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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the February 24, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am the Chair for tonight's meeting.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22, within

Cambridge.
There will also be a transcript of the proceedings. All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it's time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I will start by asking the Staff to take Board Members attendance and verify that all Members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.

```
OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Gus Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm present.
OLIVIA RATAY: And Brendan Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan present.
```

(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Jim Monteverde

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First meeting that I'm going to call tonight is Case No. 153014 -- 26 Bellis Circle, \#26. Sarah?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening. This is Sarah Rhatigan from Trilogy Law, 12 Marshall Street in Boston. And I'm here representing the petitioners, who are the owners of this property, Tom Culotta and Mary Custic, who I believe should be on the meeting.

I was just going to ask if we could just confirm that they're signed in as panelists?

COLLECTIVE: They're on.
SARAH RHATIGAN: They're on. Okay, great. Thank you. And we should also have Grady Ragsdale, the design professional, or architect? Grady, if you could just identify yourself?
[Pause]
Sorry, with technology here I see he's asking for
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a link. Tom and Mary, if you could assist just so $I$ can continue the presentation?

Thank you very much for hearing this case this evening. This is actually the first time that this particular Case Number has been presented to the Board. You may recall the petitioners in this property being presented to you previously as a variance application.

The property's located at 26 Custic -- I'm sorry, say Mary's last name, sorry -- 26 Bellis Circle in Cambridge.

And Olivia, would you mind bringing up the image of the site plan for us?

Just to remind the Board of the nature of this application, Tom and Mary reside in the structure that's number 26 on this site plan, and this is on Bellis Circle, which is a road that takes a bend here.

And this is a property that consists of four separate structures that are part of a condominium association. Tom and Mary live in Number \#26, and they have been working very hard to design a modest addition to allow for them to improve the living space and add one useable bedroom to allow for their elderly folks to move in with
them.

And as the file -- I'm sure if the Board Members have been reading some of the correspondence in the file, one of the reasons that we filed a new application we revised design is that we've made some modifications in order to bring this in as a special permit, as opposed to a variance.

At the first hearing of the Board, we spent quite a bit of time talking about some of the elements of the variance, of a hardship, and at the last hearing it was described by Board Members that we really were hoping that we could find ways to modify the plan to bring this under a special permit standard, which is what we've done.

So the site plan that you're looking at now is not the current filed plans. I don't believe -- I think this is the earlier version.

If you could advance to -- let's see, Olivia if you could advance further in the slides?
[Pause]
I'm sorry. I think you may -- it's difficult because you have it -- just apologize, just one minute here. [Pause]

The plans should be dated October 18.
OLIVIA RATAY: These are.
SARAH RHATIGAN: So this should be --
OLIVIA RATAY: These are dated October 18.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Thank you, Olivia. So the site plan -- excuse me, let me just get to my page, apologies. And do we have -- is Grady on now?
[Pause]
So the site plan showing the revised plans -sorry, Olivia, can you just go slowly through? I'm looking for the site plan that shows the addition. Thank you. The number -- page number 6, this is the new design. Thank you. So I'm looking for the site plan that shows the addition in that orientation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sarah --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Sullivan, I'm sorry for not having the page number at hand. Once we get to the right spot in our presentation, $I$ think this will go smoothly. JIM MONTEVERDE: I didn't find one in the set, Sarah, so in the sequence of drawings, I didn't find a site plan. I saw this drawing that's on the screen now, first floor, and then the second floor, but not corresponding site
plan for the proposed condition.
SARAH RHATIGAN: There was one filed. And I'm
looking at the -- I'm looking at --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- my filed copy of it right now.
This is a duplicate copy of the originals that were filed with the plans. You did not find it, Mr. Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm going to go back to the top of everything and start again. But no, I looked before, I didn't find it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. Just bear with me. I've got to -- I've got my files in here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Looking at it there.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm puzzled as to how that can be. I am looking at page 46 of the 72-page .pdf that's posted on the online website. That's in the link from the City's Agenda.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. Yeah, there it is.
SARAH RHATIGAN: It's page -- do you see that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Page 46? And Olivia, if you are able to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it. It's on --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- go to --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- it's on the screen.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, page 46. It is on the screen, thank you. Sorry. It's been a moment there.

Okay. So this is the revised design. And what we've done is the addition is now located entirely out of the rear setback, and it is slightly -- it is slightly closer to the boundary with 1618 Bellis Circle. But it is in a conforming location, so it's seven and a half feet from that property line.

And the reason for doing this was trying to meet all of the dimensional requirements of the ordinance. The only noncompliance that we have is an increase in FAR that is modest.

The increase in FAR -- I'm sorry, the increase in gross floor area is 476 square feet. And it's bringing us from 0.40 GFA to a 0.425 GFA.

There's some discussion in an opposition letter from our neighbor about whether or not this truly qualifies for a special permit. Just one thing I wanted to clarify with the Board: This was very, very carefully reviewed with
the Commissioner, Mr. Singanayagam, and this does qualify for a special permit. This is a single-family structure. It's not townhouse. It is not connected in any way the neighboring properties.

And under Cambridge's ordinance under the revised, amended ordinance that's number Section 8.22.22.d, this proposal falls squarely within the confines of the special permit, where it's at preexisting, legally nonconforming structure that is being -- that its existing nonconformity in terms of GFA is being modestly increased in order to achieve the design goals. And the relief that we're requesting is a special permit.

You can also tell from the record that this has been a huge really difficult situation with the neighbor, and what I'm referring to by "the neighbor" most importantly is the owner at 16-18 Bellis Circle, who's stated some pretty strong opposition to the application.

And so we want to be able to respond to that, but just to point out that there has really been no willingness to kind of discuss this.

And there's been pretty strong opposition from the outset that there were suggestions that there was going to
be nothing that was going to satisfy the neighbor immediately to the right.

And under the circumstances, my clients felt that they really wanted to proceed with a modest addition, trying to keep this within, you know, within Zoning conformity to the best of their abilities, which are the plans that we're going to show you.

So if you could start with Slide \#47, Olivia, and then just run through the views of the images of the existing home? And we're just going to run through this.

So the house that we're talking about is the yellow structure. And if you see on the left the closest structure that's sort of on the edge there is the front building is the owner who has opposed the application.

The back structure, the white house, is actually an owner who's submitted a very nice letter of strong support for the application. I believe it's Dr. Erica Bial. And I believe that that letter should be in the file and hope the Board Members have read that.

Next images, please?
There have been some concerns, if you just look -this is an image taken from the back -- from the back of the
property. That's from Danehy Park. There have been some concerns that the addition was going to --quote, unquote-"block views of the park. This is a little difficult to see, but the yellow house is Tom and Mary's house.

The house to the right, the white structure there, is the rear house, the sort of pinkish structure that's right in the middle is the home of the folks who have been opposing this, and they have a very good view of directly of the park.

And the addition that's being proposed is not going to be blocking that view.

Next image, please?
These are just showing all the windows that look back at the park, even with the Culotta's plans for an addition. And I'm going to ask that you advance the slides to the plan set.
[Pause]
I'm sorry. I'm seeing some -- seeing a lot of texts clarifying that my description of which house was which is inaccurate. Was -- Grady, was that you who was texting me?

GRADY RAGSDALE: Um--

SARAH RHATIGAN: Was that yes?
GRADY RAGSDALE: The pink -- no, can you hear me? SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

GRADY RAGSDALE: Yeah, okay. Yeah, no, that was not me, but $I$ think they were just simply saying that -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Grady, introduce yourself for the record, please?

GRADY RAGSDALE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm Grady Ragsdale. I'm the architect who's assisting Tom and Mary on this project, as part of NEDC's Design Team. And I was just stating that $I$ think somebody was just trying to correct Sarah that the house to the -- that you can see on the right-hand side is 18 Bellis. And the houses between 18 Bellis and 26 are across the street.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Oh, they're across -- they're across Bellis Circle from there? Thank you.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep. Thanks for clarifying. GRADY RAGSDALE: Mm-hm.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. So if you could begin at slide number 6?

Okay. So this is showing -- there's no basement level for this addition. So if you see the angled room at
the back on the first-floor level, it's going to provide a larger living area. Again, the angled back wall of the building is in order to keep the addition within the allowed setback for the rear setback along the lot. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How does this -SARAH RHATIGAN: yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- differ than the original submission, where you are requesting a variance?

SARAH RHATIGAN: So the original submission had the back of the addition extending into the rear setback. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Yep. SARAH RHATIGAN: And so there was an encroachment into the rear. It wasn't substantial, I mean it was approximately -- my recollection is about eight feet into the setback. And it was a little further off of the side yard, so that it was approximately I believe three feet in from where the addition is located now. But it was extending, you know, further nonconforming into the rear setback.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Thank you. SARAH RHATIGAN: And we can show a design progression of how the design has changed. There were also
some other options that were considered.
We may need to share our screen to be able to show
the various options that were looked at over time. So if Board Members would like to see that, we'll be happy to do that.

If you could advance to the next slide?
And this is just showing the second-floor level. And, you know, the goal has been, again, to try to create one additional bedroom up at the top floor, and to do so in a way that can maintain the existing bathroom in its current location and not disturb the master bedroom.

Essentially, it's trying to be an addition that's as minimal in terms of changing the interior structure of the existing home and also as modest in size as possible.

Could you go to the elevations, beginning at 9?
And this is showing the elevation view from the rear of the house.

Next page, please?
This is the view where the -- if you were looking from the -- from the --

GRADY RAGSDALE: Parking -- parking --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- opposite side.

GRADY RAGSDALE: Mm-hm.
SARAH RHATIGAN: What's that, Grady?
GRADY RAGSDALE: This is the parking lot side.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. From the opposite side from the neighbor, so from the interior condominium owner.

And then this is the view from 18 Bellis Circle. I just wanted to mention in terms of privacy concerns, the new addition is showing some high windows that are not -you know, not at all level where people will be looking straight out. Those windows are also small to avoid much light spill. They're just to allow for some light and air to be able to get into that new space.

Next slide, please?
And this is the view from the front of the house. So the new addition portion is on the left, where it says, "Hardie Shiplap Siding." So this is just the view from the street, if you were to be, you know, cutting straight through the property.

Next view, please?
This is just a cross section. And if you could go to 15?

Wanted to just look a little bit about in terms of
whether there's any, you know, shading and light concerns. So on the left is just a picture from the back yard looking at the rear of the house, the -- essentially the right corner, which is where the location of the addition would be .

So you can see the white house is the house that's owned by the family that has opposed the application most strenuously.

And one thing we wanted to just point out is that in terms of light in this area, there are some very large trees, which are the reason for the photos on the right. These are quite, you know, quite large trees that provide shade, which is very nice. But they also, you know, shade that house -- the existing, without an addition being in place.

The next page, page 16, Grady, could you help us just walk through the shadow studies that you've presented here?

GRADY RAGSDALE: Sure. I mean, the goal was to -this is obviously existing conditions. And again, we can't show every moment of every day during the course of the year, but we try to show the same time during, you know, the
four seasons -- you know, January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1 and just the only times of day when shadows would be an issue for neighbors on that side, 18 and further down.

So we're just trying to show here kind of how late afternoon before the true -- actually, you know, sometimes sun is behind the trees, but it shows kind of the shadow late in the day on $a$, you know, daylight day for each of those times of the year.

And just to show -- this one shows -- is to show what kind of shadows are cast, you know, prior to the -prior to the addition being on.

You can see in some of these -- certainly in
January, when the sun is low earlier in the day, and since, you know, the trees and building are casting a shadow on 18 pretty early, same thing with July and October. So that it does get -- it already gets sun shading from the existing buildings from trees right now. So we wanted to kind of establish that as kind of a benchmark.

You can go to the next one.
And this is just showing the addition. So you can see it's same time of day, same times of the year. And, you know, similar -- you know, similar shading on it, you know,
during the course of the year.
So, the point is, you know, we're trying to
establish that it's not a dramatic change. You know, this
addition is not a dramatic change from existing, you know, knowing that the trees and the existing building are already casting shadows on the neighbors.

SARAH RHATIGAN: And the -- Olivia, I think the most recent submission, the supplementation submission, should be in here as well, which has some renderings, which we'd like to show you as well.
[Pause]
Thank you. So we had some revised renderings just to show the new design. This is a view from -- essentially from the street.

Next page?
This is a view that is taken from the house that's two to the left of our house. This is another neighbor who had expressed some concern about a loss of view. This is just showing you what the view will be like with an addition.

There still is, you know, a view of Danehy Park. I would also just point out that typically we don't think of
zoning as preserving --quote, unquote-- "views." This addition would be quite a distance away from that owner as well.

Next page?
This is just a different angle of the same -essentially the same location, which is two houses over from ours. And the last view, please?

And this is a view of the addition taken from behind, from Danehy Park. And again, this one I think provides the best support for the notion that the home at 18 Bellis Circle is still going to have quite a good view of Danehy Park. They're not going to be crowded. We're providing a full setback on that side of the lot, conforming to Zoning.

And, you know, we're really hopeful that this Board can see the project as the modest one that we think it is. And in terms of special permit requirements, we're I think meeting all of those. So there's no way in which this addition is going to be generating traffic, causing nuisance, changing the nature of the neighborhood.

The -- we're not going to be, you know, destroying the operation of neighboring properties, and we shouldn't
have concerns about privacy.
We would point out that the owners could plant some quite large, you know, evergreen trees along the border of this property, if this were something that, you know, they wanted to do, regardless of whether or not they put up an addition.

So the, you know, the fact of having an addition in this location is -- should not be, you know, a hindrance to the neighbors.

The -- I mean, the other thing we just would like to point out again to the Board is that the petitioners are just the sorts of homeowners that we're hoping that the Board will feel sympathetic to, in the sense that they are, you know, long-term Cambridge residents trying to essentially, you know, improve their home in such a way to allow for additional families to live with them and, you know, just the sorts of folks that we're trying to make some, you know, very -- you know, to allow for some relief in order to allow for them to do that.

GRADY RAGSDALE: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: We'd be happy to take questions at this point?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, let me open it to the Board and see if anybody has any questions. Any Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander, any questions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not been addressed is the fact that you're relying basically on 8.22.2d.

THE REPORTER: Gus, could you speak into your mic, please?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry. You're relying on basically Section 8.22.2d, justifying the granting of relief. That's the statutory interpretation or adoption of the Bellalta case. And then our zoning ordinance says that under our 8.22.2d, is that it's a proviso.

We have to make a finding that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing conforming structure to the neighborhood. And I'm still -- I'm wrestling with that.

You are going to diminish the views among the structures, and I can understand what a neighbor in this condominium complex would have a problem. That problem doesn't mean that you're not going to get your relief, but it's troubling to me is all $I$ can say.

If you have more words you want to add to deal
with that, I would appreciate it.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, so the -- I would respect -- I mean, you're not saying that this is detrimental, but to the extent that you're suggesting that it's detrimental, the addition -- the addition --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the issue.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- I mean, it is an addition, of course, right?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If it's substantially more detrimental, you're not entitled to relief. Well, you've got to convince us --

SARAH RHATIGAN: I -- I --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- that it's not --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- right.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- substantially more detrimental.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Right. And I think that the issue is that we don't see any detriment to the neighbors. You know, the -- certainly not a substantial detriment the neighbors. Homes have additions, have modest additions, you know, added all the time. And this is an area where there's quite a lot of land around everyone's structures.

This is not a situation where we're building within a setback, extending into a setback, you know, extending up over allowable he is. It's -- you know, we're talking about something like a, you know, a three-foot increase towards the left lot line, and --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: But you are increasing the gross floor area to the extent --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- our ordinance?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, yeah. Yes, we are. And we would argue that it is really a modest increase.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, any questions, comment at this point?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I just sort of -- I had one question for Counsel. So where this is sort of a freestanding, single-family structure, but it is part of a condominium, can -- within their condominium units just put on an addition like this, or do the owners also need permission from the condo trustees?

SARAH RHATIGAN: The -- I think that one, they have obtained permission from the condominium trustees.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm.
SARAH RHATIGAN: And the original application had the signatures of all the condo trustees included. And I believe that the record on this submission includes letters of support from I believe at least two of the other owners. But we are aware and have confirmed that the other owners all in support of the project.

Your question, you may ask, as I would expect you to ask, whether a condominium amendment would be required once this is constructed? I haven't actually looked at the condominium documents to see if they require that. But it probably will be advisable.

But in answer to your question, all the owners on this condominium land have given their consent and their approval. And I've got their strong support.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Are those signoffs-quote, unquote-- " in the special permit file, or in the variance file?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Um--
ANDREA HICKEY: I guess that's my question.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I will take a look. If they are
just in the -- so again, I know that the letters of support
that -- I believe that there are two letters of support. Mary, maybe you could just confirm for us while we're talking -- I believe that two of the strong letters of support are from owners within the condominium association, and I hope that you would agree that that qualifies as essentially the assents?

If the third owners need to, you know, confirm that their previous consent is also attached to the special permit application, we will make sure to do that as well.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I think it is important that the special permit application does contain all of the assents or support -- you know, a letter of assent, even if it's not in sort of a condo-recordable type format --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- would be sufficient for my purposes for this case. But $I$ do think it's important that the assents of all unit owners do appear in the special permit file.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
ANDREA HICKEY: And that those be sort of
considered part of this file if they're not there already. SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay.

ANDREA HICKEY: So that's all --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Would you --
ANDREA HICKEY: -- have at this time.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- would you be willing to accept
that your decision, you know, if you were to support this application would be contingent on us, you know, providing that if it's not already in the file?

ANDREA HICKEY: I would be satisfied with that, but $I$ would defer to the Chair in terms of protocol whether that's an acceptable way to bring documents from one related case to another.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would suggest to the Chair that if we do that, that there's a time limit that these consents must be part of this case within $X$ time, not just, you know, whenever. Otherwise, everything's in limbo.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It would have to be a condition of granting relief that it be submitted at a new time. But Wendy, any questions? Comments?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy, you're on mute.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, is it?

WENDY LEISERSON: Sorry. The answer is yes, I do have some questions. Can you hear me now?

COLLECTIVE: Yes.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. So I am wrestling with this case, and as the lawyers in this crowd know, and the Zoning Board, of course, 8.22.2d is the relatively new provision, and hasn't had a lot of interpretations thus far.

So I did wonder whether it applies at all to this unit. It is a dwelling unit, it's detached, and a single family occupies it. But it is, you know, within a condo development.

And, you know, even according to the Master Deed, it is listed as a unit for which the owners have no right to change anything on the exterior without the permission of the condo association.

And to me legally that, you know, there's not a definition of, "single-family dwelling" so in our ordinance per se, it's a matter of interpretation based on what we have here.

But I think that it does not have all the rights that a typical single-family dwelling would have, as I think was contemplated by Bellalta.

But even if I put aside that for the moment, I think that this section requires several things. It requires that it applies -- that this is either a singlefamily or a two-family dwelling unit. Like I said, I have questions on that.

And then it requires that there's no new, nonconforming dimension. You have the revised plans to show that you qualify for that.

But then we come to the question that Gus raised about is it substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood? And I did walk around this neighborhood. And it's a little very dense neighborhood. And I also want to note for the record that the neighbors who opposed this did not oppose the creation of this condo unit, because they preexisted. Their house was there before these four dwellings were there.

And because the Master Deed was filed in 2005 and these owners, the owners of the opposing unit or owners who are opposing this lived in the area since 2000. And they allowed the variance infringements in order that this dwelling could be created in the first place.
And as such, I think this gets us to a policy
question as well, which is $I$ think Gus raised this in the variance case, which is that here we have something that was developed -- a piece of property that was developed, they came before -- the developer came before the Zoning Board and asked for, you know, to build something that was nonconforming. And now that nonconformity is being used to create an additional -- like an extension of that nonconformity.

And I don't think that the special permit, you know, the ability to petition for a special permit under 8.22.2.d anticipates sort of a free ride. I do think that this question of what a detriment [is] is important?

And we can argue about what is substantially more detrimental or not, but $I$ have my concerns there, especially given the history, and especially given that this neighbor who now opposes this had already waived opposition for the creation of the unit that is existing now.

And then I would say that -- let's assume, though, that we agree that it's not substantially detrimental. We still have to look at the criteria of section 10.43 , Special Permit Criteria.

And in that case, I would say that, you know, the reason why homeowners are wanting to take advantage of the special permit as opposed to variance is, of course, because it's a lower hurdle.

And the finding that we have to make is that it's not detrimental to the public interest. And I would say that I'm troubled here as well, especially if you look at Section E there. Because the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance is to prevent overcrowding, prevent congestion, conserve value, yadayada. There's a lot of other things there.

And as I mentioned, this is a very dense neighborhood. This particular lot might not be, but it is addictive very dense neighborhood as a whole.

And I don't think shade from trees is equivalent to shade from buildings. So I'm unpersuaded by the argument that, well, they could block their view by putting up trees, as opposed to putting a building there. I would much rather, and I think they would much rather look at trees than a building. So I don't find that to be a reasonable comparison.

And while we don't necessarily specifically think
about view under the Zoning Ordinance, we do think about value and the conservation of value. And $I$ think it would be hard to sell anyone the idea that view and impairment of view does not affect negatively value.

Do you have any response to those concerns?
SARAH RHATIGAN: I do. Thank you. Just one thing I first wanted to clarify, and then I'll try to respond as best I can, so there were two letters of opposition.

And just to clarify, one is from the owners of -in this view the house, the white house that you can see in the view. And that's 18 Bellis Circle. That house was constructed after this development, or at least that's my understanding.

And I believe -- and Tom and Mary can just make sure I'm right on this -- my understanding is that that developer actually had to reply with requesting some relief, either a variance or special permit or both? And in fact, you know, Tom and Mary lived there previous to that, and they assented to the construction of the neighboring development.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay, thank you for clarifying. SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, no problem. It's not --
you know, it's a little confusing. The other thing to point out is that the home that Tom and Mary purchased in that development was developed previously. It was not actually -- it didn't actually request a variance or any zoning relief.

The nonconforming FAR is a little bit of a mystery, honestly. The Building Department or the Commissioner wasn't clear exactly how it was that the property was able to be constructed with over the required FAR. Not the, you know, fault of Tom and Mary the owners, they had no idea, they didn't even know what FAR was, honestly.

And -- but it is more than, you know, it was constructed with building permits, you know, duly issued, signed off on, it's existed for more than 10 years so under -- you know, legally statutorily this is a preexisting, nonconforming property.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. I agree with that. Ok.
SARAH RHATIGAN: So just in terms of, you know, who came first? Mary and Tom were there first in a -- you know,

WENDY LEISERSON: Visa one of the owners? Or one
of the buildings, you're saying?
SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry, what was your --
WENDY LEISERSON: Well, you said there are two opponents, and one of them was there beforehand, and one of them was not, correct?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. So two houses away, those folks have been there for longer. So yes.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mm-hm.
SARAH RHATIGAN: So, to the extent that you are --
WENDY LEISERSON: And the other one you were saying that there was going to be --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, yes, exactly, yeah, yep. And in terms of the -- whether this falls under a special permit standard and how you define the property. So the Bellalta case is -- obviously it's common law, you know, case law that has precedent -- precedential -- you know, some precedential value, but in this case, Cambridge has amended its ordinance and it's provided specific language. And my understanding of this -- and I think others would agree -- is that where this Board has specific ordinanced language to interpret that is what is dispositive, unless there were a court decision that were to
say, "Cambridge, your ordinance is, you know, unconstitutionally or contrary to law."

So the Bellalta case did come before. But now that Cambridge has a statute, has an ordinance in place, it's the language of the ordinance that is being interpreted in this case. And the ordinance requires --

WENDY LEISERSON: Here in the -- sorry, please go ahead. Go ahead.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the ordinance refers to structures. And "structure" is defined in the ordinance, right? And I'm just going to read the definition, then, 2.00: "A combination of materials assembled at a fixed location to give support or shelter, such as a building, bridge, trussell, tower, framework, et cetera, flagpole or the like." I just, you know, skimmed through the others.

When we talk about single-family, a single-family dwelling, you're right that that isn't defined in the ordinance. And when it's not defined in the ordinance, my understanding is you look to state building code for the definition.

And I looked up in Mass Regulations definitions of -- it doesn't say, "Single-family" but it says, "One- family
and two-family dwellings."
And I'm reading now from 780 CMR3602. So,
"Dwelling, one-family: A building containing one dwelling unit with not more than five lodgers and boarders." And then, "A dwelling unit" [without 'single-family' or 'twofamily dwelling unit'] is a single unit providing complete, independent living facilities for one or more persons, including permanent provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking and sanitation."

And I understand what you were referring to in terms of condominium ownership and ba-pa-da, but, you know, the facts of reading -- interpreting the statute and -- I'm sorry, interpreting this ordinance using the definitions that we've got, black and white definitions, there's really nothing to do, there's nothing that's concerned about are you in a condominium?

I mean, for example there's single-family homeowners who are part of homeowners' associations, where they don't have the right to build an addition without the permission of their neighbors, or there may be other restrictions on properties that prevent owners from doing anything that they want to do with their property.

But that's not dispositive on whether or not this structure constitutes a single-family structure that would fall under the 8.22.2d.

That's the best $I$ can do on that concern. I feel like this is very, very clear and as I mentioned, I did have a, you know, discussion with the Commissioner about this, and my understanding is that that's his interpretation as well. And, in fact, you know, the reason for this petition coming to you again is a special permit. The -- I'm sorry, I know I had some other notes here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Does that sort of answer it, Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: I mean, it's a reply. Yes. I think -- I don't want to take up the time to debate about condos and setbacks and zoning -- you know, the whole shebang. But $I$ know that Counsel has done her diligence in researching the question. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If it's any consolation, I did go through some of the issues you raised with the Commissioner, to make sure that it was a proper filing, and we deemed it to be such that they could apply previously for
a variance, and that and that because of the change and putting in some of the addition that they could now apply for the special permit under that section.

So, again, I also raised some issues about the nature of the condominium, and whether or not it was somewhat clear or was there an issue? And he felt that Bellalta would for the findings, Bellalta would cover this particular application. So.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you. That's helpful. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I haven't spoken yet. And I think from the very beginning, what somewhat bothered me was that it would have an effect on the other houses and the site view.

And I understand that the Chus -- or the Wus rather -- are no longer living there, but somebody will. And I sort of go back to one of the little nuggets that was presented to us -- and it was probably by, but anyhow the Blackman case -- Blackman versus the Board of Appeals in Barnstable. And I've been citing this recently because $I$ think it's very pertinent, where it says that -again, the power to vary an application must be sparingly exercised, and only in rare instances and under exceptional
circumstances particular in their nature, and with due regard to the main purpose of a zoning ordinance to reserve the property rights of others.

I think that the issues that were raised by the Wus, and also, I believe by Mr. Wong and Ms. Thomas, are somewhat valid. So I'm not there yet, as that it will not be more detrimental. So anyhow, that being said, let me open it to -- oh, Slater's not on this one. Oh, Jim, I'm sorry, I missed you.

Any comments, questions at this time, Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. My only comment is, you know, I recall the discussion in the previous hearing when it was here as a variance. So I appreciate what the proponents have done this evening in pulling the building footprint to the allowed lines and building within that. So at the moment, I don't have any objection to it, based on what I see tonight and what I reread of the previous comments.

So that's all I have as commentary. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who
wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. And we will allow you up to three minutes to speak. And I would ask Staff to monitor the time.

OLIVIA RATAY: Leah Kerr?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Kerr? Or Ms. Kerr?
ADAM KERR: Yes, hi, Board. This is Adam Kerr. I live next door to Mary and Tom at 27 Bellis Circle. I'm a resident of Cambridge for almost 50 years. I have lived in this next to them for 16 years, know Cambridge very well.

Just my comments on this: really, I know Mary and Tom have gone through the process with the Board, worked with the Legal Team.

I can speak for, you know, the neighborhood in the sense that they've gone door to door pretty much almost everyone in the neighborhood is on board with this. There's one family that's obviously not, and that's why we're having this discussion.

But, you know, it's pretty much 99 percent that are into this and want to do it, 1 percent don't.

So, you know, I just want to echo the 99 percent that would love for, you know, this to go forward, don't see a lot of downside. It seems like a reasonable project. I've never spoken with the Wus about their issues. They were kind of, you know, difficult neighbors to -- in a lot of ways anyway.

So, you know, I hope that you're able to, you know, get this through and we can move forward and live our lives and not have to deal with this anymore. Because it seems like a reasonable project, not a lot of downside, good upside for Mary and Tom. I don't see destruction in value, I see enhancement in value.

So that's just my perspective. Thank you --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, thank you.
ADAM KERR: -- for listening to me.
OLIVIA RATAY: Mary Custic?
NICOLE BARNA: Hi. This is actually Nicole
Barna. I don't know why it said Mary Custic. I guess maybe she e-mailed me the link, so apologies there, anyway. This is Nicole Barna. I live at 29 Bellis, so just kitty-
corner.

I guess I just wanted to comment quickly on Wendy, the woman on the Zoning Board, who had a couple of questions around the timing of the different developments on Bellis Circle.

And just to clarify, the gray house that you see in the picture, which is the Wu's house, is the newest house really that's been built on Bellis Circle.

So up until recently, this area was all an empty lot -- you know, a grassland lot on Bellis Circle. And so Mary and Tom's association was built, I think, in the 2000ish timeframe. But these houses, the gray houses on the right, were built much later.

So, you know, we -- the neighborhood permitted those houses to be built I think using a variance, as Wendy, had managed -- had messaged. However, obviously I think this is the time that, you know, we would like to support Mary and Tom in obtaining this variance.

And so I would just also confirm what Adam said, which is we don't see any detriment to this renovation here on Bellis Circle. We think it's only going to support the value of the neighborhood in increasing the property values.

I think as this picture shows on the screen, the house, number 18, the Wus' house, still would have a view to the park with this more modest renovation.

So that's my perspective: again, we very much support the renovation for Mary and Tom.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Nobody else calling in? There is in the file letters from Emily Kerr, from Erica Bial, B-i-a-l at 16 Bellis Circle in support. There are -- there is correspondence -- Kate Childs and Stuart Kane, 24 Bellis Circle in support... Michael Siegel, who is the President of the Bellis Circle Neighborhood Association -- reiterates his support, there is correspondence from Nicole Barna and [1:00:21 audio unclear] 29 Bellis Circle, and that is the sum and substance of the correspondence.

Also -- sorry, there is not -- there is also a letter from the Wus, who reiterate their previous opposition and restate their grounds for opposing it.

I will close public comment. Sarah, any last words?

SARAH RHATIGAN: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I will close the presentation part of it, and then let the Board discuss it among
themselves. Mr. Alexander, your thoughts?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: My thoughts. Something
about this case just troubles me. And by that, I mean the idea of granting relief. But $I$ have to say that $I$ can't enunciate what it is. And so with some reluctance, I am going to be in favor. I'm going to -- I vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: As I said before, I appreciate the changes they made to the current scheme, and I support it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: So under the lower bar, so to speak, of the Special Permit Criteria, I would support tonight's petition. I do as well appreciate the efforts to kind of revise from the presentation that was made at the variance petition. So at the moment, I would be in support of this under Special Permit Criteria.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Well, I still struggle with the -- my legal questions around this. But I defer to the Chair's interpretation of this, and I accept the conversions that both Counsel and the Chair have had with the

Commissioner in interpreting the applicability of this.
And I cannot deny that most of the neighborhood is in support. Of course, zoning is not a popularity, you know, contest. And there -- what often is the case is one out of many neighbors is most affected.

Whether they are considered to be "good neighbors" or not is irrelevant in a way, because we're talking about the property value of that house, not the personal value of the owners to the neighborhood.

That said, I think that, again, under the lower hurdle of the special permit, I would reluctantly support it as well. And it's not personal to the petitioners at all, either. I'm sure you're lovely people. And I am sympathetic to the desire to expand and have additional family to your home.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you would not be in favor of the granting relief?

WENDY LEISERSON: No. I said I would reluctantly grant it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, to construct the addition to a preexisting, nonconforming, detached single-family structure
as per the application dated 10/18/21 and initialed by the Chair and the supporting documents as submitted.

The Board finds that it appears that the
requirements of the ordinance can be met. It appears that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operations of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by what is being proposed use.

That there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

In furtherance, under Section 8.22.2d, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement
of an existing preexisting dimensional nonconforming detached single-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create any new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant such a special permit, the Board is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood. And that the alteration or enlargement satisfy the criteria in Section 10.43.

In furtherance of granting the special permit, one of the conditions is that all of the signatures to the condo association express their support that they are in favor of the application and that Counsel has advised the Board that all four are in favor, have expressed support, and we take that and will incorporate that into our decision.

That the document so stating that support by all four and signed by all four shall be in the file --

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'd say 20 days -- you know, for any decision you would render.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- prior to actually my signing the decision.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. That's in 20 days.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. So prior to my signing the decision. So on the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: As I said before, I vote in favor with some reluctance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: I would vote in favor, but subject to the approval of all the other unit owners being submitted with the special permit file by a date to be determined I guess by you, Mr. Chair. That would be my only condition.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. It would be in the -- it would have to be in the file prior to my signing the decision.

ANDREA HICKEY: So on that basis, I would support the granting of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor of the special
permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: I vote in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, based on some new information that we received and correspondence from some of the letters in support, somewhat reluctantly, but $I$ will vote in support of granting the special permit.

So five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sarah? Sarah, are you still there?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. I'm sorry. I was muted. I am.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Just some housekeeping.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Regarding Case \#127988, which was the original case requesting a variance, do I hear a motion, then, to withdraw that application from the petitioner?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. A request to withdraw that petition, please.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. On the motion, then, for the Board to accept the withdrawal from the petitioner? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. To
be protective of the petitioner, if for some reason one of those members doesn't sign the document where you're conditioned on, this will not be granted -- relief will not be granted for the special permit, and [1:10:23
indiscernible -- asked for it? -- then-will] have been withdrawn, so they have no recourse.

I would think you would want to be sure that
before this case -- the variance case -- is dismissed, you want to be sure that there's no need for the variance, because the special permit is all locked up?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Alexander, I believe that we have the last unit owners' assent by e-mail? Mary, can you confirm that's what your text was confirming?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: If you have it, fine. I
just want to be sure that you're not --
SARAH RHATIGAN: I believe we do. Is that
correct, Mary?
MARY CULOTTA: Yes. I sent you an e-mail -SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay. MARY CULOTTA: -- with the approval from Libby McDonald, yes.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, great. Thank you. Yep. So thank you for being concerned for our protection on that, Mr. Alexander. But we should be all set. We'll get those assents into the file, you know, in the next day or so. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Good, good.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. So on that motion -SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the case has been withdrawn. WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Wendy

Leiserson, $I$ was only on for those cases, so $I$ will bid you goodnight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight. Thank you.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you, Wendy.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Stay well.
WENDY LEISERSON: And you too.
(7:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now
hear Case Number 154854 -- 22 Water Street. Mr. Braillard?
ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. Adam Braillard with Prince Lobel Tye. We're at One International Place in Boston.

ANDREA HICKEY: Excuse me for just one moment. Mr. Chair, this is Andrea Hickey. I don't think I am on this case. I'm happy to sit. But I think the only other case I'm on for is Blanchard?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. On this particular case: Brendan Sullivan, Gus Alexander, Jim Monteverde, Laura, are you online?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes. Yes, I am.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, and Slater, you're on?
SLATER ANDERSON: I am here.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Tuned in. Okay. Andrea, you can have a reprise for a few moments.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. I will still be on, but not actively participating. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm sorry to interrupt, Counsel.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's keeping us honest. That's great.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Mr. Braillard, you were on.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Sure, thank you. And I apologize if I'm [1:12:57 indiscernible lying] a little bit, just please let me know and I'll go off video.

So I'm here on behalf of the applicant Starry, Inc. Starry is a little bit different than a typical wireless communications carrier. Starry is an FTC-licensed wireless internet provider which provides high-speed, lowcost Broadband Internet services to its customers.

But by doing that, it does need to install similar type communications facilities on -- generally on rooftops of buildings.

We've been in front of this Board I think about a year ago, but $I$ just wanted to remind the Board what Starry
is all about.

So with me tonight is Joe Pereira. I'm not -- I
know that he's logged in, Olivia. He might be logged in under my name, because I forwarded him the information. But Joe is Project Manager for this as well as a number of other sites in Cambridge, and is on to answer any technical questions that the Board may have.

We're here in front of the Board for a special permit to install wireless internet access facility on the rooftop of the building located at 22 Water Street in Cambridge. It's located in the PUD-6 Zoning District.

Basically -- and I'll be brief because I know that there's a lot on the Agenda -- the installation consists of small-scale antennas attached to the existing screening on the rooftop of the building.

The average size of the antennas is about 15 inches, so relatively small compared to traditional telecommunications facilities.

We've met with the -- we filed the application back in November. We met with the Planning Staff, the CDD and the Planning Board twice. The first time we met with them in early January, they did have a concern with the
design. We had originally proposed to install the antennas above the screening, so that you could see the antennas, and then they penetrated the sky from the ground.

So we redesigned and were able to install the antennas on the cases. The reason why we hadn't done that before is that there was concern from the landlord of going in front of the screen. But we were able to get them to approve that.

And we also propose to paint all of the equipment that's in front of the screen to match the aluminum screening.

So we met back with the Planning Staff last week, and they favorably recommended the design too to the Zoning Board, basically stating that it does not appear to be visible from the ground level, basically it's all smallscale antennas at about 160 feet in the air.

We filed updated photo simulations and plans midlast week, and were in front of the Board. So I think that's really it, unless the Board has some questions for our proposal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any questions by any Members of the Board regarding the application?
[Pause]
No? Hearing none. Okay. Let me just go through the pro forma. Under Section 4. --

ADAM BRAILLARD: Mr. Chair, sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 4.32d, Footnote 49, the Board of Zoning Appeals shall consider the scope of or limitations imposed by any limitations imposed by any license secured from any state or federal agency have jurisdiction over such matters.

Your submittal states that your applicant meets all of the requirements imposed by the government, and having jurisdiction over the proposed facility, and that this is an existing location that is being upgraded with new technology to provide the necessary coverage to the subject area of Cambridge, and there are no limitations in that license.

The Board shall also consider the extent to which the visual impact of the various elements of the proposed facility is minimized through the use of existing mechanical elements on the building roof or other features of the building as support and background.

Through the use and materials that in texture and
color blend with the materials to which the facilities are attached or other effective means to reduce the visual impact of the facility on the site.

And the Planning Board and Community Development did critique the original application and have now improved the revised plan.

The Board finds that the applicant proposes to install a facility within the PUD-6 Zoning District. To the extent that the City considers their PUD a residential district, the applicant has provided that nonresidential uses predominate in the area.

It is bounded by major commercial highways. Also there are major buildings, commercial, industrial, and also, a Mass Bay Transportation industrial railway adjacent to this, so that -- sub note 45 regarding the -- 49 regarding the residential district -- I would find does not apply in this instance.

Further, the applicant through the submission complies with the Special Permit Criteria and that the requirements of the ordinance appears to be able to be met. And, furtherance, that there would be other requirements that appear to be met.

Let me -- seeing how there's no other questions by Members of the Board, let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. Correspondence that we have is from the Planning Board dated February 16. The Planning Board had previously recommended a redesign of the wireless communication equipment installation to improve the visual appearance to complement the architecture of the building.

The Planning Board reviewed the revised installation proposal during a meeting on February 15, 2022, and found it to be more aesthetically appropriate, because the equipment no longer appears to be visual from ground level.

Board Members also noted that this proposal is to accommodate a new wireless communication provider in the city, which is good for Cambridge.

The Planning Board decided to forward a positive recommendation to the BZA to consider the revised proposal for the installation. That is the sum and substance of any communication. I will close public comment part.

Any other questions by Members of the Board? Any further comments by Mr. Braillard? None?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: None.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: None. Okay. Let me, then, make a motion to grant the relief requested as per the application and the drawings, supporting statements, and the visual signed and initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that under 10.43, the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The proposed installation will not obstruct the existing right of way or pedestrian access, and will not change the daily conditions of access, egress, traffic, congestion, hazard, or character of the neighborhood.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent are, as permitted in the zoning
ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that the modification of the existing facility will blend in with the existing characteristics of the building and the surrounding neighborhood.

And the Board notes the letter of support from the Planning Board and is incorporated by reference.

The Board finds that the surrounding properties and general public will benefit from the potential to enjoy an improved wireless facility.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the residents of the city.

The Board finds that the proposed use will benefit the city and promote the safety and welfare of its residents, businesses and drivers by providing reliable, state-of-the-art, digital wireless data services.

The Board finds that the proposed location of the facility is necessary for the effective deployment of the applicant's network.

The Board finds that the proposed facility will reserve and increase the amenities of the city by enhancing telecommunication services.

The Board finds that the proposed install will not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The applicant's submittal shows that the facility is designed to blend in with the existing characteristics. The design result is a minimal impact on the underlying and adjacent zoning district, and is consistent with the purpose of the ordinance.

The Board also finds that the proposal will contribute to the security, safety from fire, flood, panic and other dangers by providing more reliable wireless coverage with emergency 999 enhanced emergency services.

In furtherance, the Board finds that the work -that based upon the information presented to the Board, if we grant the relief requested as described in the petitioner's submitted material and the evidence before the Board that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, as initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

That should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to an extent reasonably practicable.

The petitioner continue to comply with the conditions imposed by the Board with respect to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

That In as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:

That the petitioner shall file with the
Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with Inspectional Services shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.

That in the event that any the federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the
basis for such claimed failure.
The special permit granted shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked or terminated.

That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice containing such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of the termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraphs a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner of the geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a)
he or she has such responsibility, and that he or she has such responsibility, and that
b)
that the equipment being installed pursuant
to the special permit, will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

Any other conditions? That sort of covers it, doesn't it?

On the motion then, to grant the special permit for the installation of the telecommunications facility at 22 Water Street, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde voting in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Voting in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson voting in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, Brendan Sullivan, votes in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The special permit is granted. Thank you, Adam.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And we return to our regular Agenda for the night. And Mr. Monteverde will be chairing the first case, 23 Blanchard Road.
(7:26 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, Andrea Hickey, and Laura Wernick

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, so the Board calls Case No. 159662 -- 23 Blanchard Road. Is there anyone here wishing to be heard? Katherine Mahoney, are you on the line? Can you unmute yourself?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: Can you hear me now?
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.
KATHERINE MAHONEY: Okay. I don't know how to put my picture on, but that's okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, you don't have to, we'll do that for you, so.

KATHERINE MAHONEY: Okay, great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Olivia, could you bring up that file, please?
[Pause]
Thank you. Katherine, can you see the screen?
KATHERINE MAHONEY: Yes, I can.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So if you want any other
views, just let us know which one you'd like to flip to. KATHERINE MAHONEY: Okay, great.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You want to walk us through your proposal?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. This is a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: You know what the special permit conditions are, correct?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: Yes, this is a --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go right ahead.
KATHERINE MAHONEY: -- a special permit for 23
Blanchard Road, which is my home since I've -- since 1964, and lived in Cambridge, born in Cambridge. And every one of the rest of the family is deceased, and I would like to move back into Blanchard Road. But it needs some repairs.

So this is what I've proposed: Is putting a new front door, the front of the lot -- a new front door, because I think the steps and things are out of code.

And putting up -- pushing out in the back part of the yard a structure that's attached to the kitchen with a bathroom and a laundry room on the first floor, and to redo the bathroom on the second floor, and to make a master
bedroom on the second floor also.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And you're asking for relief for a Table of Dimensional Requirements? I'm assuming [that your setbacks from the property lines] (sic)?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: Yes, they are.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And you're -- looking at the diagram we have up on the screen, I'm assuming it's not the front porch, it's the rear addition, is that correct?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: The rear addition, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So you don't have the -- you're following the same line as the existing house, but you don't have the -- you don't have the setback requirement on the addition, on the side yard? Is that correct?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: On the -- no, not going on the side, just to the back.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So the rear yard setback?
KATHERINE MAHONEY: To the rear, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Okay. Do you have anything else to add?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: No, I would just like to mention that, you know, it was a home that we had since '64. And, you know, I'm now a senior citizen myself, and I would
like to do the -- you know, change the house to consider myself a senior citizen and beyond, so I can stay there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. And -- excuse me if you said this in your presentation, but what will the addition toward the rear allow you that you don't have now?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: Oh, that I don't -- a larger
kitchen and a place to put a table -- basically a table.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Oh, great, yes. That's helpful. Thank you. KATHERINE MAHONEY: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. There are also some windows that are in the side yard setback. You're not moving any windows?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: I'm not.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's really just the window that's in the dining room, that's in the side yard setback? KATHERINE MAHONEY: Yes. Yes. Yes. Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Is there anything else? KATHERINE MAHONEY: No, not that $I$ can think of. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. KATHERINE MAHONEY: I think that's basically it. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Members
of the Board?

KATHERINE MAHONEY: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Anybody have any comments or
questions?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no comments or questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Andrea? Are you on this one?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm on this one, and I have no comments or questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: No comments or questions. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Then the Vice-Chair
moves to accept or favor the request for a special permit at 23 Blanchard Road, subject to the work to proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Vice-Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me, Jim, open the matter to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. Public testimony.

Sorry. Trying to speed right along. Are there any members of the public who wish to speak on this matter?
[Pause]
Olivia, do you see anybody waiting to be heard?
OLIVIA RATAY: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Then back to my speech.
KATHERINE MAHONEY: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the Chair moves that the Board accept the request for the special permit for 23 Blanchard Road, and that subject to the condition that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Vice-Chair. And I will call the Board Members for a vote.

Gus?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Voting in favor, please.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Matter accepted. Thank you.

KATHERINE MAHONEY: All right. Thank you all very
much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bye-bye.
KATHERINE MAHONEY: Bye-bye. And I will turn the golden scepter back over to you, Mr. Sullivan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Jim. Well done.
(7:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura

Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 159906 -- 168 Hampshire Street.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, this is Andrea Hickey speaking. I am signing off. Should a conflict come up, or if I'm needed during the night, someone could call me on my cell phone, I'm happy to step in.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're the best. Thank you. ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Have a good evening.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Stay well.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thanks.
SLATER ANDERSON: Thanks. Goodnight.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Rungta? Brookline Bagel
Factory?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Unmute. Yeah, I'm sorry, I was unmuted. I'm not, like, very tech-savvy. I apologize. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's okay. No problem. If you would introduce yourself for the record and tell us what you would like to do.

GOPAL RUNGTA: Yeah. My name is Gopal Rungta. I'm a Cambridge resident the last 12 years, when we moved from Florida. And I've been in the industry pretty much the last 40 years, and $I$ had three restaurants in Cambridge. I was part of MIT Dining. I had one in Student Center, I had one in CambridgeSide Mall, and the Mall had closed due to COVID. And I have one on Magazine Street, 114 Magazine Street, left.

And this is where we are planning to put a bagel shop, coffee shop, small -- for the locals living down there; a small joint, a Cambridge resident, a Cambridge, small, family-run business and we need your support.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The nature of the business is that you're going to open up a bagel retail store, you're going to produce the bagels on location?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Hold on. Your question is if we are going to bake the bagels in the location?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The question -- is it just takeout, it's like a grocery store, or will --

GOPAL RUNGTA: No.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- people come in, order a
bagel and sit down somewhere in the premises and eat the bagels?

GOPAL RUNGTA: The bagels will be coming from outside. It's not a grocery store. It's like we're going to have quick-oven toasters, they bake it, make sandwiches and coffee. And we have a few seating for people to sit down, do their homework -- students -- and just have a breakfast or lunch.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the hours of operation?
GOPAL RUNGTA: Hours of operation? I mean, if you look at it, normally a bagel shop opens at, like, 7:00 or 6:00 in the morning, they close at 3:00.

But what I'm trying -- like, if I'm putting in sandwiches, I would like to open until, like, 9:00, 10:00. That's the idea, which is crossing my mind up and down. I'm not 100 percent about it. That's the game plan right now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Seven days a week?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Five days a week?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Sorry, sir?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Will you be open seven
days a week?
GOPAL RUNGTA: That's the idea. Again, seven days
a week. But, again, at the same time it might be six days closing on Mondays, but yes for now the idea is seven days.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not going to really hold you to it, just trying to get an overview as to the operation.

GOPAL RUNGTA: I understand, certainly.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- focus in on the details, but just want to get an overview. Previous use of this location was a dry cleaner.

GOPAL RUNGTA: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that correct?
GOPAL RUNGTA: Yes, sir, and before the dry cleaners, the building came up in front of me around seven or eight years ago. There used to be a Taco Bell there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Used to be a Kentucky Fried Chicken.

GOPAL RUNGTA: That was -- I think that was opposite, where 7-Eleven is.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.

GOPAL RUNGTA: If I'm not mistaken. I'm not 100 percent certain.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What about trash
collection, for people who come in? Are there going to be receptacles, so they can deposit their trash and not have it on the street?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Can you hold on for one minute with me? I'm not -- I'm having a little hard time hearing. Hold on. Just one minute.
[Pause]
I can hear you better now. Go ahead.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Give me a second. Any
questions by any Members of the Board? Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions at this time?

SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions. No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak
should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of communication from a Mr. Andrew Groh, G-r-o-h, 306 Columbia. He lives on Columbia Street in Cambridge.
"I am writing to support zoning relief for The Bagel Factory. It's a wonderful addition to the neighborhood, and I and many of my neighbors have been looking forward to it. Please approve the relief they request."

I have correspondence from Alan Sadun, $S-a-d-u-n$, 24 Union Street.
"I live 1500 feet from the proposed bagel place, and support allowing it to open. I assure you that when the bagel place opens, I will make those bagels disappear very quickly." He is a bagel aficionado.

A correspondence from Shane Woolley, W-o-o-l-l-ey .
"Please approve the special permit for Brookline Bagel Factories in Cambridge - languishes in an inhospitable bagel desert" and stop the bagel [1:43:20 indiscernible] approve the permit."

Correspondence from Alex Wang -- W-a-n-g, 45
Market Street, who also is in favor of a bagel location.
Correspondence -- that is the sum and substance of the correspondence. I will close the public comment.

There are some other requirements that you have to meet under 11.30:

In considering the application for a special permit for the fast-order food establishment, and an automobile-oriented fast-food establishment, the Board shall find in addition to the other criteria specific to Section 1040 that the following requirements are met:

The operation of the establishment shall not create traffic problems -- and the presentation is that it will not.

That it will not reduce available parking.
That it will not threaten the public safety in the streets or sidewalk, or that it will encourage or produce double parking on the adjacent public streets.

And I will request the petitioner that you pay special attention to that if people are calling in to pick up their bagels and whatever else you're selling there that they not double park and not encourage it or discourage it and have them pull them around the corner.

The Board finds that the physical design, including colors and use of materials, shall be compatible with and sensitive to the visual and physical characteristics of other buildings, public spaces and uses in the particular location.

The Board finds that -- and you're not requesting any sign really from the sign ordinance, so whatever sign you put up there will be in compliance with the sign ordinance.

The Board finds that the establishment fulfills a need for such a service in the neighborhood, and the Board refers back to the letters of communication to the Board from neighbors who fully support the location and the need for such at this location.

The establishment will attract patrons primarily from walk-in trade, as opposed to drive-in automobiles, and the presentation is that it be neighborhood and walk-in
trade.
The establishment shall, to the greatest extent feasible, utilize biodegradable materials in packaging the food and utensils and other items provided for consumption. And you attest to the fact that your wrappings and utensils and everything will be biodegradable, yes?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Yes, please.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
The establishment shall provide convenient, suitable, and well-marked waste receptacles and encourage patrons to dispose of all packaging materials, utensils and other items provided with the sale of food.

You will also provide receptacles inside the establishment, and is it possible to provide a receptacle outside, in case somebody wanders out the door and has a wrapper or something like that they could dispose of? Is that possible?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Yes, sir.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The establishment complies with
all state, local requirements applicable to ingress, egress and use of all facilities on the premises for handicapped and disabled persons?

GOPAL RUNGTA: Yes, sir.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. If there are no other further questions by Members of the Board per this special permit, 0.44 (sic), I'll make a motion, then, to grant the permit.

THE REPORTER: Please speak into the mic?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can't --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can't hear you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I'm sorry. The Board finds
it appears that the ordinance -- the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operations of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that there would not be any
nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit to operate a bagel shop at 168 Hampshire Street? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, Brendan
Sullivan, votes in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Four (sic) affirmative votes;
the special permit is granted. Good luck, Mr. Rungta. GOPAL RUNGTA: Thank you, sir. Thank you very much.

Got it!
COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
LAURA WERNICK: Cute.
(7:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number 157017 -- 69 Chilton Street.

TIM BOOMER: Hi, this is Tim and Isa. We'll be representing this project. My name is Tim Boomer and I've owned -- well, we've owned -- 69 Chilton Street since 2016.

It's the upstairs condo of a two-family condo association building, and we're asking for a special permit for the addition of a 15-foot dormer with proposed windows in a setback for the addition of a bedroom and a bathroom.

ISA BOOMER: We are expecting our first child, a girl, due July 4. And in summary, we have two reasons to seek additional space.

One is the fact that we both work from home and we don't see that changing in the foreseeable future.

And two is the fact that our families live abroad, and with the arrival of our child, we will be expecting a lot of visitors. So we need both an office and space for
grandparents.
TIM BOOMER: We have worked with an architect,
Paul Mahoney -- he's a local architect -- throughout the whole process. He worked with us on the design and on the application, and he was planning to do the presentation with us today.

Paul also volunteers to lead youth groups and to provide housing in disadvantaged areas, and he was asked to go away this week to Appalachia to work on some projects.

So he did talk us through the application, and we're going to represent ourselves today.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. Chilton Street? Sorry to interrupt you.

TIM BOOMER: No problem.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Sure. I think --
[Side conversation, Brendan and Constantine]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, maybe I'll have Olivia pull it up on the -- we can download the -- I had a couple questions initially on it for Mr. Mahoney. Mr. Mahoney is the architect?

TIM BOOMER: That's correct, yeah. Paul Mahoney. I believe there were two questions which were passed on,
which Olivia passed on to us a couple of days ago.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Somehow it got
misplaced, so.
[Pause]
Well, that's okay. Could I have -- Olivia, if you
could pull a -- elevation of the dormer? The proposed elevation, left elevation where it says, "option" that the -- at the very top of the roof it shows 15 feet.

I had asked Mr. Mahoney what the dimension was at the very bottom. And he's indicated that it is "twenty foot seven". So in my eyes, that's a 20'7" dormer, going by the extreme now.

In his correspondence dated today, you stated that the sloped extensions are for aesthetics, allowing for a sloped, shingled side of the dormer.

But if the Board feels this is not beneficial, the slope extension beyond 15 can be eliminated, so the dormer is 15 feet at the top and at the eave, at the bottom.

And you're in agreement with that?
TIM BOOMER: Yeah, that's correct. This was really an aesthetic thing to try and help it blend in. We're indifferent, as long as we can prepare the additional
space. So we're happy either way.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that it has -- does it have any beneficial space on the inside at all, or not?

TIM BOOMER: No. It's not being included in the floor plan that we've done, so we're assuming now. It was -- in discussion, we just originally discussed the deeper dormer, and when Paul was drawing it up, he suggested this might be a way to make it look more attractive from the outside.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: The reason for the Q : Is that -- you may not be aware -- the City has dormer guidelines; not legally required, but we strongly enforce them. And one of those requirements is that the dormer can't be more than 15 feet in length.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the reason why, as Mr. Sullivan is pointing out, that we've got to cut back the angle. It may be nice for aesthetics, but it doesn't work from the City's point of view.

TIM BOOMER: Okay. I mean, we're happy either way. It's not a -- it's not crucial to the planning.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, we'll see what the other Members of the Board -- how they feel on what is proposed.

The other issue was that you were listed as the owner of 69 Chilton Street Condominium Association, and I have asked if it was a condominium association that the other owners -- we also require their approval.

Correspondence came back today to the City of Cambridge. "We the undersigned, representing the 6971 Chilton Street Condominium Association acknowledge and agree to the proposed renovations by the owner of Unit 69, as set out in the Condo Association meeting held on February 22, 2022, whose minutes have been approved and signed on February 24.
"The main points on the renovation include the addition of a dormer structure per the plan, the addition of skylights and windows per the plan, the addition of a bathroom to the third floor of the property per the plan."

And the -- Tim Boomer, you have signed it and Frederic Boutaud -- B-o-u-t-a-u-d, 71 Chilton Street has also initialed it.

So those are the two issues that I had was the
length of the extreme of the dormer, and also, the Condo Association makeup and their approval. So both are in the file.

Any questions by Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: With the modification you just talked about regarding the length of the dormer, I have no problem, I'm in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, Jim Monteverde, any questions at all, or?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Just one question. I think if I recall, don't the dormer guidelines also suggest that the top of the dormer be set down from the roof, the main roof ridge?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I'm looking at your section, but --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. The dormer guidelines call for it coming down the ridge. However, there's somewhat of an exception to that, where that you can go to the ridge if you come in three foot six on either side, and the they've actually called for it to be line with the
outside wall.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, exactly. Right. And I
think that's the case here.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which it is, or --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. And I see from the section
that the proposed section basically gives you a ceiling
height of right at the ridge of 7'4.5" So I assume you can't come down from the main ridge, because you'll be starting to pinch your head height at the outside edge of the wall. Correct?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. That's the only question I had.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm just trying to read through this thing quickly, Jim. "The preferred setback should not be -- should be at least one foot from the ridge. If, however, the dormer meets the recommended setback for side and front walls, the dormer may start at the ridge beam."

And they're actually -- the dormer meets the extended setback for the side, which is 3'6" and it really should be up 1'6" from the walls.

The dormer roof may start at the ridge line. This
does not appear to come up 1'6". It lines up with the outside wall of the house, so that is one deviant from the guideline on that issue.

It's somewhat difficult to understand, and I think
our architects will want to go to the outside wall.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right, exactly.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You know? And it makes it kind of a funny detail on the inside also. So I would allow some latitude on that issue, anyhow. Slater, any questions, comments?

SLATER ANDERSON: No, you've -- we were right on the same wavelength. I was curious about the width questions, or the shape of that dormer and its compliance. And I don't have an issue with it extending to the exterior wall of the ridge there, for practical reasons. So all good. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And you would be in favor of -- at the gutter line that would only be 15 feet and not more?

SLATER ANDERSON: Yes, that's -- yeah, I agree with that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Right.

SLATER ANDERSON: Correct. You bring it into compliance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I'm the same, bringing it into to the 15-foot compliance, I'm fine.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. There's nobody that's calling in. The Board has expressed their opinion. I make the motion, then, to grant the relief requested to allow for the construction of a dormer, as per the application. The drawings and the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted are accepted and initialed by the Chair.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan, the application shows the dormer with the base to be more than 15 feet. So subject to that not being the case; that the length of the dormer be 15 feet top to bottom.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And side to side, correct, yes. That will be incorporated by reference as a condition.

The Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed will not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operations of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

In furtherance, the Board also may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting
dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family
dwelling, not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, provided that there was no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create any new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant such special permit, the Board is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria as stated in Section 10.43 for the granting of a special permit.

On that motion, anybody who was in favor?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, Jim Monteverde voting in favor.

SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson in favor.
LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick voting in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.

## [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes with the
one caveat that the dormer not exceed 15 feet at the top, and also, at the gutter line or at the eave line, and so noted. The Chair votes in favor and five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted. Good luck.

TIM BOOMER: Thank you very much.
ISA BOOMER: Thank you.
TIM BOOMER: Really appreciate your time.
(7:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number No. 159243 -- 7 Oakland Street.

GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: Good evening. Can you hear me?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: Good evening. My name is Giovanni Berlanda, and I'm the owner of the property at 7 Oakland Street.

I purchased the property in 2014 after living five years in the neighborhood, and I did a large renovation with the help of Stephen Hiserodt, which is also the architect helping me tonight for this project.

We designed that house to have an open space on the third floor open to the living room below and two bedrooms on the first floor for my wife and for the two children.

The first child was born in 2015 and the other in 2019. However, in 2021 we got the third child, which is now three months old, so we need to rethink how we organize the space in the house, because the single -- because the bedroom in the first floor is not big enough for having three children sleeping in it.

So we are thinking of using the space on the third floor as our master bedroom, so the three children can share the two bedrooms on the first floor.

I'm also committed to install an electrical solar system on the roof that will be created with the addition we are asking the special permit for, because I'm trying to cut back on burning natural gas for heating in the house to try to limit CO2 emissions.

Stephen will help me describe to the Board the project and the thinking that went behind the what we are trying to achieve.
[Pause]
GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: I'm not hearing Stephen yet.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Can you --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Could you pull up maybe the
third floor?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Can you hear me now?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay. We're asking for a
special permit in accordance with Section 8.22.2d, which is as we know, the -- basically increasing an existing nonconformity. The only nonconformity we have that we are affecting is the front yard setback.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is this Steve speaking?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Excuse me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Is this Stephen speaking?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, it is. This is Stephen.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. If you could please introduce yourself.

STEPHEN HISERODT: I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Steve Hiserodt from DH
Architects.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Just continue.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Olivia, were you by chance able to put in the illustrative sketches that I provided? Okay. I guess since I have the feeling that this will come down to
a discussion on dormers and dormer guidelines, there are some mitigating circumstances that led us to the design that we ended up with.

We originally -- the original goal, or Giovanni's original goal was to put an entire third floor on. And as we went through the process -- and we have the available square footage -- we realized after doing some solar analysis, that we would have impacted his neighbor to the south side, which that house is over three feet away.

And it would have impacted --
GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: It's on the north side.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- it would have impacted his solar exposure. [So I can't operate the mouse, can $I$ for pointing?] The top figure is sort of a massing what the original plan was. But it shed too much shadow on the neighbors' solar panels on their roof.

So we rejected that scheme, which left us the only place to develop as the south side of Giovanni's roof. We considered putting a flat roof addition up there, but that would have been $I$ think worse for the existing form of the house.

And where we landed was if you scroll down to the
bottom massing is a dormer with the full length of the roof in that section. Really, the only way to give them the space needed, which obviously does not reflect the dormer guidelines. It's 21'8" long. It's a little tight on the edges.

But given our removal of any development on the south side so our neighbor wasn't affected, we didn't have much other surface to deal with.

With respect to the dormer guidelines, we -- if we go to the site context section for Dormer Guidelines, it states that interior lots will not be looked at as carefully as, say, corner lots more visible lots. We are on an interior lot.

Olivia, if you go to the next page?
If we look at the actual exposure from -- first we're on a dead-end street, so there's very little traffic on the street. It's a very narrow street, you can hardly see the tops of any of the buildings. Most of the buildings are three-story, triple-decker, flat-top buildings except for Giovanni's building and his neighbor.

But you see the pictures, the photos on the left basically illustrate how little of his house is actually
visible from the public way. And it's only visible for a distance of about 60 feet at the most, 30 feet at the least.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I appreciate where you started off and where you've wound up; however, and -- but if you are appraised of the dormer guidelines and the dormer guidelines call for a dormer not to exceed 15 feet, and you're at 21'7", and --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- -- and the other dimension I
had looked for was the edge of your proposed dormer, the edge of the roof. And I didn't get that. What you basically submitted was just a six-inch overhang. STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How far in from the edges of the existing roof is the proposed dormer?

STEPHEN HISERODT: You mean from the front face of the dormer, or from the sides?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, from the side walls of the dormer?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah, it's only six inches in. Very short distance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, it's got to be more than
six inches. That's the overhang of the proposed roof of the dormer for the side wall. The side wall of the dormer to the outside edge of the existing roof?

STEPHEN HISERODT: To the -- oh, the outside of the roof, or the wall that the roof is supported by? I usually dimension it to the wall that the roof is supported by, so that the roof overhang is about a foot. So we have 18 inches from the edge of roof to the dormer wall.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, how much was that again?

STEPHEN HISERODT: 18 inches -- one foot, six inches.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: One-foot-six, okay. STEPHEN HISERODT: So we're a little shy in that respect.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But I'm reading the dormer guidelines, and you saw where it says, "15 feet." I know that you're accentuating one positive aspect of the dormer guidelines in the site view, but that doesn't square with the length of the dormer that is proposed, which I think is excessive, and I don't see -- what are you basically doing? You're increasing the size of the room at the third floor?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Basically the size -- so it's not changing the length, and it's basically a bedroom with a full bathroom? Is that what the proposed uses will be?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. It's a master bedroom and --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: A master bedroom?

STEPHEN HISERODT: And bathroom.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And --
STEPHEN HISERODT: The dormer has to encompass that bathroom area, or the ceiling will not be high no to put a bathroom there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But the bathroom is functional now, and you're not --

STEPHEN HISERODT: It is functional as sort of a secondary bathroom, but it is very tight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: The bathroom -- if I can add extra information -- is so tight that you can only have one person inside it at a time. If we look at the drawing, you will see that there's really no space to move in the bathroom the way it is now. You can stay between the
toilet and the sink, but you cannot be there two adults.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. So it increases the -from side to side, it's an increase of how many feet? The width of the room?

STEPHEN HISERODT: From side to side, oh, the master bedroom?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, the master bedroom.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Oh, from side to side. Right now, there's only about eight feet of space that is --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: From say to the stairway to the opposite wall?

STEPHEN HISERODT: So it's an additional six feet. So you get about 12 feet of usable space with the door in there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to any comments or questions by the Board. Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I cannot support what's being proposed. The departure from the dormer guidelines is too severe. I hear your reasons why, and I'm -- we've done this before, and I'm prepared to accept something that's -in excess of the dormer guidelines, but not to the extent you're proposing.

So I'm not in favor of granting relief to the petitioner.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, any comments or
questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I would agree with the previous comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Slater Anderson, any

```
comments, questions?
```

SLATER ANDERSON: No, I -- again, I'm aligned with your thinking on -- you know, on this. The dormer is bigger than what we're -- the guidelines allow for. But I also have some sympathy for the challenges. And it sounds like it's not an FAR issue, necessarily, right? That's not my understanding?

STEPHEN HISERODT: No, we've got -- we're well below the --

SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, yeah.
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- allowable FAR.
SLATER ANDERSON: And I respect not wanting to impact your neighbors by going with, you know, on the other side to, you know, mitigate. So those are my thoughts.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Well, I mean, if we could under
the same section of the zoning code put a flat-roof addition on there, it seems to me in this case, anyway, that we're sort of -- we're being penalized by the fact that we're trying to put a dormer there instead of a full third-story addition, which wouldn't be impacted by the dormer guidelines.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, you know, it may be a rethinking of the design. But anyway. Laura Wernick, any comments or questions at this time?

LAURA WERNICK: No, I'm trying to cut it back slightly. And it's -- you know, I am sympathetic to the need for the space and the tightness of the space. And I'm not seeing an easy way to do that, certainly. I would not like to see a flat roof on this building. I think that would be not beneficial to anyone.

So if there's some way to pull it back slightly, make it not quite as long, get a little closer to the 15 foot, I would like to see that kind of option.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Giovanni, would you be willing to consider taking another look and continue for if that is an option? I guess I should make sure the Board is okay with that as a possibility, continuing to investigate?

GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: Yeah, I would agree with that. We need to rethink how we organize the space inside and see what we can do.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me, then --
STEPHEN HISERODT: Is the Board amenable to that solution?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, yes. No, I think that's a wise course of action on your part. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to allow the petitioner and the architect to reconsider the proposal and also consider the comments of the Members of the Board to be cognizant of the dormer guidelines and try to pull in.

And again, you know, looking at the elevation, you know, it could be that the whole roof -- the whole third floor -- and again, it's going to be maybe more work and what have you, but it could also maybe shift a little bit toward the back, which will allow you to go sort of lateral rather than side to side.

But anyhow, that's -- I'll leave that up to you.
We are available -- April 28 would be the first available. Mr. Alexander, are you available on the twentyeighth?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I am.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, are you available on the twenty-eighth?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I will be.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, are you available on the twenty-eighth?

SLATER ANDERSON: I will be. And I will concur with what you said, I think. Pulling the front -- the street side of the dormer back is what $I$ think is the right approach on that. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Laura. Are you available on April 28?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes, I am. I expect to be.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the petitioner and the architect are available on the twenty-eighth to come back?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes.
GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to April 28,2022 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of April 28 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

That the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory
requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof, and that said waiver and the form can be obtained from Maria Pacheco. She can send it to you if you could send it or Giovanni sign it and send it back. And that be in the file by a week from Monday --

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- by 5:00 p.m. on a week from
Monday. And to the extent that there may be new plans or dimensional form submittals, that they be in the file on the Monday by 5:00 p.m., the Monday prior to the April 28 hearing. Any other conditions?

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater on the continuance?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura on the continuance?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote in favor of the
continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, Brendan Sullivan, votes yes on the continuance.
[All vote YES]
This matter is continued until April 28 at 6:00
p.m. See you then.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you. GIOVANNI BERLANDA-SCORZA: Thank you.
(8:17 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number 159893 -- 31 Healey Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. For the record, James Rafferty appearing on behalf of the applicants, Frances Arnold and Robert Stern.

This is an application by Ms. Arnold and Mr. Stern to put a small kitchen addition on the first floor of their home on Healey Street.

The home is located under the Residence A-2 Zoning District and the application seeks to allow for an increase in a preexisting nonconformity, namely the gross floor area of the house today exceeds the allowed FAR.

We've applied for a special permit pursuant to the provisions of 8.22.2b. The proposed work is consistent with the criteria under that provision, insofar as there is no change in use and that there is no new nonconformities
created, and that this addition will not be more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing condition.

If you've had an opportunity to see the floor plan, you can see it really is a somewhat narrow addition towards the rear, totaling slightly more than 325 square feet.

And the proposal will allow for a modern redo of the kitchen. If you look at the existing floor plan and the proposed floor plan, it's not particularly ambitious or overly grand scheme, it just creates a little more efficiency and room.

So we're seeking the special permit. I would suggest that all of the criteria, in addition to the criteria in Section 8.22.2D as set forth in our supporting statement for the proposal also meets the criteria under Section 10.40 for the granting of the special permit, for the reasons we cited therein.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good. Very concise. Thank you. Any questions by the Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions, other than to complement Mr. Rafferty on the conciseness.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure if that's being critical or just a characterize. But anyhow --

JAMES RAFFERTY: I may be having a problem with my
connection. I thought I heard -- I thought I did heard Mr.
Alexander say something about "complimenting Mr. Rafferty."
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: [Laughter]
JAMES RAFFERTY: That's not something I'm accustomed to hearing, but perhaps I missed it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde, any questions or comments at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I have no questions, thank you. I have no questions, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions or
comments?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions or comments, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura, any comments or questions?

LAURA WERNICK: No. None at this time, thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then let me open it to public comment. The Board -- any members of the public who wish to
speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. the Board is in receipt of correspondence dated February 23 from Victoria and Matt Sutton, 54 Buckingham Street.
"We live at 54 Buckingham across the street from Doctors Bob Stern and Fran Arnold of 31. They have briefed us on their kitchen renovation and showed us the architectural drawings. We wholeheartedly support their plan with no reservations."

There is correspondence from Tom and Anne Anninger, who live at 26 Healey Street.
"We are writing about the above case which is before you. We have examined the plans for the new kitchen provided to us by the proponents. These plans are thoughtful and carefully considered. No concern about their impact on us. They represent an improvement for 31 Healey Street and [2:25:24 indiscernible]

Correspondence from Caroline Whitney:
"I am the next-door neighbor at the corner of Healey and Buckingham. Fran and Bob have shown me where they wish to do their kitchen renovations. It will not interfere with my house, nor my buildings as the expansion of the kitchen stretches into their back yard and does not obstruct my property in any way."

Correspondence from Barbara Lloyd, 25 Healey Street, writes in support, "-- completely in keeping with the outside style of the house, and frankly will even look better than -- since they will be eliminating the outside stairs, which you can see from the street, and will replace them with windows in that wall."

Sum and substance of the correspondence. I will close public comment. Any last couple of words, Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. That's wonderful. Thank you. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, plans and supporting documents as submitted and initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that it appears that the
requirements of the ordinance can be met under 10.43.
Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use. As a matter of fact, the redesign of the kitchen area will allow for better circulation and be an asset to any occupant of the house.

The proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

And the Board notes the letters of support of immediate abutters.

In furtherance, the Board shall grant a special permit for alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling, provided that there is no change in use, and that
any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create any new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit before us, the Board is required to find and does find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43, as previously stated.

On the motion?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair? Mr. Chair, my apologies but just one modest factual correction. This is a two-family dwelling, not a single-family dwelling.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So noted. And the -- we will change the record. Thank you. On the motion to grant the special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Slater?

SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson votes in favor?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan votes in
favor also.
[All vote in YES]
On the affirmative vote of five Members, the
special permit is granted. Goodnight. Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Have a good evening.
LAURA WERNICK: Is that the fastest we've ever
done this?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Who, me?
LAURA WERNICK: I just asking is that the fastest vote that we've ever had on this kind of issue? Pretty darn quick.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's quick. Appropriate.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Efficiency is a trademark of an effective lawyer. Thank you all very much.

LAURA WERNICK: Never heard that one before.
JAMES RAFFERTY: You can tell I'm not getting paid by the hour.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's --
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
(8:26 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Board will hear Case No. --

LAURA WERNICK: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 145143 -- 602 Huron Avenue.
Mr. Worthington?
BOND WORTHINGTON: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. Bond Worthington, address 110A Inman Street, Cambridge, Mass.

I'm here on behalf of my clients, Carl Levine, Sharon Duncan and Thomas Potter. They are the homeowners and residents of the project.

What we're proposing is a small addition to the rear of the structure to allow us to create a code-compliant stair -- actually more than code-compliant, a more gentle stair that will allow them to -- not only them to age in place within the structure, but have elderly visitors visit them and also younger visitors and make a more safe
experience getting between the three living floors of the building.

We are at the -- we have a -- there's a preexisting nonconformity. The existing FAR is 0.61. The proposed is 0.65 . We believe the dormer -- the rear addition otherwise complies with zoning, and we don't believe we're creating another new nonconformity. And we also feel the addition will not be a detriment to the neighborhood.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I just have a question. Looking at the -- I mean the advertisement makes it really ho-hum. Construct a rear addition to provide code-compliant egress stair for certain units.

But then I look at the plans; I thought I saw a second-story deck being added. Am I right?

BOND WORTHINGTON: That's correct. That should have been included in the summary of the project.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, it should have been.
BOND WORTHINGTON: I apologize. The decks -- the deck is not in a setback. The deck is being counted towards FAR. It's still a pretty modest increase in FAR. It -- you know, that should have been mentioned in the summary. It's
a pretty small -- it's a pretty small deck. It is 8' by ' $^{\prime}$.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: It's just that this Board can be very sensitive to erecting decks on houses because of potential privacy issues for the neighbors.

BOND WORTHINGTON: Understood. I believe all the neighbors have similar plans and are in support of the plans.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay.
BOND WORTHINGTON: We have I think something like 15 letters of support.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions? Mr.
Alexander, any --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Not besides the one I just asked.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, any questions? JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions? SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura, any questions at this
time?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then let me now open it to
public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence dated February 24 from Joyce Feuerstein, who lives at 600 Huron Avenue.
"Dear Cambridge Zoning Board, I totally support the zoning request submitted by the owners of 602 Huron Avenue, and I fully support the City issuing a building permit for the construction application submitted.
"I a direct neighbor, and the majority owner of 598-600 Huron Avenue. Do not hesitate to call if you have any questions."

There is also some correction by Mr. Worthington, which we will accept. I was wondering, I would ask you -you're basically correcting some of the dimensional form -if you could redo the application form that is required to
reflect the new numbers? If you could do that? You submitted an original dimensional form, correct?

BOND WORTHINGTON: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And then you
submitted some corrections to that?
BOND WORTHINGTON: Because a question was asked about the FAR not being stated as a ratio or a percentage. So then I was asked to send an e-mail about that, which is what that correction is.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I guess what I'm saying is if you could redo the dimensional form to reflect those changes, rather than just the correspondence? If you could do that? Yes?

BOND WORTHINGTON: Yes, I can do that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. Awesome. There was also correspondence from Chart, C-h-a-r-t, Joseph,594 Huron Avenue. Seen the proposed plans and he supports the project.

Also correspondence from 1214 High Street, Timothy M-e-r-t-o-n, Merton. He has also supported the project. Joan Walther, W-a-l-t-h-e-r, 15 Park Avenue has seen the drawings and she supports the project.

Gabriela Romanow, 1010 Memorial Drive. She's writing to support the plan's changes.

And there is correspondence from Resident 23 High
Street, Phyllis M -- I can't read the writing, sorry.
And correspondence from 29 High Street, also in support.

There's support from 25 High Street.
Correspondence from Lea Blanchard, 588 Huron Avenue.

And from Ameer Moustafa at 9 Fountain Terrace, also in support.

And in support from 15 High Street.
And also from 129 Holworthy Street.
And also, from 60 -- no, that's your correspondence. Okay. So you polled the neighborhood, and it appears that they were all in support. Ready for a motion, any Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the drawings submitted in the application and the supporting documents, with the one caveat that Mr. Worthington, that you resubmit
a new dimensional form reflecting the changes that you have made by -- through correspondence.

The Board will grant the special permit because it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. Nuisance or hazard would not be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use.

In fact, the redesign and the staircase will in fact be a benefit to any occupant as a code-compliant and much safer entry and exit from the building.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, for their reasonable use of the property and allow the property owner to bring certain aspects up to code.

The Board also may grant a special permit for the
alteration or enlarging of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family or two-family, not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create any new dimensional nonconformity.

The Board may grant the special permit provided that the Board find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, which the Board finds, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43 previously stated.

On the motion to grant the special permit, Mr.
Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson in favor of the
special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Did I freeze up here?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
LAURA WERNICK: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Here you go.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan, Chair in
favor.
LAURA WERNICK: Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
LAURA WERNICK: Okay. I'm voting -- Laura Wernick voting in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, okay, thanks. And Brendan Sullivan, Chair, votes in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the
special permit is granted. Thank you.
BOND WORTHINGTON: Thank you.
(8:37 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Moving right along, the Board will now hear Case Number 159954 -- 50 Gold Star Road. Mr. Landry? Gold Star Road?

PAUL SMITH: Yes. Hi, can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
PAUL SMITH: Yes. Hi, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Paul Smith. I'm one of the owners of 50 Gold Star Road. We're just here to seek a variance for gross floor area and by adding a 15-foot dormer to the right side of the building, as well as a second-floor porch, not to exceed the first-floor existing porch in dimensions, which is a -- second floor is a little bit smaller, and also looking for a Juliet balcony on the third floor up in the master bedroom.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JON LANDRY: So also this was originally a two-
family. We're making it a single-family now. And we added
parking. So we're lessening the congestion of the neighborhood and it is a development project. We're just asking for -- we don't think it would harm the neighborhood's character.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander? Jim Monteverde any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Laura, any questions?
LAURA WERNICK: Nope. No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a -- open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to speak. There appears to be nobody calling in.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. There is no correspondence in the file. You've spoken to your next-
door neighbors, have you?
JON LANDRY: Yes sir.
PAUL SMITH: We have.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And nobody had opposed?
PAUL SMITH: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As far as what's been
communicated to us? It also appears that the house can use some tender loving care?

JOHN LANDRY: Yes.
PAUL SMITH: Absolutely.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And would be an asset to the neighborhood.

PAUL SMITH: Yes, it will.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit. It appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance would not be adversely affected by what is being
proposed use. There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, in fact that the proposal that is before us, the alterations would be an asset to any occupants of the premise.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

In furtherance, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming -- and this is a, is it about single or -- it's a two-family that's going to be made into a single?

JON LANDRY: Correct, correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We may grant a special permit for a dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, but not the alteration for a nonconforming use, provided that there is no change in use and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional
nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeals may find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental to the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43, as previously stated.

On the motion then to grant the special permit as per the application, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura on the motion?
LAURA WERNICK: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan, the Chair, votes in favor.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes. The special permit is granted.

JON LANDRY: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight. COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that's a wrap.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
COLLECTIVE: Thanks, everybody.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight, everybody.
LAURA WERNICK: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bye-bye.
LAURA WERNICK: Thank you all.
[8:43 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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