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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick and Slater Anderson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the May 13, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the proceedings in due course.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change depending on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Brendan Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: And Gus. Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Present.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I've got him on my screen.

Okay, let's start.
Okay. All the members are present. Tonight we're going to have both, as usual, continued cases and regularly scheduled cases. Continued cases are cases that started at an earlier date, but for one reason or another have been continued until this evening.

The agenda -- I mean, the schedule is a little bit cockeyed in that we have returned meetings intertwined with the regular agenda, so we'll be jumping back and forth. But the first case I'm going to call is a continued case.
(6:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Slater W. Anderson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's Case Number 108723 -135 and 137 Elm Street. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter? By the way, I'm not going to sit on this case. Brendan Sullivan, the Vice Chair, will be running this case. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Campbell?
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Good evening. My name is Campbell Ellsworth. I'm the architect for the owners at 135 and 137 Elm Street. The owners are Elizabeth and Jordan Dolman and Eric and Heather Lee. We've got three out of four of those present here.

We are a continued case. We presented that last time, and we heard very clearly the comments of the Chairman and the Board. We have a new set of drawings. That is an older -- right, that's the one I want. Yep, terrific. Okay.
So what I'd like to -- I mean, I'm joined by the
owners. I will try to articulate the need, which is actually made clear in the application, but these are two young families, all of whom work in the tech industry. They've been shut down. Their families are expanding.

They would really like to add some more space to their homes in anticipation that there's very high likelihood that some of them may be working from home for a very extended period of time.

And should you wish to hear from them, Mr. Chairman and the Board, they are available to speak.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, Campbell I think what I'd like to do is -- not to take away your thunder, but if you could just briefly show us what the original plan was that was submitted the last hearing, and the net changes -- you know, the net design change.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yes, the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We've read your pleading tonight. I for one am very sympathetic to the pleadings and need for the request that is before us. But just to sort of move along, if we could pull up what was originally proposed --

## CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Sure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and what is now on the
Board.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Sisia, are you able to find that there's an image in that set? I could also share my screen if that is allowed here.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. I think we're going to have to allow you to do it, Campbell.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay, good. Let me know. I'm disabled on screen sharing right now.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Hang on. Bear with me just for a second. I'm trying to find those drawings. Oh, okay.

SISIA DAGLIAN: You should be able to share now.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. That's wonderful. Very good. Okay. Super. Okay. Are you able to see those?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. So -- and you can probably see my cursor as well. So this was a model -- the top left and the bottom left are the model of the existing structure. It's pretty accurate.

And what we had proposed at the time was a cap on the top in a much more modern articulation, where with windows that were not necessarily aligned, but had an
independence to them.
You can see there is a modern section of the building which you see from the driveway side, which is actually housing the spare tower.

But the comments of the Chairman and the Board last time were about kind of the disconnection, the fact that up on the -- from the street, the top left was not symmetrical; that there was not a relationship of the top to the bottom, there was a bit of a battle going on with styles.

And we took that really to heart, and I think that our current solution really address those -- many of those things, if not all of those things.

Mr. Chairman, is that enough?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. And now the new --
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay, great. Sisia, would you like to bring it up, or did you want me to bring it up as part of that same set?

SISIA DAGLIAN: We'll do it.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. So these are just -if you could just hold here for a second, so this is -these are three Mansard rooves at the top that we find
around Cambridge. The addresses are underneath.
And these are also interesting because the bay window that you find on the front of the building also is articulated up into the Mansard. You find that it's not as prevalent as a flat Mansard, but it does happen, and it's a very elegant thing. And we feel that even that ties the building together.

But Sisia, if you would go now to the drawing of the elevations, which is the down -- right there, yep. Super.

Okay, so what we actually are presenting, we looked at the several different options, and I think that the Mansard structure actually works the best, for a variety of reasons. One is that this building by itself without anything has a very strong presence. It has a very high parapet, as I pointed out last time. It has a very deep and overhanging parapet.

And because it's high, the addition of a Mansard is only about five feet above that. Now, often Mansards are both up on top of a knee wall, so this is not uncommon also, but it actually sort of -- if you will -- minimizes the effect.

The fact that this would be a Mansard is also interesting. Obviously, this would be a dark shingle up above there on that Mansard that would -- I don't want to say blend in, but it would be very much appropriate.

Now, this original structure $I$ can also point out was built in 1902, and it would have been completely appropriate even at the time to build a Mansard in 1902. And so what we're actually doing is we're creating an addition to this that is stylistically correct while still allowing that center portion that you see on the driveway, -

- which is a more modern portion -- to sort of have its own existence without a lot of competition, if you will, from the top.

So I'm looking -- you can see on the top right of this drawing, we're taking the existing bays that are on the first and second floor and pulling those up to create a bay.

We have absolutely taken the advice of the Board, and we are now aligning windows and are, you know, bringing them very tightly together on the second, the third floor, so this feels like a unified hold.

I do want to point out just on the bottom right of this drawing, that is the side to the south. We are not
requesting any windows in that.
We didn't before, and we're not now, because that façade is way inside the allowable setback. And were we to request windows at this time up on that third floor, it would have required a special permit, which I was told would not have been able to be heard tonight, because that was new. So we feel we have a very good design.

Sisia, if you want to go one sheet up, that is the plan.

So, again, based on the comments and advice if you will of the Board, we have now filled in that front-facing façade, so that the front of the house to the street -- city -- is balanced.

We have therefore, then, where we had the decks on that upper -- that upper right part of the drawing is the new current proposed third floor. So we had had the decks on the top left and the top right of that plan; now we have them actually condensed and centered.

That area still does house mechanical equipment, so we didn't want to fool around with that too much and, you know, try to move that stuff around, but we think that this is now a very appropriate solution that will work kind of
beautifully with the streetscape.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Mansard also allows you
some additional square footage, too.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Yeah, we're -- it --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which is a little bit of a bonus, in a sense.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: It's a little bit of a bonus,
and what we did is we sort of squeezed the baths and the bedrooms, and we were able to carve out -- actually the whole core of this application is really that these families are out of space.

They're -- you know, they're making home offices in little alcoves of bedrooms. So that allows them to actually carve out a nice little space that they can --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, good.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: -- do their work.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions from any members of the Board? Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: No. No, I like what I see. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Laura.

LAURA WERNICK: No questions. Didn't select you last on the Ws, but anyhow. Jim Monteverde, any questions? JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim], no questions. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants" and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We will give you up to three minutes to speak while we're waiting for any and all calls to possibly come in, $I$ will note for the record that there are approximately eight correspondents in favor of the project and requesting that the Board approve it as submitted.

Any calls coming in?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, Sean Henry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Henry, if you would please speak?

SHAWN HENRY: [Shawn Henry.] I am the neighbor to the direct north of this. I am very supportive of this particularly because I like seeing families being raised in Cambridge, and I'm supportive of our neighbors.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling. Any
others?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Bess Thaler?

BESS THALER: Hi, I'm Bess Thaler of 133 Elm Street on the other side. I'm also in favor of this project for the same reasons that Shawn said. We want to see people able to stay in the neighborhood.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great, thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see any other calls.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Seeing no other calls coming in, I will then close public comment. Let me open up the discussion that -- read the pleadings, and I fully concur that there is a need.

Saw the pictures, obviously, of everybody being cramped in the existing structure, and so -- you know, I'd like your guys' plan, and it works well number one for the petitioner, for the families, and also, I think for the streetscape. It's much more pleasant and blends in much better.

Any other comments by members of the Board?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a motion, then?

ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make the motion, then to grant the request for the variance and the -- as per the plans submitted dated, it's May 10 and initialed by the Chair, with also the accompanying dimensional information.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the original structure on the lot was built in 1902, and that the current structure is encumbered by the prevailing ordinance of this time. And as such, any expansion would require some relief from this Board.

The Board notes that the expansion -- somewhat de minimis in nature, re: asking for a bathroom and a bedroom to accommodate a growing family is much needed and desired, and that as far as I'm concerned is somewhat de minimis in nature.

The Board finds that the two-unit owners of the original structure, which -- to add -- the building on top of the existing flat roof and keeping within the footprint,
and not expanding to the side or front beyond that footprint, and therefore having less of an impact on abutters.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the fact that the original building built in 1902 has not been enlarged, and the 2011 modifications that was done as of right. And as such, the Board finds and accepts the petitioner's presentation that there is no other way to add additional space, other than to go up.

The Board finds that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. The new addition will not cast any significant shadows or on adjacent properties, and that the Board notes the letters of support for immediate abutters to the plan and project.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board finds that Section 1.30, the preamble specifically encourages development -- proper development -to encourage housing for persons of all income levels, and to encourage the most rational use of land throughout the
city, and also, to make adjustments to existing housing to accommodate growing families.

On that basis, then, I would request that the Board grant the variance, as being requested. Andrea, your vote?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the
$\qquad$

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?

SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson yes in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan also in favor.
[ALL FIVE VOTE YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five votes in favor. Variance is granted. Good luck.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Thank you very much.
(6:20 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan. We'll now turn to our regular agenda for actually two cases, because they're really twin cases. They involve a structure that is proposed to be removed and rebuilt that straddles a neighboring -- adjoining property. The cases are Case Number 110709 -- 116-118 Henry Street, and 110270 -- 120-122 Henry Street.

Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Hello?

BARBARA BRYANT Yes, I do. This is Barbara Bryant. I'm the owner of one of those properties.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
LAURA FEDDERSEN: Hello, this is Laura Feddersen. I'm the Design/Build Contractor for Barbara's property.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Are you going to make the presentation?

LAURA FEDDERSEN: Yeah. I can present the
drawings.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours, rather the mic is yours.

LAURA FEDDERSEN: Okay. So this is -- it's an existing garage structure that was built in 1930. And it actually straddles two neighboring properties, which you can see here on the plot plan.

So this is 116-118 Henry Street, and the neighboring lot is 120-122. They're both two-unit buildings. And so there's four parking spaces existing in this garage.

And we're basically just applying to tear down the existing structure and rebuild it on exactly the same footprint.

The reason is because the garage, being very old -- from 1930 -- has fallen into disrepair, which we can see if you show some of the images of the garage structure.

And we worked with the engineer and worked with the contractor, and determined that it's going to be less expensive, less complicated and longer lasting if we can tear down the original structure and rebuild it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

LAURA FEDDERSEN: So we're applying for relief for the setback requirement, because it does cross that property line, so it does not adhere to the side setback.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I mean, it -with regard to the structure, I think the case is pretty obvious -- to me, and it's not controversial.

But my question is the decks, or the roof garden. If $I$ did my math right, you're going to add 800 plus 400 square feet of garden on the top of the roof. And how high is this garage, by the way? How high?

LAURA FEDDERSEN: The garage? The garage is going to be 11-foot-11, so about twelve feet high. And the top of the railing would be 14 -foot-11. So it's still under the 15 feet for accessory structures.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My concern would be the disruption to the privacy of the neighboring property. Because I know the neighbor most directly affected has written a letter of support -- that's fine, but there are others who will be affected.

And that's an awful lot of elevated, artificial if you will, in the sense that it's not grass, or what -space. Any concerns about the impact on the neighbors?

LAURA FEDDERSEN: Yeah, we have gotten support from a lot the neighbors. We have several that have written letters of support and verbal letters and verbal support, which we can get letters of support from.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any opposition? Did you get any people who were skeptical or uncertain about the rooftop gardens?

LAURA FEDDERSEN: Do you want to speak to that, Barb?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BARBARA BRYANT: Thank you. No, we've got support from our -- everyone that we've spoken to, including, as you said, the person most affected is Kim, who is currently doing some building of her own.

And then the neighbors on the other side of our house who -- they can't really see it very well because there's trees and so on in the way.

And the general response is they appreciate the effort to beautify the neighborhood by adding more green space.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't have any questions beyond the one I asked. So I'm going to open this matter up to public testimony. Let me give the instructions. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment or two to see if anyone wishes to call in. SISIA DAGLIAN: Brad Harkavy. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, SISIA DAGLIAN: Brad Harkavy, please go ahead. BRAD HARKAVY: Hi. So we -- I guess we're copetitioners, because we are the neighbors. But I -- you know, I -- we've lived in the neighborhood along with Barb,
the other petitioner for 20 years, and putting a rooftop garden on top of a garage is a -- I think a spectacular improvement to what we have today, or even if we rebuilt the garages, because it's a large -- you know, black space that is neither attractive nor helpful from a -- you know, sort of from a -- you know, from sort of an environmental standpoint.

And, you know, talking to the neighbors -particularly Kim, who's directly adjacent to it -- you know, it's a spectacular improvement.

Furthermore, it's not just gardens we're looking at, it's all vegetables, which, you know, are not something you often see within an urban setting. So, you know, we are big fans of making it happen.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you for taking the time to offer your views. And I would point out that your good neighbors that you have now may not be your neighbors in the future, and the future owners of these properties could take out the garden and put a big deck out there and have a -- basically another room, a substantial room and could have parties and the like.
You're not concerned about that? I'm not saying
you should be --
BRAD HARKAVY: Yeah, no I --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- just want you to understand what's involved. This is not forever, this garden with all the plantings.

BRAD HARKAVY: I appreciate that. And I'm well aware of that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anyone else?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Phone number ending 1593?
KIM WALKER-CHIN: Hi, this is Kim Walker-Chin. I'm the neighbor that's right next to the garage. And I'm in favor of it.

Barb always did a lot of green planting on the roof, and it's beautiful. She has a lot of vegetables, and I think it will certainly improve the views coming from my property. So I am 100 percent behind her with her green space. Can you hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, we can, and thank you for taking the time to give us your views. Anyone else?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, there's no other persons wishing to speak, and so I will close public
testimony.
I would report that we have letters, or maybe a
letter -- singular -- in the file in support of the project. Nothing in opposition. So with that, I will close public testimony. Discussion, or $I$ can put a motion on the table and we can vote on it?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. One thought that I had -- and I know how we look somewhat aghast at decks, and possible impact on the neighbors -- and I think that the purpose of what is before us is to have a rooftop --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- with a somewhat small seating area. So looking down the road in the future, should somebody decide not to have a garden there, which is a nice amenity I think to have, and decide to do away with that, now all of a sudden, you have expanded the potential --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's my point --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- sitting area from Lord knows, I don't know, maybe 20 percent to almost 100 percent. And would anybody entertain a motion that we could looking
forward, if there would be a problem, to avert that problem by limiting the amount of seating area or requiring that it be?

And I don't know how you can do that, because now you get into the policing of whether or not somebody has a garden, and somebody who's garden can also be somebody's not-so-pretty garden.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I sort of was sort of approaching it and similarly to you. I was trying to do it on the condition that it could only be used for gardens and limited seating space.

But it puts the Building Department and the landscapers -- I think it would be a little too much of a burden on the city's Building Department to monitor that.

So I -- you know, the current neighbors, it seems to me, seem to have no problem with this. I'm willing to run--quote, unquote-- "the risk." I'm ready to go forward.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey speaking.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: So I believe one of the current neighbors is sort of an owner of part of the green space,
one of the folks who was speaking in favor of it. So that person obviously is biased in support of his own project. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] ANDREA HICKEY: I would be for just limiting the use of the area to garden space, and leave it at that. I think when you start to use the word, "seating" then are two folding chairs or one small bench -- you know, I don't think we want to get into what "seating" is, but I think if we would just limit the use to garden purposes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I think we're all on the same page. I'd have to make a slight modification to allow limited seating in the garden area.

I can't -- I don't know how to do it, but basically I would suggest basically it can be used for garden and other green space uses, with limited seating for people who want to sit on the roof and appreciate the landscaping that's being done in the garden. Is that okay with you, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Or perhaps not for entertaining or something like that. I don't know.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, if you have a -- I don't want to get into the bushes here, but if they have a
neighbor or friend over, and they want to have drinks outside and, you know, sit among the gardens, I don't have a problem with that. The problem is when you get 20 people.

LAURA WERNICK: Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

LAURA WERNICK: Can I just address a question to Ms. Bryant?

LAURA WERNICK: I'm just curious, because structurally the requirements for having, you know, minimal soil or vegetables up there are very different from having a structure that could hold -- you know, a party, a number of people.

Do you have any sense what the structure -- what the new structure is designed for in terms of live loads? Is that -- because I --

BARBARA BRYANT: Yes. So we had a structural engineer do an analysis --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Barbara, if you could identify yourself for the record?

BARBARA BRYANT: This is Barbara Bryant, the owner of 116-114 Henry Street. Yes, so the structural engineer did an analysis of -- and there are standard formulas for a
load-bearing roof for the vegetable garden. And so they did that analysis to determine how much weight could be borne.

My impression is that that is a greater weight than, like, if you had a roof deck for entertaining or something like that.

And in fact, we went through a whole analysis of how to reenforce the current structure in order to put the gardens and containers up there, and that's where we ran into the issue that the recommendation was strongly to rebuild, because doing a retrofit to make sure it was structurally sound with some of the crumbling walls wasn't a great idea.

So I'm not sure if I answered your question, but --

LAURA WERNICK: Then $I$ was wrong in my assumption. So that's fine.

BARBARA BRYANT: And Laura, I don't know if you want to explain more?

LAURA FEDDERSEN: Sure, yeah. Yeah, the engineer and architect did design it to hold the load of the planters, which actually are 18-inch soil. That's a pretty hefty structure.

LAURA WERNICK: More substantial, yeah.
LAURA FEDDERSEN: And then the decking area is -I mean, the whole roof has been [done] with the same structure, so the decking area is designed to support the wide load, which I believe is -- you know, a lot more than the 65] square foot live loads than is required.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay. Yeah, I was actually going in a different direction. If it was merely soil -- layer of soil, then that might not have been -- have the live load that could support individual -- a number of individuals up there. But --

> LAURA FEDDERSEN: Right.

LAURA WERNICK: -- you've responded to my question. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Any -- no other -- any other comments? No one else wishes to speak from the public? As I mentioned, we do have at least one letter of support in our files, and no letter of opposition. So I'm going to close public testimony.

I'm going to propose that we -- when I make my motion -- that we just don't touch the garden. I think we can put some conditions about -- well, what's the sense,

Brendan, you would like to see something that says only a small number of people can have seating up there?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I just don't know the actual language. I can't put into words my thoughts very well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I mean, that's a problem.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And so I think that I would accept this plan as presented.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You would what?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would accept --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the plan as presented.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is Jim Monteverde.
Just to help with the language, it seems like we can accept the plan as presented with the walkways around the planting area, and this specific seating area shown, period.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Give me that again, Jim, please?

JIM MONTEVERDE: We could accept the planting beds -- the plan as shown.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The planting beds, the walkways
around them, and the specific seating area shown. It has the capacity of eight people. Right? Basically we're approving it for the plan submitted.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, Jim, good. Good thought. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. Thank you, Jim. Okay. I'm going to make a motion, and we'll take it from there. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being requested:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being as that there is an old and very -- garage in very bad condition that needs to be replaced. So -- and that has nothing to do with the current owners. The garage's time has come, and it's time for a new one. Second, that the hardship is owing to basically the condition of this structure as it is now. It's not going to get any better, so it's time to tear it down and build a new one.

And we know, too, that the footprint of the new structure will be identical to the footprint of the old structure. So it's not a situation where open space on the
ground is going to be reduced.
And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. What is being proposed will allow the two neighbors to continue to have on-site garage space, and the added benefit of getting some green space on the roof of the garage.

On the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition -- subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceeds in accordance with plans presented by the petitioner. These are plans that were prepared by Anthony Sanchez, and I'm looking at the date -- I will find it -- dated January 16 of this year, and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair. This applies to both cases, by the way.

And second condition is that the garden -- the rooftop garden be maintained in accordance with the railings, walkways, and the seating space that is shown on the plans now. And they can be reduced, but not increased. How do you vote, Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the relief for 116-118, 120 and 122 Henry Street. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes in favor of granting relief. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes in favor of granting relief in
both related cases.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Jim, by the way before
you take your vote, is the motion as I framed it, is that satisfactory to you?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. How do you vote? JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. I vote in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes in favor of the variances for both properties.
[All vote YES]
Relief granted.
LAURA FEDDERSEN: Thank you.
(6:40 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now go back to our continued agenda -- continued case agendas, and the Chair is calling Case Number 100817 -- 56 Churchill Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? [Pause]

MEGAN KEMP: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. My name is Attorney Megan Kemp representing from Adam Dash and Associates, 48 Grove Street, Suite 304, Somerville, Massachusetts -- representing the owners of the property, Kerry and Prithviraj Tanwar.

So first of all, thank you for allowing the continuance in March, as our office has just been hired to help sort out some issues that you had printed out with the application previously.

Since then, we've filed a new set of plans and amended the dimensional, paveable (sic) and supportive statements in the file.

This petition is for a special permit under the Subsection 15 of the definition of Gross Floor Area to exempt finished basement space in a dwelling structure that is not a detached single or two-family house from the gross floor area counts, that it is not part of the FAR calculation.

In essence, what we're looking to do is finish in the space of about 314 square feet in an existing basement, to create some more livable space for the petitioners and their growing family.

It will result in basically a den, where they can now move their home offices downstairs out of their bedroom, and an additional bathroom where the children coming home from soccer and other sports practices can rinse off before trampling dirt through the house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And to get this special permit you're requesting; we have to make a finding that the use is occupying the basement area support the character of the neighborhood or district in which the applicable lot is located.

MEGAN KEMP: Correct. And this is a residential district. This is part of a three-townhouse condo
development. It is neighbored by the Burns (sic) apartment building on one side, the Matignon High School on the other, and then the North Cambridge residence -- you know, residence.

So, this is certainly to create more usable family space in a residential neighborhood to make this something where the family can continue to live here and expand, both for themselves and for any future owners, because there is no space in this area to expand out of the unit.

Again, this is part of a townhouse development.
So, there are other structures -- you know, with party walls right up against it on the lot already. So the only space available is to convert some of the basement, in order just to have some additional living space for the family.

So everything would be completely internal to the unit, so there's nothing that would happen externally that would affect any of the neighbors or abutters.

This wouldn't create any traffic, because it's just for use by the family. This isn't, you know, any additional bedrooms or anything like that. There's no additional traffic, it's not going to be a hazard to anyone.

It's simply renovating existing space.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. The Chair would report that we have a -- there is a letter in the file from the Condominium Association, basically approving what is being proposed. And there are no letters from the neighborhood or anywhere else in opposition.

Questions? Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions as well. I don't believe there's any letters in our file. So I will make a motion.

The Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested pursuant to Section $2(16)$ of our zoning ordinance on the grounds that what is being proposed with regard to using this basement space supports the character of the neighborhood or district in which the applicable lot
is located.

In short, what will happen will be there will be more living space in this residential structure for the family that now occupies the structure itself.

Brendan? Oh, I'm sorry. Let me just -- on the basis of -- so on the basis of all of these findings, if we granted a special permit, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Miller Design, LLC, the cover page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes in favor
of the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes in favor
as well.
[All vote YES]
The special permit is granted.
MEGAN KEMP: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(6:46 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The next case we're scheduled to hear is at 7:00. It's a continued case. It's 7 Springfield Street. So -- and it's not quite 7:00 yet, so I propose that we recess this hearing until 7:00 p.m., when we'll reconvene and start with page 7 springfield Street. Thank you.

DAN ANDERSON: Sure, happy to address that. So again, Dan Anderson, Anderson Porter Design. So previously we were in front of you with a seven-unit project plus commercial with limited parking seeking a variance for side yard setbacks.

What -- we took this back under construction, met with neighbors who had voiced some opposition, and listened carefully to some of the concerns that you and the Board members had.

So the proposal that is in front of you is significantly changed in a number of ways. First of all,
there is no additional -- there are no additions to the structure. We're working with it as is.

We are proposing an increase of only one unit with no commercial so the project is a four-unit structure. It conforms in all ways there with the lot area per dwelling unit as being well below, below the allowable 1.75 FAR, and has the full four parking spaces, so one per unit. So we have a large, three-bedroom unit, 2 smaller one-bedroom units and a three-bedroom unit.

And we feel that this -- well, it was received very well by the neighborhood meeting that we had on April 13, and I would say also addressing other concerns from the Board.

The primary entry is from the street front, so there is no side entry. This still proposes removing the small, concrete set of steps that blocks the easement in the back, so it continues to improve the driveway access for everyone.

And since we're not proposing to do any -- the previous proposal has an additional three feet of extension at the rear; although that was allowable under the rear yard setback, that no longer is the case, so that there is
increased or sufficient -- more than sufficient open space area.

The only reason that we're back in front of you for relief are that we need special permit approval for the changes in the window openings on the side yard setback. And because we've added -- we removed any area way steps, which were also a concern.

The reason for a variance would be that we have -you know, guardrails on basement egress and access windows that are in the side yard setback. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You also a variance because you're having four units, too. Four units is one more than permitted, I believe?

DAN ANDERSON: No, seven were permitted. So we're well under the lot area per dwelling unit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I understand that. But I thought that there was a restriction on the number of units as well in our ordinance. But anyway, it's not worth debating. You're seeking a variance, and we'll deal with it in due course.

DAN ANDERSON: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me ask you a question,
how does one access the parking in the rear of the building? Do you have to go out the front door and walk down the lane, the parking lane?

DAN ANDERSON: Yes, that's correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So it's a narrow -obviously it's a narrow parking lane. So that's the safest way of getting there? That's a problem I suppose for the people who -- the residents of the structure? They'll know that when they buy their unit or rent their unit.

DAN ANDERSON: That's correct, and limited access as well. It's not like it's a heavily trafficked street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I see that you still have the decks?

DAN ANDERSON: We --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Proposing to add decks to each of the four units, is that right?

DAN ANDERSON: -- we have rear balconies.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Balconies?
DAN ANDERSON: Yep, that are conforming. They don't -- they're well within the rear yard setback, and there is one roof deck, which is also conforming.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What's the size of these
balconies? The three balconies are the same dimensions, I believe, from the --

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. They're roughly three feet by six feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Three feet by what? DAN ANDERSON: Six feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Six feet. So they're -people -- more than one person can get on that balcony? JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me, they look a bit more than that in width. Yes, 10 feet is -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And how big is the deck on
the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's 10 feet long -- it looks -this is Jim Monteverde. It looks like it's 10 feet long. I'm looking at the drawing, if I'm looking at the correct drawing.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah, I stand corrected. Let me -JIM MONTEVERDE: 10-foot-long -DAN ANDERSON: -- look at my documents. JIM MONTEVERDE: It looks like a 4 x 10 or thereabouts?

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah, sorry. It's 10 feet by 4
feet. I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
DAN ANDERSON: -- didn't mean to misspeak, I was going based on memory.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And what about the roof
deck, the one on the top?
DAN ANDERSON: Also quite small. I believe it's about 5 feet by 10 feet. Let me just --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
DAN ANDERSON: Yeah, five-foot-seven. I don't have a length on it, but approximately ten feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: To my point of view, this is a major problem, these decks, or these balconies, as you can them.

DAN ANDERSON: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is a very tight neighborhood. Your buildings are right on top of each other. The potential for noise and disturbance of the neighboring properties is significant.

I have a real problem -- I don't know about other Board members, there's only one of five, I don't know how
the other Board members feel -- but I'm troubled very much by these balconies and roof decks.

DAN ANDERSON: I'd like to --
ANDREA HICKEY: Hi, excuse me, this is Andrea Hickey. Sisia, could you bring up the drawing showings the balcony?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I don't think that's it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go. Over on the righthand side. Right? There's a balcony there shown on the second floor, third floor --

DAN ANDERSON: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and then you can see the railing at the rooftop?

DAN ANDERSON: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There's another drawing that has a different perspective. You can see them coming out from the building, not -- the lower levels.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah, if you go to the previous elevation, number -- slide 11?

JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep, that's the one.

DAN ANDERSON: So, I would be interested in hearing more comments on this. But, you know, I think, you know, the site does meet the open space requirements. It does not allow a lot of outside private open space. It's predominantly green buffer around the parking.

I think as we've all discovered here in pandemic times, people really value some amount of private open space.

We were proposing those assuming that they all fit within the allowable gross build area, and didn't violate setbacks; that therefore that was a reasonable proposal.

We did have larger decks previously. The --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- so that you can't walk out on the deck, but you would have access to the fresh air.

DAN ANDERSON: So we have both types. [Again, Dan Anderson, Anderson Porter Design.]

The south elevation, which you're seeing now on the right-hand drawing, those do not protrude. Those are Juliet balconies that are tight against sliding doors.

The two that you see projecting on the rear, the lower one is the window well. The two upper projection balconies, so there are only two of them that are the 4 feet
x 10 feet. Those serve the second floor and third floor respectfully -- respectively.

And as I said, we reviewed this carefully with neighbors. We had certainly no opposition. We had, you know, a great deal of -- I don't know if there's written support for it, but I'm interested to hear more conversation about this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think Sisia -- sorry, this is Jim Monteverde -- Sisia, could you back in the set a bit to the cover sheet? There's an aerial photograph -- no, the -I think the, yeah, that's it, that's it. Number 1.

And if you can enlarge to the left-hand side? That's -- I think that's the neighborhood context.

And I think what -- right, the Juliet balconies are on the face that we're looking at there, the broad face that we're looking at?

DAN ANDERSON: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The balconies would be around the back, where you see that vehicle parked. And the balconies are kind of facing -- there's a blank wall, two blank walls at 90 degree angles, then across a roof. So they're kind of removed from where the -- the closest neighbors to them
actually are the -- where the 1369 Coffee House is right on Cambridge street. Those little dormers that you see, those are the neighbors who are most proximate to it.

That's kind of the neighborhood context for it. So I think the 4 x 10 , you know, they could be a little bit smaller, but I'm not -- I'm not overly against them, and I can see the difference between those being some type of exterior projection versus the Juliet balconies that are along the entry drive.

LAURA WERNICK: I tend to agree with Jim. I think it's not unreasonable, because of the location, because of the immediate context. I can't believe -- I don't think that the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: -- disturbance, that any
disturbance would --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. This is Jim Monteverde. The roof deck may be a different story. Because then you're well above the -- you know, everybody around. But I think the ones on the second and third floor, I don't -- I would be okay with it.

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea Hickey speaking.

Sisia, could you show us the dimensions of the roof deck please? I --

LAURA WERNICK: Floor plans?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's kind of smack in the middle of that drawing. It says five-foot-something across, and then there's no length dimension, but it's -- if you can see it, Andrea, it's off of that bedroom?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm running my cursor, but you can -- there you go.

LAURA WERNICK: It's also off of a bedroom. So people have to go upstairs, tromp through someone's bedroom in order to get out of the bed. It's not totally conducive for huge parties, certainly.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
DAN ANDERSON: The intention was to have it be very modest, as you can see. I apologize if there's not a length dimension on there, but you can see the dashed balcony below, which is 10 feet. So it may be just a little bit short of 10 feet.

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm all set with that, thank you.

This is Andrea again.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea.
Brendan, do you have anything you want to add?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I think the other members of the Board have covered my concerns, anyhow. And the other members of the Board have covered any concerns or questions that $I$ would have, and the answer is forthcoming, so I'm all set.

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde again. Mr. Chair, if I could ask a different -- a separate question --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Of course. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Of course.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you -- I'm trying to follow, what's the height in the basement? It's the FAR. And it's the total square footage that your -- in your dimensional form that's on your sheet $Z 1.1$ that says, it includes the basement square footage.

What's the height of that basement at the -- you know, to the other side of the framing currently?

DAN ANDERSON: I believe that it is seven foot and
something. I took -- essentially, even if it were...
Well, $I$ believe it is accurate in saying that we're not increasing the net square footage, but even if we were to -- if it were, like, say 6 foot 5 and we were to excavate and add that as gross square footage, we still would be under the allowable FAR, because it's calculated as if.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I noticed that, as opposed to the ordinance of 1.75. You still come up to 1.26 with it or -- yeah, even with it.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. And we didn't even bother, you know, excluding mechanical space, which there's mechanical and storage.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, correct, okay.
DAN ANDERSON: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thank you.
DAN ANDERSON: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm sorry, I'm going to -- Mr., anything further you want to add, before I open the matter up to public testimony?

DAN ANDERSON: Unless there are more questions from the Board, $I$ think that I've given it as broad an
overview as $I$ can, so --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. No, that's fine. I didn't know if you -- we got you, we diverted you a bit. So I wanted to make sure you had an opportunity to get to all of what you want to say.

DAN ANDERSON: No, thank you. I think I've covered it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before I open the matter up to public testimony, Brendan, you have any?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, nothing? Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, sir.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I do not.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, and Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing further, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to avail themselves of this privilege.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see any raised hands.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. It looks like no one has raised their hand.

We do have some letters in the file. Well, these letters are mostly outdated, because they were in response to the original proposal, which has been substantially modified, as we learned tonight. So I'm not sure.

There were letters of opposition, but the opposition I think was based on the old plans, and I see no new letters pro or con in our file.

So I'll close public testimony. Ready for a motion? Or we're onto discussion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, [Brendan Sullivan] if I can ask Sisia, a deck above is it the second level or the third level?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Above the third level. So the roof deck here will count towards gross floor area.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. And would require a variance or not? Only if it --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Exceeds the height or the GFA.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Only if it kicked the number over --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the allowable, and also, if it were within the side yard?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Correct, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. All set.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm going to make -

- should I make a motion? Other members of the Board, are you ready?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, sir.
ALISON HAMMER: Ready, ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves -and we'll talk about the variance first -- the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of
the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that this is a much older structure in need of updating and modification. And that applies to whomever would own the property going forward, assuming no relief would be granted tonight.

That the hardship is owing to nature of the structure and its age, and the fact that it's especially affecting this residential structure in an area that borders on a commercial district.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Anderson Porter Design, the -- looking for the date. It's dated [I need new glasses] April 13, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chairman votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
So the variance is granted. Let's turn to the special permit.

Sir, do you want to make a short -- I assume a short -- presentation regarding the need for the special permit? The petitioner's representative?

DAN ANDERSON: Yes. [Dan Anderson, Anderson Porter
Design.] So the special permit is being requested to -strictly to modify the existing window openings within side yard setbacks, and because it's a particularly narrow lot of 40 feet, we have no other alternatives but to seek relief to make those changes.

And the purpose of those changes are really to make the interior spaces more accommodating and to provide for better light, air and comfort.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Short and sweet. Questions from members of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no further questions. I'll open this part of the case to public testimony. So again, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a second or two to see if anyone wishes to speak?
[Pause]
No. No one wishes to speak. We'll close public
testimony. I think we're ready for a motion based upon the discussion we've had before, or does anybody wish to discuss this case further? This aspect of the case?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All set.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed for the special permit will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. In fact, with regard to hazard, it does reduce the hazard to the extent of access to the outdoors.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in this ordinance will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed.

And no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is being proposed will not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

In sum, the modifications that require the special permit are modest in nature, are very rational in terms of the use of the structure. So on the basis of all of these, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans we referenced with regard to the variance we just granted.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair -- I'm sorry, Laura?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

Special permit is granted. Thank you. Case over. DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much, have a good evening.
(7:22 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. We'll now turn to our regular agenda, and the Chair will call Case Number 111216 -- 42 Blakeslee Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
Petitioner, or representative?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Panelist, Mr. Chairman?
KATHLEEN AND JERRY GARVEY: Kathleen and Jerry
Garvey.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SAM KACHMAR: And Sam Kachmar from SKA, Mr.
Chairman.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's Sam.
SAM KACHMAR: Can you hear me, Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now we can, yes.
SAM KACHMAR: Okay. Great. So we're here for 42 Blakeslee. We're here with the clients Kathy and Jerry

Garvey. And we're here seeking approval for a special permit application for three windows that were framed and relocated at the left yard setback. Two of the windows are located at the second-level stairwell and another at the grade level, at the mechanical room.

I believe Sisia or Gus -- thank you. On the cover page here shows the profile of the front of the house. No work has been done to the front of the house.

Next slide, please?
On the lower left side shows the property lot and the plot plan. The plot plan indicates the left yard setback is 5.8 feet from the property line. The plot plan has also been submitted with the application.

Next slide, please?
These show the -- some of the photos from that setback. I believe the following photos show the windows have been boarded up, per the request.

Next slide, please?
And next slide?
You can see here the boarded up windows are the windows in question.

Next slide, please?

Again, you can see another two at the second level.

Next slide, please?
And this shows the [1:27:15 audio unclear] at the grade level, which is leading into the mechanical room.

Next slide, please?
This sheet shows the area plans for the house, and no changes have been made to the FAR requirements.

Next slide, please?
This sheet is the lower level. And the red at the upper left corner indicates the proposed windows within the south side yard setback, the mechanical room.

Next slide, please?
This is the lower level -- I'm sorry, this is the first-floor level. There is no [1:27:48 plot line in work]

Next slide, please?
This is the second-floor level showing the two windows at the stairwell. This is reframed, due to the stairs kind of having to be reframed.

Next slide, please?
This shows the third-floor level, and no work is being done here.

Next slide, please?
Again, this shows the roof $p l a n$ and all of it
being done.

Next slide? This is the front elevation. And the red, kind of marks the location for the windows at the left side yard.

Next slide, please?
This is the left side elevation. The two areas marked in red are the two window -- three windows in question that we're seeking approval for.

Next slide, please? This is the street elevation. Again, on the right side in red shows the window in question.

Next slide, please?
And this is the right side elevation. There's no work being done here.

Next slide?
And this is the plot plan for the house. On the left side you'll see the 5.8 left side yard setback. And then the next page shows the dimensional forms, also indicating that no changes to the FDR requirements.

This is the end of the presentation. As you see
in the plot plan and the dimensional form in submission, along with some photos, I believe that there are some letters of support in the file. Thanks for your time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Is that the end of your presentation?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It is.
SAM KACHMAR: Yes sir, Mr. Chairman.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure. I have no questions or comments to make at this point. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions or comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. I don't have any questions about the windows. I do have one question about what looks to be an existing condition, the back elevation. There's a door on the third floor that opens up to space. There's no guardrail. Is that current condition, and that's the intended condition?

STEPHEN HISERODT: No, and that is an error on our part that we missed, actually. That door has been boarded up, and it's not what we're requesting relief for.

SAM KACHMAR: There is no door at that location.

That's a drafting error.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay. Thank you. That's
all. No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So I'm going to open this matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
No? No one wishes to speak, so I will close public testimony. Ready for a motion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be
met unless we grant the special permit. That the traffic generated or patterns of access or egress that would result from the special permit will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character,

As pointed out at presentations, there's not a -the relief, talk about three windows, two of which are to the side of the structure, have very little if any impact on the established neighborhood character, and will not cause any congestion or hazard.

That the continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

And again, $I$ refer to the point $I$ just made regarding the small number of windows and their location and their impact on the neighborhood or lack of impact.

And no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Sam Kachmar Associates, dated March 11, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes yes as well, makes it unanimous.
[All vote YES]
Special permit granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman very much.
(7:32 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 113146 -- 221 Concord Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Hello?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Hi, this is Steve Hiserodt -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right.

STEPHEN HISERODT: -- from Boyes-Watson
Architects. I believe we should have the --

MARIA RONDEAU: Hello?
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- Maria Rondeau as well.

MARIA RONDEAU: Yes. Hi, good evening.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Maria, do you want to introduce yourself?

MARIA RONDEAU: Hello, good evening. Hi, Steve.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Maria, do you want to explain or describe your new restaurant and --

MARIA RONDEAU: Okay. Yeah. Should I get started?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours.
MARIA RONDEAU: All right. Oh, thank you. Sorry
for the delay. Good evening. Thank you for sharing our space, Board of Zoning Appeals. I appreciate the time.

My name is Maria Rondeau, and my partner, Juanma Calderone, the two of us together own and run a restaurant in Union Square, Somerville, called, "Celeste."

We've been here for a little over three years --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Something happened. The screen froze.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Froze.
MARIA RONDEAU: Hello? Hello?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
MARIA RONDEAU: Hello?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now it's working again.
MARIA RONDEAU: Is that better? Oh, okay. All right. Sorry, I paused it. Yeah, I was just explaining a bit about our background.

My partner and I have a restaurant in Union Square, Somerville, called, "Celeste." It's a small Peruvian restaurant we've been running, and operating for about three and a half years now.

And we ourselves are Cambridge residents, and we live right around the corner from the proposed site for this new location.

So we were offered the opportunity to take a look at this space and we fell in love with the building. We know the neighborhood, we love the neighborhood, and we always dreamed of having a space right around the corner from where we live.

So when this opportunity came up, we considered it seriously, and we are thrilled that we can move forward hopefully with everybody's blessing on this for this next project.

So the idea for this new project is that we will continue creating a space -- kind of a neighborhood place for bringing community together. That's very much what has happened here in the Union Square are.

I've become very involved with the small business community as well. I'm a Board member of the Union Square Main Streets, and we take pride in the area around us, as well as the people that come to visit us, and have been very supportive.
We -- the pandemic of course has taken a big toll
on all restaurant industries. We feel like we came together as a family, both with the people that work here and with the community at large.

So now with this new opportunity, we're hoping we are looking for parking relief that we will explain a little bit more in detail. But the concept for the restaurant itself is to continue making Peruvian food.

My partner is Peruvian, he learned to cook from his mother, and this restaurant will have very different -a different menu than what we serve here. These are more based on stews, but we opportunity to do in this location with a little more space.

We have a raw bar. We have a grill -- things that we don't necessarily have the opportunity to do in our very small space here in Somerville.

So we are hoping that we have your blessing, and I will hand it off to Steve now. And I'm happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I've got a few questions. Just what's the seating capacity going to be in the restaurant?

MARIA RONDEAU: 48 feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 48 feet?
MARIA RONDEAU: Yeah. The layout is organized very similar to how we operate in Celeste, where we have a bar and an open kitchen with a -- kind of a bar across where people can sit at and kind of participate and experience this cooking.

And then there's also seating along the window, more like a bistro style -- bistro style seating, and then there's a smaller room adjacent to the larger six-pack of beer, where we would have tables -- a table layout.

So the larger room would seat 28, and the smaller room would seat 20 that we would also be able to close out for private parties.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But these are all on the ground level? There's no seating or the like in the basement?

MARIA RONDEAU: That's correct. Everything is on the ground level. Mm-hm. The downstairs is really only for a wash area and prep kitchen. We're not even cooking, it's just more a prep area, and all the cooking happens upstairs in the open kitchen that faces the clients that come in.

So I believe the screen is now showing the overall
layout. The main floor has the long bar facing across. It would be east-west in this orientation, with the kitchen at the back towards the back wall.

Half of that long bar has kitchen, and half of it has bar. And the bartender, cook -- everybody would be in this general spaces operating. And then a long bar across where people would be seated at seats 12 people.

Then there's ample circulation space and seating towards the window, kind of bistro seating with a bench, small tables.

And to the left would be the private room with a freestanding table that could be reconfigured for private events or anything else like that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Maria, this is Brendan
Sullivan. What are the hours of operation?
MARIA RONDEAU: So right now we're looking at evening hours, 5:00 to 10:00 during the weekends. Sunday we would close a little earlier at 9:00, and on Fridays and Saturdays at 11:00 would be the latest. So we would stop taking reservations around 9:30 so that people kind of wrap up and are done by 11:00.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: When will you open for
business?

MARIA RONDEAU: As soon as possible. But it's going to take a little while. We are waiting for this hearing, then there's a couple that you have to do the building permit, a liquor license.

And so as soon as all of that gets approved, we would get started, and we're hoping that through the summer we would be building and open in early fall, if possible.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You misunderstood my
question. I know you've got a lot of red tape you're going to have to go through with the city, and then in regard to the restaurant, when is the restaurant going to open? What hours?

MARIA RONDEAU: Oh, I'm sorry. We're -- right now we're looking at 4:00 p.m. And possibly at some point see how that goes, possibly opening earlier. But initially it's a dinner service.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Other members of the Board have any questions? Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is Jim Monteverde. I have one question. I think the request is for a parking reduction from the required 12 to six spaces? Is there a
plan, or can you somehow show me where the six spaces are?
MARIA RONDEAU: I'll allow Steve to speak to this.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

MARIA RONDEAU: Okay?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

MARIA RONDEAU: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: The six spaces that are existing are actually for the residential uses on the same lot. We will not be able to provide -- the additional parking requirements for the restaurant itself is six spaces. There is currently due to open space requirements other space requirements. There's no space on the lot to provide any parking for the restaurant.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So they ask us to provide zero parking for the restaurant?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Just wanted to be clear.
Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: There are --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would point out that whatever -- should we grant relief tonight, that relief will
be perpetual, if you will. And if the current petitioner decides to move somewhere else or whatever, another restaurant might move in and they will not have any parking requirements.

I mean, one of the arguments that was made in the presentation is that the restaurant could open up late in the afternoon when most of the businesses in that area will not need parking; they'll be closing or being close to close, and that -- I think that's right, provided that we continue to have this restaurant with these hours.

But if we don't, we're back to -- you know, maybe a much more congested neighborhood as a result of the lack of parking. Just an observation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. [1:43:55 indiscernible proper name] Avenue is going to feel the brunt of any parking.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Or you will feel the brunt of any parking.

MARIA RONDEAU: I mean, what we anticipate, just the way that we operate here, let's say where we are in a
very tight urban condition as well, most people that visit us either walk or Uber over -- Uber, Lyft, any sort of public transportation of that sort.

There are also buses -- that's probably more unlikely that people would take a bus, but the most people that arrive here knowing that it's a kind of a dense urban area, they -- and mostly our neighborhood, anyway, we're very happy about that, most people walk.

So that's not to say that some people don't drive, and there are spaces of course, but yeah. For evening out, yeah, everything opens up on Concord and Huron, since most of those are operational in daytime, yeah.

STEPHEN HISERODT: We should also consider there are currently three bus lines which run up and down Concord Avenue on a 60-minute to a half-an-hour basis.

There are 15 or so metered parking spaces within 200 yards of the restaurant, and there is ample open parking up and down Concord Avenue that is less used in the evening. But there seems to be plenty of capacity in the neighborhood.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Other members of the Board have any questions you want to ask now?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All set.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I will open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, there is. Councillor Nolan?
COUNCILLOR NOLAN: Thank you. This is -- I am on live, correct?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
COUNCILLOR NOLAN: This is -- thank you. It's
Patti Nolan. I am -- I do happen to be a City Councillor. I'm also a neighbor. I live about all of three blocks from this site. And $I$ want to speak in full support of this application for several reasons.

I did post when I heard about this. It was through a Boston Eater magazine that restaurant might be coming. And I have to note it's a totally unscientific survey, but there were many comments from neighbors, all of
which were excitement -- I think there were nine -- and there were 44 hearts on the post when I said that this restaurant was opening up in our neighborhood.

So I think that's a strong signal of support.
As you also all know, there's also -- not quite a crisis, but there has been an incredible difficulty citywide of empty storefronts across the city due to the pandemic. Retail is suffering. There are storefronts that have really sought to try to get people in that have not worked.

So that's another reason why I support this, so that we can have a storefront that will be filled with a vibrant, wonderful restaurant that has proven itself in another place.

It matters to me that they're local people. It matters to me that it's an immigrant family who is bringing a different kind of cuisine to the neighborhood. I also -it's a small, local business I wholly support and I'm sure the Board does too, any way we can.

I actually went by the site today and just got totally excited, asked a couple passerbys about it. People seem to be quite enthusiastic.

I do want to address the parking issue, because
it's clearly something we'll should think about, and that's the center of this relief request. I am concerned living in the neighborhood -- again, that it definitely is something to think about.

However, we as a city are trying very hard to discourage people from just hopping in their car and going everywhere. Many people in the city, myself included, are thinking of eliminating parking minimums across the board anywhere, because it is something that advantages and actually sends a message that it makes sense for everybody to drive.

That -- I think zero parking for a restaurant in a neighborhood that does have parking spots, particularly in the evening on the street, on many of the avenues -- I live right on Huron Ave, which is also a place that in the evening there are often empty spots right in front of my house and across the street.

It is one of the few places in Cambridge -- I maybe shouldn't advertise -- that is not resident parking without a meter. And yet, you can often find spots. So for all of those reasons, while I really appreciate the Board being thorough and looking at this, and
yet I think the new way is for us to make sure that we're not requiring parking for something like this because -again, between public transportation and neighborhood need for this kind of restaurant and think of retail business that will bring people to the neighborhood, I can only be 1000 percent in support.

And I hope you grant this, and that it will be one of the first of many that we support as much as we can the local, small businesses that are so central to our identity and also to our city and our economy.

That's it. Any questions, let me know, but I'm speaking about resident and if it matters as a City Councillor, but if not then ignore that and just as an enthusiastic neighbor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, several. Jonathan Austin?
JONATHAN AUSTIN: Good evening. My name is John
Austin. I'm an architect with offices at 219 Concord Avenue, immediately to the right.

Just an example of what it means to not have a vibrant use in this building, over the last 10 years, the neighborhood stroll that occurs in the center has for a
number of years retail uses in this building, and it was great during the neighborhood strolling during December.

And for the last couple of years due to construction and then due to COVID, that obviously put a hold, and that event occurs in December. And it's really important to encourage and have uses like this in a cityscape such as this.

And so for the sake of all the business we've had in this intersection, Huron and -- I very much support a use like this going into this building. Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, thank you for taking the time to offer your views.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Jessica Eshleman?
JESSICA ESHLEMAN: Good evening, Honorable Members of the Cambridge BZA. My name is Jessica Eshleman. I'm the Executive Director of Union Square Main Streets. We are the Small Business Group supporting small businesses throughout Union Square.

And it has been a pleasure to welcome Celeste to our business district just over three years ago, and then more recently Maria to our Board of Directors. She has been an incredible leader in our play space, economic development
efforts.
I am calling in to express full endorsement of the request for this variance. One thing I have learned from Celeste and their leadership is this is a team who knows who to work with little to make a lot happen.

As Maria was indicating, her space in our district is quite small, and quite frankly so is our parking supply as well. This group of -- this pair of entrepreneurs has really cultivated a following of folks who love the concept of neighborhood businesses.

And whether it's walking, biking, rideshare or public transit to get there, it's something that I witness on a regular basis their customers not only -- you know, complying with but doing it with joy, knowing that they're contributing to a vibrant business district.

I'm really confident that this new enterprise of theirs will bring much to your city, much to the neighborhood that it will be serving, and I've heard so many great points offered by the City Councillor and the architect before, which I won't repeat, but I just want to express fully our support as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.

JESSICA ESHLEMAN: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Astrid Steverlynck?
ASTRID STEVERLYNCK: Yes, hello? My name is
Astrid Steverlynck, and I'm also a neighbor. And, you know, I also live in the neighborhood. I live on 243 Concord, so it's only like three doors down. And I also want to express my support for this restaurant coming to our neighborhood. I think it will be really nice to have them here.

And I also know Maria for -- I have known her for many years. My kids went to school here in Cambridge with her kids, and I know her and -- I know that they are going to build something really beautiful there.

They -- especially, you know, now is Harvard Square looking a little dim after COVID, and you know, and all the -- you know the things that we know that happened during the last years $I$ think it will really bring some spirit to our neighborhood.

And I agree that parking in the evening is not a problem in our neighborhood. There's plenty of space on Concord Ave. And so I just want to say I support Celeste coming to our neighborhood. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Zachary Baum?
ZACHARY BAUM: Thank you very much. My name is
Zachary Baum. I serve as President of the Board of Union Square Main Streets in Somerville.

I want to echo so much of what Jessica offered as to what Celeste has offered to our district in Union Square and specifically what Maria and Juanma have offered to our neighborhood of businesses and residents.

Before Maria served on our Board, she took up as one of the leading forces in our Good Food for All campaign in the founding years. This is a fundraiser that restaurants take up to raise money for our -- the SNAP matched funding to provide lower-income residents with opportunities to purchase goods at the Union Square farmers' market.

The generosity and leadership that Maria and Juanma have offered to use resources and expertise that they have to serve the entirety of our community has been inspiring, and something that $I$ value so much to what they bring to Union Square, to a community of businesses that we value so much, and to all of their diners -- myself as a resident; also $I$ want to just enjoy the beauty that they
bring in to the world.
And I recommend this more highly for bringing their creation to Cambridge.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
ZACHARY BAUM: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: And one more. Sam Kachmar?
SAM KACHMAR: Hello. My name is Sam Kachmar. I'd just like to speak as both a resident of the neighborhood at 207 Lakeview Ave and as a local business owner.

I'm in full support of this restaurant and the relief they're seeking for the parking spaces. It would be great to be able to walk to a restaurant and bar in the area. So just wanted to voice our full support for them.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: That's it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
SISIA DAGLIAN: [Laughter]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No more public comment.
So I'm going to close public testimony.
SISIA DAGLIAN: One more, sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: One more? I'm sorry, this is one more.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Katherine Oh?

KATHERINE OH: Good evening. Hi. My name is
Katherine Oh, and -- I'm sorry, I didn't know I had to raise my hand in order to be on the roster. I am -- well, I used to be a resident of Cambridge, but I am still very closely tied to Cambridge, especially that neighborhood. My older son is a student at St . Peter.

And so I am also down -- I have also known Maria, -- who are now graduating seniors, have been in third grade at the Tobin School.

And so I can really vouch for hers and also Juanma's character, I suppose. I find them to be one of the top people that I've ever met in my entire life. And I think that they will bring so much joy, so much wonderful business to the neighborhood that the idea of them coming back to Cambridge is very exciting for me.

So I hope that you will approve their special request for a parking variance, so that we can all benefit from their restaurant and their presence. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the time. Now we're all done, right?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair would also report that we have voluminous letters in our files, all of which enthusiastically support the relief being sought, and for the most part praising the quality of the food that's offered at the restaurant that the petitioner and her now maintains in Somerville.

Okay. Discussion, or are we ready for a vote? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All set.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan all set. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought:

This is a special permit actually, so I've changed my gears. One second.

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met, obviously, unless we grant the relief for reduced parking.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the reduced parking will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. There is testimony from the
petitioner that the restaurant will be open for the most part -- open at times that the other businesses in the area or eating places are winding down.

So the impact is not as great as one might expect, with regard to the reduction of parking.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the reduced parking -- again, for the reasons that I just expressed -- that the hours don't correspond with the hours that are allotted to the other businesses in the area.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant -- I hope not -- of the occupant or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance. In fact, it will promote the intent and purpose of the ordinance. It encourages the formation of a small business, and it also supports -- mutually supports the other business uses in the area.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And I will not be the skunk in the garden party; I vote yes as well.
[All vote YES]
Now the vote, relief granted.
MARIA RONDEAU: Thank you. Thank you so much. JUANMA CALDERONE: Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(8:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 112778 -- 12 Newman Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MAGGIE BOOS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, this is Maggie Boos calling in.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
MAGGIE BOOS: Smart Architecture -- I'm the architect for Emily Sequiera at 12 Newman Street. And we are here to present our case for a special permit for the project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go right ahead.
MAGGIE BOOS: Our -- the house at -- Emily's house is a nonconforming house in its -- on its left-side setback. It otherwise conforms to floor area ratio, front and rear yard setbacks and height.

It has been added to very slightly in the past, but enough that the additions we're requesting fall in the

10 percent to 25 percent category of increase in floor area. So we're seeking a special permit for an increase of no more than 25 percent of the original square footage before the building became nonconforming.

What we're seeking relief for is a one-story addition on the first floor on the right side of the building, and we're seeking permission to build a shed dormer on the right side of the building on the third floor, and also, to raise the knee walls of an ell that exists there now.

We might want to go straight to -- can I control this? No. We might want to go straight to the elevations. I think it will explain best what's happening. So this is the existing front elevation.

That ell is shown off the right side of the building, and you can see it doesn't come up as far as the ridge, the main part of the house and the headroom on the third floor is extremely low.

It's extremely low in the main part of the house, but it's too low to walk into in the ell, even though it is actually finished space up there. I mean, you could put a -- you know, a beanbag chair and bend over and, you know,
crouch into the space.
My client has three children, two of whom are over
six feet tall and -- over six feet tall, but who are six
feet tall, and who -- and occupying this house with three children and herself. We're trying to make the third floor a useable -- a really useable space for a bedroom.

You can go to the next slide, the next page. This shows the ell from the -- showing the southwest side of the house, shows the ell that doesn't come up to -- whose ridge doesn't come up to the primary ridge.

Go to the next page, please?
This is the rear elevation. The ell is shown on the left.

Next page?
This is the left side elevation, the northeast side, and shows another change that we want to make, which is a change to the windows at the first floor on that side, which you'll see in the proposed elevations. You can go to the proposed elevations, please.

This shows the one-story addition on the right side of the first floor. There's a small shed, or a small hip roof at that point. And it also shows the raised ell
area with the shed dormer coming off of that south side slope of the primary volume.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I suppose, since it is you're talking about the dormers, you know, we have our dormer guidelines -- can you address how you comply or don't comply with the dormer guidelines?

MAGGIE BOOS: The dormer guidelines ask that the dormers be set back from the ridge of the roof, and they -sorry, from the rake of the roof and they -- which we are doing, and they ask that the dormers not be all the way up to the ridge.

However, in the construction of the ell, which isn't -- isn't a dormer, really, it's an ell off of the primary volume, the only way to achieve seven seats of headroom is to bring that ridge up to the height of the existing ridge, seven feet being the code requirement for habitable space.

So that's what we're doing. We're making a code worthy space at the interior, and it made sense architecturally to have that dormer have the same ridgeline. I think that the sort of chaoticness (sic) of how the dormer would look otherwise would be not as attractive.

I mean, it's also a fact that when you're looking at any of this from the ground, you can't see that that shed dormer is coming up to the -- you know, to the ridge. The --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What about the length of the dormer?

MAGGIE BOOS: Sorry?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The length of your proposed dormer?

MAGGIE BOOS: I'm sorry?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The length of your
proposed dormer. How long --
MAGGIE BOOS: Oh, it's smaller than the proposed, than 15 feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's all I wanted to know.

MAGGIE BOOS: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
MAGGIE BOOS: Yeah. Okay. You can go to the next page, please.

This shows the shed dormer to the left, the raised ell to the right, and the habitable space inside. It also
shows the one-story addition at the first floor, with the triple windows. And it shows the railing in the back of the house that we're proposing to go around the -- around the porch roof.

There is currently a door coming out the back of the rear of the house at the second floor level onto a porch, and there is no railing. It's just a rubber roof. So we're proposing to put a railing up for safety.

Okay, you can go to the next page.
This shows the ell off the left side of -- the raised ell off the left side of the house, and shows the railing at the second floor level on the porch.

Okay. And then if you go to the next page, please, 7.3, this shows the window changes that we're proposing on the first floor of that north side of the house. So we're proposing to close two windows and open a new window in the kitchen area.

And then you can also see the railing that is being proposed on the porch at the left side on the rear of the house.

Let's see. In terms of the photographs, the next image is the shingle house, looking at the front of it, with
the single window at the third floor.
You can go around to the next photograph. That shows the ell protruding out. You can't really see what the roof is doing, but it's shorter than the main volume.

And that right there is the space of the first floor addition. So we're adding about four feet on the first floor. We're extending the house out so that it's flush with the ell on that side.

And then the next image shows the doors coming out on the rear of the second floor, out onto a railing less roof.

So we're trying to make additions that are in keeping with the architecture of the building that don't overwhelm the building and respect the architecture that's there. It's a really, really charming house, and several of the houses on the street do have dormers that have been put on the third floor.

But I think this house is a real -- it's a real gem in that neighborhood, and I think that the neighbors feel that way too. It's just a really lovely building. We're trying very hard to make additions to it that are equally nice and keep with the architecture.

So we're staying within the floor area ratio, within the setback requirements, and requesting special permit under the Article 8 section that allows the additions up to 25 percent.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anybody have questions? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Month --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's okay. Laura go ahead.
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Jim no questions I think I heard you say?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, no questions. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair has no questions. So I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No?
SISIA DAGLIAN: No, but the owner I think wishes to speak.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The owner wishes to speak? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Emily?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Emily, you can unmute and --
EMILY SEQUIERA: I'm sorry, can you hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now we can, yes.
EMILY SEQUIERA: Thank you. I just wanted to say thank you to Maggie for the presentation, but I also wanted to add that $I$ did meet and speak with all of the abutting neighbors, and they are all in support of the project, and excited about the, you know, continuing to improve the looks
of the house. So I just wanted to add that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Thank you for bringing that to our attention, because we don't have any letters in the file --

EMILY SEQUIERA: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- from your neighbors.
EMILY SEQUIERA: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But your representation of
what they told you is good enough.
EMILY SEQUIERA: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ready for a motion?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Board moves
that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

In this regard, the neighborhood -- the nature of the structure will not change. There won't be a substantial
change for sure. And no congestion or hazard will be created by the proposed work.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed. Again, we're talking about modest modifications to the structure that will not have any impact on the neighborhood, except to the extent it will be beneficial.

And that no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Smart Architecture dated March 23, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey, yes, I'm in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair says yes as well to the special permit. It's unanimous. Special permit granted.

MAGGIE BOOS: Thank you so much.
EMILY SEQUIERA: Thank you.
MAGGIE BOOS: Thanks for approving that restaurant too. Great!

JIM MONTEVERDE: As long as you can walk.
MAGGIE BOOS: I will.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- town, I think,
considering the letters of support for that restaurant.
EMILY SEQUIERA: Excellent.

MAGGIE BOOS: Thank you.

(8:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 113073 -- 81 Belmont Street. Anyone -- I mean 71 Belmont Street, I'm sorry. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]

Hello?
[Pause]

SISIA DAGLIAN: You can unmute yourself.
LISA MURPHY: Hi.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

LISA MURPHY: Can you hear me now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

LISA MURPHY: Okay, thank you. Sorry about that. We are Lisa and Charles Murphy, the contractor working with Yul and Noi Amara at 71 Belmont Street, and we are looking for approval on a special permit to extend the existing roof on that porch.

I believe we submitted pictures of existing conditions, as well as the plans, and letters of support from their neighbors.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I think the relief you're seeking is quite obvious, I mean in terms of it's not a sophisticated change to the structure. We understand the purpose of what you're doing, and as you have said, it is supported by a petition really from all of the neighbors, from most of the neighbors --

LISA MURPHY: Correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There's neighborhood support for what is being proposed. Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, just a comment. When I look at the precedent photographs, or the other neighborhood photographs that are attached. The other homes that have enclosed second floor porches, it leads me to desire an ordinance that would not allow it, and frankly I don't know that $I$ could support it.

But really what it does for the character of the -

- and I understand the neighbors may be in support, but what it does for the character of -- the immediate character -the character of the immediate neighborhood, the street, I just think these are -- I cannot support it.

Just for the change that it brings to the overall character of the building itself, and how that affects the front yard, the sidewalk, the street.

I think putting those pertinences, enclosing them really just changes the character so dramatically of the building itself and its presence on the street that I would not support it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Do you think the impact of what it wants to do is that significant in terms of the impact on the streetscape for the neighborhood?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I do.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anyone else wish to speak? I mean, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I tend to agree with Jim, but I'm not completely decided yet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah, I'd like to just look at it a bit more, I think. And I think I'm sympathetic to what Ji
is saying. Certainly, what's shown there is -- but I think it --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
LAURA WERNICK: It's challenging, certainly, but I understand what Jim is saying.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Can I just comment within the -- just for members of the Board?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I mean, I know it's public, but the photos that are attached, I prepared a document to send to the City Council to basically -- because I think sometimes, we've seen a couple of these come through where my understanding is they have been approved at -- not even approved, but as of right by an interpretation that says because it counts as FAR, you can go ahead and enclose it.

And I've taken photos of exactly houses like this as part of our presentation to basically show why something like this, or people will do the -- we've seen other cases where they've enclosed the ground floor or something to that effect. And just I think when you look at it across the street, I just take exception to it.

And when I see the ones around town that I know
we've approved, it just breaks my heart. So I've kind of -I'll be submitting something to the City Council to basically not make this as really --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good for you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- some other review process to her review these. At the moment, I just -- I can't support it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the point, I think, Jim, you make and it's a good point. We're not an architectural review Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're a zoning Board. JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And your comments basically are a criticism of the architectural impact of what is being proposed, which is -- I accept it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But I'm not sure that it falls within our province to deny relief simply because we don't like the architecture.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, no, it's not. I think the way the introduction to the ordinance is written, it starts off
generally and broad enough about, you know, impact on the neighborhood, consistency with the neighborhood in keeping with.

And I think my exception springs from that. I'm not trying to comment on architecturally what it does with this piece structure, it's more what it does in the cityscape and the streetscape. So yeah, I understand your point, Mr. Chair, but --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I still take exception to it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I understand yours as well. I mean, we are in need of some more architectural review in Cambridge. We're not the Board to do it, unless the zoning ordinance has changed.

But, point well taken.
LAURA WERNICK: And can we ask just again what the -- how it's going to be used, how is the -- what's the intention?

LISA MURPHY: So it literally is just for them to be able to sit out on the second floor. It's not anything to use year-round. And where there is already an existing portion of roof, it would literally just add the roof so
that it would accommodate them to sit out there and eliminate elements, essentially.

LAURA WERNICK: Mm-hm.
CHARLES MURPHY: And beyond that, to address Jim's concerns, it would actually kind of become consistent with the character of the neighborhood as every house on this street actually has an enclosed porch for their second floor. We're the only house that doesn't, and it kind of stands out in that way.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's a good thing. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Some would take that as a good thing.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I was going to make the same comment. I mean --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. And if I could -- can I -if $I$ could ask a follow-up question, just to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead. [2:23:22
indiscernible]
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- comment about the, you know, basically just putting a roof over the porch. I'm looking at the second-floor plan. Those are all sliders that -- is
this not enclosed for four-season use?

LISA MURPHY: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Yep.
LISA MURPHY: You asked, we're not -- it's not to be used for year-round. That's what you were asking?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, but it's an -- there are enclosures that go around the perimeter to basically enclose it year-round?

CHARLES MURPHY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony.

LISA MURPHY: Yeah, but it's not year round. It is --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Wait a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Nope.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. So I'll close public testimony. As indicated in the presentation, there is a -- I would call it a petition signed by many of the neighbors, all in support of the relief being sought. So there we are. Further discussion?

LAURA WERNICK: Well, I think that Jim's proposed letter is important, and I'm glad to sign onto it, Jim. I'm not sure that we can -- as the Chairman indicated, can we -and I agree with you it's -- those houses are really disturbing when you see the enclosed second floor.

But I'm not sure we can as the Zoning Board refuse this petitioner because of that appreciate.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Further discussion, or should I just make the motion and then vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I would be for a motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I'm ready for a motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Then I will make the motion. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit being sought: Okay, here we go. That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met
unless we grant the special permit to extend the roof. That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. I think it has an impact, as Jim Monteverde pointed out, but I don't think it falls within the context of denying the special permit.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, that is the enclosure of the -- the extension of a roof over the deck -- over the porch, I'm sorry.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed -- the proposed use -- will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans
prepared by David Harmon, $H-a-r-m-o-n$ Architecture dated September 7,2020, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting, but I do want to say that I endorse Mr. Monteverde's letter to the City Council to address this issue. I don't love this petition, but I don't think we can deny it. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to the petition.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to the petition.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes to the petition. What about yes to granting the special permit?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the special
permit. Yes to granting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair would
echo Andrea's comments. I think that the letter should go to the City Council. Whether it does anything with it is something else, but it's needed. There should be some architectural review, and we don't have it right now in our governing ordinance in the city. But in any event, the Chair -- the vote is four in favor, one opposed.
[FOUR VOTE YES, ONE OPPOSED]

The special permit is granted. Thank you. COLLECTIVE: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, can I request a twominute break?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why don't we take a fiveminute break.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll resume at 8:33.
[BREAK]
(8:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, the Chair will now end the recess and resume our meeting. And we will call Case Number 109870 -- 22 Gray Gardens East. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]

They went to swim in the swimming pool at the neighbor downtown street.

PAUL SULLIVAN: Okay. Can you hear me now?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

PAUL SULLIVAN: Oh, okay. Thanks. Good evening, members of the Zoning Board. I'm Paul Sullivan with the Sullivan Company, and I'm representing my client, Jeffrey Lichtman, and Linda Tucci with regard to gaining relief to extend their existing deck at their home on Gray Gardens East.

The deck itself actually meets the A2 zoning requirements of the seven-foot setback which is under 25.

It's seems like quite a bit. But the issue came when we went to get the building permit that we were told the deck was too close to the brick garage. So the deck is --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right. But unfortunately the advertisement for the case didn't say that. I mean, you're supposed to -- when you do your advertisement, you're supposed to put the public on notice about what it is in general terms you're seeking.

And the problem -- what you're seeking is you want to extend the deck to a place where it is too close to the garage in terms of our zoning ordinance. It has nothing to do with six inches from the corner of the house.

The plans you submitted show that the deck, should we grant you the relief, will be 2. -- I guess eight feet from the garage. That's what this is all about.

PAUL SULLIVAN: Well, all we had to go by was the dimensional setbacks of the $A-2$ district, which doesn't say anything about the garage. That came up when we went in to get the permit, so we applied for it as such. I'm at a little bit of a loss here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's all right. I think we made the point. The relief that you're seeking, if I may
be so bold as to venture my own opinion, the relief you're seeking is very, very modest in nature.

I think it's not been correctly stated in your advertisement, and to some extent the public has been a little bit misled, not that $I$ think it makes a difference.

I guess I would only point out that it leads -should we grant the relief you're seeking, it could be attacked in court by an aggrieved neighbor claiming that you didn't properly advertise the case. And --

PAUL SULLIVAN: We do have a letter of support from the neighbors.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I understand that. But there's a lot of other people who can -- you know, you may have your neighbor -- your client may have an enemy in the neighborhood who doesn't realize it's an enemy.

The point is is that this is not done the way it should have been done, and if it were a more complicated or controversial case, I for one at least would require you to readvertise and readvertise. But I'm prepared to go forward, as the case is prepared.

If any other members of the Board feel otherwise, please speak up. I guess not. Okay. So I think you said
everything you wanted to say about the relief you're seeking?

PAUL SULLIVAN: Yes, thank you. Appreciate that. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You need a variance. And the variance requires that you meet three conditions. I can dig it out from the statute. One second, just bear with me. Okay.

That a literal enforcement of the -- first one -a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being obviously that the client will not be able to extend the deck to the extent he or she wish to do so. The substantial -- that's off to the side.

Second, that the hardship is owing to the -basically the shape or topography of the land or structure. So again, given the fact that there is an existing garage and an existing residence, that's the -- and where they're located causes the need for a variance.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

And again, the -- back to where I started, the
relief being sought is very, very modest, and there's no neighborhood impact. And so that would be how you satisfy that condition.

Did I do a good enough job?
PAUL SULLIVAN: Perfect.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Questions from members of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I'd like to hear a bit about the hardship.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, say it again, please?

ANDREA HICKEY: About hardship in this case, I'd like to hear the petitioner speak to that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
PAUL SULLIVAN: Well, they want to enjoy their back yard. They have a gorgeous back yard -- gardens and so on. And the deck that's there is modest at best, and it is not off the back of the kitchen, where they would get the
most enjoyment from that.
So not having a -- you know, a complete deck out
there keeps them from full enjoyment of their beautiful yard that they maintain very well.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
PAUL SULLIVAN: You're welcome.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. We have only -- what do we have, there is a letter in our files in support from the abutting neighborhood -- the neighbor.

Okay. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a second to see if anyone wishes to call in?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I think the owner, Linda Tucci.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hm?
SISIA DAGLIAN: The owner, Linda Tucci. Would you

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: -- wish to speak?
LINDA TUCCI: We're both here.
JEFF LICHTMAN: Yeah, this is Linda Tucci and Jeff Lichtman are here together. Yeah, this extension allows us to use our kitchen without having to go downstairs to get to our back yard, which -- Linda's mother is 100 and thank goodnight is still walking around, but she doesn't go downstairs. So for her the deck is the only way she can really be outside.

We would very much like to allow this deck to be used. It's a little too small the way it is now for most of the kind of things you might want to do on a deck. So it would help us.

But also, the house is already closer to the garage than the deck would be. So we're not in some way impinging on the walkway between the house and the garage. That would still exist, and it would be in fact a little wider where the deck is relative to where the house is, which also abuts the garage.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a very fair point,
and I think maybe it's the most compelling reason for us granting the zoning relief. So good for you. Good point. Anything further? JEFF LICHTMAN: No, not from us. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ok. PAUL SULLIVAN: Same here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think anybody -do we have anybody else who wants to speak? No. SISIA DAGLIAN: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I'll close public testimony. Discussion from members of the Board about this deck that's going to get too close to the garage, under our zoning, that is?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan. I've seen an awful lot of this particular example where you've got a deck and then you've got sort of a stepdown into what was a patio area or paved area. It actually becomes a hazard -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and stepping down, and either you've got the railing, which then divides the area and it sort of becomes neither fish nor fowl. You know, so you -- by extending the deck then you make a far more usable
and actually a safer environment for people to navigate, and also, to enjoy. So I would be all for this. I think it's all the right reasons.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No comments, no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. Let me -Andrea or Laura, do you have anything you want to comment on or should I move on to making a motion?

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea, you can move on, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provision of this ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that this deck is ill-conceived where it is now, and by extending it -- Mr. Sullivan's pointed out it actually increases the safetiness (sic), increases safety in the use of the deck.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this is an existing situation. There is already a garage that's close to the main -- the residential structure. And
therefore what is being proposed doesn't add anything to the detriment of our zoning ordinance.

And in fact, as I pointed out and Mr. Sullivan's pointed out, it really is benefit from a zoning point of view and safety point of view.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. Again, the relief sought is very modest in nature, with no impact beyond the lot itself.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans -drawings, really -- prepared by the, or submitted by the petitioner, two pages of which, both of which have been initialed by the Chair.

## Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the relief requested.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes for granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to granting the relief.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chairman votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
Variance granted. Good luck with the deck.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much. Appreciate it. Goodnight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Goodnight.
(8:46 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call our last case, Case Number 113905 -- 134 Hancock Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
JOHN BUCKLEY Hello, am I in?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, you are.
JOHN BUCKLEY Very good. My name is John Buckley, and I'm Architect of Record for the project, and I'm representing Lili and Rebecca Walsh.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JOHN BUCKLEY: So the -- our proposal is essentially to remove square footage of a nonexisting dormer and relocate some square footage in the rear of the property on a second-floor addition. And some context of the project: I'd like to look through some elevations showing the proposed demolition, and the new scheme.

So if we actually go to the drawing 301, it's
closer to the beginning of the document. Yep, there we go. So what you can see, the drawing to the right of the page is the front façade, so that's on Hancock Street. And the dormer at the top is nonoriginal dormer that has a very strong presence.

And we are proposing to remove that square footage and remove the habitable space in the attic, and relocate that towards the rear of the property.

So if we skip down a few pages to some other elevations, that should be clear.

So the new proposal, as seen here, shows the removal of the dormer, but a new second-story addition towards the rear.

And the next page down shows the rear elevation, which shows the addition of the hip roof. And then $I$ think the following images are really telling about kind of where this came from, and why it we've decided -- you know, that this is probably a better use of the building.

So this building is quite old -- mid 1800s -- and it's been relocated to a nonconforming lot. It's
nonconforming on lot minimum lot size 5000 square feet. So out of the gate, our GFA, allowable GFA is hamstrung. And
the building is as it exists now would be over an allowable GFA.

But the building as proposed would be within an allowable GFA, if our lot was -- you know, proper 5000 square feet. I believe the lot right now is 4200 and some odd square feet.

But by the pictures, this dormer is somewhat of an offensive character in the neighborhood. The neighborhood's kind of a lovely area, and this nonoriginal dormer really adds a strong street presence that's kind of lackluster.

And more to the point, the building is older. It has lower ceilings, and our proposal, instead of trying to make use of what is a really cramped attic space which has functional, you know, living spaces in it currently, you know, our proposal is to, you know, move that space into a more rational floor plan that conforms on code.

We have a proper staircase, you know, it is a better, safer building by moving the living space to the rear.

So that's the gist of our proposal. And I'm happy to talk further, but -- and answer any clarification.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no requests.

Anybody else? Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah,[Jim Monteverde] one
question: I see toward the end of the presentation
metatarsal a certificate of appropriateness from the MidCambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission. JOHN BUCKLEY: Mm-hm.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And there's a comment that asked you to reconsider options for the front entry with the placements of columns and glazing. Is that incorporated in the scheme that you've submitted tonight?

JOHN BUCKLEY: That is not. So that comment -there were two comments made in our historic review, neither of which were binding. The one that we took to heart was there was previously a feature on the roof that was like a widow's walk type element to conceal some skylights.

And they had suggested that, you know, that's kind of a false faux element, and that we could just show the skylights. So this proposal does show that change.

The other suggestion was to keep the cadence of the columns in the front element. We've pointed out, and also showed an earlier photograph where this area was glazed and enclosed. I believe that was from 1922. And our
proposal -- this is two separate units, you know, with a party wall in between the two. And so there's two separate entrances coming up to this entry vestibule.

And our proposal changes from three columns that you see from the street façade to four columns.

And behind the -- there's a panel in between the two central columns that will basically conceal kind of a mudroom capacity. And, you know, there was a comment that -- you know, they would like to see this remain as is, but it wasn't a very strong comment. And, you know, we did not take that as -- you know, this is a must.

And I also believe that -- again, an outcome of our historic review was that by and large this looks pretty good. They were very happy with the removal of the street dormer and the addition at the back, and that -- you know, for the most part we were doing a strong positive for the neighborhood, and that this front areaway was -- you know, some, an opinion worth maybe not overlooking but accepting, if that makes sense?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, thank you.
LAURA WERNICK: And just a question out of
curiosity. So you've taken out that third floor -- the
floor is no longer on the third floor, is no longer there? And the second floor now kind of a -- almost a double heigh space?

JOHN BUCKLEY: Not quite. So the ceiling heights are quite limited in the building. And so we are keeping the first floor height the same, and then the second floor ceiling is being raised to gain us some square footage -- I mean, not square footage, to get some ceiling height.

And so that, you know, essentially makes the attic space, which is already tight and cramped, you know, even more so. And so we have no intention of having any habitable space in the attic at all.

And more to the point, our new proposed addition to the rear has a lower ceiling -- lower roof height and, you know, that follows into a lower ceiling height. So that's below the -- you know, occupiable space regardless.

So there's a small portion that runs kind of central parallel to the ridge that is, you know, seven foot and plus, or five-foot plus, but the majority of that space is nonhabitable (sic).

LAURA WERNICK: So the skylights there are just purely for that --

JOHN BUCKLEY: The skylights essentially are shafted down to the floor below.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay, yep. That's fine.
JOHN BUCKLEY: So that they illuminate the
stairwell from the inside.

LAURA WERNICK: Great, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any other members of the Board have any questions they want to ask Mr. Buckley?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. I'm going to now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nothing? There is
nothing, so we will close public testimony. I will just mention that the -- there's a statement of basically support from the owners and Trustees of the 10 Hancock Place Condominium Trust, and there are some letters -- also other
letters of support. No letters of opposition.
Should I make a motion?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard -- excuse me one second, let me get my files out -- I'm a little confused with the paperwork here, I've lost my -- no, no, the public advertisement.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being this is an older structure in need of modernization and improvement, and that's not particular to the existing owner, but to anyone who would occupy the structure going forward.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this is already a nonconforming structure, so any modification requires even modification that really reduces the lack of compliance in terms of FAR. Nevertheless, it's required rezoning relief, and that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or
nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, there is neighborhood and Condominium Trust Support. This has been approved by the Mid-Cambridge Historical District. No opposition. The bottom line is that the city's housing stock will visually be improved by what is being proposed.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance being sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair, prepared by John Buckley Architecture, the first page of what has been initialed by the Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the variance with -- again, just a little, if $I$ may: I think the removal of the dormer and adding a space in the back of the house are all very positive moves.

I do take exception to closing in the front porch, but I don't think that overrides the positive benefits of
everything else that the proponent is proposing. So I will vote in favor of it.

JOHN BUCKLEY: Thank you, Jim. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes in
favor as well.
[All vote YES]
Variance granted. Thank you.
JOHN BUCKLEY: Thank you very much. Appreciate
it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Meeting is adjourned.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight. Thank you. Bye-bye.
[09:00 p.m. End of Proceedings]

## CERTIFICATE

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Middlesex, ss.

I, Catherine Burns, Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the above transcript is a true record, to the best of my ability, of the proceedings.

I further certify that $I$ am neither related to nor employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 26th day of May__ 2021.


Notary Public

My commission expires:
August 6, 2021


| A | adding 22:19 | 12:10 | 55:15,17,19 | 124:18 125:7,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-2 121:17 | 101:6 139:19 | aerial 50:10 | 56:7 57:11,13 | 125:21 126:2 |
| A2 120:21 | addition 10:18 | affect $38: 18$ | 57:18 58:22 | 126:22 127:5,7 |
| ability 141:7 | 11:9 17:11 | afternoon 80:7 | 59:3,6,9 60:4,7 | 127:10,18 |
| able 8:3,12,14 | 96:6 97:20 | age 58:7 | 60:9,11,13 | 128:4,6,11 |
| 12:6 13:9 15:7 | 100:1 101:6 | agenda $2: 7$ 5:7,9 | 61:5 62:12,15 | 129:20 130:1,4 |
| 76:12 79:10 | 131:19 132:12 | 19:7 36:7 64:7 | 62:18 63:1 | 130:6,12 131:3 |
| 90:12 113:20 | 132:15 135:15 | agendas 36:7 | 64:3,6,15,20 | 131:6,15 |
| 123:11 | 136:13 | aggrieved 122:8 | 68:4,8,12 69:4 | 133:22 137:7 |
| absolutely 11 | additional 13:3 | aghast 26:8 | 69:6,8,19 71:9 | 137:10,18 |
| abuts 126:21 | 17:9 37:13 | ago 86:20 | 71:12,15,18 | 138:4 139:16 |
| abutters 17:3,14 | 38:14,21,22 | agree 51:10 | 72:3,6,10 73:1 | 140:4,6,8,14 |
| 38:18 | 43:1,20 79:10 | 88:18 110:18 | 73:9,13,15 | aligned 8:22 |
| abutting 103:20 | additions 43:1 | 116:9 | 75:19 76:1,14 | aligning 11:18 |
| 125:12 | 95:22 101:12 | ahead 23:19 | 77:22 78:9,18 | ALISON 57:17 |
| accept $32: 8,10$ | 101:21 102:3 | 26:12 95:16 | 79:21 80:17 | allotted 93:10 |
| 32:14,19 | address 9:12 | 102:11 111:15 | 81:21 82:2 | allow 8:7 28:12 |
| 112:16 | 29:6 42:12 | 114:18 | 85:15 86:11 | 34:5 49:4 79:2 |
| accepting | 83:22 98:5 | air 49:14 60:3 | 87:22 88:22 | 109:20 126:12 |
| 135:18 | 114:4 118:12 | alcoves 13:13 | 90:4,14,16,18 | allowable 12:3 |
| accepts 17:7 | addresses 10:1 | Alexander 1:7 | 90:21 91:20 | 43:6,21 49:10 |
| access 43:17 | addressing | 3:4,7,9 4:22 | 92:1,9,11 94:6 | 54:6 57:7 |
| 44:9 45:1,10 | 43:12 | 6:6 19:3,6,17 | 94:9,12,15,21 | 132:22 133:1,4 |
| 49:14 61:10,15 | adds 133:10 | 19:20 20:2,22 | 95:3,6,11,16 | allowed 8:5 |
| 70:2 92:19 | adhere 21:3 | 21:4,15 22:5 | 98:3 99:5,8,11 | allowing 11:10 |
| 104:18 117:2 | adjacent 17:12 | 22:10,21 23:2 | 99:15,18 102:5 | 36:16 |
| accessory 21:14 | 24:9 61:17 | 23:4,8,18 | 102:8,10,13,15 | allows 13:2,13 |
| accommodate | 70:11 76:9 | 24:15 25:3,8 | 102:18 103:6,9 | 102:3 126:6 |
| 16:17 18:2 | 93:7 105:4 | 25:18,21 26:12 | 103:11,17 | alternatives |
| 114:1 | 117:9 | 26:19 27:8,20 | 104:2,6,8,11 | 59:21 |
| accommodating | adjoining 19:10 | 28:3,10,21 | 104:13 106:1,4 | Amara 108:20 |
| 60:2 | 62:170:20 | 29:5 31:15 | 106:7,10,19 | amended 36:21 |
| accompanying | 93:17 105:13 | 32:6,9,17,21 | 107:1 108:3,6 | amending 3:14 |
| 16:6 | 117:18 | 33:4,6 35:2,4,7 | 109:4,11,15 | amenity 26:16 |
| accurate 8:19 | adjourned | 35:11,14 36:3 | 110:12,16,20 | amount 27:2 |
| 54:2 | 140:14 | 36:6 37:16 | 111:3,8 112:4 | 49:7 |
| achieve 98:14 | adjustmen | 39:2,9,11,13 | 112:8,12,14,18 | ample 77:8 |
| action 141:9,11 | 18:1 | 39:15 40:15,18 | 113:9,11 | 81:17 |
| actual 32:4 | advantages 84:9 | 40:21 41:2,7 | 114:11,14,18 | analysis 29:17 |
| Adam 36:13 | adversely 61:18 | 42:3,6 44:11 | 115:11,15 | 29:22 30:2,6 |
| add 7:5 16:21 | 70:12 93:8 | 44:16,22 45:5 | 116:1,13,17,19 | and/or 61:20 |
| 17:8 21:8 | 105:5 117:10 | 45:12,15,18,22 | 118:7,9,15,17 | 70:17 93:13 |
| 45:15 53:3 | advertise 84:19 | 46:5,7,11 47:6 | 118:19 119:1 | 105:10 117:14 |
| 54:5,19 103:20 | 122:9 | 47:10,13,17 | 119:13,16 | Anderson 1:10 |
| 104:1 113:22 | advertisement | 48:7,16,22 | 120:3,6,14 | 3:6 4:21 6:5 |
| 129:1 | 121:5,7 122:4 | 49:13 53:2,12 | 121:4,21 | 13:21 18:13,13 |
| added 34:6 44:6 | 138:8 | 53:14 54:18 | 122:12 123:4 | 42:12,13,13 |
| 95:21 | advice 11:17 | 55:2,8,11,13 | 124:6,9,11,14 | 44:14,21 45:4 |


| 45:10,14,17,19 | anticipate 80:21 | 14:12 47:12 | 16:16 115:5 | 98:8 100:2,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46:3,6,17,19 | anticipation 7:6 | April 43:11 | aspect 61:3 | 123:22 124:20 |
| 46:22 47:3,8 | anybody 26:22 | 58:17 | Associates 36:13 | 124:20,22 |
| 47:11,16 48:3 | 61:2 102:5 | architect 6:13 | 71:4 | 126:8 135:15 |
| 48:12,15,19 | 127:7,8 134:1 | 30:20 85:18 | Association 39:4 | 139:20 |
| 49:1,15,16,16 | anyway 44:18 | 87:20 95:13 | assume 59:14 | background |
| 50:17 52:17 | 81:7 | 131:13 | assuming 49:9 | 73:18 |
| 53:22 54:11,15 | apartment 38:1 | Architects 72:12 | 58:4 | bad 33:13 |
| 54:17,21 55:6 | apologize 52:18 | architectural | assumpti | Baker's 3:13 |
| 58:16 59:17,17 | Apparently | 112:10,15 | 30:15 | balanced 12:13 |
| 59:17 63:5 | 116:1 137:10 | 113:12 119:5 | Astrid 88:2,3,4 | balconies 45:17 |
| Andrea 1:8 3:5 | APPEAL 1:1 | architecturally | attached 109:18 | 45:18 46:1,1 |
| 4:12,13 6:3 | Appeals 3:8 | 98:20 113:5 | 111:10 | 47:14 48:2 |
| 13:18,19 16:1 | 73:4 | architecture | attacked 122:8 | 49:19,22 50:14 |
| 18:4,6,6 19:4 | applicable 37:19 | 95:12 101:13 | attendance 4:11 | 50:18,19 51:8 |
| 23:4,5,5 27:18 | 39:22 | 101:14,22 | attention 104:3 | balcony 46:8 |
| 27:18,20,21 | applicants 4:1 | 105:19 112:20 | attic 132:7 | 48:6,10 52:20 |
| 28:4,18,19 | application 7:2 | 118:1 139:12 | 133:13 136:9 | bar 75:13 76:4,4 |
| 35:4,5 36:4 | 13:10 36:19 | area 12:19 26:14 | 136:12 | 77:1,4,5,6 |
| 39:11,12,12 | 65:2,13 82:18 | 26:20 27:2 | Attorney 36:12 | 90:12 |
| 40:18,19,19 | applied 121:19 | 28:5,12 31:2,4 | attractive 24:5 | Barb 22:9 23:22 |
| 42:4 48:4,4 | applies 34:16 | 32:16,16 33:1 | 98:22 | 25:13 |
| 51:22,22 52:4 | 58:3 | 37:2,5,18 38:9 | audible 4:11 | Barbara 19:15 |
| 52:8,9,22 53:1 | applying 20:12 | 43:5 44:2,6,15 | audio 3:19 66:4 | 19:15 22:11 |
| 53:2 55:17,18 | 21:1 | 49:10 56:22 | August 141:18 | 29:16,18,20,20 |
| 59:3,4,4 60:11 | appreciate | 58:8 66:7 | Austin 85:16,17 | 30:17 |
| 60:12 62:15,16 | 22:18 25:6 | 74:19 76:19,20 | 85:18 | Barbara's 19:19 |
| 62:16 64:4 | 28:16 73:4 | 80:7 81:7 | avail 56:3 | bartender 77:5 |
| 69:4,5 71:15 | 84:22 116:12 | 90:13 93:2,11 | available 7:10 | based 12:10 |
| 71:16,16 72:4 | 123:3 130:10 | 93:22 95:19 | 38:13 | 47:4 56:14 |
| 94:12,13,13 | 140:12 | 96:1 98:1 | Ave 84:15 88:20 | 61:1 75:11 |
| 95:4 102:13,14 | approaching | 100:17 102:1 | 90:9 | basement 37:3,8 |
| 106:4,5,5 | 27:9 | 127:17,17,20 | Avenue 1:6 2:5 | 37:18 38:13 |
| 108:4 110:17 | appropriate | 133:9 134:21 | 2:11 36:9 72:7 | 39:21 44:9 |
| 110:18 118:9 | 11:4,7 12:22 | areas 67:8 | 80:15 81:15,18 | 53:16,19,20 |
| 118:10,10 | appropriateness | areaway 135:17 | 85:19 | 76:16 |
| 120:4 124:11 | 134:4 | arguments 80:5 | avenues 84:14 | basically 20:12 |
| 124:12,16 | approval 44:4 | arrive 81:6 | avert 27:1 | 24:20 28:14,14 |
| 125:5 128:7,9 | 65:1 67:10 | Article 102:3 | aware 25:7 | 33:2,16 37:11 |
| 128:9 130:1,2 | 108:21 | articulate 7:1 | awful 21:20 | 39:4 111:11,17 |
| 130:2 131:4 | approve 14:14 | articulated 10:4 | 127:15 | 112:3,15 |
| 140:4,5,5 | 91:17 | articulation |  | 114:21 115:7 |
| Andrea's 119:2 | approved | :21 | B | 123:15 135:7 |
| angles 50:21 | 111:13,14 | artificial 21:20 | back 5:9 36:7 | 137:20 |
| answer 53:7 | 112:1 139:4 | asked 23:9 | 42:17 43:17 | basis 18:3 34:8 |
| 75:17 133:21 | approving 33:3 | 83:20 115:4 | 44:3 50:9,19 | 40:6,6 58:13 |
| answered 30:13 | 39:4 106:15 | 134:7 | 68:16 77:3,3 | 62:671:1 |
| Anthony 34:13 | approximately | asking 4:10 | 80:11 91:16 | 81:15 87:13 |


| 94:1 105:16 | 33:18 60:3 | 104:13 109:13 | 34:22 36:3 | 134:6,11 136:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117:20 129:11 | 73:16 132:18 | 111:7 112:10 | 39:7,8,8 40:5 | 137:1,4,8 |
| 139:8 | 133:18 | 112:12 113:13 | 40:12,13,13 | 139:12 140:3 |
| bathroom 16:16 | beyond 17:1 | 116:11 120:16 | 42:3 53:3,4 | 140:12 |
| 37:13 | 23:9 114:4 | 122:21 124:7 | 55:9,10 56:18 | buffer 49:5 |
| baths 13:8 | 129:10 | 127:11 137:8 | 56:18 57:1,4,7 | build 11:7 33:19 |
| battle 9:9 | biased 28:2 | boarded 65:17 | 57:10,12 58:19 | 49:10 88:12 |
| Baum 89:1,2,3 | big 24:14,19 | 65:20 68:20 | 58:20,20 60:6 | 96:7 |
| 90:5 | 46:11 74:22 | bold 122:1 | 60:6 61:4 | building 9:3 |
| bay 10:2 11:16 | biking 87:11 | bonus 13:6,7 | 62:10,10 64:3 | 10:3,7,14 |
| bays 11:15 | binding 134:13 | Boos 95:9,10,12 | 64:18 68:9,10 | 16:21 17:5 |
| beanbag 96:22 | bistro 76:8,8 | 95:17 98:7 | 68:10 69:18 | 22:14 27:12,14 |
| bear 8:10 123:6 | 77:9 | 99:7,10,13,17 | 71:6,7,7 72:3 | 38:2 45:1 |
| beautiful 25:14 | bit 5:7 9:9 13:5 | 99:19 106:13 | 77:14,14 80:14 | 48:18 74:5 |
| 88:12 125:3 | 13:7 46:9 50:9 | 106:15,18,22 | 80:19 82:1 | 78:5,8 85:21 |
| beautifully 13:1 | 51:5 52:21 | borders 58:8 | 92:8,10,10 | 86:1,10 96:4,7 |
| beautify $22: 19$ | 55:3 73:18 | borne 30:2 | 94:3,4 95:3 | 96:8,16 101:13 |
| beauty 89:22 | 75:6 110:22 | Boston 82:20 | 102:6,7,7 | 101:14,20 |
| bed 52:14 | 121:1,20 122:5 | bother 54:11 | 104:12 105:21 | 110:6,10 121:2 |
| bedroom 16:16 | 124:12 | bottom 8:18 9:9 | 105:21 108:3 | 132:18,19 |
| 37:12 52:8,12 | black 24:4 | 11:21 23:12 | 109:13,14,14 | 133:1,3,11,18 |
| 52:13 97:6 | Blakeslee 2:10 | 55:21 60:16 | 116:15,15 | 136:5 |
| bedrooms 13:9 | 64:8,22 | 69:11 82:4 | 118:4,5,5 | buildings 20:10 |
| 13:13 38:21 | blank 50:20,20 | 102:21 115:16 | 120:3 124:8,8 | 47:18 |
| beds 32:19,22 | blend 11:4 | 125:14 137:13 | 127:14,14,19 | built 11:6 16:11 |
| beer 76:10 | blends 15:18 | 139:6 | 129:17,18,18 | 17:5 20:5 |
| beginning 132:1 | blessing 74:11 | Boyes-Watson | 131:3 138:3 | burden 27:14 |
| believe 27:21 | 75:16 | 72:11 | 139:14,14 | Burns 38:1 |
| 31:5 39:16 | blocks 43:16 | Brad 23:17,19 | brick 121:3 | 141:4 |
| 44:13 46:2 | 82:16 | 23:20 25:2,6 | briefly 7:13 | bus 81:5,14 |
| 47:8 51:12 | board 1:1 3:8 | break 119:12,14 | bring 9:17,17 | buses 81:4 |
| 53:22 54:2 | 4:1,10 6:19 | 119:17 | 48:5 85:5 | bushes 28:22 |
| 65:6,16 68:2 | 7:10 8:2 9:5 | breaks 112:1 | 87:17 88:16 | business 74:17 |
| 72:12 76:22 | 11:17 12:11 | Brendan 1:8 3:4 | 89:20 90:1 | 78:1 83:17 |
| 109:1 133:5 | 13:18 14:14 | 4:18,19,19 6:3 | 91:14 98:15 | 85:4 86:8,17 |
| 134:22 135:12 | 15:20 16:7,10 | 6:9,10,11 7:11 | bringing 11:18 | 86:20 87:15 |
| Belmont 2:13 | 16:14,15,20 | 7:17 8:1,15 | 74:15 83:15 | 90:9 91:15 |
| 108:7,8,20 | 17:4,7,10,13 | 9:15 13:2,5,15 | 90:2 104:3 | 93:21,22 |
| bench 28:7 77:9 | 17:15,19 18:4 | 13:17,20,22 | brings 110:5 | businesses 80:7 |
| bend 96:22 | 22:22 36:12 | 14:4,17 15:1,8 | broad 50:15 | 85:9 86:17 |
| beneficial 105:8 | 42:19 43:13 | 15:10,22 16:3 | 54:22 113:1 | 87:10 89:8,20 |
| benefit 34:6 | 47:22 48:1 | 18:8,10,12,14 | broadcast 3:20 | 93:2,11 |
| 91:18 129:4 | 53:5,6 54:22 | 18:14,17 19:3 | brunt 80:15,19 | button 14:6,7 |
| benefits 139:22 | 57:14 60:5 | 19:6 22:22 | Bryant 19:15,16 | buy 45:9 |
| Bess 15:3,4,4 | 73:474:18 | 23:1,1,6 26:7,7 | 22:11 29:7,16 | Bye-bye 140:15 |
| best 10:13 96:13 | 78:19 81:22 | 26:13,20 29:18 | 29:20,20 30:17 | BZA 4:7 86:15 |
| 124:21 141:6 | 83:18 84:8,22 | 32:1,3,7,10,12 | Buckley 131:10 | BZA-100817 2:5 |
| better 15:19 | 86:21 89:3,9 | 33:5 34:21,22 | 131:12,12,16 | BZA-107647 2:6 |


| BZA-108723 2:4 | 113:13 134:5 | central 85:9 | 104:20,22 | cityscape 86:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BZA-109870 | campaign 89:10 | 135:7 136:18 | 105:1 109:6 | 113:7 |
| 2:14 | Campbell 6:11 | certain 3:14 | 110:5 117:4 | citywide 83:6 |
| BZA-110709 2:8 | 6:12,13 7:11 | certainly $25: 15$ | 134:18 | claiming 122:8 |
| BZA-111216 | 7:16,22 8:3,7,8 | 38:5 50:4 | changed 42:22 | clarification |
| 2:10 | 8:13,16 9:16 | 52:15 111:1,4 | 92:14 113:14 | 133:21 |
| BZA-111270 2:9 | 9:20 13:4,7,16 | certificate 134:4 | changes 7:14 | clear 7:2 79:18 |
| BZA-112778 | 18:19 | 141:1 | 44:5 59:22 | 132:10 |
| 2:12 | cap 8:20 | certify 141:5,8 | 60:1 66:8 | clearly 6:18 84:1 |
| BZA-113073 | capacity 33:2 | chair 1:7,8 3:9 | 67:21 100:14 | click 14:6,7 |
| 2:13 | 75:20 81:19 | 6:9 16:6 27:18 | 110:9 135:4 | 23:11 55:21 |
| BZA-113146 | 135:8 | 29:4 33:8 34:8 | Channel 3:20 | 60:16 69:10 |
| 2:11 | car 84:6 | 34:15 35:14 | chaoticness | 82:4 102:21 |
| BZA-113905 | carefully 42:19 | 36:6,8 39:2,15 | 98:21 | 115:16 125:14 |
| 2:15 | 50:3 | 39:18 40:7,11 | character 37:18 | 137:12 |
|  | carve 13:9,14 | 41:2 53:10 | 39:21 61:13 | client 97:2 |
|  | case 2:2,4,5,6,8 | 57:18,19 58:14 | 70:4,8 91:12 | 120:17 122:14 |
| C | 5:10,10 6:6,8,9 | 58:18 60:13 | 92:22 104:20 | 123:11 |
| cable 3:20 | 6:17 19:10 | 61:6 62:7,18 | 109:22 110:2,2 | clients 64:22 |
| cadence 134:19 | 21:5 36:7,8 | 63:1 64:7 | 110:3,6,9 | 76:21 |
| calculated 54:6 | 42:6,7 43:22 | 69:19 71:1,5 | 114:6 117:4 | close 15:11 |
| calculation 37:6 | 60:14 61:3,3 | 71:18 72:6 | 133:8 | 25:22 26:4 |
| Calderone 73:6 | 63:4 64:7 72:7 | 92:1,11 94:2 | Charles 3:13 | 31:19 56:16 |
| 94:20 | 95:7,14 108:7 | 95:6 96:22 | 108:19 114:4 | 60:22 69:16 |
| call 4:3 5:10 | 120:8 121:5 | 102:18 105:17 | 115:9 | 76:12 77:18 |
| 23:16 64:7 | 122:9,18,20 | 105:20 106:10 | charming | 80:8,9 90:19 |
| 72:6 82:8 95:7 | 124:16 131:7,7 | 108:6 113:8 | 101:15 | 100:16 116:2 |
| 103:3 108:6 | cases 2:3 5:3,4,4 | 116:20 117:20 | children 37:13 | 121:3,10 |
| 116:3 120:7 | 5:4 19:7,8,10 | 118:3 119:1,7 | 97:2,5 | 127:10,12 |
| 125:18 131:6 | 34:16 35:6 | 119:11 120:6 | Churchill 2:5 | 128:22 137:19 |
| 137:16 | 111:18 | 128:12 129:12 | 36:8 | closely 91:5 |
| called 73:7,20 | cast 17:12 | 129:16 131:6 | circulation 77:8 | closer 126:16 |
| calling 14:8 15:1 | category 96:1 | 138:4,9 139:9 | citizens 61:21 | 132:1 |
| 23:14 36:8 | Catherine 141:4 | 139:11,13 | 70:18 93:14 | closest 50:22 |
| 56:1 60:18 | cause 61:12 70:3 | 140:8 | 105:11 117:15 | closing 80:8 |
| 69:12 82:6 | 70:8 92:20 | Chairman 6:18 | city 1:2,12 3:15 | 139:21 |
| 87:2 95:10 | 104:19 117:3 | 7:10 9:5,14 | 3:16,16,17 | co-23:20 |
| 103:1 115:18 | causes 123:18 | 36:11 59:9 | 12:12 18:1 | cockeyed 5:8 |
| 125:16 137:14 | ceiling 136:4,7,8 | 64:12,17,19 | 61:21 70:18 | code 98:16,18 |
| calls 14:11,15 | 136:14,15 | 68:771:22 | 78:11 82:15 | 133:16 |
| 15:9,10 | ceilings 133:12 | 95:9 116:8 | 83:7 84:5,7 | Coffee 51:1 |
| Cambridge 1:2 | Celeste 73:7,20 | 130:6 | 85:10,12 87:17 | COLLECTIVE |
| 1:6 3:8,15,21 | 76:3 86:19 | chairs 28:7 | 87:19 93:15 | 71:22 119:10 |
| 10:1 14:22 | 87:4 88:20 | challenging | 105:11 111:11 | 130:10 140:15 |
| 38:3 51:2 74:1 | 89:6 | 111:4 | 112:2 117:15 | columns 134:9 |
| 84:18 86:15 | center 11:10 | change 4:9 7:15 | 118:12 119:3,6 | 134:20 135:4,5 |
| 88:10 90:3 | 84:2 85:22 | 61:13 70:4 | city's 4:7 27:14 | 135:7 |
| 91:5,6,16 | centered 12:18 | 92:21 97:16,17 | 139:6 | come 14:11 |


| 33:15 54:9 | 20:20 122:17 | congestion | 64:3,6,15,20 | 131:6,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74:20 76:21 | comply 98:5,6 | 61:12 70:3,9 | 68:4,8,12 69:4 | 133:22 137:7 |
| 96:16 97:9,10 | complying | 92:21 104:19 | 69:6,8,19 71:9 | 137:10,18 |
| 111:12 | 87:14 | 105:1 117:3 | 71:12,15,18 | 138:4 139:16 |
| comfort 60:3 | con 56:15 | Conservation | 72:3,6,10 73:1 | 140:4,6,8,14 |
| coming 14:15 | conceal 134:15 | 134:5 | 73:9,13,15 | construction |
| 15:10 25:15 | 135:7 | consider 81:13 | 75:19 76:1,14 | 42:17 86:4 |
| 37:13 48:17 | concept 75:6 | considered 74:9 | 77:22 78:9,18 | 98:12 |
| 82:21 88:7,21 | 87:9 | considering | 79:21 80:17 | containers 30:8 |
| 91:15 98:1 | concern 21:15 | 106:20 | 81:21 82:2 | context 50:13 |
| 99:3 100:5 | 44:7 | consistency | 85:15 86:11 | 51:4,12 117:6 |
| 101:9 135:3 | concerned 16:18 | 113:2 | 87:22 88:22 | 131:19 |
| comment 4:5,6 | 24:22 84:2 | consistent 114:5 | 90:4,14,16,18 | continuance |
| 14:5 15:11 | concerns 21:22 | Constantine 1:7 | 90:21 91:20 | 36:17 |
| 90:18 109:16 | 42:19 43:12 | 3:4,7 4:22 6:6 | 92:1,9,11 94:6 | continue 34:6 |
| 111:6 113:5 | 53:5,6 114:5 | 19:3,6,17,20 | 94:9,12,15,21 | 38:774:14 |
| 114:15,20 | Concord 2:11 | 20:2,22 21:4 | 95:3,6,11,16 | 75:7 80:10 |
| 128:7 134:7,11 | 72:7 81:11,14 | 21:15 22:5,10 | 98:3 99:5,8,11 | continued 2:3 |
| 135:8,10 | 81:18 85:18 | 22:21 23:2,4,8 | 99:15,18 102:5 | 5:3,4,6,10 6:17 |
| comments 6:18 | 88:5,20 | 23:18 24:15 | 102:8,10,13,15 | 36:7,7 42:7 |
| 9:5 12:10 | concrete 43:16 | 25:3,8,18,21 | 102:18 103:6,9 | 61:16 70:10 |
| 15:20,21 31:16 | concur 15:12 | 26:12,19 27:8 | 103:11,17 | 93:6 105:3 |
| 49:2 68:9,11 | condensed | 27:20 28:3,10 | 104:2,6,8,11 | 117:8 |
| 82:22 112:14 | 12:18 | 28:21 29:5 | 104:13 106:1,4 | continues 43:17 |
| 119:2 128:5 | condition 27:10 | 31:15 32:6,9 | 106:7,10,19 | continuing |
| 134:12 | 33:13,17 34:9 | 32:17,21 33:4 | 107:1 108:3,6 | 103:22 |
| commercial | 34:17 40:8 | 33:6 35:2,4,7 | 109:4,11,15 | contractor |
| 42:15 43:4 | 58:15 62:8 | 35:11,14 36:3 | 110:12,16,20 | 19:19 20:19 |
| 58:9 | 68:15,18,18 | 36:6 37:16 | 111:3,8 112:4 | 108:19 |
| commission | 71:2 81:1 | 39:2,9,11,13 | 112:8,12,14,18 | contributing |
| 134:5 141:17 | 105:18 117:22 | 39:15 40:15,18 | 113:9,11 | 87:15 |
| Commonwealth | 124:3 129:13 | 40:21 41:2,7 | 114:11,14,18 | control 96:11 |
| 141:2,5 | 139:10 | 42:3,6 44:11 | 115:11,15 | controversial |
| community | conditions 31:22 | 44:16,22 45:5 | 116:1,13,17,19 | 21:6 122:18 |
| 74:15,18 75:3 | 34:10 109:2 | 45:12,15,18,22 | 118:7,9,15,17 | conversation |
| 89:18,20 | 123:5 | 46:5,7,11 47:6 | 118:19 119:1 | 50:6 |
| Company | condo 37:22 | 47:10,13,17 | 119:13,16 | convert 38:13 |
| 120:17 | Condominium | 48:7,16,22 | 120:3,6,14 | cook 75:8 77:5 |
| compelling | 39:4 137:22 | 49:13 53:2,12 | 121:4,21 | cooking 76:6,19 |
| 127:1 | 139:4 | 53:14 54:18 | 122:12 123:4 | 76:20 |
| competition | conducive 52:14 | 55:2,8,11,13 | 124:6,9,11,14 | core 13:10 |
| 11:12 | confident 87:16 | 55:15,17,19 | 124:18 125:7,9 | corner 66:11 |
| complete 125:2 | conforming | 56:7 57:11,13 | 125:21 126:2 | 74:2,7 121:12 |
| completely 11:6 | 45:19,21 | 57:18 58:22 | 126:22 127:5,7 | correct 11:9 |
| 38:16 110:19 | conforms 43:5 | 59:3,6,9 60:4,7 | 127:10,18 | 37:21 45:4,10 |
| compliance | 95:19 133:16 | 60:9,11,13 | 128:4,6,11 | 46:15 50:17 |
| 138:20 | confused 138:6 | 61:5 62:12,15 | 129:20 130:1,4 | 54:14 57:6,9 |
| complicated | congested 80:12 | 62:18 63:1 | 130:6,12 131:3 | 76:17 82:12 |


| 109:10 115:2 | cuisine 83:16 | 59:17,17 63:5 | 131:21 | 122:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| corrected 46:17 | cultivated 87:9 | dark 11:2 | den 37:11 | different 10:12 |
| correctly 122:3 | curiosity 135:22 | Dash 36:13 | dense 81:6 | 29:10 31:8 |
| correspond | curious 29:8 | dashed 52:19 | deny 112:19 | 48:17 51:18 |
| 93:10 | current 9:12 | date 5:5 34:14 | 118:14 | 53:10 75:9,10 |
| correspondents | 12:16 16:11 | 58:17 | denying 117:6 | 83:16 |
| 14:13 | 27:15,21 30:7 | dated 3:17 16:5 | Department | difficulty 83:6 |
| Council 111:11 | 33:14 68:17 | 34:14 58:17 | 27:12,14 | dig 123:6 |
| 112:2 118:12 | 80:1 | 71:4 105:19 | depending 4:9 | $\operatorname{dim} 88: 14$ |
| 119:3 | currently 22:13 | 118:1 | derogate 62:2 | dimension 52:7 |
| Councillor | 53:21 79:12 | David 118:1 | 70:21 93:18 | 52:19 |
| 82:10,11,14,15 | 81:14 100:5 | day 141:13 | 105:14 117:18 | dimensional |
| 85:13 87:19 | 133:14 | daytime 81:12 | derogating | 16:6 36:21 |
| counsel 141:9 | cursor 8:17 | de 16:15,18 | 17:17 34:4 | 53:17 67:20 |
| count 56:22 | 52:10 | deal 44:19 50:5 | 58:12 123:21 | 68:1 121:17 |
| counts 37:5 | customers 87:13 | debating 44:19 | 129:8 139:1 | dimensions 46:1 |
| 111:15 | D | December 86:2 | describe 72:20 | 52:1 |
| couple 78:4 | $\frac{\text { D }}{\text { D } 2: 13.113}$ | 86:5 | design 7:15 12:7 | diners 89:21 |
| 83:20 86:3 | D 2:1 3:1,13 | decide 26:15,16 | 30:20 40:9 | dinner 78:17 |
| 111:12 | Daglian 1:13 | decided 110:19 | 42:13 49:16 | direct 14:20 |
| course 3:22 | 4:12,14,16,18 | 132:17 | 58:16 59:18 | direction 31:8 |
| 44:20 53:12,14 | 4:20 8:6,10,12 | decides 80:2 | Design/Build | directly 21:17 |
| 74:22 81:10 | 9:19 14:16 | deck 24:19 30:4 | 19:19 | 24:9 |
| court 122:8 | 15:3,9 23:17 | 45:21 46:11 | designed 29:14 | Director 86:16 |
| cover 40:10 | 23:19 25:9,20 | 47:7 49:14 | 31:4 | Directors 86:21 |
| 50:10 65:6 | 56:6,21 57:3,6 | 51:18 52:1 | desirable 17:15 | dirt 37:15 |
| covered 53:5,6 | 57:9 82:10,13 | 56:19,22 | 129:6 138:21 | disabled 8:9 |
| 55:7 | 85:16 86:13 | 117:12 120:19 | desire 109:20 | disconnection |
| COVID 86:4 | 88:2 89:1 90:6 | 120:21 121:2,3 | desired 16:17 | 9:6 |
| 88:14 | 90:15,17,20 | 121:10,13 | detached 37:4 | discourage 84:6 |
| COVID-19 3:12 | 91:1,22 103:5 | 123:12 124:21 | detail 75:6 | discovered 49:6 |
| 3:17 | 103:7,10,12,14 | 125:2 126:10 | determine 30:2 | discuss 61:2 |
| cramped 15:15 | 103:16 108:13 | 126:12,14,17 | determined | discussion 15:12 |
| 133:13 136:10 | 108:15,17 | 126:20 127:12 | 20:19 | 26:5 56:17 |
| create 11:16 | 115:22 125:20 | 127:15,22 | detriment 17:11 | 61:2 92:7 |
| 37:9 38:5,19 | 125:22 126:3 | 128:16,19 | 17:16 34:3 | 116:5,13 |
| created 61:19 | 127:9 131:11 | 130:9 | 58:11 61:20 | 127:11 |
| 70:16 93:12 | Dan 42:12,13 | decking 31:2,4 | 70:17 93:13 | disrepair 20:16 |
| 105:2,9 117:13 | 44:14,21 45:4 | decks 12:14,16 | 105:10 117:14 | disruption |
| creating 11:8 | 45:10,14,17,19 | 21:7 26:9 | 123:20 129:2,7 | 21:16 |
| 74:14 | 46:3,6,17,19 | 45:13,15 47:14 | 138:22 | district 37:19,22 |
| reation 90:3 | 46:22 47:3,8 | 48:2 49:12 | development | 39:22 58:9 |
| crisis 83:6 | 47:11,16 48:3 | deep 10:16 | 17:20,20 38:1 | 62:1,1 70:20 |
| criticism 112:15 | 48:12,15,19 | definitely 84:3 | 38:10 61:16 | 70:20 86:20 |
| cross 21:2 | 49:1,15,15 | definition 37:2 | 70:10 86:22 | 87:6,15 89:6 |
| crouch 97:1 | 50:17 52:17 | degree 50:21 | 93:6 105:3 | 93:17,17 |
| crumbling | 53:22 54:11,15 | delay 73:3 | 117:8 | 105:13,13 |
| 30:11 | 54:17,21 55:6 | demolition | difference 51:7 | 117:18,18 |


| 121:17 134:5 | 129:14 | 96:14 97:12,15 | 16:12 | essentially $54: 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139:5 | dreamed 74:7 | 132:14 | endorse 118:11 | 114:2 131:17 |
| disturbance | drinks 29:1 | elevations 10:9 | endorsement | 136:9 137:1 |
| 47:19 51:15,16 | drive 51:9 81:9 | 96:12 97:18,19 | 87:2 | established |
| disturbing | 84:11 | 131:20 132:10 | enemy 122:14 | 61:13 70:4,8 |
| 116:10 | driveway 9:3 | eliminate 114:2 | 122:15 | 92:21 104:20 |
| diverted 55:3 | 11:10 43:17 | eliminating 84:8 | enforcement | 117:4 |
| divides 127:20 | due 3:10,17,22 | Elizabeth 6:14 | 16:7 33:10 | evening 5:6 6:12 |
| document | 44:20 66:18 | ell 96:9,15,20 | 57:22 123:8,9 | 36:11 63:6 |
| 111:10 132:1 | 79:12 83:7 | 97:8,9,12,22 | 128:14 138:11 | 72:15,18 73:3 |
| documents | 86:3,4 | 98:12,13 99:22 | engineer 20:18 | 77:17 81:10,18 |
| 46:19 | dwelling 37:3 | 100:10,11 | 29:17,21 30:19 | 84:14,16 85:17 |
| doing 11:8 22:14 | 43:5 44:15 | 101:3,8 | enjoy 89:22 | 86:14 88:18 |
| 30:10 87:14 |  | Ellsworth 6:12 | 124:19 128:2 | 91:2 120:15 |
| 98:10,18 101:4 | $\frac{\mathbf{E}}{}$ | 6:13 7:16,22 | enjoyment | event 86:5 119:6 |
| 109:7 135:16 | E 2:1 3:1,1 | 8:3,8,13,16 | 125:1,3 | events $3: 16,17$ |
| Dolman 6:15 | earlier 5:5 77:18 | 9:16,20 13:4,7 | enlarge 50:12 | 77:13 |
| door 45:2 68:16 | 78:16 134:21 | 13:16 18:19 | enlarged 17:6 | everybody |
| 68:20,22 100:5 | early 78:8 | EIm 2:4 6:7,14 | enterprise 87:16 | 15:14 51:19 |
| doors 49:19 88:6 | easement 43:16 | 15:4 | entertain 26:22 | 77:5 84:10 |
| 101:9 | East 2:14 120:8 | emergency 3:11 | entertaining | everybody's |
| dormer 96:8 | 120:20 | 3:16 | 28:19 30:4 | 74:11 |
| 98:1,5,6,7,13 | east-west 77:2 | Emily 95:13 | enthusiastic | exactly 20:13 |
| 98:20,21 99:3 | Eater 82:20 | 103:12,14,15 | 83:21 85:14 | 111:16 |
| 99:6,9,12,21 | eating 93:3 | 103:18 104:5,7 | enthusiastically | example 85:20 |
| 131:17 132:4,4 | echo 89:5 119:2 | 104:10 106:14 | 92:3 | 127:15 |
| 132:12 133:7,9 | economic 86:22 | 106:21 | entire 91:13 | excavate 54:5 |
| 135:15 139:19 | economy 85:10 | Emily's 95:17 | entirety 89:18 | Exceeds 57:3 |
| dormers 51:2 | effect 10:22 | employed 141:9 | entrances 135:3 | Excellent |
| 98:4,8,10 | 111:20 | Employees 1:12 | entrepreneurs | 106:21 |
| 101:16 | effort 22:19 | empty 83:7 | 87:8 | exception |
| double 136:2 | efforts 87:1 | 84:16 | entry $43: 14,15$ | 111:21 113:4 |
| downstairs | egress 44:9 | enclose 111:15 | 51:9 134:8 | 113:10 139:21 |
| 37:12 76:18 | 61:11 70:2 | 115:7 | 135:3 | excited 83:20 |
| 126:7,10 | 92:20 104:19 | enclosed 109:19 | environment | 103:22 |
| downtown | 117:3 | 111:19 114:7 | 128:1 | excitement 83:1 |
| 120:12 | eight 14:12 33:2 | 115:1 116:10 | environmental | exciting 91:16 |
| drafting 69:1 | 121:14 | 134:22 | 24:6 | excluding 54:12 |
| dramatically | either 81:2 | enclosing 110:8 | equally 101:22 | excuse 46:9 48:4 |
| 110:9 | 127:20 | enclosure | equipment | 138:5 |
| drawing 10:8 | elegant 10:6 | 117:11 | 12:19 | Executive 3:13 |
| 11:15,22 12:15 | element 134:15 | enclosures | Eric 6:15 | 86:16 |
| 46:15,16 48:5 | 134:17,20 | 115:7 | error 68:19 69:1 | exempt 37:3 |
| 48:16 49:18 | elements 114:2 | encourage 17:21 | Eshleman 86:13 | exist 126:19 |
| 52:6 131:22 | elevated 21:20 | 17:22 86:6 | 86:14,15 88:1 | existence 11:12 |
| 132:2 | elevation 48:20 | encourages | especially $58: 7$ | existing 8:18 |
| drawings 6:19 | 49:17 67:4,8 | 17:20 93:20 | 88:13 91:6 | 11:15 15:15 |
| 8:11 20:1 | 67:11,15 68:16 | encumbered | essence 37:7 | 16:22 18:1 |


| 20:5,10,13 | face $50: 15,15$ | feel 10:6 12:7 | fine 21:18 30:16 | folks 28:1 87:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:8 39:1 | faces 76:21 | 43:10 48:1 | 55:2 137:3 | follow 53:15 |
| 59:19 68:15 | facing 50:20 | 75:1 80:15,19 | finish 37:7 | follow-up |
| 79:9 96:14 | 77:1 | 101:20 122:21 | finished 37:3 | 114:17 |
| 98:16 108:21 | fact 9:6 11:1 | feels 11:20 | 96:21 | following 33:8 |
| 109:1 113:21 | 17:5 30:6 58:7 | feet 10:19 21:9 | first 5:10 11:16 | 34:10 57:20 |
| 120:19 123:16 | 61:13 93:19 | 21:12,14 37:8 | 34:15 36:16 | 61:6 65:16 |
| 123:17 128:21 | 99:1 123:16 | 43:20 46:3,4,5 | 42:22 57:19 | 69:20 87:9 |
| 138:15 | 126:19 128:20 | 46:6,7,10,13 | 58:18 71:4 | 92:12 104:14 |
| exists 96:9 133:1 | 129:3 138:17 | 46:14,22 47:1 | 85:8 96:6 | 116:20 128:12 |
| expand 38:7,9 | fair 126:22 | 47:9,9,12 | 97:17,21 100:1 | 132:16 138:5,9 |
| expanded 26:17 | fall 78:8 95:22 | 49:22 50:1 | 100:15 101:5,7 | follows 136:15 |
| expanding 7:4 | fallen 20:16 | 52:20,21 59:21 | 105:20 118:2 | food 75:7 89:10 |
| 17:1 | falls 112:19 | 65:12 75:22 | 123:8 136:6 | 92:5 |
| expansion 16:13 | 117:6 | 76:1 97:3,3,4 | 139:11,12 | fool 12:20 |
| 16:15 | false 134:17 | 98:16 99:14 | first-floor 66:15 | foot 31:6 53:22 |
| expect 93:4 | families 7:3,4 | 101:6 121:14 | fish 127:21 | 54:4 136:18 |
| expensive 20:20 | 13:10 14:21 | 132:21 133:5,6 | fit 49:9 | footage 13:3 |
| experience 76:5 | 15:17 18:2 | fell $74: 5$ | five 10:19 18:16 | 53:17,19 54:3 |
| expertise 89:17 | family 16:17 | file 26:3 36:22 | 18:17 47:22 | 54:5 96:3 |
| expires 141:17 | 37:10 38:5,7 | 39:3,16 56:9 | five- 119:13 | 131:17,18 |
| explain 30:18 | 38:15,20 40:4 | 56:15 68:3 | five-foot 136:19 | 132:6 136:7,8 |
| 72:19 75:5 | 75:2 83:15 | 104:4 | five-foot-seven | footprint 16:22 |
| 96:13 | fans 24:14 | filed 36:20 | 47:11 | 17:2 20:14 |
| explaining | far 16:18 37:5 | files 31:18 92:2 | five-foot-some... | 33:20,21 |
| 73:17 | 43:6 53:16 | 125:11 138:6 | 52:6 | forces 89:10 |
| express 87:2,21 | 54:6 66:8 | filled 12:11 | flat 10:5 16:22 | forever 25:4 |
| 88:6 | 96:16 111:15 | 83:11 | floor 11:16,19 | form 53:18 68:1 |
| expressed 93:9 | 127:22 138:20 | financially | 12:4,16 20:2 | formation 93:20 |
| extend 108:21 | farmers' 89:14 | 141:10 | 37:2,5 48:11 | forms 67:20 |
| 117:1 120:19 | faux 134:17 | find 4:6 8:3,11 | 48:11 50:1,1 | formulas 29:22 |
| 121:10 123:11 | favor 14:13 15:5 | 9:22 10:3,4 | 51:20 52:3 | forth 5:9 |
| extended 7:8 | 18:6,9,11,13 | 34:14 84:20 | 56:22 68:16 | forthcoming |
| extending 101:7 | 18:15,17 25:12 | 91:12 | 73:1 77:1 | 53:7 |
| 127:22 128:17 | 28:1 35:3,5,13 | finding 37:17 | 95:19 96:1,6,8 | forward 27:1,17 |
| extension 43:20 | 35:15 40:19,22 | findings 33:8 | 96:18 97:5,17 | 58:4 74:10 |
| 117:11 126:6 | 41:2 62:16 | 34:8 40:6 | 97:21 100:1,6 | 122:20 138:16 |
| extent $61: 14$ | 71:16 94:13 | 57:20 58:13 | 100:12,15 | founding 89:11 |
| 105:8 122:4 | 106:5 118:10 | 61:6 69:20 | 101:1,6,7,10 | four 6:16 20:10 |
| 123:12 | 119:7 130:2 | 71:192:12 | 101:17 102:1 | 43:7 44:12,12 |
| exterior 51:8 | 140:2,5,7,9 | 94:1 104:14 | 109:19 111:19 | 45:16 101:6 |
| externally 38:17 | FDR 67:21 | 105:16 116:20 | 113:20 114:8 | 119:7,8 135:5 |
| extremely 96:18 | feature 134:14 | 117:20 128:12 | 116:10 133:16 | four-season |
| 96:19 | Feddersen | 129:11 138:5 | 135:22 136:1,1 | 115:1 |
| F | 19:18,18,22 | 138:10 139:8 | 136:2,6,6 | four-unit 43:4 |
|  | 20:4 21:1,11 | finds 16:7,10,20 | 137:2 | fowl 127:21 |
| façade $12: 3,12$ | 22:1,8 30:19 | 17:4,7,10,15 | flush 101:8 | framed 35:8 |
| 132:3 135:5 | 31:2,12 35:18 | 17:19 | folding 28:7 | 65:2 |


| framing 53:21 | 34:6,7 121:3 | glasses 58:17 | 124:4 127:2,2 | 139:15,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frankly 87:7 | 121:11,15,18 | glazed 134:21 | 129:7 130:9 | grass 21:21 |
| 109:20 | 123:16 126:17 | glazing 134:9 | 131:12 135:14 | Gray 2:14 120:8 |
| freestanding | 126:18,21 | go 10:8 12:8 | 138:22 | 120:19 |
| 77:12 | 127:12 128:21 | 17:9 23:19 | goodnight 126:9 | great 9:16 15:8 |
| fresh 49:14 | garage's 33:15 | 26:12 27:17 | 130:11,12 | 30:12 50:5 |
| Fridays 77:18 | garages 24:4 | 36:6 45:2 48:9 | 140:15 | 64:21 86:2 |
| friend 29:1 | garden 21:7,9 | 48:19,21 52:11 | goods 89:14 | 87:19 90:12 |
| front 10:3 12:12 | 24:2,19 25:5 | 52:13 78:11 | gorgeous 124:20 | 93:4 106:16 |
| 17:1 42:14,21 | 26:15 27:6,6,7 | 95:16 96:11,12 | gotten 22:1 | 137:6 |
| 43:14 44:3 | 28:5,9,12,15 | 97:7,11,18 | governing 119:6 | greater 30:3 |
| 45:2 65:7,8 | 28:17 30:1 | 99:19 100:3,9 | Governor 3:13 | green 22:19 |
| 67:4 84:16 | 31:21 34:17,18 | 100:13 101:2 | grade 65:5 66:5 | 25:13,16 27:22 |
| 95:19 96:14 | 94:16 | 102:11 111:15 | 91:9 | 28:15 34:7 |
| 100:22 110:7 | gardens 2:14 | 114:18 115:7 | graduating 91:9 | 49:5 |
| 132:3 134:8,20 | 22:7 24:11 | 116:22 119:2 | grant 16:4 18:4 | grill 75:13 |
| 135:17 139:21 | 27:10 29:2 | 121:16 122:19 | 34:9 39:18 | gross 37:2,4 |
| front-facing | 30:8 120:8,19 | 126:7,9 131:22 | 40:7 58:14 | 49:10 54:5 |
| 12:11 | 124:20 | 132:1 | 61:9 62:7 70:1 | 56:22 |
| froze 73:10,11 | Garvey 64:13,14 | goes 78:16 | 71:2 79:22 | ground 34:1 |
| full $43: 782: 17$ | 65:1 | going 5:3,10 6:8 | 85:792:17 | 76:15,18 99:2 |
| 87:2 90:10,13 | gate 132:22 | 8:6 9:9 19:20 | 94:2 104:17 | 111:19 |
| 125:3 | gatherings 3:12 | 20:19 21:8,11 | 105:17 117:1 | grounds 39:20 |
| fully $15: 12$ | gears 92:15 | 23:9 31:7,19 | 117:21 121:14 | group 86:17 |
| 87:21 | gem 101:19 | 31:20 33:7,18 | 122:7 129:12 | 87:8 |
| functional | general 1:3 | 34:1 38:22 | 139:9 | Grove 36:13 |
| 133:14 | 22:18 77:6 | 47:4 54:19 | granted 17:10 | growing 16:17 |
| funding 89:13 | 121:8 | 57:13 58:4 | 17:16 18:18 | 18:2 37:10 |
| fundraiser | generally 4:8 | 69:8 75:20 | 34:2 35:17 | guardrail 68:17 |
| 89:11 | 61:22 70:19 | 78:3,10,12 | 40:7 41:5 58:5 | guardrails 44:9 |
| further 54:19 | 93:16 105:12 | 80:15 84:6 | 58:10 59:12 | guess 23:20 |
| 55:18 60:13 | 113:1 117:16 | 86:10 88:11 | 62:9 63:4 | 121:14 122:6 |
| 61:3 116:5,13 | generated 61:10 | 90:19 113:17 | 71:21 94:18 | 122:22 |
| 127:3 133:21 | 70:2 92:19 | 114:14 115:11 | 106:12 119:9 | guidelines 98:5 |
| 141:8 | 104:18 117:2 | 127:12 137:10 | 123:19 129:6 | 98:6,7 |
| Furthermore | generosity 89:16 | 138:16 | 130:9 138:21 | Gus 3:9 4:20 |
| 24:11 | getting 34:7 | good 6:12 8:8,14 | 140:11 | 65:6 |
| future 24:18, 18 | 45:7 | 12:7 13:15 | granting 35:1,3 | guys' 15:16 |
| 26:14 38:8 | GFA 57:3 | 17:11,16 18:18 | 35:5 40:14,16 | H |
| G | 133:2, | 34:3 36:11 | 62:11,13,16,21 | H-a-r-m-0-n |
| G 3: | gist 133:20 | 58:11 63:5 | 71:8,10,13,17 | 118:1 |
| gain 136:7 | give 4:5 14:10 | 72:15,18 73:3 | 94:4,7,10,14 | habitable 98:17 |
| gaining 120:18 | 23:10 25:19 | 85:17 86:14 | 105:22 106:2,6 | 99:22 132:7 |
| garage 20:5,11 | 32:17 | 89:10 91:2 | 106:8 118:6,11 | 136:12 |
| 20:15,17 21:10 | given 54:22 | 104:9 112:4,9 | 118:20,21,22 | half 73:22 77:4 |
| 21:11,11 24:2 | 123:16 | 114:10,12 | 127:2 129:19 | 77:4 |
| 25:11 33:12 | glad 116:7 | 120:15 123:20 | 129:22 130:3,5 | half-an-hour |


| 81:15 | 61:12,14,14,19 | 19:11,12 20:8 | home 7:7 13:12 | identify 29:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAMMER | 70:3,9,16 | 29:21 35:1 | 37:12,13 | identity 85:9 |
| 57:17 | 92:21 93:12 | hereunto 141:12 | 120:19 | ignore 85:13 |
| hamstrung | 104:19 105:1,9 | Hi 15:4 23:20 | homes 7:6 | ill-conceived |
| 132:22 | 117:3,13 | 25:10 48:4 | 109:18 | 128:16 |
| Hancock 2:15 | 127:17 | 72:9,15,18 | Honorable | illuminate 137:4 |
| 131:7 132:3 | headroom 96:17 | 91:2 108:14 | 86:14 | image 8:4 |
| 137:21 | 98:15 | Hickey 1:8 3:5 | hope 85:7 91:17 | 100:22 101:9 |
| hand 14:7,9 | health 61:20 | 4:13 6:3 13:19 | 93:14 | images 20:17 |
| 23:13,15 48:10 | 70:17 93:13 | 16:1 18:6,6 | hopefully 74:11 | 132:16 |
| 55:22 56:2,8 | 105:10 117:14 | 19:4 23:5,5 | hoping 75:4,16 | immediate |
| 60:17,19 69:11 | hear 7:9 25:17 | 27:18,18,21 | 78:7 | 17:14 51:12 |
| 69:13 75:17 | 42:7 50:6 | 28:4,19 35:5 | hopping 84:6 | 110:2,3 |
| 82:5,7 91:4 | 64:19 103:15 | 36:4 39:12,12 | hours 77:15,17 | immediately |
| 102:22 103:2 | 108:16 120:13 | 40:19,19 42:4 | 78:13 80:10 | 85:19 |
| 115:17,19 | 124:12,17 | 48:4,5 51:22 | 93:9,10 | immigrant |
| 125:15,17 | heard 6:7,18 | 51:22 52:4,9 | house 12:12,19 | 83:15 |
| 137:13,15 | 12:6 19:13 | 52:22 55:18 | 22:16 37:4,15 | impact 17:2 |
| 141:12 | 36:9 64:9 72:8 | 59:4,4 60:12 | 51:1 65:7,8 | 21:22 26:9 |
| hands 56:6 | 82:19 87:18 | 62:16 64:4 | 66:7 67:18 | 70:7,15,15 |
| Hang 8:10 | 95:8 102:16 | 69:5 71:16,16 | 84:17 95:17,17 | 93:4 105:7 |
| happen 10:5 | 108:8 120:9 | 72:4 94:13,13 | 95:18 96:17,19 | 110:13,14 |
| 24:14 38:17 | 131:8 | 95:4 102:14 | 97:4,9 100:3,6 | 112:15 113:1 |
| 40:2 82:15 | hearing 1:3 7:14 | 106:5,5 108:4 | 100:11,16,20 | 117:5 124:2 |
| 87:5 | 42:9 49:2 78:4 | 110:18 118:10 | 100:22 101:7 | 129:10 |
| happened 73:9 | heart 9:11 112:1 | 118:10 120:4 | 101:15,18 | impair 62:1 |
| 74:16 88:15 | 134:13 | 124:12,16 | 104:1 114:6,8 | 70:20 93:17 |
| happening | hearts 83:2 | 125:5 128:9 | 121:12 126:16 | 105:13 117:17 |
| 96:13 | Heather 6:15 | 130:2,2 131:4 | 126:18,20 | impinging |
| happens 76:20 | heavily 45:11 | 140:5,5 | 139:20 | 126:18 |
| happy $42: 12$ | hefty 30:22 | high 7:6 10:15 | houses 101:16 | important 86:6 |
| 75:17 81:8 | heigh 136:2 | 10:18 21:9,10 | 111:16 116:9 | 116:7 |
| 133:20 135:14 | height 53:16,20 | 21:12 38:2 | housing 9:4 | impression 30:3 |
| hard 84:5 | 57:3 95:20 | highly 90:2 | 17:21 18:1 | improve 25:15 |
| 101:21 | 98:15 136:6,8 | hip 97:22 132:15 | 139:6 | 43:17 103:22 |
| hardship 16:9 | 136:14,15 | hired 36:17 | huge 52:15 | improved 139:7 |
| 17:4 33:11,12 | heights 136:4 | Hiserodt 64:12 | Huron 81:11 | improvement |
| 33:16 58:1,2,6 | held 3:10 | 68:6,19 72:9,9 | 84:15 86:9 | 24:3,10 138:14 |
| 123:10,10,14 | hello 19:14,18 | 72:11,14,16,19 |  | inches 121:12 |
| 124:13,16 | 72:8,13,18 | 79:8,17,20 |  | included 84:7 |
| 128:15,16,20 | 73:12,12,14 | 81:13 | icon 23:12 55:21 | includes 53:18 |
| 138:12,13,17 | 88:3 90:7 | historic 134:12 | 60:16 69:10 | including 22:12 |
| Harkavy 23:17 | 108:11 131:10 | 135:13 | 82:4 102:21 | income 17:21 |
| 23:19,20 25:2 | help 32:14 36:18 | Historical 139:5 | 115:16 125:14 | incorporated |
| 25:6 | 126:15 | Hm 125:21 | 137:12 | 134:9 |
| Harmon 118:1 | helpful 24:5 | hold 9:21 11:20 | idea 30:12 74:13 | increase 43:3 |
| Harvard 88:13 hazard 38:22 | Henry 2:8,9 <br> 14:16,17,19,19 | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 1130: 20 \\ & 86: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 91:15 <br> identical 33:21 | 96:1,2 increased 34:20 |
| hazard 38:22 | 14:16,17,19,19 | 86:5 | identical 33:21 | increased 34:20 |


| increases 128:18 | 50:6 141:10 | 46:20 47:2,5 | 137:1,4 139:12 | 135:7 136:2,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128:18 | interesting 10:2 | 48:9,13,21 | 140:3,12 | kitchen 76:4,19 |
| increasing 54:3 | 11:2 | 50:8,9,18 | joined 6:22 | 76:21 77:2,4 |
| incredible 83:6 | interior 60:2 | 51:10,14,17,17 | Jonathan 85:16 | 100:17 124:22 |
| 86:22 | 98:19 | 52:5,10,16 | 85:17 | 126:7 |
| independence | internal 38:16 | 53:9,9,13,15 | Jordan 6:14 | knee 10:20 96:9 |
| 9:1 | interpretation | 54:8,14,16 | joy 87:14 91:14 | know 7:15 8:8 |
| indicated 116:2 | 111:14 | 55:11,12 57:16 | Juanma 73:5 | 11:18 12:21 |
| 116:8 | intersection | 59:6,7,7 60:7,8 | 89:7,17 94:20 | 13:12 15:15 |
| indicates 65:11 | 86:9 | 60:8 62:12,13 | Juanma's 91:12 | 21:17 23:22 |
| 66:11 | intertwined 5:8 | 62:13,20 64:4 | Juliet 49:19 | 24:4,5,6,8,9,12 |
| indicating 67:21 | introduce 72:16 | 68:12,13,13 | 50:14 51:8 | 24:13 26:8,21 |
| 87:6 | introduction | 69:2 71:9,10 | jumping 5:9 | 27:4,15 28:7 |
| indiscernible | 112:22 | 71:10 72:4 |  | 28:13,20 29:2 |
| 80:14 114:19 | involve 16:8 | 73:11 78:19,20 | K | 29:9,11 30:17 |
| individual 31:10 | 19:8 33:11 | 78:20 79:3,5,7 | Kachmar 64:16 | 31:5 32:3 |
| individuals | 58:1 123:10 | 79:15,18 80:18 | 64:16,19,21 | 33:20 38:3,11 |
| 31:10 | 128:15 138:12 | 94:6,7,7 95:4 | 68:7,22 71:4 | 38:20 44:9 |
| industries 75:1 | involved 25:4 | 102:9,9,10,11 | 90:6,7,7 | 45:8 47:21,22 |
| industry 7:3 | 74:17 | 102:15,17 | Katherine 91:1 | 49:2,3 50:5,5 |
| information | issue 30:9 83:22 | 106:1,2,2,17 | 91:2,3 | 51:5,19 53:21 |
| 16:6 | 118:13 121:1 | 108:4 109:15 | Kathleen 64:13 | 54:12 55:3 |
| initialed 16:5 | issues 36:18 | 109:16,16 | 64:13 | 74:6 78:10 |
| 34:15 40:10 |  | 110:15,18 | Kathy 64:22 | 80:11 83:5 |
| 58:18 71:5 | J | 111:5,6,9 | keep 101:22 | 85:11 87:13 |
| 105:20 118:2 | January 34:14 | 112:5,9,11,13 | 134:19 | 88:4,9,11,11 |
| 129:16 139:11 | Jeff 126:5,5 | 112:17,21 | keeping 16:22 | 88:13,14,15,15 |
| 139:13 | 127:4 | 113:10 114:10 | 101:13 113:2 | 91:3 96:22,22 |
| initially 78:16 | Jeffrey 120:17 | 114:12,16,20 | 136:5 | 98:4 99:3,16 |
| inside 12:3 | Jerry 64:13,13 | 115:3,6,10 | keeps 125:3 | 103:22 109:21 |
| 99:22 137:5 | 64:22 | 116:7,18 117:5 | Kemp 36:11,12 | 111:9,22 113:1 |
| inspiring 89:19 | Jessica 86:13,14 | 118:7,8,8 | 37:21 41:6 | 114:20 122:13 |
| instructions 4:5 | 86:15 88:1 | 119:11,15 | kept 4:4 | 125:2 127:21 |
| 4:7 23:10 | 89:5 | 120:4 124:9,10 | Kerry 36:15 | 132:17 133:4 |
| integrity 62:1 | Ji 110:22 | 124:10 128:4,5 | kicked 57:4 | 133:14,15,15 |
| 70:20 93:17 | Jim 1:9 3:5 4:14 | 129:20,21,21 | kids 88:10,11 | 133:17 134:16 |
| 105:13 117:17 | 4:15 6:3 14:2,3 | 131:4 134:1,2 | Kim 22:13 24:9 | 135:1,8,9,10 |
| intended 68:18 | 14:3 15:21 | 134:2,7 135:20 | 25:10,10 | 135:11,15,17 |
| intent 17:17 | 16:2 18:10,11 | 137:9 139:16 | kind 9:6 12:22 | 136:9,10,15,16 |
| 34:4 58:12 | 18:11 19:4 | 139:17,17 | 50:20,21 51:4 | 136:18 |
| 62:2 70:21 | 23:2,3,3 32:11 | 140:3 | 52:5 66:19 | knowing 81:6 |
| 93:18,19 | 32:13,13,17,19 | Jim's 114:4 | 67:5 74:14 | 87:14 |
| 105:14 117:19 | 32:22 33:5,6 | 116:6 | 76:4,5 77:9,20 | known 88:9 91:8 |
| 123:21 129:8 | 35:7,10,12,12 | job 124:4 | 81:6 83:16 | knows 26:21 |
| 139:1 | 36:4 39:9,10 | John 85:17 | 85:4 112:1 | 87:4 |
| intention 52:17 | 39:10 40:21,22 | 131:10,12,12 | 114:5,8 126:14 |  |
| 113:18 136:11 | 40:22 42:4 | 131:16 134:6 | 132:16 133:9 | L |
| interested 49:1 | 46:9,13,14,18 | 134:11 136:4 | 133:10 134:16 | lack 70:15 80:12 |


| 138:19 | 113:16 114:3 | 109:2 137:22 | 78:3 87:5 | 114:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lackluster | 116:6 118:15 | 138:1,1 | 88:14 121:20 | looks 46:13,14 |
| 133:10 | 118:16,18,21 | level 56:19,20 | 122:5 126:13 | 46:20 56:7 |
| Lakeview 90:9 | 120:5 125:7,8 | 56:21 65:5 | 126:19 138:6 | 68:15 103:22 |
| land 17:22 | 128:7 130:4,5 | 66:2,5,10,14 | 139:18 | 135:13 |
| 123:15 | 131:5 135:21 | 66:15,17,21 | livable 37:9 | Lord 26:20 |
| landscapers | 136:21 137:3,6 | 76:15,18 100:6 | live 29:14 31:6,9 | loss 121:20 |
| 27:13 | 140:6,7,7 | 100:12 | 38:7 74:2,8 | lost 138:7 |
| landscaping | Law 3:15 | levels 17:21 | 82:12,16 84:14 | lot 11:12 16:11 |
| 28:17 | layer 31:8 | 48:18 | 88:5,5 | 20:9 21:20 |
| lane 45:2,3,6 | layout 76:2,10 | license 78:5 | lived 23:22 | 22:2 25:13,14 |
| language 32:4 | 77:1 | Lichtman | living 38:14 40:3 | 31:5 37:19 |
| 32:14 | leader 86:22 | 120:18 126:5,6 | 84:2 133:14,18 | 38:12 39:22 |
| large 24:4 43:8 | leadership 87:4 | 127:4 | LLC 40:10 | 43:5 44:15 |
| 75:3 135:13 | 89:16 | life 91:13 | load 30:20 31:5 | 49:4 59:20 |
| larger 49:12 | leading 66:5 | light 60:3 | 31:9 | 65:10 78:10 |
| 76:9,11 | 89:10 | likelihood 7:7 | load-bearing | 79:10,13 87:5 |
| lasting 20:20 | leads 109:19 | Lili 131:14 | 30:1 | 122:13 127:15 |
| late 80:6 | 122:6 | limit 28:9 | loads 29:14 31:6 | 129:10 132:20 |
| latest 77:19 | learned 56:12 | limited 27:11 | local 83:14,17 | 132:21,21 |
| Laughter 28:3 | 75:8 87:3 | 28:12,15 42:15 | 85:9 90:9 | 133:4,5 |
| 90:16,17 | leave 28:5 | 45:10 136:5 | located 37:20 | love 74:5,6 87:9 |
| 102:10 114:11 | Lee 6:15 | limiting 3:11 | 40:1 65:4 | 118:13 |
| Laura 1:9 3:5 | left 8:18,18 9:7 | 27:2 28:4 | 123:18 | lovely 101:20 |
| 4:16,17 6:4 | 12:17 65:3,10 | Linda 120:18 | location 51:11 | 133:9 |
| 13:22 14:1 | 65:11 66:11 | 125:20,22 | 67:5 68:22 | low 96:18,19,20 |
| 18:8,9,9 19:4 | 67:5,8,19,19 | 126:4,5 | 70:14 74:3 | lower 48:18 |
| 19:18,18,22 | 77:11 97:13,15 | Linda's 126:8 | 75:11 | 49:21 65:10 |
| 20:4 21:1,11 | 99:21 100:10 | line 21:3 65:12 | long 46:13,14 | 66:10,14 |
| 22:1,8 23:6,7,7 | 100:11,19 | 66:15 139:6 | 77:1,4,6 99:12 | 133:12 136:14 |
| 29:4,6,8 30:15 | left-hand 50:12 | lines 81:14 | 106:17 | 136:14,15 |
| 30:17,19 31:1 | left-side 95:18 | liquor 78:5 | longer 20:20 | lower-income |
| 31:2,7,12,13 | length 47:12 | Lisa 108:14,16 | 43:22 136:1,1 | 89:13 |
| 35:2,3,18 36:5 | 52:7,19 99:5,8 | 108:18,19 | look 26:8 46:9 | luck 18:18 130:9 |
| 39:13,14 40:15 | 99:11 | 109:10 113:19 | 46:19 74:4 | Lyft 81:2 |
| 40:16,16 42:5 | let's 5:1 59:12 | 115:2,4,13 | 98:22 109:17 |  |
| 51:10,15 52:3 | 80:22 100:21 | listened 42:18 | 110:21 111:20 | M |
| 52:12 55:13,14 | letter 21:18 26:3 | literal 16:7 | 131:20 | magazine 82:20 |
| 55:15,16 58:22 | 31:18,18 39:3 | 33:10 57:22 | looked 10:12 | Maggie 95:9,10 |
| 59:1,1 60:9,10 | 116:7 118:12 | 123:8,9 128:14 | looking 11:14 | 95:12,17 98:7 |
| 62:19,20,21 | 119:2 122:10 | 138:11 | 24:11 26:14,22 | 99:7,10,13,17 |
| 64:5 69:6,7 | 125:11 | literally 113:19 | 34:13 37:7 | 99:19 103:19 |
| 71:12,13,13 | letters 17:13 | 113:22 | 46:15,15 50:15 | 106:13,15,18 |
| 72:5 94:9,10 | 22:3,3,4 26:2 | little 5:7 13:5,7 | 50:16 58:16 | 106:22 |
| 95:5 102:8,11 | 39:5,16 56:9 | 13:13,14 27:13 | 75:5 77:16 | main 74:19 77:1 |
| 102:12 106:7,8 | 56:10,13,15 | 51:2,5 52:20 | 78:15 84:22 | 86:16 89:4 |
| 108:5 110:20 | 68:3 92:2 | 70:7 73:8 75:5 | 88:14 99:1 | 96:17,19 101:4 |
| 110:21 111:4 | 104:4 106:20 | 75:12 77:18 | 100:22 108:20 | 128:22 |


| maintain 125:4 | 21:4 31:3 32:6 | menu 75:10 | 28:11 58:3 | 114:10,12,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maintained | 47:3 80:5,21 | merely $31: 8$ | 138:18,19 | 114:20 115:3,6 |
| 34:18 | 96:21 99:1 | message 84:10 | modifications | 115:10 116:18 |
| maintains 92:6 | 108:7 109:5 | met 42:17 61:9 | 17:6 62:4 | 117:5 118:8,8 |
| major 47:14 | 110:17 111:9 | 70:1 91:13 | 105:6 | 119:11,15 |
| majority 136:19 | 113:12 114:15 | 92:17 104:17 | modified 56:12 | 120:4 124:10 |
| making 13:12 | 121:6 136:8 | 116:22 | modify 59:19 | 124:10 128:5 |
| 24:14 75:7 | means 85:20 | metatarsal | moment 23:16 | 129:21,21 |
| 98:18 128:8 | mechanical | 134:4 | 69:14 82:8 | 131:4 134:2,2 |
| Mansard 9:22 | 12:19 54:12,13 | meter 84:20 | 103:3 112:6 | 134:7 135:20 |
| 10:4,5,13,18 | 65:5 66:5,12 | metered 81:16 | 115:20 137:16 | 137:9 139:17 |
| 11:1,3,7 13:2 | meet 49:3 | mic 20:3 | moments 56:3 | 139:17 |
| Mansards 10:19 | 103:20 123:5 | mid 132:19 | money 89:12 | Monteverde's |
| March 3:13 | meeting 1:5 3:8 | Mid-134:4 | monitor 27:14 | 118:12 |
| 36:17 71:4 | 3:10,15,19 | Mid-Cambrid... | Monteverde 1:9 | Month 102:9 |
| 105:19 | 43:11 120:7 | 139:5 | 3:5 4:14,15 6:4 | mother 75:9 |
| Maria 72:13,14 | 140:14 | middle 52:5 | 14:2,3 15:21 | 126:8 |
| 72:15,16,18,19 | meetings 3:16 | Middlesex 141:3 | 16:2 18:10,11 | motion 15:22 |
| 72:21 73:2,5 | 4:7 5:8 | Miller 40:9 | 18:11 19:4 | 16:3 26:5,22 |
| 73:12,14,16 | meets 120:21 | minimal 29:9 | 23:3,3 32:11 | 31:21 33:7 |
| 75:22 76:2,17 | Megan 36:11,12 | minimis 16:16 | 32:13,13,19,22 | 35:8 39:17 |
| 77:14,16 78:2 | 37:21 41:6 | 16:18 | 35:10,12,12 | 56:17 57:14 |
| 78:14 79:2,4,6 | member 4:10 | minimizes 10:21 | 36:4 39:10,10 | 61:1 69:17 |
| 80:21 86:21 | 14:5 74:18 | minimum | 40:22,22 42:4 | 104:11 116:14 |
| 87:6 88:9 89:7 | members 3:4 | 132:21 | 46:9,13,14,18 | 116:16,18,20 |
| 89:9,16 91:8 | 4:1,1,4,11 5:2 | minimums 84:8 | 46:20 47:2,5 | 128:8 138:2 |
| 94:19 | 6:3 13:18 | minute 119:12 | 48:9,13,21 | move 7:20 12:21 |
| marked 67:9 | 15:20 19:3 | 119:14 | 50:8,9,18 | 37:12 74:10 |
| market 89:15 | 22:22 23:11 | minutes 4:8 | 51:14,17,17 | 80:2,3 128:8,9 |
| marks 67:5 | 36:3,12 42:3 | 14:10 | 52:5,10,16 | 133:15 |
| Massachusetts | 42:20 47:22 | misled 122:5 | 53:9,9,13,15 | moves 33:8 34:9 |
| 1:6,6 36:14 | 48:1 53:4,6 | missed 68:20 | 54:8,14,16 | 39:18 40:7 |
| 141:2,5 | 55:20 57:14 | misspeak 47:3 | 55:12 57:16 | 57:18,19 58:14 |
| matched 89:13 | 60:5,15 64:3 | misunderstood | 59:7,7 60:8,8 | 61:6 62:7 |
| math 21:8 | 69:9 72:3 | 78:9 | 62:13,13,20 | 69:19 71:1 |
| Matignon 38:2 | 78:19 81:21 | Mm-hm 47:16 | 64:4 68:13,13 | 92:12 94:2 |
| matter 6:8 19:13 | 82:3 86:14 | 76:18 114:3 | 69:2 71:10,10 | 104:13 105:17 |
| 23:9 36:9 | 95:3 102:20 | 134:6 | 72:473:11 | 116:20 117:20 |
| 54:20 55:8,20 | 108:3 109:12 | model 8:17,18 | 78:20,20 79:3 | 128:12 129:12 |
| 64:9 69:9 72:8 | 111:7 115:15 | modern 8:21 9:2 | 79:5,7,15,18 | 138:4,9 139:9 |
| 82:3 95:8 | 120:3,16 | 11:11 | 80:18 94:7,7 | 139:20 |
| 102:19 108:9 | 122:21 124:7 | modernization | 95:4 102:9,11 | moving 133:18 |
| 115:12 120:9 | 125:13 127:11 | 138:14 | 102:17 106:2,2 | mudroom 135:8 |
| 125:10 131:8 | 131:3 137:7,11 | modest 52:18 | 106:17 108:4 | Murphy 108:14 |
| 137:11 | memory 47:4 | 62:5 105:6 | 109:16,16 | 108:16,18,19 |
| matters 83:14 | mention 137:20 | 122:2 124:1,21 | 110:15 111:6,9 | 109:10 113:19 |
| 83:15 85:12 | mentioned | 129:9 | 112:5,11,13,17 | 114:4 115:2,4 |
| mean 5:7 6:22 | 31:17 | modification | 112:21 113:10 | 115:9,13 |


| mute 4:4 14:9 | 61:13 70:4,8 | 75:4 85:1 | 44:17 48:20 | 19:17,20 20:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:15 56:2 | 70:15 74:6,6 | 87:16 100:17 | 50:11 57:5 | 20:22 24:15 |
| 60:19 69:13 | 74:14 80:12 | 131:21 132:11 | 64:870:14 | 25:8,21 28:10 |
| 82:7 103:2 | 81:7,20 83:3 | 132:12 136:13 | 72:7 86:1 95:7 | 28:17 31:7 |
| 115:19 125:17 | 83:16 84:3,13 | Newman 2:12 | 108:7 120:8 | 32:21 33:4,7 |
| 137:15 | 85:3,5,22 86:2 | 95:7,13 | 131:7 | 35:11 44:21 |
| mutually 93:21 | 87:10,18 88:5 | nice 13:14 26:16 | 0 | 45:5 47:10 |
| N | 89:8 90:8 91:6 | nine 83:1 | O 3:1 | 55:2,17,19 |
| N 2:1 3:1 | 91:15 92:22 | Noi 108:20 | observation | 56:7 57:4,10 |
| name 3:9 4:2 | 101:19 104:20 | noise 47:19 | 80:13 | 57:11,13,18 |
| 6:12 36:12 | 104:21 105:7 | Nolan 82:10,11 | obvious 21:6 | 61:564:21 |
| 73:5 80:15 | 109:11,18 | 82:14,15 | 109:5 | 68:4 69:2,8,19 |
| 85:17 86:15 | 110:3,14 113:2 | nonconforming | obviously 11:2 | 71:18 72:21 |
| 88:3 89:2 90:7 | 113:2 114:6 | 95:18 96:4 | 15:14 28:2 | 73:13,16 78:18 |
| 91:2 131:12 | 117:4 122:15 | 132:20,21 | 45:6 86:4 | 79:4,18 81:21 |
| narrow 45:5,6 | 124:2 125:12 | 138:18 | 92:17 123:11 | 82:2 87:22 |
| 59:20 | 133:8 134:5 | nonexisting | occupant 61:21 | 90:14 92:1,7,9 |
| nature 16:16,19 | 135:17 139:3 | 131:17 | 70:18 93:14,14 | 94:15 99:19 |
| 58:6 62:5 | neighborhood's | nonhabitable | 105:11 117:15 | 100:9,13 |
| 70:12 104:21 | 133:8 | 136:20 | occupiable | 102:11,18 |
| 117:10 122:2 | neighboring | nonoriginal | 136:16 | 103:11 104:13 |
| 129:10 | 19:10 20:6,9 | 132:4 133:9 | occupies 40:4 | 108:18 109:4 |
| navigate 128:1 | 21:16 47:20 | Nope 115:22 | occupy 138:15 | 110:12,16 |
| necessarily 8:22 | neighbors 14:22 | 137:9 | occupying 37:18 | 111:3 113:9 |
| 75:14 | 21:22 22:2,15 | north 14:20 38:3 | 97:4 | 115:3,10 |
| need 7:1,19 | 23:21 24:8,17 | 100:15 | occurs 85:22 | 116:17,21 |
| 15:13 44:4 | 24:18 26:9 | northeast 97:15 | 86:5 | 118:9 119:1 |
| 58:2,17 59:15 | 27:15,22 34:5 | not-so-pretty | odd 133:6 | 120:6,13,15 |
| 80:8 85:3 | 38:18 42:18 | 27:7 | offensive 133:8 | 122:22 123:7 |
| 113:12 123:4 | 50:4,22 51:3 | Notary 141:4,16 | offer 24:16 | 124:6,18 125:9 |
| 123:18 138:13 | 82:22 101:19 | note 14:12 82:21 | 86:12 | 125:13 126:2 |
| needed 16:17 | 103:21 104:6 | notes 16:15 | offered 74:4 | 128:4,11 |
| 119:4 | 109:3,8,9 | 17:13 | 87:19 89:5,6,7 | 131:15 137:3 |
| needs 33:13 | 110:1 116:4 | notice 121:7 | 89:17 92:5 | 138:4 |
| neighbor 14:19 | 122:11 | noticed 54:8 | office 36:17 | old 20:15 33:12 |
| 21:17 25:11 | neither 24:5 | nuisance 61:19 | offices 13:12 | 33:21 56:14 |
| 29:1 82:16 | 127:21 134:12 | 70:16 93:12 | 37:12 85:18 | 132:19 |
| 85:14 88:4 | 141:8 | 105:9 117:13 | Oh 8:11 40:5 | older 6:20 58:2 |
| 120:12 122:8 | net $7: 14,1554: 3$ | nullifying 17:17 | 73:2,16 78:14 | 91:6133:11 |
| 122:14 125:12 | Nevertheless | 34:3 58:11 | 91:1,2,3 99:13 | 138:13 |
| neighbored 38:1 | 138:20 | 123:20 129:7 | 120:15 | on-site 34:6 |
| neighborhood | new 6:19 9:15 | 139:1 | Ok 127:5 | one-bedroom |
| 15:7 22:19 | 12:7,16 17:11 | number 4:9 6:6 | okay 5:1,2 6:21 | 43:8 |
| 23:22 37:19 | 29:14 33:15,19 | 15:16 19:11 | 8:8,11,13,14 | one-story 96:5 |
| 38:6 39:6,22 | 33:20 36:20 | 25:9 29:11 | 8:14,16 9:16 | 97:20 100:1 |
| 43:11 47:18 | 56:15 58:17 | 31:10 32:2 | 9:20 10:11 | ones 51:20 |
| 50:13 51:4 | 72:20 74:3,13 | 36:8 42:22 | 13:17,22 16:3 | 111:22 |


| open 3:14 14:4 | ordinance 16:8 | 90:9 103:7,9 | 84:13,19 85:2 | paved 127:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:11 23:9 | 16:12 17:18 | 125:20,22 | 87:7 88:18 | people 15:6 22:6 |
| 33:22 44:1 | 33:11 34:4 | 138:15 | 90:11 91:18 | 28:16 29:3,12 |
| 49:3,4,7 54:20 | 39:20 44:18 | owners 6:13,14 | 92:18,20 93:5 | 32:2 33:2 45:8 |
| 55:8,19 60:14 | 54:9 58:1,12 | 7:1 16:20 | 93:8 | 46:8 49:7 |
| 69:9 76:4,21 | 61:8,17 62:3 | 24:18 33:14 | part 9:18 12:15 | 52:13 74:20 |
| 77:22 78:8,12 | 69:22 70:11,22 | 36:15 38:8 | 27:22 37:5,22 | 75:2 76:5 77:7 |
| 79:12 80:6 | 92:16 93:7,19 | 137:21 | 38:10 60:14 | 77:7,20 81:1,5 |
| 81:17 82:2 | 93:20 104:16 |  | 68:20 92:4 | 81:5,8,9 83:9 |
| 93:1,2 100:16 | 105:4,15 | P | 93:2 96:17,19 | 83:14,20 84:6 |
| 102:19 115:11 | 109:20 112:22 | P 3:1 | 111:17 135:16 | 84:7 85:5 |
| 125:9 137:11 | 113:14 116:22 | p.m 1:4 3:3 6:2 | Participants | 91:13 111:18 |
| opening 78:16 | 117:9,19 119:6 | 19:2 36:2 42:2 | 14:6 | 122:13 128:1 |
| 83:3 | 121:11 123:9 | 42:9 64:2 72:2 | participate 76:5 | percent $25: 16$ |
| openings 44:5 | 123:21 128:15 | 78:15 95:2 | particular | 26:21,21 85:6 |
| 59:19 | 129:2,9 138:12 | 108:2 120:2 | 127:15 138:14 | 96:1,1,3 102:4 |
| opens 68:17 | 139:2 | 131:2 140:16 | particularly | Perfect 124:5 |
| 81:11 | organized 76:2 | page 2:2 28:11 | 14:21 24:9 | perimeter 115:7 |
| operate 76:3 | orientation 77:2 | 34:15 40:10 | 59:20 84:13 | period 7:8 32:16 |
| 80:22 | original 7:13 | 42:10 58:18 | parties 24:21 | permission 96:7 |
| operating 73:21 | 11:5 16:10,21 | 65:7 67:20 | 52:15 76:13 | permit 12:5 37:1 |
| 77:6 | 17:5 20:21 | 71:4 97:7,11 | 141:9 | 37:17 39:18 |
| operation 61:16 | 56:11 96:3 | 97:14 99:20 | partner 73:5,19 | 40:7,8,14,17 |
| 70:10 77:15 | originally 7:20 | 100:9,13 | 75:8 | 40:20 41:1,5 |
| 93:6 105:3 | outcome 135:12 | 105:20 118:2 | party 29:11 | 44:4 59:13,16 |
| 117:8 | 141:10 | 132:3,14 | 38:12 94:16 | 59:18 61:7,9 |
| operational | outdated 56:10 | 139:11,12 | 135:2 | 61:12 62:5,7 |
| 81:12 | outdoors 61:15 | pages 129:15 | passerbys 83:20 | 62:11,14,17,22 |
| opinion 122:1 | outside 29:2 | 132:9 | patio 127:16 | 63:4 65:2 70:1 |
| 135:18 | 49:4 126:11 | pair 87:8 | patterns 61:10 | 70:3 71:2,8,11 |
| opportunities | overall 76:22 | pandemic 49:6 | 70:2 92:19 | 71:14,17,21 |
| 89:14 | 110:5 | 74:22 83:7 | 104:18 117:2 | 78:5 92:14 |
| opportunity | overhanging | panel 135:6 | Patti 82:15 | 94:2,5,8,11,14 |
| 55:4 74:4,9 | 10:17 | Panelist 64:12 | Paul 120:13,15 | 95:14 96:2 |
| 75:4,11,14 | overlooking | paperwork | 120:16 121:16 | 102:3 104:15 |
| opposed 54:8 | 135:18 | 138:7 | 122:10 123:3 | 104:17 105:17 |
| 119:7,8 | overly 51:6 | parallel 136:18 | 124:5,19 125:6 | 105:22 106:3,6 |
| opposition 22:5 | overrides | parapet 10:16 | 127:6 | 106:9,11,11 |
| 26:4 31:18 | 139:22 | 10:17 | Pause 36:10 | 108:21 116:21 |
| 39:6 42:18 | overview 55:1 | parked 50:19 | 56:5 60:21 | 117:1,7,21 |
| 50:4 56:13,14 | overwhelm | parking 20:10 | 64:10 69:15 | 118:20,22 |
| 138:1 139:5 | 101:14 | 42:15 43:7 | 82:9 103:4,13 | 119:9 121:2,19 |
| options 10:12 | owing 17:4 | 45:1,3,6 49:5 | 108:10,12 | permitted 3:17 |
| 134:8 | 33:16 58:6 | 75:5 78:21 | 115:21 120:10 | 44:13,14 61:17 |
| order 3:13 30:7 | 123:14 128:20 | 79:11,14,16 | 125:19 131:9 | 70:11 93:7 |
| 38:14 52:14 | 138:17 | 80:3,8,13,16 | 137:17 | 105:4 117:9 |
| 91:4 | owner 19:16 | 80:20 81:16,17 | paused 73:17 | perpetual 80:1 |
| orders 3:11 | 27:22 29:20 | 83:22 84:8,12 | paveable 36:21 | person 22:13 |


| 28:2 46:8 | plan 7:13 12:9 | 112:8,9 113:8 | 91:19 110:10 | 81:4 132:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| persons 17:21 | 12:17 15:16 | 113:15 121:22 | 132:5 133:10 | problem 27:1,1 |
| 25:22 | 17:14 20:7 | 122:6,16 | present 4:13,15 | 27:16 29:3,3 |
| perspective | 32:8,12,15,20 | 126:22 127:2 | 4:17,19,21 5:2 | 32:6 45:7 |
| 48:17 | 33:3 65:11,11 | 129:4,5 133:11 | 6:16 19:22 | 47:14,21 88:19 |
| pertinences | 65:12 67:2,18 | 136:13 | 95:14 | 121:9 |
| 110:8 | 68:1 79:1 | pointed 10:16 | presentation | proceed 40:9 |
| Peruvian 73:20 | 114:22 133:16 | 70:5 117:5 | 17:8 19:21 | 58:15 62:8 |
| 75:7,8 | plans 16:534:12 | 128:17 129:3,4 | 59:15 67:22 | 71:3 105:18 |
| Peter 91:7 | 34:12,20 36:20 | 134:20 | 68:5 80:6 | 117:22 139:10 |
| petition 37:1 | 40:9 52:3 | points 87:19 | 103:19 111:17 | proceedings |
| 109:8 116:3 | 56:14 58:15 | policing 27:5 | 116:2 134:3 | 3:22 140:16 |
| 118:13,16,18 | 62:8 66:7 71:3 | pool 120:11 | presentations | 141:7 |
| 118:19 | 105:18 109:2 | porch 100:4,7 | 70:5 | proceeds 34:11 |
| petitioner 15:17 | 117:22 121:13 | 100:12,19 | presented 6:17 | 129:13 |
| 16:9 24:1 | 129:13 139:10 | 108:22 114:7 | 32:8,12,15 | process 112:5 |
| 34:12 64:11 | planters 30:21 | 114:21 117:12 | 34:12 | profile 65:7 |
| 80:1 92:5 93:1 | planting 25:13 | 139:21 | presenting | project 14:13 |
| 116:12 124:17 | 32:15,19,22 | porches 109:19 | 10:11 | 15:5 17:14 |
| 129:15 | plantings 25:5 | Porter 42:13 | President 89:3 | 26:3 28:2 |
| petitioner's 17:8 | play 86:22 | 49:16 58:16 | pressing 14:9,9 | 42:14 43:4 |
| 59:16 | pleading 7:17 | 59:17 | 23:15,15 56:2 | 74:12,13 95:15 |
| petitioners | pleadings 7:18 | portion 11:10,11 | 56:2 60:19,19 | 103:21 131:13 |
| 23:21 37:9 | 15:12 | 113:22 136:17 | 69:13,13 82:7 | 131:20 |
| phone 14:8 | pleasant 15:18 | positive 135:16 | 82:7 103:2,2 | projecting 49:20 |
| 23:14 25:9 | please 14:17 | 139:20,22 | 115:19,19 | projection 49:21 |
| 56:1 60:18 | 23:19 32:18 | possible 26:9 | 125:17,17 | 51:8 |
| 69:12 82:6 | 52:2 53:13 | 78:2,8 | 137:15,15 | promote 93:19 |
| 103:1 115:18 | 65:9,14,18,22 | possibly 14:11 | pretty 8:19 21:5 | proper 17:20 |
| 125:16 137:14 | 66:3,6,9,13,16 | 78:15,16 | 30:21 135:13 | 80:15 133:4,17 |
| photograph | 66:20 67:1,7 | post 82:19 83:2 | prevailing 16:12 | properly 122:9 |
| 50:10 101:2 | 67:11,14 97:11 | potential 26:17 | prevalent 10:5 | properties 17:13 |
| 134:21 | 97:19 99:20 | 47:19 | previous 43:20 | 19:16 20:6 |
| photographs | 100:14 122:22 | practices 37:14 | 48:19 | 24:19 35:15 |
| 100:21 109:17 | 124:15 | praising 92:4 | previously | 47:20 |
| 109:18 | pleasure 86:19 | preamble 17:19 | 36:19 42:13 | property 19:10 |
| photos 65:15,16 | plenty 81:19 | precedent | 49:12 134:14 | 19:19 21:2,16 |
| 68:2 111:10,16 | 88:19 | 109:17 | pride 74:19 | 25:16 36:15 |
| pictures 15:14 | plot 20:7 65:11 | predominantly | primary 43:14 | 58:4 65:10,12 |
| 109:1 133:7 | 65:11,12 66:15 | 49:5 | 97:10 98:2,14 | 131:18 132:8 |
| piece 113:6 | 67:18 68:1 | prep 76:19,20 | printed 36:18 | proponent |
| place 74:14 | plus 21:8 42:14 | prepared 34:13 | Prithviraj 36:15 | 140:1 |
| 83:13 84:15 | 136:19,19 | 40:9 58:16 | privacy 21:16 | proposal 42:21 |
| 121:10 137:21 | point 11:5,21 | 71:3 105:19 | private 49:4,7 | 43:20 49:11 |
| placements | 24:16 26:19 | 111:10 118:1 | 76:13 77:11,12 | 56:11 131:16 |
| 134:9 | 47:13 68:9 | 122:19,20 | privilege 56:4 | 132:11 133:12 |
| places 84:18 | 70:13 78:15 | 129:14 139:12 | pro 56:15 | 133:15,20 |
| 93:3 | 79:21 97:22 | presence 10:15 | probably 8:17 | 134:18 135:1,4 |


| propose 31:20 | 56:16 58:11 | 135:21 | ratio 95:19 | 128:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42:9 | 60:14,15,22 | questions 13:17 | 102:1 | Rebecca 131:14 |
| proposed 7:20 | 69:9,10,17 | 13:19 14:1,2,3 | rational 17:22 | rebuild 20:13,21 |
| 8:20 12:16 | 81:3 82:3,3 | 22:21 23:1,3,5 | 62:5 133:16 | 30:10 |
| 19:9 34:5 39:5 | 85:3 87:12 | 23:7,8 39:7,8 | raw $75: 13$ | rebuilt 19:9 24:3 |
| 39:20 61:11,18 | 90:18,19 | 39:10,12,14,15 | read 7:17 15:12 | received 43:10 |
| 61:21,22 66:11 | 102:19,20 | 53:754:21 | readvertise | recess 42:9 |
| 70:12,18,19 | 111:9 115:12 | 55:10 60:5,6,8 | 122:19,19 | 120:7 |
| 74:2 93:16 | 115:15 116:2 | 60:10,12,14 | ready $15: 22$ | recommend |
| 97:18,19 99:9 | 121:7 122:4 | 68:8,10,14 | 16:1,2 27:17 | 90:2 |
| 99:12,13 | 123:20 125:10 | 69:3,5,7 75:18 | 56:16 57:15,17 | recommendat... |
| 100:19 105:2,5 | 125:13 127:10 | 75:20 78:19 | 57:17 61:1 | 30:9 |
| 105:11,12 | 129:7 137:11 | 81:22 85:11 | 69:17,18 92:7 | reconfigured |
| 109:12 112:16 | 137:12,19 | 102:6,7,12,14 | 104:11 116:18 | 77:12 |
| 116:6 117:10 | 138:7,22 141:4 | 102:15,17,19 | real 47:21 | reconsider |
| 117:15,16,17 | 141:16 | 109:12,14 | 101:18,18 | 134:8 |
| 129:1 131:21 | pull 7:20 | 124:6,8,10 | realize 122:15 | reconvene 42:10 |
| 133:3 136:13 | pulling 11:16 | 125:8 128:5 | really 7:5 9:11 | record 14:12 |
| 139:7 | purchase 89:14 | 137:8 | 9:12 13:10 | 29:19 131:13 |
| proposes 43:15 | purely 136:22 | quite 42:8 47:8 | 19:8 22:16 | 141:6 |
| proposing 43:3 | purpose 17:18 | 83:5,21 87:7,7 | 49:7 60:1 | recorded 3:19 |
| 43:19 45:15 | 26:10 34:4 | 109:5 121:1 | 76:18 83:8 | red 66:10 67:5,9 |
| 49:9 100:3,8 | 58:12 60:1 | 132:19 136:4,5 | 84:21 86:5 | 67:12 78:10 |
| 100:15,16 | 62:2 70:21 |  | 87:9,16 88:8 | reduce 61:14 |
| 132:6 140:1 | 93:18,19 | R | 88:12,16 91:11 | reduced 34:1,20 |
| protrude 49:18 | 105:14 109:7 | R 3:1 | 97:6 98:13 | 92:17,20 93:8 |
| protruding | 117:19 123:21 | railing 21:13 | 101:3,15,15,20 | reduces 138:19 |
| 101:3 | 129:8 139:2 | 48:14 100:2,7 | 109:8,22 110:9 | reduction 78:22 |
| proven 83:12 | purposes 28:9 | 100:8,12,18 | 112:3 116:9 | 93:5 |
| provide 60:2 | pursuant 39:19 | 101:10 127:20 | 126:11 129:4 | reenforce 30:7 |
| 79:10,14,15 | put 24:19 26:5 | railings 34:19 | 129:14 132:16 | refer 70:13 |
| 89:13 | 30:7 31:22 | raise 14:7,8 | 133:9,13 | referenced 62:9 |
| provided 80:9 | 32:4 86:4 | 23:12,14 55:22 | 138:19 | reframed 66:18 |
| province 112:19 | 96:21 100:8 | 56:1 60:17,18 | rear 43:21,21 | 66:19 |
| provision | 101:16 121:7 | 69:11,12 82:5 | 45:1,17,20 | refuse 116:11 |
| 128:14 | puts 27:12 | 82:6 89:12 | 49:20 95:19 | regard 21:5 33:9 |
| provisions 16:8 | putting 24:1 | 91:3 96:9 | 97:12 100:6,19 | 39:21 57:20 |
| 33:10 57:22 | 110:8 114:21 | 102:22 103:1 | 101:10 131:18 | 61:6,14 62:9 |
| 123:9 138:11 |  | 115:17,18 | 132:8,13,14 | 69:20 78:11 |
| proximate 51:3 | Q | 125:15,16 | 133:19 136:14 | 92:12 93:5 |
| public 3:11,16 | quality 92:4 | 137:13,14 | reason 5:5 20:15 | 104:14,21 |
| 4:2,4,5,6 14:4 | question 21:7 | raised 14:21 | 44:3,8 83:10 | 116:21 120:18 |
| 14:5 15:11 | 29:6 30:13 | 56:6,8 97:22 | 127:1 | 128:12 138:5 |
| 17:11,16 23:10 | 31:14 44:22 | 99:21 100:11 | reasonable | 138:10 139:3 |
| 23:11 25:22 | 53:10 65:21 | 136:7 | 49:11 | regarding 59:15 |
| 26:4 31:17,19 | 67:10,13 68:15 | rake 98:9 | reasons 10:14 | 70:14 |
| 34:3 54:20 | 78:10,21 | ran 30:8 | 15:6 82:18 | regardless |
| 55:9,20,20 | 114:17 134:3 | Ranjit 1:12 | 84:21 93:9 | 136:16 |


| regular 2:7 5:9 | representation | 85:12 89:22 | 135:13 | 94:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:7 64:7 | 104:8 | 90:8 91:5 | reviewed 50:3 | roof 16:22 21:7 |
| 87:13 | representative | residential | rezoning 138:21 | 21:9 25:14 |
| regularly 5:3 | 59:16 64:11 | 37:21 38:6 | rideshare 87:11 | 28:16 30:1,4 |
| related 35:6 | representing | 40:3 58:8 79:9 | ridge 96:17 97:9 | 31:3 34:7 |
| 141:8 | 36:13,14 | 128:22 | 97:10 98:8,11 | 45:21 47:6 |
| relationship 9:8 | 120:17 131:14 | residents 45:8 | 98:15,16 99:3 | 48:2 50:21 |
| relative 126:20 | request 7:19 | 74:1 89:8,13 | 136:18 | 51:18 52:1 |
| relief 16:13 | 12:4 16:4 18:3 | resources 89:17 | ridgeline 98:20 | 56:22 67:2 |
| 17:10,15 21:1 | 65:17 78:21 | respect 101:14 | right 6:20 8:9 | 97:22 98:8,9 |
| 34:2 35:1,3,5 | 84:2 87:3 | respectfully | 10:9 11:14,21 | 100:4,7 101:4 |
| 35:17 44:4 | 91:18 119:11 | 50:2 | 12:15,17 17:7 | 101:11 108:21 |
| 58:4,10 59:21 | requested 18:4 | respectively | 21:8 25:11 | 113:22,22 |
| 68:21 69:21 | 33:9 34:9 | 50:2 | 31:12 32:11 | 114:21 117:1 |
| 70:6 75:5 | 39:19 40:8 | responded | 33:2 38:12 | 117:11 132:15 |
| 79:22,22 84:2 | 58:14 59:18 | 31:13 | 45:16 47:18 | 134:14 136:14 |
| 90:11 92:3,13 | 71:2 105:17 | response 3:12 | 48:10,15 50:14 | rooftop 22:7 |
| 92:17 94:18 | 129:12,19 | 22:18 56:10 | 51:1,17 54:16 | 24:1 26:11 |
| 96:5 109:4 | requesting 12:1 | restaurant | 57:1 61:5 64:6 | 34:18 48:14 |
| 112:19 116:4 | 14:13 37:17 | 72:20 73:6,19 | 67:12,15 72:10 | room 24:20,21 |
| 118:6 120:18 | 68:21 95:22 | 73:21 75:1,6,9 | 73:2,17 74:2,7 | 65:5 66:5,12 |
| 121:14,22 | 102:2 | 75:21 78:12,12 | 77:16 78:14 | 76:9,11,12 |
| 122:1,7 123:1 | requests 133:22 | 79:11,14,16 | 79:15 80:9,18 | 77:11 |
| 123:19 124:1 | require 16:13 | 80:3,6,10 | 84:15,16 85:19 | rooves 9:22 |
| 127:2 129:6,9 | 57:1 62:4 | 81:17 82:20 | 91:21 92:11 | roster 91:4 |
| 129:19,22 | 122:18 | 83:3,12 84:12 | 95:16 96:6,8 | roughly 46:3 |
| 130:3,5 138:21 | required 12:5 | 85:4 88:7 | 96:15 97:20 | round 115:13 |
| 138:21 | 31:678:22 | 90:10,12 91:19 | 99:22 101:5 | rubber 100:7 |
| relocate 131:18 | 138:20 | 92:5 93:1 | 110:20 111:14 | run 73:6 81:14 |
| 132:7 | requirement | 106:15,20 | 112:11,13 | run--quote |
| relocated 65:3 | 21:2 98:16 | restaurants | 119:5,15 121:4 | 27:17 |
| 132:20 | requirements | 89:12 | 121:21 128:3,6 | running 6:9 |
| remain 135:9 | 3:14 29:9 49:3 | restriction | 128:11 132:2 | 52:10 73:21 |
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| Sean 14:16 | 101:3 103:3 | 65:3,12,16 | 99:21 100:1,2 | 15:3,9 23:17 |
| seat 76:11,12 | 111:22 115:20 | 66:12 67:19 | 100:10,11,14 | 23:19 25:9,20 |
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| 32:16 33:1 | 15:10 49:17 | 95:20 121:17 | 98:21 128:18 | 85:16 86:13 |
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