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PROCEEDINGS
(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea Hickey, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the April 8 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair. This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on the City of Cambridge online meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. There will in due course be a transcript of these proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their names before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

You will have up to three minutes to speak. I'll start by asking the Staff - Sisia - to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall here.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Brendan Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan here. SISIA DAGLIAN: And Gus?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I'm here.

```
                                    * * * * *
    (6:01 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan,
        Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason
        Marshall
        Okay. The case -- we start, as usual, with
continued cases, before getting to our regular agenda. The
continued cases are cases that have started at an earlier
date and for one reason or another were deferred until this
evening.
    So the first continued case I'm going to call is
Case Number -- where's the paper? There it is. Case Number
103314. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
    No one?
    SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
    CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The reason why I
think there's no one is that the petitioner in this case has
requested a further continuance. The letter -- okay,
they're having problems getting some information or
something --
    SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, okay, sorry there is somebody.
```

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- are things that we have requested from their -- from Mobile Oil.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sorry, there is somebody.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SISIA DAGLIAN: There is somebody that raised their hand.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh. I'm sorry. Someone wish to speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jesse Schomer.
JESSE SCHOMER: Yes, Mr. Chair, good evening. My name is Attorney Jesse Schomer. I represent the applicant on this matter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JESSE SCHOMER: We had requested a continuance tonight, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know. I'm aware of that, I had the letter. Or I have a letter requesting continuance.

JESSE SCHOMER: Can you hear me, Mr. Chair? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. Can you hear me? JESSE SCHOMER: Okay. I can, and I apologize. There was an issue -- I was just being promoted to panelist.

So I think my audio cut out for a moment.
I'm the attorney for the applicant on this, and we've requested that the Board members grant us a continuance of this matter because we're still in the process of working on the documents that the Board had requested that we provide and file with the members, and specifically that the photometric light impact study and a 3D rendering of the proposed canopies at this site.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JESSE SCHOMER: I'm led to understand from the manufacturer of these canopies that these documents should be ready and ready to be filed with the Board within the next few days, but unfortunately they couldn't be done by this past Monday at 5:00 p.m. So we weren't able to get them in time for tonight.

So if it pleases the members, we would request a continuance to the May 20 hearing, and that would allow us some time to get these documents and review them, and make sure that they show exactly what we're proposing on the site... and as well to show them to the neighbors and the neighborhood group and go over them with the neighbors in the hopes of earning their support for this proposal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Some problems with continuing it to the twentieth. We are having a hearing on the twentieth, but it is to hear a comprehensive permit case in Cambridge that's drawn a lot of attention.

JESSE SCHOMER: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And meeting that night is going to be quite protracted, I suspect. So we decided not to hear any other cases on the twentieth.

The plot thickens. The regular meeting will be the twenty-seventh of May. But I can -- I would not be able to sit that night. I'm going to be out of the state.

So you will have a choice to continue it until May 27, but there will only be four members sitting that night. And as you probably know, to get relief we need four votes. So the odds are not in your favor, so to speak.

If you had a regular five-person panel, one person could dissent, and you would still get your relief if the other four agreed to do that. You don't have that luxury for the May 27 meeting. So it's up to you to do that. If not, the next meeting would be June --

```
SISIA DAGLIAN: June 10, right.
```

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So either we can continue
to June 10, then you'll have five -- presumably five members present, I'll have to check before we take a vote -- to make th
sure the other members of the Board can make the 10 . Or you can go for the twenty-seventh and take your chances with just four members.

JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think our preference would be to continue to June the tenth.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what most people do. Let me see -- Brendan, will you be available on the tenth?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, available.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm available.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, now --
JASON MARSHALL: Jason?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason didn't sit on the
original case --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I think it's got to be

Laura. I don't know if Laura --
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, Laura's here.
JIM MONTEVERDE: She was here.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: She was here?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: -- I wasn't asked to be on the continuing case tonight, so I assume that I was not on the original case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It was a case not heard, so --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, this is a case heard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at the agenda.

JESSE SCHOMER: If I may, Mr. Chair, this is a case not heard. We did agree to continue without being opened.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, could you repeat that, sir?

JESSE SCHOMER: This is a case not heard. We did agree to a continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It is, I'm sorry. My
mistake. Well, if it's a case not heard, we could do it on the twenty-seventh -- presumably we'll be able to get five members. Would you prefer the twenty-seventh of May or June 10?

JESSE SCHOMER: That would be fine, Mr. Chair.
The twenty-seventh would be fine, in that case.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Twenty-seventh? We have room on our --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will continue this case.

LAURA WERNICK: This is Laura. I definitely will not be here on the twenty-seventh. But I'm sure you can get others.

ANDREA HICKEY: And I also -- this is Andrea. I also will not be here on the twenty-seventh, but I'm sure there are Associate Members that can step up.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I assume that to be the case as well. As a matter of fact, we just filled our last vacancy the other day; we now have a full staff of Board members. So anyway, Laura, you can't make the twentyseventh?

LAURA WERNICK: Sorry. It's my birthday. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Write that down.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You might be very
"chattable" that night. [Laughter] Okay. Sir, do you still want to have the twenty-seventh? The members will be presumably Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Monteverde, and three other players, to be named later.

JESSE SCHOMER: Either of those dates is fine with us, Mr. Chair. The twenty-seventh would be fine.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I will make the motion.
The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on May 27, subject to the following conditions:

First -- it's already been satisfied -- the petitioner will sign a waiver of time for decision and you did that in connection with tonight's meeting, so that's taken care of.

Second, a new posting sign must be put up for the 14 days requires by our ordinance. It should be a wholly
new sign. You can take the current sign and just with a magic marker or what have you change the date and the time. That's up to you.

And last, the plans and drawings or whatever it is we're waiting for and you're getting from Mobile, those -as you know, but I'll have to repeat it -- those must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before May 27.

Brendan, how you vote on the continuance?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura? Nope, not Laura -Jason. Sorry.

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I vote yes for the
continuance as well.
[All vote YES]
So this case is continued until 6:30 on May 27. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 6:00.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00. I'm sorry. Thank
you, Brendan. 6:00.

JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00 on May 27. Thank you.

JESSE SCHOMER: Thank you very much.
(6:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 106913 -- 33 Gore Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening. Yes, this is Sarah Rhatigan from Trilogy Law. I am here with the petitioners -

- Chris Koskores and Peter Koskores. They're here from KRE Realty. And our architect, Joe Strummer, is here as well representing the development team. Thank you very much for having us here this evening.

We are in the continued agenda, but we actually have not presented to this Board yet, and I wanted to just describe briefly to you how we are here to you tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I was going to request that in any event.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Could you just summarize the relief you're going to be seeking? You're seeking?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, did you say --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I would like you to just quickly summarize for the Board and the audience what relief you are seeking -- specifically, not the technical part. Just tell us what you're doing, you're planning to do --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- if we approve this.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Yes, I will do that. Thank you. This is a project for 33 Gore Street, which is currently a two-family structure that is located on a merged lot.

And Sisia, would you mind putting up the plans that -- and if you could put up the site plan for us? I'll keep talking.

33 Gore Street is a two-family structure -- again, on a merged lots. And the plans that you're being asked to approve involve a plan to increase the height of that structure by one and a half stories, and to square off the back of the structure with an addition at the rear.

The result will continue to be a two-unit building, but they'll be reconfigured so they're in the
townhouse side-by-side configuration. And --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the relief for this -what is the relief for this? What's the section, the legal basis for this?

SARAH RHATIGAN: The Legal relief that we require for this petition is a variance. And the variance is for the increase in height of the structure. And the structure is --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How tall will the structure be if we go into the relief?

SARAH RHATIGAN: j structure with the revised set of plans that were filed on Monday, April 5, the new height of the structure is now --

JOE STROMER: 35 feet 2 inches.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I had 34.25 feet. Is that right,
Joe? Joe, was that your voice speaking, Joe?
JOE STROMER: It's 35 feet 2 inches.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 35 feet is generally the permitted height for a residential structure. So you must be more than 35 feet?

SARAH RHATIGAN: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- I apologize if --
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. I'm
looking at your drawings and at your sheet A001, the project zoning information. And I think that, Sarah, calls out exactly as you just called it out. 34.25. So that number comes from --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Exactly, that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- somewhere.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- yeah, that was the information
that $I$ was -- that $I$ was running from.
And just to be clear, Mr. Chairman, the reason for the relief is not that this height exceeds the allowable in the district, but that the increase in height is within the setback. And so that -- it's an extension of a preexisting nonconforming setback wall.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And there has been some
inconsistent guidance that we've received as to whether or not this is variance relief or special permit relief. And when this application was originally filed, there was actually an additional height to the structure.

So we were applying for a variance for other
reasons as well. So for sure, we knew that we were requiring a variance. And this was essentially a variance in the alternative.

But we've continued to request a variance, because it was unclear as to whether or not the increase in height within the setback requires a variance or whether it could be granted by a special permit.

So I'm sorry if this is a little -- you know, it's not quite as clear as we'd all appreciate, but that is in fact the reason for the request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the other structure, it's a special permit?

SARAH RHATIGAN: And then the special permit relief -- again, it's for the same issue, which is the increase in height within the setback.

And then the other reason for a special permit is just to allow for -- the new parking configuration would allow for two cars to park in the tandem configuration in a driveway.

Because this is a merged lot, it's not actually a -- you know, just a two-family structure, which I believe would allow that as of right, but it's actually -- you know,
for zoning purposes you look at these two, they're two buildings side by side. And it would not otherwise be allowed as-of-right.

So therefore we're requesting a special permit. Thank you, Sisia, this is the plan $I$ wanted to be able to just refer to for purposes of showing the Board what this looks like.

This would be the parking configuration. And just to be clear, the two parking spaces would be for the use of the two units and the Building \#33.

Building \#31 is owned by my client. It's currently tenanted. There are tenants living there. That building is not being changed or renovated, and it's not part of this project in any way. But it is owned by them.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The two buildings contain a total of eight dwelling units?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's awfully dense for this part of the city. I mean, these structures are on top of each other, and the idea of further zoning relief, further extension of the height, further use of the land I have a problem with.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Well --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: To be honest with you.
And I guess the Planning Board did as well.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Well, I wanted to -- if I could just --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- provide a little bit of a history of the zoning for this area. And I wanted to just mention briefly kind of how we got to where we were.

When we originally were looking at the zoning for the property and the proposed -- you know, and possible proposals, an important thing to note: This property, if we -- let's see, I'm not sure that we have a context map, I'm sure that you probably know this -- I'm sorry, but I'm not sure if we have a context map in the plans here.

This is a section of Gore Street that is right off of McGrath O'Brien in a portion of an overlay district -the East Cambridge Housing Overlay District. And this overlay district actually expressly incentivizes dense -more dense residential development.

And when we initially looked -- when we initially looked at what to do here, the Overlay District would allow
for actually up to 14 units on the site.
If it could be done in such a way that, you know, it wouldn't otherwise violate other sort of density issues. The original set of plans that were filed with this Board provided for 12 units. And, as you know, we withdrew those plans after reviewing those with the neighbors and reviewing them with the Historic Commission, and after getting feedback that they were not happy with that.

And in fact the Planning Board, you mentioned that the Planning Board had weighed in -- the Planning Board weighed in on the initial set of plans in which the original structure was actually 45 feet. And there were going to be four units at \#33, not two units. And there was going to be much more substantial impacts on our neighbor to the left. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did you go back to the Planning Board with your revised plans? SARAH RHATIGAN: The project that you're seeing
now --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did you go --

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, I didn't hear what your question was?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, I'm sorry. You presented the plans to the Planning Board; the Planning Board had a number of issues. You revised the plans. Did you bring the revised plans back to the Planning Board to get their views?

SARAH RHATIGAN: They -- at the time that we met with the Planning Board, their comments were that they were hoping that the Zoning Board would take a careful look at any impacts that might be on the neighbor to the left. And that they -- you know, they felt that, you know, the forum for the review was essentially this Board.

And again, at that point the plans were substantially different than what you've seen today.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right.
SARAH RHATIGAN: If I could ask Joe Stromer, the architect -- actually, I'm sorry -- Sisia, you're controlling the slide show. Sisia, you have the whole set of plans that we filed, correct?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Would you be able to -- would you
be able to show the renderings that were filed with the initial plans February 2? I would just like to be able to show you where we were -- yep, page number 9 that you're showing on that would be --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Those were not the February ones. I can bring them up from the city agenda, I think.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah. I'm having trouble seeing what I'm looking at here. So 9 is the current. So we essentially eliminated a whole floor of the structure in this set of plans.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Just a second. So here's your context plan.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So this is Brendan Sullivan. I just have a --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- quick question.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Number 31 and 33 will contain a total of eight units?

SARAH RHATIGAN: And -- yes, and that's what they contain today, yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then there will be two parking spaces between the buildings, as shown?

SARAH RHATIGAN: That's correct, yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And the -- just so you're aware, the current conditions with parking have been that the whole back yard area is paved.

And we believe -- I mean, we -- when we owned it very recently, but we understand that there's been sort of idiosyncratic situations with cars parked behind the building, either one or two or potentially more.

But in this case, the owner will be -- you know, creating a nice landscaped area behind the building, so there won't be -- they'll be removing pavement and eliminating the kind of, essentially crowded parking lot conditions in the rear.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan again.] The current use of the building, is it rental, or is it condo?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Um--, the current use of the building on the left I believe is -- is it vacant, Chris or Peter?

CHRIS KOSKORES: Yes, it currently is vacant.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, it -- currently it is rental?

CHRIS KOSKORES: It's currently vacant at the moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Vacant. The proposed use of the building, will it be rental or condo?

SARAH RHATIGAN: That is a discussion that's been ongoing. I think since we moved from a four-unit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean, you're going to have a business plan here in going through this exercise. I would think at the end of the day you've got to have determined how you're going to pay for this; whether it be long-term rental, or it's going to be a development of the property -redevelopment of the property -- and then sell it as condos? I don't --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Well, I think, there's certainly two potential --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You know, being in the business, $I$ don't think that you'd go into this exercise and not have determined at the end of the day what you're going to do with the property. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And that goes to the
question two of the hardship that you need to establish, with respect to the variance you're seeking. I haven't heard what a hardship -- you haven't finished your presentation.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right. And I will get to that. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Do you mind if we do -- I just wanted to show you the reduction in the scope of what we've done, and also, just provide a little bit more detail. We also -- after -- the Planning Board saw the view of plans that you're looking at now.

And Sisia, would you mind --
SISIA DAGLIAN: Which drawing do you want to look
at?
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- advancing to the renderings that are approximately maybe another five pages down? It's just probably the easiest way to get the --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Are these the ones that you're referring to?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, these are not, no. These are later renderings. I'm sorry. We're not able to get a good view.

What I wanted to be able to show you was the original concept -- the original renderings that were showing how the original plans that the Planning Board was responding to were really blocking the view from the neighbors' window.

And what drove the change really were conversations with our neighbors to the left. And I would point out that the neighbor that is, you know, to the left of us has reviewed these and has approved and is pleased with the changes that we've made.

The other -- there we go.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I think that's the view that I was looking for. Thank you, Sisia. I'm sorry that you've had to scroll through to find it. So you can see that the change from the view that you're looking at now on the screen is really quite significant.

We built with a flat roof. The height was, you know, quite significant at 45 feet; a flat front. The windows were different as well. The Planning Board had some concern about the look.

The other significant thing that we did was we
went through a process of Design Review with the Cambridge Historic Commission, and the Cambridge Historic Commission had a lot of helpful feedback.

And we were before the Cambridge Historic Commission on Thursday, April 1 and had a very good meeting, at which they approved the project -- the plans that you have seen that were submitted to you on Monday, and they provided a certificate of appropriateness that I'm not sure if you've received.

I did receive a copy of that from Ms. Sarah Burke, which I can provide by e-mail. She has indicated that she would try to get it to the office staff this morning.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We don't have a copy of that.

SARAH RHATIGAN: You don't? Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know you stored it in your file, I guess.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I could read that to you into the record. I'd be happy to read that into the record to you. One of the comments from the Historic Commission were that they wanted to see the project proceed with an effort to try to lift the existing house, and to put the addition under,
which is going to take some work with -- you know, structural engineering work.

But we're going to engage in that process with the Cambridge Historic Commission staff and some ongoing review with their design.

But it was a -- you know, it was a healthy discussion. And again, they did issue the certificate of appropriateness. And if you'd like, I can -- you know, I can make sure that I get that entered into the record in one way.

In terms of hardship, the elements of hardship really relate to -- there are a number of things about this lot and about the house itself that are both unique and make for $a$ very difficult situation in terms of being able to improve the property and be able to use it or, you know, to use it or for residential use for, you know, for essentially for --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me go back --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- to modernize it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You know, are you going to condominiumize this, or are you going to rent it? Are you going to condominiumize it, you're going to -- you're just
looking to increase the value of the property, you got a higher sale price?

If you've got to rent it, it's something else.
You haven't given us that information. And that's to me very important.

SARAH RHATIGAN: So I'm not -- I'm sorry, I'm just having trouble following the logic as to the use of the -- a rental versus an ownership --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It goes to the question of hardship.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the financial pro forma, or?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. If you're going to condominiumize this property, there's no hardship here, other than the hardship is you can't get as much money for the property as you would like.

Because you're not going to be using the property, the current owners. You're going to be selling it off.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. Well, I mean I think that the intention is not to condominiumize it. I mean, the owners tend not to. I mean, they own the building on the right as a rental. It's not -- 31 Gore Street is not conducive to a condominium ownership. It is a rental
project. And 33 Gore Street, as you mentioned, is in close proximity.

I mean, I guess you're not suggesting, like, some kind of condition on an approval that would prohibit a condominium conversion? I mean, I don't think I've ever --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, we wouldn't do that. I don't think we can do that legally.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. Okay, that -- I'm sorry. I think that's probably why I'm having a little trouble answering your question. I think --

ANDREA HICKEY: Councillor, could I ask a question, please?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: So how long have your clients owned building \#31?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Let's see. Chris and Peter remind me; you purchased this summer?

CHRIS KOSKORES: It was in May 2020.
ANDREA HICKEY: And then 33 was purchased subsequent?

CHRIS KOSKORES: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. And Councillor, with
respect to the parking that you're proposing in the driveway, this tandem space, does that parking conform, or are you asking for relief for parking?

SARAH RHATIGAN: We're asking for relief from parking because I think that it is noncompliant as to the distance from the side building. I --

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, I agree.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- excuse me, so there's special permit relief.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: There's special permit relief in that regard.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. I --
SARAH RHATIGAN: The way we've looked at this is that the anticipation is that this is going to remain a rental. This is going to remain a rental property. And that's the most realistic. That's the most realistic, you know, use of this property.

Is there a possibility that in the future, perhaps they could condoize and create -- you know, two condos where the left side becomes -- you know, two private owners and the right side is owned by Chris and Peter as a rental
project? Perhaps? But, you know, again that's a practical matter. I don't know that that's really in the cards.

But for, you know, for purposes of being able to -

- stepping back to the zoning case, \#33 Gore Street is a very, very old property that needs really significant work.

And I think that it's very much like a lot of projects that you see people come to you for, where it may -- you know, it's been used as a two-unit, you know, home, but under very substandard conditions.

And in order to create -- in order to be able to spend the money that needs to be spent, in order to -- for example work with Historic in order to do some very expensive construction, they need to be able to expand the building in order to be able to pay for that construction. You know, one could rent this out to people in a substandard way and make tons of money. I mean, people do that across the city of Cambridge. But that's not their business model, and that's not their hope.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. I think, Sarah, this is where we part company, because I think when they bought this a year ago, they obviously bought it in the condition that it was in and supposedly paid fair
market value for the condition that it was in, and didn't have to require zoning relief in order to either justify that expenditure and the added renovations.

And that should have been a business decision at that time that -- you know, the purchase price, the renovation is going to come up to a sum, and then how are we going to recoup those expenses?

And it's either going to be rental, which would be higher rents -- so here's a vacant building -- or we're going to do it in such a way that we are going to condo it, and then recoup the money quicker.

And the only way to enhance that investment is by making more attractive units and by putting on an addition to the building, which then it becomes -- you know, fairly nice units to sell.

And then $I$ think eventually after Building \#33 is condoed, then they turn their attention to \#31, so that the two buildings together become a development package. That's my thought on it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I think one of the things I'm having a little difficulty with is that the changes that are being made -- it's hard to imagine --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again -- [Brendan Sullivan]
-- I'm not saying that they're not necessary.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I'm not saying that they're not expensive, it's just that that's a business decision at the time. And, again, operating in that sphere of business --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I know that you have to sit down basically with pen and paper or a calculator, and you have to make that assessment. "We are paying $X$ number of dollars for it, we need to put $X$ number of dollars into it, and consequently, how do we recoup that investment?"

So I'm not denying your saying that it's going to require an awful lot of money. But again, that should have been factored into the purchase price.

SARAH RHATIGAN: So the -- you know, another --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So anyway, I guess the bottom line is this is a tough sell for me, so.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Another question that I might ask is, you know, are you -- does this Board -- does this Board find that extending the height of the building within a
setback is a special permit, in other cases? And if you have been determining that, then we would like to request that relief as a special permit.

And if we request it by special permit, then I'd like to be able to argue, you know, very strongly that we do meet special permit provisions, in terms of, you know, no substantial detriment and, you know, meeting those -- you know, those provisions.

And I'd also be happy to talk more about, you know, the variance as well.

ANDREA HICKEY: Councillor, was it the Building Department's direction that caused you to file this as a special permit? I mean, as a variance -- my mistake.

SARAH RHATIGAN: It was not. It was a -- the reason that this was ultimately filed as a variance was because the time that we -- when we originally filed with the original set of plans, the building height to \#33 was 45 feet.

And the vast majority of this lot is in a C2B residence -- it's a Business A district, so for residential construction a C2B.

And the distance between buildings is based on a
calculation. And the calculation is based on height of buildings. And, as you can see, the distance between these two buildings is -- you know, it is what it is. But it's 11 feet I think one inch. And you see that we're adding an addition here in the rear.

And although our project was not actually making buildings closer together, by increasing the height of the building on the left, the Commissioner felt that technically, because we were increasing the height of the building, because the formula was changing, we were therefore increasing the nonconformity of the distance between the buildings. I'm sorry, this is very confusing. It took me --

ANDREA HICKEY: No I --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- like sitting there mulling
this over. It sounds very academic, but it was that that drove us to file this as a variance. If it were not -ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. SARAH RHATIGAN: -- that distance between buildings technical calculation, I would have filed this as a special permit. Because in all other respects, I thought this was a special permit case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I'm sorry, this is Jim
Monteverde.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm looking at the dimensional
information and your revised dimensional information. SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And the bottom line is the distance to nearest building on same lot? SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: And it says the existing condition is 11 foot 1 , and the requested condition is 11 foot 1. And the ordinance is 10 foot 2. So in other words, it has --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- nothing changes?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct. And so what happens is now with the revised plans, because the building height for 33 is now less, we are now no longer changing the building -- the calculation such that we're out of compliance with the building, the distance between buildings.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, because of the height? SARAH RHATIGAN: Exactly. We used to be.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: We used to be --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- tipping it such that we were
further out of compliance. But now we're no longer out of compliance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: Did you go back to the commissioner and ask him whether a special permit filing now was appropriate, given the reduction in height?

SARAH RHATIGAN: No. It's been difficult to -you know, to be able to book meetings these days. You know, so we were not able to make an appointment to make that kind of a determination.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan again.]
Andrea, I think you're on the right track. I think that I'm unprepared to decide whether or not you need a variance or a special permit.

I think that it really needs to go back to the Commissioner to decide what the -- in light of the new submissions, and have him rule and then come back to us with a clean document that you -- this is the relief that you
really need.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And that's because we just -there's no way I can persuade you how incredibly difficult this would be for any petitioner to be able to make renovations to a building such as this to modernize it to -I mean, you're working within a setback that's incredibly tight on a merged lot with multiple overlay districts -- you know, tight constraints.

You know, it has all the elements of hardship that we've seen this Board grant in other cases. And it has so many of the elements that feel like it should -- you know, it should pass muster. I mean, we'll -- you know, the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What Brendan is suggesting is that --

SARAH RHATIGAN: The uniqueness is -- the uniqueness we certainly -- I certainly have never seen a lot that is quite as encumbered by layers of complexity as this one for a small project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would agree with that, and my experience as well. The fact of the matter is you've got a complicated, messy case here. You're going to -- you force the vote tonight; you may not get the relief you want.

And why not go back to the Commissioner? It may
take some time, but that's how it is in this day of the pandemic -- and get some clarification, based on the new plan, and maybe minimize the zoning relief you need to obtain.

ANDREA HICKEY: I think --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think you're asking for trouble, in short.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, I --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- in forcing a vote tonight on this.

ANDREA HICKEY: I would second that. I think in terms of the threshold for a variance, personally I'm not there. Perhaps with the threshold for a special permit, you could be persuasive. But my advice as well would be to go back and see if this is now appropriately filed under a special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I would just judge second what Andrea said. And I'm not there for the variance either, not yet anyway. But -- so you're rowing against the wind here.

I think you should go back and repeat what Andrea
said. Go back to the Commissioner, whatever it takes to get there, and however long it takes to get there and try to get more clarity about the current situation, and then take it -- and then come back before this Board.

But it's your call.
SARAH RHATIGAN: We will take that advice, for sure. We would definitely like to have a continuance to be able to get some clarity around that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And this is being obviously a case heard. And part of the problem is to what date do we continue? Because you're dependent upon when you can get to meet with the commissioner and get some feedback from him.

I would suggest -- just off the top of my head -a meeting in June, in early June. June 10 is available... if we continue the case to June 10, assuming the other members of the Board can be available that day, because this is a case heard obviously. What's your pleasure?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Is there an earlier date when you're all available that we might be able to try for?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not -- you may not have heard the other case. We're not -- we have a meeting on the
twentieth, but there's no openings in that meeting.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Oh, okay. I was -- yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, and the twentyseventh I won't be here. So you only have four members. And I don't know if you want to go forward with four members. We could. It's up to you. ANDREA HICKEY: And I won't be here on that date either.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, so Jim can --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: June 10 is a date. I
assume Jim, Andrea and Jason, you're all available on the tenth?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. [Jim Monteverde], I'm available.

JASON MARSHALL: Yep.
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey], I'm available.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Brendan just said yes.
I want to make sure you heard him. So should I make a motion to continue this case as a case heard --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- until --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on January 10 -- I'm sorry, June 10, I got the wrong month -- subject to the following condition:

That the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and that waiver must be signed and returned to the Building Department no later than a week from today. And that's not done, the case will be dismissed.

Second of all -- and then that shouldn't be a problem, because it's a routine matter for the Building Department -- second, that a new posting sign must be put up, or the current one modified, to reflecting the new time and the new date -- I got it backwards -- the new date and the new time.

And you can either, as I said, get a new sign or you can with a magic marker or what have you mark up the current sign, so long as the current sign is legible.

And I've noticed this is a problem with maintaining signs on that structure. And it's not your fault, but it seems to me every time I go by that area, the
sign is in tatters, or has been partially ripped down. So you have to police it a little bit better this time around.

And lastly, that any new plans or new
developments, plans, specs, what have you, must -- as you know, Sarah -- must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before June 10.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
So the case will be continued until June 10.
(6:47 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 107421 -- 11 Grey Gardens East.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's at 7:00.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, that's at 7:00, you're right. Sorry. We'll go to our regular agenda now, and we'll -- come 7:00, which is not too far away, we will call the Grey Gardens case -- Grey Gardens East case. So we'll now call Case Number 106563 -- 8 Sacramento Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yes, we do.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? Edrick, are you on the phone?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Hello? I'm sorry, I was having trouble getting my --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's okay.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: -- thing to work here. And hold on a second. See if it's here. Okay, here we go.

Okay. My name is Edrick Van Beuzekom. I'm the architect for this project. My company is EVB Design. Do you want me to spell my name for the record?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You've been here before, so I don't think you have to.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: And also on the call here are my clients, the owners of the property, Jack Cunha and Catherine Rondeau.

So we are basically requesting a variance to construct a -- essentially an accessory apartment -- a twostory accessory apartment on their property at 8 Sacramento Street. The goal here was -- here we go.

So if we can scroll down to the second page site plan.

Okay, so here you see the existing site plan. The main house is a very stately mansard roofed house, and the owners have lived here for a long time. They raised their three children in Cambridge here.

And next to it is a one-story, what was originally a garage structure, but for a number of years now has actually been used as an art studio. And it is currently vacant.

But Jack and Catherine approached me about their need for an accessory apartment as a sort of long-term plan to be able to stay in Cambridge so that they could have a smaller place to live in.

The house is quite large and it's two units. And the goal is to be able to rent out the house to provide income for them in their retirement and be able to live in this smaller, accessory unit.

If you can go to the next page?
We looked at a number of options. First was to look at the basement of the existing house, which was determined to really not be feasible. There were a number of issues with the foundation with this, and it was virtually impossible to get much light down there, and really it did not suit their needs very well.

So the next was to look at this garage structure and see if we could convert that. It's a very small structure, as you can see, and so the goal was to basically try to see how we could make this livable for them, and also to have at least one additional room for their children to come visit or for the potential of a future caretaker to live in with them as well.

The goal was to try to stick to the footprint of the existing garage, but we decided to make one change, which was there's a shed on the right side of the garage close to the property line, and we sort of moved that piece of the footprint around to the back to be where we could get a stair that connects the floors.

If we can go to the next plan? This is just the basement layout.

Go to the next plan?
So here -- this would be the entrance level, the main living lovely. There's basically -- it's a very small living space, very small kitchen, a little half bath. The entrance is shown on the left-hand side. That faces the street.

The house is set back -- or this structure is set back pretty far from the street. And currently you can barely see the current structure there. This, obviously, would be a little more visible if we go two stories.

And let's go to the next please?
So -- and this would be the bedroom for them on the second floor here with the bathroom and a little bit of a study area. So the existing house being a mansard roof,
we thought we wanted to try to do something that fits in with the character of the neighborhood and the character of the existing house, make it feel like it belong here. So if we can go to the next page?

Basically, what we're showing is, you know, we talked about different roof forms, we talked about doing something that's more of a modern structure. If you can scroll to the next page, please? Thank you.

And we chose to go with the mansard roof approach so that we would sort of carry on the aesthetic of the existing house and make it feel like it's part of the same group there and, you know, be sympathetic with the neighborhood.

The, you know, it's a little more expensive to do the mansard roof, but we felt that that was the right way to go in this case. Here you're looking at the -- on the right-hand side is the front street-facing elevation. On the left-hand side is the elevation that faces the yard.

So you have a lot of glass there, and we have sort of a large window well for the egress from the basement shown on the left there as well.

And then the stair portion is the projection on
the left-hand side of that elevation.

The current lot is a fairly good-sized lot. It's conforming to the required area that's 7830 square feet and it's in the Residence B zone that's a 5000-square-foot minimum lot size.

Our existing floor area, if you count the entire existing house plus the existing garage comes to 3719 square feet, which is an FAR of 0.48 , which is just below the ordinance requirements of 0.5 .

What we're proposing bumps this up slightly up over the allowable to 0.535. So we would be noncompliant with the FAR, a little bit over, but we are staying within the total square footage of accessory apartments and staying under the 900 square-foot threshold. And I -- the lot has parking, enough parking for three cars as it is.

So we think we're compliant in -- I mean, there's currently two spaces there, but we could fit a third car. I think we're only required to have two with the accessory apartment.

So the other issue is, office, the setbacks. And if we can scroll back up to the site plan -- right there, yeah. Thank you. Here you can see there's sort of light
dashed lines, and it's a little hard to read on the screen here. But you can see the dimensions -- the side yard setbacks of the 12 feet and 7 foot 6. Both the existing house and the existing garage were noncompliant to that. It's -- and so, you know, we're basically proposing if we build on the footprint of the garage, the house will be 6 feet 2 from the lot line, which gets us a little farther away than it is currently with the shed on the side there, and complies with the 5 -feet setback for accessory structures.

The owners have spoken with all of their neighbors -- you know, both the immediate abutters and beyond. And I believe there are a number of letters on record in support of this project. We did shadow studies, which we shared with everybody. It shows minimal impact overall -- I would say among
-- we can scroll down to that. Here we go. --
So here we're looking -- basically an aerial view looking down from above. We're basically looking from the south toward the north. So you're seeing at different times of the year. This is at the summer solstice. On the left is the existing condition. And on the right is the
proposed.
So the multifamily building that is to the side is pretty much the only structure that's much affected by shadows. At this time of day, it's not really getting -that side of the building is already in shadow.

We can scroll to the next view.

Here you see it later in the afternoon. Now the shadows are projected more toward the existing house. And then go to the next.

Here we are at the equinox. And you can see here that there's a little bit of shadow cast onto the multifamily building next door. On the left is the existing, on the right is the proposed.

You can also -- in this view, you can see that there's parking lots behind here. One is -- belongs to Lesley College, the other one belongs to the Cambridge Common and Lizard Lounge. So, you know, I think they're much impacted by this.

We can scroll to the next view.
So here by midday the shadows -- basically that side of the multifamily next to us is in shadow already. So it's not really impacting it.

Next view?
And here we are late afternoon. The shadows are going across the back yard of the owner's property.

Next view?
Okay. Here we are at winter solstice, where the sun is the lowest of the year. So at 9:00 a.m., there is some shadow that's cast over the first-floor windows, as you can see on the adjacent property.

And then the next view?
Here by noon: Again, that side of the multifamily is in shadow. So it's not really casting any shadows on that.

And then the next view:
Obviously end of the day, everything's in shadow back there, mostly cast by the taller buildings on Mass Ave. We can go to the photos, and we just do a quick run-through here. This is the existing mansard roofed house.

Next photo?
And this is as seen from the street. On the lower photo here, you're looking down the driveway and you see the multifamily next door, which $I$ believe is still owned by Lesley College.

Next photo?
Noh, we're looking -- we're in the driveway. You can see the garage structure in the bottom view there. And then the next few photos give you some close-ups of the garage.

It's hard to get any pictures from a distance, because of all the vegetation back there. But this gives you an idea of some of the yard space around it.

There you can see where the front of the garage is basically in the top photo here, you see the edge -- the back corner of the multifamily. So we're not building directly in front of the windows, we're actually just right at the corner of that building, and sort of building past beyond this.

So you can scroll down to the next photo. Here you see the existing house with the garage structure behind it. And next photo? And a shot of the garage structure. And, yeah, I think that gives you a pretty good sense of it.

I'd like to ask my clients to just say a few words to talk their reasons for wanting to do this, and reasons for wanting to stay in Cambridge. Okay.

CATHERINE RONDEAU: Good evening. I have been
living in Cambridge for 36 years. And I would like to stay in Cambridge. My children are American, they are going to stay in the U.S. And I really don't want to live somewhere else than in Cambridge. And it's the way -- it's the only way $I$ think to stay, to remain. That's it.

JOHN CUNHA: Good evening. My name is John Cunha.
Everybody calls me Jack. My -- three of my four
grandparents were born and raised in Cambridge. I was born in Cambridge. I've lived more than half of my life in Cambridge. I also don't want to go anywhere else.

We both have had far too many friends who left because they couldn't afford to stay here, and we see this as a way, quite frankly, of being able to stay where we are, stay in the neighborhood, where we've been since 1986. We know our neighbors. We know our neighbors' kids.

And if you have any questions, we'd be more than happy to answer them.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And just to be clear about this: The relief you're seeking is the height for an accessory structure. Our ordinance is 15 -- no more than 15 feet?

JOHN CUNHA: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think the plans you showed -- you submitted -- show it'll go to 24 feet. Is that correct?

JOHN CUNHA: That's correct, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is there any other zoning relief you need for what you want to do?

JOHN CUNHA: Yes, there's FAR -- total FAR.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, that's right. You're right. You're slightly compliant now -- not slightly, you're close to the edge, but you are compliant.

JOHN CUNHA: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Then with this change to the accessory structure, you'll go over the top. You do need FAR relief, that's correct.

JOHN CUNHA: Yeah. And then there's the -- you know, there's existing setback issues which we're trying to make a little bit better, and I think we're --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What's going to happen down the road? You know, your clients move into -- we grant you the relief you're seeking --

JOHN CUNHA: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you create this
smaller, residential structure, the clients move into it, what happens to the main house?

JOHN CUNHA: It's rented.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
JOHN CUNHA: I'm sorry, sir. What we'd like to do
is to rent it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: To rent it, okay.
JOHN CUNHA: And quite frankly, neither one of us have pensions. So the home, which is quite large and too large already -- not so much when there were three children here, but we're near Harvard, and we see this as a way of -frankly as kind of a pension or annuity to rent the home.

And that's part of the notion. It's not just the accessory structure to live in, but it's also, frankly, a means of funding staying here in Cambridge.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan.
Jack, the existing -- do you occupy the entire house now?
JOHN CUNHA: No, sir. There's a third-floor, onebedroom apartment that was there when we bought the house in 1986. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you occupy the basement --

JOHN CUNHA: There's two floors -- I'm sorry, sir? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, it's okay. Go ahead, I'm sorry. I'm interrupting you. Go ahead.

JOHN CUNHA: So the house as we live in it is the
first and second floor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JOHN CUNHA: It's got four bedrooms. You know, it
was great when there were three kids, but not anymore.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: And the third floor is
rented. That's a rental unit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. Can I ask a question, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go right ahead.
JIM MONTEVERDE: For either the proponents or
Edrick: In the proposed accessory building, it's unique in terms of what we have seen other times for a structure where someone's proposing to age in place and either, you know, building an accessory unit or reusing adaptively or using something else that exists on their site.

And it's really the staircase that has me scratching my head. Because I'm old enough that I'm
thinking that my two-story -- I really want a one-story. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- when $I$ age in place. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: So I just have a question for the proponents, because you're really considering a basement down and a basement up.

And it almost seems like, you know, there are opportunities within the existing building to -- since it only takes what, three steps up to the front door -- to use that ground floor for your -- more reasonably as you're aging in place, without having to negotiate stairs. But -JOHN CUNHA: I would say several things. Before Catherine moved here, before I somehow persuaded her to come here, she lived in a five-story walkup in France. So walking up and down stairs is not unknown. That's one thing.

The second is that, frankly walking up and down stairs it at some point maybe the only exercise we get. And we would like to be able to do that.

And third, just being confined to that space on the first floor in order to stay within the footprint of a

```
    20x20 building, it just wouldn't be livable. So --
```

    JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, no, I'm not arguing that.
    I can see that.
    JOHN CUNHA: Then perhaps I didn't understand your
    question.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, you got it. I was
    looking at the first floor of the existing dwelling --
    JOHN CUNHA: Right.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and seeing that as having --
    you know, a generous amount of space or almost the same
amount of space as what you're building in the -- proposing
to build in the accessory.
JOHN CUNHA: There's much --
JIM MONTEVERDE: And in fact there's no stairs to
negotiate. But that's just me.
JOHN CUNHA: I'm sorry, there's more stairs in the
-- well, there's stairs in both. But there's much more room
right here where we are now.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. But I think -- I get
your point, Jim, but $I$ think the issue is that in order to
get the sort of rental income that they will need to
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continue to live here, they need to be able to rent out the whole existing house rather than just the upper two floors and --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Piece of it. Yep. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: So. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: You're welcome.

JOHN CUNHA: I'm sorry, I didn't understand the question, sir.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, we got it. It's okay. JOHN CUNHA: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, do you have any questions at this point?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. So as a two-unit building, can you tell me a little bit more about the smaller unit that you're renting out now, in terms of size and --

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Sure. Actually, if we -- I believe the floor plan is in the drawings, if we can scroll down to the existing plant at the bottom. No, well actually scroll back up? Maybe I didn't include it. Oh, I'm sorry, I guess I don't have it in there.

Yeah. It's basically it's a one-bedroom unit.

It's in the main mansard roofed portion of the house up there. It does have a roof deck out the back of it. And it has a couple skylights I think, Jack? Maybe you can describe it a little more.

JOHN CUNHA: it's -- I'd be guessing, but I suspect that it's probably about 400 or 500 square feet. And when you say it has a deck, the -- I suspect that the original house was just the mansard part.

And off the back of the house there is a two-story addition. I'm sure it was an addition. And that's got a flat roof.

So the -- so if this is the main house, there is a flat roof that comes off going to the back. And in one of the pictures that Edrick showed you, there's like a porch or a deck out there, and that's the back of the flat part. Let me just see if -- can you see it there? Maybe you can see it there, Edward.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

JOHN CUNHA: So if you look at the top, the two windows at the top are pretty much contain the space. There's about as much space on the window to the left --
there's about as much wall space. On the window to the right, there's about five feet. There's the same amount on the window to the left.

And then below that is a flat roof. It is a rubber roof. In fact, we really don't want people to use it as a deck because it's not designed to be a deck. And so it's a small apartment.

And access is gained, as you can probably see, by the outside stairway to the third -- to the -- so the entry is on the second floor, which just enters into a very small little vestibule, and then it goes up to the third floor. So it is 98 percent on the third floor, and just the entry on the second floor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Where is the kitchen for this apartment?

JOHN CUNHA: In the back.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: The kitchen is in the back on the rear corner that's closest toward the proposed structure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can you show me on the plans or the photographs?

JOHN CUNHA: Sure. If we can go to the site plan,

I can show you sort of where that is. On the -- yeah, so basically -- let's see, the -- if you're looking at the main house here, the -- where the -- on the bottom part of the plan, that box is basically the box of where the mansard is above.

The total apartment floor area is 616 square feet; I just looked that up. And it's -- the kitchen is in that corner, basically -- that bumped out corner on the side there, above the existing dining room of the first floor.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: So yeah, it's right -- it's right where it says, "family resi -- " to the d; that's where the kitchen is on the third floor, above that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: On what floor is the kitchen?

COLLECTIVE: Third.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: The kitchen to the apartment, sir, or the kitchen to the house?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I'm talking about the kitchen for the apartment.

COLLECTIVE: Yes.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah, it's on the third
floor. It's -- yeah, so there's a kitchen, there's a
bathroom, there's a small bedroom and there's a living room. There's four rooms, basically, up there. It's -- you know, it's practically a square.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jason, do you have any questions?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Mr. Chair. I have one question. The case we had right before this, we had a little bit of back and forth with respect to the question of hardship. So this question goes to that prong of our standard of review.

The pictures that you had of the -- I don't know, do you call it the art studio or the garage in the back?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Whatever you want to call it.

JASON MARSHALL: For reference. Well, let's call it the structure in the back. I thought the pictures were really helpful in seeing the condition of that.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah.
JASON MARSHALL: And then the application $I$ think you described it as being in a deteriorated state. I just want to confirm is that in fact the case, is it a deteriorated structure?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yes, it is. It's -- you know, it's concrete block.

JASON MARSHALL: Yep.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: It's never been properly insulated. It has a concrete slab inside which is cracked and not level. There are cracks in the concrete block walls that you can see on the inside that, you know, show some structural instability.

So it's -- you know, it's not in good shape. And it doesn't have a proper foundation as far as we can tell to. So --

JASON MARSHALL: All right, yeah. I mean that's helpful to me. Because hardship, it doesn't go to the current owners of the property. It's really to -- the hardship would have to go to any owner of the property. \}

So understanding the condition of that structure, it would seem to me based on what you described that really any use of an accessory structure there, whether it would be to construct the development that you're talking about or something else would require probably demolition in order to do that.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. I think that's
according to.
JASON MARSHALL: Okay. All right. That's all I
have. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And now I'll open the matter up to public testimony.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If I could have just one comment -- [yeah, Brendan Sullivan] -- the, what I'm wrestling at (sic) is that you have an accessory building that you would like us to consider for residential use at that site.

The proposed residential building you're asking us to consider an accessory building. What $I$ see in the proposal to me is not, as Jim sort of alluded to, an accessory building. It is a full-blown house.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that's what sort of tips the scales for me. Because an accessory building -- and I think the intent of the ordinance at the time was that say the main structure of your house -- if it was built as a single-family to allow for an accessory unit to be able to rent other space, because it became too big for those of us up in years, or that there may have been a carriage house in
back for a two-car garage or something like that that -again, could be used.

And that's why the height limit of 15 feet was put in. It was never -- that whole accessory ordinance section, Edrick, was never intended for a structure like this, in my way of thinking.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that my thought.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah, well, yeah. I mean, I would say -- and that --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You can't consider that an accessory building at this point, what you're -- and again, and I asked -- you know, for the schematics of the existing building and the proposed. And again, what you're --

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- proposing is a full-blown house in the back yard.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: To reformulate what Brendan just said, or to say it a different way, today that art studio that's falling down is an accessory structure.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's accessory to the
people who live in the main building. With the relief you're trying to -- what you want to accomplish now is not to -- is to stop it from being an accessory building, and from being a separate residential structure.

And I query whether you can satisfy the requirements to do that. You're really dividing up the lot, although you're not doing it in back, because you've got to keep the main structure as a rental unit. But that's what you're doing.

But at the end of the day, as I see it, the relief you're seeking with regard to this formerly accessory structure and now a new residential structure is rather modest. And there's no height issue if it's residential structure. We can go as high as 35 feet, and you're not proposing to do that. The rest is just little, small issues about near the lot line and the like.

To me, I don't see that as a major problem as to why we should deny zoning relief. But I do agree with the point that Brendan's making.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is not any longer a residential -- will not be any longer a residential -- and
accessory structure. You're talking about building a new residential structure.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And this is Brendan Sullivan again. And I think that the -- the people, and I read the comments from the neighbors, "They're wonderful people and leave them in Cambridge," but I think the other option is to reconfigure the big house. There's plenty of room there that they could reconfigure a smaller apartment for themselves.

Now, it may not be financially what they want to do intermediate and long-term, but, you know, zoning is not intended to become a vehicle to -- you know, enhance, enrich, -- you know, homeowners.

It's a vehicle to sidestep or to set aside certain
encumbrances to a property to make it a little bit more livable, to add a room or bedroom, whatever it may be, whatever we hear here on Thursday nights.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But the plan here I think is to put up this building, live in it, and if cannot rent the big house for $I$ think a good sum, then they would sell it.

But I think that there are options here that they could reconfigure the big house to accommodate their aging in place and also having a rental portion of that building.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: I understand what you're saying. And I will say, you know, initially when I filed the application, I filed the special permit for an accessory unit as well. And Ranjit called me up and said, "No, this is just a variance. It's not -- it doesn't quite qualify." So --

But I will point out that $I$ know that, you know, there has been cases of two-story accessory structures that have been approved by the Board -- I believe 17 Clay Street was one.

And the -- you know, although it does not meet the dimensional requirements of an accessory structure, we -you know, the use that we're looking for here is really as an accessory structure. It's for the owners of the property to have additional living space here.

There is no intention of theirs to sell the other house and continue living here; they want it as rental. And it's not -- you know, we're not looking at turning this into condominiums or something like that.

So -- but, you know, we -- I think there's, you know, there's a good rental market in this area. And a large unit is certainly much more valuable for them in terms of making it financially feasible to stay here and live with the -- you know, after taking on the costs of construction and, you know, just but also just -- you know, having a steady stream of income for both of them.

So anyways. But I -- you know, I -- it is --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again, that is contrary to what the zoning and relief is meant to do. So anyhow.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Could I say that --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to open this matter up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll wait a few minutes to see if anyone wishes to speak. [Pause]

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone, no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. So I will
report that we are in receipt of written communications, all of which are supportive of the relief being sought, and I should say laudatory of the owners -- the petitioners themselves.

With that, I'm going to now close public
testimony. Discussion or are we ready for a vote? Brendan is shrugging his shoulders. So we've had a lot of discussion already. I would suggest we're ready for a vote. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not hearing any contrary. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan ready for a vote.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You want to make a motion?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I've got to make the
motion. I always do this, I forget this. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mm-hm.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of
the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being as that there is now located on the site a former art studio, which is in very dilapidated condition and needs to be -- it's not going to be used for anything; needs to be taken down.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the shape and topography of the lot, with the studio being -- located where it is.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. In this regard, the relief being sought is modest.

The height of the -- which is the main relief being sought, the height of the new structure, the accessory -- so-called accessory structure -- will still be only 24 feet; far below what is permitted in this district.

And that there's unanimous neighborhood -- It would appear -- neighborhood support in favor of the project. And it is one way of allowing the petitioners -the current owners or whoever owns the property -- to age in place by giving them -- creating an alternative living arrangement that is manageable for people who are on in the
years.
So on the basis of all of these findings, the
Chair moves that we grant the variance being sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by EVD Design, revised as of February 19, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would vote no to granting the relief requested.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who wants to go next? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde -- I would also vote no to granting the relief. The way the case is stated.

Again, and just to reiterate $I$ think what Brendan said, where $I$ was kind of nosing around before, there would seem to be a way to, with the size of the lot and the size of the house -- I can't believe there isn't a scheme here to do what your clients, Edrick, are looking to do.

It's just presented as an accessory structure, when in fact it really reads as a new building, new residence. That's the part $I$ can't accept the variance for. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You vote no?

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I vote no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I vote no as well, my reasoning being that $I$ didn't see substantial hardship here. I think there are other options in terms of reconfiguring the main house that could meet both their needs for smaller space and still give rental income. So I am opposed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: [Jason Marshall], I vote yes for the reasons stated in the Chair's motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair would vote yes as well, for the reasons stated in the motion that $I$ just made.
[THREE VOTES NO, TWO VOTES NO = DENIED]

But, four votes are necessary to get the relief being sought, and only two have been obtained. So the request for a variance is denied.

And now we need a second vote to memorialize the reasons why we've denied the variance. I'll try my hand at it, even though I was not -- I was in the minority.

The Chair would move that we deny the variance on the grounds that what is proposed here is not a restoration

```
of an accessory structure, but the creation of a new
residential structure on the lot.
    And that there's not -- there has not been
```

demonstrated a need for being able to stay on the -- age in
place and needing to have a new structure.
There are other solutions involving the main house
itself, that could accommodate the very same goal. That's
my suggestion.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, additional language I
would -- [Brendan Sullivan] -- additional language I would
say that the petitioner has not demonstrated that a -- they
have met the statutory requirement of hardship; that the
hardship is not owing to the circumstances relating to the
shape of the lot or topography of the land or such
structures.

And that desirable relief cannot be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. Is that right, cannot be granted?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Say it again?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Does that sound right?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's good.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And we need to vote --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't have a grammar check.
Anyhow, so that would be my additional language.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim and Andrea, do you
want any further changes, or are you in favor of what I proposed as amended by Brendan?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I am fine with what you proposed, and/or has been amended.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: As am I. I have nothing to add.
Thank you.
(7:28 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The case is over. We'll now turn to our last continued case for the evening, and that case is Case Number 107421 -- 11 Grey Gardens East. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening Mr. Chair, members of the Board. James Rafferty on behalf of the applicants Thad and Lulu Davis [all names phonetic]. Mr. and Mrs. Davis I believe are also present on the call.

The Board may recall we were before you a month ago with an application also involving an accessory structure -- or at least as I understand it an accessory structure and in that case, or in this case, it is a proposed spa. It's an in-ground pool-type structure, very modest-sized. And we were seeking relief from the accessory setback requirement of five feet.

During discussion at the Board hearing on the application, it was suggested that the applicants consider
reorienting the spa in a way that would actually allow it to comply with the rear setback for an accessory structure. And we submitted a plan that demonstrates that on March 19, into the file.

And so as a result of that, one portion of the -that's it right there. You'll see at its narrowest it's at five feet. It's actually at seven feet as the lot extends.

So the consequence of this is the application involves two forms of dimensional relief. The relocation or the reorientation of the spa in this manner no longer necessitates the setback relief from the rear setback for an accessory structure. So we're not asking the Board to grant relief under that provision.

What is the remaining aspect of the application, however, is the separation -- the 10 -foot building separation between accessory structures and principle dwellings? In this case, the spa that is four feet six inches from the main house.

To be noted, I think that the 10 -foot separation, where it is elsewhere in the zoning ordinance, where it is elsewhere in the zoning ordinance specifically says 10 feet between buildings. In this case, there's no question this
isn't a building. But it is being deemed as an accessory structure.

So it is within 10 feet, but as noted, it's -- it has a small profile coming out of the ground, and it is now compliant in terms of the rear setback requirements. And now we simply are looking for relief from the 10-foot separation requirement between the principle structure and this spa.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.
Questions? Brendan, do you have any questions?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
LAURA WERNICK: It's me. I'm on this one.
JASON MARSHALL: Oh, you're on this one, Laura?
Okay.
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah, yeah. And I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, the Chair has no questions either. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Let me find the instructions. Here we go.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll wait a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently there is no one who wishes to speak. There are letters in our file going back to the original -- I believe the original application, not any comments on the new plans, if you want to call them that.

So I will close public testimony in its entirety. Ready for a vote? And I'll make a motion this time, if I remember. Or do people want to discuss?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance that's being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of
the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that the petitioner will not be able to locate its spa pool where it wants to locate it, even though there are areas on the lot where it's going to be put without any zoning relief.

That the hardship is owing to the -- oh, I guess the topography of the land, and the shape of the lot, that makes in the petitioners' eyes it desirable to locate the spa pool where it's being proposed to locate.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, there is some neighborhood support, or at least some letters of support that the relief being sought is modest; it has little impact on the neighborhood, given its location, tucked away behind the building; and that it's an amenity that the petitioner and other residents of the city would like to have. Not everyone can do it, but this lot is available to do it.

So on the basis of all these findings, The Chair would move that we grant the variance being sought on the
condition that the spa pool be located as set forth on a one-page plan that's in our files, and which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance, and $I$ was silent on the original hearing. I would say that the unusual shape of the salon does qualify for an exception to the ordinance in this instance, that locating the spa in its location would have the absolute least effect on surrounding neighbors. And I think it's -- myself, I think it's an ideal spot to put it.

So I would say yes to granting the relief. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason? Oh, not Jason. I'm sorry -- Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes; I'm in favor of granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will vote no on granting relief -- not that it makes a difference, as the four votes are enough to grant the variance.
[FOUR VOTES YES, ONE VOTE NO; APPROVED.]
But simply, this just doesn't meet the -- I would acknowledge that this is a minor impact on the neighborhood. But it just doesn't satisfy the legal requirement for a variance. There are places on the lot where the spa pool can be located. Not where the petitioner would like, but it can be done. So there goes the hardship.

Anyway, the vote is $4: 1$ in favor of granting the variance. The variance is granted. Thank you.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. And thank you to the Board; the suggestions at the last hearing were very helpful and led to a solution here that reduced the requested amount of relief. We very much appreciate the collaboration of the Board and the outcome here. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's why we get paid the big bucks, Mr. Rafferty.

JAMES RAFFERTY: No comment, Mr. Chair. Thank you.
(7:36 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. The Chair will now call Case Number 109178 -- I'm sorry, 66 Clifton Street. LAURA WERNICK: Gus, it's Laura. I'm out for the rest of the evening. That was my last --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, so Jason's back in? LAURA WERNICK: -- this evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We have Jim.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, and Jason's back.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, good. All right.
Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter for 66 Clifton Street?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Hi. Edrick van Beuzekom again from EVD Design. And $I$ believe my clients, Aaron Rubin and Julia Hallman are also on the Zoom?

JULIA HALLMAN: Yep, hi.
AARON RUBIN: Hello.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: All right. Aaron and Julia
live in a small house on Clifton Street, and we -- they approached me basically looking for ideas about how to make the house a little bit more livable for them.

It's -- you know, currently they have two very small bedrooms on the second floor, and there's no bathroom on the second floor.

And so if we can get the drawings up, maybe I can walk you through what we're considering here. This is a 3D view showing the existing house with the proposed addition that's on the right-hand side of the house.

And one of the issues here, which we can talk about from this view, is there's a house directly behind them, a little bit offset to the left, and you can see here. And they also have a small yard on the left-hand side of the house. And so the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What is the distance, roughly, between that house -- in front of that house, and the addition -- the edge of the addition you're proposing to build?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Hang on a second. Let me
get my site client on to view it, as you mentioned. [Pause]

So their -- well, to the property line we have just over four and a half -- almost four and a half feet, and then the house itself is about another six feet back there, I think? I'm not sure exactly. Aaron and Julia, maybe you can give us a sense of how far back it is from -JULIA HALLMAN: It's a bit more than -- definitely a bit more than six feet back. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Edrick, I'm looking at your sheet C1.1. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: Isn't there a 10-foot right of way behind there? EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: There is, yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: So -EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yes, that's correct. Oh, so front of that house, I guess that must be -- yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: It can't be more than 13, 14 feet if -- even if it sits right on the right of way, right?

You're at least --

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 14, 15 feet away?
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: You're right. Good point.
JIM MONTEVERDE: From your back wall, right?
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have these -- the owners of that property -- expressed any views about what you want to do?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yes. So my clients have spoken to all the neighbors. And the people -- so before they started the project, they spoke to the people behind them and the people to the right.

The people behind were basically pretty clear that they didn't want anything built that would obstruct their view to the street, and that -- you know, they wouldn't have a problem with something on the other side but, you know, and the little yard that's there is sort of a nice thing for everybody. So they made it pretty clear that they would oppose anything that projected in front of their house.

The neighbors to the right did a very large
addition that they got a zoning variance for a few years ago, and they basically told my clients that they would be supportive of an addition close to their house, as it was in here.

And I think both of them have submitted letters of support.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anything further, Edrick?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Well, maybe Julia and Aaron, do you want to add anything to that?

JULIA HALLMAN: Sure. I mean, I would love to -we've lived in this house now since 2010, and we absolutely love it. It's an 1850s -- one of the cottages, the Bricklayer Yard cottages. And it was kind of perfect for us when we moved in. It's very small, and we love that. And we love our little yard, because I have a garden.

I've been at Formaggio Kitchen as the Manager for 13 years now, and I don't plan on leaving. We really want to stay in Cambridge for the long haul.

And it became kind of apparent -- both of us have parents in our 70s. And they visit a lot, and it became pretty apparent that it just made me nervous having my Mom
stay upstairs and have to go downstairs in the middle of the night to go to the bathroom. And we know we'll eventually have that issue as well.

But we just really wanted to kind of think ahead to the future. It's something that we -- you know, we don't want to move to a different house. We really love where we are, we love our neighbors, and we love kind of the little space that we've created and learning a lot about the history of the house from our neighbors and our neighbor across the street who -- you know, was 98. He passed away recently, but he taught me all about the history of all of our houses in the neighborhood.

And I don't know, it's just special, it means a lot to us to be able to make it something that we can stand for many years. So that's really it. And the bathroom is really the -- you know, we don't want to expand over, you know, our existing. We're just kind of building up a little bit.

And our neighbors are great. And they've been fantastic. You know, the neighbors to the right of the house when you're looking at the houses did that -- they turned it from a two-family to a single-family, which I know
is super rare in Cambridge. And they've been great and supportive, because that was a huge and massive ordeal -bigger than the intended, because there was fire damage when they tore apart the house a bit more.

But yeah. So we're just -- that's our main goal, is to just make this sustainable for the long haul.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah.

JULIA HALLMAN: Yeah.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: And I can walk you through the floor plans if you want, so you can understand what we're proposing here. Let's go to the -- yeah. So if we can -- what -- so here you see the site plans.

The shaded area there shows you what the secondfloor addition is that we're proposing.

And then if we go to the next drawing, this is the -- showing the change in floor area between the existing and the proposed. So on the left are the first-floor plans. You see at the bottom is the existing first floor; at the top is the proposed. That's not really changing. On the right you see the second floor.

So the bedrooms on the second floor currently are
-- you know, have a low pitched roof, so there's knee wall basically on both sides. The ceiling height at the exterior walls is about five feet -- or no, it's less than five feet. So that's why you see the shaded area is where we have the full five feet headroom.

The -- that little closet that you see on the right is really just space under the eaves of the roof, and then there's a small gable roof over the kitchen on the first floor there.

If we go to the next plan, that's the first floor. Let's go to the next one.

Okay so here's the proposed addition. And what we're wanting to do here is basically build a master bedroom with real closets, because there's very little useable closet space in this house. And then a -- you know, pretty basic bathroom with just enough to serve the bedrooms up here.

Part of the work here would also raise the -- by doing the new roof over the addition, which is a flat roof, you would extend that over to meet the peak of the gable of the existing house.

So if we can scroll down to the elevation -- that
one right there, yep? Thank you.
So you see on the right-hand side would be the proposed addition on top of the kitchen. There's a -- it extends over the existing entry ports that goes up to the kitchen on the right there. You see the door on the first floor that goes in there, and that would have an overhang for this proposed addition.

And then by extending across to the gable of the -

- to the peak of the existing roof, we're basically able to create enough headroom at the top of the stairs, which actually currently does not have the adequate headroom. They had to actually put an extra step in the floor to get under the roof to get up onto the second floor.

So this would solve that problem, and of course create the space where we are proposing the bathroom to have full headroom in that area. We're proposing -- basically the way we delineated the design was to try to make this as a different sort of structure that's set back from the street and is a couple different volumes. So it keeps the scale of it relatively modest.

The elevation at the bottom here is the side that faces the neighbors very close to the lot line, so there's
no new windows shown there.

And if we can go to the next plan?
On this one, you see at the top is the side of the
house that faces the little garden and the driveway there. And on the bottom is the proposed rear addition, where you see the addition on the left, which would include residing the portion of the kitchen on the first floor.

And we added a -- sort of a false window, even though that's closet area on the second floor. Just to breakup the façade we added the false window panel there that will give it the -- you know, just to sort of lighten up that façade a little bit.

So that -- basically that's the scope of what we're proposing here. We did do some shadow studies, which I think are up at the top. Oh no, there they are. Yeah, so these basically what you see here is -- you know, this is at the spring and fall equinox, sort of the middle of the passing shadows here.

And you can see, you know, when you look at the difference between the proposed and the existing, the proposed are at the bottom, existing is at the top.

It's not impacting -- you know, basically the
house behind is in shadow by the time any of our shadows would be cast in that direction. And the house next door is mostly the side that's going to be facing -- you know, that's up close to this that gets affected by the shadows.

If we can scroll to the next one?

Here you see it at summer solstice where there's the -- sort of the least amount of shadows. And it's really -- there's not much hitting anybody around.

And then if we go to the next one.
So this is sort of the most extreme, at the winter solstice when the shadows are the longest. And, you know, by 3:00 p.m. everything's in the shadow, and the shadows are cast into the street from this project.

At 9:00 a.m., it's casting a little bit more shadow; you can see on the lower portion of the house to the right. And not really making much of a difference for the house directly behind it. So yeah.

So, you know, we tried to keep the addition pretty
modest. The -- we're looking for relief on FAR. It's -you know, the hardship is owing to it being a really tiny lot, and the house is close to the lot lines.

We're going from what's currently an FAR of 0.547
-- we're actually bumping up to 0.73.
And part of that is not just the added bedroom and bathroom, but it's also where we're adding a little bit -you know, the areas of the existing bedroom and over the stairs where the roof comes down low and we had less than five feet of headroom. So, you know, that increases the FAR a little bit.

And then, of course, we're looking for relief on the setbacks, because this -- you know, we're going straight up over existing space, so it's not -- you know, if we were okay with FAR, I think this would be permissible under Article 8. But it's -- because we're over the FAR, you know, we do have a setback issue as well.

But we pretty much have that around most of the house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Brendan, do you have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, the only -- what is the distance -- [Brendan Sullivan] what is the distance between the house where the addition is going and the house on the right?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: You end up with about five
feet between the two houses.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yesterday afternoon I measured it; it was 34 inches.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Is that right? Okay. I'm sorry, I was not -- the 34, okay, let me look at my floor plan here. 30 -- okay, according to the surveyor, it's 37 inches.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I taped it yesterday; 34 inches.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Okay. I just think that it would have an absolutely adverse, negative effect on the wall of that house, which has $I$ think five windows in it, which on the second floor they will just be looking at a blank wall less than three feet away from them.

I just -- you know, I understand the need for potentially more bedrooms, and then $I$ look down in the basement, and Thursday nights we're asked to put accessory apartments in basements; more room down in basements.

And I see the proposed shows an exercise room. And I'm wondering if that basement area could not be converted into potentially needed bedrooms, and that something else can be done on the second floor to rearrange
the layout up there. That's my thought, anyhow.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. So the basement
basically has about six and -- in one area of it where the exercise room is, it actually only has 6 feet 2 of headroom. And in the front renovation $I$ think we've got to have 6 foot 7 and a half. So it's really -- there's not enough headroom down there.

There's also -- groundwater is not great in this area. So going deeper with the basement is not really a very feasible option. And it just -- it's really, you know, it's not great space.

And the foundation -- you know, we would have to lift the house and pour a new foundation in order to try to do anything with that.

JULIA HALLMAN: And I guess just for context, I don't know if it's okay for me to pop in -- we, the main part of the house, the original part of the cottage -because I know the kitchen was an addition probably around the ' 20 s or '30s -- 1920 or 1930 or so -- it goes up fairly high.

So right now the view -- you know, I talk with the neighbors quite a bit about this, and we kind of discussed
everything and went through what would happen. And right now, they basically look into our wall as it is. It's basically our roof slope.

So that -- it wouldn't change. You know,
basically they were like, "That's not a big deal, that's not a very -- that's not a room that it's something we -- you know, use that often or care that much about; that's not a big deal."

It was that one that was fully blocked. And then the other ones were partial. And so yeah. So that's, I guess, something to consider that the other part of the house is high -- is at the height that we are hoping to go to. So it's -- that's sort of their current view as it is right now.

And I think they took that in mind when they were doing their construction. We talked to them about -- you know, we've been, you know, kind of dreaming about this for about five or six years.

And so we talked to them about what we were hoping to do. And so they talked to us about what they were planning to do, which they eventually did.

And so we were able to kind of coordinate
together. And that was our goal.
And we came to the point now financially where we can do what we're hoping to do. And so yeah. So we do have -- if it matters, we do have their blessing and their understanding of what kind of the layout would look like. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM:

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I would add the -- I know, you know, I understand your concerns there. But I mean the windows on that side of the house are in rooms that also have windows that face to the street or to the rear yard. So it's not -- those aren't the only windows in those rooms.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, except that will have a southeast exposure -- sun, air.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah, I mean obviously you can see the difference it makes in the shadows that we've shown. But yeah. The -- you know, those neighbors are supportive of what we're proposing here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, they're being kind.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, do you have any questions or comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions or comments, thank
you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Nothing for me, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: None for the Chair as
well. I'll open the matter up to public testimony, if I can find my instructions. Oh, here it is.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes call in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently no one wishes to speak. We are in receipt of letters of support from the neighbors, and $I$ do not propose to read them into the file, but they are supportive.

With that, I will close public testimony.
Discussion, or are we ready for a vote?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Everybody else ready?
Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings:
That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that this is a rather small structure and in need for additional living space, and -- well, the additional living space.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this structure is already legally nonconforming. And so any modification requires zoning relief.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the ordinance.

In this regard, the relief being sought is relatively modest. It has unanimous neighborhood support. It allows another generation of people -- or the family that owns the house -- to have room in the house to stay.

And that generally, what is being proposed will improve the housing stock for the city of Cambridge.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the
condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by EVD Design dated January 27,2021 and revised April 2, 2021, the cover page of which has been initialed by the Chair. Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, this is a tough one. I
really don't like it. I think it's going to have an adverse effect on the house to the right. The people have expressed no objection to it. I was hoping that there may have been an alternative to this, but $I$ don't see one. I just really do not know. I would ask that you go around the Board. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do what? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would ask that you go around to the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, you want to hear the rest of the Board members first? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim? JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, I would vote to approve. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: I'm sort of squarely where Brendan is. I wish there were other feasible options. I do know
there are issues with the water cable, in that area. I'm sympathetic to that $I$ don't see digging down as a great alternative.

On the other hand, sort of windows facing a wall is troubling to me. But if the current neighbors don't have an issue with it, who am I to say? So I think I would reluctantly vote in favor of granting the petition.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair would vote yes in favor of the relief as well. I think this is an example of a relatively innocuous modification to a structure that allows a young family to continue to stay in the city of Cambridge. Brendan, do you want to vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I will vote present on this one. So they've got four affirmative votes and a nay, so. [FOUR VOTES YES, ONE ABSTAINS]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So we have four votes affirmative one abstention, if you will. The variance is granted. Congratulations.

AARON RUBIN: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

JULIA HALLMAN: Thank you so much. I'm like -AARON RUBIN: Thank you. JULIA HALLMAN: -- beyond excited. I really appreciate everything. Thank you.

ANDREA HICKEY: Good luck with your project. AARON RUBIN: Goodnight. JULIA HALLMAN: Thank you very, very much. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, too.
(8:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 109443. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SHANNON FINLEY: Hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello.

SHANNON FINLEY: Thank you, Chair and members of the Board. I am Shannon Finley, and I'm with Tiny Desk Designs, and I am representing TED Lund and Norah Haas at --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, ma'am, can $I$ interrupt you for a second. Because I don't want to let this case go too far down the road and create a case heard, which causes problems. Are you familiar with our dormer guidelines?

SHANNON FINLEY: I am.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Then you know that the main dormer guideline is on each side of the house the dormer or dormers in the aggregate cannot exceed 15 feet.

The plans we have before us, on one side the dormers will be 20 feet long -- substantially in excess of what our ordinance -- our guidelines -- would allow, and the other side is 16 feet; not as much, but still over.

I got to tell you; we took these dormer guidelines very seriously. And I -- the odds of getting zoning relief based upon the plans that have been submitted are not great, in my personal opinion. You could proceed, and you will find out whether I'm right or wrong.

The alternative -- and people have done this in the past -- is to continue this case, go back to the drawing board and come back with a dormer proposal that's much -that hopefully complies with the dormer guidelines.

But if not they're much closer to what is now proposed, and that there are adequate reasons why you cannot do better than what you've been proposing.

So that's my question to you, do you want to proceed, or do you want to continue the case?

SHANNON FINLEY: I'd like to continue.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. What's the available date, Sisia?

SHANNON FINLEY: No, I mean, I'd like to present
my case now.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You want to present the case now?

SHANNON FINLEY: Yes, I'm sorry. I mean continue like --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But you understand if you get turned down, you can't bring the case before us for two more years, unless it's substantially different and you go through a whole procedure with us and the Planning Board. SHANNON FINLEY: Well -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you want to proceed, it's your call.

SHANNON FINLEY: -- there's, I can't imagine any other alternative to these dormers for this project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay proceed with your first presentation.

SHANNON FINLEY: Okay. This -- the current owners live on the second floor and the attic space of a two and a half story structure, and the middle bedroom has a skylight, but there is no window that is operable for egress, and for view or even better airflow.

And the glare from that -- so yes, on this photo
here, the dormer you see there currently is in a bathroom. It's right where there's a toilet under that dormer.

And then next to that but not attached to it would be another dormer that allows for windows that allow the bedroom to have means of egress and better ventilation. It currently has a skylight, but that's -- you can't block glare from it, and it doesn't make it a very good space to be a bedroom.

Otherwise, it would be a good -- it's a great sized bedroom. It's got a closet and everything, but it is just not a nice space to be in.

This particular dormer we are seeking a variance on because it adds 48 additional square feet onto a structure that already is above the allowable FAR.

The other dormer on the other room is set back. It's not in the setback. It is -- doesn't add any additional square feet. That bedroom also currently has a skylight that they would like to omit and put in windows that they could open for ventilation. That happens to be right where their bed is.

So, you know, the middle bedroom I can't imagine another alternative other than a skylight for the bedroom
that has -- can you scroll down to the plans? Maybe the newer plan.

So this middle bedroom has the two additional -has two windows in it now, rather than a skylight. And the main bedroom has just kind of narrow, short awning windows just to be able to open up. It's about -- you know, if you're standing in the room, it's about eye height.

So these windows aren't purely for ventilation in the main bedroom, but for egress and making the bedroom an actual bedroom for all purposes -- you know, for life safety purposes.

I don't know if Ted and Norah, if you want to add anything?

THEODORE LUND: Sure. So we live in this house. We've lived in it for 18 years. We're raising two young daughters who are reaching the age where they would like to have separate rooms.

Our average temperature in the summer upstairs is 90 to 94 degrees. You can put an air conditioner in the front bedroom, because there is a window that is accessible. In the main bedroom, you can't really put an air conditioner anywhere.

So we have ceiling fans, and we let whatever heat out we can through the one skylight. And the middle room is pretty much unusable during the summer, just because it gets so hot, and there's no way to adequately ventilate it.

So we're -- we don't think we're asking much. The
-- I understand what you're saying about the dormer guidelines. But if we've got the longer, or more violative sides, if you make that dormer much smaller, it's not going to provide much better ventilation than the existing skylight does.

And so we're hoping that having more ventilation up there will make the upper floors more livable during the summer but -- well, late spring through early fall. It's about four months where it's, you know, 85 to 95 degrees in there. And it doesn't cool off at night.

NORAH HAAS: And you can see that the other dormers they have -- the existing dormers, so one existing dormer on the stair side basically accommodates the stairs and a small bathroom. And the other smaller dormer on the side where the bedroom is that we're adding the two windows just accommodates essentially head height space for the toilet.

And the front room that the girls both share has low -- you know, the slopes under the eaves, that was actually not that big a space. It's got enough room for two beds to basically go up against the main wall and, you know, a dresser right under the window. And that's about it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anything else you wish to add?

NORAH HAAS: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I'll ask members of our board if they have any questions. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions at this time, no. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim? JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason? [Pause] CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason? JASON MARSHALL: I'm sorry, I was coming off mute. Just one question is on the application for the variance, it looks like there wasn't an explanation for justifying the
variance in the second prong of our review. I think it said, "N/A" and just wanted to know what the rationale of their -- by law, there would need to be an explanation there, and it's -- the burden is on the applicant.

SHANNON FINLEY: Which one is -- for the variance, is that what you're saying?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. Let me try to pull it up
as well. That's what $I$ was trying to do. SHANNON FINLEY: Um-JIM MONTEVERDE: On the dimensional information, Jason?

SHANNON FINLEY: You're talking about the hardships due to soil conditions? JASON MARSHALL: Correct, yeah. SHANNON FINLEY: I mean, we don't have hardships due to soil conditions, because we're on the second and attic floors. So I don't -- I guess I don't see how topography and soil I guess relate to this request?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, or it could be structure. I just wanted to know what your response is. Because we can't grant -- we could not even grant the variance if there wasn't a justification for the hardship relating to the
topography or land or structure. So as the applicant, it's your burden to provide that. That's why I wanted to give the opportunity to you to --

SHANNON FINLEY: Oh, okay. I -JASON MARSHALL: -- given an explanation. SHANNON FINLEY: I would say that the hardship is the structure, the structure of the house; the fact that this room is somewhat, you know, landlocked. It doesn't start either end of the gable, due to the roof structure. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But the requirements of the ordinance, there's going to be circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of the structure. SHANNON FINLEY: Okay. So the reason I was filing for a variance is because it was over the FAR.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know. But to get the variance -- I understand that, it's obvious from your file -- but to get the variance, this is one of the standards you have to satisfy.

SHANNON FINLEY: Well, I suppose the lot is undersized. It's a nonconforming lot. And so perhaps we wouldn't be over the FAR if it was a conforming lot. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. I have
no questions. So I will open the matter up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll wait a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak.

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not, so I will now close public testimony. I would report that we are in receipt of letters; one, two -- two letters of support for the relief being sought.

SHANNON FINLEY: There should be three letters that you received.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Three? Did I miss one? I'm sorry. Let me just --

SHANNON FINLEY: Neighbors on both sides of the structure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I just -- I missed it. Three, there are three letters. Thank you. Okay, with that I will -- we now will close public
testimony. Should I make a motion, do we vote or do you want to have further discussion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. One
question I have, Ms. Finley, is if you take the proposed dormer and we're looking at the third-floor attic, so that the bottom dormer -- the smaller one -- can the new dormer be incorporated into that, so that it does not exceed 15 feet, and rather than having the space in between? So that's the dormer for the bathroom.

SHANNON FINLEY: So are you talking about the space that has the label --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, yeah, it says, "crawl space" but I'm just wondering why you couldn't combine the -- build a new dormer and add it onto the existing 10-foot-6 dormer?

SHANNON FINLEY: The 10-foot-6 dormer is the new one.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The new one.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The new one?
SHANNON FINLEY: And so I left the two dormers separated from each other so it wouldn't read as a massive dormer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right, I --
SHANNON FINLEY: They're actually separated in
elevation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Okay. I think as
far as the issue that you brought up about the excessive heat in the summertime, that is an issue that can be resolved without having to build dormers an add windows and what have you by -- you know, proper insulation and ventilation -- insulation and ventilation outside of building dormers and trying to add windows and air conditioning, so.

I have no other comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Everybody else ready for a vote, or -- do you want to have further discussion? You've asked your question.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're all set?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, are you all set for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I'm all set, yes, for a vote, yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anybody else? Well, one
at a time. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: All set.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ready for a vote?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, [Jim Monteverde], I'm ready.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair is ready as well. So I will make -- the Chair makes the following motion, or propose we make the following findings:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that this is an older structure that is not as livable as the current owners would like, and would like to expand by adding the dormers.

That a hardship is owing to -- I suppose you say the -- it's got to be not the soil conditions but the shape of the structure and where it's located on the lot.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or
substantially derogating from the intent or purposes of the ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the requested variance on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Tiny Desk Designs dated January 29, 2021, and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No to granting the relief. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason? I mean Jim, sorry. JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no to granting the relief. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall no to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes no for granting the relief as well.
[All vote NO]
So it's unanimous that the relief will be denied. We need to take a second vote to recite why we
reached this conclusion. And $I$ will make a stab at it, and I welcome suggestions from the other members of the Committee, of the Board.

That what is being proposed does not comply with our dormer guidelines. These dormer guidelines, so they are guidelines that are taken very seriously by this Board, and the departure from the dormer guidelines is significant.

We're talking about dormers that would, on one side, extend 20 feet, when the guidelines say no more than 15 feet. That there is no hardship relating to soil conditions, shape or topography that would justify the granting of a variance.

And that relief cannot be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

And again, $I$ would refer back to the dormer guidelines and the fact that what is being proposed is a substantial departure from the dormer guidelines, is a substantial detriment to the public good, and will nullify or substantially derogate from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the language codifying the denial of relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to the language proposed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey], yes I assent (sic)
to the language proposed.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: [Jason Marshall], yes I consent to the language proposed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I consent to my own language. So $I$ vote as well.
[All vote YES]
We do have a proposal for a special permit.
SHANNON FINLEY: That was for -- sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do you want to proceed
with that? No, I'm looking at the wrong -- I'm sorry, special permit to build a second conforming -- well, that's, we're all -- I'm asking to vote, these are both dormers. The case is over. Thank you very much.
(8:20 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One second while we're getting our new files.

JIM MONTEVERDE: How is it that $I$ end up on the Jumbotron, Brendan, above your head? It's a little disconcerting.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nothing personal.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I should have worn a tie.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nothing (sic) business, strictly personal -- strictly business.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I guess so.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One second, I just -- I
need to get the relevant section out, and then we can hear the next case.
[Pause]
Getting there, I'm almost there. Okay, we're ready to go. The Chair will now call Case Number 109493 --

343 1/2 Broadway. Anyone here wishing to speak on this matter?

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I take it you are the petitioner?

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Yes. I'm Angelika O'Connor. Am I being seen and heard?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can I -- before we start the case, let me -- I think these are substantial -- I don't want to say problems, but you're obviously not a lawyer, or, like, you're not versed in the niceties of our zoning ordinance.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But the ordinance says that you can convert a single-family unit dwelling to a twofamily dwelling, provided that four conditions are met. We're talking about Section 5.26. Your application doesn't deal with that at all.

Matter of fact, you didn't fill out -- I can pull out the dimensional form -- you didn't fill out all of the information that is called for.

I don't see how -- yeah, you see if you go to the
page where you have the existing conditions, the requested conditions, and then what the ordinance requires.

And what you should do in this is you've got to fill out the whole page and let us know which of the four requirements are not being satisfied that require a variance.

Based upon what I have heard, I don't feel I can make a meaningful decision about this case. I think you have to continue the case.

You need to get the advice of an -- I know it may be a little bit expensive -- of a lawyer, an architect -someone who's knowledgeable with our -- to some extent -- to our ordinance, and could come back with a new -- and you could file a new form, which would give the information that is not --

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: I do have -- you're not referring to the dimensional information, are you?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm referring to the -yeah, I don't have the -- we've got to be told -- I'm reading from 5.26--

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Mm-hm. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- "shall be permitted --
unless the requirements of minimum lot area for each dwelling unit, maximum ratio of floor area to lot area, private open space and off-street parking are satisfied for all dwelling units. I don't see -- if that information is here I've missed it, and I'd welcome --

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Well -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I welcome it, but I don't see it.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Well, there are two parking spaces and the house --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, I understand that. I saw that, and I concluded that -ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you meet that requirement. That's one of the four.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: And the house is a
nonconforming house with a nonconforming lot size. And it exists as it did in 1872. There are absolutely no changes to the house. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not a matter of changes to the house, it's a matter of the size of the dwelling units.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Well, there are no changes to the size of the dwelling itself. It's 2744 square feet in its living space, and the footprint is 1202.5 as a footprint of the first floor, and the footprint of the second floor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Need something in writing or some presentation that shows each of the two dwelling units that you wish to create are the ones that you'll keep and the one that you'll be splitting off -- satisfy the minimum lot area. That the max ratio of -- let me finish please --

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- maximum ratio of floor area to lot area; private open space $I$ assume is not a problem, because you're not modifying the structure. So I think you're going to -- that's okay.

And off-street parking you pointed out there are two off-street parking and for two units that's okay, if you only have one parking space, but that satisfies the requirement of our ordinance.

But the other two I don't know how to answer, and how we can make an analysis to see whether one -- whether there's a problem. There must be a problem, because you
applied for a variance. So you must not be satisfying at least one of the four requirements in Section 5.26.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: I do have my architect here, who has the plans. Maybe he can speak on that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why didn't that architect help you put together the application? We wouldn't be having this conversation.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: The numbers of the dimensional forms were his numbers.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm just venting a little bit. Does the architect want to speak to these? Is he familiar with the structure enough to talk to the 5.26, and in particular which one of those four are not going to be satisfied, and -- because if everything's being satisfied, there's no need for zoning relief?

So if something was not going to be satisfied, then $I$ don't know what that is.

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: Hi. This is Alex Van Praagh. I'm the architect who did the drawings for Angelika. And you may note that the drawings that were submitted were drawn in 2015.

And Angelika recently approached me and said that
she was going to be applying for the change of use from a single-family to a two-family, and could I be present at the meeting in case there was questions of the plans?

The application had already been submitted, and I told her I could make myself available. But I'm not prepared to talk through the application at this point. I can talk to the plans, and the architectural changes are strictly on the interior that would change the residence from a single to a two-family.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I just need -- and I think this Board just needs to know if you can do, you can satisfy 5.26 without any zoning relief, the case is over. No case should have been brought in the first place.

If you can't, I'd like to know which ones you can't -- cannot, and why you cannot and what's the justification for us to grant the variance for this.

We pay a lot of attention to Section 5.26. The people are forever wanting to convert single-family dwellings into two-families, for obvious reasons.

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And there's nothing wrong with that, if they can meet the requirements of the
ordinance, or if they can obtain a variance. Again, I'm -maybe I'm just me. I'm just wandering around the dark, because $I$ don't have the information.

ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: I guess I didn't direct -- you know, naively so, I didn't direct my interest in that. Because the house is a duplex, and the duplex -- the other side 343 exists and has existed as a two-family.

And my house also prior to my owning it, the two prior owners had it as -- rented it as a two-family. So it was in existence as a two-family, not on both sides, since at least --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If it is, if it was -- and I assume it was -- you should know the dimensions that we need to answer our -- to make a decision.

I'm going to stop right here, and I'll defer to other members of the Board and if they're not as frustrated as I am about wanting to put this case together, fine; we'll go forward. But I think we do need more information than you've given us.

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: I would ask if we could continue and I can put together -- or Angelika -- can seek a lawyer to put together a more complete request, if indeed a
variance is needed. I can't speak to 526 at this moment, and I apologize.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, that's fine. That's what I started with. We can -- the case needs to be continued, so more information can be supplied to us, where we can make an informed decision. How much time do you think you need sir, to help Angelika get the information she needs, and to file a new -- to file that with the Building Department?

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: I could -- I can abide by the time of the next meeting. I -- this will not take long to look into to understand what's required.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, you've got to get -okay, if we did the next meeting, it would be what? Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Sorry. We could do May 27.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: May what?
SISIA DAGLIAN: May 27 would be the next one.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: May 27, okay. We could continue the case until May 27. That should give you more than enough time to do what -- you know, get the information you need to get. Is that okay with you, sir?

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: It's okay with me. I --

Angelika, I think it's to you to --
ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: Yes, I think that would be fine.

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: -- as the applicant --
ANGELIKA O'CONNOR: I think that would be fine. I
just assumed because it's a historical structure that has been in use as a two-family and has not changed in its exterior or interior that the variance would have been looked at in that way.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So I'm going to make a motion now to continue this case as -- well, I guess it's -- well --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Case not heard.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess it's a case not heard. We never got to the merits.

ALEX VAN PRAAGH: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It is a case not heard. So the Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on May 27, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner must sign a waiver of time for decision. That's because by state law we need to
reach a decision within a certain timeframe, or relief is automatically granted. So you need to sign a waiver.

This waiver is a standard, simple document that everybody signs if they wish or need to continue a case. But this waiver must be signed and returned to the Inspectional Services Department no later than 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday. If we don't do that the case will be automatically dismissed.

So it's a very simple document. Just get in touch with Maria Pacheco and make arrangements to get that sign and satisfy that condition.

Second, the sign for this hearing needs to be changed to reflect the new date and the new time; May 27 at 6:00 p.m. That modified -- you can either to get a new sign from Maria, or you can take a magic marker and change on the existing sign change the date and time. You must do it in a fashion that's legible, and you must maintain it for the 14 days -- as I said, before May 27.

And lastly, you must -- any new, well the new information that you're going to get demonstrates that you're entitled to zoning relief under 5 -- with regard to 5.26 -- must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the

Monday before May 27. If you don't do that, the case will be further continued.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well for the continuance.
[All vote YES]
The case is continued until May 27. Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(8:35 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Next case is Spring Street. SISIA DAGLIAN: Spring Street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Another one. The Chair will now call Case Number 109394 -- 118 Spring Street. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

SIDDARTH GEHLOT: Good evening, everyone. This is Sid Gehlot from Treetop Group. I'm the owner, and I have also here Morris Schopf, the architect. We are renovating legal --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, sir, excuse me Let me interrupt you. I apologize for doing that. Your application is not complete. It seems to be our night for noncompletes. You're supposed -- you have to have a supporting statement for a variance. And the variance relates to the decks, the proposed decks.

Nothing is -- blank! -- nothing's here. How can you not -- you can't have a panel analyze this case unless
that information is provided. That's why it's in the file. So I think we've got to continue this case as well. Do you have a date?

MORRIS SCHOPF: Can you tell us what is missing?
THE REPORTER: Could you state your name for the record, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have a page in front of me that is just our standard form for zoning cases: "Supporting Statements for a Variance." To grant a variance, we need to make three basic, important, essential findings. The page is left blank. Never been filled in.

There's some of that information, I will point out on the plans, but not all of the information that's required, and not enough information. So I don't see how we can consider this a variance case. You just haven't complied with the ordinance.

MORRIS SCHOPF: Would you be so kind as to continue us to the next meeting?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Say it again, please?
THE REPORTER: Could you state your name for the record, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I didn't catch
that.

THE REPORTER: Could you -- could he state his
name for the record, please?
MORRIS SCHOPF: Oh, Morris Schopf.
THE REPORTER: Could you spell your last name?
MORRIS SCHOPF: Morris Schopf, S-c-h-o-p-f.
you've got Siddarth twice, but I am not Siddarth, I am the architect. So we will figure out what we are missing, and we would be pleased to return at your next regular meeting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think we have room on our agenda for the next meeting. Let me find out.

SISIA DAGLIAN: No, it would be June 10.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: June 10 is the earliest we can hear it.

MORRIS SCHOPF: We will take June 10.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm sorry -- okay. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on June 10, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner must sign a waiver of time for a decision, which is required to continue the case. That signed waiver must be signed and delivered to the

Building Department no later than 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday. If that is not done, if we still have a form that's not been completed, we will dismiss the case.

Second, that the posting sign that's up there now must be modified to reflect the new dates and the new time. And that new sign must be maintained for the 14 days required by our ordinance.

You can do that in one of two ways. You can get a new sign from the Building Department, or you can take the existing sign, so long as it is still in legible condition, and with a magic marker or some other instrument, change the date and time on that sign.

But it's got to be done in a fashion that the sign is now legible as to the new date and time.

And lastly, that the form that $I$ referred to that needs to be completed and any other proposed changes you want to make to the specifications, dimensional requirements or the like -- any of that must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before June 10.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
This case will be continued until June 10. Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(8:40 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 109464 -- 800 Memorial Drive. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening Mr. Chair. For the record, James Rafferty on behalf of the applicant, Cricone (phonetic) Cambridge, LLC. Also present on the call is the proprietor of the proposed establishment, Rita McCabe -- M-$c-C-a-b-e$.

This is an application, Mr. Chair, that's seeking a variance -- a use variance to allow for a fast food use in an office district.

For reasons that have always eluded me, retail uses -- including fast food -- are not allowed in an office district. So the as-of-right uses here are limited to offices in residential.

11 years ago, this Board granted a variance for this building. It's at the base of a residential building.

It was formerly a gas station for the offices of the gas station, and the Board granted a fast food variance to allow for a Dunkin Donuts, which has operated in this location along with a dry cleaner.

The dry cleaner is no later than present. What the applicant is proposing to operate here is a very unique ice cream shop. It involves a process known as liquid nitrogen ice cream.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
JAMES RAFFERTY: And it's extremely unique and popular. And Ms. McCabe has operated -- her and her husband operate one of these successfully in Nashua, New Hampshire. They also have one in western Mass, and they also have activities from time to time at MIT, and in parts of Boston.

But the process involves the customers going in, selecting what might be considered toppings and flavors, and then you go through the line and the liquid nitrogen, which is stored at a temperature of 321 degrees below zero gets blasted into the container, and the ice cream is literally made before your eyes.

And the applicants have done extensive outreach so the Board may realize the building -- the use would be
located in the ground-floor or a large, multifamily residential complex. They've met with the Tenants' Council. They have met with neighbors. Their petition was over 100 signatures, as included in the file.

And I think it's fair to say there's a great level of interest and enthusiasm for this use in this location. It meets all the criteria I would suggest for a variance, which have been dutifully noted in the supporting statements, which are attached with the application.

And I think the Board's findings in 2010 are equally applicable here in 2021, with regard to what other use would be practical in this location?

I know we're oftentimes in [2:47:01 audio unclear] cases talking about Special Permit Criteria, and we always seem to focus on whether there is a need for such a service in the area.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
JAMES RAFFERTY: Unfortunately -- I shouldn't say "unfortunately" but -- that criteria isn't present here. But if it were, the Board could take note that there is very little in the way of ice cream. I believe one would have to go to Central Square to find the nearest ice cream location.

And I scour the neighborhood closely for such things. I can assure you; ice cream is a limited commodity in this neighborhood, and I think that's the testimony for why it has been so well received.

And I'd like to learn a little bit about it. Ms. McCabe would be happy to tell you a little about it, but they've enjoyed great success in Nashua. And they are eager to operate and bring this service, this product, to the neighborhood.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's it?
RITA MCCABE: Thank you to the Chair and to the Board today for allowing us to --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Rita, Rita why don't you wait -excuse me, Rita, why don't you wait and see -- I mean, the Board may wish to hear from you, but --

RITA MCCABE: Oh, okay, sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] I don't wish -I don't need -- no offense, ma'am. I don't need to hear from you. I think Mr. Rafferty gave a very succinct and certainly effective presentation. But -- after all, this is not the most difficult case this Board has ever faced.

In any event, I personally think I would be in
favor of granting the variance, and I think we can incorporate the findings we made the last time around when we granted a variance for this location. Nothing has basically changed.

And I can avoid having to reconstruct --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- motions that we had the last time.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. It's actually the case, Mr. Chair and members of the Board, that the -- the uses will tend to complement each other. The Dunkin Donuts has its most intense activity in the early morning.

This use doesn't even open until 11:00 or 11:30. So the parking spaces that are there and the level of activity complement one another, that they have different times. So they'll be open afternoons and evenings.

The Dunkin establishment, while it's open during those hours, doesn't have a heavy amount of traffic, as you would anticipate during those hours.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Brendan, any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. I have no questions.

Actually, I have signed the application. I did do a little reading on the very unique concept here, and found it interesting.

And I think probably that with the added emphasis of the Riverway there, or the Walkway as someone called it, it may be a very interesting added attraction. So anyhow, that's my only comment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] Thank you. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions, no comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions or comments.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim? I mean Jason, I'm sorry. Jason.

JASON MARSHALL: [Jason Marshall] -- I was going to ask about ice flavors, but I think I'll just hold off.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] Well, you know, in the old days when we met in person, we would require that the petitioner bring some samples --

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- so the Board can taste
them and decide whether we really want this product in our city. They can't do that these days, so.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I thought Ms. Glowa ruled that's no longer acceptable?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions as

```
well. Ready for a vote?
```

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I -- and does anyone have a problem with what I'm proposing, which is basically I'm trying to reconstruct ancient history. But it's basically the findings we made the last time around for the Dunkin Donuts should apply here as well.

Because the impact is the same on the neighborhood, and the nature of what is being proposed is similar -- not identical, but similar to the Dunkin Donuts.

So I don't see -- I personally don't see a need to reinvent the wheel. But $I$ will if people want me to. Brendan's nodding no.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, sir.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Let's start. So
the Chair moves --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Oh, Mr. Chair excuse me. I hate
to snatch victory from the jobs. I believe there may be members of the public who are present this evening?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There are. I'm sorry, it's been a long night. We do need to open this up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll wait a few moments to see if there are any callers, as Mr. Rafferty promises.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently there is no one that wishes to speak.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I will now close public testimony. As Mr. Rafferty has pointed out, we have a very substantial -- mind-boggling to my mind -- petition in
support of the relief being sought. I wouldn't have thought, given the location, you would get this kind of support, but you do -- you being the petitioner. You do, and it's all to your credit.

Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought. And the findings would be the same that were made when we granted the variance for the previous variance, Case Number 9967 in 2010 to operate a dry cleaning establishment.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance being sought. We don't need to tie it to the plans that were submitted with the application. So simply that -- that we grant the variance to operate the dry cleaning establishment at 800 Memorial Drive.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 808. Yeah, 808 --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, 808, correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim? Jason. I know keep getting you two mixed up.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I get to vote twice, yeah.
JASON MARSHALL: I'm going to vote the same way as Jim, so Jason Marshall in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will vote in favor as well.
[All vote YES]
Variance granted.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much, folks. Have
a nice evening.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
RITA MCCABE: Thank you so much, we appreciate it.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(8:50 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 110472 -- 123 Huron Avenue. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yes, hello. Good evening, everyone. It's Chris Ladd. I am the homeowner for 123 Huron Avenue. So this is a multifamily unit. So we have three units -- 119, 121 and 123.

And 123 we would like to build an accessory structure on our existing deck, which is for one thing a gazebo in the summertime.

And the other thing would be a sauna shed, which is 7 x 5, so it's 35 square feet, and we would build it on the -- yeah, on the deck, which is actually in the back of the building.

I'm not sure if you can pull up -- maybe Sisia, if you could pull up the slides, because I cannot see them right now?

Yeah, here you can see the unit. So you can see actually on the left side you can see in the back that there's a privacy fence, and that's the place where we would like to put the sauna shed.

And then the front where you see the furniture area, that's where we want to have a little bit more shade. Our son was just born during the summertime, there's a lot of sun over there, so we would like to have a little bit more shade for him. So we would like to build a 10 x 10 gazebo there.

The sauna is actually on the picture that's on the slide before -- yeah, exactly. So this is how the structure would look like. It's a prefabricated one from New England Spas in Natick. They will deliver it, install it, and they work with a certified electrician, who will build a 240 volt circuit-breaker.

The electrician is Brian Bradley, and below is then like an example just for the gazebo. We haven't decided exactly on the model, but it will be a 9 x 9 structure, actually. I just measured it.

And I think there's also a structural plan. We can look at that one. This is the plan of the sauna shed,
so it also has kind of like a rooftop. And it's an electric sauna, so not a wood stove or anything or no gas. So no fire hazard in that sense. And it's produced and manufactured in the U.S. in Minnesota.

And you can see on slide 7 -- yeah, here you can see the plan from the architect. So this is the existing deck with the sauna, and this has a weight of 1700 pounds. So this is why the deck will need to be reinforced. And the gazebo will be in the corner as a $10 \times 10$.

Yeah, and I think that sums it up. I mean, the filing really for the variances, because, as you can see, the structure -- both accessory structures access the building. So that affects $I$ think they call it the 421, which means that the accessory structure should not be closer than $I$ think 10 feet to the main -- to the principle building and this will be the case here.

So both of them, the sauna shed, would be five feet away. And the gazebo would be directly next to the building.

The other piece is then the Article 523, which states that the GSA -- I think the cross area, the ratio should be smaller than 50 percent of our living area and the
lot area.

And currently I think one of the slides I think its shows that it should be currently around 75 percent, and it would increase to 35 square feet -- just the area of the sauna shed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have you gotten approval
from your Condominium Association to do this?
CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yes, we have. There is also the support of letters, which we see --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've got it.
CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It wasn't filed -- we would usually see that filed with your application or in support of the application. I didn't see it in the file.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You do have it?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, I think it's at the end of the application. I was just reading it, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yeah, it should be there.
There are two there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There were two separate ones; one
for the gazebo --
CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- one for the sauna.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I missed that.
CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yep, yeah. We talked to all
our neighbors. And also, not only here at the condominium but also the other neighbors. And we don't have letters there, but we talked to them just to make them aware.

But no one will really see the sauna or the gazebo, just because you could see that on the first picture. There are three, and then there's also a privacy fence. So no one will actually notice that we built anything there later on. Yeah. But we've got, we have the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I did find the letters, Jim, thank you. They got buried in the back.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, exactly. Way in the back. CHRISTOPHER LADD: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anything further you wish to add to your presentation, sir?

CHRISTOPHER LADD: No, no. I think there's an overview just of the different units, there's another of
them. But $I$ think that sums it up already for these two accessory structures.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Questions?
Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just one. I'm reading the -looking at the Supporting Statement for a Variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And this is asking about the hardship. And the description really doesn't talk about a hardship. It just really says why you want it. And, you know, either Category A -- substantial hardship or Be where it says, "a hardship owing to circumstances." Neither one of them really are kind of direct answer to the question.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You want to elaborate, sir?

CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yeah. Well, I don't know exactly which paragraph that he was referring to. But I mean the reason why we thought, okay, we could apply for a variance was that there's no impact on any -- on the public on any -- on the topography, on the soil or anything on our
neighbors. That's why we thought that there would be rationale in filing for the variance here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I might add I noticed this with -- Jim has pointed out, and my reaction was, "What can you say?"

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I mean --
JIM MONTEVERDE: There is no hardship.
CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But --

CHRISTOPHER LADD: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- it's a technical zoning
issue.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But the purpose is
obviously recreational and health wise, and there we are. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I'm good.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyway, thank you for
pointing that out, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing. No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall, no questions. I think I struggle with the technicality that Jim raised, and I've been a little bit of a stickler on that even tonight. So -- but I have the luxury of waiting to see how the Chair might react on any motion. So I think I will wait for that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair is pretty blasé tonight. Too many difficult cases by people that don't comply with the zoning ordinance, and then expect us to hear the case. But this is just not one of those cases. Anyway, I'll now open the matter up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
I am told no one has called in. So I will close public testimony. Discussion, or are we ready for a vote? I'd like to --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're ready for a vote? ANDREA HICKEY: Ready. JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. JASON MARSHALL: Ready.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement would involve a substantial hardship; that hardship being is that the petitioner will be unable to have a useful recreational and health-inducing facility in his back yard.

That the hardship is owing to the -- basically the location of the condo unit, and that location actually works in favor, because the sauna will be largely hidden from public view.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, the Chair would note that the people most -- the organization most affected by what is
being proposed is the Condominium complex, and that the governing body is supportive of the relief being sought; that otherwise there is very little impact on the city or the neighborhood. What we have here is a real technicality. But it's nevertheless a technicality that has to be dealt with.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by Dennis Colwell, C-o-l-w-e-l-l, revised as of March 25, 2021 and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would vote yes to grant the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: You did it again, Mr. Chair. Jason yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] it's long night.
And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
Variance granted.
CHRISTOPHER LADD: Okay, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Now we're down to our last case. Jim, am I right you're not sitting on this case?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is a --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Allison, thank you for stepping in.

ALISON HAMMER: My pleasure.
[Jim Monteverde leaves; Alison Hammer replaces.]
(9:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Alison Hammer, Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 110939 -- 9 Oak Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, hello, Mr. Chairman. Am I coming through on the audio and the video?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, you are.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Thank you. My name is Dr.
Danny Pallin. And I am the owner of the property that we will discuss at 9 Oak Street. I'm accompanied tonight by my architect, Chelsea Blanchard. I thank her for her guidance.

And I also want to thank the engineer, Harzem
Maleke (phonetic) who could not be present tonight, but who has produced the engineering drawings necessary to bring the old structure up to modern standards of safety and aesthetics and what not.

I also want to thank Maria Pacheco, who has been super helpful in, you know, getting -- helping me put
together the application, and I want to thank the Board for allowing me to present and for being here so late at night.

So I prepared some remarks. In your hands, you have the application that includes many photographs as well as floor plans. My remarks will not refer to that, but I will welcome any questions, and with the assistance of the administration present, we can refer on the computers to those materials.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can I ask a question at the outset? I'm a little bit confused by at least the public advertisement. You're seeking a special permit to legalize a preexisting basement accessory dwelling.

But then the only section you cite is Section 2.16, which just deals with whether basement living space counts toward GFA. So if we -- and then it does not, if we make the finding that's specified in 2.16 .

But if we have done that, and let's say we vote yes -- I don't know whether we can legalize the accessory dwelling. That's a whole set of other issues that are not addressed by this. So I'm just wondering whether you realize what you're getting or not getting, should you get the relief you're seeking.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I also refer to the zoning ordinance Section 4.22. And in my prepared presentation, I'll detail --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But it's not in your advertisements. That's my point. Your advertisement says -- well, I'm sorry, it does say, "accessory apartment." But there's nothing in your presentation that deals with that, that I could see.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: I'm sorry. Mr. Chairman, what presentation are you referring to?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Your advertisement says to legalize a preexisting, accessory dwelling. And then I have -- I'm pulling up a file, and I have the architect's plans -- and you have your back and forth with at least some of your neighbors who are opposed to the relief you're seeking.

When you -- when this was -- is this now a legal two-family? Your position is this is now a legal twofamily? You want to get that legalized?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: I do.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But I don't know what you submitted that supports that, other than the fact that you can -- when you bought the building, there were two families
there. Like, you don't deal with parking and the like.
You don't deal with parking, other than the fact that you've got these side by sides, which is not favored by our Zoning Board. But it's typical in that neighborhood, given that it's a very tight space.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, the purpose of my appearance tonight is not to request any variance. It is to request a special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I understand that.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: -- pursuant to Section 4.22.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Let's pull out 4.22 .

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: And I have -- I've prepared to go through the details of that in the presentation. I'd like to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know that I want you to go through the details. You want to -- you're referring to 4.22.1?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, and other parts of that section of the ordinance, which I'll get into.

CATHERINE PRESTON CONNOLLY: Okay. Why don't you go through those right now to justify or to support the
point of view that you're seeking? The special permit?
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, thank you. Just to make sure that I'm complying with your instruction, are you asking me to divert from the presentation that $I$ had prepared and go straight to the zoning ordinance?

Or is it okay if I introduce the project quickly, and then directly go into the language of the zoning ordinance?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You can do that. That's fine. That's fine.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay. Thank you. So, again, with thanks to everyone. I purchased the 9 Oak Street this past December. It is registered with the city as a twofamily building.

However, for at least 30 years it has had a full accessory dwelling in the basement, which includes a kitchen with a gas stove. But this accessory dwelling has not been brought before the Board or registered to the city.

The reason for my appearance tonight is to request a special permit pursuant to Ordinance 4.22 to register the space an accessory apartment. I am not requesting any change to the existing structure or use, and I have no plans
to alter the exterior of the building or the lot.
My overall goal is to take this substantially dilapidated structure and make it structurally sound and beautiful, and to continue to rent it out to the same number of renters that have been occupying it for at least 30 years, but at a much higher level of quality in terms of the construction, safety and structure.

But none of this is in any way requesting new space, new use or any variant.

From a personal standpoint, I'm not a real estate developer, I'm a doctor. I have a 6-year-old child who is in public school in Cambridge, and my goal is to make a really nice place that can be a source of income that will help me finance her education, and provide great relationships with tenants.

My wife is -- and I have lived in Cambridge for about 20 years. She's the Curator of Academic and Public Programs for the Harvard Art Museum. And as such, her primary responsibility is to make the collection and the programming available to members of the community as well as to the academic community at Harvard.

So we're very committed Cambridge residents. We
plan to keep this property for our entire lives, and bequeath it to our daughter. So this is not any kind of quick fix type of thing.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, could I ask you -- you said that on the city rolls -- 30 years this property has been listed as a two-family. Is that second family the basement apartment -DR. DANIEL PALLIN: No. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- that's now subject to tonight?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: No. Thank you for the question. The 30 years refers to the basement accessory apartment, which was never brought to the city's attention. The uncontroversial part is that there is aboveground a twounit, two dwelling unit building that is known to the city. And I'm not requesting anything regarding that.

What I'm here to request is that the basement accessory apartment, which constitutes a third dwelling, be registered pursuant to zoning ordinance 4.22. Nobody ever told the Board that they had this apartment. And when I bought the place, I wanted to keep using it the way it's ben for at least 30 years, meaning --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But if --
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: -- not only the registered
legal two units --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But if we grant you the relief, and they now have legally three apartments in the structure, you need three off-street parking spaces. You don't have them.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: If I may kindly just wrap up my introductory remarks, and I'll address your point in my discussion about the zoning ordinance?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead. Go ahead, yes.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay, thank you. So anyway, just to wrap that up, you know, I'm not some kind of developer. And the only reason that I brought this to the Zoning Board is because I want to do the right thing and this apartment's been there for a long time.

I actually got the idea to create a nice basement apartment from the zoning ordinance itself, because I was considering doing that at our house, which is on the small side. That didn't work out.

But in the process I learned this to the zoning ordinance was updated in recent years, and now encourages
development of accessory apartments with authorization from this Board in the form of a special permit.

The relevant parts of the zoning ordinance are as follows: So first of all, Section 2 defines an accessory apartment as, "An accessory use with one or more rooms with separate kitchen and bathroom facilities, constituting a dwelling unit located within and under the same ownership as a single or two-family detached dwelling, and designed for occupancy of a single-family."

Which is exactly what I'm talking about here. This is a two-family dwelling under my ownership, and I seek to register the accessory apartment in accordance with that language.

This same section, Section 2, also states that, "Gross floor area shall not include any basement or cellar living space in any single-family or two-family home."

Now, moving onto Section 4.22, this section states, "The purpose of this subsection 4.22 is to allow for the creation of accessory apartments in all districts. Alteration of these buildings to provide one additional dwelling unit on the lot would be prohibited in most cases, due to the existing floor area ratio and/or lot area per
dwelling unit requirements of subsection 5.31, among other zoning limitations.
"Given contemporary lifestyles, housing needs, and the energy and maintenance costs, it is beneficial to the city to allow greater flexibility in the use of such buildings to add new dwelling units without substantially altering the environmental quality of their surrounding neighborhoods." This -- again, is an exact description of my goal.

Section 4.22 also lists four prerequisites for a granting of a special permit to register an accessory apartment. Out of respect for the Board's time and the lateness of the hour, I won't list them unless you ask me to, in which case I'm happy to read them into the record, but all four are met by my application.

Section 4. --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can you read into the record the Number 2 and 3, the numbers that meet this, to why you satisfy those under 4.22.1?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Absolutely. And thank you for asking. I was nervous about how much detail to present. So 4.22.1, Clause 2, "In the case of an accessory apartment
within a single-family or two-family dwelling, prior to alteration the dwelling contains at least 1800 square feet of gross floor area."

I will refer the Board to the architectural drawing, which show the floor area exclusive of the basement of the preexisting property at 2176 square feet, which meets the criterion of greater than 1800 square feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Now, regarding the third -bullet point 3 that you asked me to address, am I correct did you ask me to look at 2 and 3?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, yes.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay. In the case of an accessory apartment within a single-family or two-family dwelling, such accessory apartments shall not occupancy more than 900 square feet or 35 percent of the gross floor area of the principal dwelling, whichever is less. An accessory apartment created within an accessory building, et cetera does not apply here.

So this accessory apartment is about 600 square feet or so, and does not contravene. So -- and again, thank you for asking me to do that. I tried to kind of thread the
needle of not belaboring points, but also being thorough.
So moving on in the interest of time, back to my prepared request. Section 4.23 is relevant. And this addresses your question previously. I quote, "Accessory apartments shall not be counted in apparently the lot area per dwelling unit limitations of the Zoning District."

And here is another quotation that directly address what you said before. "No off-street parking shall be required for an accessory apartment."

And in that regard, I will point out that the zoning ordinance does require that the two units other than the basement each have one parking space, which the property does have, and in fact as you pointed out, in fact the property has four parking spaces. It has the two that are legally required, and it has an additional two that must be used in tandem.

But pertinently, in terms of respect for the neighborhood, when four vehicles are parked in that lot, they do not come close to encroaching upon the sidewalk.

So before I proceed with my remarks, I want to pause here and make sure that I've adequately addressed the Chairman's questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: As far as I'm concerned, you have. But other members? Brendan's nodding yes, you have. I don't know if any other member feels differently? Apparently not. So --

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you got the answer to your question.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay. Thank you so much. So in summary, my request is simply to register the basement accessory apartment that has been present and in use for at least 30 years, and that this request is perfectly consistent the letter and the spirit of the zoning ordinance.

I now would like to respectfully address the input from the community, which is very important to me. I am thankful that people are so caring of their neighborhood and took the energy to write.

I also want to say that I am completely sympathetic with the kind of aversive feeling that we have about development.

And I want to reassure the members of the community that I'm just a doctor with a family, and I do
have a financial interest, but that financial interest will not bear fruit for many years, as this constitutes a major investment for me.

And I plan to personally manage this property and have it in my family until $I$ die. So I really value the community input.

With that introduction, I'd like to begin by thanking Molly Wolf and Patrick Mascai for their strong support. I know that they -- their place is at 9 Oakland Street. I know they recently went through an approval process with this Board, and were granted permission to build a brand-new house, a whole new structure -- not an addition to an existing structure, but an entirely new building -- right next door to my property.

I supported them in their petition, and I was very happy for them that it was granted.

I also want to thank -- and they have provided to you a letter of support that is in my file, thanks to Maria Pacheco's help in uploading it.

I also want to thank Giovanni Berlanda Scorza for his support. Giovanni's property -- and also the one I mentioned before, Molly and Patrick's -- are the only two
properties that directly border my property.
The only other direct abutter is the U.S. Post Office to the south of my property, which fronts on the very busy Cambridge Street right in the heart of Inman Square.

My property is touched only by those three properties. And all of the other letters are from people who are either across the street or down the street or around the corner.

I would next like to thank Corrinne Bigley, a resident of Somerville but a member of the neighborhood community. Corinne submitted a letter in opposition, and I reached her by phone and I explained that I was not proposing to build a new dwelling, but instead just wanted to register the basement accessory apartment that had already been there without registration for at least 30 years.

She was glad to hear the clarification, and she was kind enough to submit another letter withdrawing her initial opposition. And both of those letters are there. And I'm happy to help sift through it if it's confusing, because there's more than one letter from the same person.

One brief letter of opposition came from someone
who did not identify himself or herself and did not provide an address. This letter was signed simply with the name, "Youbin" -- Y-o-u-b-i-n, and the e-mail address included the name of Benjamin Wright (phonetic).

I'm not clear on the relationship between the name "Benjamin Wright" and the name, "Youbin." I don't know who is utilizing whose e-mail account, and I was unable to identify any such person in extensive searching among the neighborhood ownership records on file with the city and simply using everything I could muster to search through the Internet.

I did reach out by e-mail, because this was sent to Maria Pacheco by e-mail by the person identified as Youbin, and I did not receive a response.

Pursuant to the rules of procedure for the Board of Zoning Appeals, this particular letter is not admissible to these proceedings, because any member of the public is welcome and authorized to submit their views. But as a prerequisite, they must provide their full name and their address, and this letter did not satisfy that, so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, sir. Excuse me. We'll decide what our rules say and where we might want
to waive the rules. I object to the notion that we should disregard this letter. Someone took the time to write it. They didn't give an address, but that's not crucial to what was said in the letter.

So I -- thank you very much for your views, but I for one do not agree with you. I do not.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I accept that, and I meant no disrespect. I don't pretend to be any kind of scholar on these matters, that's simply what I read in the published rules of procedure, and I just wanted to be thorough. But I accept your statement.

The other letters of opposition came from
residents who I understand are cornerstones of the neighborhood community. One later was from Janet Slamenda, a registered architect, and I believe the spouse of Jim Monteverde, a member of this Board.

The other letters were from Seth Goldfein and Lisa White. I was able to reach Ms. Slamenda by phone, and we were able to chat, and she didn't have any questions for me. She courteously reiterated her opposition to my request.

I also reached Mr. Monteverde by accident when I called the number that was listed for Ms. (sic) Slamenda. I
had not known they were spouses, so it was confusing. But it was fortunate that $I$ was able to speak with him.

Of note, I was in tune with Mr. Monteverde two months ago or so. He was aware that I had bought the place and planned to renovate it, and neither he nor his spouse contacted me with any questions or concerns until within 24 hours of this hearing. And this was somewhat surprising, since I'm not requesting any change to the use or the external structure of the footprint.

I also reached Mr. Seth Goldfine, who wrote a letter of opposition. Mr. Goldfine did not wish to speak with me. I do know that he attended a funeral today, and I offered my condolences and I am very sorry for his loss, and I imagine he's probably not going to be able to participate tonight, but $I$ respect his concerns and will address the substance in a moment.

And then finally, Ms. Lisa White was kind enough to phone me, but I missed the call, unfortunately, and when I called back, we were not able to connect.

So with that introduction, I'm going to respectfully avoid going through the actual text of the letters because it's late, and I don't want to waste the

Board's time.
But just as we did with the zoning ordinance, I just want you to know that $I$ would welcome any examination of exactly what was written. The only reason I'm not going through the letters line by line is because, again, I wanted to thread the needle and respect your time, while also being thorough.

So what I'm going to do is talk about the issues that were raised, but without reference to the specific language of the letters.

So to begin with, I want to acknowledge the concerns of these members of the community. Nobody wants more crowding, including myself. I have a family. I'm an avid gardener. My house is already covered with flowers this early in the spring, and I really value the outdoors and people having a good quality of life.

On the other hand, I do want to emphasize that I am not seeking to put any more people or cars into the neighborhood than have been there for at least 30 years. I am -- I do not approve of the fact that the basement accessory apartment was not registered with the city, and that's why I have approach the Board.

But I want to emphasize that if my request is granted, the number of people living on the property would be the same as have been living there for the past 30 years. So my goal is just to follow the rules by registering this space.

My other goal, as I mentioned before, is to make the building really nice and safe, and I'm completely committed to attracting respectable tenants and to begin immediately available to anyone in the community who has a concern about the property or its residents. I live very nearby.

I'll be managing the property myself without any third-party management agency, and I do have experience doing this, because I own one other two-unit rental property nearby, where $I$ have terrific relationships with the tenants, and also with the neighbors. And I look forward to similarly warm relations with the future tenants at Oak Street and the surrounding community.

I have neither the desire nor the financial resources to acquire any more investment properties, and as I mentioned, I'm a doctor not a real estate developer. My intent is to keep the property for the rest of my life, use
it to finance my daughter's education and hopefully bequeath
it to her on my death.

So with that general setting, I want to address the specific concerns in the letters. One recurring concern was the definition of, "accessory apartment" and the related question of how the floor area of a basement accessory apartment should be treated by the Zoning Board.

I have covered this at the beginning of my remarks, and $I$ think it was clear from the zoning ordinance that what I'm doing is entirely compliant with the letter and the spirit of the ordinance -- specifically development of accessory apartments is encouraged for one- and twofamily buildings. Basement space is exempt from all far calculations, and accessory apartments are exempt from parking requirements.

Another thing concern raised in the letters related to parking. We've already addressed this, so I'll be brief.

If I am able to register the basement accessory apartment, the zoning ordinance would require the property to have two parking spaces, and in fact it has four. These accommodate four cars without any intrusion into the
sidewalk.

And so I'm reassured that the property does and will comply with all applicable parking regulations, and putting regulations aside, $I$ think it highly unlikely that the residents of such a property would collectively own more than four cars.

So the property provides double the required parking, and I am sure that's more than enough.

Finally, two of the letters expressed concern that there might be a, "potential financial incentive." I had trouble understanding why this would be relevant. I certainly do have a financial incentive. I want to create great dwellings and rent them out to nice tenants, and it'll take years or decades for me to recoup my initial investment. My hope is that with careful financial planning, this will help to support my family.

So I certainly do have a financial incentive, and not doing this for any -- I don't know, charitable reason. So that's all I have to present to the substance contained in the letters.

There's just one other thing I want to mention. I understand that the people who wrote the letters of
opposition are influential in the community and with the Zoning Board.

And I just want to remind everyone that the Board recently granted Molly and Patrick the right to build an entirely new house right next door to my house, while I am not asking for any increase in the size or occupancy of the building I purchased just a couple months ago.

I didn't think my request would generate controversy, and all $I$ want to do is register what was already there, and what complies quite perfectly with the zoning ordinance.

So while I do sympathize deeply with the feelings of the community members who oppose my request, I hope the Board will consider my application on the basis of the zoning ordinance and the actual characteristics of the property.

In summary, the letters of opposition represent a strong, visceral aversion to legalization of a preexisting, basement accessory apartment that complies with the zoning ordinance. I sympathize with the general sense of aversion to crowding and development, and I feel it too.

It is my hope that community members in attendance
tonight will see that I am not a greedy real estate developer, but rather a father who seeks to do well by doing good in the improvement and careful management of three nice dwellings in a community with a strong need for more rental units.

Regarding the substantive concerns raised in the letters, I've been careful to keep my comments brief, but as I said before, I am more than receptive to parsing the text of the letters. The only reason I didn't do that was because I didn't want to drag this out too long. My initial impulse was to do so. So I truly would welcome in-depth discussion of the exact language of the letters.

And if there are remaining concerns about the letters, I would request that we do indeed specifically address their language and the factual content of the letters.

It is granted that there's a sense of kind of visceral aversion to legalizing this unit, but my understanding of the ordinance is that there isn't any objection based on the regulations.

And I also want to emphasize that the neighbors who are right next to the property are supportive, and have
full knowledge of what's going on.
The bottom line is the only reason that I'm here tonight is because $I$ want to do the right thing and register the accessory apartment that's been there for at least 30 years.

I have submitted, and you have before you, documentation of that in the form of transactions and a letter from the people who sold it to me that attest to the age of the accessory apartment.

Also the letters of opposition provide further support. While they're not backed up by any evidence, their suggestion is that it was around 1989 when this apartment was put into use.

And the bottom line is I want to make the building safe and beautiful. I want it to be registered legally out of respect for the city and the Board, and I want to attract wonderful tenants who will be great neighbors and assets to the community.

So thank you for bearing with me, and thanks again for being here so late.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ready to come up for air? You've been talking for 20 minutes, covering everything but
-- most of which is not relevant to the case before us. Thank you anyway. Can you tell me which section of the ordinance you're seeking a special permit pursuant to or under?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, I'm happy to return to that. Section 4.22 states, "the purpose of this subsection 4.22 is to allow for the creation of accessory apartments in all districts," et cetera.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, but the accessory apartment you're talking about will create a three-family house. I don't think that was the intention.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Well, Mr. Chairman, respectfully the zoning ordinance has been modified in recent years, and that is explicitly the intention.

The zoning ordinance as I read into the record, and I'm happy to return to, explicitly states that the reason for the language that $I$ read is to encourage owners of one and two-family houses to add an accessory apartment, which would create the -- result in the creation of a twofamily building with an accessory dwelling.

So it's not the same as a three-family building. It is a special permit to create an accessory dwelling in a
two-family building. That's why this language was written. That's what it's about.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for the lecture on the zoning history. We're well aware as Board members -well aware of what you're referring to. But generally, special permits are governed by Section 10.43. And I don't see anything in your material that addressed that.

I'm not saying that you're not entitled to the special permit, $I$ just don't -- you're all over the lot, in my opinion, with regard to what special permit you want, and why you should obtain it, be that as it may.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Well, the special permit that I'm requesting is a special permit to register an accessory apartment pursuant to 4.22 and all of the requisites in 10 . 43, which I'm happy to go through, but I didn't take bring up again, because $I$ don't want to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think you have to -well, you don't have to go through them, we'll -- it's just --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], let me just jump in here a little bit. Under Section 4.224, I think the pertinent language is, "In granting a special permit for an
accessory apartment, the Board shall determine that the general Special Permit Criteria set forth in Section 10.43
$\qquad$

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- are met." So we can grant the special permit for an accessory apartment, but we should also incorporate some of the language in 10.4.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's exactly what I was trying to get at. Draw that out of this gentleman.

BECCA SCHOFIELD: -- Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But I've been getting there. Yes, exactly.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Mr. Chairman, I was about to say that this application fulfills everything in 10.43, I just didn't read it into the record because I didn't want to keep everyone too late.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you very much for your solicitude.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan again.] Could I -- Sisia, is there language -- specific language that if a zoning violation occurs on a piece of property, that it self-corrects after 10 years?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There is a 10-year provision, you're right.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, there is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So that if it can be determined that there was at least an apartment here, even though it was created at the time illegally, that it could be grandfathered in; self-correct after 10 years? Subsequent to that, even if we were to find that 10 -year has lapsed, the new ordinance as created by the City Council is allowing accessory apartments anyhow.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, Mr. Sullivan. There is a statute of limitation one these regulations. It is 10 years. I've had lengthy conversations with Mr. Rafferty about this.

The only reason I didn't bring that up here is because, exactly as you point out, it's moot. Because in the subsequent years, the city has made it not only allowable but encouraged to --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I guess my point is that -- I guess my point is that either one would apply.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Correct, I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. I just want to
get that out.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Any further
questions or comments from members of the Board before I open the matter up to public testimony?

ANDREA HICKEY: Could I ask Sisia to bring up the floor plan for the basement unit for a moment?
[Pause]
Thank you. I'm all set with that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Where are we, public comment?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. So I'll see if anybody else has any questions.

ALISON HAMMER: This is Alison Hammer. I have a quick question.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead. Sure, Allison.
ALISON HAMMER: Sisia, maybe even as a -- I don't know if this is a question for the petitioner or for my other fellow Board members, just to pick up on what Brendan was just saying -- I guess I'm just not totally clear why is this would have self-corrected, what the need is for a special permit? Shouldn't this already be essentially allowable?

## BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I share that sentiment

completely. But it is my sense is that that it is more proper to have a dwelling registered with the city than not registered.

The only reason I'm coming here is just because I think I want to do -- I just want to do the right thing and be aboveboard. I think that it is by right what I'm proposing.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan again.] Allison, I think $I$ can probably answer your question, I think, that Dr. Pallin is correct in the route he's going, only because should he need some financing down the road or what have you and that those people tend to look at the property and any encumbrances or any legality to make sure that you are 100 percent legal before they will extend any kind of financing on the project.

And that I don't think Dr. Pallin would want to go in and say, "Well, it is self-correcting." I'm not sure if he's going to be able to get a document from the city. They may say that there is no enforcement necessary, yadaya. It's a lot cleaner, it's a lot faster if he had a document that codifies or legalizes the use of that basement.

ANDREA HICKEY: And I would -- excuse me -- I
would also add that sort of formally legalizing this unit would allow the Assessor's Office to capture its value with respect to real estate taxes being collected for the benefit of the city.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yeah, if I may -- you may be surprised to hear this, but the second comment is the reason I did it, not the first comment. We are in a climate of unbelievably low interest rates, and got the most incredible loan, and this is going to be great for my family.

I don't need help with financing. I'll never refinance this building. The bank didn't give me a hard time -- well, they did but they were okay ultimately.

And the reason I'm doing this is because I was not this dwelling to be on the city's books. I want to pay my taxes. I just don't feel good having this thing that somebody stuck in there 50 years ago and never declared.

ANDREA HICKEY: I also think for insurance purposes, to legalize this unit would probably be beneficial. I think it's hard to ensure an illegal unit. So that's all I've got.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yeah, you know, I thought of
that too. And I spoke with my insurance company, and they surprised me by saying, "No, no, it doesn't matter. We'll make a site visit, we'll look at the value of the property and -- " You know, so it wasn't for that either. I just want to be aboveboard and have everything labeled appropriately under the law.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. There's nothing
further I need. Thank you.
ALISON HAMMER: Thank you all.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There is a -- it is a threeheaded monster. Finance is one. Liability is another one, and insurance would be the third one. If you have a good document, those things are much easier to obtain anyhow. DR. DANIEL PALLIN: I couldn't agree I couldn't agree more.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My ability would be to avoid. DR. DANIEL PALLIN: I couldn't agree more, but for me I just can't imagine -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. DR. DANIEL PALLIN: -- that we want to live in cities where people are --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're good, Doctor, we're good.

ANDREA HICKEY: We're fine.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, [Jason Marshall], I don't have any questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will open the matter up to public testimony.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a second to see if anyone wishes to speak.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, hi. This is Jim Monteverde. Can you hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just one point. Just not to muddy the water, but in terms of the -- in our resistance to the proposal, having lived across the street for 35 years now, we saw the building renovated. It was -- to 1989 there
was a single old woman who lived there, who passed away. The building was sold.

Two cousins bought it. They renovated the building top to bottom for their own use. They occupied it as the basement and the first floor as one apartment, and the second floor and third floor as a second apartment --two-family.

That's the configuration that you see on the plans that are part of the submittal that $I$ think are from the MLS listing, which basically shows you get to the basement space through a staircase from the first floor. There is no independent way out, or egress from it.

And it's basically -- as curious a configuration as it is, that's what it was -- part of the first floor. So as a two-family.

And we read the letter that's part of the submittal. When the house -- and my wife and I toured the building when it was for sale in 2001. And that's exactly the way it was set up; not as a separate apartment in the basement, but as a part of the second floor to the first floor basically, as one apartment.

The letter -- that's one point. The second is the
letter that was submitted by the previous owners, Marissa and Brent Nigro -- as I read this without trying to parse words, it definitely says the basement was furnished, but I don't -- I can't from this determine that they actually rented it as three apartments. I don't have that now. So that's just one mystery to me.

And my concern is really the changing this from a two-family to potentially a three. It's a residential building.

So if the ordinance allows that basement space to be considered an accessory apartment, even though the building's total use is accessory, is residential, and that this was previously used as a residential apartment with the ground-floor, that's the part I really get stuck on.

Because then $I$ see that was -- I don't follow the logic as a definition for the accessory use. But that's all I have to say. I'll leave it to the Board. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jim.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Mr. Chairman, may I have an opportunity to respond to Mr. Monteverde?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, you may.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: You know, a picture's worth a
thousand words. Sisia, can you please show the many pictures that I submitted of the accessory basement? Mr. Monteverde has a concept of a dwelling or an accessory apartment that is different from the concept defined in the zoning ordinance, which I've already read into the record. I'm happy to read it into the record again.
"A dwelling is defined by the zoning ordinance in the city of Cambridge as a space where people live that has a kitchen and cooking facilities and a bathroom." What you see here is a 1980s range, which has been there for who knows how long. My only guess at the date comes from Mr. Monteverde's wife, whose letter said 1989, and he has repeated that.

You can see that this was a completely habited and used dwelling. It is true that it was accessed from the common area, where the first-floor dwelling was also accessed, but it is not true that this was not a separate dwelling.

In fact, this basement apartment has kind of a weird stairway, and it is able to be locked independently and entered from the front door of the building and can be locked against entry by the people who reside in the first-
floor unit.
Furthermore, as you can see from the architect's plans, there is a rear egress from the accessory apartment. So the statement that there was no independent egress is not correct.

If I could ask Sisia to please scroll through a few more of those pictures, you will see that not just the kitchen, but this place was completely nested in. This in every way shape, form and fashion this is what I wanted to show. This is a dwelling.

It is true that it was part of a building that was registered with the city as a two-family. But that is not informative regarding the definition of the dwelling. These pictures are the definition of a dwelling. And it had two independent egresses.

And as Mr. Sullivan has stated, I can lease this place out as in tenant -- independent dwelling by right because of the statute of limitations. I am not trying to use that mechanism. I'm trying to respond to the zoning ordinance's encouragement of people like me to create apartments like this.

This is not being created, it was already there.

I just want to register it because $I$ think it's wrong to dig out dwellings in a city without registering it.

JASON MARSHALL: I want to interject for a second,
because $I$ want to make sure Brendan heard that. I don't think Brendan represented you. I don't think he made a legal representation that you can do this as-of-right. I just want to be careful there. I think he asked the question. So just want to make sure the record reflects that.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: I may have misunderstood, but I did think that's what he said. Maybe we could hear from him.

JASON MARSHALL: Again, I don't think it was a representation in that way, so.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Mr. Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, I was reading the ordinance. I'm sorry, what was the question?

JASON MARSHALL: It wasn't a question, Brendan. Dr. Pallin had said that you had -- I thought I understood him saying that you had made a representation that head count as-of-right rent this out. I don't think that you had characterized it that way. I understood that you were
asking questions. It was more of a line of questioning.
So just given your role on this Board, I wanted to make sure that the record was corrected.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I did not make a statement of fact -- well, characterization of basically what I -- the question $I$ asked is was there not a 10-year limit on violations, and that after the 10 years they became -- they were grandfathered in, basically. So however you want to characterize that or read into it, that's basically what I had said.

But, what I did say also was that that being the case would allow for this unit in the basement, but also that the new ordinance allowing accessory apartments would allow this unit in the basement; that either one of those two scenarios seems to allow for this unit and accessory apartment in the basement. And he has chosen to go --

JASON MARSHALL: And just to clarify --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: He has chosen to go with the special permit route.

JASON MARSHALL: Dr. Pallin, that's up to you to engage this Councillor to make your own legal representation along the fact of whether it's grandfathered or not. That's
not before the Board, so $I$ just want it separate.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes, and I think I understand the question.

JASON MARSHALL: I want to separate that from the discussion. We're not weighing in on whether or not it's grandfathered or not.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Yes. I understand now, and it is true, Mr. Sullivan did not say -- we're not talking about grandfathering. There is a statute of limitations by which the jurisdiction, the jurisdictional authority ceases to have weight after 10 years. Mr. Sullivan asked about that, and he did not use the term, "by right."

I asserted that in my reading of the law, I have it by right, but then $I$ went on to say that $I$ did not intend to use that, because I think there's a much easier mechanism, as Mr. Sullivan stated.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, any?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We -- before we got off on this tangent, we were ready to open this matter up to public testimony. So let me repeat the instructions and see if we do have anyone who wishes to speak.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, Janet Slamenda.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Janet Slamenda.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Please speak.
JANET SLAMENDA: Good evening. This is Janet Slamenda from 12 Oak Street, a neighbor. I wrote a letter. I heard a lot of testimony tonight about whether this really is an accessory apartment, grandfathered, or whatever.

I would like to make the one point that is very disappointing is that for someone who is approaching as a community person and feeling very strongly being part of the community, Dr. Pallin didn't manage to reach out to the community to have a conversation with us.

And it would have been really helpful to hear his point of view and to hear all of the comments that he's made tonight, and it would have been helpful to him to not make
it, you know, this stand tonight but to reach out. That's all I wanted to say. Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Giovanni Scorza?
GIOVANNI BERLANDA SCORZA: Hello, can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
GIOVANNI BERLANDA SCORZA: Can we move the presentation to the proposed floor plan, please?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
[Pause]
Sorry.
GIOVANNI BERLANDA SCORZA: Yeah. So my name is Giovanni Berlanda Scorza. I am the owner of the property on 7 Oakland Street that faces the other property on the east side, so on the upper side of this drawing. I brought a letter of support for this request of a variance.

But tonight is the first time I see the proposed first-floor plan which depicts AC condensers on the north side of the building, and also, a water heater in the mechanical room in the basement on the north side.

And even if I still support the thought that
legalizing this basement apartment will not create any more people living in the space, $I$ want to make my support contingent of not having a new AC condensers facing my property right -- the space between the building and the property line is about two feet wide.

An AC condenser takes at least one foot and a half. So those condensers will blow air straight into my rear garden, when I enjoy my time with my 5-years-old and 2-years-old child.

So I want to support it because of the pollen, but I want to make my support contingent on not having an AC facing my property or water heaters blowing discharge right into my face when I'm in my garden. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I trust the petitioner's taken note of that support, or the condition through the support and will not have a problem -- will not create problems for the person who just spoke?

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Forgive me for my ignorance of procedure, but am I expected or allowed to respond to the comments?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, you are.
DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, you've got to ask permission from the Chair, which you've just done, so yes. You have a right to respond.

DR. DANIEL PALLIN: Okay. Thank you very much. So Giovanni and I have good access to each other. For example, recently Giovanni told me that the ivy plant whose roots are on my property are bothersome and could he remove it? And I said absolutely.

With respect to the air conditioning things, I am more than happy to talk to him about this. And I see no reason why we can't find a gajillion (sic) other places to put them. They were stuck on the drawing without any worry, and I think Giovanni's concern is a very reasonable one.

However, those units have nothing to do with the matter before the Board tonight. And the contingency would not be legally relevant.

With respect to the comments of the -- forgive me, the professional architect who's married to Mr. Monteverde, she has an unfamiliar name, forgive me. Slamenda -- Ms. Slamenda: I would like to respond to your comments that I failed to engage with the community by expressing my hurt. I have been closely networked with the people who are
neighbors, and Mr. Monteverde, your spouse, was aware and we were in touch about two months ago.

And so when you submitted this destructive letter and I tried to telephone you and he picked up the phone, I have to say this was very intimidating and a strange experience. And I take issue with any doubt that it has cast on the importance $I$ place on community.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on? Anyone else, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim, you still have your hand up. I don't know if you wanted to speak again?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm all done. How do I take it down?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'll lower it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: And Janet, the same. Did you want
to speak again or no?
JANET SLAMENDA: I guess not. No, we're all set. Yep.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now close public testimony. Discussion, or are we ready for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm ready for a vote.
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm ready for a vote as well. How about the others? Yeah, Allison?

ALISON HAMMER: Ready for a vote [Alison Hammer]. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. And Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: I'm ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay the Chair will move that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit being sought?

That the petitioner has demonstrated that he satisfies, or has testified or demonstrated -- however you want to phrase it -- that he satisfies all the requirements of Section 4.22.1 -- namely the four items that are specified in that section.

And since under Section 4.22 .4 the special -- the
General Special Permit Criteria set forth in Section 10.43 are met. Wait, in granting a special permit for an accessory apartment, the Board shall determine that the

General Special Permit Criteria set forth in 10.43 are met. And I think -- what I've heard is that they are met. And therefore I don't think there's any need to go through the 10.43 criteria.

So on the basis of that determination, if the Board agrees, I believe we should grant that the special permit that the petitioner is seeking shall be granted.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

ALISON HAMMER: Alison Hammer yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Al -- I mean, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chairman votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
The special permit is granted. Thank you. Case over. Evening hearing is over. [Anticlimactic]
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