Cyrus, can you -- are you unmuted?

CYRUS DOCHOW: Yep, I'm here. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Please. There's a one-minute
limit. If you could keep yourself to that, please?
CHRIS DOCHOW: Of course. I am a direct abutter, so I would request just a little bit more time, if at all possible.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You'll get cut off after a
minute, so I'd start speaking.
CHRIS DOCHOW: Okay. I live at 5 Walden across the street. I submitted a letter to the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
CHRIS DOCHOW: Our main point here is that the six-story stepdown in the back is too tall. I submitted sections to the Board that described in some detail. I would urge the Board to look at it very carefully.

It's a very modest proposal that I think would be -- it would make a very positive change for everybody that uses Walden Street. Six stories is just too high, it's more than twice the height of the surrounding low-lying buildings.

I would also just like to say that what we're talking about is a very modest reduction, two or four units. Far fewer than a building that would have to be redesigned to meet the requirements of the Affordable Housing Overlay.

And I would just -- I would like to pause also to note that with all due respect to Carl Nagy-Koechlin of Just-A-Start, we have a unit that is owned by Just-A-Start that has been vacant in our building for over a year. So that's one unit right there that we could pick up if we have
to reduce the building by two units.
The Zoning Board has the authority to do this, and
I urge you to do this to improve the streetscape of Walden so that it appropriately meets the surrounding, low-lying buildings. Thank you very much.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Ausra?

AUSRA KUBILIUS: Hi, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Please keep your response to a minute, please?

AUSRA KUBILIUS: I'll try. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. AUSRA KUBILIUS: I live a block away from this proposed project, and I'm one of the signers of the 260 whatever petition. My main concern is simply safety -safety because there's going to be about 75 kids in that building who will be coming in and out. I request a safety study more than anything. Not parking, not size, safety. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
[Pause]
AUSRA KUBILIUS: What? Did I do a good job? JIM MONTEVERDE: You did great, Ausra. You're
still on. You can mute yourself, please. All right, thank you. We're waiting for -- I see two more names here, but they're not unmuted. [Pause] Jonathan? You're -- we can't hear you. At least I can't. Are you muted? [Pause] Jonathan, still can't hear you. JONATHAN BEHRENS: Can you hear me? JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go. JONATHAN BEHRENS: Okay, awesome. Sorry about that. JIM MONTEVERDE: Jonathan, you're on. You've got a minute, please.

JONATHAN BEHRENS: Jonathan Behrens, 115 Hampshire Street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Just keep it to a minute.

JONATHAN BEHRENS: I strongly support this project. I believe that every unit matters. We've been hearing from the usual group of opponents that are trying to delay, disrupt and derail this project. Please don't let
them.

And finally, I wanted to respond to one claim
about the thousands and thousands of supposedly vacant apartments. Given that a primary cause of apartment vacancies is the former tenants actually being evicted because they can't afford rent, if this number is remotely close to accurate, that just proves that we need affordable housing so much more. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Hello. Is someone trying to speak? Ausra, you're still on. So I think you should just mute yourself or hang up, please. Or just mute yourself I guess, if you want to. Thank you.

I don't see anyone else who is ready to speak. A couple of names seem to be in the wings.
[Pause]
Sisia, can you hear us at all, or can you speak to us?
[Pause]
Brendan, are you there? I see your face. Can you -- are you on?
[Pause]
I can't hear you if you're talking.
[Pause]
ANDREA HICKEY: Jim, it's Andrea Hickey. Gus
seems to have disappeared to. Gus --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- can you hear us?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I can't hear Brendan.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I mean, I think we need to know that Gus is able to participate.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Sisia's not here. This is like the -- yeah.

LAURA WERNICK: It's pretty clear that there's a communications breakdown in the Committee Room, where Gus and Brendan and Sisia are. I'm not able to hear any of the three of them.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Should we take a 10 -minute recess, try to connect with them by phone and then come back to the group and the public and decide how to proceed?

LAURA WERNICK: Who's going to make the contact? Andrea, do you want to try?

ANDREA HICKEY: I can try. I have to look at my cell phone to see if I have phone numbers.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I don't think I have their numbers, otherwise I'd be happy to call.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Let's --
ANDREA HICKEY: All right. I'm going to step away
for a minute and see if $I$ can do that. So why don't we resume -- it's 9:00 now, maybe at 9:10?

LAURA WERNICK: Good.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay, great.
[BREAK]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's 9:10, should we -JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, you're back!

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Everybody on? It's 9:10.
ANDREA HICKEY: Why don't we take a roll call of the Board, Gus?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now I'm going to do that. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, present. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, present.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, present.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're present to. Okay. So here we are. I'll try to start and summarize some of what I've heard and where $I$ think we may want to go.

ANDREA HICKEY: Gus, can I -- excuse me and just to interrupt you for a second, and for the record: For the last 15 minutes of the meeting + or -, we were not able to see or hear you, but I understand you could see or hear us. So I think it's important in the record for you just to confirm that you were able to hear testimony during that time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I was. And I was able to see as well. Not on my screen -- well, that's a different issue. I can look on Brendan's screen and I can see.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know what the problem is, but it's technical on our end -- our end being the City Council's end.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right, well I just --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- where I'm sitting.
ANDREA HICKEY: I just wanted to clarify for the
record that you were still able to hear and participate. We just -- some of us just couldn't hear you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I was able to do that. And --

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I will so confirm.
LAURA WERNICK: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, just I think to try to summarize and to shape an approach, clearly -- and no surprise -- the testimony was overwhelmingly in favor of the affordable housing project.

I didn't hear a lot about -- from my point of view, there was obviously discussion of parking and traffic and concerns about the impact, but the city's Traffic Department has said otherwise, and I didn't feel that's as important as the issue of the size of the building, or the front of the building that goes nine stories high as it faces Massachusetts Avenue.

I would like to see, and propose the petitioner a building -- that the height of the building on Mass Ave is
six feet -- six stories, I'm sorry, not six feet -- six stories, and with a stepdown in the back, a portion is stepped down and the rear of the building is now proposed. That would reduce by my calculation and Brendan's calculation the number of units in the building by maybe 15. That's not a lot, but in the affordable housing area, every unit is a lot.

But I think there are corresponding impacts on the community, it's just not about affordable housing. And this approach would not prohibit affordable housing in the area. We still have a substantial number of houses, but at the same time I think it protects -- or protects is the wrong word -- it fosters a better streetscape for the area.

So that's where I would go. The petitioner may not want to go that far. They may want us to hold firm, but if we were to hold firm, the case would go to the courts. And they want to hold the project up over roughly 15 housing units, I don't know.

But $I$ would return to the fact that all five of us separately without consultation expressed concern about the height of the building -- the nine-story height on Massachusetts Avenue.

So that's where I would focus my comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, the comments by Councilor Carlone resonated with me. Those were somewhat my thoughts, but I think he perfectly stated it. And the -he could not see why it could not comply with the Affordable Housing Overlay Ordinance, and which was well discussed in counsel enacted.

And I think it should be complied with. I have not seen any evidence that it can't be complied with. And that's -- maybe it's sort of tending to what you're saying also, but $I$ have not seen any evidence to the contrary why the Affordable Housing Overlay Ordinance cannot be complied with at this site.

SEAN HOPE: Mr. Chair, when it's appropriate, I'd like to respond to that point about the Affordable Housing Overlay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, if -- well, if we could -

- Sean, if you could hold off for a minute -- other members of the Board, if you could sort of voice your thoughts and opinions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi, this is Jim Monteverde. Can you hear me? This is Jim Monteverde.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can't hear you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Jim, speak up a bit?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. This is Jim
Monteverde. So I shared the Chair and Brendan's concern, as I said earlier, about the height. I'm sure there's a financial way, or a way to explain the finances that someone other than I could go in and analyze and confirm that drives you to the height that you're proposing. But I would certainly not be in favor of it for just that reason.

While I'm wholly supportive of everything else that you're doing, that piece alone I would object to.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. What piece alone you would object to?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would basically not be able to support it on that basis.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I would -- you know, I would remind everyone we heard very early in the public comments, we heard from an individual who was an architect, who also supported affordable housing, but didn't see why he had to go over the front more than six feet, and pointed out that the costs increase dramatically, once you go over six feet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, Mr. Chair I think I've -you know, I've just -- in my professional life had the same experience. I mean, I kind of -- I've been in the discussions where we put a dollar value on what it means to be a high-rise in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. You know, it's something I'm sure the design team knows the answer to and could respond to. I don't think that by itself pushes it one way or the other. It's really the difference between the height that's by the Overlay District allowed versus what's being requested. So -- at least in my opinion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura or Andrea, either one?

ANDREA HICKEY: It's Andrea here. I just have a very quick comment. I do agree with the Chair and Vice Chair, I don't see or haven't understood a significant reason why a project like this that's very much needed cannot comply with the Overlay and height requirements. So I'm eager to hear Attorney Hope address that in his comments. I'll defer now to Laura.

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah. I think through the public comment, I've actually shifted my position. And while I'm
not happy with the nine stories and would prefer to see and eight- six-story-, I do thank that Mass Avenue can handle the height. And so, I think I'm leaning the other direction. But I would like to see if there are options to lower it at least one floor, one level along Mass Avenue. SEAN HOPE: Mr. --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I was going to ask you if you wanted to speak now.

SEAN HOPE: Okay. I'll speak, and then I think also Jason would like to talk about the high-rise piece as well.

So first the Overlay -- and I respect the position
that the Board is in, that you're trying to figure out balance affordable housing with impact on the neighborhood. But I would say -- so most of those supporters you've heard on the call tonight -- David Sullivan, Marjorie Decker and others -- those were all supporters of the Overlay. The Overlay was never designed to be a cap on affordable housing.

Part of the -- the whole rationale for the Overlay is when you use the common mechanism as in 40B, things like appeals, things like neighborhood abutting, appealing
approved projects stalls projects. There are lots of projects that never get off the ground because an abutter can pay $\$ 10,000, \$ 15,000$ and stop a project in its tracks.

So the Overlay was meant to be another mechanism that essentially there is some public process, there is a Design Review, there's actually no permit that you can appeal and you get a building permit. That means someone could go, whether the site was close to transit or not and put up a six-story building, have -- you have public process, but there is no appealable permit. That is supposed to be a mechanism that's supposed to allow for that.

Everybody who supported the Overlay, now that it's being used to now cap -- not by this Board, but frankly the one City Councilor who voted against the Overlay several times is now in front of the Board promoting the Overlay as a way to limit affordable housing. That's not what it was intended to do.

Affordable housing was the number 1 goal of the City Council. There are letters in the files specifically approving this project by the primary proponents of the Overlay. So the idea the Overlay now should be shaping the
form of all affordable housing wasn't what it intended to do, it was intended to be another tool.

So when you do a 40B, you inherently have to compromise. So things like road improvements, we weren't doing that necessarily for ourselves, we were recognizing. We're going over what the Overlay allows. Negotiation with our direct abutters: We sat with our direct abutters. They talked about Walden Street in the back and we lowered it.

So if we didn't do the $40 B$ route, then you can go and you can design a building. This would be a lot more stripped down. The quality of the units would be far inferior. We wouldn't be able to do some of the public benefits. Some of the energy efficiency goals wouldn't be met.

So I just wanted to tell the Board, and please hear this, that the Overlay was never designed to be capping affordable housing. It was going to be a mechanism. And because there's less public process, and because the public's right to sue and appeal is limited, then therefore that was allowing those projects to go forward.

So I would just say that for one the Overlay was never meant to cap all affordable housing. And the idea
that that would be used as a limitation I feel like is not what the Overlay was intended to do. And I think that's supported by everybody who supported the Overlay, and it took multiple years.

The second thing that $I$ want to talk about is this idea about affordable housing and trees. And I really respect Mr. Sullivan saying that.

What I'd like to say in Porter Square is if you have a million dollars, you go and buy a condo in a brick building with no trees, no parking because you have the option to live there, $I$ find that when we were talking about affordable housing, people say, "Affordable people want trees too, but they don't have the option."

So we are providing an option. If you live in an affordable unit, you want to live close to transit, you don't want to have a car and you want to use a bus, we are creating that option. So I don't think it's fair to say, "Hey, because we don't have a front yard and a back yard -" which most people in Cambridge don't have. Young families who move to Cambridge are in a condo. They don't have those things. So I think it's not fair to say we shouldn't use affordable housing.

The last point I'd like to make is the Envision Cambridge was multimillion-dollars, a 2019 process. They looked at this stretch of Mass Ave as a corridor. They came out with goals.

One of the three goals were to increase overall housing production -- encourage affordable housing production for low, moderate and middle-income. So this was a recent study looking at the corridors.

And Ruth Riles made the point and she is right, because I was on one of the committees -- eight and nine stories were talked about potentially on certain parts of Mass Ave.

So when we talk about context, we took the city's recent study, Envision Cambridge -- multiple year study, multimillion dollar study, if the Board can't find that nine stories is not the perfect height. But I think the idea that six stories should somehow be the test is not consistent with the new planning study.

I would also say too when you look at the files, and this is to Article 19, the Planning Board reviewed this project. The Planning Board, who is the design arm of the city, all of the Article 19 criteria, all of that, was in
front of the Planning Board. The Planning Board did not write the Zoning Board and say the height was too big. The Planning Board did not say that the scale was off.

Now, I would just say lastly, I think the Board has the opportunity to look forward or backwards. Looking forward, in 50 years when this building is built, I don't believe that the idea of scale is going to be as relevant as it is now. We recognize this is a dramatic jump, it's a dramatic change.

But looking backwards, trying to say, "Well, we have six stories here, so affordable housing should only be this story: Part of looking backwards and not looking forward is the status quo of our environment, the diversity.

When you build this type of building, it changes the diversity of the neighborhood, and of the city of Cambridge. So this is an opportunity to change that. If we say, "Hey, let's limit it, because we want to keep the building next door" we're not looking for the future. And what we're doing is we're actually capping and we're keeping the racial makeup, the economic makeup virtually the same.

And so what we're asking the Board is to be bold, to be forward-looking, to actually look at what we want the
future to be, instead of maybe keeping it safe for what it is now. So those are the points I want to make. Jason, do you want to touch on the high-rise piece at all?

JASON KORB: I don't think I need to make any additional comments, unless the Board has questions for me. Financial cost for 760 CMR 56 I think ought to be considered in a comprehensive permit unless a certain process just -go -- undertaken. I think Sean, you've said everything I would have to say, so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I personally would agree that the bringing in of the Overlay District is a little bit of a red herring with this case. Yes, the Overlay District may have affordable housing consequences, but as I understand it, and as I analyze it, it's at a different level in the community.

The affordable housing that's being proposed tonight is, you know, basic affordable housing. These are the people who need -- who need the financial help through the mechanism for affordable housing under Section 40B, the comprehensive permit process.

So I would take that off the table. But I still think that there is a basis -- there's a reason why -- I
haven't heard that we can't do this project, it's uneconomic if we go down to six stories. And so, therefore, why not go to six stories, except the fact that the city will lose roughly 13 affordable housing units.

But I think there's a balance here. And the city I think will benefit by a six-story building at this location. So I'm -- that's where I am at.

SEAN HOPE: Sorry, Mr. Chair, one more comment. I just want to make it clear, some of the benefits, we are paying for the full benefits of the road improvements. The property is going to be Passive House. What's not clear to me, and we need to go check this with our funders -- it's not clear to me that we can just do a six-story building and have all those other public benefit goals that we are doing in this project.

So I think we're so focused on the height that we're also maybe losing sight of all the other factors that are permanent benefits to the public in perpetuity. So when we reduce this building, we're not just lowering it, we're likely going to be stripping the façade.

And remember, the Overlay will actually shrink the building on two sides. It would be a setback on Walden

Street and a setback on CHA. So we're not just talking about now a regular building, the quality and integrity of the units if we follow the Overlay would be compromised.

And lastly, the Overlay requires no parking as well. So the idea that there's somehow going to be a beneficial impact if we have Overlay versus not is not the case. Parking is still going to be zero, and that's part of the Overlay.

And the other thing, as Councilor Carlone said, he was wrong. Because it's a city parking lot, the Overlay would allow a full six-story building, so there wouldn't be no natural stepdown.

So I just want to not lose the fact as, "Oh, we make it lower, it's better for the city." We also lose things. And the ability to do some of these public benefits are all part of the process.

Now, I'm not saying we can't do any of those things, I'm just saying it's all a mix. And $I$ think as we lower the building, we put certain things in jeopardy potentially. And I don't want that to be lost as we focus only on the height.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I would again -- I
think this issue comes down to economics. I think the fact that you want the building as high as it is because of the profit you'll make on it. I haven't heard that you wouldn't make the profit it wouldn't be a profitable operation if you reduced the height of the building. It would be less, but it would be --

SEAN HOPE: Mr. Chair, with all due respect, this is not about profit. This is not about profit. We don't do this for profit, and this is not. We would fight for every single affordable unit. If the Board thought that going down to a lower -- a lower -- I'm just -- I'm trying to let you know what the tradeoffs are. This is not about profit, at all. And this is not.

If -- look, if we -- we met with the City
Departments, we would not come out with this building if this was not something that was not approached. This was not a Sean and Jason, "We want to build a tall building to enrich our pockets or to have any kind of ego." This is not. We think this is close to public transit.

And to Mr. Sullivan's point, if this was on Pemberton Ave, or this was further down Mass Ave, not within walking distance of transit, this is not a building we would
propose. Frankly, we are directly on a bus line. You can walk to the grocery story.

So I don't believe this is setting a precedent, because we wouldn't be proposing this building even three blocks further down North Mass Ave. We are proposing this building very similar to Frost Terrace because of its proximity to several family and community-serving benefits. JASON KORB: Let me just say one thing. I've dedicated my entire life to building affordable housing, okay? I came from privilege. I didn't have a dime of debt from going to college or grad school, okay? My Dad passed away when he was 69 years old and he didn't get to enjoy all of the money he made as a lawyer, okay? There's more to life than just money. And I own a market rate portfolio and I have most of my money off of that. But I want to help others. That's why I do this, okay? It's not about -- look we can make an AHO deal work, fine. You know what? My guarantees would be a lot less, okay? Instead of having to sign millions and millions and millions of dollars of guarantees on a high rise, I won't have to do that as much.

But you know what? Creating these 14 or 18 more
affordable units is so much more important to me than that. So instead of everybody thinking and going to greed and going to avarice, I just -- I find that so offensive. I have worked so hard in this business for 20 years of my life, okay? And I'm doing it, and we're doing it because we want to create some extra units of affordable housing for people that really need it -- that really need it!

I'm sorry, but going to the greed argument, you can ask anybody in the affordable housing business, whether it's Carl Koershlin from Just-A-Start, anybody in the nonprofit world, anybody in the advocacy world from affordable housing about my record. And it's because I love what I do and I care about what I do. And I believe in what I do. And I believe in helping families that didn't have the opportunities that I've had.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Other members of the Board, do you want to chime in, or do you have any views?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. This is Jim Monteverde again. I didn't take what I -- the discussion $I$ heard before as making anyone suspect of greed or avarice or anything else.

It was really just trying to understand the -- if
there was a -- if the pro forma, or there was a financial basis for the need for the building to be nine stories tall, when there seemed to be a good number of the Board members who seemed to be uncomfortable with it -- myself included. Sean, your point that there are tradeoffs -understood. If you want to explain, either now or in a subsequent discussion what your project would be if in fact it was at the designated level -- I'm not going to say six stories, I think the height that $I$ read on your zoning analysis said 85 feet, if I'm correct?

SEAN HOPE: For? So the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I looked in one of your, one of the -- I think that's the Overlay District height, is that correct?

SEAN HOPE: So it would be seven stories and 69 feet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh.
SEAN HOPE: It's going to be six stories and 69
feet is in the -- because the first floor for retail --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay, okay. So just -- you
know, just show us that scheme. What is it? So what are the tradeoffs? I mean, the quality of the façade, it's not
one that sways me. Because I read the description of what it's going to be. If it's about quality inside the units, just -- you know, explain it so there's a rationale and we can --

JASON KORB: It's the loss of the apartments. It comes down to the loss of -- you're losing -JIM MONTEVERDE: I understand.

JASON KORB: -- you're losing about 14 apartments is my understanding. JIM MONTEVERDE: That part I understand. I heard the discussion that went slightly beyond that to talk about if in fact that was the case, not just 14 units but or the quality or other accoutrements or benefits that the project provides wouldn't be able to provided.

Again, I'm just trying to -- I'm willing to -sorry, my concern with the height is if it meant that some units had to go away, I'm perfectly aware of that and willing to make that sacrifice, so as not to set a precedent along Mass Ave for either affordable housing or any other type of construction until the City Council decides that they want to amend the zoning ordinance and make it an as-of-right, or some other conditional use, or condition for
it.

JASON KORB: Huh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I'm still stuck on the height and trying to get around it. I'm not talking about avarice, not talking about greed, just strictly height and the precedent it sets.

SEAN HOPE: So I would just like to talk about the precedent. I think one of the canons of land use law is that every lot is unique. You'd have to find another lot within a quarter mile fronting on Mass Ave. Now look, the cost of land near transit is exponentially higher. The reason why people go further away, because it's cheaper.

The idea that we're going to get another site like this within a quarter mile of this Porter Square $T$ station, I don't see -- everything else is overbuilt. You're not going to get -- you're not going to get more of this. This is part of the reason why we're trying to take advantage of this opportunity.

Now look, I'm not saying if the Board said that nine -- we started off with an eight-story building. That was two more stories higher than the CHA. In direct abutter conversations. We did the same thing at Frost Terrace, we
worked with our direct abutters and we tried to come up with it. You had the direct abutter over here saying that they supported the height on Mass Ave, but they wanted lower on the back.

This is the direct abutter that's going to be impacted by this. That's something -- if the Board was going to take that into account, that's something we would have to look at our funders and see if it was going to work.

But I think the idea that we're using this Overlay as somehow the litmus test for what's appropriate, I just -it wasn't intended to do that.

And frankly, that's all there by Mark McGovern -four or five City Councilors who we were the proponents of the Overlay supporting this project. And I just find it that it's being used now to somehow limit is just -- I don't think that's what it's intended to do. That's different than the Board in its purview saying, "Hey, for us, nine stories is too high." Or, "nine and six is too high, and there might be a different height." And we're willing to accept that.

But I feel like the six stories is being used as a ceiling, a glass ceiling. I don't know where that's coming
from. And also, when you talk about zoning, 40B is part of zoning. This is not outside of zoning. The Council doesn't have to go and say, "nine story buildings are allowed citywide as-of-right." I wouldn't even want that.

I do believe when you're going to go over the Overlay, you have to compromise, you have to come to the Board, you have to risk an appeal. These are all the things that we're risking, and we understand that. And we are willing to compromise.

What we're not necessarily willing to do is go down to North Cambridge and other folks. We are dealing with our direct abutters. We have met with the CHA; we have met with our direct residential abutters several times. And, I mean, the person is on here.

So, you know, we're trying to listen to the Board, but I feel like what we're hearing from the Board is not necessarily what we're hearing from our direct abutters, and I would just hope that we could find a way to find a middle ground.

But the Overlay to me is not the answer. There are things in the Overlay that would be the same. Parking would be the same, and I do believe if we adhere to the

Overlay, we would have a narrower building, we'd have setbacks on both sides, and the quality of those two- and three-bedroom units would be compromised.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You talk about trying to find a middle ground, which is a good idea. I'm trying to do the same, I think this Board is. But what would you see as a middle ground? We've put out a middle ground about the reducing the size of the -- the height of the structure as it faces Mass Ave. What would you see as a compromise?

SEAN HOPE: Well, I think our design team feels like if there's going to be height in massing, it should be on Mass Ave, it should not be in the neighborhood. There was a request by our direct abutters, and they are group at 5 Walden, to drop the portion on Walden Street a floor lower, which would be losing two residential -- two of our units, but it would mean that the residential portion on Walden Street is 35 feet and the residential neighborhood where we had four-story, that would be a bigger step to that.

The only thing $I$ would say is that we actually would have to go and rent and talk to our lenders and look at all the improvements that we're doing, and make sure that
it still pencils.

But to me, you know, when we did Frost Terrace and we made a compromise, it was because our direct abutters and I came up with what we thought might work. And I think here it should be the same.

LAURA WERNICK: So you would not consider keeping the six -- the Walden Street at six and dropping the Mass Avenue to eight? That's not something that's reasonable in your --

JASON KORB: During the pause, we actually ran some back of the envelope. And so, the eight to six would be a loss of four units. And the other problem is that wouldn't appease our direct abutters.

LAURA WERNICK: No, no, I --
ANDREA HICKEY: Councilor, do you mean nine to eight?

LAURA WERNICK: Nine to eight.
ANDREA HICKEY: You said eight to s --
LAURA WERNICK: Nine, eight on Mass Ave.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: Keep your six on Walden, just drop.

JASON KORB: Yeah, you would lose four units. Going from nine to five you lose two units. So going from

LAURA WERNICK: Okay.
JASON KORB: -- right?
LAURA WERNICK: You mean from six to five?
JASON KORB: Sorry. Staying at nine and going down to five on the back you lose two units.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right, keep --
JASON KORB: Okay?
ANDREA HICKEY: If you keep --
JASON KORB: Dropping it to -- keeping it at six
and dropping to eight, you lose four units.
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.

JASON KORB: Which completely, there's no
financial feasibility at that point.
LAURA WERNICK: Okay. Well, that's what we're trying to figure out. That's the first time you've said -JASON KORB: Yes.

LAURA WERNICK: -- that there's no --
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's kind of the answer.
LAURA WERNICK: -- financial feasibility with four
units.

JASON KORB: Yes.
LAURA WERNICK: But there is financial feasibility
--
JASON KORB: There is still -- I want to point of access, we are right at the edge of financial feasibility -LAURA WERNICK: Okay.

JASON KORB: -- as proposed. If the Board imposes a further reduction as proposed by our abutters to go to nine to five, you know, we would have to talk to our funders. So we're not --

LAURA WERNICK: I personally don't see the advantage of going to five. I think the Walden Street at six is a very reasonable amount, and is appropriate massing.

ANDREA HICKEY: I agree with you, Laura. And I think if the front, you know six to me no longer is a magic number. I was very much persuaded by Councilor Hope's sort of explanation on the Overlay. So I understand that. But I think dropping a floor in the back and keeping the front at nine doesn't really buy enough. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. Does it make sense for us, given so much discussion going on as I
opened my remarks... was that there was an awful lot of correspondence that had come in in the last couple of days and an awful lot from the Councilors today that I did not get to review: does it -- I just feel this out -- that we continue this matter for us to sit back?

Sean and Jason to take under consideration what our comments are, and possibly come back with an alternative? See if you can do it. You may come back and say, you know, "We stick by our plan." You had mentioned about going back to some of your lenders, if you were to reduce the size of the building. And again, I think we're focusing on Mass Ave.

And then it gives the Board members some time to potentially review some of the documentation and also some of the testimony tonight. I just throw it out --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's fine.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- that out as an example.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One of the only -- and I agree with that. The issue we have, though, is our docket is filled for the next month or two.

And if we wanted to come back and talk about whatever we've agreed on, we'd have to put the project back
for a couple of months, and I don't think that's going to be acceptable to the petitioner.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan. I would push this up as quickly as possible, even if it does mean a crowded schedule. As it is, we have 11 more to go tonight, so we're going to be here for a long time. I think it's important enough that potentially -- this is December 10 -that does, that the holidays coming up the first --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The next hearing is going to be probably the second week of January --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the first week in, second -I'm sorry, the first --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't have the schedule.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- first hearing --

SISIA DAGLIAN: January 14.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: January 14 is the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and January 14 --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- next hearing.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I would propose January 14.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm not adverse to
continuing to January 14, and I'm not adverse to another
long night, because we'll have our regularly -- as tonight -

- our regular agenda plus this. But hopefully this discussion on the fourteenth would not be nearly as long as the presentation tonight, which is not a criticism of anyone, it's just the facts.

But we've got everything aired out. Now everybody takes a deep breath, think some more about it and come back and we talk on the fourteenth.

SEAN HOPE: I think that would be appropriate and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry? I'm sorry, I didn't hear you, Sean.

SEAN HOPE: I said I think that it would be appropriate and it would give us some time to digest what we've heard from the Board, and possibly see what options are available. So I -- we would appreciate that.

The one thing I was going to say, if the docket is really full on the fourteenth, I have seen the Board call a special meeting.

And I'm not trying to add more things to your schedule on the holidays, but if we thought that maybe separating from the fourteenth a date in January in that same week so that we could not have the Board on a marathon,
that might be something you might want to consider.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I'm not adverse to a special meeting. But it's very unusual. We've always -- as you know, Sean, being a former Board member we've always resisted that. But --

SEAN HOPE: Understood.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If that's what people want to do, it probably would be a week earlier, I would think. I don't think a meeting between now and the end of the year makes sense. The holidays -- there's just a lot going on. But we could do it. I don't know what the availability of a building is, the office.

LAURA WERNICK: We could do it New Year's Eve.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

LAURA WERNICK: We could do it New Year's Eve?
ANDREA HICKEY: Laura, tell me how it was.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, I would have thought that tonight could have been a special permit meeting unto itself. I think that if we came back on the fourteenth and this was scheduled at 7:00, that provided we got correspondence from Sean and Jason prior to -- with their position and their bottom line, then $I$ think we could
probably hear it, I would think within an hour. And I think maybe we don't have to open it up to public comment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I hope so. But I think, you know, we've got to be -- the reality is we're going to get a lot of people who want to speak, not the people who are speaking right now, but citizens of the city, both pro and con. And they're going to want to weigh in. And it'll drag on.
"Drag on" is pejorative, I don't mean to be -- the comments were good, but $I$ think it will take a while to thresh the comments out and reach a decision on the fourteenth. But I'm willing to do it. If that's what the sentiment is, let's do it.

LAURA WERNICK: Would there be a possibility of doing a special meeting on the seventh? Thursday the seventh?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, there was a possibility of doing what, Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: A special meeting on Thursday, the evening of Thursday the seventh? I don't know if this group is available.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, if the chambers are
available on the seventh, which we're not sure of. SISIA DAGLIAN: We could do it elsewhere. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We could?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. We could see if we could do
it at the other place.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. Yeah, I mean, I wouldn't -- that's fine by me. I'll do either.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll continue this case until the fourteenth.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, until the seventh. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, the seventh. JIM MONTEVERDE: Seventh. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right. The seventh. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: At 7:00. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: At 7:00 -- the usual time. We can do it any time. We have no other cases that night. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do you want to do it at 6:00?

JIM MONTEVERDE: 6:00.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00's fine by me. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. SEAN HOPE: Great. Thank you.

JASON KORB: Thanks.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that unanimous? Sean
and Mr. Korb, you're --
SEAN HOPE: Sure, that's fine.
JASON KORB: Yes.

SEAN HOPE: Thank you.

JASON KORB: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves
that we continue this case -- certainly as a case heard -until 7:00 p.m. on --

ANDREA HICKEY: 6:00 p.m. I thought --
JIM MONTEVERDE: 6:00 p.m. --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, 6:00 p.m., 6:00
p.m. -- on January --

COLLECTIVE: Seventh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- seventh, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision -- Sean, you know all about that -- and that's -you can get that from, we don't have it tonight, but from the Inspectional Services Department in the next several days.

Two, that a new posting sign be put up, and that for the 14 days before the seventh, reflecting the new date and the new time.

And that to the extent that at that meeting, you want to propose changes to what we have in our files now in terms of financial information, structural design of the building, et cetera, that they must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 7. Is that it? All those in favor?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes to the continuance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to the continuance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And of course I also vote yes to the continuance.
[All vote YES]

So the case is continued until that date, January
7.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Tenth.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Tenth, I'm sorry.
Seventh? Yeah, I thought it was --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Seven at 6:00 p.m. Seven.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Seven.

SEAN HOPE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. 6:00 p.m., January 7.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, okay.

SEAN HOPE: Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll move back on to our regular agenda. Okay.

between the Building Department and Mr. Costa. And Mr. Costa has been trying to get professional help. And I'll read from his e-mail to us.
"Unfortunately I am writing to you to request a continuance in this case. Unfortunately, I have been struggling to find new representation." And he goes on to say, "I've contacted lots of people, everybody's too busy." So that's why we're going to continue the case.

I would -- I'm going to move that we continue the case until this date, but I'm -- I think Sisia, you're going to have to advise this fellow that no more, this is it. If he can't get someone by the seventh, by the -- no, it won't be the seventh, I'm sorry, I'm --

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- getting a little bit confused. When is the next available date?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, the Board would have to be -

- well, February 11 is the next available.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, that's going to make him happy.

SISIA DAGLIAN: If that gives him enough time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not a case heard, so
can everybody make February 11?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, yes.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Alison and Jason?
ALISON HAMMER: Alison Hammer, yeah, I can make
the eleventh.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then Jason would be --
Jason is on twice a month. He's --
JASON MARSHALL: Yes, I can make it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. Can you hear me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, thank you.

JASON MARSHALL: Yes, I can make that date.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. February 11.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I thought we were talking
about Windsor Street. How do we get back?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. We're going to continue to February 11.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. Okay. The Chair moves that we further continue this case until -- February

11 can we do it at 6:00? Do we have anything?
SISIA DAGLIAN: We have one continued case that day.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: At 6:00?
SISIA DAGLIAN: -- I don't know.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Then we'll do it at 6:00
on February 11, subject to the following conditions:
That the petitioner -- well, he has signed a waiver of time for a decision so we're set there -- that the petitioner may post a new sign selecting the new date -February 11; the new time, 6:00 p.m. -- and that sign be maintained for the 14 days prior to February 11, second...

And then lastly to the extent that the petitioner wants to submit at that hearing new financial data, zoning information, plans, what have you, they must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before February 11.

All those in favor?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes to the continuance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to the continuance.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to the
continuance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall, yes to the
continuance.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Alison?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So it's a case continued

ALISON HAMMER: Alison Hammer, yes to the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes yes.
[All vote YES]
So the case is continued until the eleventh.
(9:52 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have another case on the continued agenda, Case Number 017236. I'm going to call that case next, because the petitioners are withdrawing. So all we've got to do is accept the requested withdrawal. Has he got the file?

Just for the record, we have a letter in the file from the petitioner saying that, "I am writing to inform you that we are no longer able to continue with creating new plans for an addition to our 97 Sixth Street home at this time. We do understand that if we want to revisit this, we would need to adhere to the appropriate waiting period." [That waiting period would be two years, by the way.]
"Certainly, we have decided to adjust our plan and work on our home's basement level in its place."

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So all those in favor of accepting the requested withdrawal, please say aye?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes, Aye.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Alexander, yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
Case is -- we approve the withdrawal of this case.
(9:54 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now, moving on to the next continued case, 57 Pleasant Street. The Chair will call Case Number 91137 -- as I said 57 Pleasant Street. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter? [If the people are still around.]

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm sorry, I have to -- [laughter] [Pause]

SISIA DAGLIAN: Move to French, if they're on. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I suspect they're long gone, they being the petitioner.

SISIA DAGLIAN: They were on earlier, but they're not on now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: They may have given up.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, that's what I'm saying, they've given up. We had a -- there was a very active neighbor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Dr. Johnson.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Dr. Johnson, right. And I'm sure he would have planned to be on the call tonight as well.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Here's Monte French, sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One more time I'm going to
call this case on 57 Pleasant Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard?

MONTE FRENCH: Good evening. This is Monte French.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I don't see you, sir.

MONTE FRENCH: I'm sorry, the camera on my laptop is broken.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, okay. Mr. French.
SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Monte French.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay. We left off with your neighbor, Dr. Johnson, having -- you were going to have further discussions with him to see if you could reach some accommodation or at least acceptance of what you want to do. Where do those matters stand?

MONTE FRENCH: Yes, sir. So I'm the architect for the project. I did reach out to Dr. Johnson right after our last hearing. We had a great conversation about many things, and I think we resolved or came to the issues that he was most concerned about and found a resolution through rodent control.

And we came -- arrived at an agreement to develop a rodent control plan and pay for it, to a certain extent that we agreed upon.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have plans in our files from the initial hearing. Are they still okay? I mean, are you still proposing?

MONTE FRENCH: The previous hearing we were requesting relief for, we were at 12 percent for the added volume.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
MONTE FRENCH: So we reduced that down to 10 percent to meet the zoning relief. The other --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But we need plans that reflect that. I mean, where does that reduction to 10 percent, -- where does the 2 percent that's been reduced, where does it come from? How does the plan -- we have to
have plans that --
MONTE FRENCH: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- that we tie our approval to, so that the building Department when the time comes for you to get a building permit can check our decision against what you're proposing.

MONTE FRENCH: Correct. These are the plans you're looking at here. They reflect the changes, and we did cloud (sic) all the changes in here to show where the reduction in volume is. The added volume onto the right side or the east side of the building is where the added volume was. We reduced that back to take out the 2 percent.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, I don't know how --
MONTE FRENCH: That's just the site plan, the proposed site plan. If you go into the floor plans, it is also clouded there to show you the area that was moved westward to reduce the volume.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. We should have -we should have had these plans in our possession for tonight, so we can just look -- again, I'm lost as to how we're going to approve this case tied to plans when we don't have the plans conceptually.

MONTE FRENCH: It's my understanding -- we did submit the plans.

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, can I ask a question?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
JASON MARSHALL: [This is Jason Marshall.]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.

JASON MARSHALL: Since you said you're now going to 10 percent; does that mean you're not seeking a special permit with respect to the addition?

MONTE FRENCH: Correct.

JASON MARSHALL: So -- okay, so you're not here asking for a permit anymore?

MONTE FRENCH: Well, there is three --
JASON MARSHALL: So --

MONTE FRENCH: -- there is three issues coming out
of the --

JASON MARSHALL: -- could you then --
MONTE FRENCH: -- there's three issues coming out of the --

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, sorry, you were just ahead of my question, please go ahead.

MONTE FRENCH: There's three issues coming out of
the previous hearing. One was issues brought up by the rear abutter; the second issue was seeking the special permit for the additional volume of 2 percent over the 10 percent, and the third issue was windows on the west wall that are over the setback line in an as-of-right, nonconforming.

So we resolved the rear abutter with Dr. Johnson. We had several conversations with him. I have e-mails, he's in support. I think he may have left because this went long. He was going to speak in support of the project. We did resolve that issue with him.

And then the other issue we did resolve on our own was to reduce the additional volume down to the 10 percent required. So the only relief that we're seeking now is the windows on the west wall.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So still where it was before. I don't know how we get the plans in a state that we can approve, based upon that. We should have had new plans.

MONTE FRENCH: These are the new plans.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, these are the plans that are in the file from the last time.

JASON MARSHALL: We submitted new plans. I'm not
sure how they're not up there.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: When were they submitted? This is
Andrea Hickey asking.
MONTE FRENCH: I believe --

SISIA DAGLIAN: They submitted not -- they weren't
sent directly to Maria. So she didn't have them posted on Monday, though we did get them beforehand. There was a little bit --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right, but I think we need post them in a way that the public has access to them and they're able to see them prior to the hearing.

SISIA DAGLIAN: They were posted prior to the hearing, but not until yesterday or today, I think.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the plans that we have in our files are dated November 23.

SISIA DAGLIAN: That's when they sent it to us. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's when they what?

SISIA DAGLIAN: That's when they sent it, but they sent it in sort of an atypical format. So we didn't see it until earlier this week.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I guess what I would
like to see if this case --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We may have to kick this over
to January.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hm?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We may have to kick it over to
January.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what I was going to
say. You read my mind. I think we have to wait -- we have
to -- we can continue this case until January -- the case --
January 11.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: January 14.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can't get that date in
my mind.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: January 14 now.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, what's the --
MONTE FRENCH: But our --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the date for the
affordable housing case?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Seventh.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's the seventh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Seventh, okay. We can
continue until January --

MONTE FRENCH: -- but the -- but --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
MARGARET RUETER: Aren't the plans we are looking at right now? Are those the most up to date plans that we sent you?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Yep, they are.
MONTE FRENCH: These are the most up to date that are on the screen.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [This is Brendan.] Yes, but the problem is that those are not timely files, basically. They were just -- they were not uploaded until yesterday. That's correct, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I mean, they were in our possession, but they weren't put into the public domain -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

SISIA DAGLIAN: -- until yesterday.
MONTE FRENCH: All right. I don't know how they were submitted. My client submitted them, and I have -- and I saw the e-mails where he was trying to get confirmation.

So I'm not sure exactly what happened, but I know that we tried to meet the deadline. We sent them in before the deadline.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, this is Brendan Sullivan. I think he did. It's just that it did not get downloaded into our system until yesterday, what do you, which technically is -- would be considered a late file, even though it was through no fault of yours or your -- the client.

MONTE FRENCH: So is there any possibility of moving forward with this and not continuing it?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not -- it would be departure from our rule.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the problem is, should anybody not have access to it, general public could contest our decision, because they were not able to view the file in a timely manner, which then -- it's a legal issue, and then puts your case at risk that, you know, we could probably defend our decision. The fact that it was not available to the public is not defensible. So --

MONTE FRENCH: Well, I guess could I say that the
last hearing, the two issues that we came to you with were the volume and the windows?

And both of those were in the path to be approved by you, but Dr. Johnson came forward with his concerns and issues that we needed to resolve, and that's why we had the continuance, was because of Dr. Johnson.

But we did resolve that issue, so I think when we go back in the records and look at the conversation from the last hearing, you'll find that we were going to move on these two issues.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] -- that is correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I continue to believe that we can just -- we can just continue the case until the seventh of January, and that we can use these plans -- these -- you don't have to call them new plans, these are now in our files.

And so, anyone who -- like Dr. Johnson, who wants to see them can visit between now and January 7. And then on the seventh we will proceed to -- well, I don't want to say approval, consider these plans, and see if there are any objections from neighbors or anyone else in the city who is
standing to object.
I think it will be a five-minute exercise on the seventh of January.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can hear it at 6:00.
MONTE FRENCH: Yeah. I guess my concern is, obviously my client couldn't be on the call, but this is going to delay his project for nearly another month. And he's been -- this has put his project on hold. And Dr. Johnson does have a copy of the plans. I sent them to him immediately. He's had a chance to review it.

He's been actually calling my phone. I think he's having trouble getting onto this hearing.

But he's had the plans, we've had great conversations, he's in support of the project, I have emails to that effect.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I still say we continue this case until January 7, and you don't have to submit any new plans. You have to -- you have to post it on the sign reflecting the -- you know, the 14 days -reflecting the new date, the January 7 date.

And we'll take the case up on January 7, on the basis of these plans that we have right now, which you say

Dr. Johnson has signed off on -- and I'm sure he has.
I don't see any alternative without creating legal
issues.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] -- I would support that motion.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, Andrea Hickey here. I support that motion, and I do always think that it's important for the general public, not just one person who may have had a prior objection, but for the entire general public to have access to the filings. I regret that this wasn't the petitioner's fault, but the documents were not in the file for the public purview in a timely manner.

So I don't see where we have an alternative but to continue it, in fairness to the public.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura or Jim -- and Jim?

ALISON HAMMER: This is Alison Hammer. Can I ask a question?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry. Different group. Yes, go ahead.

ALISON HAMMER: Sure. So am I understanding correctly that the windows in question are those on the west
side and not those on this side where there is an addition? MONTE FRENCH: Correct.

ALISON HAMMER: And is that window configuration still the same one as in your prior application?

MONTE FRENCH: Correct.
ALISON HAMMER: So, you know, and the -- and were the prior application materials online and available to where people see? I just wonder, Gus, if the -- if we could approve only that elevation which has already been available for people to see and respond to?

MONTE FRENCH: Correct, they were.
ALISON HAMMER: I don't know if that helps the situation, since there's no longer any relief being asked for regarding the addition, which seems to be the only piece that has changed?

MONTE FRENCH: I think that that's been my position as well is that we haven't changed the documents in any worse way or changed what we're asking the relief on.

What we're asking relief on is the same as what we submitted before, and there was no adverse comments to it before, and it was going to be approved until Dr. Johnson spoke about his issues, and we did work very quickly to
contact him initially, and then followed up to resolve the issue. That was the part that hung it up, and we were happy to work with him and get it resolved.

And that's kind of where we're at.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think a motion to continue the case until January 7 ?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, 6:00. Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, 6:00?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on January 7, subject to the -- the only condition $I$ think is that the petitioner put up a new posting sign for the 14 days prior to January 7 reflecting the new date, January 7, and the time 6:00 p.m.

All those in favor?

MONTE FRENCH: Uh --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes?

MONTE FRENCH: -- yeah, can I on behalf of Dr.

Johnson, he's been trying to call me, like, every second here for the last few minutes.

SISIA DAGLIAN: He's on the call. Do you want to
put him forward?
MONTE FRENCH: He's saying that --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're pointing to him.
MONTE FRENCH: -- he hasn't been able to speak.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MONTE FRENCH: Can we let him speak?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think we're in the middle of a
vote.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay.
MONTE FRENCH: I know, but --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to that continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Alison?
ALISON HAMMER: Yes to the continuance -- Alison

Hammer.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall, yes to the continuance.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well. So the case is continued until January 7 at 6:00 p.m.
Okay. Now we have one more continued case.
(10:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 017322 -- 17-19 Cushing Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Who is sitting on this?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a not heard -- case not heard.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. So who's sitting on it? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Tonight it'll be the five of us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, Laura's sitting on it?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura, Jim, you and I and

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not Andrea?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Andrea. So I'm going to say goodnight.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Goodnight, Jason. All
right.
ANDREA HICKEY: I think we can let Allison go if
she's not gone already?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So let's have a mini roll
call. Myself --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Brendan, Andrea --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Jim --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde's here, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and Laura.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that it?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Mr. Chairman?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wiggins, yeah.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay. Can you hear me all
right?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I can.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay. Let me just see if I can start the video. The video doesn't seem to -- oh there I am, okay. Good evening Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. I'm here representing Rick Von Turkovich and this is regarding his property at 17-19 Cushing Street.

Basically, we're asking for a variance to add a fifth apartment in his basement, which has very ample ceiling and counts as FAR in this building and always has counted, but it's never been able to be used. And so, we're looking for a variance for that.

And that will also include a slight variance for lot area per dwelling unit, since we're going to be going to five units from four. It's counterbalanced by a very good increase in open space per dwelling unit, because the garage is going to be ripped down as part of this project.

We're also going to be asking for a special permit to allow one less parking space than required for the five units. Currently, there are two -- only two parking spaces,
and this project will increase the parking to four units.
And then finally, in the special permit area, two of the units' parking spaces will be within five feet from the sideline. So we'll be asking for the special permit for that reason.

I'd like to just begin by describing the lot location in the building. There is in the file -- Sisia can show it to you -- a certified plot plan showing the existing conditions of the plan of land. And you'll see that most of this lot is actually in the commercial district in $A-1$. It's on Cushing Street.

To the right in your screen there, you'll see now or formerly Nancy Jane Karrig (phonetic). That is a commercial lot. There are four storefronts in that building, and the blank space there is mostly parking lots. So on that side is all commercial.

Some of the house itself is also in the commercial zone. Of course, that doesn't excuse us from complying with Residence B, which is why we're looking for a variance here.

I'd like to just show you if Sisia could put up the photo of the front of the building. It's called front and left side of 17-19 Cushing Street. I think that's in
the -- one of the pictures that $I$ put in. I don't know if you can skip to that photo? Yeah, there it is.

Okay, so that is the front facing Cushing and the side facing Spruce Street. And actually because it's fronting on two streets, we really have two front yards. But this is sort of the prior nonconforming character of it.

And then I'd like to go to the next photo, which shows the driveway at the rear -- yeah, I don't know if you can turn that driveway sideways. That's the right side. That's it. Yeah, right there. Thank you. So that shows a portion of the rear of the building.

You'll see the stairs coming down to the right, and the driveway -- existing driveway, and then there's a garage in the back. That's the garage that will be coming down.

And to the rear of this or the side, depending on how you're defining this, is a fully built-out, three-family condominium building. And that's the one right next to the driveway we worked out with the owners of that to approve this project and one of them is actually -- or has been listening tonight, I don't know if he's still with us or not, but there is a fence all along that side. They're
satisfied with the fact that that fence will remain, or Mr. Von Turkovich might replace it with a better one of the same height.

But they're satisfied there's enough screening there that the five-foot setback -- we're actually going to be within three feet. So that's why we need the -- they're willing to do that.

I would like to now show you, if Sisia could put it up, the existing floor plans, existing basement plan, existing first-floor plan. There it is, okay. If you'll see the existing first-floor plan and the site at the existing basement plan, the existing basement plan shows a large volume of room that's currently storage.

And then you see stairs coming down from the first floor at the rear of the property down to a common area in the business. So that is how the basement is currently accessed from the first floor and all the other floors.

And in planning to use this space in the basement, Mr. Von Turkovich saw that you could conceivably without even needing a variance, $I$ would submit, because we wouldn't be increasing floor area at all, put a couple of bedrooms in the basement.

But really, that would be not very practical, because you'd have to go out of your apartment, go down through a common area, which is actually a laundry area, and then go to the bedrooms in the basement.

So there would really be no direct access. And what's more, to make that possible you'd have to destroy part of the first floor, put in a whole new set of third stairs, in order to achieve any kind of reasonable use of the downstairs.

And that's why Mr. Von Turkovich hit upon instead of making that floor area extra bedrooms, make it a onefloor studio apartment. And that's what you will see in the set of proposed floor plans. And if you could pull up that, Sisia, we could show you how that looks. That's called, "basement alterations." Yeah, if you could scroll out a bit.

So the basement alterations would allow you to access this living area downstairs directly from the rear. You'll see on the right-side stairs going up to the outside. And so, the person living down there would just come in and go directly into the unit, wouldn't have to be interfering with other people or mingling with them in the laundry area.

So, you know, if we start to get into the why we need a variance here, I would submit that there's sort of a hardship based on the structure of this building, in that you would have to actually reduce floor area in order to get any kind of meaningful access.

With this solution, you've got basically the same intensity of use as you would have had with adding extra bedrooms to the same place, but nothing outside is changing. The exterior is not changing with the exception of a couple of window wells for emergency exit.

And the steps at the rear of the property are going to be somewhat altered in order to give enough parking space for cars. So basically nothing will happen in the exterior to really change the appearance of the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Michal, this is Brendan Sullivan. How do you reach the level of the statutory requirement for granting a variance?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I was going to ask the same question.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- for size, shape or topography?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: The structure -- yeah, the
structure of the building, that's where I would rest the pitch to you for is that --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You know, he lists in his pleadings structural considerations and structural problems, and yet there is no evidence showing that, or even correspondence from the structural engineer. And so we're just taking it on hearsay.

Let me draw your attention to a case prior -Cryan versus the Board of Appeals of Salem. This was a land court case, \#137129 -- 1991.

And the court found the petitioner claimed that the interior design of the large structure made use as a two-family impractical. You're saying as a four-family impractical. The court held shape is not synonymous with interior design. And further, that neither the shape of the dwelling nor the shape of the locus is unique.

So I think that I don't see how it reaches the statutory requirement for granting a variance where it's all interior design.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think that's right. And I think you're also not paying attention that -- not you, Mr. Sullivan, but the petitioner -- to the lot area per
dwelling unit. You're supposed to have at least 2500 feet. Right now, the four units -- there's 1405 feet per dwelling unit. So it's substantially below. And now if we would grant the relief you're seeking tonight, it would fall to 1132.

Bottom line is you're talking about crowing too many dwelling units in this structure. And there's no legal justification. You don't have -- you don't meet the requirements for a variance. And therefore $I$ think this case is over, from my point of view. I am not going to support granting relief here.

As I said, I think that you take your -- not you, your client, is taking a building and trying to make a few more bucks by crowding one more apartment into the basement of the building and conjuring up a claim for a variance. Not to me.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: If I could respond, Mr.
Chairman, I think I could have Mr. Von Turkovich talk about this as well, but $I$ think it is structural in the sense that if he has -- if you could put -- if you could use that space in the basement with a very awkward arrangement, based on the structure of the building -- I'm not talking about shape
of the building, but I think structure of the building -the way the building is constructed can -- and I've seen it in other areas of the structure -- I'm not talking about just preference, but I'm talking about an actual loss of floor area in order to make a reasonable use of the premises

We didn't -- my client didn't actually commission the building of an interior staircase, but $I$ would submit to you that would obviously result in a loss of floor area on both floors. So it could possibly happen that you could have someone have two bedrooms down there, but it would be I think totally impractical.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, no, it's -- [Brendan
Sullivan] it's not necessarily impractical, it's not financially feasible to the owner to do it if you were to have a bedroom or two bedrooms down there -- and again, if you want to get into economics, it's probably $\$ 500$ a month for a bedroom, roughly.

So there's maybe $\$ 1000$-- probably not going to tack on $\$ 1000$ for the rent that he's getting to the firstfloor unit. However, a separate apartment would garner two or three times that.

So it's purely an economic move to make use of
that space and he's saying, "Hey, you know, let's see if $I$ can't monetize this." That's the bottom line to me.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And it's the bottom line for me as well.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Well, I would -- I mean, I've talked to Mr. Von Turkovich. He really -- that's not his only purpose of it. I think it's just he thought it would be a good idea to add some living space, and he couldn't come up with a reasonable way that that could happen. He couldn't imagine a way that somebody would be willing to have bedrooms where you'd have to go through the common area to a different floor to access.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And they have a basement space that can't be used for a dwelling unit, because of the problem you identified. There's no given right to have as many dwelling units in the structure as you have space for it. And again, you're going to end up with five apartments that are much less in size than our ordinance requires.

There's no hardship here, other than your client is not making enough -- can make more money if we grant the relief he's seeking tonight. That's it.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Well, I would respectfully
submit that we have vetted the case with all of the neighbors, and they're all in support of it. I mean, there's no more intensity of use of this building than there would have been with two apartments. So in terms of the effect on the neighborhood or whatever, it seems to be nothing at all.

I know that when he first came before you -- I think it was last March -- a lot of the problem, I know you had raised the variance issue and what the reasons were, but I think a lot of it was about the parking. And in fact, he has doubled the amount of parking there. There is public transportation immediately next door.

So in terms of as far as what the neighborhood is willing to do, they're all for it.

And I'd also ask you to consider the fact that most of this property is in a commercial zone next to commercial buildings, with the three-family condominium building next to it acting as sort of a buffer between it and the Residence B zone.

And so, in terms of impact on the neighborhood, it's slight or nothing at al.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, any comments or any
questions you want to ask?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't have any questions or
comments, I just -- I don't see the hardship presentation. So I would not be in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I feel the same way. I mean, it is adding another unit of housing total city of Cambridge's housing stock, but as you're saying, it's below appropriate standards for outdoor space. So it's kind of, what are we really gaining?

RICK VON TURKOVICH: Yeah. Excuse me, this is Rick Von Turkovich, may I speak?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, you may.
RICK VON TURKOVICH: Okay. All right. And I appreciate the opportunity for you to hear our arguments again. I guess, you know, I'm thinking from a sheer practicality sake and speaking to what Ms. Wernick was mentioning is that there are two alternatives here, is to add some additional living space, which would be part of the first-floor apartment, or to add yet another unit of housing to the stock of the city of Cambridge. And we've just spent several hours listening about the shortage of housing.

I've owned this property for 25 years. I've operated it as a multifamily. It's -- you know, I've had Section 8 tenants. This isn't a case of just trying to make more money, it's trying to obviously improve my investment, but to also provide additional housing.

So when I look at -- and I fully understand the issues with regards to the restrictions, but in exchange for removing the garage, we're actually increasing the open space rather than reducing it. I understand the impact on the lot size per dwelling unit, but overall it's the best use for the space that's available.

And I would have hoped that the Board would see it if you weigh the option of, you know, additional living space for an apartment or yet another dwelling unit that the benefit to the city is, you know, is the extra housing and I've done everything I can to solicit support from the neighborhood. Originally, there was an objection due to parking, now we're adding parking.

This is -- it's only economically feasible for me to add the parking and do the things --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're not talking about parking at this point. We're talking about the variance for
the additional apartment. We're going to take up the variance for the parking next. I mean, sir, we want to move on. I don't think -- Jim, did you have an opportunity to comment, or do you want to comment?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I did. I said I didn't see the hardship --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, you did. I'm sorry, you did.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- be in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea -- I mentioned Andrea -- Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I'd like to comment. So I agree with my colleagues that $I$ am unconvinced with respect to hardship. And in terms of adding another market-rent apartment to the city's housing stock, I'm not sure that that sort of does a lot.

Are you offering or willing to make that some kind of affordable unit in perpetuity?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: I've had Section 8 tenants over the years. I wouldn't rule out the option of having a Section 8 tenant again. You know, I don't even --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- understand the impact for the

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. That would be at your
option. I just think the argument of adding another marketrent unit does not persuade me.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: That's all I have.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Is there anyone here wishing to be heard -- not here, but on the line -- who wishes to be heard?
[Pause]
Apparently, no one does and as the petitioner and his counsel have pointed out, there are some letters of support --

SISIA DAGLIAN: One person raised their hand. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

SISIA DAGLIAN: One person raised their hand.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One person wants to speak? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, I'm sorry. There is someone who wishes to speak. Put them on, or her on.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Robert Russell?
ROBERT RUSSELL: Hi. Can you hear me now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If it's -- please identify
your name and address, please?
ROBERT RUSSELL: Yes. I'm Robert Russell. I live at 9 Spruce Avenue, which is right next door. I'm in the condo, and I actually abut the unit in the driveway.

And I just wanted to say very quickly that, you know, Rick Von Turkovich has been a very, very fine neighbor. We've worked with him. I believe that at least two of the unit owners in my condo or three -- possibly all three -- are supporting this.

And I'm not offering an opinion about a variance law or hardship, I can't do that, but just wanted to say that we have a very good relationship with him and he's been -- you know, very -- listened to us very carefully. So just for what it's worth, I wanted to tell the Board that, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, thank you for taking the time at this late hour to speak with us. I'm going to close public testimony. I think we've -- I think we're
ready for a motion, because $I$ think we've all expressed our views.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that -- the motion has to be in the affirmative -- that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being as that there is basement space that could be converted into an apartment if certain -- if the structural relief being sought were implemented.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the shape of the structure, and that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans submitted by the petitioner and which are in our file. Vote. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No to granting the variance. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I vote no to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, no to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No to the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the -- and I vote no as well.
[All vote NO]
Variance request denied. We now have to take a vote as to why the variance was denied. The Chair would suggest that we -- the Chair moves that we deny the variance on the grounds that the petitioner did not satisfy the requirements for a variance as set forth in our zoning code; specifically that he did not identify substantial hardship sufficient to warrant the granting of a variance, and that there's no hardship owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of this structure.

Any additions or suggestions?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: No, that's it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to that motion.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to the motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes to the motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, yes to the motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.

## [All vote YES]

So that motion is also adopted. Let's turn to the garage variance. Counsel?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes. Well, the variance there would have been for a reduction of the number of spaces. And that part of the special permit I guess is moot in light of the fact that the Chair has voted not to allow the extra unit.

However, the setback for the -- setback of the parking spaces, we would like you to consider that. Typically, the requirement of the ordinance is the five-foot
setback, and my client would want to proceed, I believe, with being allowed to have the four spaces. And as you've heard from his neighbor who's most directly affected this, he does not object to that.

So if you look at -- if you could put up -- if you
could please put up the site plan, the proposed site plan, yeah, that's it -- that shows the driveway, and it shows three spaces as the standard one, two and three space. Then there's a compact space. And the setback from the border with the neighbor to the rear is going to be three feet as opposed to five.

However, as I said, the fence runs completely along the driveway there. We believe this is a good plan that will bring added relief to the neighboring houses. Currently there are only two spaces there. So this is a substantial enhancement, which would certainly not exacerbate any of the parking and any of the -- it wouldn't have an adverse effect on any traffic in the area.

I think that the integrity of the neighborhood would be well-preserved by giving us this waiver as far as the setback from the fence is concerned, especially given that we have unanimous support from all the neighbors for
it.

ANDREA HICKEY: Counselor, this is Andrea Hickey. I just had a question. So is the plan to remove the garage to make way for these spaces?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes, it is.

ANDREA HICKEY: Do you have a plan showing where the garage is, or can you describe it in the slide we're looking at?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: It's in the certified plot plan that I presented earlier.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right, it's just -- I don't know if we can maybe pull that up again. I would just sort of like to see them side by side for a minute. Are we able to do that?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Can you show us the photos?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, not the photos, the plot plan
showing the existing condition -- the location of the garage. There it is.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: That's it, yep.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yep.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: So you can see that. There's
also in the yard -- sort of in front of that, there's a couple of large trees. The one thing that the abutters to the rear at Spruce Avenue asked is that we not remove any trees in the process of this, and they've agreed to that. My client has agreed to that. The -- one of the pictures shows that very large tree. There's a picture of the right side of the house.

And then if you can scroll to the -- the other side of the house, there's a -- that, yeah, that one. Nope, sorry, that's the rear. The other side -- one more back, it's -- there it is, okay. You can see that large tree in the yard next to the garage. That's going to stay. And so, that give us a substantial inflation from the commercial space to the right, and that's an amenity for the neighbor as well.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: So by removing that wood frame garage, we're adding a lot of open space to the property. That's what I said before. I think it more than compensates for what would have happened if we had lost -- if we had had the fifth space.

But overall, this is an enormous enhancement I
think, and would benefit the neighborhood, and would certainly --

ANDREA HICKEY: Sisia, could we go -- I'm sorry, didn't mean to interrupt you, Counselor. Sisia, could we go back to the slide showing the proposed four spaces?

Counselor, I don't see that those spaces are dimension. Can you tell me what the dimensions are?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes, I was told that those dimensions are all the standard size, the 8 x -- I think it's 8 x 18. I don't have them -- they're not --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think it's 8.5 x 18 --
MICHAEL WIGGINS: 8.5 x 18 , really, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for the full size for the standards, and I think the compact is 7.5 by -- I forget the width, the length, but --

SISIA DAGLIAN: 16 and its dimensioned on here the lengths.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. Oh, yes, sorry.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Well, and this is 18, yeah, on the top.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, so we have the length
dimension, but not the width, okay.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: But if you look at the 10-foot, I didn't mean to interrupt you, but if you look at the 10foot width on the driveway which you need to go forward and back and compare that, it looks to be -- you know, you've got the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- eight and a half for the width of it.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. And can you tell me do any cars park in the driveway now?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Rick, could you answer that? I think you said occasionally.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can't find the fourth parking spot.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Mr. Chairman, you've got --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One-two-three.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, it's called, "Compact." It's not numbered, "4."

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Got it.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Rick, could you answer that question about the -- who's parking now. I think you told
me that we were one or two cars parking there now.
ANDREA HICKEY: In the driveway?
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes. Again, I need Rick to verify that if he's there.
[Pause]
No. We may have lost him. But that's what I've been told by him, that that's -- the garage has not been getting used for parking before now. It's basically for storage. That's the current use. So with this proposal, that would be demolished.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. I have no further questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Any other members of the Board have any questions at this point?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, no questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair has none as well. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Is there anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter, disregarding the setback need for the driveway?
[Pause] Apparently not. Okay. There is no public -- no comments, nothing in our file. We have the letters in our file that are referred to in connection with the variance request. No letters of support. I don't propose to read them at this point.

So the Chair will close public testimony. This is a special permit case, so I'll go through the requirements for a special permit. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit. That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And again, I think the facts speak for themselves in that we're talking about just the width of a parking space and it has no impact on the neighborhood or cause congestion or hazard.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed as permitted in
the zoning ordinance will not be adversely affected by what is proposed, and the same reasoning applies to this as applies to the earlier item about congestion, hazard or substantial change.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city, given the minor nature of what is being proposed and does nothing to raise the level of a nuisance or hazard.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant a special permit to the petitioner based -- subject to the plans that were submitted by the petitioner in connection with this petition.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes to granting the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, before I vote, could we condition the findings based on the spaces being shown dimensioned, in compliance with the ordinance?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, we could, but I mean, if we take the vote tonight, what are the dimensions -- what purpose would that serve.

ANDREA HICKEY: To show that they comply in terms of size.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We don't -- we have -- we -- but that -- it would -- not tonight, but after tonight's hearing they would mark up the plans to show that. And we wouldn't be able to react to that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, respectfully Mr. Wiggins, I believe, has represented that they do comply. So I'm --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't see what plans to tie it to. I mean I --

MICHAEL WIGGINS: If I could speak, Mr. Chairman --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- I mean, we've established that they do comply in terms of length, so it's just the width and a --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right --
MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- ruler and a plan would prove that, and I'm sorry that we didn't actually spell it out.

But it is to scale, and I'm certain that it does, but I'd be happy to condition the relief on the architect producing those numbers, the 8.5 width next to it for standards one, two and three and the compact as well. So --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And provided they do comply, then we're fine. I don't think we need to come back or vote again. Mr. Chair, if you think I'm complicating things too much, please let me know.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think it's necessary, necessary, myself, but if you would like to propose that, that's fine.

ANDREA HICKEY: Does anybody else care to see that?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So moved.
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. No, I'm kind of convinced from the graphics that it's going to be compliant. The standard size is zoning standard size. So I think it's fine without the condition.

LAURA WERNICK: It would be a matter of widening -

- if the Inspector finds out that it's not quite wide enough, then it should mostly have to be -- then the surfaces would have to be increased to make the width. So I
think as long as we're saying it's the assumption that it is the correct width, it has to be constructed correctly.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So -- and the Chair would vote in favor of granting the special permit as well. So I believe we have a unanimous vote, am I right?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit is granted.
LAURA WERNICK: You have to take the vote, I
think, officially.
ANDREA HICKEY: Right. The roll vote.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I think this was just
chitchat.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: You voted, right?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, he voted, yeah, he did.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: He did, Gus did.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Five all -- five in favor.
[All vote YES]
Thank you. Case over.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before I go back to the regular agenda and call Kendall Street. I want to get the -- my desk is a mess at this point with folders all over the place
(10:49 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 899881 -- 450 Kendall Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

CORY dEPASQUALE: Hi everybody.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?

CORY dEPASQUALE: Hi.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay.
CORY dEPASQUALE: This is Cory dePasquale with Selbert Perkins Design representing Eli Lily, the tenant in question for 450 Kendall and BioMed Realty, the landowner.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The floor is yours.
CORY dEPASQUALE: Firstly, I'd like to thank the Board of Zoning Appeals. I know this is a late one for everybody, and it's been pretty long. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not your fault that it's this late.

CORY dEPASQUALE: I will do my best to be brief.

We're aware of the stressors facing the Cambridge community, and we're grateful to the city for continuing to move forward with like processes, or with processes like this, so thank you.

As I said before, Selbert Perkins Design is representing Eli Lilly the tenant, and BioMed Realty, the landowner in requesting the aforementioned for the site located at 450 Kendall Street.

After consulting with structural engineers and signage fabricators, our team has determined wall signage representing the tenant in question can only be installed in the proposed location shared in the plans. This is above the 20-foot height mandated listed in zoning code.

As the largest tenant of the building, Ely Lilly is entitled per their lease with BioMed Realty the opportunity to place signage on the building. Eli Lilly is a worldwide leader in life-saving pharmaceuticals and proud to call Cambridge home.

By allowing this variance, Eli Lilly will be able to use the full extent of their tenancy agreement. They wish to simply let the public know they're a part of the Cambridge community providing jobs and opportunities to the
very important work they provide. This is most recently including some breakthrough treatment related to COVID-19.

The proposed placement of the wall sign is both structurally sound and purposely identified to be the most minimally invasive option for signage in the neighborhood. Eli Lilly does wish for the sign to have internal illumination, as it helps with the kind of purpose of putting the sign up.

And our design calls for the light control to not only be meeting mandate with the zoning code for scheduled on and off times, but we are calling for a dimmer to be included for the light, should anyone have input on the level of brightness of the sun.

In seeking relief, my client wishes to mount the proposed sign in the proposed location further in my design documents here. This will provide Eli Lilly with fulfillment in regard to the extent of their lease with the landowner, and also recognition as part of the Cambridge community. Our proposed sign, we believe, does no detriment the public good.

So if you can continue through the slides? We're
noting 450 Kendall there. This is the bounding of the sign
itself, to show that it fits within the 60 -square foot area, or the base of the sign. And the dimensions is 13 feet wide and about seven feet tall.

If you go to the next slide?
This shows the location of the building. Apologies the .pdf must have "downresd" and it's shown kind of poorly here. But as you can see, the majority of the building is a curtain -- glass curtain façade. And to safely mount the sign on that façade was almost impossible. So we've located it at the top of the building on the mechanical parapet.

If you continue?
We'll show some renderings of this sign here. So given the structure of the building, it's kind of apparent that it would be hard to mount to that glass façade. You can continue and show a couple different views of this from a distance. So this is -- again, providing recognition as Eli Lilly as the major tenant here.

And we also show a rendering of the building at night with the sign illuminated. And this is from a distance. We're aware that the city of Cambridge can be strict about signage opportunities, and we want to make a
minimally invasive sign while recognizing the tenant.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, you're right on the last point. I'm not saying you weren't right on other things as well, but the city is strict and this Board is strict with regard to seeing none.

And your relief you're seeking is in two parts: Height and illumination. Illumination is one that we're really strict on. And I haven't seen anything in your presentation that would demonstrate the need for a variance for the illumination requirements.

And the height is substantial. You want to have a sign that's 96 feet high off the ground, when our ordinance only allows up to 20 feet. And there's ample space on the base of this building to put a sign much more compliant, if not fully compliant, with our ordinance.

And lastly, I've got to point out, we have opposition -- as you probably are aware -- opposition to granting you relief. We have a letter, which I don't propose to read in full, from the Third Square Apartments, who complain that if we grant you the relief your client is seeking -- I'm going to read from part of it --
"Third Square Apartments is a residential
building. And the size, location and illumination of the proposed signage will absolutely adversely impact our residents living there, particularly our residents living on the highest levels of the higher floors of the southeast of 303 Third Street. That's significant.

On one hand, we have people who have residents whose ability to enjoy the residents will be adversely affected, and on the other side we have, frankly, a large corporation which wants to proclaim its name up on high and put it on the top of the building, like some other buildings in that area do. But the zoning for those areas are different than the zoning for here.

I can't support that, and $I$ certainly will not support internal illumination beyond what our ordinance allows.

I think there's a second letter of opposition as well. Oh, yeah, from Carole O'Hare. She's a citizen of the city who was a -- I'll call it a signage gadfly and very, very, and makes a service to the city in terms of diligently watching for zoning relief with signs and giving testimony pro or con -- and sometimes it's pro -- with regard to it.

And she makes the same points. There's no reason
to grant the relief. There's no legal reason. It's just another situation where someone doesn't want to comply with our ordinance because. And so, there's no identification issues here.

People have done even a bigger sign on top of the building to find the building. It's a very prominent building in the area and with plenty of signage space on the face of the building, so anyone going down the street will know where the Eli Lilly space is.

So I for one cannot support, as I've said, granting this variance.

CORY dEPASQUALE: Respectfully, the building is fairly tucked within a recent area of develop in the building -- or in the area. And Eli Lilly is not seeking to proclaim that they are a corporation that needs attention. They simply want to be recognized as a member of the Cambridge pharmaceutical company, and given their allegiance to the city and being part of the area, they are not only looking to maximize their opportunity with their lease and the landowner, which $I$ understand is a private agreement.

But by having the signage opportunity that they're
looking for this variance to grant, they're establishing themselves in the community and using that signage to attract talent and local, you know, potential students and people that would look for jobs with local companies to cut down on commuting time and all of the benefits of working locally.

I think that we could potentially cede on internal illumination, or provide an alternative like a halo-lit solution, as opposed to being internally lit. In our variance, we sought out internal illumination, as it can be done very minimally.

It's understandable that a local apartment building that has a direct view of the sign would not want internal illumination. And I think that's something that we would be willing to withdraw.

But given the architectural features of the building, you can see that there's some screens and protruding glass from the front of the building. It is almost unfeasible to mount a sign to the front of the building.

We do respect the zoning code and the sizing restraints that you've laid out, and we are adhering to
that. But I think we could withdraw on illumination and maybe consider a different color of the sign to be either black or something that doesn't stand out as much.

But there is no way for the tenant to apply signage to the building below that 20 -foot height.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I interrupt? This is Jim Monteverde. Sisia, can you go to page 11 that's in the public filing. It's 11 of 73, way at the beginning.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim, what is it a drawing of?
Because I have an abbreviated version.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's not, it's a photograph. It's an existing condition photograph. It's page 11 into -into the -- nope, it's --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay. Give me a minute.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And what it basically shows is that the street is mounted, it looks like -- I can't tell if it's 20 feet above the ground. It looks like it's five-foot tall, it's mounted on the screen. It basically shows that there's a way to put signage on the building, codecompliant. It has actually two signs that are on the building down at the lower height.

So Mr. dePasquale, I understand what you just
said, but I'm looking at signage that's applied to the building façade in a way that's perfectly identifiable -large enough to be visible from a mile away. And I think that the -- either in that location or from that projecting metal canopy -- there we go.

So this is what's there now? Is there in fact signage on the building now that has the street address on it? That's what we're looking at, 450?

CORY dEPASQUALE: I believe the 450 --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Basically --
CORY dEPASQUALE: -- actually has been removed.
The last time $I$ was on site, $I$ didn't see that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So nice place for Mr. Lilly?
CORY dEPASQUALE: I'm not sure that the condition is actually the same. I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh. This isn't the existing condition? So all I'm saying is if that's not the case, then from the canopy here, there's some other way to -- or there should be some other way to look at a solution that places us closer to the required height, and not smack at the top of the mechanical penthouse. So I --

LAURA WERNICK: If I could just add --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- would not be in support of the variance.

LAURA WERNICK: -- on to Mr. Monteverde's -- I agree with Mr. Monteverde, and I think Mr. dePasquale, that every tenant down there wants exactly what your tenant wants, just to let people know where they are. And that's why the zoning -- the ordinance is in place, the sign ordinance is in place, so that we don't have signage all over buildings, and then just limited to fairly minimal height and size.

So to all of a sudden say, "Oh, we'll we're going to make an exception" because they'd like to attract local people, it doesn't really overcome what the zoning ordinance is trying to achieve.

CORY dEPASQUALE: Understood.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan.] I would be in favor of making a motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Make a motion to?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: To grant.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Grant the relief?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, so that we can vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would -- well, first I
want to confirm that the variance request for the illumination has been withdrawn. Is that correct, sir?

CORY dEPASQUALE: That is correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Now, the question with regard to the height of the sign, I think was all agree it's not going to be 90 -- we don't approve 96 feet. I think we just deny that, and then the petitioner can come back with a different proposal as to a sign with a different height, and we can consider that in a separate petition.

I think that's the way to go.

CORY dEPASQUALE: Understood.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, do you have a problem with it?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, no I -- that's fine.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay, all right. The Chair will make a motion -- I think we can go right to a motion at this point. There were no -- other than the letters I referred to, there were no letters of comment, and I don't expect at this hour of the night we're going to have any others.

So the Chair moves -- say it again?
SISIA DAGLIAN: There's somebody who has raised
their hand.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Someone did?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ok. Put her on. We do
have someone who wishes to speak.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Heather.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Heather? Go ahead.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hi. I'll be very short. I
wanted to take the opportunity to agree with the Board and to make a comment that my peer and to the other case that I'm sure you want to forget because it took so long. And that is that precedent matters. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Heather. Okay the Chair moves that we make the following findings: Well, with regard to the variance being -- the height variance being sought: That a literal enforcement of our ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of the structure, and that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this
ordinance.

So on the basis of those findings, the Chair moves that we allow the petitioner -- we grant the petitioner the right to erect a sign on the building 96 feet or so above ground level. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan -- no to granting the variance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey -- no to granting the variance.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick -- no to the granting the variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde no to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes no as well.
[All vote NO]
So the variance request for the height has been denied.

I would just point out to the petitioner or petitioner's counsel that if you want to -- if you come back with a different proposal, a different location and height on the front of the building, that would not be in my
opinion a repetitive petition. And therefore we can consider that.

So I think why want to do with your client is to go back to the drawing boards. If your client wants to have a sign on the front of the building.

CORY dEPASQUALE: Understood. Thank you very much for your time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay, hold on.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me --
(11:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 97333 -- 263 Elm Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Hello?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No, I don't think they're here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry? No? SISIA DAGLIAN: They're not here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Gave up, huh? SISIA DAGLIAN: They're requesting a continuance. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Elm Street?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I didn't see that. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Underneath your paper. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is my paper. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. There's a note.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, continuing. Okay. Do they have -- Okay. He wishes -- I'm going to read a letter from David Chu.
"I just spoke with Ranjit, who brought to my attention an issue with the dormers." I would have brought it to his attention if he hadn't talked to Ranjit. "I would like to ask for a continuance so that $I$ can amend my drawings before appearing in front of the Board of Zoning Appeal."

Okay, that's it. So we're going to continue this case. The petitioner understands he has to review the dormer situation. What day would be available?

SISIA DAGLIAN: February 11.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: February 11? The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 7:00 p.m. on January (sic) 11, subject to the following conditions: First, that within seven days from today, the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and deliver it to the zoning offices.

If he fails to do that, this petition will be deemed denied, and there will be no further case and no further hearing for two years on this case involving the
relief that's being sought.
Number 2, that the petitioner needs to maintain a sign reflecting the new date, February 11; and the new time, 7:00 p.m.?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, you've been doing 6:00 p.m., yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00 p.m. -- and again, it must be maintained for the 14 days before February 11. That sign you can take -- the petitioner can take the current sign if he wishes and with a magic marker or what have you, change the date and time. Or he can get a new sign from the zoning office.

The key here, though, is he must maintain that sign for the 14 days.

And lastly, to the extent that the petitioner will be submitting new plans, specifications and the like -- and he will -- they must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before February 11.

So the petitioner must not only sign a waiver of time for a decision, but he has to understand that the new plans he's going to come up with must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before February 11.

Brendan? How do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance.
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monte -- oop, sorry, Andrea,
go ahead.
ANDREA HICKEY: Go ahead, Jim, I'm sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, yes to the
continuance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to the continuance.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well. This case is continued until February 11.
(11:12 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 97842 -- 101 Montgomery Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Hello? Mr. Glassman? Did he give up?
SISIA DAGLIAN: No, no, he's just --
LAURA WERNICK: No, we got him.
ADAM GLASSMAN: I'm here, everybody.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Hey there. Good evening.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman and members of the Board. I will try to keep this as brief as possible.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] Good idea.
ADAM GLASSMAN: My clients who are with me tonight are John and Nicholas Keramaris and their two brothers, who
are looking to do a little development work in North Cambridge and their family's local to the area. They're local here, they live in the neighborhood and they have strong ties to the community.

We're here to seek relief in the form of a variance, because what we've got is an existing, singlefamily home that's only about 1500 square feet.

I don't know if you've had a chance to see the letter in our file from our engineer, but he's recommending this as beyond it's useful life and should be rebuilt given the structural issues that exist on all levels of the house between the roof and the foundation.

Variance is required because our lot is extremely small. It's only 2600 square feet, 50 feet wide by 52 feet long and 400 and something feet is actually taken up by the easement on the right side, which is Reed Street Terrace. Thank you for pulling those up.

The contextual photos on the cover here show the existing single-family. It's kind of an odd eyesore. It's got larger structures on the left and larger structures on the right. You know, before we even got to the structural issues, half of the second floor is nonuseable because of
the ceiling heights. You've got moments of low ceiling heights on the first floor, where one would have to bend their head down to get underneath headers. But really it was the structural condition that's compelling us to demolish this house and rebuild it with no opposition from the Historical Commission.

Next slide, please?
On the left is the existing house as it is now, and on the right is a rendering depicting an enlarged single-family style and scale that respects the neighborhood.

Next slide?
This site plan shows the existing house. Its rear wall abuts the rear lot line, and the setback envelope, or what you'd call the allowable building envelope is right in the middle of the lot. Doesn't allow much room to build. It's a 360 square-foot envelope.

Next slide, please.
So on this site plan, you can see the proposed footprint for the new structure, which is nearly identical to the envelope of the existing structure. However, its two most egregious setback violations that are existing leave --
reduce those by pulling the main house back from the rear lot line 6.7 feet, where it's at a 0 foot now. And on the left side, we pulled the wall away from the left side lot line and reduced that setback violation.

On the lower right-hand portion of the lot, where it says, "proposed brick driveway" is essentially the existing driveway we have now, but we would be replacing impervious surface with pervious pavers, utilizing the existing parking which fits two cars. And that parking would require relief because it is located within a front yard setback currently, and we'd keep it there.

Next slide, please?
Here the FAR calculations, we've got existing FAR
of 0.6. The allowable is 0.5. We've got only two for the most part nonfunctional bedrooms on the second floor. You've got a home that is not conducive to modern living. And in some ways, its architectural shortcomings are even outweighed by the structural. So we're seeking to rebuild this house in a way that's more comfortable and functional for a modern family.

Next slide, please?
The existing elevations you can see it's
idiosyncratic in its profile. It has random bump outs added over the years.

Next slide, please?

This overlay shows you the existing footprint, which is the hatch -- the crisscross hatch mark. And the dark grey shows you the new building envelope. So we pull it back from the rear, we pull it back from the left, we maintain the front, we maintain the right-side $L$ corner, and on the right side we're extending it 30 inches.

In the back, you can see a deck below the crisscross hatch, and that would be useable open space that we'd be providing on the site where there is none now.

Oh, and I should just say our existing footprint is actually being reduced by about 38 square feet.

Next slide, please?
Our existing open space on the left, we have no actual usable open space. We do have quite a bit of impervious paving. In our proposed landscape plan, our yard plan on the right, we're actually increasing our private open space and our usable open space we're creating where we have now usable open space being yard with a minimum dimension of 15 x 15 , or if it's a deck must be six feet in
the short dimension, and a minimum of 72 square feet. So we're exceeding that with our proposed deck. It's not a party deck, it's on the first floor, and it does meet the requirement for at least some useable open space, where right now we have none.

Next slide, please?
Landscape plan just showing the amount of proposed green space. Everything is landscaped around the house, and the driveway will be pervious pavers, which is an environmental benefit to the site, which could use all the space for stormwater runoff that we can find for the water to go.

Next slide, please?
Floor plans depict what is a pretty modest singlefamily. We've got a first floor with 1048 square feet, a second floor with 1004 square feet, and an unusable, unfinished attic at 355 square feet. That brings us up to 2407, and an opposed FAR of 0.92 .

But I would say if you subtract the unusable attic space, that really brings us down to a 0.78 FAR , which is a much more modest ask than what we're technically asking for. We could -- we could get rid of the attic
altogether, but then we'd have a flat roof and that wouldn't really be consistent with the style of the neighborhood, so we think a pitched roof is much more attractive, but we're not proving stairs to the attic. It'll remain unfinished and/or mechanical use only.

Next slide, please?
The basement would be living space, but not bedrooms. No kitchenettes, just a family room with a direct walkout on the right side.

Next slide, please?
First floor, nothing extravagant. Dining room, living room, kitchen, small office, entry hall in the right and one bathroom, and a deck off the rear.

Next slide, please?
Upstairs, we've got three bedrooms, two bathrooms which is really the kind of bare minimum a family needs. Not much to it, no extra space, and really, we're not really increasing the bed count beyond what's existing.

Next slide, please?
Just enlarged attic plan, all unfinished, and no direct walkup access.

Next slide?

Roof plan, traditional pitched gable grooves. Next slide?

Front elevation. These clouded areas that you see were changes we made after we were talking to several abutters. There was strong support for this project. The abutters really appreciate that we're keeping it a singlefamily which they believe is important to the neighborhood.

One of our abutters asked us to add extra windows and make some commitments to different kinds of finishes and accessories and materials which we've agreed to. You have that letter in the file, and we've also -- and that abutter, or that neighbor is across the street.

And we've got a brief letter of support from the abutter who would be most impacted by this project, which is the rear abutter off Reed street Terrace.

Next slide, please?
Right side elevation, all the elevations are consistent in that this is a traditional-looking sort of colonial or arts and crafts style. It blends in with the neighborhood.

Next slide?
Rear elevation.

Next slide?
And the left side elevation, which currently now is too close to the lot line, and has no windows, and is a blank wall, and we've pulled it back enough so we could have a nice, attractive window pattern on all sides of the house. And I would also just like to add that part of our FAR asks are for two covered entries, one on the front and one on the right.

And often on these projects in Cambridge, because covered entries count as FAR, we see a lot of new homes and new construction being built with these entries, which is not inviting, it detracts from the neighborhood, but we're -- you know, including, our FAR asks includes those spaces. And that's also not additional living space.

Next slide?
3D -- oh, back one. Oh, sorry, next one?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
ADAM GLASSMAN: Here we go. 3D renderings,
getting a better idea of the texture and the character of the house.

Next slide, please?
Same thing.

Next slide?
Here what I'd like to show is on the left of the house, left side our abutter is a full-story triple decker. And what I would say is our proposed structure is not only consistent with the size and scale of all our abutters, but we actually remain lower in profile than out left side neighbor at 105.

Next slide, please?
We'll remain lower and smaller in scale than our right-side abutter. Similar style, consistent in style, but our scale will be less than our neighbors. But our new look, if you will, will definitely be more consistent with what's there now, and I think that's part of the reason why we have support from so many neighbors.

And the next slide?
And across the street, we have a -- I guess this is a full, three-story structure. So again, we're maintaining a low profile relative to our neighbors. And contextually, I think what we're doing fits.

I believe that is the end of the slideshow. We've got two letters of support and a Letter of Recommendation from our structural engineer in the file. Happy to take any
questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, any questions?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, [Brendan Sullivan] No, I
have no questions. Actually, I think it's a nice plan, and a nice transition from what's there now to what's proposed. And it's -- you did a nice job.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. I agree. Nice job.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Hi, it's Andrea Hickey. I have no questions. That was very clear. Thank you.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
LAURA WERNICK: Thank you very much. It was a nice presentation. No questions.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions as well, although I concur with Brendan and Jim's comments about it's a nice project. You did a good job. And the neighborhood is certainly going to improve with the work you're proposing.

I'll open the matter up to public testimony.

Anybody here wishing to be -- not here -- anybody on the line wishing to be heard on this matter?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Just one minute. I'm not seeing
anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently, there's
no one. There are letters of support, as indicated by -SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, wait. JIM MONTEVERDE: No, there is. SISIA DAGLIAN: One person. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There is, okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sorry. JIM MONTEVERDE: People are slow again to the switch.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Peter?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, he's muted. Oh, there he is.

PETER DESMOND: I'm unmuted.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.
PETER DESMOND: All right.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Go ahead.
PETER DESMOND: I'm at 93 Montgomery Street, which
is part of 9395 Montgomery Street, just across Green Street Terrace from 101 Montgomery. Now I just want to say that's a really nice-looking house design.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
PETER DESMOND: Also, it was a really clear presentation. Thank you.

ADAM GLASSMAN: I've had practice. Thank you. THE REPORTER: Could you state your name for the record, please?

PETER DESMOND: Yes, Peter Desmond.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for staying up this hour of the night just to compliment your neighbor and his architect.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you. Goodnight.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Goodnight. Without -I've already closed public testimony; I'll close it a second time. And as I mentioned, we do have -- there are letters of support in the file, no letters of opposition. So I think we're ready for a vote. Should I make a motion? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance
being sought:
That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that this is an older structure, it's a very small structure, in need of substantial rehabilitation and repair work, and therefore it needs -- all of that leads to substantial hardship for the petitioner.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the -- to the basically the shape, well the first thing this is a, the shape of the structure and which is not in terms of today's lifestyle it's not the best of structures. And that -- and lastly that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In fact, what will happen is it will improve the housing stock for the city of Cambridge, and that and replace a deteriorating or deteriorated structure with a new, modern structure.

By the way, in connection with all of this, the Chair would move -- would include in our motion that the front yard parking, which is a nonconforming front yard
parking, be continued for the new project, since it's not -basically the footprint of that parking is going to remain the same, and there's nothing together be gained by taking away a parking space for the residents of the structure that have been there for a good long while. It's not like someone seeking to create front yard parking where there was none before.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by G.C.D. Architects dated October 22,2020 -- the cover page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan -- yes to granting the variance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to granting the variance.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Jim Monteverde, yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
Variance granted.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good luck.
(11:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 97200 -- 74 Larch Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

Sisia?
SISIA DAGLIAN: No, it's --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The plans.
SISIA DAGLIAN: It's 18 Copley. I don't know
where the plans are. You see plans in there?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, this is it. Janet?
(sic)
SISIA DAGLIAN: I didn't know if --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hang on a second.
[Pause]
Could that be it?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I wonder if it slipped out.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a permit.

SISIA DAGLIAN: I wonder if it slipped out at some
point?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are the petitioners on, by
the way?
MARKI GAFFEY: Yes. Hi, Mr. Chairman. Good evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I apologize for the delay. We're having a little bit of a problem here. We can't locate the plans that were filed and were part of the file. They must have slipped out. And of course it's important for us to have those plans, to consider the case. Give us a few more minutes of searching through the files that Sisia brought over and maybe we'll find them.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, do you need the paper copy in front of you?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't. I mean, I'm -JIM MONTEVERDE: Because $I$ have the -- if Sisia can share the screen, I have them on a second street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I can share the
screen. That's fine. That's not a problem.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, if he can --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I initialed the -- you
know, if we grant relief, the initial plan says we lock them down. But that's okay. I'll deal with that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. If you can share the
screen, I can show you --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You know, we'll -SISIA DAGLIAN: I can show the -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can share -- I do share the screen on all the cases. But $I$ also usually have paper. But I don't.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyway, petitioner on the line? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. MARKI GAFFEY: Yes, I am. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. MARKI GAFFEY: May I begin? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours. MARKI GAFFEY: Good evening. I'll try to be as brief as possible. My name is Marki Gaffey, and I'm presenting 18 Copley Street. I would like to introduce the architect, Tanya Kara (phonetic) as well as Paul Cammarata.

He is a representative of the owner, 18 Copley Street, LLC. We are requesting a special permit for a total of five windows -- two new and three modified on the eastern façade of this nonconforming building, due to it being within the setback of the property.

The lot is located in a Residential B zoning district. This nonconforming building contains a total of three units, as well as three parking spaces. On Copley Street and the surrounding neighborhood, there is a mixture of two- and three- unit family dwellings.

This lot with a width of 42.86 feet and a depth of 100 feet has a total gross floor area of 5311 square feet, and a lot area of 4285 square feet. It contains a narrow front in the eastern setback and a sizable rear in the western set.back.

I would like to briefly describe the requirements of the special permit and explain how we meet them. Ordinance requirements along the eastern façade are seven feet and six inches or a sum of 20 feet, which 18 Copley's eastern façade does not meet with its two feet and seven inches.

The addition of the five windows would not
adversely affect traffic or patterns of access. The secondfloor windows are located in the stairwell in the bathroom, and the third-floor windows are located in a study in the bathroom.

The continued operation or development of the adjacent uses, would not be disrupted by the additions. The windows would not create a nuisance or a hazard, but rather enhance the building's light and ventilation.

The windows would be a positive enhancement to the building and overall neighborhood's appearance. The owners had bought this building in 2019 with the knowledge and hopes of renovating and modernizing the façade to enhance the existing streetscape of the neighborhood. And at this point, I would like to direct any questions to the architect, who's also on the line.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions. Well, actually I guess I do have one. Have you been in touch with the people, the neighbors who face the windows that you're modifying? In other words, are there any issues of privacy that were created by what is being proposed?

MARKI GAFFEY: No, sir. The owners have been in contact with the neighbors, and the neighbors did not have
any issues with the privacy.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. That's the only question I had. Brendan, you said you had no questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will then open this matter up to public testimony, and I guess I should read -- I have to read the instructions for that, if I can find them. [ Do you have the instructions for the --]

SISIA DAGLIAN: I have them at the bottom of the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: People seem to know how to do it anyway.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So let's open the matter up to public testimony. Is there anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Apparently not.
SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one wishes to be heard.
So -- okay, okay. I'll close the matter -- open it -- I'll
close the public testimony. Time for us to consider. Any
reason not to make a motion right away?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As indicated, the relief is very modest in nature. It just involves windows, and there is apparently no impact on the community or the neighborhood on what is being proposed.

That the continued development -- operation of or
development of adjacent uses as permitted in the zoning ordinance will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. Again, it's the nature of what was being proposed -- namely just window changes.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Khalsa, K-h-a-l-s-a Architects dated 09/21/2010, the two pages, both pages of which has been initialed by the Chair. All those in favor?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes to granting the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes in favor of the special permit.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes in
favor of granting the special permit as well.
[All vote YES]
Special permit granted. Good luck.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(11:41 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 97200 -- 74 Larch Road. Is there anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Hello?

SISIA DAGLIAN: It's -- I need a minute.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Shippen Page.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It is Shippen Page.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Or Sam. Is that Sam?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep, they're on here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Mr. Chairman, can you hear me?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's Shippen.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not too well. Try again. SHIPPEN PAGE: Can you hear me now?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, better. Thank you. SHIPPEN PAGE: No, I just have to raise my voice.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Shippen Page appearing for the applicants, Shahid and Nadia Azim at 74 Larch Road. Michael Fields, from Sam Kachmar Associates will be the architect presenting on this.

Just to provide you with an overview, this is a nonconforming structure built in 1913 in a Residence A-2 district. The nonconformity arises from the fact that the lot is too small, and there's a side yard setback violation on the side yards of 4.2 feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The lot is too small for the addition your client proposes to make. Its not otherwise too small.

SHIPPEN PAGE: It's a Residence B district, Residence A-2, Mr. Chairman. I believe the requirement is 6000 square feet, and this is slightly short of that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.
SHIPPEN PAGE: Okay. So what is happening here is that the applicants have been in Cambridge since 2000-2001. They have two children, 9 and 15 or 16 , I believe. They are both in the Cambridge schools -- the older one is at Cambridge Rindge and Latin. The wife Nadia's mother comes from Pakistan, and the applicant, Shahid's father is from

Canada. They come and stay for long periods of time -months at a time. That relegates their older son to a bedroom in the basement. And so, they've engaged Sam Kachmar Associates to provide them with a plan.

And the issue here is that the addition is now within the side yard setback of 10 feet, and it should be -it's now seven feet. And so, there's an additional nonconformity that's been created by this plan. And I'm going to ask Mike Fields to take you through the submission. And then I'd like to reserve time to answer any questions the Board may have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SHIPPEN PAGE: Mike?
MICHAEL FIELDS: I think we'll wait for the plan to come up here. All right. This is on our -- there we go. All right. Good evening, members of the Board. My name is Michael Fields. I'm here with Sam Kachmar and Steve Hoheb. We're going to present the project at 74 Larch Road on behalf of Shahid and Nadia Azim (phonetic) and their family.

As mentioned by Shippen, the Azims have been in Cambridge for nearly 20 years, and this project seeks to expand a cramped living space for their immediate and
extended family.
From this first cover page, we're just showing the existing and proposed view from the primary frontage on Fresh Pond Parkway.

Next slide?
And here we see the existing massing of the house from the front.

Next slide?
Here is the renovation and addition. The gable from the front is extended through to the north side of the house, and the roof on the addition is kept low and conceals proposed solar panels above.

Next slide?
From the Fresh Pond Parkway, the residence is partially obscured by an existing six-foot fence to remain. The existing structure to remain is about 29 feet tall, below the 35 -foot limit, and the addition is less than 23 feet tall, as it steps down toward the side street.

Next slide?
On Larch Road, the addition runs parallel to the street and is partially obscured by the six-foot fence to remain. The curb cut and driveway will remain in the same
location.
Next slide? One back, please. Hm. All right, we're skipping over our site plan.

Next slide?
In the sun study, we see that the proposed addition is away from the other abutters, and does not pass any additional shadows in their direction.

Next slide?
The existing site plan shows the current cottage configuration.

Next slide?
In the proposed site plan, we show the addition running parallel to Larch Street to maximize open space on the site. On the southern side, the project remains behind the 20-foot setback. On the eastern side, we're showing the existing nonconforming condition, which will remain unchanged.

On the Larch Road, we are asking for relief, as the addition would be another nonconforming element, which is three feet over the setback line.

And additionally at the rear setback, there's an exterior storage shed attached to the house that exceeds the
setback.
And as discussed, the other relief we're seeking
is to increase the FAR 0.06 over the allowed 0.50 .
Next slide?
In the basement, the -- yeah, in the basement
we're doing a full basement, which is about a foot below the existing basement, and would have a rain garden. We'll provide an egress window, where the new and old come together.

Next slide?
On the existing first floor, we've reconfigured to accommodate a communal kitchen, small family room and a dining room. The addition allows the living room area that is otherwise pinched by the existing structure.

Next slide?
The addition on the second floor provides for the owner's bedroom in the back. The existing second floor is distributed to the children and extended family.

Next slide?
The existing unfinished third floor would now
provide a home office and breakout space for the kids.
Next slide?

The roof plan shows the extension of the gable to create the home office for remote work. The existing flat roof is brought up to the height of the existing ridge for headroom and clear storing light. The addition's butterfly roof keeps the roof low at the closet, but opens up at the ends a little bit to bring in some light.

Next slide?

On the large street or road elevation, the addition runs parallel to the road. To break down the scale of the elevation, we've split the façade with a decorative leader, mixed materials to bring down the perceived height, and employed clear stories at the corners to help dissolve the roof against the sky.

The building -- the height of the building sets down towards the road to respect the scale of the neighborhood.

Next slide? On the north side, the driveway elevation opens up access to the home. The exterior storage is tucked into the façade away from the neighbors, to avoid an additional opening.

Next slide?

The west elevation is along the existing
nonconforming setback line. The façade will remain in place behind the six-foot fence, and is unified with some new shingles. On the second floor, three windows were removed, so that they do not abut the closest neighbors.

Next slide?

This is the south elevation from Fresh Pond, the family's primary outdoor living space. It also helps to shield Larch Row from some traffic noise coming off of Fresh Pond Parkway.

Next slide?
Thank you all for your time and attention. You may scan the barcode below to see an exterior render, and on the following pages, we're showing some additional photos of the existing structure. Thank you.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Thank you, Mike. Mr. Chairman, that ends the architectural presentation. I'd be happy to answer any questions the Board may have. We have --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have a question. Actually, it's from the neighbors.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Please.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I'm asking it on their
behalf. Is there a finished basement in the structure now?

More than one neighbor has asked about the basement. Is there a finished basement in the structure?

SHIPPEN PAGE: Mike?
MICHAEL FIELDS: There is currently a finished basement in the existing house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
MICHAEL FIELDS: There is a finished basement in the existing house with a head height of about six-footeleven.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that included in that finished basement in the dimensions or the floor area for the structure?

MICHAEL FIELDS: It is not. The -- it has been our understanding that basements that are completely below grade do not count towards the FAR.

SHIPPEN PAGE: That's correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. We are -- we have -- are in receipt of a number of letters from the people in the neighborhood, and I'm a little bit amused basically all of them seem to not be very happy with what's being proposed, but they don't want to come out -- it seems they don't want to come outright and say, "I'm opposed." So
they wring your (sic) hands and they say, ["Look into this] and look into [that.] So be that as it may.

It is a major addition to the structure though. You're going to increase the size of the house by about 75 percent, if my math is right, which it probably isn't. But otherwise, it's an attractive proposal.

Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan -- no
questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, Jim Monteverde. I have one question, and it has to do: Did I hear correctly, are you seeking -- do you need any relief for any of the side yard setbacks?

SHIPPEN PAGE: Yes, the -- where the 10 feet is required, Mr. Monteverde, we have -- we now have 0.7 feet. So we're asking for three feet of relief.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And that's along Larch?
SHIPPEN PAGE: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So in the -- as you went through the plans of the addition, the piece that actually fronts on Larch, what I couldn't tell from the drawings, I couldn't
see any -- I was looking for the dimension that kind of drives that -- the new structure over that setback. Can you --

SHIPPEN PAGE: Do you want to show that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for a second. It's like the width of the living room, the width of the master bedroom, the width of the home theater. What is it that drives you over that lot line by that dimension? And, frankly, could it be less?

SHIPPEN PAGE: Mike, you want to pull up that slide and show Mr. Monteverde what that's -- what's going on there?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm on your BZA 101 I think it is. Right? It's a living room one. I just -- I went through the stack of them from the basement, the first and the second floor to try and find out -- figure out what's the width and what's driving you over that lot line, and could in fact it be pulled back by some dimension?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yeah. It's primarily the width of the living room. You know, it's going to be a little hard to tell, since we're not showing the versions. We kind
of keep the plan clean and clear. But yeah, it is -- you know, slightly less than the 16-foot-wide living room and clear, but -- yeah, it is, you know, slightly less than the 16-foot-wide living room.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry. Say that again?
MICHAEL FIELDS: So it's a 16-foot-wide living room and part of the logic behind trying to drive that a little bit over that side yard setback was mostly to accommodate the mudroom and then an entry space into the home, without just creating a tube along that side.

If we ran it all the way to the side yard setback, you'd have this basically elongated hallway. So that was what kind of led us to try to put it a little bit over that side yard setback, where, you know, it faces the street. There's still a fair amount of yard space over there.

And even beyond the actual property line, there's still another 12 or 15 inches $I$ think to actually get to the sidewalk. There's a little sort of no-man's land of town property there -- or city property, I'm sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you. So that's my -that's primarily my concern, is the -- generous as those spaces are in the addition, or seem generous in terms of
width, is the request for the -- to be able, to not be able to provide the side yard. That's the one piece I'm wrestling with. But thank you for the description.

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yeah. And that's why we brought that down to, like, 23 feet $I$ think as well, to make sure it was well below some of the other structures, so it's stepped down towards the street there, and not -JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm. MICHAEL FIELDS: -- the structure on there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, any questions or comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah hi, thank you. Sisia, could you bring up the slide that shows the site plan with the proposed addition? Thanks.

MICHAEL FIELDS: Next one.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Keep going.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, one more slide. JIM MONTEVERDE: One or two more. MICHAEL FIELDS: Yep, right there. JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. Okay. Thank you. I have
nothing further at this time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that it, Andrea?
Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Nothing, nothing further from me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will then open the matter up to public testimony. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

Take a moment if anybody wants to speak?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, Margaret. She's been waiting for a while. Margaret, can you unmute?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Margaret, you have to unmute yourself.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You've got her contact? SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm trying to e-mail here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, e-mail or contact her? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The reason for the delay
is we think there's someone who wishes to speak, but we haven't been able to hook her in on the system. So we're trying. Just give us a few more minutes.

MICHAEL FIELDS: I understand. Thanks everybody, for staying up this late.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nothing?
SISIA DAGLIAN: She's there, but she can't speak, I mean can't unmute. Can you read the instructions again?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure. These are the instructions for calling in any comments. You have to click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. SISIA DAGLIAN: Margaret, the icon only pops up if you hover over the bottom area of your screen.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think we're going to have to move on. The hour is late.

MARGARET: Hi, we finally got it. I'm really -I'm very sorry for the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No problem, no problem. MARGARET: So we do want to say that we understand
that they've worked very hard on this project, and it's their dream and we'd like them to be able to have that dream. But $I$ think the quotas that you see are a little bit deceptive, from our perspective and the other people in the street, who face it, which is these three houses, particularly across from it.

This -- what we look at when you look at this, it looks -- if you look at picture number 14, it looks like there's quite an expanse between that wall which is sided with wood and the street. But seven feet is very short.

And what we're facing across from us is a monolithic, industrial looking wall. I mean, we live in a neighborhood that didn't show any of the surrounding houses. th

These are old, turn of the century, 20 century houses. That's the neighborhood here.

Now, we understood if when we initially saw this, that it appeared there would be almost a 20 -foot setback and, you know, their taste is modern, so I understand that. But it's very out of harmony with the rest of the neighborhood.

And with having it be -- there -- from my perspective, it's not acceptable to have it any less than
the 10 feet, because it really changes the streetscape here. In addition, there's a very large sugar maple, and with the city's concerns about maintaining the canopy, that's quite a loss. And I don't know if that would be the case, or if it was pulled back more. But that is an issue this hasn't been discussed.

There is a lot of land on the other side facing the Fresh Pond Parkway, where this could be relocated, and would be less deleterious to the three neighbors who are taking a look at it. That's the summation of my comments, and I thank you for listening.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Margaret, can you just give your name and your address, for the record?

MARGARET GADON: Margaret, I'm at 77 Larch Road and my last name is spelled G-a-d-o-n.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you. And we have somebody else. Colin Morgan?

COLIN MORGAN: Yeah. Can you hear me okay? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

COLIN MORGAN: Oh, yes. So my mother and I live at 67 Larch Road. We're abutters right across the street. That's my house in gray, upper right. My mother is a doctor
at one of the main COVID centers in New England, in Boston. She's the only neurologist there. She has a very important job.

She concerned about the amount of noise this is going to make, and that we were not consulted along with many of our other neighbors. We were not consulted about any of this until about a day ago. She's concerned about a lot of construction workers being there in terms of the COVID issue in general, because she can't be out in the hospital like that.

And she's concerned of the issue that -- you know, we live right next to Fresh Pond Parkway, which is essentially a major highway that already has a number of accidents occur. They've placed a number of safety lights over the years because of this.

There's an extensive history of accidents occurring right at this corner, and her (sic) and I are concerned that this could block some of the site on that highway for drivers, which, you know, are already having issues at that specific corner.

If they are able to get this petition, which they say they need because they have two children -- I don't
understand why you need this much space because you have two children, doesn't make sense to me as a petition.

But if they are able to get it, I would like for them to pay for rodent control. Because as you know, the city of Cambridge has a rodent issue, and construction always worsens it. So they need to pay for rodent control if they're going to do something like this over a year.

But $I$ strongly disagree with all of this, as does my mother Alison (phonetic) Morgan -- Dr. Alison Morgan. And again, that 67 Larch Row is where I live. Thank you for your time and have a good night.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Any comments, Mr. Shippen? Mr. Page, I'm sorry.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do have comments, yes. I know that there's strong support from Bob Keagan, who lives I believe at 71 Larch Road. I don't know if his letter of support is in the file, but there is -- I have to wonder whether or not the difference from Ms. Gadon's point of view of 10 feet versus seven feet is really that material.

> I walked down that street this afternoon and I have stood on the sidewalk opposite the proposed building,
and I tried to imagine if $I$ could discern the difference between seven and 10 feet. I take her comments seriously, and $I$ would just wonder whether that is going to be a determinant objection.

With respect to Mr. Morgan's comments, I don't believe there's any site impairment by the improvement coming down Larch Road onto Fresh Pond Parkway. You won't be able to turn onto Fresh Pond Parkway until you're much closer to the Parkway than what Mr. Gadon -- I mean Mr. Morgan suggests.

And with respect to the rats, I think that's a perfectly legitimate request. I think any construction involves noise, dust and possible disrupting of the rodent population. So I think the petitioners would probably be more than willing to discuss that with him.

And I can't speak to whether or not he was apprised of this. I had believed that the applicants had spoken to their neighbors, and the neighbors were generally in favor of the design. They perhaps were a little surprised when the setback was seven feet rather than 10.

But that's one of the reasons we're coming to the Board to get the sense of whether or not this is going to be
an appropriate addition in this neighborhood.
If it is, I've walked down Larch and Grozier, and there are a number of structures that have rather modern façades tacked onto traditional buildings, and so, it's not unusual. It's certainly not the norm, but it's unusual.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's -- I'd certainly ask either Sam or Mike to comment on that, but I certainly respect the people who have spoken about this project. Thank you.

COLIN MORGAN: I think Mr. Chairman or Sisia, could we share our screen for a moment just to review some of the neighborhood? We do have some of the images of the other homes on it.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, sure.
COLIN MORGAN: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: I also want to say there's another person that wishes to speak.

COLIN MORGAN: Sure. Would it be appropriate for us to just show one slide, and then they speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sure.
COLIN MORGAN: Okay. Steve, can you pull that up real quick?

STEVE: Yes.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Which one do you want.
COLIN MORGAN: Oh. You have to shop sharing your screen, Sisia, and then we can share our screen?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Is it not a slide that's on this deck?

COLIN MORGAN: No, it's not on your set. That's why we just need to share our screens.

SAM KACHMAR: Yeah. Concerns came to us yesterday, and so, we took a walk down the street and took some pictures just to --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay, go ahead.
SAM KACHMAR: -- get a sense of. Yeah, and that sheet in there -- and so this sheet here just kind of goes through some of the houses along Larch Row. And the one on the upper left is a relatively modern renovation. The one second to the left -- again, a very contemporary structure.

And then there is a mixture of traditional, transitional, and then very contemporary homes that kind of line the street, I think. So there's kind of an eclectic mix throughout that street, as opposed to a singular architectural style.

COLIN MORGAN: Thank you, Sam.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, as I heard it, I mean you're saying some of the objection was to the nature of the architecture. It's too modern of a neighborhood. And that we're not an architectural review board, whatever our views might be personally. That's not -- should not affect our legal decision as to whether to grant zoning relief.

LAURA WERNICK: Is there one more person who wishes to speak?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, Kate Clearly.
KATE CLEARY: Hi. I live next door to Margaret, and I agree with Margaret. I live at 83 Larch Road, and I also live one house away from the other gentleman, Mr. Gadon I think his name is.

But that intersection, I'm concerned about even on bad weather $n$ Fresh Pond Parkway what the exterior lighting is going to be. Because on a bad day, there's accidents there all the time and how that's going to affect. And if we're going to see a landscape plan because -- again, I agree Margaret in terms of the trees and what's going to
happen there.
And in terms of the architecture in the neighborhood, those houses at the other end didn't have to ask for more land. They weren't expanding, they were within the realm of the actual structure itself. This is just a massive addition for a plot of land that doesn't fit it, it's too small. That's all I have to say.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Can I speak to that, Mr. Chairman? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

SHIPPEN PAGE: The -- what we're talking about is a lot that's only slightly below what's required for an A-2 district. And we're -- the standard really is we are intensifying the noncontributory. And the question $I$ think boils down to, you know, is this a substantial detriment to the neighborhood?

And I'm certainly hearing Ms. Cleary, because there's obviously traffic concerns on Fresh Pond Parkway, and I think that the applicants are certainly bearing that in mind. But to the extent that I've heard the comments, I don't think they reflect a substantial detriment. They may not like some of the aspects of the design, but it seems to me that overall this is going to be an improvement to the
neighborhood.
The house is only $I$ think 0.36 or 0.32 now; it's way below the 0.5 that's allowed by right, and they would only be going over the allowed FAR by 0.6. So that I don't see this as a massive overbuilding, certainly compared to a lot of the areas we've seen even tonight on the presentation.

So I would just add that perspective to your consideration. Thanks.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Other members of the Board have any reaction to the comments they're hearing from abutters?

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. I'll
just say what I said before. The questions I asked before had to do with why is the side yard setback relief required? And can I see that in the plan, and can I understand why the plan drives it to be what's proposed?

And I have to say that I -- although I may understand why it may be desirable, I can't see why, or don't understand why a plan couldn't be configured to be within or at the lot line, and not need to step over it. And I think if that's really the concern of some of the
neighbors -- adjacent neighbors -- I would share that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I want to be clear about
something. We're not -- again, we're not going to give relief, setback relief, because it's not advertised and it's a special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is not a variance
case. So to the extent that there are problems -- and apparently there are -- with the side yard there, the petitioner either has got to get additional relief or a separate petition, get a variance for that, you know, the inadequate setback on this side.

All we're talking about tonight is whether the nature of what is being proposed, you know, meets the requirement for a special permit which is -- you know, a danger to the neighborhood and congestion and a hazard and all of those things.

But we're not going to give setback relief for that three feet that we're talking about.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SHIPPEN PAGE: If I may, as I read the Bellalta
decision, it says that there is -- even if you create a new nonconformity, it still fits within the special permit.

I defer to the idea of it not having been advertised, and I would have to consult my clients about seeking a continuance or seeking another avenue, but I do think that were this to have been sufficiently advertised, it would fall within the special permit requirements of Bellalta.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My point is that I don't think it's -- for the issue we're talking about right now -it's been properly advertised.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan. Shippen, let me just -- you're seeking relief under 8.22.2.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Right. We're --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Seeking relief under that, but also coverage to -- for a special permit. So 8.222 (sic):
"The following changes, extension or alterations of a preexisting, nonconforming structure or you were maybe granted in the following cases after the issuance of a special permit -- such a permit shall be granted only if the permit granting authority specified below finds that such changes, extension or alterations will not be substantially
more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming use."

SHIPPEN PAGE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we have a conforming use. But under c), districts and Residents District, "The Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming structure, not otherwise permitted in Section 8.221, but not the alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming use --" That's not applicable here.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- provided any enlargement or alteration of such nonconforming structure is not further in violation of the dimensional requirements of Article 5." So it appears that you are in further violation of the FAR and also setbacks.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I agree with that. That's the point $I$ was trying to make.

SHIPPEN PAGE: But I would respond, Mr. Sullivan, respectfully, that $I$ believe we are in a new landscape with Bellalta, because I think that this is a one- and two-family house that basically has an intensification of a
nonconformity, the FAR, going from 0.32 to 0.56 , and a new nonconformity, which is the side yard setback by three feet -- still falls within the purview of the special permit. And the city cannot require more than the state law requires.

So I would respectfully say that while I defer to the Chairman's assessment of the non notice, I wouldn't agree that in fact we would be required to go for a variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But you're creating a new nonconformity.

SHIPPEN PAGE: That's correct.
ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I think -- excuse me --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea Hickey. I think there is a distinction between increasing an existing nonconformity and creating a new nonconformity that the case your referencing does not address.

SHIPPEN PAGE: I'm not sure that $I$ agree with you, respectfully, Attorney Hickey, but $I$ think it's something which I would certainly like to take up, and I don't want to waste the Board's time tonight, because I respect that
you've all been at this since 6:00. So --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, if the new -- if -- well,
[Brendan Sullivan] if the new -- if the nonconformity -- say the existing nonconformity in the area is 0.5. Say you were at 0.2 .

SHIPPEN PAGE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And you were going to 0.3, you're not creating a new nonconformity, you're maybe increasing the existing. Then you can get protection under the special permit where it says that the -- the nonconformity would not be in -- you know, out of character with the neighborhood and a detriment, and so on and so forth.

But here you're creating -- you're creating a new nonconformity.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Two of them.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're stepping over the line, as far as $I$ see. So $I$ don't think that --

ANDREA HICKEY: With respect to the setback issue only, as I see it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Pardon?
ANDREA HICKEY: With respect to the setback issue
only. It's a new nonconformity.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the issue we're talking about, though.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SHIPPEN PAGE: So I would like to suggest, Mr.
Chairman, if it's appropriate, that I continue this matter to the next available date -- possibly January 7, post a new sign.

And if we have to provide a separate petition for the three-foot-four whatever the appropriate relief is, then I would suggest we do that, so that we don't -- I would just like to be clean with this and respect the Board's and the abutters' positions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I do think this case needs to be continued. I do think, frankly, that additional relief is going to be required for the setback issue. I don't -- you know, as I'm listening, I have not been persuaded by the argument that this is not so. But if you want to -- we want to continue to January 7; I have no problem with that. But I suspect we're going to -- you're going to continue the case further after that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, Brendan Sullivan. What I read here on the dimensional form, or application, is that there will be three violations. On the right side, you are now conforming at eight foot 10. Requirement is 10, and you're going for seven. So there's a new --

SHIPPEN PAGE: Noncon --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- nonconformity.
SHIPPEN PAGE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then on the rear setback, the ordinance requires 27, you're at 29.6. You're requesting 21 feet. So that's a new nonconformity.

And then of course the FAR, which is 0.5 ordinance requirement. Existing is 0.32 -- you comply, and now you're going to 0.56 , so that's a new nonconformity.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't see how you get protection under -- unless you can prove otherwise.

SHIPPEN PAGE: No, the numbers speak for themselves, Mr. Sullivan, as they do, but I would -- because I think we have to address the abutters' concerns, and I think we have to address that three-foot setback that Mr. Monteverde's pointed out. And I think that we may have to
adjust the design accordingly, but $I$ think that $I$ don't want to do this on the fly. And I prefer --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: They all agree with that. SHIPPEN PAGE: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, agreed.
SHIPPEN PAGE: Is that all right to seek a
continuance to January 7, Sam and Mike? I don't know if -SAM KACHMAR: A hearing on January 7, or is that a special hearing only for the Walden Street project?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that was special. SHIPPEN PAGE: Yes.

COLIN MORGAN: So I think you guys might have put another project also on the January 7, and maybe that was an error, earlier?

SHIPPEN PAGE: No, that was deliberate.
COLIN MORGAN: Okay. Yeah. You guys are having a hearing on the seventh.

SAM KACHMAR: And we're happy to take -- yeah, the seventh.

COLIN MORGAN: We're happy to take the seventh. Just wanted to make sure that it was a hearing date at that time.

SHIPPEN PAGE: I'll defer to the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think we're on two chan --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to make a motion
to continue this case until January 7.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Regular schedule is the fourteenth.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. I think we'll
probably fill -- do we have any room on the fourteenth?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. We have three cases on the fourteenth.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have three cases already.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So the seventh is going to be for the comprehensive permit.

SISIA DAGLIAN: 57 Pleasant.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've got 57 Pleasant Street.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then Pleasant Street, which

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Which will be quick.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- should be just a little cleanup thing. So they could go with the seventh.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: At 6:00.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep.
SHIPPEN PAGE: I won't (sic) count on 6:00, Mr. Sullivan, but thank you for that accommodation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 7:00 p.m. -- 6:00 p.m., I'm sorry, 6:00 p.m. on January 7 subject to the following conditions:

1. That the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, the usual form, and you're familiar with that, Mr. Page --

SHIPPEN PAGE: I am.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- as is your client, or Mr. Ka -- your, Mr. Kachmar. That must be done within seven days from tonight. If it's not done, it's -- the waiver of time for a decision is not done within that period, this case will be dismissed.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Second, that the
petitioner has to sign -- post a new sign, or modify the existing sign to reflect the new date and the new time. And that sign must be posted and maintained for the 14 days prior to January 7.

And lastly, that the petitioner -- to the extent there are revised, modified plan specifications, what have you, those must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 7.

SHIPPEN PAGE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes to the continuance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes, I'm in favor of the continuance.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to the continuance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes to the continuance as well.
[All vote YES]
Case continued. See you on January 7.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, can I request a twominute break, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure. Why don't we say we'll resume at 12:30? It's a little bit before 12:00. At 12:24, according to the clock I'm looking at.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So why don't we just take a five-minute or six-minute recess. We're going to come back by 12:30.
(12:30 a.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The next case I'm going to call is Case Number 98136 -- 9 Shady Hill Square. We have a request from the petitioner to continue this case. He got tired of sitting up waiting for us, I guess. So the Chair moves -- I'm going to propose that we add this to the everburgeoning agenda for January 7.

But, again, this case too I think will be -- I can speak off the record, if you will -- will be a pretty easy case and not a controversial case.

Anyway, the Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on January 7, subject to the following conditions:

That the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. And he must sign that within seven days from today. Failing to do that will result in this case being automatically dismissed.

The second, that the -- a new posting sign or a
modified posting sign -- has to be set forth on the premises reflecting the new date, January 7; the new time -- 6:00 p.m.; and maintain for the 14 days prior to January 7.

This can be done either by getting a new sign from
the Special Services Department or modifying the existing sign with a magic marker or what have you to reflect the new date and time.

And lastly, to the extent that there are any new or modified plans, specifications or the like, from what is in our files now, these must be filed -- these new ones -filed with this Board no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 7. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance. Okay, yes to the continuance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to the continuance.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to the continuance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
So the case continued. O, okay, we have our last case, believe it or not. Upland Road?
(12:32 a.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 99204 -- 216 Upland Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yes, please, Mr. Chair. Maggie Booz, Architect for Dara Arons and Jeremey McDiarmid, the owners of the house at 216 Upland Road.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, welcome. You stayed up very late tonight for us.

MAGGIE BOOZ: I hope I can put coherent sentences together. My clients are requesting permission to build a two-story stair addition to the rear of their house, and a one -story screened porch.

The situation at their house is that they have a third-floor apartment. They're in a C-1 District, and they have a nonconforming eastern side yard setback.

And there's a portion of their house existing right now that's a little one-story office in the back of
the house that actually extends past the original house's eastern wall and towards the property line even further. You can see it in that site plan -- the back-left corner of the house.

We're proposing to build the stair addition in approximately the same footprint as that rear bump out is now, except instead of 31.9 feet it'll come out another foot. So it's -- right now, that's a six-foot wide, or sixfoot deep, one-story addition, and we're requesting a seven-foot-deep one-story addition to house the stair.

Then, beyond that, we're requesting a one-story screen porch. So we're requesting permission to build that up to a setback of 23 -- sorry, 23.5 feet from the back property line, which is conforming with the rear yard setback.

But both the stair addition that we're requesting and the screen porch that we're requesting align with the original eastern wall of the house, and that wall is nonconforming.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I understand why the case for a variance, for the staircase addition, two stories.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But what's the justification from a -- complying with -- excuse me, complying with the variance standards for a new one-story, I forget what -- porch?

MAGGIE BOOZ: The screen porch.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What -- yeah, screen porch.

MAGGIE BOOZ: It's as though -- so the conditions of living in a house these days when you want to maintain an apartment, both for financial and civic reasons -- and there is limited family space on the floors that you occupy -the screen porch is a request to make outdoor space that you can stay in three seasons of the year or more, and really is nothing more than a covered patio. It's a -- you know, it's a patio with a roof over it so the two --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know, but --
MAGGIE BOOZ: -- stay out of the rain.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- again, the hardship
that would justify the screen porch is the upstairs
apartment. And I don't see how that qualifies for the requirements for a variance. I'm not trying to be difficult, but it's --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- puzzling. If someone came in here forgetting about the two-story addition for the stairs, and just wanted to put a screen porch in the back of the house, we would say why? I mean, what's the hardship? Why do you need it?

MAGGIE BOOZ: And if they were asking for a family room at the back of the house, and they weren't violating floor area ratio, and they weren't violating rear yard setback, and they had limited family space inside the living orders, and the only variance that they were requesting -which is equivalent to what I'm asking for...

The only variance that they were requesting is the side yard setback, when neighbors on both sides have addition on the back of their house that extend further as well, it seems to me that it's an appropriate use of the site, that it's a minimal request, and that it is not egregious in terms of the rest of the neighborhood.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I agree with that, but again, $I$ have -- I don't mean to be difficult, but $I$ have trouble finding the legal justification for the variance. The arguments you're making are good commonsense arguments,
but they don't justify the granting of a variance.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's my problem. And I'm not trying to -- as I said, not trying to be difficult, but we do have to apply the law as it is, and it's not discretionary for variances. And there I am.

I could be outvoted, of course. If the other members of the Board don't feel the same way I do, they can vote to grant the variance and it will be 4:1, and that's sufficient.

But I -- you know, I just have to be intellectually honest about this. And if I grant a variance here, there's going to be a lot of those cases where I should be granting a variance, because even though the legal standard has not been met -- anyway, I don't want to.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Well now, I do have a one question, which is that that last case that was brought before you was a case for a special permit, not a variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know. That may be a problem. In this case, that case may end up with a variance case.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah. In our case, if I were to ask
for a special permit and didn't have to meet the requirements of the variance, and because I wouldn't be creating any other nonconformities -- that is, there's already a nonconformity to the side yard setback.

And I wouldn't be worsening the nonconformity, and I wouldn't be nonconforming in any other way, then wouldn't I be allowed to -- wouldn't I be granted a special permit under those conditions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Maybe a special permit, but we're talking about a variance.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah, okay. So my -- I guess my question is, if $I$ withdrew the portion of this variance that was for the screened porch, and came back to you with a request to -- for a special permit to put on that screened porch, it wouldn't even be the same case, right? Because I would be asking for something different?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right. You'd have to readvertised. The standard is easier for a special permit.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Right, I realize that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You guys meet the standards for a special permit.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah. And this is all because of
this Bellalta case that Shippen Page was referring to?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, it's because I don't see the hardship.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah, no, no, I know, I know.
LAURA WERNICK: May I just ask a question?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The hour's late, it's very
late, and I don't want to belabor this. Would you be interested in withdrawing the request for the screened porch, and see if you can come back with another solution, and we can just consider the two-story addition for the staircase?

MAGGIE BOOZ: Well, I guess I'd like to know what the tenor of the Board is. Because if the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, as I said, I'm only one of five. And if the other four members don't have a problem, so be it. The variance would be granted, and that would be it, subject to any neighbor right of appeal.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Maybe we ought to hear from other members of the Board as to what their views are with regard to the screened porch?

LAURA WERNICK: Can I just ask a question about
the screened porch?
MAGGIE BOOZ: Sure.
LAURA WERNICK: Is this the only location? Is there any way of locating it so it doesn't extend the nonconformity?

MAGGIE BOOZ: The problem is that we have access to a basement that if it were pushed over so that it didn't -- the exterior access to the basement. And right now they have a -- so if it were pushed over to the west --

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.

MAGGIE BOOZ: -- it would be over the --
LAURA WERNICK: Oh.

MAGGIE BOOZ: -- bulkhead. It would be over the basement entrance.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay.
MAGGIE BOOZ: And the -- you know, and like any yard, it's always better to have structures over to the sides, so that you can maintain as much useable green space as possible, rather than planting a -- you know, planting a structure in the middle of an open space.

Right now, their access to the basement is through the strangest bulkhead you've ever seen. I mean, it's like
a square pit that goes down into the ground, and then there's a ladder in it to go down into the pit, then you open a door and go into the basement.

So we're trying to make a better -- in this renovation we're trying to make a better basement access over there. You know, a better -- an actual stair in through the bulkhead, rather than a pit.

But that's the issue. You know, when you push the structure -- the screened porch structure into the middle of the space, yeah, it conforms then, but it kind of bifurcates the yard and, you know, just isn't -- it isn't a nice architectural strategy.

LAURA WERNICK: So I think I would be sympathetic to the Chair's argument that it's -- we have to show the hardship. And I think just having the desire for an outdoor space is not a real hardship.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Uh-huh.
LAURA WERNICK: Although I'm sympathetic to it. So it's more of a legal fine-tuning, rather than a --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Right. And I guess what I'm asking is, is that -- I mean, that may be what you all need to do, you may all need to say, "Okay, it doesn't meet the
standards of a variance, so we can't grant it," but I guess what I'm saying is $I$ could just come back, ask for a special permit for the same structure and have a better chance of getting it because I didn't ask for a for variance?

And that seems so --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You could meet the requirements of the special permit, you're right.

MAGGIE BOOZ: That seems so --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] Maggie, what are you doing on the front that is encroaching on the front yard setback?

MAGGIE BOOZ: We're just trying to expand the front porch. Their front -- not the covered part, just the deck part, just so that they can get two chairs out there. They have a really nice view of Raymond Park. Raymond Park's right across the street from their house.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
MAGGIE BOOZ: And they have three kids, three girls. And it's just a -- it's really just a request to make that larger so that they can enjoy the front porch like, you know, with actual chairs on it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, well, for that,
that's a new nonconformity. Because right now you're in conformance. The required amount is 10 feet. Right now you're at 11-foot-eight. With the bump out you're going down to seven-foot-eight. So that's a new nonconformity. MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That would require a variance. The other side yard setback, which is to the left? That's an existing nonconformity. So I guess what Shippen was talking about the -- somewhat the relaxation and the consideration for a one and two families is that we're increasing an existing nonconformity and whether or not that is more detrimental than the existing.'

But I think where the front bump out is you would still require a variance of that, $I$ believe.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So there's no protection under that case law for that aspect.

MAGGIE BOOZ: I'm not sure I understand, Brendan, what you mean? What do you mean?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well there's special
consideration for one and two-family houses, nonconforming houses, and right now it is nonconforming to the left side
yard setback.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And if you are increasing that nonconformity, you could apply under special permit if in the determination of the Board the new violation is not more detrimental to the exhibiting?

MAGGIE BOOZ: I see.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: However --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because you're bumping out the front, you're creating a new nonconformity. It's conforming now. You're creating a new nonconformity. That would require a variance.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you have an existing
violation, nonconforming aspect, you're continuing that.
You can get protection under that case by way of a special permit. But you're creating a new nonconformity, so you don't get protection under that special permit vehicle. That has to be a variance.

MAGGIE BOOZ: And -- well that, but that is part of our proposal tonight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's correct. Is the front and the back.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah. Yeah. So --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, let me chime in then, just to move the dialogue along.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah. So --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean, I've reviewed it and I've gone by the house and so on and so forth. Actually, I'd say that the screened in porch is -- I mean I don't have a problem with it. I think that's actually a nice little amenity, and I think that people get some enjoyment out of it.

And to have a screened in area that protects you from, you know, bugs and all this other stuff and so on and so forth. So maybe it's a little bit larger than $I$ would have thought. But then again, I don't know, I don't have a problem with it; yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What I'm hearing is you're amenable to granting the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: To granting the relief requested, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I don't have a problem with it either. And I do think Mr. Sullivan is right, that -again, creating a new nonconformity would not allow you the safe harbor of the case we spoke about.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's clearly right. I agree with you as well and with Brendan. That's right. Jim?

ANDREA HICKEY: That's all right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut your --

ANDREA HICKEY: No, I'm all set. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm amenable to letting them have their screened porch.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You have to unmute yourself.
JASON MARSHALL: And by the improvement in the --
LAURA WERNICK: Jim, we can't hear you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry, it's my -- sorry.
I don't have an issue with the screened porch. I can deal
with that. I have more of an issue with the variance
request in the front yard, but I don't have an issue with the screened porch doing that through the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah. It seems small stuff I guess, and it's late. So I wouldn't oppose it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You wouldn't have a problem with the variance for the screened porch that's currently proposed?

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah. That's -- correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Well, I think -back to you, Maggie, $I$ think we can go -- you can proceed with your case, because you're going to get four votes anyway.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And that's all you need to get the request for the screened porch.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the front porch is something else, but that's not before us tonight. MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So that's a --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, yeah, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It is.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Then the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's in the advertisement, right?
MAGGIE BOOZ: It was advertised as such.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It says, "extension of the uncovered portion of the front porch towards the street." BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's in the dimensional -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, you're right, you're right.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's in the dimensional form. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I missed that. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Do you see this as three different things? I mean, is it possible for you to see it all as one, or -- I mean, is there objection to the front porch I guess is what I'm asking?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My first question is, would be the same as with the screened porch. I mean, what's the justification? What's the legal justification -MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- that entitles you to
get a variance?
MAGGIE BOOZ: It's harder for me to justify that
front porch. And also, because it creates a new
nonconformity, it would never be considered as a special permit. So I think what I'm going to request here is that we -- if is that I withdraw the extension of the front porch.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think that's the best way of going about this.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, this is Brendan. The requirement is 10 feet, obviously, the setback.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right now it's at 11 foot eight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And their proposal is seven-foot-eight. So they're bumping it out four feet, which would be two feet over the line, if you will.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So -- yeah, I don't -- again, I
-- I think to be honest with you, it's probably a -- knowing
the house and the way it faces the park, I think that it's probably a nice little amenity.

And I think that the people will probably use it -

- as Maggie says, that they'll go out there some evening with their rocking chair and their mint juleps and whatever they drink and enjoy what's going on in the park. It's usually mostly dogs running around, anyhow.

I have no problem with that. I think the siding of the house and it's relationship with its neighbors and so on and so forth. I think that to me it's probably not noticeable, and I have no problem with that. If other members do, then that's fine too.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Again, I would -- I feel intellectually I have no choice but to vote against the variance for the front porch. But, if other members like the screen porch -- will vote in favor of fit, we can go ahead and consider it. It's going to be fast.

MAGGIE BOOZ: It sounds as though Jim perhaps has a problem with the front porch as well?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: He did.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That was my comment
before.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Then I think --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Without seeing -- without asking
for some other alternative to say, "Look, could you be compliant? Could you be more compliant, could you be closer to compliant --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- than what's shown. So that --
the front end of the house $I$ have an issue with; the back $I$ don't. So I was with you in your last proposal Maggie, where you were headed.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah, I'd like to make that proposal then.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MAGGIE BOOZ: I'd like to withdraw the extension
of the front porch, and if $I$ can do that, then $I$ would choose to have you vote on the rear.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And then there's also a special permit, right? For a window?

MAGGIE BOOZ: There is.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We haven't gotten that far yet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura, do you have anything you want to say at this point?

LAURA WERNICK: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I guess I should open the matter up to public testimony, if there are any night owls out there. So I'm looking for the instructions for the call-in. Here it is. I'll open the matter up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I can't believe we're going to have a --

SISIA DAGLIAN: There's two people on, but they're not asking to speak, so --

MAGGIE BOOZ: It may be my clients, Jeremy and
Dara. Dara --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, Jeremy is there but he's muted. And then it's John Hawkinson (phonetic).

MAGGIE BOOZ: I will say on behalf of Jeremy that they've spoken to all their neighbors, and I'm not sure if there are letters written to the Board at all.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There are no letters. I didn't see any letters in the file, at least as of a day or so ago when $I$ reviewed the files. But in any event, I'll take your representation that --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah, they've --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That there is no neighborhood opposition, no --

MAGGIE BOOZ: No neighborhood opposition at all, in all directions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. I will then close public testimony. So we have two votes to take -- and I'm going to make sure it's right -- a variance regarding the construction of the two-story housing addition, the front porch and the screen porch in the back. I think you can take them all up at one time.

Anybody disagree?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Agreed.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's fine.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The
Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the three variances being sought:

That failure to make these changes would cause a substantial hardship to the petitioner, such hardship being this is an older structure, and particularly with regard to the second-story apartment, there is a need for a better staircase than -- arrangement -- than is there now, and that runs with the structure.

It's not just peculiar to the current petitioners, but to anyone who would only -- this house with the apartment upstairs.

That there is a -- and with regard to the front porch and the screen porch, there is a substantial hardship in that -- again, given this is an older and smaller structure, there is need for this outdoor space, as part of the residential use of the structure.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this is an older building with an unusually shaped lot of
setbacks, and so any modification to the exterior of the structure likely triggers a need for a variance...
and that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance? All that's being proposed with regard to these points, that are being proposed are very modest in nature, and there was no opposition from the neighbors, and otherwise enhances the viability of this residence. So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variances requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by -- let me get it right, bear with me for a second --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Smart Architecture?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Smart Architecture dated 11/09/20, the first page of which -- actually it's on the site plan -- is initialed by the Chair. Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that's the existing plan, and we are eliminating the front porch?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, eliminating the
front porch, adding that screen porch and --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the stands.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the story --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I would vote to grant the
variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is Jim Monteverde.
I'd vote to grant the variance.
LAURA WERNICK: Laura, a vote to grant the variance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, I vote to grant the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will vote against granting the variance.
[FOUR VOTES YES, ONE VOTE NO]
But four having voted in favor, the variances -the three variances, really, have been approved.

Moving now to the special permit, the new -installation of a new window?

MAGGIE BOOZ: We're requesting permission to -- on the opposite -- yeah, thank you, the existing study that's on the rear of the house has a window in it now. And the --
we're asking for a window in the part that was granted a variance. I assume that isn't a subject of the special permit because it was part of the variance, correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I don't know if you needed a special -- it could have been encompassed by the variance.

MAGGIE BOOZ: I thought that's how you treated that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, if, well -- [Brendan Sullivan] -- if you can follow me here... if a wall or a part of a structure is granted a variance, and any element of that structure would be incorporated in it --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If there was an existing wall along -- well, if there is an existing wall along that house and you were adding a window, and it was no -- it was not subject to any other relief, you would then need to apply for a special permit. So the bottom line is that that window is covered under the variance.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So there's no need for the special permit?

MAGGIE BOOZ: No, we do have a window --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh you do?
LAURA WERNICK: No.

MAGGIE BOOZ: We do have --
LAURA WERNICK: It's existing.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, yes, yes, right, the kitchen. Sorry.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah, we do -- on that, on the elevation that you're looking at there, window 3, which says new, is part of what Brendan just described. And number -and window 2 that says, "new" is --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MAGGIE BOOZ: -- the window we're asking for a special permit for.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right, right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, correct.
MAGGIE BOOZ: And we feel that it does no detriment to the public good that it's been shown to the neighbor who is closer on that side and they have no objection to it, and it is for health and safety reasons in the building advantageous to have a window in the bathroom.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the neighbor,
obviously, as you said, doesn't have any objection --
MAGGIE BOOZ: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- to this window? All
right. Ready for a vote on the special permit?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit regarding the installation of the new window:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from this window will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. This window given its location clearly doesn't generate -- doesn't trigger congestion, hazard or substantial change.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted by the ordinance will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed -- again for the same reasons just cited.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the
detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

On the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans referred to with regard to the variance we just granted. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit for the windows.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes to granting the special --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, oh sorry.
ANDREA HICKEY: That's okay. Andrea Hickey, yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well for the special permit, so it's unanimous.
[All vote YES]
Special permit granted. Case over.
LAURA WERNICK: Yay.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you so much. Congratulations!
Un.believable that you have to do this every two weeks.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So for the Board I'll tell you a funny story. I'm sitting here in my little home office space, right? It's the less insulated portion of my house -- and I have a programmable thermostat. So as of 10:30 this evening, the temperature has been dropping in this space. So not only am I tired, but now I'm really cold.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So goodnight to you all.
ANDREA HICKEY: Probably more awake than the rest of us, though.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Exactly. At least my feet are wide awake, yeah. Goodnight.

LAURA WERNICK: So Jim, I just have to tell you that I really appreciate your comments about the Mass Avenue project about the 2050 Mass Avenue, the CHA housing.

That was my first project as a Project Architect. That was my --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh!
LAURA WERNICK: -- that was my building.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh! You know, I was looking at it, and I realized -- I went over there over the weekend, and, you know, I realized the building, you know, danced around, but it was some of the views that they had -- the photographic views that they had kind of justifying their own block that made me appreciate how the mass not only was broken up, but it stepped back from the street.

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it wasn't the entire bulk. So it -- yeah, it was nice work, and --

LAURA WERNICK: Public housing, very simple, very, very inexpensive, but I think it --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, but in a modest scale.
LAURA WERNICK: -- that will be okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. And it's a modest enough scale that frankly in these days it could be done stick on podium and be --

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- right? It's the cheapest thing they do, and it would probably work.

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: So always brings back memories
when I go --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's great. All right. LAURA WERNICK: Okay. See you all. Goodnight. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Bye-bye. LAURA WERNICK: Bye.
[01:02 a.m. End of Proceedings]
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| create 11:12 | crowing 214:6 | 85:18 88:10 | 93:13 | 179:2 184:12 |
| 73:20 93:9 | Cryan 213:9 | 90:22 93:12 | danced 351:7 | 199:19 202:14 |
| 123:4 162:6 | cube 32:22 | 94:18,20 96:9 | Danforth 115:6 | 254:17 255:8 |
| 271:6 277:7 | curb 40:10,11 | 96:13 98:6,20 | 115:8,8 | 255:14 316:18 |
| 288:2 308:1 | 40:14,16,19,20 | 99:1,4,7 | danger 307:16 | 317:3 319:19 |
| created 114:4 | 41:20 285:22 | 100:13 102:3,6 | dangerous 92:2 | 320:3 324:9 |
| 233:5 277:20 | curbing 96:6 | 103:19 104:11 | 95:14 132:19 | 351:20 |
| 280:5 284:8 | current 92:7 | 104:13,15,17 | Dara 322:10 | dead 70:9 |
| 348:22 | 105:10 231:9 | 106:13 107:12 | 342:2,2 | dead-end 87:13 |
| creates 338:3 | 255:9 286:9 | 107:14 108:15 | dark 261:6 | deadline 197:2,3 |
| creating 28:1 | 343:13 | 109:17 173:15 | darker 77:6 | deal 102:16 |
| 32:22 33:7 | currently 16:22 | 177:2,4 181:9 | Darul 133:16 | 161:17 275:2 |
| 36:17 101:14 | 57:13 115:13 | 182:14,17,21 | data 48:20 49:5 | 335:18 |
| 114:5 154:17 | 122:5 207:22 | 183:5,18 184:2 | 52:6 184:14 | dealing 167:11 |
| 161:22 186:13 | 210:13,16 | 184:5 185:7 | date $2: 12,14,17$ | Dear 133:13 |
| 200:2 261:20 | 226:15 260:11 | 188:11,13,16 | 2:20 6:2 49:21 | debt 161:10 |
| 293:10 310:10 | 265:2 290:4 | 189:4,15 194:6 | 86:22 174:21 | December 1:4 |
| 310:17 311:8 | 336:5 | 194:13,17,19 | 179:2,22 | 3:7 173:7 |
| 311:14,14 | curtailing 112:4 | 195:19 196:6,9 | 182:10,16 | decent 96:20 |
| 327:3 333:11 | curtain 241:8,8 | 196:14,16,19 | 183:14 184:10 | 111:17 |
| 333:12,18 | Cushing 2:21 | 202:22 221:16 | 195:12,17 | deceptive 297:4 |
| 335:3 | 205:7 207:9 | 221:18,20 | 196:4,7 199:20 | decide 121:3 |
| creativity 88:3 | 208:11,22 | 222:1,3 227:16 | 199:20 202:14 | 143:18 |
| credit 27:17 | 209:3 | 229:16 246:9 | 255:3,11 312:8 | decided 9:9 10:2 |
| credited 128:13 | cut 40:10, 11, 14 | 246:14 249:22 | 314:21 317:2 | 56:7 186:18 |
| credits 15:6 | 40:16,19,20 | 250:3,7 252:11 | 320:2,7 | decides 164:20 |
| Creighton 86:7 | 41:20 70:8 | 253:11,13,15 | dated 3:17 19:15 | decision 9:14 |
| 86:13,16,17 | 95:4 99:1 | 253:18 254:13 | 39:12 194:16 | 23:6 77:15 |
| 111:12 | 106:12 131:16 | 255:5 257:11 | 271:11 280:15 | 89:22 105:18 |
| crisis 69:5 96:21 | 138:10,22 | 268:3,7,9,15 | 344:16 | 105:19 129:21 |
| 97:21 100:7 | 245:4 285:22 | 268:21 273:10 | daughter 132:7 | 176:11 178:19 |
| 102:17,17 | 335:10 | 273:12,16,20 | Dave 121:6 | 184:9 191:6 |


| 197:17,20 | demand 29:21 | 248:4,15 249:3 | 344:3 | 89:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 254:18 255:20 | 39:16 43:12 | 249:11 252:6 | desire 330:15 | developers |
| 304:7 308:1 | 51:14,20 52:1 | depending | desk 237:3 | 76:13 79:19 |
| 316:13,19 | 62:10 63:11 | 209:16 | Desmond | 81:15 87:3 |
| 319:19 | 123:3,4 | depict 262:14 | 268:18,20,22 | 93:2 101:3 |
| decision- 89:21 | demands 48:20 | depicting 259:9 | 269:5,10,10 | 108:3,12 |
| decisions 9:2 | 137:20 | depth 276:11 | desperately 51:3 | 128:12 132:1 |
| 118:7 | democratic | derail 141:22 | 60:2 66:1 | developing |
| deck 181:20 | 125:3 | derogate 233:12 | 118:12 | 71:13 95:1 |
| 261:10,22 | demolish 259:5 | 280:10 349:5 | destroy 211:6 | 111:10 |
| 262:2,3 263:13 | demolished | derogating | detail 14:19 28:6 | development |
| 303:6 331:14 | 231:10 | 223:17 250:22 | 41:15 60:5 | 11:3,13 13:11 |
| decker 72:22 | demonstrate | 270:14 344:5 | 139:7 | 13:16 14:8 |
| 73:1 87:7 | 242:9 | describe 227:7 | detailed 24:22 | 17:5,7,21 25:4 |
| 99:17 151:16 | denied 77:16 | 276:16 | details 22:22 | 31:8 49:13 |
| 266:3 | 224:13,14 | described 139:7 | 84:18 | 50:6 56:19 |
| Decker's 84:1 | 251:18 254:21 | 347:10 | deteriorated | 64:874:19 |
| declare 104:19 | Dennis 96:18 | describing | 270:18 | 81:7 82:5 |
| decorative | densest 84:13 | 208:6 | deteriorating | 89:19 97:4 |
| 288:10 | density 84:17 | description | 270:18 | 114:19,22 |
| decreased | 88:21 97:17 | 164:1 294:3 | determinant | 116:6 132:5,22 |
| 122:21,21 | dent 120:20 | design 10:22 | 301:4 | 232:20 258:1 |
| dedicated 161:9 | dents 97:16 | 14:3 15:8 20:7 | determination | 277:5 279:22 |
| deemed 87:17 | deny 11:9 | 25:10 29:16 | 333:5 | 280:1 348:18 |
| 254:21 | 224:15 249:7 | 32:7,21 33:6,8 | determine | developments |
| deep 174:6 | denying 114:4 | 33:19 35:1 | 239:10 | 23:2 75:8 |
| 323:9 | Department | 58:3 74:21 | detracts 265:12 | dialogue 26:13 |
| defend 197:20 | 43:4 50:7 | 76:1 80:21 | detriment | 334:5 |
| defensible | 89:17,19 | 82:11 84:17 | 223:16 233:6 | difference 150:9 |
| 197:21 | 116:13,20,22 | 91:11 93:1,9 | 240:19 250:21 | 300:18 301:1 |
| defer 90:1 | 117:3,8,9 | 100:2 124:8 | 270:13 280:6 | different 72:7 |
| 150:20 308:3 | 146:17 178:21 | 128:9 150:6 | 305:14,20 | 81:21 82:9 |
| 310:6 315:1 | 182:1 191:4 | 152:6 153:10 | 311:12 344:4 | 133:18 145:14 |
| defining 209:17 | 320:5 | 155:21 168:10 | 347:18 349:1 | 157:14 166:16 |
| definitely 94:11 | Department's | 179:6 213:12 | detrimental | 166:19 200:19 |
| 101:22 112:18 | 116:17 | 213:15,19 | 309:1 332:12 | 216:12 241:16 |
| 119:18 266:12 | departments | 238:14 239:5 | 333:6 | 243:12 246:2 |
| delay 129:21 | 27:1 136:22 | 240:9,15 269:3 | devastation 77:7 | 249:8,8 251:21 |
| 141:22 199:7 | 160:15 | 301:19 305:21 | develop 95:22 | 251:21 264:9 |
| 274:6 295:22 | department | 314:1 | 190:7 244:13 | 327:16 337:14 |
| deleterious | 49:13 | designated | developed 11:19 | difficult 11:20 |
| 298:9 | departure 42:6 | 163:8 | 14:3 95:10 | 324:22 325:20 |
| deliberate | 197:14 | designed 37:15 | 121:16 | 326:4 |
| 314:15 | dEPASQUALE | 40:21 41:4 | developer | difficulty 120:21 |
| deliberations | 238:9,11,13,13 | 65:11 102:13 | 103:11 108:8 | digest 174:13 |
| 113:9 | 238:17,22 | 151:18 153:16 | 134:15 | diligently |
| deliver 56:3 | 244:12 246:22 | designer 80:12 | developer's | 243:19 |
| 254:18 | 247:9,11,14 | desirable 306:19 | 86:21 87:18 | dime 161:10 |


| dimension 229:7 | discern 301:1 | 17:10 18:8 | dollar 150:4 | drink 339:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230:1 261:22 | Discovery 29:15 | 67:11 82:6 | 155:15 | drive 87:12,13 |
| 262:1 292:1,8 | discretionary | 150:9 157:11 | dollars 154:9 | 103:10 109:10 |
| 292:19 | 326:6 | 157:12 163:13 | 161:20 | 119:20 293:7 |
| dimensional | discuss 9:21 | 208:10 233:11 | domain 196:17 | driver 36:5 |
| 309:14 313:2 | 12:11 22:21 | 233:11 276:7 | domestic 79:5 | drivers 50:2 |
| 337:7,11 | 301:15 | 280:9,10 283:7 | don't 171:12 | 299:19 |
| dimensioned | discussed 20:18 | 283:13 305:12 | door 25:17 26:5 | drives 149:7 |
| 229:16 233:22 | 28:8 116:19 | 309:5 322:19 | 31:18 72:9,10 | 292:2,7 306:17 |
| dimensions 36:2 | 148:6 287:2 | 349:4,4 | 72:10 85:8 | driveway 41:5,8 |
| 137:1 229:7,9 | 298:6 | districts 309:5 | 108:4 117:4 | 96:3 117:5 |
| 234:2 241:2 | discussion 20:17 | diverse 127:1 | 134:11 156:18 | 120:22 209:8,9 |
| 290:11 | 67:22 104:8 | diversity 156:13 | 217:12 222:7 | 209:13,13,19 |
| dimmer 240:11 | 116:7 146:15 | 156:15 | 304:13 330:3 | 222:8 226:7,13 |
| dining 263:11 | 162:19 163:7 | divided 43:8 | dormer 254:12 | 230:4,11 231:2 |
| 287:13 | 164:11 171:22 | dividend 15:10 | dormers 254:5 | 231:22 260:6,7 |
| direct 19:13 | 174:2 | Doane 113:16 | double 24:11 | 262:9 285:22 |
| 26:8 55:1 | discussions | DOCHOW | 64:1 | 288:17 |
| 138:19 153:7,7 | 150:4 189:20 | 138:16,19 | doubled 217:11 | driving 292:18 |
| 165:21 166:1,2 | disheartened | 139:2,5 | doubt 53:8 89:1 | drop 168:14 |
| 166:5 167:12 | 93:16 | dock 50:3 | Douglas 100:16 | 169:22 |
| 167:13,17 | dismissed | docket 172:19 | downloaded | drop-off 18:12 |
| 168:13 169:3 | 316:20 319:21 | 174:16 | 197:5 | 41:2 65:8,20 |
| 169:13 211:5 | dismissive 73:18 | doctor 298:22 | downresd 241:6 | drop-offs 61:20 |
| 245:13 263:8 | displace 64:20 | document 15:18 | downstairs | dropped 56:9 |
| 263:21 277:14 | displacement | 39:12 | 211:9,18 | dropping 18:17 |
| direction 120:20 | 123:7 | documentation | DPW 50:7 | 169:7 170:12 |
| 151:4 286:7 | dispute 181:20 | 172:14 | Dr 188:22 189:1 | 170:13 171:19 |
| directions | disregarding | documented | 189:19 190:2 | 350:12 |
| 127:10 342:16 | 231:22 | 93:21 | 193:6 198:4,6 | drove 53:5 |
| directly 13:18 | disrupt 141:22 | documents 16:2 | 198:18 199:8 | duct 28:16 |
| 161:1 194:7 | disrupted 277:6 | 41:15 93:4 | 200:1 201:21 | due 3:10,17,21 |
| 211:18,21 | disrupting | 200:11 201:17 | 202:19 300:9 | 29:4 42:9 |
| 226:3 | 123:7 301:13 | 240:16 | drag 176:8,9 | 48:21 49:4 |
| Director 111:7 | dissolve 288:12 | dogs 339:7 | dragging 104:6 | 51:16 96:21 |
| disabled 25:20 | distance 40:21 | doing 29:14 | dramatic 156:8 | 98:14 99:10 |
| 117:6 134:11 | 45:15 48:4 | 54:13,16 56:18 | 156:9 | 100:21 111:15 |
| disagree 26:18 | 103:2 108:20 | 57:2 70:10 | dramatically | 116:7 139:19 |
| 27:16 55:4 | 109:6 119:12 | 75:21 76:14 | 149:21 | 160:7 219:17 |
| 87:7 90:7 | 160:22 241:17 | 77:9,11 101:21 | draw 213:8 | 276:4 |
| 300:8 343:1 | 241:21 | 118:5 119:21 | drawing 36:8 | duly 137:12 |
| disappear 87:2 | distinction | 149:11 153:5 | 246:9 252:4 | durable 33:11 |
| disappeared | 310:16 | 156:19 158:14 | drawings 20:20 | dust 301:13 |
| 143:3 | distributed | 162:5,5 168:22 | 254:8 291:22 | dwelling 207:16 |
| disappointed | 287:18 | 176:15,18 | dream 297:2,3 | 207:18 213:16 |
| 11:18 46:18 | distributions | 255:5 266:19 | dreary 107:2 | 214:1,2,7 |
| 110:4 | 21:18 | 287:6 331:10 | Dreier 90:22 | 216:14,16 |
| disaster 57:10 | district 17:9,10 | 335:21 | 91:3,5 | 219:10,14 |


| dwellings | effect 50:17 58:3 | elderly 25:18 | 102:20 122:22 | 263:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 276:10 | 199:15 217:5 | elected 73:12 | emphasis 17:11 | enlargement |
| E | 226:18 | 97:7 | 18:3, | 309:7,9,12 |
| E 2:1 3:1,1 |  |  |  |  |
| e- 199:14 | 153:13 | 346:11 | 288:12 353:9 | nrich 160:18 |
| e-mail 119:5 | efforts 12:13 | Elementary | Employees 1:11 | entering 36:3 |
| 182:3 295:19 | Eggers 123:16 | 107:5 | empty 44:17 | 96:6,7 |
| 295:20 | 123:18,20,20 | elements 15:1 | enables 33:8 | entire 20:10 |
| e-mailed 98:12 | 123:22 124:2,2 | 35:10 40:1 | enacted 148:7 | 44:8 45:9 |
| e-mails 51:22 | ego 160:18 | elevation 35:10 | encompassed | 84:14 93:5 |
| 103:22 193:7 | egregious | 201:9 264:3,17 | 346:5 | 123:11 136:17 |
| 196:22 | 259:22 325:18 | 264:22 265:2 | encourage 76:3 | 161:9 200:9 |
| eager 150:19 | egress 232:13 | 288:8,10,18,22 | 112:6119:19 | 351:13 |
| earlier 6:2 74:18 | 279:15 287:8 | 289:6 347:9 | 155:6 | entirely 90:1 |
| 149:5 175:8 | 348:12 | elevations | encouraging | 127:12,16 |
| 188:16 194:21 | eight 19:6,11,11 | 260:22 264:17 | 76:6 | entitled 74:12 |
| 227:10 233:3 | 23:9 28:20 | elevator 37:4 | encroaching | 114:16 239:15 |
| 314:14 | 32:3,8 54:4 | elevators 108:2 | 331:10 | entitles 337:22 |
| early 39:5 54:22 | 55:4 65:19 | eleven 290:9 | ended 64:9 | entity 22:4 |
| 149:17 | 77:4 86:21 | eleventh 183:7 | ends 288:6 | entrance 27:20 |
| easement 36:17 | 87:1 138:2 | 185:15 | 289:16 | 27:22 28:2,13 |
| 57:4 258:16 | 155:10 169:8 | Eli 238:14 239:6 | energy 13:3 | 35:7,20,21,22 |
| easier 327:18 | 169:11,16,17 | 239:16,19 | 33:20 153:13 | 36:6 135:5,10 |
| east 38:11,16 | 169:18,19 | 240:6,16 | enforce 133:1 | 135:10 329:14 |
| 191:11 | 170:13 230:8 | 241:18 244:9 | enforcement | entries 265:7,10 |
| eastern 276:3,14 | 313:4 338:15 | 244:14 | 223:9 250:16 | 265:11 |
| 276:18,20 | eight- 54:10 | eligibility $12: 1,3$ | 270:2 | entry 263:12 |
| 286:15 322:20 | 121:16 151:2 | 12:12 15:3 | engaged 284:3 | 293:9 |
| 323:2,18 | eight-foot 36:16 | 21:21 136:14 | engagement | envelope 169:11 |
| easy 89:12 | eight-foot-42:6 | eligible 15:6 | 25:2,3 | 259:14,15,17 |
| 319:13 | eight-story | 21:11 | engineer 213:6 | 259:21 261:6 |
| echo 66:9 99:17 | 34:15 35:1 | eliminate 65:8 | 258:9 266:22 | envelopes 51:21 |
| eclectic 303:20 | 54:19,20 | eliminating | engineers 28:10 | environment |
| Ecology 13:5 | 165:20 | 344:21,22 | 239:9 | 22:2 156:13 |
| economic 77:7 | Eisner 121:6,7,9 | Elm 2:5 253:7 | England 299:1 | environmental |
| 100:7 112:1 | 121:10 | 253:16 | enhance 277:8 | 10:22 262:10 |
| 113:21 114:6 | either 10:5 | elongated | 277:12 | envision 37:19 |
| 156:20 215:22 | 21:14 24:21 | 293:12 | enhancement | 110:7 121:16 |
| economically | 53:4 58:13 | eloquently 89:8 | 226:16 228:22 | 128:19 155:1 |
| 219:19 | 63:21 68:6 | Ely 239:14 | 277:9 | 155:14 |
| economics 160:1 | 76:2 125:15 | embrace 75:22 | enhances 344:9 | envisioned |
| 215:16 | 150:13 163:6 | 80:6 97:20 | enjoy 161:12 | 115:13 |
| edge 37:17,17 | 164:19 177:7 | 127:5 | 243:7 331:20 | equipment 37:2 |
| 65:10 171:6 | 246:2 247:4 | emergency 3:11 | 339:6 | 38:2 64:13 |
| editorialize 58:7 | 302:7 307:10 | 3:16 97:19 | enjoyment | equivalent |
| education | 320:4 335:2 | 212:10 | 334:11 | 325:12 |
| 113:21 | either/or 88:7 | emissions | enlarged 259:9 | erect 251:4 |


| erected 83:21 | 183:1 238:9,19 | 40:2 41:17 | experts 89:15,15 | 119:1 258:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| error 15:18 | 257:13 296:4 | 42:4,5 49:4 | 89:20 | eyesore 258:19 |
| 314:14 | everybody's | 87:6 208:8 | expires 353:17 |  |
| especially 72:9 | 182:7 | 209:13 210:9,9 | explain 149:6 | F |
| 82:15 107:20 | evicted 142:5 | 210:10,11,12 | 163:6 164:3 | f 4:17 |
| 120:9 226:21 | evidence 20:16 | 210:12 227:18 | 276:17 | F-31:5 |
| essentially | 148:9,11 213:5 | 246:12 247:16 | explanation | fabricators |
| 134:13 152:5 | evidenced | 258:6,19 259:8 | 171:18 | 239:10 |
| 260:6 299:13 | 120:12 | 259:13,21,22 | exponentially | façade 67:7 |
| established 9:6 | exacerbate | 260:7,9,13,22 | 78:2 165:11 | 158:20 163:22 |
| 111:11 232:15 | 226:17 | 261:4,13,16 | express 115:12 | 241:8,9,15 |
| 234:17 279:16 | exact 86:22 | 263:18 277:13 | 119:1 | 247:2 276:3,18 |
| 348:14 | exactly $115: 12$ | 285:3,6,15,16 | expressed | 276:20 277:12 |
| establishing | 122:3 197:1 | 286:9,16 287:7 | 147:20 223:1 | 288:10,19 |
| 245:1 | 248:5 350:18 | 287:11,14,17 | expresses 10:15 | 289:1 |
| estate 8:2 | exaggerated | 287:20 288:2,3 | extend 325:15 | façades 302:4 |
| estimate 39:15 | 38:13 45:4 | 288:22 289:14 | 329:4 | face 87:6 126:4 |
| estimation 85:6 | example 11:22 | 290:5,8 309:1 | extended 285:1 | 142:19 244:8 |
| et 179:7 | 13:15 82:17 | 310:16 311:4,9 | 285:10 287:18 | 277:18 297:5 |
| Eve 175:13,15 | 172:17 | 313:13 317:2 | extending 261:9 | faces 146:20 |
| evening 7:22 | exceeding 262:2 | 320:5 322:21 | extends 323:1 | 168:9 293:14 |
| 21:2 31:4 49:7 | exceeds 286:22 | 332:8,11 | extension 288:1 | 339:1 |
| 84:20 85:9,16 | exception 39:4 | 333:15 344:20 | 308:17,22 | facilitate 10:17 |
| 86:15 87:20 | 116:16 212:9 | 345:21 346:14 | 337:5 338:6 | facing 88:19 |
| 91:16 92:5 | 248:12 | 346:15 347:5 | 340:17 | 209:3,4 239:1 |
| 102:9 107:13 | exceptionally | existing.' 332:12 | extensive 299:16 | 297:11 298:7 |
| 133:8 176:20 | 23:20 25:20 | exists 42:15 | extent 12:14 | fact 6:1 15:7 |
| 189:8 207:7 | 27:7 | exit 36:3 212:10 | 179:4 184:13 | 20:16 27:9 |
| 257:15,16,17 | exchange 219:7 | exiting 41:5,7 | 190:8 239:20 | 41:15 55:12 |
| 274:5 275:19 | excited 126:19 | 96:7,7 | 240:17 255:15 | 68:3 80:3 97:2 |
| 284:16 339:4 | excuse 8:9 18:20 | expand 99:14 | 305:19 307:8 | 135:2 147:19 |
| 350:12 | 18:22 29:22 | 284:22 331:12 | 317:5 320:8 | 158:3 159:13 |
| event 342:10 | 44:13 45:20 | expanding | exterior 212:9 | 160:1 163:7 |
| events 3:16,17 | 48:7 103:20 | 305:4 | 212:14 286:22 | 164:12 197:20 |
| 87:21 | 145:5 208:18 | expanse 297:9 | 288:18 289:12 | 210:1 217:10 |
| eventual 112:4 | 218:11 310:13 | expect 50:17 | 304:18 329:8 | 217:15 225:18 |
| ever- 319:10 | 324:2 | 249:19 | 344:1 | 247:6 270:16 |
| Eversource | Executive 3:13 | expecting 49:3,5 | extra 162:6 | 283:7 292:19 |
| 28:16 | 111:7 | 80:10 | 211:11 212:7 | 310:8 343:21 |
| everybody | exemptions 84:7 | expense 10:8 | 219:15 225:18 | factor 24:13 |
| 16:11 26:19,20 | exercise 199:2 | experience | 263:17 264:8 | factors 158:17 |
| 30:21 47:20 | exhausted 77:22 | 29:11 56:14 | extraordinarily | facts 174:4 |
| 83:16 94:6 | 105:19 | 150:3 | 75:16 | 232:16 |
| 114:16 129:2 | exhibiting 333:6 | experienced | extrapolate 62:3 | Failing 319:20 |
| 134:9,15 | exist 40:4 | 20:15 77:8 | extravagant | fails 254:20 |
| 139:10 144:13 | 258:11 | 79:5 | 263:11 | failure 343:7 |
| 152:13 154:3 | existing 30:3 | experiencing | extreme 101:14 | fair 154:17,21 |
| 162:2 174:5 | 36:22 38:10,22 | 77:5 | extremely 26:11 | 293:15 |


| fairly 31:22 | 306:4 309:15 | 146:17 154:1 | 210:1 226:12 | filings 200:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 244:13 248:9 | 310:1 311:18 | 166:21 167:16 | 226:21 285:15 | fill 315:10 |
| Fairmont 118:1 | 313:12 341:1 | 172:4 218:6 | 285:21 289:2 | filled 172:20 |
| fairness 200:14 | Farris 107:12,13 | 326:8 339:13 | Fennel 85:18,20 | finally $77: 13$ |
| faith $88: 3$ | 107:15,15 | 347:17 | 85:20 | 103:8 142:2 |
| fall 38:18 214:4 | farther 108:21 | feeling 77:4 | Ferraro 94:18 | 208:2 296:19 |
| 308:7 | fast 40:3 339:17 | feelings 84:2 | 94:19,21 96:11 | finances 149:6 |
| falls 310:3 | fast- $31: 15$ | feels 168:10 | fervent 91:15 | financial 65:4 |
| familiar 8:14 | fast-food 50:10 | feet 17:18 18:10 | fervently 70:2 | 65:10,14 149:6 |
| 17:10 39:20 | father 283:22 | 18:10 29:17 | fewer 34:2 | 157:6,18 163:1 |
| 95:20 316:13 | fault 197:8 | 36:5 41:11 | 127:14 139:16 | 170:16,22 |
| families 23:14 | 200:11 238:20 | 55:20 80:17 | field 51:21 | 171:3,6 179:6 |
| 27:12 37:22 | favor 9:19 10:16 | 82:3,8,13,20 | Fields 283:3 | 184:14 324:10 |
| 51:3 72:5 | 11:5 89:14,20 | 116:6 133:4 | 284:9,14,17 | financially |
| 73:21 88:2 | 104:1,2,19 | 134:20 135:3 | 290:4,7,13 | 128:15 215:14 |
| 96:7 98:2,17 | 131:19 146:12 | 147:1,1 149:20 | 292:13,20 | 353:10 |
| 99:13 109:14 | 149:9 179:9 | 149:22 163:10 | 293:6 294:4,9 | Finch 51:9 |
| 111:18,22 | 184:17 186:20 | 163:16,19 | 294:16,20 | 57:14 |
| 120:15 123:7 | 202:16 218:4 | 168:17 208:3 | 296:4 | find 4:8 $22: 6$ |
| 154:19 162:14 | 220:9 236:4,18 | 210:6 214:1,2 | fifth 207:11 | 44:19 47:16 |
| 332:10 | 248:17 280:17 | 226:10 241:2,3 | 228:21 | 78:9 87:21 |
| family 18:4 | 280:20 281:1,4 | 242:12,13 | fight 77:18 | 111:17 114:19 |
| 54:13 55:8 | 301:19 317:12 | 246:17 249:6 | 160:9 | 115:4 116:21 |
| 67:21 68:3 | 339:16 345:16 | 251:4 258:7,14 | figure 30:6 76:5 | 117:2 118:8 |
| 103:10 107:22 | favorably 27:3 | 258:14,14,15 | 125:7 151:13 | 120:16 133:21 |
| 130:22 161:7 | feasibility 65:5 | 260:2 261:14 | 170:18 292:17 | 154:11 155:15 |
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