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PROCEED INGS
(6:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the November 17, 2022 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am the Chair for tonight's meeting.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on Cambridge television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that may change based on the number of speakers, and at the discretion of the Chair.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: And Brendan Sullivan. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Present and audible.
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(6:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The first case I'll call tonight will be Case No. 155115 -- 35 Webster Avenue. DAN ANDERSON: Good evening, Mr. Chair. Dan Anderson, Partner at Anderson Porter Design here to present the amended special permit applications for 35 Webster Ave. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Dan, before you start, I just want to make a statement that Mr. Alexander had sat on this case originally. He has recused himself from further matters from the Board, and as such Andrea Hickey is going to be the fifth member hearing this case tonight. And the Board has invoked the Mullin Rule to allow Ms. Hickey to sit on the matter.

Chapter 39 Section 23D of the Massachusetts General Law, known as the Mullin Rule provides that members of municipal Boards or Commissions who are absent from no more than one session of an adjudicatory hearing may still act on the matter at a future session, provided that the
municipality accepts the section of the law, and that the absent member reviews a transcript or video of audio recordings of this hearing, and certifies that they have done so. The statute is known as the Mullin Rule.

We are in receipt of correspondence dated November 17 to -- regarding 35 Webster, Cambridge, MA BZA 155115 and BZA 155116:
"Dear Chairperson Sullivan, this is to certify that I have reviewed the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals files with respect to the above caption -- the abovereferenced cases, which files include without limitation the transcripts of prior proceedings, application plans and correspondence.
"Respectfully,
"Andrea Hickey."
So I will make the motion, then, that we accept
Andrea Hickey as a duly appointed and has met that requirement of the Mullin Rule.

I would ask for other members of the Board to agree to that motion. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: Agrees.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: Agrees.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan, I also agree. So Ms. Hickey is duly appointed to sit on this case. Now, you may go ahead, Dan.

DAN ANDERSON: Much appreciated. We appreciate the accommodation on that, and Ms. Hickey thank you for stepping in and participating.

So as you may recall, we asked for a continuance on this matter. This is a special permit around a nonconforming, single-family structure - non-conforming in respect to a side yard and front yard setback.

So we're here asking for relief on modifications of a modest increase in the height of the structure within that side yard setback, new window openings within that elevation, and a new set of steps and porch in the front yard setback.

We'd ask for the continuance on the last presentation to work out these design refinements with the abutter at 41 Webster, and which we have done so over the past number of weeks, and I believe in record have their
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support for that.
So we made modifications to the rooflines, the shadow cast with respect to their landscape and visibility, and also to height as well as window openings.

So at your pleasure, I can walk you through this. I'm not sure whether, Olivia, you want to present, or should I take over and run through the particulars?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So what you're referencing is a letter to the Board dated November 14 from Mr. Parvizi summarizing the correspondence back and forth with the abutters, and that you accounted for the neighbors' feedback, and they have -- you have adopted the proposed flat roof design, shared shadow studies, modified the flat roof design to maintain the sloped roof in the front northwest corner of the home in order to reduce the shadow impact.

You have modified the flat roof design to cut off a segment in the back northeast corner in order to reduce the shadow impact at 41 Webster, and you have removed the proposed bedroom window frosting proposed bathroom window, and reduced size of the proposed living room window on the north elevation.

There was no proposed window on the north
elevation that has line of sight to 41 Webster, either because it is frosted or above the head height.

And so, I think that fairly summarizes the status
of 35-37 Webster, is that correct?
DAN ANDERSON: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is there anything else to add
to that that --

DAN ANDERSON: No, I think that's the sum total of the modifications that we made in order to --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DAN ANDERSON: -- accommodate --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
DAN ANDERSON: -- the requests.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DAN ANDERSON: So --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't think we need to go
into any further detail, unless the members of the Board wish to do so. Let me turn it back over, then, to the Board if they have any questions.

Jim Monteverde, any further questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: I have no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Lin Yang?
LIN YANG: Hi. I'm Lin. I'm the owner of 41 Webster. And as the applicant has said, overall we are supportive of the new design of the 35 Webster. However, we just reviewed the new design specification, and have some very minor comments.

So there are two numbers that we have been discussing -- one is keeping the eave northwest eave (sic) elevation at 33.7 foot. The other one is cut off the second
in the back -- corner, that 1.7 foot.
These changes are essential for our support, and they are discussed and agreed in the e-mail communications. But in the submitted plan, I don't see these two numbers being specified on the drawings on page 8, 2.1. Maybe I missed something.

But if they are -- so we -- the thing we are asking is -- asked Dan and the full team to ensure these numbers are marked and specified on the new design, so there wouldn't be any ambiguities in future interpretations. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. That is the sum and substance of any call-in, and also what is in some communication which actually addresses early concerns. It's all part of the record.

I will now close the public comment part, send it back to Dan on anything further.

DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much. So to Mr. Lin's point, those are the heights to which this proposal is made, and we're willing to so stipulate. I thought those dimensions were specifically called out, because those were addressing his concerns.

But the Board will accept in the transcript that we are stipulating that those are in fact the heights there, and those will be represented on the plans at an appropriate time. I'm not sure how else to address that, other than assuring Mr. Lin that those are the heights that he requested and that we agreed to.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Back to the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions, comments?

LAURA WERNICK: You're on mute, Jim. Jim, did you say something?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. I didn't realize I muted myself. I have no questions. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Laura Wernick, any questions or comments you'd like to make?

LAURA WERNICK: No. Laura Wernick no questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, any questions or comments?

JASON MARSHALL: Nothing at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea Hickey, any questions or comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: No, Mr. Chair. Not at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Ready for a motion?

ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: They are seeking a special
permit to increase the height of the building, which violated the setback in the addition and the openings. They have amended the plans, the latest drawing plans submitted.

I suspect, Dan, that the updated dimensional form is contained therein, or a new one provided?

DAN ANDERSON: It is contained there.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Section 5 -- 5.31, 88.22.-c, which is a non-conforming structure, let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the plans submitted dated and stamped at November -- I'm sorry, the plans are dated 2022-11-03 -- November 3, 2022 -- and stamped in the Department November 14, entitled, "35 Webster Avenue, by Anderson Porter Design." And the dimensional form supporting statement is contained therein.

The Board finds that it may grant a special permit." In a resident's district, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming structure not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a non-conforming use.

Provided any enlargement or alteration of such non-conforming structure is not further in violation of the dimensional requirements or the off-street parking and loading requirements in Article 6 in which such structure is located.

And provided such non-conforming structure will not be increased in area or volume by more than 25 percent, which it is not, is that correct?

DAN ANDERSON: That is also correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So -- and then under the criteria for granting a special permit, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met through the granting of a special permit.

The Board finds that traffic generated or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board notes that earlier designs could
potentially have somewhat of an adverse effect on adjoining properties; that the petitioner has changed/amended/reduced the project to ameliorate the vast majority of those concerns.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the City.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The intent and purpose of the ordinance is to allow homeowners to update their structure with modern mechanical systems; also energy conservation equipment, new windows, the exterior cladding; that the proposal will have a benefit to the entire neighborhood and to the city as an updated structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, the special permit, as per the application and initialed by the Chair. That the work to conform to the drawings as initialed? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, members of the Board, the special permit 155115 is granted. DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much.
(6:17 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number No. 155116 -- 35 Webster Avenue. And again, for the record, the Board is complying with the requirements of the Mullin Rule and the same statement that was -- that I read for the previous case, should the -- we'd ask that it be incorporated as part of the record for this particular case, allowing Ms. Hickey to sit on this case.

Okay, Dan?
DAN ANDERSON: Great. And just to be clear, Ms. Hickey, thank you. And the Board has agreed to hear this case as well?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, that the Board has --

DAN ANDERSON: You made a motion previously to allow her to hear the previous case. Is that carried through to this case as well?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

DAN ANDERSON: Very good. Thank you.
So the presentation here is for a variance to an otherwise conforming single-family structure. It's what was previously called, "37 Webster" at the rear, Case No. 155116.

And the variance at hand is to request a guardrail at the areaway access to the lower level of that structure. That areaway extends three and a half feet beyond the allowable setback.

But because the areaway is a stair and deeper than 30 inches, it requires a guardrail by code.

The current proposal is that there be a grate would cover that that would be removable. The request is that a guardrail -- open guardrail with no more than fourinch openings be constructed in its place within that allowable three-and-a-half-foot incursion into the setback.

We presented previously. We'd want to reiterate that the hardship presented was that on this lot there was no other location for said areaway, and that adjusting the footprint or removing the structure in a more narrow way or otherwise volumetrically to meet the client's program and other site requirements would have created more massing --
less footprint on the ground level, which was a -- would have been an unfortunate thing for the program. But for the shadow impact and visual impact of the neighbors, it would have forced the volume to be on the third floor to be pressed more to the south and create more impact on abutters, which was clearly not the intention.

So I'm hoping that there is some positive discussion about this, but that is the sum of the request is to replace that grade with a simple guardrail.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I guess the discussion -- and I guess my initial reluctance on this is that you're basically starting off with a blank piece of paper. And, you know, why you couldn't build it as-of-right.

And I think my preference would have been that the building be sort of shortened and sort of pulled in so that you would not need relief from this Board by way of a special permit for the entry into the basement.

But then I realized that I guess sort of like a balloon, if you squeeze it in under two sides, it's got to pop up somewhere, and that you could as-of-right, then, increase the height of the building; is that correct, Dan, what is proposed?

And so, -- I think you're on mute, Dan?
DAN ANDERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DAN ANDERSON: That is correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. And so, then the -- and it's not necessarily a tradeoff, just that the reality of the situation is that to reduce the height of the building, which affects obviously the shadow and lessens the shadow -lessens the impact -- is that we then go basically out to the left and through the entryway into the basement you can do, and the grate issue then becomes what is the best solution to access and egress the basement?

And reading your correspondence dated November 14, that you're basically saying that a guardrail with an open stairway down into the basement is probably the better solution for any occupant of the building -- anybody using the building, especially in inclement weather.

And so, I think that I would tend to agree with your analysis of that; that a grate having some experience with that, can be problematic from now -- this time of the year until maybe the fifteenth of April or something like that -- something.

So does that tend to be your thesis on this situation?

DAN ANDERSON: That is accurate.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay. Let me open it back up to the Board again. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall, any questions?
JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, I think you
articulated well the dynamic at play in this particular case. I don't have any questions. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in, and I don't
think we have any other further correspondence. No. Okay. Let me just, all right, send it back to you, Dan. Anything, or are we ready for a motion, Board?

DAN ANDERSON: Just one comment. This is just kind of an anomaly of the way in which the code is written around this, which is that if there were an exit stair -exit steps in that location, which would be incurring three-and-a-half feet into that space plus the guardrail -- the exit steps being let's say three-and-a-half to or less than four feet in height and guardrail around that, that typical is not considered specifically excluded from a requirement for relief in any way.

So I just point that out in this, it's one of those oddities that we face in creating designs like this that an arguably much less impactful change requires relief from the ordinance, where, you know, a set of steps and stoops and other things that otherwise would be excluded under the Ordinance.

> So just --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
DAN ANDERSON: -- just a minor observation.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, you're correct. You're --
those, I think, are thoughts that the Board has had too is that that's the way it's written, and that's the way it's interpreted by the Department and there's an awful lot of somewhat contradictions in this book.

You can do one thing, not the other, and yet they basically do the same thing and have the same effect. But --

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah, we have -- I appreciate your judgment on that. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. All right. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested. It is for a variance under Article 55.31, and 10.10.30 (sic).

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the shape of the lot containing two other structures. That the vast majority of this building can be built as-of-right. That the entrance and exit from the basement area requires either a grate or a stairway with the railing around it.

The Board agrees with the petitioner that the railing, of which this is the subject of this variance,
would be the preferable solution, because it would greatly aid in anybody entering or exiting the building, especially in an emergency and especially during inclement weather.

The Board finds that the hardship, again, owing to the shape, and that the alternative would have a more impactful effect on the abutting properties -- and as such, the solution and the variance request is a lesser grant, and a fair and reasonable one.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good -in fact the lessening of the height will have a lessening impact on the neighborhood.

And that it would not nullify or substantially derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to have a fair and reasonable use of the property -- the proper entry and exit, even though it may infringe upon side yard setback.

And that the Board finds that the solution at hand and nature of this variance is de minimis in nature.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I might add that the variance is granted on the condition that the work comply with the drawings provided and initialed by the Chair, and with the supporting statements and the dimensional form incorporated by reference.

On five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much.
(6:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, one other matter would be 168852, which is the appeal.

DAN ANDERSON: Yes, thank you. So Dan Anderson, Anderson Porter Design. We've requested a continuance of that appeal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And -- okay. On the motion, then, to continue, we are in receipt of correspondence from Trilogy Law -- Sarah Rhatigan -- dated November 15.
"Dear members of the Board,
On behalf of the petitioner, we respectfully request a continuance of the hearing of this appeal currently established for November 17. Thank you for your consideration."

Any particular date, Dan, or any -- for the date?
DAN ANDERSON: I'm happy at your earliest convenience.

PARVIZ PARVIZI: Dan, I would suggest if there's a
date in 2023 in February or so, that might be best.
THE REPORTER: Could you identify yourself for the record, please?

PARVIZ PARVIZI: Yes. This is Parviz Parvizi, owner of 33-35 Webster.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Parviz, I'm sorry, what was that date?

PARVIZ PARVIZI: Are there any dates in 2023 in February?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: February?
PARVIZ PARVIZI: Yeah, or later even, like late February?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: February 9 or February 23?
PARVIZ PARVIZI: 23 would be great. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: February 23.
PARVIZ PARVIZI: Yep. Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. It is a case not
heard. So on the motion, then, to continue Case No. 168852
-- 35 Webster Avenue until February 23, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of February 23, 2023 at and 6:00 p.m.

That the sign may not be posted but must be
maintained at least 14 days prior to the February 23, 2023 meeting.

That any new material not currently in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the February 23, 2023 hearing.

And we have a waiver in the file.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim

Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: In favor of the continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]

Five affirmative votes; the matter is continued until February 23, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. Thank you.

DAN ANDERSON: Very good. Thank you to the Board and you, Mr. Chair. Have a good evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight.
(6:32 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case Number No. 188958 -- 24 Union Street. Mr. Luna, are you on?

JOSEPH LUNA: Yes, I am. Okay, thank you, members of the Board. My name is Joseph Luna. I am the Principal of Luna Design Group Architects from Danvers, and I'm speaking on behalf of my client, Ms. Lin Lin, who's also here today.

The project in question is 24 Union Street, which is a two-story hip roof townhouse with unfinished attic and basement. At the rear of the building are single-story smaller blocks that house existing bedroom and bathroom, and the property preliminary has no on-street parking.

Ms. Lin lives in Unit 24 and rents the other two units. The building is non-conforming to current Cambridge Zoning bylaws for parking and side yard setback.

Ms. Lin wishes to renovate and add to the building and has currently pulled a building permit for Phase 1,

Interior Building Renovations. It requires zoning relief to add to the property, because of existing non-conformities and additional non-conformities as a result of this addition.

As part of the current Phase 1 renovation, a new fire alarm and sprinkler system are being installed. The system is designed to accommodate the proposed addition if the requested zoning relief is granted.

Specifically, Ms. Lin wishes to build on top of the existing single-story rear elements to add additional living space upstairs, completely rebuild the roof to create a usable attic and connect the attic over the proposed second-floor additions.

As part of the roof rebuild, the roof would eliminate this and change it to a primary gate at the rear, and then individual shed dormers with bookend gables at the front of each unit.

There will be no change in the height of the primary roof or change in its roof pitch. Care was taken not to overwhelm the front façade and the scale of the dormer during contact with the neighborhood, and we believe provide an attractive design, while gaining much-needed
attic living space.
Ms. Lin also plans on making cosmetic improvements by eliminating the unattractive asphalt shingles to the front façade and residing the house.

She is also seeking a special permit. As I mentioned before, the property has no off-street parking. She is allowed by right to put a single curb cut in; however, there is no side yard to get a driveway to any rear parking.

In order to get her some amount of off-street parking, we are requesting to provide two 10 -foot-wide curb cuts at the east and west corners of the property. And then there would be tandem parking along the front. As necessary to do this, the existing stair stoops will be pushed into the building.

There are two trees that will be affected by this, and Ms. Lin is planning on replacing those trees. One could be considered a significant tree; the other doesn't meet that requirement, but she would put at least the major tree back toward the center of the property.

We were asked too at the last meeting to present shadow studies. We submitted a series of studies for the
project that shows the extent of the shadows at both equinoxes. And each study shows one hour after sunrise midday and one hour before sunset. And we did studies for both the winter and summer equinox.

If you've had a chance to review these studies, we do not see any substantial increase in the amount of shadows being case on the adjacent properties.

The two-story element that's added off the back will cast a shadow, but since there's no increase of height of the building, any increases in shadow casting is negligible. I'd be happy to answer any questions the Board may have on this project, and Ms. Lin is also here to answer any questions as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. With the Board's indulgence, $I$ think $I$ would like to walk through the existing and what is proposed. I could have Ms. Ratay pull up EC1.01, which is the existing first-floor plan.

JOSEPH LUNA: There you go.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So a typical -- I think each unit is a mirror image of the other one. Is that correct? JOSEPH LUNA: That's correct. They're townhousestyle units.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So a typical unit has a bedroom, living room, dining room. There's a deck, there's a kitchen, there's a bath off of the kitchen, and there's a laundry area. And that is sort of typical.

JOSEPH LUNA: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the first floor -- and then
we go to EC1.02. The --
JOSEPH LUNA: You can see the roof; right. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again -JOSEPH LUNA: You can see the roof of the onestory element in the back.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. There is a bedroom, bedroom, a study, and an office.

JOSEPH LUNA: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then there is no three (sic), because that's what you're requesting, basically: Change the roofline so that you can access and make use of the attic space?

JOSEPH LUNA: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now, if I would ask Ms. Ratay to go to what is proposed, which would be A1.02? JOSEPH LUNA: A1.01 to A1.03.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. And basically, you're leaving the -- as an unfinished basement?

JOSEPH LUNA: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JOSEPH LUNA: The existing basement is old masonry
construction. We're doing necessary structural reinforcing, but it's not great space down there.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: What is the floor to ceiling height now? Do you know?

JOSEPH LUNA: Off the top of my head I couldn't tell you. I believe it's around 7' -- 7'4". BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. JOSEPH LUNA: I can get that information, but I don't have it on these drawings right now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. But there's no desire or you feel --

JOSEPH LUNA: There's no desire or --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- or worthwhile to even use the basement? Okay. So on A1.01, first floor, what you're proposing is -- again, a kitchen, a living room, a full bathroom, dining room --

JOSEPH LUNA: Correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- deck off of that, a bedroom

JOSEPH LUNA: Bathroom in the back -- the bathroom in the back was preexisting; that will stay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me just run through it --

JOSEPH LUNA: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- Joe, and you'll have a
chance to speak at the end. I just interrupt my thoughts and I lose -- which may very well be pertinent. So again, a typical unit: Living room, full bathroom, dining room, and a deck off of that.

And then there's a bedroom, full bath off of that and then a kitchen?

JOSEPH LUNA: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Going to 1.02, there is a bedroom, full bath and a study, then a laundry and a wet bar, which I would characterize as a kitchenette, and a bedroom in the back with a full bath.

JOSEPH LUNA: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again, typical of those units. Third floor: A study, full bath, a playroom and a

TV room.
I guess my impression on this is it's a big ask because you're asking each unit would be a kitchen and -again a kitchenette or a web bar, however -- five bathrooms and one powder room, two study rooms, a playroom, and two studies.

So you're asking for 13 rooms plus a living room, a dining room and a sitting room brings it up to 16 rooms per unit in the building. 16 rooms $x$ three is 48 -- 48 rooms in what is a three-family structure.

To me it's a big ask. I think that it is maximizing the property to the fullest extent. The parking in the front to me is a nonstarter. Absolutely parking in the front yard setback requesting two curb cuts is to me just a nonstarter.

So those are my thoughts. Let me turn it back over to the Board.

Jim Monteverde, your thoughts, comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I had the same response you did regarding the parking in the front yard. I would not be in favor of that. And beside the overall amount of the new development being significant -- perhaps too significant to
the lot or for the building.
I was also curious about: I didn't find -- I couldn't scale dimensions for the dormers and understand how this complied or didn't comply with the Dormer Guidelines. That was just one question I had.

And just from the visual appearance of it, it appeared the dormers were significantly beyond the Dormer Guidelines. So those are my three concerns in particular.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And I guess the ultimate question, which I know one of the members of the Board will bring up, is the hardship, and why is it a hardship for such a -- more than just a renovation or repurposing of the building, but a -- quite an expansion of the living space. That's the other thought that I would have.

Laura Wernick, your thoughts at this time, questions?

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah. I don't have much in addition to what's been said to date. I did have a question on the second-floor plan. I just had a question of your -of the Chair's description. Because I thought it was -- is it a -- it has a wet bar; I thought it was a laundry.

JOSEPH LUNA: It is a laundry with a refrigerator
wet bar inside. It's a shared space.
LAURA WERNICK: Okay. Yeah, no, it does seem like a large quantity of space for this -- given the parking, given the situation and the non-conforming use. So I think I would disagree with the issues that have been raised to date.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jason Marshall? Your thoughts, comments, questions?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I mean, I think I generally adopt the questions and concerns that have been raised so far. It does strike me as quite an oversized ask in terms of an exemption from the Zoning bylaws. It's several thousand square feet over the allowable GFA.

And then to the Chair's point about hardship, it's -- I'm not persuaded by the narrative in the application that it meets the hardship standard -- this type of an extension renovation. Those are my early thoughts.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay thank you. Andrea Hickey? Questions? Thoughts? Comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. Mr. Chair, you've sort of hit on all the points that are important to me, the first being parking. The parking as proposed in the front of the
house from my perspective is not acceptable. It's too close to the house.

The two curb cuts, if I'm recalling correctly, were an issue with a neighbor or an abutter, and I just -the parking is, as you said, a nonstarter, and I'm in agreement with that.

Secondly, the hardship I would invite and encourage the petitioner's representative to speak more to that issue, because I've not really heard anything that's convincing on the issue of hardship. I turn it back to you, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Let me open it to public comment. And Joe, you'll have a chance to rebut any comments or add to them in a moment. Let me open it to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Cooper McDonald?

COOPER MCDONALD: Hello. Cooper McDonald from 26 Union Street. I'm the owner and resident at 26 Union Street.

I would like to object to basically the entire plans. I have objections to the increase in the height of the single square portions at the rear of the house, the massive increase in FAR, the change of the roofline and also the curb cuts. And I believe those are City trees in front of the house. They're within the sidewalk.

With respect to the increase in the number of stories at the rear of the property, the architect confirmed to me that this increase in height would decrease the available sun in my yard, and also at the back of my house.

As sunlight is a premium in an urban environment, I object to this increase in height and increase in shading. The addition of more windows directly aligned to overlook my yard also raises privacy concerns for me. The increase in height is also not needed to increase living space in the unit.

My neighbor has requested use of the attic as living space. This should be sufficient for any increase needed. That said, the plan is to increase -- far exceed
the FAR for this neighborhood going from 0.65 to 1.14, nearly doubling.

I object because all this does is create threebedroom units with six bathrooms, two kitchens and multiple studies. I understand that improvements are desired, but considerable improvements can be made in a smaller space.

With respect to the change in roofline, I may be amenable to a change in style, however the windows in the gable ends abutting at least my property, they're very close to the property line and this -- however incrementally -increases the risk of fire.

And then finally, I don't know how much I need to say about the parking. I just don't think it's workable, because it's -- there's gas meters on the front of the house. It's too close to the sidewalk. It will end up blocking the sidewalk. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
OLIVIA RATAY: Alice Flaherty?
ALICE FLAHERTY: Alice Flaherty. I'm at 22 Union Street, the south side of the proposed buildings. My objections are primarily the ones that were in my letter that I sent, which is that it changes very much the
character of the back yards that stand.
Like right now I can see six or seven yards from my roof deck, and we've often interacted with neighbors that way. That's how we met people in the next door.

What that's going to do for the three-story
addition is, like, in the kitchen addition is put a big wall that shuts off my view of a bunch of trees, and there will no longer be the opportunity to talk to neighbors in that way.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in.
OLIVIA RATAY: Marcia Hern-Lacey?
MARCIA HERN-LACEY: I wrote an initial appeal, and then I wrote an additional e-mail --

THE REPORTER: Can you give your name and address, please?

MARCIA HERN-LACEY: Sure. My name is Marcia HernLacey, and I live at 30 Union Street, Apartment 2. I am the third house after this proposed property. I had sent an email earlier today, and just because $I$ was unsure if I'd make the meeting.

But I am sharing the concerns of Alice and Cooper in particular. I'm against the expansion of the rear
addition that is currently the kitchen up to the third floor, basically due to light, due to privacy, due to view.

And again, it's just, as was pointed out already, we're still a three-bedroom. We're still three units with a three-bedroom, just with a ton of extras that $I$ question whether are needed.

And I did question the parking, and I think we are all in agreement and concerned that it would just block the sidewalk and be unattainable.

So that's all I have to say. Thank you for listening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. The sum and substance of anybody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence. Marcia Hern-Lacey sent it a letter and spoke. It was dated actually today, November 17. And she also sent in one previous.

There is correspondence from Eric Wahl -- W-a-h-l, 27 Union Street; Nathaniel Haduch -- H-a-d-u-c-h, and he lives at 28 Union Street. There is, again, correspondence from Mr. McDonald, who spoke. There is correspondence to the Board of Zoning Appeal.
"Dear Committee, my name is --" and it's filled in
with the resident residing at 25 Union Street.
Other people who signed are 359 Windsor Street, 107 Hampshire Street; 30 Union Street, Apartment 1, 37 Union Street, 109 Hampshire Street; 18 Union Street, 330 Windsor, 371 Windsor; 18 Union Apartment 1, 55 Union, 362 Windsor, 371 Windsor, 315 Windsor.

Basically, they are saying that they find the design attractive and compatible with scale and context of the surrounding homes. "We believe that the proposed design will have no adverse effect on our property or the neighborhood as a whole." Therefore, the following addresses of people offer their support of the project for the requested variances.

And that is the sum and substance of any communication. I will close the public comment part and send it back to you, Mr. Luna, for comments and thoughts.

JOSEPH LUNA: Okay. My client, Ms. Lin, is here. As far as the number of rooms, I think she would be -- would like to speak as far as why she's asking what she's asking. She could raise her hand and be allowed to speak, and then I can address that afterwards.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

JOSEPH LUNA: Lin, you can raise your hand.
LIN LIN: Oh, hi. Oh, yeah, sorry. One second.
Give me one second.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Lin Lin, if you could just - again, just state, restate your name and your address for the record.

LIN LIN: Yeah. My name is Lin Lin, and my address is 24 Union Street. So thank you so much for everyone coming to the hearing for my property line.

But I want to say something after I hear all my neighbors say everything, because I live in this area -- I live in 24 Union Street over six years. And I know everything about this area.

And before I did this plan and reached my architect, we took a visit around all the buildings, [unclear]. And I can answer all the questions now.

So for firstly, for 26, Cooper: Cooper, he did the same job last year. He [unclear] more room on the roof at his house, and the shadows go to the 28 Union Street.

So but he did everything, same my job. But he never say anything. He did a letter, but he disagree. I do everything same thing. So that's probably feels a little
bit unfair. That's very unfair.
Because I'm not an American citizen, but I'm also pay the six years' public taxes and the income taxes to Americans. I think I need to have the same rights. I have to get the same rights. So I feel maybe a little bit unfair.

Because he did the same. When I do -- I'm next to his house. When he do this, I never say anything, and also neighbor 28, he told me the same. His shadow also goes to his house. He built the same last year and this year when I do, he disagrees. I'm feeling very, very unfair. That's how it feel on this.

And 22 Union Street: Yes, my house is next to her house. And yes, when I build my house a little bit, we are changing a little bit of the [unclear] of the side. Of course. But 70 or 97 -- I went to 97 I went to 97 house yesterday. 97 is next to his yard, it's the same. 97 built the same job. He did the same job. They built the same in the back way.

The building, the third floor, they built a really tall fence. The fence is higher than me. My house never had any fence. But the Cooper did -- fence is higher than
me. So every of my neighbors did the same job.
But when I planned this and -- because of my roof is very, very old, this is the house built in 1996. And the roof almost -- done the work, and now I have to change. So then $I$ just -- the same time, $I$ just make a bid a little bit.

And also, my basement, the basement is a bare wall [phonetic], it's crashed. It's unsafe for living. So just to use this, $I$ just made the same time for change a little bit, and make each unit be more comfortable a little bit.

Because for -- after the pandemic, so every people need that to almost 80 percent of the people work at home. For me, I'm at work at home every day. And every people need a bedroom with a bathroom, with a TV room for their -for example about now, we're meeting; the same.

Before, we can just have a one bedroom each person. For example, a family has three people.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
LIN LIN: Mostly people work at home. We need one study room or one room for the office. We need this space. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

LIN LIN: I think that's very normal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good.
LIN LIN: And all my life $I$ did the same job. I
feel -- I really feel unfair. I feel a little bit worried about this, and also, they -- for the parking, I think that's not a parking. But we can say it's the parking. But I think it's not the parking. Only it's that I drive my car into my property.

Because all my neighbors, they don't say parking, but they did a fence like this, and they drive the car in, and they get the fence closed.

So my property no fence. I just say this is parking. But we can say not a parking. I can just same, get a fence and drive my car inside, close --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
LIN LIN: -- my fence -- only drive my car into my parking.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right, all right. I think we understand what you're trying to say.

LIN LIN: All my life is the same.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
LIN LIN: Yeah. I really feel I'm taking the fall
for all the neighbors. This is the 97 Hampshire Street.

This is next to 22, Alice's house.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
LIN LIN: Are you saying --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
LIN LIN: -- and also please --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JOSEPH LUNA: Okay, Lin Lin.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
LIN LIN: -- also, all my neighbors --
JOSEPH LUNA: Lin.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, thank you.
LIN LIN: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JOSEPH LUNA: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: One question $I$ have to answer, Mr. Monteverde, if Olivia could pull up A4.04, which is the front of the structure and the length of those dormers. Do you have those off the top of your -- it was difficult in the plan to --

JOSEPH LUNA: I don't have -- I'm only looking at
11 by 17. I don't have the spare drawings --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

JOSEPH LUNA: -- in front of me. Essentially, what we did with the dormers, we held them two feet from each end of the party walls and the end walls. The dormers were designed, we did not want to have a continuance shed dormer go around like a giant thumb up on the roof.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You wouldn't be allowed to. JOSEPH LUNA: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It wouldn't --
JOSEPH LUNA: We broke --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's not a question of whether you want to or not.

JOSEPH LUNA: We broke --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're not allowed to.
JOSEPH LUNA: -- we broke the dormer -- nor did we do individual sheds. We broke the dormers into sheds, but then did the bookend doghouse dormers tied into that. So feel it is, you know, in context. We're trying to maximize area up in the roof.

Obviously, the shed dormer provides the solution, but we -- and, you know, these -- if you look at the roof plan, the roof rims on this is quite complicated to make all these dormers work.

But we tried to really make a major effort along the front façade to break down the scale of the dormer by doing individual dormers at each one of the townhouses, and then doing the bookends.

The parking -- with respect to the parking, this is the only remote solution that can get any off-street parking on this. There's -- as I mentioned before, there's no space between the property line and the building in order to get a driveway to park in back.

The stoops have to be pushed back and we knew, honesty, it was going to be able to a big ask. But this is the only workable solution that can work for parking and run short of just backing your car up, and having it stick onto the sidewalk.

So -- well, it's not an ideal solution, but it is really the only solution to provide off-street parking. And there's no net effect on losing parking spaces with the two curb cuts, because there's no parking on that side of the street.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And again, I go back to the purpose of the ordinance, which is 1.30 , which shall be the purpose of the ordinance to lessen the
congestion in the street and serve the health to secure fire and secure safety from prior flood panic and other dangers, to provide adequate light and to prevent overcrowding of land to avoid undue concentration of population, and to encourage housing or persons of all income levels.

But also, I think the purpose of the ordinance is to alleviate unwarranted overdevelopment. I think that this is way overdevelopment of this particular structure. And I can't get my head around all of the number of bathrooms and bedrooms that you're proposing.

I would be opposed to the proposal that is before us. I think you've heard from members of the Board. You have to get four affirmative votes, and I don't think we have them right now, so that there are two options -- either we take it to a vote, and if it was not a favorable vote to you, that you would be precluded for two years coming back with the same or similar proposal, but you would have to if it was in two years.

There's somewhat of a couple of bureaucratic hurdles that has to go before the Planning Board, and they would have to determine whether it was a similar or dissimilar proposal.

If it was ruled similar, then that ends it; you have to wait two years. If they said that it was materially different, it then comes back to us to decide whether or not it is -- that we concur with them that it is a materially different proposal.

And then you would then have to file for a review by this Board. That eats up weeks and eats up months.

The other option would be to consider what the Board has said, letters in the file from neighbors of adjoining properties, and to come back with a different proposal; keep this proposal alive by continuing it and come back with something that is something similar -- I'm sorry, say something different, something possibly scaled back.

JOSEPH LUNA: We do not want to take it to the vote tonight. Nor do I want to withdraw the application without prejudice. I respectfully request the Board for a continuance, so $I$ can sit down with my client, see if we can find a more workable solution and have another dialogue with the neighbors.

I will say my client has been very diligent about talking to the neighbors. You saw the letters of support. Although there were objections, she did make a conscientious
effort to speak with the neighbors.
That being said, we'd like to see if we could come back with a retooled plan and see if we can come to some compromise with the neighbors.

I understand that the issue with the front driveway based on everything I'm hearing, and there's no other solutions would be a nonstarter, but perhaps with the expansion of the attic, we can come to some meeting of the minds and find an acceptable solution to the immediate neighbors.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. You know, and again it's -- each five bedrooms and, you know, the kitchen, kitchenette, you know, powder room, playroom, study, sitting area -- it's just -- it's -- you're asking for an awful lot. Anyhow, that's my thought. Any other member of the Board would like to chime in at all?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think we've covered it all.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey speaking. I would just ask that the petitioner and her representative at the continued case really be prepared to address hardship. It -- you're -- there just is no evidence
that I've heard so far regarding hardship. So if you'd be sure to address that when you're before us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That is an excellent point,
Andrea. And I think Joe, you will pay attention to that. JOSEPH LUNA: Yeah. I mean, it's not -- with all due respect, Chairman Sullivan, the property is underutilized. Ms. Lin is trying to maximize her investment in this property.

The property is adequate in many, many ways.
She's trying to do the right thing. She is willing, you know -- she is bringing up the life safety, but she needs to make this more financially viable for her. The investment it's going to take in doing all of this work, to be able to provide the necessary spaces and areas that she can increase the value of the building. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I'm going to go to
January 12.
JOSEPH LUNA: That's too soon.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Too soon?
JOSEPH LUNA: TOO soon.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Fine. So --
JOSEPH LUNA: I would like to push it into

February.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay.
JOSEPH LUNA: Because it's the end of the
holidays, and --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Then because of my being away, this is a case heard. So we have to empanel the same five members February 23.

JOSEPH LUNA: That would be acceptable.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Of the members of the
Board, Jim Monteverde February 23?
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine.
JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, I cannot do that date. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next date after that would
be March 9. Jim Monteverde, March 9?
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick, March 9?
Andrea, March 9, and Jason?
LAURA WERNICK: Hold on a second.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, yes, Laura.
LAURA WERNICK: I'm just trying to check -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

JOSEPH LUNA: We're not going to get the two years
on the availability, are we?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: I think March 9 is okay --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
LAURA WERNICK: -- for me.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, March 9?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. That looks fine, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Jason?
JASON MARSHALL: Yep. Good here.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So March 9, 2023 at 6:00
p.m. On the motion, then, to continue this matter to March 9, 2023 --

JOSEPH LUNA: Right. That will give us time to talk to the neighbors about the new design.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Okay. I'm going to make a motion, then, to continue this matter to March 9, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of March 9, 2023.

The posting sign must be maintained at least 14 days prior to the March 9 meeting, and change the time to 6:00 p.m.

JOSEPH LUNA: Okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That any new submittals not currently in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the March 9 meeting.

That also the Board would request an updated supporting statement addressing the nature of the legal standard for granting a variance; also any new dimensional forms reflecting any new drawings to be submitted prior to the March 9-- sorry, must be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the march 9 meeting.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter until March 9, 2023, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So on the five affirmative votes, this matter is continued to March 9, 2023. Thank

(7:09 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Going to the Regular Agenda, the Board will hear Case No. 196028 -- 48 Inman Street, Unit 44. Mr. Lacy, you're on.

ETHAN LACY: Thank you. Sorry, I had an Internet connection hiccup there. So this proposal is -- we're seeking relief to rebuild two decks. So this is actually two separate proposals. There's a -- it's a four-unit condo building, and it is comprised of two separate buildings, each of which has two townhouses.

So one side of the condo, the two neighbors have teamed up and they've decided that they would like to rebuild their existing decks. And in redesigning this, we came to the realization that the goals would be met for what they want if we could have relief from the 10 -foot limit to make them 14 feet.

And so, it might help to -- I don't know if it would help to go through the visuals while I explain the
project, or should I explain that in the arguments?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, Olivia can pull it up.
Yep.
ETHAN LACY: Great. So -- so basically, the reason we came to the conclusion that 14 feet would work much better for this is really on two fronts. One has to do with useable open space and the other has to do with safety. And it might actually be helpful to go quickly to the existing conditions and review that first.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 2 -- A2.10, does that work? A2.10, Olivia?

Does that help in your explanation?
ETHAN LACY: That's actually proposed. It might even just help to go to the photographs, which I believe are towards the end. Yeah. Right.

So basically, the way these decks were built, I think probably -- you know, because of this ruling they basically are along -- there's a long stair that goes down to the grade.

And it creates a pretty unusual space, because you're constantly sort of at risk of kind of falling off this deck. And what they wanted to do is create a space
where they can eat with the families, and then a small kind of seating area.

And so, basically, by making it 14 feet wide, we're increasing the usable open space of the deck, because -- you know, essentially the little strip of land at the bottom of the wide stair doesn't really create a space that can be used.

And so, you know, from the perspective of safety, what we decided is to make actually a guardrail all along the long side of there -- standard, you know, four-foot-wide stair with a proper guardrail and handrail that goes down to grade off the side of each one.

So now I think now would be -- you could go back to the sort of proposal page.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Olivia, could you pull up A3. 12 maybe, with a little bit of a perspective on it?

ETHAN LACY: All right. So - so basically, what we would do is put these together in one - sort of one continuous deck with a fence for privacy - build a guardrail all along the side.

And that gives us just enough room and beyond that to actually enhance the existing vegetative screen between
this property and the adjoining property, and it also gives enough space, as you can see in this sort of red dashed line, whereby, you know, someone who's egressing from the house would have enough clearance from furniture to safely sort of get off of the deck.

So we think that -- yeah, by not having this continuous stair, but rather having a guardrail, you know, both of them have young children or are expecting young children, feel that it would greatly enhance the safety of -- and useability of these, you know, fairly modest size yards.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. Any questions by members of the Board? Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick? [Pause] Laura's on mute. LAURA WERNICK: No questions at this time. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, Mr. Chair. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall? JASON MARSHALL: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Agustin Rayo Fierro?
AGUSTIN RAYO FIERRO: Hello. Agustin Rayo. I'm one of the owners. I just wanted to say thank you for considering this. My wife Carmen and I have a 6-year-old. We want to spend time outdoors.

And, as Ethan said, it's kind of hard because -just the deck really is small, and wherever you are, you're pretty close to those steps. So, you know, when we put a table there, we're, you know, really at the edge. And we just think that this would mean spending a lot of time outdoors with our family safely.

Thanks very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. That's the sum and substance of any comments. We are in receipt of correspondence to the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal,
dated 09/23:
"This is to confirm that the Inman Court
Condominium Trust supports renovations to the back yards, 46
Inman Street Unit 1 and 2, as outlined in the attached documents."
"Sincerely,
"The Trustees at 46 Inman Street, Unit 1, 46 Inman Street, Unit 2, 48 Inman Street, Unit 1, and 48 Inman Street, Unit 2."

We are in receipt of correspondence from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District:
"The Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation
District hereby certifies for the property at 48 Inman Street, Units 42 and 44, pursuant to Chapter 2.78 of the Code of the City of Cambridge and the order establishing said district that the work described below does not involve any activity requiring issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or Hardship.
"This Certificate of Non-Applicability is to alter the decks that are not original to the building and its permit \#196928 and \#196029. Date of Certificate: October 6, 2022."

And that is the sum and substance of any
correspondence. I will close public comment.
Anything else to add, Mr. Lacy, at all? You don't
have to. And nothing to refute?
ETHAN LACY: No, nothing -- nothing to add. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Let me -- members of the Board, or ready -- any comments, questions or ready for a vote?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.
JASON MARSHALL: Ready.
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
LAURA WERNICK: Ready. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think what is before us actually is a good use of the property. I think that the way it was built before obviously -- you know, probably would have triggered some kind of relief or something like that that the developers probably didn't want to go down.

I think that what is before us is a fair and reasonable use of the property, and greatly enhances the livability of the project and of the structure.

And I think in today's society has changed the way we do a lot of things outdoors. And this would help greatly to enhance that.

So let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

The hardship is owing to the shape of the lot, the siting of the structure thereon, the fact that the existing decks are quite inadequate.

The proposal before us will greatly enhance the safety of occupants of the building, and also add to the health factor allowing a more usable outdoor space for the unit.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, in fact it would be enhanced, and it would not nullify or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance to allow homeowners to improve their property to update it to address certain societal trends, especially in the era of COVID-19, where outdoor space is greatly
desirable and used in a far greater fashion than in the past.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief
requested, that the work comply with the drawings as submitted dated September 2, 2022 entitled, "42-48 Inman Street Decks" initialed by the Chair, with the supporting statements and the dimensional form that the work conform to those drawings.

On the motion to grant, Jim Monteverde? JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: Laura Wernick in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the variance is granted.

ETHAN LACY: Thanks very much.
(7:21 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And now the Board will hear Case No. 196029, which is 48 Inman Street, Unit 42. And Mr. Rayo, in case and care of Mr. Lacy. So you're going to repeat yourself for the cases, as before?

AGUSTIN RAYO FIERRO: Exactly.
ETHAN LACY: I mean, I'm happy to. But for the sake of everybody, I think maybe -- maybe we ought to just --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so we will take your comments for the previous case by -- into the record by reference. The facts are the same.

ETHAN LACY: The facts are the same. It's -- you know, one property is actually on the street, and the other is kind of behind it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ETHAN LACY: It's literally the same.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, okay. Jim Monteverde any
questions or comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick, any questions or
comments?
LAURA WERNICK: No questions or comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any questions or
comments?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: No questions or comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There's nobody calling in. The Board is in receipt of correspondence September 23:
"Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal,
"This is to confirm that the Inman Court
Condominium Trust supports the renovations to the backyards
of 46 Inman Street, Units 1 and 2, as outlined in the attached documents.
"Sincerely,
"The Trustees, 46 Inman, Unit 1, 46 Inman, Unit 2,
48 Inman, Unit 1, 48 Inman Street, Unit 2."
Also, there is correspondence from the Mid
Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District and Certificate of Non-Applicability regarding 48 Inman Street, Units 42 and 44. 42 is the case at hand.
"The Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation
District certifies pursuant to Chapter 278 of the Code of the City of Cambridge and the order establishing said district that the work described below does not involve any activity requiring issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or of Hardship to alter the decks that are not original to the building. Permit \#196928 and \#196029. Date of certificate: October 6, 2022."

And that is the sum and substance of any correspondence. I will close the public comment part.

Mr. Lacy, nothing to add?
ETHAN LACY: Nothing to add. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. We'll take it to the --
ready for a vote, members of the Board?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready.
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the motion then to grant the relief requested to join two different decks to rebuild and enlarge the existing deck at 48 Inman, Unit 2, the Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner.

And, the hardship is owing to the shape of the lot, siting of the structure thereon so that any alteration of these decks would require some relief from the Board.

I forget what I previously said here, but anyway the desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good; in fact, it would be enhanced, and it would not nullify or substantially derogate from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance.

The Ordinance allows this Board to grant variances to allow people to update their property to enhance their outdoor space, which address concerns -- societal concerns regarding better use of their outdoor space, especially in light of the current climate of COVID-19 and the
desirability of using outdoor space to a greater extent.
And the proposal before us goes to that purpose and meets those ends to enhance the safety and also the health of the occupant or the citizens of the city who use that particular property.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The variance is granted on the condition that the work comply with the drawings entitled, "42-48 Inman Street" dated -- I'm not sure what the date is -- September 2, 2022 -- initialed by the Chair, also incorporating the supporting statements and dimensional form and that the work conform to said drawings.

On five affirmative votes, the variance is
granted. Good luck.
ETHAN LACY: Great. Thank you very much. Thanks,

```
    everyone for your time.
```

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
ETHAN LACY: Appreciate it.
(7:27 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 196373 -- 100 Henry Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Good evening, Mr.
Chair, and members of the Board. For the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge.

I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the applicant, John Mahoney, who's the manager of 100 Henry Street, the subject property.

The applicant is seeking a special permit to allow additional GFA at the property. The property itself is currently a two-family home located in the Cambridgeport neighborhood. It's a handsome Victorian home, but candidly, has endured decades of deferred maintenance.

The plan is essentially to renovate the entire property, entire home, and the zoning issue presented this evening involves the addition of 207 square feet --
additional square feet, all of which is located on a covered porch -- a proposed covered porch on the first floor. That's the full extent of the GFA increase.

Because the structure currently exceeds the allowable FAR, the property owner has applied for a special permit pursuant to 8.22.2.d, since this non-conformity is already preexisting and there are no other new nonconformities created with the application.

The area where the new GFA is located is best depicted on Sheet $A-12$. If it might be possible to go to sheet A-12 you'll see what's depicted right there. The area in green represents a newly constructed exterior porch. The area in green will have a roof over it.

As the Board members know, the covering over that roof has the effect of making the floor area underneath it counted in gross floor area. The balance of that porch is depicted in red. That is going to be unroofed.

So obviously, there is no GFA impact for that, nor does -- is there relief needed. So the relief here really relates to the ability to install or construct a roof over a portion of the new porch.

As I noted before, the home is currently a two-
family. The applicant intends to convert it to a singlefamily.

There's one other change to the profile of the home, and that's depicted in Sheet $A-20$, just to make clear. Just if we go just a little bit lower on $A-20$, you'll see in the left-hand corner of the second-floor, you'll see a sloped roof depicted.

And as part of the renovation, the plan is to straighten out that roof. It becomes a flat roof. It doesn't represent any change in GFA, setback or any other dimensional issue.

So while it's technically not something that is -requires Zoning approval, I wanted to point out that there was a slight change in the profile of that roof.

As I noted, the application itself conforms with the requirements of 8.22.2.d. It is a modest change for a porch. The home currently has a porch, and the porches are customary features on structures like this. And it's an open porch. It has a railing, and the extended porch is in the same vernacular as the existing front porch.

Present with us this evening is the Project
Architect, who's available for questions, as is Mr. Mahoney,
the owner of the property.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. I have no questions. I think the drawings and the supporting statements, and the dimensional form are quite clear to me. Let me open it to the Board.

Mr. Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick, any questions or comments?

LAURA WERNICK: No questions or comments. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any questions or comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: None at the moment, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: No questions, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence dated today, Thursday, November 17 from Philip Luedi -- L-u-e-d-i, and it's regarding correspondence and a subsequent correspondence to its previous, regarding the removal of asbestos siding that happened during the summer.

And that is really a -- there was also some supporting documentation from the petitioner, the petitioner's contractor, regarding the removal of the asbestos, but which clarified the issue for the Board members, but it is not a Zoning issue.

And it is really an administrative issue with the Department -- with Inspectional Services -- issue -- and in reading it, it may be at this point a nonissue, but nonetheless it's not an issue that the Board can consider, and whether we granted relief or not.

So that is the sum and substance of any correspondence. I'm sorry, there was other correspondence but, again, having to do with, I think, the removing of the
siding again. So let me close public comment, send it back to Mr. Rafferty for the additional input.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. That covers everything from our end; appreciate your consideration.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Any member of the Board wish to speak, or shall I make a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Motion please.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The petitioner is seeking relief to construct a single-story addition, which is the extent -- an existing covered porch, modify the slope of the porch, the second-floor roof.

That's in a preexisting two-family, and the petitioner wishes to add windows to a non-conforming wall, and also including an enlarged basement with the conversion of the structure to a single-family dwelling.

Relief under 5.31 under Dimensional Requirements and 8.22.d and 8.22.c and seeking relief from 10.40 special permit. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the drawings submitted entitled, "100-102 Henry Street," and dated 09/20/2022, initialed by the Chair, and also contained by reference is the dimensional form and
supporting statements.
And let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit, provided that the work being sought is in compliance with said drawings, dimensional form and supporting statements.

And the Board finds that it may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally non-conforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family or two-family may only increase a preexisting dimensional non-conformity but does not create a new dimensional non-conformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does make such finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section
10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, or of the application of the extension of the porch and the roof over that is before us.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety, and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, or to the citizens of the City.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow the homeowners improve their structure, bring it up to date. The existing structure has fallen into great disrepair.

The proposal before us is a small part of a much larger renovation and repurposing of the building to a single-family home and have immediate and greatly enhanced benefits to the immediate neighborhood.

And that the Board grants the special permit as per the drawings attached. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan, yes.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; the special permit as per the application is granted.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, members of the Board.
(7:38 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 197312 -- 48 Fresh Pond Lane.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Good evening, everyone. I'm Sravya, and this is my husband, Prabhav, and we're the owners of 48 Fresh Pond Lane. Our petition in front of you is for the construction of a larger dormer.

It's basically the third floor doesn't have enough of height for us to use it as living space. So we propose that the City give us permission for construction of a larger dormer on the third floor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I'm going to ask Olivia if you would pull up Sheet $A-104$. And it shows that the existing dormer -- I'm sorry, this is the proposed -Olivia, I'm sorry, if you could go back to where the existing dormer is. I should have that here. Okay, right there.

OLIVIA RATAY: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Just scroll up a bit right there.

OLIVIA RATAY: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It shows that the existing dormer is 225 inches.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We usually sort of like to get feet and inches, because it means we have to do some arithmetic. But anyhow, the existing dormer is 225 inches, which is 18.75 feet.

Now, Olivia, if you would go to Sheet A-104. And the proposal is for a dormer that is at 380.5 inches, which is 31.71 feet. So you're asking for 12.96 lineal feet of additional dormer.

I'm wondering if you had consulted or thought of the Dormer Guidelines, which basically says that the dormers should not be any more than 15 feet.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: So I believe the dormer currently is at 66, and then we're proposing to make it 78 .

PRABHAV POTLURI: I think our architect is on the line, but $I$ don't think he has the petitioner's --

OLIVIA RATAY: He's not been promoted to be a
panelist.
PHILLIP MILLER: Unmute, there I am. So --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, if you could introduce yourself in your address for the record, please?

PHILLIP MILLER: Sure. My name is Phillip Miller. I'm the owner of America Dural, and I'm the designer on the project.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
PHILLIP MILLER: We are requesting relief to expand the dormer. We're adding roughly nine linear feet to the dormer; as I have it currently the dormer is -- the existing dormer -- sorry about that -- is closer to 17 feet. Yes, I have it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, one of the drawings shows it 225 inches, or 18.75 feet. If you go back --

PHILLIP MILLER: Oh, with the eave, yeah. And I've got it in front of me. Oh, I see. Yes. So with the overhang, we would be at 380 . So the issue is to make the third floor more usable.

But with respect to your question regarding the length of the dormer, we're asking for relief to extend it on the back side. We don't have any dormers on the front of
the building.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I understand that. The
Dormer Guidelines doesn't make distinction between whether it's on the front or the back. It just says, "A dormer on a roofline shall -- should not extend more than 15 feet." So that -- what is proposed before us is contrary to the Dormer Guidelines.

Now, they are guidelines, but we do pay strict attention to them, we try to adhere to them. A number of people have come down before us seeking larger dormers than the dormer guideline, and we have always tried to justify why they're seeking a much larger one, and whether or not it should vary from the Dormer Guidelines, and everybody -- if a person says, "Well the reason for the extended dormer construction is because they -- it's a better use of space"; they need more space.

So that's the consistent argument, or thesis as to why a larger dormer should be constructed. But we still look toward the Dormer Guidelines as sort of the yardstick --

> PHILLIP MILLER: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- on this, even though the
existing one is extending -- it is an existing house. But again, the existing dormer, by pulling figures off the drawing is 18 feet, you're asking for one that's 31 feet. So I want to say just sort of looking for a good answer as to why.

And again, the argument of, "Well, we would like more space, or a better use of the space" is one that we hear all the time but may not be totally convincing.

PHILLIP MILLER: Well, the height of the dormer, which doesn't address the length, but the height of the dormer in the back is 66". And so, there's a bathroom on the third floor that's not really usable.

So we're -- you know, firstly looking to raise that height to -- from 66" to 78". And the ceilings on the third floor are very low, and we're looking to make those spaces more usable, because of the pitch of the roof and the dormer.

So we're looking to create, you know, a home office on the -- in one of the bedrooms, and the other bedroom just to increase the headroom along the back of the space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Those are knee walls now?

PHILLIP MILLER: There are knee walls, and there are currently 56", I think. And we're hoping to increase it to, as I said, 78".

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that the bedroom becomes a larger bedroom, and that the what is now the front -- well, the bedroom on the left becomes a sitting room; is that correct?

PHILLIP MILLER: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
PHILLIP MILLER: And it's difficult, because if you put a -- there's not a lot of space to put a bed in the bedroom. So if you stand to go to bed, you kind of have to tilt over in order to get into the side of a double or queen size bed at the window.

So it's just a difficult space to navigate, because of the pitch of the roof and the low knee walls. So we really did the minimum to make those rooms usable.

And the architecture -- it's my understanding that as-of-right, that you can ask for a dormer -- you know, you can have 15 feet on each side. And doing so on the front of the building I think would negatively impact the architecture of the house.

So we're trying to keep the front of the house as is and make those bedrooms more usable, and the bathroom, of course, more usable. You cannot stand in that tub and take a shower, because of the height of the existing dormer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Let me open it up to members of the Board for further comments or questions. Jim Monteverde, any comments or questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't have any questions. I
just, at the moment I don't feel I can support the application, however.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: I just want to go over the
discussion not having -- making the bedrooms larger by adding two separate dormers; a dormer for each bedroom facing the front of the building.

So if you had a dormer -- slightly higher dormer that would allow that bathroom in the back, limit it to 15 feet, so you do put a new dormer in the back of the bathroom, but then have two dormers, one on each side, and over -- in each bedroom, you would at least get some additional height in the bedrooms.

And, $I$ think, if it was done properly, could look
good with that house. Maybe it doesn't give you enough room. Have you looked at that option carefully?

PHILLIP MILLER: Are you proposing to have a different type of dormer apart from the bathroom? To have separate -- three separate dormers?

LAURA WERNICK: Three separate dormers, yeah.
PHILLIP MILLER: That would work just as well. So you're saying, like, maybe have three doghouse dormers out across the back?

LAURA WERNICK: You can -- well, that's not what I was saying, but that's a possibility two -- have three separate dormers across the back, so they're not continuous.

PHILLIP MILLER: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: It's not continuous.

PHILLIP MILLER: Yeah.
LAURA WERNICK: It's not a contiguous --
continuous.

PHILLIP MILLER: That would work just as well. I thought this was the most unobtrusive -- and again, you don't have a lot of ridge above to, -- you know, there's not a lot of roof above the dormer as we drew it. So you'd have a very low pitch that wouldn't match the pitch of the house.

This seemed like the simplest solution to give headroom in those bedrooms. The highest point in the bedroom, I think, is 78". The ceiling height's not very high. So that's the challenge. But, you know, it's really just to create a little more room to walk around the bed.

LAURA WERNICK: Mm-hm.

PHILLIP MILLER: So they could be separated, but again, $I$-- my understanding was that there was a restriction as to how far to the edge of the roof the dormer could go. So if I'm -- I'm currently I think 42 inches from the edge of the roof.

So this just seemed like the simplest solution to create -- make those rooms more usable. But it's just going to give a little more headroom so you can get around the bed.

And then in the back side -- because everything's sloping -- there's a chimney between the two windows, and I'm trying to create a desk space. There just isn't a --

LAURA WERNICK: I don't think we need to work through the solution. I think we have to go back and -PHILLIP MILLER: Mm-hm.

LAURA WERNICK: -- figure out. I think the 15'
limit -- and I feel maybe the Board would go a little bit either way -- but think of extends that 15-foot guideline as it's currently shown.

PHILLIP MILLER: Mm-hm.
LAURA WERNICK: -- a lot. So is there a way to get some additional headroom and stay nearer to the 15 -you know, doing maybe multiple dormers?

PHILLIP MILLER: Mm-hm.
LAURA WERNICK: I think we just have to go back and see what makes sense.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But Laura, are you saying, then, that whether it be two dormers or two individual dormers, that the length total would not exceed 15 feet?

LAURA WERNICK: I thought it was each of the individual dormers couldn't exceed 15 feet?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
LAURA WERNICK: Am I wrong?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. No, it's on --
LAURA WERNICK: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 15 feet on any one side. LAURA WERNICK: Well yeah, then you -- to do -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So they'd be better off to just

```
leave what they have? They would get more dormer by leaving
```

--
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- what they have.
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah. Okay. Sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay.
PHILLIP MILLER: I appreciate it. I appreciate
that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else?
LAURA WERNICK: I still think there's another
solution here, so.
PHILLIP MILLER: The bathroom is 10 feet long, so
that would only leave us 30 inches in each bedroom for a
dormer. I mean, the bedrooms aren't that large.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Are the bedrooms -- right now
there's three bedrooms on the second level, correct?
PHILLIP MILLER: Correct. Yes.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And --
PHILLIP MILLER: I mean, there are four that are
going to become three, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So there's three on the
second level, and then on the third level in question here, there are two bedrooms currently?

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes.
PHILLIP MILLER: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And you're going to basically a bedroom in a sitting room? Who would -SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah, a bedroom and an office, yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sort of a younger person would
--

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes, it would be our kid.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The sitting room would be for --

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: It would be --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It could be anything. They have -- that's where they study, that's where they -SRAVYA KOMMINENI: yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- do whatever they do in the sitting room? Okay. All right. Laura, anything else at this time?

LAURA WERNICK: You can still do 15 feet across the back and do two 7.5' dormers across the front, if that
works.
PHILLIP MILLER: Well, the issue --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And then -- but that takes
away, then, from the bathroom.
PHILLIP MILLER: Right. So the issue is you could see here --

LAURA WERNICK: You're not 15 feet.
PHILLIP MILLER: Right, the bath -- the proposed bathroom, in order to get a shower, a door, a toilet, a sink; to line that up as we have it proposed would take up 11 -- would be an 11' dormer without an overhang. So --

LAURA WERNICK: Which is allowable.
PHILLIP MILLER: Mm-hm. Mm-hm.
LAURA WERNICK: And then if you had going back to the other side of the other roof, the other -- move the basement the other way -- you could still do 7.5' -- two 7.5' dormers on the others side, so you get a little bit of extra headroom on the other side. You have --

PHILLIP MILLER: You propose --
LAURA WERNICK: -- three dormers total.

PHILLIP MILLER: Are you proposing for the front of the house the other two dormers?

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes.
LAURA WERNICK: That's what I was thinking.
PHILLIP MILLER: Yep. That would work, I just think the house is so charming, I think it would be such a shame. The façade of the house is so nice it would completely change the nature of the architecture. That's why we put everything on the back.

I mean, it's -- the house is -- you know, similar to other houses in the neighborhood. There are a lot of houses in the neighborhood with shed dormers across the back. The people behind them have a shed the length of -almost the length of the house in the back.

And $I$ just feel like it would be detrimental to the architecture to put two big dormers on the front of the house.

So I feel like we could achieve what we want to achieve by putting dormers in front of the house, but it would -- you know, if we put two 7.5 dormers in front of the house, I think it would be a very negative effect to the architecture, and sort of the feeling of the neighborhood.

And I tried to keep, you know, the front of the house as is. I just -- you know, so that's kind of what's driving one dormer across the back.

It would solve our problem. And I appreciate the recommendation in terms of function to have dormers on the front of the house, but $I$ just hate to change that architecture, you know; and we're giving up space on the first floor behind the house.

Again, I'm not a zoning attorney. I really can't make the case well, but $I$ just think the architecture is so characteristic of the neighborhood -- so lovely, and I would hate to change that. And that was my motivation in keeping everything behind the house.

And there's precedent for it. As is, the house behind has a contiguous shed dormer. Am I correct, Sravya? I think it's contiguous.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes, yes.
PHILLIP MILLER: So the exact same condition exists behind them. We met with the neighbors behind them. They shared their concerns about privacy. So we changed some of the windows to shutters. But we're basically duplicating what the house behind them has, and what many of
the houses in the neighborhood already have.
I think it would be a shame to change that on the front façade. And I feel like this preserves the architecture. And I just want to be thoughtful about, you know, not just pure function. You know, this is for themselves. It's not a developer. And we're trying to keep the house beautiful and functional for them, because they really need the extra space.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea, any comments or questions at this time?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. So I do think that according to the Dormer Guidelines, ideally a dormer should be placed at the rear or on the less public side of the house. Our guidelines do say that.

But our Guidelines also give us 15 feet as, you know, something that we can be comfortable with. I agree with the petitioner's representative that putting dormers on the front of this house really would be a shame.

So I'd like to see some sort of a proposal to make the dormers on the back of the house smaller. So that's really all $I$ have to say at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.

Jason Marshall, any questions or comments at this time?

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I have, I think, just a clarifying question. Olivia, if you could pull up the dimensional form? Okay, thank you. So this petition is seeking relief under what it is 8.22.d?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: D, yes.
JASON MARSHALL: So there would be an existing non-conformity that you'd be looking to increase here. I don't think -- that's not jumping out to me. So if you can maybe point me to where that is.

PHILLIP MILLER: I didn't fill out the application.

JASON MARSHALL: Okay. Because it looks like you're not going over GFA?

PHILLIP MILLER: No. We're --
LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.
JASON MARSHALL: It's fine. I mean, it looks like it's non-conforming maybe in the rear stepback?

PHILLIP MILLER: That $I$ can't -- unfortunately I can't answer to that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, yeah, but they're not
changing that.
PHILLIP MILLER: We're actually -- we're removing a porch -- I don't know if that helps, and forgive me if I'm being -- if it's not pertinent to what you're asking, but we're taking away square footage and a covered porch in the back, because we're trying to create as much back yard as possible.

And please keep in mind that once you have enough space for a bathroom with a usable shower, you're at 11 feet. So there really -- extending it to 15 feet really doesn't accomplish anything useful. The -- and the biggest problem is in the bedroom because it's very hard to get around the bed, as is, because the highest point of the ceiling now is about -- it's a little over six feet, maybe six-six." So that's the challenges.

The only way to make it function would be to put dormers on the front of the house and meet the dormer requirements, or restrictions.

JASON MARSHALL: Yeah. I mean, I -- I appreciate your answer. That's -- I mean, that's beyond really what I was asking. From looking at this form, I don't think you're seeking relief under 8.22.2.d. I think it probably is c.

You know, either way, though, and subject to hearing public comment and others' thoughts, I mean it still is a similar standard in terms of is this substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood.

If I'm crediting your testimony that the house adjacent and other houses in the area have similar sized dormers, even though it's exceeding the Guidelines, I would have a hard time finding that allowing this would be substantially more detrimental.

So that's -- I might have a different perspective than my colleagues, but that's where I am right now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Jason, under 8.22.2.d, there would have to be increasing or creating a new nonconformity. And they are not. Right now, they -- they're not --

JASON MARSHALL: They would have to increase -increase an existing non-conformity. And I agree with you, Mr. Chair, it doesn't appear that that's what's taking place here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. So they're not increasing any new non-conformity. So they can seek protection under 8.22.2.d or something like that. So
anyhow, okay.
JASON MARSHALL: All right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think there's -- in
furtherance of your comment, Jason, you know, I sort of walked around the property and I will concur that everybody has sort of backloaded their dormers on the third floor, and that it is very heavily treed, bushed very high on the back of their property to the house in the back -- which I'm not sure if it's Brattle Street or Fresh Pond Parkway, but there's the road beyond that or something like that.

So the only one really affected by this is the immediate neighbor to the rear. And they have something very, very similar. I didn't actually take a tape to it by any means, but the view from one house to the other in back -- because of so heavily overgrown with vegetation -- trees, bushes -- is actually I think somewhat minimal. But I think you said that there was some concern expressed by that neighbor, and so, you have ameliorated the privacy issue. SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is that correct? SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

PHILLIP MILLER: Yes.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah. So we had them come over
to the property and we shared the plans as well, and they said to rearrange the windows because of the privacy issue. So that's why on the left-hand side of the property -- of the dormer, you don't see any windows on the bathroom or the sitting room. We've put skylights just so that we don't encroach on their privacy. And there are just windows only on the right side of the dormer.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah. And we've received -like yeah, they were -- after we changed the plans according to their wishes, they said --

PHILLIP MILLER: Right.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: -- "This works for us, and we're on board." Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that's reflected in the current drawings?

PHILLIP MILLER: Yes.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes, yes.
PHILLIP MILLER: Okay. Is that the one with the -- we have the drawings you submitted?

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes, yes.
PHILLIP MILLER: Yes.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes.
PHILLIP MILLER: Looks like this? Okay, great.
Great.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in and we are -- have no correspondence in the file from anyone. I will close public comment and send it back for any further comments before the Board, discuss it and take it to a vote. Anything else to add?

PHILLIP MILLER: I would say that they submitted the plans for all of their neighbors, and there was no resistance --

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah.

PHILLIP MILLER: -- at all. As I say, it is a -it's characteristic of the other houses in the neighborhood. SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah, we've shared -- yeah, we've spoken in person with all of our neighbors -- the rear, the neighbors in front of us, the next five houses. We've shared -- we've met them in person, and they said this is something that they're on board with; they support it. They support our petition.

So all of the neighbors are on board with this, with this proposal.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay. Let me send it back to the Board.

Any further comments by any member of the Board, or shall I make a motion to grant? Or what are your thoughts on the -- there's an existing 18-foot dormer and they want to go to 31 -feet dormer.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion.
JASON MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, I'm comfortable with granting the request. And I appreciate, as always, your reconnaissance, which I think confirms the testimony we
heard earlier that this is not dissimilar to other properties nearby. And in my mind, I think it meets our standards. So I would be comfortable voting yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay, thanks.
Any other member wish to comment.
LAURA WERNICK: I am just -- I mean, again, as Jason said, $I$ think your reconnaissance is very helpful to me. I was wondering -- I'm still wondering if anyone feels a sense of precedent? You know, how do we -- how do we maintain our 15' kind of guideline when we're granting dormers that are $30^{\prime}$ long? Is it a precedent that we would be concerned with?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. No, I'm -- thank you, Laura. I'm very cognizant of that. And again, it's easy to say yes, and when do you say no? And is that fair, I guess. And the Board has said no in the past or made people to reduce them.

This is a -- if obviously there was no dormer there, and this was coming down de novo, we would send and say no to a 31 -foot dormer but the -- there is an existing 18'- almost 19'- dormer there. Of the $12^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ on -- $6^{\prime}$ on either side tip the scale, you know, in relationship to the
neighborhood to the adjoining properties, and to the abutter in the back. So I guess that's the balancing act that we have to weigh. So -- but I appreciate your comments.

Jim Monteverde, what are your thoughts?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think just to Laura, it's really about context. I think, you know, this is just a different kind of neighborhood -- immediate neighborhood than some of the other ones or a lot of the other ones that we see there trying to do larger dormers than the Guidelines suggest.

So at the moment, and given, Mr. Chair, your observation on site and of the neighborhood, and some of the other Board members' discussion, I could support this one and feel comfortable it doesn't set a precedent.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea, shall I take it to a vote, or how are you tilting?

ANDREA HICKEY: I am sort of on the edge with this.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Do you --
ANDREA HICKEY: Attorney Marshall's kind of calling to my attention some issues I hadn't really thought of before is bringing me back to center on this. My
immediate reaction was, "Way too long." But I like the fact that it's at the rear of the house.

So I am sort of on the fence at the moment, Mr. Chair. If you have anything to add, it might sway me, so. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I -- I'm torn between actually Laura's comment, which obviously I respect greatly that, you know, we're sort of bending quite a bit on this one vis-à-vis other dormer people that have come down before us.

Jason's comments are on point too that in the context of the neighborhood, and that and Jim saying, you know, again, looking at the neighborhood and the effect of this dormer. If it was on the front of the house, again it would be a nonstarter. It's in the back. I did walk it.

And $I$ think it really -- if you added six feet to either side of this, to the existing dormer, would anybody know? And again, because of its location and the effect on really nobody other than the neighbor in the back and if they could have ameliorated any concerns that that neighbor had.

So I'm sort of torn by how we have consistently -tried to consistently -- judged dormers in the past, how we
have ruled in the past, and yet its context and the siting it is in the neighborhood.

So -- and if I were to say, "Well, you've got an existing dormer that exceeds the Guidelines of 18', you know, what does -- how much would be acceptable? You know, 3', 4', does that -- you know, make any difference? It makes a huge difference to the homeowner. Doesn't make much difference to us.

And I think its location, context may affect on the adjoining properties -- I think tilts the balance that $I$ would support granting of the special permit on this one. So --

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. My only fear is that now every other person coming before us who says their neighbor has a similar dormer is going to set a precedent. And that really is my primary concern at the moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. And we have in the past where neighbors have come down and said -- you know, down the street or across the street or next door has a similar dormer and what have you. But that's a far more congested area, and I think the close proximity to other properties sort of gives us pause to say, "Well, just because they have
it, then I guess it's okay."
And, you know, I always go back to what I heard a long, long time ago where somebody says, "Well, because the guy next door did it, I should do it. And because it exists already in the neighborhood, then I should be allowed to do it. Also, I'm not introducing anything new."

And, you know, you keep going on and on and on and on. Eventually, you wind up with downtown Manhattan, where nary there's a blade of grass or a tree shall grow, because somebody started it, and said, "Well, okay, he did it, so it's okay for us." And so, you have to be wary of that.

And Laura's comment about the precedent weighs very heavily. And $I$ think this Board has been very fair in the past, and really on point with what we have granted, and what we have exceeded at times, and the reasons for it.

And I think it's been for the right reasons at that time, whether we granted it or we trimmed it back or denied it.

PHILLIP MILLER: May I ask --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
PHILLIP MILLER: Oh, excuse me. I'm so sorry. I'm sorry. I didn't want to interrupt.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, no. So I guess the option is either to take it to a vote and see how that goes, or the alternative would be to ask the petitioner to come back with a -- having considered the comments, they could come back with a revised plan that pulls this in a little bit. And --

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: May I?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And so, I guess I would support
what is before us anyhow. I think Jason said he would, and Jim. So it's really to the two esteemed ladies on the Board, Laura and Andrea if you wish for the petitioner to come back. I would defer to that.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: I mean, we would really appreciate it if you could.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, no, this is between the members of the Board now.

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So.
PHILLIP MILLER: May I ask a question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Very briefly. You may not get an answer, but you can ask the question okay.

PHILLIP MILLER: I just want to point out that
we're forgoing as-of-right the front dormers in the interest of the neighborhood and preserving the architecture that -I understand and I appreciate what your member is saying about keeping a 15' dormer, but the tradeoff -- you know, again, I think it -- this is a much better solution than having a 15' dormer in the front and a 15' dormer in the back.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay, thank you. So Laura and Andrea, if -- shall we ask the petitioner to reconsider the back?

LAURA WERNICK: Whoo! Huh. I'm just thinking, okay, if it was a -- if we made the dormer four feet longer, rather than -- would that give them enough room to do anything, you know, adding two feet on each side?

And I just don't know where the line is. I'm a little bit -- again, it just -- I'm very sympathetic to what they're trying to do. I think the approach is, you know, the general approach is appropriate. It's -- I mean, I'm just concerned for the long term for the Board's credibility, and that's the only thing I'm weighing. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, no I get that you're --
LAURA WERNICK: I'd love to let them have this --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, but you're uncomfortable with what is before us.

LAURA WERNICK: I think that, yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Would that be a fair
characterization?

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah. That's a fair
characterization.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: For a variety of reasons?
Okay, Andrea, shall we continue and ask the petitioner to reconsider and come back?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. I think so.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. That's fine.
That's fine. Jim and Jason, will you concur with that?
JASON MARSHALL: I could support a continuance, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter. It can be -- let's see, we already -- we're getting filled up. January 12, 2023 would be the next available date. After that, then we are into February. So January 12 ?

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: What's the date in February?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Would that be okay; would that give you enough time, January 12?

PHILLIP MILLER: It would give me enough time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And the petitioner is available?

SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Jason, you're available? Laura, are you available on January 12?

JASON MARSHALL: I am, Mr. Chair. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And Jim, you're available?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea, are you available on January 12?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I am.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I believe so, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to January 12, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of January 12 2023, and the new time at 6:00 p.m.

Also in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained by Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services. Ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday.

Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on January 12.

Also that if there is any new submittals -changes to the drawings, which it appears that there will be -- that those would be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the January 12, 2023 hearing.

And also if there's any changes that the dimensional form and potentially any supporting statement also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter until January 12, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: A total of five affirmative votes; this matter is continued to January 12. I guess in clarification, if you -- when you get a transcript of the hearing tonight -- is to take into consideration what the Board members have said and come back with what you think is workable. And you may come back with the same plan. You know, or slightly different or whatever. And that's fine.

You may come back -- even though we'd like to consider one plan, you may come back with an alternate plan, two plans or something. But if you have any questions, you can talk to Maria or Olivia at Inspectional Services to get further guidance, if you will. But if you would get back that waiver form, that would be huge and appreciated.

So this matter is continued until January 12 , 2023.

PHILLIP MILLER: Thank you.
SRAVYA KOMMINENI: Yeah. Thank you so much.

(8:21 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 197887 -- 47 Eighth Street.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. James Rafferty, again, on behalf of the applicant. We have filed with the Board a request to continue the case, due to the unavailability of the Project Architect. We would appreciate the case scheduled as soon as it's convenient for the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There is -- Olivia, well there's January 12. We're filled up for December 1 and for December 15. We're filled up because of 10 cases on the fifteenth. So January 12, 2023, does that work, Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, if that's the soonest available, we will be back at that time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. All right. So let me make a motion, then, as a case not heard that this matter is
continued to January 12, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of January 12, 2023 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

Any new submittals not currently in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to January 12, 2023 hearing.

That the petitioner has signed the waiver of statutory requirement for a hearing that is in the file; that any new submittals, which changes the application, that a dimensional form -- new dimensional form -- be incorporated and submitted, and any new supporting statements also be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to January 12.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason Marshall?
JASON MARSHALL: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the matter is continued until January 12. See you then. JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, and good evening. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
(8:23 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, and Jason Marshall

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case
No. 196705 -- 415 Broadway.
Mr. Okun, or somebody from 415 Broadway?
VIVEK VENKATACHALAM: Hi. I think my architect,
Doug Okun, was planning on presenting. I'm just making sure that he's available.

JIM MONTEVERDE: He's just connecting. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: He's muted at the moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Doug? You're on. You're on mute.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I think he's good now.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. You're, okay?
JIM MONTEVERDE: He's just got to talk.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Doug, you're on.
[Pause]
No. You're on mute.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're on mute somehow. JIM MONTEVERDE: Or we can't hear you. Get
closest to the microphone.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Talk up to the microphone or something, Doug.

JASON MARSHALL: I'm not showing you as muted.
You -- maybe your computer volume?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: This could be our first
pantomime.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: Perhaps he could call in by phone so we could hear him that way?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Can't hear you, Doug. Can you
hear us? Okay. Something's not on.
DOUGLAS OKUN: Joe?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Sound is coming through. DOUGLAS OKUN: Okay. Can you hear me?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Yes, you're on now. Good.

DOUGLAS OKUN: Good. That's my technical staff here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Good. All right. Introduce yourself for the record, if you would, Mr. Okun. DOUGLAS OKUN: I'm Douglas Okun. I'm the architect for the project at 415 Broadway in Cambridge. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And what would you like to do? DOUGLAS OKUN: Well, the -- original building was built around maybe 1870 or something like that. And then there was a more recent addition probably in the 20th century.

And between the two, there's a little notch. And we would like to just fill that notch in so that we can flow through the apartment. And you could fit into the little notch. It's only 6 by 8.

And there's a freehand sketch that I did. Perhaps you can see that sketch? That -- so this is the effect that we're trying to get, so.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: By filling in on the left side, is that correct?

DOUGLAS OKUN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DOUGLAS OKUN: Yeah. So you have this. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

DOUGLAS OKUN: So this little fill-in is in the back of the building. It's not really even seen from the alleyway because it's so set back. And so, it doesn't derogate from the public interest or the public -- or anything like that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Olivia, can you pull up A1? That's it right there. So that stairway, which goes down into the basement -- is that only for the use of this particular unit, or --

DOUGLAS OKUN: The whole building is one unit now.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So it's basically a townhouse structure?

DOUGLAS OKUN: It's a townhouse.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But it is a standalone entity?
DOUGLAS OKUN: That's correct. It's not in --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DOUGLAS OKUN: -- common with anything.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But so that those steps going down services the basement area just to this unit?

DOUGLAS OKUN: Yes, correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So that the overfilling of it or the filling in or something like that has no
deleterious effect on the adjoining neighbor to --
DOUGLAS OKUN: Exactly.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- access the basement or even
it doesn't impede the availability of the current owner to access the basement or egress the basement?

DOUGLAS OKUN: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. I think the plans and the dimensional form, supporting statements are somewhat self-explanatory. Let me open it to public comment or let me ask any member of the Board if you have any questions. Jim, any questions at this time or comments? JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick? LAURA WERNICK: No questions. Thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Jason Marshall? JASON MARSHALL: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There's nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission, dated October 19. Certificate of Non-Applicability. The property is at 415 Broadway. The applicant was Doug Okun.
"The Mid-Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation
District Commission hereby certifies pursuant to Chapter 2.78 of the Code of the City of Cambridge and the order of established link of said district that the work described below does not involve any activity requiring issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or Hardship to construct the addition in the rear of the building, which is not visible from the public way, Permit \#196705."

That is the sum and substance of any
correspondence. And I will close the public comment phase of the hearing. And anything else to add, Mr. Okun? DOUGLAS OKUN: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me take it back to
the Board. Ready for a motion or any comments at this time? JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Seeking relief under
Article 8.22.3, which is non-conforming structure, and Section 10.30, the criteria for granting a variance. 8.22.3. 22.3? I don't see that. I think it's 22.2 -- 22 -- no, sorry.

Any alteration or enlargement of a nonconforming structure or of a non-conforming use not otherwise permitted in 8.22 -- on 8.22.2 above, shall be a variance. Okay, so that tells us the relief that is required. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner. The hardship is owing to the shape of the lot, which is very narrow and is comprising of a townhouse-style structure, which is unique to this particular neighborhood.

The hardship is owing to the unique shape of the lot -- very long, very narrow, which -- and also that the petitioner is encumbered by the existing ordinance, which prevents any minor or any extension of the existing
structure, which is a stand-alone entity, and that it particularly affects this particular structure.

And that the Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and that this particular application as per the Certificate of Non-Applicability is not visible from the public way, and as per the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District ruling.

Also that the granting of this variance would not nullify or substantially derogate from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance, which will be to allow homeowners to improve their structure.

This particular application will greatly improve the internal circulation of the building, which currently is impeded by the niche in the building, the wall which has access into the basement. This will greatly alleviate and add to the livability of the structure and add greatly to the quality of life in such structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Jason Marshall?

JASON MARSHALL: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

DOUGLAS OKUN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Yep, thanks Doug.
Also, I should add before I close out the previous case that the work is granted, and the variance is granted on the condition that the work conform to the drawings as submitted entitled, "The proposal for 415 Broadway, as prepared by Douglas Okun and Associates" and initialed by the Chair.

And that concludes the case. Okay, granted. Thank you.

DOUGLAS OKUN: Thank you. Bye-bye.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that concludes tonight's agenda. Thank you all.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Goodnight,
everybody. Happy Thanksgiving, everybody. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As usual, you were excellent. So goodnight, everybody. Stay well. COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
[08:37 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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