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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the November 4, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27,2020.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on the City of Cambridge online meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the proceedings in due
course.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board
member attendance and verify that all members are audible. OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is here.

OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Brendan Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present and
audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Gus Alexander.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We will start the hearing by dealing with continued cases. These are cases that have started at an earlier date and for one reason or another were continued until this evening. We have three continued cases. Immediately following the continued cases, we'll move into our regular agenda.
(6:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So we'll first call our continued case 106926 -- 4 Aberdeen Court. Anyone here wish to be heard on this matter?

MARC RICHARDS: Yes, hello?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours.
MARC RICHARDS: Thank you. Hello to the Chair and the Board. I'm Marc Richards and with my wife Jen here. We're the owners and residents of 4 Aberdeen Court. We live here with our three preteen boys. Thanks for having us back to give a little more context on the petition that we presented in September.

So we have a slide presentation here; it looks like it's coming up. Great. Thank you. I can spend a few minutes just kind of reviewing where we left off in September, if that would be helpful, or we can go to kind of the two main concerns that were raised. Which would you like?
[Pause]
MARC RICHARDS: All right. I can just kind of give you a quick review, then, of where we left off. So I'm here seeking retroactive relief for a shed that's used as bicycle storage, primarily.

It was built in 2016, replacing an older structure, and it was recently moved to a new location that has reduced nonconformity, but it's still within the front and side setbacks of my property.

We can go to the next slide.
So this was the original situation when my wife and I bought the house in 2006. There was a 20 x 10-foot carport and shed structure that was kind of beyond the deadend of Aberdeen Court. You can see this is the 2010 plot plan that's overlaid. The shed actually straddled the -the structure straddled the property line.

There's also a mutual right of way here between the two bottom lines that's shared by all of the four residents of Aberdeen Court. However, the area in question where the shed is now, it's only ever been used exclusively by the owners of my property, as far as we can tell going back to the 40 s .

And we can go to the next slide.
So we removed that structure in 2015 and replaced
it early 2016. It was a $10 x 10$ shed. It was within the footprint of the original structure. At the time, we were aware of the property line issue, but we believed because of the right of way we had some freedom to rebuild in this location and the neighbor at the time was supportive of it.

So we can go to the next slide.
All right. So this is the situation now. You can see the new location is -- overlaps with the old location a little bit. It slid off, so it's -- you know, completely on our property line.

So in the fall of 2020, my neighbor requested that we move the shed off her land. I was more aware of the zoning ordinance at that time, because we had had some other work done on the property. So we were hesitant to move without a variance, but she was pretty insistent about having it moved quickly. We didn't expect her to challenge the petition based on conversations I had had with her.

So we accommodated her request. We moved it in early February 2021. We had a plan to get a retroactive variance at that time. And that kind of brings us to

September, where we presented originally.
So we can go to the next slide.
So the concerns that were raised by the Board primarily seemed to be were there other suitable locations on the property for the shed? And were there -- there was questions about the easement as well.

As far as other suitable locations, I'd looked into this and I'd ruled it out, but I apologize. I didn't really come prepared to speak to it in the level of detail you all were interested in.

So now I have several slides that we can go over that will cover that. And then I have a little more information about the easement and our decision to put it in there as well.

So I can proceed to talk you through the alternative locations, or if anybody would like a little more context on the history and how we got here I can provide that as well.
[Pause]
MARC RICHARDS: Okay. I don't hear anybody talking to me, so I'm going to proceed it's okay to proceed.

We can go to the next slide and thanks.

All right, so we'll move clockwise around the yard, starting at the southeastern corner, which on the way the plot plan is oriented here is the top right. You'll see this drawing has the blue kind of $10 \times 10$ square there represents what could be the shed, with a five-foot radius, which is for the front and side setback and a 10-foot radius for the rear setback, as well as the required distance to the primary structure.

So -- all right. So this area, there is a small area back here that would be conforming, but this is really the center of our back yard area. It contains this play structure here that you can see that I built in 2019. I don't know if it counts as an accessory building, but I assure you it's all within conforming dimensions if it does.

The structure is cemented into the ground for stability. It's kind of modeled after an American Ninja Warrior style course. So you can imagine this was -- you know, a fun thing, especially during the pandemic where our kids can do some of their more traditional, active activities.

And also since this picture was taken, we've added a patio back here. You can kind of see the outline where
the railroad tie is already there. And so this serves as an outdoor living room for $u$ in the summertime. And it would be a really unfortunate reduction in our open space to remove those two features, which would be required from the shed back there.

Also, you'll see there's kind of a rectangle that comes off the back of the house here. This isn't on the 2010 plot plan, this is a kitchen addition that we did in 2018 that we were here before, because it encroaches a little bit into the rear setback.

So I just wanted to be clear, because that wasn't in the original materials that $I$ submitted. So there's a little more house and a little less yard than we -- the plot plan gives the impression.

So now kind of continuing along to the southwest corner on the next slide, so this is another kind of yard area. There's not any conforming location over on this side of the house, so we need a variance over here as well. it'll likely be disrupted. There's -- you can see the white area on the right is a neighbor's fence that runs along the property line. The shed could potentially maybe disrupt some sunlight into their back yard.

Also, this picture maybe doesn't do it justice, but the screened-in porch here on the left is -- there's a table out there where our family eats meals -- you know, ideally three seasons out of the year. And so this is kind of like -- again, useable open space for us.

And you can see there's a bit of a dramatic slope along the back of the property line. So even if we were granted the variance to put the shed back here, we still couldn't really get within that 10 feet on the back property line. So we'd have to move the shed forward really into, like, the primary yard area.

But again, there is no conforming location in that spot.

All right. So we can go to the next slide, slide eight, I believe. Yep.

So this is the front yard. There is actually a barely conforming spot $I$ believe here, because the yard -the property line is actually out further than the grass line there. But $I$ think we can all agree this isn't really a desirable spot for a shed, given the impacts of the open yard space and the overall character of the property.

By the way, it's again kind of hard to see. This
is an older photo with the shed in the original location in the left there. But in that -- along that left side of the house, it's really just a utility space, where there's storage. So we have our garbage, our recycling. There's an air conditioning unit at the bulkhead, all that. It's not really useable space in the same way the other three locations around the yard are.

So we can go to slide nine.
Again, kind of bringing us back to the current location; this is the view from the public street, which I didn't include in the original materials. But -- so this is taken from the sidewalk on Aberdeen Avenue. And you can see the shed there. It's not really, you know, sort of aesthetically negative in any way. And it's a lot less visible than the kind of rickety carport structure that had predated it for seven years or so.

And so that's kind of brings us to why the shed ended up in that spot. Because of -- again -- the trapezoidal nature of the lot and the bulkhead, that was kind of the only spot on that portion of the lot, and those other three areas where it could potentially go also didn't seem suitable.

So we wanted to keep it kind of -- you know, adhering to a principal of, you know, the previous building had been grandfathered in and trying to just maintain the nonconformity where it was rather than spreading it to the other side of the yard.

So I can stop there for any questions about any of that, or we can move on to the easement.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, it's difficult to -- at least for me -- to understand you. The communication is not good. But --

MARC RICHARDS: Oh, my goodness, I'm sorry.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do I understand that what you want to do, or the relief you're seeking would be to locate the structure in the southeast corner back yard?

MARC RICHARDS: No, no, no. We want to keep it where it is right now in that northeast corner.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The northeast corner?
MARC RICHARDS: Yeah. Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Northeast, which is the current location?

MARC RICHARDS: That's the current location. Yeah, okay. Is this better? I have a microphone here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not much. Not much, unfortunately.

MARC RICHARDS: Oh, that's a shame. Okay. I'm not sure what I can do about that. I apologize. So we want to keep it where it is currently. If you need me to repeat myself, just ask. I'm happy to do so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the northeast corner description that you submitted, that's where you want -that's what you're seeking relief for?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct. If we go back to -- I believe it's Slide 4, let's say Slide 4, let's try that -yeah, so that's where it is currently. And we're seeking relief to keep it there, yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, the one -- the petition overview shows that your property -- your car or someone's care is lapsing (sic) over the property boundary. Right?

MARC RICHARDS: In this particular photo it is, although we have since that little grass triangle there that's in the photo, we've since replaced that with pavers, and now we're no longer parking over the line.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm struggling to
understand which -- if should we grant relief -- which of these photos are you -- will result? What do you want to have?

MARC RICHARDS: The one that you're looking at right now.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?

MARC RICHARDS: The one -- this one.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This one.

MARC RICHARDS: Yep.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Petitioner overview, is that right?

MARC RICHARDS: I'm sorry, can -- I'm sorry, can you ask the question again?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is it the petition
overview slide is the one you want --

MARC RICHARDS: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the relief for?

MARC RICHARDS: Yes, yep. That's correct.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

MARC RICHARDS: All right. And if we go back to

Slide 9, this is the same location. So this is -- the photo is of the shed in the same location. This is just a photo
of it from the public street. So Aberdeen Court is private. This is the public sidewalk.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Now, is there any
objection to if we were to grant relief that would allow you to have the current location in the northeast corner, do you still have neighborhood opposition?

MARC RICHARDS: Yes, my -- one out of the three abutters.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And she's opposed -- I think it's a she, I'm --

MARC RICHARDS: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- she opposed because you're -- this results in some of your use of your structure to be on her property?

MARC RICHARDS: No, that's -- it's now wholly on my own property. It was not, and now it is since we moved it on her request.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What is the basis of her opposition?

MARC RICHARDS: I mean, the way that she described it to me was she told me that she felt like I needed to follow the law. That's -- and I told her that, you know,
the Zoning Ordinance allows me to petition for a variance, and that was kind of as far as we got.

She didn't object on any aesthetic grounds; she didn't complain about the character of the neighborhood or anything like that, she just -- her letter talks a bit about the easement, and it talks about, you know, that she just felt that it should be within the setback.

But she didn't address sort of the substantive remarks about the hardship that $I$, you know, have outlined or anything like that. So it's not clear to me -- we've been having a disagreement about the easement.

And it's not really clear to me what the problem with the shed is. I've asked her that and -- like I said -she just said that she thinks I need to follow the law. That's the most I've gotten out of her.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm trying to find her correspondence in our file. For some reason, I'm not tracking it down. Give me a second.

MARC RICHARDS: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. And an e-mail from
Ms. Donnelly -- I assume that's who it is?
MARC RICHARDS: Yes, that's, yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: On November 5. That's tomorrow. She says, "I have attached a picture -MARC RICHARDS: Ah, okay, so --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: "-- which shows another option."

MARC RICHARDS: So.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: "I don't have a problem with you sliding the shed into your hard."

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah. We can move to Slide 14, please.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
MARC RICHARDS: Slide 14 actually has the content of this. So that was -- oh, sorry. No, not Slide 14, 11. Thanks.

So the letter you're reading she sent to me last year when -- last fall. This isn't her opposition letter; this was a letter from her suggesting to me where $I$ could put the shed. As you can see, it's within the easement, it's within the side setback. It's maybe within the front setback, it's hard to tell from this drawing.

And so that was part of the reason why I moved it where I did, was because it seemed consistent with her
wishes at the time. I was not expecting her to object, she didn't tell me that she was going to object. We had explicitly discussed the setbacks on several different occasions. And so that's why I moved it where it is.

She was insistent that it be moved off her land quickly, so rather than getting the variance first based on the correspondence that we had had, I moved it first and then applied for the variance after to a similar location to what she had proposed here. And now she's objected.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Her comment in her e-mail, which was actually a year ago, "I need -- " and she emphasizes " -- I need to have my hard free of anyone else's personal belongings." Well, would that be the case, if we were to grant you the relief that you're seeking?

MARC RICHARDS: 100 percent yes. Yeah. Absolutely.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
MARC RICHARDS: Yes, absolutely. 100 percent. The shed is no longer on her land. We're not parking on her land.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. But she -- even if that's the case, she's still not supporting what you show on
the Petition Overview slide?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct. Even though it's very similar to the drawing here that she gave to me a year ago.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Can you explain why? Maybe she will on the call, $I$ don't know. But can you explain why?

MARC RICHARDS: Like I said, the only thing that she's -- I've asked her on several occasions, as recently as three weeks ago -- the only explanation she's given to me is that we need to, "follow the law." That's what she said. And I said, "Okay, well, the law allows me to have the variance." And that didn't satisfy her. So I don't really know.

She's mentioned the easement. As you can see from some other materials that I've submitted that I showed you last time and we can go over again. The right of way doesn't really seem to -- she's trying to apply kind of an inconsistent standard, I would say, to me than she's treating the right of way herself. So.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Brendan, do you have any questions, comments?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, this is Brendan Sullivan.

Marc and Jennifer, is -- I fully understand and appreciate the fact that you cannot locate this shed in any location that does not require relief; that it's going to bump up against the dimensional requirements somewhere.

Also, I see that the swing play area and so on and so forth -- it's funny, I was over there, but can we pull up -- can Staff pull up -- Olivia, can you pull up the plot plan again showing sort of the back yard area?

I'm just wondering if that shed cannot be sort of turned, even though it's going to be within the five-yard --five-foot setback, within -- can that shed be sort of put down into the back yard?

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah. We looked at that. The problem with rotating it sort of around the corner there is it really does interfere with the bulkhead. Also, the property line has a slope right up along what is the east side, which is the top of this image. There's a fairly dramatic slope that only extends a few feet out.

But already we had to regrade it quite a bit, and it's because there's trees and the roots and the way the topography is. We can't really get it any closer to that side setback than it already is.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Between the bulkhead and the front corner of the house -MARC RICHARDS: Yes. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- do you have windows there? MARC RICHARDS: We do, yeah. Yeah. It's my son's bedroom.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And even if the shed would go right up against your property line down across -diagonally across from the bulkhead, that doesn't give you enough room there or something to back and forth?

MARC RICHARDS: I mean --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's nice.
MARC RICHARDS: -- we could look into that. I was
also trying to minimize -- again, I was looking at it from, you know, where the nonconformity had been historically with the 200 square foot structure that had been there.

And I was trying to minimize, you know, the nearness to the house conformity along with the front setback and the side setback. And this was the place that -- where it ended up.

There may be an opportunity to move it a little closer, but I -- there's a circulation issue of getting
around the shed into the back yard with the bulkhead there and getting the bulkhead open and closed. So I don't know how feasible it is possibly. We would like to keep it over in this area of the yard. So if that's an option we can look at it a bit closer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I guess what I'm looking for is some resolution to get the shed further toward the back yard.

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah. But we -- it can't go any further east, really.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, wait a minute. Let me finish. Let me finish my sentence.

MARC RICHARDS: Sure, sure, sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Have the shed further toward the back yard and get your car sort of more onto your property and off of the right of way altogether, so that the -- where you park your car, you know, I guess maybe you're saying that you can do it on your side of the right of way, is that right? Or --

MARC RICHARDS: Correct, yeah. Yeah. And I would contend that I'm allowed to park my car on my own property within the right of way, based on the way that the --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MARC RICHARDS: -- the right is worded, which has never been an issue for my property for 70 years.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And if we could get this shed more to the right towards your back yard -- again, I understand and, you know, forgetting dimensional infractions we're going to have a dimensional infraction anyhow.

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I will accept that the location of the house on the lot is an unusual shape lot. It's --

MARC RICHARDS: Absolutely, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it is encumbered by all of that. But $I$ think that visually and functionally it could shift towards the back yard a bit, and not necessarily in the same location as these.

MARC RICHARDS: Again, based on the topography, we looked at that. And it didn't feel feasible. But I mean I'd be willing to take another look and take some more extensive photographs over there and talk to my landscaper a little more on what more they could do.

This felt like the best we could do at the time, but, you know, that's something we could take a deeper look
at. I don't know if we're going to get there or not, but we can try.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, let me ask you a question. If they were to not shift as you are suggesting the location of the structure, would you be in favor of the -- you don't have to answer this -- relief? In other words, accept what he's proposing on this alternative option for a smaller shed?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm not there yet to be honest with you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
LAURA WERNICK: I would -- this is Laura Wernick -

- I would really like to hear from the neighbor. It appears that there are concerns that we can't understand unless she -- the person, this individual is allowed to speak.

The way this is being presented, it seemed that this location was adequate, and now it doesn't seem to be adequate. So I think we need to understand what the concerns are before we can make any judgment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, in the file, is the opposition -- the opposing party from "Kat.Don.5", is that the right --

MARC RICHARDS: Yes, that's correct, yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

MARC RICHARDS: Kathleen Donnelly is her name.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yesterday, an e-mail. She says, "I'm writing to express my opposition to this case. I don't see any information that would justify a zoning variance, and the adjustment of the deeds, titles for the four-lot subdivision.

For the avoidance of doubt, I've attached three documents indicating my position that the abutters abide by the City of Cambridge Zoning Ordinance."

And then there's an e-mail sent to the petitioner, Mr. Richards. She says, "An indemnity agreement would not be acceptable. Sliding the shed over five feet to be 100 percent on my property is not acceptable. And we both need to retain access over the way. You can park your car on the east side of your house, as long as it doesn't violate the Cambridge zoning laws and property line setback requirements and right of way privileges, as deeded and titled to my property."

And then there is a letter from Ms. Donnelly -I'm just trying to read the letter. She says, "In the
interest of cooperation, I will agree to the shed removal on December 19, 2020, should they -- " this is the letter that was written in December 11, 2020, so it's old. Oh.

And -- the fact of the matter is is that any relief is going to require zoning. Anything that the petitioner is proposing, if he wants another shed, is going to require zoning relief. This is an unusual piece of land, with structures scattered about, if you will, in a very small area. And it's just hard to satisfy setback requirements, given the situation.

And that's what Zoning's about. We do grant variances from what the zoning requires, if the legal standard is satisfied, which $I$ will get to in due course.

What I'm trying to get to the bottom of is what the petitioner wants. And what he wants, I gather, is what's now on the sheet; alternate option for the smaller shed. And he says he cannot remove the shed, as Mr. Sullivan is suggesting, or does not want to, and I'm not sure it would solve the zoning problems anyway.

And that's where we're at.
MARC RICHARDS: Excuse me -- Excuse me, Mr.
Chairman. So everything you said I would agree with, with
the exception that I'm proposing this as sort of a lastditch option, the one we're looking at right now. I would prefer for it to remain exactly where it is. This produces an additional hardship. And, like you said, it doesn't really solve the problem necessarily. But it does reduce the nonconformity a little bit.

But we would, you know, our position is that it's a hardship to really remove it, it's a hardship to put it elsewhere on the lot. And so we would really just sort of prefer to keep it where it is right now, and where it's been for almost a year. And again that's -- yeah, slide probably 3. No, I'm sorry, Slide 4, Slide 4.

So this is the current state of things, and this is the way that we would like it to remain, if at all possible.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Marc, this is Brendan Sullivan. Regarding hardship -- and we always hear the word, "hardship" -- but the hardship has to run with the land for the house structure thereon, and how it may be encumbered by the existing zoning ordinance.
You're, I think -- and again, no disrespect, I
mean what you're saying is that your word of hardship is
that it's going to cost additional money to, you know, relocate this and so on and so forth. However, that doesn't have any bearing as far as the relief that we are allowed to grant.

Can't be a personal financial hardship, it has to run with the land or the structure they're on, and how it is encumbered by the ordinance and the difficulty it is of locating a reasonable structure thereon within the constraints of the ordinance.

MARC RICHARDS: Understood. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The financial is going to cost money, again, you know --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me second what Brendan is saying. That is absolutely correct. It's not a matter -- this has to be something that is a hardship to anybody who owns your property, and the inability and compliance with the zoning ordinance to satisfy all of the setback requirements. So the fact that it's going to cost you money because you want to build a shed is not enough to grant relief.

MARC RICHARDS: Correct. Understood. I've -we've covered that. It sounds like we're all in agreement
around the topography and the orientation of the house and things of that nature.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan again. I'd like to hear from the other members of the Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim? Jim Monteverde? Do you have any comments, thoughts?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. I was muted. I agree with Brendan's comments, you know, searching for an alternate -- even rotating it slightly to make it less obtrusive. I don't think I see the hardship case at all.

So I'm really -- and I see the attempt to show us alternate locations, but it basically shows that yes, there are alternate locations. They are, they just happened to be filled with something else that you'd like to keep there.

So at the moment, I'm just not feeling favorably toward the relief being sought.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I would add to that is that having a shed is not essential to the enjoyment of the property. It may be desirable for the current occupants, but it's nothing that's going to run -- as Brendan has said earlier -- it's not going to run with the land. People have lived in here without that shed -- without a shed -- in this
location for a long time.
MARC RICHARDS: Excuse me, that's not 100 percent -- I completely hear what you're saying, but that's not 100 percent correct. The carport structure had a shed structure on it that was used for bicycle storage prior.

And we downgraded to one car from two cars. Our kids got bigger; we needed a bigger space to keep the bicycles. So that was why we put the larger shed that was smaller than the original structure. The shed piece of it is bigger, but it's half the size of the carport that had been there prior.

So there had been a structure on the property for $70+$ years. But $I$ appreciate what you're saying, though.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea, do you have any thoughts you want to express?

ANDREA HICKEY: I do. So could we go back to the slide that shows the alternate location for a moment?
[Pause]
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. So if I could ask Mr.
Richards, the blue sort of square on this, is that where the -- where it is now?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct.

ANDREA HICKEY: Does that sort of match the prior, so that's your ideal location where you'd like to keep it? MARC RICHARDS: That's where it is currently, where --

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
MARC RICHARDS: -- I would like it to remain, yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Understood. And then the yellowish-green is just a smaller option in a location that's sort of more into your lot, away from the centerline of the easement, correct?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct. Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
MARC RICHARDS: So that could be a place where an 8 x 8 shed would not encumber the front easement, the front setback.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. So the smaller one, the yellow-green is 8 x 8 and the one that's in blue, what are the dimensions of that?

MARC RICHARDS: That's the $10 \times 10$. That's the current one.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. All right. That does help me to kind of get oriented. Can you -- and I know this has
been asked before -- with either of these locations, where do you park your car or cars?

MARC RICHARDS: So the car is -- you can see sort of where the end of the word, "Aberdeen Court" is there, and the landing at the house. Sort of between that and the horizontal line above it.

And we used to pull it up further, although currently because of the way that the other side of the way is being blocked, we can't. So we've been parking it sort of to the front left of our house, but completely on our land now since last November.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. But when you say, "on your land" part of that is the easement area, correct?

MARC RICHARDS: Correct, yes, yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So we talked about this a little bit before, about how this easement is strange that it sort of runs around the back of your house and -MARC RICHARDS: Yeah.

ANDREA HICKEY: -- through the other side, which is strange to me. But you really can't block an easement. So if for you to park, you're parking in part of that easement, $I$ just -- personally that's not something I would
want to sort of pass judgment on. You're not seeking any parking relief, is that correct?

MARC RICHARDS: No, no, no. We're not. Can we -if we go to Slide, I believe it's Slide 10 -- so the historical use of the way here had been that, you know, obviously the carport and shed structure had been there since we believe the house was built, but since at least 1963. So that parking area had been used exclusively by my property that was over the property line.

Since Ms. Donnelly requested, we've ceased parking on her side of the property line. But it is still within the right of way. But the way the right of way is worded, it's not at all clear that we don't have the right to do that. So I would contend that we do and we're -- you know, pursuing legal clarification on that right now.

But as you can see, this left side is Ms.
Donnelly's side. And she's fully obstructed the way, while writing a letter to you all saying that, you know, it's not appropriate for us to have -- to obstruct it.

So the way we are using it currently, including our parking -- you can see where we repaved over our yard here to accommodate her request as well -- the way we're
parking and the way the shed is now is consistent with the historical use of the property.

It's not -- the other residents of Aberdeen Court share this right of way as well. They haven't raised any objections to it either. So it -- we've really just been having this difficult disagreement with her. And this is where we're at right now.

But she's fully obstructing side of the way. We're parked -- you know, we've reduced the amount of obstruction that we have in our side on her request, and, you know, consistent with the proposal that shed given us a year ago. And it still seems to be problematic, for reasons that we don't understand.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. That's really helpful to me. As between sort of the larger and smaller structure, in terms of size and location, if $I$ was to vote in favor, I prefer the smaller one, because it makes the nonconformity less.

I'm not decided yet, but $I$ would lean toward the smaller one if I'm leaning toward any at all. And I would want it very clear in our decision should we elect to allow your petition, that we're in no way passing judgment on
anything having to do with parking or the right of way. MARC RICHARDS: Yep.

ANDREA HICKEY: Because I think those two issues are still very problematic, but they're not before us tonight. So I would just want any decision of our Board to expressly sort of exclude passing judgment on parking or use of that right of way. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Laura, do you have anything you want to say at this point? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura's not on this one. Wendy is.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy? I'm sorry. Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: I think Andrea was very
articulate about the issues for me. I think that the issue of the right of way and the issue of the dimensional nonconformities of the variance are very separate. And I think this right of way is problematic in a lot of ways for both neighbors.

So if we her just -- if I'm just to look, which is what's before me -- at the nonconformity -- dimensional nonconformities of where the shed is to be located on the petitioner's property, I agree with Andrea.

And I would be comfortable with granting the relief sought here with the clarification that we're not determining what the right of way entails.

Because when I look at the -- when I looked at the property assessment database for the city and it says that his property has two parking spaces. So I'm assuming that that was interpreted by the city to be in that right of way, because I see no other place that that -- the two parking spaces could have been.

MARC RICHARDS: That's correct, yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah. I haven't seen the wording of the right of way in full, but it seems to me when you look at the City's map plan of the four houses in that Aberdeen Court together, I don't know why the right of way is drawn so that it's not only behind your house, but also behind your neighbor's -- the opposing neighbor's house -but it seems clear that the way the properties have been used don't accord with what's drawn on the right of way diagram.

And it seems like it's really just for the purpose of access to each other's driveways. At least that's how it's been intended to be used. So $I$ just don't feel
comfortable declaring -- you know, making a decision based on that. But as for the shed on your property, I would be willing to grant your relief, subject to the clarification of the right of way, as Andrea --

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah. I can give you the distinct wording that's on my deed as well. Although I agree that the -- I wasn't expecting to come here and ask anyone to pass any judgment on how the right of way is being used. That's for -- like I said, we're kind of dealing with that in a separate legal way that we're hoping to get resolved amicably, but it's been a struggle.

The actual word from the deed is, "The premises are conveyed subject to, and with the benefit of a right of way as set forth in the plan and common with others entitled thereto." That's it. That's the full, written extent of the right of way. It's never been an issue among anyone on our street.

My lawyer and I have joked it's a right of way to nowhere. It goes around the corner and then stops on both -- you know, the number 3 and the number 4 properties. And just the historical use of it has been exclusive. I've never accessed the right of way around on the three side
around the corner there. Nobody has ever accessed the parking area on our side, aside from people who have gone to our property.

And our previous neighbor at 3 Aberdeen Court lived there since 1963, and she confirmed all of that with us that it's never been an issue, and that's just the way things were until 2020 .

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan again. I would support relocating the structure so that it is off the right of way.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're requiring to get it off the right of way?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And if that means a smaller structure, then it's a smaller structure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I was going to make basically the same point, going back to your original point, Brendan. I mean, I don't see how you meet the requirements for a variance with regard to a hardship.

First of all, this structure is not essential to the use of the property. It may be essential to your family and its use of the property.

And we have to get the shed off the right of way. And to me, as Brendan has said, if it means a smaller shed, so be it. If you can't live with a smaller shed, then you can't do it. I think it's as simple as that. That's where I come out.

MARC RICHARDS: Can I -- I'm sorry, can I just ask for clarification? So you said that you would -- the smaller shed would be acceptable? The right of way is -- it goes around the corner and to the rear of the property. So there is no location on this sort of -- in this corner of the lot that would allow it to be outside the right of way as it currently exists. So I --

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea Hickey. That's my understanding too, which is why I felt it important for us to say that if we're allowing the shed in any location, we're not making any determination relative to the right of way.

Because I agree with Mr. Richards; I don't think there's a place you could put it that it wouldn't interfere with the right of way. So that really was the purpose of my sort of carveout as to what it is we're deciding. Thank you.
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MARC RICHARDS: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony. As I've indicated, there is a -- it should be obvious -- there is a neighbor who has objected in writing, and perhaps shall even speak tonight. So there's no -- we have one letter of support or a letter of nonobjection in our files from Corinna Bianchi at 18 Old Dee Road. Would she --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- where she says, "abuts Aberdeen Court to the east. I have no objection to the shed on 4 Aberdeen Court in its current location within the side yard -- side and front setback." Of course, it doesn't address the issue of the right of way, which is --

MARC RICHARDS: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- obviously a problem, at least for a number of us on the Board. But anyway, we'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Take
a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak
OLIVIA RATAY: Kathleen Donnelly?
KATHLEEN DONNELLY: Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ms. Donnelly? Yes, now we can.

KATHLEEN DONNELLY: So I would just like to take a few minutes to explain so $I$ would just like to explain my position on this. I don't necessarily agree with everything that's been said. The e-mails that I sent yesterday, the record that $I$ sent yesterday, was just three documents.

One was an e-mail exchange with Marc and Jen Richards. The second one was letter I sent to the Richards' attorney. And the third one was a text conversation that $I$ had with Marc. And that was from -- that's why the dates are in the past. But in each of those documents, I've let Marc and Jen know that $I$ was not in favor of this. So I just want to clarify that.

The reason I'm objecting to this is that the right of way, as you saw it, it comes down in Aberdeen Court and then it takes a right and a left. I don't mind if the Richards move their shed and it's still in the right of way, but it's not -- if you're looking at this slide, it's not in
the dotted lines. Because I think that right of way has to be clear. It's for my property value for emergency vehicles.

There's -- there does not seem to be a reason that they can't put the shed somewhere else in their yard or move it over to meet the five-feet requirement. And that's always been my position.

It gets a little more complicated if you want to talk about the parking, because it started that I've asked them to move their car, because I don't think they should be parking their car there. And they're not -- which is why I put my planters there -- but I think that is a whole 'nother discussion.

I think tonight we're here to talk about the shed. And I think the City of Cambridge has zoning laws for good reason, and I don't think -- and they have variances for a good reason, and I just don't think this meets the standard of a variance because that is where they want to put the shed so that they can put other things in their back yard.

So that's my perspective on it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. We appreciate you taking the time. This is a difficult situation, what $I$
hear, for the neighborhood. But we're going to bring it to a close I think tonight. Anyone else wish to speak?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're allowed to talk?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. There's
no other indication. And as I've indicated, the written communication we have is Ms. Donnelly's comments, and one letter in support from someone who is a neighbor on the street.

MARC RICHARDS: There's also -- ah, excuse me -there's two other letters of support from the other two neighbors on Aberdeen Court as well.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Where are they?
MARC RICHARDS: They're in the file. They were submitted back before September. So they're just saying that they don't object to the current location of the shed, although they -- one of them also specifically wanted it noted that he's speaking with respect to the setbacks and not the right of way, because that's -- like we said -another issue that we're trying to have settled.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you for bringing that to our attention. I think it's time for us to take a vote. Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the current location, is that the motion?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. That's what they're putting forth.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would say no. I would not -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You vote no. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde. I would vote no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, Jim. I didn't catch that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would say no.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I would vote no.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, you know I haven't made a motion yet, but see if we can do it in this fashion. Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: I -- yes, sorry. I would actually vote in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me point out that at this point, the vote -- your vote and my vote are the two that haven't been cast yet or irrelevant. To get the variance you need four votes in support. Even if you and I were to vote in support, it would only be two out of the
five of us. So I just want to point that out.
But obviously you're entitled to cast your vote.
So how do you vote?
WENDY LEISERSON: I said I would vote in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You would vote in favor.
Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair, as I've -I think I've indicated so far -- would vote -- votes no, for the reason that I've expressed, and others have expressed during the course of this hearing.
[FOUR VOTES NO, ONE VOTE YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So since I started without a motion to grant the relief, I think we have -- well, let me just phrase the vote to grant the relief, and then I'll read into the votes that have already been taken.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair? If I could interrupt just for a second.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure, sure.
ANDREA HICKEY: My apologies. This is Andrea Hickey. I would ask the petitioner and the neighbor, if she is still on the call, whether there is any hope of their resolving this?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's a very good comment, but $I$ would think from the history of this case, up until tonight, there doesn't seem much hope for that.

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I mean, it was the neighbor's comments that I take extremely to be very important with respect to this petition, because she is really the most impacted.

And, you know, based -- if she hadn't given her testimony the other night, I was leaning the other way. But it seems to me that there's no location that's proposed that she'd be okay with the shed. But I'm just wondering does it make sense for them to give it another discussion before we make a final decision?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I'll turn it to the --

MARC RICHARDS: So --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and the neighbor. Because I heard tonight -- and I've seen in the file for a good while -- is that there seems to be -- they're at loggerheads. And they don't seem to be able to raise a -get a -- mutually satisfactory result. The petitioner wants this shed where he wants it, and the neighbor doesn't want
it where he wants it. And that's where we are.
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wants it; doesn't comply
with the zoning ordinance.
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: This is Wendy Leiserson. I also
wonder if the right of way is currently being adjudicated elsewhere; whether it makes sense for the petitioner to, you know, either continue or withdraw this until the right of way matter.

Because that is I think going to -- it would affect, it seems to me, it would affect how others would vote. If I'm wrong about that, then let me know.

ANDREA HICKEY: This is Andrea Hickey again. What impacted my sort of final decision -- and I realize we haven't voted yet -- really is the neighbor's testimony that she would not support the shed anywhere within the right of way. So I think Ms. Leiserson's comment is probably a good one.

If there's a chance that that right of way will be interpreted by other Boards or parties such that you can
sort of be within it, then the comments of the neighbor would -- I would view those differently. But once we decide, the petitioner -- it's decided.

So I think Ms. Leiserson's comment that perhaps the petitioner might want to withdraw, and wait for further decisions with respect to the right of way might be something you'd want to consider.

MARC RICHARDS: Yeah. And if I may just ask for Slide 11 to be shown one more time. I know we've spent a lot of time on this, and I really appreciate the thoughtfulness that you all are applying here.

Again, this was the proposal that she gave to me, where it shows the shed clearly within the bounds of the way. This was sort of foundational to my decision to move it without the variance in the first place.

So I just want to remind folks of that aspect of it when we're talking about what, you know, is or isn't acceptable to her; that it was proposed to me that this would be more or less an acceptable location.

I moved it, and now it isn't. And now, you know, there's a -- it's a tough situation for me, as you can tell. I appreciate it.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Respectfully, her
testimony tonight was something to the contrary. And that sort of is what moved me. If she testified tonight that this drawing and location was acceptable, I might be in a different place. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm going to ex post facto make the motion, and then I'll recite the votes that have already been taken. But if anybody wants to change their mind at that time, please do so.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought: That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to shape of the land of the area, and that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with the plans that were submitted by the petitioner -- it's actually a sheet of
paper -- and initialed by the Chair. And that is the relief we'd be considering.

And we have so far at least taken a vote: Four against granting relief, and one in favor. Any of those in the four who voted against want a change of vote, now's the time to do it.

MARC RICHARDS: Excuse me, I have a procedural question, if $I$ could ask?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah.
MARC RICHARDS: So the suggestion was made to withdraw. Would we need to do that prior to the final vote being tallied, or can the vote be tallied and then that would still be --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can do one of two things. We can -- if we thought there was a basis, and you and your neighbor thought there was a basis for reaching some accommodation, we can continue this case one more time, and then see what comes from that.

Or there is an -- if we turn down the relief, you will have -- you can't come back for two years, unless what you will come back with is -- I'm going to paraphrase it -substantially different than what you have proposed tonight.

That's where we're at.
MARC RICHARDS: Okay. Understood.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. Mr. Chair, if I could say too, I also think that the Building Department could perhaps compel the shed to be removed if it's there and it's not in compliance. So it puts the petitioner in kind of a pickle. If he -- if he sort of withdraws, he's got a shed that's in violation. So I just wanted to put that in the record.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. That's what the facts are. Anyway, anybody -- I don't hear anybody changing their vote. So I would say that the motion -- relief is denied. And we need to take a second motion, those of us who voted against for the record.

And the Chair moves that we -- the Board has found the four members have voted against; that what is being proposed is not a literal enforcement, is not a substantial hardship. It's got to be a hardship, as Mr. Sullivan pointed out earlier, it runs with the land -- that would apply to anybody who occupies or owns the property.

And in fact, it's really -- this shed is really an accommodation and a useful benefit to those, the family that occupies the property, but it doesn't necessarily follow
that everybody who would by the property would suffer a substantial hardship. And in fact, it may be that the structure will have to be removed. But right now, it is not compliant with our zoning bylaws.

And as the Board has voted, not entitled -- the petitioners are not entitled to a variance.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, I would support your explanation for denial.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde.] I also support your explanation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey.] Yes, I am in support of the Chair's explanation for the denial.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, and I -- having made it, I support it as well. Wendy, since you voted in favor, you don't get a vote on this one. So the vote has been taken. The variance has been denied.

MARC RICHARDS: May I-- I appreciate your time, all of you. I know this was a very long one, and thank you. I would just like for my own understanding for the
future, there are -- you know, I've referenced in my petition several petitions that have been approved for rebuilt sheds that, you know, were, you know, sort of in a similar location from the previous ones.

And I just -- I guess I'm -- I don't understand how this differs from those cases. I listened to you all very carefully, I'm sorry I just -- I'm not the expert here and I would like to know.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'll try to do my best.

MARC RICHARDS: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Because most of the cases we don't have active opposition -- we do this time -- and we don't have a right of way that has been, at least has historically been "trespassed" applies of all words, but an impact by the shed. And that's what it is. But that's the best $I$ can tell you. We've taken our vote; we've got to move on.

MARC RICHARDS: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you very much.
MARC RICHARDS: Thank you.
LAURA WERNICK: Gus? Gus?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. Yes.

LAURA WERNICK: This is Laura. And I'm not sure I need to be here this evening. Maria had asked me to sit in, but you have five members now. And I don't know if all five are intending to be here the rest of the evening or not?

WENDY LEISERSON: [This is Wendy Leiserson.] I was not intending to be here for the rest of the evening. I was only on this case because it was continued.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay. So are you staying for the other two continued cases, or are you leaving at this point, Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: The other cases were not heard yet, so I was planning to leave now.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay, thank you. That's what I needed to know.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on to our next continued case -- and who's sitting on this case now? Not Wendy.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura, in place of Wendy. Thank you.
(7:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

The Chair will call Case Number 134123 -- 13
Kirkland Place. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

ALEXANDRA OFFIONG: Good evening. I am here [Alexandra Offiong.]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And we have a letter from you saying you want to continue this case a second time. Am I right?

ALEXANDRA OFFIONG: Yes, yes indeed.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Kind of. There will be -as I mention -- a second continuance. I just would point out that we generally do not continue cases more than twice.

So if we continue tonight, which I assume we will, we'll pick a date, and that will be the date. There will be no further continuances. Is there a date you want to suggest you want to continue the case to?

ALEXANDRA OFFIONG: I would suggest December 16,
if that's possible?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: December 16?
ALEXANDRA OFFIONG: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did I hear that right?
ALEXANDRA OFFIONG: Sixteenth, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The sixteenth works for our agenda for that night. So I will make a motion that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on December 16, subject to the following conditions -- and these are the conditions you've followed already, so should not be novel to you:

The first is that the case will -- you'll have to sign a notice, a waiver of time for decision and you've done that already, for the earlier continuance. That's all taken care of.

Second, that a new posting sign must be erected and maintained for the 14 days prior to December 16 disclosing the date, December 16 and the new time -- [or not/new tonight] -- the time will be 6:00 p.m.

And then lastly to the extent that you've already submitted any plans, drawings, specs or the like and you're going to modify those or submit additional ones, they must
be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before December 16.

If we -- if that's not done, we won't hear the case on that night. We have to have everything before us, and with some time if necessary.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura? Maybe she's on
mute?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Laura, you're on mute.
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah, $I$ just couldn't get off of mute. Yes to the continuance. Sorry.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You vote yes for the continuance? I guess she has. And the Chair votes yes as well. And -- oh Andrea, I'm sorry.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I vote yes for the continuance. Thank you.

(7:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number No. 143864 -- 5 Bennett Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. I trust I can be heard this evening?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
JAMES RAFFERTY: I said I hope I can be heard? JIM MONTEVERDE: You can.

LAURA WERNICK: Yes, you can be heard.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. For the record, James Rafferty, appearing on behalf of the applicant, Carpenter \& Company. Appearing with me this evening is Alex Attia. Mr. Attia is the General Manager of the Charles Hotel.

Also present are the two architects from
CambridgeSeven that are involved in the project -- a Gary Johnson, who is the architect for the original design of the complex in 1981; and his associate, Robert Bander.

The Board may recall that this case was before you two weeks ago, but was continued because the report of the Harvard Square Advisory Committee had not yet been received.

I would note that it was received at the end of last week, and it's contained in the file. And the Advisory Committee, as you hopefully have learned, voted unanimously to support this application.

This is a somewhat unique --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Rafferty, we continued the case last time because Community Development Department requested that we continue the case.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. They requested it because -

- right, I agree.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Now they've had their meeting, and as you pointed out, they are in support of the --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No objections. Fine.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. They actually had the meeting -- they had the meeting, but they hadn't prepared the report. But at any rate, that's correct. That -- so the case was continued, and now the report is present.

The case is a somewhat unique case involving an increase -- a requested increase in gross floor area of 1973 square feet -- one thousand nine hundred seventy-three square feet. I would characterize it as unique because all of the additional square footage associated with the variance request is already located within the volume of the hotel.

If you've had an opportunity to review the filing, the requested GFA is the result of the effort to place an interim floor in the area currently, or previously containing a swimming pool. We submitted some images for Ms. Ratay to allow me to quickly orient the Board to the area we're speaking of. Thank you, Olivia.

So I suspect most Board members are familiar with the complex. The hotel -- the entire complex was permitted by a PUD special permit by the Planning Board in 1981. It consists of a hotel and condominiums facing onto JFK park and an office building adjacent to the hotel.

This request involves a modification to the swimming pool, which you see circled in red, if we could go to the next image.

The swimming pool is in the back corner of the
hotel, and fronts onto the pathway that goes from the plaza of the hotel out to JFK Park.

This is an image where you can see the existing conditions -- the glazing on the top portion of the building there on the left is the -- looks into the pool. What's proposed here, both in photograph and in plan is to really change those windows to operable windows, and to put a floor in between the volume here.

Mr. Johnson would be happy to go through the specifics of what's involved, but we have one or two more images that I think make the proposed work more understandable.

I don't know if Olivia, you could go to the next image? Thanks.

Same thing here. This is looking at the pool from a slightly different corner. This is the portion of the pool that faces towards the Charles River -- more specifically faces towards the condominium complex.

Again, the proportion of the openings in the glazing is unchanged. All that's happening is replacement glazing and the introduction of spandrels to support the floor.

The GFA of the pool is already in place, but because we're adding the second floor, this interim floor, we need to seek a variance. The complex is close to at its 3.0 FAR. It's actually at 2.9. This 1900 square feet will result in an FAR of 3.01 .

I think this application is supported or consistent with one of the objectives of the ordinance, which is to make the most rationale use of land and property. The pool has been closed now for over a year since the COVID pandemic.

And Mr. Attia can describe to you the efforts they've made to try to operate the pool. But suffice it to say, it required a lot of maintenance. The pool was operated by a third party, Star Operator, and has been closed now for a year and a half. It is unused space.

The space can be put to a more efficient use by making it hotel rooms. The impact here would be eight additional hotel rooms into the hotel. The current hotel room count is at 295; this would bring it to 303.

There is ample parking in the hotel; the belowgrade garage accommodates not just hotel and office uses, but also has ample parking for commercial uses. This is one
of the few commercial parking garages in the Harvard Square area. There's not accessory parking, like other garages; one can just simply get a ticket and park here and go anywhere. And so there's ample parking. The impact here is really one of providing an appropriate or useful use of this space.

As noted by the Advisory Committee in its report, it will contribute to the life of Harvard Square. The hotel has been a vibrant presence in the Square. Board members may be aware that they operate a farmers' market in the courtyard, they have historically had an ice-skating rink out there.

Mr. Attia and the hotel ownership are active, valued members of the Harvard Square community. This is a request to allow for an interior change that will make this space usable and functional.

Happy to answer any questions related to the design of the space or the operation of the hotel. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'll ask the members of the Board if they have any. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions or
comments at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I have a question, if I could
ask Counsel? So the petition just relates to the pool
portion of what was previously sort of a gym/pool
combination? It's just the pool portion that they're asking for relief?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, that's -- I'll stand to be corrected by Mr. Attia, but the pool was adjacent to what was the health club. But this area involves just the twostory volume that was the pool area.

ANDREA HICKEY: And is there any intention to also come back to us with the request to make the gym area rooms as well?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm not certain, but were that to be the case, I'm not sure it would require a visit to the Zoning Board. It would involve the introduction of any additional GFA.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm. Okay. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Laura?

Questions?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I have none as well. So I will now open the matter up to public testimony. There is nothing in our files other than the letter from the Community Development, as I mentioned earlier, in support of the relief being sought.

Okay, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. No one does, so I will close public testimony, and we'll turn to the merits of the case. As we've done in the past, which I forgot to do in an earlier continued case, I will make a motion to grant the relief, and then we can discuss and ultimately vote yes or no on that motion.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that this pool is no longer used in any active form, and there is a better use of the space than a pool that's just sitting there.

That the hardship is owing to the shape of the structure.

And that the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. The relief would in fact create additional occupant space -- or hotel occupancy space, which is always desirable in the Harvard Square area. And again, there has been no objection either from City officials or the public to what is being sought.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with drawings and plans prepared by CambridgeSeven. They're dated October 20,2021 and the cover page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

> Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] I would
support the motion to grant the variance as requested. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] I vote in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I vote in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote -- Laura Wernick voting in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair will vote in
favor -- votes in favor as well, making it unanimous.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Relief granted.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Good evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty. Now moving on to our regular agenda, a little bit late, but moving on in any event.
(7:14 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number No. 148452 -- 1350 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

DAN KLASNICK: Yes. Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Dan Klasnick representing Verizon Wireless in its proposal to modify the existing rooftop facility that's installed at 1350 Mass Ave.

Just by way of background, this is, as I said, an existing facility approved by special permit and recently modified. The building also contains the equipment of another wireless service provider.

As in prior applications, I think what Verizon Wireless is doing by proposing to modify an existing facility is really use an approach that allows it to increase and enhance its network performance while maintaining a current location.

For this qualified 6409(a) eligible facilities
request, we did include all your special permit forms, a detailed narrative, plans, photo simulations, and FCC licenses in the narrative. We outlined compliance not only with your ordinance requirements, but also the Standards of 6409.

We currently, as I said, operate a facility here that consists of three different sectors of antennas mounted to the existing building penthouses for a total of 15 antennas.

What we're proposing to do with this modification is just remove three of the existing antennas; install three new antennas. There will also be some reconfiguration and repositioning of the antennas to accommodate the necessary separation and network optimization.

We included, as I said photo simulations with four separate views. And I think if the Board has had an opportunity to review those, what it really illustrates is that there won't be any physical visual change to the facility. In fact, everything's going to be installed in the same location on those penthouse walls.

And as I said, it's the modification that's really an important part of Verizon Wireless's network. It will
improve voice and data reliability to the city of Cambridge residents and businesses of course.

And I think it's really highly advantageous to both communities. So we would just respectfully request that this Board grant the requested special permit. Thank you very much.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I will say I did take a look at the photo simulations. And I concur with your characterization of them. I think the change is virtually unnoticeable in terms of visual impact and the impact on the Harvard Square area. But Brendan, any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair has already made his comments. So I think it's time for I'll make a motion. The motion -- it'll be, it's long but familiar to the petitioner's Counsel. Well, I've got to --
yeah, open it up to public comment. Let me -- I'm sorry, I jumped the gun.

I'll now open the matter up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a moment to see if there's anyone who wishes to speak. [Pause]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope. No one wishes to speak. So we're now ready to move into discussion of the merits of the case. And as we've done before, I will make the motion to grant the relief, and then we can discuss it if necessary and take whatever votes are necessary.

So the Board -- the Chair moves that this Board make the following findings:

That the requirements of the ordinance -- our ordinance -- cannot be met unless we grant the relief being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is proposed will not cause
congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

As indicated, it has no impact on the business that goes on in the building or on the city streets nearby, and it certainly will not make a substantial change in the established Harvard Square neighborhood.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. Again, for the reasons just expressed about the visual impact of the changes that speaks well to why there will be no adverse impact.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

Indeed, I'm not sure anything can impair the integrity of the Harvard Square district. But be that as it may.

And then lastly, the Board also finds that the modification of its existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility within the meaning of Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

Based on these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair, which I've done.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize
the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

And five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects, the conditions imposed by this board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

Continuing, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal
authorities.
Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law, or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit tonight granted shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked or terminated.
c) That to the extent that a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing a) and b), the petitioner may apply through this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such
application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit pursuant to a) and b).

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after the receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency
radiation under federal law.
That's the motion.
Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, I would support the motion
to grant the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote in favor. I vote in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. [Andrea Hickey] I am voting
in favor of the application.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes
in favor as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So relief granted. Thank you.

DAN KLASNICK: Thank you very much. Have a great
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evening.
(7:26 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number No. 141576. And we are in receipt of a letter from Mr. Rafferty, Counsel for the petitioner, requesting a continuance. Mr. Rafferty, anything you want to add to that, or you have a date you want to continue the case to?

JAMES RAFFERTY: It's a -- I think it's a quite manageable issue that arose. So as soon as the Board's schedule would permit us to return, we would ask to be able to do so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, you have no specific date? Whatever date works for us -- sooner rather than later, obviously.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, correct. Sooner rather than later.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We can continue this case until November 18 , which is about two weeks from now. Of course you're going to have -- as you know, we're
going to have to get a file -- a new posting sign up ASAP to meet that date. Is that okay with you?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. That would be done shortly after the sun rises in the morning, if the Board were to authorize that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair makes the following motion: To continue this case until 6:00 p.m. on November 18 as a case not heard, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, something which you're most very familiar with, Mr. Rafferty, so that's -- you know, the drill on that. If that waiver of -- that $I$ referred to is not signed by a week from today, right, by a week from Monday coming up, the case will be further continued. So just make sure you sign the waiver of time for decision.

Second, that the new posting sign or a modified posting sign reflecting the new date and the new time must be erected if it's not night and day maintained for the 14 days before November 18.

And lastly, to the extent that there are new or plan, drawings, specifications or the like, they're not in
our files right now. They must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to November 18.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes for a continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes for the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes for the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: See you November 18.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much.
(7:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number No. 145092 -- 700 Huron Avenue, Unit 06G.
Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair. James Rafferty for the applicant. Like the prior case, we've also filed a request for a continuance in this case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay. I wasn't aware that you were going to make that request. All right. We'll go through the same drill. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on December 16 -- we're all filled up for the November 18 -- subject to the following conditions:

That the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision, the usual. And if that's not done by 5:00 p.m. on a week from this coming Monday, the case will not be heard.

Second, that the new or modified posting sign must be erected and maintained for the 14 days prior to December

16, reflecting the new date and the new time.
And lastly, to the extent that there are new or revised plans, specifications, data or the like, if they're not in our files right now, they must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before December 16.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood. Mr. Chair, is it the case that there would be no date available prior to December 16? I know November 18 you indicated was full.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, that's the next date on our -- I'm told anyway -- yeah, the next hearing after the November 18 is December 16. We're only going to have one hearing in the month of December.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Understood. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER:

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of
granting the continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor of granting the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as
well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the case is continued until the December 16.
(7:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number No. 1457977 -- 600 Main Street. I will not be sitting on this case; Mr. Sullivan will chair this case. So anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair, Mr. Vice Chair. James Rafferty on behalf of the applicant. Also present this evening as part of our presentation is James Koningisor -- K-o-n-i-n-g-i-s-o-r. He's the Project Manager on this project.

And from the architectural firm of Payette, our Architect Stuart Bauer, B-a-u-e-r; Michael Hinchcliffe, Hinch-- H-i-n-c-h-l-i-f-f-e and Kevin Sullivan. All three of these architects worked for more than a year on the design of this building.

This is an application for a variance, and I would say it's a rather unique case, with a bit of a history and background I'd like to share with the Board. The building
itself, if it's possible to go to the images that we provided earlier to the Olivia, it would help the Board understand. I want to quickly familiarize the Board.

This is the corner of Main Street and Portland Street across from Tech Square on the edge of Kendall Square. This building that you see before you underwent months and months of scrutiny and collaboration with the Community Development and the larger neighborhood community that resulted --

Could we just see a couple of more images? We might be able to -- it received a Planning Board special permit.

And I included in our application some of the exuberant comments that were expressed by the Planning Board over the unique nature of this design. The case is -- the issue that's before this Board is depicted in this site plan.

And the issue comes down to that as the building was going through the design process and the permitting process, the applicant was encouraged to see how the building might best comply with the Urban Design Guidelines in the City Zoning Ordinance to create active uses.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Rafferty, let me just interject here for a minute.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There was only four members sitting on this particular case that -- and as such, you would need four affirmative votes. So it's your option or to continue it until we have a five-member Board. Mr. Alexander has recused himself from this case.

So I'm sorry, I should have interjected before you even started it. So just to throw that out there; if there are only four members sitting on this, just so you're aware of the necessity to get all four votes, or the option to continue this for a five-member Board.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. And thank you for pointing that out. And I will let -- my client is on the call, I believe. There are such time pressures associated with this project that I think they would be prepared to move forward. But might I be permitted just a second to see if anyone on the -- is --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Absolutely, yep.
JAMES RAFFERTY: -- if Mr. Koningisor feels
differently, we could request to hold this case, and we
could have a private conversation and return.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure, yep.
JAMES RAFFERTY: But I think -- I'm receiving a text that the applicant is comfortable deferring to my counsel. So with that weight on my shoulders, I'm going to recommend that we proceed, understanding the requirement that imposes on us that all four members hearing the case would have to vote in the affirmative.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's fine. Okay.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You can go ahead and complete your sentence or your paragraph.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. I'll do my best to keep it brief. But as I was indicating, the design of the building emerged over many months of collaboration. And one of the focus points was how can this building become more engaged with street life.

Can it have an active use in it? Well, it's a very unique building, but it's not simply a unique building; it's a very unique use that occurs in this building. The Ragon Institute is a non-profit research -- scientific research center devoted to curing diseases, particularly
affecting the immune system. It is funded entirely by philanthropy.

The philanthropy provided here is for two Cambridge residents -- Terry and Susan Ragon. They have operated the Ragon Institute has operated across the street in Tech Square for nearly a decade.

This, after many years of effort -- this will represent the home to the Ragon Institute; a new home, where they can expand their research. They are the leading research institute related to the HIV vaccine, and their input has been global throughout Africa and other parts of the world.

Similarly, they have taken a lead position in COVID-19 research. It is a -- it is a very unique institution. It is a collaboration between MIT and Massachusetts General Hospital. And all the researchers and all the activity and the construction here is funded by philanthropy.

As I was noting, as the building was going through the development and permitting process, it became clear that because the space was in such demand with the building, there really wasn't an opportunity to have active ground-
floor uses that would -- typically might get a building like this to be deferred, such as across the street where there's ground-floor retail.

The design conclusion, with the encouragement of the Design Staff at Community Development was to create areas on the site that would be open and publicly accessible.

So there are three such areas in the three corners of the site. Here is one image as you're looking down in the area along Main Street at the corner of Portland. This building -- this portion of the building overhangs that area.

There are two other images that show these overhangs -- cantilevered sections of the building, if you will. And these sections are referenced extensively in the Planning Board decision. And they are required to be publicly accessible. And they will be publicly accessible.

The other image that we could go to probably shows what's envisioned under this. This is the corner as the -along Main Street at Albany Street. This area is also underneath the building. And it's open. It's partially shaded, and the rest is open to the sky.

And the idea here is to have a series of active uses. There was much discussion, both with the Board -- the Planning Board -- and with neighborhood groups about creating activities that would make the public feel welcome and encourage them to occupy the space.

The entire design was well-received. The Planning Board granted the special permit and the project was on its way.

As the project wound its way through the building permit process, it was discovered that these portions for the overhang of the building is occurring. Outside the building required to be publicly accessible, it was -- the applicant was informed that the interpretation under Section 2 of the ordinance regarding the Definition of Gross floor Area -- that this was interpreted to fall under the category that includes roof, porches and balconies.

And candidly, that was not -- that was not fully appreciated by the applicant at the time. The result is that these covered areas -- these three areas that are publicly accessible, and for which activities would be provided for the entire neighborhood -- not just during business hours but evenings and weekends as well --
approximates about 15,000 square feet. And it is square footage that exceeds what the allowed FAR is. It's a -- it is a significant problem. It's a significant design challenge.

The applicant returned to the Planning Board and asked that they provide some commentary to the Board -- this Board -- as to the appropriateness of this variance, because we're mindful of the standards associated with the variance, and the fact that the amount of square footage here is not insignificant.

So I would hope that the Board has had a chance to read the recommendation of the Planning Board. As they say in their recommendation, they very strongly support the request. And they provide an account of what took place here.

I think it's also worth noting that there's a very supportive letter from Councillor Denise Simmons, who was actively involved in the community participation, the community collaboration here, particularly with the residents of Newtowne Court and Washington Elms.

This project is going to have a day care facility. And early on, they were asked whether they would set aside
spaces for area residents -- particularly residents of Newtowne Court and Washington Elms.

This, in my experience, is something
unprecedented. This is not a zoning change. These are not the type of community benefits that are typically asked of applicants in special permit cases. But it was very consistent with what the Ragon Institute's mission is.

They already have an education program. They have internships and programs with high school students, both in Cambridge and in Greater Boston. So engaging with the community was something they were eager to do.

I offer you all of this merely as a way to explain that this is a very unique situation. But this hardship is related to the unique aspect of the lot. The lot has frontages on three streets; it's triangular. And those three streets are all active public ways, or they will be as a result of this building.

So to create that type of activity to maintain a consistency with the goals and objective of the city's Urban Design Guidelines, this building reached an accommodation. And it's regrettable that in doing so, now the square footage of this area is treated as GFA.

I would share with the Board an observation provided by one of the Planning Board members in reviewing this case a few weeks ago in preparing this opinion... Mr. Sieniewicz served for many years on the Zoning Board and has been long-time member of the Planning Board. He offered some context to his fellow Planning Board members explaining why it is that such an interpretation of roof porches would apply to a situation such as this.

His explanation was that the origins of this interpretation had more to do with the fact that roof porches and areas like that can later be enclosed without getting a GFA variance. So the interpretation has been to avoid such enclosures.

In this case, he pointed out, there could never be an enclosure of this space -- first of all, because the design of the building is a condition of the special permit in the same way this Board conditions zoning relief to the set of plans.

But even more significantly, a condition and requirement that these areas under the overhang must be publicly accessible and have signage to that effect.

So it's for that reason $I$ would suggest the

Planning Board was as enthusiastic as they were in their recommendation. The architects could go on at great length about the building and its contribution to the city. Mr. Koningisor could go on at even greater length about what the Ragon Institute means not only to this city, but to the much larger community.

It was noted during the hearing process that it's fortunate that Cambridge is going to be the home of this world-class institution, and that we are the beneficiaries of an enlightened benefactor, who has hired a very experienced design team and created a building that is being recognized as truly outstanding.

With all of that in mind, we would suggest that there are unique, sufficient circumstances related to the shape of the lot, it's excessive amount of street frontage, and the desire to comply with the city's Urban Design guidelines, that granting this variance would be appropriate and consistent the intent of the ordinance.

I would be happy to provide any additional information, but at this point $I$ would make simply -- await your comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any members of the Board,

Laura, any questions or comments at this point?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?

JIM MONTEVERDE: She's on mute.
LAURA WERNICK: Sorry. I thought it was a very clear presentation. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, any comments or questions at this point?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I have nothing at the moment.
Thank you, Mr. Chair, Vice-Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it, then -- and I have no questions. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says "Participants" and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll wait a few minutes to see if anybody calls in, and we'll give you up to three minutes to make comments.

OLIVIA RATAY: Phone number ending in 8311?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 8311, you're on.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213
Hurley Street. And I would like to speak about the legal aspect of this, because $I$ believe that $I$ am the reason for this interpretation of the zoning ordinance, and I think it has gone way too far.

So in --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You started it, is that what you're saying, Heather, you started it?

HEATHER HOFFMAN: What? I believe I did.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Sorry.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: And I will explain the case that
-- where I brought it up, and it seemed to me at the time, since it was at the very beginning of my becoming a pain in everyone's neck that $I$ did this.

I was opposing a variance, and part of the building under consideration had stacked porches. And, well, stacked -- like, porch-sized balconies, shall we say. They have walls around them, and they aren't just cute little things that you can stick your toenail out on before you hit the wall.

And so I was looking through the zoning ordinance, and I thought that this looked to me like a roofed porch. And they were not counting the square footage. And since the requested variance had to do with quite a large increase in FAR over what the law permitted, I brought this up.

And in fact, Mr. Sieniewicz was the Chair of the BZA at the time. I believe that Mr. Sullivan was sitting on the panel; that this had to do with the development across the street from me.

And so I think that what $I$ was talking about at the time does seem to fit into the idea of roofed porches and balconies. But it seems to me that it has now become interpreted to mean if you have a roof, anything under it counts. And I do not think that that is reasonably within the concept of roofed porches and balconies.

I would also add that when Mr. Sieniewicz at the -- actually, I think it may have been Ted Cohen at the Planning Board meeting, who brought up the question of whether you could enclose this? And I think that people are putting the cart before the horse in how they're thinking about it.

If you enclose it, it's very clearly GFA. I don't
think anyone would question that. However, if it isn't counted as GFA to start with, then enclosing it will add to your GFA, and you'll have to deal with the consequences of that.

So what I think is that this Board could reconsider the galloping craziness of how this provision has been interpreted, and draw what it might consider a better line at how far it should go, and not lose any control over things that are perfectly reasonable to care about.

So I would suggest that the Board rule that this does not constitute gross floor area, and it is not a roofed porch or balcony.

And then I think everybody will go away happy, and we will lose some of the architectural problems that people are running into when they're trying to do something perfectly reasonable that they would not reasonably consider gross floor area, and probably no other municipality in the Commonwealth considers gross floor area. So thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Heather, for calling in. Any other calls? [Pause]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There are no other calls coming
in. I will close public comment at this time. The Board is in receipt of correspondence from Councillor E. Denise Simmons.

She writes, "I wish to express my enthusiastic support for the variance application being sought by the Ragon Institute for their new research facility at 600 Main Street." It goes on to describe their contribution in the fight against HIV and other diseases that attack the body's immune system.

She has watched very closely as this building went through a public permitting process. The Ragon Institute met with a wide range of neighborhood groups and pledged to become an active community participant.

It is worthy to note that the area on the site for which this variance is being sought will provide publicly beneficial open space, and as noted by the Planning Board, is a significant component of the building's impressive design submitted by E. Denise Simmons.

Correspondence from the Planning Board: Planning Board dated October 20. On October 19, 2021, the Planning Board discussed the above-referenced Board of Zoning Appeal case seeking an increase to the approved gross floor area
and floor area ratio of the Ragon Institute project at 600 Main Street.

The Board voted to forward a favorable recommendation on this variance request. The Planning Board very strongly supports the request. The Planning Board thoroughly reviewed and granted a special permit -- Planning Board \#375 for this building -- following community engagement efforts and a rigorous, iterative design process that resulted in the present design -- publicly accessible open space area, beneath the cantilevered portion of the building are a key design and component of the project.

And the Planning Board pushed the applicant to consult with the community, and to enhance the overall design and accessibility of the site.

These cantilevered areas serve a dual purpose of increasing the quality of the open space provided around the building and offering shaded area for the public during warmer weather.

Because the applicant has committed to making these open spaces publicly accessible, and the conditions of the Planning Board special permit require ongoing accessibility by the public, the granting of this request
will not result in any future enclosure of the area that would increase the building size or reduce building -sorry, the open space.

Sum and substance of any comments and correspondence. Mr. Rafferty, anything else before I turn it back to the Board at all?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, thank you. I think we've covered it, unless there are questions of us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I guess my only comment is that $I$ think Heather's point is probably well-taken if, Heather, this were an appeal of a decision from the Commissioner that they were subject to Article $2.5(a)$ under the roof, porches and balconies.

However, they have decided not to appeal that decision and so procedurally we could voice our opinion on that fact. However, the issue before us is the request for a variance, which the petitioner has pursued.

Jim, any comments at all? Or --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, sir. [Jim Monteverde]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, any comments for a
motion?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No. I have no comments, thanks.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion. The Board grants -- let me make a motion to grant the relief requested to increase the allowable gross floor area at -the application at 600-624 Main Street.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner from providing covered area beneath the building overhang that will create a unique, publicly accessible open space amenity that will benefit surrounding residents and works.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the irregular triangular shape of the site, all sides fronting on a public way.

The building design had extensive community input and comments from the Community Development Department as well as the Planning Board enthusiastically supports the request for the variance.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without either a substantial detriment to the public
good -- as a matter of fact, the Board finds that the granting of the variance will allow for the creation of publicly accessible plazas, courtyards and landscaped areas.

And that none of the additional square footage being requested contained in this variance application will be located within the interior of the building, nor result in any increase in the size of the building.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board finds that the requested relief is consistent with the citywide Urban Design Objective as set forth in Article 19.30 and recognized by the Planning Board in a special permit decision as -- and I quote, "sensitively designed to better meet the existing neighborhood context." Similarly, the Planning Board decision notes that the proposed project incorporates a significant open space along the Main Street frontage, which will be 100 percent publicly accessible and contain passive recreational areas, natural features and opportunities for popup programming, small-scale events and display of public artwork.

Moreover, the building overhang extends more than
20 feet high above the publicly accessible open space, and are only included in the gross floor area of the building based on the interpretation of gross floor area as in the definition under Section 2, and includes roofs, porches and balconies.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde votes in favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the variance.
[All vote YES]
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Granted, this variance.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Have a good evening.

(7:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number No. 144641 -- 4 Wyman Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess so.
KATIE FLYNN: Hi. I'm Katie Flynn. I am here with my partner, Dan Hisel. We're architects speaking on behalf of Liza Oliver and Josh Resnick at 4 Wyman Road in Cambridge.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
KATIE FLYNN: Thanks. We're here seeking relief on two components associated with an already permitted by right renovation of their home. If Olivia could pull up the site plan that we submitted, I can orient you.
[Pause]
KATIE FLYNN: Thanks. 4 Wyman Road is a triangular lot along Huron Ave and Wyman Road. And that
presents front yard setbacks on two sides.
And we're renovating the existing home, which is an existing nonconforming structure. And the bulk of the renovations, as I said, are by right and already permitted and in fact under construction.

But we're hoping to amend the permit application with two relatively modest components. One is a small landing at the kitchen door, and that's on the right-hand side of the property, the proposed stoop and steps.

And the other is a roof overhang at the front door; a three-foot deep roof overhang at the front door.

If you can please go down to the plan, if that will zoom in to the areas of interest?

And these really -- the kitchen landing is just to provide direct access from the kitchen to the kind of most substantial area of the garden. And the front roof overhang is to provide weather protection at the front door.

If you go down, please, we can see the footprint of the overhang there. And then down again to the elevation.

We can see the overhang at the front door and the stoop at the kitchen door on the right-hand side. The stoop
is 18 inches off the ground. The landing is three feet deep. And there are two steps. So the whole assembly is five feet and as wide as the door, 12.5 feet.

We've tried to make both of these components really just as modest as can possibly be to achieve the desired functionality. So if you go down one more time, we can see, again, the other side of the kitchen deck and the front overhang.

We have renderings of the exterior of the house. So that's the front canopy. And then the -- if you swing around to the side, the next slide, please? You can see the small stoop, the steps to the kitchen.

This portion of the yard is fenced in. So this kitchen landing won't be visible from a public way. It's behind a six-foot-tall fence, an existing fence.

You can go down again.
These are existing photographs of the house and pictures -- the renovation and the second-floor additions that are already permitted haven't happened yet; they're underway. But this just gives you a sense for the context.

We can keep going down. I can be very brief; we can just kind of scroll through the pictures to see what
you'll see from the street. That's the front of the house.
This is -- the next slide is inside the garden,
looking at where the kitchen door will be, and where we're hoping to put the landing.

Uh-huh, down again.
This is the view from the corner of Huron Ave and Wyman Road. This is the back of the house, and the kind of low volume; that's where the kitchen landing will be.

Down again?
Another interesting aspect of this site is that there's a pedestrian kind of island adjoining the parcel. So that kind of pinches the actual property line into a pretty tight corner of this intersection.

And that's what's causing our setback troubles.
And that's that. Perhaps we can land on the site plan as a place to field any questions. We've received two letters of support from the neighbors across the street who are in favor of these components.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Thank you for your concise presentation, and your reference to the -there are two letters of support in our files with regard to the relief being sought. Brendan, any questions you have?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. No questions at this time. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Just out of curiosity --
KATIE FLYNN: Sure.
LAURA WERNICK: How come the stairs from the kitchen were not part of the original permitting process?

KATIE FLYNN: Oh, you know, they were. It was a gray area to us if that was a by right element or not?

LAURA WERNICK: Okay.
KATIE FLYNN: It's very low to the ground, and so our interpretation was that it was below the 30 -inch threshold for an uncovered deck that can encroach on a setback area.

But my understanding is that because that particular side of the house is already nonconforming, that that complicates the interpretation of the orientation. LAURA WERNICK: Okay, thank you. That's very
helpful.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I have no questions at this point. I'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. Apparently, there's no one that has indicated a desire to speak, so I will close public testimony. And as is our practice, I will make a motion to grant the relief, and then we can discuss that motion and go up or down or modify it as the Board desires.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be
met without the special permit.
That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the relief being sought would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And that the nature of what is being proposed and the plans that are being submitted I think demonstrate that there is no resulting congestion, hazard or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in our ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed.

And in this regard, we have two letters of support, so they demonstrate this neighborhood relief. No letters of opposition or adverse public comment that demonstrate $I$ think that this criteria for a special permit is being satisfied.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. And again, the nature of what is being proposed I think amply demonstrate that this is so.

And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by -- the print is small -- prepared by Hise, H-i-s-e Flynn Architects, dated -- well the final BZA application date is September 9, 2021.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

LAURA WERNICK: Laura.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes. Laura Wernick
in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.

Good luck.

KATIE FLYNN: Thank you very much for your time.
(8:09 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number No. 147193 -- 24 Locke Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone out there?
MATTHEW JESSI: Good evening, Mr. Chair and
members of the Board. Can you hear me okay?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. I'm having trouble understanding you.

MATTHEW JESSI: Can you hear me?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can hear you.
MATTHEW JESSI: Oh, awesome. Great. So good evening, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Thank you for taking the time to hear our request. I'm Matt Jessi, I'm the Architect.

And before we get into the items requesting relief, I'd like to quickly turn it over to the homeowner,

Rackham, to introduce himself and just kind of give you a general idea of why they're trying to take on this project. RACKHAM KARLSSON: Good evening. Thank you for your time tonight. Adria, you want to go ahead?

ADRIA KARLSSON: Yeah, sure. We are requesting to be able to do this project mostly because we've lived in Cambridge for a really long time. I moved here in 2006. I met my husband here. We had five kids here, and we don't ever want to really live.

But finding enough space in our household, which have been growing -- seven people, five of whom will keep getting bigger is an ongoing challenge. I mean, we're hoping this will resolve that.

RACKHAM KARLSSON: So yeah, if I can add to that, I've lived in Cambridge for 13 years now. Fell in love with Cambridge the day I stepped foot in the town. Adria has been here for 15 years. All of our kids have grown up, spent their entire lives in Cambridge.

ADRIA KARLSSON: Yeah. It's our community. It's all our families. It's the people we went to day care with and raised our kids with, and we just don't want to leave. RACKHAM KARLSSON: Yeah.

ADRIA KARLSSON: We want to be embedded in this community for as long as possible.

RACKHAM KARLSSON: We have looked at alternatives. But, you know, that would mean being farther away from friends and colleagues and all of the stuff that enriches our life and enriches our children's lives.

So we are hoping that by being able to expand the children's living space a little bit that we can continue living here and being part of this wonderful community.

I do want to note that we were considering a smaller version of this project last year. We spoke with some neighbors and chose to not even apply for it, based on the circumstances. It would have been too disruptive; everybody having their kids home, working from home. But for this year, I went around, I spoke with the neighbors.

The signed statement, a number of them signed a statement in favor. Nobody expressed any misgivings about it. And it is on the record -- I believe the last page of the .PDF of file.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And we are in receipt --

RACKHAM KARLSSON: That's it from us.

ADRIA KARLSSON: -- in our files of a petition in support of what you're seeking from six different neighbors. So I want to make sure --

RACKHAM KARLSSON: Sure, thank you.
MATTHEW JESSI: Thank you guys. So based on our design, we're trying to fit a bathroom and a bedroom on the third floor. So we're requesting relief for three reasons, in order to maximize the efficiency of this space and to make it work for the growing family.

So the first one is we're extending the thirdfloor nonconforming dormer wall that falls within the side back, the side yard setbacks. So this is already a nonconforming dormer. And it's -- the dormer extension is not making the existing condition worse.

The second issue is the addition of this dormer is going to increase the nonconforming FAR. So we're in a B zone, which they allow 0.5 FAR. So we're going to be going from a 0.62 to a 0.66 . So it's a 0.04 increase. And this will give us an additional 165 square feet in order to fit this bedroom and the bathroom on the third floor.

And then the final issue is the addition of the dormer is increasing the already nonconforming total dormer
length on the back, if you can see on this image here. To the left is the existing condition and to the right is the proposed addition to get this bedroom and bathroom in there. So we really needed all of this space in this area in order to make the program space required by the owners to stay in this house work for them.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. I would point out that the relief being sought here is being sought pursuant to Section 8.22.2.d of our ordinance. That is a relatively recent change to our zoning ordinance. It is occasioned by a Supreme Judicial Court decision, which talks about modifications to nonconforming structure when there is no new nonconformance being created.

And under that Section 8 -- I haven't got the name
here -- 8.22.24(d), we only have to make one finding to grant the special permit. And that finding is that what is being proposed is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood. That's what's before us tonight.

And we do have letters of support from the neighborhood, which would suggest that that requirement's been satisfied.

But I will open the matter up to -- well, I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony first. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll wait a moment to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not. So we can close public testimony. The Chair will make a motion, and then we'll discuss that and then take our vote. The Chair moves that we grant the special permit being sought on the grounds that what is being proposed is not more detrimental than the existing structure to the neighborhood.

In support of that, there is the neighborhood support, there's no opposition. And I think just the facts of the nature of what is being proposed.

So on the basis of all of this finding, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with voluminous plans prepared by Morse Constructions -- I don't know if I have a
date on here? Yes, we do. No, there's no date. Yes, we do.

LAURA WERNICK: 07/06/21.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I just got it. Thank you, Laura; 07/06/21.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I would support the
special permit. Actually, $I$ think it's a very novel and a very attractive design and transformation of the front of that house, and will obviously add much needed interior space, and I sort of like the design.

So yes, I would support the granting of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde I would support the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I support the special permit as well, but $I$ don't think it's fair to have two kids looking through the Zoom screen at us putting pressure on us.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Andrea Hickey yes in favor
of the petition.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chairman votes in
favor as well, so the relief is granted.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Good luck!
RACKHAM KARLSSON: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And send your kids to bed
now, too.

RACKHAM KARLSSON: Thank you so much, yes.
ADRIA KARLSSON: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You'll do anything to appeal to our soft spots in our heart.

RACKHAM KARLSSON and ADRIA KARLSSON: [Laughter]
Sorry about that.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(8:18 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number No. 143405 -- 6 Porter Circle. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SCOTT VAUGHN: Good evening, Mr. Chair. This is Scott Vaughn. Can everyone hear me?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You want to build a

```
carport?
```

SCOTT VAUGHN: Again, this is Scott -- pardon?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do you want to build a -you're seeking zoning relief to build a carport attached to your house?

SCOTT VAUGHN: Yes. Again, this is Scott Vaughn. I'm the architect --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry. You're
right. You're the architect, not the --

SCOTT VAUGHN: And I represent Jeffrey and Abagail

Gordon, the homeowners. They are 44-year residents of Cambridge, and their hope is to stay in Cambridge in this house. And they've joined us.

As you said, this is a request to construct a carport, which would be a covering over an existing offstreet parking space.

And the basis of the request -- and if we can see one of the illustrations of the front elevation, please? -what you'll see is approximately a 45-degree roof, which has been shedding ice and snow onto the cars parked in the offstreet parking space, with the result that on three occasions the cars have sustained significant damage. This is by its nature an unsafe and dangerous condition.

And the hope here is that relief can be granted to construct a carport.

It's our belief that the relief requested is the minimum necessary. The footprint of the carport is 10 feet 8 inches across the front. It's 18 feet deep. So it's not -- it's basically large enough to cover an average car. Given the way that the site tapers from the front to the back, it does get slightly wider at the rear.

But as you can see from the photograph, this is
still a relatively narrow space. It's constrained on one side by the house, and constrained on the other side by an existing adjacent first-floor garage and porches above.

Porter Circle itself, if you have the opportunity to go visit the site, is a very constrained location.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That is true.
SCOTT VAUGHN: So it's an advantage to everyone to
be able to have this off-street parking. There are some other amenities associated with this in terms of reconstructing the rear garden for the Gordons.

This is going to eliminate a number of curbs and height changes -- basically eliminating trip hazards as part of the work. But the primary focus of this is to get permission to construct a carport.

As you can see in the upper left-hand corner, there's a very thin profile on this. The roof of the carport is only a foot deep. It is supported on two columns, which are themselves supported on helical piles.

The edge of the roof of the carport is three inches off of the side yard line, but that's what's necessary to be able to get the 10 -foot-8-inch throat so that a car can get in and out of the space.

And if either Jeff or Abby would like to chime in, they can describe some of the damage they've suffered to their vehicles.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anything further?
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're muted.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, okay, I'm sorry. JIM MONTEVERDE: The Gordons are muted.

JEFFREY GORDON: Yeah, okay. Here. Okay. Can you hear me now?

COLLECTIVE: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I can hear you.
JEFFREY GORDON: Yeah. Okay. So we had the solar panels put in, and we were very happy with the electrical, you know, merits of it. But then a couple years after, our tenants used to park in that space, and the first time this happened it was $I$ think January 30 , and then we had a big snowstorm, and ice just avalanched off of the roof.

And, you know, we came home and our tenant was just crying because her car roof had been significantly dented. You know, thank goodness she wasn't in it.

And then another time she had some damage, but it
was a little milder than the time the roof got dented in. After that, $I$ had our tenants park in front of the house. I started taking that, and, you know, increased my insurance just in case.

And then this past year it happened again to my car -- you know, whatever it was, $\$ 6000$ worth of damage. The roof had to be replaced -- the roof of the car. And that's when I just decided that I wanted to go ahead and have some protection for the cars and just people, because we do shovel, we go out there, it's a dangerous situation. And if we're going to keep the solar panels, we need some protection.

And we've been there for 44 years, we want to continue to be there. You know, my wife's retired. I'm 72. We don't have any plans of moving out. And so we'd like to have this protection so that we can keep the solar panels. The other option is to get rid of the solar panels. But we don't want to do that if possible.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Well, let me make some comments. As you point out, this is a very dense area -- neighborhood, and will become more dense, should we grant the relief you're seeking tonight.

We have several letters from the neighborhood. All are in support, with one exception. And I'm going to read the letter from that person who does not support the relief you're seeking. It's from Caron -- C-a-r-o-n and Bill Courier, C-o-u-r-i-e-r, who reside at 70 Porter Road.
"The purpose to this letter is to further review Case Number -- " [our case.] "The petition -- " it says; that should be "petitioner" "-- is requesting the construction of a carport at 6 Porter Circle, to which we are direct abutters, and to request the Board of Zoning Appeal, that we are formally opposed to the petition."
[They (sic) are concerned for the following reasons:]
"1) Accessibility to my property for maintenance to the carport in the latter zone."
[Not sure what that means, but obviously it means that access to their property is going to be adversely affected by the carport that is being proposed.]
"2) -- " [And I think this is important] "-- The proposed carport will prevent us from being able to maintain our wood balconies and fire escape." [Stress fire escape.]
"3) The carport is proposed up to the property lot
line," [and we know that. That's the reason why you're before us.

The next one is:]
"4) We did not receive a boundary service."
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So be it. A plot plan is not sufficient evidence of actual property division, perhaps -- and we did not see any survey markers showing a carport or other proposed structure.

So I think -- to my mind, at least, this petition -- these petitioners raise some serious questions, safety being the main question.

THE REPORTER: Gus, can you speak into the mic, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Say it again?
THE REPORTER: Could you speak into the mic
please?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Could I speak what?
THE REPORTER: Into the microphone, please. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I moved the microphone down, I'm sorry.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And there's my concerns. I'm only one of five, obviously. But I think I worry about the safety issues.

I worry about the further congestion of this tight neighborhood, and I worry about the impact upon an abutter, who will be -- beside yourselves -- the person most directly affected by the relief here being sought.

Do you have any comments in response to that? Do you have challenges, as to what these folks have written in their letter -- do you believe to be incorrect?

SCOTT VAUGHN: If I may, Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Again, this is Scott Vaughn.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep.

SCOTT VAUGHN: What I would suggest is that the Gordons and the abutter have an opportunity to chat. Because most of what the abutter cited as concerns, I believe we can at least make offers; obviously, an offer of an easement.

And I would suggest that having a carport there would in fact make it easier to maintain the side of their building, but one of the things that we can discuss with

```
them is giving them a permanent easement. There's really no
impediment to them getting around their house, if they were
offered an easement through the area under the carport. For
that reason --
```

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Carport always welcomes discussions between neighbors if there is neighbor -- the neighbor has concerns or objections to relief being sought. I'm disappointed that you didn't reach out to them before tonight's hearing.

JEFEREY GORDON: Well, we had reached out to them, and Billy told me, "Whatever you need, Jeff, you know, we're behind you." So this is the first I'm hearing of it. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

SCOTT VAUGHN: So what I would request, Mr. Chair -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me make a suggestion --

SCOTT VAUGHN: -- is that we can continue this to a further date?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I suggest we continue this case.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and give you the chance
to, now that you have the letters in our files, or in the Department's files, and sit down and talk and see if they don't have any objection. To me, that would go a long way to supporting your case.

Or if they do have objection, I'd like to know about it, if the objection continues even after you have sat down with your neighbors.

Do other members of the Board feel differently?
MRS. GORDON: We did reach out to them.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. This is Brendan
Sullivan. Yeah. I would sort of encourage that you should have had conversation before now, and encourage that you have conversation after tonight.

One question that $I$ have is when were the solar panels installed?

JEFFREY GORDON: Could you speak up? It's hard to hear.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The question was, when we were the solar panels installed?

JEFFREY GORDON: About 10 years ago.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Have you
investigated -- or Mr. Vaughn have you investigated the possibility of snow guards, snow and ice guards along that edge of the roof?

ABBY GORDON: They do have a ring around it.
JEFFREY GORDON: There are snow and ice guards -the company Sungevity put it in when we had the first incident. Because I told them to just remove the solar panels. And they -- you know, in a way to kind of appease me, they said, "Well, we'll put in snow guards."

They put them in, but as, you know, this past whatever it was February, you know, the incident happened again where it damaged my car and I had to -- you know, whatever it was about $\$ 5000$ worth of damage to the car, and that's what, you know, brought me to this point.

And I'm just surprised because Billy and Caron, I talked to them, they were supportive in the beginning, we had the plot plan done showing that, you know, where their property line was.

And she and he never mentioned anything to me, you know, saying that they opposed it. So this is really the first that we're hearing of this. And I'm going to speak to them.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nobody's blaming you for anything.

JEFFREY GORDON: Yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But the fact of the matter is, these folks have now spoken out, they've spoken out in opposition to the relief here being sought, and I think -we think -- I think -- it's necessary for you to sit down with them and either come to some agreement or not.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Right. And --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But if, --

SCOTT VAUGHN: And --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you know, if you sat down with them --

SCOTT VAUGHN: -- and again --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and they said, or we --
you convinced them that, "Don't worry about it, it's not a problem, we'll withdraw our letter," that will go a long way to the likelihood you'll be granted relief.

ABBY GORDON: We're not going to.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But I -- it doesn't do any good to say, "We reached out to them and they never said anything." They now have said something.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: They wrote a letter, in writing. And I think you really should -- unless you think it's a useless exercise -- you really should speak to them and see if you can reach some kind of accommodation.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Right. So --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Or you can go to a vote tonight and we will see how the vote goes.

SCOTT VAUGHN: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We need to get four out of five votes --

SCOTT VAUGHN: Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- to get the relief you're seeking.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Right. Mr. Chair?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SCOTT VAUGHN: I believe we've requested a continuance, so that the Gordons and the abutters can have a chance to talk. When might we be able to get a hearing in the future?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And let me just point out that the continuance -- this is what's called in the zoning
parlance -- is a "case heard." We've gotten into the merits of the case.

So if we continue it, we have to continue with the same five members that are here tonight. And so we got to find out what dates all five are available, what date you think you want to have, and we're running up against -- I'll point out the next available time is December? January 6? January 6 will be the next opening on our -- so nothing will happen. You have from now -- assuming we vote to continue the case -- until January 6 to meet with your neighbors, to reach accommodation to whatever, to modify your plans, or we can take a vote tonight.

But I would not -- I don't think it's a wise idea, unless there's some real time pressure. I don't think it's a wise idea to continue -- to push this to a vote tonight.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Right. We are not requesting a vote this evening. We are requesting a continuance, please.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. Do -- I haven't heard from Laura or Jim or Andrea. Do you have any problems with what Mr. Vaughn's been proposing?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde,] I have no objection to a continuance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey. I have no
objection either.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right.
LAURA WERNICK: I have no objection.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, I'm sorry, Laura. I did this to you before, I apologize. All right. I'm going to make a motion.

The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on January 6, subject to the following conditions, and these are important. First, that the petitioner must sign a waiver of time for decision.

That's because under the state law for zoning variances, variances are automatically granted within a certain period of time, unless a case is continued. So we need you to continue the case.

The case will be continued -- give me a second -under the following conditions: You have to sign a waiver of time for decision to January 6. That waiver must be signed -- it's very simple document that every continued case has -- must be signed no later than 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday.
If that is not done to avoid a -- or relief being
granted automatically, we will dismiss this case. I don't think you want that, and it's not a big deal. You have to go down to the Building Department's offices and Maria will have a form ready for you. It's a single, simple, one-page form. That's the first condition.

The second condition is that the waiver of -- the posting sign must be either a new one posted or a modification to what is up there now, the modification being changed -- the date and time -- by magic marker or what have you. But that new sign must be maintained for the 14 days before January 6.

And lastly, to the extent that as a result of your discussions or otherwise you wish to modify the plans, supplement the plans, new data or other information -- that must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 6.

That's the purpose of allowing us, Board members and neighbors, including your neighbor who is objecting, to see what is in the files and to reach a decision that they want to reach.

So Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to the continuance. But I
would also have a comment.

And Mr. Vaughn, I would ask you to -- you're probably going to have to do some more work here, because I find that the plan is quite lacking that if we even were to approve this -- and this has to be handed out to the Building Department -- they're going to say, "Well, what are we approving?" And, you know, a couple of lines on a piece of paper showing a roof and obviously supports aren't going to do it.

There's -- you know, as I'm looking at this, so you're proposing that when the roof comes down the -- when the snow comes off the roof, and it's going to land on the roof of this carport, and $I$ would submit that it's probably going to come down with a great amount of force -- and so I don't know structurally that's for the Building Department to determine.

But I think we need some dimensions as to the dimension of the carport, the width, the length and also the depth of it and some detail -- cross section through it, so that we can pass these drawings, should they get approved, off to the Building Inspector to issue a permit.

Also, when the snow comes down off of that roof
and lands on top of the cardboard roof, where does the melting water go eventually? Or the melting snow, which turns into water? Where does that go eventually? And is there any provision for that, or does it just sort of sit on top of that and turn into ice? Which, again, is just -- you know, an awful lot of weight.

And subsequent snowstorms on top of that are just going to pile on top of that and add more weight to it. Something to consider.

But I think for my point of view, we need some more dimensions. We need some numbers on that, to show exactly the size of the carport and again maybe a crosssection through it so that you have a depth of that also. And the support columns, whether they $4 x 4 s, 6 x 6 s$. We may do steel lally columns, schedule 40 or whatever. But we need some more detail, other than what's presented.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me endorse Mr.
Sullivan --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Other than that, I will support the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- just said, which I think he made some very important points. I think they also
may be important to your discussions with your neighbor, who may have similar concerns, based on the plans that at least we have. They frankly are too -- they're skeletal. They're
not what we need. They're not with the detail that we expect for construction projects, or projects to modify construction.

So I think you do need to do some more work.
Whether you do it before you speak with your neighbor or after, that's up to you.

But I would expect on January 6, assuming the case is still going forward, that we're going to get a different -- we're going to have more detailed plans than what has been submitted tonight.

SCOTT VAUGHN: We --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim Monteverde, do you have any comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I know I support Brendan's concerns.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I support Brendan's concerns.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I support the continuance of
the case.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick supports the continuation of the case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair votes yes to the continuance.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So this case is now going to be continued until January 6. And you know the rules of what has to be done between now and then in terms of speaking to your neighbor and getting more detailed plans before us, so we can make a more informed decision than we would have had to make tonight. Thank you.

SCOTT VAUGHN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board.

JEFFREY GORDON: Thank you.
MRS. GORDON: Thank you.
(8:40 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number No. 140869 -- 82 Larch Road. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter? Sir?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Yes. I'm Fawwaz Habbal. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I just want to know, you're on our screen, so you know. FAWWAZ HABBAL: Can you see me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours. FAWWAZ HABBAL: Okay. Just a second. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Take your time. FAWWAZ HABBAL: I'm a go-to guy. So I always get confused. Do we need to close our screen, you said? I think it's --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, say it again, please?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: I cannot close it. Okay. Can you see us?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We can see you, yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. We can see you; we can hear you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. Very good. Okay. So I'm Fawwaz Habbal. I am the owner of 82 Larch Street. I bought this property in September 2019, and there were some major issues in the house.

The house has an attached car garage to it. But there were major issues in the house, and we needed to renovate it. And we've been on this renovation since we bought it.

Most of the reasons for that was really the COVID situation, not getting material and not getting an inspection permit and small supports. So it's almost now -the house is almost gone, and we are now looking to see we can build for a car garage.

The car garage that used to be there is -- I can show you some diagrams of that, let me see if I can Share Screen here. Can I Share Screen? You need to allow me to Share Screen.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm trying to pull it up right now.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Okay, thank you. Oh, I see.
Okay. If I could -- I have a simple PowerPoint slide with three pictures in it. Can I do that, or you have to stay within -- it's the same slide that you have already submitted.

LAURA WERNICK: Can we just use the drawings that are up on the screen?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Sure. Can you see my screen or not?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Okay. So this picture here is the picture of the house when we bought it. Let's see -- yeah.

LAURA WERNICK: We're looking at the drawings that the Board has --

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Oh, I'm sorry, you're looking at the drawings. Okay. All right. Very good. [So we have to go to the drawings.]

BILL HAMMER: Okay. I don't think they can see that, yeah.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: All right. So you could see now this is the structure that we're proposing building. And it is a structure without a second floor. The other garage had
the room on top of it, which made it much bigger size wise. And this is height wise shorter and slightly wider. It's wider by about two and a half feet. And it used to be 11.5. Now it's 13, so I guess --

BILL HAMMER: Two and a half.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Yeah. So it was 13, it was 11.5 feet wide, and now we're proposing it's 13. And by doing that, we are closer to being able by about -- we used to be about six feet; now it's about four feet. And the back also extended a little closer to the side of the neighbor.

I talked with Doug and Judy Weinstein, who the garage will be closer to their fence -- to our fence with them -- and they had no difficulty with that.

We promised that we were going to have a garage that has similar quality and will have also the right way of removing the water -- so sorry, the rain and so on, so we will have gutters and so on.

So that's -- so what we'd like to have permission is to build the house the way we are proposing it. With me is Bill Hammer. He is the architect, so he can answer also some questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have a question. The
garage will be very close to the residential structure, but not attached to it, is that right?

BILL HAMMER: No, it is attached. There's a small roof covering between the garage and the house. And that's the way it was before.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that going to be used for residential purposes?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Yeah. Oh, yeah. We'll be walking under it, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's a breezeway; it's open to the -- it's not enclosed on the side, on the vertical sides?

BILL HAMMER: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?
BILL HAMMER: No, no, no.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No? Right.
BILL HAMMER: It's open. It's open.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
FAWWAZ HABBAL: Just helps me to go in and out of the garage, that's all.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
FAWWAZ HABBAL: And the garage, when we bought the
house there was no car in the garage. And I really tried to
put my car inside it. And the distance between the door size and the distance between the size of the car and the walls were no more than about four or five inches, so it was really, really tight. And I don't think -- anyway that structure is gone right now, so.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. We do have a letter from a -- someone in the neighborhood, and I want to read it into the file. It's a she. She raises some questions that I think need to be dealt with.

But first -- well, let me do that first and before I see if other Board members have further comments or questions. The letter is from a Sandra Gail Caldwell, who resides at 89 Larch Road. She says:
"I live across the street from the house in question, and I understand petitioners need to construct a new garage.
"While this is not an opposition to the request, I would hope the petitioner would make the garage as unobtrusive as possible, as it edges so close to the property line. The house has been built out on the second floor, and is now a much more imposing structure than the original property.
"Given the density of Cambridge, and a tendency to build out old, existing properties, I request that the garage be as innocuous as possible and be landscaped with greenery to provide some visual relief and protection for the house next door."

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Comments?
FAWWAZ HABBAL: This is 89 Face -- it's across the street, correct?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what she says in her letter -- yes, e-mail.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Okay, thank you, thank you. So maybe -- yeah.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 89, yeah. 89 Larch Road.
FAWWAZ HABBAL: 89. I got it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: She says, "I live across the street."

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Okay, thank you. Thank you. Yes.
BILL HAMMER: My name is Bill Hammer. I'm the Architect for the Habbals, and I'd like to respond to that. For one thing, the original garage was a two-story structure with a bridge covering the breezeway, and you actually had to climb -- there was a door put in the bedroom to get
across this bridge to the old garage.
We took that upper floor off. We reduced the actual height of it. The structure is just a simple rectangle with a pitched roof. We tried to make it as innocuous as possible, and to really keep it in the same spirit as the house.

I don't believe this is more -- I'm not sure of the right adjective -- "bulky" or "oversized" but it is slightly closer to the property line.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: That's not the closest to the street?

BILL HAMMER: No. In fact, it's not. It's set back exactly where the original was.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: So I mean we are planning to make it as attractive as it can be anyway. I plan to have some vegetation around it, and plant some small trees and so on. We definitely don't want to show just a garage standing in front of people's places.

So we will be definitely taking care of that. The material we are using is the same as the material of the house, and it's going to look very, very nice. It should look very nice.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have you spoken to the neighbor, or has she spoken to you about her --

FAWWAZ HABBAL: No, she -- no, you know, one -- I mean I put the announcement outside, and several times I would be walking there. It didn't occur to me to knock on her door.

I talked to the people around the house, who -none of them really were concerned. I didn't really talk with her, unfortunately. No, I did not. But I'm -- of course, I will walk with her.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Other members of the Board, do you have any further comments on this discussion or any other questions? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No questions. No comments at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] no questions, no comments.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no questions, thank
you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, and I have shot off my mouth already, so I have nothing more to add. As I mentioned, the only commentary we got in our files is that there's a -- I'm sorry, I found the second letter in the file; I'm just taking a quick look at it.

Again, it's from Kate Cleary, who lives at 83 Larch Road. And she expresses a lot of unease, if that's the word I can use about this structure, because of the impact on the neighborhood. And basically, this is a neighborhood -- I'm reading from the letter.
"In a neighborhood that is as dense as it is, granting variances like these, and the one you recently granted in the six past months -- the past six months -- two doors down is unfortunately changing the character of the neighborhood. When people purchase homes-- " this is underscored "-- they know what they're buying, property lines and all."

Anyway, so we've got two letters that are --
FAWWAZ HABBAL: Mr. Chairman, I just want to
mention something, Mr. Chairman and Committee. The place of -- the size is smaller; the place is the same.

Had we left the same structure, there would be very similar -- except it's a very inconvenient structure and ugly structure, frankly. It's an old, built in 1928 or so, and it's not in a good, healthy condition. I mean, it really needed some improvement, and there was no way we can keep it the way it was.

So I mean, we are very sensitive to that. It's not like we are adding structure that didn't exist before. And we are not trying to make it bigger and bulkier.

And we removed, like I said, the architect mentioned that we did remove the room that was on top of the garage. And that room was attached to the house too. And it should be -- I think it's going to look much, much nicer.

And location wise it's the same. So if somebody is walking in the neighborhood, he will not see anything but the similar structure, and much nicer.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I should also mention that there's a -- just to show the balance here -we have a third letter in our files from a Judith Weinstock, who resides at 88 Larch Road -- obviously a neighbor.

And she says, "There is no concern from my side at having the garage at this new location, and I do not anticipate any hardship for me and my family. This letter should serve as an indication for my consent." So there's at least one letter -- one person supporting what you want to do.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Yeah. Those people who are the closest, and they are the ones, they could have been affected by the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That would have seem -that had [occurred] to me as well.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And then you've got a letter of support.

FAWWAZ HABBAL: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony at this point. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in and
comment.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No. No one does, so we'll now close public testimony and as is our practice in this time of Zoom, I will make a motion to grant the relief being sought, and then we will just vote up or down or modify it as the Board wishes.

So the Board -- I propose that the Board make the following findings -- let me get my file out -- that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that this home has a garage that is inadequate with the passage of time -- inadequate in size, and this would have -- that's not only the current owner but any future owners of the property, so it runs with the land, if you will.

That the hardship is owing to the fact that -well the shape of the structure, because it's too small. And also, that this is a structure that predates zoning in Cambridge. And so any modification would require relief.

And that what is being proposed will not cause -desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially
derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. In this regard, the Chair would note that we have neighbors who don't agree with that point of view, but we have an abutter who does.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with plans prepared by H.K.T Architects dated March 18,2021, the cover page of which has been initialed by the Chair.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would support granting the variance. What is the dimension from the grade to the peak of the garage?

BILL HAMMER: Hold on a second.
FAWWAZ HABBAL: From the ground to the peak of the garage?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct.
FAWWAZ HABBAL: Is that the question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
BILL HAMMER: It's actually -- that's --
FAWWAZ HABBAL: Doesn't appear to be here.
BILL HAMMER: What?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: From the top of the entrance --
BILL HAMMER: I have --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you can find it.
BILL HAMMER: Okay. It is --
FAWWAZ HABBAL: Sorry, just give us one second
here to find it.
BILL HAMMER: Hi. It's 15.8 feet.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 16.8?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: 15.8.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 15, one five?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: One five, point eight.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that area above the garage doors, is that open to the other side of the roof? Or is it floored over?

FAWWAZ HABBAL: No, it's open.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's open. Okay. Didn't have any questions before; $I$ do now. I will vote for granting of the variance. Yes. Yes. Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Sullivan votes yes, in favor. Mr. Monteverde, Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] I vote in favor of the variance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick yes in favor of the
variance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes in
favor as well.
[All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's unanimous. Variance
granted.
FAWWAZ HABBAL: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. And that
concludes our agenda for tonight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Alright. Thank you very many, everyone. Goodnight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
[9:00 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 133:4 | 7:31 88:2 |  |  |
| 40 144:15 | 7:45 2:13 |  |  |
| 40s 7:22 | 7:59 110:2 |  |  |
| 44 131:13 | $7025: 3$ 32:13 |  |  |
| 44-year 128:1 | 132:5 |  |  |
| 45-degree 128:9 | 700 2:7 85:7 |  |  |
| 4x4s 144:14 |  |  |  |

