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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the June 10, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the proceedings in due course.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change depending on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: [Jason Marshall], I'm here.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim Monteverde?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't know. Brendan?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: He's not here for this. SISIA DAGLIAN: And Gus.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've got five for the continued cases?

SISIA DAGLIAN: We've got five for the continued, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. Did Jim Monteverde sit on the continued cases, though?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, I thought he did. We need to get Jim on the line.

SISIA DAGLIAN: For the first one he did, the other two they haven't been heard, so we could do Haskell and Spring.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right. So we can't -- either we start with another case, or we get Jim on the line and we --

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'll try to call him.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I prefer to get Jim on the line and take the cases in the order we have here. [Pause] CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're just trying to track
down the fifth member for this first case, so just bear with us for a second, please. SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim, can we hear you now? Jim?

Jim Monteverde? What happened to him?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is the Chair again,
and we're having trouble tracking down one of our five members, who needs to sit on the very first of the three continued cases. So while our efforts are ongoing, I'm going to move on to the next case.
(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Case Number 110890 -- 11-
13 Haskell Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
SARAH STEWARD: Yes. This is Sara Steward. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. SARAH STEWARD: I'm looking to see if our attorney has joined the call? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, he has. CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Hello, I'm here. Sorry about that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're having a lot of problems this evening, technical difficulties. CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Chairman -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case is -- you're ready to present your case, go ahead.
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CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Mr. Chairman, sorry about that. Attorney Christopher Alphen here on behalf of the applicant. My office is in Concord, Blatman, Bobrowski \& Haverty.

With me on the Zoom you can see my clients, Sarah, James, Mark and Mavara [all names phonetic.] They are zooming in as a family unit, as you can see in the video. And also with me is Michael Fields from SKA.

The applicants are not new to Cambridge, but they are new to the neighborhood. They purchased the property in 2020 in November with -- they are a family unit. They bought the property as a family. The house is old and in need of some updates and renovations.

The family dream is to have Sarah's parents live on the first floor and have Sarah and Mark live on the second floor. And in order to do that, in order to update the house and make it livable for Sarah's older parents, they need to make some renovations.

There's no plans to condo the property or rent the property, but they do need to make some renovations to the property. And in order to make some renovations to the property, they need some minimum -- some minor zoning
relief.
The applicants' renovations, of course, as in
Cambridge always the case, is an expansion or alteration of a legal, preexisting, nonconforming structure.

The renovations do not create any new dimensional nonconformities, but it does expand the existing nonconforming FAR from 0.61 to 0.65 .

Now, originally, as you probably see in our application, we applied for a variance for that under Section 8223.

But as this Board I'm sure probably is well aware, pursuant to Chapter 40A Section 6 in the Supreme Judicial Court case in Brookline -- and most importantly the adoption of Section 8222D in April -- because this is just simply an expansion of a single, preexisting, nonconforming nonconformity, the dimensional requirement is not creating any new dimensional nonconformities.

We are only seeking a special permit. And as the Board I'm sure knows, the standard for our special permit is much different than the standard for a variance.

The standard for a special permit is that the proposal is not substantially more detrimental than the
existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood.
Additionally, the applicants need a special permit
because they are moving and adding some windows on a nonconforming structure, so they require a special permit for those window modifications.

The applicants prior to sending public notice sent a plan to the neighbors explaining exactly what they were going to do.

We had a hearing scheduled last month. We asked for a continuance because we got notice from the neighbors that they wanted to have some more input on the plans before we met with the Board.

So in the spirit of trying to get along and work with the neighbors the best we could, the applicants have had several conversations with the neighbors in person, and via everything else to work with the neighbors to try to come up with plans that everybody could be happy with.

And I'm being honest when I say this, I've never seen a client go as far as my clients have in this situation to try to make sure that the plans they have really is the best they can for their neighbors, and meets all their concerns.

So the plans in front of you are actually a direct result from the original plans after meeting with the neighbors.

So there's been a lot of modifications to the plans since our original submission, making the project a little bit smaller, bringing things in a little bit, to try to address the neighbors' concerns.

With that, I'll turn it over to Mike Fields from SKA, who will go over the modifications which we believe are modest and really make an improvement to the neighborhood.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before you do that, sir, question: You left the conclusion hanging. And the neighbors have got a problem. You've met with them. You made substantial changes to what was originally proposed. Where did it -- as far as you know, where do the neighbors stand now?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Well, I know that there were some discussions. And I think we've addressed all the issues. The architect may have a better understanding of where they feel about certain things now.

And maybe it's best that he describe what we've done, and then if there's still some issues with some
neighbors, we can certainly discuss those issues.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
MIKE FIELDS: Yes, hi. My name is Mike Fields. I'm here with Anya Pevich. We're from SKA Architects, and, you know, just to address the initial question at hand, you know, what has happened is that, you know, some of the neighbors have been swayed and some are more immutable.

And, you know, what we'd like to do is, you know, to go through this, talk about what we've done, the concessions that have been made, and we can kind of go from there.

So just to start off, you know, the project -it's an update of an existing two-family house built around 1895, which will continue to be a two-family dwelling for a young family and her parents.

The interior renovations are currently underway through a separate permit that was approved by the City, and we have come before you tonight to request relief to change some window locations and modestly increase the currently nonconforming FAR.

And before we go through, I just wanted to give Sarah and her family a chance to reintroduce themselves and
their connection to the neighbors.
SARAH STEWARD: Hi. I'm Sarah Steward. I'm here with my husband James, our son Liam, and my parents Mark and Livera (phonetic).

Just to do a little background about us and the property, we have been looking for a multifamily -- twofamily, specifically -- for about seven years. Liam was our good luck charm. He was about three months when we found 11-13 Haskell.
[Baby cooing.] That is him.
And soon as we saw it, we knew it was the one. We're not new to Cambridge, so we sort of knew what we were looking for, but we are new to this neighborhood, as Chris said, and we're so excited about all the parks that are nearby for Liam -- the library a block away, and the fact that James and I can both take the $T$ or bike to work as an added plus.

Our work with SKA has really been about taking the great bones of this home and making it functional for our three generations to grow and age for decades to come. We really just appreciate the Board's time to hear our case.

MIKE FIELDS: Great. If we could move to the next
slide, please?
All right. Here we see the overall massing of the existing house, relative to the proposed. It's going to remain mostly unchanged, but with covered porches extending about 10 feet off the back, consistent with many similar homes of this era.

There's also a small window change to the back third of the house to better accommodate a second egress stair.

Next slide, please?
Here we see the existing site plan with the main house and the garage. The house was originally built over the seven-foot-six setback on the west side, and that is where we're asking for relief to relocate some windows surrounding the egress.

Next slide, please?
In the proposed site plan, we see the covered porches for the first and second floor occupying the footprint of the previous.

Next, please?
The renovation respects the existing envelope, and as stated by Chris earlier, these renovations would modestly
increase the existing noncompliant FAR from 0.61 to 0.65, while providing equal outdoor amenity for both units.

Next slide, please?
In our shadow studies, we're showing the direct impact of the existing house in red, the additional shadows created in purple and the reduced shadows in green.

Since the proposed change is on the north side of the building, you will note that even during the most extreme sun angles, there are negligible effects on the shadows. And they occur primarily on the property. Next slide, please?

Here on the lower-level plan, it's primarily unchanged by this request.

Next slide, please?
On the first floor, we see the window relocation requested over the setback, which accommodates the egress stairs, as well as this unit's access to the exterior space off of their --.

Next slide, please?
Similarly, on the second floor, we also see a window relocation requested over the setback line, in order to accommodate the egress stair. And then we also see this
unit's access to the exterior space off of their kitchen.
Next slide, please?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me -- let me
interrupt for one second.
MIKE FIELDS: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Maybe your attorney can answer this. With regard to the discussions with the neighbors, were there any objections raised by neighbors -abutting neighbors -- as to invasion of their privacy by the relocation of the windows?

MIKE FIELDS: I think that Sarah and her family might be able to answer this better, but it's our understanding that the windows have not been a discussion of concern. They primarily end up inside of the stair, and so from a privacy standpoint it doesn't have a great impact on the neighbors. But Sarah and her team may be able to say a little bit more about that.

SARAH STEWARD: This is Sarah Steward again.
That's correct. Our discussions with the neighbors have only been about the porches, not about the windows.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
MIKE FIELDS: Excellent. Then up on the third
floor, again everything is occurring within the buildable area and is unchanged by this request.

Next slide, please?
The roof plan shows new skylights and solar panels within the buildable area.

Next slide, please?
The streetscape will remain unchanged, apart from the solar panels on the roof.

Next slide, please?
Again, this is the south elevation, which reflects the streetscape elevation. And it is the same mixed-use as the last slide. So next slide, please?

On the west elevation, this is where we're requesting relief to relocate two windows, in order to facilitate the egress there.

Next slide, please?
The north elevation is contained in the buildable area, but what we're requesting for special permit relief is to increase the existing nonconforming FAR, in order to provide an equal access to the exterior from both units.

Next slide, please?
The east elevation relocates windows within the
building area at the back third of the house to accommodate kitchen cabinetry, and this is actually under the previously approved building permit.

Next slide, please?
And that concludes the formal presentation. You can scan the barcode below for a video render of the exterior. And then additional slides in this presentation are provided for reference with additional photos and a formal site plan.

But we're happy to e, and I thank everyone for their time tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I'll ask if members of the Board have any questions they'd like to ask at this time. Brendan no questions? Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing at this time. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions as well. Who did I leave out? Matina. I'm sorry. Matina? MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. I think it's now time to open the matter up to public comment. And
this is the rule how you do it, or how it's going to work. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So at this point, I'll take a few seconds to see if anyone is calling in.
[Pause]
No one wishes to speak, which I like to take as an indication that the neighbors are now satisfied with the proposal that's before us tonight. But with that, we do have -- there is some correspondence in the file. Most of this relates to prior versions of the project before us tonight. So I will close public testimony.

A discussion by members of the Board, or are we ready for a vote? I've got to make a motion, but.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], I'm satisfied with the presentation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no other thoughts.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Let me -- rather
than going around again, let me just stop it. I will make a motion. Then, when we go to vote, if anyone has a comment they can -- or a question or whatever, they can express it at that time -- anyone being members of the Board.

Okay, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

Well, first we've got to do the fact that the special permit for the extension of a nonconforming use, and the rules there are -- if I can find my notes, here we go -the first finding we would make is that what is being proposed with regard to the addition is not substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood. That's the first.

And then we have specific criteria that's the special permit for the Section 10.43 of our ordinance. And I'll go through them.

First, it appears that the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit that's being required.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause
congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As pointed out in the presentation on behalf of the petitioner, the impact is to the rear of the structure and is modest in nature in terms of its impact on the neighborhood -- any impact.

That the continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed.

And I think that, again, speaks for itself. You're talking about extension to the rear of the building, and the impact has no -- is not impacting the adjacent uses, insofar as we know, and no one at this point has raised it any longer.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves
that we grant the special permit being requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Sam Kachmar Associates, K-a-c-h-m-a-r Architects -- I'm looking for the date, which I'll never find. In any event, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes, to the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes, to the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes, to the special permit as well.
[All vote YES]
So the special permit with regard to the
construction of the addition is approved.
Moving on now to the special permit for the windows, I think we've already touched on it in the presentation already about the windows, and why the impact of them on the neighborhood.

And again, we have to go through the requirements as set forth in Section 10.43 of our ordinance.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the windows -- window issues:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit. That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the window changes will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. And as pointed out by the petitioner and by the petitioner's architect and by the petitioner, these windows are not substantial in terms of their impact on neighboring property.

Privacy of neighbors should not be affected, and so far as I can tell, we have no objection from neighbors or the affected neighbors for these window relocations.

That the continued operation of or development of
adjacent uses, as permitted in our zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

And the points I've just made with regard to the traffic generated or patterns of access or egress apply equally well here. It's just not going to have any impact on the adult-use of neighboring property.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested with regard to the window, again on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans that we just referred to in connection with the variance, prepared by Sam Kachmar Architects.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

THE REPORTER: I'm not able to hear Brendan
Sullivan.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry.
SISIA DAGLIAN: The transcriptionist.
THE REPORTER: He's not coming through.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who's speaking?
SISIA DAGLIAN: She can't hear you.
THE REPORTER: I can't hear Brendan.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh. Yes, to granting the special permit?

THE REPORTER: Yes. Just speak directly, closer to the mic. They're very directional. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, am I audible now? Okay, all right. Yes, again to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan.
Matina, how do you vote?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's unanimous. The special permit has been granted. Case over.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think by the magic of
electronics, I have -- Elvis is in the building.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] This is every time, Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Doesn't mean I'll be able to stay here for long, but I'm here for now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, let me just get our files straight here, and then we'll move on to the next case. Sorry. Okay.
(6:34 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

The Chair will now call Case Number 106913 -- 33 Gore Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Good evening.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're on.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. Sarah Rhatigan from Trilogy Law. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good evening, everyone. Thank you very much for hearing our case. This is a continued case. I'm sorry the lighting here is a little odd.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The words --
SARAH RHATIGAN: It's like I'm in jail.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you speak are more important than the picture of you, so.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. I look like I'm in jail, probably because I feel like I'm in jail here, but I know we're going to be seeing each other in person soon. Thank
you again for hearing this case on a continued matter. Just as a quick reminder, this is a matter of 33

Gore Street. It's a two-family existing -- preexisting, legally nonconforming structure located on Gore Street. This is in the East Cambridge housing Overlay District, which allow for some additional density. The project was initially conceived of as additional dwelling units, but we actually never presented to this Board with a four-unit project. We filed with four units, but then scaled this back to a two-unit project.

The last time we met with you is the first time that the case was presented. And we had quite a lengthy discussion and sent around some concerns that this Board had about granting a variance for the project. And there was quite a bit of discussion about whether or not we were eligible for a variance.

The recommendation of the Board at that time was to suggest that the petitioner go back and have a chance to meet with the commissioner, Mr. Singanayam, to review this petition to see if indeed it was eligible for a special permit only.

For some reasons that became apparent to us, this
project actually is eligible for special permit relief only.
And I believe that you should have with you in the file information in the file that we met with Mr. Singanayagam on two occasions, and then he did actually confirm for me within a quick e-mail that in fact the plans that you see before you are eligible for special permit relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sarah, we're talking about -- I'm looking at the screen that is up now, which I didn't have as well -- the structure on the left?

SARAH RHATIGAN: This is the structure on the left, exactly. And so just to remind everyone, this is actually -- the property is, there are two structures on one large -- well, it's not large, actually, but one lot that is merged for zoning purposes. So my client actually owns both buildings that are shown in this photo.

So the building on the right-hand side is a sixunit apartment building that is not being renovated, and is not actually part of this project in the sense that it's not -- you know, there's nothing happening to the building.

But it is included in this application in terms of all the dimensional information, because it's relevant for
our zoning analysis.
So the building on the left is the two-unit
building that will be renovated, and the project plans that we're looking at today with you relate to just the building that's on the left.

Sisia, if you don't mind, if you could just advance the slides twice to page number 3 ? This is just the conceptual site plan. And on the left side, it shows you the existing conditions. And on the right side, it shows you the proposed conditions.

And again, just a reminder the proposal is to build -- to do an addition that would add one level of living space, and then to square off the area at the rear of this two-family, to square off the building, square off the rear ell of the building.

The -- and again, just clarifying that we are coming to you for a special permit, and not a variance. The other thing to mention on this plan, it also just shows that we are requesting tandem parking in the driveway, which is the other reason for special permit relief.

The next page $I$ wanted to ask you to turn to, Sisia, is -- let's see, just to look at if we would like to
look at the renderings on page 15.
And of course, I'm happy to slow down and look through plan views as well with the Board members. But I thought the renderings might be an easy way for us to just get a gist of the project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It might be easier also if you're going to make your presentation and members of the Board can store up their questions for the most part.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And ask them at the end.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure. Okay, great. Just one other clarification. The plans -- we did submit a revised plan set.

So you'll see that the plans that you're seeing today are dated June 3. They are revised and really just they're not revised in terms of dimensional information or -- you know, there's no additional floor area or change of program.

The revisions were made to incorporate requested changes from the Cambridge Historic Commission, who had requested some changes related to the front windows of the building and some siding. And the entryway covers that you
see in this image -- and $I$ should say I'm sorry that I skipped over introducing the fact that both my client and the architect are here with me today. And I'm sorry about that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sarah, with regard to that, we do have in our files the plans that were prepared, that are dated June 3. And as you know, should we grant relief, it'll be tied to compliance with these plans. SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What you've told us now is that the plans that you're going to use are slightly different. That's my word, not your word.

SARAH RHATIGAN: No. The plans that we'll use are the ones that you're referring to, June 3 .

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, that's what it's going to be tied to. If it's not -SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- if Ranjit decides that they're different, you're going to have to come back before us. I just want to be clear about that.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. No, what I meant to say is that the June 3 plans that you're looking at that are in
your files, they are only different from the ones that you viewed at the last hearing in April.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry, I misunderstood. Got it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Exactly. So in other words,
you're not seeing -- there's been no revised design in the sense of there's been no change to the dimensional information that you saw last time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: The reason for us coming back to you today is to present this project to you as a special permit project, because we've been able to clarify that legal question.

The building is essentially -- we are increasing some preexisting, nonconformities, which are essentially the fact that this is a house that sits very close to the left side -- you know, obviously to the front lot line and to the left side of the lot. And we're extending those nonconformities up.

But in other respects, we're conforming as to the allowable FAR to the left, we're conforming as to the height in the district -- you know, we're conforming with respect
to the open space.
We're actually making real improvements in terms of the green -- because we'll now improve the conditions so that there will actually be yards and green space on the lots, including -- you know, available for the neighbors or the building next door.

And these are all -- you know, we think real positives both for this property as well as, you know, for the neighbors who will, you know, look into the yards.

Sisia, do you mind just advance through the next two slides through the renderings? Just for -- you know, for some more views of how the project will appear.

And then finally page 20, Mr. Singanayagam had also asked that we update the landscape plan, now that we have a two-unit project, which just made it possible to -you know, diminish the size of the area where the condensers are, which just opened up some more green space in the rear yard area again.

And we're sort of rationalizing parking on the lot, which our understanding is previously there was quite a bit of parking in the rear, plus the driveway areas -previously prior to our ownership.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, as I look at those plans, am I correct that because -- well, I'm talking about the parking, the front stoop -- I wanted a better word, on Building 31 prevents cars from driving farther back than is shown on the plan?

Can someone still park in the rear yard, for example?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, could they still park in the rear yard?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. It looks like from the plans that are submitted, there's not enough width, given the front stoop, on Building \#31, to go beyond the first of the front stoops.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I believe you can pass through, and yeah, my client is saying that yes, people can -- you know, still park there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So you can go to the rear of the lot, if you will?

SARAH RHATIGAN: You can.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: To the rear of the parking garage?

SARAH RHATIGAN: You can, yeah. So the width of
that whole paved area is 11 feet one inch which, you know, as you know, is plenty wide for people to pass through. And then nine feet. Even if you look at the width of -- you know, counting the stoops.

So if you look at page 22, Sisia, is a photo.
That's taken from the back of the lot looking forward. That gives you a little sense of what the width looks like. And cars can travel back there pretty easily.

But -- and with the revised design, with the addition built. Again, it will be one driveway -- you know, with two cars parked tandem. But you won't have the ability to have -- you know, many cars -- you know, coming in and pulling in and jamming in there however which way they want.

And if you would -- Sisia, if you could just advance through the last few sets of photos, I just wanted to give the Board a chance to see the existing conditions in the back. This is the front street line.

So you can just see this is taken from the far corner of the 33 Gore Street house looking towards the 31 Gore Street house, just to give you a sense of what the street line looks like.

Next slide?

This is another photo showing the back area. And at the back -- actually those are just sort of reserved slides. I had shown the renderings as the plan was originally proposed. We don't need to look at those.

But in terms of the -- you know, making the case for the special permit, you know, the owners have really gone a long way to speaking to -- the owner next door to the left is really the one who will be the most impacted by the project, who did provide a letter of support and who is supportive of the project.

If you could just go back to slide number 15?
I think this gives you a good view of how this plan really improves conditions for this owner. The project is going to allow for light into these top windows for this building.

There's quite a bit of improvement in terms of both, you know, materials for this vastly improved construction of this building. They're keeping space open at the rear. You know, the owner's been quite supportive. And there really are no other impacted neighbors.

The rear use behind us is a commercial use that doesn't really have any impacts at all. The impact to the
right of -- you know, of course the apartment building is owned by our client.

And the neighbor that is further to the right of our six-unit apartment building is also in support of the project.

It is still going to be a two-unit building, but the use of the building within is going to be much more friendly for family use, which is the intended -- you know, the intended, you know, ultimate user of the project.

One of the things you had asked about last time was the -- you know, the sort of the business model for our client, and there was, you know, some discussion internally, and they were confirming that they anticipate this to be a rental. They don't see a plan forward where this would be condoized and sold to the extent that that's -- you know, of interest to this Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You've mentioned a number of letters of support as you've gone along with your presentation. Are you going to discuss letters or communication from the East Cambridge Planning Team?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, yeah. So this was a little difficult. So the East Cambridge Planning Team had a
meeting. We had a meeting with them. I actually was not in attendance. But my client was in attendance with the architect, and the -- my understanding is that the beginning of the meeting was quite productive. And the sense was that there was support for -- you know, an addition. And increased -- you know, density or if you will, or floor area on the lot.

But after my client and the architect had left the meeting in the later hours, there was apparently a longer discussion, and ultimately, they came out with a letter which proposed a different design of a building, which had an addition which extended to the rear lot line.

And we've read the letter. And I know that the letter is part of your packet as well. And we looked at the this collectively together, and sort of scratched our head and tried to wrestle with what they were suggesting.

And what we came out with was that although it was a creative way to -- as they saw it sort of preserve the original house, it seems to be perhaps a different interpretation than what the Cambridge Historical Commission had already determined was an appropriate renovation.

Because this came -- you know, approximately a
month after the Cambridge Historical Commission has already had a hearing, and determined that our renovations were appropriate for preserving the house.

And their proposal also causes a lot of difficulties for us in terms of it would create new nonconformities in terms of we would be encroaching -- new encroachments into the rear yard, which we're now compliant and it would be a new encroachment into a rear yard. It would remove open space.

So we'd no longer be compliant with open space requirements. We'd no longer be compliant with green space requirements that are requirements of being in the $C 2 B$ district.

It would also pose difficulties for us with the parking configuration. And, besides which we think that the impacts on the neighbor to the left will be troublesome, and it would sort of reopen negotiations with the neighbor who is happy with our design.

So these were all -- you know, sort of difficult things that led us to the position of, you know, we appreciate this group's feedback and we want them to be happy with the project going forward. But, you know, we
weren't going to be able to accommodate their suggested redesign.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. You want to move on now to the parking. Are you --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. I mean the -- we could go back to the parking design. I didn't have a lot more to add to the discussion, unless people had questions about it. But it is a -- you know, it is a permitted -- a permitted condition.

Sisia, I'm sorry, the -- perhaps the landscape plan, number 20, page 20 is the best view of the parking?

SISIA DAGLIAN: So we have the parking sited where it is so that it's -- you know, it's kind of a balance of interest, right?

So we're providing two cars of parking. It keeps -- you know, it improves conditions in terms of allowing for parking and removing -- you know, presumably removing street parking to, you know, improve conditions for neighbors.

But it also, you know, improves the conditions by siting the parking sort of towards the rear to improve, you know, the passageway for the inhabitants who are coming in and out of their entryways so that they can pass up and down
the sidewalks to get in and out of their apartments.
And, you know, we don't see an issue in terms of safety of this type of parking arrangement as, you know, quite common in the area.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that end of your formal presentation?

SARAH RHATIGAN: It is, yes. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I'll open the matter up to questions by the members of the Board before we go to the public. Brendan, anything you want to ask about?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My question is with your comment. I don't like the parking plan at all. And I think it really violates most of the spirit of off-street parking.

Now, it's only because -- section 644.1B, "except for one- two- and three-family dwellings at the time of the effective date of this ordinance, no on-grade open parking or driveway shall be located within five feet of any side or rear property line."

However, for one-, two-, and three-, which the building on the left is, you can park within five feet. But the building on the right violates that tenet.

You know, I just don't understand the concept.

You know, it's a very nice back area with lawn and sitting area and so on and so forth, and then people have to maneuver around two cars. And it's just my personal preference would be that no cars park in the garage.

I think that the building can be renovated, and, you know, nice two units, four units -- I didn't think there was a hardship there, and that the back area will make it attractive.

And I think that Building Number 2 probably will be sold as condos eventually, because that's how you tariff.

And at the back area -- will be landscaped to make it more attractive and saleable, but there you've got those two cars there. So I think that I would not support the parking plan at all, and the downside would be that there would be no parking outside.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And actually you anticipated some comments I'm going to make. I'll go around to the other members first. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. Will we be able to include the Historic Commission letter and it's -- I guess requirements as a condition of --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'd like to believe that
the plans that are being presented to us tonight do incorporate that. Is there any -- do you discern any difference between --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Well, it basically says that the applicant has to go back to the Historic Commission to review construction details and materials to be submitted prior to the application for a building permit.

So I assume once you're done here tonight, if you move on, then it's -- really, you've got to go back to the Historic Commission, if I read this correctly. And then it's also --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You do.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. And then it's also very specific about the construction process that in essence the building will be razed, and the new construction will be a new first floor.

And that's nothing $I$ can discern from the -- I mean, unless I missed it. I breezed through the drawings. Unless the drawings indicate that, I'm just asking if it's your intention to comply with that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've never done that before, asking time relief to subsequent compliance with the

Historical Commission requirements, as set forth here. And I'm a little bit -- I'm not sure why, but I'm a little bit troubled by doing that.

I mean, we have plans before us, who represented that they -- I think they are -- in substantial compliance with what the Historical Commission approves. I don't know if it's necessary to get to that level of detail.

So, but I mean I'm willing to be talked out of it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, yeah.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. If I could just offer a comment, if you don't mind?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: By all means, go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: If we are required to follow up with Mr. Sullivan and with his staff in terms of, you know, construction plans and the details of the actual construction? And one of the tricky, you know, construction details will be the jacking of the house and the building of the addition at the first level.

So some of the plan detail changes that you've seen are -- relate to showing the trim, so that you'll see that the addition does appear as the first floor. And then the house itself is essentially the top floors.

It's possible, and Mr. Sullivan has discussed this with us, that if it's determined collectively that for whatever reason the house can't be elevated, that we may be able to with the Cambridge Historic Commission's approval come up with some other solution.

But we would work that out with them, and we would hope that this Board would -- you know, look to the Cambridge Historic Commission as the body that would help us resolve that issue, but not require us to come back to this Board again for -- you know, additional approval or modification of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It seems to me -- and I may be wrong -- is that should there be change, as you work out the details as you say, with the Historical Commission, and that causes some changes to the plans that we have before us, then it's up to Ranjit.

If he decides that, you know, what you want to do now after finishing with historical is not what this Board approved, you will have to come back. If he decides not, the case will never come back to us.

```
So I think --
```

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I'm right that it all resides in Ranjit's interpretation of whatever changes you make to the plans that we have before us tonight?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, I don't know if that satisfies you, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm not -- yeah, I'm just -- I'm looking again at the drawings. So I'm looking at the A001 from the sixth June 3 set, and under the project description, this is where I just want to make sure there isn't a disconnect.

And it basically reads, "New penthouse floor" -my interpretation at the top -- "to add a story to the original structure." It doesn't -- the description that you've agreed to do, or at least to attempt with the Historic Commission $I$ just don't see reflected in your documentation.

And I would take the Historic Commission advice personally seriously, as a rationale for me to be able to accept the project as it's presented.

So I'll figure out a way to do it. I understand you have to go back, and I understand there will be some
back and forth to see what the complications are in actually achieving that.

But I think you've answered my question to the best of everyone's ability.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jim. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Counsel, is there a plan that shows the parking spaces dimensions and the width and length of the driveway?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't believe so, but Sisia, am I right?

SARAH RHATIGAN: There is a survey that is at -let's see, is it page -- around about page 20. I'm not sure that that shows the parking spaces' dimensions. I'm sorry, 20 -- keep going, Sisia, I'm sorry. After the photos, I believe. There's 26. That's the actual survey, which shows the existing driveway.

SISIA DAGLIAN: 001 has the width, but not --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, it has the width, but it doesn't have the parking spaces dimensions.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So Counsel, you mentioned that the parking being proposed is allowed. I don't see that the parking is compliant. Can you speak to
that?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. So -- and I'm sorry if I was not being clear or specific enough. So the way that we were, you know, considering this is that if you see that this -- I'm sorry, I'm having a little trouble seeing the -if you Zoom in on this plan -- well, you probably can't zoom in, yeah, there we go, thank you.

The preexisting conditions is that -- if you see the language on the driveway, it says, "Paved driveway easement." So the existing conditions are that there's an 11-foot-one-inch driveway that leads to a back paved area behind the \#33 Gore Street.

So, you know, pre our construction, the conditions are that we have a driveway that's 11 feet wide that leads to a parked area in the back. And historically, that has been a driveway that's been used for both the parking, and then there's the parking in the back of any number of vehicles, and it's been a little unclear as to how many vehicles have been parking in there.

Has it been in a conforming condition or not? It's a little unclear.

And this parking area, even though this land is --
the lot was at one point technically part of \#31, it was an easement that was for the use of \#33.

So if you think about the 33 parcel as having a paved driveway that was used for parking and it's a twofamily structure, if I think of this as a project and I forget about the parking -- the apartment building on \#31 -I think of this as a fairly traditional situation where you have tandem parking for a two-unit structure that's located in a driveway that is more than 10 feet wide.

And I understand that those are not exactly -- you know, our facts. So if not, we are asking for special permit relief, and, you know, it's not perfect. But it is, we think eligible for special permit relief.

I'm sensing that, you know, you all have concerns about the parking. And I think that, you know, my clients will be willing to talk to you about what recommendations you might have -- you know, and what you would like to see.

ANDREA HICKEY: Counsel, when were 31 and 33 first merged for zoning purposes.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I am not sure about that. It was prior -- it was owned by the same owner prior to sale to the current owners. So it's been probably at least maybe 20
years or so. But I haven't done a Title to know how far back beyond that.

That's really all I have. I don't love the parking situation. I just think it's too tight, and in general I don't favor tandem parking, I think it's dangerous pulling -- backing out or backing in.

So I'd like to hear of some way that you could be a little more creative with respect to the parking, if it's that necessary. But I'm eager to hear my colleagues' comments as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: As we get to the vote, it will be two votes, and we'll vote on the parking separately. And I think you heard Brendan's reservation. I've already indicated I have serious reservations. You've expressed some reservations.

So we'll see how the vote goes on the special permit, with tandem parking. If it doesn't go to the -- if we approve it, then the petitioner is back to the drawing board with regard to parking.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have nothing further at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And Counselor, thank you for your presentation. I think I'm going to continue on the theme around parking, because I also pause in terms of the proposed design, for similar reasons.

It really looks quite wedged and very close to both sides -- on both sides, and to the residential windows. And I do wonder and worry about noise issues. I worry about exhaust issues, with respect to those windows.

And even I worry about the egress out of that lot, given how narrow it is, and the visibility onto the sidewalk and into the street. So I don't want to expand much beyond that; $I$ think my colleagues have said a lot about it.

But I share concerns, with respect to the parking configuration and it would have been helpful for me to have had something in the record pointing to where we might have approved the design like this in the past -- really similar to this, anyway.

You know, that wasn't in there, and we certainly are regular before this Board and you may have examples like this. I haven't seen anything along these lines in my time here.

That's all I have for now, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jason. And as I've indicated, I'm the last to speak I guess on this issue. I have my reservations, which have been expressed in more detail and more accurately by my fellow members of the Board, and their comments so far now.

So with that, I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony, and any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll wait a few seconds to see if anyone wishes to speak.

Apparently, we have no indication that any members of the public wish to speak. So I will close public testimony, and return to the case, the decision and the case.

And again, there's two votes to be taken -- one with regard to the modification of the structure of 31 , and the other is the tandem parking.

Discussion, or do you want me to make a motion?

SARAH RHATIGAN: May I make a modification or a comment on the parking, if I might?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: The original application that we filed with this application included a special permit waiver of parking requirements, and included a traffic analysis, traffic and parking report, which $I$ know was, you know, probably deep in this file. But the information in that report would support a finding to allow for us to move ahead without parking on the site.

And communicating with my clients, they would prefer to have an answer from this Board tonight as to the ability to be able to move ahead with the renovation plans, and to forgo the tandem parking, even though they'd like to have parking on the site.

But they really have -- you know, it's been a long road to travel on this project, and they'd love to get going with renovations.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do I understand that you're asking for a vote on the physical modifications of the structure? And you're going to continue this case as to the parking? Is that what you're asking?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Um-- --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Otherwise, we take a vote tonight on the parking as well.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I think what I've asked for is a special permit to waive parking requirements for the two units on the site. So we currently, as applied we currently have technically one parking space for a two-unit dwelling.

And if we proceed with renovations, and we have two units and we have no parking, that would be a violation, unless this Board grants a waiver of that condition. Do you understand --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- what I'm saying?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm a little bit lost. Try it again. I mean, if we don't take action tonight on the parking, we will have what parking on the lot as you're entitled to under our ordinance, and tandem parking is not one of them.

But you would have one parking space for 31 on the site, and the other parking space for the other owner of the -- the other occupant of the structure, two-family structure, would park on the street or have no parking. I
mean, would not need parking. Is that what we're talking about?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Well, my understanding is that if you were to take a vote on the renovations of 33 Gore Street, that you would also be expecting us to make the landscaping changes as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. Say that again, please?

SARAH RHATIGAN: You would also -- this Board would also be expecting us to make the landscaping changes as well? Which would eliminate the area that's currently where cars park in the rear of the building.

So the question is if that would eliminate the -there's no -- currently the current parking situation is somebody drives up this driveway, and then they park behind 33 Gore Street.

But if you take a vote to approve the renovations, which is what we very much are hoping the Board's going to do, you know, the question is would you -- and preserve the existing driveway and the existing parking in the rear? We're expecting not.

We'd love to be done tonight. And in doing so,
we'd like to ask for a waiver of all parking on the site, and to allow for the renovations to go ahead, and for the project to go ahead with no parking on the site.

And we think that the record would establish that we can do so without any substantial detriment to the neighborhood.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. That's what I would propose to do. We would take that vote on the -- for the architectural, dimensional changes to the structure. And with the condition that no parking be allowed on site, or particularly the rear yard.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That would be correct. We're getting kind of wordy here, but the motion could be that we grant the special permit for the reconstruction of the building known as 33 Gore Street, and accepting the landscape plan --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- as presented at the rear of the structure, without any parking. And that as far as the tandem parking, we would vote not to allow the special permit for the tandem parking, and it would be a de facto
agreement that there would be no parking. There would be a waiver of the parking requirement.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Or it can either be a de facto that would deny any tandem parking, and that it eliminates parking on the site -- basically it's granting a waiver by accepting the landscaping plan for the reconstruction of the structure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Does that --
SARAH RHATIGAN: I think that that would work for the petitioner.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I think we're ready for a motion now, with regard to the structural changes. Let me get my notes out for a second. We're talking about a special permit.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the proposed modifications to the structure at [-- was it 33?] --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 33.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- 33 Gore Street. That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we
grant the special permit.
That the traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. And subject to the conditions I'm going to propose to the motion, that would be the case. Compliance with those conditions would be the case.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed -- in fact what is going to happen is that the housing stock of the city will be improved by virtue of the modifications to 33 Gore Street, which have been approved, by the way, of the Historical Commission.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. So based on these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit with regard to the structural changes, subject to the following conditions:

That as part of the approval, that the landscaping plans for the rear yard will be complied with as set forth in the plans, and that there will be no on-site parking, with regard to 33 Gore Street.

And that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Joe the architect, dated June 3, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, to granting the special permit, but $I$ would just like to clarify for the record that it's no parking by anyone, not just \#33, either in the paved driveway or at the rear of \#33.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Fine. That's a good suggestion, and I will -- my motion will be modified to that extent. No parking on site, 33 or 31 will be permitted as one of the conditions to approving the proposed
modifications 33 Gore Street.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That do it for you,
Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, that does. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes, to granting
the special permit at -- pursuant to the modified motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Great. And the Chair will
vote as well.
[All vote YES]
The motion has been -- the approval has been
granted with regard to the architectural modifications to 33
Gore Street, and we will -- by virtue of the terms of that
special permit, the second special permit regarding parking relief becomes moot. We prohibited that by virtue of what we've just adopted.

Relief granted; case is over.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much for your time. Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
JASON MARSHALL: Signing off. Good evening, Mr.

Chair.
[Jason Marshall leaves]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jason.
Goodbye.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Bye, Jason.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Which one do we have now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: We have -- she's on.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We go to the regular agenda.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hm?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We'll go to the regular agenda.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, we'll go to the
regular agenda.
(7:21 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I've got to make an announcement with regard to this.

We have one other continued case on our agenda. I'm looking for the -- there it is -- 118 Spring Street.

But we're not going to hear this case right now. Despite specific instructions about the -- have to -- to have our cases continued before you have to change the sign to give the new date -- which is tonight -- and the new time -- which is going to be at 6:30...6:00? or -- 6:00, the sign was not changed.

The time on the sign is 7:45 p.m. tonight, and so we will not hear this case 118 Spring Street until 7:45 or perhaps later, depending how the rest of our agenda goes. So now we'll turn to the regular agenda.
(7:22 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 116393 -- 14 Bigelow Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

REED SHEA: Yes, good evening. Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
REED SHEA: Hi there. My name is Reed Shea. I'm here presenting on my own behalf. I'm the owner of 14 Bigelow Street. Thank you very much for the opportunity to come before you this evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Proceed.
REED SHEA: I'm here to present my proposal to renovate our family home. My wife and I have lived in Cambridge for the better part of a decade. I came out here from California and stuck around.

> th

And we're proposing to renovate a dilapidated 19 century home on Bigelow Street located in the Neighborhood Conservation District, the Bigelow Street Historic District,
and turn it into our family home.
We wish to dramatically improve the light and safety of the building by rebuilding the stairways to modern
th st
safety codes, bring it up from 19 -century to 21 century standards, install fire sprinklers, install fire blocking, installation, and, you know, remove an unsightly and unsafe fire escape ladder.

We're really hoping to create a beautiful, stunning home that's an addition to the streetscape and addition to the neighborhood character and provide quality rental housing to fellow Cantabridgians as well as to provide space for our growing family.

We recently had our first child, two months ago, and she's wonderful. She's also a handful.

And being here in -- you know, this time of COVID and working remotely, my wife and I are both professionals, and are looking for a little bit of breathing room and a little bit of extra space in our home.

So in order to accomplish these goals, we seek zoning relief for a special permit to extend existing building planes within the required side setback to seek special permit relief to exempt our basement from the
calculation of gross floor area, as well as relief to modify windows and to add doors within the side setbacks.

Finally, we also are seeking zoning relief in the form of a variance to go above the required floor area ratio by 262 square feet.

This is a small, I think, change that would allow us to create a home where we could have two bedrooms on the same floor, so that we could have our daughter next to us, keep our rental units, for which we depend on for income, and make the fire safety and life safety improvements, as well as the visual improvements that we hope to make.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: With regard to the variance, which is the first part of -- your first piece of relief you're seeking, you have to address the requirements for a variance and why you're satisfying them.

I trust you're aware of what I'm talking about? That you have to demonstrate a literal enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance would involve a substantial hardship --

REED SHEA: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- financial or otherwise to the petitioner or appellant. And again, by law, that
means that applies to not only you or you and your family, but to anyone who occupies or owns the property -- that there's a substantial hardship that you would like to rectify by the work you're proposing that requires a variance. So --

REED SHEA: Definitely. Yeah, the hardship that I would highlight is one of the space needs for a growing family. To be honest, we haven't been home much lately since my daughter was born. We've been lucky to have my inlaws nearby, and they have an extra bedroom and an extra set of hands at night.

So it's been very, very critical to be able to continue to work -- to be able to, you know, have a little bit more space for both, you know, an office as well as for a bedroom and space for our daughter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But again, you're going back to your needs, and I don't mean to in any way denigrate those, but it's got to be for anyone who owns this property; that there's a need for more living space. And that need violates the zoning ordinance, unless we grant the variance.

And we have to move on to the second requirement for a variance. I'm reading from the statute, or zoning
ordinance.
"The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structures, and especially affecting such land or structure, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located." Do you want to address that? It's tough.

REED SHEA: Sure. I would suggest that our house is an undersized lot relative to other lots both on Bigelow Street as well as in C-1 zoning districts.

The reason we're -- I guess looking to ask for a special permit is because of the sequencing of the calculations that the Building Commissioner suggested where the special permit would come first and the variance would come second.

So the variance that we're asking for, I guess I'm happy to discuss first. But the hardship that $I$ would argue is due to the size of the lot. It's 4000 square feet, which is fairly small. And we're looking for a final gross floor area of 3200,62 square feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And I trust you're aware that you have substantial neighborhood opposition to what you want to do? We have a number of letters in our
file from neighbors who were opposed to granting the variance.

REED SHEA: Yes, I'm aware of that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have you had any meetings with them, or tried to reach out to them?

REED SHEA: Absolutely. So we've been through a number of meetings both one on one with neighbors, as well as a lengthy process through the Historic Neighborhood Conversation Districts, who provided us with a certificate of appropriateness, and an approval for this project we're proposing.

I'm happy to discuss and rebut the letters that were provided to the Board from my neighbors. I wish that I was able to come before this Board with letters of support, not letters of opposition.

Unfortunately, I haven't been creative enough to come up with a proposal, but that enables my neighbors to support -- but that's my failure. But I've had substantial, lengthy discussion and have made some substantial changes to our proposal over the course of the past year.

I would also point out, highlight that those letters of opposition are, with respect to the variance, not
the special permit, which we've treated as separate --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right. We're
talking about the variance, correct. All right. And just to make sure, you know, we touch all the bases, have you thought about creating some sort of neighborhood meeting, where you -- now that you've seen letters in writing and the opposition, see if there's any way of reaching an accommodation or a modification or something that gets the neighborhood opposition withdrawn?

REED SHEA: I work very hard to try to work towards a proposal that our neighbors could support through three meetings over the course of this winter and spring with the neighborhood -- with the Historical District, excuse me.

I wish that we had been able to come in and have more neighborly barbecues and have -- you know, we moved in and not too long after, we got shut down and have not been as, you know, able to be as close with our neighbors as I would have liked. I do feel like we have made a strong effort to try and accommodate those perspectives.

I do have opinions about the points that were raised in the letter that I'm happy to share with the Board,
if appropriate, in terms of rebuttal?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I just want to
touch all the bases and make sure --
REED SHEA: Yeah, absolutely.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you've never been
before us.
REED SHEA: No, like I said I wish I were able to -- my hope and my goal was to come to you with letters of support. I know that's very important, and it was my failure that $I$ wasn't prepared to do so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Unless you have more you want to add with regard to the variance, we'll move on to the special permit part of the relief you're seeking.

REED SHEA: Certainly.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: A special permit to install a door -- I'm sorry, to exempt basement space from GFA and window changes.

REED SHEA: Certainly. So would visuals be a useful effort, or do you -- presumably you've had a chance to review the drawings? I'd like to point out where those changes would be, or highlight them verbally.

The changes are primarily to the north side of the
house, with two basement stairs. This mirrors the state of our neighbors to the north at \#16 who have two basement stairs and entrances as well. It's very similar in concept

SISIA DAGLIAN: It's not on.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
REED SHEA: -- in order to, as well as a door on the south side. The windows on the front of the house remain unchanged. There are a couple of windows that we would like to move on the back of the house to accommodate change -- you know, putting in a bathroom and perhaps a smaller window there, and changes to the kitchen windows.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And just to be specific, the requirement for us to grant the special permit with regard to the GFA is we have -- this Board has to find that the uses occupying such exempted GFA support the character of the neighborhood or district in which the applicable lot is located.

And I just want to say that basically what you're planning to do with regard to the basement is no different than other houses, living space in the neighborhood?

REED SHEA: That's correct. We would like to
continue to have a multifamily house and continue to have two rental units as well as our unit. And we'd like to continue that. We'd like to upgrade and improve the fire safety as well.

The biggest -- the only part of the house that I'm not concerned about burning down is the asbestos installation of the boiler, or the pipes. So there's a lot that needs to be done to bring it up to modern safety codes, st
and to safety code and to 21 -century living.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.
REED SHEA: In terms of the neighborhood character, I think I would point to the Historical District support of this project as an important element.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll ask Board members if they have any questions at this point. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] I have just one question, just looking at between the existing and the proposed drawings. How many dwelling units are in the building currently, and how many will there be when the renovations are according to the proposed plans?

REED SHEA: Three. There's no change to proposed. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing at the moment.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: [Matina Williams], I have no questions right now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have none at this time either. So I'm going to open the matter up to public comment. Let me get my instructions out that I can read. There we go. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a few minutes to see if there's anyone here -- anyone in the public who wishes to speak on this matter.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Susan Dynarski?
SUSAN DYNARSKI: Yes, thank you. I'm an abutter to this home. We own \#12, but we just purchased the house
three weeks ago today, and we'd like to let our neighbors on the other side, \#16, to precede us in making comments, and then we go right after them?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Fine.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jean Reiser?

DOUG GESLER: Hi. My name is Doug Gesler. I'm Jean Reiser's husband. Can you hear me?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
DOUG GESLER: Okay. We live at \#16. We've been here since 2001. So we've watched this house do nothing for 15 years, so with new people moving in we were extremely excited that there was some hope that this house, which is much like our house was, it needs some help, and -- you know, we're hoping that it will get that.

But our biggest concerns are that in this process, none of the drawings that we've seen show anything to do with the massing of this project, versus the buildings around it.

None of the drawings we've ever seen actually show in the lot line with the lot line at the other properties that we've seen in so many BZA presentations.

And the biggest thing for us is that a lot of this new basement foundation work, which will go down three feet deeper than the basement is now, and comes out to 1.9 feet from the lot line, added to the roof space and the new driveway space doesn't allow for any runoff except really onto our land.

Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: David Spillane?
JEAN RISER: I think we were thinking we would go next, if that's okay -SISIA DAGLIAN: Sure. JEAN RISER: -- as number 12? SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm.

JEAN RISER: Okay. So, as I said, we are new to the neighborhood, though I'm born and bred in Somerville, so I guess I'm an immigrant on those standards. We were particularly drawn in buying our home to the back yard and the long sight lines of greenery and sunlight from our deck and our home. And the proposed expansion will cut that off.

The proposed expansion is going to jut further out than the adjacent homes, reducing green space considerably.

And as stated by our neighbors at \#16, we're extremely concerned about reduced water absorption and runoff into basements that already have substantial problems.

We'd also like to point out that the application indicates that there are three parking spaces presently at the address at \#14 and there are not, there is one.

And were there to be an addition to the parking spaces, to make them three, they would come right next to our house. Presumably a driveway would run right next to our house to the back yard, which would further reduce green space and create problems with runoff.

So we are quite concerned that it's going to change the character of the neighborhood. It's going to reduce our enjoyment of our yard. It's going to reduce our privacy, and reduce connection in a quite close-knit neighborhood. We're able to right now talk across the yards with each other. We're going to have a building blocking us.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else, Sisia?

> SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, David Spillane. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: David?

DAVID SPILLANE: Thank you for the opportunity to comment. While this application identifies this as a modest addition to the house, but it actually is a 2800 square feet of renovation, 1800 feet of new construction, and about 1000 square feet of demolition.

The applicant is requesting from the Board more than 1600 square feet of relief from FAR. 262 square feet of that is by variance. The balance is by special permit.

The neighbors, you know, have the concern that the addition is extending about 10 feet beyond the current building.

I think the discussion with the neighbors at \#12 and \#16 have indicated that they would be comfortable with an addition in the area of three to four feet. That would not require the variance.

So that is really the piece that is a dispute. This has been consistent in conversations with the neighbors throughout the process, including with the Historical Commission. And there's been no change to that position. The position we're seeing today is still an addition of about 10 feet beyond the back of the current building. So there really has been no change there.

One additional point: No site plan of any kind has been provided with the applications here. As one of the neighbors at \#12 just said, the zoning application says there's currently one -- there's three parking spaces, which there clearly are not. You can see that in the photograph submitted with the application.

The proposal is for three spaces in the future. Nobody has any idea where those would be located. There is no site plan indicating [what] the landscaping would be.

There are dimensions stated in the application as to what the percentage of lot area that's included in the landscaping is but again, there is no drawing submitted with this application, and what the site layout is with property boundaries indicating either the extent of the addition, how parking is provided, whether that in fact is tandem parking or some other format of parking -- and when in fact, whether in fact that parking is entirely for the owner, or whether it would be provided to the rental units.

I think there is room here for productive discussion in the neighborhood to resolve this. I think there are many aspects of this proposal that are good, and I hope they could be resolved in a way that would meet the
needs of all parties.
But we're not at that point right now. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And that's
what I was trying to suggest earlier with my comments to the petitioner. Anyone else wish to speak, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. No one wishes to speak, so I'm going to close public testimony. I will give the petitioner any final comments before the Board starts our deliberation.

REED SHEA: Thank you. I thank you for the comments. I also have -- I'd like to make a few points relative to the letters that were submitted, and go into more detail.

But I do, though, I want to touch back on the proving that the literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship. Y

You know, I do think one thing I wanted to add to that is the financial element to that hardship. The improvement that we'd like to make with respect to fire safety and putting in sprinklers, insulation and so forth, are extremely expensive. And taking on this project is
something that we'd like to do.
But anyone taking on this project, anyone renovating this house to the extent of that level of improvement would also need to see some level of financial return.

Because we're looking to make it our family home, we're not looking for as much of a financial return, but it is an element of that hardship.

With respect to Mr. Spillane, Mr. Spillane is not an abutter. I fail to see the relevance of the massing to someone who's not an abutter. I, you know, I'm glad to hear that the neighborhood has come together.

I wish that the neighborhood would be able to come together to help to improve the housing stock of Cambridge, as opposed to oppose improvements to the housing stock of Cambridge.

Mr. Spillane erroneously states that there is no plot plan; there is a certified plot plan in the documents that were submitted. And with respect to parking, we're not proposing any changes to parking. We have one car that my wife and I share, and we choose to keep our driveway open. But we have parking for multiple cars, and don't want to
change any of that. That's not part of what we're trying to accomplish here.

There was a letter from Mrs. Slater, at \#10, who had some great points that she was advocating for. I didn't think that any of them were relevant to the discussions of zoning in front of this Board today.

Mr. Gesler and Ms. Reiser at \#16 in their letter stated that they were the primary abutters. I'm not really sure what "primary abutter" means, but I do want to take that moment to highlight that our abutters on Inman Street have raised no objections to our proposal that I'm aware of, nor have abutters across the street.

They opposed this proposal in their letter on the grounds that it would require zoning relief -- seems pathological to me, before you today.

Several of the letters, including those from Mr. Gesler and Mrs. Reiser have suggested that I actively live more modestly. The realities, as I've stated before, of two working professionals with a growing family are substantial.

And I think both my neighbors at \#16 as well as Mr. Spillane have stated that we have not been responsive and have made no changes; that is incorrect.

We've made substantial changes to our proposal over the course of the past year -- substantial changes to the proposal on the front façade, which you have had approval from the Historical Commission, but eliminated those proposals entirely.

The rear northeast corner we brought in from an original proposal to keep to the building plane that's set back from the lot line.

So claims that we've made no changes are both untrue and disingenuous. Mr. and Mrs. Dynarski at \#13 Bigelow made a point that they chose to renovate their home within the existing envelope. That's their prerogative, doesn't feel like it's relevant.

They also stated that they raised a family on Bigelow Street. That's what I'm trying to do. I'd love to be able to do that.

They -- I think that their daughter is going to join them in their second -- downstairs from them, which they just renovated. I'm very happy for them. I assume my in-laws with their granddaughter has been a joy and that's awesome.
I'm not in a position to be able to live in a
multi-million-dollar home on Bigelow Street without rental income. They have benefitted from a 30 - or 40 fold increase in value of their home since they've purchased it. I'm starting in a very different position.

And so the -- I think that's the -- something that's part of the equation for me.

Finally --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, sir, could you wrap up your comments, please?

REED SHEA: Certainly. The questions for the Board as I see it is whether the zoning relief that I seek is going to further answer the goals of the broader city of Cambridge, and in furtherance of the spirit of the letter of the zoning ordinance. I don't begrudge my neighbors' situation.

The part (sic) of the zoning ordinance is to encourage housing for persons of all income levels. And that's an important part of what I'm trying to accomplish, along with improving life safety, improving upon this.

And I think that this proposal would substantially improve those aspects to, as well as improve the neighborhood, improve the streetscape, and allow it to make

Bigelow Street our family home. Thank you for the opportunity to present tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Okay. And now
all public testimony is enclosed, and we can move on to the relief being sought. I think maybe the easiest way, and maybe the best way: Let me make a motion with regard to granting the variance, and then people --

SISIA DAGLIAN: There was another hand that was raised.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SISIA DAGLIAN: There was another hand raised again.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry. We have one more person yet.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Alexander Kuhne?
ALEXANDER KUHNE: Can you hear me now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
ALEXANDER KUHNE: Hi. Hi, I'm Alexander Kuhne. I am a brand-new resident at \#12 Bigelow with Susan Dynarski. And I was going to let Susan's comments suffice for our household, but the comment regarding, "Well, this is what I paid for my property, and I got to make things work," we
just bought this three weeks ago. And we have the same issues.

And while I'm all -- and so new residents to
Cambridge, I very much respect the public policy that seems to be put out there by the community for increased housing opportunities across different economic stratas (sic).

The point that we're making here is that the proposal as it is does not increase any housing stock in the community.

And in fact by putting in what would no doubt be hundreds of thousands of dollars of investments into apparently a situation in which is being claimed as, "I am sort of upside-down, so I need to spend another hundred million dollars so $I$ can, like, break even and make some money," that's not going to help any other economic groups renting population.

In fact, it's probably going to make whatever apartments are in \#14 even more expensive to potential renters.

So yes, the --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, sir --
ALEXANDER KUHNE: The property doesn't --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're really not on the variance. You're --

ALEXANDER KUHNE: No, no, but this was implied. So my summary is that I wish them well. Perhaps they could, you know, relocate into the larger parcel of their property, as opposed to renting out the larger parcel.

There are myriad solutions to what the supposed hardships, which actually aren't hardships as defined in the statute, but that these could be resolved within the envelope of the existing property, or maybe limited extension into the back than what is being proposed here, which is basically a Bigfoot expanse of nonpermeable materials over their entire property.

So I would ask that the petition be denied.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Now we will turn to the -- no further public commentary, we'll turn to the case itself. And as I started to say before, I'm going to make a motion to grant the variance, and then we can take a vote on that, or further discuss it, but we all have it specifically before us.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought: That a
literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise to the petitioner. It's not clear -- well, I'll leave it at that.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this was already a nonconforming structure or residence, and therefore any modification requires zoning relief.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance being requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by Twofold Design Group dated May 24, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, any comments, or how do you wish to vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is the [2:06:38 audio unclear] is actually going down. Is that correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, yes that is correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And so they're demolishing part of the back of the house, and then they're adding on to the back house, like that? You know, I wish that there had been
a little bit more outreach into the abutters and the community.

There are people, obviously, who have some standing who, you know, say they are going to be affected by it. And I think it's really on the onus of the petitioner to have addressed that issue that it may not have any effect on, but at any rate.

I would vote for the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You vote for the variance. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde], just thinking about Brendan's comment, Mr. Sullivan's comment, and looking at the dimensional form and looking at the drawings, $I$ don't -- I can't fathom how, unless it's by the exemption of the basement, the requested condition is actually a 600-some-odd square feet less.

It just -- and without basically measuring it. So I just -- I'm struggling how it could be less.

REED SHEA: It is through the proposed special permit, earlier requested special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Accepting the basement.
ANDREA HICKEY: So it is a result of accepting the
basement? I'm not sure how we can vote on the variance, if a condition of what the applicant is asking for is to be included in the special permit vote.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Because if you don't exempt the basement, and you just take the condition of what's being removed and what's being added, it's an add by the 10 feet times whatever the width of the building is times three floors. So --

ANDREA HICKEY: It's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. It is definitely -- so I think something in the dimensional form is not clearly portraying some of the concerns that the neighbors have. So I think there's -- I'm just searching for clarity here. I'm concerned about the -- I am concerned about the concerns that the neighbors raise, and that there hasn't been the ability between the neighbors and the proponent to basically come to a happy conclusion that both can be satisfied with.

And my last point is -- and I think one of the neighbors brought this up -- in essence, it's a three-unit residence and -- you know, residence and two apartments, and it's going to be turned to a residence and two apartments.

So there's no increase in the number of units that would kind of sway me that the additional square footage in terms of the neighbors should be overweighed by adding some units to the city's housing stock.

Sorry, one more thing. Lastly, and I agree with one of the neighbors that mentioned, or several did, that there wasn't a site plan presented.

There is a plot plan of the existing condition, but not of the proposed that shows its addition and what happens to the walks around it, and whether in fact you can get those vehicles in that have been shown.

So I would almost, you know, in my -- the most positive outcome for this would be to continue, go back to the neighbors, have a site plan prepared. In fact, make the dimensional form clearer.

And in fact this is really on the basis of getting the special permit, and therefore the -- this is how you get the area that's represented. So those are my issues. So I'm kind of tied up in a knot here, and I don't know that I can support it at the moment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We could continue the case, if the petitioner wishes. And you can add -- you
could answer the questions and respond to the points that you've made. But that's up to the petitioner.

I haven't heard any wishes to continue the case. So we have to move along on the cases that are before us right now. Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, Jim very succinctly articulated exactly what was going on in my mind. I think the dimensional form really required a footnote so that it was clear to the Board and to the public that the GFA decrease is partially a result of our exempting the basement.

So, again, I don't see how personally I can vote on the variance first without making a decision on whether I'm okay exempting the basement.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can suspend discussion on this motion with regard to the additional space and move on to the special permit and vote on that which is, you know, to exempt the basement space, if that's the desire of the Board. I'm fine.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. Personally, I think we'd have to do that.

But sort of my final comment would be to highly
recommend to the applicant that he take a continuance and try to work with the neighbors and to get your dimensional form in better shape, so that we can look at this again and give you the consideration that you're requesting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I certainly agree with that. I've been trying to do that so far. This case, I haven't got no response from our petitioner.

ANDREA HICKEY: No, I think perhaps we should make it clear to the petitioner that if his request is denied tonight, then he cannot come back for two years.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Unless he's proposing something substantially different than what he's put forth tonight. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So let me just stop the discussion right here. I'm going to ask the petitioner one more time, do you want us to proceed to a vote, or do you want to take the suggestions you've been hearing -- the latest from Andrea is that you should continue this case. REED SHEA: Would it be possible to do as you suggested and move to a vote on the special permit? That would help me to assess whether to push for a continuance with the variance. Would that be an outcome that we could
do tonight?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm happy to go -- to suspend this vote right now, go to the special permit. The standard for a special permit with regard to exempting the basement space is very easy to satisfy. So I think you can assume -- we can assume -- that that special permit is being granted.

But we don't have to make the assumption. We can do that if the Board members like me to stop this case and just vote on the variance, move on to the special permit. I think Andrea feels that way. And I think Jim does as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's fine.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me reconsider, re: his comment about granting the variance after follow-up discussion here.

And I would strongly urge Mr. Shea that you take into consideration what you've heard tonight from the -especially the comments from your abutters, and other people who have called in, even though they're not abutters, and iron out whatever questions they had, and have a discussion on it, continue this matter, and then come back to us with
the sum substance of that -- those discussions.
You may very well come back with the exact same plan, or you may modify it. And again, you should be aware that those people have presumed standing to contest our decision. And it's not legal advice, it's just that's a matter of fact of the law of life.

So I would not want to bifurcate this thing and vote for one and then hold the other one. It's an up and down vote for the variance and the special permit together.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I would just for the benefit of Mr. Shea, what Mr. Sullivan's referring to is that there is a -- whatever relief we grant or don't grant, he has a right to appeal to the courts.

And neighbors, should we grant the variance, could take an appeal to the courts and tie this thing up -this case or this project up potentially for years.

The neighbor who brings that lawsuit must have standing -- and that's a legal doctrine I'm not going to get into tonight. Not anybody can file an appeal from our decision, but someone who has standing. Neighbors tend to have standing, but that's not a legal decision or opinion I'm giving. It's just a risk you will run if you proceed
with the case tonight, assuming we grant the relief you're seeking.

So do you want -- Mr. Sullivan has answered the question. Mr. Shea, do you want to continue this case?

REED SHEA: I would like to ask if we could vote on the special permit. I would like to continue the variance. And just to separate the two.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't want to bifurcate this case, I'm sorry. We're going to vote on all of it, as Mr. Sullivan said --

REED SHEA: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- at one time. So if we continue --

REED SHEA: Understood.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- we continue --
REED SHEA: Yeah. No, I would like to continue and, or request a continuance. And would love to continue collaborating. I hope I can get to an agreement with the neighbors.

Like I said, a really great outcome, and it's on me that I haven't been able to get there yet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Now we go to when -

- to what date do we continue? And it would have to be a date where the five members of the Board here sitting tonight will be available. My guess is you need it to continue this case at least for 30 days. SISIA DAGLIAN: So we have July 15. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: July 15? SISIA DAGLIAN: July 29. 15 -- yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: July for me, July 29 works better. Brendan? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, that's fine with me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. How about the other members of the Board: July 29? JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde], it's fine for me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey] it's fine with me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Matina? MATINA WILLIAMS: [Matina Williams], it's fine with me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves -- will make the following findings: That we continue this case as a case heard.

And what that means is that the five members who are sitting tonight must be on the case when we reconvene it. So to continue the case until --

SISIA DAGLIAN: 6:00.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Six? So 6:00 p.m. on July 29, subject to the following conditions: First, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. If that's not signed, relief will automatically be granted, and that's not our intention.

So you must -- it's a very simple form that agrees that the case can continue on until July 29. That form -and on my motion it incorporates this -- that form must be signed no later than 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday. Contact Maria Pacheco at the Building Department and get this form one way or another.

And the first condition is that waiver of time for decision must be signed by a week from Monday day 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

Second, that the posting sign that's out there now must be either a new one that's put up, or the existing one is modified by means of a magic marker or the like, to reflect the new date, July 29, and the new time. It's very
important, the new time. And that new time will be 6:00 p.m.

And lastly, that to the extent there are new or modified plans specifications, statistical information, that information must be in our files -- the Building

Department's file -- no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before July 29.

If that is not done, then we will not consider the plans that are -- we will just go with what's in our files at that time.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, to the proposal.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER:
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes in
favor of the continuance as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Case continued until July 29.

REED SHEA: Thank you very much. May I have one closing question?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.

REED SHEA: I'm curious if you might have any
guidance for the kind of top priority pieces that you see for the challenge to obtaining the variance. I know the neighborhood opposition was important.

I'm struggling to reconcile that with the language and letter of the zoning ordinance, and I'm wondering if you have any guidance that you would be able to provide me.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I can't -- we can't do
that. We -- you can contact Counsel or you can do what you
wish, but we're not in that position to give that kind of advice.

REED SHEA: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The advice we've been
trying to give you tonight is you've got neighborhood
opposition. There is a means of appeal to the courts.
You want to go forward, you do it at your own risk. But if you want to otherwise get more information, I'm afraid you'll have to retain an architect or a lawyer or something to give you that advice. Sorry.

REED SHEA: All right. Thank you very much. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Put the files together.]

Spring Street?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, I'm going to do that next, once I get my --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Spring Street? Okay.
(8:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now return to a continued case that we have suspended because of the defective time posting. The case is 109394 -- 118 Spring Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is there anyone here on behalf of Spring Street?

MORRIS SCHOFF: Yes. I'm Morris Schoff. I'm the architect. Sid Gehlot, the developer, is also present. And Sid, we were -- two months ago we came to you and because we are asking for both a special permit and a variance, we had failed to properly prepare page 5 of the application.

So we have separately uploaded the statement of hardship for the variance, which is in the file. And later, we'll discuss it if you want to, but it is in your hands.

So Sid will tell you briefly again about the project, and then $I$ will go through the two items, the
special permit item and the variance item for your -SID GEHLOT: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let's talk about the variance and the submission. The first issue you have -you know, as you know I trust -- you have to meet three conditions to get a variance. The first is that there's a substantial hardship.

The only hardship I saw described in your submission, or your client's submission, is that it's been an expense; a lot of problems have developed with the modifying the structure, updating it, whatever, what have you.

Those are not conditions that entitle a person to a variance. It's got to be a condition of run-ins with the land there before your client bought the property, or otherwise acquired it, and will continue afterwards unless we grant the zoning relief.

Now -- the hardship has to be owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape --

MORRIS SCHOFF: If I could --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and topography of such
land or structure. You're seeking a variance to build
porches or decks off of --
MORRIS SCHOFF: Yes. If I could speak to the hardship -- the hardship is just --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
MORRIS SCHOFF: The hardship which applies to the variance for all persons who will ever reside in this property is because of the existing nonconforming conditions, the addition of the decks requested provide open space -- light and air -- for the residents of the units.

This is a permitted hardship, which we are asking to relieve by the construction of the deck. And to do that, we must in court existing nonconforming FAR under the bylaw.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Understood, but the fact of the matter is, this structure was being used for residential purposes for a long time before -- without any porches or decks, whatever you wish to call them.

You're talking about doing these in a very tight area. The building occupies virtually the entire lot. And you'll be in the back of the -- in the ell in the back of the structure. But your neighbors who are here are virtually on top of you.

The problem of disruption of the neighbors' use of
their property by virtue of people occupying and using the porches is substantial. That's my problem. I'm not enamored with what you wish to do. It's not a requirement -- it's not a hardship that you can't improve the limiting combinations for the existing units.

SID GEHLOT: Yeah, but if $I$ can add --

MORRIS SCHOFF: Could you?
SID GEHLOT: Morris --

MORRIS SCHOFF: Go ahead.
SID GEHLOT: Yeah. This is Sid Gehlot. I'm the owner and developer. And in the hardship letter which we have submitted you, that part about a number of things, it's not just the construction issue that we came across with this project, it -- I mean, we started with saying that we started the building permitting process sometime in November 2019. And of course it takes time.

And right after that, the pandemic hit. And when everything was kind of slowed down, that kind of dragged us too.

By the time we got the permit in December 2020, it was almost a year for us just to get the permit. And then we went ahead with the building permit and the demolition
and the construction for all this. You know, the foundation which seems to be more like a structural repair after discussing with our structural engineer turned out to be that it's not a repair, it's a rebuild kind of a situation.

And we have reconstructed and rebuilt almost 70 to 80 percent of the existing structural foundation in the walls. Then we started, you know, the standard renovation, deck renovation kind of thing.

The building was such in a dilapidated state that once we started opening the wall, it turned out to be that the wise decision construction wise is to almost rebuild and reframe everything, including the ceiling, which was all rotted. There was all rot in the --

So this was just from the building construction standpoint. The DPW also asked us to bring in all -- they were not happy with the sewer. So we have to do a new sewer line, new water line, new gas line, plus they were also not happy with the existing water drainage to the gutter on the street.

So we had to on the Spring Street, we had to -again [have] a site contractor and do drainage directly into the city stormwater system.

So it's one after another, you know? So one of the applications over there it talks about financial hardships. This is all financial hardship, plus another point over there is related to soil or topography.

When we started rebuilding this foundation, I mean, that's all related to soil and topography. And I'm not -- you know, like, technically to tell you, but these are the practical problems and issues.

The building which was almost falling, and -- you know, we are reconstructing it right now, and we are asking for not a substantial amount of deck in the back.

And, you know, as far as you were saying in the neighborhood, I knock on the doors, you know? I have the immediate abutter is 122 Spring Street.

I spoke to the people living over there, and the gentleman is there right now, and he likes what we have done to the property, he is happy with what we are doing, and he's in full support of it.

And our immediate other abutters are on the Fifth Street. It's a six-unit apartment rental building. I tried to knock on the door several times. I've spoken to a couple of people over there, but they are saying they are renting
out there so they can't.
They are okay with what we are doing, but they can't be present or put us in favor for this thing. I mean, this is where we are, you know, after a year and a half, you know, just working on this project right now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I think the point I'm trying to make still stands. Unfortunately, you've had a lot of problems with rehabbing this structure, and you're modifying them, and you're going to make a better structure when it's all over.

But the decks that you're proposing are not -they're just part of the financial solution, and help you make -- to charge more money or whatever, get more money for the investment to recoup some of the unexpected expenses you had with the renovation. And that's just not a justification for us to grant the variance.

That's not -- variance is a legal, it's a matter of the law. And the legal requirements are set forth in the state statute. And that's not just Cambridge, the state. And none of those requirements, or none of those relate to the fact that you've run into unexpected problems in rehabilitating the building.

Just my view. I mean, and I think it is clearly the legal view. And that's why I have problems with the relief being sought.

MORRIS SCHOFF: Can we move on to the variance and discuss that?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.
MORRIS SCHOFF: Okay. Would you put up the exhibits, please?

The next page.
The next page. That's it. Okay.
This drawing is intended to illustrate as clearly as we can the existing ceiling height conditions in the original building. That would be on the left where the ceiling heights varied from eight feet, seven foot two, six-foot-ten. They are all -- they were all over the map.

But on the left side, you will see a typical section of what we had to do in order to stabilize the foundation. We poured a new foundation wall underpinning and a cantilevered footing virtually around the whole perimeter -- I would say 75 percent of the existing foundation.

And the elevation of that cantilevered footing
allows us with a floor slab to have a ceiling height of eight-feet-six uniformly throughout the basement.

We don't see how this would be a detriment to the neighborhood or harmful to anyone whatsoever, but it would mean that we had uniform ceiling height consistent with the excavating that we had to do in order to reinforce the foundation.

And the drawing on the right indicates the areas and that the uniform ceiling height would be eight-foot-six. That's the variance that we are requesting.

SID GEHLOT: No, that's the special permit, Morris, not the variance.

MORRIS SCHOFF: Pardon?
SID GEHLOT: It's a special permit.
MORRIS SCHOFF: That's -- I'm sorry. I'm --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, we're only talking about the variance right now.

MORRIS SCHOFF: I know. I'm still preoccupied with the details of the variance. This is the special permit.

SID GEHLOT: Yes.
MORRIS SCHOFF: As you pointed out, relatively low
standard of approval.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm sorry, do you want to continue with your presentation on the variance, or do you want to --

MORRIS SCHOFF: Yes. I want to continue on the variance, yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead. The ball's in your court. Do you have any further reasons or --

MORRIS SCHOFF: we can continue on the variance, but the special permit is important to us --

SID GEHLOT: Yes.
MORRIS SCHOFF: -- because it will allow us to finish the interior of the structure.

SID GEHLOT: Right.
MORRIS SCHOFF: -- under the existing.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, well, I don't mean it's going to happen, we could always grant -- deny the variance and grant the special permit. The standards for the special permit are much easier to satisfy in the variance. So I don't understand why the two are coupled together.

MORRIS SCHOFF: We don't know why the two are
coupled together either, but we'd like to separate them and continue the one and have you discuss as a Board the virtues of our request for the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Fine. The floor is yours. I'm at this point a little bit confused to where you're going. Do you want to talk about the special permit?

SID GEHLOT: Yes. We want to talk about the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Fine.
SID GEHLOT: And continue the variance, because one of the things which is -- we are having difficulty as a group is because we are new to Cambridge, you know, two years into it, and not have done a lot of zoning over here.

And I was, like you said to the previous presenter over here that, you know, you are not -- you can't give any suggestions, the Board and everything. I have to take, like, a legal counsel.

And I have been trying to get that, you know, for this variance. I've been trying to approach two or three known attorneys. But, you know, it's very hard to get hold of them. Everybody is kind of so busy.

And I think that's one of the reasons that -- I
heard what you're saying, and I would ask the legal counsel what they would recommend. And especially for the variance, I would like a continuance on that.

But, like my architect Morris said, that for a special permit I would request the Board that if you guys can at least hear us on that and give us whatever your decisions are, then obviously an approval so that we can continue the interior work.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm amenable to hearing and deciding the special permit case and continuing the variance case.

SID GEHLOT: I really appreciate it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me finish. We typically don't like to do it. We'd like to hear, do it all at one time, and you're talking about basically dividing the case up. But unless other -- I'll ask my fellow Board members if they have any objection to doing what the petitioner has requested?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. Let me just forward a word of caution here. If we were to vote for the special permit and then continue the variance part of the application, that doesn't mean that you're going to be able to execute the
work on the special permit, because it is a -- both of them are tied to a particular case.

And the case has to be resolved in toto, one way or another, but both requests. We can't just take part of it that may be granted and then run that down to the Building Department and expect to get a building permit for it, is that correct?

SID GEHLOT: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's a -- and then have the variance part, you know, hanging out there. So I don't know if that's what you're thinking is in the back of your mind, but all -- if we were to rule, vote on a special permit, that just gives you the sense of the Board tonight; that actually means nothing more than that.

Does that clarify anything for you, or is that more confusing?

SID GEHLOT: Yeah, so no, I now understand what you're saying. So is this like we should have filed a separate, like, something -- that the special permit is separate, and for a very we should have filed --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, now we would prefer that you applied the way you -- or applied to give us --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the entire ball of wax in one application. But, you know, in the -- I don't buy the argument that you could not get legal counsel from the time that you filed this application to tonight. And I think that's -- I think you alluded to that the last time we met. And either, you know, I don't want --

MORRIS SCHOFF: Can I ask a question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
MORRIS SCHOFF: Can we withdraw the variance portion of the application without prejudice, and then you could vote on the remaining piece, which is the special permit?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, let me make sure -- let me play it back to be sure that what you're saying is right.

You would withdraw your application for a variance, which means effectively you can't do -- seek that variance for two years with some conditions? And we will just -- the case will proceed just with regard to the special permit.

Is that what you're suggesting? I just want to
make sure $I$ understand, that's all.
SID GEHLOT: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, then what are you suggesting?

SID GEHLOT: No, I mean, the decks -- this is -even if it's legal counsel or whatever, you know, if this is not, you know, the Boards are opposed to it, then at this point we cannot just keep moving on -- you know, wait for this project. Last time we were there and it too us two months to get back to you guys.

So if this is what it is, it's not -- then we'll just go with the special permit and, you, we want to keep just finishing this project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. I'm not sure I got your point. Just one more time. You don't want to withdraw your variance application, is that correct?

SID GEHLOT: Yes. If it's tied together, like you guys were saying you cannot vote on a special permit, it has to be together, then $I$ would like to continue with both of them together right now and be -- have a decision in hand tonight and keep moving, rather than going to a next session or next meeting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: But if you continue, our only
decision is to allow the continuance.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm not understanding --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think he meant the word,
"continue" like we understand it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It was just to proceed.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We need to vote.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, get to a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Just need to -- yeah, continue tonight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Continue, or finish, yes, okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, and then the
question is, to what date do we continue this case?
COLLECTIVE: No, no, no.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're going to continue discussing the case and voting on it tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: He wants to do that?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I must have misunderstood, okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Sorry. Back to your discussion, your presentation. What more do you want the bring to our attention?

SID GEHLOT: On this presentation -- I think Morris you also have a question -- that there is a roof deck, and there is also a deck above the, which we are asking above the storage unit. We are not sure if that -if we need a variance for that or not. But we still have it over here.

ANDREA HICKEY: That would be a question for the Building Department, and you need to make that part of your submission officially if that's what you're requesting.

SID GEHLOT: Okay. We have asked them for that. We haven't got any answers yet. We'll do it. Morris, are you still there?

MORRIS SCHOFF: I am.
SID GEHLOT: Okay.
MORRIS SCHOFF: I am. So there is no way for us to separate these two without reapplying for one without the
other, is that correct?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That to me is correct, yes. MORRIS SCHOFF: Okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which means that you would have
to continue Case Number 109394, and then you would have to potentially reapply. I don't know if that one --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think he has to reapply -- they can just come back; we continue it to a date. On or before that date, you can either come back with the same materials we have right here, or you can modify your plans or your -- you know, modify your plans, and as long as they're in our files before we rehear the case, go forward on that basis.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You wouldn't gain anything, because in order to reapply, advertise this, you would kick that thing. This would be kicked back into August or separate.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. That's true.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You could be heard sooner on continuing this case tonight with both aspects of it, rather than coming back.

SID GEHLOT: Right. So when can we be heard? If
we continue, when will we be heard?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I know we continued
another case until July 29. And everybody was available.
Do we have room on the agenda?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: July 15, is that available?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: July 15.
MORRIS SCHOFF: Too soon.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can't make it.
SISIA DAGLIAN: No --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: July 15 what?
SISIA DAGLIAN: We continued the other one to July
15.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can't be here on July 15.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no, that was the twentyninth.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, Bigelow was the twenty-ninth?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It was the twenty-ninth.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay. Um--
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If we have room on the
agenda the twenty-ninth?
DONNA SOUCY: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: July 29.
SID GEHLOT: Okay. We'll continue.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We've got to make a
motion. We've got to take a vote first. The motion is as follows: The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case -- again, as a case heard until is it 6:00 p.m.?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- 6:00 p.m. on July 29, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and you have already done that --

SID GEHLOT: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- in connection with
tonight's hearing. So that's taken care of. Second, that a new posting sign, and it's got to be a new one, reflecting both the new date July 29 and the time -- new time, 6:00 p.m. -- be filed or erected and maintained for the 14 days required by our ordinance, just as you've done with the signs that have been up there now. But you need a new sign, and you get that from the Building Department.

SID GEHLOT: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And last, to the extent in
the interim you decided to change the plan specifications, additional material, those must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before July 29.

If that is not done, we will not hear the case on July 29, we'll continue it to some other date. So don't miss that time: 5:00 p.m. to the extent you modify what's already in our files or change it or add to it, it must be in by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before July 29.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This case is continued
until July 29. Thank you.
MORRIS SCHOFF: Thank you.
SID GEHLOT: Thank you.
(8:34 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 108452 -- 45 Blanchard Road \#1. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this case?

KAITLYN COVENY: Yes, Chairman. I have prepared a presentation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

KAITLYN COVENY: All right. Just let me prepare it real quick, because it's been changed a bit. So good evening. My name is Kaitlyn Coveny. I want to begin by thanking everyone who has taken the time to be here tonight to consider my request for this variance.

I'd like to start by taking a moment to describe the service $I$ wish to provide and why I feel it would benefit the community of Cambridge.

My goal is to provide 24 -hour care to one postoperative canine at any given time, as deemed needed by a veterinary referral. This means that $I$ will work on a
referral basis with veterinary hospitals that I have either previously worked at or that $I$ have made connections with while working in the field.

There may be some confusion about what I mean by, "postoperative care," and I would love to clear that up. Many dogs undergo surgery due to a variety of ailments; I would say the most popular being a torn CCL or cranial cruciate ligament. You can compare this to an ACL tear in a human.

When this happens, primary care veterinarians typically refer patients to the specialty hospital like Angel or Blue Pearl to undergo an orthopedic procedure. After these fairly invasive procedures, canines are rendered mostly immobile for about 24 -hours and spend one night in the hospital, and after that will need significant assistance with mobility for up to two weeks.

In addition, owners are expected to completely restrict their pets in their own homes for that two-week period, ideally utilizing a crate or pen when they are not able to have them restricted by leash or harness themselves.

The dogs must not be bumped into or excited by other pets and children, and must be kept calm and
controlled to ensure a successful outcome for the surgery.
In addition, pets are to be given a series of medications; typically an antimicrobial for pain, gabapentin also for drowsiness, an antibiotic and a sedative.

These medications are to be given twice daily every 12 hours with food, and it is important to note that knowing how to properly pill a dog both humanely and safely if they refuse medication is a skill that many pet owners do not necessarily have.

Also modalities such as passive range of motion, massage, cool and warm compress and stretching are helpful to the recovery process. And the reality of postoperative care is that most owners are first shocked by the completely shaved leg or other appendage of their dog, which is done to keep the surgery site sterile.

And they do not want to get near it, let alone lift it to check the suture site, to see if the sutures have either become infected or have opened up.

They next struggle to provide the level of care needed to guarantee a successful recovery for a variety of reasons. They may live in an apartment with stairs, they may have young children, they may be elderly themselves.

They may have other high-end pets, or they simply may have restrictive work schedule.

As a professional trained in canine
rehabilitation, I will not only provide the additional postoperative care modalities that owners do not know how to provide, but also provide a calm and safe environment for their pet to heal under experienced supervision.

I do think there has been some confusion around what I am doing in the neighborhood with some abutting neighbors, and the comparison to a kennel has been made.

The care I wish to provide can be directly compared to if I were to adopt a second dog. And in Cambridge you are allowed to have up to three licensed dogs per household, and four or more dogs are required to be considered a kennel.

And this is according to Cambridge Animal Control; I did give them a call to confirm that.

This is by no means a kennel that $I$ wish to do, as I will only be caring for one dog at a time. Also, at no point and under no circumstance will any dog be housed in my back yard. I just want to make that very clear.

I think it is also important to acknowledge that
animals in my care will be sedated, due to the medications prescribed by their veterinarians and therefore will be quite sleepy, and as a result very quiet and unlikely to disturb the neighbors.

I do wish to read my statement of financial hardship, if that's all right now. After being accepted to a premedical post-Bachelor program -- I included my letter of support in the support folder, or my acceptance letter -excuse me -- my position at Angel West Physical Rehab, MSPCA came to an end because they were unable to offer a work schedule around my new remote work schedule.

I've been looking for work in my field since then, which has been over a year at this point, and I have not been able to secure a position at a veterinary practice that offers wages that meet my experience.

If this variance is not granted, it will cause a financial hardship for myself and my partner, which may require me to relocate.

And I don't know if you want to add to that part.
NICK DEPORZIO: No, for the sake of time, I'll just save my response for questions they might have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Well thank you for
the presentation.
THE REPORTER: Could you state your name for the record?

KAITLYN COVENY: Yes, my name is -- oh, mine or -THE REPORTER: His.

NICK DEPORZIO: Deporzio.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
NICK DEPORZIO: Deporzio.
THE REPORTER: Could you spell your last name, please? NICK DEPORZIO: Yes, D as in dog -e as in Edward -p as in Paul -o-r-z as in zebra -i-o.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. There are cases like yours that really make me regret I'm on the Zoning Board. What you want -- I think what you're proposing makes good sense, from a just general perspective. It's not going to have a major impact on the neighborhood.

But you're looking for what legally is a use variance. You want to use your property in this district, and the zoning ordinance says no; that's why you're here for the variance. You can't operate a kennel or other, like a kennel. And use variances are extremely difficult to achieve.

My colleague here had given me an excerpt here from a Supreme -- Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court decision in 2005, and $I$ will read it.
"Although all variances are unusual forms of relief from zoning requirements, use variances should be particularly extraordinary, because they inherently undermine the local zoning ordinance's division of uses."

All I can say is the City Council and the current version of our ordinance has said in a residential district like yours, Residential B, kennels, which is generally what this is, are not permitted.

And for us to give for that, we'd have to make findings that the hardship -- denying it would involve a substantial hardship, the hardship being to the petitioner or whoever occupies the property; in other words there's a hardship that a kennel can't be in this part of town.
"That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the shape of the lot and the topography of the land or structures." And I didn't see any instance how you would satisfy what you are proposing to do, satisfy this requirement.
"And that desirable relief may be granted without
substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating the intent or purpose of the ordinance."

And unfortunately you will be nullifying the intent and purpose, because the City Council has said no kennels in the Residential $B$ district.

On top of all this, you have a number of neighbors who are opposed to the relief being sought. And they have written letters to us.

So I don't know how, though I may be sympathetic -- and I am -- to what you want to do, I don't see how we can legally justify granting the variance you're seeking. That's my personal view.

I'm only one of five, and if the other members of the Board disagree with me, then the variance could be granted. But I have to tell you right out, I can't support this. Not because I'm opposed in concept, but because legally I don't think $I$ have the right to vote to grant you the relief you want.

DEPROZIO: If we could offer a response?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure, by all means.
NICK DEPORZIO: Again, this is Nick Deporzio. We
would respond that the property -- this is 45 Blanchard Road -- is actually located right at the border of the zoning district. And in fact it's at the very edge of the entire city of Cambridge.

And we think that the topography actually does present a particular hardship, as it impedes access to appropriately zoned areas for the activity that we're proposing.

And then this hardship is further enhanced by the reality that Blanchard Road is actually a major thorough far enough for access to Route 2. And as such, it's often extremely congested by, like, traffic. It makes it difficult to access the other parts of Cambridge.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
KAITLYN COVENY: And if $I$ could ask a question, I guess my question is, when I spoke with the Cambridge Animal Control, they did say that you would need four more dogs on the premises to be considered a kennel. So I don't understand how this would be considered such.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Don't focus too much -[not too much] -- focus entirely on the neighborhood objections, the letters that we've received; not all of
them, we've received letters of support.
KAITLYN COVENY: Sure, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The basic issue before that is there's a requirement for a use variance. It's very difficult to satisfy, as the Supreme Judicial Court has said, and I don't see anything that allows you to -- or will persuade me to see that you're entitled to a variance. And that's just the nature of these variances.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. Animal Control, wonderful people, could get the Commissioner who determines whether you need relief or not.

And if there is what appears possibly a conflict between what Animal Control says that they -- in their estimation is a kennel for more animals, then they need to have a conversation then with the commissioner, who has his interpretation of the zoning ordinance.

And again, it may not -- they may be truthful in what they're saying -- one has to do with the zoning ordinance, the other one has to do possibly with, again, Animal Control or what they consider void of consideration of the zoning ordinance.

So what appears to may be a conflict in fact may
not be, because the Commissioner is ruling on the zoning ordinance; Animal Control is ruling on their purview.

KAITLYN COVENY: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again, I would reiterate and agree with what the Chair has said, because when I first read this and go up and down Blanchard Road all the time, and I thought this was actually a wonderful idea and a wonderful concept, and I applaud you for your devotion to this.

And yet, again, it's heart wrenching because the ordinance basically says it's not allowed.

And, you know, we can grant some variances and so on and so forth, but a use variance is really the most extreme relief that we can grant. And, you know, a business in a residential zone is -- has to be the most extraordinary relief of all.

NICK DEPORZIO: This is Nick Deporzio. Can I respond?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
NICK DEPORZIO: This is Nick Deporzio again. Is it okay if I respond? Hello?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, please go ahead.

NICK DEPORZIO: Great. Yeah. I suppose we thought there might be some justification for requesting a variance.

We went through some of the old BZA hearings that have happened in the past and we noticed one case for the Barr family child care, which was a building that was Residence $B$ and ultimately a variance was granted for them to operate a child care business.

This is -- again, a densely zoned area of Cambridge.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know how to respond to that. I mean, there's a specific provision in our ordinance regarding animal care or kennels, or whatever you wish to say. And it's explicit. There may be other business activities that the zoning ordinance permits in residential areas -- I don't know what they may be, but I swear there are.

But Council has picked this one out and said -- I guess they're afraid of, you know, yapping dogs and whatever -- and said no kennels.

And I don't see any basis for us changing that by granting a variance -- not because I don't want to. As

Brendan has said, and I'll say again: I'm very sympathetic to what you want to do, but my hands -- I think our hands are tied.

NICK DEPORZIO: So -- and the fact that we're located out on the edge of the zoning district and at the edge of the city of Cambridge, that's not a relevant topographical hardship is what you're saying?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. I don't think the hardship that you're citing is a hardship. The hardship has got to run with the land. And it's not a -- I mean, there's a hardship by not allowing a dog kennel or services like you're assuming to be located in this area of the city. And I don't see that.

I mean, we're talking about something that's peculiar to your use and occupation and occupancy of your place, of your home. But that's not what the ordinance -that's not how zoning works.

NICK DEPORZIO: Yeah. Yeah. I suppose the thought was if any other future occupants wanted to do a similar kind of veterinary rehabilitation or --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well what if the next person comes along, wants to have five dogs at one time,
stay at the premise -- I'm just picking a number up -NICK DEPORZIO: Sure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- let's say 10 or six or four, now there's a slippery slope in the eyes of the City Council, which is why they don't want kennels in residential districts.

NICK DEPORZIO: So it sounds like if the variance were granted, you're saying it would waive all of the requirements, it wouldn't just give us an exemption for just a single canine, and you can't make stipulations is what you're saying?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what I'm saying. NICK DEPORZIO: I see, okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan again.
And, you know, the other flip of the side of the coin is that the people who live in that area, that district -residential -- depend upon protection.

So they have rights too, the protection of their residential classification, and that no businesses be allowed -- you know, again, on that block, on that district or whatever it may be. So, you know, there's two sides to it. You're asking to waive it.

But then again, the other people -- and again, I don't want to get into the characterization of some of those letters, because it didn't weigh in my decision, but it's just the fact that they are asking us to uphold the zoning ordinance for that district.

So they have protection. They're asking us to protect the results.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would say unless you want to -- you'll have an opportunity to speak later. Let's open the matter up to public testimony, to see if anyone wishes to speak and maybe bring some new wisdom in their comments. So I will open the matter up to public testimony.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll take a few moments to see if anyone does wish to speak or make the call.
[Pause]
Apparently not. So I think the only public testimony that we have is the letters both pro and con that
are in our files.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you have anything further, petitioners, that you would like to say, now is your time. Otherwise, we will go into private -- not private, executive session and make a determination.

NICK DEPORZIO: If it would help with decision making of the Board, we do have responses for some of the letters of opposition. And we've also taken some steps to try to, you know, talk with the opposers as well.

But it sounds like maybe that's not the primary issue here. So if it's not relevant, then we don't have to talk about it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Well, I think that we can proceed. I'll make a motion to grant.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Other members --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Other members of the Board, if they could chime in?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well yeah, I was going to do it by putting a motion on the table, and then people could chime in with regard to the motion. But we don't have to do that. But at some point, we're going to have to take
a vote. But $I$ think it's the most efficient way.
Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought: That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship. The hardship has to run with the land, not just to the current petitioners.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape or topography of such land or structures, and especially affecting such land or structures, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it's located and with regard to this -- I'm not sure how I can even phrase it in a way that we can approve it, but the nature of the lot that you live on is very conducive to the kind of service you wish to provide to dogs.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

And in this regard, the Chair would cite of the letters of support that we have received in support of the variance, but have some knowledge that there are letters as
well in opposition to the variance being sought.
So that's the motion. Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Vote not to grant the relief.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would have to vote to deny. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, we can't hear you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. Unfortunately, I would have to vote to deny the request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I would also vote to deny the request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I also vote to deny the request.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I would, as I have indicated, have to vote against it as well.
[All vote NO]
So the votes are not there. Relief is denied. We
need to take a second vote to why we reached the decision we've reached.

I will -- let me make a motion that we've denied the variance on the grounds that the petitioner has not satisfied the requirements for a variance. There are no
substantial hardships that run with the land that will justify the kind of kennel activities that are being proposed.

That there is no hardship owing to the circumstances relating to soil conditions, shape or topography, and that lastly that relief cannot be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, in as much as the City Council has decreed by virtue of the provisions in the zoning ordinance that these kinds of activities are not permitted in the residential district in which the property is located.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, to that motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, yes, to the motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, to the motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to the motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair with some
regrets will vote yes, to the motion as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief is denied. Case over.

NICK DEPORZIO: Okay, thank you for your time.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, would it be possible to take a five-minute break?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: By all means. What time is it now? Let's see, it's 8:57. Why don't we reconvene at 9:05.

ANDREA HICKEY: Perfect. Thank you.
[BREAK]
(9:07 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, the Chair will now reconvene our Zoning Board meeting. And the next case I'm going to call is Case Number 117337 -- 145 Willow Street, Unit \#1. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? I don't expect there will be.

We are in receipt of a letter from the petitioner asking for a continuance, because of a discussion that's going on at the City Council meeting when this e-mail came to us.

So we will continue this case. This is not a case heard, so we have complete flexibility as to when we do it. The petitioner has not suggested a time. Do we have a time? SISIA DAGLIAN: Actually, they requested the next hearing June 24.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: June 24?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves
that we continue this case as a case not heard until -what's the usual time we start?

SISIA DAGLIAN: 6:00 p.m.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 7:00 p.m.?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Six.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- until 6:00 p.m. on June 24, subject to the following conditions:

First, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. And that waiver must be signed by no later than a week from -- by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

And that's just to stop the clock running with regard to granting relief, because under our state statutes, if we do not decide a case within a certain number of days from the time the application is filed, relief is automatically granted. And that we do not want to happen.

So, if the petitioner signs a waiver of time for decision, that's a very simple form that we use in all of our cases -- by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday, the case will be dismissed out of hand. If it does come before us, then the case will be heard on the twenty-fourth of June.

Second, that a posting sign, or the current posting sign must be -- a new one must be obtained, or the
current one must be modified by magic marker or what have you to reflect the new date, June 24, and the new time 6:00 p.m.

And lastly, to the extent that the petitioner intends or wants to submit additional drawings, architectural plans, data, those must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before June 24. If this is not done, then we will continue the case further. So Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, to the continuance. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
[Pause]
Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Jim Monteverde for the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes, to
the continuance as well.
[All vote YES] Case continued.
(9:11 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number -- I'll look it up -- 117396 -- 41 Magoun Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Good evening, Mr. Chair. This is Michael Wiggins. I'm an attorney in Boston at 1 Liberty Square, Suite 1210. And I'm here representing Neheet Trivedi and Kate McGovern, who are the owners at 41-43 Magoun Street, and we're here with their architect this evening, Amy Semmes.

My client owns this property and would like to install two dormers on the third floor to accommodate their growing family needs. It's a two-family. They currently live on the first floor, and they're going to be moving up to the second and third floor.

The attic space is on that third floor. It's very cramped. It's very hard to access, given a very narrow, cramped stairway. And the ideas is to just enable them to
stay in the area and grow there as a family, and to do it in a way that's very sensitive to the neighborhood and to the immediate neighbors.

We think they've done a great job of doing that with this design -- doing it as minimally as possible and preserving open space, not invading anything outside the envelope of the building.

There is the set of four photos, well images of 41-43 Magoun Street, if Sisia could bring that up. While there's -- this is the middle, and that shows the front of the property on Magoun Street.

The four photos that I submitted separately -- I don't know if you can upload those or not. They weren't actually part of the submittal, but they were four separate photos with titles -- images at 41-43 Magoun Street.

I don't know if that is available. It's not on one of these sheets in the middle site. There it is, right there. Thank you very much.

So this shows the front of the property and the back of the property, and then the right side, the north side, and the left side. If you just linger a second with the right side, you'll see that there's an open porch there.

And that is not the way this property has been consistently. It was closed before my client bought it, evidently the owner then had taken all the windows out on the second floor, but its traditional status has been as an enclosed porch.

And this is going to be -- because that's in the side yard setback, we're going to need relief to actually re enclose it. But $I$ just want to let you know that that's a prior condition that they're going to be restoring.

This area of North Cambridge is -- as you may be aware -- very tight; a lot of small lots, and this lot being no exception -- it's a 4000 square feet lot.

The prior nonconforming status here is that it does have excessive FAR, like most -- many of the houses in the immediate neighborhood. And that is a function of the size and narrowness of these lots -- not only a small lot, but it's a narrow one as well.

I would like if -- well, let me just -- as I said, the objective here is to get this addition in a manner, to fill it out in a manner that respects the neighborhood and the immediate abutters. And luckily, we've got unanimous support from all of the neighbors and the immediate abutters
for this.
At this point, I'd like to turn it over to Amy Semmes, our architect, and she can walk you through the submission and show you the dimensions and explain to you what was needed and where, and how it conforms. I think you'll find almost right down the line with the dormer guidelines with one small exception.

So Amy, I'll turn it over to you.
AMY SEMMES: Thank you. Can you guys see me? Can you hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. We can hear you and I can see you as well.

AMY SEMMES: Oh, okay, great. So pleased to have a chance to give you a little bit of a walk-through here. Sisia, do you think we could go to the site plan, which is to be the third page, page 3? Which is sort of to show an illustrated version of the site plan.

You can see that a 40 -foot-wide lot, the rightside setback which is on the top of the page, is nonconforming. You can see the house is only 4.9 feet away from the property line along the right side, which is also the north side of the building.

There's in the back a two-story covered rear porch, which is currently -- that's conforming. The leftside setback, which goes along the bottom of this page, is conforming.

The front not quite, but there is that preexisting, two-story covered front porch out there that we're going to do a partial enclosure on the second floor.

So can we now move ahead to the proposed plan, which is the next page? Which is just a comparison to the earlier sheet.

You can sort of see [3:24:16 indiscernible]pattern the location of the two dormers, which directly oppose each other. They're located about six feet back towards the back yard from the main part of the front façade. So there's two 15-foot shed dormers on the third floor being requested.

And then we have an additional -- we would like to rebuild, tear down the two stories, covered porch that's on the rear now, and rebuild it adding three-foot-one-inch.

The logic behind this is mostly because there was little back porches, which really seem to my mind too narrow to sit a dining table on and be able to enjoy it. I think they were really designed mostly to be laundry hanging
spaces in the original home -- you know, early 1900s when the house was built.

I'm going to -- so this, so what we're asking for we need to add this rear covered, two-story porch, which is going to involve, there's some increase in FAR involved with that.

Moving on to the next page, page 5, can we do that? Which just sort of goes through a quick diagnose of how the FAR works.

And you can see that that's -- FAR increased areas are highlighted in red, and the areas in green are all the same as they -- are all areas that were included in the existing FAR.

For a statistic, the total amount we're adding is 266 square feet, which is changing our FAR ratio from 0.86 to 0.93. And of that, you know, the bulk of it -- the bulk -- so the 168 of the 266 is all just in their floor.

The use we're putting to those dormers is to -the one at the top of the page is going to be split between adding enough headroom to properly negotiate this existing stair up, and to add a bath.

And I'm sorry, if we could just go back to the
very first page, there's some photographs of that staircase. What you can see here is Neheet, who you will meet in a moment standing at both the corner and the top of the stair into that sort of semi-finished attic that they've gotten now. So one of our requests for relief is to pop that ceiling in there to get a full code amount of head height.

And then we're adding a matching dormer on the opposite side, which will allow a little more useable space upstairs.

Can we move on now to -- let's see, page 12? It sort of shows the -- that's not it -- 13, page 13.

This shows the elevations at the front of the house, where you can see the configurations of the dormer. There's a shallow sloped roof. The top of the dormer is set a little bit below the ridge, which is one of the aspects of the guidelines.

We are not able to recess the space of the dormer in from the building side walls, because that doesn't create the necessary clearance in the stair. So in that aspect, we're nonconforming -- the side sort of dormer guidelines bullet points we're matching.

This front elevation sheet also sort of shows one
additional -- the additional change we're proposing to the front porch, which is the little bay that's right above the two entry doors we're intending to make enclosed living space.

And I'll just quickly show you a plan of that area, which is on page either 9 -- try 9? No, it will be 10. Page 10.

So you can see here in the top right-hand corner, we're enclosing one corner of the porch, so it's a three-bay porch now, we're making it a two-bay open porch, and creating a mudroom with one new window facing front, no windows facing the right side.

This is mostly because they're a family with small children, and they need a place to put the strollers, and that kind of thing is not hazardous out in the staircase, which, you know, is now continuing on up to a third floor that will be in much more use.

So those are the sort of three items -- the two dormers, the enlarging the back porch, and the enclosing a portion of the existing front porch.

And again, because that upper right-hand setback is closed, that's a side setback violation.

One other minor point of concern on page 12, which is a roof plan, which is we're asking for a couple of skylights in the roof over the stairs and over the bathroom. And those will encroach a little bit into the setback as well.

Those are my topics. I think Nikki was going to -

- Naheet and Kate were going to speak?

KATE AND NAHEET TRIVEDI: Hi. I'm Kate. This is my husband, Naheet. We just wanted to thank you all of her hearing our application this evening, and just to let you know a little bit about us, $I$ was born and raised in Cambridge, I grew up here and have been here for a little more than a decade now.

And it's always been our hope and our intent to raise our family here long-term. My family is here, and we love the city. I loved growing up here. And so we feel really lucky to have found this wonderful home and space and neighborhood on Magoun Street.

And we knew when we bought the home that it needed some work in order to both improve the safety of the property, particularly those back decks really need to be rebuilt for safety -- and also to accommodate our family.

We have two daughters who are 4 and 1 and not getting any smaller.

And so that is really our hope with this project, and we are really grateful to have wonderful neighbors who are in support of this project and I think are also hopeful that they might have a better view once the building is improved in appearance a little bit as well as a result of the updates.

So thank you again. And I think, you know, we're all happy to answer any questions you may have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Don't thank us before we take action.

KATE AND NAHEET TRIVEDI: Well, thank you for hearing us.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: When we turn you down. [Laughter] I'm just kidding.

Mr. Wiggins?
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes. So as Amy pointed out, the dormers are just all but compliant in very small detail. And there are neighborhood dormers throughout North Cambridge in immediate proximity. Some of them are pointed out in 804 of Amy's presentation. There are a sheet that
shows them. We also have a set of other dormer pictures. If you want, I can show those to you.

But the point was basically that we are conforming to a phenomenon in the neighborhood, and I think we're doing in many respects a better job. There are a lot of dormers there that come -- are enormous. Basically they look like they were helicoptered onto the roof somewhere.

So I think I would hope that the Board would agree
with either -- [3:33:37 audio unclear] and in compliance with what you'd like to see.

With respect to the standards that you need to observe to give this relief, with respect to no detriment to the neighborhood, I would say precisely the opposite; that this is a vast improvement. There is a lot of respect for the rear yard space here, even after the slight addition to the rear, there's no invasion of the rear yard setback, and that's consistent with other properties in the area. People like to keep their rear yards open.

So I would say that that's an improvement and not a -- there's no detriment and no adverse effect on health and safety and welfare either of this property or the immediate abutters.

And part of that -- and there's no interference with the use of adjacent properties. And that's obvious because we've got unanimous support from all four directions.

With respect to the nuisance, this is a twofamily. It's going to remain a two-family, it's going to enhance the livability for its occupants.

We're not adding to traffic, there is no parking on this property now, but there's no additional -- this is a two-family, it's going to remain the same, so that it's basically a neutral effect upon traffic and congestion in the area.

Finding the integrity of the district is not going to be impaired at all. Again, as I said, this property with its small addition is going to fit in beautifully $I$ think with the development of this neighborhood over time.

This, again, is a neighborhood with very narrow lots, and very small sizes. And this is a very intelligent way of allowing families like this one to remain here in conformity with the standards that I think you are looking to enforce.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Wiggins.

Members of the Board have any questions? Brian? Brendan, I'm sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea/
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions as well. So we'll now open the matter up to public testimony. any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a few moments to see if there's anyone who wishes to speak.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Louis Bacci?
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR., PLANNING BOARD: Good
evening. Mr. Chair, I didn't want to speak on this issue, but I wanted to find out what happened to 145 Willow?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case has been
continued at the request of the petitioner. We're going to hear the next hearing, which is in two weeks.

LOUIS J. BACCI, JR., PLANNING BOARD: Okay, so requested by the petitioner. And what was the date?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Two weeks from tonight.
SISIA DAGLIAN: June 24.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Twenty-fourth.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR., PLANNING BOARD: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The twenty-fourth of June.
LOUIS J. BACCI, JR., PLANNING BOARD: All right.
Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Judith Robertson?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ms. Robertson?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Go ahead. We're abutters right next door and we approve.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Short and sweet.

THE REPORTER: Can you state your name for the record, please?

JAMES ROBERTSON: My name is James Robertson, 45 Magoun Street.

JUDITH ROBERTSON: And I'm Judith Robertson, 45 Magoun Street.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And seriously, thank you for taking the time to speak on behalf of your neighbors. JAMES ROBERTSON: You're welcome. LOUIS J. BACCI, JR.: All right. SISIA DAGLIAN: That's it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's it. Okay, we're going to close public testimony. We're going to move on to the deliberations. I will make a motion, as $I$ did in the past, to grant the relief. And then when we take the vote, people can say, "Yes, I like it" or, "No, I don't."

So the Chair moves we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without the special permit that's being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress will not cause congestion -- with regard to the work being proposed, traffic generated or patterns of access or egress will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial
change in established neighborhood character.
As the petitioner's counsel has pointed out, the relief being sought is rather modest, is consistent with the neighborhood, and it should have no impact at all on surrounding property.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. Again, the same points are applied. Modest relief to the dormers and some other exterior relief.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

And again, there is support for that in the points have already made with regard to the other elements of the special permit that are required for us the find.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on
the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Amy Semmes, $S$ as in Sam -e-m-m-e-s dated April 19,2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes, to
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: and the Chair votes as well to grant the special permit.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The vote is unanimous. Special permit granted. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

(9:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 114770 -- 8 Day Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone here?
BRIAN LAVELLE: Hello? Hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Yes. Brian Lavelle, Hawthorne
Development, LLC. Can you see me, hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I can.
BRIAN LAVELLE: Waiting for Carl Oldenburg, our architect. Hi, Carl.

CARL OLDENBURG: Hi. Sorry about that. Forgot to click the button.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You may proceed.
CARL OLDENBURG: Okay. Thank you very much. I'm
Carl Oldenburg. I'm the architect for the project at 8 Day

Street, and also online is Brian Lavelle of Hawthorne Development Ventures. He is the owner of the project, the developer of the project.

8 Day Street is an existing, two-family house built about 1873 with a Mansard roof over the front part of the house, which is the third floor. The back part of the house is two stories.

And it's being completely modernized and renovated, as to meet current building codes and living standards for those seeking to live in this very desirable neighborhood. It will remain a two-family house.

So as part of this project, the interior is being completely -- the layout of the interior is being completely changed. But we are trying to preserve and enhance the exterior of the building. The new layout will require that some of the existing windows be changed.

And in so doing, there's an opportunity to also, we think, improve the appearance of the building by creating better alignments between the windows and local symmetry and kind of a little bit of order on the sides and the rear of the building.

We're not changing the front of the building, with
respect to the windows. And the changes in the side are minor, and we think being with the original intent of the architecture. It's just sort of a little bit more orderly looking.

The left side of the building is very, very close to the property -- to the side property line. And therefore a special permit is required in order to make any enlarged or new window openings.

Secondly, in order to provide good, easily accessible outdoor living space for the upper unit, we're building a large roof deck on what is now a flat roof over the back section of the building.

And to access this deck, we propose to expand an existing dormer in the mansard roof in order to fit a door to the deck, as well as a window in the existing location.

And this expanded dormer is not going to increase the footprint of the building at all, or the living area. But because gross floor area is calculated as being that area where the ceiling height is greater than five feet.

And because the existing wall is slanted, because it's on the roof, technically this expanded dormer is adding about 8.7 square feet of gross floor area.

And also, we'd like to provide a gas fireplace in each of the two living units. And they're to be positioned on the exterior side walls. And they'd stick out about 18 inches each.

And so, again, these don't increase the living area or the footprint of the building, but technically because they stick out, they add six and a half gross square feet of floor area each, if you take a conservative definition of gross floor area.

So these two increases in gross floor area are mostly offset by the fact that we're removing an existing covered porch and entry vestibule on the right side of the building, and replacing that with a smaller, covered roof area just over the side doors.

So this resumes the gross floor area by 19 square feet. So the net change in GFA is 2.7 square feet, or I'd say 3 square feet by enlarging the existing dormer, adding the fireplace bump outs and reducing the -- or eliminating the vestibule entry on the side of the building.

Back to the windows, with respect to the windows, my understanding is that the need, the requirement in the zoning bylaw for a special permit for these kind of changes
is related to possible privacy issues with neighboring properties.

Now in this case, in the left side of the building is within the side yard setback, the property is abutted by a private way of 15 feet in width, and the neighboring house is at least 25 feet away at its closest point.

So we don't see all the -- there's a real privacy issue in this case, since the existing building -- the adjacent building is so far away.

And also, our building is the second floor. There's an existing, enclosed porch, which is all windows on three sides. And we're actually reducing the external windows quite a bit there by just replacing three walls and windows with two conventional windows.

So we feel that the alterations to the windows will not pose a privacy problem, and will improve the exterior appearance of the house, as well as work started with the proposed layout of the house.

And we feel that the increase in gross floor area of about three square feet is barely perceivable, and will not allow for any significant detriment to the appearance of this building.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that it?
CARL OLDENBURG: Yes. That's it, and I'd welcome any questions or any additional comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll find out. Members of the Board have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde], I just have one. And it has to do with the size of the roof deck up on the one, two, third floor. I mean, I understand it sits on top of a flat roof.

CARL OLDENBURG: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: But as for roof decks, we typically see above the ground floor. This is big. And it's usually a concern about how it will be used, what type of activities, whether those activities disturb neighbors, et cetera. So can you just explain why it's as large as it is?

CARL OLDENBURG: Sure. Let's see, I can tell you -- I don't know the exact dimensions off the top of my head, but it covers not quite but most of the width of the floor
below. I think we're coming in; it looks like about a foot and a half on each side. So it's a little bit smaller.

I would say the intended use is it's -- the upper unit is occupied by -- I'm sorry, I'm getting a little bit of feedback here -- if the intent, if the house is lived in by a family with children, especially, but not only in that case, this outdated area is much more easily accessible than the back yard. Because it's right at the floor area of the upper level.

So, you know, I expect it would be used quite a bit by the occupants of the house, in addition to maybe instead of the back yard, which is like a whole floor level lower.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, you're not very audible.

ANDREA HICKEY: Hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Much better.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. I'm all set.
CARL OLDENBURG: Oh, I might want to add that the building immediately to the left has kind of a same configuration. You know, I think the original building was
part of the same design, and previous owners installed the roof decks almost exactly the same as what we're proposing. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think -- this is the Chair speaking -- what Mr. Monteverde is getting at is, is that we have a reluctance as a Board to approve roof decks. Because the ability to disrupt the privacy and impact, and the potential adverse impact on the abutting property.

And I've got even more concern when you said you expect the children, rather than go in the back yard, to use the roof deck.

I'm not too worried about -- I'm worried about safety, but it's the parents' responsibility. But the heavy use of the roof deck by kids can really impact on the privacy of the abutters.

Why is it necessary to have this?
BRIAN LAVELLE: So could I add a point here?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
BRIAN LAVELLE: So the deck -- the proposed deck is going to be enclosed by a wall that will be 45 inches high. So it's not a traditional deck of spindles and handrails where you can see out, it's more enclosed. There is a large yard area in the back of the building. It's
quite a big lot where children can use that space.
So even though I'm with Carl on, you know, people using that deck on the third floor, $I$ think it is going to be more enclosed and more of a private sort of space.

Pemberton Farms backs onto that building, and it's probably the biggest abutter to it. And that's a four-story high building. So.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I hear you. Moving on, Andrea, did you have any comments you want to make?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. Really my comments would relate to the size of the deck, and why a deck that large is so necessary, and whether there is a way to scale it back a bit?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm waiting for an answer.
BRIAN LAVELLE: I have a question. Are we seeking relief for a deck, or is this a deck by right?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So if you have the deck, you need zoning relief, because of all the other elements that you're doing with regard to this project.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Um--
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you don't need the deck, it's just an idea, you know, I think you'd be better
off with this Board in getting the relief you want if you eliminate that deck, or at least shrink it, as Andrea has sort of suggested.

BRIAN LAVELLE: To what degree would you folks feel that it would be better suited, size wise, from what --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How big is the deck now?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm trying to do the arithmetic here, and I basically came up with -- give me one second -3, 9, 12, well $16,17,17$ minus 2 so I'd say about 14.6 wide, and it's 14.6 to 16.8 , so I'm at 16.8 -- probably about 18 x -- it looks like 18 x 16?

CARL OLDENBURG: Yeah. I'm coming up with similar numbers on my pad drawing. Sorry, I don't have the dimensions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, but roughly. I mean, roughly that order of magnitude.

CARL OLDENBURG: 15 x 18.5.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It's not a 3 x 10 . It's
not 4 x 8, it's --
CARL OLDENBURG: That's right, yeah. That's right.

BRIAN LAVELLE: So it's a deck of about 270 square
feet --

CARL OLDENBURG: Yeah.
BRIAN LAVELLE: We're open to what the Board would see as being applicable in that neighborhood. I'm struck by a deck of 270 square feet as not being excessively big.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]. That's in the eyes of the beholder, I'm assuming.

BRIAN LAVELLE: But there's a deck on the building next door \#10.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That doesn't give you any reason to have a deck here. There's a -- I don't know why or how that deck got there, but I'm telling you now, as you can tell from the question, this Board doesn't look with favor on roof decks.

And you're talking about very large deck. If you want a place you can put a couple of chairs out in the sun, that's fine. But you're talking a major room -- sized room.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Excuse me, the dog's barking.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRIAN LAVELLE: I missed that. Sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No problem.
BRIAN LAVELLE: My apologies.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. I know, $I$ think that we tend to look very carefully at decks because of the potential impact. And so it's always sort of a fear of the unknown, I guess. And yet, I sort of look at the decks as sort of a case-by-case location.

And, you know, East Cambridge -- again, we had an earlier case tonight -- tends to have more of an impact because of the closeness and also how those sounds resonates.

I think the fact that yes, you're backing up to Pemberton Market -- Pemberton Farms, I think it has less of an impact on it.

I'm also guilty because we have a deck much larger than what's proposed here in the back of our house that we use all the time.

We're sitting out there, and it's really just the two of us, and now my niece is on the other side of the house, so -- and again, it becomes a flower area and actually some of the other neighbors who look down on us that they like to see the greenery and the flowers and so on and so forth, and yadayada, and so on and so forth. Anyhow.

This one here I guess doesn't bother me. I think
that it is tucked in, as the plan shows, surrounded somewhat so it's somewhat shielded, and it really does become a private area. And I think it makes that space useful and useable.

So that's my thought on it. I'm partial to decks at individual locations and the impact that it would have, so rather looking somewhat jaundiced at all decks.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea, do you have any further comments regarding the deck, before I move --

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, no. I mean, I think when there is a roof deck, I'm always concerned about privacy. And to me, the bigger the roof deck, the more people you can have up there, the more impact on privacy.

So personally I'd like to see it smaller. I don't have a number as to how much smaller. But $I$ am open to listening to my other colleagues who haven't spoken yet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina? Got any views on this deck or any questions generally about the project?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No. I think the size of the deck, yeah. But the project okay. It's like the windows have gone -- but if you could shrink the deck so that it would be a little bit smaller for the project?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] Could Staff sort of either enlarge that or focus in somewhat? I think there was a sketch somewhere of the drawing -- I'm sorry, drawing of the deck -- to isolate that somewhere? Yeah. Was there not another sheet showing the -- a rear view of the deck and the relationship to --

And that's huge. Yeah, right there, okay.
BRIAN LAVELLE: So shows the deck as being open, in terms of spandrels and handrails, has to be enclosed?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, just because we're hinting around at -- you know, make it smaller, could it be smaller? You know, just to throw a concept at it, when you look at the right elevation, you know, I see the rear elevation. I mean, it all seems to be proportionally it works, but could you do it in half the depth?

What could you -- you know, and still have the outdoor space? Actually it left quite a of flatline, but I'll leave it to the occupants to decide how they can beautify it. But just to reduce -- just to be able to reduce it and make it usable for your prospective tenants.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Carl, what was the dimensions of the deck again, please?

CARL OLDENBURG: Roughly 15.5 x 18.
BRIAN LAVELLE: 15 x 18 . So to try to halve the deck would be to the 18 is the width, and the 15 is the depth?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. 15 is the width, the 18 is the depth.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Okay, great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You can see it in that right elevation, number -- elevation \#4.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the 18-foot length.
BRIAN LAVELLE: Okay.
CARL OLDENBURG: That's 18 feet long, that's it.
BRIAN LAVELLE: Yeah. So if you take 18 feet and you were to halve it, it would be nine feet. You know, for any family just to have a deck that you can have a table at and sit out at, nine feet just -- it just doesn't do it.

I mean, the average table is six feet. If you put a circular table, it will be six feet wide, and then you need to have four chairs that need -- you know, four feet within which to push the chair back to step out from the deck, and halving that space, I just don't see how it could
be functional to have a table and chair that you could step out into and have a meal for a family of -- you know, young adult children.

Maybe we could reduce the size of the deck from 15 x 18 to 12 x 12 or something like that, which would make it 144 feet, which when you put furniture right there is not that big. It may look it on the drawings, but its functionality of a table and chairs is not great. Carl?

CARL OLDENBURG: Yeah, I think that's right. I mean, we usually try to make decks -- you know, at least 12 x 12 if there's going to be a table and chairs. You know, if it's a -- you know, even a rectangular table three feet wide let's say, with plenty of space for chairs and passage behind the chairs to walk before you get to the railing area, and then about 12 feet.

And a roundtable, as Brian suggested, could require even more space, which is a four, or five or sixfoot diameter table.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. What room is the deck off of?

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's the hallway.
CARL OLDENBURG: It's off of the hallway.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The staircase.
CARL OLDENBURG: Among the bedrooms on the top
floor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that Sheet A101?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, 103.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, it's 103 -- correct.
So it's not --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's not -- I mean, really, it's a -- it's one thing if it's off the living room, or more public gathering place in an apartment, but it's really off of a more private area, a bedroom. I mean, I don't know, I'm trying to advocate on behalf of this particular deck.

All $I$ know is, is that $I$ have one and we enjoy it and we -- I don't know, I'm somewhat partial to them -- the function of them, the use of them, and the enjoyment of them. And I think, again, on this particular locus that $I$ think the effect is benign, but.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would suggest that -you're a good neighbor. The trouble is that with a large deck like this you have a bad neighbor, the impact could be
substantial.
So yes, it's next to Pemberton. It's in a pretty residential area around there. There's a lot of -- this deck can radiate out and have impact on a lot of other properties and not be muted, or affected or have no effect on the Pemberton Gardens area.

I'd even like to see the smaller deck. One -- and
not to deprive you of a living space out there, but it does seem to me that the potential for abuse with a deck like this is a little bit too much.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You know, you can get all the enjoyment from the deck without a deck this big.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Yeah. I have spoken to the neighbors, both on the left- and right-hand side, and I've received no pushback.

And that doesn't mean that perhaps we don't need to have the deck be smaller, but in relation to the discussion about neighbors, there's a neighbor on the left and the right, and then Pemberton Farm behind. I've had no pushback from neighbors.

And perhaps I'm wrong about that. And when we
hold it up to the public discourse that will be different, but I have not had that issue. And I'm surprised by the perception of the deck being very big. I would not have thought that to be so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. This is Jim Monteverde. So you had me at the 12 x 12. That seemed like a sweet spot. You had a nice sizeable, you get the furniture you're talking about, but it pulls in from the perimeter a bit -quite a bit, from what you have here. So I'm -- if that -if you're still willing to entertain that, I think I could be -- I could find a solution with that.

CARL OLDENBURG: It would work a little bit better -- the 12-foot, the existing 15 feet in width kind of holds nicely with the width of the dormer, and it is set back from the roof edge about three feet or so. I'd love to kind of keep the 15-feet width, just for sort of architectural reasons -- if the 18 should be reduced to some number, whatever that number is, architecturally.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm with Jim in terms of -- I'm open to a reasonable solution that allows the deck to be available for use, but not available for potential abuse.

And again, you've got to remember where you folks probably are wonderful neighbors, but who knows who will live in this building in the future. You could get a bunch of -- you could have a bunch of college students and use that deck area there for partying. That's the thing we have to worry about as a Zoning Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, this is Jim Monteverde again. So a 12 x 12, that was at 144 square feet, 18 foot wide, make it 10 -foot deep, that's 150 . Are we sold? Going once, going twice.

CARL OLDENBURG: My thought was that 12 feet was sort of a minimum of --

BRIAN LAVELLE: Yeah.
CARL OLDENBURG: -- any of the two dimensions, once you put furniture on it. So, you know, you want it to be 12 x 15 or, you know, 12 by something at the least. But the 15 feet works well -- again, architecturally and structurally and so forth with respect to the dormer. I'm just looking in my drawings, and some sections that we have done.

BRIAN LAVELLE: So I think to summarize, we would be prepared to try to keep the 15-feet-wide, and we could
reduce it from 18 feet to 12 feet.
And so I'm with Carl about needing to maintain the 12 feet to be able to have a table on the deck that you can walk around, be it rectangular or circular, that's more functional, if that works for the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So we're talking about 12 x 15, a deck?

BRIAN LAVELLE: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No bigger than 12 x 15 ?
BRIAN LAVELLE: By 12 deep -- yeah, 12 deep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I could live with that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I can deal with that.

MATINA WILLIAMS: I can live with that.
ANDREA HICKEY: I will hold my nose and live with that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: There we go. Quick, take a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Why don't we open this -- we haven't opened this matter up to public testimony yet? So I will do that right now.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that
says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
We'll take a few moments to see if anyone is going to call
in and speak to us.
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. Apparently not. So we will now close all public testimony. There is one letter in the file -- only one letter -- from a person who complains about never receiving notice of the hearing tonight, which I find puzzling in as much as no one else has had a problem, and also plus the case is publicly advertised, and it's also sign posted.

So I'm not sure what his problem is, but -- and it doesn't really address any demerits. He basically complains about things. So that's the only public comment we have.

With that, I will close public commentary. I will make a motion to grant the relief, and then we can discuss it, vote it up, vote it down or modify it.

Okay, the Chair makes it -- let me get my notes out. Excuse me for a second. Talking about a special permit. The Chair moves that we make the following
findings:
That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the relief being sought will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of what is being proposed. And in this regard, this is assuming that we place a -- we reduce the size of the proposed deck, as I will deal with later in my findings.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And again, this assumes we're subject to a reduction in the size of the deck, and what is now on the plans.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance. So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair
moves that we grant the special permit requested subject to the following conditions:

One, that the deck as shown on the plans is reduced in size to no more than 12 x 15 feet, and otherwise that the plans for the project proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Carl C. Oldenburg, O-l-d-e-n-b-u-r-g, the first page of which is dated February 23,2021, and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes for the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, still holding your nose?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm here. I'm -- no, I'm still breathing, I'm fine. Yes, in favor of granting the special permit. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to granting the special permit.
[All vote YES]
So relief granted. Good luck.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
(10:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 117068 -- 159 Chilton Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?

CHARLIE LIU: So is Jim Rafferty here?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. He's getting promoted. Just
a second.
CHARLIE LIU: Okay.
CHARLIE LIU: Yeah. I'm not sure how this works, but --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening. Can I be heard now?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can -- yeah, I can hear you, Jim.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, good evening. Sorry, I did not get prompted, as I typically do. So I was
speaking and I was concerned no one could hear me. So good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. For the record, my name is James Rafferty, and I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge. And I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the applicants, Charlie Liu and Patrice Liu. And both Mr. and Mrs. Liu are on the call. This is their home, and what's before the Board this evening is a special permit request to allow for a modest addition at the rear of the home.

The special permit is being pursued under the newly ordained Section 8.22.2, that was adopted by the City Council back in April, as a result of the SJC case involving the Brookline zoning case in Bellalta versus Brookline that I know the Board has been familiar with over the past few years.

That case led to a ruling that special accommodation could be made for nonconforming single and two-family dwellings. So in this case, I think what is proposed here is exactly what's intended by the statute and the newly enacted ordinance.

The criteria in the new section, which perhaps Board members may or may not have had a chance to
familiarize themselves with says that the Board of Zoning Appeals is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, which is a rather broad standard. And that comes right out of the language of the court case.

So in this case, what's proposed here on Chilton Street is about 120 square-foot addition, about six square feet on each floor. The rear of the house has a bit of a notch in it now.

So a mudroom will be installed in the rear. Above the mudroom would be an expanded master bath and walk-in closet. So it is a very limited request, but it does fall within the scope of the new special permits that allow alterations such as this to nonconforming, preexisting oneand two-family houses.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The only thing I would point out, Mr. Rafferty, is if you were reading from the new modifications to our zoning ordinance about the alteration or enlargement will not be substantially more detrimental.

It goes on to say, "and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43."

So I think, as I read it, the vote to take tonight is the section -- the language you quoted about not substantially more detrimental. Then we have to go through all of the other parts of 10.43 that we usually do.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I quite agree. And we've set forth those findings in our application, and yes, there's no question. I didn't mean to suggest that wasn't the case. I just meant that this finds itself before the Board because it qualifies for this new form of special permit.

So it would be a finding under 8.22.2d as well as the required statutory findings of the 10.43 , which as the Board is familiar with largely address the question of whether that structures or uses that are adjoining structures and nearby structures and uses would be adversely affected based on the plans and the proposed addition and the statement contained in our application.

We would suggest that the Board should have little trouble finding that traffic patterns of egress, intensity of usage and all will remain unchanged based upon this modest alteration.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Further with your presentation, Mr. Rafferty, or --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. I'm complete. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll see if any Board members have any questions at this point, before we go to public testimony. Brendan? No questions? Jim? Jim?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Sorry. I was unmuted. Sorry.
This is Jim Monteverde.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, one question. The building
is under construction, is it not?
JAMES RAFFERTY: No, I believe that -- well,
actually there may be some interior renovation. Mr. Lou, maybe you could address that?

CHARLIE LIU: Yeah. No, the building is not under construction. We're living in it right now.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. It is a modern -- it has gone through a significant renovation, and probably within the last year or so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Yeah. I mistook it for another property at what $I$ thought was the right address, but -- because that one was definitely under construction, and it looked exactly like -- yeah, is it? Okay. Nope. No further questions. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no questions as well. So we'll now open the matter up to public testimony. There are no letters, by the way, in the file. So there is no written commentary pro or con from the community.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a few moments to see if there's anyone calling in.
[Pause]
Nope. I'm told there's no one calling in. So we'll close public testimony. As I've done before, I'm going to make the motion to grant the relief, and then people can vote yes or no or explain their vote if they deem necessary.

So the Chair moves that we make the following
findings with regard to the project that's being proposed:
That the alteration or enlargement that's proposed will not be substantially more detrimental in this existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood. That the requirements of this ordinance cannot be met without granting the relief tonight.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And in support of this, the Chair would note that the relief -- again, being sought -- is modest, and it is to the rear of the structure and has -- should have very little impact on the neighborhood.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, for the same reasons that I've just cited with regard to my earlier point.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Khalsa, K-h-a-l-s-a Design, Inc. -- the first page of which is initialed by the Chair and these plans are dated February 17, 2021.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
JAMES RAFFERTY: I think the most recent plans are February 26, 2021.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I misread it. You're absolutely right. Thank you, Mr. Rafferty.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the special permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes, to granting the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes, to granting the
special permit.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well to grant the special permit. So the special permit is granted. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(10:16 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 117398 -- 114 and 118-122 Clay Street. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Good evening, again, members of the Board. James Rafferty on behalf of the applicants in this case. Present with me are the petitioners and property owners, Daniel and Janice Stamos, S-t-a-m-o-s.

This case has a companion case, which Board members may have noticed, which would follow this request for a subdivision.

The subdivision plan that we have filed with the variance application really depicts what the issue is here. This is a case that has an interesting history associated with it.

Mr. and Mrs. Stamos have lived at their home at 118,120,122 Clay Street, a lot that contains a three-family
dwelling in the front, a single-family dwelling in the rear. They have lived there and raised their family there for 40 years.

In fact, prior to their marriage, Ms. Stamos grew up in the house. So it's a longtime family home.

In 2008, the next-door neighbor passed away, and the opportunity presented itself to buy the house next door. And the Stamoses did just that. Both of these lots were 6000 square foot lots. This is a Res B district, so the minimum lot size is only 5000 square feet.

The condition of the house next door was not in good shape, so the Stamoses went through a demolition approval process at the Historical Commission. They were approved to take the house down.

That process, as the Board knows, involves showing the Commission the replacement structure, and the replacement structure was a proposed two-family dwelling, but was zoning compliant.

So the Stamoses felt that they were all set, and they went to apply for a building permit and discovered that the Department had alerted them to the doctrine of merger, and because the acquisition in 2008 was not made in a
separate entity, and given the size of the GFA on the existing lot, the Law Department -- the Building Department concluded that there was a merger, and it would be necessary to obtain a variance to proceed.

So this subdivision variance really seeks mainly to return the same meets and bounds to the Historical record that has existed here before.

The subdivision plans contains Lot A and Lot B, and the legal description from the deeds we've submitted reflect the meets and bounds that are associated with these properties for years.

So in order to make the what is now the empty lot -- Lot A 114 Clay Street -- the purpose of the subdivision is to separate the properties, return them to their longstanding status as two separate lots, and then allow the applicant to proceed to build -- and the second case involves a parking issue.

But that's a case that -- admittedly the variance is needed because the lot containing the two structures does have nonconformities associated with them, but they are long-standing historical nonconformities, and they're preexisting conditions. Those homes have existed prior to
the adoption of zoning.
So the impact on the street and the intent of the ordinance is not being ignored. It was an inadvertent merger, and had the property owner received -- been made aware, this would all have been avoided by simply acquiring the adjoining property in a different name.

So it -- the impact here is potentially one of title, and a request for the subdivision is to restore the lot to their pre-2008 status of two separate 6000 squarefoot lots.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I must say that we've had -- as you know, merger cases before. And it's such a pernicious doctrine. Unless you're a real estate lawyer at the time when you're buying in the neighboring property, you don't realize consequences. And then you've got to go through --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. Well, one might even make the case, Mr. Chair, that even a real estate lawyer is not always attuned to this, because --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] that's also true.

JAMES RAFFERTY: You might need to have some
understanding of zoning as well. And I'm not pointing the finger at anyone, but certainly if at the time of the acquisition the Stamoses were made aware of this doctrine, they could have easily avoided this entire necessity.

But here we are, and they're relying on their long-standing history in the neighborhood and the good judgment of the Board to recognize that what occurred here is almost an equity issue, $I$ would suggest, that would warrant the issuance of this variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. Someone wish to speak? I'm sorry. I guess not. I'll open the matter up to questions by Board members if they have any. Brendan?

Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea.

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no
questions as well. So I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak
should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Let's take a moment to see if anyone is calling in.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Charles Teague, please?
CHARLES TEAGUE: Hi. This is Charles Teague. I haven't done this very often. I don't know -- am I coming through?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're coming through. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep.

CHARLES TEAGUE: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear you loud and clear.

CHARLES TEAGUE: I've just recently moved to 90 Jackson Street, which is just the next block over, and -you know, I just want to say I love my neighborhood, and I think this is perfectly appropriate. It should be just like every other lot.

I've seen the design for replacement. I think that's appropriate. I think this is the way the neighborhood should be. They should be two separate lots.

And I fully support it. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the
time to speak to us. Anyone else wish to speak?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. There is no one else,
so we'll close public testimony. Again, I think the easiest
way of going about resolving this case is that we make a motion, or I move that we approve the demerger of this
combined lot to create two lots with the same dimensions that they were at the time the merger occurred.

So we're going back to the status quo ante, as a lawyer would say.

Brendan, comments or I mean how do you vote or comment, either one

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No comment, other than to say that I would support the granting of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde.] I support the granting of the variance also.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey.] Yes, I am in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And as indicated by my earlier comments, the Chair votes in favor granting the variance as well.
[All vote YES]
So the variance is granted. Next case?

CHARLES TEAGUE: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(10:24 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 117680 -- 114 Clay Street. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair. James Rafferty. You probably remember me from the last two cases. And this case I represent the same petitioners in the prior case.

So the saga of the Stamos family continues. And when it rains it pours. They thought they were all set. They have a dimensionally conforming house; they're hoping to now build.

There's a quirky issue with the driveway. The current driveway -- the driveway that has existed for years on the house, doesn't meet the five-foot setback requirement required for a driveway. It didn't need to meet that -[Pause] CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?

JAMES RAFFERTY: -- exceptions for one- and twofamily houses that existed prior to the adoption of the zoning.

The Building Department correctly -- I don't quarrel with that -- concluded that the lot no longer has such a structure on it. So that exception from the setback requirement no longer applies.

So all that they're looking to do is to use an existing driveway -- same curb cut, same driveway that had been there forever, but now they need to take advantage of the provisions -- the special permit provision that allows this Board to modify that five-foot setback requirement.

So this special permit is intended to allow the existing driveway to remain in its current location and serve the new two-family structure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Rafferty, the only question $I$ have is, $I$ don't see any request for a special permit in the advertisement, variance. What are we referring to?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh, you know, I apologize. The five-foot setback is not subject to a special permit, you're correct. And I'm looking at the application. The
application does seek a variance.
So 6.44.1 requires Section $B$ that a space not be located within five feet of a side or rear property line.

And then there are a series of dimensions that can be modified by special permit. And the five-foot setback is not one of them. So the application is correct, and I misspoke. I apologize.

This is a request for a variance to not a special permit, to allow for a reduction in the setback requirement for the driveway and the hardship is directly related to the fact that the driveway is already in existence, and has been there and will continue to remain.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to make a motion. I'll make a motion to grant the relief, and then we can discuss that when the vote is taken, whether people support it or not. Can we do it? Oh, I'm sorry. I forgot we haven't taken -- offered public comment. So let me do that right now.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that
says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
We'll take a few moments to see if anyone's calling in.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Charles Teague. Charles, you can speak.

CHARLES TEAGUE: Ok, yeah. Yeah, the little prompt didn't come up. Yeah. This is Charles Teague, 90 Gaston Street. Once again, I did look at the plans, and this is very conservative. It's like two little townhouses with garages. And what really forces the driveway to be where it is, is the fact that it's garages.

But I sent an e-mail, and what my comment is basically none of us are getting any younger, and a garage is really going to be very helpful to keep my neighbor in the neighborhood. So as you get older, yeah, it gets more dangerous and this and that.

And I also note that the front setback could be 10 feet, because one of the houses is close, is right up on the sidewalk, one of the abutters. And it of course exceeds the rear setback. And it's shorter than it could be. So it's a very modest development. And it's -- and they're going to
move into the back house. And I think it's -- I ask you to wholeheartedly support it. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else? SISIA DAGLIAN: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one else, so we'll close public testimony. Again, I'll make a motion and then we can discuss the case around that motion. The motion will be to grant the relief.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought: That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being due to an unusual set of circumstances, the driveway that's supposed to be built is already there, or it is there in footprint. And to not take advantage of that would be a substantial hardship.

And the hardship is owing to the fact of this mistaken action taken years ago, that inadvertently created a merger. And that now we will have to undo that merger.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this
ordinance. In fact, what is being proposed overall with regard to the relief being sought and the subsequent building is to restore the status of -- the previous status, status quo ante is what I'm looking for.

And so there's not a new or different impact on the neighborhood, but just going back to the good old days before there was a merger.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with -- we have a plan in here, we do -- the plans that were submitted by the petitioner and as initialed by the Chair, as part of the file.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes, to granting the variance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes, to granting the variance.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes, to grant the variance as well.
[All vote YES]

Variance is granted.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty. JAMES RAFFERTY: You're welcome.
(10:39 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Last but not least, we have a telecom case, unfortunately. Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 11892- -- 40 Land Boulevard. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

KRISTINA COTTONE: Hi. My name is Kristina, calling from Smart Link on behalf of the AT\&T. Can everyone hear me?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
KRISTINA COTTONE: Thank you. So I figure I'd keep this short and sweet. So as the drawings show, we are on top of the Royal Sonesta Hotel. The overall scope of work, we are swapping two antennas for two like-for-like antennas behind a FRP enclosure wall.

So visually there is no change. So the work swapping two antennas for the same, and adding two additional remote radio units behind the enclosure.

Again, there is no visual impact. The only
additional impact is we are adding 5G, and that benefits everyone in the area.

We are enforcing FirstNet technologies, which
helps the elderly, which helps first responders, which helps everyone in the area, especially since we're in a hotel.

And the other technologies will also be better enforced as well.

So I just wanted to keep it short and sweet. If you want me to, you know, go in further detail, please let me know. But $I$ was on the Planning Board on Tuesday. They had no further comments. This is a pretty simple swap -like I said, two antennas for two like-for-like antennas, and two additional RAUs. They're all behind the enclosure on the Royal Sonesta Hotel. You visually cannot see it.

There's visually no change, but all surrounding areas in Cambridge and the area will benefit from 5G technologies from AT\&T, as well as better signal strength for 5 -- excuse me, FirstNet.

So again, if there's any further questions, please let me know. But I thought I'd keep it short and sweet for everyone on the Board, since it's pretty late.

But as the photos kind of show, if we want to keep
going through the slides, there's no change. So all these photos sent from the existing proposed, there will be no change whatsoever. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for making it short and sweet. Unfortunately, I'm not going to be able to do that as well.

KRISTINA COTTONE: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But anyway, questions from members of the Board at this point? Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance -- that unless we grant the special permit that's been requested, that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established
neighborhood character.
As has been pointed out, the new equipment is potentially in appearance identical to the old equipment, and so there's no new impact on the neighborhood, and in fact, of course these antennas are very high. And the building is high, so they're up high. And they basically face the City of Boston, as opposed to neighborhood -- the Cambridge neighborhood.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. And we're just talking about improved telecom equipment and it has no impact, no more than the old equipment had an impact on the adjacent uses.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

And lastly, the Board also finds that the
modification of its existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility, within the meaning of Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

Based on these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of
six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and reinstate the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

And five, that the petitioner is in compliance with, and will continue to be in compliance with in all respects, the conditions imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

Continuing, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time the federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law, or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked or terminated.
C) That to the extent that a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply through this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the
application has been filed because of the termination of the special permit pursuant to paragraphs a) and a).

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to the petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner of the geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, to granting the special
permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, $I$ am in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes, to grant the special permit as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.
All set?
KRISTINA COTTONE: Thank you all. Have a great night.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I was going to say
goodnight, one and all.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, goodnight.
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| halve 180:2,15 | 132:6,9 136:7 | heard 5:13 7:7 | Hickey 1:8 3:5 | 40:1 45:1,6 |
| halving 180:22 | 136:9,9,9,11 | 27:7 51:13 | 4:16,17 7:4 | 46:14,18 59:14 |


| 70:13 73:12 | 18:1 33:16 | identical 218:3 | 84:18 99:1 | 31:19 44:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78:18 83:4 | 36:19,20 39:19 | identifies 78:2 | 100:12 111:10 | incorporates |
| 201:13 202:6 | 40:3 45:17,22 | ignored 203:3 | 126:6 127:22 | 98:12 |
| 202:21 | 46:3 68:7 72:1 | illustrate 109:11 | importantly | incorrect 82:22 |
| historically | 72:8,10 73:1,5 | illustrated | 9:13 | increase 12:19 |
| 49:15 | 74:22 75:11,13 | 151:17 | imposed 220:7 | 15:1 17:19 |
| history 200:19 | 75:14 77:9,10 | image 32:1 | improve 34:3 | 84:2 86:8 91:1 |
| 204:6 | 78:3 81:3 | images 149:8,15 | 41:18,20 65:2 | 153:5 168:16 |
| hit 105:17 | 88:21,22 | immediate | 73:3 81:14 | 169:5 170:19 |
| Hm 62:10 | 151:20 153:2 | 107:14,19 | 84:21,21,22 | increased 39:6 |
| hold 95:8 112:20 | 154:13 167:4,6 | 149:3 150:15 | 105:4 156:20 | 86:5 153:10 |
| 184:1 186:14 | 167:7,11 170:5 | 150:21,22 | 167:18 170:16 | increases 169:10 |
| holding 189:15 | 170:17,18 | 157:21 158:22 | improved 37:17 | increasing 33:14 |
| holds 184:13 | 172:5,11 | immediately | 59:12 157:7 | indicate 44:19 |
| home 13:19 | 177:14,18 | 172:21 | 218:12 | indicated 51:14 |
| 64:17,21 65:1 | 193:9 201:5,7 | immigrant | improvement | 53:4 78:13 |
| 65:9,18 66:7 | 201:11,14 | 76:17 | 11:10 37:16 | 141:15 207:4 |
| 67:8 74:22 | 208:15,19 | immobile | 80:20 81:4 | indicates 77:5 |
| 76:18,20 81:6 | 212:1 | 125:14 | 158:14,19 | 110:8 |
| 83:11 84:1,3 | housed 127:20 | immutable 12:7 | improvements | indicating 79:9 |
| 85:1 136:16 | household 85:21 | impact 15:5 | 34:2 66:10,11 | 79:14 |
| 153:1 156:17 | 127:14 | 16:15 21:4,5,6 | 81:15 | indication 19:11 |
| 156:19 192:7,9 | houses 72:21 | 21:12 23:4,17 | improves 37:13 | 53:15 |
| 200:21 201:5 | 150:14 193:16 | 24:5 37:22 | 41:16,19 | indiscernible]... |
| homes 14:6 | 209:2 211:19 | 129:16 163:4 | improving | 152:11 |
| 76:22 125:18 | housing 28:5 | 173:6,7,13 | 84:19,19 | individual 178:6 |
| 202:22 | 59:11 65:11 | 177:3,7,12 | in- 67:9 | individuals |
| honest 10:18 | 81:14,15 84:17 | 178:6,13 | in-laws 83:20 | 222:19 |
| 67:8 | 86:5,8 91:4 | 182:22 183:4 | inadvertent | infected 126:18 |
| hope 46:7 66:11 | huge 179:7 | 197:14 203:2,7 | 203:3 | information |
| 71:8 75:13 | human 125:9 | 213:5 215:22 | inadvertently | 29:3,22 31:16 |
| 79:22 96:18 | humanely 126:7 | 216:1 218:4,13 | 212:18 | 33:8 54:8 99:4 |
| 156:14 157:3 | hundred 86:13 | 218:14 219:14 | inch 36:1 | 99:5 101:3 |
| 158:8 | hundreds 86:11 | 219:19 | inches 169:4 | inhabitants |
| hopeful 157:5 | husband 13:3 | impacted 37:8 | 173:19 | 41:21 |
| hoping 56:18 | 75:7 156:9 | 37:20 | include 43:20 | inherently 130:6 |
| 65:8 75:15 |  | impacting 21:12 | included 29:21 | initial 12:5 |
| 208:15 | I | impacts 37:22 | 54:5,6 79:11 | initialed 22:5 |
| hospital 125:11 | i-0 129:11 | 40:16 | 90:3 128:7 | 60:7 88:15 |
| 125:15 | icon 19:3 53:10 | impair 21:19 | 153:12 | 164:3 189:8 |
| hospitals 125:1 | 74:12 138:14 | 24:11 59:19 | includes 222:12 | 198:9 213:12 |
| hotel 215:16 | 160:13 186:22 | 163:15 188:20 | including 34:5 | 219:11,16 |
| 216:5,14 | 196:11 205:1 | 198:2 218:19 | 78:18 82:16 | initially 28:7 |
| hours 39:9 | 210:22 | impaired 159:14 | 106:12 221:6 | Inman 82:10 |
| 126:6 | idea 79:8 134:7 | impedes 132:6 | 222:19 | input 10:11 |
| house 8:12,17 | 174:22 | implied 87:3 | income 66:9 | inside 16:14 |
| 12:13 14:3,8 | ideally 125:19 | important 27:19 | 84:2,17 | insofar 21:13 |
| 14:12,12 15:5 | ideas 148:22 | 71:973:13 | incorporate | Inspectional |


| 220:15,20 | 167:12,13 | J | 118:3 120:19 | 120:7,11,12,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221:2,12 | 195:11 | J 160:20 161:4,9 | 122:14,15,15 | 121:3,10,18 |
| 222:10 | internally 38:12 | 161:11 162:8 | 124:4 141:4,5 | 122:5,7,10 |
| install 65:5,5 | interpretation | jacking 45:17 | 141:6,7 142:14 | 123:5 |
| 71:16 148:16 | 39:20 47:2,13 | Jackson 205:16 | 142:15,15 | June 1:4 3:7 |
| installation 65:6 | 133:16 | jail 27:17,20,21 | 144:4 146:11 | 31:15 32:7,14 |
| 73:7 222:8,11 | interrupt 16:4 | James 8:6 13:3 | 146:13,14,14 | 32:22 47:9 |
| installed 173:1 | introducing | 13:16 162:1,1 | 148:4 160:4,5 | 60:6 144:19,20 |
| 193:11 222:15 | 32:2 | 162:7 191:17 | 160:5 164:8,9 | 145:6,20 146:2 |
| instance 130:19 | invading 149:6 | 191:21 192:3 | 164:9 166:4 | 146:7 161:7,10 |
| instructions 4:5 | invasion 16:9 | 194:5 195:1,5 | 171:8,9,9,14 | justification |
| 4:7 63:11 | 158:16 | 195:10,15 | 172:19 175:7 | 108:16 135:2 |
| 74:10 | invasive 125:13 | 198:11,13,17 | 175:15,18 | justify 131:12 |
| insulation 80:21 | investment | 200:9,10 | 179:10 180:5,8 | 142:2 |
| integrity 21:19 | 108:14 | 203:17,22 | 180:11 181:21 | jut 76:21 |
| 24:11 59:19 | investments | 208:9,9 209:1 | 182:1,5,8 |  |
| 159:13 163:15 | 86:11 | 209:20 210:14 | 183:11 184:5,5 | K |
| 188:20 198:2 | involve 66:18 | 214:5,8 | 184:19,20 | K-a-c-h-m-a-r |
| 218:19 | 80:17 88:2 | jamming 36:13 | 185:7,7 186:17 | 22:3 |
| intelligent | 130:13 140:5 | Janice 200:12 | 189:12,13,13 | K-h-a-l-s-a |
| 159:18 | 153:5 212:12 | Jason 1:10 3:6 | 191:4,11,20 | 198:8 |
| intended 38:8,9 | involved 153:5 | - 4:18,19,19 7:5 | 195:4,4,6,8,18 | Kachmar 22:3 |
| $109: 11172: 3$ 192.19 209.13 | involves 201:15 | 18:14,15,15 | 198:20,20 | $24: 18$ |
| 192:19 209:13 intending 155:3 | 202:17 | 22:10,11,11 | 200:4 204:14 | Kaitlyn 124:9 |
| intending 155:3 | involving | 26:1,2,2 27:5 | 204:15,15 | $124: 12,14$ |
| intends 146:5 | 192:12 | 51:22 52:1 | 206:17,18,18 | 129:4 132:15 |
| intensity 194:18 | ipso 221:2,15 | 53:3 61:6,7,7 | 208:4 213:16 | 133:2 134:3 |
| intent 21:20 | iron 94:21 | 61:22 62:2,3,5 | 213:17,17 | Kate 148:12 |
| $24: 12 \mathrm{88:10}$ $131 \cdot 2.140 \cdot 19$ | isolate 179:4 | jaundiced 178:7 | 215:4 217:9,10 | 156:7,8,8 |
| 131:2,5 140:19 $156 \cdot 14163: 16$ | issuance 204:9 | Jean 75:5,7 | 217:10 223:4,5 | 157:13 |
| 156:14 163:16 $168: 2172: 5$ | issue 42:2 46:9 | $76: 10,13,15$ | 223:5 | keep 48:14 66:9 |
| 168:2 172:5 188:21 198:3 | 53:4 89:6 | $\operatorname{Jim} 1: 94: 20$ 5:7 | job 149:4 158:5 | 81:21 83:7 |
| 188:21 198:3 203:2 $212: 22$ | 103:4 105:13 | 5:11,16,19 6:3 | 219:5 | 116:8,12,21 |
| 203:2 212:22 218:20 | 133:3 139:11 | 6:3,4 26:11,13 | Joe 60:6 | 126:15 158:18 |
| 218:20 intention 44:20 | 160:21 170:8 | 26:16,17 27:4 | join 83:18 | 184:16 185:22 |
| intention 44:20 98:9 | 184:2 200:18 | 43:18,19,19 | joined 7:14 | 211:15 215:15 |
| 98:9 interest 38:16 | 202:17 204:8 | 44:4,13 45:9 | joy 83:20 | 216:8,20,22 |
| interest 38:16 41:14 | 208:17 | 47:5,7 48:5 | JR 160:20 161:4 | keeping 37:18 |
| 41:14 | issues 11:19,22 | 60:11,12,12 | 161:9,11 162:8 | keeps 41:15 |
| interested | 12:1 23:9 52:8 | 63:4 64:4 | judgment 204:7 | kennel 127:10 |
| 225:10 interesting | 52:9 86:2 | 73:17,18,18 | Judicial 9:12 | 127:15,18 |
| interesting | 91:18 107:8 | 74:2 89:10,11 | 130:2 133:5 | 129:20,21 |
| 200:19 | 170:1 | 89:11,21 90:4 | Judith 161:14 | $130: 16$ $133 \cdot 14$ $136: 18$ |
| interference | it'll 32:8 | 90:10 92:6 | 162:3,3 | 133:14 136:11 |
| 159:1 | item 103:1,1 | 94:11,12 97:13 | July 97:5,6,7,8,8 | 142:2 |
| interim 122:3 | items 102:22 | 97:13 99:14,14 | 97:12 98:5,11 | kennels 130:10 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { interior 12:16 } \\ 111: 13 \quad 113: 8 \end{array}$ | 155:18 | 102:4 117:4,6 | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 2299: 7 \\ & 100: 3 \quad 120: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131: 6135: 13 \\ & \text { 135:20 137:5 } \end{aligned}$ |


| kept 4:4 125:22 | 54:16 56:19 | knows 9:19 | 174:20 175:4 | length 48:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khalsa 198:8 | 65:6,15 67:13 | 185:2 201:15 | 175:22 176:3,8 | 180:11 |
| kick 119:15 | 67:14 70:4,16 | Kristina 215:10 | 176:18,20,22 | lengthy 28:12 |
| kicked 119:16 | 70:18 71:9 | 215:10,14 | 179:8,21 180:2 | 69:8,19 |
| kidding 157:16 | 72:11 75:15 | 217:7 223:18 | 180:7,10,12,14 | let's 30:22 48:12 |
| kids 173:13 | 78:9 80:18 | Kuhne 85:15,16 | 183:14 185:13 | 103:3 137:3 |
| kind 12:10 | 81:11 87:5 | 85:18,18 86:22 | 185:21 186:8 | 138:9 143:9 |
| 41:13 57:14 | 88:22 89:4 | 87:3 | 186:10 | 154:10 171:20 |
| 79:1 91:2,19 | 90:21 91:12,19 |  | law 3:15 27:12 | 181:13 205:5 |
| 100:10,18 | 92:18 100:11 | L | 66:22 95:6 | letter 37:9 39:10 |
| 105:18,18 | 103:5,5 106:1 | ladder 65:7 | 108:18 202:2 | 39:13,14 43:20 |
| 106:4,8 112:21 | 106:7 107:1,7 | land 2:22 49:22 | 221:8 222:22 | 70:22 82:3,7 |
| 136:20 140:15 | 107:10,12,13 | 68:3,4 76:6 | lawn 43:1 | 82:13 84:13 |
| 142:2 155:15 | 108:4,5 110:18 | 103:15,22 | laws 67:10 | 100:14 105:11 |
| 167:20 169:22 | 111:22 112:12 | 130:19 136:10 | lawsuit 95:17 | 128:7,8 144:11 |
| 172:21 184:13 | 112:15,18,20 | 140:6,10,10 | lawyer 101:4 | 187:8,9 |
| 184:15 216:22 | 114:10,10 | 142:1 215:8 | 203:14,18 | letters 38:18,19 |
| kinds 142:9 | 115:3,7 116:6 | landscape 34:14 | 206:12 | 68:22 69:12,14 |
| kitchen 16:1 | 116:7,8 119:6 | 41:10 57:17 | layout 79:13 | 69:15,22 70:6 |
| 18:2 72:12 | 119:11 120:2 | landscaped | 167:13,15 | 71:8 80:13 |
| knew 13:11,12 | 127:5 128:19 | 43:11 | 170:18 | 82:16 131:9 |
| 156:19 | 131:10 134:12 | landscaping | leads 49:11,14 | 132:22 133:1 |
| knock 107:13,21 | 134:14 135:11 | 56:6,10 58:7 | leash 125:20 | 138:3,22 139:8 |
| knot 91:19 | 135:16,19 | 60:1 79:9,12 | leave 18:19 88:3 | 140:21,22 |
| know 4:22 11:15 | 137:15,20,21 | language 49:9 | 179:18 | 196:7 |
| 11:17 12:5,6,6 | 139:9 149:13 | 100:13 193:6 | leaves 62:2 | level 30:12 45:7 |
| 12:8,8,12 | 149:16 150:8 | 194:2 | led 40:20 192:16 | 45:18 81:3,4 |
| 21:13 27:21 | 153:1,16 | large 29:14,14 | left 11:12 29:10 | 126:19 172:9 |
| 29:20 31:17 | 155:16 156:11 | 168:11 171:18 | 29:12 30:2,5,8 | 172:12 |
| 32:7 33:17,22 | 157:9 171:21 | 173:22 174:11 | 33:16,18,21 | levels 84:17 |
| 34:5,7,8,9,11 | 172:10,22 | 176:15 182:21 | 37:8 39:8 | Liam 13:3,7,15 |
| 34:16 35:16 | 174:2,22 | largely 194:12 | 40:16 42:20 | Liberty 148:10 |
| 36:1,2,4,10,12 | 176:11 177:2,6 | larger 87:5,6 | 109:13,16 | library 13:15 |
| 36:12 37:5,6 | 179:11,12,13 | 177:13 | 149:21 168:5 | licensed 127:13 |
| 37:17,19 38:1 | 179:16 180:15 | lastly 91:5 99:3 | 170:3 172:21 | licenses 221:16 |
| 38:8,9,11,12 | 180:20 181:2 | 142:6 146:4 | 179:17 183:19 | life 66:10 84:19 |
| 38:15 39:5,6 | 181:10,11,12 | 218:22 | left-152:2 | 95:6 |
| 39:13,22 40:19 | 182:13,15,16 | late 216:21 | 183:15 | lift 126:17 |
| 40:20,22 41:8 | 183:12 185:15 | lately 67:8 | leg 126:14 | ligament 125:8 |
| 41:13, 16, 17, 18 | 185:16 192:14 | latest 93:18 | legal 9:4 33:13 | light 37:14 65:2 |
| 41:19,21 42:2 | 203:12 205:8 | Laughter 26:15 | 95:5,18,21 | 104:9 |
| 42:3,22 43:1,6 | 205:17 209:20 | 157:16 176:6 | 108:17,18 | lighting 27:14 |
| 45:6,14,16 | 216:9,10,20 | 186:16 203:20 | 109:2 112:17 | like-for-like |
| 46:7,10,17 | knowing 126:7 | laundry 152:22 | 113:1 115:4 | 215:17 216:12 |
| 47:5 49:4,13 | knowledge | Lavelle 166:11 | 116:6 202:9 | liked 70:19 |
| 50:11,12,14,15 | 140:22 | 166:13,13,16 | legally $28: 4$ | likes 107:16 |
| 50:17 51:1 | known 57:16 | 167:1 173:16 | 129:17 131:12 | limited 87:10 |
| 52:19 54:7,7 | 112:20 219:6 | 173:18 174:15 | 131:18 | 193:13 221:6 |


| limiting 3:11 | 172:5 200:21 | 181:7 211:9 | 161:4,9,11 | Mark 8:6,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:4 | 201:2 | looked 39:14 | 162:8 | 13:3 |
| line 5:11,17,20 | Livera 13:4 | 195:21 | love 51:3 54:17 | marker 98:21 |
| 15:21 33:17 | living 30:13 | looking 7:13 | 56:22 83:15 | 146:1 |
| 36:17,21 39:12 | 67:19 72:21 | 13:6,13 22:4 | 96:17 125:5 | Market 177:11 |
| 42:18 75:21,21 | 73:9 107:15 | 29:9 30:4 | 156:16 184:15 | marriage 201:4 |
| 76:4 83:8 | 155:3 167:9 | 32:22 36:6,19 | 205:17 | Marshall 1:10 |
| 106:17,17,17 | 168:10,17 | 47:8,8 63:9 | loved 156:16 | 3:6 4:18,19,19 |
| 151:6,21 168:6 | 169:2,5 182:10 | 65:17 68:10,18 | low 110:22 | 7:5 18:15,15 |
| 210:3 | 183:8 195:14 | 73:19 81:6,7 | lower 172:13 | 22:11,11 26:2 |
| lines 52:21 | LLC 166:14 | 89:12,13 | lower-level | 26:2 27:5 52:1 |
| 76:19 | local 130:7 | 128:12 129:17 | 15:12 | 61:7,7,22 62:2 |
| linger 149:21 | 167:19 | 159:20 168:4 | luck 13:8 164:21 | Massachusetts |
| Link 215:11 | located 28:4 | 178:7 185:19 | 190:5 199:6 | 1:6,6 130:2 |
| listening 178:16 | 42:17 50:8 | 209:8,22 213:4 | luckily 150:21 | 192:4 225:2,5 |
| literal 66:17 | 64:21 68:6 | looks 35:10 36:7 | lucky 67:9 | massage 126:11 |
| 80:16 88:1 | 72:18 79:8 | 36:21 52:6 | 156:17 | massing 14:2 |
| 140:4 212:11 | 132:2 136:5,12 | 172:1 175:11 |  | 75:18 81:10 |
| little 11:6,6 13:5 | 140:12 142:11 | lost 55:14 | M | master 193:12 |
| 16:17 27:15 | 152:13 192:4 | lot 7:18 11:4 | magic 26:13 | matching 154:7 |
| 36:7 38:21 | 210:3 220:2 | 29:14 33:17,18 | 98:21 146:1 | 154:21 |
| 45:2,2 49:5,18 | location 152:12 | 34:20 35:18 | magnitude | material 122:4 |
| 49:21 51:8 | 168:15 177:5 | 36:6 39:7,12 | 175:16 | materials 37:17 |
| 55:14 65:17,18 | 209:14 | 40:4 41:6 50:1 | Magoun 2:17 | 44:6 87:13 |
| 67:13 89:1 | locations 12:19 | 52:10,13 55:16 | 148:7,13 149:9 | 119:10 |
| 112:5 151:14 | 178:6 222:18 | 68:8,17 72:17 | 149:11,15 | Matina 1:9 3:5 |
| 152:20 154:8 | locus 182:18 | 73:7 75:21,21 | 156:18 162:2,4 | 4:14,15 7:4 |
| 154:15 155:2 | logic 152:19 | 76:1,4 79:11 | main 14:11 | 18:19,19,20 |
| 156:4,11,12 | long 26:18 37:7 | 83:8 103:10 | 152:14 | 22:16,17,17 |
| 157:7 167:20 | 54:16 70:17 | 104:18 108:8 | maintain 186:2 | 25:1,17,18,18 |
| 168:3 172:2,4 | 76:19 104:15 | 112:13 130:18 | 219:18 | 27:4 63:4 64:4 |
| 178:22 183:10 | 119:12 180:13 | 140:14 150:11 | maintained | 74:5,6,6 97:17 |
| 184:12 194:17 | long- 202:14 | 150:11,12,16 | 121:19 222:18 | 97:18,18 99:19 |
| 197:13 211:7 | long-standing | 151:18 158:5 | major 129:16 | 99:20,20 102:4 |
| 211:10 | 202:21 204:6 | 158:14 174:1 | 132:10 176:17 | 122:20,21,21 |
| Liu 191:11,14 | long-term | 183:3,4 200:22 | making 11:5 | 124:4 141:12 |
| 191:15 192:6,6 | 156:15 | 201:10 202:2,8 | 13:19 34:2 | 141:13 142:19 |
| 192:7 195:13 | longer 21:14 | 202:8,12,13,19 | 37:5 75:2 86:7 | 142:20,20 |
| livability 159:7 | 39:9 40:10,11 | 203:9 205:19 | 92:13 139:7 | 144:4 146:19 |
| livable 8:17 | 209:5,7 | 206:9 209:5 | 155:10 217:4 | 146:20,20 |
| live $8: 14,15$ | longtime 201:5 | lots 34:5 68:8 | maneuver 43:3 | 148:4 160:8,9 |
| 75:10 82:17 | look 27:20 30:22 | 150:11,16 | manner 150:19 | 160:9 164:14 |
| 83:22 126:21 | 31:1,2 34:9 | 159:18 201:8,9 | 150:20 | 164:15,15 |
| 137:16 140:14 | 35:1 36:3,5 | 202:15 203:10 | mansard 167:5 | 166:4 178:17 |
| 148:18 167:10 | 37:4 46:7 93:3 | 205:22 206:9 | 168:14 | 178:19 186:13 |
| 185:3 186:11 | 148:7 158:6 | Lou 195:11 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a p }} 109: 15$ | 189:20,21,21 |
| 186:13,14 | 176:13 177:2,4 | loud 205:13 | March 3:13 | 191:4 196:3,4 |
| lived 64:17 | 177:19 179:12 | Louis 160:19,20 | Maria 98:14 | 199:2,2 200:4 |


| 204:19,19 | 117:6 194:8 | mentioned | Mm-hm 47:4 | money 86:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 207:1,2,2 | measuring | 38:17 48:21 | 76:14 | 108:13,13 |
| 208:4 213:21 | 89:17 | 91:6 | mobility 125:16 | Monteverde 1:9 |
| 213:21 215:4 | medication | merged 29:15 | modalities | 4:20 5:7 6:4 |
| 217:13,14,14 | 126:8 | 50:19 | 126:10 127:5 | 26:11,13,17 |
| 223:10,11,11 | medications | merger 201:21 | model 38:11 | 27:4 43:19,19 |
| matter 7:8 18:22 | 126:3,5 128:1 | 202:3 203:4,12 | modern 65:3 | 44:4,13 45:9 |
| 27:8 28:1,2 | meet 28:19 | 206:10 212:19 | 73:8 195:15 | 47:7 60:12,12 |
| 42:8 53:8 64:8 | 79:22 103:5 | 212:19 213:7 | modernized | 63:4 64:4 |
| 74:9,18 94:22 | 128:15 154:2 | met 10:12 11:13 | 167:8 | 73:18,18 74:2 |
| 95:6 102:9 | 167:9 208:19 | 20:19 23:11 | modest 11:10 | 89:11,11,21 |
| 104:14 108:17 | 208:20 | 28:11 29:3 | 21:5 78:2 | 90:4,10 94:12 |
| 138:10,12 | meeting 1:5 3:8 | 58:22 115:6 | 163:3,9 192:9 | 97:13,13 99:14 |
| 144:9 148:8 | 3:10,15,19 | 162:18 188:3 | 194:20 197:12 | 99:14 102:4 |
| 160:11 166:8 | 11:2 39:1,1,4,9 | 197:5 | 211:22 | 117:4,6,9,11 |
| 186:19 191:8 | 70:5 116:22 | mic 25:13 | modestly $12: 19$ | 117:14 118:3 |
| 196:6 200:8 | 144:7,13 | Michael 8:8 | 14:22 82:18 | 120:19 122:15 |
| 204:12,21 | meetings 3:16 | 148:9,10 | modification | 122:15 124:4 |
| 208:8 215:9 | 4:7 69:4,7 | 157:18 | 46:11 53:20 | 141:5,7 142:15 |
| 220:11 | 70:12 | middle 149:10 | 54:1 70:8 88:7 | 142:15 144:4 |
| Mavara 8:6 | meets 10:21 | 149:17 219:5 | 219:1 | 146:14,14 |
| McGovern | 202:6,10 | Middlesex 225:3 | modifications | 148:4 160:5,5 |
| 148:12 | member 4:12 | Mike 11:8 12:3 | 10:5 11:4,9 | 164:9,9 166:4 |
| meal 181:2 | 6:1 19:2 | 12:3 13:22 | 54:20 58:18 | 171:9,9,14 |
| mean 26:17 41:5 | 138:13 | 16:5,11,22 | 59:12 61:1,13 | 172:19 173:4 |
| 44:18 45:4,8 | members 3:4 | million 86:14 | 193:19 | 175:7,15,18 |
| 55:15 56:1 | 4:1,1,4,12 6:7 | mind 30:6 34:10 | modified 60:20 | 179:10 180:5,8 |
| 67:17 105:14 | 7:3 18:13 | 45:11 92:7 | 61:8 98:21 | 180:11 181:21 |
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| $117396148: 7$ | 185:22 | 60:6 88:14 | 59:13 60:4,17 | 600-some-odd |
| 117398 200:7 | 15-foot 152:15 | 198:10,14 | 60:18,21 61:1 | 89:15 |
| 117680 208:7 | $15.5180: 1$ | 225:13,18 | 61:13 | 6000 201:9 |
| $1182: 10$ 63:9,17 | $150185: 9$ | 208 2:21 | 4 | 203:9 |
| 102:8 | 159 2:19 191:7 | 21 65:4 73:9 | 4 | 62 68:19 |
| 118-122 2:20 | 1672:2 75:2,10 | 215 2:22 | $4157: 1$ 175:19 | 63,102 2:10 |
| 200:7 | 77:178:13 | 22 3:20 36:5 | 180:9 | 64 2:14 |
| 118,120,122 | 82:7,20 175:9 | 23,2021 189:7 | 4.9 151:20 | 6409(a) 219:5 |
| 200:22 | 175:11 | 24 88:14 144:19 | 40 2:22 201:2 | 644.1B 42:14 |
| 11892-215:8 | 16.8 175:10,10 | 144:20 145:7 | 215:8 |  |
| 123:13 74:22 | 1600 78:7 | 146:2,7 161:7 | 40-foot-wide | 7 |
| 76:13 78:12 | 166 2:18 | 24-hour 124:20 | 151:18 | 72:7 |
| 79:3 85:19 | 168 153:17 | 24-hours 125:14 | 4000 68:17 | 7:00 145:4 |
| 126:6 154:10 | 17 175:9,9 | 25 170:6 | 150:12 | 7:21 63:2 |
| 156:1 175:9 | 198:10 | 26 48:15 198:14 | 40A 9:12 | 7:22 64:2 |
| 181:5,5,10,11 | 171 2:19 | 262 66:5 78:7 | 40fold 84:2 | 7:45 63:16,17 |
| 181:15 184:6,6 | 18 169:3 175:11 | 266 153:15,17 | 41 2:17 148:7 | 70 106:5 |
| 185:8,8,11,16 | 175:11 180:1,2 | 27 2:5 3:17 | 41-43 148:12 | 75 109:20 |
| 185:16 186:1,3 | 180:3,5,13,14 | 270 175:22 | 149:9,15 |  |
| 186:6,9,10,10 | 181:5 184:17 | 176:5 | 45 2:15 124:7 | 8 |
| 189:4 | 185:8 186:1 | 2800 78:3 | 132:1 162:1,3 | $8 \text { 2:18 166:7,22 }$ |
| 12-foot 184:13 | 18-foot 180:11 | 2997:7,8,12 | 173:19 | 167:4 175:19 |
| $120193: 8$ $1210148 \cdot 11$ | $18.5175: 17$ 180078.4 | 98:6,11,22 $99.7100 \cdot 4$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8.22.2 192:11 } \\ & \text { 8.22.2d 194:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $1210148: 11$ $122107 \cdot 14$ | $180078: 4$ | 99:7 100:4 $120: 3121 \cdot 3,10$ | 5102:17 153:7 | 8.7 168:22 |
| 122 107:14 $1242 \cdot 15$ | 1873 167:5 | 120:3 121:3,10 | 216:18 | 8:10 102:2 |
| $1242: 15$ $137: 783: 10$ | 1895 12:14 | 121:18 122:5,7 | 5:00 98:13,17 | 8:34 124:2 |
| $\begin{gathered} 137: 783: 10 \\ 154: 11,11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 9} 64: 2065: 4 \\ 169: 15 \end{gathered}$ | 122:10 123:5 | 5:00 99:6 122:5,8 | 8:57 143:9 |
| 14 2:14 64:7,12 | 19,2021 164:3 | 3 | 122:10 145:10 | 80 106:6 |
| 77:6 86:18 | 1900s 153:1 | 3 30:7 31:15 | 145:18 146:7 | 804 157:22 |
| 121:19 |  | 32:7,14,22 | 5000 201:10 | 8222D 9:14 |
| 14.6 175:9,10 | 2 | 47:9 60:6 | 5G 216:1,16 | 8223 9:10 |
| $1442: 16$ 181:6 | 2 43:9 132:11 | 151:16 169:17 | 6 | 831 1:6 |
| 185:8 | 175:9 | 175:9,18 | 69:12 19:6 | 9 |



