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(6:00 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Wendy Leiserson, Carol Agate, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Welcome to the November 9, 2023 meeting of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal. My name is Jim Monteverde, and I am the Chair.

Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the Acts of 2023 adopted by the Massachusetts General Court, and approved by the Governor, the City is authorized to use remote participation at meetings of the Cambridge Board of Zoning Appeal.

This meeting is being video and audio recorded and is broadcast on cable television Channel 22 within Cambridge.

There will also be a transcript of the proceedings.

All members, applicants, and members of the public will state their name before speaking. All votes will be taken by roll call.

Members of the public will be kept on mute until it is time for public comment. I will give instructions for
public comment at that time, and you can also find instructions on the City's webpage for remote BZA meetings.

Generally, you will have up to three minutes to speak, but that might change based on the number of speakers.

I'll start by asking Staff to take Board members attendance and verify that all members are audible.

OLIVIA RATAY: Carol Agate?
CAROL AGATE: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Steven Ng?

STEVEN NG: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Thomas Miller?

THOMAS MILLER: Present.
OLIVIA RATAY: Wendy Leiserson?
WENDY LEISERSON: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde present.

$$
(6: 02 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} .)
$$

Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Wendy
Leiserson, Carol Agate, and Thomas Miller
JIM MONTEVERDE: First case for this evening is 217962 -- 210 Bent Street. I have a letter from Paul Rosizzi (phonetic), Project Manager for Identity (phonetic) saying:
"Regarding the variance application, after careful consideration, Verizon is requesting to withdraw without prejudice."

And so, withdrawn. So I will make a motion to withdraw this case. The Chair makes a motion to withdraw without prejudice BZA-217962 -- and I will take a voice vote by the Board members, please.

Steven?
STEVEN NG: In support.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol?
CAROL AGATE: In support.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom?
THOMAS MILLER: In support.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wendy?

WENDY LEISERSON: Supporting the motion to withdraw.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in support.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: So the motion is carried. The
case is withdrawn without prejudice. Thank you.
Wendy, is that it for you for the night?
WENDY LEISERSON: That is.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Goodnight.
WENDY LEISERSON: Have a great night.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. You too. Enjoy your
Thanksgiving.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Carol, same for you?
CAROL AGATE: Yep. Same for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. It is. All right. Thank you for joining. Now, oh, yeah. Throw out a roll call just to make sure we can hear you. So Bill?

BILL BOEHM: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Present.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. The gang's all here.
(6:04 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Thomas Miller, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: First one is -- these are all continued cases -- it is 233167 -- 50 Clifton Street. Is there anyone who wishes to speak on that case?
[Pause]
Anyone? Yep. Okay. If you would unmute yourself and introduce yourself, name and address?

KEIM FOR NGO: Yeah. So my name is Keim For, and I'm with my wife, Lei Jiang. So the address is 50 Clifton Street in Cambridge.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. So can you just go through what changes you made since last you were here?

KIM FOR NGO: Sure. I will let --
JIM MONTEVERDE: The relief you're seeking --
KIM FOR NGO: -- Mr. Lee to speak to you about the changes that we have made.

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes. My name is Chih-Ming Lee, architect of the project. Address is 26 Maple Street, Newton.

We made the changes for the project last summer, which was on the north side we have a very long shed dormer, and at that time we presented the design showing that on the north side we have two smaller dormers -- one on the east side and on the on the left side: sorry one on the west side. It's about 7.5' wide. So the total width of the dormer on the north side is 15'.

On the south side, we also have a -- present a dormer, which is about 14' high -- 14' and 9", which is facing the south side. And basically the layout is the same, very similar except for last time we had a bedroom in the attic, and also a bedroom with a walk-in closet. So basically, it's the master bedroom.

And I think also a family room on the east side of the house, at the attic level.

So I do appreciate last time that the comment from the panel that -- the design last time was too long, and then we tried to change our design to meet the Dormer Guidelines.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. Anything else to present? If not, if you could just -- we're trying to
understand or want to be clear about what zoning relief you need to do this. You are -- the lot itself and the house itself is in its existing condition is beyond the gross floor area?

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's noncompliant? The GFA is
noncompliant? What else? The front yard setback is noncompliant. The left side, which I think is south -looking at this plan it's on the screen $I$ think left is south -- is not compliant, and the right side is.

So I assume the relief that you need is solely for the dormer on the left side -- south side -- and it's -- I believe it's in the side yard setback? And you need relief for that.

Is there any other relief you believe you need?
CHIH-MING LEE: Actually, on the south side, the dormer actually is $1.5^{\prime}$ recessed from the existing footprint. So the existing setback is 5.8' plus 1.5'.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
CHIH-MING LEE: So it's 7.3' -- I'm sorry, it's --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you're correct.

CHIH-MING LEE: Yeah, we --
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's just slightly -- it's
slightly less than the Ordinance requirement --
CHIH-MING LEE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- of 7.5'. So I think that's the relief that you need. Do you think you need --

CHIH-MING LEE: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- any other relief? I think that's it.

CHIH-MING LEE: Yeah, that's it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So as far as everything else, is there any nonconforming in terms of the area, the GFA, the other setbacks that are existing?

So really the only thing I believe you need relief for is that south-side setback on the new dormer and you're really just fractionally over the -- under what's required by the Ordinance.

So if we agree on that, any comments from -questions from members of the Board?

STEVEN NG: I just wanted to -- a clarification. So the final design would have a shed dormer on the south side?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Both. So I think what they've done, if you look at this illustration -- the proposed north elevation --

STEVEN NG: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- I think previously the dormer was continuous between the two dormers that are up there. And it was way beyond the $15^{\prime}$ Dormer Guidelines. So what -STEVEN NG: Okay.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- it looks like to me if you go back to the previous slide, Olivia, please? They basically took the volume of that middle part of the dormer and shifted it from the north side to the south side.

So on the north side there are two -- as I look at the drawings -- 7.5' dormers. So that totals 15' on a side. STEVEN NG: Mm-hm.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And on the north side -- I just looked at it -- the dormer is slightly less than --

STEVEN NG: Gotcha.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- 15'.
STEVEN NG: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So I think that's what they -that's what the change really is. And that's how they
comply with the Dormer Guidelines.
STEVEN NG: Got it.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other questions from
members of the Board? If not, I'll move to public
commentary. There were -- let me just look -- as of yesterday, there were no letters in the file, either in favor or in opposition. So I'll open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

Anyone there?
[Pause]
No one there. I will close public commentary.
Any discussion from members of the Board? If not, I'll make
a motion. All right. We'll move to a motion, then. This is a special permit. It's in addition to the second.

The Chair makes a motion to grant the relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Section 4, the left side, which is the south side of this orientation setback for the dormer only, on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled - I'll spell this -- "NGO Residents at 50 Clifton Street," prepared by -- I'll spell this one also -- OoDD Architects, dated October 23, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of this application.

Let me just go through the special permit criteria. It appears that the requirements of this Ordinance cannot or will not be met: That's correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion or hazard. I think that's so.

Continued operation of the development of the adjacent uses would be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety. Will not.

And for other reasons, would impair the integrity of the district, and $I$ don't think so. So I think it complies with all the special permit criteria. With that, Board members please let's take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief. Steven? STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Tom? THOMAS MILLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. [All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The special permit and relief is granted. Thank you.

KIEM FOR NGO: Thank you.
(6:15 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Bill Boehm, and Thomas Miller JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is 243960 - 1815 Mass Ave.

THOMAS MILLER: Am I still on this, Jim, or this now Daniel?

JIM MONTEVERDE: You're here. You're here for the
--

THOMAS MILLER: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're with us for the evening. Just this one?

Oh. Just this one. Lucky you.
THOMAS MILLER: Okay. Great.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Is there any -- is the proponent for 1815 Mass Avenue present?

MICHAEL DOLAN: Yes. Good evening. This is
Michael Dolan on behalf of the applicant, New Cingular
Wireless PCS LLC. I work for the Law Firm of Brown Rudnick.
Would you like me to proceed?
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would. Please.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Sure. So my client has FCC licenses -- I'm sorry, in this case I'm here on behalf of DISH Wireless.

And my client has FCC licenses, copies of which are enclosed with our application to operate a wireless telecommunications network throughout various markets across the country, including the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

I think -- I know I've been before the Board before on behalf of DISH Wireless, a new entrant into the wireless telecommunications market, which is great for competition.

As part of the build-out of its new wireless network, DISH is seeking to install a wireless antenna facility on the building at 1815 Mass Ave, which is owned by Lesley College.

The building has on it antennas of other FCClicensed carriers. The facility will help fill a coverage gap in the subject area of Cambridge as identified by DISH's radiofrequency engineers.

So DISH is proposing to install here three flushmounted panel antennas on the façade of the building, with each being painted to match the color behind their location.

The height of the proposed antennas will not protrude above the top of any existing building feature.

DISH also proposes to install six Remote Radio heads and three surge arresters inside the building and completely out of view, as well as equipment on the roof of the building, on an equipment platform that's recessed down in the tier on the roof and won't be visible from the ground.

We've included with our application photo simulations which demonstrate the negligible visual impact of the proposed facility, and from a land use planning perspective, we of course are pleased that we are able to find this existing structure, upon which we could locate our antennas, rather than have to consider the construct of the new structure, on which to put these antennas.

The building is located within the Business C zone, where wireless antenna facilities are authorized pursuant to special permit.

As I mentioned, the building already has on it antennas of other FCC-licensed carriers, and that is why we're also applying for an Eligible Facilities Request pursuant to Sections 6409A of the Middle Class Tax Relief
and Job Creation Act of 2012, otherwise known as the Spectrum Act.

Our proposed application was reviewed by the Community Development Department as well as the Planning Board, and we are pleased to report that we were able to update the design of our installation so as to address nearly every one of their six concerns.

We specifically have agreed to lower the two lower antennas down a foot, so they don't interfere with the aesthetic of the graystone cornice. So with that, I'm able to answer any questions. Available to answer any questions you may have about our application.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Do we have a revised documentation, drawings that you just referred to, your responses from the Planning Board?

The drawings that are in the file, the package that's in the file? This is dated January 25, 2013 and the photo simulations are not dated. Do you know if you resubmitted those recently?

MICHAEL DOLAN: I'm sorry. The only plans -- the only change to the plans -- the other things were conditions, of course, that we can agree to. But the change
to the plans involved lowering those two antennas --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. I understand. I have the
list in front of me. But did you -- was -- were the drawings revised at all?

MICHAEL DOLAN: They have been revised, but we have not shared them with the City yet.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Okay. Do you have anything else to present?

MICHAEL DOLAN: No. Thank you very much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Do we have any questions from members of the Board?

We have correspondence, which we'll get to in a minute.

BILL BOEHM: I have a question. Another condition was to move one of the antennas east far back from Mass Ave. Was that also changed?

MICHAEL DOLAN: No. So that is the one change that we cannot make, because -- we explained this to the Planning Board -- that of the six things they recommended, it's the one we can't do because that antenna if we move that back, we'll lose our ability to have coverage down to the $T$ stop.

And the whole -- one of the major points of this facility is to be able to cover Mass Ave all the way down to that Station, and by moving it back, we'd lose our line of sight over the edge of the roof and other impediments to be a get a signal down that way.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And that's a matter -you've discussed that with the Planning Board? And is there -- do you have any e-mail or otherwise to document that back and forth in their acceptance?

MICHAEL DOLAN: Other than that we had a discussion about it at our Planning Board meeting.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So it's in the record of the Planning Board?

MICHAEL DOLAN: Yeah. There was nothing in writing and then at the end of the --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, no, that's fine. It's in their meeting minutes.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Yeah. They -JIM MONTEVERDE: That's good. MICHAEL DOLAN: -- we made them aware of the challenge with that, and that's why the other antenna can go back at that same level, but this one has to be forward to
cut down -- they're obviously projecting in different directions, and that one needs to be more forward than the other.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yeah. In a moment, after public commentary, I'll go through all of the conditions from the Planning Board and just list them as conditions.

Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, there is -- in terms of correspondence, we have two in the file; one from the Planning Board and one from another person.

The Planning Board sets a number of conditions. One from the -- a member of the public -- takes exception to a few things and $I$ will read through those both now, I guess.

The Planning Board comments are -- and we'll include these as conditions -- is to -- that you utilize the smallest mounting brackets available so that the antennas can be mounted as close as possible to the façade surface.

Two, that the lower -- the two lower antennas should be vertically adjusted downward, so they do not visually interrupt the graystone cornice of the building when viewed from Massachusetts Avenue.

The third one $I$ think is the one you just spoke about that you've talked to the Board and that you can't do, is the proposed alpha sector antenna should be moved as far east as possible. That's the one you can't accommodate, correct?

MICHAEL DOLAN: That's correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And then item 4, the vertical location of the single upper antenna should ensure it visually falls completely within the dark red zone, to which it is mounted when viewed from Massachusetts Avenue.

And five, paint the antennas, mounting poles, brackets, and all exposed elements to match the color and finish of the existing façade material. Do not attempt to replicate the underlying grout, mortar pattern, as this can inadvertently draw unintended visual attention to the antennas.

It's about time they threw that one.
And, last, sixth is ensure all exposed cables and wires are tightly fixed to the façade.

Those are the comments from the Planning Board and Community Development. We'll include those. Those will be included by reference as conditions.
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And the letter we have is from Helen Walker, dated October 24. And it's a lengthy piece of correspondence, and I will summarize. She offers -- her address is 43 Linnaean Street -- she offers two comments on the aesthetics.
"The proposal shows two --" and I'll read this.
"The proposal shows two antenna mounts to -- on two sides of the yellow brick face of the tower. That is at these locations. Do not look integrated with the design and should be relocated if possible.
"Additional antenna within the same recesses would be more appropriate."

And I think that you've come to agreement with the Planning Board in terms of how you will treat those antenna that will be on the yellow brick base, correct? Yep?

Second aesthetic comment from Helen Walker:
"Propose equipment cabinet on the DISH Wireless equipment platform is tall enough and near enough to the street that it would most likely be visible from the street. There needs to be a photographic simulation of this proposed condition. A design study will be advisable."

JIM MONTEVERDE: In your presentation, did you say these would not in your --

MICHAEL DOLAN: Yes, our --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- you did not --
MICHAEL DOLAN: Yeah. The -- our engineers
believe that they will not be visible from street level, and of course that would -- you know, definitely not in front of course and even as you --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
MICHAEL DOLAN: -- go down Mass Ave, there are other -- there's other equipment up on the roof right now that isn't visible, and the engineers believe that the way the rooftop is recessed, where our equipment is going to go, there's actually a ladder down to the level where we're going to put it. It won't be visible from Mass Ave.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And then the letter from Ms. Walker goes on to talk about the effects of radiofrequency emissions and refers to recent decisions by the FCC -- recent studies, and also their recent -- several recent towns, Sheffield, and Great Barrington in Mass -have voted to hold the applications until the FCC completes its court-mandated reviews.

I think in the soliloquy $I$ will enter into the record when I'm done, you know, that's specific to Cambridge
basically. Will tell you that if there's any future studies that point to the problems with the radiofrequency emissions, then you're bound to deal with it. So I think we've got that one covered.

I think that's the commentary we have. I will open it up to public comment.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should
now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Helen Walker?
HELEN WALKER: I'm Helen Walker of 43 Linnean
Street. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can.
HELEN WALKER: I want to thank the Chair for reading my letter that was submitted previously. I have
revised it somewhat. I want to say that you probably cannot see the equipment on the roof from the street, but I'm pretty sure you can see it from the two buildings across the street at the first floor, or at the first elevated floor. That would be the second floor.

I would like to say a little bit more about the status of the $F C C$ in terms of its ability to assure us according to the Cambridge requirements that these installations are safe.

The FCC has been remiss for over two years in failing to comply with the court order, when the present application assures us that no nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare of the occupant of the proposed use, or the citizens of the city, because this wireless facility -- quote -"will comply with all applicable regulations and guidelines pertaining to radiofrequency emissions," the reference is to the FCC maximum permissible exposure limits.

In 2019, the FCC quietly closed its investigation into the adequacy of those very same 1996 Radiofrequency Emissions Guidelines.

In 2021, the US Court of Appeals for the DC

Circuit handed the FCC a major [inaudible; someone sneezed over]. Arguments showed that the FCC had no studies whatsoever about wireless technologies developed after 1996, or about exposures from combinations of wireless technologies, or about cumulative effects of exposures to radiofrequency radiation over time.

The court found the FCCs decision arbitrary and capricious in its failure to respond to thousands of pages of evidence of harm to human health and the environment.

The court remanded the guidelines to the FCC, but the FCC still has not reopened its investigation. Thus, stated compliance with the 1996 guidelines cannot be claimed to ensure the safety of the public.

And as the Chair so graciously noted, this year's annual town meetings in Sheffield and Great Barrington voted to hold applications for the 5G installations until the FCC completes the court-mandated review and updates its guidelines to comply with the results.

This is a legal environment into which you are reviewing this application. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comments. Anyone else? No?

OLIVIA RATAY: Ben Foo (phonetic)?
BEN FOO: Hi. Yes. Can you hear me?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes.

BEN FOO: My name is Ben Foo. I'm a resident at 58 Plympton Street. And just wanted to follow up on the latest comments that were just presented. Just curious to hear what is the problem with seeing equipment on the roof on the street. Is that -- you know, again, some sort of local rule or regulation?

Any commentary would be helpful.
JIM MONTEVERDE: When the Planning Board -- and I'm kind of reading their mind -- or at least from experience, what we've seen when the Planning Board have offered comments, is they'll offer comments if they feel it's intrusive or out of character, or intrusive to the overall character of the building or the neighborhood.

This Board has seen other cases where the equipment sits loud and proud right on the roof, and visible to everybody who walks by, drives by, flies by, bicycles by. So I don't believe there is an ordinance that talks about the visibility from the street.

I think some buildings are -- at least the way the
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Planning Board issues some of their commentary -- viewed as more sensitive, let's say -- to that type of intrusion and they try and limit that. But I don't believe there's a City Ordinance specific to it.

BEN FOO: Great. Thanks so much.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. You're welcome. Anybody
else? No. Okay. I will close public comment.
Any discussion from members of the Board? If not
$\qquad$
BILL BOEHM: Yep. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep?

BILL BOEHM: I am a little perplexed at why we would approve proposals in which changes have been promised but not made to the drawings. Because I'm thinking on an example of a more typical one, if it was a residential project and they'd agreed to make a change to a dormer or something, I believe that we would ask that those drawings get changed, and we would see them before we'd approve them. So I'm not sure why it's different in this case. And I will also follow up to say that I'm zoomed in on a picture of that tower right now. And if you -- the photo representation -- and I don't know if you want to pull
that up real quick, but the photo representation is from quite a distance.

But if you kind of zoom in on the portion of the tower, I'm looking at the Mass Ave view, I think it's the next one. The next image? Yeah. Can you zoom in on that or not?

MICHAEL DOLAN: Okay.
BILL BOEHM: If you zoom in on it, you see there's quite a variety of installations. And a lot of them are not sort of complying with the kinds of things we're talking about right now. And yet my guess is that they might have gone through the same process.

So I guess I'm questioning whether it's good enough to say, "Okay, you've agreed with these guidelines and you're going to do them," and then hope for the best? Or hope that an ISD inspector will look at this record and then -- you know. So anyways, that's -- well, those are my two concerns.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. We're -- what I intended to do, what $I$ would suggest we do -- is enter as a condition that those revised documents be provided to us and leave it at that.
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Since they had the discussion with the Planning Board and it's on record in their notes, their transcript, I feel comfortable it'll get done.

But I think I would just -- I will ask that the revised drawings be submitted, for the record. That's my sense of it.

BILL BOEHM: For us to then kind of review and approve, or just --

JIM MONTEVERDE: No, to have. In other words, well, otherwise we're continuing it. And I'd rather it be -- I don't see anything so egregious or what seems to be that I haven't heard an objection from the proponent, except for the one element, which they discussed with the Planning Board and came to resolution on.

I feel comfortable that we can just ask for updated drawings and take our vote. Just because of everything else that's tied up with the -- how much leeway we have in terms of what we're reviewing and what we can in fact reject or not accept.

I'd just like to be able to move it on.
MICHAEL DOLAN: And I can just add that what it will show -- this change is consistent with what you just
said is that the antenna centerline of the lower antennas in our original drawing said it would be at 63'10". We have drawings now that show it at 62'10", which is 1' lower, and then pulls -- pushes it away and out of the line of that graystone cornice. So that's the extent of the change.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. All right. Any other discussion amongst the members of the Board? If not, I'll move to a motion.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections for the special permit on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings which $I$ will ask you to submit -- the drawings that we do not have in our file, the revised drawings, for this location.

I will initial and date the drawings we currently have and note that these drawings are to be replaced with the ones that show the -- all the items that the Planning Board has mentioned as suggestions.

And that we further incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of this application.

I will go through the Planning Board ones just
again, just so it gets in the record. And those conditions are: Utilize the smallest mounting brackets available so that the antenna can be mounted as close as possible to the façade surface.

Two, the two lower antennas shall be vertically adjusted downward, so they do not visually interrupt the graystone cornice of the building when viewed from Massachusetts Avenue.

Three, the vertical location of the single upper antenna should ensure it visually falls completely within the dark red zone to which it is mounted when viewed from Massachusetts Avenue.

And four, paint the antenna mounting poles, brackets all exposed elements to match the color and finish of the existing façade material. Do not attempt to replicate the underlying grout or mortar pattern, as this can inadvertently draw unintended visual attention to the antennas.

And five, ensure all exposed cables and wires are tightly fitted to the façade.

Those are the suggestions from the Planning Board and Community Development.

We'll add a condition that you submit revised drawings that show your compliance with all those conditions from the Planning Board and Community Development. And they be submitted to us for record.

And then I have a speech to make. Bear with me. I thought I had recorded this, but I hadn't, or I can't find it.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Can we agree to waive it?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No. I've been told no.
MICHAEL DOLAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I would love to. Thank you for the offer. I appreciate it.

MICHAEL DOLAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Based on the findings, the Chair moves that the petitioner be granted the special permit it is seeking, subject to the following conditions:

One, that the work proceed in accordance with the plans submitted by the petitioner -- and we're adding the revised drawings or the plans to be submitted and initialed by the Chair.

Two, that upon completion of the work, the physical appearance and visual impact of the proposed work
will be consistent with the revised photo simulations and the conditions from the Planning Board and Community Development.

Three, that the petitioner shall at all times maintain the proposed work, so that its physical appearance and visual impact will remain consistent with the photo simulations previously referred to.

Four, that should the petitioner cease to utilize the equipment approved tonight for a continuous period of six months or more, it shall be promptly thereafter removed, or it shall promptly thereafter remove such equipment and restore the building on which it is located to its prior condition and appearance, to the exact reasonably practical.

Five, that the petitioner is in compliance with and will continue to comply with in all respects the condition imposed by this Board with regard to previous special permits granted to the petitioner -- you don't have that, this is your first one, right? For DISH, this is the first petition?

MICHAEL DOLAN: In the city of Cambridge?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, on this location?
MICHAEL DOLAN: Yes, that's correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, with regard to the site.
Okay.
In as much as the health effects of the transmission of electromagnetic energy waves is a matter of ongoing societal concern and scientific study, the special permit is also subject to the following conditions:
a) That the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department each report it files with the federal authorities regarding electromagnetic energy waves emissions emanating from all the proponent's equipment on the site.

Each such report shall be filed with the Inspectional Services Department no later than 10 business days after the report has been filed with the federal authorities.

Failure to timely file any such report with the Inspectional Services Department shall ipso facto terminate the special permit granted tonight.
b) That in the event that at any time federal authorities notify the petitioner that its equipment on the site, including but not limited to the special permit granted tonight, fails to comply with the requirements of
law or governmental regulations -- whether with regard to the emissions of electromagnetic energy waves or otherwise -- the petitioner, within 10 business days of receipt of such notification of such failure, shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a report disclosing in reasonable detail that such failure has occurred, and the basis for such claimed failure.

The special permit granted tonight shall ipso facto terminate if any of the petitioner's federal licenses is or are suspended, revoked, or terminated.
c) That to the extent a special permit has terminated, pursuant to the foregoing paragraphs a) and b), the petitioner may apply to this Board for a new special permit, provided that the public notice concerning such application discloses in reasonable detail that the application has been filed because of the termination of the special permit, pursuant to paragraphs a) or b) above.

Any such new application shall not be deemed a repetitive petition, and therefore will not be subject to the two-year period during which repetitive petitions may not be filed.
d) That within 10 business days after receipt of a
building permit for the installation of the equipment subject to this petition, the petitioner shall file with the Inspectional Services Department a sworn affidavit of the persons in charge of the installation of equipment by the petitioner with a geographical area that includes Cambridge stating that:
a) he or she has such responsibility, and
b) that the equipment being installed pursuant to the special permit we are granting tonight will comply with all federal safety rules and will be situated and maintained in locations with appropriate barricades and other protections, such that individuals, including nearby residents and occupants of nearby structures, will be sufficiently protected from excessive radiofrequency radiation under federal law.

So ends the lesson. And now, a voice vote by the Board. Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Five. Oh, who is. Tom? Oh, I'm sorry, I got the wrong name. Tom. Tom, are you there?

THOMAS MILLER: Yes, I'm still here. Thomas Miller in favor. Sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: The special permit is granted.
Thank you.
MICHAEL DOLAN: Thank you all for your consideration this evening.

THOMAS MILLER: So now I am finished for the evening, Jim, I believe. Correct me if I'm wrong.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, sir.
THOMAS MILLER: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So ongoing I have Steven,
Virginia, Daniel, Bill, myself, right? Okay, so for the regular agenda, we have Steven, Virginia, Daniel, Bill, and myself?
(6:45 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: First case on the Regular Agenda is BZA-244964 -- 15 Hutchinson Street. Sarah Rhatigan?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Good evening. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Sarah Rhatigan, Trilogy Law, representing the petitioner at 15 Hutchinson LLC.

And my client, Scott Kenton, is with me today as well. I'm not sure if he is actually signed on as a panelist. I'm not sure, Olivia, if you're able to elevate him to a panelist position or not, but he will be available for questions.

OLIVIA RATAY: Okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: So thanks very much, members of the Board, for hearing this case. This is actually an amendment to a previous special permit that was granted by this Board in June -- June, I think June 29, this summer.

And what happened is that we had a positive vote from this Board granting the special permit, and we were contacted quickly thereafter by the neighboring owner to
this property, who had expressed some concerns about the project.

And we discussed and we were able to reach an agreement about pulling back or removing some of the elements of our proposal in order to satisfy her concerns and avoid an appeal.

So what you're looking at today is a revised application that shows essentially the same proposal with some elements of it removed. Now, I realize looking at the faces, $I$ believe that this may be new to most of you, I think, on the Board?

JIM MONTEVERDE: It is. So --
SARAH RHATIGAN: So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I've got the --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- history of having sat on the previous case and I know what we approved, and I looked at the drawing -- I had a problem with the drawings, but your -- just in terms of delineating what in fact is new for tonight, because all we're doing is amending what we've already, or reviewing the --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- specific items that have been changed.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: As if your narrative in the special permit supporting statement is probably the clearest of the pieces that are moving around. But --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Okay, sure. So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- so yeah, for everybody else on
the Board, we did approve -- why don't we bring up the slides -- all of what's shown on the plans plus some additional pieces that have been removed here, some windows. SARAH RHATIGAN: So I would look for guidance from you, Mr. Chairman, as to how much of the project you'd like me to describe. We'll obviously review the plans.

Olivia, if you don't mind putting in the plans up on the screen for $u s, ~ I ~ c a n ~ g o ~ i n t o ~ a s ~ m u c h ~ d e t a i l ~ a s ~ y o u ~$ think appropriate.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Well --
SARAH RHATIGAN: I thought it was best to just run through the changes to the plans and just generally -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- describe what we're doing.

JIM MONTEVERDE: I agree. And if you don't mind, I think if -- yeah, the windows show up better on the elevations, or removing windows show up on the elevations.

SARAH RHATIGAN: I agree. Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think on the plans it's the proposed attic level where you -- the deck and the railing have been deleted, which shows on this elevation. That note says, "no deck, no railing" on the upper right?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct. Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And --
SARAH RHATIGAN: So yeah, why don't I just run through the elevations. So on the elevation this is showing the front of the house. And with the proposal over the summer, the area that says, "No deck, no railing" there was a second-floor deck that was proposed on that side.

And that's the side that's closest to the neighbor who had expressed concerns to us after hearing concerns about her privacy. So we agreed to delete that.

So there's no deck there.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
SARAH RHATIGAN: There's no railing on that side. We are building the dormers. Those are Dormer Guideline
compliant dormers. Those have been -- we request that we continue to be able to do that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Next slide?
This is showing the opposite side. So this is the left side of the structure if you were looking from the street. And there are no changes from the plans that were approved the last time on this slide.

Next slide, please?
This is showing the rear of the house. The only change here that we made to accommodate the neighbors' concern: She wasn't pleased with having this -- on the left side of this visual, there was plans to have a sliding door and an exit from the garage out to the back yard.

And she asked us to remove that door to replace with windows. So that's shown there. Again, you can see that the deck is removed from the elevation.

Next slide, please?
The other changes: This is the elevation, the -if $I$ was on the street, this is the right side of the house and you can see where it says, "No window opening this area."

Previously, there have been windows proposed for this side. Or there actually are existing windows and converting screens to windows, but we removed that from the plans again to address her concerns.

Next slide, please?
Actually, that may be essentially the end. JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yeah, that's the end of the presentation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So do any of those changes that you just mentioned require relief?

SARAH RHATIGAN: No. They do not. JIM MONTEVERDE: They do not? You're not -- yeah,
okay. So this is strictly just dusting up the record? SARAH RHATIGAN: It is dusting up the record. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

SARAH RHATIGAN: It is important, though, Mr.
Chairman, $I$ just would like to mention that just because of the kind of process of how we got to this point, although we did get the grant of special permit, and then there was no appeal, so we have an old special permit decision that has not been recorded at the Registry.

We would need this Board to actually grant all the special permits that were granted with the first application today so that we have a written decision that describes all of the relief that refers to the plans that are now updated September 12, 2023.

That decision -- hopefully no appeal -- would be, you know, stamped with the Clerk's Office, and then recorded at the Registry of Deeds and be the document that my client uses to obtain his building permit.

So in terms of your discussion and your findings this evening, if you could make sure that it includes the relief that's being requested.

And I'm happy to take any questions. There's also some photographs of the house, if any of the Board members would like to see that.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Sarah, just give me one second, please.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Sure.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay. So my -- not my confusion, but thanks for saying you want this in essence special permitted all over again because I was prepared to
do the special permit or have the discussion solely on the changes that you're presenting. That's not what you're asking for, is it?

And that's not the way the application read. The application was more global, as if we are -- you know, approving this from scratch.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Is that what you're looking for, from scratch?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. In which case, just for
the record, then, let me get the old file. Let me just see the verbiage that we -- yeah, okay. Nice and brief.
[Pause]
Okay. I understand what you're asking for. And personally, since $I$ sat on this before, and we went through the previous round for the special permit and it was approved, I don't have any issue with that.

And really with the changes that are being made, I don't have any changes with those. So I don't have any issues with it.

But it's really more for the other members of the

Board who didn't see this in its entirety before, whether they have any new questions.

So Sarah, if you're done with your presentation, I'm going to open it up to questions from the Board. Okay? SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the Board?

BILL BOEHM: I can't help but to say that I think there's been some things lost in this new design, one of which is the dormer that we're looking at right now has these two tiny windows in it, and one of the Dormer Guidelines request is that you have at least 50 percent of the wall area as windows.

For this very reason, these dormers that are built with either no windows or very tiny ones just don't look great, which is why that Guideline exists.

So, you know, more or less just pointing that out as an observation. But I just -- yeah. That's all I'll say at this point.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Could it work for you -- I remember the last one we talked about like this, and I always wondered if you could -- it's just the windows were

Page 49
removed specifically for the neighbors, I assume the windows up in the dormer have the same issue, they're kept high and so, they are not intruding on, we're not the privacy issue for the neighbors.

So Bill, do you think it could work if it was a good -- I'll call it a false opening. You know, it's frame as if it's a window, but in essence it's blank. Am I making any sense?

And that the glazed portion is up high, but the trim, et cetera, is basically set up to be a typical window size? So it looks like you meant it as a full-size opening, or do you mean literally to get 50 percent of it to be glazed or opening?

BILL BOEHM: Yeah. That -- we saw that last week, an example where they had kind of framed in a panel, sort of a size of a window. I --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.
BILL BOEHM: -- personally don't think that really does the job. I guess what $I$ would recommend as another way of thinking about it is if the problem is privacy to the neighbor, and therefore the windows have been moved up high, having more of them going all the way across sort of
transom-style and a larger gang of them would still solve the privacy problem, but make the dormer look like what dormers normally do, which is intended to bring light in, rather than just be this kind of box up on the roof.

STEVEN NG: Yeah, but I think that particular dormer is -- those windows are over a tub and the toilet. So I don't know if that would kind of reveal --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, yeah, the windows are over a tub or a toilet, but $I$ think the windows that Bill had talked about would be over the sink. In other words, if you extended the strip of windows or --

BILL BOEHM: Actually, I think it's neither. I think it's in the stairwell, those two particular windows, if we're not --

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
BILL BOEHM: -- if $I$ am reading the plan right?
SARAH RHATIGAN: That's correct. It is over -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- a stairway.
JIM MONTEVERDE: You're over the stair. Yep.
You're over the stair.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chairman, would you mind if I
just commented briefly?
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I understand it's a discussion
between Board members, so I don't mean to --
JIM MONTEVERDE: No, it's okay. Go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I think that if the applicant had
heard these comments on the previous original hearing, then it's conceivable, you know, theoretically that maybe we could have reached agreement with our neighbor.

But this was a very long, well-negotiated settlement. And so, privacy and, you know, the windows facing her property were really of prime concern.

I think it's one of the things that's difficult about the Dormer Guidelines is I think that they -- I mean, this is just commentary, it's not -- I think we all agree, like, they're a good idea, but they're sometimes in direct conflict with the concerns of neighbors.

So I would just ask that, you know, Board members consider that before requesting any modifications to plans that have been approved by the neighbors.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Well, we --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: In prior cases, just for the Board's reference, we have -- in similar circumstances, we've discussed with the proponent that could those windows have draperies? Could those windows be translucent? Could those windows have shades in front of them? You know, some device that would take -- allows light in, but not compromise the privacy concerns that the neighbors have?

So I think if you make the window openings -again, the Dormer Guidelines don't talk about the type of glazing. So you could manipulate it or simply curtains or shutters or some other way to basically block the view. So -- but thank you for your comments, Sarah.

Any other questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
Okay. Public commentary, we have one piece of correspondence in the file in favor from Charleen Jue from 11 Hutchinson saying they're in support of the rehabilitation. And that is all. And I will open it to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
No? Okay. I'm going to close public testimony. Discussions among the members of the Board?

So just for everyone's understanding, I think the issues we needed to grant relief for before previously were the window wells that were in the side yard setback really, or the windows and door openings within the setback, and then you've made the modifications based on the negotiations with the neighbor.

And then --
SARAH RHATIGAN: Mr. Chairman?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, go ahead.
SARAH RHATIGAN: I'm sorry. Last is the
additional square footage that's created by the dormers at the third floor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yep. So it's an increase of -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

SARAH RHATIGAN: -- the square footage. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So those are the relief pieces that we're seeking at this point. And just to confirm, Sarah, the updated drawings -- I don't think they really are, but -- are September 12, 2023?

SARAH RHATIGAN: Correct.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, okay.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I've had -- yeah, okay.
That's good. Yeah. They are in fact -- they show all the new windows, what's been deleted. Okay.

SARAH RHATIGAN: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: If there's no discussion among members of the Board, I will move to a motion. And this is a special permit. So the Chair makes -- any discussion, or are we ready for a motion?

Take that as we're ready.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the
requirements of the ordinance under Sections Article 5 Section 5.31 Dimensional Requirements. Those are the areaways that are within the side yard setback as well as new windows and door openings set within the side yard setback and the increase of area.

Section 8.22.2.d, alterations to preexisting, nonconforming single-family structure 8.22.2.c, 8.22.1.d relocation, enlargement, or addition of windows within the setback and 10.4 for the special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "15 Hutchinson Street," prepared by SKC Properties dated September 11, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

This is a special permit. So the criteria are: It appears the requirements of this ordinance cannot or will not be met. I think that's true.

Traffic generated would not cause congestion or hazard. I think not.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent
uses would be adversely affected. I think not.
Nuisance or hazard would be created to the
detriment of the health, safety. I think not.
And for other reasons, the proposed use would not
impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district.
I think not.
So the criteria for the special permit is met.
Roll call then, vote among the Board members?
Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Wait a minute. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. And Jim Monteverde in
favor.
[All vote YES]
That's five in favor. The special permit is
granted. Thank you.
SARAH RHATIGAN: Thank you very much.
(7:05 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-245107 -- 63

Reed Street.
ADAM GLASSMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board. My name is Adam Glassman. I'm the architect representing the owners of 63 Reed Street, who I know are here with us tonight -- Lorie Lin and her husband, Ivan.

We're seeking a special permit in order to enclose a front-facing farmers porch so the owners can gain more usable space in a home that is becoming increasingly tight and uncomfortable for a growing family.

In addition to the enclosed front porch there would be a safer, more comfortable entry landing and steps also facing the front.

Please -- thank you.
This is an image of the house. The only change that you can see would be enclosing that first-story front farmers porch, which is not very deep. It would only add
approximately 60 square feet to existing nonconforming FAR. Max FAR allowable is 0.5 . The existing nonconforming structure is at 0.57. We'd be bringing it up to a 0.58 .

And this work would also be done within the front yard setback. So we have an existing nonconforming front yard setback. We'd be furthering that nonconformity and we'd be seeking a special permit for that as well.

Everything else you see in the front view of the house, our tree view would remain unchanged, as far as the special permit application goes.

Next slide, please?
Our site plan showing the required setback is the red dashed rectangle. And the entire front of the house is -- has an existing -- is an existing, with regard to the front setback.

Next slide, please?
The work area is limited to the first floor. The additional square footage is about almost 60 square feet. It's a very minimal increase in the nonconforming FAR.

Next slide, please?
Basement plans: Part of the work would include removing old and outdated wooden posts supporting the front
portion of the house, and we'd be replacing that with a concrete frost walls and slab below grade.

Next slide, please?
Here you can just see most of their renovation work is all within the inflow of the house. Again, the existing porch would be enclosed within the front yard setback, allowing them to open up their floor plan, their only living space, so everybody can stretch out a little bit more.

Next slide, please?
Up front elevations: On the left the existing farmers porch, and on the right how it would look enclosed, adding two windows to the right of the bay, adding a canopy, a sunshade over the new landing and steps within the front yard setback.

Next slide, please?
Side views: Here on the left side, we have some work that will be done as-of-right, removing a door and a small entry, replacing that existing entry door with a new window and then creating a new sliding patio door with a sunshade over a new landing, which will increase the aesthetic feel of the house.

Next slide, please?
The right-side view shows that little bit of porch peeking out at the front. The left side, you'll see how it's open to the beyond [phonetic], and on the right side is the enclosure.

Next slide, please?
And just our site plan. We've reviewed these conditions already. There will be no loss of open space or usable open space. And we believe these changes can be made without any detriment or adverse effect to the neighborhood. And we will end our presentation there.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board?
[Pause]
Hearing none, let me look in the file. As of yesterday, there were no correspondents, and there are none today.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: No.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry. Are you trying to --
Virginia, is that you? No? Okay.
I will open it up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the button
that says, "Participants" and then click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.
[Pause]
Nope. No member of the public calling in, so I will close public testimony. Discussion among the Board members? Any comments?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: I guess I would just say that since the porch already has the look of being recessed into the house a bit, I don't think it will visually make much of a difference to enclose it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Anyone else?
Unfortunately, $I$ feel the opposite of you, Virginia. I drove -- I Google Earthed up and down the street, and then I also drove up and down the street.

And you can see from the -- one of the photographs
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that's part of the presentation, I think on the cover sheet, that an adjacent neighbor looks like they've done the same kind of thing. Yeah, it's the light-color building to the right where it appears the porch had been filled in.

I just think it in fact does impair the integrity of the district, and that it just flattens the building out, pushes the steps right to the sidewalk.

The little canopy is just -- I don't think it's in keeping with how the other -- and these are all very small houses -- appreciate that. And there are very small moves that are made to announce the entry. And porches are kept typically minimal. But I'm not comfortable with enclosing the porch.

And looking at the plan, I would wish that there would be a way that they could gain space that they're looking to gain elsewhere and not do it by pushing out to the street. Anyway, that's my comment.

Anyone else? Any other member of the Board have any discussion? If not, I'll move to a motion.

BILL BOEHM: Jim, I'll just chime in to say I completely concur with you. I think it's unfortunate. And I feel like a more generous porch along the front, you know,
could have been designed to sort of mimic the idea of the front porch, which is a feature of these homes.

However, not sure that's really within the purview of our Zoning Committee. So it's kind of editorial comment, I guess, at this point.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, I -- you know, looking at the criteria for the special permit, I mean the only place where you can go beside the intent and purpose of Ordinance is impairing the integrity of the district or adjoining. And all $I$ can say is from driving up and down the street, considering that the district $I$ think there's an impairment.

And I think I could have been -- well, I would have preferred to see something that didn't entirely wipe out the front porch and not move -- you know, make it -- but I think there's one way that I'll hang my hat on if I need to. And that's the impair the integrity.

So any other discussion from members of the Board? Are we ready for a motion? And this is a special permit, so I'll go through those criteria in a minute. Ready for a motion?

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections it's 5.31

Dimensional Requirements. This is about the additional area. And then an intrusion into the front yard setback. And then also the condition to the special permit.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "63 Reed Street," prepared by GCD Architects, dated October 5, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional form submitted as part of the application.

And then let me just go -- hm?
And there are -- let me just go through the criteria for the special permit -- it appears that the requirements of the Ordinance cannot or will not be met. That's correct.

Traffic generated would cause congestion: No.
Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses would not be adversely affected. I'll say no.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district,
or otherwise derogate from the intent and purpose of this Ordinance.

So I think you can all decide if those are all met.

ADAM GLASSMAN: Could I respond before we take a vote to some of the comments?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think we've cut off public commentary. But yeah, keep it a brief one, please, Adam.

ADAM GLASSMAN: So, since I haven't heard from most of the Board members yet, I think I just want to say that this is an extremely modest ask. The footprint of the home is not changing. We are adding a pretty substantial canopy over the landing to give that character of the welcoming covered entry, maintaining that feel for the neighborhood.

This is not a street defined by namely covered porches. And I understand that one to the right has been enclosed, and maybe that wasn't done in a very tasteful way. I would say that our design rises above that. This is a family of four in a tight place. Their ask is really reasonable.

And really, this is exactly the kind of case I
believe that the Ordinance encourages -- allowing people to stay in their home by requesting modest increases, without affecting their neighborhood and substantial and meaningful ways.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Board members, take a voice vote. We'll start with Steven.

STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel? DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde opposed. [Four vote YES, one votes NO] Four in favor. The motion is carried. The special permit is granted. ADAM GLASSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair -JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks. ADAM GLASSMAN: -- members of the Board.

Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Goodnight. You can tell I'm go

(7:18 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm

JIM MONTEVERDE: The next case is BZA-243593-- 8
Worcester Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to special on this one?

KEVIN REILLEY: I guess I'll be the presenter. I'm Kevin Reilley, and my wife Beth. We're the owners of 8 Worcester Street. We had submitted a -- well, in addition to the plans, we'd submitted a summary of our request here. And I don't know if that might be helpful to put that up on the screen, Olivia, and then $I$ can walk through it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And this is a special permit request?

KEVIN REILLEY: Yes, yes. So the house at 8 Worcester Street was a house in quite poor repair; however pretty original. We're old house people, so it was one we were attracted to. And we were interested in the house for ourselves to live in, and we also have a daughter with special needs.

And, you know, we look at this house being one
that we would live in long term. And as part of the work we're doing on it, we'd be adding a dormer, which we already have an existing permit in place for this.

So the special permit really has to do with just the windows on that one side that are -- the side of the house that's within the setback and nonconforming in terms of setback.

So if, you know, everybody wants -- you know, I can go through window by window what we're doing and what we're asking for the relief.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Which elevation are you referring
to? Right side, left side?
BETH REILLEY: It's attached to that.
KEVIN REILLEY: It's attached to that. The west side of the house, this --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Which is left or right?
KEVIN REILLEY: Yeah. That's the existing. Yep.
And then there's another -- the following drawing shows the proposed. And the total number's written next to each window. Yeah, there you go. BETH REILLEY: Yeah. KEVIN REILLEY: That's the proposed.

JIM MONTEVERDE: There you go.
KEVIN REILLEY: The upper left-hand corner. Yeah.
BETH REILLEY: And the numbers in red line up with
that first page that just is a narrative to explain why we
changed windows on that side.
KEVIN REILLEY: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: These are the windows that are in
your side yard setback?
BETH REILLEY: Yes.
KEVIN REILLEY: Yes, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The numbers which are existing --
as you can see, the first in that -- so some we are moving, and then we are adding some windows as well.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And is that the sum and substance of the changes you're here for tonight?

BETH REILLEY: Yep.
KEVIN REILLEY: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Everything else you have permitted?

BETH REILLEY: Yes.
KEVIN REILLEY: Correct. Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: The addition on the rear

```
elevation, the --
```

BETH REILLEY: Yep.
KEVIN REILLEY: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's all permitted separately?
BETH REILLEY: Yes.
KEVIN REILLEY: Yeah, that was all by right the -JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

BETH REILLEY: The FAR, yeah.
KEVIN REILLEY: The FAR. It falls within the -meets the FAR requirements. We're still below. Even with those additions, we're still I think 150' or 200 square feet below the allowable for the lot.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Okay. So the -- really the only thing you need relief for is just as the Agenda says is to add windows on the right side of the house?

BETH REILLEY: Right.
KEVIN REILLEY: Correct. Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And again, the cardinal
orientation for the right side is?
KEVIN REILLEY: West-facing.
JIM MONTEVERDE: West. Okay. Okay. Anything
else you want to share with us?

BETH REILLEY: No.

KEVIN REILLEY: I think we have -- we can answer any questions that you have.

BETH REILLEY: Yeah, the house was built in 1839. It's amazingly original. We're not making very many changes to it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah.
BETH REILLEY: We're doing a restoration rather than a renovation. We're not changing the floor plan, even the original floor plan very much. We just needed to add a code staircase so that we -- there was one that wasn't to code that, including the basement stairs that we moved.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
BETH REILLEY: And that's where some of the windows are, and then a dormer to plan for a future elevator for aging in place.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. It's -- yes, I have passed by the house, I'm envious of it. It's a beautiful little house.

BETH REILLEY: Yeah. It really is.
KEVIN REILLEY: Yeah, it is.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. That's the presentation.

Any questions from members of the Board?
STEVEN NG: Just always show those photographs with the diagrams you have. Beautiful.

BETH KEVIN REILLEYS: Yep. Right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And we have a note -- well, let me see if there's anything new. As of yesterday, no correspondence in the file. Nope. So I will open the matter to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

All right. We will close public testimony. Any discussion among members of the Board? If not, I will move to a motion. And this is a special permit.

The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the
requirements of the Ordinance. And it's really only Section 5.31. And it's really about the windows in the side yard side -- right side, west side, setback. So that's really al we're granting or need to grant the relief for.

On the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "8 Worcester Street," prepared by --

KEVIN REILLEY: David Graham Architect. Yeah, Graham Architects.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- yep, thank you, I have those -- Graham Architects, dated June 29, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application. And since this is a special permit, the criteria is that it appears the requirements of this ordinance can- or will not be met. That is correct.

Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion. Not.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses, as permitted in the Zoning Ordinance, would be adversely affected. I don't think so.

Nuisance or hazard created to the detriment of the
health, safety and/or welfare. It will not.
And for other reasons, the proposed use would not
impair the integrity of the district. It will not. So all the conditions for -- criteria for the special permit have been met. So a voice vote please by members of the Board.
Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?

DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
Five in favor. The relief is granted.
COLLECTIVE: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks.
(7:26 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-245462-87 1/2 Sixth Street. Is there anyone here who wishes to comment? ANN FULLERTON: Good evening, Zoning Team, I'm Ann Fullerton. My husband and I, Jeff Fullerton, own the property at 87 1/2 Sixth Street, and I'll be presenting to you tonight for a special permit regarding the -- it's the west side and the south side elevations that we're asking for relief to be able to relocate windows on and add some windows.

Thanks, Olivia.
So the first slide that Olivia's brought up is the existing and proposed rear elevation. The façade in question that doesn't comply with setbacks is just the one-story portion. So on the existing it's the portion of the shutters over the top of the single window.

And on the proposed, you can see the hatched area where the existing window is and our proposal for two new windows that are approximately the same height but spread
out and add about a foot of additional glazing length to the elevation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And that façade is in the rear yard setback.

ANN FULLERTON: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yep.
ANN FULLERTON: And it's 12' from the lot line. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

ANN FULLERTON: And then the second elevation is the west-facing elevation, which faces onto a path that accesses the back patio. And that elevation in question that is within the setback here is the larger two-story elevation.

You can see on the existing it has a single window on it. And on the proposed, we catch where that window is and we're proposing to put four transom-style windows on that wall.

So our goal was to create more daylighting in the home. But right now, that existing window looks directly into the neighbors' bedroom window. It's a rental property next to us. And our kitchen window looks directly into their bedroom window. And it's unfortunate, because we only
each have one window on that wall. But they line up.
So the goal was to not remove daylight from our
kitchen space, but to make it so we both had more privacy by making them transom-style windows.

And here are views of the pathway. The first view on the left is from the beginning of the path where you can see the one window way. And then a little closer up, you can see the alignment of the kitchen window from our house and the bedroom window on our neighbor's house.

And then the existing condition of the rear of the house.

And then this is just the plot plan, so you can see where those setbacks were.

And the project is within a larger project scope that is as-of-right. The only components that we needed to come in front of you for were these window placements.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Can $I$ ask you one quick question?

ANN FULLERTON: Absolutely.
JIM MONTEVERDE: The advertisement reads,
"Relocation and addition of windows on two existing sides." You're actually only replacing on one side?

ANN FULLERTON: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Right?
ANN FULLERTON: It was -- unfortunately, the grammar was poor. And --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, no, no. I can understand how you could get there. But it's really the -- if I look at your drawing again, so it's the window on the rear elevation and the window on what you label as the side elevation. But looking at your survey, is that right side --

ANN FULLERTON: It's the left side.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Left side.
ANN FULLERTON: Yep. So less spacing.
JIM MONTEVERDE: It's the rear and the left side?
ANN FULLERTON: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Okay.
ANN FULLERTON: Not the -- and I did send it out to Maria about the grammar. But I think the advertisement had already happened, so.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, that's okay. That's all right. Just so we understand. Okay.

ANN FULLERTON: I did see that one of my
neighbors, the neighbor directly to the south of us, was concerned about the addition of windows.

And we reached out to them directly, because we were surprised about the concern because there's already a privacy issue. And we tried to explain in detail that the windows we were requesting were going to be up high to aid in privacy for both parties.

And also, I sent them a picture of our kitchen looking at the bedroom window, just so they could understand the situation a little bit more clearly. Because I don't believe they've ever lived in the property. So they may not understand the existing condition.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Yeah, we have --
ANN FULLERTON: And they did not respond, unfortunately.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. We have that letter, and I'll read that into the file in a moment. Okay. Anything else for your presentation?

ANN FULLERTON: Nope. That's it. Any questions are welcome.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? Nope? Okay.

We are -- in the file we have two letters in support: one by Alan Balsam at 87 Sixth Street, a neighbor in support. And then one from Timothy Toomey, dated November 8, writing in strong support of the renovation proposed by his neighbors.

Then we have one that -- and you just mentioned this is from Jane Davis and Luciano Boglione, and it's dated -- I have it as November 5. They're owners of 89 and 91 Sixth Street. And they're sending the correspondence as a formal objection/concern. And it's the addition of the two windows on the side will infringe on the privacy of the existing window at 89. I think that's something you just discussed, correct?

ANN FULLERTON: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: So you've adjusted that so it's not -- you think it's not -- shouldn't be a privacy issue for 89?

ANN FULLERTON: That was our intent in our initial proposal. We don't want to look into their bedroom -JIM MONTEVERDE: Right.

ANN FULLERTON: -- but we're trying not to lose daylight. So that's why we proposed the high transom
windows.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And the rear elevation -we have concerns about the second-floor window spacing and the view to Number 91." Do you understand that one?

ANN FULLERTON: I don't understand it fully. They
have windows that -- they have -- 91 is a back yard property. It has windows facing towards the street. I mean, again, we have a window there. It's more daylit currently, but we do have a window there.

And effectively, it would be the same situation.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So the final paragraph in this letter is, "The proximity to our adjacent units seems too close for these window additions and placement. We respect our neighbors desire to renovate and upgrade their property and trust in the Zoning Department to exercise caution with how these plans are considered."

So really, you're simply moving windows around. There are windows that are there. You're repositioning them. The ones you mentioned are regular windows you're turning them into transom windows. So okay, we understand. All right. Let's see if they call in to add anything else.

That's everything we have in the file. I'll open
it up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Luciano Boglione?
LUCIANO BOGLIONE: Yes. Hopefully you can hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. We can hear.
LUCIANO BOGLIONE: Okay. Thank you very much. I'm with my wife, Jane Davis, the owner of the property next door that Ms. Fullerton has been talking about. I appreciate her explanation. I'd like to mention that we did live in that property at 91 in particular a few years ago -many years ago now.

And we are concerned with the changing that she is describing because of the -- I appreciate the fact that she doesn't want to look into the property directly. However,
there's also an addition of a window on the $I$ believe west side, $I$ can't remember -- the one facing directly, 89, which means that should we decide to have a similar change in the property, our property, it would infringe on their privacy. So we are keen on keeping the existing situation, because it seems more balanced. It is what it is. But we don't infringe on each other more if we add -- if she adds any windows, or we decide in the future to add any window.

This is the main concern. The houses 91 and 87 are very close to the property that has been under discussion, and as I said, being in such close proximity, it makes it difficult moving forward to make any changes, should we want to make changes on our property.

Hopefully, I explained my position and my wife's position, and I appreciate everybody's time. Thank you. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in. Anyone else? That's it for public comments. I will close public testimony.

Any discussion among members of the Board?
DANIEL HIDALGO: I'm happy that the neighbors called it to explain their logic a little more. But I -even so, I guess I'm uncomfortable with -- you know,
withholding relief just in -- you know, because of the possibility of some future modification that would once again, that would want to introduce privacy issues.

So, you know, I appreciate the concern, but I just
feel that the stated reasons in the application are reasonable, and I'm inclined to support.

STEVEN NG: I'd agree with Daniel. I think, you know, to withhold the relief is so that because an abutter wants to make their own changes in the future, I don't think that's something that -- you know, I'm not -- wouldn't consider.

The image on page 9 of the documentation shows the two windows existing fairly approximate to each other. In the first place, I think rotating the windows so it's a transom-type is only going to improve the privacy, at least visually. So I'm inclined to approve.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Anyone
else? No? If not, I will move to a motion. This is a special permit, so I'll get to those in a moment. Drawings. The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under -- and I'll read it from the way it's advertised. It says, "Sections 2.22.2.d,
8.22.2.c nonconforming structure, and then Section 10.40 Special Permit."

I think what you actually need beside the special permit relief from is 5.31, the Table of Dimensional Requirements for your intrusion in the front yard and rear yard setback.

So with that, on the condition that the work proposed conform to the drawings entitled "85.5 Sixth Street" prepared by -- there's no name, anonymous -- and dated --

ANN FULLERTON: Chairman?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.
ANN FULLERTON: They're prepared by me. I'm an architect.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. So I'll put your name on it. I'll put your -- I'll put today's date on it just to fill the blanks -- initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Now, since this is a special permit, let's just run through the criteria for it, and make sure we comply
with all those.
It appears the requirements of this Ordinance can
not and will not be met by the proposal. That's correct.
Traffic generated would cause congestion. No.
Continued operation of the development of adjacent uses would be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard would be to the detriment of health, safety or welfare. No.

And for other reasons, the proposed use would not impair the integrity of the district: So I think not.

So I think all the criteria for the special permit have been met.

Voice vote please, Board? And we'll start with
Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill?
BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?
STEVEN NG: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The relief
is granted. Special permit is granted. Thank you.
ANN FULLERTON: Thank you, everybody.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is -- yep, BZA 245510
-- 45 Orchard Street.
(7:42 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-245510 -- 45 Orchard Street.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Good evening, everyone. I'm Shelly Ziegelman. I'm the architect, and I'm here with Abigail Lipson, the homeowner. And we're here to discuss 45 Orchard Street, a special permit.

The first thing that I'd like to go over Olivia, can you put a picture of the house up? Thank you. The lot consists of a two-family house on the front of the lot, and a single-family house in the rear of the lot.

We're seeking relief for an increase in the total gross floor area, and the FAR for the proposed one-bedroom addition in the front of the rear single-family.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And for context, can you describe how you got to the house in the rear? SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: For the context? How -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, history.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: The history. So --
JIM MONTEVERDE: In other words, you've been
before this Board twice before?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: No, I haven't --
JIM MONTEVERDE: For that --

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: It was a different architect. JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Same address?
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Same address. The existing -JIM MONTEVERDE: Same property.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- the existing house in the back that you see the picture of is -- was a barn. JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: And the previous architect went before the Board seeking a variance, I believe. But I, again, was not part of that history. The barn originally was more than twice the size, and was reduced, and then turned into this single-family house. It's a one-bedroom house. It is not an accessory dwelling unit; it is a single-family -- considered a single-family.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Is there any more history that -- since you were there that you'd like --

JIM MONTEVERDE: I think just proceed with your presentation, yes.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Okay.
JIM MONTEVERDE: There's correspondence --
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: All right.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- in the file, and I was part of the decision the first two times, so we can bring all that up then.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Right. Okay, great. But thank you for bringing that up.

The other part of the overall description before we move on to the site plan is the proposed bedroom addition will provide the owner with one-floor living, so she is able to age in place.

The other two units in the front are -- the front unit is raised above grade, and the rear unit is a multilevel unit, so it would not provide and age-in-place possibility.

Olivia, can we please see the site plan -- not the next one, the A -- the architectural? Yes. Thank you. The

```
dimensional information for this house, it's in Zone B,
single-family as we mentioned. It -- the proposed bedroom
addition complies with all of the ordinances except for the
FARs, as discussed earlier.
```

And you can also look at the dimensional sheet. But the proposed addition is situated within all of the required setbacks. However, the barn is nonconforming -what was the barn.

It maintains the ratio of usable open space to the lot area and it's greater than required. The addition falls within the size requirements for a single-family house addition, as it is less than half the existing footprint of the first floor.

So if you move on to the next drawing, you can see that the proposed bedroom addition, which consists of an entrance area, a bedroom and a bathroom, is less than half of the existing first floor footprint.

The proposed cellar that you see on the left side of the drawing is a cellar that will contain the plumbing, electrical ductwork and all the mechanicals. It is -- the ceiling height is no higher than $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$. It can never be finished, and it is not part of the livable space.

Then on the next drawing, please -- thank you -we have created a proposed roof deck. And it's defined in the Zoning Ordinance as private, open space.

There have been a couple of -- the two adjacent neighbors on either side 41 and 49 -- have concerns over privacy as well as my client, everyone would like it to be private, which makes total sense. And to respect that, we -- there will be planting and planters all around the roof deck and also near the property lines.

And I believe that Abigal is in conversation with any neighbors that would like to discuss privacy, because I think site lines are very important and maximizing privacy is everyone's concern.

So this proposed green deck both -- it also moderates the heat island effect if it were just to be a traditional roof and is intended to provide privacy for both the neighbor and the client with plantings.

The proposed addition is in keeping with the other structures in the neighborhood, including other additions and roof decks in the neighborhood. There will be lighting, sound control, and -- again, site lines. And everything will be carefully planned.

The proposed addition also includes replacing the existing lawn areas with robust plantings, trees and gardens. And that will also improve air quality and environmental well-being.

If we can move on to the elevations, please, thank you.

Another thing that we took into account was window placement.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ms. Ziegelman?
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Can I ask you to just hang on for two minutes?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Of course.
JIM MONTEVERDE: We need to take a two-minute break. Be right back.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Sure.
[Pause]
JIM MONTEVERDE: Sorry for the interruption.
ANN FULLERTON: That's okay. Okay. So just to -the final comment about the elevations is that the windows are respectful of the neighbors. The horse stall windows, the little -- the small ones that are reminiscent of a horse
stall from the barn are above the owners. You can't see out it. It's just light, like a clear story.

And the window on the lower elevation in the proposed part, you can see the existing and the proposed. The proposed is on the left. Those windows, the larger double hungs are 31.5' from the property line.

So there -- it's a great distance, and all of the windows have window treatments on them in the existing barn as well as the proposed.

And that concludes the presentation. And if there are any questions?

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Before I open it up to members of the Board, I just want to confirm that everyone read -- I'm sure you read the correspondence that's in the electronic file -- one in particular that I think rather accurately -- from Harry Shapiro, dated November 1.

And it goes through the history I was alluding to in that, and just for reference for members of the Board: This project, different architect, same owner I believe, came before the Board in 2019 as an expanding the footprint of the existing barn. Same use. Owner would occupy it, age
in place. And it totaled 4,712 square feet.
The Board -- in the discussion at that hearing, the Board let the proponent know that they didn't have enough favorable votes to be granted the variance for a number of reasons about the size and et cetera. And the case was continued.

The proponent came back, with a different architect, same owner, same discussion, age in place, in 2020 with a scheme that was reduced to I think it's 4,379 square feet, thereabouts. Basically the footprint of the barn, if I recall.

And that was approved. And those are the photographs that you see of the building that exists. And the proposal now, if $I$ read the dimensional form, would now increase the previously approved 4,300 square feet and change and go back to the 4,715 square feet that in 2019 that Board objected to and discussed with the proponent that they should withdraw their case -- not withdraw their case, continue the case -- because they weren't going to be approved.

So I just want to give you that background. It's in the letter. The letter is, I think, pretty accurate.

I've got the file from the other hearings. We can go through that if anyone desires.

But with that in mind, any questions from members of the Board?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: It was mentioned that the barn was originally twice the size. So is it just a lot taller than the existing -- than the current structure?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: It projected towards the twofamily house. It was longer. It was twice the size of the current barn, current house rather.

ABIGAIL LIPSON: That was reduced long before the conversion of the barn to house. That was reduced because the back of the house and the front of the barn basically were rotting and irreparable when we first purchased the property. And so, to save the structure we removed the front portion that was rotting and put the front back on the reduced structure.

VIRGINIAL KEESLER: : Thank you.
ABIGAIL LIPSON: That was many years before.
DANIEL HIDALGO: I think you said this at the beginning, but $I$ just wanted to make sure, because several correspondents referred to this as an accessory apartment
under 4.22.

And you -- just to clarify, are you saying that isn't the case? This was not, you know, granted under that part of the Zoning Code as an accessory apartment?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: It was not. It is a singlefamily dwelling.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Okay. Thank you.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: And it was confirmed by Ranjit and his team.

DANIEL HIDALGO: Great.

BILL BOEHM: My question is, you've said that your -- you've acknowledged neighbors' privacy concerns. And so, you got it sounds like a fairly extensive landscape agenda and a green roof agenda, which I don't believe you have plans of.

I know in the case of the green roof that the weight of a green roof often entails, you know, a more robust structure.

So I guess my question is, how far along are you in your design and planning for a green roof and extensive landing? And have you been able to share that with your neighbors?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Just to clarify, the -- it is not a green roof with all the extensive structure. It is a roof that will have structure that will provide for planters around the perimeter of the roof. But it won't have beds and irrigation and the whole works. It will have planters. So there will be privacy met by that.

The landscape plan has not been started yet. That's something that we will start if there is -- if we're able to do this.

ABIGAIL LIPSON: I've interviewed two landscape architects and reviewed the possibilities. And shopped basically for various kinds of green barriers that would work both at the roof level and at the property line level.

And I've invited my neighbors to also suggest what would help make them feel comfortable in the way of plantings or barriers.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any other questions from members of the Board? If not, I will read into the public correspondence we've received what's in the file, and than open it up to public commentary.

So we have three pieces of correspondence in favor and three objecting. I have one from Sherry Oliver, 49

Orchard Street, direct abutter.
"Proposal adds an addition that will have a significant impact on me by eliminating the open green space (a coveted commodity in the city) but I have decided to remain neutral on the addition.
"I am, however, opposed to the addition of a roof deck. My house is two stories and I live on the second floor. I have a deck that overlooks Abigail's yard, and it will be in direct line of view with her roof deck. I feel it would impact my privacy and it will increase the height of the addition."

JIM MONTEVERDE: Ms. Ziegelman, is this -- you sent a sketch in?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: That's the other. That's Pam and Harry's side.

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the other side?
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: But Abigail has been in conversation with Sherry, and there's also a distance. There -- we just have not -- again, we are in good faith working on the privacy issue and her --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: -- her concerns are, you know,
our concerns. Oh, I'll just add that because --
JIM MONTEVERDE: Nope. Hold on one second. I'm really just reading through the correspondence. So let me just keep going through it.

The second one, dated November 1, from Harry
Shapiro. This is a two-page letter. I'm going to summarize as best as I can.

The person who writes the letter resides at 41
Orchard Street, next door.
"It will adversely affect the use and enjoyment of
my home. The deck atop the proposed addition, in
particular, would greatly reduce my privacy.
"It will reduce green space on Ms. Lipson's lot. The neighborhood has over the years already lost much open space to development.
"It will increase the carbon footprint of the lot," and "the proposal is inconsistent with the zoning regulations."

And then it goes on, and there's a paragraph and I will read from A to --
"The initial proposal in 2019 sought to increase the total gross floor area to 4712 square feet and to expand
the footprint of the existing barn. That proposal was rejected by the BZA.
"After scaling back the plans, in 2020 approval was granted to develop approximately 900 square feet of additional living space which was to remain within the envelope of the barn. (Total GFA 4379 square feet).
"Now Ms. Lipson again seeks expansion to 4715 square feet, virtually identical in size to the proposal that was rejected in 2019."

And the last one I will read verbatim from the paragraph:
"It may have been unrealistic to plan to 'age in place' in 900 square feet of living space. Such an unfortunate miscalculation does not justify further expansion. As stated, Ms. Lipson owns two other dwelling units on the property that are larger and could readily accommodate her housing needs to 'age in place.'"

That's from Harry Shapiro.
Next is Pamela Winters, October 31. There are several reasons why they come before us tonight to oppose the addition.
"It would adversely affect the use and enjoyment
of our home." I'm summarizing here.
"It is neither appropriate nor lawful to expand the current dwelling space beyond the size restrictions for accessory dwelling units."

But you've explained that this is not an accessory dwelling unit, it's a separate home.

There are a couple of paragraphs here. It says:
"1. Ms. Lipson has two other units on the lot, which she is now renting out in front of her current building. They have two or three bedrooms each which would be more than enough to accommodate company or caretakers for her to 'age in place.'
"2. Ms. Lipson's addition would reduce the green space and increase the carbon footprint.

And "3. Ms. Lipson now returns with another proposal that would add a room approximately 18 by 14 feet with an adjoining bathroom...and as mentioned a basement and a roof deck. The footprint of 900 square feet was originally given by the BZA for an accessory apartment."

And there is Hanna and Ross Marino, October 31, 164 Elm Street, Unit 3. Their back yard abuts 45 Orchard Street. They are speaking in favor of the addition.

Jenny Katz, Samuel Christy, 166A Elm Street. They have spoken to Ms. Lipson about the plans, and they are in support of it.

And Susan Matkoski and Stewart Wiley, October 4. They reside -- no, they don't say where they reside. Oh, I'm sorry. 168 Elm Street. And they were recently informed they intend to build a one-story addition, and they have no objections.

That is the correspondence in the file. So now open it to public comment.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you're calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

OLIVIA RATAY: John Buten?
JOHN BUTEN: I think that's an error. We're the next case. I didn't mean to raise my hand, I'm sorry.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, okay. Yep, you're next. Hang on.

OLIVIA RATAY: Pamela Winters? Nevermind, she put her -- Pamela Winters?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Pamela Winters go away?
OLIVIA RATAY: She's -- no, she's here.
PAMELA WINTERS: Hello? Hello? Hi. Can you hear me?

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes, we can.
PAMELA WINTERS: Oh, excellent. Well, I'm just going to, you know, just sort of review something that the Chair has already talked about. But there are several reasons why we come before you tonight to oppose Ms. Lipson's addition to her accessory building.

For those of you who were not on the BZA a few years ago, Ms. Lipson wanted to convert the barn in her property to a living space, which was illegal, except for 900 square feet, which ironically enough the barn is exactly just about.

And she then renovated the barn and was able to have her wish to age in place. It was approved. And now she has decided she does not have enough space for guests and wants to put on an addition, including a roof deck and a basement.

These are not modest, as she says in her petition, and would adversely affect the use and enjoyment of our
home, which is just a few feet from hers.
It is neither appropriate nor lawful to expand the current dwelling space beyond the size restrictions for accessory dwelling units.

Ms. Lipson has two other units on the lot; she owns them. And she is now renting out the front, renting out in front of her current building where she's living. They all have two or three bedrooms each, which would be more than enough to accommodate company or caretakers or for her to age in place.

Ms. Lipson's addition would reduce the green space and increase the carbon footprint in the lot. And Ms. Lipson now returns with another proposal that would add a room and it's -- I think $I$ said in my letter it was $18^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ 14'; I think it's closer to 25' x 14'. Perhaps the architect could be more specific about that -- with an adjoining bathroom.

And as mentioned, a basement and a roof deck. These are outside the footprint of the 900 square feet that was originally given by the BZA for her accessory apartment. And that's under -- in the Zoning Regulations it's under 4.22.1 and it's number 3. And it's just one sentence, so I
can read it to you.
"An accessory apartment created within an
accessory building shall not occupy more than 900 square
feet." Which she has. Therefore, the current proposal to
expand the structure is inconsistent with the Zoning
Regulations applying to an accessory dwelling unit.
"These units are restricted in size because they
are extremely close to abutters and represent a marked
change in the intensity of their use by adding additional dwelling units to back yards."

Thank you very much for your time. I appreciate it. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for your comment.
PAMELA WINTERS: Mm-hm.
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's it for public comments. I
will close public testimony.
ABIGAIL LIPSON: May I mention something before the Board deliberates? Just because my architect was not here for the earlier petition, and I was.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yes. You can make it brief, please.

ABIGAIL LIPSON: Yes. I'll make it brief. The
original conversion of the barn to my house was different in a couple ways in that it was a conversion into a living situation from a nonliving space. This has now been my home for over a year and a half $I$ think now. So I'm living there as my home now.

The original petition with the conversion included a variance for example about the setback things that were understandably felt to be more -- you know, more intrusion from my neighbors.

This petition now is a change to my current home within the setbacks, asking only for the special permit for the FAR. And the -- it would allow for ground-level onefloor living, which none of the other units will allow.

Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Discussion from members of the Board? I'll start. I'm concerned. Although this technically isn't a repetitive petition by definition of the Ordinance, it's a repetitive petition. Again, not as defined by the Ordinance.

But this was turned down initially, or something similar was turned down initially -- almost exactly the same size square footage and reduced at the request of the
previous Board, and approved by the previous Board at the current footprint that you see in the photographs.

And I am troubled to have the proponent come back and ask for what amounts to exactly what was denied in 2019/2020. Beside the objections -- and then there are the objections from the neighbors; privacy and -- primarily privacy and objections to the deck.

So I for one at the moment would not be in support of this relief.

Any other discussion, or are we ready for a motion?

BILL BOEHM: I'll share your concerns, Jim. I find the roof deck addition, you know, I guess, particularly out of keeping with the stated intent of the or need for the addition, and that's of -- you know, particular concern to neighbors.

And I feel like the proposed solution of planters and landscaping has not really been fully developed or vetted. So I'm also concerned.

JIM MONTEVERDE: So I'm concerned to the extent that I would not vote to grant the special permit. Are you of the same mind?

BILL BOEHM: Yes.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.
BILL BOEHM: Oh, sorry.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Oh no, you got it, Dan.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Go ahead.
DANIEL HIDALGO: Yeah, I'm fine with the plan, I
think. But I am sort of worried about the precedent of returning to the Board -- you know, after turnover with something that's, you know, similar to what's approached just a couple years ago. So I sympathize with the problems raised by Jim.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Anyone else?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: I guess I share a similar sentiment to Daniel. I think I'm less troubled by the plan just in that the open space ratio is maintained and the new addition is over 31' from the property lot line with 41 Orchard.

I guess, Jim, I'd be interested to hear you expand a little more on the precedent that this sets. And I know you have already spoken to it, but just maybe like any history of repetitive proposals and just --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Well, yeah.

VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- if I use that word, that's -again, have to be careful -- it's technically not a repetitive petition. Because you can't come back -- a repetitive petition is if you -- look to my, I'm sorry? Yeah. It would be if it's a negative action by the Board, you can't come back for two years for something identical, similar.

So just a timeline here, it's 2019. The discussion -- it wasn't a vote; it was agreed to continue. You know, we read the tea leaves. Basically advised them that they weren't going to pass. Suggested they do a continuance, they did. 2020 came back with a new scheme that reduced it to what you see here in the photographs as built.

And now in 2023, they're back with something that resembles -- at least in area, if not in concept, what they had in 2019 that the Board was going to reject.

Basically, advised them to continue and reduce the scheme. The neighborhood's objection and it was just too large behind there. That's about as clear as I can make it a history without reading the file in detail.

So if I read the tea leaves -- and Virginia, I'll leave you out of this for a moment -- it sounds like about four, three against, which won't get you approval.

So Ms. Ziegelman and Ms. Lipson, are you still with us?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Yes.
ABIGAIL LIPSON: Yes. So you have a choice. We can proceed with the vote -- the tea leaves say you don't get approved -- or you can continue.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: We'll take the continuance. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. November 30, does that work for members of the Board and for the proponent?

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: I will be out of town.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. What was the other one?
OLIVIA RATAY: December 14.
JIM MONTEVERDE: December 14?
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: That's fine.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Members of the Board, can you do December 14?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Fine with me.
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes.
STEVEN NG: Yes, that works for me.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Virginia?
VIRGINIA KEESLER: Yes, that works for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Denial that's fine?
DANIEL HIDALGO: Fine with me, yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Bill?
BILL BOEHM: Yep. Works for me.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Jim Monteverde, it works
for me. So I will continue to December 14.
SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: And can we just clarify that this building is not an ADU for the neighbors?

JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm going to confirm that myself
when I go back in the record. So I'm going to leave that one for the moment. I'm not going to touch that until I've had the time to do the research.

> You, I think, Ms. Lipson, said you talked to

Ranjit?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABIGAIL LIPSON: I spoke with -- } \\
& \text { JIM MONTEVERDE: You did. }
\end{aligned}
$$

ABIGAIL LIPSON: -- Ranjit and Olivia.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. So I just want to go through the file and see what was voted, how it's recorded, how it's registered, and we'll take it from there when we
come back.

SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: What we presented is exactly --
has been confirmed by me and Ranjit and Olivia.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Mm-hm. Okay. Well, I think
members of the Board are probably going to want to just see the paperwork themselves and go through the file and get ready for the next time. So --

STEVEN NG: Yeah, I --
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- let me make a motion -- all right.

STEVEN NG: Jim, just real quick before you do, I just didn't get the opportunity to voice my opinion. I think the design is quite a bit more square footage I think than, you know, the objective was to kind of age in place but there's a lot of development happening up on the second floor.

And I think that outdoor terrace is a cause of concern with the neighbors. So I think those are your critical -- some of the critical items you have to address. JIM MONTEVERDE: Agreed. Okay.

STEVEN NG: Thank you.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Let me make a motion to
continue this matter to September 14, 2023 (sic) on the condition that the petitioner change the posting sign to reflect the new date of December 14, 2023 and the new time of 6:00 p.m.

Also that the petitioner sign a waiver to the statutory requirement for the hearing. This waiver can be obtained from Maria Pacheco or Olivia Ratay at the Inspectional Services Department. I ask that you sign the waiver and return it to the Inspectional Services Department by a week from this coming Monday.

Failure to do so will de facto cause this Board to give an adverse ruling on this case.

Also, that if there are any new substantial
changes to the drawings, dimensional forms, or any supporting statements that those be in in the file by 5:00 p.m. on Monday prior to the continued meeting date.

On the motion to continue this matter to December 14, 2023, voice vote by members of the Board, please? Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The
matter is continued until December 14, 2023. Thank you. SHELLY ZIEGELMAN: Thank you.
(8:20 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm JIM MONTEVERDE: Next case is BZA-244071
-- 32 Tremont Street.

Is there anyone wishing to speak on this case?
JOHN BUTEN: Yes. This is John Buten, and my wife Sarah Di Troia.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Oh, there you are. Now is your chance.

JOHN BUTEN: Got the hand thing correct. Yeah. JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay.

JOHN BUTEN: Sometimes happening. There we go. JIM MONTEVERDE: When you're ready, you can describe what you're doing.

JOHN BUTEN: Great. And we'll be joined by our architect, Mark Armstrong, who is also on the line as a panelist. We've lived at the house on 32 Tremont Street for 25 years now. We appeared before the Board about 20 years ago to get a variance to put dormers and renovate the thirdfloor attic space and are trying to be good stewards of the
property.
We're now returning to the Board looking to
develop the barn. The property has an attached two-story nonconforming barn that is in need of repair and has not had any significant maintenance in its hundred years.

And we're trying to develop that as an accessory dwelling unit under the 4.22 rule to use it as an in-law apartment for my father-in-law; for Sarah's father. And seeking relief under 4.22 to relax the floor area requirements, which state 900 square feet.

There is a provision that says the Board can grant permission or relaxing that for a preexisting structure. The exhisting structure is two stories, so 620 square feet for 1,240 total.

And our desire has been to keep the existing structure to not change any of the -- put any windows on the lot lines or with -- work within the setback requirements to the degree that we can with the existing structure.

And I'll turn it over to Mark to walk through the proposal.

MARK ARMSTRONG: Thank you, John. Well, we looked at a number of different things, but we were concerned about
anything that was in addition to the existing structure.
We looked really mostly at keeping the scale of the building small and similar to what it is currently, but we still needed to create headroom by altering the shape of the roof.

So the resulting change to the roof resulted in the -- in this picture in the back on the property line, the eave is actually at the same height as the existing building. In order to create enough headroom on the second level, we sloped that -- the roof forward, to make sort of a shed room.

So it's a simple volume. It takes what's sort of a flat roof in disrepair that's sort of a low attic and it really sort of gives it some slope to create headroom on the second level.

If -- in addition, the alignment of the plane of the floor levels is sort of stepped down. The existing floor level of the first floor is well above -- is four risers above grade.

And in order to make this an apartment that has easy access to the grade, to the parking and to the rear yard space, we want to have the floor level, the interior of
the barn, really close to grade -- as it is now, in fact. It's sort of an old sort of shed or garage. It was really right at grade, and it sort of promoted how it was -- how it rotted, and it has fallen into disrepair.

But it was desirable to be low and near grade for easy access.

In the plan, you can see that really where -- if we scroll down to I see you have pages -- let's see, we can go to the second page. This shows the zoning data. The property is in the center of the picture.

And you can see -- you can see the barn to the rear that's -- it's essentially the same footprint and the same roof area as before. The summary of the floor area shows that the barn in each level is 620 square feet, and the total FAR is about 0.9, which is a little bit over the FAR, the maximum required.

If you go to the fourth sheet, we can see one of the quirks about this property is that it actually extends over the rear property line. So the -- even though we're rebuilding it in place, we have this sort of nonconforming condition.

This drawing also shows the garden in the rear,
the open space that we want to maintain and enhance. And it also shows the rear porch, which is the main -- you know, daily entrance to the main house. And we want to combine that and use that. That is the entrance to this new accessory dwelling unit.

Otherwise, the interior plans are uneventful, but I think one drawing to look at is further down the elevations. 10 maybe? This shows that the height, this sheet 9 shows that the height in the rear is below the height of the main building.

And the next page shows really the strategy here was to keep the -- on the rear, the right side, to keep that eave no higher than the existing eave.

And so, then from that point slope it so that it conforms to the existing roof slope of the building, but it also manages the intersection of the two roofs in a way that won't be awkward, that can be resolved against the flat wall.

So there are several strategies going on here that we try to keep it in at the right scale and to keep it friendly to its neighbors and to provide access near grade and to make a structure that's not necessarily imitating the
existing structure but is sort of a complimentary structure to it.

It has -- maybe has a different exterior finish, and a different sort of sensibility about being an accessory part of the building, a secondary part of the main structure.

Yeah. So that's the side facing the rear that's much bigger -- I think it's a four-story or five-story apartment building that sort of dwarfs it.

The next elevation $I$ think -- or yeah, that's the side lot line. But the -- on page 10 I think was facing -yes, facing the side yard.

You know, typical of many of these properties, they're oriented on one side of the property, creating an open space in the side yard while the adjacent house also has its side yard on this -- abutting this property.

And so, the space that surrounds this addition is generous, despite the density of the apartment building in back.

I think that's about all we need to discuss about the building and its particulars. We hope you -- we thank you for your attention.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you. Any questions from members of the Board? If not, I'll ask: Can you just review what specific zoning items you're requesting relief from?

JOHN BUTEN: Our understanding is under 4.22, that homeowners may request -- may develop an accessory dwelling unit that is -- has space requirements of 900 square feet, but that the Board, by special permit, may remove -- may -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep.

JOHN BUTEN: -- expand that, in order if there is a preexisting structure.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Correct.
JOHN BUTEN: So I ask if this will be -JIM MONTEVERDE: That's the floor area of the renovation?

JOHN BUTEN: Yeah.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Or --
JOHN BUTEN: Exactly.
JIM MONTEVERDE: And then it looks to me like the Dimensional Requirements would be you've got a -- I think it looks like you have a skylight in a rear yard setback. You know, the whole building's in a new -but that's a new skylight and a rear yard setback?

JOHN BUTEN: There is a new skylight there. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. And I think on the -JOHN BUTEN: Ventilation.

JIM MONTEVERDE: And I think on the side yard where you're hard up against the property line or you're close to the property line, I think you've got window on the second floor. That same thing is within the side yard setback. So it's a new window; it needs relief. Correct?

JOHN BUTEN: It is I think recessed in order to -like that side is not hard against the lot line -JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah, but not enough. JOHN BUTEN: Okay. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yeah. It's not recessed enough, so.

JOHN BUTEN: Ok.
JIM MONTEVERDE: I think you need relief for it.
So those are the three things I --
JOHN BUTEN: Those are the three things.
JIM MONTEVERDE: -- think you need relief for, correct?

JOHN BUTEN: Correct.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. And then one last question
is -- and I'm reading from the application form, the supporting statement for a variance -- the first paragraph, last sentence, where it talks about "that may be needed to provide for the comfort of an in-law to age in place enough space for caregiver."

JOHN BUTEN: Uh --
JIM MONTEVERDE: I always scratch my head when we talk about aging in place and we're talking about a twostory structure and the only way to get to the bedroom and the full bathroom is up a set of stairs.

JOSH SAFDIE: Mr. Chairman, my name is Josh
Safdie. I'm an architect at KMA as well, a colleague of Mark's. And I can address that if I may. Our firm, as you might see from our title block, specializes in accessibility and in --

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep, I know who you are, yep. JOSH SAFDIE: Okay, great. So if -- I think it's Olivia, although I haven't met you -- if you could go to the first-floor plan?
[Pause]
Thank you very much. So the way that we've conceived of this accessory dwelling unit -- as Mark said
earlier, you know, we've established a first floor level that's as low to the ground as possible, so that a simple ramp could be provided that would get basically a no-step entrance into the first floor of the house.

You know, one of the things about age in place is that we have to understand that our abilities will vary over time, and you want to take advantage of the space that's available while you're still physically able to. And so it is a two-story structure.

You'll see the U-shaped stair down to the basement and up to the upper story. Just to the left of that is a rather large, walk-in coat closet. This is actually a fairly common trick in aging in place designs. But the coat closet is sized such that it could accommodate a lift in the future.

And at first construction, it would be built to basically have that shaft and power already in place, right? So while the residents of the ADU are able to navigate the stairs, they have extra storage.

And if and when the time comes when they feel like they would benefit from that lift, the coat closet comes out, the lift goes in. There's a washer and dryer on the
second floor that gets relocated.
And so, basically you have two-story living but with an interior residential lift getting in between the two levels.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Gotcha. Thank you.
Last question -- sorry, I think I said that
before, but one more. You've got to meet the conditions for a variance, and the first is that the literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship. And it says in following:

The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structures, and especially affecting such land or structure, but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located.

And desirable relief may be granted without either substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

Can you describe the hardship, please?
JOHN BUTEN: The hardship relates to both the age of the structure, the need to renovate it, and better to
renovate it for space than have it for storage for carriages and barns that are no longer relevant to our use.

And in order to facilitate modern living, those are the hardships.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. I think also as the positive -- just in case the hardship discussion is kind of tenuous. But you're also -- the positive is you're providing added dwelling unit for the city. So I think that's a positive that --

JOHN BUTEN: Yeah.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- outweighs some of the hardship discussion. Okay.

JOHN BUTEN: It seems to meet the intention of that new Ordinance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any questions -- any other questions from members of the Board? Sorry for monopolizing that time. Nope? If not, we have three pieces of correspondence in favor, and none opposed.

We have one from Kathy Hart at 33.5 Tremont Street, neighbors on October 25 saying they support the project. From Julia Shanks, owner of 37 Tremont Street, neighbor -- support the project. Again, I'm summarizing.

And Catherine Grams at 1 Gardner Road in support for the conversion of my neighbors' attached barn into a dwelling unit. And that's it for what we have in the file. So I'll open it up to public comments.

Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand." If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and unmute or mute by pressing *6.

I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time. You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

JIM MONTEVERDE: No one is calling in. I will close public testimony.

Discussion among the Board members? Or if not, are we ready for a motion?

DANIEL HIDALGO: Just to say that given the -- you know, existing structure and that we're essentially working within that existing structure plus the additional unit, which the city is, you know, the purpose of the Ordinance is to develop more housing. I'm happy to support this
variance.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Thank you. I agree. Any other discussion?

BILL BOEHM: Agree with that sentiment, but I do have a question, which is are the -- have the owners of 28 Tremont Street been -- okay, what was the discussion with them?

SARAH DI TROIA: Mark and Terry phone are awesome too. so --

JOHN BUTEN: Oh no. 28 is --

SARAH DI TROIA: Oh.
JOHN BUTEN: -- we have had discussions with both 34 and 28. 34 recently had a fire, and had done substantial construction and support this project, as well as 28 have been close neighbors. And there is plenty of distance and separation. We often sort of combine the yards and so it's been very neighborly there as well.

We've also reached out to the chocolate factory as well, and in discussions with them also to manage the border and making sure the construction -- that we had communications considering it's a multitenant unit, and it's hard to have one person represent their interests, but also
to make sure it's safe, because they have dogs that like to use the back yard too. That's currently fenced.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Okay. Any other discussion from members of the Board? If not, I will move to a motion. And again, this is a variance. So the Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the Ordinance under Sections 4.22, and that's specifically to allow the additional square from the maximum 900 to approximately 1,200 square feet that's proposed.

And Section 5.31 Table of Dimensional
Requirements. And I think that's the side yard setback for the second floor window and the rear yard setback for the two skylights up on the roof.

And then the variance. And I did talk about the variance, but let me go through those again, because we need to find that all of the following apply:

Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involve a substantial hardship, financial or otherwise to the petitioner or appellant.

The hardship is owing to circumstances relating to the soil condition, shape of the lot or the topography of such land or structures and especially if land or structure,
but not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located.

And desirable relief may be granted without either substantial detriment to the public good or nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the Ordinance. I think those two are true. And I think the fact that it's providing additional living unit in the city of Cambridge is much to its positive.

On the condition that the work proposed conforms to the drawings entitled "Buten-Di Troia Residence," prepared by KMA and dated August 15, 2023, initialed and dated by the Chair.

And further, that we incorporate the supporting statements and dimensional forms submitted as part of the application.

Board members let's take a voice vote on the motion to grant the variance relief.

Bill?

BILL BOEHM: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel?
DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia?

VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor.
[All vote YES]
JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The motion
is carried. The variance is granted. Thank you.
SARAH DI TROIA: Thank you very much, everyone. JIM MONTEVERDE: Yep. Good luck. JOSEPH BARR: Thank you.
(8:43 p.m.)
Sitting Members: Jim Monteverde, Steven Ng, Virginia
Keesler, Daniel Hidalgo, and Bill Boehm
JIM MONTEVERDE: Last but not least, BZA 241969 -101 Clifton Street. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Unintelligible] JIM MONTEVERDE: I'm sorry, could you say that again? Oh, background. Is there anyone here tonight who wants to, or the proponent for 101 Clifton Street -ALAN LAI: Yes.

JIM MONTEVERDE: -- for their consultants? Yep. ALAN LAI: Yes. Hi, sorry. Yes. My name is Alan Lai and this is Gillian White. And we are the homeowners of 101 Clifton.

And we would like -- we are applying for a special permit to move a window in the skylight, or to move two windows to a skylight as part of a nonconforming structure, because the windows on that south side of the house are within the setbacks.

So if you go to slide 3 actually is probably -- or slide 2 you can go to first. Yeah.

So this is the bottom of the screen there on 3 . That side of the house is very close to the property line, 1.5' from the neighbors at 97 Clifton Street.

And we are proposing on Slide 4 is to -- there are three windows -- originally three windows on the house, two on the first floor, one on the second floor. And we are proposing to move one of the windows on the first floor as part of the kitchen renovation, moving that over, maintaining the same square footage of the window on the first floor.

And on the second floor, we are proposing to move a window that is kind of at a low height on the knee wall in the bathroom to move that as a skylight instead.

And this is part of a larger renovation project of the entire house. But this is the only zoning-related, the only one that is nonconforming.

JIM MONTEVERDE: South side. Okay. Okay. Thank you.

ALAN LAI: Yep.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Any questions from members of the
Board? This is a special permit.
If not, there is -- there are no correspondents in
the file, either for or against. So I'll open the matter up to public comment. Any members of the public who wish to speak should now click the icon at the bottom of your Zoom screen that says, "Raise hand."

If you are calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing *9 and mute or unmute by pressing *6. I'll now ask Staff to unmute the speakers one at a time.

You should begin by saying your name and address, and Staff will then confirm that we can hear you. After that you will have up to three minutes to speak before I ask you to wrap up.

OLIVIA RATAY: Liza Paden?
LIZA PADEN: Liza Paden. I live at No. 6 Terre Haute Court. And I want to speak in support of this application. They are doing a wonderful job in restoring this house to its former state that will make it much more habitable and modernize it. And I want to support it wholeheartedly. Thank you.

JIM MONTEVERDE: Thank you for calling in. That's
it. I will close public testimony.
Any discussion among the Board members, or are we ready for a motion?

STEVEN NG: I think we're ready.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Ready. Thank you.
The Chair makes a motion to grant relief from the requirements of the ordinance under Sections -- it's really 5.31 in terms of the window that's in the side yard setback and the skylight in the side yard setback, and Sections 8.22.2.c, 8.22.2.d -- nonconforming structure.

And the Section 10.40 for a special permit. And I'll go thorough those in a moment.

And again, the relief is being sought for -- just let me get this right: Moving one window on the south elevation on the first floor and removing a window on the south elevation on the second floor and putting in a skylight instead.

And special permit criteria:
It appears the requirements of this ordinance cannot or will not be met. That's true. Traffic generated, or patterns of access or egress would cause congestion or hazard. No.

Continued operation of or development of adjacent uses would not be adversely affected. No.

Nuisance or hazard would be created to the
detriment of the health, safety and/or welfare. No.
And for other reasons, the proposed use would impair the integrity of the district or adjoining district. I think not.

So I think it complies with all the criteria of 10.43 for a special permit. With that, Board members, take a voice vote. Sorry, I'm stumbling along here.

Board members, please take a voice vote on the motion to grant relief. Steven?

STEVEN NG: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Virginia? VIRGINIA KEESLER: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Daniel? DANIEL HIDALGO: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: Bill? BILL BOEHM: In favor. JIM MONTEVERDE: And Jim Monteverde in favor. [All vote YES].

JIM MONTEVERDE: That's five in favor. The special permit is granted. Thank you. COLLECTIVE: Thank you. Thank you for staying up
with us.
JIM MONTEVERDE: Thanks. All right, Board
members. Thank you for all your help. I have one question for Olivia while she's here. Can we put back in the electronic files the old files for 45 Orchard Street? Or make them available electronically, or can people search for it electronically?

OLIVIA RATAY: Yeah. It -- the 2019 case is
online. Is that what you're asking?
JIM MONTEVERDE: Do we have to search?
OLIVIA RATAY: Yeah, we'd have to -- I have to find it.

JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Let me talk with Olivia. But $I$ think it would be helpful if the Board had access to the previous cases, so you can read it and you can read the Board's commentary.

I was going through it as we were talking, and there were some things I could find and some things I couldn't find, so I -- yeah, you could also come in.

So the easiest is come in and read the file. But I'll see if it can be made available electronically. Okay? Thank you. Have a good night. Have a nice Thanksgiving,
and we'll see you all next meeting.
BILL BOEHM: All right. Goodnight.
JIM MONTEVERDE: All right. Thank you. Bye-bye.
Goodnight.
[8:50 p.m. End of Proceedings]
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 84:14 $103: 5$ | failure $27: 8$ |
| explanation | $36: 1637: 4,6,7$ |
| $83: 17$ | $115: 11$ |
| exposed $22: 12$ | fairly $85: 13$ |
| $22: 1833: 14,19$ | $98: 13126: 13$ |
| exposure $26: 18$ | faith $100: 19$ |
| exposures $27: 4$ | fallen $120: 4$ |
| $27: 5$ | falls $22: 933: 10$ |
| expressed $41: 1$ | $71: 992: 10$ |
| $43: 17$ | false $49: 6$ |
| extended $50: 11$ | family $8: 14$ |
| extends $120: 18$ | $57: 1565: 20$ |
| extensive $98: 13$ | $97: 998: 6$ |
| $98: 2099: 2$ | far $10: 1119: 15$ |
| extent $32: 5$ | $22: 358: 1,2,9$ |
| $37: 11109: 20$ | $58: 1971: 8,9$ |
| exterior $122: 3$ | $71: 1089: 17$ |
| extra $126: 19$ | $98: 19108: 12$ |
| extremely $65: 11$ | $120: 15,16$ |
| $107: 8$ | farmers $57: 13$ |
| F | $57: 2259: 12$ |
| façade $16: 21$ | FARs $92: 4$ |
| $21: 1822: 13,19$ | father $118: 8$ |
| father-in-law |  |
| $33: 4,15,20$ | $118: 8$ |
| $76: 1677: 3$ | favor $12: 714: 7$ |
| face $23: 7$ | $14: 9,11,13,14$ |
| faces $41: 10$ | $14: 1638: 18,20$ |
| $77: 10$ | $38: 2239: 2,4,8$ |
| facilitate $128: 3$ | $39: 952: 16$ |
| facilities $17: 17$ | $56: 10,12,14,16$ |
| $17: 21$ | $56: 18,2066: 7$ |
| facility $16: 14,17$ | $66: 9,11,13,16$ |
| $17: 1120: 2$ | $75: 7,9,11,13$ |
| $26: 15$ | $75: 14,1687: 15$ |
| facing $8: 10$ | $87: 17,19,21,22$ |
| $51: 1257: 18$ | $88: 299: 21$ |
| $82: 784: 2$ | $103: 22115: 19$ |
| $122: 7,11,12$ | $115: 21116: 1,3$ |
| fact $31: 1941: 19$ | $116: 4,6128: 18$ |
| $54: 1462: 5$ | $132: 19,21$ |
| $83: 21120: 1$ | $133: 1,3,4,6$ |
| $132: 7$ | $138: 11,13,15$ |
| facto $36: 1737: 9$ | $138: 17,18,20$ |
| $115: 11$ | favorable $96: 4$ |
| factory $130: 18$ | FCC $16: 1,4$ |
| failing $26: 11$ | $24: 17,1926: 7$ |
| fails $36: 22$ | $26: 10,18,19$ |
|  |  |


| 27:1,2,10,11 | files 36:8 139:5 | fixed 22:19 | 115:14 132:14 | 64:874:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:16 | 139:5 | flat 119:13 | forth 20:9 | 86:18 102:14 |
| FCC- 16:16 | fill 16:17 86:17 | 121:17 | forward 20:22 | 121:7 132:13 |
| FCC-licensed | filled 62:4 | flattens 62:6 | 21:2 84:12 | 141:8 |
| 17:20 | final 10:21 | flies 28:19 | 119:10 | furthering 58:6 |
| FCCs 27:7 | 82:11 94:20 | floor 9:4 26:4,4 | found 27:7 | future 25:1 |
| feature 17:2 | financial 131:18 | 26:5 53:22 | four 33:13 35:8 | 72:15 84:8 |
| 63:2 | financially | 58:17 59:7 | 65:20 66:15,16 | 85:2,9 126:15 |
| federal 36:9,14 | 141:10 | 72:9,10 89:17 | 77:16 112:2 |  |
| 36:19 37:9 | find 4:1 17:13 | 92:13,17 100:8 | 119:18 | G |
| 38:10,15 | 34:6 109:13 | 101:22 108:13 | four-story 122:8 | G 3:1 |
| feel 28:14 31:3 | 131:16 139:12 | 114:16 117:22 | fourth 120:17 | gain 57:13 62:15 |
| 31:15 59:22 | 139:18,19 | 118:9 119:17 | fractionally | 62:16 |
| 61:19 62:22 | findings 34:14 | 119:18,18,22 | 10:16 | gang 50:1 |
| 65:14 85:5 | 46:10 | 120:13 123:13 | frame 49:6 | gang's 6:21 |
| 99:15 100:9 | fine 20:16 110:6 | 124:7 126:1,4 | framed 49:15 | gap 16:18 |
| 109:17 126:20 | 112:17,20 | 127:1 131:12 | friendly 121:21 | garage 44:14 |
| feet 58:1,18 | 113:3,4 | 135:6,6,7,10 | front 9:7 19:3 | 120:2 |
| 71:11 96:1,10 | finish 22:13 | 135:11 137:12 | 24:5 43:13 | garden 120:22 |
| 96:15,16 | 33:14 122:3 | 137:13 | 52:5 57:16,18 | gardens 94:3 |
| 101:22 102:4,6 | finished 39:15 | flush- 16:20 | 57:21 58:4,5,8 | Gardner 129:1 |
| 102:8,13 | 92:22 | follow 28:5 | 58:13,15,22 | GCD 64:5 |
| 103:16,18 | fire 130:13 | 29:20 | 59:6,11,14 | General 1:3 |
| 105:14 106:1 | firm 15:20 | following 34:16 | 60:3 62:22 | 3:10 |
| 106:19 107:4 | 125:13 | 36:6 69:18 | 63:2,14 64:2 | generally 4:3 |
| 118:10,13 | first 5:5 7:5 26:4 | 127:10 131:16 | 78:16 86:5 | 42:20 127:14 |
| 120:14 123:6 | 26:4 35:18,19 | Foo 28:1, 2, 4, 4 | 89:14,18 91:17 | 132:1 |
| 131:9 | 40:5 46:2 | 29:5 | 91:17 97:13,16 | generated 13:17 |
| felt 108:8 | 58:17 70:4,12 | foot 18:9 77:1 | 97:16 103:9 | 55:20 64:16 |
| fenced 131:2 | 76:15 78:5 | footage 53:21 | 106:6,7 | 74:17 87:4 |
| Fernando 1:9 | 85:14 89:11 | 54:4 58:18 | front-facing | 137:17 |
| fifth 141:13 | 91:9 92:13,17 | 108:22 114:13 | 57:13 | generous 62:22 |
| file 12:6 18:16 | 97:14 119:18 | 135:9 | frost 59:2 | 122:18 |
| 18:17 21:9 | 125:2 126:1,4 | footprint 9:18 | full 125:10 | geographical |
| 32:13 36:7,16 | 126:16 127:8 | 65:11 92:12,17 | full-size 49:11 | 38:5 |
| 37:4 38:2 | 134:22 135:6,7 | 95:21 96:10 | Fullerton 76:7,8 | getting 127:3 |
| 47:12 52:16 | 135:10 137:12 | 101:16 102:1 | 76:8 77:5,7,9 | GFA 9:6 10:13 |
| 60:15 73:7 | first-floor | 103:14,18 | 78:19 79:1,3 | 102:6 |
| 80:17 81:1 | 125:19 | 106:12,19 | 79:11,13,15,17 | Gillian 134:14 |
| 82:22 91:8 | first-story 57:21 | 109:2 120:12 | 79:22 80:14,19 | give 3:22 46:16 |
| 95:15 97:1 | fitted 33:20 | foregoing 37:12 | 81:14,18,21 | 65:13 96:21 |
| 99:19 104:9 | five 14:16 22:11 | form 64:9 96:14 | 82:5 83:16 | 115:12 |
| 111:22 113:21 | 33:19 35:14 | 125:1 | 86:11,13 88:4 | given 103:19 |
| 114:6 115:15 | 39:5,9 56:20 | formal 1:10 | 94:19 | 106:20 129:18 |
| 129:3 136:1 | 75:16 88:2 | former 136:16 | fully $82: 5$ | gives 119:14 |
| 139:20 | 116:6 133:6 | forms 13:12 | 109:18 | Glassman 57:7 |
| filed 36:12,14 | 138:20 | 32:20 55:15 | further 13:11 | 57:8 65:5,9 |
| 37:16,21 | five-story 122:8 | 74:13 86:19 | 32:19 55:14 | 66:18,20 |


| glazed 49:9,13 | governmental | 101:13 103:13 | 114:15 117:14 | heat 93:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| glazing 52:10 | 37:1 | 106:11 | happy 46:13 | height 17:1 |
| 77:1 | Governor 3:11 | gross 9:3 89:17 | 84:20 129:22 | 76:22 92:21 |
| global 47:5 | graciously 27:14 | 101:22 | hard 124:5,10 | 100:10 119:8 |
| go 7:14 11:9 | grade 59:2 | ground 17:8 | 130:22 | 121:8,9,10 |
| 13:14 20:21 | 91:18 119:19 | 126:2 | hardship 127:10 | 135:12 |
| 21:5 24:8,11 | 119:21 120:1,3 | ground-level | 127:11,20,21 | Helen 23:1,15 |
| 32:22 42:16 | 120:5 121:21 | 108:12 | 128:6,11 | 25:17,18,18,21 |
| 51:2,5 53:19 | Graham 74:7,8 | grout 22:14 | 131:18,20 | Hello 105:3,3 |
| 63:8,19 64:11 | 74:10 | 33:16 | hardships 128:4 | help 16:17 48:8 |
| 64:12 66:22 | grammar 79:4 | growing 57:15 | harm 27:9 | 99:15 139:3 |
| 69:9,20 70:1 | 79:18 | guess 21:14 | Harry 95:16 | helpful 28:10 |
| 89:11 96:16 | Grams 129:1 | 30:11,13 49:19 | 101:5 102:18 | 68:12 139:14 |
| 97:1 105:1 | grant 13:3 14:5 | 61:14 63:5 | Harry's 100:15 | hereunto 141:12 |
| 110:5 113:12 | 32:9 45:20 | 68:8 84:22 | Hart 128:19 | Hi 28:2 105:3 |
| 113:20 114:6 | 46:1 53:12 | 98:19 109:13 | hat 63:15 | 134:13 |
| 117:14 120:9 | 54:22 63:21 | 110:13,18 | hatched 76:20 | Hidalgo 1:9 |
| 120:17 125:18 | 73:22 74:4 | guests 105:18 | Haute 136:14 | 38:22 40:4 |
| 131:15 134:21 | 85:20 109:21 | guidance 42:12 | haven't 31:12 | 42:18 56:14 |
| 134:22 137:9 | 118:11 131:6 | Guideline 43:22 | hazard 13:18,21 | 57:4 66:11 |
| goal 77:18 78:2 | 132:17 137:3 | 48:16 | 26:12 55:21 | 68:4 75:9 76:4 |
| goes 24:15 58:10 | 138:10 | guidelines 8:19 | 56:2 64:19 | 84:20 87:17 |
| 95:18 101:19 | granted 14:17 | 11:7 12:1 | 74:22 87:7 | 89:4 97:20 |
| 126:22 | 34:15 35:17 | 26:16,21 27:10 | 137:19,22 | 98:7,10 110:6 |
| going 24:11, 13 | 36:18,22 37:8 | 27:12,18 30:14 | head 125:7 | 112:20 113:4 |
| 30:15 48:4 | 39:11 40:18 | 48:12 51:14 | headroom 119:4 | 115:21 117:4 |
| 49:22 53:9 | 46:2 56:21 | 52:9 | 119:9,14 | 129:18 132:21 |
| 67:1 80:6 | 66:17 75:16 |  | heads 17:4 | 134:4 138:15 |
| 85:15 96:19 | 88:3,3 96:4 | H | health 13:22 | high 8:9 49:2,9 |
| 101:4,6 105:7 | 98:3 102:4 | habitable | 26:13 27:9 | 49:21 80:6 |
| 111:12,18 | 127:16 132:3 | 136:17 | 36:3 56:3 | 81:22 |
| 113:11,12,13 | 133:7 138:21 | half 92:12,16 | 64:20 75:1 | higher 92:21 |
| 114:5 121:19 | granting 38:9 | 108:4 | 87:8 138:1 | 121:13 |
| 139:17 | 40:21 74:4 | hand $12: 11,12$ | hear 6:17 12:16 | history 41:16 |
| $\operatorname{good} 15: 18$ | graystone 18:10 | 25:9,11 52:22 | 25:14,19 28:2 | 89:22 90:1,17 |
| 20:19 30:13 | 21:21 32:5 | 53:2 61:2,3 | 28:7 53:5 61:7 | 91:1 95:18 |
| 40:7 49:6 | 33:7 | 73:11,13 83:2 | 73:16 83:7,11 | 110:21 111:22 |
| 51:16 54:14 | great 6:10 15:15 | 83:3 104:13,15 | 83:13 105:3 | hm 64:11 |
| 57:7 76:7 89:7 | 16:10 24:18 | 104:18 117:12 | 110:18 129:11 | hold 24:19 27:16 |
| 100:19 117:22 | 27:15 29:5 | 129:7,8 136:4 | 136:9 | 101:2 |
| 127:17 132:4 | 48:16 91:11 | 136:6 141:12 | heard 31:12 | home 57:14 |
| 133:9 139:22 | 95:7 98:10 | handed 27:1 | 51:7 65:9 | 65:12 66:2 |
| Goodnight 6:9 | 117:17 125:17 | hang 63:15 | hearing 1:3 2:5 | 77:19 101:11 |
| 66:21,22 140:2 | greater 92:10 | 94:11 104:20 | 2:7,8 40:17 | 103:1,6 106:1 |
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| 123:5 134:14 | 111:7 | increase 54:2 | intended 30:19 | 85:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| hope 30:15, 16 | Identity 5:7 | 59:21 89:16 | intensity 107:9 | item 22:7 |
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| horse 94:21,22 | 57:20 85:12 | increases 66:2 | intention 128:13 |  |
| house 8:15 9:2 | imitating 121:22 | increasingly | interested 68:19 | J |
| 43:13 44:10,20 | impact 17:10 | 57:14 | 110:18 141:10 | Jane 81:7 83:15 |
| 46:14 57:20 | 34:22 35:6 | individuals | interests 130:22 | January 18:17 |
| 58:9,13 59:1,5 | 100:3,10 | 38:12 | interfere 18:9 | Jeff 76:8 |
| 59:22 61:16 | impair 14:1 56:5 | inflow 59:5 | interior 119:22 | Jenny 104:1 |
| 68:16,17,18,19 | 62:5 63:16 | information | 121:6 127:3 | Jiang 7:12 |
| 68:22 69:6,15 | 64:22 75:3 | 92:1 | interrupt 21:21 | Jim 1:7 3:4,6,8 |
| 71:15 72:4,18 | 87:10 138:3 | informed 104:6 | 33:6 | 4:16,16 5:3,5 |
| 72:19 78:8,9 | impairing 63:9 | infringe 81:11 | interruption | 5:18,20,22 6:3 |
| 78:11 89:12,13 | impairment | 84:4,7 | 94:18 | 6:3,5,9,11,13 |
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| 97:12,13 100:7 | important 45:17 | 34:19 55:12 | 99:10 | 10:5,8,11 11:1 |
| 108:1 117:19 | 93:12 | 64:6 74:10 | introduce 7:10 | 11:5,9,16,19 |
| 121:3 122:15 | imposed 35:16 | 86:17 132:11 | 85:3 | 11:21 12:3 |
| 126:4 134:19 | improve 85:15 | initially 108:20 | intruding 49:3 | 14:8,10,12,14 |
| 135:2,5,15 | 94:3 | 108:21 | intrusion 29:2 | 14:14,16 15:3 |
| 136:16 | in-law 118:7 | inside 17:4 | 64:2 86:5 | 15:5,7,9,12,16 |
| houses 62:10 | 125:4 | Inspectional | 108:8 | 15:22 18:13 |
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| human 27:9 | inclined 85:6,16 | inspector 30:16 | 26:19 27:11 | 23:21 24:2,7 |
| hundred 118:5 | include 21:16 | install 16:13,20 | invited 99:14 | 24:14 25:20 |
| hungs 95:6 | 22:21 58:21 | 17:3 | involve 127:9 | 27:21 28:3,11 |
| husband 57:10 | included 17:9 | installation 18:6 | 131:18 | 29:6,11 30:19 |
| 76:8 | 22:22 108:6 | 38:1,4 | involved 19:1 | 31:9 32:6 34:9 |
| Hutchinson | includes 38:5 | installations | ipso 36:17 37:8 | 34:11,14 35:21 |
| 2:10 40:6,9 | 46:11 94:1 | 26:9 27:16 | ironically | 36:1 38:19,21 |
| 52:17 55:11 | including 16:7 | 30:9 | 105:14 | 39:1,3,3,5,9,11 |
|  | 36:21 38:12 | installed 38:8 | irreparable | 39:16,17,19 |
| I | 72:12 93:19 | instructions | 97:14 | 40:3,5 41:12 |
| I'll 13:7 | 105:19 | 3:22 4:2 | irrigation 99:5 | 41:14,16 42:1 |
| icon 12:10 25:8 | inconsistent | integrated 23:8 | ISD 30:16 | 42:4,8,21 43:1 |
| 52:21 61:1 | 101:17 107:5 | integrity 14:1 | island 93:15 | 43:5,10,20 |
| 73:10 83:2 | incorporate | 56:5 62:5 63:9 | issue 47:18 49:2 | 44:3 45:7,10 |
| 104:12 129:6 | 13:11 32:19 | 63:16 64:22 | 49:3 80:5 | 45:13,16 46:16 |
| 136:3 | 55:14 64:8 | 75:3 87:10 | 81:16 100:20 | 46:20 47:8,11 |
| idea 51:16 63:1 | 74:12 86:18 | 138:3 | issues 29:1 | 48:6,20 49:17 |
| identical 102:8 | 132:13 | intend 104:7 | 47:21 53:12 | 50:8,18,20 |


| 51:2,5,21 52:1 | 116:4,6 117:3 | 118:15 121:12 | 29:22 30:17 | layout 8:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 56:17 57:3,5 | 124:2,4,11,13 | 109:14 119:2 | 51:11,18 52:5 | 112:1,8 |
| 60:12,19 61:18 | 124:16,19,22 | Keesler 1:8 6:20 | 57:10 62:22 | Lee 7:18,20,20 |
| 62:20 63:6 | 125:7,16 127:5 | 7:4 14:11 15:4 | 63:6,14 68:12 | 9:5,16,20 10:1 |
| 65:7 66:5,8,10 | 128:5,11,15 | 38:20 40:4 | 68:22 69:8,8 | 10:4,7,10 |
| 66:12,14,14,19 | 129:14 130:2 | 56:12 57:4 | 84:22 85:1,4,8 | leeway 31:17 |
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| 78:20 79:2,5 | job 18:1 49:19 | Keim 7:11,11 | known 18:1 | Leiserson 1:9 |
| 79:12,14,16,20 | 136:15 | Kenton 40:10 |  | 3:5 4:14, 15 5:4 |
| 80:13,16,21 | John 104:16,17 | kept 3:21 49:2 | L | 6:1,8,10 |
| 81:15,20 82:2 | 117:8,8,12,14 | 62:12 | label 79:8 | length 77:1 |
| 82:11 83:13 | 117:17 118:21 | Kevin 68:8,9,16 | ladder 24:12 | lengthy 23:2 |
| 84:16 85:17 | 123:4,9,12,15 | 69:14,17,22 | Lai 134:11,13 | Lesley 16:15 |
| 86:12,15 87:16 | 123:17 124:1,3 | 70:2,6,10,17 | 134:14 135:19 | lesson 38:16 |
| 87:18,20,22,22 | 124:9,12,15,18 | 70:21 71:3,6,9 | land 17:11 | let's 14:4 29:2 |
| 88:2,5 89:3,5 | 124:21 125:6 | 71:17,20 72:2 | 127:12,13 | 82:21 86:21 |
| 89:19,22 90:2 | 127:21 128:10 | 72:21 73:4 | 131:22,22 | 120:8 132:16 |
| 90:5,7,9,11,14 | 128:13 130:10 | 74:7 | landing 57:17 | letter 5:6 23:1 |
| 90:22 91:3,6,8 | 130:12 | KIEM 14:18 | 59:14,21 65:13 | 24:14 25:22 |
| 94:9,11,14,18 | joined 117:17 | KIM 7:16,18 | 98:21 | 80:16 82:12 |
| 95:12 99:17 | joining 6:16 | kind 28:12 30:3 | landscape 98:13 | 96:22,22 101:6 |
| 100:12,16,21 | JOSEPH 133:10 | 31:7 45:19 | 99:7,10 | 101:8 106:14 |
| 101:2 104:19 | Josh 125:11,11 | 49:15 50:4,7 | landscaping | letters 12:681:1 |
| 105:1,5 107:13 | 125:17 | 62:3 63:4 | 109:18 | level 8:15 20:22 |
| 107:15,20 | Jue 52:16 | 65:22 114:14 | large 111:21 | 24:4,12 43:6 |
| 108:15 109:12 | Julia 128:21 | 128:6 135:12 | 126:12 | 99:13,13 |
| 109:20 110:2,5 | June 40:19,19 | kinds 30:10 | larger 50:1 | 119:10,15,18 |
| 110:11,12,18 | 40:19 74:10 | 99:12 | 77:12 78:14 | 119:22 120:14 |
| 110:22 111:2 | 141:18 | kitchen 77:21 | 95:5 102:16 | 126:1 |
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| 113:18,20 | Kathy 128:19 | 132:11 | 38:15 40:8 | licenses 16:2,4 |
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| Lin 57:10 | LLC 15:20 40:9 | 103:8 106:5,12 | market 16:10 | 5:3,15 7:3 |
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| 117:18 119:7 | 132:2 | love 34:11 | 24:8,13,18 | 32:7 40:3,16 |
| 120:19 122:11 | location 16:22 | low 119:13 | 30:4 | 46:14 47:22 |
| 124:5,6,10 | 22:8 32:14 | 120:5 126:2 | Massachusetts | 48:6 51:4,18 |
| 135:2 | 33:9 35:21 | 135:12 | 1:5,6 3:10 16:7 | 52:13 53:10 |
| lines 93:9,12,21 | locations 23:8 | lower 18:8,8 | 21:22 22:10 | 54:18 56:8 |
| 118:17 | 38:11 | 21:19,19 32:1 | 33:8,12 141:2 | 57:3,8 60:13 |
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| 97:11,19 99:10 | 97:11 | 83:10,11,14 | 22:12 33:14 | 73:1,20 75:5 |
| 102:7,15 103:8 | longer 97:9 | luck 133:9 | material 22:13 | 76:3 80:22 |
| 103:15 104:2 | 128:2 | Lucky 15:14 | 33:15 | 83:1 84:19 |
| 105:12 106:5 | look 11:2,13 |  | Matkoski 104:4 | 89:3 95:13,19 |
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| 113:15,17,19 | 48:15 50:2 | 121:3,10 122:5 | 116:7 136:1 | 112:18 114:5 |
| Lipson's 101:13 | 59:12 60:15 | maintain 35:5 | Max 58:2 | 115:18 117:3 |
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| 94:22 110:19 | 62:14,16 63:6 | 72:5 78:4 | mechanicals | 45:11 81:6 |
| 120:15 | 79:9 80:9 | 130:20 | 92:20 | 82:19 92:2 |
| livable 92:22 | 118:2 | manage 130:19 | meet 8:18 127:7 | 97:5 103:17 |
| live 68:20 69:1 | looks 11:9 49:11 | Manager 5:7 | 128:13 | 106:18 |
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| 117:19 | lose 19:21 20:3 | Maple 7:21 | meetings 3:12 | 75:5 87:3,12 |
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| 20:18,20 22:6 | 113:13 137:9 | 66:14,19,22 | 132:22 133:2,4 | multilevel 91:19 |
| 24:1,3,8 30:7 | Monday 115:10 | 68:3,5,14 | 133:4,6,9 | multitenant |
| 31:21 34:8,10 | 115:16 | 69:11,16 70:1 | 134:3,5,8,12 | 130:21 |
| 34:13 35:20,22 | monopolizing | 70:7,11,14,18 | 135:17,20 | mute 3:21 12:12 |
| 39:13 | 128:16 | 70:22 71:4,7 | 136:19 137:2 | 25:11 53:2 |
| Michele 141:4 | Monteverde 1:7 | 71:13,18,21 | 138:12,14,16 | 61:4 73:13 |
| middle 11:11 | 3:4,6,8 4:16,16 | 72:7,13,17,22 | 138:18,18,20 | 83:4 104:15 |
| 17:22 | 5:3,5,18,20,22 | 73:5 74:9 75:8 | 139:2,10,13 | 129:8 136:6 |
| Middlesex 141:3 | 6:3,3,5,9,11,13 | 75:10,12,14,14 | 140:3 |  |
| Miller 1:10 3:5 | 6:15,19,21 7:3 | 75:18 76:3,5 | months 35:10 | N |
| 4:12,13 5:4,21 | 7:5,14,17 8:21 | 77:3,6,8 78:17 | mortar 22:14 | N 2:1 3:1 |
| 7:4 14:13 15:4 | 9:6,19,22 10:2 | 78:20 79:2,5 | 33:16 | name 3:7,19 |
| 15:7,11,15 | 10:5,8,11 11:1 | 79:12,14,16,20 | motion 5:12,13 | 7:10,11,20 |
| 39:7,8,15,18 | 11:5,9,16,19 | 80:13,16,21 | 6:1,5 13:1,1,3 | 12:15 25:13 |
| mimic 63:1 | 11:21 12:3 | 81:15,20 82:2 | 14:5 32:8,9 | 28:4 39:6 53:4 |
| mind 28:12 | 14:8,10,12,14 | 82:11 83:13 | 54:18,20,22 | 57:8 61:6 |
| 42:15 43:1 | 14:14,16 15:3 | 84:16 85:17 | 62:19 63:18,20 | 73:15 83:6 |
| 50:22 97:3 | 15:5,9,12,16 | 86:12,15 87:16 | 63:21 66:16 | 86:9,15 125:11 |
| 109:22 | 15:22 18:13 | 87:18,20,22,22 | 73:21,22 85:18 | 129:10 134:13 |
| minimal 58:19 | 19:2,7,10 20:6 | 88:2,5 89:3,5 | 85:20 109:11 | 136:8 |
| 62:12 | 20:12,16,19 | 89:19,22 90:2 | 114:9,22 | narrative 42:4 |
| minute 19:13 | 21:4 22:7 | 90:5,7,9,11,14 | 115:17 129:17 | 70:4 |
| 56:15 63:19 | 23:21 24:2,7 | 90:22 91:3,6,8 | 131:4,5 132:17 | navigate 126:18 |
| minutes 4:3 | 24:14 25:20 | 94:9,11,14,18 | 133:6 136:22 | near 23:17 93:9 |
| 12:17 20:17 | 27:21 28:3,11 | 95:12 99:17 | 137:3 138:9 | 120:5 121:21 |
| 25:15 53:6 | 29:6,11 30:19 | 100:12,16,21 | mounted 16:21 | nearby 38:12,13 |
| 61:8 73:17 | 31:9 32:6 34:9 | 101:2 104:19 | 21:18 22:10 | nearly 18:7 |
| 83:8 94:12 | 34:11,14 35:21 | 105:1,5 107:13 | 33:3,11 | necessarily |
| 129:12 136:10 | 36:1 38:19,21 | 107:15,20 | mounting 21:17 | 121:22 |
| miscalculation | 39:1,3,3,5,9,11 | 108:15 109:20 | 22:11 33:2,13 | need 9:2,11,13 |
| 102:14 | 39:17,19 40:3 | 110:2,5,12,22 | mounts 23:6 | 9:15 10:6,6,14 |
| Mm-hm 11:4,15 | 40:5 41:12,14 | 111:2 112:11 | move 12:4 13:1 | 46:1 63:15 |
| 42:3 90:22 | 41:16 42:1,4,8 | 112:14,16,18 | 19:15,20 31:20 | 71:14 74:4 |
| 107:14 114:4 | 42:21 43:1,5 | 113:1,3,5,7,7 | 32:8 54:18 | 86:3 94:14 |
| moderates | 43:10,20 44:3 | 113:11,18,20 | 62:19 63:14 | 109:14 118:4 |
| 93:15 | 45:7,10, 13,16 | 114:4,9,20,22 | 73:20 85:18 | 122:20 124:16 |
| modern 128:3 | 46:16,20 47:8 | 115:20,22 | 91:14 92:14 | 124:19 127:22 |
| modernize | 47:11 48:6,20 | 116:2,4,4,6 | 94:5 131:4 | 131:15 |
| 136:17 | 49:17 50:8,18 | 117:3,5,10,13 | 134:17,17 | needed 53:12 |
| modest 65:11 | 50:20 51:2,5 | 117:15 123:1,8 | 135:7,11,13 | 72:10 78:15 |
| 66:2 105:21 | 51:21 52:1 | 123:11,13,16 | moved 22:3 | 119:4 125:3 |
| modification | 53:19 54:1,3,6 | 123:18 124:2,4 | 49:21 72:12 | needs 21:2 23:19 |


| 68:21 102:17 | 41:19 48:2,9 | normally 50:3 | occupy 95:22 | 117:13 124:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 124:8 | 54:15 55:4 | north 8:2,4,7 | 107:3 | 124:22 125:17 |
| negative 111:6 | 59:14,19,20,21 | 11:2,12,13,16 | occurred 37:6 | 128:5,12,15 |
| negligible 17:10 | 73:676:21 | Notary 141:4,16 | October 13:9 | 130:6 131:3 |
| negotiations | 110:15 111:13 | note 32:16 43:7 | 23:2 64:6 | 135:17,17 |
| 53:15 | 115:3,3,13 | 73:5 | 102:19 103:20 | 139:21 |
| neighbor 43:16 | 121:4 123:21 | noted 27:14 | 104:4 128:20 | old 45:21 47:12 |
| 49:21 51:9 | 123:22 124:1,8 | notes 31:2 | offer 28:14 | 58:22 68:18 |
| 53:16 62:2 | 128:14 | notice 37:14 | 34:12 | 120:2 139:5 |
| 80:1 81:2 | Newton 7:22 | notification 37:4 | offered 28:14 | Oliver 99:22 |
| 93:17 128:22 | Ng 1:7 3:4 4:10 | notify $36: 20$ | offers 23:3,4 | Olivia 1:14 4:8 |
| neighbor's 78:9 | 4:11 5:3,17 7:3 | November 1:3 | Office 46:7 | 4:10,12,14 |
| neighborhood | 10:20 11:4,8 | 3:6 81:4,8 | oh 6:16 15:14 | 11:10 25:17 |
| 28:16 60:10 | 11:15,18,20 | 95:17 101:5 | 39:5,5 72:17 | 28:1 40:12,15 |
| 65:15 66:3 | 12:2 14:7 15:3 | 112:11 | 101:1 104:5,19 | 42:15 68:13 |
| 93:19,20 | 38:18 40:3 | nuisance 13:21 | 105:6 110:3,4 | 76:14 83:10 |
| 101:14 | 50:5 56:10 | 26:12 56:2 | 117:10 130:10 | 89:12 91:21 |
| neighborhood's | 57:3 66:7 68:3 | 64:19 74:22 | 130:11 134:9 | 104:16,21 |
| 111:20 | 73:2 75:13 | 87:7 137:22 | Ok 124:15 | 105:2 112:15 |
| neighboring | 76:3 85:7 | nullifying | okay 6:21 7:9 | 113:19 114:3 |
| 40:22 | 87:21 89:3 | 127:17 132:4 | 10:11 11:8,20 | 115:7 125:18 |
| neighborly | 112:22 114:8 | number 4:4 | 12:3 15:11,15 | 136:12 139:4,8 |
| 130:17 | 114:11,21 | 21:11 82:4 | 15:16 19:7,7 | 139:11,14 |
| neighbors 49:1 | 116:3 117:3 | 96:5 106:22 | 19:10 20:6 | Olivia's 76:15 |
| 49:4 51:17,20 | 133:3 134:3 | 118:22 | 21:4 24:14 | once 85:2 |
| 52:7 80:1 81:5 | 137:1 138:11 | number's 69:19 | 29:7 30:7,14 | one- 108:12 |
| 82:14 84:20 | NGO 7:11,16,18 | numbers 70:3 | 32:6 34:10,13 | one-bedroom |
| 93:5,11 94:21 | 13:7 14:18 | 70:11 | 36:2 39:20 | 89:17 90:19 |
| 98:22 99:14 | nice 47:13 |  | 40:15 42:7 | one-floor 91:15 |
| 108:9 109:6,16 | 139:22 | 0 | 45:14 46:20 | one-story 76:18 |
| 113:10 114:18 | night 6:7,10 | O 3:1 | 47:11,13,15 | 104:7 |
| 121:21 128:20 | 139:22 | objected 96:17 | 48:4 51:5 | ones 32:17,22 |
| 129:2 130:15 | no-step 126:3 | objecting 99:22 | 52:15 53:9 | 48:15 82:19 |
| 135:3 | noncompliant | objection 31:12 | 54:11,13,15 | 94:22 |
| neighbors' | 9:6,7,8 | 111:20 | 60:20 70:18 | ongoing 36:5 |
| 44:11 77:20 | nonconforming | objection/con... | 71:7,13,21,21 | 39:19 |
| 98:12 | 10:12 55:7 | 81:10 | 72:17,22 77:6 | online 139:9 |
| neither 50:12 | 58:1,2,5,19 | objections 104:8 | 77:8 79:16,20 | OoDD 13:9 |
| 103:2 106:2 | 69:6 86:1 92:7 | 109:5,6,7 | 79:21 80:13,16 | open 12:7 25:6 |
| 141:8 | 118:4 120:20 | objective 114:14 | 80:17,22 82:2 | 48:4 52:18 |
| network 16:6,13 | 134:18 135:16 | observation | 82:11,20 83:14 | 59:7 60:4,8,9 |
| neutral 100:5 | 137:7 | 48:18 | 86:15 91:5,11 | 60:21 73:7 |
| never 92:21 | nonconformity | obtain 46:9 | 94:19,19 98:7 | 82:22 92:9 |
| Nevermind | 58:6 | obtained 115:7 | 100:21 104:19 | 93:3 95:13 |
| 104:21 | nonliving 108:3 | obviously 21:1 | 110:2,12 | 99:20 100:3 |
| new 10:15 15:19 | Nope 61:11 73:7 | 42:14 | 112:11,14 | 101:14 104:10 |
| 16:9,12 17:15 | 80:19,22 101:2 | occupant 26:14 | 113:1,3,7 | 110:15 121:1 |
| 37:13,18 41:10 | 128:17 | occupants $38: 13$ | 114:4,20 | 122:15 129:4 |


| 136:1 | 68:18 72:5,10 | 104:21,22 | 137:18 | 74:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| opening 44:21 | 108:1,6 | 105:1,3,6 | Paul 5:6 | perplexed 29:12 |
| 49:6,11,13 | originally 90:17 | 107:14 | Pause 7:8 12:20 | person 21:10 |
| openings 52:8 | 97:6 103:18 | panel 8:17 16:21 | 46:19 47:14 | 101:8 130:22 |
| 53:14 55:4 | 106:20 135:5 | 49:15 | 52:14 53:8 | personally |
| operate 16:5 | outcome 141:10 | panelist 40:12 | 60:14 61:10 | 47:16 49:18 |
| operation 13:19 | outdated 58:22 | 40:13 117:19 | 94:17 125:20 | persons 38:4 |
| 55:22 64:17 | outdoor 114:17 | paperwork | PCS 15:20 | perspective |
| 74:19 87:5 | outside 106:19 | 114:6 | peeking 60:3 | 17:12 |
| 137:20 | outweighs | paragraph | people 66:1 | pertaining |
| opinion 114:12 | 128:11 | 82:11 101:19 | 68:18 139:6 | 26:17 |
| opportunity | overall 28:16 | 102:11 125:2 | percent 48:12 | petition 35:19 |
| 114:12 | 91:13 | paragraphs | 49:12 | 37:19 38:2 |
| oppose 102:20 | overlooks 100:8 | 37:12,17 103:7 | perimeter 99:4 | 105:21 107:19 |
| 105:9 | owing 127:11 | parking 119:21 | period 35:9 | 108:6,10,17,18 |
| opposed 66:14 | 131:20 | part 11:11 13:12 | 37:20 | 111:4,5 |
| 100:6 128:18 | owned 16:14 | 16:12 32:20 | permissible | petitioner 34:15 |
| opposite 44:5 | owner 40:22 | 55:15 58:21 | 26:18 | 34:18 35:4,8 |
| 61:19 | 83:15 91:15 | 62:1 64:9 69:1 | permission | 35:14,17 36:7 |
| opposition 12:7 | 95:20,22 96:8 | 74:13 86:19 | 118:12 | 36:20 37:3,13 |
| Orchard 2:14 | 128:21 | 90:17 91:8,13 | permit 13:2,14 | 38:2,5 40:9 |
| 88:6 89:6,10 | owners 57:9,13 | 92:22 95:4 | 14:3,17 17:18 | 115:2,5 131:19 |
| 100:1 101:9 | 68:9 81:8 95:1 | 98:4 122:5,5 | 32:11 34:15 | petitioner's 37:9 |
| 103:21 110:17 | 130:5 | 132:14 134:18 | 36:6,18,21 | petitions 37:20 |
| 139:5 | owns 102:15 | 135:8,14 | 37:8,11,14,17 | phone 12:11 |
| order 26:11 41:5 | 106:6 | Participants | 38:1,9 39:11 | 25:10 53:1 |
| 57:12 119:9,20 |  | 61:1 | 40:18,21 42:5 | 61:3 73:12 |
| 123:9 124:9 | P | participation | 45:20,21 46:9 | 83:3 104:14 |
| 128:3 | P 3:1 | 3:11 | 47:1,17 54:19 | 129:7 130:8 |
| ordinance 10:3 | p.m 1:4 3:3 5:2 | particular 50:5 | 55:9,17 56:7 | 136:5 |
| 10:17 13:4,16 | 7:2 15:2 40:2 | 50:13 83:18 | 56:20 57:12 | phonetic 5:7,7 |
| 28:20 29:4 | 57:2 68:2 76:2 | 95:15 101:12 | 58:7,10 63:7 | 28:1 60:4 |
| 32:10 55:1,18 | 89:2 115:4,16 | 109:15 | 63:18 64:3,13 | photo 17:9 |
| 63:8,22 64:14 | 117:2 134:2 | particularly | 66:17 68:14 | 18:18 29:22 |
| 65:2 66:1 74:1 | 140:5 | 109:13 | 69:3,4 73:21 | 30:1 35:1,6 |
| 74:16,20 85:21 | Pacheco 115:7 | particulars | 74:14 75:4 | photographic |
| 87:2 93:3 | package 18:16 | 122:21 | 76:10 85:19 | 23:19 |
| 108:18,19 | Paden 136:12,13 | parties 80:7 | 86:2,4,21 | photographs |
| 127:9,19 | 136:13 | 141:9 | 87:11 88:3 | 46:14 61:22 |
| 128:14 129:21 | page 2:2 70:4 | pass 111:12 | 89:10 108:11 | 73:2 96:13 |
| 131:6,18 132:6 | 85:12 120:9 | passed 72:18 | 109:21 123:7 | 109:2 111:14 |
| 137:4,16 | 121:11 122:11 | path 77:10 78:6 | 134:17 135:21 | physical 34:22 |
| ordinances 92:3 | pages 27:8 120:8 | pathway 78:5 | 137:8,15 138:6 | 35:5 |
| orientation 13:5 | paint 22:11 | patio 59:20 | 138:21 | physically 126:8 |
| 71:19 | 33:13 | 77:11 | permits 35:17 | picture 29:21 |
| oriented 122:14 | painted 16:22 | pattern 22:14 | 46:2 | 80:8 89:12 |
| original 2:5,7,8 | Pam 100:14 | 33:16 | permitted 46:22 | 90:13 119:7 |
| 32:2 51:7 | Pamela 102:19 | patterns 74:17 | 70:19 71:4 | 120:10 |


| piece 23:2 52:15 | planting 93:8 | possibilities | previous 11:10 | promised 29:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pieces 42:6,11 | plantings 93:17 | 99:11 | 35:16 40:18 | promoted 120:3 |
| 54:6 99:21 | 94:2 99:16 | possibility 85:2 | 41:17 47:17 | promptly 35:10 |
| 128:17 | platform 17:6 | 91:20 | 51:7 90:15 | 35:11 |
| place 63:7 65:20 | 23:17 | possible 21:18 | 109:1,1 139:15 | properties 55:12 |
| 69:3 72:16 | please 5:15 | 22:4 23:9 33:3 | previously 11:5 | 122:13 |
| 85:14 91:16 | 11:10 14:4 | 126:2 | 25:22 35:7 | property 41:1 |
| 96:1,8 102:13 | 15:22 44:9,18 | posting 115:2 | 45:1 53:12 | 51:12 76:9 |
| 102:17 103:12 | 45:5 46:17 | posts 58:22 | 96:15 | 77:20 80:11 |
| 105:17 106:10 | 57:19 58:11,16 | power 126:17 | primarily 109:6 | 82:7,15 83:15 |
| 114:14 120:20 | 58:20 59:3,10 | practical 35:13 | prime 51:12 | 83:18,22 84:4 |
| 125:4,8 126:5 | 59:16 60:1,6 | precedent 110:7 | prior 35:12 52:1 | 84:4,10,13 |
| 126:13,17 | 65:8 75:5 | 110:19 | 115:16 | 90:11 93:9 |
| placement 82:13 | 87:13 91:21 | preexisting 55:6 | privacy 43:18 | 95:6 97:15 |
| 94:8 | 93:1 94:5 | 118:12 123:10 | 49:3,20 50:2 | 99:13 102:16 |
| placements | 107:21 115:18 | preferred 63:13 | 51:11 52:7 | 105:13 110:16 |
| 78:16 | 127:20 138:9 | prejudice 5:11 | 78:3 80:5,7 | 118:1,3 119:7 |
| plan 9:9 50:16 | pleased 17:12 | 5:14 6:6 | 81:11,16 84:4 | 120:10,18,19 |
| 58:12 59:7 | 18:5 44:12 | prepared 13:8 | 85:3,15 93:6 | 122:14,16 |
| 60:7 62:14 | plenty 130:15 | 46:22 55:11 | 93:11,12,16 | 124:5,6 135:2 |
| 72:9,10,15 | plot 78:12 | 64:5 74:6 86:9 | 98:12 99:6 | proponent |
| 78:12 91:14,21 | plumbing 92:19 | 86:13 132:11 | 100:10,20 | 15:17 31:12 |
| 99:7 102:12 | plus 9:18 42:10 | present 4:9,11 | 101:12 109:6,7 | 52:3 96:3,7,17 |
| 110:6,14 120:7 | 129:20 | 4:13,15,16 | private 93:3,7 | 109:3 112:12 |
| 125:19 | Plympton 28:5 | 6:18,20 8:8,22 | probably 26:1 | 134:10 |
| plane 119:16 | point 25:2 45:19 | 15:17 19:8 | 42:5 114:5 | proponent's |
| planned 93:22 | 48:19 54:7 | 26:11 | 134:21 | 36:10 |
| planning 17:11 | 63:5 121:14 | presentation | problem 28:7 | proposal 23:5,6 |
| 18:4,15 19:19 | pointing 48:17 | 23:21 45:9 | 41:18 49:20 | 41:5,8 43:13 |
| 20:7,11,13 | points 20:1 | 48:3 60:11 | 50:2 | 76:21 81:19 |
| 21:6,9,11,15 | poles 22:11 | 62:172:22 | problems 25:2 | 87:3 96:14 |
| 22:20 23:13 | 33:13 | 80:18 91:4 | 110:10 | 100:2 101:17 |
| 28:11,13 29:1 | poor 68:17 79:4 | 95:10 | proceed 15:21 | 101:21 102:1,8 |
| 31:1,13 32:17 | porch 57:13,16 | presented 8:3 | 34:17 91:3 | 103:16 106:13 |
| 32:22 33:21 | 57:22 59:6,12 | 28:6 114:2 | 112:8 | 107:4 118:20 |
| 34:3 35:2 | 60:2 61:15 | presenter 68:8 | proceedings | proposals 29:13 |
| 98:20 | 62:4,13,22 | presenting 47:2 | 3:17 140:5 | 110:21 |
| plans 18:20,21 | 63:2,14 121:2 | 76:9 | 141:7 | Propose 23:16 |
| 19:1 34:18,19 | porches 62:11 | pressing 12:12 | process 30:12 | proposed 11:2 |
| 42:10,14,15,20 | 65:17 | 12:13 25:11,11 | 45:19 | 13:6 17:1,11 |
| 43:5 44:7,13 | portion 30:3 | 53:2,2 61:3,4 | project 5:7 7:21 | 18:3 22:3 |
| 45:4 46:4 | 49:9 59:1 | 73:13,13 83:4 | 8:1 29:16 41:2 | 23:19 26:14 |
| 51:19 58:21 | 76:18,19 97:16 | 83:4 104:15,15 | 42:13 78:14,14 | 32:11 34:22 |
| 68:11 82:16 | position 40:13 | 129:8,8 136:6 | 95:20 128:21 | 35:5 43:6,15 |
| 98:15 102:3 | 84:14,15 | 136:6 | 128:22 130:14 | 45:1 55:10 |
| 104:2 121:6 | positive 40:20 | pretty 26:3 | 135:14 | 56:4 64:4,21 |
| planters 93:8 | 128:6,7,9 | 65:12 68:18 | projected 97:8 | 69:19,22 74:5 |
| 99:3,5 109:17 | 132:8 | 96:22 | projecting 21:1 | 75:2 76:16,20 |


| 77:15 81:5,22 | 136:2,2,20 | quickly 40:22 | 101:20 102:10 | 96:5 102:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:8 87:9 | 141:4,16 | quietly 26:19 | 107:1 111:11 | 105:9 138:2 |
| 89:17 91:14 | pull 29:22 | quirks 120:18 | 112:1139:15 | rebuilding |
| 92:2,6,15,18 | pulling 41:4 | quite 30:2,9 | 139:16,20 | 120:20 |
| 93:2,14,18 | pulls 32:4 | 68:17 114:13 | readily $102: 16$ | recall 96:11 |
| 94:1 95:4,4,5,9 | purchased | quote 26:15 | reading 25:22 | receipt 37:3,22 |
| 101:11 109:17 | 97:14 | R | 28:12 50:16 | received 99:19 |
| 131:9 132:9 | purpose 63:8 | R | 101:3 111:22 | recessed 9:17 |
| 138:2 | 65:1 127:18 | R 3:1 | 125:1 | 17:6 24:11 |
| proposes 17:3 | 129:21 132:5 | radiation 27:6 | reads 78:20 | 61:15 124:9,13 |
| proposing 16:20 | pursuant 3:9 | 38:15 | ready 54:20,21 | recesses 23:10 |
| 77:16 135:4,7 | 17:18,22 37:12 | Radio 17:3 | 63:18,19 | recommend |
| 135:11 | 37:17 38:8 | radiofrequency | 109:10 114:7 | 49:19 |
| protected 38:14 | purview 63:3 | 16:19 24:16 | 117:15 129:17 | recommended |
| protections | pushes 32:4 62:7 | 25:2 26:17,20 | 136:22 137:1,2 | 19:19 |
| 38:12 | pushing 62:16 | 27:6 38:14 | real 30:1 114:11 | record 20:12 |
| protrude 17:1 | put 17:15 24:13 | railing 43:6,8,14 | realize 41:9 | 24:22 30:16 |
| proud 28:18 | 68:12 77:16 | 43:21 | really $10: 14,16$ | 31:2,5 33:1 |
| provide 91:15 | 86:15,16,16 | raise 12:11,12 | 11:22 47:19,22 | 34:4 45:14,15 |
| 91:19 93:16 | 89:12 97:16 | 25:9,10 52:22 | 49:18 51:12 | 47:12 113:12 |
| 99:3 121:21 | 104:21 105:19 | 53:1 61:2,3 | 53:13 54:8 | 141:6 |
| 125:4 | 117:21 118:16 | 73:11,12 83:2 | 63:3 65:20,22 | recorded 3:13 |
| provided 30:21 | 139:4 | 83:3 104:13,14 | 69:4 71:13 | 34:6 45:22 |
| 37:14 126:3 | putting 42:15 | 104:18 129:6,7 | 72:20 74:1,2,3 | 46:7 113:21 |
| providing 128:8 | 137:13 | 136:4,5 | 79:6 82:17 | rectangle 58:13 |
| 132:7 |  | raised 91:18 | 101:3 109:18 | red 22:9 33:11 |
| provision | $\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\text { quality } 94.3}$ | 110:11 | 119:2,14 120:1 | 58:13 70:3 |
| 118:11 | quality 94:3 | ramp 126:3 | 120:2,7 121:11 | reduce 101:12 |
| provisions 127:9 | question 19:14 | Ranjit 98:8 | 137:4 | 101:13 103:13 |
| 131:17 | 76:17 77:11 | 113:16,19 | rear 44:10 70:22 | 106:11 111:19 |
| proximity 82:12 | 78:18 98:11,19 | 114:3 | 76:16 77:3 | reduced 90:18 |
| 84:11 | 124:22 127:6 | Ratay 1:14 4:8 | 78:10 79:7,14 | 96:9 97:11,12 |
| public 3:18,21 | 130:5 139:3 | 4:10,12,14 | 82:2 86:5 | 97:17 108:22 |
| 3:22 4:1 12:4,7 | questioning | 25:17 28:1 | 89:14,18,20 | 111:14 |
| 12:9,21 21:5 | 30:13 | 40:15 83:10 | 91:18 119:21 | Reed 2:11 57:6 |
| 21:12 25:6,7 | questions 10:19 | 104:16,21 | 120:12,19,22 | 57:9 64:5 |
| 27:13 29:7 | 12:3 18:11,11 | 105:2 112:15 | 121:2,9,12 | reference 22:22 |
| 37:14 52:15,19 | 19:10 21:7 | 115:7 136:12 | 122:7 123:20 | 26:17 52:2 |
| 52:20 53:9 | 40:14 46:13 | 139:8,11 | 123:22 131:12 | 95:19 |
| 60:21,22 61:11 | 48:2,4,6 52:13 | ratio 92:9 | reason 48:14 | referred 18:14 |
| 61:12 65:7 | 60:12 72:3 | 110:15 | reasonable 37:6 | 35:7 97:22 |
| 73:8,9,19 83:1 | 73:1 80:19,21 | reach 41:3 | 37:15 65:21 | referring 69:11 |
| 83:1 84:17,17 | 95:11 97:3 | reached 51:9 | 85:6 | refers 24:16 |
| 99:18,20 | 99:17 123:1 | 80:3 130:18 | reasonably | 46:4 |
| 104:10,11 | 128:15,16 | read 21:13 23:5 | 35:13 | reflect 115:3 |
| 107:15,16 | 135:20 | 47:4 80:17 | reasons 14:1 | regard 35:16 |
| 127:17 129:4,5 | quick 30:1 78:17 | 85:21 95:14,14 | 56:4 64:21 | 36:1 37:1 |
| 129:15 132:4 | 114:11 | 96:14 99:18 | 75:2 85:5 87:9 | 58:14 |


| regarding 5:9 | 88:2 89:16 | repetitive 37:19 | research 113:14 | revised 18:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:9 76:10 | 109:9 118:9 | 37:20 108:17 | resembles | 19:4,5 26:1 |
| registered | 123:3 124:8,16 | 108:18 110:21 | 111:17 | 30:21 31:5 |
| 113:22 | 124:19 127:16 | 111:4,5 | reside 104:5,5 | 32:13 34:1,19 |
| Registry 45:22 | 131:6 132:3,17 | replace 44:15 | Residence | 35:1 41:7 |
| 46:8 | 137:3,10 | replaced 32:16 | 132:10 | revoked 37:10 |
| regular 2:9 | 138:10 | replacing 59:1 | resident 28:4 | Rhatigan 40:6,7 |
| 39:21 40:5 | relocate 76:12 | 59:19 78:22 | residential | 40:8,16 41:13 |
| 82:19 | relocated 23:9 | 94:1 | 29:15 127:3 | 41:15,22 42:3 |
| regulation 28:9 | 127:1 | replicate $22: 14$ | residents 13:8 | 42:7,12,19,22 |
| regulations | relocation 55:8 | 33:16 | 38:13 126:18 | 43:4,9,11,21 |
| 26:16 37:1 | 78:21 | report 18:5 36:8 | resides 101:8 | 44:4 45:8,12 |
| 101:18 106:21 | remain 35:6 | 36:12,14,16 | resolution 31:14 | 45:15,17 46:18 |
| 107:6 | 58:9 100:5 | 37:5 | resolved 121:17 | 47:7,10 48:5 |
| rehabilitation | 102:5 | repositioning | respect 82:14 | 50:15,17,19,22 |
| 52:18 | remanded 27:10 | 82:18 | 93:7 | 51:3,6,22 |
| Reilley 68:8,9 | remember | represent 107:8 | respectful 94:21 | 53:18,20 54:2 |
| 68:16 69:13,14 | 48:21 84:2 | 130:22 | respects $35: 15$ | 54:4,10,12,16 |
| 69:17,21,22 | reminiscent | representation | respond 27:8 | 56:22 |
| 70:2,3,6,9,10 | 94:22 | 29:22 30:1 | 65:5 80:14 | right 6:15 9:10 |
| 70:16,17,20,21 | remiss 26:10 | representing | responses 18:15 | 13:1 24:7,9 |
| 71:2,3,5,6,8,9 | remote 1:4 3:11 | 40:8 57:9 | responsibility | 28:18 29:21 |
| 71:16,17,20 | 4:2 17:3 | request 17:21 | 38:7 | 30:11 32:6 |
| 72:1,2,4,8,14 | remove 35:11 | 44:1 48:12 | restoration 72:8 | 35:18 39:20 |
| 72:20,21 74:7 | 44:15 78:2 | 68:11,15 | restore 35:12 | 43:8,20 44:20 |
| REILLEYS | 123:7 | 108:22 123:5 | restoring 136:15 | 48:10 49:17 |
| 73:4 | removed 35:10 | requested 46:12 | restricted 107:7 | 50:16 59:12,13 |
| reject 31:19 | 41:9 42:11 | requesting 5:10 | restrictions | 60:4 62:4,7 |
| 111:18 | 44:17 45:3 | 51:19 66:2 | 103:3 106:3 | 65:17 69:12,16 |
| rejected 102:2,9 | 49:1 97:15 | 80:6 123:3 | resubmitted | 71:6,15,16,19 |
| related 141:8 | removing 41:4 | require 45:11 | 18:19 | 73:4,19 74:3 |
| relates 127:21 | 43:3 58:22 | required 10:16 | resulted 119:6 | 77:19 79:2,9 |
| relating 127:11 | 59:18 137:12 | 58:12 92:7,10 | resulting 119:6 | 79:21 81:20 |
| 131:20 | renovate 82:14 | 120:16 | results 27:18 | 82:21 85:17 |
| relax 118:9 | 117:21 127:22 | requirement | return 115:9 | 91:7,11 94:15 |
| relaxing 118:12 | 128:1 | 10:3 115:6 | returning 110:8 | 95:12 114:10 |
| relevant 128:2 | renovated | requirements | 118:2 | 120:3 121:12 |
| relief 7:17 9:1 | 105:16 | 13:4,15 26:8 | returns 103:15 | 121:20 126:17 |
| 9:11,13,15 | renovation 59:4 | 32:10 36:22 | 106:13 | 137:11 139:2 |
| 10:6,8,14 13:3 | 72:9 81:4 | 55:1,2,18 | reveal 50:7 | 139:13 140:2,3 |
| 14:5,17 17:22 | 123:14 135:8 | 63:22 64:1,14 | review 27:17 | right-side 60:2 |
| 32:9 45:11 | 135:14 | 71:10 74:1,15 | 31:7 42:14 | risers 119:19 |
| 46:4,12 53:12 | rental 77:20 | 85:21 86:5 | 105:7 123:2 | rises 65:19 |
| 54:6,22 63:21 | renting 103:9 | 87:2 92:11 | reviewed 18:3 | Road 129:1 |
| 69:10 71:14 | 106:6,6 | 118:10,17 | 60:7 99:11 | robust 94:2 |
| 73:22 74:4 | reopened 27:11 | 123:6,19 131:6 | reviewing 27:20 | 98:18 |
| 75:16 76:12 | repair 68:17 | 131:11 137:4 | 31:18 41:21 | roll 3:20 6:16 |
| 85:1,8,20 86:4 | 118:4 | 137:16 | reviews 24:20 | 56:8 |


| roof 17:5,7 20:4 | 45:15,17 46:16 | scroll 120:8 | 90:16 118:9 | Shanks 128:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24:9 26:2 28:7 | 46:18 47:7,10 | search 139:6,10 | seeks 102:7 | shape 119:4 |
| 28:18 50:4 | 48:3,5 50:15 | second 13:2 | seen 28:13,17 | 127:12 131:21 |
| 93:2,8,16,20 | 50:17,19,22 | 23:15 26:5 | send 79:17 | Shapiro 95:16 |
| 98:14,16,17,20 | 51:3,6,22 | 46:16 77:9 | sending 11:9 | 101:6 102:18 |
| 99:2,3,4,13 | 52:12 53:18,20 | 100:7 101:2,5 | sense 31:6 49:8 | share 71:22 |
| 100:6,9 103:18 | 54:2,4,8,10,12 | 114:15 119:9 | 93:7 | 98:21 109:12 |
| 105:19 106:18 | 54:16 56:22 | 119:15 120:9 | sensibility 122:4 | 110:13 |
| 109:13 119:5,6 | 117:9 130:8,11 | 124:7 127:1 | sensitive 29:2 | shared 19:6 |
| 119:10,13 | 133:8 | 131:12 135:6 | sent 80:8 100:13 | shed 8:2 10:21 |
| 120:13 121:15 | Sarah's 118:8 | 135:11 137:13 | sentence 106:22 | 119:11 120:2 |
| 131:13 | sat 41:16 47:16 | second-floor | 125:3 | sheet 62:1 92:5 |
| roofs 121:16 | satisfy 41:5 | 43:15 82:3 | sentiment | 120:17 121:9 |
| rooftop 24:11 | save 97:15 | secondary 122:5 | 110:14 130:4 | Sheffield 24:18 |
| room 8:14 | saw 49:14 | Section 13:4 | separate 103:6 | 27:15 |
| 103:16 106:14 | saying 5:8 12:15 | 55:2,6 74:1 | separately 71:4 | Shelly 89:7,8,21 |
| 119:11 | 25:13 46:21 | 86:1 131:10 | separation | 90:1,4,6,8,10 |
| Rosizzi 5:7 | 52:17 53:4 | 137:8 | 130:16 | 90:12,15 91:1 |
| Ross 103:20 | 61:673:15 | Sections 17:22 | September 46:5 | 91:5,7,11 |
| rotating 85:14 | 83:6 98:2 | 32:10 55:1 | 54:9 55:12 | 94:10,13,16 |
| rotted 120:4 | 128:20 129:10 | 63:22 85:22 | 115:1 | 97:8 98:5,8 |
| rotting 97:14,16 | 136:8 | 131:7 137:4,6 | Services 36:8,13 | 99:1 100:14,17 |
| round 47:17 | says 12:11 $25: 9$ | sector 22:3 | 36:17 37:5 | 100:22 112:6 |
| Rudnick 15:20 | 43:8,14 44:21 | see 26:2,3 29:18 | 38:3 115:8,9 | 112:10,13,17 |
| rule 28:9 118:7 | 52:22 61:1,2 | 30:8 31:11 | set 49:10 55:4 | 113:9 114:2 |
| rules 38:10 | 71:14 73:11 | 44:16,21 46:15 | 125:10 141:12 | 116:8 |
| ruling 115:12 | 83:2 85:22 | 47:12 48:1 | setback 9:7,13 | Sherry 99:22 |
| run 42:19 43:11 | 103:7 104:13 | 57:21 58:8 | 9:18 10:15 | 100:18 |
| 86:22 | 105:21 118:11 | 59:4 60:3 | 13:5 53:13,14 | shifted 11:12 |
| S | 127:10 129:6 | 61:22 63:13 | 55:3,5,9 58:5,6 | shopped 99:11 |
| S 3:1 | 136:4 | 70:12 73:6 | 58:12,15 59:7 | show 31:22 32:3 |
| S 3:1 | scale 119:2 | 76:20 77:14 | 59:15 64:2 | 32:17 34:2 |
| Safdie 125:11,12 | 121:20 | 78:7,8,13 | 69:6,7 70:8 | 43:2,3 54:14 |
| 125:17 | scaling 102:3 | 79:22 82:21 | 74:3 77:4,12 | 73:2 |
| safe 26:9 131:1 | scheme 96:9 | 90:13 91:21 | 86:6 108:7 | showed 27:2 |
| safer 57:17 | 111:13,20 | 92:14,18 95:1 | 118:17 123:20 | showing 8:3 |
| safety 13:22 | scientific 36:5 | 95:4 96:13 | 123:22 124:8 | 43:12 44:5,10 |
| 26:13 27:13 | scope 78:14 | 109:2 111:14 | 131:11,12 | 58:12 |
| 38:10 56:3 | Scott 40:10 | 113:21 114:5 | 137:5,6 | shown 42:10 |
| 64:20 75:1 | scratch 47:6,9 | 120:7,8,8,11 | setbacks 10:13 | 44:16 |
| 87:8 138:1 | 125:7 | 120:11,17 | 76:17 78:13 | shows 23:5,6 |
| Samuel 104:1 | screen 9:9 12:10 | 125:14 126:10 | 92:7 108:11 | 41:8 43:7 60:2 |
| Sarah 40:6,7,8 | 25:8 42:16 | 139:21 140:1 | 134:20 | 69:18 85:12 |
| 40:16 41:13,15 | 52:21 61:2 | seeing 28:7 | sets 21:11 | 120:9,14,22 |
| 41:22 42:3,7 | 68:13 73:10 | seeking 7:17 | 110:19 | 121:2,8,9,11 |
| 42:12,19,22 | 104:12 129:6 | 16:13 34:16 | settlement 51:11 | shutters 52:11 |
| 43:4,9,11,21 | 135:1 136:4 | 54:7 57:12 | shades 52:5 | 76:19 |
| 44:4 45:8,12 | screens 45:3 | 58:7 89:16 | shaft 126:17 | sic 115:1 |


| side 8:2,4,5,5,6,7 | 35:1,7 | 58:11,16,20 | 112:2 | 39:11 40:18,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:8,10,14 9:8 | single 22:8 33:9 | 59:3,10,16 | south 8:8,10 9:8 | 42:5 45:20,21 |
| 9:10,12,12,13 | 76:19 77:14 | 60:1,6 76:15 | 9:10,12,16 | 46:2,22 47:1 |
| 9:16 10:22 | single- 98:5 | 134:21,22 | 10:21 11:12 | 47:17 54:19 |
| 11:12,12,13,14 | single-family | 135:4 | 13:5 76:11 | 55:9,17 56:7 |
| 11:16 13:5,5 | 55:7 89:14,18 | slides 42:10 | 80:1 134:19 | 56:20 57:12 |
| 43:15,16,21 | 90:19,21,21 | sliding 44:13 | 135:17 137:11 | 58:7,10 63:7 |
| 44:5,6,13,20 | 92:2,11 | 59:20 | 137:13 | 63:18 64:3,13 |
| 45:2 53:13 | sink 50:10 | slightly 10:2,3 | south-side 10:15 | 66:17 68:7,14 |
| 55:3,4 59:17 | sir 39:17 | 11:17 | space 57:14 59:8 | 68:21 69:4 |
| 59:17 60:3,4 | site 36:1,11,21 | slope 119:14 | 60:8,9 62:15 | 73:21 74:14 |
| 69:5,5,12,12 | 58:12 60:7 | 121:14,15 | 78:3 92:9,22 | 75:4 76:10 |
| 69:15 70:5,8 | 91:14,21 93:12 | sloped 119:10 | 93:3 100:3 | 85:19 86:2,3 |
| 71:15,19 74:2 | 93:21 | small 59:19 | 101:13,15 | 86:21 87:11 |
| 74:3,3,3 76:11 | sits 28:18 | 62:10,10 94:22 | 102:5,13 103:3 | 88:3 89:10 |
| 76:11 78:22 | Sitting 3:4 5:3 | 119:3 | 103:14 105:13 | 108:11 109:21 |
| 79:8,9,11,12 | 7:3 15:3 40:3 | smaller 8:4 | 105:18 106:3 | 123:7 134:16 |
| 79:14 81:11 | 57:3 68:3 76:3 | smallest 21:17 | 106:11 108:3 | 135:21 137:8 |
| 84:2 92:18 | 89:3 117:3 | 33:2 | 110:15 117:22 | 137:15 138:6 |
| 93:5 100:15,16 | 134:3 | sneezed 27:1 | 119:22 121:1 | 138:21 |
| 121:12 122:7 | situated 38:10 | societal 36:5 | 122:15,17 | specializes |
| 122:11,12,14 | 92:6 | soil 127:12 | 123:6 125:5 | 125:14 |
| 122:15,16 | situation 80:10 | 131:21 | 126:7 128:1 | specific $24: 22$ |
| 124:4,7,10 | 82:10 84:5 | solely 9:11 47:1 | spacing 79:13 | 29:4 42:1 |
| 131:11 134:19 | 108:3 | soliloquy 24:21 | 82:3 | 106:16 123:3 |
| 135:2,17 137:5 | six 17:3 18:7 | solution 109:17 | speak 4:4 7:7,18 | specifically $18: 8$ |
| 137:6 | 19:19 35:10 | solve 50:1 | 12:9,17 25:7 | 49:1 131:7 |
| sides 23:7 78:21 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }}$ 2:13 22:18 | somewhat 26:1 | 25:15 52:20 | Spectrum 18:2 |
| sidewalk 62:7 | 76:6,9 81:2,9 | sorry 8:5 9:20 | 53:6 60:22 | speech 34:5 |
| sight 20:4 | 86:8 | 16:2 18:20 | 61:8 73:9,17 | spell 13:7,8 |
| sign 115:2,5,8 | size 49:11,16 | 39:6,8 53:20 | 83:2,8 104:11 | spoke 22:1 |
| signal 20:5 | 90:18 92:11 | 60:19 94:18 | 117:7 129:5,12 | 113:17 |
| signed 40:11 | 96:5 97:6,9 | 104:6,18 110:3 | 136:3,10,14 | spoken 104:2 |
| significant | 102:8 103:3 | 111:5 127:6 | SPEAKER | 110:20 |
| 100:3 118:5 | 106:3 107:7 | 128:16 134:8 | 134:7 | spread 76:22 |
| similar 8:11 | 108:22 | 134:13 138:8 | speakers 4:5 | square 53:21 |
| 52:2 84:3 | sized 126:14 | sort 28:8 30:10 | 12:14 25:12 | 54:4 58:1,18 |
| 108:21 110:9 | SKC 55:12 | 49:15,22 63:1 | 53:3 61:5 | 58:18 71:11 |
| 110:13 111:8 | sketch 100:13 | 105:7 110:7 | 73:14 83:5 | 96:1,10,15,16 |
| 119:3 | skylight 123:20 | 119:10,12,13 | 129:9 136:7 | 101:22 102:4,6 |
| simple 119:12 | 123:22 124:1 | 119:14,17 | speaking 3:19 | 102:8,13 |
| 126:2 | 134:17,18 | 120:2,2,3,20 | 103:22 | 103:18 105:14 |
| simply 52:10 | 135:13 137:6 | 122:1,4,9 | special 13:2,14 | 106:19 107:3 |
| 82:17 | 137:14 | 130:16 | 14:3,17 17:18 | 108:22 114:13 |
| simulation | skylights 131:13 | sought 101:21 | 32:10 34:15 | 118:10,13 |
| 23:19 | slab 59:2 | 137:10 | 35:17 36:5,18 | 120:14 123:6 |
| simulations | slide 11:10 44:4 | sound 93:21 | 36:21 37:8,11 | 131:8,9 135:9 |
| 17:10 18:18 | 44:8,9,18 45:5 | sounds 98:13 | 37:13,17 38:9 | ss 141:3 |


| Staff 4:6 12:14 | 50:5 56:9,10 | strictly 45:14 | Suggested | sworn 38:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:16 25:12,14 | 57:3 66:6,7 | strip 50:11 | 111:12 | sympathize |
| 53:3,5 61:5,7 | 68:3 73:2 | strong 81:4 | suggestions | 110:10 |
| 73:14,16 83:5 | 75:12,13 76:3 | structure 17:13 | 32:18 33:21 |  |
| 83:7 129:9,11 | 85:7 87:20,21 | 17:15 44:6 | sum 70:14 | T |
| 136:7,9 | 89:3 112:22 | 55:7 58:3 86:1 | summarize 23:3 | T 19:22 |
| stair 50:20,21 | 114:8,11,21 | 97:7,15,17 | 101:6 | Table 86:4 |
| 126:10 | 116:2,3 117:3 | 98:18 99:2,3 | summarizing | 131:10 |
| staircase 72:11 | 133:2,3 134:3 | 107:5 118:12 | 103:1 128:22 | take 4:6 5:14 |
| stairs 72:12 | 137:1 138:10 | 118:13,16,18 | summary 68:11 | 14:4 31:16 |
| 125:10 126:19 | 138:11 | 119:1 121:22 | 120:13 | 46:13 52:6 |
| stairway 50:19 | stewards 117:22 | 122:1,1,6 | summer 8:1 | 54:21 65:5 |
| stairwell 50:13 | Stewart 104:4 | 123:10 125:9 | 40:19 43:14 | 66:5 94:14 |
| stall 94:21 95:1 | stocking 67:1 | 126:9 127:13 | sunshade 59:14 | 112:10 113:22 |
| stamped 46:7 | stop 19:22 | 127:22 129:19 | 59:21 | 126:7 132:16 |
| start 4:6 66:6 | storage 126:19 | 129:20 131:22 | support 5:17,19 | 138:7,9 |
| 87:13 99:8 | 128:1 | 134:18 137:7 | 5:21 6:3 52:17 | taken 3:20 |
| 108:16 | stories 100:7 | structures 38:13 | 81:2,3,4 85:6 | takes 21:12 |
| started 99:7 | 118:13 | 93:19 127:13 | 104:3 109:8 | 119:12 |
| state 3:19 | story 95:2 125:9 | 131:22 | 128:20,22 | talk 24:15 52:9 |
| 118:10 136:16 | 126:11 | studies 24:17 | 129:1,22 | 125:8 131:14 |
| stated 27:12 | strategies | 25:1 27:2 | 130:14 136:14 | 139:13 |
| 85:5 102:15 | 121:19 | study 23:20 36:5 | 136:17 | talked 22:2 |
| 109:14 | strategy 121:11 | stumbling 138:8 | supporting 6:1 | 48:21 50:10 |
| statement 42:5 | street 2:5,6,10 | subject 16:18 | 13:11 32:19 | 105:8 113:15 |
| 125:2 | 2:11,12,13,14 | 34:16 36:6 | 42:5 55:14 | talking 30:10 |
| statements | 2:15,16 5:6 7:6 | 37:19 38:2 | 58:22 64:8 | 83:16 125:8 |
| 13:12 32:20 | 7:13,21 13:8 | submit 32:12 | 74:12 86:18 | 139:17 |
| 55:15 64:9 | 23:4,18,18 | 34:1 | 115:15 125:2 | talks 28:20 |
| 74:13 86:19 | 24:4 25:19 | submitted 13:12 | 132:13 | 125:3 |
| 115:15 132:14 | 26:2,4 28:5,8 | 25:22 31:5 | sure 6:17 7:16 | tall 23:17 |
| stating 38:6 | 28:21 40:6 | 32:20 34:4,18 | 16:1 26:3 | taller 97:6 |
| Station 20:3 | 44:7,20 55:11 | 34:19 55:15 | 29:19 40:11,12 | tasteful 65:18 |
| status 26:7 | 57:6,9 61:20 | 64:9 68:10,11 | 42:7 46:11,18 | Tax 17:22 |
| statutory 115:6 | 61:21 62:17 | 74:13 86:19 | 63:3 86:22 | tea 111:11 112:1 |
| stay 66:2 | 63:10 64:5 | 132:14 | 94:16 95:14 | 112:8 |
| staying 138:22 | 65:16 68:6,10 | substance 70:15 | 97:21 130:20 | team 76:7 98:9 |
| stepped 119:17 | 68:17 74:6 | substantial | 131:1 | technically |
| steps 57:17 | 76:6,9 81:2,9 | 65:12 66:3 | surface 21:18 | 108:17 111:3 |
| 59:14 62:7 | 82:7 86:9 88:6 | 115:13 127:9 | 33:4 | technologies |
| Steven 1:7 3:4 | 89:6,10 100:1 | 127:17 130:13 | surge 17:4 | 27:3,5 |
| 4:10,11 5:3,16 | 101:9 103:21 | 131:18 132:4 | surprised 80:4 | telecommunic... |
| 5:17 7:3 10:20 | 103:22 104:1,6 | substantially | surrounds | 16:6,10 |
| 11:4,8,15,18 | 117:6,19 | 127:18 132:5 | 122:17 | television 3:14 |
| 11:20 12:2 | 128:20,21 | sufficiently | survey 79:9 | tell 25:1 66:22 |
| 14:6,7 15:3 | 130:6 134:6,10 | 38:14 | Susan 104:4 | tenuous 128:7 |
| $38: 17,1839: 19$ $39 \cdot 2140 \cdot 3$ | 135:3 139:5 stretch 59:8 | $\underset{\substack{\text { suggest } \\ 99 \\ \text { 2 }}}{ } \mathbf{3 0 : 2 0}$ | suspended 37.10 | term 69:1 <br> terminate 36:17 |
| 39:21 40:3 | stretch 59:8 | 99:14 | 37:10 | terminate 36.17 |


| 37:9 | 139:3,22 140:3 | 85:9,14 86:3 | 65:20 | 112:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| terminated | thanks 29:5 | 87:10,11 91:3 | tightly 22:19 | towns 24:18 |
| 37:10,12 | 40:16 46:21 | 93:12 95:16 | 33:20 | traditional |
| termination | 66:19 75:18 | 96:9,22 97:20 | time 3:22 4:1 | 93:16 |
| 37:16 | 76:14 139:2 | 104:17 106:14 | 8:3,11,16,17 | Traffic 13:17 |
| terms 10:12 | Thanksgiving | 106:15 108:4 | 12:15 22:17 | 55:20 64:16 |
| 21:8 23:13 | 6:12 139:22 | 110:7,14 | 25:13 27:6 | 74:17 87:4 |
| 26:7 31:18 | that's 18:17 | 113:15 114:4 | 36:19 44:8 | 137:17 |
| 41:19 46:10 | 20:6 44:16 | 114:13,13,17 | 53:4 61:6 | transcript 3:16 |
| 69:6 137:5 | 111:2 | 114:18 121:7 | 73:15 83:6 | 31:2 141:6 |
| terrace 114:17 | theoretically | 122:8,10, 11,20 | 84:15 107:11 | translucent 52:4 |
| Terre 136:13 | 51:8 | 123:19 124:2,4 | 113:14 114:7 | transmission |
| Terry 130:8 | thereabouts | 124:6,9,16,19 | 115:3 126:7,20 | 36:4 |
| testimony 53:9 | 96:10 | 125:17 127:6 | 128:17 129:10 | transom 81:22 |
| 61:12 73:19 | they'd 29:16 | 128:5,8 131:11 | 136:7 | 82:20 |
| 84:18 107:16 | thing 10:14 62:3 | 132:6,6 137:1 | timeline 111:9 | transom-style |
| 129:15 136:20 | 71:14 89:11 | 138:4,5 139:14 | timely 36:16 | 50:1 77:16 |
| thank 6:6,9,11 | 94:7 117:12 | thinking 29:14 | times 35:4 91:9 | 78:4 |
| 6:15 8:20,21 | 124:7 | 49:20 | Timothy 81:3 | transom-type |
| 14:17,18 19:9 | things 18:21 | third 22:1 53:22 | tiny 48:11,15 | 85:15 |
| 25:21 27:20,21 | 19:19 21:13 | third- 117:21 | title 125:14 | treat 23:13 |
| 34:11 38:19,21 | 30:10 48:9 | Thomas 1:10 | today 40:10 41:7 | treatments 95:8 |
| 39:3,12,13,18 | 51:13 108:7 | 3:5 4:12,13 5:4 | 46:3 60:17 | tree 58:9 |
| 40:7 48:5,20 | 118:22 124:17 | 5:21 7:4 14:13 | today's 86:16 | trees 94:2 |
| 51:22 52:12 | 124:18 126:5 | 15:4,7,11,15 | toilet 50:6,9 | Tremont 2:15 |
| 54:4 56:17,21 | 139:18,18 | 39:7,7,15,18 | told 34:9 | 117:6,19 |
| 56:22 57:19 | think 8:14 9:8,9 | thorough 137:9 | Tom 5:20 14:12 | 128:19,21 |
| 60:12 61:18 | 9:22 10:5,6,8 | thought 34:6 | 39:5,6,6 | 130:6 |
| 66:5,18 74:9 | 11:1,5,21 | 42:19 | tonight 35:9 | trick 126:13 |
| 75:17 79:16 | 13:18 14:2,2 | thousands 27:8 | 36:18,22 37:8 | tried 8:18 80:5 |
| 80:21 83:14 | 16:8 22:1 | three 4:3 12:17 | 38:9 41:20 | Trilogy 40:8 |
| 84:15,16 85:17 | 23:12 24:21 | 16:20 17:4 | 57:10 70:15 | trim 49:10 |
| 87:16 88:3,4 | 25:3,5 28:22 | 25:15 33:9 | 76:10 102:20 | Troia 117:9 |
| 89:13 90:7 | 30:4 31:4 | 35:4 53:6 61:8 | 105:9 134:9 | 130:8,11 |
| 91:11,22 93:1 | 40:19 41:11 | 73:17 83:8 | Toomey 81:3 | 132:10 133:8 |
| 94:5 95:12 | 42:17 43:2,5 | 99:21,22 | top 17:2 76:19 | troubled 109:3 |
| 97:18 98:7 | 48:8 49:5,18 | 103:10 106:8 | topography | 110:14 |
| 99:17 107:11 | 50:5,9,12,13 | 112:2 124:17 | 127:12 131:21 | true 55:19 132:6 |
| 107:12,13 | 51:6,13,14,15 | 124:18 128:17 | total 8:6 69:19 | 137:17 141:6 |
| 108:14,15 | 52:8 53:11 | 129:12 135:5,5 | 89:16 93:7 | trust 82:15 |
| 114:21 116:7,8 | 54:8 55:19,21 | 136:10 | 101:22 102:6 | try 29:3 121:20 |
| 118:21 122:21 | 56:1,3,6 61:16 | threw 22:17 | 118:14 120:15 | trying 8:22 |
| 123:1 125:21 | 62:1,5,8,21 | Throw 6:16 | totaled 96:1 | 60:19 81:21 |
| 127:5 130:2 | 63:11,12,15 | THURSDAY | totals 11:14 | 117:22 118:6 |
| 133:7,8,10 | 65:3,7,10 | 1:3 | touch 113:13 | tub 50:6,9 |
| 135:17 136:18 | 71:11 72:2 | tied 31:17 | tower 23:7 | turn 118:19 |
| 136:19 137:2 | 74:21 79:18 | tier 17:7 | 29:21 30:4 | turned 90:19 |
| 138:21,22,22 | 81:12,16 85:7 | tight 57:14 | town 27:15 | 108:20,21 |


| turning 82:20 | 51:3 65:17 | upper 22:8 33:9 | 60:2 78:5 82:4 | 40:20 56:8,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| turnover 110:8 | 79:5,21 80:9 | 43:8 70:2 | 100:9 | 65:6 66:6,15 |
| twice 90:3,18 | 80:12 82:4,5 | 126:11 | viewed 21:22 | 75:5,15 87:13 |
| 97:6,9 | 82:20 126:6 | usable 57:14 | 22:10 29:1 | 88:1 109:21 |
| two 8:4 11:6,13 | understandably | 60:9 92:9 | 33:7,11 | 111:10 112:8 |
| 18:8 19:1 21:8 | 108:8 | use 3:11 17:11 | views 59:17 78:5 | 115:18 116:5 |
| 21:19,19 23:4 | understanding | 26:14 56:4 | Virginia 1:8 | 132:16 133:5 |
| 23:5,6,7 26:3 | 53:11 123:4 | 64:21 75:2 | 6:19,20 7:3 | 138:7,9,19 |
| 26:10 30:18 | uneventful | 87:9 95:22 | 14:10,11 15:3 | voted 24:19 |
| 33:5,5 34:21 | 121:6 | 101:10 102:22 | 38:19,20 39:20 | 27:15 113:21 |
| 48:11 50:13 | unfortunate | 105:22 107:9 | 39:21 40:3 | votes 3:19 66:15 |
| 59:13 76:21 | 62:21 77:22 | 111:2 118:7 | 56:11,12 57:3 | 96:4 |
| 78:21 81:1,10 | 102:14 | 121:4 128:2 | 60:18,20 61:14 |  |
| 85:13 91:9,17 | unfortunately | 131:2 138:2 | 61:19 66:8,9 | W |
| 93:4 94:12 | 61:19 79:3 | uses 13:20 46:9 | 68:3 75:10,11 | Wait 56:15 |
| 99:10 100:7 | 80:15 | 56:1 64:18 | 76:3 87:14,15 | waive $34: 8$ |
| 102:15 103:8 | UNIDENTIFI... | 74:20 87:6 | 89:3 97:5 | waiver 115:5,6,9 |
| 103:10 106:5,8 | 134:7 | 137:21 | 110:4,13 111:1 | walk 68:13 |
| 111:7 118:13 | Unintelligible | utilize 21:16 | 112:1,21 113:1 | 118:19 |
| 121:16 127:3 | 134:7 | 33:2 35:8 | 113:2 115:22 | walk-in 8:12 |
| 131:13 132:6 | unintended |  | 116:1 117:3 | 126:12 |
| 134:17 135:5 | 22:15 33:17 | V | 132:22 133:1 | Walker 23:1,15 |
| two-97:8 125:8 | unit 90:20 91:18 | variance 5:9 | 134:3 138:12 | 24:15 25:17,18 |
| two-family | 91:18,19 103:6 | 90:16 96:4 | 138:13 | 25:18,21 |
| 89:13 | 103:21 107:6 | 108:7 117:21 | VIRGINIAL | walks 28:19 |
| two-minute | 118:7 121:5 | 125:2 127:8 | 97:18 | wall 48:13 77:17 |
| 94:14 | 123:6 125:22 | 130:1 131:5,14 | virtually $102: 8$ | 78:1 121:18 |
| two-page 101:6 | 128:8 129:3,20 | 131:15 132:17 | visibility 28:21 | 135:12 |
| two-story 77:12 | 130:21 132:7 | 133:7 | visible 17:7 | walls 59:2 |
| 118:3 126:9 | units $82: 12$ | variety 30:9 | 23:18 24:4,10 | want 9:1 $25: 21$ |
| 127:2 | 91:17 102:16 | various 16:6 | 24:13 28:18 | 26:1 29:22 |
| two-year 37:20 | 103:4,8 106:4 | 99:12 | visual 17:10 | 46:21 65:10 |
| type 29:2 52:9 | 106:5 107:7,10 | vary 126:6 | 22:15 33:17 | 71:22 81:19 |
| typical 29:15 | 108:13 | Ventilation | 34:22 35:6 | 83:22 84:13 |
| 49:10 122:13 | unmute 7:9 | 124:3 | 44:13 | 85:3 95:13 |
| typically 62:12 | 12:12,14 25:11 | verbatim 102:10 | visually 21:21 | 96:21 113:20 |
|  | 25:12 53:2,3 | verbiage 47:13 | 22:9 33:6,10 | 114:5 119:22 |
| U | 61:4,5 73:13 | verify 4:7 | 61:16 85:16 | 121:1,3 126:7 |
| U-shaped | 73:14 83:4,5 | Verizon 5:10 | voice 5:14 14:4 | 136:14,17 |
| 126:10 | 104:15 129:8,9 | vertical 22:8 | 38:16 66:6 | wanted 10:20 |
| h 125:6 | 136:6,7 | 33:9 | 75:5 87:13 | 28:5 97:21 |
| unchanged 58:9 | unrealistic | vertically $21: 20$ | 114:12 115:18 | 105:12 |
| uncomfortable | 102:12 | 33:5 | 132:16 138:7,9 | wants 69:8 85:9 |
| 57:15 84:22 | update 18:6 | vetted 109:19 | volume 11:11 | 105:19 134:10 |
| underlying | updated 31:16 | Vice 1:7 | 119:12 | washer 126:22 |
| 22:14 33:16 | 46:4 54:8 | video 3:13 | vote 5:14 6:4 | wasn't 44:12 |
| understand 9:1 | updates 27:17 | view 17:5 30:4 | 14:5,15 31:16 | 65:18 72:11 |
| 19:2 47:15 | upgrade 82:14 | 52:11 58:8,9 | 38:16 39:10 | 111:10 |


| waves $36: 4,10$ | welfare 26:14 | 43:2,3 44:16 | witness 141:12 | 82:6 86:5,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:2 | 64:20 75:1 | 45:1,2,3 48:11 | wondered 48:22 | 100:8 103:21 |
| way 11:7 20:2,5 | 87:8 138:1 | 48:13,15,22 | wonderful | 119:22 122:12 |
| 24:10 28:22 | well-being 94:4 | 49:1,21 50:6,8 | 136:15 | 122:15,16 |
| 47:4 49:19,22 | well-negotiated | 50:9,11,13 | wooden 58:22 | 123:20,22 |
| 52:11 62:15 | 51:10 | 51:11 52:3,4,5 | Worcester 2:12 | 124:4,7 131:2 |
| 63:15 65:18 | wells 53:13 | 53:14 54:15 | 68:6,10,17 | 131:11,12 |
| 78:7 85:22 | Wendy 1:9 3:4 | 55:4,8 59:13 | 74:6 | 137:5,6 |
| 99:15 121:16 | 4:14,15 5:3,22 | 69:5 70:5,7,13 | word 111:2 | yards 107:10 |
| 125:9,21 | 6:1,7,8,10 | 71:15 72:15 | words 31:9 | 130:16 |
| ways 66:4 108:2 | went 47:16 | 74:2 76:12,13 | 50:10 90:2 | yeah 6:16 7:11 |
| we'll 13:1 19:12 | 90:15 | 76:22 77:16 | work 13:6 15:20 | 10:1,10 20:14 |
| 19:21 21:15 | weren't 96:19 | 78:4,21 80:2,6 | 32:11 34:17,21 | 20:18 21:4 |
| 22:21 34:1 | 111:12 | 81:11 82:1,6,7 | 34:22 35:5 | 24:3 30:5 36:1 |
| 42:14 66:6 | west $8: 569: 14$ | 82:17,18,19,20 | 48:20 49:5 | 41:14 42:8,21 |
| 87:13 112:10 | 71:21 74:3 | 84:8 85:13,14 | 55:10 58:4,17 | 43:2,4,11 45:8 |
| 113:22 117:17 | 76:11 84:1 | 94:20,21 95:5 | 58:21 59:5,18 | 45:13 46:20 |
| 140:1 | west-facing | 95:8 118:16 | 64:4 69:1 74:5 | 47:13 48:18 |
| we're 8:22 17:21 | 71:20 77:10 | 134:18,19 | 86:7 99:13 | 49:14 50:5,8 |
| 24:12 30:10,19 | whatsoever 27:3 | 135:5,5,7 | 112:12 118:17 | 50:18 54:11,13 |
| 31:10,18 34:18 | whereof 141:12 | Winters 102:19 | 132:9 | 54:14 62:3 |
| 41:20 42:22 | White 134:14 | 104:21,22 | working 100:20 | 63:6 65:8 |
| 48:10 49:3 | wholeheartedly | 105:1,3,6 | 129:19 | 69:17,20,21 |
| 50:14 54:7,21 | 136:18 | 107:14 | works 99:5 | 70:2,10,21 |
| 57:12 68:9,18 | wide 8:6 | wipe $63: 13$ | 112:22 113:2,6 | 71:3,6,8 72:4,7 |
| 69:2,9,10 | width 8:6 | wireless 15:20 | 113:7 | 72:20,21 74:7 |
| 71:10,11 72:5 | wife 7:12 68:9 | 16:3,5,9,10,12 | worried 110:7 | 79:5 80:13 |
| 72:8,9 74:4 | 83:15 117:8 | 16:13 17:17 | wouldn't 85:10 | 89:22 110:6,22 |
| 76:11 77:16 | wife's 84:14 | 23:16 26:15 | wrap 12:18 | 111:1,6 113:4 |
| 81:21 89:9,16 | Wiley 104:4 | 27:3,4 | 25:16 53:7 | 113:20 114:8 |
| 99:8 104:17 | William 1:8 | wires 22:19 | 61:9 73:18 | 117:12 122:7 |
| 118:2,6 120:19 | window 44:21 | 33:19 | 83:9 129:13 | 122:10 123:15 |
| 125:8 129:19 | 49:7,10,16 | wish 12:9 25:7 | 136:11 | 124:11,13 |
| 137:1 | 52:8 53:13 | 60:22 62:14 | writes 101:8 | 128:10 134:22 |
| we've 17:9 25:4 | 59:20 69:9,9 | 83:1 105:17 | writing 20:15 | 139:8,11,19 |
| 28:13 41:20 | 69:20 76:19,21 | 129:5 136:2 | 81:4 | year 108:4 |
| 52:3 60:7 65:7 | 77:14,15,19,20 | wishes 7:7 52:20 | written 46:3 | year's 27:14 |
| 99:19 117:19 | 77:21,22 78:1 | 68:6 73:9 76:6 | 69:19 | years 26:10 |
| 125:21 126:1 | 78:7,8,9,16 | 104:11 | wrong 39:6,16 | 83:18,19 97:19 |
| 130:18 | 79:7,8 80:9 | wishing 117:7 |  | 101:14 105:12 |
| webpage 4:2 | 81:12 82:3,8,9 | withdraw 5:10 | X | 110:10 111:7 |
| week 49:14 | 82:13 84:1,8 | 5:13,13 6:2 | x 2:1 106:14, 15 | 117:20,20 |
| 115:10 | 94:7 95:3,8 | 96:18,18 |  | 118:5 |
| weight 98:17 | 124:6,8 131:12 | withdrawn 5:12 |  | yellow 23:7,14 |
| welcome 3:6 | 134:17 135:9 | 6:6 | $44 \cdot 1453 \cdot 13$ | yep 6:14 7:9,14 |
| 29:6 80:20 | 135:12 137:5 | withhold 85:8 | 44:14 53:13 | 8:21 9:19 22:7 |
| welcoming | 137:11,12 | withholding | 55:3,4 58:5,6 | 23:14 29:6,10 |
| 65:14 | windows 42:11 | 85:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:6,15 64:2 } \\ & 70: 874: 2 \text { 77:4 } \end{aligned}$ | 29:11 30:19 |


| 43:9 44:3 | 104:12 129:6 | 168 104:6 | 29 40:19 74:10 | 49:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:16 50:20 | 136:3 | 18 103:16 |  | 57 2:11 |
| 51:21 53:19 | zoomed 29:20 | 18' 106:14 | 3 | 58 28:5 |
| 54:1,2,3 69:17 |  | 1815 2:8 15:5,17 | 3 103:15,21 | 5G 27:16 |
| 70:6,14,16 | 0.558 .2 | 16:14 | $106: 22134: 21$ $135 \cdot 1$ |  |
| 71:2,13 72:13 | 0.5 58:2 | 1839 72:4 | 135:1 | 6 |
| 73:4 74:9 77:6 | 0.57 58:3 | 1996 26:20 27:3 | $30112: 11$ | 6 12:13 25:11 |
| 78:17 79:13 | 0.58 58:3 | 27:12 | 31 102:19 | 53:2 61:4 |
| 83:13 86:12 | $0.9120: 15$ |  | 103:20 | 73:13 83:4 |
| 88:5 104:19 | 02139 1:6 | 2 | 31' 110:16 | 104:15 129:8 |
| 113:5,6 114:22 | 06/15/23 2:5 | 2 3:9 103:13 | 31.5' 95:6 | 136:6,13 |
| 123:8 124:2 | 09/28/23 2:7 | 134:22 | 32 2:15 117:6,19 | 6'6 92:21 |
| 125:16,16 | 1 | 2.22.2.d 85:22 | $33.5128: 19$ | 6:00 1:4 3:3 |
| 127:5 130:2 | $\frac{1}{195: 171015}$ | 20 117:20 | 34 130:13,13 | 115:4 |
| 133:9 134:12 | 1 95:17 101:5 | $20071: 11$ | 37 128:21 | 6:02 5:2 |
| 135:19 | 103:8 129:1 | 2012 18:1 | 4 | 6:04 7:2 |
| yesterday 12:6 | 1'32:3 | 2013 18:17 | 4 | 6:15 15:2 |
| 60:16 73:6 | 1,200 131:9 | 2019 26:19 | $413: 422: 7$ | 6:45 40:2 |
| you've 98:11 | 1,240 118:14 | 95:21 96:16 | 104:4 135:4 | $6058: 1,18$ |
|  | 1.5' 9:17,18 | 101:21 102:9 | 4,300 96:15 | 62'10 32:3 |
| Z | 135:3 | 111:9,18 139:8 | 4,379 96:9 | 620 118:13 |
| Ziegelman 89:7 | 1/2 76:5,9 | 2019/2020 109:5 | 4,712 96:1 | 120:14 |
| 89:8,21 90:1,4 | $1036: 13$ 37:3,22 | 2020 96:9 102:3 | 4,715 96:16 | 63 2:11 57:5,9 |
| 90:6,8,10,12 | 121:8 122:11 | 111:13 | 4.22 98:1 118:7 | 64:5 |
| 90:15 91:1,5,7 | 10.4 55:9 | 2021 26:22 | 118:9 123:4 | 63'10 32:2 |
| 91:11 94:9,10 | 10.40 86:1 137:8 | 2023 1:3 3:6,9 | 131:7 | 6409A 17:22 |
| 94:13,16 97:8 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 3 1 3 8 : 6}$ | 13:9 46:5 54:9 | 4.22.1 106:22 | 68 2:12 |
| 98:5,8 99:1 | 10/26/23 2:8 | 55:12 64:6 | 40 2:10 |  |
| 100:12,14,17 | $1012: 16134: 6$ | 74:10 111:16 | 41 93:5 101:8 | 7 |
| 100:22 112:4,6 | 134:10,15 | 115:1,3,18 | 110:16 | 72:6 |
| 112:10,13,17 | 11 52:17 55:12 | 116:7 132:11 | 43 23:3 25:18 | 7.3' 9:20 |
| 113:9 114:2 | 1172:15 | 141:13 | 4379 102:6 | 7.5' 8:6 10:5 |
| 116:8 | 12 46:5 54:9 | 2026 141:18 | 45 2:14 88:6 | 11:14 |
| zone 17:17 22:9 | 141:18 | $2102: 5$ 5:6 | 89:5,9 103:21 | 7:05 57:2 |
| 33:11 92:1 | 12' 77:7 | 217962 5:6 | 139:5 | 7:18 68:2 |
| zoning 1:1 3:7 | 134 2:16 | 22 3:14 | 4712 101:22 | 7:26 76:2 |
| 3:12 9:1 63:4 | 14 103:16 | 23 13:9 | 4715 102:7 | 7:42 89:2 |
| 74:20 76:7 | 112:15,16,19 | 233167 7:6 | 49 93:5 99:22 | 76 2:13 |
| 82:15 93:3 | $113: 8$ 115:1,3 $115 \cdot 18$ 116:7 | 24 23:2 | 5 | 8 |
| 106:21 107:5 | 14' 8:9,9 106:15 | 243960 15:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 555: 164: 6 \\ & 81: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { 2:12 68:5,9,16 } \\ 74: 681: 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 120:9 123:3 127:14 132:1 | $106: 15$ $\mathbf{1 5} 2: 8,10$ 40:6,9 | $\mathbf{2 4 5 5 1 0} 88: 5$ $\mathbf{2 5} 18 \cdot 17117 \cdot 20$ | 5.31:85:2 63:22 |  |
| 127:14 132:1 zoning-related | $152: 8,1040: 6,9$ $55: 11 \quad 132: 11$ | 25 18:17 117:20 128:20 | $5.3155: 263: 22$ $74: 286: 4$ 131: | 8.22.1.d $55: 7$ 8.22.2.c $55: 7$ |
| 135:15 | 15' 8:7 11:7,14 | 25' 106:15 | 131:10 137:5 | 86:1 137:7 |
| zoom 12:10 25:8 | 11:19 | 26 7:21 | 5.8' 9:18 | 8.22.2.d 55:6 |
| 30:3,5,8 52:21 | 150' 71:11 | 28 130:5,10,13 | 5:00 115:15 | 137:7 |
| 61:2 73:10 | 164 103:21 | 130:14 | 50 2:6 7:6,12 | 8:20 117:2 |
|  | 166A 104:1 |  | 13:8 48:12 | 8:43 134:2 |



