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PROCEED N GS
(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Welcome to the April 13, 2023 meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals. My name is Brendan Sullivan, and I am Chair for tonight's meeting.

This meeting is being held remotely, due to the statewide emergency orders limiting the size of public gatherings in response to COVID-19, and in accordance with Governor Charles D. Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 temporarily amending certain requirements of the Open Meeting Law; as well as the City of Cambridge temporary emergency restrictions on city public meetings, city events, and city permitted events, due to COVID-19, dated May 27, 2020 .

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers, and at the discretion of the Chair.

I'll start by asking the Staff to take Board
members attendance and verify that all members are audible.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Here.
OLIVIA RATAY: And Brendan Sullivan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan is here.
(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Laura Wernick BRENDAN SULLIVAN: First case I'm going to call tonight will be Case No. 154869 on the Continued Agenda, 43 Reservoir Street. Anybody interested that wishes to comment?

We are in receipt of communications from Sam Kachmar, SKA Architects.
"I, Sam Kachmar, am writing on behalf of my client Dan and Elizabeth Sterner, to request a six-month extension on their special permit for the carriage house at their property at 43 Reservoir Street.
"Our clients have not been able to start work on their project due to extraneous circumstances. I respectfully request this extension to allow them additional time to begin construction.
"Thank you for your time. We are available to answer any questions or concerns at your convenience.
"Respectfully,
"Sam Kachmar."

I'll make a motion, then, to assent to the agreement to extend this for a period of six months starting on May 5, 2023 and ending on November 4, 2023. Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; this matter is continued, extended for a period of six months and November 4, 2023.
(6:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Wendy Leiserson, Jim Monteverde, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case I will call is BZA 168852 -- 35 Webster Avenue. Mr. Anderson?

DAN ANDERSON: Just a moment while I get off with -- good evening, Chair. Dan Anderson, a Partner at Anderson Porter Design. If I could, we are requesting a continuance on BZA 208873 for Third Street.

We had hoped to be in front of Cambridge Historic on a demolition matter for this case and are still probably going back to ECPT after some City neighborhood presentations. So if it so please the Board, we would like to request a continuance on that.

And if I may, we also have another case on tonight's agenda of 48 Winter Street, which also is a written request for a continuance on it, if you'd like to take those together.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Dan will you be -- shall I just read that into the record, or will you be present for the Registry continuance?

DAN ANDERSON: Let's see.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You don't have to be.

DAN ANDERSON: If you would excuse me, I would --

I'll stay on for a little while, but I probably won't make it until that point. So --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

DAN ANDERSON: If you can read it into the record, I'd appreciate it. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Okay. Fine. All right.
On the motion, then, to withdraw it as per the request, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I have a conflict in this matter.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm out that day.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's all right. Laura
Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
[Pause]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: We have the four affirmative votes. So Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]
So four affirmative votes; the motion to withdraw
is accepted.
DAN ANDERSON: Excuse me, not a withdrawal, sir?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The withdrawal, yes. It's
withdrawn.

DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Chair?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.

DAN ANDERSON: I thought that was a request for a continuance, not to withdraw?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I got the Anderson cases all mixed up here.

DAN ANDERSON: I have a lot in front of me. I apologize.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: This says, "Third Street", I'm sorry. Okay. All right.

DAN ANDERSON: Yeah. I'm -- and I'm -- I am --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So I'm sorry. So
we are withdrawn -- Webster -- and I can only call Third Street.

DAN ANDERSON: If you need me, I'm happy to stay on.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. It'll be -- yeah, if you
could just stay on, I will do that one next --
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Wendy
Leiserson. I'm a little confused. Because the documents I have say that 35 Webster is being withdrawn. But then I heard Mr. Anderson say he wants a continuance.

Are we on the same case? Could you just read the Case Number again that we're talking about?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So, Dan, on Webster Avenue, you are withdrawing that?

DAN ANDERSON: That has been officially withdrawn by --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DAN ANDERSON: -- by letter, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So that was the motion that $I$ just made. And I have four affirmative votes to withdraw that. So --

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. I stand by that vote,
thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that matter is withdrawn.
All right.
(6:08 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea Wendy Leiserson, and Laura Wernick

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Now I will call the next case, which will be 231-235 Third Street, which is a request to continue this matter. And reading your letter, we go to Historical after May 4. So we have May 25 that's available. It is a case not heard.

Are you available on May 25?
DAN ANDERSON: I believe that we would like to have a little bit longer. If we go into -- actually -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No.

DAN ANDERSON: No, May 25 will work.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. So on the motion, then, to continue this matter, Case No. 208873 --231-235 Third Street, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Accepts.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
LAURA WERNICK: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Matina Williams?
[Pause]
Brendan Sullivan in favor of the continuance.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Four affirmative votes; this matter is continued until May 25, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 25, 2023 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

That any further changes to the documents that are in the file now be submitted and in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 25, 2023 hearing. Anything else, I guess? No? Okay. So --

LAURA WERNICK: Mr. Chair?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
LAURA WERNICK: I don't think I'm on any of the other continuing cases, and I wasn't going to sit for -- and I'm not planning -- I can't sit this evening. But I understand that you have a -- you're short a member for the continuing cases, at least? Should I stay on for --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I -- are you, your scheduled for the evening anyhow, Laura, are you not?

LAURA WERNICK: No, I'm not.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You are not?
LAURA WERNICK: No. Just for the continuing
cases. And I think -- I didn't hear any of the other -- I wasn't in on any of the continuing cases, in all honesty. So I really shouldn't be hearing those now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. I'm having difficulty understanding. What's she saying?

Yeah. All right. So Laura, what we have is myself, Jim, Andrea, yourself, and Wendy sitting for the rest of the evening. Is that --

LAURA WERNICK: I had said that I cannot -- I had said that I could not make this evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh.

LAURA WERNICK: That is Jim -- be on the continuing case.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh. We maybe have a problem.
WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah, Laura, you're on the 401 Concord, right?

LAURA WERNICK: The 401 Concord was the continuing case that I -- I thought, yeah. I'm sorry, that's the one I need to be on.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah. We're still -- all right, which one are we on? We're doing this out of order. I think that's what's causing the confusion; it's out of order of the docket.

LAURA WERNICK: Yeah.
WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me just dispose of Third Street. So having received four affirmative votes, Third Street is continued until May 25, 2023.

LAURA WERNICK: Okay.
(6:11 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Laura Wernick, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case we will hear -and again, it's myself, Jim Monteverde, Matina, Wendy, and Andrea Hickey sitting on 130 Cushing Street, correct?

WENDY LEISERSON: That's what I've got, Mr. Chair. It's Wendy.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I don't see Matina on the panel.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, I -- we're trying to reach her.

ANDREA HICKEY: All of you are present for 401 Concord Avenue, however. No, Matina is on that case, I believe.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She's on.

WENDY LEISERSON: Oh, she's on that one too?

Okay. Sorry. I'll be quiet.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So we're going to go to Cushing Street.

WENDY LEISERSON: Or to -- okay. I was going to say 255 Grove has not been heard yet, if you want to wait for Matina.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. Mr. Chair, Matina is on Cushing Street. So I think we should wait to see if she can be located and perhaps accept Wendy's idea that we move forward --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: She said she'll be on --
ANDREA HICKEY: -- on Grove.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. She's -- all right. Let's go to Grove Street, then, until Matina logs in. So this is a case not heard. So I have myself, Jim Monteverde, Wendy, Andrea Hickey, and Laura Wernick, is that correct? Laura, you're on this?

ANDREA HICKEY: If Laura is available.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura, are you available for this one?
[Pause]
I think Laura -- we're very having difficulty here tonight.
LAURA WERNICK: Yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, Laura, you're here for --
on Grove Street?
[Pause]
All right. Let me call Case No. 205192 -- 255
Grove Street. Mr. Linn?
ROBERT LINN: Yes. Oh, I'm sorry. I wasn't sure you were ready for me to speak. This is Robert Linn from Moskow Linn Architects. And I am presenting with -- today with the owner, Duncan MacArthur.

We actually started this project back in 2021, and we designed a conforming accessory unit that we -- that was going to be used as a multipurpose flex room that was positioned to the north of the house in the yard but was -it met all of the requirements of the -- of the zoning bylaws, and we were permitted for that project in the early part of 2021.

Prior to building, Duncan had some interactions with his neighbors, who were very concerned -- their neighbors to the left at 265 Grove -- that the structure that we were planning to build was going to be blocking the view.

And as I'm sure those of you who know Grove Street, it's a very unusual street in that, you know, it's
against a busy road, which is right on the edge of Cambridge. But the street has beautiful views out to the pond and over the golf course. So the view is really one of the things that make it very special.

So, Duncan being sensitive to that actually asked us then to go back to the drawing board and try to design something that would satisfy the needs that he was looking for to have his house have this multipurpose space and office space, but that would also preserve the views for his neighbors and in that case, the neighbors on both sides and his own view, for that matter.

So we tried many, many options that were expanding
out into the yard. And it's a -- in this particular district, we have an FAR of 0.5. So it's not -- FAR is not a problem. The yards are all over 10,000 square feet. So there's no issue with open space.

But the -- you know, the obvious answer would be to build something in the back yard, but anything that we came up with was -- that was going to expand it that would be conforming was in some ways interfering with the view plane of either the neighbors at 265 or 245 .

So the project that we have before you shows an
addition of this multipurpose room, a single-story multipurpose room on the right side, which is pulled back to allow for no obstruction of views from either the MacArthurs' house or from 245 on the right. But it does impose significantly on the setback on the rights.

So it's -- you're asking for, you know, the ordinance requires minimum 10 , sum of 25 ; we're asking for $I$ believe it's 1.7 on that side.

And then in kind of working through this with the neighbors, we submitted several weeks ago and we -- as the Board knows, we've asked for multiple continuances, because we've been trying to work with the neighbors to understand kind of how to modify this to work for everyone.

In the original submission, we had a pool that was
-- by right -- that was on the right, that was going to be on the right side, which in the newer submission we've removed per their request.

And then on the left side, we've added a little bit of a bump-out that also imposes on the setback that goes into nine feet, at 265, on 265 Grove side.

And Duncan had multiple conversations with those neighbors. And I believe they've submitted, recently, a
letter of support in that what we're showing now is pulled back enough that it doesn't impose on their view and doesn't impose on this marginal tree that's kind of straddling between their two properties.

So long and the short of it is we've -- we're before the Board because we're asking to have a variance to allow us to build in the setbacks, but we're doing so to not sort of diminish the wonderful quality of Grove Street for all of the people, the MacArthurs included, blocking their views out to the golf course into Fresh Pond.

And finally, on both sides, the house on the right side has a garage that's adjacent to the $1^{\prime}$ or $1.7^{\prime}$ setback, and on the left side it's facing their garage as well.

So being so close to the property lines is not going to be imposing on any of their, like, privacy issues. Because it's just abutting or close to what is really their garage space.

So that is the -- that is what's before you today.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. My first glance at this is that the -- that $1.7^{\prime}$ setback is $I$ think -- to me it's a little bit extreme ask, because, you know, what if the neighbor on the right wanted to put a two-car garage in?

Does that mean that the -- you know, then we've got to really reduce the amount of space between structures? But anyhow, we'll see how it goes.

Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments at this time?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Comments: I've just been looking at the plans I wasn't comfortable with, and I think that the orientation is right, but facing the house and the setback to the right, the one that Mr . Sullivan just discussed: I similarly am uncomfortable with that, cutting that down to that one-foot-seven dimension, or 1.7' dimension.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any questions, comments of the petitioner?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes. So I would applaud the petitioner and his representative for making concessions and efforts with the neighbors, with respect to their concerns about view. But I remain concerned with the 1.7 ' setback on the side, as shown.

So I share your comments, Mr. Chair, as well as that of Mr. Monteverde.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

ANDREA HICKEY: That's all I have.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, any questions
or comments at this time?

WENDY LEISERSON: No further comments, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Laura Wernick, any?
WENDY LEISERSON: No. No further comments. I would agree with my colleagues about the concerns that have been expressed. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Andrew Plumb?
ANDREW PLUMB: I did not have a comment for this. You can -- sorry about that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There seems to be nobody else calling in. We are in receipt of communication from Eduardo Berlin.
"I support Mr. MacArthur's application for the
renovation of his home at Grove Street. I live two doors from him at 267 Grove Street and have reviewed the proposed project sent to me months ago by the applicant.
"Kind regards,
"Eduardo."

There is communication from Amy Nadel -- N-a-d-e-
l. "We are writing regarding the variance, Case No. 205192
requested by our neighbors, Duncan and Diana MacArthur at 255 Grove Street.
"They shared their plans with us some weeks ago, and they have responded positively and expeditiously to our questions and concerns, including our concern about the large maple, which will straddle both of our properties. We have no opposition to their plans.
"Ben Wilson and Amy Nadel."
And that is the sum and substance of any
correspondence. All right. So I will close the public comment part. Rob, any comments --

ROBERT LINN: No.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- regarding what the Board may have said, or --

ROBERT LINN: Yes. I mean, I do understand that
is a very -- that's a very significant decrease in the setback to the right.

But because the -- because the garage I think, right, currently is a detached garage and is only five feet from the property line on that side, it seems unlikely that they would ever -- I mean, they couldn't expect -- if they were to make it a two-car garage, they'd have to attach it to the house so it would be building -- you know, away from our -- from 255's property.

They have looked at the this, and the significant comment in their concern was the pool, not the -- the setback was never the concern that I understood, and from my -- from what I was told.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And, you know, what about the precedent -- you know, up and down Grove Street? That's only one side of Grove Street; the other side is Belmont, but if -- you know, other people wanted to expand and go to, you know, less than two feet from their property line and then the -- keeps sort of pointing towards 255 Grove Street if, you know, the Board granted that and the legal hurdle that we felt they crossed and met, you know, that sort of weighs in some of our decision too is precedent
up and down that street.
So it's a big ask from that, and I don't know how else they can be -- I think you've been wrestling with this probably for months.

ROBERT LINN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And, as Andrea said, you know, you have been accommodating to other comments by abutting properties. Maybe have accommodated it to a certain extent, but it's a big ask, I think, to this Board.

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Can I make a comment as the owner?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure. Yeah. If you introduce yourself for the record.

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Hi. I'm Duncan MacArthur. I'm the owner at 255 Grove Street, and I live on Grove Street in one of two houses since 2006.

I would actually say that pretty much everyone along Grove Street would rather have people develop the properties wide as opposed to deep, because of the view situation that Robert brought up earlier.

So, you know, I think that, you know, if we don't get this, then we're going to be pushing something further
out and it's going to sort of not be as pleasant for the neighbors in 245. Because now, especially the way that house is built, we're going to sort of be more in their sight line.

So I guess I would say if you -- I think if you went up and down and asked the owners of people who live in Grove Street, they would -- I wouldn't say applaud, but they would approve this precedent, because I think they'd prefer to have that situation if someone were to be building next to them.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So what you're saying is that they would probably prefer everything could be front-loaded toward Grove Street, and maintain the existing sight lines toward the golf course?

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Correct. Because a lot of --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which I think -- which they probably feel is an enormous amenity to any of those property owners?

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Correct. And I guess it drives people to actually purchase them to begin with. And then the lots are deeper than they are wide, so, you know, and they are 10,000 square feet lots in which you can build up
to 50 percent FAR.
You know, our house is only like 2500 square feet as it is so, you know, if the precedent is that we're going to stay within current zoning and we're going to build these things out to the max and people do that, then they're going to be pushing out towards the back. And I think that would be unfortunate.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me send it back to the Board again for any additional comments or vote. Jim, what is your --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No further comments; I'm ready for a vote.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Andrea, any
comments or --
ANDREA HICKEY: No. Again, $I$ mean the fact that all of the current neighbors or future neighbors may prefer this design over other designs that block views, I hear that. But that really is not this Board's consideration so much as seeing a design that at least attempts to comply with the setback requirements.

So at present, I am still not swayed. That's all I have at the moment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
ROBERT LINN: I'm sorry to interrupt, but is there a setback that would be not compliant, but greater than the 1.7 that the Board might find more amenable, you know if it was three feet or something like that?

Because I think that there is a opiate where if it gets too thin over there, it's not really useable space, and it has to move out to the back, but it might be able to move in a little bit.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The proposed deck, why not put that addition in that space? You know, instead of going to the right towards the abutting property is to sort of go back to where you're going to have this proposed deck.

I know that it doesn't -- not ideal as far as the yard and the open space and what have you, but is it a tradeoff between usable living space or useable outdoor space?

You know, and again, I think that you're infringing also -- there's two setback infringements, both on the left and the right.

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct?

ROBERT LINN: Correct, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. So right now you're in compliance, and you're going to be out of compliance on two sides.

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm. Yeah. The reason for not moving out where it says, "proposed deck" is that the -that little -- that box that says, "lower level with roof deck" is the glass box, and it's their living space. And that has wonderful views too for the MacArthurs.

And so, it would -- by pushing out in front of the proposed deck would not only block views to 245 , but it would also impose on their views as well.

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Can I make a comment too?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
DUNCAN MACARTHUR: 245: I don't know if you've seen the back of it, but $I$ wish we had a -- sort of a site plan of it, but it's -- I don't know if you know what a Bose®
r
adi
o looks like, but it kind of has two additions that come off the back that are angled.

And one of them looks straight over our yard. So -- and that's one of the deliberations we had with the neighbors; if we push that back, it's directly in their sight line.

ROBERT LINN: Olivia, if you're controlling the slides, on page 5 is the site plan. So you can see on that number 3, those little wings that pop out: the wing on the left is a two-story wing, that little angled piece that does look out over their yard.

OLIVIA RATAY: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. I see it. All right.
Andrea, anything further to add?
ANDREA HICKEY: No. I don't have anything further.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy? Comments?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah. I was just trying to pull up the proposed plans as well. I've long been a fan of Mr. McArthur's designs. So I feel odd trying to second-guess it.

But I do wonder if there is any -- on the existing, you know, or the proposed rather design, is there any way to reconfigure the garage and bring it, you know,
bring whatever you want to add on closer to the house? I know there's a breezeway on the existing plan, right?

ROBERT LINN: Yes, yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. Is there any way to move things to the left and just reconfigure?

ROBERT LINN: I -- there's always -- yes, there's always ways to do things like that. But not without completely kind of disrupting. It would be much less of an addition, as opposed to a kind of gutting and reworking that section of the house, which is something we were trying to avoid. Yes.

DAN ANDERSON: And the breezeway is a particular attraction, because that goes all the way through.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm.
DAN ANDERSON: So it's not like that -- you know, you sort of walk into that breezeway and you're looking straight out back over the golf course. So if we were to lose that, you know, that's kind of a whole key element to the house.

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm.
DAN ANDERSON: From the very beginning when it was first designed.

ROBERT LINN: Mm-hm.
DAN ANDERSON: So that's kind of an "aha" moment.
So it would be a shame to lose that.
ROBERT LINN: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: And what's the square footage of
the room that you're trying to get?
DAN ANDERSON: The overall square footage,
including the little dining room addition on the left, is about 938 square feet. So that -- I think it's maybe about 600 square feet for the multipurpose area.

ANDREA HICKEY: And you have also a proposed shed out there? What's that?

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: So the shed was part of the original plan when we had the pool.

ANDREA HICKEY: Oh. But you're not doing that. DUNCAN MACARTHUR: That was historical. That's not --

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
DUNCAN MACARTHUR: -- that's not proposed.
ANDREA HICKEY: Got it. Okay. Okay. Not being an architect, $I$ think that's all $I$ can ask you at the moment. Thank you.

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Sure.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Can I just say one more thing?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Identify.
DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Sorry, Duncan MacArthur again.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
DUNCAN MACARTHUR: You know, we went through a lot of effort to sort of come up with this, and I know it's a big ask, but it's -- we only ask because we do think it's best, not just for us, but for each of our neighbors.

And I'm not particularly excited that I'm now making my house nonconforming. So I actually think it's a pretty big sacrifice on my part, because once I do this, I'm sort of limited to being able to do anything else to the house in front of the Board or Planning Board or -- you know, sort of -- and we haven't maxed out the capacity of the house, which I have no desire to do.

But it wasn't -- it wasn't an easy decision to come to where we are. I do think it's a great design and I don't think we'll be a detriment to the neighborhood. And I think it goes right up against the single-family garage that I also built for the neighbors to the right years ago.

So I -- you know, I think sort of once again, just keeping in that line, sort of and if you look at the sight line, you can sort of see that you have this sort of row of houses all going down.

So just sort of keeping everything in that sort of along those lines, which is more preferable -- again, I'm repeating myself -- and pushing it out, which is what we would end up having to do.

So I think that in general, I can understand the reticence to allow something to go that close to the line, but just taking it case by case, $I$ hope you sort of find it might be appropriate and beneficial to not only me, but to the neighbors to the sides in this case.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Where am I -- Laura? I'm sorry, Wendy, have you concluded your --

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, I have. I have concluded --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Laura Wernick, comments? LAURA WERNICK: I am sympathetic to the owner's perspective. And it's really weighing on that which I think is a reasonable request against long-term precedent. So I think I have to stick with the concern about the long-term
precedent.
If there is some way to adjust it, as Wendy was getting at, that would be great. But I don't -- I don't have any further comment.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, to Mr. Linn and Mr. MacArthur, reading the tea leaves, you're probably not going to get the four affirmative votes.

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And if you -- I can take it to a vote, or you could continue the matter as a case heard; would have to reassemble the same five members and, you know, take another look at this and come back. You may come back with the same plan and just say that's -- this is it, or maybe come back with something else.

As far as your original question, Rob, about some magic number of setback, it's -- it's hard to -- for me, I think, looking at it, you know, what is that magic number? Obviously, according to code, we need 10' but a sum of $25^{\prime}$.

So, you know, you have 10' on one side, but now you are down to $19^{\prime}$ on the other side. So, you know, it's a two-edged sword. You've got noncompliance on both sides.

So I don't have any magic number as far as whether
three feet is the acceptable? Four feet, five feet, you know? I mean --

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Right. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- you know, what does that --
what does that do to the interior space? Okay, you shrink it down three or four feet, what are you left with?

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Yeah.
ROBERT LINN: Yes. Yeah, right.
DUNCAN MACARTHUR: That's right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Unusable space, basically. DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Right.

ROBERT LINN: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And as far as going back into that proposed deck. I know, you know, I've obviously viewed the property from the back and it's a lovely home, it's a lovely view, and it enjoys a tremendous amenity with the golf course, as well as the abutting properties and all along those properties along it.

Anything going to the back, obviously, infringes upon the view and imposes on the other neighbors' views and enjoyment of that amenity, and also because of the topography of the lot, all those lots, makes it challenging.

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're up at a certain plateau
and then all of a sudden, it just falls off greatly down into the golf course, so that --

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- Even though an as-of-right can be done, it does have adverse effects to the adjoining property. So --

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: But I -- I can take it to a vote, or you can ask for a continuance, and then go back to us.

ROBERT LINN: Duncan, do you think we should do a continuance?

DUNCAN MACARTHUR: I do.
ROBERT LINN: Yeah. Yeah. So I think we would love -- we would request a continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. We have May 25 open, or June 15?

ANDREA HICKEY: Mr. Chair, I am away on May 25.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So now we're at June 15. Any members of the Board, Jim, Andrea, Wendy or

Laura or myself for June 15?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm good for the fifteenth. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so Rob or Duncan, 15?

June 15, does that work?
ROBERT LINN: Yeah. As far as I know, that works for me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to June 15, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of June 15, 2023 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

Any new submittals not currently in the file -would be new -- must be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the June 15 hearing.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter to June 15, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?

LAURA WERNICK: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the
matter is continued to June 15, 2023.
ROBERT LINN: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, say Matina is on 130
Cushing Street? All right.
(6:41 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea Hickey, Laura Wernick, and Matina Williams BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So we're going to call Case No. 163881 -- 130 Cushing Street. We have myself, Jim Monteverde, Matina, Wendy and Andrea.

LAURA WERNICK: Mr. Chair, would it be possible to call the 401 Concord first?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry?
LAURA WERNICK: The other case, the Concord? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, Concord Avenue?

LAURA WERNICK: Concord Avenue case?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Which you thought I would call
first?
LAURA WERNICK: That's my last -- yes, because that's my last. That's the only reason I attended this evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
LAURA WERNICK: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My notes are different, Laura, so that's why I'm -- as far as the membership anyhow. All
right. So let me call Case No. 207647 -- 401 Concord Avenue. Sitting on this is myself, Jim Monteverde, Andrea Hickey, Laura Wernick and Matina Williams.

Okay, 401 Concord Ave. Andrew?
ANDREW PLUMB: Hi. Good evening.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
ANDREW PLUMB: This is Andrew Plumb.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. So since our last meeting, what have you come up with?

ANDREW PLUMB: Yes, Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. So since our last meeting, I have redesigned the proposal that we reviewed, taking into account the comments that were made about the ask for the amount of $F A R$ that we were requesting and comments about, you know, perhaps given the size of the site, you know, a more appropriate approach would be a larger unit and a smaller unit, as opposed to two identical units.

And so, what you have before you is a revised design that has done a few things. And to just sort of hit the highlights: the FAR on this proposal before you this evening is 0.65. I think where we were last time was 0.72 .

And we have also changed this so that the unit mix
that we're proposing is a three-bed, three-bath and a twobed two-bath. In both cases, one of the bedrooms is located in the basement, which is to be a finished space.

I think the other thing we were able to do was in reducing -- we've sort of reduced the overall length of the proposal, which pulls the Concord Avenue side basically in line with the abutting two-family residences.

And it also pulls a little bit further away from the residence at 8 Corporal Burns, giving a little bit more extra room. I remember one of the Board members had some questions about the amount of space between the driveway and the building in the last round, and that has been increased, and I'm happy to walk you through that.

But perhaps a good illustrative drawing to start with is, it's Z2.1. It's on page 12 of the submission. And it shows the new -- there it is. So the red dashed line represents the kind of profile or outline of what we saw last time, and the elevation of the new proposal.

And so, you can see how -- a couple of things; one that the volume on the Concord Ave side, which is the righthand side of the image, where the biggest changes took place, that was by removing six feet of the overall length
of the building.
And that allowed us to kind of shift back from Concord Avenue, which gives us more open space. But we also -- if you look at the left-hand side, you know, we shifted the building to the right, page right, in order to get a little bit more space on the -- with the neighbor at 8 Corporal Burns.

The other thing that we did is we changed the maxing of the roof on the -- sorry, the Concord Avenue side, and basically rotated the orientation of the gable and, which we think accomplishes several things in terms of -and here I might ask that we go to Drawing No. -- let's see -- Z2.4 which shows the sort of -- let's give them more -is sort of the proposal in the context of the other houses.

And on the top here we see Corporal burns, which has a kind of a mix of at the left-hand side of the page sort of typical two-families, and then a mix of singlefamilies.

And so, by turning the roof massing and changing, you know, the fact that there's -- you know, there's sort of a large volume and a smaller volume, we think it does a lot more to kind of relate to the character of the sort of

Corporal Burns side.
And then if you look at the elevations on the bottom of the page, by turning that roof on Concord Avenue, sort of grabbed the cornice line that's present, whereas last time you were looking at the gable end. So it kind of in a way, you know, matches that.

So, you know, one of the difficulties or kind of challenges with this site being on a corner is that to be comfortable in the neighborhood, it kind of wants to work with two different typologies: the two-families on Concord Avenue and the single families on Corporal Burns.

And we think that we've kind of arrived at -- with these moves and the kind of change -- the change in the massing and the kind of making it smaller, that it's -- it's actually able to, you know, in an urban way really kind of be a good neighbor to both.

And, you know, we took a really hard look at, as you know, you know, to make the project viable financially, this really represents sort of as far as we can go to make it work.

But I do think that it's gone a long way to address the concerns that were brought up, at least in the
last round from the Board.
So that's sort of the high level. I mean, the roof height has been further reduced when the massing changed. We brought it down a little bit more, so it's at 33'. Last time it was at 34'.

And, you know, the open space has increased. We're still looking for relief on the dimensional requirement. We easily exceed the kind of total square footage with the different types of open space that are required but are looking for some relief on the sort of 15' x 15' square piece.

I'm happy to -- if you look at Drawing No. Z0.5, that's the proposed open space drawing, which I've added dimensions to. And you can see that sort of between the parking and the building, that that is now 4'3". I think it was under 3'. It was 2'9" before.

And you can see that the sort of main façade of the building is now 19' -- I think 19.6' off of the property line with the $6^{\prime}$ porch sort of sitting in that front yard.

Let's see. That's I think really the high points of what's different. I'm happy to answer any other questions that the Board has.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Thank you, Andrew. Let me open it to Board members. Jim Monteverde, any comments or questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'd like to thank you for all your efforts and your work to address the comments from the Board from the last presentation. I think it's come a long way, and at the moment I'm feeling rather comfortable with the proposal. So thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any comments?
ANDREA HICKEY: I have no comments at this time. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Laura?
LAURA WERNICK: I feel the same way: that the improvements are significant, and I greatly appreciate what they've done, and I believe it makes a difference to me.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Matina Williams, any
comments, questions?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No real comments. Thank you for your effort, again, and making the changes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me open it to -- and I have no questions or comments at this time, other than $I$ can echo what the Board members have said. Let me open it to public
comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.

OLIVIA RATAY: Judy Bacher?
JUDY BACHER: Yes, hi. This is Judy Bacher. I live at 8 Corporal Burns Road, an abutter to the 401 Concord Road property. And I think that this is a wonderful solution to all of the issues that were raised from the very beginning. And $I$ fully support this design.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you for calling in. Appreciate that. That appears to be the only caller, soi I will close the public comment portion, send it back to you. Andrew, anything else to add or -- you don't have to, if you don't feel it necessary?

ANDREW PLUMB: I don't feel like I have any further comments.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I will make a comment then. I think that actually $I$ supported the original one last time. But I think this is a far better plan, and I think it
addresses some of the concerns that the Board had. And I applaud you for your efforts, even though we have chipped away at it. And hopefully it is still doable. Because I think you guys do pretty good stuff. So anyhow, I'll leave it at that.

Ready for a motion, Board?
ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Oh, sorry. I thought we were all done. Okay. Yep. Another person calling in?

NICOLA MOORE: Yes. This is Nicola Moore. I'm also an abutter. I'm at 395 Concord Avenue. And I agree; I think that Andrew has gone above and beyond. And I think it will work very well in the neighborhood and it will -- you know, it will be a nice house to be next to.

So I couldn't figure out how to raise my hand, so.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
NICOLA MOORE: But yeah, so the -- I'm the other abutter. And I fully support it.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Well, thank you for raising your hand and calling in. And that, then, concludes the public comment. So let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, seeking relief under 5.1, which is the

Table of Dimensional Requirements and 8.223, which requires a variance.

I make the motion, then, that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner because it would preclude the petitioner from building an adequate and reasonable structure on two residential units on the site.

That a literal enforcement would only allow a single-family on the site, which could be of sort of a dimension that may not be easily markable, given the cost $I$ think of acquisition, development cost, and as such would be out of the norm for the neighborhood.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the unusual shape of the lot; also the fact that it is encumbered by being on two -- having two front yard setbacks, Corporal Burns and also Concord Avenue, which severely limits the amount of structure having to comply with the front yard setback...

And also the fact that the shape of the lots at the corner there is curved and reduces greatly any amount of land that would be on a rectangular-shaped lot, and that this particular lot is unique to the neighborhood.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. The Board notes the letters of support from the neighbors, the fact that the developer is taking a derelict building that has not been properly maintained, it's an eyesore to the community, and putting in a structure that is of adequate size to house two reasonable units.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance.

The Board finds that under Section 1.30 of the ordinance, that the ordinance empowers the Board to encourage the most rational use of land throughout the city and the protection of residential neighbors from incompatible activities or potential designs.

And that this proposed project meets that objective to provide two adequate residential units, which adds to the housing stock at a fair market point and is in general harmony with the surrounding neighborhood.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief as per the request, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Laura Wernick?
[Pause]
Laura?

LAURA WERNICK: Sorry. Laura Wernick yes, in
favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Also, one of the other
conditions is that the work comply with the revised plans, supporting statements, dimensional forms initialed by the Chair.

The variance is granted. Thank you, Andrew. ANDREW PLUMB: Thank you very much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good luck. Good luck with it. ANDREW PLUMB: Thank you.

LAURA WERNICK: So Mr. Chair, I'm leaving for the rest of the evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. Thank you. Thank you. LAURA WERNICK: Thank you. Goodnight. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight, Laura.
(6:56 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me call Case No. 163881 -130 Cushing Street. Mr. McCarthy?

GREG MCCARTHY: Good evening, members of the Board.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Before you start, Jason Marshall was one of the original members who sat on this. He is no longer a member of the board. As such, Andrea Hickey has complied with a requirement to read all of the transcripts, review the previous documents in order to be able to sit.

Let me enter into the record a letter dated April 12, 2023 to myself as Chair of the Board of Zoning Appeal regarding 130 Cushing Street, BZA-163881.
"Dear Chairperson Sullivan, this is to certify that I have reviewed the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal file with respect to the above-referenced case, which files include without limitation the transcripts from prior
proceedings, applications, plans and correspondence.
"Respectfully, Andrea Hickey."
And as such, she is now duly appointed to sit on this continued case. All right. So Mr. McCarthy, you may proceed.

GREG MCCARTHY: Good evening, members of the Board. Thank you for hearing me tonight. I'm here about 130 Cushing Street. What we have is an existing four-unit building that we're doing a renovation on.

We're here tonight for a few violations, one of them being floor area ratio. We're increasing the square footage by 0.3 square feet, so it's not a very big squaring off the building and filling in space.

We also have violations; we're proposing to put window wells in on the side and the front of the building. And we have violations for the set front and side setbacks for those.

And then the biggest issue that we're here for tonight is the open space. The biggest point of contention last time we were here was the amount of open space. So we made some revisions there and improved the amount of open space for the building.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. If I could ask Olivia to pull up Sheet A1.0, which shows the open space? You're providing four parking spaces, even though there is no requirement now on the number of parking spaces?

GREG MCCARTHY: Correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You don't have to -- yeah, okay.

GREG MCCARTHY: Yeah. The reason that we're really trying to get the parking for these units is the cost of the renovation in this building is very exorbitant. I'm, it's a very large building, it's a lot to landscape the exterior, the interior. It's a very, very big renovation. And we want it to look as nice as possible. But it's going to be very hard to make it financially feasible if we're not -- you know, if we're not able to provide parking with those units. It will make it more difficult, especially right now.

So we're just -- you know, we're really, you know, trying to make it work and trying to figure out which schemes work.

The last time we came it was because of the way that the open space is measured. You know, we still had a
decent amount of yard space, but the open space came out as 0 because it wasn't fitting the 15' length required to count as open space.

And we've made a pretty substantial cutback here on the size and increased the open space a decent a month -not as much as we had initially thought, because we still had thought that we had -- you know, had more open space that wasn't 15' in both directions.

But that's kind of the scheme of what we've proposed. We went from 0 to 16 percent open space on the proposed plans.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Olivia, could you pull up A2.2? Notice that the dormers have also been reduced. It may have been part of the original -- not part of the original, but maybe the last one. And those have been compliant?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yes, yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. Anything else to add?

GREG MCCARTHY: I guess on the window wells; I would mention that we have them pretty well hidden. The side ones you can't see from the street, and the ones in the
front we have planters around, really to kind of -- you know, we're going to be doing an extensive landscaping on the property to kind of really make it look nice, just like the exterior.

So we're going to be putting planters in front of those window wells, just to kind of shade it and some bushes to make sure it's still very aesthetically pleasing. You won't even -- hopefully won't even notice them at all.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it up to the Board for discussion. Jim Monteverde, any questions or comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions or comments at this time?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, questions or comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: No questions. No. Not at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: I just wanted to confirm that I've read the information, the most updated information in the
file, correct. So regarding usable open space, sort of the existing is 39 and now what's proposed is 16 percent, is that accurate?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: All right. That still troubles me, but I am eager to hear what my other colleagues have to say about open space versus parking spaces that are no longer required.

I would, frankly, prefer to see more open space and less parking, given the fact that there's such a dramatic decrease in the usable open space from the existing conditions to the requested conditions.

So that's really all I have at the moment, Mr. Chair.

WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Chair, if I might just respond to Andrea? I did go and walk around the property today. And I still do share Andrea's concern, given that this is four families with less open space there.

I did ask some of neighbors who I saw randomly on the street about parking. Parking is a problem during the school day, because it is right next to the school. But they said outside of school hours, you know, anecdotally
that they were saying that this is not a problem outside of school hours.

So kind of torn about seeing a need for parking during the daytime, but $I$ do think that this -- the area also of the open space, just so you know: I don't know if you can understand this from the diagrams, but it's raised above the school yard, so the back of the lot is adjacent to the school yard and is several feet higher. And so, that's the situation of it, if that's helpful to anyone.

And I think it would be a lovely property with the full back yard. I'm not sure I see that as a hindrance to selling it, though I'm sympathetic to the builders' concerns.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I guess my thought on it, and I know Cushing Street very well -- is there are two things that if you could get as many cars off the street, I think it helps. It helps snow days, it helps on street cleaning days, which I guess they're not doing anymore, but still. If you don't move your car, you still get ticketed, even though you may not get towed.

And then the other side of it is the marketing of it. And, you know, being in the business and dealing with
renovation projects and condos and all that other stuff that the cost -- and I think Mr. McCarthy has alluded to this -is enormous.

And it can be -- you know, you cross your fingers and hope that you have figured this out right, and that you really haven't stumbled into some really bad conditions.

This is an old building, a rental I think probably since its inception. I would say that it's very substandard condition, and that it was probably minimally maintained over the years, and as such that to come in and provide quality housing up to code, up to today's standards and essentially beyond with new mechanical systems and all of the other things that come together with it is enormously expensive.

And that to provide an off-street parking space makes it far more salable, marketable and attractive to somebody who is looking at the space to buy.

So yes, outdoor space is desirable, is -- you know, very nice. But if you ask somebody who is a prospective buyer -- sort of me editorializing here -- as to would they like to have, you know, a 10 x 20 more open space screened area or a parking space, I think any prospective
buyer would probably say they'd really rather have a place to park their car.

And I think that the neighborhood yes -- and again, I've done work on Cushing Street -- and at the time it can be somewhat challenging to find and place to pull over and park the car or truck.

So that's sort of my take on it, and I would support the plan that is before us, because I think that the building needs to be totally redone, and that there is a cost factor to that, and that $I$ think that the reduction of open space, yes, $I$ think is a necessary part of that in order to bring this project, this home, this building to successful completion.

So yeah. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. [Pause]

There appears to be nobody calling in and we have no further correspondence from anybody regarding this latest
plan. So I will close the public comments portion of it and send it back to Mr. McCarthy for any comment or rebuttal in regard to what you may have heard from members of the Board.

GREG MCCARTHY: Sure. Yeah, thank you. I
definitely understand the concerns. I think, you know, we've been working on this. I think this is the fourth time we've been here. We've made a lot of sacrifices from the initial proposed plan.

This would be one that would be -- it would be very, very difficult to -- to make this work financially without the parking, unfortunately. It -- you know, and I hate it, but it is what it is. I'm, it really is the situation.

And it is kind of a unique situation where this isn't close to public transportation. If, you know, a lot of people ride bikes, but a lot of people take the train as well, and it would be quite a walk to the closest train from here.

So it's -- it's not that it's not accessible, it is. But, you know, it's accessible to public transportation. But, you know, we're not talking about a property that's within a quarter or a half a mile from the
train, it's -- you know, it's a pretty decent, you know, walk from a public transportation are.

And I think, you know, just to harp on it I really
like hate saying it or saying anything about the financial when it comes to, you know, transforming a home, but it would be very, very difficult to make this work without the parking for each unit specifically in this location as well.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any member of the Board wish to chime in any further at all or comments? Jim, Matina, Andrea, Wendy, no comments or anything?

WENDY LEISERSON: I would like to hear Andrea's thoughts, now that she's heard the testimony regarding the parking situation.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right, well, Mr. Chair I appreciate your commentary, and I understand that anyone paying a lot of money to buy an essentially new residential condo would like to have parking. I hear you and understand that the seller is motivated to include parking, because that helps to sell the units.

But I'm not sure that those sort of private motivations overrule what the City demands we look at, which is that parking is not required by the City, but open space
does have requirements.
So are we saying if we approve this that we don't care that the City has now done away with the parking requirements, and we don't care that the City has open space requirements? I mean, these requirements are there for a reason.

And in my mind, that reason is not to make these units desirable for sale, and not to make them more marketable for sale; it's the community and their interests that really is what I'm looking at.

And again, I'm open to hear my colleagues. I'm not 100 percent decided. But market conditions, being able to sell these units for top dollar and for the units to be desirable to buyers, those really are not my concerns. My concerns are enforcing the ordinance and making reasonable sort of -- bending in a reasonable way where the ask is reasonable.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy, does that enlighten?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yeah. I think --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Very difficult.
WENDY LEISERSON: Sorry, Mr. Chair, go ahead.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Very difficult to disagree with
Andrea Hickey's reasoning. I just -- and I think you're right, Andrea, that it is somewhat of a tradeoff, and that the City is asking us to weigh an awful lot of things. They have reduced the parking requirement and because I think they are encouraging more building, and more building equals more residential units.

Now, does that sacrifice open space? It may. But to what extent. I think -- am I reading you that this is an extreme deviation from the open space requirements?

ANDREA HICKEY: Well, it is.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. No, that's -- and I think that's -- and I can understand that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Unless someone can convince me otherwise.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right.
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm still struggling with this. I mean, I appreciate the petitioners having spent so much time kind of scaling back and hearing what the Board had to say about the structure. But $I$ just don't see that there's been any attempt to sort of hear us about the open space.

Wendy, I'm eager to hear your rapidly as well.

WENDY LEISERSON: I share Andrea's point of view. And I do feel, you know, it's not an easy decision. But when I looked at what that patch of open space really looks like in real life, it seems very tiny for a house of this scale.

And I respectfully disagree with you, Mr. Chair, about for me I value open space as a buyer more than I do parking, as long as parking on street is not completely out of the question.

And for the record, I did have to park around the corner from the house when $I$ went to look at it during school hours today. But, like I said, the neighbors said that after school hours when most people would be coming and going to work -- from work -- that there is parking.

And I also think that this is an extremely long driveway here, which, you know, tandem parking I don't know if that's possible here. You know, I haven't looked at the figures closely enough, but it's a long driveway for getting off the street during snow emergencies; that's for sure. So that's doesn't concern me.

But I think Andrea's reasoning is impeccable in terms of what our duty is, which is not to substitute our
policy preferences for what the City has expressed in its ordinance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, there's two things we can either do here: We can either take it to a vote and Greg, it appears that you're not going to get the four affirmative votes for the plan that is currently before us.

The alternative would be, again, to continue this matter and to -- and I think the only way to revise this would be to eliminate two of the parking spaces in order to bring the open space up to publicly accessible -- acceptable level, which then two of the units may have parking, two of the units may not have parking, which is going to impact it accordingly.

I --

GREG MCCARTHY: I appreciate the offer. I think we're -- we're -- we're not going to -- we're not going to -- we're just going to probably leave it as is. And we can't -- we can't do the renovation. This was, like, the very last way we could have pulled off this construction.

The cost of the construction from this 6500 square-foot building is exorbitant. So we're going to just have to probably leave it as is and stick with, like, a
rental situation and just go a different path with it, unfortunately. So.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
GREG MCCARTHY: Appreciate your time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Well, members of the Board, should I take it to a vote then?

GREG MCCARTHY: Well, I also don't think this is very fair, because we've made every attempt to address every single cancel that the Board has had.

And this is a huge improvement over the last one, a huge improvement. The actual parking spots take up about, I don't know 7 percent of the open space. But because of the way that open space is measured, it takes up more of useable open space.

So I -- we've addressed about 30 -- every single concern that we've addressed before the Board to come back here for a fourth time and improve the open space. I just -- I don't understand why we come to the Board if -- because we understand that it's a variance that we're asking for here. I'm just -- I don't understand.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Olivia, can you go back to the
drawings submitted? Is there a locus plan, one that typically identifies the property and all the immediate neighbors, folks they've reached out to? Something that shows more of the neighborhood?

ANDREA HICKEY: That might be -- that might be the assessor's plan, Jim. So the plot plan, the certified plot plan just shows the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh. Oh there you go, there you go, there you go.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah, assessors.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So part of the --
GREG MCCARTHY: I guess what $I$ was just trying to say is that $I$ would love to spend all this money to renovate it, but we can't do it without the parking for the unit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.
GREG MCCARTHY: It's a risk anyway, and, you know, it's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. McCarthy, just give me a second.

GREG MCCARTHY: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I've -- my kids went to the Haggerty School. I have property that doesn't have open
space. And I took advantage of their play yard, which I understand the concerns about the private outdoor space, I'm just trying to look at it in the perspective of where exactly this is, and what else is around it? What other opportunities are around for outdoor space?

And there is the play yard -- it's all paved -behind or diagonally behind the Haggerty School. But I think as I played wiffleball there. But I think it's a bad setup as a basketball court, et cetera.

So there's open space there. I think in the -what's not showing in the aerial photographs, further to the right on Huron Avenue --

GREG MCCARTHY: Mr. Chair, what's the name of the --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Glacken.
GREG MCCARTHY: Glacken?
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's Glacken Field.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: At the end of Cushing, right?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Which I've taken my kids to play in as well. If the fountain still exists and the play yard's there, there's play space.

Those if it's family housing and I think those
amenities are in that neighborhood -- specifically those too, would certainly be usable for and help families, where I could feel comfortable that the amount of private open space may be less than the ordinance requires. But I could be comfortable allowing that, knowing what the neighborhood amenities are.

The other piece if I look at that driveway, I know my kids would have been out there in hot wheels all day long, which unfortunately doesn't count as outdoor open space. You could certainly use it as play space.

So I think that the lot itself and the adjacent amenities have some features that are positive that make me comfortable about the more parking spaces and the smaller amount of open space on the lot. That's my comment.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you, Jim, for that. I think that that was a relevant contribution to the discussion.

I do have another question for you and for all members of the Board, I guess, which is with regard to the private open space requirement, and the way it's measured, I mean, $I$ think part of the requirement is not just to allow recreational space, but also in terms of how green the city
is and the cooling effect of plantings.
And so, I would like to get your perspectives on
how much it doesn't quite measure into yard space per se of the lot is going to be green from that policy concern of the City's?

Was that clear, my question?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. If I can respond? When I looked at the floor plan that was on the screen before and the site plan, the planting around the perimeter, that made me comfortable that it maintains -- the neighborhood, again, from the aerial photograph is fairly green for as dense as the neighborhood is. And this kind of -- this -- trying to maintain that.

So I was comfortable that it had enough open space that appears planted, not hardscape, with trees, with shrubs in it, kind of achieves that goal.

WENDY LEISERSON: And what's the driveway substance going to be? Its permeable, is that correct?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: Andrea, your turn?
GREG MCCARTHY: I think it's -- the asphalt on the proposed but it can definitely be permeable. Oh, no, it's
permeable. My apologies.
ANDREA HICKEY: So if I could ask Mr. McCarthy, so on the landscape plan that we have up here, at the very rear private open space 1058 square feet, what exactly -- is that going to be a grassy area?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yeah, it's going to -- it's going to be all grass. The exterior is going to be bushes and trees, and it's going to come all the way up the side of the house, and then swing around and come up these parking spots.

So where the driveway ends and swings around the parking spots here would be grass, and then the rest of the open space would be grass as well.

ANDREA HICKEY: I sort of got lost as the plans --
GREG MCCARTHY: Sorry.
ANDREA HICKEY: -- moving around. So I --
GREG MCCARTHY: Essentially --
ANDREA HICKEY: -- if you could just run through that with me again, my apologies.

GREG MCCARTHY: Yeah. So essentially everything but the edges where the bush plantings and the trees are going to be is going to be grass.

So to the right of the two $7.5^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 16^{\prime}$ spaces is grass. The whole left corner is grass. To the left of the 8.5' spots is grass. And then grass right up to the window well and beyond it.

ANDREA HICKEY: All right. Well, Mr. Monteverde has swayed me a bit. If I were to consider approving this, I would want that grass sort of shown as such on the plan, and for you to be required to make those areas grassy. Because I can't tell from this plan what was intended for those spaces. I may be reading it wrong.

GREG MCCARTHY: Yeah. No, absolutely. We can -we'd definitely be happy to do that.

ANDREA HICKEY: Yeah. Mr. Chair, was there any sort of one in the public that wanted to comment? Because I would also be very interested in hearing from neighbors.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Way back, I think -- I'd have to go back through the -- there was some comment that the original plan may have been a little bit much. Because there was more units that the dormers were entirely filled the entire roof.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. I did review those sort of original plans and comments, but --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. And that was for the original plan. It has gone through many alliterations since then. And in response to those comments and a couple of different owners or a different owner, it has been scaled back dramatically.

ANDREA HICKEY: Mm-hm.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I think there was one -actually maybe a call-in. I think I'd have to get a bunch of papers here that -- that was my take on it; that it was a bit of an overbuild initially, $I$ think, and it has been scaled back dramatically in response to -- I think there was only one public comment. And I think in response to the Board's comments also about the dormer and some of the open space.

And I think the reduction of the number of bedrooms also. So --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. And I think if we could post the condition that the private open space be grass area and be maintained as such and in perpetuity in part of the condo documents.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. But I wasn't just that
space that Mr. McCarthy has represented with the grassy; it also is sort of alone. I think this -- if I -- I think along the left side of the house as well?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So that would be a landscaped area, not hardscape?

GREG MCCARTHY: Correct.
ANDREA HICKEY: It would be a grassy area, right.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. Maybe --
ANDREA HICKEY: And same in the front.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: May be difficult to maintain, but it leaves it in its inception.

GREG MCCARTHY: It's what it is now, so it will be easy to, you know, just fix up and clean up.

WENDY LEISERSON: And so, on this diagram where we see grass in the front interspersed by -- what is that interspersed by? Is that going to be all grass instead of whatever's breaking up the grass there?

GREG MCCARTHY: So right through the middle is permeable pavers. And the left and the right are as well, but those can be grass as well. That can be grass right up to the --

WENDY LEISERSON: I think what you're hearing from us is we want to maximize the grass coverage and minimize anything that is not naturally growing in the ground.

GREG MCCARTHY: Yeah. Absolutely.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Do we have some consistence -- consensus? Members of the Board for a motion? I would just like to see -- this building has been just languishing, in dire need of refurbishing, repurposing.

And I think the neighbors -- I think that was one of the other comments, Andrea, is that somebody said we just want this building done. It's been an eyesore in the neighborhood, and it needs to be, you know, refurbished, repurposed. And it's going to take a whole lot of money to do that.

I think the plan before us is doable, and I think it's a fair and reasonable request. So do we have a consensus for the members of the Board to make a motion with the condition that the areas that are designated private open space be grass?

That the area in front obviously be grass, and the area to the left side be grass, and that the only paved area would be where the designed asphalt pavement, and that the
proposed parking space be permeable area? Do we have a consensus on that?

ANDREA HICKEY: The driveway is asphalt or permeable? I didn't understand that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Permeable.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. Jim, are you trying to say
something?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Sorry.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Can I make a motion?
Andrea? Wendy?
ANDREA HICKEY: I'm going to hold my --
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina?
ANDREA HICKEY: -- and say yes. Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Matina, anything else to
chime in on?
[Pause]
You're on mute, Matina. You'll have to unmute.
[Pause]
Matina? Any other comments?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm sorry. It just wouldn't
come off mute.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I've been trying to stay stuff. But I agree, I was, you know, being swayed back and forth, but I'm ready for a vote.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, as per the plan presented dated, stamped in April 10, 2023, initialed by the Chair on the condition that the work comply with the revised drawings, supporting statements and also dimensional form as submitted.

And also with the condition that the area as designated open space -- could be a grassed area, the area along the left side of the building be grassed area, which is now brown, basically. There are some window wells there, obviously, it cannot be grass. And that the area in front be grass.

And that the parking area be permeable. Is that correct, Mr. McCarthy?

GREG MCCARTHY: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The Board finds that a
literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner because it would severely limit the ability of the petitioner to refurbish and repurpose this building.

The Board finds that this building has fallen into great disrepair. It's an eyesore in the neighborhood, and its rehabilitation would be a welcome addition to the neighborhood and an asset to the nation -- to the community, I'm sorry.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size and shape of the building that is located on a fairly narrow lot, and as such precedes the current zoning, and that the Board is in -- the location is encumbered by the existing ordinance, which severely limits any addition of this particular nature, of this particular circumstance that is before us: That it will provide four quality housing units, which is much-needed in the city.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, because it will result in the renovation of a four-family structure that has fallen into great disrepair. And that the surrounding properties will benefit greatly by an
improved structure.
Also that desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow for reasonable development, and to provide quality housing for citizens of the city.

The Board notes that the conditions regarding the grassed areas as a condition of granting of this relief.

On the motion, then, to grant the relief as stated, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor, but with one sort of comment.

Mr. Chair, can I ask that you hand mark up the plan that you would be initialing to show those landscaping changes? To me, those are really the only reasons why I'm approving this plan. And I want to make sure that nothing falls through the cracks with respect to the plan in the file and our oral sort of requirements.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes. I can mark up the existing one. Would that suffice, or would you like Mr. McCarthy to submit a new --

ANDREA HICKEY: Yep.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- site plan?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, I don't think a new plan is necessary. I think if, Mr. Chair, if you'd be willing to just mark up sort of grass area on the plan that you're approving, that would really allay any fear that I have that our sort of deliberations could fall through the cracks regarding the grass.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. The plan, the hard copy, actually shows the areas that are sort of private open space and the area down along the left side of the building -- say the window wells as colored in green. So I am marking that up as grass, and the area shown in green will be noted as equating to a grassed area.

ANDREA HICKEY: That is great. I can't see the green on this end, but I --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I know. It doesn't show up on the plan, unfortunately. It gets diluted. But -ANDREA HICKEY: Right. But you'd also need to
mark up along the Cushing Street ground where it's showing paved now that will be grass. If -- I believe that's what Mr. McCarthy --

GREG MCCARTHY: Yeah. To the left and to the right of the 190 -- it says 195 square feet of grass.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. And then that middle strip could remain. Is that something --

GREG MCCARTHY: That's --

MATINA WILLIAMS: Hello?

GREG MCCARTHY: Can you hear me?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes.

GREG MCCARTHY: So yeah, the middle one is the walkway right up --

ANDREA HICKEY: Right.

GREG MCCARTHY: -- right at the entrance.

ANDREA HICKEY: Okay.

WENDY LEISERSON: And that --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right, so Andrea, I am marking up --

WENDY LEISERSON: -- that's to remain permeable?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, so I'm marking that up as grassed area.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. On the right and the left. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: On the right and the left. ANDREA HICKEY: -- of where it currently says, "grass" but not that middle strip. That is to be some sort of permeable paving?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: That's access into the front of the building, correct.

ANDREA HICKEY: Right. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah. ANDREA HICKEY: And that's to be permeable? BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Correct. ANDREA HICKEY: And that is acceptable to me, with a little hesitation. But yes.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So you have voted yes. And Wendy, your vote on granting the variance with those conditions?

ANDREA HICKEY: With those conditions, and also, I just want some clarification on the driveway. I know you said the parking area was permeable; is the driveway also permeable?

GREG MCCARTHY: The existing driveway is asphalt, which we can make permeable pavers. So if you want to put
that on the plan, I think that's what we're planning on doing anyway. But on the plan, it says, "asphalt." So if you want to mark that, that's what we're planning to do. ANDREA HICKEY: Could you please mark that, Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes.
ANDREA HICKEY: Okay. And Mr. McCarthy, I hope -I wish you luck with this project financially, and I hope our trust in you --

GREG MCCARTHY: Thank you very much. Thank you very much.

ANDREA HICKEY: -- is well-placed. Thank you.
GREG MCCARTHY: I appreciate it. Can I also just take this time to say sorry for speaking out of line. My apologies. It's been an emotional process, and no excuses; I'm very sorry for just kind of going off there and talking when I wasn't called on or anything like that.

So thank you for hearing me, and apologies again. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, not at all. I think you have an awful lot at stake. And so anyhow, okay. So Wendy, you're in favor? I am in favor with those conditions. On the five affirmative votes, the variance is granted as per
the application. Okay, good luck with it.

GREG MCCARTHY: Thank you so much.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay, Mr. Chair, I think I'm gone.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, no. You're here for the night. Do you think -- because Laura; there's a little bit of a mix-up. Are you available, Matina? Because Laura was not available to sit on the regular agenda. So we are now if you cannot sit and we are short then we are short a member.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Oh. I was only for the continued. Okay. All right. Good.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You can -- you can sit?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah, I'll sit. That way --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay.

MATINA WILLIAMS: -- you have a quorum.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: You're a trooper, thank you.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Back to the regular agenda.
(7:37 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Case No. 211336 -- 421 Walden

Street. Either Erin or Mr. Bernstein. 421 Walden Street? [Pause]

Erin? Erin?

ERIN LEFKOWITZ: Sorry, this is Erin. I'm the owner. I can see Richard's name, and he's off mute, but I don't hear him.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Is Mr. Bernstein, is he going to represent the case, or present the case.

ERIN LEFKOWITZ: He is. He's going to present. He's the architect.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right. If somebody wants to tell us what you want to do.

ERIN LEFKOWITZ: It looks like he's signing back in. I can start. I'm sorry. I wasn't prepared to speak, but that this is my property at 421 Malden. We're proposing a remodel of the back porch. It's a two-story structure, a
two-family home, and we're --
RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Hello? Can you hear me now?
ERIN LEFKOWITZ: We can, Richard, thank you.
RICHARD BERNSTEIN: All right. Thank you. I'm
not as versatile with Zoom. But thank you, Erin, for standing in. I don't know if you can see me or hear me, but my name is Richard Bernstein. I'm the architect for the proposed project. The owner, Erin Lefkowitz, who filled in for me quickly.

The porch needs to be replaced because the safety and condition of the existing nonconforming porch structure has been compromised due to deterioration, requiring removal and reconstruction.

The existing two-level porch provides a second means of egress for both dwellings, and currently there is not enough room for a table and chairs, which are desired by the residents.

The property is an owner-occupied, traditional two-family house recently purchased. The challenge of accommodating this need for additional space on each level while working on the zoning requirements resulted in a proposed cantilevered expansion of the decks on each level,
with no increase to the size of the roof.
There is a small increase in floor area -- usable open space, and it's also porch floor area, which has created the need for a special permit.

The plan conforms to the required side yard setback and the distance to the existing garage, requiring just the special permit and avoiding the need for a variance.

Maintaining the original scale of the porch is a priority, so the proposed structure would not be any more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming structure, while also enhancing and complimenting the traditional design of the house.

This concludes my introduction. I can answer any questions. And I don't think my camera is working, but -BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well, we hear you so that's fine.

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: "Start video." I don't know. Okay.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions at this time?
[Pause]

Okay. Matina, you're on --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Nope. No questions at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No? Okay. Wendy, any questions at this time?

WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have none at this time, too. So let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Hello, everybody. I'm sorry.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's all right. We're looking for public comment.
[Pause]

There appears to be nobody calling in. We are in receipt of correspondence from Virginia Birmingham, 425 Walden Street.
"To whom it may concern, I, Virginia Birmingham of 425 Walden Street, have reviewed the proposed plan and documents including in BZA-211336. I support the granting of the special permit and approve the project."

There is also correspondence from Paul Schoenau, S-c-h-o-e-n-a-u.
"We have reviewed the proposed plan and support the granting of a special permit and approve the project. Good luck with the hearing, and hopefully the renovation project."
"417 Walden Street."
And that is any correspondence to the Board. So I will close public comment, send it back to Richard. Any other thing to add before I take a -- send it to the Board?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: No. I was very happy to get involved with this project, and I think you've come up with a solution that really fits well into the neighborhood, and it shows a good solution of how to expand a small porch into a usable space.

And it expands the usable open space for the property. I think it's a great benefit to Erin and her family, and the woman who lives downstairs. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Any comments by members of the Board? Ready for a vote at all?

ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, which is a special permit, seeking relief under 8.22 d and 10.40 Special Permit Criteria. There is a violation of floor area ratio, but there is an existing noncompliance, and as such no new nonconformity is created.

So either 8.22d: In all districts, the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached singlefamily or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional
nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does find -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.
10.43, the criteria for granting the special: It appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met for the granting of the special permit.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, and the Board incorporates by reference two letters from abutting property owners in support of the proposal.

There would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the
occupant of the proposed use -- in fact, the Board finds that it would be it enhanced by providing some additional outdoor space, which will allow the petitioner and the occupant of either one of the units to have some additional outdoor space in order to sit and to assemble, and especially in this time of COVID-19 that additional outdoor space is greatly desired and in fact has a health benefit.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance. The intent of the ordinance is to allow the fair and reasonable development of existing properties, and the Board finds that the request before us attains that objective.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
Five affirmative votes; the special permit is granted on the condition that the work comply with the drawings as submitted, dimensional form and supporting statements and initialed by the Chair.

Good luck with it.

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Thank you very much.
ERIN LEFKOWITZ: Thank you very much. And Matina, thank you for staying on for this session.

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Yes. We all thank you for that.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight.

ERIN LEFKOWITZ: Take care.
(7:47 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The next case is 194140 -- 4 Shepard Street. Mr. Bernstein?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Yes, and hello again, and thank you to Maria for helping schedule these two cases. I have the privilege of representing Steedman Bass, who is also here with us, who has purchased a wonderful house off of Shepard Street -- on Shephard Street right off of Mass. Ave. within the past year.

The house, built as a single-family home in 1895, is now an existing two-family and is owner-occupied.

The property is nonconforming with regards to not only zoning, but also building code requirements, specifically currently access to the third-floor unit is by the original main stair of the house, and the emergency egress for the top floor is through a small window it the kitchen to a metal fire escape.

The key part of our proposal here is that the
proposed attic addition and the extension of the existing two-story rear stair up to the third floor is a life safety improvement most importantly. It provides now proper -- it would provide proper access and safe egress in conformance with the building code requirements.

It has been designed to work within the historic character of the house. It would be built within the existing footprint of the rear two-story structure, and within the lines of the style and the style of the existing roof, whereas the side that the building is closest to the property line is actually an extension of the existing sloped roof, and therefore it would be no more detrimental than the existing.

And my -- and Steedman has talked to all his neighbors and has gotten support across the board.

The proposal for the third floor also includes adding two small dormers to match the original gable dormers. These -- to provide very much needed additional natural light and ventilation to the living space on the third floor. These are the most important and significant improvements that require a special permit, as indicated.

In addition to the proposal, there's a proposed
small accessory building which is a shed to provide an enclosure for the recycling, trash and compost bins, and to provide bicycle storage for the residents.

It's a unique location in that this is the first property in from the apartment buildings on Mass. Ave. And the location of the proposed shed along the -- at the end of the driveway along the existing six-foot fence -- is opposite a six-story brick wall of the apartment building. And we specifically located it away from any of the apartment windows and feel it's a very beneficial location.

This location also preserves the landscaping of the open space in the back yard for the benefit of all properties and is truly in the best interest of the neighborhood.

This concludes my introduction, and I welcome your questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The two forms of relief that you're asking for the variance is actually for the shed?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And that the additional work that we're looking at now on the screen is actually by way of a special permit?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: There is violations, but it is not a new nonconformity? So you're increasing an existing nonconforming number. Is that basically correct?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: That is correct.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions or comments?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions or comments at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: I have just a technical question for my colleagues, which is with regard to bicycle shed, which is also being used as a recycling shed. Is there -I'd like to know from my colleagues whether the bicycle storage has to be exclusive or whether this satisfies the accessory structures?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Well --
WENDY LEISERSON: And --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it's just an accessory
building use of it. Doesn't really come into play, however they want to use it. It's just an accessory building. And the location of it and the distance to the house is what creates the violation. So --

WENDY LEISERSON: So it doesn't matter in this instance?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: It doesn't matter the use.
WENDY LEISERSON: In this instance. Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The City encourages bicycle usage, and as such people looking for a place to put it, rather than having to -- I'm unsure what the access to the basement is, but --

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: It is through a bulkhead. Very difficult, actually.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. So -- and I would think that even -- especially during inclement weather, people still bicycle.

WENDY LEISERSON: Oh, yeah. No, I have no problem with the use, I just wasn't sure which technical points we had to look at with regard to this --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, no, it's just --
WENDY LEISERSON: -- structure, yeah.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- it's just the -- the
structure itself. How it's used --
WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- is basically not addressed and blind to the ordinance.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So. Yep.
WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you for the clarification.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No. I have nothing. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have no
further questions. Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in, and we are not in receipt of any correspondence. So I will close public comment portion of this. Anything to add, Richard, at all, before $I$ send it to the Board for a vote?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: This is a wonderful house that's going to be preserved and enhanced. And it will be owner-occupied.

And I worked with Steedman on not only this project, but he's lived next door to 6 to 8 , which we had to get special approval for a new back stair, which is very successful. So I appreciate the opportunity to be involved with this project.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The existing recycling compost trash cans are outside now, open to --

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Yes.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- everything?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: That's correct.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And the bicycle right now is left outside, left on a porch, or --

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Left outside.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: -- exposed to the elements?
RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Steedman is actually an avid bicyclist and does not own a car. He prefers bicycles. And this would provide everyone the opportunity to store their bicycles conveniently.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay. All right.

Ready for a motion, Board? All right. Let me -- there's two forms of relief.

So the first one would be the bicycle -- well, the accessory structure to be used for bicycle storage and also a recycling compost trash bin and all of the accessory plastic things that we'd have to use.

So let me make a motion, then, that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner because it would preclude the petitioner to providing an out of way and safe and away from rodent storage of recycling compost trash bins, and also would also allow -- the literal enforcement would preclude the petitioner from providing -- a literal enforcement would preclude the petitioner from providing a safe and also out-of-the-weather storage area for bicycles, which is highly encouraged by City policy.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the size of the structure on the lot, which was built way before the enactment of the current zoning ordinance, and as such the property is encumbered by such ordinance, which severely restricts any additions of this kind, which would require some relief from this Board.

The Board finds that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good; in fact the public good would be enhanced by an out-of-the-way, out-of-sight recycling trash bins; also cut down on any rodent activity, and also provide a safe and valuable shielding of the elements by being able to store bicycles.

The desirable relief may be granted without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance to allow this Board to encourage fair and reasonable use of the property and development, which enhances the living experience of the property owner. On the motion, then, to grant the relief requested, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes in
favor of granting the variance on the condition also that
the work comply the drawings as submitted and supporting statements and dimensional forms regarding the size and location of the shed.

Now, regarding the special permit -- and they're seeking relief under 8.22d, which does have enhancing the nonconformity, but does not create any new conformity -- the Board finds that in all districts, as far as $I$ know, that it may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board is required to find -- and does make such finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the
neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43.

And criteria of 10.43 , granting of a special permit, the Board finds that it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

That traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character. In fact, the Board finds that the proposed design enhances the character of the structure, which dates back to -- what was it, Richard 18 what?

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: 1895.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: 1895, and as such is an asset to the community and adjoining properties.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

And there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use -- in fact, as
testimony has shown, it would be greatly enhanced to have a code-compliant way of exiting the third-floor unit with the creation of appropriate and code-compliant stairwells, and also appropriate petitions to enhance the livability of anyone who occupies these units.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow this Board to grant relief to allow for fair and reasonable development.

The Board does make such finding that the request before it is a fair and reasonable request for this particular property.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, and also on the condition that the work comply with the drawings as submitted, dimensional forms, supporting statements and initialed by the Chair.

Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The special permit is also granted. Good luck with it.

RICHARD BERNSTEIN: Thank you very much. Thank you.
(8:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, the Board will now hear Case No. 211571 -- 8 Winter Street.

DAN ANDERSON: Good evening.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Dan.
DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Sullivan.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep.
DAN ANDERSON: I've stuck around to be here to request a continuance for No. 8 Winter Street. We had a successful meeting with ECPT and have been following up with abutters but have been asked to look at some alternatives for that project. And so, I respectfully request a continuance.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any particular date,
Dan?

DAN ANDERSON: So I said yes to the twenty-fifth for Third Street. It actually turns out to be my wife's birthday, so I'm in trouble. Could we look for a date after
that?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. Your wife's
birthday is when?
DAN ANDERSON: May 25.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So after that would be June 15?

Well, okay, you don't want to do the twenty-fifth.
DAN ANDERSON: Well, you know, yeah. Since I'm already committed to one, I'm not sure that I'm going to get in any trouble. So let's say that May 25, and I'll go for Double Jeopardy.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. So let me continue this -- it's a case not heard. You'll pay for this, but anyhow. DAN ANDERSON: Yeah, understood.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right.
Let me make a motion, then, to grant the continuance to May 25,2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of May 25,2023 and that any new submittals not currently in the file be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the May 25, 2023 hearing.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: In favor.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: So the matter is continued
until May 25, 2023. See you then.
DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much.
(8:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Next case we will hear is BZA 212734 -- 5 Foch Street. Mr. Rafferty?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Madam Chair,
members of the Board. For the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm appearing on behalf of the applicant, Susan Kale, K-a-l-e. With Ms. Kale and myself this evening is the Project Architect, Joshua Knapper, $K-n-a-p-p-e-r$.

This is an application for a variance to allow for an enlargement, an addition to a single-family house in North Cambridge. Ms. Kale?

SUSAN KALE: Yes, sir.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. Ms. Kale is present. She has lived -- in fact she's lived in North Cambridge, I believe, her whole life. She is a resident of the city, serves the city as a police officer, and is looking to expand this house to take advantage of the third floor. The third floor currently is very much an attic
floor. It has a stairway to access it, but the rooms up there are very small, and the stairway is not at all codecompliant.

The request would allow for a variance for an additional 268 square feet over the allowed FAR. The lot itself is 4000 square feet. It's a narrow lot. The shape of the lot is a portion of the hardship.

The design essentially, I think, is best viewed through a plan that I might suggest Ms. Ratay could put up Sheet 204, if that's possible. And Sheet 204 will show you the house has a funny orientation now. It's a one-, two-, three-level house. The portion is one level, then there's a second floor, and then there's a third.

What's happening here is -- and the architect can go into detail -- the roof is being removed and reframed to within the 35 -foot height level. But a new roof at the third floor will offer a full third floor, and there's an infill on the second floor.

So as I noted, the additional amount of square footage totals 268 square feet, beyond what the far permitted in the $B$ district allows.

Ms. Kale was somewhat inspired with this design by
the house next door, which happened to be owned by her sister, very similarly sized, and she was able to take advantage of the third floor about six or eight years ago, and it's proved to be a very effective living space.

So that's the case. Happy to have Mr. Knapper walk you through the design or Ms. Kale or I could answer any questions, if the Board have them.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Rafferty, there are two forms of relief that you're requesting. If you could just touch on the variance, and then the special permit aspect of that?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you, yes. The variance is related to the GFA, the 268 square feet over the allowed FAR. The special permit involves windows on a nonconforming lot.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Okay. If you would like to walk us through it and very briefly?

JAMES RAFFERTY: All right, Mr. Knapper, can you walk the Board through this?

JOSHUA KNAPPER: I certainly can. So the primary issue, like Mr. Rafferty had mentioned, is that that attic level of the home has some very aggressive roof lines just
care of the pitch of the roof itself.
And so, in order to make that third floor more habitable, what we've really just done is kind of extend the -- what I would call the cheek walls, or the side façade walls, so that the gable roofline is just a little less aggressive pitch wise.

And by doing so, by basically increasing that -those cheek walls about 3.5 feet, we are able then to occupy so much more of the space underneath, because of that line and its height change.

So if you -- I'm sorry, but if the moderator would flip to the front façade, that would be A201, you can see this is the existing front façade.

And you can see that roofline at the third floor with a little -- the shed dormer on the left, which is the -- which was placed there to accommodate that nonconforming or nonfunctional -- really functional egress stair up to the third floor.

And then Moderator, if you don't mind flipping to the next page, you'll see what we've done is simply take those roof lines up and decrease their pitch lines slightly. This accommodates a new staircase within the plan itself,
but also gives us a much more gracious width along the gable line itself.

And then yeah, back to that original 202, you can see this is the side elevation, the driveway elevation, and how the first floor here on the rear side projects out from the second and third floor.

And so what we propose here is then to the next page -- is to basically build up off of that projection and create that as habitable space for the family.

And as to the special permit and the nonconforming element with the windows, I believe the best -- the left façade of the home is within the setback or, well, the zoning bylaw setbacks, but is obviously an existing nonconforming condition. And we're simply looking to incorporate some fenestration on that façade.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. And the length of that dormer is 6'8"?

JOSHUA KNAPPER: So we don't -- the existing dormer?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yeah.

JOSHUA KNAPPER: The existing dormer, this that you're looking at right now, that's the small shed dormer.

I would say that that is probably about $6^{\prime}$ ish itself. But we are -- understanding the Dormer

Regulations, in lieu of doing a dormer, we chose just to take the roof off of the structure and go up moderately but go up instead of incorporating dormers into the rooflines, which would be longer than those Regulations would afford. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so it's basically an addition up rather than -- yeah, okay. JOSHUA KNAPPER: That's correct.

JAMES RAFFERTY: We reviewed that with the Building Commissioner as to whether or not it constituted a dormer, and his conclusion was that it did not. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Right. Okay. All right. Anything else to add? Anything else to add at this time? JOSHUA KNAPPER: No, sir. Not from me. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Nope? All right. Let me send it to the Board. Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Two questions. Can you speak about bit about the hardship, what it is that inspires the expansion?

JAMES RAFFERTY: [Sneeze] JIM MONTEVERDE: God bless you.

JAMES RAFFERTY: I apologize; I'm suffering with some bronchial conditions -- I can say more annoying than usual.

But the hardship really is related to the shape of the lot and the condition of the existing structure. The existing structure is quite old. It's a nonconforming structure, as noted by Mr. Knapper. It's 4', about 4' off the left side.

The lot is deep and narrow, and those are the elements of the hardship that limit areas where the expansion can go. It's a very obvious place to expand the house, because we can do it all within the height limit and not change the footprint of the house.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Second question: In -somewhere in the description -- I don't have the full file in front of me -- is a statement that the addition, the renovation was consistent with the neighborhood. And I can't recall if this file provided some photos of some adjacent houses that were likewise three stories tall.

But if I went on Google Earth and went further down the street on both sides, it didn't look like it was consistent with the neighborhood into the massing and the
scale of the other houses. Can you speak to that please? JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure. I can direct you to the property at 79 Foch Street, which I think is here, which has this very same condition, which has a built-out third floor. And that was done pursuant to a variance issue by the Board in April of 2011.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. But I think my question was really the one neighborhood doesn't make a neighborhood.

And if I went and looked at the character of the neighborhood more, it's more houses up and down the street, the proposal -- again what the neighbor did adjacent to it -- doesn't really seem to be consistent with the neighborhood -- again, scale and character, et cetera.

SUSAN KALE: Sir, if I may speak, the house directly across from me -- I think we submitted a picture -is the same parameters as the rest. If we can go across the street, there's a house that's directly across and that's -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. SUSAN KALE: -- I submitted a picture. JIM MONTEVERDE: I saw -- again, I saw the two properties that were directly adjacent to them close by,
that seemed to have the same effect type of renovation. But when I looked further down the street, both directions, neither one of those really seemed to be consistent with the rest of the character of the majority of the houses.

I think I've made my comment. I'll just stop there. Thank you.

JOSHUA KNAPPER: If I may, what we really tried to do with this modification to the existing home was to really not increase its mass from the front façade specifically. You know, the roofline isn't -- you know, but more than 12" taller than the current roof line ridge wise, and so the real -- the only significant change is the flair, or the pitch of that gable end.

And so, the remainder of the homes along Foch Street all are three-story, but they all have just that more aggressive gable-end pitch, whereas ours is just trying to ease that a little bit in order to accommodate better space with it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey, any question, comments?

ANDREA HICKEY: No. Not at the moment. Thank
you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson, any questions or comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: No. Jim asked the hardship question that $I$ was curious about. So thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions, comments?
[Pause]
Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Hi, sorry. No questions or comments at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I don't have any questions or comments at this time either. I'll open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.
[Pause]
There's nobody calling in. We are in receipt of the petition that Susan has submitted.
"We are in support of the petition submitted by our new neighbor, Susan Kale, to the Board of Zoning Appeal."

And it's signed by seven people: 3 Foch Street, 3 Foch Street \#1, 3 Foch Street \#2, 1 Foch Street, 30 Murray Hill Road, 34 Newman, 36 Murray Hill and 79 Foch Street.

And that is the sum and substance of any correspondence to the Board. I will close the public comment portion. Mr. Rafferty, any comments to add, or Architect?

JAMES RAFFERTY: No, thank you. We -- Mr. Knapper has done a good job explaining the design. We were very conscious of the fact of trying to have this addition remain as close to the allowed FAR. So it does represent an additional 268 square feet.

But we hope the Board will find that the impact on the surrounding properties is negligible and it will allow for an expansion of family living within the city.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
WENDY LEISERSON: I believe, Mr. Rafferty, you meant an additional 268 square feet above the ordinance requirements, but it's a more expensive extension than --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Oh, correct -- thank you. WENDY LEISERSON: -- that --

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yeah. So the zoning relief relates to the 268 square feet additional. That's with the variance. The granting of the variance will allow for an additional 268 square feet.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Anything else, Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: No, that's all. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. I think my view on this is that looking at the existing structure, it needs something. And I think that the third floor can use, obviously, some updating. It can use some expansion to make it more livable, make better egress -- entry and egress out of the unit; safer entry and exit out of the unit.

And that the entire area around there of Cambridge off Mass. Avenue with new ownership coming in and expansion the Board has had a number of properties in the past that have had similar requests of really updating and repurposing, reoutfitting a lot of these old housing stocks, which were probably built back in the ' 20 s and '30s.

And I think that what is before us is a fair and reasonable request. It'll allow for a much greater upgrade
to the property, which is a boon to the surrounding properties, and I think it's a fair and reasonable request. That's sort of my thought on it.

Members of the Board, are we ready for a vote?
Any other additional comments at all or --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a vote.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any other concerns by members of the Board that you wish to have addressed, or ready for a vote? Okay.

Make a motion, then, that the variance portion of this, which is the additional square footage, the Board finds that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship to the petitioner because it would preclude the petitioner from adding some much-needed additional floor space, a realignment, a redesign, repurposing of the additional -- of the interior space to accommodate some additional space, which would make the unit, the house far more livable and more up-to-date and have safer entry and egress out of the units, and that the addition -- space as designed -- will be aesthetically pleasing.

The Board finds that the hardship is owing to the
fact of the existing structure on the block, which predates the existing ordinance, and as such is encumbered by a more restrictive ordinance than when the house was built -- it was probably built prior to the existence of the zoning ordinance -- and as such that any addition would require this particular nature, which the Board finds is somewhat minimal, would require some relief from this Board.

The Board finds that relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good. The Board finds that the setbacks will not be altered.

The Board finds that and incorporates by reference a petition signed by a number of abutting properties.

The desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to improve their properties and potentially expand the properties to bring certain areas up to code to allow for safer livability of the house, and also entry and egress out of sections of the property, especially as noted in the petition in the third floor, which will add to the health and safety of any occupant of the building.

On the variance portion, Jim Monteverde?
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes, the variance is granted on the condition that the work conform to the drawings, and initialed by the Chair, supporting statements, and the dimensional form.

Now on the variance -- sorry, on the special permit portion of it, let me make a motion, then, to grant the special permit under Article 8.222c.

The article permits the creation of windows on a nonconforming wall, as long as there are no further violations of the dimensional requirements of Article 5.

The Board finds that traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion,
hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. The Board notes and incorporates by reference the petition signed by the abutting properties.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, in fact it would be enhanced with the addition of windows, which adds to the fenestration, light and air coming into the structure, which is a great health benefit.

The Board finds that the proposed windows will not change the use of the property and are consistent with the residential uses in the district.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit portion of the petition, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five affirmative votes; the special permit is also granted.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much and good evening.

SUSAN KALE: Thank you. Thank you, Matina, for staying. We appreciate you. Thank you so much.
(8:24 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 212290 -- 12 Scott Street. Maggie Booz.

MATINA WILLIAMS: You're welcome.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Good evening. We're here -- I'm here with my client, Mark Gregor, and we're here to request a special permit to widen a set of steps going up to the front porch of 12 Scott Street.

We're maintaining the same setback from the sidewalk edge to both porch and the set of steps. The set of steps is the bottom step is 13 -- about 13 feet, three inches or four inches from the sidewalk. And the porch is 17 feet plus from the sidewalk.

And those things will be maintained, but we're hoping to widen the steps in order to be more harmonious with the new porch that we've designed and make a more generous façade towards the street.

The house is nonconforming at to its rear yard
setback, and the front of the house in terms of the porch itself is nonconforming. That is, it's too close to the sidewalk, and that's why we're here.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me have Olivia just pull that up on the screen, so that you could see the requested relief. If we could go to a shot of it, I guess.

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yeah, I think the elevations are telling.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Right. Okay.
MAGGIE BOOZ: So we're widening the door. We're trying to get our glass into the front of the house, make the steps wider, make the porch wider -- just give it a more generous aspect towards the -- towards the street; more of a neighborhood relationship than it has now.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Good. Jim Monteverde, questions, comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: No, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions or comments at this time.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have nothing to add. Olivia, what is the public comment? Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you'll have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. There is no correspondence submitted the Board. I will close the public comment portion of the hearing. Maggie, back to you. Any further comments?

MAGGIE BOOZ: We have spoken to the neighbor directly across the street -- I should say Mark has -- who had no objections, and in fact volunteered to speak tonight. We thought that it wasn't a controversial proposal, but just to know that that neighbor is in support; and actually the neighbors on both sides are happy about the work that's going on as well.

So I think we're -- we're good.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. It actually looks like a
nice -- nice plan. Nice design.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: My thought. Ready for a motion, Board members? Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested as per, which is a special permit under 8.22d.

The Board finds that it may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of $a$ preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does make such
finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing
nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.40 (sic).

Under 10.43, the criteria for a special permit, it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

The Board finds that there would not be any traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use, and the Board incorporates by reference the presentation that the adjoining property owner has expressed no problem with the proposed work and is in support of the proposal.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use,
in fact the redesign, reconfiguration of the entry stairway would -- stairs -- would be an asset to anyone who enters or egresses the property.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow for a fair and reasonable tweaking of anybody's property to update it and also to make a better and safer entry and exit from the structure.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, on the condition that the work comply with the drawings submitted, and also the supporting statements and dimensional form and initialed by the Chair, prepared by Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor.
[All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The special permit for the proposal is granted. Good luck with it. MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you so much. Thank you.
(8:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 213662 -- 50 Kinnaird Street. Ms. Rhatigan?
[Pause]

Sarah? Kinnaird Street?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Hi. I'm so sorry. This is Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law. Apologize, Mr. Chairman, I was disconnected in the process of switching over to being a participant. Good evening and thank you for hearing this case. Sarah Rhatigan for the record, Trilogy Law, LLC, 12 Marshall Street in Boston.

And I'm here representing the owners of 50
Kinnaird Street, who are also here on the call -- Mariam Hassan and Akash Trivedi. We're here.

And this is a special permit request in order to allow this family to make a modification to the home, which is to construct a dormer at the third level that will allow them to have a full set of stairs, code-compliant stairs, up
to the third-floor living space in their home.
And it's a special permit request, because this is an existing, nonconforming two-family home. It's nonconforming both in terms of its setbacks, it's essentially on the left lot line with no setback. And the -- it already exceeds the area, gross floor area and the FAR for the district.

The dormer itself will simply extend up the wall, the nonconforming wall, on that left side of the structure. And thank you, Olivia, for helping us with this.

So if you look at the front elevation top left image there, that shows you in the shading the new shed dormer being proposed. So that wall of the house is essentially on the lot line. So that's the nonconformity extending the wall up.

And then by doing so, we're creating another 87 square feet; just a tiny bump up in the GFA for the building.

All the other -- I will just a couple things I wanted to mention: First, the reason for the dormer I think in some way is most apparent when we look at photos.

We don't have to move there yet, but we provided
a number of photos that show what the conditions are currently; a very steep set of sort of attic-style stairs -actually yeah, it would be -- thank you, the visual is helpful, $I$ think -- up to a very narrow third-floor level that has two bedrooms, but they're pretty constrained with steep roofs down and very little room in which you can actually fully stand up.

So yes, 28, page 28 is perfect. That's a perfect view, thanks. So this is what one of the bedrooms looks like now.

And then there's two on either side of the middle portion of the house. By allowing the shed dormer, this is going to allow for a full, code-compliant set of stairs up to the third level.

And I should say that the home, the first floor would be rented, and then Mariam and Akash will be living in the second- and third-floor apartment.

So this is going to really make a quite substantial change and make this home really useful for them for their living conditions.

The owners spent quite a bit of time working with their neighbor on the left that is perhaps most impacted by
the dormer. And they made some modifications to their plans, including they had originally planned to try to put on a rear deck at the second-floor level, which the neighbor wasn't pleased with, which is absolutely fine. And they, you know, removed those plans, as well as making some other modifications, moving some skylights that's they weren't too pleased with.

They also worked through concerns about construction and how the construction will be done. And that neighbor on that side submitted a letter of support, which I'm sure Mr. Chairman will probably see in the file, but it's -- Mr. Danny Pallin.

And he's actually sort of on call. He's busy but he has said if anyone asks, you know, questions or really wants to hear from him, he'd be happy to jump on the call today.

The other thing is that $I$ just wanted to comment Mr. Chairman, thank you for posing a question through the Administrator prior to this hearing about compliance with the Dormer Guidelines.

Olivia, would you mind just heading back to the elevation plan set for the proposed? Perfect, thank you.

So the architect and the homeowner spent quite a bit of time trying to comply as much as possible, both with -- you know, with all aspects of the zoning, but as well with the Dormer Guidelines. And they're compliant in a number of respects.

I think that the comment that you had had, or the question that you had posed is, "Well, there are no windows on the face of the dormer." The Dormer Guidelines do ask that -- I think that's something like 50 percent of the wall of a shed dormer be glass.

There are two reasons why we're not able to do that in this case. I think probably the most substantial one is that you can't put new windows on a zero-lot line or if you do, I believe that you may need to get a variance from the state building code for, you know, fire code compliance issues.

So that's kind of the driver there, as well as privacy concerns for the neighbor that we were just talking about to the left who is -- who said that, you know, they wouldn't want any windows on that side.

And in terms of the other things that may not technically comply, like it's not dropped down from the
ridge, there was an effort to try to do as much as they could, but there's just very limited room. And so, the team essentially designed a dormer that was as minimal as possible for the functional needs of the project.

I am happy to answer any other questions. The General Contractor is also available if others have questions, but $I$ would like to stand by and hear if there are any. Thank you.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. No, I think the question that I raised when I first saw the dormer I said, "Oh, there's no windows." And so, I asked Maria to alert you to -- I knew the question was going to come up. And, but then Dr. Pallin's letter came in after that, so that answers my question as to why.

So anyhow, and you've just addressed that. So Jim
Monteverde, any questions, comments at this time?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions. Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions, thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes to comment.
[Pause]
There's nobody calling in.
We are in receipt of correspondence from Dr.
Pallin. And it's to the Board.
"I am the owner and resident of 52 Kinnaird
Street. The owners of 50 Kinnaird are petitioning you for permission to build a dormer on our side of their house and to do some other work.
"Their house at 50 Kinnaird Street is built directly upon the dividing line with my property at 52 Kinnaird. In other words, there is zero setback on their side. They are petitioning to build a dormer that will be directly above my property line.
"The owners and the architect and their lawyer
have been in touch with me. They have assured me that no new windows or skylights will be built on my side of their building, which is the north side. They have also assured me that no new decks will be built anywhere near my home.
"Additionally, they have requested my permission to use my property for construction. They have graciously agreed to rent my accessory apartment. Finally, they have graciously assured me that the utmost care will be taken not to damage anything of my property, and that in the event of inadvertent damage, they would remediate without remonstration that $I$ have made a good faith request.
"Consequently, I offer my strong support for their petition. Please grant Miriam and Akash permission to build a dormer on the north side of 50 Kinnaird and to do all else depicted in the attached architectural drawing.
"As far as I know, everyone else in the neighborhood supports their plans, but my place is obviously the one most affected, so I hope you will give weight to my expression of total support for their plans.
"Thank you.
"Daniel J. Pallin, M.D., owner of 52 Kinnaird
Street."

I think that's the only correspondence that we have regarding this, and it is. So let me close the public comment part portion. Anything else to add, Sarah, before we take it to the Board?

SARAH RHATIGAN: There was a letter that I had tried to send earlier, and I think I may have had technical issue, but I'd be happy to read it into the record. It's another letter of support. And I've already e-mailed it to --

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: If it's a brief one, yep, you can give us the bullet points.

SARAH RHATIGAN: It's really brief.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Sure.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure. This is from James Arvanitis at 51 Kinnaird Street.
"I am writing this letter in support of the variance, or the zoning variance request, by Mariam Hassan for 50 Kinnaird Street. I'm one of the abutters to the property and support the renovations planned, provided they meet Engineering regulations and code."

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Great. Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. No other comments.

Thank you.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Board, any
comments, reservations? Ready for a motion?
Okay. Seeking relief for the application under
5.31, which is the dimensional requirements, they're exceeding it, but they are not creating any new nonconformity. And as such, can seek relief under 8.222d and 10.43 , which is the special permit.

Under 8.22 d , the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of $a$ preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does make such
finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in 10.43. Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

The Board finds that traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character.

The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use.

The Board notes and incorporates by reference the letter from the abutter, Dr. Pallin, giving support to this project and reason for the alterations to the design in order to satisfy his concerns with many of the design elements.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health,
safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, and the design shows, and so does the presentation by Counsel, that it would alleviate a very serious stair problem. Basically what is there now, it looks like a ship's ladder, and that the access and egress from the third level would be greatly enhanced by the new design.

And that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or otherwise -- or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow this Board to grant relief from the ordinance, where the Board finds that it's a fair and reasonable request.

Also that the request would allow for a codecompliant stairway, which would enhance the safety of anyone using the premises.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan yes.
[All vote YES]
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Five votes in favor. The
special permit as per the application is granted.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yep. Thank you, Sarah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Appreciate your time.
(8:47 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Wendy Leiserson, and Andrea Hickey

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will hear Case No. 213774 -- 60 Market Street. Mr. Glassman?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. Thank you for hearing this case tonight.

For the record, this is Adam Glassman with GCD Architects, 2 Worthington Street in Cambridge here representing the owners of 60 Market Street, Debbie and Sebastian Colley. They've lived at this house since 2020. They have been residents of Cambridge since 2007.

They live in this detached, single-family along with their two growing kids. They have a son who's 11, a daughter who is 9, and between the four of them, they occupy two bedrooms on the second floor.

We're asking tonight for a special permit under 8.22.d to convert the largely unusable and unsafe attic space into a mansard roof addition. No new nonconformity is
being created.
The existing lot is oddly-shaped, it's small. The vertical addition will maintain the existing nonconforming setbacks, and our FAR will increase from 1.07 to 1.24 by adding additional 243 square feet of living space to the attic.

Olivia, do you want to start with the slides?
On the cover sheet is the proposed work, proposed mansard on the third floor.

I'll add that several nights ago we were at the Planning Board, and they enthusiastically endorsed this project, and they have sent a letter to the file recommending BZA approval.

We also have support letters from our -- two of our direct abutters also supporting the proposed work.

Next slide?
Our existing floor plans you can see on the second
floor we've got two bedrooms and a bathroom. The existing attic space is very narrow, very low ceiling heights and on the second floor you can see this existing winder stairs that's nonconforming with the building code.

It has very low head height. It has narrow
winders, which are dangerous. This actually is our FAR page, which shows that we've got an existing 1581 square feet in the home with a 1.07 FAR , existing FAR allowable FAR at $\mathrm{Z1} .75$.

Next slide, please?

Our proposed FAR brings the square footage of the house to 1824 square feet by converting the gable into a mansard design.

Next slide, please?
This is an image of our lot, with very small, oddly-shaped trapezoid. You can see the setback below. It goes all the way to the center of the lot, so any vertical addition necessarily continues those setback violations. We will lose no open space with this project.

Next slide, please?

Our zoning legend: Any existing conformities will remain. Any non-existing conformities will remain. We will only increase the nonconformity with the $F A R$, because of the additional 243 square feet.

Next slide?

Image of the existing house: little gable, halfstory on the top.

Next slide?
Our existing floor plans. Here you can better see the two bedrooms on the second floor, the shared full bathroom, also on the second floor. Bedroom 2 is, I believe, used by Debbie and Sebastian. Bedroom 1 is shared by the two children, the son and the daughter.

They're getting older; there's a need to create more bedrooms, not only so they can have their own spaces, but we have visiting grandparents who come and stay and there's really no place for them to be comfortable.

Next slide, please?
Our extent of the demolition: The existing thirdfloor plan here. It actually says two bedrooms, but they're more like -- almost like finished storage spaces. One of them is used as an office, and the other one really is used as mainly storage and when the grandparents visit, they turn it into a makeshift bedroom. But it really is inadequate.

You can see on the lower left of the third-floor plan how the stairs duck under the roof. The head height gets very low, and it's not a safe access or egresses for anyone.

Next slide, please?

Our existing elevations. The extent of the halfstory gate will be removed, as shown here.

Next slide?

The same thing here; the top portion of the house, the half-story gable will be removed.

Next slide?
The proposed mansard tapered to look historically correct. We had a previous version of the mansard, which was a little top-heavy, a little clunky. We received feedback from the Planning Board, responded to that, and this is the design that they've enthusiastically approved or endorsed.

Next slide?
These are the mansards from the right rear and to the left rear. On the upper left of the proposed rear right side view, you can see the two windows with the panel in the middle.

Originally, we had three windows in that area, our neighbor on the right side requested that we adapt one window from that space, which we did, and we added it into the back, which they had no problem with. And I believe we have a support letter from them.

Next slide, please?

These are the -- what we say are the proposed plans, but we have no changes to the basement. The first floor and the change to the second floor, we'll be building a code-compliant stair up to the third floor.

Next slide, please?
The proposed third-floor conversion, we're able to add two more bedrooms and another full bathroom. So we've got enough space -- bedroom space and bathroom space for a family of four and visiting grandparents.

Next slide?
Elevations showing the new work, mansard at the top. No changes to the stories below.

Next slide?

Same views from the left and the rear. The design of the dormer: The mansard is consistent from all four sides.

Next slide?
Enlarged view of the dormer or of the window grouping that the neighbor asked us to adjust, which is shown here.

Next slide?

Our sections showing the adequate headroom we can now provide on the third floor.

Next slide, please?
Our existing and proposed views side-by-side. The scale, massing of the house remains pretty much intact. The character of the neighborhood remains intact.

Next slide, please?
Additional existing proposed views side-by-side.
Next slide, please?
Final existing proposed views.
Next slide?
Our site plans, we don't really have to say too much about this. We've already covered that towards the beginning. We've got a very tight lot. We've got very, very challenging setback requirements on this lot. I believe that is the end of our presentation. Happy to take any questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions, Mr. Chair.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions at this time.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. And I have no
questions. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment.

The Board is in receipt of correspondence from Denise Bergman and Gerald Bergman.
"As homeowner/abutters to 60 Market Street, we offer our support to Sebastian and Debbie Colley's petition for a special permit, per amended plans dated 03/27/23."

There is also correspondence from Susan Hartnett and Wayne Raila -- R-a-i-l-a at 88 Elm Street.
"We write to support Sebastian and Debbie Colley's request for a special permit. We are abutters to their property. Over the past several weeks, Sebastian and Debbie have worked with us to revise their designs, setting the height of the roofline at 27'9", a height that is 7" lower
than their original plans. They have also reduced the number of new windows that will face our home and yard from three to two. We appreciate their willingness to make these changes and offer our support for their request for a special permit."

The Board is also in receipt of communication from the Planning Board regarding 60 Market Street dated April 12.
"At its regular meeting of April 11, 2023, the Planning Board discussed this proposal with the revised plan set -- dated 04/03/23 and voted to forward a favorable recommendation to the BZA on the special permit request for this project."

That is the sum and substance of any correspondence. I will close the public comment portion and send it back to you, Mr. Glassman. Any parting words at all?

ADAM GLASSMAN: No. No parting words.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Open it to the board. Any concerns by the members of the Board? Ready for a motion?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion.

ANDREA HICKEY: Ready.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: I must note that I'll
editorialize here for 60 seconds that I had the better first part of my life in a house with a mansard roof, and I'm always very partial to mansard roofs.

And I actually researched it a little bit and found out that mansard roofs, which were introduced back in the late 1500s, were introduced as a way to skirt sort of taxing of number of windows and the mansard roof allowed for more interior living space without the addition of a tremendous amount of windows.

And in the 1700 s, there was a law passed which taxed interior rooms of a height of a building at 65', limited to 65', but it only went up to the cornice line. And so, a mansard roof, then, was able to raise that area above the cornice line and provide interior living space.

So it was a way to get around the zoning and here we are so many years later, we're still seeking relief from zoning for mansard roofs. But it's a good design.

So on the motion, then, to grant the relief requested under 8.22 d , the Board may grant a special permit for the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting
dimensionally nonconforming, detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling not otherwise permitted in 8.22.1 above, but not the alteration or enlargement of a preexisting, nonconforming use.

Provided that there is no change in use, and that any enlargement or alteration of such preexisting, nonconforming detached single-family dwelling or two-family dwelling may only increase a preexisting dimensional
nonconformity but does not create a new dimensional nonconformity.

In order to grant the special permit, the Board of Zoning Appeal is required to find -- and does make such finding -- that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood, and that the alteration or enlargement satisfies the criteria in Section 10.43.

Under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in
the established neighborhood character.
The Board finds that continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use. The Board notes and incorporates by references the letters from abutting general property owners in support of this project.

The Board finds that there would not be any nuisance created to the detriment of the health, safety or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use; in fact, it would be greatly enhanced by the addition of the codecompliant stairway to the third-floor area.

The Board finds that the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district.

The Board notes by reference the support from the Planning Board of the current revised plan and would not otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance to allow homeowners to add additional space in order to keep families in the city, which is an asset to the city and out of City policy to encourage reasonable development to allow for housing for families.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit
as per the application, on the condition that it conform to the drawings, supporting statements and dimensional forms initialed by the Chair, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor. [All vote YES]

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The special permit as per the application is granted.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Goodnight.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Goodnight, yep.
(9:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Brendan Sullivan, Jim Monteverde, Andrea A. Hickey, Wendy Leiserson, and Matina Williams

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: The Board will now hear Case No. 211717 -- 402 Rindge Avenue. Mr. Braillard? Adam? ADAM BRAILLARD: Sorry, guys.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: No, that's all right.
ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. Adam Braillard, Prince Lobel Tye. We're at One International Place in Boston, Massachusetts, here on behalf of the applicant, T-Mobile Northeast LLC.

We're here in connection with the special permit in a 6409 eligible facilities request from the Board to modify an existing wireless communications facility that's located at 402 Rindge Road. It's located in the Residential C-2 Zoning District.

Just getting right into it, what we're proposing to do is really modify the existing telecommunications facility. And the proposal will consist of removing the six existing panel antennas to two per sector and replacing
those with three antennas per sector for nine total panel antennas, which will be of like-kind antennas.

We're also going to remove 12 remote radio units and then replace those with six new like-kind radio units. So you're going to be actually reducing the number of remote radio units there.

We are going to remove or replace two of the radio cabinets that are out of view with three new radio cabinets, and still we remain out of view; going to be located in the same locations.

And then we're going to be removing unused
additional equipment, including three dish antenna that are all on the rooftop or penthouse façade of this building, which is the antennas average about 200 to 211,212 feet up in the air.

Like I said, the building and the existing facility is located in the Residential C Zoning District, but we believe that the nonconforming uses still do prevail in the area and the vicinity because of -- that has been the finding in the past.

There hasn't been many significant changes with respect to uses with existing nonresidential uses in the
area like the Summer Shack and the major thoroughfare like Alewife Brook Parkway and the train station across the street, and then some of the bigger box stores to the south. And like I said, the Board has determined that that is the case in this area, in that there hasn't been significant changes.

And finally, I just want to note that the proposal, because it's very -- it's substantially similar to what is existing in -- from an aesthetic standpoint, is a de minimis change; is not inconsistent with the character that does prevail in the surrounding neighborhood.

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Any questions? Jim Monteverde, any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams, any questions?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: No questions.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And I have no questions either. Let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public
who wish to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants," and then click the button that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
[Pause]
There appears to be nobody calling in. So I will close the public comment portion. We are not -- we have no receipt of correspondence from any interested party. So I will close that portion and send it back to Adam. Any other comments you wish to make?

ADAM BRAILLARD: No, thank you, Mr. Chair. BRENDAN SULLIVAN: All right. Ready for a motion? Any concern by members of the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to grant the relief requested, which is a special permit under Section 4.32 g 1 and the 10.43 Requirements for a Special Permit.
"Under 4.32, The Board shall consider the scope of, or limitation imposed by any license secured from any state or federal agency having jurisdiction over such
matters."

And the Board finds upon this submission that $T$ Mobile is duly licensed, that there are no restrictions, and that the application falls within their parameters in which to operate.
"The Board shall consider the extent to which the visual impact of the various elements of the proposed facility is minimized." The Board finds that the photo simulations does demonstrate and attempt to minimize the visual appearance of the proposal, and the compliance with that required.
"Where it is proposed to erect a facility in any residential zoning district, the extent to which there was a demonstrated public need for the facility at the proposed use, and the existence of alternative functional suitable sites and nonresidential locations, and the character of the prevailing uses in the area, and the prevalence of other existing mechanical systems and equipment carried on or above the roof of nearby structures."

The Board notes that this particular building is well-suited for this type of installation because of its height and the ability, then, to transmit over a wider area
without encumbrances of any natural forces.
The Board finds that under 10.43, it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance can be met with the granting of the special permit.
"Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in the established neighborhood character."

The Board notes the existence of existing telecommunication facilities at the locus, and that no adverse effect has been noted from the existing and none is anticipated for the proposed.

The Board finds that "continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use." In fact, the Board finds that the increase in the facility and the improvement and modernization of equipment would enhance the development and uses of adjoining properties for an advanced telecommunication benefit.

The Board finds that "there would not be any nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use,
or to the citizens of the city."
The Board finds that "the proposed use will
benefit the city and promote safety and welfare for its residents, businesses and also drivers by providing reliable, state-of-the-art digital, wireless voice and data services."

The Board finds that "the proposed installation would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district, or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of the ordinance."

The Board finds that the ordinance allows this Board to grant special permits from time to time to upgrade facilities to enhance the telecommunication ability of its citizens and anybody traversing in the immediate area.

In furtherance, the Chair moves that the petition be granted for the special permit on the following conditions: That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the
petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.
That the petitioner at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

That should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practical.

That the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects the condition imposed by this Board with respect to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

Further, in as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with
the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emitting from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file such reports with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso
facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraph a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with the geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to
the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

On the motion, then, to grant the special permit as per the application, supporting statements submitted and initialed by the Chair, Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes, in favor?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: And Brendan Sullivan in favor. [All vote YES]

The special permit is granted.
ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you, folks. Appreciate it.
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Thank you, Adam. And that
concludes tonight. Thank you.
[9:16 p.m. End of Proceedings.]
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| 108:17 127:13 | introduce 26:12 | 70:18,21 71:17 | Kale 113:11,11 | 32:15,18 34:7 |
| 135:13 162:3 | introduced | 71:19 72:15 | 113:15,16,17 | 34:8,16 35:1 |
| 169:18 170:1 | 159:7,8 | 73:7 79:5,6,8 | 114:22 115:6 | 36:12,17,19,20 |
| 173:10 | introduction | 82:10,11,14 | 120:15,20 | 37:2,4,14,14 |
| initialing 82:18 | 90:14 99:15 | 88:3 90:20,22 | 123:2 129:10 | 39:5 42:15,15 |
| initially $57: 6$ | involve 50:4 | 95:16,17 97:3 | keep 161:19 | 44:4,20,20 |
| 76:10 | 81:2 104:8 | 100:7,8 105:13 | keeping 35:2,5 | 45:6,7,15,17 |
| Inspectional | 125:13 | 105:14 108:18 | keeps 25:19 | 45:18,18 46:6 |
| 170:22 171:5,9 | involved 92:19 | 108:19 110:3 | kept 4:4 | 49:14 56:15,18 |
| 171:19 172:17 | 103:7 | 111:21 112:1 | key 32:18 97:22 | 56:18,22 57:7 |
| inspired 114:22 | involves 115:14 | 113:3 118:17 | kids 70:21 71:19 | 58:2 59:22 |
| inspires 118:19 | ipso 171:9,22 | 118:18,22 | 72:8 150:17 | 60:5,5,15,22 |
| installation | ish 118:1 | 119:14 120:7 | kind 20:9,13 | 61:4,19,21 |
| 167:21 169:7 | issue 19:16 | 120:19,21 | 21:3 24:4 | 63:5,11,15,20 |


| 64:5 67:2,16 | 41:13,16,20,21 | 84:17,20 88:4 | light 98:19 | 121:17 139:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67:16,17 69:12 | 42:3 47:12,13 | 91:9 95:20,21 | 128:12 | 152:21 154:9,9 |
| 70:16 72:7 | 52:6,8,9,9,21 | 97:4 100:13,14 | like-kind 164:2 | 159:6 |
| 77:14 78:12 | 53:2,3 87:7,8 | 100:21 101:5,8 | 164:4 | livability 108:4 |
| 80:3 83:20 | law 3:14 137:11 | 101:18,22 | likewise 119:19 | 126:18 |
| 85:18 89:6 | 137:14 159:12 | 102:3,6,8 | limit 81:3 | livable 124:13 |
| 90:18 100:17 | 171:15 173:7 | 105:17,18 | 119:10,12 | 125:18 |
| 106:7 111:7 | lawyer 143:22 | 108:22 109:1 | limitation 54:22 | live $24: 126: 15$ |
| 121:10,10 | leave 49:4 68:17 | 110:4 112:4,5 | 166:21 | 27:6 48:9 |
| 132:20 140:5 | 68:22 | 113:4 122:2,4 | limited 34:14 | 150:16 |
| 140:14 141:3 | leaves 36:6 | 123:20 124:2,8 | 142:2 159:14 | lived 103:5 |
| 141:15,19 | 77:12 | 127:5,6 128:22 | 171:13 | 113:18,18 |
| 144:16 | leaving 52:21 | 129:1 130:4 | limiting 3:10 | 150:14 |
| knowing 72:5 | Lefkowitz 88:10 | 131:20,21 | limits 50:17 | lives 93:3 |
| knows 20:11 | 88:15,19 89:3 | 135:18,19 | 81:14 | living 29:16 30:8 |
|  | 89:8 96:10,15 | 137:4 142:20 | line 25:5,19 27:4 | 98:19 105:11 |
| L | left 18:18 20:18 | 142:21 148:21 | 31:4 35:2,3,10 | 115:4 123:18 |
| 124:7 | 21:13 29:20 | 148:22 150:4 | 43:7,16 45:4 | 138:1 139:16 |
| ladder 148:5 | 31:8 32:5 33:8 | 156:21,22 | 46:19 86:14 | 139:20 151:5 |
| land 50:21 51:14 | 37:6 75:2,2 | 162:7,8 163:4 | 98:11 116:9 | 159:10,16 |
| landscape 56:11 | 77:3,20 78:21 | 165:17,18 | 117:2 121:11 | LLC 137:14 |
| 74:3 | 80:15 83:14 | 173:14,15 | 138:5,14 | 163:13 |
| landscaped 77:5 | 84:4 85:1,2 | length 43:5,22 | 141:13 143:18 | Lobel 163:11 |
| landscaping | 103:15,15,16 | 57:2 117:16 | 143:21 159:14 | located 17:6 |
| 58:2 82:18 | 116:15 117:11 | let's 8:1 17:11 | 159:16 | 43:2 81:11 |
| 99:11 | 119:8 138:5,9 | 44:12,13 46:20 | lines 21:14 | 99:9 163:17,17 |
| languishing | 138:11 139:22 | 111:9 | 27:13 35:6 | 164:9,17 170:9 |
| 78:8 | 141:19 153:18 | letter 10:18 12:7 | 98:9 115:22 | location 64:7 |
| large 24:13 | 154:15,15 | 21:1 54:16 | 116:21,21 | 81:13 99:4,6 |
| 44:21 56:11 | 155:15 | 140:10 142:13 | Linn 18:4,5,6,7 | 99:10,11 101:3 |
| largely 150:21 | left-hand 44:4 | 145:5,8,16 | 24:19,22 26:5 | 106:3 |
| larger 42:16 | 44:16 | 147:17 151:12 | 29:2,21 30:1,5 | locations 164:10 |
| late 159:8 | legal 25:21 | 154:22 | 31:5 32:3,6,20 | 167:16 173:3 |
| latest 62:22 | legend 152:16 | letters 51:3 | 33:1,4 36:5 | locus 70:1 168:9 |
| Laura 1:9 3:5 | Leiserson 1:8 | 94:19 151:14 | 37:8,12 38:13 | logs 17:11 |
| 4:17,18 5:4 | 3:5 4:13,14 5:4 | 161:6 | 38:16 39:5 | long 21:5 31:17 |
| 6:10,11 7:4 | 6:6,7 7:3 8:21 | level 30:7 46:2 | 40:6 | 45:21 47:6 |
| 8:18,20 12:4 | 8:22 10:7,8,22 | 68:11 89:20,22 | literal 50:3,8 | 67:8,15,18 |
| 12:19,20 13:14 | 12:4,21,22 | 114:12,16 | 81:1 104:7,12 | 72:9 127:19 |
| 13:16,22 14:1 | 14:18 15:1,6 | 115:22 137:21 | 104:13 125:12 | long-term 35:21 |
| 14:3,9,12,15 | 16:4,9,19 17:1 | 139:4,14 140:3 | little 8:4 10:8 | 35:22 |
| 14:18,20 15:5 | 23:2,4,6 31:16 | 148:6 | 12:12 20:18 | longer 12:12 |
| 15:10 16:3 | 35:16 39:20,21 | license 166:21 | 21:21 29:9 | 54:12 59:8 |
| 17:13,14,15,16 | 54:4 58:16,18 | licensed 167:3 | 30:7 31:7,8 | 118:6 |
| 17:19,21,22 | 59:15 64:11 | licenses 172:1 | 33:8 43:8,9 | look 31:9 35:2 |
| 23:5 35:14,18 | 65:20,22 67:1 | lieu 118:3 | 44:6 46:4 | 36:12 44:4 |
| 35:19 39:1,22 | 72:15 73:17,20 | life 67:4 98:2 | 75:18 85:13 | 45:2,17 46:12 |
| 40:1 41:4,8,11 | 77:15 78:1 | 113:19 159:4 | 87:7 116:5,15 | 56:13 58:3 |
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| 64:21 67:11 | luck 52:19,19 | Malden 88:21 | 52:3 54:3 | 73:21 74:2,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71:3 72:7 | 86:8 87:1 | mansard 150:22 | 58:13,15 64:10 | 74:15,17,20 |
| 101:20 110:15 | 92:12 96:8 | 151:9 152:8 | 79:13,15,18,20 | 75:11 77:1,4,7 |
| 110:22 119:21 | 109:7 136:3 | 154:7,8 155:12 | 79:21 80:2,6 | 77:13,19 78:4 |
| 138:11,21 |  | 155:16 159:4,5 | 82:12,13 84:9 | 80:20,21 83:3 |
| 154:7 | M | 159:7,9,15,19 | 87:4,8,12,15 | 84:3,4,8,10,12 |
| looked 25:10 | M.D 144:21 | mansards | 87:17,19 88:3 | 84:15 85:21 |
| 67:3,17 73:8 | MacArthur | 154:14 | 91:1,4,5 95:18 | 86:7,10,13 |
| 120:10 121:2 | 18:8 24:8 | maple 24:13 | 95:19 96:10 | 87:2 |
| looking 19:7 | 26:10,14,14 | March 3:12 | 97:3 100:9,11 | mean 22:1 24:22 |
| 22:7 32:16 | 27:15,19 30:13 | marginal 21:3 | 105:15,16 | 25:6 28:15 |
| 36:17 45:5 | 30:15 33:13,16 | Maria 97:9 | 108:20,21 | 37:2 46:2 65:5 |
| 46:7,10 61:17 | 33:19 34:1,3,5 | 142:11 | 110:3 112:6,7 | 66:18 72:21 |
| 65:10 91:21 | 34:5,7 36:6,8 | Mariam 137:17 | 113:3 122:6,9 | means 89:15 |
| 99:21 101:10 | 37:3,7,9,11 | 139:16 145:17 | 122:10 127:7,8 | meant 123:21 |
| 113:20 117:14 | 38:1,5,9,15 | mark 82:17 83:1 | 129:2,3,10 | measure 73:3 |
| 117:22 124:10 | MacArthur's | 83:8 84:1 86:3 | 130:3, 8 131:22 | measured 56:22 |
| looks 30:21 31:1 | 23:22 | 86:4 130:10 | 132:1 135:20 | 69:13 72:20 |
| 67:3 88:19 | MacArthurs | 132:17 | 135:21 137:3 | mechanical |
| 133:2 139:9 | 21:9 30:9 | markable 50:10 | 142:22 143:1 | 61:12 167:18 |
| 148:4 | MacArthurs' | market 2:20 | 149:1,2 150:3 | meet 145:20 |
| lose 32:18 33:3 | 20:4 | 51:19 65:12 | 157:1,2 162:9 | meeting 1:4 3:7 |
| 152:14 | Madam 113:8 | 150:7,13 | 162:10 163:4 | 3:8,9,14,18 |
| lost $74: 14$ | Maggie 130:7,9 | 157:13 158:7 | 165:15,16 | 42:9,11 110:14 |
| lot 9:17 27:15 | 131:7,10 | marketable | 173:16,17 | 158:9 |
| 34:7 37:22 | 132:14,16 | 61:16 65:9 | matter 6:14 7:12 | meetings 3:15 |
| 44:21 50:14,21 | 133:4 136:4 | marketing | 8:15 11:2 12:7 | 4:7 |
| 50:22 56:11 | magic 36:16,17 | 60:21 | 12:16 13:6 | meets 51:17 |
| 60:7 63:7,15 | 36:22 | marking 83:15 | 19:11 36:10 | member 13:19 |
| 63:16 64:16 | main 46:17 | 84:19,21 | 39:8,15 40:5 | 54:12 62:15 |
| 66:4 72:11,14 | 97:19 | Marshall 54:11 | 68:8 101:5,7 | 64:8 87:11 |
| 73:4 78:13 | maintain 27:13 | 137:15 | 111:21 112:10 | 91:13 102:13 |
| 81:12 86:20 | 73:13 77:11 | mass 97:12 99:5 | 170:18 | members 3:4 |
| 104:18 114:5,6 | 151:3 170:2 | 121:9 124:16 | matters 167:1 | 4:1,1,4,12,12 |
| 114:7 115:15 | maintained 51:5 | Massachusetts | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }} 28: 5$ | 5:3 7:3 12:3 |
| 119:5,9 124:19 | 61:9 76:20 | 1:5,6 163:12 | maxed 34:16 | 16:3 23:10 |
| 138:5,14 151:2 | 130:18 173:2 | 175:2,5 | maximize 78:2 | 36:11 38:22 |
| 152:10,12 | maintaining | massing 44:19 | maxing 44:9 | 41:3 42:11 |
| 156:14,15 | 90:9 130:13 | 45:14 46:3 | McArthur's | 43:10 47:2,22 |
| lots 27:21,22 | maintains 73:10 | 119:22 156:5 | 31:18 | 48:1 54:3,8,11 |
| 37:22 50:19 | major 165:1 | match 98:17 | McCarthy 54:7 | 55:6 63:3 69:5 |
| love 38:17 70:13 | majority 121:4 | matches 45:6 | 54:8 55:4,6 | 72:19 78:6,17 |
| lovely $37: 15,16$ | makeshift | Matina 1:9 9:1 | 56:5,8 57:17 | 88:3 93:5 97:3 |
| 60:10 | 153:17 | 13:1 16:7,11 | 57:20 59:4 | 110:3 113:3,9 |
| low 151:19,22 | making 22:16 | 16:16 17:3,4 | 61:2 63:2,4 | 122:14 125:4,8 |
| 153:20 | 34:12 45:14 | 17:11 40:7 | 68:15 69:4,7 | 130:3 132:4 |
| lower 30:7 | 47:19 65:15 | 41:4,7 42:3 | 70:12,16,18,20 | 133:6 137:3 |
| 153:18 157:22 | 140:5 | 47:16,18 52:2 | 71:13,16 73:19 | 143:3 150:3,9 |


| 157:4 158:20 | 116:11,19 | 135:14,15 | multipurpose | 124:10 142:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162:15 163:3 | modernization | 137:3 142:16 | 18:11 19:8 | negligible |
| 163:10 165:22 | 168:17 | 142:17 148:17 | 20:1,2 33:10 | 123:17 |
| 166:14 | modification | 148:18 150:3 | Murray 123:5,6 | neighbor 21:22 |
| membership | 121:8 137:20 | 156:18 158:22 | mute 4:4 23:14 | 44:6 45:16 |
| 41:22 | modifications | 162:3,4 163:3 | 48:5 62:19 | 120:12 123:2 |
| mention 57:21 | 140:1,6 | 165:13,14 | 79:18,22 88:11 | 132:16,20 |
| 138:20 | modify $20: 13$ | 166:15 173:10 | 91:18 102:17 | 139:22 140:3 |
| mentioned | 163:16,20 | 173:11 | 122:18 132:9 | 140:10 141:18 |
| 115:21 | moment 7:7 | month 57:5 | 143:7 157:9 | 154:19 155:20 |
| met 18:13 25:21 | 28:22 33:2,22 | months 6:2,15 | 166:5 | neighborhood |
| 94:10 107:5 | 47:7 59:13 | 24:3 26:4 | N | 7:13 34:20 |
| 134:7 147:6 | 121:22 | 170:8 | N | 45:9 49:13 |
| 160:19 168:3 | Monday 13:12 | Moore 49:10,10 | N 2:1 3:1 | 50:12,22 51:20 |
| metal 97:21 | 39:14 111:19 | 49:17 | N-a-d-e- 24:6 | 62:3 70:4 72:1 |
| middle 77:19 | money 64:16 | Moskow 18:7 | Nadel 24:6,15 | 72:5 73:10,12 |
| 84:6,12 85:4 | 70:13 78:13 | motion 6:1 8:10 | name 3:7 4:2 | 78:12 81:6,8 |
| 139:11 154:17 | Monteverde 1:7 | 9:6 10:20 | 71:13 88:11 | 90:11 92:20 |
| Middlesex 175:3 | 3:4 4:19,20 5:3 | 12:16 39:8,15 | 89:7 113:9 | 94:7,14 99:14 |
| mile 63:22 | 6:4,5 7:4 8:11 | 49:6,21 50:3 | narrow 81:12 | 107:1,9 119:17 |
| mind 65:7 | 8:12 12:3,17 | 51:21 78:7,17 | 114:6 119:9 | 119:22 120:8,9 |
| 116:19 140:21 | 12:18 16:3,7 | 79:10 80:7 | 139:4 151:19 | 120:11,13 |
| minimal 126:7 | 17:12 22:4,6 | 82:9 93:7 | 151:22 | 128:2 131:14 |
| 142:3 | 22:21 28:11 | 95:15 104:1,7 | nation 81:8 | 134:3,12 |
| minimally 61:9 | 39:2,16,17 | 105:12 108:14 | natural 98:19 | 144:17 147:3 |
| minimis 165:10 | 41:3,7 42:2 | 111:15,21 | 168:1 | 147:11 156:6 |
| minimize 78:2 | 47:2,4 51:22 | 125:10 127:16 | naturally 78:3 | 160:15 161:1 |
| 167:9 | 52:1 54:3 | 128:17 133:6,6 | nature 81:15 | 165:11 168:7 |
| minimized | 58:10,12 69:22 | 135:10 146:3 | 94:17 107:18 | neighbors 18:17 |
| 167:8 | 70:8,11,15,18 | 148:16 158:21 | 126:6 128:5 | 18:18 19:10,10 |
| minimum 20:7 | 70:21 71:17,19 | 158:22 159:20 | 134:15 147:14 | 19:21 20:10,12 |
| minutes 4:8 | 73:775:5 79:5 | 161:22 166:13 | 161:4 168:14 | 20:22 22:17 |
| 23:15 48:6 | 79:8 82:10,11 | 166:15,16 | near 144:4 | 24:8 27:2 |
| 122:19 132:10 | 82:14 88:3 | 173:8 | nearby 167:19 | 28:16,16 31:3 |
| 143:8 157:10 | 90:20,22 95:16 | motivated 64:18 | 173:4,5 | 34:10,22 35:13 |
| Miriam 144:13 | 95:17 97:3 | motivations | necessarily | 51:3,15 59:19 |
| mix 42:22 44:16 | 100:7,8 105:13 | 64:21 | 152:13 | 67:12 70:3 |
| 44:17 | 105:14 108:18 | move 17:6 29:8 | necessary 48:17 | 75:15 78:9 |
| mix-up 87:8 | 108:19 110:3 | 29:8 32:4 | 62:11 83:7 | 98:15 132:21 |
| mixed 9:16 | 111:22 112:1 | 60:19 138:22 | need 10:3 14:22 | neighbors' |
| Mm-hm 29:21 | 113:3 118:17 | moves 45:13 | 36:18 60:3 | 37:20 |
| 30:5 31:10 | 118:18,22 | 169:15 | 78:8 83:22 | neither 121:3 |
| 32:14,20 33:1 | 119:14 120:7 | moving 30:6 | 89:20 90:4,7 | 175:8 |
| 76:6 87:19 | 120:19,21 | 74:16 140:6 | 141:14 153:7 | never 25:12 |
| Mobile 167:3 | 121:19 125:6 | much-needed | 167:14 | new 13:8 39:10 |
| moderately | 127:1,2 128:18 | 81:17 125:15 | needed 98:18 | 39:12,13 43:16 |
| 118:4 | 128:19 130:3 | multiple 20:11 | needs 19:7 62:9 | 43:18 61:12 |
| moderator | 131:15,17 | 20:21 | 78:12 89:10 | 64:16 83:3,6 |
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| 93:11 94:1 | 106:18 133:19 | 159:9 164:5 | 17:1,22 21:19 | ones 57:22,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100:3 103:6 | 133:20 138:14 | 0 | 22:22 23:5,9 | ongoing 170:19 |
| 106:6,17 | 146:7,19,20 | O | 25:14 28:8 | open 3:13 19:16 |
| 111:17,18 | 150:22 152:18 | o 3:1 30:21 | 31:15 33:18,20 | 23:9 29:15 |
| 114:16 116:22 | 160:9,10 | objections | 33:20 34:2 | 38:18 44:3 |
| 123:2 124:16 | nonfunctional | 132:18 | 35:14,18 36:5 | 46:6,9,13 47:2 |
| 130:20 133:19 | 116:17 | objective 51:18 | 37:5 38:18 | 47:20,22 55:19 |
| 138:12 141:13 | nonresidential | 95:14 | 39:3 41:19 | 55:20,21 56:2 |
| 144:2,4 146:6 | 164:22 167:16 | obstruction 20:3 | 42:4 47:12 | 56:22 57:1,3,5 |
| 146:19 148:6 | Nope 91:5 | obvious 19:17 | 49:9,16 54:10 | 57:7,10 58:9 |
| 150:22 155:12 | 118:16 | 119:11 | 56:1,7 57:12 | 59:1,7,9,11,18 |
| 158:2 160:9 | norm 50:12 | obviously 36:18 | 57:18 58:20 | 60:5 61:21 |
| 164:4,8 172:5 | north 18:12 | 37:14,19 78:20 | 60:14 64:8 | 62:11,14 64:22 |
| 172:10 | 113:15,18 | 80:17 117:13 | 65:18 66:12 | 65:4,11 66:8 |
| newer 20:16 | 144:3,14 | 124:12 144:17 | 68:3 78:5 79:6 | 66:10,21 67:3 |
| Newman 123:6 | Northeast | occupant 95:1,4 | 79:9,10,15 | 67:7 68:10 |
| nice 49:14 56:13 | 163:13 | 107:22 126:21 | 80:1,5,22 | 69:12,13,14,17 |
| 58:3 61:19 | Notary 175:4,16 | 128:10 134:22 | 83:12 84:16 | 70:22 71:10 |
| 133:3,3,3 | note 159:2 165:7 | 148:1 | 85:14 86:7,20 | 72:3,9,14,20 |
| Nicola 49:10,10 | noted 83:16 | occupants | 87:1,3,4,13,16 | 73:14 74:4,13 |
| 49:17 | 114:19 119:7 | 161:10 168:22 | 88:13,17 90:19 | 76:13,19 78:19 |
| night 87:7 | 126:20 168:10 | 173:5 | 91:4,7 93:7 | 80:14 83:13 |
| nights 151:10 | notes 41:21 51:3 | occupies 108:5 | 100:13 101:8 | 90:3 91:13 |
| nine 20:20 164:1 | 82:7 128:6 | occupy 116:8 | 102:3,6 103:22 | 93:1 99:12 |
| non-existing | 147:16 161:5 | 150:18 | 110:6,18 111:6 | 100:6 102:12 |
| 152:17 | 161:15 167:20 | occurred 171:20 | 111:11 115:16 | 103:10 122:13 |
| noncompliance | 168:8 | odd 31:18 | 115:16 117:16 | 143:2 152:14 |
| 36:21 93:11 | notice 57:13 | oddly-shaped | 118:7,8,13 | 157:4 158:19 |
| nonconforming | 58:8 172:6 | 151:2 152:11 | 124:9 125:7,9 | 165:22 |
| 34:12 89:11 | notification | off-street 61:15 | 131:4,9 133:6 | operate 167:5 |
| 90:12 93:15,18 | 171:18 | offer 68:15 | 142:9 145:21 | operation 94:15 |
| 93:21 94:6 | notify $171: 12$ | 114:17 144:12 | 146:4 158:19 | 107:16 128:3 |
| 97:16 100:4 | November 6:3 | 157:14 158:4 | 165:12 166:16 | 134:13 147:12 |
| 106:9,12,15,22 | 6:15 | office 19:9 | old 61:7 119:6 | 161:2 168:12 |
| 115:14 116:16 | nuisance 94:21 | 153:15 | 124:19 | opiate 29:6 |
| 117:10,14 | 107:20 128:9 | officer 113:20 | older 153:7 | opportunities |
| 119:6 127:19 | 134:21 147:22 | officially 10:15 | Olivia 1:12 4:13 | 71:5 |
| 130:22 131:2 | 161:9 168:21 | Oh 9:15 14:14 | 4:15,17,19,21 | opportunity |
| 133:11,14,17 | nullifying 51:10 | 14:17 16:19 | 23:16 31:5,10 | 103:7,20 |
| 134:3 138:3,4 | 82:3 105:8 | 18:5 33:15 | 48:7 56:1 | opposed 26:19 |
| 138:9 146:11 | 126:14 | 41:12 49:8 | 57:12 69:22 | 32:9 42:17 |
| 146:14,17 | number 4:9 | 70:8,8 73:22 | 131:4 132:4 | opposite 99:8 |
| 147:3 151:3,21 | 10:12 31:7 | 87:12 101:18 | 138:10 140:21 | opposition |
| 160:1,4,7,15 | 36:16,17,22 | 124:1 142:10 | 151:7 | 24:14 |
| 164:18 | 56:4 76:15 | okay 7:20 8:6,9 | once 34:13 35:1 | options 19:12 |
| nonconformity | 100:4 124:17 | 9:20 10:17,22 | one- 114:11 | oral 82:22 |
| 93:12 94:1,2 | 126:12 139:1 | 12:15 13:13 | one-foot-seven | order 3:12 15:2 |
| 100:3 106:6,17 | 141:5 158:2 | 15:10 16:20 | 22:11 | 15:3 44:5 |


| 54:14 62:12 | 61:18 71:2,5 | Pallin 140:12 | 108:13 110:18 | period 6:2,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68:9 94:3 95:5 | 72:9 95:3,5,6 | 143:12 144:21 | 126:6 167:20 | 170:7 172:12 |
| 106:19 116:2 | outline 43:17 | 147:17 | particularly | permeable |
| 121:17 130:19 | outside 59:22 | Pallin's 142:13 | 34:11 | 73:18,22 74:1 |
| 133:21 137:19 | 60:1 103:10,15 | panel 16:12 | parties 175:9 | 77:20 79:1,4,5 |
| 146:21 147:19 | 103:16 | 154:16 163:22 | parting 158:16 | 80:19 84:20 |
| 160:11 161:19 | overall 33:7 | 164:1 | 158:18 | 85:5,10,19,20 |
| orders 3:10 | 43:5,22 | papers 76:9 | Partner 7:8 | 85:22 |
| ordinance 20:7 | overbuild 76:10 | paragraph | party 166:9 | permission |
| 50:4 51:11,13 | overrule 64:21 | 172:9 | passed 159:12 | 143:15 144:5 |
| 51:13 65:15 | owing 50:13 | paragraphs | patch 67:3 | 144:13 |
| 68:2 72:4 81:1 | 81:10 104:17 | 172:4 | path 69:1 | permit 5:13 90:4 |
| 81:14 82:4 | 125:22 | parameters | patterns 94:12 | 90:7 92:7,11 |
| 94:10,17 95:11 | owned 115:1 | 120:17 167:4 | 107:7 127:22 | 93:9,9,14 94:3 |
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