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\begin{aligned}
& \text { PR O C E D I N G S } \\
& \text { (6:00 p.m.) } \\
& \text { Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Virginia Keesler, Bill } \\
& \text { Boehm, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, and } \\
& \text { Michael LaRosa } \\
& \text { JIM MONTEVERDE: I will be chairing tonight's } \\
& \text { meeting. Pursuant to Chapter } 2 \text { of the Acts of } 2023 \text { adopted } \\
& \text { by a Massachusetts General Court and approved by the Board } \\
& \text { Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation } \\
& \text { at meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals. } \\
& \text { This meeting is being audio and video recorded, } \\
& \text { and is broadcast on cable television Channel } 22 \text {, within } \\
& \text { Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the } \\
& \text { proceedings. }
\end{aligned}
$$

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will please state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you can also find instruction on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board member attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Virginia Keesler?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Bill Boehm?
BILL BOEHM: Bill Boehm present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Steven Ng?
STEVEN NG: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Michael LaRosa?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Daniel Hidalgo?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present, and audible.
OLIVIA RATAY: Jim Monteverde?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde present.

Thank you.
We're going to start this evening with BZA elections for new Chair and Vice Chair. This is an interim. It will be from now until the end of the calendar year -well, interim. It's part of a year.

Is that the intention? Yeah. I'm assuming that we'll vote for Chair and Vice Chair from now into July through the end of 2023, and then if tradition holds, then in the first meeting of 2024, we'll do another election for Chair and Vice Chair for that calendar year of 2024. That's the way I understand what we're doing tonight.

So that said, usually the Board has asked if there are any volunteers or if anyone wants to nominate one of us for the Chair. This is amongst the members, not the associate members, unfortunately. So that's myself, Steven, Virginia, Daniel, and Bill. Yeah, this is the cast of characters for this action.

So do we have any volunteers for Chair?
[Pause]
Yeah, there's usually a hush from the crowd. Any nominations for Chair?
[Pause]
Okay.
BILL BOEHM: I'll make a nomination.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Identify yourself and make a nomination, please.

BILL BOEHM: So I'm Bill Boehm and I'll nominate

Jim Monteverde to be the Chair.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And I will accept that
for this time frame. Members of the Board, we should vote.
Anyone in favor? Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Boy, I really thought you were stepping up there. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Bill, I think you voted
already. And I'll vote for myself, so it's unanimous.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Vice Chair: Any volunteers for Vice Chair,
please? Vice Chair steps in if I as the Chair either have to recuse myself from a particular case because I have a direct connection to it, or if I'm ill, can't attend a meeting, or any other reason. That's the Vice Chair role. Would anyone like to take a try at Vice Chair? STEVEN NG: Jim, I'm more confident with that role than the -- with your suggestion of the Chair, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEVEN NG: -- would be Vice Chair.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, if you're volunteering
that's great. I'm happy to do that. Members of the Board,
can we vote on Steven of Vice Chair, please? Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor. Steven, I assume you'll vote for yourself?
STEVEN NG: I guess so.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right.
STEVEN NG: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven, thank you. All right.
That concludes elections. Thank you.
(6:06 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Steven Ng, and Michael LaRosa JIM MONTEVERDE: The first case I'm going to call is Case No. 209310 -- 65 Sparks Street -- Unit 4. ADAM DASH: Good evening, Mr. Chair.

Congratulations on your election.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you, yeah.
ADAM DASH: Attorney Adam Dash, 48 Grove Street in
Somerville. I represent the applicants in this matter regarding Sparks Street. With me also is Kiersten KerbyPatel and Feanil Patel from the applicants.

We are seeking tonight a continuance for cases 206407, 209310, and 215873 to create revised plans which would be acceptable to an abutter that we have.

We had hoped to have that ready for tonight, but discussions with the abutter and then development of the revised plans and the July Fourth holiday in between, we were not able to get those in in time for Monday to have them dealt with today.

Once the revised plans are received, we want to
provide them to the abutter for their review, and then file them with the City and then come back to you.

I would note that the matter was continued at the last Board meeting to tonight due to concerns raised by the Board, and that the plan revision we hoped would alleviate those concerns as well.

So we feel that since this would be much more efficient and save everybody a lot of time and energy if we and the abutter come to a resolution and come to a mutually agreeable plan, that also alleviates the Board concerns that this would be a warranted continuance.

And as before, if this revised plan application is approved, then the other two applications that are associated with it are rendered moot. But until that happens, we need all three continued. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So the final I have in front of me, I just want to confirm the case number that you're asking for the continuance on.

ADAM DASH: There are three.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Just, can I --
ADAM DASH: They're all on the agenda one after the other tonight, but we need continuances.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You need to continue all three?

ADAM DASH: We do, because we don't know what's going -- obviously, one would hope that the Board would approve the plan, and then we would be good to go on that one application.

But if not, then the other applications, because we don't want to end up not being able to come back. We don't want to necessarily dismiss the other applications, until we know what the Board's pleasure is on the revision, which we don't have filed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. What do we have for a date? September 28? Members of the Board, can you all be in attendance?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, that's fine for me.
STEVEN NG: That date's fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Attorney Dash, does that work for you and your client?

ADAM DASH: I have no plans, so sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Virginia, are you available September 28?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes, I am available.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Yes, I am.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Very good. So let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to September 28 on the condition that petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of September 28, 2023, and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also in furtherance, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco and Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals, changes to drawings, that those will be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the September 28 hearing.

On the motion then to continue this matter and taking the first case in the agenda, so that's 209310, so on the motion to continue, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Bill Boehm in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The matter is accepted
unanimously. All right. Let me go on to the next case.
(6:11 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Same 65 Sparks Street. This is BZA Case 215873.

ADAM DASH: Adam Dash again, 48 Grove Street for the applicants. This will be the same as before, and for the reasons that I stated earlier that all three need to be continued as companion cases -- one with the revised plan as approved, the others will be rendered moot and can be dismissed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to September 28 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of September 28, and the new time at 6:00 p.m.

Also in furtherance, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign it and return it to us by a
week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals, changes to drawings, that those would be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the September 28 hearing. And also, if there are any changes to the dimensional form and potentially the supporting statements, they also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion, then, to continue this matter -and this is Case 215873 -- Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel? DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. [All vote YES] JIM MONTEVERDE: It's unanimous.
(6:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Moving on to same case, variation on a theme: Case No. 206407.

ADAM DASH: This is Attorney Adam Dash, 48 Grove Street. This is the same as the prior two, for the reasons previously described.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter to September 28 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of September 28, and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also in furtherance, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals, changes
to drawings, that those would be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the September 28, 2023, hearing.

And also, if there are any changes to the
dimensional form or potentially the supporting statement, they also be changed and submitted along with the new documents.

On the motion then to continue this matter -- and this one is Case 206407, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: Bill Boehm in favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's unanimous. Thank you.
ADAM DASH: Thank you. I appreciate the Board's indulgence on this. And we will see you then. Have a good night.
(6:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA-208873 --231-235 Third Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to be heard on this matter?

DANIEL ANDERSON: Yes. Dan Anderson, 1972 Mass Ave, Cambridge, a Partner at Anderson Porter Design.

Mr. Chair and members of the Board, yes regarding this case, we are requesting a continuance.

We are making good progress with the Cambridge Historic Commission and neighbors but have not yet reached a resolution on the demolition application that's in place. They are considered significant, and we're looking at their decision to say not preferably preserved in light of the proposed project. So we're making good progress but are not yet in a position to have cleared the approvals with the Historic Commission.

So if possible, if September 28 is workable for members of the Board, I would very much appreciate that that continuance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. We can do September 28 if that works for you.

ADAM DASH: Absolutely.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Okay. Let me make a motion, then, to continue this matter -- and this is Case 208873 -- 231-235 Third Street -- to continue this matter to September 28, 2023, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of September 28, 2023, and the time of 6:00 p.m.

Also, in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver of the statutory requirement for a hearing. Said waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

We ask that you sign it and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this particular case.

Also, if there are any new submittals or changes to the drawings, or changes to the dimensional form or potentially any supporting statements, that they all be changed and submitted along with the new documents and filed by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the September 28, 2023
hearing.
On the motion, then, to continue this matter,
Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's unanimous. The case is continued.

DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome. Don't go too
far.
DAN ANDERSON: I will not.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Now.
DAN ANDERSON: Mr. Chair?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, sir.
DAN ANDERSON: If I might request, the next case, we would prefer if it's possible to hear the subsequent
application, the one that's first --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAN ANDERSON: -- on the agenda? Really the case that is in front of you on the Continued Agenda really hinges on the outcome of the next submitted case. The -we've been working with the neighbors for really over two years now. And there's a strong preference in support for that next case.

And so, rather than going through a continuation, it's entirely possible that we could withdraw that, depending on the outcome.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Understood.
DAN ANDERSON: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We will take -- and that one is scheduled on our agenda as first on the regular agenda at 6:30. That's the 225347 -- 8 Winter Street. So we will just have to take a break until 6:30, come back, we'll take that one first.

DAN ANDERSON: That's fine, unless you'd like to take other cases. I know that -- any, at your discretion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope, that's the end of our Continued Agenda, so we have to wait anyway for the new

```
    cases. So --
```

                            DAN ANDERSON: Very good.
                            JIM MONTEVERDE: -- you'll have until 6:30. Come
    on back.
            DAN ANDERSON: I'm not going anywhere; I'll see
    you at 6:30.
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
        DAN ANDERSON: Thank you.
        JIM MONTEVERDE: See you all at 6:30.
        (BREAK)
    (6:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: We're on our Regular Agenda now,
and I will call Case 225347 -- 8 Winter Street. Mr.
Anderson?
DAN ANDERSON: Yes. Dan Anderson, Partner at Anderson Porter Design, 1972 Mass Ave.

So Mr. Chair, and members of the Board, we are here to present a case that is requesting two front yard setback variances. This is a project that we've been working on with neighbors -- ECPT and abutters -- for a little over two years.

There was very -- has been very strong support for this in its current configuration. We were looking at ways to seek no variances, but in order to really provide a safe and accommodating entry at Linehan Court, which is a private way existing $10 '$ in width, which has historically been used to access rear properties, parking and most recently in a parking lot off of Winter Street.

I think it's 12 Winter. The -- and the property's
off of Gore Street.
Neighbors have been very much in support, and asked us to pursue this scheme, which really in order to increase that opening width between 29 Third Street and the proposed project, pushes the structure to a zero-lot line at Winter Street. There's a green space and park there. It is actually matching up with the current condition, it's a Dunkin' Donuts site.

The existing structure is a zero-lot line at that location. 10 Winter, the abutting brick, three-story building is also a zero-lot line.

We've also been in front of Planning Staff. This is not applicable to project that needs Planning Board approval, but typically as part of our process, we solicited input from Staff. Planning Board Staff actually made the recommendation that we maintain that street line, that zerolot line at that line.

We are held back not quite 10' from Third Street, but we are keeping a full 20' drive lane clear of -- there's an emergency fire escape and trash bins, recycling bins that exist at the end of 29 -- that actually impinge on the existing $10^{\prime}$ Linehan Court.

So this basically opens up and then provides an additional green buffer. So we have $25^{\prime}$ clearance.

This is actually an increase in the width than we had initially presented to ECPT. So we've increased that to -- by several feet. The setback from Third Street is sufficient. We've reviewed this with Traffic and Planning for emergency vehicle access, for visibility to the corner.

As many of you are aware, if you use that Dunkin' Donuts, currently, that's just backout parking directly into Third Street. So this is a much safer condition. The curb will be repaired and replaced so that we can make pedestrian travel there much more safe.

And finally, the open space requirements have been able to be achieved within their respective zoning districts. This lot is split between BA and a C-1 district. So this solution allows the proposed residential use to exist in the massing entirely within the BA district. This is an echo overlay. So we're conforming with both lot area, dwelling unit, building height -- conforming in all respects except for these setbacks.

In addition, the open space at the rear becomes a real amenity for those buildings at the rear. So we've got
a significant amount of open space well in excess of the required dimensional open space.

I guess what I -- I think we have a condition, a minor condition from one of the abutters, NECPT, on asking to increase the $25^{\prime}$ width of paving a little bit deeper back into the lot. That's a condition that I support and our clients support. So if in your recommendation's that's a condition that you will be willing to make, that's something that we would be happy to conform with.

And I think that's the quick overview.
I guess the only thing $I$ would say is that this is an Inclusionary Housing project. So we're able in this scheme to provide three inclusionary units meeting the 20 percent net, including 1 three-bedroom family-sized unit. So I think there's a real give-back in this project to the community.

And it is a transit-oriented project as well. So we're very close to the Lechmere Station. This project that does not propose any off-street car parking, as per the change in the Zoning Ordinance, but does provide all the necessary bike parking and other -- really, we are pursuing this as an all-electric building to be submitted and
hopefully will pass for Passive House certification. But it's very much responsive to the Green Initiative and the Cambridge's Climate Control Ordinance.

So I'm going to stop my presentation here and be available for questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Mr. Anderson, I just want to make sure we're all talking about the same thing and the one condition you mentioned. This is the diagram that accompanies the correspondence from the East Cambridge Planning Team, July 13. It's that -- I don't know the proper term for it, it's not the trapezoid, but it's that area just above the $C-1$ district line and BA district line --

DAN ANDERSON: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- outlined in red. That will go to pavement, correct?

DAN ANDERSON: That is correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And that's what you're agreeing to?

DAN ANDERSON: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct? Okay. All right. Anything else, Mr. Anderson, or is that your presentation?

DAN ANDERSON: I think I'll respond to questions. But I'm complete with my presentation. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Questions from members of the Board? Please say your name first. Anyone? No? If not, I will open the matter to -- oh, sorry, let me read the correspondence we have because if there's anyone calling in from the public, there's no reason to repeat what we already have in the file and that we -all the Board members -- have read.

So we have --

BILL BOEHM: Excuse me, Jim, I'm sorry, I was muted. I do have a question if you're doing --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, go ahead --
BILL BOEHM: -- more questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Go ahead.
BILL BOEHM: My question to Mr. Anderson is does the addition of paved area -- have you calculated that into your open space requirements, and how does that impact that?

DAN ANDERSON: So thank you. Bill, the required open space is, as shown in that last diagram. But if you go to the third, fourth slide please, Olivia?

So the required open space is shown in the green
cross-hatch. The -- so we more than exceed the required open space.

So in order to -- for each zoning district, we're required to -- well, except -- with a few exceptions. But in these zoning districts, we're required to provide the 15'x15' minimum size open space in all instances. So we've fully satisfied the open space in all instances. So we've fully satisfied the open space requirements in those areas that are hashed.

And the small -- the square that's in the upper left-hand corner is the open space that's required in the $C$ 1 district. The other two shapes, the rectangle and the -maybe it's a rhombus -- are satisfying the open space with the VA.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
Not hearing any. I will just read in the public comments that we have, the letters we have in the file dated July 13 from the East Cambridge Planning Team.

We have a letter from Charles Hinds. It basically says that the Planning Team supports the project contingent
on the continuous $25^{\prime}$ right of way on Linnean Court, and it includes the sketch that we just looked at that that the proponent has agreed to. So that will be made a condition to the finding.

We also have a letter from Audrey Cunningham dated July 13 -- support with one exception, which is the same 25' width across the driveway, as contained in that sketch.

And we have correspondence dated July 13 from -signed by one, two, three, four, five people -- Audrey Cunningham again, Elio Cutone, Mario Cutone, Hannah and Kenneth Paik, and Jian Hancheng support the current proposal with the exception of the width reduction, and that's covered by the sketch that we just reviewed.

So those are the correspondence we have in the file. If anyone in the public is calling in, please no need to repeat those. We have all those comments.

Now, any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing $* 9$ and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a
time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up. Anyone wishing to speak?

OLIVIA RATAY: Audrey Cunningham.
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Hi. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Thanks, Dan. I just had a question, you know, maybe the visual thing. So then the 25' -- you guys are granted at 25'?

DAN ANDERSON: Hi, Audrey, this is Dan. Yes, we are.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Oh, great. So where is that in relation -- where would that put me in front of -- in front of my driveway. Is that going to be $25^{\prime}$ in front of my driveway?

DAN ANDERSON: It would be 25' in front of your driveway, Audrey.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Perfect. Okay, thanks. Yeah. Everything else, I have to say that they've been very -- the developers have been very cooperative in talking to us over the past two years. So -- and the variances that they're
applying for are actually to our benefit -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: -- as abutters. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

AUDREY CUNNINGHAM: Thank you. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Anyone else wishing to speak?
Nope? Okay. I'll send it back to the Board. Discussion from Board members? I'll throw my two cents in. I sat on the previous review, the case that's on the continued side of this.

And I would say this one is, in my personal opinion, much improved. I don't have a problem with the relief being sought, the variance being sought for setbacks on the two sides. They seem to be in context with the neighbor, and they are very comfortable along where the curb line is relative to the property line, the building face.

And since the condition by the East Cambridge Planning Team and the neighbors has been accepted by the proponent, it all seems fine. So I'm ready to support this one.

Any other discussion from any members of the Board, or are you ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections Article 5 5.31 Dimensional Requirements and Article 10 10.3 Variance. On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "8 Winter Street Development Set" prepared by Anderson Porter Design, dated July 27, 2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, that the variance is granted incorporating the following condition: And that condition is as -- and I'll include the sketch from the East Cambridge Planning Team -- that defines the area of what is now shown as green space will go to paved area, on that condition.

I think I've covered everything.
Any Board members have anything else I missed? [Pause]

Nope? Okay.
Then, for a vote: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's unanimous. The variance is
granted. Congratulations.
(6:46 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, we go back to the continued case and withdraw?

DAN ANDERSON: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: 211571?

DAN ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah. Dan
Anderson, Anderson Porter Design. Thank you for the approval. On that basis, the subsequent case, which was continued, we ask that that be withdrawn.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any comments from members of the Board, or can we go to a vote or a motion?

STEVEN NG: Yes, we can go to a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any public commentary? Anybody in the public wants to speak? Nope? Okay. All right. Let me make this up.

The Chair makes a motion to withdraw Case No. -the continued Case No. 211571 -- 8 Winter Street. On the matter, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Case No. 211571 is withdrawn.
Thank you.
DAN ANDERSON: Thank you very much. Have a good evening.
(6:48 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case on the Regular Agenda is No. 223456 -- 28 Donnell Street, Unit B. Is there anyone present who wishes to be heard on this matter?

LEVI TOFIAS: Hi. Levi Tofias. I'm the architect, Lightbox Architecture.

DANIEL CHONG: This is Daniel Chong and Jessica Bodner, residents of 28 Donnell.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Did one of you want to present -- walk us through it?

LEVI TOFIAS: Sure. I can just kind of speed through it. So 28 Donnell is the right-hand unit of a twounit condo association. So we're requesting a variance to build a one-story mudroom addition. The building is already over the allowable GFA and is in the setback.

So basically, the issue is that currently the -if you're looking at the existing view of the entry, where the door is the entry stairs, they're not built to code, they're taller than what's allowed.

And really the main problem here is getting in and out of the house. Because the stairs are steep; also where the entry door enters into the house, it's at the base of the stairs going up to the second floor. So it's a difficult entry to enter, and there's not much space right around the entry.

So those are kind of the main problems that we're hoping to address here.

And this mudroom addition, what we've done is we've changed the stairs to squeeze a little bit of space out since we can't change the existing height of the ground too much, and the existing first floor.

The mudroom itself is dropped down a step below the main floor, so -- and the sitars are broken up so there's not a continuous flight of stairs coming from the entry.

You can advance to the next slide, please.
Is it possible if you could zoom in on the bottom drawing, the Unit B, first floor? Just want to highlight the GFA there.

So what's in blue there is the existing covered entry, which sticks out. And then what we're proposing to
add is additional 50 square feet of GFA to take the footprint of the porch that's mostly uncovered currently. So basically, we're going to cover the existing porch with the mudroom structure.

And then if you can go up to the proposed site plan?

So the existing setback is $3^{\prime \prime} 10$ " to the covered entry, to the existing covered entry. And the proposed setback would be 2'3" on the right side.

And then the only other things of note on this plan is the skylights above are also in the setback, and I believe it was noted as for a window in the setback also, but the window is facing the rear. So I actually think that's not an issue.

But regardless, if you want to advance to the next sheet.

So again, you can see kind of the problem of where the door comes into the plan at the base of the stairs, which that constitutes the main hallway between the living room and the kitchen area.

And so, with the mudroom addition, we moved the door swing out of that path, so that there's more space to
enter the house, and kind of to make that less congested at the entry. There's a bench to sit down on, so there's space for the owners' parents to, you know, sit down while taking shoes on and off.

And I think overall we're just trying to improve the entry sequence.

You can advance to the elevations.
So again, there's the porch there, where there's an entry over the door itself, and we're going to keep the footprint of the porch and just build a new structure that takes up the same place.

So there's a door on the front, there's a window on the rear.

Go down to the -- and then on the back -- sorry, on the right side, there's just the skylights are the only window on that side. Because it's close to the property line, no windows are allowed on that side.

And yeah. That's -- I think that's the main gist --

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: I have a question. You mentioned
this is a condo. So is there support from the condo association for this?

LEVI TOFIAS: Yes, there is. And -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

LEVI TOFIAS: -- and just one other thing to point out: The buildings themselves are twin buildings right now, with the exception being, which you can see in the picture here, that they have a covered mudroom on the right side, exactly where we hope to build it.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. My question is, how does going to a 2'3" side yard affect potential firemen's access around the house, and is that something we should be concerned about in the zoning relief? So it's kind of an -- maybe a question back to other members of the Board as much as anything.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BILL BOEHM: Unless it's been explored by the proponent.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, we've come across this
before. Typically, I mean my sense if there's 2', 2'6" available, my sense was, you know, First Responder, even if they had to turn sideways, could get around that side of the house. There was at least room for someone to squeeze by. There was a fence on that line.

So in previous -- where it's tighter than this, you know, where it's down to a foot or a foot-and-a-half, that's where I get -- I really don't think there's room for a First Responder to get back there, if I think there's a need for that to happen.

So I think in other cases we've seen this, and it's been accepted, if that answers your question, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: Yes, thank you.
LEVI TOFIAS: I'm also happy to comment on that further if --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope, nope. This is discussion among the Board, please. Just have the questions from members of the Board. Any other questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
No? Okay. Let me just recognize the written correspondence we have. Again, if someone is going to be
calling in, there's no need to repeat what we have in writing already.

We have a letter from E. Peter Mullane, dated July
12. His family resides in the Unit A, to the plan left. So this is basically the approval from the condo association that they're taking no exception.

And then a letter from Noam Freedman, dated July 12 saying they're in support of the plan. And then it says they are the potentially aggrieved neighbor from the encroachment into the setback. And one of the potentially aggrieved neighbors with the windows, skylight and door. And they strongly support the plan.

We have another letter from Steven Willis and Elissa Freud, dated July 4, 2023 strongly in support. That's the extent of the correspondence we have. So I will open the matter up to any public commentary.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing $* 9$ and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a
time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Do we have anyone? Nope. It seems like there's no one who wants -- from the public who wants to speak. Okay. Any discussion among the members of the Board, or are we ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Section Article 5 5.31, Article 8 Section 8.22.3, and Article 10 Section 10.3 for a variance. Specifically, regarding the side yard setback -- this is the variance part -- we have a special permit as well, so this is the variance -- on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "28 Donnell Street," prepared by Lightbox Architecture and dated 06/08/23 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. And there are no conditions.

On the matter of the variance, then. Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's unanimous for the
variance.
Now, regarding the special permit the Chair makes
a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections Article 8 Section 8.22.2.c, and Article 10 Section 10.40 for a special permit.

Specifically for the new windows, skylight and door within the setback on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "28 Donnell Street" prepared by Lightbox Architecture dated June 8, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting
statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On the matter of the special permit, Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
Special permit is granted. Congratulations.
LEVI TOFIAS: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(7:02 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is No. 225121 -- 42

Dana Street. Is there anyone wishing to be heard?
STEPHEN HISERODT: Hello. This is Steve Hiserodt with DH Architects. Right next --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry, could you --
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- speaking --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, Peter Quinn. How are you?
STEPHEN HISERODT: -- who is trying to get his connection set up. What we are requesting relief for today is a very modest adjustment to a nonconforming garage. Olivia, do we have drawings that we can bring up?

If we could go to the site plan, that will be the easiest to describe exactly what conditions we're working with. The requested relief is at the accessory garage at the back end of the site. It is currently nonconforming with respect to side and rear setbacks.

An accessory structure is supposed to be 5' or more away from the adjacent property line. We are less than
a foot on both the side and rear. It's existing, nonconforming. It was built before the Zoning Code went into effect.

The -- all we really want to do is take a flatroof structure, put a gable roof on it and add some siding, which is more in keeping with the front house. So it would be clapboard siding and a pitched gable front-facing roof with a break in the eve, trim that matches the front house.

We are increasing the rear or decreasing the rear and side yard setbacks by a fraction of a foot just to add the siding, and we are increasing the height to 15', which is what is allowed by the Zoning Code. But because it is already within the setback, it requires a variance to make that adjustment.

If we go to elevations?
These are existing elevations. We had -- the primary purpose of the alteration is to expand the planned solar collection array, which is going to be on the main roof of the primary structure.

And then to expand capacity we wanted to put an additional array on the garage roof, looking at the requested slopes and the armature that would have had to
have been constructed in order to make that system work, which there are current exceptions in the Zoning Code, which would allow a framed structure to increase the height and actually go beyond the height of the existing -- or the allowable structure.

We decided that it would be a benefit to the neighborhood to -- to do something that was more integral with the structure of the roof, so we created the gable structure, will lie the solar panels.

We'll lie the solar panels as tight to the roof structure as possible, so they don't create much of an imposition on the landscape.

And that is really the extent of what we're doing.
If we go to the next elevation sheet, you can see what we are looking at in terms of the increase in the height of the structure, the gable is front-facing, and the solar array, which you can see from the right-side elevation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anything else, or is that the --

STEPHEN HISERODT: That is the extent of the work we're doing.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? I have one. Can you just confirm, is what currently is garage, will that remain a garage?

STEPHEN HISERODT: Yes, it will.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else have any questions? If not, I will go to the correspondence and then to public comment. So I'm not hearing any questions from members of the Board.

We have on file a letter from the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission, dated July 10, 2023, and it says, "The Commission approved the proposal as submitted with the recommendation to consult with CHE Staff on final solar panel details."

Are you amenable if we make that a condition to the motion?

STEPHEN HISERODT: That's not a problem at all. Yeah. They asked us to work or just to keep them appraised of the method at which we're going to attach to the roof, so that they don't become a more prominent installation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. And then we have letters from Matt Hayes dated July 10. He's a direct
abutter in support of the relief.
We have a letter from I believe this is Lauren Budd, dated May 6, 2023, saying she has no issues. Take that as an approval.

And then from Barbara. And I don't know what the last name is. This is May 6, 2023. This is in my e-mail. And it says, "Okay by me" which, again, I'll take as approval.

And then Lane Coburn, July 10, 2023, approved the plan.

So we have those correspondences in the file.
Anyone calling in, no reason to repeat those. But any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I will ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Stephan Dubouloz.
STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: Yes. Hi. I'm actually the
owner. I'm sorry I connected through the Public because I did not receive the link to connect as an Applicant.

I just would like to make a comment on the condition that you would like to put, because I -- after the Historical Commission hearing, I did talk to the solar company, who is going -- which is going to install the solar panel on my -- on the main house, and also on this garage if I am allowed to do the gable roof.

And they said -- I mean, they are going to -- I
told them that the Historic Commission was sensitive; to make sure that it's not going to be too permanent, but I don't know exactly the ultimate where it's going to be attached. So I will certainly consult the Historic Commission and tell them that I may not have a lot of choices on how it's going to be attached.

So just want to say that. But that's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: In the end, are you speaking in favor or against the proposal? I wasn't able --

STEPHAN DUBOULUZ: Sorry?
STEPHEN HISERODT: He is the owner.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I wasn't able to make out a lot of your statement. I -excuse me. I'm assuming you're in favor.

STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: No, no.
STEPHEN HISERODT: He is the owner.
STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: I'm the owner. Did you not
hear what I said?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I didn't understand what you said.

STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And my Staff has told me that you're concerned that the conditions offered by the MidCambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission may be difficult to achieve?

STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: It's not that it's difficult, I will consult them for sure. I have done that all along with everything on this project, so $I$ will keep going and do that, signing everything.

But my point is that I may not have a lot of choices on how this solar panel will be attached to the roof. So there is, like, a technical constraint, and I hope the Historical Commission will be happy with that. I am --

```
I don't know exactly what are my options. But of course, I will consult them. I have done that all along and I will still do that.
```

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. I mean, I think that's all they're asking for is that you consult with them.

STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So if that's fine with you,
that's --
STEPHAN DUBOULOZ: Yes, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- good, and we'll enter that as a condition. Okay.

Is there anyone else? No? Any discussion from Board members, or shall we move on to a motion? Motion?

STEVEN NG: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance -- this is a variance -- under Sections Article 4 Section 4.21 Accessory Use, Article 5 Section 5.31 Dimensional Requirements, Article 8 5.22.3 Nonconforming Structures and Article 1010.30 for a Variance, specifically regarding the addition of wood siding, which will impinge on the setbacks
and the new addition of the new roof structure, which will be -- increase the nonconforming nature of the existing structure on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "42 Dana Street, Garage Renovation," prepared by DH Architects, dated 05/16/23, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further, the variance is granted incorporating the condition stated by the Mid Cambridge Neighborhood Conservation District Commission in their correspondence of July 12,2023 that the submission is approved with the recommendation to consult with the CHC Staff on final solar panel details.

On the motion, then, to approve the variance, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
(7:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is 227838 -- 49 Alpine Street. Anyone wishing to be heard on this matter?

ALEX YU: Hello. Good evening. My name is Alex Yu. I'm the owner of the 49 Alpine Street -- in 49 Alpine Street.

Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board of the Zoning Appeals. Joining me tonight are the architects, Kyle, and the [unclear] Planner Chi, and also our General Contractor Tony on the call here. So let me begin to quickly describe the case to everybody.

So I'm the -- we have a -- I'm the owner of the building. And we purchased the property on 47-49 Alpine Street back in January 2022, which is around 19 months ago. We have a family of six members -- me and my wife, two small kids and two of the aging parents.

Our original plan was to build this -- reconstruct the house on 49 Alpine Street to accommodate a family of six. So we're not a stranger to this meeting.

We actually attended the BZA hearing in June of last year. Back then in June last year, we presented a pretty big size construction of 37 -- 3,710 square feet. The lot of the building is 5,039. So we're at a ratio of around 0.75 .

We have received a lot of good feedback from our neighbors. We decided to not proceed with the special permit and the variance because the site has exceeded the approved variance by quite a bit. So we took all the neighbors' advice very carefully.

We came back to revise our plan almost completely. We resubmitted and got approved with new permits in February of this year with a new building size of around 2,511 square feet. So that's within the threshold of the 0.5 requirement of the size of the building.

Further, we have increased the setback on the right side of the building. It was originally at around 1.4' on the right side of the setback. By this revision, we increased it from 1.4 to 7.7. So we actually increased the setback on the right side.

And also, we're reducing the number of units from two to one by virtue of installing also an elevator to make
it accessible for elderly parents.
So everything was approved, and we began the construction in March after we received the original apartment, which now goes through the Board because of incompliance.

In this plan, Olivia, if you could pull the document to page 39, in this -- just as, so this packet -so I believe one of the second pages to the last -- page 39 almost in the end -- we have received approval of -- sorry, maybe it's 28 in this one? Yeah. Yeah. This one.

We -- in this approved version of the demolition plan, if you can see here, the majority of the walls, around 75 percent of the walls are demolished according to this plan. So we began this plan to demolish the building.

And unfortunately, during the demolition of the walls on this first floor, the second floor did not have -that's what I heard from the General Contractor. He's also in the building in the meeting here -- comments.

The second floor did not have enough support. And therefore, the second floor has collapsed. And therefore, we received stop order from the Inspectional Services, and we also received the instruction from the Zoning Board to
attend this meeting because of this collapse of the second floor.

So I guess why we're here today is to receive a relief from the Board so we can continue the regional construction, which -- you know, has everything the same; same size of the building, 2,500, and same -- you know, setback requirement. Everything proceeding as the same. We're not seeking for any additional living area or setback requirements.

And also, there's no parking space required for this as well. In the last year's petition, we required one parking space. Here, we did not receive any parking space at all.

So -- and, we want to say that unfortunately, it was not intentionally that, but you can see that due to the collapse, we had to put a stop and hold on this project.

So that's my part. I don't know if Kyle or Chi or anyone on Alpine Street wants to comment on this further.

KYLE XUE: Hello. This is Kyle. I'm the
Architect for this project. As I think Alex did a good job describing what happened behind this project. I worked with Alex since about two years ago on this project.

We spent a lot of effort in designing this
project. It's from the beginning it's always our intention to be a good neighbor, and also to follow all the instructions from the City, including all the Zoning Requirements and the Building Code requirements. So we explored various options, as Alex described.

So I can think of one thing we did in -- which contributed to the neighboring character I think is by demolition of the one-story shed on the right, which increased the setback on the right from "1.4" to "7.7."

I think besides, we also, you know, improved the character of this house by using, like, more, like more sustainable materials like more energy-efficient construction techniques.

So once the building -- the new building is -- the new renovation addition is finished, it will be a great contribution to the neighborhood, which makes the Cityscape, the neighbors, the house value increase.

So we sincerely seek, like, hope the Board can, you know, approve this appeal.

ALEX YU: So we finished the presentation, I think, unless anyone else on Alpine Street --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you all set?
CHI MAN: Yeah, I just want to add --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you open this up?
CHI MAN: -- this is Chi Man from Hardy + Man Design Group -- I just wanted to add that I have reviewed the Zoning Requirement.

Basically, the building as designed and being constructed or the -- basically on the zoning setback and density requirement. So it's almost like as as-of-right project, except that, you know, the wall collapsed, and which brings back -- the case back to the -- I mean the Board, I guess, is more like findings, let's say. So. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

CHI MAN: Just wanted to add that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Do you have any questions for members of the Board?

I have one to start. So the drawing that's up on the screen now is dated February 21, 2023. The drawings I have in the file that seem to be -- that I'm assuming are the ones you're building to -- are dated June 01, 2023. Is that correct?

KYLE XUE: The white drawing on the screen Olivia
showed earlier was a previously approved drawing dated February, I think, 2023.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. That's what I assumed. So what we're -- what you're asking for approval of are the set of drawings that are dated $06 / 01 / 23$. Is that correct?

CHI MAN: I believe that's correct. Kyle, please confirm.

KYLE XUE: Yes. Confirmed. Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

KYLE XUE: This version we are seeing is the latest version.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And --

KYLE XUE: There are some minor changes -- you
know, mostly interior.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine. Thank you. And I
just want to make sure we're looking at the correct set.
And the dimensional form that's included in the application is based on that same June 1, 2023, set of drawings, correct?

KYLE XUE: I believe so. Yeah. The setback didn't change.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So it basically -- if I'm reading
this correctly -- in terms of the requested conditions, you're not out of compliance with anything, correct?

As the gentleman said previously, this is basically as-of-right, except for the fact that there was a previous permit and --

KYLE XUE: Yep. That's correct. If -- I believe that you can go to page 24 and 25. That was kind of the set --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KYLE XUE: Yeah. That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the issue is really the fact that what was demolished was more than the Inspectional Services I believe the permit was issued for?

KYLE XUE: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It will need more than the ordinance allows. That's what you're here for?

KYLE XUE: That's correct, Mr. Chairman.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Any other questions from members of the Board? Nope? I don't -there are no -- I find no correspondence in the file. So I will open the matter to public comments.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak
should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: William Simmers?
WILLIAM SIMMERS: Yes, hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I can hear you.
WILLIAM SIMMERS: My name is William Simmers. I live at 8 Alpine Street, which is at the other end of Alpine Street from the project we're discussing. I have two comments.

One is I'd like to find out and question the side setbacks, because the original setbacks are not according to the Standard. They were -- approximately one was a 7'11" and one was $7^{\prime}$ on the -- 7'6" on the other side. That does not correspond to the sum of both sides equaling 20', so I'm wondering why the approval was made, because it still is a
nonconforming plan.
Furthermore, the new design, as well as the old
design -- they're the same -- they, they are adding in the third floor to the non-- to a nonconforming side of the house.

And that specifically is not -- doesn't obey the rules for adding to a nonconforming house, if you read in Section 8 -- the first section of this Section 8 of the code.

That's my one question. I don't know why it was approved in the first place. Not that I will object, but I -- since, since the addition of being able to add on the third floor -- it has been added in recent years -- this block on the third floor really does constitute a -- what I consider an intrusion on the adjoining house.

Secondly, I don't understand why they had to come back because of the collapse of the second floor in demolition. The new plans show an entirely different floor at a different level.

I don't understand why a new, a new hearing was needed. I mean, you can obviously infer that the second floor was going to come down in demolition if it was going
to be rebuilt. So I don't understand the whole reason for coming back.

That's the end of my comments.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. I think we
tried to explain why they had to come back in terms of the -- there was more demolition done than the demolition permit was written for, and that forced them to come back for a variance for the plans that they wanted to construct. That's one question.

The side yard setbacks, if I'm reading the dimensional form correctly, they're basically improving upon what the Ordinance requires for both the left side and the right side. So I don't think there's any objection there, unless you see some that I'm missing.

And your comment about the addition of the third floor or the third floor itself being of concern to a neighbor, and we have no neighbors yet unless we have someone come in by phone who stated any objections. So thank you for your comments.

Do we have anyone else? That's the --
OLIVIA RATAY: Elizabeth Marran?
[Pause]

OLIVIA RATAY: You're muted.
ELIZABETH MARRAN: Okay. So my name is Elizabeth
Marran. I live at 44 Alpine Street, which is kind of diagonally across from the house that we're discussing. I'm sorry, but I didn't fully understand your comment about the setback -- your response to Mr. Simmers's question. Might you be able to repeat that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. As I read the dimensional form, what the Ordinance requires for both the left side and the right side are being met by the requested condition. What's entered in this form under the existing condition did not meet the condition -- the Ordinance requirements as far as the right side was concerned, and according to this form, that's being improved upon and is now conforming.

ELIZABETH MARRAN: Okay, because they --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Does that make sense?
ELIZABETH MARRAN: -- they, yeah. So -- so that was a shed, like a low -- like one-story shed that -- okay, yeah. What Mr. Simmers's point about the third floor, does that -- if there was an objection to that, would that be something that can be discussed further?

JIM MONTEVERDE: If you have an objection, you can state it.

ELIZABETH MARRAN: Well, my objection is the nature of the size of the third floor. I mean, because each floor is higher than the homes that currently exist on Alpine Street.

So the towering nature of the third-floor addition will be a significant change to the -- for lack of a better word -- temperament of Alpine Street. I mean, it's a postWorld War II development project where all the homes are relatively the same.

And this construction, I appreciate the way that Alex and his family and architect listened to our responses and went back to the drawing board and created something that's more modest in scale, which I really do appreciate that. I also appreciate the Board making it clear to him that that would be his -- a better option for them to pursue.

But I do find the massive box of the third floor to be overbearing on the street. And I also do agree that that will be blocking a tremendous amount of light, you know, to the north of this property.

I will not be affected by that personally but on -- as far as the street goes, I do object to the massive nature of the third floor --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
ELIZABETH MARRAN: -- given that the building increases in size each floor by a foot or more.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment. Let me just remark -- again, I'm referring to the dimensional form -- so the area of the construction in total is within the Ordinance requirement, less than the Ordinance requirement. The building height in total is less than the building requirement and then the Ordinance requirement.

The fact that it's stylistically different than its neighbors $I$ don't know is an issue that this Board has purview over. But as far as the "massive," et cetera description, it's all within the ordinance requirement. But thank you for your comment.

Is there anyone else who wishes to speak?
ALEX YU: Mr. Chairman, this is Alex, the owner of the house. Can I make a very -- ten seconds quick comment? JIM MONTEVERDE: Quick comments, please.

ALEX YU: Yeah. Elizabeth, thank you, and Will --

Bill, thank you for your kind suggestions. I just want to point out that the third floor is much smaller than the rest of the floors, and Olivia can -- feel free point to that. But thank you for the comment. We tried to be good
neighbors and tried to be accommodating to -- to the neighborhood requirement and request and tried to be -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

ALEX YU: -- nice. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. That's good. Thank you.
CHI MAN: Mr. Chairman, if $I$ can just add a
comment is that the original --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me. Hold -- Mr., Mr. Man, can you hold on one second?

CHI MAN: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is not open for -- this is public comment.

CHI MAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. There's no other public commentary. I'm now going to close public commentary. And I'll ask for discussion among the Board members. Any discussion?
[Pause]

Or are we ready for a motion.
BILL BOEHM: I have a question regarding the first gentleman who spoke up on the side yard setbacks.

I mean, I think it relates to we're looking at a previous approval perhaps, but I'm looking at the Residence B side yard setbacks. In the zoning chart what $I$ see is 7.5', then parentheses (sum of 20').

Do I understand that to mean that the two setbacks together have to add up to $20^{\prime}$ so you -- this would be in fact in -- have been approved in, in contrary to the Zoning bylaws?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: I guess that's a question to you. JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll defer to Staff for an opinion.

OLIVIA RATAY: It is sum of 20', but this is an existing structure that was compliant with -- or once they removed the shed, it brought it into 7.5'.

But the new part of the building, which is the third floor, sits back to make that side sum of $20^{\prime}$. So it's -- I think it's 17' or something. So it's like -- it's back enough to be sum of $20^{\prime}$.

BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members
of the Board? If not, I'll move to a motion.
STEVEN NG: Yeah. We're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Section -- and the way this is written, it's asking for relief, variance relief from Article 5 Section 5.31, Article 8 8.22.3, Article 10 Section 10.3 -- Variance.

And I believe this is all because there was more demolition undertaken than the previous demolition permit had allowed on the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "47 Alpine Street," prepared by Up Design \& Build and dated June 1, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

On the matter of the variance, then, Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In agreement.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five in favor. The variance is granted.
Thank you.
KYLE XUE: Thank you so much. Thank you. Have a good one.

ALEX YU: Have a great night. Thanks so much.
(7:40 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is No. 228569 -- 2 Garden Street. Anyone here wishing to be heard on this matter?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes, good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Ms. Rhatigan?
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law LLC, 12 Marshall Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02108. I am here representing the petitioners, which is the Garden Lodge LLC, and the folks operating this are Kinvarra Capital.

For Board members who may be familiar, Kinvarra Capital has done a number of renovation projects in and around Cambridge, and in particular has had some success with historic renovation.

This Board granted some relief on the project in East Cambridge approximately a year ago. And my clients are here online. In the windows here are Ryan Wittig and Matthew Moore.

And also with me here today is our architect, Peter Quinn from Peter Quinn Architects, who will jump in on the design review.

So this is a project involving a home on 2 Garden Street, which is sort of prominently located right across the street from the Cambridge Common.

And this project first went before the Cambridge Historical Commission for review, because it is an historically important home also located in the old Cambridge Overlay District.

So there was a process of design and redesign that the applicants went through in order to conform to historical guidelines.

And essentially what they're doing is they're renovating with an addition on the rear above a first-floor -- an existing first-floor addition, a two-story addition at the rear, in order to allow for some proper egress and some additional living space for their proposed new project as a lodging house.

As a new project it's actually -- essentially, it's Historic Use. The home was originally built in 1835.

The Historic Commission actually has a really sort
of fascinating history on it, which $I$ won't go into in detail here, because it's -- we don't have time for that, but it was originally a home that was used for lodging for apparently some very important, famous folks around Cambridge and Harvard, et cetera. So the return to that use, my clients are quite excited about.

It is a home that's in quite original condition -well, not entirely original condition, but Peter can describe that a bit. But it's a real challenge to work with.

And the clients are quite pleased with the results of this work that allows for a revitalization, reuse of this really wonderful home. It's located just -- you know, right in -- essentially right in Harvard Square just a block off of where Mass Ave and Garden Street connect in a busy location, and yet a really lovely location for people who are coming to town to see students at Harvard, conferences, et cetera.

So I want to just address some kind of opposition that I'm sure the Board has seen, or maybe they've seen one of the letters but not both of the letters, but there are -there's a concern from the neighbors immediately to the left
of this property at Zero Garden Street. And their attorney, Craig Kelley, had submitted a letter to the Board.

And essentially, they questioned whether or not we
could be coming before you for a special permit on dimensional issues only. And he challenged the notion that this could be a lodging house as-of-right.

This is an issue that the applicant met and discussed with the Commissioner, Ranjit Singanayagam, on a number of occasions -- two, maybe three. The last time it was confirmed was actually on the day that we filed the application, because Mr. Kelley had raised this issue with us, and we wanted to make sure we were doing the right thing.

So our understanding has been that we filed the proper application, that the only relief that we're required to get from this Board is a special permit.

The special permit is because the addition adds more than 10 percent of area or volume to the existing building, but less than 25 percent. The additions are all conforming themselves, as to setbacks, height. We're under the FAR -- GFA, FAR.

And so again, so this is one of these special
permits under -- I'm sorry, I'm forgetting the Section No. 8.22 something or other. It's in our application. But because of the -- because, you know, being greater than the 10 percent figure.

So the second letter we received was from Attorney Patrick Barrett. I'm not sure if he's on the call or will speak, but anticipating that you may see his letter, I -- we just saw this this morning at 10:30, 11:00 a.m. And I've sort of tried to dash off a response on the legal issues that he's raised.

I actually did -- just literally just like anything half-hour ago, I filed it officially with Maria Pacheco. I know that the Board will not have seen it. But what $I$ want to do is just simply respond to the arguments that he's made.

So -- and it's similar to the letter that was presented by Attorney Kelley, who -- but -- I'm sorry if I was not clear -- Attorney Kelley represents the neighbor. Attorney Barrett does not state that he represents anybody, but he mentions he's concerned.

So what both of the letters say is something to the effect of Lodging House Use should not be considered as-
of-right in a C-2 District.
And what they point to is that the Use Chart, the Table of Uses, shows a lodging house. And then when you look at the column where for a C-2 District, it says, "Is it allowed?" And it says, "Yes" and then it has a little Footnote 7.

When you go to Footnote 7, each of the provisions of Footnote 7 talk about hotels and motels. There's nothing in the Footnote 7 that refers to lodging houses. And what it says is motels and hotels are allowed in certain areas, but in some areas, they're required to have a special permit.

When you look at that same Table of Uses, Hotels and Motels has its own line, and when you go to the $\mathrm{C}-2$ column, it clearly says that they're required to have a special permit, and then it has a little Footnote 7.

The ordinance has been interpreted for -- I'm not sure, decades? as Lodging House Use in this district being as-of-right. Mr. Barrett and Mr. Kelley have very interesting kind of maybe esoteric arguments, or maybe justifiable arguments to be made to for example a City Council if they were to try to amend the ordinance.

They say that there's a scrivener's error, and that they'd like this Board to insert the word, "Lodging House" into Footnote 7 to require a special permit. We just don't think that that's accurate. There's nothing in the Ordinance that says that it should be.

You know, the general rule of interpreting an Ordinance is that if the language is clear and unambiguous, that's what the language is and that's what this Board job is is to interpret it. So that's kind of the first big thing.

Then there's also some question about they're trying to say, "Oh, this isn't really a Lodging House Use." They point to the Building Code, and they say Lodging House is defined differently in the Building Code. They don't actually cite text -- you know, quotes. They don't actually say what the language is in the building code, and I don't think that their information is correct there.

But what we do know is that Cambridge Zoning Ordinance defines what a Lodging House is. And my clients are intending and going to operate a lodging house if this Board, you know, grants the relief for the renovation project.

The Cambridge Licensing Board licenses lodging houses, and their definition points to the state law that defines what a lodging house is.

And that definition -- I'm not going to read the whole definition, but that definition is just as we expected, which is it's a dwelling unit, provides -- you know, it has one kitchen, and it has rooms that are going to be let. They're going to be, you know, offered to guest who are going to stay there.

So there's going to be bedrooms, they're going to have bathrooms, and then there's going to be one kitchen offering some food for the people who are there.

If my clients are unsuccessful in getting a license for a Lodging House Use, and someone says, "No, no, no, you're a hotel, you're a motel" my client will be required to come back to this Board for a special permit. But that's not what we expect to happen. We are going to be pursuing a Lodging House license and a Lodging House Use.

So, you know, we don't think that that -- this is an appropriate time to be kind of getting into the in and outside of Lodging House definitions.

The last thing I just want to point out is

Attorney Barrett also suggests that we might be in violation of Section 5.26. 5.26 requires that if one is converting a dwelling unit to additional dwelling unit, that the applicant has to meet the requirements for lot size per dwelling unit.

And in this case, we are not creating extra dwelling units. We only have one kitchen. It's a Lodging House Use. It's not a dwelling unit.

Dwelling units under the Ordinance are defined as -- I'm just going to read it, because I don't have it rattled off in my head here, so, a dwelling unit is defined under the ordinance as "A room or group of rooms occupied and capable of being occupied separate from any other such room or group of rooms by a family and equipped with cooking and sanitary faculties for the exclusive use of such family for living, sleeping, cooking and eating."

We're not creating additional dwelling units. So we're not violating 5.26.

I apologize that that's, like a big mouthful. But with a little pause here to allow for the Board to ask any questions about this kind of technical issue, we'd like to move on to the merits of the special permit and be able to
show you the plans and, you know, get into the meat of the application. But $I$ did want a chance to pause here for a minute to respond to any concerns or questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde. I have one question while we're talking about the definitions. And I'm reading from the Ordinance its definition of Lodging House, and there seem to be several different categories you could fall in, right?

Lodging House or Residential Use, Part Rectory, Parsonage would be an Institutional Religious Use.

Dormitory would be an Institutional/Educational Use, and a couple words were spread in the application that kind of covered all those bases.

So if you're saying now that you're focused on it is a lodging house, then the definition says, "A dwelling where lodgings are let to four or more persons" -- you certainly have those -- you have that number of bedrooms -"not within the second degree of kinship with the person conducting it, including fraternity housing, but not including dormitories, charitable, educational, or philanthropic institutions."

So the question is, either who runs this, or what
is this -- what is the purpose? Is it a for fee lodging house? Is it a in fact run by an educational institution or a religious or philanthropic institution, what? How is it run? Therefore, how do you fit in the lodging mix?

SARAH RHATIGAN: So this is a situation of -- a for fee situation. So it's a commercial establishment. And apologies if there was any confusion in our application. What $I$ was doing in part was describing the prior history of its use. So my clients or developers, they -- and owners of -- you know, owners and operators of property.

And they purchased it from -- I'm sorry, the Episcopal -- Brian, can you remind me of what the name of your cellar was? The Chaplaincy? The Harvard Chaplaincy -BRIAN: Exactly. SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm not going to do the job. BRIAN: The Episcopal Chaplaincy at Harvard. SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Thank you. So it was purchased from a religious institution, and that religious institution or similar ones over a past history did operate -- the City considered it a parsonage or rectory. There was previous zoning applications where they asked for -- to
allow for dorm -- dorm-like living for interns who worked for the church. So that -- all that institutional, educational stuff is all prior history.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. And not part of what's being proposed here.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So this is really --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- a private for-fee lodging
house? It's not a dormitory --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There's no charitable, no educational or philanthropic institution? Not a fraternity house?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. That's my question. Any other member of the Board have a question about Sarah's presentation about the various categories, definitions of use?

BILL BOEHM: I have a question. So, Sarah, you said you weren't sure what the IBC definition was and may have not been included in the letter, but I'll just quickly
read it to you. It says, "Lodging houses are defined as owner-occupied. Lodging House" -- oh sorry, we're Boarding -- I'm sorry, that's Lodging Houses. I had Boarding Houses up here. Where was this?

JIM MONTEVERDE: "One-family dwelling where one or more occupants are primarily permanent in nature and rent is paid for guestrooms." Something to that effect. Is that it, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: Is that the IBC?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's what's in the letter
from Patrick Barrett. And that's what I found looking at IBC, the current version of IBC.

BILL BOEHM: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah.
BILL BOEHM: So there's --
SARAH RHATIGAN: I would --

BILL BOEHM: -- so there's no --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- oh, I'm sorry.
[Pause]
SARAH RHATIGAN: Sorry, I'll listen. Sorry.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think --

BILL BOEHM: What $I$ was trying to get at was is
there a limit to the number of rooms that can be in a boarding house, or is it unlimited?

JIM MONTEVERDE: There is no -- I find no limit under the definition in the Zoning Ordinance. It says, "Let to four or more persons." Doesn't give a maximum. It basically gives a minimum. And the question of whether you --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chair?

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- go to IBC: Frankly, that's a different can of worms. Because you go there, I'm not sure you -- why you wouldn't go to the Fire Code. You know, there's got to be 27 different codes and ordinances that apply. I wouldn't cherry pick the IBC for a nicer definition. But that's a different matter we can discuss later.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chairman, if I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Go ahead, Sarah.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Do you mind, just briefly.
Mr. Boehm, I similarly was rooting around looking for a definition. I also found in another area of I think a Mass Building Code a definition of Lodging House that referred specifically to the State statute.

And the State statute defining a Lodging House is quite clear, Chapter 140, Section 22. That's what our Licensing Commission refers to as well. And that says, "A house where lodgings are let to four or more persons not within second degree of kinship -- kindred to the person conducting it" et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

So it's a -- the City Ordinance, the City of Cambridge Zoning Ordinance appears to be lifted from a -you know, parrot the state statute.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Similarly, Architectural Board, I found that was consistent. So again, and then just looking to the interpretation that the Commissioner had given us, we believe, that we're doing the right thing.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board about the use or definitions before we let Sarah describe what the project actually entails?
[Pause]
Okay. Sarah, can you describe the project, please?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure. That would be great. If Olivia -- Olivia, would you mind pulling up the slides?

Thank you.
So this is just showing the -- you know, the view.
This is actually a view from the back of the property. Because this is where the -- where the action is, so to speak. And you can see that there's some new structure in the back here.

Peter, do you mind if $I$ pass this along to you as we get into the details?

PETER QUINN: Yeah.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, great. Maybe start -Olivia, maybe start on page 3 ?

PETER QUINN: Yeah. Hi. Good evening. My name is Peter Quinn of Peter Quinn Architects, 259 Elm Street in Somerville. Thank you for hearing us tonight.

What we have here is the way of describing the various components of the building. The end color is the existing main building with its front bay. And you can see in there there's a little bit of land -- some of those rooms have been laid out that way since -- you know, 170 years ago.

So the back, there is an existing addition, which we intend to taken down and then rebuild from, so that we
have a small addition, that little white rectangle in the upper right-hand corner.

And beyond that, we have a few -- patios in the rear to give light down into the basement area, that will be useful.

And off to the far right you'll see bike lockers have been placed on the site with paver access.

If you can go down to page 6, that will be great. Thank you. Yeah.

So this is a local view of the rear, showing what I just mentioned. There is a -- you can see the front part of the building with historic chimneys, very handsome. Those are all retained, and we've been through -- we've been through the Historical Commission for a couple of hearings, received this Certificate of Appropriateness -- actually just today, and they had asked us to make a few changes, which we had already done.

And they're -- this final design.
What you're looking at is the original part of the building, as I mentioned, and then the addition on the rear, which is a little bit looser in its design than the formality of the sign. But it uses the same vocabulary
through windows and trim and the like.
The next slide, please?
Page 7? Thank you. Yeah.
So this is a view of the rear. Again, this
addition has a net increase of approximately 18 percent over the existing floor area of the building. So that's how we get into the request tonight for a special permit.

Next slide, please?
This view from the street is actually about as much as you can see from the street. That wing that comes out to the right, which is our main entry, and combines handicap access as well, is an existing structure, which we repurposed.

The next slide, please?
So this is the view that you would have from Appian Way looking across the parking lot that's back there, the Lassiter buildings. The Lassiter building was on the far right, you can see a little bit of it. And we were asked to do this in order to indicate just how much of the view. This is probably the most -- the most you see from any screen as a printout.

Next slide, please? Yeah.

So this is similar to the first slide that we saw showing the addition. I'll not go too much into the plan on the interior, but if you go to the next slide, I will show you how the building works.

Actually, slide 12 would be good. Thank you. Yeah.

So there's an existing stair. You can see a little bit of it on the far left. This is actually an historic stair. It's a curved stair that makes its way up to the third floor to the attic level. So we intend to preserve that and enclose that to fire access.

And then the rear part of the building back where there's another stairway provides that as a fire stair and some additional rooms to the total use, 16 bedrooms.

Let's go to page 15, please? Yeah.
So here we are. This is just to give you an idea of how we are restoring the front building as much as we possibly can including regularizing historic windows and -and restoring a lot of the trim that's been lost. We've also been respectful of a lot of the original details -- you know, hope to actually turn this into a real gem of a building.

Next slide, please?
Here you can see how the two buildings work together, the two parts of the building work together. The gray rectangle in the lower right is the garage that the neighbors have by easement on the property. So that is actually, you know, goes right up to our building. And it fills the space between the two buildings.

Next slide, please?
And this is the rear. You saw that in 3D a few minutes ago.

And then next slide?
And that's the other side. This is the side with that entry. And you can see how the rear addition has a little bit of a stepdown. So the hierarchy of the building is maintained, the original being a stronger element.

And now the mass is -- breaks down -- create the entry, some interesting window patterns as you get toward the rear. We do have a shadow study if you'd like to see that. There are no significant shadows cast onto any neighbors. It's very minimal throughout. This gives you an idea. Let's see -- I think this is -- we did this to allow a little bit, $I$ can't see what time of year this one is.

Oh, yeah, okay. So this is the equinox. The way that the -- [unclear] though I know you've seen -- have seen plenty of them is that the orange or yellow color represents additional shadow over the existing.

So the lower drawings are the existing shadows. The upper drawings are the proposed. And there's a small increase onto 3 Garden Street in on their land, and a little bit on the land on the back with the -- during the equinox.

Next slide, please?
So this is the summer shadows this time of year. There's some -- an increase in the shadow into that parking lot over on the Lassiter building, and a little bit on our property. And that's about it.

And then in the last slide -- Sheet 29 on the right if you wouldn't mind. Thank you. Yeah.

So that has more shadows. But of course, every building is shadowing everybody else. So the net increase is quite minimal, as you can see.

Onto Sheet 31, you see the Dimensional Table. We in fact comply with all the Dimensional Requirements. And the only thing we're asking for is the relief on the side yard setback -- the setback itself so it's dimensionally
conforming.
And so, that's -- that's about it. Thank you for
your time. I'm happy to take any questions.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
PETER QUINN: Go to Sheet 5 was it, with the 3D model? Yeah. Sheet 5 .

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, Peter. Can you repeat yourself? We're not --

PETER QUINN: No. Just asking if Olivia can go to Sheet 5? Thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You want to go to the wow finish
of the renderings?
PETER QUINN: Oh, yeah. No, I meant Sheet 6 .
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, here you go.
PETER QUINN: There you go. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay.
PETER QUINN: It's -- it's easier to talk about
this.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
PETER QUINN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board before I open it up to public comments?

STEVEN NG: Just a quick one, Peter. I think in the renderings in the lower back, left side of the yard, it looks like that's your location, or you're showing the condenser units? I just was wondering.

PETER QUINN: Yeah. That's correct.
STEVEN NG: Yeah. Okay. All right. Thank you. PETER QUINN: They're tucked behind that entry structure.

STEVEN NG: Yeah. Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? Okay. Let me read in, summarize the correspondence we have in the file before we open this up to public commentary.

We have a letter from the Cambridge Historic Commission, a Certificate of Appropriateness dated July 12, 2023, saying that "The work is to be carried out as indicated on the plans by Peter Quinn Architects entitled, 'Rear addition to 2 Garden Street' dated and revised May 19, 2023, except as modified by the conditions below.
"The third-floor balcony is to be removed. The second-floor bathroom at the east corner of the building have a shared roof, and that its mass be pulled back from
the rear façade.
"And the mass of the one-story flat-roof addition at the south corner be pulled back from the rear façade.
"And review and approval of the construction
details are delegated to CHC Staff."
One question, Peter Quinn, I guess for you: The Historic Commission is referencing drawings dated May 19. The drawings that we have in our file are dated June 13.

PETER QUINN: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What's the difference?

PETER QUINN: The difference is that we -- you know, we knew about these criteria for the Certificate of Approval -- Appropriateness -- and we incorporated them into the design.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So the third-floor balcony
$\qquad$

PETER QUINN: They occur here.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- removal and the second-floor bathroom?

PETER QUINN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? Everything --
PETER QUINN: Just one --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, everything -PETER QUINN: -- yeah, one small -JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead. PETER QUINN: -- I'm sorry, just for the record, a small thing on that bathroom, we didn't do exactly as they said, but I will -- yeah, because the Staff does have jurisdiction over it. I will take it up with Charlie Sullivan and straighten out one way or the other.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
PETER QUINN: It's a very small thing. JIM MONTEVERDE: So -PETER QUINN: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the last thing left from their conditions, then, are the review and approval of construction details --

PETER QUINN: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- delegated to the CHC Staff.
Okay. So that will be made a condition.
PETER QUINN: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then we have two pieces of correspondence, which are the ones that Sarah was discussing. The first is dated July 6, from Craig Kelley,
on the stationery of First Christ -- Christ Church in Cambridge.

And I will cut to what $I$ think is the summary. And it basically says the proponents are not building a lodging house. They are proposing a hotel or motel. That's the gist in summary.

And the second piece of correspondence is the one that we heard about before from Patrick Barrett, dated June 27, 2023, which goes on for several pages.

And the part that I identified as I think the crux is the definition of hotel for instance more accurately describes the use contemplated by Garden Lodge LLC.

Those are the correspondence we have in the file. I will open the matter to public testimony. Any public comment, any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you
will have up a maximum of three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anyone wishing to speak?
[Pause]
Really? Really. I can't believe it. All right. Any discussion amongst the Board members? Let me start.

My own personal take on this is reading the letters from -- actually rather interesting correspondence -- from Patrick Barrett and from Craig Kelley, very well researched and thought through.

However, I think on Ms. Rhatigan's confirmation that this project is to be a lodging house. And as Lodging House is defined in the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance, I am not swayed by the correspondence that has been written that guides us to other definitions of lodging house, that guides us to a hotel, which requires a special permit.

I think with the Zoning Board of Appeal and based on the zoning ordinance, I'm willing to make a judgment and not bring in to the equation other definitions of lodging house or any other use that exists by any other regulatory agency.

I think I'm ready to put the blinders on and say
according to the Zoning Ordinance, here's what a lodging house is, and my personal opinion, I believe, what's been presented described and confirmed by Attorney Rhatigan fits that description of lodging house.

Anybody else have any comments? Nope. Hearing then none, are we ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I believe we're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Are you old enough to remember George Burns and Gracie Allen?
[Pause]
You're not.
PETER QUINN: I am.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You are.
PETER QUINN: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is like the George Burns Gracie Adams routine. It's fine. Okay. On the way to a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Section -- and this is a special permit -- Article 5, Section 5.31, Article 8, Section 8.22.2.c and Article 10, Section 10.4 for a special permit on the condition that the work proposed conforms to
the drawings entitled "Rear Addition, 2 Garden Street," prepared by Peter Quinn Architects dated June 13, 2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Further the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions. And those are the conditions stated by the Cambridge Historic Commission in their correspondence dated July 12, 2023, in that their acceptance of the plans were based on the plans dated May 19, 2023.

I suggest the proponent get your current plans over to them just to make sure they agree of what's been done. And the conditions that they stated in particular, the review and approval of construction details are delegated to CHC Staff.

Also there's a condition that the work authorized herein is commenced within six months after the date of issue.

On the basis of that motion, Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

The special permit is granted.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.

PETER QUINN: Thank you. Appreciate it.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you for your time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you all.
(8:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-226777 -- 28

Harding Street. Is there anyone --
PHIL MCKENNA: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- who'd like to speak on this
matter?
RACHEL PARRISH: Can you hear us?
PHIL MCKENNA: Yes. Can you hear us?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
PHIL MCKENNA: Well, thank you, BZA Chair and
members of the Board. My name is Phil McKenna.
RACHEL PARRISH: I'm Rachel Parrish.
PHIL MCKENNA: And we are the owner-occupants of
28 Harding Street. We would like to install an egress window on the second story of the south-facing façade of our building. And we're seeking a special permit to do so because the south-facing side of the building is within the side yard setback.

RACHEL PARRISH: We want to do this because we
were excited to buy a single-family home, but we have two children. It was sold to us as a three-bedroom, but really, it's a two-and-a-half bedroom. There's a walk-through bedroom, and we want to have -- we want both of our children to be able to have their own bedrooms.

We've lived in Cambridge for 18 years, we love the city, and we would love to be able to stay in the neighborhood and find a solution that works for our family.

PHIL MCKENNA: Yeah. So just to walk through the plans, you see at the top a little bit to the right is the current south-facing façade showing one window on each, the first and the second floor.

And then just below it is the window we'd like to add.

RACHEL PARRISH: So if you zoom in a little bit up slightly. So the one with the cursor, that is our current, what the currently -- what it looks like. And the one directly below that shows the change that we could make if we added the window.

PHIL MCKENNA: And then to the right of it shows top-down what it would look like where the added window would be.

And if you can go to the next slide, please?
Oh, actually -- I'm sorry, go back to that first slide, photo.

RACHEL PARRISH: Yep.
PHIL MCKENNA: If you can zoom in on the photo, this also shows where the window would be. And --

RACHEL PARRISH: We have spoken to the neighbors directly to the south on the yellow house, and we have gotten permission from them. They think it's a good idea.

The building directly behind us, we've also spoken with and they're okay with it, and then the owners of the house on the other side, the north side, are also in agreement that it's okay.

PHIL MCKENNA: Let's go to the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Pretty good.
PHIL MCKENNA: -- next slide.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that it?
RACHEL PARRISH: Next slide.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, next.
PHIL MCKENNA: Yes. If you zoom in here, this
shows the distance of the south side of the building to the property line, all 1.7'.

And then go to the next slide.
And this shows the distance. On the left, you see approximately $23^{\prime}$ between the two buildings.

RACHEL PARRISH: So the south-facing, the building, south-facing building to us is approximately 23' away from us. So our property is, like, right on the property line, which is why we're asking for this. But there's still remain distance between the two buildings.

PHIL MCKENNA: And I think that's --
JIM MONTEVERDE: okay.
RACHEL PARRISH: Just to summarize, so the goal is
to put in a window into my son's room so it's not a walkthrough room so that he can have -- so we can put up a wall and a door so he can have privacy. That's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
RACHEL PARRISH: -- all we're trying to do.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you.
RACHEL PARRISH: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
No? Okay. Before I open it to public comment,
let me say that we have -- you have covered the neighborhood. Twelve letters in support, and I'll give you the names and dates. June 19 in support Rebecca Hartwell. June 19 -- form letter -- in support, Leno

Tavares. I apologize if I mispronounce any of the names. Same form letter June 19 in support Carol Spinosa, 23 Harding Street. Same form letter in support Joe Kenney, 31 Harding.

Must have done this at Halloween and just walking up and down the street. In support, Virginia Best, 41 Jefferson Street. In support Carl Thien, 47 Harding Street. In support Paolo Magalhaes, 64 Porter Street. In support Robert Belloste, 43 Harding Street.

In support, oh -- sorry, 66 Porter Street,
landlord. In support Kenneth Longo, 11 Hunting, and Rose Biller (phonetic), 11 Hunting. In support, Ming-Lun Tung, 2 Bedford Street. So that's 12 in favor, none in opposition.

Let me open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

And please, if I read your correspondence and you were in support, there's no reason to repeat that to us. We have it all in file and we've read it. Thank you. Anybody else?

OLIVIA RATAY: Ming Tung.
MING TUNG: Yes. I'm here. Hello?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. We can hear you.
MING TUNG: Yeah. I guess, and so, we -- a little redundant, but I'm just calling in in support of the project. I think it won't impact the neighborhood in any negative way, and the kids definitely need it. My daughter is friends with their daughter, so I know the house pretty well, and they're at that age where they need their own privacy.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Thank you for calling in. and that is it. Back to the Board, any discussion/AR we ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready for a motion. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance -- and this is a special permit -- under Sections Article 8 Section 8.22.2.c, and Sections 8.22.2.d, and Article 10 Section 10.40 for a special permit to add an egress window to the second-floor of the south-facing window within the setback on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled, "28 Harding Street, Special Permit Requirements."

This is prepared by Lightbox Architects, and it's dated 07/29/22, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional form submitted as part of the application. And there are no conditions.

On the motion, then, Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor. The special permit is granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Are the proponents still on the line?

PHIL MCKENNA AND RACHEL PARRISH: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I ask you one question not related to this hearing at all? It's an egress window?

PHIL MCKENNA AND RACHEL PARRISH: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's at the second floor?
RACHEL PARRISH: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's a big step. How do you egress through said window? Does anything else come with it? Is it an egress window or just the window?

PHIL MCKENNA: It's --
RACHEL PARRISH: Well --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I've done egress windows before,
because I made the egress -- there's a rope ladder or
something else attached to it. Is that something you're contemplating or --

RACHEL PARRISH: We --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- just strictly an output?
RACHEL PARRISH: -- put -- um-- --
PHIL MCKENNA: Jim, I think it -- it just needs to
be the appropriate size so that --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's the size, yeah.
PHIL MCKENNA: -- yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Assuming it's okay to put a
ladder up and you can --

PHIL MCKENNA: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- get someone down?

PHIL MCKENNA: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. Just
curiosity.
RACHEL PARRISH: Okay.
PHIL MCKENNA: Thank you.
RACHEL PARRISH: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
(8:28 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Daniel Hidalgo, Virginia Keesler, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: And last but not least, Case No.
228381 -- 207 Lexington Avenue, Unit 2. Anyone wishing to
--

OLIVIA RATAY: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- speak on this?
CHRIS TAYLOR: Yep. I'm Chris Taylor. Can you guys hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. We can hear you. Just introduce yourself, please?

CHRIS TAYLOR: Yep. My name is Chris Taylor from CJT Architects. I'm here on behalf of Tom and Nisha Smolenski, who I believe are on this Zoom as well.

And we are proposing a -- a dormer for a bathroom on the third story. And seeking relief because it's within the setback. There's -- yeah, there's no change to the footprint. But we are within the setback. And we're slightly over FAR as well.

So I think we can just jump in to the drawings.

Okay. So this is the -- the floor plan, existing floor plan of the third floor. The area shaded is the proposed dormer, and currently there are two existing dormers -- one facing the street, one facing the south side. We're proposing one on the north side, it would match the other two.

The reason we're doing this: There are two bedrooms on the third floor that do not have a bathroom. So you would have -- to use the bathroom, you have to go down through the kitchen, through the living room, to the downstairs bathroom.

And it's a -- it's a two-family house upstairs. The second floor and third floor are the second unit.

Next page, please?
This is the existing roof plan, showing the two existing dormers and the proposed dormer location.

Next, please?
This is the proposed plan with the bathroom.
Really the only difference between the existing dormers and the proposed one: we're doing a single window. So we don't have to push the shower into the window, you know, by having that single window there. It can be centered, and it works
a little better.
We're also increasing the width of the dormer by 3" to account for insulation. But the height, the roofline, will all be the same.

Next, please?
This is the proposed roof plan showing the two existing dormers and the proposed.

Next, please?
So we have a site plan. And this is on both of the side setbacks in buildings with this nonconforming in the setbacks. We're on the $5^{\prime}$ side.

And next, please?
This is the existing front façade. Next, I think we can go through these pretty, pretty quickly. This is the existing north façade with the dormer sort of dashed. We are removing the existing chimney. It's just not being used.

Next, please?
This is from the rear yard showing the existing dormer, left.

Next, please?
Here's a proposed from the north side. This is
the proposed dormer.
And next, please? This is from the rear yard.
And I think the -- yeah, that last page there with the photos.

So the bottom two pictures are the existing. So -- and the bottom left is the southwest corner. You can see the front dormer and the -- the south dormer is kind of hidden from view by -- like right there, right there.

Bottom right is the existing -- looking from the northwest.

And then above it is the proposed. So that -- I think that's really about it. And I believe we do have some letters of support that have been submitted, including the abutters on that north side, which are the ones that would see this. And let's just -- any other questions? That's it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? Nope? Okay. Before we open it to public comment, we are in receipt of four pieces of correspondence in favor and none in opposition.

In support, Patricia Flaherty lives next door, 211-213 Lexington. Annemarie lives adjacent in support.

James Wood, neighbor on Lexington Avenue, an abutter in support. Timothy and Joan Sawyer in support. None in opposition.

Can I ask you one question? It says Unit 2. Are these -- is this a condo?

THOMAS SMOLENSKI: It is not a condo. It is a two-family.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Perfect. Thank you. Put it up to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one. It's a quiet night on Lexington Street. Okay. Any final comments, discussion from members of the Board, or can we go to a motion?

STEVEN NG: Yeah. We can go to a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Motion? Okay. This is a special
permit. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections Article 5 Section 5.31, Article 8 Section 8.22.2.d and Article 10 Section 10.40 regarding the Special Permit really for the dormers' intrusion into the side yard setback on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "207 Lexington Avenue Unit 2" dated June 12, 2023, prepared by CJT Architects and initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. On the motion?

CHRIS TAYLOR: Excuse me, Chairman. One comment. There's -- we're also over FAR. We're adding 40 square feet, and we are slightly over.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. I'm sorry, yes. So it's two conditions, being --

CHRIS TAYLOR: And --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Intrusion into the side yard setback and then the FAR.

CHRIS TAYLOR: Yes. And the building height, the existing height is approximately 38'8".

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CHRIS TAYLOR: And we're matching the other
dormers. I have no way of knowing without getting up there exactly what it is, but by my calculations it's about 18" below. So I would also be in theory -- seek relief from height.

JIM MONTEVERDE: For the height. Okay. On the motion, then, with those conditions, Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

It's unanimous. Special permit is granted.
Congratulations. Good luck.
THOMAS SMOLENSKI: Thank you. Have a great night.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You too.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: We are done -- in conclusion. STEVEN NG: Goodnight, everyone. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your help. STEVEN NG: Sure. Bye.

BILL BOEHM: Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: See you next time. Bye-bye.
Stay cool.
[8:38 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| role 6:19,21 | saw 78:8 92:1 | Sections 32:4 | 42:10 43:15 | 47:1,10 57:17 |
| roll 3:18 | 93:9 | 44:15 53:18 | 44:18 47:13 | 57:18,20 64:17 |
| $\boldsymbol{r o o f ~ 4 7 : 5 , 5 , 7 , 1 9 ~}$ | Sawyer 117:2 | 110:4,5 118:4 | 57:16,18,20 | 64:20 65:4 |
| 47:21 48:8,10 | saying 30:1 42:8 | see 16:20 21:5,9 | 59:7,8 60:10 | 66:10,12,13 |
| 49:19 51:10 | 43:1 50:3,19 | 38:17 40:7 | 61:8 62:20 | 67:9,10,13 |
| 52:21 54:1 | 64:6 83:14 | 48:14,17 58:12 | 67:6 94:22,22 | 71:3,6,20 |
| 96:22 114:15 | 96:16 99:21 | 59:15 66:14 | 104:21 110:8 | 93:12,12 94:21 |
| 115:6 | 109:2 117:15 | 71:6 76:17 | 113:19,20 | 96:2 104:20,21 |
| roofline 115:3 | says 28:22 29:19 | 78:7 89:5,17 | 118:7,22 | 106:12,12,21 |
| room 38:20 41:4 | 42:8,19 49:12 | 90:6,11 91:10 | setbacks 24:20 | 114:4,5 115:10 |
| 41:8 82:12,14 | 50:7,14 64:2 | 91:18,20 92:7 | 31:13 46:20 | 115:11,22 |
| 107:12,13 | 79:4,5,10,15 | 93:2,13,18,21 | 47:10 53:22 | 116:14 118:7 |
| 114:10 | 80:5 81:14 | 93:22 94:18,19 | 64:18,18 66:10 | 118:21 |
| rooms 81:7 | 83:15 86:1 | 105:10 107:2 | 71:3,6,8 77:20 | sides 31:14 |
| 82:12,14 87:1 | 87:4 88:3 99:4 | 116:6,15 | 115:10,11 | 64:21 |
| 89:18 92:14 | 99:17 108:20 | seeing 62:10 | shaded 114:2 | sideways 41:3 |


| siding 47:5,7,11 | skylight 42:11 | 116:7 | 43:14 44:17 | 102:5 110:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:22 | 44:17 | south-facing | 53:21 65:6 | 118:13 |
| sign 11:5,8,12 | skylights 38:11 | 104:18,20 | 87:22 | Station 25:18 |
| 13:15,18,22 | 39:15 | 105:11 107:4,5 | speed 36:14 | stationery 99:1 |
| 15:12,14,18 | sleeping 82:16 | 110:7 | spent 60:1 | statute 87:22 |
| 18:8,10,14 | slide 27:21 | southwest 116:6 | Spinosa 108:6 | 88:1,9 |
| 90:22 | 37:17 91:2,8 | space 23:6 24:13 | split 24:15 | statutory 11:9 |
| signed 29:9 | 91:14,22 92:1 | 24:21 25:1,2 | spoke 71:3 | 13:19 15:15 |
| significant | 92:3,5 93:1,8 | 27:18,20,22 | spoken 106:7,10 | 18:11 |
| 17:15 25:1 | 93:11 94:9,14 | 28:2,6,7,8,11 | spread 83:12 | stay 81:9 105:7 |
| 68:8 93:19 | 106:1,3,16,18 | 28:13 32:17 | square 28:10 | steep 37:2 |
| signing 52:18 | 107:1 | 37:5,10 38:22 | 38:1 57:3,13 | step 37:13 |
| similar 78:16 | slides 88:22 | 39:2 59:10,12 | 76:14 118:16 | 111:13 |
| 84:20 92:1 | slightly 105:16 | 59:12 75:18 | squeeze $37: 10$ | stepdown 93:14 |
| similarly 87:19 | 113:21 118:17 | 93:7 | 41:4 | Stephan 51:1,2 |
| 88:11 | slopes 47:22 | Sparks 2:4,5,7 | ss 121:3 | 51:21 52:4,6 |
| Simmers 64:10 | small 28:10 | 8:6,12 13:5 | Staff 4:4 23:12 | 52:10,15 53:7 |
| 64:11,13,13 | 56:18 90:1 | speak 4:2 29:17 | 23:15,15 29:22 | 53:10 |
| Simmers's 67:6 | 94:6 98:2,5,10 | 30:3,4 31:6 | 30:2 42:22 | STEPHEN 46:7 |
| 67:20 | smaller 70:2 | 34:17 42:17 | 43:2 49:14 | 46:10,12 48:21 |
| simply 78:14 | Smolenski | 43:3,6 50:13 | 50:18,20 52:11 | 49:5,17 51:22 |
| sincerely 60:19 | 113:16 117:6 | 50:21 63:22 | 54:14 64:5,7 | 52:5 55:8 |
| Singanayagam | 119:22 | 64:8 69:18 | 71:14 97:5 | stepping 6:7 |
| 77:8 | solar 47:18 48:9 | 78:7 89:5 | 98:6,17 99:20 | steps 6:16 |
| single 114:20,22 | 48:10,17 49:14 | 99:15 100:1,3 | 99:22 102:17 | Steve 46:7 |
| single-family | 51:7,8 52:20 | 104:8 108:19 | 109:1,3 117:14 | Steven 1:8 3:5 |
| 105:1 | 54:14 | 109:4 113:9 | 117:16 | 4:10,11 5:10 |
| sir 19:20 | sold 105:2 | 117:10,17 | stair 92:7,9,9,13 | 6:4,5,21 7:2,5 |
| sit 39:2,3 | solicited 23:14 | speakers 4:3 | stairs 36:21 37:2 | 7:12,13,15,16 |
| sitars 37:14 | solution 24:16 | 29:22 42:22 | 37:4,10,15 | 8:4 10:15 |
| site 23:8 38:5 | 105:8 | 50:18 64:5 | 38:18 | 11:21,22 13:3 |
| 46:16,19 57:8 | Somerville 8:11 | 99:20 109:1 | stairway 92:13 | 14:11,12 15:3 |
| 90:7 115:9 | 89:14 | 117:14 | Standard 64:19 | 16:14,15 17:3 |
| sits 71:20 | son's 107:12 | speaking 3:17 | start 4:4,19 | 19:3,4 22:3 |
| Sitting 3:4 8:3 | sorry 27:6,11 | 46:10 51:19 | 61:17 89:10,11 | 32:1,22 33:1 |
| 13:3 15:3 17:3 | 39:14 46:9 | special 43:15 | 100:6 | 34:3,15 35:5,6 |
| 22:3 34:3 36:3 | 51:3,21 52:1,1 | 44:13,16 45:3 | state 3:17 68:2 | 36:3 42:13 |
| 46:3 56:3 74:3 | 58:9 67:5 78:1 | 45:13 57:7 | 78:19 81:2 | 43:9 44:1,2 |
| 104:3 113:3 | 78:17 84:12 | 77:4,16,17,22 | 87:22 88:1,9 | 45:9,10 46:3 |
| situation 84:5,6 | 86:2,3,18,20 | 79:11,16 80:3 | stated 13:9 | 53:15 54:17,18 |
| six 56:18,22 | 86:20 95:7 | 81:16 82:22 | 54:11 66:18 | 56:3 72:4 73:3 |
| 102:19 | 98:4 106:2 | 91:7 100:16 | 102:9,15 | 73:4 74:3 96:1 |
| size 28:6 57:3,13 | 108:14 118:18 | 101:20,21 | statement 16:4 | 96:6,9 101:7 |
| 57:15 59:6 | sort 75:5,22 | 102:7 103:9 | 52:2 | 103:5,6 104:3 |
| 68:4 69:6 82:4 | 78:9 115:15 | 104:19 110:4,6 | statements 14:8 | 110:1,22 111:1 |
| 112:4,5 | sought 31:13,13 | 110:10 111:3 | 18:20 32:11 | 113:3 118:1 |
| sketch 29:2,7,13 | south 97:3 106:8 | 118:2,6 119:20 | 43:21 45:1 | 119:10,11 |
| 32:15 | 106:21 114:4 | specifically | 54:8 72:17 | sticks 37:22 |



| 25:14 105:2 | trash 23:20 | 19:12 33:10 | 83:11 84:9 | 34:4 35:3,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| three-story | travel 24:12 | 44:11 119:20 | 85:19 88:16 | 36:4 44:5,6 |
| 23:10 | tremendous | unanimously | 92:14 99:12 | 45:5,6 46:4 |
| threshold 57:14 | 68:21 | 12:9 | 100:20 114:9 | 54:21,22 56:4 |
| throw 31:8 | tried 66:5 70:4,5 | unclear 56:12 | useful 90:5 | 72:21,22 74:4 |
| THURSDAY | 70:6 78:9 | 94:2 | uses 79:3,13 | 102:21,22 |
| 1:3 | Trilogy 74:11 | uncovered 38:2 | 90:22 | 104:4 108:10 |
| tight 48:10 | trim 47:8 91:1 | understand 5:6 | usually 5:7,15 | 110:16,17 |
| tighter 41:6 | 92:19 | 52:8 65:16,20 | V | 113:4 119:14 |
| time 3:20,21 6:3 | true 121:6 | 66:1 67:5 71:8 | V | 119:15 |
| 8:20 9:8 11:6 | try 6:20 79:22 | understanding | VA 28:14 | virtue 57:22 |
| 13:16 15:13 | trying 39:5 | 77:14 | value 60:18 | visibility 24:7 |
| 18:9 30:1 43:1 | 46:12 80:12 | Understood | variance 31:13 | visual 30:9 |
| 50:19 64:6 | 86:22 107:16 | 20:12 | 32:5,13 33:10 | vocabulary |
| 76:2 77:9 | tucked 96:7 | undertaken | 36:16 43:14,15 | 90:22 |
| 81:20 93:22 | Tung 108:16 | 72:11 | 43:16 44:1,12 | volume 77:18 |
| 94:10 95:3 | 109:10,11,13 | unfortunately | 47:13 53:18,21 | volunteering 7:3 |
| 99:21 103:12 | turn 41:3 92:21 | 5:10 58:15 | 54:10,16 55:7 | volunteers 5:8 |
| 109:2 117:15 | Twelve 108:2 | 59:14 | 57:8,9 66:8 | 5:13 6:15 |
| Timothy 117:2 | twin 40:6 | unit 2:4,5,7,13 | 72:8,9,19 73:7 | vote 5:2 6:3,12 |
| today 8:21 46:13 | two 9:13 15:8 | 2:18 8:6 24:19 | variances 22:12 | 6:13 7:5,12 |
| 59:3 75:1 | 20:6 22:11,14 | 25:14 36:6,15 | 22:17 30:22 | 12:8 14:20 |
| 90:16 | 28:12 29:9 | 36:16 37:19 | variation 15:5 | 16:17 19:11 |
| Tofias 36:8,8,14 | 30:22 31:8,14 | 42:4 81:6 82:3 | various 60:6 | 32:22 33:9 |
| 40:3,5 41:14 | 56:18,19 57:22 | 82:3,5,8,11 | 85:18 89:16 | 34:14 35:8 |
| 45:14 | 59:22 64:15 | 113:6 114:13 | vehicle 24:7 | 44:10 45:11 |
| told 51:12 52:11 | 71:8 77:9 93:2 | 117:4 118:9 | verify $4: 5$ | 55:5 73:6 |
| Tom 113:15 | 93:3,7 98:20 | units 25:13 | version 58:11 | 103:8 119:19 |
| tonight 5:6 8:14 | 105:1 107:3,8 | 57:21 82:7,9 | 62:10,11 86:12 | voted 6:11 |
| 8:17 9:4,22 | 114:3,6,7,15 | 82:17 96:4 | Vice 1:7 4:20 | votes 3:18 |
| 56:11 89:14 | $115: 6116: 5$ $118 \cdot 19$ | unlimited 87:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 2,56: 15,15 \\ & 6: 16,19,207: 2 \end{aligned}$ | W |
| 91:7 tenight's 3.7 | 118:19 | unmute 29:21 | 6:16,19,20 7:2 | $\qquad$ |
| tonight's 3:7 | two-36:15 | 29:22 42:21,22 | 7:5 | wait 20:22 |
| Tony 56:13 | two-and-a-half | 50:17,18 64:4 | video 3:12 | waiver 11:9,10 |
| top 105:10 | 105:3 | 64:5 99:19,20 | view 36:20 89:2 | 13:19,20 15:15 |
| top-down | two-family | 108:22 109:1 | 89:3 90:10 | 15:16 18:11,12 |
| 105:21 | 114:12 117:7 | 117:13,14 | 91:4,9,15,20 | walk 36:13 |
| total 69:9,11 | two-story 75:16 | unsuccessful | 116:8 | 105:9 |
| 92:14 | typically 23:14 | 81:13 | violating 82:18 | walk- 107:12 |
| towering 68:7 | 41:1 | upper 28:10 | violation $82: 1$ Virginia $1: 83.4$ | walk-through |
| town 76:17 <br> tradition 5.3 | U | 90:2 94:6 | Virginia 1:8 3:4 $4: 6,75: 116: 7$ | 105:3 |
| tradition 5:3 <br> Traffic 24:6 | ultimate 51:14 | upstairs $114: 12$ use 3:10 24:8,16 | $4: 6,75: 116: 7$ $6: 87: 5,610: 19$ | $\text { wall } 61: 10$ |
| transcript 3:14 | um-- 112:2 | 53:19 75:21 | 10:21 12:1,2 | 107:13 |
| 121:6 | unambiguous | 76:6 78:22 | 13:3 14:13,14 | walls 58:12,13 |
| transit-oriented | 80:7 | 79:2,18 80:12 | 15:3 16:12,13 | 58:16 |
| 25:17 | unanimous 6:12 | 81:14,18 82:8 | 17:3 19:5,6 | want 8:22 9:17 |
| trapezoid 26:11 | 14:21 16:18 | 82:15 83:9,10 | 22:4 33:4,5 | 10:7,8 26:6 |


| 38:15 47:4 | we've 20:6 22:12 | 69:18 99:15 | X | 20:12 27:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51:18 59:14 | 23:12 24:4,6 | 108:19 117:10 | X 2:1 | 40:4 49:21 |
| 61:2 62:16 | 24:22 28:6,7 | wishing 30:4 | XUE 59:19 | 63:6 64:12 |
| 70:176:19 | 37:9,10 40:22 | 31:6 46:6 56:6 | 61:22 62:8,10 | 71:12 74:9 |
| 78:14 81:22 | 41:11 90:13,13 | 74:6 100:3 | 62:13,20 63:6 | 87:17 95:16 |
| 83:2 95:11 | 92:19 105:6 | 113:6 | 63:10,14,17 | 98:19 104:12 |
| 104:22 105:4,4 | 106:10 109:8 | withdraw 20:10 | 73:9 | 106:4 107:15 |
| wanted 47:20 | webpage 3:22 | 34:6,19 |  | 109:12 113:8 |
| 61:5,14 66:8 | week 11:13 14:1 | withdrawn | Y | 113:10,12,14 |
| 77:12 | 15:19 18:15 | 34:12 35:9 | yard 22:11 | 119:3 |
| wants 5:8 34:17 | welcome 19:15 | witness 121:12 | 40:14 43:14 | Yu 56:7, 8 60:21 |
| 43:6,6 59:18 | 45:15 | Wittig 74:21 | 47:10 66:10 | 69:19,22 70:8 |
| War 68:10 | went 47:2 68:14 | wonderful 76:13 | 71:3,6 94:22 | 73:11 |
| warranted 9:11 | 75:7,12 | wondering | 96:2 104:21 $115 \cdot 19116: 2$ | Z |
| wasn't 51:20 | weren't 85:21 | 64:22 96:4 | 115:19 116:2 | $\frac{Z}{\text { Zero } 77 \cdot 1}$ |
| 52:2 | whereof 121:12 | wood 53:22 | 118:7,21 | Zero 77:1 |
| way 5:6 22:19 | white 61:22 90:1 | 117:1 | yeah $5: 1,11,15$ | zero-23:16 |
| 29:1 68:12 | width $22: 19$ | word 68:9 80:2 | 8:9 10:14 18:1 | zero-lot 23:5,9 |
| 72:7 89:15,19 | 23:4 24:3 25:5 | words 83:12 | 26:6 30:19 | 23:11 |
| 91:16 92:9 | 29:7,12 115:2 | work 10:17 32:6 | 34:9 39:18 | zoning 1:1 3:11 |
| 94:1 98:8 | wife 56:18 | 43:17 44:18 | 40:13,19 49:18 | 24:14 25:20 |
| 101:16 109:16 | William 1:9 | 48:1,21 49:18 | 58:10,10 61:2 | 28:3,5 40:16 |
| 119:5 | 64:10,11,13,13 | 54:3 72:12 | 62:8,20 63:10 | 47:2,12 48:2 |
| ways $22: 16$ | Williams 8:3 | 76:9,12 93:2,3 | 67:8,18,20 | 56:11 58:22 |
| we'll 5:2,4 20:17 | willing 25:8 | 96:16 101:22 | 69:22 72:4 | 60:4 61:6,8 |
| 48:10 53:11 | 100:18 | 102:18 110:8 | 86:10,14 89:9 | 71:6,10 80:18 |
| we're 4:19 5:6 | Willis 42:13 | 118:8 | 89:12 90:9 | 84:22 87:4 |
| 17:15,17 22:5 | window 38:12 | workable 17:20 | 91:3,22 92:6 | 88:8 100:13,17 |
| 24:18 25:12,18 | 38:13 39:12,16 | worked 59:21 | 92:15 94:1,15 | 100:18 101:1 |
| 26:7 28:3,5 | 93:17 104:18 | 85:1 | 95:6,13,20 | zoom 29:18 |
| 32:1 36:16 | 105:11,13,19 | working 20:6 | 96:5,6,9 97:20 | 37:18 42:18 |
| 37:7,22 38:3 | 105:21 106:6 | 22:13 46:17 | 98:1,2,6,12 | 50:14 64:1 |
| 39:5,9 43:9 | 107:12 110:7,7 | works 18:2 92:4 | 105:9 109:13 | 99:16 105:15 |
| 46:17 48:13,22 | 111:9,14,15,15 | 105:8 114:22 | 112:5,6,11,12 | 106:5,20 |
| 49:19 56:22 | 114:20,21,22 | World 68:10 | 113:19 116:3 | 108:20 113:16 |
| 57:4,21 59:3,8 | windows 39:17 | worms 87:10 | 118:1 | 117:11 |
| 62:4,16 64:15 | 42:11 44:17 | wouldn't 87:11 | year 4:21,22 5:5 | 0 |
| 67:4 71:4 72:4 | 74:21 91:1 | 87:13 94:15 | 57:2,2,13 | - 0 |
| 77:15,20 82:17 | 92:18 111:18 | wow 95:11 | 74:20 93:22 | $0.5 \text { 57:14 }$ |
| 82:18 83:5 | wing 91:10 | wrap 30:4 43:4 | 94:10 | $0.75 \text { 57:5 }$ |
| 86:2 88:14 | Winter 2:10,12 | 50:22 64:9 | year's 59:11 | 01 61:20 <br> 02/09/23 2.7 |
| 94:21 95:8 | 20:16 22:6,21 | 100:2 109:5 | years 20:7 22:14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \mathbf{0 2} / \mathbf{0 9} / \mathbf{2 3} 2: 7 \\ \mathbf{0 2} / \mathbf{2 3} / \mathbf{2 3} 2: 4,9 \end{array}$ |
| 101:7 104:19 | 22:22 23:6,10 | 117:18 | 30:22 59:22 | $0210874: 12$ |
| 107:7,16 110:1 | 32:7 34:20 | writing 42:2 | 65:13 89:19 |  |
| 113:20 114:5,7 | wish 29:17 | written 41:21 | 105:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 2 1 3 9} 1: 6 \\ & \mathbf{0 4 / 1 3} / 232 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 114:20 115:2 | 42:17 | 66:7 72:7 | yellow 94:3 | 04/13/23 2:10 |
| 115:11 118:16 | wishes 17:6 36:7 | 100:14 | 106:8 | 05/11/23 2:6 |
| 118:16 119:4 | 50:13 63:22 |  | Yep 5:20 20:2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{0 5} / \mathbf{1 6} / 2354: 5 \\ \mathbf{0 6 / 0 1 / 2 3} 62: 5 \end{array}$ |


| 06/08/23 43:19 | 1835 75:21 | 88:2 | $40118: 16$ | 64 108:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/29/22 110:12 | $1956: 17$ 96:18 | 223456 36:6 | 41 108:10 | 65 2:4,5,7 8:6 |
|  | 97:7 102:12 | 225121 46:5 | 42 2:14 46:5 | 13:5 |
| 1 | 108:3,4,6 | 225347 20:16 | 54:4 | 66 108:14 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 125: 1428: 12 \\ 62: 1872: 14 \end{array}$ | 1972 17:8 22:9 | 22:6 | $43108: 13$ 4467.3 | $\frac{7}{7}$ |
| 1.4 57:19 60:10 | 2 | 228381 113:6 | 46 2:14 | 779:6,7,8,9,16 |
| 1.4' 57:18 | 2 2:16,18 3:8 | 228569 74:5 | 47 72:13 108:11 | 80:3 91:3 |
| 1.7' 106:22 | 74:5 75:4 | 23 108:6 | 47-49 56:16 | 7' 64:20 |
| 10 23:10 32:5 | 96:18 102:1 | 23' 107:3,5 | 48 8:10 13:7 | 7'11 64:19 |
| 43:13 44:16 | 108:16 113:6 | 231-235 2:8 17:6 | 15:7 | 7'664:20 |
| 49:12,22 50:9 | 117:4 118:9 | 18:6 | 49 2:15 56:5,8,8 | 7.5' 71:7,18 |
| 53:21 72:9 | 2' 41:1 | 24 63:7 | 56:21 | 7.7 57:19 60:10 |
| 77:18 78:4 | 2'3 38:9 40:14 | 25 63:777:19 |  | 7:02 46:2 |
| 101:21 110:6 | 2'6 41:1 | 25' 24:2 25:5 | 5 | 7:15 56:2 |
| 118:5 | 2,500 59:6 | 29:1,6 30:10 | $532: 4$ 43:12 | 7:40 74:2 |
| 10' 22:19 23:18 | 2,511 57:13 | 30:10,15,17 | 53:19 72:8 | $742: 16$ |
| 23:22 | 20 25:13 | 259 89:13 | 95:5,6,10 | 75 58:13 |
| 10.3 32:5 43:13 | 20' 23:19 64:21 | 27 32:8 87:12 | 101:20 118:4 | 8 |
| 10.30 53.21 | $71: 7,9,16,20$ $71: 22$ | $99: 9$ <br> 98 | 5' $46: 21115: 11$ $\mathbf{5 , 0 3 9} 57 \cdot 4$ | $\frac{8}{82: 4,10,12}$ |
| $10.3053: 21$ $\mathbf{1 0 . 4} 101: 21$ | 2022 56:17 | 28 2:13,17 10:12 | 5,039 57:4 $\mathbf{5 . 2 2 . 3} 53: 20$ | 8 2:4,10, 12 $20: 1622: 6$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 0} 44: 16$ | 2023 1:3 3:8 5:3 | 11:18 13:14,16 | $\mathbf{5 . 2 6} 82: 2,2,18$ | 32:6 34:20 |
| 110:6 118:6 | 11:6 16:2 18:7 | 14:6 15:11,13 | $5.3132: 443: 13$ | 43:13 44:15,20 |
| 10:30 78:8 | 18:9,22 32:8 | 16:2 17:20 | 53:19 72:9 | 53:20 64:14 |
| 104 2:17 | 42:14 44:21 | 18:1,7,9,22 | 101:20 118:5 | 65:8,8 72:9 |
| 11 108:15,16 | 49:12 50:3,6,9 | 36:6,11,15 | 5:00 11:17 14:5 | 101:20 110:5 |
| 11:00 78:8 | 61:18,20 62:2 | 43:17 44:19 | 16:1 18:22 | 118:5 |
| 113 2:18 | 62:18 72:14 | 58:10 104:5,17 | 50 38:1 | 8.22 78:2 |
| 12 22:22 42:4,8 | 96:16,19 99:9 | 110:9 | $562: 15$ | 8.22.2.c 44:15 |
| 74:11 92:5 | 102:2,10,12 | 29 23:4,21 94:14 |  | 101:21 110:5 |
| 96:15 102:10 | 118:9 121:13 |  | $\frac{6}{629.2142 .21}$ | 8.22.2.d 110:5 |
| 108:17 118:9 | 2024 5:4,5 | 3 | 629:21 42:21 | 118:5 |
| 121:18 | 2026 121:18 | 3 89:11 94:7 | 50:3,6,17 64:4 | 8.22.3 43:13 |
| 12,2023 54:13 | 206407 8:15 | 115:3 | 90:8 95:13 | 72:9 |
| 13 1:3 2:5 26:10 | 15:6 16:8 | 3'10 38:7 | 98:22 99:19 | 8:18 104:2 |
| 28:20 29:6,8 | $2072: 18$ 113:6 | 3,710 57:3 | 108:22 117:13 | 8:28 113:2 |
| 97:8 102:2 | 118:9 | 31 94:19 108:7 | 6:00 1:4 3:3 11:7 | 8:38 120:2 |
| 140 88:2 | 208873 18:6 | 34 2:10 | 13:17 15:13 | $8311: 5$ |
| 15 2:7 92:15 | 209310 8:6,15 | 36 2:13 | $18: 9$ 6.068 .2 |  |
| 15' $47: 11$ 15'x15' $28: 6$ | 11:20 | 37 57:3 | 6:06 8:2 6:11 $13: 2$ | $\frac{9}{929: 2142: 21}$ |
| 15'x15' $28: 6$ $1692 \cdot 14$ | 21 61:18 | 38'8 119:2 | 6:111 $\mathbf{6 : 1 3 : 2}$ $\mathbf{6}: 15$ $15: 2$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 929: 2142: 21 \\ 50: 1764: 4 \end{array}$ |
| $1692: 14$ $172: 8$ | 211-213 116:22 $\mathbf{2 1 1 5 7 1} 34: 8,20$ | 39 58:7,8 3D 93:9 95:5 | 6:13 15:2 $\mathbf{6 : 1 5} 17: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:17 64:4 } \\ & 99: 19 \text { 108:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 172:8 ${ }^{17}$ 71:21 | 211571 34:8,20 | 3D 93:9 95:5 | 6:15 $17: 2$ $\mathbf{6 : 3 0} 20: 16,17$ | 99:19 17:13 |
| 170 89:19 | 215873 8:15 | 4 | 21:3,6,9 |  |
| 18 91:5 105:6 | 13:6 14:11 | 4 2:4,5,7 8:6 | 6:31 22:2 |  |
| 119:6 | 22 2:12 3:13 | 42:14 53:18 | 6:46 34:2 |  |
|  |  | 4.21 53:18 | 6:4836:2 |  |

