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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Brendan Sullivan, Matina
        Williams, Andrea Hickey, Slater Anderson,
        Stephen Ng, Thomas Miller, and Michael
        LaRosa
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the May 11, 2023
        meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. My name
        is Jim Monteverde, and I will be acting this evening as the
        Acting Chair. I will chair the meeting.
            Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
        by the Massachusetts General Court, and approved by the
        Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation
        at meetings of the Cambridge Planning (sic) Board.
            This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
        is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within
        Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
        proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.
```

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Matina Williams?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Slater Anderson?

SLATER ANDERSON: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Thomas Miller?

THOMAS MILLER: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Stephen Ng?
STEPHEN NG: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Brendan Sullivan?
BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Present.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?

(6:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Brendan Sullivan, Andrea Hickey, Matina Williams, and Slater Anderson

JIM MONTEVERDE: The first case I'm going to call is a continued case. And it's Case No. BZA 211208 -- 18 Fairmont Street.

Staff will now unmute you. Please begin by introducing yourself and any other speakers on your team. And then I would like to make a statement to before you commence any presentation, please.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. My name is Adam Glassman representing owner Fraser Allan for 1820 Fairmont Street. We're here seeking a special permit to make exterior modifications to an existing nonconforming structure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. That's -- just -- if you'd just introduce yourself for the moment.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep, sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. We have a letter in this case from the ISD Department that they are going to
look into the dimensional form, and they will have completed that review next week.

And therefore, we will continue this case, and we will continue this case, and depending on if the same Board members are available to June 15, so that you can be back as quickly as possible. That response from ISD will be posted to the City website. So you'll be able to find it there and prepare a response to it.

So that said, I am going to make a motion to continue this matter until June 15, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of June 15, 2023 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

Okay, yeah, before we go much farther, can I just check that the Board members are available on June 15? And that's myself: Yes, I am.

Andrea Hickey?
ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, I'm available. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Slater, are you available?
SLATER ANDERSON: Slater Anderson is
available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'll be available.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. And Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Brendan Sullivan is available. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Also, in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Such a waiver can be obtained by Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services.

I ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on June 15.

Also, if there are any new submittals, changes to the drawings, those need to be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the June 15 hearing. I'm sorry, the continuation is to 6:00 p.m. on June 15.

And if there are any changes, that the dimensional form and potentially supporting documents also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter until June 15 at 6:00, Brendan?

BRENDAN SULLIVAN: Yes, on the motion. JIM MONTEVERDE: Andrea?

ANDREA HICKEY: Yes, in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Slater?
SLATER ANDERSON: Yes, in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in the affirmative. Thank you.

SLATER ANDERSON: Jim, this is Slater. I think
I'm done for the night.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you are. Yep. Thank you very much.

ANDREA HICKEY: And this is Andrea Hickey. I believe I'm done as well?

JIM MONTEVERDE: You are too. Thank you very much.

ANDREA HICKEY: Thank you. Have a good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And Brendan you too, so thank you very much.
(6:06 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, and Stephen Ng

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Next case is another continued case, BZA 206534 -- 102-104 Sciarappa Street. Is there anyone here who want to speak on that case? If you could introduce yourself, please.

KAROLYN PARK: Hi. I'm Karolyn Park, and the owner of 102-104 Sciarappa Street along with my husband, Ray Park. But it's just me, because he's taking care of the kids so $I$ can have this conversation.

We have lived in Cambridge for the past 17 years, from Harvard Square to Central Square to Kendall now. We bought a previous condo, and it was too small, and instead of moving to the suburbs, we moved within East Cambridge. We are a single-income family with two current children in Tobin Montessori and a dog living on one side of the duplex and sharing a 5x5' bathroom on the first floor. I work from home and do not have a dedicated office.

We host a Cambridge Small Group and have for the past seven years weekly where 20 people gather in our space,
still using that same one bathroom.
Besides the first floor of 104 Sciarappa, just this side of the house, there's been no renovation in this house since the 1960s.

We are seeking to renovate our home to create more space for our current family and children as they grow, have space for both of our aging parents, continue to have rental income consistent with its current usage, upgrade to solar, and have a dedicated office as $I$ work from home and guest room for visitors.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Can you -- can we have the graphics? Can you briefly go through the drawings that are up on the screen that have been submitted, and in particular point out what has changed since the previous submittal?

KAROLYN PARK: Sure. When last we met, we requested a continuance, as we learned there were concerns from neighbors. There were concerns from neighbors particularly in the back regarding the building height and consequent shadows, and privacy for the windows on the second floor, which were outside of setback.

There are four neighbors in the back to be aware
of: Joe Rose and Danielle Mishkin, 72 Spring Street; Ana Perez-Kamar at 76 Spring Street, and our closest neighbor, Robert and Geraldine Korecki and (Indiscernible), who lives in Weston.

We contacted Joe and Danielle, Ana and the Koreckis two times via physical letters left at their house. Joe and Ana wanted to discuss, no responses from the others.

We have changed our plans, therefore, as a result of consultation with the neighbors to be the minimum to meet our needs. Here's how we have worked with our neighbors to address concerns.

Height: While we originally proposed a plan with the same roofline, we have now reduced building height form the originally proposed $35^{\prime}$ to 28', changing the increase in height from $8^{\prime}$ to $1^{\prime}$ or a reduction of 88 percent.

We completely redesigned the house roofline to be a mansard roof, in keeping with the neighborhood houses and designs. The next mansard house is kitty-corner from us on the street.

Privacy: While we originally requested three windows on each side on the second floor because our family loves natural light, we reduced the total number of windows
to one on each side after further discussions with the neighbors -- a reduction of 66 percent.

Given these changes, Joe wrote in support, and Ana gave her verbal support representing neighbors in the back. Joe and Ana, just for the Board's reference, had previously written letters of opposition.

Dave Cameron, 98-100 Sciarappa, has also reviewed the plans and given his support via e-mail. We continue to have support from Roberto and Jane on 8B Spring Street. They also own 112 Sciarappa.

There are then a myriad of people who live in Cambridge who have written in for our support from our small group, as they know how tight our space is.

The remaining one opposition letter is from Lenny and Karen Geista, 106 Sciarappa, who continue to have concerns regarding general construction and disturbance. And we have met with them and talked with them about it, and we'll do our best to mitigate any problems.

I want to thank all of our neighbors and friends who are working with us. I have appreciated their advice, openness, and willingness to compromise to achieve something that works for everyone. And also thank you to Maria, who
had to upload all those letters and has been so helpful to us administratively as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Olivia, could you bring up the building elevations, please, the existing and the proposed? Just for reference?
[Pause]
So it's a replacement of the pitched roof, with the mansard that's showing in these elevations?

KAROLYN PARK: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that $I$ assume in floor plan gives you some additional square footage on the third floor?

KAROLYN PARK: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think there's also additions on the second floor in the back, right? You go from a pitched roof to add a full story on the back?

KAROLYN PARK: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Can you go back to the site plan, Olivia? I just wanted to see in context how snug this is on the site. And by leaning real close, it says the existing building is still -- there's no changes here, it's 3.5 feet from the one side lot line, and it looks like -it's blurry. It looks like it's 6'2" on the opposite side.

Is that still correct, and is that the condition in the proposed additions?

KAROLYN PARK: Yes. That's correct. We are not changing the exterior. Also, my architect Steven wanted to tell me -- wanted me to tell you guys that he can't get into the meeting. So just FYI, he can't get into the meeting.

But that's not true, we're not changing the exterior of the building on the site.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Well, if you want to or need to participate, you should be able to enter through the Citywide. Are you having trouble with that?

KAROLYN PARK: It's not me. I'll just tell him to go through the City website again.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, okay.
Can you check, see the dimensional form, please? I just want to confirm I'm reading this correctly, because I'm looking at the one that's in the file upside-down, which isn't the best way to read it.

But -- and this is showing on the requested conditions your FAR. The ordinance is 0.75. You'll go to 1.52?

KAROLYN PARK: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Double the allowed FAR? The existing is 1.21?

KAROLYN PARK: Correct. JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?

KAROLYN PARK: Yes. And he just said to me that he's in the meeting, he just can't participate. So that might be a message for Olivia. I'm not sure if you can control that. But if you could make him a presenter?

That is correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KAROLYN PARK: And when we look at the FAR around -- with the surrounding neighbors, Steve has these numbers -

- this is in line with what is in the neighborhood, directly across from us is actually very high. I think it's 2.0, and then there's another number for this. Steve has the exact numbers we discussed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.
KAROLYN PARK: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then for the size of the building, the height, width, length, all those dimensions I can read off of your form. The only thing that changes is really the height goes up by a foot, as you mentioned, I
believe, for the mansard. Correct?
KAROLYN PARK: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KAROLYN PARK: Thank you, Olivia.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. I'd like to open it up to any questions or comments from members of the Board? Matina, do you have any questions?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Not at this time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No? Okay. Tom? Any questions?
THOMAS MILLER: Not at this time, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: No questions at this time, thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
[Pause]
MICHAEL LAROSA: The only question I had was I was unclear, the last neighbor you said that had concerns? And then you met with them to address them, were they satisfied with them?

KAROLYN PARK: No, because they still wrote in for a letter of opposition. If the Board would like me to, I can address all the things that we did to try to mitigate the concerns. But I wanted to -- go ahead.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I don't think that will be necessary for now.

MICHAEL LAROSA: You just said it was a concern of construction anyway, right?

KAROLYN PARK: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct. So when we're done with
Board comments, then I'll open the meeting to the public, and I'll run through all of the correspondence that we have from folks who are either in favor or against, just so we're up to snuff on what the comments are.

Any other comments from members of the Board at this time? No? I have two. In looking at the neighborhood, I'm not convinced that your proposed additions -- not talking about the back, really the front, the mansard -- is in keeping with the majority of the neighborhood, where I see third floors in mansards, they're typically on a corner lot, where the lot has some breathing room, not where a lot is or are building is as pinned in to the lot as yours is.

So I'm not quite following the logic yet that it is in fact in keeping with the neighborhood. And I'm a bit concerned by the FAR and by the increase that requesting --
again, in the context of what's up and down the street as the typicals.

I think the mansard addition really bumps you up into an FAR range that's more than what the typical neighbors -- although there are some other neighbors that are probably greater or equal to what you're asking for. But those are my two comments, two concerns.

So I will open the matter up to public. And then I'll need go through the correspondence we've received from members of the public. So any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask -- or as soon as I'm done going through the correspondence, we have -- I'll ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time.

And if you could begin by saying your name and address, Staff will confirm that we can hear you, and after that you will have three minutes -- a maximum of three minutes to speak before $I$ ask you to wrap it up.

Let me just let everyone know we have
correspondence supporting the renovation. I will not read the repeating letter, but I'll just read out the names: Courtney Cogswell, Jimmy Voss -- if I mispronounce a name, Daniela Irenia (phonetic), Lucy Lie (phonetic), Jeffrey Potts (phonetic), Jonathan Morganpy (phonetic) -- my apologies with that one -- Taylor Jacoby, Tim Johnson, Gary Chen, Mark Shephard (phonetic), ooh -- I'm going to spell this one: $S-a-i-r-e-u-d-e-e ~ s e c o n d ~ n a m e ~ C-h-a-t-u-r-a-n-t-$ a-b-u-t, Grant Neems (phonetic), Linda Zohn-Johnson (phonetic), Bailey McLearnen (phonetic), Janice Yi (phonetic), Bryan McLaughlin.

A letter from Dave Cameron, has no objections to the plan, is really concerned about construction activity and construction noise, which is really not the purview of this Board.

Opposing are the Geistas -- Ken and Lenny Geista, it's their letter. Joe Rose is in favor, and I think that's it from the members of the community who've contributed by mail.

If you've sent something in, please don't repeat those same comments if you're in the virtual meeting here; we have it all, and we've noted whether you're in favor or
opposed. So if there's anyone else from the public who'd like to speak?

OLIVIA RATAY: Karen Geista?
KAREN GEISTA: Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ASHLEY GEISTA: Good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We can hear you. Yep.
ASHLEY GEISTA: Okay. So this is Ashley Geista speaking. I'm the daughter, and I'm speaking on behalf of Karen and Lenny Geista. And basically, just a quick message: We were a little surprised to hear that we weren't the immediate neighbors, considering our houses are just four feet apart.

And so, our issue has mostly been about safety. The Parks, the 102-104 Sciarappa Street, does not have a yard. So with this major project happening, we are deeply concerned that between machinery, the product -- everything that they need to complete this renovation is going to be within this pathway that is literally just three to four feet in between their house and our house.

So we're concerned about a safety hazard between products being placed right near all of our windows, which
is a safety hazard if we ever had to get out in an emergency; machinery and equipment being used literally right next door to our windows where we are sleeping, where we are breathing in all of this stuff.

Yes, we did talk to the Parks and there are some things that we're hoping to get done if this were to move forward, but regardless of potentially protecting our house with a -- try to protect us from all the chemicals that would be coming into our house, there are real safety concerns. We are just four feet apart, and no matter what we have talked about, there's really no way to guarantee.

And between building an addition in the back, which is also right next to our house, the roof is going to be going up. If something isn't done correctly, that is right on top of our house and risking harm to us.

And so, we are the closest neighbor, and there are major concerns about safety. We understand that people want to, you know, enhance their property. We understand that. But there is a major safety concern given our homes are less than four feet apart from each other.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments.
Anyone else? No? That's it?

Members of the Board, any discussion? Matina?
No? Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: I would just -- I would maybe ask for your guidance, Jim, whether in making the decision based on the statute on the record before us, we can take into account the concerns about construction safety that were raised by the public comment just now?

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's really not our purview as a Zoning Board. It's really an ISD issue about construction and et cetera. I understand the concerns that were raised, but it's really not a condition that we could put on any motion that we make.

THOMAS MILLER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. We have to do it strictly on the zoning ordinance. Stephen?

STEPHEN NG: Thank you. I guess just looking at the FAR, I mean in the minimum requirements of the lot size which was, I believe, 5000 square feet? I mean, they're FAR would definitely be well within, I believe, the ordinance requirements.

But you can see in the site plan the footprint is just really overwhelming when you take into account how much
-- you know, how small, much smaller their existing nonconforming lot is.

But I -- I guess that's -- the question I guess is
to my fellow Board members is that kind of the -- how do we address that? Is it by the actual FAR of the condition, or kind of compared to, say, what the ordinance requires because of the nonconformity?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I think -- I share the same concern. That's part of my concern.

STEPHEN NG: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The Far -- it's not just the FAR technically, it's looking at the proposed elevations, the proposed massing, and then looking at the neighborhood -STEPHEN NG: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Up and down the street. STEPHEN NG: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Given that the lots are -- it looks like in typical undersize, it's all very dense, whether this is, feels or looks or is, the proposal is denser than its neighbors. And if the FAR is just too far beyond the ordinance, or even the existing condition to be acceptable.

So I think it's -- we'll have to make a decision based on -- unless anyone else has any other concerns based on those. Those are my personal concerns, and that's why at the moment I don't feel I would be in support. But that's just my opinion.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Mr. Chairman, this is Steve Hiserodt.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Wait a minute. Oh, yep. One second.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Can I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Wait. Wait a minute. We've got to finish with the Board members first.

STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay, yes. Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We basically finished with public
commentary, but --
STEPHEN HISERODT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- this is just between the Board
so Matina, do you have any comments at this time? No?
Okay.

> MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry?
MATINA WILLIAMS: It's Matina. Sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's all right.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I didn't -- I did have a
concern, but $I$ know the area is tight. So, I mean, I feel
like they did scale it back a little bit. But, again, how are you going to address the tightness and -- with the area, and what they --the family needs?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you. Olivia is saying we have one more member of the public who has stepped forward for comment. So let's -- let's hear that person, please.

OLIVIA RATAY: Brian McLaughlin?
BRIAN MCLAUGHLIN: Am I audible?

JIM MONTEVERDE: You're on. Yep.
BRIAN MCLAUGHLIN: Hi, this is Brian McLaughlin. I did write a letter, because I'm actually down the street at 155 Charles.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. We have that in the file. Thank you.

BRIAN MCLAUGHLIN: Understood. Thank you. But I similarly applied for a special permit for similar reasons of needing to grow a family. And we went through the same discussions about the steep roof versus mansard.

And I will just comment there are three mansards on Charles Street that are central that are not corner lots. And, you know, we ended up going along with a plan that satisfied people. But there are some -- several in the neighborhood that are not corners that are mansards.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment. Stephen Hiserodt, were you -- is there something you wanted to say?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yeah. I guess I just wanted to say --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you just introduce yourself?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Steve Hiserodt, DH Architects. I'm the Architect for the project.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEPHEN HISERODT: I just -- since I had trouble getting in, I just wanted to -- I mean the existing neighborhood and on the site plan that I submitted, I've listed the FAR based on the assessor's database for some of the adjacent properties, which range from -- you know, 0.78, which is a real small house on one corner, but up to 2.21 and the average is about 1.5 I would guess -- so I think
that where we're stretching, and I realize we're adding a good amount of GFA -- it is, I think within keeping of many of the properties in the neighborhood.

We also have a homeowner who is in need of additional space. It is a one- or two-family house, which is precisely why the state statute has offered special protections for one- or two-family houses in Section 8.22.2d.

It allows the increase in existing nonconformities, and the conditions under which they would be offered is that the alteration or enlargement shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming structure to the neighborhood.

And I think that given the number of letters of support from even neighbors who are most impacted, I think we can say that it's not detrimental to the existing neighborhood.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you for your comment, Stephen. I'm going to close testimony. And I'm going to make a motion. And for our Board members just keep in mind the motion is always phrased in the affirmative.

So the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief
for the requirements of the ordinance under Sections -- I'm sorry -- 5.31, 8.22.2.d, 8.22.2.c, and 10.40, on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled 102-104 Sciarappa Street," prepared by -- there is no name on the drawings, but Stephen that's you, correct? STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes. DH Architects?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And the date is 05-08-23, initialed and dated by the Chair. And further that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, the special permit is granted incorporate and following -- and there are no conditions. I ask at this point if the Board has anything else. We've covered everything.

Any other comments from the Board? Hearing none: Then I cite the requirements necessary to grant the special permit under 10.40. Let me read the section. And we need four affirmative votes.

On the motion, Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you. And Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: My apologies. I was just looking
at something on the plan. Oh, my concern was the FAR. In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And Jim Monteverde I will vote not in favor.
[Four vote YES, one votes NO.]
So that is 4:1; it passes. The motion is carried. Congratulations.

KAROLYN PARK: Thank you.
STEPHEN HISERODT: Thank you, everyone.
KAROLYN PARK: Have a good night. Thank you.
(6:34 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: So if any members of the public and for the Board members who are looking at their agendas, we're going to skip the two continued cases for 65 Sparks Street, because they may become redundant, and seeing that it's past 6:30, we will hear the first case of the regular agenda, which is -- again -- 65 Sparks Street, Unit 4, and that is Case No. 215873.

Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on it? ADAM DASH: Yes, Mr. Chair. Can you hear me? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

ADAM DASH: Good evening. Attorney Adam Dash, 48 Grove Street in Somerville representing the applicants. With me tonight are applicant Kiersten KirbyPatel, I believe Feanil Patel; I believe another applicant is coming, but he had to pick up the kids at swim lessons, I understand night and may be running a little late. The Architect, Ron Bourque of Bourque Design, is also here. Ron and I will be doing the presenting.

So this is a case -- Ms. Ratay, if we can show the survey? The site plan? There you go. Thank you.

As you can see, this is a building which is part of a block of townhouses. It takes up the entire width of this very narrow lot, with other townhouses on either side. We're talking about 65 in the middle. You can see the townhouse at 63 to the left and the townhouse of 67 on the right.

The property lines run through the party walls between the townhouses, such that there are no side windows at the property at 65 in question, being a middle unit.

The use of the property and the number of units is not going to change as a result of our dormer project here. Olivia --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me.
ADAM DASH: I'm sorry --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me one second. Mr. Dash?
ADAM DASH: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is the parcel part of a larger condominium or is it --

ADAM DASH: No.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- separate?

ADAM DASH: No, Mr. Chair. These are separate pieces of property, where the property line run through the party walls between the units.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh.
ADAM DASH: So we're left with this very narrow, 25' wide lot, basically.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thank you.
ADAM DASH: No. No problem. That's one of the challenges here, as you will see. Olivia, if we can go down to the photos, the first set of photos?

Right. There we go. So this is the front. The top is the existing and the bottom is the proposed. Mr. Bourque, the architect, will walk through the details. But I just want to sort of show you here you can see where it says in the bottom -- you can see in the bottom middle, "proposed dormer."

The proposal does not create any substantial detriment to the public good. Because, as you can see, it is high on the top of the building, not readily visible from the street level. This is a very tall townhouse.

Adding dormers is not without increasing the number of units while providing more room for the residents,
the Patels and their family to work from home and support their growing family and remain in Cambridge to the benefit to the city and to the neighborhood.

And the other townhouses on this block have dormers of varying styles and sizes. And you can see those if you look to the left on the upper -- the existing, where the existing photos on the upper left. You can see to the left of my client's building, there are -- two of the townhouses to the left have very large dormers already on the front; we're looking at the front here.

If you can show the back, the next page, Olivia?
This is the rear. Again, the top is the existing. The bottom is the proposed. And you can see at the bottom where my client's building is, although they're all attached. And you can see actually on the back everybody has a dormer pretty much, but my client on the top views there.

I would note that my clients have too little, tiny dormers. You can see the little one on the upper left that's there.

And then if you go back to the front, Olivia, you can see there's another very small one to the unit to the
left of the stairs if you look. There's two peaked ones, and then there's like a littler peaked one on the top. Yeah. You can see that's there. And that's what we're altering.

So really, we're not adding dormers; we're changing the dormers that are already there.

So -- and I would say the dormers on this building
and on this block are really the -- are actually the norm. They're not the exception.

So we were here before you a while ago on one of the prior cases, which we intend to dismiss with prejudice, should the Board be willing to grant the special permit on this particular one.

And since we were last before you on this dormer, we were able to find a way to avoid needing a variance for the project for FAR. The FAR now does not change. We are not creating any new nonconformity. We are not increasing any existing nonconformity.

This change led to the removal of the proposed roof terrace we had originally proposed; that is now gone. And it's a reduction in the size of both the front and the rear dormers; the front dormer reduced by quite a lot, by 6' $^{\prime}$
down to 14'. The back dormer reduced by a little 4" down to 15'.

And I would note that the applicants did this in response to comments by one of the abutters, Mr. Taguiri, who's got the corner unit, the end unit on the right, and the special permit and plans were shared with his attorney back in March.

There are letters of support from six abutters, which you have.

Mr. Bourque, can you walk them through the design thoughts and how this works?

RON BOURQUE: Sure, thank you, Adam. So as -JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you --

RON BOURQUE: -- noted on the upstairs here -JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Bourque -- can you --

RON BOURQUE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- excuse me. Can you introduce yourself, please?

RON BOURQUE: Yeah, Ron Bourque, Architect, from Bourque Design in Waltham, MA.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, thank you. Go ahead. RON BOURQUE: As Adam had gone through the
exterior dormer locations and sizes, they were able result of us not wanting to increase the FAR, which was a change from, you know, the earlier presentation.

So what I'm showing here is the existing fourth floor -- you'll see the two small dormers, they extend out into the roof edge. So we've calculated at the 5' wall line that we have 790 square feet.

And if you could go to the next slide, please?
So by eliminating an equal amount from that original footprint and extending it into the dormers, we're able to balance off the square footage without increasing the floor area.

So where you see the hatched areas with the 45degree hatch going from lower left to upper right, you'll see that these areas now become under the roof. So the dormer extension is an equivalent area of that on each side, and by essentially bringing in the walls to create that area, which will be under the roof, we're able to extend the dormers.

The next sheet is the just a clean version of that.
So if we go to two slides down, this is an image
of the dormer construction, the image of the materials which are consistent with the building. Window pattern and coloration will be similar to what's there. And the intent is to make it look like it was always there.

The next few slides show shadow studies demonstrating that there is not significant impact on the remainder of the building or the adjacent properties. And these are from different times and dates.

ADAM DASH: As was that it, Ron?
RON BOURQUE: That's it for me, please yeah.
ADAM DASH: Yeah. Adam Dash again, yeah. So just
to wrap up, this proposal will create no noticeable impact on the overall look, feel or traffic pattern in the neighborhood or on this block of townhouses.

And this is -- again -- not increasing the size of the structure. It will echo the other dormers without changing the number of units.

The dormers are needed to create quiet space for home office use, as my clients who live there have a small child and have had to work from the child's play space since the beginning of the pandemic.

They do not have any home office space for
conducting research or attending remote meetings as part of their jobs, and due to the preexisting, nonconforming nature of the space, there is no way to create space without needing at least a special permit, as you can see.

This is the minimum relief we believe is necessary to allow the family to grow and remain in Cambridge and of course maintain the character of the neighborhood and block. So in conclusion, the applicants respectfully request that this Board approve the special permit for the requested dormers on -- one on the front and one in the back. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Members of the Board, any questions or comments? Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No comments.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: No comments, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: I like the response to the design to meet the FAR, rather than go for a variance. But yeah, yeah, very nice job.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: No comments.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. I have one question. Olivia, if you could go to Sheet 2? This is for Mr. Bourque.

Is there a section in your submittal at all that goes through this, the dormer or -- actually, it's the space below the dormer.

What I'm trying to understand is how the areas are deductible that you show on either side of the dormer? I'm assuming they're under the roof?

RON BOURQUE: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: But they're less than 5' in
height; they don't count anyway. How do you come to deduct those areas?

RON BOURQUE: If we --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm not following that part.
RON BOURQUE: -- if we go to the floor plan just before this one, that space was usable space now, so it is greater than 5'.

So what we've done is we're essentially adding a wall and sacrificing that space to allow for the dormer extension to occur.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So the roof will remain in it's
current --

RON BOURQUE: The roof will remain -- yeah, the roof will remain as is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And you'll basically
mothball that space?
RON BOURQUE: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Okay. Understood. Thank
you. Public comments? Before we open that up to the public, let me just run through the correspondence we have so there's no need for people to repeat themselves unless they have something new to add.

So we have in the affirmative: Richard Hill, David Evans, Damon Krukowski, Toni Lee and Charles De Lantsheere, David Stang, Tony Flanders. None in the negative, and that's the extent of the correspondence we have in the file.

So public comment, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. We'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You
should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. And after that, you'll have up to three minutes to speak.

OLIVIA RATAY: John Greenup?
JOHN GREENUP: Thank you, members of the Board. This is John Greenup at 45 Foster Street in Cambridge. I represent Robert Tagiuri, who is the abutter at 67 Sparks Street, and I have some simple comments for the Board.

I notice that in Sheet 2, which is currently shown on the screen, they're adding approximately 136 square feet of space.

And they are attempting to deduct a certain amount of space by including interior partition walls to make space that's over 5' not count in the FAR.

However, in my reading of the zoning code, there is no exception that's available, and there's no precedent where a proposed set of plans can simply eliminate FAR by putting in interior partition walls, and then at their convenience say that it doesn't apply.

I think this would be an appealable decision here if the Board chose to grant this as a special permit. My sense is that under 8.22.2.c, the call of the question is
that it's not in further violation of Section 5.000, and clearly in this case adding additional space is creating a violation 5.00, and therefore this unit -- this change requires a variance.

I'd also like to point the Board to two parts of Section on Sheet 2. In the area on the upper right-hand side, they show a 47 square foot reduction.

But you notice there's a small, dotted line carveout in that section, which implies that some portion of that section is actually going to be used for some kind of purpose. I would suspect a closet or an internal bookcase or some other kind of useable space.

So I think that it would be improper for the applicant to then attempt to delete that space from their calculation.

And if you look further wouldn't under the section where it says, "8 square feet," you'll notice that there's another carveout in a dotted line in the middle of that that also is appearing to be useable space of some sort. And that would be inappropriate as a carveout as well.

So I believe that in this -- for those two points, this in fact requires a variance rather than a special
permit.
And I'd like to also say that the supporting statement here shows that the hardship for the Plaintiffs are that they have no space for using this for a children's space or workspace.

But in fact, I'm not seeing these two units -this plan showing a configuration where they're actually adding those functions. What I'm seeing is that they're expanding the number of bedrooms and increasing the intensity of use of the property, as opposed to doing what they're applying for.

So in light of these elements, I believe that this application should be denied with prejudice, and that they should reapply for a variance.

Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, Mr. Greenup.
OLIVIA RATAY: Toni Lee De Lantsheere?
TONI LEE DE LANTSHEERE: Hi. I live on the other side at 63. I lived at 65, which is the house you're talking about, for 12 years.

I'd like to say something about Rob Tagiuri, who's apparently got John Greenup who used to live at 65 in the
basement to represent him to object:
Is that Rob basically built out his whole attic is completely built out. He has bedrooms up there. He -- I don't even know if he pulled a permit for it. But whatever, it's a long time ago.

And I think that -- I want to just say that I'm not sure this objection is really in good faith, based on he was I've observed in the neighborhood. And in fact, without this dormer, their offices -- you know, the places they're going to use for offices is going to be downstairs. It says, "1, 2, 3, 4" but that's because those used to be bedrooms downstairs. But now they're perfect for offices. And I speak as someone who lived there for 12 years.

So -- and it's really great that they're doing this, because the previous land -- the previous owner, who's Rob Tagiuri' s brother, did a lot of things that weren't to code. He did a lot of terrible things to that building, and it's great because they're basically bringing it back into conformity.

And it's going to make a really nice apartment, which before was kind of a bit of a huddle, because -- and I'm on the same level next door, because it really needed a
lot of work.
So any questions about that or is --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments.

TONI LEE DE LANTSHEERE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it for public testimony.
And I will send it back to the Board. Discussion for -we've closed public testimony. Discussion from the Board members? Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I have no comments at this time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: I guess I would be interested in hearing -- say that we don't agree with the new calculation of the FAR; the increase is still small. Do people -- I would be interested in hearing anyone who would have concerns about say we thought that this was an increase in FAR.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. I believe it would be. And
I think the previous discussion we heard, that would that mean that that would be a variance would be the way it would go. That's my opinion.

Olivia, can you comment about the -- because that all hinges on the space that's available and just blocked
off by a partition.
I don't recall in the ordinance that there's any definition of a poche space, wasted space -- one that is above the 5' height, but has no function, is walled off by a partition that you in fact can delete it. I'm not aware that that's part of the definition.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You want to weigh in or not?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, we're hearing a strong shake of the head saying that's not the way the Ordinance reads.

So I think Tom, if you take away the spaces that are being calculated as deductions, as I think about it, it leaves you in the position of where they were in the last time around the block where it's an -- you know, there's additional FAR; it means it's a variance, not a special permit.

The higher hurdle, then, is to show the hardship, which is not part of the special permit. But I think that's what this all means -- this is what this pivots on.

THOMAS MILLER: Understood. Thank you very much. That's helpful.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, thank you. Steven?
STEPHEN NG: With that clarification, I have no comment.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: No. No comment after that, no.
Thank you.
ADAM DASH: Mr. Chair, if I may?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
ADAM DASH: There is -- one of the applications that got continued for later this evening after this evening after this one is the variance application, which was 209310, in which we were seeking -- this is before the reconfiguration that we are seeking and FAR increase of 0.03 or 115 square feet, which we thought was de minimis.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ADAM DASH: And rather than go forward with that variance application, we came up with this application to create a special permit, and we never took away the variance application.

If the Board is more inclined to go with that other application, we could table this one and take that one up, I suppose. But I -- we really aren't looking to do more
than this.

If there is space in there -- I mean the FAR I
always take to be a net discussion. There is $X$ amount of square footage in there now, you take away some square footage here, you add a little square footage there, if the number still sticks at 1.71 FAR, you're at 1.71 FAR, regardless of the building.

I mean that's the FAR. We're not taking away space and adding space, whatever the case may be. I don't think there's a problem with that necessarily. I understand the argument.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I'm going to ask Olivia Ratay to speak.

OLIVIA RATAY: This is Olivia Ratay, Inspectional Services. If you have an existing FAR and you remove FAR, that brings you further towards conformity or into conformance. You therefore can't place it elsewhere on the structure as-of-right. You would need to make sure you conform with the moving around of the gross floor area.

ADAM DASH: Well, I mean, just to -- removing the FAR did not bring us into conformity of 0.5 of the code. JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

ADAM DASH: I mean, we were 1.71. We're proposing
1.71. Taking away that bit and adding the bit keeps us at 1.71 and no case -- due to the fact that the building is basically the lot width, the entire width of this entire lot, we're not ever going to get to 0.5 . There's just no way to do that.

So we never brought it into conformity by getting rid of some square footage and adding square footage, it was always going to be nonconforming.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I think that's understood. I myself would not support the special permit. Any other member of the Board leaning that way, just to see which way the wind is blowing, in terms of whether we proceed with that and take $a$ vote on the special permit or in fact, Mr. Dash, as you suggested, take this up as a variance case?

ADAM DASH: Or continue it again until we can see if --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ADAM DASH: -- we can regroup what we want to do. Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So Michael, which way are you leaning?

MICHAEL LAROSA: After that recent, honestly leaning to not go for it. Whether or not -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

MICHAEL LAROSA: -- variance or continuing.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's good. Steven?
STEPHEN NG: Yeah. I think it's probably more efficient to take the time and make sure it's -- that we're on the right track, rather than kind of --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEPHEN NG: -- make a decision.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So Mr. Dash, that's enough votes to deny, which we don't want to do.

ADAM DASH: I hear that loud and clear, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you want to move this to a continuance?

ADAM DASH: I would, and with the other two as well, because one of those is the variance application, which maybe we'll be actually going forward with. We'll have to talk with my client, which is a little frustrating that we're not all in the same room, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

ADAM DASH: Such is the way things are. But yeah, if we could ask for a continuance. We've signed the forms already for the timeline on all of these. For this case, which is the 215873, and then we would also want to continue 209310 and 206407. One of them hopefully would get approved, and others would be moved.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Olivia, can you -- let's see what we have for dates. July 13? We can do all three. Members of the Board, are you all available on July 13? Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: July 13, I don't think so.
Yeah. I'm available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: I'm available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEPHEN NG: I'm available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
STEPHEN NG: I can make myself available, yeah.

MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, the speakers in here are -STEPHEN NG: Oh, I'm sorry, I can't hear. JIM MONTEVERDE: Was that a yes or a no?

STEPHEN NG: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. So we'll
continue this case to July 13?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, Jim. It's Matina.
How are you?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Good.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Are we continuing them all -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

MATINA WILLIAMS: -- to July 13?
JIM MONTEVERDE: That was the request.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay. All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So we're going to continue them
all --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yep, yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- they'll decide if one gets withdrawn.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay. Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Which I think you do some more arithmetic, one gets withdrawn --

MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and then you could get frankly
pick one of the two continued cases as the one you want to
go forward with. That's my --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- thought.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So.

MATINA WILLIAMS: All right. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Continuance: So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to July 13 at 6:00 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of July 13, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

And in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a meeting. Said waiver can be obtained by Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with Inspectional Services. We ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday.

Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on July 13.

Also, that if there's any new submittals, changes to the drawings, which if they would be on file by 5:00 p.m.
on the Monday prior to July 13, 2023 here.
And also, if there are any changes to the
dimensional form and potentially any supporting statement, also be changed and submitted along with the new comments.

On that motion, then, to continue this matter
until July 13, Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Agree.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: Agreed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEPHEN NG: Agreed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Agreed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde agreed.
[All agree]
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it. Thank you. Next case.
(7:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA 216904 -- 840 Memorial Drive. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on it?

CAROLYN SEELEY: This is Carolyn Seeley. I am from Smartlink. I am an agent on behalf of AT\&T. I just -would you like me just to give a quick, you know -JIM MONTEVERDE: "Quick" was a good word. CAROLYN SEELEY: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: So yes. If you could just explain the number of antenna and --

CAROLYN SEELEY: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- other equipment that are being substituted, and then if you could just get to the visual comparisons, I think that would be helpful. Thank you.

CAROLYN SEELEY: Sure. So AT\&T proposes just to make minor modifications. They -- currently there are 12 panel antennas, and they are looking to swap them out with 12 new panel antennas. There will be no visual changes, as
these antennas will be behind canisters.
They're also looking to swap out six remote radio units with six new remote radio units and its associated cabling. Again, there will be no visual changes, they're all behind canisters.

I do have some photo sims that I had uploaded if -

- I don't know if you want me to share those as well? Oh, yeah, there they are. Yep.

So those two canister at the red arrows are pointed to, that's where the existing and proposed antennas will be. They will be behind that stealth enclosure.

So there's -- the new antennas are actually a little bit shorter than the current antennas, so yeah, there will be no visual change either way, but what's in there will actually be a little smaller.

Yep. You can just kind of see it shows the before and after. As you can see, there's no change because everything's -- everything's hidden.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Very good. That's what I saw inside the file. So --

CAROLYN SEELEY: Yeah, it's a pretty -- pretty easy, easy job. [Laughter]

JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree. Easy peasy. Anything else?

CAROLYN SEELEY: No, that is it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any questions from members
of the Board?

CAROLYN SEELEY: I do not have any questions at this time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, that was directed to the Board members at the moment. But thank you.

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steve?
STEPHEN NG: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. and there's nothing in the file from the Planning Board, right? They didn't touch this one? Okay. We have no correspondence in the file, either permanent or negative. So I will open it up to public testimony. Is there anyone who wishes to speak?

Nope? All right. We will move to -- give me one
second. All right. The Chair moves that this Board make the following findings: Based on the findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, as initialed by the Chair.

That upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner, and which have been initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall be promptly thereafter removed,
or it shall promptly thereafter such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the exact (sic) reasonably practical.

Five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects the condition imposed by the Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

In as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all of the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the

Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses -- or pleural -- is or are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the
application has been filed because of termination of the special permit, pursuant paragraphs a) and b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.

And the final paragraph, d) that within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

There ends the lecture. The Chair makes the motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under the section stated for the special permit on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled, "Cambridge Memorial Drive, 840 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Middlesex County," and prepared by Ramaker, initialed and dated by the Chair, and further that we incorporate the supporting statements and eventual forms submitted as part of this application.

For the special permit, it is granted incorporating the following conditions: And there are no conditions.

At this point, yep, we've covered everything. And
in citing the requirements necessary for the special permit as of under Section 10.40, and then we are ready for a vote.

On the motion to grant the relief requested, the special permit, Matina, how do you vote?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in the affirmative.
Thank you. Oops, one thing. Next case.
CAROLYN SEELEY: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
(7:01 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: It's 216930. And Ms. Seeley, you're up on this one as well?

CAROLYN SEELEY: Yep, I am. Again, my name is Carolyn Seeley. I'm an AT\&T agent working at Smartlink. This modification is also very similar to the one we just spoke about.

This particular site has nine existing antennas. AT\&T is looking to swap out nine antennas with my new antennas, six remote radio units with six new units, and assigned cabling.

There will also be no visual changes here, as the antennas will be painted to match -- you know, not side of the building. They are currently painted, and the new antennas will be painted as well.

So this is also another pretty easy peasy scope of work as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can we just go through the photo simulations please, Olivia?

CAROLYN SEELEY: Yep. So there are the nine antennas.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Olivia, I notice we don't have any correspondence from the Planning Board on this one either? So Ms. Seeley, can I ask a question?

CAROLYN SEELEY: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: In some of the other telecom cases where the Planning Board has weighed in, what they typically have requested -- what I have seen requested on a regular basis, which I think makes sense visually, is that the antennas that are at the top of whatever the cornice line or parapet line is of the building --

CAROLYN SEELEY: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- not be set flush with that parapet but be set down from that parapet by a certain dimension.

CAROLYN SEELEY: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that really allows the building top to read as the primary element, and the antennas to be below that. So they're the most obvious pieces.

CAROLYN SEELEY: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that anything that's
achievable in what you're proposing? I mean, I realize you're basically swapping out for what's there, but is that achievable on what you're -- what's being proposed here?

CAROLYN SEELEY: It's hard for me to say. I would
actually have to talk to one of the engineers over at Ramaker to just confirm that. But $I$ don't see why not. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

CAROLYN SEELEY: But again, you know, I can't say right now for sure. But $I$ can definitely look into that. JIM MONTEVERDE: Understood. Okay. Do we have any questions or comments from members of the Board? Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: None. JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?

STEPHEN NG: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Opening it up to any comments from members of the public. Anybody wishing to
speak? Anybody there? Okay. No one is there. Board members, any discussion or are we ready for a motion?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion now. I'm going to try something here. Bear with me one second.

JIM MONTEVERDE (ON RECORDING): All right. The
Chair moves that this Board make the following findings.
Hold on. Sorry. I'm trying not to repeat all of that, and $I$ thought it taped it, recorded it. All right. Let me read it. Try it again next time:

The Chair moves that this Board make the following findings: Based on the findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times
maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall promptly remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the exact (sic) reasonably practicable.

Five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with all respects and conditions imposed by the Board.

In as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the

Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all the petitioner's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the

Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has
terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b),
the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of a termination of the special permit pursuing to paragraphs a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby
residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

On the motion to accept, Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: I accept.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: Accept.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: Accept.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Accept it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde votes in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I add one condition? And that's what I was spoke about earlier, Ms. Seeley, that you and the proponent just look into the opportunity, and certainly in future submissions, that the equipment -usually the antenna housings that are located by the building parapet -- be held down from the parapet line, so that the building parapet itself is visible from the street and that the antenna sits lower than that.

Is that okay to add, Ms. Seeley?
CAROLYN SEELEY: Absolutely, yep. I will
definitely take that note, and I will --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
CAROLYN SEELEY: -- get with the engineer this
morning.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I appreciate that. We're done, basically. We're done. Case closed.

CAROLYN SEELEY: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any one of the Board
members who wants to read those statements next time, you can proudly volunteer, and I'm happy to pass that on. Next case.
(7:21 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa

JIM MONTEVERDE: 215453 -- 27 Dana Street. Yeah,
the -- oh, this one. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on 27 Dana Street? Leon, are you with us?

LEON NAVICKAS: I'm here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you want to unmute; do you want to --

LEON NAVICKAS: Let me start the video.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- discuss the project, please, in front of us? And what you're asking for?

LEON NAVICKAS: Yeah. Thank you for this opportunity to present my proposal. My name is Leon NAVICKAS --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you identify yourself -sorry, can you identify yourself first for the record?

LEON NAVICKAS: My name is Leon Navickas. I'm a co-owner of the property and a General Contractor supervising the renovation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Proceed.

LEON NAVICKAS: I'm looking to clean up the back yard of this property in terms of its appearance. We have four egresses; I'd like to make that two.

And the proposal that $I$ have in front of the Board is to relocate a staircase and a platform in exactly the same footprint by shifting it 54" towards the center of the building.

Not creating any new -- any new problems, any new -- what's the word I'm looking for?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nonconformity.
LEON NAVICKAS: Nonconformities, I apologize.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And this is a special permit request, correct?

LEON NAVICKAS: It is a special permit request.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay.
LEON NAVICKAS: As you can see from the photograph of the existing conditions on the right-hand side, there's a staircase and a platform going to a door. There's three other doors on the rear of this building.

On the next page, you can see more of what the back yard looks like.

It's the existing nonconforming 13'setback. I'm
proposing to shift that staircase, flip it around and shift it towards the center of the building on same wall and eliminate those two egresses that were there, to clean up of the building from the rear.

I'm not aware of any objections to this proposal, and I believe the Historical Commission has also given its consent.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Members of the Board, does anyone have a question or a comment? Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions at this time. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Thomas?

THOMAS MILLER: No questions at this time. JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?

STEPHEN NG: No questions at this time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: I just have one question. And I don't know, Jim or Olivia, you might be able to actually answer for me: The current -- excuse me, the current door in the middle where the proposed deck will be in front of after the fact, it's a raised deck. So I assume we're going to enclose that stairway and create floor -- flat floor. Does that increase the FAR?

LEON NAVICKAS: To my knowledge, no. JIM MONTEVERDE: So --

MICHAEL LAROSA: If you go back to page --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is the stair being -- that's all
right, sorry.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Seven stairs up.
LEON NAVICKAS: It's already -- it's enclosed. It's considered a part of the building envelope.

JIM MONTEVERE: Right. So you're -- this internal to the building, the stairs in the middle of that photograph will be removed. You'll bring the floor out flat; I'm assuming?

LEON NAVICKAS: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then when you go to this photo of the exterior stair, that's why the landing is the height that it's at. It's at that first-floor level inside the building. So you're on the adding any square footage?

LEON NAVICKAS: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? It's the area that's in the stair and now it becomes -- you just flatten it out. So I think, Michael, does that answer your question?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, that's the way I see it. MICHAEL LAROSA: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions or comments from members of the Board? This is Jim Monteverde, I have no comments.

We have a letter in the file from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission. Not taking any exception, and just asking that the improvements be carried out as shown on the plans submitted.

Any member of the public wishing to speak?
[Pause]
No. Okay. Let me move -- and this is a special permit. Let me move to make a motion. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Section -- you've got 822.2.d and 10.40 for the special permit, on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled, "27 Dana Street," prepared by Creative Properties and dated March 9, 2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

And then for the special permit at 1040 , we grant the relief from it. And $I$ think that's it. So time for a vote. Michael? On the motion to accept: Michael?

STEPHEN NG: Stephen.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: Steve Ng, accepted.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom? Thomas?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. The motion has passed.
[All vote YES]
Thank you.
LEON NAVICKAS: Thank you.
(7:28 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is 215878 -- 27 Brookford Street. This is, again, a special permit. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this application?

Tate Hornbeck?

MARGARET MCKEEHAN: Margaret McKeehan.
JIM MONTEVERDE: 27 Brookford Street homeowner.

MARGARET MCKEEHAN: I believe our architect may be joining and may be more prepared to speak on it. But I don't see his name as a panelist yet.

There he is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that Andrew?
MARGARET MCKEEHAN: Yeah, correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Or is that Tate?
MARGARET MCKEEHAN: That's Andrew.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Do you want to walk us through the application?

ANDREW HATCHER: Hello?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

ANDREW HATCHER: Okay. Yes, we are --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just introduce yourself, just introduce yourself, please?

ANDREW HATCHER: Sorry, Andrew Hatcher from Hatcher Architects. And I'm here to represent Margaret McKeehan and her husband, Tate Hornbeck, the owners at 27 Brookford Street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you walk us through what's being proposed?

ANDREW HATCHER: Yes. We are proposing a dormer at the third-floor attic area, which will house a new stair to the third floor; a stair that is code-compliant with today.

And if you look -- the sheet you're on, the upper left-hand elevation number 4 you can see I have a heavy dashed line, and it's showing the existing dormer.

And then obviously window 22 is the proposed dormer.

The new dormer, the current setback is 7'6" and the building is placed at $3.8^{\prime}$ off the lot line, the north lot line. So the new dormer aligns over the existing wall below. However, that window is, as per Article 8.22.1d,
that is one of the special permit requests we're asking relief from.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anything else you're requesting relief for?

ANDREW HATCHER: Nothing else is really required by the special permit. It's just this dormer and this window.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine. Can you tell us -just remind us what the length of that dormer is, just to confirm its compliance with the Dormer Guidelines?

ANDREW HATCHER: Yes. The length of that dormer is 10'1" and then there's -- excuse me, there's another new dormer on the opposite side of this roof, which is 4'4". Yes, Window no. 20.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. Those are both new dormers?

ANDREW HATCHER: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
ANDREW HATCHER: And the total length of both dormers I put at 14'11" to the -- when finished with sheathing.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Very good. Thank you.
anything else that you want to describe?
ANDREW HATCHER: No, other than that if you go back to the photographs or down one sheet, and the bottom right and the top right photos you can see the existing dormer and the neighbors' driveway where that truck is.

And then the bottom right photo you can see there's no dormer there, but on the back side of that chimney, the new shower stall dormer will be installed.

The current dormer is 14" set back from the wall, the exterior wall below it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And am I looking at this
correctly, the current dormer it's roofline hits the ridge?
ANDREW HATCHER: It does.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the proposed does the same?

ANDREW HATCHER: It does.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Anything else?
ANDREW HATCHER: No, that's it. The others are
just the streetscape.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anything that you're seeking relief from relative to that streetscape?

ANDREW HATCHER: No. I think the two articles, which are 8.22.c --

JIM MONTEVERDE: c, yep.
ANDREW HATCHER: -- and which is -- as long as we don't go beyond the exterior wall below, we stay vertical, you may grant as a special permit. And then 8.22.1.d, which is a window on a new dormer facing the side?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay.

ANDREW HATCHER: So those are the two.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And on the new dormer where it -did $I$ hear correctly that it rises up from and in line with the plane of the existing house wall below?

ANDREW HATCHER: Yes. Vertically, the walls
align. Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the trim?
ANDREW HATCHER: That allows me my head clearance for a new stair.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. And that the trim and gutter, will that pass by that face of the dormer?

ANDREW HATCHER: It does. If you go back one sheet to Sheet 5. Thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So it will have the appearance of breaking up light?

ANDREW HATCHER: Yeah. If you pan out, but you
can see how the gutter passes by.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, okay. Thank you. Any
questions from other members of the Board? Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: No, I like that solution of allowing that stair -- a code-compliant stair to get up to that level 3, and I think that eave extending a little bit past that face of the shed dormer really makes a difference there, so --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEPHEN NG: Nice job. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Thomas?
THOMAS MILLER: No questions, thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We have correspondence in the file, in support. Ramsey and Jon Champagne, and Darren Buck, both in favor, not against.

Is there any member of the public who wishes to speak? Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that
says, "Raise hand."
If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
Anyone wishing to join in?
[Pause]
Nope? Okay. Members of the Board, any discussion or are we ready for a motion?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I see -- I hear a yes and I see a head nod. This is a special permit.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections 8.22.1.h.2, and 8.22.22.c and Section 1040 for a special permit on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "27 Brookford Street, Cambridge," and prepared by Hatcher Architects and dated 02/14/2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further that we incorporate the supporting statements, which we read into the file, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Is that everything for the Board members? Nothing else to add or -- okay.

Now we'll go for a vote. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Thomas?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Michael LaRosa in favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five votes affirmative;
that's unanimous.

ANDREW HATCHER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: A regular task -- is a trooper
going to come and take me away? Oooh, we have to stop. We have to take a break. We're ahead of schedule. The -ANDREW HATCHER: I have a question. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- next is at 7:45. So I'm going to take a break and be back at 7:45.
(BREAK)
MATINA WILLIAMS: I think there was a question,
Mr. Speaker.

JIM MONTEVERDE: From whom?
ANDREW HATCHER: It was just from myself, Andy Hatcher.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ANDREW HATCHER: The next communication will be from your offices, which is the documents that we give to a

General Contractor when they go for their permitting?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
ANDREW HATCHER: Okay. All right. Simple. Just
want to make sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sure. Thank you.
ANDREW HATCHER: Great. Thank you. Goodnight. JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight. And we'll be back at 7:45.
(BREAK)
(7:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: We will now hear Case 216245 -158 Pleasant Street. Is there anybody here who wishes to speak on that case?

AMY SEMMES: Good evening. My name is Amy Semmes, and I am the architect working together with the homeowners, Felicia Brady-Lopez and Mario Lopez, who I thought were going to join us.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Felicia is. She's just on mute. Here she is. I see two people. Here we are.

FELICIA BRADY-LOPEZ: Hi, everybody.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I ask one question before we get into the merits of the case?

FELICIA BRADY-LOPEZ: Sure. JIM MONTEVERDE: Is this a condo? MARIO LOPEZ: No. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Go right ahead.

FELICIA BRADY-LOPEZ: Okay. Why don't you?

MARIO LOPEZ: I'm Mario Lopez. I'm an owner with my wife, Felicia. And we've been living in Cambridgeport for -- I've been there since 2006. She's been here for much longer. And we've been working with the community to modify our kitchen.

FELICIA BRADY-LOPEZ: Hi, I'm Felicia. I've lived here with my husband for 10 years, and before this I lived a block over on Magazine Street for 20 years.

And we love this neighborhood. It's great, Cambridgeport. We know now and love our neighbors very well and want the aesthetic of our home to match the neighborhood and to be pleasant for everybody involved, and thankfully have the support of our neighbors. And we really believe in open design as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Can you walk us through the proposal, the building -- what you're proposing in terms of the changes to the existing structure?

AMY SEMMES: Yes, we can. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

AMY SEMMES: Okay, excellent. So this particular lot is one of a series of seven matching attached townhouses in the middle of the block, which I think that you can see
if you go down to page 3, you will see an overview of the context.

And if you go to the next page, page 4, we sort of have a before and after site plan of their specific lot. It's an attached townhouse with a one-story rear ell, which houses the kitchen.

The top -- the view on the top of the page is the existing setup, where there's sort of an notch taken out of the rear addition.

And it's mostly infilled with a small little raised deck, but it's a table crammed into it. And then the rear means of egress comes off onto that deck, and then you could go down the stairs and then out and out to the stairs and then out to the street through this little sort of tunnel that's shared with the adjacent neighbor.

If you look at the lower view, this shows the proposed birds eye view of the project, where essentially, we're taking the existing one-story rear wing and we're squaring off that notch.

We're eliminating the deck, and then we're doing a little bit of a popout bay window in the back wall, which will have -- will have sone plant shells right by an eating
area inside.
Can we move down to the next page? I'm just trying to -- so let's keep going, page 6 shows sort of various photos of the existing rear view. The upper righthand corner sort of shows the notch on the deck.

We're going now to page 7. This shows the new floor plan for the kitchen, which will have the landing only coming out towards the size instead of the back, so that we recoup that space for a little sitting area for eating -kitchen -- and this is sort of a sunny, southern aspect of the house.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The total additional square footage is 29 square feet, correct?

AMY SEMMES: Correct. It's an --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
AMY SEMMES: -- an ask for a total, a total add of 29 square feet, and we need a variance to be able to do that. Everything about the house is nonconforming, because it's in Residence C, which is written as if it's supposed to be a 5000 square foot lot, and we're only a 1500 square foot lot.
So at -- you know, anything becomes an ask.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Understood.
AMY SEMMES: And --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. I think it's pretty -- it's clear, thank you.

AMY SEMMES: Good. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the
Board?

Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: No, no questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thomas?

THOMAS MILLER: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde, I have no questions.

In the file, there are letters of support from Diana Fisher and Aaron Oppenheimer, Bonnie Mioduchoski, Philippe Daniel, whoo - and then, I got to spell this record you want; no apologies needed/the opposite: Xiaorui Dong and Qinyan Luo. Those are all in favor; no one is written
in opposition.
Any -- I'll open it to public comment. Any member
of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Does anyone wish to speak on this matter? No? Okay. Members of the Board, do you have any final questions, or are you ready for a motion?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. And this
one is a variance. The Chair moves to make a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections 5.31, 8.22.3, and 10.30 on the condition that the proposed work conform to the drawings =entitled, "158 Pleasant Street prepared by Amy Semmes? Samies? (sic)

AMY SEMMES: Semmes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Semmes, thank you. Dated 03/13/2013 initialed by the Chair. And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. Are we ready for a vote? So Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thomas?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five votes in favor; unanimous. The motion
is approved. Thank you. Good luck.
AMY SEMMS: Thank you so much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Have to wait until 8:00.
(8:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: So the next case is 216367 -- 84

Roberts Road, Unit 3. And I hope our statements about the other selections going quickly don't curse us for the last -- jinx us, but is there anyone present who would like to speak or present about the Case 216367 ? Marilyn, is that you?

MARILYN RANKER: I'm the owner, yes. Marilyn Ranker. I'm the owner of the property, and I have an existing shed, which I need a variance to tear down and rebuild as an artist's studio. JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I ask you one question before you go further? MARILYN RANKER: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Is the property -- is this a condominium in a condominium association? MARILYN RANKER: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there a -MARILYN RANKER: But I own the shed outright as
part of my condo.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's exclusive to you?
MARILYN RANKER: Yes, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So you don't need approval from
your other -- from the condo association to do anything on your exclusive hold?

MARILYN RANKER: I don't need to, but I already have letters from them that they've agreed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, great. Thank you. Okay. Can you just walk us through it, please?

MARILYN RANKER: Yes. I'm going to -- it's a shed that's sort of -- you know, it's not repairable. So I'm -I have a contractor who's going to demolish it and rebuild it on the existing footprint, which is on an existing concrete slab.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MARILYN RANKER: And it will have a slanted roof
instead of a pitched roof, and the plan is to make it
harmonious with the existing kind of neighborhood, yes. So there it is, the shed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the existing condition?
MARILYN RANKER: Yes. It's falling apart. So I
have to do something.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the use of the new structure?
MARILYN RANKER: Will be an artist studio. I
personally am an artist. At the moment, I'm renting a space in Somerville. And whether or not $I$ use it or rent it to another artist or architect will be determined. But I'm trying to make the most of the space that I own. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

MARILYN RANKER: And we already have had positive approval from the Historical Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I'm going to find that in the file while I'm shuffling through these. Any comments or questions from members of the Board? Michael?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Matina. JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. Matina. MATINA WILLIAMS: Sorry. JIM MONTEVERDE: No. MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thomas? THOMAS MILLER: Yeah. I just want to make sure -this is a clarifying question for you, Jim -- I just notice on the application form to the BZA under b) there's a
statement that there's no hardship owing to the circumstances relating to soil conditions, et cetera. Is that a finding that we would need to make to grant this?

JIM MONTEVERDE: That usually relates to -- that section relates to the section that's right above it, that basically is asking for demonstration of a hardship.

That is one of the requirements of a variance, that -- you know, it's unique to the variance request as opposed to the special permits. But -- so if you have a question about that, you can ask. Otherwise --

THOMAS MILLER: So I don't want to elevate -- you know, I think that this is a very thoughtful proposal, and I don't have any strong opposition to it, but $I$ would maybe like to hear maybe more about the response to be there, just for us to do our due diligence.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me follow up on that. It's -- and this is for you, Ms. Ranker: The variance requirements, or the specific requirements that we are to find in order to grant a variance read -- there are three of them -- and the first is that a literal enforcement of the ordinance would involve a hardship.

And then b) is that the hardship I think Thomas is
referring to: the hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape of the lot or topography of the land or structures that are specific to this location, not generally affecting the district in which it's located.

And then c) that the desired relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public or nullifying or derogating from the intent of the code.

So that's the way those sections read. So Ms. Ranker in response, can you talk about any substantial hardship or hardship owing to the soil condition, shape or topography?

MARILYN RANKER: I don't think there's a hardship to the soil. I do know that there already is an existing concrete pad, and I just have to do something with the shed, so --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. I think that that may be the basis for the hardship, is that you have an existing structure there that's in very bad, you know, state of disrepair?

MARILYN RANKER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Needs to be either, you know,
significantly rebuilt, modified, or in this case not even repurposed, but rebuilt?

MARILYN RANKER: Yes. That is --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think that's really the -- how
I would see the hardship. It's there, it's falling down, you need to do something with it because it's a danger, and your proposal is to take it down, it's too far gone to repair?

MARILYN RANKER: Hyperemesis. It's too far gone. JIM MONTEVERDE: And you'll replace it? Yeah. So Thomas, I think that's the way it read that piece.

THOMAS MILLER: Thank you. Well articulated. I think that does meet the statute.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Who did it leave out? Stephen?
Comments or questions?
STEPHEN NG: Yeah. My only comment -- but I think it's answered, the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission letter did mention some slight design modifications, but they also -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. STEPHEN NG: -- state at the end also that the applicant should consult with CHC Staff --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
STEPHEN NG: -- on the revised design. So I
really -- I guess.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I'll read that into the record next and --

STEPHEN NG: yeah. That answers -- that's -- I think there's no questions from me, then. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: No, I don't think -- so it's, essentially, it's the same footprint, you are just going a little bit higher, is that correct?

MARILYN RANKER: A little bit higher on one side to make a slanted roof instead of a pitched roof, yes. Within the -- whatever the specifications were that I'm allowed to go up, you know, a couple more feet.
$15^{\prime}$ is the maximum --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, 15'. Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Another one for clarification, so right now it's just the shed, but on the proposal, you have a -- is that a full bathroom?

MARILYN RANKER: Um--
MICHAEL LAROSA: I can't tell if it's a shower?

MARILYN RANKER: There is -- there's going to be -- yes, yes, we have a plumber, and we have an electrician. Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think, right. It's a -- what
is it?

MICHAEL LAROSA: It looks like a --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's a water closet and a shower?
MICHAEL LAROSA: -- full, a shower stall, and then
a sink on the right-hand side.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

MARILYN RANKER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then a -- yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any further questions?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Not from me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And as Stephen mentioned, there is correspondence in the file from these -- the MidCambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission.

And it reads -- and the request is to demolish garage, construct new structure for office/studio. Commission approved the proposal as submitted with the following recommendations:

One, consider adding corner boards to resolve how the edges of the siding meet at the corners.

Two, add more articulation to the front entrance, such as a window in the door or a transom. Consider adding an awning for protection from rain and snow.

Three, add exterior architectural details that echo the detailing of the main residence.

And four, consult with CHC Staff on revised design. So does the proponent have any exception to us reading that and making that a condition of a motion to approve?

MARILYN RANKER: Those are all well-taken and considered suggestions. Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MARILYN RANKER: That's good. They're good.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. And you'll work -consult with the CHC Staff on a revised design?

MARILYN RANKER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And there are no other letters in the file, either for or against? I will open the matter up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of
your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. Do we have anyone calling in?
[Pause]
Nope. No one. Board members, if you have any other final comments or items to discuss, or otherwise we can move on to a motion.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Move to motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Motion, okay. And this is a variance. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31,4.21 and Section 10.30 regarding the variance on the condition that the work performed conforms to the drawings entitled "Artist Studio, 42 Roberts Road \#3," dated December 11, 2022. And there is no name on these. And they will be initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, the variance is granted incorporating the conditions, and the conditions are as stated by the CHC
statement $I$ just read into the record that's part of the file. And so, it's time for a vote.

Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes, in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thomas?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five affirmative. Variance granted. Thank
you.
MARILYN RANKER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And in three minutes, Case
214799 -- 133 Fayerweather Street.
MICHAEL LAROSA: Jim, can $I$ ask kind of a procedural question?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sure.
MICHAEL LAROSA: So on the last -- something like
the last one where it's a condominium unit, are we okay
taking it on face that other unit owners are on board with whatever the condominium documents might require to do something?

JIM MONTEVERDE: If the proponent didn't have the exclusive use of the area --

MICHAEL LAROSA: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- then yeah, we would want a statement from the association that they approve the modification. So I think we're okay, given that she has the -- the proponent had the exclusive use of that part of the lot and therefore that structure. Olivia, does that sound right to you?

MICHAEL LAROSA: I wasn't sure, where it's being converted to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, sorry, just give me -- I'm just asking Olivia for her opinion.

MARILYN RANKER: There's no requirement. There's no need to confirm it with the condo association?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

MARILYN RANKER: That they don't have -- they don't have some rights to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that -- yeah, that's correct.

That's what Olivia is saying, that -- or, at least we should have recognized that a correspondence from the condominium association was not included, and that it should be. So we'll reach out to contact her and ask that she add that to the file and get that from the association.

Thank you, Michael, for bring that up. MICHAEL LAROSA: Yeah, thank you for clarifying. It was honestly just a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MICHAEL LAROSA: For going forward.
(8:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Stephen Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: 8:15. 133 Fayerweather. Dan Anderson, how are you?

DAN ANDERSON: Very good. Good evening, Chair, and members of the Board. Dan Anderson, a Partner at Anderson Porter Design.

So I am back in front of you regarding an additional special permit for 133 Fayerweather. This case was presented and approved by the Board, was permitted and under construction with selective demo when a winter snowstorm effectively collapsed the roof, which had a very deleterious effect on the exterior façade walls of this building, which we only discovered in demolition.

We're hollow, terra-cotta block construction. You may remember that that was stucco. The understanding from our site investigations was that this was a stuccoed woodframe structure, which would be very typical.

But having an unreinforced vertical exterior wall structure became very unstable in that situation, with four
system really not effectively tying into it.
We have a structural report read into the record that we submitted. But because of the extent of demolition in mediating what effectively was very unsafe conditions, I think we have a letter in from an abutter really hoping that this would be taken care of, but we effectively stopped work.

We reviewed this with Olivia with our new building Commissioner and Historic. No Historic review was required. There was administrative approval, so no further work.

But a careful reading of the Code, because building permits are allowed up to 25 percent demolition, but now this exceeded 25 percent, we're back requesting a special permit to grant the project to move forward, essentially to complete demolition and resume construction.

So it's been sitting really since the end of February. And this was the soonest we could get on the docket.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And the drawings in front of us, Mr. Anderson, that's -- that's exactly what I remember seeing before. So --

DAN ANDERSON: That is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: We're really just putting back --
you're constructing what you submitted previously, just replacing more of it due to the damage that happened, correct?

DAN ANDERSON: That is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And we did approve the special permit before.

DAN ANDERSON: That is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And there are no new conditions
that you're aware of that would be any reason for us to question that or reject that?

DAN ANDERSON: No. It's strictly an issue of required demolition before resuming construction.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: No. Exactly the same; no
questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thomas?
THOMAS MILLER: No questions. Why the.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?
STEPHEN NG: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Michael?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And Michael -- oh, Michael, you went before -- sorry. And Jim Monteverde no questions. There are no letters in the file either for or against, and I will open it to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

OLIVIA RATAY: Jeanette Corbin?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Jeanette Corbin, you can -- if you would unmute yourself, you can begin.
[Pause]

Anyone else? Jeannette Corbin? Again, you're on mute. If you want to unmute yourself and speak. Please go ahead. Otherwise, I'll give you another moment or so, otherwise we'll move you along.
[Pause]
Oop! There you go. Almost.
[Pause]
Yes! You're there.
[Pause]
Are you having trouble connecting? All right.
Looks like you're ready to go. Do you have something you want to share with us? All right? Okay. All right.

Any questions, final questions from members of the Board, or are we ready for a motion?

DAN ANDERSON: Jim, just to -- sorry, you said there were no letters for or against; there does appear to be one letter. I'm not actually sure how to characterize it, but there is one letter in the file, correct?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, let me look at this again.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm just going to bring that up as well, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Scott Forest is a Structural
Engineer. He's basically describing what happened.
DAN ANDERSON: This is from one owner --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, yes, Omer Bartov. Yes. I'm
sorry. I read this, and then I decided -- it's really
asking why there is no work going on, and not speaking for or against the proposal in front of us.

So yes, there's one correspondence in the file
basically talking about the fact that it's lying dormant. But I think, Mr. Anderson, you've explained what happened, and we've got to give you approval here so you can move forward.

So I don't think that -- sorry, so that's the way I characterize the one piece of correspondence in front. And I think now we have two folks who have raised our hands from the public who wanted to speak. So if you could --

OLIVIA RATAY: Al --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead.
OLIVIA RATAY: -- Al Clark?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Al, if you would unmute yourself, you can share your thoughts.

AL CLARK: Hello, am I unmuted?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
AL CLARK: So my question is, because the structure was not wood-framed, was just hollow, does that mean they're going to have to take down the rest of the walls? Is that part of the plan?

DAN ANDERSON: So Al, yeah, thank you for the question. Yeah. So predominantly the walls that are removed I believe except for the left-hand front structure,
so there's some additional demolition: The work was halted voluntarily until this was sorted out. But the replacement will be a wood-frame structure.

I think there's some additional demo to bring that clear back to a solid foundation and rebuild.

AL CLARK: Okay, thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else?
OLIVIA RATAY: Jeanette Corbin?
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ms. Corbin, we're not hearing you. Looks like you're having a problem connecting.
[Pause]
Well, let me make -- begin a motion. I'll stop before we vote and see if Ms. Corbin is able to join in. And if not, we'll just wrap it up. Okay? Yeah.

Ms. Corbin, we're getting a message that just says it's connecting to audio, but we're not -- you're not connecting, you're not able to speak or we can't hear you. So we're going to move on to our motion. And if you are able to join the audio, you can talk to us then.

So the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under section -- so
this is a special permit -- sections 5.31, 8.22.2.d and 10.40 for the special permit.

It is granted incorporating the following
conditions: Oh, I'm sorry, it's -- on the condition that the work proposed conform for the drawings entitled "133 Fayerweather Street" by Anderson Porter Design, dated June 1, 2022 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. And we have no conditions to add. Ms. Corbin, it looks like you're still having a problem connecting, so I'm going to go to a vote. All right.

Members of the Board, Matina.

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thomas?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Stephen?

STEPHEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative; you're approved.

DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Chair, thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAN ANDERSON: Hopefully people will go out and cheer for the Celtics. So let's see what happens.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Good luck.
DAN ANDERSON: Have a good night.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. That concludes
tonight's meeting. Thank you all.

DAN ANDERSON: All right. Thank you.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, goodnight.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Have a good night.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You too.
(8:27 p.m. End of Proceedings.)
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| attendance 4:9 | barricades | blowing 50:13 | 90:6 | 10:6 56:5 |
| attending 39:1 | 62:18 71:21 | blurry 14:22 | break 87:16,19 | 98:22 |
| attic 45:2 81:11 | Bartov 113:18 | board 1:1 3:9,15 | 87:20 88:15 | BZA-206407 2:7 |
| attorney 31:15 | based 4:6 23:4 | 3:20 4:8 6:14 | breaking 84:21 | BZA-206534 2:5 |
| 36:6 | 25:2,2 27:19 | 7:4,14 8:11 | breathing 18:17 | BZA-209310 2:8 |
| audible 4:9 | 45:7 59:2 | 17:7,20 18:7 | 22:4 | BZA-211208 2:4 |
| 26:12 | 68:12 | 18:11 20:15 | Brendan 1:7 3:4 | BZA-214799 |
| audio 3:16 | basement 45:1 | 23:1,9 24:4 | 4:20,21 6:3 8:2 | 2:18 |
| 115:17,20 | basically 21:10 | 25:12,17 28:20 | 8:3,3,22 9:1,20 | BZA-215453 |
| authorities | 25:14 33:6 | 29:13,15 31:6 | Brian 26:11,12 | 2:14 |
| 60:15,21 61:4 | 41:4 45:2,18 | 35:12 39:9,12 | 26:14,14,19 | BZA-215873 |
| 69:19 70:3,8 | 50:4 67:3 73:8 | 42:5,8,21 43:5 | briefly 11:12 | 2:11 |
| authorized 3:14 | 99:6 113:16 | 46:6,7 48:20 | bring 14:4 49:21 | BZA-215878 |
| available 7:5,14 | 114:1 | 50:12 52:9 | 77:11 108:6 | 2:15 |
| 7:17,18,20,22 | basis 61:13 | 54:17 58:5,9 | 113:13 115:4 | BZA-216245 |
| 8:3 42:16 | 66:10 70:17 | 58:18 59:1 | bringing 37:17 | 2:16 |
| 46:22 52:9,12 | 100:18 | 60:6 61:20 | 45:18 | BZA-216367 |
| 52:14,16,18 | bathroom 10:19 | 66:4,8 67:12 | brings 49:16 | 2:17 |


| BZA-216904 | carried 30:10 | Champagne | City's 4:4 | coming 8:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:12 | 78:9 | 85:18 | Citywide 15:11 | 22:9 31:19 |
| BZA-216930 | carveout 43:9 | change 4:67:11 | claimed 61:13 | 54:16 92:8 |
| 2:13 | 43:18,20 | 32:13 35:16,19 | 70:17 | commence 6:12 |
| C | case 2:2 $6: 6,7,7$ | 37:2 43:3 54:9 | clarification | comment 4:2,3 |
|  | 6:22 7:3,4 8:12 | 57:14,17 | 48:2 102:18 | 23:7 26:9 27:1 |
| c 3:1 61:18 | 8:13 10:5,6,7 | changed 8:19 | clarifying 98:21 | 27:6 28:19 |
| 70:21 84:1 | 31:9,11 32:1 | 11:14 12:8 | 108:7 | 41:17 46:21 |
| 92:19 100:6 | 43:2 49:9 50:3 | 55:4 | Clark 114:11,14 | 48:3,5 76:9 |
| C-h-a-t-u-r-a-... | 50:15 52:3 | changes 8:14,18 | 114:16 115:6 | 94:2 101:16 |
| 20:8 | 53:3 54:18,20 | 13:3 14:20 | clean 37:20 75:1 | 104:21 112:5 |
| cable 3:17 | 55:18 56:5 | 16:21 54:21 | 76:3 | commentary |
| cabling 57:4 | 64:6 73:8,13 | 55:2 56:22 | clear 51:13 93:4 | 25:15 |
| 65:14 | 80:5 89:5,7,16 | 57:4 65:15 | 115:5 | comments 17:6 |
| calculated 37:6 | 96:5,9 101:1 | 90:17 | clearance 84:14 | 18:7,10,11 |
| 47:13 | 106:16 109:11 | changing $12: 14$ | clearly 43:2 | 19:7 20:21 |
| calculation | cases 2:3 31:7 | 15:4,7 35:6 | click 19:11 | 22:21 25:18 |
| 43:15 46:12 | 35:11 53:22 | 38:17 | 41:18 85:22 | 29:15 36:4 |
| call 3:22 6:6 | 66:8 | Channel 3:17 | 94:3 104:22 | 39:13,14,16,22 |
| 42:22 | cause 8:11 54:17 | Chapter 3:12 | 112:7 | 41:8 42:8 46:3 |
| calling 19:13 | cease 59:20 69:4 | character 39:7 | client 34:16 | 46:9 55:4 |
| 41:20 86:2 | Celtics 117:6 | characterize | 51:20 | 67:12,22 78:4 |
| 94:5 105:2,4 | center 75:6 76:2 | 113:9 114:6 | client's 34:8,14 | 78:5 98:12 |
| 112:9 | central 10:14 | charge 62:11 | clients 34:18 | 101:15 105:7 |
| Cambridge 1:2 | 27:2 | 71:14 | 38:19 | commission |
| 1:6 3:9,15,18 | certain 42:12 | Charles 26:16 | close 14:19 | 76:6 78:7 |
| 10:13, 16,21 | 66:15 | 27:2 41:13 | 28:19 | 101:18 103:18 |
| 13:12 34:2 | certainly 72:18 | CHC 101:22 | closed 46:7 73:8 | 103:21 118:17 |
| 39:6 42:6 | CERTIFICA... | 104:8,17 | closest 12:2 | Commissioner |
| 62:13 63:5,6 | 118:1 | 105:22 | 22:16 | 110:9 |
| 71:15 78:7 | certify 118:5,8 | check 7:14 | closet 43:11 | Commonwealth |
| 86:15 101:17 | cetera 23:10 | 15:15 | 103:7 | 118:2,5 |
| 103:18 | 99:2 | cheer 117:6 | co-owner 74:20 | communication |
| Cambridgeport | chair 1:7,7 3:11 | chemicals 22:8 | code 42:15 | 88:5 |
| 90:2,10 | 3:11 6:13 | Chen 20:7 | 45:17 49:2 | community |
| Cameron 13:7 | 28:22 29:8 | child 38:20 | 100:8 110:11 | 20:18 90:4 |
| 20:12 | 31:13 33:1 | child's 38:20 | code-compliant | compared 24:6 |
| canister 57:9 | 48:7 51:13 | children 10:18 | 81:12 85:7 | comparisons |
| canisters 57:1,5 | 59:1,2,7,11,15 | 11:6 | Cogswell 20:3 | 56:18 |
| care 10:11 110:6 | 63:1,7 68:7,11 | children's 44:4 | collapsed | complete 21:18 |
| careful 110:11 | 68:12,17,21 | chimney $83: 8$ | 109:14 | 110:15 |
| Carolyn 56:8,8 | 78:13,19 86:11 | chose 42:21 | COLLECTIVE | completed 7:1 |
| 56:12,15,19 | 86:17 94:12,19 | circumstances | 55:16 117:13 | completely |
| 57:21 58:3,6 | 105:11,17 | 99:2 100:1 | 117:16 | 12:16 45:3 |
| 64:7 65:7,8 | 109:7 115:21 | cite 29:16 | coloration 38:3 | completion 59:8 |
| 66:1,6,13,17 | 116:7 117:3,12 | citing 63:14 | come 40:12 | 59:12 68:18 |
| 66:22 67:5,9 | Chairman 25:6 | city 1:2,14 3:14 | 87:15 | compliance 60:4 |
| 73:2,5,9 | challenges 33:9 | 7:7 15:13 34:3 | comes 91:12 | 69:10 82:10 |


| comply 60:5 | 106:22 107:2 | 23:9 38:1 | 92:5 104:1 | 56:20 65:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61:6 62:16 | 108:2 | 109:13,17 | corners 27:5 | curse 96:7 |
| 69:11 70:10 | conducting 39:1 | 110:15 111:13 | 104:2 |  |
| 71:19 | configuration | consult 101:22 | cornice 66:11 | D |
| compromise | 44:7 | 104:8,17 | correct 14:12,16 | d 2: $13: 162: 7$ |
| 13:21 | confirm 15:16 | consultation | 15:1,3,22 16:3 | 71:10 |
| concern 18:3 | 19:19 42:2 | 12:9 | 16:4,9 17:1,2 | damage 111:3 |
| 22:19 24:9,9 | 67:7 82:10 | contact 108:4 | 18:6 29:5 41:6 | Damon 41:13 |
| 26:3 30:5 | 107:18 | contacted 12:5 | 75:13 77:13,18 | Dan 109:5,7,8 |
| 60:11 69:15 | conform 49:19 | context 14:18 | 80:16 82:17 | 110:22 111:5,8 |
| concerned 18:22 | 86:14 94:15 | 19:1 91:2 | 84:12 88:8 | 111:12 113:7 |
| 20:13 21:17,21 | 116:5 | continuance | 92:13,14 | 113:17 114:20 |
| concerning | conformance | 11:17 51:16 | 102:11 107:22 | 117:3,5,8,11 |
| 61:21 71:2 | 49:17 | 52:2 54:7 | 110:22 111:4,5 | Dana 2:14 74:5 |
| concerns 11:17 | conformity | continuation | 111:8 113:10 | 74:7 78:17 |
| 11:18 12:11 | 45:19 49:16,21 | 8:17 | correctly 15:16 | danger 101:6 |
| 13:16 17:16,22 | 50:7 | continue 7:3,4 | 22:14 83:12 | Daniel 93:20 |
| 19:7 22:10,17 | conforms 29:3 | 7:10 8:21 11:7 | 84:9 | Daniela 20:4 |
| 23:6,10 25:2,3 | 63:4 78:17 | 13:8,15 50:16 | correspondence | Danielle 12:1,5 |
| 46:15 | 105:14 | 52:4 53:3,12 | 18:8 19:9,16 | Darren 85:18 |
| concludes 117:9 | Congratulatio... | 54:8 55:5 60:5 | 20:1 41:9,15 | Dash 31:13,15 |
| conclusion 39:8 | 30:11 | 69:11 | 58:19 66:4 | 31:15 32:16,17 |
| concrete 97:15 | connecting | continued 2:3 | 85:17 103:17 | 32:18,21 33:1 |
| 100:15 | 113:2 115:11 | 6:7 10:6 31:7 | 108:2 113:22 | 33:5,8 38:9,11 |
| condition 7:10 | 115:17,18 | 48:10 53:22 | 114:6 | 38:11 48:7,9 |
| 15:1 23:11 | 116:11 | continuing 51:4 | counsel 118:9 | 48:16 49:20 |
| 24:5,21 29:3 | consent 76:7 | 53:7 | count 40:12 | 50:1,15,16,19 |
| 54:9 60:2,6 | consequent | continuous | 42:14 | 51:11,13,17 |
| 63:4 69:8 | 11:20 | 59:21 69:5 | County 63 | 52:1 |
| 72:15 78:16 | Conservation | contractor | couple 102:15 | dashed 81:16 |
| 86:14 94:14 | 78:7 101:17 | 74:20 88:7 | course 39:7 | database 27:19 |
| 97:21 100:11 | 103:18 | 97:13 | Court 3:13 | date 2:4,6,7,9 |
| 104:10 105:13 | consider 104:1,4 | contributed | Courtney 20:3 | 7:12 29:7 |
| 116:4 | considered 77:8 | 20:18 | covered 29:13 | 54:10 |
| conditions 15:20 | 104:13 | control 16 | 63:13 | dated 29:8 63:7 |
| 28:10 29:12 | considering | convenience | crammed 91:11 | 78:18,19 86:16 |
| 59:4 60:12 | 21:12 | 42:19 | create 11:5 | 86:16 94:18 |
| 63:11,12 68:14 | consistent 11:8 | conversation | 33:17 37:17 | 105:15,17 |
| 69:11,16 75:17 | 38:2 59:10,14 | 10:12 | 38:12,18 39:3 | 116:6,7 |
| 99:2 100:2 | 59:18 68:20 | converted | 48:18 76:21 | dates 38:8 52:8 |
| 105:22,22 | 69:2 | 107:14 | creating 35:17 | daughter 21:9 |
| 110:4 111:9 | construct | convinced 18:13 | 43:2 75:8 | Dave 13:7 20:12 |
| 116:4,10 | 103:20 | Corbin 112:11 | Creative 78:18 | David 41:13,14 |
| condo 10:15 | constructing | 112:12,15 | current 10:17 | day 118:13 |
| 89:18 97:1,5 | 111:2 | 115:8,10,14,16 | 11:6,8 41:1 | days 60:20 61:9 |
| 107:18 | construction | 116:10 | 57:13 76:18,18 | 62:8 70:2,13 |
| condominium | 13:16 18:4 | corner 18:17 | 81:19 83:9,12 | $71: 10$ de $8 \cdot 1041.13$ |
| 32:20 96:19,19 | 20:13,14 23:6 | 27:2,21 36:5 | currently 42:9 | de 8:10 41:13 |


| 44:17,18 46:4 | 6:22 60:14,19 | 109:16 | Double 16:1 | electromagnetic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:14 54:17 | 61:1,11 62:11 | discuss 12:7 | downstairs | 60:10,15 61:8 |
| December | 69:18 70:1,5 | 74:12 105:7 | 45:10,12 | 69:14,19 70:12 |
| 105:15 | 70:15 71:13 | discussed 16:16 | drawings 8:15 | element 66:19 |
| decide 53:15 | depending 7:4 | discussion 23:1 | 11:12 29:3,5 | elements 44:12 |
| decided 113:19 | derogating | 46:6,7,18 49:3 | 54:22 63:5 | elevate 99:11 |
| decision 23:4 | 100:8 | 68:2 86:6 | 78:17 86:14 | elevation 81:15 |
| 25:1 42:20 | describe 83:1 | discussions 13:1 | 94:15 105:14 | elevations 14:4 |
| 51:10 | describing | 26:22 | 110:19 116:5 | 14:8 24:12 |
| deck 76:19,20 | 113:16 | dismiss 35:11 | Drive 2:12 56:6 | eliminate 42:17 |
| 91:11,12,20 | design 31:21 | disrepair 100:20 | 63:5,6 | 76:3 |
| 92:5 | 36:10,20 39:18 | district 78:7 | driveway 83:5 | eliminating 37:9 |
| dedicated 10:20 | 90:14 101:18 | 100:4 101:18 | due 39:2 50:3 | 91:20 |
| 11:9 | 102:2 104:9,17 | 103:18 | 99:15 111:3 | ell $91: 5$ |
| deduct 40:12 | 109:9 116:6 | disturbance | duplex 10:19 | emanating |
| 42:12 | designs 12:18 | 13:16 | E | 60:16 69:20 |
| deductible 40:8 | desired 100:6 | docket 110:18 | E | emergency 22:2 |
| deductions | detail 61:12,22 | documents 8:19 | E 2:1 3:1,1 | emissions 60:16 |
| 47:13 | 70:16 71:3 | 8:20 88:6 | e-mail 13:8 | 61:8 69:20 |
| deemed 6 | detailing 104:7 | 107:2 | earlier 37:3 | 70:12 |
| 71:6 | details 33:13 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d o g }}$ 10:18 | 72:16 | employed 118:9 |
| deeply $21: 16$ | 104:6 | doing 31:22 | East 10:16 | Employees 1:14 |
| definitely 23:19 | determined 98:6 | 44:10 45:14 | easy 57:22,22 | enclose 76:21 |
| 67:10 73:3 | detriment 33:18 | 91:20 | 58:1 65:19 | enclosed 77:7 |
| definition 47:3,6 | 100:7 | Dong 93:21 | eating 91:22 | enclosure 57:11 |
| degree 37:14 | detrimenta | door 22:3 45:22 | 92 | ended 27:3 |
| delete 43:14 | 28:12,16 | 75:18 76:18 | eave 85:8 | ends 63:1 |
| 47:5 | DH 27:13 29:6 | 104:4 | echo 38:16 | energy 60:10,15 |
| deleterious | Diana 93:19 | doors 75:19 | 104:7 | 61:8 69:14,19 |
| 109:15 | difference 85:9 | dormant 114:1 | edge 37:6 | 70:12 |
| demo 109:13 | different 38:8 | dormer 32:13 | edges 104:2 | enforcement |
| 115:4 | diligence 99:15 | 33:16 34:16 | effect 109:15 | 99:20 |
| demolish 97:13 | dimension 66:16 | 35:14,22 36:1 | effectively | engineer 73:5 |
| 103:19 | dimensional 7:1 | 37:1,16 38:1 | 109:14 110:1,4 | 113:16 |
| demolition | 8:18 15:15 | 40:5,6,8,20 | 110:6 | engineers 67:6 |
| 109:16 110:3 | 29:9 55:3 | 45:9 81:10,16 | effects 60:9 | enhance 22:18 |
| 110:12,15 | 78:21 86:19 | 81:18,19,21 | 69:13 | enlargement |
| 111:13 115:1 | 94:20 105:19 | 82:6,9,10,11 | efficient 51:7 | 28:11 |
| demonstrating | 116:9 | 82:13 83:5,7,8 | egress 91:12 | enter 15:10 |
| 38:6 | dimensions | 83:9,12 84:5,8 | egresses 75:3 | entire 32:4 50:4 |
| demonstration | 16:20 | 84:17 85:9 | 76:3 | 50:4 |
| 99:6 | directed 58:8 | dormers 33:21 | either 18:9 32:5 | entitled 29:4 |
| denied 44:13 | directly 16:13 | 34:5,9,19 35:5 | 40:8 57:14 | 63:5 78:17 |
| dense 24:18 | discloses 61:22 | 35:6,7,22 37:5 | 58:20 66:5 | 86:15 94:15 |
| denser 24:20 | 71:3 | 37:10,19 38:16 | 100:22 104:20 | 105:14 116:5 |
| Dent 118:4 | disclosing 61:11 | 38:18 39:10 | 112 | entrance 104:3 |
| deny 51:12 | 70:15 | 82:16,20 | electrician | envelope 77:8 |
| Department | discovered | dotted 43:8,18 | 103:2 | equal 19:6 37:9 |


| equipment $22: 2$ | 57:10 65:11 | 101:5 | 23:18 37:7 | 106:13 117:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56:16 59:21 | 75:17,22 81:16 | family 10:17 | 42:10 43:17 | Flanders 41:14 |
| 60:1,17 61:4 | 81:21 83:4 | 11:6 12:21 | 48:14 92:13,17 | flat 76:21 77:11 |
| 62:9,12,15 | 84:10 90:17 | 26:6,21 34:1,2 | 102:15 | flatten 77:20 |
| 69:5,6,21 70:8 | 91:8,18 92:4 | 39:6 | Felicia 89:10,12 | flip 76:1 |
| 71:11,14,18 | 96:13 97:14,14 | far 15:20 16:1 | 89:14,17,22 | floor 10:19 11:2 |
| 72:18 | 97:19,21 | 16:11 18:22 | 90:2,6,6 | 11:21 12:21 |
| equivalent | 100:14,18 | 19:4 23:17,18 | fellow 24:4 | 14:10,11,14 |
| 37:16 | expanding 44:9 | 24:5,11,11,20 | file 8:15 15:17 | 37:5,12 40:16 |
| essentially $37: 17$ | expires 118:17 | 24:20 27:19 | 26:17 41:16 | 49:19 76:21,21 |
| 40:19 91:17 | explain 56:14 | 30:5 35:16,16 | 54:22 57:20 | 77:11 81:12 |
| 102:10 110:15 | explained 114:2 | 37:2 39:19 | 58:18,20 60:13 | 92:7 |
| et 23:10 99:2 | extend 37:5,18 | 42:14,17 46:13 | 60:22 61:10 | floors 18:16 |
| Evans 41:13 | extending 37:10 | 46:16 47:16 | 62:10 69:17 | flush 66:14 |
| evening 3:10 | 85:8 | 48:13 49:2,6,6 | 70:4,14 71:12 | folks 18:9 114:7 |
| 6:13 9:19 21:6 | extension 37:16 | 49:8,15,15,21 | 78:6 85:18 | follow 99:16 |
| 31:15 48:10,10 | 40:21 | 76:22 101:7,9 | 86:19 93:18 | following 18:20 |
| 89:8 109:7 | extent 41:15 | farther 7:13 | 98:12 103:17 | 29:12 40:15 |
| event 61:3 70:7 | 61:18 70:21 | favor 9:3,5,7 | 104:20 106:2 | 59:2,4 60:12 |
| eventual 63:9 | 110:3 | 18:9 20:17,22 | 108:5 112:4 | 63:11 68:7,11 |
| everybody | exterior 6:16 | 29:20,22 30:2 | 113:10,22 | 68:14 69:16 |
| 34:15 89:14 | 15:4,8 37:1 | 30:6,8 63:18 | filed 60:18,20 | 103:22 116:3 |
| 90:12 | 77:15 83:10 | 63:20,22 64:2 | 62:1,6 69:22 | foot 16:22 43:7 |
| everything's | 84:3 104:6 | 64:3 72:13 | 70:2 71:4,9 | 92:20,20 |
| 57:18,18 | 109:15,21 | 79:8,10,11 | files 60:14 69:18 | footage 14:11 |
| exact 16:15 60:3 | eye $91: 17$ | 85:19 87:2,4,6 | final 62:7 94:8 | 37:11 49:4,5,5 |
| 69:8 |  | 87:8,9 93:22 | 105:7 113:5 | 50:8,8 77:17 |
| exactly 75:5 | F | 95:1,3,5,7,8,10 | financially | 92:13 |
| 110:20 111:16 | façade 109:15 | 106:4,6,8,10 | 118:10 | footprint 23:21 |
| exceeded 110:13 | face 84:17 85:9 | 106:11 116:14 | find 4:3 7:7 | 37:10 75:6 |
| excellent 90:20 | 107:1 | 116:16,18,20 | 35:15 98:11 | 97:14 102:10 |
| exception 35:9 | facing 84:5 | 116:21 | 99:19 | foregoing 61:19 |
| 42:16 78:8 | fact 18:21 43:22 | Fayerweather | finding 99:3 | 70:22 |
| 104:9 | 44:6 45:8 47:5 | 2:18 106:17 | findings 59:2,2 | Forest 113:15 |
| excessive 62:21 | 50:3,14 76:20 | 109:5,11 116:6 | 68:7,12,12 | form 7:1 8:19 |
| 72:2 | 114:1 | Feanil 31:18 | fine $82: 8$ | 12:13 15:15 |
| exclusive 97:2,6 | facto 8:11 54:17 | February | finish 25:12 | 16:21 55:3 |
| 107:5,10 | 61:1,15 70:5 | 110:17 | finished 25:14 | 98:22 |
| excuse 32:15,17 | 70:18 | federal 60:15,20 | 82:20 | forms 29:9 52:2 |
| 36:17 76:18 | fails 61:6 70:10 | 61:3,15 62:17 | first 6:6 10:19 | 63:9 78:21 |
| 82:12 | failure 8:10 | 62:22 69:19 | 11:2 25:12 | 86:20 94:20 |
| existing 6:17 | 54:17 60:22 | 70:2,7,19 | 31:9 33:10 | 105:19 116:9 |
| 14:4,20 16:2 | 61:10,12,13 | 71:20 72:3 | 74:18 99:20 | forward 22:7 |
| 24:1,21 27:17 | 70:4,14,16,17 | feel 25:4 26:3 | first-floor 77:16 | 26:9 48:16 |
| 28:9,12,16 | Fairmont 2:4 | 38:13 | Fisher 93:19 | 51:19 54:1 |
| 33:12 34:6,7 | 6:8,15 | feels 24:19 | five 9:9 60:4 | 108:10 110:14 |
| 34:12 35:18 | faith 45:7 | feet 14:21 21:13 | 64:5 69:10 | 114:4 |
| 37:4 49:15 | falling 97:22 | 21:20 22:10,20 | 87:11 95:10 | Foster 42:6 |


| foundation | 21:8,8,10 | 28:19,20 31:7 | graphics 11:12 | harm 22:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115:5 | Geistas 20:16 | 32:13 37:14 | great 45:14,18 | harmonious |
| four 11:22 21:13 | general 1:3 3:13 | 43:10 45:10,10 | 88:12 90:9 | 97:19 |
| 21:19 22:10,20 | 13:16 74:20 | 45:20 49:12 | 97:9 | Harvard 10:14 |
| 29:18 30:9 | 88:7 | 50:5,9 51:19 | greater 19:6 | hatch 37:14 |
| 59:20 69:4 | generally 4:5 | 53:12 68:5 | 40:18 | hatched 37:13 |
| 75:3 104:8 | 100:4 | 75:18 76:20 | Greenup 42:4,5 | Hatcher 80:21 |
| 109:22 | geographical | 87:15,18 89:11 | 42:6 44:16,22 | 81:1,4,4,5,10 |
| fourth 37:4 | 62:13 71:15 | 92:3,6 96:7 | gross 49:19 | 82:5,11,17,19 |
| frame 109:20 | Geraldine 12:3 | 97:11,13 98:11 | group 10:21 | 83:2,13,15,17 |
| frankly 53:21 | getting 27:17 | 102:10 103:1 | 13:13 | 83:21 84:2,7 |
| Fraser 6:15 | 50:7 115:16 | 108:10 113:13 | Grove 31:16 | 84:11,14,18,22 |
| friends 13:19 | GFA 28:2 | 113:20 114:18 | grow 11:6 26:21 | 86:16 87:13,17 |
| front 18:14 | give 4:2 8:11 | 115:19 116:12 | 39:6 | 88:2,3,5,9,12 |
| 33:11 34:10,10 | 54:18 56:10 | good 6:13 9:19 | growing 34:2 | hazard 21:21 |
| 34:21 35:21,22 | 58:22 88:6 | 21:6 28:2 | guarantee 22:11 | 22:1 |
| 39:10 74:13 | 107:15 112:17 | 30:14 31:15 | guess 23:16 24:3 | head 47:10 |
| 75:4 76:19 | 114:3 | 33:18 45:7 | 24:3 27:9,22 | 84:14 86:10 |
| 104:3 109:10 | given 13:3,8 | 51:5 53:6 | 46:11 102:3 | health 60:9 |
| 110:19 113:21 | 22:19 24:17 | 56:11 57:19 | guest 11:9 | 69:13 |
| 114:6,22 | 28:14 76:6 | 82:22 85:13 | guidance 23:4 | hear 8:13 19:19 |
| frustrating | 107:9 | 89:8 93:5 | Guidelines | 21:7,11 26:9 |
| 51:20 | gives 14:11 | 95:11 104:15 | 82:10 | 31:9,13 42:2 |
| full 14:15 | Glassman 6:13 | 104:15 109:7,7 | gutter 84:17 | 51:13 52:21 |
| 102:20 103:8 | 6:14,20 | 117:7,8,15 | 85:1 | 54:20 84:9 |
| function 47:4 | go 7:13 11:12 | goodnight 88:12 | guys 15:5 | 86:9 89:5 |
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| 89:13 90:22 | shape 100:2,11 | signed 52:2 | 9:7,11,11 | southern 92:10 |
| 91:1 101:5 | share $24: 857: 7$ | significant 38:6 | sleeping 22:3 | space 10:22 11:6 |
| 115:14 117:6 | 113:4 114:13 | significantly | slide 37:8 | 11:7 13:13 |
| seeing 31:8 44:6 | shared 36:6 | 101:1 | slides 37:22 38:5 | 28:5 38:18,20 |
| 44:8 110:21 | 91:15 | similar 26:20 | slight 101:18 | 38:22 39:3,3 |
| seeking 6:16 | sharing 10:19 | 38:3 65:9 | small 10:15,21 | 40:5,17,17,20 |
| 11:5 48:12,13 | sheathing 82:21 | similarly 26:20 | 13:12 24:1 | 41:5 42:11,13 |
| 59:4 68:13 | shed 85:9 96:13 | simple 42:8 88:9 | 27:21 34:22 | 42:13 43:2,12 |
| 83:20 | 96:22 97:11,20 | simply 42:17 | 37:5 38:19 | 43:14,19 44:4 |
| Seeley 56:8,8,12 | 100:15 102:19 | sims 57:6 | 43:8 46:13 | 44:5 46:22 |
| 56:15,19 57:21 | sheet 37:20 40:2 | simulations | 91:10 | 47:3,3 49:2,9,9 |
| 58:3,6 64:7 | 42:9 43:6 | 59:10,14,19 | smaller 24:1 | 92:9 98:4,7 |
| 65:5,7,8 66:1,5 | 81:14 83:3 | 65:22 68:20 | 57:15 | spaces 47:12 |
| 66:6,13,17,22 | 84:19,19 | 69:3 | Smartlink 56:9 | Sparks 2:7,8,11 |
| 67:5,9 72:16 | shells 91:22 | single-income | 65:8 | 31:7,10 42:7 |
| 73:1,2,5,9 | Shephard 20:7 | 10:17 | snow 104:5 | speak 4:6 10:7 |
| seen 66:9 | shift 76:1,1 | sink 103:9 | snowstorm | 19:11,21 21:2 |
| selections 96:7 | shifting 75:6 | site $14: 18,19$ | 109:14 | 31:12 41:18 |
| selective 109:13 | shorter 57:13 | 15:8 23:21 | snuff 18:10 | 42:3 45:13 |


| 49:13 56:6 | 14:11 23:18 | 24:16 25:6,10 | 90:8 91:14 | 105:19 110:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58:21 68:1 | 37:7,11 42:10 | 25:13,16 27:7 | 94:16 106:17 | 111:2 116:9 |
| 74:7 78:10 | 43:7,17 48:14 | 27:9,13,16 | 116:6 | substantial |
| 80:7,12 85:21 | 49:4,4,5 50:8,8 | 28:19 29:5,6 | streetscape | 33:17 100:7,10 |
| 85:21 89:7 | 77:17 92:12,13 | 30:1,2,13 31:4 | 83:18,20 | substantially |
| 94:3,7 96:9 | 92:17,20,20 | 39:17,18 48:2 | stretching 28:1 | 28:12 |
| 104:22 112:6 | squaring 91:19 | 51:6,10 52:16 | strictly $23: 14$ | substituted |
| 112:16 114:8 | ss 118:3 | 52:18,21 53:1 | 111:12 | 56:17 |
| 115:18 | Staff 4:8 6:9 | 55:11 56:4 | strong 47:9 | suburbs 10:16 |
| Speaker 47:7 | 19:16,19 41:22 | 58:14 63:21,22 | 99:13 | sufficiently |
| 87:22 113:11 | 42:1,2 101:22 | 65:4 67:15,16 | structural 110:2 | 62:21 72:2 |
| 113:13 | 104:8,17 | 72:6,7 74:4 | 113:15 | suggested 50:15 |
| speakers 4:7 | stair 77:4,15,20 | 76:13,14 79:4 | structure 6:17 | suggestions |
| 6:10 19:16 | 81:11,12 84:15 | 79:4,5,6 80:4 | 28:13 38:16 | 104:13 |
| 41:22 52:20 | 85:7,7 | 85:5,6,12 87:5 | 49:18 90:17 | Sullivan 1:7 3:4 |
| speaking 3:21 | staircase 75:5 | 87:6 89:4 | 98:2 100:19 | 4:20,21 6:3 8:3 |
| 21:9,9 113:20 | 75:18 76:1 | 93:10,11 95:4 | 103:20 107:11 | 8:3 9:1 |
| special 6:16 | stairs 35:1 77:6 | 95:5 96:4 | 109:20,22 | sunny 92:10 |
| 26:20 28:6 | 77:10 91:13,13 | 101:14,16,21 | 114:17,22 | supervising |
| 29:11,16 35:12 | stairway 76:21 | 102:2,6 103:16 | 115:3 | 74:21 |
| 36:6 39:4,9 | stall 83:8 103:8 | 106:7,8 109:4 | structures 62:20 | support 13:3,4,8 |
| 42:21 43:22 | Stang 41:14 | 111:20,21 | 72:1 100:3 | 13:9,12 25:4 |
| 47:16,19 48:18 | start 4:8 74:11 | 116:17,18 | stucco 109:18 | 28:15 34:1 |
| 50:11,14 59:3 | state 3:21 28:6 | stepped 26:8 | stuccoed 109:19 | 36:8 50:11 |
| 60:7,11 61:2,5 | 100:19 101:21 | Steve 16:12,15 | studies 38:5 | 85:18 90:13 |
| 61:14,18,20 | stated 63:3 | 25:6 27:13 | studio 96:14 | 93:18 |
| 62:2,16 63:3 | 105:22 | 58:13 79:6 | 98:3 105:15 | supporting 8:19 |
| 63:10,14,17 | statement 6:11 | Steven 15:4 48:1 | study 60:11 | 20:1 29:9 44:2 |
| 68:13 69:15 | 44:3 55:3 99:1 | 51:5 52:15 | 69:15 | 55:3 63:8 |
| 70:6,9,18,21 | 106:1 107:8 | 55:10 | stuff 22:4 | 78:20 86:18 |
| 71:1,5,19 | statements 29:9 | sticks 49:6 | styles 34:5 | 94:20 105:18 |
| 75:12,14 78:12 | 63:873:11 | stop 87:15 | subject 59:4 | 116:8 |
| 78:16 79:1 | 78:21 86:19 | 115:13 | 60:12 62:4,9 | suppose 48:22 |
| 80:6 82:1,6 | 94:20 96:6 | stopped 110:6 | 68:14 69:16 | supposed 92:19 |
| 84:4 86:10,13 | 105:19 116:9 | story 14:15 | 71:7,12 | sure 11:16 16:7 |
| 99:9 109:11 | stating 62:13 | street 2:4,5,7,8 | submissions | 36:12 45:7 |
| 110:14 111:6 | 71:16 | 2:11,14,15,16 | 72:18 | 49:18 51:7 |
| 116:1,2 | statute 23:5 28:6 | 2:18 6:8,15 | submittal 11:15 | 56:15,19 66:6 |
| specific 91:4 | 101:13 | 10:6,10 12:1,2 | 40:4 | 67:10 88:10,11 |
| 99:18 100:3 | statutory 8:5 | 12:19 13:9 | submittals 8:14 | 89:17 98:20 |
| specifications | 54:13 | 19:1 21:15 | 54:21 | 106:20 107:13 |
| 102:14 | stay $84: 3$ | 24:15 26:15 | submitted 8:20 | 113:9 |
| spell 20:7 93:20 | stealth 57:11 | 27:2 29:4 31:8 | 11:13 27:18 | surprised 21:11 |
| spoke 65:10 | steep 26:22 | 31:10,16 33:20 | 29:10 55:4 | surrounding |
| 72:16 | Stephen 1:9 3:6 | 42:6,8 72:21 | 59:6,10,14 | 16:12 |
| Spring 12:1,2 | 4:18,19 10:4 | 74:5,7 78:17 | 63:9 68:16,20 | survey 32:2 |
| 13:9 | 17:11,12 23:15 | 80:6,10 81:7 | 78:9,21 86:20 | suspect 43:11 |
| square 10:14,14 | 23:16 24:10,14 | 86:15 89:6 | 94:21 103:21 | suspended |


| 61:16 70:20 | terminate 61:1 | 106:13,15 | 63:20 65:3 | tiny 34:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| swap 56:21 57:2 | 61:15 70:5,19 | 108:6,7 111:14 | 67:18 72:9 | Tobin 10:18 |
| 65:12 | terminated | 114:20 115:7 | 74:3 76:11,12 | today 81:13 |
| swapping 67:3 | 61:17,19 70:20 | 117:3,9,10,11 | 79:7,8 80:3 | Tom 17:9 23:2 |
| swim 31:19 | 70:22 | 117:12,13 | 85:13,14 87:3 | 29:21 39:15 |
| sworn 62:11 | termination | thankfully | 87:4 89:3 | 46:10 47:12 |
| 71:13 | 62:1 71:4 | 90:12 | 93:12,13 95:2 | 52:13 55:8 |
| system 110:1 | terms 50:13 | thanks 84:19 | 95:3 96:3 | 58:11 63:19 |
|  | 75:2 90:17 | 85:14 115:6 | 98:19,20 99:11 | 67:17 72:8 |
| T | terra-cotta | thing 16:21 64:6 | 99:22 101:11 | 79:7 |
| table 48:21 | 109:17 | things 17:21 | 101:12 106:5,6 | Toni 41:13 |
| 91:11 | terrace 35:20 | 22:6 45:16,17 | 109:3 111:18 | 44:17,18 46:4 |
| Tagiuri 42:7 | terrible 45:17 | 52:1 | 111:19 116:15 | tonight 31:17 |
| 44:21 | testimony 28:19 | think 9:11,13 | 116:16 | 59:21 61:2,6 |
| Tagiuri' 45:16 | 46:5,7 58:21 | 14:13 16:14 | thought 46:15 | 61:14 62:16 |
| Taguiri 36:4 | thank 5:2 6:18 | 18:1 19:3 | 48:14 54:3 | 69:5 70:6,10 |
| take 4:8 23:5,22 | 6:21 7:17,21 | 20:17 24:8 | 68:9 89:10 | 71:19 |
| 47:12 48:21 | 8:1,4 9:9,13,17 | 25:1 27:22 | thoughtful | tonight's 117:10 |
| 49:3,4 50:14 $50: 15$ 51:7 | 9:19,21 11:11 | 28:2,14,15 | 99:12 | Tony 41:14 |
| 50:15 51:7 $73: 3$ 87:15,16 | 13:19,22 14:3 | 42:20 43:13 | thoughts 36:11 | top 22:15 33:12 |
| 73:3 87:15,16 $87 \cdot 19101: 7$ | 16:17 17:4,5 | 45:6 46:18 | 114:13 | 33:19 34:12,16 |
| 87:19 101:7 114:18 | 17:10,12 22:21 | 47:12,13,19 | three 4:5 12:20 | 35:2 66:11,19 |
| 114:18 taken 3.2291 .8 | 23:13,16 26:7 | 49:10 50:10 | 19:20,20 21:19 | 83:4 91:7,7 |
| taken 3:22 91:8 110:6 | 26:18,19 27:6 | 51:6 52:11 | 27:1 42:3 52:8 | topography |
| 110:6 | 28:18 29:21 | 53:18 56:18 | 59:16 68:22 | 100:3,12 |
| takes 32:4 | 30:12,13,14 | 66:10 77:21 | 75:18 99:19 | total 12:22 |
| talk 22:5 51:20 | 32:2 33:7 | 79:2 83:21 | 104:6 106:16 | 82:19 92:12,16 |
| 67:6 100:10 | 36:12,21 39:11 | 85:8 87:21 | THURSDAY | 92:16 |
| 115:20 | 39:12,15,16,21 | 90:22 93:3 | 1:3 | touch 58:19 |
| talked 13:17 22:11 | 40:1 41:7 42:5 | 99:12,22 | tight 13:13 26:3 | townhouse 32:7 |
| 22:11 | 44:15,16 46:3 | 100:13,17 | tightness 26:5 | 32:7 33:20 |
| talking $18: 14$ $32.644: 20$ | 47:21 48:1,6 | 101:4,11,13,16 | Tim 20:6 | 91:5 |
| 32:6 44:20 $114 \cdot 1$ | 51:14 53:2 | 102:7,9 103:4 | time 4:2,3 7:12 | townhouses |
| $114: 1$ tall 33.20 | 54:6 55:8,16 | 107:9 110:5 | 17:8,10,12 | 32:4,5,10 34:4 |
| tall 33:20 | 55:17 56:18 | 114:2,5,7 | 18:12 19:17 | 34:9 38:14 |
| taped 68:9 | 58:9,11,17 | 115:4 | 25:18 41:22 | 90:21 |
| task 87:14 | 64:6,7 67:21 | third 14:11 | 45:5 46:9 | track 51:8 |
| Tate 80:8,17 | 73:9,10 74:14 | 18:16 81:12 | 47:15 51:7 | traffic 38:13 |
| 81:6 | 74:22 76:8,11 | third-floor | 54:11 58:7 | transcript 3:18 |
| Taylor 20:6 | 78:2 79:14,15 | 81:11 | 61:3 68:10 | 118:6 |
| team 6:10 | 82:22 85:2,5 | Thomas 1:10 | 70:7 73:11 | transmission |
| tear 96:13 | 85:12,15,16 | 3:6 4:16,17 | 76:10,12,14 | 60:10 69:14 |
| technically | 87:13 88:11,12 | 10:3 17:10 | 79:2 106:2 | transom 104:4 |
| 24:12 | 89:20 90:15 | 23:3,13 29:22 | timeline 52:3 | trim 84:13,16 |
| telecom 66:7 | 93:3,4,5,10 | 31:3 39:16 | timely 60:22 | trooper 87:14 |
| television 3:17 | 94:11,18 95:11 | 46:11 47:21 | 70:4 | trouble 15:11 |
| tell 15:5,5,12 | 95:12 97:9 | 52:14 55:9 | times 12:6 38:8 | 27:16 113:2 |
| 82:8 102:22 | 101:12 102:7,8 | 56:3 58:12 | 59:16 68:22 | truck 83:5 |


| true 15:7 118:6 | 31:10 32:11 | 94:12 96:13 | 95:13 | 101:11 114:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| try 17:21 $22: 8$ | 34:22 36:5,5 | 99:7,8,17,19 | waiver 8:5,6 | we'll 13:18 25:1 |
| 68:5,10 | 43:3 96:6 | 105:11,13,21 | 54:13,14 | 41:22 51:19,19 |
| trying 40:7 68:8 | 106:22 107:1 | 106:13 | walk 33:13 | 53:2 87:1 |
| 92:3 98:7 | units 32:12 33:3 | various 92:4 | 36:10 80:19 | 88:13 108:4 |
| tunnel 91:15 | 33:22 38:17 | varying 34:5 | 81:8 90:15 | 112:18 115:15 |
| two 10:17 12:6 | 44:6 57:3,3 | verbal 13:4 | 97:10 | we're 6:15 15:7 |
| 18:12 19:7,7 | 65:13,13 | verify $4: 9$ | wall 37:6 40:20 | 18:6,9 21:21 |
| 31:7 34:8 35:1 | unmute 6:9 | version 37:20 | 76:2 81:21 | 22:6 28:1,1 |
| 37:5,22 43:5 | 19:14,16 41:21 | versus 26:22 | 83:9,10 84:3 | 31:7 32:6 33:5 |
| 43:21 44:6 | 41:22 74:9 | vertical 84:3 | 84:10 91:21 | 34:10 35:3,5,5 |
| 51:17 53:22 | 86:3 94:6 | 109:21 | 109:21 | 37:10,18 40:19 |
| 57:9 59:12 | 105:3 112:10 | Vertically 84:11 | walled 47:4 | 47:9 49:8 50:1 |
| 68:18 75:3 | 112:13,16 | Vice 1:7 | walls 32:9 33:3 | 50:5 51:7,21 |
| 76:3 83:21 | 114:12 | video 3:16 74:11 | 37:17 42:13,18 | 53:12 73:7,8 |
| 84:7 89:13 | unmuted 114:14 | view 91:7,16,17 | 84:11 109:15 | 76:20 82:1 |
| 104:3 114:7 | unreinforced | 92:4 | 114:19,21 | 87:16 91:18,18 |
| two-family 28:5 | 109:21 | views 34:16 | Waltham 36:20 | 91:20,20 92:6 |
| 28:7 | unsafe 110:4 | violation 43:1,3 | want 10:7 13:19 | 92:20 107:9 |
| two-year 62:5 | unstable 109:22 | virtual 20:21 | 15:9,16 22:17 | 109:17 110:13 |
| 71:8 | upgrade 11:8 | visible 33:19 | 33:14 45:6 | 111:1 115:10 |
| tying 110:1 | upload 14:1 | 72:21 | 47:7 50:19 | 115:16,17,19 |
| typical 19:4 | uploaded 57:6 | visitors 11:10 | 51:12,15 52:4 | we've 19:9 20:22 |
| 24:18 109:20 | upper 34:6,7,19 | visual 56:17,22 | 53:22 57:7 | 25:11 29:13 |
| typically $18: 16$ | 37:14 43:6 | 57:4,14 59:9 | 74:9,10 80:19 | 37:6 40:19 |
| 66:9 | 81:14 92:4 | 59:13,18 65:15 | 83:1 88:10 | 46:7 52:2 |
| typicals 19:2 | upside-down | 68:19 69:2 | 90:11 93:21 | 63:13 90:2,4 |
| U | 15:17 | visually 66:10 | 98:20 99:11 | 114:3 |
|  | upstairs 36:14 | voluntarily | 107:7 112:16 | webpage 4:4 |
| Um-- 102:21 unanimous | usable 40: | 15:2 | 113:4 | website 7:7 |
| unanimous | usage 11:8 | volunteer 73:12 | wanted 12:7 | 15:13 |
| 87:12 95:10 | use 3:14 32:12 | Voss 20:3 | 14:18 15:4,5 | week 7:2 8:10 |
| unclear 17:16 | 38:19 44:10 | vote 9:8 30:8,9 | 17:22 27:8,9 | 54:16 |
| undersize 24:18 | 45:10 98:2,5 | 50:14 63:15,17 | 27:17 114:8 | weekly $10: 22$ |
| understand | 107:5,10 | 64:4 72:14 | wanting 37:2 | weigh 47:7 |
| 22:17,18 23:10 | useable 43:12,19 | 79:3,13 87:1 | wants 73:11 | weighed 66:8 |
| 31:20 40:7 | usually $72: 19$ | 87:10 94:22 | wasn't 107:13 | Welcome 3:8 |
| 49:10 | 99:4 | 95:9 106:2,12 | wasted 47:3 | well-taken |
| understanding | utilize 59:20 | 115:14 116:12 | water 103:7 | 104:12 |
| 109:18 | 69:4 | 116:22 | waves $60: 10,16$ | went 26:21 |
| understood |  | votes 3:21 29:18 | 61:8 69:14,20 | 112:3 |
| 26:19 41:7 | V | 30:9 51:11 | 70:12 | weren't 21:1 |
| 47:21 50:10 | variance 35:15 | 72:12 87:11 | way 15:18 22:11 | 45:16 |
| 67:11 93:1 | 39:19 43:4,22 | 95:10 | 35:15 39:3 | Weston 12 |
| UNIDENTIFI... | 44:14 46:19 |  | 46:19 47:10 | whereof 118:12 |
| 47:7 113:11,13 | 47:16 48:11,17 | W | 50:6,12,12,21 | who've 20:18 |
| unique 99:8 | 48:18 50:15 | W 1:9 | 52:1 57:14 | whoo 93:20 |
| unit $2: 7,8,11,17$ | 51:4,18 92:17 | wait $25: 8,11,11$ | 78:1 100:9 | wide 33:6 |


| width 16:20 | wishing 67:22 | 38:11 39:19,20 | 0 | 13 52:8,9,11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32:4 50:4,4 | 78:10 86:4 | 41:2 51:6 52:1 | 0.03 48:13 | 53:3,9 54:8,10 |
| wife 90:2 | withdrawn | 52:12,19 57:8 | 0.549 .2150 .5 | 54:20 55:1,6 |
| Williams 1:8 3:5 | 53:16,19 | 57:13,21 74:5 | 0.75 15:20 | 13'setback |
| 4:10,11 6:4 | witness 118:12 | 74:14 77:22 | 0.78 27:20 | 75:22 |
| 7:22 9:5 10:3 | wood- 109:19 | 78:1 80:16 | 02/09/23 2:7 | 133 2:18 106:17 |
| 17:8 25:20,22 | wood-frame | 84:22 98:20 | 02/14/2023 | 109:5,11 116:5 |
| 26:2 29:20 | 115:3 | 101:10,16 | 86:16 | 136 42:10 |
| 31:3 39:14 | wood-framed | 102:6,17 107:7 | 02/23/23 2:9 | 14 83:9 |
| 46:9 52:11 | 114:17 | 107:19,22 | 02:37:49 117:18 | 14' 36:1 |
| 53:4,7,9,11,14 | word 56:11 75:9 | 108:7 114:20 | 02139 1:6 | 14'11 82:20 |
| 53:17,20 54:2 | work 10:20 11:9 | 114:21 115:15 | 03/13/2013 | 15 7:5,10,12,14 |
| 54:4,6 55:7 | 29:3 34:1 | years 10:13,22 | 94:19 | 8:13,16,17,22 |
| 56:3 58:10 | 38:20 46:1 | 44:20 45:13 | 03/23/23 2:4,6 | 15' 36:2 102:16 |
| 63:18 65:3 | 59:5,8,9,12,13 | 90:7,8 | 05-08-23 29:7 | 102:17 |
| 67:20 68:3 | 59:17 63:4 | уep 6:20 9:13 |  | 1500 92:20 |
| 72:11 74:3 | 65:20 68:15,18 | 15:14 21:5,7 | 1 | 155 26:16 |
| 76:10 79:10 | 68:19 69:1 | 25:8 26:13 | 145:11 116:7 | 158 2:16 89:6 |
| 80:3 85:16 | 78:16 86:14 | 27:15 29:7 | 1' 12:15 | 94:15 |
| 86:8 87:2,21 | 94:15 104:16 | 36:21 48:1,15 | 1.21 16:2 | 17 10:13 |
| 89:3 93:15 | 105:14 110:7 | 49:12 50:10,18 | 1.5 27:22 | 18 2:4 6:7 |
| 94:10 95:1 | 110:10 113:20 | 50:20 51:22 | 1.52 15:21 | 1815 2:13 |
| 96:3 98:14,16 | 115:1 116:5 | 53:14,14 54:7 | 1.71 49:6,6 50:1 | 1820 6:15 |
| 98:18 105:9 | worked 12:10 | 57:8,16 63:13 | 50:2,3 | 1960s 11:4 |
| 106:4 109:3 | working 13:20 | 64:8 65:7,21 | $102: 5$ 60:19 |  |
| 112:1 116:14 | 65:8 89:9 90:4 | 66:1,17 73:2 | 61:9 62:7 70:1 | 2 |
| 117:12,15 | works 13:22 | 75:15 81:8 | 70:13 71:10 | 23:12 40:2 42:9 |
| willing 35:12 | 36:11 | 82:22 84:1,6 | 90:7 | 43:6 45:11 |
| willingness | workspace 44:5 | 84:16 85:2,11 | 10'1 82:12 | $2.016: 14$ |
| 13:21 | wouldn't 43:16 | 88:4 95:13 | 10.30 94:14 | 2.21 27:21 |
| wind 50:13 | wrap 19:21 | 97:16 98:11 | 105:13 | 20 10:22 82:14 |
| window 38:2 | 38:12 115:15 | 101:20 102:4 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 0} 29: 2,17$ | 90:8 |
| 81:17,22 82:7 | write 26:15 | 102:17 103:10 | 63:15 78:15 | 2006 90:3 |
| 82:14 84:5 | written 13:6,12 | 103:12 108:9 | 116:2 | 2022 105:16 |
| 91:21 104:4 | 92:19 93:22 | 111:18 112:2 | 102-104 2:5 10:6 | 116:7 |
| windows 11:20 | wrote 13:3 | 114:15 117:4,7 | 10:10 21:15 | $20231: 3$ 3:8,12 |
| 12:21,22 21:22 | 17:19 | 117:14 | 29:4 | 7:10,12 54:10 |
| 22:3 32:10 |  | Yi 20:10 | 104 11:2 | 55:178:18 |
| wing 91:18 | X |  | 1040 79:1 86:13 | 118:13 |
| winter 109:13 | X 2:1 49:3 | Z | $10613: 15$ | 2026 118:18 |
| wish 19:11 | Xiaorui 93:21 | Zohn-Johnson | $1092: 18$ | 206407 52:5 |
| 41:18 94:7 |  | 20:9 | $111: 3$ 3:8 | 206534 10:6 |
| 104:22 112:6 | Y | zoning 1:1 3:9 | 105:15 | 209310 48:12 |
| wishes 31:12 | yard 21:16 75:2 | 23:9,15 42:15 | $11213: 10$ | 52:5 |
| 56:6 58:21 | 75:21 | Zoom 19:12 | 115 48:14 | 211208 6:7 |
| 74:6 80:7 | yeah 7:13 18:1 | 41:19 85:22 | 12 44:20 45:13 | 214799 106:17 |
| 85:20,21 89:6 | 23:14 24:8 | 94:4 105:1 | $56: 20,22$ | $21545374: 5$ |
| 94:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 1 \quad 27: 935: 3 \\ & 36: 1938: 10,11 \end{aligned}$ | 112:7 | $118: 18$ | 215873 31:11 |



