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(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the March 25, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on the City of Cambridge online meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. In due course, there will also be a transcript of these
proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally speakers will have up to three minutes to speak. I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here. SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey present. SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura Wernick? LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick present. SISIA DAGLIAN: Our transcriptionist is present. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present. SISIA DAGLIAN: And -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair is still
present.

Okay. We're going to start with continued cases, a couple of them. These are cases that have started at an earlier date, but for one reason or another were continued until this evening. I ask that we do that, assuming that before it's before 6:30. We'll then turn to our regular agenda.
(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the first continued case I'm going to call is Case Number 100817 -- 56 Churchill Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SISIA DAGLIAN: They're not here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nobody's on?

SISIA DAGLIAN: They're not here?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, we -- this case is going to be further continued, or there is a request to be further continued, to be precise. We received a letter from the new Council for the petitioner, and she wrote, "I am writing to request a continuance for the special permit hearing for tonight's hearing."

She was Councillor Morgan Kemp -- K-e-m-p -- just recently hired by the property owner, and is a new architect as well. They're asking I think for a month. Do we have room a month from now, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, we could do -- the earliest we have is May 13.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: May 13?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:30? 6:30 p.m. on May 13, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and that was done with regard to the prior continuance. That condition has been satisfied.

Two, that a new posting sign -- or if possible a modified posting sign disclosing the new date, May 13 and the new time 6:30 -- and that sign must be maintained for the 14 days prior to the hearing in May.

And lastly, to the extent there are new or revised plans, drawings, legal memoranda or the like, they must be in our files, in the city's files, no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before the May hearing date.

Brendan, how do you vote on this motion to continue?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
continuing.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to continuing. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to continuing. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to continuing. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And I vote yes as well, this case is continued.

But I am going to ask Sisia to contact the petitioner and/or this Council. This is the second continuance on this case and we have a policy of not continuing cases more than twice. So they better be ready the next time around, because unless there are extraordinary circumstances, there will be no more continuances for this case.

Okay, moving onto the next case.
(6:07 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 105802 -- 383 Windsor Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening. Mr. Chairman, I saw the startled expression on your face, sorry. Sarah Rhatigan here from Trilogy Law. I am actually here representing the petitioner this evening. And yes, I have not been on this case previously.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's correct.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm here representing the petitioner, Jamison Rogers. Hello, everyone. Mr. Rogers is here as well, and the architect is here as well. Adam is here as well. I believe he is a panelist; I can't see him, but I think you can see him as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Sarah, you're aware of course -- maybe that's why you're in the case -- that there was neighborhood opposition, particularly to the rear
of the property involved.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Exactly, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I gather from the new plans in, that it would appear -- I'm asking you to confirm -- that the matters still not have (sic) been agreed to by the people in the rear; they're still -- and we have letters in our files -- new letters, objecting to what is being proposed.

SARAH RHATIGAN: That is what I understand as well. And yes, that is in part the reason that you're seeing me here this evening.

So what I was going to ask -- I understand that the matter was not actually opened as a hearing the last time, and I was hoping to just clarify that, so that this was -- it was opened as a full matter heard the first hearing.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh yes, oh yes.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: It was unheard; unheard case.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is it unheard? I think this was a case heard.

ADAM GLASSMAN: No, no, it was actually un -- you
advised us to continue the case without being heard because it made rescheduling more complicated.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Doesn't change any of the consequences tonight, anyway. Okay.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. And I did just want to ask that question just in terms of -- you know, kind of procedurally. You know, in terms of the posture.

But I do understand that the Board at least probably saw the plans and heard, you know, quite a bit of discussion from the folks in the back about concerns about the original set of plans that were filed.

So what I was hoping today to do -- we realize you have a long night ahead of you and we want to try to kind of streamline our discussion as best we can.

But what I'd like to do is to describe to you what Mr. Rogers has done in terms of both trying to develop plans for this property, the reasons for that, the reasons for his hardship and the reasons for requiring a variance and -- not requesting, requesting -- a variance of the Board.

And to also describe to you the public outreach that he has tried to endeavor to undertake with his neighbors, and where we understand that is today. Okay?

And then obviously I understand that the neighbors will want -- I'm assuming are on the call and will want a chance to speak, and I would just ask if we could have an opportunity to respond.

We have not been able to engage in any direct communication with them, and that's not because we haven't tried. We have actually had a lot of efforts to try to outreach, but there has not been a desire for them to communicate. So just bear with us. I know that you don't like that to have happened, but that's not been on our part.

So here we go. So this is a property that is, you know, preexisting nonconforming, originally built as a fourunit Workers Cottage when originally constructed. Each of these were tiny units at that time -- I can't remember the construction date but, you know, in the 1800 s the unit sizes were 638 square feet, 650 square feet.

It was a very, very small structure with two interior staircases. So when you look at it in terms of from a construction point of view, there's not a lot you can do with it. I mean one could try to reclaim the status as a four-unit.

What happened at some point in the -- probably the

1980s, and probably as a result of rent control -- is that a previous owner had pulled out a kitchen and called it a three-unit, although we probably suspect it was being used illegally as a four-unit dwelling.

But at the time that Mr. Rogers purchased the home, it was sold to him as a three-unit dwelling, although it was structurally constructed -- you know, it still looked like a four-unit dwelling with these tiny, you know, four units of, you know, dwelling units.

This is all to say that the plan for Mr. Rogers has been to turn this into a property that would be a threeunit property for family-sized, reasonable, you know, good, solid family units that where he and his family could live. He ideally would like to be in the top unit, but also have rental income.

And the renovation project, that would be -- you know, historically sensitive. He chose an architect that would really what $I$ consider to be really beautiful drawings with kind of historically sensitive context, and trying to essentially kind of match the house to its left, as you face it.
The constraints on the site are obvious. It's a
very undersized lot. So, you know, the lot lines on the side are narrow. And the first set of plans did have some rear extension, so that there was some nonconformity at the rear of the lot.

And so, the concerns that the neighbors who lived behind him had with respect to privacy, because of the decks that extended into the back did make quite a bit of sense. And after that hearing, there was an effort by Mr. Rogers to outreach to sort of talk about, you know, what are the concerns?

And as I mentioned, there wasn't a willingness to engage in dialogue, but by looking at what had been submitted in writing and listening to what had been discussed at the hearing, there was an inference that what really needed to happen is all the nonconforming at the rear of the house needed to be removed from the project.

So the plans that you have before you today -- and I was going to ask if Sisia, if you could put the plans up on the screen so we could just kind of walk through the images?

What you're going to see in terms of revisions to the project are really -- again -- all of the nonconforming
at the rear of the property is being removed. So this is the existing site plan. It's very hard to see, but at the back there's some -- there's like a ramp and some structure at the back that it's in the rear setback that is being removed.

There's also kind of an ugly fence that actually is encroaching on the neighbor's lot. That is the -- it's existing chain-link fence. That all is going to be removed. I think it's approximately a foot and a half over onto the neighbor's lot. That's all going to be removed, and then, you know, fixed with a new, nice fence that will be inside our lot line.

Next slide, please?
This is just a good image of the house, and then its surrounding houses.

Sisia, if you don't mind, if you could go --
there's a rendering page that's -- I think Slide Number 5. So if you can just stay here for just a moment.

So the -- so just in terms of the, you know, the variance relief, so what we have now is the expansion of the third level, which is what is going to enable Mr. Rogers to essentially create three flat units. And this is what
enables essentially three family-sized units of housing.
And these are not grand, you know, sized units.
We're talking I think the unit sizes are about 1100 square feet. There are three bedrooms, but not -- you know, again, the floor plans you have in the file are what $I$ would consider, you know, nice sized but not, you know, grandiose. The basement is we're seeking special permits to exempt the basement square footage. The basement would be used really as storage. It's not an ideal situation to, you know, some developers would just blow out the basement and try to create -- you know, kind of underground apartments here.

And that is not the -- that's not the desire of this owner. He doesn't want to create underground, you know, subpar housing. He wants to -- you know, he wants to have, again, you know, family -- you know, solid housing for the community living at this --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sarah?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did I understand you to say -- maybe I misunderstood -- that you're going to be seeking a special permit with regard to the basement?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. It is in the application material.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not for this application; it's not advertised.

SARAH RHATIGAN: It has --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The only special permit that's advertised --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the language I see -- I
apologize. Now, I didn't prepare the application, but I'm looking at the description. It says, "proposed exclusion of basement from a calculation of the GFA."

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The advertisement that I have says that you're -- seeking a special permit -- your client -- a variance as well, but a special permit for the windows, the window changes.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Exclusion of the basement is in the application.

SARAH RHATIGAN: And then the -- Mr. Chairman -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're right, I'm sorry -I misunderstood --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the sections --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're correct. I
apologize.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, when you see the section -oh, okay, good. You see it? The last thing, Article 2, Section GFA, 16 basement exemptions for GFA?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: You did see that? Yep, okay, good. Yeah. It's not up at the top. So I'm sorry. The basement exemption.

So again, so the not -- you know, a suitable place to, you know, essentially create the living unit in the basement, because it is a, you know, well below- grade basement area with, you know, of questionable conditions down there.

Could you advance the slide, Sisia? Just another view of the rendering.

Thank you. You can continue just with the views. You can see the context of how this would be viewed from the street.

You can continue through the slides.

If you could stop here? This is just a good view of the changes to the roofline.

And then if you could, Sisia, advance to the --
towards the end are some shadow studies. Actually the pictures, I'm sorry. Thank you. Okay, great, this is perfect.

So I just want to kind of jump -- you know, jump
ahead to the -- unless there are any further discussions, you will -- obviously Board members will ask any questions about the plan that you'd like to, but $I$ think that the main events or the main attraction would be the -- you know, kind of questions about what the concerns are from the neighbors' point of view.

So what you see here are various angles of the concerns from the neighbor's point of view. So the brown -the dark brown house is our house, the one that's being modified. And so, you can see the views from the back behind, which is from -- the owners are the Union Street's properties.

And there are some shadow studies that we provided. And the change to the roofline is -- from what you can see from the shadow studies, it's frankly not going to really have much impact, if at all, on those shadows that already exist.

Because these -- the structures already have quite
a bit of shadow impact because of how they're situated and how they exist.

That house to the right of ours you can see how it, you know, how it sits. Our structure is essentially going to be the same as that one. And, you know, the real impact kind of already exists, if you will.

So the change in the roofline, you can see it pretty well from the shadow studies, doesn't have a large impact if at all. It's very difficult to see it.

Now the view of houses that are impacted you can see it on the top, in terms of, you know, which properties folks live in. Then you can see the conditions of the rear yard, in terms of the chain link fence that we're talking about removing. That area is going to be landscaped in a much, you know, more -- you know, lovely way, that hopefully will improve conditions.

Could you move along to the shadow studies?
Actually, this is a good view. This one you can just see the distance that we're talking about between the buildings at the rear area, and you can also just see where the fence is going to be moved.

And the next view, please?

This is just getting a rendering of what the roof change will look like. And just to point out the changes that -- so by removing those rear decks, so what you're seeing there with the little balconies and the windows there, those are all conforming on the conforming wall. Now, they're within the setbacks, or they were outside of the setbacks, I should say.

Sisia, if you don't mind if you could -- yep,
thank you. You can just proceed through the views. We may come back to these if we want for further discussion. Okay, thank you.

And with these, I'll just ask that if you don't mind, Sisia, if you could just walk through these. So these are just the -- you know, the typical different times of the year. So summer --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would like to go back to the rear of the structure and the balconies -- the so-called Juliet balconies.

SARAH RHATIGAN: So, sure, mm-hm. The pictures -the photos or the renderings, rather? So that would be -- so maybe just after Sisia's done looking through these?
[Pause]

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thanks, Sisia. Is that a good view of it?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. I'd like to see the plans, though. Why don't I -- let me --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, sure. I can get to that page.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, if you can confirm something for me.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The balconies going to be I think roughly two feet six inches deep. But it's also going to be --

SARAH RHATIGAN: I think that's correct, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- almost 15 feet long, if I'm reading the plans right. Which means that you can't have a big party on that deck. You can have several people congregating sitting around in the chair on the deck, and that -- on each level -- and that goes to the heart of the privacy concerns and noise concerns that the people in the rear are objecting to.

Why do you need -- if you want to have a Juliet balcony, why don't you have a typical Juliet balcony, where
you -- you know, you don't use it as a place to go out and party on, you do get sunlight and whatever architectural improvement?

I'm concerned about that. Maybe my fellow Board members are not, but $I$ am concerned about the need for a 14-foot-long balcony on each level.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Would the idea of breaking that up be something that you would be suggesting?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, why not just one typical Juliet balcony is usually very small. I mean, it's not designed to have people climbing out on it and using it, as I understand it. My builder and architectural friends on the Board can tell me I'm wrong.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no -- [this is Jim Monteverde]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: A typical Juliet balcony in fact has no balcony at all. It's really a railing affixed to the façade of the building.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You can open the door, which in this case looking at the plan if $I$ read them correctly,
they're sliding doors, and that you -- there is no outdoor space. So you really can't congregate outside.

So I think that's what the Chair is described as really the Juliet is in fact not a balcony; there is no outdoor space. Yes, the doors can open whether it's all both pair for the 15 -foot or $14-f o o t$, why or one of them; I think that's the difference that's being discussed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are other Board members concerned about the size of -- the nature of these balconies, besides myself?

ANDREA HICKEY: Hi. It's Andrea Hickey here. I am concerned about the length of the balcony. If I could ask Council just to refresh my memory, how deep are these proposed balconies?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: They're two feet six inches, roughly.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And I was also going to ask Mr. Glassman to chime in on that. I think that's correct.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So that really does mean to me that people could stand out there, and I -granted, you couldn't have a big party, but people could be
out there using that space and infringing on the privacy of the neighbor. Is there a way to pull those spaces back so that they are true Juliet balconies?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I -- I mean answering, I mean, of course it's difficult when we're not all in the same room, but I'm seeing texts from everybody. I'm seeing texts from Mr. Rogers saying yes, we can pull this back to make it, you know, --quote, unquote-- "more of a true Juliet balcony," yes.

ANDREA HICKEY: That would be a meaningful amendment to the proposal, from my perspective.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And it would be from mine as well.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's why I raised it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep, Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How would you -- what -we'd have, if we're going to approve this tonight, we have to -- you know, these are not on the plans now.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What would be the dimensions of this Juliet balcony?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right. I think that would be -that would be, you know, something that we're happy to, you know, hear suggestions on. You know, the -- when we were looking at the two-foot-six, $I$ think we were thinking just something where, you know, somebody can get a breath of fresh air, you know, they can stand with a coffee cup. We weren't thinking a party, honestly.

But not so that they could put a chair out there, right? So two-six is too small for a chair, but it's enough for standing out with a coffee cup.

But, you know, Adam, did you have thoughts of what --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- what you would recommend as something smaller but, you know, not as big as two-six?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah, I mean the two-six includes the guardrail. So when you get past that, there really is no room for a chair.

And the one benefit we saw of these not true Juliet balconies, but very shallow balconies is that there could be potted plants produced -- you know, providing another level of screening and privacy. We could pull these
decks back and just call it -- you know, maybe if we call it 16 inches, we could still get a couple of potted plants out there, but if the Board felt strongly about creating true Juliet balconies with no occupiable deck space at all, then we can do that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what we're all driving at, Mr. Glassman. How do we do it with respect to the plans?

ADAM GLASSMAN: We can call -- we can -- you can note, as Chair, you can note the two sticks to be call it six inches, just so we have some room to mount a balcony -to mount a guardrail structure in front of the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I didn't quite understand what you just said.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Where we show that the 30 -inchdeep balcony, if you can note it as one foot, that would allow us to have the room we need to mount a guardrail to the side of the house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So one foot deep -- I'm going to call, "deep" -- one foot from the back of the building to the edge of the railing?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That just means we have enough
space to get a guardrail. And it won't be a foot deep, but if we're just saying, you know, we have this space to get into there and put a guardrail up mounted to the side of the house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And what about the length?
ADAM GLASSMAN: We can reduce the length.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
ADAM GLASSMAN: We can reduce the length.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: To what?
ADAM GLASSMAN: How's 12 feet?
LAURA WERNICK: You could make, or you could make two -- well, 2 six-foot, seven-foot -- 2 seven-foot --

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's even better. We'll break
it up in half and do 2 seven-foot Juliet balconies.
Because, again, they're not real balconies. We're just talking about guardrails.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right, agree.
ANDREA HICKEY: Well, so what will divide the two spaces, then?

LAURA WERNICK: The railings.
ANDREA HICKEY: So there will be --
ADAM GLASSMAN: There will be a railing mount to
each of the -- each of the doors. So one can open the door and not fall out.

So the fact [is] that the doors would remain 2 six-foot doors for light and sun, and we'd mount a guardrail over the front of it. So there is no more balcony, it's just a guardrail.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I for one would not like -

- I think it is still too long. I think, you know, you want an area where one person can get fresh air to put out plants. We're not to have --

LAURA WERNICK: Gus, Gus, I think all that's being suggested here is that there would be a one-foot, not -about, we have probably with the railing less than a foot where you could put plants. And it would only be just larger than the door opening.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Right.
LAURA WERNICK: So you can open up the door, reach out, water your plants, and it's only -- the window openings are six feet. This would be -- whatever, six or eight inches either side of the door opening.

ADAM GLASSMAN: There will be no room for plants,

```
really. We're not even trying to accommodate plants
anymore. These are true Juliet balconies. There will be no
balcony deck flooring.
```

    JIM MONTEVERDE: So -- this is Jim Monteverde
    again -- so each pair of six-foot wide slider doors will
actually have its own Juliet balcony? Correct?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah, just a guardrail for --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right, correct.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So instead of seeing one 14-foot-
8 long guardrail, it will be -- well, do you think it will
be split into two segments, one for each door?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Probably.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah. I would say that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. That would seem to work.
It breaks up the scale of it, it basically doesn't let
anyone go out there to -- if that's the privacy concern of
the neighborhoods. It seems to solve a couple issues.
ADAM GLASSMAN: and I think it's a fair tradeoff,
because this work is actually by right, and we're taking it
out of the project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: When we get to making a motion, I'm going to ask you, Jim, to handle that part of the balcony so we -- I'm sure I'll muff it up. But -JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I don't know.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- but you seem to be describing, so that the Building Department will know what they're working with.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Again, assuming we approve the relief being sought?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay with you? JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Turning to
something else but still in the rear yard, I was -- I must compliment you, Mr. Glassman, and your client with the seven-foot rear wood privacy fence.

And I think that's a good gesture, and I'm going to incorporate that in the opinion as well; that it has to be built and maintained in connection with the structure itself.

But with that, I have no other questions that I
want to ask. Brendan, do you have anything you want to say? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I don't. [Brendan Sullivan] I don't have any questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim, anything else you want anything else, more you want to add?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. [This is Jim Monteverde.]
I do have a question. I don't see anywhere in this submission what the height -- what the clear height in the basement is.

I'm struggling with what the request for the special permit to exclude the basement, because I assume you need that reduction in the GFA square footage in order to get you the third-floor addition.

So what I'm trying to understand is is the basement less than seven-foot tall now? And I'm assuming not. Otherwise, you would need to --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right, it's not. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- exclude it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So what I --
SARAH RHATIGAN: I can answer. But no, it's not -

- it is not less than seven feet, which is why the request
for the special permit --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So the question really is -- and it's in the special permit language, but why should we be inclined to grant the exclusion if really what that allows you to do is add on the third floor?

Because without the exclusion, you just can't do that. You're already way over the FAR. You'd be even worse. You'd be blatantly over the FAR, and I'm sure there would be a discussion about that.

So -- and I see in the -- I think it was the letter from the proponent that the space will be used currently for storage, but in the future it could be improved and used as a family room, which means it then turns into FAR that just throws the whole -- you know, square footage way above the FAR allowed for the particular lot.

So my problem is just is there any way to limit or to restrict how that could be used in the future, or is that not possible?

ADAM GLASSMAN: I would say a condition could be one of the conditions of this project is that the basement will remain unfinished and un --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- occupied.
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- unoccupied, unless a petitioner
comes back to the Zoning Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, is that reasonable to be able to do?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no problem with that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll try to when I frame the motion, try to accomplish what you've pulled out from this. Yes, no problem.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that would help me.
Because otherwise my concern would be, you know, two months from now, we'll come back and do the addition for the basement apartment. Once you've already gotten the third floor, now it's actually four stories tall. And I really -that would make me extremely uncomfortable.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think if we can create some language, $I$ think that would be great.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll try my hand when we get to the motion stage.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And --
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, and Mr. Monteverde, I would also say that, you know, the petitioner would be fine with that. But in line with his intentions anyway. You know, he wouldn't want to have to pour concrete on the floor to, you know, lower the ceiling height. Because that's his intention in terms of the use of the space anyway. I think, you know, that makes a lot of sense.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That's the only question $I$ had.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, I have no questions, just a comment along the lines of what Mr. Monteverde raised. So just to be clear, the condition that you'd be imposing regarding this basement doesn't prohibit the owner from coming back to us to request that that space be reactivated, correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, sure.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. All right. I -- do you -I'm sorry, go ahead.

SARAH RHATIGAN: The only thing I did want to just
confirm with Mr. Glassman and the client is the current basement plan -- I'm just flipping through to see how it reads.

It currently reads, "Unfinished. Basement to remain unfinished." I'm just confirming that there wasn't -and the storage areas would be, you know, literal storage areas. So as long as the plans could essentially remain the same.

ANDREA HICKEY: Is there a way that we could clarify that the unfinished space may not be used for a living area?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, I think we can do that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- as a condition to the relief --

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- that's being sought, the exclusion. There's always a question of enforceability.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I understand that, but if this is the intention, and if we're making a tradeoff giving the top floor for taking away the use of the basement in the
calculations, then I'd like to clarify that it's -- our approval is conditioned on that area not being used for a living area without further relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: When we get to the motion stage, I'll try my best.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And you guys can chip in.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. I have nothing
further.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Any questions, comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: You need to unmute.

LAURA WERNICK: No, I have no further questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're all set? Okay, and I've shot my wad already, so I have nothing more to add. So I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony. Well just, I guess Sarah, deal a little bit with the special permit, besides the exclusion of the basement from the calculation of GFA.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Talk a little bit about the new and enlarged windows, and why it should not be a
problem in terms of impact on the neighboring properties?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, sure. If we could look at there's a side elevation on the right side of the structure.

Yeah. Perfect. Thank you, Sisia. That's great. Okay. So this is the side that requires the special permit. Actually, I think the other side may have some slight modifications. So we'll look at that side as well. But this is the one that has more modifications.

So you can see the changes here from the -- you know, the left side is the existing, the right side is the proposed. There are less windows proposed, but centrally, you know, located.

I believe that these windows are -- I'm pretty sure these windows are located in the dining room area of these units?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And -- that's right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Has the petitioner
received any comments or -- regarding from the neighbors who are affected by this, given it's a densely populated neighborhood -- about impact on their privacy or -- well, mainly the privacy?

SARAH RHATIGAN: There's --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I didn't see anything in
the file, so $I$ want to know --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- there's been no specific -yeah, there's no specifically about this. From observation, my understanding is that the -- you know, the houses are close. Obviously, you see from the photos the houses are relatively close.

And I think that folks tend to keep their shades pulled on their side of the house, as it is. I think by centrally locating them, it may actually improve privacy conditions for the neighbor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I know that's not answering your question. You said have you gotten any feedback? We haven't gotten any feedback. But if you see the -- you know, to the extent that on the existing conditions there's some windows towards the front of the house that will be eliminated, you know, perhaps that will improve some of the privacy concerns.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. I don't think neighbors would ever complain about the elimination of
windows.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I'm not concerned about
that.
SARAH RHATIGAN: That I haven't seen before,
right? And then the changes on the opposite side of the house --

LAURA WERNICK: Can I just ask one question on
this side of the house? Is the basement window, it looks like they're relocating the most forward basement window, is that right?

ADAM GLASSMAN: No, that's -- it's -- I'm not sure why it looks like that, but we will not be touching the basement.

LAURA WERNICK: Oh, okay, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I think I will now open this -- unless Board members want to speak further at this time, I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony. Okay. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So I'll take a moment to see if there's anyone who wishes to speak.
[Pause]
I guess we have someone. Okay. Sisia? SISIA DAGLIAN: Melena Caton?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is he there? Maybe he left. Wouldn't be the first time we've had people do that. SISIA DAGLIAN: Do you want to go on to Karen Von BISMARCK?

KAREN VON BISMARCK: I'm here. Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, we can hear you. It would be better if you spoke up a little bit louder. We can hear you, but not that loudly.

KAREN VON BISMARCK: Okay. I'll send to semiscream. Hi.

THE REPORTER: Name and address, please?
KAREN VON BISMARCK: Pardon? My address? 48 Union Street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are you someone who abuts to the rear of the structure?

KAREN VON BISMARCK: To the rear of the structure, yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anyway, the floor is yours. What would you like to say?

KAREN VON BISMARCK: Thank you. First of all, to the Chairman, I would like to thank you for your empathy as far as the privacy issues go. I appreciate your putting yourself a little bit in our gardens.

I would like to correct an impression I think that was perhaps left with the Board by this hearing that I and the other abutters to the rear involved have been uncooperative. I think that was the impression given. I have responded to every e-mail to the petitioners that I received.

I did decline to -- if you will -- negotiate with them directly, because I'm not a developer, I'm not an architect, I'm certainly not an attorney. I'm actually a writer and I run a non-profit organization. So that's my background.

I live at 48 Union, and when $I$ stand in my yard and look at that building and imagine it with, let's say a -- you know, 14-foot or even 12 feet of sliding doors opening
straight down onto my rather pretty little garden, thanks to the people who lived here before me, with that apple tree and a grape arbor and so forth -- it just gives me the chills.

Also the way the roof structure will be changed is really going to blot out a lot of light, because of being -because of the proximity. You know, I mean, that's just the way perspectives work, right? If you're standing right up against something, it blots out a lot more sky than if you're -- you know, half a mile away until you're right up against it.

So, you know, when I looked at these plans, I was really kind of thinking, "Who, I'm going to have to move if that happens. It's just not going to be comfortable here anymore."

I don't -- really don't know where things stand legally. I don't understand exactly what the concept of hardship is here, either. You have a perfectly good house that could be renovated within the -- within its existing envelope.

So, you know, this just -- as a person who works with words rather than legalities, "hardship" to me hardly
seems to apply.
I've looked carefully at the plans and floor
plans. These are going to be three apartments with three bedrooms and three baths each. Now, your average Cambridge growing family doesn't need three -- you know, a bathroom per bedroom. I certainly didn't grow up in this city that way, and I did grow up in Cambridge, actually. I went to almost every public school in this town.

And so, I look at that and I think, you know, these are just going to be, you know, wealthy old people or maybe graduate students splitting up spaces. The petitioner says that he wants to live there, and I think that would be great.

By the way, I have nothing against the petitioner or the architect, except for the fact that somebody came in to my yard and my neighbor's yard and took pictures while I was there without asking permission, without giving any warning ahead of time, and $I$ thought that wasn't very helpful behavior under the circumstances.

When I saw that person, $I$ threw on a jacket and tried to go out, because I thought, "Well, this is an opportunity for us to at least -- well, we can't shake
hands, we stay a foot at least face to face --" but that person was already on their way into a car. So that didn't happen.

I didn't care for that behavior. I also didn't care for the fact that both I and my two neighbors -- my two abutting neighbors -- feel quite certain that we were not reached out to by the petitioner before we heard from the city later on and just in a -- you know, regular mailing, which easily could have been overlooked.

We hadn't heard any -- we hadn't had any outreach.
And I'm familiar with outreach because other neighbors around here have improved their properties, and they've gone through the trouble of sending up letters and explaining what was going on. And that there has been no friction whatsoever.

Let me say this, it's the first time in my life that I've ever been caught up in a situation like this. I don't consider myself a spoilsport for anyone. It's just that these plans are really going to change the day-to-day feel living in my house, and that goes particularly for my neighbors losing space as well.

I don't want to take up a lot of your time, you
have the plans in front of you, we don't need to walk through them again. But that's just kind of a statement of how I feel.

I don't understand why the basement area, it can't actually be creatively used rather than going up a story. The house directly across the street from this house is done exactly that, it's a two-story house that has developed its basement area in order to increase the available living space, and it looks quite nice.

I guess that's all I have to say. Again, I appreciate the concern for privacy. I continue to feel that, you know, the third story is an intrusion. It doesn't meet my definition of what a hardship would be, and that also that the -- as the Chair correctly pointed out -- the width of those balconies, whether they're divided into two 12-foot or whatever, you know, they're still going to invite people to open those sliding doors, and hang out on a summer evening.

That sure is heck is what $I$ would do if I were living there.

Okay. I think I'm done. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to try to answer.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the time to speak to us tonight. I would just make one comment, in that this is a dilemma our Board faces every time we have a meeting.

Cambridge is a densely populated city for the most part, with many older structures. And trying to update those structures, at the same time not impinging upon the privacy of the neighboring property sort of not being updated or the like, is a difficult chore for us. We try our best. And I'm sure we don't always succeed. But thank you again for taking the time to speak.

LAURA WERNICK: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Could I ask Mr. Glassman a question?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure!
ADAM GLASSMAN: Go ahead.
LAURA WERNICK: Is there a solid bench between the two yards at this point, or is it just the chain-link?

ADAM GLASSMAN: There is definitely the chain-link fence, and then there's moments where I think there might be additional boards up, but not on our side. There's various kind of structures on the abutter's side. There's some kind of grape arbor, I think -- random material. So there is not
a real either landscape buffer or solid fence buffer. And we're proposing to do both.

LAURA WERNICK: I'm just -- in terms of the shadows --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Mm-hm.
LAURA WERNICK: -- if there was an existing solid
fence there, it would appear that the house would not cast any additional shadow beyond the existing solid fence. So that's why I was asking the question.

ADAM GLASSMAN: It's a fence that's covered up with a lot of -- like I said -- vines and other kind of materials on the abutter's side.

LAURA WERNICK: So it already in fact casts a shadow onto the yard?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Much of it does, yeah.
KAREN VON BISMARCK: No!
LAURA WERNICK: No, it doesn't? That's what I'm trying to get at, to understand.

KAREN VON BISMARCK: I didn't realize I wasn't muted, excuse me.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, if we look at the photo of her back yard from Jamie's side, you can see what the fence
looks like and what you can see through. So there's the chain-link, and then there's a stockade fence on the abutting property.

LAURA WERNICK: So would the proposed fence be about the same height as the stockade fence?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, we thought a taller fence would actually be better for all, in that $I$ would provide additional privacy, and it really wouldn't impact the shadows much.

And behind the fence, we were going to put a tall row of evergreens slightly taller than the fence. So --

LAURA WERNICK: I mean, I think that's what's going to cause the shadow. And just going back to your shadow study at the 8:00 a.m. summer morning --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure. I mean, we don't --
LAURA WERNICK: -- it's less --
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- we can take out the proposed fence and screening. I know the Chair thought it was a good idea, however, where it's not a --

LAURA WERNICK: Well I think it could be a discussion with Ms. Von Bismarck about an understanding that --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.
LAURA WERNICK: -- there's already shadow -- the
time that the shadow seems to be the worst is --
ADAM GLASSMAN: I mean --
LAURA WERNICK: -- summer mornings. And --
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- if you see the rightmost side of the chain-link seems to have a seven-foot-tall stockade behind it, and then the stockade drops down in the central portion of this chain-link, and then to the left you can see that there's some kind of arbor structure, and it doesn't look like it's a transparent condition on that side.

KAREN VON BISMARCK: Could I just --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Basically the short of it is we're happy to remove all the fences, we'll relocate it. We'll put up a new fence or not. I mean, it's -- we're open to -you know, the --

LAURA WERNICK: And I think my point to Ms. Von Bismarck is that I don't think that the shadow would be any worse than the current shadows caused by the current plan, I believe, from what I've been able to the drawings.

KAREN VON BISMARCK: May I say something very short to this? I really appreciate your concern about the
fence. I don't object to the idea of replacing the current fence. I was going to replace the one on my side of the property anyway, because it's in bad shape.

So, you know, I certainly appreciate you having respect for me. If Mr. Glassman has an idea, for instance it would actually be slightly only higher than what is there now.

I'm -- the question of the shadow, and when I go out and actually look at things, I'm not completely convinced at this computer-generated prediction of where the shadows are going to fall.

For one reason, the computer seems to think that the grape arbor is a fixed structure, so that doesn't play a role at all in its shot-up calculations.

But apart from that, the fence itself I don't think is going to make that much of a difference, say in testing. It would only cast shadow on a certain portion of my flowerbeds, and not for very long. So that's not really an issue.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, can we move on?
KAREN VON BISMARCK: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura, anything further?

Further questions or comments?
LAURA WERNICK: No, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anyone else -- is there anyone else in the queue? Yes, we have others.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Mario Massimino.

MARIO MASSIMINO: Thank you, everybody for taking the time to hear me out. My name is Mario Massimino, for the record. I own 384 Windsor Street, \#1, the actual property that she referenced -- directly across the street. Yes, it is a first-floor and garden-level unit. However, there were substantial complications that because of being below low grade as living space we ran into. Eventually over time we rectified them.

But as everyone's aware, there is a major infestation of rats in the Cambridge area, that eventually bored through brand-new foundation. And we had to resolve that issue.

I think aesthetically from the front, the house is beautiful, it matches the house to the left at 373 if I'm correct on the address.

I know the major concern here is the rear of the property, but $I$ don't see any major issues from my
perspective on Windsor Street, as a lot of these homes obviously are older, and over time are going to be continually updated.

The nice thing about this particular unit and the
layout that they are designing is it's welcoming to families, understanding that there are three full-sized bedrooms, open living areas. Where myself, I just had a newborn. We had to move out of an apartment complex to get into something with more space, and we live in the area.

We like the neighborhood feel of it, even though it's tight and close. We think that over time as things do get updated, it will actually kind of bring camaraderie back to the neighborhood, vs. just having major tenant turnover year after year.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Are there any further comments or speakers?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Mel Caton?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are they off?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Let's see. We're seeing if the first person can talk. Mel Caton?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I guess not, no.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Then we'll close public testimony. We do have a number of letters in our files, both in support and in opposition to the relief being sought.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Gus, somebody else just raised their hand.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Somebody else just raised their hand.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, someone wants to speak? All right, one more speaker.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Where'd they go? Theresa Lima?
[Pause]
No, I think she lowered her hand now.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Again, I will close public testimony.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I say, I'll repeat myself, we have both letters of support and opposition. I don't propose to read them into the file. They are there as
part of our file, and some of the issues that were raised there have been dealt with orally during the context of this hearing.

So -- and I'll close public testimony as well on the special permit side of the case. Time for a vote or a discussion. Anyone have points they want to make, or you want to go to a motion? Brendan, what do you think?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Just a couple comments. I think that the repurposing of the building -- it's a handsome building. I think that the building to the left obviously has paved the way for it, so that it's almost like a twin, but $I$ think that allows this to fit right in.

If the building on the left were similar to the building on the right and this building were standing by itself, it would probably stand out, and we might take a different look at it.

But $I$ think that the effect of it, the raising of it, of an additional structure on the third level, is probably not going to have an adverse impact as far as shadow is concerned. And so I generally would think that it's good repurposing of this building.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim, anything
you want to comment at this point? JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Or are you ready for a
vote?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm ready for a vote, ready for a
vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing to add, I'm ready. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, and Laura? LAURA WERNICK: I'm ready also. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. I'll try my hand to raise the motion with assistance from Jim, I hope, and other members of the Board if you wish. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being this is a structure that is in need of upgrading. It is an older structure, as I've said before, and the problem is not just for the benefit of the current owners, but all future owners of the property.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this
is already a nonconforming structure, and therefore any relief requires zoning -- any relief requires zoning relief. And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In favor of that, there is a good bit of
neighborhood support -- by no means unanimous -- that the housing stock of the city will be improved by what is being proposed, and I think you're good with that.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by DCD -- if I've got the right name -Architects, the first -- dated, [I don't see it... There it is] -- March 20, 2021, and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Except that the rear balconies that are shown on the plans will be modified to have the following dimensions: And Jim, here's your --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: --that's your queue. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I think -- I would say that the balconies will be deleted. That's the second floor and the third floor. And that in their place will be a guardrail mounted to the exterior face of the building. And that the guardrail will be in two segments, not a continuous 14-foot-8-inch-long guardrail, period.

Laura, does that sound like English?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sounds good to me, but I --

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah, I think that's fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Good.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [This is Brendan Sullivan.] And Jim, could you potentially add that such guardrails shall not extend more than then six inches from the building proper? Is that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's fine. Yeah, yeah. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And the guardrail assembly ship won't -- you know, extend further than six inches off the face of the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Good.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So that's the first
condition. The second is is that the seven-foot rear wood privacy fence that is shown on the plans will be part of the project, and will be maintained in reasonable working order as the structure matures, as part of the structure. Because it does provide privacy for rear neighbors.

And I think that's it with regard to the variance. We have --

LAURA WERNICK: I think I --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- conditions relating to

LAURA WERNICK: Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

LAURA WERNICK: Was the fence to be maintained or with the owners' permission replaced? There was some brief conversation about replacement that the owner was --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I would like to leave it that it would -- it should be replaced certainly, and should be -- the neighbors should have the -- the owners should have the right to do that.

But I want to make sure that the privacy that's
afforded by that fence at the beginning continues to be maintained. That's what I'm trying to get at, and that's the thrust of my proposal. If you think of a better way of saying it, please.

LAURA WERNICK: I think that the petitioner was offering to replace it with a new fence, if the abutter was amenable to that. Is that -- am I correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know. Let's hear from the petitioner on that.

ADAM GLASSMAN: one way to clarify it is that the petitioner will replace the existing chain-link fence with a solid, seven-foot-tall wood fence on the petitioner's property line, on the petitioner's side of the property line.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura, are you satisfied with that?
[Pause]
ANDREA HICKEY: Can't hear you, Laura.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right, so that's the motion.

LAURA WERNICK: Yes, that's fine.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, if I could also chime
in and ask that you add the condition that no basement area shall be used as living area without further -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I plan to do that, Andrea --

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- but that's part of the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Understood.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think. That's why I'll
add that to the special permit vote when we get to that. ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. All those in
favor of the motion that $I$ have made with the amendments that we talked through? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the requested relief/variance. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes in favor of the variance request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura? LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor of the variance request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes I'm voting in
favor of the variance request.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well

## [All vote YES]

So the variance has been granted.
Let's turn now to the special permit. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed by the special permit will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character; the changes that are, for which a special permit is being sought are all interior -- namely the basement space, or some window changes, which apparently do not have any objection from the neighbors affected by those window changes.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would
not be adversely affected should we grant the special permit being requested.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city, or generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested on the following condition:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plan we referred to with regard to the variance we just granted.

And two, with regard to the additional -- the -with regard to the exclusion of the basement from the calculation of GFA, the special permit as to that aspect is subject to that basement area remaining in its current state, not in a finished state, and not to be used for residential purposes. It may only be used for storage, and not for occupancy by the residents of the structure or any other individuals.

All those in favor of granting the special permit on this basis?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes to granting the special permit, with conditions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes with the conditions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes with the conditions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes with
conditions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
The special permit is granted as well. Thank you. Case over.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I apologize. Just as a point of clarification, I think this is what you intended, but with the conditions on the basement --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I just wanted to clarify, the plans show the basement having storage areas and, you know, certain utility areas and unfinished areas. You said for the basement to be in its current state, but I'm assuming that there's nothing to say that we can't -- you know, divide up the area?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I don't think --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- so long as it is not, right it's not --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I don't think that --
not --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- right, it's not finished, and it's not residential space --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I think it --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Occupiable.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- can continue to be used as storage --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- in different modes, but it's not to be used for living -- be it a family room or recreation room, what have you. That ends it. I guess now
the case is over.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you for the clarification.
Appreciate it. Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Have a good evening. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll try. [I'm going to
hold this for now. Why don't you give me the first case?
Sisia, have you got the schedule?]
(7:11 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 107887 -- 11-13 Clifton Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Is there anyone here for Clifton Street?
[Pause]

No?
PATRICIA CALDWELL: Hello?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
PATRICIA CALDWELL: Hi, hi. My name's Patricia Caldwell. I'm the owner of 11-13 Clifton Street. And I am requesting a special permit because I need to rebuild two back porches, and they sit within the setback.

Basically, I need to rebuild these because they are deteriorating. I plan to take the first-floor porch and incorporate it into the first-floor apartment, that doesn't have a back porch.

Where I would like to move down -- I live upstairs
now, I'd like to move downstairs so that $I$ can age in place, so to speak. The stairs are getting to be a bit much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. That's succinct.
Any questions from members of the Board?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no
questions as well. So I think we can -- we do have letters in the file, all in support of the project, no letters of opposition. I'll open the matter up to public testimony at this point.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in on this case.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Lilli Smith?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
PATRICIA CALDWELL: She's in the house behind us.

LILLI SMITH: Hi Pat, how are you?
PATRICIA CALDWELL: I'm well, Lilli.
LILLI SMITH: Good. I don't have any problem with
it, but I just wanted to see if -- what the plans were. I clicked on the link on the zoning site and I didn't see any plans.

So I guess my main question would just be is it -are you just going to stay in the same footprint that the existing porch is in?

PATRICIA CALDWELL: Yes, Lilli. And I honestly completely forgot about you. But basically what I'm going to do is if you think of it, take off the two deck porches, rebuild, but on the first floor there will be no porch.

The kitchen on my first-floor unit will get that much bigger, so to speak. So I'm staying well -- right within the footprint of the porches as they are now.

LILLI SMITH: Okay. Sounds very good. I love
having you as a neighbor, and I give my support.
PATRICIA CALDWELL: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone else wishes to
speak? Apparently not. And again, as I mentioned, we do have some letters of support, no letters of opposition. So I'm going to close public testimony. Discussion, or are we ready for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a vote.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.
LAURA WERNICK: Ready for a vote.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready. I'm ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And Laura, you're
ready too?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes, I'm ready also.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. I'll make a motion, then, to grant the relief. This is a relief -- the request here is for a special permit. So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit being requested.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. In this regard, what is being proposed is to improve the structure of one of the front porches to convert --

PATRICIA CALDWELL: Back porch.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Back porch, I'm sorry, you're right.

PATRICIA CALDWELL: Back porch.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Back porch -- and to convert one area of the back porch for residential living purposes.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. In fact, from an exterior point of view, the building remains as it now is for the most part.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is being proposed will not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by -- so I've got to unfold this in the folder -plans prepared by Stein S-t-e-i-n [1:24:04 indiscernible proper name Lyen] Designs dated 10/15/20, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes for granting the relief. Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes for granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chairman votes to
grant relief as well.
[All vote YES]
The vote is unanimous. Special permit is granted.
LILLI SMITH: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good luck, petitioner.
COSTANZA PIEROLA: Thank you so much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're welcome.
(7:16 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick and Slater Anderson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 108265 -- 77 Massachusetts Avenue. And for this case, the Chair is not going to sit, he's recusing himself. So the fifth member is going to be -SISIA DAGLIAN: Slater. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Slater, are you on the line?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I think he is.
SLATER ANDERSON: I am.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
SLATER ANDERSON: Sorry, I just had to unmute myself. I'm right here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, but we need to hear you. Okay.

SLATER ANDERSON: I know, I know. Well, I didn't want you to listen to me when it wasn't my turn. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Sullivan will chair,
so I'll turn the mic over to him.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Kelley, if you would present the case, I think the Board has reviewed all the documents, so you can probably quickly just give us an overview and run through it.

KELLEY BROWN: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is Kelley Brown. I'm a Senior Campus Planner at MIT. I did want to show -- introduce our team. If I could get the slide that shows it $I$ won't read everything.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Let me bring it up.
KELLEY BROWN: So tonight I'm going to be joined by Laura Tenny (who's a Landscape Architect and also a Planner at MIT); Jon Alvarez; Joe Higgins, Camille Mekdeci also from MIT, in case we get into some questions that they can help with.

This is -- the wayfinding system really emerged out of the six years of public review for the Kendall Square Initiative that resulted in the buildings you see today on MIT's Eastern campus there on Main Street.

MIT had more than 70 meetings, and a regular theme in those public meetings and at the Planning Board was that it's just difficult to find your way through and around the
campus, and that a system of signage would help people to find open spaces and community events and everything that they were hoping to get to at the MIT campus.

And we heard it -- we heard it again and again that think of wayfinding was necessary. So this is a response to that. And it actually is something that's been desired for a long time, but we really got going with the so-called South of Main Street Initiative.

And we realized, of course, you can't just bring people to the buildings at the eastern edge of the campus; you need a system that allows them to enter the campus, especially from the Kendall Square/MIT train stop, and can find their way.

And so, we went through -- it was kind of an arduous internal process; took over three years of getting everybody in the MIT campus together and refining the program and finally reviewing it with the Staff from Community Development, with Traffic, Parking and Transportation staff, and finally to a point where we're here today.

And Laura Tenny, she's going to present this. She's really been our chief organizer and leader of this.

And she's I think done a great job meeting a wide variety of interests, tastes and opinions on this system.

Next slide, please?
So she's going to roll through the signage program, both for wayfinding, and we're also going to be discussing three special identity signs that are right in this new Kendall gateway area we'll show you. And then we'll summarize very briefly the zoning relief required and some of the grounds for granting the variance.

So next slide?

I'll turn this over to Laura Tenny, let her tell you about the program.

LAURA TENNY: Thank you. Good evening, everyone. You can go to the next slide please?

So our program -- this program of science builds on almost a decade of planning studies done by the city of Cambridge that called for better wayfinding in Kendall Square and around Cambridge to age in place, making -orienting people, helping them find their way to key routes and destinations.

Next slide?
These are the key audiences that our program aims
to serve.

Visitors to campus, especially those who are unfamiliar with MIT's campus, and that might include Cambridge residents, people who work in Kendall Square, and our visitors from around the corner, around the country, around the world.

Next slide?
We have several goals of the program, but the main one being answering the question, "Where is MIT" and establishing a visible and friendly presence at the edge of the campus to help people know when they've arrived on campus.

Also to reflect the unique spirit of MIT and make what is really a fairly urban -- excuse me, fairly complex campus more navigable for visitors, and taking our cues from the urban context and the major geographic elements that define our community.

I'm going to start in Kendall Square with our first phase, and we hope to eventually enable a future rollout across the entire campus.

Next slide?
So I'll talk first about the campus wayfinding
sign -- we should go to the next slide -- which builds on -you know, the map of our campus.

So this map shows how our campus is organized into districts. So you take the north, the west, the main districts and so forth. Those create the prefixes for how we name our buildings. So for example the Student Center, which is in the west district of campus, is named Building \#720.

And for a lot of people who come to the campus for the first time, that's kind of an inscrutable system. But if you can understand the kind of overlaying border of it, it can help you navigate from district to district and across campus.

And then the dotted lines that you see around the district are not meant to signify, you know, the edge of campus or the campus proper, but more the bounding streets and the other geographic elements that form a campus -- the river, the railroad, Main Street, Mass Ave and so forth.

And all together those sort of loosely make the shape of a triangle, which is what we build our system on as a kind of mnemonic device and orienting device for people on campus.

Next slide?
I'm going to pause here for a moment and recognize our design partners. Pentagram Design out of New York City who are graphic designers and wayfinding experts, who've created this program with us.

Next slide?
These are the three types of the wayfinding signage; three-feet standing signs, and we call them the campus identifiers -- that's the one that says, "MIT." Tells you when you've arrived on campus, so it's a key gateway moment, key intersection.

The campus directory is virtually the same sign, but without the, "MIT" at the top. Both of these are double-sided with a map, full-scale map -- full-scale map of the entire campus.

And then the pedestrian beacon, which is located along primary pedestrian routes. It is the workhorse of the system. I'll show you a bit more about that.

Next slide, please?
So here's the pedestrian beacon, which has most of the messaging on it. Tells you which district you're in. You can identify that district by the colored topper on the
sign. It has the list of the kind of public-facing primary destinations on campus listed. It tells you -- gives you a district scale map, and the kind of exploded picture of the map on the right just shows that the map can be updated as needed as the campus evolved and changed, and then changed out.

And then eventually the sign would be enabled for a mobile platform, so that if you -- you know, tapped your phone or device against the sign, it could link you to an online map as well.

Next slide, please?
So starting in Kendall Square -- I think you may be familiar with this plan on the Kendall Square Development, Kendall Square Initiative. So Main street at the top, the Kendall Square $T$ station right there between the red letters "Museum" and "Welcome Center."

And the two yellow tags are -- I want to call your attention to two -- the dots on the map show the placement of the signage in Kendall Square, eight signs total in this first phase.

And the yellow flag, I'm going to show you a couple photos coming up where we took what we're calling
our, "fabrication model" out. That's a full-scale sign in final materials that we felt to test some things out to put it in the open space and see how it's working -- and actually if you go up there, they're full-scale. So the next slide, please?

Shows our pedestrian beacon out in the open space.

We're standing in the open space proper looking towards MIT Medical, and you can see the open space -- for anybody who hasn't seen this part of Kendall Square lately, the open space is largely constructed, but still to come are many more trees and furnishings, including the signage.

Next slide?
Here we are looking the other way down the open space, and the Welcome Center is on the left and the graduate student housing tower.

Next slide?
Closer-up view of that sign, the closer-up view of that sign, the pedestrian beacon. Now we're looking across. Where the cement mixer is is the location of the $T$ head house and looking across to the Marriott.

Next slide, please?
And this just shows a rendering -- same location,
same sign as we envision it once it's fully planted out, and it's populated with people and all the activities that we hope to host.

Next slide?
Now, this is the other sign type. So this is the campus identifier. Now we're standing on Main Street looking towards the river and the $T$ station. This sign is roughly its final location, but we couldn't get quite there because it will ultimately go on the other side of that blue fence, which is around the -- the big hole around right now where the head house is --.

Next slide?

Now we're standing across Main Street, then back to kind of where the Coop used to be looking back. And again, we're -- we've got it out there, so we're kind of running a test how it fits in the urban context, how it is at scale, and how the map is up close.

Next slide?

Just a closer view of that. And as I said, it will go on the other side of the blue fence, further back from the sidewalk when it is finally in.

Next slide?

So this is a rendering showing that sign. Again, this is an approximate location, but it will be a two-sided sign with room to walk around it to the head house. We're standing in a crosswalk at Main Street looking back towards the Site 5 building, which will ultimately -- MIT].

Next slide?
Now we've moved further back into the gateway plaza. We're looking back towards Main Street in the Marriott. You can see the MIT campus identifier in the distance, and closer in is the directory sign, which will be standing outside the Welcome Center, which is just offscreen to the right.

Next slide, please? So I'm going to pull back out to the full campus in this next slide. You can go ahead. Thank you.

So just wanted to give you a sense of the overall once the full program is installed, and this will be over some period of time. But the first Phase I Kendall Square is -- you see it in the black box there, but over time this is the density and placement of the signs, as we imagine them across campus. So it's 130 signs total.

Next slide?

And next I'm going to turn to the special identity sign to take us back to Kendall Square.

And next I'm going to turn to the special identity signage to take us back to Kendall Square for a moment, and just talk about a couple more signs that help us establish an MIT-friendly presence to the gateway.

So now we're looking at these simple bars on the plan, and this slide is -- here? Yep. So on the left it says, "Museum" and on the right, "Welcome Center." Those are two large window bracket signs. And then I'm going to show you a logo, what we call our "selfie wall."

All of these are kind of facing each other across the plaza or onto the open space, not going towards Main Street.

Next slide, please?
So here is the Welcome Center. This is just, kind of, you know, cartoonish rendering of the Welcome Center from earlier on.

But the idea is that there are large, translucent letters that are applied to the inside case of the glass. And when you come up out of the station, you immediately see on the one side, "Welcome."

And the next slide kind of talking across the plaza to the word, "Museum" in the museum building. So both of these the intent is that you -- they tell you, you know, in a very legible way that kind of beckons you in what their purpose is, and that they are inviting visitors in, but also that you can see proof of the activities and displays inside.

Next slide?
And then our last special identity sign here is our -- what we call, "our selfie spot." This is you've gone through the Welcome Center from the $T$ head house. You're going out the side facing onto the open space proper, and if you just had a -- you know, an Admissions tour, or you went in for a public event and you come out on an open space, you have this moment to take your picture at kind of a playful version of our MIT logo with these colorful edges around it.

And that's just facing the open street -- excuse me, the open space.

So I'm going to turn it back over to Kelley to talk you through the variances requested. Thank you.

KELLEY BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Laura. I'll kind of hit this at a pretty high level. But I'm happy,
obviously, to discuss all the variance issues in more detail.

So for the wayfinding signs, we do have 103 across the campus. 10 of them are exempt because they're not visible from a public way, and two of them manage to be conforming.

But 91 signs do require relief -- all 88 in the residential district, it has stricter rules that are visible -- will need relief, as do three of the signs in the business districts.

Next slide, please?
So in the residential district, it's really across four of the attributes of the signs, regulations for the residential districts. First of all, the height. There's only -- these are all freestanding signs, and they're only -- the four-foot height limit in residential districts is below what our signs will require.

The area is the limit within residential districts; it's only 20 square feet, and two of our sign types are larger than that. There's 20 of those throughout the campus that need relief.

There's 73 wayfinding signs. We'd like to put
near the MIT lot lines, which is closer than the sign. There's a special sign in the front yard setback that we would violate if we put them close to the sidewalk where people can read them.

And lastly, the wayfinding signs are not assigned with any particular building at MIT. And the entire system of residential signage for nonresidential uses like our institutional use are organized around parcels and specific buildings.

We need to separate the needs of the buildings, which we follow, according to the rules of the residential district, even on our campus typically. And it -- but for the wayfinding signs, we really need to be able to install them and use them to guide people to where they're going, even though they're not associated with any particular building.

Next slide?
The wayfinding signs in the business districts, it's a good deal simpler. There are five that we have there, and three of them are larger than the 30-square-foot limit to signs in those districts for freestanding signs.

Next, please?

And similarly, the wall graphics that you saw and the -- what we call the "selfie sign" are both also both larger than the limit for business rules for signage.

Next, please?
So this is just the summary and the attributes that are needing relief for both the wayfinding signage and the special identity signage.

Next, please?
So we think the literal enforcement does cause a hardship that this kind of wayfinding sign program is essential to the MIT campus. And it really can be achieved with the literal enforcement of the Section 7 rules that govern signage.

Next, please?
It's really the use of residential and commercial districts -- primarily residential, that's certainly the most strict. But also commercial district signage rules to govern signage for an institutional use. There is no such -- there is no such institutional use category in the Cambridge zoning.

And it's hard because we aggregate our land parcels and buildings.

We don't use street signs, and address aren't
helpful. A lot of buildings on the interior of the campus, and -- you know, we're trying to orient visitors to a large number of destinations, rather than to kind of identify a single building or use.

And our need is just very different than the rules that govern those districts.

Next, please?
We do think that it supports the intent and purpose of the ordinance, and I think particularly looking to the purpose of the sign regulations in Section 7, you know, it says "to preserve and enhance the appearance, aesthetics and amenities of the city."

And we do believe that this kind of a wayfinding system responds directly to that purpose and intent, as well as some of these Urban Design guidelines that we find in Article 19

Next, please?
And, you know, as we've said, we think in general it's a good -- a public good, better that doesn't derogate from but actually a public good. It answers that, whereas MIT question, it helps visitors, it's going to be
attractive, it's going to be at the right kind of size and density for the campus environment, and its urban surrounding. And it is directly responsive to so much of the public commentary and planning guidance that we've gotten over the years.

Next, please? I think that's it? Yes. So thank you. Thanks for bearing with us there, and we're happy to answer any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Any questions by members of the Board? Andrea or Jim?

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea. For me it's a lot to take in. And I'm trying really to distinguish between branding of MIT versus true need for wayfinding. And it's just -- it's a big ask. It's a lot. I don't know whether any of the presenters care to address what I'm feeling, in terms of --

LAURA TENNY: Let's see if $I$ can answer your questions. I think as far as the need and, you know, when signs say MIT, the majority of the signs do not say MIT in a large way but a few of them do, fewer than 10 of them.

And we feel there are moments when you get to the campus when you're not really sure you're there, and so just
wanting to announce MIT in kind of a friendly way, but then the vast majority -- you know, 90 or so of the signs are really to provide a map and to provide a list of destinations, public-facing destinations that can help people navigate through campus.

I know when I walk through campus after having been there a number of times, I still take my phone with me and look up building numbers and -- you know, I know the routes, but $I$ don't always remember the building number or name that I'm looking for.

So it is a fairly complex campus that we just want to have a more legible and friendly presence, starting with the gateway.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is -- sorry, this is Jim Monteverde. When I went through the presentation previously -- so I previewed the show and tell -- it is a lot of signage. And there are a lot of components. But I think it's extraordinarily well done, and very nicely not just distributed but hierarchy of signs.

So, you know, of the three sign types the larger ones you don't have that often. There are key moments, the middle one -- you know, that's the next level down and then
the smaller one that $I$ assume there will be more of that gets you right to an individual location.

They all seem to be very nicely done, very cleanly done, sit in the landscape nicely everywhere around their campus, and $I$ just think it's a very well-organized, very well-done wayfinding.

And I'm surprised it's taken until 2021 for anyone to get through that campus without it. Like I said, I think in the advent of the smartphone, I don't see anyone found their way around.

So I think there is a lot there, but I think when you peel through it in layers, it's actually nicely organized in the hierarchy.

LAURA WERNICK: And I would agree with what Jim just said. I think that it's a good system, a good-looking system, a much-needed system, and if you were in a more rural campus this -- another large campus, this type of system would be a natural fit.

Here you are getting intersected with city streets, so you're constantly having these entry points and intersections with urban -- other urban activities. So it almost becomes more important to identify which direction is
the entry point to the campus. And there are a number of them.

So for a very complex location within a complex urban area, I think it accomplishes a lot. And it seems to be in a very nice, simple and direct way.

So I was quite -- there's a lot there. Lots to absorb, and certainly it would be wonderful to kind of work through every intersection, but $I$ think that the general approach and the necessity for it and the appearance of it are all very impressive.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any comments?
SLATER ANDERSON: Well, I would just say that, you know, if with our sort of one-size-fits-all signage regulations, $I$ mean this to me is the kind of university overlay or option that should exist for doing something like this, and it does provide continuity and, you know, it has a safety element to it. I think there's a lot of value, obviously -- very well-conceived in design.

And it makes sense to me that it should be there and, you know, the fact that it hasn't been there, and, you know, the fact that it hasn't been there for this long is the only surprising thing for me. So I'm supportive.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The thought that occurred to me is for all of us when we leave the continental United States and travel abroad, that as we go to other cities, especially in Europe, how well they do with their directions, and how eager you need to find those directions or signposts in order to get around, even though you may have a Fodor's or a travel guide or something like that... and how poorly I think we do in this country to allow foreigners or visitors from other states to meander around our own city and state. So I think they do a better job of that.

This is a major step up, I think. MIT has always been sort of a -- for me to get around, because everything is numbered. And so, I think it's a plus. Anyhow, with that said, $I$ will open it up to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Raise hand." And then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. And we will allow you up to three minutes to speak. There is a letter in the file which $I$ will read, unless the writer wishes to speak herself.

ANDREA HICKEY: And who is that writer, please?
So that person knows. Are they --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ms. O'Hare.

SISIA DAGLIAN: We don't have any hands up. Oh, there's one.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Carol? No?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Phone number ending 8311?
HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hi. It's Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hi.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: And I had another engagement, so I did not see the whole presentation. But I am certain that the Board has heard me in the past begging for something along these lines for campuses, instead of the giant, flashy, too high, too big signs on top of the buildings.

So I happily defer to the Board as to the specifics, but as to the general idea of having signage to help you figure out where you are and where you're going, I mean MIT already has at least some of these. They've got maps in a couple of places.

And I think that it is something that others should copy. Please, please, please. So make it be good,
but as to the concept, I give three cheers if not four. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Thank you, Heather. SISIA DAGLIAN: I think that's it. I think that's it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If there are no other callers, there is a letter in the file from Ms. Carol O'Hare to the Board.
"You may be surprised that I applaud MIT's proposed and long-awaited campus wayfinding system. What an effective for insiders and outsiders, coherent, thoughtful and just plain good-looking plan it is.

MIT has obviously spent the time, effort and money necessary for a high-quality and community sensitive system. This system should help both insiders and outsiders get around MIT's spread out, confusing campus.

I even support their three oversized wall signs that require zoning variances. MIT's representatives explain that all three wall signs face inward towards MIT's campus, not towards a Cambridge public way.

My attachment that MIT sent me showed these three signs campus-facing locations with lilac-colored shading;
"Museum" highlighted, white letters on glass; "Welcome" highlighted white letters on glass and "MIT," a colorful logo on the selfie wall.

I think that MIT has cleared the legal and practical hurdles, including the substantial hardship test for zoning variances, for BZA approval of their campus wayfinding systems.

Their huge, spread-out in six different zoning districts of campus makes MIT's property clearly distinguishable for signage purposes for other unusual -from other unusual lots in MIT's zoning districts.

For these purposes, MIT's campus is more like a mini municipality. Thank you as always for your time, service and efforts.

Sincerely,
Carol O'Hare, 172 Magazine Street."
That is the sum substance of the correspondence.
I will now close public comment. Kelley Brown, I don't know if there's anything for you to rebut, any to add. You're welcome to do it at this time?

KELLEY BROWN: No. It sounds like -- silence is golden. Yeah, I mean I think we've done what we need to,
and we'd leave it to the Board.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great, okay. Board members, any other comments or a vote?

LAURA WERNICK: Vote.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion to grant the relief requested to install the 91 (sic) wayfinding signs and three special identity signs as per the proposal that has been submitted.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, from creating an adequate in size number and location of wayfinding signs to serve the large MIT community, the general citizenry and visitors to our city from around the globe, vendors and first responders.

The campus, covering some 168 acres, is made up entirely of institutional uses and there's no residential uses. However, the campus is zoned overwhelmingly in residential districts. And as such, it's encumbered by a
rather rigid Section 7.16 .21 b) of the sign ordinance prohibiting the proposed wayfinding sign program.

The hardship is owing to the fact that the sign ordinance address certain conditions which adequately apply to residential districts, but are quite inadequate when it is applied to a multibuilding, mostly internal campus such as MIT, which over time has become a labyrinth of castaways connecting buildings, and is at times difficult to navigate.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without detriment to the public good. The Board finds that Cambridge planning studies and recommendations for Kendall and across the city support wayfinding signage to assist visitors in gaining orientation and information regarding locations of interest, such as major institutions, parks and open space and other attractions.

MIT campus wayfinding system, as per our proposal, is an answer to that municipal planning desire.

The Kendall SOMA -- South of Mass Avenue -- was directed by the Planning Board to include a wayfinding system for the PUD-5 development. The SOMA development is adjacent and integrated into the overall campus.

The Board finds that relief may also be granted
without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. The Board finds that the many Cambridge Planning Studies and Guidelines for Kendall Square recommend wayfinding as directly applicable to the MIT campus.

The campus wayfinding project is not subject to an
Article 19 Project Review special permit, but the citywide Urban Design Objective 19.30 provides a guide to the broad purpose and intents of the zoning ordinance. The Board finds that this proposal before us address that concern.

Any other conditions posed by the Board?
COLLECTIVE: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hearing none, I will make a motion that we grant the relief requested on the -- and also accept the supporting statements as additional language supporting the granting of this relief; also the photo simulations as part of that, and accept the plan with the location and the size and design of such signage and granting of this relief.

One question I might ask for Kelley and Laura is, I know this has been a long, arduous planning program for you people.

And I don't want to make it any harder on you people should in the implementation of this plan that you want to tweak it one way or the other as far as location. So if it's okay with the Board, I would like to give a little bit of relaxation to how strict it has to be as pertinent plans submitted.

So between Laura and Kelley, do you see any way that you may change this, or that it may get tweaked somewhere along the line? I don't want you to have to come back. That's where I'm sort of going with this.

KELLEY BROWN: Yes, Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have thought about that, and I think -- you know, we'd certainly be --, you know, if we were doing something that really pushed the boundaries of kind of substantial conformity to the locations and structures as we've had them -- well, we hope will be approved here tonight -- you know, we would come back.

But I think if there's a way you can incorporate, you know, some guidance for Inspectional Services to understand that, you know, minor shifts. These are dots on maps, not locations.
We -- you know, we haven't gone to every location
and found out, "Oh, well, there's a utility line here that we can't deal with" [or our thoughts on that are dots on top of a manhole.] You know, so that kind of adjustment is possible.

But I think we can live within the substantial conformity with the map.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Substantial general compliance. Any member of the Board wish to chime in and add to this?

LAURA WERNICK: Is that why; it's general compliance?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, general compliance. Okay. And that the transcript of this also will be sentiment (sic) to the Inspectional Services that potentially some deference or some relaxation of the strictness of the decision can be -- you know, be relaxed, I guess would be -- okay.

KELLEY BROWN: We appreciate that very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So on the motion -- yes, Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, wasn't me. I'm good.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No? You're good? Okay. Then on the motion to grant the relief, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde votes in favor of
the relief?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey], yes I'm in favor
of the relief.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?

SLATER ANDERSON: Yes, Slater Anderson in
agreement of the relief.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick in favor of the relief.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes to granting relief. Thank you, MIT, and good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And thank you, Brendan.
We'll return to our agenda, and I'll continue as Chair until the next time $I$ recuse myself.
(7:58 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 108519 -- 9 Oakland Street, Unit \#1. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter?

SISIA DAGLIAN: We need a minute to switch over.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry? Oh, okay. We're going to have to take a brief break for technical switchover on the system. So just bear with us for a few more moments.

SLATER ANDERSON: Hey Gus, can you confirm for me that I'm not on this one? This is a case not heard?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, that's correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, there are two cases on this address. One is a case heard that's been continued. We're hearing the new petition, which is --

SLATER ANDERSON: I missed the continuance on the other one. That must have been before 7:00.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, we haven't had
that. We deferred hearing the continued cases -SLATER ANDERSON: Oh.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- until we heard this
case. So if that's the case --
SLATER ANDERSON: Okay, so I'm not -SISIA DAGLIAN: Slater, you are on this one. SLATER ANDERSON: I am on this one? Okay. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, and Matina. Matina, you're here, right?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, I'm here. SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So we have sitting on this -- so we're all clear -- Matina's sitting, Slater's sitting, I'm sitting, Brendan you're sitting, right?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And who's -- and Laura,
you're not sitting, am I right? Is it Andrea's not sitting? SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim is not sitting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim's not sitting, he's
one, but what is the other one not sitting?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't know, it's just -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Otherwise, we have six
people.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Right.
SLATER ANDERSON: I can sit this one out. I --
you know, I'm not supposed to be here for the whole night anyway.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay. I don't know.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So you're not going
to sit on this case?

SLATER ANDERSON: But I am on the continued one
that was heard, if that happened.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
SLATER ANDERSON: So I'll listen in.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll see if it happens.
SLATER ANDERSON: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm sorry, but
let's be sure we are on the same page.
ANDREA HICKEY: So Mr. Chair --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sitting for this case is
myself, Brendan, Matina, Andrea, and Laura. You got it? SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now we can proceed. The
Chair again will call Case Number 108519 -- 9 Oakland

Street, Unit \#1. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SEAN HOPE: Yes. Good evening Mr. Chair and members of the Board. For the record, Attorney Sean Hope, Hope Legal Offices in Cambridge. I'm here on behalf of the petitioners. We have the owners of 9 Oakland. We have Patrick Mascia and Molly Wolf. We also have Project Architect Frank Shirley. We thank you for having us this evening.

This is an application requesting zoning relief to construct a two-story dwelling on a lot that actually extends a full block to Oakland Street to go through for the record the specific elements of relief.

So for the variance we are seeking dimensional relief for a setback on the new proposed structure. We're also seeking a variance on the landscaping requirement in the side yard setback. And we also are seeking a special permit for tandem parking, and also, to locate vehicles within the five-foot setback as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So how -- you mentioned, of course you're right, that you're seeking landscape relief under our zoning ordinance. I saw nothing on the plans that
dealt with landscaping.
SEAN HOPE: Yeah. You know, this is -- so we're proposing tandem parking and we're seeking a special permit to park along that side of the setback. I have done these cases before.

I -- and actually a close reading of Article 6, I realize that if you are going to be seeking a waiver for that five-foot buffer setback, technically there is a landscape requirement that requires that five-foot buffer.

So when you're parking a car, when you have a driveway and car, you can't have landscaping in effect. So just by having our vehicles parked along that side yard setback, we weren't able to satisfy that five-foot landscape buffer.

Frankly, I don't think that section is always cited in cases where you're going to be parking within the five-foot strip, but it is an element of relief, and we did list it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SEAN HOPE: So the landscape requirement is just within that five-foot, it can be shrubs, mulch and the like.

But as I was refreshing the Board's recollection,
there is a companion case of this that was filed back in November. This was to locate a second dwelling on the lot. Just to refresh the Board, this is a lot that is 4300 square feet.

So the lot is nonconforming. It's smaller than required by the ordinance. And also, it's a long and narrow lot. And it's one of the only lots that extends a whole block from Oak to open street on the lot. The lot is unique, and it is narrow.

In the previous proposal, the petitioners wanted to construct a second dwelling for the purpose of really multigenerational living. They're a growing family. They have grandparents that live out of state, and in order to accommodate them on site, they chose to propose this dwelling.

The previous proposal exceeded the FAR, and it was asking for a much larger house than an ordinance would allow. And in consult with the neighbors -- and we have a slide to speak to that -- we were able to reform the project to be in greater conformance with the ordinance. So essentially you have a modest sized house that meets the front yard setback.

One of the objections to the previous proposal was that we had garage parking, so there was going to be parking underneath the building, which forced the building to be higher.

So as Mr. Shirley walks through the plans, you'll see that this is a much more modest house, significantly smaller, and we believe that we've gotten substantial neighborhood support on this proposal.

I'll now turn it over to Mr. Shirley to walk through the plans.

FRANK SHIRLEY: Good evening, everyone. Can you hear me okay?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
FRANK SHIRLEY: Okay, great. We submitted a PowerPoint slideshow. Is it possible to pick that up?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're having a little technical difficulties.

FRANK SHIRLEY: I know all about technical difficulties, no worries.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Frank?

FRANK SHIRLEY: Yes.
SISIA DAGLIAN: We're having some difficulties opening up your PowerPoints on the machine I'm on. I'm wondering if you can show it? We've given you access to be able to do that.

FRANK SHIRLEY: So I am --

PATRICK MASCIA: We can try, we can get involved.
Hang on just one second.

FRANK SHIRLEY: That would be great because now I'm on a different laptop.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. Emmy Pale (phonetic) should be able to --

PATRICK MASCIA: Just give us one second. Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. Sisia, can I share my Zoom screen? I have two screens, I can --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. I mean --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- bring it up?
SISIA DAGLIAN: You can do it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I take it?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let's see if $I$ can do that.
[Share] tell me if you can see my screen?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

FRANK SHIRLEY: That's good.
PATRICK MASCIA: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, Frank just tell me where you want to be and I'll drive.

FRANK SHIRLEY: Thank you. Sure, sure. You want to just -- actually we're not fleshing out the presentation, Jim, thank you. But that's just the plans themselves. We had a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh.

FRANK SHIRLEY: So just hang on one second if you
could. I think we've -- we'll sit on it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay.
FRANK SHIRLEY: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you want me to stop [Share]?
PATRICK MASCIA: I think so, just because that's just the PDF, which is helpful, but the presentation is a bit broader.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ok.
FRANK SHIRLEY: Thank you, by the way.
PATRICK MASCIA: Okay. I believe I've got it. Do
I have the ability to [Share] myself now? Let's see here --
how's that, folks? Can you see it?
FRANK SHIRLEY: Yeah, that's good, Patrick.
PATRICK MASCIA: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Jim.
FRANK SHIRLEY: Great. I think Sean went through everything there, so yeah, I can start here.

First, for introductions my name is Frank Shirley.
My firm is Frank Shirley Architects at 40 Pearl Street in Cambridge. So Mr. Chair and fellow Board members, thank you very much for your willingness to hear our case this evening; much appreciated.

I'll go through this relatively quickly, because there was a fair amount of information about this project last fall. It has changed dramatically in terms of the building being proposed. The concepts remain intact.

So this is a neighborhood GIS map, and the red rectangle kind of in the center of the screen is a proposed dwelling. That small, that little skinny, red rectangle is a proposed conforming, fully conforming one-story addition the house.

Patrick, do you want to go to the next one?
Okay. And with this slide, the left-hand image, this is actually a Google Review. The site is highlighted
in red, and the right-hand image, that is the existing conditions as seen from Polk Street. The blue house beyond is 9 Oakland, which is here Patrick and Molly reside.

Okay, we can go to the next one.
Okay. So I think this -- yeah, this drawing is quite important. For colors, just to give everyone a sense of what they're looking at, the blue hatch is private open space, and the green is the common open space. We meet those requirements from both. That's what those colors represent.

The proposed house is on the left-hand side of the screen. It's 685 square feet per GFA. It is 15 feet wide, and about 20 -- I think it's 22 feet? Yeah, 22 feet-7, 22-6 and three-quarters in length.

The house is shifted towards the south, or toward the bottom of the screen, encroaching upon the seven-and-a-half-foot required setback.

So it's a five-foot-setback on that side of the property, in order to allow students to park on the north side of the property, where you see a 10-foot-wide driveway dimension.

Next slide?

So this is a very small house. Each floor plane loops roughly 340 square feet. So this is the first-floor plan. It's an open plan, obviously. It's so small dividing it up would be unsuccessful as a living experience. So it's comprised of a large open area, which is the living room and small kitchen and the powder room.

And then as we see on the north side of the plan, the stairs up in the second floor and down to the basement. And here's the proposed second floor plan, comprised of a bedroom and a bath, and then an open office area at the top of the stairs.

And we are proposing a finished basement, which is another bedroom, a bath, and then a rec -- kind of a general rec room, finished area.

These are the -- the right-hand image has the west elevation. That is the elevation that is proposed to face Oak Street. The building is dimensioned, and it's 27 feet one inch up from grade to the bridge, which is well below what the allowed maximum is of 35 feet.

The intent of the building design is to capture the vernacular of Cambridge Workers Cottages that were th
prevalent in the second half of the 19 century. So those
were quiet structures that capture, you know, in a very discreet way the Italian influence, et cetera. So that elevation is intended to be a part of that Workers Cottage fabric that is throughout our city.

The left-hand elevation, the north elevation is -that faces the driveway side, and a row of just windows where windows are needed to serve the rooms behind.

In terms of materials, it's a collaborative building and painted trim, asphalt shingle roof. It's -- you know, it's intended to be an attractive and contributing member to the architectural fabric of this neighborhood and the city as a whole.

Okay. These are the other elevations, the east elevation with -- the elevation actually faces Patrick and Molly's house. And the south elevation is -- that faces the one abutter that is proximate to our site at 9 Oak Street. And that is actually a blank façade, as you can see, except for a small window well basement. In order to maintain privacy for the abutting property.

This is just a design concept that we had done earlier when Patrick and Molly were going to reach out to the neighborhood. And those kind of three bullet points to
the right there are just some of the things that the neighborhood had voiced opinions on in terms of what they would like to see achieved in the proposed design.

It's probably clear, but we had in the previous case back in November asked for a variance for FAR. This does not require that; this is a completely conforming proposal when it comes to FAR.

I think I'm going to turn it over I think now to Patrick and Molly, but if anybody on the Board has questions regarding the architectural proposal, I'm happy to take those. If not, we can move on to Patrick.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have none. Other members, any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I have -- [Brendan Sullivan], no questions at this time.

MOLLY WOLF: Hi, everyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay.
MOLLY WOLF: Go ahead.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
MOLLY WOLF: Hi, everyone. Thank you for taking
the time to consider this case with us. My name is Molly. I'm here with Patrick and our daughter, Eleanor. To refresh
the Board's memory, we're a family.
We bought this home a couple years ago, and we're seeking to building a second structure to full-time house my mother, and then to have a place for Patrick's family to come for extended stays also in the city.

As Sean and Frank both mentioned, this is our second hearing. So we previously presented plans in November for a larger, different structure. There was not unanimous support for the structure, and we received a continuance from the Board to keep working on it. So in the meantime, we've been pretty hard at work with Frank and with our neighbors to find a solution that works for everyone.

So as Frank mentioned, these were really the guiding principles that we used to design the structure. This was based on the feedback that we heard both from our neighbors, and then also from the BZA at the November hearing.

So we were trying to add green space and trees to Oak Street, leave that front yard setback, stay within FAR and then have no garage in favor of tandem parking, which much more fits the style of the neighborhood around us. So this is what we used to design our structure.

So we spent a couple of months working with frank and trying to understand the zoning to the best of our limited abilities. And then we had some pretty detailed communications with the neighbors. This was kind of the culmination of the neighbor outreach. This was the group of neighbors that had been opposed to our proposal back in November.

And this was the agreement that we came to at the end of January outlining the dimensions of the structure that would be acceptable. And we're very grateful to have reached this agreement.

And again, we used this to design the structure that we submitted this time.

So we moved forward with the structure. We're -again, really grateful to have pretty board support in the neighborhood. We put on this map a bird's eye view of all the addresses in green. We have either -- we have letters submitted to the Board of either support and/or approval. And we did not include 24 Oak, which is also actively supporting the proposal.

So we just hope this gives the Board some context about our thought process and some insight into the
neighborhood negotiations that we had leading up to this new proposal. We're happy to take any questions. Otherwise, we'll hand it up over to Sean.

SEAN HOPE: And I think that concludes our presentation. We can open it up to public comment, or we can answer any questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sean, I have a question for you or for your client. As we know, and we've touched upon already, when the petition was first brought before us, there was substantial neighborhood opposition. And you asked to continue the case to go back to the drawing boards, which you did.

And the proposal that's before us tonight is -some already said, I think -- a more modest proposal -- the one that seems to have neighborhood acceptance, unlike the first one.

But we do have a letter in our files signed by five individuals, who live on Oak Street. And I think, as I recall, they were more vocal opponents the last time. And their letter says, "We approve of the relief sought -- " they're talking about tonight's case " -- for minimal expansion of the existing unit and for new construction of a
two-story unit, but only so long as all of the following conditions are met."

Is it your representation that's what's being proposed tonight do meet all of these conditions?

MOLLY WOLF: Yes. And we reviewed that with Frank and a zoning specialist also.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, could you just repeat that?

MOLLY WOLF: Yes. That is our understanding, and we reviewed that pretty intensely with Frank's office as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So with that, you have unanimous now neighborhood support. I wanted to get that off the record, or get that cleared up.

MOLLY WOLF: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And I think are we ready to open the matter up to public testimony, unless Sean, do you have anything more you want to say at this juncture?

SEAN HOPE: No further comments at this juncture.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now open this matter up to public testimony. I'm looking for
the rules here, how it works.
Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll take a moment to see if anyone is calling in or Zooming in who wishes to address us.

Sisia, anything coming through?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone, no.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently there is
no one who wishes to give public testimony.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, one person. Sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- orally. And as I said
before, we do have a number of --
SISIA DAGLIAN: We do have somebody that raised their hand, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, we do have someone who wishes to speak.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Giovanni Scorza?
GIOVANNI BERLANDA SCORZA: Yep. Can you hear me? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

GIOVANNI BERLANDA SCORZA: So Good evening, everybody. My name is Giovanni Berlanda Scorza. I am the owner of the building on 7 Oakland Street, who is bordering the 9 Oakland Street lot, and I just want to let everybody know that I support the proposed request that Molly and Patrick are doing.

I think they have come up with a good, balanced solution for the whole neighborhood, and I'm really happy that they have come with this layout. So I'm really happy to see them trying to achieve the goal of building a second structure on their property. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the time to speak and to support your neighbors. Anyone else wish to speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see other hands, no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope? No one else. So I'm going to close public testimony. As I've indicated, we do have a number of written communications, all of which are in support. I don't propose to read them all.

So, Mr. Hope, do you have anything further you wish to say at this point?

SEAN HOPE: No, I just would -- just for the
record would want to establish that the hardship is due to the narrowness of the lot. And the idea is without relief it would be impractical to build a reasonable sized structure.

And so, the structure that you see here with the modest zoning relief is really the -- really about the bare minimum to have for a practical house, a functional house. And I just wanted to highlight that hardship for the record.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I'm going to rephrase a little bit of that as $I$ make my motion. But it is important as you have done to touch upon the requirements for a variance, because that's what drives this bus. In any event, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that this is a very odd-shaped lot, narrow to the nth degree, and that the only way this -- it wouldn't matter who owns this property, it would be difficult to best use this lot without obtaining a variance.

That the hardship is owing to the shape of the lot. As Mr. Hope has pointed out, it's a very narrow lot,
and includes much of the construction that you might expect for a house in Cambridge.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, the Chair notes that what will result from the variance should we grant it is a construction of another building residence on the lot. It's a building that -- it's consistent with how the neighbor is, and it allows land that could not otherwise be -- should we say gainfully used, at least for residential purposes -- to be used for residential purposes.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by Frank Shirley Architects, dated October 9, 2020, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair. Mr. Sullivan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What did you say?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hm?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did you say no?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh. One vote, I'm sorry, keep going. Jim? No, Jim's not sitting on this. Matina.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will vote yes to granting the variance as well.
[FOUR VOTE YES, ONE NO]
So four out of five votes in favor. The variance is granted.

So before we lose you, Mr. Hope, we have the old coordinated case. I take it I have a motion from you to withdraw the case?

SEAN HOPE: Mr. Chair, before we get to that
motion, there is a special permit that I believe the Board needs to vote on as well?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, you're right; the other half of the relief being sought. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No problem.
SEAN HOPE: Would you like me to talk about -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Talking about the special permit to construct new and enlarged window openings -sorry, I have the wrong case -- to add a mudroom addition and windows in a nonconforming dwelling.

Now, I have the -- I'm sorry, it's a bad night. The special permit is to park cars in tandem and within the side yard setback.

With regard to the special permit, the Chair moves that we make the following findings: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the granting of the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

In this regard, it is not substantial change in
established neighborhood character -- the kinds of parking, the tandem parking too close to the lot line are consistent with the housing situation and parking provisions of the area in general.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected.

Again, the Chair would refer back to the letters of support and the lack of any opposition to support the notion that the continued operation of adjacent uses will not be adversely affected.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested, subject to the work proceed in accordance with the plan that we've just referred to and accepted with regard to the
variance.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No to granting this.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan votes no, okay.
Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I vote yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I vote yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote yes to granting the variance.
[FOUR VOTE YES, ONE NO]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair votes yes as well. So again, we have a four to one vote. The four is sufficient to meet the requirements of our ordinance to grant the relief. So the special permit has been granted.

Now, since you reminded me, Mr. Hope, are you going to make a motion to withdraw, or are you going to withdraw your original petition that was filed some months ago?

SEAN HOPE: Yes. We'd like to formally request to
withdraw the originally filed case. I don't have the Case Number in front of me, but it was a case filed in November. We'd like to withdraw that, please.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we make the motion that we accept the requested withdrawal by the petitioner for this case, and that the petition is withdrawn.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to accepting the withdrawal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes to accepting the withdrawal.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes to accepting the withdrawal.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes to accepting the withdrawal.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
So the whole case is withdrawn. The new case has been approved. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you so much. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right.
(8:30 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Andrea Hickey

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. The Chair will
now call Case Number 109125 -- 66 Reed Street, Unit \#1.
Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I believe I'm off of this case. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right. I think we're back to the original panel for this case.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay. Have a good evening. COLLECTIVE: Bye, Matina.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone here wishing to be heard on this case, 66 Reed Street, \#1? Maybe nobody wishes to be heard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Judy Silvan.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm kidding.
KEVIN RICHARD: Hi. Can you hear me? This is
Kevin Richard.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

KEVIN RICHARD: Hi. The petitioning team is myself, Kevin Richard, Cambridge craftsman; Christopher Royer, Architect; and Jeannie Silvan, homeowner.

And we're petitioning for relief, where we filled
in 24 square feet of the stairwell that the purpose of was to provide additional workspace for the homeowner.

There was no access to a window that was located against the wall in front of the drop-off. It was a safety hazard as well. And it added 24 square feet to a nonconforming. The space was already over the FAR, and so this was the purpose for the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have to say this is one of the more unusual cases that I've seen before our Board, but I understand the position you're taking. I have no questions. Members of the Board? Brendan has none. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I'm just curious. Tell me again what the use is?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

LAURA WERNICK: No, I'm just curious what -again, what is the use of this open space?

KEVIN RICHARD: Oh, well the -- there are three people, mostly because of the COVID quarantining where the homeowner is a psychologist. She needed more privacy for her client calls. And it was an open stairwell.

LAURA WERNICK: Right. Okay. I understand, I just was curious about that. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will close public testimony. I mean, I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Sorry. Any members of the public who wish to speak now should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a second to see if anyone wishes to speak?
[Pause]
Apparently not. So I will report that we do have letters of support in favor of the project and also, the Condominium Association, which owns the building, has also submitted an approval that the Condominium Association approves of the changes that are subject to the case before
us tonight.
So, ready for a vote, or do people want to have a discussion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm ready for a vote, [Brendan Sullivan].

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote, yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Looking for the plans that I had a second ago. Here they are. Okay, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That the -- let me get the text out -- that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that because of the unusual nature of the structure, there is living space that could be used and it's not being used, or not be permitted to be used without a variance.

The hardship is owing to nature of the lot and the location of the structure on the lot.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the relief being sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Royer, R-o-y-e-r Architects, dated December 11, 2020, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes for the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
(8:36 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Andrea Hickey and Slater Anderson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 108723 -- 135 and 137 Elm Street. The Chair is not going to sit on this case, and Mr. Sullivan will again be the Chair -- will Chair the hearing of this case. And who is replacing me on this case? Slater?

SLATER ANDERSON: I'm here. Oh, Slater. That's right. Okay, Brendan, the floor is yours.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Mr. Ellsworth?
[Pause]

Campbell?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: I'm mute. Good evening.

There I am, okay. Thank you so much. Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board, my name is Campbell Ellsworth. I'm the Architect for the two families who are requesting relief tonight.

I'm joined by these two families from 135 Elm Street -- that is Elizabeth and Jordan Bolman; and from 137 Elm Street. I'm joined by the owners Heather Pierce, P-i-e-
r-c-e and her husband, Eric Lee, L-e-e.
We're here before you tonight to request two variances from Cambridge ordinance; one for GFA and one for setbacks. I'll try to address the technical issues around the request, and I'm going to leave the personal experiences and the description of the need to the owners themselves. The summary of the request is that there are two young families that are growing who want to stay in the neighborhood, who have established routes in the neighborhood through work and through neighborhood schools, and are liked and loved by the community.

They have -- like many families -- been strongly affected by the COVID virus forcing work from home, a lack of available child care, essentially using their homes in more intense and more uses than ever imagined.

The existing story -- the existing structure is a two-story building, but visually it is effectively two and a half. It has an unusually high, flat-roofed structure. It has an unusually high parapet on the roof.

The property and that building also was developed in about 10 years ago, at which time that was a two-family structure then. It was still a two-family structure at that
time. 10 years ago, the structure was instead of flat, it's one over another, it was split down the middle.

Sisia, if you're there, perhaps you could run some of the drawings that are there?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep. We're going to bring them up.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. I'm trying to -- there we go. Okay. Great. Before that, can you back up a little bit? Do you have the plans? Well okay, great. So that's the existing structure on the street. You know, from sort of from the left, from head on, from the right to the right just to point out there is a driveway area.

This property was developed in 2011. There were four homes created -- two in this building, two in a new structure in the back. It's great success. Three out of four of the owners are the original owners and there are a lot of children -- I think six children among these four homes.

And actually they use that driveway, just to point out -- for open space and play space. And in fact they just installed -- if anyone has been able to drive by and take a look at the property, they recently installed a gate to -- I
think essentially, they can correct me if I'm wrong, to keep the kids in, kind of corral them in there. Because they use that so much, and they really enjoy it.

So the two families in question have really run out of space. You've seen that in the description in the supporting statements. And they are looking to add to their third floor. You can see in those -- right.

So here are two images. On the left is a model of the existing structure. On the right is the model of those added rooms; one room and -- one bedroom and one bathroom on top.

You can see that those additions will not go, will go about six inches higher only than that center stair tower if you will, and that also given access to the roof for mechanical equipment and the families have used that.

And there's sort of a benefit because of that very high parapet wall, which is about three and a half or almost close to four feet tall when you're up there.

These are two models -- again on the left the existings, and on the right the proposed.

Sisia, if you could go to the plans, that might be helpful.

So -- yeah, so what we're looking at there is the existing conditions. So we have the second-floor plan. Those don't change.

These are very, very modest-sized bedrooms, to say the least, and each of these families has two children, and so they are really filling up.

On the right of that, the existing third floor is looking down on it, but it's actually looking also down on that stair bulkhead, if you will, that is on that roof.

Sisia, could you go to the next drawing as well?
So these are the existing conditions again. Top left, you can see that's the view from the driveway. You can see how that stair tower goes up and accesses that roof area.

You can also see there the dashed lines there that show how much lower that roof level is. The top right drawing is also relevant. That's the elevation from the street.

And so you can see that that stair tower actually takes quite a bit of space. And then of course you get the other elevations as well.

All right, if you could go to the next drawing?

So now this is what we're proposing. Again, top left in the drawing is the second floor, which has no change to that. Top right of this drawing is the proposed addition of the room.

So whereas before these were larger, open decks that they'd used, now we leave the stair tower, in the middle we add these two, they get much smaller decks and they're able to add one bedroom and one bath, while still being able to access -- trying not to move all the mechanical equipment, which is all the HVAC, Mitsubishi HVAC stuff. That's in the middle between the two units, and of course the bottom right is the flat roof of the -- of that addition, of those additions.

Right. If you go to the next?
And then these would be -- again, comparable for elevations -- again top left from the driveway and, as I said, we're trying to hold down the height of that addition and we can, so that it's only about six inches or so above that existing walkout that's up there.

I think that $I$ want to hand this off, but $I$ just want to say that, you know, the four units on this lot were created about 10 years ago to great success.

Now after 10 years, the pressures that have been put on, and these folks are generally, you know, in technology fields which are working from home to be sure, but working from home for actually what may be a rather indefinite period of time.

So with that, I would like to hand off to Heather Pierce [all names phonetic], who is one of the owners -- or Heather and Eric, who are owners of 137.
[Pause]
Actually, can you still hear me? I think so. Let me actually just point out in terms of public outreach, I do want to point out that the owners wrote a letter and sent it to everyone and more -- I'll talk about that in a second -and more on Maria's abutters' list.

You have in your file quite a number of letters of support, and they also dealing -- you know, given that there would -- you also have letters from the other two condominiums in the back at 139 and 141 Elm Street, which is part of that ensemble. They've signed off and supported.

And the one abutter to the south at 133 Elm, who is essentially the most affected by this, is also in full support and you have a letter of support from them in your
file now as well.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Campbell, this is Brendan
Sullivan. In reading the pleadings, I sympathize with the applicants and their need for additional space, and it's somewhat minor in that it's a bedroom and a bathroom, obviously.

What I can't get past is the design. And so it's sort of clouds $t$ whole application for me, anyhow. I just don't like it, plain and simple. There's no other way of being cute about it, I just -- I know it's not nice to criticize or to critique architects' work, but I just -- I just don't like it.

So I don't know, any other members of the Board have any input on their thoughts? Laura, do you have any?

LAURA WERNICK: Well, I agree with you Brendan, it's not certainly what $I$ would do. It's interesting. It's, you know, obviously done in contrast to what is there.

I'm not sure -- it's a little bit of an odd duck because they're trying to keep the scale of it down as low as possible and not -- there's no way I don't -- it would be very challenging to try to replicate what's below when you're dealing with such a, you know --
*BACK TO REGULAR RECORDING*
-- band of space. Could it be done more
sensitively? Maybe there's another approach that's not quite as high contrast. But $I$ understand that the kind of challenge that they're facing there, with a very different floor height or apparent floor to floor height on that top layer there. So it's a little bit of a hat that's been put on top of the house.

I'm not sure --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I think looking at it, you know, frontal, is that the addition is obviously offcentered, because you want to preserve some decking space up there. And, you know, $I$ don't know if eliminating that decking space up there and moving it -- you know, to the left as we face the top right drawing there would soften it.

Maybe it's the windows. You know, I don't know. There's just something about it that -- I just, I can't get past that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I understand their need and -you know, emphasize with all of that. But it's a tough one for me to -- hurdle to get over.

Jim, any thoughts?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is Jim Monteverde. I was -- before you spoke, Brendan, I was trying to figure out how to express a similar sentiment, but in a way that maybe kind of led to -- I don't want to say a solution, but I'm looking at the rendered version. It has the proposed -- the existing condition, the proposed, and then the two front elevations.

And when I compare the existing building -- and I understand that renderings kind of make these things flatten out and get a bit simpler -- but the top just looks...

And I understand Laura's point about differentiating yourself from the -- you know, the addition from the space below, but the addition above just looks really -- I'll call it undeveloped, compared to the character of the building below in terms of the scale, the coloration, the detail of it.

And it just seems -- you know, as much as the stair tower seems to be of a different language but it works, the top floor addition just seems to not relate to either the stair tower or the building itself. And it just seems to compete.

And in fact, to me it just overwhelms it. Can't take my eye off it. So -- and I can't see how it's in character with the neighborhood with the adjacent structures. And again, it's not trying to be repetitive or contextual, just more in sympathy with the existing building.

So I share the same thing. I think some of it may be coloration. You know, I scratch -- I'm just looking here trying to color the top a different color to see if you could make it recede.

But $I$ think just the pattern, the regular pattern of the square windows, you know, even though the space beyond not relating to how the space may be used beyond just seems to be unreconciled with the existing building.

So Brendan, I share your discomfort with how that top floor is handled, in terms of its detailing and its materiality.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea? Any --
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. So I share sort of similar -- I won't say concerns; they're really sort of design issues that I have. I'm wondering -- sort of the horizontal nature of the windows is not sort of congruent with the vertical
windows below in either the purple tower or on either side. Is there a way to perhaps have fewer windows and have them be more vertical?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: The short answer is yes, absolutely. And let me just address it. The contrast was intentional, clearly.

This building -- and Sisia, if you go up to the existing photos for a second, if you can zoom in? This building has a -- it's very powerful, I think, architecturally. It has a very deep cornice -- unusually deep. That's what was there on the original building.

It was potentially all of those brackets were -- I know, because $I$ was the architect on that project 10 years ago -- were sort of painstakingly either refinished or actually rebuilt.

The addition that we are proposing I wanted it to try to be as nondescript. I was thinking sort of simple detailing, simple corners, a light material. In my drawings I call out a vertical standing, see metal again -- something reflective, something that would reflect the sky. But a rework of the windows is certainly possible if that might tie it.

I mean, of course the clients are interested in the space, and desperately need the space. So we are absolutely open to trying to satisfy or perhaps create some better renderings -- you know, that are more accurate, or -I think Jim, you said that the center...

I think it was Jim -- that said the center column of stairs on that driveway side, which you can see on that right-hand image there -- those are done in a shingle, and obviously with a sort of a playful coloration...

In order to set them off against the mass of the basic townhouses themselves, that material -- that shingle material, could be brought up and wrapped around. And perhaps that might simplify, so instead of having sort of three events on this building, perhaps there could be two. I could definitely see that as --

And then to Andrea's point to sort of work with the windows, perhaps make them more in the same language, and perhaps relating. You know, it's just one room behind them, essentially. So those windows would in that room would be high up -- again, given the height of the parapet, given the fact that those windows are already set high they're going to be very -- you know, nobody's going to be
looking into that room, and someone would have to sort of walk right up to the window to look out. They are fairly high up.

But the short answer is yes. We'd be happy to try to come up with some other solutions that were more palatable to the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What about -- Campbell, what about shifting it to the left?

LAURA WERNICK: I think it wants to be symmetrical around the stair tower.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
LAURA WERNICK: The two -- the two spaces are symmetrical with the stair tower in the center?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: They are indeed.
LAURA WERNICK: We don't want to shift it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, they are on the driveway side. I'm saying that the front of the building -- you know, front on, you -- it's shifted to the right. What I'm saying is if you shift it to the left, you balance it. So -- again, you know, I'm not going to try to redesign your project for you, it's just that it's sort of like one of those things, the eye of the beholder.

And again, in reading your pleadings, $I$ fully understand, sympathize with your need and the trials and tribulations of trying to balance home and work and everything, but to me it was just kind of -- I don't know. I can't get by the design of it.

And again, if you look at the bottom right, see how that is shifted off to the right as opposed to sort of more centered?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Right. And I didn't -- I didn't exactly know. I mean, we're happy, Mr. Sullivan, if you think that by filling in across, in my mind it simply increases the mass that's on the street, as opposed to sort of cutting it back with that small deck, which you can see. That little shift to the right creates that little deck up on the top left. That could easily be filled in and yes, you would have a more balanced mass up on top of the body of the building, albeit there is a bay that -- you know, that two-story bay.

We didn't want to kind of fill in that bay and replicate that. That would have been quite literal, and --

LAURA WERNICK: I think that you're hearing the Board's desire to see another version rather than us trying
to design it.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Sure, sure, sure.
LAURA WERNICK: I did like the notion -- now that I've said that, I'm going to make a suggestion -- you know, you're making the two materials rather than having three materials. There's no indication certainly in the rendering here that that top is metal, which $I$ think is very interesting.

And maybe instead of having the purple and green clapboard, that the metal comes down the stairs rather than the clapboard wrapping around. I like the idea of having contrast --

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Mm-hm.
LAURA WERNICK: -- to my mind. To be able to -perhaps the end walls, the short end walls could -- the metal could actually angle in, to make it appear less --

ANDREA HICKEY: Severe, maybe?
LAURA WERNICK: -- less severe from the street? Working with the windows. Certainly, I think you could simplify the -- or, not simplify but make the windows a little bit more compatible.

I think it is very different from what's below.

And I think to try and think that we're going to replicate what's below is not what you should be doing.

I think from my perspective, it's a -- the house is based on a certain amount of Victorian detail and proportions, and those proportions just don't exist up above. And it would be a falsehood to kind of pretend that they did.

So I think maybe there may be some tweaking to set back slightly, to angle it back slightly, adjust the windows a little bit, think about materials again. And --

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Happy to do it, happy to do it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. One thing: Slater, do you have any thoughts, comments?

SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah. No, I tend to concur with everybody. I mean, what I see is either you go the path of you make it more a vernacular, like a three-decker and you raise -- the cornice is an obstacle to what you're trying to do -- or you do, like a mansard kind of design above the cornice that, as Laura was saying, sort of softens the shape of it. It's communication with the windows below.
It's just -- as designed it does look like it was
just dropped on top of the house, and it doesn't -- I sympathize with the intent. I just, I think that architecturally it's a little bit of Tower of Babel.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. On that note, then, you've heard our comments. And should I make a motion then to continue this matter?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Could I ask one question? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- please? [This is Jim
Monteverde.] Do you have an issue -- we relief for the side yard setback on the third floor to your west?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: To the north, south, east, west, no.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Not the driveway, the opposite side.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: The bottom? Yeah, I'm going to call that the south, I believe.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, you -- I'm sorry, the drawing -- I was just looking at your drawing, where it calls out that you need such, and I don't see it. And I read -- it's on your drawing A1.1, I think.

Yeah, it's on the roof plan. There's a note that
basically says there's a seven-and-a-half foot -- not that one, nope, keep going -- not the existing, the new; the A1. 1.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yeah, we --
JIM MONTEVERDE: That one, there. There.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right there, yeah.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the bottom one, if you look at the bottom one there, or it just says -- see the note in the upper right-hand corner, minimum 7.5 required setback? CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yes, that's correct. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So --

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: I mean, right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You need relief for that, don't you?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yes, we do. We need relief. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: So that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I couldn't read that clearly in the zoning statement.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: I see. Okay, yes. I mean --
JIM MONTEVERDE: So that's a variance request for
to be able to be built or however you want to submit. You need relief to be able to build that piece of it on the third floor, correct?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Into the setback. And that of course -- so that would be the minimum. That would be the minimum required setback in the $C-1$ zone.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: So that's the line that I've established there on that plan. So you can see that those two pieces of the plan that extend into it -- those are the bathrooms.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. So you just, you need that relief, I believe.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Right. And there is -- if you take a look on the left-hand side?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, right, yeah, yeah, yeah.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: There's another similar one. There's a 10-foot-setback, and we're slightly into that as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So it's your front yard and side yard setback you need relief for?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: That is correct. And those
are the bases -- well, that and GFA. Those are the setback reliefs that we're looking for.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Side, and then GFA. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, okay.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: I would just ask, but

Chairman I'll sort of defer to you, but I promised my client I've had their children in their arms (sic) -- that they would be able to speak. I don't know if that is necessary at this point for you?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I would save it until we come back, actually.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. May I just ask, I think -- I think it was Slater or perhaps Ms. Warner who said that -- I think Ms. Warner you had suggested at some point sort of tilting the walls in. Are you -- does that -and then someone said mansard?

Was that what you were thinking when you --
SLATER ANDERSON: I was thinking mansard with maybe the dormer to the windows, you know, like, pop out like mansard dormers, you know?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Right, right.

SLATER ANDERSON: To capture maybe some of the verticality of the windows below.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
SLATER ANDERSON: That was one idea, but you're the architect.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. Well, I just -- I didn't -- I wasn't quite sure about the mansard or the tilted wall part. That's always intriguing.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So I'm going to make a motion, then, to continue this matter -- Sisia when?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I think May 13 is the next available date.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May what?
SISIA DAGLIAN: May 13.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May 13. Campbell, will that give you enough time?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yes. Oh, absolutely. More than --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May 13?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: May 13.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Petitioners are available? Dolmans (phonetic) yes? Okay.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Dolmans.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Board members available
May 13?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, this is Jim Monteverde.
SLATER ANDERSON: Are we doing 6:00 or 7:00 p.m. on these?

LAURA WERNICK: 6:00.
JIM MONTEVERDE: 6:00 p.m.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 6:00 p.m. On the motion to continue this matter to May 13 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to request the new date of May 13 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

That the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and rendering -- and a decision to be rendered thereafter within five business days from tonight. Should be at the hand of the Inspectional Services Zoning Secretary, Maria Pacheco.

And also that should there be new drawings, to resubmit any other dimensional forms that it be in the file on the Monday prior -- by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 13, 2021 hearing.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter,

Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I vote in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And carrying up the Ws, Laura Wernick?

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
LAURA WERNICK: Always at the end. Laura Wernick
in favor of the continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes to continuing.
[All vote YES]
See you on the May 13.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
SLATER ANDERSON: All right. I think that's it
for me, Brendan. Does that sound right?

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we hate to see you go, but I think so, yes. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for coming. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thanks, Slater. SLATER ANDERSON: Well, we just made a date for May 13.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There we go.
(9:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Andrea Hickey

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 109095 -- 143 Hamilton Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SEAN HOPE: Good evening Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. For the record, Attorney Sean Hope, Hope Legal Law Offices in Cambridge. I am here on behalf of the petitioner. We have Matt Marshall. And we are here proposing decks on part of a renovation of a two-family structure.

This is a straightforward case in many ways. This is a renovation that is including two rooftop decks. The proposal meets the dimensional requirements of the ordinance.

The reason why we're requesting a special permit is because the decks are above the second floor; therefore they count as gross floor area. So we are at that 25 percent of a nonconforming structure.

So just for some context, this site is located in a C-3 district, which is pretty rare for Cambridgeport. And so a C-3 district allows for a 3.0 FAR. The proposal is far below that, due to the constraint of the site. But the decks themselves are a part of that, and they trigger that 25 percent relief.

Oftentimes the Board with decks are concerned about privacy. This lot is situated in such a position that it's adjacent to the rear yard of the LBG affordable housing development.

So on the right side of this property is a very large rear yard, and to the left is a driveway. So that the decks are not going to impact the privacy, to the extent that that's a concern.

So this image on the screen here is the proposal. On the left side of the structure is a driveway and an entrance. And as you can see these decks are pulled back from the side.

This is also a condo setup building. So although there is some yard area, there's very little outdoor open space for this condo. The other unit in the rear has exclusive rights to most of the yard area. So this would be
the only functional private open space for the occupants of each of the dwelling units.

So that the -- I believe that the other unit owner, in order to file the application, had to consent to this application. There was some outreach during this -- if you went by the site, you'll see that the property is being renovated under a building permit. So there have been some neighbors who have been involved.

The developer has reached out to them in preparation for this application, and as far as we've been reported, there hasn't been any stated opposition.

So the architect is also here as well, and is here to answer any questions on the proposal. But the special permit is on the decks. And the other parts of the renovation are as-of-right, under a validly issued building permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The size of the decks, the floor area?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do you want to speak to that, Nick?

NICK LANDRY: Yes, can you hear me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

NICK LANDRY: So each one of the decks is about 165 square feet. So the total is about 330 for both of them. And the extra private --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 330 square feet on the top of the building?

NICK LANDRY: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I'll just make a comment at this point, and particularly for your benefit, Mr. Landry, this Board generally does not look with favor upon roof decks. We've generally denied them, and we do it because -- I think -- because this is a densely occupied city, and in dense neighbors, roof decks can cause disruption to the peace and quiet and tranquility of neighboring properties.

They may be great in the South End, but they don't usually fit well in Cambridge.

And in fact, with regard to what you're proposing, we have neighborhood opposition, for the reason I've just cited. And I'll read those letters at an appropriate time.

But I'm very -- I can only tell you I'm one of five -- I cannot support relief for this.

It also should be pointed out you do have yard
area -- not like it's cheek to jowl, so you don't need outdoor space only on the roof. There's yard area to be used. Maybe it's not as much as people would like, but that's Cambridge. So anyway, that's my view.

SEAN HOPE: Understanding that, Mr. Chair. And maybe it might be helpful to walk through the site a little bit. So I do think, and understanding that privacy is always an issue, and we would be informed by whatever letters of opposition. But $I$ do think there are particular keys to this site which I think might soften the Board.

Is it possible to flip through the slides?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.

SEAN HOPE: Okay. So the house is -- on the lefthand screen the house is front and center, right? And so to the right is the rear yard of the LBGA, LBG apartment. So there's probably about a 40 -foot rear yard that is the back yard of a 50-foot apartment.

That apartment building has 40 plus units in it, and they all have rear balconies. So in terms of privacy, there's actually more people who are looking on this property than this property looking on outside areas.

On the left of the property -- and maybe you can
go to the next slide, Nick or Sisia, please?
Right, so on the picture on the left is the left side. That's the existing entrance. And there's a driveway that services the rear house that is part of this two-unit development. That rear yard house, based on the condo docs has exclusive use of the yard area, and that is how the condo docs are written.

And so, although there is -- as the Chair specified, it's not cheek to jowl as you rightly pointed out. That back condo unit owns exclusive rights to all that yard area, which is one of the reasons why we're proposing these upper decks.

If you can go to the next slide, Nick or Sisia?
Right. And so, we've already seen the decks. Is it possible to go to a site plan? I think that may be helpful to look at how the lot is laid out.
[Pause]
Yeah. Okay. This is a good one. So as you see, the lot is triangular in the back. And so, you would see from not seeing the condo docs that that whole back yard area would be open for use of the occupants. So that rear yard area in the back of the house is primarily in that --
if you want to speak in more detail, but that is exclusive use for that back yard.

So these decks -- and this is also a good view, because you can see these decks are looking onto a driveway, and also looking onto a rear yard of a 40-foot -- excuse me a 40-unit, a very large LBJ building.

So I'd be interested to hear the letter, but in terms of privacy, there's an open yard on one side that is part of a large, multifamily development. And then the other part of the yard and the driveway is owned by the other condo owners of the property. And then there's a street.

So as far as I can see, I do think that the privacy issues, which are prevalent in Cambridge -especially when you have very tight lots -- this is a situation where you don't have a tight lot, you don't have ample open space.

And -- you know, I would think unless there's concerns and I'm willing to listen, it seems like the project issues wouldn't be as prevalent as they would even across the street or in other parts of Cambridgeport.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anything else,
or any questions from members of the Board? Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [This is Jim Monteverde.] I just
have a question. How do you access the roof? Is that what I would call a coffin cover door that gets you up to the deck?

NICK LANDRY: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So you avoid a head house that way?

NICK LANDRY: Correct, yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And is the Commissioner on the line -- at the hearing? My question is, I had no idea how to use that myself in my own architectural practice, but I was a long time ago. And I couldn't do it since. I always had to use a head house to get up to a deck.

And I was curious if there was any code, which is not the purview of this group, but building code that says you actually can't do that? Or -- yeah. Do you know, Mr. Landry?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim, people have been using it
successfully. There have been railings incorporated into it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: When it comes to permitting, we worked it out.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, okay. Thank you. That's all.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Well, I agree with you, Mr. Chair, that we generally don't look favorably upon roof decks. You know, the area that is exclusive use outdoors to the other unit, my comment to that would be that the petitioner knew that when he bought into this project.

And there's nothing stopping him from maybe negotiating with the other unit owner to get some use of outdoor space.

But in general, we don't look favorably on these roof decks, and so far I've not been swayed that this is something that's really necessary.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I guess I'm more sympathetic because of the apartment building that's already looking
down over all of this area with balconies. This is not a huge roof deck. It's about the size of a relatively small bedroom at each side.

So I guess I -- without the yard area, and given the last year that we've gone through, I'm somewhat more sympathetic to the need to provide some help and mental wellness being able to have some outdoor space that someone can take advantage of.

And in this type of area, where there seems to be lots of balconies, I'm not sure that the noise issues that are usually such a concern are quite as valid. I'd like to hear the abutters. But that's where I am at this moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll take a few moments to see if anyone's calling in or wishes to speak.
[Pause]
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Nope? There is no indication that anyone wishes to speak. We do have letters in our file -- two, to be precise. And I will read those into the record. One is from Bob Binstock, who resides at 157 Hamilton Street.
"I have owned and lived at 157 Hamilton Street for almost 30 years. The proposed roof deck would be directly visible from our bedroom window, and more importantly would be a potential source of noisy disturbances in the warm weather.
"The houses from 143 to 161 Hamilton and around the corner to 204 Pearl Street are at extremely close quarters, a and it takes a lot of neighborly consideration to maintain reasonable peace and quiet. At 157, we now have two new houses under construction behind us at 196 Pearl.
"I am all for new housing units in Cambridge. We put one into our house. But I am quite concerned about maintaining that peace and quiet.
"143-145 does have significant outdoor space behind it, and a roof deck does not seem to be a necessity. I will defer to the residents of the houses closest to 143145, or at $147,149,151$. If they support the roof deck, I will -- I do not object. But if there is not clear support
for it among those closest, I do not support it either."
And I will just comment that we do not have any
written communications from the residents of 147, 149, 151.
We also have another letter from a Johnathan
Delgado -- D-e-l-g-a-d-o, who resides at 136 Appleton Street.
"In regard to the Board of Zoning Appeal case -" -- this is our case -- "-- where the petitioner, 143-145 Hamilton Street, LLC, proposes adding a roof deck to the structure that is 143 and 145 Hamilton Street, I would like to state that I am opposed to this petition.
"The current structure is a side-by-side twofamily. It is quite close to the street, and three stories tall, which would only be made larger if it gets converted to a flat roof.
"Three stories seems to be the typical max height in our neighborhood. And I have never seen a three-story structure which also has a roof deck on top of it. I feel this is out of line with the feel of the neighborhood. Housing here is admittedly dense and only getting denser, it seems.
"But with the exception of the LBJ Apartments, the
structures aren't looming higher on the streets."
And there is another comment where the person speculates another purpose for the roof deck. I don't think it's relevant to our case tonight. And that's all the written communications $I$ have in our file.

So I'll close public testimony. Ready for a vote or discussion?

SEAN HOPE: Gus, could I make a couple of comments? And they will be brief. So one, I -- the objection about the 136 Hamilton, I would like to point out, you know, that is across the street. And it's pretty clear to me based on the letter that his objection is about the size of the dwelling.

Whether or not you granted the special permit, that would not change the size of the three-story structure. This actually -- it could actually -- this site could actually take a much taller structure, because of the C-3 zoning, but that's not what we're proposing.

I would also say too maybe except for the privacy screen, the deck itself is not going to be visible. So frankly, the objection to 136 Hamilton to me sounds like they're more to do with the building than what we're
actually applying for, the special permit for the deck.
To Mr. Binstock's comment, so he isn't a direct
abutter. Frankly I used to live a street over on Erie Street, so I know Mr. Binstock and I know this area very well. But I would say that he's got 40 plus balconies that are facing his property on Hamilton Street.

I really don't believe that these two decks the way they're set back is going to get to add to the ambient noise or privacy in such aa way that would preclude the Board from approving this.

And then lastly -- and I agree with the Board, this is not a claim that this is a necessity. If we were requesting a hardship or a variance or setback, then we would have to prove somehow that this was needed, and there's a substantial hardship. But this is not. This is a special permit, and $I$ believe that we meet the requirements of the special permit.

So I just -- and I know the Board knows this, but I would just like to establish this for the record that there's no impact on traffic generated or patterns of access or egress that would cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change to the neighborhood character.
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If you want to object to the size of the building, I could say that would be -- but that again, is not the focus of our application. It's solely on the decks.

The continued operation of adjacent uses: This is an area with substantial decks. Mr. Binstock talked about a property next to his on 196 Pearl Street. That is going to have a deck on it as well.

So again, $I$ don't believe that this is going to change substantially the character of the neighborhood.

Nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use: that is not the case... or the citizens of the city.

Again, I would say that this is because of the location next to LBJ this is not going to be a negative impact, it's pulled away. And I don't believe we're going to be adding any kind of substantial detriment to the public good.

And lastly, I would like to add although we know that COVID-19 will not be here forever, there is a reality that the developer and the owner does not have the right unilaterally to change the condo docs. And I do believe
that private open space and fresh air is at a premium. I do think that even though outdoor space, which is common, is going to be less effective than people looking to have private outdoor space. And I think that the roof deck serves that.

So I think solely based on the Special Permit Criteria, not the fact that this is a necessity, I would say that we do meet the Special Permit Criteria. I do believe that decks are consistent throughout the neighborhood.

And lastly, I would contend the -- one of the letters of opposition said that if the other condo unit owner I believe didn't object, they wouldn't object. And Matt Marshall, who is the developer, have you had conversations with that unit owner that was referenced in Mr. Binstock's letter?

MATT MARSHALL: So I've had conversation with Unit \#3 and also the condo owner to the left, where the driveway is. I had a lengthy conversation with her outside of the property. It was great. There were no issues. I actually ended up calling her, because she requested for us to help her repoint part of her property.

So I've had no issues, no opposition from either
of those condo owners.

SEAN HOPE: But just to be clear, is this the same owner that was referenced in the letter? Because there was a specific address, and I just want to be clear that if you had talked to that owner who was referenced in Bob Binstock's letter?

MATT MARSHALL: That's correct, yes, at 149.
SEAN HOPE: And you're representing that she did not any opposition to the proposal?

MATT MARSHALL: I didn't get into lengthy discussion about the roof decks, but I did mention that we were applying. And there was no issue behind that, yes.

SEAN HOPE: Okay. I think that's it, Mr. Chair. But I do believe in retrospect, it would have been helpful to have a letter from her to speak directly to that.

Because if we did have evidence that she was in support, then there would only be one letter of opposition. It would be from the direct abutter at 136 Hamilton.

And I think for reasons that I've argued previously, $I$ think his objection is based more on the size of the building, and less --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope, I'm not -- I don't
think we should speculate --
SEAN HOPE: Fair enough.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- or qualify. The letters are what they are.

SEAN HOPE: Fair enough.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But two different people took the time to write letters, and they've written the letters that we -- I read. Anyone else, I don't know what their attitudes are, for or against or what have you.

I do know that they were not involved enough to write a letter, and you know as well as I that many, many people in this city do take the time to write letters when they are concerned about a zoning proposal.

SEAN HOPE: Understood.
MATT MARSHALL: I think my only point was I felt the focus of the letter was not specific towards the roof deck, but that was my interpretation and I respect them for their own opinions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. So we're back to where we were before. Do we want to have further description, or do you want me just to make the motion and we vote on it? What's the pleasure of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm ready for [Brendan
Sullivan] I'm ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're ready for a vote? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Time for a motion. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Everybody else ready for a
vote?

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, are we sure that there's nobody from the public that wishes to be heard? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm turning to Sisia. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, no one wants to be heard. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. No one wishes to be heard.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will make the motion to grant the special permit. And we'll take our vote. Let me just get my papers together.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit that's being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or
egress which would result from the proposed roof decks will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of what is being proposed.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally the proposed use will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by -- geez -- DRT, three letters -- DRT LLC dated January 27, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan opposed to
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Opposed.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Opposed. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no to granting the

```
relief.
```

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick in favor of granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair vote not to grant relief.
[BRENDAN SULLIVAN AGAINST OPPOSED; JIM MONTEVERDE
NO; ANDREA HICKEY NO; LAURA WERNICK YES; CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER NO.]

So four votes against, and four votes to grant the relief that's required. The special permit is denied. We need one more vote to express the reason for those who voted against the special permit.

I'll make a motion for everyone's consideration
that this proposal could have an adverse effect on the neighborhood, as witnessed by testimony that those in the neighborhood who took the time to get involved in this case have written an objection to the relief being sought.

That there could be a change. This all could open
the door for a substantial change in established neighborhood character could lead to further roof decks, and that that does have an adverse impact on this densely occupied section of the city.

That -- I think those are the two that I would cite. Anyone want to add to that, or is that sufficient? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I think that's sufficient. JIM MONTEVERDE: That's good. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Brendan, you voted --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would agree with the counter. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would agree with your countermotion, yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Jim?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the reason. JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde], I'd agree.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing to add, I'm in agreement.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has not
chosen to disagree with what you just said, so I agree as well.
[All vote NO TO THE SPECIAL PERMIT]
Those are the reasons why we've denied the special permit. Case over.
(9:34 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Andrea Hickey

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 96217 -- 173 Coolidge Hill. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

THEODORE SCHWARTZ: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours, sir. Hello, thank you. I just want to thank the Board for the time tonight to hear our petition. And --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You can introduce yourself for the record, sir.

THEODORE SCHWARTZ: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm Ted Schwartz from Conia Construction, and I'm presenting tonight on behalf of my client, Giovanni Parmigiani, who is also in attendance, or $I$ think he is. And he may chime in if $I$ end up leaving something out. But we'll see how this goes.

Giovanni is seeking a special permit and a variance for a nonconforming structure. The special permit is for adding four windows along the western side elevation
of the residence, and the variance is for 75 square-foot entryway roof. I've been --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Am I correct -- I'm sorry to interrupt you.

THEODORE SCHWARTZ: That's all right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The entryway structure is there; you're just talking about adding the roof to it?

THEODORE SCHWARTZ: Correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're not expanding -not that it makes, may not make a difference -- you're not expanding the size of the entryway, are you?

THEODORE SCHWARTZ: No, no. So we're not increasing, like any square footage of, like, inhabitable space. We're just taking a roof that -- a flat roof that's on top of a little bump out, and we want to extend it over the entry walkway to protect the entry door.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.
THEODORE SCHWARTZ: Okay. So I've been working with Giovanni since he moved into his new residence with his family a few years ago, and we've been renovating it.

And one of the things that we've done is we took the old kitchen and we moved it to the back of the house,
and the area that was the old kitchen is now the new living room.

And the elevation of that exterior wall works well enough for that kitchen, because there is just one bay window above the old kitchen sink.

But for a new living room, it really doesn't work out well and doesn't relate to the living room and furnishings, and really as you're sitting enjoying that as you're sitting enjoying that space, how you communicate with the outdoors, and the lack of daylighting and ventilation through that very deep, deep room.

And it's shown on the plans, the three windows that we're hoping to add in that area. They're highlighted in, like, a pinkish color.

So we're looking for three casement windows in that room, and those three casement windows are set back from the property line 14.8 feet. The requirement in that zoning district is 15 feet, so -- you know, we're very near the cusp, but we're inside of the setback, so we definitely need to request a special permit.

And that elevation of the house benefits already both my client's point of view and the neighbor's point of
view, because there's sheds along the property line, along with shrubbery and evergreens. So there's a good amount of screening already from my client's side yard to the neighboring property's back yard.

So that elevation we're looking for those three casement windows in the living room, along with one casement window that would be placed in the new kitchen above the new kitchen sink, if that makes sense.

I don't know if it would help to pull up the plans, and just -- there we go, I'm looking at it now. So if you look on the top edge of the -- the top line of the plans, you'll see that there's three new windows called out, that's the living room.

And you can see just by the shape and scale of that living room it's a very big kind of spacious room with not a lot of windows along that whole elevation. And it has low ceilings, sub eight feet. So it's kind of dark there in the daytime.

And then in the top right-hand corner of the plan, you'll see that's where we're requesting the one new casement window above the kitchen sink. And I think that --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Am I correct that this
neighborhood, Coolidge Hill, that your client's structure looms over the road, actually? It's on a hillside?

And that it's very irregular, the lot is very irregular, so there is no privacy issues that might arise from the window changes, that often arise in other sections of Cambridge?

THEODORE SCHWARTZ: It doesn't seem like it'll be a problem from -- again, from our perspective in the yard that the windows look out to, there's -- you know, there's already a good amount of screening in between that and the neighbor's expansive rear yard that it abuts.

And then from the road, the three new windows in the living room are barely able to be seen -- just at certain angles as you look through the lattice of the fence.

And then the kitchen window is just you can't see it from the road, and the neighbors wouldn't have a clear view of that either.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No questions from me.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no questions as well. So I'll now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a second to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
Apparently not. So there is -- no one wishes to comment. We do have several letters of support for the proposition, the two forms of relief that are being sought. No letters of opposition; the letters of support, no letters of opposition.

I will now close public testimony. I think we're ready for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that -- we've got to votes to take, let me get my notes out -- with regard to the variance being sought, the Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, the hardship being is that there is a need to have a covered entryway, given the location of the building on the site and the nature of the site generally. And this need is not peculiar to the current occupant of the structure, it runs with the structure itself.

The hardship is owing to really nature of the lot -- the shape and the soil conditions and the -- or topography more than anything else, such that there is a need for a change with regard to the front entryway.

And relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. In this regard, the relief being sought is rather modest in nature, it improves the inhabitability of the structure, particularly with regard to the covered entryway, and it has neighborhood support and apparently no neighborhood
opposition.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by American Dural, D-u-r-a-l Residential Design dated July 20,2020.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.

```
[All vote YES]
Unanimous vote, the variance is granted.
```

Turning to the special permit, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit -- the special permit relating to the relocation of windows.

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit:

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress that would result from the window change will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And again, $I$ would cite the fact that this is an unusual -- for Cambridge anyway -- this topography of this site is very unusual; hilly, rocky on a side of a hill and not a lot of houses all around, compared to many of the parts of Cambridge.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature what is being proposed. Again, the windows speak for themselves given the nature of the structure and its location on the lot.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the
occupant, or the citizens of the city.
And generally, what is being proposed will not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, the relief from the special permit
is modest in nature. It's essential to -- not essential, but important for the continued viability of the structure for residential purposes. And again, it has no neighborhood opposition.

So the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans that were referred in the variance we just granted.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the
special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

The Chair -- the special permit is unanimously
granted. Case over. Congratulations, petitioner. COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(9:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Andrea Hickey

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have one last case. The Chair will call Case Number 109452 -- 56 Creighton Street. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

ROBERT LINN: I do.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Name and address, sir. ROBERT LINN: My name is Robert Linn, and I am the architect working on this project along with Katherine Signell, and we are representing Duncan MacArthur.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Keep going.
ROBERT LINN: We are here -- I'm sorry --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I said keep going.
ROBERT LINN: Okay, thank you. So we're here again. We've presented this project to the Board back on the nineteenth of November, and we're here sort of hat in hand, somewhat embarrassed to be back again because we found out as we got deeper into the sort of existing conditions -preconstruction work inside the building, that the existing
certified plot plan that we had been using was actually incorrect.

We had used the surveyor's perimeter of the building to calculate our GFA, and to sort of lay out the interior and the head houses of the building assuming that the entire structure was essentially 14 inches bigger on two sides than what it actually was.

So that sent us somewhat back to the drawing board in terms of kind of trying to maintain the integrity of our original scheme and reworking the interior somewhat, but primarily reworking the head houses, so that the net result would be ultimately the same GFA that we were approved for under the last hearing, with the only exterior changes being changes to the head house.

The benefit of what's happened is we do have a little bit more open space, and it actually allowed us what we think is maybe a better configuration of the head houses.

Before we had them spread out into two different sections on the roof, and we realized at one point that we actually had some space on the roof where one unit from Unit \#3 could walk over the roof of Unit \#2.

So by actually pulling them altogether, each of
the head houses now is only above -- the space of the roof deck and the head houses is only above the unit that's directly below it. So they're not going to be hearing somebody above their heads.

We felt that there's really no substantial change to this. No change in height. We're not asking for any change in setback or requesting a GFA change. It's really just a reconfiguration of the head houses, and we've added some square footage to the head houses in order to keep the GFA that we had originally been approved for.

We felt that the neighbors most affected by this would be the ones directly behind, that are the back of the structures adjoining their back yard. And so, we met with them. We showed them the plans. They were completely fine with the change. And so, I think that we're -- I'm hoping that we're, really this is more of a technicality.

But that's it. I'm happy to sort of walk through the plans if we need to go through it in more detail. But that's the gist of why we're back again.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think that's necessary, but answer a question for me, and that is to confirm what $I$ don't think it's necessary. The plans that
you've presented for tonight's hearing, are they substantially the same plans that we approved when we granted the variance the last time around?

ROBERT LINN: They're substantially the same plans with changes primarily to the head house level.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions or complaints.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions at all.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing $* 9$ and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: C. Pierola?
COSTANZA PIEROLA: Hi. Hi, [Costanza Pierola]
Hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
COSTANZA PIEROLA: Can you hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear you, yes.
COSTANZA PIEROLA: Hi. Well, I'm a neighbor from
Fordham (phonetic) Road, and I went to the --
THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, what's your name?

COSTANZA PIEROLA: Costanza Pierola, and the -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Maybe you better spell
that. Excuse me, ma'am, could you spell that for our stenographer, please?

COSTANZA PIEROLA: It says here right on my -- it
says, "C. Pierola." It's right there in my iPad thing.
THE REPORTER: Yeah, your first name, please?

COSTANZA PIEROLA: Costanza.
THE REPORTER: Again, please?

CONSTANZA PIEROLA: Costanza -- C-o-s-t-a-n-z-a.
She was the daughter of Constantine.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ha, ha, ha. I'm the

Constantine.

CONSTANZA PIEROLA: Right, but I'm talking about the big guy, the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
CONSTANZA PIEROLA: -- emperor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
ANDREA HICKEY: He is a big guy.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Start calling him, "Emperor." I like it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Really nice.
CONSTANZA PIEROLA: I did go to the meeting -- I think maybe it was a year ago or something, where he -- oh, I forget his name -- MacArthur I guess, he presented this project and it's great that they are converting it into homes there. I walk by there all the time, several times a day and it would make it a lot safer to have homes there, that's for sure.

But I notice there's some changes being done in terms of the envelope which we promised at that meeting we brought up a couple of issues, and the -- Mr. MacArthur suggested that there was going to be no change to the
envelope.
Right now it seems like there is a change. So it's a little bit of a change. And maybe it's an opportunity to really establish his second promise, which was to maintain the right of way.

There's a permanent, public right of way for many of us who have been using it for over 40 years, I guess -at least in my case. Maybe there's people who have used it longer -- right in between, right to the side of the building in between there and the railroad tracks.

So it goes down to Regent Street, and that right now has some Jersey barriers, and it's a little hard to get through. But, you know, that's okay. We know it's construction.

But I think it's -- this is a real opportunity to formalize this promise by putting this -- registering this in the deed to make sure that the permanent public right of way is in the deed so if this property gets sold, we don't lose it, or we don't have to go through this again.

So that's my main issue here -- that I'm hoping that you'll make that a condition of giving this variance, if you are inclined to give a variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I understand what you're saying -- I think I understand what you're saying. But I don't think that we can condition a variance on what you want to happen. That's a separate issue.

And here we have really a technical case, as you heard, that we granted a variance before -- did once before, and because of an error that's not attributable to the petitioner, they have to come back and get new relief.

So I'm sure the owner of the property will take your comments into consideration. But I do not want to put any condition on any variance we might grant tonight, as you requested.

Thank you anyway, though.
CONSTANZA PIEROLA: Can I -- okay.
ROBERT LINN: I could just speak to that quickly. There's no intent to block off that walkway. So the -- what we discussed in the public hearing still holds true. People will still be able to walk through the site and access, get around to Regent Street or, you know, people cut through there to get to the Porter Square $T$, which is great.

We've also worked with Community Development to allow passage for what we would hope will be a bike path
that will run along the tracks.
And in our inner plans that are part of what we're presenting to the Board, we're showing where we will have a public access through the site to allow access for a future -- potential future bike path, which would provide you with something very much like what you're talking about in a very formal way through the city.

So I think you're going to be fine, regardless of whether it's in the deed or not. You're -- what you have requested is part of what we've designed in our project.

ANDREA HICKEY: Hi --
CONSTANZA PIEROLA: If it's designed, does that mean it's enforceable? I'm just not -- I don't quite understand that.

ANDREA HICKEY: It's --

CONSTANZA PIEROLA: Like, when you sell the property. That's what I'm worried about.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- if I could ask a question, this is Andrea Hickey -- Mr. Linn, who owns that walkway of that land?

ROBERT LINN: So the actual land right up against the track is part of MBTA property. And then the land
that's between the building and that property is owned by Duncan MacArthur, the developer. That's what we're using for our open space and parking as part of this whole project, which is something that we've been through multiple hearings with now two with the Planning Board -- one with the Planning Board and two with BZA, and I feel like we've sort of covered all of the exterior stuff.

And we really tried very hard to listen to the needs of the neighbors. And I think their needs are going to be met.

ANDREA HICKEY: Again, just so that I'm understanding: The pathway that Ms. Pierola is talking about, that land is owned by the petitioner, Mr. MacArthur?

ROBERT LINN: Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. I just wanted some clarity on that. Frankly, I don't think we have the ability to sort of implement any deed writers in connection with any relief that we might award tonight. So I'm not sure that with what Ms. Pierola is requesting is even possible within our powers. So I'll defer to the Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Anyone else wishes to comment.

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There are no other
comments, so I'll close public testimony.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, sorry. Sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Michael Brandon.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Michael Brandon?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess so.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Michael, go ahead.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Brandon?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: three minutes.

MICHAEL BRANDON: All right. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman and members of the Board. Thank you for your stamina. I'll try not to tax you too much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Michael
Brandon, 27 Seven Pines Avenue. I'm the clerk of the North Cambridge Stabilization Committee. We did -- shortly after Mr. MacArthur bought the property that had him and Mr. Linn, the Architect, appear before us, and we did discuss various issues.

At that point, they weren't even sure how many
units they were going to propose in this conversion. And I gather they've now -- what we were told was they were going to get a special permit, but would not be expanding down the existing building envelope.

Now, I gather from the discussion tonight that they did ultimately obtain a special permit from the Planning Board? As well as then a variance from this Board. And as $I$ understand it, what's before you now is a new variance, although the plans are similar to what happened before.

So I guess I'm confused about what is happening on this at the top level. The discussion of a head house; when I think of a head house, $I$ think of like an elevator head house or stairway head house to get, to access a roof.

But as I look through the plans, it looks to me like there's actually living space, enclosed living space on the roof now -- or at least proposed on the roof now.

And so I don't know. Maybe that can be clarified so I understand better what is being proposed.

As far as there's -- and $I$ don't know if it's, Sisia if you can put up the roof plan, maybe? Maybe I'll make more sense, but I did hear the Board's comments about
roof decks, and it appears that fairly substantial ones -three separate, private decks are being proposed here. And, you know, for the reasons the Board discussed, those could be problematic.

But what particularly disturbed me was they were showing, well, soaking tubs. And I don't think that's going to cause any problems for neighbors, but there's also grills and a fire pit shown. There's a different detailed drawing, Sisia, if you can look around. It has labels that show the fire pits and the grills and where they're proposed.

Anyway, my point is that I'm not sure that -- I know Somerville doesn't allow fire pits I don't think, even if --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Brandon, come on. Just stick to the subject and come to a conclusion, please?

MICHAEL BRANDON: Yes, sir. The point is if you grant this, please make sure that the rooftop cooking and fire pits are legal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If they're not, the Fire Department will -- or maybe the Police Department -- will take action. It's not for us to -- we're not going to make a judgment on that tonight.

MICHAEL BRANDON: Well, you're -- you know, fine. If you're comfortable with these rooftop roof decks but not others that were before you, even tonight, that's your decision. That's fine.

ROBERT LINN: These roof decks are in a very different situation than the ones that were proposed before. They're actually set down below the parapet, and they're above the -- they're on the roof of the second floor, not on the third floor.

So it's the -- they're actually not technically --
if it was the same as the one that they just saw before, they would be on top of the head houses, which we're not proposing here.

We've gone through all of this with the Planning Board, with all of the neighbors. We've really litigated this over and over again. And I think everyone's comfortable with the situation that we have now.

MICHAEL BRANDON: Well, that may be, but it is a public hearing. You know, I'd appreciate a chance to just make my few more points without being interrupted or contradicted.

Well, I was going to ask if Sisia can show what
the 3D elevations I think they're described as, and the ones showing Creighton Street?
[Pause]
No, that's not it.
[Pause]
There. I think that -- yeah, I think that's it. Yeah, that's it. There might be another one, but it's facing the top view. There's another version of that, I think. It's from a little farther away. Maybe not. Go back, that may be the best there is.

But I -- what I was hoping that Robert could confirm, though you can't see it here, there is a drawing that has it. But that -- well maybe, actually if you could just zoom in a little bit, it's the top drawing I'm interested in. That's great.

So I just want to confirm with Robert that indeed there will be a raised curb installed, where there is none now. And that if possible, if the Board were willing -because Creighton Street neighbors are very concerned about on-street parking and its availability -- and so, if anything that the Board could do or the developer could do to ensure that those are turned into residential parking
spaces, so folks on Creighton can do it.
Also on -- area, you see it off there to the right, I was going to suggest because this is on the edge of the flood zone, and I'm told that there are already flooding and stormwater flow problems; that rather than using permeable pavement, that they install impervious -- instead of impervious paving, I'd ask that they consider installing permeable pavement.

The other thing that came up at our meeting that I don't think was addressed, the landscaping plans in this application are still not very detailed, and some mature trees were removed to open up the curb cut there at the end of Creighton Street.

And I would just ask that to the extent that you can, please -- and if there's not room for street trees, perhaps in some large trees could be added to the landscaping that you're planning.

Finally, I would just support what Costanza had said. And I understand the Board cannot require a deed restriction or an easement to be created, but the main concern that we heard at our meeting was people wanted to retain this passageway.

And Sisia maybe, you know, that was -- the discussion was a little hard to follow tonight, because we didn't have the drawing. If you could go to the drawing that shows the walkway, that would be helpful.
[Pause]
Yeah, there you go. You just went past it. There you go, and if you could zoom in a little bit on that. So that's great.

So there are actually two -- the walkway that you see there running along the building -- oops, my screen just crashed, hold on -- okay, that's I think what Costanza was talking about.

Currently, there's kind of through the parking area there, there's a passageway that's wide enough for both pedestrians and bicycles.

And I understand that's been open for many, many decades. It's -- not for many, many, but for a substantial time. And neighbors want to retain that pass-through so people on Creighton can cut through and get onto Regent's, and vice versa.

So it's apparently fairly heavily used by at least residents of those streets. So that's what they'd like
retained in perpetuity, ideally. If you cannot compel an easement, I would think that you could perhaps just impose a condition that that should be kept over. And I have no doubt that the current owner intends to allow that.

But when these condos are sold, those individual owners may not appreciate the public walking through what at least part of which, part of what will be their land, you know, and their property.

So if you could think about that and maybe come up with a way to create a condition that would protect that.

Then just finally -- and I'm sorry for going on so long, I know it's late -- but the pathway that Robert discussed is -- the city's been planning this for years. You can see at the lower left, it's, "access to future bike path." Well, that bike path was, you know, intended to run from, like, Porter Square all the way on that side of the railroad tracks out to Alewife, creating a -- you know, a heavily used desire line for bikes and pedestrians, joggers and so forth.

And so, what Robert was describing I think is if you see the dotted line that the city did request that they, you know, create a passage through their property so people
would be able to access this bike path, and also then leave the bike path and pedal up Creighton Street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Brandon, I'll tell you I'm done, I'm done.

MICHAEL BRANDON: Thank you for your time. I apologize for going on. I appreciate any consideration you can give me. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought: In fact what is being proposed now is not in any way substantially different than what was approved the last time around. It's a deal with an administrative error.

So I would simply propose that we just incorporate the findings we made for the prior variance into this case. So we don't have to go through the whole megillah about these conditions.

And so, the Chair moves that we -- based on these findings, that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Moskow Linn Architects -- let me see the date,
it's here somewhere -- dated February 17, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the relief to the modification for the drawings. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes for the relief.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes for the relief. LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes for the relief. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

Variance granted. Case over. The night's over at
least with regard to the Zoning Board. Thank you all.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, goodnight
[10:10 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| installing 212:7 | 100:6 | jacket 44:20 | 160:4,8 161:4 | 197:12 |
| instance 51:5 | interpretation | Jamie's 48:22 | 161:5,5 163:4 | keep 39:9 |
| Institute 99:14 | 180:17 | Jamison 9:16 | 170:4,5,5,10 | 119:10 127:5 |
| institutional | interrupt 187:4 | January 120:9 | 170:13,22 | 141:1 145:19 |
| 88:8 89:18,19 | interrupted | 182:19 | 171:3,6 181:4 | 156:2 197:14 |
| 99:20 | 210:20 | Jeannie 134:3 | 183:4,5,5,15 | 197:16 199:9 |
| institutions | intersected | Jersey 203:12 | 184:13,20,22 | Kelley 75:2,6,7 |
| 100:14 | 93:19 | Jim 1:9 3:5 4:12 | 184:22 186:4 | 75:11 86:19,21 |
| instructions 4:6 | intersection | 4:13,13 6:4 8:2 | 190:21,22,22 | 98:18,21 |
| 4:8 | 80:11 94:8 | 8:3,3 9:4 23:14 | 191:22 193:10 | 101:20 102:7 |
| intact 114:14 | intersections | 23:14,16,17,21 | 193:11,11 | 102:11 103:17 |
| integrated | 93:21 | 28:17 30:4,4,8 | 195:17,17 | Kemp 6:19 |
| 100:21 | intriguing 159:8 | 30:10,14,16 | 197:4 200:10 | Kendall 75:17 |
| integrity 63:7 | introduce 75:8 | 31:2,4,8,11,13 | 200:11,11 | 76:12 77:7,17 |
| 72:1 129:16 | 186:13 | 32:4,6,6,18,20 | 202:9 216:6,6 | 78:4,18 81:12 |
| 182:12 195:3 | introductions | 33:2 34:1,4,8 | Jim's 106:19 | 81:13,14,15,19 |
| 198:9 | 114:6 | 34:12,19 35:1 | 127:5 | 82:9 84:18 |
| intended 64:21 | intrusion 46:12 | 35:10 36:14 | job 77:1 95:10 | 85:2,4 100:12 |
| 117:3,10 | invite 46:16 | 37:12 55:22 | Joe 75:13 | 100:18 101:4 |
| 214:15 | inviting 86:5 | 56:2,5,12 | joggers 214:18 | kept 4:5 214:3 |
| intends 214:4 | involve 56:17 | 57:21,22 58:2 | Johnathan | Kevin 133:20,21 |
| intense 139:15 | 99:12 125:16 | 58:12,14,17,19 | 174:4 | 134:1,2 135:3 |
| intensely 122:10 | 136:14 192:6 | 59:1 61:17,18 | joined 75:11 | key 77:19,22 |
| intent 57:5 63:8 | involved 10:1 | 61:18 64:5,5 | 138:20,22 | 80:10,11 92:21 |
| 72:2 86:3 90:9 | 42:11 112:7 | 65:19 67:4 | Jon 75:13 | keys 167:10 |


| kidding 133:19 | 34:13 35:4,5,7 | 210:19 213:1 | 176:11 177:19 | 171:21 183:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kids 141:2 | 35:9 36:6 | 214:8,12,15,17 | 178:10 | 183:11,11,16 |
| kind 11:6,13 | 38:10,12 39:3 | 214:22 | late 214:12 | 186:4 191:1,2 |
| 13:19,20 14:19 | 39:6,14,17,19 | knows 96:2 | lately 82:9 | 193:13,14,14 |
| 15:6 16:11 | 42:22 43:7,10 | 176:18 | lattice 190:14 | 195:19,20,20 |
| 19:4,8 20:6 | 43:12,16,21 |  | Laughter | 197:4 200:14 |
| 43:13 46:2 | 44:5,9,10 45:8 | L | 161:12 202:4,6 | 200:15 216:9,9 |
| 47:21,21 48:11 | 46:12,16 49:18 | L-e-e 139:1 | Laura 1:9 3:5 | Laura's 147:12 |
| 50:10 53:12 | 50:16 51:4 | labels 209:9 | 4:16,17,17 6:4 | Law 3:15 9:11 |
| 76:14 79:10,11 | 52:21 58:20 | labyrinth 100:7 | 8:4,5,5 9:4 | 163:11 |
| 79:21 81:1,3 | 60:8 65:3,6 | lack 129:9 | 28:11,20 29:12 | lay 198:4 |
| 83:14,15 85:12 | 74:20,20 78:11 | 139:13 188:10 | 29:18 37:10,11 | layer 146:7 |
| 85:16 86:1,4 | 79:2,15 81:8 | laid 168:16 | 37:13 40:8,15 | layers 93:12 |
| 86:15,22 89:10 | 85:17 86:3,13 | land 89:21 | 47:12,16 48:3 | layout 53:5 |
| 90:4,14 91:1 | 90:3,12,19 | 126:11 205:20 | 48:6,13,17 | 124:9 |
| 92:1 94:7,14 | 91:14,18 92:2 | 205:21,22 | 49:4,12,16,20 | LBG 164:9 |
| 102:14 103:3 | 92:6,8,8,20,22 | 206:13 214:7 | 50:2,5,17 | 167:15 |
| 114:16 116:13 | 94:13,16,20,21 | Landry 165:21 | 51:22 52:2 | LBGA 167:15 |
| 117:22 120:4 | 98:19 101:21 | 166:1,6,9 | 56:9,10 58:8 | LBJ 169:6 |
| 141:2 146:4 | 102:12,13,16 | 170:9,12,21 | 58:11 59:10,13 | 174:22 177:15 |
| 147:5,10 152:4 | 102:19,20,22 | landscape 48:1 | 59:15 60:5,15 | lead 184:7 |
| 152:19 154:6 | 103:3,15 | 75:12 93:4 | 60:18,21 61:20 | leader 76:22 |
| 154:19 177:17 | 106:21 107:4,6 | 108:21 109:9 | 61:21,21 64:10 | leading 121:1 |
| 189:15,17 | 109:2 111:19 | 109:13,20 | 64:11,11 67:4 | leave 59:19 95:2 |
| 198:9 213:13 | 117:1,10 121:8 | landscaped | 68:9,10 70:10 | 99:1 119:19 |
| kinds 129:1 | 124:5 140:10 | 20:14 | 70:13,15 72:19 | 139:5 143:6 |
| kitchen 13:2 | 143:21 144:2 | landscaping | 72:20,20 74:4 | 215:1 |
| 69:19 116:6 | 144:16 145:10 | 108:16 109:1 | 75:12 76:21 | leaving 186:19 |
| 187:22 188:1,4 | 145:13,17,22 | 109:11 212:10 | 77:11,13 86:21 | led 147:5 |
| 188:5 189:7,8 | 146:11,13,13 | 212:17 | 91:17 93:14 | Lee 139:1 |
| 189:21 190:15 | 146:14,16,16 | language 17:8 | 99:4 101:20 | left 13:20 38:10 |
| knew 171:12 | 146:21 147:13 | 33:3 34:20 | 102:7 103:9 | 41:9 42:10 |
| know 11:6,7,9 | 147:18 148:8 | 101:15 147:19 | 104:8,9,9 | 50:9 52:19 |
| 12:9,12,15 | 148:12 149:13 | 150:17 | 105:4 106:16 | 55:10,13 82:14 |
| 13:7,8,9,12,17 | 150:4,18,22 | laptop 112:10 | 107:19 127:8,9 | 85:8 140:11 |
| 14:1,9 15:11 | 151:18,20 | large 20:8 85:10 | 127:9 130:11 | 141:8,19 |
| 15:19 16:2,4,6 | 152:4,10,17 | 85:19 90:3 | 130:12 131:14 | 142:12 143:2 |
| 16:6,10,11,15 | 153:4 158:9,20 | 91:20 93:17 | 133:4 134:19 | 143:16 146:15 |
| 16:15,16,16 | 158:21 169:18 | 99:16 116:5 | 134:20 135:1,7 | 151:8,19 |
| 18:9,10, 11,12 | 170:20 171:11 | 164:12 169:6,9 | 137:15,16,16 | 152:15 164:12 |
| 19:4,8 20:4,5 | 175:11 176:4,4 | 212:16 | 138:3 145:14 | 164:16 167:22 |
| 20:11,15,15 | 176:18 177:19 | largely 82:10 | 145:15 151:9 | 168:2,2 178:17 |
| 21:14 23:1 | 180:8,10,11 | larger 29:16 | 151:12,15 | 214:14 |
| 25:8,19 26:2,3 | 188:18 189:9 | 87:20 88:20 | 152:21 153:3 | left- 167:13 |
| 26:3,5,6,11,15 | 190:9 203:13 | 89:3 92:20 | 153:14,18 | left-hand 114:21 |
| 26:21 27:1 | 203:13 204:19 | 110:17 119:8 | 154:20 160:7 | 115:11 117:5 |
| 28:2 29:9 31:4 | 208:18,20 | 143:5 174:14 | 161:10,13,13 | 157:15 |
| 31:6 33:14 | 209:3,12 210:1 | lastly 7:16 88:5 | 163:4 171:20 | legal 7:17 98:4 |
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| 108:5 163:11 | Lilli 69:3,6,7,8 | 154:10 155:3 | 110:6 122:1 | lot 12:7,19 14:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209:18 | 69:15,22 73:4 | 164:20 167:6 | 170:16 214:12 | 14:1,4 15:7,10 |
| legalities 43:22 | Lima 54:14 | 187:15 198:16 | long-awaited | 15:12 33:16 |
| legally 43:17 | limit 33:17 | 203:3,12 211:9 | 97:10 | 35:9 43:6,9 |
| legible 86:4 | 87:16,18 88:21 | 211:14 213:2,7 | longer 203:9 | 45:22 48:11 |
| 92:12 | 89:3 | live 13:13 20:12 | look 12:18 21:2 | 53:1 79:9 88:1 |
| length 24:12 | limited 120:3 | 42:20 44:12 | 38:2,7 42:21 | 90:2 91:11,14 |
| 28:5,6,8 | limiting 3:11 | 53:9 67:22 | 44:9 48:21 | 92:17,17 93:11 |
| 115:14 | line 15:12 35:5 | 103:5 110:13 | 50:11 51:9 | 94:4,6,17 |
| lengthy 178:18 | 60:13,14 74:12 | 121:18 176:3 | 55:16 92:8 | 108:11 110:2,3 |
| 179:10 | 102:9 103:1 | lived 14:5 43:2 | 140:22 151:2 | 110:5,7,8,8 |
| let's 42:21 53:19 | 129:2 157:8 | 173:5 | 152:6 154:22 | 124:4 125:2,17 |
| 60:8 62:8 | 170:14 174:19 | living 16:17 | 156:8 157:15 | 125:20,22,22 |
| 91:17 107:16 | 188:17 189:1 | 18:10 36:11 | 166:9 168:16 | 126:9 129:2 |
| 112:21 113:22 | 189:11 214:18 | 37:3 45:20 | 171:10,17 | 136:18,19 |
| letter 6:15 33:11 | 214:21 | 46:8,20 52:12 | 189:11 190:9 | 140:17 143:21 |
| 95:21 97:7 | lines 14:1 35:14 | 53:7 61:2 | 190:14 208:15 | 164:8 168:16 |
| 121:17,20 | 79:14 88:1 | 65:21 71:12 | 209:9 | 168:19 169:16 |
| 144:12,22 | 96:14 142:15 | 110:12 116:4,5 | looked 13:7 | 173:12 189:16 |
| 169:7 174:4 | link 20:13 69:10 | 136:16 188:1,6 | 43:12 44:2 | 190:3 192:12 |
| 175:12 178:15 | 81:9 | 188:7 189:6,13 | looking 14:12 | 194:14,20 |
| 179:3,6,15,17 | Linn 197:9,11 | 189:15 190:13 | 17:10 21:21 | 202:17 |
| 180:11,16 | 197:11,15,17 | 208:16,16 | 23:22 26:4 | lots 94:6 98:11 |
| letters 10:6,7 | 200:4 204:15 | LLC 174:9 | 82:7,13,18,20 | 110:7 169:15 |
| 45:13 54:4,21 | 205:19,21 | 182:18 | 83:7,14 84:4,8 | 172:10 |
| 68:14,15 70:5 | 206:14 207:19 | locate 108:18 | 85:7 90:10 | louder 41:14 |
| 70:5 81:16 | 210:5 215:22 | 110:2 | 92:10 115:7 | loudly 41:15 |
| 85:20 98:1,2 | list 81:1 92:3 | located 38:12,14 | 122:22 136:8 | love 69:22 |
| 120:17 129:8 | 109:18 144:14 | 80:16 134:7 | 141:6 142:1,8 | loved 139:11 |
| 135:19 144:15 | listed 81:2 | 164:1 | 142:8 146:10 | lovely 20:15 |
| 144:17 166:19 | listen 74:21 | locating 39:11 | 147:6 148:8 | low 52:12 |
| 167:9 173:2 | 107:12 169:19 | location 82:19 | 151:1 155:19 | 145:19 189:17 |
| 178:11 180:4,7 | 206:8 | 82:22 83:8 | 158:2 167:20 | lower 35:7 |
| 180:8,12 | listening 14:13 | 84:2 93:2 94:3 | 167:21 169:4,5 | 142:16 214:14 |
| 182:18 191:15 | literal 36:6 | 99:15 101:18 | 171:22 178:3 | lowered 54:16 |
| 191:17,17,17 | 56:16 89:9,12 | 102:3,22 | 188:15 189:5 | luck 73:5 104:12 |
| level 15:21 | 99:11 125:15 | 136:19 177:15 | 189:10 | 131:22 |
| 22:18 23:6 | 136:13 152:20 | 192:8 194:20 | looks 40:9,13 | Lyen 72:9 |
| 26:22 55:18 | 192:5 | locations 97:22 | 46:9 49:1 |  |
| 86:22 92:22 | litigated 210:15 | 100:14 102:15 | 147:11,14 | $\frac{\text { M }}{\text { ma'am } 201: 13}$ |
| 142:16 200:5 | little 21:4 37:17 | 102:21 | 208:15 | ma'am 201:13 |
| 208:12 | 37:21 41:14 | logo 85:11 86:16 | looming 175:1 | MacArthur |
| life 45:16 | 42:8 43:1 | 98:3 | looms 190:2 | 197:13 202:14 |
| light 29:4 43:6 | 102:4 111:17 | long 11:13 22:14 | loops 116:2 | 202:21 206:2 |
| 149:18 | 114:17 125:10 | 29:9 30:11 | loosely 79:19 | 206:13 207:19 |
| liked 139:11 | 140:8 145:18 | 36:7 51:18 | lose 127:19 | machine 112:3 |
| lilac-colored | 146:7 152:14 | 65:9 76:7 | 203:19 | Magazine 98:16 |
| 97:22 | 152:14 153:21 | 94:21 101:21 | losing 45:21 | mailing 45:8 |
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| main 19:7,8 | Mascia 108:7 | matures 59:6 | 9:3 19:6 23:5 | minimum 125:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69:12 75:19 | 112:7,13 113:3 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }}$ 174:16 | 24:8 40:17,19 | 156:10 157:5,6 |
| 76:8 78:8 79:4 | 113:16,21 | maximum | 56:13 67:3 | minor 102:20 |
| 79:18 81:14 | 114:3 | 116:19 | 68:4 74:3 | 145:5 |
| 83:6,13 84:4,8 | mass 79:18 | MBTA 205:22 | 91:10 99:2 | minute 105:9 |
| 85:13 203:20 | 100:18 150:10 | mean 12:20 | 105:3 108:4 | minutes 4:9 |
| 212:20 | 152:12,16 | 23:10 24:21 | 114:8 118:13 | 95:20 207:12 |
| maintain 117:18 | Massachusetts | 25:4,4 26:16 | 123:2 133:3 | missed 105:20 |
| 173:13 198:9 | 1:6,6 2:7 74:7 | 43:7 49:12,15 | 134:15 135:11 | misunderstood |
| 203:5 | 99:14 217:2,5 | 50:4,15 94:14 | 138:3,17 | 16:21 17:20 |
| maintained 7:14 | Massimino 52:5 | 96:19 98:22 | 145:13 160:2 | MIT 75:7,13,14 |
| 31:20 59:5,15 | 52:6,7 | 112:16 135:10 | 163:3,9 170:1 | 75:20 76:3,16 |
| 60:2 | match 13:20 | 150:1 152:10 | 172:15 186:3 | 78:9,13 80:9 |
| maintaining | matches 52:19 | 154:16 156:13 | 190:19 191:7 | 80:13 82:7 |
| 173:17 | material 17:2 | 156:21 205:13 | 197:3 200:17 | 84:5,9 86:16 |
| major 52:14,21 | 47:22 149:18 | meander 95:9 | 207:14 | 88:1,6 89:11 |
| 52:22 53:13 | 150:11,12 | meaningful | memoranda | 90:22 91:13,19 |
| 78:16 95:11 | materiality | 25:10 | 7:17 | 91:19 92:1 |
| 100:14 | 148:17 | means 22:15 | memory 24:13 | 95:11 96:19 |
| majority 91:19 | materials 48:12 | 27:22 33:13 | 119:1 | 97:13,21 98:2 |
| 92:2 | 82:2 117:8 | 57:8 | mental 172:6 | 98:4 99:16 |
| making 31:1 | 153:5,6 154:10 | meant 79:15 | mention 179:11 | 100:7,16 101:5 |
| 36:21 77:18 | Matina 1:10 | mechanical | mentioned | 104:12 |
| 153:5 | 105:4 106:8,8 | 141:15 143:10 | 14:11 70:4 | MIT's 75:19 |
| manage 87:5 | 106:10 107:19 | Medical 82:8 | 108:20 119:6 | 78:3 97:9,16 |
| manhole 103:3 | 127:5,6,6 | meet 46:13 | 119:13 | 97:18,19 98:9 |
| mansard 154:19 | 130:5,6 131:12 | 115:8 122:4 | messaging 80:21 | 98:11,12 |
| 158:17,19,21 | 131:13,15 | 130:17 176:16 | met 62:12 70:22 | MIT-friendly |
| 159:7 | 133:9,12,13 | 178:8 | 122:2 128:16 | 85:6 |
| $\boldsymbol{m a p} 79: 2,3$ | Matina's 106:13 | meeting 1:5 3:8 | 181:21 194:6 | Mitsubishi |
| 80:14,14,14 | Matt 163:12 | 3:10,15,19,20 | 199:13 206:10 | 143:10 |
| 81:3,4,4,10,18 | 178:13,16 | 47:4 77:1 | metal 149:19 | mixer 82:19 |
| 83:17 92:3 | 179:7,10 | 202:12,20 | 153:7,10,16 | mm-hm 7:4 |
| 103:6 114:15 | 180:15 | 212:9,21 | mic 75:1 | 21:19 25:16,20 |
| 120:16 | matter 6:9 9:8 | meetings 3:16 | Michael 207:6,7 | 48:5 54:19 |
| maps 96:20 | 10:13,15 37:16 | 4:8 75:20,21 | 207:10,13,16 | 153:13 |
| 102:21 | 40:18 67:8 | meets 110:21 | 209:16 210:1 | mnemonic |
| March 1:4 3:7 | 68:16 105:8 | 163:17 | 210:18 215:5 | 79:21 |
| 3:13 57:17 | 108:2 122:17 | megillah 215:17 | middle 92:22 | mobile 81:8 |
| Maria 160:17 | 122:22 125:19 | Mekdeci 75:13 | 140:2 143:7,11 | model 82:1 |
| Maria's 144:14 | 133:8 135:10 | Mel 53:17,20 | Middlesex 217:3 | 141:8,9 |
| Mario 52:5,6,7 | 155:6 159:10 | Melena 41:6 | mile 43:10 | models 141:19 |
| Marriott 82:20 | 160:10,22 | member 4:11 | mind 15:16 21:8 | modes 65:20 |
| 84:9 | 163:8 172:14 | 68:18 74:9 | 21:13 152:11 | modest 110:21 |
| Marshall 163:12 | 186:8 191:6 | 95:15 103:8 | 153:14 | 111:6 121:14 |
| 178:13,16 | 197:8 200:17 | 117:11 | mine 25:12 | 125:6 192:19 |
| 179:7,10 | matters 10:5 | members 3:4 | mini 98:13 | 195:7 |
| 180:15 | mature 212:11 | 4:2,2,5,11 6:3 | minimal 121:21 | modest-sized |


| 142:4 | 113:19 133:4 | 29:4 | muted 48:20 | 98:22 105:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| modification | 133:17 134:16 | mounted 28:3 | N | 139:6 145:4 |
| 216:5 | 134:16 136:7 | 58:5 | N | 146:20 150:2 |
| modifications | 137:10,10 | move 20:17 | N 2:1 3:1 | 152:2 155:20 |
| 38:7,8 | 138:3 146:19 | 43:13 51:20 | name 3:9 4:3 | 156:14,16 |
| modified 7:13 | 147:2,2 155:7 | 53:8 67:22 | 41:18 52:7 | 157:2,12,21 |
| 19:14 57:20 | 155:9,10,14,18 | 68:1 118:11 | 57:15 72:9 | 167:1 172:6 |
| Molly 108:7 | 156:5,14,17,19 | 143:9 | 75:7 79:6 | 183:20 188:20 |
| 115:3 117:21 | 156:22 157:7 | moved 20:21 | 92:10 114:6 | 192:7,9,15 |
| 118:9,16,18,20 | 157:12,16,20 | 84:7 120:14 | 118:21 124:2 | 199:18 |
| 118:21 122:5,9 | 158:3,5 160:4 | 187:19,22 | 138:17 197:10 | needed 14:15,16 |
| 122:15 124:5 | 160:4,8 161:5 | moves 7:6 56:13 | 197:11 201:10 | 81:5 117:7 |
| Molly's 117:15 | 161:5 163:4 | 57:12 62:9 | 201:17 202:14 | 135:5 176:14 |
| moment 15:18 | 170:5,5,10,13 | 63:10 70:19 | name's 67:14 | needing 89:6 |
| 41:2 69:1 80:2 | 171:3,6 181:4 | 72:5 125:13 | named 79:7 | needs 88:10 |
| 80:11 85:4 | 183:5,5,15 | 126:15 128:14 | names 144:7 | 128:2 206:9,9 |
| 86:15 123:7 | 184:13,22,22 | 129:20 131:5 | narrow 14:2 | negative 177:15 |
| 172:12 200:22 | 186:4 190:22 | 136:10 137:2 | 110:6,9 125:17 | negotiate 42:15 |
| moments 47:19 | 190:22 191:22 | 181:19 182:16 | 125:22 | negotiating |
| 91:21 92:21 | 193:11,11 | 192:1,3 193:3 | narrowness | 171:15 |
| 105:13 172:20 | 195:17,17 | 194:1 195:11 | 125:2 | negotiations |
| Monday 7:19 | 197:4 200:11 | 215:9,19 | natural 93:18 | 121:1 |
| 160:20,20 | 200:11 202:9 | moving 8:17 | nature 24:9 | neighbor 25:2 |
| money 97:13 | 216:6,6 | 146:14 202:8 | 136:15,18 | 39:12 70:1 |
| Monteverde 1:9 | month 6:21,22 | much-needed | 148:21 182:6 | 120:5 126:10 |
| 3:5 4:12,13,13 | months 34:13 | 93:16 | 192:9,12,20 | 201:8 |
| 6:4 8:3,3 9:4 | 120:1 130:21 | mudroom 128:9 | 194:18,20 | neighbor's 15:7 |
| 23:14,15,17,21 | Morgan 6:19 | muff 31:3 | 195:7 | 15:10 19:12 |
| 28:17 30:4,4,8 | morning 49:14 | mulch 109:21 | navigable 78:15 | 44:16 188:22 |
| 30:10,14,16 | mornings 50:5 | multibuilding | navigate 79:12 | 190:11 |
| 31:4,8,11,13 | Moskow 215:22 | 100:6 | 92:5 100:8 | neighborhood |
| 32:6,6,18,20 | mother 119:4 | multifamily | near 88:1 | 9:22 38:21 |
| 33:2 34:1,4,8 | motion 7:20 | 169:9 | 188:18 | 53:10,13 57:8 |
| 34:12,19 35:1 | 31:2 34:10,22 | multigenerati... | necessary 76:5 | 62:16 71:4 |
| 35:3,10,14 | 37:4 55:7 | 110:12 | 97:14 158:9 | 111:8 114:15 |
| 36:14 37:12 | 56:12 60:20 | multiple 206:4 | 171:19 199:21 | 117:11,22 |
| 56:2,5 57:22 | 61:13 70:17 | municipal | 199:22 | 118:2 119:21 |
| 58:2,12,17,19 | 99:7 101:14 | 100:17 | necessity 94:9 | 120:16 121:1 |
| 59:1 61:18,18 | 103:18,21 | municipality | 173:19 176:12 | 121:10,15 |
| 64:5,5 65:19 | 125:10 127:20 | 98:13 | 178:7 | 122:13 124:8 |
| 67:4 68:8,8 | 128:1 130:20 | museum 81:16 | need 22:21 23:5 | 128:21 129:1 |
| 70:9 72:15,15 | 131:6 155:5 | 85:9 86:2,2 | 27:17 32:12,16 | 139:9,10,10 |
| 74:4 92:14,15 | 159:10 160:9 | 98:1 | 37:12 44:5 | 148:3 166:18 |
| 99:5 103:19,21 | 160:22 180:21 | mute 4:5 41:1 | 46:1 56:18 | 174:17,19 |
| 103:22,22 | 181:4,17 | 68:22 95:19 | 67:16,18 74:18 | 176:22 177:9 |
| 112:14,14,17 | 183:22 | 123:6 135:15 | 76:11 87:9,21 | 178:9 182:3 |
| 112:19,21 | mount 27:11,12 | 138:15 172:19 | 88:10,13 90:6 | 184:2,3,7 |
| 113:4,10,13,15 | 27:17 28:22 | 191:11 200:21 | 91:13,18 95:5 | 190:1 192:22 |


| neighborhoods | night 11:13 | 136:21 192:17 | 116:3 145:6,17 | 32:4 34:18,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30:19 | 107:4 128:11 | number 6:7 9:7 | 146:11 150:9 | 35:10,12,20 |
| neighboring | night's 216:13 | 15:17 54:4 | occupancy | 37:14,20 38:2 |
| 38:1 47:8 | nineteenth | 67:7 74:7 90:4 | 63:21 | 38:5 39:13 |
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| unmute $37: 12$ | usually $23: 10$ | verify $4: 11$ | 55:5 56:4,5,6 | 213:4,9 |
| 41:1 68:22 | 166:16 172:11 | vernacular | 61:10 62:6 | wall 21:5 85:11 |
| 74:16 95:19 | utility 65:4 | 116:21 154:17 | 64:15 70:7,8,9 | 89:1 97:17,19 |
| 123:6 135:15 | 103:1 | versa 213:20 | 70:10 72:11 | 98:3 134:8 |
| 172:19 191:11 |  | version 86:16 | 73:2,3 99:3,4,5 | 141:17 159:8 |
| 200:21 | V | 147:6 152:22 | 99:6 127:4,14 | 188:3 |
| unoccupied 34:2 | valid 172:11 | 211:8 | 127:16 128:2 | walls 153:15,15 |
| unquote-- 25:8 | validly 165:15 | versus 91:13 | 130:2,6,9,12 | 158:16 |
| unreconciled | value 94:17 | vertical 148:22 | 130:14,16 | want 11:5,13 |
| 148:14 | variance 11:18 | 149:3,19 | 131:20 136:2,4 | 12:2,2 16:14 |
| unsuccessful | 11:19 15:20 | verticality 159:2 | 136:7 137:20 | 19:4 21:10 |
| 116:4 | 17:14 56:15 | viability 195:8 | 161:2,17 175:6 | 22:21 29:9 |
| unusual 98:10 | 57:12 59:8 | vice 1:8 213:20 | 180:22 181:2,3 | 32:1,1,5,5 35:6 |
| 98:11 134:13 | 61:19,22 62:3 | Victorian 154:4 | 181:8,18 | 35:22 39:3 |
| 136:15 194:12 | 62:7 63:13 | video 3:19 | 182:21 183:13 | 40:17 41:10 |
| 194:13 | 77:9 87:1 | view 12:19 | 183:20 185:7 | 45:22 55:6,7 |
| unusually | 108:14,16 | 18:15,20 19:10 | 191:20 193:21 | 56:1 59:22 |
| 139:18,19 | 118:5 125:12 | 19:12 20:10,18 | 193:22 196:4 | 74:21 75:8 |
| 149:10 | 125:14,20 | 20:22 22:2 | 215:8 216:12 | 81:17 92:11 |
| update 47:6 | 126:8,15,21 | 71:17 82:17,17 | voted 183:20 | 102:1,3,9 |
| updated 47:9 | 127:7,10,13,15 | 83:19 120:16 | 184:14 | 113:5,6,15 |
| 53:3,12 81:4 | 127:17 130:1,7 | 142:12 167:4 | votes 4:3 62:4 | 114:20 122:18 |
| upgrading | 130:10,13 | 169:3 188:22 | 64:13 72:22 | 124:4 125:1 |
| 56:19 | 134:11 136:11 | 189:1 190:17 | 103:22 127:17 | 136:2 139:8 |
| upper 156:10 | 136:17 137:8 | 211:8 | 130:4,15 | 143:20,21 |
| 168:12 | 137:11,14,17 | viewed 18:17 | 131:18 137:18 | 144:12 146:12 |
| upstairs 67:22 | 156:22 176:13 | views 18:16 | 183:18,18 | 147:5 151:15 |
| urban 78:14,16 | 186:21 187:1 | 19:14 21:9 | 192:2 193:19 | 152:19 157:1 |
| 83:16 90:16 | 192:3 193:3,9 | vines 48:11 | 196:2 216:11 | 165:19 169:1 |
| 91:2 93:21,21 | 193:12,15,18 | violate 88:3 | voting 62:2 | 177:1 179:4 |
| 94:4 101:8 | 193:22 195:14 | virtually 80:12 | vs $53: 13$ | 180:20,21 |
| use 23:1 $35: 8$ | 200:3 203:21 | virus 139:13 |  | 184:11 186:11 |
| 36:22 63:5 | 203:22 204:3,6 | visible 78:10 | W | 187:15 204:4 |
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| 204:10 211:16 | 100:12,16,19 | 84:790:19 | 56:10 58:11 | window 17:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 213:18 | 101:4,6 | 91:4 98:22 | 59:10,13,15 | 29:19 40:9,10 |
| wanted 65:2 | ways $163: 15$ | 102:15 111:7 | 60:5,21 61:21 | 62:18,20 85:10 |
| 69:9 84:16 | we'll 5:6 28:13 | 112:4 113:12 | 61:21 64:11,11 | 117:18 128:8 |
| 110:10 122:13 | 34:14 38:7 | 119:11 121:8 | 67:5 68:10 | 134:7 151:2 |
| 125:8 149:16 | 50:14,14 54:3 | 129:22 165:10 | 70:10,15 72:20 | 173:7 188:5 |
| 206:15 212:21 | 66:8 69:1 77:7 | 166:10 168:14 | 72:20 74:4 | 189:7,21 190:5 |
| wanting 92:1 | 77:8 104:15 | 172:5 185:8 | 93:14 99:4 | 190:15 194:8 |
| wants 16:15,15 | 107:13 113:12 | 187:20,21 | 103:9 104:9,9 | windows 17:15 |
| 44:12 54:12 | 121:3 123:7 | 192:2 197:18 | 105:4 127:9,9 | 21:4 37:22 |
| 151:9 181:12 | 172:20 181:17 | 199:8 204:21 | 130:12 133:4 | 38:11,13,14 |
| warm 173:8 | 186:19 191:12 | 205:10 206:4,6 | 134:20 135:1,7 | 39:18 40:1 |
| Warner 158:14 | 200:22 | 210:14,15 | 137:16,16 | 117:6,7 128:10 |
| 158:15 | we're 5:2 16:3,7 | wealthy 44:10 | 138:4 145:15 | 146:16 148:12 |
| warning 44:18 | 20:13,19 25:5 | weather 173:9 | 151:9,12,15 | 148:22 149:1,2 |
| wasn't 14:11 | 25:18 26:2 | webpage $4: 8$ | 152:21 153:3 | 149:21 150:17 |
| 36:5 44:18 | 27:6 28:2,15 | welcome 3:7 | 153:14,18 | 150:19,21 |
| 48:19 74:21 | 29:11 30:1,21 | 73:7 81:16 | 160:7 161:11 | 153:19,20 |
| 103:19 159:7 | 36:21 48:2 | 82:14 84:11 | 161:13,13 | 154:9,21 |
| water 29:19 | 50:13,15 53:19 | 85:9,16,17,22 | 163:4 171:21 | 158:20 159:2 |
| way $20: 15$ 25:2 | 76:19 77:5 | 86:11 98:1,20 | 183:11,11,16 | 186:22 188:12 |
| 33:7,15,17 | 81:22 82:7,18 | welcoming 53:5 | 186:4 191:2 | 188:15,16 |
| 36:9 43:5,8 | 83:6,13,15,15 | welfare 63:4 | 193:14,14 | 189:6,12,16 |
| 44:7,14 45:2 | 84:3,8 85:7 | 71:20 129:13 | 195:20,20 | 190:9,12 194:4 |
| 55:11 60:3,10 | 90:3 91:7 | 177:11 182:9 | 197:4 200:15 | 194:19 |
| 75:22 76:13 | 105:11,19 | 194:22 | 216:9,9 | Windsor 2:4 9:7 |
| 77:19 82:13 | 106:13 108:15 | well-conceived | west 79:4,7 | 52:8 53:1 |
| 86:4 87:5 | 109:2,3 111:17 | 94:18 | 116:15 155:11 | wish 40:19 |
| 91:20 92:1 | 112:2 113:7 | well-done 93:6 | 155:13 | 56:13 103:8 |
| 93:10 94:5 | 119:1,2 120:10 | well-organized | western 186:22 | 105:8 123:2 |
| 97:20 102:3,7 | 120:14 121:2 | 93:5 | whatsoever | 124:14,21 |
| 102:18 113:20 | 133:11 134:4 | wellness 172:7 | 45:15 | 135:11 172:15 |
| 117:2 125:18 | 139:2 140:5 | went 44:7 76:14 | Where'd 54:14 | 191:7 200:18 |
| 145:9,20 147:4 | 142:1 143:1,17 | 86:13 92:15 | whereof 217:12 | wishes 41:2 |
| 149:2 170:11 | 152:10 154:1 | 114:4 165:6 | white 98:1,2 | 68:18 69:1 |
| 176:8,9 203:5 | 157:18 158:2 | 201:9 213:6 | who've 80:4 | 70:3 95:15,22 |
| 203:6,18 205:7 | 163:19 168:11 | weren't 26:7 | wide 30:5 77:1 | 123:8,12,19 |
| 214:10,16 | 175:18,22 | weren't 109:13 | 115:12 213:14 | 133:15 135:16 |
| 215:12 | 177:16 180:19 | 207:22 | width 46:15 | 172:21 173:1 |
| wayfinding | 187:12,14 | Wernick 1:9 3:6 | Williams 1:10 | 181:10,13 |
| 75:16 76:5 | 188:13,15,18 | 4:16,17,17 6:5 | 105:5 106:10 | 191:12,14 |
| 77:5,17 78:22 | 188:19 189:5 | 8:5,5 9:5 28:11 | 127:6 130:6 | 206:22 |
| 80:4,7 87:3,22 | 189:20 191:19 | 28:20 29:12,18 | 131:13,15 | wishing 6:8 9:8 |
| 88:5,13,18 | 197:17,19 | 37:11,13 40:8 | 133:9,12 | 67:8 108:1 |
| 89:6,10 90:14 | 199:6,15,16,19 | 40:15 47:12,16 | willing 169:19 | 133:8,14 163:8 |
| 91:13 93:6 | 205:2,3 206:2 | 48:3,6,13,17 | 211:18 | 186:7 197:8 |
| 97:10 98:7 | 209:21 210:12 | 49:4,12,16,20 | willingness | withdraw |
| 99:8,16 100:2 | we've 41:9 83:15 | 50:2,5,17 52:2 | 14:11 114:9 | 127:21 130:20 |


| 130:21 131:2,4 | worries 111:20 | yeah 11:5 16:19 | York 80:3 | 103 87:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| withdrawal | worse 33:8 | 18:2,7 22:13 | young 139:8 | 105 2:8 |
| 131:7,11,13,15 | 50:19 | 23:9 26:13,16 |  | 105802 9:7 |
| 131:17 | worst 50:3 | 30:7,9,15 32:6 | Z | 107887 67:7 |
| withdrawn | wouldn't 35:6 | 32:19,19 34:12 | zone 157:6 | 108265 74:7 |
| 131:8,21 | 41:9 49:8 | 35:3 36:12,14 | 212:4 | 108519 105:7 |
| witness 217:12 | 125:18 169:20 | 38:4 39:5,21 | zoned 99:21 | 107:22 |
| witnessed 184:2 | 178:12 190:16 | 40:2 48:15 | zoning 1:1 3:8 | 108723 138:6 |
| Wolf 108:7 | wrapped 150:12 | 51:21 57:22 | 34:3 57:2,2 | 109095 163:7 |
| 118:16,18,20 | wrapping | 58:11,17,17,17 | 62:22 69:10 | $109125133: 7$ |
| 122:5,9,15 | 153:11 | 58:22 74:15,18 | 71:15 77:8 | 109452 197:7 |
| wonderful 94:7 | write 180:7,11 | 92:14 98:22 | 89:20 97:18 | 11 137:4 |
| wondering | 180:12 | 103:11 105:17 | 98:6,8,11 | 11-13 2:6 67:7 |
| 112:4 148:21 | writer 42:18 | 106:8 107:11 | 101:9 108:10 | 67:15 |
| wood 31:17 59:3 | 95:21 96:1 | 109:2 112:11 | 108:22 120:2 | $110016: 3$ |
| 60:12 | writers 206:17 | 112:16 113:3,4 | 122:6 125:6 | 12 3:13 28:10 |
| word 86:2 | writing 6:17 | 113:14 114:2,5 | 129:6 156:20 | 42:22 |
| words 43:22 | 14:13 | 115:5,13 | 160:17 174:7 | 12-foot 46:16 |
| work 30:16,21 | written 124:18 | 123:17,22 | 175:18 180:13 | 13 7:2,3,7,13 |
| 43:8 57:14 | 168:7 174:3 | 142:1 147:2 | 182:5 188:18 | 159:11,14,15 |
| 63:12 72:6 | 175:5 180:7 | 154:15 155:16 | 194:17 216:14 | 159:19,20 |
| 78:4 94:7 | 184:4 | 155:18,22 | zoom 40:20 | 160:3,10,12,21 |
| 119:11 126:16 | wrong 23:13 | 156:4,6 157:12 | 68:19 112:15 | 161:18 162:7 |
| 129:21 137:3 | 128:9 141:1 | 157:16,16,16 | 123:3 135:13 | $13084: 21$ |
| 139:10,13 | wrote 6:16 | 158:11 159:3,3 | 149:8 172:17 | 133 2:9 144:20 |
| 145:11 150:16 | 144:12 | 159:3 168:18 | 191:8 200:19 | 135 2:10 138:6 |
| 152:3 182:17 | Ws 161:10 | 170:20 181:12 | 211:14 213:7 | 138:20 |
| 188:6 193:4 |  | 201:17 206:14 | Zooming 123:8 | $136174: 5$ |
| 195:12 197:22 | X | 207:4,8 211:6 |  | 175:10,21 |
| 215:21 | X 2:1 | 211:7 213:6 | $\qquad$ | 179:18 |
| worked 171:5 | Y | year 21:15 53:14 |  | 1372:10 138:6 |
| 204:21 Workers 12.13 | yard 20:13 | 53:14 172:5 $202 \cdot 13$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 02/25/21 } 2: 5 \\ \mathbf{0 2 1 3 9} 1: 6 \end{array}$ | $138: 21144: 8$ $1382 \cdot 10$ |
| Workers 12:13 | $31: 15 \text { 42:20 }$ | 202:13 years $75 \cdot 17$ | 02139 1:6 | $1382: 10$ |
| 116:21 117:3 | $44: 16,1648: 14$ | years 75:17 | 1 | 139 144:18 |
| workhorse 80:17 | 48:22 88:2 | $76: 1591: 5$ $119.2139 \cdot 21$ | $12: 952: 8105: 7$ | 14 7:15 198:6 |
| working 31:7 | 108:17 109:12 | 140:1 | 108:1 133:7,15 | 14 |
| 59:5 82:3 | 110:22 119:19 | 144:1 149:13 | 1:24:04 72:8 | 42:22 |
| 119:10 120:1 | 128:13 155:11 | 173:6 187:20 | 10 87:4 91:20 | 14-foot-30:10 |
| 144:3,4 153:19 | 157:20,21 | 203:7 214:13 | 139:21 140:1 | 14-foot-8-inch... |
| 187:18 197:12 | 164:9,12,20,22 | yellow 81:17,21 | 143:22 <br> 149.13 | 58:7 |
| works 43:21 | 166:22 167:2 | yep 18:6 21:8 |  | 14.8 188:17 |
| 119:12 123:1 | 167:15,16,17 | 25:16 30:16 | 10-foot-setback | 141 144:18 |
| 147:20 188:3 | 168:5,6,11,20 | 31:8,11 85:8 | 157:18 | 143 2:11 163:7 |
| workspace | 168:22 169:2,5 | 112:20 123:21 | 10-foot-wide | 173:10 174:10 |
| 134:6 | 169:8,10 172:4 | 136:7 140:5 | 115:20 | 143-173: |
| world 78:6 | 189:3,4 190:8 | 157:7 161:20 | $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 5 / 2 0} 72: 9$ | 143-145 173:18 |
| worried 205:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:11 199:13 } \\ & \text { yards } 47: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 170:12 181:4 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{1 0 : 1 0} 216: 16 \\ \mathbf{1 0 0} 6: 7 \end{array}$ | 174:8 |


| 145 173:21 | 115:13 | 6:04 3:3 6:2 | 135:15 172:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174:10 | 22-6 115:13 | 6:07 9:2 | 191:11 200:21 |
| 147 173:21 | 24 120:19 134:5 | 6:30 2:6 5:6 7:7 | 9:08 163:2 |
| 174:3 | 134:9 | 7:7,14 | 9:34 186:2 |
| 149 173:21 | 25 1:4 3:7 | 6:45 2:7 | 9:45 197:2 |
| 174:3 179:7 | 163:21 164:6 | 638 12:16 | $9092: 2$ |
| 1522:14 115:12 | 273:17 116:17 | $65012: 16$ | 91 87:7 99:8 |
| 188:18 | 182:19 207:17 | 66 2:9 133:7,15 | 96217 186:7 |
| 15-foot 24:6 | 3 | 67 2:6 |  |
| 151 173:21 | $\frac{3}{3178.17198 .21}$ | 685 115:12 |  |
| 174:3 157173:4, 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} 178: 17 \text { 198:21 } \\ & \mathbf{3 . 0} \text { 164:3 } \end{aligned}$ | $7$ |  |
| $157173: 4,5,13$ | 3.0 164:3 | $\frac{7}{789 \cdot 1290 \cdot 11}$ |  |
| 16 18:4 27:2 | 30 173:6 ${ }^{\text {30-inch- } 27 \cdot 15}$ | $789: 1290: 11$ $124 \cdot 3$ |  |
| 161 173:10 | 30-inch- 27:15 | 124:3 |  |
| 163 2:11 | 30-square-foot | 7.16.21 100:1 |  |
| 165 166:2 | 88:20 | $7.5156: 10$ |  |
| 168 99:19 | 330 166:2,4 | 7:00 2:8 105:21 |  |
| 17216:1 | 340 116:2 | 160:5 |  |
| 172 98:16 | $35116: 19$ | 7:11 67:2 |  |
| 173 2:12 186:7 | 373 52:19 | 7:15 2:9 |  |
| 1800s 12:15 | 383 2:4 9:7 | 7:16 74:2 |  |
| 186 2:12 | 384 52:8 | 7:30 2:10 |  |
| 1990:17 101:7 | 3D 211:1 | 7:45 2:11 |  |
| 116:22 | 4 | 7:58 105:2 |  |
| $19.30101: 8$ | $\frac{4}{40114: 7167: 18}$ | $7075: 20$ |  |
| 196 173:14 | $40114: 7167: 18$ 176:5 203:7 | 720 79:8 |  |
| 177:6 1972:13 | 40-foot 167:16 | 73 87:22 $742: 7$ |  |
| 1980s 13:1 | 169:5 | 75 187:1 |  |
| 2 | 40-unit 169:6 4300 110:3 | 77 2:7 74:7 |  |
| 2 18:3 28:12,12 | 48 41:19 42:20 | 8 |  |
| 28:14 29:3 |  | $830: 11$ |  |
| 198:21 | 5 | 8:00 2:12 49:14 |  |
| 20 57:17 87:19 | $515: 1784: 5$ | 8:15 2:13 |  |
| 87:20 115:13 | 5:00 7:18 160:20 | 8:30 133:2 |  |
| 20,2020 193:6 | 50-foot 167:17 | 8:36 138:2 |  |
| 2011 140:13 | 56 2:3,13 6:7 | 817 6:7 |  |
| 2020 3:13,18 | 197:7 | 831 1:6 |  |
| 126:17 137:4 | 6 | 8311 96:7 |  |
| $20211: 43: 8$ | 62:3 41:1 68:22 | 88 87:7 |  |
| 57:17 93:7 $160 \cdot 21182 \cdot 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.541 .100: 6 \\ & 95: 19109: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 9 |  |
| 160:21 182:19 | 123:6 135:15 | 92:4,8 41:1 |  |
| 216:1 217:13 217:18 | 172:19 191:11 | 68:22 95:19 |  |
| 204173:11 | 200:21 217:18 | 105:7 107:22 |  |
| 213 96:8 | 6:00 1:4 2:3,4 | 108:6 115:3 |  |
|  | 160:5,7,8,9,10 | 117:16 123:6 |  |
| 223.21115 .13 | 160:12 | 124:4 126:17 |  |

