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(6:34 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the April 22, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Planning Board. My name is Gus Alexander and I am the Chair. Let me apologize for the late start; we were having technical difficulties on our end. But hopefully we're ready to go.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, amended on May 27, 2020.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is being streamed live on the City of Cambridge online
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meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. In due course, there will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present and audible.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick is present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: And Gus. And Janet, are you on?
[Pause]
Not yet. Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet won't be on until 7:00.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So we have all five, I think. Our agenda tonight is a little bit bifurcated. We're start our regular agenda right now, but at 7:00 we have some continued cases that are on the agenda. Continued cases are cases that started at an earlier date, but for one reason or another have been continued until tonight.

So when we get to -- and the continued cases are advertised for 7:00 p.m. So when we get around 7:00 p.m., I will temporarily recess this regular meeting until we hear the continued meetings, and then we'll return to the regular agenda for tonight's regular meeting.
(6:36 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So with that, I will call the first regular case. It's Case No. 111104, 23 Bigelow Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Hello? Anyone here for Bigelow Street?

DONALD FOOTE: I am Don Foote and I am here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

DONALD FOOTE: I am Don Foote, and I am here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, good. The floor is yours, sir.

DONALD FOOTE: Well, thank you. I appreciate your time tonight. I am the designer and hopeful builder of a very modest addition to 23 Bigelow Street, as you can see from your plans.

A little background on this: Catherine Olivier (phonetic), who is the owner, has been a resident at 23 Bigelow for over 40 years(sic), living on the first and second floors, but doesn't need that much space anymore, and
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would like to have her daughter and family move in to those floors of the house.

So she is proposing minor variations to the basement, which are these three things:

Enlarging two existing windows on the side, so they match the one window, which is already bigger on that side, adding a small addition at the rear basement level only -- that would allow much more light into the space. Square footage of this basement unit is about 36 square feet -- basement addition, excuse me.

Also, this will force us to relocate the stairs coming down from an existing deck into the back yard. As you can see, they're now all the way at the back of the deck. They are currently coming down right where the 48 line -- notice you can see on that drawing is where the addition's going to be.

So that's all we really need to do. And I look forward to hearing your questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. You're seeking two forms of relief tonight, both a variance and a special permit. So let's deal with the variance first, which involves the construction of the addition at the basement
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level, and the relocation of the stair to the rear deck.
I think you've touched upon to obtain a variance you need to satisfy three conditions -- I think you've touched upon them already in your presentation: The fact that there's a substantial hardship involved if we don't grant the variance and allow the -- construct the addition to the basement level; that the hardship is owing to the fact that this is already a nonconforming structure, and therefore any modification for a variance requires further zoning relief; and that relief may be granted -- let me get the magic words out -- without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So that's it for the variance. I have no questions. Brendan, do you have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll open the matter up to public testimony right now. I would mention we have a letter from the file from a neighbor who's in support of the relief being sought. Let me get the instructions out.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a few moments to see if anyone is calling in, and wishes to speak.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, we have no one who wishes to speak, and as I mentioned, we do have one written communication from a neighbor who is in support of the project. Let's -- we'll go to the special permit next, and then we'll go to motions. Sir, the special permit?

DONALD FOOTE: So there are three windows on the side of the house. The one that you can see in this elevation is -- at the basement level -- is a full-sized,
double hung window. I did submit some photos of that side of the house, which -- yeah, there you go -- you see the other two are pretty ugly -- just, they're not even glass, they're Plexiglass sashes.

And we just want to make both of those windows match the over two double hung that is currently existing in the rear.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Short and sweet, thank you. Brendan, any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I have no questions. I think that's a very fair and reasonable request from the petitioner to allow this. So I would be supportive of it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, thanks.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll make a motion, and then we'll take a vote. We're talking about the variance first.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings: That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being -- and it's a hardship that runs with the property, really; and it's not just a hardship peculiar to the current occupants of the property -- and that hardship is this is basement space that can be used for residential purposes and will satisfy/allow the current owner to stay in place without having to move out, and allow for family members to move in as well.

The hardship is owing to the fact that this is already a nonconforming structure, and therefore any modification requires zoning relief.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the ordinance.

In this regard, again $I$ will mention that there is a letter of support; that there is no opposition, that the relief is modest in nature and has very little impact on -if any -- on neighboring properties.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the
condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Marta, M-a-r-t-a -- and I'll just spell the last name $K-r-u-s-z-e-l-n-i-c-k-a ~--~ s o ~ M a r t a ~ K r u s z e l n i c k a . ~ A n d ~$ the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to
granting the relief.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the relief. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura? LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Variance granted.
Now let's move on to the special permit, where we have to make a different set of findings.

The Chair moves that we make the following
findings: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless -- with regard to the windows -- unless we grant
the special permit that's been requested.
That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed regarding the windows will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. In fact, it has very little impact on the neighborhood, particularly since the work is near the rear of the building.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed, there will be no invasion of privacy on neighboring property, given the location of where the windows will be -- and again, also supporting that is the absence of any objection from abutting neighbors.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

Again, as I've mentioned several times, the relief
being sought is modest; it is supportive of the neighborhood generally, and $I$ think we can rely on the fact of no neighborhood opposition to support that proposition.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans we just referred to with regard to the variance -- we grant it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well to grant it.
[All vote YES]

So it's unanimous. The special permit has been granted. Good luck.

DONALD FOOTE: Thank you.
(6:49 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 110890 -- $11-13$ Haskell Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]

Apparently not. The Chair would advise some of my fellow Board members that we are in receipt of a letter from Christopher J. Alphen -- A-l-p-h-e-n -- Esquire, with the firm of Elatman -- E-l-a-t-m-a-n -- Bobrowski -- B-o-b-r-o-w-s-k-i -- and Haverty -- H-a-v-e-r-t-y and Mr. Alfin Right [phonetic.]

Please be informed that this office represents Sarah Steward, James G. Burns, Mark R. Steward and Lavera T. Combrink [all names phonetic] of 11-13 Haskell Street, Cambridge.

Please accept this correspondence as a formal request to continue the Board's hearing set for April 22, 2021, until the next available public hearing. This request
would delay the opening of the public hearing of the applicant's application until that date.

The grounds, therefore, the applicants state they received notice from the neighbors requesting a continuance to discuss the project in more detail. The applicants will use the additional time to meet with said neighbors. Sisia, this is a case not heard, so any five members can hear it. Sisia, what's the next available time? SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, June 10. Well actually, May 10. Oh no, that's -- sorry, June 10. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: June 10. Okay. The Chair makes the following motion: That this case be continued as a case not heard, until -- when are we starting these cases? SISIA DAGLIAN: 6:00. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:30? SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, we were starting at 6:00. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Great. Until 6:00 p.m. on June 10, subject to the following conditions: That the petitioner or their representative sign a waiver of time for decision. That's a standard form that we
require because for a case to be decided within a specified period of time.

This form is available and -- this is for the benefit of counsel, for the petitioners -- it's available at the office -- the Building Department office.

If this form is not signed by 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday, this case will be automatically dismissed. So you have to -- someone has to get to the Building Department and sign the delay for a time for decision.

Second, a new posting sign or a modified posting sign must erected and maintained for the 14 days before the hearing on June 10. This can be done, either you get a new poster from the Building Department, or you can take a magic marker or some other device and take the current sign and mark it up to show the new date and the new time.

And then lastly, to the extent that the petitioner wishes to submit new or modified specifications, designs, other data, that must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before June 10. If that is not done, then this case will be further continued.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to the
continuance.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case is continued
until June 10, subject to the conditions I've laid out in the motion $I$ just made.

And moving on, we'll take one more case before we recess for the continued cases.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Actually, we can't, because it's advertised for 7:15.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Too early.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: no, no, one more regular case.

SISIA DAGLIAN: We can't.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope, advertised for 7:15.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, you're absolutely
right. I'm sorry, I'm jumping the gun. Well, we can't -it's too early for the continued case, and too early -[laughter] --

JIM MONTEVERDE: We have a break.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- yep, the regular agenda case. So we'll take a brief recess. We'll reconvene at 7:00 p.m. to hear the continued cases. Thank you.
[BREAK]
(7:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Janet Green

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're going to reconvene this Zoning Board hearing to hear, as I indicated earlier, some continued cases. The first case I'm going to call is Case Number 017219 -- 544 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? No one.

Because the petitioner in this case wants a further continuance, they have requested in an e-mail to this Board, or to basically Maria Pacheco that they want to continue the case until July, sometime in July. I guess they're having some issues with the Planning Board, and they need more time.

What are the dates in July we have, Ms. Sisia?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, July we have fifteenth and twenty-ninth.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Fifteenth and the twentyninth.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let's try the twentyninth. Is that okay with everybody? Is this a case heard? JANET GREEN: Gus, I didn't sit on that case. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not heard.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not heard, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's a case not heard.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right, we started with you. It's a case not heard, so we just need any five Board members.

JANET GREEN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right? The Chair
moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on --

SISIA DAGLIAN: July 29.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: July 29, subject to the following conditions, which the petitioner in this case has satisfied in the past:

That this being a case not heard, nevertheless the petitioner needs to sign a waiver of time for decision to allow this case to continue until July 29 at 6:00 p.m.

If that waiver is not signed, and the waiver can be obtained -- it's a very full document that we use for all
continued cases -- the petitioner needs to go to the -- get in touch with Maria Pacheco at the Building Department and get a waiver of time and sign it.

If that waiver is not signed and returned by 5:00 p.m. a week from this coming Monday, then this case will be dismissed. So needless to say, it's important to the petitioner to sign this waiver of time for a decision -yeah, waiver for a time for a decision.

The second condition is that a new posting sign, once again, must erected and maintained reflecting the new date and the new time. And that sign must be maintained for the 14 days prior to July 29.

And lastly, to the extent that there are -- will be -- and I think they will be if this case is continued -new plans, drawings, specifications and the like, they must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before July 29.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Janet?
[Pause]
JANET GREEN: Janet?
JIM MONTEVERDE: You have to unmute.

ANDREA HICKEY: You're muted.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go. Nope.
JANET GREEN: Yeah. Janet Green yes to the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
This case is continued until 6:00 p.m. on July 29.
(7:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Janet Green

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now actually call two cases, because they basically have identical facts, and $I$ think we can consider them and take whatever action we decide to take at one time. So the Chair --

LAURA WERNICK: Excuse me, Gus, do we have six members now?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
LAURA WERNICK: Do we now have six members here?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura is not sitting on this.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, Laura's not sitting on this, Janet is.

LAURA WERNICK: Oh, I didn't know that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. This case -we'll have to go back to January or something.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay, okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before your time, Laura.

LAURA WERNICK: Right.
SISIA DAGLIAN: It's a case heard, Laura.
LAURA WERNICK: Okay, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So we have Janet
plus Jim and Andrea, Brendan and myself. Okay, the Chair will now call Case Number 017247 -- 16-18 Forest Street and Case Number 017248 -- 17-19 Forest Street. There we are. So, anyone here wishing to speak on this matter?

NICK ZOZULA: Good evening Mr. Chair, can you hear me okay tonight?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, you're a little bit faint. Could you repeat that, please?

NICK ZOZULA: Yes, Mr. Chair, Attorney Nick Zozula, McDermott, Quilty \& Miller here on behalf of Akelius, who is the Appellant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
NICK ZOZULA: Mr. Chair, I apologize my voice is a little faint. Too many virtual Zoom meetings --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
NICK ZOZULA: -- but we'll soldier on. So I apologize. With me tonight from Akelius is Noam Kleinman, Kayla Pepdjonovic and Bridget Hearn -- I don't know if
they're on the Attendee List or not, if they could be moved over to panelists to be able to speak on behalf of the appellant -- and Rich Rankin from CI Design.

Mr. Chair, as you recall, this is a case heard. We've been in front of the Board a few times. We know the issues and -- you know, what your thoughts have been to date. So I don't need to belabor any of the points that we've gone over in the past, unless you'd like to ask questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have one question. At the last hearing we held on this case there were some ideas thrown out about maybe favorably resolving this case. I've seen nothing in the file since then.

Do I take it that there is no proposal you want to make on behalf of your client, and you're proceeding with the case as it's been advertised?

NICK ZOZULA: Yes, sir, that is correct. Yeah, so we -- you know, we were last in front of you back in January. That was the third hearing; I believe this will be fourth.

And what we've done with -- you know, what Akelius has done, we've looked at the this many different ways,
we've penciled it, we've reviewed it, we've spun it around. We've reviewed the plan and the project, and they believe what they are showing, you know, now is a willingness to exceed the required accessible units, of course. These are voluntary income restricted units, which will be one in each building.

Frankly, Mr. Chair, they reviewed the economic feasibility of the discussion at the least hearing, and, you know, upon reviewing those details, they cannot offer any more increase in the number of voluntary income-restricted units from our last hearing.

So respectfully, that is what we are proposing here tonight, which would be -- you know, again, we're not in the Basement Housing Overlay, but -- you know, we are in it, we don't technically trigger it -- all of that, you know, discussion we've had before.

But, you know, we worked with them to try and follow those guidelines as much as possible and, you know, we had that discussion last time about trying to provide some additional affordability, and based on construction costs and, you know, feasibility they're not able to do that.

So what we had last time is what we have tonight. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. And I
think you hit the key word, "economic" --
NICK ZOZULA: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- because this is what this is all about; not zoning, it's about economics. That's one person's opinion.

NICK ZOZULA: Well yeah, $I$ mean, fair enough. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry.

NICK ZOZULA: But, no, it's -- you're right. I mean, feasibility -- you know, economic feasibility is in the variance standards as a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise.

So, you know, whether or not the Board wants to see this being a substantial hardship of financial nature, we would -- you know, we've tried to pencil it and make it come -- you know, work, in terms of what we discussed last time, and that pushes the hardship over the line and we're not able to do it.

So, you know, that's where we stand as of tonight. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Why don't we -- as you pointed out, correctly so, this case has been kicking
around for a while. Why don't you hopefully briefly summarize why you feel you meet the standards -- your client meets the standards for a variance?

And as you know, there's three requirements -- the first being a substantial hardship. And that hardship is not -- it's a hardship that runs with the land, or the structure and it is not a hardship that is peculiar to your client.

NICK ZOZULA: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And so --

NICK ZOZULA: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The hardship being is that you -- I mean, it's just a matter of money. You could do what you'd want to do without a variance, if you -- well, you can modernize your structure, which is what this is all about, to bring it and prove it, it's a matter of money.

And the way your client is planning to finance this is through adding additional units, which triggers zoning issues, which is why we're here tonight.

So, you know, it's not a matter of -- that's the hardship, is that it's going to cost a lot of money to do what you have to do to bring this building up to comply with
architectural standards and the like, and there we are. I'm sorry to steal your thunder, but I think I -- if you want to add to that, feel free.

NICK ZOZULA: Yeah, no, you're not stealing any thunder, Mr. Chair. Again, you know, we've discussed this and we know your stance and what we discussed. And so I mean, you know, you don't want to beat a dead horse, but you know, the hardship here is financial.

You know, we want to -- the property owner wants to provide accessible units in order to make all the renovations to the building. The buildings were built in the 1900 s and they need to be renovated.

And in order to do that as quickly as possible, and as units become available, they need to provide accessible units, of which there are none, because the building was built in the 1900s.

And in order to do that, they are looking to provide -- you know, to put some -- the accessible units on one side of the two buildings, which allows for the easier and more economical and feasible access through a LULA lift, the lower level.

And then in order to finance all of that work and
balance it out, they're looking to put -- you know, normal units on the other side of the street in the other building.

So the hardship here is, you know, doing all this work in any upper level or in the existing building doesn't allow them to then balance that hardship out with the additional units on the other building on the other side.

So that's number 1. Again, you know, it's a hardship of financial. It's not obviously a hardship of -you know, but then again, there is some hardship because it's a building that was built in the 1900 s before there were any accessible codes, and in order to -- you know, kind of retrofit this building to make it work, it's just -- it costs a ton of money.

And, you know, adding that extra affordable unit as we discussed last time just makes it easier for them to say, "You know what, let's just put a pin in it and not do it, and we'll take our time with the renovations, and we'll go back and we'll go from there."

So that's the financial hardship, the first prong. The second prong -- you know, as we discussed, there's really no hardship to the circumstances with the soil, shape or topography. But the zoning variance standard does talk
about the key word that I -- you know, again we discussed and you've disagreed, but it says, "or structures" and this is -- these structures are inaccessible.

They were built in the 1900s. They are not, you know, generally they aren't large buildings of this type as far as I know -- and again this Board sees a lot more than I do in this general zoning district. So we would suggest --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You haven't dealt with this -- you're right, obviously, you're dealing with structures, not land.

NICK ZOZULA: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But the structure is -you've got to -- the relief you want for the structure has to involve soil conditions, shapes or topography. As I looked, it's a very flat lot, so I don't think there's any topography involved.

NICK ZOZULA: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know if soil makes a difference. So anyway --

NICK ZOZULA: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- do you want to elaborate on that?

NICK ZOZULA: Yeah. I mean, you know, I think to a certain point asking for variances within a building that were not righted to an existing building, Mr. Chair, and we're not touching the building in terms of its -- you know, it -- we're not making it taller, we're not making it wider.

The only thing we're changing from the naked eye above ground or, you know, of any impact is by adding this LULA lift.

So, you know, there isn't necessarily a hardship that's due to the land, because we're really not touching the land, so to speak. So it's a little bit of round peg, square hole or square peg round hole. It doesn't necessarily generally fit in the second prong.

But, you know, I think there is a hardship due to the structure, and it may not be because of those other things that are in the second prong, but it certainly is affecting the building and, you know, obviously if this was a brand new building that was being built, then this wouldn't be an issue.

So in this case it is impacting it, and it is a hardship. This building was, you know, a ground up construction, new construction then we wouldn't be having
this issue.
So does it meet the exact letter of that prong?
No, it doesn't, but it certainly to us meets the in the intent and spirit of it, especially considering the fact that we're not -- you know, the building -- again, this is being accomplished within the -- for the most part within the existing envelope of the building.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the last requirement for a variance, is the relief going to be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance?

NICK ZOZULA: Yeah, I mean, I -- you know, as we've discussed, that's probably the easiest one for any project to try and knock off, Mr. Chair.

You know, respectfully, we think this is a project that's consistent with the spirit and intent of the Basement Housing Overlay District, even though we don't trigger it. You know, we meet a lot of the requirements there.

We're now adding the two basement -- the two affordable units, one in each building. We've added the bike parking. You know, out of the 18 new units we're
proposing down there -- yeah, the new and renovated, excuse me -- 16 of them are studios and one-beds. Only two of them are proposed as two-beds. So those -- and those two beds happen to be accessible units. So that meets the Basement Housing Overlay.

You know, I think it's hard to say that this doesn't meet the third prong of -- you know, of not substantial -- without substantial detriment to the public good. We're adding, you know, accessible units where there are none in a building that's over 120 years old, and we're adding two affordable units.

So, you know, I think the Board's decision is did that outweigh some of the other questions that the Board has had? We'd like to say that it does, but that's up to you folks, and that's why you're in your position by decisions like this.

And, you know, I mean Noam and his team have looked at the what they can and can't do and, you know, at a certain point you just can only push the envelope so far.

So we would suggest that it does meet that third prong. I think it would be the easiest one for us to comply with. And again, you know, this is being done -- 90 percent
of this is being done within the existing building envelope. So we're able to add these units without really doing -- you know, much to the outside of the building or expanding the scope.

You know, we're taking basement space that's being used for nothing and we're hoping to turn it into something that can -- you know, provide some obviously economic benefit to the developer and the property owner, but also some hopeful benefit to the community and the neighborhood by adding these accessible and you know, again, two affordable units.

So that would be our argument, and, you know -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.

NICK ZOZULA: -- we appreciate your time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I will -- the way I want to proceed with this case is we will go -- the usual rule is if any other Board members have questions or comments they wish to make, then questions or comments they wish to make, and then we'll open the matter up to public testimony.

And I would -- you know, I assume you're aware, but if you're not, you should be aware that there's almost unanimous neighborhood opposition to what you want, to
granting the zoning relief that's being sought. That doesn't mean the case is over, but it is a burden you have to overcome, it seems to me.

So anyway -- and then we'll take a vote on the variance. And then we'll turn to the special permit, which relates to the reduction in parking.

Anyway. Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I have no further questions or comments. I would just reincorporate the comments that I made at the last meeting, and feel unfortunate -- well maybe the only comment would be $I$ feel it's unfortunate that the proponent could not have upped their offer from before.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, [Jim Monteverde], I have one question. I'm looking at the application that's dated -- what's it dated? Sorry, it's February 2020. And it mentions that you have a variance from the Massachusetts Architectural Access Board for the unit -- for having all of the accessible units in the basement. And that was granted, you had that as of April 4, 2019.

Is that still valid? Do you still have that?
NICK ZOZULA: Yes, Mr. Monteverde, we do. Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And you don't have to act on it within a certain amount of time, so it's still valid, correct?

NICK ZOZULA: Yes, sir, that's my understanding. We've -- you know, our request from our Architectural Access Board was to remain in contact with them and keep them apprised of where we are on things, and that's what we've done. We've heard nothing --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
NICK ZOZULA: -- okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- of any nature otherwise.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
NICK ZOZULA: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, Mr. Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And Janet?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She's on mute?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet, are you maybe on mute?

JANET GREEN: I have no further questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will now open the matter up to public testimony. As I mentioned earlier,
there are substantial correspondence in our file -- mostly, not entirely -- in opposition the relief being sought. I don't propose to read them; they're long and well thoughtout, but we want to move this case along.

So I think for now, I can just summarize or advise the Board and the petitioner of the fact that there is neighborhood opposition.

Okay, now I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll wait a second to see if we do have people who wish to speak.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Tom Morris? You can go ahead and speak.

TOM MORRIS: Hi. I just wanted to correct something that was said earlier about the basement space being unused; that's not true at all. The basement houses storage for the apartments. It houses bike parking, it houses trash, it houses laundry. The laundry I believe
we'll be moving it to units, but there's -- yeah, the bulk of the space is currently being used.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anything
further you wish to say?
TOM MORRIS: No, that's it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
TOM MORRIS: Nothing further, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Sisia?

Anybody else?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep. Maureen Albano?
MAUREEN ALBANO: Yes, can you hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
MAUREEN ALBANO: A group of 38 neighbors from Forest, Oxford, Prentiss and Cross Street signed a letter in opposition to the excavation and slab work in this petition.

We've already endured four years of profit-driven construction in these apartment buildings, which has caused significant hardship to all of us. Now that many of us work from home, the magnitude of the proposed construction would make that almost impossible.

Many of our properties are directly next to these
large apartment buildings. We would like to know how Akelius will rectify any potential damage to our properties, especially the foundations.

Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Sisia?
Anybody else?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Jan Wall?
NEIL KLIEN: Hi. This is Neil Klein, her husband.
And I'm speaking to you all this evening. We have two questions related to storm and sewer water. Has Akelius met compliance with the Department of Public Works? And how does this impact our neighborhood beyond the displacement of even more rats?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, let me try -- maybe I should keep my mouth shut. But if -- we're not aware of anything from the Department -- I'm not aware of anything from the Department of Public Works.

But if there is approval or concerns about -- from that Department, well if you need permission, or even if we grant the relief, the work will not go forward, until the DPW is satisfied.

But we have nothing -- to answer your question
specifically, $I$ saw nothing in our files from the DPW. NEIL KLIEN: Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sisia? SISIA DAGLIAN: Susan White? SUSAN WHITE: Can you hear me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. SUSAN WHITE: Okay. Thank you very much for the opportunity. I would like to highlight the letter that Dan Cnossen sent in, and he has the --
[Pause]
Oops.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, oh, oh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We lost you.
SUSAN WHITE: Hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, we're back in.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Sorry, Susan, can you start
again?
SUSAN WHITE: Yep, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.

SUSAN WHITE: My name is Susan White. I live on
Cross Street. I would like to highlight the comments that

Dan Cnossen sent it and he does have hardship. He is the person that views the world from a disabled perspective. He is a double amputee above the knees. He used to be a Navy Seal. He fought with valor in Afghanistan in very dangerous territory supporting our government. And as a result of that, he now is a double amputee.

His comments are, "Do not put disabled people undergrounds. It's really not -- not ethical. It's an afterthought, and essentially -- " [my words are it's a disgrace.] But he would like to see them aboveground with everybody else in the existing units. That means ramps, elevators. He also recommends parking per disabled unit.

So a couple more things about him: His injury occurred in 2009. He after 40 surgeries and a lot of rehabilitation he entered civilian life as an athlete, competed in Sochi Winter Paralympics. He lived in Cambridge 2015 through 2018 on the GI Bill earned two Master's -- one in Leadership, one in Ethics out of the Kennedy and the Divinity Schools.

He competed, Paralympics, 2018, and in six races. He medaled in all six, including a gold, and that included a tweet from President Barack Obama.

So I want to say that's what hardship is about. I
hear the word, "hardship" here, but I really want to
highlight that is true hardship. And his team came back -Team U.S.A., and they all threw the first pitch for the Red Sox, and it was Dan Cnossen who stepped out onto the field last to 10,000 people standing up and cheering him.

Again, he says, "Do not put disabled people underground. Give them dignity and give them parking." Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Sisia, anyone? SISIA DAGLIAN: No. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's it? SISIA DAGLIAN: That's it. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will close public testimony. Discussion, or $I$ can make a vote and we can -make a motion, I'm sorry. And we can just take a vote. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would be ready for a vote. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ready for a vote? Jim? JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm ready.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: You have to unmute -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- please.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet? You're back.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're still muted.
ANDREA HICKEY: Janet?

JANET GREEN: Yeah, I know. Sorry. We have -- I have family in the house that have just arrived from far away and I can't -- I'm having trouble shutting them up. [Laughter] Yeah, I get it.

ANDREA HICKEY: Janet, the question is are you ready for --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JANET GREEN: I am ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair is ready for a vote as well. So I will make a motion and we will take the vote and see what happens.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship -financial or otherwise to the petitioner, because of the cost involved in modifying -- otherwise modifying the two structures.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape, or topography of such land or structures.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance with regard to the creation of -- between the two structures of the 15 additional units. on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans submitted by the petitioner and which are in our file.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: One question for the Chair, are we taking 16-18 Forest Street first and then 17-18?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think we can -- I am doing the first, $I$ call 16-18.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so this is the first one.

I would not support granting the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi, Jim Monteverde. I would vote in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey.] I would vote in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet?
JANET GREEN: [Janet Green], I do not vote in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair would not vote in favor of the variance either.
[TWO VOTES YES, THREE VOTES NO]
So only two in favor, need four. The variance for 16-18 Forest Street has been denied. We need to take -those of us who voted to deny the variance -- need to take a further vote to explain our decision. I'll try my hand at it, and then I welcome comments, as suggested to my other two members, who also are naysayers like myself.

Besides, the petitioner simply doesn't satisfy the legal requirements for a variance. The hardship is peculiar to the petitioner. The petitioner needs money to build --
to upgrade the building and/or wants money to upgrade the building. And by the way, as part of this they will create additional units, which will help the economic -- their economics, but that's not what's required or necessary for a variance.

That the hardship is not owing to soil conditions, shape or topography? It simply isn't. The facts are -they speak for themselves.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, I think you'll have to look to the neighborhood's active involvement in this case and active opposition. They are the people who live with the structure and the construction that would go forward should we grant the variance, and they are in strong opposition.

That's my -- any addition, subtraction, Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I would only add that to me, that the petitioner has not demonstrated that there is a viable alternative, other than stating the cost of elevators and ramps, in order to meet the various Board requirement for the extent of the renovation to the
building, and then triggering the requirement to provide accessible units.

So I don't feel that the proposal before us is satisfactory to me, and that there was not an alternative that they could have done as-of-right. So that is why I'm voting against it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Good decision. Janet, do you want to add anything, or are you satisfied with what Brendan and I have so far proposed?

JANET GREEN: I am satisfied with that. I don't
feel that the petitioner has offered enough argument for being able to override this. So I think I agree with the Chair and with Brendan?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Janet. We have
-- that's the motion that I propose with Brendan's
modification. All in favor, Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet?
[Pause]

Janet's just -- you need to take the vote to approve what we just discussed.

JANET GREEN: Who did you call, Gus?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You.
JANET GREEN: Oh, sorry, I didn't hear it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. Are you in favor of
the reasons why we have denied the variance, as proposed by Brendan and myself?

JANET GREEN: I agree with the reasons why it was denied.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. And I do as well. The vote has been taken in support of why the variance has been -- the variance for the 15 additional units, 16-18 Forest Street has been denied.

We have a second aspect of this case regarding bike reduction of parking. I think it's -- I'll defer to the petitioner, but I think this is now moot, because without the additional units, there's no need for additional parking -- unless I'm mistaken.

Do you want to put us through the drill of going through the denial, which I think has to happen, or the variance, or not?

NICK ZOZULA: Mr. Chair, if you're asking the petitioner, we don't need to inflict any more pain on anyone
else.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] Thank you.
NICK ZOZULA: Whatever is as easy for you folks to
move through your note as quickly as possible, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So the records can
show that the petitioner in view of the vote we took
regarding the additional units have withdrawn the request for a variance for parking.
(7:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Janet Green

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on now to 17-19

Forest Street, the facts are mostly, if not all, the same. Is there a need to go -- I ask my fellow Board members and the petitioner -- is there a need to go through the whole drill, or can we just basically accept what we did for the 16-18 Forest Street? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], I would incorporate your previous motion --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would incorporate your previous motion by reference for 17-19 Forest Street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I would -- are you calling for a vote for 17 and 18, sorry?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not yet. Well, I -- I
guess I am calling for a vote to see if we could just deny the relief for 16 , for $17-19$ Forest, with regard to the

```
additional units -- that portion of the 15, without having
to go through the whole drill over --
```

    JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
    CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- again. So --
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
    CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So you're in favor of
    that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, absolutely.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm still a little confused too.
Are we just talking about the parking?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, we never got to --
no.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Parking for 16-18 Forest
Street, the request for a variance for parking has been
withdrawn by the petitioner --
ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- in light of the
decision not to allow the additional units in that building.
ANDREA HICKEY: That's what I thought. So now
what is before us?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now before us is -- again
-- the same case we had before: Additional units of 17-19
Forest Street, and if they are approved, or whether they will also grant a variance for parking?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I understand now. So yes, I'm in favor of adopting the vote on the previous address. Is that a valid explanation?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's fine --

JANET GREEN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- by me.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you're happy with it, I'm happy.

ANDREA HICKEY: I am.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think it's -- you made your point. Janet?

JANET GREEN: Yes, I agree with what Ms. Hickey just said. I agree with that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And I vote in favor as well. I don't think -- I think we'll be just beating a dead horse.
[All vote YES]

So I -- the Chair moves that we deny the variance
$\qquad$
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] the only thing I would caution is that they actually are two distinct proposals. 16-18 is for the accessible units. 17-19 they're proposing 18 new units. So it is almost different than the 16 and 18 because they're not asking for accessible units.

My reasoning for voting against the granting of the variance in 16-18 is because I felt that they did not prove in their application that they did not have an alternative method of providing accessible units in order to meet the barrier Board requirements.

This is a little bit different, because they're not proposing any accessible units. The way I read this is that they are just requesting eight new standard units and two renovated standard dwelling units in the lower part of this building. It's almost like a separate case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- because they're separate.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is a separate case.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, but there is to me
separate circumstances also.
So I think a demotion -- my reason for denying the 16-18 is different than my potential vote for 17-19. So I think that it would require a separate motion, unfortunately to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh no, we have to get to a separate motion.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Motion, right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The question is do you want to open the whole case of 17-19?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no, no, no. I think they're -- it's part of the mix, it's part of the stew. But I think it really requires a separate motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will make that motion.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because my reasons are different from one to the other.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think I've made -- I thought I made the motion -- separate motion, if I wasn't clear.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I understood that you were going to incorporate, but maybe I was reading it wrong.

The motion that you make for 16-18 Forest Street to the same motion that you were making in 17-19 Forest Street. But it's going to require a separate vote, anyhow. So anyway --

So what's on the floor is the wording of you original motion for 16-18 to apply for your motion for 1719? Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I would -- when we get to, I'll make the motion -- the motion for $17-19$ would be even though the facts are not identical, the reasons that we turned down 16-18 apply to the 17-19. That doesn't do it for you? And if it doesn't, fine.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, there's different reasons to me on 17-19 then there were on 16-18.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, how --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But in my vote I can highlight that. So anyhow --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In my vote, I will highlight my reasons for this.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I think we're taking the vote now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. So you want me to
vote? Okay. [Brendan Sullivan] I would --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Before you vote, Mr. Chair -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I ask one question --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- before we vote, and it's
really for Mr. Zozula? Because when you separate the -- the question is, you separate the two lots, I'm assuming the way that they get into the issue of having to provide the number of accessible units -- and they described before why they've chosen to put them where they are is because of when they renovate -- either the money that they've spent on the building forces them to do the trips threshold and requires them to provide the accessible units, and/or when they add units, that number also requires them to provide accessible units.

So I guess I'm asking Mr. Zozula, do I understand this correctly, that if $17-19$ were to pass, we're basically giving you the right to do -- if in fact that happens -- we would basically have granted the zoning relief to provide these new units, but in reality without 16 and 18, and having those accessible units, you couldn't legally do it?

Is that correct?

NICK ZOZULA: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It feels like a Catch-22.

NICK ZOZULA: Yes, yeah. It's the chicken and the egg, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
NICK ZOZULA: I would agree with you. Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you. That's all I needed. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for the very good question, Jim. And Mr. Zozula, can I ask you why don't you just withdraw 17-19? And there's no need for us to take a vote?

NICK ZOZULA: No, we'd like you to take a vote, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right, fine.
So --
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep.
ANDREA HICKEY: Respectfully, I'm not sure we can take a vote without sort of the accessible units were part
and parcel of a sort of two-building development.
Respectfully, as Mr. Monteverde said, I'm not sure how we can hear this now on 17-19 without there being any accessible units in that one building? I don't think we can split this in half and actually hear it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I think -- I may be wrong. I think we can still vote if that's the wish of the Board -- vote to deny the variance for 17-19, and then when we recite our reasons for doing that, we do say -- in addition to what we had before, in view of the fact that 1618 is not going to go forward and that the relief has been denied, I should say, that there's another reason why we would deny relief for 17-19.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. That's the only scenario -- this is Jim Monteverde -- that seems logical, reasonable, consistent? If in fact $17-19$ we assume that the vote will turn it down.

My question was more if you were to accept it, I think it's you just, you tie it up in all sorts of questions, quandaries. So I think I get it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan. I think what was in the back of my head is that they went to the

Barriers Board, and this was a complete package of two buildings.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And there was a "moving accordingly" incorporating those two buildings in one proposal before the Barriers Board. They came back to us, and then they gave us two separate proposals --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- when in fact it probably should have been 16-18, 17-19 Forest Street, even though there were two separate buildings.

And I can understand that they would probably want to leave the document to follow each building, but it was part and parcel of one application, and now it's a separate -- it was sort of divided in order to come to us.

But they are -- obviously both of them are tied together.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: They did -- okay, they did not tie them together. They're separate petitions, and I think the reason that applies to 16-18 in my opinion apply to 17-19 plus.

The other factor is without the accessible units in 16-18, 17-19 becomes basically not feasible.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, they could, if they stretch it out over many years.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anyhow, that's what I was thinking. So anyhow, the proposal was on the -- to what, to grant the variance?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Whether we should grant the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. I would vote to not grant the variance. I would not support the granting of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And [Jim Monteverde], I would vote no.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, no? Vote no?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would vote no.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: I would vote no as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Janet?

JANET GREEN: I vote no as well.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes no as well.
[All vote NO]
That makes it unanimous. And now we need a second vote. So the variance has been denied for 17-19. And the second motion would be why we've denied it.

And I would suggest that the reasons we cited and approved those of us who voted, to turn down the variance of 16-18 apply equally as to 17-19 and be basically in a lay sense incorporated by reference.

But in addition, given the fact that this is really, really one project, the fact that $16-18$ is not going forward, at least as of tonight, means that there's no way we could approve 17-19. Because it's just different factors.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], I would agree with that language.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [And Jim Monteverde, I would agree with the language as well.]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I have nothing to add. I
don't see how we could approve it, so.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Janet?
JANET GREEN: I agree with the language as well.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes the same as my other four members.
[All vote NO to the variance]
This variance has been denied as well.
I think at this point this case -- cases pleural
are over. Thank you very much, petitioner. It's been a long, hard slog and here we are.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Special permit withdrawn?
NICK ZOZULA: Thank you for your time, Mr. Chair. We appreciate it. Thank you very much, all.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Special permit?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think we need to. Because without the additional units --

JIM MONTEVERDE: We're done?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- there's no need for more parking. And matter of fact the petitioners' Council withdrew the special permit at 16-18. I guess we can take one more vote to just -- well --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Just for the record.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Counsel, will you
withdraw the petition for zoning relief on parking for 1719?

NICK ZOZULA: Yes. We can end this mercifully, please.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, sir.
NICK ZOZULA: Thank you all.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
NICK ZOZULA: We appreciate your time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case is over.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, do we have to vote to accept the withdrawal of the parking?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I don't think we have to vote to -- I don't -- I'm not aware of that. I mean, it's the petitioner's decision.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think they're
forced to proceed once they've filed an application -- you know, a petition for relief. I think they can change their mind at any time.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
(7:46 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. Now back to our regular agenda. And the Chair will now call Case Number

```
--
```

    JANET GREEN: Gus --
            CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
            JANET GREEN: Gus, I'm off now.
            CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, you're leaving and
    --
JANET GREEN: Yeah, this is Janet Green and I'm
leaving, and I think it's --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura's joining,
right?
JANET GREEN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura, are you on?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead. Thank you,
Janet for participating.

LAURA WERNICK: I'm on, I'm here.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, good. Okay. The
Chair will now call Case Number 109647 -- 12 Hawthorn Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? [Pause] Do we have anybody? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Petitioner? SISIA DAGLIAN: No. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thanks. NATHAN WONG: Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep. Tom Cottern (phonetic)? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think that's Mr. Wong. NATHAN WONG: Can you hear me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I can. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, yeah Nathan. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I see a face. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, Nathan's there. Okay. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep. All right --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Petitioner, the floor is yours.

NATHAN WONG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I am Nathan Wong, representing Hardington, the owner for the attached single-family residence at 12 Hawthorn. Just for the record, our business address -- my business address is NCP Management, in Newton Center, P.O. Box 590179.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. It wasn't necessary, but --

NATHAN WONG: okay. Just wanted to be clear. I'm exhausted. Just a little bit of background, Mr. Chair, before I turn this over to our Design Team to walk through this.

Hardington has owned this residence since the summer of 2014. We updated kitchen and baths when it was first occupied by the owner, and since then it has been used by immediate members of the family.

We are in front of you and the Board today as we're looking to make some modest modifications to that house to make it even more suited for the immediate family, who are a young couple with school-age children. They don't
currently reside in Cambridge, although they have extensive family and ties to the area, and are looking to bring their children here to establish roots.

As for our Design Team, I wanted to introduce the Board to Stephen Hiserodt with Boyes-Watson. They were involved on this house when we first acquired it many years ago, and so we thought it just natural to bring them back on board. So without further ado, let me turn this over to Steve to walk you through the details.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Good evening, everyone. I'll try and make this relatively brief. We have what appears to be a long list of relief requested. They are, in our opinion, fairly minor in degree.

We can start with -- Sisia, if you could switch over to page A102...

The first addition requiring relief is if you see in the top plan on the right-hand corner there is a 50-someodd square feet addition on the first floor only, which sort of fills in a corner on the existing house. It will further increase the rear yard setback, which is currently nonconforming by 1.2 feet.

So that line doesn't get any worse, but it will be
continuing further to the north of the property to align with the side yard setback line. A very small addition just on the first floor.

The -- oh, I'll add that it's also a GFA or FAR further increasing already nonconforming FAR. We'll stay on this plan. We're adding an areaway that allows egress from the basement space. It's a relatively large areaway.

The areaway itself will not require relief, but because the guardrail that keeps people from falling into the areaway is considered a structure in the setback, the guardrail itself will require relief. But it will be a relatively transparent three-foot-high guardrail that will not be seen by the abutting properties in any way.

That -- moving onto the next bit of relief required is the mean grade -- because of the areaway in the rear and the window well on the side yard -- the mean grade reduces, thereby actually increasing the calculations for building height.

So we're slightly increasing the building height based on this mean grade reduction. There's no change to the roof structure itself; the building is not being increased in height. So it's sort of an incidental
requirement.
The next bit of relief -- oh, back to the rear yard, there are a few openings on that rear façade that require special permits just because they are currently in a nonconforming portion of the building. So we're making adjustments to some window openings there. The -- they're all on the first floor, and have relatively little visibility from any adjacent properties.

The final bit of relief we're asking for is a small dormer on the third level. It's the only bit of the work we're doing that actually can be seen from the street.

Sisia, if you can move to A104?
And you can see on the upper drawings the lefthand side there is a small, hatched area for a new dormer on that third floor area. It will slightly increase --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Mr. Hiserodt, can I interrupt you for a second?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This new dormer?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What is it's length? And it's on the same side -- two questions, really -- and it's
on the same side of the structure as an existing dormer? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What's the total length of
the two dormers? And you know we're experienced with the -I'm getting at the dormer guidelines. Because you can't have more than 15 in length -- 15 feet of dormers on any side of the structure.

STEPHEN HISERODT: My understanding was this is actually -- with regard to the setbacks, this is compliant. It adds GFA, but the dormer itself is not -- does not need any other relief, other than GFA.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I understand that in all respects your new dormer does not comply with the dormer guidelines. But we put different emphasis -- more emphasis on the size, or the length I should say, of the dormers? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- than some of the other requirements. And that's what I'm trying to get at. How -what is the length of the dormers? Is it compliant? STEPHEN HISERODT: 8 feet 4 inches plus 5 feet. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good, so you're under -STEPHEN HISERODT: 13-foot, 4 inches.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I'm sorry to interrupt you.

STEPHEN HISERODT: That actually is the end of the list of relief requested. I mean, it seems like a long list, but they're all actually very modest additions to the existing house -- largely due to the fact that it's already nonconforming, and just about any adjustments would require some bit of relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the reason this case is coming before -- not the reason, but it -- for a special permit rather than a variance -- is to take advantage of the Bellalta case --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the recent case in the Massachusetts courts, which has been now in the process of being made part -- the holding of that case made part of our zoning ordinance -- zoning relief?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that right?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, that is true.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. Under the Mass -- the Cambridge version of the response to

Bellalta, we grant the special permit in addition to the usual requirements of special permits, we have to find the work you're proposing to do in seeking our approval is not more detrimental than the existing structure of the neighbor?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Speak a little bit to that, if you would, please.

STEPHEN HISERODT: The -- well, I don't feel that any of the adjustments are detrimental in any way to any of the abutters. But the guardrail -- for instance, the guardrail is going to have no impact on any of the abutting properties. It will not be seen or experienced or create any sort of detriment to their existence.

The addition in the back corner is relatively modest in nature. It is not visible from the street; only slightly visible from the adjacent property.

The dormer itself -- in reviewing its location, any thought of privacy of the adjacent house -- there is very little visibility between the two, thanks to a very large or one significant-sized tree, which almost sits exactly opposite this dormer. So there's very little
privacy issue -- a very small window.
I -- there really is -- I mean, we've worked at
keeping most of the adjustments back to the more private rear yard, and we don't believe it'll have any negative impacts on abutting properties at all.

Nathan, do you have anything to add to that?
NATHAN WONG: Nope. I think that the only thing is that of course we had taken on board the same considerations and we've been in close discussions with our neighbors, to walk them through these same plans as well, to talk about these additions that we're proposing in front of the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Is that the end of the presentation? Can I open the matter up to questions from members of the Board, if any?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], I have no questions at this time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And [Jim Monteverde] I have no questions.

ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey], I have no questions.

LAURA WERNICK: [Laura Wernick] I have no
questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I have asked my
questions. So I'm -- none as well. I'll open the matter up to public testimony, if $I$ can find the instructions here. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll wait a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see any, no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently no one wishes to make any comments from the audience. The Chair would report that there is a letter in our files in strong support of the relief being sought. I won't propose to read it, but I take my word for it. It's a good letter, and it's a strong letter.

So with that, $I$ will close public testimony. Discussion, or ready for a vote? Ready for discussion? Ready for a vote, I'm sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll get it right one of these times. Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless -- with regard to the work that's proposed to be done -- without the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

In fact, as Mr. Hiserodt has said, it's a bundle of small changes -- not insignificant in terms of the improvement of the structure, but small changes to the structure, and the neighbor or the -- I guess it's the neighbor who wrote in support and supports the notion that no congestion, hazard or substantial change in established neighborhood character would result.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed. And again, if
the nature of the changes are just an improvement to the structure without any neighborhood impact -- any adverse neighborhood impact.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

And there's one more finding we have to make in terms of the Bellalta case. The Chair would move further that what is being proposed, the modifications, are not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes for granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes in favor of
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick, yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chairman votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
You know, I don't know if $I$ made the motion -wait a minute, I've got to make the proposal with the plans. Let me just go back.

The special permit is being granted on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Boyes-Watson Architects. They're dated -there's no date on that. What $I$ have in front of me --

NATHAN WONG: The date is on the left-hand margin.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm looking at the righthand margins, where it should be. But anyway, it's -- I don't need to have the date. It's prepared by Boyes-Watson Architects, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair. That should be added to the motion.

Special permit granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
(8:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 112029 -- 13-15 Hazel Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Petitioner?

SISIA DAGLIAN: He's there.
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
SHAUN MORRIS: Hi.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hi.
SHAUN MORRIS: Sorry. My screen cut out there for a little while.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No problem, no problem.
SHAUN MORRIS: Hi. I am an Architect. My name is Shaun Morris and I work for Payette, and that's my day job. And today I'm here before you representing my friends and from -- our children attend the same public school in

Cambridge, Mirko and Natasha Ristivojevic. They have a proposal to modify an existing, nonconforming wall on a house that they bought in January this year. They've been living in Cambridge since 2008, and they are a family of five. Their mother lives with them and their two children.

This is a nonconforming wall because it -- when you add up the side setback, this is the side elevation and when you add up the side setback of the two sides, they are -- they do not -- they do not exceed the 20 -foot minimum.

So they -- it is eight foot -- I believe eight and a half feet on this side. And this is then therefore -because they're doing work on the other side already, under a separate permit, this work here to this elevation requires a special permit.

What's being proposed is modification to existing windows, which are -- by the way they are single pane windows. They are, you know, over 50 years old. And, you know, the idea is to also remove a door and some steps. So in some ways, the setback conditions is somewhat improved by the removal of the stair and porch on this side.

The other door will remain, and you can see the red dashed lines here on this diagram of the proposed
changes on the left-hand side shows the windows to be removed or modified. The green is a new window. That's at a stair on the inside is sort of a stairway there, on that side of the plan.

And so really just the improvement of the windows, modification to the size of the windows on the right-hand side, and the removal of a door and a stair and porch.

So that's really it. There's some -- you know, images of that side setback on the next page, where you can see the current condition on the left, and the proposed condition on the right.

They're adding two and a half inches of insulation to the wall, and then a clapboard siding. And the current siding is asbestos, and that's under -- from my understanding, it's under -- part of the separate permit for the whole house, renovations to the house.

So this is a presentation. This is our application. And thank you for hearing it, and thank you for reviewing it. There is also a later incorporated into this from the abutting neighbor, the neighbor that's directly across from this elevation, and I believe they have a separate letter from neighbors across the street as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I only saw one later -not that it's significant -- I only saw one later in the files.

SHAUN MORRIS: Yeah, and then I think there's a -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, wait a minute. I'm sorry. There's a second one. I missed it. You're right. There are two letters, both in support.

SHAUN MORRIS: I don't believe that that was incorporated originally, but that was -- I think came a little bit after this was stapled.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Questions?
Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions
as well. So now I'll open the matter up to public
testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak
should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment or two to see if anyone wishes to call in.

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently no one wishes to speak. And as the petitioner's representative pointed out, there are two letters in their files, one of which is from the person most affected by what is being proposed, and they are both supportive of the relief that's being sought.

So the Chair will make a motion. The Chair moves that -- let me get my files together -- that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met with the relief that was being proposed, without OB/GYN the special permit that's been applied for.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the work that is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in
established neighborhood character.
In this regard, I think the facts speak for
themselves, or the plans speak for themselves. It's not a matter that's going to cause hazard, congestion or substantial change in established neighborhood character. The relief is actually very modest in nature.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed. In this regard, the Chair would cite the letter of support from the neighbor most affected by what is -- by the relief being sought.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with
plans, the first page of which is entitled, "special permit application drawings and illustrations" and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chairs. Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well, making it unanimous.
[All vote YES]
Special permit granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Thank you ladies and gentlemen of the Board.
(8:12 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 112132 -- 9 Poplar Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Dan Anderson, Partner at Anderson Design. I believe it's Number 8 Poplar Road, just to make sure we're on the right case?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And as you know, we've determined that we needed to get a variance, not just a special permit and that's why we're going to have to continue the case?

DAN ANDERSON: I understand. And so the request was if you wouldn't mind, that there's I believe some aspect to this that would be grantable under special permit and others that would be a variance.

And I'm just curious if it's possible to hear those portions on special permit, and we need to readvertise for the variance. This project ran afoul of $I$ think the
interpretation that it fell under Bellalta versus Brookline, but in fact is not.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're correct.

DAN ANDERSON: My understanding is that we'd be seeking a special permit for the creation of two window wells and changes to modifications of windows and side yard setbacks, but would need a variance for guardrails on said window wells and a variance for a new areaway stairway accessing a mechanical room.

So the question would be we really don't want to fall afoul of a repetitive petition, but happy to have your ruling on whether you could hear the special permit aspects of this case this evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a fair question. We generally do not like to bifurcate cases. It's going to be more than one form -- as you've seen tonight in our agenda -- one form of relief. We want to do them all at the same time.

So -- and I don't see any reason, I think we can hear this case, maybe take a month or so because of our crowded agenda, but I'd rather hear all -- have the case readvertised with both forms of relief in the advertisement,
obviously, and hear the case at that point.
We mentioned before, when would we continue the
case to, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, we could do May 27.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SISIA DAGLIAN: May 27?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: May twenty-third?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Twenty-seventh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Twenty-seventh.
SISIA DAGLIAN: We are -- we already have three cases on that date, but yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. So I think what we're going to do is we're going to continue this case until May 27. So I'm going to make a motion to that effect. The Chair moves that we continue this case until 8:00 p.m. on April 23. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
This case is continued until 6:00 p.m. on May 27, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. That's a standard form that -- simple form that we use. That must be signed, and they have to get the form itself from Maria Pacheco at the Building Department. If that form is not signed and returned to Maria by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday, this case will be dismissed.

Second, that a new posting sign or a modification -- I think you'll need a new posting sign, given the fact that it's going to be a variance as well -- the posting signs must be obtained from the Building Department and maintained for the 14 days prior to May 27, just as you've done with regard to tonight's hearing.

And lastly, any changes, modifications, additions to the plans, drawing specs or the like, if they ought to be proposed, they must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before May 27.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance. Just
one question.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sisia, this case is going to be
heard on May 27. The new case, the variance, is going to be
heard on the same night?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I think so, right. Yes, it is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So they're going to
apply for the variance, incorporate the special permit into this new petition, and it'll be heard on the same night?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. Yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I just want to make clear this will be a case not heard, because I know I'm not going to be here on the --

```
SISIA DAGLIAN: Right.
    LAURA WERNICK: -- twenty-seventh, is that
```

    correct?
    BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
    LAURA WERNICK: Okay, yes. Yes to the
    continuance.
                            CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
    well.
[All vote YES]
So we'll see you on the twenty-seventh.
DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Chair, Board, thank you very
much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to take a brief
recess while I get my files in order. Don't go away.
(8:19 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, the Chair will now call Case Number 110945 -- 330 Mount Auburn Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Hello?
SISIA DAGLIAN: She's there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. SISIA DAGLIAN: Kristina? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? JIM MONTEVERDE: She has her hand raised. SISIA DAGLIAN: She has her hand raised, yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Step one. SISIA DAGLIAN: She's probably -- she might be having technical issues. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, it says she's connected to audio.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Kristina, can you dial in or log out log back in?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Going once.
[Pause]
Going twice.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Should we come back to this one
later?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can come back, yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She has another case to be
heard, anyhow.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've got two other cases.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She's on the last case.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, she's on the last case.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Hi. This is Kristina.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Hi.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Can you hear me now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Perfect. Hi, sorry about that.
Sorry about that. Yes. So this is Kristina Cottone from Smartlink on behalf of AT\&T for 330 Mount Auburn Street, the telecommunications modifications that we have planned.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
KRISTINA COTTONE: So I just want to go over a brief overview of the project. So I submitted some plans, and just like I said an overview, we will be -- yep, thank you -- we will be replacing three of the existing antennas that are currently installed, and we will also be removing some ancillary equipment, also called RRUs. We'll be removing nine of those and installing six.

So there's going to be a net loss of three of the ancillary equipment, RRUs, but for antenna count, we will be staying at 12. So there's 12 currently listed on the project. We're going to be swapping out three for a total net of 12 antennas.

So if you want to look at the photo sims on page 9, those will show you just as a quick overview of what the new project versus the existing project will look like. So you see the existing view down at the bottom? So we are replacing the far left antenna.

So this is our alpha sector, as we call it. We're going to be removing that far left antenna and replacing it with a smaller, wider antenna. And this whole project is tied to 5G for our upgrade, so that technology and frequency
with that antenna will boost the frequency, the call reception, the -- you know, fastest technology on all parts of where AT\&T is installed.

So on this page, like I said, this can -- you know, it does appear to be a little bit smaller, yet wider. However, it's still in plane with the other antennas. If you want to jump to page 10, the next page, these are our other two sectors. We call them "beta" and "gamma."

Again, the existing view is down below in the lower right corner. Again, here the far left antenna on this -- you know, front-facing sector, that's the one we're going to be replacing. And same on the beta sector, which is on that side in the sun. This page can't really see it.

There's another page we can get to that will show that replacement antenna. But again, a minor replacement. You know, it is shorter, yet a little bit wider on all three sectors.

And if you want to jump to page 12, that will show -- yep, that will show the beta sector that -- the difference. So again, the existing view is down below in the corner. In the sum, you can see that we are replacing that position for or the far left antenna with a, you know,
smaller yet wider antenna.
I do just want to point out that the floodlight with the one stand-alone antenna, that is not belonging to AT\&T; that is a $T$-Mobile antenna, so that does not pertain to this project. So I just wanted to point that out.

So also with this upgrade that we will -- for at least these two sectors on this brick and the other sectors -- excuse me -- we will also be painting to match. So we do intend to kind of camouflage the new antenna, along with the other antennas.

The color will relatively be the same. It is up to the landlord, Mount Auburn Hospital, to choose the paint color that they wish. I can imagine it will follow suit with the -- you know, the rest of the colors that they have picked out.

But I just wanted to -- you know, let you know that this will be camouflaged as best as possible. The mounting that will be done here for all sectors, for all 12 antennas: The brackets will be as close to the brick and the other wall for alpha sector as possible.

And if you want to go to a couple pages down on the -- let's see, so if you want to go to 14 first, so
currently if you look smack dab in the middle, there's a blue dot and a red dot right in the middle of this picture. So that is Mount Auburn Hospital. So that currently is a snapshot of current 5G for the area. So yep, so we're right in the middle. As you can see, there's really nothing. Really no 5G for the area.

So if you want to go to page 15, you'll see in green how well that this coverage will help. You know, the 5G, it's faster, it's better technology, and you can see visually right here how -- and how many people benefit from this; not only the hospital that it is attached to, but everywhere else in this radius that it will help with this 5G technology.

I do want to reiterate that we did do an EME report, which will measure the $R F$ readings of the antennas, and $I$ just wanted to let everyone know that no one on ground level will be affected by this upgrade; only people that are up on the roof, whether it be maintenance or any AT\&T or other carrier personnel -- should be and, you know, only can be up on the roof. And when they are up on the roof, they can power the antennas down so they are safe up there.

So I just want to throw that out there, that this
project will be safe. It is compliant with all FCC and FAA Regulations. We have discussed this at length with the landlord as to what their wishes are for this project and design, and this is what we came up with.

Again, we are staying at 12 antennas, replacing three and actually removing some equipment in our shelter. And I hope the photo simulations show just how very similar it does look to what is currently installed.

And I hope the photo simulations show just how very similar it does look to what is currently installed. And again, this will be -- all the -- any kind of new equipment that will be façade mounted will be painted to match upon landlords' request of the paint.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I take it by that you're responding to the recommendations from the Planning Board? It sounds like you would agree to make the changes or design appearance changes that were suggested by the Planning Board, is that right?

KRISTINA COTTONE: Correct, yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Questions from
members of the Board?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, no questions.
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea, no questions.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura, no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no
questions at this point. I think it's time for a motion. That motion is for telecommunications equipment to go on for a while. So they have to make a multitude of findings.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do you want public comment? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hm?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Public comment?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, yeah. I can't -- oh, I'm sorry. Brendan reminded me we have to open the matter up to public comment. So, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll wait a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak? SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll then close public comment. We have no letters of the like in the file,
other than the memo from the Planning Boards that I just referred to.

So the Chair will make a motion. And it goes on for a while. The Chair -- as the Board members know, but this is what we do for telecom. First of all, the Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit that's been applied for.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

That as the petitioner's representative pointed out, the relief being sought is rather modest in nature and has really no impact on the area.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed. That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And that generally, what is being proposed will
not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

Further, the Board also finds that the modification of it's existing telecommunications facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimension of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility within the meaning of Section 6409(a) the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

And then we have to make one more finding before we get to the qualifications. And that is this property is located in a residentially zoned district.

Our ordinance requires that this Board make a finding that non-residential uses predominate in the vicinity of the proposed facility's location, and that the telecommunication facility is not inconsistent with the character that does prevail in the surrounding neighborhood.

In this regard, the neighborhood includes a lot of land and structures relating to the hospital itself, which obviously is nonresidential. So I would suggest that we can make this finding as required by our ordinance to grant the
relief being sought.
Continuing, based on the findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the findings I just identified the special permit it is seeking subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair, and with the recommendations made by the Planning Board dated April 20, 2021, identified by the Chair, and also, acknowledged by the petitioner -- the petitioner's representative.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work so that its physical appearance and visual impact would remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Next, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such
equipment and restore the building in which it is located to its prior location and appearance to the extent reasonably practical.

And last, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with, in all respects, the conditions imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

Continuing, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves are a matter of ongoing societal concern in scientific studies, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the fed authorities regarding the electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioners' equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the fed authorities. Failure to timely file any such report with
the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time the federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulation, whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise, the petitioner within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso factor terminate if the petitioner's federal license or licenses are suspended, revoked or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit is terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraph, a), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit,
provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of special permit.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period, during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

And lastly, that within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment, subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Service Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of the equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge, stating that a) he or she has such responsibility, and b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures will be sufficiently protected from excavate radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special
permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to grant the special permit. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
Special permit is granted. Thank you.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Thank you all.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
(8:37 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 110664 -- 545 Concord Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]

Anyone there?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Mr. Chairman?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, sir.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Let me put on my video as well, I guess. Let's see if $I$ can do this.

Yes, Good evening Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. My name is Daniel Klasnick. I'm the attorney representing Verizon Wireless in regard to its proposal to modify the existing wireless facility installed at 545 Concord Avenue.

Just by way of a little background, the installation was originally approved by special permit in

1997 and we feel by proposing to modify an existing facility what Verizon Wireless is doing and adopting a strategy of one way to address the service requirements for this qualified 6409 eligible facility modification.

We submitted your application forms, a detailed project narrative, a set of stamped plans, photo simulations, $F C C$ licenses prior to the decision, and we included in the narrative a description of compliance with both the ordinance standards as well as Section 6409.

If I may, if want to move to Plan Sheet A1 initially, please?

Thank you. This rooftop view of the facility shows the positioning of the antennas located on three sides of the building to provide the necessary coverage. Although it's difficult to read on this particular view, we're going to be retaining one antenna per array. We will be removing the other nine and in their place installing 12 antennas.

So at the end there will be a total of 15 antennas tucked behind the existing parapet. There are currently nine remote radio heads. We will be removing nine and installing six.

If it would be possible to move to the elevation
view in the next slide, please? This shows -- once again, from an elevation view -- all the different perspectives of the three separate arrays.

So as I had indicated, the existing facility includes the 12 antennas installed on the height mounts. We'll be reutilizing those existing pipe mouths, keeping the antennas as they currently are below or flush with the existing parapet.

As I noted, one antenna per array will remain. The two antennas will be installed next to that, and then there will be two antennas stacked one on top of the other.

If we can could please move to the faux simulations, please?

We included four separate photo simulations, if it's possible to move to the photo location map just one slide down, please?

And I'm sorry, the photo simulations are just a little further down, please? Yeah, we've just got to get to the -- I think it's number 8.

Yes, this provides the photo location map that we utilized depicting the different locations that we took the photographs from.

And then if we move to the next slide, please?
This shows existing conditions for the particular array.

And then the next slide, please?
And we see once again the replacement of the antennas. We will continue to maintain the coloring of the existing antennas, so they will continue to the extent possible blend in with the existing structure.

And then the next slide, please?
This is just the -- another perspective of the other array. Once again, this is the actual view.

And then the next one, please?
And then once again we call out the replacements of the antennas -- once again maintaining the camouflage coloring that has been existing since the facility was installed.

And then I believe there's one more perspective.
Once again, showing the existing conditions and then the proposed condition. Once again, calling out the locations of the antennas to be retained. The four antennas to be installed, noting the stacked antenna utilizing the existing pipeline. Once again, maintaining the same height
flush or below the parapet height.
So just to provide the Board, the modification will operate really as an integral part of Verizon Wireless's network. It's designed really to improve reliability of voice and data service of Cambridge residents.

We think the use of an existing facility is just highly advantageous to both the community and Verizon Wireless, so it allows us to enhance service by utilizing an existing structure that has been utilized for that purpose for a good period of time.

As I've indicated, we respectfully submit that this modification does satisfy the standard Section 6409, as outlined in our narrative. And we would just respectfully request approval for the proposed modification, and then the ability to proceed forward to file for the necessary building permit.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sir, the only communication we've received from the community is a memorandum from the Planning Board proposing three design
improvements. Is there any reason why you cannot -- why we can't require you to do those? Do you have any objection?

DANIEL KLASNICK: No. As I noted, the existing
facility -- I believe one of those conditions was that is the should be no higher than the height of the parapet, and that is the current design.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no. Yes, you're
right. I'm sorry, understood. Okay. So that's -- we can incorporate these suggestions in our decision.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that correct?
DANIEL KLASNICK: Exactly.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Questions from
members of the Board?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions from Brendan.
Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no
questions, other than the one I just posed. So I will now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So I'll close public testimony. I think we're ready for a vote. Not hearing any objections, we'll --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we grant the special permit being sought, subject to the following conditions: No, let me try again. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit being sought: That a -- let me get to the right page --

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be
met without the special permit that's been applied for.
That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. The relief is modest in nature and has no impact on the surrounding community.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. In this regard, we have seen no communications from neighboring property owners complaining that this might have the effect.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

Two other findings that we need to make: One is because this property is located in a residentially zoned district, we must make these findings that the nonresidential uses predominate in the vicinity of the
proposed facility's location, and that the telecommunication facility is not inconsistent with the character that does prevail in the surrounding neighborhood.

And again, $I$ think the facts speak for themselves, where the property is located near a shopping center and other commercial uses. And so residential uses -nonresidential uses do predominate in the area.

And lastly that we also find that the modifications of its existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility, within the meaning of Section $6409(a)$ of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work
will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

And lastly, that the petitioner is in compliance with, and will continue to be in compliance with, in all respects, the conditions imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

Continuing, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the

Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time the federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law, or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked or terminated.
c) That in the event that a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs, the petitioner may apply through this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit as described above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

And lastly, that within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner of the geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

Special permit granted.
DANIEL KLASNICK: Thank you very much, Mr.
Chairman.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
DANIEL KLASNICK: Have a great evening.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Trying. We have one more case.
(8:53 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 112556 -- 102 Sherman Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

KRISTINA COTTONE: Hi, this is Kristina Cottone again from Smartlink on behalf of AT\&T.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Since we --
KRISTINA COTTONE: So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? Since we --
KRISTINA COTTONE: Hi.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you just appeared before us, before you begin, I may want to short circuit our decision to incorporate the stuff that we put in your other case, since you've heard it before, and I don't believe have any objections. With that preamble, the floor is yours.

KRISTINA COTTONE: Okay, perfect. Yes. This is very similar to the other one we talked about. So just to quickly recap, this site at Sherman Street also does have 12
panel antennas currently installed. We would be swapping out three of them -- one on each sector, alpha, beta, gamma -- for a total of 12.

So antenna count is staying at 12. We will be removing 12 ancillary equipment called, "RRUs" and installing six. So we are reducing our count of RRUs for the project at this site.

So very similar to the other one, like I said, this is tied to 5G technology. I did submit some photo simulations as well to show what the proposed projects will look like, and $I$ did see some comments from the Planning Board that I can now address. Yes, like the other one, we will use the smallest brackets possible.

I did see that there is a comment about the standoff mounts. So for each of our sectors, we do unfortunately have to use a standoff mount. That will be to make all the antennas at each sector on the same plane.

So currently what's installed, the antennas are not performing at their best capacity -- you know, at optimal efficiency currently, because the antennas are not in the same plane.

So the standoff mount will -- yes, it will push
out an antenna; however, it will get all the AT\&T antennas on the same plane at each sector, and it will provide the best, most optimal, most efficient technology and frequencies, especially with the 5G. It will be a really, really good signal and strength.

So the standoff mount, that's our only design we can do. Yep, so thank you.

So if you take a look at this slide, it will be the furthest one on your left. It does look like it is standing off, but like $I$ just said, it is for AT\&T's antennas to all be on the same plane for optimal frequency and technology it should be used, which is 5 to 5G. So I just wanted to make that clear, that it is required for this design.

I did see another comment that the -- it appears that all the antennas are at different tip heights. I just want to make it clear that actually the antenna to the farthest left on this view and the one to the right of it -so those two antennas -- are actually the same size.

So it does appear to be much larger, and it does appear to go above the height of that building wall. However, those two antennas that are currently next to each
other are the same height. It's just an illusion that it appears bigger. I can assure you that the specs of those antennas are very, very the same.

So I also want to address that -- not only on that sector but the other sectors, it does appear that they are above that roofline. However, it's just the -- they're not. It's just the view of what this photo simulations is at. So I also wanted to make that clear as well.

And just like the other project we had discussed, these antennas will also be painted or camouflaged to match what's currently up there. Again, that's with landlord's discretion of what material that he would or she would like us to use for the antenna. I'm sure it will be camouflaged relatively the same as what is currently installed.

So if we want to continue to go through the photo simulations, so this one is our gamma sector, this one is labeled our alpha sector. Again, that antenna is pushed off on that standout mount.

And again, that is to get all the antennas on the same plane, and it's not above that -- the top of that penthouse are our AT\&T shelter, if you will. It's just an illusion that it appears to be smaller.

So that's our alpha sector, and if you want to go further -- yep, so that's our beta sector. So yeah, so that one -- yep, yep. So that one will show the standoff amount over to the right of the photo simulation, and then the new antenna is all the way on the left.

Again, just to reiterate, these do not go above that roofline. This does -- the project does have to go through FAA and FCC regulations. And we don't want to be the tallest part of the building. So therefore, they're not above that roof line.

So all those boxes are checked, you know, with compliant standards. So I just wanted to reiterate that fact as well, and even the comments about -- from the Planning Board so it's not very visible regardless. So I just wanted to bring that up.

But as an overview, again: There was an RF exposure report that was done with minimal findings. Again, it's only -- the most exposure is if you're directly in front of those antennas, which only should be AT\&T personnel or their maintenance. And even at that point, those antennas are shut down.

So anyone in the building nearby, they're not at
risk. It's only, you know, when you're at antenna level. At ground level, you're not affected there's no health risk. I understand that this is a 5G upgrade.

What this report does show, which is in this submission, if you want to go back and look at it, it does show and say that there's no one on ground level or in this building that is at risk. So these antennas can be powered down if someone wants to access the roofs, and can be powered back up when they are done.

So just to reiterate, recap the whole project, the only visual thing -- slightly visual thing that would be different are these standoff mounts. That's just for AT\&T to get our optimal signals and frequencies out there. It's for everyone in the vicinity in the radius of Sherman Street to receive the better call signal and strength and Internet; everything under the sun. So it benefits everyone in the area.

We're staying at 12 antennas, which we currently have installed, and these will be painted to match. And like I said, the RF report does show that no one at ground level or in the building should be at risk.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Kristina, this is Brendan

Sullivan. That is all your equipment; there's no other carriers on the building?

KRISTINA COTTONE: Correct, to the best of my knowledge, yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I guess my one comment would be that it's starting to look a little cluttered. I'm not sure how it can be any neater? It's the wires, I guess, probably, that sort of draws your attention. Okay. Just a comment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any other members of the Board have any questions they wish to ask?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions as well. I will close public testimony. No, I will open the matter to public testimony. I'd like to close it too. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
We'll I'll wait a few minutes to see if anyone wishes to speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. No one wishes to
speak. We're not in receipt of any written communications as well. So I will close public testimony, and ready for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think everybody's ready for a vote. Certainly I am.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready.
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we make the following findings: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit that's been applied for.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. I think the facts speak for themselves in terms of an antenna is not going to cause
congestion, and hopefully no hazard, and no substantial change in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. In this regard, there's no evidence of any adverse effects; no one has appeared before us or written communications to us complaining that that will be the case.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

To further findings we have to make: This property is in a residentially zoned district. So our ordinance requires that we make a finding that nonresidential uses predominate in the vicinity of the proposed facility's location.

And that the telecommunication facility is not inconsistent with the character that does prevail in the
surrounding neighborhood. In this regard, the example of it is other nonresidential uses in the immediate vicinity, including the restaurant and other offices, and that there already are telecommunications equipment on the roof of the building, so that this is not inconsistent with what is being proposed. It is not inconsistent with the character that prevails in the surrounding neighborhood.

And lastly, the Board also finds that the modification of its existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimension of the existing wireless tower or base station at such a facility within the meaning of Section 6409a of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

So based on all these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Two that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be
consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulation previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

And lastly, that the petitioner is in compliance with, and will continue to be in compliance with in all respects, the conditions imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

And now, the petitioner's representative as heard this before. We have a whole long spiel about the health effects of transmission of electromagnetic energy waves, and with the permission of this petitioner's representative, I ask that we can incorporate by reference these very, very
same words for the Mount Auburn Street Hospital case here. This will allow us all to go home a little bit earlier and save my voice a little bit. Is that okay with you, Counselor?
[Pause]
I'm sorry?
JIM MONTEVERDE: She's there.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: She still there?

JIM MONTEVERDE: She's there.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Hi.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is it okay if I just incorporate the words we used the other case, the Mount Auburn Hospital case?

KRISTINA COTTONE: Yes. That is fine with me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. All right. Now take a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes for the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair thankfully and mercifully votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted. The case is over. The hearing for tonight is over. Thank you all.

COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Thank you, all.
COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, goodnight all.
[9:08 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 33:20 34:1 | 13-15 2:11 82:7 | 2018 44:17,20 | 78:9 86:4 | 78:9 86:4 89:7 |
| 35:13 37:14 | 13-foot 74:22 | 2019 38:20 | 102:18 116:7 | 97:15 102:18 |
| 38:22 39:4,10 | 14 18:11 23:12 | 2020 3:15,20 | 131:1 137:18 | 116:7 131:1 |
| 39:13 51:21 | 92:15 99:22 | 38:16 | 6:00 1:4 17:14 | 9:08 136:14 |
| 52:3 59:7,17 | 15 47:14 51:11 | 2021 1:4 3:8 | 17:16,17,19 | $9036: 22$ |
| 60:2,4,7,11,14 | 54:1 74:6,6 | 16:22 105:14 | 22:13,20 24:16 | 95 2:13 |
| 65:17 66:9,12 | 100:7 111:18 | 137:13,18 | 92:3 |  |
| 66:14 | $162: 936: 2$ | 21 2:3 | 6:30 2:8 17:15 |  |
|  | 53:22 56:7 | 22 1:4 3:7 4:1 | 6:34 3:3 |  |
| 0 | 59:21 | 16:21 | 6:36 6:2 |  |
| 01/9/20 2:7 | 16-61:10 | 23 2:8 6:7,17,20 | 6:45 2:9 |  |
| 017219 21:9 | 16-18 2:5 26:6 | 91:16 | 6:49 16:2 |  |
| 017247 26:6 | 47:19,21 48:15 | $262: 5$ | 6409 111:4,9 |  |
| 017248 26:7 | 51:12 53:11 | 27 3:20 91:4,6 | 114:13 |  |
| 02139 1:6 | 54:15 56:5,10 | 91:14 92:3,15 | 6409(a) 104:9 |  |
| 03/26/20 2:4,5 | 57:3 58:1,5,10 | 92:20 93:4 | 118:13 |  |
| 1 | 58:13 62:10,21 | 29 22:14,15,20 | 6409a 133:13 |  |
| $132: 7$ | 63:2 64:13,16 | 23:12,17 24:16 | 69 2:10 |  |
| $1.271: 21$ | 1753:19 | 3 | 7 |  |
| 10 17:9,10,10,11 | 17-58:5 66:7 | 330 2:13 95:8 | 7:00 2:3,5,6 5:4 |  |
| 17:20 18:12,19 | 17-18 47:19 | 96:21 | 5:9,14,14 20:9 |  |
| 19:13 98:7 | 17-19 2:6 26:7 | $367: 9$ | 21:2 |  |
| 106:20 107:12 | 53:6,16,22 | 38 41:14 | 7:04 25:2 |  |
| 108:8 120:6,18 $121: 15$ | 55:2 56:5 57:3 | 4 | 7:15 2:10 19:18 |  |
| $121: 15$ $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} 45: 6$ | 57:10 58:2,8 |  | 20:1 |  |
| 10,000 45:6 102 2:15 124:7 | $58: 10,1359: 18$ | 438:20 74:20,22 | 7:30 2:11 |  |
| $1022: 15124: 7$ 109647 69:3 | 60:12 61:3,8 | 40 6:21 44:14 | 7:33 53:2 |  |
| 109647 69:3 11-13 2:9 16:7 | 61:13,16 62:10 | 48 7:14 | 7:45 2:12 |  |

