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            P R O C E E D I N G S
            * * * * *
    (6:04 p.m.)
    Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
        Keesler, Carol Agate, and Daniel Hidalgo
        JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the October 12, 2023
        meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is
        Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.
            Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted
        by the Massachusetts Court, and approved by the Governor,
        the City is authorized to use remote participation at
        meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeals.
    This meeting is being video and audio recorded and
is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within
Cambridge. There will also be a transcript of the
proceedings.
All Board members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.
Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for public comment at that time, and you also can find
```

instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.
Generally, you will have up to three minutes to
speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible. And for the first continued case we have Steven?

STEVEN NG: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Carol?
CAROL AGATE: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde present.
(6:05 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, and Daniel Hidalgo. JIM MONTEVERDE: The first cases for tonight are all continued cases. They were previously begun, heard, and not resolved.

The first case that we want to call is Case No. 216107 -- 1103 Cambridge Street. Staff will now unmute you. Please begin by introducing yourself and any other presenters on your team, then commence your presentation.

Is there anyone present who wishes to talk about this case?

CAROL AGATE: Didn't they drop this?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No. Well, not that I have in front of me, no. Where did you hear that or see that?

CAROL AGATE: I thought $I$ saw it in the papers.

Let me see if $I$ printed that one out.

JAMES RISSLING: Good evening. Jim Rissling here. JIM MONTEVERDE: Hi. Mr. Rissling.

JAMES RISSLING: Hi.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you want to --

JAMES RISSLING: Good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And if $I$ can begin by offering you my sincere personal apologies for messing this up the last time year before us, and having you run around in circles and come back again to us tonight. It was my misunderstanding that you actually needed a special permit for the bike part of this, when in fact it all can be done by a variance. So my apologies.

But if you please -- just for the sake of the Board, if you could just remind us what this is all about. If you --

JAMES RISSLING: Thank you. Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- focus on why you're asking for relief, and then we'll take it from there. Thank you.

JAMES RISSLING: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. We are planning on reconstructing the building at 1103 Cambridge Street. It's currently commercial space on the first floor, and residence -- a single residence on the second floor.

We need to reconstruct the building because it's in pretty bad condition, and it has no real foundation. And in the reconstruction, we're going to maintain commercial
space on the first floor and add another dwelling unit on the third floor.

So if we can advance the slides, we resubmitted on

Friday a redesign that allows us to take care of our bike parking.

So we are no longer seeking any relief for the bicycle parking. However, we are still here for the dimensional requirements because the residences are treated like they are in a CB -- C-2B Zone, we need relief for the setbacks.

And we're also here because it's a nonconforming building.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Could you -- okay. Is that your presentation?

JAMES RISSLING: Yes. I fear there will be a few --

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's fine. JAMES RISSLING: -- questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can you bring up a plan or a survey? Can you just show us on the plan the survey? JAMES RISSLING: Sure. The next slide, please? JIM MONTEVERDE: The dimensional issues that you
need a variance -- you're asking for a variance for? JAMES RISSLING: Yes. They would be for the residential use. They would be for the front, rear, and side setbacks. The -- that slide is okay. The side setbacks would be -- where would they be? They would require to be $15^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$, I believe. And if we did that, we would have overlapping setbacks. Our lot is only 25' wide. JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm looking at your dimensional form, and I'm trying to square away what you're saying with the Dimensional Form, and I'm not quite following you. Can you --

JAMES RISSLING: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- bring up the Dimensional Form? JAMES RISSLING: Oh. It was just the next page. Sorry. Unless you mean the one that was in the application? JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. JAMES RISSLING: You mean the -JIM MONTEVERDE: That one, yep. So looking at this, the front, it says the Ordinance requires zero, the existing condition is zero, and the proposed condition is zero.

JAMES RISSLING: That's -- that would apply to the

Business Use of the building and the native zone of -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. JAMES RISSLING: -- Business -- Business Z I think it is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Is there another -JAMES RISSLING: Yeah, Business A. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- dimensional form that has to do with the residential component, or not?

JAMES RISSLING: The residential component would require a front yard of $10^{\prime}$-JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

JAMES RISSLING: -- and a - I believe of 15' and $8^{\prime \prime}$ and a rear yard of $20^{\prime}$. And that applies to the Residential Use, as though it --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sure.
JAMES RISSLING: -- were in a Res C-2B zone.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And looking at this chart
still, so your front yard is in - for the residential
buildings are going to be zero, right?
JAMES RISSLING: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And your side yard left side is
8'11" vs. the 15'8"? Right side is 0 vs. the 15'8"?

JAMES RISSLING: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?
JAMES RISSLING: That's it, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the rear yard is instead of 20' is $16^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the requested condition, is that correct?

JAMES RISSLING: That's a dimension to the porch. The building is 20'.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So is that the sum of the dimensional relief that you're requesting?

JAMES RISSLING: That is the sum of the dimensional relief. Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And this is a variance. Did you have a plot plan? Or a survey, or a first floor plan?

JAMES RISSLING: There's a first floor plan right there. Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: It shows the lot lines.
JAMES RISSLING: If we could go a little further to the current proposal? Right here. Stop, please.

Down one. Up. Back one - one slide, please. Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.

JAMES RISSLING: There. There. So our frontage on the left-hand side there is $25^{\prime}$. Our rear is $24^{\prime \prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ and the lot is $66^{\prime}$ deep. There is a --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
JAMES RISSLING: -- a $3^{\prime \prime} 5^{\prime \prime}-$ there's a $3^{\prime \prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$
passageway in the left-hand side of the lot that allows access to the property behind us.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

JAMES RISSLING: And then we would have a 5' passage from that line to our building. And then our building occupies approximately the same location, although we've improved the rear setback.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I'm -- in terms of, again, for a variance you need to describe a hardship. And the hardship can be the lot. And I'm looking at the dimensions if your overall lot is $24^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ call it $25^{\prime}$.

And if the -- I'm looking for the Ordinance, what's allowed for the minimum side yard. If you do your arithmetic, you said 15'8" for the side yard?

JAMES RISSLING: Um --
JIM MONTEVERDE: On both sides?
JAMES RISSLING: Yes. Yes. 15'8" from each side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So basically, with the lot being as narrow as it is, you couldn't -- you could never comply with the side yard setback requirement --

JAMES RISSLING: Yes, with regard to Residential. JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?

JAMES RISSLING: With regard to a Residential Use. JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm just - okay. And I have info that says since it's a nonconforming lot, you'd only need 7.5' setback on each side. Still, you takeaway 15' from 25' and you don't have a lot to build on.

Okay. Is that the sum of your presentation? JAMES RISSLING: Yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
No?

CAROL AGATE: No.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Just one question. So the bike parking is now in the back; is that the solution -JAMES RISSLING: That --

DANIEL HIDALGO: -- that you came up with? JAMES RISSLING: Yes. So we've converted much of
the long-term bike parking to short-term under Section 6.107 .5 a and b.

And then because we can't provide the short-term parking within 50' of the entrance of the building -- the entrance of the building is on the lower right-hand corner -- so we are providing covered short-term parking for the residences and the rest of the short-term parking will be satisfied with a contribution to the Public Fund.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay, thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We have a couple pieces of correspondence in the file, which I'll summarize, and then open it to public comment.

So we have three pieces of correspondence speaking in favor. We have one from Hudson Santana from October 12, today, in full support for the application. Another from M. Fatima Linga (phonetic) yesterday, October 11, in full support. And we have correspondence from the East Cambridge Business Association dated October 8, in support of the application as well.

And we have no correspondence in the file against.
So I will open it up to public comment. Any
members of the public who wish to speak should now click the
icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Is there anyone wishing to speak?
[Pause]
No. All right. Close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board?

CAROL AGATE: Looks to me like --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead, Carol.
CAROL AGATE: -- it looks to me like turning a so sow's ear into a silk purse. [Laughter] In other words, it looks like a big improvement.

JAMES RISSLING: Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Very good. And I think in terms of the conditions for a variance, the hardship, I think, is definitely just owing to the shape of the lot and the
narrowness of it. And that kind of drives the dimensional items that are being requested.

So if we're ready, I'll make a motion.
COLLECTIVE: Yeah. We're ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready?
COLLECTIVE: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31 Dimensional Requirements, specifically for the residential component, the front yard and side yard setback requirements. I think it's only one side you need to meet the side yard setback requirements.

And I think you're no longer looking for relief for the short-term bicycle parking; that's been accommodated. And I think -- would involve a substantial hardship. It would, because you couldn't build it. You couldn't build a residential portion there, or hardly anything there.

Hardship is owning to the shape of the lot.
And desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the

Ordinance.

So the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of -- just did the Sections -- on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled, "1103 Cambridge Street," prepared by LR Designs, dated -- revised October 7, 2023 initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional form submitted as part of the application.

So on the motion, a voice vote from the Board members?

Carol?
CAROL AGATE: I approve.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The variance is granted. Thank you.

JAMES RISSLING: Thank you for your time,
everyone.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
CAROL AGATE: Sorry. I had the cases mixed-up. I
think it's the next one who said that they would like to withdraw.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, exactly. Give me one second, please.
[Pause]
We're not sure of the protocol here.
(6:21 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Carol Agate, and Daniel Hidalgo.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-232270, and we
have a letter in the file from Patrick Barrett, dated October 9, and it reads:
"My clients are working to resolve this issue with ISD and request to withdraw their application." So let me propose a motion to withdraw. The Chair makes a motion to withdraw the application. And we'll do a roll call vote again.

Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Five in favor. The case is
(6:22 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA No. 208873 --231-235 Third Street.

CAROL AGATE: Excuse me. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

CAROL AGATE: Excuse me, Jim. I'm not on these other cases. Do you have somebody else, or --

BILL BOEHM: Yes, I'm Bill Boehm, I am here. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill's here.

CAROL AGATE: Oh.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So for the next case --

CAROL AGATE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- we have myself, Steven Ng,
Virginia, Daniel, and Bill Boehm.
CAROL AGATE: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? And we're all here. Is there anyone who wishes to speak about 231-235 Third Street? [Pause]

DANIEL ANDERSON: Good evening, Mr. Chair. Dan

Anderson, Partner at Anderson Porter Design. I believe that there are personnel from DND Homes on the line, but I'll let them introduce themselves.
[Pause]
DANIEL ANDERSON: Possibly not. I thought there's -- I guess unless Trina wants to introduce herself, Trina Murphy is here for DND Homes.

TRINA MURPHY: Hi. Good evening, everyone. This is Trina Murphy with DND Homes. Thank you for hearing us tonight and thank you, Dan, for patiently waiting for me. I hope everyone can hear me okay.

BILL BOEHM: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

DANIEL ANDERSON: Great. Thank you, Chair. JIM MONTEVERDE: All right?

DANIEL ANDERSON: Yep. So again, Dan Anderson, a Partner from Anderson Porter Design. We're here for 213-235 Third Street.

We had initially applied for both a variance and a special permit. Because of the progressive development of this project, the variance is no longer required. So with the Board's permission, we are happy to withdraw that
variance request.
And what we have in front of us is an application, then, for a special permit, which is in this Industrial A-1 Zone a footnote that allows the reduction in side and rear yard setbacks to $10^{\prime}$ upon a properly landscaped buffer zone. So I'm happy to have the presentation walked through.

Olivia, I think that that's a little bit deep into the deck. Is that slide -- yes, I'll go through this quickly.

So this is an existing -- two existing lots. We've been through the Historic Commission process to get the appropriate relief for demolition. The project has been deemed -- the project was Significant, but not Preferably Preserved in light of the proposed project.

There is a secondary petition that was heard last week to initiate a landmark study. The Board -- the Cambridge Historic Commission declined to initiate that study. So we're looking at a combination of two lots informally into one, and a new 19-unit multifamily residential project here.

So next slide?
You can see here in dashed the location of the two
structures, the proposed outline of the building, and the two 10 ' side yards. There are formula side yards so we're looking for a decrease from $17^{\prime}$ to $10^{\prime}$ and it would be 14' to 10 ' in this. Really, it allows a conforming height, conforming gross floor area, conforming FAR, and this will be an Inclusionary Housing project, which I will touch base on here in a moment.

So next slide?

Dimensional Tables, as I pointed out, are conforming in all aspects. The only relief that we're seeking is the special permit for the landscaped buffer at 10'.

So next slide?
So this is, as I said, an Industrial A-1 Zone, but it is covered by Article 20 as the East Cambridge Overlay so there particularly are incentives for denser residential development. So this falls clearly within that ECHO.

You can go to the next slide.
Basically, just to orient you. You can see the green circle is the area, the blue is our project. Industrial A-1 is bounded by, I believe, Rogers up to -yeah, in any case, you can see that 12-block, roughly 12-
block area.
In the lower right-hand corner is the East
Cambridge Housing Overlay so you can see it fall firmly within that, not abutting any of the residential districts.

So next slide?

Just very quickly, base zoning height 45', FAR 1.25' with a 600 square feet lot area per dwelling unit. ECHO Overlay bumps that to 2.5' FAR.

Height remains the same throughout. There's a 30 percent increase in FAR for the Inclusionary Housing. So the net result, ECHO took it to 300 square feet of lot area per dwelling unit, and we end up effectively with 230 square feet.

So next slide?
So we fall, again, also squarely within the Sustainable Design Development Article 22. We did previously and listed a couple of slides just looking a little bit at Pedestrian Use, Bicycle Use and Alternative Transportation. Really with the change in Article 5 no longer requiring parking. We're not looking at parking, we're not looking at any relief for that use.

And we're also conforming with the sustainability
portion with the recent adoption of the extended Stretch Energy Code. So this project will be Passive House certified.

So if you look at the next slide?
Okay, so we're providing 19 units and 19 plus dedicated parking spaces. As I noted, repeal of the offstreet parking requirements will encourage those residents to rely on public transportation, cycling, and other alternative forms of transit.

If $I$ can go to the next slide?
We've got some maps just showing kind of distances in terms of mileage and approximate walk time from the site, both to the Lechmere MBTA stop, also down towards Kendall Square, Main Street and the Kendall/MIT stop.

Next slide shows proximity to those bus routes. So we're pleased to have also ridden multiple bus routes to multiple locations.

And the next slide really illustrates proximate Zipcar and Bluebikes stations, so both within very ready access.

We did submit a transportation analysis and writeup. I think it's part of the project file.

So next slide?
Really, the relief we're really seeking is that special permit under Article 5. As I've said before, it's the reduction for the side yard setback by formula to 10' based on providing a suitably landscaped buffer.

I will note there was a -- and thank you for the next slide - yeah, so that - this illustrates the 10' area where there was some conceptual work. A fence, there's a pedestrian passage or walkway at the very top of the plan that goes along from Bent Street to an abutting parking lot. I believe it belongs to the Data Center lab buildings that the property abuts along Bent Street.

The proposal is fencing and landscaping on both those sides. There was, I saw, a letter coming in -objecting -- raising the objection to not having dense planting. To the best of my knowledge, there is no specific requirement for the type of planting.

The reference to dense planting only refers to planting in district -- Residential District C2-B. So I feel that this provides an appropriate buffer. There is a second means of egress on the side yard setback abutting the parking lot, which provides pedestrian access directly out
to Third Street.
And we have -- and are basically exceeding the amount of open space requirements. Industrial A-1 has very limited open space requirements.

Next slide?
So we're in the process of engaging a landscape architect to assist with this, but this is pretty representative of the type of planting and landscaping that we're anticipating.

This is our work produced here at my office, looking at a combination of ground cover, fencing, both deciduous and coniferous trees, native species, and all the -- with the range of flowering and variation in color and texture.

Some steps and landscape area, we've proposed to build up the landscape in the rear to provide good solid depth of planting material. And really, you're looking at a kind of informal gravel, based path and landscape steps.

Next slide?
So I touched briefly on Article 11 about the Incentive Zoning and Inclusionary Housing. We're fully in conversation with Housing Department on the unit
designations. However, you know, we're conforming with the intent to provide 20 percent of the net square footage for the project to meet that Inclusionary Housing demand.

So we're feeling great about providing more housing, and obviously about providing some affordability as well.

So next slide?

So this shows ground floor plan entry from Third Street lobby. We have one small studio unit, and then a three-bedroom unit at the side, and two-bedroom. It's a mix of one-, two-, and three-bedroom units.

Next slide?

This gives larger sense of the second floor layout. Fairly typical. We're looking at four units per floor, with the exception of the ground floor, which is three. And as you can see, they range in size. I think we're averaging about 650 square feet per unit, centralized core and elevator.

The building at the first two levels does extend out to Third Street. We're stepped -- it's a zero-lot line. We're stepped back to provide for some planting and buffer at the sidewalk level.

And if we go to the next slide? Sorry. And this becomes the third floor level.

And the next slide, which is identical to the second floor.

And then third floor and above step back from Third Street.

If we go to the next slide?
So there's not habitable roof, but a step-back of the volume from Third Street, and again four units.

The next three slides, I believe, are the -- or two slides, rather, are the top floors. Again, as you can see, a very similar layout -- one- and two-bedroom units.

Top floor is the roof plan. We're looking at an all-electric building. So these would be smaller, rooftop mounted heat pump units. And we're -- an elevated headhouse and parapet. We've taken care of -- the mechanical equipment is small and centralized to buffer both sound and any kind of visibility.

Next slide?
This is a slide that shows comparative heights as you move along Third Street to Kendall Square to East Cambridge to Charles Street.

You can see the allowable heights start to step down as you move towards the East Cambridge residential area from 55 out at Binney Street and Rogers down to Bent Street at 45 -- 45 adjacent to us, and then there is a new residential construction where that $35^{\prime}$ outline lies next to 203 Charles.

231 and 235 you can see sits within that 45' height envelope. You can see the different, underlying zoning and the extent of the overlay.

Next slide?
So we're looking at a range of materials compatible to some of the coloration and feel in the neighborhood. We spent a good deal of time with Cambridge Historic vetting through different color schemes and materials. There is a wood type horizontally -- the kind of beige or -- sorry brownish color, which is a horizontal, narrow profile wood-look material.

And then we have painted nickel gap siding with also the accents of that same wood look material and some spandrel panels.

I think next slide.
This shows the side and rear elevations, so
carrying that same color scheme and material palate through. You can see the landscaping at that side and rear in the lower elevation.

And if I can go to the next slide, I think these will become a little bit more illustrative of the kind of massing and feel here.

So the intention is really to create an integrated lower, more residentially-scaled three-story block closer to the street edge at the entry setting back the larger 45' height volume, anticipating what other future development might be throughout that district.

But really trying to keep the pedestrian scale and warmer tones that maybe are kind of a nod to the bottle block building and the Foundry around the corner on Bent Street.

Next slide?
So this is a semi-aerial view seeking down Bent Street to the left and up Third Street to the right. So we're situated right across from the American Twine Building, in between this larger residential block on the other side of -- between Rogers and Bent.

And you can kind of see the residential scale of

East Cambridge development existing conditions to the right. Next slide?

Gives a little bit of a feel of that residential
corner. We're looking at where that lower studio unit would be in and above that -- it's called NewTechWood is the material that we're looking at and the glazing, with a canopy that extends over to the entry, which is shown in the next slide.

So I branded it 235 Third. And you see the [unclear]. We have about a 2' planted buffer before the public sidewalk and property line. We're looking at some additional street trees and improvements along there.

And I think next slide gives two -- set into Google Earth street views.

You can see the tone coloration of the brick building of the bottle block there on Third Street, our building to the right and beyond. The lighter piece is where a construction project is underway.

And if we can take the next slide, I think that would pretty much wrap up my presentation.

This is looking back from Third Street, back to Rogers Street and Binney Street. And you can see the upper
portion of the 45' and that really steps back from Third Street, and that's the lower three-story, more residentially scaled portion there right at the corner.

So I think that I probably will stop the presentation here. And so if there are any questions or feedback -- and am happy to answer any questions.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. And just to confirm, for members of the Board, in terms of what we're reviewing and voting on, the variance is not part of this discussion, correct, Dan?

DANIEL ANDERSON: That's correct. The variance -JIM MONTEVERDE: No?

DANIEL ANDERSON: -- was if an existing building were to remain and we were to enlarge it, it would be larger than 25 percent.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. Right.
DANIEL ANDERSON: But that is no longer the case. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And it's -- so this is strictly to the special permit, and really the one condition we are reviewing is the side yard/rear yard setback to an allowed $10^{\prime}$ minimum?

DANIEL ANDERSON: That is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct? Okay. Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: I have a question. Dan, you already brought up there's a question about the plantings in the open space. And I'm looking at Section 5.22.1 Private Open Space, where they're looking for 15'. And you're looking to reduce that to $10^{\prime}$.

I'm not seeing in that portion anything about the treatment of that space in terms of plantings. Can you tell us what is the governing language about the types of plants and hardscape they're allowed if there is more than that section 5.22.1?

DANIEL ANDERSON: Yes. So if I -- I think they're two issues. One is I'm pretty sure that we don't have an open space requirement, because this is an Industrial A-1 Zone.

BILL BOEHM: Okay.
DANIEL ANDERSON: So the minimum $15^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 15^{\prime}$ doesn't apply in this particular case.

The second is that there is no language that $I$ can find in terms of what an appropriately landscaped buffer should be.

Unfortunately, it falls, I think, to
interpretation. We were feeling that a wood fence at about 6' height -- a little bigger in the back where we've raised the landscape height -- pedestrian walkway, ground cover -all felt appropriate to the ground floor residents and to the adjacent uses.

So I guess that's a quick way to answering that there's no hard and fast guideline that I'm aware of.

BILL BOEHM: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: This is --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, sorry. Go ahead.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: -- yeah, this is Virginia
Keesler. I was wondering if you could speak a bit to the condition of the two existing buildings to be demolished.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I was, if -- Virginia, if you'd let me for a second, $I$ was going to --

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- chat about that and ask Dan to confirm just from the info that's in the file, because we've seen -- there have been a number of continuances, and I
think a few different presentations to us.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And what $I$ was headed with this is in looking at the correspondence from the Cambridge Historic Commission, we have two pieces of correspondence in the file.

One is from back in September -- September 27, and it said that the Commission voted to find the two buildings to be significant as defined by the City's Demolition Delay Ordinance.

And at a hearing on September 7, the Commission found that the existing buildings were not preferably preferred (sic) in the context of the proposed replacement project, dated July 20, 2023.

And Dan, I just -- I don't think I have a drawing in our file dated July 20 2023. Do you? So what is that? In terms of the two buildings to be either preserved or incorporated or not?

DANIEL ANDERSON: Yeah. So to kind of take both of those as kind of one question, there are two structures currently on the site: 231 and 235.

Both were originally constructed more than 50
years ago. So they fall under the Demo Delay Ordinance. We had worked through an initial proposal for this project attempting to preserve at least one of the buildings. There was not a satisfactory solution that we could come to for that.

So the design changed. So it's -- what you're seeing here today is a representation of the approved Cambridge Historic Commission project. The --

JIM MONTEVERDE: So the one that the Historic Commission is referencing in their correspondence $I$ just referred to and read from, where your drawings are dated July 20, they are identical to what we are seeing here into concept?

DANIEL ANDERSON: They are. We actually made -apologize for any discrepancy in date -- there were two color versions, but all the same massing and plans that were presented to Cambridge Historic. This represents the color scheme with some siding modifications that were requested by the Committee.

So this project as represented here is identical to the project that was approved.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

DANIEL ANDERSON: I'd be happy to submit drawings that were submitted to Cambridge Historic Commission, but I believe that these are identical.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That would be great, just to round everything out. And then one final comment: We then have a follow-up correspondence. Again, last time we met, we continued the meeting because it was going to be a meeting to see if they would initiate Landmark Designation Study.

And then we have a correspondence, and that was going to be on October 5 was that meeting.

And then we have October -- if my memory serves correct - and we have correspondence from the Cambridge Historic Commission dated October 10, stating that it was a public hearing on October 5.

The Historic Commission considered the petition of Cambridge residents and voted 6:1 not to initiate a Landscape Designation Study for the properties that we're discussing.

So that's just a historical background for the project.

So did you have anything else, Virginia, relative
to that?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I was just hoping for a bit more background on the condition of the two existing buildings. I remember reading in the materials that they had been vacant for quite some time, I believe. So I was just hoping for some more background.

DANIEL ANDERSON: I can maybe have Trina address that a little bit, but we've been working on this project in a couple different iterations for just about two years.

My recollection is that these properties have been on the market for possibly a year or more prior to that. Two separate owners, so you can understand that they're kind of purchased at different times. There have been various proposals dating back probably even farther than that.

I think for 231, there was a proposal to make this a cannabis dispensary, which didn't pass the Planning Board. The project on the -- the structure on the corner has been vacant I believe for longer. It was privately owned, and I think radically used as office space to the best of my knowledge.

Both structures are not dilapidated; they have just been really -- been vacant. And it's been a long
stretch to come up with a solution that made these economically viable.

So that really has prompted the design you're seeing in front of you I think really combined with the kind of housing demand that we're seeing in the city. So hope that's satisfactory.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
If not, let me summarize the correspondence we have in the file before we open it up for public commentary. We have a couple letters in support and one in objection. Christopher Cassa, dated October 11, strongly supporting. Samuel Burgess, October 11, strongly supporting. "Kendall Square desperately needs more housing." Dan Eisner, October 12, strong support. Justin Saif, October 11, strong support.

I read the Historic Commission from October 10 saying they were voted not to initiate the Landmark designation.

Then we have one against. Joseph Rose, October

10, saying that there's no hardship for this petition. But then that's not a criteria for the special permit. "Lack of any hardship, detriment to public health, lack of dense plantings."

That, I believe -- and one more from Marie Elena Saccoccio -- pardon the pronunciation or mispronunciation -October 12, and this is objecting to the demolition of the existing buildings. And I'm assuming we may hear from those folks.

With that, I will open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen to say, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up. Is there anyone wishing to speak?

STEPHEN NATOLA: Betty?
MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: This is Marie Saccoccio. We're sharing the computer. I was the lead petitioner for
the landmarking. There are many people who support the landmarking. On the same night that we submitted the petition, the Historic Commission allowed the Commission itself to vote on this project, independent of the landmarking. So I thought that was pretty outrageous.

The basis for the landmarking I have submitted to you. These are two buildings that were part of the East Cambridge Land Company and they were authorized by a special act of our legislature. They were imbued with the responsibility and the authority to set up the streets.

From where they are all the way to Kendall it was only marshland. It was undeveloped, uninhabitable. They set up the streets and set up boundaries and were authorized to sell and to lease.

And their work really established one of the most successful industrial complexes on the East Coast. They had -- they were visionary.

The truth is they always intended for this to be a massive industrial complex, and they built the Broad Canal from First Street all the way to -- it would be Cardinal Medeiros Way Portland up to the Dante, so that all the industry would not have to traipse through the residential

East Cambridge with their cargo in and out.
These are the only two buildings that are left.
The history of the East Cambridge Land Company is
memorialized in the SJC case that I submitted to you. It's over 100 years old, and it actually became the authoritative work, even with the Foundry a few years ago trying to establish who had easement rights, whether something was a public way or not.

I also submitted to you 231 Third Street. Although some people may think of it as a modest house, it's historically significant not just for its status with the East Cambridge Land Company, but also because it was the seat of the attorneys. It was a women's law firm; the attorneys who successfully litigated the case for same-sex adoption, which became the seminal case cross-country.

These two houses -- I've submitted some photos that are very recent just a couple of weeks ago -- have been accepted for a nomination into America's Most Endangered Historic Places.

This is overseen by the National Trust for Historic Preservation. They've already been submitted and accepted. There should be further information requested in

November.

Although they don't really have the authority to
foreclose demolition, they note that only 1 percent of houses that have been registered like this have been demolished. Also, this year is different because -JIM MONTEVERDE: Excuse me, Ms. Saccoccio?

MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're well over the three-minute mark. I'm going to have to ask you to wrap it up, please?

MARIE ELENA SACCOCCIO: Okay. This year is different for this particular competition, because they're looking for industrial history and history of immigrants. And this was a section that was the feeder workers were Italians, Portuguese, Irish, and Polish. Those were the mainstays of East Cambridge. That's the history of East Cambridge. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments.
STEPHEN NATOLA: Calling in from phone 617-8311.
HEATHER HOFFMAN: Hello. Heather Hoffman, 213
Hurley Street. I know that your scope of decision is pretty small on this but I need to say one more time for the record that I would have been thrilled to have this much housing on
this lot if they had decided to preserve the delightful buildings that are there now, which have been subjected to demolition by neglect.

Proposal really needs a whole lot more landscaping to hide it. Because it comes nowhere close to the aesthetic value, the community value, the neighborhood value, of what we're losing in order to have this.

And I firmly believe that had they wished to do a better job, they could have. But they exhibited over and over in their treatment of the neighbors, their disdain for our concerns, and their disdain for actually doing outreach that they don't care.

So I hope we at least get an awful lot of landscaping to hide this building. Because it is not going to be an enhancement to our neighborhood.

And it's too bad. Because what's there now is. And these guys could do better. But no one has cared enough about us and our neighborhood to insist in making any of these decisions.

And that says a lot more about the City and it's concern for it's ATM than it does about us and our history. Thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. We have no one else calling in. I'll close public testimony. Discussion among Board members?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah. I appreciate the desire to preserve the history of this neighborhood. And I think they're fine buildings but just looking at the criteria for a special permit, I really don't see how it's going to, you know, significantly negatively affect the character of the neighborhood or affect traffic patterns or cause congestion in ways that are incongruent with, you know, how the neighborhood works now.

So, given that this is a special permit, I'm in support of this application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other Board members? Or ready for a motion?
[Pause]
Not hearing any comments, so I'll make a motion. And as Daniel mentioned, this is a special permit. Let me go through their criteria quickly.

Special permits will normally be granted where the specific provisions of this Ordinance are met.
a) It appears that requirements of this Ordinance
cannot or will not be met. That's true.
b) Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion, hazard, or substantial change. I don't think so.
c) The continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Ordinance, would be adversely affected.
d) Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use or the citizens of the city. And
e) The proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district.

So I think, personally, we'll take it to a vote. But we appear to meet that criteria.

So the Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Section 5.34, Table of Dimensional Requirements, specifically for the side yard and rear yard setback with landscape buffer, on the condition --

Oh, I'm sorry. Also want to state that the variance that was advertised is no longer requested as part of this submission. And it's not reviewed or voted on.

This is strictly the special permit.
-- on the condition that the work proposed conform
to the drawings entitled, "Development 231-235 Third Street prepared by Anderson Porter Design," dated September 13, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief. Carol?

Carol's not on this one.
Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. Special
permit is granted. Thank you.

DANIEL ANDERSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

Thank you, members of the Board. Have a good evening.
TRINA MURPHY: Thank you and good evening.
(7:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case -- again, we're on the continued final case is BZA-133276 -- and we have a Request for an Extension.

We have a letter from James Rafferty dated September 15, 2023, requesting to extend the time for the special permit in the above-captured matter for a period of six months. That would bring us to -- what? April Fools, my favorite date for meetings [laughter], 2024?

STEPHEN NATOLA: April 12?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. So we'll move this to a date of April 12, 2024. Will all the Board members present tonight be available for that? Oh, this is a case not heard, so we don't need the same members, right?

Well, just in case, will the current members who are sitting on this be available on April 12, 2024?

BILL BOEHM: Yes.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes.

BILL BOEHM: I guess.

COLLECTIVE: [Laughter]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. Really.
DANIEL HIDALGO: I think so.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
STEVEN NG: Sure.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And let me make a motion to continue this matter to April 12, 2024, on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of April 12, 2024, and the new time is 6:00 p.m.

Also, that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirements for a hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department.

I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday. Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new submittals or changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements, that those be in the file by 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter until April

12, 2024? A voice vote by the Board members, please? Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

The continuance is accepted.
(7:07 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Now, so just to confirm, going forward we have Virginia, Steven, Bill, Daniel, myself. Correct? Okay. Now onto the Regular Agenda.

First case is BZA-240611 -- 7 Mellen Street.

Anyone here wishing to speak on that case?
[Pause]

HONG LIU: Hello? Is there anyone wishing to speak?

Yeah. My name is Hong Liu. And I'm with my friend, Kim Walker Chin (phonetic). And --

JIM MONTEVERDE: What's that?

HONG LIU: Can you hear me? Here tonight I have my assistant, Kim Walker Chin, because due to my eyesight. And I also have Timothy Burke.

I am here to apply for 7 and 9 Mellen Street in Cambridge. These are the two single-houses properties I purchased from Lesley University last August.

And I want to change the use by the Lesley

University as offices to Residential/Lodging Use. I believe this is the best use for these two properties that were built as residential single homes and had always been used in a residential way, until Lesley used it for a while. And I'm doing this also to help provide housing in terms of the furnished rooms extended or transient stay, which are not daily, which are not yearly, but they are short-term extended stay. That's why I am petitioning and hope the Board can grant me the variance. And --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Can you hold on one second? Can I just ask a question really of Staff? HONG LIU: Sure. JIM MONTEVERDE: The advertisement says the change in use to Hotel/Motel, not Lodging. HONG LIU: I think that's a mistake. Yeah, I think that's a mistake. JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm reading the application form. I'm reading our Agenda. I'm assuming this is on the notice that's on the property that the public has been notified? HONG LIU: Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: So can we do the old bait and switch?

HONG LIU: Yeah. I'd like to request to change it, because I have an eye problem. When they put out the advertising thing, the office presented me something and I just signed up.

And not until two or three days ago when they presented Hotel/Motel I said, "No, that's not what I'm requesting. I'm requesting a lodging house, boarding house, for single rooms, just like other people do Airbnb but I am always doing lodging house for short-term staying."

JIM MONTEVERDE: No.

HONG LIU: Monthly.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. I understand what you're saying. I hear what you're saying, and I think I understand what you're saying. I'm just trying to understand how we process that when the public notice in the application we have in front of us and the agenda we have in front of us does not call it "short-term" or a "Lodging House," it calls it, "Hotel/Motel Use," which are different animals.

HONG LIU: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So --
HONG LIU: I agree with you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What we have in front of us --

HONG LIU: I feel very bad.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- well, I guess you're --
HONG LIU: But you didn't request it. If you look at my -- what's it called? The document. Can you see -- I have a picture of it. Mm-hm, if you see my application in my assistant's handwriting --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. It's in front of me.
HONG LIU: -- I always --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Let me read. It says,
"Description of Petitioner --
HONG LIU: But the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- excuse me, one second. It
says, "Description of Petitioner's Proposal: The building was residential until 1970, when Lesley University purchased it for us as office and residences, and now I want to change to hotel/motel use."

HONG LIU: Um -- this was a --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Doesn't say, "Lodging."
HONG LIU: This was prepared -- yeah, correct.
This was prepared by the Inspectional Services Department. Apparently, I did not clarify who she was.

She said, "Ms. Liu, you needed -- you need
relief." I said, "What is it?" I said, "Would you please help me to fill up whatever it is?" And then they sent me just the e-mail. And I assumed it's the same, so I signed onto it.

And then I did, when my assistant asked me, she said is asked -- I said, "I didn't ask for Hotel/Motel, it's just the two single homes there." And then the person said, --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Can you give us one moment, please? I'm just behind the curtain here talking with Staff, seeing if we have a way forward with this for this evening. Give me one moment, please.
[Pause]
Is there another way?
[Pause]
All right. Easy.
Okay. We cannot change what you're requesting
from what's advertised and applied for as a Hotel/Motel and referred to under the Ordinance cited the Section 4.31.1.2. That is specifically Hotel/Motel.

It is not -- we cannot change that in this meeting to a Lodging House. What you can do if you wish is with--
and I'm assuming we're talking about we have to take these individual. So this is 7 Mellen, the same applies to 9 Mellen.

If it is -- if it does, then you can withdraw without prejudice both these cases and refile them, stating that your proposal is to -- you want to change these buildings to Lodging House Use, as the various correspondents that we have from public members who are supporting you are stating.

We can't process this this evening or vote on this the way it's -- the way you're presenting it versus what's in front of us as an application.

So would you like to withdraw without prejudice, go back to ISD. If you want you may consider getting some legal assistance; go back to ISD, reapply the application forms for both 7 and 9 Mellen Street, and asking in terms of the petitioner's proposal is to change the use to "Lodging House" if that in fact is what you want?

Is the proponent still on the line?

STEVEN NG: Jim, I believe Mr. Burke, the architect, is on the call still, if he could speak.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There's not -- he can't -- I
don't think he can represent the owner -STEVEN NG: Right. JIM MONTEVERDE: -- the applicant. STEVEN NG: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I'm really asking the
applicant, Hong Liu, if what she would like to do this
evening -- because I don't think we can move forward -- is to with the application in front of us, and then with the presentation that this is for something else -- unless they withdraw without -- I don't see another way out.

STEVEN NG: Well, she's on but she's muted. I don't know if she knows she's muted.

HONG LIU: I would like Tim Burke to say a few words. Because I'm not changing outside/inside, just give me -- allow me to change the use from Office to Residential Lodging. And I think the City has a way to issue a license for lodging house, no hotel. Not hotel. And that's the -I think the solution $I$ don't have to go back again.

It's labeled wrong. And as you can see, the City Councillors, neighbors, everyone, are in support of what I'm doing - Lodging House, Extended Stay. JIM MONTEVERDE: I just.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I completely understand that.
And I can see that. It's just that it's every - all your letters of support - and Councillor Nolan in support -- are all talking about a - converting this to a lodging.

HONG LIU: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And that is not what the application says. So, again, the gentleman who was just trying to speak, Mr. Burke, did you want to offer something here?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Oh, yes. Thank you. I was -wasn't the able to speak earlier. My name is Timothy Burke. I'm the architect for the project. And we did submit this as a lodging house, but ISD directed us to change it to a Hotel/Motel Use.

We didn't quite know, you know, understand that but the intent of, you know, the reality is that she does plan to use it as a lodging house. But ISD wanted to classify it as a Hotel/Motel use. They were very clear to that.

So I don't think it's going to help to go back, because it was clear -- made very clear to us, yes. You
know, I think that Hong Liu has a good record of running -she has several of these. We're going to talk about another one --

JIM MONTEVERDE: So --
TIMOTHY BURKE: -- later.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- yeah, if I can, Mr. Burke, I
think what transpired from what I understand, when the petitioner went to the Inspectional Services Department, was the description from the proponent that the intention was to have single-day rentals --

HONG LIU: No, no, no.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- which by definition -- let me
just finish the statement -- and by the definition that we have from several years ago that actually would apply to a hotel/motel and not to a lodging house. And that's the confusion.

TIMOTHY BURKE: I understand now. Yeah, that I wasn't aware of. I think that --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Not the confusion, but I think that's why --

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- ISD directed the application
to be for Hotel/Motel.
TIMOTHY BURKE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So if that is not the case, as $I$ think I understand Ms. Thuy (phonetic) saying in the background, and the intention is to run these as lodging houses, then, again, I don't see a way forward this evening, unless you withdraw without prejudice.

You may want to retain legal assistance and go back to ISD and explain to them that you do intend to -your application really wants to be as a Lodging House and not a Hotel/Motel but a Lodging House.

And then it can be advertised that way; the application form can be prepared that way. And all your letters of support will frankly be in support of exactly what the application is for.

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes, I understand.
So Hong Liu, is that clear to you as well? That seems like our best path forward here.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

HONG LIU: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And --

TIMOTHY BURKE: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- if you would like me to join you when you go to ISD to try and square this away, I would be happy to do that.

TIMOTHY BURKE: Well, thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So --

HONG LIU: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for the petitioner, are you --
HONG LIU: Yeah, so -- okay. Yeah. Thank you very much.

TIMOTHY BURKE: Hong Liu, you have to request a Withdrawal Without Prejudice.

HONG LIU: Yes. I request Withdrawal Without Prejudice.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
All right, let's see if there is a way we can do this. Can you describe for us how you intend to use and how you intend to let --

HONG LIU: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- rooms?
HONG LIU: Each house has about eight rooms. And then they are all the way it was built over 100 years ago.

So each room, they would just be single-occupancy
and with shared kitchen and full monthly staying or several weeks staying, because we have students, researchers or Visiting Professors - scholars coming to Harvard or community -- MIT and other Longy School of Music for example. They only attend several weeks or several two to three months.

And these people, they are on a limited budget -especially musical or religious background, and they are very, very, you know, limited with the budget.

And that's one reason from running a friendly inn at Harvard, which is two blocks away. We do have people always asking for a longer term stay or, you know, lower budget. And that we can't. The 7 Mellen Street is surrounded.
[Petitioner lost connection]
TIMOTHY BURKE: All right. Until she comes back, I can try to say that, you know, what she's trying to do is provide a fairly long stay for transient people, and at a very reasonable rate. And it's a great service for the neighborhood --

> JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

TIMOTHY BURKE: -- the city.

JIM MONTEVERDE: But it's not short-term, it's not daily, it's not weekly, it's meant to be monthly or several months?

HONG LIU: Yes.
TIMOTHY BURKE: That is correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
HONG LIU: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm looking at a definition that was done -- this goes back to 1996. These were proposed definitions. A "Lodging House", which I think you meet, at least where $I$ read this proposed definition, I think what's in the Ordinance currently, and what's also allowed in the zoning districts that the buildings are located in.

And then this has a definition for a motel:
"Building or group of buildings containing six or more rooms will provide sleeping accommodation for transient occupants. They wish to provide parking --" You're not providing parking, correct? "-- and direct entrances to most of or all rooms" -- which you're not doing that.

TIMOTHY BURKE: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's not a motel. Hotel: "Any buildings or group of buildings that need six or more rooms
would provide sleeping accommodations and food for transient
occupants."
TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're not providing food, are
you?

HONG LIU: No.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So you're not a hotel, you're not a hotel, you're a lodging house.

HONG LIU: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I just went full circle. That's --

HONG LIU: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- where I have no way, but you have to come back and apply for it as a lodging house. And I think from everything I read, that should be an easy one to discuss and move along.

So will you withdraw the case without prejudice --- and we're going to do these one at a time, 7 Mellen and then 9 Mellen -- refile, get some legal assistance if you feel you need it, and change the proposal to -- that you want to change this to a Lodging House Use?

HONG LIU: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mr. Burke, that's okay, but I think -- I really --

HONG LIU: Yeah, it could be resolved.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- thank you for your support but I think I need Ms. Liu.

HONG LIU: Officer, is there any way you can help me put in remodify, and then just change the advertising for lodging house. Because everyone knows it's a lodging house, and that has been - always been this way. And there's no way for hotel or motel. So I really would appreciate it.

It's been -- it's been over a year. It's very hard as a small business owner. And there is a, you know, big demand for this housing.

And I'm very -- feel very privileged to help out with housing in this aspect. That's why I appreciate the City Councillors and the many people who are here today. I think they are online to support me. Some of them are facing this situation --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
HONG LIU: -- or benefitting from a Lodging House Use.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

HONG LIU: -- in the city.
JIM MONTEVERDE: But unfortunately, what they're supporting is not what you've applied for. That's the crux of the problem.

So please, I'll ask you directly one last time: Would you please agree to withdraw these two cases without prejudice and refile them, resubmit the application form, and state that your proposal is to change these uses to Lodging House?

HONG LIU: Yes. I agree to it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
HONG LIU: And I will refile it. Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So thank you. Any
questions from members of the Board? Any public comment? Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you
will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

And keep in mind this is specifically about 7
Mellen Street. Is there anyone wishing to speak?
[Pause]
No. I will close public comment. Sorry, we have somebody who wishes to speak.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Beth Zeitlin?
BETH ZEITLIN: Hi. It's Zeitlin, actually. Beth Zeitlin. I live at No. 8 Mellen Street. Thank you for letting me speak.

In addition to the lawyer's letter that we submitted -- and I -- other people that have submitted on behalf of posing this petition, $I$ feel like we're playing a little bit of a game with semantics here whether you call it a lodging house or a hotel/motel. There is ample parking behind, it's already operating as some sort of business.

There's a great deal of activity. I live directly across the street. I'm an abutter. I've been here for 12 years. I live here with my husband and my children.

And this is not a community building, a
neighborhood initiative. This is a for-profit, nonresident
enterprise. And, you know, I'm sorry if I sound impassioned, but this really is a game of language.

And you're taking buildings that were abandoned by
Lesley and finally sold. Ultimately the responsibility of Lesley, where there's no transparency in the neighborhood, there was no For Sale signage ever posted, so the neighbors didn't even know that these buildings were up at a time when affordable housing is in a crisis in Cambridge, this would be a perfect opportunity that could have been easily converted into long-term proper housing for families.

So this proposal is not going to impact the neighborhood in a good way; it's going to impose on our safety. The traffic is brutal on this street. We are not even on a five-year-plan on Mellen Street for city improvements; the street, the sidewalk.

I've been told we might not even be on a 10-yearplan, and this is just going to increase the already congested conditions that we live under here.

And again, this is somebody who doesn't live in the community, who is running a business. And I wish that we would just speak plainly about it. This is not some sort of not-for-profit initiative to help people.

Anyway, so I am vehemently opposed, and also upset that it was clear that this was a petition for a Hotel/Motel. That's the notice that I received, and my neighbors received, and that's how we oppose this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BETH ZEITLIN: Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment.
Anyone else?
[Pause]
No other comment? I'll close public testimony. Discussion by members of the Board? If not, I'll make a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to withdraw this case without prejudice. And this is specifically Case 240611 for 7 Mellen Street. On the proposal to withdraw without prejudice, Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

That's five in favor. The case is withdrawn
without prejudice. Sorry. I'm running through a
"president, precedent, prejudice."
(7:33 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Next, we're going to do the same thing for BZA Case 2406-- oh, sorry. All right. The next case is BZA 240609 -- 9 Mellen Street. This is the same case, same proponent, same issue, different building, next door. So -- hold on one second, let me just grab the file.

And it is the same terminology -- faux pas. So without going much further, Ms. Liu, if you're still with us --

HONG LIU: Yeah. Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- will you also agree to
withdraw this one without prejudice and revise the application form to say the change of use is to Lodging? For a lodging house? And --

HONG LIU: Yes, I - yes, I do.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- all right, thank you.

HONG LIU: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I will open the matter -- any
questions from members of the Board? Public comment? Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
We have no one raising their hand. So I will
close public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board, or are we ready for a motion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: We're ready for a motion.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And the word is,
"prejudice." All right, the Chair makes a motion to withdraw. And this is specifically 9 Mellen Street, BZA Case 240609 , to withdraw this request without prejudice. On the motion to withdraw, Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Five in favor. The motion is carried to withdraw.
(7:35 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA 237019 -2240 Mass Avenue. Same proponent. I think this one's a little easier, hopefully.

Ms. Liu, are you here? Are you with us?

HONG LIU: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

HONG LIU: Yeah, basically, 2240 Massachusetts
Avenue, I purchased it in 2010, and it was licensed as

Lodging House. First, second, third floor: Each five, totaling 15 rooms. And when $I$ purchased it, there are two rooms in the basement -- I mean, two spaces, two rooms, with a kitchen, bathroom, so on.

And I didn't apply for the basement because one was used as a property manager, and the other was used -- my brother was living there. And then over the 10 years, it's been like that.

And 2019, I thought $I$ had to hire someone to do a stairway, and then they by -- without my knowledge, they
built a stairway outside, and then later on, I had someone remove the internal stairway. There are two -- always two stairways or egress. And then so I was told that I need to apply to include the two rooms in my existing lodging license, complete around 15.

And then $I$ am petitioning for a variance to allow me to include the two.

And my architect, Tim Burke, had been with me, and he can speak a little bit more about dimensions and so on if you need to.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
Mr. Burke, are you --
TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- are you with us?
TIMOTHY BURKE: If we could see the plan, I think it's -- if we can go into the document here, it might be easiest to show you the plan. Yeah, that's great.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I preface this a moment?
TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All of the advertisement talks about the variants to convert basement the two lodging
units. It's really not a zoning issue. The zoning issue here are the Table of Dimensional Requirements, if you can help us.

If that front stair intrudes in the front yard setback, and I believe looking at the site plan one of the area wells intrudes in the side yard setback. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think those are the -- those really are the two issues that you're requesting a variance on. Correct?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes.
HONG LIU: Okay.
TIMOTHY BURKE: And the height because of the -JIM MONTEVERDE: The height, correct.

TIMOTHY BURKE: -- the areaways for --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Because of the areaways, right.
TIMOTHY BURKE: -- the --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go -

TIMOTHY BURKE: -- wells, yes. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So if you could focus your discussion on those two specific zoning-related issues, then we can --

TIMOTHY BURKE: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. Thank you.

TIMOTHY BURKE: Sure. The plan that you see here shows on the left, where the internal stairway was for the basement. There's all -- the one in the back is remaining as is.

And then the new stair is shown on the right-hand plan at the front of the building there, the lower part of the drawing. And so, now that's an exterior stair that fits underneath the existing front porch. So it -- but the area does stick out on the right there, and increases that front setback issue.

The areaways for the escape windows, which were at the escape windows, which we're adding for safety, they are flush with the ground, but it's a very narrow sight, so they do go into the setback area there. So the -- but those are the only changes to --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. I'm sorry --
TIMOTHY BURKE: -- to the outside of the building, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- is it both of the areaways intrude on the side yard setback?

TIMOTHY BURKE: There is a plot plan that we could look at. There should be in the survey plan. But I think
it's the one on the right. It's titled there with the church parking lot.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
TIMOTHY BURKE: These are the upper floors. You can see on the left the existing porch that the new stair is underneath that. That's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
TIMOTHY BURKE: And I included some drawings of the stairway on this sheet, and then a new bathroom that would be installed as well as a detail of the window well that's required to be $3^{\prime}$ deep by the code off the face of the building.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And is there a plot plan or -TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes, it's right there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, there we go. Okay. TIMOTHY BURKE: Yeah. So you can see the one on the right is the one. And I think it's a narrow lot, so the building is nonconforming with the side setbacks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do you think you need relief for both or just one?

TIMOTHY BURKE: I believe it was both sides, yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Both. Okay. I think that's the
sum and the substance of the zoning relief you're seeking?
TIMOTHY BURKE: That is correct, yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And the height. So and the height has to do with the fact that you do the area well and your stair, it increases your building height?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Right. The mean grade drops, yes. JIM MONTEVERDE: Right? Looking at the dimensional form, $I$ don't see those noted. But if you could help us understand what those are?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yep. But that's -- that's what you just described, the -- because of their area, the window wells are lower around the buildings. So the mean grade drops down.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Can you tell us -- see if I have the correct dimensional form in front of me. Right. So the height under the requested conditions should increase?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Correct, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's not noted. Do you know by
how much it increases?
TIMOTHY BURKE: It actually didn't change that number. It was a further fraction.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, the decimal point?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yeah, it was such a tiny change that it doesn't change the footprint.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So really not height, and the front yard setback?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Well, it's only -- it's no setback there, I guess, as I see it, because of --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

TIMOTHY BURKE: -- in the commercial district they're right at the property line there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
TIMOTHY BURKE: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And then the left side and right side?

TIMOTHY BURKE: That's where we're short. Yeah. We need 10' and we're at 2.9' on one side and 5'.2" on the right side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right, but do you know what you're asking for now with the areaways, bulkheads?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes. That would be 1.9 on the left side and 2.2 on the right side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So we're making a note of
that and initialing that on the dimensional form. Okay. TIMOTHY BURKE: Okay?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

TIMOTHY BURKE: You're welcome.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the

## Board?

[Pause]
Nope. We have several pieces of correspondence in the file. We have one from Councillor Patricia Nolan, dated October 12, speaking in support of the variance request for 2240 Mass Ave.

We have another one from Quinton Zondervan, dated October 11, in support of this variance. And we have no one in correspondence speaking against.

So I'll open it to public comments. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you
will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: No one is raising their hand. [Pause]

No one is raising their hand, so I will close public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: Jim, I have a request. I don't have the letters in front of me, and I'm just curious if you would be willing to just read the letters of support that came in.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sure. Let me start with the shorter one. And it's Quinton Zondervan, City Councillor, dated October 11. It says,
"Dear BZA,
I write in support of this variance. I have visited the premises, and I believe me Liu is sincerely trying to add more affordable units for our residents to rent. As you know, we are facing a housing crisis in Cambridge, and provided this variance meets all the necessary requirements, I hope it can be improved.
"Thank you for your consideration."
The other one from Councillor Patricia Nolan,
dated October 12 says,
"The variance requested at 2240 Mass Ave is to include two basement rooms into an existing Lodging license. This project as well has the opportunity to add much-needed housing units without any significant construction costs.
"I believe all three variances --" and she's referring to the 7 Mellen and 9 Mellen Street as well "-will accomplish the important City goal of adding affordable residential units.
"Respectfully, I ask that you grant the variances in all three cases before you by Petitioner Hong Liu. If you have any questions or would like to discuss with me further, please do not hesitate to reach out."

So both letters are really referring to, you know, creating units which is not -- or, you know, allowing a lodging license, which is not our purview. Ours is really the dimensional resultant from making that all occupiable.

But that's all we have, Bill.
BILL BOEHM: Thank you. Thanks.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other -- yep, you're welcome. Any other discussion from Board members, or are we ready for a motion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Just, you know, it seems mostly reasonable. I just -- I guess I would have liked to have seen some evidence or discussion of how this relates to, you know, the particular shape of the property or the train. It seems like it's a small lot, that makes sense but I'm just trying to come up with the legal rationale. And it's not -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

DANIEL HIDALGO: -- immediately clear to me. JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, I think under the requirements for the variance, right? And again, this is a variance, and we need to find all of the following:

That a literal enforcement would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise, and the rationale here is it's providing needed residential units, a).
b) The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape, the topography, the land or structures. Yes, it's really related to the shape. The two of them are -- it's just penned in by the lot. The lot itself is -- or the building itself does not comply with the left-side, right-side setbacks. And constructing the -- as I see it -- constructing the areaways for egress from those
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openings at a required side dimension to, you know, you can't do it without relief.

So I think that condition is --
DANIEL HIDALGO: Right. That makes sense. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good: yep. Or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the Ordinance. I think those conditions - to me, those conditions are met. And it's based on the dimensions of the lot and the dimension of the building on the lot.

Any other discussion? Ready for a motion?
STEVEN NG: I think so.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Section 5.31 Table of Dimensional Requirements, specifically the left-side setback, which will -- the relief we're granting will allow that to be go from 2'.9" to 1'.9"; and the right-side setback, which is currently 5'.2" will go to 2' even. That will allow the two areaways to allow the two residential units in the basement to have their required egress.

And the conditions of the variance, which I just ran through, but I'll do it again, we find all of the following:

A literal enforcement would involve a substantial hardship -- in this case, the hardship is the not being able to provide needed housing.

The hardship is owing to the shape of the lot. As I described before, it's really the dimensional pinching of the building on the lot that requires the variance.

And the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good -- I think that's correct -- or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the ordinance. So I believe it meets all of the variance requirements.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled " 2240 Massachusetts Avenue," prepared by Timothy Burke and dated -- these things are 8.5' by 11'. I need trifocals; I'll never find the date on this.

Mr. Burke, is there a date on these drawings that are already submitted?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes, it's right -- it's a little bit --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Printing.
TIMOTHY BURKE: -- you can go up the sheet a little, it's right there. It's June 4, 2023.

JIM MONTEVERDE: 06/04/23?
TIMOTHY BURKE: I'm sorry, 05/04/23.
JIM MONTEVERDE: 05?
TIMOTHY BURKE: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Dated 05/04/23, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted and also marked up by the Chair and initialed as part of the application.

Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant the relief. Again, this is a variance.

Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five in favor. The variance is granted. Thank you.

TIMOTHY BURKE: Great. Thank you very much.
HONG LIU: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're welcome.
(7:47 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-239588 -- 21

Gurney Street. Is there anyone here wishing to speak on that case?

JONATHAN TAN: Hi. Good evening, everyone. My name is Jonathan Tan. And my colleague, Allan Broadbent, should also be joining.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Are you ready to proceed with your discussion, Jonathan?

JONATHAN TAN: Yes, I am. I just want to give my colleague -- there he is, he should be joining here in one minute. Great. I am ready to proceed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All set to go.
JONATHAN TAN: All right. Good evening.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Could I ask you to start by just
setting the tone for us?
JONATHAN TAN: The tone. Am I too soft?
JIM MONTEVERDE: This is a variance -- no, thank you -- this is a variance and a special permit.

Can you just quickly introduce the specific matters that make -- drive you to request the variance and the special permit, and then feel free to elaborate further on the project?

TIMOTHY BURKE: Of course. So for the variance we're seeking, it's specifically about the deck. And if we could scroll to Slide 10, I believe. Yes, that one -- Slide 4 I guess on this screen, perfect.

So on the left side of the page, you can see that the deck extends into the rear and side yard setbacks, and this is largely due to the very small lot size that's nonconforming. That is the variance application that we are presenting.

And for the special permit application, that is related to the enclosed storage area that's on the bottom left of this page. We are actually proposing to enclose an existing building overhang for the homeowners to use as an outdoor storage area.

This also due to the small lot that doesn't meet the minimum lot size and already exceeds the FAR -- this increases the FAR ratio by 0.03 . And so, that's what we would be requesting special permit relief for.

Would you like me to elaborate further on either or both of these applications?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. If you could -- and with the drawing in front of us?

JONATHAN TAN: Sure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And talking about the rear lot in particular, since that's the one your improving -- since that's the one you're requesting the relief for -- can you describe what's going on here? And in specific, that Z shape series of cells --

JONATHAN TAN: Of course.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- that separate the table that seats 8 from the rest of it. Just -- explanation what that is and what configuration or use are you intending this for that requires you to make this the size that it is, is and therefore intrude on the rear yard setback as much to the dimension that it does?

JONATHAN TAN: Sure. I'd be happy to. So if we could scroll down actually to slide 11, that one, the plot plan, we'll introduce some of the existing conditions. So to help orient everyone, the top of the page is the rear yard, which is where we're focusing.

The project is located on a 3,700 square-foot lot. It's smaller than the minimum 5,000 square-foot lot size for the district.

In the rear yard, there are two roughly laid stone patios, which are not suitable currently for furniture, entertainment use. These are labeled as patio stone on the plot plan.

Adjacent to these patios is an unfinished and unenclosed area beneath the building overhand, which the homeowners currently use to store their yard accessories. This area is labeled as the one-story overhang with roof deck on the plot plan.

Also worth noting, which will be discussed in our presentation is the presence of an existing Japanese maple tree, which is labeled as the 10 " tree here on the right of the plot plan.

If you could scroll down to the pictures of the existing site, this will help give you an understanding of the existing conditions. Yes. We can start there.

So here you can see the existing unenclosed area beneath the building overhang, which the homeowners are currently using to store objects such as outdoor furniture,
garden tools, yard accessories.
You can also see the two roughly laid stone patios, which are uneven. And so, they're not very well suited for arranging furniture or entertaining guests.

On the next page here, you can see the -- if we can scroll down one page, thank you -- you can see the existing rear yard as well as some of the other existing mature trees.

Lastly, you scroll down one more page. You can see the existing Japanese maple tree in relation to the existing steps and doors.

So the homeowners, what they would like to do is improve the property that would allow them to have one) an outdoor dining area as well as a separate seating area for entertaining family and guests.

They would also like to store their yard accessories in a more enclosed area that's out of sight.

So now, if we can go back up to the design plan that we had started on, we'll introduce the design. Thank you.

So very generally speaking here, you can see that there is an on-grade stone patio on the bottom left of the
page, which is meant to be for the dining area. Between that and the house, there are a series of stepping stones, which is the $Z$-shape feature that you spoke to earlier.

The deck, while it could conform with one of your Articles, which allows the deck to project 12 ' into a setback measured from the house foundation wall -- the presence of the existing maple tree actually negates any functional use of that space, if we were to try and keep it.

So therefore, the deck is actually shown as extended to seat a three-seat sofa, a club chair, and a coffee table. Let's see.

We did explore alternative solutions. For example, an on-grade patio. We found that that would significantly jeopardize the health of the tree, because of the amount of grading and disturbance that's involved around the tree root zones.

We arrived at our current design solution because installing a deck would represent the lightest disturbance footprint, so that we can preserve the tree, while allowing the homeowners to use their property.

Now we could scroll down to --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Before you leave that drawing --

JONATHAN TAN: Mm-hm?

JIM MONTEVERDE: To the left of the three-seat
seating element?
JONATHAN TAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: What are those series of cells,
I'll call them?

JONATHAN TAN: Yeah, sure. Those are on-grade pavers. So stone units that are placed on-grade. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

JONATHAN TAN: Mm-hm. Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So what you're requesting relief
on and everything on this plan - it's --
JONATHAN TAN: it's just --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- only the wood deck. It is projection into the rear-yard setback or beyond that 15' mark that's a lot -- correct?

JONATHAN TAN: That is correct, for the variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And for enclosing the storage below the building overhang?

JONATHAN TAN: That is correct. And that is because it would increase the gross area -- floor area ratio.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Whoops. I lost our Staff. Okay. JONATHAN TAN: Okay?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
JONATHAN TAN: If we can scroll down to slide 20, or at least what $I$ was referring to as slide 20 , I'm not sure what it is on this board here. I'll tell you when to stop. One more down. That one. Yep, that page. So if you can Zoom in on that.

So here this just gives you an idea of how we intend to incorporate these. You can see that we are proposing to enclose the space with horizontal, solid cedar boards that would keep the storage out of sight from both the homeowners and the neighbors as they use the back yard.

In closing our presentation, I'd just like to take the chance to thank the City Staff for their time -specifically Olivia and Maria --and meeting with us and collaborating to help understand the City's codes and ordinances and help us prepare the application.

We'd also like to note that a letter of support from the neighbors at 26 Gurney Street has been offered for this project and recorded in the application materials.

Again, thank you for your time. We're happy to
answer any questions that you may have.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? If not -- oop, sorry.

BILL BOEHM: I have a question. I am looking at your Tables, your Zoning Tables where you've calculated your open space and permeable open space. And I'm interested that you've calculated 85 percent permeable open space. I think as a percentage of the total open -- private open space.

And I'm just curious about how that calculation came about, what's considered pervious, impervious, if you could point that out on the site plan, please?

JONATHAN TAN: Of course, if we could scroll back up to the site plan and on my screen, I'm trying to find the calculations so that $I$ can refer to it. It may be on the site plan itself. Yes.

So in calculating the open spaces -- open space percentage, we referred to the ordinances as listed on the notes. So if you can scroll down to the bottom of this page, those two ordinances - you know, Footnotes 3 and 4 are what we referred to.

Now, what that relates to on the plan, I believe
are all the planting bed areas, as well as the spaces in between the steppingstones, which would be crushed stone and therefore porous.

I do not believe that we included the dry laid patio, and I don't remember of that top of my head whether the City had considered deck as porous or impervious, but in either case, we adhere to whatever the City defined as "porous or impervious."

And that amount of area that you're talking about constitutes 85 percent of the total open space, you're saying?

JONATHAN TAN: That's correct. Because I believe that was a distinction between open space in general and permeable open space. So for the open space in general, that would include spaces such as the deck and the dining patio, and walkways that $I$ believe were not in excess of $5^{\prime}$ or 6' wide if I remember correctly.

BILL BOEHM: Okay. It seems like a lot of impervious to me, but relative the amount of open space you have. But I'm obviously not in a position to calculate it myself, so I'll take your word for it. Thanks.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions
from any other Board members? If not, we have one piece of correspondence in the file from Harry Irwin, dated September 23, 2023. He lives across the street from this parcel. And they are speaking in favor. And that's all the correspondence we have.

I'll open it to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one wishes to speak, so we'll close public testimony.

Any discussion among the members of the Board?
I just have one question $I$ didn't ask before -excuse me -- of the proponent. I'm looking at the dimensional form for your rear yard setback. Ordinance
requires 25', existing conditions is 20.8'. What is it you're requesting with the deck?

JONATHAN TAN: Yes. I apologize about that.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's alright.
JONATHAN TAN: So for the deck, it would be 8'.
JIM MONTEVERDE: 8' okay. I've so marked that.

Yep.
JONATHAN TAN: From the rear yard.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Marked that on the dimensional form, yep.

JONATHAN TAN: And if it's necessary for the side yard, we would be requesting 10".

JIM MONTEVERDE: Left side, right side?
JONATHAN TAN: This would be for the right side?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right side will be 10". Okay.
So it goes from 9.4' to 10"?
JONATHAN TAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct?
JONATHAN TAN: That is correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Sorry I didn't ask that previously.

Any other comments, discussion by one of the Board
members? Otherwise, I'll make a motion? Okay. Let's do the motion. We have two here. I'll take the variance first. This is for the wood deck.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, and this is specifically the dimensional requirements for the proposed wood deck, and that it will change the rear yard setback to $8^{\prime}$ from the current $20^{\prime}$-- 20.8' to 8'. And the right-side setback from 9.4' to 10 ". I believe that's the sum and substance of the relief.

And then for the variance request -- and this is a variance request -- we need to find that all of the following: That a literal enforcement would involve a substantial hardship. I think the hardship is the tree, the maple you're trying to incorporate and retain.

Hardship owning to the circumstances, soil, shape, topography and tree not affecting generally the zoning district -- yeah, that's the tree.

Desirable relief may be granted without either substantial detriment to the public good -- that's correct -- and nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this Ordinance.

So with that, grant that relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under the sections stated on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawing entitled, "Wang Residence, 21 Gurney Street," prepared by Broadbent Design Studios, dated August 17, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members let's take a voice vote on the motion to grant the relief. This is for the variance. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
BILL BOEHM: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: The variance is granted.
Now, moving on to the special permit. And this is enclosing the area under the existing overhang. That increases, adds some floor area. From the dimensional form you add 102 square feet, and the floor area ratio -- I'm sorry, the GFA, which is already not in conformance -- the existing is 1.36 -- goes to 1.39 .

That is what we're voting on for the special permit for enclosing the existing overhang for storage. We will make a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Section -- it says 5.22. This is really the same 5.31. It's Dimensional Requirements. It's the GFA. It's really strictly just the GFA because of the square footage increases.

JONATHAN TAN: The FAR, you mean?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. FAR, sorry. And that is the basis. And the special permit -- let me read the special permit requirements -- it says, "The special permit will normally be granted where the specific provisions of this Ordinance are met." It appears that requirements in the Ordinance cannot or will not be met.

And so, "Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would not cause congestion"; I don't think so. "Condition -- continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would be adversely affected"; I don't think so.
"Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety"; I don't think so. And "For any other reason, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district"; I don't think so. So we seem to have met all the conditions for a special permit. So Board members, please take a voice vote on the special permit. Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel? DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. [All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The
special permit is granted.

And again, that's based on the drawings entitled, "21 Gurney Street, Wang Residence" prepared by Broadbent Design Studio, dated August 17, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

Thank you.
JONATHAN TAN: Thank you --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Relief granted.
JONATHAN TAN: -- thank you all for your time.
Thank you very much.
(8:13 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA 23240 -- 73 Orchard Street, Unit 1. Is there anyone here wishing to speak on -- Kun Wang, are you present? Do you want to speak?

KUN WANG: Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Do you want to tell us what relief you're seeking and why?

KUN WANG: Yes. My name is Kun Wang. I'm the owner at 73 Orchard Street. I come to the Board today to ask for a permit to allow the backyard curb cut for a small compact car parking.

I've been renovating this house for the last year,
and sometimes $I$ have issues finding parking during the wintertime. And I also need this parking space so I can install an EV charger in the back yard.

This house is on a corner lot, on the street Orchard Street and Tenney. The parking will be located in the back of the yard, where previously there's a back yard
with asphalt facing the Tenney Street.
The front setback, I think you mentioned in the permit is really talking about this back yard setup. I think there was some confusion, reading from some of the comments.

There shouldn't be any impact to anybody to the neighborhood. You know, it will not reduce any existing parking. The current parking on the Tenney Street is on the other side of Tenney, not on the house side. You know, it would be some kind of exit, but I should be able to manage with the compact vehicle.

That's essentially what I'm asking. JIM MONTEVERDE: And this is a variance request? KUN WANG: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So you may want to address the nature of the hardship.

KUN WANG: Oh, it's just sometimes I find difficulty parking, and this would essentially add an additional space in the back yard.

And also, I would like to have the EV charger installed, so there's nowhere else if I park on the street.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you. Any questions
from members of the Board?

DANIEL HIDALGO: You know, just from the plans, it
looks quite narrow. I'm just curious, have you had any discussions with the abutters at I think 5 Kearney Street is the one -- the house next to where the curb cut would be? Have they --

KUN WANG: Yes. I have actually signed support for that. But somehow it didn't get entered into the list.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I don't think we have that in the file.

KUN WANG: Yeah. I did submit it, but I don't know what happened to it. Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any other questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Could you explain in the Dimensional Information Table why it's showing an increase in useable open space?

KUN WANG: That maybe just a mistake on my part. It's not really the size of the building that's increased. It's just the back parking. If we can scroll up to the page above, the survey, page 4? Yeah. There. Yeah.

So the dimension is in the back here. It's 8.5' by 18' but $I$ probably won't be needing all that space, because it's really a compact vehicle. The curb cut itself will be like 10'. So that allows the car to better enter and exit.

JIM MONTEVERDE: But you're -- I think the question is you're not modifying the building itself? KUN WANG: I'm not.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Or the lot itself, except for adding the parking area, correct?

KUN WANG: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So on your dimensional form, in terms of requested condition, there really is no change to the gross floor area?

KUN WANG: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There's no change to the lot area, there's no change to the ratio?

KUN WANG: There's not.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There's no change to the lot area. Basically, the top four sections are you're filled out, you've given us the dimensions and square footage for this parking space, but really not what the application is
calling for.
So you really want to repeat the same numbers as
the existing conditions. I'm marking that up right now.
KUN WANG: Okay, sorry, I wasn't sure if --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia, does that answer your question?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes. Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from Board members? If not, I have one.

In this diagram, and in the photo, if you were -the front yard setback is $10^{\prime}$ for a vehicle, for a parking space I believe.

Do you have the ability to move this parking space deeper into your lot, so you could maintain a 10' dimension between your lot line and the start of your parking space?

KUN WANG: I don't believe so. I think the total is 30.5'.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
KUN WANG: Yeah, so --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Minus 18 is 12.5.
KUN WANG: Yep. So the other side has a yard already. So it's already divided.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. Yep. So you want to maintain that yard?

KUN WANG: Yeah. So that's why it's only a compact vehicle. It wouldn't be a full size. And an egress on the street itself probably wouldn't allow that anyway. So --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. Any other questions from Board members? If not, I will read the correspondence we have in the file.

We have a letter from Susan Hunziker, dated October 10, 2023, saying that "Although I understand and I'm sympathetic to the design for off-street parking, I am concerned about the ripple effect on the flow of traffic granting this application, which I believe will exacerbate existing conditions."

And then it goes on to talk about the condition of Oak Street -- sorry, that's my street -- Orchard Street and Tenney Street, and it's basically speaking against.

Then there is another one from Judith Delaney on October 2, 2023, in opposition. We have none in the file in support. That's the written correspondence we have in the file.

So I'll open this to public commentary.
BILL BOEHM: Do you mind reading the entire
letter, please?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Which one?
BILL BOEHM: Well, the second one was in opposition, but $I$ don't think you read any of it, so I'd like to hear what the opposition was.

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Judith Delaney, October
2. "I wish to voice my opposition to BZA Case 232490, location 73 Orchard Street.
"Adding parking to either front or back yard would have a negative impact on Tenney Street by eliminating two on street parking spaces. The corner of Orchard and Tenney Street is hazardous at best, with cars barreling down Tenney Street to avoid the traffic light at Russell and Elm. Also, the back lot at 73 Orchard Street is small, and would not easily accommodate a vehicle.
"There are elderly people and young children on Tenney Street who would also be negatively impacted.
"The owner of the property does not currently reside there. Thank you."

The other one --

DANIEL HIDALGO: I have a question, based on that.
I've --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- is a whole page of singlespaced lines and I can give you the summary, but I'm not going to go through that.

DANIEL HIDALGO: So my understanding -- I thought from the description that there was no parking on the side of Tenney Street with the residence in question. Is that right, or --

STEVEN NG: I just -- looking at Google Maps and the street view, that side of the proponent is the side of the street where the proponent is is for vehicle running up and down or down the street. So I don't think any spaces get eliminated.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other comments? Ready for a motion? Let me make a motion. Did I do the public? No. I did. I read the -- oh, I'm sorry. I read the letters. I didn't open it up to public, just commentary.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your
hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.
I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

STEPHEN NATOLA: Kathy Dahl?
STEPHEN FEIGE: Good evening. This is Stephen
Feige and Katherine Dahl. We reside at 78 Orchard Street. So we submitted our disapproval by mail to the Board. We both submitted it separately and as I understand it, it was a receipt. Do you have a copy of that?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. I just read everything I find in the file. So thank you for calling in.

STEPHEN FEIGE: Okay. So we both had filled out the form that was provided to us in the mail and sent it back with our disapproval.

The Tenney Street is a narrow Street. So we have concerns that there's no turning radius to pull that vehicle into the spot, as illustrated.

And there's a telephone pole immediately to the right of the 10' dimension. So it's a very tight spot to
get into. And I am concerned that you would have to eliminate a spot on the other side of the street, on the parking side of the street in order to pull in and back out safely.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment.
STEPHEN FEIGE: And the other comment is there's no vehicles shown in the illustration, but it does seem like it would be rather difficult to even get in or out of a car without crossing the property line.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anything else? [Pause]

We have no one else at the moment. So I will close public testimony. Board, any further discussion? Okay.

Keep in mind this is a variance request. And we have to show all of the following:

Literal enforcement would involve a substantial hardship. Hardship is owing to the soil conditions, shape or topography. Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this Ordinance. So we need to find all of those.

All right. I will propose a motion.
BILL BOEHM: Sorry, Jim?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would just like to speak about this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BILL BOEHM: So on the one hand, you know, I like to see the use of electric vehicles encouraged, and that's one of the things the proponent is sort of needing. On the other hand, I am also now on Google Maps and seeing that Tenney Street is indeed extremely tight.

I think -- I guess I feel like given neighbors' concern with this, it might be fair to ask for a kind of a parking circulation diagram to be done, which shows that a car can get in and out of here safely when there are cars parked along adjacent to it, or in front of it, which in the image I'm looking at, there are.

You know, because I think the neighbors' concerns should be listened too. That's my feeling.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Ready for a motion?
BILL BOEHM: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the

Ordinance under Sections 6.44.1.c, Parking Setbacks, Parking in the Front Yard Setback, and then the requirements of the variance. And those being: We need to find all of these:

That the literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would involve a substantial hardship.

The hardship is owing to the circumstances, soil, shape topography.

Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the ordinance.

I personally don't find that it meets the requirements of all the variance items - either the hardship or the -- as Bill, you said the impact on the neighbors and the neighbors' concerns. But, again, this is all stated in the affirmative.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 6.44.1.(c) Parking Setbacks, and 10.3 variance -- and I just read those -- on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawing dated -- or entitled "Certified Plot Plan, 73 Orchard Street," prepared by Medford Engineering and Survey
and dated 6/22/23, on the condition that the work proposed -- I'm sorry, did that -- initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant the relief. I will start this one.

JIM MONTEVERDE: This is Jim Monteverde, I vote against. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Opposed.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We're done. But Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, given -- given the availability of on-street parking, I don't think it meets the hardship requirements. So I'm opposed.
[Three vote NO, two vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That's three opposed, two
in favor. The request is denied.
Still sign this? The drawing.
(8:31 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-241502 -- 1374

Massachusetts Avenue. Is there anyone wishing to speak about 1374 Mass Ave? Please?

JOHN PETERSON: How are you doing? This is John Peterson with Metro Sign \& Awning. With me I also have one of the owners, Tom Dunn, and the Vice President of the bank, Dan Fraine.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bring out the big guns. All right. Go right ahead.

JOHN PETERSON: And on the agenda, it actually has a different name on there, as opposed to "Dan". The woman who is on there is not the party we should be dealing with. I don't know if that made a difference or not?

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, thank you.
JOHN PETERSON: Okay. So yeah, we're just looking to install an internally illuminated blade sign, Cambridge Savings Bank. Right now, they have one groove sign, which is 194 square feet. This current sign they have on would be

7 square feet. Basically, they just want the foot traffic and pedestrians in the area to identify the bank.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And this is variance
request. And the section that's stated in the application for the sign I'm assuming has to do with what specifically, the area of the sign, the internal illumination of the sign? What is it you need relief for? JOHN PETERSON: We're exceeding the square
footage. You're allowed 1 square foot per linear foot -JIM MONTEVERDE: Right. JOHN PETERSON: -- on the building. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. JOHN PETERSON: So we're just -- we're asking for relief on that. JIM MONTEVERDE: That's your sole issue, right? JOHN PETERSON: Yep. If I -JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the only issue that you have that you're applying for is the size of the sign? Correct?

JOHN PETERSON: Yes. With the rooftop sign, we're over the allotted -JIM MONTEVERDE: That's right. Yes. I remember
the description. Yep.
And then the variance requirements, we need to find that you comply with - or this case complies with all of the following: Literal enforcement, substantial hardship
-- hardship owing to the shape or topography -- desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment, and nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of this Ordinance.

JOHN PETERSON: Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You want to show us a picture of or anything from your -- for your presentation? Just so we can get a flavor of where you're proposing?

Whoops, sorry. Our Staff walked away. I saw it in the file. While he's away, let me read -- we have one piece of correspondence in the file from the Cambridge Historic Commission.

Oh, there we go. And it is dated April 28, 2023, from the Historical Commission Chair. It is titled, "Certificate of Appropriateness." And it reads that:
"The work described below is not incongruous to the historic aspects or the architectural character of the building or district."

And it has the three statements or conditions -yeah, to "Install an internally-illuminated projecting sign for Cambridge Savings Bank.
"The work is to be carried out as indicated on the plans by MetroSign titled, 'Cambridge Savings Bank, Harvard Square' and dated April 20, 2022.
"An approval was granted on the condition that construction details be reviewed and approved by the Historic Commission staff."

And that is the sole letter we have in the file, $I$ think.

No, we also have one dated September 21, 2023, from Denise Jillson, Executive Director of Harvard Square Business Association, offering their overwhelming support for the application. Those are the two pieces of correspondence we have in the file.

Any questions from members of the Board? If not, then --

DAN FRAINE: Um --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
DAN FRAINE: -- excuse me, Dan Fraine, Cambridge Savings Bank. If I could just speak for a moment. I just
want to point out that two to three years ago, the Bank did a major renovation of the first floor, focusing on the branch and opening up the floor plan, eliminating the teller line, but in the process, we created small retail space out front.

So if you're looking at the picture -- either one of these pictures -- the space on the right became a 575 square foot retail space from minority business owner Le Macaron. So we gave up about -- I'm not sure, maybe 15 square -- 15' of storefront there.

And then on the left at the same time, we cut the ATM vestibule just about in half and created a corner office, which has been the temporary location of the Harvard Square Visitor Information booth.

Due to the renovations out in the plaza they had to cut the power to the existing one. And that could be another year or two before we get that back. So it's all about just having some type of visibility for pedestrians coming by.

I know, you know, with the volume of visitors and tourists it's just - we're just tough to find.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.

DAN FRAINE: Thank you.
JOHN PETERSON: I would just add to that, we did a visit at night and while the rooftop sign is prominent from a distance, for street-level pedestrians, people coming out of the $T$, there's very little visibility, particularly at night, of the bank. You really don't know they're there.

And so, this sign is very modest in size, but it's just enough to kind of lead people to their ATM. It's -- if it's not clear here, only the graphics will illuminate. The background does not light, so it's just the CSB logo and Cambridge Savings Bank that will illuminate at night.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Thank you.
Questions from members of the Board? If not, is read the two pieces of correspondence we have in the file. I'll open it to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and

Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
We have no one calling in. I will close public testimony.

Any discussion among the Board members? If not, I will propose a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Section 7.16.22 and this is really about the square footage of -- the total square footage of signage on the building.

This sign, in addition to the signs -- existing ones up on the roof exceed the Ordinance allowance. And we need a variance to do this. I went through the variance requirements.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled, "Cambridge Savings Bank, Harvard Square, prepared by Metro Sign," dated April 20, 2022. Is that correct?

JOHN PETERSON: Yep. That was the original drawing date, yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. But that's still -JOHN PETERSON: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That looks like the current design and current location.

JOHN PETERSON: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- initialed and dated by the
Chair.
And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. Board members let's please take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief. Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief is granted. Thank you.

DAN FRAINE: Thank you very much. Appreciate it. JOHN PETERSON: Thank you. Appreciate it.
(8:37 p.m.)

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-241905 - 95 Irving Street.

Mr. Rafferty, are you present to describe this to us?

JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. I think I may have been on muted, so my apologies. So good evening, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. For the record, my name is James Rafferty. I'm an attorney with offices located at 907 Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge.

This is an application for a -- what essentially amounts to a very modest amendment to a special permit that was granted by the Board for the renovation of a singlefamily residence at 95 Irving Street.

The Board granted a special permit back in May of this year to allow for the conversion of -- some renovations to this home, including the reduction from two units to a single-family dwelling.

As I'm sure members know from their professional
and personal experience, from the time the plans get prepared and filed with Zoning and you go through the process and are ready for a building permit, a few tweaks have arisen in the manner in which the family wishes to organize or live in the house.

And it's three principal changes, and they're depicted if Ms. Ratay could put up Sheet A-101 from and A102. They really tell the story, and it is -- to say that this is modest would be an understatement.

So what's involved here are three things. The first -- the two of them involve the basement. So the -upon further examination of the floor plan and everything else, the family wishes to have an external stair from the basement into the side yard. They have three young children. They organized the basement to contain a playroom and some other areas.

And the conclusion was it would be a great convenience to be able to access that area for the children to come into that area, whether to use the bathroom or to go back and forth from the playroom.

So that stair does not have any implications from a GFA perspective, but in the -- only in the world of Zoning
does that stair have an implication. And believe it or not, the introduction of that stair changes the mean grade around the property such that that stair results in a 1" increase in the height of the building.

And the roof and the building will not change. Nothing is going to happen, but that's the dimensional consequence of modifying the mean grade by creating the stair.

So -- and it was not depicted on the plans as originally approved, and as I'm sure Board members know, the language of decision say that the plans at the time of the building permit must conform with the plans approved by the Board.

So that stair was not there, and the special permit request tonight is to allow for the creation of that stair.

It's also the case that along the rear foundation wall, the basement wall. There's a bay window on the rear of the house. But the architects acknowledged that when they printed the drawing, they did not correctly print the foundation footprint. So what appeared in the approved drawing as a straight line really needs to be a foundation
that can support the bay window.
So the bay window is there in elevation in the prior plan, but this got missed.

So again, an example of something that's a deviation from the exact plan of the prior case.

And the final change involves a rear porch. This porch was a covered porch in the prior iteration. It continues to be a covered porch.

So there isn't any impact on GFA, it's just that the porch itself had some openings on some of the walls, and that's been changed, so that it's going to actually be a smaller porch, and a portion -- if we go to A-102, you'll see it.

I'm almost apologetic for the minutia here, but the -- you'll see the rear porch. An area that was part of the porch is now going to be programmed to accommodate a small mudroom that will be right in that area.

So no change in GFA. No change in setback. No change in any other dimensional thing, but it does represent a change from the plan as approved.

So it's those three changes that have led us to return to the Board seeking what might be classified as an
amendment, but in the world of Zoning, this is an additional special permit, which we're asking the Board to find that in the same manner that in the original special permit was found to be not detrimental in any way to the neighborhood and in fact harmonious with surrounding uses, that the Board make a similar finding in this case.

The architect, Mr. Fell, is present if there are questions, and he'd be happy to reply. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
If not, there's no correspondence in the file currently. So I'll open it up to public commentary. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to
wrap up.
STEPHEN NATOLA: No one.
JIM MONTEVERDE: No one on the phone. All right. Close public testimony. Any discussion from members of the Board? Otherwise, I'll move on to a motion.

And I notice as Mr. Rafferty described on the Dimensional Form, the sole dimension that changes is the height of the building increases by an inch because of the stair. So that's exactly as he described.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 5.31, Dimensional Requirements. That's height, that's all about the stair.

Also, about the revision to the drawings, the addition of the bulkhead foundation on the foundation drawing and the revisions to the back stair and mudroom that have no area impact.

Also, the Sections 8.22.2.d, nonconforming structure, and this is a special permit. And special permits will normally be granted if it appears the requirements of the ordinance cannot or will not be met. If so, traffic generated would not cause congestion or hazard,
and will not.
Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted would be adversely affected: They will not.

Nuisance or hazard created: No. And for other reasons, which I don't think are present.

So I think it meets all the criteria for a special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "95 Irving Street," prepared by Robert A.M. Stern Architects, dated September 5, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

So Board members, let us please take a voice vote on the motion to grant the special permit, the relief.

Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The special permit relief is granted.

JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Have a good evening.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. You too.
(8:50 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: And the final case for tonight is BZA 241746 -- 136-138 Rindge Avenue.

Is there anyone who wishes to speak on this one?
Michael Driscoll?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Hey, there. This is Adam Glassman representing Michael Driscoll --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ADAM GLASSMAN: -- in this case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Adam Glassman, GCD Architects, 217 Brattle Street, Unit 2 in Cambridge.

So we're here seeking a special permit for a second curb cut on a lot that is less than 100 feet wide.

Article 6.43 .3 states that no more than one curb cut per lot with less than 100 feet of frontage shall be allowed. A maximum of one curb cut for every 100' of street frontage or portion thereof shall be allowed for lots having frontage in excess of $100^{\prime}$.

Our lot is 43' wide, and per Article 6.43.6, the Zoning Board may grant a special permit - I'm sorry, 6.3.5.c the Zoning Board may grant a special permit modifying the conditions of the subsection 6.43 in accordance with the following conditions -- the one which applies to us is letter $c$, the maximum of one curb cut for every 100 feet of street frontage as required in paragraph 6.43.3 may be modified if the Board determines that traffic and safety would be facilitated by exceeding this maximum.

So this applies to our case in that Rindge Avenue is an exceptionally busy street with no parking -- no street parking on the even side of the road.

So while there is street parking available on the on side, it's a very dangerous street, especially for children or families or people carrying groceries, for older people, et cetera, trying to cut across Rindge Street.

Our lot is unusual in that it has two properties -- two separate structures. The structure in the back of the lot is 140, and that has its own driveway. We're applying for a curb cut for the front house which is -someone's scrolling through here, if we can --

Thank you. So you can see here on the left-hand
image 136, 138 has a left side yard, but no driveway. All the other properties on Rindge Ave have driveways and curb cuts.

So this is maintaining the character and -- the character of the neighborhood, maintaining the pattern of off-street parking, which is essentially one cub per structure on this section of the street.

We will not be creating any new nonconformities. The only zoning variable affected by the proposed parking would be open space, but the open space remains conforming. So I would ask the Board to issue a special permit in this case.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you.
Staff, can you scroll through some of the photos, the aerial photos? Just to reinforce what Mr. Glassman just talked about. I think there are some aerial shots that give you a flavor of what the properties are having. Typically, the properties across from the school, et cetera, having individual driveways.

Stop us when we get to 136-138.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah, so what you see here in this aerial view, 136-138 is the red roof. And that area
outlined in blue is where the proposed parking would go.
We'd be leaving an adequate landscape buffer on the left of the driveway to preserve the existing shrubs and landscaping.

Scroll down?

JIM MONTEVERDE: And before you leave this photo; in this photo, then, the building that's to the left and up from the red roof is the property at 140?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It has the driveway out to Rindge Avenue, correct?

ADAM GLASSMAN: That's correct. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Okay. Yep. Sorry, you were going to direct us elsewhere?

ADAM GLASSMAN: Well, let's see if there are some more photos that show abutting curb cuts? So right -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. ADAM GLASSMAN: Yeah, this -JIM MONTEVERDE: Abutting. ADAM GLASSMAN: Oh, yeah, so this is our direct abutter on the left. And you can see that, you know, they have their curb cut on the left and again it's -- most of
the properties on this side of the street have curb cuts. There would be no loss of off-street parking.

With the proposed curb cut, no changes in traffic patterns. You can see -- I don't know if there's anything more descriptive to show, but you can keep scrolling.

So here, yeah, that's a -- I mean that's again another photo that shows our neighboring -- our abutting -left side abutter with curb cuts both on the left and on the right side of their structure. They also have a unit in the back.

Scroll down a little more. You can scroll down a little more to see if there's anything else.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. That's it.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Yep. Yeah, that's it. Sorry, this is upside-down. But there we go. All the parking would be at the side of the front yard setback. The driveway would be 10' wide, 6' landscape buffer on the left. That's about it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
If not, there are two pieces of correspondence in
the file, both speaking in favor. One is from Kathleen McCarthy, who says she's a neighbor of Mr. Driscoll, dated from October 11, 2023, in agreement with Mr. Driscoll's plan. And another one from Paola Cappellaro, dated September 20, 2023. They are resident at 132 Rindge Avenue, so also a neighbor, and they fully support the building and the driveway.

And that is the correspondence in the file.
That's two in favor and none speaking against, in opposition.

And I'll open it to public comment. Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No one is calling in, so I'll close public
testimony.
Any discussion from members of the Board?
BILL BOEHM: Yes. I just wanted to note that I'm -- at the bottom of this file, I see, I think, notes from nine abutters sort of approving this in some kind of a form -- a photocopied form that it looks like many neighbors kind of are in approval of this.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Let us see.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Mike Driscoll did reach out to all his neighbors and talked to them directly face-to-face and reviewed plans with them, so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Let me make sure I have it in the file.

Sorry? Oh, yeah, yeah. Yeah, these are all addressed to -- it's relative to an Application for Driveway Cuts and Openings Abuttor's Form.

So there are -- yes, there are a series of abutters who have stated their approval. Some of them are the same people who wrote in support of the special permit request.

So yes, we have those other ones as well.
Any other discussion? Are we ready for a motion?

And this is a special permit.
So the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from
the requirements of the ordinance under Section 6.43.5.c, specifically to allow a second curb cut on a lot less than 100' of frontage on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled, "Proposed Curb Cut Plan of 136-140 Rindge Avenue," prepared by PJF \& Associates, dated August 24, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members, let us please take a voice vote on the motion to grant the relief.

Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The special permit relief is granted. Thank you.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Goodnight.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight. And Board members, that wraps up tonight's meeting. Thank you all for your help and for being here, and we'll see you next time. Thank you all. Goodnight.

COLLECTIVE: Thank you. Have a good night.

Goodnight.
[8:58 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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