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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Matina
        Williams, Wendy Leiserson, Thomas Miller,
        and Zarya Miranda
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We're good to go. The
    first case I'm going to call is Case No. 206665 -- 1164-1166
Cambridge Street. Is there anyone who'd like to speak --
proponent for this matter?
ADAM BARNOSKY: Hi. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board. My name is Adam Barnosky. I'm an attorney with the law firm of Ruberto Israel \& Weiner, 255 State Street in Boston.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Can you just walk us through the issue before us and what you're applying for?
ADAM BARNOSKY: Yes. Yes. Thank you very much. So I'm here on behalf of Cambridge Cuisine, \(d / b / a\) and Puritan \& Company. With me is Ming-Tai Huh and Will Gilson, the owners and operators from Puritan.
We are here regarding a modification to the variance granted by this Board on Case BZA 9779,
```

specifically to remove a condition which limits the use of the rears doors, nonrecurring emergency egress only.

Note the application also includes a request to install an enclosure in the rear private alleyway, but that portion of the application -- specifically the rear shed -has been withdrawn from the request after discussions with neighbors. I'll get into that in a moment.

As a way of background, the subject property is located at 1164-1166 Cambridge Street. It is the location of the restaurant Puritan \& Company, which has operated in the space for over 10 years.

The property is located on an L-shaped split lot continuing across 5,700 square feet, with frontage and primary means of egress on Cambridge Street. There is a rear private alleyway with access to Tremont Street.

Approximately 85 percent of the lot is in the Business A district, and approximately 15 percent is in the Residential C district.

The 2009 decision the Board unanimously granted a variance to authorize retail uses permitted in the A district to be allowed on a portion of the property located in the Residence district, which included a restaurant under

Section 4.35.f.1 of the Zoning Ordinance.
As part of the relief, the Board imposed three conditions, including:

Number one, use of the rear door be limited to nonrecurring emergency egress only, and that the shed in the rear of the property be moved. The rear shed was immediately moved, and since that time, the city's Health Department requested that trash no longer be stored inside the restaurant, which brings us to the request before you today.

So this is not a request for a new variance, it's not a request for additional relief as the current primary use is allowed on the 2009 decision, but it is a request to remove one of the three conditions, the 2009 decision.

It's worth noting that this alleyway is not a public alleyway or a common alleyway. While certain neighbors do have easements rights here, this is a private property alley, which belongs to 1164 Cambridge Street.

And the purpose of the request is to allow restaurant employees to use the rear door to access trash in the alleyway.

Currently, there's an inability to use the rear
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door, and it limits the use of the premises. The trash needs to be stored in the restaurant and carried through the dining area. This is a unique circumstance. It's brought about some sympathy due to the property's placement within the two zoning districts.

And the problem is really a direct result of the condition imposed by the 2009 decision. That decision doesn't functionally work with the uses that it authorized by right.

At the time the decision was granted, it was authorized in broad uses under 4.35, but if you read the other conditions, for example, they reference potential operations and limitations with the operation of a dry cleaner.

And so, when the Board properly granted the Use Variance, I don't believe that they contemplated all of the impacts the condition might have on the potential uses, or specifically here a restaurant.

You may recall this matter was originally scheduled before the Board in March, and a continuance was granted for the purposes of community outreach. The Puritan team noticed a meeting and met on site to discuss the
application from abutters and neighbors. And it was a good discussion.

And they were essentially able to agree to the following in the event that the Board grants the request.

Mr. Chairman, may I go through a few of those items that the restaurant is going to concede to?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do we have those?
ADAM BARNOSKY: Great. So one is pest control. There were concerns raised about potential pest activity outside of the building. The restaurant agreed to attain an additional contract with the pest control company to have the exterior -- an exterior pest control plan that corresponds to the level of the service they already have in the interior of the building in the restaurant.

Two was the issue that I talked about at the outset of this hearing not to include a storage shed. It seemed like the main issue wasn't really was trash, the main issue was about creating an enclosure that animals can live in. So we conditioned that the -- if this is granted, the restaurant is willing to forgo having a shed.

Number three was continued right of way in an alley. Requests were made to ensure that the access is
never restricted, should contractors or other third parties require to access others' property utilized. That was agreed to.

Also snow removal. Neighbors requested the restaurant manage snow removal on the private alleyway, which he will do at cost if the condition is removed. Same for cleaning the alleyway. They can have a thorough cleaning and keep that clean.

And lastly was noise mitigation. There were concerns raised with use of the alleyway for trash storage and increase noise that could be loud and disruptive at night. The restaurant is fine with limiting that use, and staff will be instructed to pull barrels into the alley prior to 10 p.m.

There also would need to be MAC wheels. These are wheels with a metal hub and a rubber tire mounted on them, that they are significantly quieter than traditional wheels on trash barrels.

In addition, the restaurant is going to agree to not use the alleyway for deliveries. They will not allow staff to use the alleyway for smoking, gathering or other social activities, and they are going to limit it to the
hours before 10 p.m.
So in summary, we're seeking to remove this
condition. It's a relatively benign request, considering the lawful use under the code. We're hopeful that considering the mitigation efforts that the restaurant is going to give in return for the removal of this condition will be seen as reasonable, we certainly find it to be so. And we're happy to answer any questions the Board may have.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. One moment please.
I think all those conditions are approved? I was just asking Staff: all the conditions that you just
mentioned I don't see in the file yet, were they submitted?
ADAM BARNOSKY: You mean the mitigation?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Yeah.

ADAM BARNOSKY: The mitigation efforts? No. They were not submitted as part of the application. This came about after we submitted the application. It's an ongoing conversation with the neighbors.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Are there any questions from any other members of the Board? No? Hearing none, I'll open the matter up -- sorry?

Did someone want to speak?

MATINA WILLIAMS: No, no questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Since there are no questions, I'll open the matter for public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that, you'll have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Is there anyone who wants to be heard?
JOHN HOPKINS: John Hopkins. Can you hear me? This is John Hopkins.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. Go ahead.
JOHN HOPKINS: I live with my wife. We live immediately next to that alley in Apartment \#2 on 84 Tremont Street. The -- from the Puritan doesn't align with our experience so far over the last six or seven months. I think it might have been late last year or early this year where they started really wrapping up use of this alleyway.

A couple of days ago, there were nine of these trash cans in the alleyway. And we hear them multiple times per day -- four, five, six times per day and on weekends all the way through to -- we've heard them after midnight.

We've heard all the way through to we've heard them after midnight. We've heard staff talking down there and smoking and smelling the smoke through our window. We don't have soundproof windows, and you can't get work done when this is happening, or if you're asleep, it would wake you up.

And I actually took videos of this with my cell phone, so you can hear the noise that comes into our house. And even when $I$ was lying in bed one night asleep and then suddenly boom, you hear these carts and these trolleys or bins coming out. And it's exceptionally loud.

So that's the biggest distress to me, aside from over the last few years that this alleyway has been rat infested. And it's been better since we took measures -the town and our apartment, but there is even, right now there is more rats in the alleyway.
So it's been a very distressing situation for myself in Apartment \#2 and also Apartment \#1. That aside,

I'm sure there are some people on the the meeting here, but they would be a small bit removed from that, but I'm sure they could hear all the noise on the other side of our building as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment. JOHN HOPKINS: I don't think they've -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Yep.

JOHN HOPKINS: -- been good neighbors to us. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you for your comments. Is there anyone else who'd like to speak? Nope? STEPHEN NATOLA: Ashley Mateus?

ASHLEY MATEUS: Yeah, hi. My name is Ashley
Mateus. Sorry, I wasn't sure if you were going to call names or not. I am the owner of 84 Tremont Street \#1, the unit right below John. So we unfortunately were not able to make the meeting with Puritan because we are owners of the property and are not living in the state at the moment.

But our assessment is very similar to John's in that that noise, you know, despite the efforts that Puritan is willing to go to, we don't think would be enough to mitigate the disruption.

And I did make a letter or present a letter to the
group. The things I want to point out about it is that those windows that you were looking at in the drawings previously when they were showing the alleyway and where those garbage cans would be located: That was my bedroom window when I lived there and my -- you know, 9-month-oldbaby's bedroom window.

And so, those two things that you're looking at: Like yes, ten o'clock would not be disruptive for an adult, but even 10 p.m. for a family to live in that apartment, which we continue to rent to families and other great members of the community, I think it would be extremely disruptive to have the garbage barrels and Staff continually present there.

So we continue to be against this measure as the owners of the abutting unit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. next speaker, please?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Jaime Mateus?
JAIME MATEUS: Hi. This is Jaime Mateus from 84 Tremont Street Unit \#1. Can you hear me okay?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Thank you.
JAIME MATEUS: Great. So you just heard from my wife wives and from our upstairs neighbors, John. I just
want to start by dispelling something that was portrayed by the Council a few minutes ago, the -- you know, it seems like he's going through this long list of conditions that have been agreed to with the member, and all of these concessions that Puritan was making.

That is really just a story that they're selling you. A lot of people were not able to make that meeting. As my wife mentioned, we're not living in the state at the moment. There were no option to remotely join that meeting. And all the things that they have conceded to: Those are not really the real problem. The real problem is the inordinate amount of noise and loss of privacy that is caused by their request.

I have previously submitted a letter to this committee detailing the many instances together with photo documentation and time stamps where Puritan and Company have not respected, have not abided by the generous concessions that the Board has granted them.

It is very clear that that door was to be used for nonrecurring emergency egress. The documentation I have provided shows a repeated pattern of not following that over a course of many years.

And I think it's worth taking a step back and asking yourselves, "Why are we in this situation?" Puritan has operated as a restaurant for many years without a problem.

The part of this story you're not hearing here is that they had a part of the restaurant inside where trash was managed. That worked just fine for many years. In fact, the business has been rather successful, it appears. Now, they have created a second restaurant concept in there, and there's no longer space for that.

So in -- you know, in the previous meeting we heard about the cost that has been incurred in creating that new restaurant. At some point in time, someone must have thought, "Where are we going to keep the trash now?"

You know, the use of the unique nature of this lot being split between the commercial and noncommercial areas, that is not new. Everybody has known about this. Puritan has known about this since before they signed any lease. This is part of what they signed up to.

I think the Board has been generous in granting the exception as it exists. I think it is appropriate. I think it has worked well.

But granting the current request, the effect this is going to have is effectively turning what is currently zoned as residential into something that functions like if it's zoned in a commercial zone.

I bought this property knowing that all around this property, it was zoned as residential. That has an impact on property value. It has an impact on the quality of life you can have there and the amount of noise you can expect.

Had I bought a property that was directly abutting a commercial zone district for commercial use as the space permitted, I would have expected a greater amount of noise. I didn't want that. I wanted to start a family; I wanted to have some more quiet.

So this has a very direct impact. We are not living there now; we are renting the place. When our renters now tell us that there's too much noise and this rent is too high for that property, who's going to compensate us? Or if we return back to live there with our kids that are still young that go to bed a lot earlier than 10:00 p.m., that's not going to work out for us.

So I think there's been a big part of this story
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that is not being told, and that is that Puritan knew
exactly what was going to happen when they built -- when
they expanded their interior restaurant concept.
And they have a history and a proven track record of ignoring the requirements set by this Board. And I have zero reason to believe that they will abide and be good neighbors in the future, because \(I\) have every reason to believe they will do the opposite.
```

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Freeman?
FREEMAN DEUTSCH: Hi. I'm here with my neighbor, Steve Michaels at 82 Tremont Street in Cambridge. Can you hear us now?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. We can hear you.
STEPHEN MICHAELS: Okay. We've been trying to watch this on my desktop, and it's -- for some reason, you're not seeing my hand up. So with me are -- Freeman has introduced himself; I'm Stephen Michaels. We live -- and Freeman's wife, Jess Saacke is here. We live at 82 Tremont Street, the ground floor and second-floor apartments. We're the other side of the building from the Hopkins and

Matuccias (phonetic).
I want to point out Freeman and I were two of the three people in the meeting with the Puritan ownership, at which the conditions that Attorney Barnosky presented were discussed. But we did not agree to those conditions.

FREEMAN DUETCH: Exactly.
STEVEN MICHAELS: We were presented with a letter summarizing the from the Puritan Management. We have not responded in any way to it, because we do not feel collectively after discussing this among ourselves that the combined changes will mitigate the issues that we are already dealing with because of the fact that Puritan started using the alley in violation of the restrictions back in November.

And so, we -- they have succeeded in demonstrating to us that the disruptions that we were concerned about will in fact happen if the restrictions are taken off the use of the alley.

And so, we are very strongly in agreement with the Matuccias and the Hopkins that the restrictions on the alley should not be removed.

I want to point out also that the alley has always
been in the Residential $C-1$ zoning district. It was the original variance that was applied was to allow the back part of the building at 1166 Cambridge Street to be used as part of the restaurant because in fact the building strapped the line.

And we were concerned at that time that the allowance of a restaurant in there would naturally result in their wanting to use the alley for moving trash in and out of there, and for their staff to go in and out of there, and for their repairmen to go in and out of there, all of which would be problematic for us.

So we have summarized our concerns and our communications to the Board. You have them all in the package. And we have not changed our position on those at this point. Let me let Mr. Deutch and Ms. Sackie have their comments.

JESSIE SAACKE: Sure. My name is Jessie Saacke. I live at 82 Tremont Street. We're up on the second floor. And I'll just say this in support of what's already been said, which is we live -- so we're basically the furthest unit from -- on the second floor, and we're on the other side. And it's really noisy. I mean, we notice it.

And it's just -- I can't imagine if $I$ were on the other side of the building how loud it must be, given how loud it is when we're at the furthest point.

That being said, we also -- I don't remember how many years it was, but we -- you know, there was another point in time that the alleyway was a point of contention and, you know, we renego -- you know, we worked in the city at the time, and it's worked fine for us since then.

You know, and I understand that Puritan's a nice restaurant, but they opened up that Oyster Bar, which first of all creates a ton of trash that they have to put somewhere, and they also don't have anywhere to actually put the trash.

But it really has -- you know, this is already having a somewhat negative effect on just living here, which is, you know, unfortunate. Because, again, you know, it's a nice restaurant and all that, and we live here because there's so many great restaurants. But we don't want to have to hear their trash late at night.

Freeman?
FREEMAN DEUTSCH: Hi. I'm Freeman Deutsch. I live at 82 Tremont Street in Cambridge with Jessie Saacke.

And the noise is just really bothersome. It's just -- you can really hear it, it's almost like a jet engine that it, like, coming around the corner. And we're on the far side of the alley, right?

And again, they knew all along that there would be all this trash, but when they asked for our permission to allow more seating at the restaurant, we said fine, not knowing that they would ask us to be able to store trash in the back alleyway.

Thank you very much for your time and have a good day.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Put it on mute. JIM MONTEVERDE: One second, please. FREEMAN DEUTSCH: 82-84 Tremont Street Condominium. JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there someone who wishes to speak? If you do, please identify yourself. Give us your name and address.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, that's us. FREEMAN DEUTSCH: Oh, sorry. We just spoke. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. That's all we
have for public commentary. Let me also mention that I think we have letters from just about everyone who just spoke. But let me just run through, just so we all understand how many we have.

And I've counted as of yesterday no letters in support, and 11 letters objecting. And I have from Marie Hopkins objecting, John Hopkins, Stephen Michaels, Mary Rose Barry (phonetic) and John Hopkins, and then repeats of the same.

> I'm going to close public testimony.

ADAM BARNOSKY: Mr. Chairman, prior to doing so, as the attorney for the applicant, can I respond to some of the public comment?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, certainly.
ADAM BARNOSKY: Thank you. So I appreciate it. I will keep it brief. But $I$ did want to bring some clarification to the Board's attention: That number one, the mitigating factors that I presented to the Board I didn't want to give the impression that that was some agreed upon stipulation between the neighbors and the restaurant. That was simply items that the restaurant is willing to give in return for this condition being removed, and then $I$ think
everyone can agree would be helpful to the situation.
But more importantly, I want to be very clear about what this request is and what this request is not. So this is not a request to store trash in the rear of the property.

This is a request to remove the first condition from the zoning decision from 2009. And that is the condition that the use of the rear door be limited to nonrecurring emergency egress.

So the 2009 decision is actually very broad. It's that the Board grants a variance for relief and ordered authorized retail uses that are permitted as a matter of right in the Business $A$ district for the entirety of the property.

And that it specifically says that the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purposes of the ordinance.

So this is not about storage of trash. Storage of trash can be allowed whether or not the proviso is removed. There is no prohibition from the restaurant using the rear alleyway. There's no prohibition from the storage of trash
subject to Health Department regulations.
Alternately, $I$ think that this might help both the folks on the call that were in opposition to this, as well as the Board.

Part of the issue is that the trash is in the rear alleyway. And the only way that it can be accessed is by going out with one of the buildings on the street, going around the corner, going down through the alleyway, using the cans and going back and forth. And that traffic is bound to create more noise.

So the thought is if the condition is removed, and the rear door can be used, that's going to limit the amount of trips, which won't occur. They'll simply be the opening door, bringing trash in, coming back inside, and will likely have the net result decreasing the amount of traffic and noise in the rear.

But I just want to be clear again that what Puritan is doing on site currently is 100 percent lawful based on the 2009 decision. And that if this Board doesn't grant the relief, that the activity that's going on there will continue.

So the thought is that this is going to help the
situation there, and that the mitigating list that $I$ provided is a good neighborly thing for the restaurant to do, and one that they're willing to do just to try to help the situation out.

Now, I don't know that that will be in place necessarily if they're going to be required to continue to walk around the building and do those sorts of things, although I think that, you know, they can work with the neighborhood regardless.

But again, this is a simple request that we're making, but it is very, very narrow in scope. So I want to make sure that the Board doesn't think that they're authorizing something broader than what is being requested.

JAIME MATEUS: Thank you. I'd like a minute to respond to that, if $I$ can, please?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, I'm sorry, we've -- well yes, we've opened public comment. Yes, one last one please.

JAIME MATEUS: I would contest that what we heard right now is really inaccurate. And if you read what the Board of Zoning Appeal has permitted, it says that it is the -- let me find the right text here -- that it is the area of the building that is in a Residential zone that may be used.

It specifically says, "building" and not the entire property.

So I believe that this interpretation that right now you are permitted to store trash in the alleyway is both inaccurate and does not reflect the text of the granted appeal.

And even if it did, it is completely counter to the entire spirit of the intent of these restrictions. And you can read the full record of all the discussions that happened in that Board of Zoning Appeals back in 2009.

Because the reason you said you want to remove one of the three conditions? Well, guess what? It is the key condition. And it's all about that. And removing that condition then enables everything that 2009 meeting was about.

So I would encourage the exact text to be read, which is the building, the residential part of the building is allowed for use as a commercial and not the entire property. So the alleyway would not be included in that. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. I'm going to close public testimony.

JAIME MATEUS: And Mr. Chairman, if I may just respond to that? Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Public testimony is closed. Discussion from Board members, please? Steven, do you have any questions, comments?

STEVEN NG: Yes. I do have a question for Mr. Barnosky. Prior to the situation, is there trash storage in the restaurant right now and it was going out the front door?

ADAM BARNOSKY: Yes. That is my understanding. That is currently the way that storage, that trash is being managed on site.

STEVEN NG: Oh, is that right?
ADAM BARNOSKY: We do have the operators on the line if you'd like to talk about specifics.

STEVEN NG: Well, I'm just wondering that is that space fill in the restaurant, or did it get removed from whatever subsequent modifications or different changes to the restaurant design?

ADAM BARNOSKY: Oh, I see what you're saying. Yes.

STEVEN NG: Yeah.

ADAM BARNOSKY: So the area that was being used internally for the storage of trash was -- is being utilized for the operation part of the restaurant.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions, Steven?
STEVEN NG: No --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Comments?
STEVEN NG: -- I'm good. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: I don't have any questions. The question that Steven asked was my question. I'm just wondering when you removed the storage that was in the building, what was your plan?

ADAM BARNOSKY: Well, I might --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Because there is a big -- I mean Cambridge seems to have a major rat problem. Like, you removed the storage that you had; what was the plan?

ADAM BARNOSKY: Well, I can -- I might ask that the -- my clients speak directly to it, but from what my understanding would be, the Zoning decision allows the building to be used as a restaurant, which is what they're trying to do.

And as all of you likely know, the restaurant
industry has been hit very hard over the past three years, so most operators are looking to find ways to maximize the use of their space.

And here they were using the -- part of the interior for storage of trash because it was easier than the alternative, which is taking trash all the way around the back alleyway there.

But as you all know, a restaurant's storage of trash inside of a restaurant is incredibly atypical. And it's atypical here and it would never have been done. It was only done because of the proviso on this decision.

And so, they lawfully have trash in the rear. You know, the decision talks thoroughly about the exterior of the building in the conditions. So to say that for some reason the use is only limited to the building I don't think it's an accurate one if you actually read the full decision.

So the plan was to put the storage outside, just to answer your question. That was the idea, because they can lawfully do so. And they might just simply have to continue to do it inconveniently by walking around the block. But that seems to be pretty impractical.

WILL GILSON: Adam, I'm happy to speak as well, if
that would help clarify some items here for the Board? Mr. Chair?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me one second. Matina, did you get your question answered?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah. I -- yeah, I did. Thank you. Thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Wendy, any questions or comments?

WENDY LEISERSON: I have a request for clarification. I thought $I$ did read in the narrative that the City had requested that you move the trash outside? Is that incorrect in my recollection?

WILL GILSON: Um--
WENDY LEISERSON: It said something where the Cambridge Health Department requested that you no longer store trash inside?

ADAM BARNOSKY: Mr. Chairman, I have Will Gilson on the line as well. He might be able to provide some more on that, if that's all right with you.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Wendy, I think you're correct in
saying that, but I'm wondering if this is after they expanded.

WENDY LEISERSON: So maybe let's have the operator respond to this point, if we could?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yep.
WILL GILSON: Of course. And thank you. So my name is Will Gilson. I'm the day-to-day operator here at Puritan \& Company.

So when we moved into the space back in 2012 and we understood that the genesis of the issue here was the use of that store, we had an extra 1000 square feet of space that was in the building, and we knew that from just a sheer operation standpoint, it would be very difficult for us to use that -- you know, we would not be able to use that rear door, so therefore we would have to, you know, bring everything around as we are currently doing. We opted to just use that space inside, you know?

In the first few years of being a new restaurant in a new neighborhood, we want to do everything we can to make sure that we do whatever we can to keep our neighbors happy and understand our operations.

Now, obviously after, you know, three years of the restaurants being decimated during the pandemic, our rent also hit its first renewal, which brought it up 40 percent
from what we were paying previously.
So as you know, Cambridge has become a very expensive place to do business, and with -- you know, 10 more years left on our lease, we looked at what would be the best options for us to continue our operations moving forward and be the most successful.

And the mathematics there was to continue to expand into the last thousand square feet of unfinished space that we had, which would then obviously sort of require us to do a plan that we had thought about, you know, 10 years ago.

So the Health Department in that process basically
said, "Well, we don't feel great about the trash being stored inside." I don't have a written decision from the City of Cambridge, I don't have written instructions; this is conversations with three different members of ISD who work in the space telling us what they believe we should be doing. They said the best way you do is get this outside.

So we pay for trash removal and recycling removal six days a week, from when we had it inside to it being outside. So the trash is never around for long enough to create rodent issues, and we pay a significant amount of
money to have weekly pest control happening inside the building but have not done it outside because we had not been using that area previously.

And when we met with the abutters, we were happy to say, "This is something that we will take on no problem." But our main request here is just being able to use the door, and use the door if parameters are set with time; that's understandable. We would be happy to work within that.

Our goal is not to be bad neighbors. Our goal is not to be able to make the folks who live there feel as though like it's unbearable. And I think the representation of it sounding like there's a party or rocket ships back there is a little excessive, considering we spent the least amount of time that we possibly can going back there to do this.

We don't -- after some initial pushback of folks saying that our staff was back there, whether it be smoking or whether it be making too much noise, we instructed our staff to do things differently.

And then the interior of our building -- since
February there has been a piece of "Caution" tape across
that rear door to ensure that no staff member goes out that door, knowing that that is one of the big issues that they have.

And, you know, additionally what we are trying to do here is just make sure that what we can do it limits impact and allows us to do our business operations. This has always been our goal here.

There have been many times when we tried to broach this subject previously with members of the abutters. And there was a fair amount of vitriol that came from even bringing it up.

So oftentimes, if you're asking why we didn't do this previously, it was because we just didn't -- we had another option, and we didn't want to have to deal with it.

We didn't want to have to deal with people who we hope value a restaurant of our caliber being in the neighborhood and doing what it does and being good operators.

In fact, anytime that we had to use that door, if it wasn't for somebody accessing our rooftop mechanicals, Mr. Jaime -- or Jaime who was on this call -- I would e-mail him and ask if it would be okay if we used it to go outside
and wash trash barrels.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm going to ask you to wrap up your comment, please, or your response?

WILL GILSON: Sure. I mean, that's all I'm saying is that we have done all we can throughout time to make sure that we are in communication with them and that this ask is essentially just for the door and some sort of time restraint.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Wendy, was that your question, comment?

WENDY LEISERSON: I believe so. Yes. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Zarya? Any comments or questions?

ZARAYA MIRANDA: No questions, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I do. So I'm searching for the path forward. And it seems that there are several. And if $I$ understand correctly, the mitigation measures were -- was there a meeting with all of the neighbors -- that they were presented with those mitigation that they could discuss those with you?

WILL GILSON: We had --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I thought I did hear it was not.

WILL GILSON: -- we had a meeting where there was three members of the abutters that attended. I listened to their concerns. I told them our opinion, and there was some -- the way it was left was we will bring this back to our members of the community and our -- and other members of the building.

We typed up a summary, which we sent to them and said, "This is what we talked about, and this --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
WILL GILSON: -- is what we'll be discussing.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Given the comments we've heard from the neighbors, even including the mitigation proposals that you offer, it doesn't seem to close the gap yet.

So one option is to try to give you more time to come to a conclusion with the neighbors, or at least get the neighbors on your side, which would mean a continuance to go back to the neighbors, get more of the neighbors together, all who have written us here, anyone nearby, and continue. That's one.

The second one is to continue with a vote, which you could do. If we go to a vote, I just reread the file
for the outcome of the previous hearing. And it does say, as you said, it really said for that rear door is restricted for nonrecurring emergency egress only. Doesn't speak about anything else.

And at the moment from the neighbors' description, if in fact it seems like most of the issues they are having regarding trash rooms, noise really -- would not seem to be solved by allowing this door to be open. And therefore, at the moment, I wouldn't be ready to support this.

So you need four out of the five Board members to be in favor to pass this. And I don't know if any of the other Board members have -- feel similarly; just trying to give you a sense of the lay of the land if you decide you want to go forward with the vote.

So what would the proponent like to do? I've outlined there are two options: Continue, allow you to have another session with the neighbors and try and reconcile your -- also that the mitigations could be entered on file, or go forward with a vote? Or anything else you can think of? What's your preference?

ADAM BARNOSKY: Mr. Chairman, was that -- is that a question to the applicant?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, yes. The proponent.
ADAM BARNOSKY: Yes. I mean, at this point in
time, I would move forward to request a continuance to see if we can come to some sort of consensus. I'm curious to see if that's possible. It seems like the issue doesn't relate as much to the door as it does to the trash, which I don't think can be solved here.

But nonetheless, I think that you know as Will mentioned, he -- the restaurant wants to be good neighbors, we'd be happy to continue the conversation. So I would request a continuance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just had one more question, is that okay?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Who is that, please? Identify yourself?

STEVEN NG: Steve. Steve Ng.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Certainly.
STEVEN NG: So what I've been hearing from the applicant and Counsel is that they're actually allowed to place trash containers in that alleyway, they just can't bring it through that rear door. Is that true?

JIM MONTEVERDE: At the moment with the paperwork in front of me, $I$ have no way to confirm it. What $I$ don't know is --

STEVEN NG: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- what the conditions are in the alley.

STEVEN NG: Exactly, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If any.
STEVEN NG: Yeah. I'm trying to just understand the dynamic here of what's allowed and what's not allowed, and what's been happening that shouldn't be happening.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well --

STEVEN NG: And, you know, I think --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steve, can you see the same graphic I can see on the screen? It's the plot plan?

STEVEN NG: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: What the alley reads is I squint -- see a 7' right of way.

STEVEN NG: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, I need some legal advice to define right of way, whether that's simply rite of passage, or there's some other uses allowed there. I don't know.

That would be a good thing for the -- for the petitioner perhaps to explore and be able to bring to us when they come back. That would make a difference.

But I think still trying to be good neighbors is just addressing the neighborhood's concern and --

STEVEN NG: Mm-hm. Agreed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- it doesn't sound like they're concerned whether that's a right of way or who owns it, or it's really how it's used. Thank you, Steven.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Wendy Leiserson.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: I also would be curious to hear or see something in writing from the Health Department or --

STEPHEN NG: Yes.
WENDY LEISERSON: -- the applicant has more conversations with Inspectional Services as well about what their options are, perhaps that would inform the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Are we ready for a vote to continue? Do we have a time you'd like to suggest, date and time? I'm talking to Staff here. June 29, 6:00? Does that work for the proponent, does that work for the Board
members?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That works on my end.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, members of the Board.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me make a motion,
then, to continue this matter to June 29, 2023. Oh, sorry, let me just go to do this one at a time. So Steven, can you do the June 29?

STEVEN NG: Yes, I can.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina, June 29?
MATINA WILLIAMS: As of now, yes, 1 can do it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Wendy, June 29?
WENDY LEISERSON: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Back to the motion. I
make a motion to continue this matter to June 29, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of June 29, 2023 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

Also, in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said
waiver can be obtained by -- from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services Department. Ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday -- no, the Monday before the twenty-ninth.

Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on June 29.

Also, if there are any new submittals, change the drawings, which -- I don't think we'll have that -- that those be submitted prior to the Monday before the June 29 hearing.

And also, if there's any changes, that the dimensional form and potentially any supporting statements also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

Also, that the proponent submit with them in the next round some statement from the Health Department confirming that they in fact have not spoken against or not allowed trash storage in the right of way, and if they can also provide some definition of at least legally what uses they believe are allowed in that right of way and which are not.
On the motion, then, to continue this matter until
June 29, 2018, Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES] Thank you.
ADAM BARNOSKY: Thank you.
(6:53 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Then we have two cases that will be continued, and the first is Case No. 208873 -- 231-235 Third Street. No one here? Should we just continue it and -- so let's pick one. 7:13. Okay. Then continuance.

We have a letter -- correspondence from Dan
Anderson from Anderson Porter Design stating that, "I'd like to request a continuance for the case and send any documents that may need to be signed for continuance."

So on the matter of the continuance, I'll make a motion, then, to continue this matter to July 15, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of June 29, 2023 and the time at 6:00 p.m.

Also, in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver of the statutory requirements for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services Department.

Also sign it and return it to us by a week from
the Monday prior.
Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on July 13.

Also, if there are any new submittals or changes to the drawings, that those be on file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the July 13 date.

Also, if there are any changes to the dimensional form and potentially the supporting statement also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter, Zarya?

## ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Four in favor (sic).

Continued. We have one more.
(6:56 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Case No. 211571 -- 8 Winter Street. And we have a letter from Dan Anderson of Anderson Porter Design saying, "I'd like to request a continuance for the following case scheduled for this evening." And then what date do we have? Same thing, July 13?

And since all the Board members were available that time for the previous one, unless someone says something, I'll assume they're all available for this case as well? Anybody have any issues with that? Any Board member?

No? Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to July 13, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of July 13, 2023 and the time at 6:00.

Also, in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver of the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay
with Inspectional Services.
We ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week prior to July 13. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on July 13.

Also, if there are any new submittals or changes to the drawings, that those be in our file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to July 13, 2023.

And also, if there are any changes to the
dimensional form and potentially the supporting statement also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter until July 13, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In approval.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you Matina.
MATINA WILLIAMS: In approval.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In approval.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: In approval.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Thank you. We have a one-minute break before we start. Mike, is that true, or not? No, 6:30.
(BREAK)
(7:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case -- regular case is Case No. 218156 -- 141 Portland Street. Anyone here -- yes, you are -- Adam, do you want to tell us the story about your antenna?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Sure. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Adam Braillard of Prince Lobel Tye. We're at One International Place in Boston, Massachusetts. I'm here on behalf of the applicant, T-Mobile Northeast LLC, in connection with a special permit application before this Board of Zoning Appeals to modify T Mobile's existing wireless communications facility located on the building, on the rooftop of this building that's located at 141 Portland Street. It's located within the Industrial B Zoning District.

The proposal is subject to and complies with -legally complies with Section 6409 of the Middle Class Tax

Relief Act of 2012, and also with Section 4.32.9.1, Footnote 49 and Section 10.33 of the City's Ordinance.

So what we're proposing to do here is start with what's existing. So the applicant has existing 12 panel antennas or four sectors of three panel antennas each, as well as one TMA, which stands for it's like a small tower monitoring antenna, and then two RRUs, which are Remote Radio Units and -- per sector, so a total of 4 TMAs and 8 RRUs. And then an equipment cabinet with ancillary equipment on the rooftop.

What the applicant proposes to do is to replace those 12 antennas with 12 like-kind antennas. Three of the antennas are currently mounted in what we call faux or fake vent pipes.

What we're proposing to do is remove those vent pipes, so remove those antennas from the vent pipes and put those -- the antennas that will be in the -- that are currently in the vent pipes on the façade of the building.

So it'll be more of a cohesive installation, and then remove the vent pipes that are on the top of the roof, or the fake vent pipes that are on the top of the roof. So we eliminate those.

And then replace the four TMAs, eight Remote Radio
Units -- with just eight Radio Remote Units, so eliminate the TMAs. Those will be located behind the parapet and not -- and not visible from the public way.

The applicant also proposes to replace the existing equipment cabinet with two smaller equipment cabinets in the same footprint as that existing cabinet. So not going to change the footprint size there. And that's it.

The -- I guess that the fake vent pipe will be removed. So we think that the installation will actually look better than it does now.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you just walk us quickly through the visual studies?

ADAM BRAILLARD: Sure. So if you look at -- at least tab three of the application package, so you just scroll down, yep. So I think -- there we go. Especially I think -- there we go.

So yeah. The first -- yeah, so we -- like I said here, there's four sectors and the easiest way to look at it here is Sector $1,2,3$ or 4 or alpha, beta, gamma, delta.

So Sector 1 is just looking at the current
installation at Sector 1, and then if you -- so and TMobile's antennas are the ones that are currently located on the façade up the building and not above the building. So those that are above the building, that's a different carrier or on the roof of the building, that's a different carrier. T-Mobile's are currently on the façade and will stay on the façade.

So if you look at the next view, that -- there's really no change here in terms of visual, at least -- you know, from this distance, obviously. We were significantly high up.

The change in antennas, the difference of the antennas just is we feel is de minimis with respect to the aesthetics. The new antennas will be obviously painted to match the color of the penthouse -- I mean, I'm sorry, of the façade here.

So the next view would be the Sector 2. A little hard to see here with the trees, although there's no leaves, so that's good.

So again, here we're on the façade of the building. And the next view would show -- shows what we're proposing to do, which is so this is this Sector 2. Sector

1 was the front of this. This view is the front of the building. So this view just shows what we're doing on Sector 2, which is -- again -- very similar to what we're doing. In Sector 1, it's just replacing the existing new antennas and painting those to match the color of the building.

And then -- yep, and this is a view of where Sector 3 is located. Sector 3 is located up on the penthouse, on the façade of the penthouse. Because the penthouse is set pretty far back on the roof of the building, it's hard to get a visual of the penthouse in general. So we had to step back a little bit and take the photo here from a distance.

With the next view we'll show the changes, which will be very de minimis, replacing the existing antennas with new like-kind antennas painted to match the color of the façade of the penthouse. And I think there's one more view.

Yep. And the other view: T-Mobile is on the façade of this are as well Sector 4 and proposing to replace these existing antennas with -- like I said, like-kind antennas and also painted to match the façade of the
building.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. So any
questions from any members of the Board?
[Pause]
None? I'll open the matter to --
MATINA WILLIAMS: I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- public comment. Sorry?
MATINA WILLIAMS: -- no, I -- it looked like it's
all one building, right? When you're -- oh, I see what you did. It was the views.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MATINA WILLIAMS: -- that you --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's all on the same.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah. You just couldn't tell with the pictures. Sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Let me open the matter to public comment? Sorry? Someone that wanted to speak? Oh.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Mr. Chairman, that was me. The trials and tribulations of doing this from home.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Gotcha, okay. Public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that, you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Is there anyone who wishes to join us? Nope?
Okay. Any final discussion from members of the Board? No? Hearing none, $I$ will make a motion to close public commentary.

And the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief for requirements of the ordinance, as identified in the application on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Zoning Documents, 141 Portland Street, Cambridge, Mass" dated -- the last revision date is -- is this correct, 06/16/2022?

ADAM BRAILLARD: One --
JIM MONTEVERDE: 01/04/23. And initialed by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements -- dimensions there are none -- supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application per the variance as granted, incorporating the following conditions: There are none.

Board members have said everything? I believe so.
And the motion -- and then the motion to a vote. Board Members? Zarya?

ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

ADAM BRAILLARD: Thank you very much, members of the Board.
(7:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is No. 215886 -- 18 Tenney Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on that case?
[Pause]

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. There you go.
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Hi. Rob and Beverly
Neugeboren here, the owners at 18 Tenney Street.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: I can tell you a little bit about the project here, and we also have Amy Semmes.

So we bought the home in 2007, and then in 2008 it had been a two-family with a rental apartment on the first floor, so in 2008 we did renovations on the place and substantially on the first floor, some on the second floor, but almost nothing to the third floor, which was left as it had been.

We're now looking at a couple of things. One is
that our HVAC, which had been installed some five to 10 years before we bought the place is needing updating, so we -- and it was never well laid out on our third floor, so partly this project is to renovate so that we can accommodate a new heat pump HVAC system.

And then also the other factor is that having spent a bunch of time now working from home, say approaching my retirement age, we've decided on a few changes to the third floor that we feel would make it much more livable for us. That's basically what brings us to you today.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And the relief you're requesting is -- can you just walk us through that?

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: It amounts to 165 square feet under two dormers.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So you need -- sorry, I'm not
looking at the form -- so do you need relief for the
additional square footage, are you nonconforming?
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Yes. We -- not a variance, but a special permit, because it's nonconforming based on our very small plot that this modest-size house is on.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. So those dormers will increase
your square footage and increase the FAR, which are
nonconforming to begin with?
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And can you just go to the proposed elevations? I just want to confirm or ask you to confirm that you meet the Dormer Guidelines? I think if you go to a side elevation it's the front? There we go.

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Yes, these are --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I see the two dormers at 15 or slightly less than $15^{\prime}$ in length, is that correct?

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Yes. Yes, and I don't know if I should invite our architect, who has more detailed information, but yes, these are -- my understanding is they're all conforming, set back, not visually intrusive and so on, but under 15'.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Are you aware of in the Ordinance same point 22.1.8.2, as only an ordinance could be? And it basically says, assuming there's more than one dormer that the total dormer widths will be 15'. Are you aware of that one?

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: I'm not sure I understand
total dormer width.

JIM MONTEVERDE: In other words, you're adding two
dormers at approximately 15'. Together that's $30^{\prime}$ in
length.
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: One on each side of the house.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. As opposed to 15'.
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: Oh. We thought it was per
side.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. All right.
Anything else you want to present?
ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: I don't know. Amy, is there
anything else we want to present? I see both side elevations.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I think that's the extent of it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Amy, you're on mute.
AMY SEMMES: Hi. Can you hear me now?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
AMY SEMMES: Excellent. I'm -- I just wanted to speak to the total dormer comment.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
AMY SEMMES: I had briefly reviewed this in person
with Ranjit before we submitted the application. And he clarified for me that on the right-side elevation, which is the one with the peaked gable and a dormer attached -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

AMY SEMMES: -- to the side of it, he clarified that is not a dormer, that's a cross-gable. So I took that as meaning there was no additive dormer restriction on that far side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Well, I'm glad you reviewed that. Thank you. Thank you for sharing that. That's helpful.

AMY SEMMES: In addition, on this left-hand side elevation, where there are sort of two distinct dormer masses, the preexisting dormer towards the front of the house --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
AMY SEMMES: We think is sort of a leftover dormer from an original configuration, where that allowed staircase clearance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
AMY SEMMES: But there's no longer a stair involved in that space, so the main wall is extremely low.

So we are adding a new 15' $^{\prime}$ dormer that does kiss this old one, but they're definitely two distinct masses, rather than a sort of double -- doubled-up type of dormer. So we're hoping that that will solve some of these concerns.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Anything else from the petitioner?

ROBERT NEUGEBOREN: No, but happy to answer questions if $I$ can, if we can.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay, thanks. You. Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
Hearing none, I will open it up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up. Is there anyone who wishes to speak, member of the public?

No one? Okay. In the file we do have -- whoop, we do have five letters in support and no letters against. We have Robert Chisholm and Allison Cirino in support, Stephanie O'Neil, John O'Neil in support, Mary Sheenan in support, Paul Miller, and Heather Miller in support.

There's Robert again. Yep. That's everything we have in the file.

AMY SEMMES: I'm sorry. Can I say that I thought we uploaded a letter also from Melissa Greene and Josh Bawer in support, our next-door neighbor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Board members closing public testimony, any Board members, any discussion?

WENDY LEISERSON: I think I defer to you on the dormer questions that you were raisin, Mr. Chair.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
WENDY LEISERSON: And if you're satisfied with that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I am satisfied at the moment.
The fact that they spoke to and reviewed that, I think that satisfies me. Thank you.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions or comments
from members of the Board, or are we ready for a motion? MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. The chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under the Sections cited in the application on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "third-floor renovation additions to 18 Tenney Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts prepared by Amy Simms? Seams?

AMY SEMMES: Semmes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Semmes. Thank you. Initialed and dated by the Chair.

Further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional form submitted with the application. And it's -- that's it. And to a vote? Zarya? ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Matina? MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor.

(7:22 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is 217449 -- 100
Henry Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak?
[Pause]
Sorry? We have our choice. Mr. Rafferty, I believe.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. I apologize for my technical problems. Is it the case that I can be heard, Mr. Chair? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, you can.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. Thank you. Again, my apologies. For the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue.

I'm appearing this evening on behalf of the applicant, 100 Henry Street, LLC. This is an ongoing renovation of a single-family home on Henry Street that's being done pursuant to a special permit granted by this Board back in November.

During the course of the reconstruction work, it was determined that the third-floor needed -- was suffering from structural deficiency and needed to be completely rebuilt.

As a result, the owner and the architect here looked at reframing the roof in a way that would provide more efficient living space.

The change can be best viewed at pages A20 and A21 of the submittal. The changes result in a new roofline, no longer a danger hip roof, but also the creation of two guideline-compliant dormers, one on each side. The total square footage increase here is 216 square feet.

The applicant is seeking a special permit under the provisions of 8.22.2d that allow for the granting of a special permit where it can be determined that there will be no detrimental impact upon the neighborhood.

I believe the supporting statement reflects that, as well as the number of letters and signatures attached to petitions provided.

With me this evening is the property owner, John Mahoney, and we're able to answer any questions the Board might have about this proposed change. They said it's
entirely to the third floor. It's a reframing of the roof and is being used as an opportunity to create some more efficient use of that third-floor space.

JIM MONTEVERDE: In terms of the efficiency that you're creating, are there any bedrooms being added in this new scheme? Any living space?.

JIM MAHONEY: There is no additional bedroom being
added. And it's just increasing the square footage of the current rooms that are already there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Gotcha. Thank you. Any
questions from members of the Board?
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
STEVEN NG: No questions.
ZARAYA MIRANDA: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Let me open it to public comments. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that, you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

And let me just let everyone know we have I
counted five letters in the file in support and none in opposition. So those in support, there's no reason to repeat yourself, we have all your commentary.

But anyone from the public want to speak?
[Pause]
No one there. All right. members of the Board, or ready anyone have any questions, comments at this time? MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions. JIM MONTEVERDE: Are we good, are we ready for a motion?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.
STEVEN NG: I believe we're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under the sections cited in the application on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings
entitled "100-102 Henry Street" prepared by Context Collaborative Design Workshop and dated 03/08/23 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. There are no additional conditions. And we are ready for a vote.

Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
Thank you.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Congratulations.
JAMES RAFFERTY: Have a good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. Good luck.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you. Thanks, guys.
(7:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is Case No. 216029 --103-105 Oxford Street. Is the proponent wishing to speak? Kevin, are you with us?

KEVIN QUINN: Hello. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Board for hearing us out today. The project is 105 Oxford is an existing five-unit building. It's really in poor shape structurally and needs kind of significant upgrades -- you know, from, you know, life safety to modernize it from, you know, systems and -- you know, electrical or plumbing.

And so, what we're presenting is kind of to do a modest increase to the square -- the footprint to make it more aesthetically pleasing and you'll see from the presentation.

And I'm going to bring in Vince Pan, our architect as well, to get into the technical aspects. But, you know, I think it's to make it more, you know, symmetrical and aesthetically pleasing to the neighborhood it's a pretty big
lot and, you know, definitely fits well into the lot.
But I will turn it over to Vince to kind of get in
some more of the technical details. But $I$ think the main goal of the project really is to really modernize the building and kind of, you know, add in, you know, life safety. There's going to be sprinklers and all that. So that is the kind of main goal.

But I will have Vince kind of explain kind of a little more about, you know, the technical aspects.

VINCE PAN: Hi, everybody. Vince Pan here along with Analog Studio. We are the architects for this project. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen of the Board.

As Kevin said, we are seeking to enlarge this building under our Section 8.22.2c, which allows an enlargement of up to 25 percent of an existing building that is nonconforming.

It is not a priority nonconforming use, but it is a nonconforming building, and the reason it is currently nonconforming is there's one dimensional requirement which it does not meet, which is the side yard setback that you see here.

We are not making any nonconforming requirements
any worse in doing this. We are expanding the building within the 25 percent envelope and are making all requirements of the zone in doing so.

There were some images earlier that -- so it's in the context for the property, which I think could be helpful to go over the first one. So 103-105 Oxford Street is the small blue building you see on the left, and within the context of Oxford Street.

And that's -- and that side of the street it's -you know, it's quite small in height and stature. But it is actually quite a large lot, as Kevin mentioned.

The proposal extends the building upward only about a foot. So it largely maintains its proportion. And its overall width is slightly wider -- 4' towards the driveway side here.

So its overall massing is staying very similar to what currently exists. We are not adding any units to this, and it's currently five units. And it will stay five units on the property.

The design if we look at the elevations is we need to take that existing façade that's closest to the street and mirror (sic) it about a central access. So, again, as

Kevin mentioned, it feels a little bit more symmetrical, and we think pleasing on the street.

Could we go ahead to the elevations, which I think are a couple pages here? Yeah. There.

So there you can see that elevation on the right that exists, that we saw in the photo. And then on the left, you know, we're really mirroring that about its axis.

The other -- I don't know if it's relief that we're seeking, but we know that we need to run by the Board is that we are modifying some windows that are within that setback. That does require review by the Board. We could go to the north elevation, which I think is two pages beyond here.

Next?

Yes. So this is that side elevation that is within the setback that we saw in the plan. The proposed is on the left, the existing is on the right.

As you can see, we're reducing the number of windows on that side and generally keeping the overall window area similar but trying to order the window façade a little bit more evenly than it is right now. Right now, as you can see, it's a little bit of a mishmash of windows on
that side.

But otherwise, you know, I think we are trying to stay well within the guidelines of the special permit requests, and within the zoning code.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Can I ask one quick question?

VINCE PAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you go to the dimensional
form? Can you explain to me under the ordinance requirements or allowed, under the gross floor area, the 0.5/0.35?

VINCE PAN: So our understanding -- yeah, our understanding of this zone is that up to 5000 square feet of lot area, it's an FAR of 0.5, and then above that it's 0.35. This lot is 9100 square feet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay.
VINCE PAN: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
VINCE PAN: When we do the math out, it's 0.42, which is that requested condition, and which is what we're complying with.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Gotcha. Okay. Thank you very much. Any questions from members of the Board? If
not, I'll open up to public comment.
WENDY LEISERSON: No questions.
MATINA WILLIAMS: No questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. All right. Let's
open it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up. STEPHEN NATOLA: Rob Hayes?

GRETCHEN ADAMS: Hi. This is -- is your camera working? -- Rob Hayes and Gretchen Adams. We're married. And we have several questions. Our house abuts -- we live at 31a Sacramento Street. And our house abuts the parking lot of this building.

And we wanted to know -- we're concerned a lot with the parking lot. It's currently in use by teachers, and we wanted to know if there are plans for doing anything
different with that parking lot?
ROB HAYES: So in this case the parking lot is
going to stay. You know, there was no change to the parking area as part of this plan. We're just kind of increasing the building a little bit. And that parking area, you're right, it is currently being rented by the City of Cambridge. That elementary school that's next to it: The teachers do park there.

So I'm curious with that being said, are there any, like, actual -- one of the actual concerns was the parking situation.

GRETCHEN ADAMS: Well, we are in favor of the teachers parking there, because it affords our back porch and our building a lot of light. Because --

KEVIN QUINN: Sure.
GRETCHEN ADAMS: -- we were worried that you eventually might want to develop that parking lot and build a building there.

KEVIN QUINN: Nope. No. That's going to continue to be parking for the teachers.

GRETCHEN ADAMS: Awesome. And are these -- is your intention to have these units that you are now creating
to be rental, or to sell them as condominiums?
KEVIN QUINN: They will be rental apartments.
GRETCHEN ADAMS: Okay. And we wanted to know when the work will begin, and approximately how long you predict that work will take?

KEVIN QUINN: That's a good question. I don't know if we know exactly. We're trying to get through this process. Obviously, before we kind of went out to General Contractors and got more information on the timetable.

But we would certainly once we know, you know, our goal is to start as soon as possible. But once we know that we'll definitely reach out to abutters and give you guys a full timetable of kind of what's going to happen and what the schedule is.

KEVIN QUINN: All right. That all sounds really good.

ROB HAYES: This is Rob Hayes. I also live at 31A Sacramento Street. The question that I have is I would like to see the -- did we see the south, the drawing of the south-facing, that faces toward our building and toward the playground?

KEVIN QUINN: We went by it, probably.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There we go.
KEVIN QUINN: There you go.
ROB HAYES: Yeah. That's what we're looking for right there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.
VINCE PAN: So for reference right now, the small shed roof that extends out on that side of the building, the new façade of the building that you're seeing here on the left is 4' further out, but is still the same width of that entire façade, right? The building width in this direction is not changed; you can see left or right.

And so, you know, again, what we're doing on the other side: We're trying to normalize the window layout here and really just make a good neighbor in context of the street.

ROB HAYES: Okay. And then how close does that, there's a curb there that defines the parking lot from the area devoted to the building itself. How close to that curb will the extension come?

VINCE PAN: There is a plan. I think there's a page here, right?

ROB HAYES: I think there's a site plan.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There's a site plan, I believe, right?

VINCE PAN: Yeah. The one that -- not the -- that one, yeah. That's it right there. So that curb does not move. So the building comes closer, but it's still -- you know, I would say 6', 8' from that curb at the tightest corner.

ROB HAYES: Is this the -- this is the proposed that you're showing?

VINCE PAN: Correct. if you go to the page before, you'll -- we can look at the current, but yes.

ROB HAYES: And then that's -- and that's 4' from the -- I'll call it an edge, not being an architect -- of the current building or, no it's 4' from -- oh, I see, it's 4' beyond the most southward extension of the current building, is that correct?

VINCE PAN: Yeah, give or take. These are sometimes tricky things to verbalize. But, you know, you can see here there's -- you've got 32 ' to kind of side yard to the outermost projection of the current building.

ROB HAYES: Mm-hm.
VINCE PAN: And then if you go to the next page,
and that's that shed roof, right? If you go to the next page, you see it's actually a little bit -- here, although the building I think is slightly wider.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm. Any other questions?
ROB HAYES: Yeah, I just -- I -- I'm anxious to see this house occupied again. It's been empty for four years and in not particularly good condition. So I don't have -- going in, $I$ don't have a great feeling about the whole business, the real estate investment trust or whatever it is that owns this building.

So I'm very, very hopeful. I want to go on record as saying I'm hoping that the work that's going to be done and the way it's going to be conducted will be better than the experience we've had with the empty building. Because I've written to Parula a couple of times about various things and really not heard anything back.

And I know that's not particularly germane to outline this building or the space it's going to occupy, but it's the only experience I have. So I just would like that to be noted.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Thank you. Anyone else wishing to speak? That's it for public commentary.

I'll close public testimony. Discussion from members of the Board? Any questions, comments? Ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: Ready for a motion.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Great. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under the sections cited in the application, on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "105 Oxford Street" and prepared by Analogue Studio and dated March 24, 2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate any supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

And that's it. Time for a vote. Zarya? ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya, sorry. Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five -- unanimous. Congratulations and good luck.

ROB HAYES: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. We're going to take a fiveminute break.
(BREAK)
(7:48 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is No. 218034 -- 26

Bellis Circle, unit 26. And as Yogi Berra would say, this seems like déjà vu all over again.

Is the proponent here to present? Thomas? Mary?

Adam?

ADAM CoSTA: Yes, Thank you, Mr. Chairman. How are you?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. So --

ADAM COSTA: Apologize for the lag but thank you for promoting me as a panelist. I have my clients here with me as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So -- oh, thank you. So we -I'm sure we've all read the file. We've seen this case before at least once. But what I did, and I think it would be helpful -- your supporting statement for the variance, paragraphs a), b), c), cl) is kind of the crux if $I$ understand it correctly?

So if you could -- if you could just walk us
through that one, what brings you before us today, and then what the modifications are that have been made to satisfy your -- what negotiations you've gone through, that would be helpful.

ADAM CoSTA: Sure. I'd be happy to do that. And I'll try and be as brief and efficient as I can be. Because, as you said, Mr. Chair, I know the Board has seen this project once or twice before. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well --
ADAM COSTA: My clients --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- not all -- go ahead.
ADAM COSTA: Not all of you. Correct, correct. Some of you haven't.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
ADAM COSTA: So my clients reside at a singlefamily home. The address is 26 Bellis Circle. You can see a photograph of it there -- a partial photograph of it there on the screen.

Tom Culotta and Mary Custic reside at the premises. They had a desire to add additional living space to the home; nothing too substantial. In fact, quite modest -- a footprint of 238 square feet in total, two stories, so
a total addition of 476 square feet.
And so, they went through multiple iterations about 15, 16 months ago to try and find a workable solution -- something that both allowed them to orient the addition so as to meet with their needs in terms of internal configuration, both for a slightly enlarged living area on the first floor, and then some additional space for the bedroom on the second floor, while working within the confines of what is a rather challenging lot.

So ultimately, they got unanimous approval from your Board for a special permit for the addition I just described. The reason that they required a special permit for the modification is because the existing structure is a preexisting, nonconforming structure in as much as it exceeds -- albeit not significantly, but it exceeds the maximum floor area ratio for the district.

So they came before you, they checked the boxes with respect to a special permit, and I'm happy to walk through those criteria again if the Board so desires.

But soon after the decision was filed with the City Clerk's office, their immediate neighbors I guess closest to the addition -- not closest to their home, but
closest to the addition sort of on the left side as you're looking at their home, filed an appeal.

At that point, my clients engaged me. I was not permanent Counsel prior to that, but I was engaged in litigation counsel. We immediately contacted the neighbor and said, "Is there any way to work things out? This is a modest addition."

It's a real financial burden to be litigating a special permit, even though we felt we had strong grounds. As you probably know, municipal Boards are entitled to some deference in granting special permits.

And the neighbor said, "Well, you know, we'll work with you." So we went back and forth, as you can guess, by the fact that it's not April of -- or May of 2023 and we received a special permit in April of 2022.

It took us the better part of a year to go back and forth with the neighbors to design and redesign and redesign again the addition -- really with two goals in mind. And these were partly mindful of the fact that we had to come back to your Board, and partly mindful of the fact that we had to satisfy the neighbor.

Number one, we were not going to increase the
size, either the footprint or the overall square footage of the addition -- and number two, we had to find a way to pull that addition away from the neighboring property.

We would not be violating that side yard setback, but we would be bringing the structure closer. And in fact, if there's any way for the operator to scroll down on this sheet set, the very last page on this sheet, I think that would be the most helpful page. We can show as the -- right there, a little bit lower.

Right there.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There we go.
ADAM COSTA: So you can actually see -- and it's difficult to describe in words, but if you look at the two versions of past editions, they both look like they are sort of rectangles with a cutoff angle at the rear, the one that is not shaded that cuts into the side yard on the left side of the page, is what we ultimately had received approval for from your Board back in April of 2022.

And what we are now proposing to you is the version that sort of looks like we flipped it and rotated it, and it's what's shaded in sort of a lighter gray color, also outlined in a dashed line.

And you can see there's arrows pointing to each of these. At the top right it has an arrow that says, "Proposed limit of new addition."

And again, final plan: 238 square feet within the boundaries. But you can also see if you look at the very left side of the page sort of in the center of the page, there is an arrow that points to the dashed line that says, "outline of addition improved by Cambridge BZA."

And so, from a special permit perspective, we hope, and we would presume -- and I guess we hope -- that your Board wouldn't see any reason to vary the findings we made 13 months ago; that this addition merely by flipping it and rotating it still meets all of the Special Permit Criteria and is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming structure.

The added complication -- and we were mindful of this when we were negotiating with the neighbors -- we in fact consulted with the City's Law Department on a couple of occasions and I know that they had an opportunity to -- an attorney from the Department had an opportunity to speak to you in Executive Session while the litigation was pending -but we sought some guidance as to whether your Board would
even entertain a redesign and would necessitate a variance.
And obviously, the Law Department couldn't commit on behalf of the Board but indicated that you would be willing to at least engage in a dialogue, and for us to make the proposal to you that we're making today.

So you can see here -- and I'm going to draw your attention to another notion on this plan -- on the left side of the plan, sort of the second set of words down, it says, "Projected setback line 30.75 ' from the rear yard." And there's a dashed line that runs between those two parts of the sentence sort of across the page at an angle.

And you can see that because of the odd orientation of this single-family structure on the lot, it's not parallel to the rear lot line, and therefore it's not parallel to the $30.75^{\prime}$ broadcast setback from that rear lot line.

We had made every effort before and successfully -- barely -- to keep the addition out of that setback, to not necessitate the need for -- necessitate a variance. Unfortunately, there's simply no way to do that because of the angle of that setback.

There's no way to place an addition of really any
meaningful size, even if we reduce this addition by $10,20,30,40$ feet, there's no way to fit an addition of any meaningful size away from the neighbors on the left, not increasing the setback or decreasing the setback on the left side, and without encroaching into that rear yard set.back.

So that's what we're before you tonight asking -asking for, not only an amendment of the special permit you granted before, but also for the variance.

And one point I want to make, and then I'll take a breath and see if the Board wants me to address any specific items in my submittal or answer any questions, but:

If we're able to scroll down to the to the site plan -- this is an excerpt from the architecture and elevation right here -- if we scroll down a little further on the page, you can see number 26 . That's great. Thank you.

So number 26 is in the bottom right side here. This is the structure that we've been talking about. You can see the now proposed addition and you can see there are a couple of lines measuring -- well, one's measuring a setback and one is measuring something similar to a setback. But I want to point something out to the Board
that I think is relevant to this variance, and maybe distinguishes -- I think certainly distinguishes this case from the run of the mill applicant that asks you to grant a variance but doesn't meet the standard.

Obviously, variances are statutory. One of the grounds for a variance is unusual shape paired with other matters like hardship and no substantial derogation from the purpose of the ordinance.

Well, if you look at the rear boundary, you can see that there is one arrow -- and it's difficult to see without zooming in a bit further, but it measures the $22.3^{\prime}$ setback of the nearest corner of the proposed addition to the rear boundary.

But there's another arrow that also comes from that same bottom left corner of the addition. So we're going to zoom in a little bit here, maybe. That's great. Thank you.

So you can see there's an arrow that originates in that same corner to the addition all the way back to it says to CLF. CLF of course, is a notation depicting a chain-link fence. And it says, "29.7' to the chain-link fence."

So what's unusual about this law is it has -- the
thick black line is the rear lot line. And it is -- it's awkward, because it has this jog. And ever so inconveniently for my clients, the jog is in their back yard, as opposed to in the yard of their neighbors.

And so, in placing the addition where they're placing it, because that jog in the angle gets closer and closer and closer to their home, it's only $22.3^{\prime}$ from that corner to the rear boundary.

But there is a chain-link fence that separates the adjacent park -- and it's not a private property on the other side of that line, it's the park -- that separates the park from effectively the back yard of my clients.

And so, although the gap between the chain-link fence and the boundary sort of for most that wedge there, it's almost triangular in shape -- although that is not property that my clients own, although it's not part of their back yard, it's technically part of the City's property, the City has effectively deprived itself of use of that property. It's cut off by virtue of constructing a fence. And I have some photographs if you're interested in seeing it.

But so the practical effect of that is that for
appearances, it's really as if that closest corner of this addition is $29.7^{\prime}$ from what looks like the rear boundary to the naked eye, to somebody who might be in the back yard looking around.

Now again, 29.7' still not quite the 30.75 ' that is the minimum, but it really would make this much more of a de minimis variance than it might appear, or that the numbers might indicate when you see 22.3 .

So again, I appreciate the Bord considering this. Again, we bring this to you because it is with the support of the immediate neighbors. I believe that they have counsel present tonight that was prepared to speak in favor of it, pursuant to the agreement we negotiated. It resolves litigation between us and the neighbor also naming the BZA as a codefendant.

We have submitted two other letters; one from the three neighbors who reside on this same parcel and singlefamily home. Each of the three of them support this wholeheartedly. And we also have a letter from our immediately adjacent neighbor -- a lengthy letter speaking in favor of the proposal.

So we believe we have the support of our
neighbors. We believe that this is certainly no more detrimental than what we had approved previously, but admittedly it does require a different or an additional form of zoning relief from the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

WENDY LEISERSON: I have some questions. I just want to get clear about the legal grounds for your different reliefs, because $I$ know that was part of this challenge for the Board.

So when I read your letters -- and just jump in here please, Counsel -- you're asking -- you're relying on what the decision of the Board was previously and asking that it be amended, is that correct? Is what you're citing a different provision, $I$ believe in this matter now for the relief you're requesting?

Can you just clarify the legal grounds that you're -- you know, walk me through what is being requested under the special permit and what is being requested in the variance, please?

ADAM COSTA: Sure. I'd be happy to, through you Mr. Chairman. So you are correct that we are asking for two
things tonight: One is an amendment of the relief we already received; an amendment of the special permit. In a moment I can walk through those criteria.

The second is new relief -- relief that we didn't ask for a year ago or 13 months ago, and that is a variance. And similarly, we have completed the -- not only the narrative I submitted, I've also completed the one-page supporting statement form that you include in your application package.

So for a moment, if we focus on the special permit -- again, the relief that you granted before -- the special permit is subject to a five-part a), b), c), d), e) and again, it's referred to in the supporting statement in your application form.

So the first requirement is that the requirements of the ordinance can or will be met for the following reasons, and the statement that $I$ included here is that except for a variance from the minimum rear yard setback, the proposal is otherwise compliant with the zoning ordinance. There is no change in the residential use, the addition is modest both in footprint and in overall size.

And the property to whom the addition will now be
-- and even before would be most visible supports the redesign. And in fact we've redesigned it at that neighbor's behest, at their request through settlement.

Criteria number 2 is that the traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change in established neighborhood character.

Again, we're not changing the use of this property. It's simply an addition for the same residential -- single-family residential purposes that exist today.

We don't believe there will be any meaningful change in neighborhood character. This addition really isn't visible to many of the immediate neighbors -- many of the neighbors, except for maybe the immediate neighbor at the 16-18 Bellis Circle condominium that you see on the right side of the page here. And that again is the litigant that has assented to the proposal that's before you.

The third criterion in your ordinance, the continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected by the nature of the proposed use for the following reasons: Again, the petitioners believe that their original
design was adequate, and you concurred -- your board concurred that it was adequate and that it met the standard.

The new design really does nothing except let them rotate the addition. And arguably, the person, the property most affected by that prior proposal just in terms of the bulk and the mass being closer, were the litigants for the Plaintiffs in the lawsuit that ensued, and they've now assented to, they're now in support.

And again, $I$ don't want to speak for them, but I feel I can, because I've been speaking with their counsel for 13 months now -- are now in support of this proposal, and really were advancing this proposal to resolve the litigation.

You might recall for those of you who were either on the Board at the time or maybe have reviewed the pleadings that followed: You know, they had concerns about really the location of the prior addition casting shadows on their property. They had concerns about it interfering with their view of the park sort of at an angle across the back yard of the 26 Bellis Circle property.

You know, we believe that we had provided the Board with information to rebut some of that, but they
didn't believe we did, and that's what prompted their appeal.

So certainly this proposal addresses those issues because of the shifting of the massing away from the sidebound roof.

WENDY LEISERSON: Excuse me, Counsel?
ADAM COSTA: Sure.
WENDY LEISERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt, but I just want to get something to clarify something for myself. So if -- and this is just a matter of law -- if you were not able to rely on the reason that you were granted permission previously, like if for example one would argue that as a condo association you couldn't rely on the legal provision that you got relief under for -- as if you were a singlefamily, meaning the Bellalta case, would you be able to do what you're trying to do as a variance if we were so inclined to recognize hardship in this case?

Without, you know, relying on the special permit that was granted previously?

ADAM COSTA: So I -- the answer to that is yes. And just so that it's clear, and I suspect it's clear in your mind, because you're asking a very intelligent question
and you're carefully crafting it. But just to sort of restate what $I$ think you're asking is we're already asking for a variance, and that variance is for encroaching into the rear yard setback.

But in addition to the variance, we're asking for the special permit as we did before. And I think your question is if this were not treated as a single-family residence, could we obtain all of the relief that we're requesting for -- that we're currently requesting -- could we receive all of that in the form of a variance?

And my answer to that question would be yes, we need to satisfy the variance? And my answer to that question would be yes, we would need to satisfy the variance standard with respect to, you know, the entirety of the addition as opposed to just addressing the setback, the rear yard setback, which is really where my focus was.

I do concede that one of the arguments that was advanced by counsel for the neighbors in the litigation, in the lawsuit that was filed, was that we didn't qualify for relief under the cited section of the zoning ordinance.

I don't agree with that argument. I guess I don't really need to -- I didn't need to debate it with counsel
for the neighbors, because we never litigated the merits of the case before the court. The neighbors expressed a willingness to negotiate a settlement, as did we early on in the process.

So my position is still that this can be treated as a single-family residence, because it operates as a single-family residence, notwithstanding the fact that it's on shared common land.

Certainly, because it's on shared common land, when we get to the chart, if you include the dimensional form that you have in your application where you have columns for existing, requested conditions, and then the ordinance requirements, we need to apply the standards that would apply to the entirety of the property.

And we've done that in terms of setback from the larger property boundaries and calculating the lot area for each dwelling unit and so forth. We've applied those standards and we meet those standards. We don't need relief from those standards.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you, Counsel. You correctly interpreted what $I$ was asking. And just for the record, did the Board previously decide -- make a decision
about whether you met the variance requirements for this addition? Albeit in the front of the house, as opposed to behind the house, as it is now?

ADAM COSTA: So -- so --
WENDY LEISERSON: Was there a decision on that?
ADAM COSTA: So the Board did not apply the variance standard previously, because the Board -- the Board's position, as continues to be my position, as I just explained, was that absent an encroachment into a setback like a rear yard setback, there was no need for a variance.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay.
ADAM COSTA: That the addition -- the addition could be accomplished by way of special permit for nonconformities.

WENDY LEISERSON: I do remember that decision, of which I was a part, but I did not remember, because I think there were a few filings, or at least there were a few different hearings -- whether or not there has been any separate decision on the variance criteria as some petitioners do bring alternative petitions. So.

ADAM COSTA: We have -- through the Chair, we have suggested early on in one of the early iterations of the
proposal, which was designed somewhat differently, we were going to be encroaching upon setbacks.

And so, initially our proposal going way back did incorporate both a variance and special permit. We worked very hard to eliminate the need for the variance, thinking that that was the better course, only to find out that that's not what our immediately adjacent neighbors preferred. And so, now we're back with a variance request.

WENDY LEISERSON: Okay. Thank you for clarifying the legality of this matter for me. That's all I have for you now.

ADAM COSTA: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
I just have one. Did $I$ hear this is a condominium?

ADAM COSTA: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And do you have a letter in the file from a company - I assume there's a condominium association?

ADAM COSTA: So we actually -- we actually did one
better, Mr. Chair. We have a letter in the file that's signed by the owners -- both of the owners at each of the other three single-family homes advising the condominium. So every --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay.
ADAM COSTA: -- everything's on our website.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine. I saw those. I didn't realize they were everyone. Okay. Thank you. If there are no more questions from members of the Board, I will open it up to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap it up.

And as I said previously, we do have some letters in the file. There's no reason to repeat those letters. We have those, but if there's anything else you'd like to
offer, please raise your hand.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Robert Hopkins?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Hopkins?
ROBERT HOPKINS: There we go. Can you hear me? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

ROBERT HOPKINS: Good evening. Robert Hopkins.
I'm an attorney at Phillips \& Angley, One Washington, Boston. Our office represents Steven Wu and Kate Hu of 18 Bellis Circle in Cambridge. They were the Plaintiffs who appealed the prior special permit.

We're here tonight to support this revised project and to thank the applicants, their team and Attorney Costa for being willing and open to the design changes that are before you today that address my client's concerns.

So we are here in support of the project.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. That's all we have for public comment. Any discussion by members of the Board, please? No? Are we ready for a motion?

WENDY LEISERSON: I'm sorry. This is Wendy
Leiserson. I -- it's -- I'm inclined to support this -this settlement, this application.

And I just had a question for you in terms of the
grounds as you read them into the record for a vote, which is whether or not when you get to the variance, I don't know if you can make a finding of hardship with regard to the project itself or not, if it's not in the current application, but that's my question for you. So.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me figure that one out. Do you have a suggestion? I mean, the variance request, the literal enforcement, the substantial hardship is really owing to the circumstances, the shape, not so much the topography but the shape of the lot. It's that along with the spaces they're trying to add, that force them over the rear lot line.

WENDY LEISERSON: Yes. And I would say because of the situation of the abutting properties and the -JIM MONTEVERDE: Sure. WENDY LEISERSON: -- fact that this is a singlefamily in a, or a freestanding unit also called a singlefamily in their case in a condo association. That makes it more complicated to site this -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

WENDY LEISERSON: -- you know, addition -JIM MONTEVERDE: Well --

WENDY LEISERSON: -- which we've found to be justified. So.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me file the motion --

WENDY LEISERSON: Something like that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- and feel free to chip in. Are we ready for a motion? And I'll take the variance first?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Ready for a motion.
STEVEN NG: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready? The Chair makes the motion to grant the relief for the requirements of the ordinance under the sections cited for the variance, which is really an encroachment on the rear of setback that really is engendered by the odd shape of the light -- the lot, the light, lot -- and the result of the negotiations and legal discussions between the owners and their objecting neighbors that basically forces the footprint of this addition over that rear setback.

WENDY LEISERSON: And that hardship was found to support the need for this addition, is what I was suggesting.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, correct. The hardship was found to support the need for the addition on the condition
that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Culato residence" prepared by "NEDC Design \& Construction" dated February 3, 2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. And for a vote? Zarya? ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Wendy? WENDY LEISERSON: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina? MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. [All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: So that variance is granted. And on the special permit, the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under the sections cited in the application -- those being Article 8, Section 822.2.c and Section -- Article 10 Section 10.4 for special permit.

The Chair makes a motion -- Section -- yep. And
on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Culotta residence" and prepared by NEDC Design \& Construction dated February 3, 2023 and initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On the motion, Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Five votes in favor. The special permit is granted. Thank you. Congratulations.

ADAM COSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, members. Appreciate your consideration and your accommodation under the circumstances. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Good luck.

WENDY LEISERSON: Thank you for being good
neighbors.
ADAM COSTA: Really appreciate it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What happened with Hutchinson?
Did they withdraw, or do we have to cancel it or continue it
or --
(8:19 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case we'll hear is 218487 -- 15 Hutchinson Street. And we are in receipt of a letter from Attorney Sarah Rhatigan asking for a continuance for the above matter. We can do that. They wanted to continue to June 29.

Does that work for each of the Board members, June 29? This will go to 6:00. Steven, that work for you? STEVEN NG: Yep. That works for me. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Matina? Well, actually, this is a case not heard.

STEVEN NG: Oh. Don't worry about it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I'll make a motion, then, to continue this matter until June 29, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of June 29 -- yeah, June 29, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said
waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services.

We ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from the Monday before hearing. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on the June 29 date.

Also that if there are any new submittals or changes to the drawings, that those would be on file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the hearing date, June 29.

And also if there are any changes to the
dimensional form and potentially the supporting statement also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter until June 29, this will be a case not heard, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
(8:22 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case we'll hear is No. 180779 -- 41 Hampshire Street. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this? The proponent wishes to speak?

JOE HANLEY: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair. Attorney Joe Hanely, McDermott, Quilty \& Miller, 28 State Street in Boston here representing the applicant.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Go right ahead.
JOE HANLEY: Thank you, sir. I do have a presentation, if you could pull it up. And in the meantime, just to introduce you to -- again, myself and the team that I have with us, and I am the Zoning and Attorney for the Dante Alighieri, which is the applicant owner, the Italian Cultural Center at 41 Hampshire Street.

I am joined this evening by Guy Carbone, who is a member of the Building Committee and a former Board of Director Chair of the Dante.

Also with me is Anthony Pisani, who is the Project Architect, who will take you through the presentation. But

I'd like to take you first through the first slides here, just to give you some background and talk about the relief that is being requested.

So the next slide, please?
41 Hampshire Street is a corner lot with two
fronts. It's at the intersection of Cardinal Medeiros Way and Hampshire Street. You'll see here in the middle this is the existing structure of the Dante Alighieri, which is -again -- an Italian cultural and education non-profit that started at this site here and serves Massachusetts and New England back in 1985.

Next slide, please?
So a little more of a bird's eye view. You'll see the canopy down there, which is the existing structure of the Dante. We'll tell you a little bit more about its history and the uniqueness of the site on the next slide, please.

So this is a survey site plan, again, that shows you the unique shape of the property with the existing structure on it.

Also existing parking that is accessed off
Cardinal Medeiros Avenue, and a vast amount of land. The
proposal is to keep the existing structure, and to add on to it with a new structure, which you'll see in the presentation.

Next slide, please?
Just to give you an overview of the project. So the site is approximately 34,907 square feet of land. The existing Dante structure is approximately 12,800 square feet. It's existing nonconforming structure built in 1985 for the Dante Alighieri Society, which has continued to operate successfully as a non-profit cultural center.

Since that, Pietro Belluschi was the famed architect of this building back in 1980, which was the groundbreaking -- and, again, opened in 1985. Its existing height is approximately $30^{\prime}$. It has a front yard setback along Hampshire Street, which is important for the relief requested of 5'2" and 63'3" along Cardinal Medeiros Avenue.

Our underlying zoning is a Residence $C-1$. And the proposal, which you'll see in greater detail, is really necessary and designed in order to enhance and sustain the long-term operations of the Dante Alighieri at this site, which is a neighborhood and regional serving non-profit, cultural educational use to continue to do the good work
that they do, but to have enhanced and expanded space, and then bring the Italian -- the US Italian Consulate into the upper level, which has synergy, obviously, with the Dante Alighieri. They've been programming together for quite some time.

And so, the Italian Consulate would then open on the second level and have its cultural and government offerings there as well.

The relief requested we need one special permit and two variances, which you'll see in detail and why it's justified under the circumstances. The first is the definition of gross floor area.

We're seeking a special permit in order to exempt approximately 3343 square feet of the development in the basement, in conformity with the special permit requirements. Again, the proposed development -- the new development of 12200 square feet.

And the second is a variance for the General Office use. We had quite a lengthy discussion with the Law Department and with the Inspectional Services Department.

We considered this to be a super governmental use, as we understand that US Consulates are -- fall under the US

State Department. However, that was not the interpretation of the City. It was to designate the Italian Consulate portion as General Office Use. And so, that is what we're looking for a variance from.

It is approximately 5600 square feet. So that variance is not for the entire use of the building. It's just for the Italian Consulate, which obviously relates to the long-existing use of the Italian Cultural Center being the Dante.

And your variance standards allow for a financial hardship. This project would provide an endowment for the Dante for the non-profit, which would -- again -- allow it to continue to remain and serve the community in the region.

Finally, under Article 5, Section 531, the existing conditions -- existing nonconformity -- front yard requirement is 37. Our existing is 5.2, 5'2". Our proposed is 5'2". So we're not expanding that nonconformity. And it is necessitated, again, by both the unique shape of the site itself, but also the fact that the existing structure is remaining.

So this is not a situation where we're D the site. The existing Dante structure remains, and then is added onto
as part of the overall development.
With that, I would like to hand it off to Anthony Pisani from Pisani + Associates. Tell you a little bit more about the history of this site, the Dante, and more importantly, what is being proposed.

Anthony?
ANTHONHY PISANI: Thank you. To begin with, this is a drawing that we found done by Pietro Belluschi.

JOE HANLEY: Yes.
ANTHONY PISANI: And in anticipation --
JOE HANLEY: If you go to the next slide, sorry?
ANTHONY PISANI: Next slide?

JOE HANLEY: Yep.
ANTHONY PISANI: There we go.
JOE HANLEY: Thank you.
ANTHONY PISANI: I'm sorry. Yeah. Thank you.
Belluschi anticipated, and then Dante anticipated, in the future there would be the need for an expansion. And the future project was basically put on Cardinal Medeiros, leaving the existing building.

Next slide, please?
This is the existing current condition. There is
the existing building. And the ground-floor of the existing building is basically a large auditorium with a minor service facility such as a very small kitchen and administrative offices.

The second floor, which we'll get to in a minute -- contains classrooms and a library, wherein the day-to-day Italian classes and cultural events are held. Again, as Joe mentioned, the size of the site is 34,907 square feet. The existing FAR is 0.38. There is also parking, existing parking for 30 vehicles.

Next slide, please?
The program included the need for cultural
exhibition space, and which is shown on the ground floor of the new building. In the basement, we will also -- you'll also see a number of classrooms.

What we tried to do is keep the building as minimal size on the visible -- minimal size. We were able to put classrooms in the basement along with a small theater that seats approximately 50 people in seminar rooms, as well as the upgraded and enhanced mechanicals.

The parking got reduced on the ground floor to 21 vehicles. The building has a couple unique situations.

That is that the ground floor is smaller than the upper floor.

Next slide, please?
Next slide, please? Thank you.
This actually shows the basement. And you can see we are interconnecting both buildings. There's a large -there's a large requirement for a mechanical space to upgrade the systems for the existing building as well as providing mechanical for the new building.

You can see the classrooms and seminar rooms in the new building. There is a vertical connection from the basement through to the first floor to the second floor of the new building.

The existing building has a small elevator that goes from the basement to first down to second. We're also able to increase the number of bathrooms, et cetera.

Next slide, please?
Okay. This is -- you can see the second floor, which would consist of basically office space. The first floor has an area of 3000 square feet. The second floor has an area of 5600 square feet. We're able to achieve that, because we have a massive cantilever. The building will be
built with what is known as a Vierendeel truss. And in further drawings you'll see that.

Next slide, please?
This is the second floor of the building. And you can see the first floor stops at the right set of stasis. And the second floor continues over that, which also provides a degree of covered parking.

Next slide?
The roof plan. And the roof plan will be treated as a green roof.

Next slide, please?
This gives a sense of the height. The existing building will not exceed 35'. The -- excuse me, the proposed building will not exceed 35'. What's important is on the right, there's Cardinal Medeiros Way. Our building is set back, but the brise soleil comes right to the property line.

And there's a $5^{\prime}$ to 7 ' setback in the new building is elevated, so that it enjoys the same floor elevation as the existing building.

The existing building in the previous drawings you could see that there were stairs required to get to it also
that did have -- does have a handicapped accessible ramp. The width of the new building is -- out to out is 58'. But that includes $2^{\prime}$ of the brise soleil. And the brise soleil -- basically shading devices -- and also in anticipation of the building should it become the Consulate that provides levels of security.

And the brise soleil rooms across the Hampshire Street elevation and the Cardinal Medeiros Way elevation: We had a major presentation to the abutters on May 9 of -what was it, 2000 --

JOE HANLEY: April --
ANTHONY PISANI: April.
JOE HANLEY: So March 9 of 2019 and April 22 of 2022 .

ANTHONY PISANI: Right.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.
ANTHONY PISANI: And one of the issues that the neighbors in back in Lilac Terrace raised were concerns about the visual overlook from the rear of the second floor across.

We made a commitment to them that as the project goes through detailed design drawings, we'd sit down with
them and make sure that there was no direct visual intrusion into the back of their houses.

Next slide, please?
Next slide?
Okay. This is a three-dimensional drawing that shows the project with the second-level terrace. And the curved seating that you see there is temporary. It's not permanent.

And the idea being that at major Italian festivals the second floor becomes the podium to address the audience. It allows for things like opera singers and things that are going on now within the existing building. It allows some of those activities to in fact move outside.

So it activates -- helps give the plaza full activation. So it's not simply sitting there.

Next?
Okay. This is an elevation of the Medeiros elevation. You can see that the bottom level stops, and the second level continues over it. We have a three-dimensional drawing, and we'll show you how the Vierendeel trusses will work.

Next slide, please?

Simply a view at the plaza level from the scene I mentioned earlier looking up at the total elevation. And again, you know, a suggestion that four daily occasional activities, movable seats are brought out and events can take place.

Next slide, please?
A three-dimensional drawing from the Hampshire Street side. They -- obviously some trees are going to be required to be taken down. The caliper of those trees will be replicated as we get further along.

Next slide, please?
JOE HANLEY: Yeah. Thank you, Anthony.
ANTHONY PISANI: Yeah.
JOE HANLEY: I'll jump in here again. Mr.
Chairman, for the record: Attorney Joe Hanley, McDermott, Quilty \& Miller, 28 State Street in Boston. This is our final slide, but I did want to mention this as it relates to the ordinance, and the requirement that there not be a public detriment as a result of relief to be granted, should we be fortunate enough.

In this situation, you know, this is the result of over four years of really detailed community engagement by

Mr. Carbone, as well as the President of the Dante Alighieri sent a letter to the neighbors back in February of 2019, and hosted an open house at the site on a Saturday, so that everyone who was interested could have the opportunity to attend. And we had good attendance.

So I think as Mr. Pisani said, we also received some good input and support. And that has been integrated both into the site plan and the building plan.

And then another meeting was conducted on April 30 in 2022. The response has been overwhelmingly positive. I will say that, you know, the Dante has been serving and a part of this community since 1985.

And as Anthony mentioned, a lot of these uses are already something that the neighborhood is accustomed to. But it's very important for the organization, especially should we have this opportunity to continue here long-term that we reengage and have constant contact with the neighbors.

So I'd like to thank the community and the folks that took the time to participate in that with us.

And the next slide, please?
I believe just says thank you and looking forward
to engaging in a question and answer with you. Thank you. That concludes our presentation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you very much. Any questions or comments from members of the Board?

WENDY LEISERSON: None from me.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I didn't see where the actual parking is. I know that you said that it was going to be reduced, and that -- you know, this is going to be office space, you know, eventually I guess for a Consulate. But I don't see on the plans where your actual parking is. I see chairs where some of the parking used to be.

ANTHONY PISANI: If you could go back to page 8? It's to the right. You can see the existing curb cut. That stays, and the existing parking up against the right property line stays exactly as it is --

MATINA WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
ANTHONY PISANI: -- and that -- you can see there's parking under the cantilever, which would be if you take a look at this small -- can we --

JOE HANLEY: There you go, yep.
ANTHONY PISANI: There we go. We'll blow it up
for you. Yeah. So you can see the existing curb cut stays
exactly where it is. The --
MATINA WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
ANTHONY PISANI: Parking along the right property
line and actually the space -- the buffer -- stays exactly as it is. You can see the existing --

MATINA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.
ANTHONY PISANI: -- slide. And you can see that underneath the building, underneath the cantilever, there are two, four, five -- five spaces. And so, we did lose -obviously we lost some spaces from the 30 down to the 21. We also have spaces for bicycles, which you can see the upper part of the slide.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Mm-hm.
ANTHONY PISANI: That's covered bicycle parking. The -- what should be borne in mind is that the majority at the Dante happens in the evening. The Dante has an arrangement with the parking garage down the street. Guy? Maybe talk about that.

JOE HANLEY: Yeah, you know, if I could just jump in -- sorry, through the Chair, Mr. Chair. And again, Joe Hanley, McDermott Quilty \& Miller. And Ms. Williams, just to explain this, you know, over this four-year process we
worked very closely with Community Development, CDD, getting input from a planning standpoint.

As this Board is aware, the City actually changed its zoning during this time, and right before we filed, to eliminate the parking requirement altogether.

MATINA WILLIAMS: No, $I$ just couldn't see it.
JOE HANLEY: Yeah.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yeah. I just couldn't see it.
So I was --
JOE HANLEY: Yeah.

MATINA WILLIAMS: -- I just couldn't see it -- I
know you --
JOE HANLEY: Yeah.
MATINA WILLIAMS: -- eliminated a few, but that's -- that was fine. I just, it was small.

JOE HANLEY: Yeah. So same general location, improved condition. And then also modernizing, which this is part of -- you know, the Dante's been there since 1985, but it's also about improving and updating the site so that it is, you know, also responsive to modern ways of how folks come and go.

And obviously, the Italian Consulate being in the

Kendall Square area is --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. I think you've
answered the question.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
MATINA WILLIAMS: You've answered the question. JIM MONTEVERDE: Please.

JOE HANLEY: Sure. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

STEVEN NG: Just a quick question. Is the -- is it intended that the large piazza will be kind of expectable by the public?

ANTHONY PISANI: Oh, absolutely.
STEVEN NG: Okay. Thank you.
ANTHONY PISANI: Yeah, the main entrance, if you will, is right at the corner of Hampshire and Cardinal Medeiros.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions from members? No? I have a few, please. The special permit asks exclusion of the basement area, which I can see why when you go to the dimensional form of the variance, but
can you explain or rationalize for us, help us understand that basement space?

I understand the basement space that serves the building -- mechanical equipment, electrical equipment, et cetera, storage. But the classrooms, the theater, the seminar room, toilet rooms, et cetera. could you explain why that should be excluded?

ANTHONY PISANI: Mr. Chair, if I may, the classrooms are included in the area, the new classrooms.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, really? In the basement tabulation?

ANTHONY PISANI: Yeah. Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: For the new addition?
ANTHONY PISANI: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the only thing is the -- so the exclusion is for mechanical, electrical, mechanical storage?

ANTHONY PISANI: Yes. During our meetings with the planners, we discovered that Cambridge counts, and we have also, in the FAR the covered space of a second-floor overhang.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, correct.

ANTHONY PISANI: So we have -- and our building has an overhang, so we have included the covered space at ground floor within our FAR.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So are you asking for exclusion of the basement FAR? I mean, the basement space you're describing -- the mechanical equipment -- doesn't count, right?

ANTHONY PISANI: Well, it's by special permit, the way that the -- I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, the way that the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, go ahead.
ANTHONY PISANI: -- yeah, the way that the special permit is written is, you know, to allow for that exemption. And the City was considering it to be floor area, as Mr. Pisani indicated under the definition.

And then because it's -- because of, you know, the nature of that area not being -- you know, being mechanical and overhang, then it's appropriate for the special permit, which is kind of --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, yeah, understood. I'm not -

ANTHONY PISANI: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- asking you about the
mechanical space. It's really -- I think the gist of it is the overhang.

ANTHONY PISANI: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? That you're really --
that's really what the special permit is about, if you don't -- if you're -- the basement space is already included in your tabulation. Is it really just the overhang that you need the special permit for? Or do you need it for both? ANTHONY PISANI: We need it for both. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. ANTHONY PISANI: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: And that goes to the second part of the question, which has to do with the variance, understanding the rationales for it, for them. If I look on the dimensional form -- I'm just trying to -- I just want to confirm -- what -- here are the tables: 5.31, what you're looking for variance for is the fact that the ordinance -your total area, your FAR, the ordinance allows 0.66 . You're currently at 0.37. You'll almost double that to 0.75. That's the -- that is one.

And the second looks like the setbacks on the right side, currently at 63' and change, 37 ' and required
and now 0. Is that correct? Those are the two pieces you need?

JOE HANLEY: Yeah. No FAR variance. The -- you know, so basically, we're able to get to the ordinance requires an FAR of 0.66, and when you exclude the basement area under the operation of the special permit, that puts us in compliance at 0.66. So it's not a variance. Now -JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, so what's requested on a dimensional form or what's stated as a requested condition, the 27,175 and does not deduct what you're looking for the special permit for? When I did the arithmetic, it seemed like it did, but help me.

JOE HANLEY: Yeah, maybe -- so the -JIM MONTEVERDE: I assume it gets worse. If you weren't granted the special permit, to exclude the areas, your floor area would increase, and your FAR would increase beyond the 0.75. Am I correct, or am I allowed to --

JOE HANLEY: Well, I mean, you would need a variance. So my understanding is that that amount of space, Anthony, which is 3000 that we were cited for total, is -let me get the number --
ANTHONY PISANI: If I may --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ANTHONY PISANI: -- Mr. Chairman and Joe, the
allowable: We are 0.38 FAR --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ANTHONY PISANI: -- existing condition. The allowable is 0.75.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Wow.
ANTHONY PISANI: With the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me stop you. Go back to your
dimensional form. And it says the ordinance is 0.66. Is that an error?

JOE HANLEY: Yes.
ANTHONY PISANI: No. That's -- yeah, go ahead, Tom.

TOM MILLER: Sorry, Mr. Chair. Tom Miller from McDermott, Quilty \& Miller working with Joe Hanley. Yes, that is an error in the dimensional form. The ordinance requirements pursuant to Table 51 for this zoning district is 0.75 FAR.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay.
TOM MILLER: The numbers seem to have been flopped between the two. With the exclusion of the gross floor area
in the basement, we would go from -- and we would be below the ordinance at 0.66 .

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
TOM MILLER: Those two numbers seem to have been transposed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So they're just reversed?
TOM MILLER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
TOM MILLER: That's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's with the exclusion of the basement or your overhang space?

TOM MILLER: Yes, yeah. The --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay.
THOMAS MILLER: The fact that that overhang space is counted towards FAR is necessitated to the exclusion of the FAR in the basement. That -- that space in the basement is -- is -- you know, necessary for the operation of the -the buildings as well as the function of the buildings as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
THOMAS MILLER: Its intended purpose.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And the basis for the
variance, and there are three conditions: the literal enforcement involve a substantial hardship, hardship is owing to, soil condition and shape.

So we basically say is there a plan possible for the building that would reduce it so you wouldn't need either the dimensional requirements for the variance?

TOM MILLER: So -- I'm sorry, I can continue, unless Joe would like --

JOE HANLEY: Yeah, go ahead, Tom.
TOM MILLER: So basically -- and Anthony can speak more to how this directly affects the sight lines, but the siting of this building was purposeful, as this original building -- the original structure was designed and constructed by a noted architect and former professor at MIT, and has historical -- you know, or significant value existing.

This new building was sited in a way to not detract from that in compliance with the original plans, as Anthony stated earlier.

In order to site this building so you didn't require a -- this setback variance, we would have had to -one -- possibly block the existing structure, and two
significantly reduce the onsite parking, which, you know, while --

ANDREW HATCH: Right.
TOM MILLER: -- we can deal with less, we do -- we cannot eliminate entirely. It would have -- resiting the building so it didn't require the strengths would have -it's not -- not feasible. Which, you know, for a more technical reason Anthony can go into if necessary. JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the rationale for the -that basically complies with the substantial hardship. JOE HANLEY: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the original -TOM MILLER: Yeah. That's the front yard variance, yeah, meaning the -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. TOM MILLER: -- existing building is 5'2" back, right? And then the extension, which is the new building right above it, is also 5'2" back, correct?

JOE HANLEY: At the lower level it varies between 5' and 7', but --

TOM MILLER: Okay.
JOE HANLEY: Right essentially up to the property
line.

TOM MILLER: Okay.
JOE HANLEY: Along Cardinal Medeiros.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. No, I think -- I think you've answered my questions. Thank you.

TOM MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

WENDY LEISERSON: No. Thank you, Mr. Chair for catching that discrepancy in the Table.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. You're welcome. If that's all the questions we have, I will open it up to public comments. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

And just to begin, we have three letters in the
file in support and no letters in opposition. So if you're going to speak, can we have a letter from you in the file? There's no reason to repeat yourself. But anybody want to speak?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Paul Griffin?
PAUL GRIFFIN: Yes, hello?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi. We can hear you.
PAUL GRIFFIN: Okay, great. Buona sera, tutti. My name is Paul Griffin. My husband and I own the property at 12 Lilac Court in Cambridge, which directly abuts the Dante Alighieri Center.

I would first like to note that I had the good fortune of living in Italy for five years in the nineties, and I studied Italian and enjoyed concerts at the Dante Alighieri Center as well.

In general, I think that we support this. It's a beautiful building. My concern is how the debt is going to be serviced on this. The existing structure, if you go to the website --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, could you repeat that?
Were you asking how the --
PAUL GRIFFIN: Well, the existing structure --
yes, how the new building is going to be -- not involve the construction, but certainly the maintenance of the building and the -- how the debt is going to be serviced.

It seems like the existing structure with the existing building, a fair amount of the income is from the rental hall, which seats 200 people and has a chef's -- a caterer's kitchen and bar, and also some income generated by the parking.

My concern is that the new structure will add a financial responsibility to what $I$ believe is a relatively small non-profit organization. And is the new building --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Griffin --
PAUL GRIFFIN: -- you've rented out --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Mr. Griffin, if I can
interrupt you for a moment?
PAUL GRIFFIN: Yes, sir.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think the questions that you're asking are really ones that the Board can opine on or are about to vote on. So they're really not part of our purview. We have the simple facts that are in front of us, and that are in the application, and don't address the type
of issue that you're talking about.
PAUL GRIFFIN: Now, could I ask specifically if
the proposed building will be allowed to be rented out as a rental hall such as the existing?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for rephrasing that.
PAUL GRIFFIN: Does that make sense?
JIM MONTEVERDE: That sounds good.
PAUL GRIFFIN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you have a response?
SPEAKER UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, Mr. Chair, so --
GUY CARBONE: May I, Mr. Chairman?
SPEAKER UNIDENTIFIED: Yeah, okay.
GUY CARBONE: This is Guy Carbone, Chairman of the Building Committee. The first floor, if you see it here, at one end is a small little café that serves the exhibition area to the right, and that's where the Dante intends to have little exhibits, you'll see it.

The little cafeteria -- see the double doors -- is only to serve the exhibition area. The only rental space that we have is because we need an endowment. And that will be the Consulate on the second floor.

And our intention is to work something out with
the Italian government, and certainly not market-rate or rental; we're interested in the symbiotic relationship between the Consulate and the Cultural Center.

This particular consulate in Boston is the largest aggregation of Italian scientists and engineers in America is right here in Kendall Square. And -- they --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can -- sorry to interrupt you -but again, I think we're getting into territory that this Board --

GUY CARBONE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- really has no purview. So
thank you for your response about the rental.
GUY CARBONE: Well, so --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Griffin, did that answer your question?

PAUL GRIFFIN: Yes, well, is it -- if the rental is limited to a potential consulate, that's not a problem whatsoever. I think that's very welcome.

But if it's open to the general public as a rental hall such as the existing building, I think that would be problematic, and any approval letter from the ZBA I think should address that in writing. It should not be rentals to
the public --
JOE HANLEY: Yeah. So -- so if I may here, so our application is for a Use Variance or General Office on the second level of this new and expanded structure, or the US Italian Consulate.

PAUL GRIFFIN: Okay.
JOE HANLEY: So any other use is not covered by that? Is that what you're -- because of the application?

PAUL GRIFFIN: Well, you were talking about the -the -- if it's in the zoning. So it absolutely is in the zoning, and by virtue of having the Italian Consulate as a tenant on the second floor, that is a revenue offset. That's a very -- one of the very points of this application.

But there's no agreement in writing. What if for some -- this doesn't happen, or it doesn't happen for several years? We know what it's like trying to do business with governments. What then? Will it lie fallow? Will it be rented out to somebody else?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Griffin, I need you to confine yourself to three minutes, to ask a question that the proponent can answer that's relative to our Zoning opinion? And just so we can move on to anyone else who
wishes to speak.
So I'll give you the chance to wrap it up.
PAUL GRIFFIN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Make a final statement.
PAUL GRIFFIN: Well, please just -- maybe someone
could educate me to where I'm wrong on this. I -- is this limited --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you have a final question?
PAUL GRIFFIN: Yes. It -- under the current request, will this property be able to be rented out to an entity other than the Italian Consulate? Is that a legitimate question, sir?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I see no -- let me ask Staff. I see nothing in the proposal that says one way or the other.

JOE HANLEY: So the Cultural Center is an existing, nonconforming use. You follow, right? Under that use, which is still in place, remains in place and does not require relief by this Board, they're entitled to have events, to rent out their space as it relates to the principal use of the Italian Cultural Center. That's how they've operated bf.

I -- the way I heard your question at the end was
you would be pleased if there was another revenue source, and that is in fact what the case is is to as part of this development is to add a use and occupancy for General Office for the Italian Consulate, of which we've been working with for four years on this project.

But I think Mr. Carbone can speak to they have -JIM MONTEVERDE: I --

PAUL GRIFFIN: -- decades of collaboration with them, so --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I'm going to -- I'm going to limit everyone to the way beyond the three minutes we've had for the comment.

PAUL GRIFFIN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Mr. Griffin, my apologies, but we're going to move on to anyone else.

PAUL GRIFFIN: I appreciated very much your time and my ignorance of the matter, and I apologize. Have a good evening.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. Glad you could speak. Anyone else? No, that's the extent of the people calling in.
We are in receipt of -- Mr. Griffin, if I
understand correctly, I'm in receipt of your letter that basically says you're not opposed to the proposed development? Is that correct?

PAUL GRIFFIN: That is incorrect.
JIM MONTEVERDE: With conditions?

PAUL GRIFFIN: Well -- with conditions, I would say. Yes. Absolutely.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. And then we have a letter from David Marr, President and CEO of the Cambridge Chamber of Commerce, who is speaking in support. And Susan Robinson Grillo, who is in support. And Gilberto Russo, who is also in support. That's everything we have in the file.

Members of the Board, any questions or comments before we go to a motion?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready for a motion?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Yes.
STEVEN NG: Ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. This is a two-part.
And I think I'm going to do the special permit first, since that deals with excluding area. Otherwise, your dimensional
form for the variance is all out of whack. So.
The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under sections -- and this is the special permit -- FAR, Article 2 Section 2, definition of floor area, and Article 10 Section 1040 special permit --
[Side conversation]
PAUL GRIFFIN: This is in the back.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I beg your pardon?
WENDY LEISERSON: Mr. Griffin, you need to mute yourself.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me restart that. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under sections -- this will be the special permit -- FAR, Article 2 Section 2 and Article 10 Section 1040, special permit, on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Dante Alighieri Cultural Center" prepared by Pisani + Associates Architects and dated July 22, 2021. Is that the correct date, proponents?

JOE HANLEY: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. On the motion, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor for the special permit. And then for variance; the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under sections -- these are all in the variance -the Article 5 Section 5.31 dimensional requirements, Article 4 Section 4.34.d, General Office Use, and Article 10 Section 10.3 for the variance on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Dante Alighieri Cultural Center, Pisani + Associates Architects" dated July 22, 2021 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements, and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application, noting that inversion of the requirement for the FAR in the proposed. And on the motion, Zarya?

ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy.
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. It is approved, both the variance and the special permit. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER UNIDENTIFIED Paul?: Thank you. Have a good evening, Mr. Chair.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You too.
(9:10 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Wendy Leiserson, Matina Williams, Stephen Ng, and Zarya Miranda JIM MONTEVERDE: And last but not least, the next case we'll hear is -- oh, Case No. 212952 -- 3 Hastings Square. Is the proponent -- I see you, are you ready to identify yourself and then speak?

DIANA PAURO: Yeah. Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. This is Diana Pauro, with Rebel Builders. I'm going to make it short so everybody can have a good evening started.

We are applying for a variance for a window well on this property. And we also made an application for a special permit for a skylight in the attic, just to make the life of our clients easier.

Currently the stairs to their attic are practically impossible to be walked by our clients into their little office space.

This week we actually made a change of plans, and we are -- we want to pursue actually for a special permit a variance to get a dormer there, just because of, like,
concerns about the energy-efficiency of the big skylight versus a dormer with a smaller window.

But it will be a little bit more also elevated look with like the slate roof that they have in the property. But because we couldn't make it to the deadline of Monday, I'll, like, send you an amendment of the drawings for what this dormer structure is, we just asked for an extension for our next Board meeting, so we can like present amended drawings.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So a continuance -- you're looking for a continuance?

DIANA PAURO: Yes, exactly. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And where are we at? June 15? Let's do 6/15. Can you do -- does June 15 work for you?

DIANA PAURO: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The proponent?
DIANA PAURO: Yes. 6/15, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And this is a case -- this is a case not heard, so we do have this group that's fine, but if not, it's not necessary, that's fine. Let me move to a motion to continue.

Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to June 15 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of June 15 and the time of 6:00 p.m.

DIANA PAURO: 6:00 p.m.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Also on -- 6:00 p.m. Yeah, sorry.

Also in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services Department.

And we ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming.

SPEAKER UNIDENTIFIED: Waiver? Week from this coming Monday?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case. We would ask that you sign it and get it back to us. This will allow us to hear the case on June 15.

Also that if there are any new submittals -- there will be -- or changes to the drawings -- there will be -that those be on file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to

June 15.

And also if there are any changes to the
dimensional form and potentially the supporting statements, that they also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue, then, Zarya?
ZARAYA MIRANDA: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Wendy?
WENDY LEISERSON: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five in favor. The case will be continued. Thank you.

DIANA PAURO: Thank you so much. Have a good night, everybody.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Goodnight, everyone.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it. Yep. Goodnight,
everybody. Thank you for --
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.

WENDY LEISERSON: Go Celtics.
COLLECTIVE: Goodnight.
[9:15 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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