```
BOARD OF ZONING APPEAL
                        FOR THE
    CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
```

GENERAL HEARING

THURSDAY MARCH 23, 2023
6:00 p.m.
Remote Meeting
via
831 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Brendan Sullivan, Chair<br>Jim Monteverde, Vice Chair<br>Andrea A. Hickey<br>Slater W. Anderson<br>Matina Williams

City Employees
Stephen Natola

Precision, Speed, Reliability
617.547.5690
transcripts@ctran.com

## I N D E X

CASE

CONTINUED CASES:

BZA-202716 -- 164-166 VASSAL LANE - UNIT 1669 Original Hearing Date: 01/26/23

BZA-209310 -- 65 SPARKS STREET \#4 PAGE

Original Hearing Date: 02/23/23
BZA-206407 -- 65 SPARKS STREET \#4
Original Hearing Date: 02/09/23

CASE: BZA-206365 -- 18 PLEASANT STREET
Original Hearing Date: 02/23/23

REGULAR AGENDA:

| BZA-209384 -- $284-288$ NORFOLK STREET | 75 |
| :--- | :---: |
| BZA-209431 -- 1380 MASS AVENUE | 86 |
| BZA-206534 -- $102-104$ SCIARAPPA STREET | 97 |
| BZA-209381 -- $1680-A$ MASS AVENUE | 102 |
| BZA-209539 -- 4 HOLLIS PARK | 108 |
| BZA-206665 -- $1164-1166$ CAMBRIDGE STREET | 122 |
| BZA-211208 -- 18 FAIRMONT STREET | 126 |
| BZA-211399 -- 27 WARE STREET | 162 |

PROCEED INGS
(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea
A. Hickey, and Slater W. Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the March 23, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am Chair for tonight's meeting.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers, and at the discretion of the Chair.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board
members attendance and verify that all members are audible.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Here.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Here.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Brendan Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Here.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Here.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Matina Williams?
[Pause]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Did you click on her?
STEPHEN NATOLA: I did.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Waiting for the fifth
member to log on. I'm going to start by going into the continued cases. I'm going to call the first two cases, which would be 65 Sparks Street, No. 4, two cases; and then I will go to the Vassal Lane; 164-166, and then the 18 Pleasant Street.
(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, and Slater W. Anderson BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the first case I'll call tonight is BZA-209310 -- 65 Sparks Street and, in conjunction with that, Case \#206407 -- 65 Sparks Street, No. 4.

## Attorney Dash? Adam?

ADAM DASH: Yes. Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. This is attorney Adam Dash, D-a-s-h, 48 Grove Street in Somerville, representing the applicants for 65 Sparks Street.

The applicants are seeking a continuance of this matter to a Board date in May. You may recall that at the last meeting, this matter was continued on the February 23 meeting until tonight at the request of an abutter, not at the request of the applicants, because the abutter's attorney was out of the country.

So this is the applicants' request, and I should note that the applicants and the abutter, now that counsel is back in the country, have been discussing a resolution of
the issues, and we're seeking this continuance to work towards that end.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The next available date would be May 11, is that? And the other date would be 05/25/23. Which one is preferable for you, Adam?

ADAM DASH: I would say sooner rather than later, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ADAM DASH: So I will take the eleventh if you're giving me the choice.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. All right. And this is a case not heard, I believe?

ADAM DASH: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So we don't need to worry about the current members. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter, Case No. 206407 -- 65 Sparks Street, and also Case No. 209310 -- 65 Sparks Street No 4.

Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to May 11, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 11, 2023 and the new time at 6:00 p.m.

Any new submittals not currently in the file be
submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 11 hearing. There was a waiver of statutory requirement for the time and decision already in the files, so those are current.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter to May 11, 2023, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Jim Monteverde in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson? SLATER ANDERSON: In favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
[Pause]
Not present. Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES] On the affirmative vote of four members of the Board, this matter is continued -- these two matters are continued to May 11, 2023, at 6:00 p.m. See you then, Adam.
(6:07 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No Matina yet?
STEPHEN NATOLA: No Matina.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina is logged in? You're on mute, Matina.

STEPHEN NATOLA: I gotta --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, okay.
STEPHEN NATOLA: She's in.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She's in? Okay. Next case I'm
going to call is BZA-202716 -- 166 Vassal Lane, Unit 166. Okay, whosever going to present it?

NIALL STEPHENS: We're the owners of that unit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. You're on. Okay, if you could introduce yourself for the record?

TALAYA DELANEY: And Talaya Delaney.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Tell us what you'd like to do and why we should let you do it.

NIALL STEPHENS: We'd like to build into our
attic. We have a -- we're in the second floor of [laughing, speaking to wife, "They asked us what we'd like to do."] Yeah, there you go.

So we currently live on the second-floor of this two-unit structure. And we just want to build into the attic because we have three kids. We're living in overcrowded conditions right now. We love the neighborhood, we want to stay here, and that's -- that's really all.

TALAYA DELANEY: Yeah.
NIALL STEPHENS: That's enough. I could say more if you need me to.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And there are two sets of drawings. You have revised the original form so that the form where drawings and the application form that we are considering tonight are those ones dated March 20, and those are by Boehm Architecture, is that correct?

TALAYA DELANEY: Yes.
NIALL STEPHENS: Yes. These current drawings from March are by Boehm Architecture. And our building is here. The -- we actually continued this case because we had a different architect, a different builder, and that was -- we switched builders. That's the reason that we're continuing.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So a question now, has the -- now you've changed the drawings, have the dimensional form, is that current from the one that was submitted by Morse?

BILL BOEHM: No. So I'm Bill Boehm, Architect, 18 Laurel Street. We submitted -- we revised the national form and uploaded it to the packet. There is just a couple of modifications to the one that was submitted by Morse. And those are marked up, and there is a -- that exists in the packet of information that is online. I don't know if you guys have access to that or not?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. There's one here, Bill, that -- correct me -- gross floor area --

BILL BOEHM: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- 2462 is the correct --
[CYBERVOICE]: Recording in progress.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- okay, so that's the current one. All right, fine. All right. Let me give it to the Board for any questions or comments. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: One. Can you go to the building elevation, please? This is just about the dormers. Could
you just confirm the length of the dormers?
BILL BOEHM: The dormer is 19' and 4" long.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There are two, right? One in the west and --

BILL BOEHM: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- one in the -- east? They're
both --

BILL BOEHM: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 19' long?
BILL BOEHM: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there any way in plan you can make those compliant?

BILL BOEHM: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there a maximum of 15'?
BILL BOEHM: Yeah. We really -- if you looked at the floor plan, we're really needing to get two bedrooms up there, and nine feet felt like kind of a -- a minimal comfortable dimension for that bedroom.

The -- on the right side where the stair comes up, we will build a bathroom in that area directly above the existing bathroom, if not in the initial build-out in the future. So that's what that space is reserved for.

So I realize that we're a little overall in the length, but that's why, and that was a really high priority. That's why we're doing this addition is to get those two bedrooms up there. And I think they're pretty modest at 9'x12.5' in the other dimension.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And one further question: When you're done with the renovation, or -- how many bedrooms are there currently, and then when you're done with the renovation, how many bedrooms do you have?

NIALL STEPHENS: I could answer that, if you like, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: Yeah, Niall, go ahead.
BILL BOEHM: So we have two bedrooms currently. Right now it's, Talaya and I sleep in an office. And our kids are using the other two bedrooms -- the two bedrooms.

TALAYA DELANEY: Yeah, we have three kids.
BILL BOEHM: So it'll have a total of four bedrooms and five people living in them.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Gotcha. Okay. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any questions,
comments at this time?
ANDREA HICKEY: No. Mr. Monteverde sort of hit on
my question: I -- my preference is always to see dormers that are compliant with our guidelines, and these are not close to 15'. It would be my preference to see them closer to that number.

But I'll wait to see what my colleagues have to say about that issue before I make my determination.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. Matina Williams, any questions or comments at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No. I had the same concerns about the length of the dormers.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I think that's been satisfied. Mm-hm.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater? Any questions, comments?

SLATER ANDERSON: I feel the same way as the others that, you know, we try to adhere to the Dormer Guidelines and that, you know, we're 30 percent -- 33 percent beyond that in the width on both sides.

And, you know, I understand the need, but it's -you know, it's a standard that we consistently enforce. So I'm not sure where we go from here. Thanks.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Alex Hershey?
ALEXANDER HERSHEY: Hi. My name is Alex Hershey. I'm speaking on behalf of myself and my wife, who live at Standish Street just about five or six houses away. We're in support of Stephens-Delaney's plans.

Just wanted to point out that I don't know that much about just wanted to point out that I don't know that much about Zoning Board issues, but the two houses that are right next to them both have built-in third floors.

It seems like it would fit in the neighborhood just fine. They're tucked away in a little corner there. I don't think it would cause any visual disturbance or anything like that to anybody. And want to make it possible for people to live in this neighborhood, even with three children.

So we're in full support and urge you to approve. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nobody else calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from Mihaela Bujoreanu. Sorry if I butchered that.

MIHAELA BUJOREANU: Yeah, that's me.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sorry?
MIHAELA BUJOREANU: I'm here, hi.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, okay.

MIHAELA BUJOREANU: I am the owner of the 164
Vassal Lane Unit, the unit -- underneath.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
MIHAELA BUJOREANU: I'm in support of this. We worked out an arrangement and I have no problem with the plans.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you for calling in, and also for writing in the letter.

We also have correspondence from Jinah Kim, 87 Standish Street.
"My name is Jinah Kim, and I am the owner and resident of 87 Standish. I know there was a hearing
neighbors' proposal tonight. I cannot make it, due to another meeting, but $I$ write to let the City know that $I$ support the project as a neighborhood that would be directly affected by their renovation project.
"Any increase in height will affect us, since our house abuts theirs at the corner of Standish and Vassal. They have shared the project plan in advance, and we communicate regularly about various matters.
"Any noise and disturbance from the construction will overlap with the ongoing Tobin Project, so this would actually be a good time to do such a project."

And they speak that you are wonderful neighbors, and that the renovation is much needed for the family to be able to stay in the neighborhood.

There's also correspondence -- I think we already have heard from Beatrice E Mesavalencia's (phonetic) spouse, and three letters in support. I will close public comment at this point, send it back to Bill.

I think that some of the comments regarding the length of the dormer -- I sort of didn't chime in on this, and I guess my thought on this -- and I had reached out to the Planning Board, I remember when the Dormer Guidelines
were brought in to focus because of some run away, rogue dormers that were being built in the city felt that it would be good policy to set a set of guidelines out there and they did.

And so I reached out to the Planning Board to take another fresh look at these in light of the fact that, you know, people need to obviously expand the house -- real estate being so expensive and desirable as it is in Cambridge and they need to capture every square feet -- but also to make it, $I$ think fair and reasonable.

Members of the Planning Board were receptive, so that they really felt that they could relook at the Dormer Guidelines and how they pertain now some 15 years later, and so far, they have not been able to do that. I'm still beating the drum on that as far as having them do it.

And I guess the question is we're still sort of somewhat sensitive to the Guidelines, which obviously say 15' in length, and then there were some other requirements. The original plan really was built to the edge of the roof, and that was probably a nonstarter with me.

I think what is before us is a much better design, and so I don't have a problem with it. If it were to trim
back 4', is it very noticeable, given the location of the house, where it is and the fact that, you know, as you go by the house you probably think that the dormers look fine, and probably blend in very well with the rest of the neighborhood and the house itself. And yet, I think that that four feet on the inside of the house $I$ think makes a big difference.

So I think that the benefit to the homeowner, to the occupant, to me, far exceeds the -- if the Board were to trim back that four feet, I think it would not be noticeable on the outside, but much more noticeable on the inside. But that's my thought on that.

So Bill, I would ask you if you felt there was any possibility of being sensitive to the Board's comments regarding the 15' length on the dormers?

BILL BOEHM: Sure. So with a 15' length, the design would not allow for legal bedrooms, in that there's a 7'-dimension minimum for, you know, each bedroom has to have a minimum of $7^{\prime}$ in each way and has to be I think 90' total.

So that would mean I guess what we could contemplate doing is taking the place of the future bathroom for a bedroom, which would be very unfortunate because
replumbing the home to get that second -- they only have one bathroom in the home. And so, that's definitely on their agenda. That would be very unfortunate.

So I really -- I would really prefer not to have to comply with the 15'.

That said, if -- if we're in a mode of kind of thinking of alternatives and compromising -- and I'm saying this without consulting the homeowners first who really should weigh in -- we could consider going down to 8' in each bedroom instead of $9^{\prime}$ so reducing it by 2', which would be -- you know, a tight bedroom, but habitable and would sort of -- I don't know, I guess be a compromise between going down to the full $15^{\prime}$ which sort of knocks the two bedrooms out, or sticking with the 19.5', which gives us the -- you know, more comfortable 9'.

So that said, Talaya and Niall, since they're your bedrooms, would you be comfortable -- and I don't know how the Board will feel about this, but do you guys feel comfortable about skinning up those bedrooms to 8' wide instead of $9^{\prime}$ wide, if that got us across the finish line here?
NIALL STEPHENS: Yeah, I suppose. Yeah. I
suppose we would. I mean, I would emphasize that particularly on one side, it's really not going to be seen by anybody except the people in the house right next door. I mean, it's really simply not visible from the street. So -- but yeah, I think we would.

TALAYA DELANEY: We really need the space.

NIALL STEPHENS: But we do really need the space,
so --

TALAYA DELANEY: Okay.
NIALL STEPHENS: Yeah. If it's going to get us over the -- we would consider that, for sure.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me ask the question: The two bedrooms on the third floor will be occupied by whom? The children?

TALAYA DELANEY: Probably our boys. Yeah. Probably --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
TALAYA DELANEY: -- be two boys.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that in the scheme of things, and this is sort of -- you know, and I guess my feeling comfortable with what is proposed -- it seems that you've got two adequate bedrooms, nothing outrageous, but
two adequate bedrooms, and then in the future there will be a bedroom there, which -- I'm having a -- ladies' bedroom -bathrooms are very much desirable and very much needed.

And then there would be little bit of a space from the bathroom to the edge of the stairway, which could be used, again, for a desk area and so on and so forth.

So to me, I felt that the allocation of the space to me was adequate, and to reduce that $I$ think crunches either the bedroom, which -- you know, makes it a little bit small, and also that it also allows them for this little sitting area or desk area or something between the stairway and the bathroom.

So I am comfortable with the 19', but again, -send it back to members of the Board.

Jim, what is your thought again if you --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I still favor the, you know, being compliant at least with the light. I think there are -- there are probably some other configurations than the one we just heard about where we kind of pitched the bedroom widths to accommodate a 15' or closer to 15' dormer. 15' would be ideal, on both sides. So I'm still at 15'.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: I am at 15 or at least closer to 15, and I don't think taking a foot off of each brings me close enough at present. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I -- you know, the more and more you think about it, the more you think of how families live, scaling it back according to the Guidelines would be ideal, but, you know, kids are small and then they grow up.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: As they grow up, the space gets smaller. [Laughter]

MATINA WILLIAMS: The space gets smaller, stuff gets bigger, stuff gets more, stuff -- so it's -- hm. I'm thinking about it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Slater, you're --
SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah. A couple comments. So it
looks like, if $I$ understand this -- hasn't been mentioned yet, but you're actually rebuilding the roof, the main gable roof, to about $5^{\prime}$ higher, and then bringing the dormers off of a new roofline, correct?

BILL BOEHM: That's right.
SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. That's -- I'd like that better than the original drawing, which had sort of the --
you know, the house -- the -- its own floor sitting on top of the existing house. So I respect and appreciate your efforts to make it look, you know, more like it was -- you know, part of the original design.

I also -- you know, look at the staircase and appreciate that that's sort of necessary space to get up and down, it's not, you know, additional utility really on the third floor in that space. So, you know, I can see some leeway on that.

I do think, you know, that there is some potential to reconfigure in the home office future bathroom space. You know, that could be the second bedroom, and there could be a smaller bathroom somewhere.

But I'm curious about the neighbors on the -- what would be, you know, the left side. I think 85, 87 Standish. I didn't see any correspondence from them. Can you comment on them? They would be the most impacted by this.

BILL BOEHM: So that's Jinah Kim, one of -there's a two side-by-side condo next door.

SLATER ANDERSON: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: So Jinah Kim wrote in and said she's fully in support.

And then Holly is our other neighbor there. And she, actually, I think is the most impacted. And I've spoken to her -- you know, we asked her to write a letter. She didn't, but she -- I think she just has other things to do. She was like, "That's fine. You guys need the space. I understand. It's totally fine." I mean, that's all I can say.

SLATER ANDERSON: So that's 85, 87, those two units?

BILL BOEHM: Yes.

SLATER ANDERSON: Together?
BILL BOEHM: Yes.

SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. Because the 158 Vassal was really set back. It wouldn't be impacted at all.

BILL BOEHM: That's right. Yeah.
SLATER ANDERSON: So I'm less concerned about that. So I -- so I, you know, taking this on all the sort of considerations here and what you guys are trying to achieve which I -- you know, I respect -- I live on a second- and third-floor of a two-family in Cambridge, you know, with kids. I get it. And so, I don't see this as a big ask.

I mean, the Dormer Guidelines are still a threshold, but, you know, I would be -- you know, I could be willing to go ahead with this as proposed.

I don't see the patient pinching a foot off of the two bedrooms making enough difference to bring it in compliance. I mean, I'd rather just see you have the 9' bedrooms than 8' bedrooms. So I'm -- I think I'm on board. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So back to I guess Jim or Andrea, is the motion then to -- you have to receive four affirmative votes. Right now there appears to be two. Matina is weighing the options and Jim, you're adamant that it be 15' and Andrea you're leaning towards 15' for the dormers?

ANDREA HICKEY: I'm leaning toward 15'. Could probably accept 17'. But $^{\prime} I^{\prime \prime}$ m not at present in favor of 19 point.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim, can we get you to 17'?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I know. I mean, that's
fine. You know --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think at first blush, the answer would be no. But really, it's that I don't -- I'm not certain that the plan and section really -- because this is really a sectional issue as much as a plan issue is that it really drives you to -- if the dormer is 15' to make the bedroom less wide.

I still think there's a plan in here. And I may be wrong. It would allow you to get most of the space you're looking for within the 15' having a traditional dormer that is not the length of an entire room.

You know, I think there's a portion of the room that's going to be under some part of a sloped roof, like I assume the two storage closets are to the bottom of the plan side.

So I'm kind of sticking to the 15'1" because I really don't like the thought of setting a precedent for the other 12,000 folks behind you who will come through in the next $X$ number of years.

And then also just $I$ think the plan configuration and section configuration, I'm not convinced isn't valuable enough to be able to function within the Dormer Guideline.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So Jim is at 15'.

Andrea, you may -- could land to 17'. Matina, what is your thought? Either --

MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm -- could be happy with 17'.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: With 17'? All right. And Andrea, you'd be all set with the 17' and Slater you're all set with the 17'?

SLATER ANDERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So I think what I'm trying to do is come to some consensus here. Niall and Bill, can we settle on the 17'?

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. I sense that Niall and Talaya are -- you know, would prefer to go with the design as it is, but I get the sense that -- the only thing that I was going to offer is that I have a model -- you know, I used to come in in person and bring physical models, which tended to be helpful to people to see.

I have a model on my screen, I don't know if you allow screen sharing, and I also have a photograph of another house we did a few years ago very similar.

So if either of those things would in any way help people visualize this better, I'd be happy to share that. But $I$ don't know if that's possible in this format or if
people feel like that would be useful at this moment?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think they would -- and
knowing the members, I think they can visualize --
BILL BOEHM: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it and, you know, just in a
sort of a shorter version or something like that.
BILL BOEHM: Can I -- Brendan can I -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

BILL BOEHM: -- ask you a quick question?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
SLATER ANDERSON: Bill, can you give me the width
of the new gable, the main gable at $5^{\prime}$ on each side? So what's the width of that? So forgetting the dormer.

BILL BOEHM: It's -- the width of the gable, do you mean the -- so like I'm looking at 83.0 where there's a 5' measure and which is sort of, you know, the GFA -- sort of calculated living area.

SLATER ANDERSON: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: What's the width of the new gable at
5' across? It is 13.5'.
SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. So this is to so Jim's
point. There is a space there under that new gable to do
something beyond the dormers? Like you've done with the closets at the end. Like, you have all that space on the other side of the dormers to do somewhat with potentially? Right? I mean, the height -- you know, you go up to -BILL BOEHM: Yes, I see -- no, I see where you're -- I see where you're going with this.

SLATER ANDERSON: What's the width of that roof you have, or ceiling that you have going across at 8'9"?

BILL BOEHM: I don't know. Let me go back to that sheet. That's like 5', 5'10", getting close to 6' wide.

SLATER ANDERSON: All right. If you came down to 8' or something, I mean we get wide -- we're starting to get where you could have a bedroom under that new gable at the other end. I mean, there's room to do more up there, to Jim's point.

BILL BOEHM: Gotcha. We're trying to -- yeah. I guess one of the -- one of the objectives here was to keep the budget down. So we're trying to not -- you know, expand into the entire third floor.

Not that we'd be able to, I guess, legally, but we were trying to not go past that just to sort of keep the extent of the construction down. I suppose, though, to your
point if we shorten the dormer and tuck something under the gable portion, that's not -- that's feasible.

I think that Talaya and Niall, it's kind of your call as to whether you want to go for the compromise here. I'm -- you know, it's your home, it's your money. So.

TALAYA DELANEY: I think we just want space to have our kids have bedrooms, so if that's --

NIALL STEPHENS: And if there is -- don't you need to -- we need to present new drawings then, at another hearing?

TALAYA DELANEY: No.
BILL BOEHM: Yes.

NIALL STEPHENS: Okay.
TALAYA DELANEY: Oh.

NIALL STEPHENS: Okay. Yeah, I suppose we can do that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So I can make -yeah, what I'll do is I'll make a motion, then, to make a motion then to grant the relief requested on the condition that the dormers -- both dormers not exceed 17'0" in length.

We'll accept the plan as presented as far as the layout, the window layout, except that the only thing that
we're changing is the length of the dormers down to 17 ' for both dormers.

Seeking relief under 5.31, which is the Table of Dimensional Requirements because you're increasing the FAR, even though you're not creating any new nonconformities. And under 8.22.d, because it is a two-family house and under 10.40, which is a special permit. Let me make -- on that motion.

In all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does make such finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be
substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

In 10.43, where it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

That traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. The Board notes the letters of support from abutters in support of the proposal and as such, no adverse effect would be imposed upon them by this project.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -- in fact the addition of much-needed living space with a proposed bathroom on the third floor would greatly help the health and safety to the -- any occupant who occupies -- lives in that unit.

The proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate
from the intent and purpose of the ordinance, which is to allow by way of this Board to foster appropriate development, allow families to remain in their homes in the neighborhood, and as such this Board finds that the relief is de minimis and within that stated objective of the City policy.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief with the condition that the ordinance -- that the dormers do not exceed 17 ' in length, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Not in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams? MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey? ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. In favor. Are we going to have -- so they'll submit new plans?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Yes. I marked up these plans here. Mr. Boehm, you'll have to submit new plans, which I will -- I don't want to delay this, and then you can make new drawings, and then $I$ will initial those, which will -- should get in before you can apply for the building
permit, which will be some weeks down the road.
And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

Five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted.

BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
TALAYA DELANEY: Thank you.
NIALL STEPHENS: Thanks.

TALAYA DELANEY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
(6:40 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 206365 -- 18 Pleasant Street. Mr. Hanley?

JOE HANLEY: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. Attorney Joe Hanley, McDermott, Quilty \& Miller, 28 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts. I'd like to intro our team that I have with me as well.

For the record, and then Ryan Wittig, who is a representative of the proponent, Kinvarra Capital in Somerville.

Daniel Martinez, who is our Design Architect on the project from Khalsa Design Architects also in Somerville, and Katya Podsiadlo who is the Landscape Architects from Verdant Architects in Brookline. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. JOE HANLEY: Would you like me to begin, Mr. Chair?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Yeah. Yes.
JOE HANLEY: Okay. First of all, thank you for this opportunity. Just to give you a little bit of background here, I know that Staff has the presentation queued up. I'd like to take you through the first couple slides here.

Just to give you some background, this case was continued from the last hearing in order to allow for us to complete the review process and recommendation process with the Central Square Advisory Committee, which was done on March 6.

In your packets, you have their recommendation of approval, which we very much appreciated, and you will see has been integrated into this proposal and to add to certain extent necessitates some of the areas of relief what we're seeking.

First, I'd like to orient you to the site, give you a brief overview and touch on the relief, and then ask Daniel to show you the presentation itself of the design.

So 18 Pleasant Street's in the Riverside neighborhood in Central Square just outside of Central Square. It's in a BA Zone, which allows multifamily use
under the RC2B. The lot itself is approximately 4260 square feet, and you'll see in the prior survey the existing conditions is an office use that has a nondescript structure on it.

And more important to the relief with respect to the onsite parking, there is an existing curb cut and drive, which is being maintained as part of the development, which is off Franklin -- I'm sorry, Pleasant.

So if you go to the photographs of the site, you'll see this in context. I believe that's the next slide. Or maybe not. There we go. Sorry. Yeah. If you go up one more? Thank you. There you go.

So yeah, in the upper left you can see another unique aspect here. We are corner lot at the corner of Franklin and Pleasant in the foreground with the garage there. You'll see the office use in the building.

To the right is obviously the bird's eye. You see our site in the red hash and to the lower left, a view from Franklin Street, which is where the existing driveway and curb cut is, which we're seeking to maintain and obviously improve as part of the site and the overall development, and then the view looking from Franklin Street.

We also presented to the -- and had detailed engagement with the Historic Commission, which has authorized demolition, but also provided guidance on the design and some of the aspects.

The project -- what's being proposed -- is to raise the structure and construct a four-story, six-unit homeownership building to address the need for residential homeownership in the City of Cambridge -- again, an allowed use at this location.

And it would be six condominium units and we are proud and listened in to the last case that you had to deliver some pretty good-sized family-sized units: 3 threebedrooms, 2 two-bedrooms, and 1 one-bedrooms. And we also think that justifies the need for four onsite parking spaces, which would be utilized to the existing curb, and at the exact location that you see there.

We also have seven bike lockers in addition to that.

For the relief requested -- I'll give you a quick overview -- we need relief for the side and rear yard setbacks. And that is generated by application of the RCB2. We have a height -- an allowable height of 45',
but in the RCB2 if you're over 40' then your setbacks change. So we're approximately 5' off at the rear -- sorry, at the side and the rear, which you'll see in our presentation, justified by the unique aspects of the site in order to accommodate this allowed use, with the minimum relief necessary.

We are also requiring relief for parking in the front yard, which is an existing condition, and that parking being in the side and front setback, which we have a slide that we'll walk you through. And that too relates to the exact existing conditions of the driveway that is there today and that is not being changed.

The final special permit, which we are asking for and is appropriate of the circumstances is to exempt space, floor area in the basement level in order to comply with the FAR requirement.

And the reason for that is it would allow for an improved living -- residential living space in the basement. That's not to create a new separate unit, it's actually to create a duplex unit that is combined with the residential three-bedroom unit, which is on both the first and lower levels. So it is that space on the lower level that
requires a special permit consistent with those findings.
The final thing I'll say before asking Daniel to speak is I am also very appreciative of the neighbors and the abutters who participated with us in the process with the Central Square Advisory Commission.

They've also taken the time to write letters of support, which are -- have been made part of the record. And for more information on this, obviously we submitted a supplemental submission in support of the variance.

Daniel, would you please take them through the design? Thank you.

DANIEL MARTINEZ: Yes, absolutely. Thank you, Joe. Good evening, members of the Board, members of the public. I'm Daniel, KDI. Joe already mentioned that. We can start at L1, I can walk you guys through the design in order.

So here we have the ground floor. First, we'll begin by looking at the public improvement element being offered under the sign. You know, we're offering a new front yard at street level on Franklin Street.

We're also offering some shrubs to cover for privacy. We're proposing a Shemper corner on the
intersection to provide visibility and soften the landscape.
We're also providing an accessible ramp and bike lockers for the occupants to, you know, store their bikes and be protected for the elements during the summer, I mean during the winter. And those bike lockers are located in the rear of the site to minimize the visual impact on the public realm.

Next slide, please? Thank you.
So in here is our official zoning chart. You know, and I'll briefly walk you through each one of these remarks really quick, just so you can understand the sign better.

For the first portion, we complied with the minimum square footage per dwelling unit. Our lot size is 4250 square feet. When we divide that by 600 square feet, which is the requirement, we're allowed a total of 7 units, but we're proposing six units total.

We comply with the FAR allowed on site, with the exception of the living space located on the basement. We're complying with the minimum lot width, building coverage and max height allowed by the zoning. We comply with the minimum setback from the front on the front yard,
located on Pleasant and Franklin Street.
And if you can see, we have -- we're also showing the calculations, how we arrived at those requirements, which are part of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance.

Again, as Joe mentioned, we're looking for relief on the side setback located at the rear of the building and where the parking spaces are. The bulk of the massing is -overhangs the parking space.

Lastly, we comply with the minimum ratio of private open space and the $15^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 16^{\prime}$ open space required, which is shown in the diagonal dash to the upper corner.

Lastly, we are also -- Cambridge lifted the minimum parking requirements for residential construction. So we're proposing to keep the existing configuration and the existing curb cut as part of the design.

Next slide?

Okay. So on this line, we -- on this slide we have our FAR breakdown, or how we calculated the FAR of the -- of the building.

On the blue that is all the living space that is for Unit 1. The space that's not counted, that's purely mechanical space for the operation of the building. And the
other space that's counted is the egress stair on the basement.

The rest of the slide has the unit breakdowns. If you look at the roof plan, we're also counting the roof deck square footage, which is about 153 square feet for both of those private roof decks located out there.

And now I can walk you through the plans to show you a little more detail.

Next slide? Thank you.
So this slide is basically the bike access path and mobility path just showing that, you know, we have a way to get the bikes in and for people to comfortably do that.

Next slide?
Okay so on this line we're showing Unit 1. Most of the living space for Unit 1 is located in the basement. That's where we have two bedrooms, laundry room and storage area.

Next slide, please?
And here on the first-floor plan, we can see that both of the first-floor units are accessible. And so, is the Unit 1 that has the living space in the basement. We're showing, you know, it's adaptable so we're showing a lift
there.

We're also showing the access points where you would access the building. There's a main entry on Franklin Street, and then there's another entry at the rear of the building on Pleasant.

On the second floor, we have 2 two-bedroom units, each on that floor.

Next slide?

And on the third and the fourth floor, these are also duplex units. So in here, we have a standard distribution with our kitchen and living space in the third floor, and then the fourth floor will be where the bedrooms are located. Both of these units will have access to a private roof deck. If we can go to the next slide, please?

So over here we're showing a green roof, which is a great feature that we're adding to the building. Also, you know, an added amenity for those residents on the -- on Unit 6 and Unit 5 the bags are about 163 square feet each of 10' wide by $16^{\prime}$ long.

We're trying to minimize the visual impact on the streetscape by using hatches to access those. We're also showing mechanical access for the condenser to the top. So
-- but these roof decks will remain private for those residents.

We're also offsetting the railing about $3^{\prime}$ in from the edge of the building. That way we can further hide the deck from visual impact. And the owners are proposing also a glass-railing system to again kind of drive that point home and not have the deck be visible from the street.

Next slide?

So in here, you know, as Joe mentioned, we have worked through with the approval process previously with the Historical Commission and the Central Square Advisory on public meetings to design a structure that was contextually appropriate and reflective of the neighborhood.

You know, some of the main features that we're showing is a seashell brick that complements the Cambridge Community Learning Center that abuts our building. We are showing subtle cast stone cornices at the first floor and fibre cement cornice at the top. We're showing recessed windows to essentially the brick façade.

We're also showing large windows at most of the corners and the prominent -- kind of that prominent focal point to, again, give more architectural detailing to the
sign. The top floor will be HardiePanel in a cobblestone color that we think will complement the seashell brick.

The top floor also includes picture frame, cast stone window trim at all the window locations to, again, articulate our building more.

The hardscaping, the bays: We're planning on making that a precast stone with a dye-colored umber. And we are also proposing a reveal pattern to provide more intricacy to the eye as people walk by.

We're also featuring extruded brick coarse detailing to give character to the façade at the window locations.

And lastly, we've included a bay element to engage the corner at the intersection and create a focal point when people walk by.

Next slide, please?
So in here, we're just briefly showing the views in 3D isolated, just so you guys get a good idea of how the massing looks in the project.

Next slide?
Next slide, please after this one?
Okay. And here this is the main view of the
project. This is where we show you the project in context. You know, we're showing you the bay that is prominent on the intersection, as I mentioned. And I think this picture really shows how we have a toned color palette that is subtle and fits in with the neighborhood.

We can go to the next slide, please.
And here we're showing the view from Western Avenue, where you can see the Community Learning Center in the rear. We're not using quite the same tone of the break, but we're matching it closely so that -- again, we can create this relationship between the neighborhood and the elements that are abutting our property, our building.

Lastly -- last slide -- yes, this one. So as part of the community process of the Historical Commission, we were asked to create a shadow study to show the impact of the building height on the current neighborhood. We're highlighting the sun's faces per season in relation to the time of the day.

As you can see on the summer solstice, our building casts a shadow on the parking lot. That's currently -- you know, it's a dental office. It's not occupied by residents. So, you know, we're not showing a
high impact on that regard.
Same thing in the fall, spring equinox. At the morning at 9:00 a.m. and 10 a.m. our building doesn't quite reach -- the shadow of our building doesn't quite reach the neighborhood across the street.

And lastly, winter solstice is a little bit of a different condition, but we still feel that the shadows are minimal on the rest of the neighborhood, and the building has an appropriate height for -- you know, where it's located.

And I believe that's all the material that I have to present. You know, at this time $I$ would like to turn it over to Joe and the team and see if they have any more comments.

JOE HANLEY: Thank you, Daniel. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. That concludes our presentation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Fine. Once I get -- send it back to the Board.

Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Comments. I have -- of the I think three items that you're looking for relief for.

And in your discussion and presentation of the building plans, I'm not in favor at the moment or I'm questioning the need to step over the setback requirements on two of the four faces of your property. And whether you looked at a scheme that was -- could be compliant and not require that relief? That's one.

And my second concern is the two of the four vehicles that will be parking in the front yard setback.

JOE HANLEY: Four. So just a --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh four, but yeah. If you took away the two that face -- that are closest the street, it wouldn't be in the front yard, I assume? Or am I correct? JOE HANLEY: No, no, so if you go to that, you can see the -- if you go to the slide which shows the existing conditions, or you can see it right there as well. But if you go back a little bit.

So this is the existing curb cut. And let's go to just the photo, maybe go up one or two. JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Yeah. I think it's one down.

JOE HANLEY: Yeah. Thank you. Yep. Yep. There you go. One more up.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh no.

JOE HANLEY: One up.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.

JOE HANLEY: Thank you. Thank you. Yeah. You can see to the lower left. So existing curb, what we're proposing are -- is just to keep, you know, the dimensions of that driveway, and then to have two tandem spaces, right?

So we also know that we have 3 three-bedroom units pretty good size that -- you know, are likely to, especially as homeownership goes, to necessitate a space. But they would be tandem in utilizing that existing driveway with no changes to its dimensions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay. Thank you.
JOE HANLEY: And that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- are my two consecutive.
JOE HANLEY: Yeah. Thank you. And those are the -- that's -- relates to the special permit, just so that I can try to answer the first part of your question.

The concern about the setbacks, I assume you're referring to the setbacks generated by the $45^{\prime}$ height in the RC2B? In other words, the structure itself, not the parking?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

JOE HANLEY: So yeah. You know, you -- 40' is also compliant, right? And if you do a 40' building, then your side yard -- you know, we're about 5' off with this plan that we're showing you.

So the side yard setback is required at 16'58". Required is 16'58". We're proposing 11'58." So exactly five feet off.

And then the minimum rear yard setback is 17'46"and we're proposing 11'.78" So just a little over 5'.

And so, the other option as you asked about would be to drop the height down a full 5'. We have a four-story building. That means that you take more than l' $^{\prime}$ out of the floor-to-ceiling ratio.

I think $I$ sort of listening into a similar discussion on this on the first one. It's doable, but it -you know, as we're trying to make a building for homeownership with lots of light and windows, it makes for a much more constrained living environment of much lower floor-to-ceiling heights.

And so that is sort of the genesis.
Then on the other side, we look at, okay, well
what is the impact, right, of this de minimis request for relief? We know that we were fortunate to have lots of support. That's also something that was favorably received by the Central Square Advisory Committee in the design process.

But the impacts are virtually nonexistent. And you see that in the shadow studies. And what we did as well on that.

So what our argument would be is, you know, taking this collectively, looking at -- you know, the need for homeownership, but also good quality housing and lots of light without negatively impacting the community and trying address the hardship that is imposed by a small corner lot that allows for the use that we're proposing is -- is really what drove us to that request. And we're hoping that we can explore it further with you, sir.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No further questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So in simple terms, if we were to pull the building in to comply with the required setbacks, then we're gaining outside space at the -- but we're losing interior space, living space?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Would that be a simple --
JOE HANLEY: Correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- summation of it all?
JOE HANLEY: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
JOE HANLEY: And an overall -- you know, there's a
hardship here and under the ordinance too that, you know, relates to marketability and just livability too. We care about who is going to live in these places. And I think I heard that in the prior discussion as well.

And Ryan Wittig, who's on, can sort of speak to the negative results of those Florida ceiling heights if we were to comply.

It's too bad because, you know, if we took out a foot, right, in each, for the floor-to-ceiling, it still wouldn't be enough, right? Because, again, we're a fourstory building not a five. Then we have to take out another foot, and you really start to compress those, you know, the residences in that area.

Ryan, do you want to add to that at all?
RYAN WITTIG: Sure. I would just say, you know,
if we were to keep --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Ryan, if you want to just introduce yourself for the record, that's --

RYAN WITTIG: Yes, sure hello.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
RYAN WITTIG: Yes, hi. Ryan Wittig from Kinvarra Capital -- also the owners at 300 Franklin LLC is the actual entity that we own it in. But I am from Kinvarra Capital.

And speaking to Joe's point, if we were to keep the height that we're proposing right now, which is allowable within the zoning and try to comply with the side yard setbacks, losing 5', 6' on those two corresponding side yards, it dramatically shrinks the footprint of the building.

And I'm not even sure that the unit count would be appropriate anymore. We may look to, you know, we may have to eliminate a unit to make the floor plans work better, where just the units themselves would be dramatically less desirable.

So keep -- trying to comply with the side yard setback at our allowable height really isn't feasible. Dropping it down in height is, as Joe mentioned, is also --
also not desirable. I guess it's more achievable than trying to comply with the side yard setbacks at the height we have, but we would need to drop it by more than a foot for each floor.

And on some of the floors, we would struggle to hit $8^{\prime}$ tall ceiling heights, which is really not in line with most homeownership, experiences that owners are looking for. And it's, you know, maybe more in line with the grand total.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Good. Andrea Hickey, your thoughts, comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, I'm still sort of contemplating bringing down the height to be more compliant with setback or compliant with setback. I'm thinking that through.

With respect to the parking, could I ask how the parking is used at present? With the -- I'm on AV1. Where do cars for this property park now? Are they sort of --

RYAN WITTIG: Can you answer that, Joe?
JOE HANLEY: Yeah. You want to go ahead, Ryan?
RYAN WITTIG: Sure. Yeah. So actually, as you can see from the pictures, we have a -- the brick is
hardscaping.
So we actually can park in all the hardscaping area that you see now. So there's a double-wide curb cut that allows for, you know -- actually we've -- we fit 10 cars, we fit 10 cars onto this whole lot as it is, so we can fit roughly six cars in the brick hardscape areas, and then another four cars in the paved area.

So we're proposing to eliminate, you know, the hardscape area, obviously. So that goes away. BU as it is now, we can pull four cars into the paved area.

ANDREA HICKEY: So with six units and four spaces, each of the four being tandem, sort of practically speaking, how do you anticipate the parking would work?

RYAN WITTIG: Yeah, I would -- I would anticipate that, you know, those -- there would be basically two tandem spaces that would be deeded to two units.

I don't know that we would be able to have three, you know, it would be potentially we could look at it when we got the marketing, leaving two of the -- you know, having -- having four separately deeded, tandem spaces I don't think is logistically --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. That's exactly --

JOE HANLEY: Yeah. Just to add to that --
ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
JOE HANLEY: -- you know, Attorney Joe Hanley
here. So if you look at Unit 1, which is a duplex, a threebedroom is 1875 square feet. And Unit 5, three-bedroom plus a study is 1741 square feet. So those are the two biggest units the size of a home, certainly. You know, very generously sized.

And, you know, with that bedroom count, it would be reasonable to suggest that those two units would probably accommodate those two tandem spaces.

But, you know, we could -- it's something that can be worked through. You do have two good -- you have another three-bedroom and a couple good-sized two bedrooms as well.

I happen to have this experience myself living in the city; you -- I have an inward tandem space and I have my neighbor's key. And quite frankly, I don't move my car a lot, you know, unless $I$ have to go on a trip.

And I think, you know, while it's four spaces, it's four tandem spaces, it's maybe more like two and a half, right, as far as utilization.

And I think that supports the reasonableness and lessening the impacts of vehicles coming in and out, which is much more extensive today.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Mr. Chair, that answers my questions at the moment. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Matina Williams, any questions, comments?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson, any questions or comments?

SLATER ANDERSON: You know, I think it's an appropriate project for the location it's in, you know, near Central Square. I think that, you know, the abutters -there's -- and I'm interested to hear if there's public comment on this. Is there a full judgment?

But, you know, the parking issue: Parking in the front yard on a residential street where everyone has, you know, sort of standard setbacks, you know, like that's not something favorable to me.

I mean, this -- this is a location -- I've driven by this location a thousand times living in Cambridge. You know, you've got the Enterprise Rental Car across the street
with cars parked all over the place.
This lot is kind of like an island. Like, this is an appropriate project from my perspective that's been well vetted with the Central Square Advisory people, Historic Commission, and -- you know, I think appreciate the process you guys have gone through.

So I really don't have any major issues with the project, you know, reducing the side to pull it in a little bit: Okay, that would achieve compliance. But I'm not hearing anybody that's, like, screaming that we don't -- you know, we don't want this building as designed and as vetted through this public process.

So, you know, we need more housing in the city. This is a good improvement. It adds six units where there were none. So, you know, I'm -- I see a lot of good in this project so far. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Slater. Let me open it --

MATINA WILLIAMS: And, and Matina?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to public comment.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Oh, sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Any member of the public who
wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to speak.

So if you're calling in, we are in receipt of quite a bit of communication dated March 6 from the City of Cambridge Community Development Department.
"The Central Square Advisory Committee met on Wednesday, March 1, to discuss a request for a special permit and variance from the Board for the property at 18 Pleasant Street. After discussion, the Committee decided to forward a report with a positive recommendation to the Board of Zoning Appeal.
"The appliance proposes changes to a project previously reviewed by the Committee on July 6, 2022, to construct a four-story multifamily residential building with six dwelling units.
"Parking has been relocated from under the building to an at-grade portion of the lot utilizing the existing curb cuts. This and other related changes will
require variances from the BZA to construct on site."
"Additionally, a special permit is required for the exemption of the gross floor area in the basement in the new structure pursuant to Section 16 of the definition of floor area, gross and relief from side yard setback.
"Members of the Committee were supportive of the project. They felt generally that the parking and side yard proposal is an improvement over the existing nonconformity on the site. Committee members expressed support for the proposed six units of housing over the existing office space.
"In keeping with their previous review, they felt that the scale of the project was respectful of the neighborhood. There were questions and comments on the plans for trash and recycling management at the property, and the amount of trash and recycling bins that would be necessary in the driveway.
"City Staff members will follow up with Mr. Williamson regarding his comment about the zoning.
"Respectfully submitted,
"Mason Wells for the Committee."
Correspondence from Mahmood Firouzbakht, owns 15

Pleasant Street, which directly abuts 18 Pleasant
"Writing to lend my support for the development of
the property, in order to convert it from a commercial building to six new residential units, which the owners intend to market for home ownership."

He has reviewed the plans, and he finds that it is in keeping with the character of the neighborhood and would pose no detriment to the other owners such as himself. The owners have engaged the neighborhood and responded to feedback from the neighborhood.

There is correspondence from Marc Sigel, 10 Rogers Street, writing in support of the development -redevelopment of the property.
"I'm a long-term homeowner raising my family. It is nice to see a tastefully designed building that will provide housing for families instead of another ordinary office building."

Believes that the design will integrate well with the Central Square neighborhood and be a nice transition.

There is correspondence from Benjamin Bavly -- B-a-v-l-y. Owns and manages 19 Pleasant Street, which abuts 18. "Writing to lend support for the development of the
property in order to convert it from a commercial building to six new residential."

There is correspondence from Danielle Mishkin --M-i-s-h-k-i-n, the owner of 72 Spring Street.
"Express my enthusiastic support for the proposed new building. Replace the existing vinyl commercial building with a sophisticated brick residential building as shown in the plans will improve the Central Square neighborhood."

There is correspondence from Jeff Dunn, living at 32 Pearl Street.
"Writing to support the development of the property." He's had the opportunity to review it and finds it is keeping with the character of the neighborhood.

There is correspondence from Jeffrey Meese, 51 Prentiss Street, writing in support of the development.

There is a letter from William Senne -- S-e-n-n-e writing to convey his fully support of the proposed development: The request for the relief of reasonable in line with the need for quality design and residential use, density within Central Square.
"Plans presented are in keeping with the character
and pose no detriment to other owners such as myself." And there is also correspondence from the Cambridge Historical relating to the history of the project that the March 3, 2022, they voted to find the building to be significant, and that they delayed the demolition.

But then subsequent on May 5, the Commission found that the existing building was no longer preferably preserved in the context of an updated and revised design for the replacement building as shown on plans by Khalsa Design. And the demolition delay was terminated. And that is the sum and substance of correspondence. I will close the public comment part, send it back to Mr. Hanley for any further comments at all.

JOE HANLEY: I'm sorry. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I believe too I didn't get the first address that you read, but there was -- or letter, you should have a letter of support from 15 Pleasant Street and 19.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Um --
RYAN WITTIG: He read those. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- yeah, well, we'll incorporate -JOE HANLEY: Okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- those by reference.
JOE HANLEY: Excellent. All right. Thank you.
So first, I want to thank the -- you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board as well as the neighbors who wrote in support.

And as Mr. Anderson I think summed it up for us in his comments, this has been an extensive discussion and process, but one that has resulted, we think, in a superior product that responds to the context and the uniqueness of the property site.

Justifying the relief is the minimum necessary to address the hardship, and the special permit request for the parking, while reducing the prior impact, and also to exempt FAR on the lower level will allow us to create family-sized housing that is appropriate in contributing to the city in a contributing way.

So with that, I will conclude our presentation. And thank you once again.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I'm going to take it back to the Board, then, for consideration and potential vote. I haven't chimed in.

I think that I will echo Slater's comments. I
think that it's well-designed building in keeping with the character of the neighborhood, and I would echo and agree with the comments that were written in by Community Development, Central Square Advisory and neighbors.

Regarding the parking, that $I$ feel that even though yes it does impinge on setbacks, I know we are sort of find -- front yard setback encroaching on the side yard setback -- front yard setback and side yard setback an anathema, and sort of shy away from that.

I think in this particular location, because it's bounded by two streets, and I think also the desire to get some cars off the street, especially in this location -- and again, $I$ go back to sort of snow days, street cleaning days -- and just the ability of people to get in and get out of their property in an orderly fashion.

I think that it is appropriate. The six units' residential -- good-sized, family-sized units, much-needed in this particular neighborhood; very close, obviously, to Central Square and public transportation and all the other amenities that Central Square affords.

So I am in favor of the proposal that is before us in the form that it's in front of us. So I think I will
agree with Slater.

Matina, your thoughts before we take it to a vote, or?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Hello? Oh. Yes. No, I was -I'm in favor. I'm in favor and agreement with everything that Slater said. It was -- I think it's really good project for that corner, for that area of Central Square.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you. Andrea, back to I guess you and Jim, or --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- I'll take Andrea. I'll take ladies first.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. I just have a question out of curiosity, not to be sort of part of my consideration: Are these all to be market-rate units for sale?

JOE HANLEY: Yes.
RYAN WITTIG: Yes, ma'am.
ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. Nothing further, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Jim, your thoughts on the direction we should -- you'd like to see us go on this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm comfortable with the requests that are being made based on the conversation I've heard. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, ready for a vote, then, everybody?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready. Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me make a
motion. There are two requests for relief. Let me take the variance first. For the on-grade parking of four vehicles as per the parking plans submitted, the Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner to provide -- from providing much-needed parking spaces on the property.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the fact that it is encumbered by the current ordinance, which predates the lot. And any future development on that lot is encumbered by the current ordinance, which is very restrictive being -- having two front yard setbacks.

The Board finds that in furtherance of the
hardship, the premise's corner lot condition and the existing curb cut and the street trees at the premises are distinct and supportive of the relief requested under these circumstances.

The hardship imposed by these conditions are unique to these premises and require relief from the requirements of the ordinance.

The Board finds that without the relief from the ordinance, the parking facility layout, the literal enforcement would prevent the applicant from constructing the new building at the premises, while also providing offstreet parking.

Granting the relief requested would also not negatively impact the surrounding community. The Board also incorporates in its finding granting the relief from the -ordinances letter of support: Community Development, the Central Square Business Committee -- Central Square Advisory Committee and also abutters and concerned citizens.

The Board finds that to reduce the current nonconforming parking program, it would be a -- not necessarily an asset to the development, and it would have no adverse impact. The current plan would not have any
adverse impact on the development or adjoining properties.
The Board finds that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. There will be no substantial detriment to the public good as the proposed project has been carefully shaped and designed through extensive public review and the input by the Cambridge Historical Commission and the Central Square Advisory Committee.

The Board finds that relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. The project will provide muchneeded housing, which is the stated goal of the Council, especially family-sized units, which this unit will provide.

That the Board finds that the requirements of the ordinance is to allow this Board to use its discretion into approving what it feels is appropriate development, and that this proposal meets that standard.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the drawings submitted, supporting statements, and the dimensional form, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the variance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Andrea Hickey in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In favor.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; the variance is granted.
On the special permit, the exemption of the gross floor area in the basement of the new structure pursuant to Section 16 of the ordinance: The Board finds that -- I should know this by heart, but it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, and the Board incorporates as further proof of this finding letters of support from adjoining property owners.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use, in fact it would be greatly enhanced by the addition of additional living space in the basement area to occupants of the structure.

The Board finds the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district -- again, incorporates letters of support from the Central Square Advisory Committee, also the Cambridge Historical Committee and abutting property owners and concerned citizens, and would it would otherwise -- it would not otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide housing for all income levels, for family housing, which is a stated City Council policy goal, and to --

The Board finds that this is an appropriate development to take a once commercial property and to turn it into much-needed residential housing in the Central Square area.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the request, and also as per the drawings, which were signed and dated by the Chair, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of the special permit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina Williams yes, in favor.
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

Five affirmative votes; the special permit is
granted. Good luck with the project.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, thank you, members of the Board. Have a good evening.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you all. Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, thank you. Good presentation, actually.

JOE HANLEY: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, is it 6:30 yet?

Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea
A. Hickey, and Slater W. Anderson

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Going to the Regular Agenda, the Board will hear Case No. 209384 -- 284-288 Norfolk Street. Mr. Greene?
[Pause]
Norfolk Street?
STEPHEN NATOLA: He's there, he's just muted.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh. You're on mute, Tim.
TIMOTHY GREENE: Oh, I'm sorry, I had to "Join as a Panelist."

Good evening. My name is Timothy Greene, here to present the case for 284 Norfolk Street on behalf of AT\&T.

AT\&T currently has an existing facility on the site, and it was originally permitted by back in 2014. And they are looking to upgrade the site now in 2023; they'll upgrade the equipment. As the plans --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Can you walk us through it?

TIMOTHY GREENE: Yep. I will walk you through it. If we go down to a couple pages -- there we go, let that one
come in.

Okay. So here's a roof of the building, and you can see that there are multiple carriers on this building. Verizon's up there. I believe T-Mobile's up there, and AT\&T is up there.

Okay. AT\&T's equipment is located within two false chimneys. Originally, they were permitted for four false chimneys, but only installed two of them. They're looking to install two more chimneys in order to replace and add antennas.

Two antennas will be stacked in one chimney, and one will be in the other. There is a set of photo simulations that go along with that.

The equipment stays fundamentally in the same location. Right now there's two antennas in each chimney, and it will be broken up and put into -- actually slightly smaller chimneys -- not that much smaller, but in the -roughly the same locations.

They also have antennas mounted on the back of the building on the penthouse that are painted to match.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Anything else at this time?

TIMOTHY GREENE: Nothing else at this time. Open to any questions or anything like that. Again, this is -this -- actually this proposed design was designed -- was the designee you actually approved back in 2014. And only one chimney was put in each location. Now they're looking to put up a second one.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Any
questions? Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, Mr. Chair. No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no questions. So we have reviewed the presentation and the supporting documents. Let me open it to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in. Anything else to say, Tim, before we take it to the Board for a vote?

TIMOTHY GREENE: Not at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. Certain pro
forma things we have to make a finding from: Under 4.32g1 in Section 4.40, footnote 49: In reviewing a special permit application for mobile communication facilities in particular, the Board of Zoning Appeal shall consider the following its reaching a determination: The scope or limitation imposed by any license secured from any state of federal agency having jurisdiction over such matters.

And in your submittals, you have addressed that, that AT\&T is licensed by the Federal Communications Commission to construct and operate a wireless telecommunication network in various markets throughout the country, including the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the City of Cambridge.

The extent to which the visual impact of the various elements of the proposed facility is minimized through the use of existing mechanical elements on the
building's roof, or other features of the buildings that may support the back rally (sic).

And I think in your -- I don't mean to speak for you, but I think that you have addressed that, saying that by means of stealth installation, including the faux chimneys and that painting with -- to the background, that it will be as seamless and as stealth an application as possible.

Where it is proposed to erect such a facility in any residential district, the extent to which there is a demonstrated public need for the facility at the proposed location, the existence of alternative functionally suitable sites and nonresidential locations -- I think you have addressed that part of it by saying the -- what is proposed in the coverage is an asset to allow for up-to-date communication with all citizens of the city, as set first responder.

And that this Board has found in the past that even though it is in a residential district, residence C-1 -- that some nonresidential establishments do predominate in the area.

And the other background is that it has to meet
the findings as in 10.40 for the granting of a special permit.

So let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested. Let me find my notes here. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit as under Section 10.43.

The Board finds that the modification of the existing telecommunication facility at the proposed site does not substantially change the physical dimension, and that the addition of additional radio heads is warranted, in order to allow for up-to-date telecommunication equipment.

The Board finds that the petitioner be granted the special permit subject to the following conditions: That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations and
previously referred to.
The Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board notes the existence of existing telecommunication facilities' equipment on the -- at the locus, and that the addition, as per the proposal, will not change that in any way.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected -- in fact, it would be an asset to have upgraded telecommunication equipment at this particular location.

The Board finds there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use or to the citizens of the city, and that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

In furtherance, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which its located to its prior condition and appearance to the extent reasonably possible.

That to the extent that the special permit has been terminated pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs, the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of a special permit pursuant to paragraphs a) and b).

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period, during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Division -- Department -- a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of
equipment by the petitioner with the geographical area that includes Cambridge, stating that a) he or she has such responsibility, and b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are grating tonight will comply with all federal safety rules, and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

That the petitioner is in compliance with and will comply with all respects with conditions imposed by the Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

In furtherance, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department such report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emission emitting from all of the petitioner's
equipment on the site.
Each such report shall be filed with the
Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with federal authorities.

Failure to timely file with the Inspectional Services Department will ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.

That at any time the federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such failure.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, supporting statements, and the photo simulations initialed by the Chair, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Special permit is granted.
Goodnight. Thank you, Mr. Greene.

TIMOTHY GREENE: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
(7:43 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Andrea A. Hickey, Jim Monteverde, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 209431 -- 1380 Massachusetts Avenue.
[Pause]
1380 Massachusetts Avenue?
MATTHEW DUELL: Good evening, members of the Board and the public. My name is Matthew Duell. I'm representing Blank Street Coffee, which is the business going into 1380 Mass. Ave.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Tell us what you'd like to do.

MATTHEW DUELL: We are seeking a special permit. We are technically a Formula Business, and we are going into the space at 1380 Mass. Ave, which was Formula Business. The previous tenant was Press Juicery, and we are coming in with food as A2 Food and Beverage.

We are not doing any changes to the façade. Signage is the same as the previous tenant, and we are just
doing inside renovations -- interior renovations.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to Jim
Monteverde. Any questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no questions at this
time. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. STEPHEN NATOLA: James Williamson? JAMES WILLIAMSON: Can you hear me? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Oh, good. I got in this time.
Because last time I fumbled around and then got overlooked,
and then was never called on again, despite raising my hand frantically for the rest of that particular case, the Starlight case. So thank you.

I have a question. I noticed just the other day -- I was curious -- and I noticed that the signs are already up, the signs for Blank Street Café.

And I wonder, is this how this is done? You first put up the signs, and then get to the permit? If that's the way it's meant to be then, you know, that's fine, I just would like to understand.

It just seems odd that the signage for Blank is already in place before the hearing's been concluded. So I think certainly that at least deserves some explanation, when there may well be one.

And I just want to say quickly, I appreciate the people, the members of the Board for being -- having been vigilant and caring about noise issues at the last hearing. And it is what it is, so thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, to answer your question, it may be presumptive, James, but anyhow, we have no control over that. So. Any --

MATTHEW DUELL: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to respond.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Okay.
MATTHEW DUELL: We did go through the proper process to get -- to receive the signed approval. And we did not install it until we received that approval through the City.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: Okay. So in other words, it's a separate -- they're two separate?

MATTHEW DUELL: Yes, sir.

JAMES WILLIAMSON: -- pathways? Well, thank you. That's it. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anybody else? Nobody else calling in. Okay. We are in receipt of correspondence from Denise Jillson.
"Good afternoon, Maria. On behalf of the Harvard Square Business Association, please accept this letter in support for Blank Street Coffee to operate a coffee shop at 1380 Massachusetts Avenue.
"Blank Street will be a welcome addition to Harvard Square, especially as foot traffic is increasing daily. Blank Street will provide high-quality coffee and delicious, locally-sourced pastries at an affordable price point.
"We look forward to partnering with them, and you know there will be an additive to the Square. We respectfully request your full support of this application and thank you for your consideration."

There is also correspondence from the Community Development Department.
"The Harvard Square Advisory Committee met on Wednesday, February 15, to discuss the special permit application by Blank Street. The meeting was conducted pursuant to the provisions of Section 20.50 of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance.
"The applicant proposes to operate a coffee shop. This use is considered both a Formula Business and a fastorder quick service food establishment.
"While fast-order quick-service food establishments are allowed as-of-right in the base zone, the use requires a special permit from the BZA because it qualifies as a Formula Business and is located in the Overlay District.
"Members of the Committee were supportive of the applicants' proposal, noting that the business will be filling a need in the neighborhood. Committee members asked
questions related to queuing, product offering, façade design and signage detail, ADA accessibility and ownership model.
"The Committee strongly encourage the applicant to consider extending their planned hours of operation later into the day and evening to encourage activity and a more vibrant public realm.
"Committee members also encourage the applicant to consider incorporating outdoor seating, so long as it does not interfere with pedestrian flow, given space constraints between the businesses and the MBTA head house.
"Committee members also expressed concern about trash control and encourage the applicant to coordinate with the landlord and other building occupants to more effectively manage services, needs for the business.
"Respectfully submitted,
Daniel Messplay
Community Development Department."
And that is the sum and substance of any correspondence. I will -- oops, there is correspondence from the Cambridge Historic Committee.
"Regarding 1380 Massachusetts Avenue, the

Cambridge Historical Committee hereby certifies that the work described below is not incongruous to the historic aspect or architectural character of the building or district to install a conforming wall sign for Blank Street Coffee.
"Replace the existing, internally illuminated blade sign with a new internally-illuminated blade sign of a different shape but in the same location.
"The work is to be carried out as indicated on the sign drawings by Boston Sign entitled, "1380 Mass. Ave, Cambridge, Massachusetts," and dated 09/09/2022.

That concludes public comment. I will close that portion, send it back to Matthew. Anything else to add?

MATTHEW DUELL: No. Thank you for your time this evening. I'm open to any questions that follow.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. This is under 11.30, "Fast-order Food Service Establishment. " I don't have that here in my book. I think what it goes into is the use of biodegradable materials. You will encourage the use of biodegradable materials at the site?

MATTHEW DUELL: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that the site is accessible
to handicapped persons?
MATTHEW DUELL: Yes. The doors -- the existing doors there are -- have a handicap arm that opens them automatically.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I think that one of the other requirements is through the use of appropriate and appropriate number of receptacles that you will try to diminish any type of trash congregating on the premises, and also outside the premises and the immediate environs in Harvard Square by use of trash receptacles, encouraging customers to use the receptacles?

MATTHEW DUELL: Yes, absolutely. Yes. We have trash cans out in the seating area, as well as plenty in the back of the house, and then if there's any issues on the outside, we will take care of that as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And also, we have to find that there is a need in the neighborhood.

And I have always contended that the success or failure of any establishment is the prerequisite for any need.

And that I think the marketplace decides that whether it -- there is a need in it, but also, I incorporate
by reference, rather, the letters from the Harvard Square Business Association.

And also the Harvard Square Advisory Committee stating that the proposal is a welcome addition to the Harvard Square area.

So on the motion, then, to grant the special permit? Okay. I think I may have covered it. Yeah. Okay. Yeah. Thank you, Jim.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, supporting statement, and the plans initialed by the Chair.

It appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met. Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that there was already a fast-food establishment currently at the location and this is a mere substitute for that, and no adverse effect has been generated from the prior use, and none is anticipated from the proposed use.

The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would
not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. The Board notes and incorporates by reference letters of support from the Harvard Square Business Association and also the Harvard Square Advisory Committee.

That there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use or to the citizens of the city, in fact it would add another offering for the citizens of the city.

That the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district -- it is an allowed use -- or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to provide the citizenry or the citizens with varied food and beverage offerings in the Harvard Square area.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, Five affirmative votes.
The special permit is granted. Good luck.
MATTHEW DUELL: Thank you very much.
(7:55 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 206534 -- 102-104 Sciarappa Street. Ray and Karolyn Park. [Pause]

Sciarappa Street?
KAROLYN PARK: Hi. Sorry. Was trying to join as a panelist. Hi.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Hi.

KAROLYN PARK: I'm Karolyn Park, and I'm co-owner of the property. My husband is putting the kids to sleep, so you just get me, sorry.

I wanted to thank the Board for considering our proposal.

While we did talk to our neighbors on the left and right side of us who are the most impacted by our proposal, it's become clear from the flurry of activity over the last few days that there are concerns from the neighbors on the back side. And we want to be good neighbors, and we do care
about our neighborhood.
So we hand-delivered some notes to all those who registered concern last night requesting to speak with them about the concerns and fully hear them. And we also reached one person who doesn't live in the property via text.

You know, in light of what we've seen, we don't want to waste the Board's time. So we respectfully request a continuance. We would like time to talk to the neighbors to see if Steve and I can creatively come up with something that will work with our family's unique needs and for the neighborhood.

So that's what we're requesting. And then hopefully that also gives you some time back so that you can get closer to schedule.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I think that's a wise decision, because the letters have some in just recently, and I think it would be wise if you sat down with them and come to some consensus or -- or you may come back with the same plan. But at least that we would like to respect their involvement in the process. So I would accept your request for a continuance.
The -- it's a case not heard, and the next
available date would be May 11, 2023. Would you be available then and ready then, to go forward, Karolyn?

KAROLYN PARK: Um?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May 11.
KAROLYN PARK: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Or May 25?
KAROLYN PARK: We will take May 11, and we will try our very best to work with all the different people we need to meet with and work on the plans. Yes. That would be great. Thank you.

RAY PARK: Karolyn, I think that should plenty of
time to --

KAROLYN PARK: Okay.
RAY PARK: -- to make the changes, if we need to make changes.

KAROLYN PARK: Okay. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Steve, if you just introduce yourself for the record, that's all.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Stephen Hiserodt, Architect, DH Architects.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to May 11,

2023, at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign.

And if you could -- I don't know if you have to change it from previously; it was behind the screen on the window and it was difficult to see from the public way. So if you could bring it forward so that it's very visible from the sidewalk and readable from the sidewalk.

So change the posting sign location number one, if it hasn't already been done. The second requirement to change the date to reflect the new date of May 11, 2023 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

That any new submittals not currently in the folder having to do with dimensional form and any drawings be in the file, be filed by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 11 hearing.

I would also ask you to sign a form which is waiving your right to a statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof. And Maria Pacheco, or Olivia or even Stephen, can send you that form. We'd ask that you sign it -- read it, sign it, and send it back to her, and that that form be submitted by no later than one week from tonight.

So a week from tonight by 5:00 p.m. if you could get that form signed back to Maria Pacheco, Olivia or Stephen, that would be one of the requirements to continue this matter.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim
Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor of continuing.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor of continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor of continuance.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
On the five affirmative votes, this matter is
continued to May 11, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. See you then.
KAROLYN PARK: Thank you.
RAY PARK: Thank you, everyone.
(8:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 1680-A Mass. Avenue. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm sorry, I appear to have been muted. Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. For the record, James Rafferty on behalf of the applicants, Kaveris Properties, LLC.

This is an application that seeks a variance to allow for the conversion of the second floor of a two-story commercial building at 1680 Mass. Ave. to create two dwelling units on the second floor.

The property is located on the stretch of Mass. Ave. between Shepard Street and Martin Street heading outside of Harvard Square towards Porter Square. The use is allowed use, and the proposed work is going to be entirely interior to the building. There are no exterior alterations proposed at all.

The relief is requested because although the
building is nonconforming in terms of the setbacks that are contained in the Mass. Ave. Overlay District, that preexisting nonconforming status is not applicable when there's a change of use, we're being advised. So the requirement is for some modest front setbacks and side setbacks for the building when we're changing the use.

So we had extensive discussions with the Building Commission about the necessity of this, but at the end, we were informed that notwithstanding the lack of any physical change, the use change triggered a new requirement.

So the variance requests are modest, and they're related to existing conditions that currently exist in the building and have since its construction.

So the two apartments are well laid out. One unit is approximately 980 square feet, we'll have two bedrooms. The other unit is smaller, a one-bedroom at about 450 square feet. It will provide housing.

The ordinance as amended no longer requires the parking for dwelling units. So the spaces will not have parking spaces, but that is not a condition that is unique to this stretch of Mass. Avenue or the greater Radcliffe neighborhood where this building is located.

So I'm happy to go through the plans, but candidly I told the architect $I$ thought there probably wasn't too much of a need for him to make a presentation, since all of his work is occurring inside the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, well we'll see if any questions by members of the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions, comments at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any questions or comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams.

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to comment.

There appears to be nobody calling in. We are -we have no correspondence in the file. I will close the public comment part of it. Nobody seems to have any questions. Are we ready for a motion, then?

It appears to be a very worthwhile transformation for this building to go from business -- probably a use that is probably on somewhat life support now with the plethora or "For Lease" signs up and down Mass. Ave. in commercial space, and that this will be a worthwhile transition from that current use to residential.

So on the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, as per the application, supporting statements, dimensional form and the drawings initialed and dated by the Chair.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner, because it would preclude the petitioner from converting and repurposing the second floor of the preexisting, nonconforming structure from a commercial use into a residential use.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the existing structure, which is encumbered by the existing
ordinance, which requires setback requirements. The existing structure cannot provide any.

It would be onerous to require the -- and detrimental to the project to have the owner reduce the size of the building if they comply with the setback requirements and would have absolutely no beneficial effect and would negate the availability of having adequate residential units available for either sale or for rental.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. In fact, the addition of two units at this location, which will have some visible life -- potentially 24 hours a day, it leaves lights on during night times and have some activity -- is a benefit to the neighborhood.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance to -- even though the residential use is allowed, but also the repurposing of this building from commercial space, which is in this climate and market very difficult to rent.

And that the existence of residential units, which
is encouraged to provide housing for all income levels.
And that the Board finds that the requested relief
is in keeping with the stated goals of the City Council to provide additional residential units wherever possible.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the application, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; the variance, as per the application, is granted.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Have a good evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight.
(8:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 209539 -- 4 Hollis Park.
[Pause]

Mr. Lodge?
No?

JOHN LODGE: Good evening, members of the Board. My name is John Lodge. I'm the architect for this project. I live at 56 Aberdeen Avenue.

We are coming before the Board tonight to ask for a special permit to roof -- well, so there's a -- there's currently an $8 x 8$ deck, which is being constructed at the back of the house at 4 Hollis Park.

The construction started last fall, and sort of in the course of the construction, the owners realized that given their lifestyle, they're going to want to sit outside a lot more than they think they are, and that adding a roof to the deck would substantially enhance the use of that
deck.

So what we're asking for is to put a roof -- if you look at the proposed southwest elevation, you can see that we're asking to put a roof on top of the deck that's currently being constructed.

If you look at the drawing of the existing
southwest elevation, you can see that the current layout actually has -- I guess they call it a "Juliet balcony." So there are double doors on the second floor with a railing tight against the face of the building.

One of the parts of this would be to create a larger deck on top of this room. The owners have been in contact with their neighbors, and while the drawings show a deck that extends the whole -- for the full area, $8 \times 8$ area over the deck that -- in consultation with the next-door neighbors this week, I think they, they're looking actually to reduce the size of the second-floor deck to half of that size.

Actually, I think Pam Pecchio, one of the owners, would like to just sort of share her thoughts on the -- on why they want the deck. So I'll let her talk, and then I'll come back and if you have questions, we'll go over those.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. You have to introduce yourself for the record.

JOHN LODGE: Is Pam there?
PAM PECCHIO: Hi, can you hear me now?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
PAM PECCHIO: Are you there?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
PAM PECCHIO: Okay, I'm sorry. I've been trying to get in, but I couldn't -- I was on the Zoom, but I couldn't enter as a participant. Sorry. Now I'm a little bit frazzled from trying to -- trying to do that.

So yes. Hi. My name is Pam Pecchio. And I live at 4 Hollis Park with my husband and our two -- our elementary-aged children. We bought the house in 2019, and we began renovating it last summer with our plans to stay here permanently.

After we started the work, we realized two things: First, that we could better utilize the outdoor space, and second that we have a lot of mosquitos in our yard. So we came back to you to ask if we could enclose the planned deck into a screened porch.

We realize that screened porches aren't really
common around here, but we're both from the south, where they're at mainstay and kind of how you can be outside in the evenings.

We did notice a couple blocks over on Haskell that our neighbors put one up a couple of years ago, so that kind of gave us the idea.

And yeah, like I said, we want to sort of be outside with our kids in the evening after work, which is when the mosquitos are out, and we have some concerns about mosquito-borne diseases that are increasing, and just of course, you know, just the annoyance of it.

As John explained, if we -- you know, were approved to enclose the porch, then it would give us a little space upstairs to potentially extend our current Juliet balcony a little further, and we did consult with our neighbors at \#3 Hollis Park, which is who would be mostly impacted by that. We're at the very back end of all the other back yards.

And we agreed that what made the most sense was only enough space to really put a couple of chairs out there and sit -- you know, in the morning. It's off of our bedroom, so it wouldn't be like an entertaining space or
anything like that -- it wouldn't make any sense.
So that is -- that is my description. Thank you
for your consideration.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So you're -- the first floor would remain as per the plan, and the second-floor deck would be reduced?

PAM PECCHIO: So, no the -- so, I'm sorry, John, do you want to answer?

JOHN LODGE: No, you can -- either way, either way.

PAM PECCHIO: Yeah. So currently it's a -- it's a deck, an open deck on the bottom.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
PAM PECCHIO: So what we're asking for is to enclose it an add a roof. So that would be then an 8 x 8 box, you know --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
PAM PECCHIO: -- with screens.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
PAM PECCHIO: And then what we have upstairs, it's French doors that open into the bedroom, and we he had just a Juliet balcony -- like a railing outside of those doors,
essentially. So you couldn't step outside, it was just a railing.

So what we're asking is now that if there's a roof that makes floor, could we extend it a little further, no more than halfway to just have a space to put chairs out there --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay
PAM PECCHIO: -- and sit and plant some plants and
things. Does that make sense?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That actually could be done as-of-right.

PAM PECCHIO: Oh, it could? Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that the zoning infraction
is then putting the roof over the skin.
PAM PECCHIO: Got it. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And what happens to that roof aera can be done as-of-right, can be -- well, I would make a condition that it be no larger -- in other words, it cannot extend beyond the first floor --

PAM PECCHIO: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you want to reduce it from current plan, then you can do that as-of-right. The only
condition would be that we are approving putting a roof over the first-floor deck and enclosing that. Well, actually, you can enclose it, but it's the roof part of it which triggers relief from the ordinance.

The second-floor balcony, if you will, can be done as-of-right. So --

SLATER ANDERSON: But Brendan, can I ask a

```
question?
```

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, say John?
SLATER ANDERSON: No, it's Slater.

JOHN LODGE: Slater.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, Slater. Yes.

SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, I'm just looking at Plan
Z1, Z-1. It appears that that deck extends into the rear yard setback. So I don't know if that impacts what you just described as being able to do that second-floor deck -- you know, by right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, now, that's a good point. So we're saying Z-1?

SLATER ANDERSON: Up on the screen, I see it.
JOHN LODGE: Yep.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.

So it potentially is that we would have to grant relief for both?

JOHN LODGE: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
JOHN LODGE: And we're happy to condition the deck
to be -- to make it, you know, half of -- only extend half.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And what's the number? How?
JOHN LODGE: So that would be four feet.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Four feet. Okay. All right.
Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions or comments at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions or comments at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. Just sort of a procedural question. So the request in special permit is to enclose an existing deck.

Are we saying that existing deck doesn't conform? I'm not understanding how to enclose something that already exists is going to be a setback. Is it because it doesn't
have a roof?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Because it does not have a roof
on it.

JOHN LODGE: Yeah.
ANDREA HICKEY: Understood.

JOHN LODGE: And it's an FAR issue.
ANDREA HICKEY: Got it. Thank you. That's all,
Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Slater, any other
comments, questions at this time?
SLATER ANDERSON: So it's interesting, the
existing deck -- that includes, because this house has gone under significant renovation, obviously, the whole place.

JOHN LODGE: Correct.

SLATER ANDERSON: There was a deck there that's been rebuilt, is that correct?

JOHN LODGE: The deck -- so the deck is part of the renovations, and it can, you know, the first-floor deck conformed.

SLATER ANDERSON: Okay.
JOHN LODGE: And that has been framed but not
finished. So, you know, given that the house is under
construction, I mean I guess I would say it's existing because it has the frame, but.

SLATER ANDERSON: Okay.
JOHN LODGE: So -- yeah, so we're, you know, basically we're modifying the design. We have -- you know, it's -- so it's -- to call it an existing deck I guess is -technically it's probably right, but you know --

SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. In the process. Yeah. Sure. My only other observation is that, you know, it is an irregular-shaped lot. So that rear-yard setback is a little -- you know, it's tricky. It's a hard shape, the shape of the lot, I would say.

JOHN LODGE: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. And they are not creating any new nonconformity? They're increasing some existing nonconformities, but not creating any new ones; hence they can seek relief under 8.222d. So -- which is for a special permit. So.

JOHN LODGE: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then
click the button that says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in and there is no correspondence in the file. I will close the public comment portion of it. Any comments by the members of the Board or ready for a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per the application, supporting statements, dimensional form, and the drawings. The Board notes that on Sheet Z-4 that the deck on the second level be reduced to longer $4^{\prime}$ in depth, as per the offering by the petitioner.

Other than that, the Board accepts the drawing for the project as presented. The Board finds that it may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of $a$ preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached singlefamily dwelling or two-family not otherwise permitted in Section 8.22.1.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that
any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does make such finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board notes and incorporates by reference discussions between the proponent of the existing residents
and the abutter and has reduced the size of the decking at the second level in response to neighbors' concern, so that the Board finds that there would be no adverse effect on abutting properties.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use. In fact, the proposal would enhance both the health and safety as per the presentation of the occupants of the proposed use.

That the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district -- it's a residential unit and will remain such, or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners by way of discretionary ruling by this Board to amend, change, alter structures to make them more livable, and in this case a screened-in porch to enhance their safety and to encourage appropriate development, which this project does do.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted. Good luck.

JOHN LODGE: Thank you very much. Have a good night.

PAM PECCHIO: Thanks so much. Take care.
(8:23 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 206665 -- 1164-1166 Cambridge Street.

MICHAEL BARONE: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. My name is Michael Barone. I'm an attorney with Ruberto, Israel \& Weiner, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts. I'm here representing Cambridge Cuisine LLC doing business as Puritan \& Company, the current tenant at 1164-1166 Cambridge Street.

The application before you this evening is for a modification of existing variance conditions that were imposed in a 2009 variance decision. We would humbly request a continuance of this evening's hearing.

Our client has commenced community outreach processes, which we know this Board very much favors, and we have a community meeting scheduled for April 8 at 10a, and we've been working closely with the East Cambridge Business Association and have been disseminating notices out to
neighbors, inviting them to the meeting on April 8.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. You're aware of correspondence, Michael, or that has come in? Some of it came in -- well, this afternoon at $4: 17$ so you may or may not be, but it is available to you, and then Staff can email those to you so that you can address those concerns, those issues, and you'll be aware of them probably at the public forum.

So the next available date would be May 11. That works for you?

MICHAEL BARONE: That does. I do know my client has been in touch with a few different City Departments, and I think is still waiting on some correspondence. If we could push to May 25, that would be greatly appreciated. But if the Board would prefer May 11, we can certainly do so.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No. It's entirely up to you. We're very flexible on that. So we can go May 25 , that works for you?

MICHAEL BARONE: That would be wonderful, thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So let me make a
motion, then, to continue this matter to May 25, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 25, 2023 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

Any new documents, submittals be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 25, 2023 meeting. I would ask that the petitioner's Counsel sign a waiver of the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered therefor.

That such document can be obtained by Staff. They will e-mail it to you if you could sign it and send it back to them. Said document must be returned by 5:00 p.m. a week from tonight. Ask you to comply with that, and that's a condition as part of continuing this matter.

And I think that's all, and to maintain the posting sign for at least 14 days prior to the hearing, and that the posting sign should face Cambridge Street, as opposed to before it was sort of facing the side of the entryway.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter to May 25, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor, but also would like
to confirm for the record that this is a case not heard, correct?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It is a case not heard,
correct.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm. Thank you. I'm in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This matter is, ono the five affirmative votes, this matter is continued to May 25, 2023. See you then.

MICHAEL BARONE: Thank you very much.
(8:27 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 211208 -- 18 Fairmont Street. Mr. Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. I'm Adam Glassman, GCD Architects located at 2 Worthington Street in Cambridge. I'm here representing the petitioner, Fraser Allan, owner of 18-20 Fairmont Ave. -- or Fairmont Street.

Olivia, can you pull up the -- the drawings?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Adam, before we actually get into the merits of the case, and we may -- it's entirely up -- give you an option, but there is substantial correspondence and some questioning of the project. There was one that actually came in today at 4:53.

And I was just wondering if you want to continue with the case tonight, or if you want to take a continuance as a case not heard. If it's a case heard, then we'll have to reassemble the same five members and to have discussions
with abutting property owners.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep. We would prefer to present tonight, let the Board hear the merits of this petition, the petitioner and if a continuance is required, we'll certainly consider that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Olivia, can you pull up the files? So 18-20 Fairmont Street, and it's an existing two-family structure. It's outdated, it's in need of substantial improvements and renovations inside and out.

The goal of our project is to enlarge this structure, maintaining it as a two-family creating higherquality, more livable spaces, especially on the third floor, which has extremely, an extremely steep roof pitch. The rooms currently in the attic space aren't really functional or -- as bedrooms or much of anything else.

You know, goals include, you know, a respectful integration into the neighborhood with an architectural style that's present on the street, and to achieve this goal of higher-quality housing.

We're here seeking relief to expand the footprint in the rear modestly to either side, requiring a special
permit and construct a Mansard roof in the front and in the back bump out, also within left and right-side setbacks requiring a special permit. And we'd be increasing our FAR from a 0.74 to a 0.92 , requiring a special permit for existing nonconforming conditions.

Next slide, please?
A street view of the house showing some contextual views. On the left, you can see the -- on the locus plan No. 18 is identified. You can see that compared to other structures on our block and behind us, we're a relatively small footprint.

And enlarging it as we propose does not make it substantially larger than many of our abutting and nearby buildings.

Next slide, please?
Again, on the left an aerial view. The -- the roof right in the middle with that bit of brown siding you can see, that is our property. Just above it, the red houses are our direct abutter on the right. You can see behind us we have some pretty massive three- and four-story triple-decker style structures.

Across the street from us and to the right we have
a four-and-a-half story brick apartment building.
Directly to the left of us two homes down is a mansard structure, similar design to what we're proposing. And of course we've got smaller and medium-sized gable structures in the neighborhood.

My point is that our proposed increase in size will not be out of character with the scale of this neighborhood and the increase in FAR is not inconsistent with this neighborhood. It may not be consistent with every house. But we're certainly within the broad range of scales and sizes that exist around us.

Next slide, please?
Our zoning tables for Residence C: Residence C complies to any new construction in this neighborhood, in this zone that is outside the existing footprint. And we're looking to create an integrated new third floor, an expanded third floor, expanded rear bump outs. So all of this puts us in the Residence $C$ dimensional requirements.

Going from a nonconforming 0.74 to a nonconforming
0.92. In terms of GFA, we would be going from a 3700-square-foot structure to a 4600-square-foot structure. This doesn't include the basements, as the basements are not
included in the FAR and GFA calculations.
The existing per unit size is 1850 square feet.
The proposed unit size is 2300 square feet. Again, not extravagant, not inconsistent with many of the dwellings on
our block in our neighborhood.
Next slide, please?
Oh, actually, no -- not next slide. Let me --
more here to review. Our left and right-side setbacks are both existing nonconforming, no matter how we calculate it, and we calculate it with the single, single-wall calculation, which is the height plus the length divided by -- divided by five.

Whether we use this method or the multiplane method, we're still nonconforming. If we could have made our setbacks conforming, then we would be here seeking far less relief. But we're nonconforming with our setbacks.

Our rear setback is conforming and will remain conforming.

Our building height in this legend is 32.4 ' and our proposed building height is 32.4'. So it remains conforming.

Our ratio of open space is 34 percent existing.

We're proposing 40 percent. And the required is 36 percent, so we're bringing that into conformance.

Next slide, please?
Our setback plans showing that the setbacks run directly through the house. I know some of our neighbors wanted us to calculate our setbacks differently. We've used -- we tried some other methods. We've tried the multiplane method; we tried even other zones. Our setbacks are nonconforming, and that's really can't be disrupted.

So what you see towards the rear of the building in grade is the existing bump out in white and gray to the left. To the right we're proposing to widen the rear bump out by approximately 2.5 feet on either side. We've also got a rear deck, a left-side entry and a right-side exterior entry also on site, also within the setbacks.

We would be dividing the structure between front and back units. Right now it's -- it's configured with a left and a right unit, which makes it extremely narrow and creating front and rear townhouse-style units much more desirable, comfortable livable spaces.

Next slide, please?
Our open space plans showing the removal of
various patios, concrete-covered patio deck, replacing shab.by, left- and right-side yards with more attractive patio entries for both units, squaring off the existing parking spaces to make them a little more attractive and functional and maintaining the front green space, maintaining and improving it.

Next slide, please?
Our FAR plans for the existing house: 1493 square feet on the first floor, 1459 on the second.

Next slide?

More FAR documentation. I think we can come back to this if anyone has questions, but we have an existing 0 . 74 at this time.

Next slide?
Again, proposed FAR widening the back on the first and second floor, but still maintaining the rear façades, or the rear side façades would still be recessed back behind the main house, left and right façades.

Next slide, please?
Additional FAR calc information. You can see on the lower right we're at 0.92 proposed.

Next slide?

Unit sizes are not really pertinent to zoning. We can move on to the next slide.

This documents the percentage of the house that we are demolishing in order to construct the new Mansard roofs. This was for the Historic Commission. We're under 25 percent. No demo delay was required.

Next slide, please?
Additional demo counts from the Historic
Commission.

Next slide, please?
Essentially areas of work and substantial demolition within the building. The structure requires a complete gut of the interior, basically rebuilding it from the inside out. Our undersize framing cracking brought undersized beams and posts, lack of headers, nonconforming stairs.

Next slide, please?
3D views of the existing house. We will be maintaining the front bays, most of the window pattern in front, and will be reconstructing covered entries on the side, consistent with the style of the existing.

You can see towards the back the smaller rear bump
out. That's where the primary expansion of the house is, in addition to the Mansard over the main volume.

Next slide, please?
Additional demo plans.
Next slide?

Additional demo plans. Both main roofs to come out, construct new mansards.

Next slide?

Our demo elevations. We can -- yeah, we can -- we can pass through this, I think. We can keep going. More demo elevations. Here we have the proposed structure of mansard.

I know we've had some negative feedback on the design. You know, we really worked hard to make this an attractive traditional style building. We'd be restoring the original clapboard wood siding, the original -restoring original-style corner boards, refurbishing the bays, maintaining the rear setbacks between the main volume and the rear volume.

You know, our argument is that this is
proportionally correct. It's consistent with similar style homes in the neighborhood, where we have mansards.

Next slide, please?
Rear views: Both the front unit and the back unit would have attractive covered entries. The rear unit has the benefit of a nice rear deck with another canopy. Again, traditional detailing, proper volumes.

Next slide, please?
Our proposed plans exclude any use of the basement for additional bedrooms. We exclude any future accessory dwelling units. There are no window wells, no exterior stairwells. The basement can only be used as livable space, but not additional density.

On the first-floor, open kitchen living plans for both units are pretty standard for new and substantial renovations of the structures. These days, on the second floor we've got several bedrooms, standard, associated spaces -- closets, laundry.

You keep scrolling on the third floor again: Two bedrooms per unit. You can see towards the back on the right side we have new windows over the stair for headroom, and we do the same thing on the front unit towards the left in the mansard. Most of the new windows actually at this level are four -- are facing the neighbors for stair and
support spaces.
Next slide, please?
Our elevations -- exterior elevations, again
showing the design of the mansard, the windows, the canopies. The existing height to remain the same, no change.

Next slide, please?
I think you get more elevations. The style is consistent around the house. You know, we're careful to center windows, align windows to give this the proper architectural appearance.

Next slide, please?
Our building section just showing the ceiling heights at each level.

Next slide, please?
We can come back to this if there are any questions. Our comparison views, our 3D comparison views: On the left is the existing structure on -- from the front and the left, and on the right is our proposed view.

Again, the roof heights are consistent. The front volume does not become any wider. The rear volume is modestly wider. Most of this line -- is that it? Okay.

Another comparison: Before and after, existing and proposed. While, you know, clearly the style of the house changes at a certain point, it's definitely consistent with homes throughout Cambridge, throughout our neighborhood, even on our block.

Next slide, please?
The last comparison, 3D view. The third-floor windows in the foreground in the back, those are supporting stair head height. The other windows on the first and second floor of the rear bump out are also for support spaces, hallway space, airspace. One of the windows is a dining room.

And I mention this as a transition to address some of the concerns that came in from our abutters.

Is there another slide? Oh, just our site plan. Okay. Believe that's the last slide.

So, you know, now I want to address some of the comments that came in. I know we're going to hear from our neighbors tonight. We had essentially one very intense letter of opposition that was signed and circulated and signed by various abutters to our right -- people from beyond the neighborhood, which is their right. I completely
understand.

A few things I should mention from the start is that, you know, there were some minor human errors in our original application. They were not substantial.

We -- for example, we always call the building height and the architectural set as to remain at 32.4' Our surveyor hadn't updated his proposed information in a timely manner and was calling out a taller roof line.

But of course we'd be held to the dimensions shown in our architectural plans and elevations. There were some thoughts on maybe we did this intentionally for some reason. Of course we did not.

The north arrow on the site plan had been shown incorrectly. That was brought to our attention on Monday this week. That has been corrected and resubmitted but can't be shown here because it came in on Monday.

There were concerns from our direct abutters on the right regarding light, shadow, and privacy. And we understand those concerns. We respect them.

We've made some offers to address them in e-mail correspondence. There's been no response to those offers. We offered then and offer now to reduce the proposed
building height by a foot, which would make it one foot lower than the existing ridgeline.

We offered to make our rear right-side windows all
transoms so the sills would be above head height, and they're hoping that would alleviate the concerns about privacy. I know we stated in our application that there would be no shadows cast. You know, perhaps that language was too strong. I think what I should have said was no in our opinion, meaningful or detrimental shadows cast.

You know, part of what makes this project difficult at this point, as you'll see when the public comment comes, is that we didn't reach out to neighbors as quickly as we should have.

Both Fraser and I have been to this Board. We've done many projects in Cambridge. We're usually spot on with who's doing what with regard to abutter outreach. That I'm I thought he was doing the outreach, he thought $I$ was doing the outreach. March 15 came, and we realized we hadn't done the outreach, so Fraser quickly called our neighbors to our right and our neighbors to the left at 16.

Our neighbor at 16 who Fraser spoke with -- I
forget her name; I apologize for that -- She had no interest
in either opposing or supporting this project.
To our neighbors on the right, I know Ian spoke with -- I'm sorry, Fraser spoke with Ian Ferguson on the fifteenth. I am told Ian said that he knew this was happening. He had received the notice from the city. He had not looked at the plans yet, but he had no issues at that time no questions or concerns. Then again, that was last week on the fifteenth.

At some point, Fraser did e-mail him plans, I think it was the next day, to make sure he had them. Maybe he asked for them, I'm not surgery.

Our neighbors behind No. 22 at No. 24, we -Fraser left a message on the fifteenth. He didn't hear back; he left his number. My information has always been out there as a contact for this project; no one reached out to us. And we realize the onus is on us to be proactive about it. We were a little late to the game, but we did reach out.

We got very little response until the Monday of this week the flood of negative comments in it. And we have addressed all those comments as quickly as we could; material we can't present tonight, because it came in, you
know, the comments came in on Monday. We weren't able to get the shadow studies produced until a Tuesday fixing the north arrow in the site plan, a few other wrinkles.

I wish I could show you the shadow studies tonight. They're -- the shadows cast are very brief for a very limited amount of time during the equinox. You know, we're talking about a $1.5-h o u r$ window at one equinox and a two-hour window at another. It's very brief.

So we've offered to reduce the height by a foot and to address that concern as well as modify the windows on the right side. No one has taken us up on that offer or asked us for something in particular.

We know there's a lot of negative feedback. And I think I'd like the Board to evaluate the project on its merits. And I'll be happy to answer and respond to as many of the comments that come up as I can.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, let me chime in. I think that the building obviously needs a transformation. I think the plan that is before us is a nice plan, a nice transformation, repurposing of the structure, except for the back addition, which is what I hesitate, and I've been to that site as actually even this afternoon around noontime.

I walked it again just to get a better sense of, you know, the effect it has.

And I refer to the letter from Emily Holman raising a number of issues. The pictures that are shown and the effect that that back addition will have on the adjoining property $I$ think is valid, and I think it's substantial.

And there is an awful lot of correspondence -some of it has come in lately, and I'm not sure if the Board has reviewed all of it -- I don't know how it could have, because I know I didn't.

And I guess my thought in trying to make some sense of the -- you know, what you've sulmitted, the dimensional form that was submitted on February 17 with the application is not accurate, is that a question?

ADAM GLASSMAN: No, it is accurate. There are no -- there are no errors in the form that I'm aware of at all.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the one that you sent it with the application? Okay.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Unless it says we're proposing to
raise the building height, I'm not sure. But the building height remains the same.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Except that you are putting an addition on the back, which is raising that?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Correct, correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, but.
ADAM GLASSMAN: We raise the roof.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right, but.
ADAM GLASSMAN: We raise the roof in the back.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But the high point is not changing?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Exactly.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And again, I think that there is substantial opposition here, and so, then you say, well, you know, what is the opposition all about? and what have you, and there's a tremendous amount of correspondence that -- again, is trying to decipher, go through it all.

But -- and again, I will recite what I've said many times in the past: You may have heard it, the Board has heard it ad infinitum, but the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court has stated repeatedly that the power to vary the application of the zoning ordinance must be sparingly
exercised, and only in rare instances and under exceptional circumstances peculiar in their nature, and with due regard to the main purpose of the zoning ordinance is to preserve the property rights of others.

And I would offer that the abutting properties are asking that their property rights be upheld. So that's my comment.

Jim Monteverde, any thoughts, questions?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree with the comments from
the Chair. And likewise, I have not seen the latest correspondence that came in.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea Hickey, any thoughts, comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. I agree with your comments,
Mr. Chair. I have nothing really to add.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes. Again, I agree with your comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?
SLATER ANDERSON: Yeah, I've -- would concur. I agree with your comments. I think, you know, that it's the appropriateness for the location of the project. And, you
know, we could go back to the Pleasant Street project we had earlier.

You know, that was a six-unit, 40-foot building, but it was appropriate to the location. This is a residence in a tight, densely-settled street looking to increase an already nonconforming structure by, you know, 20-30 percent in size.

And, you know, the light impacts I think are legitimate -- the privacy impacts are legitimate. And I just -- I don't think it's the appropriate project for the location.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public
comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes in which to speak. And I would ask that you limit your comments to be concise and within the three minutes.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Sandra Ferguson?
SANDRA FERGUSON: Yeah, good evening, Mr. Chair,
the Board and also the entire Board. Thank you so much for spending time on this project tonight and for all the work that you do for our community.

Yeah, my name is Sandra Ferguson. I'm one of the abutters. My husband Ian Ferguson is also on the call. We live at 22 Fremont Street. And $I$ just want to start off with that $I$ really loved what you said, Mr. Chair. You know, this building really does need some love.

And so, we were genuinely excited to see that, you know, it would go into a process of being renovated, which I think it really needs.

And so, we were really hoping that, you know, we would have great neighbors there and an additional another family. You know, there's a lot of children on this street, so we all, you know, get to know each other and are looking forward to new neighbors.

I guess the couple comments that I would like to make today is first off, I wish that Adam, you would have agreed to push this hearing tonight. I feel like this was very hasty, and it didn't actually really give the Board a lot of, you know, opportunity to read everything.

We're also, between jobs and children, trying to
make sure, you know, that we respond and kind of communicate. I agree with you, it would have been great to see the shadow study today.

And I -- one of the things that I feel upset about in this process is just that the original permit application really stated that there was no loss of privacy, no creation of any substantial shadows, and that the scale and character of the house will remain UNC, as if this were a really small ask. And I just don't think that's true.

And, you know, we bought our house, and you talk about our property rights; you know, we bought our house because we love the light. And what often is not shown there is actually a deck on a house that abuts directly to that other building.

And it's great to show shadow studies that have like a snapshot, but $I$ found it and ran a Smart City company that was local to Cambridge as the CEO, and I've done a lot of solar studies, because we made solar products for smart cities.

And it's really about the hours of sunlight that you get. And that will be really reduced with the proposed project. So I think that is really a substantial piece to
what we oppose to.
There's also the loss of privacy that, in
particular our neighbors will be facing. Suddenly three windows going up. I mean, there's basically two stories being added across from their children's bedrooms. And I think Emily will also take her three minutes. So thank you for letting us speak.

So -- and yes, the proposed transom windows to address privacy concerns, but we haven't really seen an elevation. Like, this is all, obviously, going very quickly again. Like, I wish we would have just pushed this to the next meeting so that we would have also more of a chance to review plans.

And yeah, we need a little bit more time also to review things. But I can already say looking at the light study, there will be a substantial change to us.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. All right. Thank you. Nobody else calling in.

Emily?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Emily Holman?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Emily Holman?

HASSANALY LADHA: Hello. Can you hear me?
Or is that Emily?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

HASSANALY LADHA: Oh, okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If you would introduce yourself

HASSANALY LADHA: I don't know why --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- for the record.

HASSANALY LADHA: [Laughter] this is actually Emily
Holman's husband. I'm not sure my computer is not cooperating. So I've been in Africa. I just landed at Logan, and I'm between the gate and passport control.

THE REPORTER: Could you state your name for the record, please?

HASSANALY LADHA: So I did get a message at the end of last week, I think it was Friday, from Fraser. And it was sort of this comment that -- or sometime last week, you know, oh "We're just squaring off the house doing a few things." It didn't sound like anything I needed to jump on.

But when we did, it was, you know, we dug into it, we found that they're increasing the volume by a staggering amount for a house that's already 20 percent bigger than all
the houses are -- you know, than the houses certainly to -on Fairmont Street to the left and the right.

I think the Board had already mentioned a lot of the criticism -- a lot of these criticisms, and I don't want to sort of reiterate any, you know, things that have already -- already been said.

But I would -- I would just like to add that a lot of these, a lot of the claims that applicant is making, or the architect is making about the original forms are really -- are really not true.

There's a lot of obfuscation, and there's a lot of -- you know, the setback calculations, you know, even the multiplane formula that he used, which we got yesterday, we find it erroneous because he's not using -- he's using a maximum height across the entire single plane, which is not what the study should do.

He mentions these, that we've not responded to his offers, but they came in last night. You know, so -- you know, there's a lot -- I mean, it's very hard for us to sort of view all this as anything in the realm of -- of good faith. We've sort of, you know, the bottom line is that the impact on, on light in the area is significant.

On privacy, there are -- you know, this light study that was sent yesterday is, again, you know, it doesn't show -- it doesn't show 9:00, 12: 00 and 3:00. It shows 11:00. What happens before 11:00 am.

I mean, there's just -- you know, I the applicant seems to be -- you know, in all our experience in Cambridge, and we've lived here 20 some years, it seems to -- you know, not respectful at all of, you know, of neighbors that have some familiarity with the code.

There's no -- there's no way that a 6100 squarefoot two-family house is typical. And certainly not the houses around us -- are $20-24,26,28,16,15$. Immediate houses on Fremont Street are all around a 0.6 FAR, not 20 --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Okay. Great.
Thank you. Thank you for calling in.
HASSANALY LADHA: Yeah.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Ian Ferguson?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Three minutes, Ian.
IAN FERGUSON: Hello? Okay. Hello, everyone. Hello, hello, BZA Board. And thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak here. My wife and neighbor have just given a good take on this. Just to emphasize sort of the
key points, it's definitely -- definitely on the light, and sort of the impacts the structure will have on our morning light, which is definitely something I value every single day.

I also just want to -- yeah, so I just basically want to reemphasize that, and then I also just want to, you know, emphasize that this is going to be sort of like a block-like building, almost like a wall running our -- the full length, which right now has a bit of character to it and is a little bit more interesting than what we -- than what I believe will be in these current plans, and just sort of create a precedent for these sort of large block houses on our block.

Yeah. And so, I don't think I need to go and emphasize all these things much further, but I just wanted to -- you know, just sort of bring these up again, because I think they're really harmful.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Christian Grippo?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Three minutes.
CHRISTIAN GRIPPO: Thank you. Can you hear me?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Yes.
CHRISTIAN GRAPPO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I'm a longtime Cambridgeport resident. And yeah, I object to this project. According to the applicant's dimensions, he's complying with C-1 GFA, and I believe he cannot increase the GFA without a variant.

Also wanted to say that he proposes an FAR of 0.94 and when most of the properties are around 0.6. So he's seeking more than 50 percent than what is allowed in our district. And he already has 0.74 FAR.

And so, he wants to go from a 5000-square-foot with a finished basement on a 5000-sqaure-feet lot. And so, he wants even more than that, making a 6100-square-feet twofamily.

And this is not typical from Cambridgeport. And I think it really creates a detriment to the abutters. And he's already increasing our volume that on a 0.74 house in a C district by the more than 44 percent.

So the numbers are huge. So I think this sets a serious negative precedent in the Cambridgeport, and I -- it would mean that anybody with a nonconforming house could be up to, you know, house of 50 percent bigger than what the
code requires in the neighborhood. And I don't think that's the intent of the code.

And again, I think this was also mentioned before: They presented the petition as if it was a small request, but this is a huge, yeah, request. And with a huge impact. So I urge the Board to reject this petition and avoid setting this trouble precedent for Cambridge.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you very much, Christian.
CHRISTIAN GRAPPO: Have a good night.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Another Emily Holman.
EMILY HOLMAN: Hi. Sorry. I've been having trouble getting the button to push. Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board for, you know, taking into consideration the concerns that we expressed in our correspondence.

And I mean, we're super open to talking about these things, it's just this has all come so fast. And there really just hasn't been a chance. And the way that -anyway, these offers have come so late. Like, it just hasn't been kind of open in the same way that Adam's kind of -- maybe I feel -- it hasn't felt that way to me in the way
he's characterizing it.
So anyway, but, you know, I think the main point is that this characterization again of like a minor, "Oh, it's just a couple of hours of light in the morning" if that's even it, I mean in the pictures -- and I've been kind of monitoring and everything -- you know, over these last days trying to sort of get my brain around it.

It's like all the morning light until, you know, 10:00 or 11:00, which is like the whole morning. And it's like washing the dishes light. It's, you know, my 3-yearold playing on the carpet light. It's -- it's not a joke. And it's like what makes our house a home. And it's just -it'll completely change the whole character of the house when we lose that.

And it's also that it's having -- I have two teens as well. Like, getting the 3-year-old up in the morning, getting the 7 -- the, you know, 15-year-old for high school. Like, you need that more light, not just at, like, 10:00 you need it at -- anyway, all the earlier hours too. So I mean Sandra kind of mentioned that. But --

And I mean I think a lot of this stuff has already been expressed in the correspondence. But, you know, there
is all this -- also this potential issue with possibly needing a variance for the kinds of requests he's making because I think with the 0.74 FAR , he is compliant in the C 1 district.

And, you know, that would mean that he would need to -- any increase in floor area or units or whatever it needs to be within the limits of the existing structure. I'm pretty sure that's how the [indiscernible] goes. So -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.

EMILY HOLMAN: -- something else to just be aware of. But thank you so much for --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
EMILY HOLMAN: -- considering our comments.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Emily. Okay. That is the end of people calling in. There is quite a substantial amount of correspondence back and forth from the petitioner and abutting property owners and other concerned citizens.

Is it the sense of the Board that this matter should be continued? Jim Monteverde? Andrea? Matina? Slater? Anybody agree with that assessment?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I do. I agree.

MATINA WILLIAMS: I agree as well.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.
SLATER ANDERSON: Well, I -- okay, I mean the applicant requested to have this heard tonight. So I'm ready to go for a vote on this thing.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay. I concede.
ANDREA HICKEY: I agree with Slater. The applicant knew there was opposition and chose to proceed. So I think we should go to a vote.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Unless the petitioner asks for a continuance, which is a courtesy that the Board does extend. So Adam, I turn it back to you. Either --

ADAM GLASSMAN: I'm definitely -- I would definitely request a continuance. We have started brainstorming on how to redesign the rear roof to bring this down, you know, when $I$ heard where this was going.

So we respectfully request a continuance. We'll see if we can try to come up with something more appealing to us neighbors. I'm sorry they felt that this was rushed. I mean, everyone was able to log in tonight, was able to -you know, they received a notice, it's not like the plans have been hidden. You know, we -- we shared them on our own
a little late, but they've always been available.
And our offers to produce the [connection interference] and the roof height they came late because all of the opposition came in on one day, just happened to come in on the day that would make it impossible for us to get our responses, our shadow studies into the --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- into the file for the presentation.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ADAM GLASSMAN: That being what it is, we would like to continue.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Which goes back to my original statement, whether or not you really wanted to go forward.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, I wanted the Board to hear the merits of it. I -- you know, I think --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Other than to get a sense of the Board, which it appears that there's a high hurdle here to cross on this particular project. So --

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Members of the Board, can we
extend the courtesy, then, to continue this matter one more time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I would say yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. There are two dates:
Either May 11 or May 25. That's available. It's a case heard, so I would ask members of the Board: Jim, Andrea, Matina, Slater, are you available on May? Let me ask you this: If you are not available on either May 11 or May 25? ANDREA HICKEY: I am not available on May 25. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. ADAM GLASSMAN: May 11 suits us, Mr. Chair?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Is everybody -- Jim, Andrea, Matina, Slater available on May 11? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, I am. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to May 11, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 11, 2023 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

That the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing and a decision to be rendered thereof into the statutory requirement. Such waiver shall
be signed and returned to the Staff no later than 5:00 p.m. one week from tonight, next Thursday.

Any new submittals not currently in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 11 hearing.

That any -- again, dimensional form, supporting statements and drawings...

Any other conditions, members of the Board? No. So on the motion, then, to continue this matter to May 11, 2023 at 6:00 p.m., Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In fact.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes. This
matter is continued to May 11.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you.
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BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. I think we have one more case.
(8:27 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Slater W. Anderson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: the Board will hear Case No. 211399 -- 27 Ware Street. Elizabeth O'Neil or Matthew Simitis?

MATTHEW SIMITIS: Yes. Hello.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matthew?
MATTHEW SIMITIS: My name is Matt Simitis. I am the architect for the project, the proposed project at 27 Ware Street. In short, we're proposing a deck at the rear of the property. If we could go to -- yeah, actually that is a very good place to start. I was hoping I could start with the site plan.

What you can see, Ware is -- 27 Ware Street is part of row of Hardy Wall construction homes. The front yards are all fairly minimal, with just room for stairs up to the raised first-floor, small, front yard area.

The rear of all of them actually backs up to the side of the Broadway Market. And you can see that at the
top. It's beyond the property line, the thick property line.

The hatched line at the back is the side of the building. And the first few homes of the row actually have what's noted as a passageway in common. So that is basically an alley to provide access to the rear of the properties where cars are typically stored.

So paved rear yard, very little outdoor space, obviously. And the owner is hoping to find and away to get some outdoor space for summer months, and would like to do that with a deck off of the first floor, so at that raised height, and at a height that would allow a car that is currently parked it the nook that's red.

The red area is currently where a car is parked. And the hope is that that red area would become a deck that would allow a car to be parked underneath.

The blue area in this drawing is the existing stair to grade from the back of the house, which would be removed and replaced -- the, you know, a new stair would be built as part of the new deck.

If we could -- it makes sense to go to the plans if we could. Yes, thank you.

So on the left you see the existing floor plan.
At the back there is this door that would be closed off, if the right side is the proposed. So the existing door would be closed off and become a pantry to the kitchen tin interior.

And then in the proposed you see an existing window would be opened up to become a full-height door or would be extended to the floor, I should say, to create a door.

And the initial three feet of the deck off of that wall was actually set at a height that would then go down to grade, and there would be one step higher -- that three feet distance that I mentioned -- that would go up one step.

The thinking is that that higher step where -that would be occupied as the deck, the actual seating area, -- would facilitate car parking and the height required fora car below the lower area keeps it closer to the height of the first floor of the house and could be used for trash and recycling and composting containers below, but not high enough for a car.

The issue that we're facing is that because of the nature of the construction of the homes and the tight, tight
to the property line basically, that there is no way to get both the outdoor space and a car in that area without extending very close to the property line.

We're -- if we could go up to page, well it would be the chart, the Zoning Chart, excuse me. I think in the initial documents page 4. Okay, there you go. So as you can see, there's almost no change requested, except for the increase to the useable open space because of the added deck, obviously.

But -- but we are proposing to go essentially to just short of the property line to allow for a footing to support the deck itself.

And I guess the last -- if we could go to the photographs, that would be helpful. There we go.

So just a quick overview. The street façade on the left, top left: The -- an overview of the rear yard top right. And you can see the area where a car is often parked a little closer in on the bottom left. And then the sort of looking down the sort of property line, the party wall
separating the two properties between 29 and 27.
But really, the area of interest is that bottom left photo I would say, or top right bottom left.

And that sort of summar-- I mean, that's the introduction.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: I guess a clarification from
maybe the Chair: So building this deck, it's not creating
more GFA by covering a -- I know it's covering the ground, I guess, but that's not a thing?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Um --
SLATER ANDERSON: Is it because it's six feet, because it's too low?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, I'm not sure about that, Slater.

MATTHEW SIMITIS: If I may -- my --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- focus --

MATTHEW SIMITIS: -- sorry. Go ahead. Sorry.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think the -- the sense of the department in reviewing this was that it did not; that the violation really was the setbacks.

MATTHEW SIMITIS: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And because of the rowhouse/townhouse type of configuration there, so that -MATTHEW SIMITIS: They made --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- so that would be the only violation requiring a variance.

MATTHEW SIMITIS: May I chime in with that?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
MATTHEW SIMITIS: Sorry. The -- one of the conversations that I had with Inspectional Services was about the required -- or the -- I'm trying to think of the right word, but basically the one parking spot is allowed. And so, the square footage of 180 square feet that is covered is not counted anyway.

SLATER ANDERSON: Okay. Okay. That's fair. And I -- as I think about it, you -- with the six-foot height of the deck. That's too low to be GFA anyways too.

So all good. No other questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Okay. Let me open it to
the public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
Nobody calling in. We are in receipt of communication from the Mid-Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission regarding 27 Ware Street.
"The Mid-Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission hereby certifies that the work described below does not involve any activity requiring issuance of a building Certificate of Appropriateness or hardship.
"Construct the deck and stairs, replace windows with entry door in rear of property. It is not visible from the public way."

And the date of certificate is February 23, 2023. And that is the sum and substance of the correspondence. If there's no further questions, ready for a motion?

Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Chair, can I ask a quick question?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Did -- you have been in contact with the neighbor -- I assume the deck will butt up against the fence that demises this lot from the adjacent?

MATTHEW SIMITIS: That is a good question. I -I'm sorry I didn't bring that up sooner. So we have reached out to the neighbors. We've spoken to most of the neighbors.

But unfortunately, the most immediate neighboring that, you know, should there be -- I don't think there would be, but should there be any impact, the direct neighbor at 25 is a rental property, and we were not able to get a response from the owner of that property.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, it's Andrea Hickey speaking.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Remembering a case we had at our last meeting where someone had a pergola that they were proposing to cover and have parking underneath. And it seems to me that's what's being requested here is sort of that same result.

And so, I'm just thinking out loud: Our
objections in that prior pergola case, which we did not approve, really was trying to create a carport. And isn't that what's being created here?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I don't know. I think that there is a difference -- the pergola, obviously, is going to have a roof structure over it, a permanent roof structure. This is going to have a deck over it.

And I'm not sure if the height then triggers. Because I think the pergola in question was over the 7'. And so, that would then create FAR and it's within the side yard setback. If I -- correctly.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. But I think what's being proposed here is within the setback, correct?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It's within the setback, and that's what's triggering the relief. So any structure above the 4' would require -- within a side yard setback -- would require relief by way of a variance.

And your question whether or not, I think the department felt that it was not creating any additional FAR, so hence that would be the difference between the -- the previous application for that pergola.

ANDREA HICKEY: And that's because of the height of the proposed deck?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I believe it was because of the height of the proposal.

SLATER ANDERSON: Well, and $I$ was on that case as well. There were two other factors. One was the neighbor was significantly opposed that the pergola, which was apparently probably built illegally. And that was the second point, that $I$ don't think it had a permit when it was originally constructed. So this is sort of a different set of facts.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. If height is what makes this not the same, then I'm okay with this.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I think they've -again, the Staff has reviewed it, and they felt that the only violation really was the setback requirement and not that it was adding any FAR, which the pergola was.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. If this was adding FAR, I can say I would be opposed to it. But if it not, then $I$ am not.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. In furtherance of the pergola -- just another 45 seconds here -- I remember when
that was built, because we were doing a project in the next house over. And I questioned how they were able to build the pergola. And it's the fact that the structural members were at least four feet apart and open to the sky, not enclosed.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And so, consequently that was -

- they were able to do that without any relief from the Board. What -- what's happened was after they -- that was done, the homeowner then put some Plexiglass on top of it. ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Right.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- to keep the elements out. And that's what sort of triggered the bad behavior. And that's what triggered the nonconformity. So it was -- it was built as a pergola for vines and whatever. But then, it took the step over the line by putting a --

ANDREA HICKEY: A roof. Some sort of roof --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- a roof on it --
ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- basically, out of
Plexiglass. So anyhow.
ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Well, if the Building

Department has sort of deemed this not an FAR contributor, then that works for me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
ANDREA HICKEY: I'll rely on that.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, you raise a good question, as usual. The Board is very attentive. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested under 8.22.3, which requires a nonconforming structure, and the relief requires a variance.

The Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship because it would preclude the petitioner from creating this deck area, which provides much-needed open space, of which there is none now, and especially in this time of COVID where outdoor space -- usable outdoor space, sitting area, is much desirable, and can be a benefit to health.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the shape of the lot, the layout and the row-style housing on it, which creates the fact of having no side yard setbacks from property to property, and hence any addition -- albeit this, which the Board finds is a fair and reasonable request
to provide outdoor space would trigger relief from this Board because it cannot provide any outdoor space because of the nature of the structure.

Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and the fact that the proposal will have some benefit to the property owner to be able to sit outdoor seating.

That desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow by way of discretion of this Board to allow appropriate development, create some much-needed outdoor space, which is a health benefit to any occupant of the structure -- anybody who uses that. And as such, the Board finds that the relief being granted is warranted.

On the motion, then, to grant the variance as per the application and as per the work must comply with the drawings signed and dated by the Chair: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]

On the five affirmative votes, the variance is granted.

MATTHEW SIMITIS: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Matthew. And that is a wrap for tonight. Thank you all. As usual, excellent. ANDREA HICKEY: Well done, Mr. Chair.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, thank you. Thank you for
letting me be part of it. Goodnight, stay well.
[9:33 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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