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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Welcome to the November 18, 2021 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Gus Alexander, and I am the Chair.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on the City of Cambridge online meeting portal and on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge. In due course, there will also be a transcript of these
proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the city's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally you will have up to two minutes to speak. I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible. OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey present. OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is present. OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson present. OLIVIA RATAY: Brendan Sullivan? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan present. OLIVIA RATAY: Gus Alexander? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair is present as well, at least for now. Okay.

As is our practice, we will start by hearing continued cases. These are cases that have started at an earlier date, and for one reason or another have been continued until this evening. Once we have gone through our litany of continued cases, we will then turn to our regular agenda, but we will start no earlier than 6:30 for the regular agenda.
(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So turning to the continued agenda, I'm going to first call Case Number 127988 -- 26 Bellis Circle. Anyone here wishing to be heard? -\#26. 26 Bellis Circle, \#26. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nope? We have earlier received a request from the petitioner -- or actually the petitioner's counsel, to continue this case further. They wish to continue it; the request was to continue this until December 16 at 6:45 p.m. However, our December 16 agenda is full. And so we're going to have to continue this case until January 6. This is a case not heard, and so there's no need for any of the members tonight to be present on January 6.

So the Chair moves that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:45 p.m. on January 6, subject to the
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following conditions:
First, that the petitioner sign a waiver of notice for decision, and that has already been done since this case has been continued before.

Two, that a new posting sign reflecting the new date, January 6, and new time, 6:45, must be erected and maintained for the 14 days prior to the January 6 date.

And lastly, to the extent that the petitioner is planning or will submit new or modified plans, specs, or other technical information, they must be in our file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 6. If that is not done, we will not hear that case that night; we will continue it further or we will dismiss it.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to the
continuance. Sorry --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hello?
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, I thought that this had been heard.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
WENDY LEISERSON: I thought that we had heard this previously.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I'm --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy is making the comment that it is a heard case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, you're right. It is a
heard case. But the five of us need to be -- the five who are on the call tonight need to be available on January 6. And it looks like that way -- because four said they have no problem, and I will vote yes to continue.
[All vote YES]
And I plan to be here on January 6.
So the motion has been adopted. The case is continued until 6:45 p.m. on January 6.
(6:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call
Case Number 141576 -- 321 Alewife Brook Parkway. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. My name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with law offices at 907 Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge. I'm here this evening representing the applicant. Present with me is Alan Kelly of Linear Retail and Christopher Roth of Banfield Pet Hospital.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The floor is yours. I'm sure you're aware of the voluminous questions and comments that this Board has received from neighbors with regard to the relief being sought tonight.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm very aware, Madam -- Mr.
Chair, thank you. In fact, the reason we sought a continuance two weeks ago was to provide an opportunity to get answers from both the operator and the landlord. We've
shared those, sent those answers to the principal correspondent, and we placed a copy of those responses in the file as well.

To begin with, this is an applicant to obtain a special permit to operate a veterinary hospital at 321 Alewife Brook Parkway. Board members are probably familiar with this shopping center. It's right at the corner of Concord Avenue and Alewife Brook Parkway. It's perhaps often referred to as the CVS or Trader Joe's shopping center.

That's the structure that's in the rear portion of the shopping center; and in the forward section of the shopping center is a separate, stand-alone building with six retail spaces.

In one of these spaces, which most recently was the home of a mattress retailer, the applicant seeks to operate a veterinary hospital.

Banfield Pet Hospital operates veterinary hospitals throughout the country, and in this instance, what they're proposing is a hospital that meets with the definition of the requirements of the Special Permit Criteria set forth in Article 4, which says this this use is
permitted in a Business A Zoning District, provided that all animals are kept indoors, and that no noise or odors are perceptible from the exterior.

That is exactly what will happen here.
There are -- we were asked earlier about there are a few animal-related uses nearby. Across the street in the Whole Foods shopping center, there is a PetSmart location. And actually within the PetSmart location, Banfield operates a veterinary clinic. When this facility opens, that clinic will no longer operate in the PetSmart location.

So the difference between the PetSmart location and this location is the PetSmart location is really a place where the operators refer to it as a "pet hotel." Seemingly, the word, "kennel" might have a pejorative sense with some pet owners. So -- but they have there -- they board pets; people are going away, they bring their pets there.

This is a veterinary establishment. There are no pets that are here overnight. It's not going to be open in the evening, and some of the responses we provided to the questions that were raised address that issue. We've set forth in our application the criteria under the special
permit in terms of the impact that this use would have on surrounding uses.

Obviously, the principal surrounding uses are other retail uses within the complex. There are nearby residential uses on the other side of Wheeler Street, and there were some questions raised about the operation not only the pet store -- excuse me, the pet store -- excuse me, the hospital -- Veterinary Hospital, but also questions arose about the management operation of certain conditions at the shopping center itself.

So we asked the landlord of Linear Retail to provide some response to issues raised particularly around the way the current dumpster is being maintained, and also, to be able to make commitments around the posting of adequate signage, that will alert the pet owners who visit the facility to not allow their pets to use the neighboring residential properties as an opportunity to exercise their pets or any other pet-related functions that sometimes occur.

Banfield has a protocol around such things. And I'm happy to get into the details if it's appropriate. But as I said, we've provided written responses to the questions
we received, and we're now prepared to answer any additional questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: In the interim, from the time you provided the responses until now, have you received any further feedback or comments from the person or persons who made the comments? In other words, is the matter still in -- are there any disputes going on or not, or do you know?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, candidly, I didn't hear the questions as disputes. And they were told to us that they were in fact questions -- not views of opposition, but operational-style questions.

There were one of two other questions that emerged as recently as yesterday, but at that moment, the vast majority $I$ believe have been addressed. And as I said, if one were to categorize the questions and comments, they fell into two categories.

One specifically related to the operations of a veterinary hospital, for which Banfield provides specific examples of how these hospitals and operations are conducted.

And then the other question had to do with larger
landlord-related issues, associated -- particularly in this case the dumpster adjacent to CVS, there were some photographs provided that would reveal that the trash management there is not as appropriate as it should be. There's also a recent comment about the timing of dumpster pickup at that location. So we have alerted Linear Retail to the most recent questions.

And as I noted, Mr. Kelly is here to the extent the Board wishes us to go into that, or whether you'd rather wait to hear what public comment is.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would rather wait. Let me ask one other question. Obviously -- I shouldn't say, "obviously" -- but I assume that your client is going to be leasing the space that's in question here?

JAMES RAFFERTY: That's correct. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: How long is the initial term of that?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Candidly, I do not know. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Your client is on the line; can they advise you?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I suspect perhaps the landlord representative, or I would presume the Banfield
representative may be aware.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
CHRISTOPHER ROTH: Hi. Christopher Roth here from Banfield Pet Hospital. Our initial term is a 10-year-term with 2 five-year options.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I wasn't interested in the option, but thank you. 10-year-term? Okay.

CHRISTOPHER ROTH: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thanks. Anything else at this point, Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No thank you. I think, as I
noted, the supporting statement addresses the one thing that I do think is worth people being aware of are the hours of operation here. They are significantly less than nearly all the other retail uses in the shopping center, with a 7:00 p.m. closing and no Sunday activity.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Brendan, do you have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions or comments at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, any questions or comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Jim Monteverde no questions. Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions at this point either. So I'm going to open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll take a few moments to see if anyone wishes to speak. Wendy?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm surprised, but okay. Thank you. With that, I'm going to close public testimony as indicated by Mr. Rafferty and confirmed by me.

There were a number of letters with a significant number of questions of the petitioner, which the petitioner has sought to answer. It's all in our files. I have to
assume that the answers are satisfactory to the persons who asked the questions, so so be it.

I will now close public testimony.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, I --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
JAMES RAFFERTY: If possible, I would like to correct an earlier statement. It was my understanding that they were not open on Sundays, but I'm looking at one of the responses, and apparently, they are open on Sundays.

So I don't -- I want to be accurate in my representation. The answer that was provided from Banfield is that their typical hours of operations are from 7 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. seven days per week.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you. I have -- to me personally, the significant idea is 7:00 p.m.; whether it's Sunday or not, you're not going to be open late hours; it's not going to be ten at night.

JAMES RAFFERTY: That's correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's to me, only me.
That's the important point to be taken away from your presentation. I'm going to make a motion, as is our procedure on Zoom -- I'll make a motion to grant relief, and
then we'll then take a vote. People could vote yes, no -people being Board members -- or want to modify what is being part of the motion.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the special permit that's being sought:

That: One, that the requirements of the Ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from the relief being sought will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

In this regard, although there is some veterinary related activities in the general area, though it's not a -although not of the nature that is being proposed by the petitioner, that there is no indication to me, at least, that there will be any congestion or hazard resulting, should we grant the relief being sought.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. In this regard, we have found -- we have at this point at
least no letters of opposition to the relief, on the grounds that there would be an adverse effect on the development or use of adjacent -- operation or development of adjacent uses.

That no nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use. In this regard, I will impose the conditions that are in the Ordinance right now for all veterinary establishments. There was two in nature, and Mr. Rafferty has already touched on them in this presentation. And that generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

I think it speaks for itself that this is a commercial area with other shopping center uses, including some that are veterinary related, as I've indicated.

So on the basis of all of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested on the condition that -- I've got to find the conditions -- on the condition that, excuse me, I've got to find --

JAMES RAFFERTY: "All animals are kept indoors --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
JAMES RAFFERTY: The condition, I'm assuming --
the language from the ordinance is that, "All animals are kept indoors, and that no noise or odors are perceptible from the exterior."

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's what I was looking for. Thank you, Mr. Rafferty. So those are the conditions. Is there --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, Brendan Sullivan. I would support the motion and on the condition that the establishment conduct themselves in the manner required by the special permit, and also, by the -- what is presented as their -- how they're going to conduct their operation. And that's part of their presentation or their application.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And not to deviate from that one question, Mr. Rafferty, how long has Banfield been across the street next to the PetSmart?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I would ask Mr. Roth.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I remember sitting on that case.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Some of the same issues came up then as came up regarding this as far as the conduct or the behavior or lack thereof of dogs and animals and some of their masters.

And I think over the years that those fears have been alive, so that there was a fear of the unknown at that time, and I think that both animal and owner have conducted themselves fine across the street, from what I could see -and I frequent that shopping center quite a bit; obviously Staples and PetSmart and so on and so forth. So I would support the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, I vote to support the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I am in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes in favor as well. It's unanimous.
[All vote YES]

The special permit is being granted. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Have a good evening.
(6:21 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 017219 -- 544 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one is on? Okay. Apparently, no one is on, but we do have -- I don't know where this came from, but I have been advised that the petitioner wants to continue this case once again, this time until March of this year, 2022.

So the Chair moves that we will continue -- we makes a motion that we continue this case as a case not heard until 6:00 p.m. on March 24, subject to the following conditions:

One that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision -- well, yeah, a waiver of time for decision. That has already been done in connection require continuances, so that's been satisfied.

Second, that a new posting sign -- yet another new posting sign be erected and maintained for the 14 days by the petitioner and maintained for the 14 days before March 24, reflecting the new date and the new time. Both must be reflected on the sign that is posted.

And lastly, to the extent that the petitioner has new or revised plans, specifications or other technical data, that must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before March 24.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well, so this case is continued until March 24.
[All vote YES]
(6:24 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

Lastly, before $I$ get to our regular agenda, we have one other request for an extension actually, a sixmonth extension with regard to the property at 11 Lopez Street. This is in connection with the decision we rendered on December 9, 2020.

And the petitioner submitted reasons why they cannot complete -- they need to get an extension. Some of them are personal, if you will, in nature. And so I don't propose to read them in the public record. But they are part of our record, if anyone wants to go to the zoning office and read our file.

So if we continue the case until -- what's the date? We didn't have a date?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Six months date, I saw somewhere. Yeah. No, it doesn't have a date.] We're looking for the date that's six months out. Okay. The

Chair moves that we grant an extension of our earlier decision until June 9, 2022.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes for the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
And this case is continued until June 9, 2022.
That concludes our continued cases, then. This is a request for an extension. Our regular agenda begins at 6:30, it's not quite 6:30 yet, so we'll take a brief recess until 6:30, and then we'll resume with our regular agenda.
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(6:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're going to start our regular session, and the first case I'm going to call is Case Number 148819 -- 675 West Kendall Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

ROSE CONTI: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Myself and Ken Filosi from Alnylam. I represent Lee Kennedy Company, on behalf of Alnylam. We're here to present to you this evening.

I don't know if Nina is on? Nina, are you on?
[Pause]
ROSE CONTI: I think she's joining now.
NINA PICKERING: I am on; I was just promoted. ROSE CONTI: Great. Awesome.

KEN FILOSI: Great.
NINA PICKERING: Thank you. Mr. Chair, good
evening and good evening, Board members. We are ready to present. I will take the screen. We have prepared a
presentation that we sent to you. And then I'm going to turn it over to Ken. Can you all see my screen? It's -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

ROSE CONTI: Okay, terrific.
KEN FILOSI: Great. Thank you, Rose. Appreciate it. And we do want to thank the Board for having us this evening and giving us the opportunity to present.

As Rose had mentioned, she is with Lee Kennedy Construction Company. Nina Pickering Cook is with Anderson \& Kreiger Law Firm, and Jim Tracy -- I'm not sure if he's on or not, but he's with SignWorks Company. So this is our team.

For those of you not familiar with Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, we're a rare disease company with several medicines delivered to the market to date for our patients all over the world. We have been in Kendall Square locations -- three of them -- for several years at 101 Main Street, 300 Third Street, and we do have signage on that building three stories up.

And tonight's subject building at 675 West
Kendall, which we are looking for approval of a variance for
a sign 105 feet from ground level to the top of the sign and the size of it is 170 square feet.

We believe it's worthy to note the sign location has sight lines only to the surrounding businesses in Henri A. Termeer Square.

Next slide, Rose?
Our agenda this evening, we wanted to talk about the project background just to set expectations for everyone. We've been through this process a couple of different times with the East Cambridge Planning Team, and with the Planning Board, and of course we're presenting now to the Board of Zoning Appeals.

I'd also like to talk about our plans, and then we have questions and answers.

Next slide, Rose?
ROSE CONTI: Mm-hm.
KEN FILOSI: So we obviously recognize the need for this variance, due to the signage, elevation and size that I previously cited. We went in front of the East Cambridge Planning Team in early June. We had two different signs that we were looking at.

Rose, would you go to the next slide, just so I
can explain to the Board?
This was the original presentation that we gave to
the East Cambridge Planning Team. You can see on the south side elevation at Athenaeum Street up on the corner of the building six stories high we had the Alnylam sign there, and we also wanted the sign at the West Kendall side, which is east elevation, up in the right-hand corner.

Originally, when we presented to the folks, we had these two signs. They were illuminated signs. They work with LED lighting. And the idea here was to have that LED lighting on at dusk for a couple of hours then turned off, and then -- you know, the next day we would put it back on at dusk.

Rose, back to the previous slide? Thank you.
The East Cambridge Planning Team rejected that plan there. And so as a team we went back to the drawing board, and we took a look at modifying the plan. We eliminated the variance request and signage on Athenaeum at the rooftop level, and we also eliminated the illumination completely.

That there was also rejected in a July meeting. So our next Board that we spoke to was the Planning Board
early in November.
We sat at that meeting there; although we didn't get the opportunity to speak, they did do a vote, and they rejected that plan too. So here we are, you know, in front of the Board of Zoning Appeal right now, to see if we can move this forward.

Next slide, Rose?
So to the Board, what we're looking at is one sign east elevation, West Kendall Street six stories high. I had mentioned previously the components of that sign, the height and size of it. This here is in so-called Henry A. Termeer Square, as you know. And this is what we're looking hopefully to gain from the Board.

Next slide, Rose?
From a zoning perspective, we understand that within zoning, it can only be 20 feet high, and the max area can only be 60 square feet. Again, our proposal, because we do need the variance, is 105 feet from ground level to the top of the sign. The lettering height are so-called swoosh, if you will. The Alnylam logo 84. The Capital A and the 1 are 46 inches high, and everything else lowercase is 32 inches.

Next slide, Rose? I'm going to turn it over to Nina. And Nina -- again -- is from Anderson \& Krieger. She's our lawyer for this year, and she's going to go through the variance requirements. Nina?

NINA PICKERING: Thanks, Ken and good evening to the Board. Can everyone hear me okay?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
NINA PICKERING: Great. So there were three requirements to obtain a variance, as this Board well knows. It is -- there needs to be a substantial hardship, due to the soil, shape or topography of the lot and the relief can't cause a substantial detriment. I'm just going to go through and describe how this application satisfies each of those criteria.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: As you do that, I may have questions -- maybe other members of the Board as well... have questions as well.

NINA PICKERING: Great.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So I hope you don't mind if we interrupt you, or $I$ interrupt you during the course of your presentation.

NINA PICKERING: Not at all. Just stop me any
time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
NINA PICKERING: So with respect to the
Substantial Hardship Criteria, in this case a compliance sign would be completely obstructed to the two streets approaching this side of the building.

The compliance sign, again, would be at street level, because it's no higher than 20 feet, and the size of it would be quite small for a building of this size. If we were able to raise that sign: Again, we would still have the hardship that the size would need to be enlarged in order to effectively see it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Two questions. Excuse me, two questions with regard to that. So right now -- I walked around the building this afternoon. There were Alnylam signs on two sides of the building already -- two of the four sides. And they're out by the doorways, and they're not as big or as prominent as what you're proposing, but there are signs.

So number 1, it's not like you can't ever find -if you get to the building, you will see a sign that says, "Alnylam."

Next, what's the importance of people being able to see a sign in this day in age of GPS? You just put -you plug into your GPS the address, and it'll get you to the building. You don't --

NINA PICKERING: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- need the sign.
NINA PICKERING: So I have two responses to that, Mr. Chair. The first response is -- I'll take them in reverse order -- the response that you don't really need a big sign in this day in age because you can find them in you can find them on your GPS, I frankly just disagree.

First of all, if you're driving, I think we've all had experiences when we've been driving and looking for something on our GPS and it's not exactly the safest manner in which to find a location. I think being able to look where you're going and see a building that's clearly your destination is much safer.

Second of all, the argument that you can just use your GPS on your phone to find anything, there are kind of two logical problems with that.

The first problem is -- again, we've all had those times where our phone doesn't get signal, our phone is out
of battery, and there is also the issue of that just undercuts the whole purpose of having a signed bylaw to begin with.

If this Board's approach to signs is signs that are visible to people on the street that are approaching the building are unnecessary because you can find the one with GPS, then there's no reason for signs of any size or shape. If that --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Maybe people in the city of Cambridge would like that, by the way, you should know.

NINA PICKERING: Well, that's not -- that's not the law at this point. So that's my response to those.

When we're talking about wayfinding, yes, I completely appreciate that you went and looked at the building and standing in front of the building you could find it and you saw that there was a sign there by the door saying, "This is the Alnylam building."

Frankly, that's not wayfinding. Right? You're already there. Wayfinding for a sign is leading someone to the building. And so I think, you know, again this is a modest request of one subtle sign up high, where it's not obstructed from view that people can see it.

So it's really that obstruction that creates that substantial hardship. And I'll talk about in the next slide what that obstruction is.

So the second criteria is the hardship that we're talking about, the obstruction in our case has to be due to the lot's soil, shape or topography.

What we're talking about here is that there are street-level obstructions around this side of the building that completely obstruct the ability to see anything at that street level, as you approach either from Linskey Way or Alnylam -- Athenaeum Street. Those structures -- there are both structures as well as the trees in Henri A. Termeer Square. I think the next slide shows.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have to -- before we turn to the next slide, go back to that, thank you. The hardship is owing to due to the lot's -- that's your lot, or Alnylam's lot -- soil, shape or topography. The fact that there are structures and trees in an abutting park doesn't fit with the requirement there is to get a variance.

NINA PICKERING: I disagree, Mr. Chair, if I may? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You may.

NINA PICKERING: So we're not basing this either
on the lot's soil or the shape, we're basing this on topography. And if you look at a dictionary definition of, "topography" that is listed as -- I'll quote here, I think it's from Merriam-Webster -- "The arrangements of natural and artificial physical features of an area."

So people think of topography as are there hills in the way, right? Generally. Topography as a matter of legal definition is natural or artificial physical features.

And what we can show you is that there are natural and artificial physical features that obstruct where a compliant sign would fit on this building. Rose, if there's -- if I can show you in the next slide, I can show you what I mean by that urban topography that obstructs.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Does the topography require you to have a sign as high as you're proposing?

NINA PICKERING: Yes. We'll -- I'll -- Rose -that'll be in the next slide.

ROSE CONTI: I don't -- yep.
KEN FILOSI: There we go.
NINA PICKERING: Thank you, Rose. So as you can see here, this is Athenaeum, the sight line from Athenaeum Street, I believe -- the corner, the other corner on Henri
A. Termeer Park.

As you can see, the structures of the park
completely block that front entrance there to the Alnylam building. And then where the red arrow is does show where that -- the proposed sign would be.

So although there's -- you know, some trees in the way, depending on your vantage point, you can still see the sign up that high. I don't think if you put it a floor below, I think that's still going to be obstructed from both sides there.

Are there any more questions from the Board on this slide?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do Board members have questions on this slide?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions or comments at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let's assume --
NINA PICKERING: We -- I have -- I'm going onto the third criteria; $I$ just didn't know if folks had questions specific to the topography.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nope.

NINA PICKERING: Great. I will move on. The final criteria is there cannot be substantial detriment to the public good.

Again, this sign that we're proposing in its final iteration, thanks to the hard work that folks have done, both with City Staff and with the East Cambridge Planning Team, we now have what we would think of as a visually subtle sign, and it has very little color. It's all in the blue range; it's not incredibly bright and there's no illumination proposed at all.

And the area of the proposed sign does not face the residences nearby. It does face only other businesses in the area. And this is in keeping with some of the existing commercial signs in the surrounding area and nonsurrounding buildings.

And I think that particularly when it comes to what Alnylam has done in considering the impact of this sign on the neighborhood, I think perhaps Ken can speak most eloquently to what the thought process and design, what considerations were going into the placement and design.

KEN FILOSI: As you folks can well imagine, there was a lot of talk about this sign here within management of

Alnylam.
And, you know, one of the things that we do at 675 on all four sides of the building -- because two of the sides on the Athenaeum side and the Third Street side front apartment buildings.

And we're very concerned about our neighbors. What we do every single night: Our security team, for instance, takes pictures that are reported back to me and my team to make sure that the lights are off as good as possible within the building. Granted, we do have scientists that -- you know, work second shift, and so you would see lights on at times in the building.

We're doing construction inside the building right now in the first, second and third floors. So at times you'll see lighting on the second shift, if you will. Our second shift is 3:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.

Another thing that we did is -- again, concerned about the neighbors -- we actually blanked out all of the windows to the best of our ability so no light would shine through.

So I guess what I'm trying to say here to the Board is that we're trying to be a good neighbor. We've
been in that building for many years, and we're going to be there hopefully for many more. And we're doing our best to make sure that there's no illumination.

And we've had a few complaints from neighbors. And we've addressed them immediately the next day or that evening, depending on who's on, to make sure that if there are lightings on and it's -- you know, shining into their living room, let's say -- you know, we'll rectify that situation.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
NINA PICKERING: Thanks, Ken. I think the next slide we have just shows some other examples of similar height in signs.

I would certainly point out that the one on the lower left corner is the other Alnylam building. Again, you can see color wise certainly as compared to the Akamai sign -- not to cast aspersions, but it is more subtle in its approach as opposed to, you know, a billboard advertisement.

With that, $I$ think we will just finish with saying, you know, we appreciate everyone's time and effort. We are certainly aware of a concern in the East Cambridge area of sign requests such as this for signs high up on
buildings. I think the difference here is -- as I've hopefully made clear, we can satisfy the variance requirement on this. And we're not asking for it all over the building, but one side -- one sign on one side.

So given that we've satisfied the variance requirement, we would ask that you approve our application. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Thank you for a good presentation.

NINA PICKERING: And with that, I think Rose and Ken and I will be happy to answer any questions from the Board and or the public.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So first of all, let me make a few preliminary comments. I'm going to congratulate you and your company for the presentation, and your company I think, to my mind at least, is a good citizen of the city of Cambridge.

KEN FILOSI: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But -- and the but -- I'll stick to it as a "but."

KEN FILOSI: There's a but.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: However -- I think that's a better word -- however, we have sign provisions in our
zoning ordinance. These are very controversial.
There was an attempt some years ago to change the zoning for signage, and it was soundly defeated by the voters of this city. And, as you know from the file, there's at least some members of the community who are very, very strong opponents of any variances for signs.

And then we've got to go to the official body, the city of -- East Cambridge Planning Board opposed it; the Planning Board. The Planning Board, who is a significant organization in the city, opposes it. I'll read from their memo to the Zoning Board.
"The Board" -- the Board referring to the Planning Board -- "has concerns related to the compatibility or the proposed size and location of the sign with the surrounding neighborhood. The Board does not recommend the approval of the signs as proposed -- as the proposal does not provide a rationale to exceed the signage limitations allowed by zoning."

And despite the presentation I've heard tonight, in my mind -- and I'm only one of five, I haven't voted yet -- you haven't demonstrated that you meet the requirements for a variance. I mean, the substantial hardship is -- you
know, you've got signs all over the building, it's not exactly a retail operation where you need to identify for people who walk down the street where you are; you don't meet the second requirement at all, I don't think.

The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions -- that doesn't apply to shape: I guess it applies, you said how big the building is --or the topography of such land or structures, and especially affecting such land or structure but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located.

I don't think you meet those requirements by a long shot. That's my personal view. When it comes to taking a vote, I will express that view and vote accordingly.

With that, however, lets open the matter up to -any questions? Members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions, no comments.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I would just like to ask the moving parties to run through with me where is the building numbered outside? Like, where do you see the address on the building?

KEN FILOSI: They're from the building groundlevel, you really can't see with this picture, but the bottom of the A if you will, there's a -- there's lettering there that says 650 West Kendall.

ANDREA HICKEY: And is that where your corporate entrance is, your door?

KEN FILOSI: It is. That's a good point. So our main entrance to the building -- and this is our corporate headquarters, by the way --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
KEN FILOSI: -- is right there. All of our visitors come in to that location there; office get screened, and so on and so forth. But yes, that is exactly right.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. And I saw some, like temporary signs on other storefronts, if I'm remembering correctly, on the lower level. But it wasn't sort of permanent numbering. Am I remembering that correctly?

KEN FILOSI: I'm not sure exactly what you mean by
that.
ANDREA HICKEY: Oh.

KEN FILOSI: It's related to Alnylam or other
retail?

ANDREA HICKEY: Other retail.
KEN FILOSI: There may be. I'm just not sure, to be honest with you.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. That's all I have now, thank you.

KEN FILOSI: You're welcome.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea.
Wendy, questions?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions at this time, thanks.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you as well. I'm now going to open the matter up to public testimony. We do have -- I should mention before we do that -- we do have in addition to the comments from the East Cambridge Planning Team and the Planning Board, we have a letter from a wellknown signage person who opposes with strong reasons, and there is a letter of support from a neighbor or someone in
the area. That's the nature of what we have in our files. So now to open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So we'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to call in or is calling in.

OLIVIA RATAY: Heather Hoffman?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ms. -- Heather, you're on.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: Thank you. Heather Hoffman, 213
Hurley Street. I would like to point out that as I told Alnylam the first time the presented to the East Cambridge Planning Team that $I$ have spent the last and awful lot of years hating their sign that I have to look at that they showed you as an example of an area sign that doesn't comply.

That sign is from the days long before the attempted change to the zoning ordinance to the sign part of the zoning ordinance that you refer to. And things have changed. There has -- as you said, there has been a much
greater feeling in the various decision-making bodies that when we say this, we mean it, and you really, really do have to meet the strict requirements of a variance.

The Akamai sign that they showed, I'm really kind of surprised they left that in the presentation, because they've already been told that's in the MXD District, which is exempt from the sign ordinance.

As to Cicada, I don't know where that is, so I can't speak to that. But the fact is that this Board has been really admirable in the way that it has enforced the sign ordinance in this area. And you really, really have insisted on a real hardship.

Now, they said this only faces other businesses, but that's not true. It faces a park -- a park that is supposed to be largely a contemplative park; Harvard Square. In fact, they say that this really nice park is in the way of seeing signs on this building on streets that aren't their address.

Well, I live on Hurley Street between Third and Sciarappa. Should I complain that people can't tell where my house is from Third Street or from Sciarappa? You know, you drive by this on West Kendall, and darned if you can't
see it from there.
So just because the building is big and has other streets, I don't use a GPS, but I do use Maps. And I would be looking for West Kendall Street if I were looking.

So I just -- I needed to make sure that the applicants heard this as much as anything. I know the Board already knows most of this. So I just want to thank you, and I continue to oppose this.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Thank you, Heather. Anyone wishes to speak? Okay, I'm going to close public testimony. And as we've done before, I'll make a motion to grant the variance being sought, and then we can discuss that and vote yes, no or modify the motion.

So the Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship being is that the ability of guests or other -guests or business invitees have a difficulty in locating the entrance to the structure, and therefore there is a need for a sign that exceeds what our zoning ordinance permits.

That the hardship is owing to circumstances
relating to the topography of such land or structures relating to the adjoining park.

And that the desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purposes of this Ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance requested on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans which have been initialed by the Chair and were submitted -- were given to us by the City of Cambridge Community Development.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan], I would vote to not support the motion, and to not granting the variance for the requested relief for the signs.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde], I would vote to not grant the variance relief sought.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: [Andrea Hickey], I am not in favor of the motion and would vote to deny the petition.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, could you say
that again?
ANDREA HICKEY: I am not in favor of the motion, and I would vote to deny the petition.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So I misunderstood
you. Thank you. And Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson.] I too would vote to deny the petition. I'm against it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair, as you might have guessed from my comments so far, would vote to oppose the relief being sought. So it's unanimous.
[All vote NO]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The variance request has been denied. We need to take one more vote for the record as to why we denied the variance.

And I'm going to suggest that we make a finding that the literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance do not involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner. There are other signs on the building, and the nature of the use of the building is such that a sign of this size and this height is not necessary.

The hardship is not owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape of the lot or the
topography of such land or structure, and especially affecting such land or structure, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located. I think it's almost self-evident that that requirement is not being satisfied. And we've discussed this during the presentation.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance. In this regard, the Chair would note that signage is a sensitive issue in Cambridge, particularly in this area.

And that the -- what is being proposed is a substantial departure from what our zoning bylaw permits. Anyone else on this Board have anything?

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I -- no, I would support your previous comments on the reason for denial.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Jim? JIM MONTEVERDE: I support the Chair's statement. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea? ANDREA HICKEY: I support the Chair's statement,
and do not feel that the petitioners have satisfied the requirements of hardship.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I would also support the Chair's reasoning for denying the motion, or the variance -- sorry.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And I -- I've already expressed -- made the motion. So I don't think I have to comment further. All in favor? So we have five votes in favor of sending forth or adopting the reasons why we have denied the variance.
[All vote NO]
That I think concludes this case. Thank you for taking the time to make the presentation. That's how the law works in Cambridge when it comes to signage.

KEN FILOSI: Thank you very much. We appreciate your time.
(7:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair will now call Case Number 131935 -- 12 Corporal Burns Road. Is anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

OLIVIA WEISSER: Yep, me. Olivia Weisser. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.

OLIVIA WEISSER: Hi.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Hi. Welcome to our meeting.

OLIVIA WEISSER: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The floor is yours. What do you want to do and why --

OLIVIA WEISSER: Oh.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- should we grant the relief you're seeking?

OLIVIA WEISSER: Sure. We are enclosing -- we want to enclose our front porch. And in order to comply with the City's zoning requirement to -- [noise] -- sorry,
children.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's all right. You'll
do anything to get us --
OLIVIA WEISSER: Literally the minute I have to be -- yep, okay. [Talking to children: "Go watch another one."]

So in order to comply with the zoning requirements about a front landing, we have to move the front steps three feet closer to the sidewalk. And that's the variance that we are applying for.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Short and sweet. Thank you.

OLIVIA WEISSER: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Any questions from members of the Board? I have none. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I have no questions at all.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair has no questions as well.

So I'm now going to open the matter up to public testimony. I should mention that before we do that, that we do have letters of support from -- we have two letters -two letters of support from neighbors. Okay. So with that I will open the matter up to public testimony.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Apparently not, so we will close public testimony. And again, I'm going to make a motion to grant the relief being sought. And then we can discuss that and vote yes, no or whatever.

The Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the relief being sought:

That the requirements --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- of this ordinance cannot be met without the special permit that's being requested.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what the proposed relief will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

And again, as indicated, the modification to the structure is rather modest in nature and has no neighborhood impact.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is proposed. And once again, it's the nature of modest relief, and the fact that there's been no opposition from neighbors.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city. I think -- again -- the facts speak for themselves. We're talking about a modest modification to another -- a noncovered stairway.

And that generally, what is being proposed will

```
not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining
district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose
of this ordinance.
    In addition, we have to make another finding
that's required by a recent amendment to our zoning
ordinance resulting from a court case -- the so-called
Baratta case -- and we have to make a finding that what is
being proposed is not more detrimental than the existing
structure of the neighborhood.
    So Brendan, how do you vote?
    BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes for granting the
continuance.
    CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I should -- before we move
--
    BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, yes to --
    CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, no. The motion
```

should continue to say that we grant the relief being sought
on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with
the plans -- there are two pages which -- both of which have
been initialed by the Chair and dated October 27, 2020. And
it's not sure who prepared them, from what I'm seeing.
But anyway, they are identified and they have been
initialed by the Chair.
Now Brendan, go ahead.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the special permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] I vote no to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair is in favor of the special permit as well. That's a unanimous vote. Special permit granted.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey. It was not unanimous. I believe Mr. Monteverde did not vote in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: He did not vote? I
misunderstood then. Jim, did you not vote --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. I did not vote in favor.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. I
misunderstood.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's all right. No problem.
[FOUR VOTE YES, ONE NO]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Still the relief is
granted; four votes in favor, and that is what's required to grant the special permit. So nevertheless, relief has been granted. Thank you, Andrea.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Congratulations.

OLIVIA WEISSER: Thank you.
(7:12 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now
call Case Number 148948 -- 34 Buckingham Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

EDRICK VANBEUZEKOM: Yes. My name is Edrick VanBeuzekom. I'm the architect for the project, and my company is EvB Design in Somerville, Massachusetts. Also attending is one of the owners of the property, David Lakatos.

David, you are muted. You might want to -DAVID LAKATOS: Hi there. Yep. Good evening. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: To begin with, I'd like, just David, to give a quick overview of sort of how we arrived, where we are, and then $I$ will walk you through the plans.

DAVID LAKATOS: Good. Good evening and first time here, so hopefully I'm going to do this right, but a short history. My wife is on the phone listening in as well with
our daughter, but just wanted to keep this as quick as possible.

So the long story short is I moved here from Hungary about 10 years ago; went to school in Cambridge, actually. Worked here. We moved to Boston, but we've been trying to move back for a long time, and finally found a -last year a house, 34 Buckingham Street. Anybody who knows this house I think has a memory; it's a very unique Victorian house.

We went through an offer process and was able to get a -- get to a place where we were working on closing. And tragically, there was a fire that you may have heard of last year. It was very tragic and unfortunately, the owner's uncle has passed away shortly after it.

We were really in love with this house, so even though the process has dragged on because of the case went to Probate Court, we stuck with the property, and after almost a year of waiting for it, we were able to close on the property earlier this year.

And now we are very eager to restore it and with the help of Edrick, we're very, very excited to have made a plan that keeps the essence of the house I think very much
in place, while also making some modernizations in extending it.

So that's what we are here to talk to you about, and I'm really looking forward to after. It's going to still take a little bit of time if we're able to make this plan work to build the house, but we're all eagerly waiting to move in, because our daughter is now 1 year old and is very much looking forward to using the beautiful back yard and hopefully the restored house.

And I'm happy to answer any questions. I think probably you're more interested in what Edrick has to say. Thank you very much for the attention.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Before we open it up to questions from members of the Board, I want to make it clear that you are seeking two forms of relief here; both a variance and a special permit. The special permit relates to windows, I believe -- let me just check.

DAVID LAKATOS: Yes, that's correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It does, yeah. The addition of windows on the side of the house, which is nonconforming?

DAVID LAKATOS: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: When we get to a vote, we'll have to take two votes. Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. If you could just -- if you could pull it down, Olivia? That way we can --

DAVID LAKATOS: Yeah. We can go -- let's go to the site plan, the second page. What you see here is -- at the bottom of the page is the existing site plan at the top of the page is what we're proposing.

The house is a beautiful Victorian house. It had suffered significant damage in the fire; significant structural damage; a lot of damage to the exterior as well. But we are trying to preserve and restore the bulk of the existing portion of the house, the main original portion of the house in the front.

And what we're proposing is in addition to the rear of the house, which wraps around a little bit of the existing portion of the house as well. The lot is an oddshaped lot. It gets narrower as you go back from the front lot line.

And it's a very long and deep lot. There are some very large trees on this property. You almost feel like
you're out in the countryside when you go deep into the lot there.

The proposed additions are basically to expand the first-floor in order to get a decent-sized kitchen, and also, to have a deck area that's oriented more toward the back yard instead of the current deck, which is a curvedshaped deck which is oriented to the side yard -- and which, by the way, had a tree growing through it, which has been removed, as that was a bit of a danger to the house.

If we -- so you can see the setback lines are drawn on both plans. And basically, only a small portion of the house is nonconforming to the setbacks. It's the bay window on the bottom of the plan there in the little corner just past that.

The rest of the house is conforming to the setbacks. We are well under the allowable floor area ratio. And all of the additions we're proposing are within the setbacks.

The front of the house does not conform to the front yard setback, although it does match up sort of with the other houses along the street, in terms of its distance from the street.

If we can go to the next page?
Here, this is just a quick overview of where we're adding additional floor area. You can see on the -- on the top left is the basement plan. Basically, most of that is basement, but at the back we have a deck. The site slopes down, so that by that time you get to the back of the house, it's basically at basement level.

So the new deck that we're proposing in the back creates FAR beneath it. So that's the shaded area that you see there.

The plan right below that is the first-floor plan. You can see the darker shaded area is the footprint of the existing house, and the lighter shaded area is the proposed addition, where you see we're expanding the kitchen back there and creating a mudroom entrance on the side.

There's also some additional FAR created by the footprint of a deck that is projecting up on the second floor above the rear deck there.

If we go to the upper right hand, here we see the existing second-floor plan is this dark shaded area. And you can see it's a relatively small addition to the back to extend the rear of the house to create a master bedroom back
there.
And then if we scroll down to the bottom plan there, basically most of that is existing floor area ratio, except for the rear portion there. You can see where we're proposing a new dormer to create enough headroom to create a bedroom up there.

The intention up there is basically not only bedrooms for kids, but also for visiting family and a possible nanny up in the front bedroom there. So that's why we have two bathrooms there.

We're also proposing a small dormer, which is set farther in from the side over portions of the bathroom in order to have enough headroom there. But that's already currently in the area that would have counted for FAR anyways.

We can move to the next page.
Here you can see the basement space. We're digging the basement down in order to get adequate headroom and repair some structural issues. And of course with the fire too, there was a lot of water damage down there. So we're just trying to take care of all those things.

Next page?

This is the proposed first-floor plan. I think we can skip ahead maybe to the elevations. So here you see on the right-hand side is the front elevation, which is basically unchanged from existing, other than we'll be restoring any damaged portions, replacing windows, and you can see the dormers on the right-hand side beyond that we're adding.

On the left-hand side of that front, you see there's a dormer. That is an existing dormer that's over the stair that goes up to the third floor for head room there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, let me interrupt you for a second and I apologize.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Mm-hm.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The new dormer that you're proposing to erect, does it comply with the dormer guidelines?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: It does. It's less than 15 feet. They're both set down from the ridge. And you can see -- we can go to the -- two sheets down, yeah, there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Oh, there it is.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Here you can see the two new
dormers. So there's the one on the left is the one that's over the bathrooms, and the one on the right is the one that's over the bedroom up there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan.
Maybe -- just reading the dormer guidelines, Edrick, but it's 15 feet on any one side.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Okay. I thought that when you -- you can go up to a certain amount of total length on the roof area as well. But maybe I misread that too.

I will say that we've had these plans reviewed by the Historical Commission, and had an enthusiastic response from Charles Sullivan, who saw no issues with what we're proposing here. And I think by setting the smaller dormer way back, it's really -- you know, it's pretty -- pretty small and inconspicuous.

So, you know, we have a fairly large roof area there. So even if we're exceeding the 15 feet total, I think it's a reasonable approach to creating useable space up there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, this is Jim Monteverde.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would have the same concern as Mr. Sullivan expressed, relative to the overall total length of the dormers. I just -- I have the same concern. Oh, Brendan -- sorry -- Brendan -- Edrick, sorry to interrupt, but.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Okay. That's all right. So anyways, as you can see in this view and in the other elevations you have -- let's go to the one above that -this is the other side of the house. We are preserving the historical detail of the house and trying to carry the character of that through with the somewhat more modern rear addition of the house.

What you can also see here is the window that we're adding on this side. This is the side of the house that has the portions that are nonconforming to the side yard setback.

And we're proposing a small window, which is basically at the landing of the stairs on your way up to the second floor. That's the small square window you see on the -- right there. Yep, exactly.

So yeah. That's -- that's basically what we've got. We are making the house -- basically the house has to
be completely gutted. It actually already has been gutted. And there's a temporary structure in there because -- you know, due to all the fire damage. But we'll be bringing this up to exceed code for energy code.

We're actually trying to make this as close to Net Zero as we can in terms of high insulation value for all the walls and roof and high-performance windows. And I'm open to any additional questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Questions from members of the Board? I have none. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no additional
comments.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I have no additional comments either.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Can I --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, thank you. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And the Chair has no questions as well. That's your presentation with regard to the -- we've heard with regard to the variance. You want to speak to the special permit that you're seeking?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yes, which I just did, actually, which is that window that's in this elevation we're adding right there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the only new window?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: I believe so, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And where does that window face?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: It faces the side yard. It faces a neighbor's property. If you look at the -- I think we have a street view. There is a house next door --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: -- with the driveway in
between. Yeah. Here we have shadow studies. If we look at the top one, you can see there's a little bit of separation to the house next door. You see the light gray; you can see the driveway they have there. So.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The concern that we might
have as a Board -- or at least I would have -- would be whether adding a new sign, a nonconforming wall, would invade the privacy of the neighbor who's wall --

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- who faces the wall.
But you already have --
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- signs on these larger windows in the side. So I can't see that will be a problem. EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Or if it's a problem, it's a problem that's there now.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: And as you see, the houses are displayed in their orientation to each other. So you -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: -- when you look out their window, you're actually looking a little bit more out toward the street. And my clients have spoken to most of the abutters, and we have -- I believe we've filed a number of signed petitions in support of this project, and we have not had any opposition to it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The troubling aspect of
your petition is the dormer guidelines. You're talking about 26 feet of dormer on one side of the house, when, as the guidelines say, in total they should not be more than 15 feet.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Mm-hm.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's a substantial
departure.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: I understand. It's a big roof. So we felt like this was within scale of what we're dealing with here.

If this is a sticking point, I would propose we agree to remove the smaller dormer and -- you know, we would be willing to make that compromise and try to figure out some other ways to get bathrooms here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So you would eliminate the new dormer that you're proposing?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: The smaller.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Just the smaller one?
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: It's set way back from the side.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: The one on the -- the one on
the left of these two.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. The one on the
left?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yep. I mean, we tried to fit two bathrooms up there without adding that dormer in, and it was impossible. There was just not enough head room. So that's really what spurred us to add that in. And, you know, it would be a shame if we can't get bathrooms up there.

But if that's the -- you know, if that would torpedo our petition, we're willing to remove that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. Olivia, could you pull up the floor plan of the third floor?
[Pause]
Yeah. I mean that's a nice plan. You've got two bedrooms, but then each one has its own bathroom so you got --

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- two bathrooms up there.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again, it's -- I think you're really trying to max out everything here. You're
going to [what], 5 bedrooms in the house?
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. I mean, the owners are planning a large family. And they -- you know, up here the idea was that some of their parents would be staying with them of course another time. And that's what the rear bedroom would be for.

But they also are considering having a live-in nanny, and so they wanted to have a private bathroom for the nanny. So that's the reason for the two bathrooms up here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Anybody -- Jim, do you have any further questions or comments before I open the matter up to public testimony?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No. I have nothing.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And I -- I have none, other than to repeat the offer by Mr. Edrick that you would remove the dormer -- the proposed new dormer -- if necessary to get the zoning relief that's being sought. And they would do so because of the noncompliance with our
dormer guidelines.
And I emphasize, "guidelines" because they're not hard and fast. But we do pay a lot of attention to the guidelines, and we're talking about a substantial departure from the guidelines. 26 feet, as compared to the only the 15 feet that's permitted.

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: I understand.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Edrick, rather than just saying, "Okay, you know, as a compromise we'll do away with it," do you -- would you feel that given some additional time to rework the drawing it would be more advantageous just -- rather than just saying, "Well, we'll eliminate it"?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Well, I would assume -- I would assume you're not willing to give us anything larger than a 15-foot dormer here. So that being the case, I don't see any advantage -- you know, if we eliminate the dormer, it's really just reworking the plan inside the space, which doesn't --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's just internal at that particular?

EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Yeah. Yeah. So I'd rather -- you know, we're eager to get this project in
construction. It's been a long, long wait due to the fire. So I think, you, whatever we need to make this happen, I think we're willing to do.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Let me open the matter up to public testimony. I should mention before I do that that we have a number of letters in support from the neighborhood for the project, and no letters of opposition that I could recall seeing. I'm looking for the instructions right now for public testimony.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishes to speak.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No one does, so we can now finally close public testimony and proceed to a vote. I'm going to make a motion, as we always do, to grant the relief.

And I'm going to make the motion, unless any
members of the public feel otherwise, be on the condition that the -- the smaller dormer be eliminated, so that there is no departure from the dormer guidelines.

Is anyone on the Board opposed to that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. All right. So the Chair moves that we make the following findings with regard to the variance that's being sought:

That a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship -- such hardship being this is a fire damaged building, it's an older building -- and that the relief being sought is very modest in nature in terms of just setbacks with no neighborhood opposition.

The hardship is owing to the fact that this is a nonconforming structure at the outset, and so any modification requires a variance.

And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this ordinance.

In this regard, the Chair would again refer to the
neighborhood support for what is being proposed, and the fact that what we're talking about is repairing a fire damaged building.

So on the basis of all these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the variance sought on the condition that the work proceeds in accordance with plans prepared by EVB Design, dated 11/08/21, except that the smaller dormer -

- the new dormer, small dormer that is reflected on these plans will be eliminated.

So the final plans, if you will, will have only the -- there will be no change in the dormer situation on the structure.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to
granting the relief and the condition as proposed by the Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde voting in favor of the relief and the condition.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes, voting in favor of the relief for the single dormer and the condition.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson voting in favor of the relief sought with the condition and the dormer.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So the variance has been -- and the Chair votes in favor as well, I should say. It's unanimous on the variance.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So the variance has been granted.

Now, turning to the special permit, the Chair moves that we make the following findings:

That the requirements of the Ordinance cannot be met unless we grant the special permit.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. What we're talking about here is modifying and repairing, if you will, an older structure that has been fire damaged, and which repairs and changes have the support of the neighborhood.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will
not be adversely affected by what is being proposed. Again, that is borne out by the neighborhood support for the project.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

So on the basis of these findings, the Chair moves that we grant the special permit requested with regard to the addition of the single window on the nonconforming wall, on the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans referred to with regard to the variance we just granted.

Brendan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as
well.

## [All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Special permit granted.

Thank you. The case is over.
EDRICK VAN BEUZEKOM: Thanks very much.
DAVID LAKATOS: Thank you very much.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(7:38 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call

Case Number 143277 -- 123 Hancock Street. And I will report to the Board and to the listening audience that the petitioner has withdrawn this request for zoning relief. So I think we need to vote to accept that withdrawal. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to accepting the motion to withdraw.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde I vote in favor to accept the request to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Withdraw?
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- request to withdraw.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the withdrawal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the withdrawal.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.
[All vote YES]
So this case will be withdrawn -- it has been
withdrawn. Okay. Let me just sign the paperwork with regard to that. Just give me a few minutes before I resume the hearing. Amazing the number of pages I have to sign just to have a case withdrawn. However, that's how it is.
(7:41 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 149721 -- 54 Park Avenue. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is Sarah Rhatigan from Trilogy Law. Members of the Board, thank you for hearing us this evening.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have no choice.

SARAH RHATIGAN: [Laughter]. That is true.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I mean, you filed an application, so anyway.

SARAH RHATIGAN: We did. We did. I am here representing the Rothfuchs Development Company, which is Bill or William and David Rothfuchs. David and William, I think you're both at Bill's house.

Do you mind -- just turn your camera on so the folks can see you? And thanks very much for hearing this petition. This is a petition for both a variance and a
special permit.
Olivia, would you mind opening up the presentation slides that we sent to you? I just wanted to introduce my clients briefly. We've got some photos. I'll try to be as concise as I can, so that we can get through the meat of this.

But the Rothfuchs brothers, who actually grew up in the neighborhood, incidentally, and know the neighborhood well including the previous owners of the home -- the Sullivan family -- are experienced developers who've developed single-family homes in the Greater Boston metro area for over 25 years.

And this was a project where the owners were aware that the home had some real structural issues or -- you know, was in need of a lot of work.

And Bill and David agreed to sort of take this on. And the initial plans -- the expectation or hope -- was that they could tackle this as a substantial renovation. And as they got further into their diligence, they realized unfortunately that the structural problems were too much to be able to overcome.

I know that the Board received as part of the
application process some of the due diligence materials that included soils, testing and structural engineers' reports. I'm not going to try to summarize those, but if you have questions, I know that Bill and David can answer them. But the gist of the -- of the big, substantial issues are that the soil underneath the structure has got a -layers of materials and then a lot of peat, which is compressible. This has resulted in the house sinking.

I think the report said something as much as 10 inches. As the house sank, portions of the -- Bill you're going to help me -- portions of the structure were separating from the main beam. And there are some cracks in the foundation as well.

Olivia, do you mind? We're going to just take a quick trip through some of these photos. If you could -you could just page through and I'll just comment along the way.

So this is just showing you the front and its location on the lot.

The next slide, please?
This is showing the driveway, the existing garage -- we'll talk about that a little bit more as we go along.

Next picture, please?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, go back to that
slide?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Which is --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Would you like me to --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- your client's house,
and which is the house of the neighbors?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Oh, thank you. I apologize. So the house -- our client's house is 54.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep. Got Olivia circling the number there. And the --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 56 --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- garage at the back -- yep, and 56-58 is the neighbor, exactly.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep. Thank you. This is a view of the front setbacks from -- of the house. Our house is the one in the foreground, and then the neighbors along the street heading to the left.

Next slide, please?

This is heading in the other direction -- again, just showing the neighborhood setbacks.

Next photo, please? Just a view of the street.
Other direction, please? And heading in the other direction.

And if you could go to the next one. Okay. So here's the rear of the house.

Next slide, please?
This is probably the most dramatic one, just to show what the existing foundation is like. We do have additional photos of the inside of the house, but we didn't want to go overkill and demonstrate into the issues.

But I hope you'll agree that the reports that we've provided to the Board do provide some pretty dramatic information about what the conditions of the house are.

Next slide, please?
This is the existing concrete garage. It's a twobay garage. And as you can see, it's -- you know, sited up pretty close to the existing house. The plans are to actually reduce the width of it to a one-bay garage.

Next slide, please? But continue to keep it in its current corner of the lot.

This -- we're at the back of the house or looking to the front street. And this is the right -- I'm sorry, this is actually the left lot line. It's just showing you that the current conditions -- the property is, you know, preexisting, nonconforming. It's three feet four inches from the side lot line.

And there's also a retaining wall that benefits the neighbor on that side. And the conditions are really close.

And the proposal for the new structure which is going to be built is to have it complying on this side and be seven feet, seven and a half feet from that lot line, maintaining the retaining wall for the neighbor, of course.

This is a view of the back -- the current back yard, existing back yard, that looks back out to the -- the Greenway, the Watertown Cambridge Greenway. So that's a bike path right at the edge of the grass that you see in the distance.

Next slide, please?
You can go two ahead to the site plan 15, page $15 ?$
Thanks. And do you mind just blowing that up a little bit?
So for the reasons that $I$ described in terms of
what the existing structure's like, the Rothfuchs learned that they would need to construct new -- that there was not a feasible way to do underpinning that would be sufficient, and also, safely able to be accomplished in such close quarters with the neighboring houses.

And so for proposing the new building, they needed and wanted to keep, you know, two stories of living -- the two-story house, and essentially the same width of house. But they wanted to make it as conforming as possible. So, again, we shifted over to the right, to allow for a seven-and-a-half-foot side lot line.

And I'm sorry, let me just -- I know you can kind of see this, but the street is on the left side, which is a little bit -- not the way you usually see a plot plan, and I apologize, but this is the way our surveyor prepared this. So the street is on the left, and we're looking at a side view of the lot.

So, again, so shifting the new -- so the new structure is in that bold dotted line in a more conforming location, so now conforming to the front setbacks, the rear setback, and both side setbacks for the main house.

And the new house would be a bit smaller by I
think it's 400 square feet. So smaller, but still not under the 0.5 square footage for the Residential B district.

The other thing just to point out on this plot plan since we're here and we'll talk about this again is the -- we talked about the proposed garage being in its current location at the right rear of the lot, because they don't want to knock down and build new but narrower, to be a onebay garage.

And the benefits of this are they're still providing some covered parking for the owner. It also provides some screening and privacy for the neighbor to the right. But because it's smaller, they're capturing a large chunk of grass there to improve the yard situation. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: There's no -SARAH RHATIGAN: And the new site plan does conform to the -- I'm sorry? I'm sorry --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry to interrupt you.

SARAH RHATIGAN: What's that?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But even though the garage will be smaller, you still need setback relief for the driveway?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct. Correct, exactly. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. And we'll get to it
in a second --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But are you aware of the neighbor who is most affected by the relief you're seeking; opposes the relief you're seeking? And in doing so -- and I think a very good letter -- which I'm going to read into the record in due course... You might want to address it now or not, but I'll leave it up to you.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I will definitely address it as we're talking. If you don't mind, I'll keep going through the slides. I'm absolutely top of the list that $I$ will address it.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And just so you are aware, we had absolutely no information at all in advance of receiving the e-mail, which I believe -- I can't remember if we received it late yesterday or early today, but I think it was today that we received the letter from our neighbor to the right of the project.

Which, you know, we're sorry that we didn't get it
sooner, because we probably could have talked and maybe resolved some of this. But $I$ will address that.

If it's okay, let me just run through the plans quickly, and then, and then --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- we'll get to that?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, great. So then next, page 16? Thanks Olivia.

So this is just showing the four elevations for the new structure. The front elevation, the porches -- the front entry is on the right side. The little stairs that you're seeing jutting out is just a side entrance to the middle of the house that comes off of the driveway.

The plan at the rear of the property is a small balcony at the second level, but they're getting rid of the covered porch, if you remember, from the view of the rear building -- there was sort of a covered porch system. These are open porches, a small balcony, and then an open porch with steps down to the yard.

And then unless anybody has any questions on the plans, $I$ was just going to skip ahead actually. But, again,

I'm happy to go through floor by floor. Maybe we'll just page through and if there's anything that comes up as questions, perhaps you could let me know.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Just --
SARAH RHATIGAN: We can always go back to them.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me. This is Jim
Monteverde.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Yes, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The sheet you were just on -- not this one, the -- I believe it's the basement plan. That one.

SARAH RHATIGAN: That $I$ think is a foundation plan. I think --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- 18 is the basement plan?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry, no. So that sheet you're on now? SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: So I just want to confirm that it has an area calculation in about the middle? Yep. Up -just go up. And it says it's the new area, gross area. And it comes to --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 23, not the existing, but the
new comes to 2312?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And $I$ think the dimensional form
has a number -- am I wrong -- significantly above that, or did I misread it?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm going to look at it right
now. No, this --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, total -- right, 23 one two (sic), you're right. Yep.

SARAH RHATIGAN: 23 one two (sic), yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, great. Thank you. I'm
glad -- I was starting to get worried there.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, no.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm glad we got it right. So
this is the foundation plan. The basement has a mechanical room, family room, small bedroom.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So can I --
SARAH RHATIGAN: The next floor --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- ask one question on that
basement plan then? When I do look at the elevation, and if you go back to that area calculation, that area calculation showed the basement as 0 .

I believe the building elevation implies, if I read it correctly, that the basement clear height is eight feet. And then the plan you were just showing has some living space in the basement -- nonmechanical space, correct?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bedroom --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Single-family --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- single-family dwelling. So we've got 0 reported in terms of gross floor area per the ordinance definition.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Because it's an accessory?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Because this is a single-family home. So the basement --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Doesn't count.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- floor area in the basement
doesn't count.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep. You're welcome. And this is just showing the main floor of the house. And again, we talked about that there's a porch at the front. There's a side entry to access the house, and then there's a deck at the back.

Second floor just has a master bedroom and two smaller bedrooms. The master bedroom has a small balcony at the rear. And that's it. That's the roof plan.

And then if you could click on the landscape plan \#24, the green?

Now, I am also going to ask the Board's permission to show a slightly revised version of this landscape plan that we scrambled to prepare today that is a response to the letters that we received last night and today from abutters, which --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You don't need zoning relief with regard to the landscape plan, am I right?

SARAH RHATIGAN: We do not. No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You don't need it. That's
our --

SARAH RHATIGAN: We do need relief for the driveway. And so I think that the comments that we had I think were responsive to the neighbor on the right's concern about privacy and such on the right boundary. And so I'll just describe the plan that we wanted to submit to you shows more clearly.

There's -- this is a hand-drawn plan, and I think in our scanning we didn't adequately show the right margin. They're trying to show that there's a fence proposed along the boundary line between our lot and the neighbor on the right. So the little dots that are shown -- if you see those black dots that go along that border?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The bottom line --
SARAH RHATIGAN: There's an arrow pointing to it. The word that's supposed to be there is, "fence" and it -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the bottom line is that you're seeking setback relief for the driveway, regardless-SARAH RHATIGAN: It is, correct. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- of what the landscape -

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- plan shows. The fact
of the matter is --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you'll be too close to the lot line. And that's the problem.

SARAH RHATIGAN: We are asking -- we are asking for relief for that driveway. Yes. That is correct. So just one thing I wanted to point out to you -- this is maybe just a factual, you know, piece of information that we want to make sure is clear is that the proposal is to install a fence that runs from the garage -- corner of the garage at the right lot line.

And it's six -- proposed at six feet tall and to taper down to four feet closer to the street level. That was mainly just for purposes of having some visual -- you know, easier for people to see coming in and out of the driveway, but it could be at six feet the whole length if the neighbor preferred it.

But again, the proposal is that there's a fence that runs along that boundary line.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan.
Whose idea was it --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The fence?
SARAH RHATIGAN: It was the owners' plan to have a
fence installed there. And to be honest with you, I think it was clear from previous correspondence with the neighbors that there was a fence there, from what we provided to them. But it's possible that maybe they aren't aware that there's a fence plan there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Which is why if we had heard the -- if we got the letter earlier, we would have been able to have a conversation and hopefully, you know, resolve --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. From the lot line --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- but [simultaneous speech], so --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to the house on the right is four feet; to put a six-foot fence there would create a bowling alley type of effect, as far as I'm concerned. But that's -- that's not a zoning --

SARAH RHATIGAN: It's something that could -- it's something that could absolutely be discussed. You know, from our client's point of view, the relief that they need. And one thing I do also want to just clarify,

Olivia, do you mind going to the next page, number 25 ?
This is just another copy of the plot plan where we had some of our calculations on here. So the existing driveway -- and you've seen -- you will see that from the photo that we included -- the existing driveway goes up to the boundary line.

There is -- maybe there is something under a foot of distance between the edge of the pavement and the lot line. And the proposal is not to change that preexisting condition, if you will.

If this were a renovation of the house, that preexisting driveway condition wouldn't be an issue. It's because the house is being demolished that the driveway then becomes an issue that requires in this case a special permit.

Similarly, the garage setback -- if this were not a demolition of the main house, the garage as an accessory structure could remain, you know, within five feet of the boundary line. But because the main house is being demolished, it creates a need to seek relief for the garage to continue to remain in the same place.

I think just the point we're trying to make is
that we're not try to make anything worse; we're just asking to keep the current condition. The owner proposed a fence along the driveway, because we thought it was beneficial to both owners.

But if there's a consensus among Board members and the neighbor that they don't want to see a fence, I'm sure that my client would -- you know, we should talk about it, but I'm going to guess that they're not going to feel strongly that it needs to be there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. This is Brendan Sullivan again. The location of that driveway is a pattern all along that side of the street.

And every house has a driveway to the right of it and continues to the right of the next one, to the right of the next one. Except maybe you go three houses down, and they do not have a driveway on the right, because they have a telephone pole in the way. But that driveway is a pattern consistent all the way down, which is -- goes back years.

I guess I, myself, don't seem to have a problem with that driveway, because it's been there for since the house was built, and it's a consistent pattern along that streetscape. And I understand the technicality of you could
maintain it there if you renovated the house, but once the house comes down, then you lose the protection of the grandfathering and of a nonconforming, located driveway. So. That's sort of my thought on that.

The only other issue $I$ have is that the design of the house is a dramatic departure from the other houses in the neighborhood. And not that we should all have cookie cutter houses, and that's what adds the flavor to the city and the diversity and aesthetic appeal, but this one here right smack dab in the middle of the block is to me quite dramatic.

So I am -- yeah, those are my comments for the moment.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, thank you. Olivia, would you mind just advancing the slide one more? Just really briefly, we just wanted to mention a little bit about public outreach. I'm showing you the Assessor's map -- the abutter's map that was prepared by the Zoning administrators to determine, you know, who would get notice.

So I just wanted to point out that from the very get go, once plans were sort of formulated, Bill and David Rothfuchs began outreach to their neighbors. And their
outreach began with the people who they expected would be most impacted, and also the ones that we expected from an abutter's list would be contacted. So we looked at houses essentially two away on either side, and then directly across the street.

Next page, please?
Letters were sent out to all of those neighbors. This is from September 13, which is a nice letter, which basically goes through and describes -- this, by the way we don't need to -- like, we don't need to read the content of this, I'm just trying to give you the flavor of what went on -- describes the reasons for realizing they needed to take the house down and they were going to build new.

Next page, please?
This was an artist depiction that was provided to all those owners. And again, the owners wanted everyone to be aware it was going to be -- you know, the aesthetic is a modern home. So that wasn't something that was, you know, hidden or, you know, not disclosed to folks. They had all the elevations and floor plans as well.

You can skip ahead to page 31. Sorry, those got tilted the wrong way. Basically, there's a follow-up letter
that was sent a little later in September, where essentially they said, "We hope you got this" even though they did send it Priority Mail. Like, "We'd love to hear from you if you have any questions or comments -- " you know, "-- please let us know" giving their contact information.

I think there was one -- the owner to the left, directly to the left of us did comment by e-mail something with a general comment of, like, "This looks really nice" and then some questions about the construction timeline, because obviously construction would be -- you know, important for her.

Next page, please?
And then again in November, when we had to adjust the plot plan to maintain a 10-foot driveway, which we -just a technicality that we hadn't realized, we again forwarded a follow-up letter to everyone with that information, with the revised plot plan.

So -- and then I'm all set if you want to just turn the slides off for right now, Olivia, that would be fine.

I think there were two other letters. We talked a bit about the concerns for the neighbor immediately to the
right, which I think were largely about the driveway. In fact, I think that was the main issue. There were two other letters that we received. And again, we just received them -- one last night and one today.

And the -- you know, I know, folks may be on the call, so I also don't want to -- you know, not allow them to have a full hearing here, but the neighbor immediately to the right, we talked about $I$ wonder if they're not aware of the fence. I think that the fence may help in terms of their concerns about the safety of their children, use of cars in that driveway.

Then the neighbor at 55 Park Avenue, which is located across the street wrote a letter. And it seems like their first comment was perhaps similar to Mr. Sullivan just being surprised by the aesthetics of the project.

But, you know, respectfully, there are some examples of contemporary homes -- I think there's one a little further down the street, I feel like -- do you recall? I think just further down Park Avenue -- as Park Ave turns, there's an example of another property.

But I think the Board will agree that the -- you know, there isn't a Design Review process for a project like
this.

There is a request to use permeable materials.
And some concerns about flooding and stormwater. And although that is not generally the Zoning Board's purview, we did just want to point out that we did check in with Inspectional Services to understand that yes, this project will have to go through stormwater and erosion control permitting.

And the owners are very concerned about these issues as well, and will make sure that they're doing everything that's required.

In terms of using permeable materials for the driveways and walkways, they're willing to do that. They haven't gotten to the level of chosen materials for these types of things, but that's something that seems like a good idea.

There was a request to reduce the driveway, and we can't reduce the driveway with -- and still comply with zoning. And we think that a less than 10 -foot driveway is probably not a great idea for the owners of the property.

There were some additional comments from the owner further down the street at 70 Park.

And I feel like I've been talking for too long, so I want to turn things over to you for any questions, but it did mention stormwater drainage, which I mentioned to you. There was a comment about New England species and pollinator-friendly landscaping, which the Rothfuchs are happy to pass along to their landscaper for comments, but not a zoning matter, $I$ wouldn't think.

Similarly, with, like, electric heat pumps, that's the type of thing that has not been figured out yet, but is not, $I$ don't think, a matter for Zoning.

There was a concern about the design of the back porch not being sort of amenable to open greetings or something. And it wasn't quite clear what they meant. The porch is an open porch, with steps down to a yard. It seems pretty open and friendly to the back to me. But I'm not sure what that is about.

And there was a question about putting a green roof on the house. But for a project of this type, I don't think that a green roof is going to be feasible, either financially or structurally in terms of, you know, bearing loads of -- trays of green on top of a roof.

And the Green Roof Ordinance does apply to
projects that are, like, 10 times the size of this house. So hopefully that's not a concern.

And lastly, a comment about a bike rack: I think a bike rack probably wouldn't work for a project like this, an outside bike rack. I expect that the owners will probably store bikes in their garage. But if the Board felt strongly about it, we could look at that.

I haven't spoken directly to all of the -- the sort of the elements of the variance and the special permit. But the application does speak to this pretty clearly. I think that -- I'll sort of summarize, and then want to be able to respond to Board members on this.

But this is a project where the hardship is clearly the issue of soils and the structural -- essentially the structural, you know, structurally compromised house that just has to be replaced, because it's not structurally safe.

The only way to do that is to, you know, unfortunately is to demolish and to build new, so that there can be proper footings and foundation and a new structure.

And so the intent and the design of this is to -is to essentially rebuild a new structure that is somewhat
smaller and is more zoning compliant, but not absolutely zoning compliant in that it continues to be a little bit over the FAR.

And then the dimensional -- the relief that's requested for the garage that we've talked about, which kind of flows from the fact that the garage can't be moved over closer to the existing structure because of the tight constrained lot -- narrow lot that we've got.

And then with the special permit for the existing, maintaining the existing driveway, on a special permit standard, there's nothing about what we're proposing that is really a change of conditions. If anything, by adding a fence we're improving conditions.

But there's no traffic or -- you know, traffic being changed or created. No hazards or nuisance to neighbors that we think flows from that, from maintaining the existing driveway.

And I think I'm going to keep it there for now, and turn this over for questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Questions from members of the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No questions or comments. This
is Brendan Sullivan. No questions, no comments at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions or comments at this time.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have nothing at this moment, thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I have no questions at this moment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no questions at this point, but $I$ do want to return to the driveway issue, or the setback on the driveway.

And I do want to read into the record a letter that we received from a Chris Tolles -- T-o-l-l-e-s, who lives at 56-58 Park Avenue; obviously an adjacent neighbor. And he writes,
"As a directly adjacent neighbor/owner at 56-58 Park Avenue, I respectfully oppose maintaining a driveway within five feet of my property line on the north side of my home.
"As a parent of three young children, who often move along the north side of our home, from the back yard to the street, vehicle traffic so close to our very narrow walkway provides an unsafe pedestrian circumstance; doubly so when considering the young age of my children.
"As well, the bedrooms of both units in my home are on the north side of the home. On the ground floor, that means cars which park in that driveway are literally two feet from the windows of my bedrooms. This compromises safety and privacy, is noisy and obstructs light when vehicles are parked in the driveway.
"The current design creates these obvious issues, which Mr. Rothfuchs and his team have not discussed with me prior. I have zero desire to be obstructive for his intent to improve his property, but maintain a large driveway so close to my home in violation of zoning rules is not an acceptable path forward for me and my young family."

And he says he's going to be on the call tonight; we'll see.
I'm very moved by this -- personally -- by this
letter. Setbacks are designed for two purposes: To provide safety to the adjoining property, and to preserve privacy to
the adjoining property. What is being proposed here violates both of those bases for setbacks.

Erecting a six-foot fence doesn't solve anything. Maybe for safety a little bit, but -- as I think Mr. Sullivan points out -- a tunnel; a long thing and it impacts the neighbor, whose house is right on the other side.

So all to get a place to park your car, you're going to have to build a garage and use that garage for whatever purpose. But park on the street is my view. That's how Cambridge works. Everybody doesn't have a driveway. So to be very clear, I propose to vote against the special permit for the setback relief.

But with that, I'll open the matter up to public testimony, unless other members of the Board wish to speak right now?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess not. So we will open the matter up to public testimony. As Ms. Rhatigan has indicated, there are a number of letters in the file; some of them in opposition, one of which $I$ just read, and some of which are in support.

Okay. For public comment, any members of the
public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
We'll take a moment to see if anyone's calling in.
[Pause]
OLIVIA RATAY: Chris Tolles?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
OLIVIA RATAY: Chris Tolles?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, that's the fellow whose letter $I$ just read. Mr. Tolles, the floor is yours.

CHRIS TOLLES: Hello. This is Chris. Thank you for reading my letter. I don't have much to add to it, except to say I'm showing up tonight with an interest to discuss this solution in the future.

This is not in ill will, and $I$ am sorry for the late notice; I just literally didn't realize how this works or exactly what was proposed until recently.

I also do want to add an observation that some of the site plans submitted in the packet that Ms. Rhatigan went through also suggest that the driveway itself is encroaching over my property line, and that the existing
garage is also encroaching. I am not a specialist in -- you know, property GIS data, so $I$ could be mistaken, but that may also be something to discuss in the future.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If there are these
encroachments, even if we granted relief for the zoning relief, that would not justify or legalize the encroachments. You would have recourse against your neighbor with regard to encroachments.

So let's be clear about that. You want to avoid that, and I'm sure your neighbor wants to avoid that as well. But it's not an issue -- a zoning issue, for encroachments. That's basically a land use issue.

CHRIS TOLLES: Understood. Thank you. And yeah, I would like to seek a resolution to that together.

My only last comment is it was previously mentioned that a driveway on the south side of each property was consistent along with the street. That is broadly correct, but I do want to point out that my driveway at 56 and 58 actually does not exist; $I$ only have a side yard. And the next house further south along the street has a truncated driveway.

So I think there's also already evidence of unique
treatment of driveways in the neighborhood, considering different parcel kind of plot circumstances.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
CHRIS TOLLES: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Anyone else, do we have anything else? No other persons wish to speak, so I will close public testimony. As has been indicated so far during the presentation in our comments, there are letters of support for the project; there are letters of opposition to my mind at least of varying persuasiveness. I've chosen the one that I think is the most relevant.

Others are more design oriented, and frankly we're not -- in my opinion anyway -- we're not a Design Review Board. Our job is much more mundane than reviewing the aesthetics of new structures.

With that, however, I will close public testimony.
And I'm going to start as we do -- I'll deal with the variance first, make a motion to grant the variance, and then we'll see how people vote; whether they want to change that --

> SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chairman?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Would you mind if we just responded briefly to the discussion about the driveway -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, go right ahead. SARAH RHATIGAN: -- a little further? Okay, thank you.

Olivia, would you mind just bring up the plot plan, the first plot plan in the slide deck?

The -- one thing, I know we've talked about that this is a special permit, but one thing I just want to remind the Board and just sort of clarify is that the special permit -- obviously the special permit standard is quite different than a variance.

And it's difficult to see how an existing condition that has been here since the property was developed as far as we know could be -- that maintaining that existing condition could be creating a nuisance or a substantial hazard, and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why did you -SARAH RHATIGAN: -- particularly where -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- seek the special permit? You're seeking --

SARAH RHATIGAN: We sought this --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- the special permit tonight because you believe --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- we sought this --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you need it, and you're
right. You need zoning relief, given the fact of what you're doing to the site in general; the construction of a new home. That --

SARAH RHATIGAN: I do understand that, but -right. But the -- but the -- but the driveway has been in existence. So it's just very difficult to see how we are creating -- I mean, the special permit standard is that you're not creating a substantial hazard or detriment to the neighborhood.

And I think with the existence of a fence -- and, you know, maybe we can talk to Mr. Tolles about this and ask how he feels about this, but an existence of a fence -- and it could be discussed with the height of a fence is.

But the concerns that he has raised about safety are ameliorated, there are plenty of homes that have side yard setback that are bordered by a fence and the children can play happily without being concerned about their safety or -- you know, people can pass along, you know, narrow
stretches between homes without danger.
The concerns about light, you know, light from cars that might be in the driveway -- you know, note also that there is a -- there will be a single bay garage for the property, and the homeowner, you know, presumably is going to -- will certainly have one car.

If there is a second car, maybe they will park in the driveway. But, you know, this is common throughout the whole entire city. And I think it will be very difficult to say that this is somehow a hazardous nuisance situation.

One thing I did -- the reason I wanted to bring the plot plan up is just to explore something with the Board, which is we provided a 10-foot driveway because the ordinance requires a 10-foot driveway. The existing driveway is seven feet in width. To create a 10-foot driveway, we extended the width of the driveway to the left, towards the -- towards our house.

So, again, the lot line conditions are exactly the same as they exist today, but we widened it to the left.

And one question would be whether the Board -- you know, one, $I$ don't know if everybody's in agreement with Mr. Chairman about the special permit, but if other members are
in agreement that they're concerned about the special permit for the driveway, I guess the question would be would you consider allowing a seven-foot driveway that created some space on the right side of the boundary of the lots?

Would that -- I don't know, I personally don't see that that's that meaningful if there's going to be a fence there. I mean, who's going to see the three-foot boundary between the driveway and the lot line?

I also didn't see who's going to see the five-foot boundary between the driveway and the lot line if there's a fence there. But I understand that -- you know, the ordinance is the ordinance.

So I'm just trying to explore what the harm is, and, you know, if there's anything that could be done?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I mean, I think what can be done from our point of view -- I don't mean to be flip -- is to deal with the driveway and the structure in a way that doesn't require a special permit for a less than five-foot wide driveway.

That's the -- beyond that, it's a matter of what -- that will get the case off our -- we won't have to deal with the special permit for the driveway. I think that's
what you have to do.
Any other solution is something you might have to work out with your neighbor, who strongly objects to what you're proposing now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. I have an inclination to support the special permit for the driveway, because to me it's existing -- has existed, there's been operation by the previous owner for years and years. And so that's a given. And the location of the house to the right has also been there, and they have coexisted somewhat for many years.

However, that support for the driveway gives me cause when $I$ consider the treatment of the boundary line. And the fence $I$ think is going to have a horrendous adverse effect on the adjoining property to the right. It will create a very narrow little walkway.

You know, and again, I'm thinking of leaves accumulating, snow, ice, and there's no way of getting that off, especially with the children using it.

And I think that a fence -- six-foot fence -would have an adverse effect regarding air, light on those adjoining bedrooms. That the presence of cars -- and one of
the reasons for the five-foot buffer is to ameliorate some of the exhaust, maybe the lights and so on and so forth -the difference between five feet and, because right now it's probably four feet, four and a half feet or something -- to me doesn't really make that much of a difference as far as fumes are concerned -- you know, the comings and goings, the noise that's pointed out by the neighbor.

I think that is really not really measurable, as far as moving it a few feet one way or the other.

But I would support the special permit, but I am very, very concerned as to the treatment of the boundary line. And of course the issue came up about the boundary line, and some concern by the next-door neighbor that there's already some infringement going on.

I'm just wondering if it doesn't require one more sit down with the next-door neighbor to try and hash out some of these issues or details, than an up and down vote.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: For myself, I have no problem with continuing the space to give the neighbor and the petitioner time to sit down and come up with a common solution. I'm always in favor of neighbors resolving things, not having the result imposed on them by a Board
like ours. So I'm in favor of that. I don't know what other members of the Board feel, or the petitioner. Sir, what do you feel about Brendan's proposal, that we continue this case and give you the opportunity to sit down with the neighbor next door and see if some solutions can be -- some mutual solutions that deal with the boundary issues and the width of the driveway? Are you willing to continue the case?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Um-- yeah, I'm just -- sort of by text I'm confirming with the client because we can, you know, speak to each other in the same room with our Zoom hearings these days.

While I'm just sort of waiting for that confirmation, just one -- I mean, not to put Mr. Sully (sic) on the spot, but one question might be does he share the -Mr. Sullivan's feelings about the effect of the fence, and whether that's a positive or a negative?

I mean, the fence could be slatted so that it's -you know, got air, you know, for not a big ball, but it could be nice airy fence if that were something beneficial. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, that's -SARAH RHATIGAN: I mean, if we need to do a
continuance, obviously we will, because we very much want -you know, we want to resolve the, you know, the issues where we're not thinking that it's a good thing for anyone in the neighborhood to lose the whole driveway on the lot. And, you know, hoping to kind of resolve this as quickly as we can.

So I don't know if Mr. Sully (sic) has any comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. This is Brendan Sullivan. I think your comments are right on point. Is (sic) that $I$ thought as far as the treatment of the boundary driveway to the adjoining house was anything from a berm to a six-foot fence or something in between.

And I think a much lower type of structure that would allow -- give some protection for pedestrians on that narrow walkway -- children especially, obviously -- would be probably welcome and necessary, but not a six-foot fence, which would -- to me, I think have a terrible adverse effect on the adjoining property.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me ask other members of the Board -- of our Board -- if they have any thoughts or comments with regard to Brendan's suggestion that we
continue the case to allow the petitioner to have further discussions or -- maybe not further, but discussions with the abutter.

Jim, do you have any thoughts?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. This is Jim Monteverde. I certainly would favor the proponent and the abutter having a discussion about what may be desired along that line. Looking at the survey, I don't think it's a driveway that's over the property line.

If $I$ believe the survey, I think the garage is over the property line to the back of the lot. I don't think it has anything to do with the driveway.

But -- so I would support the proponent and the neighbor getting together to see if they can come to some agreement on what that demise should be.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Andy? Do you have any thoughts that you want to express?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. So this is Andrea Hickey. I never think it's a bad idea for an applicant and a neighbor to --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- get together and continue to talk if there's any disagreement. So $I$ would be in favor of that. I'd also like to say that I sort of endorse Mr. Sullivan's take on the proposal. If the driveway has been used as a driveway historically for many, many years, I don't have an issue with the continuation of that use.

I also agree with Mr. Sullivan that putting a high fence up along that bound of the property is probably not a great solution. But $I$ would leave that up to the applicant and the neighbor to work out to their satisfaction.

So to sum it up, I am in favor of granting a continuance to give the applicant and the direct abutter another opportunity to try to work something out.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Andrea. Wendy?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Could I -- could I actually make, and it's a question, $I$ don't know if this works, but in the days of in-person hearings, we might have the opportunity to be kicked out into the hallway for discussion. This to me doesn't feel like an issue that would -- here's my concern.

I know that this Board is only meeting once in December. And I'm fearful that your continued case docket
may be full.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It is, by the way.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And if this is something that -CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It should be filled. SARAH RHATIGAN: -- yeah, and if this --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It would be in January if we had the hearing --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- and we resume this case, it would be one of the two dates -- both January dates open? January 6 would be the earliest. And that assumes, by the way, that everybody -- I mean, it's a case heard. SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So all five of us would have to be available on January 6. We'll find out in a second, if it's relevant.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right. So I guess what I was going to suggest: Is there an opportunity for us to at least try to have a conversation this evening and come back to this Board later in your agenda? I'm not sure how much longer you're going to be online?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We only have a few more
cases on the agenda.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't propose to sit around tonight while you have your conversations. I don't know if you --

SARAH RHATIGAN: No, we would never suggest that. But it could be that if we have -- I don't know, maybe a 15-20-minute conversation; it's a type of modification that seems like it could potentially be amenable to a quick resolution. Maybe not, but $I$ guess what $I$ would ask is if --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me say this. I will --

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- we're able to, could we come back to you this evening?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- I will -- we'll recess this case. We'll finish the agenda, and I'll come back. If you can -- if you track down your neighbor and the neighbor and you have come up, and your client have come up with a solution, fine. I don't mean to cut it off.

But I don't think it's probably feasible, when you can do what you want to do in the time that's left in our
hearing. That's all.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. This is Brendan
Sullivan. On a personal note, I would like to do a revisit to the site. I just have some questions in my own head that I can't connect the dots too.

And so this continuance to January will give me some time to revisit the site, walk the site, and I was there today; I was there last week. But there were still some unresolved issues. Took me a little bit longer to connect the dots on this one.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Given Brendan's reluctance -- understandable, and the facts of the likelihood that in the next 15 to 20 minutes you're going to find a solution with the neighbor are rather remote, so I think it was a good suggestion, Sarah; I think we continue this case until January 6.

So --
SARAH RHATIGAN: That's -- okay, so Bill and David are you both in town? Does that --

BILL OR DAVID: Yes.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- work for you?
DAVID OR BILL: Yes.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Excellent.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So I'll make a motion. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on January -- January?

BILL OR DAVID: Six.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: On January 6, subject to the following conditions:

First, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time for decision. And that signature must be by no later than 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

That's required by law if we are not to automatically grant relief, and it's a very standard, simple document -- Sarah's familiar with it -- and so that's the first condition: That you must sign that waiver of time for a decision by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday. Failure to do that will mean this case will be dismissed.

The second condition is that a new posting sign has to be erected and maintained for the 14 days prior to the hearing -- to January 6; the same sign that you posted right now, you can take that sign as a matter of fact with a magic marker or what have you, -- just change the date and the time to 6:00 p.m. on January 6.

And lastly, this may be relevant, to the extent that there are going to be new or modified plans, specifications or the like, particularly with regard to the issues that are raised tonight, they must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before January 6. That's to enable our Board members and any neighbors to review those in advance of the hearing.

Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And the Chair votes yes as well.

> [All vote YES]

This case will be continued until January 6, subject to the conditions I outlined a few moments ago regarding the need to maintain new signs, and to filing -timely filing -- of revised plans and specifications. And the fact that the petitioner must sign a waiver of time for decision no later than 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday. The case is continued. SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. CHRIS TOLLES: Thank you very much.
(8:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair will now call Case Number 149665 -- 1 -- I'm sorry, 38 Mount Pleasant Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Attorney Christopher Alphen for the applicants Matt Russell and Lindsey Russell. They are with us today. Also with us --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. Before you go any further sir, I'm sorry to interrupt you, we have a letter in our -- I'm doing this because I want to avoid having a case heard, which would require longer time to get the case reconsidered.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Understood.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We are in receipt -- this Board -- if $I$ can find it -- of a memo from the Planning Board. And -- just give me a second to find it and to read it. I've got to go through this file for a second.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: It may not be this
application, only because I'm also representing the next applicant, and they received a letter from the Planning Board.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I guess I have it wrong, yeah.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: [Laughter]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Frost Street. I'm sorry, you're right, sir.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: We'll deal with that on the next one.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: No letter in (sic) the Planning Board on this case.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: So with me are the applicants Matt and Lindsey Russell. Also with us are the members -the entire team, it looks like, over there at SKA, including Axel, who is the architect; and Sam, the architect who worked on this project, who will present the modifications.

The applicants are looking to renovate their
house. They need some more space. They're looking to add 265 square feet to the back portion of their townhouse.

The purpose of adding the additional living space is to add a live-in area for Matt's Mom so that she can stay for extended periods of times -- he's currently a Cambridge resident today -- and to add a little bit of an office space. Because in the new world of working at home, Matthew needs some more space for an office.

The structure is a preexisting nonconforming structure. The existing $F A R$ is preexisting, nonconforming. The minimum allowed is 0.75 as it exists today, it's 0.98 . And so the renovations -- the 620 -- the 265 square feet to be added to the back, would increase that preexisting, nonconforming FAR to 1.12 .

And accordingly, the applicant needs a special permit to do so under Section 822.2 d , the new ordinance section that requires a special permit for extending preexisting, nonconforming dimensions.

In addition, there are going to be some additions and some removal of some windows that also require a special permit for a modification to a preexisting, nonconforming structure.

The Russells did a terrific job of reaching out to their neighbors. And they've spoken to everybody that they could possibly speak to, and they received an impressive 15 letters in support for their modification.

We believe that it's a modest modification that really makes an improvement to the structure, and is something that is appropriate for a couple that wants to remain in Cambridge, but at the same time have a little bit more living space.

With that, I will turn it over to Axel, who will review the plans in detail and give you an exact idea of what we are proposing.

AXEL: Thank you, Chris. But I'm in the next project. My colleagues --

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. Michael Fields?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Axel will cede the portion this time to -- if the Chair will recognize -- so yes, thank you, Chris.

Thank you, members of the Board, for your time this evening. My name is Michael Fields and I'm here with Axel and many others in support of Lindsey and Matt tonight.

Just a brief history. This project is an update to a townhouse built around 1880 -- it's at the south end of a Row 4. The two center sections were developed and reapportioned in 2007, but this renovation maintained the fundamental footprint and layouts of the original.

As stated by Chris, our request before you this evening is in several parts. We want to relocate windows -the back of the building that fall outside the existing noncompliant setbacks.

We want to provide an egress window well at the front of the building and an excavated egress stair at the back to serve the lower level, which are outside of the existing noncompliant setbacks, expand the second floor by 265 square feet over the footprint of the existing first floor in line with the existing noncompliant setbacks, and then increase the existing noncompliant FAR by 0.14 .

And before we go through the plans and elevations, I want to give Matt and Lindsey a moment to introduce themselves and their families' connection to the neighborhood.

LINDSEY RUSSELL: Thank you. And thank you to the Board for hearing us tonight. I'm a Cambridge lifer. I was
born in North Cambridge on Fairfield Street off of Rindge Ave. And my parents then moved 30 years ago to Lexington Avenue. And we moved here in 2001.

And we are -- our children are moving out; we have one in college and one in high school and we're transitioning to this next phase of our lives.

We also both lost our fathers recently, and as a result my mother is actually a Cambridge resident. She just moved out of the big house she and my dad had lived in for 30 years. She remains a Cambridge resident, but the hosting of family gatherings naturally has been passed to us, and Matt's mother who is also a widow, lives in Florida and comes up for extended periods. And we'd like to be able to host her for some of the time.

And those are really the fundamental reasons for our application. As we looked around, after having lived here for 20 years, we thought about moving, and got pretty close to doing so. And at every moment we came back and looked at the each other and said, "We really want to live here."

So I would say that our commitment to this neighborhood and, you know, I live -- I was born on

Fairfield Street, which is about half a mile from where we live now. So our commitment and our roots in this area run deep. So thank you for considering.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Thank you, Lindsey and Matt. And Olivia, if you could put our presentation up that would be great.

This is just showing the -- at left, the existing townhouse on the end, and a rendering of the front. You know, essentially, it's going to remain the same.

Next slide, please?
This slide outlines the zoning calculations of the building and its location in the neighborhood. This 1880 building falls outside of the C1 calculated and minimum setbacks. But the project will maintain the original footprint, apart from the requested egress from the lower level.

The current FAR of 0.98 already exceeds the allottable FAR of 0.75, and we're requesting a slight increase to this FAR by 0.14 , or 265 square feet for a total of 1.12 , to allow for a home office and a grandparent suite. Finally, the windows were replaced on the first and second floor in their existing locations, and new
windows in the addition will complement the scale and proportions of the remainder of the townhouse.

Next slide, please?
The streetscape will remain unchanged. The wood exterior of the townhouse will be repaired as needed and repainted, matching the adjacent townhouses. Windows on the first and second floors will be replaced in their current locations with energy efficient windows, and the new egress window well will be screened and set apart from the street with plantings.

Next slide, please?
Since there's no change to the street side, here we are showing the massing of the existing townhouses from the back, with 38 Mount Pleasant at the lower left-hand corner.

Next slide, please?
Here we see the expansion of the second floor over the existing footprint. The existing deck has been removed, and the egress stair from the basement has held tight to the back of the house, to provide more usable open space.

Next slide, please?
In the existing site plan, we see the existing
first-floor kitchen and deck off the rear of the townhouse. Next slide, please?

And in the proposed site plan, we've highlighted the area contributing to increased FAR and the proposed egress ways from the lower level.

Next slide, please?
In our shadow studies, we are showing the direct impact of the existing structure in red, and any additional shadows created by the expansion in purple.

For much of the year, the shadows that would be cast by the expansion are captured within the shadows of the existing townhouse and the surrounding structures. This is not included in the shadows cast by the mature tree canopy, and there will be some modest additional shadow cast in the winter mornings when the sun's at its lowest.

Next slide, please?
In the basement level, the slab is being lowered to approximately the same level as the adjacent townhouse. Our design includes the creation of an egress window at the front, at the location of an existing smaller window.

And at the back, we're proposing an egress stair up to the back yard at the location of the existing window
into the basement.
Next slide, please?
On the first floor, the adjusted layout remains within the existing footprint. The windows of the kitchen are reorganized to serve the layout and better match the style of the windows that persist throughout the row of townhouses.

Next slide, please?
The second floor shows the expansion over the existing footprint on the first floor. Here we see the home office and the grandparents' suite that are driving the expansion of this floor.

Next slide, please?
The third-floor plan is unchanged by this request.
Next slide, please?
The roof plan shows the proposed skylights within the allowable building area. Water from the new roof, as well as from the upper roofs will be gathered through new gutters and brought down to a dry well in the rear yard.

Next slide, please?
As seen earlier in the streetscape slide, the front elevation will remain unchanged, apart from the
screened window well.

Next slide, please?
As we come around to the south elevation, the main
building remains unchanged. And the setback portion will carry the line of the existing trim around the extension, below the main egress. The size and scale of the windows in the setback portion are in keeping with the main structure.

Next slide, please?
At the east elevation in the rear, shows the area contributing to increased far. The egress stair from the basement is tucked behind a fixed railing-height planter, and is open to the sky. Then we see a new window configuration of the back.

Next slide, please?
And that concludes our presentation. You can scan the barcode below with your phone camera for a video render of the exterior, and the additional slides that follow show more views of the existing house, our survey site plan and we have some of the letters of support -- 15 letters of support -- from the people up and down the street and near and far.

And that is it. We'll take any questions you
might have.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan.
Olivia, could you go back to the east elevation, please?
Which would be the rear.
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The lower -- the lower left
there. This -- my concern if you -- yeah -- is, obviously, to the right of your addition is the next-door unit, right?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And what your proposed secondfloor addition does to those windows in that area, which -what are those rooms there, in their units? Are those bedrooms?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: I believe that the one on the back wall is a bedroom, and then the ones that wrap the living space of the condoized addition are living space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the shadow study shows that it has no effect?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: It has some effect in the winter, in the more.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because the sun is lower?
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Yeah, just at 9:00 a.m. You
know, by noon the main building is casting a shadow over that area.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So the proposed at 12:00? Yeah, okay. Okay, so it's coming up in the east and -- okay, no other questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim, do you have any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. This is Jim Monteverde. I have one question, please. If you could -- I'm looking at your sheet -- I'm on another screen with your plans, and I'm on your drawing 102, which is your second-floor client.

Could you bring that back up?
And if $I$ count correctly, the house currently has four bedrooms, and when you're done, you'll have four bedrooms, am I correct?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: That is correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And in the proposed plan, the visitor suite, or the grandmother suite is the one to the front of the house, I'm assuming?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Correct, yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So are you -- it -- what I'm trying to confirm is during the course of the
presentation, I heard the discussion about, you know, moving family in -- that's a very nice thing to do, moving someone's Mom in, I mean that's terrific, noteworthy. When I look at the plan in terms of what you're actually creating, you create a rather nice, new master suite, and I'm assuming a home office?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Which exists already on the third
floor? Third floor.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: There's -- so both Matt and Lindsey work from home.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Yeah. So the one on the third floor is Lindsey's office, and the one on the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: -- second floor would be Matt's office.

LINDSEY RUSSELL: And currently --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, so just -- if I'm reading the plan correctly, it's really the -- I would say mother suite kind of is there plus a bathroom; it's really the main master suite that's the addition?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Right. Yeah. We have -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: We're moving the laundry up from the basement.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Bring in the -- the office into that central section, and then yeah, there's a little bit of closet space.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: And then the main suite, yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Mm-hm.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I have no questions. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. The Chair has no questions either. So we'll open the matter up to public testimony. We have a number of letters in our file, both pro and con, with regard to the relief being sought,
although the strong majority of the letters are pro. But I just want to express that there are some negatives in our files.

So with that, we'll open the matter up to public testimony. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

We'll take a moment to see if anyone wishing to calling in. [Pause]

OLIVIA RATAY: Anne Norris?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ms. Norris?
ANNE NORRIS: Yes. I'm here. Can you hear me? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

ANNE NORRIS: Hi. Yes. You received a letter from me today, and I have been in detailed conversations with Matt and Lindsey, so I don't think they'll be surprised that I'm on this call -- at least $I$ hope not.

I hope that the architect actually has with them the more detailed shadow study that was shared with me on Tuesday evening of this week, as I feel like the one that's
been proposed to this Board does not accurately -- or not completely, let me rephrase that -- represent the impact of the shadowing from this addition at this point.

So I'm going to ask the architectural firm if they have the one that details the sort of winter months by hours, showing 9:00, 10:00, 11:00 and 12:00 and doesn't skip over those critical morning times?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: We may have that, but I think that, you know, we could sort of read it into the record that, you know, we recognize that -- you know, that morning time, you know, $9: 00,10: 00,11: 00,12: 00$ is a time that, you know, the shadow does increase in that section, and casts -- you know, it does cast shadow, you know, through the morning.

ANNE NORRIS: Yeah. So what it does, for the Board, since that shadow study is not pulled up right now, is, as the Board pointed out, the back of our building -and I am the direct abutter; you saw on slide 16 the back of my roof, which has a skylight.

And then you also questioned Adam's unit, which is above me, which does directly impact his living room and bedroom when the addition is built...

But I have the roof that is currently directly adjacent to Matt and Lindsey's. That roof is directly over the living area of my unit. And when this addition is added, unfortunately -- because I would like to figure out a way to make it work -- the time that we receive light is between 9:00 in the morning and 12:00 in the afternoon, as they correctly pointed out, when the sun sort of heads west. The front of the building blocks that.

So when this is added, all of the direct sunlight that is accessed by the skylight added by me as the direct abutter and unit owner to bring direct light into my unit is shadowed by this addition.

So it is a significant quality of life issue that, you know, I'm hoping we can do kind of what you did in the last hearing and move this off to January to try to figure out something feasible to help rectify that. But it's a substantial impact on a unit.

And the challenges are we are in connected rowhouses. So there really isn't any space in between our units to pull from here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: So are you amenable to continuing the case?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Well, I'd be interested to see what the Board has -- opinion about this is. You know, you know, this is a modest addition.

And under the special permit, you know, when you're extending a preexisting, nonconforming, you know, dimensional issue -- you know, modest additions are usually held up in court as finding, you know, not detrimental to the neighborhood as is. This is a small, modest addition.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Modest it may be, sir, but if it is -- does have an impact of someone in the neighborhood who is concerned and upset by what you've proposed, we do -- we can take a vote on it, but we do encourage people to sit down and try to work something out.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Absolutely. And if the Board feels that that is necessary, then that's what we will do. We will meet with the neighbors and trying to figure something out that works for them.

You know, I just think -- and, you know, I just think that this might be something where any sort of addition is going to cause maybe some shadows on the abutting condominium, and they're not part of the Condominium.

So it may be difficult for SKA to come up with something that would be workable or amenable to the neighbors. So I'd be curious to see what the other Board members feel about it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER/SAM KACHMAR?: Mr. Chairman?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SAM KACHMAR: Our clients did meet with the neighbors multiple times to review some different options in regards to the addition. And we did cut off the cornice that kind of comes around the building in an effort to reduce any shadows in there. So we have made efforts to try to compromise on the addition where we can.

You know, aside from not doing the -- you know, the expansion out over the existing footprint, I don't know that there's a lot of options as to how to further reduce it. Like, right now there's a cornice that wraps around the outside of the building.

And originally, we were going to carry that historical detail around the house, but pulled it back in an effort to reduce further shadowing on the house, from the neighbors in there.

Or, at least that is something that we can do. I
think that here we are showing that cornice wrapping.
But, you know, in an effort to, you know, try to -- you know, increase the amount of time that light gets through, there are potentially some items that we can do there in terms of the cornice.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. Counsel, I usually like to quote Mark in the land use manual, because I always find nuggets in there. I won't be quoting him in this particular instance, but in a landmark case, Blackman v. the Board of Zoning Appeal of Barnstable, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court has stated,
"This court has said repeatedly that the power to vary the application of a zoning ordinance must be sparingly exercised, and only in rare instances, and under exceptional circumstances particular (sic)" -- I'm sorry, "peculiar," -- "in their nature, and with due regard to the main purpose of the zoning ordinance to preserve the property rights of others."
[Which is your next-door neighbor.]
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: No dispute. I think this is something that -- you know, we will -- we want to make sure that everybody's happy. You know, the applicants have done
their best to talk to their neighbors as much as they can.
And if the Board feels that we should continue those conversations, then, you know, we would love to continue this hearing and have an opportunity to try to appease everybody.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm not a member of the Board, but I would like to see this case continued as well. I do think it's not a good idea for this Board to force things on neighbors that they object to without the full opportunity for the parties to sit down and see if they can convince each other or work out a mutually satisfactory resolution.

So I, like, Brendan, would like to see you continue the case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, this is Jim Monteverde.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: By the way the case --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would -- I will throw my -- I
will throw in with both Brendan and the Chair, and I would strongly advocate that you try and reach some agreement with your abutter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me be clear. I'm sorry, Jim, I didn't mean to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's all right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- interrupt you. If we continue this case, it will be until January 27. Because that's the next opening we have in our docket. Just so you know, the petitioner knows that that's when we're talking about.

And other -- since it's a case heard, we've got to be sure that all five members can be present on January 27, because this will be a case heard. I can be present. Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. [Brendan Sullivan]; I can be here.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde]; I will be present.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm checking my calendar right now if you give me one moment.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: While she's doing that, Wendy, can you be available on the twenty-seventh?

WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson]; yes, I can be available on the twenty-seventh.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, [Andrea Hickey]; I'm back and yes, I am available on that date.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So I will make a motion to continue this case until January 27. The Chair moves that we continue this case as a case heard until 6:00 p.m. on January 27, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the petitioner sign a waiver of time to decide the case -- in other words an extension of time for us to decide this case. That waiver is a standard waiver and a very simple document that the City of Cambridge uses, and everybody in the past has signed it without any problem. That's just to advise Counsel in particular, who may not be familiar with Cambridge zoning issues.

The case will be -- if that waiver of time for decision, which can be obtained from the Inspectional Services Department -- is not signed and returned by 5:00 p.m. on a week from Monday, this case will be dismissed. So with that in mind, make sure that that waiver for time for decision is signed before 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

Second, that the posting sign -- that there will
be a posting sign as there was for tonight's hearing -- be maintained for 14 days prior to the January hearing.

What -- you can get a new sign, or you can take the existing sign with a magic marker, change the time and date -- as long as the sign is otherwise readable and in good condition. But you don't have to get a -- necessarily a brand-new sign if that's an imposition.

And lastly, to the extent that there will be new or modified plans, specifications and other technical data, they must be in our files no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before the January hearing date. If that is not done, we will not hear the case in January.

> Brendan, how do you vote?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to the continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes to the
continuance.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to the
continuance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: and the Chair votes yes to the continuance as well.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This case is continued until the January date.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Thank you.
SAM KACHMAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: And I want to thank the Board
member for not quoting Bobrowski.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]. All right.
(9:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. The Chair will call the case I thought I was calling a moment ago, but you corrected me sir. 2 Frost Street, Unit 2 -- Case Number 149683. And you're aware, obviously, and I was about to say, we have a memo in our files from the Planning Board.

And the reason I'm bringing this up now is I'm trying to avoid making this a case -- if we have to continue it -- a case heard, and we have to make sure we've got all five people available.

But anyway, if I can dig it out of the files here -- yeah, here it is -- we have a memo from the Planning Board, which says,
"The Planning Board reviewed this BZA application during a meeting on November 9, 2021, and decided to the following comments to the BZA.
"After consideration of these requests, and after hearing testimony from the applicants representing and
architect, the Planning Board, both favorable to the proposed request for an addition to the existing structure, recommends the BZA continue the hearing to allow further design refinements of the roof of the proposed addition to complement the existing mansard roof."

So I, for one, would like to work with the Planning Board. If they ask that they need time for more discussion with the applicant, $I$ think it's a good idea. And I would recommend that you can agree to continue this case as a case not heard. I think the earliest date we would continue it to will be January 27.

SAM KACHMAR: Mr. Chair?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
SAM KACHMAR: We did go to the Planning Board hearing, and we did make some updates based upon the Planning Board's feedback and submitted those to the Zoning Board before this Monday. So I don't know if it makes sense to present or not, but we did make updates based upon their recommendations.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The memo we got from the Planning Board is dated January -- I'm sorry, November 12. SAM KACHMAR: Yeah, exactly. Yep.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
SAM KACHMAR: And so after that hearing, we then made updates to the drawings and the plans, based upon the recommendations, submitted them to the Zoning Board before this hearing on the -- before the Monday of 5:00 p.m. on this hearing -- and so you guys have in your files the updated drawings and the updated plans that we made in response to the Planning Board's recommendations.

I don't know if it makes sense to present tonight or not. I'm posing the question.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. And you believe that the Planning Board now accepts the revised plans, or do they still have an issue?

SAM KACHMAR: I can't speak to the Planning Board. They asked us to move in accordance, which we did, to align it with an existing one on the mansard roof. And we -- you know, heard those comments -- made some of those updates.

And then I don't know if we're supposed to go back to the Planning Board, or if we're supposed to be heard by the Zoning Board for making those -- you know, I've never -I've never had a project be heard by the Planning Board before and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, same here. And like
you, I don't know --
SAM KACHMAR: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All $I$ know is what we have
in our files.

SAM KACHMAR: Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And what we have in our files is a memo on November 12.

SAM KACHMAR: Yeah. Yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Seeking a continuance.
SAM KACHMAR: Right. I've been -- you know, I've
been presenting in front of this Board for 15 years, and I've never been in front of the Planning Board. And they seem like they're in an advisory capacity. I wasn't sure how to proceed to go back to them, or if we then present here once we made those changes. So we --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I --
SAM KACHMAR: -- made the updates.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- this is -- like you, I've been on the Board longer than you --

SAM KACHMAR: Sure.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- than you've been
practicing before the Board.
SAM KACHMAR: Certainly.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We never had a letter from the Planning Board on a residential structure.

SAM KACHMAR: Oh, really? First time?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Let me go -- I think we have no choice but to continue the case.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You could find out from the Planning Board, and you can ask the Planning Board to give us something in writing to update the memo that $I$ just read.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Mr. Chairman, if I would? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Attorney Christopher Alphen -- Blatman, Bobrowski \& Haverty -- you know, I have seen this happen in other municipalities where the Planning Board might offer their opinions about a project or about a plan.

But ultimately, it's up to the Zoning Board of Appeals to make sure that the project meets or does not meet the criteria of the zoning ordinance.

And to be frank, we understand the Planning

Board's comments, and if the Zoning Board after our presentation -- after opening the public hearing -- agrees with those comments, then, you know, we would be open to a continuance to have further discussions with the Planning Board.

But I think for the time being, we would like to present to the Zoning Board of Appeals to get your opinion about the project that we think is a great project that meets the intent and the purpose of the zoning ordinance.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I appreciate what you're saying, sir, but I don't want to do that. I want to know what the Planning Board's final thoughts are. And I think this Board wants to know before we make our decision.

You're asking us to basically make a decision or give you a read in advance of hearing the final word from the Planning Board. And that's not the way $I$ want to operate.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I respect what you're saying, sir, but $I$ do think we should wait until we have the Planning Board one way or another sign off on this project or any modifications that result from discussions with the

Planning Board.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey. If
I could ask a question?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Go ahead.
ANDREA HICKEY: Were the revised plans submitted or given to the Planning Board, or was it just assumed that if they're filed with zoning, if Planning wants to see them, they'll go see them?

SAM KACHMAR: I've never submitted plans to the Planning Board. So honestly, I just don't -- I wouldn't know where to submit them. We sent them to Maria Pacheco. If there's somewhere else we should have sent them, I apologize we didn't send them to them at that time.

ANDREA HICKEY: So we don't know that the Planning Board has seen the revised submission?

SAM KACHMAR: That's correct.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
SAM KACHMAR: We sent them to [connection
interference] and we sent them to Maria. If the Planning Board saw them, great; if they didn't, I don't know. I don't want to make any claims that we do or don't know that. ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I thank you for your
honest response there. And I acknowledge that the sort of order of things or the path may not be clear in this particular case.

But I have to say I agree with the Chair, and I think it would be premature for $u$ to hear this case in full without giving the Planning Board the opportunity to sort of give a second opinion on the revision.

So I would support a continuance, based on that.
SAM KACHMAR: We would ask that since we've already made revisions that the continuance be to the earliest possible public hearing, and that somehow the Planning Department communicate to the Planning Board that, you know, we have a -- we'll have a $Z B A$ (sic) hearing, and that they should act on that, or give an opinion about our revised plans prior to the next $Z B A$ hearing?

ANDREA HICKEY: Or say that they have no opinion or no response, if --

SAM KACHMAR: Sure.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- this is Andrea Hickey again. I'd be satisfied just to have an acknowledgment that they've received it. Many times, we don't get a response. So I don't think we necessarily need a substantive response.

From my perspective, I would just want to know that they've seen them.

SAM KACHMAR: Is there anyplace specific to send it to the Planning Board, or are the plans we submitted to Maria, should we assume that they will somehow get to the Planning Board? Just so that we can make sure we do our due diligence?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Yeah, I'll take care of it to make sure the Planning Board gets a copy.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know the answer to that question. Thank you, sir. I don't know the answer. But I think the problem -- the issue is you've got to file it directly with the Planning Board.

SAM KACHMAR: We'd be glad to give it a try.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We don't want to have -you have to get it, deliver it and give her the responsibility.

SAM KACHMAR: We will definitely search that out. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This is Brendan Sullivan. One other issue is in your dimensional form, that the existing condition is .45 , requested at 0.51 . The ordinance requires
0.05.

And Attorney Alphen's letter to us, paragraph 3, under, "Variance" it reads, "The property is located in the Residence B Zoning District pursuant to Section 5.31.

And the Table of Dimensional Requirements, the maximum ratio Floor Area -- FAR -- is 50 percent. The existing FAR of the dwelling is 45 percent. With the proposed renovation, the FAR for the dwelling will increase to 61 percent. That's --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's a clerical error in one of the other documents.

SAM KACHMAR: Yeah, the clerical error is with the attorney's memo.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SAM KACHMAR: It's 51 percent.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right, okay.
SAM KACHMAR: It's a de minimis request of 0.1
over the allowable FAR, or 0.01 , I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Duly noted.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And again, I would apologize for we have to continue this case, but we're all in the same boat. I mean, we have a request from the

Planning Board, and we have nothing more. So we have to find out.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: It's exciting to have something that's never been before.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: It's exciting to have
something that's never been before.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: I mean, it's frustrating and exciting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay. So we'll see you on
-- in January again. Thank you very much.
SAM KACHMAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(9:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Moving on to our last case on the agenda --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The previous matter was continued January what? Twenty-seventh?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Twenty-seventh. Twentyseventh, yeah. Both cases are 27. The Chair will now call Case Number 150580 -- 1815 Massachusetts Avenue. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

TIMOTHY TWARDOWSKI: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Good evening. My name is Tim Twardowski. I'm an attorney with Robinson and Cole in Boston representing the applicant, Verizon Wireless.

As indicated in the materials, this is an application to modify an existing wireless facility located at 1815 Massachusetts Avenue. This is the Lesley College building.

The Verizon facility was initially approved by
this Board in September of 2017. This proposal is submitted both as a modification to that special permit and as an Eligible Facilities Request under Section 6409 of the Spectrum Act, which this Board is certainly well aware of.

Also, we want to point out that Verizon Wireless is indeed licensed by the FCC as a wireless service provider in the area that includes the city of Cambridge.

If Staff could bring up the photo simulations that were submitted earlier this week, I'd like to go through them, as we normally do in these types of applications. Thank you. So skip ahead to Slide number 10? Thank you. So this is showing the existing view of the facility. You can see that there are actually three sets of antennas.

The Verizon Wireless antennas are the lowest of the three sets of antennas on this building. Above is $I$ believe are AT\&T and Sprint. This photograph is showing the existing conditions, and if we move to the next slide, this will show the proposed conditions. It's a little difficult to see on this particular screen.

But I will say that we did receive a copy of Staff comments from CDD last week, and we did attend a meeting
with the Planning Board on Tuesday of this week, and prior thereto in connection with or in response to the comments we received from CDD, we revised the photo sims to make sure that the proposed new antennas are colored in a solid red, brick color -- in a flat, matter color to match the background.

I think the existing antennas in the initial set of photo sims that we had filed with -- in connection with this application -- showed our continuing brick pattern. But in response to CDD comments, we have changed that too to the flat red color.

Next slide?
Again, you can see this is the existing conditions. The Verizon antennas are the lowest of three arrays on the tower.

Next slide?
And again, this is the proposed replacement antennas. I should clarify that in this case we have a four-sided tower structure. The antenna arrays are arranged in four arrays of three antennas each. This particular proposal would replace a total of eight antennas -- two antennas per sector -- and simply relocate one of the three
existing antennas on each of the four arrays.
I do want to point out that the alignment of the antennas -- they're kind of located and situated such that the tops of all of the antennas are in alignment.

You can also see this photograph shows a good view that -- actually not looking at the antennas that are facing the camera, but the two arrays that are on the sides of the buildings -- you can see that the Verizon antennas are installed using very low-profile mounts.

But they do maintain a very low profile within that recessed red area on the tower. And I think the difference between our antenna arrays and the other carriers' antenna arrays is obvious in looking at the difference in the protrusion of the other antennas, but not in ours.

Next slide?
And this is the last view facing northeast on Mass Ave -again showing the existing conditions.

Next slide?
And the proposed conditions -- again, replacing two antennas and moving one antenna, and then changing the color pattern to a solid red color to match the red
background of the existing building.
With that, I'll wrap things up. I know you all
have had a very long and busy evening. So I will stop our presentation here, and be willing to answer any questions that the Board may have.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Questions from members of the Board? Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan no questions. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey no, it was a very clear presentation. I have no questions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. Lesley? I mean, Wendy. I'm sorry. Wendy.

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I've done this before,
Wendy. I apologize again. And the Chair has no questions as well. So I'll now -- we have nothing in the files other than a memo from the Planning Board, which the petitioner's counsel has already addressed.

So I will open the matter up to public testimony.

Let me read the instructions.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll take a second to see if anyone is really interested in this to call in.
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: And no one is. Thank you very much. Okay. We'll close public testimony. There is nothing in our files other than a memo from the Planning Board, which, as I said, the petitioner's counsel has dealt with.

So I will make a motion -- it's long, as is always the case with telecom cases, and we can then take a vote up, down or whatever. The Chair moves that this Board make the following findings:

That the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met without a special permit being sought.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause
congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The changes that are involved here are modest in nature. They're far above the street level, and they're not very discernible to a pedestrian walking down the street.

That the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. Again, the nature of what is being proposed does not have any impact on adjacent uses.

No nuisance or hazard will be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And generally, what is being proposed will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

And lastly, the Board also finds that the modification of its existing telecommunication facility at the site proposed by the petitioner does not substantially change the physical dimensions of the existing wireless tower or base station at such facility within the meaning of

Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, also known as the Spectrum Act.

Based on these findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair, as well as those submitted by -- attached to a memo supplied by the Planning Board, which has been initialed by the Chair as well.

That the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to
its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

And five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects, the conditions imposed by this board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner with regard to the site in question.

Continuing, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate
the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time the federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law, or governmental regulation -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked or terminated.
c) That to the extent that a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing a) and b), the petitioner may apply through this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit pursuant to paragraphs a) and b) above.

Any such application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) And that within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner of the geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

Brendan, how do you vote?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes to granting the special
permit.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes to granting the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor of granting the special permit. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor of granting the special permit. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The Chair votes yes as well.

> [All vote YES]

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The special permit is
granted. Case dismissed.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, everyone.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you. That's it for
tonight.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight, all, stay well. ANDREA HICKEY: Goodnight, everyone.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yep. WENDY LEISERSON: Goodnight.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Goodnight.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Happy Thanksgiving.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Have a happy Thanksgiving.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. You too. Bye-bye.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Bye-bye.
[9:30 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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