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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the October 21, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. In due course, there will also be a transcript of these proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of
the public will state their names before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Matina Williams?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: [Silence]
OLIVIA RATAY: Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present, audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Gus Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I'm present as well.
(6:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. As is our practice, we will start with continued cases. We have two of them. Continued case is a case that has started at an earlier date, but for one reason or another has been continued until this evening.

As you may have heard from what we took for the attendance, at this point we only have four members, which is sufficient to have the hearing, but it makes the relief the petitioner is seeking more difficult to obtain, because you need four votes by state law.

And if there's only four of us, we need all four. If we had five, you could have a dissenter -- someone who's opposed, and still grant relief.

The first case I'm going to call -- I think we can proceed with four, because it's a case that's going to both continued, once again -- the case is 1923 Massachusetts Avenue. It's a case seeking a special permit.

We are in receipt -- the Board is -- of a letter
from Jake Shappy -- $S-h-a-p-p-y$, the authorized agent of $T$ Mobile, which is a petitioner with an interest here. And he says, "I am asking for an extension regarding the $T$-Mobile finding to modify the existing wireless telecommunications facility located at 1923 Mass Ave in Cambridge.
"I have been working with T-Mobile's RF Engineers, as well as our $A \& E$ to revise the drawings and supporting documents of this project to match the recommendations provided to us by the Planning Board on August 17, 2021, to the best of our ability.
"To this end, we are unable to provide completed revision at this time, and request an extension so that all points can be addressed" -- I've got a typo here -- "an extension so that all points that can be addressed are."

Given that it's only a motion to continue the case, as I said, it's up to the petitioner, but I think -but let me see, is anyone here interested on speaking on behalf of the petitioner? Mr. Shapty or anybody else?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not, which is not surprising under the circumstances. I have a note in
our files that the petitioner wants to continue the case until January 27, 2022.

Our assistant has just left. I'm sure that date is available, but I want to make sure before I take a motion. Is January '22 available? Okay.

The Chair moves -- and let me make a statement here too, and this is for the benefit of Mr. Shappy -- the continuance, which I assume we're going to grant, will be the second one we have granted. We have a practice of not granting more than two continuances; it has to be extraordinary circumstances.

So the petitioner should be aware that if this January 27 is the date, we're going to vote in all likelihood yes or no or whatever. So the petitioner should have that in mind, as he tries to be prepared for January 27.

With that I'll make a motion. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on January 27, subject to the following conditions: First, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, and that -- it is already done in connection with the prior continuance. And that's been taken care of.

Second, that a new posting sign reflecting the new date, January 27, and the -- well, same time, 6:00 p.m. That must be posted for the 14 days prior to the new hearing on January 27, just as it has been done in the past.

Failure to do that -- maintain a correct sign in the period of time that's identified, could mean the case will not be heard -- will mean the case will not be heard.

And lastly, to the extent that the petitioner will have new or revised plans, drawings, autosimulations and the like -- and it looks like they will -- they must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 27.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes to the continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will vote yes for the continuance.
[All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If Wendy -- yeah? No?
Okay. Anyway, we have four. The motion is passed. The case is continued until January 27.
(6:12 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams, and Wendy Leiserson

The Chair will now call Case Number No. 120040 -150 Cambridge Park Drive. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Mr. Rafferty, are you there?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On mute.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are you on mute? Yeah. LAURA WERNICK: Can't hear you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Unmute yourself, sir. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, we can't hear you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We cannot -- you're still on mute, Jim.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is a rarity -- Mr. Rafferty on mute. Usually we -- he has much to say.

WENDY LEISERSON: Given that we still can't hear Mr. Rafferty, I just wanted to announce my late arrival and send my apologies to the Board. This is Wendy Leiserson joining you for tonight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Wendy. We now
have the full complement of five, and all we're missing is the petitioner's attorney.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're having technical difficulties.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Maybe I should make a motion to deny relief. That might get him here very quickly.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Can I be heard now? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. We can hear you now. JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, that did the trick. [Noise]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear a lot. There's an echo, too. JAMES RAFFERTY: Two computers here. LAURA WERNICK: You have to turn off one. JACOB KAIN: Jim, the other computer worked. WENDY LEISERSON: Oh my gosh. JAMES RAFFERTY: Can you hear me now? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I can. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's quite an echo, Jim.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The echo's back now.

THE REPORTER: Mute your other computer.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Right, it's off. Yeah, I turned off my other computer.

LAURA WERNICK: That's good. You're good. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, your screen is frozen. WENDY LEISERSON: Now he's frozen. JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm sorry. Can I be heard now? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So far yes, we can. JAMES RAFFERTY: All right.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You weren't -- we couldn't hear you previously; your screen froze. Now we can hear you.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Hm. My apologies. This is not my forte.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before you went to law school.

JAMES RAFFERTY: They taught me how to use a staple gun there too, for these signs. Well, good evening, members of the Commission -- the Commission, the Board. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]

JAMES RAFFERTY: Take a deep breath.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're off to a good start, Jim.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hang up and start over.
JAMES RAFFERTY: There are -- it's jarring, because I see two of me on this screen. But I think I somehow am registered twice. But thank you for your tolerance. If I should proceed, Mr. Chair, I'll do so?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Please.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. So the Board may recall this case was continued about a month ago when it was on the agenda, because the Planning Board had requested to see the case, and we did so. We had an appearance before the Planning Board, and the Planning Board I know has sent a communication to the Board, which should be included in the Board file.

Essentially this is an application to add a -install a freight elevator in the building at 150 Cambridge Park Drive. There was some additional work being done as part of the renovations to the building, but that work including enclosing areas that are currently beneath overhangs on the building, that work was as-of-right, and
didn't present a DFA issue.
What's before the Board is the GFA associated with the new elevator shaft. It's a freight elevator shaft. And one of the reasons the Planning Board had requested to see the case; they had some reservations about the design of that.

The original application also included a loading dock -- an additional loading dock adjacent to the proposed freight elevator. That has since been removed from the proposal, although the notice in the application of the hearing makes reference to it. So the only remaining issue before the Board is the GFA contained in the elevator shaft.

On the call this evening is Jacob Klein from Elkus Manfredi, the architect for the project and Matthew Lerner of Longfellow Partners, who is the -- Longfellow Real Estate Partners -- is the property owner.

This is a building built pursuant to a special permit out at Cambridgepark Drive in the mid-'80s. It did not contain a freight elevator; there are only passenger elevators.

Some Board members may recall a few years ago, a companion building to this one on Cambridgepark Drive
application was applied for for a variance to install the freight elevator in that building as well. This application nearly mirrors that application, and it does present an opportunity to separate freight activity from passenger activity in the elevator, which does represent a challenge logistically for the people in the building, as well as the operation side of the activity.

The hardship associated with the variance: It's a modest amount of GFA. The increase in GFA, Mr. Kain can give us the exact number. Jacob, do you -- I know it's capped, based on the removal of the loading dock.

JACOB KAIN: I will have to take a look and see if I have that number, Jim. One moment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: According to your
application, actually the number of feet -- gross floor area -- goes down. But the GFA, well, that goes down as well. JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, thank you. That's a good point. And the only reason I hesitated is some of the GFA in the application is represented in the loading dock. But that's not there. So I was hoping Mr. Kain could give me the net new.

But as noted by the Chair, the reality is as a
result of additional work occurring in the building, including principally the inclusion of mechanical equipment into the building that currently doesn't exist: that mechanical equipment actually represents a reduction in GFA.

So, as noted by the Chair, the net reduction is actually about 4000 square feet below the existing condition, notwithstanding the fact that we're adding in the GFA.

This is a building that's over the allowed FAR. The site was rezoned many years later. The relief -- the GFA needed to construct the freight elevator is a variance matter, notwithstanding the fact that the net GFA here is below the existing.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The advertisement for the hearing -- you mentioned that the loading dock is going to be abandoned -- the proposed loading dock -- and you also identified, it says, "to construct a new elevator shaft for a freight elevator" -- which of course you've been talking to -- "and enclosed existing covered walkways surrounding the base of the building."

Is that enclosure a separate zoning relief?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. That's includes -- and
that's what $I$ was referring to earlier, Mr. Chair. That work doesn't require the variance.

I did include it in the petition since $I$ wanted to make clear that it is part of work being done at the building. But, as the Board knows, because that area is already a covered area, it is already included within the GFA.

So the variance application only applies to the GFA associated with the elevator shaft. The reference to it in the description with an attempt to be complete in explaining the changes to the plans.

So the portion of the work -- specifically that portion, the enclosure of the covered walkways -- are not part of the needed GFA contained in the application.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: But if you notice the Planning Board comment, the Planning Board did review that, because in addition to opining on the case, as reviewing BZA cases, the project also -- because it was originally approved by a Planning Board special permit, we went to a Design Review hearing at the Planning Board, and the Planning Board Review, including the interior changes to the building.

All of that work is reflected in the Planning Board comments. So the vast majority of what's contained in the Planning Board comment makes reference to these enclosure areas. But, as I noted, they are not part of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The letter of support from the Planning Board we have received says the -- I'll go to the conclusion, "The Board approved the alteration to the site plan with continuing Design Review by CDD staff for the following" -- and identifies four items. Do you will also have ongoing dealings with the Planning Board?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, is the --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Not from the zoning point, not from the zoning point of view.

JAMES RAFFERTY: No. So the design issues, the -some of the landscape features and the like, it was agreed particularly the coloration on the freight elevator -- the panels that Mr. Kain has selected and the colored part.

There's been a request that the coloration and other items -- design related items -- continue to be reviewed at CDD before the work begins. So there's dual jurisdiction here. The Planning Board has jurisdiction by
virtue of the fact that it is a change to a building that they approved many years ago, and then the jurisdiction of the BZA relates to the GFA associated with the freight elevator. That's the only part of the work that represents additional GFA.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. That's now clear. Any further comments you want to make at this point, Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I think I'm good. As I said, Mr. Kain's available if there are questions about any of the design. And we'd be happy to answer any further questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Well, let's see what the Board members -- if they have any questions. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. I have no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I have no questions at this time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I have no questions at this
time.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. one question, Mr.
Rafferty. The change in the table -- the dimensional requirements that you made between your original filing and the July filing, I assume that the dimensional table that you filed in July is the one that the Planning Board reviewed, and there have been no more changes, is that correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, no. The planning -- well, I shouldn't say that. So we did file an amended dimensional form with the application. But that's a reflection of the freight -- the loading dock coming out.

So we did submit a complete update to the Planning Board and shared with them the new information. So they were not as focused on the BZA dimensional form, but we did note in our presentation that the requested -- as a result of the modification, which candidly were driven by review by CDD Staff in an exploration of its impact on the site.

So the Planning Board was mindful of the fact that in addition to their review, we needed this GFA variance to construct the elevator shaft.

WENDY LEISERSON: Well, for our purposes tonight, the Table that you submitted in July is the Table of

Dimensions that we should be deciding on?
JAMES RAFFERTY: No. The dimensional form has a date stamp that I'm looking at from your files -- has an ISD stamp of September 20.

WENDY LEISERSON: Let me just see. I might be -oh, you're right. September 20.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: I think you have many matters on
the docket tonight. So I might be thinking of another one; I'm not sure.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh, yes. I don't like clients to know that, so I'd appreciate if we didn't mention it. They think I'm -- they think they're the only case $I$ have.

WENDY LEISERSON: Well, you certainly have the most interesting ones so far.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh, thank you.
WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. So it's the September 20 dimensions that we're looking at?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. And then I guess my only question is, just as you -- I think you've answered this already, but the dramatic difference in the numbers between
the two tables is because you realized that portions -because your existing conditions changed, as well the requested conditions? And that was because of changes in your calculations?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, that's principally -- the changes in the numbers are related to two things: One, the elimination of the loading dock, which wasn't a lot, but frankly the introduction of mechanical equipment into areas of the building that previously were included within the definition of gross floor area.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Wendy. I have no questions as long -- not only as well as Wendy, but as well as the other members of the Board. So with that, I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a moment or two to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope. No one wishes to call in, so I will close public testimony. I think the way we've been doing it -- and I would propose to do it now is I'll make a motion to grant the relief. And then we can have any further discussion off that motion -- in favor or not in favor, or to modify.

So, on that basis, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that the need for a larger freight elevator and that requires a variance that's being sought.

The hardship is owing to the soil conditions and the like and the property and the shape of the structure, that's particular to the structure and not to the zoning district in which it's located.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this
ordinance.

The Chair would note in this regard that this
proposal has the support of the Planning Board. It is in a part of the city and an area where the visual impact of this proposed relief is nil -- or as close to nil as possible.

And generally it's just another matter of
improving a business use in an area of the city where it's no harm no foul.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the relief requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Elkus Manfredi Architects dated September 23, 2021, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would support that motion, and I would vote to grant the requested relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Oh, I'm sorry. You said,
"Tina." I support the motion.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And you're -- I'm
sorry, did you say you were in favor?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes. Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura.

LAURA WERNICK: I'm in support of the motion. I vote in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson in support of the motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes that way as well. So it's unanimous.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Variance granted.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. My
apologies for the confusion in the beginning.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No problem at all.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. I find these elevator
cases have their ups and downs, so --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
JAMES RAFFERTY: -- hopefully the next case won't
have the same problem.
(6:32 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number No. 140148 -- 48 Pearl Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JONATHAN MILLER: Hi. Yes, my name is Jonathan Miller. I'm an architect for the project. Let me turn on my video real quick. There we go.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me ask you a question at the outset. Is this property being occupied by the petitioners right now? I mean, are they living there?

JONATHAN MILLER: They are not currently living there, no.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

JONATHAN MILLER: No, they're not currently living there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Are they planning to move in, I assume after the work?

JONATHAN MILLER: Yes. Precisely, precisely. So

I'm Jonathan Miller. I'm the architect for the project, with Frank Shirley Architects. Good evening to you all.

Also here are Lauren Resnick and Eric Neilsen, the property owners. Lauren is going to walk you through why we're here, and then I'll do a brief presentation on the design component.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
LAUREN RESNICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. May I be recognized?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear you.
LAUREN RESNICK: Okay, great. Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity to all the members of the Board to speak today. My name is Lauren Resnick. This is Eric Neilsen, my partner.

We are longtime Massachusetts residents who both went to college in the area. And when we first met, we both lived in Cambridge and have long loved this city. We have more recently resided in Boston, but last year we had the opportunity to buy a home in Cambridge. And we're thrilled to return to the area.

Our Cambridge home is on 48 Pearl Street. It's a single-family rowhouse on the corner of Pearl and Franklin

Streets in Central Square. We're restoring the home, and it will remain a single-family home for our use.

The home has three stories. The third floor has a spiral staircase that ascends to a head house structure, which opens onto an existing group deck.

Our petition today is about that head house structure on the roof. The staircase that leads to the roof deck and the existing head house do not meet today's billing code and safety standards. The stair is too narrow, and the head house is not tall enough to accommodate a codecompliant clearance.

This requires us to seek relief from this Board today. So the head house may be built 1.1 feet taller than its existing height. This reconstruction will not increase the size of the roof deck. In fact, the roof deck area will be slightly decreased.

So I wanted to give you a background of how we got here today. 40 Pearl Street is in the C-2A Residential Zoning District, which has a permitted building height of 60 feet.

The building also falls within the Central Square Overlay District. That Overlay District has an as-of-right
height limit of 55 feet, and also allows heights up to 80 feet by special permit. However, for the Central Square Overlay District, a special rule applies only to C-2A base zoning districts, which sets a maximum height of 45 feet and removes the ability to seek a special permit.

We originally sought all the zoning relief required for this project from the Planning Board, including the request for additional height in the form of special permits.

We had a community meeting in which the two direct abutters to the property expressed support for the project. We had meeting with the Central Square Advisory Committee, which unanimously supported the project as well.

And at the hearing before the Planning Board, however, doubt was raised about the Board's authority to grant a special permit just as to the height question. That question was referred to the Law Department, which concluded that the Planning Board would not have that authority.

So the legal opinion stated that we would have to instead apply for a variance before the Board of Zoning Appeals. And that's why we're here today. The Planning Board voted to grant the remaining special permits regarding
setbacks and open space, and allowed us leave to withdraw the request for the height special permit without prejudice to seeking that relief here today before this Board.

The Planning Board issued its written decision, which was filed with the City Clerk on October 5. And you can find that decision in Case PB\#380.

So why should relief be granted today? Well, we're asking the Board to grant the zoning relief we need to reconstruct the stair and head house in a safe and codecompliant manner.

As we have stated, there's a hardship here quite simply because keeping the stair and head house as it is in its current condition is less safe than the code-compliant, reconstructed stair and head house would be under the new design.

Allowing the extra foot of height will have a minimum impact on the community, but substantial impact on the interior construction of this home and how it will be used by us.

The current hardship is related to the shape of the structure. That current structure is not wide enough or tall enough to safely accommodate a building code-compliant
stair, the head clearance needed and the door opening. This hardship is also unique to this very small subset of rowhouses.

As I mentioned above, in the C-2A Residential District, buildings are allowed to be 60 feet tall by right. And in the Central Square Overlay District, almost all the other buildings may be 50 feet tall by right, or even up to 80 feet tall by special permit.

The height limit of 45 feet is only applying to the 10 rowhouses on this block that fall within the Overlay District. And this is the only one that has a preexisting roof deck. This project will not negatively affect the neighborhood. Our experience before the Planning Board speaks to this issue.

We've had community support throughout the process. We've been in touch regularly with our direct abutters, both at 46 Pearl Street and at 203 Franklin Street -- who are supportive of our restoration plans.

Neighbors have spoken in support at the community meeting we held, and again at our Planning Board hearing. The Central Square Advisory Committee found, in their words, -- "that the project does not affect adjacent neighbors, nor
does it interfere with the public realm or pedestrian views."

And the Planning Board concluded in its decision that assuming we get the relief necessary from the Board of Zoning Appeals, the project in their words, "Would not adversely affect adjacent uses," and that, "the proposal is consistent with the pattern of development in the area, and will have minimal impact on abutters and city infrastructure."

We reiterate that the redesign head house will not detract in any way from the neighborhood character aesthetic. The height increase we're asking for is minimal, only being 1.1 feet, and it will be minimally visible from adjacent streets.

The architectural design is consistent with the existing structure and those in the neighborhood, and the reconstructed head house will markedly improve the exterior condition of the property.

We ask today that you grant the zoning relief necessary for us to move forward on this restoration project. And we're available for any questions you might have for us.

But now we'd like to turn it over to Jonathan Miller for the remaining presentation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you for a very thorough presentation. I would just comment that we rarely grant variances for height. But this is an unusual case. You're not raising the roof -- the whole structure beyond where -- beyond the 35 feet, 45 feet that's permitted by -required by our ordinance.

You have your compelling reason why you need to do it, it seems to me, to create a code-compliant stair. That's just my personal observation. At this point, Brendan, do you have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] the question I had when I first reviewed the case was a head house to a deck on the roof, and then obviously pulling down all of the submittals and noticing that it was a very large house, and the deck was above the third floor, which is counted as FAR -- floor area ratio.

Went to your dimensional form and noticed that you're really just under the requirement for the ordinance. And who was it that made out the dimensional form?

And I'm just wondering how the 2856, which was the
existing conditions, how was that calculated? Was it Mr. Miller, or is it Lawrence?

JONATHAN MILLER: Hi. Mr. Miller speaking. I did make that calculation. The existing head house is about 28 square feet, and the -- I'm sorry, about 26 -- and the proposed is 28. However, due to the location of the reconstructed head house, we actually slightly cut off a corner of the roof deck. So that took a little bit of our GFA away.

The roof deck was, of course, included in the GFA calculations, as you point out. It is required to be, due to its location up on the -- above the third floor. So our net was actually a little bit less -- negative 2 from the original.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, but -- and I guess the question I had was, what compiled the 2854 square feet, starting from the basement? So that FAR was included? First floor, second floor, third floor and the deck?

JONATHAN MILLER: First floor, second floor, third floor, the deck and I believe half of the garage was calculated, because one spot was required.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. How long has the deck
been up there?
JONATHAN MILLER: Laura?
LAUREN RESNICK: Yes. I can speak to that. So the homeowner we purchased from before we -- the seller that we bought from -- has had the property for over 25 years, and it was in place when she bought the property.

I don't know the exact date that the roof deck might have been installed; however, there are aerial photographs available on the Cambridge GIS website that we've looked at, and I believe I saw one from the 1996 or '97 time frame that showed the roof deck installed, or installed on the roof in that timeframe.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. Well, I checked the -I asked the Building Department to check their records, and there was never a building permit issued for the construction of that deck. So it was just all of a sudden, you were asking to allow for the reconstruction or construction of the bigger head house or the deck that all of a sudden just appeared someday.

So there is no record in the file of it ever receiving a building permit and/or potential zoning relief, if it was over the FAR, which apparently according to your

```
dimensional forms is still under the required FAR, which
I've found a little bit hard to believe because it's a big
house. But that's okay. If that's your submittal, that's
your testimony, that's fine. I have no other further
comments at this time.
```

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thanks, Brendan. Laura, any questions or comments at this point?

LAURA WERNICK: No. I don't have any comments. I was concerned that this might set a precedent, but I don't think that it can. There are so many existing -- such specific existing constraints and conditions that it is unique and would not set any precedent. So I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I have no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I do have questions, but they're mostly questions of constructing the ordinance. And that is, given -- as you testified very well -- the complications of where your house is located, in the Overlay District, it does say, "No additional height shall be permitted."

And I also -- I think -- this is a clarification question, from what you said, you gave notice of requiring or requesting this relief as a special permit, but did you go back, did you give the required notice that you were seeking a variance now?
[Pause]
WENDY LEISERSON: You did?
LAUREN RESNICK: Yes.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Because I didn't see that in the file. So have they -- has the Advisory Committee addressed it? Did they confirm that they would approve this as a variance?

LAUREN RESNICK: So I don't believe the Central Square Advisory Committee speaks to variances. But I defer to others on the question.

The project that we are presenting today is in no way changed in terms of the dimensions shown, the plans shown, all of that to what was presented to the Central Square Advisory Committee.

And the Central Square Advisory Committee reviewed all of the requested relief -- all four at the time listed as special permits when they found a project -- when they
expressed unanimous support for the project, in terms of how it would be built. So I don't believe there was a requirement to go back to them.

The Planning Board took the position based on the legal opinion that it was not their jurisdiction to consider a variance.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. I think I'm referring to Section 20.304.12 -- just, it's a technical matter. And I know it's being hyper technical, but that's kind of my job to, you know, look at the language of the ordinance. And it does say that notice of applications for variances has to go to the Committee.

So that -- but I'm not -- it's not a dealbreaker for me, but I just wanted to note that and get my colleague's interpretation of that.

And then also, like I said, I sort of wonder -and I'd also like to hear from my colleagues on this about the impact of 20.304 .2 1b, where it does say, you know, "Notwithstanding the other provisions" -- "yadayada," -- no additional height shall be allowed," even though this is very minimal -- and I hate to be, you know, you have very good reasons for wanting to seek this.

But then as Brendan pointed out, the reason why you want the staircase in the first place is to get your roof deck that was never authorized, apparently.

And as you yourself said, it is not -- you have the only roof deck in that neighborhood, in that Overlay District. So I just want to raise those issues and say -LAUREN RESNICK: May I?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. Please, go ahead. LAUREN RESNICK: I'm sorry. I was only speaking to the row of houses we have there. The Central Square Overlay District is very, very broad -- covers a lot of the area of Mass Ave. So I actually cannot speak to whether there are roof decks elsewhere in the Central Square Overlay District. I'm speaking solely to the 10 rowhouses that line the small block between Green Street and Franklin Street on Pearl. There's no roof deck on any of those other rowhouses.

WENDY LEISERSON: And -- I'm sorry, did you say, though, that those 10 houses were the only ones in C-2A? LAUREN RESNICK: No, there are two -- yes, the only ones I -JONATHAN MILLER: That is --

LAUREN RESNICK: -- sorry, go ahead Jonathan. JONATHAN MILLER: So there's a kind of miniature C-2A district that $I$ believe is the only $C-2 A$ within the Central Square Overlay District. There are other C-2A in the -- elsewhere in the city, but this particular district is very small and only for this particular block of rowhouses.

WENDY LEISERSON: Right. And that's sort of the crux of the issue before us is how to -- I think -- is how, given that Overlay Districts require us to have, you know, greater scrutiny not lesser scrutiny, and this particular row of houses -- you know, does lie within that Overlay District... my question is more -- like I said, a technical matter of interpreting what we can do for you, given this very small request, and in lieu of Brendan's comment about the roof deck, which is concerning to me.

LAUREN RESNICK: May I submit one response to that?

WENDY LEISERSON: Please.
LAUREN RESNICK: Okay. The legal opinion that was submitted by the Law Department explicitly stated that we would have to seek this relief before the BZA as a variance,
instead of pursuant to this -- we originally the cited the provision you're talking about when we sought relief initially before the be Planning Board. And they said that provision meant that we couldn't seek it there; we had to instead seek our relief before the BZA, in the form of a variance.

WENDY LEISERSON: And I'm not looking at my electronic file right now, but is that legal opinion in the packet that you submitted?

LAUREN RESNICK: I don't know whether it's in the packet here, but it is in the packet for PB\#380. I'll ask Jonathan if it was part of this packet.

JONATHAN MILLER: I don't believe it was submitted as part of this packet.

LAUREN RESNICK: I think it's quoted also -there's a direct quote from the legal opinion on this subject in the PB\#380 decision.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you. That's my only questions for now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions at this point beyond the ones I've already asked. So I will close public testimony -- no, I haven't opened it yet. We
will now open the matter up to public testimony.
JONATHAN MILLER: Sorry, I do have -- we do have drawings that we can present if the Board would like to see them. I know you have them in front of you, but I can run through them, if that would be useful to the Board.

LAURA WERNICK: I think the presentation -- the drawings are great, but $I$ think the presentation's been pretty clear. So I'm not sure that I need to see additional graphic --

JONATHAN MILLER: Great.
LAURA WERNICK: -- graphic information.
JONATHAN MILLER: Great. That's fine by me.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to call in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. We will close public testimony and move on to the decision part of our hearing with regard to this case. As I did before, and
have done in the past, $I$ will make a motion to grant the relief, and then we'll have a further discussion off that motion to see if that's acceptable to the other members of the Board.

I propose we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought that: A literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being that there is a need for a code-compliant stair to the head house on the roof that in turn requires modification of the house on the roof.

And that -- where there's the variance comes from -- the hardship is owing to the shape of the structure and the -- well, the shape of the structure and it's unique to the structure, not to the neighborhood generally -- and that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this Ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds submitted by the petitioner, the first page of which has been initialed by the Chair -- these are
the plans that are in our files as of tonight.
Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] if this were a de novo case -- and I think the Chair has said a request for a deck and a -- with the higher head house, I think it would have difficulty. There are some issues that I still feel surround this and cloud this old application.

However, the deck is there, it appeared, the head house is there. And I think the raising of the head house to one foot, which allows for a safer entry and exit to the deck is probably fair and reasonable at this time. So I would vote to split the motion to grant the relief requested.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Brendan.
Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I would vote in favor as well. I appreciate Brendan and Wendy's concerns about the existing deck, but it is -- the appellant has very carefully tried to follow procedures and reach out to neighbors, and the deck seems to have little impact and is in fact supported by neighbors.

So for us to either leave that as an unsafe
situation or take it away seems to be a draconian solution. So I'm totally in favor of --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
LAURA WERNICK: -- granting it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Hi. [Matina Williams] -- I do agree with Brendan, but $I$ am in favor of supporting the motion.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Matina. Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: For the reasons that Brendan and Laura articulated, I will also vote in favor of the motion, despite my concerns.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. The Chair will also vote in favor of the motion.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Again, if this was being a de novo situation where you're coming before us to build the deck and a head house of the height that you're proposing, you would not get my vote. But this is a different situation. And so $I$ will vote in favor. It makes the vote unanimous. Variance granted. Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I must say, Lauren, you came prepared.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yep.
(6:55 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number No. 140631 -- 8 Greenough Avenue. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter? I can see someone, but I can't hear her.

CATHERINE TRUMAN: Not sure how this works; yes. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, just -- you're speaking -- we hear you.

CATHERINE TRUMAN: Okay, great. I also have my hand raised digitally.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry? Do you want to make your presentation?

CATHERINE TRUMAN: Oh, okay. Great. Sorry. Hi. My name is Catherine Truman, with Catherine Truman Architects. We are the architect for the project at 8 Greenough. I know that the owners, Orly and Tomer Ullman, are also here.

I believe -- so we -- the proposal that we have in
place is to expand a dormer on one side of the building. It is a preexisting, nonconforming building for a side yard setback. It is, I believe 5.1 feet from the property line, where the zoning has required 7.5.

There is a small dormer on that side of the house right now that allows the very, very tight, very small non code-compliant stair to access a third floor.

Our proposal, we are renovating the house to create a new single-family home, and in doing so, we want to create a useable stair to access the living space on the third floor.

We studied numerous ways to try and incorporate a stair into the house, and the best solution was to look at expanding the location of the current stair and coming up along that side of the property, expanding the dormer to create adequate head height, or a stairway with proper rise and run.

As a part of the remodel, we've also looked at moving around some windows on the east façade as well, which I believe is a part of this application. But the major element is expanding the existing dormer and, which then is partly because it's a preexisting, nonconforming for setback
that the work does require the variance.
It also triggers a -- I don't actually have the specifications right in front of me, I should, I'm sorry -that there is a requirement that dormer expansions not be more than 15 feet total in length. We have another dormer on the other side of the building that is also existing. And the total of that existing dormer and this new one is over 15 feet total.

The other dormer on the other side is quite visible, and the building is meant for our district. And so we did not want to look at, you know, removing or minimizing that other dormer.

The elevations, which are on page 4 I believe, should show -- oh, no, I'm sorry. Those are the other two sides. The house in the north is the street-facing side. The west is the -- oh, sorry, these are the existing ones. The west is the one that has an existing dormer we are not touching. It is more visible and less historic. The east elevation, where you can see on the bottom right is the existing small dormer that just allows a little bit of head height into the third floor.

The next page shows -- those are not reproducing
well on the screen at the moment; my apologies. They're very -- there's a lot of line (sic) weight going on there. Perhaps the resolution will improve. The -- maybe if you zoom in on the east elevation, that will be a little more visible?
[Pause]
CATHERINE TRUMAN: Hm. Yeah, the graphics seem to be a very small file. A lot of pixilations; my apologies. So what this shows is actually the locations of the existing windows, the new proposed windows, but most importantly the expansion of the dormer, which you can see in the dashed lines at the existing -- and then the expanded dormer at 14 foot nine. I'm happy to describe more, but I think that summarizes the case.

Are there any questions, or is there anything that I should elaborate on that I have not?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: NO questions at this time, no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina? Matina, do you have any questions?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Sorry. No questions at this
time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no
questions as well. With that, I will now open -- well, before $I$ open the matter up to public testimony, I would -for the record, I would state that there are a number of letters of support from neighbors in the area; strong support, no letters of opposition.

And again, we're looking at a special permit that meets the requirements of -- looking for the statute: 8.22.2(4).

So because of that, the finding -- we have to make a finding that the -- what are being proposed is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood. We've got testimony I suppose already from the neighbors that say, "No it's not, because we have no objection to it." But we also have to make further findings regarding two special permits generally, which I'll get to in a second.

So, but before we go there, I'll open the matter
up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak. [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No indication that there is, so I will close public testimony, and we can move on to the decision part of the case. So, as I said, I'll make the following motion:

First of all, in the specific requirements of 8.22.2(d), which says that the Chair moves that we make the finding that what is being proposed is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood. And evidence of that $I$ think is the fact that the neighborhood is all in active support of the relief being sought.

Going on further now to 10.43 , and the criteria under that section, I move that the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit being requested.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or
egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

Let the facts speak for themselves; it is a modest change to the structure, and has no impact on our -- no creation of a hazard of creating congestion or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of what is proposed. And again, the support from the neighborhood speaks to that.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the structure, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested by the petitioner on the condition -- if I can find the file -on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with
plans prepared by Margaret Truman and Catherine and have been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will make it unanimous. I vote yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The relief is granted. Good luck.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Catherine, you had mentioned the dormer -- the addition to the -- well, the existing one to expand it to 14 foot 9, which results in a combined
length of all dormers to exceed 15 feet. Was that sort of a reading of the dormer guidelines?

CATHERINE TRUMAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Just so that -- for your information and edification, that 15 feet actually applies to each side.

CATHERINE TRUMAN: Oh.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not cumulatively. So going forward.

CATHERINE TRUMAN: Okay, great. That's a little unclear in the writing of -- great to know. And just for the record, you mentioned plans in accordance with Margaret Truman; it's Catherine Truman, not Margaret.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Catherine, right, yeah. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
CATHERINE TRUMAN: Thank you very much, Madam Chair.
(7:06 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving onto the next case, the Chair will call Case Number No. 141587 -- 7 Malcolm Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think you're on mute, Jim.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now we can hear you, yes.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize. I attempted to use the computer that $I$ always do this on. So I'm back to my laptop. Thank you very much. I'm here representing the applicant, George Finn and Christine Waite, W-a-i-t-e and you can see them on the screen there, Mr. Finn and Ms. Waite.

This is a two-family home on Malcolm Road in West Cambridge. It has been the home of Mr. Finn and Ms. Waite for 20 years now. They live there with their two -- well,
teenagers, one 15-year-old daughter and another soon-to-be 11-year-old daughter.

And the application is to allow for some additional GFA to construct a dormer.

The appellant seeks a special permit authorized by the recent amendment to Article 8, Section 8.22.2(d), and I would suggest that this is just the type of case that that amendment is intended to address, since the finding associated with that is that the proposed work would not have a detrimental effect upon the neighborhood. This represents an increase of only 75 square feet of gross floor area. But it has a significant impact on the quality of life of the Finn family.

They live on the second floor of a traditional, laid-out two-family. It has two bedrooms. The introduction of the dormer will allow them to bring a bedroom and a bathroom upstairs that the parents will enjoy and an additional sitting room upstairs.

Mr. Finn is a 20-year teacher at Cambridge Rindge and Latin, but they're a growing family. They're looking to stay in the neighborhood, and they have done extensive outreach with their neighbors and abutters.

And the letters of support are in the file that reflect that. Of significance, even Mr. Finn's mother, who lives downstairs, wrote a letter of support in this case.

So the added relief here really is addressed in the context of 8.22. The remaining criteria under the special permit in Section 10 I think is also quite obvious in terms of compatibility with other uses, impacts on traffic, and the like. There will be no change in the intensity of use of this.

And, as I said, this is the very case I think that this new amendment was intended to address by special permit, rather than having to go through the burden of proving a hardship to obtain a variance.

We are happy to walk you through -- any of the members -- the dormer, it's a single dormer. It's 15 feet in length and it is set back considerably from the ends of the house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm looking at this right now for the statu -- the errata, and then the -- to our zoning ordinance, if $I$ can properly identify it. But in the meantime while I'm doing that, Brendan, do you have any questions?

JAMES RAFFERTY: It appears in Section 8 -- I'm sorry --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're claiming collection (sic) under Bellalta because there are no new nonconformities?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, correct. The expansion of the GFA, the house already exceeds GFA, right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
JAMES RAFFERTY: But the statutory reference in our ordinance is 8.22.2(d). Right. So, but to correct -to Mr. Sullivan's point, yes, the case qualifies under that provision that emanated from the Brookline case, the Bellalta case that this house already exceeds the allowable GFA, so this represents an additional 75 square feet of GFA; it doesn't introduce any other new nonconformities.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. An interesting question from my mind -- it's not a legal question, I guess it is, it's not relative to the merits here -- is that if a property is entitled to the benefits of the Bellalta Amendment, you otherwise have to get -- do you have to go through the procedures for a general -- for special permits
generally?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Because as I read it, I don't think you do. But I'm not sure. It's got to be safe for both the --

JAMES RAFFERTY: It's my understanding that the way the amendment has been applied, and it's referred to in 8.22.2(d) -- it does make a reference to Section -- the 10.43 criteria as well, though. It does say --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I looked for that and I couldn't find it.

JAMES RAFFERTY: You know, part of the problem is the amendment, although passed and codified, doesn't appear in many versions. You have to go --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It has no movement, because it's not crucial to this case.

JAMES RAFFERTY: -- $2(d)$--
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There's no mention -- I have it in front of me. The 8.22.2(d), and there's no reference at all to the rest of the requirements for a special permit under 10.43.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

WENDY LEISERSON: The last few words of that provision, is that not what you were looking for?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, the last few words are that, "In order to approve the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal must find that the additional alteration is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood."

Actually, I'm not reading from the statute, I'm reading from the summary of it from the Legal Department.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. I'm looking at the ordinance itself, and the last phrase says that, "The alteration and enlargement satisfies the criteria" in Section 10.43.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. Ms. Leiserson is correct. It is -- it is -- that language appears in the text of the ordained amendment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anyway, we'll go through it with that. We'll cover that area as well.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. And the application
contains supporting statements covering that provision. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, do you have any other questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I have no questions. I think, Mr. Rafferty, you already represented that there was no new dimensional nonconformity introduced by the creation of a dormer, correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: That's correct. It's strictly the GFA; the height and open space and setbacks are unchanged.

WENDY LEISERSON: I'd have a question for you, since I have the benefit of your expertise here. For dormers in general on a nonconforming structure, would we have any other heightened scrutiny for that?

Like, do dormers trigger any other heightened scrutiny? It's just a question for you, it's not going to the merit bed.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I know the Board pays close attention to the dormer, the Design Guidelines of the
dormers. So perhaps that does represent heightened scrutiny in the sense that a 75-square-foot addition to a nonconforming structure that wasn't contained in the dormer wouldn't go through the same scrutiny as a dormer would, based on the existence of the guidelines.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My view, maybe it's wrong, is that if the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of the Bellalta amendment, that we don't -- the dormer guidelines are sort of superseded, if you will. Because new dormer guidelines are not a zoning requirement.

And I don't think we can turn something down that satisfies the Bellalta amendment on the basis of the dormer guidelines. I think now the dormer guidelines only apply to non 2. -- whatever the section is -- cases. That's my personal view. I've not heard from the Legal Department as to what their views are.

But again, for this case it's not meeting it. So with that, can we go all the way around? I'm not sure. Laura, did you have a chance to -- do you have any questions?
LAURA WERNICK: I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I have none more beyond what I've already asked. So, as Mr. Rafferty's pointed out, there are a number of letters from neighbors all in support of the petition, no letters in opposition.

I will open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one is, so we will close public testimony. And as we've been doing tonight already, I'm going to make a motion to grant the relief and also discuss the case off that motion.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings: That what is being proposed is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood -- a modest, as Mr. Rafferty's pointed out -- a modest dormer addition.

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be
met unless we grant the special permit -- excuse me, that's being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. The change in fact is not going to impact traffic or patterns of access and egress, and it won't make a substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The facts speak for themselves in that regard, and particularly relating to the substantial and unanimous neighborhood support for what is being proposed.

That continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. Letters of support speak to that very clearly. The neighborhood does not believe it will be an adverse effect not neighborhood.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit being requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by Architecture Design Planning dated February 17,2021.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: For the record, I will vote in favor. However, I'm not sure that I agree with the construction of Bellalta meaning that it supersedes the dormer guidelines. However, in this case, Attorney Rafferty has presented that he has been -- his design has been informed by those guidelines. And therefore, I'm in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I'm voting in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams in favor of the
petition.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes in favor
as well.
[All vote YES]
Relief granted. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much.
(7:20 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will next call Case Number No. 143864 -- 5 Bennett Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you again, Mr. Chair. Good evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Before you start, before you proceed, though --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I did get the letter that you sent, and the plans that were delivered to me by Maria Pacheco this afternoon, with regard to the hearing that was held at the Harvard Square Association.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Excuse me. I believe that's the next case, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. It's 5 Bennett Street, isn't it? No?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. I thought -- I'm sorry,
are we -- I thought we were doing -- is this 5 Bennett? I thought it was 11 Berkeley.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no. 11 Berkeley has windows on nonconforming walls.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize. I apologize.
Right. That's the next one. Okay. Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My question is, originally
-- as you probably know -- the Harvard Square Association, get the exact name, asked that we continue this case, because they had not had a chance to review the plans and the like, and they wanted that.

And I gather you had -- someone created a meeting that happened yesterday, or -- right? In the last day or two. But the result is that the Harvard Square Committee did vote in favor of the relief you're seeking? Am I correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, you are correct in the second part; there was a duly noticed hearing in the -- of the Harvard Square Advisory Committee. We sent a copy of the application to the Advisory Committee after it was filed with the BZA.

We were assigned the date last evening. The date
was only one day before this hearing. But the Committee saw the case.

I did summarize the activity, because I was present for it and we included in the letter for Ms. Pacheco because it didn't -- it wasn't clear to me that there was sufficient time for the Staff perhaps to provide the Board with that information.

So I did include it, including the most notable inclusion was the Board -- the Advisory Committee voted unanimously in favor of supporting the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, that's where I'm a little confused a bit. They've had their hearing now. When they first wrote to our Board, they said they recommend that the BZA continue our hearing tonight until they can receive a report from the Harvard Square Advisory Committee. This is from Sarah --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- Sarah Scott.
JAMES RAFFERTY: So I learned of the existence of that request today. What the request, as I understood it from reading it, was Ms. Scott of the CDD staff --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.

JAMES RAFFERTY: -- did not feel there would be adequate time between last night's hearing and today's hearing to prepare a report. And I think it's for that reason she suggests in her e-mail to Ms. Pacheco that the BZA might consider continuing the case. The hearing did take place as scheduled. No members of the public attended.

I took the liberty of summarizing what was said. I included both of the staff people from Community Development on my e-mail today, so that if there was any chance that anything contained in the e-mail was found not to be consistent with their experience at the hearing, they would be able to do so.

But I -- we have met before the Advisory Committee, and they voted unanimously last night to support the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. But my concern is that I think we do need to hear a formal recommendation or comment from the Community Development, and we don't have that. It seems to me we should wait until we receive that before hearing this case. I appreciate what you've said, and -- well, the indications are that there will be no objection or problems from the Community Development

Department, but we don't know that.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, with all due respect, there is my representation, and $I$ would hope that there isn't a I would hope that there isn't a suggestion that there's a lack of veracity in what I'm representing?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no, no, no. There's none of that. Don't suggest that. I just want something from Sarah Scott or someone from the Community Development telling me that. That's the way -- I mean, she requested a continuance until they can receive a report from the Harvard Square Advisory Committee.

And we -- I don't know if -- they must have received the report that you referred to, which was discussed last night, but $I$ don't know that.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Huh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think we need to rush this case for a decision, until I hear from community Department, or this Board hears from Community Development. So I'm going to propose that we continue this case as a case not heard since we didn't get into the merits of the case in any way.

I don't think tonight's the night. Other than --
well, I'll open it to the other members of the Board.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. This is Brendan
Sullivan. I think that the petitioner has probably done what was requested of them. I think the crucial cog in this thing was to go before the Harvard Square Advisory Committee, get a report from them.

I think the onus was on Community Development to be up to speed on this particular case, and that they could have been, should have been aware that they were going before Harvard Square advisory, and they could have gotten that report in our file today, reviewed it. And I think that their comments could have been that, "We have received it, however, we maybe would like more time" or something.

But I think that the petitioner has done what they were supposed to do. And I think it would be onerous on us, I think, to delay it. I'm not sure what CDC could add to it. But, you know, we will always welcome their comments, their input.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear the case.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would go forward.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Other members of the Board? Wendy, what do you think?

WENDY LEISERSON: I wonder if we can hear it on the merits. I don't have any reason to doubt Mr. Rafferty's veracity on this, but --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, no.

WENDY LEISERSON: -- perhaps --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nobody's suggesting Mr. Rafferty --

WENDY LEISERSON: Right. Right. But perhaps we could include a condition that says, you know, "provided that we receive confirmation from whichever entity we want to hear from" to the outcome of the hearing last night. I wonder if that would be a way to solve this?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry? I didn't catch the last part of what you just said.

WENDY LEISERSON: I just wonder if imposing some kind of condition that we get, you know, some written confirmation from the Advisory Committee or the CDD, whichever we're wanting to hear from.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But you suggest we hear the case, and then not make a decision tied to receiving the report from CDD?

WENDY LEISERSON: I just wondering if that would
be a way to satisfy your concern.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't think that's a good idea, personally. Again, I'm only one of five -- I don't think that's a good idea. Let's hear from the CDC (sic), hear their words directly, and if there's something in there we don't like to or suggests we should deny relief, we'll discuss it. But $I$ think we're taking it out of order if we wait.

We have in our file a letter from the CDC asking that this case be continued. And tonight -- and I think as a matter of comity to our other fellow Boards in the city, we should accede to that request.

LAURA WERNICK: What was the dating of that -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The letter from -LAURA WERNICK: -- Gus?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I'll tell you in a
second. October 4, Monday.
JAMES RAFFERTY: So Mr. Chair? Mr. Chair, I would just note in terms of comity, I would have appreciated being informed by the staff person that they were seeking such a request. There is no report to come from CDD. There is a report of what transpired at last night's hearing, and CDD
is to report it.
So the request said there isn't enough time to prepare the report. That request was made before the hearing was ever held. So the hearing was held last night. Frankly, it feels a bit like form over substance. It was the unanimous decision, it's a rather modest request. It has no -- literally no visible impact upon the building.

And I did include in the -- my accounting of what took place the fact that there was some disappointment expressed at the loss of the swimming pool.

But the report, I don't see how the report would contain anything beyond what's contained in my communication, which was a discussion, a presentation by the architects -- the same presentation you'll be receiving this evening -- and the unanimous vote to support.

LAURA WERNICK: So, Gus, what might be --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me -- since you didn't see the letter from Sarah Scott, Mr. Rafferty, I have it in front of me.

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, excuse me. I saw it today for the first time. I wasn't given the courtesy of being informed that she intended -- that she had on Monday put a
request before the Board to continue the case. It was only when $I$ was at the office today reviewing the file that $I$ learned that that request had been made without any notification to the applicant.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I'm sorry as to how this all proceeded, but those are the facts. I mean, maybe Ms. Scott can be criticized for how she's proceeded. But she did say -- let me read from her letter to our Board -"We only got materials last week." This is October -- right? This e-mail, as I indicated, is dated October 4. "Given the timing of the two meetings" -- she refers to, I think she's referring to our meeting and the meeting with the Harvard Square Advisory Committee" -- we won't -- "we," has got to be Community Development - "we won't be able to turn around a report before your October 21 meeting.

So I'd recommend that the BZA continue the hearing until they can receive a report from the Harvard Square Advisory Committee. We don't have a report, we have your report of what happened, which I'm sure --

JAMES RAFFERTY: I don't dispute that there is not a report from the Advisory Committee.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I agree with that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I just think given where we are in this thing, I don't think it's a terribly controversial case, but given -- you know, I think we have a -- we have to accommodate fellow Boards and other Advisory Committees, and they're squeezed. And so they feel they need more time to prepare a report from the Advisory Committee.

I very much appreciate your report of what happened there, but I -- that's how I feel. And I'm one of five. I'll ask -- I'll make a motion.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair? This is Wendy again. I actually will just say before you ask for a vote that I think you have made a persuasive point regarding accommodating fellow Boards. So I would also agree with the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I'm not sure that I do -- I'm not sure that I do agree. I think as I understood that letter, that they were asking for -- not to write a report themselves, but until there was a decision made by the Advisory Board; the Advisory Board had made a decision?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well I think they -LAURA WERNICK: So I'm not sure what else there is to do; a decision's been made.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: She anticipates that -according to, "she" being Sarah Scott, in the letters that I've been reading, is that they want to continue the case until they can receive a report from the Harvard Square Advisory Committee. There has been no --

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, no, no. Excuse me, excuse me, Mr. Chair. "They" don't receive anything. "They" being CDD, and there were two CDD staff people at the hearing -they prepared the report. And then that report goes to the BZA.

So, like I said, when I learned of that today, my first reaction was, "Gee, it wasn't the most complex case, I don't think the report would take that much time to prepare." Ms. Scott actually didn't attend the hearing, because she indicated she wasn't available. So another staff person from CDD was there, and ran the hearing. For years, Ms. Paden ran these hearings. She would turn the report around in about six hours the next day.

So I learned of this, $I$ thought it was relevant
that the Board -- I mean, the Board, it was not a close vote; it was -- there were no contentious issues -- it was unanimous.

But I certainly -- I'm respectful of this Board's time and the Advisory Committee. I know my client is eager. We've put a lot of time into what frankly is a rather modest change. But if I have complete understanding, I guess my question would be, if given the views expressed by the Chair and some others, might this be a case that could return in two weeks to this Board?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. Let me find out what our schedules like two weeks from now. Do we have any room?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do we have room? So we can continue this case to two weeks. And that will give -and contact Ms. Scott, and tell her we do need a report from whomever in our files, or the Harvard Square Advisory Committee, in advance of two weeks from tonight, so we can have a proceeding on that basis.

So two weeks work? Can we continue this case for two weeks, Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm looking at Mr. Attia, and I see his disappointment. But I understand the position the Board is in, and I'm respectful of needing to allow the Advisory Committee to be a part of this process. So yes, two weeks is appreciated.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on November 4. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura no to the continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes for the continuance. We'll see you in two weeks.
[Four vote YES, one vote NO]
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: They should change the sign immediately.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to reflect the new date and time, because the clock is still ticking for two weeks. So --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Appreciate it. I'll review that with Mr. Attia later tonight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I also need to sign the agreement to -- consent to the continuance; the waiver of time for a decision and it refers to the three conditions we imposed.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. So I will go by the office and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.

JAMES RAFFERTY: -- sign, yeah, yeah, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: See if we can get that done as well.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And obviously, if there are any -- if you're going to decide to modify -- not you,
your client -- the plans, specs, what have you, they have to be in our office by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before November 4.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood. And I don't mean to anticipate, but would it be a fair assumption that if in two weeks' time a report has not been produced by the Advisory Committee, that would not preclude the Board from going forward at that time?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Generally that's right. If we wanted to hear why we need that report, in any event, I'll get a good reason; you're right. We would hear the case in two weeks.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on.
(7:37 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number No. 142402 -- 11 Berkeley Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good evening. Again, James Rafferty on behalf of the applicants. There's also -- Project Architect, I believe is also present.

This is a rather simple, straightforward case. 11 Berkeley Street is a single-family home in a Residence A-2 Zone. The property contains a carriage house that was approved by a variance in 2007, and I would like to check and see how many of the Board members of this case were sitting on that case.

But I recognize two names. I also see a member by the name of "Hope" and I don't believe he does this anymore. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: He's not here.

JAMES RAFFERTY: But at any rate, the carriage
house has improved, and as constructed contains a living area in the second floor.

And the living area has a bathroom; it has a sitting area, and it has a kitchenette. But it doesn't have what distinguishes a kitchenette from a kitchen or a dwelling unit is if it had a cooking facility; if it had a stove.

So the owners of the property are -- have relocated here from California. They have some young children and parents visit. Those parents would be staying over this area. This is a use request for an accessory apartment to allow for the apartment to -- for the area over the carriage house to be used as an accessory unit.

As I said, there's no dimensional impact to what's being asked here. If you look at the floor plan, you'll see the only alteration would be the introduction of the cooking facility; the change to the kitchen.

So that's what's here by -- so the request is to allow by special permit as the Article 4 allows, for an accessory unit in this carriage house -- in this previously approved carriage house.

There's also a special permit request associated
with windows on a nonconforming wall in the main structure. But --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why don't we go through the requirements that have to be satisfied to get the accessory apartment in -- what is it, Section 4.22?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Happy to do so, right. And --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: For the record. We should
have it; I want to have a complete record.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood. So, as noted by the Chair, Section 4.22 places certain parameters and limitations upon these accessory apartments, largely related to the size of the accessory apartment and the size of the principal dwelling.

So the -- it says, "Prior to any alteration, the dwelling contained at least 1800 square feet." Well, that is certainly the case with the current home.

Another requirement is the lot contain at least 5000 square feet. This lot is considerably in consistence of that.

The dwelling has not been substantially enlarged since it was built -- that is also the case.

The owner of the residence must continue to occupy
at least one dwelling unit as their primary residence. They will occupy the main house as their principal primary residence.

And then the third criteria applies to two-family homes, which isn't applicable.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Any further comments in your presentation, Mr. Rafferty, or should --

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, but this image is helpful to the point I was trying to make. This is furnished space, GFA approved in the prior variance. You can see the second floor of the carriage house; it currently contains a bathroom and a sitting area.

What this alteration principally involves is the introduction a small kitchen within the already approved GFA in the second floor of their carriage house.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Brendan, do you have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I have no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina? Any questions?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Rafferty, I do have a question, which is what is the square footage of the apartment?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize.
HART ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT: It's 577 square feet.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you. And what in the prior case were -- can you represent, since I don't have the transcript of that prior case in front of me, were there any concerns raised by the Board at that time regarding the granting of the variance and future uses?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No. I have the decision right here. There's no reference to it. The issue at that time had to do -- frankly, the dimensional relief had to do with the height of the carriage house.

The carriage house is located in -- this property is located in the most regulated of historic districts; the Old Cambridge Historic District. And this was a replacement structure for a derelict, tin garage.

The relief was related to the height of the
structure, with the thinking being that carriage houses on this street associated with properties of this vintage and era tend to have carriage houses that are higher than 15 feet.

So the Historical Commission found that to be the case and granted a Certificate of Appropriateness and communicated their support to the BZA.

So this was a case where the BZA approved a larger height structure, because of the unique conditions in the area. There was no reference in the decision about later uses.

And the floor plans associated with the variance are essentially what you see here. There's no change in dimension of the area of the second floor.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Sure. Did I ask,
Laura, do you have any comments -- or questions, I should say?

LAURA WERNICK: You had asked; I do not.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well said.
LAURA WERNICK: I'm set.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions. You had asked. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We will now open the matter up to public testimony. Let me just look to see if there's any letters. I think there are letters -- yeah, there are letters of support in the file. And there are no -- I believe no letters of opposition.

I'm just going to check the file for one quick second. That is correct. Okay. So the matter is open to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if there's anyone from the public who wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. So we will now close public testimony and move on to the decision part of the case. As we've done in the past -- well, the past tonight anyway -- I will make a motion to grant the
relief, and then we can discuss that motion -- prove it, reject it, what have you.

So, the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the window construction and the conversion, the creation of an accessory department: That the requirements of this ordinance cannot be met, unless we grant that special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. The facts speak for themselves. The window on the nonconforming wall does not impact the neighborhood. The conversion to the accessory apartment meets the requirements of such a conversion.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed. And again, the facts speak for themselves. The relief is modest; it's almost with regard to the accessory apartment, it's all internal in any event. And the window change has no impact on the neighborhood.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the
detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, the use of large structure, or conversion of portions of large structures for accessory apartments is desirable. All the conditions for that are met, because it does increase the housing stock in the city.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the relief sought on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans prepared by Hart, H-a-r-t Associates, Inc. that appear to be dated April 9, 2021.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief granted. Thank
you.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Good evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good evening.
(7:49 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number No. 142835 -- 11 Magnolia Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Yes. My name is Michael Driscoll.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: As I understand it -correct me if I'm wrong -- we had this case before. Or, we had an application for a variance and a special permit, and we granted that relief on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans specified in the decision.

Then it turns out when you went to get your building permit, the plans that you've proposed then did not comply; the plans that we had approved. Is that correct?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: No. So -- again, my name is Mike Driscoll, and I do have Nick Harney on as well, who was
part of the construction crew that did this project. So basically, what happened was we went in front of the BZA. We did get approval for the two dormers, and as part of the approval, both dormers were slated to be exactly one foot below the ridgeline of the property.

Basically, in doing that, once construction began and we cut the roof open, we ran into structural difficulties. And our only option was to essentially bring the dormers 12 inches higher, and -- which was different than essentially what we had been approved for with the BZA.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why did you not come back before the BZA?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: So I want to apologize. Because 100 percent $I$ will just say it was pure lack of -- I don't even know the right word; just lack of knowledge from the respect that yes -- I mean, we ran into a situation. We were moving along.

The height requirement -- interior height requirement of 7 feet was never going to be met, but the long and short of it is yes, we should have come back to the BZA and, for whatever reason, we did not. And it was my mistake. And my sincere apologies for that.

I don't -- you know, I can't really say much more than the fact that we were moving, and the process was going. I had come to an agreement with a couple that has since purchased the home that is also part of this this evening, and very simply put, as we were doing the dormers, like $I$ said we ran into structural difficulties.

The interior bedrooms -- the dormers -- were only going to be six feet in height, and we knew that that could not work, and that by code it needed to be seven feet. So we proceeded.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have you done other construction in the city of Cambridge?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: I've done one other project, but not where I've been in a situation like this.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: In other words, the project -- it didn't involve a variance or a special permit at the outset?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: So I did one other project, and the project that we did already had existing dormers. So we were not in a situation where we had to go ahead and go in front of the -- to the best of my knowledge go in front of the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
MICHAEL DRISCOLL: But, like I said, I mean I apologize. And I'm sorry that I put the Board through this. I'm sorry -- you know, I've had multiple conversations with Ranjit and Sisia before -- you know, she's no longer part of this, but $I$ apologize for the fact that we're in the situation.

I can tell you that -- like I said, the home has been sold. There's a young family living there. They're utilizing both bedrooms.

I did provide Maria, which -- I believe she forwarded as part of this application -- a picture that shows the interior dimensions that we just meet the sevenfoot requirement as far as the interior on the bedrooms. And that's sort of the long and short of it.

Like I said, Nick Harney is on as well if you have any questions specifically for him. But that's really sort of what it comes down to.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. any questions or comments from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] No, I have no questions or comments at this point.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Well, I guess I share the
concerns you raised about -- Mr. Chairman -- about the asking forgiveness rather than permission. I do know we're all human and this happens, but $I$ don't like the -- I don't like that as a precedent.

And I haven't -- I don't have the transcript of the prior cases that were cited in the original Zoning Board cases to verify what exactly was approved or not approved in any discussions therein about the dormers. But I don't have a specific question -- oh, wait. One question: Did the Mid Cambridge Conversation District review the changes?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: Did they do so before you made them?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: They did not. So they did not. So the changes were made -- and again, I want to stress 100 percent it was not by any means deliberate. But after the changes were made, I did go to -- I did go to the Board, and they did approve it.

WENDY LEISERSON: To the Conservation District?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Yes.

WENDY LEISERSON: Is that part of our record?
MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Um-- should be. Part of the -part of process in applying for this modification was to essentially go in front of -- I believe, don't hold me to this, but $I$ believe it's Alison. And Alison did approve it.

WENDY LEISERSON: I'll check the file, but I don't remember seeing it. I don't know if my fellow Board members did.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't remember seeing it either.

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: I can -- again, I don't know if -- I don't know if Ranjit is on this, but $I$ know that he is aware, because I've sat down with him, that -- you know, we've gone through that process and that Alison did approve -- which was part of the process to get to this point to ask for the modification -- she had to go ahead and approve it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. Just to -- well, Wendy, I don't want to, you have the floor, but -- was Alison's approval in writing, or was it verbal?

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: No, it was -- it was in
writing. As a matter of fact --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, that should have been
part of your application here. Wendy, you -- again, you have the floor. So.

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Yeah, no, I -- again, I can only -- I can only speak for the fact that part of the process, unless I'm misunderstanding what you're asking, part of the process in applying for this modification being where the home is located was that Alison approve. And she did approve.

As a matter of fact, I believe -- I don't want to say 100 percent, but I believe I even have an e-mail from her.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah, no I think Brendan's -Brendan, $I$ can defer to you to continue this line of questioning. But $I$ would like to have seen that, especially in this case where the precedent we're being asked to set is to allow people to not adhere to the terms of the relief that we grant, and then come back to us and say, "oops."

And then now we also don't have the approval of the other body that should also be informed of these changes.

But now I cede my line of questioning.
MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The problem is, sir, you should have, when you ran across the problems -difficulties that you had to face, you should have gone to the Building Department and raised that with them in advance.

What happened was, as I understand it, is you didn't do that. You did what you had to do, and then you applied for your final approvals. And it was only because the Building Department discovered it that we have the case tonight, which doesn't put you in a very favorable light.

I'm sure your intentions were well-founded. That was not the way to proceed. And I hope you wouldn't do that again if you have other cases that involve our Board and the Building Department.

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: If I can quickly speak, I can 100 percent -- and Wendy, you are right, what I would like to say is I can 100 percent guarantee you that if this scenario ever comes about again, that we would 100 percent -- or I will 100 percent -- halt what we're doing and go through the process.

Like I said, it was -- very simply put -- it was a mistake and it was lack of understanding the scenario. And

I do apologize to the Board. And I apologize, like I said, to Ranjit, because I've taken a lot of his time as well.

So I do apologize, and I can --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. You've made it clear, and I take it that you're sincere in your apology, and I'm sure you are. But the fact of the matter is, it's done now. I only -- frankly tell you that $I$ was thinking about what kind of penalties we could impose upon you or your client. But there was none that made any sense.

The client is completely -- as far as I can tell -

- blameless. You made a decision that in retrospect has proven to be not the right way of handing it, but so be it.

At the end of the day, what is -- what you've finished -- the work that you did do, is in all material respects compliant with the decision that we made when we granted the special permit and the variance at an earlier case; an earlier time.

But I will open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see if has anything they wish to say.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nothing. Okay. I'm just looking through our files for a second to see what we have. Some of the stuff is new. I was there this morning in the zoning office and didn't see it. But it looks like you have neighborhood support. This goes back to the original case, not the case before us tonight.

So we'll try to move this along in a quick way. The Chair moves that based upon the findings we made when we granted the original variance, in case BZA 017318 -- 2020, and 013 -- 017318 -- 2020, it's a variance case and special permit, that all of the findings we made there apply equally tonight, and there's no need to make any additional findings.

So on the basis -- and the work has already proceeded. I don't think there are any plans we can tie the new work to be done; they've already been done. So I think we can just take a vote. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] Yes, I would support the granting of relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes, I would vote for granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: [Matina Williams] Yes, I vote in support of granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson] I'm voting no, only for the reason that $I$ would have voted yes if we had heard from the Mid Cambridge Conversation District with approval of these changes that were made. And otherwise, that's my position.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will -- I've already expressed my views -- my exasperation, really. But I will vote. I think it's an honest mistake, and I think it was not -- I've always been concerned about people get our approvals and do what they want to do and pay no attention to it and hope they can slip it by the Building Department, which rarely happens.

But based on all this, I will vote for granting the relief being sought.
[Four vote YES, one vote NO]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's four in favor, one opposed. The vote/motion carries. Relief is granted. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The special permit? Just --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's for both.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My motion covers both the variance and the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, good.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the reasons we granted those before are incorporated in this decision. Okay.

MICHAEL DRISCOLL: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on. Okay. We have two more cases.
(8:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will call Case Number 140275 -- 198 Broadway. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes. Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Dan Klasnick here on behalf of Verizon Wireless concerning this proposal to modify that existing rooftop facility at the 198 Broadway.

Just by way of a little background, the facility was installed back in 1998. There was a recent modification approved back in 2020. The building also contains the wireless equipment of a couple other wireless service providers.

So what we're proposing to do and by modifying this particular facility is utilizing an existing location to improve Verizon Wireless's overall network performance for the residents of Cambridge. For this qualified 6409(a) filing, we included all the necessary special permit
applications forms, a detailed narrative, plans, photo simulations and FCC licensing.

I don't know if plans need to be brought up or the photo simulations need to be brought up, but I can certainly go through and describe the modification. It's minor in nature.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me just discuss with my fellow Board members; I have gone through the files, so I don't need to see -- have you bring them up.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Okay, that's --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But Brendan, or any
members of the Board, would you like to see them on the screen?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I have reviewed them. So no, Brendan Sullivan.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No. I don't need to see them on the screen.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No. I don't need to see them on the screen.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter] Okay. So your offer has been rejected.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Okay, great. Thank you. I'll just give a quick overview then. I mean, currently, Verizon Wireless has 12 antennas. They're ballast mounted on the roof.

And what's being proposed is just to remove two of those antennas; install four antennas, bringing the total number of antennas up to 14. They will be installed on the same ballast mounts at the same height.

We also included with our filing photo simulations that $I$ think illustrate that there will be really no visible change to the facility itself from ground level or otherwise.

And I guess I would just once again emphasize that this modification is something that is really integral to Verizon Wireless's continuing efforts to improve wireless service here in the city of Cambridge.

And as I mentioned, in addition to complying with all your special permit requirements as stated in the ordinances outlined in the narrative, we do believe that
this qualifies as an Eligible Facilities Request. And we just respectfully request that the Board vote to support Verizon Wireless and this modification. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll open the matter up to public testimony.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any other members have any questions you want to ask at this point?
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not.

LAURA WERNICK: I would not.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing $* 9$ and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take a moment to see.
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nothing? Okay. Let me go through this, because we have -- sir, have you been before
us before for a Telecom case?

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes, I have, Mr. Chairman. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I thought you had. Then you know we have a long list of stuff. Just bear with us as we go through it.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes, of course. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me get out the sections of the code that we have to deal with. Okay. First of all, we have to deal with the general requirements for a special permit -- be it a telecom case or otherwise. That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would cause -- if we grant -- resulting from the relief that's being sought, will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

I mean, the facts quite clearly speak for themselves. The modification is modest. You have the top of a building with no real impact on the neighborhood. And it's almost not distinguishable from what's there right now. That the addition -- the continued operation of or
development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed with regard to these modifications will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

And lastly, the Board also finds that the modification of its existing telecommunications facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not change -- does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility within the meaning of Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

So based on these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the
plans submitted by the petitioner, and which I have initialed.

Two, upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and which have been initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

And five, that the petitioner is in compliance with, and will continue to be in compliance with in all respects, the conditions imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

And then continuing, in as much as the health
effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
A) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
B) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulation -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise --
the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such a failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit tonight granted shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked or terminated.
C) That in the event that to the extent that a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit pursuant to the paragraphs I've already referred to.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which such repetitive petitions may not be filed.
D) And lastly, that within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the
equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner of the geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

That's it.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes. Laura votes in favor of
granting the relief.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy votes in favor of granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina. I vote in favor for granting the relief.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes
in favor as well.
[All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief granted. We'll
move on to the next and last case. What time is it? I'm just trying to see if where -- we're not calling the case until --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 8:15, it's on the paper.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right on schedule.
(8:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Wendy Leiserson

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number No. 142993--700-704 Huron Avenue. Anyone wishing to be heard?

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'm also addressing this request for special permit on behalf of Verizon Wireless to once again modify an existing wireless telecommunications facility at 700-704 Huron Avenue. This, similarly, was originally installed back in 2008 and there's an additional wireless service provider at this location as well.

As with the other application, under the Section 6409(a), we did undertake to install the application forms as required for a special permit narrative: Detailed set of plans, photo simulations and the FCC licensing.

As with the other ones, those documents I guess are available for display, but if the Board's comfortable, I can just quickly describe the nature of the installation
modification.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I must say, I looked at the photo simulations, and it's almost impossible to see the difference. I mean, talk about a modest -- at least from the photo sims -- a modest change, but one nevertheless our zoning ordinance requires you to be here tonight and us to be here tonight.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes. In regard to it, as you've noted from your review, the antennas themselves are completely enclosed by stealth material that is designed to match the façade of the building. They're attached to the wall.

And what we're doing is, and what currently exists there's just three sectors that are similarly stealth mounted on the side of the building; each one of those contains four antennas.

We're not proposing to increase the total number of antennas, but because of system network requirements and efforts to continually improve wireless service to the residents of the city of Cambridge, Verizon Wireless is proposing to replace nine of the antennas inside of replaced fiberglass enclosures.

Because of the nature of the antennas, the equipment and the frequencies that are being utilized, there will be a slight increase in the overall size of the enclosures. But as you had seen by looking at the photo simulations that we provided, it's not something that would be really visible or noticeable to anyone.

So I think what we've tried to do is keep the overall installation compatible with the original approved design, and will result in really no visible change.

Once again, this is an important part of Verizon Wireless's network design requirements. They are continuing needing to update its equipment to deal with capacity, coverage and other issues. So it really is an effort to provide the best quality wireless service to the city of Cambridge, and we would respectfully request that the Board agree to vote to approve the requested special permit to modify this facility. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Before I turn to the -- there's a difference between this case and your previous case that you first represented to your client, in that this is not in a residentially zoned district -- not in a business district.

And so our ordinance says the Board of Zoning Appeals shall grant the special permit to erect such a facility in a residential zoned district only upon a finding that nonresidential uses predominate in the vicinity of the proposed facility's location. And that's a telecommunication facility; not inconsistent with the character that does prevail in the surrounding neighborhood. So sir, you want to speak a little bit to that?

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes. Most certainly. If you're familiar with this location, it's primarily surrounded by a cemetery. And then there are some recreational, commercial uses nearby.

So I think that the installation of this -- or rather, the modification of this facility, you know, is consistent with that aspect of the bylaws, in that it's an existing facility modification. This Board has already found it to be compatible with the surrounding residential uses.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. You mentioned the golf course, too.

DANIEL KLASNICK: That's true.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Golf course as well as a
cemetery.
DANIEL KLASNICK: Yeah. There's a golf course across the street as well. that's right. It's actually a beautiful area.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It is. Okay. Questions from members of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] no questions, no comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I've asked what questions I'm going to ask. So I will open the matter up to -- well, we have no letters in the file.

So I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a moment to see if anyone is awake and wants to talk about this telecommunications modification.
[Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. No one wants to speak. Okay. I'll make a motion, and then we can take a vote on that or discuss it. The Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That the first finding is that residential uses -I lost my page where $I$ had it -- the residential uses do not predominate in the area. [I got to get it for a second. Just give me a second. It's shooting across the page!]
[Pause]
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Ready? Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well anyway, I'm not going to waste more time on this. That the requirement with regard to telecommunications facilities and modifications in residential areas --
[UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS crosstalk]
-- have been satisfied. The petitioner has demonstrated that he meets that the requirement.

That the requirements of our ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit being sought tonight.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As the petitioner's Council has demonstrated, modifications to the facility are very modest in nature; almost not accessible or -- what's the word I'm looking for? It's been a long night -- it's not inappropriate to the residential area.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, from what is being proposed, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. As I mentioned, we have mostly in the area a golf course and a cemetery. And certainly their operation will not be adversely affected by this telecommunication modification.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this
ordinance.

Continuing, the Board also finds that the
modification of its existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility within the meaning of Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012.

So based on these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and which has been initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

Five, that the petitioner is in compliance with, and will continue to be in compliance with in all respects, the conditions imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

And then there is the long spiel about the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves. Since I just read it through for the prior case, I don't see a need to reread it again. With your permission, sir, I'm going to -- those words will be incorporated into our final decision.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Yes. Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Wait, because we're going to take our vote. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The special permit is granted.

DANIEL KLASNICK: Thank you very much. Have a great evening.

LAURA WERNICK: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have a good evening.
That's all she wrote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight, all.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Goodnight.
LAURA WERNICK: Goodnight. Thanks.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Goodbye.
[8:23 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| Ranjit 97:5 | receiving 35:21 | 113:21 118:8 | 112:9 124:21 | 19:4 57:11 |
| 99:12 102:2 | 74:20 76:14 | 122:16 125:9 | remaining 14:11 | 59:15 |
| rarely 33:4 | recognize 84:19 | 125:10 | 29:22 33:2 | reproducing |
| 104:19 | recognized 27:9 | regarding 6:3 | 58:5 | 49:22 |
| rarity 10:16 | recommend | 29:22 51:20 | remember 99:7 | request 6:12 |
| Ratay 1:12 4:11 | 70:13 77:16 | 78:14 88:12 | 99:9 | 18:19 29:8 |
| 4:14,16,18,21 | recommendat... | 113:7 | remodel 48:18 | 30:2 40:15 |
| rate $84: 22$ | 71:17 | registered 13:7 | remote 1:5 4:6 | 44:4 70:20,20 |
| ratio 33:18 | recommendat... | REGULAR 2:6 | remotely 3:9 | 75:12,21 76:2 |
| reach 44:19 | 6:8 | regularly 31:16 | removal 15:11 | 76:3,6 77:1,3 |
| reaction 79:15 | reconstruct 30:9 | regulated 88:19 | remove 108:8 | 85:11,18,22 |
| read 60:3 77:8 | reconstructed | regulation | 112:13 125:3 | 109:1,2 117:10 |
| 125:14 | 30:14 32:17 | 113:21 | removed 14:9 | 119:15 |
| reading 55:2 | 34:7 | reiterate 32:10 | removes 29:5 | requested 13:12 |
| 61:9,10 70:21 | reconstruction | reject 91:2 | removing 49:11 | 14:4 20:15 |
| 79:6 | 28:14 35:17 | rejected 108:3 | renovating 48:8 | 22:3 24:10,16 |
| Ready 122:13 | record 35:20 | related 18:20 | renovations | 37:21 43:20 |
| real 14:15 26:11 | 51:9 55:12 | 22:6 30:20 | 13:20 | 44:13 52:21 |
| 110:20 | 66:13 86:7,8 | 86:11 88:22 | repetitive | 53:20 66:5 |
| reality 15:22 | 99:1 128:6 | 128:8 | 114:18,19 | 72:9 73:4 |
| realized $22: 1$ | recorded 3:18 | relates 19:3 | replace 118:21 | 119:16 |
| really 33:20 | records 35:14 | relating 65:11 | replaced 118:21 | requesting 37:3 |
| 58:4 96:1 | recreational | relative 59:19 | replacement | require 17:2 |
| 97:17 104:14 | 120:11 | relevant 79:22 | 88:20 | 40:10 49:1 |
| 108:13,17 | redesign 32:10 | relief 5:12,17 | report 70:15 | required 29:7 |
| 119:6,9,13 | reduction 16:4,5 | 11:6 16:10,21 | 71:3 72:10,13 | 33:8 34:11,21 |
| realm 32:1 | reference 14:11 | 23:6,10,20 | 73:6,11 74:21 | 36:1 37:4 48:4 |
| reason 5:8 15:18 | 17:9 18:3 | 24:5,10,16 | 75:21,22 76:1 | 117:18 |
| 33:9 39:1 71:4 | 59:10 60:8,20 | 28:12 29:6 | 76:3,11,11 | requirement |
| 74:2 83:11 | 88:15 89:10 | 30:3,7,8 32:4 | 77:15,17,18,19 | 33:20 38:3 |
| 95:21 104:9 | referred 29:17 | 32:19 35:21 | 77:21 78:7,9 | 49:4 63:11 |
| reasonable | 60:7 72:13 | 37:3,21 40:22 | 78:20 79:7,12 | 86:17 95:18,19 |
| 44:11 114:4,13 | 112:10 114:16 | 41:2,5 43:2,6 | 79:12,16,21 | 97:14 122:15 |
| reasonably | 124:22 | 43:16 44:12 | 80:17 83:6,10 | 122:20 |
| 112:15 125:5 | referring 17:1 | 52:17 54:18 | 113:6,10,12,14 | requirements |
| reasons 14:4 | 38:777:12 | 58:4 64:15 | 114:3 | 3:13 20:3 |
| 38:22 45:10 | refers 77:11 | 67:5 69:15 | REPORTER | 51:13 52:12,19 |
| 105:10 | 82:12 | 75:6 88:16,22 | 12:2 | 60:20 64:22 |
| recall 13:10 | reflect 58:2 82:4 | 91:1,18 92:12 | represent 15:5 | 86:4 91:6,14 |
| 14:21 | reflected 18:1 | 93:9 94:16 | 63:1 88:10 | 108:21 110:9 |
| receipt 5:22 | reflecting 8:1 | 100:16 103:22 | representation | 110:11 113:20 |
| 114:1,22 | reflection 20:10 | 104:3,6,21 | 72:3 | 118:18 119:11 |
| receive 70:14 | regard 23:10 | 105:2 110:15 | represented | 122:21 |
| 71:19 72:10 | 24:2 42:22 | 111:17 115:18 | 15:19 62:8 | requires 23:15 |
| 74:10 77:17 | 43:6 65:10 | 116:1,4,7,11 | 119:20 | 28:12 43:10 |
| $79: 7,10$ | $68: 16 \text { 91:4,19 }$ | $124: 7$ | representing | 118:6 |


| reread 125:15 | 102:11 | 43:10,11 95:7 | scheduled 71:6 | 107:12,17,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reservations | return 27:20 | 108:7 | schedules 80:12 | 109:19 116:13 |
| 14:5 | 80:10 | rooftop 106:11 | school 12:18 | 118:3 122:1 |
| resided 27:18 | review 17:17,20 | room 57:18 | scientific 113:2 | 125:14 |
| residence 84:14 | 17:22 18:9 | 80:13,15 | Scott 70:18,21 | seeing 99:7,9 |
| 86:22 87:1,3 | 20:16,19 69:10 | row 39:10 40:12 | 72:876:18 | seek 28:12 29:5 |
| residential | 82:7 98:12 | rowhouse 27:22 | 77:7 79:5,17 | 38:22 40:22 |
| 28:18 31:4 | 118:9 | rowhouses 31:3 | 80:17 | 41:4,5 |
| 120:3,17 122:8 | reviewed 18:21 | 31:10 39:14,17 | screen 12:6,13 | seeking 5:13,21 |
| 122:9,17 123:9 | 20:6 33:14 | 40:7 | 13:6 22:19 | 30:3 37:5 |
| residentially | 37:20 73:11 | rule 29:3 | 42:15 50:1 | 69:15 75:20 |
| 119:21 | 107:14 | rules 115:9 | 52:3 56:18 | 111:20 124:10 |
| residents 27:15 | reviewing 17:18 | run 42:4 48:17 | 64:7 90:12 | seeks 57:5 |
| 106:21 115:12 | 77:2 | rush 72:17 | 102:20 107:13 | seen 100:14 |
| 118:20 | revise 6:7 |  | 107:18,21 | 119:4 |
| Resnick 27:3,8 | revised 8:9 | S | 109:16 121:20 | selected 18:18 |
| 27:11,13 35:3 | revision 6:12 | S 3:1 | scrutiny 40:11 | seller 35:4 |
| 37:8,13 39:7,9 | revoked 114:8 | S-h-a-p-p-y 6:1 | 40:11 62:17,19 | send 10:20 |
| 39:20 40:1,17 | rezoned 16:10 | safe 30:9,13 | 63:1,4 | sense 63:2 102:9 |
| 40:20 41:10,15 | RF 6:6 | 60:4 | second 7:9 8:1 | sent 13:14 68:15 |
| resolution 50:3 | ridgeline 95:5 | safely 30:22 | 34:18,19 51:21 | 69:19 |
| respect 72:2 | right 12:3,11 | safer 44:10 | 57:14 69:18 | separate 15:4 |
| 95:16 | 21:6 26:14 | safety $28: 9$ | 75:17 85:2 | 16:21 |
| respectful 80:4 | 31:5,7 34:15 | 53:13 65:20 | 87:10,15 89:14 | September 21:4 |
| 81:3 | 35:13 40:8 | 92:1111:5 | 90:9 103:5 | 21:6,17 24:12 |
| respectfully | 41:8 48:6 49:3 | 115:9 123:18 | 122:10,11 | service 106:16 |
| 109:2 119:15 | 49:19 55:14 | Sarah 70:16,18 | section 38:8 | 108:19 117:14 |
| respects 102:15 | 58:19 59:6,8,9 | 72:8 76:18 | 52:19 57:6 | 118:19 119:14 |
| 112:19 125:8 | 59:11 61:22 | 79:5 | 58:6 59:1 60:8 | Services 113:6 |
| response 3:11 | 69:6,13 70:17 | sat 99:13 | 61:14 63:15 | 113:11,15 |
| 40:17 | 70:22 74:8,8 | satisfied 86:4 | 86:5,10 111:16 | 114:3 115:2 |
| responsibility | 77:9,22 80:11 | 122:19 | 117:16 124:7 | set 36:9,12 |
| 115:6 | 82:14 83:9,11 | satisfies 61:13 | sections 110:8 | 58:16 89:21 |
| rest 60:20 | 86:6 88:14 | 63:13 | sectors 118:14 | 100:15 117:18 |
| restoration | 95:15 101:16 | satisfy 75:1 | see 6:18 13:6,12 | 128:12 |
| 31:18 32:20 | 102:12 110:21 | saw 35:10 70:1 | 14:4 15:12 | setback 48:3,22 |
| restore 112:14 | 116:16 121:3 | 76:20 | 19:12 21:5 | setbacks 30:1 |
| 125:4 | Rindge 57:19 | says 6:3 16:17 | 23:1 37:9 42:3 | 62:12 |
| restoring 28:1 | rise 48:16 | 18:7 22:19 | 42:8,18 43:3 | sets 29:4 |
| restrictions 3:15 | Road 2:9 56:8 | 42:15 52:3,13 | 47:8 49:19 | seven 96:9 |
| result 16:1 | 56:20 | 61:12 64:7 | 50:11 52:6 | seven- 97:13 |
| 20:15 69:14 | roll 4:2 | 74:9 86:14 | 56:18 64:11 | shaft $14: 3,3,12$ |
| 119:9 | roof $28: 7,7,15$ | 90:13 102:20 | 76:11,18 81:2 | 16:17 17:9 |
| resulting 53:1 | 28:15 31:12 | 109:16 120:1 | 81:20 82:18 | 20:20 |
| 65:4 91:9 | 33:6,15 34:8 | 121:20 | 84:17,19 85:15 | shape 23:17 |
| 110:14 123:2 | 34:10 35:7,11 | scenario 101:18 | 87:10 89:13 | 30:20 43:13,14 |
| results 54:22 | 35:12 39:3,5 | 101:22 | 90:4,16 103:2 | Shappy 6:17:7 |
| retrospect | 39:13,16 40:16 | schedule 116:16 | 103:5,7 107:9 | Shapty 6:19 |


| share 98:2 | site 16:10 18:9 | 55:1 63:10 | 115:8 117:10 | stamp 21:3,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shared 20:13 | 20:17 111:13 | 97:15,17 | 117:18 119:16 | standards 28:9 |
| Shirley 27:2 | 112:21 113:9 | sought $23: 11,15$ | 120:2 122:22 | staple 12:20 |
| shooting 122:11 | 113:19 124:4 | 29:6 41:2 43:6 | 124:10 125:10 | start 4:9 5:6 |
| short 95:20 | 125:11 | 52:17 65:2 | 125:22 126:3,6 | 13:3,4 68:11 |
| 97:15 | sitting 3:4 5:3 | 92:12 104:21 | 126:9,13 | started 5:7 |
| show 49:14 | 10:3 26:3 47:3 | 110:15 122:22 | specific 36:11 | starting 34:17 |
| showed 35:11 | 56:3 57:18 | space 30:1 48:10 | 52:12 98:11 | state 4:1 5:14 |
| shown 37:17,18 | 68:3 84:3,18 | 62:12 87:9 | specifically | 51:9 |
| shows 49:22 | 85:4 87:12 | speak 4:8 22:18 | 17:12 97:17 | stated 29:19 |
| 50:9 97:13 | 94:3 106:3 | 27:13 35:3 | specifications | 30:11 40:21 |
| sic 50:2 59:4 | 117:3 | 39:12 42:14 | 49:3 | 108:21 |
| 75:5 | situated 115:9 | 52:2,6 53:4 | specified 94:17 | statement 7:6 |
| side 15:7 48:1,2 | situation 45:1 | 64:6 65:10,16 | specs 83:1 | statements 62:1 |
| 48:5,15 49:6,9 | 45:17,20 95:16 | 90:11,17 91:11 | Spectrum | statewide 3:10 |
| 49:15 55:6 | 96:14,20 97:7 | 91:18 100:4 | 111:18 | stating 115:5 |
| 118:15 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }} 79: 2196: 8$ | 101:15 102:19 | speed 73:8 | station 111:15 |
| sides 49:15 | 112:13 125:3 | 109:15 110:18 | spiel 125:12 | 124:6 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }} 7: 208: 1,5$ | size 3:10 28:15 | 120:8 121:19 | spiral 28:4 | statu 58:19 |
| 82:1,10,17 | 86:12,12 119:3 | 122:5 | split 44:12 | statute 51:13 |
| significance | slated 95:4 | SPEAKERS | spoken 31:19 | 61:9 |
| 58:2 | slight 119:3 | 122:13,18 | spot $34: 21$ | statutory 59:10 |
| significant | slightly 28:16 | speaking 4:1 | square 16:6 28:1 | stay 57:21 |
| 57:12 | 34:7 | 6:18 34:3 39:9 | 28:21 29:2,12 | staying 85:10 |
| signs 12:20 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s l p }}$ 104:18 | 39:14 47:12 | 31:6,21 34:5 | stealth 118:10 |
| Silence 4:17 | small 31:2 39:15 | speaks 31:14 | 34:16 37:14,19 | 118:14 |
| similarly 117:13 | 40:6,15 48:5,6 | 37:14 53:11 | 37:20 39:10,13 | stock 92:10 |
| 118:14 | 49:20 50:8 | special 5:21 | 40:4 57:11 | stories 28:3 |
| simple 84:13 | 87:14 | 14:17 17:20 | 59:15 68:17 | stove $85: 7$ |
| simply 30:12 | societal 113: | 29:2,3,5,8,16 | 69:8,14,19 | straightforward |
| 96:5 101:21 | soil 23:16 | 29:22 30:2 | 70:15 72:11 | 84:13 |
| sims 118:5 | sold 97:9 | 31:8 37:3,22 | 73:5,10 77:13 | street 2:7,10,11 |
| simulations | solely 39:14 | 51:12,21 52:20 | 77:17 79:7 | 26:7 27:21 |
| 107:2,4 108:12 | solution 45:1 | 53:20 54:5,8 | 80:18 86:15,18 | 28:18 31:17,17 |
| 112:5,10 | 48:13 | 54:11,13 57:5 | 88:4,7 | 39:15,15 68:7 |
| 117:19 118:3 | solve 74:12 | 58:6,11 59:22 | squeezed 78:6 | 68:21 84:7,14 |
| 119:5 124:17 | someday 35:19 | 60:21 61:5 | ss 128:3 | 89:2 121:3 |
| 124:22 | soon-to-be 57:1 | 65:1 66:5,11 | staff 4:9 18:9 | street-facing |
| sincere 95:22 | sorry 12:8 24:20 | 85:19,22 91:7 | 20:17 70:6,21 | 49:15 |
| 102:5 | 25:1 26:17 | 92:18,21 93:2 | 71:875:20 | streets 28:1 |
| single 58:15 | 34:5 39:9,18 | 93:5 94:15 | 79:11,19 | 32:14 |
| single-family | 40:1 42:2 | 96:16 102:16 | stair 28:9 30:9 | stress 98:17 |
| 27:22 28:2 | 47:15,17 49:3 | 103:13 105:4,8 | 30:12,14 31:1 | strictly 62:11 |
| 48:9 84:14 | 49:14,16 50:22 | 106:22 108:21 | 33:10 43:9 | strong 51:10 |
| sir 10:12 101:1 | 59:2 68:22 | 110:10,12 | 48:7,10,13,14 | structural 95:7 |
| 109:22 120:8 | 74:13 77:5 | 111:20 112:20 | staircase 28:4,7 | 96:6 |
| 125:15 | 97:3,4 | 113:3,16,19 | 39:2 | structure 23:17 |
| Sisia 97:5 | sort 38:16 40:8 | 114:6,10,12,15 | stairway 48:16 | 23:18 28:4,7 |


| 30:21,21 32:16 | suggests 71:4 | 64:4 65:12,16 | 125:20 | 64:5,14 90:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:6 43:13,14 | 75:6 | 71:14 76:15 | taken 4:2 7:22 | 90:10,20 |
| 43:15 51:17 | Sullivan 1:8 3:4 | 89:7 90:6 | 102:2 | 102:18 109:5 |
| 52:15 53:5,14 | 4:19,19 5:3 | 103:8,22 104:6 | talk 118:4 122:2 | 109:14 121:18 |
| 61:7 62:16 | 8:14,14 10:3,9 | 109:2 | talking 16:18 | text 61:18 |
| 63:3 64:19 | 10:13,14 11:3 | supported 29:13 | 41:2 | thank 10:22 |
| 86:1 88:21 | 11:10,22 12:9 | 44:20 | tall 28:10 30:22 | 13:7 15:17 |
| 89:1,9 92:7 | 13:4 19:15 | supporting 6:7 | 31:5,7,8 | 17:15 19:6 |
| structures 92:8 | 24:15 26:3 | 45:7 62:1 | taller 28:13 | 21:16 22:11,13 |
| 115:12 | 33:13,13 34:15 | 70:10 | taught 12:19 | 22:14 25:13 |
| studied 48:12 | 34:22 35:13 | supportive | Tax 111:17 | 27:8,11,11 |
| study 113:3 | 44:3,3 46:1 | 31:18 | 124:7 | 33:3 36:14 |
| stuff 103:6 | 47:3 50:19 | suppose 51:18 | teacher 57:19 | 41:18 44:14 |
| 110:4 | 54:4,4,20 55:4 | supposed 73:15 | technical 11:3 | 45:3,9,13,21 |
| subject 7:19 | 55:8,14 56:3 | sure 7:3,4 21:10 | 38:8,9 40:13 | 45:22 55:14,16 |
| 41:17 111:21 | 59:3,9 62:4 | 42:8 47:10 | teenagers 57:1 | 55:17 56:16 |
| 113:3 114:18 | 66:10,10 68:3 | 60:4 63:19 | telecom 110:1 | 63:6 66:19 |
| 115:1 124:11 | 73:2,3,20 81:9 | 66:14 73:16 | 110:10 | 67:5,6 68:9 |
| submit 20:12 | 81:9 82:1,4,9 | 77:19 78:18,19 | telecommunic... | 81:22 83:13 |
| 40:17 | 84:3 87:18,18 | 79:2 89:16 | 120:6 123:16 | 84:9 87:6 88:8 |
| submittal 36:3 | 92:17,17 94:3 | 101:11 102:6 | 124:3 | 88:9 89:15 |
| submittals | 97:21,21 99:17 | surprising 6:22 | telecommunic... | 90:2 93:9,11 |
| 33:16 | 99:17,22 | surround 44:7 | 6:4 111:12 | 105:3,12 108:1 |
| submitted 20:22 | 103:21,21 | surrounded | 117:12 122:2 | 108:4 109:3 |
| 40:21 41:9,13 | 105:4,6,9 | 120:10 | 122:16 | 110:6 119:17 |
| 43:21 112:1,5 | 106:3 107:14 | surrounding | television 3:19 | 119:18 120:19 |
| 124:13,17 | 107:15 109:6,6 | 16:19 120:7,17 | tell 75:16 80:17 | 125:18 126:15 |
| subset 31:2 | 115:17,17 | suspended | 97:8 102:7,10 | 126:17 127:1 |
| substance 76:5 | 116:15 117:3 | 114:8 | telling 72:9 | Thanks 36:6 |
| substantial | 121:7,7 125:21 | swimming 76:10 | temporarily | 126:22 |
| 23:13,21 30:17 | 125:21 126:20 | sworn 115:2 | 3:13 | that's 68:18 |
| 43:8,16 53:2,6 | Sullivan's 59:12 | system 118:18 | temporary 3:14 | thing 73:578:3 |
| 65:5,8,11 | summarize 70:3 |  | tend 89:3 | things 22:6 |
| 91:10 110:16 | summarizes | T | terminate | think 5:18 6:17 |
| 123:3 | 50:14 | T | 113:15 114:7 | 13:6 19:9 21:8 |
| substantially | summarizing | T-Mobile 6:3 | terminated | 21:13,13,21 |
| 23:22 43:17 | 71:7 | T-Mobile's 6:6 | 114:8,10 | 23:4 36:10 |
| 86:20 111:14 | summary 61:10 | table 20:2,4,22 | termination | 37:1 38:7 40:9 |
| 124:4 | superseded | 20:22 | 114:14 | 41:15 42:6,7 |
| sudden 35:16,19 | 63:10 | tables 22:1 | terms 37:17 | 44:4,5,9 50:13 |
| sufficient 5:12 | supersedes | take 4:9 7:4 13:1 | 38:1 58:7 | 52:16 56:10 |
| 70:6 | 66:15 | 15:12 23:1 | 75:19 100:16 | 58:6,10 60:4 |
| sufficiently | support 18:6 | 42:18 45:1 | terribly 78:3 | 62:7 63:12,14 |
| 115:13 | 24:3,15,21 | 52:6 64:10 | testified 36:20 | 71:3,17 72:16 |
| suggest 57:7 | 25:4,7 29:11 | 71:6 79:16 | testimony $22: 17$ | 72:22 73:3,4,7 |
| 72:774:19 | 31:15,19 38:1 | 90:15 102:5 | 23:4 36:4 | 73:11,14,15,16 |
| suggesting 74:6 | 51:10,11 52:17 | 103:1,20 | 41:22 42:1,21 | 73:22 75:2,4,7 |
| suggestion 72:4 | 53:11 58:1,3 | 109:18 122:1,5 | 51:8,18 52:1,9 | 75:10 77:11 |


| 78:2,3,4,14,19 | today 27:13 28:6 | trigger 62:18 | 80:3 | 120:4,12,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79:1,16 90:5 | 28:13,18 29:21 | triggers 49:2 | unanimously | 122:8,9 123:11 |
| 100:12 103:18 | 30:3,7 32:19 | true 120:21 | 29:13 70:10 | Usually 10:17 |
| 103:19 104:15 | 37:16 70:20 | 128:6 | 71:14 | utilize 112:11 |
| 104:15 108:13 | 71:9 73:11 | Truman 47:10 | unchanged | 125:1 |
| 119:7 120:13 | 76:20 77:2 | 47:13,17,18,18 | 62:13 | utilized 119:2 |
| thinking 21:9 | 79:14 | 50:7 54:1 55:3 | unclear 55:11 | utilizing 97:10 |
| 89:1 102:7 | today's 28:8 | 55:7,10,13,13 | understand 81:2 | 106:19 |
| third 28:3 33:17 | 71:2 | 55:17 | 94:13 101:6 | V |
| 34:12,18,19 | tolerance 13:8 | try 48:12 103:10 | understanding | V |
| 48:7,11 49:21 | Tomer 47:20 | trying 87:9 | 60:6 80:7 | variance 15:1,8 |
| 87:4 | tonight 10:21 | 116:13 | 101:22 | 16:11 17:2,8 |
| thorough 33:4 | 20:21 21:9 | turn 11:17 26:10 | understood | 18:5 20:19 |
| thought 68:22 | 44:1 64:14 | 33:1 43:10 | 70:20 78:19 | 23:15 25:12 |
| 69:1,2 79:22 | 70:14 75:10 | 63:12 77:14 | 83:4 86:9 | 29:20 37:5,12 |
| 110:3 | 80:19 82:8 | 79:20 119:18 | undertake | 38:6 40:22 |
| three 4:7 28:3 | 90:22 101:10 | turned 12:3 | 117:17 | 41:6 43:12,20 |
| 82:12 112:7 | 103:9,15 | turns 94:18 | UNIDENTIFI... | 45:21 49:1 |
| 118:14 124:19 | 112:12 113:16 | twice 13:7 | 122:13,18 | 58:13 70:10 |
| thrilled 27:19 | 113:20 114:6 | two 5:6 7:10 | unique 31:2 | 71:15 84:16 |
| THURSDAY | 115:8 118:6,7 | 11:16 13:6 | 36:12 43:14 | 87:10 88:13 |
| 1:4 | 122:22 125:2 | 22:1,6 $23: 1$ | 89:9 | 89:12 94:15 |
| ticking 82:5 | tonight's 72:22 | 29:10 39:20 | unit $85: 6,13,20$ | 96:16 102:16 |
| tie 103:18 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 110: 19$ | 49:14 51:20 | 87:1 | 103:12,13 |
| tied 74:20 | total 49:5,7,8 | 56:22 57:15 | unmute 10:12 | 105:8 |
| tight 48:6 | 108:9 118:17 | 69:14 77:11 | 22:22 42:17 | variances 33:5 |
| time 4:4,5 6:12 | totally 45:2 | 79:11 80:10,12 | 52:5 64:10 | 37:14 38:11 |
| 7:20 8:2,6 | touch 31:16 | 80:16,19,21,22 | 90:15 103:1 | vast 18:2 |
| 19:16,18,21 | touching 49:18 | 81:5,20 82:5 | 109:18 121:22 | veracity $72: 5$ |
| 35:11 36:5,16 | tower 111:15 | 83:5,12 84:19 | unsafe 44:22 | 74:3 |
| 37:21 44:11 | 124:6 | 95:3 105:14 | unusual 33:5 | verbal 99:19 |
| 50:19 51:1 | traditional | 108:8 112:3 | update 20:12 | verify 4:10 98:9 |
| 62:5 70:6 71:2 | 57:14 | 124:15 | 119:12 | Verizon 106:10 |
| 73:13 76:2,21 | traffic 52:22 | two-family | ups 25:17 | 106:20 108:5 |
| 78:7 79:16 | 58:8 65:3,7 | 56:20 57:15 | upstairs 57:17 | 108:18 109:3 |
| 80:5,6 82:5,12 | 91:8 110:13 | 87:4 | 57:18 | 117:11 118:20 |
| 83:6,8 87:19 | 123:1 | two-year 114:19 | use 12:19 24:7 | 119:10 |
| 88:12,15 102:2 | transcript 3:20 | type 57:7 | 28:2 56:14 | versions 60:14 |
| 102:17 113:17 | 88:11 98:7 | typo 6:13 | 58:9 65:15,21 | Vice 1:8 |
| 116:12 122:15 | 128:6 |  | 85:11 92:2,7 | vicinity 120:4 |
| timeframe | transmission | U | 111:6123:13 | video 3:18 26:11 |
| 35:12 | 113:1 125:13 | Ullman 47:20 | 123:19 | view 18:14 63:7 |
| timely 113:14 | transpired | Um-- 99:2 | useable 48:10 | 63:16 |
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