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                PROCEEDINGS
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Wendy Leiserson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the March 24, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22, within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board Members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Gus Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Present.

(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First case I'm going to call tonight will be Case No. 149665 -- 38 Mount Pleasant Street. Counselor Alphen, do you wish to state your name for the record?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Mr. Chairman, Attorney Christopher Alphen. I submitted a letter to the Board asking that this application be withdrawn without prejudice. I have no other further comment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. We are in receipt of the letter dated March 17 requesting a formal request to withdraw this application.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Chairman?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're saying, "withdraw without prejudice." I don't think that's the way our ordinance works. You withdraw, it's deemed to be a denial, and you cannot come back for two years, your client can't, unless you have some difficulty -- I'm not sure what the
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words are -- but different plans than you have now. Just so you understand that.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Well, that's why I'm seeking a withdrawal without prejudice. That's the purpose for this. And obviously the Board is aware that there was a discussion about whether this should be able to special permit or a variance.

We had discussions with the Building Commissioner and determined that this shall be a variance, and rather than amending the application to be a variance, he asked that we withdraw the application. So we are following the orders of the City.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Okay, I'm -- I don't want to beat this to death, but if you look at Section 10.51 of our ordinance, it says, "The granting of a leave to withdraw after application for a variance of special permit had been advertised shall be considered unfavorable action."

If you go earlier, it says, it's unfavorable action -- earlier in the section, "unfavorable action," you can't come back for two years, unless you come back with something different.

So I think the ordinance is -- there's no give in
the ordinance. It is what it is.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Well then, I would ask that we be able to modify our application. You're not -- I don't really understand how $I$ have an out here without getting a withdrawal without prejudice here. You know, we're trying to modify the application in order to seek the right zoning relief.

It doesn't make sense to me legally that one cannot change their application to seek the zoning relief that the Board believes that we should be getting and then at the same time say that, you know, we can't withdraw without prejudice.

So, you know, that's -- it's an interesting concept to me, I guess.

LAURA WERNICK: Should it be a continuance, requesting a continuance rather than a withdrawal, further continuance?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. That's exactly right.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: I'm happy to do that, as long as we have an understanding that we're able to modify our application to seek a variance rather than a special permit?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I think that the proper course of action would be continue this case to leave it active, and to file a new case seeking a variance for the relief that you're requesting.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Very well.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Rather than withdrawn this CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because Mr. Alexander was correct that any withdrawal is always with prejudice, and that basically states that you cannot come back for two years with the same petition.

It can be materially different. If you deem it materially different, then you would have to apply to the Planning Board, they would have to deem it materially different, and then it would come back to us. That's a whole bureaucratic hurdles.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: SO I'm quite aware of the statute, yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. So in other words, I think, Counselor, what my suggestion would be to continue this matter to a date in the future, and then to apply for a variance for, if you want, for the same or something
different.

But if you had applied for a variance and we deemed it that you would need a special permit, then the dropdown is easier. Seeking a special permit and then it be deemed that you need a variance requires a new application.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Understood.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So I think the motion is, then, to continue this matter -- withdraw the withdrawal, and kick this forward to -- and again, it can be, obviously, sometime in the future.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In other words, the refile, the new filing, would probably be heard before this.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Right.
OLIVIA RATAY: Are we saying May?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May. May. All right, and what's after May 5? May 19. What about if we kick this forward to May 19?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: That would be terrific. I really appreciate it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me make a motion, then -- a request of Counsel -- to continue this matter
until May 19, 2022 on the condition that the -- you have to maintain the posting sign at least 14 days prior to the May 19 date. Such posting sign should reflect the new date of May 19, and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

That should there be any new submissions, changes to the existing application 149665, those changes should be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to May 19. You have already signed a waiver, so that -- that's concurrent, so we're fine there.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: May I make two comments? One, we didn't pick a time on the May date. What time? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 6:00 p.m.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: 6:00 p.m. And the other the plans "should" be in the files by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday before. The word is, "must."

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: "Must" be in the file, correct.
Okay. Yeah. Anything to do with this particular 149665. On the motion then, to continue this matter to May 19, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Voting in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Is Jason, you're
present? Jason Marshall on the matter to continue.
JASON MARSHALL: I'm not on this case, Mr. Chair,
but I will vote for it if you want me to.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Chair Brendan
Sullivan votes to continue this matter to May 19.
WENDY LEISERSON: And Mr. Chair, Wendy Leiserson,
who is on this case --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- also votes to continue.

Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good. I'm sorry. Good. I didn't see your name up here. Then let's scratch Jason, and Wendy Leiserson, the matter -- motion to continue. Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Oh, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, okay, great. All right.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes, the matter is continued.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Thank you.
(6:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason

Marshall
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case I'll hear is BZA 017219-2019 -- 544 Massachusetts Avenue. Olivia, is there a letter in the file here requesting a continuance from Mr. Schorer?
[Pause]
There is correspondence from -- the Board is in receipt of correspondence from Cliff Schorer. It is addressed to Mayor Maria Pacheco dated March 14, May 22, and he basically goes on to say that they're presenting a revised plan.

The unit count has been reduced and affordable units increased in size. If we might continue until June, I believe you should be ready by then.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We're going to continue this matter until July 28, 2022, on the condition that the petitioner maintain the posting sign at least 14 days prior to the July 28 date..

That he change the posting date to reflect the new
date of July 28, 2022 at 6:00 p.m., and we have a waiver in the file that any new changes, submissions be in the file -must be in the file by Friday 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the July 28, 2022 hearing.

On the motion then, to continue this matter, Mr.
Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura? And is Laura's on this? [Pause]

LAURA WERNICK: I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick voting in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson? WENDY LEISERSON: Voting in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And [Brendan Sullivan] yes to continue this matter.
[All vote YES]
The matter is continued to July 28.
(6:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Wendy Leiserson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case we'll hear is
Case No. 154869 -- 43 Reservoir Street.
CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.
Attorney Christopher Alphen, Blatman, Bobrowski \& Haverty for the petitioners. Last time the petitioners were before you they were proposing an accessory garage with some living area.

It no longer has the living area. Therefore, we are proposing an accessory building which will be used just as a two-car garage. Therefore, the accessory building meets the requirements for the setback at five feet, but it does not meet the height requirements.

It provides -- the proposal is 18.9 feet, where the requirement for an accessory building is 15 feet, and therefore we are still in need of a variance.

With that, I'd like to present -- I'd like to have
Sam from SKA present the new plans and go over the changes
that have been made.

SAM KACHMAR: Good evening. My name is Sam
Kachmar from SKA. Olivia, could you bring up the plans, please? Thank you very much.

Here on the first sheet, you can see the residence -- the photo on the left of 43 Reservoir Street that exists with the garage in the back behind the driveway.

And on the right, you can see the proposed garage replacement. It's kind of set a little bit back and down the hill.

Could you take us to the next slide, please?
Here on this aerial axon you can see the property, as it exists now on the left with the single-car garage, and then on the right-hand side, you can see the proposed side axon showing the two-car garage to replace it.

Next slide, please?
Here on our area calculations, you can see the current FAR of the property is 0.2. We're going to be going onto 0.25 , still well below the 0.5 FAR for the A1 district.

Our open space currently is 0.89 -- I'm sorry, is 0.83 and we're actually going to be going to like 0.89 because we shrunk it down a little bit.

Next slide, please?
Here our shadow studies show the structure is
pretty well separated from any new neighbors, so any shadows cast will not be hitting any structures or impeding any neighbors.

Next slide, please?
And here you can see on the left the existing site plan, along with the grating showing the single-car garage. And on the right-hand side, you can see the proposed site plan with the two-car garage.

Next slide, please?
And here on the top left, you can see the existing floor plan of the garage. In the middle on the upper portion you can see a rendering of the garage. On the right top you can see an axon of the two-car garage, a little bit of storage for some kids' bikes and stuff.

And on the bottom, you can see the floor plan of the two-car garage. Since our last visit, we shrunk the overall size of the garage in both width, length, and height, and removed the proposed accessory apartment that we were showing before as well.

Next slide, please?

Here on the upper level, you can see we just have a pull-down attic stair to get some storage. And on the upper left you can see the existing roof plan. You can see a rendering of the garage in the middle. And on the right, you can see the axonometric of the structure where we've removed some of the dormers and reduced the overall height of the roof line as well.

Next slide, please?
Here on the full roof plan, again, you can see the upper level of the existing garage in the upper left-hand corner. In the middle, you can see the proposed garage. On the right you can see an axonometric of it, and on the bottom middle you can see the roof plan of the proposed garage.

Next slide, please?
And here on the top left we've got the existing elevation. On the right-hand side we've got an existing photo. The bottom left we've got the proposed render, and on the bottom right we have the proposed elevation.

Next slide?
Same thing here. You can see where the site slopes down in a way, which is why we're seeking the
variance, because the property has a pretty significant slope going from the area where it sits on Reservoir Street back down into the middle, between Fayerweather and Reservoir. You know, it's a kind of hollow area.

Next slide, please?
And here, again, you can see the elevation from the rear. You can see the, you know, almost like two-story setup off the existing or right-hand corner. And on the lower left you can see the render, and on the lower right you can see the elevation.

Next slide, please?
Same thing along this side. Our clients have worked with the neighbors directly abutting this, who will be mostly affected with it and, you know, made a planting plan that is acceptable to everyone. And I believe those neighbors have written letters of support.

Next slide, please?
And here you can see the existing section in the upper portion of the drawing showing the slope of the property. And on the bottom, you can see the proposed section showing the same.
Next slide, please?

Here you can scan for a video render, sort of showing the garage and, you know, sort of a flyover of the entire property.

And then if you go to the next slide, please?
And here's the survey, as submitted with earlier documents. And then actually these next two slides are pretty helpful, I think.

The next slide, if you go that elevated?
This one starts to show the rear of the property, and it's the next page that really kind of shows the slope.

If you go to the next slide, please?
This one you can kind of see on the top left just how much the site really slopes away. And that was one of the challenges of trying to fit the new structure onto this property.

The next slide just has -- I think that's it for our presentation. You know, we have our dimensional form. I believe there's a series of letters of support in the file.

I think the last time we were here, which is one of the concerns the Board had was the living area of the second floor could be used as an Airbnb, so our clients
wanted to eliminate that and remove that from the program of the building.

Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions by Members
of the Board? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, any questions at all?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura, any questions at all?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
JASON MARSHALL: It's actually, Mr. Chair, I'm on the --

WENDY LEISERSON: Actually, yeah, it's Jason, not Wendy, sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Playing musical chairs here. And I have no questions at this time. Let me open it up to --

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, I just have one question. And just for information, I'm on the first case for the regular Agenda, and then I'm off from then.

Sam, just one question, and really appreciate the
work that your firm has put into this and the work that the applicants have put into it. I thought I heard you say that the reason for the height variance had to do with the slope. Just want to confirm that that's the case.

SAM KACHMAR: That's correct. There's a
significant hill on the property, and to be able to work within the zoning ordinance would be a good significant challenge for this project.

JASON MARSHALL: All right. Thank you. That's all $I$ have for now, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. I'm going to open it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Luis?

LUIS COTTO: My apologies. That was totally my
mistake. I did not mean to speak.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. There appears to be
nobody else calling in on this matter. The Board is in receipt of quite a bit of correspondence from abutters near and some people far in support of the project. I will close public comment at this point.

Also any words, or Sam any other words before we discuss it and take it to a vote?

SAM KACHMAR: I don't think anything else on our end. Mr. Chairman, just emphasizing that it's really the slope that causes the need for the excess height. The slope is obviously, when we're thinking about the variance criteria something -- the slope or the terrain of the property that causes the detriment to the petitioners, and in order to have an attorney conforming structure -- they may have to move the structure but not be affected by the slope or make the garage smaller than it is existing today.

So there is a hardship, and there is a -- and that hardship is owing to the slope of the property.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the only relief you're requesting is the -- just the height? Because obviously the accessory building can be located no nearer than five feet, and you are within that five feet.

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: We are, correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Five foot. So it's just the height that you're requesting the relief from?

CHRISTOPHER ALPHEN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Let me close the presentation part. We'll discuss among ourselves. Maybe I'll open it up. A literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship related to the soil, shape, or topography.

It's one of the few cases I think that where the soil, shape and topography actually come into play. I think it's quite evident. I've walked the site, I'm very familiar with the area, obviously. And that the soil conditions, if you go much further back into the lot, toward the rear lot line, becomes very spongy and very challenging.

The topography obviously is what it is, is and it is unique to this particular location, the area somewhat of this location, in particular. So that $I$ think that the soil conditions and the topography -- two out of three aren't bad. So I would support the height.

And I'd also -- I think that the sight line as you come in on the lot actually makes the building appear
smaller, shorter, because of the slope on the driveway. So that's my thought. Mr. Alexander, your thoughts?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm in support. I plan to
vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I share the same sentiments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah, I have no concern about the project.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I'm not sure. Wendy, are you on this case, or is it Jim?

JASON MARSHALL: It's -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason. LAURA WERNICK: Jason. JASON MARSHALL: It's me, Mr. Chair. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall. Okay. Your thoughts?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I know I had a lot of questions about hardship in the last full round, and I think for all the reasons that you stated, Mr. Chair and as Counsel has articulated it, I do think this clearly meets the standard in my mind.

And as the picture showed, it's -- the existing structure is clearly in need of improvement as well. So I am in support of an incline to also vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner because it would preclude the petitioner from locating this very well-designed two-car garage at this location...

That in order to comply with the ordinance, it would require the petitioner to shift the structure further, deeper into the lot, which then is encumbered with quite challenging soil conditions and topography.

The Board finds that that would be onerous and quite overbearing for the petitioner to construct such a structure under those conditions.

The hardship the Board finds is directly related to the soil conditions and the topography of the land, which is quite challenging also.

The Board finds that the petitioner's neighbors have expressed their desire to keep the trees located in the rear intact, which provides a screening. And that without
such relief from the Board, the petitioner would be compelled to remove the landscaping that acts as a natural buffer between the abutters.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, but not nullify or substantially derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. And that the neighbors have expressed support for the proposed garage and its -- and prefers this location over removing landscaping in the rear of the property.

The Board finds that the proposed garage is designed to make the property more aesthetically pleasing, and the nonconforming height of the proposed garage would not cause a detriment to the residential character of the neighborhood.

And again, let me run through. Mr. Alexander, you have voted yes?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I did. And I vote yes again.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jim, yes on the motion?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura on the motion?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason Marshall on the
motion?

JASON MARSHALL: Yes, in favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, Brendan
Sullivan, yes on the motion.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
LAURA WERNICK: That is my first and last for this evening, so I'm going to say goodnight to you about all. Have a good evening. JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight, Laura. COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
SAM KACHMAR: Can I say one last thing as we close that case? That was the nicest variance hearing I've ever had.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter].
SAM KACHMAR: With that, I'll stop talking.
(6:30 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Jason Marshall and Slater Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On this particular case, Brendan Sullivan is sitting, Jim Monteverde is sitting, Wendy is sitting, Jason is sitting and Slater. I have six now.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's six.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Who's sitting?
SLATER ANDERSON: Are you still on the continued? I was supposed to be in whatever the $6: 30$ was. That might be the regular Agenda starting, so. We're still on the continued.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now we're finished with the -JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, I was --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So Jason is sitting in lieu of Mr. Alexander. Is Wendy sitting? Or is it Slater?

WENDY LEISERSON: I can be flexible. Happy to have the evening if you have too many.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

JASON MARSHALL: Same here. I understood I would be sitting just for the first case, for the MIT case. But if you have any full slate, I'm happy to drop off as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, we need you. We need you. Brendan Sullivan is Sitting, Jim Monteverde is Sitting. Slater Anderson is Sitting, Wendy is sitting -- Leiserson -and Jason Marshall is Sitting on this particular case. Okay. Good. All right. That's the lineup.

It's 6:30, the Board will hear Case \#159242 -- 134 Massachusetts Avenue, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Mr. Brown? Welcome.

KELLEY BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Kelley Brown, and I am a Senior Campus Planner at MIT. We're here tonight seeking a variance to allow a retail use, and a special permit to reduce the required parking, both for the renovation and adaptive reuse of the Metropolitan Warehouse.

We've been through an extensive review process on this project with the Cambridge Historic Commission as well as review by Community Development and the Malden Historical Commission.

We're actually going to go through another review
with Mass DOT because of the proximity of the building to the Grand Junction Railroad. We hope to begin renovation later this year.

Next, please?
Great. Thank you. Well, can you go back one, just to show the location? In case people are not familiar that red rectangle represents the -- we just call it, "The Met" at this point. It's got a building number, of course, W41. It's shows where it's sitting in relation to the campus, but also the surrounding neighborhoods.

Next, please?
So the building was constructed really as five buildings, and was started about 1894. And this was before the seawall was constructed. You can kind of see it in the upper left there. It's not a great photo, but that's the clam flats there.

And it's noted in the advertisement it was purpose built as a storage warehouse, and it served that purpose for a century. MIT has been working on a new use for the building for a few years now.

Next, please?
So this is the existing condition here. It's
about a 500-foot-long building.
Next, please?
The focus of the historic preservation efforts was
really on these two façades, the one on the left facing Vassar Street and the one on the right facing Massachusetts Avenue.

Next, please?
So you'll see, and it's a little hard to make it out, but there is some changes to the windows on the Vassar Street side, and there's also the restoration of those round windows you see on the front of the building and the crenelation on the Mass Ave façade, which had been removed at some point in that history. It will be restored.

Next, please?
But this is really the big change to create the kind of human habitation here, not storage. And the academic uses, it's really -- you can see there's big changes on the north side of the building, as it faces these railroad tracks. Several curtain walls and tremendous -and also light from above.

Next, please?
And we also have -- the existing Warehouse
building had multiple kind of garage access points on Vassar Street. And the renovation will consolidate the loading for the building.

At the rear here that you see, it's adjacent to the new Vassar, undergraduate residents, with which it will share kind of loading area. The pedestrian entries will replace those garage doors on the Vassar side.

Next, please?
So here we come to the variance itself. This is where it's proposed. That entry there, some may recall that Q audio was here for many years before they moved to Brookline Street. It was a legal, nonconforming use, as was the sandwich shop that was occupied the Mass Ave singlestory extension you see. We're going to turn that into a portico with -- it will be open to the elements. There will be no roof there. You'll see it next.

Next, please?
So this is the very front of the building. You see Vassar Street's to the south there, Mass Ave running to the east. The food kiosk that we're seeking the variance for is right in that corner adjacent to the two entries -main entries really -- for the building. And the portico
you see those kind of little seating elements out there from above, you really will be able to see them from above, because there will be no roof there.

Next, please?
So if you look at the zoning districts around the Met, you do see that they have substantial amount of retail in those districts running up towards Central Square. And that retail drops -- it really just terminates when you get to Special District 6 here, and the Res. C-3B that you see, it's on the right there where the north and main campus begin.

And that's because these are residential
districts. That Special District 6 is really the Res. C-3 district with a few minor modifications. But it does carry the prohibition of retail uses that is common in all residential districts in the city.

Next, please?
So we do think that the literal enforcement of this use prohibition is a hardship. This food use really is a focal point for the building occupants and visitors. It's going to create an integrated simple activity that can bring people together throughout the day, use that seating that
you saw in the lobby there and the adjacent outdoor portico. It would create an activity that's visible from the street, as well as from the street to the inside of the building. It would really bring some really important life to an area of the building that might otherwise just be kind of a pass-through, a lobby without life.

On the other side of the coin, the kiosk itself is quite small. It's only 675 square feet, including that storage. It's less than 0.4 percent of the building space. So our view is that the literal enforcement of the food stand or kiosk span in this location would be a detriment to the building, to its occupants, to its visitors and to the public that will use this building.

Next, please?
The nature of the hardship is that we really do have this unusually-shaped district that runs west from Massachusetts Ave more than about a half mile on Vassar Street. And when it was put in place in the early 1990s, it was almost all industrial buildings like the storage warehouse.

But if you look at the zoning map, it really appears that the Res.C-3 and C-3B districts have primarily
served as de facto institutional districts, both for Harvard and MIT. Around MIT -- I'm not sure about Harvard -there's absolutely no family residences in these districts. And of course, but they do retain the prohibition of retail uses on otherwise that would be desired on a commercial port or, like a Mass Ave or on Main Street, that's on the other side of the campus.

This was addressed by the City in 2001. You'll see that Business B, BB zone that's along Mass Ave there was kind of stripped over a Res. C district and there was also at that time the invention of the Mixed-use Residential Overlay District, the MXD, that was placed along Main Street, that would allow retail.

But Special District 6 didn't get addressed at that time, and remains without retail even on Mass Ave.

And so any kind of redevelopment like the one we're doing for Met Warehouse, or potentially something in the parking lot adjacent would not be able to strengthen the really quite strong retail district that's developed between Lafayette Square and the campus.

Next, please?
The public good is, we think, served by this.

Trying to preserve the core architectural features, and also to have human habitation in what's a warehouse building that has very large brick walls and very small windows, it's a challenge. And working with the City agencies, we feel the project meets both these goals.

But we do think that this retail use is a critical part of the urban response toes constraints. I mean, you're going to see in most of this building these very small windows, and not a lot of access inside, particularly at the ground floor and facing these streets. So we think this is a critical contribution.

And also, we see that the municipal policy has been changing over time to value mixed-use in corridors like this. The Envision Cambridge planning document goes on and on about mixed-use and why it's a critical, kind of urban feature for Cambridge. And this does contradict the traditional assumptions about the separation of uses that's embedded in the legacy zoning.

And our belief is that the character of Mass Ave and this end of $S D-6$ will really be helped by allowing the retail in this building.

Next, please?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What is MIT's sort of longrange purpose of this building? How do they see it being used? This is an initial element, is that correct, what is being proposed for the --

KELLEY BROWN: No. No, I'm sorry I didn't really make that call. It's -- no, the entire building is going to be renovated. It's about 188,000 square feet. And the School of Architecture and Planning is going to move to this building, along with several other users.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the --

KELLEY BROWN: So it will be fully occupied.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- kiosk and basically the food service is sort of an initial element that was in there, it's going to attract obviously students, staff, to this building, and that what is being proposed you feel is a much-needed element and amenity that, well it's needed and will also be attractive for the use of this building, is that --

KELLEY BROWN: That's right, yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. All right.
KELLEY BROWN: Next, please?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, or you're --

KELLEY BROWN: Well, just the ordinance intent. But we've discussed that.

So the second -- Mr. Chairman, I don't know if you want to talk about this, or $I$ should go on to the special permit and parking?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, go into the special permit. You know --

KELLEY BROWN: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- the Board has obviously read the application and it's voluminous. It seems like the whole to-be-built drawings are in the file and in this little corner. But at any rate, appraised themselves of the application. So if you could address the special permit?

KELLEY BROWN: Okay, great.
Next, please?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which is really the parking.
KELLEY BROWN: Yeah. Next? Yeah, it's the parking reduction special permit. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Exactly.
KELLEY BROWN: This building, as programmed and planned, would require 105 parking spaces, because of the change of use.

Next, please?
The -- so we're looking at qualifying to reduce the required parking to 0 based on the Section 6.35.1, which is the key criteria are shown here. The -- what we'd like to do, well, there's another section of in 6.35.1 that also talks about -- they go through a whole long list of examples, most of which are not relevant to here.

But the impact on the physical environment is relevant here, and what we find is that the Urban Design objectives that they're looking to support are supported by the reduction in parking, that they're opposed in this -- in the Urban Design requirements to first-floor parking that faces the street, interference with entries. They're looking for safe bike and pedestrian circulation.

So all those physical attributes are part of the benefit of reducing parking in this location. What -- the basic criteria we'll come to about why we think they're satisfied and why it works for the City.

To step back just for a moment, the Section 6.22.183 is how MIT manages its parking requirements for the whole campus. And this allows the aggregation of building parking requirements, and their fulfillment through parking
facilities all across the campus.
So, and this provision prevents -- you know, every
time MIT builds a building having to build a little parking garage or keep a big parking lot. We can aggregate these, and have less parking at every nook and cranny of the campus, and be able to build some efficient facilities that can serve the whole campus, under the conditions that are required in that section.

And when zoning began to require parking for new buildings in Cambridge, MIT already had 60 buildings that were effectively grandfathered in with no parking requirements. And as MIT has grown, it's been able to use its existing parking spaces to fulfill the requirements, the standard requirements of all the new -- of all the new buildings. And for additions as well. And we did this for decades.

There was, and is, lots that get redeveloped for new buildings. There's obsolete garages that get torn down, but we've replaced those with new garages like the Stada, our East Campus Garage. There's a new Hayward Garage, which you may or may not have seen right behind the Kendall development.

And in the '90s, we started producing, according to other City of Cambridge requirements, a manual inventory in what we call a "parking zoning allocation plan" which essentially demonstrates compliance on a campus-wide basis with the requirements of the zoning ordinance, as to parking.

And what we've found, though, recently, is boy, we're reaching the end of that road. We have -- our last inventory had about 3900 parking spaces that are allowed for use -- for zoning compliance, and 3800 that were required under the zoning ordinance.

And we realized that we would either need to work with the City and the constituencies to amend the parking minimums requirements, which is very complicated, construct new parking which we thought, well, gee, we know we have an apartment to satisfy our demand, and we know that this City policy is pretty hostile to new parking.

So we thought, well, we don't want to do that. We have a very good parking transportation demand management program that we feel is very effective.

So we turned to this section 6.35.1.
Next, please?

The -- and basically, you know, tried in some recent cases to demonstrate this question that we have sufficient parking to meet the demand to avoid the kind of adverse impacts that year ordinance is trying to avoid, and generate some of the benefits that we described before about the physical environment. And we felt that okay, we're going to be able to satisfy those criteria.

Next, please?
So we came in with the exact same request for the Schwarzman College of Computing and the West Campus Graduate Student dormitory last year, and got the reductions that we sought from as part of the overall Planning Board approvals of that project, of those two projects in fact.

And this project is very similar to the Schwarzman project, as enumerated here.

Next, please?
In that -- for that project, we looked to the October 2019 parking peaks. And so we looked across here at these times of day and said, "How many people are in those various parking facilities all across campus and sort of add up the peaks?" and said, "Okay, well, a very conservative look at it says 68 percent of all the available spaces,
that's the horizontal line above, are occupied at the very peak occupancy, which is conservative in the sense that there's different peaks across the day for each parking facility, but to be conservative we add up all the peaks and make that analysis."

Next, please?
And then we had our consultant, which is consultant, which was VHB, say, okay, "What happens with the planned project? We want to make sure that we have enough parking going forward, notwithstanding the zoning requirements, but just on a practical basis."

And what we find here is there's all the planned projects, which included, of course, Schwarzman itself, the West Campus Dormitory, the Music Building, which is underway now, and the Met that we're talking about tonight.

That came to about 300 expected new kind of peak-to-peak parking demand. And but we also saw that we're expanding the number of parking spaces that -- not new, net new parking, but replacement spaces from -- with the music garage, which is being built, and this Hayward Garage in Kendall. So we would have more spaces.

So effectively we have almost exactly the same
projected occupancy of about 69 percent there with that project, including our expectation of this Met project. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. You covered it.

KELLEY BROWN: Okay. Well, I'll -- if you're convinced, I'm ready to take questions. That's fine.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sure that the Board will chime in. So okay. Let me take it to the Board and see if they have any questions. Jim Monteverde, any questions? JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions at all? SLATER ANDERSON: No questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions? WENDY LEISERSON: No questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And [Brendan Sullivan] I have no questions. I think Mr. Brown has covered whatever questions and concerns that $I$ would have. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

KELLEY BROWN: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: James Williamson?
KELLEY BROWN: We don't need this slide, I don't think, so.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And you'll have up to three minutes to speak.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Yeah, hi. James Williamson 1000 Jackson Place, in the soon-to-be demolished Jefferson Park in North Cambridge. I have a question about this, but what I'm really interested in: I tried to be recognized.

The 544 Mass Ave continued case was evidently taken out of order, and I didn't understand what was going on there and raised my hand, but didn't get recognized. And so can you just first of all say briefly what happened at the 544 Mass Ave case?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It went --
JAMES WILLIAMSON: It was evidently taken out of order.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I did the continued cases
first. And they're all on for 6:00. And it was continued
until July.
JAMES WILLIAMSON: Oh, okay. Thanks. On this, I just wanted -- just catching some of the colloquy on the parking situation and hi, Kelly. So is there -- I'm just trying to think, is there actually no net increase in parking spaces? And trying to understand, the Met building and then the overall situation, the context in which you placed the numbers?

I just -- I just out of interest in the parking situation in Cambridge, and the complaints about it and the proximity to public transit, which we always hear about and you are very familiar with.

So if you could just maybe summarize. And I guess information questions like this from the public aren't necessarily required to be responded to, but my concern is to understand better exactly what's happening with the parking numbers. Is there no net increase in parking at MIT from this project? Is that what we were meant to understand?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the application --
JAMES WILLIAMSON: So thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the applicant that is in
front of us for the variance for the kiosk and the food service, they are not asking for -- they're asking for a waiver from the parking requirement.

KELLEY BROWN: Yeah, that's right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that they are not asking for any additional relief, because the project in toto is not before us. So on this particular application, Jim, they are not asking -- they're asking for a waiver from providing any additional parking, because they -- their testimony is that they have sufficient parking in their bank of parking to satisfy any requirement that may happen because of this application.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Got it. That's what I thought I did understood, and thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you, Jim. Any other?

OLIVIA RATAY: Nicola Williams?
NICOLA WILLIAMS: Hi. Sorry I'm eating dinner, but can you hear me?

OLIVIA RATAY: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
NICOLA WILLIAMS: So I have a few questions -- for
the food service and kiosk, I missed a part of the presentation -- how many retail locations are there? Are we asking for?

KELLEY BROWN: Just the one.

NICOLA WILLIAMS: And what's the square footage?

KELLEY BROWN: 675 square feet. And do you have a
favorite tenant in mind already?

KELLEY BROWN: No.

NICOLA WILLIAMS: Have you -- what is the community benefit offer for your variances?

KELLEY BROWN: We have no community benefit offer. We just tried to demonstrate that we satisfy the criteria for getting a variance, which includes, you know, that it's not a detriment to the public good, which we explained why that was the case.

NICOLA WILLIAMS: But there's no specific, explicit offer to community benefit? Oh, by the way I'm at Brewer Street, Cambridge.

KELLEY BROWN: No, there's not.

NICOLA WILLIAMS: Okay. What are the -- do you have any provisions in the building where they're contracting, or for the retail space for BIPOC or small
businesses, independent businesses?
KELLEY BROWN: MIT has actually redoubled its efforts to contract with vendors that are minority-business enterprises or women-business enterprises.

The President made a statement in 2020 that told us to really redouble our efforts, as I say, and we've been moving in that direction. We have a great dining operation. If you've been to the Student Center called, "The Launchpad -_ "

NICOLA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.
KELLEY BROWN: -- with several BIPOC -- are you familiar with it?

NICOLA WILLIAMS: I'm very familiar with that, and with Commonwealth --

KELLEY BROWN: Oh, okay.
NICOLA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.
KELLEY BROWN: -- well, this is something we're very proud of and we think is great and can help us in other instances in food service. We partner with Commonwealth Kitchen, which is a fantastic organization that promotes minority business enterprises and food entrepreneurs. So we hope to keep working with them.

NICOLA WILLIAMS: Okay, great. Are there any -are you looking to have this retail space as a market-rate rental?

KELLEY BROWN: No rentals -- you know, levels have been determined. I mean, the fact is it's a very small space, and I'm -- you know, I think it will be a very difficult market for anyone who is in this space. So that will certainly have an impact on any kind of rent that is asked.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you could wrap up?
NICOLA WILLIAMS: I'm good. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Dan Totten?
DAN TOTTEN: Yeah, hi. My name is Dan Totten, I live at 54 Bishop Allen Drive. I just wanted to say I want to echo Nicola's ask for encouraging minority-owned businesses to fill the space.

But I do want to just quickly make the point that I feel the presence of a food kiosk is itself a benefit to the community, activating the corner that has been, you know, not active for quite a while. And so I think the Board should view the fact that there will be a food kiosk
as a benefit. Thanks very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DAN TOTTEN: I also support eliminating the parking requirement. Thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How many hands?
OLIVIA RATAY: That's it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That is the extent of the people calling in. I will close the public comment portion of the hearing. There are no letters in the file, and so the public comment is closed. Again, any last couple of words or so, or should we take it to the Board?
[Pause]
Nothing to add?
KELLEY BROWN: NO, I'm all set. Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, good. I think we covered
it anyhow. Any member of the Board have any questions at all? Mr. Brown? Should I take it to a motion, a vote? JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Already. Then let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application, which is to conduct a food service kiosk, food stand on the first floor of the building, as per the
application and the supporting statements.
The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner. The Board finds that retail uses are either prohibited or require a special permit with very restrictive conditions.

The Board finds that the kiosk service area and dedicated storage only requires 675 square feet of gross floor area, which is less than four-tenths of one percent of the building space, but it provides a key activity in a crucial location in this particular building.

It is adjacent to two first-floor building entries at the corner of Mass Ave and Vassar Street, and has direct access to Vassar Street, and is adjacent to the main building lobby.

The Board finds that the proposed small-scale food use will be a focal point for building occupants and visitors. It creates a simple activity that can be used to bring people together throughout the day to meet and use the seating in the lobby and in the adjacent outdoor portico. It would be an activity visible on the street, and from the street to the inside of the building.

And the Board finds that it would bring some muchneeded life to an area of the building that might otherwise just be a pass-through, and basically a lobby without life. A literal enforcement of the food stand or the kiosk would be a detriment to the building, its occupants, and its visitors.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size and shape of this particular building, which dates back well over 100 years.

The Board finds that it was comprised exclusively of industrial buildings, and the Metropolitan Storage Warehouse has commercial uses, including the warehouse and retail near Mass Avenue in its prior history.

The Board finds that the Massachusetts Institute of Technology is trying to repurpose a very challenging building, and at the granting of this particular variance would go a long way and help with the repurposing of that, and would also acknowledge a letter from the Cambridge Historical Commission, which is working very vigorously with MIT to repurpose this building.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

The adaptive reuse of the Metropolitan Storage Warehouse for human habitation while preserving the core architectural characteristic of a large, industrial brick warehouse designed for protecting goods from the elements with thick walls and small windows is a major challenge.

The basic massing of the building will be preserved, and the façade sitting on the back of the sidewalk and limited fenestration will be maintained that safely, but also add to the character of the building.

The retail use of the first floor, though small, is a critical part of a very successful urban response to those constraints.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board finds that this particular building will promote purpose and intent, which has specific provisions for mixed-use on commercial corridors and map changes that reflect the desire for the extension of commercial and retail uses on Mass Avenue.

The Adapative Reuse project supports the institutional and commercial character of the immediate
neighborhood, and is consistent with the protection of the genuine residential neighborhoods in proximity.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the application, Slater?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of granting the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Good job on the motion, Mr.
Chair. Yes, in favor of the requested relief.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Voting in favor of the relief.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy on the motion?
WENDY LEISERSON: Persuaded by the Chair's
reasoning to vote in favor of the motion.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair votes yes.
[All vote YES]
On the affirmative votes of five members, the variance is granted. Now on the special permit, the Board finds that reduction of parking -- any minimum required amount of parking may be reduced on the issuance of a special permit through the Board of Zoning Appeal.

Special permit shall be granted only if the Board
determines and cites evidence in its decision that the lesser amount of parking will not cause excessive congestion, endanger public safety, substantially reduce parking availability for other uses or otherwise adversely impact the neighborhood, or that such lesser amount of parking will provide positive environmental or other benefits to the user of the lot and the neighborhood.

In making such a determination, the Board shall consider the availability of surplus off-street parking in the vicinity of the use being served and the proximity of an MBTA transit station.

The availability of public or commercial parking facility in the vicinity, and the use being served, provided in the requirements of Section 6.23 are satisfied.

The Board notes the presentation that the parking requirement for this particular location, this particular application, is being serviced by a bank of parking that MIT currently enjoys, and services students, staff, and vendors.

Let me make the motion, then, to grant the special
permit. It appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns
of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, will not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, and that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board finds that the reduced parking requirement and the accommodation of parking demand in existing nearby campus facilities will prevent the unnecessary expansion of campus parking facilities, which could contribute to traffic, congestion and hazards to cyclists and pedestrians.

On the motion to grant the special permit for the reduction of parking, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Yes, in favor of the special
permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] yes, in favor of the special permit.
[All vote YES]
On the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

KELLEY BROWN: Thank you so much, to you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
KELLEY BROWN: Mr. Chairman and to the Board.
(7:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Slater W. Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number 163746 -- 84 Bishop Allen Drive. Michael?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and thank you to the Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Michael Monestime. I'm a resident of Cambridge at Four George Street. I'm a Founding Member of the Central Square Business Improvement District, and I currently serve as an Executive Board Member for the organization.

I'm here with the Designer of Starlight Square, Mark Boyes-Watson of Boyes-Watson Architects; the principal producers Nina Berg and Matthew Boyes-Watson of Flagg Street Studio; and Luis Cotto, Executive Director of the Central Square Business Improvement District.

Also with us is Joe Barr, Assistant City Manager for Traffic, Parking and Transportation, representing the City as a close partner in Starlight.

If the Board would be so kind as to click into our
presentation, I'll get started.
[Pause]
Next visual, please?
We are before you today seeking zoning relief for Starlight Square, a pandemic-informed installation located at 84 Bishop Allen Drive in Central Square, Cambridge's Cultural District. Erected in six weeks at the height of the COVID-19 crisis, Starlight Square has been the only outdoor community space for central community services and experiences to operate continually and safely outside for the last two years.

Next visual?
Past uses at Starlight include out of school time learning for children, free outdoor public performances, the Central Square Farmers Market, Popportunity's weekend markets, safe community gatherings like interfaith services --

Next visual?
-- Cambridge Rindge and Latin School's high school prom, the Cambridge School Department's Upper School Enrichment Program, Cambridge Public Health Department's Flu Clinics, and most recently, Starlight Square hosted the City
of Cambridge's 41st Inauguration of the Cambridge City Council on Monday, January 3.

Next visual?
Located on Municipal Lot \#5 at 84 Bishop Allen Drive, Starlight delivers on more than 10 years of public process and planning, spanning from the Mayor's Red Ribbon Commission of the Delights and Concerns of Central Square in 2011 to the most recent Envision Cambridge in 2019, all of which recommend a project like Starlight.

Next visual?
The outdoor space is framed in scaffolding and scrim. The scrimming includes eight pieces of public art. Next visual?

Shown here is a photography mural curated by Street Theory, representing BIPOC artists documenting protests, and the outpouring of community conversation in the wake of George Floyd's death.

Next visual?
Also part of the installation are 13 movable popup shops operated by Popportunity, a non-profit Economic Development initiative to provide free vending opportunities primarily for BIPOC women entrepreneurs.

Next visual?
No element of this installation is covered. It's
completely open air. All elements of the installation are temporary and will not be changing from how they are used today. We will be making minor repairs and adjustments, including fixing the scrim, improving the landscaping and planting, and repairing some areas of the asphalt.

We're planning a series of adjustments to the program and hours of operation to address the impact of sound on abutters.

We have committed to limiting programming to no more than five days per week, as we did in Season 2, all amplified sound will be cut off promptly at 9:00 p.m. Myself and Mr. Cotto have been and will continue to be in touch with abutters.

Next visual?

Since August 8, 2020, Starlight has been operating as an outdoor entertainment and recreation facility outdoor retail or consumer service establishment, and a temporary outdoor retail and consumer service use.

While outdoor retail or consumer service establishment and temporary outdoor retail and consumer
service use are by right in the Bus. B Zone, outdoor entertainment and recreation facility requires a special permit, which is what we are requesting from the Board today.

Previously, Starlight Square has been operating under an Executive Order by the City Manager suspending zoning enforcement on Municipal Lot \#5 during the COVID-19 emergency.

In your packet, you should see letters of support for our application -- we submitted 48 letters before Monday's 5:00 p.m. deadline; a letter of support from the Central Square Advisory Committee was just sent right in after the deadline, as were letters from Cambridge Carnival and Cambridge Community Foundation, Central Square Theater.

Abutting business owners Nicole Lyons and Marcus Johnson-Smith, City Councillor Paul Toner, Vice Mayor Alanna Mallon, the Cambridge Community Center, and former Mayor Ken Reeves on behalf of the NAACP Cambridge.

This concludes our presentation. Thank you so much for your time and consideration.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Michael. That was precise and well-appreciated. Let me open it up to
questions by members of the Board.
Mr. Alexander, any questions?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson, any questions?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions at all?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have no
questions. I'll open it up to public comment. And I would ask people who are calling in that the file is about 148 pages long.

An awful lot of correspondence has come in, and the Board has read it, and we are aware of all of the pros and all those who have asked us to continue the operation to grant the special permit and the benefits.

And also, the negatives where there has in the past been some disruption to the residences in the past. Michael has stated that they are addressing those issues.

There are letters from the City Council -- I think all nine Members of the City Council have voiced their
support -- and in their correspondence to the Board they have addressed some -- they are aware of some concerns, but also that they are willing to work actively to address any of the concerns of the immediate abutters.

So we will take that as per their presentation.
But I ask people who are going to call in that if you just show us a little bit of understanding that we get it, we really don't need just repeating the same thing.

If you have something new to add, we welcome that, but that we have a long evening ahead of us, and that it would not be fair to the people who -- you know, maybe two and a half, three hours down the line from now to have to wait.

So, again, not taking anybody's right to speak, but just please show us a little understanding.

With that said, any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to speak.

Staff will monitor the time, and at the end of three minutes, we would ask that you conclude, and that you will be placed on mute, and we'll go to the next speaker.

OLIVIA RATAY: Phone number ending in 0825 ?
DIANA: Hi. TiDiane (phonetic), can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes.
DIANA: Hi. Thank you all for giving me a chance to speak today. I want to tell you a story. Actually, it's not really a story, it's more of a reality. The world is in a pandemic, right? And I am working a 12-hour shift at the hospital. I come home and I'm exhausted, and I'm ready to shut off my mind and try to recenter.

But when I get home, there's a really loud concert, with music that is so loud that it pierces my ears, or music that is not very loud, but it's constant and I want to go to sleep because I have to wake up at 5:00 a.m. and I can't sleep.

And now it's another day. And I want to study. I want to study because I need to be ready for my exam. My exam is seven hours long, and I have to take it to graduate medical school. It's been two weeks. The library is closed
because of the pandemic.
And -- what? I can't study in my own house. I can't study in any cafes because my house is unlivable because it's too loud. I break down and I start crying. I've worked so hard, and now the City doesn't care. They put a concert in my back yard and they're messing with my mental health and with my future.

Is it just me? No. I go to my living room and there she is, my mother. She's shaking. She suffers from panic disorder and she's having another panic attack. And it's all -- it's relentless, and it's every day. It's so noisy, and it's every weekend.

I own this space and I can't go to the bathroom. And I have to sit her on the toilet because it's the only place in the house where this noise is not -- is a little bit dampered. [Crying]

Starlight has affected my mental health. It's affected my mom's mental health, and it's affected my own family's mental health. [Crying]

Even larger than this, I want the City to think about any precedent, and any precedent of any concert venue like thing where there's events -- I know even if it's not
every night, even if it's five nights a week, anywhere -- in a parking garage in a place that is residential, have you ever seen a precedent like this? Have you ever seen it even happen once a week? Once a month anywhere? I have looked and I cannot find any precedent for this anywhere in the country.

It just affects most of the residents. And lastly, I want you to consider who the residents are on the corner of Bishop Allen and Norfolk. Most of these properties are Maloney Properties or Cambridge Housing Authority properties. We are an underserved community, and not everyone is here to be able to advocate for ourselves.

Consider that you would not want this in your own back yard, and you would not build this in your own back yard. Consider that you would not be able to get a permit for this in places with people who are higher income.

I ask you today to vote with compassion and with justice, and thinking critically about how this has affected the health and lives of the people in this area.

I also ask you to know that this was supposed to be a danger response to COVID pandemic, and that this cannot continue.

Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Mike Connolly?
MIKE CONNOLLY: Good evening. And hello to the Chairperson and to Members of the Board. My name is Mike Connolly. I serve as the State Representative for the 26 th Middlesex District, which includes Central Square and other parts of Cambridge and Somerville.

And I'm also a resident of 4 Ashburton Place, which is located just steps away from Municipal Lot \#5. And I came this evening to offer my strong support for the special permits necessary to allow Starlight Square to continue with its operations.

And I've enjoyed a wide variety of programming over the past year and a half from the inauguration of our Council and our city's Martin Luther King Junior Day of Service and Learning to the Celebration of Life for Dr. Bob Moses, or just the simple joys of outdoor shopping with local vendors and the Popportunity installation.

And I honestly can't imagine how we would have endured the first two years of this pandemic without the safe and vibrant outdoor gathering space for the arts, for
civic life and for small businesses that Starlight provides.
In this moment, where the pandemic is still unpredictable and unfortunately not yet over, I think it's so important that we continue to maintain this outdoor gathering space, not just as a way to build community, but also as a way to promote public health.

I recognize that abutting neighbors have raised serious concerns about the noise, and I would agree that these are concerns that need to be addressed.

At the very same time, I appreciate how the Central Square Business Improvement District has been working to respond to address these concerns, and their commitment to ongoing monitoring and addressing any noise issues.

And so in conclusion, I believe Starlight Square's continuation is essential to the well-being of Central Square and our community, and so I'd respectfully ask this Board to grant the requested permits, so that Starlight can continue for a third season. Thank you so much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Michael.
OLIVIA RATAY: Michael James?

MICHAEL JAMES: Hello. My name is Michael James,
and I live directly overlooking the Starlight venue. I've been in quite a few bit of communication with both the Executive Lead at Starlight at the time, Michael Monestime, as well as with the current one, Luis.

And it's truly odd to me that they keep saying that we are addressing concerns when Michael Monestime had mentioned to me about four months ago that he would do all that he can to go -- to make sure that every single resident in the area has been surveyed with a multilingual survey, as well as has the opportunity to speak multiple times during Zoom meetings, so that they can discuss how this has affected them and their lives.

Yet, despite this, and despite the fact that Michael Monestime followed up with me multiple times that he would do this, he hasn't.

And the -- and as far as I see it, I'm not really sure what is being addressed here. Because no discussions were made with the proposals that are being set.

It has been true -- there is nearly -- I want to say that there's probably nearly about 30 apartments surrounding this venue just on Norfolk Street and on Bishop Allen alone, not even counting 10 Essex. And you can see
them on the picture. And there is absolutely no noise barrier between us and the venue. Zero. This is just scaffolding. All it's doing is hiding the fact that there are residents living right behind it.

The effect that this has had on us has been completely assaultive to our mental health, and to our space and to our senses. I have not been able to work productively.

I have not been able to speak with my family during dinnertime from the exhaustion of music, and I haven't been able to rest at times when I've been grieving for the death of a loved one, or times when $I$ was sick, $I$ couldn't get a rest either.

This operating a venue of this scale right in front of residents pays no attention to their schedule whatsoever. It pays no attention to their needs whatsoever. And the fact that this venue needs ongoing construction almost daily basically or weekly or so on, it's been exhausting.

I urge the Board to not grant this place a permit to continue operation of entertainment and music and light music, in a very dense residential area. There's probably 100 people living right in front of that thing. It's not --
just because it's bordering a Business District doesn't mean that there aren't people there.

Yeah. I thank you for the opportunity to talk, and that's all I have to say.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Thank you for calling in.

OLIVIA RATAY: Aelen?
AELEN: Thank you for giving me the time to talk. My name is Aelen. I am one of the vendors at Popportunity. My small sustainable business is Zero-Waste clothes I am a woman, and low-income.

I was living in a women's shelter, and Popportunity was the only opportunity for me to grow my business. They believed in me; they gave me this opportunity. I connected with many business owners, and I have great -- a professional relation with my customers.

And I was -- I made and I sold more than 350 recycled reusables, brought back. I was doing alterations in front of my pod. Also $I$ was doing sewing classes for upcycling, so $I$ was creating awareness about reducing landfills and also the climate change and using -- and unwanted textile materials.

I strongly support giving the permit for Popportunity and Starlight because there are many women as me. No one gives them a chance to be visible, and Popportunity is the only place to help women to grow their business. Thank you for this opportunity.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jillian Girardin?
JILLIAN GIRARDIN: Hello. "Girardin," that's fine. My name is Jillian Girardin, and I am at 53 Putnam Ave in Cambridge. I am a lifelong resident of Cambridge. I was born and raised here, went to Cambridge Rindge and Latin.

And my organization is the Cambridge Hip Hop Collective. And we organize the Bridgeside Cyphers at Starlight Square. And I am here in support of Starlight Square getting a special permit for their third season.

The Cambridge Hip Hop Collective is a non-profit community organization that hosts the Bridgeside Cypher, which is a Hip Hop open mic event that has found a home at Starlight Square. Our outdoor Hip Hop events feature local artists and musicians performing in an improvised, collaborative format.

We were initially under a street performance permit, but in 2020 when those were taken, many performance venues were closing due to the pandemic. It significantly impacted the Arts community.

Creatives not only needed an outdoor space to rely on them to survive financially, but also to express themselves.

In 2021, the Bridgeside Cypher held five monthly events at Starlight Square, and our mission is to build the bridges between people of different bands in our community through hip hop. And last year's Starlight Square series perfectly demonstrated that.

Our events at Starlight have become a uniquely diverse gathering of artists and attendees of various backgrounds, ethnicities, classes, ages, genders, and experience levels all performing together.

We've also gained a broader audience at Starlight Square, to many people who have never been exposed to hip hop music. We feel that the people in our community must hear these stories told within hip hop in steps towards breaking down cultural barriers and inherent biases that contribute to systemic racism.

The Central Square bid, Flagg Street Studio, and the entire Starlight Square staff have been astounding -outstanding. They always have had smiles on their faces, and they've really cultivated a welcoming, excising space for our entire community when we needed it most.

Starlight Square has not only made an impact on Cambridge hip hop, but to every organization, business and school that has either held an event there or participated in creating some sense of normalcy again.

Please allow them to continue their future
operations, in helping our community thrive. Starlight Square is essential to Central Square. Thank you. OLIVIA RATAY: Jillian?
[Pause]
AARON KING: Hi. Sorry, this is Aaron King speaking also on behalf of the Cambridge Hip Hop Coalition with Jill. I think we're just using the same link, so it got confused. And my name is Aaron King. I live at 2 Inman Street in Cambridge. And I was also born and raised here. Grew up on Essex Street, which is just around the corner from Starlight Square.

I just wanted to echo, you know, sentiments that

Jill gave about us really finding a home at Starlight Square.

And I also just wanted to speak about the communication with the Central BID. You know, we worked with the production team on site to understand the rules and regulations that were put forward in the guidelines.

And I saw these rules and regulations upheld very strictly; one being the decibel limit, you know, at the sound -- by the sound tech. They had a decibel meter, and they would not raise the music above, you know, the set decibel level. I think it was in the seventies.

And there were times that we urged them to turn it up, because as musicians we always want, you know, it to be louder, and they would not turn it up.

And they would also always make sure to end the show very promptly at 9:00 p.m. Even if we kind of were still going they would say, "Look, we just have to stop it." And they would literally turn the mic off kind of at the end of our show.

So, you know, I just kind of wanted to speak to the production team there really trying to at least follow the guidelines that had been laid out. And I think that,
you know, as performers there, we were able to witness that. So thank you.

OLIVIA RATAY: Dennis Carlone?
DENNIS CARLONE: Thank you. This is Dennis
Carlone. I live at 9 Washington Avenue at the corner of Linnean. And I'm a City Councillor, Urban Designer. And I'm speaking for myself when $I$ say that we lack public spaces in Cambridge. Central Square lacks them, the neighborhood lacks them.

I don't know if you all know, but Cambridge has half of the public spaces that the average American city has -- open space. The Port has one-fifth of the national average.

So my comments are in part based on that, that having meeting places, public places are essential, especially when an area -- Central Square is going through a pandemic and who knows what the next phase will be.

So this is a unique space. It should continue. However, I want to take Michael Monestime's statement of working with the neighbors seriously, and I would expect that.

I have looked into the Noise Ordinance, and it is
weak in many places. In fact, in a business district, it's as if it's the 1950s, new towns where the retail is separate from residential. However, in Cambridge, one of the best things about Cambridge is the mixture. Someone else said we have residential structures in all our districts, which we do. In fact, the Council promotes that.

So whether it's sound buffering or some other devices, a more strict adherence to noise limitations -- and 70 decibels is high for a neighbor, even though it meets the ordinance.

So given all that, assuming that this will be resolved in a better way, I fully support maintaining this as public space and an active space, and $I$ hope that neighbors are treated in a fair way doing this. Thank you very much.

OLIVIA RATAY: Dan Totten?
DAN TOTTEN: Yes, thank you. My name is Dan Totten. I live at 54 Bishop Allen Drive, so just a couple buildings down. And when Starlight's happening, I can hear Starlight from my room. So I just want to put that out there, especially when the window's open, which it needs to be in the summer.

But I've found Starlight to be essential to my mental health. There was one day earlier on in the pandemic when I was feeling really down. And it was -- you know, I'm someone who needs social engagement, social interaction, and I wasn't getting any of that, as most of us weren't.

And I was laying on my bed and I heard my friend Elon Fyfield, also known as Lizzle4, rapping out my window. And so I put my shoes on and I ran over and caught the performance. And it really lifted me up. And, you know, I just want to say that Starlight's really been an important part of my mental health.

I also want to say that this endeavor has been true racial and economic justice. I mean, if you go over there at any given point, you are seeing black and brown young people from our community lining this place, performing, selling their merchandise, selling their art.

You name it, this -- this establishment has given young people in our community a place to shine, and a great opportunity. And that's indispensable. A lot of people talk about big game about doing that, and this place has delivered.

You know, so I have a lot of respect for my
neighbors who feel differently. I actually had a 90-minute conversation at one point with Mr. James about this.

But I feel that the Central Square BID has proposed steps that will mitigate the impact. I don't know that it was mentioned, but in their application, it says they're going to remove a speaker, which should reduce the volume.

So, you know, and I really trust Mr. Monestime and Nina and Luis, who I hope to meet soon, to deliver on their promises and to continue engaging with the neighborhood. So I urge you to approve it tonight. Starlight makes me proud to live in this neighborhood. And I really hope it continues into the summer without any sort of delay.

So thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Liam Thompson?
LIAM THOMPSON: Yes. Hello. Mr. Liam Thompson, 77 Bishop Allen Drive. One of the apartment blocks you can see from the picture that Mr. Monestime showed, I wanted to say -- I wanted to echo the person's comment about public spaces and meeting spaces that are necessary for Cambridge to thrive. I agree 100 percent with that.

What I don't agree with is the type of public space or meeting space that should be abutting family residences. There are about 50 or so apartments that are directly abutting this Starlight venue. They're mostly occupied by families with children and kids.

And if you're three or four -- if you're a block removed from that, the noise is much smaller, is much less. But if you're directly on and seeing this Starlight space, then it's impossible for kids for example to do their homework. They need to be in bed by 8:00 p.m. or 9:00 p.m.

How can they do their homework when they come from school? This will affect their lives. This will affect, you know, families' lives. Imagine putting a concert in your back yard.

You know, the fact that the file is 148 pages, like Mr. Chair, you know, mentioned, should give you pause and think about the impact that this has on people around the space.

I don't want to repeat what others have said, but we were forced to leave our house multiple days because we just can't sleep there. Kids can't do their homework, we can't relax after work, it's impossible to live. You know,
everything has a place.
I agree that public spaces are essential, but a public space like a park or, you know, even they -- you know, this space was used for a farmers market every Saturday prior to the pandemic. That was fantastic. We loved it. We would participate in it.

What we don't want is disruption for people living around it. It's impossible to have a concert going on until 9:00 p.m. right next to your house. Who can think of that? If it's even five days a week, if the noise is 70 -- that's not low enough.

There should be no concert happening after you come back from work. It's a place to live, it's not a place to be at a concert, if you want to do a concert. Everything has its place. This is not the place for this.

You know, if I go to Mr. Monestime and tell him if I want to put a concert in his back yard, or if $I$ put a concert in the back yard of any of the people on this call, they wouldn't be happy with that. It just -- it doesn't, you know, it will give you pause. So think about the families living around there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

LIAM THOMPSON: I fully, I fully -- you know, I fully encourage the Board to not have this disruptive -- you know, you will hear more people outside than people from not abutting it, because there is more people in Cambridge than those, you know, seven or eight or nine buildings that actually suffer from it. But that's really --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Thank you for your comments.

OLIVIA RATAY: Nouran?
NOURAN: Hi, yes. I'm also one of the residents that lives right across of Starlight. And I just wanted to -- I don't want to repeat everything, but I really just want to reiterate how instructive this has been. I never used to get migraines, and now I get migraines all the time because of Starlight.

And this has affected my mental health very, very bad. I can't have dinner conversations, I can't think, I can't do any work from home. It's just -- it's a complete injustice to the people living around it. Like, how -please imagine how disruptive this is for someone trying to sleep, to work, to live.

And yeah, it's just -- I'm in complete in (sic)
support of it. I love arts, I love people, you know, benefitting from this, but this should not be a place for it at all. Please consider this. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for your comments.
OLIVIA RATAY: Risa Mednick?

RISA MEDNICK: Good evening. My name is Risa Mednick. I live at 20 Maple Avenue in Cambridge. And I'm here in support of Starlight Square. I was an early supporter of Starlight and truly an appreciative audience member.

I have to tell you that "grateful" is probably an understatement to express how I felt attending events -arts events and community events at Starlight as the pandemic raged around us.

They were brilliantly produced, but also planned with such care, with attention to every public health protocol and detail observed, so that everyone could be safe there. And I think that's -- that can't be stressed enough.

And it really shouldn't have taken a global pandemic for Starlight to be realized, but it did. The reality is that Cambridge is so lacking in civic spaces that are truly welcoming to everyone in our diverse and changing
community, and Cambridge needs Starlight Square. It's what the whole community should be aspiring to be -multicultural, multigenerational, accessible to people with and without money. It's a space that draws people in for fun, for creativity. And it's truly of hope.

I want to stress that I'm especially attuned to the concerns of the abutters, and the ways noise and traffic can impact them. I've actually spoken before to the BZA in the past when I lived next to a business with a 1:00 a.m. entertainment license. That was an incredible hardship.

But I have real faith in the ways that the neighbors' concerns will be addressed by Starlight's leadership going forward.

So I encourage you all to endorse the special permit to continue to operate on Lot \#5 in Central Square, and I also want to acknowledge that tonight you have a rare and fortunate -- very fortunate opportunity to take a vote that doesn't require you to cross your fingers and hope for the best once a project comes to fruition, because Starlight Square is Proof of Concept.

Thank you all. Have a good night.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.

OLIVIA RATAY: Geeta Pradhan?

GEETA PRADHAN: Hi. I'm Geeta Pradhan. I'm with the Cambridge Community Foundation at 99 Bishop Allen Drive. And I do want to support -- I'm speaking in support of the project, both because of the enormous benefit it has provided in bringing our community together.

Like Risa, I'm actually not going to repeat everything she said, because I agree with everything she said, including the concerns of the abutters.

And the one thing that $I$ will say that what gives me confidence is the fact that during the pandemic the team of Starlight Square was very diligent about our biggest concern at that time, which was public health.

And I'm confident that they will continue to be mindful, particularly having learned from and heard from the neighbors about what a hardship it is for them, to be respectful of that, to be mindful of that and to do the best to mitigate the efforts.

We've seen Starlight at its height in terms of noise. Let's see what they can do to lessen the mitigation on the neighbors. But honestly, this is a project I think I agree with every single word that Risa said. It has brought
communities together like no one else has been able to do. And we feel very proud of our support of it, and I hope to continue to support this with the portion and with the confidence that the Starlight team will respect and will be respectful of neighbors' concerns. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Nicola Williams?
NICOLA WILLIAMS: Hello again. Nicola Williams, 8
Brewer Street. I'm calling on behalf of the Cambridge Carnival, as well as the Cambridge Somerville Black Business Network. I'm the President of Cambridge Carnival, and I'm the facilitator of the Cambridge Somerville Black Business Network.

Cambridge Carnival has been blessed to be part of Starlight, as for the Taste of Carnival. The Carnival had not been produced in a number of years, and Starlight was our outlet to bring back Caribbean culture and fun to Central Square.

And the Starlight was the opportunity to do that. It's also supported businesses in the Square. I found out about new businesses, I attended things like Bollywood roller-skating events.

And as you all know, many of our venues in
Cambridge are Cambridge artist venues -- have closed prepandemic. And this was an opportunity to give artists a chance to express themselves and to shine.

Also businesses. Seventeen businesses of color is significant in a city where we have less than six blackowned restaurants and less than two fully-owned liquor licenses.

So this is an epicenter of businesses of color in our city, and we should be proud of that. Love to see that at different parts of the city. It's a model for different parts of the city. I'd love to see Starlight everywhere in our squares.

And so I've found that it's been an invaluable respite for our young people, our community members. It's a way to bring community together in all walks of life. And they're all free. Where do you have that? Where do we have this special opportunity and treasure that we should really hold onto.

I understand the neighbors' concerns, and I believe that the Central Square BID has set some parameters like cutting off the sound by 9:00 p.m., and that they need
to be -- and will continue to be more sensitive to the neighbors' needs.

I hope that we can grant the special permit, because Cambridge needs this, the community needs this, our youth needs this, and our businesses need Starlight Square. So thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Nicole.
NADA ABDELFATTAH: Hello. Hi. Yes, I live very close to Starlight, and I just wanted to say that I am a mother of a 2-year-old and I have to put him to bed during the day, I have to put him to bed at night, and having this noise so close is incredibly difficult, disturbing, unfair.

I think this -- just the idea that the benefits of this program can just -- sorry, I'm just very passionate about this -- that they take precedent over the lives of people living in this residential area is disturbing.

And so I just really want to encourage the Board to understand that we live here and we have families, and this is highly impactful and offensive. And I am a young mother. It is not easy and having this in my life is just -- it's incredible. It's incredible to understand that this is a problem in my life. It is just incredible.

So I really urge -- I understand that there are benefits, and I appreciate those things. But this is my life. This is my family, and I live here. Do you want me to move? Do I have to move? Because these are the questions that go through my mind, and that I speak to with my husband. So I really, really hope that this is not approved. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thanks. That is the sum and substance of the comments. I will close public comment. We are in receipt of numerous correspondence. I will just focus on two or three. Received a letter from the Executive Department.
"To the Members of the Board of Zoning Appeal:
I'm writing to express my strong support of the Central Square Business Improvement District's application for a special permit to continue their award-winning work at Starlight Square, which was established by the BID at the City-owned Municipal Lot \#5 at 84 Bishop Allen Drive.
"In June 2020, I exercised my executive authority to allow the BID's use of the City-owned Municipal Lot for the creation of Starlight Square outdoor recreational and entertainment program as a safe, open space area during the

COVID-19 pandemic.
"Since then, my office had worked in close
collaboration with the BID to oversee its uses through the license agreements with the City of Cambridge."

It then goes on to list the numerous activities and classes that have been held there.

It continues,
"This space has continued to prove to be an extremely important community space for our local art and culture organizations, and its continued use for this purpose will assist the City and its public health efforts to maintain resilience against potential future COVID-19 variants.
"It has been a valuable resource during COVID-19 pandemic to have an outside space where people can gather safely for a variety of purposes, including arts and culture purposes.
"The City even used Starlight for the City Council inauguration during the Omicron surge, when it was not safe to gather inside. I see great value in Starlight's continued existence at this space, to serve as an additional asset for the City as a whole, to come together as a
community for various events and gatherings.
"Very truly yours,
Louis A. DePasquale,
City Manager."
Also, there's correspondence from the Community
Development Department, dated March 22, 2025.
"The Central Square Advisory Committee met on
Monday, March 14 to discuss the Special Permit Application. The Committee decided to share a positive recommendation for granting the Special Permit with the Board of Zoning Appeal."

In furtherance, the letter goes on,
"Committee Members expressed universal support for Starlight Square and emphatically endorsed the granting of the Special Permit being sought. They view Starlight Square as a locus of community activity and pride, a place for Cantabrigians to gather, and an opportunity for burgeoning entrepreneurs."

Signed by Nicole Ferrer. I'm sorry, it's signed by Executive Secretary.

There was also a letter from -- it was signed by Sarah Scott, excuse me, Associate Zoning Planner, Community

Development Department.
Also, for the Board's edification, and also to Michael, in reading the numerous correspondence that was sent in by abutters and though it has adversely affected their lives in their personal testimonies and I -- the Board has a tendency to impose conditions when we have such cases before us and abutters have expressed strong opposition or concerns about certain aspects, and so on and so forth.

In that light, I was somewhat hesitant to come up with appropriate restrictions, and knowing that I believe that the Licensing Commission had some jurisdiction over the operation, they did not want to interfere with their jurisdiction.

So to Members of the Board, I asked Maria Pacheco to request of the Cambridge Licensing Commission a letter to advise us on exactly what their role is, and this is the sum and substance of that.
"Dear Mr. Sullivan:
This is to confirm that pursuant to the authority by which the Board of License Commissioners was created, Special Acts of 1919, and amended 1922 and 1949, the Board has the exclusive authority in the City of Cambridge to
issue, regulate and condition certain licenses pursuant to various statutes including, but not limiting to, entertainment licenses issued under General Law 140, 181, 183A.
"The Board issues two types of entertainment licenses - annual or one-day (which can be issued for multiple days). When the Board approves or denies an application for an entertainment license, it considers various factors as set by state law.
"When the Board grants an entertainment license, it may place conditions on it, such as hours or type of entertainment allowed, in order to serve the public and protect the common good.
"In addition, pursuant to the authority conferred to the Board by the Special Acts, Noise Control Ordinance and state law, the Board may suspend, revoke or further condition an entertainment license, or issue fines for violations.
"There are various legal opinions from the City Solicitor on the issue of the Board's authority, if you need additional information.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you
have any questions.
Nicole Ferrer
From the Cambridge License Commission."
So I submit those for the record as sort of an overview of I guess what we're dealing with here.

Michael, any other -- I will close the public comments part. Michael, any further words you have before the Board discusses it?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Yes, Mr. Chair. And thank you for the opportunity. To the Chair, to the Board, the concerns of the neighbors are heard loud and clear. I just want to reiterate that. I want to speak to how we're going to reduce these impacts moving forward.

We've committed to reducing programming by limiting it to no more than five days per week. I think this is important to point out that much of the programming is not just live music performance, but rather classes meetups, civic events, et cetera.

These events are chosen via an open application process by a selection committee. Organizers provide this programming completely free to the public, and the BID provides grants to help make this possible. There will be a
more focused, intentional curation of events.
Additionally, the BID has hired a designated sound engineer who will test the acoustic settings and sound levels within the installation and on Norfolk and Bishop Allen sidewalks. And when they've done that in the past, they've always been below the required decibel limit.

And we've been visited by the License Commission, Cambridge Police during performances. They've taken measurements, and we have always been within the required decibel limit.

But with all that said, in hearing the impacts from the neighbors, we are moving forward with 50 percent reduction of the speakers that Starlight had in the previous season to help mitigate the effects on the abutters. That's the first power move that we're making in good faith to show that we hear the neighbors loud and clear and want to address their concerns.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Speaking for
myself, I just -- I want to applaud you for your leadership at Starlight. On another note, I don't envy you in the challenge that you face to make this whole operation work
for the Cambridge community, but also to addressing the abutting residences' concerns.

I'm not sure if you have gone through our file or not, but I -- and sometimes with the general public, we on the Board here sometimes feel like the panel on Judge Baker's Guidance Center.

But I think that in reading some of the correspondence from the abutters who have grave concerns, a couple of them even said, well, they didn't think it was going to do any good, but they thought they would write it anyhow.

But I think what I will do, Michael, is even if you haven't seen it, I am going to make copies of their letters, and $I$ want them to know that they do matter, and the Board acknowledges their concerns. And I will forward those letters onto you, and read them and address those concerns.

And again, I also call upon the elected officials, who have raised great support for this, that they also need to step up and address some of the concerns of the abutters. So with that said, Mr. Alexander any comments?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yes, I have some. Having
-- well, a few years -- several years ago, we were struck by this pandemic, not just Cambridge, needless to say, but the whole country and actually the whole world. There was quite a bit of despair, and floundering about because what do we do, how do we deal with this omnipresent pandemic?

Unfortunately for Cambridge at least, some very talented and imaginative, creative people created Starlight Square. And Starlight Square has proved to be for most of Cambridge a very significant thing that has helped us to deal with life in the pandemic.

But there's a price that went along with that. And that price was paid by the neighbors, and their disruption in their lives, and the noise or the condition of the -- the loudness of the music, and of the other activities that went on. Their lives -- they paid with their lives for the benefit that the city as a whole received. That's just the way it is.

But sooner or later this pandemic is going to recede, and we'll be able to return to life as it once was, or close to what it once was. That's the time I think Starlight Square has to reconsider -- about its role and how it fulfills its role, and how it can better take into
consideration the concerns and the pains of the neighbors.
I'm very happy to hear from Michael that right now
he is starting that effort. That's good.
But it's not a one-off. It's got to be a
continuous effort, because the impact of the pandemic on our city is continuous.

The goal should be to get down to something that the neighbors can live with -- every neighbor, but you've got to put your imagination, your talents now, or in the near future $I$ think to helping these people out, making their lives back somewhat closer to normal than it is right now.

But because I have confidence in what you've done, what you can do, I'm willing to support granting the relief, without condition, at this point.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I appreciate the activity; I appreciate what it does for the city. But I'm concerned about the neighbors who've spoken up about how it's affected their lives. And I think what you suggested as mitigating measures don't quite get me to the point where $I$ could approve the zoning relief.

I would be -- I would only favor it if in fact we could come to agreement on some conditions, and in a fairly short timeframe where, you know, whatever the conditions are -- you know, the acoustic, the studies, the improvements, the evaluations happen within -- you know, much shorter than a full season, full summer season and fall, when I assume you'll be in, you know, full operation a shorter duration than that say halfway through the summer, where you -- you don't start until mitigating effects have been put into place, and then in some -- midway through the season that be reevaluated, the neighbors be polled, and we basically stand back and decide if it's worth it or not.

If it does, we find to continue. And if it doesn't, then you can't continue until it's mitigated.

So I would not be in favor of approving it carte blanche. I would only be comfortable if we could put some conditions on it, in response to the neighbors.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any comments?
SLATER ANDERSON: Thanks, Brendan. I'm troubled by the comments by the neighbors as well. I obviously see the, you know, the good and the benefits that have accrued to a large number of Cantabrigians and others from this but,
you know, this was something that was a result of the pandemic, like we saw with many other elements of outdoor dining and changes in regulations on a temporary basis to try to mitigate and help people through -- both businesses and residents.

But I -- as Gus alluded to, you know, the pandemic may be waning, and that period may be coming to an end.

And, you know, I look at this -- this development, whatever you want to call it, $I$ mean it is a temporary structure, as described.

It's scaffolding and scrims, you know? And staging. This is something -- it's not a, you know, bricks and mortar building, it's something that's mobile.

One question $I$ had was, you know, has there been any consideration of an alternative location in the city for this? I mean, it seems like something that's fairly portable.

And, you know, I lived right in that neighborhood for many years. And, you know, I know that's -- I mean it's a bit of an amphitheater there with those houses around that -- those apartment buildings around that parking lot.

I -- you know, if you were doing this from
scratch, $I$ don't know that that would be the first location I would pick in the city for something like this to have its -- have -- you know, the least impact on a neighboring residential use.

And there are a lot of regulatory tings where, you know, the alternative analysis is an appropriate step to come into a decision to approve something in the affirmative, such as this location; this use, and this location.

So -- and I also agree with Jim Monteverde that, you know, I'm not in a position to give carte blanche approval to this use without some conditions. It's unclear to me where the License Commission stands on this, or is there going to be a further review by them?

I know, Brendan, you read the letter and I saw it, but maybe someone could answer those two questions for me? Has there been an alternatives analysis, and is the License Commission still going to weigh in on this?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, I think my
understanding and reading of the letter from Licensing is that they license the events. And I think that the -- you know, there is an awful lot of popup businesses, there's
community groups, there's startups, there's all kinds of other things that would probably have a huge benefit but don't create disturbance, noise, whatever, and it's really the acoustic music.

And that the licensing has control over that, and they can give a license for whatever it may be, it may be music, operation, and that they can impose conditions upon that basis, having to do with sound, hours of operation, time frame, days of the week, that type of jurisdiction.

So that's why I asked them to -- or asked her to forward the letter, so I would better understand that their licensing, their authority to license, they can control I think what goes on in the event and the potential effect that it has.

SLATER ANDERSON: So there may be future
licensing, is that what your understanding is?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, the what?
SLATER ANDERSON: There might be future, like, if they're going to have live music, they're still going to have to get a permit from the License Commission?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct.
SLATER ANDERSON: Okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Yes, absolutely. That's correct.

Michael, for music events that they would have to get a license from the License Commission, is that correct?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Correct, Mr. Chair. Could I also make one more clarifying point?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
MICHAEL MONESTIME: While we are seeking a special permit for the use, we do have a lease with the City of Cambridge, which also imposes how we can use the structure. And correct, we do go to the License Commission for our oneday entertainment permits regarding the sound.

And one other comment, just to a previous point, mitigation efforts will commence in advance of this season if approved. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And can you comment, Michael, on the alternative analysis? Was there consideration of alternative locations for this?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Thank you for the question, thank you for the question. The site was put together sitespecific. It's very hard to move, even though it's very flexible materials. It is very hard to move, and we have
not done an alternative analysis. The structure itself is weighted by 260 Jersey barriers, so while you see scaffold and scrim, it is anchored by quite a bit of weight to retain it.

SLATER ANDERSON: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, Slater, at the moment?

SLATER ANDERSON: Nope.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Wendy Leiserson, any comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, I do have some comments. I think this case is -- you know, goes to the heart of zoning, really, where we have multiple competing interests, all of whom have valid points of view.

And I find it troubling, as both Jim and Slater have indicated, that the neighbors have so much -- have had so much hardship and negative impact, as well as positive impact, but I'm concerned about the negative impacts that many of the neighbors have reported.

At the same time, I'm very sympathetic to the need to provide venues for artists and other members of the community who have spoken here who have benefitted from the
venue of Starlight.
But I'm not inclined to go forward without a better understanding of the impacts on the neighbors and a better understanding of what steps are being taken to address their concerns.

And that's not at all to say that I have any -you know, I appreciate Michael's statements about his commitment to working with the neighbors, and I certainly respect the points of view, the opinions that have been provided by the Council and other members of the city community.

But what concerns me is not knowing, and not wanting to sidestep the responsibility of the Zoning Board thinking that perhaps the Licensing Board will take care of this, or the BID will take care of the concerns of the neighbors.

Clearly, the neighbors have been existing with this situation for a couple years now, and have not found satisfactory redress of their concerns through the BID. Not that the BID hasn't tried, but it hasn't done enough.

And so whose responsibility is it to say, okay stop? There has to be the property -- we need to see the
action has been taken, that their concerns have been addressed. Well, it seems to me that is the providence of this Board, of the Zoning Board.

And I'm not sure, because $I$ don't know the work of the Licensing Board -- if they can take the holistic sort of approach to looking at a license for one-day events. Do they look at things in the aggregate? Do they say, well, you know, there have been 10 events in the last 14 days?

I just don't know, and I'm not going to try to second-guess the work of another of the City's government. We can only look at what our job is.

And our job here, to me, says that under the criteria that we have to apply to issue a special permit, that it's not been satisfactorily met. I'm not persuaded, because of the deleterious impact on the neighbors. So that's my current thinking.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My feeling is that I think that the License Commission has a more hands-on and it's an event-specific authorization to approve a license, and that they can, I think, better evaluate the proposed event that they're being asked to provide the license to, and that they could then delve into exactly what the instruments are --
the noise level potentially, the hours and how that is going to affect.

I think that what has been stewing below the surface has now boiled over the surface, and that this is a good, public airing of all the pluses and the minuses. Yes, there are some minuses, then, to the abutters, who are adversely affected. It's a huge minus.

I have run through -- again -- all of the correspondence, and particularly feel the letters from the high school students who have found and outlet there and -during the pandemic. I think the pandemic may ease, it may go away, it may not.

But I think that they have found, and I think that we have found here on the Board a number of requests are coming down before us for outdoor space, and what have you, because society has changed their modus operandi, that the pandemic has changed how we conduct our lives.

People are needing more interior space to work from home, and they also need some more outside space in order to get out of the home, and to get some fresh air and to feel somewhat normal.

Starlight, I think has done that, and has provided
a venue for -- and again, reading a lot of the correspondence for startups, for people in a community. There's, you know, one letter from Howard Metzger who said that it has provided an outlet for some of these people to show their wares, their creativity, and where, you know, bring some order. Stores are just not available. So there is a great barrier to that. Starlight has provided that.

So that is a huge benefit, I think, to the
Cambridge community, especially, especially the Port neighborhood, and for those in that neighborhood.

So now we come down to what is the -WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- problem with noise? And, again, $I$ think that the License Commission can better control that than we can.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Wendy
Leiserson. May I ask a question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure. So I -- like I said, I am sympathetic and to all of the community members here. And I actually think Starlight is a fabulous concept. It's just how do we balance that, you know, desired use and desired community access with the neighbors?

And so my question to you is, does the Licensing Board -- I mean, well, first off, what would be wrong with us having a condition for example about -- and I'm just -this is just a for example, I don't know what the right answer is, but -- of saying, "No more than $X$ number of concerts per week? Those terms of which to be decided upon by the Licensing Board?"

Because then we are doing the job of saying what use is allowed, and the Licensing Board would be doing the job of deciding what noise level, what hours, et cetera?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My thought, on that, Wendy, is that $I$ don't really feel as if I'm qualified to come up with a magic number of how many concerts. You know, we could have a concert every single night, music being played, and it not be -- you could -- one concert out of that, and then I would be, you know, put people over the edge.

And so what -- I don't know what the magic number is, and I really think it has to do with a case-by-case specific type of music presentation.

And I think that Michael can monitor that. I think that you are more tuned in, you have more -- I don't mean to be cute here, but your ear to the ground as far as
what works and what doesn't work, and what is going to, you know, go over the edge as far as being too loud, too disruptive.

And I think that for Michael and the License Commission, doing a case-by-case basis that they can monitor that, they can police that, they can govern that better than I think we can, or the Board can. I think it's -- you know, it's probably a tendency to come away with, you know, something -- give something to sort of corral this thing a little bit. I'm just not sure what that is.

WENDY LEISERSON: So it goes back to my question. It goes back to my question of you said, "case-by-case basis, but does the Licensing Board -- and if someone knows, please tell me -- do they give you a call license, like, do you say, again, "I want to have this jazz ensemble on Monday" and they give you license on that?

Or are they giving you a license as a venue for -you know, so you have to get an individual license, and do they look at the whole of the number of licenses they have been granting you per event, you know for each event during a week? Or how is it done?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure, Wendy. I don't
know that.

Michael, I don't know if you can chime in on that, or you're more attuned to people who go to the Licensing Commission and how often, and what's the criteria?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Sure. Through you, Mr. Chair, to answer Wendy's question, the way we've done it in the past is we've gone to the License Commission with a period of time for the seasonality of programming, where we ask for days and hours of use.

One thing that we are willing to do in spirit of the conversation tonight, and with respect to the neighbors, is submit an entire calendar to the License Commission, specific with programming. We would need a period of time to produce that.

And this would help with any mitigation efforts or evaluations they think are appropriate in ensuring that the right intentional curation of what happens on this community stage has been addressed.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Brendan? My concern with what you're suggesting is you'll have the Starlight Square people talking to the Licensing Board, negotiating, dealing between the two, there's no place at the table for the
neighbors.
Where are the neighbors going to sit? On an
individual basis, how do they get their values conveyed?
I think if there was going to be a solution that way, we have to create a third group, the neighborhood -how they pick their representatives is up to them -- and they sit at the table with Starlight and Licensing Board and try to come up with something that works for everyone.

But I don't think we should just turn our back on the neighbors and say, "Trust the Licensing Board and the Starlight stage group for coming up with the right answers."

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim, back to you. If you can elaborate on your initial thoughts a little bit, or --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, in listening to the other discussion, and I agree with Gus, my concern with, you know, us taking action tonight and basically saying it'll be the Licensing Board, I preferred the methodology that said the, you know, us taking action tonight and basically saying it'll be the Licensing Board, I preferred the methodology that said any approval, if we were to approve, is conditional, so that it has a time limit to it.

And it basically requires Starlight to be specific about the mitigation measures, and then go back to that -you know, canvas that community and get responses from that community and bring that back to us.

Now, pick an interval. Is that monthly? Every two months through the season, so that you have some type of monitoring of is it working or not? And if it works, fine, then we can remove the condition and it can be approved. And if it doesn't, then it has to be resolved.

So I'm looking to be the cop here to accept the responsibility for trying to address the neighbors' concerns directly and not assume that another Board -- they may help, but I don't think they'll do it, I don't think they have a method to oversee it, and I don't think they have any teeth at the moment to enforce it, where we at this moment right now do.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're -- if you can be a little bit -- if we can --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Get that toward, "actionable item."

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How I would like to see this go just so that we could bring this to some conclusion tonight

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- is to say to Michael, "We would like you to do $X, Y, Z$, whatever it may be, or come back to us with any plan to do $X, Y, Z "$ and for $u s, ~ t h e n, ~ t o ~$ consider that. I would not, and I would ask my Board Members to give me a little leeway on this, is to not come up with a set of conditions tonight and say -- that said, I would want to come up with proposed conditions, give it to Michael, let Michael have further discussions.

And I think also that he can be in discussions with Nicole over at Licensing, and that they come back to us with something that might satisfy the Board concerns. Is that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So what you're asking, Jim, is for Starlight to come back to us with how they are going to -- and if you could fill in the blank?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I think it feels like a continuance to me where, you know, in that time period, Michael what did you want to say?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair and to
the Board, I just want to state one fact, please. Really, we need the special permit, right, for community programming to continue. Our first six weeks of programming tentatively set to start in May are primarily reserved for CRLS students.

We've talked about, we've vocalized on today's meeting what mitigation efforts we will take into practice, reducing 50 percent of our speakers. That's a verbalized condition we're willing to impose upon ourselves.

Continuance today will really cancel those events, including junior prom, the VPA performance from students at Rindge and Latin. And I just want to vocalize that as we're in this stage of deliberation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I hear you on that. And again, reading through some of the correspondence from the high school students, they have made some of those same points. And they're quite clear.

So I just want to -- again -- move this along, if it's not going to get to a favorable vote. Is the sense of the Board -- I mean, I would -- I am prepared to vote the special permit for tonight, as presented.

And I am quite comfortable granting the special
permit, and that the licensing and the BID will ameliorate any of the problems to the best of their ability. We're not going to satisfy everybody; it's not going to be 100 percent satisfaction by any means.

So short of that, is it the sense of the Board that they would like to see some further language coming back from Starlight, or?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I would. It's not a matter of language, I think we need a game plan. We need to know exactly what is going to be -- the impact is going to be on the neighborhood this summer, before we allow it to go forward. So I don't think it's as simple a task as that. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Could I jump in one more time? And I'm sorry for belaboring the process. We'd welcome conditions regarding sound and programming, either from this Board or from the License Commission. We're very willing to have a condition from the Board to come back.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I think what the Chair is saying, though, is we just may need some time to agree to those conditions between the five of us. So I, you know,

Mr. Chair, I think it's a two-part.
One is for Michael to come back with the pieces that he's already suggested verbally to us all, as what he's -- what they're willing to do as mitigation, and then for the Board to basically, you know, draft -- have a draft of conditions, and to be able to, you know, whether it's allowed to be shared with Michael beforehand or we go through that at the next session. But I think there are two parts.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I think it won't happen
tonight. That's why I'm thinking it's a continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. It's the second part. I mean, how do we come up with something to give to Michael? Can we come up with something tonight to give to Michael to -- as Gus says -- put together the entire package?

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
WENDY LEISERSON: I have a suggestion, which is we certainly -- as I said, I value what Starlight is doing for the community, and $I$ wonder if there's a way to -- I'm not sure when the special permit that they've been operating
under is due to expire.
But I wonder if there's a way to extend their ability to act as they have been acting for the events that are planned for the students and other members of the community, and then have them continue, you know, the -- to get a longer-term special permit -- to bifurcate it, so to speak, so that they're not stuck in action, you know, without action, for the time being...

And then to have them do some community meetings or some kind of community outreach where proposals are derived from the community and their work, rather than asking us to suggest what conditions we might like to see.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I'm not sure we can square that all up, Wendy, but I'm just trying to give Michael something to take away and then to come back to us.

And so, again, Jim, I'm sorry I just wanted to make some sense out of this. The condition that you're asking for, for the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that there be any time limit on any approval -- something where midway or somewhere through the season and before that midpoint, I'll call it again midpoint for now, Michael and his group canvas the
neighborhood, get responses from those neighbors as to have those mitigation measures address those concerns.

And, "By the way, there will be a public hearing on such and such a date through the Zoning Board." And let Michael outline the list of mitigations.

I think that's my suggestion in terms of what I'd be looking for is you do it, you do whatever Michael suggested for a while, and then they have to go canvas the neighborhood and get a response, so the neighborhood has an opportunity to speak -- the ones who are concerned, the ones who've spoken out so far.

And then at that point, we can act. We can see if it's ready for full approval. But I'd say it's, you know, a partial approval time limit.

What's the midpoint of your season, Michael?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: In addition to that, then we do meet again, after we do what Jim has outlined. We do it at a special meeting. Nothing else that night but this. As we don't have the pressures of the other cases and people --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We've done that before.

And I think this would be an appropriate time and case to do it again.

MICHAEL MONESTIME: To answer Jim's question directly, that would be mid-July.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I'm just thinking sometime -- you know, around there, maybe a little earlier, just so the community knows they have been heard, you're going to have to respond, because you're going to have to come back to us. So yeah, lets pick a date that gives the community confidence that we're listening, and you've got to take some action, mid-July.

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Willing to do that work. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, you're saying midJuly?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, I'm -- when I asked Michael what the midpoint of his season is, I'm just trying to pick the timeframe? How long do you want to let it happen with the mitigation measures Michael suggested, before you go canvas the neighborhood and see if it's working? So what's on the table is July, mid-July is the middle of your season. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. JIM MONTEVERDE: That gives you half the season to
do your -- whatever you have planned --
MICHAEL MONESTIME: Do the good work.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- do your good work, your quiet good work. And then monitor. I mean, I'm open to another suggestion, but I'm just trying to pick a date so we can move along.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. I'm getting kind of confused here as far as all this.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May-July is when the music program begins, is that correct, Michael?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: No, sir. The -JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

MICHAEL MONESTIME: If I could just summarize it quickly?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
MICHAEL MONESTIME: The programming starts in May. What Jim has suggested is we come back in July, allow for half of season to go on as planned, with a strong focus in addressing the concerns of the neighbors, canvassing the neighborhood, having a public meeting, and coming back in front of this body mid-July to see where we're at in this
process, to prove to this body that we've done the work to continue to then receive our full permit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, right now you are not operating -- you're operating under special permission from the City Manager, is that correct?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Yes, Mr. Chair. And that expiration -- that expires at the end of this month. Hence the sense of urgency.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's what I thought.
MICHAEL MONESTIME: But I think that Board Member Jim has put forth a good recommendation that our group would be more than willing to move forward with, if given the opportunity.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Without the granting of the special permit, is that correct?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: With the condition that we will come back in front of this body having done the good work quietly, so a conditional grant to be able to exit from this evening proceed, allow for the CRLS students to shine, and then do the work, come back in front of this body midJuly for our next meeting.

But a conditional grant this evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Is that possible?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I don't know. I don't
know if that's possible.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I don't think we can give a
conditional grant.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You can only put a condition on an acceptance, a full acceptance?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Timeframe. You've got permission up until, you know, the permission expires June 15 -- pick a day.

MICHAEL MONESTIME: If you set it to expire in say August 1, we're back in front of this body, mid-July gives us time to continue to proceed, if we've done the work that the Board is looking to see.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, or make it all happen the same date. If we're saying it's mid-July, and the -- you know, we accept it on the -- the only conditions, Michael, are what you've described as mitigating measures you're willing to take, and that acceptance would be only up to and through mid-July 2022.

And at that point, you've got to come back with
having polled the neighborhood and their responses, and it's -- as Gus suggested -- a special session. The neighborhood is, you know, given notice that there's a hearing, and they can speak up. And then we take up the matter again.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And so Jim, are you saying that we -- if we were to -- say we grant the special permit tonight with a time limit to expire July 30, is that what you're --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm saying use the same date for everything, so I don't confuse myself. Keep my life simple. It's middle of July, whatever that -- hold on a second. Let me --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. But that -- I don't think we can grant a conditional special permit. We can grant a special permit --

JIM MONTEVERDE: With conditions.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Timeframe.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and a timeframe. But we have to put that timeframe in.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, yeah, yeah. And the time frame -- you know, July 15 is the third Friday of -- July 16 is the third Friday in July.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The time frame is through --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Understand.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- sorry, go ahead.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm saying July 30, but they'd like to have them come back to us. In other words, what I'm saying is I don't want this to expire on July 15. Have them come back on July 15, and all of a sudden, the next day there's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- special permit doesn't work. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I'm with you. I'm with you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right? So -JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What I'm saying is let this -let just go on to August 1, for the sake of whatever. And have them come back on -- the condition is that they come back to us mid-July, and that this special permit expires on August 1. Are we in agreement on that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I will defer to your -- however you'd like to phrase it or position it, that's fine.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you okay with that?
WENDY LEISERSON: I'm okay with the idea of it. I
confess I'm not sure what is the right procedural piece there for whether or not they'd have to -- what happens when they come back?

Are they looking for a new special permit, or is this going to be the same? Like, I don't know what the procedural piece is there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then the time frame can go away. Then we can amend.

WENDY LEISERSON: Amend, okay, got it. Yes. Then
I am good with that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, are you okay with that?
SLATER ANDERSON: I am. I was contemplating one additional item that $I$ don't think is too complicated. And I am not an expert on the Board of Licensure in Cambridge, but where it says that they can -- the Board issues two types of entertainment license; annual or one-day, which can be issued to multiple days.

The condition I was going to suggest, because that Commission has public hearings I assume, and they are voting on these licenses, correct, that neighbors can go to? That

I would -- I wouldn't want -- I would want the -- anything that has -- any amplified sound that you need to get a permit for, which I assume is some, that's some threshold, that they're not going to get one blanket permit from here through August 1.

That's my point. I don't want them going to the License Commission for one permit from now to August 1. I would want them to, you know, have to go back for, you know, it might be too harsh to say one-day, you know, nothing more than a one-day permit.

But probably something like nothing more than a three-day for when they're going to have music. So it gives the neighbors an opportunity between now and August 1 to have a public forum to express their approval or disapproval for what's been going on, with the Licensing Commission.

So we're not binding the Licensing Commission to do anything, we're just telling the applicant, condition of this special permit is that you need to apply for separate licenses for amplified music from the Licensing Commission, between now and August 1, not to exceed three days per permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Michael, does that
work, or is it a difficult -- do you find any difficulty in that?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Yes. The License Commission had asked us not to do this. We filed with them for the season. You know, I just wonder is this the jurisdiction of the BZA to do this? It's incredibly labor-intensive. I think the conditions and restrictions that we've talked about are good.

Going in front of the License Commission and having public comment, that is a public meeting. We are all for that and open to that. And that body regulates and approves those applications.

SLATER ANDERSON: So Michael, are you telling me you have already talked to them about basically getting one permit for the License Commission for the entire season?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: In the past.
SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. Well, see, that doesn't make me super comfortable. Okay. Maybe not three days, but I would say a 30-day permit. Like, I wouldn't want to see you getting more than a 30 -day permit from the License Commission.

I'm not telling the License Commission what to do,
but giving you a blanket permit for the Licensing Commission for the whole season seems to short-circuit the neighbors, you know, their rights to have a voice in this, aside from tonight.

And if that -- you know, you're telling me, like, "I'm not comfortable going forward with what I'm hearing from the neighbors tonight, so you need to work with us here."

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Yes. And what I will say, Mr. Anderson, is 30 is fine. We'll -- we'll go to the License Commission in 30-day intervals. We've already talked about a condition of mid-May to mid-July. That's roughly two trips.

WENDY LEISERSON: So -- and just to clarify, Michael, you've already represented -- and I just want to make it clear that within this 30 -day period, you're still not going to have more than five days per week of programming, right? Okay.

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But my understanding is you obviously have to have a schedule when you have to schedule performance. Is that correct going forward for the entire
season?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And so then you would know who's scheduled on what dates and then go to the Licensing Commission and get a -- if somebody's going to perform for one night, one afternoon, whatever it may be, that you would get a permit, a license to perform for that particular timeframe, that's correct going forward? But you need to obviously schedule that and to line up the performance. Is that a fair assumption?

MICHAEL MONESTIME: Yes. And just to make a point, I support Mr. Anderson's comment, and we're willing to go to them with 30 days of programming increments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Can I make a motion, then, to grant the special permit? And such permit expires on August 1, 2020, and that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: 2022.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I'm sorry. I'm getting daffy now. 2022. Let me make a motion. Let me make a motion to grant the special permit as per the application. The Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that the Starlight Square
Initiative has demonstrated for a year and a half out how essential outdoor civic space is to be of benefit to the public health of the community. This is especially important as the Port neighborhood is greatly underserved.

The Board finds that since its opening in August of 2020, Starlight Square has provided residents with a central location for essential services and experiences to transition outside. This includes everything from worship and out of schooltime education to performances and food distribution.

The Board finds that Starlight continues to address immediate public health concerns by providing an outdoor home for basic city functions and much-needed human connection.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that the -- since its operation that it has not experienced any detriment to any access or egress on the site.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that Starlight is continuing, and its management team is continuing to monitor any effects that it may have on adjoining residences, and is committed to ameliorating those issues.

The Board finds that any nuisance or hazard would not be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city.

And, again, $I$ go back to that the work and the management of Starlight is working to alleviate any issues that have adverse effect on any of the residences.

The Board finds also that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to enhance the cultural district by providing a unique public space for residents.

The Board finds that the special permit is granted with a time frame to expire on August 1, 2022. That the

Board requests that the petitioner return to the Board on -what's the magic date in July?

I think we can do it on the BZA date.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Say it again?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think if we could maybe do it on a BZA date. The problem is if we set a date today, we have to make sure that this Chamber, or some Chamber, is available to us.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, it may be a real big problem for me, but I'll try to solve it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: July 14?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No, I, later in the -- I can't make it July 14. I have a very big family. I can't.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What's the first date?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: That's the better one.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: July 28. All right. And then it only expires two days later. July 28?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I thought it was extended on July 28?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We can extend it. All right.
July 28? Does that work for the Members of the Board?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Give me one second, please.

SLATER ANDERSON: Yes, for Slater.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So Slater, July 28?
SLATER ANDERSON: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, July 28?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jim, you're checking if Gus
is available?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I'll be -- yeah. I'll be remote, but yes, I can attend.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Michael, July 28? MICHAEL MONESTIME: See you then. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: We need to add to your motion, Brendan, that between now and -- between now and July 28, the petitioner is directed to be in constant contact -- not constant, but in contact with the neighbors, or their neighbor's designated appointees to have further dialogue and discussion, particularly with regard to what is happening with the events that are taking place between now and July 28.

We're going to have a more informed decision when the time comes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would ask that the petitioner
change the posting sign to reflect the new date of July 28 , 2022, and the time at 6:00 p.m.

And that any correspondence to this Board be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on Monday prior to July 28, so that the Board Members can evaluate such correspondence or any change to the application that is now in the file.

Anything else to add?
SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, Gus, I want the 30-day condition on the licensing.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm sorry, say that again?
SLATER ANDERSON: No license shall be longer than 30 days from the Licensing Commission between now and August 1.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Everybody in favor?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I have no problem.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's fine.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, fine. Mr. Alexander, on the motion to grant the special permit?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor, with the change that I suggested, and that there be constant contact between the petitioner and duly the representatives of the neighborhood as to how matters are progressing and what
steps are being taken to address the concerns of the neighbors that have been expressed tonight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, on the motion?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Voting in favor of the motion with the conditions discussed.

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the special permit, with the conditions detailed by the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson on the motion?

WENDY LEISERSON: Voting in favor, with the conditions as specified.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Brendan Sullivan] yes to grant the special permit on the affirmative vote of five members, with conditions. The special permit is granted.
[All vote YES]
Thank you, Michael.
MICHAEL MONESTIME: Thank you, Board. Thank you very much.
(8:58 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number No. 161807 -- 239 Brookline Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. For the record, my name is James Rafferty, appearing on behalf of the applicants, Brian, and Jennifer Albrecht, who are here this evening, along with their architect. I believe they were sent the link, and hopefully are being approved as panelists.

This is an application to make some improvements to a single-family house at 239 Brookline Street. The house is located at the corner of Brookline and Allston Street, Residence -- well, in the Special District 9, which has as its underlying zoning Residence C Dimensional Standards.

Mr. and Mrs. Albrecht live in the Cambridgeport neighborhood now. They're raising their two daughters there, who both attend pubs in Cambridge. And this is an exciting opportunity for them to remain in the neighborhood
and move into a new home.
The home is in need of updating and significant repair. It's nicely sited on the lot across the street from Old Morse Park, and is being updated here. And what's proposed here is an addition to the house.

And the principal change that will occur here will be an addition to the house that will allow for a new kitchen on the first floor and some expanded bedrooms on the upper floors. Currently, the house has a single bathroom, and has a very small, antiquated kitchen.

The application itself involves a structure that is nonconforming due to a setback. The right setback is at three feet. The proposed addition will maintain that setback.

I think there's that site plan, but if Ms. Ratay could put up L1.0, you can see from the setback, from that site plan exactly the addition, the area that's being expanded.

The structure itself is with the addition will only exceed the allowed FAR by 132 square feet -- excuse me, by 82 square feet.

The building itself is -- subject to 0.6 FAR.

What's being proposed is essentially within that. The only reason that the FAR exceeds the allowed is that there's a porch on the side of the house that's being constructed, and the covering they wish to have a roof over that porch.

So the additions themselves only total 265 square feet, but the porch, the roof over the porch adds an additional 132 square feet, which makes it 82 square feet over the allowed FAR.

There is something else unusual that happens here. The addition brings the principal structure closer to the existing accessory garage than 10 feet. The difference is about seven inches, as depicted in on this plan. And that seven inches means that the structure does not comply with the minimum separation requirement in Article 5.

So relief is being sought for that aspect of the application as well. And, because the addition will continue to maintain the nonconforming setback as the existing house, the variance would apply to that setback as well.

The architect is present, and would be happy to address the Board with any questions or answer. He is a very skilled architect, is very familiar with the property
and the property owners, because he is Ms. Albrecht's father.

So it's a case of a family really working together on a project to create a new family home in this neighborhood, and it's a modest addition to a -- to a home that is sited in such a way that if the addition were to meet the setback, it would be disruptive to the organization of the existing structure.

There are a significant number of letters in support in the file, including a letter of support from the abutter most immediately impacted by the setback. I think that probably covers our presentation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, thank you. Any questions by Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Mr. Rafferty -- maybe I missed it -- Mr. Rafferty, did you speak to the special permit that you're seeking as well?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, thank you. It should be noted that when $I$ filed the application, I was of the belief that the addition could be subject to a special permit and that a variance could be sought for the separation in the covered porch. I was contacted by the Building

Commissioner, who $I$ believe reviewed the matter with the lChair, and the conclusion was that the special permit under the so-called "Belalta decision" really was not applicable here, because there was also a need for dimensional relief under a variance.

The advertisement in the application sought both a variance and a special permit, so for purposes of the hearing, we would not be proceeding with relief under the special permit.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I have two. If you can bring up one of the A series drawings, please? There's a note on the A series drawings that basically reads the drawings are preliminary, dimensions are approximate within inches.

I think the usual statement -- yeah, it's not on this one, it's on -- yeah, the stamp, "Not for construction" but yeah, pick one. Here you go. It's in the lower righthand side.

So the question is, you know, the usual language when you get to a motion is that the drawings will be
implemented, built as are shown on the plans. So how preliminary is preliminary?

In other words, you can't change these plans if they get accepted.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Perhaps the architect, Mr. Pagano, might speak to that, with the Board's permission?

MICHAEL PAGANO: Yes, thank you. Through the Chair, any person when the house was dimensioned, it's so greatly racked and out of plumb, that the dimensions couldn't be given exactly as they exist. They're very close. I don't think -- I think inches is probably an exaggeration -- within fractions of an inch.

But that was the nature of the draftsman who actually did the dimensioning.

The dimensions that are here will be followed as they're presented and, you know, I apologize for any confusion this may have created.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's okay. And then, the second question, if you would go to -- this is just the issue about the 10 -foot separation between the main body of the building and this is a question about the no stamp on this one, but it's your drawing L1.0.

And I think I read that dimension now is -- what is it, nine-foot-four and a half? Nine-foot-four and a quarter?

MICHAEL PAGANO: Uh --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you not define the additional? Yeah. So that's why it piqued my interest when it says the drawings are preliminary and dimensions are approximate; how approximate can you get this to 10-foot, separation?

MICHAEL PAGANO: Well, the -- I think the dimensional chart indicates that 9.33, which is eight inches closer to the house than the ordinance would allow. So it's an eight-inch dimension.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm. Okay.
MICHAEL PAGANO: Was your question --
JIM MONTEVERDE: If you take eight inches off of the addition to be compliant?

MICHAEL PAGANO: Well, the short answer to that question is yes, of course we could. The result would be I think a compromise in the floor plan. When -- obviously when the house was first purchased, we tested the house against the program. There was -- the kitchen had to be
expanded. There was a couple program, wanted a mudroom, et cetera. It's all part of the addition to the back. Laying out the bedrooms above, the basement below, we needed that to provide clearances between furniture -- I always design with furniture when $I$ start the process.

And the dimensions around the -- if you look on the floor plan, you'll see there's an is like in the kitchen to have a comfortable, safe dimension around that very large island. We were just over -- we just went over that dimension by a matter of eight inches.

But it could be changed. I think it would be a good compromise. I would think -- would prefer to request -- continue to request the relief from Zoning to allow us to leave the dimensions as is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Those are my questions. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions? SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy any questions?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I have no questions.
Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public
who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. The Board is in receipt of seven letters in support from Renee Beard and Adam Bard at 201 Brookline Street voicing their support. Correspondence 238 Brookline Street from Roy Hodgman, who is voicing his support.

Next door, Reverend Charles Ecklers and the members of the St. Augustine Vestry who are voicing their strong support. 29 Fairmont Street, 61 Allston Street, Katherine White, who -- a direct abutter at 239 and is in full support. And Kate Bigelow, who is also voicing her strong support.

And that's the sum and substance of the correspondence. Anything else to add, Mr. Rafferty, to the presentation? I'll close public comment.

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, thank you. I think we've
covered everything. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any other comments, or are we ready for a motion?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. On the variance to construct a roof over the proposed porch on Allston Street frontage and relief from the 10 -foot minimum separation required for accessory structures as per the application, the dimensional form, and the drawings, which will be initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would prevent the petitioners from constructing a covering over a portion of the proposed porch.

And the Board finds that the hardship is owing to the fact that the house, which is in -- and has fallen into a great amount of disrepair -- is built, located on the lot prior to the existing ordinance, as such is encumbered by the requirements of the ordinance, and that is directly related to the size of the structure placed on the lot and the, which predates the existing ordinance, and that it
would be quite difficult to remove sections of the house in order to bring it within conformity, and with the owners. And the Board finds that the requested relief is quite de minimis; is quite a de minimis request.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and that the Board finds that the installation of the deck and rear entry siting of the reentry -- said entry within 10 feet will not have any detrimental effect on the public good.

Also the Board notes the letters of support from abutters who are in full support of this proposal.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. And the Board finds that the single-family structure will remain singlefamily, greatly improved, and enhanced by the granting of this variance.

On the motion to grant?
JAMES RAFFERTY: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. I
apologize for the interruption, but the motion for the variance, it will be necessary for the variance to cover all
the work proposed in the application, for the reasons we discussed.

So in addition to the dormers -- in addition to the covered porch and the separation, the proposed dormers in addition would also be covered by the variance. And I would ask that the Board consider that the motion reflect that fact, because we will withdraw the special permit portion of the application.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. That's correct. The variance is covering the application, which was amended on 03/21 and assigned by the Chair, which covers all of the work as proposed.

I'm just reading through the application, which was probably a brief description of the entirety of the work. But the variance will cover the entirety of the work as proposed.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And also the dimensional form and the supporting statements. You threw me off my guard here. Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you very much. Jim

Monteverde, on the motion to grant?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson on the motion to grant the variance?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson on the motion
to grant?
WENDY LEISERSON: I vote in favor of the motion. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. And Brendan Sullivan votes in favor.
[All vote YES]
On the affirmative vote of five Members of the Board, the variance is granted. Good luck.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. Mr. Chair, I hesitated to bring this up while I had a couple matter pending before the Board, but after the Board's efforts in the prior case, I think the characterization of the United States Senate as the world's greatest deliberative body may be in jeopardy. It was an impressive amount of work from this Board.

Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's not easy.

JAMES RAFFERTY: All right. Have a good evening. COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
(9:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number 161743 -- 77 Prentiss Street.

ADAM DASH: Good evening, Madam Chair, and Members of the Board. This is Attorney Adam Dash at 48 Grove Street in Somerville. With me is Yuval Ben-Dov, who is also the owner and applicant.

This is fairly straightforward. 77 Prentiss Street is a two-family dwelling in the Residence C-1 zone, and the applicant is seeking to enclose two rear porches on the first and second floor, which currently sit within the rear setback, the porches do.

Doing so would shift the exterior stairs further into the preexisting, nonconforming setback, because the stairs currently go through the floor of the wooden porches. And obviously if they're enclosed the stairs have to be on the outside, but by a little.

And the applicant will be adding windows and
doors, obviously, in that setback to this new enclosed porch, as is needed for the porches to be enclosed.

So the applicant is seeking a special permit for the alteration, as described, including the windows, the doors, the relocated stairs, all in the preexisting, nonconforming setback, which result from the enclosing of the existing nonconforming rear porches.

If we could show the existing plan?
The change required in the special permit is small, as this is all to the rear of the structure. If one looks, this is the proposed. So if you look on the lower right corner, that is the rear elevation, which really is the only elevation impacted here.

And you can see that the first and second floors are now enclosed there, with the stairs that go down from the upper -- second floor on the right down to the left and then out.

On top of those two enclosed porches, the top will
remain an open porch, as it is today.
So the applicant is enclosing these porches to make more room for his family, as to make the home more useable in a way that's minimally impactful on any abutter.

No new units are being created. There will be no traffic or congestion issues as a result of the project. It's just enclosing the porches and keeping it as a two-family.

The enclosing of the porches will add the functional space. It's not increasing the FAR or having any material impact on the adjacent uses or the neighborhood or creating any nuisance, or health, safety, or welfare issues.

This is the minimal relief necessary to create the usable space in that preexisting, nonconforming structure. And the placement of the windows and doors and the shifting of the stairs doesn't impact the integrity of the district or derogate from the zoning at all.

The Board should have the March 11, 2022 e-mail from Ada Park Snider from 69 Prentiss Street in support of the application, and Ms. Snider is the rear abutter who would be the most impacted to the extent there's any impact at all from this proposal.

So the applicant requests that the Board grant the requested special permit. I won't go into any more of it, because $I$ know it's getting late. But certainly able to answer questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Adam, there was a new submittal
on Monday. And what is the difference between the original -- I think I can picked up on it earlier, but --

ADAM DASH: Yeah. It was extremely subtle. I had a little trouble seeing what the difference was myself. Actually, there are three changes, but the one that's most interesting is on the -- yeah, if you see here, this is the prior version.

When the stairs on the lower right elevation and the stairs go down, they exit to the left. On the version that we're showing now, the stairs come down and they exit out towards you.

Also, if you see here on this was the prior version. In the middle of that elevation, there's a very long vertical pole. That is removed in the proposed.

There is also one small change, and I actually had to circle them on the plans because they were very hard to say -- hard to see -- on the top floor deck. On the middle column on the top where those four, three boxes are to the left and then up you see there's the proposed second-floor proposed third level?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
ADAM DASH: It kicks out a little bit, you see?

It's not straight across, there's that little jog on the lower left side? It's about a two-foot increase. So those are the differences. They're very, very hard to tell. You literally have to look at them.

But the main one -- and again, this is only from the rear of the property, not visible from the street, but the main one really if we go back to the first slide that we had? If you go down to the lower right again. The rear elevation. No, that's the existing condition showing the three wooden porches that are there today. That's what we got. Yeah.

So you can see now in the lower right how the stairs come down, and then those stairs where the door is instead of continuing to the left, it just comes straight out towards you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Any
questions by Members of the Board? Mr. Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In looking at the photographs, my
only concern was just the enclosure extending into the rear yard, which is already below the required rear yard setback
and with the neighbor immediately behind you. I'm looking at the one photo. I think it's your Sheet 9 of 21. But Mr. Dash, did you say there was a letter from that occupant/owner?

ADAM DASH: Correct, from Ada Park Snider at 69 Prentiss in the rear.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's \#10 there, if you would. That one. Right. That just shows that the -- you know, that it's -- yes, it's a rear yard, but it's actually the front yard of this --

ADAM DASH: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- partial that's tucked behind. So that was my concern, just to have -- you know approving the extension to build more mass out toward that existing house behind you, as opposed to having the balcony. So -but I think you have the letter from that occupant, correct?

ADAM DASH: Yes, yes. Ms. Snider says, "My home at 69 Prentiss Street is directly behind the Ben-Dov house." And she says, "I'm writing my support for this petition to enclose the two porches."

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
ADAM DASH: You're welcome.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In the file, Jim. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any comments,
questions?
SLATER ANDERSON: No comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any comments, questions?
WENDY LEISERSON: No comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There's nobody calling in. There is one letter in the correspondence, previously acknowledged from Ada Park Snider.
"I'm writing to support the petition BZA \#161743 to enclose two existing rear porches at 77 Prentiss Street, put forward by Yuval Ben-Dov, c/o Adam Dash \& Associates. My home, \#69 Prentiss Street, is directly behind the Ben-Dov house.
"Thank you for relaying my support to the Zoning Board.
"Ada Park Snider."
That is the only correspondence. And I will close public comment. Adam, any other comments that you wish to make at this time?

ADAM DASH: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. The Board will discuss it and vote. Jim, I echo your concern, and we've been - as somebody who's very reluctant to keep pushing a house further toward the back. But the neighbor in the back is for it, and the relief is a special permit. If it was a variance, that would be, $I$ think, a different situation -different circumstance --
lJIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- for me. But it is a special permit. And let me make a motion to grant the special permit as per the application. The dimensional form, the supporting statements, and the drawings, which are initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that the Zoning Board may grant the special permit for the alteration and enlargement of a
preexisting single-family or two-family dwelling, but not the enlargement for the nonconforming use, provided there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant this permit, the Board is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental to the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and the Board so finds such.

And that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.40, which states -- under 10.43. It appears that the requirements of this ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that there is not any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

And the Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Voting in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

On the affirmative vote of five Members, the special permit is granted.

ADAM DASH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, thank you

Members of the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for your presentation
and goodnight.
ADAM DASH: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I request a two-minute break?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure. We're going to be in
recess for two to three to four minutes. The next case to be heard will be 49 Sargent Street.
[RECESS]
(9:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case Number 161353 -- 49 Sergeant Street. Mr. Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. For the record, this is Adam Glassman of GCD Architects with owners -- homeowners Debra and Rich Thompson, relatively new owners of 49 Sergeant Street in Cambridge. We are here tonight seeking a variance, in order to rebuild a house on a nonconforming lot, and also for a special permit to retain the existing nonconforming driveway.

Olivia, are there any slides?
The existing house you can see is the blue structure, somewhat odd in its appearance. It's been deformed over the years.

And what we're proposing on the upper right is a rendering of the proposed new structure, a more welcoming street view style, more consistent with the rest of the
neighborhood. As you can see, the existing house is essentially a two-story box.

Next structure? Next slide?

The lot seen here in the existing site plan is trapezoidal in shape. You can see that it's very difficult to do anything on this slide to completely conform to the setbacks, which are in dashed red. The existing house you can see exceeds its setback limits on the left side, the right side, and the front.

Next slide, please?
The existing house, when Rich and Debra first came to me to talk about making improvements to make the house more livable, we realized that increasing head heights where we need them, we have a below seven feet on the second floor, we have below seven feet in the basement, we have steep and dangerous stairs with headbangers -- by the time this home, which is currently substandard would be improved, we'd essentially be rebuilding the entire house, which is in need of every kind of upgrade, from structure, mechanical circulation.

The existing FAR is 0.37 and 0.50 is what is allowable in the $B$ district.

Next slide? Elevations of the existing house again show the variation of the roof angles, window patterns. This house has grown incrementally over the years in in (sic) sensitive ways. Next slide?

On the left is the existing site plan showing, again, the setbacks running right through the front and the sides of the house. And on the right is our proposed footprint for the new construction.

And the design response to the required setbacks by pulling the footprint in from the left and in from the right, so the structure becomes conforming on the sides, with the exception of the below-grade stair and window wells on the left.

The front of the existing house is 34 inches from the sidewalk. And while we cannot completely meet the front setback, we have pulled the house away from the street to be five feet -- with a five-foot setback from the street to the main structure.

Behind the house, in both plans, there is a brick patio with a mature tree, which needs to remain and should remain. The Tree Ordinance prevents its removal, but the owners also care deeply about maintaining the tree. So the
design of the house also responds to maintaining that.
Required usable open space and pervious surface space will be maintained.

And on the right side in each plan, you can see the existing driveway, nonconforming due to its proximity to the right-side lot line. We're proposing to keep that in place, as well as the existing garage in the rear, which at least one of our abutters has asked us to maintain.

And I would just like to add before we move on that the existing footprint is 1036 gross square feet, and the proposed new footprint is 1096 square feet, which is only a 60-foot square-foot increase.

Next slide, please?
The new -- our plans for the new structure shown here, first I'll address our FAR increase -- the total proposed FAR is 0.55 , which does exceed the allowable 0.50 . Would like to add that 548 square feet are comprised of a partial covering of the rear deck.

The covered front porch, and attic space, which is unusable in any habitable way. So when we dug the depth at 548 square feet, that brings our real FAR down to 2192 square feet, which is only 375 more square feet in livable
space than they currently have.
The owners -- the design for the owners includes a habitable basement and main floor with typical living spaces and guest room, a deck in the rear, and a covered porch in the front.

Next slide?
The second floor has three bedrooms, a small balcony. Nothing extravagant here, all typical components of even a modest home -- laundry, two bathrooms.

The roof plan on the right you can see we're proposing no dormers. We're also proposing no stairs to the attic. It would be used for storage only. And there's actually no real way to convert it into livable space in the future, and we'd be happy to add conditions that the porches, the covered porch and deck area and the attic will remain unfinished or unenclosed.

Next slide, please?
Left side is the right-side elevation, and the image on the right is our front elevation -- again, bringing some normalcy to the street view, adding a welcoming porch, farmer-style porch.

Next slide?

Elevations of the rear and of the left side. Next slide, if you could zoom out a little bit, are 3D views. Again, the forms and the style are consistent with the character of the neighborhood.

Next slide?

And our certified site plan, again, showing how the structure conforms to the left and right-side setbacks, with the exception of window wells. Not much -- not a great departure from the existing site -- from the existing structure.

And with that, we will take any questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Mr. Alexander, any questions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde any questions?
[Pause]
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Jim?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim's on mute.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, I muted myself. No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions at all?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions. At this time, let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment. [Pause]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's nobody calling in.
There are three letters in support. A letter from Kellie DeJon, 46 Sargent Street, who is writing to express support for the proposed single-family house at 49 Sargent Street. One little concern about the beautiful tree, hopefully that it will remain in the plans, and I think the presentation is that it will. Is that correct, or?

ADAM DASH: That's correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Okay. I think there was a great effort to make it safe.

There is correspondence from Barbara Anderson

Brammer -- B-r-a-m-m-e-r, 41 Sargent Street wishing to express support for the relief sought for the proposed single-family house.
"We view the plans and the design as tasteful, modest, and it compliments the neighborhood very well."

And correspondence from Colleen Laurenza, L-a-u-r-e-n-z-a, writing to support the relief for the proposed single-family home. "It will be extremely functional for a family. It is not an extravagant design." And she encourages the Board to grant the requested relief.

That's the sum and substance of the correspondence. I will close the public comment part. Adam, any other comments to make or rebut?

ADAM DASH: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nothing to rebut? Okay. The Board will discuss it among themselves. Any comments from anybody, or ready for a motion?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You have two motions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Two motions. One is the variance for the house and the other one is for parking. So anybody have any comments they wish to make at all, or --
let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested for the construction of a new single-family house to replace the existing structure, as per the plans submitted, the dimensional form supporting statements, drawings initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the existing house is very challenging as far as its size, the inadequate size of the rooms, which does not serve the purpose of a contemporary family, and that most of the house is noncompliant with the building code, and that to restructure the house, to renovate the house with new mechanical systems would be so onerous that it would be quite a hardship to the owners, or any owner...

And as such that a tear-down and creating a new building is more of an financial possibility than to try to renovate and retrofit the existing structure.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size and shape of the lot, predates the existing ordinance, and as such the structure is encumbered by that.

The Board particularly notes the unusual shape of the lot, which is quite unusual for this particular district, and the challenges that constructing any building has, and finds that the proposed design is quite tasteful, and is quite accommodating to the surrounding neighborhood.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. We also note the letters of support from the abutters, and people all over the neighborhood.

And desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide fair and adequate housing for people of all incomes and families, and also to provide for safe accommodations, which the proposal before us obviously satisfies.

On the motion then, to grant the variance as per the application signed by the Chair and the drawings contained therein, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde votes in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
On the affirmative vote of five Members of the Board, the variance is granted.

On the special permit, the special permit request is to allow the parking to remain in the exact same location that it is currently, which does not conform to the ordinance. Is that correct there, Mr . Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That is correct. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. On the motion, then, to grant the special permit to allow for the existing driveway to remain as is, the Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that the existing driveway has a
history of having no ill effect on any of the adjoining properties or traffic or access or egress.

The Board finds that the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, and there would not be any hazard or nuisance created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, or derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan voting
yesterday.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Per the five affirmative votes,
the special permit is granted.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you all very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Goodnight. Past my bedtime.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: You're welcome.
(9:47 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case
Number 161669 -- 11 Ellsworth Avenue.
[Pause]
11 Ellsworth Avenue?

AARON PAUL: Yes. Hello. My name is Aaron Paul,
and I am the homeowner. Oh, Andrew, are you here?
ANDREW THOMPSON: I am here. Yeah, sorry, I just got unmuted. I'm Andrew Thompson, I'm representing the builder, also here with the homeowner, Aaron Paul. And I think also online are our architects, so we have a little bit of a team here. We're seeking a special permit for the installation of three skylights.

The issue is that they violate the setback for the neighborhood for that side of the house. The house as it exists violates the setback as a preexisting condition. We are seeking relief to install the three skylights.

Olivia, is it possible to pull up some images?
Wonderful. Thank you. Let's see. We have a couple
sketches here.
So as you can see, one issue is the right-hand side of the house, which I'm referencing as if I'm standing in the street. You can see that there's only about five feet on that side, whereas the setback calls for 12 feet. So the building is sort of already in violation of the setback requirement. You could keep going until we see some skylights.

Here we go. Here are the proposed skylights. From -- sort of from an aesthetic standpoint, they significantly increase the amount of natural light on the third floor. Makes it a much more useable and pleasant living space. The homeowner uses it for a home office, so having that be the kind of space they want to be in is quite important.

You know, we don't find that it has any aesthetic detriment to the neighborhood. The neighboring building is not close. It's not an imposition on their sight lines or anything like that.

I think if we can keep going with the slides, I'm not sure how much else we need to go through. It is getting late, as we've all noted.

I think I can take some questions, if anybody has questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I just think that what you've shown here is the location of the roof and the location as far as its effect on the inside room, stairway and also that room, and the purpose of it is to bring more lights. Are they operable windows?

ANDREW THOMPSON: Yes. They're going to have remote operation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So it brings some light and fenestration into the rooms? Okay. Open it up to public comment -- I mean, sorry, Board comments. Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I continue to be mystified why we need to bring zoning relief for skylights in the setback. I mean, the skylights look up into the sky. The only impact is on the birds who fly over the building. But anyway, I vote in favor. I have no problem with voting in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Mr. Monteverde, any

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Then Slater any questions or comments at this time?

SLATER ANDERSON: No. No questions or comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy any --
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions, no comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have no questions. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause] There is nobody calling in. The Board is in receipt of two correspondences.
"To whom it may concern," -- that would be us, and it's from Kellan Hayes, K-e-l-l-a-n H-a-y-s, 17 Ellsworth Avenue.
"This letter is in regard to the proposed skylights at 11 Ellsworth Avenue. Many of the houses on our street have third-floor skylights. In fact both of our direct neighbors have multiple skylights. Well-placed
skylights in no way interfere with any of the neighbors on our beautiful Ellsworth Avenue."

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's correspondence from Kyle Sheffield, S-h-e-f-f-i-e-l-d, 13 Ellsworth Avenue.
"As a homeowner, architect and direct northern abutter, I have known the applicants for over five years, and they're exemplary members of the community. I do not believe that this application would provide a detrimental impact to my property, the neighborhood or surrounding community, nor would the application substantially derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.
"Please consider our wholehearted support for the application."

That is the sum and substance of the correspondence. I will close public comment. Anything else to add? Nothing? From the applicant?

ANDREW THOMPSON: Oh, sorry. Apologies.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's all right.
ANDREW THOMPSON: No, no. We're good to go.
Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

AARON PAUL: No, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me, unless there's any
comments by the Members of the Board, let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested to install the special permit, the three skylights as per the drawings submitted, supporting statements and the dimensional form initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that the Board may grant a special permit to a single-family or detached two-family, but not the alterations of a use, provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family or twofamily dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

The Board may grant a special permit, provided that the alteration or enlargement is not more substantially detrimental to the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that in that alteration or enlargement, and it satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43.

Under 10.43, the Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns
of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -- in fact, it would be enhanced by allowing more light and air into the structure -- or to the citizens of the city.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. The Board references the letters of support from abutters and the plethora of skylights in the neighborhood.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Mr. Alexander?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: Voting in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I vote in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the
special permit is granted.
AARON PAUL: Thank you very much, everybody. Have a lovely evening.

COLLECTIVE: Goodnight. Thank you.
ANDREW THOMPSON: Thank you.
(9:55 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number 162048 -- 56 Creighton Street. Mr. Linn?

ROBERT LINN: Hi. I'm Robert Linn. I'm the architect on this project, and I'm representing Duncan MacArthur, MacArthur Construction.

The Board is actually -- apologetically, the Board has seen this project now twice already, this is the third time. But we're back to make a couple of small alterations to what was approved the second time we were seen here.

The first issue that we're asking for a variance is a small courtyard that was on the second level, that was open to the sky. We are asking the Board for relief to enclose that courtyard, which will add 202 square feet to the FAR.

And the second -- and the reason for that change is two-fold. One, the thought was the developer was working through the construction of this project, that he felt it
would be nice to have a transitional space. There's so much outside space on the roof deck above, that he felt it would be more useful to have covered outdoor space.

So there would be skylights above, but it would be in talking with Ranjit, it would still be adding gross square footage because even with -- even though it's within the volume of the existing structure.

The other issue, one of the other issues, is that we were granted a special permit to park three of the cars -- there's six cars that are being parked here, but three of them are right on the lot line, parked in parallel.

And the developer decided that he would like to try to get as close to using all electric on this project as possible for sustainability purposes.

And we do -- we all have -- we already have photovoltaics on the roof. The hope was to cover these three parking spaces with a photovoltaic canopy to increase the, you know, the amount of panels that we can get into the project and feedback into the grid.

And the final piece is that the -- on the zero-lot line where we have a glass block on the back allowing light through that masonry wall, we've done some interior
reconfiguration, and we're -- it's entailed wanting to have a little bit of exterior reconfiguration to make the light make sense with where we've repositioned some of the kitchens on the first floor.

As happened when we first went through this process, we had a lot of back and forth with the neighbors, who have been incredibly gracious who live right next door and are the ones whose back yard is impacted by this, and we sat down with them, and we presented to them, and they were fine after we made a few adjustments with what we're proposing to the Board.

So those are the three changes that we're asking for, and happy to answer any more questions if we need to get into it deeper.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I have no questions. Mr. Alexander, any questions?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I saw the numbers. If you look at the numbers, I was troubled quite a bit. I think you're pushing the envelope a bit. However, the impact of those numbers is minimal. And so I have no problem. I'm in favor of it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Jim, any questions
at all?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions at this
time?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions?
Comments?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have none. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in at this time. Seeing how there's no comments or questions by the Board, are we ready for a motion, then?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, which is a variance of an exterior courtyard on the second level within the volume of
the existing buildings. And this is -- the relief is sought to construct an accessory structure within five feet of the property line.

The Board will grant the relief, provided that the work is in conformance with the drawings that have been submitted initialed by the Chair, the supporting statement, and the dimensional form.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the covering of an exterior space, which would allow for usable outdoor space for occupants of the structure in all seasons, and that would detail at a party wall that would keep it more protected from the elements.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size and shape of the structure and of the lot and on the lot, the size and shape of the structure, which predates the existing ordinance is encumbered by that, and that any modification of this nature of the proposal, which is the Board's determination de minimis, would require some relief from this Board.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be
granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, to allow for some protection of outdoor areas, which has a benefit of protection from the elements for occupants of the structure.

On the motion to grant the variance? Mr.

Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And [Brendan Sullivan] in
favor.

## [All vote YES]

Five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.
ROBERT LINN: Thank you very much.
(10:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number 162710 -- 123 Hancock Street.

SAM WOLFF: Hello. Is it my turn to speak?
Sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Introduce yourself for the record?

SAM WOLFF: All right. I'm Sam Wolff. I live at 19 Maple Ave, and I'm the owner of 123 Hancock Street. I'm joined by Heather Souza, who is the architect for the project. So 123 Hancock Street is in the Residence C-1 Zone. It was built in roughly 1850. It's an existing twofamily with an addition in the back.

And what we propose to do is to remove the addition at the back of the existing structure, and then add two units that would not be attached to the existing structure, but attached to each other in the back.

We had a thorough and collaborative process with the Mid-Cambridge Neighborhood Historic Commission, which
resulted with the design that is in these plans. And they do require relief, however.

So I think that there are three categories. One is for encroaching into the rear yard setback. We did this largely to preserve the sight lines of the existing structure.

And this was thought of as a good idea because our neighbor to the rear, that's a parking area for a building that fronts on Center Street, we're asking for relief on the ceiling height in the basement -- I guess it's FAR relief, but it's because of the ceiling height in the basement, which we would like to make taller than 6-foot-11.

Part of this is, you know, we made a number of concessions in the size and configuration of the to-be-built units, and thought that one of the ways to improve the overall living space would be to have increased height in the basement.

We're asking for that as well in the existing house where we would be doing a new foundation underneath it. It's in pretty bad shape, that foundation below. It's a very charming house, and we're excited to preserve it.

And then $I$ think the third category is the special
permit. I may be getting the chronology wrong, but a special permit for the parking. The parking would encroach into the side yard.

After discussions with Ranjit, he suggested that we reconfigure the parking so that it would be more manageable and oriented sort of straight-in, straight-out parking. This was partly due to all the other things that we did -- namely, you know, kind of leave the house where it is and try to preserve it.

So that may be too fast or too slow, I'm sorry if I'm not as well-versed in all these things as some others who may have appeared tonight. But Heather's here to go through the plans if you'd like us to do that, or answer any questions, whatever process you'd like to follow.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Wolff, do you live now on Maple Avenue?

SAM WOLFF: I do.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And are you planning on moving to Hancock Street?

SAM WOLFF: I am not.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So this -- you bought the house, and your intent is to develop a property by putting
in a total of three units?

SAM WOLFF: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. This might be a little bit of a tough sell to me, and where I go back is to you were asking for a variance, and I want to know what the hardship is, and why you need a variance?

SAM WOLFF: Well, I believe the hardship is that, you know, we wanted to add units in the back, and we felt like we could do this. The Mid-Cambridge -- we proposed originally lifting this -- the existing building is basically on the property line $I$ think in the diagram that's shown it's to the north. But we proposed --

HEATHER SOUZA: Olivia, could you go to the second page? I think that would be helpful to show us the plot plan on the left.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Heather, you'll have to introduce yourself.

HEATHER SOUZA: Oh, I apologize. Hi, I'm Heather Souza. I am architect for this project, 123 Hancock. So this page will better show a comparison of the existing and proposed. The parcel setbacks are shown in the sort of pale orange color. So as Sam was describing here as the visual
of the existing footprint at 123 Hancock: Is already nonconforming on the side yard.

And then what is being proposed is to the right, where the building remains. We are removing the dashed footprint, and we're proposing two new units to the rear of the lot.

Sorry to cut in, sam. But.
SAM WOLFF: Notts --
HEATHER SOUZA: Okay.
SAM WOLFF: Thank you. I appreciate it.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Excuse me. This is Gus
Alexander, and I'm a Member of the Board. And I have to say that I share the concerns that Brendan has expressed.

There's just too much going on in this property. You're jamming everything in, and it's -- I can't support it, to be blunt, very blunt. It's not to me appropriate. I don't see the hardship at all.

The hardship is you want to have more -- you want to have a more valuable property. That's not a hardship that entitles you to zoning relief. I just want to be clear and up front. I'm not persuaded, at least so far, with what I've heard.

SAM WOLFF: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Initially, on the initial
application, when $I$ reviewed this a couple weeks ago, and where it said, "a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise" and you -- somebody wrote in, "N/A, not applicable."

The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape or topography and you had written in, "N/A" and then I also through the secretary requested that you fill those in. N/A is --

SAM WOLFF: We were confused. I apologize. We were -- we were a little confused about --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me finish.
SAM WOLFF: I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me finish.
SAM WOLFF: I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It may be for you not applicable, but to us it's a nonstarter. And you're exceeding the GFA substantially and, you know, we don't -in order to grant relief we really need to know what is the hardship, why you can't build it as-of-right, number 1, and
how is the hardship related to the soil conditions, the shape or topography of such land or structures, especially affecting this particular location or structure, but not affecting the general zoning district in which it's located. And again, going back to what Mr. Alexander said, that it is really cramming an awful lot on this site, and I think that it's going to have a horrendous effect on the -your neighbor to the right, and where there's that condominium that is off of Harvard Street.

Right now, they look out their windows and they see back into an open lot onto a public playground, and now they're going to look out the windows and see a building there. I don't -- have you done a shadow study at all, on how this will affect their light at all?

SAM WOLFF: We did. We did a number of shadow studies, and --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Have you submitted that? I don't see it in any of your submittals.

SAM WOLFF: I'm not sure if Heather --
HEATHER SOUZA: Sam, I can jump in. It was part of the Mid-Cambridge Historical review process. They did require as part of their submission documents shadow
studies. And those were provided and reviewed during those hearings.

We provided shadow studies during the winter and summer solstice at the hours of 9:00, noon and 3:00 showing comparative before, existing comparative before, existing and proposed shadows.

We actually received feedback from the said neighbor that you are referencing. They did voice concern, but after reviewing the shadow study, they were relieved our I would say modifications that we made to the third floor by really cutting back that volume so not to impact their unit, or that building, I should say.

I apologize for not submitting that as part of this application. I did not see that as a requirement. But we're happy to provide them to the Board to review.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Well, anyhow, I think one of my concerns is that I don't see how you approve the hardship to allow for this development. I don't see any alternatives as to what you can do as-of-right and so in the absence of that, I'm not there, to be honest with you.

SAM WOLFF: What I was going to say, and I -- you know, the errors in omissions in the application are really
my fault, and I don't want to very -- you know, drift into excuses.

But I am sorry that you feel like it was incomplete. Nor -- well, whatever, I'm not going to drift into excuses. But I apologize for that.

So the original thought on this project was to lift up the existing house and move it out of the side yard, and then also move the existing structure forward, so that it would conform and be a little bit closer to the street, but certainly out of the front yard setback.

Again, after this process with the Mid-Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation and a very participative process with the neighbors, they did not want us to do that. As a result of that, we came up with the -- you know, again, there have been iterations of things like that, but I don't want to drone on -- we came up with the project you see before you.

We could have -- I think the hardship is that we're trying to be respectful and deferential to the historic structure. That's what we felt was going on here, and what caused us to be asking for these items of relief.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, again, if you -- that
does not address that -- and the courts have found and I can, you know, go back through, and cite a number of court decisions, which basically have said that -- the hardship has to be relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structures, and especially affecting this land or structure, but not affecting in general.

And I think that Mr. Alexander's comments that this is just an attempt to pack the site with as much, you know, building as you can. So I read some place that you felt that you could put in five units there, but that's not true. Now, that's only one measure, lot area per dwelling unit.

SAM WOLFF: We never proposed five units.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I know you never proposed, but somewhere there is correspondences saying that, you know, you could do -- you could put in five units, but through some concessions you've dropped it down to three units.

And so you cannot put in five units, that's only one measure. Now you've got to comply with all the other setbacks and open space and what have you, so that
basically, you know, you start off with 7593 square feet after you in fact ran all of the setbacks and the open space and everything --

SAM WOLFF: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- you're down to 30 feet. And that's why you've tripped over the GFA to -- I think it was, what was it 0.87 or something?

HEATHER SOUZA: Yes. I think your memory serves you correctly on the GFA. I would point out if we did not --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 0.89 in a 0.75 district.
HEATHER SOUZA: Mm-hm. What --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There's too much house there, too much building. And I think it'll have an adverse effect on the abutter to the side, and also just crowding the space. And I think the -- part of the purpose of the ordinance is to alleviate overcrowding of land. So -HEATHER SOUZA: Mm-hm.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- that's my thought, anyhow. Jim Monteverde, any comments, questions at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I pretty much agree with what's been said.

My greatest concern is the, you know, I don't feel I could approve the reduction of the back yard setback with -- the rear yard setback -- with so much building being proposed in it, and that kind of leads me to the same conclusion that Mr. Alexander did, that really that the addition two units, two dwelling units is really crowding the lot, and needing all of these -- and needing relief that it needs.

So I couldn't favor it at the moment for those reasons.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Slater, any comments? Questions at this time?

SLATER ANDERSON: A comment that I concur with your assessment about the lack of hardship. I think it's -yeah, it's -- I don't see how you get there, and for what you're asking for. There are alternatives that are within the limits of the zoning bylaw and, you know, that's the path we should pursue. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Wendy, any comments, questions at this time?

WENDY LEISERSON: No, I concur with the comments of my colleagues on the Board.

SAM WOLFF: Could I ask a question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure.
SAM WOLFF: So the FAR relief is really because of the basement ceiling height. If we reduce that to six feet 11 inches, then we wouldn't be asking for that relief. And the -- so that would leave us asking for relief on parking, the encroachment onto the side yard, and relief on the rear yard setback.

Now, is -- we felt there was a hardship to move into the rear yard, because we were trying to preserve the sight lines of the existing building that we were not allowed to move because of the historic nature of it. And they liked the positioning of it on the site, despite the fact that it was in the side yard.

So if we eliminated the request for the FAR relief, would we be in a position to get relief on the rear yard, or do you feel like that's not appropriate? Or --

I'm just trying to understand. I'm sorry for the ignorance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, you know, the -- there are really four or five tests. Number 1 is, you know, again you go down through your dimensional form and there's one or
two that are sacred to us. All of them are sacred to us, unless a compelling reason has been presented why we should vary them.

So you've got height, you've got GFA, you've got setbacks. There's parking. There's -- you know. And so unless there is a compelling reason -- and again, I go back to the supporting statement and the why the literal enforcement would create a hardship to you -- hardship of financial.

Now again, going back through some court decisions, it doesn't mean that you are going to suffer some financial gain by us not allowing this. Financial gain or loss is not the purview of granting a variance. It is that you could build something as-of-right in the particular area of your lot.

However, there are certain soil conditions -- it has a lot of rock, it has a lot of peat, it has an unusual shape to it, or topography -- that it would be financially overbearing if you were to build on that particular spot, and you could do it as-of-right.

Hence, you come down to the Zoning Board to say, then "Can I shift this addition, this building that I want
to build off to the side? I'm not being impeded by these adverse conditions, but $I$ am now infringing upon a setback or something like that."

So that's really what the courts have ruled, as far as what a hardship is, not potential loss of gain. That is not the condition that we can grant a variance.

And again, you've got to relate as to what that hardship is related to. And again, I go back to soil shape or topography.

So taking the existing structure and what you can build within that parameters of setbacks, you would have to show us that this is what you can build as-of-right, and why you don't want to do it.

And it's, again, not a question of maximizing your profit potential. I don't care if you make any dollar or a million dollars, it doesn't make any difference to me.

I am more concerned about the impact of your development on the adjoining properties and on the streetscape, and I think also the effect of filling in that lot, and you have a public total lot, a playground, right next to it, which is going to I think provide too much massing and too much building on that lot.

So that's where I am on that. And I think you're not going to get the approval. Potentially, you may want to take a continuance, go back, and rework the thing, reconsider it, or -- but I don't see how you're going to get three buildings -- three structures on this lot.

SAM WOLFF: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Potentially, the existing building with a -- with an addition off to the side for another unit, but it is very, very steep hurdle.

SAM WOLFF: I understand. Okay. I'm sorry that I've -- I dropped the ball I guess on this process.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, again, I give you the option to go through that exercise if you want, or if you feel that it's not worth your while, then we could take it to a vote.

SAM WOLFF: No, I think we'd like the chance to -I mean I appreciate your feedback, I really do -- I'm a little surprised, that's all. Again, it's probably out of inexperience as opposed to anything else. I am a little surprised. So I'd like a chance to think about it a bit.

I -- again, not intended as an excuse, but I had thought that if the -- and it was indicated to me that if
you sort of went through the Mid-Cambridge process and came up with something that everyone had agreed to, the neighbors and the like, that you were sort of down the road.

But that was my mistake. So I -- you know, I would like a chance to reconsider this and maybe take it from there, I guess.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. They are one step along the way. They have an advisory to us. But ultimately, we -- you know, take what they say into consideration.

Appreciate that, their time, and their comments, but ultimately, we make the decision based on the ordinance and the requirements to granting the variance.

SAM WOLFF: Certainly, I understand that now. And I did not, so I apologize. I do apologize for that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May 5? Does that work for Members of the Board?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Works for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That works.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, May 5?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?

SLATER ANDERSON: I think so. Cinco de Mayo.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, May 5?
WENDY LEISERSON: May 5, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter until May 5, 2002 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign and maintain the posting sign at least 14 days prior to the May 5 hearing.

That the petitioner change the date on the sign to reflect May 5, 2022 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

That any new submittals, dimensional forms, supporting statements, drawings, be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 5 hearing. And that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered and filed.

The waiver we would ask that you -- and you can request it from Maria Pacheco, she can send it to you, you can sign it and send it back to her -- that it be in the file by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

Anything else? On the motion, then, to continue this matter to May 5, Mr. Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, I agree to extend.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson agrees to extend. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, on the motion? WENDY LEISERSON: Wendy Leiserson agrees to
extend.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Alexander?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I agree to extend.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan agrees to
extend.
[All vote YES]
The matter is continued until May 5 at 6:00 p.m.
See you then.
(10:28 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Constantine Alexander, Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Slater Anderson, and Wendy Leiserson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case
Number 163050 -- 133 Fayerweather Street. Mr. Anderson?
DAN ANDERSON: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. Nice to see you. I think that we're last in the docket, so we're almost there.

So I'm not sure if Trina Murphy, who is representing ownership D\&D Homes is online, but I'm more than happy to begin the presentation.

So we're in front of you with the project at 133 Fayerweather Street. It's an existing nonconforming duplex, two-family structure in Zone. And it's nonconforming in terms of side yard setbacks and overall FAR. So we're here with the special permit, as a one- and two-family structure to extend those nonconformities.

Currently, it's a two-story with low-hipped roof structure, and the proposal on the GFA side is to add -- to replace that low-hipped roof with a third-floor addition.

Because it's nonconforming in terms of the side
yard setbacks, that's also an increased encroachment of additional nonconformity to those nonconforming side yard setbacks with some changes of openings also in those.

We feel that this is consistent with the scale, density and otherwise character of the neighborhood. There is no increased traffic.

Unfortunately, this is a site with no onsite -- no off-street parking. There is no curb cut, and because of the side yard setbacks, it would not be possible to conform with parking. We'd end up with parking spaces in the front yard.

I participated in at least one of the number of neighborhood meetings -- I'm sorry, two of the neighborhood meetings -- which were held by Zoom, and generally and overall the feedback and impressions from the neighbors is very positive.

In terms of parking, there's really feeling that there's always plenty of on-street parking. So I'm sure that if we could have fit it, we certainly would have.

We did sun shadow studies, existing and proposed. In particular, had discussions and feedback with neighbors to the north, who will be most impacted and it was received
favorably. I think the general sense was that the redisposition of windows was a little bit more advantageous than the current.

So the overall structure is in relatively poor shape. It's been a rental property for a number of years. I think generally the neighborhood was looking favorably at just seeing the property improved.

It's currently a three-bedroom, one and a half bath. It's increased particularly through the top floor, with the house to be a four-bedroom, three-and-a-half bath. So -- and the total GFA for the two units is around $\$ 2250$.

So, again, a reasonably sized family-oriented development.

And so we're feeling that with your consideration that the request for a special permit could be granted without derogating from public health or nuisance, and that it would not impair in any way the integrity of the districts or derogate from the ordinance. I think that it's an improvement to the overall character.

It is a relatively reasonable lot. It does exceed the minimum lot open space coverages, and we're not proposing any increase in footprint or lot coverage.

So I know you've had this in front of you. I'm more than happy to run through the project documentation. Olivia, if you'd like to do that, we can kind of look at it quickly.

SAM WOLFF: We're happy to take questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Are you aware of a
letter that was sent to us on March 22 from a Mr. Dottin (phonetic)?

DAN ANDERSON: I am not aware of that letter. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, let me read it. It's -rather than going to public comments -DAN ANDERSON: Sure. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- we might as well get it out now.
"To whom it may concern,
"My name is Gerald Dottin, and I am the owner of 106-108 Chilton Street, the property directly located behind

133 Fayerweather. This house has been in my family since 1935 when my grandparents purchased it after relocating to Maine. My mother grew up here, and I purchased the property 32 years ago.

> "I am vehemently opposed to this development, as
it will eradicate all the sunlight that $I$ will receive in my back yard and my family has enjoyed with barbecues, family events, growing vegetable gardens, and planting flowers.
"This particular property at 133 Fayerweather is unique in its building design like one other on that side of the street. Has a large front yard, and much less back yard space than other properties on that side of the street.
"Therefore, a third-floor 'edition'" -- it should be, "addition" -- "will overshadow the property that resides beside it, especially mine, situated directly beside it -behind it, I'm sorry.
"My family has lived in the city --" well, it goes on for -- just reading it -- "-- I value my sunlight, and ability to see the sun rising from my window as I rise and start my day. Seeing the proposed plans for this monstrosity would only allow another developer to align their --" well, anyhow...
"Our comfort, living enjoyment, so that the City can extract higher tax --" Well anyhow, it's -- and so anyhow, that's the sum and substance.

DAN ANDERSON: I appreciate your reading that. I'm sorry that I did not receive that letter, and I'm a bit
surprised, given the outreach that my clients had done that there was no responsiveness to. I think there were three invitations to neighborhood meetings. And I apologize as well. I'm looking through our deck.

Olivia, could you confirm whether we have our sun shadow study included in the special permit set?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There were none.
OLIVIA RATAY: Hang on.
DAN ANDERSON: There's not a sun shadow? I
apologize for that, but the -- while I concur with their observation that this structure is set back farther from the front yard than the others in the district, there are several that are commensurate in terms of setback.

And my recollection of those sun shadow studies, which I could pull up and share if it would be the pleasure of the Board, the shadows are predominantly cast to the north. They are situated although behind the structure just north of west.

So the times when their yard would potentially be shadowed would be -- are fairly limited.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It would cast a shadow --
DAN ANDERSON: In the more.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- in the morning and -- in the morning.

DAN ANDERSON: Right. So then only -- only in the late fall and wintertime, because the sun when it rises is quite high in the east. And so the shadow would not be -so the sun is rising as it moves to the west, so by the time it got to a point of, say, you know, 10:00, the shadow is not going to reach their back yard until well after the equinox.

The -- but, again, apologies. I -- the sun shadow study was shared with all of the neighbors, and for some reason isn't in the set.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Did anybody talk to Mr. Dottin?
DAN ANDERSON: Well, this is surprising. I know that letters were sent to all the abutters, and that there was outreach with flyers with Zoom invitations on three occasions.

And, as I said, the response from -- I would say it was -- there were probably six responders from all those and across the two neighborhood meetings that $I$ participated in, and again all of the responses were positive, predominantly given the kind of --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is this 133 Fayerweather, LLC?
DAN ANDERSON: Yes, that's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So this is a development?
DAN ANDERSON: So it's a -- my client is a
builder, developer. That's correct. The intention is that this would be sold as condominiums, so it's --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Condo units?
DAN ANDERSON: Excuse me?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Two condo units?
DAN ANDERSON: Two condo units, side-by-side, correct. So it's been rental housing, and relatively dilapidated for a good number of years. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it's unique in its design. It stands out, and what is proposed is unique in its design, and will stand out. That's my comment. But anyhow --

DAN ANDERSON: Sure. So how -- would you like comments, questions? I'm at the pleasure of the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it up. Mr.
Alexander, any --
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Well, I have the same problem with this case, as I did with the immediately prior
case. It's too much house for -- or structure -- for the lot.

The, under our Zoning Ordinance, if I've got your numbers correctly, the match could be for GFA is 226 -- 2386 feet. Right now, the building is 2908 feet or nine feet, and the relief you're seeking would make the building have 4505 square feet, or twice what our ordinance permits. To me, that's too much, that you've got another story, third story. I just can't get there.

DAN ANDERSON: So, you know, it's interesting. I understand, I think that it's already nonconforming. I did a quick review of just that residential B neighborhood in the lower West Cambridge, and for everyone that I picked, they were all over the FAR.

And of let's say 20 that $I$ kind of mulled through that have smaller lot sizes, I would say half of them -- we can do it with you, if you'd like -- are in that same GFA or greater.

So we have a lot of $F A R$ within that district of 1 , 1.1, in some cases 1.2 or $I$ think that was about the greatest, 1.26. So it's not out of keeping with the overall scale and density of that neighborhood, which is why I think
that I felt confident in putting this forward.
So, again, it is not increasing the footprint, agreed, and allocated (sic) that it's increasing the height, which is also -- we have a building of the same and commensurate height immediately to the left-hand side.

So it's not out of scale with the neighborhood, but $I$ certainly take your point that it is a -- not an insubstantial increase in GFA over the existing. But again, I maintain that it's not inconsistent with -- representative not the majority, but representative building scale of residence $B$ in that neighborhood.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde any comments or questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. I echo Mr. Alexander's concern. I was looking at the chart that's on the drawings. It's the same conversation. You know, allowed is 0.5, the existing is 0.6, the proposed is 0.95 . I just -- too much building, I think.

And I -- you know, Mr. Anderson, I heard your explanation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. It is a special permit. It is seeking relief under the Bellalta decision. But

Slater any comments?
SLATER ANDERSON: No. I mean, I'm in concurrence with everybody else. I mean, I think that the letter you read, which is from the neighbor to the west on Chilton Street I believe --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right behind.
SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, right behind. I mean, correct me you know, you're going up seven and a half feet in height, you know? And that's -- you know, that's significant. And that's -- you know, that sun is rising in that east to southeast, you know, range, and it would seem impactful to me.

I know we don't have the shadow studies, but I could see the concern. And then the FAR, you know, just is -- it's a big ask.

DAN ANDERSON: Sure. Well, I appreciate the comments. Other Members of the Board?

WENDY LEISERSON: I concur with the concerns expressed by my colleagues.

DAN ANDERSON: Could I query you besides the increase in $F A R$ and potential impacts from the shadow, is there any other concern regarding height? I mean, certainly
we're not creating a new nonconformity. There are plenty of triple-deckers in this neighborhood. This is obviously a two-family. The structure next door is based at that height, and the -- any particular comments on the scale? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I guess what it is, is Dan, is -- it's, you know, you're introducing something that's not there, you know, that the existing houses obviously were built prior to zoning -- I don't know if any of them have ever received any kind of relief.

I know there's one across the street there that has, but they were tweaking, sort of enclosing some entrances. Actually, they were changing the location of the front door and built a portico and stuff like that. But that's a whole other issue is that you're taking a fairly simple, not so pretty looking house and putting in a much larger structure. Putting in twice as much house as what's there now. And, you know, it's sort of like, "oh my gosh" that type of thing.

However, it is a special permit. And, you know, what we have to find is that the special permit is not more -- let me try and read. [Did you have the Hancock? I think I left my papers. The Hancock?] -- not more detrimental to
the neighborhood than the existing structure." I guess that's really what the key phrase in there.

And, you know, Mr. Dottin said, obviously, it is far more detrimental to him, to his way of life, to his enjoyment of his property. So, you know, it's not a variance, but by the same token --

DAN ANDERSON: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- there is a standard that you have to meet, or that the Board feels it satisfies, or the alternative is that you don't satisfy that standard, you know?

DAN ANDERSON: No, understood. I would be happy to take a continuance. I know it's late. My clients apparently went to bed. So, you know, maybe we all should.

Since we had not had the opportunity to speak in person with the Chilton Street neighbor who issued the letter -- and unfortunately, for whatever reason, he or they were not available for any of the public meetings -- we'd welcome the opportunity to, you know, go through our shadow studies with them, make any modifications that might be an impact to them, and try and address any of the concerns of the Board.

Again, $I$ think that, you know, I look at it in terms of whether or not the overall scale and size of the project is consistent with examples in the neighborhood.

So regardless of my opinion, whether it's more or less, you know, this could be a -- you know, small workers' cottage and, you know, really need to be increased in bulk, and would be allowable, you know, up until the limits.

But given that it's this is an existing
nonconforming, as are the majority of the houses in the neighborhood, you know, certainly from my clients' standpoint, $I$ 'm trying to follow their program and help them through the process. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
DAN ANDERSON: -- I'm sure you understand that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think that Mr. Dottin is calling in. Let me open it to public comment, Dan, and then you can listen in.

DAN ANDERSON: Oh, sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to speak.

OLIVIA RATAY: Gerald Dottin?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Dottin?
[Pause]
You may want to unmute.
GERALD DOTTIN: Yes, I did. Hello. Thank you, folks, for hearing me. And unfortunately, I know I only have three minutes, but I'd like to also, you know, request a little bit more time so $I$ can rule out a couple of the things that he's already talked about.

Number 1, I was alerted toes meetings. One of my neighbors called me and told me about the structure, which unfortunately I had knee operation. I've been very busy with an elderly parent who is sick, and I really have been doing a lot of stuff like $I$ said that -- you know, that's really not allowed me to participate.

So in order to correct a few things right off the bat, a lot of these houses -- I've lived in this neighborhood for over 40 years now, 50 years. Most of the houses in this neighborhood are two-family houses. Most of
them, there are also a lot of split-level houses in this area that are the size of singles, but they do not go up as far as height is concerned.

This was not a rental property. This was a family-owned property for well over 65-75 years, I'm sure. Unfortunately the grandfather had recently passed away and left it to the daughter.

The house I don't know what the exterior condition, although it does not look good, quite a few years back the interior of the property was also renovated. I know that for a fact, because they were one of my customers and I've known them for years as family and friends.

And in reference to a sun study, I don't care what he said, there are not very -- the house next door to him to that structure is a three-family house, and like you said, that addition on the third floor was granted -- it's actually a two-family, but that addition on the third floor was granted years ago before all these variances were set into place and approved, I'm sure.

If you look like you said in the condition of these houses in the neighborhood, most of them are twofamily -- upstairs, downstairs structures in themselves.

And the other thing is is $I$ was glad to listen in, because $I$ also talked about -- I heard you talking about, you know, making these properties affordable as well.

And, you know, that's the idea is that, you know, this has been a family neighborhood for years. As we've watched, you know, the developers come in and most of the developers do not live in the area, they do not know the complexion of the neighborhood or as far as the -- you know, the, the, the feel of the neighborhood with the people. It's a very family-oriented environment, as you well know, Brendan Sullivan, because you were one of my baseball coaches years ago.

So that's how long I've been here. My family is very synonymous (sic) in this city. We own several properties as far as, you know, our family has been in here for years. We're one of the largest Black families in the city.

But I'm also concerned about the fact that, you know, gentrification is alive and well. This was a predominantly Black area at one point, where a lot of this gentrification obviously happened due to, you know, obviously socioeconomic conditions. However, that -- you
know, with these developments going up, they're certainly not being affordable. They're not being made affordable for families to come in here and be able to raise their children who are not ridiculously affluent or wealthy.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
GERALD DOTTIN: I'm also concerned about, you
know, the affordability of those units. It's a four-bedroom and I'm showing that those units are going to be going upwards of $\$ 1.3, \$ 1.4$ for something like that, which is what these things in the neighborhood are going for, which your average family is not going to be able to afford in general.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, thank you, Gerry. I think we -- you've exceeded the -- thank you.

GERALD DOTTIN: Yep. I done, Dave. Done, Dave. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thanks.

GERALD DOTTIN: I've said enough. I've said
enough. I think I've made my point very call.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no, we get the point. We get the point.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. Mr. Dottin, thank you very much for calling in. Certainly, we're hearing both the feedback from the Board and from you. I'm sorry for family
issues. I realize that sometimes it's hard to get to -engage with neighborhood meetings.

I would like the opportunity to connect you with our client and review sun shadow studies, which are -- you know, accurate representations of what those proposed conditions will look like, and discuss any modifications that would lessen any impact on the property.

In terms of anything that $I$ may have misstated in terms of fact, I was told that this was purchased and been used as a rental property. Although I've been in Cambridge for 30 years, $I$ don't have your tenure, nor do I live in your immediate neighborhood. So I don't know the exact history of the house. But very much appreciate your calling in.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. We're into May.
OLIVIA RATAY: There's one more. There's another.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. There's somebody else calling in.

OLIVIA RATAY: Adam Soroca?
ADAM SOROCA: Good evening, Board and Chairman. Thanks for hearing me out. Let me start by saying we are the owners of 127 Fayerweather Street since 2002. That's
the first-floor unit directly abutting this proposed project. It's a pretty tight community around this neighborhood.

We actually no longer live there. We raised our kids there, and we've moved out to another property nearby a few blocks away, while we rent the property and we do have this property earmarked for either my parents or my wife's parents when they need to come back.

As we talked to our former neighbors, which we remain very close with, none of them were familiar with this project. So unfortunately, I don't think this has been as collaborative of a process as the developers seem to have indicated. We'd love to be part of that process, but at this point that unfortunately has not happened.

So as the project is currently proposed, we too are against it. The project is quite a disruptive change for the neighborhood. It both increases the footprint significantly, it detracts from the skyline and the open space, while also introducing a dangerous precedent for what is quite a special neighborhood in Cambridge.

We bought that property because of the deeded yard, and this openness feel that we would get in the middle
of Cambridge.
Seeing that sky is really quite calming and relaxing, but the subject house that is being discussed is already quite close to our house over the setbacks. It's already claustrophobic, and this variance if approved would amplify that awful feeling and significantly cut down on skyline and space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. Great. Thank you. Anyone else? That is the sum and substance of anybody calling in, so we will close public comment at this time. I think we'll be discussing a continuance into May, the end of May, was it?

OLIVIA RATAY: June.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: June 9. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I'm not sure I can make it. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Huh? CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Pushing -- I was, as I said, pushing the envelope about continuing cases. And I'm -- June 9, we have more openings? I'll go along with June 9, but no more continuances later into other than the -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: -- you know, the -- in the name of the development.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, are you
available on the ninth of June?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I am, I am. Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: I am not.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You are not?
SLATER ANDERSON: I am out of the country.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: After June 9?
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: I can't make it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. What's before June 9, May
what? May 19? I would just go with May.
CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Yeah. I'll go with that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. May 19? Jim, May
19?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think you're here anyhow,
right? Slater, you're here on May 19?
SLATER ANDERSON: I'm available May 19.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Wendy, you're available?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, on May 19.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Dan, May 19?

DAN ANDERSON: May 19. I appreciate your
flexibility.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to May 19, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign and maintain the posting sign for at least 14 days prior to the May 19 hearing, change it to reflect the new date of May 19, 2022 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

Also that any new submittals regarding this application, dimensional form, supporting statements and/or drawings be submitted to the Board -- must be submitted to the Board by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 19 hearing.

We would ask that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof.

That such waiver be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on Monday a week from next Monday -- can be obtained from the secretary, Maria Pacheco. Any other conditions?
[Pause]
No. Also, Dan, I think if you do have this either neighborhood meeting or meeting one-on-one what have you,
there may be -- and I only say maybe -- some misinformation about the footprint and some of the other things, so that you may obviously explain exactly what you're doing. And that the building basically going straight up.

But I'm sure that you can explain that, as you've heard the public comment anyhow. So

DAN ANDERSON: I heard the -- comment.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Can understand the relief that's actually being requested. So anyhow. That being said, on the affirmative vote of five Members?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Everybody's in favor of continuing this?

CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: May 19, I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Everybody's in favor of continuing this matter until May 19? Jim has said yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy said yes, Slater has said yes, Brendan Sullivan yes, and Gus Alexander yes to continuing the matter.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The matter is continued until

May 19 at 6:00 p.m.
DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much. Have a good
night.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you. Goodnight. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right-y. Goodnight, all. WENDY LEISERSON: Goodnight, everyone. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: Goodnight. SLATER ANDERSON: Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, everybody. You served the City well. CONSTANTINE ALEXANDER: What a night.
[10:59 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| 232:10 235:6,7 | 65:12 95:7,9 | 74:6 98:21 | 109:15,19 | 103:17 105:12 |
| comments 11:10 | 95:13 98:20 | 167:6 168:15 | 110:1 117:11 | 106:7 118:8,9 |
| 80:14 86:8 | common 35:15 | complexion | 118:13 123:2 | 120:16,22 |
| 87:4 93:9 98:7 | 97:13 | 228:8 | 125:20 135:13 | 121:6 122:12 |
| 100:21 103:18 | Commonwealth | compliance 43:4 | 140:1 197:13 | 127:18 128:16 |
| 103:20 108:10 | 51:14,19 237:2 | 43:10 | 200:17 222:18 | 132:7 140:5,7 |


| 140:10,13 | Connolly 71:3,4 | 187:3 189:17 | continuances | conversation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170:14 199:1 | 71:6 | 192:10 193:2 | 232:21 | 63:16 83:2 |
| 202:4 206:16 | Conservation | 197:11 209:17 | continuation | 115:11 221:16 |
| 207:2 228:22 | 201:12 | 211:6 212:2 | 72:16 | conversations |
| 230:6 234:19 | conservative | 219:21 232:15 | continue 9:2,20 | 86:17 |
| condo 219:7,9 | 44:21 45:2,4 | 232:18 233:1 | 10:8,22 11:18 | convert 170:13 |
| 219:10 | consider 58:9 | 233:10,13 | 12:4,8,12,16 | conveyed 116:3 |
| condominium | 70:8,13,15 | 235:11,14 | 14:16,18 15:5 | convinced 46:6 |
| 199:9 | 87:3 118:6 | 236:7,11 | 15:18 64:14 | $\boldsymbol{c o p} 117: 10$ |
| condominiums | 152:6 183:12 | constituencies | 66:16 70:22 | copies 100:13 |
| 219:6 | consideration | 43:13 | 71:13 72:4,19 | core 38:1 56:2 |
| conduct 53:21 | 65:20 102:1 | constraints 38:7 | 74:20 78:10 | corner 19:11 |
| 111:17 | 104:15 107:17 | 56:12 | 80:18 83:10 | 20:8 34:21 |
| conferred 97:14 | 209:9 214:14 | construct 27:15 | 88:15 89:14 | 40:12 52:20 |
| confess 130:3 | considered 7:17 | 43:14 150:6 | 90:3 92:1 | 54:13 70:9 |
| confidence | considers 97:8 | 191:2 | 93:16 103:13 | 78:20 80:5 |
| 89:11 90:4 | consistent 57:1 | constructed | 103:14 119:3 | 141:16 156:12 |
| 102:13 124:10 | 166:22 171:3 | 32:12,14 143:3 | 122:5 126:2 | corral 114:9 |
| confident 89:14 | 213:4 225:3 | constructing | 127:14 143:17 | correct 9:9 |
| 221:1 | consolidate 34:2 | 150:14 175:3 | 148:13 181:14 | 11:16 23:5 |
| configuration | constant 68:16 | construction | 210:4,19 234:4 | 24:22 25:3 |
| 194:14 | 138:14,15 | 74:17 145:18 | continued 2:3 | 39:3 106:21 |
| confirm 23:4 | 139:20 | 168:8 174:2 | 12:21 15:20 | 107:2,4,5,11 |
| 96:19 217:5 | Constantine 1:7 | 187:10,22 | 30:12,15 47:13 | 124:21 125:11 |
| conform 167:6 | 3:4 4:22 6:3,16 | consultant 45:7 | 47:21,22 59:4 | 126:6,16 |
| 176:10 201:9 | 6:18 7:13 8:18 | 45:8 | 94:8,10,21 | 130:22 133:19 |
| 213:9 | 9:7 11:10,13 | consumer 64:19 | 136:1 163:19 | 133:22 134:8 |
| conformance | 11:20 14:2 | 64:20,21,22 | 177:3 185:4 | 152:9 160:5,11 |
| 191:5 | 15:7 16:3 22:6 | contact 97:22 | 211:10 235:22 | 160:16 172:18 |
| conforming | 26:3 28:18 | 138:15,15 | continues 83:13 | 172:19 176:11 |
| 24:13 168:11 | 30:3 61:3 66:3 | 139:20 | 94:7 135:12 | 176:12 219:2,5 |
| conformity | 68:7 100:22 | contacted | continuing | 219:11 222:8 |
| 151:2 | 115:19 120:8 | 144:22 | 136:5,6 159:14 | 226:19 235:17 |
| conforms 171:7 | 123:16,22 | contained | 232:19 235:13 | correctly 203:9 |
| confuse 128:10 | 125:9 127:3,9 | 175:18 | 235:16,20 | 220:4 |
| confused 78:18 | 128:17 137:4,9 | contemplating | continuous | correspondence |
| 125:8 198:12 | 137:12,15,18 | 130:14 | 102:5,6 | 14:10,11 24:2 |
| 198:13 | 138:12 139:10 | contemporary | contract 51:3 | 66:14 67:1 |
| confusion | 139:15,19 | 174:11 | contracting | 93:10 95:5 |
| 146:17 | 141:3 144:15 | context 48:7 | 50:22 | 96:3 100:8 |
| congestion 58:3 | 145:10 150:4 | continually | contradict 38:16 | 111:9 112:2 |
| 59:1,18 135:17 | 152:21 155:3 | 62:10 | contribute | 119:15 139:3,5 |
| 157:2 163:17 | 159:18 164:11 | continuance | 59:18 77:22 | 149:11,20 |
| 176:20 185:2 | 166:3 171:14 | 8:15,16,17 | contribution | 161:16 162:4 |
| connect 230:3 | 171:17 173:18 | 14:7 118:20 | 38:11 | 172:22 173:6 |
| connected 75:15 | 175:19 177:15 | 119:10 121:12 | control 97:15 | 173:12 183:3 |
| connection | 178:9 179:2 | 208:3 224:13 | 106:5,12 | 183:15 |
| 135:15 | 181:14 185:19 | 232:11 | 112:15 | corresponden... |


| 182:15 202:16 | 169:18 191:11 | crying 69:4,16 | 220:10 222:16 | 134:13 137:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| corridors 38:13 | covers 144:12 | 69:19 | 222:20 223:5 | 139:12 210:6 |
| 56:18 | 152:11 | cultivated 78:4 | 224:7,12 | 234:6 |
| cottage 225:6 | COVID 70:21 | cultural 62:7 | 225:14,16,18 | de 37:1 151:4,4 |
| Cotto 23:20 | COVID-19 3:11 | 77:21 136:19 | 229:20 233:22 | 191:20 209:22 |
| 61:17 64:14 | 3:16 62:8 65:7 | culture 90:17 | 234:1,21 235:7 | deadline 65:11 |
| Council 63:2 | 94:1,12,14 | 94:10,16 | 236:2 | 65:13 |
| 66:21,22 71:16 | cramming 199:6 | curated 63:14 | danger 70:21 | deal 101:5,10 |
| 81:6 94:18 | cranny 42:5 | curation 99:1 | dangerous | dealing 98:5 |
| 109:10 | create 33:15 | 115:17 | 167:16 231:19 | 115:21 |
| Councillor | 35:21 36:2 | curb 213:8 | Dash 155:8,9 | Dear 96:18 |
| 65:16 80:6 | 106:3 116:5 | current 17:18 | 158:3,22 160:3 | death 7:14 63:17 |
| counsel 10:22 | 144:4 157:8 | 73:4 110:16 | 160:5,11,17,22 | 74:11 |
| 26:21 237:9 | 163:6 184:13 | 214:3 | 161:20 162:7 | Debra 166:10 |
| Counselor 6:7 | 206:8 | currently 17:20 | 164:22 165:4 | 167:11 |
| 9:20 | created 59:9 | 58:18 61:12 | 172:19 173:14 | decades 42:16 |
| count 14:15 | 96:20 101:7 | 142:9 155:15 | dashed 167:7 | decibel 79:8,9 |
| counting 73:22 | 136:10 146:17 | 155:19 167:17 | 197:4 | 79:11 99:6,10 |
| country 70:6 | 157:1 164:2 | 170:1 176:10 | date 2:4,5,7 9:21 | decibels 81:9 |
| 101:3 233:8 | 177:7 185:7 | 212:19 214:8 | 11:3,3,11 | decide 103:12 |
| couple 53:10 | creates 54:18 | 231:15 | 14:21,22 15:1 | decided 95:9 |
| 81:18 100:9 | creating 75:20 | curtain 33:19 | 123:4 124:9 | 113:6 188:12 |
| 109:18 148:1 | 78:9 157:7 | customers 75:16 | 125:5 127:17 | deciding 113:10 |
| 153:15 179:22 | 174:17 223:1 | 227:11 | 128:9 137:2,3 | decision 58:1 |
| 187:13 198:3 | creation 93:21 | cut 64:13 197:7 | 137:6,6,14 | 105:7 138:20 |
| 226:11 | creative 101:7 | 213:8 232:6 | 139:1 210:8 | 145:3 209:11 |
| course 9:2 32:8 | Creatives 77:5 | cute 113:22 | 234:7 | 210:14 221:22 |
| 37:4 45:13 | creativity 88:5 | cutting 91:22 | dated 3:16 6:14 | 234:15 |
| 147:19 | 112:5 | 200:11 | 14:12 95:6 | decisions 202:3 |
| court 202:2 | Creighton 2:14 | cyclists 59:19 | dates 55:8 134:4 | 206:11 |
| 206:10 | 187:7 | Cypher 76:18 | daughter 227:7 | deck 151:7 |
| courts 202:1 | crenelation | 77:8 | daughters | 158:17 169:18 |
| 207:4 | 33:12 | Cyphers 76:14 | 141:20 | 170:4,15 188:2 |
| courtyard | crisis 62:8 |  | Dave 229:14,14 | 217:4 |
| 187:16,18 | criteria 24:11 | D | day 35:22 44:19 | dedicated 54:8 |
| 190:22 | 41:4,17 44:7 | D 2:1 3:1,12 | 45:3 54:19 | deeded 231:21 |
| cover 151:22 | 50:12 110:13 | D\&D 212:11 | 68:19 69:11 | deem 9:12,14 |
| 152:15 188:16 | 115:4 163:14 | daffy 134:19 | 71:16 82:2 | deemed 6:20 |
| coverage 214:22 | 184:19 | daily 74:17 | 92:11 107:12 | 10:3,5 |
| coverages | critical 38:6,11 | dampered 69:16 | 127:11 129:8 | deeper 27:12 |
| 214:21 | 38:15 56:11 | Dan 52:13,14,14 | 216:15 237:13 | 189:14 |
| covered 46:3,18 | critically 70:18 | 53:3 81:16,17 | days 11:2 14:20 | deeply 168:22 |
| 53:15 64:2 | CRLS 119:4 | 81:17 212:7 | 64:12 84:20 | defer 129:21 |
| 144:22 150:1 | 126:20 | 215:9,12 | 85:10 97:7 | deferential |
| 152:4,5 169:19 | cross 88:18 | 216:21 217:9 | 98:15 106:9 | 201:19 |
| 170:4,15 188:3 | crowding | 217:22 218:3 | 110:8 115:9 | define 147:5 |
| covering 143:4 | 203:15 204:6 | 218:14 219:2,4 | 130:19 131:20 | deformed |
| 150:14 152:10 | crucial 54:11 | 219:8,10,17 | 132:18 133:17 | 166:19 |


| delay 83:13 | 214:16 | 164:2 175:7 | diligent 89:12 | 232:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deleterious | described 44:5 | 177:7 180:17 | dimension 147:1 | discusses 98:8 |
| 110:15 | 104:10 127:19 | 185:8 192:1,3 | 147:13 148:8 | discussing |
| deliberation | 156:4 | detrimental | 148:10 | 232:11 |
| 119:13 | describing | 151:9 163:10 | dimensional | discussion 7:6 |
| deliberative | 196:22 | 183:8 184:17 | 21:17 141:18 | 116:16 138:17 |
| 153:18 | description | 223:22 224:4 | 145:4 147:11 | discussions 7:8 |
| Delights 63:7 | 152:14 | develop 195:22 | 150:9 152:18 | 73:17 118:10 |
| deliver 83:9 | design 41:9,12 | developed 37:19 | 162:18 163:6,7 | 118:11 195:4 |
| delivered 82:21 | 148:5 168:9 | developer | 174:4 184:5,12 | 213:21 |
| delivers 63:5 | 169:1 170:2 | 187:21 188:12 | 184:13 191:7 | disorder 69:10 |
| delve 110:22 | 173:4,9 175:4 | 216:16 219:5 | 205:22 210:10 | disrepair 150:18 |
| demand 43:16 | 194:1 216:5 | developers | 234:10 | disruption |
| 43:19 44:3 | 219:14,15 | 228:6,7 231:12 | dimensioned | 66:19 85:7 |
| 45:17 59:15 | designated 99:2 | development | 146:8 | 101:13 |
| demolished | 138:16 | 31:20 42:22 | dimensioning | disruptive 86:2 |
| 47:10 | designed 28:12 | 59:5 63:21 | 146:14 | 86:20 114:3 |
| demonstrate | 56:4 | 95:6 96:1 | dimensions | 144:7 231:16 |
| 44:2 50:12 | Designer 61:14 | 104:8 136:2 | 145:15 146:9 | distribution |
| demonstrated | 80:6 | 163:20 177:4 | 146:15 147:7 | 135:11 |
| 77:12 135:2 | desirable 28:4 | 185:4 200:18 | 148:6,14 | district 17:19 |
| demonstrates | 55:21 56:13 | 207:18 214:13 | dining 51:7 | 35:9,13,14 |
| 43:4 | 151:5,13 175:6 | 215:22 219:3 | 104:3 | 36:16 37:10,12 |
| denial 6:20 | 175:10 191:22 | 233:2 | dinner 49:18 | 37:14,19 59:12 |
| denies 97:7 | 192:2 | developments | 86:17 | 59:12 61:12,18 |
| Dennis 80:3,4 | desire 27 | 229:1 | dinnertime | 62:7 71:7 |
| dense 74:21 | 56:19 | devices 8 | 74:10 | 72:11 75:1 |
| density 213:5 | desired 37:5 | diagram 196:11 | direct 54:13 | 81:1 136:17,18 |
| 220:22 | 112:21,22 | dialogue 138:17 | 149:16 182:22 | 136:19 141:17 |
| Department | despair 101:4 | DIANA 68:5,8 | 183:5 | 157:11 164:6,7 |
| 93:12 95:6 | despite 73:13,13 | difference | directed 138:14 | 167:22 175:3 |
| 96:1 | 205:13 | 143:11 158:1,4 | direction 51:7 | 177:11 185:13 |
| Department | detached 163:4 | 207:16 | directly 20:13 | 199:4 203:11 |
| 62:20,21 | 184:8,11 | differences | 27:17 73:1 | 217:12 220:19 |
| departure 171:9 | detail 87:17 | 159:3 | 84:4,8 117:12 | District's 93:15 |
| DePasquale | 191:13 | different 7:1,21 | 124:4 150:20 | districts 35:5,7 |
| 95:3 | detailed 140:7 | 9:12,13,15 | 160:18 161:21 | 35:13,16 36:22 |
| depicted 143:12 | determination | 10:1 45:3 | 215:17 216:10 | 37:1,3 81:5 |
| depth 169:20 | 58:8 191:20 | 77:10 91:11,11 | 231:1 | 177:11 185:13 |
| derived 122:11 | determined 7:9 | 162:13,14 | Director 61:17 | 214:18 |
| derogate 28:6 | 52:5 | differently $83: 1$ | disapproval | disturbance |
| 59:12 136:18 | determines 58:1 | difficult 52:7 | 131:14 | 106:3 |
| 157:12 164:7 | detracts 231:18 | 92:12 132:1 | discuss 24:6 | disturbing |
| 177:12 183:10 | detriment 24:12 | 151:1 167:5 | 25:5 73:11 | 92:12,16 |
| 185:14 214:18 | 28:5,14 36:12 | difficulty 6:22 | 95:8 162:9 | diverse 77:14 |
| derogating | 50:14 55:5,22 | 132:1 | 173:16 230:6 | 87:22 |
| 56:14 151:14 | 59:9 135:21 | dilapidated | discussed 40:2 | docket 212:9 |
| 175:11 192:4 | 136:10 151:6 | 219:12 | 140:5 152:2 | document 38:14 |


| documentation | drift 201:1,4 | echo 52:16 | element 39:3,13 | encroaching |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215:2 | Drive 2:9 52:15 | 78:22 83:20 | 39:16 64:2 | 194:4 |
| documenting | 61:7 62:6 63:5 | 162:9 221:14 | elements 34:15 | encroachment |
| 63:15 | 81:18 83:18 | Ecklers 149:13 | 35:1 56:4 64:3 | 205:7 213:1 |
| documents 21:6 | 89:3 93:18 | economic 63:20 | 104:2 191:14 | encumbered |
| 199:22 | driveway 17:7 | 82:13 | 192:6 | 27:12 150:19 |
| doing 37:17 74:3 | 26:1 166:15 | edge 113:16 | elevated 21:8 | 174:22 191:18 |
| 75:18,19 81:14 | 169:5 176:14 | 114:2 | elevation 19:17 | endanger 58:3 |
| 82:20 104:22 | 176:22 | edification 96:2 | 19:19 20:6,10 | endeavor 82:12 |
| 113:8,9 114:5 | drone 201:16 | edition' 216:8 | 156:12,13 | endorse 88:14 |
| 121:20 155:17 | drop 31:3 | education | 158:8,13 159:9 | endorsed 95:14 |
| 194:19 226:17 | dropdown 10:4 | 135:10 | 170:18,19 | endured 71:21 |
| 235:3 | dropped 202:18 | effect 74:5 | Elevations | enforce 117:15 |
| dollar 207:15 | 208:11 | 106:13 136:15 | 168:1 171:1 | enforcement |
| dollars 207:16 | drops 35:8 | 151:9 177:1 | eliminate 22:1 | 25:6 27:5 |
| door 149:13 | due 3:9,16 77:3 | 181:5 199:7 | eliminated | 35:18 36:10 |
| 159:13 189:7 | 122:1 142:12 | 203:14 207:19 | 205:15 | 54:2 55:4 65:7 |
| 223:3,13 | 169:5 195:7 | effective 43:20 | eliminating 53:3 | 150:11 174:6 |
| 227:14 | 228:21 | effectively 42:11 | Ellsworth 2:13 | 191:8 198:4 |
| doors 34:7 156:1 | dug 169:20 | 45:22 | 179:6,8 182:17 | 206:8 |
| 156:5 157:10 | duly 139:21 | effects 99:14 | 182:20 183:2,4 | engage 230:2 |
| dormers 19:6 | Duncan 187:9 | 103:9 136:6 | Elon 82:7 | engagement |
| 152:3,4 170:11 | duplex 212:14 | efficient 42:6 | embedded 38:18 | 82:4 |
| dormitory 44:11 | duration 103:7 | effort 102:3,5 | emergency 3:10 | engaging 83:10 |
| 45:14 | dwelling 155:13 | 172:21 | 3:15 65:8 | engineer 99:3 |
| DOT 32:1 | 163:1,5,5 | efforts 33:3 51:3 | emphasizing | nhance 136:19 |
| Dottin 215:7,16 | 184:12 202:12 | 51:6 89:18 | 24:8 | enhanced |
| 218:13 224:3 | 204:6 | 94:11 107:14 | emphatically | 151:17 185:10 |
| 225:15 226:4,5 |  | 115:15 119:7 | 95:14 | enjoyed 71:14 |
| 226:8 229:6,14 | E | 153:16 | employed 237:9 | 216:2 |
| 229:16,20 | E 2:1 3:1,1 | egress 59:1 | Employees 1:11 | enjoyment |
| downstairs | e-mail 157:13 | 135:17,22 | enclose 155:14 | 216:18 224:5 |
| 227:22 | e-n-z-a 173:7 | 163:17 176:19 | 160:20 161:19 | enjoys 58:18 |
| Dr 71:17 | ear 113:22 | 177:2 185:1 | 187:18 | enlargement |
| draft 121:5,5 | earlier 7:18, | eight 63:12 86:5 | enclosed 155:20 | 162:22 163:2,3 |
| draftsman | :5 82:2 | 147:11,16 | 156:1,2,15,18 | 163:9,13 |
| 146:13 | 124:6 158:2 | 148:10 | enclosing 156:6 | 184:10,16,18 |
| drawing 20:19 | early 36:18 87:8 | eight-inch | 156:20 157:3,4 | enormous 89:5 |
| 146:22 | earmarked | 147:13 | 223:11 | Enrichment |
| drawings 40:11 | 231:7 | either 43:12 | enclosure | 62:21 |
| 145:13,14,15 | ears 68:15 | 54:574:13 | 159:21 | ensemble |
| 145:22 147:7 | ease 111:11 | 78:8 120:16 | encourage 86:2 | 114:15 |
| 150:9 162:19 | easier 10:4 | 231:7 234:21 | 88:14 92:17 | ensuring 115:16 |
| 174:4 175:17 | east 34:20 42:20 | elaborate | encourages | entailed 189:1 |
| 184:4 191:5 | 218:5 222:11 | 116:13 | 173:10 | enterprises 51:4 |
| 210:11 221:15 | easy 92:20 | elderly 226:16 | encouraging | 51:4,21 |
| 234:11 | 153:22 eating 49-18 | elected 100:18 | 52:16 | entertainment |
| draws 88:4 | eating 49:18 | electric 188:13 | encroach 195:2 | 64:18 65:2 |


| 74:20 88:10 | 143:1 167:2,18 | 47:19 | exhausting | experience |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93:22 97:3,5,8 | Essex 73:22 | exact 44:9 176:9 | 74:18 | 77:16 |
| 97:10,12,17 | 78:20 | 230:12 | exhaustion | experienced |
| 107:12 130:18 | established 59:2 | exactly 8:18 | 74:10 | 135:21 |
| entire 21:3 39:6 | 93:17 135:18 | 40:19 45:22 | exist 146:10 | experiences |
| 78:2,5 115:12 | 163:18 176:21 | 48:16 96:16 | existence 94:21 | 62:10 135:8 |
| 121:16 132:15 | 185:3 | 110:22 120:10 | existing 11:6 | expert 130:16 |
| 133:22 167:18 | establishment | 142:17 146:10 | 18:7,12 19:3 | expiration 126:8 |
| entirety 152:14 | 64:19,22 82:17 | 235:3 | 19:10,16,17 | expire 122:1 |
| 152:15 | et 98:18 113:10 | exaggeration | 20:8,18 24:15 | 127:12 128:7 |
| entitles 197:20 | 148:1 | 146:12 | 27:1 32:22 | 129:7 136:22 |
| entrances | ethnicities 77:15 | exam 68:20,21 | 33:22 42:13 | expires 126:8 |
| 223:12 | evaluate 110:20 | example 84:9 | 59:16 109:17 | 127:10 129:19 |
| entrepreneurs | 139:5 | 113:3,4 | 143:11,18 | 134:16 137:17 |
| 51:21 63:22 | evaluations | examples 41:7 | 144:8 150:19 | 237:17 |
| 95:18 | 103:5 115:16 | 225:3 | 150:22 156:7,8 | explain 235:3,5 |
| entries 34:6,21 | evening 16:8 | exceed 131:20 | 159:9 160:14 | explained 50:14 |
| 34:22 41:13 | 17:2 29:11,12 | 142:20 169:16 | 161:19 163:10 | explanation |
| 54:12 | 30:21 61:8 | 214:20 | 166:14,17 | 221:20 |
| entry 34:10 | 67:10 71:4,11 | exceeded 229:13 | 167:1,4,7,11 | explicit 50:17 |
| 151:8,8 | 87:6 126:20 | exceeding | 167:21 168:1,5 | exposed 77:18 |
| enumerated | 127:1 141:8,11 | 198:20 | 168:14 169:5,7 | express 77:6 |
| 44:15 | 154:1 155:8 | exceeds 143:2 | 169:10 171:9,9 | 87:12 91:4 |
| envelope 189:19 | 166:8 186:9 | 167:8 | 174:3,9,19,21 | 93:14 131:14 |
| 232:19 | 212:7 230:20 | exception | 176:14,22 | 172:14 173:2 |
| environment | event 76:19 78:8 | 168:12 171:8 | 184:17 188:7 | expressed 27:21 |
| 41:8 44:6 | 106:13 110:20 | excess 24:9 | 191:1,18 | 28:8 95:13 |
| 228:10 | 114:20,20 | excessive 58:2 | 193:15,18,19 | 96:7 140:2 |
| environmental | event-specific | excising 78:4 | 194:5,18 | 197:13 222:19 |
| 58:6 | 110:19 | excited 194:21 | 196:10,20 | extend 122:2 |
| Envision 38:14 | events 3:15,16 | exciting 141:22 | 197:1 200:5,5 | 137:20 210:21 |
| 63:8 | 69:22 76:20 | exclusive 96:22 | 201:7,8 205:11 | 211:1,4,6,8 |
| envy 99:21 | 77:9,13 87:12 | exclusively | 207:10 208:7 | 212:18 |
| epicenter 91:9 | 87:13,13 90:22 | 55:10 | 212:14 213:20 | extended 137:18 |
| equinox 218:9 | 95:1 98:18,19 | excuse 95:22 | 221:8,17 223:7 | extending |
| eradicate 216:1 | 99:1 105:21 | 142:20 151:20 | 224:1 225:8 | 159:21 |
| Erected 62:7 | 107:3 110:6,8 | 197:11 208:21 | exists 17:6,13 | extension 34:14 |
| errors 200:22 | 119:10 122:3 | 219:8 | 179:19 | 56:19 160:14 |
| especially 80:16 | 138:18 216:3 | excuses 201:2,5 | exit 126:19 | extensive 31:18 |
| 81:21 88:6 | everybody | executive 3:12 | 158:9,10 | extent 53:7 |
| 112:9,9 135:4 | 120:3 139:14 | 61:13,17 65:6 | expanded 142:8 | 157:16 |
| 199:2 202:5 | 186:8 222:3 | 73:3 93:11,19 | 142:18 148:1 | exterior 155:17 |
| 216:10 | 236:9 | 95:20 | expanding | 189:2 190:22 |
| essential 72:16 | Everybody's | exemplary | 45:18 | 191:11 227:8 |
| 78:12 80:15 | 235:12,15 | 183:7 | expansion 59:17 | extract 216:19 |
| 82:1 85:2 | evidence 58:1 | exercise 208:13 | expect 80:20 | extravagant |
| 135:3,8 | evident 25:12 | exercised 93:19 | expectation 46:2 | 170:8 173:9 |
| essentially 43:4 | evidently 47:13 | exhausted 68:12 | expected 45:16 | extremely 94:9 |
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| 158:3 173:8 | 229:3 | 57:9,11,14 | 214:14 232:6 | 103:13 108:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | families' 84:13 | 59:22 60:2,4,6 | feels 118:19 | 132:1 163:9 |
| F | family 37:3 74:9 | 60:8 103:1,15 | 224:9 | 180:16 223:20 |
| fabulous 112:20 | 84:2 93:3 | 139:14,19 | feet 16:16,18,19 | finding 79:1 |
| façade 33:12 | 137:13 144:3,4 | 140:4,6,9 | 24:20,21 36:8 | finds 27:4,14,17 |
| 56:7 | 151:17 156:21 | 152:21 153:2,5 | 39:7 50:6 54:8 | 27:20 28:4,11 |
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