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This meeting is being video and audio recorded, and is broadcast on Cambridge television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the
proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers, and at the Chair's discretion.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible. OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick? LAURA WERNICK: Here.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Matina Williams?
(6:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First case I'm going to call is 168459 -- 38 Mt. Pleasant Street. Mr. Alphen?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Hi. This is Mike fields for SKA. Chris Alphen is not going to be joining us this evening, but Sam and I and Matt and Lindsey will be running the presentation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Yep. So there's been some changes since last we met?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Correct. Yes. You know, after the meeting with abutters and, you know, talking through our options, Matt and Lindsey have elected to reduce the scope.

And so, we are here tonight to ask for a special permit under Section 8.22.2c, which includes relocation of windows in the back of the building, unifying the existing kitchen roofline, and providing egress ways from the habitable spaces in the basement.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that is reflected in the
latest drawings diagnosed 07/06/22, which was submitted on July 11?

MICHAEL: That is correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. If you can just briefly show us, then, the windows. The Board has reviewed it but if you can just very briefly --

MICHAEL FIELDS: Sure, yeah. If we want to, you know, move to the elevations?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, so it would be the elevations, I guess.

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
MICHAEL FIELDS: Okay. So on the Mt. Pleasant Street elevation we have an existing window at the front elevation, which would be used as an egress window set back from the street walkways, and add some plantings, which would provide egress from the habitable spaces in the family room.

Other windows on this -- on the first and second floor would be replaced in kind, and the third-floor windows would remain.

Next sheet, please?

The same holds true of the existing windows on the third floor here. The first- and second- floor windows will be replaced in their existing locations, and then we have new locations at the kitchen and back of the building.

And we are redoing the trim around a straightened roofline at the kitchen, keeping the -- keeping the volume essentially the same.

Next slide, please?
And then here we see the relocated window and door at the back elevation, and the stairs that come up from the basement at an existing window opening.

And then you can see the roofline at the kitchen, where we're keeping it -- not all the way across, just to provide a consistent ceiling height in the kitchen area. And that is the sum of what we're proposing at the exterior of the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it back up to the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Seems straightforward; no
questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'll open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We ask that you limit your comments to three minutes.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. There is correspondence from Adam Albright, dated July 14, 2022.
"Dear Board of Zoning Appeal, my name is Adam Albright and I am the owner of 40-42 Mt. Pleasant Street adjacent \#3 (the second floor unit of 40 Mt. Pleasant St.), where I have lived since 2012. I have lived and worked in Cambridge since 2004. I am writing in support of the new revised proposal for a special permit to replace and move windows. The Board may recall that as an abutter I had previously opposed an earlier version of this proposal,
which included a variance for a second-story addition, which would have had a direct negative impact on our property.
"As I understand them, the current plans have been revised, so that they no longer include the second-story addition, and would leave the second floor at its present existing dimension.
"The rendering on page of the PDF for the new proposed site plan still shows the second-floor addition, but I assume that this was a minor error, since the plan itself no longer mentions it, and the July 7 letter is clear that no addition is to be included.
"I am writing to offer my support of this revised plan.
"Sincerely
Adam Albright."
And that is the sum and substance of the
correspondence relating to this latest plan. So I will
close public comment. Anything else to add at all, or --
MICHAEL FIELDS: That is correct, that that is --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And ready for a motion,
Board?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, sir.

JASON MARSHALL: Yep.
LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Motion, then, to grant the relief requested, special permits as per the revised plans submitted and initialed by the Chair.

The Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. It appears that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. The addition and changing of some windows, and an existing building will have absolutely no effect on adjoining properties.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city; in fact, the Board finds that with the change in windows, the addition of windows, relocation will have a benefit to
occupants of the structure, as will allow better air, light coming into the structure, which is a benefit.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to alter certain aspects of the -- their existing structure without any adverse effect on adjoining properties, on the condition that the work conform to the revised drawings dated July 11, initialed by the Chair.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; the special permit is
granted.

Now, there is one other case that is open
regarding 38 Mt Pleasant. That's 149665 , which goes back to last November. That appears to be moot now, because there's been so many provisions since.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'd like to make a motion to the Board to withdraw that case. Are you authorized to do so?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chairman?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Before you do that --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there not a variance in the
Case No. 168459?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There is -- there is not. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

MICHAEL FIELDS: One has a variance, one is just special permit, and one is the special permit/variance. The proposals for both cases are synced up and, you know, we
have reduced our need to ask for a variance at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That aspect of it, Jim, has been withdrawn.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thanks.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So on Case No. 149665, which was the special permit, which we then determined that that was not the vehicle, it had to be a variance, so there was a flaw in that. The -- I'm entertaining a motion from you to withdraw that case. Yes?

MICHAEL FIELDS: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. On the motion, then, to accept the petitioner's withdrawal of Case No. 149665, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I will accept the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Accepts the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Accept the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Yes on the withdrawal.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]

Five affirmative votes accepting the withdrawal. Just as a postscript, that's when Attorney Alphen
had come down and was seeking relief for the original work by special permit under 8.222d, and we determined that it was an attached single-family, as opposed to the ordinance requires a detached single-family.

So that was -- you couldn't seek relief for the special permit. However, we kept that one alive just so that we wouldn't run into the repetitive petition, and then he amended the subsequent applications.

Am I clear?
MICHAEL FIELDS: That is correct, Mr. Sullivan. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So I think we're all set. Great. Thank you.

MICHAEL FIELDS: All right. Thank you very much. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
(6:14 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 163881 -- 130 Cushing Street.

DAVID FREED: Yes, hi. Good evening. My name is David Freed. I'm an architect at Choo and Company. And I'm pleased tonight to present our proposed renovation plans at 130 Cushing Street.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. The Board is familiar with the plan's proposal, but if you could just briefly walk us through it -- again, briefly, if you will, without taking any of your thunder away. That's fine.

DAVID FREED: Okay. Thank you. This is an image of the present condition of the property. It's currently a four-family with a number of bedrooms scattered around the perimeter of the building with five entrances, one on the left side, one on the center, in the front and three on the driveway side.

Next slide, please?

This is a landscape plan that just shows our proposal, in which we would like to extend living spaces in the basement and into the attic and add some dormers, as well as extend the rear back about nine feet on the second and third floor, to align with the first floor below.

We want to legalize some parking in the rear as well, to a four-car.

Next slide, please?
This is our basement plan. Basically, we've eliminated -- if we go to the next slide, please?

We've eliminated the front entrance, the left entrance, and two entrances on the driveway side, and have relocated that to the center of the building and divided the building front and back to create two sets of duplex units, each of which has four bedrooms.

Next slide, please?
This would be representative of the typical unit. You'd enter into a dining room, kitchen, living area, large open living, with either a bedroom or a home office on that floor.

And then above -- next slide, please? -- would be three bedrooms and two baths, one of which would be a
primary ensuite.
Next slide, please?
This is the roof plan that just shows our dormers. The dormers themselves are dimensionally compliant. The -what incurs our setback violations are the rear addition.

The next slide, please?
On the left is the existing elevation, and on the right is the renovated elevation. The building would be trimmed out with Boral, and have more traditional detailing, with corner boards and a water table. It would have lap siding and punched openings as well.

Next slide, please?
This is the left side elevation that faces the school.

Next slide, please?
This is our rear elevation. And last slide please?

This would be the driveway side elevation, and the front of our building. The dormers themselves exceed the Dormer Guidelines. But we felt that we really wanted to try to provide some decent living space in the attic.

The next slide, please?

This is the plot plan, that shows more clearly the levels of additions. So on the rear, there's a proposed three-story addition towards the -- going towards the front a little bit of that rear addition would be a second- and third-story addition.

Next slide, please?
Our project has some existing nonconformities, one of which is the total gross floor area, the lot area, the ratio of gross floor area to lot area, and the lot area per dwelling unit.

Our lot does dimensionally conform. The setbacks, however, do not. And [audio unclear] for our residents and for our -- some districts do not. But we feel that the improvements to this building would be a benefit to the neighborhood, and with a fully renovated building that would be fire sprinkled, the units themselves would be codecompliant and have lots of light and air, have new finishes, be insulated well.

And that wraps up my presentation. Does anyone have any questions?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sure the Board is going to have a lot of questions. Let me start off by saying I think
it's very, very ambitious.
And it appears -- what was the -- well, the
building is rental right now, four units rental? Or I'm not even sure if it's even occupied, because it seems to have very little activity, having gone by there a number of times.

DAVID FREED: Yes, it was a good rental.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It is rental? Going forward --
DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- is it going to be rental, or is it going to be condo?

DAVID FREED: I believe the homeowners should have condos.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's going to be what?
DAVID FREED: It will be condos.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Condos, okay.
DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If I could have Olivia pull up the floor plan, and if the Board will bear with me a little bit; Sheet A-1.1.

And right now in the basement, there is basically nothing other than just storage. If we go to the first-
floor proposed, there is one, two, three, four bedrooms, is that correct?

DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And what is proposed --
DAVID FREED: Bedrooms, I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- what is proposed is going to
be two bedrooms on the first floor?
DAVID FREED: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Separate from Unit 1 and 2.
Just I'm trying to get a total count here.
DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If we go up to the -- I'm sorry, let me back up to the -- and the basement of the -what is proposed in the basement, is there nothing there now and they're proposing six bedrooms in the basement, and two bathrooms?

I'm sorry, four bathrooms -- two units or four bathrooms. So what is proposed is six bedrooms and four bathrooms in the basement level?

DAVID FREED: That's correct. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. First floor, there is existing four bedrooms; you are proposing two?

DAVID FREED: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First floor two bedrooms and
two bathrooms. Okay. On the second floor, second floor
existing has six bedrooms --
DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and two bathrooms. You're
proposing two bedrooms and two bathrooms?
DAVID FREED: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The third floor, there is no living space there right now? There was no bedrooms, no bathrooms, you are proposing six bedrooms and four bathrooms?

DAVID FREED: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So what is there now is 10 bedrooms, you are proposing 16 bedrooms?

DAVID FREED: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There are now four bathrooms in the structure, and you are proposing 12?

DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The FAR, which is -- if I can pull up that dimensional form -- 0.69, which is already over and you're requesting 1.2, which is double what the
ordinance allows... Everything about this to me is just way over the top.

And especially -- then we got to the dormers and yes, you are correct that you exceed the Dormer Guidelines tremendously, and we take the Dormer Guidelines seriously. And to me this thing is just -- my way of thinking it's just way over the top.

And then we go back to your pleadings, and you have to prove hardship. A literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise to the petitioner.

The hardship is not being allowed to enlarge and renovate the existing structure, as it sits within the front and side yard setbacks.

Well, nobody has the right or to a variance. And you can enlarge or renovate the existing structure, but you have to follow, obviously the ordinance and the requirements of the ordinance.

The hardship is owing to the following circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structure, and especially affecting such land or structure, but not affecting
generally the zoning district in which $I$ was located.
The answer is the hardship is owing to the fact that the building sits on a narrow lot and fronts the street, as do most structures on the street. The location of the building on the lot places the side and front in the setback. Doesn't answer the hardship question.

And the public good will not suffer -- that could be debated, but right now it's rental, it's going to go condo.

So we're taking rental markets off the city market, and I think we sit here on Thursday nights and one of the things we do is we sometimes if you look at the broader overview of what we do, sometimes it chips away at starter homes, because they're being -- two-families are being turned into singles.

And also what was rental is now being turned into condos, and it becomes less and less affordable for people to find rental units.

And so, those are my thoughts. I will listen to the members of the Board, and we have a hopefully robust discussion.

Jim Monteverde, your thoughts?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I concur, Mr. Chair. The items that concern me are the -- I believe the requested FAR is two and a half times the ordinance, and the Dormer Guidelines are exceeded by $I$ believe a factor of four.

And I'm not seeing a hardship in the application. So I would not favor this variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, your -- any thoughts?

WENDY LEISERSON: I concur. I thought you explained it very well, and also Jim. The one thing I would also add that concerns me is that the ratio of usable open space is going to become non-conforming, and I'm not happy about that either. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina? Any thoughts or questions at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah. I'm agreeing with the comments that have been made so far.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, great. Thank you. Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair.
Yeah, I agree with everything that my colleagues have said so far, no need to restate that.

And not to pile on, but to the extent you come back before us, I also paused on the answer to the hardship question. The answer is effectively it's a hardship because I can't build beyond what I'm allowed to. There needs to be something beyond that.

I can't build what $I$ would like to and something. And that's not answered here. And I can only infer based on the discussion earlier that what's really happening here is it's an -- it is an attempt to maximize the economic benefit of the property.

And I won't fault someone for doing that. However, that's not a standard meaning hardship. That's text case law at this point. So for those reasons, I am also not inclined to grant the request for relief.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I'll give you a chance, David to respond. Let me open it up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and I ask that you have up to three minutes for your comments.

OLIVIA RATAY: Amy Salomon?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Amy?
AMY SALOMON: Yes, thank you. Just unmuting. I'm an abutter with just a small portion --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Amy, if you could have your address for the record.

AMY SALOMON: Oh, I'm very sorry. 16, 14-16
Vineyard Street.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
AMY SALOMON: So we're an abutter, and a few things occur to me just in listening to this. One is -- and this is something about the design feels inconsistent with the neighborhood.

And part of the neighborhood charm is that it's mainly two-family and single-family homes, and when renovations happened, it's been done with a lot of respect, to the feeling for the neighborhood. And this feels to me like a small apartment building is being built.

So that's just an aesthetic concern. And I don't know if you take that into consideration or not. But I think it will change the feel for the neighborhood. So that's sort of one thing.

The other thing that is disturbing and concerning to me that I don't think anybody has pointed out yet has to do with the parking situation. That's what abuts my property, probably one and a half parking spaces' worth. But nevertheless, we now are not -- I'm certainly not aware -- I don't think anybody parks right up next to the back fence.

And what will end up happening there is yes, three out of the four spots will be basically over the school playground, but the other one will be right in our patio area.

So different car, you know, noises and odors and that sort of thing without having much space between the car and the fence -- I couldn't really tell how much space is there, but it looks quite limited is another issue that I'm concerned about.

So for the moment, I think those are the two major things.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
DAVID FREED: Thank you for your feedback.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's the end of anybody calling in. There is no correspondence. So I will close
the public comment back, give it back to you, David, for thoughts. I think you've heard the sentiment of the Board. DAVID FREED: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You need for affirmative votes, and it doesn't appear that you're going to get one. And I think that the sense of the Board is that this is a -- way over the top, it's an overreach.

DAVID FREED: I know. I understand. So I think we would like to withdraw our application if we could and reconsider our work and what we would do and then go from there?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Now, if you
withdraw, it's with prejudice and --
DAVID FREED: Oh.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and you cannot come back for two years.

DAVID FREED: Oh, okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Just to explain, unless it is a substantially different application. Now, if you were to come back -- and it would appear that it may have to be substantially different.

If you do come back with another plan within that
two years, then it would have to go to the Planning Board first. The Planning Board would then review it, the new plan that you are submitting vis-à-vis the old plan that's before us tonight, they would then have to find that your new application is substantially different.

DAVID FREED: I see.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That then comes back to us on the hearing, and we then have to concur with the Planning Board that it is a substantially different plan, and then it can get marked up for a regular hearing.

So there is days, weeks, months -- you know, you're going to have to go back to the drawing board, time is going to fly by, and then there are bureaucratic hurdles that you have to cross.

The other option would be to continue this matter, it keeps it alive, and then we don't run afoul of the repetitive petition. You can -- and you're going to have to modify it, scale it back down, but at least it keeps you in the queue somewhere, and it keeps it on life support, if you will.

DAVID FREED: Yeah, I'm -- thank you, I think we would prefer to continue this.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Because -- and then, again, maybe you can always withdraw it, if you decide that whatever. But at least it gives you the option of keeping this open.

So it's a wise decision, I think, to continue this matter, reflect on what you heard and possibly alternatives.

DAVID FREED: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How much time do you think you would need? This is the middle of July.

DAVID FREED: I think we would need until --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You went to September, the end
of September or something like that?
DAVID FREED: I would say October.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: October, that's fine.
DAVID FREED: Three months from now.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So I'm going to -- the Board
members, this is a case heard. So there are two dates: October 6 and October 27, and Jim Monteverde are you available on either one of those dates?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I will be.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, are you available on
October 6, 27?

JASON MARSHALL: I am available on the twentyseventh, not the sixth.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, are you available on the twenty-seventh?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, I am.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Matina, are you available on the twenty-seventh.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Brendan Sullivan, I am available on the twenty-seventh. So David, it looks like October 27?

DAVID FREED: That's perfect. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So I'm going to make a motion, then, to continue this matter until October 27, 2022 at 6:00 p.m., on the condition that we change the posting sign to reflect the new date of October 27 and a time of 6:00 p.m. That sign has to be maintained for at least 14 days prior to October 27.

What that means: It can be taken down, but it should be put back up again October 10,11, 12 or something like that. Okay? So it can be taken down, but it has to be maintained 14 days prior to October 27.

That in the event there are any new submittals different from what is in the file now. Those new submittals with supporting statements, dimensional forms, be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to October 27. That will give the Board members time to review any new submittals.

And if you have any questions, you can always -can ask Maria or something like that or whatever it may be or something like that.

So this matter, on the motion, then, to continue this matter to October 27 -- oh, and also that you sign -- I think you already have signed a waiver -- we have the waiver already in the file, okay.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter to October 27, 2002 at 6:00 p.m., Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I concur.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This matter is continued until
October 27. Thank you, David. See you then. DAVID FREED: Thank you very much for your time. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. DAVID FREED: Goodnight.
(6:37 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 174035 -- 25 Hillside Avenue, \#2. Eric?

VIRGINIA SPANOUDAKI: Hi, hello, everyone.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hi. If you could introduce yourself for the record.

VIRGINIA SPANOUDAKI: Yes. Hello. My name is Virginia Spanoudaki and together with my husband, Eric Verploegen, we are the owners of the rear unit, Unit \#2, of 25 Hillside Avenue in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

And today we are here to apply for a special permit to remove and replace our sunroom on the third floor with a slightly larger proper room, as well as replace the deck and the rails with new ones, given the deteriorated condition of the whole third floor that has resulted in multiple leaks, especially when there is heavy rain.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Just let me have Olivia pull up the -- okay?

VIRGINIA SPANOUDAKI: Yes, thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- walk us through the drawings, if you will?

VIRGINIA SPANOUDAKI: Yes. So this is a layout of the property. You see it from the top down, and on the left side you can see the sunroom as well that opens up to a deck. Now this sunroom is actually like a greenhouse, glass all over with the plastic roof that has a lot of holes in it.

It gets really, really hot in the summer.
Actually, these days it's usually 50 or close to 60 degrees Celsius. And it opens up to a deck. The places where the sunroom is attached to the flat roof are failing, and that results in leaks in the floor underneath it, which is our bedroom.

Next slide, please?
This is what we're proposing -- again, the topdown view. We are proposing replacing this sunroom with a room with a lot of window area but the proper roof, and extend it all the way to the sides of the unit, so that the little areas of the deck on the east and the west side are replaced and are not there anymore.

Next slide, please?
These are some of the -- we call it elevations. Some of the drawings are from our architect, who couldn't join us today, unfortunately, due to a medical emergency.

The -- I would say I would jump to the next slide at this point.

This is just a dimensional plan. I don't know if there is a lot of value right now in discussing about the numbers, but overall the new sunroom will be approximately 43 square feet larger than the existing one, and it's only going to be extended in the east and west side, not on the north.

These are the old elevations. I guess we can skip those -- exactly -- on the east, west and north. Again, this is a top-down view, and here we are basically -- this third floor was a non-conforming structure already in terms of the floor-to-area ratio.

And it was already approved through a variance back in 1999 from the previous owner, who was an architect who designed the whole area.

So what we are proposing will still be in violation of the floor-to-area ratio by a small, I don't
remember -- very tiny percentage point.
And the setbacks will remain on the north the same as they are right now, and on the east and west, they will conform with the setbacks on the rest of the property.

I guess we can jump on some of the -- I think what would be of value, obviously these are the new setbacks, but the -- you can -- we can -- you can see some pictures of the property, how it actually is. We -- my husband and I have covered it with plastic tarp to avoid -- to minimize the potential for leaks, which unfortunately happens.

This is a small sunroom. It has a lot of glass, so that's why it's getting very hot.

And out of respect of our neighbors, who would like to maintain the character of the neighborhood, of course, we tried to replace it with something that abides both with the traditional aspect of the neighborhood, but also with a more modern look. But we definitely want to maintain as much window area as possible.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
VIRGINIA SPANOUDAKI: And these are views from our neighbors' yards, how they will see the property. I think -- yeah, the dimensional chart at the end of the
presentation shows the FAR, and we go basically from 0.62 to 0.63, but it currently is -- and as I mentioned previously, the square footage is going to be enlarged by 43 square feet.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you.
VIRGINIA SPANOUDAKI: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Very good, very concise. I'll open it up to questions from members of the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions, or comments at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Brendan Sullivan, I have no questions, only my only comment would be that it's so very 70 -ish, is it? Whatever. And I think what you're proposing is much needed.

Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button
that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from Mr. Edward Hoff.
"My name is Edward Hoff, and Kathleen -- my wife Kathleen O'Connell and I live at 17 Hillside. We are writing this e-mail in support of our neighbors, Eric and Virginia, owners of the rear unit of 25 Hillside.
"Eric and Virginia are planning to replace their currently deteriorating sunroom on the third floor of their property with a new one that is slightly enlarged towards the east and west sides of their property. In addition, they are planning to replace the failing rubber roof of the deck that the sunroom goes up to, as well as the degraded deck and railings.
"We fully support the proposed work, which will significantly improve living conditions in the unit while also preserving the neighborhood character. Thank you.
"Sincerely,

Edward Hoff and Kathleen O'Connell."

We are in support of correspondence from H-e-l-i
Heli Meltsner.
"This is to express my support for the third-floor sunroom project. I'm a direct abutter and can easily see the location from our windows and backyard. I understand that the existing structure is in urgent need of replacement due to rot and leaking. I approve of the proposed dimensions and believe you will work towards an acceptable solution."

Correspondence from William and Barbara Graham:
"Writing to support our next-door neighbor Eric and Virginia, planning to replace their currently deteriorating sunroom. They notified us of their plans, provide a detailed written description as well as schematics of their proposed work, and invited us to discuss with them any concerns that you might have.
"We fully support the proposed work, which will significantly improve living conditions, as well as enhance the character of the home and that of the neighborhood as well."

Also correspondence from 25 Hillside:
"We are writing a letter in support of our neighbors, Eric and Virginia, owners of the rear unit. Eric and Virginia are planning to replace their current deteriorating sunroom.
"We fully support the proposed work, which will significantly improve living conditions in the unit while preserving the neighborhood character."

That seems to be the current theme, and a good theme at that. That is the sum and substance of correspondence from the neighbors.

We are in communication from Avon Hill Conservation District Commission:
"The Avon Hill Neighborhood Conservation District hereby certifies, pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 2.78 of the Code of the City of Cambridge and the City Council order establishing the Commission, that the alterations described below is not incongruous to the historic aspects or architectural character of the building or district:
"Replace the third-floor sunroom with a new room. Work is to be carried out as indicated on the drawings by Paul Pressman, Architect, titled, "25 Hillside Avenue, submitted November 2, 2021.
"The proposal was approved with the condition that the CHC staff can review and approve any revisions.
"Plans are incorporated into this certificate, which is binding on all the applicants, and improvements shall be carried out as described below.
"Date of Certificate: March 29, 2022."
And that's the sum and substance of any correspondence. Anything to add, or any final parting words? No, I think your presentation said it all. Okay. Let me close the presentation part, discuss among the Board.

Jim Monteverde, any questions? Ready for a vote?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope, I'm ready for a vote. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm seeking relief from special permit under 8.22d, enlargement of a non-conforming structure. The Table of Dimensional Requirements, and I'm
seeking a special permit.
We make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as permitted as per the application, and the dimensional forms part of the application initialed by the Chair, on the condition that the work comply with the drawings as submitted and the dimensional form.

The Board finds that in all districts, the Board of Zoning Appeals may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family dwelling or twofamily dwelling not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than
the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and the Board does find so; and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43 of the Ordinance.

Under 10.43, the Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting from what is being proposed would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board references the letters from abutters who so do attest to the fact that what is proposed is very much in keeping with the character of the house and of the neighborhood, and would have absolutely no effect on their property rights.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use,
and in fact the Board finds that the proposal to create a new room in place of the existing deteriorating sunroom would have a benefit to the health and safety of the welfare -- and welfare of the occupants of the structure.

And the Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, to allow homeowners to alter and to make represent that are de minimis to improve their livability of their structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I vote in favor of the special permit.

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson on the motion to grant?

WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, your special permit is granted. Good luck. ERIC and VIRGINIA: Thank you very much. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're welcome.
(6:53 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 173890 -- 4 Willard Street.

DUSTIN NOLIN: Yes, good evening. Dustin Nolin of DNA Architecture, to present for 4 Willard.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The floor is yours.
DUSTIN NOLIN: Great. Thank you very much.
Appreciate your time this evening. So we are here to present for -- we are asking for the addition of a singlecar garage that will be non-conforming in the front and side yard setback.

In this location, there is currently an existing carport that was actually in this exact location, slightly further towards the side -- right side lot line, maintaining the same front yard, though. So I can go ahead and run through that.

As far as for the rest for the project, there is no addition or change to the GFA for the property, and the
-- currently all the rear, what we'd be requiring is for the
relief of the new structure for primarily, I guess, we're looking for because of the addition of the walls, even though the existing carport is non-conforming.

So on the front right-hand side of the property, you can see where the carport that is to be demolished. That is what we'll be removing, and the existing single-car garage would be going in that location.

The current driveway meets Zoning for what would be two compact spaces, but it does not meet Zoning for standard spaces. Currently, near the house it's about 16 and a half feet wide. When you get up towards the front, it gets a little bit closer to 17. So there currently is enough room for two compact, but not standard spaces.

So if we can go to the second slide? That shows the footprint of the proposed garage.

As noted, we're maintaining the same front yard setback. We've pulled it off the right side about nine and a half inches. So the current carport sits almost directly on the lot line. It actually sits on a retaining wall on that side. And so, we would be pulling it off of there. And then we can go to the next slide?

So this is just showing the second floor looking down. The carport's at the front light. You can go to the next slide.

So showing the roof of where that is. The new garage is located on the front right corner there.

And then we can go to the next slide.
This is just the existing third floor.
And the next slide is the proposed third floor.
But none of that is pertaining to this application. So we've got the existing elevation.

On the bottom right, you can see the existing carport. It's not in character to the existing house whatsoever. It's a concrete structure on steel columns. It is actually in deterioration right now, and does need to be rectified one way or the other.

And so, as part of that, that's where this application is looking to remove that and address that with the new garage.

So you can go to the proposed condition on the next slide?

That is the proposed garage in that location. We do have Historic approval for this garage.

Next slide?

So there it is. You can see the -- right along the bottom left, that is the -- kind of that concrete slab's roof structure that is cutting across it directly below that window.

We can go to the next slide.
That same right-side elevation, but with the proposed garage. This is with the grade on that side where that retaining wall is elevated up, in comparison a little bit to the left.

So we can go to the next slide?
Viewing the existing condition from the rear, the garage, existing carport kind of poking out on the bottom left-hand corner.

You can go to the next slide?
You can go to the next slide?
The proposed from that same viewing point.
You can go to the next, which will be the left elevation. So the proposed left and on the bottom right you can see the garage just poking out a little bit in front of what is the -- kind of the front stoop there. So you've got now the proposed condition with the garage at that same
location.

Now, we get into some perspective. So if you go to the next slide?

Some -- proposed perspectives of the new garage.
Next slide?

Just a right-side perspective.
Next slide is the rear.
From the rear yard, it's not really visible, in perspective. And then we've got the -- from the left elevation perspective, you're seeing that kind of projecting out over on the front there on that back right corner.

Then just a front perspective kind of from the left-hand side of the house looking over.

Then we get into some of the existing photographs. There, you can see the existing carport there.

Next slide, then, from down the street the vegetation of this -- you know, covers up a lot of the structure.

And then just a closer view of that existing cart.
And then the next slide it's -- so here we are showing the plot plan with the proposed garage, and also the proposed versus existing dimensions from side yard located
on that with the existing carport being 0.4 feet off of the right side, both front and rear, the proposed going to 1.2 feet off, and then the front maintaining the same 9.7, of which the current footprint of the existing carport is 366 square feet, the proposed garage takes up 287 square feet. So we can go to the next slide?

And so, this was the existing shown on that bottom right without the proposed condition. And then we get into the next slide being the Dimensional Table with the information there.

I think of note on this is that, as discussed, we've got for the front and side, we are not creating any larger nonconformity on those and the one thing of note is the two compact spaces, which it meets, but not standard spaces.

And with the garage, the garage would be a single car.

And I believe that should -- I believe that's the last slide.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you.
DUSTIN NOLIN: You're welcome.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Hi, Mr. Chair. Just it was -that was a really great presentation. Thank you for that. Just on the application form, one of the problems of hardship is, you know, sort of which magic words are we talking about there, is it soil, shape, topography? I think it was shape, but $I$ just want to make sure that I --

DUSTIN NOLIN: Yeah, it is shape in that the existing house on the lot does not allow for parking to occur at any other location other than this one, so there's -- there would be no way for us to with the current structure being non-conforming create any conforming structure outside of -- you know, the -- so at that location that allows us -- that's the one spot that we are currently have the non-conforming.

So that's where the proposed is. Because we have no other way to access rear yard because of the tight side yard setbacks.

And then we are already encroached upon with both the house, because the house being I believe it's around 14.7 feet off of the front. So we've got the non-conforming
front yard on the house, but also the carport.
DUSTIN NOLIN: All right. Thank you for that
answer. I appreciate it. And just in looking at the photos, this definitely is a structure that is in desperate need of renovation. Thank you.

JASON MARSHALL: Yes. I would agree.
DUSTIN NOLIN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, any questions at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and we will ask that you have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jerrold Grochow?

JERROLD GROCHOW: Thank you. I'm the owner with my wife of 7 Willard Street, directly across the street. The prior owners parked two regular-sized cars in their
carport because it would not be possible to park a car in front of the carport, without extending onto the sidewalk.

As I understand it, this house, which is quite large, is likely -- well, will have a garage if this is approved that only accommodates one car without the possibility of parking another car in front of it without hitting the sidewalk, which I think either means that owners who quite likely would have more than one car, given the size of the house, will have to find on-street parking or somewhere else.

Is there any way to move the garage back on the property, so that a car could be parked in front of it as well?

Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I'll have someone address that issue later. The sum and substance of anybody calling in, we are in receipt of correspondence from Jacob Farmer.

$$
\text { "I am writing this letter in support for } 4 \text { Willard }
$$

Street, BZA No. 173890. I am the owner of 1 foster Street, which is on the corner of Willard and about 200 feet from the property in question. My house address -- I have owned
my house for nearly 25 years and feel very invested in the character of the neighborhood.
"My wife and I have reviewed the plans and we feel that the plans as drawn would add character to the existing house and correct the eye sore of an existing carport. The existing carport lacks any architectural detailing.
"In our opinion, there would be no harm done to open space or overall aesthetics to allow the new plans to go forward.
"Sincerely,
Jacob Farmer."
There is correspondence from Madeline Jacquet, J-a-c-q-u-e-t, 8 Willard Street:
"I live next door to 4 Willard with a low stone wall separating my property from the carport that is currently under discussion for demolition in order to prepare for the construction and the new garage.
"I want to be sure that the walls separating my lilac U garden from the carport area will not be disturbed. My request is that attention be given to the adjacent property, 8 Willard Street, when this demolition and construction takes place."

So I think that those issues can -- are not a zoning issue, but it needs to be attended to.

There is also correspondence from the Cambridge Historical Commission:
"The Cambridge Historical Commission hereby certifies pursuant to all the acts and ordinances of the City, Chapter 2.78, that the work described below is not incongruous to the historic aspects or architectural character of the building or district.
"Construct a detached, single-car garage to replace the existing carport. Install a new door and a railing at the second floor porch on the south side of the property.
"Construct an earthen berm to replace the existing brick retaining wall around the front yard. Work is to be carried out as indicated in the plans by DNA Architecture, titled, `\#4 Willard Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and dated May 2, 2022.'
"Approval was granted on the condition that construction details be reviewed and approved by Historical Commission staff, including the garage door, porch balusters and posts."

And the date of certificate is July 14, 2022.
There was also correspondence, Board of Zoning Appeal.
"Dear Board Members, I am writing in support of the request of 4 Willard Street, LLC for a variance to construct a single-car garage which would not meet required front or side yard setback.
"The Scudder house at 4 Willard Street is a significant Federal Revival-style house located in the Old Cambridge Historic District. The house was built in 1904 to designs by a Cuban architect named, Ernest M.A. Machado. It is one of only three buildings designed by this architect in Cambridge.
"The property owners applied to the Cambridge Historical in April for exterior restoration of the house, including removal of a nonoriginal carport on the south side of the property.
"This application was approved with a Certificate of Appropriateness. The applicant returned to the Commission on May 5 with a design for a single-car garage, which would replace the inappropriate 1950s carport, but would be detached from the main house. This application was
approved with a Certificate of Appropriateness.
"This is a sensitively designed accessory building
that is not any larger than is necessary, and employs materials and architectural details that are compatible with the house and the Historic District. The final selection of a garage door was deleted to -- was delegated, sorry -- to the Historic Commission staff.
"Sincerely,
Sarah Burks, Preservation Planner."
Sum and substance of any correspondence. I will close the public comment part. Now, if you could respond to the gentleman's question about accommodating two vehicles, I guess, at that locus on the site instead of the one.

DUSTIN NOLIN: Yes, sure. So with the garage, as far as for any other location, there is not any other location that we'd be able to move it to or push it back further.

And, you know, the -- the way that it -- and it lines up over on that area, the only way that you'd be able to fit two cars is side by side. But $I$ think of note is that even the current conditions only need two cars for actual compact cars.

I understand that the neighbor says that they parked -- you know, full-sized vehicles, but as far as for, I'm just looking at -- you know, that is something that $I$-I don't doubt that if they did, I'm not going to dispute that.

But all that I'm going to point to is the fact that the zoning, it only meets the definition for two parking spaces if they are compact spaces, not two standard spaces.

So I do think that even though it would be challenging to fit two even side by side in there currently, as it is you would not be able to fit two cars front to back with or without the garage without encroaching on that side.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Is there anything else that you would like to add before $I$ turn it back to the Board for discussion and vote?

DUSTIN NOLIN: Not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No?
DUSTIN NOLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Then I will close the presentation aspect.

Jim Monteverde, your thoughts or are you ready for
a vote, or?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, as per the application, the supporting statements and the drawings on the dimensional form, on the condition that the work comply with the drawings submitted.

And a special note to the correspondence from the Cambridge Historic Commission, having some review jurisdiction over certain aspects of the final design, which is not a Zoning issue, but sensitive to it, and also sensitive to the request by the abutting neighbor regarding the stone wall and garden area that it be carefully maintained and no damage.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial
hardship to the petitioner.
The Board finds that the existing house and the current carport violates the front and side yard setback and cannot be reasonably altered to comply with Zoning Regulations.

This would require demolition of a significant portion of the existing structure, which the Board finds would be onerous and a severe hardship to the homeowner, and also would, I think, injure the characteristics of the house, and contrary to what -- and it would be contrary to the character of the house.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size of the lot, the size of the house that's located on it, the positioning of the house, which precludes, let me see -the moving of the house or the relocating of any other spot for a carport, garage.

The Board notes that the proposal before us reduces the footprint than the existing carport. So it's more in keeping with the spirit of having some buffer area from the structure and the adjoining lot lines.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good.

It allows for the petitioner to construct a very architecturally sensitive structure, and it should provide a parking space which reduces the need for on street parking.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, which allows the homeowner to alter certain aspects of their house to the betterment, make the house more livable.

And also, in this particular aspect to eliminate an eyesore and something that is totally incongruous to the character of a very lovely historic home, and to allow him to create or build a structure which is far more historically accurate to the existing structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor of the variance. And Mr. Chair, $I$ just reference the confirmation from the applicant earlier that the hardship is in part related to the shape of the lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. And that should be incorporated into the decision by reference. Thank you, Jason.

Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

DUSTIN NOLIN: Thank you very much. Appreciate your time. Have a good evening.
(7:14 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case 177413 -- 18 Myrtle Avenue. Jeremy, you're on.

JEREMY FLOWER: Hi. How are you. Thank you for your time. I am here as the homeowner of 18 Myrtle Avenue. And we are trying to reside our house and rebuild the porches, the entryways to our house because they are kind of falling apart. And I believe we have some pictures in the presentation.

Right. So here is the plot plan with the existing structure. The two areas that are under examination are the front stairs and the rear stairs. Those are the only things that we're changing that need Zoning approval.

And the existing steps on the front of the house are right on the front on the lot line, because they go right to the sidewalk.

And then in the rear of the house, they are very close to the rear property line as well. They're nowhere
near the -- it's a non-conforming structure in that regard. So if we go to the second slide, you can see what we're trying to do is rebuild those landing -- the rear landing, and change the stairs so they kind of wrap around the side and lead into our patio area. And then rebuilding the front steps as well.

So if you go to the third slide, these are pictures of our neighbors -- homes in our neighborhood. 19 Myrtle is a different style home, but has wider stairs than ours eight-foot-eleven.

Oh, sorry, I should have mentioned that with the front stairs, we need to have Zoning approval, because we would like to widen our stairs. We basically are trying to make them eight feet wide.

If you look at 14-16 Myrtle, these next three homes are exactly the same floor plan, built by the same home builder in the late 1800s. And all of the stairs are roughly eight feet wide. They go from one post to the other post to the porch, which is exactly what we're trying to do on the front of our house.

If you go to the next slide, you'll see the existing conditions of our house. At some point in the
past, homeowners made our stairs in our house much narrower.
But if you look at the picture on the right, you can see that there is a cement stair that is seven-foot-ten wide. So -- and we got a -- while we were looking with Historic, we found that there was an image from the sixties that showed that in fact our stairs were that width at one point.

If you go to the next slide, you can see this is a rendering that $I$ made of what we'd like them to look like, which is essentially what the other staircases in our neighborhood look like.

If you scroll down, you can see that picture from Historic that $I$ was talking about. Front stairs were in fact from the one post to the other. And so, going to the interim, they lopped off a third of the porch. But we're not going to be changing that.

If you go to Slide 6, we'll start to look at the back of the building.

On the left is existing. Those two concrete stairs are roughly five feet from our property line. And if you can see the relationship between the corner post and in the existing and the corner post in the rendering, you can
see there are stairs that are actually going to be further from the line than they are.

We only want a landing to satisfy code and safety requirements there. And then we'll have our stairs go from there.

So what we're looking for here is relief in order to build the stairs kind of wrapping around the corner into our patio area.

This next slide is $I$ think just more of the same. I think I may have -- I think I can state my point. I'm not sure if you have any questions for me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. I guess my only comment would be that hopefully we can get approval, we can pass this off to the Building Inspector to issue a permit.

But I probably would have liked to see some -- I guess maybe we can decipher some of the dimensions -obviously, you know, Zoning stuff is really numbers.

And the pictures are wonderful. It shows a photo sim of what you want to do, but hopefully other Board members are okay with sort of the lack of -- you know, defined numbers giving parameters to what you're doing and stuff like that.

But anyhow --
JEREMY FLOWER: I believe I do have numbers of existing conditions and what we would like to do. I -- are you still looking at the front or the rear? So if you go -- let's, go to Slide 6 or 7 maybe? Sorry, 7. So our -no, sorry. It might be the next one. I apologize. And that one right there it's, the existing stairs are five feet wide. And we are proposing eight feet wide.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. All right. It's just -- it's -- if it was a little bit more explicit on the drawings, rather than hunting for this stuff. Whatever. But anyhow, that's okay.

Jim Monteverde, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, any questions or comments?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions, Mr. Chair. I'll defer in terms of the dimensional information to my more architecturally knowledgeable colleagues.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy Leiserson, any questions, or comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: No, I'll just repeat what Jason
said about the dimensions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina, any questions, or
comments?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I have none at this point, either, if $I$ can get this out. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There's nobody calling in. We are in receipt of communication.
"This is a petition showing support for Jeremy and Lisa Flower at 18 Myrtle Avenue for their hearing before the BZA.
"We have reviewed the changes to their front and rear stairs being proposed to the Zoning Board, and have no objections to these plans."

It is signed by residents: 10 Myrtle, 17 Myrtle, 14, 19, 24, 23, 28, 30, 27, 19-21 Line Street, 23-25 Line

Street, 27 Line, and 29 Line Street.
And that is the sum and substance of
correspondence. I will close that portion. Anything else to add at all to the presentation?

JEREMY FLOWER: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No? Okay. And we'll close
that portion of it, discuss it. Are we ready for a motion, then, Board members?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, I have just one question, please?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So in the file is a letter from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, did I miss that?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I don't know. Well, I didn't hear about it. But in the one comment they make is -- let me see.
"The overall proposal was considered appropriate, but the proposal to remove all the layers of the existing siding and trim and replace with cement fiber siding and PVC trim was found to be inappropriate to the historic character
of the Queen Anne style house construction of 1893. The Commission recommends using wood collaborate wood clapboard siding on the front elevation if possible."

Is that part of your consideration?
JEREMY FLOWER: Yes. I mean, we were -- obviously
we received that letter from them. We were under the consideration that it was a non-binding resolution. Not to say that we're not -- we would love to do it, just financially a significant increase in money.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jim, I don't even see that letter here in the file.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm in that. I'm looking online, and I'm in -- I don't know what sheet I'm on -- sheet 18 of 21. It's dated May 10, 2022.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think your attempt is to make this maintenance-free. Is that --

JEREMY FLOWER: Yes, I mean we would -- we initially were planning on signing the home in Cedar, because we prefer the look of it, and we would love to have our houses with cedar signing. But with the recent increase in material costs, it just has become untenable for us. We just don't think that it's worth having the
luxury of cedar siding when an alternative exists that checks a lot of other boxes as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So you'd be using cement fiber --

JEREMY FLOWER: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- siding, clapboard, if you will.

JEREMY FLOWER: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It has the same look, dimensions of what it would be in wood.

JEREMY FLOWER: A lot of people would say it's not the same look, but I mean, I think it's close enough, given what the house looks like right now. I mean --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
JEREMY FLOWER: -- you know, it has two different colors and styles of vinyl siding on it. Everything's falling apart. We'd love to make it look a lot better. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. JEREMY FLOWER: Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As a comment on the side, I am one of the last wood purists in the world. And I have now gone to PVC trim everywhere.

Because wood does not stand up anymore. Paint doesn't provide any protection anymore, and so the fiber -what's out there painted and everything like that can look like clapboards.

The spacing on it may be a little bit different, so you're not going to get the exact $4,4.25$ to the spacing than you would from the cement fiber, but you can get it pretty close. When it's all painted up, it'll last forever, and will have generally the same look, anyhow. I think the PVC trim is a -- in today's climate is a no-brainer anyhow. So --

JEREMY FLOWER: I'd like to think so.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So are we ready for a motion, then? Anybody else have any other questions or are we ready for a motion?

WENDY LEISERSON: Ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Seeking a special permit under Section 8.22d, the Board finds: in all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise
permitted in Section 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find, and does make the finding, that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met. Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, and
there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the city.

The Board finds that the work that is proposed actually will enhance the entry and egress from the structure, which will make it far more safety-oriented, and also offer some better protection.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or otherwise -- the adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to alter structures to improve the livability and the modernization of their home.

The Board also notes letters of support from abutters.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the special permit.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, special permit is granted. Good luck.

JEREMY FLOWER: Thank you so much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Can $I$ ask, what is all that stuff behind you?

JEREMY FLOWER: Oh, I'm a musician. This is all my equipment to make electronic music.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wow. Very impressive. Okay. Good luck.

JEREMY FLOWER: Sorry. Thanks, bye.
(7:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 177687 -- 40 Valentine Street.

SHILEI ZHOU: Yep?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep, you're on. If you could introduce yourself for the record, and your address?

SHILEI ZHOU: Thanks, Mr. Chair. So my name is Shilei Zhou, and with my architect, Cynthia Chen; I'm the owner of 40 Valentine Street.

Today in front of the Board I'm seeking both special permit and variances for the scope of the proposed work.

So for the variances, and for the variance, we propose a third-floor addition by raising the rear roof to match the existing front roof, and therefore is waiving the setback. And I also increased FAR. So that's the reason we are seeking the variance.

And for the special permits, we want to change and
add new windows, changing the window configurations. That is the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So let me just -- shall I -let me just, there is correspondence in the file.

SHILEI ZHOU: Yes, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And from some abutters on Pearl
Street, and I'm just wondering -- this is just sort of some just came in -- well, there's one correspondence from Richard Reine, R-e-i-n-e.
"We recently received notice of a Zoning Board of Appeal application, which seeks both a variance and special permit for this non-conforming structure. I am aware that several other abutters have concerns similar to ours.
"Unfortunately, due to a prior commitment, we are unable to attend the meeting of July 14, and would like to take the opportunity to provide written comments highlighting several points for the Board's consideration."

I have not had a chance to read this, because it just came in after $I$ reviewed all the cases.

JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, I have the same experience. I'm not aware of that correspondence. I reviewed the cases earlier today; I didn't see it.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, it's Wendy Leiserson. I'm in the same boat.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, it's not on the electronic file.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It goes on to state,
"Furthermore, after multiple reviews of the application package, we are unable to ascertain how the applicant intends on increasing the rear setback from the 10.8 feet, as indicated on the plot plan to the 20 -foot rear setback -- requested rear setback, as listed.
"We respectfully request clarification from the Board or applicant on this item."

I think there is -- well, there's two ways we could go. We can --

SHILEI ZHOU: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- we can continue with the case, continue this evening with your presentation and be a case heard. If it gets continued, it may have to get continued -- you have to assemble the same five members of the Board, depending upon our availability.

So it may very well be into September by the time
we could reconvene on this particular case.
Or, we could continue this matter to another date.
You could have correspondence with some of the people who have raised some issues here, and come back to us and address those issues, those concerns. And then we could hear the case then, which may be sooner than if we have to reassemble the same five members of the Board.

SHILEI ZHOU: When is, like, the earliest availability for the -- the hearing thing?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: August 18.
SHILEI ZHOU: Next month?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: One month.
SHILEI ZHOU: Yeah. I'm not sure, is my
architect, Cynthia on the line, so I'm not sure. So August will be -- I think August will be, like, a better idea to give us more time to review the other communication, sent before -- yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I think it's --
SHILEI ZHOU: -- as long as it's --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it's a wise decision. You know, and then -- and then you can address those. Because otherwise we're going to spend an hour here tonight and then
continue it, you know? Because these people have no chance to rebut what is being said. So I want to give --

SHILEI ZHOU: Right, right, understood.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- yeah. You know, fair -give them the courtesy to be involved in this so.

Do the members of the Board concur with that conclusion to continue this matter?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I do agree. I do, Mr. Chair. WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.

SHILEI ZHOU: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter until August? August 18 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that you will sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing to be heard and a decision to be rendered. You can get the form from Maria. She can e-mail it to you, you sign it and send it back to her.

The form must be returned to us by 5:00 p.m. a week from Monday. Okay? So I'm giving you seven, eight, nine, 10 days -- 12, 11 days or something like that. Please return it.

That any new submittals to the plans that are
different than the plans that are in the file now, supporting statements or dimensional form, that they be in the file resubmitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to August 18.

That you change the posting sign to reflect the new date of August 18, and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

So if you could sign the waiver form -- get that from Maria, get that back to us -- change the posting sign to August 18 and the time of 6:00 p.m. and any new submittals different than what's in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to August 18.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde, in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Yes in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor of the continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This matter is continued until

August 18. Thank you, sir.
SHILEI ZHOU: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We'll see you then.
(7:37 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 170416 -- 107 Rindge Avenue. Okay, you're on. If you introduce yourself for the record, your address for the record, please.

KENNETH WALTON AND RACHEL GRASHOW: Hello. This is Kenneth Walton and Rachel Grashow. We're the owners of 107 Rindge Avenue. We are looking to get the -- our current front steps replaced with a porch. That will be in the same style as our next-door neighbors at 113 Rindge Avenue. And I believe we need a variance due to the setback requirements.

RACHEL GRASHOW: We are new to this process, so we just put together a presentation and e-mailed it to Maria. So it may be too late. We do have some photos of our home and the home next door, Phil's home, that has a very similar porch. We wanted to do a similar style.

And I believe Phil Roberts submitted a note on our
behalf supporting the addition of the porch.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Olivia, if you could
pull up --
OLIVIA RATAY: Oh, that looks great.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You can just sort of walk us through it a little bit.

KENNETH WALTON: So the current state is brick steps in front of the house that are kind of crumbling, which led to this.

And so, our plan is to remove those front steps and create a front porch that's essentially the same distance from the house as those front steps, but spanning the width of the house, which will be in a similar style to the house next door to us.

RACHEL GRASHOW: Yeah. I don't know if there's more information. We're just -- we love the community, we have great relationships with our neighbors, we love the chance to be outside of it more. And yeah, I don't know if there's any other information that we can provide that would help us explain the situation.

KENNETH WALTON: And if you look at Slide 7, I believe it has a picture of our house to -- you kind of
can't see our house, but our house on the right and the porch on the left of our next-door neighbors.

RACHEL GRASHOW: And that actually -- it says 109. It actually should be 113. That's Bill's home. And that's the plan for the porch. It's basically modeled off of our neighbor's porch.

KENNETH WALTON: Right. Our understanding was that originally the house had a porch of a similar style that at some point was removed and converted just to the brick steps that are currently there. And those are now --

RACHEL GRASHOW: In bad shape.
KENNETH WALTON: -- in very poor shape. And so, we'd like to return it to the original state.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim, any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, any questions?
JASON MARSHALL: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Hi, folks.
Like the drawings, they're great. Just want to confirm, this is an application for a special permit, as I understand it. Is that --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's correct, yeah. JASON MARSHALL: Right?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's not a variance. There's no new nonconformities.

JASON MARSHALL: All right. Just there was -there was -- I did have a question. I was just looking at the dimensional form. It looks like it's saying are there currently two parking spaces and you're going to zero?

KENNETH WALTON: No.
RACHEL GRASHOW: Oh, no.

KENNETH WALTON: No.
JASON MARSHALL: Okay.
KENNETH WALTON: I'm not sure what happened with that. There are two parking spaces where that's around the other side of the house, and that will remain completely unchanged.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. Alright. That's -- I thought that was -- like I said, I just wanted to clarify that.

RACHEL GRASHOW: No, thanks for clarifying.
JASON MARSHALL: Thank you. Yeah.
RACHEL GRASHOW: That all stays the same.
JASON MARSHALL: All right. Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, any questions?

WENDY LEISERSON: None that haven't been asked. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. I have no questions either. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from William Robert.
"I live at 113 Rindge Avenue, next to 107 Rindge
Avenue. I understand Rachel and Ken have requested a variance to add a porch to 107. I am writing to enthusiastically support this effort, and request that you issue a variance without delay.
"The work Rachel and Ken are doing will improve the house and improve the community. I don't know for certain if that particular house was built with a porch, but
my house was built with the same floor plan and has always had one.
"Having a porch improves the aesthetics of the house, but it will also add practical value. It makes it easier to enter and exit in cold or rainy weather, and provides a place to sit or engage with the community.
"I look forward to seeing Rachel and Ken's project completed, and hope the Board of Zoning Appeal feels the same way. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions."
"Phil Robert
113 Rindge Avenue"
Sum and substance of any correspondence. And I will close the public comment part. Anything else to add?

RACHEL GRASHOW: Thank you.
KENNETH WALTON: Thank you.
RACHEL GRASHOW: Thanks for what you do. We appreciate it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's right. It probably was built with some kind of porch or covering, fell into disrepair and called the carpenter or contractor and gave a ridiculous price. They said take it down and never put it
back up. And here you are undoing that.
It needs something. So are we ready for a motion from the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant a special permit under 8.222d. There is no new conformity being created here.

In all districts, the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached singlefamily dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental, and
does make such finding, than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, the Board makes the following finding that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress resulting would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

Continued operations of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use; in fact, it would be enhanced by providing protection from the elements in all four seasons, which will add to the health and safety of any person using the structure.

The Board also finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow petitioners to alter an existing
structure; in this case to provide some protection over the front and rear entryway, which will greatly enhance the safety and the livability of the structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted. Good luck. It'll look great.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you so much.
(7:47 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 177941 -- 286 Broadway. Mr. Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Cambridge BZA, members of the Board and our Chairman. We're here tonight to hear -- to request zoning relief for 286 Broadway, a 3,100 square-foot lot at the corner of Broadway and Elm Street, in a BA Zone.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Not to interrupt you, but I think I will. Adam, are you aware of correspondence that came in today from sort of a number of people in opposition and stating legal arguments against the granting and stating legal arguments that we have no legal basis to grant the relief?

ADAM GLASSMAN: I'm not aware of those letters that came in today. I can say we have substantial neighborhood support, especially from our most direct abutters. We had a Planning Board hearing last week, and
they had requests -- many of which we've been able to address.

I do know I've been in contact with Dan Totten since July 4, so I know he is very upset that we're not building more units. And I have a feeling that's where a lot of this opposition has come from in the last day or two.

I'm -- I can't answer the legal arguments. I would say that the Zoning Code allows us to take an approach that is controlled by the $C-2 B$ Residential Zone. We do not have to develop according to the BA zone. We have that option under the zoning code.

And therefore anything we propose under the zoning code, governed by C-2B -- Dimensional Requirements -- that we cannot meet we can take to the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I guess where I'm going with this is that whether or not you wanted to proceed with tonight's hearing, or continue the matter and address some of the issues that were raised?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, I would like to proceed. I'm definitely not saying --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- that I need the Board to vote
tonight, we have outstanding questions. But I would definitely like to proceed.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. That's fine. All right.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Could we see the first slide? So
what we're proposing is a two-family dwelling unit. Each unit approximately 2,700 square feet, a rather modest proposal, units large enough to accommodate modern living for two families, but certainly not exceeding it by much. The zoning relief required is only for setbacks. We would be here for zoning relief no matter what approach we took to this lot.

The approach that the owners in consultation with our abutters decided to take was the path of least resistance, according to the Zoning Code. We're able to provide the parking spaces, the open space. Our height is conforming. Our FAR remains conforming. We're here only for setback violations.

And the existing structure, I should add, also has existing setback violations.

Next slide?
Here you can see where -- towards the bottom central, center of the BA Zone, the $X$ shows our corner lot
on the corner of Elm and Broadway.
Next slide?
I'm sure everyone's familiar with the lot, but we can slowly go through these photos, Olivia?

This is the state of the lot now. It's been -the building's been past its useful life for a long time. It's been on and off the market for about 10 years.

Various developers have approached me to study the site over the years, and no one's been really willing to take the chance to do anything here out of fear of being declined by the Zoning Board or opposed by the abutters.

And now we have a real chance to develop this lot in a way beneficial to the community that the neighbors support to add two, high-quality housing units and finally bring something of value to this corner.

This is the -- go back one more, please, Olivia? This is the view from Elm Street. And you can see in the background the metric system sign which there was interest in preserving, which we will be preserving. And you can see the telephone pole which is directly in the middle of our lot.

Next slide?

Quick review of the zoning variables, the lot size is existing, non-conforming. FAR lot width, building height, ratio of private open space to lot size will all be conforming. Our front rear and side setbacks will require zoning relief.

Next slide?
This is a diagram that shows the change in the footprint location. The red is the existing old mechanic shop, essentially at a zero lot line of both the back and the left, very nearly a zero lot line.

We are taking a nearly identical sized footprint and relocating it on the lot, increasing non-conforming setbacks, where they're already non-conforming.

Next slide?
Oh, you can zoom out a little bit, just below this. In addition to the mechanic shop in the left-hand corner or towards the back, you've also got an existing shed on the lower right, which has been there for some time and creates visibility issues.

Next slide?
Our setback diagram -- you can see the red dashed line -- running through the building on the left, the right,
the rear and the front sides. You can see that while accommodating the required parking and functional entry rooms for trash, recycling bikes, this is a challenging lot to develop, while also maintaining their acquired landscape buffers, the usable open space.

So anything developed on this lot according to the zoning approach we've taken would require zoning relief.

Next slide?
The existing lot to provide contrast, we've got the building wedged up in the upper left-hand corner. The rest of the lot is essentially black asphalt paving.

Next slide?
3D views of the proposed two-family dwelling unit.
We tried to make something that was somewhat visually interesting, somewhat sculptural, we have a diverse architectural group of buildings on this section of Broadway.

And this addition would be consistent with the size and the massing and the height and add to the visual interest of the neighborhood.

Next slide?
Our open space diagram -- and actually this is our
-- this is what we proposed originally. This does become modified slightly in the next slide, but where we -- where we started this process was the building more or less located in the center of the lot.

The garage towards the left closest to the lamplighter was proposed to be approximately 11 feet from the sidewalk, and we had 11 or seven feet of landscape buffer on the lower left-hand corner, 500 square feet of private open space in the back left, and a four-foot landscape buffer in the rear.

Next slide, please?
We have developed landscape plans to make sure that all open space is appropriately landscaped, attractively landscaped, providing buffers between us and our neighbors, and between the building and the street. Only the driveway and the walkways would be paved, and they would all be pervious pavers. There will be no asphalt introduced to this site.

Next slide?
Additional landscape details we can go back to later if anyone's interested.
Next slide?

So this brings us to where we were when we submitted, and where we at now after our Planning Board hearing. On the left is the original submission with the building located more towards the middle of the lot. On the right-hand side, after receiving Planning Board comments, we've moved the building three feet to the front towards Broadway.

The Planning Board wanted us to move the building as close to the street as possible. Some even asked for zero lot lines, to be consistent with some of the other buildings on the block. However, they also asked for landscape buffers on Broadway, and on the corner of Broadway and Elm, so there were some mixed messages there with those comments, so we tried to split the difference.

We have moved the building three feet towards Broadway.
We've maintained the landscape buffer on the lower left, but we've reduced it by three feet, and we've been able to maintain the walkways, the driveway, the areas for snow removal that would be needed.

And this dovetails with strong requests by many of our abutters on Elm Street who ask that we move the building towards Broadway and increase our landscape buffers and
usable open space in the rear.
Oh, and what $I$ want to add is although the first story is now eight feet from the street, we have a projecting bay on the upper levels that will be three feet from the street.

So the request from the Planning Board, or one of the requests, was a zero lot line. We've reduced it down to three, and we've been able to maintain the landscape buffers that were also requested at the same time, which we also think makes these units much more livable for the families who will be there.

Next slide?
Could you zoom out of it? Digital renderings of the proposed architecture -- again, we've got various building types in the neighborhood, and were consistent with their size and scale. And again, not trying to create something that would lack any kind of visual interest or sculptural qualities.

Next slide?
View from the east on Broadway. You can see the landscape buffers in the front, our projecting bays on the upper levels, and our street-facing front doors and garages.

Next slide?
First floor, we've got garages for each unit.
These are townhouse style units, side-by-side. Each unit has one parking space, an entry and a stair that goes up to the upper levels and supporting spaces for storage, trash, recycling and bikes.

Next slide?
Upstairs, open living space, kitchen, dining and living areas. These are not huge units. They basically provide the kinds of living spaces that most people now require with the family.

Next slide?
On the upper levels, we have three bedrooms for each unit, and on the upper level on the next slide, the topmost level, we have one main bedroom. We've got small deck spaces for both units, so there's more of a direct connection to the outside for whatever families live here.

Next slide?
Our roof plan, condensers, skylights for access and maintenance.

Next slide?
And a final view of the proposed project -- again
to the left and to the right, there's consistency in scale and massing, which we thought was important.

At this time, we will take any questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Just a comment that I would have is that we are basically starting off with a blank piece of paper, because the existing building which is there, obviously is an eyesore and just has to go away. Replacing it with what is proposed to me I think is a little bit of a stretch. Well, maybe a bit more than a little bit of a stretch. And the owner of the property bought this last fall?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Frasier Allen is here with us. I believe it was last fall, but he can answer questions.

FRASER ALLAN: Fraser Allan. I'm the owner of the property. I live in East Boston, 200 Falcon Street. And yes, we bought the property. I believe it was March.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So we have basically ostensibly a blank piece of paper. And somebody buys it and wants to develop it and comes down to us and needs -- you know, relief, and the question $I$ have ask is that, you know, what you paid for the lot is your cost.

But when you buy something like this -- and again,
it's a business decision -- the value of it is what you can do with it as of right. And not have to rely upon zoning relief in order to develop it.

And, you know, it's chancy to come down and say, "Okay, I'm going to buy it and" -- and in order to recoup and, you know, make some money on it, which is fine -- I mean that's -- I don't care if you make a dollar or a million dollars, it doesn't matter to me -- but we -- in order to when you buy it and you are going to develop it that you need zoning relief, I can't connect those dots. I would like to see what can $I$ do with this as of right? And then say I can't do it.

Well, then the question is why? Well, it's not feasible. It's not economically feasible. Well, when does it not become feasible? Is it because I paid too much for the lot and now $I$ have to put $x$ number of dollars into it in order to recoup my initial investment?

Obviously, there is the soft costs and the hard costs that go into this, in order to make this development worthwhile.

So those are the dots and those are the areas that I can't connect yet, as to why you need so much zoning
relief in order to justify or, you know, to -- well, it's more than justification, it's more to make this project viable to your standards, whatever that may be, which is fine. So I'm -- as to what is proposed.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Could I address some of what you've asked us to address?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Certainly no one came in here assuming they were entitled to a lot of zoning relief. A by -right project as a single-family is feasible to build -certainly not feasible as a business decision, but even more than that everyone with interest in this site, whether they oppose it or support it, nobody wants to see a single-family here.

And most of our opposition is that we don't have more ambitious plans to cram more units on this lot.

If we were to do that, we would have more support, but we would be asking for substantially more zoning relief to make that happen.

The zoning relief we're asking for is quite modest in this case. We're asking for setback relief. This is a difficult corner lot.

And we've managed to submit a nearly zoning code compliant project, but given it's a corner lot, and given that nobody here, for good urban planning reasons, wants to see a single-family, we do need zoning relief to accommodate even a two-family in order to have parking.

If we weren't seeking zoning relief for setbacks, we'd be seeking it for parking. And without the parking, the abutters on Elm Street, where there's substantial pressure on parking already, we wouldn't have the support of our closest abutters.

Additionally, another -- one other improvement that we're adding here is that we've got an existing 38-foot curb cut on Broadway. We're reducing it to 23 feet, adding in basically another off-street parking space.

So not only are we meeting the zoning code with parking, we're providing an additional parking space on Broadway. So I would disagree that we're here seeking all kinds of zoning relief. This is really just for setbacks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, yeah. I mean, I concur with the Planning Board that $I$ think it's inappropriate to have the entrance on Broadway. It should be the other side. But also, it could be that it's a smaller structure. You're
proposing what, 2 four-bedroom units.
ADAM GLASSMAN: A smaller structure will not
change the physical requirements for parking and entry and trash. And the Planning Board did ask us to look at -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Maybe you don't provide any parking?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Then we'll be here for relief for parking.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, then maybe that's --
ADAM GLASSMAN: So no matter -- I know one of the Standards the Board uses, would anybody need zoning relief on this lot to do something reasonable? And that applies to this corner lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it up to questions from the Board.

Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Comments. I'm -- I'm actually
comfortable with the scheme. I think it's a rather nice proposal for this particular lot in this particular section of Broadway. And I think the photos that you showed across the state, it all seems to be very much in context.

And I read the Planning Board's comments. I don't
think there's any way with your current plan or even a revised plan you're going to park from the opposite street. So I think it's a reasonable request, and that's my comment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jason, any questions or comments at this time?

JASON MARSHALL: Just a comment at the outset. Mr. Chair, you had referred some letters that have been input into the record today. I haven't reviewed those letters. They are not in the electronic file on the City's website. I checked the record earlier, weren't there, they're still not there.

So it is challenging to make a determination today without having reviewed those, and maybe the individuals that submitted them will be here tonight and can review those comments during the public comment phase of the hearing here.

Mr. Glassman, just a threshold question, is the hardship here related to topography, soil, shape or a combination of one of those three?

ADAM GLASSMAN: It is. Shape and lot size. This is very typical -- a small lot in Cambridge can't fit modest, functional space with violating at least, you know,
one piece of the zoning ordinance.
JASON MARSHALL: Well, it would have to be -- it can't be lot size. Lot size isn't one of the three --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, the --
JASON MARSHALL: -- terms. I mean it's -- you
know, personally I think that should be a criteria, but that's not what the legislature

ADAM GLASSMAN: Right.
JASON MARSHALL: -- has said.
ADAM GLASSMAN: And I get that. And quite often, you know, the Board views a non-conforming lot, an existing non-conforming lot, as a legitimate hardship.

JASON MARSHALL: I understand that. I think I'm probably more of a nudge on that than maybe my colleagues, but it is an issue for me. So if shape is there, if you could sort of talk through that a little bit, I think that would be helpful for the record.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, the shape of the lot is related also, of course to its size. It's a non-conforming lot. So there's no way we can produce anything beyond a single-family here, which nobody wants to see without seeking zoning relief.

We're not asking for more units than the Zoning Code requires, we're not asking for parking relief, which is always a major concern. This is really a very modest amount in order to satisfy the rest of the zoning code.

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I mean, it's not the Board's criteria, it's -- you know, it's statutory. Again, I think I am more of a nudge on that. There's a lot of case law, where size has been the basis of BZA's ruling, and it's been overturned. It's pretty clear. So that doesn't give me a lot of confidence. I guess I'll stop there for now and see if my colleagues have other questions.

ADAM GLASSMAN: You know, I'd also like to say all these letters that came in today, it -- it feels somewhat like kind of a sabotaged move here, because we in good faith have had our application and the sign's been posted.

We've spoken to our abutters. We've been able to produce in a timely manner our support letters, and -- you know, a bunch of letters that were organized coming at the last minute in Design just to prolong this case doesn't feel fair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It makes it very difficult for members of the Board and puts us into an awkward position
when somebody does write in -- and again, it's their prerogative, this was received today at 3:58.

And just basically enough time for Staff to insert it into the file, but definitely not enough time for Board members to review. However, that being said, some of the issues that are raised are legitimate and -- you know, because it came in even under the wire, still came in and my way of thinking cannot be discounted anyhow. But my thought on that.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, we can get back to that.
Wendy Leiserson, any comments or questions at this time?
WENDY LEISERSON: You know, I think -- I don't have any more comments. I think I'd like to see these letters that came in today. And, you know, I think the design is thoughtful, but $I$ think Jason raises some valid statutory concerns, and I'd like to consider them.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Matina, any questions, comments at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm actually in favor. I like it. I love the design. I like the fact that you didn't try and cram 10 million little units on one little lot. It's
nice. It's tasteful.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. That's fine. Let me open it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment, and then we will mute you at that point.

OLIVIA RATAY: Justin Saif?
JUSTIN SAIF: Hi, Justin Saif. I'm from 259
Hurley Street in East Cambridge. I'm here on behalf of Violeta Montessori School, which is a direct abutter across the street.

Violeta has been there for many years, and is disheartened and disappointed not to have received any notice from the proponents of this project.

Violeta is strongly opposed to the approval of this project on that basis alone, prior to further opportunity -- prior to being provided with further opportunity to review the proposal and provide more
considered feedback.

I spoke to the Head of School just before this meeting. She is on vacation out of town. She's not had any contact, nor has anyone else associated with the school, from the proponents, and would wish to be able to have more time to provide that feedback and review of this.

The same thing happened with previous proposal for this lot. So it's extra disappointing to have this happen again. And obviously, you know, there should be awareness of their location across the street, given that the -- you know, the proposed dispensary that was there before had to withdraw, in part due to the fact that there is a school directly across the street.

In addition, consistent with the Montessori philosophy and the Wildflower School network storefront approach, Violeta would propose the removal of the firstfloor retail space from this location.

Violeta has greatly enjoyed having retail
neighbors that walk as activated. The students are able to visit and interact with the commercial strip on the Broadway.

And it's a detriment to the community to have that
chipped away at for a, you know, two-family housing project of very large, very expensive homes that will contribute very little, plus parking that will likely interfere with drop-off and pickup and the future protected bike lane to be built on Broadway.

A two-family is frankly no better than a single family here, and no parking should be permitted and -- you know, on a personal basis as a lawyer I'd just say that it certainly sounds like this proposal would not likely qualify for zoning relief.

Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Tracey Stark?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason (sic)?
TRACEY STARK: For me?
OLIVIA RATAY: Tracey.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tracey.
TRACEY STARK: Yep, okay. I live at 51 Market Street, which is just a couple blocks away. And I've just heard about this recently, and I'm kind of troubled. There's only two parking spaces, and it's naïve to think there's only going to be two cars. There's going to be at
least two cars per unit, and in my experience, these often get rented out to college kids.

So there might be as many as eight or 10 cars in there, and certainly can't stipulate when you sell it that there will only be one or two cars. And we already have a shortage of parking in the neighborhood.

And furthermore, I'm sad to see the loss of retail space. I think that this could be an area -- there used to be an arts center there, there could be an area where we have more community space.

And I know the developer says, "Well, this will benefit the community," but I don't see how it would benefit the community. It looks like it benefits the development. And that's my comment. Thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Santos?
SANTOS CARRASQUILLO: Hello, everyone. Can you
hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
SANTOS CARRASQUILLO: Hello, my name is Santos Carrasquillo, lifelong resident of the Port, and the previous leaseholder of the space. I also disagree that --
and do not see any community benefit with this current proposal. So I don't think they should receive any special permitting, any variances at all.

The space was previously used as open community art and retail space. The space is in a small commercial district, in the poorest and marginalized neighborhood in Cambridge.

So to remove retail space and remove the opportunity for our poorer residents to obtain retail and do business and economically grow would not be possible with this proposal.

There also has been the lack of engagement in the community, as the Montessori School has not been notified and other communities have not been notified of the project. I just came here today to, you know, decline, not agree, and please do not approve this special permitting.

We need more retail space so more residents can be able to do business and get out of poverty. And this was the home of many and multiple businesses -- diverse businesses, small businesses, which will be removed with this current proposal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you.

OLIVIA RATAY: Kathleen?
KATHLEEN GENOVA: Hello, can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can.
KATHLEEN GENOVA: I'm sorry, I was driving.
Kathleen Genova, 281 Broadway. I own and live in one of the units in the three-family house directly across Broadway from this proposed project.

Our lot size is the same pretty much regular, almost a rectangle, just like 286's shaped lot, only 300 square feet larger. And just about 10 years ago, they were able to develop our three-family home with a three-car parking garage in total conformity with the zoning bylaw.

We satisfied the setback requirements, and it is appalling to have this applicant suggest that they cannot on virtually an identically sized and shaped lot do the same thing.

The law is very clear that there are three initial criteria that need to be met.

The first option would be that there's a problem with the shape of the lot, which there is not -- the lot being a corner lot is not a shape issue, size is not a shape issue.

The second is that there's a problem with the topography, which there is not and there has been no claim there is, and the third is that there is an issue with soil conditions.

Interestingly in the application, the applicant says the problem is soil conditions, and that there is contamination that needs to be addressed.

However, at least on the Mass DEP website, which is linked in this submission -- and I apologize if people feel it was late, I did get a notice from the Board and went to the website, and it said things were due today and with July 4 holiday last week, today was the first time I could get to it.

So I apologize if people feel I'm complying with the Board's timeline was too late, but I did follow the notice I was given. In any event, I did submit today and searched today on the Mass DEP reporting website for waste sites and releases, and there is no report filed for 286 Broadway.

> It might be that they are aware of some contamination and have filed their report and it just hasn't popped up on the website yet, I don't know. But there's no
information provided in their report, other than the bare assertion that there's contaminated soil, and that was not mentioned in the presentation earlier as a reason for this request.

So I just find it curious. I do cite in my submission today that that quite unfortunately is not an unusual soil condition in the district. In fact, our own property at 281 Broadway used to have an auto shop as well and had contaminated soil. And they still -- project without a variance.

Diagonally across the street, 277 Broadway has contamination issues. And they have a building there. In any event, in short, $I$ will direct you to the legal standard. It's not nudgy to comply with it, it's your obligation to comply with it.

The developer bought this property just a couple months ago knowing that there were challenges, and if they want a variance because alleged soil conditions cause subsection hardship --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, okay.
KATHLEEN GENOVA: -- it's incumbent on them to submit proof of that, which includes costing information for
their project and for conforming alternatives. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. Yep. OLIVIA RATAY: Journey?

FRUITFUL JOURNEY: Hello?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Go ahead.
FRUITFUL JOURNEY: How you guys doing this evening?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. You have three minutes. FRUITFUL JOURNEY: Yeah. I'm a longtime resident of Cambridge, 2 Norfolk Place. And I oppose the continuing of the gentrification in general of Cambridge. There's a lot of opportunities that are not coming from what will happen if you guys do this.

The retail space is very important. I have a 12-year-old daughter. I was bringing her to the space. There were also workshops I was doing with [audio unclear] and garden-related ventures for the kids, also across the way.

I feel like you will be taking away from the Montessori School as well as the kids being able to interact with the plant life and other things that entail the space. It could be worked with, you know? Everyone else had a lot of great ideas.

But the key thing is, I hear a lot of compassion for parking spaces but what about the community? What is the community going to get from this? If they build this cranky, thick building, you know.

What about the construction noises for the people that have been chillin' and had to live in those apartments all around? What about those people? Do they get a notification that one day they're -- [noise] just start drilling and make all this -- you know what I mean? I just hope that we'll can make the right decision and stop taking from the community and build.

You know, there's a lot of other apartments and stuff already built new around there. You know what I mean? And yeah, that's my piece on that. You know? I just want to see Cambridge be great. We've got to make better decisions as a community, you know.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. And thank you.
FRUITFUL JOURNEY: And that's my piece. Thank you so much for your time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, no, thank you for calling in.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jeff Solomon?

JEFF SOLOMON: Hi. Can you guys hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, go ahead.
JEFF SOLOMON: I'm also -- I'm a resident of
Cambridge, long-term resident. I've been here for over 20 years. I lived at 12 Boardman Street, just currently relocated to 54 Market.

I am also opposed to the construction of this building. I don't see how it benefits in any way, shape or form, expressly because the building that they're putting up doesn't have affordable housing in it or Section 8 units.

I have lived here through many counts of construction, and I live in a newly renovated building. And there's maybe five or six within -- not even about block of my building that have been renovated.

The building that $I$ used to live in was also renovated. I don't think we need another housing building or two-family house or another -- like, like my other person said on here, another gentrification building. You guys are taking away from the marginalized families who live in this community. I've had friends who literally have had to move out of this city because they couldn't afford rent.

And nobody makes it easier for people who live here that can literally only survive on Section 8 and Social Security, you know, that's all the money that they have coming in on a monthly basis.

So I just see a lot of people kicking these people out and just -- it's, it's -- it's like a, "Okay, well, figure out where to go" mindset and, "We don't care, because we got the development, and we got what we needed. So you guys can go figure it out."

And I think we need to stop doing that. And I think we need to combat doing that, and I just think that this is not good idea to build another rushed project in the poorer city in Cambridge? Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Dan Totten?
DAN TOTTEN: Yes, hi. My name is Dan Totten. I live at 54 Bishop Allen, which is a few blocks away. I have a few things I want to say. I did submit something yesterday. I apologize that it was not sooner but, you know, I -- I didn't realize it was on this agenda until yesterday. So that's why.

First of all, I just want to point out that the
application lists the current zoning district as Residence $\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ zone. And that's, like, actually incorrect. It's a BA Zone, it's a business district.

And I would wonder -- I'm just going to wonder out loud whether that sort of makes this particular application invalid from the start. Because $I$ think it's very important when you're -- you know, it seems to me that it's important when you're considering a variance to understand the data.

And if this application was filled out thinking that this was a C-2B Zone -- and I understand why that might have been thought, because the BA District for dwelling units says to use the Dimensional Standards of a C-2B zone.

But this is not applicable to $C-2 B$ zone. And $I$ think that really gets at the heart of the matter. Because in a BA District, the goal is to have some ground-floor commercial space.

And if they were working from a place where this was Residence $C-3 B$ or $C-2 B$, they weren't ever considering the -- that the zoning does permit at this site to put it -for ground-floor retail, there's essentially zero setbacks, right? They weren't considering that, their delta was something else.

And so, I think on a fundamental level, you know, this application is -- is in some ways not valid. But I think another point I want to make is about the school. Because a few weeks ago I did reach out to the developer, you know, because my intent is not to -- you know, get in the way here, my intent is to work collaboratively.

And so, a few weeks ago I did reach out to the developer, and I asked him as part of my e-mail, "Will you please reach out to the Montessori School, as a courtesy? This will have an impact on their operations, the kids eating lunch at a picnic table on Elm Street right at the corner, will you please reach out as a courtesy?"

And I was very disappointed today before the meeting to find out that he had not reached out to them, and that was confirmed by Justin earlier.

And I just -- I think that's, it's just indicative of a lack of outreach that's been made clear tonight and presumably through e-mail.

And $I$ just want to say that $I$ think, at a minimum, this should be continued to give people more time to, you know, appreciate what's going on.

But frankly, I think you might want to -- you
might want to suggest to the applicant that this be scrapped, and that they start over, because -- you know, it just -- it just seems fundamentally invalid, because they -they're starting from the wrong zoning point.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DAN TOTTEN: And finally $I$ just want to say, you know, this is a neighborhood with a lot of people of color. I dare say it's not often that you hear from lifelong Black and Brown Cantabridgians in this Board, and I think that should really be factored in. Thank you so much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you, Dan. All
right. That's the end of the callers. We are in receipt of a plethora of communication. Start with the Planning Board.
"The Planning Board reviewed this BZA application during its meeting on July 5 and decided to forward the following comments to the BZA.
"The Planning Board had no recommendation regarding whether or not to grant the requested relief. However, the Planning Board suggested exploring the following design improvements.
"Though the project is proposing to reduce the existing curb cut on Broadway, the Board suggested
relocating the driveway to Elm Street if feasible. The Board supports further reduction of the setbacks along Broadway to align better with the existing buildings along Broadway, and improving the façade design to maintain a stronger presence along the street.
"The Board recommends further improvements to landscape area along Broadway and additional street trees whenever possible, with approval from the DPW."

There's correspondence from A.C. Jones, CoFounder, Lamplighter Brewing.
"I have had the opportunity to review the proposed plans to construct a new two-family dwelling at 286 Broadway. As an abutter to the project, I would like to express my full support for the proposed design, which is a welcome and appropriate addition to our streetscape.
"The existing corner lot is small and impossible to utilize and improve without even the most minimal zoning relief. Therefore, I urge the Cambridge BZA to approve the requested zoning relief, to allow this sensible project to proceed."

The correspondence that I earlier referenced from Botong Ma -- B-o-t-o-n-g Ma, 281 Broadway:
"This e-mail is submitted in opposition to the variance application submitted by Frasier Allan, owner of 286 Broadway, through his entity, FL Broadway LLC.
"Mr. Allen's application should be denied because the standard for issuing a variance under Massachusetts General Law 40A Section 10 is not met.
"Further, if you have any further setback less than 10 feet, a special permit as required, variances are to be granted sparingly, Dion $v$. Board of Appeal of Waltham. Even if the Board could grant a variance, it is not required to do so, because no one has a legal right to a variance.
"To issue a variance, the BZA by statue must significantly find -- must specifically find that owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, the shape or topography of such land or structures, and especially affecting such land or structures but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance or bylaws would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship, financial or otherwise to the petitioner or appellant.
"And that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and without
nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of such ordinance to the bylaw.
"The applicant has not met his burden of providing evidence that the statutory standard is met. The burden is upon the applicant, as the person seeking a variance, and the Board granting, to produce evidence that each of the discrete statutory prerequisites have been met, and that the variance is justified.
"Since the requirements for the grant of a variance are injunctive, not disjunctive, a failure to establish any one of them is fatal."

In other words, if the Board is unable to make all of the findings required by the statute, the variance must be denied.

There is some issue about the soil, and the data does not show this particular site.
"The applicant has not shown the soil conditions and are not generally applicable to the district. The applicant has not shown that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the bylaw would involve a substantial hardship.
"The applicant has not provided --

It really goes on for -- for three pages, the legal argument against the granting of purely financial --
"It certainly cannot be granted simply to relieve a developer of a situation he created or to increase a developer's profits -- purely financial hardship of the owner's own making is insufficient to support a granting of a variance -- see Arrigo v. Planning Board of Franklin.
"The grant of a variance to permit the owner of the locus to increase profits does not qualify as a hardship permitting the granting of the variance, and that's Wilson v. Sun Oil Company."

I think that's really the sum and substance and flavor of that correspondence. There are other letters in opposition basically picking up on that same point, and also mentioning some of the other comments that the other callers have mentioned.

So I will close the public comment part of this and send it back to you.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Before you close public comment, I believe there's a raised hand from one of our abutters. Do you see anyone trying to get in?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I've closed, and I didn't see
it. So --
ADAM GLASSMAN: This was -- this -- this was our

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. We'll allow one more call.

OLIVIA RATAY: David Pincus?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Pincus.
DAVID PINCUS: Hi. I'm David Pincus. I am a resident of Cambridge. I've lived here at 32 Elm Street, for now entering my twenty-ninth year by choice.

I am the single most affected residential abutter. The existing building goes right up against mine along my long lot line. This has been an incredibly difficult building to live next to. It's been a very difficult site to improve, it's been a blight on our neighborhood.

And I can say this as a resident next door, for many, many years I've personally put time, effort, sweat equity and money into cleaning up that property multiple times -- taking lots and lots and lots of auto parts and schlepping it down to Nissenbaum's and paying for them to disappear. I helped Hisham (phonetic) find real estate agents again and again we commiserated, and no one wanted to
develop this plot, because it's tough.
I'm sorry to hear that the developer did not reach
out to Montessori; that definitely should have happened. He certainly reached out to me. I extended that to all the owners, or most of the owners along Elm Street. And if you know anything about owners in Cambridge, it is difficult to get them all together to agree.

And so the letter that I put together is signed by five owners on Elm Street -- me, Mohammed (phonetic) who lives right across Elm, Ruth who lives next to me, David and Tina who own the building beyond that, and Mike and Mimi who own the building behind that.

I'd like to point out that together as a unit, we support this project. We very strongly would support this project if it slid towards Broadway. And I'm very happy to hear that the developer, after getting input from the Planning Board, did so.

In terms -- I'm not an engineer, but as far as shape goes, there is a very important power pole on Elm Street right in front of this building. That power pole is not just a regular power pole, it carries the high-tension line from under the street, up and then across to the
transformer. And that does not exist in front of 279? 281, right across.

So the lot directly across Broadway was able to turn their building and have the entrances -- their threecar entrance on Elm Street, whereas that I don't think is possible here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for the wrap-up. I'll give you another 10 seconds.

DAVID PINCUS: Thank you. 100 percent of buildings on my block and across Elm Street violate the setback.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you, David.
DAVID PINCUS: Thank you very much for the opportunity to talk.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Yep. We'll close public comment. Back to you, Adam.

ADAM GLASSMAN: I'm sorry, back to me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Back to you.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. So there's -- there's kind of a lot here to go through.

The first thing $I$ want to say is extend our apologies to the Montessori School. This was certainly not
meant to disrespect them in any way. Frankly, we were very focused on our most direct abutters, and those residential -- the residences on Elm Street.

It didn't, frankly, occur to me that Montessori School would have a problem with this modest residential development. We've provided landscape buffers between the building and the sidewalk. We're certainly not a cannabis business. We're not serving alcohol. This is a pretty harmless program we're proposing for the street.

But again, we'll certainly reach back out to them. I'm not sure what their concerns are, how we can really address them. But we all do our best.

As far as the zone that we're in, yes, it's a BA Zone, and it is our responsibility to apply either according to the dimensional requirements of the $B A$ zone or the $C-2 B$, if we're proposing a residential use.

Even if we were proposing a mixed-use development, we would still be here applying for zoning relief, because the residential use would still be controlled by the C-2B. And I know our neighbors behind us don't want to see a zero lot line, and I know landscape buffers and useable open space are important to the Zoning Board, and to the people
who live in the neighborhood.
Soil conditions, while we did not -- we never claimed that our hardship is due to less-than-ideal soil, the fact is we did have it tested. And it -- cleanup was recommended.

We are not claiming it as a hardship. That's part of the cost of developing a lot like this -- frankly why this lot has been passed over for the past 10 years -- this soil makes this lot very unappealing.

And I'd like to remind everyone that for 10 years this lot has essentially been on the market. No one has stepped forward to propose retail or more units or a denser program because it's a tough site, and this has a lot of -it attracts a lot of interest.

And some of that interest I'd have to address is more policy -- policy desires rather than the zoning code related. You know, we'll understand the appeal of retail uses. I would love if in my own neighborhood developers would put up an ice cream shop instead of maybe something else that they're proposing.

But the zoning code allows -- some of these policy questions is a much larger discussion beyond this hearing,
and it goes back to how the zoning code should be written. We're certainly not depriving anyone of anything. We're not removing existing retail or commercial use.

What we are removing is an old mechanic's shop in an asphalt lot, which -- you know, as is contributes its own negative environmental impacts to the neighborhood. The asphalt is very hot, there's no shade, there's no place for stormwater runoff to go.

I would say the landscaping of this lot, the greening of this lot, the shading of this lot, the cleaning of the soil, which we'll have to do -- this is a benefit to the neighborhood.

I also want to address the idea that we have no standing. Anyone who comes to the Board on a regular basis, all the Board members know that routinely we acknowledge that a site, that an existing "nonconformancy" with setbacks that are -- that make use of the lot impossible in an appropriate way are recognized by the Board as a hardship.

Now, I'm sure everyone agrees -- if there's one thing everyone can agree on, is that a single-family on this lot would be entirely appropriate. Yes, maybe two units is not as many as some would like, but it's a whole lot better
than one.

And what will wind up on this site without any zoning relief, if anything happens, it will not be retail. It will not be mixed-use, because that is a very difficult and expensive proposition on this lot -- it will be a single-family if the requirement is that there is no zoning relief, there's no hardship seen given the size of the lot -- the existing non-conforming setbacks at the corner lot, which are routinely recognized as a legitimate hardship, and our power line on the Elm Street side, which makes impossible any kind of curb cut or reconfiguration of the parking on this lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What about a single-family with an accessory apartment?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, for those who like to see more density -- and I would also say providing units for families -- is a benefit to the neighborhood. I would think more children in the neighborhood would be a benefit to the Montessori School.

An accessory apartment would create a -- such a lopsided structure we'd still wind up in an essentially enormous single-family, really too big for this site in this
part of the city.
DAVID PINCUS: So what you're saying is it's not feasible?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well. I'm saying it doesn't -- in the real world, a single-family with an accessory apartment makes no sense. You know, in terms of urban design and in terms of who's actually going to live here, who would be attracted to this.

And, you know, as it is we've got two units with four bedrooms creating an enormous single-family. I mean, talk about overpriced housing. That's what we'd be looking at -- even more exclusive housing than some feel we already have.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But again, it goes back to price of acquisition.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Right. But, you know, the lot -whatever the lot is purchased for -- I mean, I don't really want to -- we're not really claiming that kind of financial hardship, because that -- you know, doesn't seem appropriate, but the fact is on this lot, no one's ever going to build anything other than a single-family, and they have to do it by right. And yeah, that certainly makes no
business sense.
And I know it's been said, "Sure, everybody likes to make money, that's the American way," but you can't come to the Board saying "We're not going to make enough money, "and we're not -- but we're not doing that."

We're trying to say that a reasonable use of this lot in the BA's District, even using C-2B Dimensional Requirements, would still demand at least a two-family on this lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I'm not there to support what's before us anyhow. So maybe you can get four other members of the Board. But anyhow -- all right, if you would -- if you have anything else to add before we put it back to the Board?

JASON MARSHALL: Are we going back to Board discussion?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Discussion and a vote.
JASON MARSHALL: Sure. I'd like -- let's, if we're moving into discussion, I'd like to hear more comments from the Board before we decide whether or not to continue the case.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Jim, I think you
are clear that you support what's before us?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct. And I think Adam's discussion of $I$ have up on my screen the site -- the setback plan, which kind of describes the lot and the required setbacks and the fact that that's basically, you know, a single-family footprint size I agree with. I think that is the basis for the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Anyway, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Great. Wendy, any further comments, or your thoughts on what's before us? WENDY LEISERSON: I think I would prefer to see this continued and hear that outreach had been made to all of the neighbors before $I$ considered this, and also have a chance to look over those submissions today.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So you're not
comfortable on voting up or down tonight?
WENDY LEISERSON: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. That's --
no, perfectly fine and legitimate. Matina, your thoughts on what's before us?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Again, like I said before, I
think I like the plan.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MATINA WILLIAMS: It's -- doesn't give you a bunch
of cookie-cutter little shoeboxes and it's nice.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. All right. Thank you. Jason, back to you with your thoughts on what's before us?

JASON MARSHALL: Thanks. Following on Matina's comment, Adam, I will commend you. I think you bring a lot of creativity to the designs that you come before the Board with.

I also think the policy questions you raised are valid. I just don't think this is the forum for it. I think that's -- that is -- those are better addressed by the City Council.

Stating the obvious, we are bound to apply the law. We don't make it here, and going back and forth and some of the public comments, which I agree with, I just don't think you meet the statutory standard.

I don't think that the hardship is related to soil, shape or topography. So I would not vote to support this proposal tonight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. So Adam,
you've heard comments from the Board.
ADAM GLASSMAN: We'd like to continue.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. You'd have to
assemble the same five. September 8. So members, are we available on September 8? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, I will be.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, will you be available on September 8?

JASON MARSHALL: I will not, Brendan, as we -- as I noted earlier. I think was it September 27 that was the next date available? I can do that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim, are you available on September 27?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry.
JASON MARSHALL: No, it's the twenty-second,
sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Twenty-second?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, Jason, September 22?
JASON MARSHALL: Yes, 22. Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

JASON MARSHALL: I apologize.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. All right. Jim, are you
available on September 22?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy, are you available on
September 22?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, I am.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I think I said yes before, but I
realized I'm not available.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You are not available?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I will not be available.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Or you can go with four
members, Adam, if you wish.
ADAM GLASSMAN: No. I think we prefer five members.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: October 6 would be the next date. So going back again, Matina, October 6?

MATINA WILLIAMS: October 6 works.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, October 6?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason, October 6?

JASON MARSHALL: No, that does not work for me. I'd have to do the two weeks out from there, the twentieth. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So we're back to October 27? And I think everybody said that they were available on the twenty-seventh? October 27?

COLLECTIVE: That's correct. Yes. Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So Adam, October 27?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. Before we go, Mr. Chair, I know you're not -- you're not in favor of this project. I think, you know, if you could clarify, you know, maybe the direction you'd like to see us go.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I think it's just too much building for the site. And that, again, starting off with a blank piece of paper, and $I$ know if you ask 10 people, you're going to get 10 different opinions, and I guess --

ADAM GLASSMAN: But when you say it's too much -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I see -- procedural -- once heard that is deeper -- is really, of course, decided by a committee. But $I$ think it's -- the site is a challenge. It has its constraints. But $I$ think that it can be reduced to allow more open space, more of a buffer on Elm to the
adjoining property behind on Elm.
Whether or not it comes forward to Broadway, I'd like to see some green space there. I'm not terribly happy with the garages on Broadway, but I think turning them to Elm is probably going to be more of a challenge.

I just think that it can be a smaller building because we're asking, I think, for a fair amount of relief on the setbacks. And the setbacks to me are important.

ADAM GLASSMAN: If we conform to the setback -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I mean --

ADAM GLASSMAN: -- if we conform to the setbacks, and we're back here with a request for relief for parking, is that more favorable to you, even though the abutters all appreciate that we're providing the required amount of parking? Because that's what -- that's where we're going to go with setbacks for parking on this lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Setbacks or parking?
ADAM GLASSMAN: We can conform to setbacks or parking and the feedback we got from those who live on Elm Street was, you know, please provide parking. They like the buffers we provided, it's much more than they have now, especially for David Pincus right behind us.

So sure, we can squeeze this building, but we won't have parking. This plan we submitted was not a -- you know, a first pass at this. We did study how to get -bring this into full zoning conformance. And if we can't, what was the least detrimental way to do this?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then $I$ would just like to see a lot smaller building. How you deal with the parking, you deal with parking.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I can't redesign this now in my head --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- say --
ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. Thank you for that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So on the motion, then, to continue this matter to October 27 at 6:00 p.m., on the condition that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof. Such waiver should be in the file a week from Monday by 5:00 p.m.

That any new submittals different than what is in the file now be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior
to the October 27 hearing.
That the posting sign be changed to reflect the new date of October 27 at 6 -- and the time at 6:00 p.m. Doesn't have to be continuous from tonight, it's just that it must be maintained at least 14 days prior to October 27.

And I would also encourage the petitioner to address the correspondence that has come in regarding the legal standard that they state you have failed to meet, and how you can address that and address the legal standard that we have to grant.

Anything else to add?
ADAM GLASSMAN: Before we go, I'd just like to ask
you, I'd like to ask you to respond to those claims that we have no legal standing when we know on a lot with required setbacks with conforming setbacks make development --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Then you say that they're not valid, that's all.

ADAM GLASSMAN: I guess I just wanted your
opinion. I mean, maybe you want to wait and see what we can come up with regard to setbacks before you decide? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. That's fair. ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay. All right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's it. That's exactly correct.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, Board, to add to
the conditions going forward? No. All right. On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the continuance. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall? JASON MARSHALL: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams? MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes, in favor of continuing this matter until October 27 at 6:00 p.m.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
(8:59 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 170485 -- 64 Prospect Street.

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Hi there, Raffi Mardirosian here from 64 Prospect, right on the corner of Bishop Allen and Prospect Street, next to the big parking lots. I'm here with a request for relief, I think primarily on FAR.

It's kind of looking to build a kind of small 100square foot home office where there's currently a deck next to the house with the -- rising just through the pandemic and needing kind of an office space for working from home.

And I guess -- oh, thanks for presenting the plans. Yeah, so -- so maybe going to the next slide here?

This is the existing lot. There's two -- they're 62 and 64 Prospect in the front on the street, four units in that building and my house is a detached, single-family in the back.

And the deck you see in the bottom left is what

I'm hoping to enclose and put in a small little home office there.

And I can show pictures. And I have it open, I can share my screen as well. But $I$ can show pictures of kind of what's around the property. It's basically -there's one building abutting me to the south, and then a building in the back, and then a parking lot directly.

But all around this deck actually across the lot lines are parking. And so, it wouldn't really encroach on any neighbors' buildings or space.

Next slide, please?
So yeah. At the bottom you see kind of what it would be. The stairs get pushed out a little bit into the -- towards the front of the street, and -- and then yeah, like a 100-square foot little, little room there. And then the next slide has a side view of it.

You can probably skip down two slides. This is the dimensional requirements and the change. It's going from a 1.11 FAR to 1.13 FAR. I don't know if this qualifies as the smallest request you've ever seen, but certainly it's on the margin here.

And I think everything else is the same. Oh,

```
slightly lower open space -- gives this building a little
more space.
Do you have the pictures here as well, or should I
``` share my screen to show those?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: How many square feet are you adding?

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: I think it's just the 100 here. It's -- yeah. I think it's showing 160 here. I think it's probably a little less than that. But yep, here are the pictures. Appreciate that. So this is the deck.

And if you just kind of go one by one through it, you can kind of see.

This is the entrance to the deck on the right. You can see to the right there's a parking lot. And so, it's pretty far from the next building. There is a better view of that. So it would just kind of go closer to this parking.

And then behind the house as well there's a big parking lot right there.

So yeah, that's the existing site conditions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So there's basically an
existing footprint now and you're just basically enclosing
it.
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Enclosing it. Yeah, that's
right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that will allow use of space outside the main house proper?

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Yeah, yeah. It'll add a small
office to do work for -- you know, two people working from home.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Any questions by members of the Board? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: That tree in the left-hand side of the photo, does it survive the addition?

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Yes. Keeping the tree.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Because if I look at your plan, the demo plan showing the addition and the current porch, it doesn't look like it could, if the drawing's accurate.

That one. To the right is the existing porch. To the left is your proposed. That tree is hard up against the railing of the existing deck?

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Yeah. I'd have to double check that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It looks like it's in the middle
of your addition.
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Got it. Yeah. I'd have to double check that. Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You don't have to double check; you're taking the tree out to do this.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think that's what's
triggering the relief, because it's a new nonconformity, whereas the setback on the left side right now is nine feet, and what is proposed is three feet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's a bump out of six feet.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Right. Okay, yeah. I guess you're right, then.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It's the setback and it's the tree -- that large tree that exists adjacent to your deck is going to get wiped out to do the addition.

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Yeah. And if it's any consolation and I'm also planning to do landscaping, and, like, 20 trees to the lot is not part of this conversation, obviously. But if that's helpful context.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm sorry. No. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, any questions at this time?

JASON MARSHALL: Nothing at this time, thanks. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Nothing at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Nothing at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, and I have nothing. All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. There's apparently nobody calling in, and we are not in receipt of any correspondence.

So I will close the public comment part of the hearing. Anything else to add to your presentation, or --

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Not from me, no.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now? Okay. Board, are we ready for a motion and any further discussion or motion and
a vote?
JIM MONTEVERDE: So just so I'm clear, the relief
that's being sought with the variance is for what conditions?

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: The floor area.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Because you're adding 100 square
feet --
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- correct?
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: And then that brings the open space down a little bit as well. So I think those two.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And you have no setback intrusions that you need relief for?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it would be the left side.

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Okay. I'm looking at it --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: -- now. It looks like it's one foot on the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Um --
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Two feet on the left. Yeah. It's not going out any further than the deck, but it's --
yeah, it's going to that deck line, which looks like it's a two-foot change from the ordinance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, it is going further than the deck, according to the drawings, because --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- and all the dimensional
form. The requirement is five feet. Right now, your existing deck porch is nine feet. What you're proposing is three feet --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Three-one, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- on the --
RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: I see, okay. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're going -- you're going out.

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Yeah. Okay. Sorry, I didn't appreciate that. But yeah, I guess that's right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The -- I mean, yeah, you are increasing the floor area, only because you're building a platform and then you're putting -- enclosing it.

So the ratio is going from 1.114 to 135. So it's de minimis, the amount of square footage is de minimis. It's just that left side inclusion or intrusion on the
setback. Otherwise, you could apply for a special permit. RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Oh, okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right, thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Anybody -- are there any other questions, or should we take it to a vote? Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, as per the drawings, which are initialed by the Chair.

We're granting relief on the condition that the work comply with the drawings submitted entitled, "62-64 Prospect Street Condominium" prepared by Ian Kenney and initialed by the Chair, and also the supporting statements and the dimensional form.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, such hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner from adding and enclosing some of area which is now out of the footprint of the building, even though the petitioner is expanding the footprint a bit into the side yard setback. It is the only new violation being created.

The Board finds that the size of the lot, placement of the existing structure on it, that the
petitioner is encumbered by the existing ordinance.
The Board finds that the amount of relief being requested to the existing structure is somewhat de minimis, will allow the petitioner a covered area to enter and exit the structure, also to provide some much-needed additional office space, which due to the pandemic society and societal trends have changed, and this is the only area in which the petitioner can accommodate the needs to have an interior office space.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing, again, as it states, to the size, shape of the lot, which particularly affects this particular structure, and does not generally affect the zoning district on which it's located.

That this structure is in a business district surrounded by a parking area, and the hardship is directly related to the fact that he is encumbered by the existing ordinance and the setback requirements.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and that the room on this part of the house is off an existing structure, and that the existing deck his very little utility and can be utilized better enclosing it, and
also allowing for some office space.
Desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to make very small additions to this structure to better accommodate societal trends, and especially related to the current pandemic and the need to work from home and provide some office space. Anything else to add to the motion?

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested for the variance, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
On five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

RAFFI MARDIROSIAN: Thank you all. Appreciate it.
(9:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 178252 -- 35 Lawrence Street.

DAVID FENCHEL: Hi. My name is David Fenchel. I'm the architect representing Ashley and Josh Zisson at 35 Lawrence Street.

We are requesting to replace an existing exterior ramp with a new stair and landing, and to extend it to connect flush with an existing rear deck.

The special permit is necessary because of an existing violation that does not meet the minimum side yard setback of at least 7.5 feet, and rear yard setback of at least 30 feet.

The proposed work does not create a new dimensional nonconformity, and it will not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure. The proposed stairs, landing and side deck will improve the egress, and will be more useful to homeowners that do not
require a ramp.
The proposed stairs, landing and side deck do not create a new dimensional nonconformity, and it satisfies Section 10.43, Criteria for Special permits.

The proposed work does not affect traffic patterns, does not change the use of the building, and does not change the density.

It doesn't negatively impact the neighbors' privacy in daylight and access to fresh air. And it does not impede views or cast shadows on the adjacent properties.

The proposed use does not change the use or density of the building. The proposed work does not impair the integrity of the neighborhood, and in in keeping with the overall style of the existing building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, David, was there -always sort of a deck or a landing there before, or was it always evidence that's the doorway along that side of the house?

DAVID FENCHEL: Well, there's an existing ramp along that side of the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So somebody put the ramp in to assist a person with a disability?

DAVID FENCHEL: Yeah, it's cracked.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And --
DAVID FENCHEL: Yes, the previous owner was
wheelchair bound, due to an at home accident. So they -the previous owners had retrofitted many components of the existing house, including this exterior ramp.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Any questions by members of the Board? Jim?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you -- do you not need to seek any kind of relief for the two dormers that you're proposing?

DAVID FENCHEL: We've already received relief for that portion of work.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Through the Zoning Board?
DAVID FENCHEL: Yes. We received a special permit
for the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: For the two dormers?
DAVID FENCHEL: For the two dormers, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thanks. That's all.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Jason, any questions or
comments at this time?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no questions. Open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. You will have up to three minutes to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in and we have no correspondence from any member of the public or City Board to enclose that portion or anything else to add at this point on your presentation? No?

DAVID FENCHEL: Oh, no, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
DAVID FENCHEL: I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Caught you by surprise. Sorry. Nothing else to add, David? No? Okay.

DAVID FENCHEL: No, no.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Are we ready for a motion,
then, members of the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the drawings submitted, titled, "Zisson" - Z-i-s-s-o-n "Residence, 35 Lawrence," and dated 5-31, initialed by the Chair.

The Board grants a special permit on the condition that the work comply with the drawings, supporting statements, dimensional form as submitted in the application.

The Board finds that under 8.22d, that the Board of Zoning Appeal may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family or two-family dwelling -- just hold on here for a second. Yeah. Okay. -not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1, but not the enlargement or alteration of a preexisting non-conforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but
does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.
In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeals is required to find that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing non-conforming structure to the neighborhood, and the Board does so find, and that the alteration or satisfies the criteria in 10.43.
10.43, it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. Traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

In fact, what is proposed is to restore the feature back to the -- that is proposed -- back to the building, which was there prior to the installation of this ramp.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. In fact, it would have no effect on the adjoining properties.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created
to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use. In fact, the addition of this ramp and the stairs and railings would have a more beneficial effect on the citizenry or occupants of the structure.

That the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow the petitioner and the homeowners to add a condition feature of this kind for better entry and exit out of the structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
[All vote YES]

Five affirmative votes, the special permit is granted. Good luck.

DAVID FENCHEL: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that concludes tonight. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Very good. Thank you.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great input, great dialogue.
Much appreciated. Be well.
[09:21 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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\hline feel 19:13 27:21 & 62:16 104:22 & 70:7 78:6 & forever 75:8 & 31:10,13,15 \\
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\hline 60:17 69:1 & give 4:6 26:15 & 146:8,11 & 26:14 44:2,8 & grow 118:10 \\
\hline 114:20,21 & 29:1 33:5 & 147:16 149:12 & 44:20 46:11,17 & guess 7:10 37:13 \\
\hline 129:2,6 130:7 & 82:16 83:2,5 & 153:11,16 & 62:10 64:15 & 38:5 49:2 \\
\hline 130:7 142:11 & 112:9 127:20 & goal 126:15 & 75:20 76:9 & 60:13 69:12,16 \\
\hline 156:22 157:22 & 135:8 143:3 & goes 13:3 40:17 & 77:17 92:6,9 & 96:15 112:10 \\
\hline 158:3 170:8 & given 35:18 56:8 & 81:6 104:4 & 92:20 94:4 & 146:16 149:18 \\
\hline furthermore & 57:20 74:12 & 132:1 133:12 & 95:17 128:18 & 151:16 155:13 \\
\hline 81:7 117:7 & 108:2,2 115:10 & 134:19 138:1 & 130:10 131:9 & 158:16 \\
\hline future 116:4 & 120:16 139:7 & 140:14 & 132:8 149:10 & Guidelines \\
\hline G & gives 31:3 153:1 & going 6:6,9 19:3 & 159:6 161:9 & 18:20 23:4,5 \\
\hline G & giving 69:21 & 19:21 20:8,10 & 166:4,12 167:2 & 25:4 \\
\hline G 3 & 83:19 & 20:11,14 21:6 & 168:12 & guys 122:6,13 \\
\hline garage 48:14 & glass 36:7 38:11 & 24:8 25:12 & granted 13:1 & 124:1,18 125:9 \\
\hline 49:8,16 50:5 & Glassman 95:7 & 29:5 30:12,13 & 47:2 58:19 & \\
\hline 50:18,21,22 & 95:8,19 96:19 & 30:17 31:16 & 63:22 64:5 & H \\
\hline 51:8,13,20,22 & 96:22 97:4 & 32:13 37:11 & 65:11 78:7 & H-e-l-i 41:2 \\
\hline 52:4,21 53:5 & 105:12 107:5,8 & 39:3 49:8 53:2 & 94:16 130:9,21 & habitable 6:21 \\
\hline 53:16,16 56:4 & 109:2,7,10 & 61:4,6 68:14 & 132:3 160:19 & 7:17 \\
\hline 56:11 57:17 & 110:17,20 & 68:16 69:1 & 161:2,21 169:2 & half 25:3 28:4 \\
\hline 58:10,21 59:6 & 111:4,8,10,18 & 75:6 82:22 & granting 95:16 & 49:12,19 \\
\hline 59:20 60:6,14 & 112:12 113:10 & 89:696:15 & 131:6 132:2,6 & hand 9:8,10 \\
\hline 61:13 63:16 & 132:19 133:2,7 & 106:5,9 110:2 & 132:10 159:8 & 26:19,21 40:2 \\
\hline 101:5 119:12 & 135:17,19 & 116:22,22 & grants 166:7 & 40:4 55:14,16 \\
\hline garages 103:22 & 139:15 140:4 & 123:3 126:4 & Grashow 86:10 & 71:9,11 90:9 \\
\hline 104:2 147:4 & 140:16 144:2 & 127:21 140:7 & 86:11,17 87:15 & 90:11 114:6,8 \\
\hline garden 57:19 & 144:19 145:15 & 140:21 141:4 & 88:3,11 89:8 & 132:20 156:13 \\
\hline 62:19 & 146:8,17 147:9 & 141:15 143:16 & 89:18,20 91:15 & 156:15 165:8 \\
\hline garden-related & 147:11,18 & 145:18 146:15 & 91:17 & 165:10 170:12 \\
\hline 122:17 & 148:9,12,14 & 147:5,15 150:5 & great 15:14 & happen 107:19 \\
\hline gatherings 3:12 & 149:12,18,22 & 151:17 152:18 & 25:18 48:11 & 115:8 122:13 \\
\hline general 1:4 & 150:3,19 & 155:17 157:22 & 53:20 54:4 & happened 27:16 \\
\hline 122:11 130:6 & go 17:10 20:22 & 158:1,3,13,13 & 65:1 87:4,17 & 89:11 115:7 \\
\hline generally 4:9 & 21:12 23:8 & 158:20 & 88:18 94:17 & 134:3 \\
\hline 24:1 75:9 & 24:8 29:10 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }} 16: 8\) 20:7 & 117:15 118:22 & happening 26:8 \\
\hline 130:17 131:18 & 30:1,12 39:1 & 24:7 39:7 42:8 & 122:22 123:15 & 28:8 \\
\hline 160:13 & 48:19 49:15,22 & 47:2 48:8 & 135:12 142:10 & happens 38:10 \\
\hline generated 11:8 & 50:2,6,19 51:6 & 63:22 64:5 & 143:5 169:8,8 & 139:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Page 182
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline happy \(25: 12\) & 96:17 102:3 & hold 166:15 & 124:17 151:14 & 162:21 \\
\hline 134:15 147:3 & 110:16 137:22 & holds 8:1 & 151:20 153:18 & improvement \\
\hline hard 106:18 & 148:18 149:1 & holes 36:8 & 154:5 160:20 & 108:11 \\
\hline 154:18 & 156:19 & holiday 120:12 & 163:18 164:6 & improvements \\
\hline hardship 23:9 & heart 126:14 & home 17:19 & houses 73:20 & 19:14 43:4 \\
\hline 23:11,12,19 & heavy 35:20 & 41:20 64:12 & housing 98:14 & 128:20 129:6 \\
\hline 24:2,6 25:5 & height 8:14 & 67:9,17 73:18 & 116:1 124:10 & improves 91:3 \\
\hline 26:2,3,12 54:6 & 97:15 99:3 & 77:14 86:19,20 & 124:16 140:11 & improving \\
\hline 63:1,8,12 & 100:19 & 86:20 88:4 & 140:12 & 129:4 \\
\hline 64:21 110:18 & held 3:10 & 118:19 119:11 & huge 104:9 & inappropriate \\
\hline 111:12 121:19 & Heli 41:3 & 151:13,15 & hunting 70:11 & 59:21 72:22 \\
\hline 130:19,19 & hello 35:8,11 & 152:1 154:8 & hurdles 30:13 & 108:20 \\
\hline 131:21 132:5,9 & 86:10 117:17 & 161:7 164:4 & Hurley 114:13 & inches 49:19 \\
\hline 137:3,6 138:18 & 117:20 119:2 & homeowner & husband 35:12 & inclined 26:14 \\
\hline 139:7,9 140:19 & 122:4 & 63:8 64:7 66:9 & 38:8 & include 10:4 \\
\hline 143:19 159:15 & help 87:20 & homeowners & & included 10:1,11 \\
\hline 159:15 160:10 & helped 133:21 & 12:6 20:12 & I & includes 6:18 \\
\hline 160:15 & helpful 111:17 & 46:8 68:1 & Ian 159:10 & 121:22 \\
\hline harm 57:7 & 155:21 & 77:12 161:4 & ice 137:19 & including 58:21 \\
\hline harmless 136:9 & hereunto 170:12 & 162:22 168:9 & idea 82:15 & 59:16 164:6 \\
\hline hazard 11:10,18 & hi 6:8 16:8 35:8 & homes 24:14 & 125:12 138: & inclusion 158:22 \\
\hline 45:9,21 76:17 & 35:9 54:3 66:8 & 27:15 67:8,16 & ideas 122:22 & incongruous \\
\hline 77:1 93:8,13 & 88:17 114:12 & 116:2 & identical 99:11 & 42:17 58:8 \\
\hline 167:10,22 & 124:1 125:16 & hope 91:8 & identically & 64:11 \\
\hline head 115:2 & 133:8 151:8 & 123:10 & 119:15 & inconsistent \\
\hline 148:11 & 162:8 & hopefully 24:20 & image 16:16 & 27:12 \\
\hline health 11:19 & high-quality & 69:13,19 & 68:5 & incorporated \\
\hline 45:21 46:3 & 98:14 & hoping 152:1 & impact 10:2 & 43:3 65:2 \\
\hline 77:2 93:14,17 & high-tension & hot 36:10 38:12 & 127:10 163:8 & incorrect 126:2 \\
\hline 168:1 & 134:21 & 138:7 & impacts 138:6 & increase 44:17 \\
\hline hear 16:6 35:6 & highlighting & hour 82:22 & impair 12:4 46:6 & 73:9,20 76:6 \\
\hline 48:6 66:6 & 80:17 & house 45:17 & 77:10 93:20 & 92:17 102:22 \\
\hline 72:17 79:6 & Hill 42:11,13 & 49:11 50:12 & 163:12 168:6 & 132:4,9 166:22 \\
\hline 82:6 86:6 95:6 & Hillside 2:8 35:7 & 52:13 54:10,21 & impede 163:10 & increased 79:20 \\
\hline 95:10 117:18 & 35:14 40:9,11 & 54:21 55:1 & important 105:2 & increasing 81:9 \\
\hline 119:2 123:1 & 41:22 42:21 & 56:3,9,22 57:1 & 122:14 126:6,7 & 99:12 158:18 \\
\hline 124:1 128:8 & Hisham 133:21 & 57:5 59:8,9,10 & 134:19 136:22 & incredibly \\
\hline 134:2,16 & historic 42:17 & 59:15,22 60:5 & 147:8 & 133:13 \\
\hline 141:19 142:13 & 50:22 58:8 & 63:2,10,11,13 & impossible & incumbent \\
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\hline 93:20 163:13 & issues 58:1 82:4 & 124:1,3 & 166:2 168:13 & 51:13,21 52:10 \\
\hline 168:7 & 82:5 96:18 & Jeremy 66:7,8 & 168:14 169:5 & 52:12 66:11 \\
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\hline 64:6 77:11 & issuing 130:5 & 74:5,8,11,15 & Jones 129:9 & 103:17 112:14 \\
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\hline 111:11 112:6 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l a p }} 18: 10\) & 121:13 130:11 & life 30:19 98:6 & livable 64:9 \\
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\hline 122:21 123:4,9 & 60:3 119:10 & legitimate & liked 69:15 & 124:12,15,19 \\
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\hline 149:14 152:19 & Lawrence 2:15 & 75:16 77:22 & 134:22 136:21 & located 24:1 \\
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\hline 68:11,17 73:19 & 123:1,12 125:5 & 102:16 149:5 & 86:5 88:17,22 & Matina's 143:7 \\
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\hline 75:3,9 87:21 & 133:13 135:3 & 48:18 49:17 & 89:21 94:7,8 & matter 30:15 \\
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\hline 111:18,20 & 104:15 154:5 & 46:15 48:5 & 145:21 150:12 & member 9:6 \\
\hline 112:7,10 & maintain 38:14 & 54:3 55:6 62:3 & 150:13 151:4 & 55:12 71:7 \\
\hline 113:22 115:8 & 38:18 102:18 & 62:4 64:18,19 & 156:7,8 161:16 & 90:6 114:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 156:10 165:13 & minimis 46:9 & 25:1 31:18,20 & 156:22 159:6 & need 14:1 25:22 \\
\hline members 3:4 & 158:21,21 & 33:15,16 35:4 & 161:8,9 165:22 & 29:4 31:9,10 \\
\hline 4:2,2,5,13,13 & 160:3 & 39:9,10 43:11 & 166:3 168:12 & 41:7 50:14 \\
\hline 6:3 16:3 24:20 & minimize 38:9 & 43:12,15 46:12 & move 7:8 9:20 & 55:5 60:21 \\
\hline 26:17 31:17 & minimum & 46:13 48:4 & 56:11 60:16 & 64:3 66:17 \\
\hline 33:5 35:3 39:8 & 127:19 162:15 & 53:22 54:1 & 102:8,21 & 67:12 86:15 \\
\hline 39:21 48:3 & minor 10:9 & 61:22 62:2 & 112:14 124:20 & 96:22 106:10 \\
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\hline 133:20 & 86:22 & occur 27:11 & 114:2 116:18 & 104:8 109:14 \\
\hline no-brainer & noted 49:17 & 54:11 136:4 & 121:20,20 & 114:3 118:4 \\
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\hline 107:1 108:5 & owner 9:16 & 104:4 108:5,7 & 71:12 90:12 & 45:12 76:1,21 \\
\hline 112:4 167:2 & 37:19 55:20 & 108:7,9,14,16 & paused 26:2 & 92:12 93:11 \\
\hline orders 3:11 & 56:20 79:13 & 108:16 109:3,6 & paved 101:16 & 116:7 166:16 \\
\hline ordinance 11:7 & 105:10,14 & 109:8 112:2 & pavers 101:17 & 167:18 \\
\hline 11:14 12:6 & 130:2 132:8 & 116:3,7,21 & paving 100:11 & permitting \\
\hline 15:5 23:1,10 & 164:3 & 117:6 119:12 & paying 133:20 & 118:3,16 \\
\hline 23:17,18 25:3 & owner's 132:6 & 123:2 139:12 & PDF 10:7 & 132:10 \\
\hline 45:4,6,13 46:8 & owners 35:13 & 147:12,15,16 & Pearl 80:6 & person 93:18 \\
\hline 62:22 64:7 & 40:11 42:2 & 147:17,19,20 & people 24:17 & 124:17 131:5 \\
\hline 76:16,21 77:12 & 55:22 56:7 & 148:2,7,8 & 74:11 82:3 & 163:22 \\
\hline 93:5,11,22 & 59:14 86:11 & 151:10 152:7,9 & 83:195:15 & personal 116:8 \\
\hline 111:1 130:18 & 97:12 134:5,5 & 153:14,17,19 & 104:10 120:9 & personally \\
\hline 131:2 158:2 & 134:6,9 164:5 & 160:15 & 120:14 123:5,7 & 111:6 133:17 \\
\hline 159:14 160:1 & & parks 28:6 & 125:1,5,5 & perspective 52:2 \\
\hline 160:17 161:4 & P & part 27:14 43:10 & 127:20 128:7 & 52:6,9,10,12 \\
\hline 167:9,19 168:9 & P 3:1 & 44:4 50:16 & 136:22 146:15 & perspectives \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 52:4 & pile 26:1 & pleadings 23:8 & 154:17 158:8 & 10:5 16:10,17 \\
\hline pertaining 50:9 & Pincus 133:6,7,8 & Pleasant 2:3,5 & porches 66:11 & 48:9,13 \\
\hline pervious 101:17 & 133:8 135:9,13 & 6:7 7:13 9:16 & Port 117:21 & presentation \\
\hline petition 15:9 & 140:2 147:22 & 9:17 13:3 & portion 27:4 & 6:11 19:19 \\
\hline 30:17 71:15 & place 46:2 57:22 & please 4:3 7:22 & 63:7 72:3,7 & 39:1 43:9,10 \\
\hline petitioner 23:11 & 91:6 122:10 & 8:8 16:22 17:8 & 164:13 165:14 & 54:4 61:21 \\
\hline 63:1 64:1 & 126:17 138:7 & 17:10,16,21 & position 112:22 & 66:13 72:4 \\
\hline 130:20 148:17 & placement & 18:2,6,12,15 & positioning & 81:18 86:18 \\
\hline 149:6 159:15 & 159:22 & 18:17,22 19:6 & 63:14 & 121:3 156:19 \\
\hline 159:16,18 & places 24:5 & 36:16 37:1 & possibility 56:6 & 165:15 \\
\hline 160:1,4,8 & 36:12 & 72:10 83:20 & possible 38:18 & presenting \\
\hline 168:9 & plan 10:8,9,13 & 86:9 98:16 & 56:1 73:3 & 151:16 \\
\hline petitioner's & 10:17 17:1,9 & 101:11 118:16 & 102:9 118:10 & Preservation \\
\hline 14:12 & 18:3 19:1 & 127:9,12 & 129:8 135:6 & 60:9 \\
\hline petitioners & 20:19 29:22 & 147:20 152:11 & possibly 31:6 & preserving \\
\hline 93:22 & 30:3,3,9 37:7 & pleased 16:10 & post 67:18,19 & 40:21 42:7 \\
\hline phase 110:15 & 52:21 66:14 & plethora 128:13 & 68:14,21,22 & 98:19,19 \\
\hline Phil 86:22 91:11 & 67:16 81:10 & plot 19:1 52:21 & posted 112:15 & pressing 9:10,10 \\
\hline Phil's 86:20 & 87:10 88:5 & 66:14 81:10 & posting 32:15 & 26:21,21 40:4 \\
\hline philosophy & 91:1 104:19 & 134:1 & 84:5,8 149:2 & 40:4 55:16,16 \\
\hline 115:15 & 110:1,2 142:4 & plus 116:3 & posts 58:22 & 71:11,11 90:11 \\
\hline phone 9:9 26:20 & 143:1 148:2 & point 26:13 37:6 & postscript 15:2 & 90:11 114:8,8 \\
\hline 40:3 55:15 & 154:14,15 & 38:1 51:17 & potential 38:10 & 156:15,15 \\
\hline 71:10 90:10 & plan's 16:13 & 61:6 67:22 & poverty 118:18 & 165:10,10 \\
\hline 114:7 156:14 & Planner 60:9 & 68:7 69:10 & power 134:19,20 & Pressman 42:21 \\
\hline 165:9 & planning 30:1,2 & 71:5 88:9 & 134:21 139:10 & pressure 108:9 \\
\hline phonetic 133:21 & 30:8 40:12,16 & 114:10 125:22 & practical 91:4 & presumably \\
\hline 134:9 & 41:13 42:3 & 127:3 128:4 & preclude 159:16 & 127:18 \\
\hline photo 69:18 & 73:18 95:22 & 132:14 134:13 & precludes 63:14 & pretty 75:8 \\
\hline 154:12 & 102:2,5,8 & 165:15 & preexisting 44:9 & 112:9 119:8 \\
\hline photographs & 103:6 108:3,20 & pointed 28:2 & 44:13,15,17 & 136:8 153:15 \\
\hline 52:14 & 109:4,22 & points 80:17 & 75:21 76:2,4,6 & previous 37:19 \\
\hline photos 55:4 & 128:13,14,17 & poking 51:13,20 & 92:10,13,15,17 & 115:7 117:22 \\
\hline 86:19 98:4 & 128:19 132:7 & pole 98:20 & 166:13,17,20 & 164:3,5 \\
\hline 109:20 & 134:17 155:19 & 134:19,20,21 & 166:22 & previously 9:22 \\
\hline physical 109:3 & plans 10:3 11:4 & policy 137:16,16 & prefer 30:22 & 39:2 118:4 \\
\hline picking 132:14 & 16:10 41:14 & 137:21 143:11 & 73:19 142:12 & price 91:22 \\
\hline pickup 116:4 & 43:3 57:3,4,8 & poor 88:12 & 145:15 & 140:15 \\
\hline pienic 127:11 & 58:16 71:20 & poorer 118:9 & prejudice 29:13 & primarily 49:2 \\
\hline picture 68:2,12 & 83:22 84:1 & 125:13 & prepare 57:17 & 151:11 \\
\hline 87:22 & 101:12 107:16 & poorest 118:6 & prepared & primary 18:1 \\
\hline pictures 38:7 & 129:12 151:17 & popped 120:22 & 159:10 & prior 32:18,22 \\
\hline 66:12 67:8 & plant 122:20 & porch 58:12,21 & prerequisites & 33:4 55:22 \\
\hline 69:18 152:3,4 & plantings 7:16 & 67:19 68:15 & 131:7 & 80:14 84:3,11 \\
\hline 153:3,10 & plastic 36:8 38:9 & 86:13,21 87:1 & prerogative & 114:20,21 \\
\hline piece 105:6,18 & platform 158:19 & 87:11 88:2,5,6 & 113:2 & 148:22 149:5 \\
\hline 111:1 123:14 & playground & 88:8 90:17,22 & presence 129:5 & 167:15 \\
\hline 123:18 146:14 & 28:10 & 91:3,20 154:15 & present 4:17 & privacy 163:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline private 99:3 & 48:22 49:5 & 168:2,6 & 26:16,17 29:1 & Queen 73:1 \\
\hline 101:9 & 56:12,22 57:15 & proposing 8:15 & 39:21,22 55:11 & question 24:6 \\
\hline probably 28:4 & 57:21 58:13 & 21:15,22 22:7 & 55:12 60:11 & 26:3 56:22 \\
\hline 69:15 91:19 & 59:14,17 66:22 & 22:11,15,18 & 63:22 64:5 & 60:12 72:10 \\
\hline 111:14 147:5 & 68:20 105:10 & 36:17,18 37:21 & 71:6,7 90:6,7 & 89:4 105:20 \\
\hline 152:17 153:9 & 105:15,16 & 39:20 70:8 & 91:14 110:15 & 106:13 110:17 \\
\hline problem 119:19 & 121:8,16 & 97:5 109:1 & 114:3,3 130:22 & questions 8:18 \\
\hline 120:1,6 136:5 & 133:18 147:1 & 128:21 136:9 & 132:17,19 & 8:19,20,21 9:1 \\
\hline problems 54:5 & 152:5 & 136:16,17 & 135:16 143:17 & 9:4 19:20,22 \\
\hline procedural & proponents & 137:20 158:8 & 156:10,11,18 & 25:15 33:7 \\
\hline 146:18 & 114:18 115:5 & 164:11 & 160:19 165:6,6 & 39:8,9,10,12 \\
\hline proceed 96:16 & proposal 9:20 & proposition & 165:13 170:4 & 39:14,16,18 \\
\hline 96:19 97:2 & 9:22 16:13 & 139:5 & 170:16 & 43:11 53:22 \\
\hline 129:20 & 17:2 43:1 46:1 & Prospect 2:14 & pull 20:18 22:21 & 54:1 55:8,10 \\
\hline proceedings 4:1 & 63:17 72:19,20 & 151:7,9,10,19 & 35:22 87:3 & 69:11 70:13,14 \\
\hline 169:10 170:7 & 97:7 109:19 & 159:10 & pulled 49:18 & 70:15,17,21 \\
\hline process 86:17 & 114:22 115:7 & protected 116:4 & pulling 49:21 & 71:2,4 75:14 \\
\hline 101:3 & 116:9 118:2,11 & protection 75:2 & punched 18:11 & 88:14,15,16 \\
\hline produce 111:20 & 118:21 143:21 & 77:8 93:16 & purchased & 89:22 90:4,5 \\
\hline 112:17 131:6 & proposals 13:22 & 94:1 & 140:17 & 91:10 97:1 \\
\hline profits 132:5,9 & propose 79:18 & prove 23:9 & purely 132:2,5 & 105:3,13 \\
\hline program 136:9 & 96:12 115:16 & provide 7:17 & purists 74:21 & 109:14,16 \\
\hline 137:13 & 137:12 & 8:14 18:21 & purpose 12:5 & 110:4 112:11 \\
\hline project 19:7 & proposed 10:8 & 41:15 64:2 & 46:7 64:7 & 113:12,18 \\
\hline 41:5 48:21 & 11:9,15,20 & 75:2 80:16 & 77:12 93:21 & 137:22 143:11 \\
\hline 91:7 104:22 & 12:3 16:10 & 87:19 94:1 & 131:2 161:3 & 154:9 156:2 \\
\hline 107:2,10 108:2 & 19:2 21:1,4,6 & 97:15 100:9 & 168:8 & 159:5 164:7,20 \\
\hline 114:18,20 & 21:14,18 40:19 & 104:10 109:5 & pursuant 42:14 & 164:22 165:2,4 \\
\hline 116:1 118:14 & 41:8,16,18 & 114:22 115:6 & 58:6 & 165:5 \\
\hline 119:7 121:9 & 42:5 45:8,14 & 147:20 160:5 & push 60:16 & queue 30:19 \\
\hline 122:1 125:12 & 45:16,22 46:5 & 161:7 & pushed 152:13 & Quick 99:1 \\
\hline 128:21 129:13 & 49:16 50:8,19 & provided 44:14 & put 32:20 86:18 & quite 28:15 56:3 \\
\hline 129:19 134:14 & 50:21 51:8,17 & 76:3 92:14 & 91:22 106:16 & 56:8 107:20 \\
\hline 134:15 146:9 & 51:19,22 52:4 & 114:21 121:1 & 126:19 133:17 & 111:10 121:6 \\
\hline projecting 52:10 & 52:21,22 53:2 & 131:22 136:6 & 134:8 137:19 & \\
\hline 103:4,21 & 53:5,8 54:17 & 147:21 166:19 & 141:13 152:1 & R \\
\hline prolong 112:19 & 71:19 76:22 & provides 91:6 & 163:21 & R 3:1 \\
\hline proof 121:22 & 77:3,5,9 79:15 & providing 6:20 & puts 112:22 & R-e-i-n-e 80:9 \\
\hline proper 35:17 & 93:12,15,19 & 93:16 101:14 & putting 124:9 & Rachel 86:10,11 \\
\hline 36:19 154:5 & 100:13 101:1,6 & 108:16 131:3 & 158:19 & 86:17 87:15 \\
\hline properties 11:17 & 103:14 104:22 & 139:16 147:14 & PVC 72:21 & 88:3,11 89:8 \\
\hline 12:8 163:10 & 105:8 107:4 & provisions 13:5 & 74:22 75:10 & 89:18,20 90:16 \\
\hline 167:21 & 115:11 119:7 & 23:10 62:22 & & 90:20 91:7,15 \\
\hline property 10:2 & 129:11,14 & 130:18 131:20 & Q & 91:17 \\
\hline 16:17 26:10 & 154:18 155:9 & 159:14 & qualifies 152:19 & Raffi 151:8,8 \\
\hline 28:4 36:5 38:4 & 162:18,21 & public 3:11,16 & qualify 116:9 & 153:7 154:2,6 \\
\hline 38:8,21 40:14 & 163:2,5,11,12 & 4:3,5,6,7 9:5,6 & 132:9 & 154:13,20 \\
\hline 40:15 45:19 & 167:13,14,20 & 10:18 24:7 & qualities 103:18 & 155:2,13,18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 156:20 157:5,8 & 62:2,4,6,8 72:7 & 56:17 71:13 & 129:21 & 139:3,7 147:7 \\
\hline 157:10,16,18 & 75:13,15,16,17 & 90:14 128:12 & references 45:15 & 147:12 151:11 \\
\hline 157:21 158:12 & 92:2,4 156:22 & 156:17 & referred 110:7 & 155:7 157:2,13 \\
\hline 158:15 159:2 & 165:22 & receive 118:2 & reflect 31:6 & 159:6,8 160:2 \\
\hline 161:22 & real 98:12 & received 73:6 & 32:16 84:5 & 160:18 161:2,9 \\
\hline railing 58:12 & 133:21 140:5 & 80:10 113:2 & 149:2 & 164:10,12 \\
\hline 154:19 & realize 125:20 & 114:17 164:12 & reflected 6:22 & relieve 132:3 \\
\hline railings 40:18 & realized 145:10 & 164:15 & regard 67:1 & relocated 8:9 \\
\hline 168:3 & really \(18: 20\) & receiving 102:5 & 149:20 & 17:13 124:6 \\
\hline rails 35:18 & 26:8 28:14 & recognized & regarding 13:3 & relocating 63:15 \\
\hline rain 35:20 & 36:10,10 52:8 & 138:18 139:9 & 62:18 128:18 & 99:12 129:1 \\
\hline rainy 91:5 & 54:4 69:17 & recommendat... & 149:7 & relocation 6:18 \\
\hline raise 9:8,9 26:19 & 98:9 108:18 & 128:17 & regular 2:8 & 11:22 \\
\hline 26:20 40:2,3 & 112:3 126:14 & recommended & 30:10 119:8 & rely 106:2 \\
\hline 55:14,15 71:9 & 128:10 132:1 & 137:5 & 134:21 138:14 & remain 7:21 \\
\hline 71:10 90:9,10 & 132:12 136:11 & recommends & regular-sized & 38:2 89:13 \\
\hline 114:5,7 156:13 & 139:22 140:17 & 73:2 129:6 & 55:22 & remains 97:16 \\
\hline 156:14 165:8,9 & 140:18 146:19 & reconfiguration & Regulations & remember 38:1 \\
\hline raised 82:4 & 152:9 & 139:11 & 63:5 & remind 137:10 \\
\hline 96:18 113:6 & rear 17:4,6 18:5 & reconsider & Reine 80:9 & remote 1:7 4:8 \\
\hline 132:20 143:11 & 18:16 19:2,4 & 29:10 & related 64:21 & remotely 3:10 \\
\hline raises 113:16 & 35:13 40:11 & reconvene 82:1 & 110:18 111:19 & removal 59:16 \\
\hline raising 79:18 & 42:2 49:1 & record 27:6 & 137:17 143:19 & 102:19 115:16 \\
\hline ramp 162:12 & 51:12 52:7,8 & 35:10 79:10 & 160:16 161:6 & remove 35:16 \\
\hline 163:1,19,21 & 53:2 54:18 & 86:8,9 110:8 & 170:8 & 50:17 72:20 \\
\hline 164:6 167:16 & 66:16,21,22 & 110:10 111:17 & relating 10:17 & 87:10 118:8,8 \\
\hline 168:3 & 67:3 70:4 & 170:6 & 23:20 130:14 & removed 88:9 \\
\hline Ratay 1:19 4:14 & 71:19 79:18 & recorded 3:19 & relationship & 118:20 \\
\hline 4:16,18,20,22 & 81:9,10,11 & recoup 106:5,17 & 68:21 & removing 49:7 \\
\hline 5:2 27:1 55:19 & 94:2 99:4 & rectangle 119:9 & relationships & 138:3,4 \\
\hline 87:4 114:11 & 100:1 101:10 & rectified 50:15 & 87:17 & rendered 83:15 \\
\hline 116:13,16 & 103:1 162:13 & recycling 100:3 & releases 120:18 & 148:19 \\
\hline 117:16 119:1 & 162:16 & 104:6 & relief 11:4 15:3 & rendering 10:7 \\
\hline 122:3 123:22 & reason 79:20 & red 99:8,21 & 15:7 26:14 & 68:9,22 \\
\hline 125:15 133:6 & 121:3 & redesign 148:10 & 43:20 49:2 & renderings \\
\hline ratio 19:9 25:11 & reasonable & redoing 8:5 & 62:10 63:21 & 103:13 \\
\hline 37:17,22 99:3 & 109:12 110:3 & reduce 6:16 & 64:4 69:6 & renovate 23:13 \\
\hline 158:20 & 141:6 & 128:21 & 95:10,18 97:9 & 23:16 \\
\hline reach 127:4,7,9 & reasonably 63:4 & reduced 14:1 & 97:10 99:5 & renovated 18:8 \\
\hline 127:12 134:2 & reasons 26:13 & 102:17 103:7 & 100:7 105:20 & 19:15 124:12 \\
\hline 136:10 & 108:3 & 146:21 & 106:3,10 107:1 & 124:14,16 \\
\hline reached 127:14 & reassemble 82:7 & reduces 63:18 & 107:9,18,20,21 & renovation \\
\hline 134:4 & rebuild 66:10 & 64:3 & 108:4,6,18 & 16:10 55:5 \\
\hline read 80:18 & 67:3 & reducing 108:13 & 109:7,11 & renovations \\
\hline 109:22 & rebuilding 67:5 & reduction 129:2 & 111:22 112:2 & 27:16 \\
\hline ready 10:20 & rebut 83:2 & reference 64:20 & 116:10 128:18 & rent 124:21 \\
\hline 43:11,12,15,17 & recall 9:21 & 65:2 & 129:18,19 & rental 20:3,3,7,8 \\
\hline 43:19 61:22 & receipt 40:7 & referenced & 130:21 136:18 & 20:10 24:8,10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 24:16,18 & 59:676:10 & restoration & 28:6,10 29:12 & Roberts 86:22 \\
\hline rented 117:2 & 92:21 97:9 & 59:15 & 31:1 37:8 38:3 & robust 24:20 \\
\hline repeat \(70: 22\) & 100:2 130:8,10 & restore 167:13 & 38:19 39:5 & roll 4:4 \\
\hline repetitive 15:9 & 131:13 142:4 & restrictions 3:16 & 48:18 49:18 & roof 18:3 36:8 \\
\hline 30:17 & 147:14 149:14 & resubmitted & 50:5,11,14 & 36:13,19 40:16 \\
\hline replace 9:20 & 167:3 & 84:3 & 51:2,19 52:11 & 50:4 51:4 \\
\hline 35:16,17 38:15 & requirement & resulted 35:19 & 53:2,8 55:2 & 79:18,19 \\
\hline 40:12,16 41:13 & 83:14 139:6 & resulting 11:8 & 61:20 62:9 & 104:19 \\
\hline 42:3,19 58:11 & 148:18 158:7 & 45:8 93:8 & 66:14,19,20 & roofline 6:20 8:6 \\
\hline 58:14 59:21 & requirements & results 36:14 & 68:2 70:7,9,9 & 8:12 \\
\hline 72:21 162:11 & 3:14 11:7 & retail 115:17,18 & 74:3,13 83:3,3 & room 7:18 17:18 \\
\hline replaced 7:20 & 23:17 43:22 & 117:7 118:5,8 & 88:1,7,22 89:3 & 35:17 36:19 \\
\hline 8:3 36:22 & 45:6 69:4 & 118:9,17 & 89:21 90:3,5 & 42:19 46:2 \\
\hline 86:13 & 76:15 86:16 & 122:14 126:20 & 91:19 97:3 & 49:14 152:15 \\
\hline replacement & 93:5 96:13 & 137:12,17 & 99:18,22 105:1 & 160:20 \\
\hline 41:7 & 109:3 119:13 & 138:3 139:3 & 106:2,11 & rooms 100:3 \\
\hline replacing 36:18 & 131:9 136:15 & retaining 49:20 & 107:10 111:8 & \(\boldsymbol{r o t} 41: 8\) \\
\hline 105:8 & 141:8 152:18 & 51:9 58:15 & 122:2 123:10 & roughly 67:18 \\
\hline report 120:18 & 160:17 167:8 & retrofitted & 126:21 127:11 & 68:20 \\
\hline 120:21 121:1 & requires 15:6 & 164:5 & 128:12 130:11 & routinely 138:15 \\
\hline reporting & 112:2 & return 83:21 & 133:4,12 & 139:9 \\
\hline 120:17 & requiring 49:1 & 88:13 & 134:10,20 & rubber 40:16 \\
\hline represent 46:9 & reside 66:10 & returned 59:19 & 135:2,15 & ruling 112:8 \\
\hline representative & Residence 126:1 & 83:18 & 140:16,22 & run 15:9 30:16 \\
\hline 17:17 & 126:18 166:5 & review 30:2 33:5 & 141:12,22 & 48:19 \\
\hline representing & residences 136:3 & 43:2 62:15 & 142:10,19 & running 6:10 \\
\hline 162:9 & resident 117:21 & 82:16 99:1 & 143:5,22 144:3 & 99:22 \\
\hline request 26:14 & 122:9 124:3,4 & 110:14 113:5 & 144:18 145:2 & runoff 138:8 \\
\hline 57:20 59:5 & 133:9,16 & 114:22 115:6 & 147:22 148:15 & rushed 125:12 \\
\hline 62:18 81:12 & residential 96:9 & 129:11 & 149:22 150:5 & Ruth 134:10 \\
\hline 90:18 95:10 & 133:11 136:2,5 & reviewed 7:5 & 151:9 153:13 & \\
\hline 103:6 110:3 & 136:16,19 & 57:3 58:20 & 153:14,19 & S \\
\hline 121:4 147:12 & residents 19:12 & 71:18 80:19,22 & 154:3,9,17 & S 3:1 \\
\hline 151:11 152:20 & 71:21 118:9,17 & 110:8,13 & 155:8,10,13,14 & sabotaged \\
\hline requested 11:4 & resistance 97:14 & 128:14 & 156:10 158:7 & 112:14 \\
\hline 25:2 62:10 & resolution 73:7 & reviews 81:7 & 158:16 159:3 & sad 117:7 \\
\hline 81:11 90:16 & respect 27:16 & revised 9:20 & 164:7 169:5 & safety 11:19 \\
\hline 103:9 128:18 & 38:13 & 10:4,12 11:4 & right-hand 49:5 & 45:22 46:3 \\
\hline 129:19 159:6 & respectfully & 12:9 110:2 & 102:5 & 69:3 77:2 \\
\hline 160:3 161:9 & 81:12 & revisions 43:2 & right-side 51:7 & 93:14,17 94:3 \\
\hline requesting & respond 26:16 & Revival-style & 52:6 & 168:1 \\
\hline 22:22 162:11 & 60:11 149:13 & 59:9 & rights 45:19 & safety-oriented \\
\hline requests 96:1 & response 3:12 & Richard 80:9 & Rindge 2:12 & 77:7 \\
\hline 102:20 103:7 & responsibility & ridiculous 91:22 & 86:7,12,14 & Saif 114:11,12 \\
\hline require 63:6 & 136:14 & right 15:13,15 & 90:15,15 91:12 & 114:12 \\
\hline 99:4 100:7 & rest 38:4 48:21 & 16:12 18:8 & rising 151:14 & Salomon 27:1,3 \\
\hline 104:11 163:1 & 100:11 112:4 & 20:3,21 22:10 & Robert 90:14 & 27:7,10 \\
\hline required 44:21 & restate 25:22 & 23:15 24:8 & 91:11 & Sam 6:10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Santos 117:16 & 67:2 120:1 & 108:6,7,17 & 139:8 142:5 & shows 10:8 17:1 \\
\hline 117:17,20,20 & 166:15 & 111:22 131:5 & 147:8,8,11,16 & 18:3 19:1 39:1 \\
\hline Sarah 60:9 & second- 8:2 19:4 & seeks 80:11 & 147:17,18 & 49:15 69:18 \\
\hline satisfied 119:13 & second-floor & seen 139:7 & 149:15,15,20 & 97:22 99:7 \\
\hline satisfies 45:3 & 10:8 & 152:20 & sets 17:14 & sic 116:14 \\
\hline 76:14 93:3 & second-story & selection 60:5 & seven 83:19 & side 16:20,21 \\
\hline 163:3 167:7 & 10:1,4 & sell 117:4 & 101:7 & 17:12 18:13,18 \\
\hline satisfy 69:3 & seconds 135:8 & send \(83: 16\) & seven-foot-ten & 23:14 24:5 \\
\hline 112:4 & section 6:18 & 132:18 & 68:3 & 36:6,21 37:11 \\
\hline saying 19:22 & 44:11 45:3 & sense 29:6 140:6 & seventh 32:2 & 48:14,18,18 \\
\hline 89:5 96:20 & 75:19 76:1 & 141:1 & severe 63:8 & 49:5,18,21 \\
\hline 140:2,4 141:4 & 92:12 100:16 & sensible 129:19 & shade 138:7 & 51:8 52:13,22 \\
\hline says 9:7,8 26:18 & 109:19 124:10 & sensitive 62:17 & shading 138:10 & 53:2,12 54:18 \\
\hline 26:19 40:1,2 & 125:2 130:6 & 62:18 64:2 & shadows 163:10 & 58:12 59:7,16 \\
\hline 55:13,14 61:1 & 163:4 166:16 & sensitively 60:2 & shape 23:20 & 60:20,20 61:11 \\
\hline 71:8,9 88:3 & Security 125:3 & sent 82:16 & 54:7,8,9 64:22 & 61:11,13 63:3 \\
\hline 90:8,9 114:4,5 & see 8:9,12 30:6 & sentiment 29:2 & 88:11,12 & 67:5 74:20 \\
\hline 117:11 120:6 & 34:4 36:5,6 & Separate 21:9 & 110:18,20 & 89:13 99:4 \\
\hline 126:12 156:12 & 38:7,21 41:5 & separating & 111:15,18 & 102:5 108:21 \\
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\hline 81:22 82:16 & 119:12 & turned 24:15,16 & U 57:19 & unmute 9:10 \\
\hline 84:6,9 98:6 & totally 64:11 & turning 147:4 & Um 157:20 & 26:21 40:4 \\
\hline 99:18 103:9 & Totten 96:3 & twentieth 146:2 & unable 80:15 & 55:16 71:11 \\
\hline 105:3 110:5 & 125:15,16,16 & twenty- 32:1 & 81:8 131:12 & 90:11 114:8 \\
\hline 113:3,4,12,19 & 128:6 & twenty-ninth & unappealing & 156:15 165:10 \\
\hline 115:6 120:12 & tough 134:1 & 133:10 & 37:9 & unmuting 27:3 \\
\hline 123:19 127:20 & 137:13 & twenty-second & unchanged & untenable 73:21 \\
\hline 133:17 149:3 & town 115:3 & 144:16,18 & 89:14 & unusual 121:7 \\
\hline 156:3,4,6,8 & townhouse & twenty-seventh & unclear 19:12 & upper 100:10 \\
\hline 164:21 & 104:3 & 32:4,7,10 & 122:16 & 103:4,22 104:5 \\
\hline timeline 120:15 & Tracey 116:13 & 146:5 & underneath & 104:13,14 \\
\hline timely 112:17 & 116:15,16,17 & two 17:12,14,22 & 36:14 & upset 96:4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Upstairs 104:8 & 59:5 64:15,17 & 97:17,19 & 62:19 & 27:10 36:17 \\
\hline urban 108:3 & 64:19 65:11 & Violeta 114:14 & walls 49:3 57:18 & 49:2,17 66:17 \\
\hline 140:6 & 79:17,21 80:11 & 114:16,19 & Waltham 130:9 & 67:3,19 68:15 \\
\hline urge 129:18 & 86:15 89:1 & 115:16,18 & Walton 86:10,11 & 69:6 73:8 \\
\hline urgent 41:7 & 90:17,19 & Virginia 35:8,11 & 87:7,21 88:7 & 82:22 86:11 \\
\hline usable 25:11 & 121:10,18 & 35:12 36:1,4 & 88:12 89:7,9 & 87:16 95:9 \\
\hline 100:5 103:1 & 126:8 130:2,5 & 38:20 39:6 & 89:11 91:16 & 96:4 97:5,14 \\
\hline use 11:15,20 & 130:10,11,12 & 40:11,12 41:13 & want 7:7 17:6 & 97:16 107:20 \\
\hline 12:3 44:13,14 & 131:5,8,10,13 & 42:2,3 47:3 & 38:17 54:8 & 107:21 108:12 \\
\hline 45:14,22 46:5 & 132:7,8,10 & virtually 119:15 & 57:18 69:3,19 & 108:13,16,17 \\
\hline 76:2,3,22 77:3 & 142:7 157:3 & vis-à-vis 30:3 & 79:22 83:2 & 112:1,2 136:7 \\
\hline 77:9 92:13,14 & 161:10,20 & visibility 99:19 & 88:18 103:2 & 136:8,9,13,16 \\
\hline 93:12,15,19 & variances 79:15 & visible 52:8 & 121:18 123:14 & 138:2,2 140:18 \\
\hline 126:12 136:16 & 79:17 118:3 & visit 115:20 & 125:18,22 & 141:4,5,5,6,19 \\
\hline 136:19 138:3 & 130:8 & visual 100:19 & 127:3,19,22 & 146:3 147:7,12 \\
\hline 138:17 141:6 & various 98:8 & 103:17 & 128:1,6 135:21 & 147:14,15 \\
\hline 154:4 163:6,11 & 103:14 & visually 100:14 & 136:20 138:13 & 159:8 \\
\hline 163:11 166:18 & vegetation 52:17 & volume 8:6 & 140:18 149:19 & we've 17:9,11 \\
\hline 166:19 167:20 & vehicle 14:7 & vote 12:21 14:22 & wanted 18:20 & 49:18 50:10 \\
\hline 168:2,6 & vehicles 60:12 & 34:2 43:11,12 & 86:21 89:16 & 52:9 53:12 \\
\hline useable 136:21 & 61:2 & 43:15,17,19 & 96:16 102:8 & 54:22 96:1 \\
\hline useful 98:6 & ventures 122:17 & 46:13,22 61:16 & 133:22 149:18 & 100:7,9 102:6 \\
\hline 162:22 & verify \(4: 13\) & 62:1,2,4,6,8 & wants 105:18 & 102:16,17,17 \\
\hline uses 11:13 45:12 & Verploegen & 65:9 78:5 85:4 & 107:13 108:3 & 103:7,8,14 \\
\hline 76:21 93:11 & 35:13 & 94:14 96:22 & 111:21 & 104:2,15 108:1 \\
\hline 109:11 137:18 & version 9:22 & 141:17 143:20 & waste 120:17 & 108:12 112:16 \\
\hline 167:18 & versus 52:22 & 150:17 157:1 & water 18:10 & 112:16 123:15 \\
\hline usually 36:11 & viable 107:3 & 159:5 161:19 & way \(8: 1323: 1,6\) & 136:6 140:9 \\
\hline utility 160:22 & Vice 1:12 & 168:22 & 23:7 29:6 & 164:12 \\
\hline utilize 129:17 & video 3:19 & votes 4:4 12:22 & 36:20 50:15 & weather 91:5 \\
\hline utilized 160:22 & view 36:18 & 15:1 29:4 47:1 & 54:12,18 56:11 & webpage 4:8 \\
\hline & 37:15 52:19 & 65:10 78:6 & 60:18,19 91:9 & website 110:10 \\
\hline V & 98:17 103:20 & 94:15 161:20 & 98:13 110:1 & 120:8,11,17,22 \\
\hline v 130:9 132:7,11 & 104:22 152:16 & 169:1 & 111:20 113:8 & wedged 100:10 \\
\hline vacation 115:3 & 153:16 & voting 142:17 & 122:17 124:8 & week 83:19 \\
\hline Valentine 2:11 & viewing 51:12 & & 127:6 136:1 & 95:22 120:12 \\
\hline 79:7,13 & 51:17 & W & 138:18 141:3 & 148:19 \\
\hline valid 113:16 & views 38:20 & wait 149:19 & 148:5 & weeks 30:11 \\
\hline 127:2 143:12 & 100:13 111:11 & waiver 33:12,12 & ways 6:20 81:14 & 127:4,7 146:2 \\
\hline 149:17 & 163:10 & 83:13 84:7 & 127:2 & welcome 3:7 \\
\hline value 37:8 38:6 & Vineyard 27:8 & 148:17,19 & we'll 49:7 68:17 & 47:4 53:21 \\
\hline 91:4 98:15 & vinyl 74:16 & waiving 79:19 & 69:4 72:6 85:8 & 129:15 \\
\hline 106:1 & violate 135:10 & walk 16:14 36:2 & 109:7 123:10 & welfare 11:19 \\
\hline variables 99:1 & violates 63:3 & 87:5 115:19 & 133:4 135:15 & 45:22 46:3,4 \\
\hline variance 10:1 & violating 110:22 & walkways 7:16 & 136:10 137:17 & 77:2 93:14 \\
\hline 13:16,20 14:1 & violation 37:22 & 101:16 102:18 & 138:11 & 168:1 \\
\hline 14:7 23:15 & 159:19 162:15 & wall \(49: 2051: 9\) & we're 8:13,15 & Wendy 1:13 3:4 \\
\hline 25:6 37:18 & violations 18:5 & 57:15 58:15 & 15:13 24:10 & 4:20,21 6:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 8:20,21 12:14 & wife 40:8 55:21 & 39:22 115:5 & wrap 67:4 & 165:17 166:15 \\
\hline 12:15 14:15,16 & 57:3 & 145:14 165:6 & wrap-up 135:7 & year 133:10 \\
\hline 16:3 25:7,9 & Wildflower & wishes 9:6 55:12 & wrapping 69:7 & year-old 122:15 \\
\hline 32:3,5 33:19 & 115:15 & 71:7 90:7 & wraps 19:19 & years 29:16 30:1 \\
\hline 33:20 35:3 & Willard 2:9 48:7 & 114:4 156:11 & write 113:1 & 57:1 98:7,9 \\
\hline 39:13,14 43:16 & 48:9 55:21 & withdraw 13:8 & writing 9:19 & 114:16 119:10 \\
\hline 43:17 46:16,18 & 56:19,21 57:13 & 14:9 29:9,13 & 10:12 40:10 & 124:5 133:17 \\
\hline 48:3 62:5,6 & 57:14,21 58:17 & 31:2 115:12 & 41:12 42:1 & 137:8,10 \\
\hline 65:4,5 66:3 & 59:5,8 & withdrawal & 56:19 59:4 & уер 6:12 11:1 \\
\hline 70:20,22 75:16 & William 41:11 & 14:12,14,16,18 & 90:17 & 15:16,18 27:9 \\
\hline 77:22 78:1 & 90:14 & 14:20 15:1 & written 41:15 & 34:672:11 \\
\hline 79:3 81:1,1 & Williams 1:15 & withdrawn 14:3 & 80:16 138:1 & 74:8 79:8,9 \\
\hline 83:9 84:19,20 & 3:6 4:22 5:1 & witness 170:12 & wrong 128:4 & 81:16 107:7 \\
\hline 86:3 89:22 & 6:5 16:4 25:16 & wonder 126:4,4 & & 116:18 122:2 \\
\hline 90:1 94:9,10 & 32:8 33:21,22 & wonderful 69:18 & X & 135:15 144:21 \\
\hline 95:3 113:12,13 & 35:4 39:16 & wondering 80:7 & x 2:1 97:22 & 153:9 155:12 \\
\hline 142:10,12,18 & 43:19 46:19,20 & wood 73:2,2 & 106:16 & 159:4 166:2,2 \\
\hline 145:5,7,20 & 48:4 55:10 & 74:10,21 75:1 & Y & yesterday \\
\hline 150:10,11 & 62:7,8 65:6,7 & words 43:9 54:6 & Y & 125:19,21 \\
\hline 151:3 156:5,6 & 66:4 71:4 78:2 & 131:12 & yard 23:14 & You're 47:4 \\
\hline 161:14,15 & 78:3 79:4 & work 12:8 15:3 & 48:15,19 49:17
\(52 \cdot 8,2254 \cdot 18\) & you've 51:21 \\
\hline 162:3 165:1,2 & 84:22 85:1 & 29:10 40:19 & 52:8,22 54:18 & \\
\hline 168:17,18 & 86:4 90:4 & 41:9,16,18 & 54:19 55:1 & Z \\
\hline went 31:11 & 94:11,12 95:4 & 42:5,20 44:5 & 58:15 59:7 & Z-i-s-s-0-n \\
\hline 120:10 & 113:20 142:22 & 58:7,15 62:12 & 63:3 159:19 & 166:5 \\
\hline weren't 126:18 & 143:3 145:9,12 & 77:5 79:16 & 162:15,16 & zero 89:6 99:9 \\
\hline 126:21 & 145:19,21 & 90:20 127:6 & yards 38:21 & 99:10 102:10 \\
\hline weren't 108:6 & 150:12,13 & 146:1 154:7 & yeah 7:7,9,11,12 & 103:7 126:20 \\
\hline 110:10 & 151:4 156:8 & 159:9 161:7 & 25:16,20,21 & 136:20 \\
\hline Wernick 1:14 & 161:17 162:4 & 162:18 163:5 & 30:21 38:22 & Zhou 79:8,11,12 \\
\hline 3:5 4:14,15 6:4 & 165:4 168:19 & 163:12 164:13 & 54:9 72:16 & 80:5 81:16 \\
\hline 9:1 11:2 12:16 & 168:20 & 166:8 & 74:14 81:3,4 & 82:8,11,13,19 \\
\hline 12:17 14:17,18 & willing 98:9 & worked 9:18 & 82:13,18 83:4 & 83:3,10 85:7 \\
\hline west 36:21 37:11 & Wilson 132:10 & 122:21 & \(87: 15,1888: 21\)
\(80 \cdot 19108 \cdot 19\) & Zisson 162:9 \\
\hline 37:14 38:3 & wind 139:2,21 & working 126:17 & 89:19 108:19 & 166:5 \\
\hline 40:15 & window \(7: 14,15\) & 151:15 154:7 & 112:5 113:10 & zone 95:12 96:9 \\
\hline whatsoever & 8:9,11 36:19 & works 145:19 & 122:9 123:14 & 96:10 97:22 \\
\hline 50:13 & 38:18 51:5 & workshops & 123:17,20 & 126:2,3,10,12 \\
\hline wheelchair & 80:1 & 122:16 & 124:2 140:22 & 126:13 136:13 \\
\hline 164:4 & windows 6:19 & world 74:21 & 145:2 146:6 & 136:14,15 \\
\hline whereof 170:12 & 7:5,19,20 8:1,2 & 140:5 & 149:16 151:17 & zoning \(1: 1,19\) \\
\hline wide 49:12 & 9:21 11:16,21 & worth 28:4 & 152:12,14 & 3:8 9:15 11:13 \\
\hline 67:14,18 68:4 & 11:22 41:6 & 73:22 & 153:8,20 154:2 & 24:1 44:8,21 \\
\hline 70:8,8 & 80:1 & worthwhile & 154:6,6,20 & 45:12 49:9,10 \\
\hline widen 67:13 & wiped 155:17 & 106:20 & 155:2,3,13,15 & 58:2 59:2 61:7 \\
\hline wider 67:9 & wire 113:7 & wouldn't 15:9 & 155:18 157:8 & 62:17 63:4 \\
\hline width 68:6 & wise \(31: 582: 20\) & 108:9 152:9 & 157:21 158:1,5 & 66:17 67:12 \\
\hline 87:13 99:2 & wish 26:17 & Wow 78:13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 158: 10,15,16 \\
& 158: 17 \quad 164: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & 69:17 71:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 76:10,21 80:10 & 146:14,15 & 56:20 & 40:11 41:22 & 43 37:10 39:3 \\
\hline 91:8 92:8,21 & 10,11 32:20 & 174035 35:7 & 42:21 57:1 & 48 2:9 \\
\hline 93:11 95:10 & \(\mathbf{1 0 . 4 3 ~ 4 5 : 3 , 5}\) & 177413 66:7 & \(259114: 12\) & \\
\hline 96:8,11,12 & 76:14,15 93:3 & 177687 79:7 & 27 3:17 31:18,22 & 5 \\
\hline 97:9,10,14 & 93:4 163:4 & 177941 95:7 & 32:11,14,16,18 & 5 59:20 128:15 \\
\hline 98:11 99:1,5 & 167:7,8 & 178252 162:7 & 32:22 33:4,11 & 5-31 166:6 \\
\hline 100:7,7 106:2 & 10.8 81:10 & 18 2:10 66:7,9 & 33:15 34:4 & 5:00 33:4 83:18 \\
\hline 106:10,22 & \(100135: 9\) 153:7 & 71:16 73:13 & 71:22 72:1 & 84:3,11 148:20 \\
\hline 107:9,18,20 & 157:6 & 82:10 83:12 & 144:10,13 & 148:22 \\
\hline 108:1,4,6,15 & 100-151:12 & 84:4,6,9,11 & 146:3,5,7 & 50 36:11 \\
\hline 108:18 109:11 & 100-square & 85:6 & 148:16 149:1,3 & 500 101:8 \\
\hline 111:1,22 112:1 & 152:15 & 1800s 67:17 & 149:5 150:15 & \(51116: 18\) \\
\hline 112:4 116:10 & 107 2:12 86:7,12 & 1893 73:1 & 277 121:11 & 54 124:6 125:17 \\
\hline 119:12 126:1 & 90:15,17 & 19 67:8 71:22 & 279 135:1 & 6 \\
\hline 126:19 128:4 & 109 88:3 & 19-21 71:22 & 28 71:22 170:18 & 6 \\
\hline 129:17,19 & 11 7:2 12:9 & 1904 59:10 & 281 119:5 121:8 & 6 2:3 9:10 26:21 \\
\hline 130:17 136:18 & 83:20 101:6,7 & 1950s 59:21 & 129:22 135:1 & 31:18,22 40:4 \\
\hline 136:22 137:16 & 11/18/21 2:5 & 1999 37:19 & \(2862: 13\) 95:7,10 & 55:16 68:17 \\
\hline 137:21 138:1 & 113 86:14 88:4 & & 120:18 129:12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
70:5 71:11 \\
90:11 114:8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 139:3,6 148:4 & 90:15 91:12 & \(\frac{2}{22.821: 935: 7}\) & 130:3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
90:11 114:8 \\
145:17,18, 19
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 160:13 164:14 & \(123: 13\) 22:18 & \(22: 821: 935: 7\) & 286's 119:9 & \[
145: 17,18,19
\] \\
\hline 166:12 167:3 & 32:20 83:20 & 35:13 42:14,22 & 287 53:5 & \(145: 20,22\)
\(149 \cdot 3156 \cdot 15\) \\
\hline 167:18 & 124:5 & 58:18 109:1 & 29 43:6 72:1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 149: 3 \text { 156:15 } \\
& 165: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline zoom 99:15
103:13 & 12-122:14
\(132: 5\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 122:10 } \\
\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0} 97: 6
\end{gathered}
\] & 3 & 6:00 1:6 3:3 \\
\hline 103:13 & 13 2:5 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0} 97: 6 \\
\mathbf{2 . 7 8} 42: 1458: 7
\end{array}
\] & \% 9:17 & 6:00 1:6 3:3 \(32: 15,1733: 15\) \\
\hline 0 & 135 158:20 & 20 124:4 155:20 & 3,100 95:11 & 83:12 84:6,9 \\
\hline 0.453 :1 & 14 1:5 3:7 9:14 & 20-foot 81:10 & 3:58 113:2 & 148:16 149:3 \\
\hline 0.62 39:1 & 32:17,22 59:1 & 200 56:21 & 30 71:22 162:17 & 150:15 \\
\hline 0.63 39:2 & 71:22 80:15 & 105:15 & \(300119: 9\) & 6:01 6:2 \\
\hline 0.69 22:21 & 149:5 & 2002 33:15 & 32 133:9 & 6:14 16:2 \\
\hline 02139 1:10 & 14-16 27:7 67:15 & 2004 9:19 & 35 2:8,15 162:7 & 6:3735:2 \\
\hline 04/28/22 2:7 & 14.7 54:22 & 2012 9:18 & 162:9 166:5 & 6:53 48:2 \\
\hline 05/05/22 2:4 & 149665 13:3 & 2020 3:14,18 & 366 53:4 & \(6036: 11\) \\
\hline 07/06/22 7:1 & 14:5,12 & 2021 42:22 & 38 2:3,5 6:7 13:3 & \(62151: 19\) \\
\hline 09:21 169:10 & 1512:14 & \(20221: 53: 7\) & 38-foot 108:12 & \\
\hline 1 & 16 2:6 22:15 & \[
9: 1432: 14
\] & 3D 100:13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{6 4} 2: 14151: 7,9 \\
& 151: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 121:9 56:20 & 160 153:8 & 73:14 170:13 & 4 & 64R/5 2:14 \\
\hline 1.11 152:19 & 162 2:15 & 2022.' 58:18 & \(42: 948: 7,9\) & \(662: 10\) \\
\hline \(1.114158: 20\) & 163881 16:7 & 2028 170:18 & 56:19 57:14 & \\
\hline 1.13 152:19 & 168459 6:7 & 21 73:14 & 58:17 59:5,8 & 7 \\
\hline 1.2 22:22 53:2 & 168459
13:17 & 22 3:20 144:20 & 75:6 96:4 & 7 10:10 55:21 \\
\hline \(1022: 14\) 71:21 & 1740:9 49:13 & 144:21 145:3,6 & 120:12 & 70:5,5 87:21 \\
\hline 73:14 83:20 & 71:21 & 23 71:22 108:13 & \(4.2575: 6\) & \(7.5162: 16\) \\
\hline 98:7 113:22 & 170416 86:7 & 23-25 71:22 & \(402: 119: 17\) & 7:14 66:2 \\
\hline 117:3 119:10 & \[
170485 \text { 151:7 }
\] & 24 71:22 & 79:7,13 & 7:29 79:2 \\
\hline 130:6,8 135:8 & 173890 48:7 & 25 2:8 35:7,14 & 40-42 9:16 & 7:37 86:2 \\
\hline 137:8,10 & 173800 & & 40A 130:6 & 7:47 95:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

