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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the February 10, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Brendan Sullivan, $I$ am the Chair for this meeting. This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and it is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.
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All Board Members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it's time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you will also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings. Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board Members attendance and verify that all Members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde is present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Matina Williams?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On this one here, it's Mr.
Alexander, Mr. Sullivan, Andrea, Wendy and Jim Monteverde.
OLIVIA RATAY: Okay. Gus Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm present.

(6:06 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First case we will call tonight; a continued case, BZA No. 149721 -- 54 Park Avenue. Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening. Sarah Rhatigan from Trilogy Law, 12 Marshall Street in Boston. And I'm here representing the petitioners, Rothfuchs Development and it's William and David Rothfuchs, who've brought this petition to you this evening.

So thank you. This is a continued case. We were before this Board in November.

And just a quick reminder of what this case is about -- this is a property 54 Park Avenue. And it consists now of a two-family home that's in significant disrepair. And for reasons that we described in some detail at our last hearing, it requires a complete demolition. It's not going to be safe to rebuild or -- I'm sorry, to renovate the building.

The plans that you have before you are for a single-family, replacement two-family with a single-family home that will be slightly smaller in terms of the FAR, and more -- the site will be more conforming, although not entirely conforming, which is the reason for our petition today, for our continued request for some zoning relief.

After the last hearing, the central concerns that we came away with were largely brought by our neighbor, who lives to the right of the property, as you're looking at the property from the street.

The address there is -- I'm sorry, let's see we are 54 -- the address next door is 56-58 Park Ave to the right. And the concerns related to the request that we had to continue to have a driveway that's the existing driveway that runs up to the lot line with that neighbor, with no setback, as will be required with zoning.

After the concerns that were raised at that hearing and discussion and suggestions from the Board, the Rothfuchs went back to the sort of drawing table in terms of looking at the site and what the options were, and went through a careful process of speaking to their neighbors on both sides -- so both the neighbors to the right as well as
the neighbors to the left of the property -- and were able to reach an agreement with both sides to accommodate the concerns of the neighbor on the right in terms of a fivefoot setback for the driveway and for the garage, and to get the -- essentially the assent and permission of the neighbor to the left to rebuild the structure in the existing location, which is within the left side setback.

Originally, we had proposed to shift the new structure over to provide a full, seven-and-a-half-foot side yard on that side.

But with the limited width that we were dealing with and trying to provide a setback on the right, it was, you know, realized that we could -- if we maintained the house in its existing location, that we could kind of accommodate both interests.

I'm not sure who's running the slide deck, but if somebody could help me by loading up the slides -- Olivia, thanks -- if you could start, $I$ know this file is kind of full of documents here, what $I$ am looking for is the site plan. Because most of the interest -- yeah, that's perfect. So let's see, is this the proposed? Nope. This is from the original application. So we want the revised
information that was recently filed. Yeah. Thank you. Number 2 should be it.

Okay, so this -- so if you don't mind, go back to the first -- go back to Number 2. Great. So this is the existing site plan.

And just, again, a reminder of the site conditions
here. The existing driveway is right up to the property line on the right, which is on the bottom of the page right there, thank you.

There's a garage, you know, preexisting,
nonconforming garage that's right up to the property line on the right, and this site plan is showing a very small encroachment on the back, which is actually city-owned property. It's the bike path.

And as you can see, the structure -- the existing structure is 3.4 feet from the left setback.

Next page, please?
So after the work that we were describing of, you know, just talking with the neighbors and trying to come up with a resolution to the -- sort of the narrow geometry we were dealing with, this is the proposed new site plan.

So we have a full 10-foot-wide driveway that's
being proposed, and with the full, five-foot setback on the right boundary line, providing the setback that was requested by our neighbor to the right.

And in fact, what we've done with the garage is we've reduced the size of the garage to pull it five feet off the boundary line with our right neighbor, and also, to remove the encroachment on the City property, but to provide a functioning garage.

And then, as I described, the proposal is to keep or to build the new structure in the same footprint as the current -- as the current structure with just, like, a few inches -- it's a few inches narrower than the existing structure.

But it's still within -- so it's keeping the same, it's maintaining the same setback violation on the left side, the 3.4.

If you could advance to slide number 5 as well?
Okay, thank you.
The other element of relief that we needed to request, or that we are requesting of you, is the driveway will be located a little closer to the structure, to our structure, and to the extent that the ordinance requires
that parking not occur within five feet of a structure, of a single-family structure.

There are a few -- I'm sorry, that contains windows into habitable space. There are a few windows on the elevation that's shown on the right elevation, which is right where Olivia has the cursor. There are a few windows that could potentially be impacted a bit by this rule.

I say, "a bit" because if you think of -- we'll go back to the site plan so you can see this, but with the width of the driveway and then the width of the distance between the driveway and the structure, it looks like we might see a car parked within about four feet of the windows that are located at the back of the house, so to the right side.

There's a basement window or two basement windows impacted and some skinny, vertical windows that are in a family room that might be impacted by this rule. And we've requested relief from that provision as well.

So this is just a reminder in terms of zoning relief that we're requesting. This is both a variance as well as a special permit. And, you know, we'd be happy to answer questions.

And then $I$ know we spent quite a bit of time last time talking about the basis for the hardship, and we hope that with -- especially with the letters that were submitted showing that our next-door neighbors are in support of our new plan, we're hoping that the Board will be supportive of both the variance and the special permit being requested.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sarah, if you could pull up the site plan -- maybe it's the landscaping plan -- that would be the new one.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure. So we did not actually -let's see, which is this. Oh, the plan that you have up on the page is actually an attachment to the neighbor's letter. Happy to look at that. But we did not actually have a revised version of the colorful, hand drawn landscape plan prepared. Our apologies.

But we do have the information from the site plan to refer to, and we can also -- this, I believe, is also a sketch that was provided to the neighbor and was sort of signed on to, which we can look to for reference as well. But this doesn't have -- you know, it's not overlaid with the survey.

And then of course here's the survey.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The area between the driveway and the next-door neighbor's house is going to be landscaped?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes? And --

SARAH RHATIGAN:
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- grade at that point? At one point $I$ think they were talking about putting a six- or eight-foot fence in there, which we sort of cut it at. But I guess what would be the agreement be for the grade from your driveway to the adjoining say walkway from the other neighbor's house?

I know it's going to be landscaped with bushes and what have you, but are you not anticipating a curb? A high curb or a fence along that area there? Just to get it off --

SARAH RHATIGAN: I can ask my clients to weigh in on that, but $I$ don't recall that there was a -- you know, a firm plan on landscaping for that five-foot buffer. But they will certainly be communicating with their neighbor to the right on any details of that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And flipping to the left side
of the house, we notice that you have concrete retaining wall along the next-door neighbor to the left. And how high is that retaining wall?

SARAH RHATIGAN: I am going to ask Billy. Billy, could you answer that question? Billy Rothfuchs is available. And there was an agreement with the neighbor to the left as to the requirement of, you know, rebuilding that and improving that concrete retaining wall significantly.

BILL ROTHFUCHS: Yes. Can you hear me? This is Bill Rothfuchs.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
BILL ROTHFUCHS: That retaining wall starts on the left side. It's approximately one foot tall at the beginning on the street side, and then goes down and slightly raises to about two and a half feet to the end of the house.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
BILL ROTHFUCHS: Because the grade changes from -the grade on that side starts from 0 to about three feet down.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So I have a better understanding on that. That's the only question that I
have. Let me open it up to the Board. Mr. Alexander, any questions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have one question. It's basically, well, unless we rescreen.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Could I -- I'm sorry to interrupt you, but $I$ don't know if others are having trouble, but the sound is -- from your microphone is not very good.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Is that any better?
COLLECTIVE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, much better.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: All right.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My only question is, the revised plans that you're seeking approval of tonight, are they -- is the zoning relief that's going to be needed for that sufficiently covered by your advertisement? I couldn't follow all that. We only have a summary description of the original application.

So did a special permit with regard to the driveway, and the -- is that sufficiently covered by your
plans?
SARAH RHATIGAN: It is. And I will say that after submitting the revisions to the Commissioner in advance, we carefully went over the publication the way the description of the project was described, and each of the sections that are referenced in the application, to make sure that Mr . Singanayagam agreed that the advertising for the application was accurate and was complete.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: You're welcome.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey any questions?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. If I could just ask Counsel, can you share the screen, Counsel? I wanted to know if you could point to where the windows are on the side where the driveway is?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Oh, sure.
ANDREA HICKEY: The windows that will be four feet approximately from the parking area?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, sure. Olivia's helping me out here. She's reading my mind. So right where her cursor is --

ANDREA HICKEY: Uh-huh.

SARAH RHATIGAN: That lower there, that square window?

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: That is a window to a basement space that's for an office. And that window would be impacted by -- again, so this is -- if someone were to park in the driveway and they were to park, you know, on the left side of the driveway, essentially, then they could come within approximately four feet, as opposed to the full five feet width.

And then the double, the sort of the high windows -- that window right there, yep -- that's a high window that's for, you know, for light down to the basement. But not a -- I don't believe that's an operable window. And then the two vertical -- skinny, vertical windows that are on the first floor there --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- those are windows that provide light to a sitting room that's at the rear of the house. And those windows might be impacted. And that's if a car were parking on that portion of the driveway.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So of those four
window, the two skinny ones and then the two that are sort of in the lower part of the structure, which ones open and which ones are inoperable -- in -- you know, fixed?

SARAH RHATIGAN: So the high -- the basement level left window, the double window, that one my understanding is that's inoperable. And then Billy, you're going to shout if I'm getting this wrong: The one to the right, the intention was to have that --

BILL ROTHFUCHS: That's an egress window.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- that's an egress window?
BILL ROTHFUCHS: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So it has to be -that one has to be operable? Yep.

SARAH RHATIGAN: That one has to be operable, yeah, exactly.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. My only concern when there's parking too close to an operable window is sort of exhaust and the health dangerous associated with that. But we don't have that issue with the smaller window.

But if that larger on the at the lower level has to be operable, then I just would -- I need to live with that.

Are the two tall, skinny windows also operable? SARAH RHATIGAN: That was the plan, was to have them operable. Yes.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. I have no further
questions. I just wanted a better understanding of that.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you, Counsel.
ANDREA HICKEY: You're welcome.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If there was an exemption for one- and two-families, they'd be able to park within five feet of the principal residence, but I can't put my hands on it at the moment.

Wendy, any questions at all?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson no questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, any questions? JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde no questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open this to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and
you will have up to three minutes to speak.
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence in receipt of correspondence -- a letter of support from Joan Welch, Andrew Jones of 48-50 Park Avenue, referencing David and William Rothfuchs Development. There's a letter of support from Joan Welch, Anne Welch, Andrew Jones. They're in favor of the project.

There is also correspondence from Mr. Chris Tolles, who lives at 58-56 Park Avenue, and he would like to show, of course, his support for the new plan. That is the sum and substance of correspondence. I will close the public comment part.

Sarah, any parting words?
SARAH RHATIGAN: No. Thank you, though.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The -- for you on the Board, Mr. Alexander, what is your -- I'm in favor of granting relief.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, I'm in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Assuming there is no other agency
or Board that needs to review this, you know, Historical Conservation, any Neighborhood District, then if there's no comment or no jurisdiction there, then I'm going to be in favor of it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The building is more than 50 years old, so I think that the Historical will have to chime in on it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: If I may, Mr. Chairman, the Historical Commission signed off administratively on the request for demolition of the structure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And that signoff, I believe, is deep in the application file with the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sure it's here somewhere, so that's fine.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But, so with that out of the way, let me make the motion. This will be on the variance. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as
per the new submittals dated January 7, 2022, initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that upon presentation and documents submitted, that the existing preexisting, nonconforming two-family dwelling is structurally compromised, due to soil conditions and settlement.

The Board is referencing the Geological Engineers' Report from Miller Engineering and Testing submitted with the application that sets forth findings from subsurface investigations and significant structural deficiencies of the existing structure.

The Board finds that the cost of rectifying the existing structural conditions would be excessive, and quite onerous for a house of this age.

The Board finds that the hardship criteria has been met for met for the above reasons. The Board finds that the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land, especially affecting the land and structures, but not
generally the zoning district or soil conditions as outlined in detail.

The geotechnical report of Miller includes layers of fill and organic material -- peat. They have caused the dwelling to settle substantially over time, resulting in large cracks throughout the basement walls and slabs, rendering the residential structure unsafe.

The Board finds that the lot size and the dimensions are also quite constrained, and that the structure and the location is encumbered by the existing ordinance -- existing structure was built through the enactment of the existing ordinance.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

The Board finds that the construction of a new replacement single-family dwelling, which is also more dimensionally conforming to zoning than the existing structure, it reduced FAR and increases the yard area, is an improvement and a substantial benefit to the public and to the neighborhood.

Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or
substantially derogating from the intent and the purpose of the ordinance.

The Board finds that the rehabilitation of an existing structure, which is seriously compromised, will benefit the adjoining properties and the neighborhood and city at large by providing quality housing for the occupants.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, I vote in favor of the variance request.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Affirmative vote of five Members of the Board: The variance is granted.

The special permit, Sarah would you just run (sic) that again now, that the redesign plan basically puts the driveway closer to the building? What else is the violation?

SARAH RHATIGAN: The -- that is the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Special permit?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep, it is. Yes. It's just the driveway, the parking within five feet of building wall with windows.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit. The Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance can be met, that the preexisting, nonconforming driveway is located in an area that was less than one foot from the right lot line.

The new plan improves the location of that, pulling it further away from the adjoining property. However, in doing so, it creates a condition where it is closest to the new structure.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood
character.

The Board also finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board also notes that the new plan and the landscaping between the properties on either side is a benefit to the adjoining properties, and a benefit to the streetscape and the neighborhood at large for aesthetic reasons, and also for safety reasons between the two adjoining properties.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, or derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

On the motion to grant the special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We have to make the motion subject to compliance with the plans.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Did they do that with the variance that they get? I don't think we did.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Initialed by the Chair.

Yes, so anyhow, thank you very much. And that the work comply with the revised schedule, dimensional schedule -also the narrative and the plans dated January 27, 2022 and initialed by the Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: As initialed by the Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right, which the Chair will
initial. Right. On the vote on the special permit?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey yes in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy on the special permit?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jim on the special permit?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde yes in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sullivan in favor of granting the special permit.
[All vote YES]
On the vote of five affirmative Members, the special permit is granted. Thank you, Sarah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you very much.
(6:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case The Board will
hear is No. 151499 -- \#152 Pearl Street. Mr. Glassman? Or whoever's presenting it? Mr. Boyd?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Hello. Did $I$ miss the introduction there?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh, sorry. Okay. So this is Adam Glassman with GCD Architects representing Paul Boyd and Justin Chen, who reside at 152 Pearl Street. We're here petitioning relief in the form of a variance for a new or reconstructed and expanded roof deck.

Whoever is -- is Olivia doing the slideshow tonight?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
ADAM GLASSMAN: This first slide is the site plan, and you can see the red envelope, the red dashed line in the
middle plan. That is our setback envelope.
Due to the small size of the lot and the large size
of the building, this is an existing, nonconforming lot.
And there is no way to utilize the rights to their roof deck
without zoning relief from the Board.
On the left side, where you see on the right side where it says, "proposed roof deck" that's actually an existing roof deck area. We don't believe it was ever permitted. It was there when they bought their unit, and their unit has the full roof rights in the back portion of the building.

So it's an area they've been using. They've been using it quietly and considerately. But it is in disrepair and needs upgrades.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Adam, can we -- can anybody determine when that deck was created?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, Justin, how long have you lived there?

JUSTIN CHEN: We've been here for three years. And I think it's been in sort of property listings for up to 20 years? Yeah. The previous owner had it, and the owner before that had it. So it's changed hands at least twice.

## BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In its current form?

JUSTIN CHEN: Correct.
PAUL BOYD: That's correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: A.bsent --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. Next slide, please?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I guess the reason why I bring that up is that if an item -- this is a different item -which is in violation of the zoning, i.e., the stair, has been in existence for more than 10 years, then under the amended state regulation, it self-corrects. So that it in fact -- even though it was never officially sanctioned, can be allowed.

And the proper word is, "grandfathered in" but it can be allowed without having to receive the zoning approval, because it self-corrects. So that, in effect, what we're being asked for tonight, even though it's an amended deck, is a railing, which goes around it.

So anyhow, that being said, I know you have a full presentation, Adam, so you can continue.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. Well, thank you for that, Brendan. I guess if we're looking at the next slide, the area where it says, "proposed deck to the right of the
stair" that's the existing utilized space. To the left of the stair, where it says, "proposed deck and planters that would be an extension. That area is not currently used as the side on the right. So I know they would like to expand the existing deck somewhat to utilize the roof space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the deck -- as we're facing it, the deck on the left side of the stairs is in existence, and the proposed deck is not. And it's an extension of --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, the side on the left of the stair would be new. Where you see the planters, that area would be new.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's new?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So the deck on the right is existing?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Is existing, that's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I know there's a deck up there, but $I$ just saw it. So I just want to get reoriented here. So okay. All right.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Next?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Continue.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. So where the new extension
is "eight ten" off the stair, that's the size that our left side abutter agreed to when we reviewed the plans with him and had extensive back and forth.

So that's -- given that he's the only one really
impacted by this work, you know, we believe that was a reasonable accommodation to him.

Next slide, please?
Photos of the existing space. You can see beyond the furniture built into planters, which were rotting, and this rope guardrail, which recently had to be removed as it was falling down. So safety up here became a big concern. And that's one of the main reasons for the reconstruction.

Next slide, please?
Neither the existing deck nor the proposed extension is visible in any meaningful way from this section of Pearl Street, either from the left of the building, the front of the building or the right of the building.

Next slide?
Views from the deck show you how far away it is from the right and the rear abutters.

And the photo below on the left, existing property views from Valentine Street, show you how minimal the view
is, where it is most exposed to Valentine Street.
Next slide?
Again, comparisons of the existing conditions, which you see on the left, and the proposed conditions on the right. And we're actually proposing to reduce the size of the existing deck.

Currently, the planters, the railings, all went out extending eve beyond the face of the building. We're proposing, as you can see on the right side, to pull it back to align with the exterior walls, which reduces its visibility even more.

Next slide?
Paul and Justin have a private connection to the roof deck. It is not in any way accessible to the rest of the building.

Next slide?
Enlarged version of our proposal -- a deck 8' 10" on the left, 16' $3^{\prime \prime}$ on the right. Part of the variance relief is due to an FAR increase that is minimal, one-tenth of one percent, going from a 2.13 to a 2.23 .

We are adding useable open space -- something that the lot has none of for any of its occupants at this time.

And although it's not a common roof deck, at least these owners will have some outdoor space, which they badly need, as everyone knows working from home during a pandemic to at-home professionals make something like this a necessity to keep sane on the third floor.

Next slide, please?
Elevations, just to compare the railing system that was there recently removed. The railing system that we're proposing very little difference from this view.

And actually, from this street view, it's important to note in a 2-D, you can see the railings when who present it in a two-dimensional elevation. But in reality, at the street level you cannot see either the existing railings or the proposed.

Next slide, please?
Another comparison. Views from the rear -- also very hard to see anywhere from the street. We'd be correcting and improving the existing conditions on the left with something more stable, more suitable for the context of the neighborhood -- something a little bit tidier.

Next slide?
More elevations showing the same. Our existing

```
conditions on the left with the rope guardrail, the
planters, and on the right just a simple, traditional
guardrail.
```

    Next slide, please?
    On the left, existing conditions. On the right,
    the addition of a guardrail.
    Next slide, please?
    More views from the street to show you how low
    impact this proposal is. Here, you know, again even looking
between the homes on the left and their building on the
right, you can see none of this.
Next slide, please?
More views. Nothing to see, as far as the new work
goes.
Next view?
On the corner of Pearl and Valentine, you can see
the existing or recently existing planters and guardrail.
Next slide?
And again, the view from Valentine Street of what
is there now or was recently there.
Next slide?
For comparison -- again, the view from Valentine

Street -- the guardrails that were there and the guardrails that we're proposing, with planters behind them.

Next slide, please?
I think that's it. Oh, our corrected FAR form, or our corrected dimensional sheet, I don't think we have to go through it. We're not changing the building height. Our FAR goes up very minimally -- no change to parking conditions. No increase in the units.

And I believe that is it. I'll let Paul and Justin say a little bit about themselves, and then we'll open it up to questions.

PAUL BOYD: Thanks so much, Adam. So my name is Paul Boyd, and this is Justin.

We bought the house -- the unit -- about three years ago, and at the time outdoor space was super important to us. And that was certainly before COVID, and that changed our working from home and, you know, being able to access outside is certainly a huge help.

We've been using the space -- you know, our neighbors are completely unaware when we're up there. It's very sort of quiet usage -- you know, just sort of enjoying the sunsets type of thing.

And in fact, the unit owners, or the other unit owners in the buildings were the ones who sort of suggested we explore properly building out that space to increase the safety and sort of robustness of that space.

In fact, we've struggled to find master insurance that wasn't exorbitantly expensive. So the railings we feel are good for the building, as much as it is for us.

And as Adam I think very nicely said, there's just no other outdoor space around the building. And so this is our -- sort of our opportunity to get there.

And, you know, we were married during COVID. And we're starting to build a family here. And so we really are looking for some safety around the home. We're certainly -you know, well into our careers and not in any way expecting to throw any parties up there.

So, you know, thanks, you know, very much for listening to our petition.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Let me ask the Board. Mr. Alexander, any questions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes. I find this case very troubling. We are talking about effectively elevating the size of the building to 38 or 39 feet, and our zoning
law says 35 -- no more than 35.
If -- but there is, as Brendan has quoted, there is an inspection in the state law saying with something has been built in violation of the zoning bylaw -- and I'm paraphrasing -- and it's been there for at least 10 years without any objection from the authorities, that it's -grandfathered, it's okay now.

That's not necessarily true of what is being before us tonight. You're talking about a fencing that's much more visible than the fencing that currently exists. You're talking about adding planters to the top of the building.

I'm having a lot of trouble finding that we should grant the relief being sought for these reasons, because I don't think you otherwise meet the requirements for a variance, the standard, three-part definition. So I'm troubled. I'm not sure I'm going to vote in favor of this.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Could I address the Chair's concerns?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
ADAM GLASSMAN: We're not actually raising the building height. It's the rooflines of the front porch and
the building are well above the deck and the railings. That's not changing. The existing stair in the back is not changing; that's always been there and that's taller than the location of the deck or the railings.

The railings we are putting in would be recessed back from the edge of the roof, and would therefore be less visible from the street from the Valentine view than the existing rope railings. The planters we're proposing are behind the railing.

Again, there have been existing planters there now, and they really don't -- they'd be invisible to the public. So I -- we're not really creating new building heights or new, you know, anything new to see from the street that doesn't already exist. In fact, what we're proposing is an improvement.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If I can continue. It's not a question of an -- well, $I$ know it's an improvement from a safety point of view. The fact of the matter is that from a visual point of view, that building is going to get higher with the fencing that it proposed. And that is the problem.

ADAM GLASSMAN: But how is that -- I just don't
see how that's true, where we're replacing --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have the plans that you submitted. I've got them -- if you look at the drawings, particularly in comparison --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Can we go to the rendering that -the last slide? So on the left, you can see the tall guardrails, and you can see the planters.

What we're proposing is no taller than what's there now. And because it's recessed back from the edge of the roof, it'll appear shorter than the existing guardrails.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But even if it shorter in appearance, it's still too tall for purposes of our ordinance. That's the problem. And if you were just doing the same thing you had before, I would have no problem granting relief.

That's not what you're doing -- for good reason, I'm not being critical -- but I'm in trouble. I'm going to ruminate here with my other Board Members on how I'm going to vote. And I can't say right this moment I'm in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, any comments?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I share Gus's concerns.
And I'm at the moment not on board with what's being
requested. If this was coming to us in it first instance, and if there was not a deck up there already, personally there's no way $I$ would approve this in its first instance.

I think from a safety perspective, I agree 100 percent that what is there now is troubling.

But I think the visual of what you're adding in terms of something that would provide a safety rail, it really sort of changes the view. And in my opinion, it does make the building taller than what it is now.

Could I ask Mr. Glassman to remind me why this case was continued?

ADAM GLASSMAN: This case was continued because our left-side abutter had concerns about his sense of privacy, and possibly shadows. So we -- he suggested to us a size that he felt he could support, and that's the size of the deck on the left we are proposing. And --

ANDREA HICKEY: And was that Mr. Ellsworth?
ADAM GLASSMAN: That's Mr. Ellsworth, right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: But I would like to --
ANDREA HICKEY: So --

ADAM GLASSMAN: -- say one thing about -- I mean,
the aesthetics we can definitely work on. We could put in a -- something that almost disappears up in the skies -something like the steel cable rail system, and not have the planters, and then there would be very little to see from Valentine Street.

ANDREA HICKEY: Can you step it back?
ADAM GLASSMAN: We can step it back more, sure.
ANDREA HICKEY: I'd have an easier time if you
could. So is Mr. Ellsworth, I know -- I don't know whether he's on the call, but in your negotiations, I guess, with him, this is a -- what you're proposing tonight is something that he said he could live with?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes. And in our -- there should be in the packet a letter which he e-mailed to us -ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm.

ADAM GLASSMAN: His support is highlighted in red, and it's also attached -- should be in that e-mail -- what his suggestion was for us.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: And the one that he really cared about was the left side, and bringing it to "eight ten" off the stair was his suggestion.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Now, in your original submission, you did have an approval of the condo association. Do you have an updated approval because you're concept now has changed?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
ADAM GLASSMAN: We have voted within the HOA, and it has been approved, according to HOA policy.

ANDREA HICKEY: And is that in our file? It's required to be in a written format. I didn't see it. All I saw was the original approval, which doesn't really apply.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh. We can certainly get a new one. We assumed that because it was a smaller roof deck, we wouldn't need to resupply it. But we could certainly do that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. It's a change, so in my opinion --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- and I'll also defer to my fellow Board Members. But if you're proposing something different, $I$ think that an approval of that difference is necessary to document our file.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. And I'll ask -- I just have one final question, Mr. Glassman. In Mr. Ellsworth's original objection to the first submission, he raised the issue of including the square -- the area for the stairs in your calculations.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes, we --
ANDREA HICKEY: Could we just --
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- we resubmitted and revised, and
his -- so the FAR is included in the calculation, which is on I believe Slide 8.

And in addition to that, to address his concerns, we included a condition in response to him, which says that neither the footprint of the deck proposed here or the design of the railings -- the height of the railings -could change without going back to the BZA, that we would not be enclosing space, that what we're presenting is what would remain unless we came back to the Zoning Board, or a future owner came back to the Zoning Board.

ANDREA HICKEY: Great. I think it's important to have that in our record tonight. And so this rendering, A1. 3 "Proposed deck 281 square feet" that area, again, does include the stairs?

ADAM GLASSMAN: The stairs is included in the GFA of the entire building.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: And the deck is what is
additional.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. So the proposed deck, then, is 281. And what was the area, if you would just remind me of the deck as it exists now?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Let me see. I can tell you that in a second, if -- give me a moment.
[Pause]
While I'm pulling this up, does anyone else want to comment, and I'll definitely answer this question in a moment?
[Pause]
No?
ADAM GLASSMAN: I'm sorry, while I'm pulling this up -- I don't have it handy, but I will in a moment -- does the next Board Member want to pick up, or --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do you want to --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Just give -- I just need another
minute here. But if you -- I'm just having trouble opening
this file.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on a second --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh, here we go.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I can do it quicker.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah, hold on. I have it here.
So the existing roof deck -- okay. The existing roof deck, because it goes to the extent of the roof eave, we're actually reducing that.

All right. The existing roof deck is 233 square feet.

ANDREA HICKEY: And you're asking now for 281?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: I have a problem with that. I
will sort of yield to the next Board Member and see if something might come out that will make me feel differently. That's all I have at the moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. We'll come back to you, Andrea.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions?
WENDY LEISERSON: I have no other questions than those that have been raised by my other Board Members.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Wendy. Jim, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: My only concern, which I share with Gus's comments, is about the character of the rail itself and how it kind of imposes on the, or gives the appearance of being so much taller, at least in the rendered view.

The assembly on the -- or configuration on the right-hand side that's there I take no exception to. It's pretty much what was there before. On the left, the extension -- you know, that to me is a question as the -- is it -- why?

But my greatest is just the character of the rail itself. That's all. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. Let me open it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to speak.

OLIVIA RATAY: Campbell Ellsworth?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Campbell?
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Good evening. I'm happy to -

- well, yes. I wish I had my 11-year-old who could help me with this technology. But $I$ was going to put -- I was going to get a video on, I'm not sure how to do that, but here I am.

Yes, I'm Campbell Ellsworth. I am building -- I own and build, I'm building and will live at the house just to the left of the structure at 146-148 Pearl Street. I'm sure that you've seen my letter that I wrote to the Board December 13 with my concerns. I'll go through a couple of those.

And yes, I do want to articulate that on -- as is in the petitioner's package on December 14 , I did write to them and I said that if they were willing to basically pull that deck back to about half the proposed size that I would be okay with that.

That structure, that deck the way it's proposed, that's a nonconforming setback on that property line by about -- you know, to about five and a half feet. I'm just another seven and a half feet conforming beyond that, and

I've got children's bedrooms and all.
And I discussed that with Paul. Paul has seen my house, and I've seen -- you know, obviously lived there and worked. And so their -- their willingness to pull that back is terrific. And I can support this.

I did want to articulate, as is written in that December 13 letter, that $I$ do have other concerns. I think that they have been somewhat articulated by the Board.

I can't -- the interpretation of the weather [1:00:31 audio unclear] has been in existence for more than 10 years. It's a -- it's worthy of discussion, to be sure.

My concern is that effectively what's happening here is that new habitable square footage and construction is occurring, as Mr. Alexander said, above the height limit of the zone.

As an architect, and as a frequent visitor to the Board of Zoning Appeals representing clients, my concern would be that this might open up a lot of people wanting to build above their allowable height.

Now, that having been said, I support the petitioners in creating usable space. COVID, et cetera, has made us all absolutely nuts. And having a safe --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 30 seconds. 30 seconds.
CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: Okay. Having safe refuge is a great place to do it. I would just -- and I hear -- I hear some objection, and I don't want to interpret what the Board is saying, but there might be a solution to -- again -- scale this back, and to allow them to have what they want, without shooting the whole thing down.

But I support it, but I have those concerns. And they have met my general concern about pulling it back. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great.

CAMPBELL ELLSWORTH: And I thank them very much. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Thank you, Campbell.

The Board is in receipt of correspondence from Carol Aplin on 52 Pearl Street, Unit 3.
"I am the owner of 152 Pearl Street, one of the three owner-occupied units in our building. Paul Boyd and Justin Chen have reviewed with me their plans for a proposed rear fourth-story roof deck, and I've also had the opportunity to read the letter of support provided by Andrew Robertson.
"I wish to add my full support to the plans and my
voice in urging the BZA to grant Paul and Justin the zoning relief to make the roof space to which they have exclusive easement, a safe and livable amenity.
"This work is needed to put in place correctly safety measures, in particular guardrails and property -properly graded decking to prevent falls and to prevent the vulnerable roof membrane."

There is also correspondence from Mr. Andrew Robertson. He's the owner of 152 Pearl Unit 2, one of the three owners.
"We approve these plans, and urge the BZA to grant Justin and Paul the zoning relief they need to correct the existing structural and life-safety efficiencies, which are required to make their current rooftop space a safe amenity."

And he mentions about there is an issue, obviously, with getting insurance in the building. And the proposed work he finds as tasteful, modest and reasonable solution to the existing roof, life safety and structural issues facing the building.

And that is the sum and substance of the correspondence.

And also, a letter and some detailed information from Mr. Ellsworth, which he elaborated on.

I will close public comment.
OLIVIA RATAY: There's someone else.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, sorry.
OLIVIA RATAY: There's one more.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's another call in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Michael Brandon.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Michael, up to three minutes.
MICHAEL BRANDON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's Michael Brandon, 27 Seven Pines Avenue. I had a few quick comments, having seen this presentation and discussion.

One is on the issue of this 10-year period, after which the nonconformity is apparently -- becomes legal, it would be helpful if the Board could cite the specific statute that's being referenced.

I'm aware, I believe, that a building permit cannot be challenged after 10 years, and I don't know if that's the provision or perhaps something else.

I agree with the Board Members' comments about the obtrusiveness of the guardrail that's proposed. I thought maybe if it were white, rather than black, it would be less
obtrusive.

And I believe the architect suggested that there are different systems -- you know, steel wire system -- that might be more acceptable to the Board. And it does sound as though this is going to -- the case is going to be continued and come back.

Regarding the planters, $I$ just had a question as far as the weight of those, and what impacts that might have on the rooftop. Also, I wonder if the planters were continuous, if they might serve as -- essentially as a guardrail, depending on how high they were.

And I think the only other comment I would have is that I would -- if the Board does grant this relief, then include a condition that there be no grilling or barbecuing or fire pits on this rooftop, for safety reasons.

Thank you very much for considering my comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you very much, Michael.
OLIVIA RATAY: Dianne Haas?
DIANNE HAAS: Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
DIANNE HAAS: Okay. I'm -- I own Unit \#3 in the building. I do not live there. I was the original
developer of the building in 2004. And I'm not opposing the roof deck -- I want you to know that, but I do need to clear up something.

When I developed the building, I was -- I did check with neighbors. I did not apply for a variance, and I made sure that that roof deck was not a real roof deck. I did allow -- well, not me but the first buyer asked if they could put some planters up there.

And as the condo association allowed her to do that, what $I$ think was shown as a guardrail was not attached to the roof in any way. It was attached to the planters. So she was allowed to plant. She wasn't supposed to have any furniture up there.

I don't recall know what was going on, but $I$ just wanted the Board to know that it was not a true roof deck. We allowed her to do a roof garden, to garden up there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dianne.
DIANNE HAAS: Again, I'm not -- you know, I'm not saying I'm opposed, I just want -- I wanted to clear that up. And it was in 2004 that I developed it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Phone number ending in 8311.

HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hi. Heather Hoffman, 213 Hurley
Street. Since Michael Brandon raised the question about whether the Board was properly citing the law, I wanted to say that that is my understanding: If you build something with a building permit, after six years whatever you built is okay. And if you build without one, after 10 years then for zoning purposes, if not for any other purposes, it's also okay.

And now you have a question as to when it might possibly become actually a used deck. And I hope you get an appropriate answer to it. Thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in, Heather. And that is the sum and substance of anybody calling in. So I will close the public comment part of this.

Adam?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure. First of all, just so no one gets the wrong idea, we were never coming here saying that this was a previously permitted deck. That is not at all what we're saying.

And I appreciate you, Brendan, bringing that point to us as, you know, as information. Our assumption was that
we needed relief to do everything here. It sounds like we probably still do. So I think we were correct there.

I think we'd like to ask for a continuance. And I'd also like a little bit more direction from the Board. I think we'd be happy to do with the steel cable railing system.

I can't tell if the Board is pro-planter or antiplanter. I think your suggestion would be helpful there. If we could go with a more transparent-style railing, then whatever behind it is more visible. That was part of the reason for our design was to create something that from the street the view really wouldn't change -- the railing, the planters, you would never really see what was behind that.

But we can definitely go to a more transparentstyle railing, and we can do fewer planters. We can keep the planters we have; whatever you think is appropriate.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. I have not opined, listening to my other Board Members. And I value their input and their intellect greater than mine.

On this particular proposal, $I$ am in favor of it in the fact that it is an existing deck that's been in use for a number of years, and that what is proposed is an
improvement to an existing condition.
That it is being pulled in a little bit on the sides to align with the edge of the building -- before it was sort of hanging out almost to the gutter line -- and I think in deference to Mr. Ellsworth's concern that the petitioner has pulled it back considerably to respect his wishes, his privacy, and has now given his support with conditions, $I$ think, that it can be potentially improved upon.

Listening to my fellow Board Members, I hear them, and I respect their opinion, and probably right on point that maybe it needs to be redone. I think, Andrea, you had mentioned that if this was a de novo, it would be a nonstarter from the beginning, and that would be my viewpoint also.

And so I would not support it if it was coming down before us as a new beginning. But it is existing. The petitioners have used it. There have not been any complaints, outcry from adjoining properties over these last many years, and that the presentation shows that it is their only outdoor space.

And I think, you know, however those condo deeds
are set up, that this is an amenity I think that is exclusive to the third-floor unit. And I don't see any adverse effect of it. Can it be improved? Potentially. So that's my thought on this.

So going back to Mr. Glassman's suggestion of continuing the matter, let me poll the Board again. Mr. Alexander, your thought on how it can be improved, or your -

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm always reluctant to help design. We're not here to design. We're asked about a design being presented to us by the homeowner or the property owner and his architect and counsel, if he has one. So I think there were a lot of comments made, and I think Mr. Glassman, you have a sense of what the issues are. And so I would not propose to say anything more.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea, your thoughts to possibly nudge the petitioner to a better design, or --

ANDREA HICKEY: Well --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- as possible?
ANDREA HICKEY: -- right. After hearing from Ms.
Haas, the original developer, I now -- frankly -- have a question as to whether what is there now is an existing
deck? It begs the question for me, "What is a deck?" if you put a chair up on a tar roof and put a planter on the edge, does that make it a deck?"

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well --

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm struggling with that question now, as to sort of when it became a deck, if it ever did. Because if we are going to rely on the fact that there's been a deck there for 10 years, what constitutes a deck for that purpose? Putting one piece of furniture up there? Putting one planter up there? I am struggling with that.

And I'm open to hearing from the petitioners or Mr. Glassman or anyone as to how I can resolve that issue.

ADAM GLASSMAN: I guess I would say a deck, a rooftop deck is a defined area for safe habitation and use, and it has been used accordingly. The deck space that was there when Paul and Justin bought their unit and moved in and started enjoying it included protective, heavy-duty mats over the roof membrane. It included built-in planters all around the perimeter. It included a guardrail.

All those elements put together, it's not one planter, it's not -- you know, one piece of protective covering, it's not -- you know, just a section of railing,
it was really -- it had all the components of a safe, occupiable rooftop area with a history of use.

ANDREA HICKEY: When you say, "built-in" planters, what are they built into? Are they connected to the roof somehow?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, I guess they were so -- the size of them, the weight of them, how they were more or less attached to the railing system, all of these things -- I mean, the railing was attached to the roof, the planters were -- I believe, more or less attached to the railing system.

They were big, wooden boxes and we have photos of them. They weren't small, plastic pots you could just, you know, buy from the store.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. They don't look to me like they're built in or attached. But I'm --

ADAM GLASSMAN: I guess given the extent of them; they did serve as an additional barrier. They continued to have the same extent across the edge of the space, just as the railings did. And I would say --

ANDREA HICKEY: Do you know when those planters went up there?

PAUL BOYD: This is what we said earlier: It was at least two owners before us. And then just to add a bit more color to that, the rope which constituted the railing was attached to the head house.

And then through the wooden spikes that connected to these hundred-pound, multi-hundred-pound planters that created a space, the furniture up there was there permanently, it wasn't like a folding chair that, you know, it was like that furniture stayed up and in fact was quite difficult to get down.

So it really did form a space. The rubber, the thick, heavy membrane that Adam mentioned was glued to the rubber membrane. So, you, it wasn't just the furniture sitting on the rubber membrane. So there was real effort to create a space in there. That space was in the listing for the unit. And so, you know, I think through usage and by -this was not a temporary measure, this was affixed to the building, either through weight or through cables.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. I have concerns around whether what is there is a deck. I'll leave it at that for now.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Could I just -- I'd like to take a
step back for a moment and just say that, you know, as we all know, the Board issues variances for all kinds of zoning issues every two weeks. Sometimes it's a setback, sometimes it's far. Sometimes it's building height.

In this case, I'm not sure why the building height raises such objection, given that we're talking about 42inch rails to replace rails that have been there.

You know, the hardship here is real. And if we, you know, step out of the zoning box for a minute, this proposed deck and the deck that was there, the space that was used before -- again, there were no complaints, there is no detriment to the community, to abutters, to the building.

And zoning relief would allow these gentleman to enjoy their roof deck as they have been, without any real change to the character or the livability of the neighborhood. No one's experience will be changed from this neighborhood by granting relief for their hardship.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, would you be open, amenable to a redo again on this? A re -- possibly a redesign and to another look at it?

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm 100 percent open to a continuance. I -- it will give me some time to think
further. And $I$ would be in favor of a continuance.
And if $I$ were inclined to approve a proposal, I'd
like to see the area no bigger than what is there now, in terms of I believe it was 233 square feet. And if I were to approve something like this, I'd want to see it pulled back from the edge more.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
ADAM GLASSMAN: So how --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any further thoughts from you at all on this, and would you be amenable to a redo?

ANDREA HICKEY: I would like to see a continuance and a redo, yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim? Your thoughts? JIM MONTEVERDE: As I stated before, my only concern was the character of the rail itself. I have no objection to the planting up there, no objection to the extent, to the addition of it. Strictly just the character of the rail itself.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And any suggestions, Jim, as to

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I think Adam started to run down the usual list of suspects, right? It's either glass, it's either wire, it's cable, it's --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I'm scratching my head just looking at the diagram if legally or technically code wise you really need a guardrail? Because the planter stops someone from getting to the edge. But it's probably a good idea. You know, could it be an OSHA rail? You know, just top rail, middle rail, bottom rail? And pipe stock?

Any of those things that are more transparent, more open I think, Adam, you're well versed in what all those options may be.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah, I think building code they may require something at least 42 inches. Now, whether or not the planters would act -- I don't know, that's a Building Inspector --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I'll leave that to Mr. Glassman.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I think if you've heard the sentiment of the Board, I tend to agree with Andrea on
the size of the deck being bigger square footage wise than what's there now. So how you pull it in in order to satisfy Mr. Ellsworth, and then --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- from there 200 and --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- proceed there?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But anyhow, that would be one suggestion that I would have. If you continue this matter, the earliest would be May 5. Because we have a full schedule for March and April. So the earliest we could get you on would be May 5.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Mr. Alexander, you'll be here on May 5?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, we're going to keep -we're not going to let you go. Are you still here on May 5? ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I am.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, will you be available on the May 5?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I am available. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I'm not going anywhere. All right. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, before you make the motion, I think we should require the petitioner to deliver consent from the condo association, which they didn't do. That should be in our files before May 5.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Thank you. What I was going to suggest was something along that line anyhow; that -- let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter until May 5, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner -- we already signed a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision -- change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 5 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Further, that if there be any changes to the current plan, that they be in the file no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to May 5, 2022. If there are any said changes, that the other owners of the condo association
be shown those and voice their opinion as to those changes, either in support or not.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah, the only things that's being waivered for a time for a decision, a week from Monday. You didn't mention that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This has already been
continued. So yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This matter has already been continued, so you've already signed a waiver, right? Is that right, Adam?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, we're good. Thank you. Understood.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right any other? Okay.
Then, on the motion, then, to continue this matter to May 5, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?

(7:25 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 151512 -- 38 Cameron Ave. Is there anybody on the line to speak on this matter?

KIRIN KACHROO-LEVINE: Hello.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Richards? Yes.

KIRIN KACHROO-LEVINE: Hi. I'm here representing Meter Parts.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And if you could introduce yourself for the record.

KIRIN KACHROO-LEVINE: My name is Kirin KachrooLevine.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
KIRIN KACHROO-LEVINE: So we are requesting a continuance to the next continued cases hearing. And we advised Olivia in advance, and she said we needed to come to request it in person.

So at our original date in December, we received
some feedback from neighbors on the day of the hearing. Subsequently, we scheduled a neighborhood meeting to get feedback from more neighbors. They raised a number of questions and concerns.

And so our intention is to have a subsequent neighborhood meeting where we have a chance to present revised plans and respond prior to appearing at the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. This is a case not heard, so any five Members can sit on it. The earliest date would be May 5.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: April 28?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we should have given that to Mr. Glassman. All right. So May 5. On the motion, then, to continue this matter to May 5, 2022, on the condition the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date, May 5, 2022 and the new time at 6:00 p.m.?

That any new submittals, different from what's in the file already be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 5 date.

That a correspondence also attach such changes to any submittals. Anything else to add? So the waiver of statutory requirement has been signed.

On the motion, then, to continue, Andrea are you still on this, or --

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm here if you need me, either way.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Mr. Alexander, on the motion to continue?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor, so the matter is continued until May 5, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, if we could just confirm for the record that it's continued as a case not heard?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: A case not heard, correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
KIRIN KACHROO-LEVINE: All right. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, sir. Andrea, are you here for the remaining evening, or --

ANDREA HICKEY: I had not planned to be, so my plans have changed. So if I'm needed, I can stay. If I am not needed. I will bow out.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Always needed. Always needed.
Goes without saying. Thank you.
(7:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson and Jim Monteverde

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 157695 -- 10 Fawcett Street. Oh, Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams was actually scheduled for this evening, so if you wish to go on about your other stuff.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Great. Then I will $\log$ off, but if $I$ am needed for any reason, if a conflict develops, someone could just phone me and I'll be back on.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. All right.
ANDREA HICKEY: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Matina's supposed to be on, but so far, she's not on.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're waiting for the other

Member. They're having some technical difficulties. [Pause]

She's in. Okay, we have five Members. Welcome, Matina. All right. The Board will hear Case No. 157695. Adam? Mr. Braillard?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Sorry. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. Adam Braillard, Prince Lobel Tye. We're at One International Place in Boston, Massachusetts. I think I had two other applications in front of the Board tonight, totaling three here. So I'll try to be as efficient as possible.

This application --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry to interrupt here. You're aware of the Planning Board comments on 10 Fawcett Street, and have you had a chance to review that?

ADAM BRAILLARD: We have.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And there were some suggestions by Community Development, and have those suggestions been incorporated into the plan at all? I'm not sure how it could be, because this came out on Tuesday.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So anyhow, what is the status

> of -- actually Fawcett Street and then of course it would be Arrow Street, as far as the application that is before us? ADAM BRAILLARD: Correct. And we've -- we'll talk about Arrow Street, but we did receive the memo Monday night, Tuesday met with the Planning Staff -- I'm sorry, Planning Board on Tuesday and discussed the challenges for some of those suggestions, and effectively they kept them in the Memo, and asked that we meet with the Board of Zoning Appeals.

So I do have some comments that I'd like to run through with the Board if that's okay, in connection with those Memos specific to each case.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The only thing is that as far as your discussions with them, I would like for those to be, you know, put in a submittal form, which we don't have.

And I guess where I'm going is whether or not we should not continue this particular case, and also, Arrow Street, in order for you to address the issues that were raised by Community Development/Planning Board, incorporate those into a revised application, and then come back before us?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Well, I'd like to get some more
direction, $I$ think, from the Board, if that's possible. We filed this application under special permit requirements, as well as the Section 6409 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012.

And we believe that we conform with Section 6409 in that this is an Eligible Facilities request. But we understand that the Board has a process to review those.

My concern is that, you know, we did file this -there is a timeline associated with an Eligible Facilities Request. And I don't think the process would conform to those if we're continued substantially. It looks like that won't be until May. So that's one of the concerns.

We did prepare to discuss some of these for all of these -- all of the information in the Memos to the Board. But I -- if we could, it would be helpful and, I guess on my end to discuss those.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman, can I --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. No, I know in your pleadings you have raised the issue that you feel that your position is that you should under 6904 is just submit an application for a building permit, and that it should be issued.

And where I summarize, you're asking the Board whether or not that we would concur with that conclusion and basically not have to come before the Board.

My retort on that would be that we go by the commissioner's decision, and obviously he is based upon advice from Legal -- Law Department --

ADAM BRAILLARD: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- that a special permit is required. Absent of your filing a building permit and the -- without going before the Zoning Board, and the Commissioner says no, you need to go get a special permit, that you obviously have a right to appeal that decision of the Commissioner, which then would be a formal appeal to us.

Absent of that formal appeal, then I rely upon the Commission's determination that you need a special permit, and will not opine as to your position that you don't need one. And just 6409 would give you the right to just being issued a building permit.

Mr. Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You covered some of what I was going to point out. If we continue this case, you'll have a continuance until the end of May, given our Docket
and I'm sure that's not acceptable or desirable for the petitioner. So I'm trying to think of a way we can try to get this case resolved one way or another, earlier than that.

And one possibility -- I don't know if it works -is that we grant the, given we do want to grant the special permit, subject to the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans in all material respects that are as outlined in the Planning Board, unless the -- well, do it that way.

And see if the petitioner can persuade -- if they can comply with the Planning Board, they can persuade the Building Commissioner to nevertheless allow the project to go through. Again, assuming that we vote in favor tonight.

It's a little bit of a dilemma, because it's a long continuance. I can understand why the petitioner would not want to continue until sometime late in May.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any other Member of the Board have any opinion on -- Matina, any comments at all, any thoughts?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, you got any
comments or thoughts on the issue before us?
WENDY LEISERSON: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I concur with Gus's comments.
That seems to be a reasonable way to at least move forward.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So Adam, on the -- on
Fawcett Street, I don't want to take away your presentation, your thunder, but Community Development basically has said the installation] has been before the Planning Board on several occasions and is utilized by multiple carriers.

Due to the building's massing and design, the installation appears relatively unobtrusive and wellaccommodated. And while the new mounting brackets are better concealed -- placements -- the following are suggested design improvements.

The antennas should be moved several inches down the façade, so they do not appear to break the parapet line. Can that be accomplished?

ADAM BRAILLARD: So that's a challenge in this particular case, because there is existing obstacles in vents and doorways not -- on the parapet that prevent us from lowering some of the antennas. There's also clutter in
structures on the rooftop that are in front of the antennas, and we do not want to lower, so that the radiofrequency propagation would propagate into those. So that's another concern.

And we have -- our installation does have cabinets. They're out of view, but they are in front of other antennas that would block the radiofrequency signal if we lowered the antennas.

I would note that the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's a degree of difficulty with that?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Since the -- two, since the replacement antennas are shorter, if feasible, consider utilizing antenna covers, so that the new antenna are similar length to the other antennas. What they're looking for is some continuity there.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that possible?
ADAM BRAILLARD: Sure. So quick answer, and let me just go forward. The rest of the suggestions are agreed to. There's challenges with the first one. The second one
there's also challenges here.
The quick answer is it's not feasible. I spoke with the engineers of record, because I hadn't heard of the carrier actually extending the power with a foe or stealth structure to make it look longer. And the reason is because mechanically attaching it to the antenna, there just isn't a place to do it. So there would be a concern of maintenance.

The only other way to do it would be to wrap the entire antenna, and then install that against the façade of the penthouse, but the property owner has stated that they are not willing to allow for any additional penetration into that penthouse.

So we're kind of stuck here as well. The quick answer is it wouldn't be feasible to extend that antenna.

The proposal is to remove one antenna and this -the proposal is to remove two antennas and replace them with two like-kind antennas, but they're smaller. And that's the reason for the note from the Planning Board, the Planning Staff.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I think some of the other ones are nice, but "Remove unused mounting brackets" the one that you control, obviously, the antennas can be
positioned a little bit. And again, I think that's an engineering thing. "All painting materials should be a matte finish," which is our normal. "Encourage changes to the other carriers" is not your purview, and maybe down the road we can help with that one there.

So I think Mr. Alexander, maybe we could go
forward. Okay. All right. Is there anything else you want to add, or have we gone through it all?

ADAM BRAILLARD: That's it. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Any questions by any Members of the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute by pressing *6. There is nobody calling in. Let me just go through. So I will close the public comment part. Adam, you have no other comments?

ADAM BRAILLARD: That's correct. No other
comments. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready for a motion?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In reviewing a special permit application for mobile communication facilities, the Board shall consider the following: the scope or limitations imposed by any license secured from any state or federal agency. And you have no limitations, and you have submitted the required documentation.

The extent to which the visual impact of the various elements of the proposed facility is minimized, and the submission is that it will be a matte finish to somewhat replicate the background of the antennas. Is that correct? ADAM BRAILLARD: That's correct, Mr. Chair, if that was addressed to me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Also, the Special Permit
Criteria: It appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit, if the Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board notes that it is an existing telecom
facility already on the building.
The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by what is being proposed use.

That there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city. In fact, with the upgrade in equipment, it would be an enhancement and a benefit to the citizens of the city.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board also incorporates into this decision any previous conditions that were imposed on the communications facility by the applicant at this address.

The Chair further moves that based upon the information that the Board grant the request as described in the petitioner's submittal material as signed and initialed by the Chair, and the evidence before the Board.

That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, initialed by the Chair. And
upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair, with the exceptions as noted by -in an attempt to comply with or address the issues raised by -- in the correspondence on the Community Development Department to the extent possible.

That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact remains consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practicable.

That the petitioner continue to comply with the conditions imposed by the Board with respect to the previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

That in as much as the health effects of the
transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the

Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy wave emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with Inspectional Services shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, included but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fail to comply with the requirements of law, or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such
notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked or terminated.
c) That in the event that a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply through this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice containing such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of the termination of the special permit pursuant to paragraphs a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the

```
person in charge of the installation of equipment by the
petitioner of the geographical area that includes Cambridge
stating that:
```

a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law. On the motion to grant the special permit for 10 Fawcett Street, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes. The special permit is granted at 10 Fawcett Street is granted.
(7:50 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 158753 -- 14 Arrow Street. Mr. Braillard?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Members of the Board my name is Adam Braillard with Prince Lobel Tye.

We're located at One International Place in Boston, Massachusetts here on behalf of $T$-Mobile to modify an existing wireless communications facility pursuant to a special permit and Eligible Facilities request under Section 6409 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, and also pursuant to the City's Ordinance Section 1043.

The proposal here is to modify -- like I said, modify an existing facility by replacing the six current panel antennas that are there with six new antennas, and also, replacing remote radio heads and adding some additional equipment in the Equipment Room, which would be -

- which is inside the facility and out of view.

The Planning Staff and Planning Board had some comments -- a Memo from Monday, Tuesday of this week that we think we are in a position to discuss, if that pleases the Board?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Let me just read the Planning Board Report dated February 9. The Board discussed concerns about the visual impact of the proposed replacement antennas and assigned equipment on the architecture of the building and the smokestack, which is visible from any vantage point.

While the Board noted that the current installation is not the only visibly disruptive element, Board Members raised concerns about the installation's ungainly appearance on top of the historically significant building.

The Board recommends that the BZA consider the suggestions provided in the Community Development Department's Memo to reduce the visual impacts of the installation.

The proposal involves modification of the existing T-Mobile antenna installation on the rooftop of 14 Arrow,
the Reversible Collar company building. [A little bit of history.] The site is quite visible, and is located within the Harvard Square Conservation District, and the Harvard Square National Register of Historic Places.

Six panel antennas currently installed in the façade of the existing smokestack will be replaced with six new antennas, and three remote radio units will be replaced with six new radio remote units. All antennas and supporting equipment will be painted to match the smokestack.

While this is an existing installation, the replacement antennas are getting longer, wider and deeper. And the new radio -- remote radio units add additional clutter.

The larger antennas appear to project more prominently from the smokestacks' face, which gives the stack a top-heavy appearance due to its tapered form and draws further attention to the installation.

Some improvements have been made with the removal of the cable trays on the north face of the smokestack. However, the proposed cabling and mounts could be better concealed and organized.

It would also be helpful if existing and proposed elevations each smokestack face were provided to understand the full extent of changes for following our specific suggestions to help reduce the visual impacts of the installation.

The antennas -- the RRUs -- remote radio units -and other equipment should be moved down the façade of the smokestack to achieve a 45-degree setback plane, so the antennas do not interrupt view lines of the smokestack ground.

Adam, can you comment on that?
ADAM BRAILLARD: Yes. Sorry, Mr. Chair. Yes. I discussed this with the radiofrequency team of the applicant, and the applicant is agreeable to that 45-degree set.back plane.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If feasible, consider placing the RRUs and all cable in the interior of the smokestack, if it is no longer in use.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Yeah. Quick answer there: Not feasible. The smokestack is currently in use. We confirmed that with the landlord yesterday.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Usually in this case a
brick color pattern treatment may be more appropriate, as there is a range of hues and tones present. And the solid colors shown in the photo simulations appear to call more attention to the antenna.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Agreeable. The challenge there, I think, from the Staff was generally these are requests that we paint it a solid matte color. But in this particular case, the Staff felt that it would be preferable to paint basically the break pattern, which the applicant agrees with.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My only comment on that is that I have seen that, and that's why we're getting away from it, because whoever used to get up there and do it, it was awful. But if you have agreed to do that, and hopefully we will critique it after it's done, then whether or not it's the way to go forward.

Tidy up and ensure all exposed cables and wires are tightly fixed to the façade.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Agree too.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That should be an easy one.
Remove all unused pipe mounts and cable trays where possible.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that should be an easy one. ADAM BRAILLARD: Correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Utilize the smallest mounting brackets available so that the antennas can be mounted as close as possible to the façade surface.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Yeah, so confirmed with the Engineering team that the proposal is using the smallest available -- smallest brackets available for the installation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, good. All right. We setback in -- going down the right road. Let me open it to Members of the Board. Mr. Alexander, your thoughts on the proposal?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no further comment.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, any questions or concerns?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No, not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, any questions or concerns on this proposal?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. I'm fine, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I have no questions, now that I'm audible. May I open it to public? Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to speak.

There is nobody calling in. We are in (sic), I believe, correspondence from the Cambridge Historical Commission, which is a Certificate of Non-Applicability at 14 Arrow Street.

T-Mobile Cambridge Historical Commission hereby certifies pursuant to Chapter 2.78, Article 3 of the Code of the City of Cambridge, an order establishing the Harvard Square Conservation District that the work described below does not involve any activity requiring issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or hardship, dated January 13.

That is the sum and substance of correspondence.
I will close the public comment portion of the
hearing. And Adam, any other comments?
ADAM BRAILLARD: Mr. Chair, no, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested. Any other Members of the Board wish to comment?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Do you want to just -it'll be the same thing we did before, we should incorporate it by reference?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would like to, because I'm just going to keep repeating myself. We could have recorded it and just pressed a button.

If the Board will allow. I'm just going to repeat
exactly what I said for the prior case -- incorporate that language by reference into a motion to grant the special permit for this application at 14 Arrow Street.

On the motion to incorporate the previous dialogue, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I wholeheartedly vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Geez, now that I have my voice back, nobody wants
to listen to it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the special permit has been granted for the installation at 14 Arrow Street, as per the application and, I might add, the agreement by the petitioner on certain aspects of the letter from the Community Development where possible, and the others where it becomes impractical.
(8:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case, BZA No. 159164
-- 100 Concord Avenue. Adam?
ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Adam
Braillard for the record of Prince Lobel Tye. We're at 100 International Place in Boston, Massachusetts.

We're here on behalf of the applicant, T-Mobile, to modify an existing wireless communications facility. It's located inside the steeple of an existing church that's located at 100 Concord Ave, located in the residential C-1 Zoning district.

Pursuant to the Section 10.43 of the ordinance, web that this conforms to the special permit requirements, and also believe that this is considered an Eligible Facilities request, and we comply with the Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012.

The proposal is to -- like I said, this is a fully enclosed or concealed installation. So there's no part of
the proposal or the existing or proposed installation that can be seen from the public.

The proposal would be to replace the existing eight-panel antennas with eight like-kind new panel antennas inside the steeple, as well as add remote radio heads to the inside of the steeple and add ancillary cabinet -- equipment cabinets that would be also inside the church.

There was no comments -- I don't believe there were any comments from the Planning Staff or Planning Board in connection with this application, I believe because there's no -- the visibility is de minimis or nonexistent with respect to the existing installation in any proposal, or the modification of the installation as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, thank you. Note that it is in a Residence C-1 zone, and the telecom ordinance was proposed to erect such a facility in any residential zoning district, the extent to which there is a demonstrable public need for the facility, the existence of an alternative functional, suitable sites and nonresidential locations, the character, the prevailing uses and the area.

The Board has addressed this issue before in the granting of the original telecommunications facility at this
site, and the Board found that the Board can grant the special permit and that it complies with that section of the ordinance.

The Board finds, as previous Boards have found, that residential use does not necessary predominate the area. There is on the grounds of there are many schools in the area. Across the street is a Harvard Geological -- I'm sorry, the Harvard Astrophysical Observatory. And so even though there are some residential areas around it, that it -- we find that we can comply with that section of the ordinance; that it does not predominate.

So any other questions by Members of the Board on this? Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. There's nobody calling in. There was no correspondence in the file from the Planning Board or any interested citizen; I will close the public comment part of the hearing.

I must note that I'm not sure if anybody even
realizes that there is a telecommunication facility at this location, because if there ever was a stealth location, this is it. Totally enclosed, and totally blind to the -- any passersby.

So on the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, and it's the will of the Board that I incorporate the lengthy previous dialogue from the previous case, the telecommunication facility by reference and the motion be the same, to grant the special permit.

Mr. Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, on the motion to grant the special permit?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I vote in favor to grant the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy? Thank you, Matina. Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: [Wendy Leiserson], I vote in favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde], I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan voting in
favor on the motion, carried by five Members -- five affirmative votes of Members of the Board.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The special permit for the modifications at 100 Concord Avenue is granted. Thank you, Adam.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. Have a good night.
(8:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case the Board will hear is No. 157204 -- 55 Wendell Street. Mr. Linn?

ROBERT LINN: Hi. My name is Robert Linn, and I am the architect for this project, and I'm representing Eden Steinberg and Peter Muz, who I believe will be joining the meeting as well.

And my colleague, Sarah Carlisle, may chime in if there's some additional questions. She'll have the dimensional information at hand.

We are proposing to make a number of changes to really a charming, existing two-family home in a C-1 district on Wendell Street, on the corner of Wendell and Gorham.

And our objectives -- the objectives that we've been dealing with on this project are really three-fold. We wanted to create a home that would work for the owners as something that they could imagine aging in place; that it
would accommodate them today for their current situation, but that would also accommodate them as they're older and they have accessibility issues. So that's a big piece of this.

The second component is creating a more useable and accessible and functional second unit. So when we go through the drawings, we can see that the existing second unit happens on the second floor.

It's a relatively small studio, it doesn't have a lot of functionality, and we're moving it to the basement, where it can actually be a more accessible and usable second unit, and more separate from their living space, but would also allow them to free up that second floor space to accommodate some other needs that they've realized are important for them to be able to live in this home with.

As I'm sure you've heard from many of the applicants over the last few years, people have started to use their homes in different ways, where it isn't just the place you come to after work, you're -- they're working there, they're -- you know -- practicing their different crafts in the home, and the home has really become this multi-use sanctuary, which is what we're trying to help them
to create in this particular case.
Because it's a charming home, and because it has a
lot of sort of characteristics that we're trying to maintain, we wanted to do this in a way that would maintain the existing character.

So our approach was very surgical. You'll see that while we're only adding -- in the end we're only asking for an additional I think it's 200 and -- a little over 200 -- 285 square feet additional GFA, we're achieving that by taking some away.

We're removing a bay on one side, removing a dormer, we're adding a new dormer, we're changing a bay on the front, we're adding a bay under an existing porch on the front, we're adding a piece to the back.

So we're touching all aspects of this project, and it's all pretty much existing, nonconforming. So because it's in this very dense, $C-1$ district, the setbacks in the existing GFA are essentially nonconforming on two sides primarily; the setbacks on two sides.

And then of course the GFA, the current GFA is 0.8 , we're asking for 0.87 .

So if we want to walk through the plans, yep, I
can start to kind of explain in more detail how we're achieving this.

So this is -- the view you're seeing now is the proposed finished view, and the changes to the front would be reworking the garage so that it feels more in character with the rest of the house, adding the roof deck to the garage, and changing one of those two top bays.

One currently is a faceted bay, the one on the right is a square bay. So we're making the two match and adding a bump out underneath that existing porch, but maintaining the character and the detail of the porch and kind of the woodwork and the other components, which we think help the house to sort of, you know, feel like it's go to maintain the character that we all like and that we're wanting to maintain.

If we move the next view, I'll just quickly to through this is the existing basement, which is only partially finished. The center section is finished, some of it's used for mechanical, and some of it is unfinished and unused currently.

The next floor is the existing first floor, and you can see that there's a ramp in the back currently that
leads up to back door access. That is the access to the second unit on the -- which is on the second floor.

And then the first floor, like most homes of this era, is relatively chopped up. And you'll see that in our proposal, we're working to open up that first floor and have more of a -- kind of an open floor plan with the living, dining and kitchen are all sort of more or less in the same spot, and then incorporating an elevator, which was an important part of this project, to allow for that idea of future accessibility.

And if you go -- this is the second floor, also relatively chopped up, partly -- mostly because the second unit is that zone in the back. And it has its second means of egress, which is a, you know, a balcony that leads to a spiral staircase that drops you off at the base of that ramp.

And so we're going to clean up -- we're proposing to clean up the whole back of that and rework the access and have the two means of egress work differently for the basement unit that we're proposing for the second unit. And then three smallish bedrooms on that level.

And then on the third floor, there's currently the
primary suite, which opens up to a roof deck on the back.
And this primary suite is, you know, relatively -

- you know, relatively small for an area where you're having to live and work and sort of do all the things I need to do. And I can -- once we get to the proposed plan, I'll show you why and we'll talk a little bit about how we're proposing to change this one as well.

If you just go through, this is the existing front façade, and it shows the two different bays that are currently the squared off bay and the faceted bay.

The next view is the view from Gorham Street. And you can see that while it is charming, there's a kind of ad hoc quality to the existing home that you'll see in our proposal that we're working to clean up a bit. It feels a little bit like this façade was designed from the inside out.

Windows happened where they needed to happen for the functionality from the interior. And we tried to come up with a design that would balance what's happening on the inside and give some order to the exterior.

This is the rear façade that shows the second means of egress from the second unit, and it shows the ramp
and the roof deck that opens up from the third-floor primary unit. And we're planning to clean this up as well and to modify and organize this façade in a way that feels more architectural.

And then this is the façade that's really not visible from anyone except for their neighbor because of the proximity next door. It's very tight to the existing lot line, these two -- the garage and the back addition.

And there's a bay popping into this courtyard, but what the owners have found is that this courtyard has really become their sort of primary go-to exterior space.

Because it's such a dense site, and because there's really nowhere to be outside that isn't very public, very displayed, this little courtyard area, which feels like a courtyard because of the way it's positioned on the site, has become very important to the way they live. And so our proposal really accentuates that.

And we've removed this bay -- the faceted bay that's popping in there -- to give a little bit more exterior -- little more square footage to that exterior footprint, and then traded that off with adding FAR in other places.

So you can see this is the existing footprint.
You know, because it's a C-1 district, it's not as straightforward in terms of calculating setbacks, but the garage is currently a 0.1. It's essentially on the lot line. And the -- there's a piece that's kind of the wing at the back is 2.4 feet from the lot line.

And our proposal is maintaining all of these setbacks. We're not going to add any additional component that goes beyond what's here, other than filling in between the existing garage and the existing house, in that little slot between the two, so that they can have some accessibility between the house and the garage. And that's becomes the second means of egress from the basement apartment.

You know, I won't go into these calculations, but this is how we went through the $\mathrm{C}-1$ district calculating, you know the height and the length and coming up with the existing setbacks.

And this is our diagrams explaining how we calculated the existing FAR. So as I mentioned before, existing FAR is 0.8, and our proposed is 0.87.

So this is when we've added all of the different
components that we're really talking about, and then recalculated all of the setbacks.

The red dotted line is the -- even though we're not changing any of the dimensional setbacks, there's nothing about what we're doing that actually makes it any closer to the lot lines, because of the way that you calculate the setbacks.

And because it's an existing, nonconforming structure, we're violating the setback -- you know, we're exacerbating -- not violating, but exacerbating on the inside courtyard and on the back façade the allowable setback calculations. Because it just becomes more narrow as you add more volume.

And this is our calculations. We don't need to go through that. This is our current proposed FAR calculations. Again, we don't need to go through it, but we tried to do this very carefully. In our open space calculations, which in fact we're increasing open space, because we're proposing additional roof deck on top of the garage.

So one of the things that we're, you know, really, we're sensitive to was that because of the density and the
proximity, you can see how close we are to the house to the left, and actually how close we are to the three-family structure behind us.

We went to great lengths to talk to all of the neighbors individually and show them -- propose what we were doing, and throughout this process, we actually have been modifying and working with them to make sure that there was nothing that we were doing that was going to change the way they would experience their house in terms of light or views, or at least in ways that they would accept.

And so I can talk that a little bit more. But the resulting piece that we're showing here is a collaborated effort between us and these neighbors, who are the primary stakeholders.

This is the -- those are the views. Yeah, sorry that's -- I don't need to go through, but you can see that there's just a general density to the neighborhood. Most of the structures are relatively large and multi -- many of them are multifamily.

We can go quickly through these, but in talking to all of our neighbors, the -- and then you go to the next -you go to the next --

In talking to all of the neighbors, you know, we wanted to show them shadow studies. This is how it would be in winter solstice, how it -- with the existing, how proposed how it would be in the summer solstice.

You know, kind of working through all of these different things. We showed them how they would be impacted, and then reworked the design as we were, you know, in the negotiation with them.

So you can just kind of quickly move through these shadow studies. If any -- we can come back to them, but the next three or four pages are all showing proposed existing shadows, how it would impact everyone, and in particular that bigger unit behind us we realize would have more -there would be more impact, because we're to their south.

So this is the proposed new -- or not the new second unit, but the relocated second unit. And there's currently access from the Gorham Street side, which we would continued as primary access to the unit.

And we would be reworking much of the foundation and creating something that would feel habitable for most of the space, other than maybe about a fourth of it that would happen right there at the front of the house, and would have
access to that second means of egress, which we're adding between -- or we're proposing to add between the garage footprint and the existing house, which is a little over three feet, fortunately.

And so this is the proposed first floor. You can see that we've -- we're showing an open roof deck -- not roof deck, but sort of open deck at the back of the -- at the back of the structure. We've added that bump out underneath the existing front porch, which doesn't increase the existing FAR or GFA.

And then we've added the stairs that come up between the garage and the structure as a second means. And then we've removed the bay within that courtyard, which we'll say a new deck area. But that courtyard space sort of between the sort of $C$ to the left, and that gave us a little bit more area in that space.

And then we've put the living room toward the front of the house, and then have glass that will open up -big sliders to open up the dining room, let them flow right into the kitchen.

So as I mentioned before, that zone, that sort of inside/outside zone which the clients realized was really
important to them now becomes the centerpiece of this house and our design.

And then the whole first floor is far more open, and as you can see, we're adding -- we're proposing to add an elevator, which also I didn't mention, but it goes down to the basement as well.

But an elevator -- a residential elevator that opens up that sort of powder room and the kind of organizing space at the back of the house.

But there's much more of a separation now between the apartment unit, or the second unit and the main living space. And in making all this happen, we've had to reorganize the stair location. So as I mentioned before, we're touching almost every aspect of the house.

So on the second floor, because we had the opportunity to -- or we're proposing to move the second unit to the basement, it's given us more opportunity to add this live/work kind of spaces that $I$ was describing before.

So you can see to the front of the house we're showing two offices, so that both of the owners could have home offices in the front, which would open out to that roof deck over the garage, to allow them to go outside and, you
know, take breaks or whatever from their work that they do, and where they're at home.

And then have that to be able to close the door and separate it out from other sleeping spaces. They have two sons who are both sort of older and in transitional spaces where they're -- you know, finishing -- finished high school or they're moving out starting new jobs. But they were still at home at the moment or they need to have their own bedrooms and their own space. So they each have their own area.

And then we're proposing a Zendo, because one of our -- one of the clients, Eden, is -- she's both a Chaplain at Beth Israel Hospital, but she's also just recently been certified to teach Zen meditation. She could speak to this better than I could.

But having that Zendo is an important component to -- you know, her ability to do and practice the work that she, you know, she needs to -- she needs the space to be able to do what she does.

If you go to the next level -- and then as I mentioned before, the current primary bedroom is more or less one bigger space with a little kind of office or
storage area -- not storage, but more or less unused space towards the front.

And this is a little bit unusual, but we're proposing in this case two -- or one big sort of space that can be broken down into two primary bedrooms. And part of this is Eden's work as Chaplain in the hospital. She occasionally is on call at night, and I don't know actually how often -- maybe more than occasionally, but on call at night and has to get up or comes back at different times of the night.

And so they wanted the ability to be able to close that off and have two separate places where, you know, they could both sleep separately, but then also be able to have the one room, if they wanted to come and be in the same space together.

And so we've -- in order to achieve this, we are adding a dormer to the -- both behind the bed and the dormer to allow for the primary bathroom space that's happening up there, and then adding the elevator.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, what's the length of that dormer?
ROBERT LINN: It is -- I thought -- I knew you
were going to ask, it's 12 feet on one side and an 11 -like an 11 feet 10, 1 think? Slightly -- just slightly different on the other side.

EDEN STEINBERG: This is Eden, one of the homeowners. I'm the one who's the hospital Chaplain. I'm on call at least one night a week from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m., often more frequently than that.

ROBERT LINN: Thank you. Thanks, Eden. So -EDEN STEINBERG: Okay.

ROBERT LINN: -- that is the -- I think that's -sorry --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There seems to be an awful lot going on.

ROBERT LINN: Yes, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right now, the basement is unoccupied?

ROBERT LINN: It's actually partially finished currently. There is a -- sort of like a rec room currently in the basement, and then mechanical storage, that sort of thing.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Eden and Peter occupy first floor, second floor?

ROBERT LINN: First floor, second floor. And currently they're not renting, but they're on the second floor. It is a second unit. This is a two-family structure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So there is another unit, but it's not rented? So --

ROBERT LINN: Currently not rented.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's a two-family?
EDEN STEINBERG: That's right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Because so their -- over the whole house. Now, the -- so what they're doing is creating a unit in the basement. So that will be a certifiable, separate unit to the other unit, and so that Eden and Peter will then occupy the first floor, second floor and the third floor?

ROBERT LINN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct?
ROBERT LINN: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the elevator that's in the basement goes all the way up to the third floor?

ROBERT LINN: Correct. All the way up and down --
you know, only to allow for -- you know, depending on maybe
their -- would have a relative living there or, you know, they don't know exactly how they'll use that second unit. So there may be -- they may actually need some, you know, a nurse or something living there.

You never -- so they just -- we just wanted to, like, not build it so that we couldn't have an accessible access to the basement.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ROBERT LINN: But we can close it off, I'm sure. They would want to close it off if the -- it was being rented to someone who wasn't associated with their family. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And the additions that you're putting in is on -- you could show that? Basically you're asking for relief, the addition of a home office area and more accessible second dwelling unit. And you're asking for relief under Table of Dimensional Requirements.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, we can't hear you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry. You're asking for relief under Section -- under Article 5, which is the Table of Dimensional Requirements -- and then Article 8, Section 8.223, which is a nonconforming structure.

I mean, there's an awful lot going on here. I think one of the things that $I$ sort of hesitate about are the number of decks, and how they may impact the adjoining property.

And the one over the garage $I$ guess is the more prominent to me, because it's pretty close to the -obviously the front of the house, but also the -- right out on the street, in a sense -- set back a little bit, but still it's very common there.

It just seems like there's an awful lot going on here. But then of course there is the deck along the side also.

So there's an awful lot, it seems, of decks going in, which to me is an extension of the anterior living space, and does impact, I think, people's -- you know, adjoining properties. Could be their privacy and also, you know, I won't say "noise" but other people pretty close to their property -- anyhow, whatever activity that goes on in the decks.

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anyhow, let me ask a Member of the Board. Mr. Alexander, your thoughts and comments?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I think I share what you just said about the decks. That's a matter of concern for me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim, any thoughts on the initial presentation?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I had the same concern about just the number and the number of decks -specifically the one over the garage. I get the one that's adjacent to the dining room; it's kind of a natural. And then the one off the back in addition to it, given that we're in New England and these are, you know, partially used parts -- only parts of the year.

The other question -- that's one, the other question $I$ had was is there any neighborhood group -Conservation Commission, Historic Commission review of anything here?

COLLECTIVE: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any comments or thoughts in the initial presentation?

WENDY LEISERSON: I also share the concern about the deck over the garage, just because of the proximity to
the neighbor. I like to support open, you know, air access when I can. But I would be concerned about the privacy issue there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, thank you. Matina, any comments? Thoughts?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes. I must agree with everyone else. The deck over the garage, I think it would infringe o people's personal space. So that's one of my major concerns. And the deck, and the porch, the front porch, where you're bumping out a little bit --

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm.
MATINA WILLIAMS: What's the footage in between the bump out and the railing?

ROBERT LINN: Sarah do you -- do you know how that, on the -- from the model --

SARAH CARLISLE: Yes. It is --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I have the drawing. It's going
to be like a foot and a half. It can't be more than a foot and a half.

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, it certainly wouldn't be -JIM MONTEVERDE: Clear.

SARAH CARLISLE: It's a foot and a half, yes.

Just shy of a foot and a half.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
ROBERT LINN: We were not thinking of that as
being so much usable space as just preserving the character of the, you know, the porch.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah, exactly. ROBERT LINN: It's an aesthetic component. MATINA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm. Okay. That was my concern.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing $* 9$ and unmute or mute by pressing *6. You'll have up to three minutes to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Brady Rogers (phonetic)?
[Pause]
OLIVIA RATAY: Brady Rogers?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hello? Is somebody calling in?
BRADY ROGERS: I'm wondering if 116 Norfolk Street is on tonight's Agenda?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, it is not. Does that
answer your question? Okay. Anybody else calling in?
OLIVIA RATAY: Name BW?
BW: Excuse me? Can everybody hear me? I have my hand up.

OLIVIA RATAY: We can hear you.
BW: Yes. So I was sent a Neighborhood Notice about 116.

No. I'm not -- I don't need to do that. I was sent a notice in -- about the modernization of 116 Norfolk Street. It was a public meeting at 6:00. This was the link that was given.

I'm listening to all these people for two and a half hours go on about just trying to have a deck or renovate their house. Meanwhile, I'm sitting here looking at a notice that 62 units are going in -- 62 units in a densely populated neighborhood --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's not --
BW: -- on Norfolk Street.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's not here. Not here. Not this meeting.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Wrong case.
BW: Well, I received a Notice from Cambridge Housing Authority that it was this meeting, it was this day at this time, starting at 6:00 p.m.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That didn't --
BW: I've been sitting here for two and a half hours.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hold on. Yeah?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, we get it, but that's not on our Agenda, and this is not the venue for it. That's erroneous.

BW: Okay, then who sent this Notice that told me and all my neighbors to come to this meeting?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm not aware of the Notice.

BW: It's come --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So I can't tell you who sent it.

BW: It's from Cambridge Housing Authority.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's not us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You have to talk to them. I'm
totally unaware of the --
BW: Okay, well, I've been --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry that we can't help you.

BW: -- I received a Notice that an SRO of 100 units are going in at 116 Norfolk Street.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's not before us.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can't discuss it. I mean, I'm sorry, but we cannot just discuss it because it's not before us.

BW: Waste -- all right, I just --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
BW: -- you wasted my whole evening. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it.
OLIVIA RATAY: I think that's it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's it. Okay. So there's nobody else calling in, and there's no correspondence. You did not receive any letters of support, Eden or Peter, from any of the neighbors at all?

EDEN STEINBERG: We've met with all the immediate neighbors, as Robert, as Mr. Linn described, where we spoke
in-depth with the, you know, triple-decker behind us and our neighbors next to us, and had quite a lengthy conversation, and actually made modifications based on their feedback. And they all verbally told us that they were fine with the -- this design that you see before you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
EDEN STEINBERG: I didn't know that we should have gathered letters of support. But then after we met with the immediate neighbors, we met on Zoom with a wider group of, like, neighbors, who were not in a position to be immediately impacted, but live -- say across our dead-end street. And they all had positive feedback for us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
EDEN STEINBERG: Yes, that was important to us. We've lived in this neighborhood for a long time, and have good relationships with our neighbors, and it's been important to us to get their input and let them know about this project. So we've been communicating a lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great, thank you. Rob, what were the changes that you made after the discussion with the neighbors?

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, so you can see in this
particular drawing, it's in the background. But that addition that we're adding kind of between grid line 6 and 1, it -- before it went all the way out to the end. It's matched up with the existing deny that's below it.

And in talking to both the neighbors and one of the triple-deckers and the neighbors to the left, they both told us things that we wouldn't have known without speaking to them.

One, they -- you know, they tend to -- the guy who works in the third floor, the triple-decker, likes to look out the window and see all the way out to Wendell Street. So we pulled that back, not just for him, but also for the neighbors to the left, because that façade abutted their kitchen window and they look looking up and seeing the sky.

And so we did renderings and showed them by pulling this back, that it would satisfy both of their -both of their concerns. And they both told us that they were completely fine with it, and actually appreciated the change.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I think what you heard is some concern, or reservations about the deck over the garage.

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that it just sort of maybe starts to pull things a little bit more forward than need be. How crucial is that deck over the garage in the scheme of things?

ROBERT LINN: No, I think that's --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How do you append it?
ROBERT LINN: That's a question for Eden and
Peter. I think architecturally it's not incredibly critical, but I don't want to speak to (sic) them.

EDEN STEINBERG: My question would be would the -would it be equally problematic if the garage were pulled -I mean if the roof deck was not as large, and just a small area so that we could have fresh air in our office space to open up, you know, say French doors and have, like, a small access to the outdoors there? Would that be --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, the question is how, you know, your idea of "small" and --

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
PETER MUZ: Well, I would just like to -- if I may just add one thing regarding the deck. It doesn't -there's no window, it just -- that side of the garage just
basically looks at siding, wood siding. There's no window. There is no opportunity to view anything other than on the wall for those neighbors. So I don't share the view that it would infringe on privacy.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Can we bring up over there the second-floor existing plan? I'm not sure what slide that would be.

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, if you go -- this is the second-floor existing plan. It just doesn't -- it doesn't show the garage here. And what Peter's saying is that if you step out on the roof deck and you look to your neighbors to the left, it's just looking at a clapboard or, you know, just the siding. They don't have any fenestration looking on that deck.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, I think on the plan with all of the photos of the neighbors, I think it's marked A0.9, you can see where the garage is. That one you can see where the garage is adjacent to its neighbor. And that does show that there's siding there.

However, privacy is more than just being able to peep in, it's also -- I mean, you're right there against the house for noise and other things.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Just to go back to a comment or a question that was just raised by one of the proponents, $I$ believe, about -- you know, it appears to be right now there's sliding glass doors that -- out to this, you know, what size the deck would be may be more appropriate.

If you would think of it as a -- if a balcony would be sufficient, something of that order of magnitude, potentially I could support something like that, as opposed to using the entire roof of the garage as an outdoor space.

EDEN STEINBERG: Yeah. That's the word I was looking for, "balcony."

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, that's great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. If you look at the other view, that photo -- you know, 55 Wendell back, there's a second floor, there's a balcony. There's a deck. There's a -- yeah, there, top, second floor. Right? That's more of a yes, you want to step out there, a breath of fresh air, there's a -- big enough for a seat maybe, but -- and that's it.

## BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mm-hm.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You know, it potentially gets rid
of all the railing along the -- that's facing the street that gives it a totally different feel.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mm-hm. I was thinking more of,
like a French balcony, you know, that -- you know, rather than something to step out on there, you could open up the doors, obviously, to get fresh air. But --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. A Juliet balcony.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, right. That might be --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The door is there, there's a rail
outside, the door --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That would certainly be the easiest in terms of the comments you've gotten about using it as a roof deck.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. And again, you know, Eden and Peter, we look at these, you know, you're very nice people and I'm sure that you would go out there and be very quiet and, you know, whatever you want to do: Sit, stretch your legs, whatever it may be, put some potted plants out there or something like that.

But it'll be there forever. And so we're thinking of possibly the next occupants many years from now of that,
and have use of that. And it is so close to the next-door neighbor.

And so, you know, we look at, you know, the relief you're granting that we're granting to you, but also that the Zoning Ordinance also is in place to protect neighboring properties, the adjoining properties -- from encroachment, and I think that a deck on that location does have encroachment, taking anterior space and moving it outside.

And so that's my thought on it. So
EDEN STEINBERG: Thank you for -- thanks for explaining your reasoning.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So anyhow, getting back to the Board, Mr. Alexander, your thoughts on --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I just agree with -- I agree with you and Jim Monteverde about the deck on top of the garage. It's too much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So if we eliminated the deck on the garage, say allow for a Juliet balcony type thing, Rob and Eden and Peter, does that do it?

EDEN STEINBERG: I would personally love to be able to have just a chair out there to have a phone call.

ROBERT LINN: What if --

EDEN STEINBERG: Yeah, that was one of my images of having that space off of our office space. So that would be my -- at least my hope.

ROBERT LINN: What if the proposed deck was just over the infill piece where we're connecting the garage and the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Agreed. That's what I was looking at. That's more in the balcony scale of things, and it gives you the opportunity for a seat --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which is a little bit less than
four feet. It's -- you're going to get three and a half feet of --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Yeah. And the rail is pulled back from the face of the house and face of the garage, really. So I think that's a --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it's just --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- that to me is a strong -that's a good compromise.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Matina, are you on board with that proposal?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, I am.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, are you on board
with that? That would be just a deck, a vending squad, if you will --

MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- that would be just the
infill.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Sisia, if you're controlling the screen, if you can go back to the plan A1.2?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, I'm looking at it. It's a little hard to imagine.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm just -- I was going to suggest Sisia just outline it with her cursor.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Here you go. Here you go.
Cursor. Sisia, right where you are -- where you were with your hand, just go down -- yeah, go down to -- there, there, go up. Stop. Go up. Stop against the outside wall of to house. Okay, go to the left, go to the left, to the level at -- go up, up, up --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Outside wall, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Up, up, no not all the way to the end, but then out to the -- you know, however, the depth of the office, the depth of the doors, there's your balcony.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm, Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Does that make more sense, Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, thank you, Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Not being able to draw
over it.
COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
MATINA WILLIAMS: I think that makes more sense than the full deck. I know you want to have a conversation outside. But there are still two windows out there that we'll now have conversations if their windows are open that they normally do not have.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, are you saying that it would just incorporate Office 1 or part of Office 1 and the shared bath?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm trying to keep it as minimal as possible. So Office 1, you know, in essence the -- from the edge of the door to the traveling down to the sliding door to the edge of the balcony, to the edge of the inset at the garage, and then that same dimension up top. So I'm trying to confine it to approximately Office 1.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Once you've done that, it could
be -- I could be amenable to variations on a theme. But my first thought was just confine it to -- you know, something just approximately the width of the opening that you've got, the new opening, and then the depth of that little slot between the garage and the house.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm going to mark up Sheet A1. 2, which is the second floor. And I'm going to mark up that area as the proposed deck. Okay. Any other comments on the rest of the project? Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. I just wanted to -- I was just -- it's been a long conversation. So I just wanted to go back to the existing, just so I'm clear on what we're adding, so -- in terms of decks. Can you just quickly clarify it out for me, please?

So we've talked about the garage one, I don't need to talk about that. But what is existing in terms of outside deck space, and what is being added, please?

ROBERT LINN: So on the third floor, existing third floor, it steps out onto -- that area on the back is all deck, existing deck. And we're essentially taking that and just shifting it, because of where we've positioned the elevator and the egress to the deck. It just made more
sense to shift it over as we added that piece to the left of that.

So I don't know that we've actually increased any of the area of the deck. In fact, we've lowered -- we're going to lower the -- now you have to step up to this deck. And we're going to lower it, so that it's at the same level as the third floor. So there's no additional deck there.

And we're proposing, obviously, the deck over the garage that didn't exist before. And in the courtyard space, we're just talking about decking that's just sitting at grade. Nothing, you know, that's not the structure, just something at grade.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Matina any other comments or?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No. I think I'm good. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. With that clarification, and with the changes to the roof deck, outside Office 1 and over the garage in place, ready for a motion, are we? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion,
then, to grant relief requested, as per the plans submitted to bring statements and the dimensional -- revised dimensional form, stamped in on January 25 with Inspectional Services with the following notion on Sheet A1.2, second floor plan, changed to the size of the proposed roof deck, and location is outside of Office 1.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the existing structure, built prior to the current zoning is enamored -- I'm sorry, encumbered by existing zoning for that particular area, so that any addition would require some relief from this Board.

The Board finds that the additions as proposed, a fair and reasonable request, will allow the petitioner to have a fair and reasonable use of the property, consistent with their work habits, which in current circumstances society has changed how they basically live and work, and this will allow the petitioner to work from home, and the much more compatible space.

It will allow for the second dwelling unit, located in the basement, in a more compatible and
contemporary style and upgraded facilities.
The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size and shape of the structure on the lot, which -- again -

- predates the existing ordinance, and the fact that it is encumbered by the existing ordinance.

The Board finds that the desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance, and desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance, which will allow the current occupant -- long-term residents -- to be able to remain in their property and to use it in a fair and reasonable fashion.

Any other -- and the Board also notes the -- one change to the plan as submitted.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance, Mr.
Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I move to grant the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: We should grant the variance. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson yes to granting the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde to grant the variance?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan to grant the variance.
[All vote YES]
On the motion of five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good luck.
(8:56 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 158423. Mr. Lodge?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: John?
JOHN LODGE: Hi. I think my client -- or one of the clients, Pam Pecchio, is also waiting to join. Oh, is she on there?

PAMELA PECCHIO: Hello.
JOHN LODGE: Hi. Sorry. So my name -- for the record, my name is John Lodge. I'm the architect for the project at 4 Hollis Park.

And what we are before the Board tonight for is a special permit. We're doing a substantial renovation to that house. And then we're proposing new windows within one setback, and a new dormer to create a new stair that meets the building code to get to the third floor.

If you'd like, Pam can just sort of run through
how the house works now, and how we're proposing to change it.

PAMELA PECCHIO: Thanks, John. Hi, everyone. My name is Pam Pecchio, and I am one of the owners of 4 Hollis Park. So we have been in North Cambridge for five years. And we rented for a few years a few blocks away and bought this house two years ago. It's a two-family. We rent out one of the apartments currently.

We have two young children, and we plan to stay here in Cambridge in this house long-term -- as long as we possibly can. Our house is in need of updates. Our primary concern is safety.

The staircase to the third floor, which is where our children sleep, is not very -- it's like -- much less than optimal. The ceiling at one point is very low. You have to sort of stoop over to the side to not hit your head going up and down.

And there is also at the top a sunken landing that if there were, you know, some sort of emergency, it would be pretty dangerous in the dark for the children to be heading toward the stairs, because it kind of sneaks up on you.

So in our proposed plan, we want to move the
staircase to the center of the house and add a dormer that accommodates just that staircase.

While we're at it, we want to also update the electric and plumbing, which have been hodgepodged over the years. A lot of it was DIY by the previous owner, and we kind of need it all to be up to code and safe.

And then while we're at that, we're hoping to also insulate the house and update systems to make them function, effectively and sustainably.

And so that's kind of our basic, current situation
and why we want to -- why we are applying for a special permit. Is that what it is? I --

JOHN LODGE: Yeah.
PAMELA PECCHIO: -- get a little confused with the terms, yeah. So I'll turn it back over to John. But I'm here for questions.

JOHN LODGE: If you'd like, I can just sort of walk you through the drawings.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If -- yeah, briefly, you can -we are all familiar with it. But if you want to just do it briefly to explain?

JOHN LODGE: Sure, sure.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: While you're doing that, let's comment on the dormer and to the extent it complies with the Dormer Guidelines?

JOHN LODGE: Yes. The dormer does conform with
all the Dormer Guidelines, yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, say that again? JIM MONTEVERDE: Say --

JOHN LODGE: Sorry. I'm sorry?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I didn't --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you repeat that?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I didn't hear what you
said.
JOHN LODGE: Oh, yes, the dormer does conform with the Dormer Guidelines.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
JOHN LODGE: Yep. All right so it's an odd-shaped lot, and a fair amount of the house is within the setbacks, as it currently exists. Most of the northwest façade, which is to the top of the page here -- well, actually all of the northwest façade, is within the setback. However, it's also the side that has the most breathing space and sort of the longest views.

If you -- there's a sheet that's labeled, "The Neighborhood Plan." Keep going, I think. There. No, keep going. Are those all the sheets? I thought there was a -basically I just -- it's just a .pdf of the GIS map.

In any event, so if you go to photograph 10, so this is a view of that northwest façade from the parking lot of the adjacent lot on the next street over, which is Right (phonetic) Street.

So you can see that this is the side of the house that has the most breathing space. The two closest houses on this -- or the backs of the two closest houses on this side are about 75 feet away, whereas the -- on Hollis Park itself, the house is -- you know, it's fairly close to its neighbors.

So if you go back to -- yeah, if you go back to Z1, so yeah, so basically what we're proposing is a dormer and sort of an extensive rejiggering -- well, extensive rejiggering of all the windows on the northwest façade, new windows on the southwest façade, which is facing the back yard, which don't require a special permit, and then on the southeast façade, which is at the bottom of the page here, we're proposing a new areaway.

There is -- as the house is currently laid out, if you go to the next sheet, the next plans, Z 2 --

So as the house is currently laid out, it's sort of a classic Cambridge two-family. There's one apartment on the first floor, and then a two -- the second and third floor is the other apartment.

So what we're proposing to do is to move the first-floor apartment into the basement. So it will have an entrance through a new areaway, sort of at the back of the parking space. And it will share a second means of egress in the new central stair, which comes out to the northwest side.

As, so if you sort of scroll down through the plans, you can see that the central stair comes up to the second floor, and then comes up into the dormer to access the space in the attic here exactly.

So I -- I mean, I think it's a pretty straightforward -- but if you want to keep going, I can show you the elevations, which I think are more germane.

So this is the revised front of the house, the northeast elevation, which is in the front yard setback. So that's pretty much as-of-right. Although on the northeast
side and on the southeast side, we're proposing new windows within existing openings anyways.

So -- and if you look at the proposed southwest elevation, you can see a vertical dotted line that shows the extent of that façade that's actually in the setback.

So we are proposing sort of a different layout for windows and doors to the back yard. But they're -- because of the odd shape of the lot, the setback line just clips the corner.

So then if you continue down -- so these are, yeah, these are the existing elevations, so you can sort of get a sense of how those change. So this is the -- this is the new northwest elevation. And basically, it's all new windows -- pretty much in all new openings. And then you can see the dormer sort of topping the sort of stair tower in the middle here. And the dormer -- the dormer adds roughly 40 square feet to the existing FAR. The existing FAR I think is at 70.78, and this takes us to 0.79. But it's -- because, you know, because it's basically sloping down just to accommodate the head room and the stair, it doesn't -- you know, it doesn't actually end up adding much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Run that again. The existing FAR is what, 0.7?

ROBERT LINN: If you go back to Z1, the worksheet -- I should know this right off the top of my head -- so the existing FAR is -- there you go, so I'm sorry -- yeah, the existing is 0.74 , and the proposed is 0.75 .

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Now, if while we're on the dimensional form --

ROBERT LINN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If we have the height --
ROBERT LINN: Yeah, oh the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- right now --
ROBERT LINN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- right now is at 32.2 --
ROBERT LINN: Yeah, no, the 36 feet, what happens is because -- if I measure from the bottom of the areaway to the top of the ridge, you know, I'm -- I mean technically I -- which I think technically is the way we have to do it -but from, you know, the ridge doesn't -- from grade to the ridge doesn't change.

The reason the height changes is because we're adding a new stair down to the basement. So I'm measuring -

- so that 36 is measuring from the bottom of the areaway to the ridge.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. You're asking for a special permit under 8.22.2 d), is that correct?

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me read the language of the ordinance. "In all districts, the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling, not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.
"Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity -- "

You're creating a new dimensional nonconformity. You're in conformance with the height now, and you are going out of conformity by a foot, so that you cannot ask for a special permit under the section. You would have to get a
variance.
ROBERT LINN: Aha. Well, it's funny because I -originally, I applied for a variance, and then I talked to Ranjit about it, and so $I$ was applying for a variance for the areaway as well as for the dormer, because I didn't realize that there had been a change to Section 8 this summer.

So our original application was for a variance, which would have covered that as well. I mean, I know this doesn't really sort of change what you guys have to go on.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it's just that we're aware of it, and we cannot grant relief unless it's legally tenable, and that somebody could -- well, anyhow, we just can't do it, for a variety of --

ROBERT LINN: I'll tell you what: How about if we just take out the areaway now and come back, so we divide it into two, basically?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, that's sort of coming in the back door type of thing. And I think if you're eventually going to do that, then --

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, I mean we -- no, we would definitely be coming back to you for the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't know. I would not be in favor of that.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Nor would I. I think our practice is if we're going to grant relief, we're not going to do it --

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, no, I completely understand. All right.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I think the case cannot be decided tonight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do you need that foot in the areaway? Can you not raise it by a foot, or not?

ROBERT LINN: Well, it -- no, because you know, you want the areaway to be -- you want the areaway to be lower than the basement floor, so that we have drainage.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. So the answer to that is no?

ROBERT LINN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can't adjust the areaway. I think we need to reapply for a variance. And unless -- I don't know what, you know, again, the Commission is thinking -- I'm, either he, well I don't know -- I don't know why he would have other than the areaway in a new dimensional,
nonconformity precludes us from granting relief under 8.222 d).

So I think what we need to do is to continue this matter, and then have you refile.

ROBERT LINN: All right. If we were to refile, you don't have a sense of when we could next get on the Agenda?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you refiled, you may get heard sooner, and -- this being continued.

ROBERT LINN: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because of the way the Agenda is set up that we only want so many continued cases on the --

ROBERT LINN: Right, yeah, yeah. Yeah, I understand.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But we can adjust for regular cases, and this, you know, probably would be a regular case that may be heard sooner. I mean, I would have to take this off until May.

We will continue this matter until May, but your new filing could be sooner to be heard, and that come May 5 or whenever it may be, if this was still on the Agenda, it
could be withdrawn, should you be successful with the other one.

ROBERT LINN: All right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Basically, it keeps the petition alive, as opposed to a withdrawal or whatever, so at least it --

ROBERT LINN: Right. It sounds to me like it makes -- and Pam, you should weigh in too, but it sounds to me like we're probably better off just refiling.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me continue this matter, until -- what are we, May 11?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Pick one, right?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May 5. We're going to continue this matter until May 5, and --

ROBERT LINN: Oh, so that stays open, and then if I refiled, then it just --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then come May 5, if you're successful on the other one and -- or if the Commissioner says no, that you can come under -- it's a different criteria.

ROBERT LINN: Yeah. No. I understand.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You know, a different standard,
so that if the Commissioner in reviewing this says no that we can -- I mean, I'd be interested how he determines that that at least you have the May 5 that you can fall back on, something like this.

ROBERT LINN: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anyhow --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: This is Gus Alexander, one of the Members of the Board. I would just note that we don't grant variances for height very frequently. So your odds of getting relief on that is not great. I think you're better off trying to resign this so you get your height down to 35 feet or less.

But I think you may be stuck. You go for a variance and I can't say you won't -- and you don't get relief, then where are you?

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, yeah.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Why don't you continue this case, re -- go back and rethink it, see if you can come up with a design and a result that meets the height requirements, and you're going to -- I think your chances of success are much greater.

ROBERT LINN: But if we continue, so what's the
earliest continuance?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May 5. John, the other thing
too is that you have measured, you said, from the areaway
up. But it -- that has to be -- you need to take the average grade.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the average.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- which --
ROBERT LINN: Well, if it's the average grade, then we're probably fine.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I think if you do the calculation, you're probably fine.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. Yeah. Okay. So we're thinking of this on the fly here, but I think --

ROBERT LINN: How about if we did this, how about if we -- you know, made it contingent on making sure the average grade conformed?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hmm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think we really need an exact number.

ROBERT LINN: All right. Um--
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because, again, it's a document -- it's a legal document. So it has --

ROBERT LINN: Yeah, no, no, I understand.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to be correct.
ROBERT LINN: So again, so, you know, just in terms of getting heard sooner, it sounds -- I guess we would -- if we're basically just going for a -- still going for a special permit and we're just doing the calculations on the average grade, would that have to be a continuance, or could we reapply? I'm just trying to figure out the way to get --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, if --
ROBERT LINN: -- heard the soonest.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you can comply, then it has to be with this case here. And --

ROBERT LINN: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- again, so that's -- it's May 5.

ROBERT LINN: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, could we allow the proponent to break away -- we have two more cases -- come back at the end of our Agenda? And if you think in that time, you could recalculate the grade, for the average grade, because if that issues goes away, it's a whole different story.

ROBERT LINN: Oh, all right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
ROBERT LINN: Well, yeah. Yeah, we can -- yeah, we can absolutely --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you think you can do it in that timeframe, and basically, you know, show us something on -- well, $I$ don't know how you show us something, but --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Remember that you'll have to convince the Commissioner, the Building Department your calculation that the grade is not over 35 feet is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
ROBERT LINN: All right. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
ROBERT LINN: Well that's -- I mean -- yeah. I mean, I'm pretty comfortable that it's not and that, you know, given that we're talking about an eight-foot areaway and, you know, we're -- the, you know, it's basically a flat site.

$$
\text { So we're sort of -- you know, we're at } 32.2 \text { all }
$$

the way around. So if you have 10 feet that's -- you know, that's down a foot below, then we're -- you know, just looking at it --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, Jim that's a good suggestion. I tell you what, John, why don't we put a hold on this case. We will hear the next two cases, let you go with your calculator, come back to us at the end of the -our regular Agenda, see what you can come up with, and then we'll deal with it then?

ROBERT LINN: All right. That sounds like a good idea.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So on the motion, then, to continue this matter to allow the petitioner some time to recalculate. All those --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We're not going to
continue it, we're going to --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're going to recess?
JIM MONTEVERDE: We don't have to vote. We're just going to give him a break.

ROBERT LINN: No, you don't have to vote, your just --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. So let's recess it, then, until you're --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Recess, there you go.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ready.

(9:17 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 154757 -- 32 Mount Pleasant Street \#1. Mr. Richard?

KEVIN RICHARD: Yes, hi. My name is Kevin
Richard, Cambridge Craftsman. I represent Henry Leitner at 32-34 Mount Pleasant Street, who has hired me to design and build a portico three feet deep and 16 feet wide.

There was originally one on the house, which was torn off in around 1920 or somewhere around there. It wasn't really clear in the records.

And the lack of entryway canopy has caused foundational damage. I had to rebuild the porch. And so we would like to create some watershed on the front of the structure of the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Note for the file that we've made some changes to the original initial submissions, which are acceptable to the Board, and let me turn it over to the Board any questions.

Mr. Alexander, any questions on the application? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, it seems to be very clear, the relief is modest. I think they are certainly entitled to relief, and I support the position.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Matina, any
questions or comments on the petition?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No, not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim Monteverde, any
questions or --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. I am
wholeheartedly in support of what you're proposing here. The building looks naked without the canopy.

ROBERT LINN: Every neighbor is hoping for a positive outcome tonight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I'm sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, any comments?
WENDY LEISERSON: No. Wendy Leiserson, a
neighbor, also in support.
KEVIN RICHARD: Thank you, Wendy.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Any -- then I'll
open it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says,
"Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
OLIVIA RATAY: BW?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think that's a left over from the Cambridge Housing Authority.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That was the same initials from before. They're still looking. They're hoping. They're really not going to be happy now.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're not building 100 units, are you, Henry? No?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Behind the portico.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. There's nobody calling in. We are in receipt of two letters.
"I am writing in enthusiastic support of our neighbors' request for a variance to add a portico for their front doors. I live next door at 30 Mount Pleasant Street. Their house is the only one on the street that does not have
a portico. Adding one will improve the appearance of the house and bring it into keeping with the rest of the street of Victorian houses.
"Sincerely,
Lear Williams
30 Mt. Pleasant Street."
There is correspondence in the file, Lance Drane, D-r-a-n-e and Elizabeth Wylde, W-y-l-d-e.
"We live directly across the street from 32 Mount Pleasant Street. We wholeheartedly recommend that the Board approve this application to add a portico over the front doors. "

And that is the sum and substance of any
correspondence. I'll close the public comment aspect of this and make a motion. Any final comments, Mr. Richard at all, or no?

KEVIN RICHARD: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No?
KEVIN RICHARD: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not at all?
KEVIN RICHARD: Thank you to the Board.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to
grant the relief requested as per the application. The dimensional form, also the drawings that are attached thereof with the dimensions.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner from adding a portico over their front porch, which is a very necessary element to keep out weather, especially snow or ice, and it is actually a safety issue.

It provides some protection then also to the front of the façade, which can be challenging to maintain regarding the weather.

The porch structure, the original porch structure, was torn down and has not been replaced. So the Board finds that we are basically restoring a feature that was part of the original structure, and the Board finds that the relief of the existing house is encumbered by the existing ordinance built prior to the ordinance, and that any addition of this nature would require some relief from the Board.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing, again, to the size and shape of the building and the lot, which
predates the existing ordinance, and is encumbered as such.
The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

And the Board finds that the porch roof will only extend partially over the porch, and will have no detriment to the public good and actually be consistent with the adjoining houses in the neighborhood.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, and will allow for a more livable and a better protection of the elements to the front of the building.

On the motion to grant the variance, Mr.
Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I approve.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson approve.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy? Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams: Approve. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: [Jim Monteverde] I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.

(9:25 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now the Board will hear Case No. 159246 -- 30 Fairmont Avenue. Mr. Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Board. This is Adam Glassman for the record with GCD Architects at 2 Worthington Street in Cambridge, here representing the owner, Janice Ye, of 30 Fairmont Ave in Cambridge.

We're here tonight to seek both a special permit and a variance for three pieces of this project, a special permit for a new door opening in the rear elevation, which is within the rear setback, a special permit to exclude the basement as FAR from the building, and a variance to install a stairwell with a vertical guardrail, the vertical guardrail being within the left side setback requires a variance.

Olivia, can you bring up the plans?
On their upper left, the rear view, there's a red box. Actually, that red box indicates a -- the location of
the -- yeah, you can zoom out. That's the location of the door we'd like to install.

The "Y" indicates stairs to be removed, and they will be replicated at the new door opening on the rear side. The box around the -- and these are boxes, because of a .pdf glitch, they're really -- they were meant to be letters.

The bulkhead, which is existing, would be converted into a stairwell, a below-grade stairwell, with a vertical guardrail.

The left side setback, or the bulkhead is within the left side setback, and therefore the stair well would be within the left side setback as well.

Lower left is a front view of the building. None of this work is visible either from the right view of the front, or the left view of the front.

Lower right-hand side, you can see the lot is small. The building for the size of the lot is large, and that is why it's the nonconforming lot.

Next slide, please?
Seeking relief for exclusion of the basement would result in a net gain of 0 FAR. Otherwise, without exclusion, we'd be increasing already nonconforming FAR,
going to a 1.42 from a 1.9. With the exclusion of the basement we can remain at the 1.19 .

Next slide?

The existing basement on the left half of the building, which $I$ should say is a five-unit building falls under the commercial code. The left side is continually all unfinished.

The right side, which is existing and remains mostly added scope of work, has two finished areas which are duplexed with the units above.

Our proposed left side basement with duplex, the new living space, with the unit above to make the unit more comfortable and more functional.

Off the back of the proposed basement, you can see the proposed stairwell extending the bulkhead well (sic) further towards the back of the house.

Next slide, please?
Our first-floor existing plans on the left, we have a first-floor bedroom, a dining room in the middle and a kitchen in the back, and stairs in the far rear, which would be removed to create a new code-compliant internal connection from the first floor to the basement in the form
of a spiral staircase.
The rest of the first floor would remain
unchanged, with the exception of a new rear egress off the back of the rear wall, adjacent to the spiral stair.

Next slide, please?
Second-floor plan, there's really no work here to talk about, as far as this application, just to show the existing plans. We've got a five-unit building, two units on the first floor, or -- I'm sorry, three units on the first floor and two units on the second floor, duplex on the third floor.

Next slide?
More descriptive photos and elevations: On the lower left, the rear elevation as it exists, and on the right the same elevation with the new door cut into the wall, which is within the rear setback.

Photos that show the location of the rear wall relative to the rear lot line, which is the fence, and a side view showing, again, the stair to be removed and the location of the bulkhead and the proximity of the proposed work, relative to the fence.

And again, there's really no impact here. This
work has no impact on the right-side abutter.
Next slide?
Another photo calling out the bulkhead to be reused and converted. Door and steps to be removed. The associated elevations, the existing door would be converted into a window, and the side view shows the new stair, which would be visible, which would be four steps.

Next slide?
The exterior work, or $I$ should say all the work, includes creation of additional permeable space, enhanced landscaping -- all work which is by right, but the -- these improvements go hand in hand with the application that we're seeking.

Next slide?
Just the surveyed site plan. Might be hard to see, but the setback envelope goes right through the building on all sides. It runs through the bulkhead and would run -- this stair would be about 12 inches over the left side setback, which is where the bulkhead is now.

And that is it. And I'm open to questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Any questions by Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Matina, any questions?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, any questions?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm just -- yes, the one question
I have is I'm trying to understand why I should look
favorably on excluding this new basement living space.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And obviously, I can see from the dimensional form that if you don't exclude it, you're, you know, you're suspiciously over the FAR --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Why should we exclude it?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, special permit -- for you to grant a special permit, you need to be convinced that the proposed use is consistent with the similar uses of the similar spaces on the street, that it has no impact on the continued uses of the existing structures on the street, and that there is no detriment to the community or the neighborhood or the city.

And that is why we do not need to show a hardship

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, I understand that. Yeah, I understand the no hardship.

ADAM GLASSMAN: So I think you should look favorably because we're causing no kind of harm or detriment or negative impact whatsoever to our abutters, who support the project.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So there's no issue of increasing the density, increasing the use and the --

ADAM GLASSMAN: No, we're not adding any parking pressure, we're not asking relief for parking, we are not increasing the height of the structure to become nonconforming. This is a non-impact proposal.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's really the one -- and it is Unit \#1?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It gets improved, and adds some square footage to it?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Exactly.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And it's a one-bedroom now, and it's a one-bedroom then?

ADAM GLASSMAN: It is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right so in that sense, it's really kind of the same animal, or is in the improvement?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Exactly.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay. All right. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Under 8.222 c$)$, in residential districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming structure not otherwise permitted in Section 8.21, but not the alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming use, provided any enlargement or alteration of such nonconforming structure is not in further violation of the dimensional requirements, so that -- and that the increase in area or volume will not increase the area or volume by more than 25 percent since it first became nonconforming.

And so the addition of the basement is not increasing the area by 25 percent, is that correct?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants" and
then click the button that says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. And you'll have up to three minutes to speak.

OLIVIA RATAY: BW:

JIM MONTEVERDE: Those are the same folks still
hanging around for the Cambridge Housing Authority.
BW: Hello, hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BW: Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
BW: Can you hear me?
Oh, wonderful. I'm so sorry that it wasn't working, Zoom. I've been trying to get through, because you asked for public comment on the 55 Wendell matter, and I have -- I -- they said that they talked to the neighbors. I am the person across the street, and they did not talk to me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.
BW: And I was deeply concerned about it. So I recognize that you've now granted the variance, but you recognized me, and then the other woman called in and talked
over me. And you never let me talk!
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I'm sorry that we missed
the call, and -- but we have settled that case, and we granted the relief requested.

BW: Yes, but I did what I was supposed to do. I called it and I -- you recognized me, and then you let that other person talk over me. So I never get a chance to say what needed to be said.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, again, I apologize for the disconnect somehow, that we weren't able to get through to you, or you get through to us, but we have resolved that case. We have granted the relief, and that's -- you know, the end of the matter.

BW: That's unfortunate. I did what I was supposed to do. I called in, I --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I understand that.
BW: -- raised my hand.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I understand that, ma'am, and I'm sorry that there was a disconnect. We didn't do it intentionally, it was -- however it happened, it happened, I'm sorry.

BW: But I'm the one that gets stuck with this
problem of this monstrosity that they're building across the street.

It clearly did work eventually, because I did it twice, and the first two times $I$ did it, it didn't work, and this is the third round where I've stayed on the line trying to get through.

So I understand you granted the variance. I'm literally in a cab on my way to City Hall, it was that important. So I don't understand what's wrong with your technology that it didn't work, but I did my part. I did what I was supposed to do. Is there any way to rescind that grant, so that $I$ can at least be heard?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. The matter is resolved, basically, on our end. So you do have a right to appeal our decision if you wish, and you can -- you know, talk to the Commissioner on the appeal process or something, but I'm not going to reopen the case at this point. It's been settled.

BW: Oh my God. So here I will call the Commissioner. I'm so sorry, it wasn't my fault.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
BW: I did what I was supposed to do.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I understand.

BW: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
BW: Thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thanks. There are no called in
-- we are in receipt of two, three letters, one from Mr. Sean Hope.
"Please accept this e-mail as my strong support for the zoning relief requested at 30 Fairmont Avenue. I'm an abutter at 30 Fairmont and reside at 22 Fairmont. I have spoken with Ms. Z and her architect about the plans and the requested relief. Fully support the application. Despite the fact that the property is nonconforming, the relief requested is modest in nature, and tailored to make the property more functional.
"The need for additional housing in Cambridge is clear in this proposal and will add to Cambridge's housing stock of renovated properties. For these reasons, I recommend the $B C Z$ approve this request for a special permit and variance without conditions."

We are in receipt of correspondence from Richard Drost, 28 Fairmont.
"I'm writing in support of the petition to grant
the zoning relief for the converted basement, new belowgrade exterior stairwell, and the new first-floor rear egress door at 30 Fairmont."

Correspondence from John Ward at 33 Andrew Street.
"I'm writing to support the petition for -- to grant the zoning relief in the converted basement." He has reviewed the plans and the proposed work is extremely modest in keeping with the existing uses and character of the abutting properties, and has absolutely no negative impact whatsoever on our neighborhood.

And that is the sum and substance of the correspondence.

Okay, Mr. Glassman anything further?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Nope. Please vote in our favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make the motion on the --

WENDY LEISERSON: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, this is Wendy Leiserson.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh yes, sorry.
WENDY LEISERSON: I did just have one more question.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Go ahead.

WENDY LEISERSON: Just thinking about the matter that Jim raised regarding, you know, why we should -- you know, not include the square footage in the basement in the FAR.

And I do want to note that there -- I mean, it looks like there's a basement -- in the basement, it's going to be lovely -- with a bath and a wet bar and a washer/dryer, which indicates to me that there is going to be gas probably down there as well.

And I just want to note that this -- given the congestion, at the moment $I$ have no concerns. But I would be concerned because it does seem to have all the facilities available for a future additional unit. And I just wonder if there's any condition that we might grant the relief requested, with the understanding that this would not be convertible in the future?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Glassman, do you want to --
ADAM GLASSMAN: I mean, we have no issue with
that. This is not a proposal for a unit. A unit would require coming back to the ZBA asking for relief.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any change, other than what is
proposed, they'd have to come back, Wendy -JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- would be my take on it. WENDY LEISERSON: Great. Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Can we just say, "Change in use"? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any other questions by Members of the Board? Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested -- the variance as per the application, the supporting statements, dimensional form, and the drawings as submitted.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the hardship is -- would -- a literal enforcement would prevent the owners from converting the obsolete bulkhead into a safe and convenient, below grade access stair, to serve the new habitable space in the basement; would provide for a code-compliant entry and also exit from the basement area.

The hardship is owing to the size and shape of the structure and of the lot and on the lot, which predates the
current zoning.
The Board finds that the current property is encumbered by the ordinance, and that any changes -petitions of this nature would require some relief from the Board.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

The Board finds that the relief being requested is fair and modest, and that the guardrail is in a location that is appropriate, and that any other location would also require some relief from this Board, and that the Board finds that the relief is fair and reasonable.

Desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. The purpose of the proposed stairway and the guardrail is to provide safe and convenient access and egress to and from the newly created basement living space on duplex Unit \#1.

There will be no increase in the neighborhood density, no new noise or light pollution created, no loss of open space, no nuisance of any kind will result from this very modest proposal, which is keeping with the use and
character of the property, the neighborhood in general, and this modest work maintains the intent of the ordinance to provide safe and code-compliant access and egress from a habitable space.

All those in favor of granting the variance? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Subject to --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Subject to the submissions and the drawings submitted, and initialed by the Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Alexander votes in favor.
Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In favor, Wendy Leiserson.
Matina Williams? I'm sorry, Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes in
favor. So that would be --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry. It's been a long night, I've been talking too much.

MATINA WILLIAMS: I hear you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. The variance, with an affirmative vote of five Members, the variance is granted. On the special permit, with the exclusion of the converted basement area from the existing nonconforming FAR?

The Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. That neither the modest exclusion of the new basement habitable space nor the rear wall door opening will have any negative impact on the abutters or the character of the neighborhood.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by what is being proposed use.

The Board also references the letters of support from abutters to the proposal.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, and in fact it would be an enhancement to provide a safe and code-compliant entry and exit from the basement area.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide safe, reliable and updated, habitable space.

On the motion to grant a special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams on the special permit?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Wendy Leiserson on the special permit?

WENDY LEISERSON: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes to granting the special permit.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the vote of five Members of the Board, special permit is granted.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
(9:46 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Back to Case No. 158423. Mr.
Lodge?
JOHN LODGE: I put on my thinking cap and I did the math, and the proposed mean grade is going to be 33.004 . BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So that you will be again --

JOHN LODGE: Which is -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- in -JOHN LODGE: -- yeah, so we'll be -- so we will -we will --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In compliance.
JOHN LODGE: -- we will be in compliance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. What I would ask, John, is that you resubmit a new dimensional form --

JOHN LODGE: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- reflecting that, before $I$
sign off on the granting of the relief, so that we can
incorporate that as part of the relief.
JOHN LODGE: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Subject to that. All right.
Have we talked this one out?
JOHN LODGE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're all set. All right. Let
me make a motion, and Jim Monteverde thank you very much for that suggestion. It was --

JOHN LODGE: Yeah, Jim, thank you very much for that suggestion.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- right on point.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I was going to -- yeah.
It was too easy of a --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- fix there.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Pam thanks you too, I'm
sure. So on the special permit, with the addition of a new dormer and areaway and the installation of the new windows in the setback, the Board finds -- the Board finds something. The Board will find --

JIM MONTEVERDE: We can't hear you, Mr. Chair. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm mumbling again.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the Board finds that -- it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neigh.borhood.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by what is being proposed use.

That there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use.

That the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board also finds that under Section 8.222 d$)$ that the Board is empowered to grant special permits for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, dimensionally nonconforming detached single-family dwelling, two-family dwelling, not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1 above,
but not the alteration or enlargement of the existing nonconforming use.

Provided there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting, dimensional nonconforming, but does not create a new dimensional nonconforming.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental to the existing nonconforming structure, or to the neighborhood.

And that the alteration or enlargement satisfy the criteria in Section 10.43. This relief is granted on the acceptance of the drawings as submitted, the dimensional form, which will be changed to reflect the new height, dimension and also the supporting statement and initialed by the Chair.

On the motion to grant the special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams to grant the special permit?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of granting the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson to grant the special permit?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes to grant.

## [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the affirmative vote of five
Members, the special permit is granted. Goodnight and good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that is our meeting for tonight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Class dismissed.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you all.
WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you, Brendan.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thank you all.
Goodnight.
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My commission expires: July 28, 2028
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