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(5:47 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick and Slater Anderson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the September 2, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge. In due course, there will also be a transcript
of the proceedings in due course.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. You will have no more than three minutes to speak.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. SISIA DAGLIAN: Wendy Leiserson? WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson here. SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here, present. SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey present. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. SISIA DAGLIAN: Wait. Slater Anderson? SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson here. SISIA DAGLIAN: And Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present and
audible.

SISIA DAGLIAN: And Gus.
LAURA WERNICK: No, you forgot me, Laura.
SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm sorry, Laura. Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick is here.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, before we get to our regular cases, the Board -- I'm sorry, the Chair recommends that this Board go into Executive Session to discuss strategy with regard to pending litigation involving 54 Washburn Avenue, BZA Case Number 008072 -- 2015.

Since discussing this case in an open meeting, in an open session may have a detrimental effect on the litigating position of the city, such an Executive Session for this purpose is permitted by General Laws Chapter 30A Section 21 (a) (3).

Upon adjournment of this Executive Session, this Board will reconvene in an open session, and we'll then proceed with our regular agenda, including continued cases.

First, I need to take a roll call as to whether to go into Executive Session. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to go into an Executive Session.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to going into
Executive Session.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to entering
Executive Session.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson yes to entering
Executive Session.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
So we will now go into Executive Session.
LAURA WERNICK: You still forgot a couple of us. SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura's here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Laura.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought
I -- why do we have six people?
LAURA WERNICK: And Wendy.
SISIA DAGLIAN: They cannot go into Executive
Session.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm sorry, Laura. My apologies.

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, so --
LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're now ready to go into Executive Session.
[PAUSE]
(6:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We're now out of our Executive Session, so we're going to just start with our regular presentation.

Okay. As I said, we're now going to go back to our regular session for tonight, starting with the continued cases. But I think it would be a good idea, Sisia, just to take attendance one more thing from the Board as to who's on the call.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea Hickey?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Andrea, can we hear you?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Present. Sorry.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson present.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim Monteverde?
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: Jim, can we hear you?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here. Not paying attention, but here.

SISIA DAGLIAN: And Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Brendan's here. Gus is here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I'm here. Okay. So we've got all five.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Go ahead. Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now -- as I said, we're going to start with continued cases. The first case I'm going to call is Case Number -- if I can find it -- Case Number 109394 -- 118 Spring Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MEGAN KEMP: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Board members. My name is Megan Kemp. I'm here from Adam Dash \& Associates in Davis Square, representing the property owner at 118 Spring Street, LLC.

With me tonight are the manager for the LLC, Siddharth Gehlot and our architect, Morris Schopf, who I know is on the call. Sisia, if you could -- I don't know if you can grab him. But --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah, I think I have.

MEGAN KEMP: There he is. All right. So -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The case involves two forms of relief your client is seeking?

MEGAN KEMP: So the first order of business is what we'd like to do is withdraw the request for the variance. We are no longer going to move forward with the decks. And that is reflected in the updated plans that we filed in July.

But as a result of a signage issue, where the sign had fallen, we hadn't been able to get heard in July, but we got that resolved. The sign got double taped up so it could not fall off the window.

Yeah. So we'd like to withdraw the variance request and just move forward on the special permit regarding finishing -- so the background: This building was in a pretty dilapidated state when we took ownership towards the end of 2020.

As part of trying to get the building rehabbed, it turned out that the basement had it -- turns out the basement had several different ceiling heights. It was a bit of a hodgepodge; it was 8' in some places, 8'6" in others; 7'2" and 6'8."

But we needed to excavate in order to shore up the foundation and kind of rebuild that, just to get the building back on an even keel. What that resulted in is basically doing an excavation down to 8'6" all along the way.

So what we're asking to do is rather than refill the basement to that hodgepodge of basement heights, just have an even 8'6" across the board and be able to make this useable space for the future owners, and finish this basement, which would then be exempt from the GFA with the grant of the special permit.

MORRIS SCHOPF: The scope of that is shown on the next slide?

MEGAN KEMP: Correct, yes.
MORRIS SCHOPF: Yes.
MEGAN KEMP: And so on the left-hand side, you'll see the section view of where the proposed footing are in order -- as part of the shoring up process -- it's the slapdown --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do I understand that the excavation -- excuse me -- the purpose of the excavation is not to create an additional dwelling unit?

MEGAN KEMP: Correct. It is not to create an additional dwelling unit. These would be parts of the units above them. You can see it's --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. It's a bad -at least for me a bad connection. Could you repeat what you just said?

MEGAN KEMP: Yes. Correct. These would not be additional dwelling units; it would be the same four-family structure that is there. So these would just be added to those two units, to Unit 1 and 4, which is indicated on that slide.

So they were already parts of those units, just as only storage space. And this would make them useable space to whoever occupies those units in the future.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. As you know, there's a certain criteria that you have to satisfy?

MEGAN KEMP: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Your client has to satisfy to obtain the special permit you're seeking. Could you run --

MEGAN KEMP: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- down those criteria and
tell us why you meet them; your client meets them?
MEGAN KEMP: Not a problem. So as far as meeting the requirements of the ordinance, they can be met because we are not attempting to change the structure. We are trying to fit within the parameters of the existing fourfamily structure.

We're not adding to the units or establishing anything trying to -- we're not attempting to derogate from the intent or purpose of the ordinance. We're just trying to make a residential building more useable to residents in a residential neighborhood.

This will not generate any additional traffic or congestion. It is the same four-family structure that is there. So it would be the same number of units; the same amount of traffic. These are not additional residents.

The continued operation does not negatively affect any of the adjacent properties, as this is a way of restoring this building and bringing it back up so it not a hazard to neighborhood properties; it is instead going to be a benefit to the neighborhood.

Again, the interior construction being done to finish the basements and allow them to be usable space to
the residents is all interior and actually will make it safer access.

There will be, you know, full ceiling height in the mechanical room for service members, so they're not trying to duck while trying to work in the mechanical room.

And so rather than creating a nuisance or hazard, this would actually make those spaces safer.

Again, this would not impair the integrity of the district or derogate from the intent or the purpose, as it is a renovation of a residential building, making it more usable for current and future residents in a residential neighborhood...

So allowing this to enhance feasibility and maintain that in this East Cambridge neighborhood, where it's quite dense, so there's no way of going up or out really to get more space for residents. The only real space is to go down into the basement.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And members of the Board have any questions? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, [Brendan Sullivan] I have no questions at this point.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the fifth one is --
who's the fifth one?

LAURA WERNICK: I believe from last meeting it was
Matina?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair has no questions at this point as well. So I think we're ready for a vote. Oh, we've got to go to public comment. Yeah.

Okay. I am going to open the matter up to public comment. So we have mentioned that there is no communications in our files from citizens with regard to the relief being sought.

So any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a few moments to see if anyone wants to speak. SISIA DAGLIAN: Luke Smith?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
SISIA DAGLIAN: No, he took his hand down. He took his hand down, so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I guess not.
Should I make a motion, or do we need a discussion? Any member wish to discuss this case further?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves that we make the following findings [let me get my notes out for a second]: That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the relief being sought:

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As indicated, what we're talking about here is excavation of the basement and the addition of a door. There is no neighborhood impact that $I$ can see at least.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

And again, I think the facts speak for themselves with regard to the nature of the relief -- the nature of this work to be done and is subject to the special permit basement work.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance. So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested by the petitioner.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes to grant the special permit as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's unanimous. The
special permit has been granted.
MEGAN KEMP: Thank you.
MORRIS SCHOPF: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, gentlemen.
(6:42 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on, The Chair will now call Case Number 122612, 58 Granville Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? Still waiting for the petitioner or his representative to speak.

LAUREN MARETT: Hi. Sorry, we're here. Can you see us and hear us?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello.
LAUREN MARETT: Hi. Good evening, Chairman, and members of the Board. Thank you for taking the time to hear us and hear our request again. Again, my name is Lauren Marett. This is Adam Munnelly. We were with you back in July discussing a dormer issue.

And just a quick recap, we -- Adam has two kids, and we hope to have another one on the way very soon, so
we're just looking to maximize the space we have to try and accommodate our family.

And we're here with our architect, Stephen, so I'll let you take it from here.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Can everybody hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
STEPHEN HISERODT: We left off with a discussion of dormers, and the Board asked us to revisit because we felt that the overall length of the dormer was excessive.

Do you want me to go over any of the background in more detail, or are we all up to speed or familiar with the project? I think I'd like to just -- Sisia, if you could go to sheet A 22?
[Pause]
STEPHEN HISERODT: It's a little bit further up. That's A 22(c).
[Pause]
STEPHEN HISERODT: That -- okay. This is our -the scheme that we would like to move forward with. What was done on the original dormer was essentially these two dormers with a connecting shed dormer in between them.

The total dormer length was just about 30 feet.

So we've reduced it to two -- the dormers that are 11 feet each.

We tried a few other options, and before I go to show the other options, what I'd like to do is in reviewing the dormer guidelines, there is a section on Site Context, which essentially says that where the changes made are more visible from the public way, the guidelines will be given more scrutiny. And it says for interior lots, they will get less scrutiny.

So in analyzing the impact of these dormers on the view from the street, if you look at sheets -- Sisia, if you could change over to sheets A 91, A 92 and A 93 I created some renderings showing the house from the street.

That one first.
So this is -- oops -- this is current home configuration from the adjacent street. The dormer in question, the one that was most disliked at the last hearing is the one at the far end.

If you move down to the next sheet, Sisia?
This is showing the same bedroom dormer in the center above the bay. But then a reduced sized room gone from 11' to 6'4" the dormer towards the back end. If you
compare the two, you can hardly see the dormer at all anyway. But the reduction in size is almost invisible. So, I mean, the thinking is that because this -the additions we're proposing are so negligibly visible from the street that we think it's relatively -- we'll say innocuous addition, with respect to the dormer guidelines, because it's just not a prominent façade.

We felt that the preservation of the porches on the front façade was a much more prominent and meaningful gesture, which rather than enclosing those, we've decided to keep those intact.

And in this neighborhood with houses relatively close together, the prominent architectural detailing and visibility throughout on the street is basically the front façades. I think that sums up.

If we could go back to A 22(c)?
This is the view with the reduced size dormer there, and we are closer to the 15-foot requirement, but we are still at 17-foot for total width. Though it is closer the 15-foot guide, we felt that this -- there are two reasons we don't like to this solution:

One, it cramps the stairway at the upper end. The
feeling of the stairway is just unpleasant. And the stair has to be squished down to the absolute minimum allowed by code.

And given the visibility of it from the street, we didn't think it was -- quite honestly -- worth reducing the size there. I think that's the extent of the dormer discussion.

We also had three other points of relief that were not discussed at the last hearing. Should I move through those, or do we want to talk about the dormers?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm speaking for myself, certainly. But $I$-- it seems to me the alternate with the reduced dormer with the two dormers not being same dimensions is far less appealing to me, and even what you're -- what is preferred has more total dormer length than the alternate. I think it's a better solution.

And I for one would be willing to support that, or the one that you put on the screen first, with the equal dormers. Welcome comments from other Board members.

Brendan, do you have anything to add or say?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I'm -- [Brendan Sullivan] -

- I would be interested as to what the other Board members
were thinking.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim? Jim
Monteverde? Jim, you have your mic? Maybe you're --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm unmuted, sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sisia, can you confirm that I'm
on this case as well?
SISIA DAGLIAN: Um--
JIM MONTEVERDE: When I looked at the original
hearing, I don't think I saw -- I didn't see my name.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, Jim, you're not on the list
that I received.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I'd be happy to comment, but I don't think $I$ attended the initial.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You can comment as an
interested citizen.
JIM MONTEVERDE: As the citizen advisory. I think
the photo -- unofficially, the photo that's on the screen right now would -- I think would be preferred, unofficially. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any other members of the

Board wish to express an opinion?
ANDREA HICKEY: Gus, it's Andrea Hickey here. Did
I understand your comment, Mr. Chair, that the preferred rendition, not the alternate?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, I didn't catch what you just said. Could you repeat that, please?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Did I understand from your comments that you preferred the prior rendition, not the alternate? Not the one that's on the screen at present?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's right. With the two dormers would appear to be of equal dimension and appearance --

ANDREA HICKEY: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- would be my preference.
ANDREA HICKEY: Sisia, could I ask you to bring that up again? Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There it is, yep.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I prefer that as well. But I could live with either. So if I could ask the representative for the petitioners, the proposed configuration that's on the screen now is your preferred configuration?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, yeah.
LAUREN MARETT: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Great. Thank you. That's all I
have.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina, do you have anything you wish to add?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I like the one that's on the screen, other than the other one, the smaller dormer that would have been on the back. This one is a better choice, a better selection. It looks more even.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Matina?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I just -- now it's just Matina's full (sic).

WENDY LEISERSON: It's Wendy Leiserson is the fifth member.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, Wendy. I'm sorry.
WENDY LEISERSON: That's okay. I have no comments on the dormer.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. With that, I think we'll open the matter up to public testimony. Let me see if
there are any letters in the file. I don't believe so. Yes, there was one letter from someone who preferred a different approach to the dormers. He thought it was architecturally superior. I don't have the letter -I'm trying to pull up the letter; I'm not succeeding right now.

But that was the only letter of -- that's the only comment we received. So with that, I'm going to close public testimony.

SISIA DAGLIAN: We have --
STEPHEN HISERODT: We do have to move through the

LAUREN MARETT: Yes.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- the other points of the special permit. There are three other issues.

JANET RANDALL: Hello? I've been invited -SISIA DAGLIAN: Janet?

JANET RANDALL: -- to speak. Yeah, hi.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ms. Randall's the one who wrote the letter a few moments ago.

JANET RANDALL: Do you want to hear from me now, or?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. By all means.
JANET RANDALL: Great. Thank you. Yes, I wrote the letter. And I wanted to say that I appreciate the new version, but I want -- the approach that I was mentioning in my letter is different in the sense that the architect has tried to stay at the ridgeline and not raise the roof. Because in order to raise a roof, you need a variance.

And when I did a very similar project on my third floor and wanted dormers, I proposed a shed dormer, and the two shed dormers.

And when I went to the Zoning Board, somebody said, "You know, the new dormers don't match the roofline, and it looks a little awkward to have these shed dormers shooting out at a different angle. If you want to maintain the architectural integrity of this house and have it look good, you need to maintain the roof angles."

And I said, "But I would not get any space up there if I did that, unless we raised the roof." And they said, "Well, ask us for a variance. We'll give you a variance for that."

And so I did, and I got it. And my addition is quite beautiful, and it has more space than what the shed
dormers would have provided.
So I wrote a letter explaining the situation; my house is right next to this house, and I look on the side that we're viewing now. I look at these two dormers.

These in themselves are really fine. I think they're lovely. But the issue is that when you look at this house from the front, what you see is a shed dormer on the other side, from what I gather. Because I have A 21 in front of me, and I got copies of these drawings.

And in copy -- in Drawing A 21, you have a shed dormer on the opposite side of the house, you see here? On the left and on the right.

And that's the issue here, is that you have this shed dormer which doesn't match the original roofline that you can see, you know, popping in front of it in both of these drawings.

So I asked the Zoning Board if they might consider allowing the petitioner to consider a raised roof with a, you know, a different not a shed dormer but a parallel line so that -- you know, set back of course.

But, you know, and I have models that I brought to the Zoning Board which I have actually sent pictures of, I
believe. And if not, I could do that. And I would be happy to share them with the architect.

So I believe that that approach would be lovely for the neighborhood, and it would give the petitioners more space. And, you know, it would match my house, which was built by the same person in the same year. So it's a twin. It's an architectural twin.

And, you know, I appreciate that we need more space. You know, of course Lauren and Adam are having babies and have kids and, you know, need the space. I needed the space as well, and I have no objection at all to that. But those are my thoughts.

And you have my letter, and if you would like a picture of my two dormer versions, the one with the shed, the one with the raised roof, I'm happy to share those.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the time to comment and to send the letter to the files -- to our files. But we have before us a proposed dormer configuration which is not what you're suggesting.

And I guess the petitioner would prefer to go with what they have now than what you're suggesting. And I think that's up to us to decide yes or no with regard to that.

As I said before, I'm thinking maybe out of turn, I'm for one as satisfied with the dormer recommendations that have been made with the two identical dormers on one side. That's my vote. That's my view. I don't know if other members of the Board feel differently.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Are we still --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, before we go to public comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I would be leaning toward the proposal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Other members of the Board, you want to express your view now, or after public testimony?

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey. I didn't hear what Mr. Sullivan said?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: He's in favor of the proposal the petitioner has put before us. The two --

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- equal dormers.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That right, Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. I will open
the matter. Not having heard anything further, I will open the matter up to public testimony.

As indicated, we've only had one letter in our files. And that's from Ms. Randall, who was our first to have spoken just a few moments ago.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. With that, I'll give it a few moments to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. There's no -- no one wishes to speak. So I will now close public testimony. And discussion? Members -- you have some discussion already? Anybody wish to weigh in? Let's talk to the other -- Andrea, do you have anything you wish to say at this point?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I have nothing further, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Matina?
WENDY LEISERSON: I think -- well, this is Wendy Leiserson. I don't know if Matina's ready to talk. But I -- are we only talking about the dormer issue right now?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. Talking about the entire relief, but we totally discussed the dormer. Does any -- do you want to discuss other aspects of the relief they're seeking, feel free. If you look at their public announcement, they identified a number of things.

WENDY LEISERSON: It doesn't tell me which -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The location and the addition of openings on a nonconforming façade, facing the right yard or right side-yard, three-foot high areaway, guardrail and the rear yard setback. All those are open for discussion.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey. If I could ask the petitioner's representative, the architect, to just briefly go through the other forms of relief that he's --

ANDREA HICKEY: -- requesting in his --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a good suggestion. ANDREA HICKEY: -- in his presentation?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. I would love to. The other three points are relief -- are relocation and enlargement, changing the windows on the right-hand elevation.

If we can go to sheet A 23, I believe.
You can see in red dashed lines the location of the existing windows, in comparison with the location of the new windows. The existing house was, you know, a typical mishmash of windows with very small openings and very small rooms, very dimly little areas.

We sort of spread out the window pattern, making it slightly larger. In the end, we have one less window total on this façade, though they're slightly larger -- the impact on the neighbors will be negligible.

And then the neighbor -- would be most impacted has I believe sent a letter of support for the project.

The third segment is extensions on this same façade. You can see the exit stair coming from the second floor down to grade. That's a further encroachment on the
setback, which is already nonconforming.
And then on the first floor about the middle of the plan you can see a deck adjacent to the kitchen door. The deck itself is allowed to project, but because it's a nonconforming façade, it would require relief. Those are the two items in the third category of relief.

The fourth, which is the guardrail around the open courtyard, the basement level courtyard, we've actually come to an as-of-right arrangement for that guardrail. So we no longer need relief for that section. Is there any other -do those clarify those segments of relief, or do we need more description?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, any further questions?

ANDREA HICKEY: No, thank you. That was an excellent recap. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think it's good we got it into the public record, so --

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I appreciate the question you raised. Anyone else wishes to speak on this matter before we move to a vote?

WENDY LEISERSON: I just have a question. Which parts of this relief, if any, affect the opposition regarding the Baldwin tree? Do any of these proposals affect that one?

ADAM MUNNELLY: The Baldwin tree's on the other side of the property.

WENDY LEISERSON: So it's not going to be affected by your development?

ADAM MUNNELLY: Not at all. Not at all. No, nothing is.

WENDY LEISERSON: So it will stay there?
ADAM MUNNELLY: Saving the tree.
WENDY LEISERSON: Thanks. They make the best apple pies.

ADAM MUNNELLY: We've heard.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm going to make a motion at this point. Mr. Sullivan will give me back the plans. The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit -- and this is in regard to the relief, the modifications to the structure
that the petitioner is proposing:
That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

This is a modification to the structure. There's really little street impact beyond that setting. It certainly doesn't impact access or egress, or make a substantial change. "Substantial" is the key word in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. Again, this is a modification of the structure before us, and not impact beyond that, except for aesthetics.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

What is going on here is to basically create additional living space for the occupants of the structure mainly by a number of changes -- namely, though, with regard to the addition of dormers, which create additional living space.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by D.H. Architects dated August 30, 2021.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] Just for a point of clarification, Sheet A 22 of the dormers that we are approving, if you could pull that up? That one there, is that correct?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the one that they had indicated during the presentation. That's their preference.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So that's the sheet they got initialed. Okay. Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Matina?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Sorry. Trying to unmute. I
agree.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson.] I agree.
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I vote in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes in favor as well. It's unanimous.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.
Good luck.
LAUREN MARETT: Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you all.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Need some time?
Okay. Just let me know when you're ready. We'll be with you in a moment. We're just getting our mechanics in order here with regard to Zoom.
(7:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. The Chair will now call Case Number 122191 -- 1043-1059 Cambridge Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair and the Board. Attorney Jim Heffernan of Rich May here on behalf of the applicant, 1043 Cambridge Street, LLC here to speak. I'm here with others, if I may proceed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Thank you. Again, I'm -- again, Attorney Jim Heffernan. I'm here and I'm just checking my Brady Bunch windows to see who has joined me.

I have Jas Bhogal and Tom Calus, the owners of the project. Michael LeBlanc and Brendan Willis -- I believe Brendan Willis is here; $I$ know Michael LeBlanc is here of Utile Design.

And we have Sean Griffen here as well. He's the Project Manager of the owner. He's also done significant
neighborhood outreach and is able to answer any questions regarding that project. I do see Brendan popping up as well. So we are all here.

We were here before you in July.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You were.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: You asked us to go before the Planning Board first, which we were there Tuesday night, and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We continued the case the last time simply because we wanted to hear from the Planning Board first before we made our decision.

And we do have -- we have heard -- you went to the Planning Board, as you pointed out. We have heard -- there was a memo from the Planning Board, which I'll read into the record in due course.

And the relief you're seeking -- basically a side yard setback. Am I correct?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Not just side yard setbacks; that was previously approved by this Board. We're asking for an extension on that.

The first request is -- and with the Planning Board, what you wanted the Planning Board to opine on was
the addition of the elevator shaft to the roof deck.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right. That's correct. I should have mentioned that as well. That's correct.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Would you like me to introduce the applicant or the project and give the project summary, or go right into the request?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, it's up to you what we -- you should present to us what you think we need to hear or know before reaching a decision. So.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: You got it. So I would like to introduce the applicant, because they are new owners. You have not met them before tonight. They are new owners of the project as of this summer.

They are experienced real estate developers in the Boston area. They -- including their award-winning Tim Farnsworth in the Fort Point area. They're excited to be in the Cambridge community, excited for this project.

And this project, again, was approved prior by this Board and the Planning Board. It was a new -- it's to be a new, four-story building: 18 dwelling units on top of commercial retail in the first floor, 13 off-street parking spaces, 18 long-term bicycle spaces, eight short-term
bicycle spaces and landscaping throughout.
That was all approved. We're not changing any of that. There were roof decks. In fact, we've improved this site over time because of the new ordinance on green roofing, that we've added more green roofing to the project.

But what we're really here for before you tonight is adding that elevator. And on that elevator, the Planning Board did review it. They had overwhelming support by the Planning Board Tuesday night on that elevator, and I would like to go into that first.

The elevator was an effort to accommodate accessibility to all residents. The approved plans were taken to Cambridge ISD. They noticed that under the requirements of the American Disabilities Act and Regulations promulgated by the Massachusetts Architectural Access Board that it was determined the elevator needed to go up one more floor up to the roof.

So there was already an elevator; we're going up to one more roof; up to the roof, one more floor.

This variance is necessary to meet those requirements. This hardship by enforcing the ordinance would not only harm us, but also members of the community,
and we're here to improve upon and add access to all users of the space. There's no substantial detriment to the public good for the addition of the elevator.

As you will see in the PowerPoint presentation that I believe Brendan will also go through, the perspective of this street is minimal. In fact, the words from the Planning Board Tuesday night, "It's de minimis" and, "a nobrainer."

This substantial improvement to the public good provides access to all. And this is really highlighted also in a letter of support that you should have from the City of Cambridge Commission for Persons with Disabilities.

In their letter of support they stated, "Granting this relief will enable occupants with and without disabilities to access all features and amenities of the building equitably." That's the key word here, and what we're seeking -- "equitably."
"The elevator access --" they go on, "-- to roof deck is essential to include such use of the facility.

So here we're really not asking for anything that substantially harms the neighborhood; in fact, we think this is an improvement. And we can go through the planning in
showing you the perspectives in the presentation, if you desire to go to that now.

I can also go into the other requests, and I'll take your lead on that. But if you would like to stay with the elevator, I could turn this over to Mr. Willis to show you these plans.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you would like, I would just ask that the continued presentation be brief, if possible. We do have the Planning Board letter of support. We also have in our files a number of letters of support. They're not unanimous, but letters of support, including a letter from the City of Cambridge Commission of Persons with Disabilities who are in favor of the tower for the elevator, because it gives the access to Persons with Disabilities to the roof deck.

And obviously, it makes the use of the structure more desirable from the first -- for a person with disabilities.

But anyway, if you want to make/further the presentation, feel free. The floor is yours.

BRENDAN WILLIS: Hello. [Brendan.]
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yep, Brendan. Yep. I'll turn I
to Brendan. Please.

BRENDAN WILLIS: I'm going to jump in here.
Brendan Willis with Utile Design. On the screen right now is a rendering of the project, as proposed from Cambridge Street and Webster Avenue.

And again, the building is ground-floor retail and parking with 18 units on Floors 2 through 4. Floors 3 and 4 include 9 duplex units that are fully visitable on the first floor and have internal circulation through the unit up to the roof decks.

If you go to the roof plan on Slide 5, I believe? Sorry, Slide 6.

This was the roof plan as previously approved with our Planning Board special permit. It had no elevator access to the roof. The access to the roof decks was entirely internal to the units, as shown here.

Our proposal, if you go to the next slide?
And (sic) the variance we are requesting is to extend the elevator overrun above the building height of 45 feet by approximately 13 feet 9 inches. And this is solely to provide accessible access to these roof decks. We are also providing common access to the private roof decks.

We've reconfigured some of our GFA from the previously approved roof decks to provide that common access.

And then you'll also note that the areas in green show proposed green roof, which complies with the new Green Roof Ordinance.

If you go to the next slide?
We generated a few perspectives showing the elevator overrun looking east on Cambridge Street. It's not visible. It is visible looking southwest from approximately Webster and Columbia, as noted in the lower left corner.

And then If you go to the next slide?
The elevator overrun is also visible looking further west on Cambridge Street, but as you get closer to the project, it's screened entirely by the building mass. And then there is just an axon aerial view showing the elevator overrun and where it sits within the project. It's rather internal to the building mass.

And then the final slide?

Is just a rendering at night.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Thanks, Brendan. If I may also address the other requests, which are shown in the
presentation? We can address those as well.
As the Chair mentioned, there's the setbacks that were approved. The original variance approval allowed for the construction of the residential portion of the development within the side yard setbacks.

There is some question whether the pandemic has extended that approval, but we're asking for clarity to extend this further, just as we asked the Planning Board Tuesday night a similar request on their special permit. We're asking for an extension on that as well.

We're happy to go through those plans again. But I'll just state the hardship as it was before still is now; it's related to the two-lock combination of these parcels, the unique and irregularly shaped parcel that is created out of this, it creates five side yards abutting three streets.

So it's quite a unique corner lot. It's not substantially detrimental. There's no detriment to the public good by our use of this setback area, and we do not believe this nullifies or substantially derogates the intent or purpose of the zoning ordinance.

So we wanted to get all that in the public record, and anything you need us to restate, I'm happy with Brendan
here to go over those setbacks and any kind of site plan. Brendan, do you want to show on those slides?

BRENDAN WILLIS: Yes. If you go to Slide 12, 12
and 13 are just showing our calculations for the side yard setbacks due to the irregular shape of the lot.

Our setback formula is calculated to the center line of each building face, and these are our previously submitted diagrams with our previous variance, and none of the dimensions have changed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan. I do not have anything more unless you like to hear from ownership or have any other questions for us.

Any questions from members of the Board?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, no, I don't. If you can refresh my memory, is this rental or condos?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did you hear my question?
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Private.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN WILLIS: These are condos.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Condos, okay.
BRENDAN WILLIS: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No further questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any other members of the Board have questions at this point? Hearing none, as indicated the petitioner at our request went to the Planning Board after we continued the case the last time around.

And I'm going to read the memo we got from the Planning Board in response, or as its consequence of the meeting that they held with the petitioner.

The Planning Board reviewed this BZA application during the meeting on August 31, 2021, and decided to forward a positive recommendation to the BZA of granting the variances for side yard setbacks and building height.

The Planning Board also voted to grant the requested amendments to a previously granted Project Review special permit, the special permit to reduce the required parking.

The Board continues to support the proposed side yard setbacks, and commented that the additional height for the elevator roof access is de minimis, given its small footprint, and given that it is necessary to provide access for usable rooftop spaces, which the Board supports.

And there are a number of other letters we have in our files from -- almost all in support. And a number are
from the various bodies, or East Cambridge Planning Team -Business Association, the Disability Commission of the City of Cambridge, all in support of the relief being sought.

Other members of the Board have any questions at this point, before $I$ open the matter up to public testimony? [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Hearing none, I will open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: I'm not seeing anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Apparently, no one wishes to call in. So I will close public testimony. Discussion? Or are we ready for a vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, ready. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Other members of the Board ready for a vote? ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. The Chair moves that we -- let me just get my notes out for a second. That we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that the building will be very difficult to construct, given the various side yard setbacks that are given -- resulting from this irregular shaped lot, and it would make use of the structure by persons with disabilities -- the roof deck I should say -- by persons with disabilities without the elevator and the necessary elevator and the necessary tower above the elevator.

And that the condition -- the hardship is owing to the circumstances relating to the soil shape of the lot, with many, many setbacks as the lot lines zigzag across the boundaries.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, with the relief we would be granting if we grant it, the project could go forward, and
it would be a welcome addition to this portion of Cambridge Street and East Cambridge.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variances being sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Utile, U-t-i-l-e, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes in favor of granting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as
[All vote YES]
Variance granted.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Thank you very much. BRENDAN WILLIS: Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're welcome. JAMES HEFFERNAN: Thank you. Thank you very much.
(7:28 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll now go to our regular agenda, once we get our papers organized on this side. Here it is. I got it.

The Chair will now call Case Number 129154 -- 155 Charles Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Good evening. Can you hear me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I can.

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Perfect. Hello, members and Chairman of the Board. My name is Bryan McLaughlin. I am the property owner and petitioner of the special permit for 155 Charles.

I lived in East Cambridge about 12 years now. Since then, had three children; now 11, 9 and 3 years old, all Cambridge public school kids.

We recently purchased 155 Charles with a view to move our family into the back house property, which is the
subject of tonight's discussion. It's a single-family dwelling unit on the back of the $\mathrm{C}-1$ Zone property.

In brief, we started out with Eric Hill in the East Cambridge Historical Group. You know, we noticed that the house is an anterior lot.

And with Eric, you know, we were kind of discussing whether to add a third floor or a mansard, and with some iterations with Historical, we'll look at the plans tonight where they very much preferred the plans that you have in front of you.

Eric noted, and I do as well: This house is almost no visibility from the street. We'll look at that. But I think when we reach that point -- this went before East Cambridge Historical -- there was strong enthusiasm for the plans.

I think they politely said that the property had lost its architectural integrity over the decades, and that our plans would significantly -- you know, were a great improvement. So there was a unanimous vote for the plans there.

The Historical Appropriateness Certificate was granted, and then $I$ believe a few days ago Eric had sent a
letter over to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Part of the relief you're
seeking involves a construction of a dormer?
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, so I was --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How long would that dormer
be? How big would it be?
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah. So we'll have it up there in just a second. Hold on now. My notes are -- so we're adding to that third floor on the back house, and changing the slope. And there's a small change in FAR of 0.06 with that change of living space upstairs. So we're going from 1.16 to 1.22 .

And so the -- you know, again, that third floor, the goal was to create regular shaped children's bedrooms with some limitation of the property with egress --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Am I not correct that the length of that dormer will be 20,21 feet -- 20 or 21 feet long?

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. So it's -- it's the length of the roof there. Yes. So the roof edge to edge is 33, and the dormer, I believe is 21 or 22.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And, you know, I trust you
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are aware of our dormer guidelines and does that limit the length of the dormer to 15 feet?

Yes, so aware of those -- you know, trying to balance the guidelines and, you know, I think when we started working with Eric, I think a lot of the discussions centered around whether to add -- to try to propose a third floor or a Mansard.

This was the dormer that created a regular-shaped kind of living -- livable bedroom spaces was East Cambridge's preferred path, I think particularly because -I'll show it in a second -- this house is almost 100 feet back from the road, and has virtually no visibility. We'll go to that photo in a second.

So we couldn't find really any detriment to the character of the neighborhood or, frankly we can't see it -any detriment at all to the neighbor or anything like that. And that allows for basically two quite small bedrooms, but, you know, enough for a couple of kids upstairs with the proposals as we've shown it.

So on A003, if we could go there, it's a picture. Let's go up one more instead. A little higher. Higher, higher, higher. I think it's one of the first few. Sorry.

It should be one of the -- yeah, right -- that first one there. Yeah. That page. Yep. Page 3.

Yeah. So you can see down the driveway in the top right here, that this is the only visibility of the house from the public way.

You can only see a tenth of -- at least on that, and the constraints of needing to get two bedrooms large enough for the children on the upstairs floor. That is where we ended, and that's what got the overwhelming support from Historical.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me ask my fellow Board members. Are any of you concerned about the length of this dormer, given the -- it is much more than -- we've heard the reasons why the petitioner wants the dormer, needs the dormer?

Historical -- Cambridge Historical supports the project, but they don't focus on the dormer guidelines. They're more being true to preserving the historical nature of the structure.

So, and we don't usually grant dormer relief for dormers of this length. But we've heard from the petitioner. I'd like to hear from other Board members if
they have any views about the length of the dormer.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan,] could I have Olivia or Sisia pull up the floor plan of the third-floor proposed floor plan? Mr. McLaughlin, you occupy the entire house, is that correct?

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the -- well, right up on -what is the width of the house, side to side?

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Roof edge to roof edge I
believe is 33, but the actual structure --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I'm sorry. Facing the
house, top right.
STEPHEN HISERODT: It's 28'4".
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
STEPHEN HISERODT: 28 foot 4 inches.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 28 foot 4. Okay. If we could drop down to the second-floor floor plan? So basically, what you're proposing is going to be four bedrooms? Four bedrooms, a couple of baths, and then you'll have a -- a powder room in the first floor, is that correct?

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yep. Not planning to have any more children at this point, but right now my little boy
sleeps in the hall; he has no bedroom.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the basement area is --
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, so that will have -- my
wife, she works from home and has for many years, and then there's a play area for the children.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's mechanical and also
office space?
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it is a tight house. And
--

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: It is a tight house.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As is typical of that area.
It's --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's usually why people seek -- want to build beyond the dormer guidelines in terms of when they want the additional space, in the past. And I'm not suggesting one way or another.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Can I add comments on the dormers and the Historical Commission review? [This is Steve Hiserodt, the architect.]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
STEPHEN HISERODT: We did discuss at length the
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options for that roof area, and the dormer guidelines were brought in to the discussion.

And because there was such a lack of visibility from the street, the Commission was not concerned or -- the representative that we were working with was not concerned that this would be an issue.

So, I mean it wasn't a primary point of their review, but it was a consideration, the dormer guidelines themselves.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, could we scroll, Olivia or Sisia pull 10 of the -- which is the third floor again. Okay. So they've got an adequate staircase in there to get a bath in there, and two adequate bedrooms going forward.

It's a challenge. I -- to say yes or no, I would have to come down on a possible yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea, or Matina, or Wendy, any -- you have any comments about the dormer, and the impact of the dormer guideline?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, Mr. Chair. It's Andrea Hickey here. I'd like to ask the architect did he consider sort of proposing a dormer that does comply? I share the concerns that the Chair does in that what's proposed does
not meet the guidelines.
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Well, I would like to mention
that they are guidelines, and --
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm aware of that.
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Site context is also an
important aspect of those guidelines; it's the first paragraph in the guidelines.

The problem that we came up against was that it was initially a very low-sloped roof. We've tried to raise it up as little as possible in order to get space in there.

We tried two dormers on this front façade, which just didn't give enough space for the bedrooms. They became sort of odd-shaped with primarily sloped ceilings in the bedrooms.

You know, in order to establish the code requested, we have had 50 percent of the bedroom has to be at least seven feet tall. In order to get there, we needed this extra length for the dormers.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. If I could ask Sisia to show the elevation where the dormer is shown again? Thank you.

STEPHEN HISERODT: We did try to keep it off the
edge of the roof --
ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- as much as possible.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm. I have nothing further at
this time. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Wendy
or Matina, do you want to add some observations or not? Up
to you.

WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson.] I did have a
question. If you go back to the [Sheet A003, is it?] Or --
no, sorry, A002.
If you look at the lower right view and enlarge
that if you can, it does seem to me that the neighboring
houses from that picture, like, it looks like the one --
it's like a twin house there, has the two dormers, right?
And then the others in front, the two are more
proximate to the street also seem to have two dormers? Is
that correct? Yes, those two where the cursor is. So it is
--
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: The one on the left -- well,
actually, I interrupted. I'm sorry.
WENDY LEISERSON: No. Please go ahead.
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BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: I was going to say I think the top left one, that is correct. The one sort of bottom left is actually just a three-floor, sorry three-floor box.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: And then those two closest to the streets, they do have very short roof heights, and noncomplying ceilings $I$ believe at this point. But they do have dormers.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. So I was just looking compared to the other pics. I mean, I'm actually very sympathetic to the struggle you have here.

But I'm just trying to look at the big picture of the context here. Because I don't -- there's nothing like what you're proposing nearby in this picture. And then --

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, there's a lot -- there's more square boxes nearby. If you look all down the street: everything square mansards -- and that's partly where the discussion with Eric Hill started was actually, you know, should we do a full third-floor with a mansard that sort of, you know, provides some of that -- you know, if you look around, everything that you can see.

And, you know, I think a more modern approach was
what Eric preferred, although he said that that, you know, with the dormer guidelines, et cetera. That the addition of the third floor, you know, would still be in line with that. So we kind of ended up with a more modern face, you know, then some of those alternatives in these plans. WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. And then I just had a clarifying question. So $I$ see on some of the diagrams it says, "Proposed third-floor alternate." Is that a different view, such as on A102, or is that the same thing? Do you have two different?

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: We had presented a double dormer and a single dormer to Historic in -- you know, initial discussions. And the double dormer -- or the single dormer -- was approved.

WENDY LEISERSON: Um--
BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: That's text left over from the roof reduction of multiple sets of drawings and iterations that --

WENDY LEISERSON: So if my colleagues on the Council have more opinions about the dormers, but that's fine, I'd welcome to hear that. So you're saying that there is in fact an alternative proposal that involves two dormers

```
included in the filing that you have here?
    BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: No, not included in the filing.
        WENDY LEISERSON: Oh. No?
        BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: But discussed earlier with --
        WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
        BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: -- the Historic Commission.
        WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Because I just see the
word, "alternate" on some of them.
        BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah.
        WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
        BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: That's minor.
        STEPHEN HISERODT: And there was mansard drawings
and --
        BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.
        STEPHEN HISERODT: Box drawings.
        WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you for clarifying. Thank
you.
    STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you, Wendy.
    CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina, do you have any
questions or comments you want to make?
    MATINA WILLIAMS: I believe I'm not on this case.
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I was --
```

MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm on at MIT.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I was going to ask Sisia to just
clarify --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, that's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- who is on. Because I believe just to clarify --

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah. It's Jim --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SISIA DAGLIAN: -- Wendy, Andrea, Gus and Brendan.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay. It's Jim
Monteverde. If you go back to the roof plan, please? Is there a dormer front and back?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, there is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's not just one dormer that's 22-some-odd feet, it's two dormers that are that length?

STEPHEN HISERODT: That is correct. The one on the rear side --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- has almost no view. It's almost directly on the property line.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.

STEPHEN HISERODT: It has no view from really anywhere, given the proximity and the closeness of all the houses.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I'm assuming that Section or otherwise, there was no way to reduce that overall length to get closer to the dormer guideline from the rear?

STEPHEN HISERODT: It's -- in order to get the stair up --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- which is at, you know, we're very far out to the edge, and then you have to run at least the length of the stair, we've added also some space for the bathroom, which is -- there's really no other space in that third floor to get two bedrooms, a bathroom and a stairway up to it. I mean, it's really cramped 20 feet by 28 feet. It's not --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- not a lot of room to play with.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And then again, and then if $I$ understood your statement about the bedrooms, in order to get the legal headroom, the code-required headroom over the
percentage of the room that you need to be compliant as a bedroom, you really need that length of dormer for each bedroom. Did I hear that correctly?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. If there's no further questions from members of the Board, I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Okay. I don't think there are any letters in the file.

I'm just going to just check besides the letter from Cambridge Historical in support of the structure of the proposal of this Board, but that's it.

Anyway, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
Apparently not.
So we'll close public testimony. Am I right,

Sisia?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Somebody raised their hand and then lowered it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Discussion? We've had a bit of discussion already regarding the dormer and the dormer guidelines. This is a case where the relief being sought is pursuant to Section 8.22.2.D. This is a change in our -- a recent change in our zoning law.

And that requires us -- if we were to grant relief, we have to find that what is being proposed, the alteration or enlargement -- shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood.

And then we also have to make the usual findings with regard to all special permits pursuant to Section 10.40.3. I think we can make the finding about -- well, I would propose -- well, I'll make the motion.

I move that we find that what is being proposed, the change to the structure, shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood.

And further, continuing on the requirements of our
ordinance -- let me get the section out -- that the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit that's being sought:

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress as proposed by the relief being sought will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As the petitioner has pointed out, the structure is -- given its location is not visible, very visible from the street, and is mostly an interior change to the neighborhood.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. In this case, it's just a matter of expanding the size of a dwelling unit in the neighborhood.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this
ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the
Chair moves that we grant the special permit sought by the petitioner on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by D.H. Architects dated August 30, 2021.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry? Okay. I got it.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. Sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I got it. And the

Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So special permit granted. STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you all.

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah. I very much appreciate everybody's time and consideration, Chairman and members of the Board. Very much appreciate it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're welcome.

BRYAN MCLAUGHLIN: We'll have a couple happy
children. So thank you. They're already talking about what color they want to paint their bedrooms, and I'm like, "Not too fast." So thank you.
(7:52 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 133276 -- 20 Larchwood Drive. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening, sir.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Members of the Board, for the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue. I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the applicants, Emily Ma and John Mistovich. Emily and John are present in their screen. Also, the Project Architect is present as well, if there are any questions.

This case is similar to the prior case. It's a special permit case seeking a special permit under the provisions of 8.22.2.D, that would allow additions to a single-family house, that would otherwise have required relief because it represents more than 25 percent of an
increase to the house since it first became nonconforming. This is a single-family house on Larchwood Road, located on a significantly large lot, approximately 15,000 square feet.

The house -- existing house is nonconforming in terms of setback. Both the portion of the front setback and the right-side setback do not comply with the setback requirements in the Residence A-1 District.

The proposed additions are occurring in a couple of locations, and they're best depicted -- and I would suggest understood -- by an examination of the plot plan, perhaps if Ms. Daglian might be able to put the plot plan up. Thank you very much.

The architect, you'll see, has conveniently inserted the proposed additions onto the site plan, so they consist primarily of additions on the first floor that will allow for the creation, removal of a screen porch and the creation of $a$ den.

And also, in the rear an expanded kitchen. In the second floor of the house, the additional GFA will allow for a third bedroom.

Ms. Ma and Mr. Mistovich are the parents of three
children, apparently all under the age of 5. I grew up in Cambridge. This is a wonderful home, and a very nice
[Pause]

SISIA DAGLIAN: Oops, what happened?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello? We lost you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: They lost the audio.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You lost audio, Mr.
Rafferty.

SISIA DAGLIAN: No, I think it --
JAMES RAFFERTY: -- to accommodate their findings.
SISIA DAGLIAN: -- Jim, we lost you for a minute
there. I think it froze up.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh. I'm sorry, can you hear me
now?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. I think your connection
froze out.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I always dread that. I
apologize.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. You can go back to where you were talking about three children.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. They have three children under the age of 5. This is their family home. They've
lived here for years, and they're looking to expand it.
As the Board is well aware, the ordinance for this special permit finding requires the finding that what's proposed is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure, and in addition that the work complies with the criteria for a special permit under Section 10.40.3.

We have set forth a response to those criteria in the application, but suffice it to say that the requirements of the ordinance can be met, because under 8.22.2.D this is a single-family home that is currently nonconforming.

Traffic patterns will not change as a result of these additions. The adjacent uses are all well-sized, single-family homes. So this is in keeping with that.

There will be no hazards created to the detriment of Cambridge citizens, and there will not be a change in the intensity of the use here.

The lot far exceeds the open space requirements, and this addition still leaves the house well below the allowed FAR for this residence A-1 Zoning District.

As I noted, the architect, Adina Fuller, is on the call. She's happy to answer any questions about the design
or any other aspect of the work. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty.
Questions from members of the Board, either of Mr. Rafferty or the architect? Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] I have one question. With the survey that's on the screen, there appear to be two existing buildings. Were they as one building connected? What's the building in the lower portion of the screen?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. The answer is yes, they are connected. It was -- well, the history of it, the prior owner had that as a guest house. But they were connected -I'm looking at my records -- they appear to have been connected back in 1994.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And it still continues to function as either a guest house or as part of the main house?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. Ms. Ma might -- or Mr. Mistovich, but my understanding is yes, it's part of the main house.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
JAMES RAFFERTY: I believe it's currently used as
a children's playroom is the information Ms. MA has told me.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What's the total floor area of the two houses -- the one house -- with the connection that we see on the plan? How many feet of -square feet of occupancy is there?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, the current house is at 5600 square feet. This addition is approximately 1300 square feet that brings the total size to 6500 square feet, approximately.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So it's a 65-square -roughly 6500 square foot house, am I correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: It would be -- it will be with these additions, correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. No, that's right. I'm assuming with the addition. Right. Okay. Jim, any further questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, Mr. Chair.

Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson,] I do have a
question, but it's a technical question interpreting Section 8.22.2.D. I know that we have to find that the proposal is not substantially more detriment to the neighborhood.

But in the sentence preceding that, it says -[well, my read, and I invite feedback on this] is that you cannot increase or create a new dimensional nonconformity. And based on the numbers that I see, the left setback is conforming as is, but will be nonconforming as proposed. Is that correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I believe that might be correct. But I -- the way that section is interpreted is the property now has nonconforming setbacks.

So we are taking a nonconforming setback, and in this case, we have nonconforming setbacks both front and side. So this represent a further nonconforming side setback.

The way that has been interpreted and explained to me is if there was an attempt here to put let's say a third floor on this house that would require -- which would constituent a violation of the 35-foot height limitation -since the current house does not have a nonconforming height, it would not be possible to create additional height
onto the house.
The house currently has setback issues in terms of
front, side and this -- those setback nonconformities are present and allow for this provision. I reviewed this with Mr. Singanayagam prior to the filing.

WENDY LEISERSON: So your position is that -- and based on feedback you got from Inspectional Services -Department that a setback is a setback no matter which side, as long as there's a nonconforming setback?

JAMES RAFFERTY: That's correct. WENDY LEISERSON: As the revision applies? JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, that's correct. WENDY LEISERSON: I'd be curious to hear whether my fellow Board members have feedback on that. Thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm -- this is the Chair, I'm speaking for myself, obviously. I think I'm -- and I accept Mr. Rafferty's conclusion and new notice.

I think he's pointed out that Mr. Singanayagam is also -- has reached the same conclusion. So therefore I think Section 8.22.2.D does apply to this case before us. JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. Candidly, I reviewed this application while the proposed recent amendment was under
consideration because of that very issue.
And it was a strategic determination to wait for the adoption of the special permit, based on my conversation with Mr. Singanayagam, so that this matter could proceed in this fashion. And it was specifically based on that very question.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. Wendy, does that -- do you want to ask any further questions? Have any further comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: No, that's my main question. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Who did I miss? Well, if there's anybody I haven't on the Board asked their opinion, now you speak or forever hold your peace.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess they'll hold their peace. I'll open it with -- we have no letters in the file, I don't believe -- let me just check -- one way or another. No, I don't think we do.

So I will open the matter up now to public
testimony. Let me give the instructions. Here we go. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the
icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll wait a moment to see if anyone wishes to take advantage of that.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one does. I will close public testimony. I can make a motion, or do we need further discussion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Let's -- let me get my notes out. One second, please.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought:

That the alteration or the modifications to the structure as proposed by the petitioner shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood.

That the requirements of this ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the -- with regard to the modifications desired by the petitioner, unless we grant the special
permit.
The traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what the petitioner is proposing will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

This is a neighborhood that has got substantial open space and the modifications of the structure before us tonight does not have an -- to my mind have an effect on the neighborhood on the established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. Testimony to that is the fact that we've received no comments from neighbors.

And that the relief being sought is what I would describe inward in nature. It modifies the structure on the lot itself without any corresponding impact to neighboring structures.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit sought by the petitioner on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by -- hard to read here -Bechtel (sic) -- I think I've got it right, the print's very small -- B-e-c-h-t-e-l Frank Erickson Architects, dated May 5, 2021.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to granting the special permit, on that understanding as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted. JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
EMILY MA: Thank you very much.
(8:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 130355 -- 45 Regent Street. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter?

DANIEL SMITH: Hello. This is Daniel Smith. Hello?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
DANIEL SMITH: Hi. You can hear me?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. I can hear you.
DANIEL SMITH: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can hear you. Can you hear us?

DANIEL SMITH: I can hear you. Would you like me to begin?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Well, the floor is yours.

DANIEL SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much. Can you go to the slides, please? Thank you. That's perfect.

I'm Daniel Smith.

I'm the owner of 43 and 45 Regent Street. We live up on the second-floor unit. On this slide, you can see Regent Street on the left. The back yard is on the right. The existing second-floor deck is shaded in light grey, and the proposed deck addition is shaded in dark grey.

Can you please go to the next slide?
So you can see here the 8 x 3 -foot addition that we're proposing. This will give us room for a table and chairs up at our living level.

Can you go to Slide 10, please?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, sir, can you just -- what's the approximate dimensions, I mean area of the deck, if you should get the relief?

DANIEL SMITH: The --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How big will the deck be?
DANIEL SMITH: Yes. The deck when it's completed will be 72 square feet total.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How much? I'm sorry, I missed that. Again?

DANIEL SMITH: It will be 72 total square feet once completed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
DANIEL SMITH: We're adding 24 feet.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And this -- and what you
would have on this deck, should we grant relief, is
basically a table and chairs?
DANIEL SMITH: That is correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's not an area that would be susceptible to a large party gathering, putting aside COVID?

DANIEL SMITH: No. No. I'm jumping ahead of myself, but I'll go ahead since you're asking.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry. I've pulled you ahead.

DANIEL SMITH: Okay. So I was going to save this for later, but just as background, we built this deck before our daughter was born to provide a second means of egress. This was phase 1 of our plan.

We always planned to enlarge the deck, and in fact the BZA had given us approval and we had a building permit, which I'll show you later. But as it turned out, there were complications with the original design.

We discovered that refrigerators and other large
items do not fit up our interior stairs, and we need to lift those items onto the deck to get them into our second-floor unit.

The original design for the deck addition prevented that, so we never went ahead with that. And the new deck plan is the same square footage as the original, as it had been approved.

But it's a simplified design, and it will accommodate delivery of large appliances and provide some as outdate space for -- at our living level, and we're not planning any wild parties.

If you'd like me to proceed, I can show you a few more drawings.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead. We'll have a time to ask questions of you.

DANIEL SMITH: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So go ahead and make your presentation first.

DANIEL SMITH: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And then we'll ask
questions.
DANIEL SMITH: Thank you. Can you go to Slide 10,
please? Whoops. That is the old drawing. These are not in order that I submitted.

Let's see. Can you go -- okay, what's now 6, Slide 6.

Okay. So this is the rear elevation. And you can see the existing spiral staircase. And from there over to the right is the existing deck. And to the left of the spiral staircase -- there again on the second floor, the guardrail there is the new deck.

I'd also like the point out that at the bottom of the elevation there is an existing bulkhead that goes to the basement, and there's an existing roof area that adjoins the bulkhead. And I'm proposing elevating that existing roof to the position up above to create a small storage area.

If you go back one slide, please? Thank you.
This is the side elevation. And from this elevation the deck will appear the same as it does now, because we're simply moving that area forward, and you can see the storage area in this photo.

If you can scroll down, please, I don't know what the numbers are because, again, they don't correspond.

There's an exterior view of the front of the
house, 12.
And you can move on to the view of the rear of the
house, please. It's the next photo.
So this is the rear from behind. And you can see the existing deck, the existing stairs, the bulkhead and the roof that we'd like to elevate to create storage. And I've already explained the part about the history behind it.

And that's all I have to say, except my daughter's now much older, and that original permit expired a long time ago. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Questions from members of the Board? Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair has no questions as well, and there were no letters in the file. So I think I'll now open the matter up to public testimony. I'll look at my notes for one second.

Okay. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in. [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope?
SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one wishes to call in. So I will close public testimony. We can have discussion, or I'll just should I make a motion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think we should be ready
for a vote. Okay. The Chair moves -- let me get my notes out for a second -- the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That what is being proposed, the modifications shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure, i.e., the structure of the deck to the neighborhood.

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit that's being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As indicated by the presentation, we're talking about the extension of -- a small extension to a deck to the rear of the house that's serviced by a spiral staircase, and except for the neighbor who abuts that staircase, there's no impact to the neighborhood whatsoever that at least I can see.

That continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of what is proposed.

Again, if there's to be any effect, it would be on the neighbor, the abutter on the side of where the deck will be, and we have received no comment and no letter of support or opposition. I should put that the other way around; no letter of opposition or support from that neighborhood.

And no nuisance or hazard created to the detriment
of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And what is generally being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

What is being proposed is not an unusual feature of houses in Cambridge; mainly a small deck off a kitchen area for the occupants of the structure to be used at mealtimes or otherwise, but mostly at mealtimes, I would suppose.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with various plans prepared by the petitioner, each page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes in favor as well. That makes it unanimous.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief granted. Thank you.

DANIEL SMITH: Thank you very much for your hard work.
(8:18 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 132561 -- 14 Leonard Avenue. Does anyone hear wish to be heard on this matter? Hello?
[Pause]

CARL OLDENBURG: Hello?
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're on the screen. Can you hear us?

CARL OLDENBURG: Yes. I can't see myself. I was muted, I apologize. I'm Carl Oldenburg. I'm the architect for the project. And with me should be Brian Lavelle, and --

BRIAN LAVELLE: Hi there.

CARL OLDENBURG: And you can hear him? Can't see him just yet. He's the developer of the project.

BRIAN LAVELLE: Here you go.

CARL OLDENBURG: Yeah. Brian?

BRIAN LAVELLE: Yeah. Hi.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello.
CARL OLDENBURG: 14 Leonard Avenue is a two-family
house which is undergoing renovation. And it's -- what do we have here? That doesn't look like it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the wrong one.
That's the last case. Okay. I think we're back to you, sir. We're looking for the plans that you want us to see. BRIAN LAVELLE: Sisia? SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes, just a minute.

CARL OLDENBURG: There we are.
BRIAN LAVELLE: Sorry. There we go.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No.
CARL OLDENBURG: That's part of it. There we go. BRIAN LAVELLE: There.

CARL OLDENBURG: Okay. Yes, so it's a two-family structure that's undergoing renovation. It'll have one unit that will occupy the first floor and the basement, and the second unit, which will occupy the second floor and the third floor.

The buyers of the upper unit, the second floor and the third floor, request the additional feature, which is a
small deck off the back of the house connected to the kitchen.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How big is that deck?
CARL OLDENBURG: Excuse me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How --
CARL OLDENBURG: The deck is 104 square feet. The house is presently nonconforming with respect to gross floor area as well as front yard setback and setback on the right side.

The proposed deck will be in compliance with setbacks on the left side and the rear. But apparently the area -- it's an open deck, but the area underneath it will be considered as part of the gross floor area.

So the overall house gross floor area is being increased by 104 square feet.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the deck is going to be -- is going to have 104 square feet of area?

CARL OLDENBURG: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What I'm getting at is when we get decks above the first floor -- open decks -it's always a concern -- at least on my part -- of the impact of the privacy of the neighbors, and also noise or
disruption, should this deck or a deck become a party deck, and that's putting aside COVID -- also outdoors. So that's what I'm trying to get at. What -- how will people access this deck?

CARL OLDENBURG: So --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are there doorways, or climb through a window? What is it?

CARL OLDENBURG: No. There will be a door from the kitchen to the deck, and no other access to the deck. And I should point out that although it's 104 square feet, as you may be able to see on the plan, it's an irregular shape.

And there's really kind of a square area in the middle, which is 68 square feet, about nine feet by 7.5 feet. And then kind of a connector on the other two corners for, you know, storage of chairs or plants or something like that. But it's not really big enough to use for much.

So we see it as a place for, you know, about two chairs. And, you know, maybe a little planter box or something to grow herbs. It's certainly not a party deck.

The impetus is larger because access to the back yard from the second floor is a little inconvenient. You've
got to go down an existing stairway with winders and so forth and so for a -- you know, a drink outside on a nice day or something like that it would be much more pleasant to just sort of step out the kitchen onto that small deck.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, does the second-floor unit have access to the back yard? Do they have gated space there?

CARL OLDENBURG: It does have access to the back yard via a back stairway which is existing. It goes down one level, turns with some winders, and then proceeds up. The floor plan should show it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do we have a site plan? So how much of that back area does the second floor, or is deeded to the second floor, if any?

CARL OLDENBURG: I believe --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I believe it's condos, is that correct?

CARL OLDENBURG: It will be condos. So they would

```
--
```

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So my question is, does the second floor have access to the gated area in the back yard? BRIAN LAVELLE: If I could perhaps answer part of
that question? So the -- it's a small lot with a large footprint of a building.

But all of the areas outside of the building will be common use, and there is no parking. So there is going to be a shared patio in this area to the rear of the building, because there's not really enough room to have a patio for each unit. It's quite small.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's common area?

BRIAN LAVELLE: It'll all be common area, yes. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

BRIAN LAVELLE: So no exclusive use area outside of the building itself.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
BRIAN LAVELLE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No further questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy, do you have any questions that you want to ask?

WENDY LEISERSON: No, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. I'm just not
a fan of decks above grade, especially where there is exterior common area to use for fresh air and sunlight and
all. But I'll withhold my final decision. Just wanted to make that comment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: For what it's worth, I share that comment. And as I've -- comments I've made in prior -- with respect to this deck, however, is not that big. It's tucked away in the rear, and there's no opposition in the neighbors who would be affected if people use that deck for raucous activities or what have you.

But I think the basic point you make is one I certainly support.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I think the size of the deck is such that you really couldn't get a table out there. So the concept of having a gathering of any sort with lots of people is not possible from what I can --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That was my conclusion. That is my conclusion as well.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Then we're on the same wavelength. That's all $I$ have for now. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde.] I have no questions. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair has no
questions beyond what I've asked already. So we don't have any letters -- oh yes, we do.

I take it back. In our file is a memorandum from a group of neighbors who live on Leonard Avenue. And all are in support. They signed a petition in support of the relief being sought, the last sentence of which is, "We are happy that our neighbors plan to remain in our community, and support the proposed petition of an uncovered deck."

So -- and that's it in terms of written comments that this Board has received.

I'll now open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if there's anyone calling in.
[Pause]
SISIA DAGLIAN: No. I don't see anyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: None? Okay. Oh no, oh no.

CARL OLDENBURG: Mr. Chair, Mr. Chair?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
CARL OLDENBURG: Excuse me. So the buyers of the unit, Kalpa Shah and Erroll Rueckert, they had wanted to come in to the Zoom tonight to read that letter of support. They presently live on the street and have a young daughter and have lived there for many years.

I don't know exactly how long, but $I$ think -- I don't know why we're not seeing them here, I do know that they just texted me that they were having difficulty being part of the Zoom meeting.

SISIA DAGLIAN: Well --
ERROLL RUECKERT: We're here. Can you hear us now?

CARL OLDENBURG: Oh, there you go.
ERROLL RUECKERT: Thank you for unmuting us.
Yeah, so we did get a letter in support from our neighbors, including the two abutters, who are happy to see us looking to stay on the block. And they're happy to support this request for, like I said --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for taking the time.

ERROLL RUECKERT: Thanks.

CARL OLDENBURG: Thanks, Erroll.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I will now close public testimony. Should I make a motion? I'm ready to make a motion, unless people want to have further discussion -members of the Board, I should say. Want further discussion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] Just a comment is that -- and being in the construction business, and with the people dealing with COVID, and societal habits have changed, people have changed their whole living modus operandi.

And one of the things is that $I$ notice people are eating out more -- whether it be in the back yard or whatever.

And also, we have building decks, paved areas, and so on and so forth where people will go out, put down a chaise lounge or whatever it may be, a table, and, you know, one or two people just sit there and, you know, partake in food or drink and just conversation, or just reading a book.

And I keep going back to my own house, where we have a very large deck off the back of our house, and we constantly use it, and just enjoy number 1 the outdoors, but
also the solitude of, you know, a little private space that you can go and adjust to all the stuff that's going on around us.

So I understand that decks can be intrusive to people. You know, that's just sort of bad behavior on some of the people who have given decks a bad name.

But I also feel that a deck like this in this location tucked in that corner has a very useful purpose, and an amenity that people really enjoy.

And it adds to their living space, which is being pushed outdoors, but has a very real purpose and a soothing purpose too.

So that's sort of my feeling on this particular situation, and also, some of the other ones that have come down before us. So that's my thought on that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan. As we can see, different people see decks in different ways. And at the end of the day, I think everybody's right, provided this to the outdoorness that the deck provides is not abused by the people who live in the structure and utilize the deck.

> You'd think, you know, in Cambridge you worry
about large decks and property that's leased to students or young people who'd like to party outdoors that are in the COVID point of view, and that it does impact neighbors.

We've had -- as you know, we've had a number of cases where neighbors have been opposed to decks because of the risks that I've just cited. But I don't think this is one of those cases, as you've indicated already.

So anyway, I will make a motion, unless people -other members of the Board want to have further discussion.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hearing none? Okay. The Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That this proposed deck will not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood.

That -- let me get my notes out -- oh, here, that the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit that's being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed, i.e., the deck, will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. And the
various comments of Board members, myself included, deal with this.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is the nature of the proposed use. And again, we've addressed that in our comments with the hearing of this case.

And no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And one might add that what happened to them before is that not only it will not create detriment of the health, that it will actually improve the -- have the potential of improving the health by creating additional useable outdoor space that's not subject to this COVID.

And that what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with
the plan prepared by Carl, C-a-r-l C. Oldenburg, O-l-d-e-n-b-u-r-g, dated July 6, 2021.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair is also in favor
of granting the special permit as well. That makes it unanimous.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief granted. Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. Goodnight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Give me a few moments to
get the files back in order and we can move on to the next
case.
(8:35 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. The Chair will now call Case Number 106926, 4 Aberdeen Court. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MARC RICHARDS: Yes, hello.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.

MARC RICHARDS: All right. Hi. Good evening to the Chair and the Board. Thank you for taking the time to hear the petition.

My name is Marc Richards. My wife Jen and I live at 4 Aberdeen Court with our three sons. They're 10, 10 and 12.

And I'm here seeking retroactive relief for a nonconforming shed that was built in 2015 that was a replacement for a larger structure, and we recently moved it over several feet as an accommodation to a neighbor's request.

There's kind of some history to, like, where the
shed is where it is right now. So I'll try to keep it brief, but here's kind of where we're at.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Please do that, but answer -- I'm completely confused by the case, because you want to move the -- relocate the shed that was built over the property line back into your property, but then there's the neighbor, as you are well aware, who's opposed to this because it's going to block the use of the right of way.

It seems to me that you are inconsistent.
MARC RICHARDS: Yes. I agree that that feels a little inconsistent. I can speak to her letter of opposition shortly, but the general idea here is --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Take it where you want. But I just want you to --

MARC RICHARDS: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- address it at some point.

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah, I absolutely will. I'll probably address it in more detail than you're interested in hearing. But yeah, I'll do my best.

All right. So we moved in in 2006. At the time, there was a 20 foot by $10^{\prime}$ carport structure that included a
she had at the back of the structure.
We confirmed with the previous owner of Number 3
Aberdeen Court -- so we're Number 4, so -- that that structure had existed since at least the early 1960s, and possibly back into the ' 40 S , when the four houses on our street were built.

I can't see our -- any of the materials from our petition. I don't know if they're up? Okay, great. Thank you. So -- oh, perfect. Okay. So you've got the recent photos.

Can we go up to the previous ones, from the original? I think she's bringing them up now. Excellent. Perfect. Okay.

So these are pictures of the original. You can see it was kind of like a steel and sheet metal kind of structure. So it's the far -- the Aberdeen Court's a deadend street, so that's the far end of Aberdeen Court, the east end there that you're looking at.

And so the carport was within the right of way that's shared by all four houses. So we all have a right of way over the street.

And around 2010, we had a property survey
completed, and we learned that about half of that structure was actually located over the property line and into the Number 3 Aberdeen Court slot, which is at the left side. So that's our house is the yellow one on the right. Number 3 is to the left on these photos.

We didn't take any action at the time we learned it. None of the neighbors expressed any issue with the location. We shared that information with them; nobody was concerned about it.

In 2015, we decided to replace the carport. It was rusting. The shed part in the back there was kind of falling apart. It, as you can see, was a bit of an eyesore and was probably becoming a danger.

So if we can go to the next picture?
So this is the new shed that was built on the top in its original location in 2016, so the shed was -- the carport and shed were demolished at the end of 2015, and then you show this construction in early 2016.

It's a 10 x 10 cedar shed. It was put within -roughly within the original footprint of the carport and where the old shed was. I believe it's a -- you know, significant aesthetic upgrade.

So both the original carport and the new shed, they're both nonconforming obviously, because they're over the property line as far as the front setback goes, which the front setback here is -- the front property line would be the red line that's superimposed there.

I also believe that it was closer than five feet to the left side of the property, which is back in these images.

And the original carport was also too close to the house. It's supposed to be 10 feet from the primary structure. It was only about five feet from the corner of the house there.

So the original carport and shed were nonconforming; the new shed continued the nonconformance. I'll admit I was ignorant to the setback requirements at the time the shed was built in 2016.

We didn't seek zoning relief at the time. I wasn't aware it was necessary to do this from all sides of the shed in the fact that it was, you know, completely contained within the footprint of the previous larger structure.

But as far as neighborhood feedback went, at the
time, we discussed the replacement extensively with all the neighbors on Aberdeen Court prior to it being constructed, including the current resident of 3 Aberdeen Court.

Nobody raised any concerns about it being within the right of way, within the setback or straddling the property line at that time, and everybody seemed happy with it. It was an aesthetic improvement to our little street here.

So fast forward to August of last year, 2020. Our neighbor at 3 Aberdeen Court requested we move the shed off of her land at that point.

There was a lot of discussion and back and forth about how we should interpret this right of way, and if we had a right to keep the shed where it was, or if we had to move it on her request or not.

In consultation with our lawyer, we decided to do the easy thing as far as, you know, avoiding a court battle or something like that, and so we agreed to move the shed to the new location in December. And our neighbor was very impatient to have it moved immediately.

So again, after further consulting with our lawyer, we decided to move it as quickly as possible and
file for the variance retroactively.
At that point, I had already been in communication
with the city about, you know, its location, and that we were going to be planning on filing a variance for it once it was moved.

The shed ended up being moved at the end of January because of weather in December. But it's been sitting in the new location that you can see in the bottom photo there since the end of January or the first day of February.

Okay. So I started filing for the variance around that time, and I missed some of the paperwork, which is why we're here now in August, rather than a few months ago. But that's kind of the history of why it's where it is.

I can talk about the nonconformity and the hardship before going into maybe some of the easement details. But are there any questions about kind of how it ended up where it is right now?
[Pause]
MARC RICHARDS: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just one. Sorry, this is --
MARC RICHARDS: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Jim Monteverde. Can -- Sisia, can you go to the survey?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go. Can you explain --
I see the Aberdeen -- the drawing [2:47:41 audio unclear -the lot] before both left and right it curves.

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the dashed line, which I'm assuming is the limit of some common right of way kind of slices through your --

MARC RICHARDS: It sure does, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the balance of your property. What is that dashed line?

MARC RICHARDS: That is the right of way. It inexplicably takes a hard right and left turn there at the end of Aberdeen Court, and slices through the back of the Number 3 and 4 properties, and the house was built right over it.

We did an extensive Title exam. We don't know why it was drawn that way. It does not seem to continue into the 585 Mount Auburn property. So this is the driveway that's shared by the four properties on Aberdeen Court.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Which?
MARC RICHARDS: Just the extent of the piece that's kind of vertically through the drawing here. It's not a [connection interference] feet wide, and maybe --

JIM MONTEVERDE: So for instance to the back of your property line?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct. Yeah. And I guess we're going to end up talking about this quite a bit, it sounds like. This is kind of where the point of contention is. There's a lot of confusion.

The way the right of way is deeded to all four of us is written in a very ambiguous way. And so there's a lot of uncertainty about why it exists and why it was written the way it does (sic) and what sort of rights and limitations --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MARC RICHARDS: -- we're all sort of subject to.
So I can go into that in more detail --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, that's fine. You've answered my question. Thank you.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay, great. Anything else before I proceed talking about the nonconformity of the current
location?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Hi, this is Andrea Hickey speaking. I just have one question. How old is the primary sort of structure?

MARC RICHARDS: 1941. All four of the houses were built. It was originally all one plot of land that these four lots are. It was subdivided I think in the late ' 30 s, and then the houses were all built in 1941.

ANDREA HICKEY: And when was the right of way or the easement created?

MARC RICHARDS: Sometime during that same time. So it was -- I believe it was at the time the subdivision was completed, which I think was 1938, but I don't know exactly.

ANDREA HICKEY: So the subdivision was of four lots that all share the right to this easement/right of way?

MARC RICHARDS: That's -- yes, yes. But the -there is -- the purpose of the easement is not specified in the deed or in any documentation that anybody's been able to uncover. It just says that we're all subject to the rights and liabilities therein or something like that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And the 585 Mount Auburn
lot does not have the benefit of that easement?

MARC RICHARDS: So I had a complete Title exam done last October, and my Title examiner was not able to uncover any evidence that they have benefitted with that easement.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Continue with your presentation?

MARC RICHARDS: Great. Thank you. So if we can move to, I guess, Slide 3 here? So this will be a little easier I think to see what's going on. So from the previous slide, you can see our lot is sort of trapezoidal shape.

I was here three years ago I think for a kitchen addition variance, and we discussed sort of at length about the trapezoidal shape of the lot, the way the house is sort of situated irregularly on it. And that makes dealing with the setbacks a real challenge for our property.

So -- but looking here just at the kind of previous shed location and the new shed location as best as I could superimpose it. We didn't have a new survey done just for this meeting.

But the bottom one shows the current situation.
The shed itself is about $16^{\prime \prime}$ off the front property line, which is on the left side here, and about four and a half feet from the left side, which is up here, unfortunately.

But I do want to point out those are the corners. It really averages along the front more like three or four feet from the property line, and seven or eight feet on the left side there.

So it is within the setback partially, but the entirety of the shed is not located at the setback.

Also, that bottom corner of the shed is only about eight feet four inches from the house to the bottom right. So it's, you know, kind of a -- it's less nonconforming than the original carport structure. But it's still, obviously, nonconforming.

Also, the numbers that $I$ just quoted you are a little bit different than what was in my original petition. I filed an addendum earlier this week when $I$ went out and actually measured it.

The shed didn't end up exactly where it was supposed to because of some grading issues, and it just
wasn't an exact science dropping it there.
So as far as the hardship, I'll just outline
briefly what $I$ put in the petition. There's the bulkhead there. The primary structure and its position on our irregular lot.

There really isn't an option to put the shed in any conforming space here, and except possibly in the middle of our front yard -- I think we could find a conforming location very specifically there, but that $I$ don't think is what anybody wants, either.

So we would have to get a variance no matter what to have the shed relocated anywhere on the property.

As for why we didn't just get rid of it altogether, so it's a family of five. We have five bicycles, one car. We use our bikes a lot. Our kids use them to get back and forth to school. When I return to the office, I'll go back to using mine to commute into Kendall Square.

And so the only other place on our property that we could really store them would be in our basement, which we have a 1941-era bulkhead that's really not appropriate for a 10-year-old kid to be bringing a bicycle up and down
every day.
So this was kind of our only option. A smaller
shed I think has the same issue; about 80 percent of the square footage of the shed is currently taken up by the bicycles, and so a smaller shed is still going to have the variance issue, I believe.

So that's kind of where we're at with why it's ended up where it is and, you know, how I see the hardship.

So I know that this Board since 2015 I did some research. It seems like you all have approved about six variances at least for accessory structures that are within setbacks; that's irrelevant to this case. Most of them were replacing prior nonconforming structures for a similar use. And then I found one example of, like, a bike shed storage only shed.

So I could read those case numbers into the record -- I don't know if that's useful or not, or I could just forward them along if you think that would be helpful?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. I don't think any of those cases involved a structure that was in a right of way?

MARC RICHARDS: Um--

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's what differentiates. I can't believe in looking at this plan that that shed cannot go to the right.

MARC RICHARDS: Well, so the challenge when we get further to the right would -- if your concern is right of way, I guess still in the right of way although, you know, my lawyer has an interpretation about what could be allowed in the right of way, based on historical precedence.

But the bulkhead is there, and that sort of presents a special challenge.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that dotted line the right of way?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct, yes. There's also a couple trees that abut the property line along there too that we'd have to consider taking out as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And whoever -- who to your knowledge even traverses that right of way?

MARC RICHARDS: Just my family and I. That's it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're the only ones?
MARC RICHARDS: Correct, yeah. Yeah. And we
never access the one off to the left into the Number 3 property?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Then why is your neighbor opposing it?

MARC RICHARDS: I mean --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Complains that -- that her right of way with what you're proposing will interfere with her use of the right of way?

MARC RICHARDS: I can speculate, but maybe if -- I don't know if she's present or not?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We'll find out.
MARC RICHARDS: So maybe we can wait until after the public comment?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean, your house --
MARC RICHARDS: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: A corner of your house is in the right of way, according to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
MARC RICHARDS: I know. It's -- I wish I had something, you know, concrete to tell you about it that was more satisfying. I don't. The right of way -- it doesn't make any sense.

I've discussed -- it's -- the problem is it's registered land with the state of Massachusetts. So getting
the easement lifted is a very complex process.
It's outlived its usefulness according to my
lawyer. That was the phrase he used. But the -- it would present a significant financial burden for us to go and take it to Massachusetts Land Court to try and get it lifted, because it's registered land.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Along that same line of discussion, just about location of the shed, if I go back to your certified plot plan, is there no other location for this shed?

Out of this straight-line drive that's labeled, "Aberdeen Court" somewhere either out your back door, you know, you get three other sides that I'm not sure would be as contentious to your neighbors as, or as sensitive as this particular one.

MARC RICHARDS: So neighbor is singular -- my other two neighbors did not -- they actually wrote letters of support.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's nice.

MARC RICHARDS: So if we could look at -- we could look at Slide 2, I guess and I can talk you through it a little bit. So again, it's kind of the diagonal location of
the house. There is a kitchen addition that was added back a few years ago off the back of the house.

So trying to get it within -- you know, further than the 10 feet from the house, but 10 yards from the back of the property line and five feet or -- sorry 10 feet from the back of the property line, 10 feet from the primary structure, and five feet from the front, rear and back.

It's -- there really is not a feasible place to put it, in my opinion, that doesn't just present another set of problems.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So in that back zone where the labeling is Lot C3 or 3C-3.

MARC RICHARDS: Sure, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- there's no --

MARC RICHARDS: Yes, it's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- configuration back there for the shed or go down to the plan, the lower left-hand side in that corner of the lot adjacent to the porch or below the porch? There's no other location on the lot that you think you could put a shed?

MARC RICHARDS: I mean, we played around with it, and it's within the setbacks on those other sides. There's
also a grading issue on the -- that top right side, and all along the back property line there's a rather steep grade of maybe three or four feet that's -- you know, doesn't have much opportunity to remove the land there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why can't you locate the structure, the shed near the property line with 2 Aberdeen Court? There seems to be a bit of room back there.

MARC RICHARDS: I mean, with the porch where it is, it's a screened in porch with a roof over it. As far as I'm aware, it would still be subject to the 10 feet.

And again, like part of the reason why we put it here was I was trying to keep it as consistent as possible with the location of the carport that had been there for 60 years.

So that was part of the decision. But as best we could -- you know, I went out there with a tape measure and I -- from the best I could figure anywhere we put it, it was going to be subject to requiring a variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. And [Brendan Sullivan] I get the sense that even though there was a carport there, that looking at an automobile, looking at a carport is one thing; looking at a shed tends to be somewhat unsightly and
probably is disturbing, not -- you know, to the neighbors. That's all. I think if it was -- I suspect it's a visual thing. You know, well --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MARC RICHARDS: I -- there's no aesthetic --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think it's a nice little area. I think $I$ would prefer that it not be there either if I lived in any one of those houses.

MARC RICHARDS: Well, there was no aesthetic concern raised in the letter of opposition.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean, how you deal with something on the right of way is another issue, but $I$ think in --

MARC RICHARDS: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the interim, the former or the present location to me is a little bit unsightly. But --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, and I think just to follow up I think --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would not be in favor of granting relief in its present location -- the present proposed location.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. [Jim Monteverde]. I would
-- again, looking -- referring to the site plan again, if this is an accurate site plan, I think you revert to the fact that there have been additions to the building which I don't see here.

But based on what you've submitted what is in front of us, I don't feel terribly sympathetic to the case you're presenting, and would certainly ask that you look at and be able to present some alternatives that locate the shed elsewhere, or show us where they really don't work, even if they require a variance or other relief.

So I'm not really supportive from what you're asking for at the moment.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay. I mean, I could put together some additional materials that maybe demonstrate more about where the current location is, or where I'd like other locations for options, and why they would be nonconforming.

I think it's also important to recognize that I spoke with my neighbors about this, including Ms. Donnelly at 3 Aberdeen Court well before it was moved. I showed her a drawing very similar to the one that's there on Slide 3, on where I wanted to put it, and we talked about the set.back
specifically.
We talked about her, you know, the easement. And I asked her if she was -- would object to a variance petition. And she indicated that she would not. We had that conversation on two separate occasions.

And so then it was, you know, I spent over $\$ 10,000$ to regrade that side of my property to have the shed moved to pave over part of my front yard all to accommodate her requests, based on her statement to me that she would not be contesting the variance petition.

And then on the last day of public comment she submitted the opposition letter. So that was -- I find that really unfortunate, because if she had said that she was going to oppose it, I would have come here to you all first and said, you know, I'm not going to move it until we have it settled, so that this is a -- I'm not really sure what further to say about that, but that's part of the situation that $I$ feel is relevant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What you've heard, you're hearing, is at least two members of the Board have problems with what you're proposing -- the location, actually, of where you're going to move the shed to. That's enough to
cause your -- you need to get four votes in favor. You're only going to get at best -- and I don't think you'll even get that -- three.

So I think what you have to do is what has been suggested by my fellow Board members: Continue this case, sit down with your neighbors and look at all the alternatives. And it's a small group of neighbors; it's not like you've got to convene a big hall.

Sit down and see if you can come up with something that puts the shed entirely on your property, but in a fashion or a location that doesn't annoy or upset your neighbors. I don't see what else.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay. I absolutely can and will do that. If I may just address the issue of the easement, because I'll probably be back here, and I'd like to get this into the record now, if $I$ may.

The substantive portion of -- like I said, her opposition letter does not mention any specifics about the zoning ordinance. It doesn't mention any specifics about the aesthetics; the current use or the character of the neighborhood. It really focuses on the easement obstruction.

And if you could bring up that other set of two photos that came in a bit later, I'd appreciate it. So in her letter, she specifically writes, you know, the owners have the obligation to keep the right of way unobstructed. This is the letter from the owner of Number 3, Aberdeen Court.

If you can see, like, this is the current situation here on Aberdeen Court. She -- while we were away in July, she put three heavy planters and extended her garden out right up to the property line, all within the bounds of the way.

The perspective here maybe isn't great, but that -- her garden is now extended all the way to the back, to the back side of the property in the image here.

And yet she -- you know, she sends you a letter saying that we're, you know, as owners, we're responsible for keeping the way unobstructed. But this is what it looks like on her side, compared to my side.
So I'm -- like I said, I'm disappointed. I will absolutely go and see where else we can put the shed. But I feel like it's important to maybe share that $I$ feel like she's kind of misrepresenting the situation on the court as
it is currently. I just wanted to make sure that you all understood that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do other members of the Board agree with me that this case needs to be continued, or not?

ANDREA HICKEY: This is the -- yes. This is
Andrea Hickey. Yes, I think that the case should be continued. I'd like to see the shed somewhere on the lot other than this location.

I understand there are some grading issues on that sort of right rear part of the lot, but that seems to me to be a more sensible place to put it.

And to me, it's not enough for the petitioner just to show why it doesn't work in other places. That would not convince me that it's okay where it's being proposed.

I think there needs to be a better solution and another location altogether.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
MARC RICHARDS: I'm sorry, Ms. Hickey. I didn't quite understand. You said that it wouldn't be sufficient for me to show what exactly?

ANDREA HICKEY: If you were to show why the other
potential locations don't work, that would not be enough to -- for me to change my mind. I think it needs to go somewhere else.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay. So -- all right. Okay. I was under the impression that the fact that the previous structure was there would be relevant. But it sounds to me like that's not the case.

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, the previous structures it looks like it's sort of straddled the property line. You know, is the -- what you're proposing -- your shed now, is it the same size as the prior carport? Help me understand the size.

MARC RICHARDS: It's half the size of the prior carport.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
MARC RICHARDS: The carport was 200 square feet, and the shed is 100 square feet.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm. All right. Well, I, at this point, $I$ 'm still not in a position to vote in favor of what you're presenting today. I would encourage you to come up with some alternate locations, continue the case and come back to us.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How much time would you
like to do what we're all suggesting?
MARC RICHARDS: I'm not sure. I hadn't really
looked much beyond this hearing. So I don't know how much time that would take. What's --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 60 days?
MARC RICHARDS: 60 days sounds good, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So that would put us in what, early December, really. The first meeting in December.

SISIA DAGLIAN: November. November 4?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This case, we have
September, October -- you're right. Skipped October.
SISIA DAGLIAN: November 4 we have a date. We have November 4.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How does November 4 sound to you, sir?

MARC RICHARDS: That sounds great. I can be back here for that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right.
MARC RICHARDS: All right. I appreciate
everybody's time. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Don't hang up yet.

MARC RICHARDS: I'm still here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. Don't hang up yet.
The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on November 4, subject to the following conditions:

First, you have to sign a waiver of time for decision. Otherwise, we'll have a problem from a legal point of view. This waiver is something the Building Department has. It's a very, very simple document. It just consents to continuing this case until November 4.

Now, you need to sign that, and you need to sign it by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday. Otherwise, the case will be dismissed. Because we do need to have that in our hands.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Otherwise, we'll get relief automatically and that's not the purpose what we're trying to accomplish. So that's first. Get to the Building Department, or contact it next week and get, or even do it,
you know, next week and get it signed by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

Second, that posting sign that you have there now, you need a new one with a new date and time, or you can modify what's there now with a magic marker or what have you and cross out the old date, put the new date in, cross out the old time, put the new time in, 6:00 p.m.

But do that, because otherwise your case won't get heard until later in the day on November 4, and that's not in your interest or our interest.

That modified sign or new sign must be maintained for the 14 days prior to November 4, just as you maintained the sign for tonight's hearing.

And lastly, to the extent -- and there will be -to the extent there are modified plans with regard to how you're going to locate the new shed, those must be in our files, or the Building Department's files, no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before November 4.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
So this case is continued. But remember the conditions we just imposed. If you have any questions, contact the Building Department. But otherwise, we shall see you on November 4.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay. Thank you. And thank you
for the feedback and additional advice.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(9:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 131990 -- 301 Vassar Street. Mr. Sullivan, Vice Chair, will chair this hearing. I'm not sitting on this case. So Brendan, the case is yours.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So we have Wendy, Jim, Andrea, Matina and myself, is that correct, the lineup? Yes, Matina, you're here?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Got to make sure she's here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm here.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina, are you there?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm here.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Yeah. Okay. Good.
Welcome back. Okay. Tim, you're presenting?
TIM PETERS: Actually, Kelley Brown from MIT will be presenting. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Yep. Okay. The Board is familiar with your case, so you can probably present the case and go right through it. Go ahead, Kelley.

KELLEY BROWN: Okay, great. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good evening to members of the Board. My name is Kelley Brown, and I'm a Senior Planner in MIT's Office of Campus Planning.

I will try to keep it kind of brief here, as I know you are familiar. But $I$ did want to kind of give you the context and rationale for the setback variances that we're looking for here at the proposed West Campus graduate student dormitory on the MIT campus.

We're going to look at a couple of telephone issues near the end, and then I may call on Tim Peters. He's from Kieran Timberlake, our design firm for the dormitory, who is here with us.

And we'll conclude with the reasons that we believe the variance meets the criteria for being granted.

The next slide, please?
Yeah. So the project is a key part of fulfilling commitments that MIT made in rezoning the federal Volpe land in Kendall Square. We made a commitment to provide a total
of 950 new beds -- new and converted beds, I should say.
And with the delivery of the West Campus graduate dormitory in the fall of 2024 that -- as planned, that's the anticipated delivery -- we will have provided more than 1000 beds.

And so we have had multiple community reviews. We've obtained all the necessary special permits from the Planning Board, and we got a certificate of appropriateness from the Cambridge Historical Commission for the portion of our site that is in the Fort Washington Historic District.

We hope to begin construction right after the beginning of next year.

Next, please?
So just to locate this thing, it's on the West Campus. This is kind of a constellation of open spaces in our neighborhood and in Cambridgeport and on the West Campus.

And the project site there you can see we have a big arrow indicating that this will be an important crossing of the Fort Wa-- the, pardon me, the grand Junction railroad track that will be a superior connection $I$ think for the neighbors to MIT campus and down to the river from what we
have now.

Next, please?
Yeah. So what you see here in the upper left is the walkway through this parking lot directly adjacent to the vehicle entry and exit gates. You can see the flag in the background there; that's Fort Washington Park. We're surrounded by the railroad line and in the lower right-hand corner you can see a portion of the Eversource electric substation.

And then we also have the police station, which is in the upper right-hand corner, and it will be demolished and made a part of this site. That's the 301 Vassar location.

Just to say that it's something that was ranked of low historic significance in our joint study of MIT historic property with the Cambridge Historic Commission. So we're expecting to be able to get a demolition permit for that building.

Next, please?
So this is just to show the project site. And this is the two districts. It's Special District 11 on the left, and it's Special District 6 on the right on I guess
it's about 80 percent of the site. But 20 percent of the site is in Special District 11.

Next?

Thank you. So you have to look a little closely here, but you can see that the -- we've got the East Building to the right, the West Building to the left, and then the Central Plaza that connects us back to Fort Washington.

So what we have is the building is kind of split in two right near the entry. You'll see it in a minute. And that's the -- our problem. As Special District 6 has zero setbacks required, SD 11 has the kind of formula-based setbacks. And you'll see the kind of hardship that that imposes on us in a minute.

Next, please?
This is the context that you're looking from at the top from the campus towards the neighborhood, and then on the bottom from the neighborhood from the Fort Washington side to the rest of the campus you get a sense of some of the scale and background there.

Next, please?
So this gives you perhaps a better sense of the
scale that we're dealing with here. We're trying to match up with that Simmons Hall on the right there, with this new building. But at the same time, we're trying to break down the mass of the building. It is 328,000 square feet. It is about 670 I guess right now beds.

So we're trying to break that down and make it attractive along the street. And you can get a sense here of kind of the rhythm and context that we're looking at, the kind of street wall that's presented there.

Next, please?
So this is the East Building that has this nice loggia to your right. the opening into the Central Plaza, and then on the far side in the East Building some of that transparency is a lounge.

And this is kind of how we're connecting the whole site together.

Next, please?
So this is that entry location in the East Building that splits between SD-6 and SD-11. It's primarily the buff-colored building on the left that's in SD-11.

Next, please?

So what you have here is in the upper left is the existing police building, and then the red mass is a conforming building that would also be like the police building 27 feet tall, which is about a quarter of what's allowed with a special permit in the district.

Next, please?
So when you actually use the height that's allowed and the Planning Board has granted us, the formula setback basically takes away your opportunity to build a building. You just can't build a building that uses the allowed -- the allowed height here.

And so naturally we really want to connect it to the rest of the structure there, and really for the whole -for the whole project.

Next, please?
So, you know, the literal enforcement we think is a hardship because the two districts for the single building, I mentioned that we're trying to get this consistent and matching scale.

And really the project would be infeasible. We wouldn't be able to provide the beds that we'd like, and we think this would deny a reasonable and desirable use for the
land.

Next, please?
This is sort of a classic hardship with the lot dimensions. We also have the Historic District that comes in, and we didn't build anything in that district, and as part of -- to accommodate -- I don't know if you're familiar with Fort Washington, but sort of the idea of it is you have these cannons in the fort that are pointed towards the historic river area. And the idea is to have this site lines through.

So you really don't want to build anything in that. And we ended up building much -- about 125-feet- wide plaza in order to accommodate that; much wider than the Historic District.

And, you know, as a result, the allowed height that you see here on the right, that just is not feasible with the setbacks that we have.

Next, please?
We think it does no substantial detriment to the public good. It does fulfill the city requirement I mentioned. It does respect the neighboring Historic District, but it's also, you know, a railroad and an
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electric substation that we're having insufficient yard for. So we think that that's not something that's going to impact the public good.

We think we have a very good design, very appropriate for the site that it locates its height and massing in a good way that creates light and air.

And it is in this MIT residential ring here. You know, the undergraduate dormitory is to the right, and then there's graduates across the street.

Next, please?
And we think that it does support the purpose and intent of the ordinance; that it will reduce congestion. It'll -- it removes a big parking lot and will put students on campus instead of having, you know, several hundred students traveling to campus as they do today.

It also protects the residential neighbors. It's particularly important for reducing the pressure on pricing for our nearby neighbors in Cambridgeport, but throughout the city.

And we think that the kind of strengthened connection that I mentioned between the park getting through a -- we think is going to be a fabulous open space, and
moving towards the river and other open spaces on the MIT campus will be a substantial benefit to the city and the neighborhood.

So that's pretty much it.
Next?
You can get a prettier picture. And we're happy to answer any questions that you might have. And --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any questions by members of the Board at all? Wendy, any questions?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Andrea, any questions at this point?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. It was a very clear and thoughtful presentation. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. With that, I will open up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says,
"Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise
hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. You will be given up to three minutes for your comments.

SISIA DAGLIAN: I don't see anyone.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It appears that nobody is calling in. There is no correspondence in the file. We will make note that the project has received special permits for Article 19 Project Review: Minor additions to height in Special District 6 and Special District 11, a reduction in parking amounts and to allow compensation payments. They're having less than 80 percent green roof.

Also note in the application that the petitioner has reserved rights under the Dover Amendment. And the Dover Amendment says that no zoning ordinance or bylaw shall regulate or restrict the interior area of a -- it says residential building -- nor shall any such ordinance or bylaw prohibit, regulate or restrict the use of land or structures for religious purposes, or for educational purposes.

With that, any other questions. Mr. Brown, any further comments?

KELLEY BROWN: No. No, we're all set, I think. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No? Okay. And no questions from members of the Board? Ready for a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion. ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application and the dimensional form by reference.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner the ability to adequately redevelop and underutilized site; primarily a surface parking lot into a much-needed, two-dormitory building, consistent in scale with the neighboring building.

The Board further finds that the petitioner, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, has designed the project to meet as many of the applicant ordinance requirements as possible, while also providing an appropriate number of dormitory beds to serve the MIT graduate students, and fulfill MIT's obligation set forth in the Letter of Commitment referred to in Section 13.810.4 of
the Ordinance.
Without the requested relief, the petitioner would be deprived of reasonable and desirable use of the site, and it would be unable to provide additional dormitory beds to serve the MIT graduate student population.

The hardship is owing to the shape of the lot, the atypical long and narrow lot. The Board finds that the site is also additionally encumbered being in a split zone, Special District 6 and Special District 11.

And the fact that the Fort Washington Historic District extends into a portion of the site, which prevents the petitioner from constructing a single, larger building that is more centered on the lot.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purposes of the ordinance.

As noted above, the project is proposed in response to a requirement of the Letter of Commitment referred to in Section 13.810.4 of the Ordinance, which letter was approved by the City of Cambridge City Council as providing for mitigation measures that benefit the city.

The project is not a substantial detriment to the public good, but rather benefits the public good by providing needed dormitory housing for MIT graduate students and affiliates on a centrally located site within MIT's West Campus.

The project is well-located for its purpose as it is directly adjacent to existing graduate student housing.

The project will promote the purpose and intent of the Ordinance, which among other things encourages the most rationale use of land throughout the city, including the encouragement of appropriate economic development.

And the Board further finds that the stated goals of the city to encourage the university to provide more housing for its students, which lessens the burden on the existing housing stocks, will be furthered.

On that motion, and the fact that the work be done in compliance with the plans, specifications, dimensional form as submitted and initialed by the Chair, all those in favor of granting the special permit?

Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, in favor of granting
the variance, not the special permit. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina? MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Your vote. MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams in favor of granting the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the request.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor of
granting the variance request.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson in favor of
granting the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Chair votes in favor.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The variance is granted. And
thank you for a very good presentation.

KELLEY BROWN: Thanks to the Board. Thank you,

Mr. Chair.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
(9:25 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde and Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Moving on with the rest of our agenda, The Chair will now call Case Number 127832 -- 2 Mercer Circle. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter? Maggie, are you on mute, maybe?

MAGGIE BOOZ: Hi. Yep. Hi.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hi.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Sorry. Good evening, members of the Board. I'm Maggie Booz. I'm the architect for Allison Cook and David Hirsh at 2 Mercer Circle in Cambridge.

And I'm here to present the project that they are seeking relief for. And if the -- if the Staff could put on the drawings, that would be great.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Give us a second.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. There we go.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you. Allison and David have a
house at 2 Mercer Circle that is nonconforming to its rear
property line, which is on this site plan it's the righthand property line. They have a long, rectangular site, but the house is oriented in the other direction. Its long rectangle is parallel to the short side of the site.

Their house is conforming on the front, left side and right side, but not on the rear, where we have -- we have an 11.1-foot rear yard setback.

And on that façade, there is an existing one-story addition that $I$ suspect may have been a porch or a -- you know, some sort of stoop or old mudroom entrance that became incorporated as part of the first-floor kitchen. And it isn't insulated or really properly constructed.

It has sheds underneath it -- storage sheds underneath it that are also uninsulated. I mean, they're just outdoor sheds at the ground level, basement level, because the first floor of the house sits up pretty high.

So what we're proposing is an addition on one side of that shed that's very, very small. That's at that northeast corner of the house that you can see. So the shed doesn't -- excuse me, the addition doesn't quite come to that corner right now, so just short of it.

And -- can $I$ control this cursor, or are you
controlling it? Can $I$ have control of the cursor, or -this corner is -- this corner is what I'm talking about, this northeast corner of the house.

And -- that's the northwest. Yep. Right over there. It's that small addition that takes the addition all the way to the corner of the building. And then on the other side south of that, we're also proposing an addition.

And all that will continue the same set -- that same 11.1 -foot setback.

We then have an existing deck that we're -- that is a little bit tucked behind the house. We're trying to pull it around into the yard a little more. It's a small deck. It's -- you know, 8 x 8 and just enough for a table and four chairs, maybe.

And that deck is accessed -- is also access (sic) to the yard. As you can see the set of stairs on the site plan.

So we're -- this is the relief we're requesting. We're also doing some other work on the house, which you can see in red on the plot plan, on the site plan. But that work doesn't require relief.

And the reason that we're making the request is
because we're just trying to find -- well, two things. We're trying to enclose, we're trying to rebuild that existing bump-out in the back so that it's just -- its walls are insulated, and its roof is insulated, instead of being uninsulated. It literally freezes their food.

There is a pantry -- all their pantry, you know, shelving is in there. There's no door on it or anything in the kitchen. It's open to the kitchen. And it is literally freezing in the wintertime.

And then we're trying to make a space for a powder room on the first floor. The powder room is -- if you go to the existing floor plan, first floor plan -- that's the site plan, yes.

One more? A-3.0; there we go.
You can see that there is a powder room sort of in the breakfast room of the house, so the kitchen is on the left in the rear.

That bump-out addition that I'm talking about is at the top of the screen, and the breakfast room is over to the right, and the powder room is in the breakfast room, which is a fairly uncomfortable position for the powder room to be in, not to mention somewhat unhygienic. And David's a
doctor, so he's particularly conscious of these things.
So that area where you see the deck in the back and the stairs going down at the top of your screen is where we're proposing to put the powder room.

It's also on the back side of the chimney, which is convenient because there's plumbing coming down in that part of the house, the bathroom on the third floor and second floor. There are plumbing pipes coming down in that part of the house. And naturally, the basement there and go across the basement to the front of the house at that point. So if you go to A-4. -- it should be 4. -- just go to the next screen, if that works. One more, sorry; A-3.1.

You can see that we've incorporated that bump-out on the back of the top of the screen into the kitchen, renovating the kitchen. And the space that we're proposing to add on is that powder room and utility closet.

And then the deck that we're proposing is over to the right.

And that is the project. This part of the house is hidden, really. It's -- you know, it's really the back end of the building, and we think that it's a minimal ask
from the Board. It's a . 02 increase in floor area, but we're not near, you know, violating floor area ratio, and it's an existing nonconformity that we're asking to continue.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Questions from
members of the Board?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde.] Just one question.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And it's not about the what you're doing by the kitchen and the breakfast room. On the diagonally opposite side of the house where the existing it looks like entry deck is removed, and then you excavate it and build a garage belowground, put in a new drive that kind of descends to the lower-level garage.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there any zoning relief? And I'm looking at your sheet A-1.0.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there zoning relief associated

```
with that?
```

    MAGGIE BOOZ: No, there isn't.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: So all of that is as of right?
    MAGGIE BOOZ: That's all as of right.
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
    MAGGIE BOOZ: Even the steps, if we do end up
    doing the corner steps, so sort of fan steps --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MAGGIE BOOZ: -- they also conform to the setbacks
and --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then one further question.
Is there any historic or neighborhood or Conservation
Commission or any other group review that this is subject
to?
MAGGIE BOOZ: There is no -- the building is not
in a historic district. It's off of the Brattle Street
Historic District.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay, yep.
MAGGIE BOOZ: And --
JIM MONTEVERDE: And it's not within any other
conservation or whatever agency that might review it?
MAGGIE BOOZ: No, because we're not demolishing
enough to trigger a Historic Commission review.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Gotcha. Okay. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, any questions?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. And thank
you, Ms. Booz, for a clear presentation.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hm?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is it Wendy?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy or Matina?
WENDY LEISERSON: It's Wendy.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy. I'm sorry.
WENDY LEISERSON: That's okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I got you back and forth.
I can't keep up.
WENDY LEISERSON: Exactly. Yeah. Wendy Leiserson
no questions. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair has no questions either.

So I think we'll open the matter up to public testimony. There is one letter of support from a neighbor. Other than that, there is nothing else, no other communications in our files. So -- yes? Oh.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak. [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently, there is no one who wishes to speak, so I will now close public testimony. We've got a good, thorough presentation from Ms. Booz. Do we need further discussion or not? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a vote.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ready for a vote. I'm ready for a vote. Okay.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance that's being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such
hardship being this is an older structure that in some respects is poorly laid out internally, and there is a need to improve the structure, particularly given its location in the Brattle Street area.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the shape of the lot.

And that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance. What is proposed is a modest modification of a structure which is necessary, given its age and given the -how modern society lives these days.

So on the basis of all of that, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Smart Architecture, dated June 17, 2021.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the relief requested.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde voting in favor of the request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson voting in favor. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes in
favor as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's unanimous. Variance granted.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you so much.
ALLISON COOK: Thank you very much.
DAVID HIRSH: Thank you very much.
ALLISON COOK: Thank you.
(9:38 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde and Matina Williams.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now for our final case of the night, the Chair will -- we hope that the Chair will call Case Number 130250 -- 1775 Massachusetts Avenue.

Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
I don't think you're on, your mic is on.
MARC MAXWELL: How about now?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The floor is yours.
MARC MAXWELL: Thank you. Always good to be the last case of the evening. I'm Marc Maxwell, representing the Newport Road Condominium Trust. As their architect, I'm a licensed architect with offices at 20 Windom Street in Somerville.

We seek a special permit to alter two existing window openings, at 1775 Massachusetts Avenue, part of the Newport Road Condominium, which is two buildings.

This is an existing basement unit with two bedrooms, and it is -- this is 1775 Massachusetts Avenue.

And the building to the left is 1783, part of the same association with Newport Road in between.

Right there where the cursor is located is the unit. It is a basement unit that has been existing for many years. When a tenant turned over recently, and we did a renovation of this unit, it was discovered that there are no rescue egress windows from the two bedrooms that are down in the bottom of this bay window and just behind it, the bowfront.

And so what we're asking for is relief to alter two openings.

We go to the next image, please? Thank you.
So one is behind the bush to the left of the gate, and right behind that tree. And that is the front bedroom. And this window we will be leaving in both cases the existing heads of the window will remain.

We're cutting down to lower the window closer to the floor, so that we get to the code required 44 inches above the bedroom floor for this window.

This front one on Mass Ave will require a window well. The one on the other bedroom, which is down the alley, is -- we will be able to step out onto the existing
walkway.
So if you go to the next slide, please?
This is the front left corner of this building, along the walkway. And right in there -- very good -- so that's the front bedroom. And then if you slide slightly to the right, that second bedroom is right in that next bumpout. A little further back -- right, yes, right there. Okay.

If we go to the next image?
This is the site plan, and we've circled down in the lower left, where these two windows are. The one on the left on the corner is the one with the window well. The one on the right doesn't require that.

Next image, please?
This is the window where we will be lowering, where we're cutting the foundation to -- this is a now 24-inch-high window, and it will become a 54-inch-high window. So it requires a shallow window well. We'll leave the gate and the fence just as it is.

If you move down, this shows you simply the window well is on the lower left, where that window well will be tucked in. It's actually the front setback requirement is

15 feet. Today we are at about 7'7", and we've kept this window well behind the existing nonconformity of the bowfront. So we're going to tuck this in as small as we can, and access this window.

If you move down to the next image? If you pass through the gate in that empty, air-conditioning sleeve is the second bedroom, if you'll go down one more.

And on the -- this is the window that we'll be changing, and we can lower this one to be the same size as the other one, at 54 inches, and that will keep it above the sidewalk level, but you'll be able to use this as an egress window.

If you go back up one image from where you are, what I want to talk about is simply the right-hand side is the abutting property.

And the windows do not align. All of the existing windows are up six feet above where this window is. So we're not creating any new connection between these two adjoining properties.

If we move down two images, this is just showing that this second window will be above the sidewalk, and both of these will be windows that are somewhat -- that are
compatible with the existing windows of the structure above. So it's a nonconforming structure.

On this side, we will be 7'4" away from the property line where this window, the closest edge of this window [is], and currently the building is about 6'5". And this requires a 7'6" minimum side yard on this side of the building, on either side of the building.

So the relief that we're asking for is simply that we're going to alter two existing openings in -- that are nonconforming, the building being nonconforming, so we do not have the required setback on either the front or this side.

And the building was constructed in 1920, and we are -- we're, this unit is existing, and has been rented for many years. And once we get these life safety egress windows, assuming the Board approves it, the special permit request we will finish the renovation and put them back in the rental pool, as they have been.

We have spoken to Historic, and Sarah Burke has indicated that despite the fact that the building is 50 -older than 50 years, we're not doing any demolition. So no review is required.

This is -- the property is in a Zoning B District, and there's a Basement Residence Overlay District that it exists in as well.

And I believe we have four letters of support from abutters.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you for a thorough -- actually a very thorough presentation on a rather dry subject.

MARC MAXWELL: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: So I did just want to ask, this is a rental unit that's owned by the Condominium Association versus being owned by an individual?

MARC MAXWELL: Correct.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. That's all I have for now.
MARC MAXWELL: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions either of either, although I would observe the safety features that will result from what is being proposed is also obviously to the benefit of the city. These windows will be more usable to -- used for evacuation purposes, should the unfortunate need for that arise.

Okay. With that, we're going to open the matter up to public testimony. I don't recall there are any letters of support, although maybe I'm wrong. No. No, there are -- I apologize. There are a number of people who live in the building or in the condominium area, and they are inspect of the relief being sought. There are no letters of opposition.

So with that, I'm going to open the matter up to public comment and any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll wait a second to see if anybody wishes to speak. No? So no one wishes to speak? We'll now close public
testimony. I think we're ready for a vote. That's a very controversial matter.

So we're talking about a special permit, am I right?

MARC MAXWELL: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the Ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And again, as the petitioner has pointed out, the apartments involved, or apartment maybe, is at ground level or below ground level, and behind some bushes. So there's no impact on traffic near the building.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. Again, the relief being sought is really special or peculiar to the
building itself, and has no neighborhood impact.
That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use or the citizens of the City.

In fairness, it's just the opposite. The safety will be promoted for the occupant, should we grant the relief being sought.

And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Maxwell Architects, LLC, dated June 21,2021.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will make it unanimous; $I$ vote in favor as well. Relief granted. Case over.

MARC MAXWELL: Thank you to the Board. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And that's all she wrote. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you all. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Have a good evening. Have a good long weekend, too.

ANDREA HICKEY: Goodnight, everyone.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Take care.
ANDREA HICKEY: Goodnight.
MARC MAXWELL: Thank you.
[09:40 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 114:21 | 31 50:9 | 153:3,10 155:9 | 831 1:6 |
| 2010 115:22 | 328,000 148:4 | 167:9 176:20 | 88 2:13 |
| 2015 5:10 | 33 57:21 60:10 | 6'421:22 | - 9 |
| 113:18 116:10 | 35-foot 81:20 | 6'5 174:5 | - 9 |
| 116:17 126:9 | 3C-3 130:12 | 6'8 10:22 | 9 15:22 32:12 |
| 2016 116:16,18 | 4 | 6:00 2:4,6,8 | 46:8,20 51:12 |
| 117:16 | 4 | 140:7 141:7 | 55:19 70:18 |
| 2020 3:15,19 | 42:16 12:10 | 6:30 2:11 | 84:4 94:5 |
| 10:17 118:9 | 46:7,7 60:15 | 6:31 8:2 | 105:16 153:3 |
| 2021 1:4 3:9 | 60:16 113:7,15 | 6:42 19:2 | 167:9 176:20 |
| 38:9 50:9 73:6 | 115:3 120:17 | 6:45 2:12 | 9:01 143:2 |
| 86:10 111:2 | 139:12,15,16 | 60 131:13 139:7 | 9:25 159:2 |
| 168:16 180:13 | 139:17 140:7 | 139:8 | 9:38 170:2 |
| 2024 145:3 | 140:13 141:9 | 65-square 80:10 | 907 75:13 |
| 2028 180:18 | 141:12,18 | 6500 80:8,11 | 91 21:12 |
| 21 5:15 29:8,10 | 142:14 163:11 | 670 148:5 | 92 21:12 |
| 57:17,21 | $402: 8$ | 68 2:6 101:14 | 93 21:12 |
| 21,2021 178:15 | 40S 115:5 |  | 950 145:1 |
| 22 3:21 20:13 | 43 89:2 | 7 | 98 2:14 |
| 38:12 57:21 | 44 171:18 | 7'2 10:22 |  |
| 22-some-odd | 45 2:13 46:19 | 7'4 174:3 |  |
| 68:15 | 88:7 89:2 | 7'6 174:6 |  |
| 22(c) $20: 16$ | 5 | $7 ' 7173: 1$ 7.510114 |  |
| 22:16 | 52.3 46.11 77.1 | $7.5101: 14$ |  |
| 23 34:8 | 52:3 46:11 77:1 | 7:00 2:13 |  |
| 24 90:2 | 77:22 86:10 | 7:10 40:2 |  |
| 24-172:16 | 5:00 140:15 | 7:15 2:14 |  |
| 2575:22 | 141:1,18 | 7:28 55:2 |  |
| 273:18 149:4 | 5:45 1:4 2:2 | 7:30 2:16 |  |
| 28 60:15,16 | 5:473:3 | 7:45 2:18 |  |
| 69:15 180:18 | 50 63:16 174:20 | 7:52 75:2 |  |
| 28'4 60:13 | $\begin{gathered} 174: 21 \\ \mathbf{5 4} 2: 35: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{7 2} \text { 89:18,21 } \\ & \mathbf{7 5} 2: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | 173:10 |  |  |
| 3 5:15 46:7 | 54-inch-high | 8 |  |
| 55:19 59:2 | 172:17 | 8 2:4 89:8 |  |
| 115:2 116:3,4 | $552: 11$ | 161:13,13 |  |
| 118:3,10 | $560080: 7$ | 8' 10:21 |  |
| 120:17 123:11 | $582: 619: 7$ | 8'6 10:21 11:4,8 |  |
| 127:21 133:20 | 585 120:21 | 8.22.2.D $71: 7$ |  |
| 133:21 136:5 | 122:22 | 75:20 78:10 |  |
| 3-foot 89:8 | 6 | 81:2 82:20 |  |
| 30 20:22 38:9 | $\frac{6}{615: 2232: 12}$ | 8:00 2:20 |  |
| 73:6 |  | 8:04 88:2 |  |
| 301 2:18 143:7 | 46:12 51:12 70:18 84:4 | 8:15 2:22 |  |
| 146:12 | 70:18 84:4 | 8:18 98:2 |  |
| 30A 5:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 3,4 \text { 94:5 } \\ & \text { 105:16 111:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 : 3 5} \text { 113:2 } \\ & \mathbf{8 0} 126: 3 \quad 147 \end{aligned}$ |  |

