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\begin{gathered}
P R O C E E D I N G S \\
* * * * *
\end{gathered}
$$

(6:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the July 29, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair, and I apologize for the late start.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge's temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within

Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the meetings in due course.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak. That might change based on the number of speakers. I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Wendy Leiserson?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy, you're on mute.

WENDY LEISERSON: Present.

SISIA DAGLIAN: And Jason $I$ think is not on yet, but he doesn't need to be.

JASON MARSHALL: I'm on, Sisia. I'm on for the -SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh, you are? Okay.

JASON MARSHALL: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've got all five. SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We still have -- we have a full corps of Board members. So let's start. We start tonight with four continued cases. These are cases that started once before, but for one reason or another have been continued until tonight.
(6:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The first case I'm going
to call -- continued case -- is Case Number 109394 -- 118 Spring Street. I will report that I don't -- in my view, we're not going to hear this case tonight, simply because there's no sign posted, as required by our ordinance, and it was specifically instructed when we continue this case.

So given that, we're going to have to further continue the case.

SIDDARTH GEHLOT: I would like to object (sic) that. This is Sid Gehlot. I'm the owner of the property. I personally picked up right after last meeting -- I personally picked up the board given by the Maria over there, and I personally went and noted the new one, because you asked us to get a new one, and I did that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know, but sir --
SIDDARTH GEHLOT: And it was posted all --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- sir you got -- it has
to be up there for 14 days.
SIDDARTH GEHLOT: Yes. More than 14 days. It's been there for months.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I was there yesterday. There is no sign posted. I just don't know how long it hasn't been posted, but there's no sign. For that reason, we're going to have to continue the case.

Your responsibility, sir, is to check the posting maybe every other day or several times during the two-week period, because sometimes signs get taken down. But I don't know when this sign --

SIDDARTH GEHLOT: No, and who would take it down?
MEGAN KEMP: Mr. Chair, I appreciate that that's an issue. I will make sure that a new -- sorry, this is Megan Kemp from Adam Dash \& Associates.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right, so who is this speaking, please?

MEGAN KEMP: Sorry. This is Megan Kemp, from Adam Dash \& Associates, newly retained representation for the owner.

I will make sure that that gets taken care of. My understanding -- again, was that the sign was up and it has
been up since being picked up after the last meeting. SIDDARTH GEHLOT: Yep.

MEGAN KEMP: But I will make sure that that is regularly attended to, and we take photographs of where on the property it's posted.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. Well, the next time we can hear this case will be the first -- if we have room -- the first meeting in September. Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: That would be the second. We do have room, actually.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What day?
SISIA DAGLIAN: September 2.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: September 2. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard -- it's continued again -- subject to the following conditions: One, that the petitioner has already signed a waiver of time for a decision in connection with the prior continuances. So we're all set there.

Two -- back to the sign -- a new sign, or I guess it will have to be a new sign, since the old one's gone -- a new sign must be posted on the property and maintained for 14 days prior to the September 2 hearing. You can get a new
sign from the Building Department.
And lastly, same thing as before, to the extent --
and there is now new plans or modified plans in the file -if there are going to be further changes to those plans, modifications, additions, those must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before September 2. Is that Labor Day by any chance?

SISIA DAGLIAN: It's right before, I think. I think it's right before that weekend.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey speaking. Could we ask the Board members who are sitting on that case whether they're all available that day?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what I'm getting to, Andrea, thank you.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I know I'm available.
Brendan, would you be available?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] Yes, available.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm available.
JASON MARSHALL: Jason?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I think it's Jim on that one. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Jim. I apologize. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] Yes, I'm available.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: What day is that again?
SISIA DAGLIAN: It's --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Say it again, please? I'm sorry.

SISIA DAGLIAN: -- September 2. It's the Thursday before Labor Day weekend.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are you going to be available, Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm available.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good. Okay. So we're going to continue this case until -- well, any modified new plans, drawn specs, what have you, must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before September 2.

If that is not the case, we will once again continue this case, although further continuances are starting to get much more iffy. This case has been
continued several times already.

So Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
continuing the matter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not Jason, Jim.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I keep saying,
"Jason." Jim? I'm sorry. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to continuing. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair says yes as well. This case is continued until September 2.
[All vote YES]

Thank you.
(6:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving onto the next case
is -- I wrote it down -- Case Number 116393 -- 14 Bigelow Street. The Chair will report that we are in receipt of a letter, if $I$ can find it, from the petitioner --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Reed Shea, S-h-e-a. It's a letter addressed to Maria Pacheco, our secretary. "Per our conversation, I'd like to request a continuance of our proposal to the BZA until sometime late this fall -September or October."

What have we got for availability?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Any of those dates are fine. They're all available. September --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Late?
SISIA DAGLIAN: -- 23, October 7, October 21.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let's try October 7. SISIA DAGLIAN: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So they're available? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan's nodding he's available. Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm available.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] Yes, I'm
available.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, I'm available.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair is available as well. So this case will be continued until October 7. And I guess we need the usual motion. It's continued as a case not heard, until --

SISIA DAGLIAN: No, it's been heard.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- 6:00 p.m. on --
SISIA DAGLIAN: It's been heard. I think it's been heard.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- it's been heard?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll change that anyway. It's a case heard until 7:00 p.m. -- I'm sorry, 6:00 p.m. on October 7 subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and he has done that already in connection with this continuance until tonight, so that's okay.

Second, the petitioner must file a new or modified sign -- modified in the sense that you can take a magic marker and change the date and time to the new date and time. And that sign must be maintained until for the 14 days prior to October 7.

And lastly, to the extent the petitioner is going to file new, modified plans, specs, what have you: They must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before October 7.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes
for the continuance as well. Case continued until October 7. Okay.
(6:14 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number \#119365 -- 39 Hubbard Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SAYEM KHAN: Good evening, how are you doing?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? Who am I -- who are we speaking to?

SAYEM KHAN: My name is Sayem Khan. I'm the architect for the project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before we get any further, let's -- we have a problem. Tonight's the night for problems.

As you know -- certainly know, and we talked a little bit about it at the last hearing -- this house is owned jointly by a husband and wife. The wife is all for the addition -- the relief you're seeking -- the husband is not.

And in fact not only did he not sign the petition,
he wrote a letter generally in opposition to us granting relief.

It's been brought to our attention by the Building Department that it may meet -- legally we may not be able to -- may -- not be able to hear this case unless the husband changes his mind and supports the relief being sought by the wife.

We have to get a legal opinion, or try to get a legal opinion, from the City's Legal Department. But until then, it seems that we can't hear this case. Sorry, but that's how it is.

How much time would you -- petitioner, how much time would you like to have to try to resolve this domestic squabble?

SAYEM KHAN: Well, we're not here to talk about domestic squabbles; we're here to talk about the project. The project is --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not going to go into the project. You didn't hear me. We're not going to get into the project. We have -- we don't know whether we have a case yet. So we want to know what date you would like us to continue this case to?

If you have no opinion, then we will just pick a date ourselves.

SAYEM KHAN: I believe that I will defer that to the project owner. She's available right now. She wants to speak on that. Or, we can just -- Toni --

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Did -- was my letter -- was my letter read? This is -- I'm Toni Cleaver-Gibson. Hello? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello, I'm -TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Can you hear me? Okay. Can you hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who's speaking? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, we can hear you. TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Okay. My name is Toni Cleaver-Gibson. And I did write a letter to explain what is happening with my husband. Was that letter read? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, it was. TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: And did that not make -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think -- I don't know if it was read into the record, but it certainly was read by this Board. And --

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Did that make any -- was -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think so. That's
the problem. Well, we don't know. But legally, you may not be able to proceed with this case if your husband takes the position, or continues to take, the position that he took. TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: But I thought this -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And we have to get a legal opinion from the City's Legal Office. And until we get that, we're not ready to proceed with case.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Okay. But can I just ask a question, please? Was it -- is it -- I'm confused. Because I thought that this meeting had to do with the zoning issue. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It does have to do with the zoning issue.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Not --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Whether we can hear this zoning case tonight. We speculated a little bit about that at the last hearing, that we continued until tonight. But the -- there's more and more sentiment in the Building Department is that we're not sure about that.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: But could --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And therefore we need to get a legal opinion. Until we get that legal opinion, we're not going to proceed with this case.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Okay. But can I just say one thing, okay? I just want to say one thing: Is that my husband will be able to deal with this if he talks to the -you know, if the building permit... It seems that to me that his issue has to do with the building of the room, not the zoning issue.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me try again. We believe, or we think that we cannot even begin the case unless both of the legal owners of the property have signed the petition seeking the relief. You have, certainly. Your husband so far has not.

Now, it may be the Legal Department will say it's good enough just to have one of the two owners sign the petition. And if that's the case, we will hear the case when we -- the date we continue this case to.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: [Hmpf!]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If not, they say, "No, we can't hear this case unless the husband -- [your husband, I'm sorry --] signs the petition." If that's the case, here we are. It's not a question of what we want tonight, it's a question what the law requires.
TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: So you're saying that for
zoning, that the law requires that?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's -- it is a legal
question under the zoning, the building laws of our common -

- we, for things like this, we look to the City's Legal

Department for advice. And that's what we're going to do tonight, after tonight, once we continue this case.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Is it possible -- [Oh my God.]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Oh, I don't know --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Either that or we get your
husband to sign the petition --
TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: But he has a problem.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- we don't need to get a legal opinion. But tonight, we don't have --

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: He doesn't have a problem with zoning, he only has a problem with the room itself, and how it's being built. Not the zoning.

SAYEM KHAN: So what she's saying is that once -if we are able to get it passed through inspection and get a confirmation that, you know, it's okay, then he will be okay with that. He will be on board with it. That's what the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're all -- I'm not going to repeat myself one more time.

JASON MARSHALL: Can I try, Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to make a motion
to continue this case.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason, do you want to say something?

JASON MARSHALL: I think you covered it well, and covered it -- you know, a number of times. Maybe I can just add to complement what you said: The question is legally whether we can even hear your application.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Exactly.
JASON MARSHALL: So that's where the zoning petition comes in. There's some potential -- there's core precedent that calls into question whether if a house like yours is co-owned by two people and only one of the people files an application, whether we can even hear it. And as the Chair said in this case, we actually have an active opponent to the application as the other co-owner.

So it's: Lawfully we have a question of whether we can even hear the application. And that's where we're
going to try to run down and get that answer.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you very much,
Jason.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: How -- when will we know?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [This is Brendan Sullivan.] I think that the two words that come into my mind is a procedural defect --

JASON MARSHALL: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- in the application.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to make a motion
that we continue this case. I'll give the petitioner -- you have a date you would like to continue it to, when you think you can get this resolved, assuming -- assuming, I'm not sure -- assuming the Legal Department says, "Your husband, ma'am, has to sign the petition."

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, I am loathe to add
an --
TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: Even if he's irrational? JASON MARSHALL: -- let me just finish here, because I'm loathe to add an impediment, but just like the last hearing I was on, I have conflicts on Thursdays beginning in September. So $I$ will be unlikely to hear this
case if it's continued from the September to December timeframe.

I could hear it at the next August meeting, if we're able to do that in time. Otherwise, you know, there could be four members hearing it. We can wait until January or --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Trouble is, is I can't make an August hearing. So we can go forward with four people, if the petitioner wants to do that, assuming we get our legal opinion in time.

SAYEM KHAN: So when is the next date?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm -- I would suggest, given particularly this month coming with August and the Legal Department like everybody else in this world has got to have summer vacations and the like -- I would suggest we continue it until a date in September. Jason, I understand you won't be able to attend.

And if the petitioner wants to go forward with the four of us who will attend, we'll hear the case, assuming -again, assuming the Legal Department says this case can proceed without the consent of the husband.

SAYEM KHAN: So in regards to the Legal

Department, is that something that we need to talk to the Legal Department, or is that something you will speak to the Legal Department -- you will consult with the Legal Department yourself?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, sir. I had trouble understanding what you said. Could you just repeat it more slowly?

SAYEM KHAN: Sorry. Are you talking to the Legal Department, or do we have to talk to the Legal Department, or does the owner have to talk to the Legal Department? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, we -- I will write a letter on behalf of the Board through the Legal Department asking for advice, what's the answer? And they will render a letter back to us.

SAYEM KHAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And that will be the basis for our decision. SAYEM KHAN: Okay. Understood. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. What's their dates in September?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well, there's the second and the twenty-third.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Twenty-third?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm. Both are available. The ninth is --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the Chair moves that we continue this case once again until 6:00 p.m. on September 23, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and she has done that in connection with tonight's hearing, so that's been taken care of.

Second, that a new posting sign reflecting the new date -- or a modified sign -- reflecting the date, September 23 and the time both -- 6:00 p.m. -- be posted and maintained for the 14 days prior to September 23.

That can be done by taking the existing sign if it's in good condition and just with a magic marker or what have you just modify the date and time. Anyway, that sign new or modified must be maintained for the 14 days before September 23.

And lastly, to the extent that new plans or modified plans -- specs, what have you -- are going to result from what's going to happen between now and September 23, those modifications must be in our files, or the

Building Department's files, no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before September 23.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance. Let me have just another word.

Ms. Gibson, as soon as we get an answer back from the Law Department, I would advocate that the secretary forward that information onto you, even if it's prior to our next meeting.

TONI CLEAVER-GIBSON: I would appreciate that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm not sure that we have a right -- we should at released to the outside world the legal opinion that we get from the Legal Department.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh. Well, provided it's proper.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And I will ask the Legal Department if we have its consent --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- to release the opinion, whatever --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. Yeah, if it's proper. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If it's possible.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Only, Chair, so that they can then prepare.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: one way or the other for the next meeting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But it sounds to me that if the Legal Department says no, then we've earned a little preparation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I think that --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But anyway. Okay, it's -fair enough. Jason, since you're on the screen, how do you vote?

JASON MARSHALL: Jason Marshall yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And you're not going to -you have to vote tonight, or you won't be here --

JASON MARSHALL: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- September 24.
JASON MARSHALL: I support the continuance, Mr. Chairman.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I'm in favor of the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes in favor of the continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well. This case is now continued --
WENDY LEISERSON: And so do I, chair -- Wendy
Leiserson -- vote in favor.
SISIA DAGLIAN: She just went on.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are you sitting on this
case, Wendy?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. I didn't -- I
wasn't --

WENDY LEISERSON: That's okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- told you were on the case.

WENDY LEISERSON: That's okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I apologize. I do this to
you --
WENDY LEISERSON: No problem.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- all the time.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I really do want you to be
on our cases.

WENDY LEISERSON: Oh, well, thank you. And I agree with the vote, so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: She votes yes and I vote yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So this case is continued until September 23. Last with the continued cases --

JASON MARSHALL: I'm going to sign off as a panelist.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JASON MARSHALL: All right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jason.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Thanks.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who's on the last one?
SISIA DAGLIAN: It is --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy? Brendan?
SISIA DAGLIAN: It was not heard. So it's Wendy, Matina, Andrea.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Got it. And Brason (sic).

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jason [laughter], Brendan.
(6:27 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number \#017219 -- 544 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

CLIFFORD SCHORER: Yes, this is Cliff Schorer. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. I'm having trouble hearing you. Could you speak up, please?

CLIFFORD SCHORER: Yes. This is Clifford Schorer. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

CLIFFORD SCHORER: Can you hear me, Mr. Chair? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. It's a little better. Not great, but better.

CLIFFORD SCHORER: Okay. So as you know, this is a case that's been continued for a while. It is before the Planning Board as well and has responded to a 45-question, six-page letter from the Planning Board in the last 12 days.

The Planning Board has stated that they are hopeful that the Zoning Board of Appeals -- or the BZA --
will defer their decision until such time as they have had a time to rule on the full application, as we've discussed before.

And I am at the Chair's discretion as to whether I present the zoning -- the very specific zoning issue that we wish to address, or whether you defer me again until the Planning Board has finished.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think we need to continue this case further. We should make a decision in the context of the final decision from the Planning Board, because their views are important to us.

I don't want to decide the case without having the full input from the Planning Board. So though I'm loathe to keep continuing cases, I don't think we should -- I think we should continue this case.

CLIFFORD SCHORER: I appreciate that, Mr.
Chairman. If I could just add a small point of order, the code in Cambridge does speak to the fact that these cases are supposed to be continued in tandem between the Planning and the Zoning Board.

So we've been put in this position of asking you for these continuances, and we apologize for that, but we
have had 10 months of deferral on the Planning Board side working with them on details of the plan.

So just so that you're aware, it was not our intention to clog up your agenda for 10 months.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, we understand that. No apologies necessary.

CLIFFORD SCHORER: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But thank you for making -

- for advising us of such. Do you have a date you would like to continue this case to?

CLIFFORD SCHORER: I suppose the best thing to do would be be safe, and let's defer this to November to give the Planning Board as much time as they need.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think being safe is the wisest decision. September did you say, or November?

CLIFFORD SCHORER: November. The Planning Board will hear us again in September. So November.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So November. What are our dates in November?

SISIA DAGLIAN: November 4 and the eighteenth. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, we don't need -this is a case not heard, so we're okay in membership.

November 4 work for you, sir?
CLIFFORD SCHORER: Would the eighteenth be acceptable?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You want the eighteenth?
CLIFFORD SCHORER: Yes, please.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure. It's a long way off, so we can -- it's pretty easy to say, "Sure."

CLIFFORD SCHORER: Okay. Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we continue this case until 6:00 p.m. on November 18, subject to the following conditions, the first of which has already been satisfied: Namely, the signing of a waiver of time for decision.

The second is that yet another sign be posted, either a modified version of whatever is up there now or a new sign reflecting the new date, November 18 , and the new time, 6:00 p.m.

And that the sign be maintained for the 14 days before November 18.

And lastly, to the extent that there are new, modified plans, specs, what have you -- that are not in our files today but result from your discussions with the

Planning Board or otherwise, those plans, et cetera., must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before November 18.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Wendy, I apologize for making you last, but Wendy, how do you vote?

WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson] I vote yes for the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES].
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Case continued until
November 18.
CLIFFORD SCHORER: Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. All right. Turning now to our regular agenda, just -- it's 6:31, that's right --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, this is Jim
Monteverde. I don't think I'm on the regular cases, so I'm going to sign off here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So, nice to see you all.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nice to see you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Take care.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Take care. Okay. And
who's replacing Jim? No, Jim's -- we're all set. We're all set.
(6:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number \#120360 -- 35 Berkshire Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't know why it's not --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair. James Rafferty on behalf of the applicant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening, Mr. Rafferty.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. This is an application that seeks to allow for the construction of three decks on the rear of a three-family structure that's being currently rebuilt, or built. It was destroyed by fire in the Berkshire Street fire of a few years ago that resulted in the destruction of many homes.

The site itself contains very little as in almost zero open space. And what's being proposed here, as reflected in the plan, is to create three rear decks. The
deck on the third floor does not have a roof on it. So it doesn't have a GFA implication. The second-floor deck is covered by the roof of the third-floor deck. So the added GFA is contained on that deck, and also, on the first floor. Similarly, the first-floor deck isn't much of a deck at all. It really is just a covering over the front door, and also a covering over a set of stairs into the basement.

At any rate, I uploaded to the application some photographs of the surrounding conditions, which I see Ms. Daglian is now, if she could be so kind as to start with the second one there, the one below the green -- that one right there.

So this is a view from the rear of the house. It overlooks a surface parking lot for a multifamily housing complex next door. In the far distance, you can see what amounts for the vernacular in this neighborhood a threedecker with three porches at the rear.

I know the Board pays particular attention in cases around rear decks about impacts on privacy; if we can go to the next photo, Ms. Daglian, you would see that the right-side abutter here -- the multifamily house -- has a
communal deck on its third floor that overlooks this structure.

So I think those typical privacy concerns may not be as prominent or present in this case, in that surrounding structures have decks, and these decks are of a size typical for structures of this type. And it will provide a residential or an open space amenity for the units.

The units -- the first-floor unit you may have noticed on the plan is a handicap accessible unit and on grade. And the units themselves are being built in a neighborhood where these units were lost to fires.

So the applicant during the course of construction has thought that it would be appealing and amenable to have these decks.

The hardship really is related to the fact that the site contains little if any open space. The rear abutter is surface parking lot. So there really doesn't exist much space for anyone even to sit out, read a book or enjoy some fresh air.

So it benefits the health and safety of the occupants of the building, and the request is based on that desire and need.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. As you
know, as you've already I think mentioned, this Board typically -- but not certainly universally -- typically looks a little bit askance at terraces or decks off buildings, especially two or three-story buildings.

But as you point out again, I think, or if not the file reveals, the departure from our ordinance with these terrace decks is relatively minor.

And you've made a good case, I think -- in my opinion, anyway -- for why this is a situation where we should allow a deck or a terrace, or however you want to rephrase it; decks.

So that's my view, my comment. I'll open it up to some questions from other members of the Board; other comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I just have a couple of
technical questions, which I'm sure --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go right ahead.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- Mr. Rafferty --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- is able to ask, answer. One is, so your hardship -- if you could show me the view? You said that there's no open space behind -- between the parking lot who's the rear neighbors, and --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- so -- yep?
JAMES RAFFERTY: So if we were to go to the site plan, Ms. Daglian, you'll see that behind -- the site plan is I think above that? Yes. You'll see -- so there's the structure. Behind the structure is -- exists the parking, which was preexisting, and then the property line.

And on the other side of the property line is the surface parking lot of the abutting apartment building.

WENDY LEISERSON: Gotcha. Okay. Thank you for that look. And then -- okay. I think that satisfies my curiosity. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Wendy. Andrea? I've asked you.

WENDY LEISERSON: I have no real objection to the deck or decks, but I'm looking at the plan. And Mr. Rafferty, are these three tandem parking spaces?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, that's what it says: There are three dwelling units. I think that was done in error. The reality here, Ms. Hickey, is this house is being rebuilt on the footprint of the prior home, and this was the prior existing condition for parking. So there are -- it's an asphalted rear with parking. So there isn't relief being asked for the parking.

So to the extent the tandem parking isn't permitted, then that would be -- the owner would have to live with that.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Well, I mean, I can endorse the petition, but I can't -- I know you're not asking for endorsement of tandem parking, but where it's shown on the plan, $I$ just want to put into the record that $I$ am not sort of endorsing the plan, even though we're not being asked to look at parking. Thank you.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood, understood. And as you correctly note, there is no request for it. I think the surveyor, as you know, saw the existing condition and the plan reflects what is the existing condition.

But I do think the reference to the two parking spaces was perhaps inappropriate.

So understood fully. Fully understand nothing contained in the relief being sought here would authorize parking that would not otherwise be permitted.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
WENDY LEISERSON: Chair, I--
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- Chair, may I just ask -- this
is Wendy Leiserson -- may I ask a follow-up question, just for clarification?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go right ahead.
WENDY LEISERSON: So, Mr. Rafferty the proposed --
the open space... I did notice in your table that the open space was being decreased, even though your argument about hardship is that, you know, the occupants need to have access to outdoor space.

But I think what you mean is access to exclusive outdoor space, is that correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, yeah, approximate outdoor space. I think the reduction is because the porches extend the structure into what -- what was not -- what was technically open space. So that's the only place where the reduction is occurring.

I heard the deck now projects into an area that didn't have structure before.

WENDY LEISERSON: I see. And in reducing the open space, which as you said is very underprovided anyway because of the nature of the lot -- and this is a threefamily structure but with six parking spaces taking up the potential open space, is that correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I don't know that it's six spaces, no. I see a reference to that in the plan, but you are correct it is a three-family dwelling.

WENDY LEISERSON: So my only concern is, you know, I understand the neighborhood and the pictures you showed, but my only concern is -- under 1031c about decreasing open space, I'm not sure if that meets the criteria for 1031c, given that there's potential here to not decrease the open space if you adjusted the parking.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I'm not certain that would be the case, only because the open space has a minimum dimension of 15 x 15 feet in any direction.

So, and given -- I'm just not certain when you do what the dimension -- the parking space needs to be 18 feet in length and eight and a half feet in width.

So I don't know why this plan says two parking spaces, because I'm looking at the lot itself, the width of the lot. I think -- I don't think you could get two vehicles in those spaces if you wanted to.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah. I guess I am not an expert at reading these kinds of plans, which is why I'm asking the questions. But $I$ just see in your table, your dimensional information, that you're reducing the ratio of useable open space. And it's already nonconforming.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
WENDY LEISERSON: So I just wondered if there was a way to provide the occupants with even more open space, and protect the public's interest in not decreasing open space generally in the city?

But I'll leave it to the discussion of the Board.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All set? And last, I have no comments on this case, beyond those I've already made. So I will open this matter now up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a few moments to see if anyone does wish to speak.
[Pause]
I'm advised that no one has expressed a desire to speak. So I will now close public testimony. And then you can just have further discussion among Board members, or I can make a motion.

I would suggest $I$ will make a motion to grant relief. And then we can have any discussion over in connection with that motion. Any objections? If anybody objects to that way of proceeding, just let me know.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So moved.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I have one person that says, "So moved."

ANDREA HICKEY: No objection.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So that's -- I'm sorry?
ANDREA HICKEY: No objection.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief that's being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such
hardship being is that this is a -- this whole project starts as a result of a serious fire the city suffered in this neighborhood, and the original building, or the previous building, was destroyed.

And so a new building had to be built, and that leads to other issues -- zoning issues and the like.

But there is a need for parking. It's a densely populated area, and since it's going to be a three-family house, or a three-dwelling unit house, there's a need for at least three parking spaces.

Second, that the hardship is owing to the fact that it's the shape of the lot and the size of the lot. It doesn't affect the district generally.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the relief requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans and plot plan submitted by the petitioner.

The plans were prepared by -- it would appear --

Bourque, $B-o-u-r-q-u-e ~ D e s i g n, ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ p a g e ~ o f ~ w h i c h ~ h a s ~$ been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you -- do you want to discuss this vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would vote in -- I will vote in favor of the variance. I will also note that we are not accepting parking plan as shown, and as-of-right. And note that no relief is being requested for the parking plan.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think Mr. Rafferty's already made that point.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So yes to the granting of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes to granting of the variance and reiterating Mr. Sullivan's comments with respect to parking.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Hi. Yes with respect to granting the variance with the same qualifications that Brendan offered, and subject to some concerns about the loss of green space.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes to granting the variance.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's unanimous. Variance granted. Thank you.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Good evening. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Don't go far, Mr.

Rafferty.
(6:47 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number \#124207 -- 63 Chilton Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. Good evening again, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. James Rafferty on behalf of the applicant.

Present with me are the property owners, Dr. Vikas Saini and his wife. And I apologize, I'm struggling with Mrs. Saini is not Mrs. Saini, she is --

JULIE CROSTON: Julie Croston.

JAMES RAFFERTY: -- I apologize, Ms. Croston; Julie Croston, who I don't think I've had the pleasure of meeting, other than her husband, Dr. Saini.

This is a home on Chilton Street. It's the stretch of Chilton Street between Huron and Vassal Lane. It's outside of the locals call Huron Village. This has been the Saini's home now for seven or eight years is my
understanding. They are raising their daughter here.
And it is somewhat unique in a couple of respects.
The home is at the end of Chilton Street closest to Vassal Lane. It's one of the few single-family homes on the street, apparently. And it's set back from the street, and there's a wide, private way in front of the house.

So this actually -- this house has, if you go to the site plan, Ms. Daglian, if that's okay -- the -- yeah, the -- that one, right there. Thank you very much.

For Board members that may not have seen it, so you can see the unique nature of this lot. The house sits behind another house.

Actually the house it sits behind is a former twofamily residence that's served for the last few decades as the home of Chilton House, which is a hospice that had operated in the neighborhood for many years. They closed within the last year.

But Board members may be familiar with the block due to that use. So at any rate, this single-family home was built probably in the '60s, and it's got a split-level configuration.

And what the homeowners are seeking to do is to
provide some additional living space for themselves, but also to create at the first floor, the ground floor, an inlaw or accessory apartment for Dr. Saini's mother.

And if you look at the floor plans, you'll see that really the additional GFA being sought by the application is actually slightly less than we had originally indicated.

We made a change, and we can see the dimensional form -- Sisia, if that's possible -- the architect pointed our recently that based on some calculation refinements, that actually the amount of GFA being requested here is 185 square feet.

It's of some relevance that the property today contains a garage. And if we could -- Sisia, if it's possible to $A-2$, which contains the basement and first floor? So the floor plans are split floor plans. Each floor plan shows two levels.

So here's the case of the -- first-floor plan is the area shaded in yellow. And the bottom in white is the basement. This area in yellow is -- the front portion of it is currently a garage. And as a result, the garage which is approximately 200 square feet, is not counted in the GFA of
the structure.
But by converting this garage and the area behind it into a dwelling unit, a dwelling unit that's below 900 square feet, which is the limitation on accessory apartments, that GFA gets counted.

In many ways, in thinking about the application, the additional 185 square feet being sought here deals with that issue. There are throughout the house a series of other additions if you look at the other floor plans. So the next few floors tell the story.

You'll see the additions themselves are uniquely proportioned of a certain geometric symmetry and provide some additional living space in the house itself.

So what you're seeing here now is the second and third floor. The areas where you see these triangle-type items, they represent additions. At the front entry there's a slight addition.

The house is significantly below the allowed GFA now. So there's about 80 percent of what's being proposed here from a GFA perspective is permissible.

And then if you go to the next page, you'll see where there's a new creation on what's entitled the fourth
floor. Yeah. So there's -- you'll see there's a room on the fourth floor, which is accessed through a spiral staircase. It's called the fourth floor, but given the split-level nature of the house.

And if you look at it in profile, if you look at -- Ms. Daglian, if we could look at one of the subsequent images, you can see the massing here really is within the 35-foot height limit. It does have some unique, modern features to it.

But at any rate, at the end of the day, from a GFA perspective, what's being requested here is an additional 185 square feet that will allow for the conversion of the garage to the accessory apartment.

The site plan also would show that there's a -there's existing parking, long prior to the Saini's purchase of the home that's occurring in the front setback.
[I don't know, Ms. Daglian, if we could -- yeah, perfect. Thank you.]

So that pink, or that orange-colored area there is where the parking occurs now. When I reviewed the case with the property owners, and went through the files, I couldn't find any authorization for the parking in that location.

But it's long-standing.
And theoretically I suspect that given the provisions of 40 A that recognize the statute of limitations on the zoning enforcement, it might be said that thought could continue.

But since we were before the Board, I thought it was the appropriate opportunity to request a variance for parking in the front setback. And I didn't do so, likely because I'm well aware of the Planning reservation to count such things.

But it is the case with this house that this front setback is very different from other front setbacks, because it's -- as we noted earlier -- it's a house behind a house.

And the Land Use rationale offered for restricting the parking of the front setback really has to do with the experience -- the pedestrian experience upon the sidewalks, as people walk down the street, the area between the front face of the house and the street should [1:04:31 audio unclear -- person coughing -- not?] contain parking. We recognize that Land Use Policy. And I know the Board is reluctant to grant it.

But in this case, I think there are two
circumstances that warrant the relief being sought:
First is the long-standing practice of parking in this location, a practice that was inherited by the current owner.

And secondly the nature of this front yard setback; that it is not visible from the street, it is really -- doesn't have the traditional characteristics of a front yard.

The third interesting characteristic here is that the area that is the private way people park on. So it is not unusual often that the property owners park there, because there's not much competition for that parking and particularly even more so now that the hospice is not in operation.

So it's a private way. The only -- this house and the hospice house have rights in the private way. So I think there's also a mitigating factor as to why the Board should consider the granting of the variance in this case to legalize a practice in a setting that is unique for most front yards. That is essentially our case. That is the relief we are seeking.

So it's -- it's a special permit for the accessory
apartment, it's a GFA variance for the additional 185 square feet, and it's a variance for the parking in the front yard setback.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You want to go through the requirements for that accessory apartment and point out why you meet them?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Surely. The accessory apartment requirements are Article 4.22 allows for accessory apartments when the existing structure exceeds 1800 square feet of floor area. The unit does not exceed 9000 square feet or 35 percent of the structure.

It's often the case that the accessory apartment provision special permit is relied upon to allow for a dwelling unit in zoning districts where either the lot area per dwelling unit has been already maximized or in Residence A districts where second dwelling units are not permitted.

This is not the case here. This lot, its size of greater than 7000 square feet in a Res B district can accommodate two dwelling units. It's an allowed use. The lot area per dwelling unit requires it.

What the accessory unit in this case does, it does not require accessory units under this special permit, do
not require parking.
And the occupant of this apartment is going to be an elderly woman, and her -- she doesn't -- I believe at the moment Dr. Saini tells me she does have a vehicle; uses it infrequently, and is going to be less likely to use it.

So we sought the special permit because of the size of the unit and the fact that it fit within the requirements of 4.22 , given the size of the house and the size of the proposed building.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And as you know, under 4.22.4, we have to make a finding or be assured that any alterations that are visible from the exterior of the building shall be compatible with the appearance and character of the neighborhood and are minimally necessary to enable the creation of the accessory apartment.

Do you want to just speak to why you meet that requirement as well?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure. Because the principal change to the apartment is the removal of an overhead garage door and the replacement of it with conventional fenestration. Similarly, the addition to the right is compatible with the proposed additions in the rest of the
dwelling.
So it's -- again, it's a house behind a house.
These additions wouldn't be visible from the street, but they are in keeping with residential additions. It's a ground-floor apartment, and that is a one-story projecting mass at the ground level.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I'm not sure if I have a question. It would be more of a statement. I think I'm a little bit more comfortable with the proposal because I was having a little bit of difficulty before when the request was for an accessory apartment, 900 square feet, which then rendered the existing structure sort of inadequate and too small for their needs, so hence the need to add 1000 square feet.

And I was having a little difficulty connecting those dots and the hardship associated with -- the legal hardship associated with that.

The fact that there has been a rethink and a revision to it, and it's only 185 square feet as opposed to 1000 I think gives me a little bit more comfort that what is
proposed is okay, in a word.
The short-term -- I'm sorry, the rental of the accessory apartment may be another issue as we get further into the discussions, but right now those would be my comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, I just had a question for Attorney Rafferty. The lot owners are homeowners that share the private way that would be most affected by the parking. Were they consulted? Did they have any objection?

JAMES RAFFERTY: My understanding is the building continues to be owned by the entity that operated the hospice. And Dr. Saini, I'm not aware that they had any objection at all, but Dr. Saini may be able to speak directly to that.

DR. SAINI: No. I'm not aware of any objections either. To be honest, the reality is since, I don't know March or so, they have really not been present; the place is empty.

So I'm not sure what their plans are, and I'm not sure, you know, where they would land on any of this, because my understanding is their main goal is now to sell
the property, though I've not seen any, "For Sale" signs or anything.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm just going to check the files, see if anything came in. Now, we have a letter from the owners of 60 Chilton -- an abutter. We have a letter from -- I don't know what the address for these folks are, but from Vikas -- V-i-k-a-s Saini, S-a-i-n-i, and --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Those are the petitioners, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Those are the petitioners, the property --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, yeah. Thank you. I just realized that as $I$ was saying it. Wait a minute, that was the letter to neighbors, I'm sorry. Thank you, Mr. Rafferty.

So we have a letter from the owner at 51 Chilton Street, a letter from the 60 Chilton Street \#2, and again no I think that would raise an issue with regard to the question that Andrea has raised.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And I see that the owners of 65 Chilton, the VNA Care Hospice, are first on the notice
list. So presumably if they had an objection, they had an opportunity to raise it. That's all I have. Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy, any questions at this point?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Not at this time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. There may not be another time forth case, anyway. And Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no questions as well. As I have hinted at, we have a number of letters in the neighborhood all in support of the relief, but with some qualifications, and more than one.

The concern is that the creation of the accessory apartment could lead to short-term occupation of this apartment by various tenants -- i.e., maybe Airbnb but not necessarily Airbnb, just short-term and that is a concern that that might impact the neighborhood.

I personally share that concern. But I think there's a way of dealing with it. And that is I'm going to propose with the consent or approval of my fellow Board
members to propose that the accessory apartment which I would propose be allowed cannot have rentals for less than a period of 10 months.

In other words, no -- if anybody wants to occupy that apartment, they have to agree to occupy it legally anyway for at least 10 months.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, can I be heard on that matter?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure, go ahead.
JAMES RAFFERTY: The Board may be familiar with the series of correspondence in the file. An abutter across the street raised a similar concern and suggested a limitation on rental.

And the homeowner responded and indicated that she felt it was unfair that in a district containing two -because the letter writer made a reference to a condition that the Board placed on a case recently on Brewster Street in a Residence A district, and in that case, where the only way a second dwelling unit could be realized was through an accessory apartment, the Board apparently put a restriction in that case against short-term rentals.

A decision as I understand it hasn't been reduced
to writing, so I haven't had the benefit of reviewing it. But in that case, the city defines a short-term rental. And the short-term rental provision in Article 4 allows owner-occupied two-family and three-family houses to engage in rentals for less than 30 days, provided they register with the city.

And in an effort to be responsive to their neighbors' concern, the proponents have indicated that they would accept a condition that they would not be able to avail themselves of the provisions of Article 4 associated with short-term rentals -- which every other house on Chilton Street, many of which are two- and three-family houses in a Residence B district, is not similarly constrained.

So we've got a lot here that can easily accommodate two dwelling units, and candidly it feels a bit onerous and of questionable enforceability as to how a building inspector is going to be determining leases and length of leases.

And I know the Board generally shies away from restrictions that are not easily reviewable or enforceable by the Building Department.

The short-term rental provision is the only place in the ordinance where short-term is defined. There is no long-term rental. There's no provision of any other house in the city where you have to rent for a minimum of 10 months. This is a smaller than usual apartment on a lot that can easily accommodate two-family dwellings.

And candidly, a 10-month limitation is simply burdensome and feels quite arbitrary, given the size of the lot, and the first thing the only reason the accessory apartment has applicability here is because of the parking situation. And whether it's a short-term rental or not, that wouldn't change the parking situation.

So with all due respect, the property owner has made an attempt to be responsive on the question of shortterm so-called Airbnb by addressing the short-term rental term as that term is defined in the ordinance.

I would respectfully suggest to the Board that that is adequate -- an adequate condition to safeguard against Airbnb type use here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty. I hear you. I don't -- personally, I'm only one member of the Board -- I simply don't agree with you.

We have a neighborhood that has spoken out -- more than one person -- about the concerns of the short-term rentals, and in fact that it may have an adverse impact on the neighborhood.

One neighbor has I think suggested six months, and we have done this before as a Board. Not a unique situation for your client.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, with all due respect, Mr. Chair, I believe it to be unique in a district where a twofamily is an allowed use in a lot that can accommodate a two-family house -- apartment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I will say no more. I'll leave it up to my fellow Board members. I will make a motion that we put in a requirement that we'll allow the accessory apartment, but that the rentals for that apartment cannot be short-term if less than 10 months.

Let's see how the rest of the Board members feel about it. That's just my opinion. That's how I'm going to start the case off, and we'll take it from there.

Anyone --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, I don't mean to interrupt, but does the Board -- does the Chair not have
concern about the enforceability of such a provision, and how the Building Department would be expected to monitor that?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Of course I have concern about that, sir? We have that issue -- we have the issue in every case where we put a limitation on period of time, as we did at least once, as you told us. Nobody (sic) concerned about that.

Again, you've made your case. Let's see what the Board members say.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Public comment?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Public comment?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. I'll now open the matter up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak. SISIA DAGLIAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. Hello, can you hear me? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
JASON MARSHALL: Hi, Mr. Chairman. Jason Marshall
and Alison Marshall. We live at 60 Chilton Street. We're the abutter across the street. The letter that we sent in was referenced earlier in the meeting. I just want to say it's a privilege to have great neighbors.

And Vikas and Julie heard our concerns about the potential for short-term housing, we had positive conversations, and they responded to those concerns.

As Mr. Rafferty noted, you know, they're proposing a limitation or they would accept the condition as we heard it that would tie the restriction to short-term rentals under Section 4.60.

We're just one abutter, but just speaking for us, we are comfortable with that level of restriction. So really just wanted to pass that along, and to express our thanks. I don't know if -- my wife Alison is here as well; I don't know if she wants to say anything.

ALISON MARSHALL: We would welcome the opportunity to have our neighbors' mom move in. They have a lovely
property, and we appreciate all of the thought that they have put into a clearly delightful plan. So thank you very much and we appreciate your time.

JASON MARSHALL: Thanks again, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. But I'm not quite sure $I$ heard all of your comment. Are you saying that you would -- you don't need any restriction on the length of the lease, you're satisfied now? Or are you saying that some sort of restriction -- I had suggested 10 months, perhaps it could go to six months -- that's what you would prefer?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. Thank you for the opportunity to clarify. We would prefer a restriction, and our understanding is that the applicants are accepting of a condition of granting approval for the accessory unit that would restrict their ability to engage in any kind of a short-term rental, i.e., 30 days or less, as defined in the zoning ordinance in Section 4.60 .

That level of restriction we are comfortable with. The least has one abutter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Does anyone --
thank you sir, and ma'am for taking the time to speak to us. Anyone else?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Jessica Bryant?
JESSICA BRYANT: Hi. Can everyone hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

JESSICA BRYANT: Okay, great. So hi. Jessica
Bryant, and my partner, John Carol, is here. We're abutters on the right side of the property at 55 Chilton Street. First off, I'd say we're comfortable with no restrictions for short-term rentals on the auxiliary property. That's fine with us to no restrictions.

And then secondly, I had a question about the property survey that was shown earlier. There was a red line on our property; I was just curious what that was. I'm not familiar with survey terminology.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Would it be appropriate to have Ms. Daglian put that up, or would we wait to respond? I'm not exactly certain either.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm not either. To add some clarification?

JESSICA BRYANT: Sorry, it would be easier I think if it was possible to put it back up. The term next to the
red line said, "proposed over survey." So it was a red line that said, "proposed over survey" and it was going through our property.
[Pause]

JESSICA BRYANT: Okay. I'm just curious.
[Pause]

JESSICA BRYANT: There we go. Yeah, it's that -it's just got the number 1 right there.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm not sure what it means, but $I$ don't believe it to have any impact on your property. I think it's just a place where the surveyor is describing something. But there certainly isn't -- I don't see anything in the plan here, and I know Ms. Hickey is well versed in reviewing plans like this -- I don't believe that to have any consequence to the property it's written on.

I think it is written because that's an area on the plan where there was space to make a notation. And candidly, $I$ don't quite understand what that notation means.

JESSICA BRYANT: Okay. Thanks for the clarification.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for calling. Anyone else, Sisia? There's no further persons who wish to
speak, so I'm going to close public testimony. Discussion we have two, as I've indicated, and of course we all know. There are two pieces of relief being sought here: A variance and a special permit. It's a special permit basically relating to the accessory apartment.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And also the continued use of a parking space that does not conform to the location requirements of Article 6. So --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, if it is of assistance to the Board in its deliberation, I want to make clear that the petitioner is prepared to accept a restriction on the special permit authorizing the accessory apartment, that the apartment could not rely upon the provisions of Section 4.60, which would otherwise allow for a short-term rental in an owner-occupied dwelling.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would -- myself, subject to the rest of the Board members -- I would rather we have a little hard and fast time period. And I -- maybe 10 months is way too aggressive.

But I think at least a 30-day, no -- I would prefer something that says, "no rentals for a period of less
than 30 days."
JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, that's what Section 4.60 would allow.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm still trying to get there, Mr. Rafferty. Give me a chance. I'm turning the pages of my book. I haven't looked at this for a while.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. So you're saying that the approval of the accessory apartment would be governed by 4.60 -- is that right, Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, not governed; it would be specifically excluded from exercising the rights contained in 4.60 might be a more accurate way of saying it. So 4.60 you'll see is a regulation around short-term rentals, and under certain situations -- and this house would qualify -an owner-occupied house or an adjacent house, could be -could engage in the business of short-term rentals, provided they registered with the Inspectional Services Department.

The owner has no intention of operating a shortterm rental as that -- unless they're happy and they did so in direct response to the concern expressed by the neighbors across the street.

And I think it's very gracious that those abutters
tonight have indicated that the response provided by the petitioner they deem to be appropriate and adequate.

And I would hope the Board would recognize that level of dialogue and exchange that occurred between two abutters -- between an abutter and a property owner, and affirm the condition being proposed by the owner, which is now acceptable to the abutter, who requested a longer length of restriction.

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea Hickey speaking. Councillor, I want to make sure that I understand. So are the petitioners willing to not rent the property for a period of less than 30 days?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Is that what I'm understanding? JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. I'm in support of that. I frankly think to put a restriction of 10 months is onerous. If the petitioners are agreeing not to use the accessory apartment for short-term rental purposes as defined by the city's short-term rental ordinance, then $I$ would be in support of the restriction. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Well,
let me -- let's see. Matina, do you want to weigh in? Anything you want to add?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No. I'm agreeing with Andrea that the ordinance that's there suffices.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Just another point of
clarification, which only came to mind as we were doing this discussion on short-term rentals. In the Dimensional

Information Table that you provide, Attorney Rafferty?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: It says that you are splitting the lot area of each dwelling unit basically into two, which I would have thought that if it were an accessory -- if you go down to the lot area of each dwelling unit, the first column is 7410 and the second column requested condition is 3705, which I would have thought would be appropriate if you were seeking a two-family status. But I'm not sure.

Could you just clarify that number for me, please? JAMES RAFFERTY: I think it's a relevant dimension. It is going to be a dwelling unit. It's -- so it's accurate information. It happens to be, however, that
accessory apartments are not constrained by the lot area per dwelling unit.

So in this case, they're -- and I was attempting to make that point area (sic) this happens to be a lot that can easily accommodate a second dwelling unit.

So I think the information that's asked for is accurate, but you're quite correct, it is not seeking relief from this. So -- but $I$ felt the need to -- it's going to have two dwelling units, right?

So one of them' s accessory, one's a principal unit. It's -- I guess to your point, it's not a particularly relevant dimensional criteria when you're dealing with an accessory apartment, but $I$ felt it was information that was warranted to be included.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you. And then on the issue of the short-term rental, I tend to agree with Andrea and Matina just on that point. Thank you. But I did also just have another question on the parking. I see that on the plan there's a screen of a botanical matter between the two properties.

And I would just suggest, Mr. Chair, that since the abutting neighbor did not have notice that a variance
for parking per se was going to be requested.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Excuse me, excuse me, I don't mean to interrupt. That is not accurate. He would have got the full -- it's a single application. The legal notice calls for both the parking relief, the GFA and the apartment.

So I don't mean to interrupt, but it would be inaccurate to say that that abutter didn't have notice of that issue. It's contained in the application.

WENDY LEISERSON: I'm sorry, Mr. Rafferty. I said about the parking, because $I$ thought you said at the outset that you were now requesting a variance for the parking, because you were not sure?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No.
WENDY LEISERSON: Can you clarify that for me?
JAMES RAFFERTY: No, ma'am. No, ma'am. It is in the application. If you look at the cover sheet of the application, parking -- Section 6.41, "Parking in the front setback is requested." It's one of the variance provisions in the application.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Then I must have misunderstood what you said when you were talking about you
thought the statute of limitations applied, and you had realized after reviewing the matter that there was nothing previously allowing for it. So I misunderstood what you said at the outset if that's --

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, I apologize if I wasn't
clear. What I noted is that the -- this particular parking space in this location predates my client's ownership, but yet my review of the file, couldn't find any authority for how that was occurring.

So I chose this opportunity to seek relief to legalize what has been a long-standing practice without apparent authority.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Well thank you for that clarification. And then can you just also confirm that, as written on the plans, there is going to be a botanical screen there?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. And maybe Dr. Saini can confirm? It may already be there. I think this -- I'm not certain.

DR. VIKAS SAINI: Yeah. It is well-screened. It is -- you know, we have ideas for how to do some further landscaping. It's one of the pieces that makes this
property of value to us, so we have no intention of removing the screen.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Thank you for making that clear.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Wendy. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I think [-- Brendan Sullivan --] I think that the short-term rental issue probably has been satisfied at the ruling to not get protection under 4.60, which they're entitled to, and instead to waive that and to not having less than 30-day rentals.

So I would agree with Andrea and the other Board members. Wendy had expressed support for that also. So I think I'm okay with it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan. I'm ready to support an approach that was suggested by Andrea, and basically in the short-term rentals to not less than 30 days, and otherwise not asking for the benefits of 4.60 .

So let me make a motion -- we've got two motions, as I indicated earlier. Let's start with the variance.

The Chair moves that we make the following
findings with regard to the variance being sought: [Let me pull up the provisions of the ordinance.]

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that -- we're talking about, again, the variance, which does involve the--quote, unquote-- "shortterm rental -- the variance, there's a need for additional living space.

And that need is not only for the current occupants of the structure, but for all future occupants as well. There's a need to get more living space in the building.

That the hardship is owing to the shape of the lot and the topography of such lot.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by Amacher, A-m-a-c-h-e-r and Associates, Architects, dated July 24, 2021.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the variance for the relief requested.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes to granting the variance for the relief requested, noting that $I$ believe it's under 6.44.1c, not 6.41c as listed on the application.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I didn't -- you had your head down, I didn't catch exactly what you said.

WENDY LEISERSON: Sorry. I was just clarifying that I think the applicable Section was 6.44.1c, not 6.41c. So I was just saying, but yes under that provision.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams, yes in favor of voting for the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And the Chair votes yes in support of the variance as well.
[All vote YES]
So the variance is granted.

Moving onto the special permit, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And that in turn depends, or is subject to the condition that we've been discussing, in which $I$ will impose as part of this decision with regard to the special permit.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of what is being proposed for special permit. And again, and with more emphasis, this relates back to the condition that we've been talking about.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans referred to with regard to the variance we just granted.

And two, that with regard to the accessory apartment that will be resulting should we grant the relief being sought, that the -- it will not -- the petitioner will not avail themselves for the benefits of Section -- got to find it again -- 6 --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 4.60.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 4.60, thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: 4.60, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you; 4.60. And then in particular that any rental of this accessory apartment will not be for a term shorter than 30 days. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes in favor of
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes in
favor of granting the special permit as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.
Case over. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Have a good
evening.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening. SISIA DAGLIAN: I need a minute. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

SISIA DAGLIAN: I need a minute to get people on.
I need a minute to move people over to the next case. I
need a minute to get people over --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We're going to take a brief, informal recess on this side. Don't go away. We're just trying to straighten out some technical issues on our side on the screen. So when that is done, we will proceed with the next case.
[BREAK]
(7:29 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, the Chair is now ready to call the next case, Case Number \#124307--364R Third Street. Excuse me. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this case/this matter?

SALVATORE ZINNO: Yes. This is Sal Zinno from BioMed. I guess I'll be presenting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go right ahead.

SALVATORE ZINNO: All right. Thank you very much.

Thank you, members of the Board, for hearing us tonight, hearing our request.

So this project is actually one that I've been working on; the relocation of this existing Eversource gas transfer facility, the GTS as we call it, which is really one of the last remaining remnants of Kendall's industrial past.

It sits on Third Street between Athenaeum and Kendall. I've been working with Eversource for the better
part of I'd say five or six years to relocate this facility. The challenging aspect of moving something like this was finding an optimal location to move it to.

We know that the location on Third Street is not optimal. There's been a desire to move it for decades. And what we have today is -- I think as I've already said, you know, a once in a lifetime opportunity or, you know, I think the stars have aligned.

You know, there's a combination of factors that allow us to relocate it:

One, the City's approval for the rezoning of the gas transfer station site, and also, the adjacent site 585 Third, previously known as the Constellation Center Site.

The fact that the facility is at the end of its useful life, which has created an incentive for Eversource to work with us to relocate it. We're actually funding the relocation to take it off of Third Street.

And then the third piece is that we've been able to secure an option for a relocation site on the vicinity plant, which is the steam plant a few hundred yards away behind 500 Kendall.

So what the relocation of this facility will do
will allow us to, you know, really finish the -- you know, the Third Street Corridor.

This has been, you know, a bit of a missing tooth and impediment to finishing that corridor. It was a very narrow sidewalk in front of the site. The station itself is rather unsightly, as mentioned. I think, you know, the best way to describe it is a remnant of Kendall's industrial past.

We, as part of this project, as I mentioned, we went through a rezoning, which was approved unanimously by the City Council in December of last year.

We actually had a 9-0 vote from the City Council for this project -- votes shall incorporate a significant performing arts center and a 15,000 square feet ground-floor public space.

We have an abundance of community support for that project, and also especially a lot of support from the arts community. We know that that's -- you know, it's been a rough time for them leading up to the pandemic, and obviously even more difficult throughout the pandemic.

So a lot of people are look forward to the delivery of this public space and the feeder site. And this
is really the last step that we need to facilitate that relocation.

So if we could just, you know, quickly flip through the slides here, if we could flip to the second one, please.

So on the right, you're looking at a view of the gas transfer station. This is standing north of the station on Third Street. [And I should have brought these up earlier; apologies there.]

And then the photo on the right there -- excuse me on the left -- the aerial shows the Genzyme building to the west. To the left: the public ice-skating rink. To the south: Third Street. To the right, or to the east: Parcel $C$ is the gravel lot that was once slated to be the Constellation Center, and the GTS site is the Eversource gas transfer station on Third Street.

You can just flip to the next slide, please? Thank you.

So I mentioned, you know, there is a lot of support for this. This has been something I have actually letters from -- you know, the late '90s when Dennis Carlone was a consultant for the city, he was encouraging the
developer at the time to figure out how to relocate this facility, and the only problem was that the -- you know, again, the stars weren't aligned.

It wasn't end of life. It was extremely costly at the time, and obviously with the real estate values increasing in Kendall Square, we're finally at a point in time where we have the ability to fund the relocation. Just flip to the next slide, please? Thank you. And so you can hear from a few members of our team today. Eversource is technically the petitioner, so ultimately this will be their facility, and they will hold the -- you know, the easement for the relocation site.

Kendall infrastructure: that's BioMed Realty, that's me. TRI-Mont Engineering is the Design Engineer for the relocation for the actual new GTS. You're going to hear from David, from Anderson Creek, our attorney. And then we have a few members of the team from Red Kit (phonetic) as well; Todd and Lisa.

So if we can just move to the next slide, thank you.

So as I mentioned, you know, we've been working through the rezoning. We are prepared to finalize our
special permit application for the building, hopefully soon. And the hope is that if we -- you know, if we're able to secure the last pieces of this permitting that allow us into the GETS that we could start that work in the fall and have that facility relocated by fall of next year.

We'll just go to the next one. Thank you.
So it's just -- I'm going to let David go into this in more detail, but, you know, a quick little review of the requests that we have on the table for tonight.

One is to allow the actual use -- the gas transfer. The industrial use is not one that's technically currently allowed, although we are consolidating the utility uses. You know, a gas transfer station seems like the appropriate location for it is next to a steam plant.

And then the other piece is for relief from the minimum yard setbacks. Actually proposing to put the gas transfer station closer to the rear of BioMed's 500 Kendall building, the Genzyme building.

And just the next slide, please?
So this is just -- again, you know, an overall
view. I think, you know, for those of you who aren't familiar with the site, it's a little better context. The
large site to the left of the circle there is the Volpe site.

And the next slide, please?
And so this is actually a view -- again, you're looking at the gas transfer station and Third Street on the left -- 585 Third, the gravel lot in the middle.

And if you can see to the right, there's that round tank with the sort of rust-colored top. The gas transfer station is actually going to tuck in right to the left of that, between the tank and the rear of the 500 Kendall.

And then just the last slide, please? The next slide, please?

So now I'm going to turn it over to Ken from TRIMont to offer a little bit more detail on what's surrounding the relocation and how we're approaching it. Ken?

KENNETH STANLEY: Thank you, Sal --
SALVATORE ZINNO: Thank you.
KENNETH STANLEY: -- and the Board. Can everyone hear me okay?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SALVATORE ZINNO: Thank you again and good
evening. I'm Ken Stanley from TRI-Mont Engineering. As you can see in this plan view, the area located that says, "Existing Gas transfer station." There's an existing facility that has been there for many, many decades and services a large portion of this area of Cambridge.

What's unique about -- what's interesting about the station, it's fed by a main gas pipeline feed that goes into the station, and then regulates gas down to useable pressures to actually seven different customers on this line. So it's a very important station for Eversource. It services many customers, and has been there for a very long time.

So our strategy for choosing the site that we chose was to be able to do two things: Take the existing facility with -- that has two buildings and numerous piping facilities in it, reconfigure them into a configuration that would fit in a smaller footprint.

We're actually able to take a two-building scenario and create a one-structure scenario with two levels. And we're able to do that on a site that is conducive to public utilities and power generation and steam and so on.

Again, another interesting fact about the location that we chose: Of the seven pipes that leave the existing station, two of those endpoints are actually on the Kendall power plant station itself. So we actually made that connection a lot easier, a lot smoother.

So but we do have five pipes leaving this station, and we found a corridor, we found a means to do that to reconnect those existing pipes to service existing customers in this area.

So again, the strategy was take a larger, spreadout area of piping and utility, reconfigure it using new technology and existing operations and maintenance procedures, and locate it on a facility that's shared with other utilities -- power generation, steam generation, and natural gas usage. So that's why this area was chosen.

If we can go to the next slide? Any questions on that slide, first of all? Okay.

So from an existing conditions perspective, you can see the existing Kendall power plant station. The relief we are looking for is the sideline setback. The proposed site is very close to the western boundary line adjacent to oil tank number 5 -- I'm sorry, number 35.

But on the site itself, there are numerous ancillary buildings that have piping equipment, storage and so on that are equally close to the property lines.

As you can see in this slide on the upper lefthand corner, we have an equipment storage area that's very close to the property line. And I believe it's about 2.2 feet off the property line in that location.

And then if you looked at the other side of the property on the upper right-hand corner, there are existing Eversource buildings along the sidelines and front lines that are about eight feet and/or four feet off the property lines.

So we chose this location for -- again, two reasons. One, accessibility. And actually, we can jump to the next slide $I$ think will be a better way to show this.

So we've zoomed in to the site. We have our fuel tank -- fuel oil tank \#35. We have an open area at the back corner. We have -- accessibility was very key to this location.

As you can see, the walk -- the driveway between 500 Kendall and 450 Kendall is an active roadway/alleyway/passageway to the existing Kendall
property. We maintained access through this area from an emergency perspective. There's a double gate that allows the vicinity to access its own property, to which we would have the same access along that fence line.

Then, if you follow the alleyway, the area adjacent to the property and the buildings in a $Z$ shape as we head north, you go down the alley, and then that alleyway exits out onto Athenaeum Street.

So Athenaeum Street: This is an existing passageway between 500 Kendall and the power plant. So this is an existing driveway, to which we would create a new fence opening for Eversource to use on a daily basis, so it can take its maintenance vans into the site, and then easily maintain accessibility to the equipment for rental operations.

So we have two accesses to this location: One being a larger area that's at the existing passageway to the Kendall property, as well as a day-to-day or weekly access or monthly access, via the alleyway. So this was very important that we provide that level of access.

We also brought it a little closer to the property line to ensure that we can get around the facility from one
access point to the other, and have a clear driveway passageway, as well as for emergency vehicles as well. If we can go to the next slide? And again, we took advantage of a more efficient design with regard to how much area and space we would need. So this structure is a two-level structure where we can accommodate the equipment that was on the original site that fronted on Third Street, house it here, and be able to accommodate the equipment necessary in a two-level environment.

And I think that's what I have. Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
DAVID WIENER: Thanks. Thank you, Ken. It's --
Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, David Wiener. I represent the applicant here. Next slide, if you could, please, Ms. Daglian?

So the specific relief we're requesting given that background is a variance from the side yard setback on the left-hand side of the property, and a special permit for the use of the property as a gas regulator station.

With respect to the variance, this location, as

Ken described, is the only sort of -- is the only economically feasible location on the property, given the unique shape of the lot, on which Eversource can safely operate this facility.

There's no other location on the lot where that can be done safely and provide access, and sort of sliding down -- you know, the GTS facility closer to that storage tank to minimize the intrusion into the setback just doesn't allow us -- doesn't allow Eversource to safely operate the facility. I guess Ken said he's accessed it on three sides.

You know, as Sal pointed out, we believe that the relocation to this site will be the opposite of a substantial detriment to the zoning district. You know, this lot, the vicinity property's the only lot in the district that's used exclusively for utility uses. We're moving sort of industrial utility use off of the heavily traveled, mixed-use residential office environment to one in which it's more suited and appropriately suited.

So we think it's consistent with -- actually consistent with the planning direction of the city, and is the opposite of substantial detriment to the zoning
district.

With that, I'm happy to answer any questions, as is the rest of the team.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions.
Other members of the Board have any questions? Apparently not. Thank you, sir, for your presentation.

DAVID WIENER: You're welcome. Thank you.
KENNETH STANLEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any further comments on behalf of the applicant, or are you ready for -- or are you completed your presentation?

DAVID WIENER: Well, I would just like to -- maybe I'd like to add and reiterate what Sal said; that, you know, the stars we think are aligned here.

We think this is truly a win-win situation for the city, for the applicant and for the neighborhood in terms of all interested parties and the abutters -- all interested parties. And this seems to be this is a win-win.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.
Questions from members of the Board? Brendan? He's nodding no. No questions. Matina? Any questions?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions right now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And -- who have I left
out?
ANDREA HICKEY: Me - Andrea.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Andrea.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any questions?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I have no questions as well. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Charles Hinds?
CHARLES HINDS: Hi. My name is Charles Hinds. I live at 207 Charles Street in East Cambridge. I'm the current President of the East Cambridge Planning Team. And I'm here speaking on behalf -- I'll try to make this brief;

I know the meeting is very long.
Basically, on behalf of the East Cambridge Planning Team, I'd like to express our support for the move of the gas transfer station that's located on Third Street. The application is before you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. We already have a letter from your organization. It's under your signature supporting it, so we're aware of that support. Thanks.

CHARLES HINDS: Yeah, we --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone else wishes to speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's it for public comment. As I have partially indicated, we have letters of support. We have strong support from the Planning Board, and in strong support from the Planning Board; support as I've just mentioned from the East Cambridge Planning Team, and support from individual counselors of the city.

I have no letters that $I$ can recall in opposition or questioning the relief being sought.

So with that, we will close public commentary and
move to consideration. We have two -- as indicated, we have two forms of relief being sought: A variance and a special permit.

I'm -- as I've done before, I'm going to start
with a motion to grant one by one the variance and special permit, and then the applicant -- if people have questions or comments, people being members of our Board, we can take them up at that point.

So with regard to the variance, the Chair moves that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that this is a -- if you will not a public facility, but a facility that serves the public.

And this is -- in this area, this is the only site. I mean, the structure there now is, as the petitioner has put it, on its -- more or less -- on its last legs.

You will need to replace it, and this is the site to replace it to.

The hardship is owing to nature of the area. It's, as someone just mentioned, a densely and heavily used urban/business area, and there are not many sites available to relocate -- I'm looking for the right words -- to
relocate the gas regulator station.
And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. In this regard, just the opposite is true.

There's no detriment, at least as testified to by members of the governing community of the City of Cambridge -- the Planning Board, the Council -- (Councillors, not the Council itself) -- and a prominent neighborhood organization, namely the East Cambridge Planning Team.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans submitted by the petitioner entitled, "Eversource Third Street Station Relocation Project," the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes. I must add that $I$ think it was a very, very professional presentation by the team, and also, the correspondence that we received really left no doubt that it was the proper thing to do.

So I would support the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I support the variance, and
I second Mr. Sullivan's comments regarding the
professionalism of the presentation. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: [Matina] I'm in support of the variance as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson] I'm in support, and I concur with Brendan's comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair will be accused of, "me too" ism. I also am in support of granting relief and congratulate the petitioners on a very thoughtful and professional presentation.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the variance has been granted.

Turning to the special permit, to relocate the gas regulator station, the Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be
met without the relief that's being sought.
That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

It's quite consistent with where this portion of East Cambridge is and is going, and it will facilitate that development, which is in the best interest of the city.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed.

Again, we have received no letters of opposition, and the petitioner has made a convincing case, in my judgment at least, that there is no impact on the operation or development of adjacent uses.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impose (sic) the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

In fact, as I've indicated, and other members of the Board have indicated, it would be the opposite of impairing the integrity of the district; it furthers the interest of the district.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested -again on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the submission by the petitioner that we've referred to with regard to the granting of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit has been granted. Case over. Thank you very much.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. Thank you. Thank you very much. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're going to check, let's see it's -- let me see the time, it is 7:55. We're going to take a five-minute break and reconvene at 8:00. [BREAK]
(8:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It now being 8:00, we're going to resume our hearing. And The Chair will now call Case Number \#116964 -- 11 Union Street. And I wish to report that the petitioner in this case has withdrawn his petition. Specifically, $I$ am reading from his letter from Andrew Jawa, J-a-w-a.
"I am withdrawing the application originally scheduled to be heard on July 29." That's tonight. So this case is gone, and we can move on to the next case.
(8:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number \#125588 -- 128 Cherry Street \#1. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Petitioner, are you there?
RICHARD MEADE: Richard Meade, Medford
Engineering. I was -- I'm here as a -- for backup about the survey and what's being proposed. It's on 128 Cherry Street in Cambridge. The structure has a previous ZBA approval, based on the floor plans that were presented at the time.

There's a small section -- a 5 x 6 basically section of a three-story open porch that the petitioner wants to enclose the first floor to make that, you know, useable living space. And they'd like to modify -- that that would be the modification to the previous ZBA approval of the site.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.

Anything more? Is that the finish of your presentation? Hello?

RICHARD MEADE: Yeah, unless the petitioner has anything to add to that. That's basically what the proposal is.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.
Questions from members of the Board?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions as well. So I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Naidong Ye, please speak.
NAIDONG YE: Hi. My name is Naidong Ye. I am the
owner of apartment \#2 of the building directly above the petitioner unit. And I am here to express my support for the petition. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the
time. Anyone else wish to speak?
SISIA DAGLIAN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess that's it. So we're going to close public testimony. I don't see any -SISIA DAGLIAN: Oh!

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- written communications
from neighbors or the like in our file. So I will make a motion, and then we can discuss the case with the Board in the context of this motion.

Just give me a second to get my act together. We're talking about a variance to enclose its existing open porch.

SISIA DAGLIAN: There is somebody whose hand is raised, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, we do have
someone else who wishes to speak. So go ahead.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Gita Manaktala?
GITA MANAKTALA: Hello, I'm Gita Manaktala. I'm the owner of Unit 3 in the same building. This is a threeunit condo building. And I'm also here to express my support for the petitioner. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for being a good
neighbor. Anyone else? That's it.
SISIA DAGLIAN: That's it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's it. Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought, and as indicated, this is a modification of a variance that was granted previously.

And I believe that all of the conditions and the reasons for granting the variance that were expressed in our written decision then apply equally here, with respect to the relief being sought. So I don't propose to go back over them.

So on the -- so with that, I'm going to make a motion. The motion is to grant the variance being sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair doesn't want to be a skunk at the garden party, so he will vote yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Variance granted. Thank you.

RICHARD MEADE: Thank you very much.
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, ma'am.
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson. I just wanted
to make a disclosure before we proceed to the next case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go right ahead.
WENDY LEISERSON: So my disclosure is that
Attorney Michael Wiggins is my personal attorney in other matters not related to the case that we are about to hear, and therefore I'm confident that I can remain objective and proceed accordingly, but $I$ wanted that put on the record.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for the disclosure. That's very proper. I don't think -- from what I hear, anyway, there's any reason for you not to sit on this case, in my personal opinion. Okay. So we'll move on. Thanks again.
(8:06 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number \#125807 -- 145 Concord Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Mr. Chairman, Michael Wiggins. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, sir.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: I'm sorry, could you say the name again? I just want to be sure I'm on the right case. Go ahead.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Could I say what, I'm sorry?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Did you say --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 145 Concord Avenue.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Good, good. I just wanted to be sure $I$ heard you correctly. Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Michael Wiggins from the firm from Weston Patrick. I represent Margaret McKenna and Margaret McConnell.

They have owned the property for a number of years. They have two small children who are growing very quickly and they're running out of space. And so they're proposing a very small reconfiguration of the dormer -- a rebuilding of the dormer on the third floor.

Nothing's happening on the first floor, the basement or the second floor. And this is going to provide them some vital needed living space. I think Margaret McConnell can maybe speak to that later about what it's going to afford them.

So that's their objective. This expansion, it's a prior nonconforming use in that it's slightly above the FAR, and this will only be a very small addition to that. I think it goes from 0.764 to 0.798 .

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Does this modified dormer, the relief you're seeking, still comply with the dormer guidelines? And if not, to what extent does it not?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: I think it does. And I -- Mr. Axel Palacio-Ramirez, or I'm sorry Ramirez-Palacio, our architect, is going to walk through that and address those guidelines --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: -- as he does it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll wait to hear from him, sir.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yeah. I think that that's what I'll do now if Axel is with us. Axel, why don't you go ahead and walk the Board through the submission, and as you do that, explain how we're complying with the dormer guidelines?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Mr. Architect, you're on the line?

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Hello? Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. We can hear you, yes.

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Oh, okay. Good evening, members of the Board. My name is Alex Ramirez. I'm representing Sam Kachmar Architects. Yes. I would like to present our project, 145 Concord Avenue, as --

Next sheet, please?
Yes. On this sheet on the top right you can see our site plan, where we're showing the projection of the setback lines -- areas of relief.

We are looking to enlarge existing dormer, and
part of the dormer is the work of the dormer needs to be in the setback lines, as the addition of two skylights.

On the bottom part of this, you can see our FAR calculations, where we're going from 0.76 to 0.79. That shows 0.03 percent; that's a dwelling to 86 square feet. Next sheet, please?

Here is the aerial view. On the left side is the aerial view with existing condition on the small dormer. That is larger on the right side, and two skylights on the roof proposed.

Next, please?
This is an existing street view from Concord
Avenue. That's the main façade. You can see we have no intention of any alteration on this elevation.

Next one, please?
This is another existing street view from the end of Concord and Madison. And in this view, you can
appreciate the enlarged dormer on the Madison side.
Next, please?
This is another street view from Madison Street. When you saw here's the enlarged dormer.

Next, please?

Last, a street view from Concord Avenue. And you can see the alteration on the dormer is not visible from this side.

Next, please?
This is our site elevation. On the top left, you can see our existing elevation. On the top right is a picture of the existing elevation. Bottom left is our proposed render (sic). On the right side, we have our proposed elevation. We can see the line; the setback line is part of the elevation.

Next, please?
This is the east elevation on the top left, existing condition elevations. Top right is a picture of existing condition. Bottom right is the proposed render (sic).

On the right side, we have our proposed elevation that shows the enlarged dormers that is put in the design -Cambridge design dormers guide; less than 15 feet wide, away from each corner more than three and a half feet; more than 50 percent fenestration with the front wall.

Next, please?
This is the north elevation. Top left: Existing
condition elevation. Top right: Picture of the existing condition. Bottom right is the proposed render (sic). And on the right side we have our proposed elevations.

Next, please?
This is Level 0. No work in this level.
Next, please?
Level 1: No work on this level.
Next, please? Once again, no work on this level.
Next, please?
This is Level 3. You can notice here the projection of the setback lines; areas of relief on the increase on the square footage on the FAR, and those gray rectangles.

Next, please?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before we go onto the next, just this is an appropriate place to talk about the dormer guidelines.

It would appear, if I'm reading these plans right, that in terms of the length of the new dormer, it will, you know, be bigger than what's there now, and it will comply with our dormer guidelines. Do you agree?

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How about the other requirements of our Dormer Guidelines?

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: What do you mean?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, you've got the setback from the edge --

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Yes, yes. From the corner of the house, you have a minimum of three and a half feet. We have more than that. We have more than ten - nine feet 10 and a quarter. So that's another --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So it is your representation to this Board that the proposed dormer will comply with the dormer guidelines in all respects?

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Correct?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Yes.
ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Yes, that's correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. You can move on with your presentation.

ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: All right. Next sheet, please?

This is the roof plan, where you can see areas of relief within setback lines to a skylight unit and part of
the roof of the enlarged new dormer.
Next, please?
This is the $Q R$ code that -- with a video rendering of the exterior that you can access with your cell phone. And following to this is more documentation
requested by the business -- the certified plot plan, and more existing condition pictures of the property. That's the end of the presentation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: No questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina? MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions as well, other than the one I've asked. So we will open the matter up to public testimony at this point. I would disclose that -- not disclose, but -- I would mention that we have in our files letters of support for what is
proposed; no letters of opposition.
Okay, now for public comment. Any members of the
public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a moment to see if there's anyone who wishes to speak. [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No? No one wishes to comment, so I will now close public testimony. I'm going to turn to the merits. As before, I'm going to make a motion to grant the relief and hear what people have to say to that.

So the Chair moves that with regard to the special permit that's being sought: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood -- as pointed out in the presentation, what we're talking about here is an upper floor dormer that complies with our dormer guidelines and has no other impact
on the established neighborhood character.
That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature what is being proposed.

I think the dormer speaks for itself with respect to the lack of adverse effect on the neighborhood. And this is confirmed I believe by the fact that no neighbor has taken the time to write a letter in opposition or speak in opposition.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. Again, we're talking about a dormer.

And lastly, that the proposed use will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with voluminous plans submitted by Sam Kachmar Associates, the
first page of which, or the cover page of which, has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.

Thank you.

MICHAEL WIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
ALEX RAMIREZ-PALACIO: Thank you very much.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. Thank you,
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Michaels.
(8:19 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number \#126732 -- 62 Fulkerson Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

CAROLINE LOWENTHAL: Should we start?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, you're on.
CAROLINE LOWENTHAL: Okay. Hi. I'm Caroline Lowenthal, and I'm here with my husband, Sam Ribnick. We've lived in Cambridge for about 20 years now, and we're raising our three kids here. We have lived in East Cambridge for quite a while now, and love living in the neighborhood.

We were so excited to be able to finally be able to buy a house in the neighborhood; it's close to our kids' school, it's close to the library and pool. And we have such a wonderful community of friends in the neighborhood.

We want to do this project that we've proposed so that we can stay in this house basically for the rest of our lives. We are so excited to be living in this neighborhood.

Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for the nice presentation. Questions from members of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. If Staff can sort of pull up the plan? Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, we're having a little technical difficulties on our end here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I have no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, no questions. Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're welcome. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I have no questions at this
time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. The Chair doesn't have a question, but really a comment. The plans in
my view -- and I'm not an architect -- are bare bones. I mean, they're barely enough to make, for us to make an informed decision. I'm very disappointed in the plans.

It's not the criticism of the petitioners, it's their architect who I think could have done a better job.

But in any event, as other members of the Board feel so, I'm willing to proceed on the basis of the plans that we do have.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Um--
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, well it -- yeah, it could have been essentially a lot more as to exactly existing and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. In particular that. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- proposed, to make it a lot clearer to us. I think if anybody -- the building inspectors are very professional, very learned and what have you -- they would sort of look at it and say, "What's going on?"

So, I mean, you go by the dimensional form and I can sort of understand and see what's happening, only by going through such a search and discovery type of mission.

But anyhow.
SAMUEL RIBNICK: We do have our architect, or someone from the architecture firm here with us. We could explain more about the plans, if that would be helpful.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It would be better if we had the actual plans. It's not so much my opinion, it's explaining it. You've explained it in your application, but with the plans we ought to see the specifics, and see the visual impact. And that we can't get from the -- I can't get, at least -- from the plans that we've gotten.

But I'm willing to go ahead and decide this case on the basis of what we have.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean, basically you're bumping out the back of the house a bit, is that correct?

SAMUEL RIBNICK: Yeah, just to the depth where there's currently porch we're bringing out the whole back of the house to that same depth of six feet, and the neighbors have all been very supportive of that, since we're not going out any farther than the existing porch.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Yeah, all right. I'm all right with it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Any other members
of the Board have any questions or comments regarding the plans, or not? If not, we will continue the case.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The silence is deafening, so I think we're okay. I would also report, as the petitioner has, that we do have a number of letters of support for the relief being sought. I don't see any letters of opposition.

So I will close -- open the matter up to public testimony, I'm sorry. It's been a long night. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak. [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have some go ahead. SISIA DAGLIAN: Joanne Nelson?

JOANNE NELSON: Can you hear me?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep, we can hear you. JOANNE NELSON: Okay. Yes, I fully support the
plan. My husband and I live at 58 Fulkerson Street, so we definitely support the variance, so we're 100 percent in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good. Thank you for taking the time to speak to us on this, and also, to support your neighbor.

JOANNE NELSON: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone else wishes to speak?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Mm-hm. Bobby Trevers?
BOBBY TREVERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Bobby Trevers. I reside at 54 Fulkerson Street, and my family owns 64 Fulkerson Street directly next to the petitioners, and I'm just calling to support their application, and I also did send a letter of support into the file. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Anyone else?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Kelly Sherman? Hello, my name is Kelly Sherman. And I'm a homeowner at 71 Fulkerson Street, which is catty-corner to 62. And I've known Sam and Caroline since they moved to East Cambridge, and they've been long-term renters who've been really searching and
searching for a home that will be a long-term fit. So I just want to voice my support and thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And I think the petitioners should be heartened by the fact that you have supportive neighbors. We don't always see that.

All right. With that, I will close public testimony. I'll make a motion, unless the Board feels otherwise.

The motion is that we're talking about both a variance and a special permit. The special permit relates to the installation of new windows on a nonconforming side. Unfortunately, I can't find where those windows are, given the inadequacy of the plans.

But nevertheless, with regard to the variance, the Chair moves that we make the following findings: that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being this is an older building in a densely populated neighborhood with houses side-by-side, very little -- certainly no side yards.

And therefore there is a need for some outdoor relief to the rear of the structure, as proposed by the petitioners.

The hardship is owing to the shape of the structure itself. Again, snuggled in between two buildings with no side yard.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by Kraus -- K-r-a-u-s -- Associates, and dated July 23,2021. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes in favor of
granting the variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes in favor of granting the variance as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So now let's turn to the special permit. With regard to the installation of new windows on a nonconforming side.

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed -- the installation of these windows -- will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

I think it speaks for itself that the windows in these sides, where there's no side yard, has little impact on the streetscape or the other properties in the neighborhood. And as we've learned, there is no opposition from those abutters where the windows will face.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by these additional windows.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

The district and adjoining district will not be affected by what is being proposed with regard to the addition of these windows.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested, again on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans that we referred to with regard to the variance we just granted.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will make it
unanimous by voting to grant the special permit as well.
[All vote YES]
Relief granted. Good luck.
CAROLINE LOWENTHAL: Thank you very much.
SAMUEL RIBNICK: Thank you so much. And thank you
to our neighbors.
(8:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call the last case on our agenda, Case Number \#122612 -- 58 Granville Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

LAUREN MARETT: Yes. Hi. Hello, can you hear us? Great.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours.
LAUREN MARETT: Okay, great.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The screen is yours, I should say.

LAUREN MARETT: Thank you. Good evening, Chairman and members of the Board. Thank you for taking the time to hear our request this evening. My name is Lauren Marett, and this is my partner, Adam Munnelly. We are the property owners, and we just wanted to take a very brief moment to introduce ourselves.

Adam's from Ireland and moved to the States about

20 years ago. He works as a General Contractor now, and he has two wonderful kids.

I'm from the Boston area, and I now own fitness studios, one of which is located in Harvard Square. Both my parents as well as my brother and sister-in-law have lived in Cambridge, so I've spent lots of time in Cambridge over the years. It's always had a special place in my heart.

So when it came time for us to start looking for a place to put down roots, Cambridge was an obvious choice for us.

So as I mentioned, Adam has two kids, and we're hoping to add to our family. So we're very much hoping that we'll be able to maximize the space we have within the house, and make it our home for many years to come. Thank you.

ADAM MUNNELLY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Let me ask you one question, and you may have heard us refer to the dormer guidelines that our city has that are guidelines.

ADAM MUNNELLY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: They are prepared by or promulgated by the Community Development. And they're not a
requirement, but our Board pays close attention to it.
Are you in a position to comment on the extent that you will be complying with the dormer guidelines, with regard to the dormer -- excuse me, the dormer relief you're seeking?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Mr. Chair, this is Steve Hiserodt, the architect.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear who you are.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Steve Hiserodt, the architect. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.

STEPHEN HISERODT: I can speak to all of that -all of those issues. I guess I'd like to just start by going over the requests, the first request being an increase in non-conforming GFA.

We are in a $B$ zone currently, which allows 0.5 GFA. The neighborhood itself is primarily two and threefamily structures that are nonconforming for the vast majority, ranging in FARs from 0.6 to 1.0. Most are over the allowable FAR.

The FAR that we're adding is 0.035. It is about 160 square feet, a relatively small amount of floor area
added. It's all being added on the third floor, with the addition of the dormer.

If we could go to --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can you speak to the dormer guidelines, sir?

STEPHEN HISERODT: The dormer on the right-hand side, the shed dormer, which can be seen on -- let's see, sheet A2.3 or A23 -- meets the dormer guidelines in most respects. It sits back one foot six inches from the main wall that it is above.

It is proper distance away from the eve lines, and it sits a little bit tight to the ridge, but that could be adjusted by lowering the slope of the roof. And it is 13 feet wide.

If we go to the other side, A22?
The existing dormer, which is in the middle, does not meet with respect to ridgeline or its distance off the front of the main face of the façade. But it meets --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How about the size? The dormer guidelines size? Are you more than 15 feet?

STEPHEN HISERODT: The existing dormer is this, the central dormer that is above the bay. And it is a sole
dormer. We've added the dormer to the left of that, which is identical size. And we've added a shed dormer in between them to connect them.

But it sits back quite a bit off the face, just to be -- say, secondary to the primary pair.

The dormer on the left does not meet with respect to its relationship to the exterior wall, or the edge of the roofline.

And they both do not meet the setback away from the primary façade. But that was an issue of trying to keep them an equal pair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How big is the existing dormer on the side?

STEPHEN HISERODT: 10 feet.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
STEPHEN HISERODT: 10 feet.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 10 feet?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So you're going to have 20 feet of dormer on this side?

STEPHEN HISERODT: On this side of the roof. Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is there any way you can
reduce the size of that dormer, so the combined dormer front is 15 feet or less?

STEPHEN HISERODT: I mean yes. There's always -there's always a way that we could do that. We couldn't do it in -- I mean, they would lose their symmetry. They wouldn't be the identical dormers. We can't reduce the size of the original dormer, because it won't support a bedroom in that case.

Honestly, I think it will appear more complete and honest if it stays similar to the way it is.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. Let me just -- the existing dormer, as we're looking at it now on the left is --

STEPHEN HISERODT: The existing dormer is on the right in the center.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- okay, the one on the -- the existing dormer is what, on the left or the right?

STEPHEN HISERODT: On the right-hand side. It is above the bay below.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And that's 10-foot-11, is that correct?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. That's correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And then you're adding a 9-foot-10 section in between?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It is roughly that. The -yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then the one on the left is also going to be --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 10 feet?
STEPHEN HISERODT: And we can easily lose that connection in the middle.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're basically going to wind up with 30 feet of dormer.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. It is roughly 30 feet of dormer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. The dormer guidelines call for no more than 15 feet on any one side. And that also includes an existing dormer.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Um--.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're basically double what the dormer guidelines really call for.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have -- this Board in the past on occasion has allowed dormers that are bigger
than the 15 feet, and individually are combined. But you're going much farther beyond what our dormer guidelines provide. I --

STEPHEN HISERODT: How about if we lose the connection in the middle?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
STEPHEN HISERODT: We could lose the connecting piece in the middle without impacting the plan at all.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I mean, the dormer on -this is Brendan Sullivan -- the dormer on the left, as we look, actually services the staircase.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that really is non-movable.
That's very functional, and the dormer -- the connecting piece, what does that give you on the inside?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It really just adds light to that corridor area between --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is it a washer and dryer in there?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The walk-in?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's -- you got a laundry room there, and --

STEPHEN HISERODT: That doesn't need to be there.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean, it adds some height, obviously, to that room too.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. But there's enough height for a laundry in there. So that could be sacrificed. In which case we'd have essentially two 10- or 11-foot dormers.

But I think they're both fairly vital to successful use of that upper story, and the effort to provide ample bedroom space for the kids was the primary goal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Can we -- while we're there can we pull up the floor plans showing the third floor, which is sheet A13 on your submittal?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
STEPHEN HISERODT: So the roof slope is a nine and 12. But the roof joists sit right on the floor. So there's a vast area of space that is lower than five feet and lower than useful space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And what you're trying to
achieve is an extra bedroom, is that --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- is that correct? So up
there now there is a study area, you've got that dormer over the stairway. There is a closet, obviously the existing -the bath -- the two baths will be created with the dormer on the other side of the house?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And by expanding and putting in
that dormer to the right, then you can basically gain another bedroom?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're basically only going
to have two bedrooms and sort of a study area?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But right now you only have --
STEPHEN HISERODT: Right now, there is a bedroom and a half.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I don't know. The plan works.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, does it work from a zoning point of view?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. Well, nothing works from the zoning point of view. I mean, the only thing is that you would have to sacrifice that study and create a bedroom there. But then that leaves you void of any open gathering space, I guess, other than the second floor.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. That space was desirable for, you know, children to hang out.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. That's their gathering area.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Can sell some stuff. STEPHEN HISERODT: [Laughter] There's a possibility that I could reduce the size of the dormer on the left-hand side where the stair is, and only shift it over as tight as possible until I have head clearance issues, which I think I could probably take four feet out of it. But it would lose the balance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't know. I -- I mean, it works from a logical standpoint of view from the -- do you only occupy the second-floor unit, is that correct?

ADAM MUNNELLY: Yes.
LAUREN MARETT: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. So it's a condo? You have --

ADAM MUNNELLY: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- people on the first floor, and then you're on the second and third floor, is that correct?

ADAM MUNNELLY: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Yeah. It's, I mean the plan works. But it goes against everything that we do, or espouse. I'd be interested in how other members of the Board feel.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, is there a possibility we just continue this case and let the architect work on this, come up with another solution, or you think there's no solution other than what is being proposed to us?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I don't know. Andrea, your thoughts?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. So I'm looking at this, and Brendan, if they were to lose that connection between the two dormers, do you feel better about it then?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well it's -- you know, it does work. I mean, to be honest with you I think that you have
the two dormers up there, it -- this connecting link actually basically ties it together a little bit. So there is a form to that.

And as opposed to having two little dormers -they're not little, but -- two dormers that are just plunked on the roof, which sort of almost look from an architectural standpoint a missing link. And that -- so that does, there is that form of sort of a connecting link.

The function of that form is I think very beneficial on the inside, because then you can get a functioning laundry room, washer/dryer and, you know, maybe above it a little bit of storage area to put stuff that you put in laundry rooms. And also, you get some natural light into that room.

So from a functioning standpoint, the plan that's before us I think works.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I get that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, suppose --
ANDREA HICKEY: But I just -- the way I'm seeing this, it makes one big, giant dormer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, that's unfortunately -that's what it is, correct.

ANDREA HICKEY: That's the result. And if it is in fact one big, giant dormer, it is so far outside of our Dormer Guidelines that it makes it tough for me to consider in a positive way.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. Because every -- you know, every night that we sit here and people, you know, again rightfully so come down before us and want to really stretch that 15 feet to, you know, 16 feet to 18 feet to 20, 21 -- and again, for all the right reasons.

And, you know, we always say, "No, we have these guidelines, and we really want to pull back and conform to those guidelines." And we do that I think consistently, and we try to do it very well.

And yet this flies in the face of all of the guidelines and all our efforts to comply with the guidelines. So --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Aren't we in a position that we have a pact that we can't approve these plans, this -- we can't grant the relief being sought tonight because of the dormer issue that they have to go back to the drawing boards and see if they come up with another solution that either if it doesn't comply with the dormer guidelines, it's
much closer to what they require?
And that's it. It's unfortunate, but I don't -- I have a problem, like Andrea and I think Brendan's wrestling with as well: We do have dormer guidelines.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Like we have other
requirements. And this is not a requirement, but something that we treat as a requirement. And, you know, we have to follow them, as much as we might be sympathetic to what the petitioners want to do.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. [Brendan Sullivan again.] And again, once we start chipping away at that, and in this case blowing up that whole dormer guidelines, I don't think it's fair to all the other people who have come down before us that we have pulled back within that envelope, and also future people coming down who we will -again, try to corral into those dormer guidelines.

So I think it's --
STEPHEN HISERODT: Can I ask we --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it's probably a redo at this point.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to make a motion
that we continue this case. We'll give the petitioner and their architect time to reflect on what they've heard and what the Dormer Guidelines require, and come up with a different plan -- a plan much closer to compliance, if not complying, with the Dormer Guidelines.

As others have said, we do -- we're not rigid with required compliance with the Dormer Guidelines, but we like to see most compliance -- mostly compliant, and a good reason why they can't all the way comply.

So unless other members of the Board object, I'm going to make a motion to continue this case. Any objections to that?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. I assume no. All right. Then the Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until -- that heard means we have to have the same five people who were on the call tonight be available for that continued case.

How much time, petitioners and your architect, do you think you need to go back and reflect on this and come back with hopefully new plans, or modified plans?

STEPHEN HISERODT: No time at all.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry.
STEPHEN HISERODT: I could be ready tomorrow if you could.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any time, if I can work the second date.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do you do it in September?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Is there any chance we could do it in the August hearing?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No, we're not doing continued cases that day. August we're not, we don't have room.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm not available.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. September 2, right? Is your hearing.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are you ready, can you be ready by September 2 ?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, definitely.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. Let me make a motion. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on September 2, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. Because by law, otherwise relief would be
automatically granted, which would mean we would automatically deny relief tonight. It's a very simple standard form that we've used -- the City uses forever.

That form must be signed no later than 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday. If you don't do that, we are going to dismiss the case.

So you have to go to the Building Department and you get a copy of the form and have them e-mail it to you. That's the first condition.

The second condition is that a new posting sign, or a modified posting sign be erected and maintained for the 14 days prior to September 2, just as you've done with regard to the case tonight.

And third, to the extent that there are -presumably there will be -- new plans, specs, modifications, what have you, they must be in our files, the Building Department files, no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before September 2.

If they are not, we will not hear the case on
September 2, or we'll deny relief, which I think you can tell we don't want to do, but we need to give you a better -

- another shot at complying with our -- the legal
requirements of our city.
So on the basis of all of these, that's the motion
I am making.
Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes to the continuance.
[All vote YES]
So the case is continued until 6:00 p.m. --

SISIA DAGLIAN: [Whispered] September 2.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: On September 2. Thank
you. The case -- that's it for the end of the cases
tonight.

ADAM MUNNELLY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hopefully you will have a better result on September 2 .

LAUREN MARETT: Thank you.
ADAM MUNNELLY: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And that's it. Goodnight.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Goodnight, ladies.
WENDY LEISERSON: Goodnight.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you, everyone. Stay well. MATINA WILLIAMS: You as well.
[08:53 p.m. End of Proceedings]

## CERTIFICATE

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Middlesex, ss.
I, Catherine Burns, Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the above transcript is a true record, to the best of my ability, of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither related to nor employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 11th day of August , 2021.


Notary Public
My commission expires:
July 28, 2028


| A | accessory 53:3 | additional 53:1 | 80:13 121:21 | 32:13,15 33:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-2 53:15 | 54:4 55:13 | 53:5 54:7,13 | agreeing 75:18 | 34:5,8,14,18 |
| A-m-a-c-h-e-r | 57:22 58:5,7,8 | 55:11 58:1 | 76:3 | 34:21 35:4,6,9 |
| 81:21 | 58:12,21,22 | 81:7 136:22 | ahead 41:21 | 36:7,10,13,17 |
| A13 147:15 | 59:15 60:13 | additions 9:5 | 42:1 44:10 | 36:20 37:1,7,9 |
| A2.3 142:8 | 61:3 63:15 | 54:9,11,16 | 64:9 87:12 | 37:11 38:3,6 |
| A22 142:15 | 64:1,20 66:9 | 59:22 60:3,4 | 113:1 115:1 | 38:12 41:1,16 |
| A23 142:8 | 67:15 70:16 | address 33:6 | 116:14 118:6 | 41:18,21 42:1 |
| ability 70:17 | 73:5,13 74:8 | 62:6 117:20 | 129:12 131:11 | 42:18 44:6,10 |
| 91:7 159:7 | 75:18 76:14 | addressed 12:12 | 132:17 | 46:16 47:13,16 |
| able 17:4,5 19:2 | 77:1,10,13 | addressing | air 40:19 | 47:18 49:9,13 |
| 20:3 21:20 | 84:10,19 | 66:15 | Airbnb 63:17,18 | 49:17,22 50:3 |
| 24:4,17 42:2 | accommodate | adds 146:16 | 66:15,19 | 50:6,9 51:3,6 |
| 61:14 65:9 | 58:19 65:16 | 147:4 | Alex 118:11,14 | 58:4 59:10 |
| 88:18 92:2 | 66:6 67:10 | adequate 66:18 | 118:15 121:22 | 60:7 61:6 62:3 |
| 94:14,18,20 | 77:5 98:7,9 | 66:18 75:2 | 122:3,6,13,16 | 62:10,13 63:3 |
| 98:8 128:16,16 | accurate 74:12 | adjacent 74:15 | 122:19 126:21 | 63:6,8,11 64:9 |
| 140:13 | 76:22 77:7 | 83:14 88:12 | Alexander 1:7 | 66:20 67:12 |
| abundance | 78:3 | 95:22 97:6 | 3:4,8,10 5:7,9 | 68:4,13,15 |
| 89:16 | accused 105:1 | 106:10,15 | 6:3,6,20,22 7:4 | 69:3 70:6,22 |
| abutter 39:22 | achieve 148:1 | 125:3 136:21 | 7:16 8:6,11,13 | 71:5,19 72:21 |
| 40:17 62:5 | act 112:17 | adjoining 84:1 | 9:13,16,20 | 73:7,17 74:4 |
| 64:11 69:6,16 | action 159:9,1 | 106:20 125:16 | 10:2,6,9,13,16 | 75:22 76:6 |
| 70:21 75:5,7 | active 22:19 | 137:5,8 | 11:5,8,10,13 | 80:5,16 82:5,9 |
| 78:8 | 96:21 | adjusted 45:16 | 11:16 12:3,6 | 82:14,16,19 |
| abutters 71:7 | actual 91:15 | 142:13 | 12:11,19,21 | 84:16,18 85:2 |
| 74:22 75:5 | 92:10 131:6 | advantage 98: | 13:1,3,6,9,11 | 85:5,8,11,14 |
| 100:17 136:19 | Adam 7:15,18 | adverse 67:3 | 13:16,19,21 | 85:18,20 86:2 |
| abutting 42:14 | 139:19 140:11 | 125:7 | 14:1,19,22 | 87:3,6,12 |
| 77:22 | 140:16,20 | adversely 83:15 | 15:3,6 16:3,6 | 93:21 98:13 |
| accept 65:9 | 149:21 150:3,7 | 106:11 125:4 | 16:10,14 17:18 | 100:4,9,19 |
| 69:13 73:12 | 158:2,6 | 136:22 | 18:8,11,16,18 | 101:1,3,6,8,10 |
| acceptable 35:3 | Adam's 139:22 | advice 21:5 | 18:22 19:5,11 | 102:6,11,14 |
| 75:7 | add 22:11 23:16 | 25:13 | 19:14,20 20:7 | 105:2,6,9,12 |
| accepting 49:7 | 23:20 33:17 | advised 47:4 | 20:17 21:2,9 | 105:17 107:12 |
| 70:15 | 60:15 71:19 | advising 34:9 | 21:11,14 22:1 | 107:15,18,21 |
| access 44:15,16 | 76:2 100:13 | advocate 27:7 | 22:4,7,13 23:2 | 108:2,6 109:3 |
| 83:6 97:1,3,4 | 104:19 111:4 | aerial 90:11 | 23:10 24:7,12 | 109:6 110:3,6 |
| 97:18,19,20 | 140:12 | 119:7,8 | 25:5,11,16,19 | 110:10,22 |
| 98:1 99:6 | added 39:3 | affect 48:13 | 26:1,4 27:11 | 111:6,9,11,13 |
| 106:2 123:4 | 142:1,1 143:1 | affirm 75:6 | 27:16,19,22 | 111:15 112:7 |
| 124:17 136:10 | 143:2 | afford 117:10 | 28:3,6,10,15 | 112:10,13,22 |
| accessed 55:2 | adding 141:21 | agenda 34:4 | 28:18,21 29:2 | 113:7,10 114:4 |
| 99:10 | 145:1 | 37:2 139:7 | 29:4,9,13,16 | 114:7,10,13,16 |
| accesses 97:16 | addition 16:20 | aggressive 73:20 | 29:19,22 30:2 | 114:20 115:1,7 |
| accessibility | 54:17 59:21 | ago 38:18 140:1 | 30:6,9,13,15 | 116:3,6,11,15 |
| 96:14,18 97:14 | 117:13 119:2 | agree 30:5 64:5 | 30:17,19,22 | 116:18 117:15 |
| accessible 40:9 | 137:10 142:2 | 66:22 77:16 | 31:2 32:3,6,10 | 117:22 118:2,9 |


| 118:12 121:15 | 67:10 92:12 | 116:4 123:12 | APPEAL 1:1 | approximately |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122:1,4,10,14 | 145:22 | 123:13 126:6,7 | appealing 40:13 | 53:22 |
| 122:17 123:9 | allowing 79:3 | 126:7 128:4 | Appeals 3:9 | arbitrary 66:8 |
| 123:12,14,16 | allows 58:8 65:4 | 129:10,11,13 | 32:22 | architect 16:13 |
| 123:18 124:9 | 97:2 141:16 | 129:15 135:15 | appear 48:22 | 53:9 117:20 |
| 126:6,9,12,15 | alteration | 135:16,16 | 121:18 144:9 | 118:9 130:1,5 |
| 126:18 128:3,6 | 119:14 120:2 | 138:3,4,4 | appearance | 131:2 141:7,10 |
| 128:10 129:2,6 | alterations | 139:4 150:16 | 59:13 | 150:13 154:2 |
| 129:10,12,14 | 59:12 | 150:18 151:17 | applicability | 154:19 |
| 129:16,19,21 | Amacher 81:21 | 151:19 152:1 | 66:10 | Architects 81:21 |
| 130:10,14 | amenable 40:13 | 153:3,5 157:7 | applicable 82:12 | 118:16 |
| 131:5,22 132:4 | amending 3:16 | 157:8,8 158:10 | applicant 38:11 | architectural |
| 132:17,21 | amenity 40:7 | Andrew 109:11 | 40:12 51:11 | 151:6 |
| 133:4,8,17 | amount 53:11 | answer 23:1 | 98:16 100:10 | architecture |
| 134:3 135:15 | 141:22 | 25:13 27:6 | 100:16 103:6 | 131:3 |
| 135:18,21 | amounts 39:17 | 42:2 100:2 | applicants 4:3 | area 45:1 48:8 |
| 136:2,5 137:19 | ample 147:11 | anybody 47:10 | 70:15 | 53:19,20 54:2 |
| 137:22 138:3,6 | ancillary 96:2 | 64:4 130:16 | application | 55:19 56:17 |
| 139:3,6,12,14 | and/or 83:20 | anyway 14:1 | 22:12,18,20,22 | 57:10 58:10,14 |
| 140:17,21 | 96:11 106:17 | 26:16 28:10 | 23:9 33:2 | 58:20 72:16 |
| 141:8,11 142:4 | 125:12 137:2 | 41:10 45:4 | 38:15 39:9 | 76:13,15 77:1 |
| 142:19 143:12 | Anderson 91:16 | 63:9 64:6 | 53:6 54:6 78:4 | 77:4 94:2,5 |
| 143:15,17,19 | Andrea 1:8 3:5 | 115:9 | 78:9,17,18,20 | 95:9,11,15 |
| 143:22 145:21 | 4:16,17 6:4 | apartment | 82:8 92:1 | 96:5,17 97:1,5 |
| 146:6 148:21 | 9:10,10,14,20 | 42:14 53:3 | 102:5 109:12 | 97:17 98:5 |
| 150:12 152:17 | 9:21 11:13,14 | 55:13 58:1,5,7 | 131:7 133:15 | 103:14,19,21 |
| 153:6,22 | 11:14 12:4 | 58:12 59:2,15 | applied 79:1 | 140:3 141:22 |
| 154:14 155:1,6 | 13:4,5 14:22 | 59:19 60:5,13 | apply 113:16 | 146:17 147:20 |
| 155:11,14,17 | 15:1,1 16:4 | 61:3 63:16,17 | appreciate 7:13 | 148:4,14 149:9 |
| 157:7,10,13,16 | 28:21,22 30:21 | 64:1,5,20 66:5 | 27:10 33:16 | 151:12 |
| 157:21 158:3,7 | 32:4 36:10,11 | 66:10 67:11,15 | 70:1,3 119:18 | areas 54:15 |
| aligned $88: 8$ | 36:11 38:4 | 67:15 73:5,13 | approach 80:17 | 118:21 121:11 |
| 91:3 100:14 | 42:18 43:11 | 73:14 74:8 | approaching | 122:21 |
| Alison 69:5,19 | 44:4 47:15,17 | 75:19 77:13 | 93:16 | aren't 92:21 |
| 69:21 | 49:13,14 51:4 | 78:6 84:11,19 | appropriate | argument 44:13 |
| alley 97:7 | 61:6,7 62:20 | 112:4 | 56:7 71:16 | Article 58:8 |
| alleyway 97:5,7 | 62:21 75:9,9 | apartments 54:5 | 75:2 76:17 | 65:3,10 73:9 |
| 97:19 | 75:14,16,22 | 58:9 77:1 | 92:14 121:16 | arts 89:14,17 |
| allow 38:15 | 76:3 77:17 | apologies 34:6 | appropriately | as-of-right 49:7 |
| 41:11 55:12 | 80:13,17 82:14 | 90:9 | 99:19 | askance 41:4 |
| 58:13 67:14 | 82:15 85:2,3,3 | apologize 3:10 | approval 63:22 | asked 6:19 |
| 73:15 74:3 | 87:4 101:5,5,6 | 10:3 29:19 | 70:16 74:8 | 42:19 43:7,16 |
| 88:10 89:1 | 101:7,9 105:2 | 33:22 36:13 | 88:11 110:14 | 77:6 123:19 |
| 92:3,10 99:9,9 | 105:3 107:12 | 51:13,16 79:5 | 110:20 | asking 4:12 |
| allowable | 107:13,13 | apparent 79:12 | approve 152:18 | 25:13 33:21 |
| 141:20 | 109:4 110:4 | apparently 52:5 | approved 89:10 | 43:13 46:7 |
| allowed 54:18 58.1964 .2 | 111:9,10 | 64:20 100:5 | approximate | 80:19 |
| 58:19 64:2 | 114:10,11,11 | 154:14 | 44:18 | aspect $88: 2$ |


| asphalted 43:6 | 18:4 26:2 | 84:4 97:12 | BioMed's 92:17 | botanical 77:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assistance 73:10 | 103:21 154:18 | 104:11 107:5 | bit 16:18 19:15 | 79:15 |
| Assistant 1:14 | 155:11 | 125:19 130:7 | 41:4 60:11,12 | bottom 46:20 |
| associated 60:18 | Avenue 1:6 2:6 | 131:12 135:8 | 60:22 65:16 | 53:19 68:17 |
| 60:19 65:10 | 2:8,15 16:7 | 137:11 157:2 | 89:3 93:15 | 101:13 111:18 |
| Associates 7:15 | 32:7 116:7,18 | bath 148:6 | 131:14 142:12 | 119:3 120:7,14 |
| 7:19 81:21 | 118:17 119:13 | baths 148:6 | 143:4 151:2,12 | 121:2 124:4 |
| 125:22 135:11 | 120:1 | bay 142:22 | block 52:18 | 132:12 |
| assume 154:14 | aware $34: 356: 9$ | 144:19 | blowing 153:13 | boundary 95:21 |
| assuming 23:13 | 61:13,16 102:8 | bedroom 144:7 | board 1:1 3:9 | Bourque 49:1 |
| 23:13,14 24:9 | Axel 117:19 | 147:11 148:1 | 4:3,12 5:10 | Brason 30:22 |
| 24:19,20 | 118:5,5 | 148:11,17 | 6:17 9:11 | break 86:7 |
| assured 59:11 |  | 149:3 | 18:20 21:22 | 108:8,9 |
| Athenaeum | B | bedrooms | 25:12 32:19,20 | Brendan 1:8 3:4 |
| 87:21 97:8,9 | B 58:18 65 | 148:14 | 32:21,22 33:7 | 6:3 9:17,18,18 |
| attempt 66:14 | 141:16 | beginning 23:22 | 33:10,13,20 | 11:2,3,3,9 12:3 |
| attempting 77:3 | B-0-u-r-q-u-e | behalf 25:12 | 34:1,13,16 | 12:10 14:16,17 |
| attend 24:17,19 | 49:1 | 38:11 51:10 | 36:1 39:19 | 14:17 16:3 |
| attendance 4:13 | back 8:19 25:14 | 100:10 101:22 | 41:2,14 46:15 | 23:5,5,9 27:3,4 |
| attended 8:4 | 27:6 52:5 | 102:2 | 47:6 51:10 | 27:4,14,18,21 |
| attention 17:3 | 71:22 83:17 | believe 18:3 | 52:10,18 56:6 | 28:1,4,9 30:19 |
| 39:19 141:1 | 96:17 113:17 | 20:8 59:3 67:9 | 56:20 57:17 | 31:2 32:3 36:4 |
| attorney 61:8 | 131:14,16 | 72:10,14 82:7 | 60:8 63:22 | 36:5,5 38:3 |
| 76:10 91:16 | 142:9 143:4 | 96:6 99:11 | 64:10,17,20 | 47:12 49:3,5 |
| 115:3,3 | 152:11,20 | 113:14 125:8 | 65:20 66:17,22 | 49:11,20 51:3 |
| audible 4:13 | 153:15 154:20 | beneficial | 67:6,13,17,22 | 60:8,9 68:12 |
| audio 3:21 56:18 | 154:21 | 151:10 | 68:10 70:5 | 68:14 74:7,7 |
| August 24:3,8 | background | benefit 65:1 | 73:11,18 75:3 | 80:6,7,7,16 |
| 24:13 155:8,10 | 98:19 | benefits 40:20 | 80:13 87:14 | 82:2,3,3 84:15 |
| authority 79:8 | backup 110:12 | 80:19 84:13 | 93:19 98:15 | 84:21,22,22 |
| 79:12 | Baker's 3:15 | Berkshire 2:10 | 100:5,20 | 87:3 100:20 |
| authorization | balance 149:1 | 38:7,18 | 102:16,17 | 104:17,18,18 |
| 55:22 | bare 130:1 | best 34:11 89:6 | 103:7 104:8 | 107:10,10 |
| authorize 44:2 | barely 130:2 | 106:8 159:6 | 107:2 108:5 | 109:3 110:3 |
| authorizing | based 4:11 | better 32:16,16 | 111:7 112:15 | 111:8,8 114:1 |
| 73:13 | 40:21 53:10 | 87:22 92:22 | 118:6,15 | 114:2,2 116:3 |
| automatically | 110:15 | 96:15 130:5 | 122:11 123:10 | 123:10,11,11 |
| 156:1,2 | basement 39:8 | 131:5 150:20 | 129:3 130:6 | 126:3,4,4 |
| auxiliary 71:10 | 53:15,20 117:7 | 156:21 158:4 | 132:1 134:7 | 128:3 129:4,8 |
| avail 65:10 | basically 73:5 | beyond 46:17 | 139:17 141:1 | 130:9,10,11,15 |
| 84:13 | 76:13 80:18 | 146:2 | 145:21 150:11 | 131:13,20 |
| availability | 102:2 110:16 | big 143:12 | 154:10 | 135:12,13,13 |
| 12:16 | 111:4 128:21 | 151:20 152:2 | boards 152:21 | 137:16,17 |
| available 9:12 | 131:13 145:11 | Bigelow 2:4 12:7 | Bobby 133:10 | 139:3 144:11 |
| 9:16,17,19,21 | 145:19 148:10 | bigger 121:20 | 133:11,12 | 144:11,16,20 |
| 10:5,14,15 | 148:13 151:2 | 145:22 | bones 130:1 | 145:1,5,8,11 |
| 12:18 13:1,4,5 | basis 25:16 | BioMed 87:11 | book 40:18 74:6 | 145:15,19 |
| 13:8,10,11 | 48:18 81:18 | 91:13 | Boston 140:3 | 146:9,10,13,18 |


| 146:21 147:1,4 | 123:6 | 1:6 3:9 4:1 | 64:17,18,21 | certify 159:5,8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147:13,17,22 | buy 128:17 | 33:18 94:5 | 65:2 67:19 | cetera 36:1 |
| 148:3,9,13,16 | BZA 4:9 12:14 | 101:20,21 | 68:6,9 77:3 | chair 1:7,8 3:10 |
| 148:19 149:1,8 | 32:22 | 102:2,18 104:7 | 85:15,22 86:6 | 7:13 8:13 9:10 |
| 149:11,18 | BZA-017219-... | 104:10 106:7 | 87:7,7 106:13 | 11:16 12:8 |
| 150:1,4,8,16 | 2:7 | 110:14 120:18 | 108:3 109:8,9 | 13:11 15:6 |
| 150:19,21 | BZA-109394 2:2 | 128:13,14 | 109:14,14 | 16:6 22:19 |
| 151:18,21 | BZA-116393 2:4 | 133:21 140:6,6 | 110:7 112:15 | 26:4 28:1 29:4 |
| 152:5 153:11 | BZA-116964 | 140:9 | 114:22 115:4 | 29:6 32:6,14 |
| 153:11,20 | 2:13 | Cambridge's | 115:10 116:7 | 35:9 36:17 |
| 155:4 157:4,5 | BZA-119365 2:6 | 3:17 | 116:13 128:7 | 37:4 38:6,10 |
| 157:5 158:8 | BZA-120369 | candidly 65:16 | 131:11 132:2 | 44:5,7 47:19 |
| Brendan's 13:3 | 2:10 | 66:7 72:18 | 139:7,7 144:8 | 48:19 49:2 |
| 105:11 153:3 | BZA-122612 | care 7:21 26:9 | 147:8 150:13 | 50:3 51:6 62:9 |
| Brewster 64:17 | 2:17 | 37:10,11 62:22 | 153:13 154:1 | 63:11 64:7 |
| brief 86:3 | BZA-124207 | Carlone 90:21 | 154:11,16,16 | 67:9,21,22 |
| 101:22 139:20 | 2:11 | Carol 71:7 | 154:18 155:18 | 70:4 73:10 |
| bringing 131:16 | BZA-124307 | Caroline 128:9 | 155:19 156:6 | 77:21 80:22 |
| broadcast 3:22 | 2:12 | 128:11,11 | 156:13,19 | 81:19 82:19 |
| brother 140:5 | BZA-125588 | 133:21 138:10 | 157:19,22 | 83:1 84:5 |
| brought 17:3 | 2:14 | case 2:2,4,6,7,9 | case/this 87:9 | 85:11 87:6 |
| 90:8 97:21 | BZA-125807 | 2:10,11,12,13 | cases 2:2 5:11 | 103:9 104:12 |
| Bryant 71:3,4,6 | 2:15 | 2:14,15,16,17 | 5:11 30:3,10 | 104:16 105:12 |
| 71:7,21 72:5,7 | BZA-126732 | 6:6,7,7,9,11,13 | 33:14,18 37:5 | 105:20 107:6 |
| 72:19 | 2:16 | 7:7 8:7,14,14 | 39:20 155:10 | 107:21 109:7 |
| building 1:14 |  | 9:12 10:17,20 | 157:22 | 110:6 111:15 |
| 9:1 17:3 19:17 | C | 10:21,22 11:17 | catch 82:10 | 113:11,22 |
| 20:4,5 21:3 | C 3:1 90:14 | 12:6,7 13:12 | Catherine 159:4 | 114:13,19 |
| 27:1 40:21 | cable 3:22 | 13:13 14:2 | catty-corner | 116:6 123:18 |
| 42:14 48:3,4,5 | calculation | 15:7 16:7 17:5 | 133:20 | 124:14 125:19 |
| 59:9,13 61:11 | 53:10 | 17:10,21,22 | cause 83:7 106:3 | 126:2,15 128:6 |
| 65:18,22 68:2 | calculations | 19:2,7,15,22 | 124:18 136:12 | 129:21 134:15 |
| 81:12 90:11 | 119:4 | 20:8,14,14,15 | cell 123:4 | 135:8 136:2 |
| 92:1,18,18 | call 4:5 6:7 16:6 | 20:18,19 21:6 | center 88:13 | 137:11 138:6 |
| 112:4 113:4,5 | 32:6 38:6 51:7 | 22:5,19 23:11 | 89:14 90:15 | 139:6 141:6 |
| 130:16 134:18 | 51:21 87:7,18 | 24:1,19,20 | 144:15 | 154:15 155:18 |
| 156:7,16 | 109:7 110:6 | 26:5 29:5,10 | central 142:22 | 157:16 |
| buildings 41:5,5 | 116:6 128:7 | 29:17 30:9 | certain 3:16 | Chair's 33:4 |
| 94:15 96:2,10 | 139:6 145:16 | 32:7,18 33:9 | 45:17,20 54:12 | Chairman 22:3 |
| 97:6 135:2 | 145:20 154:17 | 33:12,15 34:10 | 71:18 74:14 | 23:16 28:20 |
| built 21:18 | called 55:3 | 34:22 35:10 | 79:19 | 33:17 51:10 |
| 38:17 40:10 | calling 46:22 | 36:20 38:7 | certainly 16:17 | 69:4 98:15 |
| 48:5 52:20 | 68:19 72:21 | 40:4 41:9 | 18:19 20:10 | 108:4 116:10 |
| bumping 131:14 | 101:15 111:20 | 45:18 46:17 | 41:3 72:12 | 116:20 126:20 |
| burdensome | 124:5 132:13 | 51:7 53:18 | 134:19 | 133:11 139:16 |
| 66:8 | 133:14 | 55:20 56:11,22 | CERTIFICA... | challenging 88:2 |
| Burns 159:4 | calls 22:16 78:5 | 57:18,20 58:12 | 159:1 | chance 9:7 74:5 |
| business 74:16 | Cambridge 1:2 | 58:17,21 63:9 | certified 123:6 | 155:7 |


| change 4:11 | 100:16 102:19 | closer 92:17 | communal 40:1 | 104:12 107:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:1,9 53:8 | 104:7 106:8,18 | 97:21 99:7 | communicatio... | 113:21 119:8 |
| 59:19 66:12 | 125:13 137:3 | 153:1 154:4 | 112:13 | 120:13,14 |
| 83:8 106:4 | 140:19 156:3 | closest 52:3 | community | 121:1,2 123:7 |
| 124:19 136:13 | 157:1 | closet 148:5 | 89:16,18 104:7 | 125:21 135:9 |
| changes 9:4 17:6 | city's 4:9 17:9 | co-owned 22:17 | 128:19 140:22 | 137:13 156:9 |
| Channel 3:22 | 19:6 21:4 | co-owner 22:20 | compatible | 156:10 |
| character 59:14 | 75:20 88:11 | code 33:18 | 59:13,22 | conditions 8:15 |
| 83:9 106:5 | clarification | 123:3 | competition | 14:3 26:6 |
| 125:1 136:14 | 44:9 71:20 | COLLECTIVE | 57:12 | 35:11 39:10 |
| characteristic | 72:20 76:8 | 108:4 | complement | 84:6 95:18 |
| 57:9 | 79:14 | column 76:16,16 | 22:11 | 113:14 155:20 |
| characteristics | clarify 70:14 | combination | complete 144:9 | condo 113:5 |
| 57:7 | 76:19 78:15 | 88:9 | completed | 150:1 |
| Charles 3:15 | clarifying 82:11 | combined 144:1 | 100:11 | conducive 94:21 |
| 101:18,19,19 | clear 73:11 79:6 | 146:1 | complex 39:16 | confident 115:5 |
| 101:20 102:10 | 80:4 98:1 | come 23:6 | compliance | configuration |
| check 7:8 62:3 | clearance | 140:14 150:14 | 154:4,7,8 | 52:21 94:16 |
| 108:6 | 149:15 | 152:7,21 | compliant 154:8 | confirm 79:14 |
| Cherry 2:14 | clearer 130:16 | 153:14 154:3 | complies 124:22 | 79:18 |
| 110:7,13 | clearly 70:2 | 154:20 | comply 117:16 | confirmation |
| children 117:2 | Cleaver-Gibson | comes 22:15 | 121:20 122:12 | 21:21 |
| 149:7 | 18:6,7,9,13,14 | comfort 60:22 | 152:15,22 | confirmed 125:8 |
| Chilton 2:11 | 18:17,21 19:4 | comfortable | 154:9 | conflicts 23:21 |
| 51:7,19,20 | 19:8,13,19 | 60:11 69:17 | complying | conform 73:8 |
| 52:3,15 62:5 | 20:1,16,22 | 70:20 71:9 | 118:7 141:3 | 152:11 |
| 62:17,18,22 | 21:7,10,13,16 | coming 24:13 | 154:5 156:22 | confused 19:9 |
| 65:12 69:5 | 23:4,18 27:10 | 153:16 | concern 45:11 | congestion 83:8 |
| 71:8 | click 46:20 | comment 4:7,8 | 45:13 63:15,18 | 106:4 124:19 |
| chipping 153:12 | 68:17 101:13 | 41:13 68:12,14 | 63:20 64:12 | 136:12 |
| choice 140:9 | 111:18 124:3 | 68:16 70:7 | 65:8 68:1,4 | congratulate |
| choosing 94:13 | 132:11 | 102:15 124:2 | 74:20 | 105:14 |
| chose 79:10 | client 67:7 | 124:10 129:22 | concerned 68:8 | connect 143:3 |
| 94:14 95:2 | client's 79:7 | 141:2 | concerns 40:3 | connecting |
| 96:13 | Cliff 32:9 | commentary | 49:20 67:2 | 60:17 146:7,14 |
| chosen 95:15 | Clifford 32:9,12 | 102:22 | 69:9,11 | 151:1,8 |
| circle 93:1 | 32:12,14,17 | comments 41:15 | Concord 2:15 | connection 8:17 |
| circumstances | 33:16 34:7,11 | 46:17 49:15 | 116:7,18 | 14:5 26:8 |
| 57:1 | 34:16 35:2,5,8 | 61:5 100:9 | 118:17 119:12 | 47:10 95:5 |
| citizens 83:21 | 36:22 | 103:7 105:4,11 | 119:17 120:1 | 145:10 146:5 |
| 106:18 125:13 | $\boldsymbol{c l o g} 34: 4$ | 132:1 | concur 105:11 | 150:19 |
| 137:3 | close 47:5 73:1 | commission | condition 26:15 | consent 24:21 |
| city 1:2,12 3:17 | 95:21 96:3,6 | 159:17 | 43:5,19,20 | 27:17 63:22 |
| 3:18,18,19 | 102:22 112:11 | Commissioner | 48:20 64:16 | consequence |
| 46:14 48:2 | 124:10 128:17 | 1:13,14 | 65:9 66:18 | 72:15 |
| 65:2,6 66:4 | 128:18 132:9 | common 21:3 | 69:13 70:16 | consider 57:18 |
| 83:21 89:11,12 | 134:6 141:1 | Commonwealth | 75:6 76:16 | 152:3 |
| 90:22 99:21 | closed 52:16 | 159:2,5 | 81:19 83:11,17 | consideration |


| 103:1 | 47:18 49:9,13 | 130:10,14 | 157:12,15,17 | corps 5:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| consistent 99:20 | 49:17,22 50:3 | 131:5,22 132:4 | continuances | corral 153:17 |
| 99:21 106:6 | 50:6,9 51:3,6 | 132:17,21 | 8:17 10:21 | correct 27:18,21 |
| consistently | 58:4 59:10 | 133:4,8,17 | 33:22 | 44:17 45:7,10 |
| 152:12 | 60:7 61:6 62:3 | 134:3 135:15 | continue 6:11,13 | 77:7 122:14,16 |
| consolidating | 62:10,13 63:3 | 135:18,21 | 7:7 8:14 10:17 | 131:14 144:21 |
| 92:12 | 63:6,8,11 64:9 | 136:2,5 137:19 | 10:21 17:22 | 144:22 148:3 |
| Constantine 1:7 | 66:20 67:12 | 137:22 138:3,6 | 20:15 21:6 | 149:20 150:6 |
| 3:4,8 5:7,9 6:3 | 68:4,13,15 | 139:3,6,12,14 | 22:5 23:11,12 | 151:22 |
| 6:6,20,22 7:4 | 69:3 70:6,22 | 140:17,21 | 24:16 26:5 | correctly 43:18 |
| 7:16 8:6,11,13 | 71:5,19 72:21 | 141:8,11 142:4 | 33:9,15 34:10 | 116:20 |
| 9:13,16,20 | 73:7,17 74:4 | 142:19 143:12 | 35:10 56:5 | correspondence |
| 10:2,6,9,13,16 | 75:22 76:6 | 143:15,17,19 | 132:2 150:13 | 64:11 104:20 |
| 11:5,8,10,13 | 80:5,16 82:5,9 | 143:22 145:21 | 154:1,11,15 | corridor 89:2,4 |
| 11:16 12:3,6 | 82:14,16,19 | 146:6 148:21 | 155:18 | 95:7 146:17 |
| 12:11,19,21 | 84:16,18 85:2 | 150:12 152:17 | continued 2:2 | costly 91:4 |
| 13:1,3,6,9,11 | 85:5,8,11,14 | 153:6,22 | 5:11,13 6:7 | coughing 56:19 |
| 13:16,19,21 | 85:18,20 86:2 | 154:14 155:1,6 | 8:15 11:1,17 | Council 89:11 |
| 14:1,19,22 | 87:3,6,12 | 155:11,14,17 | 13:12,13 15:7 | 89:12 104:8,9 |
| 15:3,6 16:3,6 | 93:21 98:13 | 157:7,10,13,16 | 19:16 24:1 | Councillor |
| 16:10,14 17:18 | 100:4,9,19 | 157:21 158:3,7 | 29:5 30:9,10 | 75:10 |
| 18:8,11,16,18 | 101:1,3,6,8,10 | Constellation | 32:18 33:19 | Councillors |
| 18:22 19:5,11 | 102:6,11,14 | 88:13 90:15 | 36:20 73:7 | 104:8 |
| 19:14,20 20:7 | 105:2,6,9,12 | constrained | 83:13 106:9 | counsel 159:9 |
| 20:17 21:2,9 | 105:17 107:12 | 65:14 77:1 | 125:2 136:20 | counselors |
| 21:11,14 22:1 | 107:15,18,21 | construction | 154:18 155:9 | 102:19 |
| 22:4,7,13 23:2 | 108:2,6 109:3 | 38:15 40:12 | 157:19 | count 56:9 |
| 23:10 24:7,12 | 109:6 110:3,6 | consult 25:3 | continues 19:3 | counted 53:22 |
| 25:5,11,16,19 | 110:10,22 | consultant | 61:12 | 54:5 |
| 26:1,4 27:11 | 111:6,9,11,13 | 90:22 | continuing 11:4 | couple 41:19 |
| 27:16,19,22 | 111:15 112:7 | consulted 61:10 | 11:12 33:14 | 52:2 |
| 28:3,6,10,15 | 112:10,13,22 | contain 56:19 | Contractor | course 4:2 40:12 |
| 28:18,21 29:2 | 113:7,10 114:4 | contained 39:4 | 140:1 | 68:4 73:2 |
| 29:4,9,13,16 | 114:7,10,13,16 | 44:2 74:11 | conventional | cover 78:17 |
| 29:19,22 30:2 | 114:20 115:1,7 | 78:9 | 59:20 | 126:1 |
| 30:6,9,13,15 | 116:3,6,11,15 | containing | conversation | covered 22:9,10 |
| 30:17,19,22 | 116:18 117:15 | 64:15 | 12:13 | 39:3 |
| 31:2 32:3,6,10 | 117:22 118:2,9 | contains 38:20 | conversations | covering 39:6,7 |
| 32:13,15 33:8 | 118:12 121:15 | 40:16 53:14,15 | 69:11 | COVID-193:14 |
| 34:5,8,14,18 | 122:1,4,10,14 | context 33:10 | conversion | 3:19 |
| 34:21 35:4,6,9 | 122:17 123:9 | 92:22 112:16 | 55:12 | create 38:22 |
| 36:7,10,13,17 | 123:12,14,16 | continuance | converting 54:2 | 53:2 94:19 |
| 36:20 37:1,7,9 | 123:18 124:9 | 11:7,15 12:13 | convincing | 97:11 149:3 |
| 37:11 38:3,6 | 126:6,9,12,15 | 14:6,18,21 | 106:13 | created 83:19 |
| 38:12 41:1,16 | 126:18 128:3,6 | 15:2,5,7 27:5 | copy $156: 8$ | 88:15 106:16 |
| 41:18,21 42:1 | 128:10 129:2,6 | 28:14,19 29:1 | core 22:15 | 125:11 137:1 |
| 42:18 44:6,10 | 129:10,12,14 | 29:3 36:6,9,12 | corner 96:5,9,18 | 148:6 |
| 46:16 47:13,16 | 129:16,19,21 | 36:16 157:6,9 | 120:19 122:6 | creation 54:22 |


| Creek 91:16 | 34:9 35:16 | 40:14 41:4,8 | design 49:1 | disclosure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| criteria 45:14 | 155:5 | 41:12 42:21 | 91:14 98:5 | 114:22 115:2,8 |
| 77:12 | dated 3:19 81:21 | decrease 45:15 | 120:17,18 | discovery |
| criticism 130:4 | 135:11 | decreased 44:13 | desirable 48:14 | 130:22 |
| Croston 51:15 | dates 12:17 | decreasing | 135:4 149:6 | discretion 33:4 |
| 51:15,16,17 | 25:19 34:19 | 45:13 46:13 | desire 40:22 | discuss 49:3 |
| curiosity 42:17 | daughter 52:1 | deem 75:2 | 47:4 88:5 | 112:15 |
| curious 71:14 | David 91:16 | defect 23:7 | destroyed 38:17 | discussed 33:2 |
| 72:5 | 92:7 98:14,15 | defer 18:3 33:1 | 48:4 | discussing 83:11 |
| current 57:3 | 100:7,12 | 33:6 34:12 | destruction | discussion 46:15 |
| 81:9 101:21 | day 7:9 8:11 9:7 | deferral 34:1 | 38:19 | 47:6,9 73:1 |
| currently $38: 17$ | 9:12 10:7,12 | defined 66:2,16 | detail 92:8 93:15 | 76:9 |
| 53:21 92:12 | 55:10 155:10 | 70:18 75:19 | details 34:2 | discussions |
| 131:16 141:16 | 159:13 | defines 65:2 | determining | 35:22 61:4 |
| customers 94:9 | day-to-day | definitely 133:2 | 65:18 | dismiss 156:6 |
| 94:11 95:8 | 97:18 | 155:16 | detriment 48:15 | distance 39:16 |
|  | days 7:1,2 8:22 | deliberation | 81:16 83:20 | 142:11,17 |
| D | 14:11 26:13,17 | 73:11 | 99:13,22 104:3 | district 48:13 |
| D 2:1 3:1,15 | 32:20 35:18 | delightful 70:2 | 104:6 106:17 | 58:18 64:15,18 |
| Daglian 1:14 | 65:5 70:18 | delivery 89:22 | 125:12 135:5 | 65:13 67:9 |
| 4:14,16,18,20 | 74:175:12 | Dennis 90:21 | 137:2 | 84:1,1 99:13 |
| 5:2,5,8 8:9,12 | 80:19 84:20 | densely 48:7 | developer 91:1 | 99:15 100:1 |
| 9:8,15 10:1,8 | 156:12 | 103:20 134:18 | development | 106:20,21 |
| 10:11 12:17,20 | deafening 132:4 | deny 156:2,20 | 83:13 106:8,9 | 107:3,4 125:16 |
| 12:22 13:2,15 | deal 20:3 | Department 9:1 | 106:15 125:2 | 125:16 137:5,6 |
| 13:17,20 18:12 | dealing 63:21 | 17:4,9 19:18 | 136:20 140:22 | 137:8,8 |
| 25:21 26:2 | 77:13 | 20:12 21:5 | dialogue 75:4 | districts 58:14 |
| 29:8,11 30:16 | deals 54:7 | 23:14 24:14,20 | different 56:12 | 58:16 |
| 30:18,20 31:1 | decades 52:14 | 25:1,2,3,4,9,9 | 94:9 154:4 | documentation |
| 34:20 38:9 | 88:5 94:4 | 25:10,12 27:7 | difficult 89:20 | 123:5 |
| 39:11,21 42:9 | December 24:1 | 27:13,17 28:7 | difficulties | doing 16:9 76:8 |
| 52:8 55:6,17 | 89:11 | 65:22 68:2 | 129:7 | 155:9 |
| 68:22 69:2 | decide 33:12 | 74:17 156:7,17 | difficulty 60:12 | domestic 17:13 |
| 71:3,17 76:5 | 131:11 | Department's | 60:17 | 17:16 |
| 85:19,21 98:17 | decision 8:17 | 27:1 | dimension 45:19 | door 39:7,16 |
| 101:18 102:13 | 14:5 25:17 | departure 41:7 | 45:21 76:21 | 59:20 |
| 112:2,9,12,20 | 26:8 33:1,9,10 | depends 83:10 | dimensional | dormer 117:4,5 |
| 113:2,9 132:18 | 34:15 35:13 | depth 131:15,17 | 46:8 53:8 76:9 | 117:15,16 |
| 132:20 133:10 | 64:22 83:12 | derogate 84:2 | 77:12 130:20 | 118:7,22 119:1 |
| 133:18 155:9 | 113:16 130:3 | 106:21 125:17 | direct 74:20 | 119:1,8,18,21 |
| 155:12 157:20 | 155:22 | 137:6 | direction 45:19 | 120:2 121:17 |
| daily 97:12 | deck 39:1,2,3,4 | derogating | 99:21 | 121:19,21 |
| Dash 7:15,19 | 39:5,6 40:1 | 48:16 81:17 | directly 61:15 | 122:2,11,12 |
| date 2:3,4,6,8 | 41:11 42:21 | 104:4 135:6 | 112:4 133:13 | 123:1 124:21 |
| 14:9,9 17:21 | 45:1 | describe 89:7 | disappointed | 124:22 125:6 |
| 18:2 20:15 | decker 39:18 | described 99:1 | 130:3 | 125:14 140:18 |
| 23:12 24:11,16 | decks 38:16,22 | describing | disclose 123:21 | 141:3,4,4 |
| 26:11,11,16 | 39:20 40:5,5 | 72:11 | 123:21 | 142:2,5,6,7,8 |


| 142:16,20,21 | 69:771:13 | empty 61:19 | espouse 150:10 | 95:8,8,12,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142:22 143:1,1 | 80:21 90:9 | enable 59:15 | essentially 57:20 | 95:19 96:9,22 |
| 143:2,6,13,20 | earned 28:7 | enclose 110:18 | 130:12 147:8 | 97:9,11,17 |
| 144:1, 1, 7, 12 | easement 91:12 | 112:18 | established 83:8 | 112:18 118:22 |
| 144:14,17 | easier 71:21 | encouraging | 106:4 124:19 | 119:8,12,16 |
| 145:12,14,15 | 95:5 | 90:22 | 125:1 136:13 | 120:6,7,13,14 |
| 145:17,20 | easily 65:15,21 | endorse 43:12 | estate 91:5 | 120:22 121:1 |
| 146:2,9,10,14 | 66:6 77:5 | endorsement | et 36:1 | 123:7 130:12 |
| 148:4,6,10 | 97:13 145:9 | 43:13 | eve 142:11 | 131:19 142:16 |
| 149:13 151:20 | east 90:13 | endorsing 43:15 | evening 16:9 | 142:21 143:12 |
| 152:2,3,20,22 | 101:20,21 | endpoints 95:3 | 38:10,12 50:8 | 144:12,14,17 |
| 153:4,13,17 | 102:2,18 | enforceability | 51:9 85:17,18 | 145:17 148:5 |
| 154:3,5,7 | 104:10 106:7 | 65:17 68:1 | 94:1 116:20 | exists 42:11 |
| dormers 120:17 | 120:12 128:14 | enforceable | 118:14 139:16 | exits 97:8 |
| 120:18 144:6 | 133:21 | 65:21 | 139:18 | expanding |
| 145:22 147:8 | easy 35:7 | enforcement | event 130:6 | 148:9 |
| 150:20 151:1,4 | economically | 47:21 56:4 | events 3:18,19 | expansion |
| 151:5 | 99:2 | 81:3 103:10 | Eversource | 117:11 |
| dots 60:18 | edge 122 | 134:16 | 87:17,22 88:15 | expected 68:2 |
| double 97:2 | 143:7 | engage 65:5 | 90:15 91:10 | experience |
| 145:19 | effect 125:7 | 70:17 74:16 | 94:10 96:10 | 56:16,16 |
| doubt 104:21 | efficient 98:4 | Engineer 91:14 | 97:12 99:3,9 | expert 46:6 |
| Dr 51:12,18 | effort 65:7 | Engineering | 104:14 | expires 159:17 |
| 53:3 59:4 | 147:10 | 91:14 94:1 | everybody | explain 18:14 |
| 61:13,14,16 | efforts 152:15 | 110:12 | 24:14 | 118:7 131:4 |
| 79:17,20 | egress 83:7 | enjoy 40:19 | exactly $22: 13$ | explained 131:7 |
| drawing 152:20 | 106:3 124:18 | enlarge 118:22 | 71:18 82:10 | explaining |
| drawn 10:18 | 136:11 | enlarged 119:18 | 130:12 | 131:7 |
| driveway 96:20 | eight 45:22 | 119:21 120:17 | exceed 58:10 | express 69:18 |
| 97:11 98:1 | 51:22 96:11 | 123:1 | exceeds 58:9 | 102:3 112:5 |
| dryer 146:18 | eighteenth | ensure 97:22 | exchange 75:4 | 113:5 |
| due 3:12,19 4:2 | 34:20 35:2,4 | entitled 54:22 | excited 128:16 | expressed 47:4 |
| 52:19 66:13 | either 21:11 | 80:10 104:14 | 128:22 | 74:20 80:14 |
| 67:8 | 35:15 58:14 | entity 61:12 | excluded 74:11 | 113:15 |
| dwelling 43:2 | 61:17 71:18,19 | entry 54:16 | exclusive 44:16 | extend 44:19 |
| 45:10 54:3,3 | 152:22 | envelope 153:16 | exclusively | extent 9:2 14:12 |
| 58:14,15,16,19 | elderly 59:3 | environment | 99:15 | 26:19 35:20 |
| 58:20 60:1 | elevation 119:14 | 98:10 99:18 | excuse 78:2,2 | 43:8 117:17 |
| 64:19 65:16 | 120:5,6,7,9,10 | equal 143:11 | 87:8 90:10 | 141:2 156:14 |
| 73:16 76:13,15 | 120:12,16,22 | equally 96:3 | 141:4 | exterior 59:12 |
| 76:21 77:2,5,9 | 121:1 | 113:16 | Executive 3:15 | 123:4 143:7 |
| 119:5 <br> dwellings 66:6 | elevations 120:13 121:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { equipment 96:2 } \\ & 96: 5 \text { 97:14 } \end{aligned}$ | exercising 74:11 <br> exist 40:18 | extra 148:1 <br> extremely 91:4 |
|  | emergency 3:13 | 98:7,9 | existing 26:14 | tr |
| E | $3: 1897: 298: 2$ | erected 156:11 | $43: 5,19,20$ | F |
| E 2:1 3:1,1 | emphasis 83:17 | error 43:2 | 55:15 58:9 | façade 119:13 |
| e-mail 156:8 | employed 159:9 | especially 41:5 | 60:14 87:17 | 142:18 143:10 |
| earlier 56:13 | Employees 1:12 | 89:17 | 94:3,4,14 95:2 | face 56:18 |


| 136:19 142:18 | 136:3 | finally 91:6 | 91:8 | 53:20 54:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 143:4 152:14 | feasible 99:2 | 128:16 | floor 39:1,4 40:1 | 55:16 56:8,11 |
| facilitate 90:1 | features 55:9 | financially | 53:2,2,4,16,16 | 56:12,15,17 |
| 106:7 | fed 94:7 | 159:10 | 53:16,17 54:9 | 57:5,8,20 58:2 |
| facilities 94:16 | feed 94:7 | find 4:8 12:9 | 54:15 55:1,2,3 | 78:18 89:5 |
| facility 87:18 | feeder 89:22 | 55:22 79:8 | 58:10 110:15 | 96:10 120:20 |
| 88:1,14,22 | feel 67:17 130:7 | 84:14 134:12 | 110:18 117:5,6 | 142:18 144:1 |
| 91:2,11 92:5 | 150:11,20 | finding 59:11 | 117:7 124:21 | fronted 98:8 |
| 94:4,15 95:13 | feels 65:16 66:8 | 88:3 | 139:12 141:22 | fuel 96:16,17 |
| 97:22 99:4,7 | 134:7 | findings 47:19 | 142:1 147:14 | Fulkerson 2:16 |
| 99:10 103:13 | feet 45:19,21,22 | 48:18 81:1,18 | 147:14,19 | 128:7 133:1,12 |
| 103:13 | 53:12,22 54:4 | 83:2 84:4 | 149:5 150:4,5 | 133:13,19 |
| fact 16:22 33:18 | 54:7 55:12 | 104:11 105:21 | floors 54:10 | full 5:10 33:2,13 |
| 40:15 48:11 | 58:2,10,11,18 | 107:5 113:11 | folks 62:6 | 78:4 |
| 59:7 60:20 | 60:13,16,21 | 125:19 134:15 | follow 97:5 | fully 44:1,1 |
| 67:3 88:14 | 89:14 96:7,11 | 135:8 137:11 | 153:9 | 132:22 |
| 95:1 107:1 | 96:11 119:5 | fine 12:17 71:11 | follow-up 44:8 | function 151:9 |
| 125:8 134:4 | 120:18,19 | finish 23:19 89:1 | following 8:15 | functional |
| 152:2 | 122:7,8 131:17 | 111:1 | 14:3 26:6 | 146:14 |
| factor 57:17 | 141:22 142:14 | finished 33:7 | 35:11 47:19 | functioning |
| factors $88: 9$ | 142:20 143:14 | finishing 89:4 | 80:22 83:2 | 151:11,15 |
| fair 28:11 | 143:16,17,20 | fire 38:17,18 | 84:6 105:21 | fund 91:7 |
| 153:14 | 144:2 145:8,12 | 48:2 | 113:11 123:5 | funding 88:16 |
| fairly 147:9 | 145:13,16 | fires 40:11 | 134:15 155:20 | further 6:12 9:4 |
| fall 12:14 92:4,5 | 146:1 147:20 | firm 116:21 | foot 142:9 | 10:21 16:14 |
| familiar 52:18 | 149:16 152:8,8 | 131:3 | footage 121:12 | 33:9 47:6 61:3 |
| 64:10 71:15 | 152:8 | first 6:6 8:7,8 | footprint 43:4 | 72:22 79:21 |
| 92:22 | fellow 63:22 | 35:11 39:4 | 94:17 | 100:9 159:8 |
| family 45:6 | 67:13 | 49:1 53:2,15 | forever 156:3 | furthers 107:3 |
| 52:14 67:10 | felt 64:15 77:8 | 57:2 62:22 | form 53:9 | future 81:10 |
| 133:13 140:12 | 77:13 | 66:9 71:9 | 130:20 151:3,8 | 153:16 |
| 141:18 | fence 97:4,12 | 76:15 95:17 | 151:9 156:3,4 |  |
| far 20:11 39:16 | fenestration | 104:15 110:18 | 156:8 | G |
| 50:9 117:12 | 59:21 120:20 | 117:6 126:1 | former 52:13 | G 3:1 |
| 119:3 121:12 | figure 91:1 | 141:14 150:4 | forms 103:2 | gain 148:10 |
| 141:20,21 | file 9:3 14:7,13 | 156:9 | forth 63:9 | garage 53:14,21 |
| 152:2 | 41:764:11 | first-floor 39:5 | forward 24:8,18 | 53:21 54:2 |
| FARs 141:19 | 79:8 112:14 | 40:8 53:18 | 27:8 89:21 | 55:13 59:19 |
| farther 131:19 | 133:16 | fit 59:7 94:17 | found 95:7,7 | garden 114:14 |
| 146:2 | files 9:5 10:18 | 134:1 | four 5:11 24:5,8 | gas 87:17 88:12 |
| fast 73:19 | 14:14 22:18 | fitness 140:3 | 24:19 96:11 | 90:7,15 92:10 |
| favor 28:22 29:3 | 26:22 27:1 | five 5:7 88:1 | 149:16 | 92:13,16 93:5 |
| 29:7 49:6 | 35:22 36:2 | 95:6 147:20 | fourth 54:22 | 93:8 94:3,7,8 |
| 82:15,17 85:3 | 55:21 62:4 | 154:17 | 55:2,3 | 95:15 98:21 |
| 85:6,9,12 | 123:22 156:16 | five-minute | frankly 75:17 | 102:4 104:1 |
| 107:16,19 | 156:17 | 108:8 | fresh 40:19 | 105:19 |
| 114:11 133:3 | final 33:10 | flies 152:14 | friends 128:19 | gate 97:2 |
| 135:16,19,22 | finalize 91:22 | flip 90:3,4,17 | front 39:6 52:6 | gathering 149:4 |


| 149:8 | 97:7 98:3 | 118:14 133:4 | gray 121:12 | happen 26:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gatherings 3:14 | 113:1,17 115:1 | 135:5 138:9 | great 32:16 69:8 | happening |
| Gehlot 6:14,15 | 116:14 118:5 | 139:16 154:8 | 71:6 139:11,13 | 18:15 117:6 |
| 6:21 7:2,12 8:2 | 121:15 129:12 | Goodnight | greater 58:18 | 130:21 |
| General 1:3 | 130:20 131:11 | 158:7,8,9 | green 39:12 | happens 76:22 |
| 140:1 | 132:17 142:3 | Gotcha 42:15 | 49:21 | 77:4 |
| generally 4 :10 | 142:15 152:20 | gotten 131:10 | ground 53:2 | happy 74:19 |
| 17:1 46:14 | 154:20 156:7 | governed 74:9 | 60:6 | 100:2 |
| 48:13 65:20 | goal 61:22 | 74:10 | ground-floor | hard 73:19 |
| 83:22 106:19 | 147:12 | governing 104:7 | 60:5 89:14 | hardship 40:15 |
| 137:4 | God 21:8 | Governor 3:15 | growing 117:2 | 42:3 44:14 |
| generated 83:6 | goes 94:7 117:14 | gracious 74:22 | GTS 87:18 | 47:22 48:1,11 |
| 106:2 124:17 | 150:9 | grade 40:10 | 90:15 91:15 | 60:18,19 81:4 |
| 136:10 | going 6:6,9,12 | grant 47:8 48:19 | 99:7 | 81:5,13 103:11 |
| generation | 7:7 9:4 10:13 | 56:21 81:19 | guess 8:19 13:13 | 103:12,19 |
| 94:21 95:14,14 | 10:17 14:12 | 83:5 84:5,11 | 46:577:11 | 134:17,17 |
| Genzyme 90:11 | 17:18,19 19:22 | 103:5 104:12 | 87:11 99:10 | 135:1 |
| 92:18 | 21:5 22:1,4 | 107:6 113:20 | 112:10 141:13 | Harvard 140:4 |
| geometric 54:12 | 23:1,10 26:20 | 124:12,16 | 149:5 | hazard 83:8,19 |
| getting 9:13 | 26:21 28:15 | 125:20 135:9 | guide 120:18 | 106:4,16 |
| GFA 39:2,4 53:5 | 30:11 37:6 | 136:9 137:12 | guidelines | 124:19 125:11 |
| 53:11,22 54:5 | 48:8 59:2,5 | 138:7 152:19 | 117:17,21 | 136:13 137:1 |
| 54:18,20 55:10 | 62:3 63:21 | granted 48:14 | 118:8 121:17 | head 82:10 97:7 |
| 58:1 78:5 | 65:18 67:18 | 50:7 81:15 | 121:21 122:2 | 149:15 |
| 141:15,17 | 72:2 73:1 | 82:22 84:9 | 122:12 124:22 | health 40:20 |
| giant 151:20 | 76:21 77:8 | 85:14 104:2 | 140:19,19 | 83:20 106:17 |
| 152:2 | 78:1 79:15 | 105:18 108:3 | 141:3 142:5,8 | 125:12 137:2 |
| Gibson 27:6 | 86:291:15 | 113:13 114:16 | 142:20 145:16 | hear 6:9 8:7 |
| Gita 113:2,3,3 | 92:7 93:9,14 | 126:18 135:4 | 145:20 146:2 | 17:5,10,19 |
| give 4:7 23:11 | 103:4 106:7 | 137:15 138:9 | 152:3,11,12,15 | 18:9,10,12 |
| 34:12 74:5 | 108:6,8 109:7 | 156:1 | 152:16,22 | 19:14 20:14,18 |
| 112:17 146:15 | 112:11 113:19 | granting 17:1 | 153:4,13,17 | 22:12,18,22 |
| 154:1 156:21 | 117:7,10,20 | 49:11,14,19 | 154:3,5,7 | 23:22 24:3,19 |
| given 6:12,17 | 119:4 124:10 | 50:1,4 57:18 | Gus 3:10 | 32:14 34:17 |
| 24:13 45:15,20 | 124:11 130:18 | 70:16 82:4,6 |  | 66:21 69:1 |
| 55:3 56:2 59:8 | 130:22 131:18 | 85:1,4,7,10,12 | H | 71:4 91:9,15 |
| 66:8 98:18 | 141:14 143:19 | 105:14 107:9 | half 45:22 | 93:20 115:4,9 |
| 99:2 134:12 | 145:6,11 146:2 | 107:11,13,16 | 120:19 122:7 | 118:2,11,12 |
| gives 60:22 | 148:13 153:22 | 107:19 113:15 | 148:18 | 124:12 132:19 |
| go 17:18 24:8,18 | 154:11 156:5 | 114:3,5,8,12 | hand 46:21 47:1 | 132:21 139:10 |
| 39:21 41:21 | $\operatorname{good} 10: 16$ 16:9 | 126:5,7,10,13 | 68:18,20 96:5 | 139:18 141:8 |
| 42:1,8 44:10 | 20:13 26:15 | 135:14,17,20 | 101:14,16 | 156:19 |
| 50:9 52:7 | 38:10,12 41:9 | 136:1,3 137:17 | 111:19,21 | heard 2:8 8:14 |
| 54:21 58:4 | 48:15 50:8 | 137:20 138:1,4 | 112:20 124:4,6 | 13:14,15,17,18 |
| 64:9 70:11 | 51:9 81:16 | Granville 2:17 | 132:12,14 | 13:19 14:2 |
| 72:7 76:15 | 85:16,18 93:22 | 139:8 | 159:12 | 16:8 30:20 |
| 86:3 87:12 | 104:3 113:7 | gravel 90:14 | handicap 40:9 | 32:8 34:22 |
| 92:6,7 95:16 | 116:19,19,20 | 93:6 | hang 149:7 | 38:8 45:1 51:8 |


| 64:7 69:9,13 | 107:13 109:4 | 154:21 158:3 | iffy 10:22 | informal 86:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70:7 87:8 | 110:4 111:10 | hoping 140:12 | images 55:7 | information |
| 109:13 110:8 | 114:11,11 | 140:12 | impact 63:19 | 27:8 46:8 |
| 116:8,20 128:8 | 116:4 123:13 | hospice 52:15 | 67:3 72:10 | 76:10,22 77:6 |
| 139:8 140:18 | 126:7,7 128:4 | 57:13,16 61:13 | 106:14 124:22 | 77:14 |
| 154:2,16,16 | 129:11,13,15 | 62:22 | 131:9 136:16 | informed 130:3 |
| 155:19 | 135:16,16 | house 16:18 | impacting 146:8 | infrastructure |
| hearing 1:3 2:3 | 138:4,4 139:4 | 22:16 39:14,22 | impacts 39:20 | 91:13 |
| 2:4,6,8 8:22 | 150:18 151:17 | 43:3 48:9,9 | impair 84:1 | infrequently |
| 16:18 19:16 | 151:19 152:1 | 52:6,7,11,12 | 125:15 137:5 | 59:5 |
| 23:21 24:5,8 | 153:5 157:8,8 | 52:13,15 54:8 | impairing 107:3 | inherited 57:3 |
| 26:9 32:11 | 158:10 | 54:13,18 55:4 | impediment | initialed 49:2 |
| 87:14,15 109:7 | Hinds 101:18,19 | 56:11,13,13,18 | 23:20 89:4 | 104:16 113:22 |
| 155:8,13 | 101:19 102:10 | 57:15,16 59:8 | implication 39:2 | 126:2 |
| heart 140:7 | hinted 63:12 | 60:2,2 65:11 | important 33:11 | input 33:13 |
| heartened 134:4 | Hiserodt 141:6 | 66:3 67:11 | 94:10 97:20 | inside 146:15 |
| heavily 99:17 | 141:7,10,10,12 | 74:14,15,15 | impose 83:11 | 151:10 |
| 103:20 | 142:6,21 | 98:8 122:7 | 106:20 | inspection 21:20 |
| height 55:8 | 143:14,16,18 | 128:17,21 | in- 53:2 | Inspectional |
| 147:4,6 | 143:21 144:3 | 131:14,17 | inaccurate 78:8 | 74:17 |
| held 3:12 | 144:14,18,22 | 140:14 148:7 | inadequacy | inspector 65:18 |
| Hello 16:10 18:7 | 145:3,7,9,13 | houses 65:4,13 | 134:13 | inspectors |
| 18:8 69:1 | 145:18 146:4,7 | 134:19 | inadequate | 130:17 |
| 111:2 113:3 | 146:12,16,20 | housing 39:15 | 60:14 | installation |
| 118:11 133:18 | 146:22 147:3,6 | 69:10 | inappropriate | 134:11 136:6 |
| 139:10 | 147:18 148:2,8 | Hubbard 2:6 | 43:22 | 136:12 |
| helpful 131:4 | 148:12,15,17 | 16:7 | incentive 88:15 | instructed 6:11 |
| hereunto 159:12 | 149:6,10,12 | hundred 88:20 | inches 142:9 | instructions 4:7 |
| hi 49:18 69:4 | 153:19 154:22 | Huron 51:20,21 | included 77:14 | 4:9 |
| 71:4,6 101:19 | 155:2,7,16 | husband 16:19 | includes 145:17 | integrity 84:1 |
| 112:3 128:11 | Hmpf 20:16 | 16:20 17:5 | incorporate | 106:20 107:3 |
| 139:10 | hold 91:11 | 18:15 19:2 | 89:13 | 125:16 137:5 |
| Hickey 1:8 3:5 | home 43:4 51:19 | 20:3,11,18,18 | increase 121:12 | intent 48:16 |
| 4:16,17 6:4 | 51:22 52:3,15 | 21:12 23:14 | 141:14 | 81:17 84:2 |
| 9:10,10,21 | 52:19 55:16 | 24:21 51:18 | increasing 91:6 | 104:4 106:21 |
| 11:14,14 12:4 | 134:1 140:14 | 128:12 133:1 | indicated 53:7 | 125:17 135:6 |
| 13:5 15:1,1 | homeowner |  | 64:14 65:8 | 137:6 |
| 16:4 28:22 | 64:14 133:19 | I | 73:2 75:1 | intention 34:4 |
| 32:4 36:11,11 | homeowners | I-- 44:5 | 80:21 102:15 | 74:18 80:1 |
| 38:4 43:3,11 | 52:22 61:8 | i.e 63:17 70:18 | 103:1 107:1,2 | 119:14 |
| 44:4 47:15,17 | homes 38:19 | ice-skating | 113:12 | interest 46:13 |
| 49:14 51:4 | 52:4 | 90:12 | individual | 106:8 107:4 |
| 61:7 62:21 | honest 61:17 | icon 46:20 68:17 | 102:19 | interested |
| 72:13 75:9,9 | 144:10 150:22 | 101:13 111:18 | individually | 100:17,17 |
| 75:14,16 82:15 | Honestly 144:9 | 124:3 132:11 | 146:1 | 150:10 159:10 |
| 85:3,3 87:4 | hope 75:3 92:2 | ideas 79:21 | industrial 87:19 | interesting 57:9 |
| 101:5,7,9 | hopeful 32:22 | identical 143:2 | 89:7 92:11 | 94:6 95:1 |
| 105:3 107:13 | hopefully 92:1 | 144:6 | 99:16 | interrupt 67:22 |


| 78:3,7 | 30:11,14,15 | 88:21 91:6,13 | 121:20 148:19 | leaving 95:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| introduce | 31:2 68:22 | 92:17 93:11 | 149:7,18 | left 90:11,12 |
| 139:21 | 69:1,4,4 70:4 | 95:3,19 96:21 | 150:16,21 | 93:1,6,10 |
| intrusion 99:8 | 70:13 | 96:21,22 97:10 | 151:11 152:6,6 | 101:3 104:21 |
| involve 47:22 | Jawa 109:11 | 97:18 | 152:8,10 153:8 | 119:7 120:5,7 |
| 81:4,6 103:11 | Jessica 71:3,4,6 | Kendall's 87:19 | known 88:13 | 120:12,22 |
| 134:17 | 71:6,21 72:5,7 | 89:7 | 133:20 | 143:1,6 144:13 |
| Ireland 139:22 | 72:19 | KENNETH | Kraus 135:11 | 144:17 145:5 |
| irrational 23:18 | Jim 1:9 3:5 4:14 | 93:17,19 100:8 |  | 146:10 |
| ism 105:13 | 4:15,15,22 6:4 | kept 4:6 | L | left- 96:4 |
| issue 7:14 19:10 | 10:1,2,3,4,4 | key 96:18 | Labor 9:7 10:12 | left-hand 98:20 |
| 19:12 20:5,6 | 11:9,11,11,12 | Khan 16:9,12,12 | lack 125:7 | 149:13 |
| 33:5 54:8 61:3 | 11:12 12:4 | 17:15 18:3 | ladies 158:8 | legal 17:8,9,9 |
| 62:19 68:5,5 | 13:6,7,7,22 | 21:19 24:11,22 | land 56:14,20 | 19:5,6,21,21 |
| 77:16 78:9 | 15:3, 4, 4 37:4,4 | 25:8,15,18 | 61:21 | 20:9,12 21:2,4 |
| 80:8 143:10 | 37:7,8,10,12 | kids 128:14 | landscaping | 21:15 23:14 |
| 152:20 | Jim's 37:12 | 140:2,11 | 79:22 | 24:10,14,20,22 |
| issues 48:6,6 | Joanne 132:18 | 147:11 | Lane 51:20 52:4 | 25:2,3,3,8,9,10 |
| 86:4 141:13 | 132:19,22 | kids' 128:17 | large 93:1 94:5 | 25:12 27:13,13 |
| 149:15 | 133:7 | kind 39:11 | larger 95:10 | 27:17 28:7 |
| items 54:16 | job 130:5 | 70:17 | 97:17 119:9 | 60:18 78:4 |
|  | John 71:7 | kinds 46:6 | lastly 9:2 14:12 | 156:22 |
| J | jointly 16:19 | Kit 91:17 | 26:19 35:20 | legalize 57:19 |
| J-a-w-a 109:11 | joists 147:19 | know 6:20 7:5 | 125:15 | 79:11 |
| James 38:10,10 | judgment | 7:119:16 | late 3:11 12:14 | legally 17:4 19:1 |
| 38:14 42:6,8 | 106:14 | 16:17,17 17:20 | 12:19 90:21 | 22:11 64:5 |
| 43:1,17 44:18 | Julie 51:15,15 | 17:21 18:18 | laughter 31:2 | legs 103:16 |
| 45:8,17 46:10 | 51:17 69:9 | 19:1 20:4 | 149:12 | Leiserson 1:9 |
| 50:8 51:9,10 | July 1:4 3:8 | 21:10,21 22:10 | laundry 147:1,7 | 3:5 4:20 5:1 |
| 51:16 58:7 | 81:22 109:13 | 23:4 24:4 | 151:11,13 | 16:4 29:6,7,12 |
| 59:18 61:11 | 135:11 159:18 | 32:17 38:9 | Lauren 139:10 | 29:15,18,21 |
| 62:8,11 64:7 | jump 96:14 | 39:19 41:2 | 139:13,16,18 | 30:1,4 32:4 |
| 64:10 67:8,21 |  | 43:12,19 44:14 | 149:22 158:5 | 36:15,15 38:4 |
| 68:11 71:16 | K | 45:8,11 46:1 | law 3:17 20:21 | 41:19,22 42:2 |
| 72:9 73:6,10 | K-r-a-u-s | 47:11 55:17 | 21:1 27:7 53:3 | 42:7,15,20 |
| 74:2,10 75:13 | 135:11 | 56:20 59:10 | 155:22 | 44:5,7,8,11 |
| 75:15 76:11,20 | Kachmar | 61:17,21 62:6 | Lawfully 22:21 | 45:3,11 46:5 |
| 78:2,14,16 | 118:16 125:22 | 65:20 69:12,19 | laws 21:3 | 46:11 49:18 |
| 79:5,17 84:17 | keep 11:10 | 69:20 72:13 | lead 63:16 | 51:4 63:7 76:7 |
| 85:16 | 33:14 143:10 | 73:2 79:21 | leading 89:19 | 76:12 77:15 |
| January 24:5 | keeping 60:4 | 88:4,7,7,9 89:1 | leads 48:6 | 78:10,15,21 |
| Jason 1:10 3:6 | Kelly 133:18,19 | 89:1,3,6,18,18 | learned 130:17 | 79:13 80:3 |
| 5:2,4,6 9:22,22 | Kemp 7:13,15 | 90:3,19,21 | 136:18 | 82:6,11 85:6,6 |
| 11:8,9,11 16:5 | 7:18,18 8:3 | 91:2,12,21 | lease 70:9 | 87:4 101:2 |
| 22:3,6,7,9,14 | Ken 93:14,16 | 92:2,8,13,20 | leases 65:18,19 | 105:10,10 |
| 23:3,8,16,19 | 94:1 98:14 | 92:21 99:7,11 | leave 46:15 | 107:19 109:4 |
| 24:16 28:11,13 | 99:1,10 | 99:13 100:13 | 67:13 95:2 | 110:4 111:12 |
| 28:13,17,19 | Kendall 87:22 | 102:1 110:18 | leaves 149:4 | 114:8,8,19,21 |
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| 114:21 115:2 | line 42:12,13 | long 7:5 35:6 | lowering 142:13 | mass 2:8 60:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116:4 123:15 | 71:14 72:1,1 | 55:15 94:11 | luck 138:9 | Massachusetts |
| 126:13,13 | 94:10 95:21 | 102:1 132:10 |  | 1:6,6 32:7 |
| 128:4 129:20 | 96:6,7 97:4,22 | long-standing | M | 159:2,5 |
| 135:19,19 | 118:10 120:9,9 | 56:1 57:2 | ma'am 23:15 | massing 55:7 |
| 138:1 139:4 | lines 96:3,10,12 | 79:11 | 71:1 78:16,16 | Matina 1:10 3:6 |
| 157:11,11 | 118:21 119:2 | long-term 66:3 | 114:20 | 4:18,19 6:5 |
| 158:9 | 121:11 122:22 | 133:22 134:1 | Madison 119:17 | 10:6,7,14,15 |
| length 45:22 | 142:11 | longer 75:7 | 119:18,20 | 11:5,6,6 12:5 |
| 65:19 70:8 | link 151:1,7,8 | look 21:4 42:16 | magic 14:8 | 13:9,10 14:19 |
| 75:7 121:19 | Lisa 91:18 | 43:16 53:4 | 26:15 | 14:20,20 16:4 |
| let's 5:10 12:21 | list 63:1 | 54:9 55:5,5,6 | main 61:22 94:7 | 29:2,3 30:21 |
| 16:15 34:12 | listed 82:8 | 78:17 89:21 | 119:13 142:9 | 32:5 36:7,8,8 |
| 67:17 68:9 | literal 47:21 | 130:18 146:11 | 142:18 | 38:5 41:16,17 |
| 76:1 80:21 | 81:3 103:10 | 151:6 | maintain 97:14 | 41:17 49:22 |
| 108:7 136:5 | 134:16 | looked 74:6 96:8 | maintained 8:21 | 50:1,1 51:5 |
| 142:7 | little 16:18 | looking 42:21 | 14:10 26:13,17 | 63:9,10,10 |
| letter 12:9,12 | 19:15 28:7 | 46:2 90:6 93:5 | 35:18 97:1 | 76:1,3 77:17 |
| 17:1 18:6,7,14 | 32:15 38:20 | 95:20 103:22 | 156:11 | 82:16,17,17 |
| 18:15 25:12,14 | 40:16 41:4 | 118:22 140:8 | maintenance | 85:8,9,9 87:5 |
| 32:20 62:4,6 | 60:11,12,17,22 | 144:12 150:18 | 95:12 97:13 | 100:21,22 |
| 62:15,17,18 | 73:19 92:8,22 | looks 41:4 | majority 141:19 | 105:6,7,7 |
| 64:16 69:6 | 93:15 97:21 | lose 144:5 145:9 | making 34:8 | 107:15,16,16 |
| 102:7 109:10 | 129:7 134:19 | 146:4,7 149:16 | 36:14 80:3 | 109:5 110:5 |
| 125:9 133:15 | 136:16 142:12 | 150:19 | 157:3 | 111:13,14,14 |
| letters 63:13 | 151:2,4,5,12 | loss 49:20 | Manaktala | 114:4,5,5 |
| 90:21 102:15 | live 43:10 69:5 | lost 40:11 | 113:2,3,3 | 116:5 123:16 |
| 102:20 106:12 | 101:20 133:1 | lot 39:15 40:17 | March 3:15 | 123:17,17 |
| 123:22 124:1 | lived 128:13,14 | 42:5,14 45:5 | 61:18 | 126:9,10,10 |
| 132:6,8 | 140:5 | 46:2,3 48:12 | Marett 139:10 | 128:5 129:16 |
| level 60:6 69:17 | lives 128:22 | 48:12 52:11 | 139:13,16,18 | 129:17 135:21 |
| 70:20 75:4 | living 53:1 54:13 | 58:14,17,20 | 149:22 158:5 | 135:22,22 |
| 97:20 121:5,5 | 81:8,11 110:19 | 61:8 65:15 | Margaret | 137:19,20,20 |
| 121:7,7,8,10 | 117:8 128:15 | 66:5,9 67:10 | 116:22,22 | 139:5 157:13 |
| levels 53:17 | 128:22 | 76:13,15 77:1 | 117:8 | 157:14,14 |
| 94:20 | loathe 23:16,20 | 77:4 81:13,14 | Maria 6:17 | 158:11 |
| library 128:18 | 33:13 | 89:17,21 90:14 | 12:12 | matter 11:4 16:8 |
| life 88:15 91:4 | locals 51:21 | 90:19 93:6 | marker 14:9 | 32:8 38:8 |
| lifetime 88:7 | locate 95:13 | 95:5,5 99:3,5 | 26:15 | 46:18 51:8 |
| light 146:16 | located 94:2 | 99:14,14 | Marshall 1:10 | 64:8 68:16 |
| 151:13 | 102:4 140:4 | 130:12,15 | 3:7 5:4,6 9:22 | 77:19 79:2 |
| limit 55:8 | location 55:22 | lots 140:6 | 16:5 22:3,6,9 | 87:9 101:11 |
| limitation 54:4 | 57:3 73:8 79:7 | love 128:15 | 22:14 23:8,16 | 110:8 111:16 |
| 64:13 66:7 | 88:3,4 92:14 | lovely 69:22 | 23:19 28:13,13 | 116:8 123:20 |
| 68:6 69:13 | 95:1 96:7,13 | Lowenthal | 28:17,19 30:11 | 128:8 132:9 |
| limitations 56:3 | 96:19 97:16 | 128:9,11,12 | 30:14 68:22 | 139:9 |
| 79:1 | 98:22 99:2,5 | 138:10 | 69:1,4,4,5,21 | matters 115:4 |
| limiting 3:13 | logical 149:19 | lower 147:20,20 | 70:4,13 | maximize |


| 140:13 | 68:10,16 70:5 | missing 89:3 | 70:10,11 73:19 | N 2:1 3:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maximized | 73:18 80:14 | 151:7 | 75:17 | Naidong 112:2,3 |
| 58:15 | 87:3,14 91:9 | mission 130:22 | mother 53:3 | 112:3 |
| McConnell | 91:17 98:15 | misunderstood | motion 13:13 | name 3:10 4:4 |
| 116:22 117:9 | 100:5,20 | 78:22 79:3 | 22:4 23:10 | 16:12 18:13 |
| McKenna | 101:12 103:7 | mitigating 57:17 | 47:7,8,10 | 101:19 112:3 |
| 116:22 | 104:7 107:1 | mixed-use 99:17 | 67:14 80:20 | 116:13 118:15 |
| Meade 110:11 | 108:5 109:3 | Mm-hm 26:2 | 103:5 112:15 | 133:11,18 |
| 110:11 111:3 | 110:3 111:7 | 76:5 133:10 | 112:16 113:20 | 139:18 |
| 114:18 | 116:3 118:15 | 153:5 | 113:20 124:11 | narrow 89:5 |
| mean 43:11 | 123:10 124:2 | modern 55:8 | 134:7,9 153:22 | natural 95:15 |
| 44:16 67:21 | 128:3 129:3 | modification | 154:11 155:18 | 151:13 |
| 78:3,7 103:15 | 130:6 131:22 | 110:20 113:13 | 157:2 | nature 45:5 |
| 122:3 130:2,20 | 132:10 139:3 | modifications | motions 80:20 | 52:11 55:4 |
| 131:13 144:3,5 | 139:17 150:10 | 9:5 26:22 | move 69:22 | 57:5 83:15 |
| 146:9 147:4 | 154:10 | 156:15 | 85:22 88:3,5 | 103:19 125:4 |
| 149:2,18 150:8 | membership | modified 9:3 | 91:19 102:3 | necessarily |
| 150:22 156:1 | 34:22 | 10:17 14:7,8 | 103:1 109:14 | 63:18 |
| means 72:9,18 | mention 123:21 | 14:13 26:11,17 | 115:10 122:17 | necessary 34:6 |
| 95:7 154:16 | mentioned 41:2 | 26:20 35:15,21 | moved 47:12,14 | 59:14 98:9 |
| Medford 110:11 | 89:6,9 90:19 | 117:15 154:21 | 133:21 139:22 | need 5:3 13:13 |
| meet 17:4 58:6 | 91:21 102:18 | 156:11 | moves 8:14 26:4 | 19:20 21:14 |
| 59:16 142:17 | 103:20 140:11 | modify $26: 16$ | 35:9 47:19 | 25:1 33:8 |
| 143:6,9 | merits 124:11 | 110:19 | 48:19 80:22 | 34:13,21 40:22 |
| meeting 1:5 3:9 | met 83:5 106:1 | mom 69:22 | 81:19 83:1 | 44:14 48:7,9 |
| 3:12,17,21 | 124:16 136:9 | moment 59:4 | 84:5 103:9 | 60:15 70:8 |
| 6:16 8:1,8 | Michael 115:3 | 111:22 124:7 | 104:12 105:20 | 77:8 81:7,9,11 |
| 19:10 24:3 | 116:10,10,12 | 132:15 139:20 | 107:6 113:11 | 85:19,21,22 |
| 27:9 28:5 | 116:17,19,21 | moments 47:2 | 124:14 125:20 | 86:1 90:1 98:5 |
| 51:18 69:7 | 117:18 118:1,4 | 68:21 101:17 | 134:15 135:9 | 103:17 134:20 |
| 102:1 | 122:15 126:20 | Monday 9:6 | 137:11 154:15 | 147:3 154:20 |
| meetings 3:18 | Michaels 127:1 | 10:19 14:14 | 155:18 | 156:21 |
| 4:1,9 | middle 93:6 | 27:2 36:2 | moving 12:6 | needed 117:8 |
| meets 45:14 | 142:16 145:10 | 156:5,17 | 83:1 88:2 | needs 45:21 |
| 142:8,18 | 146:5,8 | monitor 68:2 | 99:16 | 60:15 119:1 |
| Megan 7:13,15 | Middlesex 159:3 | Mont 93:15 | multifamily | neighbor 67:5 |
| 7:18,18 8:3 | mind 17:6 23:6 | Monteverde 1:9 | 39:15,22 | 77:22 113:8 |
| member 4:13 | 76:8 | 3:6 4:14,15,15 | Munnelly | 125:8 133:6 |
| 66:21 111:17 | minimally 59:14 | 4:22 6:4 10:4,4 | 139:19 140:16 | neighborhood |
| members 3:4 | minimize 99:8 | 11:12,12 12:4 | 140:20 149:21 | 39:17 40:11 |
| 4:3,3,6,13 5:10 | minimum 45:18 | 13:7,7,22 15:4 | 150:3,7 158:2 | 45:12 48:3 |
| 6:3 9:11 12:3 | 66:4 92:16 | 15:4 37:4,5,8 | 158:6 | 52:16 59:14 |
| 16:3 24:5 32:3 | 122:7 | 37:10 | mute 4:6,22 | 63:13,19 67:1 |
| 38:3 41:14 | minor 41:8 | month 24:13 | 47:1 68:20 | 67:4 83:9 |
| 46:19 47:6 | minute 62:14 | monthly 97:19 | 101:16 111:21 | 100:16 104:9 |
| 51:3,10 52:10 | 85:19,21,22 | months 7:3 34:1 | 124:6 132:14 | 106:5 124:20 |
| $52: 1860: 8$ | 86:1 | 34:4 64:3,6 | N | 125:1,7 128:15 |
| 64:1 67:13,17 | minutes 4:10 | 66:5 67:5,16 |  | 128:17,19,22 |


| 136:18 141:17 | notation 72:17 | obvious 140:9 | 51:6 52:8 | operated 52:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| neighbors 42:5 | 72:18 | obviously 89:20 | 59:10 61:1 | 61:12 |
| 62:15 69:8 | note 43:18 49:6 | 91:5 147:5 | 63:8 69:1 | operating 74:18 |
| 74:20 112:14 | 49:7 | 148:5 | 70:22 71:6 | operation 57:14 |
| 131:17 134:5 | noted 6:18 56:13 | occasion 145:22 | 72:5,19 75:16 | 83:13 106:9,14 |
| 138:12 | 69:12 79:6 | occupant 59:2 | 77:15 78:21 | 125:2 136:20 |
| neighbors' 65:8 | Nothing's 117:6 | 83:21 106:18 | 79:13 80:3,15 | operations |
| 69:22 | notice 44:12 | 125:13 137:3 | 86:2 87:6 | 95:12 97:15 |
| neither 159:8 | 62:22 77:22 | occupants 40:21 | 93:20 95:17 | opinion 17:8,9 |
| Nelson 132:18 | 78:4,8 121:10 | 44:14 46:12 | 100:19 105:12 | 18:1 19:6,21 |
| 132:19,22 | noticed 40:9 | 81:10,10 | 110:22 111:6 | 19:21 21:15 |
| 133:7 | noting 82:7 | occupation | 113:10 115:10 | 24:10 27:13,19 |
| nevertheless | November | 63:16 | 117:22 118:9 | 41:10 67:18 |
| 134:14 | 34:12,15,16,17 | occupy 64:4,5 | 118:14 124:2 | 115:10 131:6 |
| new 6:18,19 | 34:18,19,20 | 149:20 | 128:6,11 129:5 | opponent 22:20 |
| 7:14 8:19,20 | 35:1,10,16,19 | occurred 75:4 | 129:8,10,14 | opportunity |
| 8:21,22 9:3 | 36:3,21 | occurring 44:22 | 131:22 132:5 | 56:7 63:2 |
| 10:17 14:7,9 | nuisance 83:19 | 55:16 79:9 | 132:22 139:13 | 69:21 70:14 |
| 14:13 26:10,10 | 106:16 125:11 | occurs 55:20 | 141:11 144:16 | 79:10 88:7 |
| 26:17,19 35:16 | 137:1 | October 12:15 | 144:20 145:1 | opposed 60:21 |
| 35:16,16,20 | nullifying 48:15 | 12:20,20,21 | 147:17 155:17 | 151:4 |
| 48:5 54:22 | 81:16 104:3 | 13:12 14:3,11 | 158:8 | opposite 99:12 |
| 91:15 95:11 | 135:5 | 14:15 15:7 | old 8:20 | 99:22 104:5 |
| 97:11 121:19 | number 4:11 6:7 | offer 93:15 | older 134:18 | 107:2 |
| 123:1 134:11 | 12:7 16:7 | offered 49:20 | once 5:12 10:20 | opposition 17:1 |
| 136:6 154:21 | 22:10 32:7 | 56:14 | 21:6,19 26:5 | 102:20 106:12 |
| 156:10,15 | 38:7 51:7 | office 19:6 99:17 | 68:7 88:7 | 124:1 125:9,10 |
| newly 7:19 | 63:12 72:8 | Oh 5:5 21:7,10 | 90:14 121:8 | 132:8 136:18 |
| nice 37:8,9 | 76:19 87:7 | 27:14 30:4 | 153:12 | optimal 88:3,5 |
| 129:2 | 95:22,22 109:8 | 34:5 62:13 | one's 8:20 77:10 | option 88:19 |
| night 16:15 | 110:7 116:7 | 112:12 118:14 | one-story 60:5 | orange-colored |
| 132:10 152:6 | 117:1 128:7 | 129:14 | one-structure | 55:19 |
| nine 122:8 | 132:6 139:7 | oil 95:22 96:17 | 94:19 | order 3:15 33:17 |
| 147:18 | numerous 94:15 | okay 5:5,9 8:6 | onerous 65:17 | orders 3:13 |
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