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PROCEED INGS
(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the June 30, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am the Chair for tonight's meeting. This meeting is being held remotely, due to statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020, temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on Cambridge television Channel 22.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers, and at the discretion of the Chair.

I'll start by asking the James DeAngelo to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

JAMES DEANGELO: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Here.
JAMES DEANGELO: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Here.
JAMES DEANGELO: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Here.
JAMES DEANGELO: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Here.
JAMES DEANGELO: Jim Monteverde?

(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde and Slater W. Anderson, BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First case I'm going to call is Case No. 162489 -- 1 Longfellow Road. Mr. Kachmar, or 1 Longfellow Road?

SAM KACHMAR: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman, Sam Kachmar here from SKA, along with Steven Hoheb. And I think Michael Fields will be joining us from our team as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sam, before you get going, let me for the record -- there are, five members sat on this case initially. One member is not here tonight. And so, this case will be heard by four members. You will need four affirmative votes in order to grant the special permit.

You have the option to continue this matter, should you wish, to have the fifth member -- Mr. Constantine Alexander -- but he will not be back until September. I think you've been appraised to this, and do you wish to go forward with the four members?

SAM KACHMAR: That is correct, Mr. Chairman. We were informed of that earlier this week.

Page 7

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And you wish to proceed with the four?

SAM KACHMAR: Yes. We would like to proceed with the four members.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. That's fine. All right. So go ahead, sir.

SAM KACHMAR: Okay. This project is the -- you know, conversion of a basement unit to an accessory dwelling unit. The last time we were here in front of the Board, we were showing two units, which $I$ think were sort of the main sort of sticking point, as well as some conversations with some neighbors.

I believe in the interim between our last hearing and this one, we have reduced the count of the units of the basement down to one per the Board's recommendation, as well as worked with both the direct abutters and the other neighbors in the neighborhood to work with any of their concerns.

And I believe we have a letter of support from the direct neighbor that would be most affected in there after we work through three or four items that they specifically wanted taken care of.

Olivia, is it possible to bring up the drawings?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're being ably assisted by
Jimmy DeAngelo tonight.
SAM KACHMAR: Oh, sorry. James, is it possible to
bring up the drawings for 1 Longfellow Road, please?
JAMES DEANGELO: Sure. One second.
SAM KACHMAR: My apologies. I assumed it was there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's okay. You didn't know we -- so.

SAM KACHMAR: Here on the first slide, we can just see the existing house from both Mount Auburn Street and from Longfellow Road. Can you take us to the next sheet, please? Basic notes.

And then here, and so, these show the existing plans for Level 0 and Level 1, where there were two units in there in our plan.

If we go to the next sheet, please, sir?
This shows our second and third floor.
And then if you could take us to our next sheet,
please?
This shows our existing exterior elevations.

And then to our next sheet again, please?
And then this shows our right and our rear
elevation.

And then the most important one, if you go to our next sheet here?

This shows the floor plan where we have gone down to one unit in the basement with egress windows on the right-hand side, and an ADU that is under 900 square feet, fully ADU accessible. And so, we've made those changes, and we believe hopefully that will satisfy both the Board and the neighborhood.

If you go to the next sheet, please?
No changes up here on the first floor or -- I'm sorry, on the second floor and on the third floor.

And then the next sheet please, sir?
And then here on the exterior you can see on these elevations a window well and some windows to be put in into the basement area.

And then the next sheet, please?
And then here on the right-hand side of the sheet you can see the elevation that has two window wells for egress in the unit in the basement.

And then if you go to our next sheet, please?
These are just some construction details that go over sort of the reconstruction of the pathway along the side.

And then the next sheet $I$ think is just our survey on there.

And I'm happy to go through any questions with the Board. I know the Board is quite familiar with this case, so I don't want to spend a lot of time on the presentation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The only comment I would have is there is correspondence which came in today -- you may be aware of it -- from David Philbin, who is the Trustee for the Mary Hester Revocable Trust --

SAM KACHMAR: Oh.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 57 Longfellow Road. And that matter could be listed as part of the public comment, but let me get right to the chase.
"Where the correspondence apparently back and forth expressed conditional support for the above captioned location, provided certain conditions are made part of the Board's decision, I am attaching here in a landscape plan provided to my Counsel from the petitioner's architect
addressing one of those concerns.
"I would request that plan be included as part of the plans that will be a condition of any favorable special permit decision.
"In addition, I would request that the following condition contained in my April 28, 2022 correspondence be made part of any special permit. In accordance with the requirements of the Ordinance, that there be only one accessory apartment and that it not exceed 900 square feet in size."

Can you affirm that?
SAM KACHMAR: Yep. We worked with both Attorney Rafferty and his people he was representing there, David Philbin, and we -- I believe we met those requirements. We supplied that landscape plan to them earlier today or yesterday. And we're happy to have that submitted into the record.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The size of the unit? I think there was correspondence back from Maria regarding follow-up of a question that $I$ had. Just for the record, the square footage of the unit in the basement?

SAM KACHMAR: Yeah. The square footage is -- I
believe it is written on here, it is -- and it is under 900 square feet, I know that. I believe it is 800 and change -I think it's 820?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. Okay. At the entrance to the apartment beyond the Mount Auburn Street side of the property, it appears that the drawing submitted shows that that's correct?

SAM KACHMAR: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. That the size of the existing walkway on the Hester side of the property not be expanded?

SAM KACHMAR: That is correct. It is to be rebuilt in kind.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
"Finally, these proposed conditions are consistent with the request expressed to the Board by other abutters.
"Finally, please be advised that I am comfortable with the limited increase in certain openings on the nonconforming wall and depicted in the latest elevations. Thus, I am no longer requesting that Condition \#3 in my April 22, 2022 correspondence regarding a restriction prohibiting increases in openings be made a condition.

Thank you for my consideration."
So that, I think, was the primary concern that I
had. I think the other members of the Board can chime in on
it, but those conditions seem to have been satisfied.
Let me open it up to the Board. Any member of the Board have any questions, Jim, at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions at this time for the petitioner?

SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have nothing
further to say. I'll open it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We would ask that you have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in. There is a
number of correspondences which have come in over the last few days, and they -- I'm not going to read all of them, but they really are from most of the abutters, and it sort of mirrors what David Philbin's letter addresses and the issues that they address.

And it appears that if those conditions have been met, then they have no opposition to the proposal before us. Anything else to add, before we take it to a vote? JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready to vote.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Sam, anything else that you want to add or --

SAM KACHMAR: No sir, Mr. Chairman.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me then make a
motion to -- we're looking for a special permit. Relief from 8.222c -- well, let me go back first of all, accessory apartments.

The Board may grant a special permit for an accessory apartment. In all districts, the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration of an existing single-family detached dwelling, two-family dwelling, or accessory building on the same lot, provided one accessory apartment if the following conditions are met:

The building containing the accessory apartment has been in existence since on or before February 1, 2019. And that is for in the case of an accessory apartment within a single-family or two-family dwelling prior to the alteration the dwelling contain at least 1800 square feet of gross floor area.

And your dimensional form shows that it contains more than the requirement of 1800. I've got a paper here. The gross floor area existing is 3423. So that requirement is satisfied.

In the case of an accessory apartment within a single-family or two-family dwelling, such accessory apartment shall not occupy more than 900 square feet or 35 percent of the gross floor area of the principal dwelling, whichever is less. An accessory apartment can be created within an accessory building -- not applicable in this case. The Section 4.2213 is satisfied.

And no more than one accessory apartment shall be allowed on any lot. And the proposal satisfies that requirement, seeking relief under 8 point -- that was Section 4.21 under 8.222c.

Are there any new -- in the residence districts,
the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming structure not otherwise permitted, but not the alteration or enlargement nonconforming use, which does not apply here.

Are you adding -- are you creating any new
nonconformities, Sam?
SAM KACHMAR: No. We're not creating any new nonconformities.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm just wondering if that 8.222 c should be 8.22 d?

SAM KACHMAR: Oh, I'm sorry about that, Mr. Chairman.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because you're not creating any new nonconformities.

SAM KACHMAR: Nope.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that correct?
SAM KACHMAR: That is correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The GFA is over now, and it will remain over the -- the stays the same, the front is in compliance, the rear is in compliance, the left side is -both are in compliance, the height is in compliance.

It changes a little bit, goes up, but it is still
within the 35 -foot-limit. Open space remains the same to all units. The number of parking spaces four. It appears that maybe that's just -- I'm going to grant you relief under 8.222d.

In all districts the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached singlefamily dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting nonconforming use...

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43.

Going to 10.43, the Board will normally be granted
-- special permits will normally be granted when specific provisions of this ordinance are met, except when particulars of the location or use not generally true of the district would cause granting of special permit to be detrimental to the public interest.

It appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use -- in fact, with the redesign of the basement area and the code-compliance would be a benefit to whoever occupies that space.

That the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the
ordinance to provide housing and especially an accessory apartment, as is part of the City policy.

And that satisfies the finding that we must make under Section 4.21. Anything else to add from members of the Board?

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, the plans and dimensional form and supporting statements submitted and initialed by the Chair? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the special permit for 1 Longfellow Road is granted.

SAM KACHMAR: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, on the middle case, which goes back to January -- 156403 -- is that now mute, Sam, because whatever was --

SAM KACHMAR: Yep. Yes, it is -- are we safe to withdraw that now? We haven't withdrawn it before, because it would have been viewed with prejudice, and then it would have put us dead in the water for the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So --
SAM KACHMAR: But if we're okay to withdraw it
now, we'd like to withdraw that case. If we have to wait until the next hearing, we'll wait until the next hearing. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's okay. So -- we can withdraw this matter, because the whatever was in this is now contained in what we just granted you. SAM KACHMAR: Yes, sir. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, fine. All right. So on the motion, then, to withdraw Case No. 156403, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson? SLATER ANDERSON: I vote in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes. [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Case No. 156403 is withdrawn. Okay. Good.

SAM KACHMAR: Thank you so much.
(6:23 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Next case I'll call is 151512
-- 38 Cameron Avenue. Anybody here wish to speak on that matter? We are in receipt of correspondence dated Monday, June 27.

ADAM DASH: Yes, Mr. Chair?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
ADAM DASH: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. I'm here for 38 Cameron Avenue.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, Adam, do you want to speak on the matter?

ADAM DASH: Yes, please, if I may.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, just introduce yourself
for the record, if you will.
ADAM DASH: Absolutely. Attorney Adam Dash, 48
Grove Street in Somerville representing the applicant, Meter Parts, Inc. regarding the matter on 38 Cameron Avenue. The applicant wishes to withdraw its application.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, great. On the motion, then, to accept the withdrawal, Case No. 151512, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The matter is withdrawn. Five affirmative votes, the matter is withdrawn.

ADAM DASH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Dash.
(6:26 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 165327 -- 27 Fayerweather Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the Board and Mr. DeAngelo. My name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with the law firm of Adams \& Rafferty, located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue.

I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the applicant, Joseph Glenmullen, Manager of the LLC that holds title to the dwelling. And the architect, Nancy Dingman, is also present.

This is an application that -- for a case that was continued but not heard. Board members might recall approximately three weeks ago when the case was scheduled to be heard, a request was received by an abutter through his Counsel asking for a second continuance, in order to allow an opportunity to explore any potential compromises to the plan.

I'm pleased to report that in the intervening three weeks, the applicant and his architect met on two occasions with the abutter who requested the continuance. As a result of those meetings, the applicant has further reduced the height of the proposed rear addition that's contained in the application by two feet.

The application itself when filed, included Components of relief that are no longer being pursued at this time.

So for the record, I just want to acknowledge that the original application contained a request for a variance to allow for increased heights for the two garages that are on the property. Those requests have been withdrawn.

Similarly, the application also included a request for a special permit to allow for an accessory dwelling unit in the rear garage. That special permit request has similarly been resolved.

Finally, the original application sought an addition in the rear of the structure that was -- that continued the nonconforming characteristics of the property, that being a four-foot setback on the right-hand side after a concern expressed by abutters the plans before you were
modified, such that the proposed rear addition is now a conforming addition, meaning that it conforms with that setback, that right setback and all other dimensional criteria.

There is also a component of relief associated with a small addition in the front of the building that's depicted in on the plan. Both of these additions are being requested pursuant to special permit Section 8.22.2b.

If Mr. DeAngelo could put the site plan in view, I think we could best illustrate what's being requested by the applicant. Yeah. We can continue to the site plan.

So the site plan would show the -- both the rear addition and the front addition. I'm not sure if that's contained in the set that Mr. DeAngelo's working with, but perhaps we could -- we'd be looking not necessarily at the modified plans that were filed recently, but the original site plan filed with the application.

In any event, the site plan would reflect the conforming nature of the proposed rear addition, and the -perfect. So that's the plot plan and the -- showing the existing conditions.

The area, the front addition is in the front right
corner. There's a notch in the building you can see right there, and the plan calls for filling in that notch.

And the -- you can see here as well the
nonconforming nature of the right-side setback, and then the proposed site plan, which should be the next document. I think we've gone into floor plans.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, you were there. If you go back, I think you were there. Keep going. There. That one. Is that the one you wanted?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Actually, that's the existing conditions.

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, this is the one that shows the additions.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. Yeah. No, -- Nancy, do you know the sheet number that the site plan -- the proposed site plan to help Mr. DeAngelo locate it? Joe, do you know the page number? Do you have it set in front of you? I just think it would help to --

JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Just looking through them. I'll have it shortly. Yes. So it's S1, $S$ as in Saturday 1. JAMES RAFFERTY: And the date on that plan, do you
have it in front of you?
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Well, it was submitted for the hearing --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah, no, but are you looking at the plan, is there a date on the plan?

JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Hold on one second. 06/03.
JAMES RAFFERTY: So that -- it's a -- 06/03 is the -- the original plan set in sheet S3.

JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: S1. S1.
JAMES RAFFERTY: S1, excuse me.
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: 06/03.
JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm sorry. What was that?
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: S1 dated 06/03.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. So that -- that -that site plan would show the location of the proposed rear addition, as well as the front addition. The floor plans also identify that. So if at the moment we only have floor plans, we could --

JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Oh, here it is.
JAMES RAFFERTY: There we go. There we go. Thank you very much. That proposed site plan does tell the story. There is a -- you can see the area shaded in grey in the
rear is the proposed rear addition. You can see its conformance with the side yard setback in this district. Similarly, in the front -- the small area shaded in gray shows the front addition. That addition does not create any new nonconformity, since it is at the same setback that the current house is.

So there is a small portion of the additions that are not conforming, but they are -- they do not create any new nonconformities. And then the rear addition is completely conforming.

You can also see a small, shaded area on the front garage. That involves as-of-right construction to enlarge -- slightly enlarge that garage. It is a two-family dwelling. It has historically been a two-family dwelling for more than 50 years.

It will continue to be a two-family dwelling under this proposal, but the plans do call for reorganizing the house such that the second dwelling is located in the lower level of the principal structure.

As I noted, Ms. Dingman is present, and could address any concerns with regard to the plans. But suffice it to say these latest revisions reflect an even further
modification, and that modification is occurring in the height of the rear addition.

Ms. Dingman and Mr. Glenmullen in consultation with the abutter were able to reduce the height of the roofline of the rear addition. It is a two-story addition, and the height of the roof was lowered two feet in response to concern expressed by the abutter.

I have also included in the plans in our recent filing this week was -- I think it's the next sheet -- would be the Assessor's site plan, and I think it would be helpful if the Board wanted to appreciate the context of the additions.

There's no question that in this zoning district, in this neighborhood, we have a series of large lots with large homes. And the dimensional and setback requirements are the most restrictive in the city.

And in this case, the property will conform to all of the dimensional requirements of the Res-A1 Zoning District, including open space, FAR and parking.

In this case, the nonconforming right-side setback is extended for a few feet to accommodate the front addition, but that can be addressed through the special
permit request.
Unless there are questions from Ms. Dingman, I
think that concludes our presentation.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. No questions at this
time. Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Can you bring up the
elevation? The elevation that shows the new addition? Is that possible?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we're trying, but --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, my question is -- let me just -- I'm flipping through the drawings myself, but just to get a sheet number or a cardinal direction.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Could it be A2-1, Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. On the left of that drawing, does the addition involve a dormer?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Nancy, I believe you're muted. We're not hearing you. Could you give your name for the record before you speak?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nancy's on mute.
NANCY DINGMAN: My name is Nancy Dingman, and I live at 7 Appleton Terrace in Cambridge. There are two dormers on that addition. And they -- there is one on the
south side, and then there is one on the north side. If you look at the next elevation, which is A2-2, you can see them if you look carefully.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You -- looking at the south
elevation, what's the width of that new dormer?
NANCY DINGMAN: It is $I$ think about 10 feet. I'd have to just measure it, but $I$ think it's 10 feet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's compliant with the Dormer Guidelines?

NANCY DINGMAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Same for the one on the north?
NANCY DINGMAN: It's actually not really a dormer so much as a bay window. Because it does not -- so the dormer is -- by definition would be letting light into the floor above it.

But this is really a bay window with just -- what we were trying to do is continue the Queen Anne motif of the original house. And so, we wanted to have a little bit of a peak there to break it up, break up the addition.

So it's not a dormer per se as a bay window which has a roof on it. If you look at the right -- on the A2-1. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Mm-hm.

NANCY DINGMAN: No, actually, that's the wrong A21. That's the one previous to this one. It has -- so we need the most -- that's not the most recent drawings.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Can you provide the date on the drawing that you're referring to, Ms. Dingman?

NANCY DINGMAN: Can't really read it. Just a second. I think it's --

JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Thirteen? It's 06/13.
NANCY DINGMAN: 06/13. That's the one. That's it. So as you can see, it's not really a dormer. It's more of a bay window. It just has the detailing on it in order to tie the two -- the addition into the main structure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Nancy, I would just add it only projects about six inches, right?

NANCY DINGMAN: It pro -- that's actually right. It's -- it projects six inches on the south side and it's flush with the wall on the north side. So it's not even a bay window. It's basically just a roof on the back side, on the north side.

JAMES RAFFERTY: That was the applicant speaking, Joseph Glenmullen.

JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Slater --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson, any questions?
SLATER ANDERSON: Not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea Hickey any
questions?
ANDREA HICKEY: Nothing at the moment. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, any questions?
JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Mr. Chair. And I'm not going to refer to a drawing, so Jimmy, you can stand down for now.

I guess I'll start by just observing that it's clear there's been a lot of work and outreach that has gone on since the last hearing and just from this Board member's perspective, it's really appreciated when the applicant negatives with neighbors and tries to work out issues before you come back. So thank you for doing that.

Just one question, and it's just clarifying that one of the requests for a special permit -- I just want to confirm this -- is for -- is related to height. And there is an existing nonconforming with respect to that he is. It
is -- is that correct, Mr. Rafferty?
JAMES RAFFERTY: No. The -- the special permit --
the -- the addition, proposed addition, is -- is of conforming height. It's below the 35-foot height limit.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. I thought I did --
JAMES RAFFERTY: There was height relief
associated with the special permits for the accessory apartment, but they've all been withdrawn.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. I'm glad I asked, because there are a few different applications, so I wanted to clarify what the --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, yes. And I did my best in my cover letters to identify that, but you are correct -JASON MARSHALL: Yeah.

JAMES RAFFERTY: -- there are -- the application began, and it was considerably more ambitious, shall we say, than in its current form.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. All right. That answers my clarification question. Thank you, Mr. Chair. JASON MARSHALL: Okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I have nothing further to add. Let me open it to public comment. Any
member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and we ask that you have up to three minutes in which to comment.

JAMES DEANGELO: Mike Wiggins?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Michael?
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Can you hear me now?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
MICHAEL WIGGINS: Oh, sorry. I hit mute. As I said, you know, I represent the abutters to the south, and they are now in support, after a lot of back and forth. I just wanted to ask one -- for the record, one little wording change.

When I was listening to Mr. Rafferty, he said that the variance had been withdrawn. I thought I heard him say that the special permit for the accessory dwelling unit had been resolved. And I just -- I think what he meant was that that had also been withdrawn.

So I just wanted to clarify that for the record.

That would be the special permit for the accessory dwelling unit at the rear garage. That's been withdrawn?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. Thank you. Mr. Chair, if I
may, if I said, "resolved" it was the -- it was -- I
misspoke. It is resolved in one way, because it was withdrawn. So Mr. Wiggins is correct. The application for the accessory dwelling unit has been -- the special permit request has been withdrawn.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Anything else, Michael?

MICHAEL WIGGINS: No, no, no. Nothing else. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Thank you for your efforts in this.

JAMES DEANGELO: Peter Cohen?
PETER COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make sure that $I$ understand what's going on here. I want to comment on a different case, but $I$ was unclear whether all comments -- all public comments have to come at the beginning of the hearing or right before the particular case they occur?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, which case are you
referring to?
PETER COHEN: 281 Concord Avenue.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That hasn't come up yet.
PETER COHEN: Okay. So I'll hold my commentary
until then. Sorry for the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay --
PETER COHEN: Sorry for the misunderstanding.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- yeah. That appears to be the end of anybody calling in. There is a number of letters in the file basically referencing back. And I think Mr. Wiggins has -- representing [all names phonetic] Barbara Flanagan and Timothy Barrows, having withdrawn their opposition those letters entered into the record, but also that Mr. Wiggins has summed up that -- posed letters to those concerns.

And that is the sum and substance of any
correspondence -- current correspondence relating to this particular case. So I will close the public comment part of the proceedings.

Mr. Rafferty, anything else to add?
JAMES RAFFERTY: No, thank you, Mr. Chair. Other than to state that I believe that the application and the
supporting materials provide ample evidence for the Board to make the necessary findings under 8.22.2d, that the proposed work here, the rear addition and the front addition, will not have a detrimental effect upon the neighborhood, and that the other provisions of Article 10.43 have been satisfied.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So just to -- and again, following up on Mr. Wiggins' comments, the application initially March 11 you were seeking relief for Section 4.22 , the accessory apartment and that is now withdrawn.

You are seeking relief under 5.31 because there is an increase of dimensions.

You are seeking relief under 8.222d, a
nonconforming structure, but the special treatment -- I guess of a one- and two-family, which $I$ won't go into; and 10.30, the variance and the related 10.40 special permit related to the garage and accessory apartment are no longer on the table, those have been withdrawn. That's correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: That is correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. One other request that I would have -- and it's a housekeeping one -- is that the latest dimensional form, which has a lot of cross-outs and a
lot of handwritten notes in there, I would ask that that be somewhat cleaned up and put into a typed form, so that when we pass this on to -- back to Inspectional Services that it becomes a lot clearer, if you will.

As you can see on the screen, it's -- it's sort of like trying to find Where Waldo Is at this point?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right. Well, I'll take responsibility. We treated the dimensional form in this manner so Board members could see the different iterations as the plans evolved.

But I -- we should have and will tomorrow morning give a clean version of this reflecting all the information depicted here is accurate and represents the plans as submitted here.

But I understand the Chair's comments, and we can get a more pristine version of this form into the file tomorrow.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay, thank you. All right. Let me make the finding -- the motion -- to grant the relief requested as per the latest submittals of June 27, new dimensional forms, new drawings, correspondence submitted and initialed by the Chair.

SLATER ANDERSON: Brendan, can I ask a question? Sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry?
SLATER ANDERSON: Can I ask a question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, yes.
SLATER ANDERSON: So I'm just going through this file and there -- you know, there's a lot of updates and back and forth and things.

And I just was looking for some clarity on a letter from Tad Hauer for Dr. Nelson, who's an abutter, I believe. Has that dialogue been resolved? I'm looking at a June 8 letter in the file. Has there been correspondence there?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair, I could inform the Board of a conversation $I$ had based on a telephone call I received from Mr. Heuer after I submitted or sent him the revised plans on Monday.

Mr. Heuer represented to me at that time -- and it's his client -- that Mr. Glenmullen and Ms. Dingman met with on two occasions since the continuance and whose interests were being addressed by the lowering -- the further lowering of the roof of the addition.

He reported to me that his client no longer objected to the application and would not be appearing -and he would not be appearing in opposition this evening.

SLATER ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Rafferty. That answers my question. I had suspected the fact that they were present that something had been resolved there. But I noted on page 2 it requested a continuance of tonight's hearing. So I just wanted to clarify that. So thank you. JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the latest drawings submitted June 27, dimensional forms, supporting statements initialed by the Chair.

In all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting nonconforming use...

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconformity -- conforming detached single-family dwelling
or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria of 10.43.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

That there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the
ordinance.

Affirmative findings, which then obviously
satisfies the requirement under 8.22 d .
On the motion to grant the special permit as per
the application, Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes, the
special permit is granted.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Thank you very much. JOSEPH GLENMULLEN: Thank you.
(6:55 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 174527 -- 241 Grove Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Good evening, Mr.
Chair, and members of the Board. Again, James Rafferty on behalf of the applicants, Patrick Downes and Jesssica Kensky who are present this evening. And also present is the Project Architect, Michael Fields, from SKA Architects.

Like the prior application, this matter was continued as a case not heard at the request of an abutter. In the intervening time, this application has been modified.

The application as originally filed included a variance request to allow for a second dwelling unit in the structure. The petitioners have chosen not to proceed with that portion of their request.

So what's before the Board this evening is a request for a special permit to authorize the construction of a conforming addition to a nonconforming single-family
structure, and also a variance request to allow for portions of expanded two-car garage to be in the front setback.

Dr. Downes and Ms. Kensky have purchased this home recently on Grove Street, a ranch typical of the 19 -- late 1950s style that populated that street when it was originally developed. Dr. Downes grew up in West Cambridge, and he and Ms. Kensky have been living in Cambridge now for several years.

The application allows for the expansion of $a$ ranch, and it's a modest expansion by FAR standards. The proposed addition will still result in FAR of significantly less than the 0.50 allowed. We'll be going to 0.338 .

The addition is conforming. The existing house is nonconforming in only one aspect, and that is the left-side setback does not meet the minimum 10 -foot requirement. The proposed addition does meet the setback requirements, both the minimum requirement as well as the combined setback -the combined setback requirements.

The second portion of the application involves the garage. And the home currently has an attached single-car garage. The request is related to the fact that the expanded garage -- really the only logical place to place it
without disrupting the living quarters of this somewhat modestly sized home is to extend it into the front.

Both applicants are lower-extremity amputees, and they use wheelchairs on a regular basis. The expanded garage will allow them the opportunity to easily access their vehicles from their wheelchairs. The hardship, as I noted, though, is not limited to their personal situation, but also to the conditions affecting the existing -- the existing structure and the lot.

We have had outreach with several neighbors and abutters, and most recently explaining the withdrawal of the apartment.

As I said, Mr. Fields, the architect is present, happy to walk through any aspect of the plan. The plan and the house are particularly appealing, because it will allow Dr. Downes and Ms. Kensky single-floor living.

So this expanded branch really is ideally suited for them, and the proposed addition is well within the criteria established under 4.22.2d. And thus, the special permit we would suggest is -- is quite consistent with the criteria in the amendment.

And the variance hardship does exist for the
garage, based on the conditions articulated in my presentation and as set forth in the plans in the supporting statements.

Happy to make either the applicants or the architect available If Board members have questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I have one regarding the proposal for the garage. I understand the need for the garage, the two-cars, the sizing, the dimensions.

What I'd like you to explain to me is what is it that requires you to get the variance to intrude on the front yard? Why the garage can't be slid back in plan, I guess? The nominally five-foot dimensions I think could be compliant as well.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, we can -- the architect can describe that, but the short answer is sliding the garage back into the structure significantly reduces the floor plate and limits the opportunity for the first-floor area that is really the only living area in this house.

But I'm sure Mr. Fields may be able to add some important architectural perspective as to why this design decision was made.

MICHAEL FIELDS: Sure. I think that -- you know, maybe the easiest answer is that we're trying to take advantage of the existing foundations and the -- the way that the ridgeline brings the structure through from the building. We've sort of pushed the garage up to that point.

And if we're to push it farther, it just is -- you
know, new foundations, a new structure coming down redirecting things, and then -- you know, how we deal with the arrangement of the interior layout.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I understand. You are expanding the foundation just for the garage to stick out, correct?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm looking at drawing the BZA 101. And again, $I$ can see the existing level -- where am I, level 1? Level one --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- existing and proposed. And again, as what -JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure, I -JIM MONTEVERDE: If that garage were to slide back that five foot and the bath, the mudroom, the office, the
kitchen and slide back five foot, does that not work for you or something like that?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Well, so the main structure of the roof is going to be coming down through the wall between the garage and mudroom there. And so, it would be -- you know, redirecting. It would, you know, just be additional -

- you know, foundation and steel in order to redirect that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.
MICHAEL FIELDS: If we were to push the -- you
know, the other consideration, obviously, is to try to -you know, push back too far into the -- into the neighborhood's site lines as well.

So, you know, it's a balance of structure and keeping the spaces contained to some of the existing portions of the house, so that we don't have to build accessibl -- you know, accessibly into the back yard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. I'm looking at your sheet, 002. You've got -- it shows your rear yard setback, correct?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yep. Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And in the addition you've got
room between the proposed addition and that setback. So you're not at it yet.

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. I hear everything you're saying. I'm still not following the -- why it can't move back and be compliant regarding the front yard. But thank you for the explanation.

MICHAEL FIELDS: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The topography of that site has really got -- obviously, if you go down Grove Street and you stand in front of the house, it looks very nice and so on and so forth -- you get to the right side of that building, garage, and you take just a few steps and that slope just -just goes straight downhill. It's a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- site. And it defies
imagination standing at the front of the house, and then looking around to the back of it, it's -- you know, we grant variances for the size, shape, and topography.

And this is one that's important -- this is the topography one that they're always talking about. So anyhow.

Jim, you're all set on --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- later on, yeah. Slater, any
questions?
SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah. I'll just weigh in on this discussion about the garage, you know, protruding into the front yard setback. You know, I do know it looks like at the -- you know, the adjacent houses, garages or footprints appear to extend slightly into that Zone 2. So it's not extremely out of context with what's going on on the street.

So I'm okay with that element, given the -- you know, unique needs of the applicants and the house layout and flow and all of those things. So thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Andrea Hickey, any questions?

ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks, Mr. Chair. No questions.
I'll just note that $I$ agree with you that in terms of topography, this is a unique parcel.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Okay. Thank you. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from Duncan MacArthur, which came in today, June 30.
"Diana, my wife and I have had a lot of e-mails with James Rafferty regarding the project at 241 Grove Street. Although we requested a meeting with the stakeholders -- specifically the owner and the architect, Mr. Rafferty advises clients against this, but which made things more difficult to understand the scope and intentions of the project.
"Having said that, we appreciate that the owners have removed the proposed second unit and support their request for a variance, special permits, with the understanding that the exterior siding on the new addition
and the windows will be as specified by the architect and that he outlined an e-mail forwarded to us by Mr. Rafferty, which is copied below --
-- "basically, wood siding with shiplap profile or equivalent, Marvin windows or equivalent," and end of email.

There was also other correspondence in the file which relate back to an earlier plan for the taking out of the accessory apartment that was of some concern to some of the other neighbors.

There was also communication from Kitty Packet (phonetic) regarding some damage -- potential damage during construction, but that's not a zoning issue, and we enter that into the file.

And again, other correspondence regarding the second unit, but that appears to be mute at this point. So that is the sum and substance of the correspondence. I will close the public comment part. Mr. Rafferty, anything else to add?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, thank you. I would like the opportunity to address the comments contained in Mr. MacArthur's correspondence.

First, Mr. MacArthur asked a series of very specific design questions about the property, including the manufacturer of the garage doors, the window manufacturer, the type of material on the sidings -- things that $I$ informed him were far in excess of the type of issues that the Zoning Board customarily deals with.

I sited the -- I sited Mr. Alexander's often-used comment that the BZA is not a Design Review Board. Nonetheless, what I provided Mr. MacArthur was a detailed list compiled by the Project Architect indicating what the applicant's intentions were.

Mr. MacArthur then asked me -- indicated that he would be prepared to support the application, if it was agreed that these details -- including the location of mullions on windows by a certain Marvin windows?

I said, "No, what we provided was a good-faith response to an inquiry, and that my client needed to have the flexibility to make changes if circumstances, including material availability, cost and change in design preferences were to emerge, so that we were not prepared to accept the type of conditions, the detailing design features that we provided in response to an inquiry."

So I would just say it is not the applicant's position that they support those conditions, and I made it explicitly clear in e-mails today that those -- that information was provided as a good faith response to an inquiry and should not be regarded as conditions.

The conditions we recognize will be the plans approved in the special permit in the information detailed in them, other design style changes, including which manufacturer of garage doors the applicant chooses will be something that they can act without seeking further relief from this Board for the commission of an abutter.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Our purview is zoning matters, so anyhow. Thank you. All right. Are we ready for a motion? Yes, anybody have any other further questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. Ready to go.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, now, just running through the pro-forma in the original application you are seeking relief under Section 4.31, a two-family: that is no longer being requested.
5.26 the conversion, that is no longer being requested. You are being -- you are asking for relief under
8.222 d and a variance for the addition to the garage and the obviously special permit. And the special permit, Jim, is that just encompassing 8.222d?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, Mr. Chair. That's a conforming addition to a nonconforming structure that is not creating any new nonconformities. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
JAMES RAFFERTY: 8.222d is the criteria on the special permit that's been applied.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So on the variance, let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the plans submitted in the new dimensional form for the addition, as shown in the drawing. The Board finds --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Go ahead.
JAMES RAFFERTY: The variance is not for the
addition; the variance is for the expanded garage.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize for the interruption.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's correct.
JAMES RAFFERTY: So the --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Going into the front yard
setback?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. I just want to make it clear -- I just thought for nomenclature purposes we've been treating the expanded garage as one term and the addition as a separate term; the addition subject to the special permit, the expanded garage, subject to the bearings.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. One's in the front, one's in the back?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would preclude the lower level of the structure from being used, and that the proposed addition, and it would also preclude the proposed additions to the rear of the structure.

The Board finds that the garage expansion is needed to accommodate handicap accessible vehicles, and that the denial of this is going to incur an extreme hardship to the petitioners to a fair and reasonable use of their property.

The Board finds that the hardship is directly
related to the topography of the lot, the impact on the slope of the lot has the portion of the basement walls that are above grade.

The Board finds that the location of the existing dwelling on the lot limits the ability to size the garage within the required setback to make it a usable structure for the residents of this building.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. And the Board finds that the extended garage will not cause any detriment to the public good.

That desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

I might expand a little bit on that, a little bit of editorializing here, as far as nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, because $I$ feel it is incumbent upon government.

And as we have seen it in evidence on our sidewalks, streets, and everywhere to lessen the barriers and to alleviate whenever we can difficulties that our fellow citizens encounter on a daily basis, and that the
addition to this garage will alleviate some of those difficulties, since $I$ find that it is part of the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow people a fair and reasonable use of their property.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor of the variance. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson? SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the variance. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor of the variance. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall? JASON MARSHALL: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes. [All vote YES]

And five affirmative votes; the variance part of the proposal application is granted.

Now, on the special permit, the special permit is to construct a rear addition to the existing nonconforming single-family dwelling.

The Board finds that under 8.22d, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of
a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached singlefamily dwelling or two-family not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting nonconforming use...

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeals is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood -and we do find that -- and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, the Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character. The Board notes letters in support of the proposal.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent
uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -- in fact, it would be greatly enhanced -- or to the citizens of the city.

For other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this ordinance. And I reference back to my earlier comments regarding granting of the variance. On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Voting in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes. The special permit is granted. Good luck.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Conditioned on the plans
submitted with the application, I presume, Mr. Chair?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. I'm sorry?
JAMES RAFFERTY: I just want to confirm the extent of the conditions are only the plans submitted with the application, as is customary?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As just a zoning matter, whatever the issue -- the zoning issues are, that's what is approved.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, have a good evening.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me elaborate on that.
There are no conditions attached to this.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Other than what's on there.
JAMES RAFFERTY: That was the clarity I was
looking to achieve. Thank you very much. Have a good
evening.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Once again, thank you for your
time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight and good luck.
MICHAEL FIELDS: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is it 6:30 yet? Oh, 7:17.
Well, Jimmy, crack the whip.
(7:17 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We've got to call the 6:30 case, No. 176892 -- 281 Concord Avenue. Cathy Wang or whoever's representing 281 Concord Avenue?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Hi. Good evening. Sorry, I was just being added. And I believe -- this is Attorney Jim Heffernan at Rich May, and $I$ believe Cathy Wang is joining us as well, if -- as my screen updates. And a Mr. Ajeet Sandhu, who I see on here.

AJEET SANDHU: Hi. JAMES HEFFERNAN: Is -- is Cathy -- all right, thank you, Ajeet. Is Cathy Wang added to the group?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't see her. Cathy? JAMES HEFFERNAN: I don't see her either.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is she -- okay. Is she in? She's apparently in now, Jim.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yeah, I see her getting added. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

CATHY WANG: Hi. I'm in.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. If you want to proceed?
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yes. Thank you, Chair. And I have a Power Point that we provided. I don't know if you want me to share my screen or if it will be put up before we get started.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If Jim can probably pull it up?
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Thank you very much. Good evening, Mr. Chair, and the Board. Thank you for having us tonight, particularly before a long weekend in summer kicks off. Again, I'm Attorney Jim Heffernan at Rich May based in Boston, Massachusetts.

I'm here on behalf of not only a client, but also a good friend Cathy Wong, her entity 281 Concord, LLC and her company built.

We are also joined by Ajeet Sandhu, who prepared the parking analysis and was submitted with the Board, and all three of us desire to speak tonight.

We are here tonight for your approval of a change in use, a special permit to a commercial recreational establishment on the first floor of 281 Concord Ave, like you see here in this picture, and to approve our parking
proposal also under a special permit under 6.2, and also 6.32 .

If we can move to the next slide, please?
This is just showing you the survey and the plan of the area, just showing you the building and where it is located on Concord Ave. We can go to the next slide, if you don't mind.

And just giving a sense of the neighborhood too as well in this slide deck, showing the neighborhood, the other Businesses nearby, the bus, the proximity to Harvard -again providing some context.

And then the next slide, please?
Okay. So Ms. Wang acquired this site not in 2007. And this is just to provide context what it looked like back in 2007. It was a tired old box retail location.

We can go to the next slide, and we can move through these fairly quick. You need to see what it looked like right around, or before her acquisition of the property. Again, a tired retail location.

Next slide, please?
And then this was kind of her first iteration.
This is it cleaned up. You know, I'm biased. I've known
her for a while. I've been through a lot with Cathy, Ms. Wang. But she's a fiercely independent, entrepreneurial, powerful spirit.

She's a single mother, entrepreneurial immigrant from China, cares deeply about this neighborhood, has been very involved in many other areas in Cambridge, is a successful CPA.

She had also vision with this site as a shared office location that prior pandemic and pandemic realities it seemed like a good plan. As we all realize and suffered through, the world changed quite a bit in 2020. The additional shared office space really faltered.

Ms. Wang being such an entrepreneurial spirit looked at with CIC was doing, what WeWork was doing, also shared office spaces, saw how they were doing, also shared office spaces, saw how they were doing kind of shared recreational use for their users in those spaces -- office meetings, private events, hosting events for offices and businesses in the community to meet there.

She really cares about this neighborhood, though, West Cambridge and the Village. She really desires to see kind of a contemporary new retail location. And taking that
kind of shared office experience, move that to what people are desiring today. Some people are back in the office. I'm usually back in the office, unfortunately, at home.

And luckily, I have you blurred out so you cannot see my home. But I'm coming to and from the office. Many people are coming to and from the office.

People are reducing their office space needs, however, and an area to have a joint conference room, client events -- it's really necessary in these days. If we could go to the next slide.

This is how she updated it through the pandemic, just so you can see the color of it -- a really clean, tight, modern retail location. The focus is still on the shared office user environment. I want to be very clear on that. It is mostly daytime use. It's desired to have private and public events as well, and we'll get into that. And Cathy will discuss some of that.

But the idea is really -- similar to what CIC and WeWork do, have an area to host events for businesses, for schools -- Harvard, MIT, local entrepreneurs -- to have at this site right here at 281.

Next slide, please?

Starting to get kind of into the interior. Just showing you the layout. This is kind of the kitchen area, meeting area. There are some lounge areas.

Next slide? Thank you.
Sorry. There is the kitchen area and there's the stairs leading upstairs. Again, it's comfortable space. And a lot of places -- my own firm is doing it -- returning old, tired space over, you know, longer, big libraries and the bigger conference rooms, lounge chairs and tables, sitting areas -- things for people and clients to meet in a safe and clean environment.

Next slide, please?
And then what really wows me is how tasteful she's done the interior design. You see this here with the lounge and couch area. You see this with the back patio and the umbrellas and how cleaned up it really is.

Next slide, please?
And then this is the floor plan, the overview. So you see from the entrance you come in, there's conference tables. But it's open air, open space -- no, I'm sorry, not open air in the sense it's open to the outside sky, but open space -- more vibrant inside. You have a series of
conference tables, you have a series of smaller tables, you have a series of lounge chair areas for people to sit comfortably, you have tables, you have a very small kitchen, you have bathrooms.

So like four people wanting to use this space in a shared way or as a corporate meeting -- shareholder meeting, Board meeting, meeting with clients that can be in a comfortable location if your office particularly is in your -- the back of your house. You don't want them there at your house.

And then there is the garden in the back, showing the terrace and the tables there.

If we go to the next slide, please?
And again, this is a nuanced world that we live in. You know, Ms. Wang thought her coworking use was allowed to do what she had done in the past. She was adapting to COVID, she was kind of adapting to the pandemic. She's realized now no, that was not proper use.

So the proposal is a change in use to this retailer/consumer service establishment under 4 -- I'm sorry, 4.35.i1-1.

This space really establishes an entertainment and
recreational service for the general public. There are fees charged for those users. Users are for business. But as we'll get into, there's also she wants to give back to the community as a public service use to it as well, but really diving into the code.

And she spent quite a bit of time. She's probably been at permitting through this to the end of last year all through the winter, through spring until now, and really has come around to grips that this really is kind of an appropriate definition for what she's proposing here.

Next slide, please?
You know, as the shared office space model has changed, she's trying to address this kind of new office space environment. So there's going to be corporate and private events fit into these categories and programs -- you know, food and beverage services as people need it, but she is not -- she's not the restaurant, she's not the bar, she's not doing those services. She's here for clients to use the space.

Next slide, please?
In the business model -- and Cathy will go more into this, but I just wanted to point out, you know, primary
corporate events, Board meetings, team building meetings, a place to get people who are mainly working from home or hybrid together in a day.

She does have private event proposals, her target of weddings, baby showers, bridal showers, graduations, places where people might not want people in their home or may not have the ability to have people in their home as a place for that.

And then really in the public events, she does want to open it as a sponsor free of charge to the community. At public community events, she had a successful founder's talk with local entrepreneurs.

She cares deeply about classical music and had -and cares deeply about the artists in our community, and paid them herself to have a pianist there perform at the space, wants to continue doing that for the neighborhood.

Next slide, please?
Again, you know, this has been a learning curve. You know, and we can talk about the letters of both support and opposition that we'll get to. You know, we heard loud and clear. There were some inappropriate groups that came through.

There was some mismanagement on dealing with rideshare, and we'll talk about parking and the like on that. She hears that loud and clear.

The target is, you know, Harvard, MIT, tech or biotech companies, our primary driving economic force in this community... Non-profit organizations. Individuals who just want to gather and celebrate important events in their lives, and then the artists and musicians to promote their music.

And again, as an entrepreneur who cares deeply about change in the community, she wants other local entrepreneurs here to meet with other people in the community and have good talks as a community service, as a new vibrant community space for this neighborhood.

I think it would be appropriate -- and you can go to the next slide, thank you. Thanks for reading my mind.

You know, some of the things that were brought up on employees: She's hired employees. She's changing who she targets. She's hiring security guards if needed.

She has the outdoor space, she has the patio, she has indoor and outdoor capacity, she wants to encourage events sized less than 100 people, despite that capacity,
the desire of -- you know, having people come to this place really are meant to be local people or people taking the MBTA -- the $T$, the bus -- and also encouraging rideshare and again, testing parking.

I would like to turn it over to Cathy to kind of -- I'm brushing on a lot of the higher points, but I would like Cathy to kind of give her talk on where she -- where she started in this process, who she's talked to, and she's really been committed to this for years now, and has really ramped up over the last couple of months.

So Cathy, if you don't mind taking over just for a moment?

CATHY WANG: Okay. Thank you. My name is Cathy, Cathy Wang. I own 281 Concord Building. And I also am the operator of the building.

So when I first found this building, it is -- I signed -- I signed up with a lease, then later on find it is very difficult to change the usage, because of the condition of the building is terrible. We have to -- we had to tear down the entire parapet in the front. And then we had to renovate the entire mechanical system.

So in 2017 we bought the building, did a gut
renovation, we got easement from the 293-295 Concord Avenue from [audio unclear], our neighbor. So enabled us to use the spot, to open up the back yard patio. Originally, it's just a stone (sic) yard.

Right after we finished renovation and we spent a year and a half, worked very hard to get coworkers coming in to reach the point of breaking even, and then the COVID hit us really badly.

I remember in March 2020, the Governor asked us to shut down the building. Almost all the coworkers left us, leaving the empty building to me.

So when, in May, when we opened the building, we invited a local restaurant to do a popup patio at that point for a very short period of time, and then we find out this building actually is really good for hospitality. And I started to get calls from people. They wanted to come in for corporate events, private events, because we have -we're an independent building and we have a back yard garden.

The majority of our corporate meetings are people from our neighborhood -- a lot of founders, a lot of employees. They live in this neighborhood. They ask us to
open the door to them for daily corporate meetings and team meetings.

For private events, we do have people from the city -- all over the city or Boston area. They come in for a lot of bridal showers and baby showers, and we also have birthday parties. So I figure the birthday parties are the problem of the noise.

So let's go to the Slide 17 about noise. I invited a lot of people to come to my building during the period of hearing, and asked especially [audio unclear] also the local restaurant owners. So they are very familiar with hospitality issues.

I was told to suggest me (sic) to play no music at the garden, no amplified music indoors after, you know, the noise audience kicks in at 10 p.m. So we changed our business practice from outdoor no music, no amplified music indoors after 9:00 p.m., and we also thought -- gave a lot of thought about business hours based on the neighborhood complaint.

We still have coworkers upstairs, so they will start work at 8:00 a.m. And we suggest to close the garden at 9:00 p.m. from Monday to Thursday if we have events. But
normally we do not have events during this period of time.
Fridays and Saturday are -- if we did have events are mostly booked for Friday and Saturday. So I propose to close at 11:00 p.m. followed [audio unclear] a new restaurant at our block.

Next, I would like to talk --
AJEET SANDHU: Yeah, if I --
CATHY WANG: Yeah.
AJEET SANDHU: -- Cathy, if I may step in for a
second, yeah, and Cathy mentioned -- you know, local
restaurants, we forgot to put in the slide, Cathy, actually, and I think we talked about it last time when we were before the Board, that, you know, the desire is to use local businesses to supply the food and services.

So, again, it is a community service in many ways to bring in local as best we can, depending on the use -local restart, local coffee, and local businesses in the area.

So I just wanted to highlight that as you mentioned it.

CATHY WANG: Yeah. Exactly, James, thank you. So our business model is we only rent our space with the
furniture. If the private event hosts want to bring food in and we have vendors, we suggest High Rise and Formaggio. They actually order a lot from them. So if they need florists, they will also outsource to Hanaya on Huron Avenue.

Maybe I should talk a little bit about our business model at the slides (sic) 14. Yeah. Can you please put the slides back to 14? Thank you. That's it. JAMES HEFFERNAN: Excellent.

CATHY WANG: Okay, yeah. Yeah. The business model. As James mentioned, we focus our business model for events -- corporate events and private events. Corporate events, mainly board meetings, team-building events and corporate productions meeting the people, as I said, in the neighborhood such as the schools -- Montessori schools. They feel like they don't have enough room for the teachers to gather together. So they rented the space from us.

A lot of employees who live in the neighborhood during the snow time or during the COVID time, they do not want to go back to the office, so they gather together at our space. And the corporate events mainly I assume will be 30 to 40 percent of the booking.

For private events, we mainly focus on weddings, baby showers, bridal showers, graduation. And Harvard has also Club gathering. For Harvard, they are our major clients. And then there are a lot of students come back from schools and they like to gather at our place.

For public events, I would like to -- for myself, I would like to promote entrepreneurship, arts and music. I used to host weekly every Tuesday night weekly from this talk.

We invited a lot of entrepreneurs in the Cambridge area. Basically, they get funding from -- and I got information from Crunch (phonetic) Space, and I reached out to them. And we created the topic for them to talk, such as how to build a K from 0 to 50 and how to start a business, the revenue wise from 50K to $\$ 5$ million dollars.

We met a lot of local Cambridge entrepreneurs to talk about that, such as [audio unclear] owners. A lot of this type of event happened in Kendall Square where I used to work from Cambridge Innovation Center.

I build my CPA firm there, and I did go to a lot of this type of event. So I feel like I've got a lot of educated -- education and I meet a lot of clients. And I
feel that this is something can be done in the neighborhood settings.

So I sponsor this type of event. I put money into the graphic designer, talk about my funder's talk a lot. And recently I also get proposal from AEC classic musicians. They would like to come in to play classic music.

I thought that's a great idea, because in Huron Village and the neighborhood we have so many kids. I think that's somewhere we can become a quality public space for families to get together at our space.

The reason is that the private event and the corporate events, the most average happening will be two times a week, and we have been empty all the time.

So I thought I could start with letting people come in, send me proposals and what they want to do with -in terms of, you know, entrepreneurship, the education program, in terms of music and arts.

I think this could be a great way to build community. Because we are surrounded by AEC and Berklee College, Lesley College, Harvard University. We have a lot of resources. However, I feel like in Huron Village Observatory Hill area, we don't have such an offering. We
don't have public events like this.
The size of our building is -- the first floor is
almost 3,000 square feet, and the patio is 1,000 square feet. I feel like we are pretty large for public events for a smaller size, such as maybe 20 people, 10 people coming in for public events. I don't have a concrete business plan for corporate events, I just had experiences with the founders talks and have had experiences with the classical music.

My daughter used to go to Longy Music School when she was little, and she did music movement, chorus, and Suzuki (phonetic) ballet. But after a while, when Longy was sold to Bard, I saw how many -- the teachers have to move to Somerville, and they don't have anywhere to do recitals. So the parents feel so, so sad.

So then I thought, I -- probably this is a good opportunity for me to open the empty building to musicians like this.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I think we get that part of it.

CATHY WANG: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm just trying to move it
along, only because it's --
CATHY WANG: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it's a long evening. I
think we keep rehashing the same. We get the message. If you could just focus in on the parking very briefly.

CATHY WANG: So AJ, I will pass it to you.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yeah. Before AJ speaks, I just -- and I appreciate that, Mr. Chair, moving it along. I know it's a long night and I appreciate --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Those -- the previous slides there, 14 through 18, sort of the highlight bullet points there, they were not submitted into the record, were they, as part of the application?

CATHY WANG: -- um--
JAMES HEFFERNAN: The slides were submitted this week. We had prepared these over the weekend to you -CATHY WANG: Yeah, I uploaded to the portal. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I don't see it in the file. That's the only thing; all right, I guess -- all right. Well never mind, go on. If we can just touch on the parking issue?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yes, sir. Yes. And AJ, if you
can just give me one second, I just want to know that the parking is, you know, Article 6 really highlights the need of finding adequate parking for the current uses without overencouraging care use.

And as Mr. Sandhu will go through his parking analysis, I just want to note while the parking is required, there is an arrangement my clients made to get 14 parking spaces used at a neighborhood preschool just about a block away to use as parking. But $I$ will turn it over to Mr . Sandhu to give his parking analysis.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. The Board has read the report anyhow. So we're familiar with that. But if you just hit sort of the highlights, the points that you would like to emphasize. I don't want to go through the whole report.

AJEET SANDHU: Will do. Thank you, both James and Board. I will try to summarize my analysis as succinctly as possible, as $I$ know we want to keep this moving along.

As mentioned, my name is Ajeet Sandhu. I am a transportation engineer. I've been working as a transportation engineer for over three years now, and I have my EIT certification in Engineer in Training.

I work at Fuss \& O'Neill, but I am not here working on behalf of Fuss \& O'Neill. I am doing this simply as a favor to a friend, Cathy. So any analysis I provide is my own and not on behalf of any firm.

Moving into my analysis, I believe you have all read the report. Giving a bit of a background on the area, there are two bus -- two bus stops located near 281 Concord Ave at the site.

Approximately 200 feet west of the site, as shown MBTA buses 74 and 78 are serving the intersection of Concord Avenue at Walden Street. Approximately 900 feet east of the site, MBTA buses $72,74,75$ and 78 service the intersection of Concord Avenue and Huron Avenue -- very close to the site and walkable.

Moving to the parking analysis, I conducted a parking count operation on a typical day weekday afternoon or evening between the hours of $5: 30$ and $8: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

Public on-street parking was observed to have a total of 24 on-street parking spaces on Concord Avenue and surrounding side streets, with 22 parking spaces located at 161 Garden Street.

With the additional 14 parking spaces provided at
the preschool 299 Concord Avenue, this brings the total to 60 parking spaces. Public parking spaces were found to be occupied between 65 and 80 percent during this analysis period with a minimum of 10 parking spaces available during this four-hour period.

Using Census data in the City of Cambridge, we found that 25 percent of workers commute by vehicle. Using this 25 percent, we can assess that an event of 40 people or less will not exceed existing parking supply.

Any events that are larger than 40 would then be recommended to utilize the 14 tandem spaces provided at 299 Concord Avenue, that preschool which Cathy had the agreement with.

This brings me to my assessment that the dedicated parking on site -- I'm sorry, not the dedicated parking, the parking located at the preschool which Cathy has an agreement with -- in tandem with the available entry parking will not -- will be sufficient for any events that are up to 96 attendees.

And we recommend that any events that are over 40 people -- or I recommend that any events that are over 40 people are -- utilize those 14 tandem parking spaces, as
well as the website that Cathy has to provide a Frequently Asked Questions regarding best ways to reach the site, and recommend clients use public transit, bicycle facilities, pedestrian amenities and rideshare services.

Given that Harvard University is a large client of Cathy's, where most of these private events occur, most of them will likely be students not owning cars. And also, Harvard is very close in terms of walking and bike -walking distance and bikeability.

So it's likely that the analysis provided is taking a conservative approach to this end. That is the summary of my parking analysis.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
AJEET SANDHU: Any questions?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. All right. Or there might be from the Board. Jim, anything else to add at this point? It will come back.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Mr. Chair, thank you. I just want to add on the rideshare part, because it was noted in letters of opposition of noise or crowds building up, we are encouraging rideshare, but now Cathy is instituting because she's learned from that and listening that nobody is allowed
to just wait outside for their rideshare. She wants people to wait inside so it does not encourage crowding out front.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. All right.
Let me open it up to the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions at this point?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll hold my questions at the moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I would like to ask whether alcohol is ever served at any of these events that are held, or proposed to be held?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim?
JAMES HEFFERNAN: There --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Sorry. Cathy, do you have the --

CATHY WANG: I -- yeah.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: -- the business model? Yes.
CATHY WANG: I can take this question. So our business model is through only vendors --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Cathy --
CATHY WANG: Hello?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Cathy, yes or no. Yes, the alcohol is served? Yes?

CATHY WANG: Served by who? Not by me.
ANDREA HICKEY: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, but --
ANDREA HICKEY: By anyone?
CATHY WANG: The host. The host will bring in their own alcohol and with their own bartender.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, good. Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: And is there permitting done for that so that alcohol can be served?

CATHY WANG: That's why we are going through this permit to get -- I was advised by Cambridge Community Development we first should get this permit, and then once we have this permit, we can go to the License Department to ask the host to apply for a one-day liquor license.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. So that would be a condition upon your having any sort of caterer who's serving alcohol to require them to present to you the City one-day license that is required, correct?

CATHY WANG: Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right. That's really
important to me that that be in the record and that it be understood that a license -- a one-day license would be required for something like that.

That is not within the purview of our Board, but I just wanted to get into the record that you acknowledge and understand that that would be the procedure.

CATHY WANG: Yes. That's what $I$ was advised by once I started this permit application.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: And I would agree with that advice, my client, of the same (sic) that the -- that is correct, Attorney Hickey.

ANDREA HICKEY: Understood. Nothing further at this time, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, any questions at this point?

JASON MARSHALL: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Yeah. Just one for now. From the materials that have been submitted -and I guess even some of the testimony tonight, it seems like this space has been used for events multiple times.

Is this really a request for permission to use the space for events sort of after the fact, after you've already -- after you've already done that, made use in that
manner?
JAMES HEFFERNAN: It's -- it's -- it's partly
that. It's partly that during the pandemic and thinking that coworking space, seeing what CIC was doing, it's my understanding Cathy Wang was doing what she thought was already allowed, and then realized she had overstepped her bounds.

> So it is -- while that was a learning experience and learned what not to do, and really helped her fine-tune her business model, it's now coming to an inflection point of, like, okay, we know exactly what we can do here, we see there's potential.

How do we fine-tune this business model that's going to work for the community to come here not for permission from the past, but permission for the future and to set this up right moving forward?

JASON MARSHALL: So have you been told by the City that you can't use it in that manner until you get --

JAMES HEFFERNAN: I --
JASON MARSHALL: -- approval from this Board? And to Andrea's question, there's probably other types of approvals from the Licensing Commission and so forth?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: It is doubtful that certain uses, perhaps if they were members signing up as a coworking space like a WeWork® thing would be allowed, which creates a little awkward legal do we have people signed up to be members for a day or a week? And that just didn't make sense.

And so, my understanding, to answer your question is some yes, but others no. And the request really is just to make it clean and all approved.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. Look forward to hearing public comment. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. I have no questions at this time, but I'll have comment later. I will open it to public comment.

And I would ask if people will have mercy on us, as this is going to be a long evening. We have 14 cases tonight. We understand that there is a lot of opinion regarding this application. There is a couple plethora of communications, both for and against.

Board members have read them. We are familiar with them. We would ask that you have a right to speak, call in, voice your opinion. We ask that I'm going to limit
it to three minutes.
And my Chief of Staff here will monitor the three minutes, and we will cut you off after three minutes, and that you not keep repeating the same thing over and over again, either for or against. We get it, folks, we get it.

So again, I will open it to public comment. Just have mercy on us. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. And you will be limited to three minutes.

JAMES DEANGELO: Steven Bolotin?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Steven?
STEVEN BOLOTIN: Good evening. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
STEVEN BOLOTIN: Thank you. Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. My name is Steven Bolotin. I'm at 7c Donell Street, and I'm a direct abutter to the rear of the petitioners' property.

I have already submitted a statement in
opposition, and I will not repeat what was said therein. I
would like to, however, address the petitioner's actions since that statement.

As this Board is aware, in contravention of applicable zoning permitting requirements, the petitioner has been using 281 Concord Avenue as a party venue. Despite neighborhood complaints, that has continued. Events have even been held since the postponement of a prior hearing on this very petition.

Moreover, the petitioner has continued to actively advertise the property as an event space. In fact, contrary to what Attorney Heffernan stated earlier this evening, the petitioner's website now provides information solely related to renting the property for events. There's absolutely no reference whatsoever to shared workspace any longer.

Here's what the website says. It speaks of availability for large and small events, no reference to size limitations that we've heard tonight. The website says they will provide speakers for use on the back patio, even identifying BOSE as the brand. Doesn't say that amplified music is not available.

As for the hours, no time limitation of limits is included. The website actually says, "Let us know what your
intended hours are, we will make it work." That's a direct quote. What has been happening doesn't work for the neighbors.

As this Board referenced in other hearings this evening, the general criteria for the issuance of a special permit under 10.43 identifies conditions which would preclude the issuance of a special permit, including our traffic, which would cause congestion, hazard or substantial change in the established neighborhood character and adverse effect on permitted use for adjacent properties, and impairment of the integrity of the district.

The existence of any one of these circumstances calls for the denial of the special permit as being able detriment to the public. In this case, the granting of the special permit would result in all of these conditions. And this isn't speculation. It has already occurred, and it continues to occur.

As Attorney Heffernan most saliently noted, the petitioner is renting the property to hold events for people who don't want people in their own homes! Imagine, then, 100 people outdoors just feet below your bedroom window. Because that's what I face when she uses that back patio.

The petitioner is asking the residents and this Board to rely on her statements as to how she intends to operate. Her actions to date do not warrant such acceptance.

This really comes down to a simple question. Is Cambridge willing to subject its residents to a use which has already been shown to negatively impact their well-being and the character of their neighborhood simply to accommodate a business owner who has already violated the law and who has other conforming options available to her?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. All right, thank you. Thank you for calling in. Thank you. Next?

JAMES DEANGELO: Jennifer Jones Clark?
JENNIFER CLARK: Hello, am I being heard?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JENNIFER CLARK: Thank you. I live on Walden Street, one of the streets that is 900 feet away. And I'd like to both second what Steven said, but also stipulate that the parking has been very problematic on the days when she has had events. And that often my driveway has been blocked.

And I'm also speaking for my neighbors. I live on
the corner of Walden and Saville. And my neighbors on Saville are very concerned about the noise, the traffic. They have young children. And I don't feel that this would work for our community.

But I'm also very mistrustful of the ability for Ms. Wang to maintain the kind of discipline and order that's posted in this information. I appreciate the parking analysis; however, when people are coming to a wedding or a baby shower or have been drinking, they're not going to take public transportation, ride their bikes or walk to the event.

And I also -- the noise has been very off-putting. And I'm glad to now know though, because neighbors have questioned her before, that she's been operating it legally. That's very disheartening. And I don't understand how a business owner with her kind of background would make that mistake. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Jennifer. JAMES DEANGELO: Dari Vasquez?

DARI VASQUEZ: Hi, can you guys hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
DARI VASQUEZ: Hi. Thank you so much for having
me. I want to say that I want to obviously repeat everything everybody said before me. I agree with what they said. I live across the street in 276 Concord Avenue with my two kids and my husband.

And I want to say that even before -- even during COVID, this party has been going on, and they go as late as 2:00, 3:00 in the morning because we have even called the police on -- you know, being extremely loud across the street, people even fighting.

We have, like, pictures of people like being outside waiting for the Uber, yelling, fighting -- even throwing bottles into Concord Avenue. So we do not allow my kids to go outside when these events are happening, even to walk our dog. They're not allowed to go outside.

So if -- me and my kids, because they can be outside, they can be smoking. They smoke outside of that property. They drink. One time I even saw them urinate on the back of that building on the sidewalk between that and the neighbor's house.

So what you don't see on the Google Maps is that they're directly next to a triple-decker to the left. To the right is a double-decker, and to the back you can see
from their back yard where they make all those loud parties you can see a kid's trampoline.

So you can see when they're sitting in the back yard all the neighbors with houses and kids, including my kids, around that neighborhood, it brings alcohol. She actually encourages people to bring their own alcohol without a license, because she does not monitor those licenses being provided. She just cares about getting the money. She turns a blind eye.

She has one person -- the last couple of weeks she had a person who's opening and closing the door, so that the door can stay shut and the noise doesn't get out. But it's not a security guard, it's just one young kid and he just opens and closes the door for people. He does not tell them to be quiet.

Even the police comes to tell them to be quiet, and they actually just continue with the party at late hours of the night. It can be 2:00 a.m. and they're still having parties in there.

I actually wanted to show a video that I recorded myself of that nightclub behavior, but it was not accepted into evidence, so $I$ put just pictures of it. But there is
obviously alcohol. They bring even drugs, weed, because I have smelled when they smoke weed outside of the parties.

So I think it's very dangerous. It's not a safe business to be in the West Cambridge neighborhood. And it's not zoned for that. It's not zoned to be a nightclub. It's not zoned to be having birthday parties and any of that. They have actually been doing illegally.

In the picture it also shows that they have a lot of tables in that building. I have been inside of Built, and those tables are either new or they moved them for this event. Because when the events are happening, none of those tables are there.

So maybe those tables were put in there to just fool everybody that there's some office space and for meetings, but those tables are literally moved to the side or to a different place when the events are going, because I have been inside the building when --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
DARI VASQUEZ: -- to check it out.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dari.
DARI VASQUEZ: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.

JAMES DEANGELO: Wani Yang?
WANI YANG: Hello. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

WANI YANG: Hi. My name is Wani Yang. And I'm an abutter directly across from 281 Concord Avenue. I live at 280 Concord Avenue. I'd like to agree with what everybody ahead of me has said in opposition to Cathy Wang's position for the type of space she is looking for.

And I'm also the landlord to 282 Concord Avenue, which is across 290, 292 and 294. And in particular, 282, which is across from 281, they actually are a training restaurant; my tenants, who have already a 50 occupancy need for a lot of the parking that is already in the area.

But in particular, the littering from the event attendees has been unacceptable. And of course, the noise level, as everybody has spoken about. Basically, as a single woman I do not feel safe when these events happen. And I'd like to just emphasize that. That's all I have to say.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: James Williamson?
JAMES WILLIAMSON: Hi. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Yeah, I -- Thank you, Mr.
Chair. I hadn't raised my hand, but $I$ was contemplating speaking, although I don't live right next door, although I'm listening with great interest to those who do.

Of course, you know, like anybody I think I'm not entirely unsympathetic to somebody who's trying to make a go of it in a new business.

But I -- you know, actually so why get in -- why speak at this hearing? Because I've had enough experience living here for 50 years in Cambridge that I know how irritating it can be when people are making a lot of noise right under your window.

In fact, where $I$ live at Jefferson Park, it led to someone getting shot. And so, I'm quite interested in this whole question of can we trust somebody who operates a business to regulate it in a way that's going to be satisfactory to the neighbors?

And frankly, it seems to me that this is not an area where this kind of a business -- certainly as it's been described so far -- is going to work well or is appropriate.

Of course, it would require a variance, evidently, or a change of use. So I trust and certainly hope that --
and police enforcement -- I think people who've been in Cambridge for a while that that cannot always be great. And they'll show up and ask people to turn it down.

And then they leave, and the music goes back up. And the owner of the business isn't there to make sure that that doesn't happen. And then you have to complain after and -- you know.

So none of that really, $I$ don't think can be -- I don't we -- anybody can have a lot of confidence that any of that is going to work very well in what this situation seems to be.

So I'm just having -- speaking up in sympathy with the neighbors and the abutters who are worried about being stuck with something, were you do approve it. And I certainly hope you'll exercise your judgment and deny the application. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, James. Anybody else who wish to comment?

JAMES DEANGELO: Sarah von Helfenstein?
SARAH VON HELFENSTEIN: Yes. I'm Sarah von Helfenstein. I do not live in the neighborhood. I have worked in the space as a coworker. I have a long-term
knowledge of Cathy and her initiatives and efforts. We've been colleagues for many years.

And I did perform some services for her in the last few months in terms of organizing some of the aspects of her business.

And I have been to this building from the beginning on and off. When she first bought it, I was horrified because it was such a dreadful building. What it is today is a miracle. And something the neighborhood should be proud of. And I did a very detailed analysis of all of her events from 2000 -- the beginning of 2020 to I think March 30 or something of that sort.

And I've been there at all times of day and night because I come -- you know, I've come to cowork at odd times. I would come to see her, have some supper, we'd sit in her building and have supper.

So I've been around. You know, I've been -- I'm bringing -- I drive for an hour to get there. So I have to find parking.

And I would like to suggest very respectfully that the picture being presented of the -- of the use of this building and the type of distractions and horror that people
claim are taking place in the neighborhood are not supported by the facts.

You know, out of all the events that were held there, I think there were a small proportion -- and I don't have my analysis in front of me. Unfortunately, I didn't anticipate speaking, but there was a small proportion of them that went past 10:00 in the evening. Cathy acknowledges that there were several of those events that did get out of control. There's no doubt about that.

But I know that she and her staff, and including myself, have been out on the street picking up things if there was litter. I've never seen any litter myself, other than a few things that came from a car accident that I picked up because $I$ could tell it was car pieces.

The noise level is hard to assess because the building as I've known it is empty a great deal of the time. I've been on that street many times when there was plenty of parking and no traffic in the businesses that are across the street or no visible traffic.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sarah, if you could wrap it up, wrap it up, please.

SARAH VON HELFENSTEIN: Yes. What I'm trying to
say is that $I$ think that the picture being presented by the abutters is distorted, that it is not -- it rep-- it misrepresents the degree to which noise, litter, traffic, parking space use, and so forth --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thanks.
SARAH VON HELFENSTEIN: -- takes place.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Three minutes, please.

JAMES DEANGELO: Chad Milner?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Chad?

CHAD MILNER: Sorry. Oh! I'm on mute. Sorry. Yes. Hello, everybody. Thank you for taking my comment. My name is Chad Milner. I was actually born and raised in Cambridge, attended Cambridge public schools from K through 12.

I work in Central Square every day. I'm the Director of a non-profit Young People's Project based at 99 Bishop Allen Drive, which is the non-profit center in Cambridge, owned by the CRA.

I am here to comment in favor of Cathy in this space. I met with Cathy a couple weeks ago. I was interested in doing an event there. As a non-profit there's
really not that many spaces in Cambridge that can be used for smaller social gatherings or -- whether it's fundraisers or, you know, more informal gatherings for our staff.

Even in our building, as beautiful as it is, you know, we've got two conference rooms, we don't have any kitchenette, there's no public -- you know, space for that.

As the attorney mentioned, you know, options like WeWork and CIC are really out of reach for us. So I'd really like to see if the community can work with Cathy and to really address what, you know, seem like solvable problems, honestly.

I mean, you guys are in Central Square. I mean some of these feel precious, to be honest. You know, this idea of, you know, "the right type of person" and you know, people milling around and dropping a bottle.

I mean, you know, we have police, we have ambulance, we have fire departments for that. And, you know, really there's no evidence of anything that's escalated to, you know, a smidgeon of really what most other parts of Cambridge deal with every day.

And, you know, we want to be an inclusive city. We want to be diverse; we want to have an at city that's,
you know, not in silos. And, you, this commercial zone in West Cambridge or North Cambridge, that border, you know, is a -- this is a great idea for it.

And I think we should, again, work with Cathy. It sounds like, you know, her and her lawyer have put together some good -- some good guardrails to try to make this happen and, you know, let the past be the past and, you know, give her a shot.

And, you know, that's my comment. So thank you for hearing me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
JAMES DEANGELO: Dennis Carlone?
DENNIS CARLONE: Thank you. And thank you, Mr.
Chair. So I am Dennis Carlone. I live at 9 Washington Avenue in Cambridge. And I'm an architect/urban designer. I met Cathy first in 2017 when a series -- a group of neighbors were trying to rezone the district to mandate ground-floor retail.

In fact, I wrote zoning for that. That did not go ahead, the neighbors decided not to move forward, but they were so proud of Cathy coming in because the building was in terrible shape.

Years later, about a year ago, I met Cathy again through a mutual close friend. And I went into the building, and even though I'm an architect, I was a little stunned by the quality of the renovation. I believe it was said, but the front façade was about to fall down before it was renovated and made structurally sound. It was in bad shape, and a housing developer wanted to buy the site. So Cathy took this on.

Now, one of the reasons I'm bringing all this up is one of the things $I$ wanted to do in introducing to Cambridge zoning -- I have a zoning background -- is community building spaces. We've lost many since the '50s and '60s where people would gather and meet each other. And this is what Cathy has in mind.

I have no doubt that there might have been issues in the past, but you learn from those issues. And what she has presented to us becomes tonight as far as the hours go is exactly what she has to live by.

And I might add that I was told that two immediate neighbors like the music in the back courtyard.

So I'm here to support Cathy. I've grown to know her very well. I'm impressed with the presentation tonight.

And I'll agree that there could have been past problems, just like with any restaurant where $I$ used to live, and Temple Bar was the restaurant, and we became friends. You become friends, you change your policy, and you make sure it works.

So I hope you do look at this in a positive light. Think of what else will go there, and there aren't many choices at the moment, and this is something that could be used, and it's needed throughout the city. So thank you very much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Councillor.
JAMES DEANGELO: Dari Vasquez?
LUIS VASQUEZ: Hi. I'm her son, Luis. And I'm a CRLS student who's a freshman. And I just wanted to say that I have my window open because it's really hot in my room, and all $I$ can hear is just the loud music and drunk people, you know, saying things I don't want to hear, and I just really can't sleep at night. I need to get up early to go to school.

Above that, I have to study for finals and MCAS that were just taking place. So it just makes it really difficult to do that. I can't even go outside because of
all the partying. I can't walk my dog. My mom doesn't let me outside anymore.

So it's just -- it's really difficult living with them always throwing parties across the way. And I just want to thank you for your time. Thank you so much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
JAMES DEANGELO: Greer Goodman?
GREER GOODMAN: Hi. My name is Greer Goodman, and I am the owner of Abroad Modern, which is a shop across the street at 292 Concord Avenue.

And I want to speak in favor of Cathy and Built, and repeating what the other advocates in favor have said, noting especially some of the points that Dennis Carlone was making as both an urban planner and architect. I have my background falls in those categories as well.

And as a business owner, I am a firm believer in cities being -- necessitating mixed-use commercial districts to be vital. I was very saddened about the fact that there is no retail in the new Build at the -- because we need a correctly mass of businesses for businesses to work.

And only when businesses work do neighbors thrive -- not just the businesses, but everyone around. And, you
know, we live in a city. Cities are noisy.
Cities, you know, have residents of all different types. I for one like that Cathy's business is constantly bringing in new people to the neighborhood who otherwise wouldn't be there.

I see that as a boon for -- certainly for
businesspeople, but I think that's -- Cambridge is being reputed as very -- a very progressive and tolerant city. I would think that our residents would also be okay with anyone coming to use the space.

And, Cathy, I just want to add in addition to just the foot traffic that her -- that she generates, she also has been wonderful to my business, to other neighboring businesses. We have collaborated on several instances. She sends her clients to myself, to Local Forest, to restauranteurs.

And so that's been a good revenue stream when frankly, the neighborhood itself -- the Concord Avenue -- is not supporting the just foot traffic that's necessary to run a brick-and-mortar business.

So I am in strong favor of Cathy staying, of it becoming a vibrant community space. She and I have talked
endlessly about different things that we could offer in that space, both for the neighborhood and greater Cambridge while she also, you know, in addition to the types of events that she wishes to have.

That's all.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Greer. That appears to be the sum and substance of comments. There is a plethora of communication, some in support, as Greer had mentioned, and some people in the immediate neighborhood -businesspeople who feel that the addition of a business is good business, and helps the neighborhood.

And also, there is -- obviously -- the communications from people who have opposed the application. I will close the public comment part, turn it back to Jim very briefly, if you will, for comments.

And again, we don't need to go point by point to come to whatever was said, but -- again -- I will give you a -- some time for comment, if you will. Mr. Hefferman?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I can be real brief. I just want to note that I did reach out to a number of the folks that were in opposition -- Miss Yang, the Vasquez family and Mr. Bolotin. None of them reached
back out to me.

I did reach out in good faith to hopefully address some of their concerns, and I would note that a lot of what we've proposed tonight is to address a lot of those concerns; limited hours, limited -- no noise in the garden, better controls from what we've learned.

But I did want to note that Mr. Bolotin brought up the website with my client. When I heard that, we were texting each other, and that website she had just not touched it since May. That's not her primary source of advertisement. She had actually shut down her primary source of advertisement and put that on hold while we were waiting for this hearing.

So her primary source of advertisement has stopped. So apologies if the website was up and living in kind of the Internet like that. But that is not her intention to remain by those policies. I think it was stated well, you know, we're up here to agree with a lot of conditions that we've mentioned and were discussed, and happy to discuss further.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. Thank you. All right, we will close the presentation part. We will now
discuss among ourselves and then take it to a vote.
Let me open up the discussion a bit, because my take on this is that Cathy probably sort of wanted to open up about business sort of on the modeling of a rework, and somehow it may not have worked out. And so, we expanded it to group gatherings, but that has impacted the residential neighborhood that you back up to.

And I go back, and the Board members have heard this ad nauseam, but the purpose of zoning, number 1, was defining uses' districts, so that there was commercial, industrial, educational, residential. And that defined basically the urban plan, the urban layout of a city or town. And then all of the other dimensional stuff came sort of afterwards.

But I also go back to a landmark decision -Blackman versus the Board of Appeals of Barnstable, 334 Mass 446. Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court has stated, "This court has said repeatedly that the power to vary the application of a Zoning Ordinance must be sparingly exercised and only in rare instances, and under exceptional circumstances peculiar in their nature, and with due regard to the main purpose of a zoning ordinance is to preserve the
property rights of others."
Then you go back to the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance, Section 1.30, the purpose. I'd like to read the whole paragraph, but the key point is, "to encourage the most rational use of land throughout the city, including the encouragement of appropriate economic development, the protection of residential neighbors from incompatible activities."

And I feel that the residences -- and what I do is I sort of put myself in one of those houses on Walden Street, Donnell Street, and look out the back or something, and the activity that's going on.

Now, you know, we'll enjoy neighbors with their birthday parties and receptions and all that other stuff, but those are incidental and those are occasional. This is a business model. And it abuts a residential neighborhood.

I feel those people need a fair and reasonable enjoyment of their home, and they're asking us tonight to ensure that. They need protection from the ordinance. They need the protection of the ordinance.

And that for me this is a totally incomprehensible activity to go on there in that residential adjoining
district.
Really what should happen here is that we really need a function hall or sort of a restaurant with a, you know, a large room, but also with an adjoining parking lot, 188 people potentially at that site on that street.

Concord Avenue is a major thoroughfare parallel to Massachusetts Avenue. But unlike Massachusetts Avenue, it is very narrow, very congested.

And, you know, it's funny the parking analysis -and I even question our own City of Cambridge parking analysis because I always take a snapshot in time. I live two streets over from this and travel Concord Avenue, Walden Street all the time. It's challenging.

And I use the laundry services on the corner of Walden Street; sometimes also the ones on the corner of Huron Avenue. And to find parking even on Saturday, Saturday afternoon, can be challenging.

And so that to add an influx of this type of people with this type of activity to me is not the thing to do here.

So those are my comments, and I will open it up to the Board. Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, I would agree with your conclusion. I think the proponent has operated in the manner that she's seeking relief for, and demonstrated not to have the ability or not be willing to or not be able to be the good neighbor to avoid all the complaints from the neighbors.

So I'm not comfortable that were we to grant relief, it just wouldn't continue to be troublesome to the neighbors. So with all of that, I would not be in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, I -- and I had the same conclusion. I mean, under 10.43, I mean this -- from the residential neighbors, now I understand the commercial neighbors supporting of the business, and I'm supportive of, you, businesses in neighborhoods, it's good to the vibrancy of the city.

But under 10.43d, you know, everything I've heard, this is a nuisance or hazard to the health, safety, and welfare of the neighbors in immediate proximity of the property. And I just -- you know, use variances are to me the highest threshold, and I can't -- I can't get there on this, sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I really concur with all of the comments of my fellow Board members. My fear is that if we sort of sanction this ask, that things are going to get worse and not better, just due to the sheer number of people who could be hosted in this space at one time.

I don't know whether there's any way for the petitioner and her counsel to maybe scale back the ask in terms of the number of people at a gathering at any one time, where you could perhaps appease the neighbors' concerns as well as the concerns of the Board.

All of that being said, $I$ am not at present in a position to vote in favor of granting the relief, but would be clearly open to looking at a scaled-back concept. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You know, I have great respect for small business owners. I think everyone on the Board would agree with that, and it appears that Ms. Wang has fostered some really positive relationships throughout the community and with other business owners.

That being said, I think the application has a number of challenges. You know, it referenced opening up the space to wedding events, and I have to say just -- you know, I don't think that this use and the neighborhood are a good marriage, if I can make that -- you know, analogy.

And how do I know that? Because of the testimony in the record, the testimony that we heard tonight from immediate abutters.

Just looking at the slide presentation, you can see that the back garden is abutting the back decks of the triple-decker immediately next to the event space. It's just -- the description of the level of disruption that has already occurred doesn't give me confidence that this use is compatible.

And to reference 10.43, I don't think you meet the standard. I think the evidence that we've heard around whether or not to continue operation of the residential units would be impacted. It will be adversely impacted.

As my colleagues later noted, this is a nuisance and a hazard. And we could talk probably a lot about traffic -- 188 people potentially coming to the space really changes the amount of traffic that is generated as well as
creates parking issues.
So for that reason, I don't intend to support this, and obviously we'll look at another petition if it comes around a different use or a scaled-back use, but it would probably have to be significantly scaled back, I would think, to meet the criteria. That's all I have for now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Regarding that last comment -- last thought -- and your suggestion of a scaledback activity, I think that the attorney and also Cathy have said that they have scaled down from maybe previous activities, and that was highlighted in some of the bullet points.

My feeling is, though, that the neighbors have no faith and confidence in that, and that it really would rely upon some sort of policing -- and I don't mean Cambridge policing, but some policing activity to make sure that there is a certain headcount that -- you know, people behave. And people sometimes have, well they misbehave.

So I think that the sense of the neighborhood is because of past experience and the fact that these issues we were brought to the owner many times and, you know, it may have been addressed at the moment or after it died down a
bit, but then it resurfaced again and again and again and again. And so that going forward there is on faith and confidence.

And I think to me that they're just going to be very apprehensive anytime a bunch of cars show up and people start gathering, and then it's going to be up to them.

The onus is on them to then call or whatever. And I don't think $I$ would want to be subjected to that if $I$ lived there, and I don't feel that -- I don't have any faith and confidence that it will go along flawlessly.

So I will make a motion to grant in the formative. I don't know if any -- Andrea or Jason or any other member have any comments about my comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I don't have any further comments. I just wanted to put it out there that I would be open to something scaled back. And I agree with Jason, it would have to be significantly scaled back. So that's all I'll add.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Good. Thank you. All right. Let me make a motion in the affirmative regarding the granting of the special permit and the application that is contained therein.

The special permit that we would be granting would also encompass Section 6.35, which is relief from the parking requirement; 6.20, the off-street parking requirement; 6.321, which is the parking exemption for small businesses; and we would be granting Section 4.35.1-1, the commercial recreation establishment at this locus, which would encompass the granting of the special permit.

The special permit will be granted where specific provisions of the Ordinance are met, except when particulars of the location are used, not generally true of the uses permitted in it would cause granting such a permit to be the detriment of the public interest.

The motion is it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

That traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance.

On the motion to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No to the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: No on the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: I vote no on the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, Brendan Sullivan, votes no also.
[All vote NO]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not receiving the necessary four affirmative votes, the special permit is denied. We
may have to make findings as to why we voted the same. Let me make a further motion for the Board to vote on that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance cannot be met.

It -- the Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board relies upon written testimony, and also testimony from people who have called in to voice their opposition and the character of the type of business that is proposed.

The Board finds that continued operations of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. And again, we rely upon the written comments and also the people calling in.

The Board finds that there would be nuisance and hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the -- proposed use, and also the citizens of the city.

The Board notes the very narrow, major
thoroughfare of Concord Avenue and the comings and goings, and the large group of people entering and exiting the building at certain times would create a nuisance, hazard. Also, the parking on the side streets would be a detriment to the homeowners and the occupants on those streets.

And that the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

And again, I will go back to my earlier statement and incorporate that by reference, 1.30 the purpose and the protection of residential neighbors from incompatible activities.

On the further motion, does the Board accept? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I accept.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson agrees with the further motion.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I endorse and agree with the further motion.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the Chair, any person Brendan Sullivan, also accepting my words. The special permit is denied.

Counselor, before we go, there was an outstanding case, 170043, which was the original special permit. I would like if you would -- I will make a motion to withdraw that application. Are you in agreement to withdraw it?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: I may be. I have a clarification- question, if I may?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I'm sorry?
JAMES HEFFERNAN: I have a clarification question, if I may. We are desirable of scaling this back significantly, including just day corporate meetings, which we believe is already allowed under the coworking space. There's some confusion on that.

So to the extent we can come back with Attorney Hickey's suggestion and other suggestions on a scaled-back model, or at least fitting into the original purpose of coworking space, I'm willing to remove that -- the other matter that you bring up, but would like clarification if we
should amend that or just reapply under a significantly scaled-back proposal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I would -- I think that's a lot of work that's going to have to be done on that, and you're the attorney, I don't want to give you legal advice.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But $I$ think that the initial did not include the parking, and that's why you had to come back again, so that this particular one if you wanted to -the original application was for a commercial recreational establishment, nightclub, and a special permit. But there was no request for the parking. And that's what triggered the recent and the latest application.

Now, if this is withdrawn and the other one obviously has been denied, that you are precluded from coming back for two years, unless there is a significant different plan -- significantly different plan.

The process there is that you would have to reapply, it would have to go to the Planning Board to decide if it was a significant change from the original plan, and also it would then become -- if they ruled that it was significant, then it comes to us and we have to make the
same finding.
So it's another hearing and another hearing. And then if we find that it is a significant change from the original application, then you would make an application based on the new information. So there is some hurdles, and there's also a time frame.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Yeah. And I need time to discuss that with my client on the timeframe because of some of the present meetings in corporate meetings are still under the coworking space, we believe. And I think we need to discuss that. So you're -- I agree with your request.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So the 170043 is withdrawn?

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's definitive anyhow, because there was no parking requested.

JAMES HEFFERNAN: Understood.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay.
JAMES HEFFERNAN: Correct, Chair. Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So on -- Jim, I'm sorry, on the motion, then, to accept the withdrawal, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor to accept.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor of accepting.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Yes, Slater.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Chair Brendan Sullivan, yes, the matter is withdrawn. Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Is it 6:45 (sic) yet?
(8:46 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Slater W. Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 172094 - 46-48 Middlesex Street. Anna? Adam?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Maybe we've lost our audience. JIM MONTEVERDE: No, he's here. On mute, but he's here.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Here I am.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Here we go.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening. I was just let in.
Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. I'm here representing the owners of 46 -- sorry, this is Adam Glassman, GCD Architects, 2 Worthington Street in Cambridge, and I'm here tonight with --

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, can I just -- can I interrupt for a second? I did not realize who the applicants were, and my daughter is a soccer teammate with their daughter. I don't think that I can hear this case without having a conflict of interest. I'm sorry I didn't
notice that earlier.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're saying that you may have a potential conflict?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes? Okay. Well, so Adam, you have the option of continuing as a case not heard, or to go forward with four members. And that you would need four affirmative votes.

ADAM GLASSMAN: We'll move forward.
JASON MARSHALL: Okay. I'm going to go off
camera. I apologize to the Castros.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's all right. Thank you, Jason.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. So Cesar and Liz Castro have lived at 46 Middlesex Street for five years. They have three young children, ages 4,9 and 12. They all go to local public schools.

They live, they own a two-family house in a Residential B District, which has very restrictive FAR requirements. Their lot is 4500 square feet, a required lot size is 5,000 square feet. This is an existing, nonconforming lot due to lot size and setbacks.

We're here tonight to seek both a variance and also a special permit, subject to 8.22d. Our variance, our request is for a new nonconformity excessive FAR, 8.55 where 0.50 is required for a new addition in the back, which is otherwise conforming.

For our special permit application, we are here for an existing but increased nonconforming building height due to window wells, the modification of a front porch within a front yard setback, a front yard window well within a front yard setback, which also adds to our nonconforming -

- new nonconforming height.

And our left side we have an exterior stairwell and guardrail with a left-side setback, which also contributes to the increased nonconforming height. And we have a left-side window within a left-side setback. So these are all very modest components to what is a larger project, largely by right.

Could we see the plans, please?
The existing site plan, if the members of the Board can see the red-dashed line in the middle. That is our -- those are our required setback envelope. The existing left side is existing nonconforming, and the front
is also existing nonconforming.
Next slide?
This site plan shows the proposed new work, but not in the clearest way. So I've distilled it on the next slide.

If you could zoom out a little bit, just so we can see all the notes. So the site plan on the left is what -are the existing conditions. You can see the setback envelope; it runs right through the house in the front. We've got a rear two-story bump bout that we would remove and replace with a largely conforming addition, except for the FAR. Down in the front left corner, there is a front entry porch we want to reconfigure within a setback.

If you look at the right-side plan, this is our proposed plan. Starting towards the back, we have that darker rectangle, which is the proposed addition, conforming in height, conforming in setbacks. We've got a deck which is also conforming, so the rear setback will remain conforming in all ways.

On the left side is the proposed stairwell and guardrail within the left side setback. On the lower front, on the lower left corner is the front porch we're attempting
to modify. Also on the left with no note here is a left side window.

In the front there is a window well. On the right side of the house, there is a proposed exterior stairwell below an existing farmer's porch. So that work is conforming, although the depth of the stairwell contributes to an increased nonconforming building height.

And just to reiterate, the back dark rectangle is our rear addition, two-story rear addition.

Next slide?
Our open space will remain conforming. It's a pretty generous-sized back yard, and it will remain almost entirely useable private open space.

Next slide?
Photos of the existing house, stucco and finish built in 1984. The character of the house will be maintained. On the upper left photo, that is the front entry where we have steep stairs and a small landing without much cover. And we'll be proposing to enlarge the overhang and make the front entry porch more comfortable.

On the right side of the house is the farmer's
porch. The stairs that go up to it will be removed, but the
railings will be maintained so the scale and the proportions of that piece of the house will be maintained.

Down on the bottom is the front view -- again, the entry on the left to be modified and the porch on the right to -- the roof and the post will all remain. Just the floor will be removed to provide a new exterior stairwell.

Next slide?
Again, the right side -- this is a preview of the right-side porch to be modified with the stairwell. And down below you can see my note and an arrow pointing to the rear two-story addition to be removed, be replaced with a more spacious addition.

Next slide?
Again, the rear addition that exists now -windowless and very small and nonfunctional will be removed. And down below the large back yard, which will mostly be maintained. Oh, you can also see in that photo that directly behind the Castros is an open parking lot. So this work will have no impact on the abutters.

Next slide?
Images of the proposed addition visible mainly
from the backs, two-story. It will have a -- mainly a flat
roof with enough pitch to drain. The second story has a small overhang in the back with decorative brackets, a second means of egress and rear entry off the right side of the addition and a deck in the rear.

On the upper right image, you can see that the existing farmer's porch entry will remain essentially visually, but it's function will change and become a belowgrade stairwell.

Next slide, please?
These are our FAR plans. I'll just tell you that our addition is adding 400 square feet to the existing house, and that brings us from a 0.46 to a 0.55 FAR, so it's a modest increase and a modest nonconformity.

Next slide?
The existing plans I'll run through quickly. So right now, the house is a two-family. The basement is unused and unfinished. The first floor is a very small, cramped, one -- two-bedroom unit that the Castros rent out to their family. And under the new reconfiguration of the house, the family will continue to live here.

Next slide, please?
Oh, I'm sorry. Before you go, go back one more
time? Yeah. So in the back is that staircase, which will be removed and replaced with a livable, habitable addition.

Next slide?
Upstairs where the Castro family currently lives has a kitchen and a dining room, three bedrooms, small laundry in the back bump out. The two bedrooms upstairs are under a sloping gable roof, and for a family of five, this character is just -- this unit is just inadequate in its size and its layout.

Next slide?
Roof plan just showing the rear bump out to be replaced.

Next slide?
The existing elevations. I think I've explained this a few times, I won't make the Board sit through this again.

We can scroll to the next slide. Again, rear bump out to be removed.

Next slide?
Here is our proposed basement plan. So right now, the house has two units; one unit on the first floor, one unit on the second and attic level.

We will reconfigure the units, where the basement will be converted into one -- to a single, one-bedroom unit with a below-grade stairwell in the back and a below-grade stairwell in the front. And this is where the Castros family will live if we get the relief to do this -- to do this work. Next slide?

First floor for the Castros. So they're -- the first-, second- and half story above will all be reconfigured into their unit. The first floor will be open kitchen, living and dining -- the kitchen being in the addition in the back with the rear deck and entry off to the right.

Next slide?
Upstairs we'll have two bedrooms and a family room and a main bathroom. The main bedroom in the back is the second room added within the addition.

Next slide?
And upstairs on the attic level, the two bedrooms that exist will remain.

Next slide?
Just the roof plan. The footprint of the addition is definitely modest compared to the size of the main house.

Next slide?
Our proposed elevations up top. You can see the renovated front porch entry on the left, and the farmer's porch structure remaining to shelter the below-grade stairwell.

Down below, again, the farmer's porch on the left is -- remains largely intact, and in the rear, we have the two-story addition, which definitely is secondary in scale to the main house, but consistent in character.

Next slide?
A view of the rear addition, completely below the roofline of the main house and very difficult to see from the street.

Next slide?
We don't need to go through the form. That is really the end of the visuals, and I'm happy to take any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions. Jim Monteverde, any questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater?
[Pause]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions? Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions, and I thank
Mr. Glassman for a very clear presentation.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, any questions?
JASON MARSHALL: I recused myself from this case, please, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. You're right. I keep trying to pull you back in. Slater Anderson any questions?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: He should be there. Slater?
Here he is. Slater, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Slater, you're muted.
SLATER ANDERSON: Sorry. I was -- I got kicked
out, and I got added as a -- as a -- as not a panelist. So
-- but I'm back now as a panelist so --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay --
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any questions at all on this? SLATER ANDERSON: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I have -- as I said,
nothing.
I will open it up to public comment. Any member
of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants" and then click the button that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. And you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of two correspondences dated 27 June 2022.
"I'm writing to express my strong and unequivocal support for the zoning relief sought for the proposed rear additions and associated work at 46 Middlesex Street.
"I have reviewed the plans and renderings. The design is tasteful, modest, and it complements the neighborhood very well. The proposed work will provide this family the space they need to remain comfortably in their home, but without creating any detriment, adverse effect to our neighborhood."

And it goes on, and it's from Victoria Gurfolino at 52 Middlesex Street.

There is correspondence 28 June 2022.

> "We are writing to express our strong support for the zoning relief sought for the proposed rear additions, and associated work at 46 Middlesex Street.
> "We have reviewed the plans and renderings, and are pleased with the plan changes. The proposed work will provide our neighbors the space they need to remain comfortably in their home without creating any detriment or adverse effect to our neighborhood. Robin Churchill, 42 Middlesex."

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the sum and substance of any communication. I will close the public comment part of the proceedings, and turn it back to Mr. Glassman. Any -JASON MARSHALL: I vote in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: [Laughter]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any other comments, Adam, at this time?

ADAM GLASSMAN: No, thanks. No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. Okay. Then I will close the presentation part. Let me take it to the Board. Any questions by the Board, are we ready for a motion?

COLLECTIVE: Ready.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion. Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the variance portion on the condition that the work comply with -- in accordance with the plans submitted, supporting statement and the dimensional form for 46-48 Middlesex.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner because it would preclude the petitioner from altering the existing structure, which was built at the turn of the prior century -- I'm sorry, what was the date, 18 --

JASON MARSHALL: 1894.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 94, and predates the existing ordinance, as encumbered by the existing ordinance and is quite inefficient; it needs a massive upgrading in order to allow these people to have fair and reasonable use of their property and also to expand the property, because of the way that society has now changed and requires additional space, interior space, because more people are working from home.

The enforcement of the ordinance would create a
substantial hardship to the petitioners. The hardship is owing, again, to the size and shape of the lot which predates the existing ordinance and makes any kind of addition very difficult without being worthwhile, and as such needs some relief from the ordinance in order to make a reasonable and adequate and reasonable addition to their home, which the Board finds that the proposal is a fair and reasonable request.

Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good -- in fact, we note the letters of support and that it would blend in very well with the neighborhood and would be an upgrade to the neighborhood and improve the streetscape.

And that the addition is consistent with the architectural character of the existing house and the abutting structures.

Desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, to allow people fair and reasonable use of the property, and also to allow people to make the necessary changes to become far more energy-efficient and -- well, I'll leave it at that.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the drawings and supporting statements included, Slater Anderson?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Four affirmative votes. The special permit portion is granted. The special permit is for windows, is that correct, Adam?

ADAM GLASSMAN: One window and two below-grade stairwells and one below-grade window well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me -- on the motion
--

ADAM GLASSMAN: In the front porch.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the request. It appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. The
proposed new left-side window opening below-grade stair and window wells and their associated guardrails and the modified existing front entry porches will pose no detriment or adverse effect whatsoever to any of the abutters, the neighborhood, or the city.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character. The Board notes the letter of support and stating the same in the file.

The Board finds that the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -- in fact the proposed work would enhance the health, safety, and welfare of the occupants of the structure.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, or derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. On
the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason -- Jason's not on here, we keep trying to pull Jason back in. Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
Four affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you very much, everyone. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, thank you. Good luck.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you
(9:07 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will call No. 172691 -- 810-812 Memorial Drive. Mr. Daley? Anybody? No? Peter not calling in? Okay.

The Board is in receipt of correspondence from Jane Carbone, Homeowners Rehab.
"MDH, LLC would like to ask for a continuance for the BZA hearing until we review the concerns from the Cambridge -- from a Cambridge resident, attached letter.

Also, there was concern expressed by the Planning Board, which refers to that letter. Can you confirm that you will remove us from the agenda?"

No, you're on the agenda, but --
"If you have received any letters from Cambridge"

Well, anyhow, we will accept the request for a continuance on the condition that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for $a$ hearing and a
decision to be rendered thereof. Such waiver needs to be in the file by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday.

Any new submittals not currently in the file,
changes to the file be in the file resubmitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the next available date is August 18, so that any new submittals must be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to August 18.

That the posting sign must be change and updated, maintained to reflect the new date August 18, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

Any other conditions by members of the Board? On the motion, then, to continue this matter to August 18, 2022, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, you're on this one, so
--
JASON MARSHALL: I am. Jason Marshall in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
(9:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear No. 172991 -- 66 Banks Street. Mr. Hernandez? Ms. Hawkinson? 66 Banks Street?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Hi. I'm Sisia Daglian. I'm presenting for Marcelo Hernandez. And I believe the -actually let me turn on the slides -- I believe the owners are also here, Avi and Sarah.

Oh, the Owners -- I will let Avi and Sarah introduce themselves and talk a little bit about their aspirations for their property, and then I will go through the architectural.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SARAH ALMER: Hello. My name is Sarah Almer. My family has owned the house at 66 Banks Street for 38 years. I grew up in Cambridge and attended Cambridge public schools and have lived at the house for 12 years with my husband and our 10-year-old daughter, who attends Cambridge public
schools.
With this petition, I am hoping to improve the living space for my family by combining two units and adding windows. We plan to stay in this home for decades. We have reached out to our neighbors and have their support.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Just Staff pull up just sort of windows that are being changed?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. Jim, can you bring up the plans? I will go through this pretty quickly. If you can go back one slide, Jim, to number 1?

There's three windows in question that -- for which we're here asking for relief. On the lower left plan, you can see a red line showing the proposed changes. Yes, right there. They are on the left side setback, which is about four feet. The face of the building is about four feet from the property line.

And the windows that are being changed are more towards the back of the house, so that they look over onto the neighbors' porch -- the neighbors' decks, so that it's not particularly a violation of their privacy.

That neighbor as well as the neighbor on the corner of Banks and Surrey Streets have submitted letters of
support, which I believe are in the file.
Jimmy, if you can go to slide -- yeah, you can go to the next slide. Here you can see from both sides the areas where the windows are being changed.

If you can go one slide down more to Number 3 -no, Number 3 -- this makes it a little bit clearer. There the red dashed lines show where there are currently windows. The right-side window Number 3 is a bathroom. It's going to remain a bathroom window, but it's going to slide higher and be slightly larger.

The other two windows, number 1 and 2, those are currently in bedrooms. And they will become windows that look into the kitchen.

As Sarah mentioned, the third floor and half of the second floor will be combined to be a renovated unit for their family. So this is going to be a new location for the kitchen, and we're trying to get a little bit more light into that area.

If you want to scroll through the rest of the slides, there's -- I mean, these just give you a sense of the project. Nothing else is the subject of relief.

We are opening up a porch to the back of the
house. It's under an existing roof. All that is not -- is outside the setbacks.

Want to go down one more slide, Jimmy? Yeah.
This lets you see how the back corner of the house where some of the windows are actually don't look on anybody's private space. They kind of look on the deck -the neighbors' decks, and then the yards next door.

Next slide?
On the right you can see the existing condition, the bathroom and the two bedrooms. And that area is going to become the kitchen and, again, a bathroom that you see on the left-hand side plan.

And I think the remainder of the slides are site plans, existing conditions plans and then the existing survey, and then the very end -- yeah, some photos of --

Can you go to number 14 ?
Yeah, that's one of the bedrooms, the existing window. It's actually going to be higher. The new windows are higher so you have less -- you know, line of sight into the neighbors'.

And that's really it. So it's a fairly modest ask. And, you know, we'll entertain questions if anybody
has questions?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, no. Is this -- does the petitioner, it's a three-family house. Do they own the entire building?

SISIA DAGLIAN: Yes. It's actually a five-unit house. It was granted five units in the past, there's a BZA case on it from -- I'm forgetting, maybe the '60s or '70s.

So it's going to go from five units down to four units. And yes, they do own the entire property.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SISIA DAGLIAN: It's going to remain a rental as well. It's not being condod.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I just want to make sure
it wasn't condo. That's all.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Great,
Sisia. Let me -- to the Board, Jim any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions. And again, I'd like
to thank Ms. Daglian for a clear presentation. Thank you.
SISIA DAGLIAN: Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have no questions either.
Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to speak. Nobody calling in? We are in receipt of correspondence from 27-29 Surrey Street, Elizabeth Foote and Eric Thorgerson.
"Sarah Almer and Avi Green have lived on Banks Street for twelve years. We have known them -- wonderful 10-year-old daughter.
"They have shared their plans for their home renovations and we support their project. We hope you will vote favorably for the zoning relief."

From Gillian Dierdracs -- Diercks and David Wing:
"Dear Members of the Board of Zoning Appeal, we
have reviewed the plans for interior and exterior renovations for our neighbors at 66 Banks Street. We are in support of the proposed changes and have no concerns. Please let us know if you have any questions."

And that is the sum and substance of the correspondence. Close the public comment part. Send it back to Sisia. Any other comments?

SISIA DAGLIAN: No further comments. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I'll -- ready for a motion, Board?

COLLECTIVE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. We are seeking relief under 5.31, which is the Dimensional Requirements, and 8.222c, which is non-conforming structure, and seeking a special permit, which will encompass that relief.

The Board finds that the requirements of the -I'll make a motion, then, to grant the special permit based on the drawings submitted that the work be compliant with the drawings submitted, supporting statement and the dimensional form. It appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns
of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, which is the result of two windows being relocated approximately the same size, and one window being relocated and increased the size by a minimal a month.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -in fact, it would be enhanced by the relocation, readjustment of the interior layoff and the moving of windows, which will allow for better air and light coming into the structure.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners fair and reasonable use of their property and fair and reasonable modifications.

The Board finds that this work being proposed is a
fair and reasonable request and requires -- and is affording the special permit.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde votes in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Votes in favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
(9:20 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 153081, 174 Harvard Street, Unit \#1. Harvard Street? DIONE KRIEGER: Yes. I'm sorry, I'm here. I'm sorry, I'm battling with the computer. So my name is Dione Krieger. So I'm representing the owner, Mr. Orlando Cartagena, from 174 Harvard Street.

And our goal here tonight is to seek relief from the building a roof canopy over an existing deck. There is already a metal canopy that was -- that's been installed there or been there for over 20 years, and our goal is to replace that old metal thing with a new roof.

What this creating is -- the situation -- is that the existing deck is a nonconforming structure because it's due to the setback, the rear setbacks. We need 20 feet; I think we got only 15. So our issue will be setback, rear setback on the deck -- existing situation on the deck.

So we're going to follow the same footprint as the
deck and have a canopy over it -- like, instead of a metal, the owner would like to have a structure -- a wood structure over it.

So we believe that due to the situation which is in the rear of the building, we -- our proposal is not going to be any detriment to the neighborhood, and it's going to prevent any maintenance because the owner has been there for a while. And he -- the metal thing is collapsing. It needs the maintenance, and we will -- accepting our proposal would be better for him, I guess. So that's our goal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
DIONE KRIEGER: There are some, you know, pictures and plans. I don't know if you can put that up. So as you can see in the back of the building, which is up left, it's the location of the deck, existing deck. And next, please? And so, yeah, that's okay. The deck size is 6 x 15 and left -- I'm talking about the upper left side. Next, please?

And that's the plot plan, which shows that we have an existing situation right now is the deck is 15 feet to the setback, the rear setback is 16 feet, and we're just
going to follow the same footprint, which we were - zoning will request or require about 20 feet. We only got 15.

So it's a nonconforming situation that we're going to extend by building a roof following the same footprint.

Please next picture?
As you can see, that's an old picture from the owner. He decided to take a picture when he was having a party, I guess. But that's the canopy that was already there. It was taken down already due to the condition of it.

But I know that having a canopy there does not justify the fact that we want to build something up, but the owner is accustomed to -- he's accustomed to being in the back and have some shades. And by removing that canopy, he really needs -- you know, he desires to have the same situation as he's always been there. He's been there for over 25 years.

And next, please? So yeah, just a picture of the house.

Next? Oh, that's the rear. As you can see, that's the existing canopy there.

Next?

Yeah. Same situation. You can see down the -- on the red dots would be the existing deck and canopy. That's it.

Next, please?
Yeah. We are -- like I said, we are seeking setback relief, and the FAR, we are shy of the modification of the FAR, which is we had 1.26, now it's going to be 129. And that's pretty much it. We're trying to get the relief from the FAR, very modest modification and the rear setback, which is only following the existing condition right now.

I guess that's it. Any questions? I'm here to answer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me throw this out to the Board. The petitioner is requesting a variance. And as I'm looking at this, it is a two-family -- I don't know if you can pull that back up again -- it's a two-family. I'm just wondering if 8.222 d does come into play here?

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, you read my mind, because I had the same thought reviewing the application, where it looks like there has been intensification of the two nonconforming areas of FAR and GAF.

I was also viewing it under the lens of 8.22.2d.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. I don't know if you can
-- yeah, it's not up on the -- it's not up on this. Okay. Well, $I$ have it in front of me.

So the gross floor area is going to change because they're putting a roof structure over an existing deck. So that's that number there. The lot area obviously changes. The ratio bumps up because of the added square footage, but it's already nonconforming. The lot area doesn't change the size of the lot.

The setback basically is -- in the rear is nonconforming now, and that doesn't change. The left side doesn't change and so on and so forth.

So it would appear -- Jason, is that what you're thinking, that 8.22.2d they could -- we could grant the relief under that?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I mean, that was my assessment. But like you, I was interested in the perspective of other Board members on that question. I did not run this by the Commissioner, and again, I would have thought that some of the stuff would have been fully vetted before it came to us. I'm not sure if this was or was not.

Any other member of the Board have any opinion on
this? Jim? Slater? Andrea? The issue that we're debating is whether or not we will grant them the relief that they're requesting under a variance, or should that be -- grant them relief for a special permit under 8.22.2D because it's a two-family house?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. This -- sorry, Andrea, go ahead.

ANDREA HICKEY: No, no, you go ahead, Jim. JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ladies first.
ANDREA HICKEY: So I am thinking special permit as well. However, I'm not sure that we're precluded from hearing it as a variance. If it were to be a special permit, what would that mean to the petitioners? That they'd have to come back?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that they have requested a higher standard variance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- if we deem that it was a special permit would have been the proper application. And we can grant them the lesser relief.

What we could not do is the reverse, if they came in for a special permit and we deemed that they needed a variance, we could not grant them a variance at this sitting. They would have to reapply for the variance. So we can grant a lesser standard, we could not grant a higher standard.

ANDREA HICKEY: Then --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, is that correct?
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm --
JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Mr. Chair I think you articulated it well, and maybe for the other members. I had circulated a memo on this, because $I$ was just personally interested in this question.

And I agree with that assessment that I believe that this Board does have the power to reclassify an application for a variance as a special permit in an instance like this, where the substantive request for dimensional relief is unchanged.

And it's -- as the Chair correctly noted, it is going from a higher standard to a lower standard, and that has been noticed. So I would be comfortable supporting that approach.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay. I mean, personally I think that I could -- I'd be comfortable granting a variance. I feel that they do have a hardship, and that it's a fair and reasonable request to ask for some covering over a deck because of all the benefits that has. They're not adding any living space. They're basically just codifying what is already there but adding protection to it. That's my feeling.

But again, $I$ just want to make sure that the Board either wants to go the variance route or the special permit. So I'm looking for some guidance for that.

ANDREA HICKEY: I'll just close out. I would support proceeding under the special permit. I really think we have an obligation to kind of give the relief that is kind of a lesser standard, when it is clearly applicable. So I would support proceeding under special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm fine with going the special permit route.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Same.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. So let us
proceed upon that. Petitioner, you understand what we're doing, or you're sort of caught in a whirlwind here. We're trying to do the right thing and be confident that it is, anyhow. I think what you're looking for is just relief so that you can go forward.

DIONE KRIEGER: Exactly, exactly, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so --
DIONE KRIEGER: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- how you get there, you know, you let us decide.

DIONE KRIEGER: Yeah, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the plan submitted, and initialed by the Chair. That the work be in conformity with the proposal and the dimensional form that is submitted.

The Board finds that in all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting nonconforming use...

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase any preexisting dimensional nonconformity, but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and the Board does so find, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, the Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created
to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use -- in fact the adding of a permanent roof would be a great asset and enhance the safety of the occupants of the structure and a shield from inclement weather.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance.

All those in favor of granting the special permit as per the application, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes, in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes. The special permit, as per the application, is granted. Thank
you.
DIONE KRIEGER: Thank you very much. Appreciate
it. All right. Take care, guys. Bye-bye.
(9:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 173542. Does anybody need to take a five-minute break before we round the third turn, or are we all set?
[Pause]
We're all set? The Board will hear Case No. 173542 -- 10 Wilson Road.

JASON PARILLO: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. I am Jason Parillo with Poblocki Sign Company. This signed petition is for a projection sign that is larger than 13 square feet.

This sign is to read a little kitchen at the Quad, and it would be mounted to an existing canopy. Although this sign is classified as a projecting sign, it's mounted to an existing canopy, much like another sign that's on the building currently over the entrance that reads, "10 Wilson Road."
The sign -- this sign is designed for legibility
and to be -- and in keeping with the architecture of the building and the other sign on the building. And I will be happy to answer any questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I don't have any questions, other than a comment. When I went to view the site, very, very familiar with the area, because I go to Anderson \& McQuaid all the time and am interested in all the development that's going on up there -- I had a little bit of a difficult time finding your business, or the business -- the restart.

Wasn't sure which side of the building it was, and then finally did find it because it has small, little writing on the windows to identify itself.

And I guess my thought was, "Boy, this place really needs a sign to identify it and the product, which -. " It's funny, because one of the signs on the windows said, you know, something about, "great breakfasts, lunch, and so on and so forth."

And so, I think that -- other than Burger King is really the only place up there that, you know, serves food. And with the amount of development that's going on there, I think that identification of it and its product actually
would be a great addition to the area. So that's my thought, I guess, and I will turn it over.

Jim Monteverde, any thoughts at all on the proposal before us on the sign? Jim? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any questions on the -JIM MONTEVERDE: No. No questions. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Slater? SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Just was there a picture of the -

- of what the sign would look like in the record? I might have just missed that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, there is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, there was.
JASON PARILLO: Yes, that's it.
JASON MARSHALL: Ah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: A03.
JASON MARSHALL: Okay. All right. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no other further
questions. And $I$ believe my comment speaks for itself. Okay.

Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to speak. Nobody calling in, and then we are -- the only communication is directed to Ms. Horton from the Poblocki -- P-o-b-l-o-c-k-i Sign Company on behalf of and representative for the core 10 Wilson Road, LLC.
"I hereby authorize Advanced Signing, LLC and Jason Parillo to proceed with the necessary permitting for the installation of the exterior signage at 10 Wilson Road, Cambridge, Mass."

That is the sum and substance of any
correspondence. I will close public comment. Anything else, Jason, to add?

JASON MARSHALL: No. I believe the petition speaks for itself.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay, thank you. We will close the presentation part. Discuss it? Ready for a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
JASON MARSHALL: Ready.
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested. It is a variance. The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner. The Board finds that the proposed sign is to identify the Kendall Kitchen at the quad café.

And that identifying sign is much needed at this spot to identify the business establishment. And that the most efficient way to accomplish this would be to mount a sign on the canopy facing Wilson Road, as opposed to the existing lettering, which is on the glass, which is difficult to find and really has no great value in finding the place.

The hardship is located to the fact that a variance is required under the sign ordinance, and that this particular location, which is down a side street on what is
soon to be a very highly developed area is at times difficult to refine.

And it's part of a building, which even if you were to use your Google Map it identifies the building, but not this particular establishment. And it's difficult to identify.

The building is uniquely situated in the Concord Alewife Fresh Pond Commercial District, and the sign will help identify this particular establishment.

Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good -- in fact it will enhance wayfinding to this particular spot. And relief may be granted without or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow businesses to identify themselves in a fair and reasonable manner and a discreet manner, which this particular sign accomplishes.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the application and the supporting material, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the variance.

(9:42 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, and Slater W. Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear No. 173908
-- 96 Henry Street. Jason, you have recused yourself?
JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, Mr. Chair, it's a rare
night. I think I've recused myself once maybe prior to tonight, and I have to do it now a second time, but I did flag this one prior to the hearing. So with apologies, I do need to recuse.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's all right. So 173908 -96 Henry Street. Petitioner? Andrew?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Just for the record that Mr.
Marshall has recused himself --
ANDREW PLUMB: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- sitting on this case, so
that four members will hear it. You need four affirmative votes in order to be granted the requested variance, or you have the option to continuing this matter to another night where you will have a five-member Board.

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes, we were aware and want to proceed this evening, please.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So all right. Proceed. ANDREW PLUMB: Great. Well, Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and the Board. I have with me this evening Samantha and Andrew Miller, the homeowners.

And I just want them to take a minute to introduce themselves. They have sort of deep ties to Cambridge and this building in particular. And you can unmute yourselves, yeah.

ANDREW MILLER: Yeah. Thank you. Yeah. We're excited to do this project. I grew up in this house next door. There's two addresses in this house. So my parents still live there, and we're moving back to Cambridge after many years away. They're excited about it.

You know, the neighborhood means a lot, and we are bringing our three children and need to do some much-needed renovation to move in and fit in. And we're hoping this works out. So appreciate Andrew Plumb representing us tonight.

ANDREW PLUMB: Thanks. If we could on the presentation start with slide number 18? Oh. At least on
my -- okay, I think what you've got here was the original submission, and it was updated on Monday of this week. Just a very minor update, but nonetheless.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're looking for sheet Z0.1?
ANDREW PLUMB: It's Z0.2. I wanted to just start with a photo. That hasn't changed. That's just an existing photo of the property, just for some context here. There you go. Yeah.

So in the upper left, you see both properties. It's sort of -- it's 2 two-families side by side that are adjoined by a party wall. The project in question, 96 Henry Street, is the right-hand side of that photo. And so it's an existing two-family structure. It's going to remain a two-family.

What we're proposing to do is to reconfigure it. What's there now is a unit on the first floor and a unit on the second floor. What we're proposing to do is to make the first and second floor into one unit and renovate the basement into the second unit.

And if we could just then go up to page number 6?
So because there's no change of use, the main issue that we have here, there's no change in FAR. We are
building out the basement, but that's not counted against the FAR. The -- it's a preexisting, nonconforming lot. So it's -- the overall size of the lot is below the required amount of the ordinance, and the overall width of the lot is below.

And so, if we go to -- this is just an existing plot plan. Sorry, if we could go to the next slide on page 7 and zoom in on that. And I apologize, this is -- this plan is rotated so the street is at the top, and the back yard is at the bottom of this drawing.

So the red dashed line represents the setbacks that are stipulated by the ordinance. And so, we have a preexisting, nonconforming situation. Because we're building out the basement as a unit, we are proposing window wells for egress off the front at the street side. There's an emergency egress window and a window well for that.

And on the rear side of the property, there is a window well and sort of entry to get down into the basement.

This changes the calculated building height, which in the side yard setback is a function of building height. So it takes about preexisting, nonconforming -- well, it creates a new dimensional nonconformity, because it's
basically making the building further into the setback -calculated setback than it would otherwise be.

So the relief that we're requesting is relief from the fact that the side yard setback would be getting wider because the calculated height of the building would be getting marginally taller, due to the addition of the window wells.

So if we could go up one slide just to quickly talk through the project, thanks. Yeah, sorry, page -yeah, perfect.

There are -- the overall footprint of the house is not changing. It's almost entirely an interior project. Existing windows are getting replaced almost entirely in their existing locations.

There are a few new windows that will be added, which I can walk through on the elevations. If you look at this existing plan, you'll see there's basement access in the side yard; that will be removed.

Basement access in the rear yard will be removed, and that landing that's in the side yard will be removed. And that -- what will be built instead will be a new basement access in the side yard, which you can see on the
next slide down.

Thank you. Thank you.
So we did -- the overall, the FAR doesn't change. The calculated building height does marginally increase from 34 foot three to 34 foot 10 ; still below the 35 -foot max.

The open space is -- thank you for sliding over there. The amount of open space is going to decrease from what's existing, but it still remains in excess of what the ordinance requires. So what's required is 36 percent; we will have 40 percent as drawn in the submission here. And you can also see that there's ample open space in the rear yard for the required 15 x 15 area as well.

If we could go down to the next slide, please?
So this is the floor plan of the basement unit. It's basically a one-bedroom unit. You can see the access from the rear yard into a window well which is 30 inches down from the grade of the rear and side yard.

There's an entry door there a few more steps down, and then into the main living space with a kitchen, and then there's a single bedroom and a bathroom there. There's an egressed window and window well at the street side for that bedroom.

Next slide, please?
So the first floor is the plan that you see on the right-hand side. Here, pretty straightforward setup here where we've got a central stair and entry hall with a half bath, and then the second means of egress for this unit is the stair coming off the back here, which is located in the space that's sort of between the two halves of the building.

So kitchen, dining and living on this level.
Upstairs has four bedrooms -- a primary bedroom and bath, three kids' bedrooms, a shared bath and laundry.

And if we go to the next slide, please?
So here the windows that have the heavy dashed black line around them are existing windows that will just receive replacements. There's no attention to change the exterior appearance.

So, like, you know, there's a very specific color palette on the exterior of the property, which will remain the trim and siding, and all of those details will be maintained.

Windows that do not have the heavy black line around them are new windows, but they will be installed to match the existing. And that's primarily happening on the
side yard side to provide a window for the bedroom and bringing a little bit more light into the living room, and then back in the new kitchen at the rear side as well.

And we can go to the next slide.
Okay. I think that's actually all the drawings. This is now the original submission.

So the only thing that changed in the original submission to what we showed tonight is that the open space we recalculated it and saw that it was two percent less than what we initially did, so we just updated that. That was the only change.

And that's really the extent of the presentation. So I'm happy to take questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. Jim Monteverde, any questions for the petitioner?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, I was on mute. No questions. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater, any questions.
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, any questions?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right and I have no
questions either. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment. There appears to be nobody calling in, and there's no letters.

ANDREW PLUMB: There should be three letters in the file.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, there is.
"We live at 92-94 Henry Street - the attached other half of the building that contains 96 Henry Street: super abutters! We've lived here for over 45 years, raising our family and watching the neighborhood go through many changes.
"We have been briefed on the new owners of 96 , Henry, of their plans. We have no objections to their proposed alterations; in fact, we believe the change will make the entire building better.
Sally Benbasset - B-e-n-b-a-s-s-e-t and Steven

Miller, 92-94 Henry."
There is also correspondence from Jennifer Long--L-o-n-g-e-n-d-y-c-k at 100 Henry Street.
"I'm writing in support of the home renovation project at 96. As my next-door neighbor in the area for many years, we have a long-standing relationship with the Miller family and believe their project is being done responsibly and professionally and is a positive addition to the neighborhood. I encourage the approval of the Board."

And there's correspondence from Lisa -- L-i-s-a Ziegler-Chamblee, C-h-a-m-b-l-e-e, 110 Henry Street.
"It has come to my attention that 96 Henry Street, a house several doors down from mine, will be under renovation. I have known Andrew Benbasset-Miller and his family, who will be residing at 96 Henry, since $I$ was a child growing up in Cambridge myself.
"I support the work that they plan to do, including digging window wells and adding a side entrance at 96 Henry. We need more families in Cambridge who will be supportive to our city, our public schools, and promote a diverse quality of life in Cambridge."

And that is the sum and substance of the
correspondence. I will close the public comment portion. Anything else to add, Andrew, at this point?

ANDREW MILLER: Not at this point, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Discussion by the Board or a motion?

ANDREA HICKEY: Motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Motion.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, seeking a variance. At window wells and sunken patio access to basement, increase the calculation of the building height. And relocating some windows and -- okay.

Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, as per the application -- provided that the work is in compliance with the latest submittal dated June 27, 2022, signed and initialed by the Chair, and incorporate the dimensional form and also the prior supporting statements.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The petitioner finds that a literal enforcement would prevent the homeowner from making reasonable changes to the existing property that will improve the quality of
life, and also allow for some updating of some utilities that will be of benefit to the family.

And the Board finds that the relief being requested is fair and reasonable. The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the fact that the size of the structure, the size of the lot, the fact that the existing house predates the existing ordinance and is encumbered by the ordinance, and any modification or extension to the structure, updating to contemporary standards would require some relief from this Board because of the current ordinance, in effect.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. The Board notes letters of support from neighbors, and that the relief may be granted without substantially -- without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to have a fair and reasonable enjoyment and upgrading of their structures for the betterment of their families.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Four affirmative votes and the variance is granted. Good luck.

ANDREW PLUMB: Thank you so much.
ANDREW MILLER: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great.
ANDREW MILLER: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good luck.
(9:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde and Slater W. Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Heading for the finish line, the Board will hear Case No. 174231 -- 346 Putnam Avenue. Putnam Avenue?

CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Good evening, and welcome. Can you hear me?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Okay. Proceed.
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: I am Chris Rapczynski, although I'm coming up under Derrick Tyler's name on the invite. I am representing Brian Jordan and Kristen Watkins at -- for the request for 346 Putnam Ave, Unit \#2 in Cambridge. They're looking to add a floor to the building that they have.

I'd like to turn the discussion over to the homeowners, Brian, and Kristen, for a bit, so that they can speak and discuss their hardship, and then have my Chief Operating Officer, Derrick Tyler, take over in discussing the change of -- changes we're seeking to make and looking for the zoning relief for.

KRISTEN WATKINS: Thank you.
BRIAN JORDAN: Hello.
KRISTEN WATKINS: We're Kristen and Brian. We currently live at 346 Putnam Ave with our 8-month-old daughter. And we've lived here for the last six years. Brian currently works as an independent game developer and is active in the game development community.

I oversee COVID-19 testing programs at the moment at public schools and local communities in Massachusetts.

And I've been a member of the Cambridge Recycling Advisory Committee for four years, and also serve on the Board of a Cambridge non-profit, Food for Free, since 2017. So we really have loved living in Cambridge and are really invested in the community here, and would like to stay longterm.

With our daughter joining our family last year and both Brian and I now working from home with the pandemic, we're seeking to add to our current space to make it so that we can stay here and continue to grow our family.

Both of our parents actually live in Cambridge, and Brian's parents actually own the unit downstairs from us, so they would be super excited for us to be able to stay
here and sort of be part of the little community we have in the neighborhood, and just would love for our daughter to be able to grow up in Cambridge and attend public schools one day.

Interest rates are obviously -- as you likely know -- very high right now, and so it isn't as feasible for us to move to a larger place in Cambridge, and so we are really hoping that you will approve our request for relief.

And thank you for your time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Just going through --
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Derrick?
DERRICK TYLER: Okay, yes. Thank you, Chairman, and members of the Board. We are seeking relief from the variance Section 5.31, also Section 8.22.3 and Section 10.30. The total gross floor area of the existing condition is 2650. Our request is 3642 , with a requested ordinance of 1213. This is currently a nonconforming lot. The total lot area is 2023, where the ordinance requires 5000.

The current -- the existing gross FAR is 1.31. We are requesting an increase to 1.0 , which is an increase of 0.6 .

If you could show the slides, I could walk you
through the plans quickly.
So if you go to the second slide, this shows the certified plot plan showing the Putnam Ave. The entry is on Putnam Ave, and it is adjoining Allston Street, which is -it backs up to the Allston Street. You can see with the space that it does have a small setback space on the current square footage of the home.

Next slide?

This is existing photos of the existing two-story building. Our request is to add a third floor, which you will see in the spaces to come.

If you can go to the next slide?
This is a view looking down Putnam. As you can see the adjacent buildings are three stories tall. If you could go to the next slide?

This is looking the opposite way, down Putnam Ave, showing the other adjoining buildings next to a Alberico Park, showing us three-story buildings.

The next slide?
On the left is the existing condition showing the roof deck, with the staircase coming up to the roof deck that's existing. On the right side it shows a proposal of
two bedrooms and one bathroom, the -- with an office and a smaller, outdoor deck.

The next slide?

That's showing the new proposed roof deck. It's showing that we are doing a flat roof to mimic the existing floor just kind of adding the third floor onto it.

The next slide?
On the left side, you can see the existing north elevation, and then to the right you can see the proposed north elevation. So you can see that we are proposing to add that third floor. Same thing with the east elevation: existing on the left, proposed on the right.

And then next slide?
This is, again, south elevations again showing the proposed location for the addition.

And then next slide?

This shows the left is an existing photo of what's currently at the home now. And then to the right is our proposed solution to add the third floor and continue the windows that are presently shown on the first and second floor up to the third floor.

Next slide?

Again, this is another angle showing the existing conditions from Putnam Ave. And then you can see to the right the proposed solution there.

And then next slide?
And then this is from the back seeing the little proposed deck area. The clients didn't feel that they needed the entire square footage for usable, space, so that's why they elected to try to give back as much space as possible to allow them to grow their family. And I believe that's -- that concludes it.

We're happy to answer questions from the Board. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, Derrick, are there any new nonconformities?

DERRICK TYLER: No, not that I'm aware of. Just those three -- sorry the nonconformities -- no, I'm sorry, the size of the building, the height stays exactly the same. The existing condition is 34 feet. The proposed condition is 34 feet, and the ordinance requirement is 35. All of the setbacks stay exactly the same.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DERRICK TYLER: And it is currently a two-family and is proposed to still continue to be a two-family.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And they own Unit \#2 and separate owner for Unit \#1?

DERRICK TYLER: Correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. We have a letter.
So it's a condo?

DERRICK TYLER: That is correct.
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Yeah. But the unit downstairs is her parents.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry?
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: The unit down below is their parents.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I didn't get the last part. The unit down below?

CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Is their parents.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, okay.
DERRICK TYLER: And they're supportive of this.
Yeah, and -- yeah.
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Of course.
DERRICK TYLER: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: They wouldn't get -- you
wouldn't be here, I guess I just, if they weren't.
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Well, I guess maybe Jason, you're reading my mind are you on this one, or --

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. Is this a condo or is it a two-family house?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it's a two-family, but it is the legal entity is condo. So for zoning purposes, it's a two-family. For legal -- and Andrea, you may have to chime in on this -- for legal status, it is condos. So I think this one is a legal standing, but also then the zoning standing. But --

CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: I think in both instances, neither are changing. They will both still remain a condo and a two-family.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. So I guess it would be classified as a two-family home for zoning purposes, and the ownership of each is separate from the zoning. If I am correct on that. Andrea, does that sound about right?

ANDREA HICKEY: I am not sure how to answer that question. What $I$ can say is that if it's a condo irrespective of the fact that the applicants and the other unit owners are related, I do think we typically require
something in the file where the other unit owner sort of assents to the request.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct.
ANDREA HICKEY: And I don't think we have that in the file.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There is none in the file. That's sort of why I raised that question as to --

CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: I think it's something that we could get you in post. It's not too difficult.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So I think your presentation is that there is no objection from the first-floor unit owner? CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Correct.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Mr. Chair, perhaps we could make a condition, if the Board is so inclined to grant this petition that it be conditioned upon the applicants filing something --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- post decision to evidence what they've represented in that regard.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. That would be a condition. Correct. Okay. But Andrea, going back to the whether or not they're seeking relief for the variance, so
we go back to that and whether or not a special permit would be the more appropriate relief because they are not -- any new nonconformities?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. I mean, my conclusion relative to whether this is a two-family for zoning purposes, I think that it is. And I think the special permit is probably again the better sort of avenue to grant this request. But I would defer to Attorney Marshall as well.

JASON MARSHALL: Well, I mean on that point, Andrea, I would have to rely on you. I mean, if it's a twofamily, I think it would fall under the 8.22.2d provision, and that's what we should pursue.

I don't have an answer as to whether we could do that, because I don't know whether this is properly classified as a condo or as a two-family, for purposes of us hearing the request for relief. I need some help on that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I can't answer that with a certainty. I can only say I'm leaning toward this being a two-family for zoning purposes. But if there's any question, then I suppose we should not proceed under the special permit, but proceed under a variance.

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I was kind of thinking the same thing, Andrea, where maybe we proceed under a variance, but it could be noted that the Board believes that provided that it's properly classified as a two-family, then the special permit provision would apply. Maybe that's the safer approach here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I'm not sure in that Bellalta decision if they qualify. They just basically said one- or two-family you know, detached structures. And yet, you know, the legal entity of it, legal ownership of that one or two unit, has never been really --

SLATER ANDERSON: No Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- enunciated or clarified to us. It's a question, obviously, I have to ask the Commissioner. But yeah, he's going to say yeah, it's a twofamily. But -- okay, but given the fact that it's a condo and that's becoming more prevalent, obviously, the traditional two-families where there's an owner that owns two is a dying breed.

So I think let us err on the side of safety, Grant, or I'll make a motion to grant the variance and then we will then seek counsel, and then we'll know how to
proceed better in the future.
Slater, do you agree with that particular tact? SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, I think that's the safe path to go. I mean, you know, a condo is not something that's articulated in the zoning relief. I mean, it's a two-family in the end. But I think it would be good to get direction from Council on how to address this going forward under the --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
SLATER ANDERSON: -- the provision.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Jim, do you concur with
that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree. Yep. I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, does anybody have any
questions? Jim, let me start with you. Any questions to the petitioner on the application?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And Slater, any questions?

SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have no questions. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, as per the drawings submitted entitled "346 Putnam Avenue, Sleeping Dog Properties," initialed by the Chair. Make a motion, then, that the work conform to the drawings submitted compliant with the supporting statements and the dimensional form.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, because it would preclude the petitioner from adding some much-needed space for a growing family, which will allow them to stay in the structure.

And the Board finds that the hardship is related to the size of the structure on the substandard sized lot, which predates the existing ordinance. And they are encumbered by the requirements of the ordinance, and that any addition of this nature would require some relief from this Board.

The Board finds that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. Also note the
letters of support from abutting properties.
And that desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide housing for people of all income groups.

And that to allow people to improve their property to update to contemporary standards for a growing family, which is a benefit to the city to allow families to live here.

On the motion, then, to grant the -- also on the condition that the petitioner provide to the Board a signed letter of approval of the drawings from the unit owner \#1. Said letter should be in the file a week from Monday by 5:00 p.m. -- signed letter by the unit owner -- and that that's a condition of the granting of this variance.

On the motion to grant the variance, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slatter?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the variance is granted. Good luck.

BRIAN WATKINS: Thank you very much. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Just get that letter
into us, then we'll be good to go.
CHRIS RAPCZYNSKI: Yeah. We'll get that next
week. Thank you so much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
KRISTEN WATKINS: Thank you very much.
BRIAN WALSH: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you all.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
JASON MARSHALL: Good job. Happy Fourth.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Same to you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thanks, everyone.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
[10:16 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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|  | 102:10 104:2 | 193:22 200:7 | 10.43 17:21,22 | 173542 173:7,11 |
| yard 29:2 48:1 | 109:1 128:16 | 200:10,16,17 | 39:5 43:9 | 173908 180:5,12 |
| yard 29:2 $48: 12$ $50 \cdot 17.1951 .6$ | 132:15 152:19 | 202:5,20 204:5 | 61:15,16 95:6 | 174 2:18,19 |
| 50:17,19 51:6 52.7 57.22 | 152:21 157:16 | zoom 134:6 | 118:12 120:15 | 161:7,11 |
| 52:7 57:22 | 161:15 163:17 | 183:8 | 170:12,13 | 174231 193:6 |
| 76:3,4,18 99:1 | 181:15 188:15 |  | 10.43d 118:17 | 174527 45:7 |
| 99:4 133:9,9 | 189:6 194:5,11 | 0 | 10:00 105:7 | 176892 65:7 |
| 133:10 135:12 | yelling 98:11 | 0 8:16 80:14 | 10:16 207:22 | 18 83:11 144:12 |
| 136:16 183:10 $183: 20184: 4$ | Yep 11:12 20:1 | 0.338 46:12 | $10074: 22$ 95:21 | 150:5,7,9,13 |
| 183:20 184:4 | 31:4 32:22 | 0.46 137:12 | 189:3 | 181:22 |
| $184: 18,19,20$ $184 \cdot 2,185 \cdot 12$ | 50:21,21 51:3 | 0.50 46:12 133:4 | 101 49:15 | 1800 15:5,8 |
| 184:22 185:12 | 63:15 87:15 | $0.55137: 12$ | $1139: 9$ | 181 2:20 |
| 185:16,17 | 128:6 129:19 | $0.6195: 21$ | 11:00 78:4 | 188 117:5 |
| 187:1 | 144:1 148:15 | 002 50:19 | 110 189:11 | 120:21 |
| yards 155:7 | 193:10 199:19 | 01/27/22 2:6 | 12 3:13 106:15 | 1894 144:13 |
| yeah 11:22 | 204:11,13 | $021391: 11$ | 132:16 152:21 | 19 46:4 |
| 22:17 26:11 27:15,15 28:4 | yesterday 11:16 | 04/14/22 $2: 4$ | 12/16/21 2:7 | 194 2:21 |
| $27: 15,1528: 4$ $34 \cdot 1035 \cdot 14$ | you're 165:13 | 04/28/22 2:9 | 1213 195:17 | 1950s 46:5 |
| $34: 10$ 35:14 $38: 6,849: 10$ | 169:2,4 | 05/19/22 2:12 | 128 2:11 | 1984 135:16 |
| $38: 6,849: 10$ $49: 17$ 51:15 | you've 6:19 | 06/03 28:6,7,11 | 129 164:7 |  |
| 49:17 51:15 $52 \cdot 3,565 \cdot 21$ | 50:19 | 28:13 | 13 173:15 | 2 |
| 52:3,5 65:21 | young 97:3 | 06/09/22 2:10 | 132 2:15 | 22:21 42:752:9 |
| 78:7,8,10,21 | 99:13 106:17 | 06/13 33:8,9 | 14 79:7,8 83:11 | 131:16 154:11 |
| $79: 7,10,10$ $83 \cdot 71784 \cdot 11$ | 132:16 |  | 84:7 85:22 | 182:10 193:14 |
| 83:7,17 84:11 |  | 1 | 86:11,22 92:16 | 199:1 |
| 88:17 89:21 | Z | 12:4,5,5,18 6:6 | 155:16 | 2:00 98:7 99:18 |
| 90:16 102:1 | Z0.1 182:4 | 6:6 8:5,16 15:2 | 149 2:16 | 20 2:6 82:5 |
| 118:11 127:12 | Z0.2 182:5 | 19:17 27:21 | 15 161:20 | 161:15,19 |
| 129:7,18 138:1 | Ziegler-Cham... | 33:2 49:16 |  | 163:2 |
| 154:2 155:3,15 155:17 156:13 | 189:11 | 115:9 153:10 | $163: 2185: 12$ | 200 85:9 |
| 155:17 156:13 |  | 154:11 161:7 |  |  |
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| 2000 104:11 | 154:8 | $580: 15$ | 78 85:10,12 | 85:11 96:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 67:13,15 | 3,000 82:3 | 5,000 132:21 | 7c 93:19 | 907 24:11 |
| 2017 75:22 | 3:00 98:7 | 5.26 56:21 |  | 92-94 188:13 |
| 108:16 194:12 | $301: 63: 7$ 53:11 | 5.31 39:11 | 8 | 189:1 |
| 2019 15:2 | 79:22 104:12 | 158:13 195:14 | 8 15:20 41:12 | 94 144:14 |
| 2020 3:14,18 | 185:16 | 5:00 150:2,4,6 | 8-month-old | 96 2:20 86:19 |
| 68:11 76:9 | 334 115:16 | 206:13 | 194:4 | 180:6,13 |
| 104:11 | 34 185:5,5 | 5:30 85:17 | 8.22.1 17:9 | 182:11 188:14 |
| 2022 1:6 3:7 | 198:17,18 | 50 29:15 80:14 | 42:18 61:3 | 188:18 189:5 |
| 11:6 12:21 | 3423 15:9 | 101:12 102:10 | 169:21 | 189:12,15,19 |
| 142:10,22 | 346 2:21 193:6 | 5000 195:18 | 8.22.2b 26:8 | 99 106:17 |
| 150:9,13 | 193:14 194:4 | 50K 80:15 | 8.22.2d 39:2 |  |
| 190:15 208:13 | 205:5 | 50s 109:12 | 164:22 165:14 |  |
| 2023 195:18 | 35 15:13 198:18 | 52 142:21 | 166:4 202:12 |  |
| 2028 208:18 | 35-foot 35:4 | 57 10:15 | 8.22.3 195:14 |  |
| 22 3:20 12:21 | 185:5 |  | 8.222c 14:15 |  |
| 85:20 | 35-foot-limit | 6 | 15:21 16:10 |  |
| 23 2:7 | 17:1 | 6 13:19 36:5 | 158:14 |  |
| 24 85:19 | 36 185:9 | 53:7 84:2 | 8.222d 17:4 |  |
| 241 2:10 45:7 | 3642 195:16 | 93:11 142:7 | 39:13 57:1,3,8 |  |
| 53:13 | 38 2:7 22:7,12 | 157:11 162:17 | 164:17 |  |
| 25 2:8 86:7, 8 | 22:21 152:19 | 176:8 182:20 | 8.22d 16:10 44:3 |  |
| 163:17 |  | 188:6 | 60:21 133:2 |  |
| 2650 195:16 | 4 | 6.2 67:1 | $8.55133: 3$ |  |
| 272:8 3:17 22:9 | 471:20 | 6.20 123:3 | 8:00 77:21 |  |
| 24:7 40:21 | 4,9 132:16 | 6.32 67:2 | 8:30 85:17 |  |
| 42:12 142:10 | 4.21 15:21 19:4 | $6.321123: 4$ | 8:46 131:2 |  |
| 190:14 | 4.22 39:9 | $6.35123: 2$ | $8086: 3$ |  |
| 27-29 157:13 | 4.22.2d 47:19 | 6:00 1:7 3:3 | 800 12:2 |  |
| 276 98:3 | 4.2213 15:17 | 150:9 | 810-812 2:16 |  |
| 28 11:6 142:22 | $4.3156: 20$ | 6:04 6:2 | 149:7 |  |
| 208:18 | 4.35.1-1 123:5 | 6:23 22:2 | 820 12:3 |  |
| $280101: 6$ | 4.35.i1-1 71:21 | 6:26 24:2 | 831 1:10 |  |
| 281 2:11,14 38:2 | $4079: 22$ 86:8,10 | 6:30 64:7 65:6 |  |  |
| 65:7,8 66:14 | 86:20,21 $185 \cdot 10$ | 6:45 130:12 |  |  |
| 66:21 69:21 | $185: 10$ <br> 10013711 | 6:55 45:2 | $913: 1936: 5$ $53: 793: 11$ |  |
| 75:14 85:7 | 400 137:11 | $6086: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 793: 11 \\ & 108: 14 \quad 142: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 94:5 101:5,11 $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ 101.9 | 42 143:8 $\mathbf{4 4 6} 115: 17$ | 60s 109:13 156:7 65 86:3 | 108:14 142:6 157:11 176:8 |  |
| 282 101:9,10 $290101: 10$ | 446 115:17 $45188: 15$ | $6586: 3$ $\mathbf{6 6}$ 2:14,17 152:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:11 176:8 } \\ & 188: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 292 101:10 | 4500 132:20 | 152:7,19 158:2 | 9:00 77:17,22 |  |
| 111:10 | 46 2:10 131:15 |  | 9:07 149:2 |  |
| 293-295 76:1 | 132:15 142:13 | 7 | 9:10 152:2 |  |
| 294 101:10 | 143:3 | $72: 431: 21$ | 9:20 161:2 |  |
| 299 86:1,11 | 46-48 2:15 131:6 | 183:8 | 9:31 173:2 |  |
|  | 144:6 | 7:17 64:7 65:2 | 9:42 180:2 |  |
| 3 | 48 22:19 | 70s 156:7 | 9:59 193:2 |  |
| 3 12:20 154:5,6 |  | 72,74,75 85:12 | $9009: 8$ 11:9 |  |
|  | 5 | 74 85:10 | 12:1 15:13 |  |

