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(6:34 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening, and welcome to the June 24, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Constantine Alexander and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to the COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
proceedings in due course.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change depending on the number of speakers and other circumstances. It won't be longer than three minutes, as far as -- if $I$ have anything to say about it, but it could be less.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Present. SISIA DAGLIAN: Jason Marshall? JASON MARSHALL: [Jason Marshall], I'm here. SISIA DAGLIAN: Wendy Leiserson? [Pause]

SISIA DAGLIAN: Wendy is not here. Okay, Brendan
Sullivan?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: And Gus; we can hear you.
WENDY LEISERSON: Hello. Can you hear me now? This is Wendy Leiserson.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Hi, Wendy. Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, Thanks.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome abord, Wendy.
This is your first meeting.
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I'll bet the last, after you attend one of our meetings. Okay. Everybody's present and all members are audible.
(6:35 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The first case I'm going to call for tonight is Case Number 118324 -- 1353 Cambridge Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

ANDREW PLUMB: I'm here.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrew Plumb?

ANDREW PLUMB: Sorry, I'm here. I thought you spoke -- we're at 145 Willow Street. Is that the one we're talking about?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, we're talking about 1353 Cambridge Street.

ANDREW PLUMB: Okay, sorry, that's not me. I'm going to mute.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We would have -- we would be hearing Willow Street if you had followed our instructions and put the correct time for the meeting.
ANDREW PLUMB: Yes, may --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You left the old time, which is 7:00, so we're not going to hear your case until sometime after 7:00.

ANDREW PLUMB: My apologies.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes. Good evening, good evening.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. I am here and I believe owners Gastrointestinal Aaron and his wife, Caroline, they should be in here somewhere; I don't see them. I'm here representing them seeking zoning relief for nonconforming rear setback and seating our allowable GFA.

Sisia, will you be putting up the plans, or?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Existing Street views upper left is the front view, and their property is the end unit on that far right. To the upper right-hand photo you can see the headshot of -- the straight-on shot of their two-and-a-half-story unit.

The Lilypad, as you know, is a local music business that they own and operate, and they also live above the Lilypad with their two children, and they're in need of
additional living space.
In the lower left-hand corner, that is the photo where you see the cinderblock one-story bump out. That's their unit. All of this is entirely not visible from the street, and we'll be proposing to put a two-story addition on top.

And bouncing back front view, we're proposing a matching cable dormer, a gable dormer to match the dormers that march down the rest of the block over The Druid and the insurance company.

I guess this is a good time to say that this is a historic building, and we have support from the midCambridge Historical Commission.

Next slide?
A simple site plan. If you look towards the top of the site plan, you'll see a setback envelope. We have a required 20 -foot setback, and the existing building is nonconforming. There's really nothing we can do to this building without seeking zoning relief.

Next slide?
Existing plans, you can see the retail space on the far left, the first-floor plan. That's where they
operate the local music venue, and where they also provide music lessons to the local students.

On the second floor is the first floor of their unit -- not a large living space. And above, they've got two bedrooms and a small bathroom. They've got a growing family. They are growing out of the space available to them.

Next slide?
The elevation on the left, the existing elevation shows a silhouette of the proposed gable dormer in the front. The rear elevation is a silhouette of a proposed two-story addition on the rear in the one side; the one exposed exterior wall on the right. No real work here, other than changing out some windows.

Next slide?
This is a -- this page shows our GFA, FAR calculations, which in a mixed-use business stay is somewhat complicated, but the short of it is our FAR for both the residential and business use are conforming, but we exceed the allowable GFA by approximately 560 feet, and the total addition that we're adding is 780 square feet.

So there's not much we can add without triggering
relief for the GFA.

Next slide?
The new plans here: no work to the first floor, and on the second floor we have a family room addition. And on the third floor above, adding bedroom space, deck space in the rear on both levels to provide some useable out-ofdoor space.

Currently, they have no connection to the outdoors from their house. And with two kids, some is definitely needed.

Next slide?
The elevations on the left: At the top is the existing front elevation with the two adjacent properties on the left, and on the lower left you can see our proposed gable dormer to match the two that are existing. And on the right side at the top there's an existing photo of two gables that are there now.

And down below we've rendered in the proposed gable to match, maintaining the rhythm and character and the historic integrity of the architecture.

Next?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The new dormer that you're
adding?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I take it it complies with the dormer guidelines?

ADAM GLASSMAN: It does.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Next slide, please? Just a blowup of the dormer. This is part of our Historic Commission presentation, and this was judged as appropriate.

Next slide?
Elevations at the rear: On the left, the existing condition; on the right the two-story addition with a gable roof, and conforming dormers on either side, which are set back from the faces of the exterior walls and set down to the roof ridge, according to the dormer guidelines.

Next slide?
A side view -- misleading in that this is a view, the proposed left side you really can't see through any public way. You'd have to be behind the building. But if you were, this is the right-side elevation. Decks that impact no abutters, dormers that impact no abutters.

No one would be suffering loss of privacy or
increased shadows.

Next slide?
More elevations -- again, from the right side matches the right side of the page, the right-side elevation matches the other side. Can't really see it from anywhere.

Next slide?
And I would just add if you go back, Sisia, because we are utilizing the existing CMU bump out, we're not increasing the footprint of the house, we're not decreasing any useable space on the lot, although there is none, but we're not increasing any landscaping with this addition.

Next slide?
Additional rendered versions of the gabled dormer.
Next slide?
Next slide?
Rendered views of the existing and the proposed addition.

Next slide?
Another view.
Next slide?
Another view.

Next slide?
Aerial view, maintaining the scale and character of the neighboring, the scale and character of the building.

Next slide?
Another aerial view. I think everyone gets the picture. You can go to the next slide.

And just another site plan showing the proposed work and how we are nonconforming, due to the rear 20 -foot setback. And that concludes our presentation; open to any questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Glassman? Have you or your clients spoken with the abutters on either side?

ADAM GLASSMAN: We have. We have an extensive stack of support letters that $I$ hope you have. We got a final one in today from Tim Toomey. I'm not sure if you read it, but he's got unanimous support from his abutters and beyond.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not in our files; that's the reason I asked. There's only one or two letters in our files.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh. Well, we've been uploading them and recording them. We have support letters from the

East Cambridge Business Association, the Historic
Commission, Tim Toomey, other co-owners of the same block. I'm not sure why you don't have them.

And I can also say we have no opposition.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Sullivan pointed out
to me -- I reviewed the files on Wednesday, and there were a very few. But he says more have come in since then. So that's the answer, I think.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Late, but not too late.
Okay? I have no further questions. I'll ask other members of the Board if they do.

Brendan? Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Thanks, Mr. Chair. [Jason
Marshall]. Mr. Glassman, thanks for the presentation. I thought the visuals were really well done. It was very helpful.

I have just one question. It sounds like from your description that any owner of this parcel would suffer the hardship that you've described, not just the current
owners. Is that description correct?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct. Any family situation
would need extra space up here.
JASON MARSHALL: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: And I just want to point out that,
you know, back in the day when these buildings were built, I think it was more common for people -- for business owners to live above their storefronts, which is very uncommon now.

And this is a great old tradition that they're maintaining. It would be great to let them maintain it.

JASON MARSHALL: That's a great point. Thank you. I have nothing further, Mr. Chairman.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Wendy, do you have any questions you wish to ask?

WENDY LEISERSON: No questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. I will now close public testimony -- I will, I'm sorry, I will open the matter up to public testimony. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a few moments to see if anyone is calling in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. I'm advised that no one wishes to speak, so I will close public testimony. As mentioned, there are letters -- I saw only a few letters of support, but I gather there are many more that are now in our files.

So I'm ready for a motion, unless members of the Board wish to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hearing nothing, I will make a motion. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance that's being sought: That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that -- and it's a hardship that runs with the property, not just to the current owners, in that the building is an older building constructed at a time when it was common for business owners to have the business on the street level and to live above the store.

And so that is the physical configuration we have before us tonight.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this is already a nonconforming structure zoning wise, and therefore any modifications to it would require zoning relief.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

And in that regard, the Chair would note that there is unanimous neighborhood support, that it will foster and allow to continue a needed business in this area, and without any detriment that $I$ can see, and I don't think any Board members can see -- to the public good.

So based on all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance that's requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by GCD Architects, dated April 15, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of
the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Then Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes in
favor as well.
[All vote YES]
It's unanimous. Variance granted.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you. Good night.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good night.
(6:53 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will next call
Case Number 118270 -- 25 Brewster Street. Anyone here wishes to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone there?
LIBBY HALSTEAD: Yes. Hello. I'm Libby Halstead.
I'm one of the homeowners. Our architect, Jessica Snare, I know, is trying to get on the line. So just bear with us just a moment?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Take your time.
LIBBY HALSTEAD: Thank you. We've got two
homeowners here and looking for our presenter. Just a second.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let us know when you're ready.

LIBBY HALSTEAD: We appreciate your patience. Thank you.
[Pause]
JESSICA SNARE: Can you hear me now?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, we can.

JESSICA SNARE: Okay. I don't see my name up
there. I'm the architect, Jessica Snare. I did register.
Are you hearing the Jessica Snare voice?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, we can hear you.
JESSICA SNARE: Okay. All right. So you can't see me, but that's okay. I'm representing Libby Halstead and Lori Jones (phonetic), who live at 25 Brewster Street. And we are requesting a special permit to redesign the access to the basement stair and their side door landing and stairs.

We do have some photos and drawings that were submitted. I don't know if a good point to bring them up. Lovely.

Currently, there is a metal bulkhead door with a typical steep wooden stairs to the basement. To the right of that is a door landing unfortunately built practically on top of the bulkhead doors, rendering the bulkhead doors difficult to stay open and be used properly.

Both stairs, as you can see, have been in need of
repairs since the Clydes bought the home approximately eight years ago.

The property has existing nonconforming conditions, which are the building height and the side yard setback, which requires us to get a special permit in order to modify these stairs and landings in any meaningful way. These conditions set the stage for tight parameters for the design.

We are proposing a concrete and stone veneer, below-grade stair with mahogany and IPE treads in a configuration that also modifies the existing landing and stairs to the side door.

Are these -- hm. There are some other photos that were -- yeah. So if you see the circle, you can see the post on the existing stairs sitting on the concrete wall at the lower right-hand corner.

You can see the railings and the landing railings are nonconforming. The stairs have a variety of riser heights, ranging from three inches to a little over six to seven something.

The -- we informally proposed several alternatives
to the Zoning Board, and landed on the plan that involved
the least amount of waivers of dimensional requirements. Maybe we could look at the plan?

On the upper right, you can see that there is a 10-foot setback requirement to the accessory structure, which is the garage. By encroaching upon that, it was a little bit easier to resolve this problem, but we chose not to add that to the waivers that we were requesting.

Therefore, that is how we ended up with this plan. It does require us, though, to reduce the left side setback in the house.

What you're -- the landing that you see, where it says, "Change door swings," that is the new modified landing that used to be deeper and shorter. And the stairs have moved towards the garage.

The egress out of the basement actually occurs at the existing location underneath the side door, and -- well not directly -- and uses the underside of the landing for some of the stair. But then, of course, by the time we get out to the open, we are further into our side yard setback.

And on the left is a schematic section of the stair egress out of the basement, and the exist but modified landing and roof above. The roof over the old landing will
stay as is, it is just the landing itself that will get narrower but wider.

The proposed wood framed landing and stair to the side door actually improves the setback a little due to the new landing dimension and a more efficient stair design. The below-grade stair, of course, though, would require us to use additional setback dimensions, as mentioned before.

In addition, we were required to recalculate the building height, using the new lower landing at the open stair. The existing building height average is currently 39.4 feet, which is 4.4 over the allowable.

The proposed is 39.6 feet, which is a 0.2 -foot difference. We believe these improvements to be both safer as well as a visual improvement to the rest of this very lovely home and garden. We appreciate your thoughts and decisions. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Chair -- excuse me -as $I$ will mention later again, we have a number of letters in our files, abutters and neighbors, what have you. And they're generally in support.

However, there are two letters opposed, or at least seriously questioning what you propose to do. And the
reason for that is they say -- and one of these persons is the direct abutter most directly affected by what you're proposing, concerned that a second dwelling unit will be created and having an adverse impact on them and on the neighborhood.

I believe you've represented to the neighbor -correct me if I'm wrong -- that you do not have any intention of creating a second dwelling unit, if we grant you the relief tonight. Am I correct?

JESSICA SNARE: I have seen that letter. My clients and I amongst ourselves have never discussed this as a second dwelling unit because under our impression, it is not permitted to have a second dwelling unit. This was intended to be a combination great room, media room, TV room and an extra guest room for their use.

So I can't speak to what they might have said, because I certainly would never ask the client or suggest to a client that they would make a verbal suggestion about how things might get used in the future, if that makes sense.

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea Hickey speaking. Could we ask the applicants to speak to that question directly, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, thank you, Andrea. JESSICA SNARE: Libby?

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Yeah, I'm trying. Can you hear
me? Hello?
JESSICA SNARE: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.
LIBBY HALSTEAD: Yeah. So the intent of this space is extra living space for us and for guests to visit us. There's a wet bar with a fridge and a sink. It doesn't really constituent a second dwelling, and we don't intend to have it be a second dwelling.

So we'd like to have friends and family visit us, and we think it would be a lot easier and safer for them to get into the basement through this than our -- the current bulkhead.

So I hope that can -- but I know the letter said, "What about the people who live in the house after us, after we sell it?" So it's difficult to imagine what people would do in the future. So I hope that's helpful, but we want more living space.

We have two home offices. We both work from home full-time. We have one guest room for people who want to
visit us, and we've been hoping for years to increase our living space in the basement. So that's the best $I$ can say. ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. This is Andrea Hickey again. So you have no intention to sort of use this space for Airbnb or folks that are not related to you to be using it in that respect?

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Well, can friends and family
visit? I mean, they're not related.
ANDREA HICKEY: Let me --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The difference -- what Andrea's getting at --

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Okay. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you own a single-family
home -- own a residence, you will have guests, and the guests will stay at a guest room or part of the dwelling unit, the apartment unit. The difference between that -and that's not a problem, to my mind --

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the difference between
that and a separate rental unit; someone else is going to get to have a separate apartment --

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Right.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- be it for Airbnb purposes or just simply additional income and additional use of the property, that's a concern that your neighbors have. Frankly, it's a concern that I have.

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I'm going to propose to my fellow Board members -- up to them to see if they agree -- but I'm in support of the relief you're seeking.

But I'm going to condition it on the grounds that no separate dwelling unit will be created as a result, and that includes the unit that would be used for Airbnb purposes.

I want to keep this as a single-family home as it is now, with more living space.

LIBBY HALSTEAD: So I'm fine with that. It's just -- we might live there a long time. And I don't want to be dramatic, but $I$ can't say what's going to happen in the future. Two people in my family just died. I mean, I could become a widow someday. I may need additional income. I may live there for 30 years.

I mean, I can't promise that for the rest of my life, I'll never need to -- you know, get a bit of
additional income, if it becomes necessary.
So it's not our intent, it's not our plan. But I mean, are you asking me to promise that for the rest of my ownership that I'll never have that need? I mean, it seems a bit extreme, I think.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I must say, your response troubles me.

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think what you're looking for is you want to preserve the right -- six months, a year from now, whatever, to create a second dwelling unit.

And I -- given your neighborhood opposition -some neighborhood opposition, I'm not in support of the relief you're seeking, unless we take steps to provide that that will not happen.

LIBBY HALSTEAD: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And that would be by way of a condition through the relief that we grant tonight, since we're --

ANDREA HICKEY: And this is Andrea again. If I could also add, if down the line it turns out that that's something you wanted to request, I suppose you could always
come back with that.
LIBBY HALSTEAD: Okay, that's fine.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Exactly. Not forever.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I didn't mean to
overstep here.
LIBBY HALSTEAD: We'd be fine with that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Any other members of the Board have any questions or comments you want to make at this point? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I have a bit of a concern.

COLLECTIVE: Brendan, $I$ can't hear you. Your mic is off.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Am I on? Yes, no?
COLLECTIVE: Get closer. The light is on. [Technical difficulties conversation.]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. I think Andrea and Gus have covered what my concerns were. In the dimensional form it is stated as an existing singlefamily. It proposes a single-family, so will take that at face value, that it is for the purpose of the residents of the dwelling of the house. And at the comings and goings of
the family and friends and so on and so forth are fine.
Down the road, if it were to be converted into another unit, they would have to come back to the Board for that, and the neighbors would be notified of that.

As far as the Airbnb and what have you, that there is a legal vehicle potentially available, but that also requires some sort of city overview and also some city regulations.

So I'm comfortable with approving what is before us tonight, and the presentation of the owner.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jason, do you have any questions?

ANDREA HICKEY: Gus, now we can't hear you.
JASON MARSHALL: It was very faint, Mr. Chairman.
THE REPORTER: Yeah, you both need mics.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're sharing a microphone
here. We had some technical problems. I'm sorry. Jason, you were about to speak?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. Thanks, Mr. Chair. I think the back and forth probably assuaged my concerns as well.

I was listening very intently to the discussion
among my fellow Board members and trying to discern why -really trying to understand what the nexus is between the application before us and the question around an accessory unit, which I think I understand to be relevant in terms of other sections of the by-laws.

And I think as Brendan noted there are other sort of legal standards that go to those issues.

So I guess I was seeing them as separate, and looking at this application as seeking in my view pretty minimal increases to the nonconformity.

But in light of the applicant's agreement to the condition, $I$ don't have a problem with it. I don't have anything further to say beyond that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Thank you, Jason. Wendy? Any questions or comments at this point?

WENDY LEISERSON: I agree with the discussion of the conditions that are proposed for issuing the relief sought.

I do have one question, just to satisfy my curiosity and other concerns. In the proposed kitchenette in the basement, I can't quite tell from the diagrams, is there a cooking unit also installed there?

JESSICA SNARE: No.
WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Thank you.
TREVOR HARDY: Mr. Chair, it's Trevor Hardy from
29 Brewster. Can I ask a question?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
TREVOR HARDY: I would just like to understand -and as we said in our letter, we are supportive of the changes that Libby and Lori want to make to the stair and the access. We are very concerned about the units becoming -- and they assured us that the unit would never be rented out.

We'd like to understand how -- does the City enforce that if Libby and Lori sell the house and move out, that they've assured us that they would never rent it out, but how does the City enforce that going forward -- you know, either if Libby and Lori change their minds or a subsequent owner buys the house and we're then living effectively sharing a driveway with a multifamily home, as opposed to a single-family.

So I'd like to just understand how this will actually be enforced on a longer-term basis.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me try to answer your
question.
TREVOR HARDY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Should we grant relief tonight; the work will go ahead. I think you're going to -I think the sense of the Board is we'll grant the relief on the condition that a second dwelling unit will not be created in the area or in the basement area where that's going to be affected by the relief we're granting. So there is -- it will be forbidden.

Now, if whoever owns the property, be it the current owners or a successor owner, if they go ahead and create the second dwelling unit, neighbors like yourself will have the right to go to the City and the Building Department and shut it down, on the grounds that it doesn't conform to the conditions that we granted, that we imposed when we granted the relief there that's being sought.

And then the case will be heard. At that point, if the then owner of the property still wants to go ahead, he or she or it will have to come before the Board and seek a change to the condition that we will be imposing tonight. So there is ample recourse by -- certainly by abutters, and perhaps others in the city, should the
condition that we were planning to impose not be honored. We'll get it -- it runs with the land. Does that answer your question?

TREVOR HARDY: Thank you. Yes. I have one other clarifying question. What does it take to constituent that as a separate dwelling unit? Is it putting in a full kitchen or, like, how does that --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You'll have to speak --
TREVOR HARDY: -- how does one cross over?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Speak to the Building Department. Certainly, a kitchen with a stove would -- I believe the building -- I believe, you'll have to check with Ranjit -- but I believe that would constituent creation of a separate dwelling unit.

So to the refrigerator down there, I believe that that would not be a separate unit.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, yeah. They'd need parking and two means of egress -- independent means of egress. Yes, and a full kitchen.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're not in a position to -- I don't want to get into the position of advising you or anyone else about what the rights are should you feel that
the relief we grant tonight and the conditions that are imposed are not honored.

Take it up with the Building Department. They are the ones who enforce our decisions and enforce the building laws of the city. There's where your answer will be.

TREVOR HARDY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone wish to speak on this matter, now that we seem to have jumped off the rails. Let me open up to public testimony, and give everybody else an opportunity to speak.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll wait a few moments to see if anyone else wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. So we're going to close public testimony and move on to the merits of the case. I think from the discussion we've had so far; I think the sentiment -- maybe I'm wrong -- I think the sent
me is clear that $I$ think we're in favor of granting relief, but we want a condition that prevents without further legal action creation of a second dwelling unit.

So I'm going to make a motion for the special permit, and then we'll take a vote and have discussion on the motion.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey. Could we add to the motion that that space not be used as income-producing space? Because $I$ think the second dwelling wouldn't prohibit Airbnb type use.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I was -- that's a good suggestion. I was proposing when I made my motion that specifically said that this area could not be used for Airbnb purposes, as well as a separate dwelling unit. \I was proposing to put both in the motion. If you think that doesn't work, then I'll do whatever you think, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I think that that works, but we don't want to limit it to Airbnb, because there are other

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, you're right, you're right.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. That allow that use. So

Airbnb or other similar platforms. That works for me. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Okay. So I guess now we're ready for the motion. So I'll make a note of what Andrea just said.

TREVOR HARDY: And is it possible to limit it to any form of rental?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. I think the idea is that we want this to remain a single-family dwelling. TREVOR HARDY: Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The building. And if there's another income-producing aspect of this building, we're going to be a two-family, or alternatively have uses for Airbnb or other forms of short-term rental.

TREVOR HARDY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. Here's the motion. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance as such, that you cannot -- the relief being sought cannot be effected without special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the granting of the special permit
will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And this assumes with regard to this finding that a condition I'm going to suggest at the end of the motion is part of the motion, part of the relief. That will prevent the change in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. And again, that assumes the adoption of the condition that we've been discussing so far.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And again, that assumes the creation of the condition I'm yet to get to.

And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit that's being sought subject
to the following conditions:
One, that the work proceed in accordance with
plans prepared by Springhill Design, Somerville,
Massachusetts. The date of those plans are April 1, 2021 and the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

And second, that no second dwelling unit -- no additional dwelling unit will be created as a result of the relief being sought and the impact on the structure and by additional dwelling unit, that includes any short-term rentals such as Airbnb or similar arrangements. The goal here is to remain as a single-family dwelling.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I vote yes in favor of granting.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the special permit, noting my understanding that the applicant has agreed to the condition?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? You're cut off.

JASON MARSHALL: I want to note my understanding
that the applicant has agreed to the condition. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson, yes in favor of granting with the conditions explained. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the chair votes as well in favor of granting the relief sought, subject to the conditions that have been imposed. Relief granted. [All vote YES] CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Case over. WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you.
(7:19 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We will now pause in consideration of our regular agenda to hear a continued case. This is a case that started at an earlier time, and for one reason or another, I guess at the request of the petitioner, has been continued until tonight.

And then after we finish that case, we'll return to our regular agenda as published.

So the Chair will now call Case Number 117337 -145 Little Street \#1. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes, good evening. This is Andrew Plumb, and my associate, Tiffany Cogell. I am the architect and developer for the project before you.

I'd like to request that the first thing we put up on the presentation is the community presentation. Is that Sisia, who's pulling that up?
[Pause]

Thank you. I'd like to just start this evening by first thanking the Chairman and the members of the Board for hearing this. And I apologize for the mishap with the time on modifying our sign. Thank you for hearing us now.

I wanted to let you know who we are and what we're trying to do. We are architects and builders that run Design Build's practice in Cambridge, who want to leverage what Design can do for communities by building housing.

And so the project before you is our first foray into development. And the goal behind it is to build housing that encourages long-term residents in their communities. It encourages families to come to the community.

And we also -- the mission of our company is to build what we call good clean and fair housing, which is to say using nontoxic materials and fair labor and good design that lasts the generations.

So we believe that development really should start with the community within which you are working, and put together this presentation that's before you here that we conducted several meetings voluntarily with the community, which Tiffany will speak to in a moment about that process.

If we could go down a couple of slides, maybe start at 17 -- thank you. As you know, this is Willow Street. The building that's one down on the right from the three-decker is the property in question.

And if you could go to the next slide? Thank you.
You know, when we looked at this project, the options before us were we could look at developing this as a single-family, which would -- you know, be a very luxurious unit in Cambridge for the size.

We weren't interested in that. It could also be developed as a two-family with two sort of above-market sized units, also quite expensive.

What you have before you tonight is a three-family proposal, with three market-rate units. But I want to say that where we started in our own internal process was a four-family, the idea being that we could build three market-rate units and one affordable unit, and affordable through the City of Cambridge Inclusionary Housing Program and Affordable Housing Trust.

Through, you know, through our community process and some of the -- the extent of the relief that would need to be required for the four-family, we decided to back down
to the three-family approach that we'll talk about tonight.
But I do want to say that $I$ know that there's a larger conversation going on in the city about the Middle Housing, and whether or not there's different opinions about that and about whether or not it's equitable or not.

We had no knowledge of that conversation actually while we were developing this ourselves, and only -- the reason we asked for the continuance was because that same night as when we were first scheduled to appear was the City Councillor Ordinance Commission discussing the Middle Housing Petition. And I felt like I needed to understand the larger discussion there.

In any case, this project requires, or is asking for relief -- is asking for variance relief and special permit relief -- to build a three-family dwelling that's within front, side and rear setbacks.

It increases FAR and reduces the minimum lot area per dwelling, and it's also requesting relief for the parking requirements.

You know, we will build something here. And the opportunity here is to build more housing in Cambridge.

And before $I$ go further in terms of the design, $I$
would like to just -- could we just scroll down a little bit on this presentation? If you could just go -- start with $19 ?$

So, you know, and we talked about this with the community. And maybe Tiffany, would you like to just talk briefly about the community process that we went through? Tiffany is our Director of Diversity and Community Engagement.

TIFFANY COGELL: Good evening, everyone. So community engagement is very important to us, because it was evident that this community had lots of ideas and input as to what they wanted in their neighborhood.

And coming in as a developer -- albeit we are a small developer -- there are lots of development projects going on in the area, not all of which the residents are amenable to.

So we thought it was very important to not just tell the community the residents what we had planned, but also to ask them their opinion and what they felt the neighborhood needed.

And we used that feedback not just as, you know, something to put on a piece of paper, but rather to allow it
to guide us for design.
And so we did multiple runs of fliering as well as some door-to-door engagement, and as Andrew had mentioned, this slide -- this PowerPoint presentation here that you see on your screen, we also offered community -- the residents to be able to be connect with us on the Zoom, so that we could explain the project to them.

What we found was that most people were very happy to hear that we wanted to offer a fourth unit that would be an affordable unit.

We also discussed the option of having a threefamily that due to our values and how we look at the right for all to be able to be have housing that is good, clean and fair, decided as a tenet upon our values to offer these homeownership opportunities at a lower profit margin to ourselves, but as a more affordable purchase price for people who would be purchasing these homes.

We also asked what people felt about parking as opposed to green space. And although everybody was very happy with the fact that we would be providing green space, there was a very contentious issue about parking. So that wasn't something that we wanted to --

ANDREW PLUMB: If we could just -- sorry to interrupt, Tiffany -- if we could go to one of the last slides, we have a slide that showed -- yeah, 24.

TIFFANY COGELL: Mm-hm.
ANDREW PLUMB: I'll just for one second jump in. TIFFANY COGELL: Mm-hm.

ANDREW PLUMB: We originally were proposing no onsite parking and developing the what is now a tandem driveway as a communal garden space. That's what's represented as green here. But the feedback we received was that parking was a concern.

We did conduct a parking study, the conclusion of which was that there is parking available in the neighborhood; however, it was very top of mind for the neighbors.

And the four-unit approach is one that would not allow for on-site parking. Hence the three-unit approach, which, as you can see is on Slide 21. And that one allows us to maintain one official hotspot but tandem parking for three.

If we could go to Slide \#21? This one, yeah.
TIFFANY COGELL: Mm-hm.

ANDREW PLUMB: Tiffany, did you have anything
further there?
TIFFANY COGELL: I guess just to end by saying that, you know, although we weren't required to engage with the community, we did so out of good faith and because of our values. And so we want to be able to provide a dense building offer.

And so we're looking for the relief for this three-family, so that we can provide more families with the opportunity for affordable homeownership.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Could I interject at this point? This is the Chair.

ANDREW PLUMB: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: First of all, I want to congratulate you. I think this is a good presentation. You are going to create better housing, at least a three-family that you're proposing, and it's in service to the community, and good for you.

My question, though, is three units in this building? It's a small building in a tight lot. If you had a two-family house, I think I don't have the slide in front of me, but the units would only be 1500. Where's the one
that shows if you want to do a two-family how big the units would be?

ANDREW PLUMB: It's a little further down in the deck there. There, 18 -- slide 18.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. You only have -- in a two-family, the units would be 1500 square feet each. That's not a big unit. That's not one, you know, a luxury unit as you described a single-family.

And I'm trying -- that doesn't mean I'm going to vote against it, but I'm troubled by this. I would be much more comfortable if this was a two-family. And I suspect what's driving this is profit.

We want to -- you start with a very philanthropic point of view, and I think you still have it, but what I'm seeing creeping into this is profit; profit, profit, profit.

ANDREW PLUMB: It --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would like to see -- I'm not going to say I'm going to vote against what you're proposing -- not yet, anyway, but I would like to have seen a two-family, not a three-family.

ANDREW PLUMB: May I respond to that?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: By all means.

ANDREW PLUMB: Thank you. In fact, these three options -- these four options before you, there is not an advantage financially to building a three-family over a twofamily.

In fact, $I$ would argue the two-family is more profitable than the three. We're working with realtors to understand the market rate in this area, and conservatively, new construction is selling at about $\$ 900$ a square foot.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you. You know, that puts each two-family unit at almost $\$ 1.4$ million dollars, which $I$ think is quite out of reach for most people. And our desire is to build -- it's true, it is a small lot.

However, if you look one property to the south, it's a three-family on an almost identical sized lot. This is the level of density that exists in Cambridge and has been built to just -- it's just not allowed to be built currently with the current zoning without relief.

And if $I$ could just -- if we could go to the floor plans for a moment, $I$ would like to just speak about that.

It's sort of -- except for the four-family approach, the single two or three are all around 3000 square feet. And so they're all -- and they're all going for $\$ 900$
a foot. However, in Cambridge, it's such a desirable market the larger space is worth even more.

So, you know, I would argue that the two-family will sell for more than the three-family.

If we could -- okay, thank you. Could we go to slide \#6?

So I just wanted to show you what we're proposing. And, you know, the reason that we're asking for as much relief as we are with the FAR is because we felt it was extremely important to provide a unit with sufficient size that it would attract and support families for the longterm.

And so what you see is a two-bedroom plus study unit with a sort of kitchen, dining/living space and a recessed outdoor porch that's sort of embedded into the middle of the plan, which provides private outdoor space and light and ventilation in the core of the layout.

And we feel that this all taken together is an extremely efficient and compact design, but one that, you know, gives -- works very well. I live in a 100 plus-yearold house that is not special, except that the layout is just rock solid, and it's just -- it just always works. And
that's what we're trying to do here.
And we find that to make the footprint much smaller than what we see before us here is to sacrifice, you know, these sort of basic components of a unit that is suitable for a family over the long-term.

The design could have been something that slices and dices it into smaller units, which would support, you know, sort of a more transient population that -- you know, with a studio or one-bedrooms and things like that. That's not what we're trying to do.

If we could just zoom out a little bit onto this --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrew, this is Brendan
Sullivan. What is the size of the building, outside dimensions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It should be in our files, actually.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
ANDREW PLUMB: Oh, the outside dimensions? Or -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Of the building.

ANDREW PLUMB: 24 feet wide by basically 60 feet long.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. I guess what I share somewhat the Chair's concern about a three-family, but then I have a three-family. And, you know, it's 25 x 40 . And I think that you just alluded to another three-family on the same block.

I'm just wondering if -- and you said on a similar sized lot, and $I$ was just wondering is that sort of a typical three-family in the neighborhood? And that usually they're 24 something by 38 or 40 feet, or something like that so that you come up to around that thousand feet -ANDREW PLUMB: Yes, but --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- square footage. And -ANDREW PLUMB: I could tell you what the difference is.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and that it's an adequate apartment; two bedrooms and a bathroom, living room down here and this has an open floor plan. I think it works well. It's kind of a small lot, but then again, my threefamily's on a small lot, was built in -- you know, 1920, which was the normal back then.

In the neighborhood, on Willow Street, what is a typical apartment that is -- going for square footage wise? ANDREW PLUMB: I'm afraid I don't have that information. I don't know what the typical --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But a 1500 square foot, which is what a two-family would have to be built for, would be considered I think a very large unit in the area. Is -ANDREW PLUMB: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- am I correct in that, or --

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes. But what we're proposing is three 1100-square foot units.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Three 1000-square foot or 1100? ANDREW PLUMB: Yeah. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. ANDREW PLUMB: And the reason -- what's driving the length over the maybe more typical types that you referenced is the embedded porch. If we were to remove that, which $I$ think is to the detriment of, you know, the people that would be living here, you could certainly compact the plan.

But as the site is tight, and there's not a lot of
opportunity for outdated space, we felt that we would -- you know, make up for that by putting it into the plan, the floor plan, of the units themselves.

So that's what's driving the length to be 59 feet, as opposed to the 40 or whatever, you know, that you referenced.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. All right. That's all I have at the moment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan.
Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I would just like to ask about in your presentation you talked about sort of building three units that are good, clean, fair and affordable. But these are market-rate units, unless I missed something. So how did -- how are these affordable?

ANDREW PLUMB: Right. So let's maybe clarify the terminology. In the four-unit scheme that I referenced in the beginning, we had an officially affordable in the language of the city and that it would be a part of the Cambridge Housing Trust.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And I like that idea, although I don't like four units in this space.

ANDREW PLUMB: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: But three market-rate units seems to me along the lines of the Chair's comments to be sort of a financially motivated decision, and not something that is necessarily good for the community, where they're three market-rate units that can go for --

ANDREW PLUMB: -- Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- as high as folks are willing to bid.

ANDREW PLUMB: Well, I think the point I was just trying to make was that because with three units each one will necessarily be smaller, it will be at a price point that is less expensive than what the two-family units are. There is no profit motive here for the three-family over the two-family.

As I said before, the two-family will sell for the same and perhaps more than the three-family will.

And that's because the space in Cambridge is at such a premium. So I hope that answers your question.

ANDREA HICKEY: It does. I'm still concerned with the density of three units in this space. And also, I'm concerned with the parking.

We can get to the parking later in your
presentation. But $I$ am not a fan of tandem parking. And I know it's not something that this city promotes. But I'll let you speak to parking when you're ready to do that in your presentation. Thank you.

ANDREW PLUMB: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason, do you have any questions or comments you want to make at this point?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to follow up really quickly on Andrea's last point on tandem parking and just to get some clarity on that, because I didn't -- I don't recall seeing anything in the application that discussed that, but maybe I missed it.

Would the proposal have tandem parking for three vehicles?

ANDREW PLUMB: Sure, yeah. If we could go to slide 5, please?

So there would be one -- you know, by the definitions of the city one spot, and then space for two additional cars to be parked in tandem beyond that. That's the proposal. And maybe now's a good time to park to our parking study, if the Board is interested.

JASON MARSHALL: Well, that's the only question $I$ had. I defer to the Chair on whether to do that or whether to go to other members or questions. But that's the only question $I$ had for now. Thank you.

ANDREA HICKEY: Hi. It's Andrea Hickey speaking again. So is relief for parking part of your petition?

ANDREW PLUMB: It is, it's just --
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
ANDREW PLUMB: -- requesting special permit relief for one spot instead of three.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And do you have a plan that shows the proposed parking spaces' dimensioned?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes. If you zoom in on the side yard here towards the street, it's 8 foot 6 -- sorry, 8-foot-6 x 18 is that rectangle you see there, which we identify as the one off-street parking space.

And there is additional space beyond that that would be in tandem, but it's not recognized as official parking spaces; hence the request for relief.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. That's all I have at the moment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thanks, Andrea. Jason, I
forget, did you get your question answered, or are you wanting anything further?

JASON MARSHALL: Thank you -- I'm satisfied for now, Mr. Chairman, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I have some questions, but I'm going to hold until the end of the presentation. Thank you. ANDREW PLUMB: Okay. If I might continue, I have a few more things to say about this site plan, and then I could go through the parking study that we conducted?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. Let's proceed on that basis. Go ahead, sir.

ANDREW PLUMB: Great, thank you. If we could just slide up on this same sheet -- or sorry, zoom out so you can see the whole thing? Yeah.

I wanted to point out that the first-floor unit first-floor unit is proposed to be accessible ready, meaning it can be converted to be accessible, and it's designed as such, including a ramp off of the sidewalk that you see at the bottom of the drawing.

The existing building is directly on the front property line, and we are proposing to push the building
five feet back, which is another reason why we're feeding so much into the rear setback.

At the rear of the property, we're prospecting enclosed trash and recycle storage, and then long-term bicycle parking. We've got spaces for four spaces there.

And the intention with the design of the building is that it's a Net Zero building where, you know, all appliances will be electric, and there is proposed space for solar array.

If we could -- I think it's now towards the end of this PDF -- get to the parking? Okay. Yep, yep. If we could go up one slide to start?

So the area in the yellow is the site, that's 145 Willow. The sort of highlighted zone is the neighborhood that we looked at.

And if we go down one slide?
So what we did is we counted all of the spaces in that area. Something is a little strange with this image. The key on the upper left seems to be not aligned. But it's standard parking spaces -- areas where there's no parking, areas where there is handicapped parking, and these are the totals that we found on all of those streets.

And then if we go to the next slide, please?
Okay. So what we did is we went on three separate days at three times each day and identified when we had the peak demand. And the peak demand occurred at 8:00 a.m. when we had 58 spaces vacant and were available for residential use.

Now, it is true that Willow had some of the lower points on the Saturday morning and Saturday evening. There was -- we counted one space that was free.

So partly this -- even though we feel that the neighborhood at large definitely supports having reduced parking on the site, you know, given that what we heard from tenants and the fact that Willow itself is maybe more so than some of the other streets, we felt like offering one official spot plus tandem, if desired by tenants, would be a good kind of balance.

And I forgot to mention this before, but as you may or may not know, this location is -- you know, an extremely walkable, bikeable, transit-friendly zone.

And so our hope is that -- and I think that the trends are that in general people will be less dependent upon having their own vehicle in the future. You know,
we're a 10-minute walk from the future Green Line Union Square $T$ stop.

Okay. And if we could just scroll down a little bit further and see if there's more to talk about here. No, okay.

So I think this really -- I could go up to the building elevations if it's interesting to the Board -- just two quick slides here. We could do -- yeah. So the drawing on the right-hand side is the south elevation.

This is the elevation that faces on the drive, and there are sort of two driveways adjacent to each other between this property and the property to the south.

And so we were using that southern exposure to create the recessed porches. It would be a nice place to be able to be let light into the units. The entry itself is on the corner here, as you see.

And otherwise it's -- you know, a pretty straightforward design for a three-family that we think is quite contextual and will fit in quite well to the neighborhood and in a way more so than the existing singlefamily, which feels very out of place in its context in my opinion.

And I think that's the extent of our presentation, so I'm happy to take more questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions at this point, do you? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well -- Brendan Sullivan -- the only question I guess $I$ would have is would it work with two market-rate and one affordable unit?

ANDREW PLUMB: No, unfortunately not. We did look at that, but it's just not possible.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, do you have anything you want to say?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Could you guide me through where the first-floor windows are with respect to the one sort of dimension parking space that is not tandem? How close would that car be parking to any window?

ANDREW PLUMB: Right. So it would be -- I don't know, is my pointer visible?

ANDREA HICKEY: No.
ANDREW PLUMB: Well, if we look at the right-hand elevation -- that's the south elevation -- there's a, you see the door, which is the door to the building on the left.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
ANDREW PLUMB: And then that -- so the car would be partially in front of that, and then this first window that you're seeing to the right of that entry door. That's sort of the zone of where that car would be.

ANDREA HICKEY: And how close would that car be to the building, the face of the building?

ANDREW PLUMB: Um--
ANDREA HICKEY: So your space was 18 feet long and was it eight-and-a-half?

ANDREW PLUMB: It was eight-and-a-half, and then it's 11 feet from the property line to the face of the building. So we've got about two and a half feet in addition to the eight-foot-six.

That's -- you know, some of it's on the one side, and some of it's on the other. The cars are not exactly eight-foot-six-wide, but that's what the space is.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. So just to clarify, how close would the car be parking to that side, of that face of the building? Two feet, did you say?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yeah. It's probably in the realm of a couple feet, maybe a little more.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. We get concerned sometimes about exhaust from cars and noise from cars with windows that open. So that's why I raise that. I don't have any further questions at this time, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, I'll just add on to what Andrea's pointed out. It's actually in our ordinance there's a minimum distance between the windows of the building -- the residential building -- although I think it may be only one or two families, not a three-family, as proposed.

But I suspect what you're proposing is too close per our zoning ordinance, and you may have a problem, should we grant relief tonight when you get your building permit and the Building Department takes a closer look at what you're proposing to do.

ANDREW PLUMB: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Keep that in mind.
ANDREW PLUMB: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: Could I ask Mr. Plumb is there parking there now? Has there historically been a car sort of parked in that area?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes. I think we have in a
different PDF existing photos of the property. I could show you what's there now is a chain-linked fence and gate and sort of like two concrete other -- yeah, that's it.

You can kind of see it on the right-hand side there. There's a gate and, you know, the sort of concrete tire tracks.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. That's helpful. It gives me some actual context. Thank you.

ANDREW PLUMB: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrew. Jason, anything further you want to say now?

JASON MARSHALL: You know, I appreciate the exchange on the parking. I think an additional visual or two would have been helpful to get a better sense of that.

And Mr. Chair, your comment about whether or not the proposal as we understand it would violate the requirement around the space between the parked car and the unit.

I mean, I guess I -- you know, depending on what we do here, I would be concerned that we'd be approving a proposal, and effectively approving an additional relief with respect to that requirement. So I think we'd have to
be really careful there.
But I don't want to get too far ahead. I'd like to listen to the public comment. So that's all I'll say for now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you. Yes, Wendy

Leiserson. I have some questions. Just maybe you can help me to recap a little bit of my understanding of the whole scope of this project.

So first, I just want to say I appreciate the values with which your company is approach development in Cambridge. So I am glad to see that that is the approach you're taking to helping our city expand its housing options.

What we have here, though, is a single-family that you're proposing to convert to a three-family. And obviously we'll agree that we need more housing in our city. And so the question is the nature of that housing.

And for families versus young professionals, I do agree with the concerns that some of my other Board members have raised that 1100 square feet with a two-bedroom, two plus bedroom only accommodates a certain size of family,
even though affordability -- obviously it's a tradeoff for getting a bigger unit.

So that's just one observation, if you will, as I work through my consideration of this matter. and then you said that the first-floor unit is accessibility ready.

But where the parking spot is located is adjacent to the door, and I'm wondering where is the means of entry and egress should there be someone, could you show me that slide?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yeah, if you could go to slide 5. Or actually, better would be slide 6 and zoom in on the floor plan there. So you can see that there's the door and a landing, and then the accessible path wraps around down the ramp on the front side.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
ANDREW PLUMB: And there are steps to the sidewalk or to the drive from that sort of main landing. So it doesn't require anyone in a wheelchair to pass through the driveway area.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you. And the sacrifice of going from a single family to three units is
enlarging the building footprint, reducing the setbacks, losing the opportunity for open space and decreasing parking, is that pretty much my understanding of what's going on there?

ANDREW PLUMB: I think that if we go to -actually, it might be useful to look at the zoning analysis sheet, which overlays the existing footprint with the proposed. Yeah, slide 2. Thank you.

So if we could zoom in on the -- so the sort of solid gray patch is the current footprint, and the bold line represents the footprint of the proposed building. So we have that kind of cross hatch is where there's additional building on the property.

So it's maintaining the property line location on the north side as the existing. It's shifting it back off the street the five feet in order to allow for that accessible access.

And then it's -- you know, building into the rear setback more than the existing building is currently.

And so what all that results in is yeah, it's more than the current, which is also over and above what is zoned for. It reduces the amount of square footage of lot area
per unit.
But $I$ would say in line with what's present in the neighborhood anyway. I think many units are in a similar situation. And it is removing some potential green space from the rear yard, but we're trying to -- you know, make up for that by including this private sort of outdoor space, as we saw in the floor plans.

WENDY LEISERSON: So yes. I understand you're going to be offering the open-air options of the embedded porches, which is nice by design. I don't necessarily think it's equal to green space, however, which was the tradeoff in expanding the footprint of the building, correct?

ANDREW PLUMB: I suppose you could put it that way. I mean, our point of view was that yes it won't have a lawn in it, but it is something that is a part of the daily life of the people who live there to have the air and light and the ability to have their living space spill out into an open-air thing, which I would argue gets used vastly more than, you know, a kind of garden in the back for your sort of every now and then barbecue and so on and so forth.

So -- but I think that's -- I imagine that depends on the family, I think.

ANDREW PLUMB: Yeah, I guess that's probably true, yean.

WENDY LEISERSON: And also the ages of the children.

ANDREW PLUMB: Right, right.
WENDY LEISERSON: Really thinking families, as opposed to young professionals, yeah.

And then I had another question, which is the basement, it's all mechanical, correct?

ANDREW PLUMB: Correct.

WENDY LEISERSON: So is there no -- and, you know, I'm sure this affects all the calculations. But I'm just really thinking from a family point of view versus a young professional or transient population.

The lack of storage, or the lack of other potential space down there, you know, I know that there have been some developments -- for example I could name an address -- but it was a unit that was created into four condo units, and they have a sort of extra room that's a livable room in the basement for an office or -- as well as storage.

And I just wonder if you've thought about anything
like that for quality of life for -- to encourage families to stay in the city?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yeah. We actually -- we started when dedicated storage areas -- not like living space, but storage areas. I lived for years in a three-family that had that.

And the thing that we got hung up on was our reading of the code was that in order to provide that, you had to provide an accessible means of access to the basement, and we have no way of doing that in the absence of an elevator, which I don't -- I just, I don't think this project can support.

So we're not providing -- you know, that storage. I mean, I suppose that could be some relief that we request. I didn't think about that. I think it would be great. Frankly, I would love to build dedicated storage for each unit down there.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you. I just wanted to make sure I had an understanding of the scope of the project.

ANDREW PLUMB: Yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: That answers my questions for
now.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Wendy. I'm
going to now close -- I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony, see if anyone wishes to speak. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a few minutes to see if anyone -- for people who wish to speak.

Go ahead, Sisia.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Philip Miller? (phonetic)
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Go ahead.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, I'm sorry, I --
THE REPORTER: Give your name and address, please?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, I don't have a comment. I'm sorry, I accidentally pressed unmute. I'm all set. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Allan Sadun?

ALLAN SADUN: Hi. Thank you so much. My name is Allan Sadun. I'm about to live at 24 Union Street, a few blocks away from the proposed home.

I urge you to grant their requested zoning relief, as to not do so presents an unsurmountable hardship to their goal of providing reasonably sized, reasonably priced housing to Cambridge families.

I hear the discussion about making sure this housing is available to families, and not only suitable for small households, but just to put this into context, according to recent census data, 72 percent of households in Cambridge are one or two people, and that's a trend that's only increasing.

But unit sizes and new construction are also increasing, which is the opposite of what should be happening given these demographic trends.

So, you know, insisting on only very large units is not an effective way to ensure that families can live in Cambridge. You're just going to end up with small households in large units.

I think these units are a very reasonable, middle-of-the-road proposal -- definitely appropriate for families.

I think -- well, I think $I$ know lots of families that can exist in 1100 square feet units.

And I want to underscore that if the zoning relief is not granted, they have to build a much more expensive, much less contextual structure.

Just an example of that new construction, at the end of Union Street on 55 and 65, they don't fit in with the neighborhood at all, and I think that this is a much better proposal that they're offering. So I'm speaking in support. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm going to now close public testimony. We have two -- obviously we have two petitions before us, one for the parking -- that's a special permit, and before that a variance to construct the building itself. I will make a motion to -- I'll start with the variance. We'll make a motion to grant the variance, and then we'll take a vote. People can discuss and say yes, they support that, no or $I$ think we need to following changes.

So with that procedure, the Chair -- let me find the -- the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship -- such hardship financial or otherwise -- to the petitioner.

The hardship result seems to me from the size of the lot. It's very much and undersized lot relative to the minimum requirements of our city. And that's would be for whoever wishes to build on this lot.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this is already a nonconforming lot, and therefore any further modifications require zoning relief.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or +substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, what is being proposed will add additional housing -- affordable housing for the city of Cambridge, which, as we all know, is in need of more such housing.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the

Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by AAMODT -- I think I pronounced it right -AAMODT, A-a-m-o-d-t- Plumb Architects, LLC. I don't see a date on the plans, but the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

I think we should take a vote on the variance before we get to the special permit. So Brendan, how would you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I would support the variance. Somewhat mixed feelings on this, but generally I think if you have to say yes or no, I would say yes for granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I am exactly where Brendan is. I would prefer to see an affordable unit. I'd also prefer if we can't have that to see two units instead of three. But I guess we'll get to the parking later. But with what's on the table, $I$ can support it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] She says enthusiastically.

ANDREA HICKEY: Well --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm kidding. I'm just teasing. Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: I think it's a thoughtful design. I agree with Wendy; I think the proposal is well thought out. You clearly put a lot of sweat equity into getting neighborhood support and clearly from what we heard tonight a lack of opposition anyway.

So agree with the ends and the designs, and as long as the parking issue we discussed earlier in terms of the proximity in the cars to the unit can be addressed, I would also be inclined to support the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I am with Brendan and Andrea in having some reservations, and I just want to say to the person who spoke about the trend of one- to two-person households being the case, $I$ think we don't know what is the cause and what is the effect in the numbers that were cited.

And so my concern remains that the housing that is being built that is so-called more accessible in price is causing the trend of the one- to two- person households as opposed to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I take it you are
voting in favor --
WENDY LEISERSON: -- it being catered for the naturally [25:02 audio unclear] population. But I am despite that soapbox $I$ just put myself on -- yes, voting in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair; I've already expressed my reservations about this project -namely the fact that it's three-family.

So I join in with Andrea and Brendan, two of my fellow Board members saying that I'll vote in favor, but with a great deal of reluctance.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So with that, the variance is granted.

Moving onto the special permit, looking for the section in the ordinance that deals with special permits or reduction of parking -- here it is -- there's a Section 6.35.1: a special permit as to reduce parking shall be granted only if the Board determines and cites evidence in its decision that the lesser amount of parking will not cause excavate congestion, endanger public safety, substantially reduce parking availability or otherwise
adversely impact the neighborhood. So that's sort of the framework.

I would note that when this provision of the ordinance was drafted many years ago, Cambridge had a different policy with regard to parking.

Now the parking is something the City Council doesn't seem to be as concerned about as it once was. And so parking relief is not nearly as difficult to obtain in my opinion as it once was.

So, Brendan, how do you vote on the special permit to reduce the parking?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There are two things:
Exempting the basement floor area and the parking, and I would vote to grant the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I am not in favor of the parking as proposed, due to two factors. One, the proximity of the single space that is properly dimensioned as a stand-alone space to the building. And I'm not in favor of tandem parking. So $I$ cannot vote in favor of this.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Of the special permit?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to -- can you help me understand a little bit if we vote to grant the relief, does that mean we would be approving the tandem parking proposal that we heard tonight? And what would happen if we did not vote in favor of the special permit?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, if we don't -- if we vote in favor of the special permit, yes it would be -- I believe it would be approving or authorizing or permitting tandem parking.

If we don't vote and grant the special permit tonight, the petitioner has got to come up with a different parking solution, or a different approach, because we do need to have -- I believe, to get a building permit, you've got to provide off-street parking.

And they don't have any permission to do so. Because the only thing they proposed is not approved by our Board. I think that's how it works.

ANDREW PLUMB: May I offer a point of clarification?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.

ANDREW PLUMB: What we're requesting is the reduction of required spaces from three to one. We're not requesting approval of tandem parking. We're just requesting approval of one parking space instead of three, based on --

ANDREA HICKEY: But if tandem parking is shown on the plan and we approve the plan, then we've --

ANDREW PLUMB: Tandem parking is -- what's shown on the plan is one space, and then a garden beyond. It's not -- I mean if it's not clear enough on the plan, I'm happy to update that. But what we're requesting is one parking space, instead of three.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Then I think the motion would have to be reframed. Because as I understood the motion, it was for parking as shown on the plan, and perhaps I misinterpreted there being a tandem space on the plan.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me rephrase the motion.

The relief that's being sought is to only have one off-street parking space, although our ordinance would require three, because we have three dwelling units.

Anything beyond that, if there is a zoning problem, would require additional zoning relief at a different time. JASON MARSHALL: That's helpful to me, Mr. -- this is Jason Marshall -- that's helpful to me, Mr. Chair, because I did not want to vote to approve a parking space where it wasn't meeting the spatial requirements between the car and the building. So that's helpful. I could support that kind of a motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea, are you still -- where are you now?

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Now, again, as with my
first vote on the overall three-unit building, I can reluctantly support one space.

So I would be in favor of that proposal that there be one space as shown on the plan with no additional parking on site, tandem or otherwise.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I think I'm with Andrea on this
one. So the bottom line is I'm in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair is in
favor as well. I think the relief again is consistent with where the city is today, with off-street parking.

It's less than the ordinance requires, but the ordinance is behind the times if you will in terms of where the City Council is with regard to promoting parking, or requiring parking.

More and more, we're seeing relief being granted, or we grant relief to reduce the required parking to reflect the spirit or the will of the City Council. I vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, and --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So five in favor of the special permit to reduce parking -- off-street parking. One space has been granted.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, [Brendan Sullivan], and also to exempt the basement floor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right. Thank you for mentioning that. And to exempt the basement, pursuant to Section 2.16 of our ordinance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. And this is Andrea speaking again. I would just like to thank Mr. Plumb. I thought his presentation was really comprehensive and thoughtful. We don't always see that.

So -- and I also appreciate your outreach to the
community. So thank you for such a good and thorough presentation.

ANDREW PLUMB: My pleasure. Thank you very much. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case is over. Thank
you again.
ANDREW PLUMB: Okay. Thanks very much. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on.
(8:14 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 119050 -- 39 Kelley Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

RICHARD FRIERMAN: Hello, my name is Richard Frierman. With me is my wife, Lynn Gervens. We're the owners of the building at 3941 Kelley Street. We've been -we purchased this building in 1984.

Today we're seeking relief so we can rebuild our front porch with some minor modifications. The relief we need is for a very modest increase in floor area ratio, and to make some changes within the front yard setback.

If we could bring up our presentation document? Next page, please?

So this shows the existing conditions. And what I'd like to point out on this -- and you can see the existing front porch with side entry steps, and you can see in the FAR calculation that what we're talking about doing
is increasing the nonconforming FAR from 1.021 to 1.023. So it's a very, very modest increase in floor area ratio.

If you can go to the next page, please?
The changes we're talking about will help us address a few different problems with the existing porch. First, the existing stairs and treads are not up to code. Right now they -- the risers exceed the seven inches, and treads are below 11-inch minimum.

Also, the other notes on this page, you can see that we have this very awkward -- this acute angle where the porch meets the house. It's always been kind of problematic when it comes to any kind of maintenance, painting, ceiling issues.

The struts on the doors are on the left-hand side, that's the entrance to our unit at 39, is very close to the existing railing. And on the right-hand door, that's the entrance to 41, where we have two tenants. The strike side's very close to the steps down.

The walkway to the existing steps, which we propose we're moving is a concrete slab that is being pushed up by roots of a very nice oak tree on the next property. And it's created a slope in the concrete, and it's also --
doesn't seem to be too healthy for the tree.
Next page, please?
This is existing conditions. The picture on the right is before we just did some temporary repairs, so that we wouldn't have any problem while we were waiting to move forward with the construction.

We removed the railing on the second floor, since it wasn't structurally sound anymore -- I didn't want it falling off on someone -- and temporarily shored up the left-hand post.

Next slide, please?
In this you can see the right-hand picture, the out-of-level walkway. The bit of black asphalt patch just showed up one day compliments of the DPW crew. They were fixing a pothole on the street, and they noticed how bad this edge was, and it was becoming a trip hazard.

So without asking, they put in this temporary patch, and it just gives -- and you can also see the cobblestones along the side, to get an idea of how much this tree is pushing up everything around it.

So we -- with our proposal, we take that concrete back to the corner of the house and landscape this stretch.

Next slide, please?
This is the proposed footprint for the new porch.
We would move the stairs to the front and bring them down towards the street and add a 14-square-foot section to bring the -- extend the porch out to the first corner, the first corner of the bay. And that lets us -- this plan lets us put in the stairs up to code, old treads at 11 inches and risers seven inches at most.

And landing on granite -- a piece of granite as part of the landscaping, but that would constitute the bottom step, and meet the same code requirements.

Next slide, please?
This is the proposed elevation, and I think it is self-explanatory. And now, frankly, parts of it we're hoping we'll also just make it a better-looking porch.

Next slide?

This is showing five other houses on the street that all have the steps coming down to the sidewalk -- in some cases with no space at all. Just to give you an idea -- excuse me, this would be consistent with the neighborhood.

And as we've been working on this design, we've spent many a walk around the neighborhood, become kind of
connoisseurs of front porches. What we're proposing is pretty consistent with many other houses in the neighborhood -- the porch with steps, you know a more welcoming approach to the house with steps down to the front, and just generally a better-looking structure.

And the final page is just the plot plan. We also submitted 11 letters of support from our neighbors on RC Kelley Street.

And I think that takes it to where it is. Our architect, John Titman, is also standing by, if there are any questions you want to direct to John or to me.

Next slide?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Brendan, do you have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions
either, so I will now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
Apparently, we have no one wishing to speak. There are letters of support, as mentioned by the petitioner. And with that, I'll close public testimony. I'll make a motion, if we need further discussion. Let me make a motion and we can have the discussion in the context of the motion.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being -- and it runs with the property in its current format -- that the access to the front of the structure has seriously been damaged over the years by erosion, and to rebuild requires zoning relief.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that this was already a nonconforming structure, and therefore any modification requires zoning relief.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In fact, what would result should we grant the variance is to improve the access and egress from the structure for the occupants of the structure.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans that were submitted by the petitioner -- I see two pages -- and I've initialed both pages.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes in favor as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER:
Variance granted. Good luck. RICHARD FRIERMAN: Thank you.
(8:23 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 119627 -- 44 Kinnaird Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

TAL LEVY: Yes, hi. Just starting the video. Hi, good evening. My name is Tal Levy. I'm here with my wife, Irina Izovskaya. We're the owners of 44 Kinnaird. Thank you for hearing our case. We will be --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have no choice. You made an application; we've got to hear it.

TAL LEVY: Fair enough. We will first describe the high level of the project, and then our architect, Alberto, will provide more details.

So we purchased the house in March and are converting it into a single-family home for our growing family.

We currently live very close to 44 Kinnaird, just a few blocks away on Putnam Ave. I also work quite close in

Harvard Square.
We love the neighborhood, and are excited we found a house to make into a long-term home. We hope to stay in 44 Kinnaird for many, many years to come -- a really long time.

And as such, we're here requesting a special permit to construct a half-story Mansard roof addition, and also just relocate one window within the setbacks to help align the windows.

The extra space will allow for home offices and a guest room for Irina's mother, who lives in Siberia. And for her trip is -- well, for anyone the trip is three days each way, so it's quite a trek, and therefore the visits need to be extended, and we would plan and would like for her to visit frequently to help with child care.

The resulting home will remain under the FAR and height requirements of the neighborhood, and will not create any new zoning violations.

We will also be increasing the green space by removing a few small additions in the rear of the building. Both of us really love being outside and enjoy gardening, and we plan to turn the back yard into even nicer garden and
open green space.
In terms of the exterior aesthetics, we prioritized the house maintaining Cambridge's older architectural style, and thus we went with the Mansard roof for the addition.

We also believe the project will improve the overall curb appeal of the street in addition to just the looks of our building.

Additionally to improve the privacy between buildings, we're actually removing the number of windows on both the right and left side of the building. For public outreach, we've talked to many of our neighbors, and we've received several letters of support and no opposition. Those should be on the file.

Thank you. Our architect, Alberto, will now review the details of the special permit and architecture.

ALBERTO CABRE: Good evening, Board. Can you hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, we can hear you.
ALBERTO CABRE: So tonight thank you for having us tonight. We just want to go over a brief special permit for our clients. Right now it's an existing two-family. On the
nonconforming side, the side is 4000 square feet, and the required height is 5000 .

The existing property is inside the setback on the north side of the property, or on the left side if you're looking at the floor plan right now.

Can we go to the next slide?
The proposed plan basically keeps the same footprint. We're not adding any footprint, we are just changing elevations from the north façade.

And we can go to the other slide, where we can explain the setback. All right, slide number 3, please.

You can see the setback on the north façade, just to get it to the seven feet six inches -- basically the prior north façade, based on that setback.

If you go to the next slide, we can see that is a two-story vinyl siding, two-family. Each level is a family.

The whole special permit is to add a mansard roof inside the required 35 feet allowed by code, and eliminating some of the windows that are on the neighbor's north elevation.

The next slide?
What we're trying to do is enhance the streetscape
of the house by adding the details of what the neighborhood -- other single-family and two-families with the bay windows more detailed... better exterior material; the addition of a better porch entrance and second-floor porch.

Next slide?
This is a rendering that shows the existing housing as it is. It's a two-story, and the lower rendering shows the addition of the mansard roof to the house. It's not going to go above 35 feet, and it's basically inside the three-family, two-story buildings that are on the neighbors' properties.

Next slide, please?
This is a couple more views of the front façade, changing the colors of the exterior of the building and the back, that (sic) we are cleaning the rear of the building.

Next slide?

This is the existing floor plan.
And we can just go straight to the
Next slide, please?
And next one?
So the property will get completely on the inside, will be configured as a single-family, just to merge the two
levels. And as Irina as Tal said, making the office space on the mansard area and the family room on the third floor. For the -- if we go to slide number four, please?

You can see that we are -- basically all the windows will get new windows. The bay windows will get larger windows, and that's what also the special permit you can see on the north bay window we need to -- it's inside of the setback. So we need to request a special permit for those windows.

And also, on the back of the building, we're just cleaning all the little additions that were on the back and making this a full single, two-story addition and aligning all the windows.

And the next slide?
You can see on the top that we have four windows on the north façade. And we're changing to only one window in the neighborhood façade.

And on the south that is outside of the scope of the special permit. We're just deleting two windows on the side and just leaving the existing on the same location on the lower level.

And then the addition of the mansard roof you can
see that it's below the 35 feet to the top of the structure. FAR is changing, but it's under the allowable FAR of the project. And that's -- any questions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any questions from members of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not hearing any from
anyone else -- I assume there are no questions. I have no questions. Anyway, let me go around the room. Andrea, do you have any questions?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall no questions, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good. Thank you. And the Chair has no questions as well. So I'll now open the matter up to public testimony. As indicated, there are letters of support in our file, and no letters of opposition.

Anyway, for the people who wish to speak, any
members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. So I will close public testimony, and we can move on to the merits of the case.

Also, I would propose to make a motion to grant the relief, and as we go around for the vote, if members have objections or want modifications, we can take it up at the point.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As presented by the petitioners, all that's being done is to add some additional living space in the structure, and it does not impact -- as, again, per the plans -- does not impact neighboring properties or the neighborhood itself.

Again, the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of what is proposed. Evidence of this is the fact that there are no letters of opposition or persons who appear tonight to oppose, and there are letters of support.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And I think the facts speak for themselves as to that.

And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with
plans prepared by Joe the architect, the cover page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey.] Yes, I vote in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will make it unanimous. Yes, as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.
Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Could I request a five-minute break?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, let's see what time
it is. It is 8:36. Why don't we resume at let's make it 8:45.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll take a brief recess until 8:45.
[BREAK]
(8:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now reconvene this meeting, our brief recess being over. And the Chair will now call Case Number 118194 -- 86 Otis Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes. Hello, good evening. My name is Natalie Janson. I am Senior Project Manager at the Cambridge Housing Authority.

I'm here today with my colleague Clara Fraden, who is the Deputy Director of the Planning and Development Department at the Cambridge Housing Authority; Hannah Kilson, our counsel from Nolan Sheehan Patten; and Ben Wilson, who's representing the architectural team BH+A.

We wanted to thank you for inviting us to present the CHA's request and then the existing variance at Putnam School Apartments, which is located on 86 Otis Street.

Given time, I will run through this presentation quickly and give a slightly shorter presentation than $I$ had
planned.
So Putnam School Apartments is a historic schoolhouse in East Cambridge. The CHA received a variance from the Board of Zoning Appeals in 1981 to convert this building into 27 deeply affordable dwelling units for seniors and disabled residents, and also to limit the parking spaces to two spaces.

The property now needs to be comprehensively renovated, which leads us to request an amendment to the existing variance on the basis that the grounds for hardship that we received as part of the initial variance still remain.

Next slide?
So the first request that we have is to increase the FAR for the property from 2.21 to 2.34. What this would allow us to do is to repurpose a portion of the basement that's currently used as maintenance and mechanical space into a large community room residence, as well as the management office for a laundry room.

Currently, the property has a lot of small, underutilized common spaces that are scattered throughout the building.

One of the goals of the renovations is to
consolidate those and create a larger space in the basement that can accommodate all of the residence when they need to get together, while still keeping one of the smaller social rooms on the first floor.

Next slide, please?
The basement used to be used for classroom space and has tall ceilings and natural light. And we think that this is a great way to improve resident quality of life without having to expand the footprint of the building.

Next slide?
The second request is to decrease the lot area per dwelling unit from 536 square feet to 425 square feet. And this is because we are increasing the dwelling units from 27 existing dwelling units to 34 dwelling units.

It's important to note that while it is an increase in seven dwelling units, it only results in a small increase in bedrooms. Only three additional bedrooms will be created on site. And thus, we don't think this will be too significant increase in congestion in the area.

Part of the increase in dwelling units is -- and the decrease in the lot area per dwelling unit is what we're
looking at right here is the fourth-floor existing congregate units, where there are -- you can see on the left there are three units. These three units serve nine residents. The nine residents are split up into groups of three that have their individual bedrooms, but share living facilities.

These have been extremely hard to lease and to maintain as occupied. And therefore they represent a financial hardship for the property, who it has also made a more urgent the need for us to provide separate living spaces for residents where possible.

What you see on the right of the slide is what we're proposing. So we're proposing to take those three dwelling units and instead create eight separate units.

Next slide, please?
And what you can see on the slide here is that the bedroom count for the fourth floor before and after does not change. It was nine bedrooms before, and it would be nine bedrooms after.

Next slide?
What you can see on this floor, it's the third
floor. Because we're consolidating the community space in
the basement, there's an opportunity to add an additional affordable unit on this floor -- a one bedroom -- next slide -- which increases the bedroom count here by one.

Next slide, please?
On the second floor, we're proposing to take the former space of the management office, which will now be moved into the basement to create an additional one-bedroom unit.

Next slide?
And what this does, on this floor here we're seeing an increase of two bedrooms. Part of that is the additional unit on this floor, and then there is the smaller social room in the back that's underutilized, which we're proposing to include in a one-bedroom in order to expand it into a two-bedroom.

Next slide?
So I just wanted to -- before I move on that longterm bike parking just highlight again that here's an opportunity to add affordable housing to the site, and we can do so without having to increase congestion in the area because of the limited increase in headroom count.

So what we have on this slide is our third
request, which is around long-term bike parking. We are requesting to decrease the required long-term bike parking spaces from 35 that's required to 12 spaces. These will be provided as part of the community basement space.

And we're requesting to keep it at 12 , because there's not significant demand for bicycle parking among our residents, and providing any more bicycle parking given the limitations on the site would impinge on the community space that we can provide both indoor and outdoor. And we're able to provide the four short-term bicycle parking spaces.

Next slide?
Our last request is related to car parking. So this slide here shows what's currently existing at the property. Our variance for Putnam School, limited car parking to two vehicle spaces, which are shown as little red rectangles; one off of Otis Street and one off of Sciarappa Street.

What you can also see is there's a small open space at the back of the site and a large asphalt driveway off of the photos.

One item that we'd like to confirm as part of this presentation is whether our initial variance included
dimensional relief as it relates to setbacks from the building for the car parking spaces at the 10 -foot setback from Putnam School and the five-foot setback from our neighbors. Because it's not possible to provide two parking spots without requiring some relief from that. And the records are not clear.

Next slide?
So one of the goals of this renovation is to increase valuable outdoor space for residents. And that's what you can see here. There's a number of different seating areas for larger groups, medium-sized groups, individuals to be able to enjoy the outdoor area at the same time. We're also building out an accessible ramp at the back in order to increase our access to the back yard space.

And what this represents here is when we put together the application for Putnam School to amend the existing variance, we propose keeping the parking space at two and having it located off of Sciarappa Street.

Part of that was so that we could preserve the open space for resident use, and we also -- the three little white rectangles that you see at the front is the transformer that we have to put underground. And it needs
to be easily accessible for the utilities.
As we were preparing for our presentation today, we were made aware that given the location in the back, if there are two cars parked together, there is an emergency exit from the back of the building for residents. There were some life safety concerns around maintaining access between that emergency exit and Sciarappa Street.

Next slide, please?
So we looked at alternate ways of dealing with that issue in order to address this life safety issue. One of the proposals was to stagger the two parking spaces in the back, which is what we can see here on plan. You can see that there would be access for residents.

But one of the concerns came up is if in reality sometimes cars may not park precisely where they need to be within their designated parking spots.

Next slide?
So what we'd like to do is to amend our variance, or our amendment to our variance request to lower the parking space to just one spot that we can provide off of Sciarappa Street.

There are multiple reasons for requesting that.

One is that providing the additional seven spaces at Putnam School Apartments would severely limit the amount of recreational space outdoors that's available to residents, and that's because of the placement of the building and the constraint of the site.

There's also we did a parking study where we went out to the site in mornings and evenings around like a fourblock radius. And what we found was that there was roughly 15 to 16 parking spots in the morning and in the evenings that were available on the street.

What this plan also shows is that by extending the landscaping and the outdoor recreational space for residents, we can get rid of the curb cut that's in front of the building, thus creating an additional on-street parking space.

And this plan here with only one spot, we would only need dimensional relief in terms of setback from Putnam School for ten feet. We would be able to meet the required five-foot setback from our neighbors on Sciarappa Street.

So that concludes our presentation. We'd love to discuss any questions, and we thank you very much for your time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for the complete presentation. Brendan, any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I have not -- [Brendan Sullivan], I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: [Jason Marshall.] I have no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no
questions as well. So I'll open the matter up to public testimony. We have one letter of support, and that's the only correspondence that's in our files. So let me move on to the ability of the public to weigh in if they wish.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if anyone's calling in. We do have a call.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, Glenna?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Glenna, you may speak.
GLENNA WYMAN: Can you hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Go ahead.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, we can hear you.
GLENNA WYMAN: Can you hear me now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear you now, we could hear you before.

GLENNA WYMAN: Okay. I had questions. What?
[Pause]
GLENNA WYMAN: Yeah. Can people talk up a little
bit more? It's hard to hear -- if they can without yelling.
I live on the premises at 86 Otis, and my last name is Wyman. Okay?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Can you repeat your last name, Glenna?

GLENNA WYMAN: Yeah, Wyman, $W-y-m-a-n$.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you. Go ahead.
GLENNA WYMAN: So I had a number of questions for the petitioners. We did get -- thank you to Nathalie or

Natalie -- we did get plans and the submissions to the Zoning Board. But $I$ had actually kind of missed some of this, I guess.

The one question is where is that classroom space that was depicted in, and who is that for?

And number 2, is the laundry space, or does the laundry space as proposed involve any additional washers and dryers? We currently have two washers -- a washer/dryer, stackable washer and dryer on the second floor and on the first floor for the entire building.

And it's unclear whether or not they will be utilizing that ability to stack at least some of the machines to enhance the number of machines available to the tenants.

And will any trees be removed in this plan for -it's hard to tell what's going on in this drawing with these dark brown circles. It's beautiful in the back, the trees in the back and the foliage. And I'm curious about whether that will change.

And that one parking spot -- that will be the only spot -- who is that for? Is that envisioned that that's for a tenant? Because right now no tenants are allowed to park
on any of the spots that exist.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Petitioner, you want to respond to those questions?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes, thanks, Glenna, for your questions. So starting out with the classroom space question, so the basement space used to be used for classrooms back when the building was a schoolhouse. It has not been used as classroom space since we converted the building into housing. It's been used as mechanical and maintenance space.

And so we're building out -- and the classroom space is essentially to -- the historic classroom space was to -- my understanding was that it was to the front of the buildings on either side on the left and right.

So the ceilings were really tall. There's windows
for natural light. And the idea is to use that space to build what we're referring to as essentially communities where you have your community room, which is large enough to host everyone in the building.

It has a little kitchen area, a centralized laundry room, bike parking storage, bathroom facilities, as well as the Management Office.

So that's the first question. In terms of --
GLENNA WYMAN: May I interrupt?
NATALIE JANSON: Hm?

GLENNA WYMAN: I didn't get an answer about you showed classroom space in one of these -- you know, drawings. Where is that classroom space going to be in the basement there?

NATALIE JANSON: So there will be no classroom space in the basement. It will just be a community room -GLENNA WYMAN: Oh. NATALIE JANSON: -- for resident use.

GLENNA WYMAN: So what was the drawing about that showed a classroom space?

NATALIE JANSON: It may have been a reference to the original use of the basement space.

Can we go to slide 3? Is that page you're referring to, Glenna?

The view on the right-hand side is a rendering of how we picture the community room to look. So you will enter in right off of the lobby, either down the stairs that will be opened up or down the elevator.

And then there will be the kitchenette that's
shown on the right, some seating. And then you can see the large windows towards the top of the building.

So right next to the entrance either down the stairs or down the elevator.

GLENNA WYMAN: Well, okay. So those tables with -- round tables with chairs is not -- that is not classroom space?

NATALIE JANSON: No, that's correct. That is the basement -- community space that will be created as part of this renovation.

GLENNA WYMAN: Okay. It was a little confusing, because then there's this other drawing with a big, giant pool table and other furnishings that don't look anything like the tables and the round tables and the chairs. And they don't seem to be the same space.

NATALIE JANSON: So I'm sorry for the -- that it was not clear to follow. We wanted to include -- so what we're showing here is on the right you see the rendering of the proposed basement space.

And then on the left it's just images to give a sense of the feel. Ultimately, we haven't decided on the furnishing yet. It's just to give a sense of the scale of
the space, and that there could be different uses that would be possible down there.

GLENNA WYMAN: Oh, okay. So the drawing with the green walls and the -- or some kind of a virtual picture -and the drawing with the round table, those are supposed to be the same space?

NATALIE JANSON: Those are just two examples of the type of space that might be -- or the feel of the space. If we could go to the second slide? What this is showing on the right side is essentially the community room. That's the plan for what we're proposing.

GLENNA WYMAN: On the right side?
NATALIE JANSON: Yes. So where it says, "proposed basement."

GLENNA WYMAN: Oh, okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, if I can interject here. Those questions are very important, I understand, to residents of the building, but they're not relevant to the zoning issue before us tonight. These are things you should take up with the powers that be with regard to the work, assuming we grant variance.

But --

GLENNA WYMAN: Oh. Well, it seemed like they were relevant, since presentations were made about these uses of the building. It seemed like it is relevant. But pardon me.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right, could you move on? Also, as I indicated at the outset from the hearing, people would only have three minutes to speak. And I suspect you're getting very close to the end.

GLENNA WYMAN: Okay, well then give me my time. Will any trees be removed from the outdoor space that's being expanded or that currently exists?

NATALIE JANSON: So if we go to the slide that shows the parking plan with the outdoor space, I think it's the next one. Yeah. It could be 12, 13 or 14 . Thank you.

So we are having to remove a tree to build the handicapped accessible ramp. In the back of the property, we think that that handicap-accessible ramp will really help to increase access to the back area.

At the start of the renovation project in January of 2020 we had resident meetings, and also a residence and also a resident survey. And one of the concerns around being able to use the outdoor space was ensuring that
there's good access to it for residents -- that you don't have to just set the building from the front and walk down Otis Street, and then be able to access it from that side.

And this tree that's being replaced, we're replacing it with four other trees as part of the landscaping plan.

GLENNA WYMAN: And where is that tree currently?
NATALIE JANSON: It's -- let me see if I get it right. It's where you see the ramp at the back of the lot, and where those steps are going down.

GLENNA WYMAN: Yeah, I see it.
NATALIE JANSON: So we looked at different designs that might be able to not -- have -- they could be able to keep the tree. But this was the only way for us to be able to be meet the required slope for a handicapped accessible ramp.

GLENNA WYMAN: So I'm sorry, I couldn't quite tell where you were saying -- or at all tell where the ramp is and where the tree is.

NATALIE JANSON: So as we're Zooming in right now, in the back you see what looks like little beige squares going down. That is essentially the ramp. And so towards
the middle of that ramp is roughly where the tree is.
GLENNA WYMAN: Do you have to get a permit from the City of Cambridge to remove that tree, or have you sought it already?

NATALIE JANSON: Because this is affordable housing, my understanding is that we are exempt from the tree ordinance.

GLENNA WYMAN: How tall is that tree?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, ma'am. Again, you're talking about issues that are not relevant to what's before us tonight. Please --

GLENNA WYMAN: Well, none of this stuff was presented to me. I was moved over here. I may have a right to return, and this is the only opportunity I have to ask these questions. And again, all of these things seem to have been relevant, because they were mentioned in the course of presenting this proposal to the Board tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You'll have the opportunity to ask questions before the hearing tonight. These plans have been on file at least for three or four days. I am sure that the City officials would have responded to your questions, and we have a long agenda
tonight.
And I don't want to spend time talking about whether trees are going to be removed. That's not relevant. It's relevant to the city; it's not relevant to this Board.

GLENNA WYMAN: Okay. So I'm not sure if the question was answered about who that one solitary parking spot is for. Is that relevant --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's not relevant.
GLENNA WYMAN: -- to ask about?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't want to get into that. Who gets that parking space is not an issue before us tonight. It's not a zoning issue.

GLENNA WYMAN: It just kind of seems like if $I$ were a property owner, you wouldn't be being so caustic --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, that's not true.
GLENNA WYMAN: -- in my questioning.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's not true.
GLENNA WYMAN: Well --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you were a property owner -- listen to the earlier cases; we look at driveways, we look at how the parking is going to work out. That's -we don't get into who will get parking space. And that's
the questions we want to have answered.
GLENNA WYMAN: Well usually, parking spaces are discussed with regard to residents, and being a resident, and us having been excluded from any parking on the premises, notwithstanding that parking is listed as available on the CHA website, it does seem like a relevant question. And I've heard people talking in the earlier proceedings tonight about idling cars and what not.

I'm just not sure why you're drawing the line this way, sir, with all due respect.

NATALIE JANSON: Glenna, if I'm --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can you conclude your remarks, please? We can move on.

GLENNA WYMAN: I guess I have. Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else, Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Phone number ending 8311?
HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello, this is Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley Street. I have a question that I think is relevant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead, Heather.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: I -- what we see on screen is the left side of the building. It appears that all of those apartments are getting rejiggered around in order to make space for the new apartment. And I was wondering if people are getting displaced for that --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: A fair question.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: -- or whether there's room to move them around in the building?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can the petitioner respond to that question?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes, so you're correct, that in order to be able to add the additional units on the second and third floor, what we've had to do is essentially modify the units to the left of them, in order to make sure that that unit that's added has -- it's a one-bedroom, and that the remaining units are adequately sized and have, you know, they're old -- they're still one-bedrooms.

And so residents have a right to return to those units. They will remain one-bedrooms. There is one exception where the one-bedroom is being expanded to a twobedroom by adding the community, or the small little social lounge that's in the back of the second floor. In that
case, if the resident does not need a two-bedroom, we would offer them another one-bedroom at the property.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: So those, but I asked if those people would be displaced, and apparently, they will be. Do you have places for them lined up to be displaced to, since I know that the work that's being done at the Truman Apartments also in East Cambridge a couple blocks away displaced a fair number of people?

So I just wanted to make sure that the people who live in these buildings are going to have somewhere to lay their heads at night indoors?

NATALIE JANSON: So it sounds like the question is about relocation during construction?

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Yes.
NATALIE JANSON: So all residents -- we have a relocation team at the Cambridge Housing Authority that works on a one-to-one basis with residents.

So it's relocation and not displacement; everyone has a unit that they're provided as a relocation unit. They also have the right to return to Putnam School after construction is complete.

And there's also -- so as part of the relocation
too, we take into consideration people's desire to stay in East Cambridge in the neighborhood that they're part of.

So we have been providing opportunities for residents to relocate to Millers River, which is just -really just around the corner from Truman and very close to Putnam School as well.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Okay. And I do have a question about the reduction in parking spaces that $I$ think is -again, relevant, because this is for elderly residents, correct?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: This building? Yeah, because I walk by it all the time, and the people hanging out on the benches that I say hello to are generally not younger than I am.

So will this -- are there outside services coming in to serve the people who live here?

NATALIE JANSON: So we do have a tenant relocation -- not tenant relocation, my apologies --

HEATHER HOFFMAN: No, that wasn't a question. No, this is -- what I know from talking to people who have taken care of elderly parents and such is that there are lots of
people who provide services.
And what I figured was most likely was that the existing two spaces probably are used for that, and so is that correct?

And if so, is reducing the number of parking spaces to one going to make it difficult for the elderly people who live in this building to get the services that they need?

NATALIE JANSON: So my apologies earlier. I just slipped up. So yes, it is true that residents have home health aides. There's also a Tenant Services Coordinator who comes to the space.

And the intention is for after construction that one parking space that's in the back would be open to parking for people who are coming to visit and helping residents.

There's also at the front of the building on Otis Street, that's all handicapped accessible parking.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Okay. I just want to make sure that this being a building specify for elderly people that, you know, you're doing these various things to -- for the laudable purpose of housing more people, that they will not
be harmed by it -- which I do think is relevant to what you're asking the Board to do.

NATALIE JANSON: Right, so --
HEATHER HOFFMAN: I just think that is -- you're asking the Board to cut in half the number of spaces for aides and other people providing services to the specific population that this building is designed for and you're putting more people in the building.

And I just wanted to make sure that when the Board makes its decision, they're satisfied that the building will still be able to fulfill the function that it's supposed to fulfill. But it gets various exemptions from the Zoning Board from various ordinances for (sic). So that was my question.

And other than that, I don't think I have any other questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Heather.
NATALIE JANSON: So I would just -- in response to that, thank you for your question. So we've only had two spaces at this property since it converted into elderly, disabled housing. I don't foresee it being a problem to reduce it to one space. There's ample street parking -- on-
street parking that's available, and we did do a resident survey at the start of the renovations.

And no one had mentioned parking as a main concern. It's not something that comes up in conversation either when I've talked to residents one-on-one about the design for property and the changes that are being made.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We have no other -no one else wishes to speak, so I'm going to close public testimony, and let's move on to the merits of the case. Discussion?

I can make a motion, and we can have discussion in the context of the motion. The motion would be to approve or to grant the relief that's being requested.

Any members of the Board have any views how you want to proceed?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan, I would proceed with -- I have no further questions. I have read their presentation, their supportive statements in the file. I agree with their rationale for the reconfiguration of the building, and really there is a net add of only three bedrooms after all of this work is said and done. And I think it's a benefit to the community.

So I would support the motion to grant the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Other members, maybe you want me to make a motion to grant the variance, and then we can have discussion?

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, this is Andrea Hickey speaking. With your permission, I'd like to ask two quick questions before you make your motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
ANDREA HICKEY: Would that be okay?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead, Andrea.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. So it's still not clear to me with respect to the parking, sort of who has been using the two spaces that are there now, and who will be using the one space if that's what we allow?

So is it an employee of the building that parks -gets to park there, or is it home health aides and folks? And how is that parking used and scheduled so that it's fair for everyone?

NATALIE JANSON: Thank you for that question. So currently the parking space has been limited to just Staff. And we are proposing to change that. As part of the
renovation once we're done with it, that parking space will be available to anyone working as -- or living in the development on a first-come, first-serve basis.

ANDREA HICKEY: Will there be like a signup sheet in advance, or how -- practically how will that work so that it's fair?

NATALIE JANSON: So I think what we were thinking is that we would try to limit long-term parking at the space, so that it's not someone who parks their car there and it stays for weeks. There would be a limit on the amount of time that you could have your car parked there, maybe that's 24 hours.

But that in order to allow that flexibility, if it needs to be used by home health aides or anyone else who's coming to visit the building, it would essentially be if there's a spot that's available, then you can park there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would hope that the priorities for those two parking spaces should be home health aides or any people providing services to the residents. The Staff should be last on the list in my view.

ANDREA HICKEY: Agree. And that was my concern, and why I asked the question.

I do think if we're being asked to reduce the two spaces to one space, that we want to be sure that the use of that space is sort of equitable, and that it most benefits the residents.

And, you know, I would say that someone like a home health aide or someone dropping off groceries, that that is sort of more beneficial than having an employee with a dedicated parking spaces. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Obviously I agree with that, and when I make my motion, or our motion to grant the variance, I'm going to impose a condition and suggest we have a condition just as you described, Andrea, the Staff gives last on the list these two spaces.

The priority for those is people providing relevant services, or essential services, to the occupants of the structure.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Ms. Janson, correct me if I'm wrong, but it really is only one space if we approve what you're proposing, correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Then it would be the one space. Whatever parking spaces will result after the project has changed per a vote we take tonight. That space
or spaces will have priority for people providing services -

- essential services -- to the residents of the structure. ANDREA HICKEY: And not sort of employees of -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And not for the employees, absolutely.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And my second question really is not zoning related. It's more curiosity. Are the residents that live there now that are being temporarily displaced for construction, are they being assisted with moving expenses and logistics?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes. So as part of the renovation project, we started off with a series of resident meetings -- four of them just related to relocation, where we worked together on residents who needed to be relocated during construction to develop a plan, set all policies -basically clarified all policies with us; our responsibilities to residents as it comes to relocation.

And part of that is paying for all living expenses -- also providing moving assistance to residents. So if that's needing help to move belongings to one apartment to another, we can hire a moving company to do that. If you need packing help, we can provide assistance with that.

Also providing all material that's necessary for relocation and making sure that the right material is being provided, because everyone has different items in their home that needs to be moved.

If something doesn't fit into a relocation unit while you're being relocated, then we provide storage facilities. And we also provide all of these services when people are moving back from their relocation unit to -ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. That answers my question, and I apologize for going a bit off the script here. I was just curious about that. Thank you, Ms. Janson. Mr. Chair, I have nothing further.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I take it you're in
favor?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I am.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Wendy
Leiserson. May I also ask just a quick question?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
WENDY LEISERSON: Ms. Janson, could you just give me a picture? It's related to the parking. I believe you said that you were going to add another on-street space in
front of the building by getting rid of an existing curb cut, is that correct?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: And are -- I know you mentioned there were several handicap spaces in front of the building. Are there any short-term, like 15-minute dropping off spaces, or are there any passenger loading spaces in the building?

NATALIE JANSON: Not around the building, no.
WENDY LEISERSON: I see. So is there a safe spot next to the building where residents can board and unboard from transportation services?

NATALIE JANSON: Yeah. So typically what we've had -- you know, people have been onboarding and offboarding in front of the building, often where the curb cut is.

But we can request such a specific spot for, like short-term or onloading, offloading from the city. We think that that's a great idea.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. And then -- well, I think I'll pass on the next question. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jason, I don't think we've heard from you.

JASON MARSHALL: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Ms. Janson, I appreciate the way that you've responded to many questions here tonight. I really -- I like your approach.

And just going back to Ms. Wyman's questions, I know some of them might not be within the scope of what we're discussing here, but $I$ would hope that you or someone else on Staff will follow up with her.

One question related to the conditions, and it sounds like we're considering with respect to parking, very familiar with CHA having grown up here. And by the way, I do appreciate all your efforts in expanding affordable housing stock, including this one.

While I'm from what CHA, I don't know exactly everybody within your organization. Do you have medical staff that are employees of CHA or others that may provide essential services like we were discussing earlier?

CLARA FRADEN: Do you want me to speak to this, Natalie?

NATALIE JANSON: Yes. That sounds great.
CLARA FRADEN: So we actually just acquired a Service Coordinator company as part of the Cambridge Housing Authority. So we've mentioned that there is a Service

Coordinator who works with residents at Putnam School.
They used to be employed by a company called, "Cascap" that was being -- that was breaking up. And so the CHA actually has now hired on these Cascap employees as CHA employees in a way to expand -- in an effort to expand our services that we provide residents.

In addition, at Manning Apartments in Central Square, we have a partnership with BMC, the Boston Medical Center and the Cambridge Health Alliance to provide an onsite nurse and community health worker.

It's a pilot program that is wrapping up in the next few months into March, and we're really looking to expand it so that we can actually get more health-specific services, as opposed to more home health aides, which is what our Service Coordinators provide right now.

JASON MARSHALL: But are those CHA employees, or are these third parties that you contract with to provide services?

NATALIE JANSON: They're service coordinators -the first group of people $I$ was talking about are now CHA employees, as of just a couple of months ago.

The second group I talked about were the program
and the partnership were looking to expand our employees of the Cambridge Health Alliance and Boston Medical Center, but they are housed at Manning Apartments. They're actually housed in house. And so we are looking to expand that throughout our elderly/disabled portfolio.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. That's helpful. I mean, what I'm getting at is $I$ would just want to make sure that the motion doesn't exclude an individual providing services just because they're an employee of CHA.

So I think the spirit of the motion sounds like it's more ensuring that it's not an administrative staff that's using what seems to be a really critical parking space. But your responses were helpful, thank you.

That's all I have, Mr. Chairman, thanks.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jason. I'll
try to capture the thought when we get to making the formal motion. We started with a motion, but I think we moved into other territory. So I think it's necessary to recap and take a vote on a new motion.

Wendy, I think you've spoken, or do you have something more?

WENDY LEISERSON: Only that I agree with what

Jason said -- that I hope that not only will there be communications about transition services, but that the residents will understand and be on board with this beautiful new design of their space and their home. So I hope that that will also be a part of the communications with the residents.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. All right. I'm going to make a motion now -- I just want to say a revised motion -- to grant the variance with the condition, or condition maybe that we've spoken about, and see if anyone has any comments.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship. And the hardship really flows from the fact that this building was not built as a health care facility; it was built as a schoolhouse.

And so it's been modified over the years to go from a schoolhouse to a health care facility, and there's a constant need to upgrade and modify the structure, with the result that further zoning relief is always on the horizon.

The hardship is owing to the fact that I've just identified -- namely that we're talking about a school building that's being -- has been and will continue to be converted to a home -- to health care for the elderly.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

And again, it's clear that there's no substantial -- I believe anyway -- there's no substantial detriment to the public good, but in fact what is being proposed is an improvement to the public good, or to further the interests of the public good.

So on the basis of these findings, or these -- the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Bargmann -- B-a-r-g-m-a-n-n Hendrie, H-e-n-d-r-i-e and Archtype, A-r-c-h-e-t-y-p-e.

Second, that any parking spaces on the premises now or hereafter shall be used primarily and priority be given to persons, home health care aides or the like, providing services to the residents of the building, and not
to employees who are just of the service ability.
This is a need for the occupants. They are the primary beneficiaries of what is being -- will be done, and I don't want to have the parking disrupt that or undermine that.

Any other conditions that members of the Board want, besides those?

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I would just like to clarify for the record that the use of the building really is for housing, not primarily health care, just to clarify.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Fine. Thank you, and that will be part of the -- that clarification will be incorporated into the relief that's being granted.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. All right,
Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of
the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes in
favor of the variance as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The variance is granted.
Thank you.
NATALIE JANSON: Thank you very much -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're welcome.

NATALIE JANSON: -- for your time and for your
support.
(9:36 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 119365 -- 39 Hubbard Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SAYEM KHAN: Good evening, can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SAYEM KHAN: Hi. My name is Sayem Khan. I'm the architect for 39 Hubbard Avenue.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The floor is yours.
SAYEM KHAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I must comment, by the way, at the outset that your plans are, shall we say scant.

SAYEM KHAN: Scant? Okay. Well, the project is quite simple. It's right now currently it's an existing deck on top of a kitchen addition with a single-family house. And it -- right now it is 172 square feet. That is a covered structure. And the rest is open.

So the project is to remove the existing structure
that's there right now, and to build a -- something that's more usable, a three-season porch, which is something that's much more structurally stable and much more useable for the owners of the house.

So that's -- and the reason that we are asking for a special permit is because currently the existing building that was there is nonconforming. And so this additional square footage requires us to go for a permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Could you go to the slides that show the impact, the changes to the structure, relevant to the -- also, that's it. Good. Thank you.

SAYEM KHAN: So this is -- what we are looking at currently is the existing structure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Have you spoken to neighbors? Have you or your clients spoken to neighbors?

SAYEM KHAN: Yes. We should, we have, and we have some letters of support. And I believe there is also a neighbor today that is present in this meeting in support.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have you any letters of opposition? Because our files are pretty scarce. Maybe I didn't get the file yet. But $I$ don't see any letters here. SAYEM KHAN: No.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I sent it to you back -- no, there's a letter -- we have one letter that's sort of questioning about what's going on. And I'll read it into the file.
"The architect, S. Khan, has not provided data relating to the structural integrity of the proposed roof deck or the testing of his ideas. In particular, his design does not allow access for snow removal, which becomes hazardous in heavy snow or high wind conditions.
"I also believe the structure should have independent footing and not be supported or anchored in the frame of the kitchen.

I have not seen a covered deck in this area as the one proposed."

SAYEM KHAN: So --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Finally,
"The architect has never discussed these matters with me; only briefly with my wife, who is a medical doctor."

Do you have any comments in response?
SAYEM KHAN: In regard to the structural
integrity, you have structural drawings that have been
stamped, and designed and calculated by a certified structural engineer -- a very experienced structural engineer. So that has been already submitted to the Inspectional Department.

So in regard to the structural question, we are covered; that has been designed. And that hasn't been included in this presentation, because I wasn't aware that, you know, you need to look at structural drawings.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you say you have these letters of support, why don't we have them in our files? SAYEM KHAN: I don't know. We have -- I have submitted all the letters of support. There was two letters of support that neighbors have provided that I have scanned, and that should be in the record. So I'm looking at the website right now; letters of support, right there, uploaded May 05, 2021.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anything else you want to add? I ask the members of the Board if they have any questions or comments? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, can I speak? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, you -UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello?

THE REPORTER: Your name, please?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you identify --
TONI GIBSON: Hello? I am Toni Gibson, who is -you know, who has authorized the architect to design this porch for me. And the letter that you got came from my husband, who is 87 years old, and I am 75.

He at this point in his life is very reticent to change, and had not wanted any part of my -- you know, having this porch done.

It means a lot to me, and at this point now, he's -- you know, gotten upset and decided that he -- I mean I think he's just trying to find something to keep this from happening. But $I$ feel like it's a family dispute. It's a dispute between the two of us.

And, you know, the porch -- I just want the Zoning Board to address the issues that were raised. And he -it's very difficult for me to talk to him right now. He -I don't --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We do a lot of things in the Zoning Board, but we don't get involved in marital disputes.

TONI GIBSON: I understand. I understand that,
but his letter was done on purpose to try to stop me from having the screened porch, what means the world to me. I'm --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Ma'am. The problem I have -- probably just me -- is that the presentation, plans are inadequate. They don't -- they're not the detail that we're used to getting.

There are other architects that supply to us, including many of the cases you've heard tonight. It makes it difficult for us to reach a decision, or maybe I should be more precise -- makes it difficult for me to reach a decision.

TONI GIBSON: What is missing? I mean, he -- you don't see the final drawing?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But $I$ don't know what's on the screen.

TONI GIBSON: That's all -- okay.
[Simultaneous speech]
TONI GIBSON: What?
SAYEM KHAN: I'm sorry, that's the only one sheet. I mean, why -- you know, I have provided, you know, the drawings that -- you're only looking at one sheet.

TONI GIBSON: Let me go --
SAYEM KHAN: There is one, two, three, four, five, six, seven sheets there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan I guess I'm just -- I've seen these before too, and I -- there are enough dimensions on here.

You know, we have to pass this on, obviously, to Inspectional Services and the Building Department to approve for building code. And they, you know, rely upon us to produce and to hand off to them an adequate set of drawings that they can evaluate, and then ultimately issue a permit on.

They're basic, but they also have the basic information in them, $I$ guess.

TONI GIBSON: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So I would be okay with them.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, do you have any comments at this point?

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, I'm okay with the plans. I can understand enough of what is being requested here. But as I read the request, it's by both owners of this home. And it now sounds as if only one of those folks is a
petitioner. So I don't know logistically whether there's an issue there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, one may have standing. TONI GIBSON: What? Oh. Well no, I did not include his name when I signed -- you know, got things notarized, because $I$ was the one who was getting this porch done. And I have asked nothing of my husband to do.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: I just see on our list of cases tonight; I see Frank and Toni Gibson listed as the petitioners so.

TONI GIBSON: You know, I think that's because his
-- both of our names are on the deed, and that was the way it had to be written. I, you know, and I didn't approve that, it was just the way it was typed up. I don't know.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It appears that domestic tranquility is at stake here, but anyhow.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I only raise that question because I'm not sure logistically whether we need both owners to be on board with the petition -- sort of what is the status of one owner being our sort of sole objector?

I'll leave that to you, Mr. Chair. Just it's a
little unclear to me what that means.

JASON MARSHALL: This is Jason Marshall. I think,
Andrea, it's an interesting question. Legally, though, I think we still have an active petition before us.

I don't think it's been withdrawn, even by virtue of an e-mail that maybe raises concerns, and as bizarre as it is from a co-petitioner, the petition is still active as far as I would understand it to be.

Could I bring the discussion maybe to a different place, Mr. Chairman?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.
JASON MARSHALL: Could I ask a question? Sisia, could you go to page 4 of the dimensional information, please? So $I$ guess this is probably a question for Mr . Khan. Are you still on?

SAYEM KHAN: I'm still here.
JASON MARSHALL: Okay. So it looks to me like so you're increasing the gross floor area, but you're still under the max, right?

SAYEM KHAN: Yes.
JASON MARSHALL: Is that correct? In other words, you're not creating a new nonconformity here, right?

SAYEM KHAN: No, no.

JASON MARSHALL: And if I'm reading this right, it
looks like you're taking what was a left-side setback that was in violation -- it was an existing nonconforming of 2.8 , and now you're making it conforming at 7.5? Is that right?

SAYEM KHAN: So the structures in -- the structure that was -- is in the back, where the kitchen is right now, that is conforming.

JASON MARSHALL: By virtue of the new projects, you will make something that was nonconforming conforming?

SAYEM KHAN: Yes. It is conforming. Yes, it will be conforming.

JASON MARSHALL: And is there anything that you're doing that will increase a nonconforming element of the property?

SAYEM KHAN: No.
JASON MARSHALL: So this is why I wanted to highlight this page in this discussion. To me, this is a really good example of $I$ think a case where a homeowner of a single-family house under the Bellalta decision can as-ofright make this change because they're not creating a new nonconformity, they're not increasing the intensity of an
existing nonconformity.
In my view, and it's just one member's view, I think the homeowner can do this as-of-right. I will support the special permit for the same reason I think they meet the criteria with a lot of room to spare, because they're not increasing the intensity of a nonconformity, they're not creating a new one.

So I don't think this has to be before us. I think they have a right under the state law to do it, but nonetheless $I$ would vote in favor.

That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. And I know I've made similar comments before, so -- but this is a good example, I think. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I must say that I am mystified by the sheet with all the information, the dimensional information.

You're going to take a building that has total gross floor area of 2593 feet and increase it to 2926, which is, you know, maybe 350 feet roughly. Yet the FAR, which is now 0.75 is not changing.

And I don't see how you can get there. If you're going to add more gross floor area, shouldn't your ratio
change?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Chair, if I could --
it's Andrea Hickey, if I could ask --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- a question? If I could ask Mr.
Khan in his total gross floor area existing, are you
including the porch as it exists now?
[Pause]
ALISON HAMMER: Mr. Khan?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, he's on mute.
SAYEM KHAN: Yes, that was included.
ANDREA HICKEY: It's included in the 2593?
SAYEM KHAN: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: So what accounts for the requested
condition of 2926? Where does that extra area get added onto?

SAYEM KHAN: So that is coming from -- that is coming from -- just as.

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm trying to understand the question that the Chairman raised; how the ratio stays the same, when the GFA increases.

SAYEM KHAN: So we are at -- what we are doing is
we're adding 158 square feet that's being covered. So that's where the additional square footage is coming in.

ANDREA HICKEY: So Mr. Chair, I think that answers the question. I think the 2593 does not include the existing open porch. And perhaps it should. Because if the GFA doesn't change, those numbers should be the same, correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think that's right.
ANDREA HICKEY: So I think there's an issue with the form. I don't think it's accurate.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, they have got to be increasing the gross floor area by putting a roof over it. There's more roof there now. [This is Brendan Sullivan, following on Andrea.]

There is no roof over the structure now. They are replacing it with a roof structure. Hence the gross floor area has to increase, which means that the ratio has to increase.

ANDREA HICKEY: Unless the base GFA is wrong, and should be 2926.

SAYEM KHAN: The base as in, you're talking about the existing?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.
SAYEM KHAN: No, that -- no, that's not because what's increasing the gross square footage is this additional, you know, 150 square feet that we are covering. ANDREA HICKEY: So how is it that the ratio doesn't change?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] If the first number changes, then the third number has to change.

ANDREA HICKEY: That's correct. And if the third number is correct as is, then the first number is incorrect. JASON MARSHALL: And this is Jason Marshall. If the first number is correct, and it's being pushed over, now you're not in conformance with FAR, so you would then have to seek a variance. But $I$ appreciate the Chairman bringing that up.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Khan, are you able to explain how the ratio would stay the same, if the existing and requested are different?

SAYEM KHAN: I don't know. I have to look at this. To be honest right now, no. At this very moment, I don't.
hour of the night, but $I$ think this is a case that's ripe for a continuance.

You need to go back and review the dimensional form and give answers to this detail, to the questions that are being raised. I don't know what I'm voting on if the dimensional form is not correct, and you can't explain to me or to the other members of the Board why it's not.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, if I could also add -sort of adding to Jason Marshall's comment, it could also be that if you go back to Inspectional Services, they might tell you under Bellalta that you don't need relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right. And then you wouldn't have to spend your night with us seeking relief. The case is not in a proper form in my judgment for informed decision by this Board. There are questions we have raised; we haven't gotten any answers that I'm comfortable with.

And I get a feeling that I'm not sure that the petitioner understands what we need and what our concerns are.

And as Jason's pointed out, we may not need relief at all. Go back to the Building Department and have a heart-to -heart with these forms, and let's get the facts.

And then come back before us if you need to.
I don't feel I have the facts before me tonight to make a decision. So I'm going to abstain from the decision. I'm not going to vote in favor of this, because I don't know what I'm voting in favor of.

JASON MARSHALL: And Mr. Chair, it's Jason
Marshall. I just want to clarify my statements before were based on taking this form at face value, but given the discussion, I no longer have confidence in the numbers that are on this page.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I think we're going to -- I'm going to make a motion that we continue this case. It'll be a case heard. All five of us will have to be on the -- sit on the case at that time. So the Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard subject to the conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. That waiver must be signed no later than 5:00 p.m. a week from this Monday. If that's not done, then the case will automatically be dismissed.

And the reason for the waiver of time for decision is state law requires us to reach a decision within a
certain number of days, and we're not able to do that, in my view anyway tonight.

So it's a form that we use for every -- for all our zoning cases, not controversial. So number 1, you must sign. The petitioner must sign this waiver, which you can obtain from the Building Department no later than 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

Two, to the extent that we set the new date, the posting sign that's there now must be revised to reflect the new date and very importantly the new time, or you can get a new sign from the Building Department.

And last, that to the extent that there is new information, plans, drawings, what have you -- dimensional forms, they must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before the date of the continued hearing, which I have not identified yet. What date's available?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I think it's going to be -- the earliest would be September 2.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Don't we have September 2
the --
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SISIA DAGLIAN: That's the September 9.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: September 9? Okay.
JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, [Jason Marshall.]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. Just one second, as
I shared with you before, I raised my hand to be here tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right.
JASON MARSHALL: I have potentially conflicts on Thursday nights beginning in September. So I may not be able to participate in September. I could participate if he case was set for $I$ think the end of July, if that's possible. Otherwise, I think you'd have four hearing this case, potentially.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, July 29 we already have three cases. We have three -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Three. SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't know if you want to add another.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think -- well, this is Brendan Sullivan -- I think that once we got your corrected dimensional form, that it should move very quickly. If you want to take a break, I would opt for as quickly as
possible.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The petitioner, are you available for July 29?

SAYEM KHAN: Yes, sir.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And you could submit the information, the additional information by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before July $29 ?$

SAYEM KHAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. So I neglected
to put this in the motion in the beginning. The case will be continued until --

SISIA DAGLIAN: 6:00 p.m.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Until 6:00 p.m. on July 29, and subject to the conditions I just recited. We must sign a waiver of time for decision be 5 p.m. a week from Monday.

You must have revised additional information in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before July 29, and that the posting sign or a posting sign must be maintained for the 14 days prior to July 29, just as you've done for the hearing tonight.

And that sign can be a new one, which is probably
a good idea, or you can modify with a magic marker a sign that you have right now, provided that you change not only the date, but you must change the time -- 6:00 p.m.

All those in favor? Brian? Brendan, I'm sorry. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case is continued until July 29.
(10:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 117683 -- 1876 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Hello?

PHONG NGUGEN: Hello? Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
PHONG NGUGEN: Okay. Just one second. Hold on.
Let me -- okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Where are we?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't know, he's there.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? Is the petitioner here on the line?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: You're muted?

PHONG NGUGEN: Can you hear me right now?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes. So my name is Phong Ngugen. I'm here to propose a second permission to continue the space at Zoie Acai Bar for same capacity -- no construction, just [2:16:47 indiscernible A].

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes? You're here seeking -- that's a fast, what the zoning ordinance calls a fastorder food establishment?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. There's currently -

- we approved one restaurant that was there. Is that restaurant going to continue? Are you going to add the food, the juice bar as an added feature of the restaurant, or is this going to be completely a juice bar, nothing else?

PHONG NGUGEN: Completely juice bar, nothing else.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Juice bar. No food will be served?

PHONG NGUGEN: We have, like an Acai bar. We have smoothies, we have a few -- like, you know, like the heated burgers or sandwich things. So it's a very simple menu,
simple concept. There's no cooking equipment, no open flame.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So -- okay, now for a fast-order food establishment, we have to make the various -- this Board has to make various findings, most of which we made when -- all of which we made when we approved the restaurant that's no longer going to be there. And I assume you're going to be able to be satisfy them as well?

Your juice bar will not create traffic problems, reduce available parking, threaten the public safety on the streets and sidewalks, or encourage or produce double parking on the adjacent streets, is that correct?

PHONG NGUGEN: No. It's -- we're going to do mostly, like, delivery, online pickup. That's the mostly business, I think, because --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
PHONG NGUGEN: It's not like dine in, sit-down restaurant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're suppose to see the physical design, including the color and use of the materials, of the establishment, to be sure that we find that it's compatible with and sensitive to the visual and
physical characteristics of other buildings, public spaces and uses in the particular location. I don't see anything in our files that deals with that?

PHONG NGUGEN: It's the same. It will stay the same. And I already, like, sent you the one existing. It's nothing going to do, just changing the sign. The sign's already done.

But, like, inside we don't change anything. We keep the same color, same concept, same tables and chairs -everything the same.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What will the signage be like?

PHONG NGUGEN: The signage?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're going to have -the signage on the -- what signs are you going to have on your premises, the public will see?

PHONG NGUGEN: We already have the permit for that, and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It would be nice if you shared it with us.

PHONG NGUGEN: [Can you hand me the sign] -- can you hold on just a second? I will put it up for you. [Let
me share that with --]. Everything inside is going to stay the same, nothing changes. Because we just changed the different concept, we changed to different concept. We don't sell [2:19:43 indiscernible] anymore.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, the signage out in front
is going to change?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right?
PHONG NGUGEN: The sign in front is going to
change.
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Wendy
Leiserson. May I ask a question?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
WENDY LEISERSON: So I believe you have already changed the sign, is that correct? PHONG NGUGEN: Yes. WENDY LEISERSON: You have a new sign now, yes? PHONG NGUGEN: Yes. I have a new sign now, yes. WENDY LEISERSON: Is it the same sign just with different letters, or did you change the design of what you had?

PHONG NGUGEN: We changed the design that we have. We already approved by the sign department, the sign company for the permit to do the sign. And right now, it's already up, it's already done.

WENDY LEISERSON: And so you're already doing business?

PHONG NGUGEN: No, no, no, we're not open yet. We just put up the --

WENDY LEISERSON: Not open yet. Okay. Thank you.
PHONG NGUGEN: Yep.
ANDREA HICKEY: And if I could ask -- this is
Andrea Hickey -- is the size of the sign the same, even though the lettering is different?

PHONG NGUGEN: The different name and different d/b/a -- different -- I can show you in one minute. So my partner helped me out to put up the picture up for you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So Community Development has approved it?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We don't have anything in our files from Community Development.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, they would only -- this
is Brendan Sullivan -- they would only notify us if they required relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's true.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So.
ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I think if the sign is similar to what was there before, then I don't think relief is required for that. As long as it's not bigger or lit up or something or --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right, yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- higher.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Height, yeah, right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's correct. Matter of
fact, if my memory --
PHONG NGUGEN: It's similar to --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- signage there now, it was not approved by our Board, it was approved by Community Development, and that was it. So I think both of you are right.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The signage has been approved by Community Development; there's no need for us to
see it. If it's not approved, then you got a big problem, because you violated the zoning laws.

PHONG NGUGEN: Yeah, it's approved by the community.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
PHONG NGUGEN: It's approved by the Community Development, so I already worked all through that process.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Were you involved in the management of the other restaurant, of the restaurant that you're replacing?

PHONG NGUGEN: I'm sorry?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Were you -- are you the owner? There was a restaurant there now --

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Yoki Express.
PHONG NGUGEN: Yes. And --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are you part of that management team, or ownership team?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes. I am the owner, and I am the part owner and the manager, management.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So you've been
running a restaurant before at these premises?
PHONG NGUGEN: Yes, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- pursuant to a -PHONG NGUGEN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- special permit we granted?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're just changing the nature of the product offering?

PHONG NGUGEN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're not going to offer
juices? No longer prepared food, am I correct?
PHONG NGUGEN: It's still -- the old menu is not there, but right now we changed to a different concept. We changed. We do, like, juicer bar and Acai bar like smoothie bar. That's the concept right now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Is there any food? What about disposal of waste -- garbage or, you know, whatever? Are there any issues? That was an issue before with the restaurant, because of the nature of the building. Should we be concerned about that this time?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yeah. We have a company we work
with. Like, they do the -- like, at least, like, four or five times a week to do trash removal. And --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Where do you store your waste in waiting for your company to come pick it up?

PHONG NGUGEN: We have, like, we have like four or five trash cans with the lid covering it behind the building, and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know where the -behind the building. It's a very narrow alleyway between -behind this, your building --

PHONG NGUGEN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and the, I think it's the condo building right next door.

PHONG NGUGEN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do you have a right to store your garbage there until someone picks it up?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think the Chair will
make a move that we grant a fast-order food establishment to the petitioner, based upon the testimony that's been provided, namely as to the product offering, nature of the signs, and the disposal of waste. I used the word,
"garbage" before, I should have used the word, "waste."
What are you going to do about disposal or refuse facilities and people who've bought the juice, drunk it and done with it? Are you going to have receptacles near the entrance of the restaurant?

PHONG NGUGEN: Yes, yes. We're going to have a separate building for that, for like --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Inside the restaurant, or outside?

PHONG NGUGEN: Inside the restaurant. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So there will be no impact on the street?

PHONG NGUGEN: Right. No impact on the street -inside.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: People put the waste in the barrels or inside the restaurant.

PHONG NGUGEN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think the Chair moves that we grant the fast-order food establishment requested by the petitioner on the basis mainly that what is being operated here is something we passed upon with regard to the prior restaurant, that the impact of this proposed juice bar
would be much less on the community or the neighborhood than the restaurant before could have had.

And I don't know more to add.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson saying yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The special permit has been granted. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
(10:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 120105 -- 96 Foster -[Simultaneous speech]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I guess they're on.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hm? Let me repeat. The Chair will now call Case Number 120105 -- 96 Foster Street. PHILIP GRAETER: All right. Yes, we're here, Mr. Chairman, sorry.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay. Thank you.
PHILIP GRAETER: Sorry. It was -- the video sort of re queued when we were brought up. I'm here with Susanna Jacobus. I'm Philip Greater, representing here. I believe the architect is also on, as is the General Contractor, Don Clifford, and Philip Miller, the architect, in case there are any questions.

If I can just begin, Mr. Chairman. This Board granted the prior owner of this property a special permit
and a variance in 2017, which if we can skip to the next slide, probably -- that one -- which involved razing the building. None of that's an issue here.

This is simply a minor modification to windows and the addition of a small dormer in place of two previously approved skylights.

This elevation shows the currently permitted east and west faces of the property, and I would just -- before we get to the part about what we're changing, on the left side of the screen you'll see the recessed, slightly lower area. There's four skylights there. That's permitted. I believe two of them have been built -- the two on the ends.

And then if you look at the west elevation at the bottom, you'll see a single skylight, which is already permitted and it's there.

And if we could skip two slides ahead?
This is the configuration of what we're proposing.
And again, the reason we're doing this is because the face of that building is within the setback.

But to be completely honest, the recessed rear area where most of what we're asking was taking place, is actually outside the setback line. See? Because it's set
back. The back façade of the building is 9.7 feet from the property line.

So what we're proposing doing is taking the two middle skylights and replacing them with a small dormer, raising the window level of that dormer up to match the other ones across the second floor, and then if you look at the west elevation at the bottom of the same page, adding another skylight next to the current one.

And that's really it. And again, the only reason is because these window changes are within the setback. It's our position that we don't need zoning relief for the dormer and the other windows, because they're out -- that façade is outside the setback, but nonetheless here. We weren't successful in seeking that with Inspectional Services.

The dormer will be five-foot-six-inches wide. It's not reflected on this plan; that's the dimension. Five-foot-six inches on the exterior. There is no increase to the FAR, there's no increase in square footage. There's sufficient height within the room. The dormer doesn't add any square footage to the property.

The change here is minimal. It's certainly exempt
from Historic. The neighbor who's most closely -- I would not even say affected, but who would see this area most closely, the one on the rear -- has voiced his support.

It's not -- informally to my client, but certainly
made the representation that it's Jeff Cronin at 94 Foster Street has essentially voiced his support for this, and supports what we're doing with the building here.

Again, this is sort of the last step in making these changes to something that was permitted several years ago.

In the interim, the property was sold. It was gutted, sold, and then there was a foundation collapse in the middle that sort of delayed all this, which is why we're just now coming towards the end of construction.

So, and as -- yeah, unless there are any questions, $I$ think this is fairly -- hopefully a fairly straightforward issue.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I agree with you. It is a straightforward issue, the relief being -- in my opinion the relief being sought is very modest in nature, with little if any impact on the city itself.

But, questions? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, Mr. Chair. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall no questions, Mr.
Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair is ready
and -- oh, I'm sorry, Wendy.
WENDY LEISERSON: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy!
WENDY LEISERSON: Hi, there.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry.
WENDY LEISERSON: That's okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no
questions as well. So we'll open the matter up to public testimony. We have no letters in our file, I don't think. I'll just check briefly before $I$ do open it up to public testimony. No, apparently not.

So any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No calls. So public testimony has been closed. Ready for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that -- we're looking for a special permit here -- the Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That what the petitioner proposes to do cannot meet the requirements of our ordinance unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. In fact, the facts speak for themselves -- there is very modest modification to the skylights and dormers.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the
detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by American Dural, each one of which has been initialed by the Chair.

## Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sir?
PHILIP GRAETER: Sorry, Mr. Chairman. One brief clarification. I believe Sisia brought this to our attention. The floor plan that's -- it's Plan A-100, it's the first of the drawings -- actually was reflected a slightly different proposal earlier.

And that document -- and I, yeah, sorry it's this document -- if you look at the right, I just want to -- just
for clarity, since you're basing it on these plans, the only issue here is if you look at the bottom of the right-hand drawing, that shows the skylights on the opposite side.

And we have a slightly -- it's so minor, because they're not structures -- we have one that essentially just reflects the skylights to be on the right side of the floor, as opposed to the left side of that floor.

And we could certainly submit that we weren't aware of the discrepancy until Tuesday, so it was too late to submit this for this, but that the plan has been updated.

But again, just for -- so that we're not tied to the plan that's actually in the file. We had -- the elevations accurately reflect what we're trying to do.

And you'll see where those two skylights are at the bottom. Those essentially would be directly opposite on the other side of the room between the windows, which is the dormer. So just for clarity.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have -- I mean the Building Department will take action based upon the plans that we approve.

PHILIP GRAETER: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I'm going to have to --
you're going to have to walk me through and I'll modify -PHILIP GRAETER: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the plans that are in our files. I'm pulling up the American Dural plan.

PHILIP GRAETER: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: A-100.
PHILIP GRAETER: Correct. A-100.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And on the right-hand
side, I know what you're --
PHILIP GRAETER: Right. If I may --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: On the right-hand side on
the bottom of the -- that --
PHILIP GRAETER: The bottom of the second-floor proposed plan, yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right. What do you -- how
do you want to modify?
PHILIP GRAETER: Those two -- there's a V-shaped arrow, which shows two skylights next to two bookcases. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

PHILIP GRAETER: Those essentially -- those would not be there. Those would be on the opposite side of the room on either side of the dormer.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. Okay. I've modified the plans. Sisia --

PHILIP GRAETER: So that's actually exists at the
moment --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- going on.
PHILIP GRAETER: -- so it's consistent with the current plan. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
PHILIP GRAETER: Just to be clear.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The plans have been
modified. Thank you for forwarding that to our attention.
PHILIP GRAETER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I assume -- the dormer that you're proposing, does it comply with our dormer guidelines?

PHILIP GRAETER: Yes. We -- if we have a question, we can ask the architects. But yeah, it does. And there's no increase in floor area. It's the -- it does not extend horizontally beyond the existing façade. It doesn't violate either the dimensional regulations or extend above the roofline in the front.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. That is
part of our record. Brendan, you've already taken your vote, I think?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason? JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes in favor of the special permit. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the special permit. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES] CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The special permit has been granted. Thank you.
(10:25 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We're going to turn to our last case on the agenda. It's Case Number 117391 -20 Avon Hill Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Phone number ending 4821.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, we can go ahead without them. It's *9, that's as you raise your hand. But you unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll wait. We've killed enough time as it is, I'll wait.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: To the -- this is Brendan Sullivan -- to the other members of the Board, I'm just reading the memo from the Law Department regarding the Bellalta.

And it says, "Section 8.22 deed provides that if an alteration or an addition to a lawful preexisting, nonconforming single and two-family dwelling increases an existing dimensional nonconformity, but doesn't create any
new dimensional nonconformities, the property owner is only required to retain a special permit for the alteration or addition and not a variance.
"For example, if a single or two-family dwelling was already nonconforming as to side yard setback, the property owner can add onto the drawing in such a way that will further reduce the side yard setback without obtaining a variance if the property owner obtains a special permit.
"In order to approve the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal must find that the addition/alteration is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood."

So they can't just do it as-of-right, but they are required to get a special permit. And $I$ think what it is is that it was basically before people would have to get a variance. And of course --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That was the old law.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- a higher bar, and proving hardship and so on and so forth. And so the courts have found again at Bellalta that it only requires a special permit. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Cambridge zoning law
has been changed to reflect that decision.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: To request that. That's the Section 8.22.2 d), which is a new section.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: d) -- that was a variance. It's no longer required.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So they still have to get a special permit?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But can't necessarily do it as of right.

JASON MARSHALL: Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JASON MARSHALL: This is Jason. And so that is a very helpful change to the ordinance. The situation that $I$ bring up from time to time involves another part of the Bellalta decision where the court discussions that there's an initial determination before you even get to whether something is substantially more detrimental. And that is, is the change more intensive or not?

And so for example, if they're actually correcting the setback and now, they're in conformance, they don't have to get a special permit for that, because they're not
intensifying the nonconformance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
JASON MARSHALL: And they can do it as-of-right.
That's the point I make from time to time and so that's just -- that provides expression the building administrator to allow for the building as-of-right, rather than coming before the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
JASON MARSHALL: But sometimes that's a close call, you know, I get it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Right. So I think he may err on the side of getting a special permit just to protect. So --

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But it becomes an administrative decision, whether it comes to us or not.

JASON MARSHALL: I agree. Sometimes it's an easy call, and in the close calls I understand taking a conservative posture. So sorry to bring it up from time so much, and I appreciate your indulgence, Mr. Chairman and my fellow members. I won't bring it up every single time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no, no. And I think you're
correct. And not to be specific, but I think you were correct in your analogy on previous comments, and we'll leave it at that.

JASON MARSHALL: That might have been a bad case study, in light of --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no --
JASON MARSHALL: -- your perceptive reading, but yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it was an excellent example, because I think it had to do with the intensity issue, so -JASON MARSHALL: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, you were correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The issue starts with the Building Department -- Ranjit Singanayagam. He makes the first call.

JASON MARSHALL: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do we need a special -does the petitioner need a special permit, or can you rely on the language that you've just supported, Jason? And so that's as a matter of right, you don't need any.

But once Ranjit decides that we do need a special permit, then the petitioner has a choice: He can seek that
special permit or he can appeal Ranjit's decision that a special permit is necessary. But that's where we get drawn in in person, since it's Ranjit's call, not hours.

JASON MARSHALL: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Which we're still trying to see if we can get the petitioner on the line, so we can hear the case.
[Pause]
WENDY LEISERSON: This is Wendy Leiserson. May I ask a point of clarification on the Bellalta interpretation? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.

WENDY LEISERSON: So Jason, I guess this question is for you. Is -- so what you said was that, as I'm reading the paragraph that we were given by the Law Department, it says [that], "If there's a lawful preexisting, nonconforming single or two-family dwelling, if the proposed alteration increases an existing nonconformity --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- but does not create any new dimensional nonconformities, that's when the special permit process can be used." But then it says in the last sentence, "It's our job -- the Zoning Board's job -- to find that it's
not more detrimental than the existing structure of the neighborhood." Is that correct?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, that's correct.
WENDY LEISERSON: So what were you saying was the precedent for the -- or the predicate for the building permit or Building Department to find?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I'm saying there's a threshold inquiry that takes place even before you get there -- not in every circumstance, but you know an example of say, if the proposed alteration is actually providing a bigger setback, so it's sort of correcting the setback.

So you're not making -- you're not decreasing it, so you're not making it more intense; rather, you're correcting it.

WENDY LEISERSON: Correct, yep. So you're saying the condition of it increasing the dimensional nonconformity has to be --

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, it has to increase it. And even then, it might be a close call if it's really marginal --

WENDY LEISERSON: Mm-hm.
JASON MARSHALL: And that's where it gets
subjective. If it's really marginal so you're increasing it by percentage points, you know, one could argue that's actually not intensifying it, and you should still -- you know, be able to do is as-of-right.

But, again, I understand the sort of conservative posture, and why not have the Board rule on that.

For me the easy examples are when you're making it more conforming, and then in that case, you don't have to go to the substantially more detrimental standard.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
JASON MARSHALL: You never even reach that question.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think --
ANDREA HICKEY: Jason, I think you -- I don't mean to speak for you, but I think you mean to say when you're making it less nonconforming? Which is --

JASON MARSHALL: Correct, yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- more conforming.
JASON MARSHALL: As usual, Andrea, you have helped clarify the record for me. Thank you very much. Yes. That's exactly what I mean.

WENDY LEISERSON: Got it. Okay. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Still waiting to see if we can find -- get the petitioner on the phone number ending.

JASON MARSHALL: And Andrea just -- and also for the record, I'm happy for you to speak for me in most all aspects regularly, so please do.

ANDREA HICKEY: [Laughter] And I'm happy for you to shut me down, so --

JASON MARSHALL: I won't ever make that a practice.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
JASON MARSHALL: Well, Wendy, welcome aboard. It's good to have you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right.
WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you. Thank you all for showing me the ropes so well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You've had a trial by fire tonight.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Long night -- cases -- and some of the presentations were less than perfect, at least in my view, so --

WENDY LEISERSON: Well, I --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- most confusingly.
WENDY LEISERSON: It all helps me to learn, so
thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: And let's all remember we have hot mics so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I'm of the mind, just because we haven't heard this case, rather than trying to drag -- reach this person, just continue this case until the next, I mean unless you can get the person on the line --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. They're actually two hours late now for their scheduled time. They were on for 8:30.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, yeah, I don't blame them at all -- that's not the first time. People hang up on us.

ANDREA HICKEY: Oh no, I'm not sticking up for them, I'm just saying, you know, they've had enough time to be monitoring when they're up. But if we continue, how do you contact them to have them sign the waiver?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, we can get in touch with them. He's just -- he's trying to call. He was on until, like, five minutes ago.
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So Andrea in terms of getting in touch with them, we have the petitioners' address information, and Maria can get in touch with them tomorrow.

The question is, if we say no, we're not going to sign anything, then the relief will be granted when the 65day period runs.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: On the other hand -- no, I don't want to say it. I mean, I shouldn't say, I don't want to prejudge the case.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, here it is. Hello? Elias, can you press \#6 to unmute yourself?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, he's on, but I think he doesn't know how to unmute himself.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're making progress.
WENDY LEISERSON: I think sometimes my iPhone doesn't like this kind of interaction. So maybe it's a technical glitch.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It could be. You may be right. Trouble is, we need to speak with them, to hear them.

SISIA DAGLIAN: *6.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So that they can make the presentation.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Elias, try *6. Oh, there we go. Are you there?

ELIAS J. CORY: All right. I'm there. I'm here. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Success!

ELIAS J. CORY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours.
ELIAS J. CORY: All right. So my name is Elias J. Cory, and I live at 20 Avon Hill Street in Cambridge with my wife. So I am a long-term resident in this house with my wife, about almost 60 years. We raised our kids here.

So I'm now 93. My wife is almost 90. She is not well. She is at serious risk of fall, and currently she has been in and out of Mount Auburn recently, and currently she's not strong.

And every time she goes up to the bathroom on the second floor, she is at risk of fall. We do have railings on both sides, but we're advised by the physician to do what we can to put in a first-floor bathroom.

So I've submitted a plot plan showing how we can
add a 5 x 8 food addition at the back of our house, which is easy to connect to the water and sewer lines. So it's -and we've submitted a plan for a toilet and a washbasin, and a small shower in that 5 x 8 -foot space.

So we have letters from all four abutting neighbors saying that they support our plan to stay in our house as long as we can, and they support the first-floor bathroom.

So it would be just a one-story addition, totally invisible from the front of the house or from any side, because we have cedar trees, which are 15 feet high all around the back of our house.

We have a large back yard, so that addition is a good 40 feet from the rear of the house.

So this is an old house. It was built in 1867 by Mr. Saunderson. His daughter we got to know after we moved in. It's one of the original developments around Avon Place and Avon Hill Street.

So we're asking for a minimal addition, one story, and just for this small bathroom. So it doesn't extend beyond the house. In fact, it's two feet in from the side of the house. The south side of the house, as you can see
in the plot plan, is actually two feet away from the lot line. And the next house is also two feet on the other side, the north side, of the lot line.

Nonetheless, these houses were laid out in a way that was outstanding at that time to make maximum use of the space.

So in summary, we would like very much to be able to stay in this house where we raised our three kids, and where our family comes to visit. And I'm especially concerned to see that my wife improves in her health and is not in danger for a serious fall.

She has had three fractures in the last eight or nine years, and the physicians who've been treating her strongly recommend the addition of a bathroom.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
ELIAS J. CORY: I'm happy to answer any questions. I should --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think --
ELIAS J. CORY: I should give you a little bit of background.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not necessary.
ELIAS J. CORY: I've lived in Cambridge.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sir, it's not necessary, really.

ELIAS J. CORY: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: In case -- I mean, I don't mean to cut you short, but I don't think you need to take your time to go through that. You're the beneficiary of a recent change -- or will be -- the beneficiary of a recent change to our zoning ordinance dealing with the increasing a nonconformance, but that's all that's being done.

And what you're doing is you're getting -- this bathroom you want to build on the first floor, you're getting closer to the lot line than you were before, and before you were not -- you were too close to the lot line. You were nonconforming.

ELIAS J. CORY: Sorry, we're not as -- yeah, the addition is not closer to the lot line than the existing building.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, you're seeking relief under Section 8.22.2 d).

ELIAS J. CORY: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And under that, that -and I think you're right in seeking that, let me just
summarize it quickly, we can grant the special permit for the alteration or enlarging -- enlargement, I'm sorry, of a preexisting, dimensionally nonconforming, detached, singlefamily residence --

ELIAS J. CORY: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- provided there is no change in use, and you're not proposing to make any change in use of your residential structure, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity, and that's exactly what you're proposing to do.

ELIAS J. CORY: Yes, yes, yes. That's correct. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Under -- this section applies to what you're seeking to do, and the ordinance now says, "In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood."
And that the -- something else, it's not relevant
right now.
And it seems clear to me that what you're
proposing to do is not more -- it's not substantially more detrimental than what is existing now. So I think you meet that requirement.

And then if that's the case, then we only have to take a more generalized vote with regard to the general requirements for special permits.

So I will first -- let me get to the other requirements of the special permit, and then we can take one vote, which will cover all of this supposedly, subsection d) and the requirements of the Section 10.43. 10.43 provides that -- and I propose we find that the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit that you're seeking.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what you're proposing will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And you've already spoken to that by pointing out the nature of what you propose to do, and its dimensions and locations -- the location on your structure.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what you're proposing.

And again, $I$ think the facts speak for themselves; they will not just a modest change to the structure for very substantial good reasons why.

And no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance. So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit that you are seeking.

ELIAS J. CORY: Thank you so much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I should ask, before we take the vote, I should ask if there's anyone who wishes to speak. Do we have any? No?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't think so, but you want to try?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let's try it. Any members
of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Anyone -- we'll pause for a few minutes to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause] No one is calling in, so I think we can now proceed to a vote. I already closed public testimony. I made the motion.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the motion, yes to the granting of the special permit.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason? Jason Marshall yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.

> [All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The special permit is granted. Good luck.

ELIAS J. CORY: Thank you so much. I appreciate your help.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you. Goodnight.
[10:49 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 199:18 201:5 | tradition 15:9 | Truman 127:6 | 201:1,2 | 22:16 |
| 202:6 | traffic 37:21 | 128:5 | two- 50:3 78:20 | underscore 75:3 |
| times 61:3 84:2 | 101:19 167:9 | Trust 43:19 | 126:20 | underside 22:17 |
| 174:2 | 182:13 204:16 | 55:20 | two-and-a-7:18 | undersized 76:8 |
| tire 66:6 | tranquility | try 32:22 133:8 | two-bedroom | understand 31:2 |
| Titman 90:10 | 152:17 | 140:16 150:1 | 51:13 67:21 | 31:4 32:6,12 |
| today 13:15 | transcript 3:22 | 199:4 205:21 | 109:15 127:1 | 32:20 44:11 |
| 83:22 86:13 | 208:6 | 205:22 | two-families | 50:7 66:16 |
| 105:13 112:2 | transformer | trying 19:13 | 98:2 | 70:8 81:3 |
| 146:18 | 111:22 | 25:3 31:1,2 | two-family | 120:18 141:3 |
| toilet 200:3 | transient 52:8 | 42:6 49:9 52:1 | 37:12 43:11 | 149:22,22 |
| tomorrow 198:3 | 71:14 | 52:10 56:11 | 48:21 49:1,6 | 151:20 153:8 |
| Toni 149:3,3,22 | transit-friendly | 70:5 97:22 | 49:11,20 50:5 | 156:19 191:18 |
| 150:13,17,19 | 61:19 | 149:12 156:19 | 50:10 51:3 | 195:5 |
| 151:1,15 152:4 | transition 141:2 | 184:13 193:5 | 54:6 56:13,15 | understanding |
| 152:10,12 | transportation | 197:7,20 | 56:16 96:22 | 39:18,22 67:8 |
| tonight 6:7 | 137:12 | Tuesday 184:9 | 97:16 188:21 | 69:3 72:19 |
| 16:22 24:9 | trash 60:4 174:2 | turn 95:22 188:6 | 189:4 193:16 | 117:13 123:6 |
| 28:18 30:10 | 174:6 | turns 28:21 | two-person | understands |
| 33:4,20 35:1 | treads 21:10 | TV 24:14 | 78:15 | 159:18 |
| 41:10 43:13 | 87:6,8 89:7 | two 7:22 9:5 | two-story 8:5 | understood |
| 44:1 65:13 | treating 201:13 | 10:9,13,15,16 | 9:12 11:12 | 82:15 |
| 78:6 81:5,13 | tree 87:21 88:1 | 13:19 19:16 | 97:16 98:7,10 | underutilized |
| 96:20,21 | 88:20 121:15 | 23:21 25:21 | 99:12 | 106:21 109:13 |
| 102:10 120:19 | 122:4,7,14,19 | 27:18 34:18 | type 36:10 120:8 | unfortunately |
| 123:11,17,19 | 123:1,3,7,8 | 43:11 50:21 | typed 152:15 | 20:19 63:8 |
| 124:1,12 125:8 | trees 116:15,17 | 53:18 57:19 | types 54:17 | UNIDENTIFI... |
| 134:22 138:3 | 121:10 122:5 | 62:8,11 63:6 | typical 20:18 | 73:14,16,18 |
| 150:9 152:10 | 124:3 200:11 | 64:13,20 65:9 | 53:10 54:2,4 | 148:20,22 |
| 160:2 161:2 | trek 95:13 | 66:3,14 67:21 | 54:17 | Union 62:174:2 |
| 162:6 163:21 | trend 74:12 | 74:12 75:15,16 | typically 137:13 | 75:7 |
| 196:17 | 78:15,20 | 77:17 79:9 |  | unit 7:16,19 8:4 |
| Toomey 13:15 | trends 61:21 | 80:12,17 87:17 | U | 9:4 24:3,8,12 |
| 14:2 | 74:16 | 92:14 98:22 | ultimately | 24:13 26:16,16 |
| top 8:6,15 10:12 | Trevor 32:3,3,6 | 99:19 106:7 | 119:21 151:11 | 26:20 27:10,11 |
| 10:16 20:20 | 33:2 34:4,9 | 109:11 110:15 | Um-- 64:8 | 28:11 30:3 |
| 47:14 99:15 | 35:6 37:5,9,14 | 111:4,18 112:4 | unanimous | 31:4,22 32:10 |
| 100:1 119:2 | trial 196:16 | 112:11 116:8 | 13:16 17:10 | 33:6,12 34:6 |
| 145:19 | triggering 9:22 | 120:7 129:3 | 18:10 103:16 | 34:14,16 36:3 |
| total 9:20 | trip 88:16 95:12 | 130:19 132:7 | unboard 137:11 | 36:14 39:6,7,9 |
| 155:17 156:6 | 95:12 | 132:14 133:18 | unclear 79:3 | 43:9,17 46:9 |
| totally $200: 9$ | Trouble 198:21 | 134:1,13 | 116:11 153:1 | 46:10 49:7,8 |
| totals 60:22 | troubled 49:10 | 148:12 149:14 | uncommon 15:8 | 50:10 51:10,14 |
| touch 197:19 | troubles 28:7 | 151:2 161:8 | underground | 52:4 54:7 |
| 198:2,3 | true 50:12 61:7 | 178:5,12,12,16 | 111:22 | 59:16,17 63:7 |
| tracks 66:6 | 71:1 124:15,17 | 179:3 184:14 | undermine | 66:18 68:2,5 |


| 70:171:18 | 38:9,14 61:6 | 143:18,21 | 201:9 | 122:2 128:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:17 74:14 | 102:14 111:20 | 144:2,5,7,9 | visits 95:13 | 185:1 |
| 77:16 78:10 | 117:16 118:11 | 158:14 178:1 | visual 23:14 | walkable 61:19 |
| 87:15 107:13 | 118:15 121:22 | 189:3,8,16 | 66:13 167:22 | walkway 87:19 |
| 107:22 109:2,8 | 134:2 143:9 | 190:4 | visuals 14:18 | 88:13 |
| 109:12 126:15 | 161:3 167:20 | variety 21:18 | voice 20:6 | wall 9:13 21:15 |
| 127:19,19 | 183:2 201:5 | various 129:21 | voiced 180:3,6 | walls 11:14 |
| 136:5,8 | 203:7,8 205:9 | 130:12,13 | voluntarily | 120:4 |
| units 32:9 43:12 | useable 10:6 | 167:4,5 | 42:21 | want 15:5 25:19 |
| 43:14,17 48:19 | 12:10 146:3 | vastly 70:18 | vote 17:19 18:9 | 25:22 27:13,16 |
| 48:22 49:1,6 | useful 69:6 | vehicle 30:6 | 36:5 39:12,15 | 28:10 29:8 |
| 52:7 54:12 | uses 22:17 37:12 | 61:22 110:15 | 40:8 49:10,18 | 32:8 34:21 |
| 55:3,13,14,22 | 38:8 102:7 | vehicles 57:15 | 75:20 77:7,9 | 36:2,18 37:8 |
| 56:2,6,11,13 | 120:1 121:2 | veneer 21:9 | 79:10,12 80:10 | 39:22 42:7 |
| 56:21 62:15 | 168:2 182:20 | ventilation | 80:14,20 81:4 | 43:14 44:2 |
| 68:22 70:3 | 205:2 | 51:17 | 81:6,9,12 83:5 | 48:6,14 49:1 |
| 71:19 74:17,20 | usual 195:19 | venue 9:1 | 83:12 84:7 | 49:13 57:8,10 |
| 74:21 75:2 | usually $53: 10$ | verbal 24:18 | 92:16 93:8 | 63:12 66:11 |
| 77:17 82:22 | 125:2 | verify $4: 15$ | 101:12 103:7 | 67:2,10 75:3 |
| 106:5 107:14 | utilities 112:1 | versions 12:14 | 103:17 134:22 | 78:14 81:3 |
| 107:15,15,17 | utilizing 12:8 | versus 67:19 | 140:19 143:16 | 83:5 88:8 |
| 107:21 108:2,3 | 116:12 | 71:13 | 144:8 155:10 | 90:11 96:21 |
| 108:3,14,14 |  | Vice 1:8 | 160:4 164:18 | 101:13 117:2 |
| 126:12,14,16 | V | video 3:20 94:9 | 176:17 182:5 | 124:2,10 125:1 |
| 126:19 | V-shaped | 177:15 | 187:2,16 204:7 | 129:19 131:15 |
| unmute 15:22 | 185:17 | view 7:16 8:7 | 204:11 205:18 | 132:4 134:2 |
| 35:15 73:9,19 | vacant 61:5 | 11:17,17 12:20 | 206:8,10,22 | 138:17 140:7 |
| 91:6 101:5 | valuable 111:9 | 12:22 13:2,5 | votes 4:3 18:7 | 141:8 143:4,7 |
| 114:21 182:1 | value 29:21 | 31:9 49:14 | 40:5 93:6 | 148:17 149:15 |
| 188:13 198:12 | 160:8 | 70:14 71:13 | 144:6 164:16 | 160:7 162:17 |
| 198:15 206:4 | values 46:12, | 118:18 133:20 | 176:15 187:14 | 162:22 183:22 |
| unsurmounta... | 48:6 67:11 | 155:2,2 161:2 | 206:20 | 185:16 198:9,9 |
| 74:5 | variance 16:13 | 196:21 | voting 79:1,4 | 202:11 205:20 |
| update 82:11 | 17:15,21 18:4 | views 7:15 12:17 | 159:5 160:5 | wanted 28:22 |
| updated 184:10 | 18:10 44:14 | 98:13 131:14 |  | 42:5 45:12 |
| upgrade 141:21 | 75:17,19,19 | vinyl 97:16 | W | 46:9,22 51:7 |
| uploaded | 76:3 77:1,7,11 | violate 66:16 | W-y-m-a-n | 59:16 72:18 |
| 148:15 | 77:13 78:11 | 186:20 | 115:19 | 105:18 109:17 |
| uploading 13:21 | 79:13 91:16 | violated 172:2 | wait 35:16 | 119:17 127:9 |
| upper 7:15,17 | 92:9,12,18,21 | violation 154:4 | 188:13,14 | 130:9 149:8 |
| 22:3 60:19 | 93:2,5,10 | violations 95:18 | waiting 88:5 | 154:17 |
| upset 149:11 | 105:19 106:3 | virtual 120:4 | 174:4 196:1 | wanting 59:2 |
| urge 74:4 | 106:10,11 | virtue 153:5 | waiver 160:17 | wants 33:18 |
| urgent 108:10 | 110:14,22 | 154:9 | 160:18,21 | washbasin |
| usable 146:2 | 111:17 112:18 | visible 8:4 63:18 | 161:5 163:15 | 200:3 |
| use 9:19 23:7 | 112:19 120:21 | visit 25:8,12 | 197:18 | washer 116:9 |
| 24:15 26:4 | 132:2,4 134:11 | 26:1,8 95:15 | waivers $22: 1,7$ | washer/dryer |
| 27:2 36:10,22 | 141:9 142:14 | 129:15 133:15 | walk 62:1 89:22 | 116:8 |


| washers 116:7,8 | 108:13,22 | 4:20,22 5:4,5,6 | wheelchair | 105:9 145:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wasn't 46:22 | 109:5,10,13 | 5:7,8,10 6:4 | 68:19 | 165:8 188:8 |
| 83:6 88:8 | 110:5,9 111:13 | 15:13,15 18:5 | whereof 208:12 | withdrawn |
| 128:20 148:7 | 117:11,17 | 18:6,6 19:4 | white 111:21 | 153:5 |
| waste 173:18 | 119:18 120:11 | 31:15,16 32:2 | who've 175:3 | witness 208:12 |
| 174:4,22 175:1 | 122:4,20 133:1 | 40:2,3,3,10 | 201:13 | wonder 71:22 |
| 175:15 | 134:1 138:6,9 | 41:4 59:5,6 | wide 53:1 | wondering 53:8 |
| water 200:2 | 139:12 142:2 | 67:5,6,6 68:16 | 179:16 | 53:9 68:8 |
| way $11: 1921: 6$ | 150:7 157:1 | 68:21 70:8 | wider 23:2 | 126:4 |
| 28:17 62:20 | 160:11 161:1 | 71:3,6,11 | widow 27:19 | wood 23:3 |
| 70:14 72:10 | 167:13,19 | 72:18,22 73:2 | wife 7:9 86:10 | wooden 20:18 |
| 74:18 95:13 | 170:7 175:6 | 78:4,12,13 | 94:10 147:18 | word 174:22 |
| 107:9 122:14 | 177:12 178:9 | 79:2 83:17,18 | 199:12,13,14 | 175:1 |
| 125:10 138:2 | 178:17,18,21 | 86:4 90:20,21 | 201:10 | words 153:21 |
| 138:10 139:5 | 179:3 180:7,13 | 90:21 93:3,4,4 | willing 56:8 | work 9:13 10:3 |
| 145:16 152:13 | 182:8 184:11 | 94:4 100:16,17 | Willow 6:12,20 | 13:8 17:16 |
| 152:15 189:6 | 184:13 188:6 | 100:17 103:12 | 43:2 54:1 | 25:21 33:4 |
| 201:4 | 193:5 198:4,16 | 103:13,13 | 60:14 61:7,13 | 36:16 39:2 |
| ways 112:9 | 199:20 200:19 | 105:4 114:10 | Wilson 105:17 | 63:6 68:4 77:2 |
| we'll 8:5 16:1 | 202:15 | 114:11,11 | wind 147:9 | 92:13 94:22 |
| 33:5 34:2 | we've 10:18 | 136:17,17,20 | window $63: 16$ | 102:22 120:21 |
| 35:16 36:5 | 13:21 19:16 | 137:4,10,19 | 64:3 95:8 99:7 | 124:21 127:6 |
| 41:11 44:1 | 26:1 35:21 | 140:20,22 | 99:16 179:5,10 | 131:21 133:5 |
| 67:17 73:10 | 38:11 60:5 | 144:3,4,4 | windows 9:14 | 142:16 173:22 |
| 75:19,20 77:18 | 64:13 82:7 | 145:4 164:13 | 63:14 65:2,7 | 183:9 |
| 89:15 104:4 | 86:11 89:21,21 | 164:14,14 | 95:9 96:10 | worked 135:14 |
| 181:15 182:2 | 94:14 96:12,12 | 165:4 169:12 | 97:19 98:2 | 172:7 |
| 192:2 206:5 | 126:13 130:19 | 169:12,15,18 | 99:5,5,5,6,9,13 | worker 139:10 |
| we're 6:12,12,15 | 137:13,22 | 169:20 170:5,9 | 99:15,19 | working 42:19 |
| 7:2 8:7 9:21 | 138:22 141:10 | 176:12,13,13 | 117:15 119:2 | 50:6 89:21 |
| 12:8,9,11 | 188:13 200:3 | 177:4 181:8,9 | 178:4 179:12 | 133:2 |
| 28:19 30:16 | webpage 4:8 | 181:10,11,13 | 184:16 | works 36:17 |
| 32:17 33:8 | website 125:6 | 187:11,12,12 | wise 17:2 54:2 | 37:1 51:20,22 |
| 34:20 35:19 | 148:15 | 188:4 193:9,9 | wish 15:14 16:9 | 53:19 81:19 |
| 36:1 37:3,12 | Wednesday | 193:12,19 | 35:7,11 73:5 | 127:17 139:1 |
| 42:5 48:8 50:6 | 14:6 | 194:4,15,21 | 73:11 91:2 | world 150:2 |
| 51:7,8 52:1,10 | week 160:19 | 195:22 196:11 | 100:22 101:1 | worth 51:2 |
| 54:11 60:1,3 | 161:7 163:15 | 196:14,22 | 114:16,17 | wouldn't 36:10 |
| 62:1 70:5 | 174:2 | 197:2 198:17 | 181:19 206:1 | 88:5 124:14 |
| 72:13 82:1,2,3 | weeks 133:10 | 206:17,18,18 | wishes 15:19 | 159:13 |
| 82:11 84:5 | weigh 114:16 | went 45:6 61:2 | 16:4 19:8 | wrapping |
| 86:10,13,22 | welcome 3:7 5:8 | 96:4 113:6 | 35:16 73:4 | 139:11 |
| 87:4,20 89:14 | 144:12 196:11 | weren't 43:10 | 76:10 91:7 | wraps 68:14 |
| 90:1 94:11 | welcoming 90:3 | 48:4 179:14 | 101:6 131:8 | written 152:14 |
| 95:6 96:10 | welfare 38:13 | weren't 184:8 | 205:18 | wrong 24:7 |
| 97:8,22 99:10 | 102:13 183:1 | west $178: 8,13$ | wishing 6:8 | 35:22 134:18 |
| 99:16,19 | 205:8 | 179:7 | 41:14 86:7 | 157:19 |
| 107:22 108:13 | Wendy 1:9 3:5 | wet $25: 9$ | 91:9 94:8 | Wyman 115:4,7 |


| 115:11,13,16 | 202:20 203:5 | 59:14 68:12 | $120105177: 7,11$ | 17:17 39:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115:19,19,21 | yean 71:2 | 69:9 73:6 91:3 | 13 121:14 | 148:16 208:13 |
| 118:2,4,10,12 | year 28:11 | 101:2 114:18 | 1353 2:5 6:7,16 | 208:18 |
| 119:5,11 120:3 | years 21:2 26:1 | 181:20 206:2 | 14 121:14 | 2072 161:22 |
| 120:12,15 | 27:20 72:5 | Zooming 122:20 | 163:20 | 21 47:18,21 |
| 121:1,9 122:7 | 80:4 91:21 |  | 14-square-foot | 213 125:20 |
| 122:11,17 | 95:4 141:19 | 0 | 89:4 | 22 3:21 |
| 123:2,8,12 | 149:6 180:9 | 0.2-foot 23:12 | 145 2:3,10 6:12 | 24 1:4 3:8 47:3 |
| 124:5,9,13,16 | 199:13 201:13 | 0.75 155:20 | 41:14 60:13 | 53:1,11 74:2 |
| 124:18 125:2 | yelling 115:14 | 02139 1:6 | 15 17:17 113:9 | 133:12 |
| 125:14 | yellow 60:13 | $05148: 16$ | 200:11 | 25 2:6 19:7 |
| Wyman's 138:4 | уep 49:5 60:11 | 06/10/21 2:4 | 15-minute 137:6 | 20:10 53:5 |
| X | 60:11 115:8 $170 \cdot 10185 \cdot 5$ | 1 | $150158: 4$ | 25:02 79:3 |
|  | 10:10 185:5 | $\overline{12: 339: 4 ~ 41: 14}$ | 1500 48:22 49:6 | 2593 155:18 |
| x $2: 153: 558: 15$ | 194:15 | 12:3 39:4 41:14 | 54:5 | 156:12 157:4 |
| 200:1,4 | Yoki 172:16 | 61:4 | 158 157:1 | 27 3:18 106:5 |
| Y | young 67:19 |  | $16113: 9$ | 107:14 |
|  | 71:7,13 |  | 165 2:11 | 29 32:4 162:14 |
| yard 21:4 22:19 <br> $58 \cdot 1470 \cdot 5$ | younger 128:14 | 1.4 50:10 | 17 43:2 | 163:3,7,14,19 |
| 86:16 95:22 | Z | $10-100$ 111 | 172 145:20 | 163:20 164:20 |
| 111:14 189:5,7 | Zero 60:7 | 10-minute 62:1 | 177 2:12 | 2926 155:18 |
| 200:13 | Zoie 166:6 | 10.43 204:12,12 | 1849:4,4 58:15 | 156:15 157:20 |
| yeah 21:14 25:3 | zone 60:14 | 10:01 165:2 | 1867 200:15 | 3 |
| 25:7 30:15,19 | 61:19 64:5 | 10:13 177:2 | 1876 2:11 165:7 | 3 97:11 118:16 |
| 34:17 37:7 | zoned 69:21 | 10:25 188:2 | 188 2:13 | 30 27:20 |
| 47:3,21 52:18 | zoning 1:1 3:9 | 10:49 207:7 | 19 2:6 45:3 | 3000 50:21 |
| 52:20,21 53:3 | 7:11 8:19 17:2 | 100 51:20 | 1920 53:21 | 34 107:15 |
| 54:14,15 55:7 | 17:3 21:22 | 1000-square | 1981 106:4 | 35 97:18 98:9 |
| 55:11 56:7 | 38:8 50:17 | 54:13 | 1984 86:12 | 100:1 110:3 |
| 57:9,16 59:15 | 65:12 69:6 | 105 2:9 |  | 350 155:19 |
| 62:8 64:21 | 74:4 75:3 | 11 64:12 89:7 | 2 | 38 53:11 |
| 65:1 66:3 | 76:13 83:1,2 | 90:7 | 2 69:8 116:6 | 39 2:7,10 86:7 |
| 68:11 69:8,20 | 91:22 92:3 | 11-inch 87:8 | 161:18,19 | 87:15 145:7,12 |
| 71:1,7 72:3,21 | 95:18 102:7 | 1100 54:13 | $2.1684: 17$ | 39.4 23:11 |
| 77:10 80:22 | 106:4 116:2 | 67:21 75:2 | 2.21 106:15 | 39.6 23:12 |
| 115:13,19 | 120:19 124:12 | 1100-square | 2.34 106:15 | 3941 86:11 |
| 121:14 122:11 | 130:12 135:7 | 54:12 | 2.8 154:4 |  |
| 128:12 137:13 | 141:22 149:15 | 117337 41:13 | 2:16:47 166:7 | 4 |
| 148:21 169:8 | 149:20 161:4 | 117391 188:7 | 2:19:43 169:4 | $4153: 13$ |
| 170:22 171:9 | 166:9 172:2 | 117683 165:7 | 20 2:13 188:8 | 4.4 23:11 |
| 171:11 172:3 | 179:11 182:20 | 118194 105:8 | 199:11 | 40 53:5,11 55:5 |
| 173:22 180:15 | 189:10,22 | 118270 19:7 | 20-foot 8:17 | 200:14 |
| 183:21 186:1 | 193:22 202:8 | 118324 6:7 | 13:8 | 4000 97:1 |
| 186:17 191:2 | 203:18 205:2 | 119050 86:7 | 2017 178:1 | 41 2:3 87:17 |
| 191:11,14 | zoom 15:20 | 119365 145:7 | 2020 3:15,19 | 425 107:13 |
| 192:8,11 194:3 | 35:12 46:6 | 119627 94:7 | 121:20 | 44 2:8 94:7,11 |
| 194:7,18 | 52:11 58:13 | 12 3:15 110:3,5 | 2021 1:4 3:8 | 94:21 95:4 |
| 197:12 202:15 |  | 121:14 |  | 4821 188:10 |



