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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:00 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Matina
        Williams, Thomas Miller, and Michael
        Larosa
    JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the September 28, 2023
meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is
Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair. Pursuant to Chapter 2
of the Acts of 2023 adopted by the Massachusetts Court, and
approved by the Governor, the City is authorized to use
remote participation at meetings of the Cambridge Board of
Zoning Appeal.
This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge.
```

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until
it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you also can find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

And for the continued cases that we'll start with, we have Matina?
[Pause]
No. Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: Present.
MATINA WILLIAMS: Sorry. Jim, did you not hear me? Matina Williams present.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Steve?
STEVEN NG: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Present. And sorry, my video is not working at the moment.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay.
(6:11 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Matina Williams, Thomas Miller, Bill Boehm, Virginia Keesler, and Michael Larosa JIM MONTEVERDE: Our first cases are the 65 Sparks Street Unit 4 trio. The first case and all three are being -- we have a letter in the file from Attorney Dash requesting that these be withdrawn without prejudice.

ADAM DASH: Thank you. Good evening, Mr. Chair. Adam Dash, 48 Grove Street in Somerville, representing the applicants on 65 Sparks Street. This is regarding cases 206407, 209310, and 215873, which are companion cases. The applicants wish not to pursue this dormer project any further. The applicants wish to thank the Board and the City Staff for their work on these matters and for their flexibility, and for that we would ask to withdraw all three without prejudice.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. So I will make a motion -- we'll do these one at a time, right? First will be 209310, and the Chair makes a motion to withdraw without prejudice this case.

And I'll ask for a vote. Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: I'm fine with the withdrawal.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: Approve.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: Approve.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Approve of the withdrawal.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde approves as well.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the matter is granted. It is withdrawn without prejudice.

We'll go to the next one. And that is BZA 212873

- 65 Sparks Street, Unit 4. Again we have a letter in the file from Attorney Dash, asking that the cases be withdrawn without prejudice. So vote on the motion: Matina?

MATINA WILLIAMS: Approve the motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: Approve.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: Approve the motion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?

MICHAEL LAROSA: Approve the motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde approves.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the motion is carried. And

Case 215873 is withdrawn without prejudice.
Last, we have 206407 - 65 Sparks Street Unit 4,
and we have a letter in the file from Attorney Dash asking that the case be withdrawn without prejudice. So the Chair makes a motion to withdraw the case without prejudice.

For a vote, Matina?
MATINA WILLIAMS: Approve the withdrawal.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: Approve.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: Approve the motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Michael?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Approve the motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Jim Monteverde approves.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The matter is withdrawn without
prejudice.

ADAM DASH: Do I need to sign these?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

ADAM DASH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of
the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
ADAM DASH: Good evening.
MATINA WILLIAMS: I believe that's it for me, please Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, hang on one second before you go away.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me shuffle some papers. All
right. So who do we have? Bill, are you with us?
BILL BOEHM: Yes. Bill's here.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Tom? Yep. Virginia, are
you there still?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Michael?
[Pause]
Michael, are you still with us?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Yes. I'm still here, but I
didn't think $I$ was on these for tonight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We have too many. Yeah, Michael
you don't have to be on, right?
MICHAEL LAROSA: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So let's see. We have Bill, Tom,
Virginia, myself, and Steven - Steven Ng. Steven, are you
still with us?
STEVEN NG: Yes. Steve Ng is present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That's our team for this
evening for the rest of them - Bill, Tom, Virginia, myself,
and Steven.
So again, we're going through the continued cases.
(6:16 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is 208873-231-235

Third Street.

MATINA WILLIAMS: Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight, Matina. Thank you for your help.

MATINA WILLIAMS: You're welcome. Have a good evening.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You too. Is there anyone wishing to speak on 231-235 Third Street?

DANIEL ANDERSON: Hi, Mr. Chair. Sorry. Dan
Anderson, Partner at Anderson Porter Design --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Hi, Dan.
DANIEL ANDERSON: -- here for 231-235 Third
Street. Are you able to hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we are.
DANIEL ANDERSON: Apologies. I'm calling in from
my phone. Cambridge Traffic was absolutely horrible this evening. So regarding our case, unfortunately the sign
board posting was not updated in a timely fashion. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

DANIEL ANDERSON: And so, the results -- I'm here to ask for a continuance. And I'm hoping that it is possible to be -- to open this case on your next hearing, October 12.

I actually went and updated the sign board to reflect that date. It's actually changeable, but it would be timely for the twelfth hearing, if that was possible.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I don't see the
correspondence in the package. Give me one second.
DANIEL ANDERSON: Absolutely.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There was a bunch of corporate security back and forth in the past day or so from the Historical Commission and then from neighbors. No. No. To continue is fine, it's just a question of we want to get the community, you know - the Historical Commission, right? We have that correspondence?
[Side conversation]
Yeah, but wasn't this -- all of this about -excuse me one second, I'm going to disconnect myself.
[Pause]

So Dan, we have correspondence, I believe, from the Neighborhood Group. We have correspondence from the Historical Commission, and then we have correspondence after that from the Neighborhood Group who have requested -- and pardon me if $I$ paraphrase it wrong, but -- or incorrectly, but a hearing with the Historical Commission, which is going to happen on October 7.

This is obviously about landmarking two properties. They're being demolished, I believe. And that is scheduled for October 7. So our next available date is October 12 to continue the hearing to.

Do you want to go for October 12, or do you want to go for a little further down to see what happens with the other hearing?

DANIEL ANDERSON: I think that October 12 would be appropriate. Yes. We are in fact on for -- to hear a petition to the Cambridge Historical Commission to initiate the Landmark status.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
DANIEL ANDERSON: And that is on the seventh. That matter, I would imagine, would be settled by the time we'd be in front of you on the twelfth, and we'd like to go

```
forward with a hearing of the special permit. We will be withdrawing the request for a variance but moving forward with the special permit.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
DANIEL ANDERSON: At least that's my anticipation.
```

So we will be prepared for the twelfth.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. October 12, 6:00 p.m.?
DANIEL ANDERSON: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wait, wait. Let me make a
motion.
DANIEL ANDERSON: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Motion to continue. Let me make a motion to continue this matter to October 12, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of October 12, 2023, and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also in furtherance that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for a hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay with the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to us by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de
facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, if there are any new submittal information,
changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any
supporting statements that those be in our files by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter until
October 12, 2023, vote by the Board members please.
Bill?
BILL BOEHM: I approve this. I agree with that. I'm okay with it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks. Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: Approve.
BILL BOEHM: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven Ng?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: So the matter is continued until
October 12 at 6:00 p.m.
DANIEL ANDERSON: Thank you. See you then.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Good luck.
(6:22 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA 223469 -- 50

Concord Avenue. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on that case?

KELLY BOUCHER: Yes. My name is Kelly Boucher, and I'm the architect for the property at 50 Concord Ave.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. Good evening.
KELLY BOUCHER: Good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you going to read this entire report to us?

KELLY BOUCHER: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's dated --
KELLY BOUCHER: I can summarize for you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Good. I got to that point too. All right. Take it away.

KELLY BOUCHER: Okay. Olivia, do you share the screen, or would you like me to?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, we'll control the screen.
Thanks. Where would you like us to --

KELLY BOUCHER: Go ahead and start with the architectural drawings, and then we can flip over to the civil drawings quickly afterwards.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Has anything changed in the submission beside the report from the engineering firm?

KELLY BOUCHER: No. Our design is as it was at the original time of submission. We originally continued our case in response to letters in the file before our hearing, and we wanted to leave some time to fully investigate the matter, and also coordinate with the neighbors.

That isn't -- that's not the right set. That's Clifton Street, which also is 50 , so slightly confusing. We're 50 Concord.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay. What are we looking for, the architectural?
[Pause]
KELLY BOUCHER: There. That's it. Great. Thank you. Feel free to scroll to the page after the cover sheet, Olivia. One before, and I'll review the Zoning summary. So 50 Concord Ave is a single-family house located on a very steep site that slopes from Concord Ave down
towards the back, almost 20 feet over the length of the lot. And the existing building, as it stands now, is nonconforming for side yard setback.

The front half of the lot on Concord Ave is
located in a Res C-1 zone. The back part of the lot is located in a Res A-2 zone. And so, our numbers are combined for here. The existing building is existing nonconforming for GFA and also FAR.

It's also nonconforming for side yard setbacks. It's located in a Res C-1, so it has multiplane setbacks. And the addition of -- the proposed addition will change that slightly.

And it's also nonconforming for height, because the average rate is quite low at the back. It's a threestory building, but the basement is a full walk-out at the rear.

Our project proposes a basement addition. And the reason for this addition is that the homeowner's first floor living area is on the floor above, which is actually the dining room floor.

And outside of their dining and kitchen area, they were looking to add some outdoor space. Currently, that
space is almost eight feet above grade at the door. So they were looking to add a deck.

And while, you know, looking at their options, they thought it would be an opportunity to get a room under the deck as well, since it's already exposed at the basement level.

Can you flip to the next page, Olivia?
So here you can see our existing GFA is 4,793
square feet. We're looking to add an additional 373 square feet only at the basement area to the rear of the property.

Next slide?
So here you can see our existing open space and proposed open space are both compliant. The rear addition still complies to the rear yard setback, and so, our open space will still be compliant in the proposed situation.

Next slide?
Here's a diagram of our steep, slopey site. You can see on the left-hand side, which is the Concord Ave Street facing side our grade is almost 100. And then down at the back property, we are more in the low - in the mid 70s. So quite a steep slope.

And when we extend out the back to the lowest part
of the site, that changes our average grade, because now we have to start calculating a little further of a footprint in the low part of the site, although our addition, as you can see from the diagram on the bottom -- the roof of our addition is still lower than the grade on Concord Ave, street face.

Next slide? Thank you.
So here you can see existing and proposed first floor and basement plans -- existing along the top, proposed on the bottom. We're looking to add a $16.5 \times 22.5$ single room addition with the roof deck above. That opens off of the dining room to the rear yard, and provides a little bit more usable open space for the homeowners for outside dining and entertaining. That's adjacent to the house.

Next slide?
Here you can see the front elevation on the right, existing and proposed. No change. The left side elevation, here you can see the proposed addition is quite low from the street.

Next slide?
And at the rear you can see we've added a lot of windows into -- to make a sunroom at the lowest level with
the roof deck off of the existing French doors from the dining room.

Currently, those doors are there. There's historic beautiful, old pair of doors. And they come out to a stair with no landing at the top. So you're up many feet above grade to our very steep stair, and your open space is actually much lower than the room that you're standing in.

And so, the addition of this -- the addition of the extra space here will allow the homeowners to extend their dining room out to use some of the -- their open back yard during the nice weather.

Next slide?

Here's a site section. And you can see the way the grade cuts across the building. The tallest part on the left is, again, the front of the house at Concord Ave. And the back where it's sloping away is where our proposed garden level addition is, in section.

And here is a few existing photos of what it looks like now -- a proposed view at the bottom, and the existing condition view up at the top showing our addition.

Next?

Next are just the site plan and some photos of
what the house looks like now. And a little bit of history is that we were scheduled to be heard a few months ago.

We heard there were neighbor concerns about the addition, especially the lower neighbors in the rear were concerned about water sheeting off into their site. And so, as a response, the homeowner continued the case, hired a Civil Engineer to take a look at the site drainage and propose a new plan, which I'm not sure if we can pull it up.

But they did full hydraulic calculations on the site and designed a back yard containment system -infiltration system that's over 22' $x$ 12' $^{\prime}$ long to go in the back yard to catch any runoff in a holding basin before it gets down to our neighbors, and to hold that water until the ground is less saturated to percolate down to help alleviate the current conditions.

According to that report, there will be less water sheeting off of our site with the infiltration system than the current conditions. So that is our presentation. Oh, I do see the Civil drawings in there.

If you want to scroll up a little bit, one that's actually a drawing - oh, 46, Olivia. You can see them on the way out. Great.

So if you look here, on the right is the site improvement plan. Our proposed addition is shown, as well as the stair going down to the back yard.

And you can see there's an infiltration system designed $23.8^{\prime}$ long by $6^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$, and it's made of big chambers that hold the runoff water underground in a storm situation that would not only offset the size of our addition but make an improved condition overall stormwater wise.

And in addition to this drawing, there's a very long report to back up the hydraulic calculations that were included with the application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Now, just for the information for the Board, before we open it up to discussion, questions from members of the Board, so this is a special permit.

Can you, Olivia, go back to the slide that shows the Zoning Request and Summary? If we can, Kelly, I just want to look for a moment just so we are clear on what the zoning related items are?

KELLY BOUCHER: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Then we can talk about -- later
we can talk about neighborhood concerns.
KELLY BOUCHER: Sure. The existing house is
nonconforming in several locations: Floor area --so GFA and
FAR as they're related -- side yard setback multiplane calculations, and building height overall from the average grade line, which is quite low from Concord Ave anyway.

Our proposed addition increases the nonconforming GFA by 373 square feet and because it's $16^{\prime}$ longer on the back, the sides, the multiplane side where you have to do the $H$ plus the $L$ over 5 --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- changes --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- with the length change. And we're also sort of -- and I know you guys see this a lot, but when we're using a different average grade number, that changes our existing height.

So the ridge is not changing, the front elevation isn't changing, the building isn't going anywhere, but effectively because the grade is so low at the back and we need to work that low grade into our average grade number, the difference between the average grade and the existing
ridge height is a little bit bigger.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Understood. Understood.
KELLY BOUCHER: Yep. So those are the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the side yard --
KELLY BOUCHER: -- three things that we're looking
at.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Did you talk about the side yard setbacks?

KELLY BOUCHER: I did. So there --
JIM MONTEVERDE: An increase?

KELLY BOUCHER: They're increasing because the
length in the $H+L$ over 5 -

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- that equation is increasing
and therefore the set - the building is not getting closer to the setbacks per se, and our addition is even further back from the existing setback, so we're not at the old line. But the formula changes becoming the length changes --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- out of this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So of all of those
categories: FAR nonconforming side yards, nonconforming height, is that an existing condition, or is that just --

KELLY BOUCHER: They're all three are existing nonconforming.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KELLY BOUCHER: Are nonconforming that are being slightly extended by our proposal --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- but not creating any new
nonconformities or any variance requests.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Yep. That's where I was going with all of this. And as far as the report, the infiltration report - and if $I$ recall correctly, but stop me if I'm wrong - what the report talks about is that the system that's been proposed, that's being designed, is designed around a 25-year storm event. Correct?

KELLY BOUCHER: Yes. I believe that's correct, although I'm not a civil engineer. And I also get lost in the minutiae of their very comprehensive report.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. That's -- the way I read it, it was -- where this all -- once it passes, but what it's designed to is the 25-year flood, the tabulations are
set up on a two-year storm event, 10-, 100- and then 25-. So doesn't make the 100- but makes the 25-. It's certainly a 10- and a two-.

KELLY BOUCHER: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Any questions from members of the Board? Please state your name first.

IDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On the 25-year calculation, I had something that I also have - because I talked to the Civil Engineer, I understand from the Civil Engineer that it is a similar 100-year flood event today, right? It's only a 25-year event in 2027 because of a climate change.

So that now we are doing 25 years in 2027, as opposed to 100-years today, which I understand is a comparable amount.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you identify yourself,
please? This was for members of the Board. I don't recognize your voice as a member of the Board.

KELLY BOUCHER: I'm very sorry. This is, frankly, the homeowner of --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- 50 Concord Avenue.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Can you hold off for a moment and
let me take questions from the Board, and then if you have a comment --

KELLY BOUCHER: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I'm happy to hear it.
BILL BOEHM: Hi. I have one question. The proposed drainage system, I see a catch basin indicated on the plan and $I^{\prime} m$ just curious, does the infiltration system pick up sheeting groundwater that's coming down the slope as well as the catch -- the water coming into the catch base that I see indicated next to the proposed addition?

KELLY BOUCHER: Um --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The site plan?
KELLY BOUCHER: Yeah. We do have our site plan. It doesn't look like they're adding a drainage system going around the house, but they did calculate all the water that sheets down from the front yard down around the sides currently in their calculations.

STEVEN NG: Could you zoom in onto that design plan? Yeah. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't see a drainage structure, do you, Bill? Did you see it somewhere else?

BILL BOEHM: The drainage structure? So I see
downspouts. So it's picking up water off of the roof of the proposed addition, which I think the neighbors' concern was, you know, you're adding hardscape, adding more hardscape to this lot that, you know, increases runoff.

So I'm just trying to understand it myself. It
looks like this is picking up the water from the addition roof, which is the new hard surface, and feeding it into this drainage basin.

I guess that takes care of that problem, and the reason it's better than before is because before there was, you know, a certain amount of water that would fall there anyways.

STEVEN NG: That's right.
BILL BOEHM: And now it's concentrated on the roof and brought into that drainage structure. So I believe that's how it works. I just --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: -- wanted to kind of think that through.

KELLY BOUCHER: I agree that that's the intent of the structure.

BILL BOEHM: Okay.

STEVEN NG: I'm seeing the proposed grading. It's sloping in a way that the water is not - should not sheet over the abutters on either side. The water could come down a hill and kind of turn, still stay on the property.

KELLY BOUCHER: Yeah. The intent is to create a little swell in the middle, and then down towards the back, which is similar to how it is today. It does slope --

STEVEN NG: Right.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- front to back, and not as much side to side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board? No? Did the proponent have something they wanted to add before we go into public comment?
[Pause]
No? Okay. Before we open it up to public commentary, we have one letter in the file from the neighbors behind you -- two neighbors behind you. Mary and David McElroy at 7 Healey and Brad Miller and Lindsay Pitt at 5 Healey Street. This is dated September 27. I'll try and summarize it.

They are repeating the items that we just talked about, the increased FAR and increased height. And they are
mentioning also -- they're not saying if they're in support, or they're objecting, but they certainly seem to be objecting without saying it boldly. And I'll just read this:
"We also believe the project should be evaluated in light of plans that were not included in the $Z B A$ submission or Engineering Analysis drawing but documented in a drawing given to us by and discussed with the owner of 50 Concord.
"And those include extending the driveway with a turnaround, adding a patio at the rear of the proposed addition, adding retaining walls adjacent to the proposed construction, and removal of vegetation."

So a question, Kelly, for you, is are the drawings that we have in front of us dated 05/19/23 the complete set of documentation, or are there other drawings that portray work that you intend to do, but haven't been --

KELLY BOUCHER: No, those are the drawings that we are looking to build. We did it at certain stages of the schematic designs last spring.

Looked at a patio in the rear, which we have since omitted - you know, based on neighbor feedback, and there
was some study of maybe reconfiguring the parking spaces in the front to be a little bit more code-complying. Right now, they're in the front yard.

But we're not electing to do any of that work now, and if we did, it would either need a BZA hearing such as this, or it would be an as-of-right proposal.

But for right now, we are only focused on the back addition, and we've sort of put down all of the other balls that were possibly in the air. Maybe they might do them in the future, but --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- you know, we would be subject to regular turn of events if that were true.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So I think that
correspondence is referring to plans that they had seen previously that had some work not portrayed on the ones that you have in front of us for review and approval tonight and are not part of your proposed immediate construction.

Okay. That was it. That was the one letter in the file. And I will now open this up to open this up to public comments. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom
screen that says, "Raise hand."
If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I will ask you to wrap up.

Is there anyone --
OLIVIA RATAY: Brad Miller?

BRAD MILLER: Hi. My name is Brad Miller. I'm at 5 Healey Street. Just wanted to mention a couple things. Earlier in today's meeting when these slides were posted, I think it was page 36 that was briefly displayed. That shows the patio is part of this package. And that shows the patio extension going further out. And even if that's not what they're asking for today, it's part of the potential overall project. But once this addition gets built, then maybe that patio is a matter of right.

So that's part of our concern about the building getting pushed further down toward our property and our neighbors' property at 7 Healey.

Also, a lot of your answer -- and I don't know if you can find it, if you can page back to that page, it was shown on our screen briefly this evening during the meeting, so it must be part of the package.

KELLY BOUCHER: It was shown in one of the letters of opposition and not in our submitted package, just for clarification.

BRAD MILLER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So we're reviewing the --
BRAD MILLER: No, I understand. My question --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- that were submitted. So ask your question, please.

BRAD MILLER: My question is, if that proposed addition is there, is that patio extension -- does that then become a matter of right that it wouldn't be today?

JIM MONTEVERDE: It wouldn't be part of this hearing --

BRAD MILLER: -- okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- that's all $I$ can say.
BRAD MILLER: Also, you sort of glossed -- you went through them relatively quickly, but these are significant exacerbations of existing nonconformities that
are pretty significant on a percentage basis when you look at what we pointed out in our letter. And it brings the building further toward our properties in a size that's not permitted by the code. So.

KELLY BOUCHER: I would like to ask -JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. No, no, no. No, no. KELLY BOUCHER: No? JIM MONTEVERDE: Not time for a rebuttal. We'll --

BRAD MILLER: And I would --

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- in public's comments.
BRAD MILLER: -- and this is discussed as, like, a basement and garden level, but it's above. It's above ground. It's not a basement anymore. It's extended above ground there. And we understand if they are interested in having a patio off of their dining room, but I believe they could construct that patio without this kind of variance.

Our objection is not to that, it's just adding the floor area when you already have substantial nonconformances with the code.

And floor area ratio total floor area -- those are the primary ones that we're concerned about. And thank you
for your time.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else?
OLIVIA RATAY: Mary and David McElroy.
DAVID MCELROY: Hi. This is David McElroy from 7
Healey Street in Cambridge, behind this particular property. I just want to mention that when we met with the homeowners a couple months ago after this initial submission, the homeowner did express to me and my wife Mary that they were in fact planning to add a patio and doorway turnaround.

Although that may not be part of that submission, as you can imagine, there has been some confusion from our vantage point in terms of what this project actually is.

So as the homeowner has communicated with us, we're hearing one thing from the homeowner, but we're seeing something quite different in the drawings.

Furthermore, in terms of the engineering drawing, it is -- those engineering drawings don't have these other changes in terms of the driveway or the patio as part of that analysis.

So my only comment is as an engineer -- thinking about pursuing an engineering drawing for stormwater mitigation, all the changes of the property should be
considered and not only a subset of the changes. Thank you very much.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. That's all for public -- sorry?

OLIVIA RATAY: One more.
JIM MONTEVERDE: One more?
OLIVIA RATAY: Drew Birrenkott?
DREW BIRRENKOTT: Hi. I'm Drew Birrenkott. I am at 52 Concord Avenue, Apartment 1. And I just wanted to, you know, mention in terms of this construction project that the apartment that we rent, it looks directly onto what I imagine is the only passageway for heavy construction equipment to get back into the yard for this project.

And I don't, you know, either object or support the construction, but simply wanted to mention to the Committee that the noise nuisance that this construction will generate in front of our apartment -- I think we're probably most affected by this construction. And I just wanted to mention that as a concern, as the apartment probably most affected by the noise.

Thank you so much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. That's the end of
public testimony, discussion from members of the Board. I'd like to ask for a two-minute break, please.

DREW BIRRENKOTT: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Be back in two minutes.
DREW BIRRENKOTT: Okay.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Continuing. Any discussion from members of the Board?

THOMAS MILLER: Jim, if it's not out of order, I would love to ask one follow-up question after the public comment from the petitioner. Looking at the modeling of the requested rear addition, you mentioned those windows that have been added.

I was curious, are those windows that allow ingress and egress, or are they, like, French door type windows, or are those more traditional windows that one couldn't leave the basement area from?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that for Kelly Boucher?
THOMAS MILLER: Yes, if possible.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Kelly, are you still with us?
KELLY BOUCHER: Sorry. I was talking, but I was muted. Yes. At least one of those will be a door out to
the yard from that space, so that that sunroom has garden access. Right now there's a bulkhead along the side, which you can slightly see in the corner there that will remain. Our addition is outside of there. So that way into the basement will also stay.

THOMAS MILLER: So I guess the intent of that question is that, I guess, I do think that whether the petitioners plan eventually to add a patio there that will be more impermeable surface is to some extent at least relevant to my consideration of this.

In terms of what $I$ understand the question before us to be under the applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance, we need to decide for the special permit under 8.22 whether this -- you know, would be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconformity.

It seems that there already are substantial drainage issues given the grading of the lot, and it does appear that the project that the - that the petitioners there are undertaking in response to the feedback from the neighbors would ameliorate that.

So my overall view would be that, you know, as currently before us, this project should get a special
permit because it would be less detrimental.
But I am concerned if there's a plan in the works to add more permeable surface in the back yard that would essentially affect the main issue that was raised as a concern by the abutters.

KELLY BOUCHER: Our intent with this addition is to increase the house's conversation with the back yard where now it's very separated. You're up high, you're over the yard and it doesn't feel useable or - or like you would want to go sit in there.

We're happy to promise that we will not increase our -- that area with a patio or do anything that is out of alignment with the Civil work that we had reviewed already.

I do think a door into that space, which is going to be a very nice sunroom, is going to help the homeowners use their back yard. They're going to be able to sit and look at the garden, instead of sitting 20 feet above their garden, which is how their open space is accessed from the house now. It feels very detached.

So yes, a door, but we don't necessarily need a patio. We're not looking to sit out there or whatever. We're just trying to be able to go in and out of the house,
have a sunroom at the lowest level, maybe enjoy the garden from the inside during inclement weather or the winter in a way that's not really possible with the house now.

So, you know, no patio is also fine for us, although we would like to keep the option of having a door instead of only windows --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- just so in that room you can use the yard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Thank you for your response. Any other discussions from members of the Board? STEVEN NG: Yeah, Steve Ng here. Okay? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

THOMAS MILLER: No, go ahead, Steve.
STEVEN NG: Oh, thank you. I guess just looking at the application form and the requirements of the ordinance item, it's, I guess, the question I have for the Board is, you know, all the issues about drainage and so on is really impacted by, I think, the increase in size or the size of additional square footage that's being proposed.

You know, they're increasing the FAR beyond what's in the Ordinance for the neighborhood they're in, and by
quite a bit.
And it's for space that, you know, we're getting an ambiguous, you know, intention of what that space is going to be used, or how it'll be used. I don't know if that seems to be a -- is that a concern for anyone else, my fellow Board members, that just the size of that and kind of exceeding the FAR a concern?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. The way I understood it initially is $I$ think in the old testimony, again it all focused on the drainage in the lot and the effect on the neighbors downhill -- we know that, and it's a special permit --

STEVEN NG: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- in 8.22.2. -- one of those chapters, because it is already nonconforming in FAR in height and side yard setback. And that just gets exacerbated by the fact that this addition gets put on the back and therefore changes all of the calculations.

So regardless of how big the dwelling is currently, I don't have any objection to raise about any of those items.

And I think they've addressed in the report as
best that I can read, they've addressed drainage to an extent that should satisfy 1) make their own property more usable and also ameliorate the issues that the immediate abutters have raised.

So I don't have any issue with that. I think it falls within the special permit purview.

STEVEN NG: Okay. No, that's great. And then I do appreciate, $I$ think when the issue of drainage was raised, the applicant did engage an engineer, came up with a solution, and is addressing - you know, with the engineer to manage that surface water.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Any other member of the board have any discussion?

BILL BOEHM: Yes. Bill Boehm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: I think one of the neighbors asked a fair question, which should be addressed, which is if a patio is to be built in the future behind this, would it be of right, as-of-right, so that could they do it in a second stage?

And I'm going to say no, because I think it would be -- it would -- well, $I$ don't know. So that's a fair
question to ask and be answered.
And I just want to make one other comment, which is, if indeed this addition makes the back yard much more usable, which I can see it would, there's many ways to enjoy a landscape without putting a hard paved surface on it.

And so, I would just like to offer that there would certainly be an opportunity to do a landscape design which did not increase the impervious surface, but made the landscape very usable, and that would have been maybe a good way to approach it.

But I want to go back and ask Jim or one of the Staffers to say what if these other changes happen with -could they be as-of-right, or would they necessarily trigger a zoning variance?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I believe a patio, if there's no structure or roof over it, would be as-of-right. They wouldn't need to go to the Board. Olivia, is that your sense?

OLIVIA RATAY: That sounds right, Jim.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. You'd have to comply -- if you comply with the open space ratio. Now, if they're concerned about that as a future improvement, Kelly Boucher,
if $I$ understood you correctly, would it be acceptable to include a condition in the acceptance for the special permit that there would be no terrace?

KELLY BOUCHER: Yeah, we would be happy to. I agree. There's plenty of ways to enjoy that back yard that are not necessarily a big --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- stone patio --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
KELLY BOUCHER: -- there.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Bill, does that satisfy you or answer your question?

KELLY BOUCHER: Oh, you're muted.
BILL BOEHM: I'm sorry. I agree that that would be a good addition or a good condition to add to address the neighbors' concerns that what they had seen previously in plans was not indeed still a part of the longer-term vision, and they would be agreeing not to do that.

And then if it was done, they would have the ability to come back and say, "In this variance, this was the condition and now it's not being applied." So I think that's a yes, I agree.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anyone else?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I agree with you, Jim, in
regards to the massing. I think since the rear setback is still in excess of the requirement, it seems like that massing is not unreasonably imposing, in my opinion.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Now for the Board's benefit, let me just review the criteria for the special permit that we need to find and make sure we find these conditions. It appears the requirements of the Ordinance cannot and will not be met. I think that's granted.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion, hazard. I don't think that's an issue.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would be adversely affected. I don't think that's the case. But speak up if anyone has an issue.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use or the city. I think that's been addressed by the neighbors' concern about the drainage
and the report in the proposed construction to deal with the drainage.

And lastly, for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. And I don't see that here.

So I think it complies.
With that, $I$ will make a motion --
STEVEN NG: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
STEVEN NG: Yep.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 8.22.2, nonconforming structure, Section 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements, and that has to do with the addition that causes the formulas for building height, side yard setback, to change and make the existing structure more nonconforming than it already is nonconforming.

And under the special permit, the items we just read I think we can agree that this development ticks all those boxes. So it's -- it works, and it's worthy of the special permit.

And on a condition that a rear patio -- paved patio -- will not be proposed or is not part of the plans and not part of any future consideration.

And further, the motion on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Cathy Chen" -- I'm sorry -- "50 Concord Avenue" prepared by KBA Architects and dated May 19, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

And as a condition, we said that the exterior patio to the rear of the property would not be part of a future construction.

So Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief. Again, this is a special permit. Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
KELLY BOUCHER: Great. Thank you very much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Congratulations.

Okay. Now onto the Regular Agenda. And before I forget, Bill Boehm, congratulations on your mention in the Globe. Nice project. BILL BOEHM: Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: That's yours, correct? BILL BOEHM: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Congratulations. BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
(7:07 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Now. BZA Case 235827 --
117 Chilton Street. And we have a letter in the file from -- this is the one I was looking for; the other case, Third Street.

Give me one second, please. I'm looking for stray pieces of paperwork. That's a continuing case. Does it say it somewhere?

OLIVIA RATAY: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right here?
OLIVIA RATAY: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. One second. Doesn't ask
for a continuance. To vacate the cease-and-desist order.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chairman?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: If I could assist, I'd be happy to direct you to our most recent letter.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. I'm confused because I was in the office --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the other day and --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah. --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- my understanding when I read
it --

SARAH RHATIGAN: there's a lot of paper in the

```
file --
```

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- it was continued.

SARAH RHATIGAN: It - this is a, sorry, for the record Sarah Rhatigan Trilogy Law. I'm representing the petitioners in this case, Susan, and Bob Filene. Yeah, so September 25 --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- we sent a letter to -- yeah, to the Board members --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Olivia --
SARAH RHATIGAN: -- requesting a continuance. JIM MONTEVERDE: Olivia saved the day. SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, great. JIM MONTEVERDE: So. SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me back up. So we have a
letter in the file from Sarah Rhatigan asking for a continuance of this case and continued until December 14, 2023. Do we have that? Okay.

So on the motion to continue, let me make a motion to continue this matter until December 14, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of December 14, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also that if there are any new submittal
information, changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements, that those be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date. On the motion to continue this matter until December 14, 2023, Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven Ng?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Continued.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, 6:00 p.m.
(7:12 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case BZA-237886 -- 1430
Massachusetts Avenue. Before we go much further, I noticed that it was on the agenda of the Planning Board. Yes, we have a letter from them. Okay. Is there anyone -- the proponent who wishes to speak about this particular case?

Adam Braillard, are you there?
ADAM BRAILLARD: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. Can you hear me now?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.
RICARDO SOUSA: Oh, very good. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board. I'm Ricardo Sousa from Prince Lobel Tye here on behalf of the petitioner, T-Mobile Northeast. I'm standing in place of my partner, Adam Braillard, who had a conflict with another hearing the same time.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
RICARDO SOUSA: So members of the Board, we're here on behalf of $T$-Mobile, who is proposing to upgrade an
existing wireless antenna installation that's located at 1430 Mass Ave.

This existing wireless antenna installation is located on the penthouse of the subject building at 1430 Mass Ave. We currently operate six panel antennas and six Remote Radio Heads, as shown on these plans that Olivia is putting up on the screen.

And what we're proposing to do is just upgrade the installation so that we are swapping out the six antennas for eight antennas and swapping out the six Remote Radio Heads for new -- six new Remote Radio Heads, all installed on that penthouse that you see up on the screen right now.

And we've worked with both the Planning Board, the Harvard Square Advisory Board, and the Historic Commission to come up with design elements that I think are consistent with all of those constituency demands.

And in fact the CDD put together a memo that we are comfortable with and that we can comply with, and that was submitted to this Board prior to this hearing as a result of their Planning Board meeting earlier this week.

JIM MONTEVERDE: End of story?
RICARDO SOUSA: End of story, unless you'd like me
to go a little better further.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's good. Let me -- if you're done with your presentation, then let me just ask if there are any questions from members of the Board.

We do have some correspondence from the Planning Board, which will list all of the suggestions that they've made that the proponent is agreeing to.

RICARDO SOUSA: Very good. JIM MONTEVERDE: So any questions from members of the Board before I launch into reading this letter? No? Thank you. Let me read the correspondence. We have one from the Planning Board dated September 27 reading that,
"The Board has decided to vote a positive recommendation to the BZA for the updated materials with the suggestions in the attached memo."

It goes on to say one Board member noted that the renderings were not quite right in terms of color matching the antennas to the tower standing seam metal panel and suggested that the applicant consider repainting the tower itself to achieve better results.

Consultation with the Historic Commission Staff on color selection was also suggested.

All right. Let me go to the Planning Board's suggestions.
"1. If feasible, all antennas should be moved down the façade -- penthouse façade -- to avoid visually interrupting the horizontal cornice line at the top of the parapet. This should be calibrated by photo simulations to account for the lower vantage point of the typical viewer."

That's great. I love that one.
"2. Antennas should be organized and arranged by size, shape, and location to produce a symmetrical composition on each elevation. Horizontal offsets from the corner of the penthouse should be consistent.
"3. No portion of an antenna, mounting bracket or wiring should occlude the existing circular vent.
"4. The proposed configuration concentrates all devices on the more prominent northern, eastern, and southern elevations. Where possible, proposed antennas should be relocated to the western elevation to reduce their visibility.
"5. Utilize the smallest mounting brackets available so that the antenna can be mounted as close as possible to the mounting surface as possible.
"6. Remove all unused devices, mounts, brackets and wiring.
"7. Proposal documents should be updated to include both existing and proposed southwest and north elevations.

And "8. All painted and wrapped materials, including existing equipment, cabling and mounts, should have a consistent finish that mimics the existing standing seam metal cladding in color and reflectivity."

And those the proponent has all accepted, correct?
RICARDO SOUSA: That's correct, Mr. Chairman. We have.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So we can make those a condition?
RICK SOUSA: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Yes. Those are -- in the other experience I've had in hearing some of these, those are more significant requests for changes to the design as presented than I heard before.

So my question is, who gets to review the proposed redesign to verify that they've complied with those
conditions?

I'm not sure if that's a question to the petitioner or to the Chairman?

JIM MONTEVERDE: It's not to the petitioner.
These are --

BILL BOEHM: Okay. Very good.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Olivia, unless you say otherwise,
I would assume that since it's started, and the comments
came from the Planning Board, although they were only
advisory, they would go there first. And I get a nod of the head from Staff.

Any other questions from members of the Board?

Okay.
Public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon --

BILL BOEHM: I'm sorry, Jim, I wasn't quite finished yet. I was on mute.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry.
BILL BOEHM: I don't quite understand the process, then. So they go back and redesign and need to run that by the Planning Board, who then gives them the final go ahead? Because I feel like --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: -- we're giving them the final go ahead and trusting that they make the change.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BILL BOEHM: So the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think the way I look read this;
it is not asking -- I don't see anything in this from the Planning Board that is asking them to come back.

And I think by the proponents, and from the ones that we've done like this previously, where we have listed all the suggestions, there's no objection to them from the proponent, we make them a condition of the approval, we do the actual vote, and we are relying on the proponent to do exactly what they say. And we're not asking them to come back.

I don't read it that way, unless you have a concern and want them to come back.

BILL BOEHM: I guess given that there's a long history of these kinds of things, if there was a history of them not following through with the recommendations, we would have heard about it by now.

So I'll go with that that we trust the petitioner
to do as the conditions are asked for.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I'll open it to public comments. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one. Okay. Closing public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board, or may I begin my soliloquy?
[Pause]
All right. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections 4.32.G.1, Section 4.40 and Section 10.40, and Article 6409 Section the Federal Middle Class Tax Relief Act on the
condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Application for Relief under Section 6409 af of the Spectrum Act" prepared by T-Mobile Northeast LLC and dated August 14, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

Further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional -- there are no dimensional forms -- submitted with the application.

Further, that the special permit is granted incorporating the following conditions: And $I$ will not reread these, but everything we just mentioned about the conditions from the -- or the suggestions from the Planning Board are now made conditions. And that would be the items 1 through 8 we just talked about.

And then Chair moves to make the following findings -- this is regarding the special permit -- sorry, give me a second. It appears the requirements of this ordinance cannot or will not be met - that's correct.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion: Did not.

Continued operation of or the development of adjacent uses, would be adversely affected: They would not. Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the
health, safety or welfare. They will not, but I'll talk about that in a second.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. They are not. So that means you meet all the criteria for a special permit under the Ordinance Section 10.43, paragraphs A, B, C, D and E.

And, based on the findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

That the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner, and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work will be consistent with the photo simulations submitted by the petitioner and initialed by the Chair.

And by the conditions we just mentioned that came through the Planning Board.

That the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall be promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the extent reasonably practical.

Five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects the condition imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner, with regard to the site in question.

In as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all the proponent's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the
Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business
days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special
permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of the termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraph a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions can not be filed. And finally, paragraph:
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the person in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be
sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

Time to vote. Steven Ng? STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: The special permit is granted.
Congratulations.
RICARDO SOUSA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, members of the Board. Have a good night.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight. I hope that was -- it was my best impression of -- was it Joe Isuzu?

RICARDO SOUSA: [Laughter] Well done.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. It's got to be faster. Got
to find someone who can record this. All right. Fun and frolic.
(7:29 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Now BZA Case No. 235875 -- 124 Brattle Street. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this?

ALEX SVIRSKY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Alex Svirsky, the architect for project.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you repeat your name, please?

ALEX SVIRSKY: Alex Svirsky - S-v-i-r-s-k-y. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Do you want to walk us through what you're proposing and what the -ALEX SVIRSKY: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- what relief you're seeking? This is a variance relief request?

ALEX SVIRSKY: Thank you, Olivia. The project is a single-family house on Brattle Street. Unfortunately, the front entry is from a private way. The garage to the property is on the opposite side of the front entry and is facing the Brattle Street.

One of the residents of the property requires assistance walking and navigating stairs. And the owners would like to come up with some sort of a connector to connect the garage with the main level of the house.

There is an exterior entrance from the Brown Street that is -- exists right now that was probably added together with the garage 60 or so years ago. However, to use that entrance would require either a lift or if enclosing the entrance in a similar manner as proposed would be separate from the garage.

So the owners instead proposed removing the existing entrance and constructing a small connector in a corner between the existing house and existing garage big enough to allow for the maneuvering a wheelchair and a stair leading upstairs with the stair lift.

We have consulted and went before the Historical Commission. The Historical Commission considers this a minimal project and voted to allow with the following Certificate of Appropriateness soon to be issued. The vote was, I think, two weeks ago.

This -- as far as the zoning, the addition would violate the front yard, since this is the -- it's a corner
lot -- and the FAR, however minimally. Overall increase in FAR is 28 square feet. That's about it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?

By the way, we don't have any statement from the Historic or Harvard Square Historic Commission. We don't have any statements in the file to that effect. We'll take your word for it.

Any questions from members of the Board? If not --

VIRGINIA KEESLER: This is --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- oh! Go ahead. Go ahead.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: This might be more of a question for my - our - oh, never mind. I'll leave this for -- well, I guess my question would be I'm not entirely following what's triggering the need for a variance versus a special permit so $I$ wonder if you could elaborate on that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Alex, go.
ALEX SVIRSKY: Is this question to me?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Um -- yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If you think you can speak to it, yes, please. Otherwise, we'll --

ALEX SVIRSKY: I thought that every time we increase the FAR, the FAR increase triggers the variance. Additionally, since the building is already nonconforming, and our addition increases the nonconformance technically, even though we're not extending the addition past the garage; $I$ may be wrong, however; this --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
ALEX SVIRSKY: -- may be a special permit.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I had the same question, if $I$ may. Because looking at -- could you bring up the dimensional form? Because, you know, let's assume the dimensional form is accurate, the building is already over the FAR. It's noncompliant; it's over the FAR or GFA. And all you're doing is increasing that.

The total area is -- the floor area ratio is already over and you're increasing that by a minimal amount. I don't see setbacks in terms of front yard or side yard. I made a note to myself $I$ think it was side yard. It basically reads, "no change" under all the requested conditions.

So -- which I don't think is what -- if I heard you correctly when you were speaking, I think you said there
was a front yard or a side yard setback.
ALEX SVIRSKY: Technically yes, we are infringing
on the front yard. However, because of the garage existing --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
ALEX SVIRSKY: -- infringement --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. You already do.
ALEX SVIRSKY: -- we're not increasing it, so.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. You're already
nonconforming.
ALEX SVIRSKY: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The requirement is 20, you're
already - as this reads, 16.4/12.2/4.6. So you're already nonconforming. Right?

ALEX SVIRSKY: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What you're doing now doesn't
make that any worse, does it?
ALEX SVIRSKY: No, it doesn't make it. That's what we're --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ALEX SVIRSKY: -- doing, not making it any worse.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And left side - and it's a right
side. Is that correct? Where you need --
ALEX SVIRSKY: Yes, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Again, you're not increasing -you're increasing some of the already nonconforming conditions. You're not introducing any new nonconformance.

ALEX SVIRSKY: Correct. You're right. You're absolutely right. We're not --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
ALEX SVIRSKY: -- increasing that for a new nonconforming.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Which in our typical parlance is -- makes it the simpler special permit so you don't have to prove a hardship, although in your case I think you did talk through -- talked about a hardship.

So I don't want to confuse things, but if we have it in front of us as a variance, I'm happy to go through it that way just to --

JIM MONTEVERDE [to OLIVIA RATAY]: The garage?
ALEX SVIRSKY We'll accept whatever the Board feels the most appropriate way.

JIM MONTEVERDE: In the plans --
[Side conversation]

JIM MONTEVERDE: We don't mind, and there are no objections from the Board. Let's just take it the way we have it in front of us, which is as a variance. Because I think either would be kind of -- meets all the criteria that I can think of. Any questions from members of the -- other questions from members of the Board?

If not, I'll open it to public comments. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up. And we have no correspondence in the file from anyone.

Is there any member of the public who wishes to speak? Nope? Okay.

Members of the Board, any -- I'll close public testimony. And members of the Board, are you okay we move to a motion?

All righty. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 Table of Dimensional Requirements, 10.30 Variance, and 8.22.3 for a nonconforming structure on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Private Residence 124 Brattle Street, Cambridge, Mass." prepared by Architecture SV and dated July 31, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

I will now go through the requirements of a variance. And we need to find that each one of these has been satisfied.
a) Literal enforcement won't involve a hardship. I think you talked about the use of a wheelchair to get around and this entry accommodates that. Is that correct?

ALEX SVIRSKY: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And hardship owing to the soil conditions, shape or topography of the land or structure, not generally affecting the zoning district in which it's located -- in this case it just -- you know, it's a
absolutely pinned on every side, to a property line, so there's nowhere else to go. So I think that satisfied. And then
c) desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good -- I think that's satisfied -- nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance.

And I think that is satisfied. So the motion then
to grant the variance. Board members, please take a voice
vote on the motion to grant relief. Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

That's five in favor. Relief is granted. Thank you.

ALEX SVIRSKY: Thank you.
(7:41 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is Number 236649 -- 65 Dudley Street. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this case?

RACHEL LEGREE: Yes. I'm the homeowner, Rachel Legree.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. RACHEL LEGREE: Okay? So -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Tell us what you want to do.

RACHEL LEGREE: I purchased this home in May and as you'll see from the pictures, it's in need of renovation, particularly a new roof. But as $I$ do the roof, I'm wanting to increase the airflow.

So in the attic and in the bathroom to better vent the building. And as you'll see, my neighbors have done the same thing.

So I'm trying to mirror the neighbor on the left with skylights both to the east and to the west. So the
larger one skylight would be over the bathroom, and then the other skylights would vent the attic.

And I've received letters from my neighbors.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. I'll go through those. RACHEL LEGREE: Yep. Abutting neighbors. Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll go through those in a moment.

RACHEL LEGREE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, I think you've described it all. So in essence, this is a special permit to allow you to make the skylight openings, which violate both side yard setbacks on the east and west property lines, correct?

RACHEL LEGREE: Correct. And let me just state, I'm in North Cambridge in the old Racetrack area. So everybody has these old plot lines that don't conform to the modern standards.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Understood. But you're here because you want to place those skylights in the side yard setback?

RACHEL LEGREE: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
No? I will review the -- enter the public
commentary we have in the file. We have a letter, undated, Deanna Skow, 63 Dudley Street, in strong support; Jessica Cashdan, 67 Dudley, strong support; Francois Berelowitch, 67 Dudley, strong support; Christopher Deery, 69 Dudley Street, complete approval; Meg Bond, 61 Dudley Street, strong support; Isaac Oakley and Arlyn Madsen-Bond, 59 Dudley, strong support; and Deanna -- oh, Deanna's back, July 29, yep. Those are all duplicates.

So we have, by my count, six of your neighbors in favor and none objecting.

RACHEL LEGREE: Can I just add - the Historical Commission added a note to the file that they do not wish to review this; that it did not bother them.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. We don't have that in the file, but we'll take your word for that.

All right. Any questions from members of the Board before we go to a motion? If not, the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections - sorry?

Oh, sorry. I skipped public commentary since I
did such a great job of reading all those letters.
Public comments: Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. So I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Is there anyone who wishes to speak besides the people I mentioned already have correspondence in the file?
[Pause]
No. All right. Close public testimony. Motion, then.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 for Dimensional Requirements - those are the side yard setbacks east and west that the skylights fall within - and 8.22.2.c for nonconforming structures, since it's already nonconforming, and for a special permit.

And drawings -- there are no drawings. There
you'll picture a photographic overlay. Yep. There are a couple plans, untitled. If you don't mind, I will write on these "65 Dudley Street Cambridge." It looks like they're prepared by VELUX, the skylight manufacturers, and they are undated, but I will put today's date on them. I will initial and date the drawing.

And further, we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of this application. And also as a special permit.

Let us go through the criteria.
a) It appears the requirements of this ordinance cannot or will not be met. That's correct.
b) Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion, hazard -- and that is not the case.
c) Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would not be adversely affected. They will not.
d) Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety or welfare. They will not. And,
e) For other reasons, the proposed use would
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. And I don't think it will.

And therefore we meet all the criteria of a special permit under Section 10.43, paragraphs a) through e).

Board members, time for a vote. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In approval.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
Five in favor. The special permit is granted.
Thank you.
RACHEL LEGREE: Thank you.
(7:49 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is Case No. 233167 -50 Clifton Street. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this request?

KIEM FOR NGO: Yes. I'm the owner and my wife. My name is Kiem For Ngo and my wife Lei Jiang. So we are proposing to add a dormer to our existing attic, and it's on the north side of the house.

And on the north side there's a driveway. So the distance to our neighbor is 16 feet. So -- and adding that, we will not -- the only thing that will increase is the living area. That is exceeding the current requirement.

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes. My name is Chih-Ming Lee. I'm the architect of the project.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

CHIH-MING LEE: And this project, we have the existing nonconforming condition in terms of front setback and FAR. And $w e^{\prime} r e ~ t r y i n g ~ t o ~ m a k e ~ t h e ~ a t t i c ~ s p a c e ~ m o r e ~$ useful. And so, we make a code-compliant staircase leading
to the attic.
And since this attic space is in the north side, and we do have more than code required side yard setback on the north side.

Also, the shed dormer is more than 15 -- it's about 18 feet from that front setback so -- which is more than required 15 feet. And we understand that the FAR exceeds the requirement, and we are adding another 233 square feet on the attic [audio unclear] because of the shed dormer.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anything else from the proponent?
[Pause]
No? I will open it up to questions from members of the Board. Any questions, members?

BILL BOEHM: My question to the architect and owner is are you aware of the Dormer Design Guidelines that the City of Cambridge Zoning Department has? And if so, do you realize that you're not in compliance with the Design Guidelines?

CHIH MING-LEE: The - yeah, the FAR is exceeding the -- 0.5 FAR, and but since the owner needs more livable
space in his house, so that's why we're trying to make the attic space more useful.

BILL BOEHM: So I understand that, but this isn't a question -- I'm not asking about the FAR; I'm asking there's a specific set of guidelines for roof dormers that you should be aware of that controls sort of the size relative to the rest of the roof and the placement of the dormer.

And having learned about these the hard way some years ago doing dormers, $I$ wish that they were more in evidence. But your dormer does not comply by a long shot with the roof dormers.

For one thing, they ask for a maximum of 15'. $^{\prime}$. They want them not to extend to the ridge. They want them not to extend to the side wall. So there's a number of things that this dormer design does not comply with with the Guidelines.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Just to follow that up, so to the proponent: I'm looking at your drawing A1.1, the proposed attic plan. And there's a string of dimensions that would define how long the dormer is. Can you save me the effort of adding this up and tell me what the approximate length of
that dormer is?

CHIH-MING LEE: The length of the dormer is 34' and 4".

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So I think as Bill
mentioned, I think he's absolutely correct. The most
egregious piece here is -- and I'm referring to the Zoning Section 8.22.1.h.2, the dormer on the third story no longer than 15' does not extend horizontal beyond the border of the walls and the existing second story, nor above the ridgeline, provided the total linear length of all dormers on the third story of the building does not exceed 15'.

So you're well over that limit. So -- and I'll just leave that as a comment.

Any questions, comments from any other members of the Board?

THOMAS MILLER: Thomas Miller.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
THOMAS MILLER: This is just for my information, for anyone on the Board who knows the petitioner does include a number of pictures of other dwellings on the street with dormers.

Some of those dormers would appear to be equally
out of compliance with the Dormer Guidelines, is that correct?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Looks that way. But again --
THOMAS MILLER: I think you --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the way we've taken these before is that is not the matter in front of us tonight. We don't know when those additions happened, by whom, under what circumstance, or if another Board saw reason to accept them. So this one really just has to stand on its own. At least that's been our precedent.

Any other questions from members of the Board?
Let me open it up to public commentary, and we'll come back to the Board in a second. We have no correspondence in the file either for or against, so I'll open it to public commentary.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and

Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEVEN NG: Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'll close public testimony. Discussion from members of the Board? Let me start.

I'm taking -- at the moment, I don't feel that $I$ can support the proposal, really on the basis of the way that the dormer is presented, and that it exceeds all of the Dormer Guidelines that we have in terms of just as Bill has elaborated, you know: no more than $15^{\prime}$ in length down from the ridge. I think it is set back.

It is in alignment with the building face, and there's a soffit that runs along the front of it, so that complies. But down from the ridge and no more than 15' wide. So I could not support the proposal in front of us.

Is there any other Board member who feels similarly?

STEVEN NG: I would agree with that, and I recommend we, you know, kind of get a feel for where the Board stands and then provide the applicant kind of some options on --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
STEVEN NG: -- how we can address this.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, okay. I think we just did the count for the Board. So that's you need four positive votes, two affirmative votes to get your relief. So we've just heard from two of us who say we're not in favor. That would mean you would be denied. And your choice then, proponent, is to choose to continue, look at a redesign that makes the dormer addition within the Dormer Guidelines and come back.

Or, if we proceed from the vote from at least the two of us who've spoken, it would be denied, and then that way you can't come back in two years with the same plan, unless the plan changes dramatically.

So for the proponent, it is up to you what you'd like to do.

CHIH-MING LEE: Okay. Okay, thank you Jim and members of the Board.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Is it - sorry, is it clear what we're talking about --

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- or suggesting? I think those
are the --

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- not that we want to drive you
to a conclusion, but I think those are the two obvious choices in front of us this evening.

KIEM FOR NGO: Okay. I think we'll look to see how we can be conformed to the -- yeah, to the Regulation for the dormers.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I suggest -- is the Dormer Guidelines available through ISD? Are they available online?

OLIVIA RATAY: They're online.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So that the --
KIEM FOR NGO: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- Dormer Guidelines are online, the City website through ISD Zoning or -- if you go into ISD, you'll see all the forms. Okay. If you don't find them online, you can come into ISD and get a copy of the Dormer Guidelines.

So if you'd like to do that -- do you want to go the continuance route, we'll pick another date for you to come back; do some redesign and come back?

KIEM FOR NGO: Yes, sure.

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What do we have for a date?

OLIVIA RATAY: October 26.
JIM MONTEVERDE: October 26, if that gives you
enough time? Or November 9. How much time would you like?
KIEM FOR NGO: Mr. Lee, how much time do you need
to do the redesign?
CHIH-MING LEE: Yeah, give me maybe November.
November is a bit later.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that November?
CHIH-MING LEE: November, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Okay. That was the November 9, right? Okay. All right, then. Let's make a motion to continue this matter to November 9 of 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect -- sorry?

OLIVIA RATAY: -- statement.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. What would I do without Olivia?

Members of the Board, are you all available on November 9? It has to be the same five of us. Bill, will
you be available?
BILL BOEHM: Available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: Available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEPHEN NATOLA: Available.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And I will be available. Okay.
So let me make a motion to continue this matter to November 9, 2023 on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of November 9, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for a hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also that if there are any new submittal
information, changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements, that those be in our files by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter until
November 9, 2023, voice vote by the Board members please.
Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: In favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: And for the proponent, when you pick up the waiver that you have to sign, if you're going to do that at the Inspectional Services Department, please ask for a copy of the Dormer Guidelines while you are there.

Okay. Thank you. See you in November.
CHIH-MING LEE: Thank you very much.
KIEM FOR NGO: Thank you.
(8:03 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA 238314 -- 64

Brewster Street. Is there anyone here wishing to speak on this one?

MAGGIE BOOZ: Yes, hi.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Maggie?
MAGGIE BOOZ: Hi. I'm Maggie Booz of Smart Architecture.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi.
MEGAN BAYER: I'm the architect for the project.
It's 46 Brewster Street, not 64 --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, 46, yep.
MEGAN BAYER: Yeah. And my client, David
Brewster, is here as well. A little confusing. Mr.
Brewster lives on Brewster Street.
In any case, we're requesting permission to violate a rear yard setback with a deck. We have a situation where the topography of the site is such that the back of the house is -- the grade is much lower than at the
front of the house.
And so, by the time you walk through the first floor and get to the back of the house, you're a full story -- almost a full story above grade in back.

My client has children. They play in the yard and use the yard a lot, and we want to make a deck and porch that isn't quite so much of a very high structure in back.

So we're trying to mediate the grade and the elevation change by designing a porch and deck that has two levels and has usable space at both levels.

The higher level right outside the kitchen and breakfast room is -- we're going to have a grill, and then we go down some steps and have a space for dining and sitting. And then a few more steps down to grade.

So that portion of the deck that violates the rear yard setback is about $22^{\prime \prime}$ off the ground. It's three risers up from the grade. At the same time, my clients would like to put a hot tub in their back yard.

And hot tubs are treated as accessory structures, as you know, in the Zoning Ordinance, which means that they have to be 10 feet from the house, which is a logical rule when it comes to things like garages, which might have a
safety issue if they were placed too close to the primary structure, but a hot tub doesn't have those kind of safety issues.

And so, while we have plenty of places on the site where we could be 10 feet from the primary structure and 5 feet from the side and rear yard setbacks, or plot lines I should say -- property lines -- we'd like to place the hot tub closer to the building for reasons of keeping it as sort of tucked away next to the deck and as private from neighbors as possible for both my clients' sake and for the neighbors' sake.

We've proposed in our plans to put the hot tub next to the deck, as you can see in the plan probably best of all, and then build a sort of screen pergola structure next to it to shield the side of the -- the side property owner from viewing the hot tub when it's being used.

So our - and we feel like the hardship is a -meets the letter of the law in that the law asks for there to be something specific about the topography or geography of the site that causes a hardship. And we feel that that grade change does indeed do that.

And we also feel that the proposal is not one that
is offensive to any neighbors, that changes the character of the neighborhood or increases density in some negative way.

And so, we've come to ask permission to do this project.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. So if I can summarize -- and Maggie, tell me if $I$ get this correctly, but --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the variance is -- again, the hardship you just mentioned has to do with the lot and the topography, the fact that it slopes down?

MAGGIE BOOZ: Uh-huh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And therefore, it puts the deck
-- correct? And the rear yard setback, the Ordinance requirement is $2^{\prime \prime}$ and you'll be at $21^{\prime}$ with the new condition, so it's --

MAGGIE BOOZ: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 6' out of compliance?
MAGGIE BOOZ: Uh-huh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the accessory structure for a
hot tub. Those are the three issues really in front of us, correct?

MAGGIE BOOZ: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
If not, $I$ will open the matter to public comment. I'll just say that we have two letters in the file in favor, and none opposed.

We have a letter from Annette LeMond and Joseph Moore at 7 Riedesel Avenue, Cambridge. They say they share a property line, and they are expressing their support. It's dated September 18.

And we have Anja Langbein and Young Park at 5 Riedesel Avenue in support. And those are the two letters that we have in the file in support.

So any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the button that says, "Participants" and then click the button that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you
to wrap up.
Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6, and you will have up to three minutes in which to comment before I will ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one calling in. I will close public testimony.
Discussion amongst the Board members? And let me frame any discussion by just going back to the variance requirements that we have to find that we meet all of these conditions are applicable, and that the hardship is owing to the circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape or topography of such land or structure -- specifically or specially affecting such land or structure, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located. I think the architect has spoken about that.

And that desirable relief may be granted without either 1) substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or
purpose of the ordinance.
On that basis, is there any discussion among the
Board members? Ready for a motion?
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections -- and again, this is a variance -under Sections 5.31 of a Table of Dimensional Requirements. And that has to do with the rear yard setback being slightly under the requirement of the Ordinance, and also 4.21 for the accessory structure that's placing in the hot tub adjacent or next to the building.

And then the requirements of the variance that $I$ just went through.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Brewster Client Residence, 46 Brewster Street, Cambridge," prepared by Smart Architecture, dated August 25, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members let's please take a vote on the motion to grant relief.

Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
BILL BOEHM: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
BILL BOEHM: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

BILL BOEHM: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Five in favor. The variance is
granted. Thank you. Congratulations.
MAGGIE BOOZ: Thank you so much. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.

CHIH-MING LEE: Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Now we get to the one that I was
so anxious to talk about.
(8:13 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA-235031 -55 Gold Star Road No. 2. Is there anyone calling in who'd like to speak about this?

WILLIAM HUBNER: Yes. The homeowners and architect of the project would like to speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Just give us your name.
WILLIAM HUBNER: William Hubner and Noel Danforth, 55 Gold Star Road. There's two of us.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Anyone else?
NOEL DANFORTH: Maybe we signed in twice. JIM MONTEVERDE: No, you're good. We can hear you.

WILLIAM HUBNER: All right. Well, there seems to be -- well, all right. Whatever.

NOEL DANFORTH: Remember not to vote.
WILLIAM HUBNER: Yeah. We're ganging up on you. JIM MONTEVERDE: No, that's okay. You want to walk us through what you're --

WILLIAM HUBNER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- you're asking for a variance?
WILLIAM HUBNER: Yes. I believe we have a --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- garage with an attached garage?

WILLIAM HUBNER: Yeah, exactly right. We have a -- you can see the existing conditions on the left. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

WILLIAM HUBNER: You can actually point to this thing and make it work. Yeah, because we have a freestanding garage. It's a cinderblock structure. It's th
built with the house, I'm assuming, turn of the 19-20century. And it's right on the property line. And it faces the - our house is 55 Gold Star Court, which is we're on the corner of.

Our proposed - so that's the existing conditions. You can see that there is a - a bulkhead - sorry, a head house. This head house actually links from the yard this small alleyway down into our basement. It's the kind of mechanical connection to the basement, also again an old existing structure.

And then there's - you can see the existing fence on the property line. That's our neighbor. And I believe it's 1 Gold Star Court. It was in a letter in favor of this motion.

And so, and these -- by the way, we -- at the second level, we Zoom back and show the second floor. There we go.

On top of this flat roof garage is a -- two decks; an upper deck which actually bridges from the house across that alley where the head house is. Doesn't touch it. It bridges right over it. And it lands on this deck. And then these steps lead down to the ground.

This is the second means of egress for our unit, which is a two-story unit, second and third floor of the house. The ground floor is primarily an apartment. And our entry, of course, as well -- the foyer.

So what I'm proposing to do -- and this is in the proposed floor plans if we could flip to those -- okay. Here we go.

So let's leave the cellar alone for the moment, just talk about the first, the second floor, which is our ground floor, the first floor of our -- sorry, this is the
apartment down the stairs.
And what we're proposing to do is take that same garage structure -- I mean take it down, demolish it -- and build a new structure with the same, nominally the same footprint as the existing one -- the existing line, existing footprint is seen here.

And if you scroll down just a little bit -- up, sorry, scroll -- yeah, up, there we go. That -- this is the corner of the existing garage, which you just saw a second ago on the existing conditions.

We're going to take that same footprint basically and bang it into the back of the house is the proposal.

The head house will be consumed by that move, and then we'd have a new set of steps that would go from the garage -- unenclosed steps but inside the garage -- straight down and they would access the basement at the same location.

The garage actually is a tiny bit smaller than the current one as far as square footage, because I need to jog this wall over in order to avoid the windows in the apartment -- in the bathroom of the apartment, which I don't want to disturb. So we're taking some chunk out of it.

And the numbers are actually here. They're also in all the documentation we've submitted discussing FAR or gross floor area, open space -- useable open space, all that kind of stuff. It's all documented. But this is just a quick analysis of that.

So going over to the second floor, you'd see that the deck becomes a simpler structure. We no longer need to bridge from the second floor of the house over to the garage flat roof, which was set back.

And so, now we can have one single, smaller deck that -- within -- still access over to the set of stairs. It would go down to grade. And that would allow us to keep the means of egress from this unit.

The fence doesn't show here in this drawing, but these two drawings are lined up. The fence line, which is our property line, would scoot right by here.

And so, the passageway that currently is quite narrow because of the -- well, it's quite narrow period, but because of the head house location that's a pinch point, this now becomes the new passageway when you get down to the ground floor, which, again, is back over here.

So you can come down the stairs and access Gold

Star Court from the ground or the back yard, which is what we do now.

So the stairs move from this location where they currently are on this side of the existing garage to this side. It just so happens this increases the useable open space for our property because this is not usable space, according to the definition of the Cambridge Zoning Bylaws, and this is not usable space in the original -- in the existing conditions.

So by condensing this, pulling this in tight, we still have access to the street this direction, but we create more open space for the property itself.

So if this was to be granted, we are not increasing the FAR, we're actually reducing it by a hair. Side coverage is also being reduced. Usable open space is being enhanced. And those are all nice things. And the reason why I bring it up is because I think you guys care about them as much as we do.

But for us what matters is that we have a much more efficient and easier to maintain structure, both the ground floor - the garage itself, the current garage, is in poor condition and needs some -- would need some -- if we're
going to leave it where it is, we'd have to -- probably rebuild it anyways.

It's just an old, old structure, reinforced concrete roof, which is a flat roof which is Spaulding and coming apart a little bit, old cinderblock, which has been pointed several times and it's just -- it's getting old and tired.

So we've got a lot of maintenance involved with fixing that up. The head house is kind of a mess too.

So our photographs that were submitted, we can maybe look at those. You'll see some of the conditions that I'm done talking about if you care to see them.

And then with the large deck structure that currently exists upstairs with a two-tiered deck by condensing it down to one, we end up with a smaller deck footprint, new simpler railing system, and it would all be done in modern, manmade but green materials - decking and railing, et cetera.

And so, we would be reducing our maintenance program in that case as well.

There's the convenience of being able to go from the garage directly into the cellar, not having to go
outside. The access to the cellar would then be either through the garage doors to the street.

We have another garage door to the rear, and the purpose of that is so that if we ever need to get a piece of equipment back here, which we cannot do now without either taking down the side fence over here or the -- well, there's actually no way to get any piece of equipment through here at the moment, because this is too narrow in the existing conditions, and that is zero on the back.

But this would allow us to bring --if we needed to whether it would be a pickup truck or a small Bobcat or something like that back here if we never needed any kind of maintenance or whatever. It's just kind of a convenience. So anyways, that's it.

So here's a look at the proposed garage from the street, from Gold Star Court. You see the garage engaging the wall of the house. This is the stairs coming down, and that's the fence property line.

And my neighbor's over here. Probably right around where this is. He now has some breathing room here, and he's been doing some work on his house, and where he sets the ladder up, he currently has to set up on top of our
garage roof, because it comes all the way over to here, our deck, and then goes up.

And so, now he'll actually be able to set up a ladder probably still on my property, but at least he could come up or his workers can come up from the ground.

From Gold Star Road, you'd see a face of the garage, which you see now, but it's set back, and it doesn't have a door in it. These are placeholders, by the way. These are really intended to be there, but I haven't specified the doors or any of that, because I wanted to see how you all felt about this whole idea before we put any more energy into designing it.

But that's from Gold Star Road. It's set back substantially from the road itself, but there it is. This is from Gold Star Court. The façade really moves from where it is now here to here over here. There's currently two doors with a cinder block pier, which is listing at about four degrees.

Because it's old and falling down, and it's got two modest-size, small garage doors that most people who try to get into them find it's at their own risk, because it's they're quite narrow.

And then the back view, which faces our yard -nobody really else can see it -- is the single garage door, and then another personnel door, which I'm actually got second thoughts about, but it's -- here it is in the drawing. A modest size deck.

I am proposing a little storage box up there just so we can keep our deck chairs, things like that, in there. They wouldn't be sitting out in the weather all the time. That's kind of incidental.

We're not doing, we're not proposing any -- the height is not coming into play in this situation because we're not looking for any relief from heights, any height elements.

We're maintaining the side yard setback. We're maintaining -- or that's actually a street front. It's considered frontage because it's Gold Star Road Court.

At the back, which $I$ think was actually technically a -- yeah, the back yard is being improved, set back because we're pulling in away from the property. No change to this façade over -- this side over here, because it's right in line with the old garage. And that's it in a nutshell.

Again, the numbers are all submitted. There's additional -- there's the -- this sheet is all the proposed conditions. The existing conditions, which we looked at briefly earlier, has the existing elevations of the house and the garage, if you care to see those. The technical assistant would have to flip back to that page if you wanted to see those.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I ask you a question? Can $I$ ask you a question about the relief that you're seeking?

WILLIAM HUBNER: Yes of course.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And maybe Olivia, could we go to the dimensional form?

So you're asking for relief 5.31, the Table of Dimensional Requirements?

WILLIAM HUBNER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What of this do you need relief?
WILLIAM HUBNER: Well, it's a technicality,
frankly. But because the garage -- currently the garage is a freestanding garage, and it has setback requirements that it doesn't need, but that are different than attached garage to the home it has.

So by attaching the garage for the reasons that I
just described, we kick in the requirement to ask for permission [laughter] to have the variances from the setbacks.

Although they are conforming to existing or they don't conform any better at the back, we still have to ask for permission, because technically it's no longer a freestanding --

JIM MONTEVERDE: So --

WILLIAM HUBNER: -- garage; it's an attached garage.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I understand. So on the dimensional form, which are you requesting relief from? Front --

WILLIAM HUBNER: It would be the --

JIMMY HENSON: -- there, left?
WILLIAM HUBNER: -- well --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?

WILLIAM HUBNER: -- there's two frontages.
Because we were on --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
WILLIAM HUBNER: -- yep. So we need a frontage relief, because --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
WILLIAM HUBNER: -- we're maintaining the number,
but we need relief there, and --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
WILLIAM HUBNER: -- the back, yes, the back -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- which is front and back.

WILLIAM HUBNER: -- less of the garage also
needs relief, even though it's bigger than it could -- the setback is we're increasing the location of the garage from the rear yard.

JIM MONTEVERDE: But the --
WILLIAM HUBNER: The requirements require more. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And --

WILLIAM HUBNER: Yeah. That's what these numbers are; we have two frontages, and we have two backs. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Understood.

WILLIAM HUBNER: I kind of split this up. JIM MONTEVERDE: And then just to confirm, I think you mentioned before since this is a variance, we're -- you described I believe what amounts to the hardship is the deteriorating condition of the existing garage, and that you have to take it down and rebuild it. Is that correct?

WILLIAM HUBNER: I thought it had more than that as my hardship. But that is one of the conditions, yes. And it's -- well, there's the maintenance cost of fixing the head house, which needs repair, and fixing the garage itself.

Also, the awkwardness of the head house location to accessing it makes that a condition that regardless of its repair, it's just an awkward situation.

And I was -- my thinking is if we can take that -- the nominal five-foot space from the back of the house to the side of the garage and swap that and give it over to the other side of the garage, it enhances my neighbor's situation, because he actually now has some relief from our structure, if not our property line.

It does -- it simplifies the size of the deck, as I already said, and that keeps the maintenance of it. It just -- its presence on the street, I mean you arguably -- I think we all try to strive to sort of minimize the impact of our structures on our neighbors and on the neighborhood at large.

And by pulling this thing in, the garage is the same size, but its impact is reduced by pulling it in.

And, again, the awkward largeness, awkwardness of the deck -- double tier deck -- also would be reduced and simplified. And it would just have less impact. We've never had a complaint about it, but it's just -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. WILLIAM HUBNER: -- yeah, one of those things. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. WILLIAM HUBNER: So -JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. I get it. WILLIAM HUBNER: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Any -WILLIAM HUBNER: You have to look at -JIM MONTEVERDE: -- questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
If not, I will say that we have two pieces of correspondence in the file in favor and none speaking in opposition. We have a letter from Andrew Allegretti, dated August 28, 2023. He's an owner-occupant at 2 Gold Star Court, and he is in support. And Sam Kahsai, August 11, 2023. He resides at 1 Gold Star Road Court, and he is also in support.

So I will open the matter up to public comment.
Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anyone wishing to speak?
[Pause]
Nope. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among Board members? If not, I will move to a motion. Let me just go to the conditions for a -- this is a variance request.

So we discussed briefly I think, and the proponent described, I believe, the hardship owing to really the condition of the existing structure; its required maintenance, its dilapidated condition, and that that basically drives the design to take the existing garage down
and rebuild it and move it adjacent to or attached to the house. I think that's the discussion for hardship.

And then desirable relief would be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the ordinance, which I think those two are met.

Let me propose a motion. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements. And as we spoke about a moment ago, that has to do with the -let me get to the chart - the front and rear yard calculations with this repositioned garage.

And 8.22.3 nonconforming structure, and then the conditions of the variance, which we just read through and believe the proponent meets.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Proposed Garage Reconstruction, 55 Gold Star Road, Cambridge, Massachusetts," prepared by Insight Architecture, William Hubner, Architect, and dated July 18, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the
application.
For a vote, Board members, let's vote via voice vote on the motion to grant the relief.

Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?

THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five affirmative. Your variance is granted. Congratulations.

WILLIAM HUBNER: Thank you very much. JIM MONTEVERDE: Have a good night. WILLIAM HUBNER: Appreciate it. Goodnight. JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight.
(8:33 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Last but not least -- and I think that was last Sunday's sermon, as a matter of fact, was -is BZA-238363 -- 29 Ash Street. Is there anyone who wants to speak on this proposal, this request for a special permit?

Catherine Hayden or David Thurston?
DAVID FREED: Good evening, Mr. Chair. My name is David Freed. I'm the architect repairing Catherine Hayden and David Thurston.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAVID FREED: And I think Catherine Hayden is on this Zoom this evening as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: You want to walk us through what you're planning to do and what relief you're asking for?

DAVID FREED: Yes. We are seeking to extend living space into the basement, and to add a dormer addition to the right side of the building. We're seeking relief for
side yard and rear yard setbacks as well as FAR.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you want to talk us through
any of the drawings, or shall we just --
DAVID FREED: Oh, yes. Yeah, please.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- leave it at what we see?

DAVID FREED: If you could scroll up, please. So as you can see, the house is situated very closely to the right-side lot line. It's a very small parcel. It's located over -- near Harvard and Memorial Drive.

Our dormer -- proposed dormer is on the right side
towards the rear. We're also seeking a very tiny dormer above the stair in the back addition.

And if you could please go to the next drawing?
The drawing on the left is the existing condition of the basement, and the drawing on the right would be the proposed drawing of the finished basement. We have to drop the slab about 18 " to make head height, and we'd like to add a couple window wells, one of which triggers the side yard setback.

Next drawing please?
Uh-uh.
Next drawing please?

So on the second floor, we'd be adding -- on the second floor in the rear, there's a small stair that goes down to the kitchen and we're seeking to add a very small dormer, basically raise the window head here in the back addition.

In the front of the house, we'd like to add a wood stair up from the second floor to the wood floor -- to the third floor, I'm sorry.

And if you could go to the next drawing, please? I'd like to have a small dormer that provides head height for the new stair, as well as for a new bathroom on the third floor here.

Next drawing please.
This is a roof plan. And the roof plan shows on the right our 15' dormer, which is set back, both through the front and the rear. The dormer doesn't attach to the ridge. And then there's a very small dormer on the - in the back addition that's just a few feet wide.

Next slide, please.
The drawings on the bottom are the existing condition, and the colored elevations are the proposed. So on the left side elevation, you can see there are two small
window wells that are proposed.
And the dashed lines here, we're taking the existing chimneys out. The right side of the elevation east elevation proposed shows our proposed dormer.

Next slide, please?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Before you leave that slide --

DAVID FREED: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the existing east elevation, is there an existing dormer on the roof?

DAVID FREED: No. I'm sorry. That was a mistake on the bottom. That should be - yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Because it doesn't show up on the side elevation, right?

DAVID FREED: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Okay.
DAVID FREED: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
DAVID FREED: The drawings on the bottom again are existing. Our proposed dormer is shown in the upper drawing on the left. The proposed were elevation. It's 15' long, and it's just articulated with windows all the way across.

And then you can see on the right there's just a very small dormer that raises the window head in the back addition of the house.

And if you want to show there's slides of the existing -- pictures of the existing house, that's our front elevation on Ash Street. That's an image of the side.

And there's our plot plan. And you can see that, you know, we're just 2.9' from the right-side lot line, and really the covered porch is 1.3' from the rear lot line.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So do I have my orientation
correct? So the dormers happen in the side yard setback?
DAVID FREED: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's part of the relief you're looking for? Okay.

DAVID FREED: Yes. And the small dormer in the back triggers the rear setback --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
DAVID FREED: -- as well.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

DAVID FREED: So we're seeking - I'm sorry?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead. No. Go ahead.

DAVID FREED: No, so we're just - we're seeking
relief for those -- for the zoning violations tonight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. And just so we're clear, you're asking for relief under 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements looking at your dimensional form. The only one I see that's not in compliance would be the left side setback. Is that correct? It goes from a current existing condition of $19 . \mathbf{7 ' ~}^{\prime}$ to $16.1^{\prime}$ and the Ordinance requires 17.4', is that correct?

DAVID FREED: Yes. The window well is triggering that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry? Oh, that's right. It's the - it's the window well?

DAVID FREED: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Okay. Thank you. And the two dormers that are in the side yard setback on the opposite side, correct?

DAVID FREED: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Those are the two items that

```
you're requesting the dimensional relief?
```

DAVID FREED: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that's about the size of it. That's about the size of the relief, correct?

DAVID FREED: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any questions from members
of the Board?

THOMAS MILLER: Thomas Miller.
DAVID FREED: Oh, go ahead.
THOMAS MILLER: Very quickly, I was just going to ask is the -- am I reading this right that the outside wall of the larger dormer is flush with the wall of the house below?

DAVID FREED: Yes, it is. Because we're bringing the stair up from the second floor to the third floor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. But the roof overhang, the corners, the shingling, continues underneath the bottom of the dormer and continues across, correct?

DAVID FREED: Yes, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. So that's what the Board has typically accepted, as opposed to the specific verbiage that says you're not supposed to be in the side wall, set back from the side wall, so -- okay.

DAVID FREED: I think I should mention also excuse me, I'm sorry, we have received approval from the Cambridge Historical Commission before we came to Zoning for
-- asking for relief.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Great. It's not in our file, but
we'll take your word for it. Any other questions from
members of the Board?
BILL BOEHM: I have a question. What's the intended use of the basement? And I ask this to the architect. I see there's two windows that look like kind of what would normally be used as bedroom egress windows, but they don't appear to be a bedroom. So I was just curious what the intended use of the basement was.

DAVID FREED: Well, right now it's just going to be used as like a media room. There's really no intent to put bedrooms down there, because it does need light and air.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, we'll move to public comment. Let me just enter to the record that we have seven letters in favor, in support and none in opposition.

We have Douglas Okun, September 25; in support. We have James Woodman, 27 Ash Street; in support, May 23, 2023; May 18, 2023 and Katherine and David Gergen, 31 Ash Street in support.

June 17, 2023 Eirene Kontopoulos and Anthony

Philippakis -- I hope -- if I mispronounce that, excuse me -- in support. And they reside at 32 Ash.

All the neighbors here: 36 Ash Street, Jane
Kervick and John Costello in support; Sharissa Jones, Daniel Medwed, 154 Mount Auburn Street, in support. That's on May 18, 2023. May 19, 2023 Marta Rivas and George Langdon, 999 Memorial Drive, in support.

Those are the letters we have in the file. So let me open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I will ask you to wrap up.

Is there anybody calling in?
[Pause]
No one calling in. I will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? Let me just --
before we go to a motion, let me just review the requirements of the -- this is a special permit. It appears that the requirements of this ordinance cannot or will not be met, that's true.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion, hazard. Doesn't seem it.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses would not be adversely affected. Doesn't seem to be.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety or welfare of the occupants of the proposed use or citizen of the city and that does not seem to be the case.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district or adjoining districts, and that does not seem to be the case.

So it appears to comply with all of the requirements of criteria - of special permit criteria Section 10.43, paragraphs a) through e).

On that basis, the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections 5.31, Table of Dimensional Requirements. And that is specifically for the left side setback for the new window
wells areaway, and for the new skylights, which will impose on the right-side setback.

And Sections 8.22.2.d and .c for a nonconforming structure, and I just read the criteria for the special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "Extension of living space at the basement and dormer at 29 Ash Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts," prepared by Chiu and Company, Inc. and dated August 16, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

To a vote. Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant the relief. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five votes affirmative.
The special permit is granted.
DAVID FREED: Thank you so much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Board members, thank you for your help. Have a good night.

COLLECTIVE: Goodnight. Thank you, goodnight, everybody. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bye-bye.
[8:48 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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| six $55: 5,5,9,10$ | solution 43:10 | 74:19 77:6 | 84:9 105:19 | stating 66:15 |
| 55:11 64:3 | Somerville 5:11 | 80:3,10,11 | ss 132:3 | status 12:18 |
| 79:11 | soon 69:19 | 83:6 87:16 | Staff 4:7 5:16 | statutory 13:18 |
| size 23:7 35:3 | sorry 4:14,19 | 88:2 94:6 | 33:4,6 56:21 | 52:10 92:15 |
| 41:19,20 42:6 | 10:14 25:7 | 98:14,22 99:2 | 59:11 61:9,11 | stay 30:4 39:5 |
| 57:10 85:6 | 27:18 37:4 | 102:7,9 117:2 | 74:13,14 80:7 | steep 17:22 |
| 111:5 115:15 | 38:21 45:14 | 117:10,12 | 80:8 87:21 | 19:17,21 21:6 |
| 115:22 125:21 | 48:6 50:15 | 120:9 128:10 | 88:1 98:19,21 | STEPHEN 92:8 |
| 125:22 | 51:9 59:16,18 | 128:17 | 117:7,9 128:14 | steps 95:13,14 |
| skipped 79:22 | 62:15 79:21,22 | SPEAKER 27:7 | 128:16 | 104:12 105:14 |
| Skow 79:4 | 89:19 91:17,19 | 41:13 | Staffers 44:12 | 105:15 |
| skylight 78:1,11 | 103:18 104:22 | speakers 4:6 | stage 43:20 | Steve 4:16 9:8 |
| 81:4 | 105:8 122:8 | 33:4 61:9 | stages 31:19 | 41:12,14 |
| skylights 77:22 | 123:6,11 | 74:13 80:7 | stair 21:5,6 23:3 | Steven 1:7 3:4 |
| 78:2,18 80:19 | 124:20 125:11 | 87:21 98:19 | 69:14,15 | 4:17 5:3 6:5,6 |
| 130:1 | 126:21 | 117:7 128:14 | 121:12 122:2,7 | 6:21,22 7:15 |
| slab 121:17 | sort 24:15 32:8 | speaking 3:20 | 122:11 126:11 | 7:16 9:6,6,6,8 |
| slide 19:11,16 | 34:20 69:3 | 71:22 116:17 | staircase 83:22 | 9:11 10:3 |
| 20:7,15,20 | 85:6 96:8,14 | special 13:1,3 | stairs 69:2 105:1 | 14:16,17 16:3 |
| 21:12 23:17 | 115:18 | 23:16 39:13,22 | 106:11,22 | 28:18 29:13 |
| 122:19 123:5,7 | sounds 44:19 | 42:11 43:6 | 107:3 109:17 | 30:1,8 41:12 |
| slides 33:13 | Sousa 54:14,15 | 45:2 46:8 | stand 87:9 | 41:15 42:13 |
| 124:4 | 54:21 55:22 | 47:19,22 48:16 | standards 78:16 | 43:7 47:8,10 |
| slightly 17:13 | 56:8 58:11,14 | 62:8,15 63:6,9 | standing 21:7 | 48:17,18 50:3 |
| 18:12 26:7 | 67:15,19 | 64:10,14 65:5 | 54:17 56:18 | 53:6,7 54:3 |
| 39:3 100:8 | southern 57:17 | 65:8,17,20,22 | 58:8 | 67:3,4 68:3 |
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| 76:16,17 77:3 | 96:2,5,14 | 16:16 30:20 | system 22:10,11 | 65:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82:6,7 83:3 | 97:19 99:16,17 | 97:5 | 22:17 23:4 | terminated |
| 88:4,19 89:2 | 100:10 103:11 | summary 17:20 | 26:15 28:6,7 | 65:19,21 |
| 92:7 93:12,13 | 103:22 105:3,4 | 23:18 | 28:14 108:16 | termination |
| 94:3 101:7,8 | 106:7 107:20 | Sunday's 120:7 | T | 66:3 |
| 102:3 119:4,5 | 108:3,13 | sunroom 20:22 | T | terms 36:12,16 |
| 120:4 130:21 | 115:14 117:20 | 39:1 40:15 | T-Mobile 54:16 | 36:18 37:10 |
| 130:22 | 118:13 130:4 | 41:1 | 54:22 62:3 | 39:11 56:17 |
| stone 45:8 | structures 66:22 | support 31:1 | Table 47:14 | 71:17 83:20 |
| stop 26:13 | 80:20 95:19 | 37:14 79:4,5,6 | 75:3 100:7 | 88:10 |
| storage 111:6 | 115:19 | 79:8,9 88:8,16 | 112:13 118:9 | terrace 45:3 |
| storm 23:6 | study 32:1 64:14 | 98:9,12,13 | 125:3 129:21 | testimony 38:1 |
| 26:16 27:1 | stuff 106:4 | 116:20,22 | tabulations | 42:9 61:15 |
| stormwater | subject 32:12 | 127:17,18,19 | 26:22 | 74:21 80:14 |
| 23:8 36:21 | 55:4 63:10 | 127:21 128:2,4 | take 4:7 16:18 | 88:5 99:10 |
| story 18:15 | 64:15 66:6,11 | 128:5,7 | 22:7 28:1 | 117:14 128:21 |
| 55:21,22 86:7 | submission 17:5 | supporting $14: 5$ | 48:15 70:7 | th 103:12 |
| 86:9,11 95:3,4 | 17:7 31:7 36:7 | 48:9 52:19 | 74:2 76:9 | thank 4:16,18 |
| straight 105:15 | 36:10 | 62:5 75:9 81:7 | 79:17 100:21 | 5:10,15,19 6:3 |
| stray 50:9 | submittal 14:3 | 93:2 100:18 | 105:2,3,11 | 7:13,19 8:4 |
| street 2:4,6,7,10 | 52:17 92:22 | 118:21 130:11 | 114:22 115:9 | 10:8 13:8 |
| 2:13,15,16,17 | submitted 34:6 | supposed | 117:22 127:3 | 14:22 16:10 |
| 2:18,20 5:7,11 | 34:11 48:10 | 126:18 | 130:14 | 17:18 20:7 |
| 5:12 6:15 7:7 | 55:19 62:7 | sure 22:8 $24: 2$ | taken 3:21 87:5 | 23:13 26:11 |
| 10:6,13,18 | 63:12,15 75:10 | 46:8 51:1 59:2 | takes 29:9 | 28:19 35:22 |
| 17:13 19:19 | 81:8 100:19 | 91:1 | talk 23:22 24:1 | 36:2 37:1,3,21 |
| 20:6,19 30:19 | 106:2 108:10 | surface 29:7 | 25:7 63:1 | 37:22 41:10,10 |
| 33:12 36:5 | 112:1 118:22 | 39:9 40:3 | 73:13 101:17 | 41:15 46:6 |
| 50:6,8 68:6,19 | 130:12 | 43:11 44:5,8 | 104:21 121:2 | 49:5,10,14 |
| 68:22 69:6 | subset $37: 1$ | 57:22 | talked 27:8 | 51:21 53:2,11 |
| 75:6 77:6 79:4 | substantial | Susan 51:11 | 30:21 62:13 | 56:11 61:2 |
| 79:6,7 81:3 | 35:19 39:16 | suspended | 73:14 75:16 | 67:15,15 68:13 |
| 83:6 86:21 | 76:5 99:21 | 65:19 | talking 38:21 | 68:18 70:3 |
| 94:6,14,18 | 118:4 | SV 75:7 | 89:20 108:12 | 76:20,22 78:21 |
| 100:16 107:11 | substantially | Svirsky 68:8,8 | talks 26:14 | 79:16 82:17,18 |
| 109:2,16 | 39:14 76:6 | 68:12,12,15,18 | tallest 21:14 | 89:17 92:3 |
| 111:15 115:17 | 99:22 110:14 | 70:19 71:1,8 | Tax 61:22 | 93:20,21,22 |
| 120:8 124:6 | 118:5 | 72:2,6,8,11,15 | team 9:9 | 97:5 98:1 |
| 127:19,21 | sufficiently $67: 1$ | 72:18,21 73:2 | technical 112:5 | 101:12,13,13 |
| 128:3,5 130:8 | suggest 90:9 | 73:6,9,19 | technicality | 101:15 116:5,9 |
| string 85:20 | suggested 56:19 | 75:18 76:22 | 112:17 | 119:16 123:17 |
| strive 115:18 | 56:22 | swap 115:11 | technically $71: 4$ | 123:18 125:14 |
| strong 79:4,5,6 | suggesting | swapping 55:9 | 72:2 111:18 | 131:5,6,8,9 |
| 79:7,9 | 89:22 | 55:10 | 113:6 | Thanks 14:12 |
| structure 28:20 | suggestions 56:6 | swell 30:6 | television 3:15 | 16:22 |
| 28:22 29:15,21 | 56:15 57:2 | sworn 66:12 | tell 77:12 85:22 | that's 8:8 9:9 |
| 44:16 47:13,17 | 60:11 62:11 | symmetrical | 97:6 | 13:5 17:12,12 |
| 75:4,20 95:7 | summarize | 57:10 | terminate 65:4 | 17:18 20:14 |


| 22:11,20 26:11 | they've 42:22 | 67:8 68:4 | 34:15 | trusting 60:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:15,15,17,20 | 43:1 56:6 | 76:13 77:4 | today's 33:13 | $\operatorname{try} 30: 19110: 20$ |
| 28:8 29:13,20 | 58:22 | 82:11 83:4 | 81:5 | 115:18 |
| 33:16,20 34:19 | thing 36:14 | 86:16,16,18 | Tom 4:12 6:3,19 | trying 29:5 |
| 35:3 37:3,22 | 77:20 83:14 | 87:4 92:4 93:9 | 7:13 8:16 9:5 | 40:22 77:21 |
| 41:3,20 43:7 | 85:13 103:10 | 94:4 101:4 | 9:10 14:12 | 83:21 85:1 |
| 43:22 45:22 | 115:21 | 102:4 119:9 | 48:21 53:2 | 95:8 |
| 46:10,13,17,21 | things 25:5 | 120:5 126:4,4 | 67:776:12 | tub 95:18 96:2,8 |
| 49:11 50:10 | 33:12 60:19 | 126:6 130:18 | 82:10 92:3 | 96:12,16 97:20 |
| 55:1 56:2 57:8 | 73:15 85:16 | thought 19:4 | 93:8 101:3 | 100:10 |
| 58:11 59:2 | 95:22 107:16 | 71:1 115:1 | 119:8 130:17 | tubs 95:19 |
| 62:17 70:2 | 111:7 116:6 | thoughts 111:4 | tonight 9:1 | tucked 96:9 |
| 72:18 76:5,20 | think 9:1 12:15 | three 4:4 5:7,18 | 32:17 64:2 | turn 30:4 32:13 |
| 81:12 85:1 | 29:2,18 32:14 | 25:5 26:3 33:7 | 65:5,9,17 | 103:13 |
| 87:10 89:4 | 33:14 37:17 | 61:12 74:16 | 66:18 87:6 | turnaround |
| 100:10 102:21 | 39:7 40:14 | 80:10 88:2 | 125:1 | 31:11 36:9 |
| 103:17 104:2 | 41:19 42:9,22 | 95:16 97:20 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 20: 9$ 21:5,20 | twelfth 11:9 |
| 106:19 109:14 | 43:5,8,16,21 | 98:22 99:7 | 57:5 104:8 | 12:22 13:6 |
| 109:18 110:13 | 45:21 46:3,10 | 117:10 128:17 | 109:22 | twice 102:14 |
| 111:9,15,21 | 46:13,17,21 | three-18:14 | topography | two 12:8 30:17 |
| 114:14 118:2 | 47:6,20 55:15 | THURSDAY | 75:20 94:21 | 38:4 63:13 |
| 119:14 122:18 | 60:6,9 69:20 | 1:3 | 96:19 97:10 | 69:20 89:5,6 |
| 124:5,6,13 | 70:21 71:18,21 | Thurston | 99:16 | 89:12,13 90:4 |
| 125:5,11,21,22 | 71:22 73:13 | 120:11,14 | total 35:21 | 95:9 98:5,12 |
| 126:16 128:5 | 74:4,5 75:16 | ticks 47:20 | 71:15 86:10 | 102:12 104:8 |
| 129:4 131:3 | 76:2,5,8 78:9 | tier 116:2 | touch 104:10 | 106:15 110:16 |
| there's 21:3 | 82:2 86:4,5 | tight 107:10 | tower 56:18,19 | 110:20 113:18 |
| 23:4,10 39:2 | 87:4 88:12 | time 4:1,2 5:20 | traditional | 114:15,15 |
| 40:2 44:4,15 | 89:3,22 90:4,6 | 12:21 13:15 | 38:16 | 116:16 118:6 |
| 45:5 51:6 | 99:19 107:17 | 17:7,9 33:5 | Traffic 10:21 | 122:22 125:15 |
| 60:11,18 76:2 | 111:17 114:18 | 35:8 36:1 52:7 | 46:12 62:18 | 125:18 127:7 |
| 83:12 85:5,15 | 115:18 117:18 | 54:19 61:10 | 81:13 129:5 | two-27:3 |
| 85:20 88:14 | 118:2,6 120:6 | 65:6 67:3 71:1 | transcript 3:17 | two-minute 38:2 |
| 102:12 104:1 | 120:16 126:20 | 74:13 80:7 | 132:6 | two-story |
| 108:21 109:6 | thinking 36:20 | 82:6 87:22 | transmission | 104:14 |
| 110:16 112:1,2 | 115:9 | 91:6,6,7 92:13 | 64:13 | two-tiered |
| 113:18 115:3 | third 2:10 10:6 | 95:2,17 98:20 | treated 95:19 | 108:14 |
| 122:2,17 124:1 | 10:13,17 50:7 | 111:8 117:8 | trigger 44:13 | two-year 27:1 |
| 124:4,7 127:7 | 86:7,11 104:14 | 128:15 | triggering 70:16 | 66:7 |
| 127:12 | 122:8,12 | timely 11:1,9 | 125:9 | Tye 54:16 |
| they're 12:9 | 126:11 | 65:3 | triggers 71:2 | type 38:15 |
| 24:4 25:11 | Thomas 1:9 3:5 | times 63:19 | 121:18 124:16 | typical 57:7 |
| 26:3 28:14 | 4:13 5:4 6:4,20 | 108:6 | Trilogy 51:10 | 73:11 |
| 31:1,1,2 32:3 | 7:14 10:4 | tiny 105:18 | trio 5:7 | typically 126:17 |
| 33:17 40:16 | 14:13 16:4 | 121:11 | truck 109:11 |  |
| 41:21,22 44:21 | 38:9,19 39:6 | tired 108:7 | true 32:13 129:4 | U |
| 81:3 90:12 | 41:14 48:22 | today 27:10,13 | 132:6 | Uh-huh 97:11 |
| 106:1 110:22 | 50:4 53:3 54:4 | 30:7 33:17 | trust 60:22 | 97:18 |
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| Uh-uh 121:21 | 123:20 | 39:21 111:1 | wait 13:9,9 | 97:2 104:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Um 28:11 70:20 | upstairs 69:15 | viewer 57:7 | waiver 13:18,19 | 109:7 110:1,9 |
| unclear 84:9 | 108:14 | viewing 96:16 | 13:21 52:9,10 | 123:22 |
| undated 79:3 | usable 20:13 | violate 69:22 | 52:13 92:14,15 | ways 44:4 45:5 |
| 81:5 | 43:3 44:4,9 | 78:11 94:20 | 92:18 93:17 | we'd 12:22,22 |
| underground | 95:10 107:6,8 | violates 95:15 | walk 68:14 95:2 | 96:7 105:14 |
| 23:6 | 107:15 | violations 125:1 | 102:22 120:18 | 108:1 121:17 |
| underneath | use 3:11 21:10 | Virginia 5:5 | walk-out 18:15 | 122:1,6 |
| 126:13 | 40:16 41:9 | 8:16,18 9:6,10 | walking 69:2 | we'll 4:9 5:20 |
| understand 27:9 | 46:21 47:3 | 10:3 14:14,15 | wall $85: 15$ | 6:14 16:21 |
| 27:13 29:5 | 63:3 69:8 | 16:3 46:2 | 105:20 109:17 | 35:8 70:7,22 |
| 34:10 35:15 | 75:16 81:22 | 48:19,20 50:3 | 126:7,8,18,19 | 73:19 79:17 |
| 39:11 59:19 | 95:6 127:6,10 | 53:4,5 54:3 | walls 31:12 86:9 | 87:12 90:6,21 |
| 84:7 85:3 | 129:11,13 | 67:5,6 68:3 | want 11:16 | 127:3,15 |
| 113:11 | useable 40:9 | 70:11,13,20 | 12:12,12 22:20 | we're 9:12 17:14 |
| understanding | 106:3 107:5 | 76:14,15 77:3 | 23:19 36:6 | 19:9 20:10 |
| 51:4 | useful 83:22 | 82:8,9 83:3 | 40:10 44:2,11 | 24:15,16 25:5 |
| understood 25:2 | 85:2 | 92:5,6 93:10 | 60:17 68:13 | 25:17 32:4 |
| 25:2 42:8 45:1 | uses 46:16 62:21 | 93:11 94:3 | 73:15 77:12 | 34:9 35:22 |
| 78:17 114:16 | 81:17 129:8 | 101:5,6 102:3 | 78:18 85:14,14 | 36:14,14 37:17 |
| undertaking | utilize 57:20 | 119:6,7 120:4 | 90:3,20 95:6 | 40:11,21,22 |
| 39:19 | 64:1 | 130:15,16 | 102:21 105:22 | 42:2 54:21 |
| unenclosed |  | visibility 57:19 | 120:18 121:2 | 55:8 60:2,14 |
| 105:15 | V | vision 45:17 | 124:4 | 71:5 72:8,19 |
| unfortunately | vacate 50:16 | visual 63:14,21 | wanted 17:9 | 73:7 83:21 |
| 10:22 68:19 | vantage 36:12 | visually 57:4 | 29:18 30:13 | 85:1 89:6,20 |
| UNIDENTIFI... | 57:7 | voice 27:17 | 33:12 37:9,15 | 94:19 95:8,12 |
| 41:13 | variance 13:2 | 48:15 76:9 | 37:19 110:10 | 102:20 103:15 |
| unit 2:4,6,7,19 | 26:10 35:17 | 93:5 119:2 | 112:6 | 105:2,11,22 |
| 5:7 6:15 7:7 | 44:14 45:20 | 130:14 | wanting 77:16 | 107:14,22 |
| 104:13,14 | 68:17 70:16 | vote 6:1,11,17 | wants 120:8 | 111:10,10,12 |
| 106:13 | 71:2 73:16 | 7:4,11,21 14:8 | wasn't 11:20 | 111:14,14,19 |
| unmute 33:3,4 | 74:3 75:3,13 | 14:19 48:15 | 59:16 | 114:2,9,19 |
| 61:8,9 74:12 | 76:9 97:8 | 49:4 53:9 | water 22:5,13 | 120:22 121:11 |
| 74:13 80:6,7 | 99:12 100:6,12 | 56:13 60:13 | 22:16 23:6 | 122:3 123:2 |
| 87:20,21 98:18 | 101:11 103:2 | 67:3,12 69:19 | 28:9,15 29:1,6 | 124:8,20,22,22 |
| 98:19 99:6 | 114:19 117:17 | 76:10,19 82:6 | 29:11 30:2,3 | 125:2 126:10 |
| 117:6,7 128:13 | 118:14 119:15 | 82:15 89:11 | 43:11 | we've 20:21 |
| 128:14 | variances 113:2 | 93:5,15 100:21 | waves $64: 13,19$ | 32:8 55:13 |
| unreasonably | vegetation 31:13 | 101:10 102:19 | 65:11 | 60:10 87:5 |
| 46:5 | VELUX 81:4 | 119:2,2,3,13 | way 21:13 22:22 | 89:5 96:12 |
| untitled 81:2 | vent 57:14 77:18 | 130:14,15 | 26:20 30:2 | 97:3 106:2 |
| unused 58:1 | 78:2 | 131:2 | 39:4 41:3 42:8 | 108:8 116:3 |
| updated 11:1,7 | verbiage 126:17 | voted 69:18 | 44:10 60:6,16 | weather 21:11 |
| 56:14 58:3 | verify 4:8 58:22 | votes 3:20 89:5 | 68:20 70:5 | 41:2 111:8 |
| upgrade 54:22 | versus 70:16 | 89:5 131:3 | 73:17,20 74:2 | webpage 4:3 |
| 55:8 | video 3:14 4:19 |  | 85:9 87:3,5 | website 90:16 |
| upper 104:9 | view 21:19,20 | W | 88:8 89:13 | week 13:22 |


| 52:14 55:20 | 41:6 105:20 | works 29:16 | 45:4 50:14 | 95:3 101:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92:19 | 123:22 127:7,8 | 40:2 47:21 | 51:3,11,14 | 102:15,22 |
| weeks 69:20 | winter 41:2 | worse 72:17,21 | 53:12 60:4 | 103:2 112:9,13 |
| welcome 3:7 8:6 | wireless 55:1,3 | worthy 47:21 | 68:15 71:7 | 120:19,19 |
| 10:10 101:14 | wiring 57:14 | wouldn't $34: 15$ | 72:7,9 84:21 | 124:13 125:3 |
| welfare 46:20 | 58:2 | 34:16 44:17 | 90:7,9 91:9,13 | 125:19 126:18 |
| 63:1 81:20 | wise 23:9 | 111:8 | 94:16 102:20 | you've 78:9 |
| 129:10 | wish 5:14,15 | wrap 33:8 61:13 | 103:6,10 105:8 | Young 98:11 |
| wells 121:18 | 79:14 85:10 | 74:16 80:10 | 111:18 114:14 | Z |
| 123:1 130:1 | 128:10 | 88:3 99:1,8 | 116:6,10 121:4 | Z |
| Wendy 1:9 | wishes 16:6 | 117:11 128:18 | 123:12,15 | ZBA 31:6 |
| went 11:734:21 | 32:21 54:9 | wrapped 58:6 | 126:1,16 | zero 109:9 |
| 69:16 100:13 | 59:15 61:4 | write 81:2 | years 27:12 69:7 | zone 18:5,6 |
| west 77:22 78:12 | 68:6 74:8,18 | wrong 12:5 | 85:10 89:13 | zoning 1:1 $3: 8$ |
| 80:19 | 77:6 80:3,11 | 26:14 71:6 | уep 8:12,16 | 3:13 17:20 |
| western 57:18 | 83:6 87:16 |  | 10:16 16:10 | 23:18,20 39:12 |
| what's 41:21 | 98:14 99:2 | X | 23:21 24:11 | 44:14 46:16 |
| 70:16 127:5 | 117:2 | x 2:1 20:10 | 25:3 26:11 | 69:21 75:21 |
| wheelchair | wishing 10:12 | 22:11 23:5 | 29:17 43:12,15 | 81:17 84:18 |
| 69:14 75:16 | 94:6 117:12 | Y | 45:9,11 47:10 | 86:6 90:16 |
| whereof 132:12 | withdraw 5:17 | Y | 50:18 51:13 | 95:20 99:18 |
| who'd 102:6 | 5:21 7:10 | yard 18:3, | 60:1 72:20 | 107:7 125:1 |
| who've 89:12 | withdrawal 6:2 | 20:12 | 77:10,12 78:4 | 126:22 |
| wide 88:16 | 6:87:12 | 21:11 22:10,12 | 78:5,5,17 | zoom 28:18 |
| 122:18 | withdrawing | 23:3 24:4 25:4 | 79:10 81:1 | 32:22 61:5 |
| wife 36:8 83:8,9 | 13:2 | 25:8 28:16 | 83:18 86:17 | 74:9 80:4 |
| William 1:8 | withdrawn 5:9 | 32:3 37:13 | 89:1,19 94:15 | 87:17 99:3 |
| 102:8,11, 11,17 | 6:13,16 7:6,9 | 3,7, | 102:13 103:8 | 104:6 117:3 |
| 102:20 103:1,3 | 7:22 | 40:16 41:9 | 113:20,21 | 120:17 128:11 |
| 103:6,9 112:10 | witness 132:12 | 42:16 44:3 | 114:1,16 116:7 |  |
| 112:15,17 | won't 75:15 | 45:5 47:16 $69.22 ~ 71 \cdot 17,17$ | 120:15 123:13 | $\frac{0}{0581 \cdot 2}$ |
| 113:9,14,16,18 | wonder 70:17 | 69:22 71:17,17 $71: 1872 \cdot 1,3$ | 124:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 . 5} 84: 22 \\ & \mathbf{0 2 / 0 9 / 2 3} 2: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 113:21 114:2,5 | wood 122:6,7 | $71: 18$ 72:1,1,3 $78 \cdot 11,1980 \cdot 18$ | you'd 44:20 | 02/09/23 2:8 |
| 114:7,12,14,17 | Woodman | 78:11,19 80:18 | 55:22 89:15 | 02/23/23 2:5, |
| 115:1 116:6,8 | 127:19 | 84:3 94:20 95:5,6,16,18 | 90:20 106:6 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{0 2 1 3 9} 1: 6 \\ \mathbf{0 5} / \mathbf{1 1} / \mathbf{2 3} 2: 6 \end{array}$ |
| 116:10,12 | word 70:8 79:17 | 95:5,6,16,18 96:6 97:13 | 110:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 5 / 1 1 / 2 3} 2: 6 \\ & \mathbf{0 5 / 1 9 / 2 3} 31: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 118:19 119:16 | 127:3 | 96:6 97:13 100:8 103:19 | you'll 77:15,19 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 5 / 1 9 / 2 3} 31: 15 \\ & \mathbf{0 6 / 2 9 / 2 3} 2: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 119:18 | work 5:16 24:21 | 100:8 103:19 107:1 111:1,14 | 81:1 90:17 | 06/29/23 2:11 |
| Williams 1:8 3:5 | 31:17 32:4,16 | 107:1 111:1,14 | 97:14 108:11 | 1 |
| 4:14,15 5:4 6:2 | 40:13 48:5 | 111:18 114:10 118:11 121:1,1 | you're 10:10 | $137: 9 \text { 43:2 57:3 }$ |
| 6:18 7:12 8:8 | 62:1 63:11,13 | 118:11 121:1,1 $121: 18124: 11$ | 21:5,7 29:3 | 62:13 99:21 |
| 8:12 10:7,10 | 63:14,20 75:5 | $121: 18$ $125: 15$ | 40:8,8 45:13 | 104:3 116:21 |
| window 121:18 | 100:14 103:10 | 125:15 | 56:3 68:14,16 |  |
| 122:4 123:1 | 109:21 118:16 | yards 26:1 | 71:14,16 72:9 | $102: 1064: 22$ |
| 124:2 125:9,12 | 130:6 | yeah 8:10 9:2 | 72:12,13,16 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { 2:10 64:22 } \\ \text { 65:12 66:9 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 129:22 | worked 55:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 20 \text { 13:11 } \\ & \text { 26:20 28:13,19 } \end{aligned}$ | 73:3,4,5,6,6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:12 66:9 } \\ & 95: 2196: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| windows 20:22 | workers 110:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 20 \text { 28:13,19 } \\ & 30: 541: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 78:17 84:19 | 10-27:1,3 |
| 38:12,14,16,16 | working 4:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 541: 12 \\ & 42: 844: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 86:12 93:17 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 0} 75: 3$ |


| 10.40 61:21 | 2023 1:3 3:7,10 | $3175: 7$ 127:20 | 52:8 53:12 | 74:12 80:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.43 63:6 82:4 | 13:13,15 14:8 | 32 128:2 | 92:13 | 87:20 91:6,14 |
| 129:18 | 48:7 52:3,5,7 | 34 86:2 | 6:115:2 | 91:15,22 92:11 |
| 100 19:19 | 52:22 62:4 | $3633: 14$ 128:3 | 6:16 10:2 | 92:12 93:5 |
| 100-27:1,2 | 75:7 91:15 | 373 19:9 24:8 | 6:22 16:2 | 98:17 99:6 |
| 100-year 27:10 | 92:11,12 93:5 |  | 60 69:7 | 117:6 128:13 |
| 100-years 27:13 | 100:17 116:19 | 4 | 61 79:7 | 94 2:18 |
| 102 2:19 | 116:21 118:20 | 42:4,6,7 5:7 | 63 79:4 | 999 128:6 |
| 11 116:20 | 127:20,20,22 | 6:15 7:7 57:15 | 64 94:5,14 |  |
| 117 2:13 50:6 | 128:6,6 130:10 | 86:3 | 6409 61:21 |  |
| 12 11:6 12:11,12 | 132:13 | 4,793 19:8 | 6409a 62:2 |  |
| 12:15 13:7,13 | 2026 132:18 | 4.21 100:9 | 65 2:4,6,7,16 5:6 |  |
| 13:15 14:8,21 | 2027 27:11,12 | 4.32.G.1 61:21 | 5:12 6:15 7:7 |  |
| 22:11 132:18 | 206407 5:13 7:7 | 4.40 61:21 | 77:5 81:3 |  |
| 120 2:20 | 208873 10:5 | 46 2:18 22:21 | 67 79:5,5 |  |
| 124 2:15 68:5 | 209310 5:13,21 | 94:14,15 | 68 2:15 |  |
| 75:6 | 21 97:14 | 100:15 | 69 79:6 |  |
| 14 52:2,5,7,22 | 212873 6:14 | 48 5:11 | 7 |  |
| 62:4 | 215873 5:13 7:6 | 5 | $\frac{7}{712.710}$ |  |
| $14302: 14$ 54:5 | 22 3:15 22:11 | $52: 424: 10$ | 7 12:7,10 30:18 |  |
| 55:2,4 $1584 \cdot 5,785 \cdot 13$ | 95:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 424: 10 \\ & 25: 1230: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 33:22 36:4 |  |
| $1584: 5,785: 13$ $86: 8,1188: 11$ | 22.5 20:10 | 25:12 30:19 $33: 12$ 57:20 | 58:3 98:8 |  |
| $86: 8,1188: 11$ $88: 15122: 15$ | 223469 16:5 | 33:12 57:20 96:5 98.11 | 7:07 50:2 |  |
| 88:15 122:15 123:21 | 23 127:19 | 96:5 98:11 | 7:12 54:2 |  |
| 123:21 $\mathbf{1 5 4} 128: 5$ | $23.823: 5$ | 5.31 47:14 75:3 | 7:29 68:2 |  |
| $154128: 5$ $162: 1224: 8$ | 231-235 2:10 | 80:17 100:7 | 7:41 77:2 |  |
| $\begin{array}{rl}162: 12 & 24: 8 \\ 83: 13 ~ 130: 10 ~\end{array}$ | 10:5,13,17 | 112:13 118:9 | 7:49 83:2 |  |
| 83:13 130:10 | 233 84:8 | 125:3 129:21 | 70s 19:21 |  |
| 16.1 125:7 16.4/12.2/4.6 | 233167 83:5 | 5:00 14:5 52:19 | 77 2:16 |  |
| 16.4/12.2/4.6 $72: 13$ | 235827 50:5 | $93: 3$ $\mathbf{5 0} 2: 12,13,17$ |  |  |
| $72: 13$ 16.5 $20: 10$ | 235875 68:5 | $\begin{gathered} 502: 12,13,17 \\ 16: 5,917: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $858: 662: 13$ |  |
| 16.5 20:10 17 127:22 | 236649 77:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 5,917: 13 \\ & 17: 14,2127: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8} 58: 662: 13 \\ & \mathbf{8 . 2 2} 39: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $17127: 22$ $17.4125: 8$ | 238314 94:5 | 17:14,21 $27: 21$ $31: 848: 683: 6$ | 8.22 39:14 $\text { 8.22.1.h. } 286: 7$ |  |
| 17.4 125:8 18 84:6 98:10 | 25 27:12 51:12 | 31:8 $48: 683: 6$ $\mathbf{5 2} 37: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8.22.1.h. } 286: 7 \\ & \text { 2 2, 2 } 2.14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 18 84:6 98:10 | 100:17 127:18 | $5237: 9$ $\mathbf{5 4} 2: 14$ | 8.22.2 42:14 <br> 47:13 |  |
| 118:20 121:17 127:20 128:6 | 25-27:1,2 | $542: 14$ $\mathbf{5 5} 2: 19$ $102: 6,12$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 47: 13 \\ \text { 8.22.2.c 80:19 } \end{array}$ |  |
| $127: 20128: 6$ $1948: 7103: 13$ | 25-year 26:16 | 55 2:19 102:6,12 | 8.22.2.c 80:19 |  |
| $1948: 7103: 13$ $128: 6$ | 26:22 27:7,11 | 103:15 118:17 | 8.22.2.d 130:3 |  |
| $128: 6$ $19.7125: 7$ | 26 91:4,5 | $5979: 8$ | 8.22.3 75:4 |  |
| 19.7 125:7 | $27 \text { 30:19 56:12 }$ | 6 | 118:13 |  |
| 2 | r $281: 33: 770: 2$ | $623: 533: 3$ 58:1 | 8:13 102:2 |  |
| 22:19 3:9 23:5 | 116:19 | 61:8 74:12 | 8:33 120:3 |  |
| 57:9 102:6 | 29 2:20 79:9 | 80:6 87:20 | 8:48 131:11 |  |
| 116:19 | 120:8 130:8 | $97: 1798: 18$ $99.6117: 6$ | 83 2:17 |  |
| $2.9124: 8$ |  | 99:6 117:6 | 831 1:5 |  |
| 20 18:1 40:17 | 3 | $128: 13$ $6: 001: 43.313 .7$ | 9 |  |
| 72:12 103:13 | 3 57:13 | 13:16 14:21 | 933:3 61:8 |  |

