1	
2	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
4	
5	IN RE: LICENSE COMMISSION GENERAL HEARINGS
6	
7	Michael P. Gardner, Personnel Director
8	Geral d Reardon, Fire Chief Christopher J. Burke, Superintendent
9	<u>STAFF</u> :
10	Elizabeth Y. Lint, Executive Officer
11	held at
12	Michael J. Lombardi Municipal Building
13	831 Massachusetts Avenue Cambri dge, Massachusetts Basement Conference Room
14	
15	Tuesday, October 26, 2010
16	6: 10 p. m.
17	
18	
19	REPORTERS, INC.
20	CAPTURI NG THE OFFI CI AL RECORD 617. 786. 7783/FACSI MI LE 617. 639. 0396
21	www. reportersi nc. com

	۷	
1	INDEX	
2	Page	
3	Disciplinary: The Western Front, Inc. 18	
4	110	
5	Application: Paul Revere Transportation d/b/a EZ Ride Shuttle 3	
6	d/b/a LZ Nide Shattie 5	
7	Application: Star Market Company 162	
8	Application: P.F. Chang's China Bistro 169	
9	Application: Hourglass Tattoo Studios 174	
10	Application: Hodigiass lattoo stadios 174 Application: Gift Jaja d/b/a Jaja Cafe 184	
11	Application. Gift saja uzbza saja care 104	
12	Ratifications Approved at the Desk by the Chairman/Executive Officer 191	
13	Medallion #70	
14	Medallion #141 Medallion #202	
15	Medallion #44 Medallion #212	
16	Medallion #169 #179	
17	Medallion #199 Medallion #237	
18	Wedaiiidii #237	
19		
20		
21		

PROCEEDINGS

ELIZABETH LINT: It's License

Commission General Hearing Tuesday, October

26, 2010. It's 6:08 p.m. We're in Michael

J. Lombardi Municipal Building, 831 Mass.

Ave. basement conference room. Before you are the Commissioners, Acting Chairman

Michael Gardner, Chief Gerald Reardon and

Superintendent Chris Burke.

If anyone is here for Phi Beta Epsilon, that's been continued to November 23rd. And the Application of Capone Foods has been withdrawn.

Application Paul Revere Transportation doing business as EZRide Shuttle, has applied to amend their existing shuttle route.

EZRide will continue to operate seven buses during the morning and afternoon peak commuter hours, 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., covering the full route between Cambridgeport and North

My name

1 EZRi de al so operates one bus on the Stati on. 2 Midday Route from 10:44 a.m. to 3:04 p.m. 3 between Kendall Square and Pacific Street. 4 The route is available for review. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Just before we get 6 started with that, let me introduce myself. 7 My name is Michael Gardner. I'm the personnel director for the City of Cambridge. 8 9 I've been in that role for a number of years. 10 I've been appointed to temporarily serve as 11 the Chair of the License Commission during 12 the Leave of absence of the permanent Chair. 13 I look forward to the work. 14 It would be helpful, sir, if you could 15 just introduce yourself, state your name for 16 the record, and give us a description of why 17 you're here and what you're seeking. 18 JIM GASCOIGNE: Absolutely. 19 is Jim Gascoigne. I'm the Executive Director 20 of Charles River Transportation Management 21 Associ ati on. We are the contract manager for

EZRi de Shuttle Service. We hired Paul Revere Transportation as our vendor. I'm expecting a representative from Paul Revere, but I would prefer if it's okay with the Commission to proceed. I think I will be able to answer all of your questions about the changes of the route.

This is a shuttle service that's been in place under license to the Commission since 2002. In August of this year we amended the route, changed the route by way of a merger with one of MIT's shuttle service. And the -- one of the chief virtues of this service is that we take private services that are open only to specific individuals affiliated with businesses or institutions, and we provide an open joint service running between North Station and Cambridgeport.

When we made that merger, frankly we weren't bold enough. We recognize that we

would be serving two distinct audiences: The MIT folks coming from dormitories in Cambridgeport around Sydney and Pacific heading to campus in the morning, away from campus in the afternoon. And the other distinct audience are commuters coming into Cambridge from North Station and being distributed to the 20 member businesses and institutions in the morning. And then being picked up at those institutions, being taken back to their trains at North Station in the afternoon.

We put the stops in Kendall Square at the same Location on Main Street. And what we are proposing to do today is separate the inbound and outbound stops of the service.

The main reason to do that is that when we're on Main Street, we have to -- we have to make a Loop around through the MLT campus, and that adds about four to six minutes of running time. It's fine when we're carrying

students to MIT and their grad dorms. That four to five minute of running time is not fine for commuters who are trying to reach their train.

So we're proposing tonight that we go back to the other side of Main Street; that we use Broadway and the bus turnaround and stop in front of the Cambridgeside -- or in front of the MIT Coop for our inbound service to North Station in the evening which will facilitate people catching their trains on time. That's one piece.

The other piece is we have a midday service which had a turnaround using Sydney, Tudor, Brookline and Pacific Streets. When the paving project was completed on Brookline Street, the new configuration of parking spaces made it very difficult for a bus to make the turn, the right-hand turn from Tudor to Brookline Street. And so we've made a change to be able to run that service more

So those are the two changes 1 effecti vel y. 2 we're requesting this evening. 3 Traffic and Parking ELI ZABETH LI NT: 4 has approved the amended route. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 6 And so on the Main Street stop how is 7 it marked now where you will be on the other 8 side of the street? 9 Yeah, we have stop JIM GASCOLGNE: 10 signs that look like a regular bus stop sign 11 that have our logo and a bus logo on them. 12 Those will be posted in coordination with 13 parking transportation. And then we have a 14 sign that provides some information about 15 when drop-offs and pick-ups occur at those 16 stops. 17 And our riders are again, we have these 18 two distinct camps of riders. The merger in 19 August was to take advantage of empty 20 capacity on the busses. In other words, we 21 were full in one direction. MIT was full in

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

the opposite direction. So, we're now making much better capacity utilization of the seven vehicles that we have on the road, and that enabled MIT to take one bus off the road entirely. So there's an air quality impact with that. Consequentially we have inbound and outbound legs to our service. Each group of riders does the same thing everyday. They know exactly where they need to be on the servi ce. And so once we make this change, there will be a day or two where people get accustomed to the new service and then they'll be on autopilot. They'll know where to go each and every day.

We also have a fabulous website. We have flyers that we hand out to anyone who rides the service. We're now up and running with NextBus. Which if you're familiar with it, the Talso does. You can go to a website or using your handheld device, get predictions for the next three arrivals for a

- -

bus. So it eliminates waiting time. We're expecting people to start relying on that a lot more. It's GPS delivered, very consistent. And we're expecting that that's going to be the way people use bus service moving forward for the most part. So there won't be an issue with the stops.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: And if approved, when would this go into effect?

JIM GASCOIGNE: We would like to put this into effect January 1st, the first business day in the new year. As you may be aware, there is going to be a bridge closure. The Craigie Bridge is going to be closed coming up shortly. That's right along our route. We're very concerned about making a route change during such a dramatic impact to the route.

So that the plan would be to do it

January 1st. I would like to ask the License

Commission what sort of leeway we might be

1 able to have with that? Because once we see 2 the impact of that bridge closure, it may 3 make sense to do this earlier if possible. 4 Do you need a firm and fast date or can we 5 have a window within which we seek to 6 implement the change? 7 Well, this would ELI ZABETH LI NT: 8 actually have to be approved by the City 9 Council. So it would be the Commissioners 10 recommend, if they so choose, to recommend 11 approval to the City Manager for the City 12 Council and it could be at any date that was 13 selected. Whatever you agreed on. 14 JIM GASCOIGNE: I guess the key 15 question is you might -- do you need to 16 select a specific date or could it be a 17 window within sometime within this four-week 18 peri od? 19 ELIZABETH LINT: Sure. 20 JIM GASCOLGNE: That would be the 21 So from say December 1st to -preference.

1 after saying four-week period, I'm going to 2 ask six weeks if possible. Well, from 3 approval of City Council to January 15th 4 would be my preference if that were workable. 5 If not workable, we'll take what the 6 Commission can advise. 7 Commissioners? MI CHAEL GARDNER: 8 The Craigie project GERALD REARDON: 9 runs potentially from November to April with 10 a whole sundry of --11 JIM GASCOLGNE: There are three 12 phases. The first phase there will be no 13 inbound traffic from Cambridge to Leverett 14 Circle. 15 GERALD REARDON: Correct. 16 JIM GASCOLGNE: That's starting 17 November 5th, a week from Friday. From early 18 December until about February there will be 19 two-way traffic again, two lanes each 20 direction. And then sometime in early 21 February to April, again, the roads will be

1 closed such that is that you cannot access 2 Leverett Circle from Cambridge directly. 3 I just want to make GERALD REARDON: 4 sure you understand it happens twice 5 unfortunately. 6 JIM GASCOLGNE: Yeah. 7 GERALD REARDON: The other quick 8 question is have you already worked out with 9 Traffic and Parking where this spot is going 10 to be? 11 JIM GASCOIGNE: Yes. GERALD REARDON: 12 Okay. 13 And it's on Main Street up on the next 14 to the Marriott property in that area? 15 JIM GASCOLGNE: Correct. It's right 16 -- we're looking to go -- right now we're --17 if you're heading inbound on Main Street, you 18 pass the T head house and the crosswalk and 19 the mid-block and the stop is right there, 20 trolley stop there. Peter Pan Bus does a 21 service between MIT and Wellesley. They stop

We're 1 MIT shuttles stop there. there. 2 looking to be directly across the street. So 3 in the other direction, that would be right 4 before you get to the crosswalk. 5 currently there are T busses assigned to that 6 space. 7 GERALD REARDON: Okay. 8 JIM GASCOIGNE: We've talked to the 9 They don't have an issue with it. Paul Т. 10 Revere our vendor, the general manager long 11 time operations chief at the T, and he's made 12 some inquiries and it appears that that's 13 workable. 14 GERALD REARDON: And a quick 15 Tudor to Brookline, is that from questi on. 16 cars parking on the corner that it's 17 di ffi cul t? 18 I have to be honest, JIM GASCOLGNE: 19 I haven't actually been out and looked at it. 20 My operations manager did this. 21 understanding is that the parking is on the

left-hand side of the street. And on Brookline, parking goes back and forth. It's on one side only and it will flip periodically. And I believe it's on the left-hand side. So when you're making a right-hand turn with a bus, there's just not enough room given the size of Tudor Street to make that turn reliably. It may be that there's a telephone pole or some other things on the sidewalk that also make it --

GERALD REARDON: That interests me for other reasons.

ELIZABETH LINT: This might help.

RICHARD DALEY: Hi. My name is
Richard Daley. I'm the general manager of
Paul Revere. That's exactly what it is, when
they did the road over, the parking was on
the right. Or the no parking on the right,
they moved it over to the left so the bus
cannot come out and take the turn. When the
vehicles are on the right-hand side, we can

1	make the turn. Because they put the parking
2	on the other side and the bus comes out, it's
3	not enough room for it it go with the cars
4	parked on that side of the street.
5	GERALD REARDON: That's of interest
6	to me for other reasons. Our trucks are a
7	little bit bigger than your bus.
8	JIM GASCOIGNE: That's true.
9	GERALD REARDON: Okay, thank you.
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Superi ntendant,
11	anythi ng?
12	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: ALL set.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: PI easure of the
14	Commi ssi on?
15	GERALD REARDON: Move to approve.
16	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Second that.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: So this would be a
18	motion to recommend the approval of this
19	change to the City Manager for consideration
20	by the City Council?
21	ELIZABETH LINT: Correct, yes.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: With their having
2	a window from Council approval through
3	January 15th in order to implement.
4	All those in favor?
5	(Aye.)
6	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
8	(No Response.)
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: The ayes have it.
10	ELIZABETH LINT: Oh, I'm sorry.
11	Jim, just check with my office for the date
12	that it would be on the City Council agenda.
13	And if you want to speak there, make sure
14	you're there and sign up for that.
15	JIM GASCOIGNE: Absolutely. Thank
16	you.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	ELIZABETH LINT: Do we have any
2	information on Officer Bates?
3	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: He's here.
4	ELIZABETH LINT: Oh, I didn't see
5	you. I'm sorry. Okay.
6	Disciplinary matter, The Western Front,
7	Incorporated doing business as The Western
8	Front, Marvin Gilmore, manager, holder of an
9	alcoholic beverages as a restaurant license
10	and entertainment license at 343-347 Western
11	Avenue due to police reports regarding two
12	separate incidents at the establishment. One
13	incident allegedly involved a stabbing, and
14	the other someone being hit over the head
15	with a bottle.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Should we take
17	these matters in chronological order?
18	ELIZABETH LINT: It's your pleasure.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. I'm
20	not exactly sure how you do it. Perhaps the
21	people at the table right now can just

1 introduce themselves for purposes of the 2 record and then we'll start with the police 3 department. 4 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Good 5 evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the 6 For the record, my name is James Commission. 7 Rafferty. I'm an attorney with the law firm 8 of Adams and Rafferty Located at 130 Bishop 9 Allen Drive in Cambridge. I'm appearing this 10 evening on behalf of the Licensee, the 11 Western Front. Seated to my right is the 12 owner and manager of the Western Front, Mr. 13 Marvin Gilmore, G-i-l-m-o-r-e. Mr. Gilmore's 14 nephew Jeffrey Gilmore is seated to my left. 15 He is the operations manager at the Western 16 Front. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Sergeant. 18 SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Sergeant 19 McHale from the Cambridge Police. 20 OFFICER BATES: I'm Officer Bates 21 with the Cambridge Police Department.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.

2

Sergeant, would you tell us about the

3

incident that occurred in July?

4

SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.

5

I believe it was the night of July

6

30th.

on.

particular area due to separate incidents.

7 8

One of our officers happened to be driving by

We had a number of units in that

9

the Western Front, saw a large crowd pouring

10

out of the establishment. At that point they

11

were blocking Western Ave, the intersection

12

of Western and Putnam. So he had called off

13

at that point. He didn't know what was going

Some other officers that were in the

1415

area responded over to assist him. As they

16

assisting with some traffic and the crowd, it

17

was discovered that there was a female who

18

had been stabbed. She had suffered two stab

19

wounds, both to her lower extremities. One

20

more of a puncture, the other one more of a

21

slash wound. She ended up on the corner of

Putnam and Western Ave. If you were looking at the Western Front, on the opposite corner. They immediately called for some medical and assisted to her.

As we were dealing with her and dealing with the crowd, we were notified through Cambridge City Hospital there were two other victims suffering from stab wounds.

After sending an officer to that location at the hospital, it was determined that those two individuals were also inside the Western Front when they were assaulted.

Through some witnesses in the crowd, it was believed that there was a fourth victim who at that point had not come forward or not made himself known. That victim did eventually make himself known. He also was interviewed by our detectives, and was stabbed inside the Western Front during this altercation.

I checked with ECC, communications, and

there were no phone calls from inside the club at all. No notification from any employees that the fight had happened. It was determined that the altercation was on the second floor of the establishment. I don't know the number of the people, or frankly I don't know the occupancy. It was certainly very crowded. The streets were full of people. A lot of people were upset at what was going on.

I spoke to I believe it was

Mr. Gilmore -- Jeffrey Gilmore, not the

father, that night. He had stated to me that
basically there was a show going on and they
didn't anticipate the crowd being that large,
and they were caught understaffed.

Speaking with one of the detectives who was following up on the investigation, and while we were out in front of the Western Front that night, we had noticed that there was a person that looked like with a

19

20

21

professional video camera. And apparently this person was hired by the promoter to The detective was able videotape this event. to get a hold of that person and had viewed the video from inside of the club. appeared, according to him, that there was some type of an altercation that occurred prior to the fight where the stabbings took place. You can't see this on the video. It's just too crowded. You can't make out the people. You can't see exactly who had what or who did what. Just that the video shows them -- the DJ or whoever is on the stage, trying to tell everybody to calm down, stop fighting and what not.

Sometime after that was when the altercation occurred and where the victims were stabbed on the second floor. And that was it for us.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you witness or view the videotape yourself?

1	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I have not.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: Do you know if the
3	videotape has time markers as to when it
4	shows the effort to settle the crowd down
5	from what I take it was the first
6	al tercati on?
7	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I'm not aware
8	of any time markers. But according to the
9	detective it was readily obvious that there
10	was some type of scuffle or something going
11	on where they were trying to quell a
12	disturbance. And a short time later is when
13	it kind of broke out. And you can obviously
14	tell when the altercation broke out and the
15	stabbing occurred. People started yelling
16	and screaming, running out the doors.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: And this is on the
18	vi deotape?
19	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: It is.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm sorry,
21	is the Sergeant testifying I'm confused,

to his observations of the videotape or something that another individual told him was on the videotape?

MICHAEL GARDNER: Why don't we give you a chance to ask him those questions when it's your turn.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Do you have a time estimate between when the police department first learned of this event from the crowd on the street and when the event itself happened based perhaps on the fact that some victims were apparently already at the hospital?

SERGEANT MCHALE: As soon as the officer called off of the crowd and the disturbance at the corner, he was then shortly notified of the victim, of the female victim. So from the time she got from the second floor to that corner, could be anywhere from -- if she got out quickly, two minutes to possibly five minutes.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Meantime there are 2 already people being treated at the hospital? 3 SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: It was 4 probably 15 minutes or so after we were on 5 scene that we were notified that victims 6 showed up at the hospital. And then another 7 10 minutes or so before the officer got there and they made a determination that they were 8 9 victims from that same incident. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 11 Questions from other Commissioners? 12 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Yes. Now you 13 indicated that there was no call for service, 14 no one from the Western Front made any call 15 to the police department or requested any 16 medical attention? 17 SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: That's 18 correct. I called ECC to see if there were 19 any 9-1-1 calls or any calls generated from 20 that address. And I was informed there was 21 none.

1 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Did you have an 2 opportunity while you were there or do you 3 know if anyone under your command at the 4 scene had any conversation with any 5 representative from the Western Front? 6 SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I spoke to 7 the manager Mr. Gilmore. 8 CHRISTOPHER BURKE: Is this 9 Mr. Gilmore, Junior? 10 SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes. 11 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: What did he 12 relate to you? 13 SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Essentially 14 that there was a show going on there. Again, 15 the crowd was larger than they expected. 16 had mentioned to me that "They were caught 17 shorthanded" on that night. Once the fight 18 broke out, he said, that their employees did 19 what they could to break up the fight and get 20 everybody out. When we showed up, they were 21 certainly on the stairs, on the front stairs

1	trying to disperse the crowd and trying to
2	move people along.
3	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Okay. Thanks.
4	GERALD REARDON: Ms. Lint, what's
5	the occupancy?
6	ELIZABETH LINT: The first floor is
7	71, and the second floor is 78.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you ask
9	Mr. Gilmore about whether he attempted to
10	contact the police department and if he did,
11	did he respond?
12	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I don't think
13	I specifically asked that question, no.
14	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Mr. Rafferty.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you,
16	Mr. Chairman.
17	Sergeant, picking up on this
18	conversation that you testified to with
19	Mr. Gilmore, is it possible that the
20	individual you had that conversation with was
21	a white male by the name of Welbury

1	(phonetic), Edward Welbury.
2	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I did speak
3	to him. I believe he was a door person or
4	doorman. I'm not sure what his title was. I
5	did speak to him also, yes.
6	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You spoke
7	to Mr. Wel bury?
8	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I believe so.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: But his
10	name is not in your report but you have a
11	memory of that name, do you?
12	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Just from the
13	report. I don't know him personally.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: No, I say
15	I don't see his name in your report.
16	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Oh.
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: But I'm
18	asking you tonight whether you spoke with Mr.
19	Wel bury.
20	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: There were
21	several employees that were on the front

1	walkway there, and I saw that he was one the
2	door persons. I know one of the guys I
3	talked to was one of the door guys. I assume
4	it was him. I don't know him personally. I
5	don't know if it was him particularly.
6	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Is it
7	fair to say that Officer Lucy (phonetic) is
8	the first officer that has any interaction
9	with anyone involved in this incident?
10	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And your
12	understanding is that Officer Lucy was in the
13	area of the club for an unrelated purpose and
14	made an observation; is that fair to say?
15	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And that
17	observation was of a young woman at the
18	corner of Putnam and Western?
19	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: No. His
20	initial observation was a crowd of people
21	pouring out of the bar blocking the

1	intersection, blocking Putnam Ave.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So, you're
3	testifying now with regard to Officer Lucy's
4	initial observation. What's your basis for
5	testifying as to what his initial observation
6	was?
7	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: He mentioned
8	that on the radio when he called off. And we
9	were
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. Do
11	you know if he prepared a report in this
12	case?
13	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I do not.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You refer
15	to a radio call that Officer Lucy made.
16	Isn't that the first dispatch that occurs in
17	concerning this incident?
18	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I'm not sure
19	what you mean by dispatch.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, the
21	first radio communication with the Cambridge

1	Police involving this incident, is it the
2	communication from Officer Lucy at that
3	corner, do you know?
4	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, you
6	know and yes, you believe that to be the
7	first
8	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes, I
9	believe Officer Lucy came across that
10	situation and he radioed out that he was
11	going to be stopping at that intersection due
12	to the crowd.
13	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So, if
14	Officer Nickerson (phonetic) says that he
15	heard Officer Lucy radio that he was off with
16	a stabbing victim at the corner of Western
17	and Putnam, that would have been a later
18	transmission from Officer Lucy according to
19	your memory?
20	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I believe so.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Because

1	you believe there was a prior transmission
2	from Officer Lucy about a crowd in front of
3	the Western Front?
4	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes, that's
5	my recollection.
6	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
7	hear either of the two transmissions from
8	Officer Lucy personally?
9	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
11	You made mention of the videotape and
12	you said that did you observe the
13	individual with the video camera that night?
14	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
16	have conversation with the individual?
17	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: No.
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
19	take the video into your possession that
20	eveni ng?
21	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: No.

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
2	know who did?
3	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: To the best
4	of my knowledge, it was not taken that
5	evening. It was we the officers got
6	information from the person who was doing the
7	videotaping, and the detectives followed up,
8	made contact with that party, and they
9	voluntarily turned the tape over.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
11	Which detectives had contact, do you know?
12	SERGEANT MCHALE: Detective Atherton
13	is the lead detective on that case.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So would
15	that suggest your answer is Detective
16	Atherton had the contact with the video
17	person?
18	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I don't know
19	which detective may or may not had
20	conversation. I believe he was the lead
21	detective on the case.
	1

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:
2	Understood, but my question was slightly
3	di fferent.
4	You relayed for the Commission the
5	contents of that videotape but you
6	acknowledged that you personally had not
7	viewed it, correct?
8	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Correct.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So what's
10	your source of the testimony you provided
11	concerning the content on that videotape?
12	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Detective
13	Atherton.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And it was
15	Detective Atherton who provided you with the
16	scenario involving a prior incident or a
17	prior altercation he tells you is located on
18	that tape?
19	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
21	know if anyone associated with the License

1	Commission has viewed that tape?
2	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I do not
3	know.
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
5	know what became of that tape after the
6	detectives were through with it?
7	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I believe the
8	investigation is still ongoing. My
9	understanding is it is still in their
10	possessi on.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
12	Can I just have one moment,
13	Mr. Chai rman?
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Sure. While he's
15	conferring, Sergeant, do you know if there's
16	been any arrests made in the case?
17	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: There have
18	not been any arrests. No suspect has been
19	i denti fi ed.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Nothing

1	further. Thank you.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Any further
3	questions for the Sergeant?
4	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: I just have one
5	follow up. Based on your response to the
6	scene and your conversations with other
7	responding officers, do you have any doubt
8	that the incident occurred inside the Western
9	Front?
10	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: No.
11	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: No further
12	questi ons.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. If we could
14	ask you to stay until we've concluded this
15	segment of the hearing in case there's any
16	further questions, Sergeant?
17	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: Yes, sir.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right?
19	I think I'd like to hear from the
20	Licensee about this incident before we go on
21	and talk to the police department about the

1	next incident. Is that all right with you?
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Fine. So
3	Officer Bates isn't testifying on this case?
4	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I was
5	present at this as well. I don't know if you
6	have any questions on it.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. I didn't
8	know that.
9	ELIZABETH LINT: I didn't know.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. Well,
11	Officer Bates, you've been here for Sergeant
12	McHale's testimony, haven't you?
13	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes, sir.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. I just ask
15	you if
16	GERALD REARDON: Just for the
17	record, the Officer's full name.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
19	Why don't you identify yourself for the
20	record?
21	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Patrolman

William Bates with the Cambridge Police.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I just would like MI CHAEL GARDNER: you to take a few minutes and just supplement the information for the License Commission from any observations you made that evening.

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: The Sergeant actually did a very good job, sir. I guess what I have to add to that is I did speak to Mr. Welbury who was the doorman, briefly. spent most of my time there speaking to one of the victims of the stabbing. It's a 25-year-old female resident of the city. as the Sergeant described, she had a couple of wounds on her. A slash on her left thigh and a pretty deep puncture near her genital She was transported by ambulance for area. treatment to Boston Hospital I believe.

I also spoke to some other employees while I was there. The incident did appear to take -- based on what the employees said, particularly this one lady I spoke to who was

a second floor bartender, the initial confrontation and the stabbing appears to have happened up on the second floor. would be I guess if you came up the stairs and then took sort of a hard left back towards the front of the building, it happened around there. I believe we noticed some what appeared to be blood on a couple of the steps, too, near the bottom of the There was probably two or -probably two employees inside that had been aware of the confrontation. That a fight had happened. Maybe not necessarily a stabbing at the moment it happened. And then Mr. Welbury, all he had to offer me was he had seen the people running out like -- he some -- like, he could tell something had happened. Something was going on. And we were actually there -- I was there pretty quickly as the Sergeant said. I think Officer Lucy first got the ball rolling

1	because we hadn't received any calls on it.
2	And I was there relatively quickly, too.
3	GERALD REARDON: Mr. Chair, could l
4	ask a question?
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes.
6	GERALD REARDON: For the record,
7	what as your position that night; were you a
8	worki ng offi cer?
9	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I was in
10	uniform patrol in actually the sector car,
11	which is Sector III, the Cambridgeport,
12	Central Square area. It was two-man unit.
13	So that was the establishment was on my
14	route, so I was in the immediate area.
15	GERALD REARDON: Okay.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And anything else
17	you have to add to what you testified to so
18	far?
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I don't
20	bel i eve so.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you have any

information about how serious the wounds were with any of the victims? If any of them were life threatening or how debilitating the wounds for any of the four were?

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: The only one I would have information on is the lady I spoke to. I spent most of my time concentrating on her trying to get, you know, her version of what had happened.

And as I said, the slice wound to her thigh was pretty deep, and the puncture wound was rather deep, too. I suppose there's major arteries in the area. It could have possibly been life threatening. At the time I wasn't in fear for her life. But she was transported for treatment. She very clearly needed some, you know, major care for that.

MICHAEL GARDNER: And did you learn from any source how it was that she got from the place where the assault took place to the street?

1 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: She just ran 2 out with the whole crowd. 3 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And could you just 4 describe what the -- any observations you had 5 of the size of the crowd out on the street 6 when you came -- when you first arrived on 7 scene? 8 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: When I got 9 there, it was pretty crowded. There were 10 people all over the place. I don't remember 11 if the street was blocked. Once I found her 12 and saw she'd been stabbed pretty severely, I 13 focussed a lot of my attention on her until 14 after she was -- even after she got in the 15 ambulance, I spent sometime with her. But 16 there was a lot of people around. It was 17 pretty chaotic. And the hour especially, 18 what, it was half passed midnight I guess the 19 call started. 20 Commissioners, any MI CHAEL GARDNER: 21 other questions?

1 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: At what point 2 did you speak to Mr. Welbury? 3 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe I 4 talked to him real quick while the medics 5 were speaking to her. And I went back to him 6 again afterwards to get his contact 7 information as a witness and a little more of a full story, which he didn't have a lot to 8 9 say. As I said, pretty much -- because he 10 had been outside at the time. And he saw 11 everybody pretty much come rushing out of the 12 club at once and he could -- he was aware 13 that something bad had happened. 14 CHRISTOPHER BURKE: Did he identify 15 what his job function was? 16 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes. The 17 doorman. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And did you get 19 any sense from him that he understood that 20 there had been wounds or an assault, a 21 stabbi ng?

1	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I don't know
2	that he had specific knowledge of the
3	stabbing at the time. He probably had
4	learned he might have learned of it
5	through the circumstances afterwards. You
6	mean at the time it happened?
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm asking if you
8	have any information about when he learned
9	that there had actually been a stabbing?
10	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Well, the
11	moment he knew there was a stabbing was
12	probably a few minutes after everybody had
13	come piling out. At the time he just knew
14	something had happened.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
16	Mr. Rafferty.
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you,
18	Mr. Chairman.
19	Just a few questions, Officer. What
20	time would you estimate that you arrived at
21	the location?
	1

1	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: It was
2	within a minute or two of the first call.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. Now
4	at that time did you notice how many other
5	police officers were on scene?
6	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: At the
7	moment I arrived, there was maybe only one or
8	two other ones. I think we were one of the
9	first units off, other than Officer Lucy. I
10	believe he was in the wagon that night.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: But there
12	were already EMS personnel attending to the
13	victim when you arrived there; isn't that
14	fair to say?
15	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: No, I don't
16	believe there was. No, I'm sure they came
17	after I did.
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
19	Did you prepare a report in this case.
20	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I did.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I just

1	show the report and ask you to read the first
2	paragraph.
3	First of all, can you acknowledge
4	that's your report?
5	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
6	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm
7	reading from a report that bears the name of
8	Officer Bates at the top.
9	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes, that's
10	my report.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And in
12	that report, do you say that when you arrived
13	on scene, the EMS personnel were attending to
14	the victim?
15	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Actually it
16	does.
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Would that
18	suggest then that perhaps there were more
19	officers and personnel on the scene than your
20	earlier response?
21	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Apparently

1 the ambulance was there. I know -- would you 2 want me to read the whole thing or just the 3 point you wanted to get at? 4 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: No. 5 just wanted to reconcile your testimony that 6 you were among the first and you were there 7 prior to the arrival of EMS, with what I 8 understand to be in your report a statement 9 that you at the time of your arrival EMS was 10 al ready there. 11 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: According to 12 my report, it appears they were, sir. 13 still, I still think I was there within, say, 14 two minutes of the call. 15 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So things 16 happened fast then. The victim -- so we have 17 Officer Lucy arriving on the scene, radioing 18 about a victim. And EMS is treating that 19 victim. And you're there all within two 20 minutes of that activity is your best 21 estimate?

1	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe
2	so, yes.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
4	Do you think you might also might be
5	mistaken as to the number of other police
6	officers that are already on the scene when
7	you get there?
8	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Well,
9	ultimately I can only testify as to what I
10	saw, sir. When I got there there was maybe
11	only, you know, two ore three other officers
12	there. There could have been more that I
13	hadn't noticed, came behind me or parked
14	somewhere else or what not.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
16	At any rate, you did have an
17	opportunity to speak with the victim as you
18	testi fi ed?
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And she
21	informed you in fact that there had been a
	1

1	fight inside the club and that's where she
2	had sustained her injuries; is that correct?
3	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
5	learn during the course of the investigation
6	whether this incident involved a single
7	suspect or multiple suspects?
8	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe it
9	was a fight between at least two people.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm
11	referring to the stabbing of the young woman
12	that you encountered on the corner.
13	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Oh. During
14	my whole response I came to find there was, I
15	think we at the time we had known three.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Three
17	suspects.
18	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Sorry,
19	suspects or victims?
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Suspects.
21	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Oh. No, I

1	believe only one was articulated.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: All right.
3	There seems to be some consistency in the
4	reports of the officers, including yourself
5	of a heavyset, six-foot black male with a
6	beard. Is that consistent with your
7	recollection?
8	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That sounds
9	ri ght.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Were you
11	aware of statements given by some of the
12	other victims that they were not even aware
13	that they had been stabbed until they left
14	the club?
15	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I didn't
16	speak to any of the victims, sir.
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: That's not
18	my question, Officer.
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Oh, I'm
20	sorry.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you

1 become aware of statements made by any other 2 victims where they indicated that they were 3 not even aware that they had been stabbed; 4 whether you have any awareness of those 5 stabbi ngs? 6 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: No, I 7 di dn' t. 8 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. But 9 the -- I have, the police officer's reports 10 have been marked as an exhibit or do I need 11 to introduce them? 12 ELIZABETH LINT: You wouldn't need 13 They are part of the record. to. 14 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: They are 15 part of the record. 16 ELIZABETH LINT: They are part of 17 the record. 18 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'll bring 19 that up then I guess in argument. Because 20 there seems to be a series of statements from 21 individuals. It goes to the issue -- the

1 relevance of this goes to the issue of what 2 was known, how quickly things happened and 3 was it an incident with a single individual 4 who fled, and there was an attempt to grab 5 that individual and the timeline involving 6 notification. But I understand if you don't 7 have knowledge of that, then I have no 8 further questions. 9 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you have any 10 conversations with Mr. Jeffrey Gilmore? 11 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe I 12 saw him there. I don't think I spoke to him. 13 MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. Thank 14 you very much, appreciate it. I again ask 15 you to stay if you would until it's 16 concluded. 17 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes, sir. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Mr. Rafferty. Do you want to talk about this incident? 19 20 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Sure. Mr. 21 Chairman, we essentially have one witness.

But in the interest of time, by waiver and offer of proof, I'd be happy to stipulate to a number of facts that have been testified to by the officers.

There was indeed an incident that occurred at the club which resulted unfortunately in the stabbing of a few individuals.

Mr. Gilmore, Mr. Jeffrey Gilmore was present that evening. And he would testify to what he observed. But there's no factual dispute that it did indeed happen within the club.

And I think the appropriate review for the Commission would be three-fold: Whether or not there was anything about the conduct of the Licensee that created an environment that may have facilitated or allowed this to happen.

I think the second question is what was the response of the Licensee when the

1	i nci dent occurred?
2	And I'd say the third incidence is
3	whether or not any violations of any License
4	Commission rules and regulations were
5	occurred by the Licensee.
6	So I'll ask Mr. Gilmore to state his
7	name and address for the record.
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: Jeffrey Gilmore,
9	185 Putnam Avenue, Cambridge.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:
11	Mr. Gilmore, how are you employed?
12	JEFFREY GILMORE: As the manager of
13	the Western Front.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Is it fair
15	to say that you are involved in most of the
16	principal operations of the club?
17	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And who
19	owns the Western Front?
20	JEFFREY GILMORE: Marvin Gilmore.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: What's

1	your relationship to Marvin Gilmore?
2	JEFFREY GILMORE: He's my uncle.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And do you
4	know how long your uncle has owned the
5	Western Front?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: 35, going on 40
7	years I think.
8	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. And
9	how long have you been associated or working
10	at the club?
11	JEFFREY GILMORE: Over 15.
12	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You live
13	nearby, in close proximity to the club?
14	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Where do
16	you live?
17	JEFFREY GILMORE: In the same
18	bui I di ng.
19	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Now,
20	drawing your attention to the evening in
21	question, July 29th. Do you recall what day

1 of the week that was? 2 Thursday. JEFFREY GILMORE: 3 Thursday. ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 4 What was occurring at the club that evening? 5 JEFFREY GI LMORE: We have DJ's who 6 spin records and performers who sing along 7 with the records. ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 8 Could you 9 briefly describe for the Commission the 10 layout of the club, the physical layout of 11 the space? 12 There's a probably JEFFREY GILMORE: 13 a four-step walkup to the two entrances. 14 entrance on the right-hand side, and with a 15 section in between and then another entrance 16 on the left. The entrance on the left takes 17 you to the downstairs bar, and then you can 18 -- once you're inside, you continue around to a staircase that brings you up to the second 19 20 floor. 21 The second floor as you top the stairs

1 to the left is the bar, second floor bar. 2 And to the right is the -- is a floor area 3 with the sound booth back there. And the 4 men's, ladies room kitty-corner to the right 5 and the back. Stage is facing you as you 6 crest the stairs. 7 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So the 8 entertainment at the club occurs as it did 9 that evening on the second floor of the club; 10 is that correct? 11 JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes. 12 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And with 13 regard to the entertainment that evening, 14 what was involved, how was it arranged, and 15 how were patrons permitted to access the 16 entertainment area? JEFFREY GI LMORE: 17 On the right-hand 18 side is the entrance, a main entrance. 19 pay the fair inside and continue in to the 20 music upstairs. 21 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.

1 What time did you arrive at the club that 2 eveni ng? 3 JEFFREY GILMORE: I'm there at eight 4 o' cl ock. 5 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And when 6 you -- were you there through the entire 7 duration of the evening? 8 JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes. 9 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Drawi ng 10 your attention then to the period of time 11 approaching midnight, can you explain what 12 you did that evening in a broader context, 13 how consistent that is with your typical 14 Thursday night operations? 15 JEFFREY GILMORE: Normal procedure 16 for Thursday nights being a weeknight and 17 it's a neighborhood, we like to slow things 18 down around midnight. So I had the DJs 19 continue background music while the 20 performers were no longer performing. 21 bring up the lights. We clear -- get the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bartenders to start clearing tables. And kind of slow things down until we close at one o'clock.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So around 12:15 that evening, can you inform the Commission where you were and what happened in the following 15 minutes?

JEFFREY GILMORE: I was up there approximately midnight. I got to -- I mean, twelve o'clock I was up there doing what I just described. Got downstairs, I normally monitor the exit door. You know, make sure what's going on. Who's leaving and, you know, people -- just doing the regular monitoring. I'm at the door, and probably five minutes later I hear the commotion upstairs. I see people running down the stairs. Which where I'm at is the door, and no more than four feet away from me are the stairs coming down from upstairs. I run up through the crowd, look around. I don't see

anybody, any commotion. I see chairs and 1 2 tables knocked over. As I'm up there, I go 3 around to the bar and make sure everything's 4 -- everybody's okay at the bar. There's 5 really no people on that side. People have 6 already exited as they're running by me. 7 go over to the other side, look and see on the right-hand side, there's no more than two 8 9 minutes it takes me to get from one side to 10 the other. And I go downstairs. 11 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: At that 12 point when you go downstairs, do you have an 13 understanding of what has occurred? 14 JEFFREY GI LMORE: No, no. 15 there's some kinds of commotion. I have no 16 idea anybody's been attacked or stabbed or 17 People are running by me, I'm anythi ng. 18 trying to assess the situation on the second 19 floor. 20 **After** ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 21 you're upstairs, where did you then go?

JEFFREY GILMORE: I came back down the stairs, followed the people out, make sure, monitor the door. As soon as I get out the door, no more than a minute later there's police outside. People are outside on the front sidewalk. There's a squad car on the opposite side of the street. And I went outside for a few minutes, and I went back inside to make sure there was -- there's no people left upstairs or in the building.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: At the point in time when you described when you go outside and you observed the police, did you yet have knowledge of what's taken place upstairs?

JEFFREY GILMORE: No, I have no idea people have been stabbed. No. I know there's an altercation because the physical evidence is there. The tables and chairs are all over the place. But as far as someone's been injured, no.

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: When did
2	you first Learn of that?
3	JEFFREY GI LMORE: The stabbi ng?
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes.
5	JEFFREY GILMORE: When the police
6	officers came back inside.
7	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
8	recall which officer you spoke with?
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: I spoke with the
10	Sergeant many times. He was there late. He
11	was there with me walking around the building
12	for at least 45 minutes. I don't think l
13	spoke to the patrol man.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Sergeant
15	McHale testified that he had a conversation
16	with you where you stated that you were
17	overcrowded and caught by surprise. Did you
18	ever have that conversation with the
19	Sergeant?
20	JEFFREY GILMORE: No, I did not.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you

1	talk to anyone else at the club who might
2	have had a conversation of a similar content?
3	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Mr. Wel bury, yes.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm not sure I
5	understand that answer. The question was did
6	he have a conversation with somebody who said
7	they were overcrowded or caught by surprise?
8	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You want
9	the question repeated?
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm trying to
11	understand.
12	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: My
13	question is the testimony of the Sergeant
14	regarding the conversation about being
15	understaffed, that the statement that the
16	Sergeant has attributed to you, it's your
17	testimony that you did not make those
18	statements to the Sergeant?
19	JEFFREY GILMORE: No, I did not.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: But as a
21	result of conversations you've had with

1	Mr. Wel bury, have you come to understand
2	whether or not he had such conversations?
3	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes, I have.
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes. And
5	what does Mr. Welbury Look Like?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: He's about my
7	height, we're about the same build. Pretty
8	cl ose.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So he
10	looks like you?
11	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yeah, we're close,
12	yes.
13	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. And
14	what's Mr. Welbury's relationship to the
15	cl ub?
16	JEFFREY GILMORE: He's our promoter.
17	He's in for entertainment purposes.
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Can you
19	briefly describe what the role of the
20	promoter is?
21	JEFFREY GILMORE: He's promoter. He

1	does the ticketing at the door. He does
2	flyers, things like that.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So at the
4	point in time that you understand that a
5	stabbing has occurred, how long have you been
6	aware of the presence of the police on the
7	scene?
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: They were there
9	almost immediately. As soon as I left the
10	building, they were outside.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
12	call the police when you learned that this
13	act of violence had occurred in the club?
14	JEFFREY GILMORE: No, I did not.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Why did
16	you not do that?
17	JEFFREY GILMORE: When the police
18	are there, I kind of do you need to call
19	the police? I don't I mean, the police
20	are there.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So your

1 answer is the police were already there? 2 JEFFREY GILMORE: From the time it 3 took me to get downstairs from the second 4 floor out the door, the police were already 5 there outside. In fact --6 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So your 7 reason for not calling the police is because 8 the police were already on the scene at the 9 time you became aware of the incident? 10 JEFFREY GLLMORE: Correct. 11 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And how 12 long would you estimate from the time the 13 crowd rushed down the stairs that you first 14 observed the police on the scene? 15 JEFFREY GILMORE: Less than five 16 Four minutes. It took me to go up mi nutes. 17 through, through the crowd on the staircase, 18 up to the second floor, see there was no 19 people up there, back through, down through 20 the second floor and out the door, three to 21 four minutes maximum.

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Was the
2	club understaffed that night? Can you tell
3	us how many people were there and how you
4	typically manage crowd control?
5	JEFFREY GILMORE: No. We were not
6	understaffed.
7	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: How many
8	people were there and how did you manage?
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: I had two
10	bartenders, myself, two people at the door
11	and one assisting at the door.
12	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And how
13	many people would you estimate were in the
14	club around the period of time at midnight
15	when things began to deteriorate?
16	JEFFREY GILMORE: No more than 70
17	peopl e.
18	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Pri or to
19	the incident where the patrons came running
20	down the stairs, had there been any
21	altercation earlier that evening?

1	JEFFREY GI LMORE: No.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Had there
3	been any reports to you or anything you
4	observed that was out of the ordinary in the
5	conduct of the patrons?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: Not at all.
7	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I have
8	nothi ng further.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Commi ssi oners?
10	GERALD REARDON: Could you tell us
11	how you control occupancy?
12	JEFFREY GILMORE: The count at the
13	door at the entrance.
14	GERALD REARDON: So you would have a
15	count that night of who was in the club at
16	the time?
17	JEFFREY GILMORE: I think maximum
18	was 80 people.
19	GERALD REARDON: And you also do a
20	subtraction count for people leaving and
21	coming and going?

1	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yeah, yeah. It's
2	my job.
3	GERALD REARDON: But you weren't at
4	the door?
5	JEFFREY GILMORE: I'm everywhere.
6	I'm at the door. I'm at both doors. I'm
7	upstairs. So I can keep a head count, a
8	visual head count while I'm through the club.
9	So I can count who's on the first floor,
10	who's on the second floor. So, yeah, I can
11	moni tor.
12	GERALD REARDON: But in terms of
13	actual, with the door people, do they
14	actually use clickers or counters to know
15	what the occupancy is?
16	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Ti ckets. Physi cal
17	tickets, paper tickets.
18	GERALD REARDON: So you would be
19	able to tell how many tickets were sold that
20	night at the club?
21	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes, sir.

1 GERALD REARDON: And on the second 2 floor, how many people are on the second 3 floor that are employees? A bartender --4 JEFFREY GILMORE: Two -- three. 5 Actually three because there's a sound 6 engineer up there also. 7 GERALD REARDON: And I guess the other question would be I guess, we haven't 8 9 viewed the tape, but in terms of the band or 10 whoever the performers were trying to calm 11 the crowd, are you aware of them doing that 12 at all? 13 JEFFREY GILMORE: I haven't seen the 14 tape so it could -- it could be someone, you 15 know, when they're on the microphone, they 16 can say all kinds of stuff. So it could have 17 been somebody saying something about, you 18 know, anything. I didn't -- no, I did not 19 hear it. 20 GERALD REARDON: Your testimony is 21 the three people on the second floor, when do

1 they know of an altercation, if they were on 2 the second floor? And it's not that big a 3 footprint. 4 JEFFREY GILMORE: They would know 5 immediately. The bartenders would know immediately. 6 7 GERALD REARDON: And what was 8 position of the bartender in terms of making 9 noti fi cati on? 10 JEFFREY GILMORE: To me personally? 11 GERALD REARDON: Well, what is the club's policy on them making a 12 13 recommendation? Do they have to find you 14 before they make an emergency phone call? 15 JEFFREY GILMORE: Oh, I see what you 16 mean. 17 GERALD REARDON: Yes. 18 JEFFREY GILMORE: I would assume 19 that if they know that there's something 20 that's going on in that -- you know, if they 21 made a phone call, they, they -- the action

1 took place on the left. The bar is on the 2 right. So by the time I got up there, they 3 knew that I was on. They saw me there. 4 they know that I'm in charge of what goes on. 5 GERALD REARDON: But there's three 6 people up there prior to you going up? 7 JEFFREY GILMORE: Well, the sound engineer. I don't know what his -- he 8 9 doesn't really work for us. 10 GERALD REARDON: I guess I'm asking 11 the policy of the club in terms of what would 12 they do if they saw a medical emergency, 13 altercation, someone has whatever, what is 14 the club policy? Who makes the notification? 15 Is there a phone available? 16 JEFFREY GILMORE: By the time --17 But by the time I'm up there, people ves. 18 are all ready downstails. They're gone. 19 mean, there's hardly -- there's no one 20 upstairs really. The people have run out. 21 So, if they see me, they're assuming that I'm

1	in control.
2	GERALD REARDON: I understand that.
3	But I'm asking you in general, what is the
4	policy
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: He's
6	asking about the policy.
7	GERALD REARDON: Who makes the call?
8	Is there any policy spelled out to them
9	speci fi cal l y?
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: I would.
11	GERALD REARDON: Would they have to
12	wait for you or could they independently make
13	a call, and do they have the means of making
14	a call?
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: If they felt it
16	was necessary, they would definitely make the
17	phone call, yes.
18	GERALD REARDON: And do they have a
19	telephone accessible to them?
20	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Oh, yeah. Uh-huh.
21	GERALD REARDON: And it's a club

1	wired phone that's available somewhere?
2	JEFFREY GILMORE: There is a yes,
3	a land line.
4	MARVIN GILMORE: And a cell phone is
5	there as well.
6	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: I'm a little
7	unclear on what the staffing was at the time
8	the altercation occurred. Now you testified
9	that there were two bartenders?
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: Right.
11	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And there's one
12	on the first floor?
13	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Uh-huh.
14	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: And one on the
15	second floor?
16	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
17	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And then there's
18	yoursel f?
19	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Uh-huh.
20	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And you said the
21	three other employees were at the door. So,

1	when the altercation occurred, who was on the
2	second floor?
3	JEFFREY GILMORE: Bartender
4	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Just the
5	bartender al one?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes. And the
7	sound man. There's only one bar up there.
8	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: What's the role
9	of the sound man?
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: He just does the
11	music, you know. He controls the band.
12	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So, you stated
13	that there was an altercation. How were you
14	alerted that there was an altercation?
15	JEFFREY GI LMORE: There was? That
16	there was?
17	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Yes.
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: I heard it.
19	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: What did you
20	hear?
21	JEFFREY GILMORE: I heard chairs

1	crashing. That's what made me run upstairs.
2	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Were chairs
3	crashi ng i nsi de or outsi de?
4	JEFFREY GILMORE: Inside the
5	bui I di ng.
6	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So you' re cl ear
7	the incident occurred inside?
8	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Oh, yeah. There
9	was
10	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Okay.
11	JEFFREY GILMORE: The altercation
12	yes.
13	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So you heard the
14	chairs falling and then you rushed upstairs?
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
16	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: What did you see
17	when you got on the second floor?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: Nobody. Nothing.
19	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: You just saw the
20	tables overturned and the chairs knocked
21	over?

1	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
2	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So you saw the
3	results of the altercation?
4	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
5	GERALD REARDON: If I could, where
6	was the bartender at that particular point
7	from the second floor?
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: At the bar. The
9	bar there's a as it cuts through, the
10	second floor there's a wall in between. And
11	then you just stick a little area that they
12	can cut through there to the other side. The
13	side of the altercation.
14	GERALD REARDON: So on the second
15	floor there's primarily, without total view
16	of the area, you've got the sound person who
17	is really doing sound work and you've got the
18	bartender who may or may not have full view
19	of that second floor?
20	JEFFREY GILMORE: They can easily
21	see what's going on. They come around the

1 There's two sides to the bar. corner. 2 GERALD REARDON: But that's the only 3 person that was assigned up there for that 4 whole second floor is actually just the 5 bartender at this point? The sound man was 6 doing a different job. 7 JEFFREY GILMORE: The sound man's -yeah, he's doing a different job. Yes, yes. 8 9 So, when you got MI CHAEL GARDNER: 10 up there and the room was essentially cleared 11 and you saw tables and chairs turned over, I 12 thought I -- and the bartender was still 13 there, right? 14 JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes. 15 Did you ask the MI CHAEL GARDNER: 16 bartender what happened? 17 JEFFREY GILMORE: I went back 18 downstairs immediately. There's no one up 19 there. I went back downstairs to monitor the 20 door to get outside to see where people are 21 running to.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you didn't ask
2	the bartender what happened?
3	JEFFREY GILMORE: No. Not until
4	later on of course.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: Right. And you've
6	been a manager for 15 years or so?
7	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Have you ever been
9	there when the second floor cleared out that
10	fast before?
11	JEFFREY GILMORE: Well, considering
12	it wasn't as large a crowd as, you know,
13	people are trying to make it seem like, it
14	doesn't make much to get what, 15, 20 people
15	out of there instantly.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: So if there are 80
17	people in the club about that time and only
18	15 or 20 of them were upstairs?
19	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yeah. 80 people
20	altogether. So that would include that's
21	the whole evening. So people are coming and
	1

1	going. So at any given time the
2	downstairs they hang out down there because
3	there's a bar down there also. There could
4	be 40 people downstairs and 40 people
5	upstairs. It fluctuates.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: But is what you're
7	telling us at the time of the altercation
8	only about 20 people upstairs?
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: From the people I
10	saw come down the stairs and how many people
11	were up there when I got up the stairs, I
12	wouldn't say more than 30 maximum that were
13	on the second floor.
14	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Have you ever seen
15	the entire crowd pour off the second floor as
16	a result of an incident?
17	JEFFREY GILMORE: That would assume
18	that there was an incident. I never had an
19	incident like that.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: So, is this the
21	most serious incident that has occurred

1	inside the premises of the bar since you've
2	been there?
3	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes, sir.
4	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Coul d you
5	clarify for me what Mr. Welbury's role is? I
6	heard him called a doorman and a promoter.
7	Can you clarify that?
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: He's pretty much
9	both. He monitors the door through the
10	ti cket sal es.
11	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: During the
12	course of an evening where there's
13	entertainment, is he promoting it or is he a
14	doorman?
15	JEFFREY GI LMORE: He's monitoring
16	the door for the tickets.
17	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So where does
18	the promoter role come in?
19	JEFFREY GILMORE: I guess it would
20	be before he to promote the show. And
21	then the night of the show he's taking

1	ti ckets.
2	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And he's paid by
3	you? He's an employee of the bar?
4	JEFFREY GILMORE: I don't pay him,
5	no. He earns money through ticket sales.
6	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: How I ong has he
7	been in that role?
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: A year.
9	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Do you have any
10	other people who are on your payroll that are
11	at the door or provide any door role?
12	JEFFREY GILMORE: That night I used
13	his people.
14	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So, how many
15	people were employed by the Western Front
16	that were at this location during this
17	i nci dent?
18	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Four.
19	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And that would
20	be the two bartenders
21	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Uh-huh.

1	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: yoursel f?
2	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Uh-huh.
3	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And are you
4	including the sound man?
5	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Yeah, we pay him.
6	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: And his function
7	is to stay in the booth where the sound
8	equipment is?
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
10	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So, for the
11	purposes of this incident you have two floors
12	with three employees?
13	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
14	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Okay.
15	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Three paid
16	employees by the Western Front.
17	GERALD REARDON: Just to follow up
18	with two things. So, I'm a little confused
19	with the bartender on the second floor.
20	Altercation, things are tipping over, he
21	hears it. People are running out of the

1	place. At this point he makes no phone call
2	to anyone?
3	JEFFREY GILMORE: Well, like I said
4	before, as soon as he sees me, he knows I'm
5	doing I'm taking care of business.
6	GERALD REARDON: Well, I mean,
7	you're probably fast but you're not going to
8	be up there in it probably takes you a
9	couple minutes to get upstairs.
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: No, no. It's only
11	14 flights 14 steps.
12	GERALD REARDON: You testified you
13	were fighting your way up the stairs because
14	the crowd was coming down.
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: No, I never said I
16	was fighting. I was just going through the
17	crowd
18	GERALD REARDON: Well, I guess it
19	would be fair to say that the bartender
20	JEFFREY GILMORE: I'm a big guy. I
21	can get through the crowd.
	1

1	GERALD REARDON: earlier than you
2	di d.
3	JEFFREY GI LMORE: No.
4	Instantaneously. I heard it. I'm at the
5	bottom of the stairs. I heard it. As soon
6	as I get upstairs, through the people, I'm
7	upstairs. They see me, then I go back down.
8	GERALD REARDON: And as far as the
9	door person goes, there's no one from the
10	Western Front on your payroll who's actually
11	taking and monitoring tickets or occupancy?
12	JEFFREY GILMORE: Not on our
13	payrol I .
14	GERALD REARDON: Okay. Thank you.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: And isn't it three
16	or four minutes from when the altercation
17	occurs or you hear the sound until you
18	realize the police are there?
19	JEFFREY GILMORE: No more than
20	three. I'm outside. I see the police.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. So I guess

I don't understand why under the procedures here you've had an event which has cleared out an entire floor of all of the customers, tables and chairs are overturned you still don't know what happened, but you know something happened. And neither you or the bartender think to put a call in to 9-1-1 to get a police response? And I want to know why that didn't happen.

JEFFREY GILMORE: I don't believe it's not thinking about it. I think things happened so quickly that to physically pick up the phone and make the phone call when I've already gone outside and know the police are on the premises --

MICHAEL GARDNER: No, you didn't know the police were on the premises for three or four minutes if I understood your testimony, right? So I'm talking about the three or four minutes.

JEFFREY GILMORE: If I'm going to

1 make the phone call, I would have to do it 2 while I was running up and down the stairs. 3 And your testimony MI CHAEL GARDNER: 4 is because you had appeared on the second 5 floor, the bartender now does nothing because 6 you're in charge? 7 JEFFREY GILMORE: The bartender should be securing the bar. 8 9 MI CHAEL GARDNER: The bartender 10 shouldn't be calling the police to get 11 assistance for a public safety event? 12 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well. 13 Mr. Chairman, I think what the testimony has 14 been here is that there was obviously signs 15 Perhaps the question the of a commotion. 16 Commissioner's asking is does the Licensee, 17 when he becomes aware of a commotion, but is 18 uncertain as to the extent or existence of 19 injuries, should the initial response be to 20 call the police? Because clearly the facts 21 as testified to by the witness, as well as

the officers, that's not what happened here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And there's no factual dispute over that.

What the witness has testified to, what

Mr. Gilmore says is he was attempting to

ascertain what had happened, and within a

short period of time he realizes the police

So, that's his explanation for are present.

why the police were not called.

But it seems from the line of questioning that the suggestion is that the immediate response in a nightclub setting to a commotion would be for someone to pick up the phone and call the police.

Well, commotion --MI CHAEL GARDNER: I mean, something that scared enough people that they immediately evacuated the room, leaving tables and chairs overturned. mean, is -- I mean, I suppose you can label that a commotion. But it seems like it must have been for somebody a pretty scary or di srupti ve event.

No

1

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY:

2 disagreement. And no one's here suggesting 3 in an effort to trivialize what occurred 4 here. I think what the witness has testified 5 to is he had not yet ascertained exactly what 6 had happened and he has answered honestly 7 that no, he did not -- his initial response, and clearly that of the bartender was not to 8 9 call the police. Patrons had left. 10 was something had gone on. And at some point 11 in time there was a discovery or an awareness 12 by the Licensee, by the manager that the 13 And I think the police are on the scene. 14 timeline suggests that somewhere in the three 15 to five minute range. I suspect the focus of 16 the Commission's review was whether that 17 period of time represents a deviation from 18 good management practices by a Licensee. 19 would offer by way of argument a number of 20 mitigating circumstances, including the fact 21 that Mr. Gilmore was on the scene at the

1 front door at the time of the incident 2 because the club was in the process of 3 exiting. And the exiting club has patrons on 4 the street regardless of the incident. 5 portion of the patrons that are on the street 6 are part of the natural closing down 7 operation of the club. It was all 8 accelerated by this incident. So the 9 additional 20 or 30 people that came running 10 down on the stairs are now out on the street. 11 But if the opportunity exists for the 12 Commission to read the reports, you'll find 13 that at least two of the victims interviewed 14 at the Beth Israel and at the Cambridge City 15 Hospital acknowledge that it wasn't until 16 they were outside that they themselves were 17 So in retrospect a four individual stabbing is a serious, serious offense. 18 19 there's no attempt to trivialize it. But 20 there was a certain quickness to what 21 happened, and an evacuation of the premises.

1	And I think the witness has testified
2	honestly that that's what he did. He
3	attempted to ascertain what had happened and
4	was within a few minutes aware of the
5	presence of the police.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Just a
7	couple other questions, Mr. Gilmore.
8	The promoters, I'm sorry, was Mr
9	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Wel bury?
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Wel bury.
11	Worked in some sort of business arrangement
12	with you as a promoter at the club for about
13	a year?
14	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Uh-huh.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: Essentially the
16	person to whom you had turned over
17	responsibility for managing head counts and
18	occupancy control, right?
19	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm going
20	to object to the characterization. That's
21	not what the testimony was.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay, well, then
2	all right, fine. You've objected. Then
3	let me follow up and understand what I
4	what his let me
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Pri or to
6	fact
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: Let me ask him.
8	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: the
9	questions are to be reflective of the
10	testi mony.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: Let me ask him
12	then about his testimony.
13	As I understand it, Mr. Welbury was
14	responsible for selling the tickets.
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: And his income
17	came from the tickets?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
19	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And the source of
20	understanding occupancy compliance would be
21	the tickets?

1	JEFFREY GILMORE: Would be me.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: The
3	witness testified
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, how is
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: of his
6	own personal observation, Mr. Chairman.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: No. May I ask
8	you, please, to let me ask questions of the
9	wi tness?
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I'm used
11	to a different format. I apologize,
12	Mr. Chairman. But the trier of fact isn't
13	always the prosecutor in the case. And in
14	this case is, all I'm trying to do is have
15	the questions be consistent with the
16	testimony of the witness and not include
17	legal conclusions that are at odds with that
18	testi mony.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. And I'm
20	kind of used to having the evidence come in
21	before the argument is made.

1	So, you're responsible for essentially
2	occupancy control?
3	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: How do you do
5	that?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: Head count.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And your head
8	count is done how?
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: I count the people
10	in the club. I monitor the club. I am up,
11	down, up down, all night.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: Is there any
13	reason why you would question Mr. Welbury's
14	assessment that there was a larger crowd than
15	expected and there was insufficient staff to
16	handle that crowd based on bias? Any reason
17	he would say that out of malice or bias?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: I think it would
19	be more along the lines that he was worried
20	about his job. Feeling sorry for himself
21	maybe, you know? Second guessing himself. I

1	don't know. I have no idea.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you have any
3	doubt about the sincerity of that comment?
4	JEFFREY GILMORE: My comment?
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: His comment.
6	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Oh. Oh, yeah.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you have
8	conversations with him about why he said it?
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: In passing.
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Could you relate
11	those conversations to us?
12	JEFFREY GILMORE: Pretty much why
13	would you say something like that? Why would
14	when you know full well it's not, you know,
15	he has no explanation.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So when you ask
17	him why, he didn't tell you why he said it?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: He truthfully
19	he doesn't even remember saying it.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
21	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Could I ask

1 Officer Bates a question? 2 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Can I have 3 a redirect on this witness before we go to 4 another witness? 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes. I think we 6 should do that. 7 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Okay. 8 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Could you 9 explain -- Mr. Welbury who has become the 10 subject of much inquiry here this evening, 11 could you explain what his business is and 12 whether he works with any other clubs in 13 Cambridge and what the role of a promoter is 14 and the relationship of the promoter to an 15 event? 16 JEFFREY GLLMORE: He works with 17 quite a few. He works with Middle East, and 18 that's probably where I met him. He works 19 with Harper's Ferry in Boston. He works with -- there's, I can't remember the little clubs 20 21 in Cambridge that seem to be popping up

1 everywhere. 2 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Can you 3 describe then for the Commission what the 4 promoter does in terms of promoting the 5 event, marketing the event and arranging the 6 entertai nment? 7 JEFFREY GLLMORE: He finds the acts. 8 He promotes the acts, and he sells tickets. 9 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: There's a 10 reason you are located -- towards the closing 11 hours of the evening, what's your typical 12 location in the nightclub? 13 JEFFREY GILMORE: I'm either on the 14 second floor monitoring or down by the front 15 exit door. 16 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And why 17 are you positioned at the exit door as you 18 were that evening at that time? 19 JEFFREY GILMORE: To monitor people 20 Make sure people don't bring exi ti ng. 21 bottles out and things like that.

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: How many
2	people would you estimate had exited in the
3	time frame just prior to the incident
4	occurri ng?
5	JEFFREY GILMORE: The lights went up
6	at midnight. Approximately 20 20, 25.
7	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And you
8	were present at the door to ensure an orderly
9	exiting of those patrons?
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
11	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Pri or to
12	that incident had there been any difficulty
13	with the patrons as they were exiting the
14	cl ub?
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: None whatsoever.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
17	make any observation of the area outside the
18	club as the patrons were exiting prior to the
19	i nci dent?
20	JEFFREY GILMORE: I definitely.
21	I monitor the outside also. And there was no

1	i nci dent no probl ems.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Were there
3	people on the sidewalk out there?
4	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Oh, yeah.
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: How many
6	people would you estimate were already on the
7	sidewalk before any incident occurred inside
8	the club?
9	JEFFREY GI LMORE: 10, 15.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
11	I have nothing further.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Superi ntendent?
13	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: No questions of
14	Mr. Gilmore.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You had some
16	questions of the Officer?
17	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Yes. Officer
18	Bates, you spoke to Mr. Welbury?
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes, sir.
20	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: And you didn't
21	speak to Mr. Gilmore?

1	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: No, I
2	di dn' t.
3	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: Can you tell me
4	does Mr. Gilmore Look Like Mr. Welbury?
5	Would you have confused them?
6	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: No, I
7	wouldn't. I believe they are I don't
8	recall exactly how tall Mr. Gilmore is, but I
9	believe they are somewhat consistent in
10	stature.
11	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So other than
12	height you would be confused in their
13	appearance?
14	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I wouldn't
15	confuse them.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I
17	think we can stipulate one is a black man and
18	the other is a white man.
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes, sir.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: The fact
21	that that goes unnoticed is probably a good

1	thing. It might be a physical feature that
2	is worth
3	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Well, I
4	understand but I thought there was some
5	statements earlier
6	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I just
7	wanted it on the record, Superintendent.
8	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Officer
9	McHale was speaking to the wrong person. I
10	thought there were statements of the crowd
11	being out of control and there was some
12	confusion as to who actually made that
13	statement. So I was looking for
14	clarification on that.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: Any other
16	questions about the incident on July the
17	29th?
18	Mr. Rafferty, would you like to
19	summarize on this event or would you like to
20	hold it for after we deal with the second?
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, I'll

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

just briefly conclude, and I don't have much more to add because as the Chair noted, I think I was able to structure the testimony around essentially what we would suggest is the issue for the Commission inquiry, a very appropriate inquiry, involving the conduct of the Licensee. I would suggest that the testimony is that there was indeed an incident, and that's not being disputed. That it was an average-sized capacity given what's allowed there, had been the testimony from Mr. Gilmore. In that there was nothing in the record to suggest that the environment at the club led to or contributed to the stabbi ng.

The second question for which we have spent appropriate amount of time is understanding what the Licensee -- what action the Licensee took upon the incident occurring. And I would acknowledge that there is no record of the Licensee calling

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But I do think that requires a the police. close focus and attention on the timeline starting with the appearance of Officer Lucy at the corner. How soon he is on the scene. Other officers are there. Not everyone's memory is good this evening as it might have been that night. It's clear that the EMT's were there, and the officers were there. But Officer Bates believes he's there within a very short time as well, but obviously a period of time between Officer Lucy's arrival, Officer Lucy's sending out a dispatch about what he's encountered, and the arrival of the EMTs treating the victim in It is a compressed timeline, that location. and it is not inconsistent with the timeline that Mr. Gilmore as testified to.

I think one of the lessons here, in fact, I have counseled Mr. Gilmore is perhaps that notwithstanding the presence of the police, it would behoove a Licensee to have a

1 record of a call. And he's frankly scoffed 2 at that notion when we reviewed this matter. 3 Why would I call? I said, because this will 4 be looked at months after the fact, memories 5 will fade, and there will not be a record of 6 a call. And as the Licensee, you could 7 suffer as a result of that if there's a 8 retrospective look at why weren't you called. 9 We've offered the Commission, the 10 It's a truthful explanation. expl anati on. 11 Whether it meets the management and standards 12 imposed upon the Licensee by the Commission, 13 is obviously within your discretion. 14 note, however, that I think that the level of 15 cooperation by the Licensee when the police 16 are on the scene is consistent with those 17 Mr. Gilmore testified that he standards. 18 remained until 4:30 that morning dealing with 19 detectives and police officers around this 20 incident, and that he has remained 21 cooperative in providing information. It was

1	apparently a one-off incident involving an
2	individual who seems to have gotten into some
3	kind type altercation with some other people.
4	Thank you for the chance to frame the
5	issue from the perspective of the Licensee.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: And of course you
7	reminded me of one other question which I'll
8	ask.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Question
10	times are over, Mr. Chairman, you can't ask
11	any.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
13	Sergeant McHale, Officer Bates,
14	anybody, do we know who made the call to
15	bring the EMTs or bring medical response?
16	SERGEANT MARK MCHALE: I think as
17	soon as Officer Lucy was informed there was a
18	victim who was assaulted, he immediately
19	called for medical.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you. Shall
21	we move to the

1	JEFFREY GILMORE: Can I just in
2	the report it says he was driving by. So
3	immediately he was there.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You're referring
5	to Officer Lucy?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
8	Shall we move to the August 13th?
9	ELIZABETH LINT: May I suggest that
10	you make a motion on this matter to either
11	decide it or continue it to the November 4th
12	decision-making hearing?
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Al though
14	I've done it and sort of talked about this as
15	one thing, I had seen that the agenda item is
16	for two incidents. But are you suggesting
17	that we break them out?
18	ELIZABETH LINT: I think you have
19	to. They're two separate dates, you have to
20	treat them as two separate.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. I'II

1 certainly take your advice about that. 2 Pleasure of the Commissioners on this? 3 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: 4 Mr. Chairman, just before the Commission 5 disposes of the matter, there is the issue 6 and I apologize, of this videotape. And it's 7 my understanding that there are personnel at the License Commission, including the License 8 9 Commission investigator and the License 10 Commission Executive Officer that have viewed 11 that videotape. And that the notice -- and I'd like the record to reflect that with the 12 13 full knowledge of viewing that videotape, the 14 notice of this hearing does not include a 15 complaint for overcrowding. I think there's 16 a reason for that. 17 ELIZABETH LINT: I would be happy to 18 address that. 19 Both myself -- I did not view the 20 entire videotape. I viewed sections of it. 21 It's actually nothing like I've ever seen

1 When you put the disc in, it gives before. 2 you segments. So I was shown specific 3 segments that were later in the evening. 4 you could not tell how many people were in 5 there. It shows you very small spots. 6 you could count five people or you could 7 count ten people, but you don't see the 8 entire area. So there's no way to determine 9 how many people were there. 10 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you. 11 ELIZABETH LINT: And that's why the 12 notice did not include it. 13 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Would you, I guess 14 the question is would you like to defer this 15 to the decision meeting or would you like to 16 deal with it now? 17 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: I think we can 18 defer it. Make a motion to defer. 19 GERALD REARDON: Defer to 20 decision-making? Make a motion to defer to 21 deci si on-maki ng.

1	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Second that.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
3	favor?
4	(Aye.)
5	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
7	(No Response.)
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Understand that,
9	Officer, you were the principal responder in
10	the August incident?
11	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I was one of
12	them, sir. I actually wrote a supplemental
13	report, too. I'm not sure who wrote the main
14	report. And Sergeant Frammartino responded
15	al so.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: Would you just
17	describe what you observed that evening?
18	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: It was the
19	early morning hours of August 13th shortly
20	after one a.m. Again, I was assigned in the
21	area and was sent for a report of disturbance

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

where someone had been hit in the head with a I got there pretty short order. bottle. I also noted that a description had been given out of a thin white male with jeans and a T shirt. And as I pulled up, a group of two or three young males who pretty much fit that description -- or two out of the three fit the description pretty well. They were walking away just from the door. So, I stopped them to do a field inquiry and see what was going on. One of them was pretty intoxicated, started giving me a hard time. And I had to pretty forcefully tell him several times he wasn't going anywhere yet until we figured out what was going on. doorman of the club, who we later identified as the same gentleman Mr. -- we said it several times. His name escapes me. Wel bury was it? Welbury. We later identified him as He had been the gentleman I spoke to that. the week before also. He was in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

immediate area and saw me -- saw those kids kind of yell at me and me, you know, ordering them to stop and what not. And he said Oh, they're not doing anything. There's no problem here. And I said, Well, I'm stopping Something's going on. And he said, them. Well, nothing's going on. There's no problem at the club here. I said, There is a We got some sort of call. I do not problem. know who the call came from, but it said something is going on here. Maybe you don't know about it, but someone's been hurt and, you know, so I'm going to talk to these guys. He said a couple times "Nothing's going on here. "

And it turned out that these young men
I had been speaking to, while they fit the
description, they were not who we were
I ooking for. So we sent them on their way.
In the midst of that a 24-year-old male from
Boston had been brought out with a wound to

his head, a laceration to his head by having been hit by a bottle. So I started to try to talk to him to a get a little bit of the story, and the suspect was -- the suspect in the incident was sort of casually known to some of the people around. We weren't able to get an actual like full identifying information on him at the time. We got some sort of name on him. But I didn't go in the club this time. I had been outside, as I said, with those three people and then trying to -- the victim was a little tough getting the real full piece of story from him.

So, what I note in my report is approximately three to five minutes later -- now my arrival time had been very quick. As I said, I was in the area. But three to five minutes later Mr. Welbury says Oh, the guy you want ran that way, pointed out Western Ave. towards Central Square. And I said, Well, what do you mean the guy I want? Well,

3

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

you know, the guy that was involved in the altercation. And I said, Well, what are you telling me this five minutes later for? I got here and told you something was going on and you said nothing was going on, and now the guy that you saw enough at the time to know I was looking for him, you let him run away and tell me five minutes later. I felt like he intentionally kind of misled me to make good the escape of whoever this person And I said -- I asked him, Why would was. you -- if you knew at the time I was probably going to be looking for this guy, why did you not tell me this? And he said, Oh, I didn't think of telling you. And I did speak to some other persons present during my time As I said, he was -- the suspect was there. casually known but wasn't able to get good identifying information. That was about the extent of my supplemental. As I said, I don't know who wrote the main report.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: Do you know if
2	there was subsequently an arrest in the
3	event?
4	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I do not.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: Questions?
6	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Yes, I do.
7	Now you indicated in your report that
8	your initial observation was three males and
9	one may have fit the description?
10	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: There was a
11	group of three, sir, and two of them were
12	consistent with the initial description.
13	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Now, those
14	three, how far away were they from the club?
15	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Pretty close
16	to the door. Maybe not even the Length of
17	this room.
18	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Were they
19	walking? Were they stationary hanging around
20	or were they moving in a particular
21	di recti on?

1 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: They were 2 walking slowly away from the club towards 3 Central Square up Western Ave. 4 CHRISTOPHER BURKE: And this Mr. --5 the doorman, Mr. Welbury, he was the same 6 doorman you spoke to during the September 7 30th incident? 8 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe 9 Actually, to be fair and honest, I S0. 10 neglected to note his name in my report. But 11 I noticed in Sergeant Frammartino's 12 supplemental that he did name him. Because 13 in the course of telling the Sergeant what 14 was going on, I did say Gee, Sarge, I showed 15 up and that guy there told me nothing was 16 going on, and five minutes later he says the 17 guy you want went that way. So Sergeant 18 Frammartino did make a point to identify him 19 in his supplement as well. 20 CHRI STOPHER BURKE: From your 21 conversation with Mr. Welbury the doorman,

1	did you were you led to believe that those
2	three individuals out front had been patrons
3	of the club?
4	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: He actually
5	didn't make any specific comment either way
6	as if they had been in the club. Just but
7	that he did know what was going on with them
8	enough to know that oh, there's nothing going
9	on, those guys didn't do anything.
10	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: And you
11	indicated you report that one of them was
12	i nebri ated?
13	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yeah. He
14	was pretty well inebriated.
15	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So, it was clear
16	from your conversation with the doorman that
17	he gave conflicting statements?
18	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Not so much
19	conflictive as I mean, the boys hadn't done
20	anything. That they I had stopped them
21	because they fit the description. But when

we discovered pretty quickly that they
weren't who we were looking for, it was more
that he had seen someone run away and had the
where with all to know that's who probably
who we were looking for. And first he tells
me nothing's going on here, everything's
fine. And then five minutes later he says
oh, the guy you want ran that way. That was
my main problem with that. So, it wasn't so
much conflicting as concealing I guess or
whatever you call it.

CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Okay.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Mr. Rafferty, do you have any questions of the officer?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Officer, drawing your attention to your initial arrival at the scene, according to your report you arrived at the scene having been made aware of a reported assault and battery, a person struck in the head with a

bottle and a preliminary description; is that
correct?
OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
know what the source of that information was?
OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That was
over the radio from dispatch. There would be
no reason or way for me to be aware in the
field of who communicated that to dispatch.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: No, I
don't mean at that moment, but I mean today,
do you have a present understanding of what
the source of that information might have
been?
OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: No, I do
not.
ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
testify that in your conversation with
Mr. Welbury that you mentioned that a phone
call had come in from the club?
OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I don't know

1	if I specifically said a phone call. I said,
2	we got a call. I guess, meaning a call for
3	servi ce.
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I see.
5	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: And I said
6	to him when he said nothing's going on, I
7	said, something is going on here and, you
8	know, we were called here.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Would you
10	agree that that's a fairly complete piece of
11	information, a description of the incident
12	and a description of a possible suspect?
13	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I guess that
14	would depend on what your definition of
15	complete is, sir. The description was
16	it's a general description.
17	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
18	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: There's
19	certainly enough to warrant the response and
20	i nvesti gati on, too.
21	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. So

1	you had an encounter with four individuals
2	you testified to?
3	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Three, sir.
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Three.
5	Thank you.
6	And at some point while you were
7	talking to these people, Mr. Welbury says
8	these guys aren't doing anything.
9	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right?
11	And in fact, you later concluded that his
12	characterization of them was accurate; is
13	that not fair?
14	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That's
15	correct.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
17	Because in fact you let them go, they hadn't
18	done anything seemingly, correct?
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: They hadn't.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Right.
21	And while you're there talking to Mr. Welbury

1	apparently, within three to five minutes in
2	your report, you say that an individual
3	emerges, the victim emerges; is that correct?
4	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And you
6	observed that he had a large gash to his
7	head?
8	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Now, are
10	you aware of whether or not that individual
11	had ever left the club prior to the time you
12	observed him Leave?
13	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I'm sorry,
14	say that again.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
16	have any knowledge whether that individual,
17	the victim, who you saw emerge from the club,
18	whether prior to your arrival he had left the
19	club earlier in that, in a similar condition?
20	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I have no
21	idea what he did before I got there.

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I would
2	assume that to be the case. Thanks.
3	So my question is: If he had not left
4	the club and was injured inside the club and
5	was appearing for the first time while you
6	were present on the sidewalk, it's also fair,
7	is it not, that will Welbury may not have any
8	knowledge of that individual's condition. Is
9	that not reasonable to assume?
10	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I lost you,
11	si r.
12	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well, let
13	me take it in parts.
14	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Say it
15	agai n.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You're
17	present you're there a few minutes and an
18	individual emerges who clearly has injuries?
19	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And you've
21	been now on the scene for three to five

1	minutes according to your report?
2	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And in
4	that three to five minute period you haven't
5	made any observations of that individual
6	prior to the time he emerges from the club?
7	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes. I
8	hadn't seen him before he was brought out at
9	that point.
10	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And
11	Mr. Welbury is with you during that entire
12	period of time?
13	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And you
15	never and my question, is it reasonable to
16	assume that Mr. Welbury may that might
17	have been Mr. Welbury's first observation of
18	that individual? You can agree or disagree
19	whether that's a reasonable assumption is the
20	only purpose of my question.
21	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I suppose it

1	could be reasonable. I don't have any way of
2	knowing that, sir.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. Did
4	you ever see Mr. Jeffrey Gilmore that
5	eveni ng?
6	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I don't
7	remember seeing him that evening.
8	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay. But
9	it's your testimony you then never went
10	inside the club?
11	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That night I
12	don't believe I did.
13	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Are you
14	aware of any other officers that went inside
15	the club that night?
16	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe
17	some other officers did. I wouldn't be able
18	to tell you who.
19	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did
20	Officer Ivy (phonetic) go in?
21	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I don't

1	remember.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did
3	Sergeant Frammartino go in?
4	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I don't
5	remember.
6	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Nothing
7	further.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: In your report,
9	Officer, in the I think the second
10	paragraph it says Approximately three to five
11	minutes later the same doorman admitted he
12	had been aware that there had been some sort
13	of altercation and said that the guy you want
14	ran that way, indicating Western Ave. towards
15	Central Square. Can you give us any fuller
16	description of how it was that you came to
17	the conclusion that he had that he had
18	admitted that he had been aware that there
19	had been an al tercation.
20	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I couldn't
21	give you the exact words he used. But in

speaking to him, as I said, he admitted several minutes later that he did know there had been an altercation and saw who he would imagine we would have been looking for run away and chose not to say anything about it for several minutes.

MICHAEL GARDNER: So when you ask
him why he had not told us this when we
arrived, which is a paraphrasing of part of
your report, he did not respond by saying oh,
I just learned of it now?

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: No, he said he didn't think to tell us.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That was my issue with it. Is that while he was telling me nothing's going on here, he knew that something had gone on. And knew that the guy who -- you know, I guess by his own assumption who probably would have been the one we were looking for went that way. So he

1 knew something happened and knew that was the 2 guy and chose to say nothing for five minutes 3 while saying nothing's going on here. 4 MICHAEL GARDNER: And from the 5 overall context you reached the conclusion 6 that the guy he thought you were looking for 7 who had gone that way, had in fact gone that 8 way before you arrived on the scene? 9 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes. 10 Officer, when you GERALD REARDON: 11 responded to this call, was it an emergency 12 call using light and siren? 13 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes. 14 GERALD REARDON: When you pulled up? 15 So someone pulling up would easily 16 understand that you were there for an 17 emergency as opposed to just driving by? 18 OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes. 19 GERALD REARDON: And when you 20 approached Mr. Welbury, his answer was 21 nothing was going on. Had he made any

attempt to find out what the situation was to then -- I guess, I'm trying to -- I have some difficulty with Mr. Welbury's answer that a police car would pull up in emergency mode and his first answer is there's nothing going on. But did he check with anyone? When he was talking to you did he go back inside and ask is something going on? Or did he make any attempt that you're aware of?

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I'm not sure, sir. As I pulled up, I saw that group of boys, and thinking they could have been the assailants, I just stopped them immediately. And it was while I was initially speaking to them that Mr. Welbury was telling me that, you know, nothing's going on here. Those guys aren't doing nothing. Nothing's going on here.

And I had been out in the area first.

I guess like I said, what kind of made me
just shake my head was at the time he was

saying nothing's going on here, he knew that something had gone on, and a guy ran that way. Knowing that, he's telling me nothing's going on and then waits five minutes to tell me oh, I did know something was going on and the guy went that way. Because at that time it was only moments before, you know, we probably could have caught him. Or we would have had a much better chance to catch him I guess would be more fair to say.

GERALD REARDON: I guess it asks for interpretation, but the reason he knew those individuals were not involved because he potentially knew who was involved and had already left?

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That would be a reasonable conclusion, sir. He was pretty sure that the boys I was talking to -- he was absolutely sure that the ones I was talking to weren't the ones. I suppose it would beg the conclusion maybe he knew who it

Ì	was.
2	GERALD REARDON: I guess I'm
3	confused on why he would say they're not the
4	ones if he's saying to you there's nothing
5	going on. And they're the ones who didn't do
6	I guess that kind of begs the question of
7	what would lead him to say that immediately
8	if he didn't think anything was going on.
9	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That's the
10	issue I had, sir, as well. I couldn't make
11	sense of it. His only answer is I didn't
12	think of telling you.
13	GERALD REARDON: Okay. Thank you.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Any follow up on
15	that, Mr. Rafferty?
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Just
17	bri efl y.
18	Officer, your report notes that the
19	suspects knew each other casually. Did you
20	ever come to learn that this was an incident
21	that took place after the club was closed

with members of a band?

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I believe the suspect may have had something to do with the musical aspect of it. I don't remember exactly what. As I said, he was casually known by many of the people present. And the victim sort of had an idea of who he was, but not enough to say Jimmy Jones, he lives at 200 Main Street in Chelsea.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.

Just back to your arrival. You were on the scene responding to a call, assault and battery with a dangerous weapon, a head wound, but you never went inside the club?

OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: I didn't. I don't believe I went inside. No.

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So, is it fair to say not having gone inside, you don't have any understanding of how many people were in the club at the time you were on the sidewalk and watched the victim emerge?

1	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: That would
2	be accurate. I had no idea who was in the
3	club while I was outside.
4	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Okay.
5	Thank you.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Any other
7	questions of the officer?
8	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: No.
9	GERALD REARDON: No.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Thank you. I just
11	ask you if you could please stay.
12	OFFICER WILLIAM BATES: Yes.
13	MI CHAEL REARDON: Mr. Rafferty.
14	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I would
15	call Jeffrey Gilmore. Same spelling as the
16	pri or heari ng.
17	Mr. Gilmore, could you just inform the
18	Commission with regard to the incident of
19	August 12th, can you describe what happened
20	that evening in the context of this
21	compl ai nt?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

JEFFREY GI LMORE: I'm at my usual spot downstairs by the door at the bottom of I hear a smash. I go upstairs. the stairs. We have already -- this is about 12:45, we've al ready shut down. Shut down at one o'clock. Music was over at 12:30 there's probably no more than five, or five to seven people there, all in the band. All performers. And I hear a smash and I see the kid, one of the kids -- the kids he's describing fighting, pulling somebody away from a person. fighting in the corner over on the side. immediately go to the person that's been hit. I see he's got a gash in his head. turn around, and those guys are fighting their way down the stairs and chasing the guy who hit him in the head. They all know each Every one of these guys know each other. Welbury's outside. He wasn't inside other. when the incident took place. I'm in administering help to the kid with the -- I

1	got a rag for him. His girl friend's standing
2	right there. She has I turn around, she's
3	on the phone I called the police. I told her
4	to call the police. She's on the phone.
5	She's calling the police. I go back outside,
6	chase the kid. The kid's they're chasing
7	him down the stairs. But he's already gone.
8	They' re wal ki ng back. As they' re wal ki ng
9	back, I went back outside back inside.
10	He's they're all outside. The guys who
11	are chasing the kid are outside. Welbury,
12	they're all outside. I go back inside to
13	make sure the kid's okay. I come back
14	outside, the policeman's there.
15	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Is it your
16	testimony that you exited with the victim as
17	he came down the stairs and went outside?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: The second time
19	out, yes.
20	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Did you
21	make any observation as to whether Officer

1	Bates was present at that point?
2	JEFFREY GILMORE: Officer Bates was
3	talking to the guys, Welbury and those other
4	two guys, three guys.
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Just to be
6	clear, did you call the police?
7	JEFFREY GILMORE: The girl friend of
8	the victim.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Were you
10	present when she made that call?
11	JEFFREY GILMORE: Oh, yeah.
12	Standing right next to her.
13	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: So, is
14	that why didn't you call the police?
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: I'm physically
16	helping the kid out. She's on the phone. I
17	told her she asked, I tell her call the
18	police. Do I call them again?
19	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: We just
20	want to establish what reason you because
21	you didn't call the police, but it's fair to

1	say the reason you didn't call the police is
2	because you were present when a call was
3	placed to the police
4	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
5	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: and
6	when you arrived outside with the victim, the
7	police were present.
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes, sir.
9	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Would this
10	be the same Mr. Welbury who two weeks earlier
11	was a promoter at an event?
12	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
13	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Do you
14	have much more to do with Mr. Welbury?
15	JEFFREY GILMORE: No.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Nothing
17	further.
18	GERALD REARDON: So you went out the
19	first time to chase whoever was leaving. So
20	I assume Welbury saw you the first time
21	coming running out of the door. At that time

1	was Officer Bates present?
2	JEFFREY GI LMORE: No.
3	GERALD REARDON: So Mr. Wel bury, one
4	would assume had knowledge that something was
5	going on when you come running out the front
6	door?
7	JEFFREY GILMORE: He didn't know.
8	He didn't know the altercation. He didn't
9	know what was about what was going on. He
10	just knew about peopling running out the
11	door, that's all.
12	GERALD REARDON: Well, I guess one
13	would assume
14	JEFFREY GILMORE: I didn't speak
15	with him. I went back inside.
16	GERALD REARDON: I would assume, a
17	reasonable person would assume something was
18	goi ng on?
19	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yeah. I mean,
20	Welbury's not you know, he's talking to
21	the police. He's talking about the guys that

1 are chasing the guy. He's not talking about 2 things that went on in the club. He's not 3 talking about anything. He doesn't have any 4 knowledge of. 5 But the point I'm GERALD REARDON: 6 getting to is that when Officer Bates talks 7 to him already knowing that someone was being 8 chased out the front door, a reasonable 9 person would assume that he knows something 10 is going on? He may not know what. 11 JEFFREY GILMORE: A reasonable 12 person would, yes. 13 GERALD REARDON: So when he told the 14 officer nothing was going on, one would 15 conclude that he may not have been truthful 16 or as honest --17 JEFFREY GILMORE: I think he was 18 referring to the guys outside. He's saying 19 nothing's going on with those guys. 20 why he would say after the fact that there is 21 something going on. That he found out.

1	referring to the guys that are running
2	that are outside running after the guy or
3	coming back from running after the guy.
4	GERALD REARDON: He didn't see you
5	run out the building the first time?
6	JEFFREY GILMORE: I don't think so.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: You saw him?
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yeah, he's out
9	there. I run out, come back in. I didn't
10	even go all the way out. I went out the
11	doorway. See, they're chasing him to go back
12	inside. The primary purpose is going to make
13	sure the guy upstairs is okay.
14	MI CHAEL GARDNER: From your
15	observation was Welbury in a position to tell
16	a chase was underway?
17	JEFFREY GILMORE: I don't think he
18	knew what was going on. They ran out to run
19	by him.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: I didn't ask what
21	you thought he knew. I asked if he was in a

1	position to see that there was a chase?
2	JEFFREY GILMORE: No. There's two
3	staircases. He's on one and they're going
4	out the other. There's approximately 20 feet
5	between them. So no, I don't think he knew
6	what was going on. I think he had no
7	knowledge of what was happening inside.
8	GERALD REARDON: And Mr. Welbury's
9	position again that night was promoter,
10	similar to the previous?
11	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
12	GERALD REARDON: So he would be
13	doing head count and tickets. And is he also
14	the person who does the ID checks at the
15	door?
16	JEFFREY GILMORE: No, we have a
17	he's hired somebody to do ID checks. So he
18	doesn't physically check IDs.
19	GERALD REARDON: Okay.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: But that's a
21	function you've essentially delegated to him?

1	JEFFREY GILMORE: I know the person
2	he uses and I trust that person.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: But it is, and I
4	take it probably the same one July the 29th,
5	these are his workers who are essentially
6	doing the door
7	JEFFREY GILMORE: Different people.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: the door
9	functi on?
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yeah, but
11	different people.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Not the same
13	person but somebody who is working with him.
14	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
16	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: If I may, what
17	was the staffing level for that event?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: Same thing.
19	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So two
20	bartenders, yourself?
21	JEFFREY GILMORE: This is between

1	people that were in the these are
2	performers. No more than seven people.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: You need
4	to be responsive to the question.
5	JEFFREY GILMORE: Sorry.
6	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So what was the
7	staffing level again, two bartenders?
8	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
9	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Yoursel f?
10	JEFFREY GILMORE: Correct.
11	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Did you requi re
12	a sound man for that event?
13	JEFFREY GILMORE: Yes.
14	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: How many persons
15	were with Mr. Welbury?
16	JEFFREY GILMORE: Three.
17	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: So after the
18	first event on the 30th you didn't increase
19	security or staffing levels at all?
20	JEFFREY GILMORE: This is much, much
21	smaller show. No more than 20 people showed

1	up that night.
2	GERALD REARDON: What night of the
3	week was this one?
4	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Thursday.
5	GERALD REARDON: Thursday?
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: The morning of the
7	13th. So the 14th is a Friday
8	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thursday
9	i nto Fri day day.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: The morning of the
11	13th? Early morning.
12	GERALD REARDON: What time do you
13	stop serving alcohol on those nights?
14	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Thursday ni ght,
15	12: 30.
16	GERALD REARDON: 12:30. And that's
17	when you put the lights up, last call?
18	JEFFREY GILMORE: The lights go on
19	midnight. Last call is quarter after. Last
20	dri nk 12: 30. Everybody out by one. That's
21	why I say these are people that are

1	performers, and they're fighting.
2	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: I wonder
3	if it might assist the Commissioner just
4	because of the prior hearing to contrast the
5	entertainment at the prior incident and this.
6	My understanding is the prior incident
7	involved DJs that have somewhat of a
8	following. This was just a small local band
9	that you didn't have more than 30 people in
10	the club the entire evening.
11	JEFFREY GI LMORE: No more. Not at
12	all. Not even 30.
13	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: And is
14	that more of a consistent Thursday night at
15	the club when you have local bands?
16	JEFFREY GILMORE: Thursday's small,
17	yes. Thursday's normally small.
18	GERALD REARDON: In the past usually
19	you didn't even work Thursdays, right?
20	MARVIN GILMORE: Nobody.
21	GERALD REARDON: Friday and Saturday

1	usual I y.
2	MARVIN GILMORE: 25, 30 dollars.
3	(I naudi bl e.)
4	JEFFREY GILMORE: These are complete
5	anomalies. We don't have this
6	MARVIN GILMORE: This is a rare
7	thing that happened. We don't have problems
8	at the club as you know.
9	JEFFREY GILMORE: And these
10	performers are fighting over their
11	girlfriend. That's why he hit them in the
12	head with a bottle.
13	MARVIN GILMORE: This was among
14	themselves after the bar had closed in the
15	cl ub.
16	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Mr. Chair,
17	we're concluded from our perspective. I know
18	you have many hearings. If I might be
19	permitted I would acknowledge
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: May I ask one more
21	questi on?

1	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: No, I
2	apol ogi ze for oversteppi ng.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: It's quite all
4	ri ght.
5	So, my understanding is that among
6	these band members or at Least these people
7	who are involved in the post-head wound,
8	getting out the stairs, that one of them is
9	either carrying somebody else down or is
10	fighting with them going down or they're
11	grappling on the way out?
12	JEFFREY GI LMORE: Chasi ng.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: And I understood
14	that chasi ng.
15	And your testimony is that Welbury
16	wasn't in a position to see that?
17	JEFFREY GI LMORE: No. Around the
18	corner. He'd have to walk around and see
19	what was going on.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Because he's at a
21	di fferent stai rway?

1 JEFFREY GI LMORE: Physically, yes. 2 Different doorway, yes. 3 Okay. Thank you. MI CHAEL GARDNER: 4 Mr. Rafferty. 5 ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well. 6 thank you again. Similarities but I would 7 say significant differences in this incident than the prior incident. The overall tenure 8 9 of the evening is different. It's a much 10 smaller venue. It's more consistent with the 11 typical operations at the club. An incident 12 occurs after the vast majority of the members 13 of the public are out. These are all 14 individuals known to each other. A single 15 individual swings a beer bottle and hits 16 another one over the head, and from that the 17 conduct of the Licensee gets evaluated. 18 would suggest that it was nothing leading up 19 to the incident that would have -- testimony 20 that would suggest that the club was 21 negligent in allowing those seven band

2

members to remain upstairs as the evening wound down.

19

20

21

Mr. Gilmore's actions in this case providing assistance to the victim and directing or observing a call being made to the police, I would suggest meets the standard of a Licensee providing noti fi cati on. We're then left, of course, with Mr. Welbury, an individual who I think whose credibility is not necessarily a reflection on the integrity of the management of the club. I think it's fair to assume that Mr. Welbury, because he is the promoter and hires these bands, has a relationship with the band, that there might be some incentive on his part to respond in a way that would prevent the band from being in He has a business relationship with trouble. the band.

I think the officer that gave testimony that would suggest that part of what Welbury

of the four individuals that were there.

From that point admittedly there's some deviation as to what did Welbury know or what should he have known. He may not have been aware of the nature of the injury, but I think it's reasonable to assume that he would have been mindful, based on his observations of Mr. Gilmore and others, that something had

happened. So, it is in fact regrettable.

said might have been accurate in the context

The next day Sergeant Frammartino went to the club at 8:30 that evening and spoke with both Jeffrey and Mr. Welbury. His focus of inquiry was more consistent with two weeks ago. Why didn't you call the police? And I think the issue on that front is pretty well established by the facts, by the fact that a call was made, and a call that contained a description of the incident and a description of the suspect was obviously provided by somebody. The officer admits he was never in

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the club, so someone from inside the club provided that information. And I do think that we, again, find ourselves in a situation where the rules and regulations of the club, of the License Commission would require the Licensee to cooperate of course with the police in their investigation. Mr. Welbury's outside and his responses are regrettable because I do think they regrettably cast a poor light upon the club if they're viewed in i sol ati on. But I think given the context of what he might have known and his relationship And when Mr. Gilmore emerges with the there. victim while the officer is there, there's no attempt on the part of the club to evade responsibility or avoid interaction with the cl ub.

Does the conduct or the response of Mr. Welbury, a non-club employee, as I said, it's regrettable and could have been more complete, and I suspect the Commission in

evaluating the Licensee's performance here will look to the relationship between Mr. Welbury. It's my understanding that Mr. Welbury, his skills are in the marketing and promotion area, and the club is no longer going to have a situation where he could give the appearance of management at the club because of that.

So, I know we've been here a long time and I appreciate the issue, but I'm very mindful and it is the licensing. I know Mr. Gilmore just wanted to speak briefly in closing. Two incidences within two weeks involving similar concerns certainly would allow the Commission to ascertain whether there's a pattern of conduct or a pattern of behavior with the management of the club which should be of concern and warrant discipline. I think they are in fact quite isolated, and in both cases they should be viewed in the context of the immediacy in

which they occurred and the ongoing cooperation or the cooperation provided by the police by the Licensee both at the time of the incident as well as involving follow-up investigations, in this case, some 24 hours later.

Mr. Marvin Gilmore if we may be permitted, would just like to say one word. He is the owner of record. And he appreciates the Commission's granting his request for an extension. He was with President Sarkozy last month when this hearing was scheduled to be knighted by the --

MARVIN GILMORE: The Legion of
Honor. I have a lived in Cambridge since
1924. I'm 86-years-old. And the club really
stands as a symbol for minorities. We are
the only, I'll use the word, black club. I
gave my life fighting on D-day in France. I
just acknowledge that I spent a long time

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

there in the Navy, the Sixth Fleet also honored me. It's just incredible, the town stood out. I come back here and we have these incidents and I'm upset really.

We've been on that corner for years and years and years, no problem. We've never had We're not your problem. a problem. lt's hard to say how people act and react suddenly. Jeffrey is my nephew, my brother's son, he's tough, he's hard. And he keeps an eye on the club for us and the people of Cambridge. We just don't have this problem. Twice two incidents that happened that, we not, you know, we didn't create those incidents. People have attitude. Boyfri end/girl fri end. The upstairs. Jeffrey is like a tiger. He's right there. That's why I trust him, he's my nephew. wouldn't go up against him. But to where part of the city, we're the fabric of it. I think we run a beautiful club for everybody.

Board. This is a rare, rare time to see this. I'm really upset. At 86 years I stand to help the community. We're there for good time for people. I do not like promoters other because we don't have no control with promoter promote certain band that will attract, you know, other types of groups. I caution my nephew from here on here we'll hire our own groups. We will control the

music in that club.

That's been our -- we're not before the

We don't have -- Thursday nights like a dead night, I'll be honest with you. You look at my tapes. But why are you open? I open just for the community because we do a lot of nice things. Reggae music. And people like to dance. We have a dance club. We're not a club that's open to late at night. If you go by there, the chief knows, the club is dead. I mean, people come there like ten, ten-thirty. We close way earlier

than we're supposed to close, one-thirty, two o'clock. And Jeffrey, let's put it this way, he's honest, he's trustworthy. We don't have as you know no fights. This is a rare -- and then these fights happen among themselves. How it happens, we don't know. Our alcohol you got to go to the bar to get it. We don't have teenagers there. That's not our lifestyle.

So, just really understand both these incidents happened without -- happened so suddenly that we had people there. I mean, Jeffrey is a tough boy, too. He's always at that door. If you look at the club, the stairway that comes down is right at the door. So when people rush down, they go right outside. It's not going around to try to find your way out. We have three doors on that club on the first level. And on the second floor another door. So there's four doors to exit there in case there is a

problem. I had it that way years ago just in case you have a fire, people can get out immediately. So it only takes but minutes if you're on the second floor to hit both those -- three doors, to go one, two, three.

That's all it takes, a couple minutes. So, no matter how we react or anything, everybody's gone. It's just that fast.

So I beg this, you know, the Commission to look at this very carefully for us. And we want to stay in business. We've been in business. We don't ever cause any problems in the City of Cambridge. That's why we're still there. I think people speak highly of us. It's a pleasure to speak to all of you.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Mr. Gilmore, thank you very much.

I do have one question for you,

Mr. Rafferty. You had said Mr. Welbury is

not an employee of the club. Is there any
doubt in your mind that for the purposes of

these two evenings, he was an agent of the club?

an interesting question under the theory of agency. He is an independent -- he has his own independent business where he promotes the bands. On that evening in question, he was there in his role as a promoter. He had pre-sold some of the tickets and he was collecting them at the door, but I certainly think that that is a question that would require further inquiry. And I don't have my understanding at the moment.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Even that he's responsible for doing the ID checks by arrangement with management, he's not an agent of the club?

ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Well,
that's the first I heard of that aspect of
his function. I'm not sure. I'm not trying
to be evasive, but I haven't explored whether

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

he meets that. I think one can certainly conclude that his presence at that location at the door and his interaction might lead one to reach that conclusion. Whether or not he would legally, legally meet the standards And I'm not trying to be over of agency. I understand the point of the techni cal . question, which is the Licensee cannot simply choose to disassociate himself from someone who is acting within a parent authority if not actual authority. And I think in this case, that relationship is that of a promoter. I'd have to explore further as to -- but I know he does this at other clubs around town, and it's the nature of his role. And he should not be speaking for the club. And particularly when the police arrive, Mr. Gilmore knows he's the one who should be speaking for the club. But in this case he was outside on two occasions. So I suspect that you'll reach a conclusion based upon the

1	evidence that may warrant that determination.
2	But at the moment I wouldn't offer a
3	conclusive concurrence with that.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Al ready. Thank
5	you very much.
6	Pleasure of the Commissioners?
7	ELIZABETH LINT: Defer.
8	GERALD REARDON: Yes, please. We'll
9	make a motion to place it under advisement as
10	the previous.
11	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: I second the
12	moti on.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, there's been a
14	motion to place it under advisement.
15	All those in favor.
16	(Aye.)
17	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
19	(No Response.)
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
21	much. We appreciate your perseverance of all

1	the witnesses of this lengthy event, but
2	clearly an important one.
3	ATTORNEY JAMES RAFFERTY: Thank you.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ELIZABETH LINT: Application Star

1	Markets Company, Incorporated doing business
2	as Star Market, James Hegarty, manager,
3	holder of an alcoholic beverages as a package
4	store license, seven-day, at 675 Mount Auburn
5	Street has applied for change of manager from
6	James Hegarty to Debra Lee Heffernan.
7	Applicant is also applying for a change of
8	officer to remove Warren Simpson as Assistant
9	Secretary and appoint Barbara Nunziato as
10	Assi stant Secretary.
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good evening.
12	Sorry you had to wait so long.
13	ATTORNEY SHARON MARKS: Much more
14	interesting than my job.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Appreciate your
16	coming. Could you just introduce yourselves
17	for the record, please.
18	ATTORNEY SHARON MARKS: Sure.
19	Sharon Marks (phonetic). I'm an attorney
20	with Shaw's Supermarket.
21	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: I'm Debbie

1	Heffernan I'm with the Star Market at the
2	Mount Auburn Shaws.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Could you explain
4	to the Commissioners exactly what you're
5	proposing to do and why?
6	ATTORNEY SHARON MARKS: We're
7	proposing to change the store director from
8	James Hegarty to Deb Heffernan. And also the
9	Assistant Secretary under the list of
10	officers and directors from Warren Simpson to
11	Barbara Nunziato. Warren Simpson is no
12	longer with the company.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: So this is a
14	change in operational management at the
15	store?
16	ATTORNEY SHARON MARKS: At the
17	store, yes.
18	GERALD REARDON: How Long has
19	Mr. Hegarty been there, a long time?
20	ATTORNEY SHARON MARKS: Yes, I ong
21	time.

1	GERALD REARDON: Is he retired?
2	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: No, no. He's in
3	our Auburn store. They move the managers
4	around.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And so could you
6	just describe your experience in work similar
7	to this?
8	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: In work similar to
9	being a store di rector?
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes.
11	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: I've been with
12	Shaw's Star Market for 33 years and been a
13	manager for 17 of these years and just
14	recently assigned to the Mount Auburn store.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, have you had
16	responsibility before for managing of
17	alcoholic beverages in a package operation?
18	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: No.
19	MI CHAEL GARDNER: This would be your
20	first assignment in that regard?
21	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: Yes.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Has a background
2	check been done?
3	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes. It's fine.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: Will there be any
5	other changes in the operation of the store
6	besides the management and the listing of the
7	assi stant di rector?
8	ATTORNEY SHARON MARKS: No.
9	GERALD REARDON: Have you had any
10	training in Cambridge with regard to alcohol
11	sales through a License Commission?
12	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: No.
13	ELIZABETH LINT: The License
14	Commission several years ago voted that any
15	new manager, server, owners would be required
16	to take 21-proof training through CPC with
17	Frank Connolly.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And that hasn't
19	happened yet, but you're prepared to do it?
20	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: Sure.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Were you aware of

1	that requirement?
2	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: No.
3	ELIZABETH LINT: This is usually
4	when they find out.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Superi ntendent,
6	any questions?
7	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: No questi ons.
8	GERALD REARDON: Quick question.
9	So who is doing the ID on this? Every
10	one of the cashiers is actually doing ID on
11	liquor sales or is that separate?
12	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: The cashi ers do
13	it.
14	GERALD REARDON: So all the cashiers
15	have training on
16	DEBRA HEFFERNAN: All the cashi ers
17	have training, and they sign off an
18	acknowledgement form. And if they're under
19	18, there's a manager that will check the ID
20	and scan the liquor.
21	GERALD REARDON: Thank you. All

1	set.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right.
3	Pleasure of the Commissioners?
4	GERALD REARDON: I make a motion to
5	approve pending the 21-proof training.
6	ELIZABETH LINT: That usually
7	happens after the fact.
8	GERALD REARDON: Right.
9	ELIZABETH LINT: So generally you
10	approve it and then we send them for
11	training. And if they don't do the training,
12	we're notified by Frank Connolly and then we
13	send them a letter to make sure that they
14	parti ci pate.
15	GERALD REARDON: So I make a motion
16	to approve subject to the successful 21-proof
17	trai ni ng.
18	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: I second that
19	moti on.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
21	favor?

1	(Aye.)
2	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
4	(No Response.)
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
6	much.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

ELIZABETH LINT: Application P.F.

Chang's China Bistro, Incorporated, Ahmed Soufan, Manager, holder of an all alcoholic beverages as a restaurant license at 100 Cambridgeside Place has applied for change of manager from Ahmed Soufan to Abigail Champion.

MICHAEL GARDNER: If you would just be so kind to introduce yourselves for the record.

evening, Commissioners. Andrew Upton from the law firm of Denacola, Sorenson and Upton, counsel for P.F. Chang's China Bistro, Inc. With me is Abigail Champion the proposed new manager at the Cambridgeside Galleria. She has been with the company for eight years. She's been in a variety of locations. She has supervised both staff and alcohol service in the past. She is a T.I.P.S. Certified employee, and also a T.I.P.S. trainer of the

1	employees, but we understand that she also
2	needs to take the 21-proof class and she
3	plans to do that.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good evening.
5	ABIGAIL CHAMPION: Hello.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So any other
7	changes in operations at the establishment?
8	ATTORNEY ANDREW UPTON: No.
9	Officers, floor plan, menu, hours will all
10	remain exactly the same. Only the change in
11	the manager.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And background,
13	Ms. Lint?
14	ELIZABETH LINT: It's fine.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: For my
16	edification, could you give me a brief
17	explanation, Ma'am, and what T.I.P.S. is and
18	what it means to be a T.I.P.S. Certified
19	trai ner?
20	ABIGAIL CHAMPION: Yep. I took a
21	class through my boss well, my boss had

set it up a couple years ago so that I could go out and educate our servers and bartenders and anybody who would be responsible for serving alcohol. Make sure that they had the necessary tools and that they could responsibly check ID. You know, not over serve alcohol to anybody and just serve alcohol responsibly in our establishments. So I was responsible for teaching those classes. I had actually taught the staff in Cambridge during the opening last year. I taught that class for them, and I've done some for locations in the area.

MICHAEL GARDNER: And have you managed at this level of responsibility in a place that serves before now?

ABIGAIL CHAMPION: I have been a manager for the company for three years at the Prudential Center. I recently was promoted to operating manager which is just the general manager for the Cambridge

	• • –
1	l ocati on.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. And you
3	understand the obligation to take the
4	21-proof class?
5	ABIGAIL CHAMPION: Yes, I do. Yep.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Questions?
7	GERALD REARDON: No.
8	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: No questions.
9	GERALD REARDON: Motion to approval
10	subject to the conditions of the 21-proof.
11	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Second that
12	moti on.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
14	favor?
15	(Aye.)
16	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
18	(No Response.)
19	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you very
20	much. Good luck in the assignment.
21	

1	ELIZABETH LINT: I don't see anyone
2	here from Uno's. They do have another matter
3	on November 23rd. I suggest that we put
4	these over and I'll inquire why they didn't
5	come in for this.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Procedural issue.
7	You think I should have been asking if
8	there's anybody in the audience to want to
9	speak about these things?
10	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes. Except I knew
11	that there wasn't.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Sorry to have
13	failed to give you an opportunity to speak on
14	those matters if you wish.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

ELIZABETH LINT: Application
Hourglass Tattoo Studios, Incorporated has
applied for an Antique Store license at 1680A
Massachusetts Avenue. If approved, this
license will grant the keeping, purchase,
storage, or sale of secondhand furniture,
bric-a-brac, art objects, paintings, jewelry,
or antiques.
JOHN REGAN: Mr. Chairman,
Committee.
MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you for
coming. Could you just please identify
yourself for the record.
JOHN REGAN: Yes, I'm John Regan
(phonetic). I'm the owner of Hourglass
Tattoo Studio and Gallery on 1680A
Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge.
MI CHAEL GARDNER: Could you describe
for us a little bit about what the business
is like now and how it will change?
JOHN REGAN: Yes, sir. The business

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is -- it's broken up into two different It's a large space. It's revenue streams. 1600 square feet. The main space is a gallery space. Roughly 800 square feet. And the rest of the unit is all work stations for tattooi ng. Both stations are cut off from Meaning, the work stations are each other. fully enclosed. We don't allow any food, drink or any non-sterilized equipment obviously in those areas. The gallery is the public area, and that is a supplemental income for us. We do art shows once or twice a months.

The business we -- I saw Ms. Lint about a month or two ago, and we had no idea we had to have an art gallery license. So we had been putting together an event once a month since we opened in May, and all of a sudden they're like, hey, do you have a license, an antique store license? And I said what the heck do we need that for? So the process

1	began and put the application together and
2	here we are now.
3	GERALD REARDON: 1680 is which
4	bui I di ng?
5	JOHN REGAN: The West Side Lounge.
6	We're right above.
7	GERALD REARDON: You're above it.
8	JOHN REGAN: Yeah, Charlie is our
9	I andI ord.
10	So the business won't essentially
11	really change. It just would allow us to be
12	a legal art gallery.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: So is the purpose
14	for this application not so much to sell
15	antiques as to have an art gallery? I guess
16	I'm confused.
17	JOHN REGAN: Yeah. It's the only
18	license, right, that requires an official art
19	gallery. So, I mean, we're not selling
20	anti ques real l y.
21	ELIZABETH LINT: But that's what the

1	license is.
2	JOHN REGAN: Yeah.
3	ELIZABETH LINT: Under the statute.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. So in
5	terms of the summary here that this would
6	grant the keeping purchase, storage or sale
7	of secondhand furni ture, bri c-a-brac, art
8	objects, paintings, jewelry or antiques, your
9	focus is on the art objects?
10	JOHN REGAN: Yes.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: And not the
12	others?
13	JOHN REGAN: That's correct.
14	GERALD REARDON: And my question
15	would be is obviously the storage of
16	furniture and so forth. But the license
17	would actually allow you to do that?
18	JOHN REGAN: Right. It's not
19	something that we're going to require, you
20	know.
21	GERALD REARDON: And that's not

sprinklered upstairs, correct?

JOHN REGAN: Sprinklered, no. But we have brand new fire alarm system. Captain Brogan has been there a bunch of times. And they're a little sensitive which is good. It went off once a few months ago.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Is there any potential zoning issues with this?

ELIZABETH LINT: No. In fact, the Building Department had already signed off.

I did have communication from the Cambridge Health Alliance however. And I do have Captain Brogan's sign off as well already.

Their concern was that whenever there's tattooing going on that the regulations absolutely prohibit any alcohol. So they're doing events that are gallery openings type of situation, and just the health alliance wanted to be sure that there would be absolutely no drinking by customers or artists or anyone else that's participating

in tattooing.

2

JOHN REGAN: Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And I spoke to Mike about that who is my contact at the Cambridge Health Department and he's been the space multiple times He just wanted to reassure us that, before. you know -- and something that Ms. Lint and I spoke about before was perceptions and reality. So, if you're thinking of a tattoo parl or or a tattoo studio, you're thinking of a bunch of people standing around getting tattooed, drinking, you know, that's not the The actual space as I mentioned case. earlier, is broken into two different spaces, work stations. You're not even allowed to bring a bottle of water in the work stations let alone alcohol.

GERALD REARDON: Well, there's no alcohol at all in that premises either side, right?

JOHN REGAN: Exactly.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: And this permit
2	wouldn't give the right to serve alcohol?
3	ELI ZABETH LI NT: No.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: And you don't
5	serve al cohol at openi ngs?
6	JOHN REGAN: No I'm confused.
7	The issue was we were getting one day
8	licenses.
9	ELIZABETH LINT: They can get one
10	day alcohol licenses for gallery events.
11	JOHN REGAN: Right.
12	ELIZABETH LINT: There cannot be any
13	tattooi ng.
14	JOHN REGAN: Right.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, what's before
16	us is to allow you to have essentially the
17	art gallery, and then if we're satisfied that
18	the division in the space is secure enough so
19	that should they apply for a one day liquor
20	license in conjunction with an event
21	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.

MICHAEL GARDNER: it would,
assuming they followed the rules about the
segregation
ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.
MI CHAEL GARDNER: there wouldn't
be any violations?
ELIZABETH LINT: Correct.
CHRI STOPHER BURKE: How many work
stations do you have?
JOHN REGAN: We have four.
CHRI STOPHER BURKE: What do you
anticipate the percentage of the business
tattoo versus the art portion?
JOHN REGAN: Good question. We're
hoping to get the art going as soon as
possible with this license. It's probably
about 70/30 on tattooing. We'd like it to
increase. If we can sell larger pieces and,
once the economy gets back up I mean,
art's taking a beating right now. I think
our best sales we did was about \$1600 in one

1	art gallery show which isn't bad. I'd like
2	it to be a lot more.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Any other
4	questions you have?
5	GERALD REARDON: All the paperwork
6	in order?
7	ELIZABETH LINT: Everything's in
8	order. Background check is fine.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any
10	members of the public who would like to speak
11	on this matter?
12	(No Response.)
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Hearing none.
14	Pleasure of the Commissioners?
15	GERALD REARDON: Move to approve.
16	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Second that
17	moti on.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
19	favor?
20	(Aye.)
21	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)

1	MI CHAEL	_ GARDNER:	Motion appro	ved.
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				

Application Gift 1 ELI ZABETH LI NT: 2 Jaja doing business as Jaja Cafe, has applied 3 for a common victualer license to be 4 exercised at 441 Cambridge Street. 5 license, if granted, would allow food and 6 non-alcoholic beverages to be sold, served, 7 and consumed on said premises with a seating 8 The hours of operation would capacity of 10. 9 be from eight a.m. to five p.m. Monday 10 through Saturday. 11 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good evening. 12 Thank you for waiting. And could you just 13 introduce yourself for the record, please. 14 My name is Gift Jaja. GI FT JAJA: 15 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And could you 16 describe for us the business that you would 17 like to open here? 18 I'd like to open a GI FT JAJA: 19 coffee, tea, beverage store with many bagels 20 and muffins and juices and sandwiches.

And to be open from eight to five

21

for Lunch.

1 Monday through Saturday. 2 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Could you describe 3 for us the experience you've had in this kind 4 of business in the past? 5 GIFT JAJA: I've not really had that 6 much experience other than I worked in a 7 cafeteria in college and I used to do the 8 Cambridge World Fair. And I've been a 9 chemistry teacher in one of the Boston public 10 schools. And I cater at my church here at 11 Saint Peter's Episcopal Church almost every 12 Sunday. Actually I just catered this past 13 Sunday because was at our church. And I was 14 taking class, the Safe Serve classes and 15 passed it. 16 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And do you have --17 will you have employees who will assist you 18 or work with you? 19 GIFT JAJA: Yes, I will have 20 probably one employee, and then my children 21 who are now a little bit older. I have 18

1	and almost 14 years old. So when they're not
2	in school, I will have them help out.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And employees who
4	you propose to employ, have any of them had
5	experience in food handling and preparation?
6	GIFT JAJA: I will be looking for
7	some someone who has work in such setting,
8	and primarily for cashier work. But the
9	sandwich making will be primarily myself
10	because I have taken the Safe Serve classes.
11	I've passed it. So I'm more knowledgeable in
12	terms of what is required in order to do
13	that.
14	GERALD REARDON: Where is this
15	around Fifth Street?
16	GIFT JAJA: Sixth Street.
17	GERALD REARDON: Sixth. Okay.
18	GIFT JAJA: It used to be a bicycle
19	shop, the space.
20	GERALD REARDON: And have you done
21	any rehabilitation to the building yet or

anythi ng?

GIFT JAJA: Presently we are -presently I'm doing the build out. The
carpentry work it's ongoing. And electrical
work is also ongoing. And so it's work in
progress, and I'm hoping to open by the last
week of November if everything works well.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Background?

ELI ZABETH LI NT: Don't need one.

do have letters of support.

Councilor Toomey I writes in support of the application. He said, "As Cambridge Street continues to struggle with empty storefronts, a new cafe is a welcomed addition to the streetscape." He's heard from many residents that a cafe is a much desired business along Cambridge Street in East Cambridge, and he feels it will be very well received in the neighborhood.

And there's also a letter from the East Cambridge Business Association writing in

1	support of the application. "As members of
2	the business community in East Cambridge, we
3	have heard time and time again that there was
4	a desire by the residents to see a cafe open
5	along Cambridge Street in East Cambridge.
6	With the many vacancies appearing in the
7	neighborhood this is a welcome sign. A Cafe
8	will help draw additional foot traffic to the
9	area and many other businesses in the
10	vicinity could benefit from an increase in
11	foot traffic."
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Are there any
13	Zoni ng i ssues?
14	ELIZABETH LINT: I have zoning
15	si gn-offs.
16	GERALD REARDON: I would make a
17	motion to approve subject to the conditions
18	yes.
19	ELIZABETH LINT: That the \$175
20	hearing and advertising fee needs to be paid.
21	GIFT JAJA: I've already paid that.

1	ELI ZABETH LI NT: You di d? Because I
2	have a note that it wasn't. We can
3	straighten it out.
4	And I need the abutters' notifications.
5	Do you have the green cards back? The green
6	cards that were attached. That's your
7	receipt. That's your postal receipt.
8	GI FT JAJA: Oh, okay. You' re ri ght.
9	I have paid that and I do have the receipt.
10	But unfortunately I don't have that here.
11	ELIZABETH LINT: Okay, that's fine.
12	You can straighten that out with Chris O'Neil
13	in my office tomorrow.
14	GIFT JAJA: I'm stop by sometime
15	during the week.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: So a motion to
17	approve the granting of the license, I take
18	it anything like this is all subject to the
19	completion of all the final payments.
20	GERALD REARDON: Subject to
21	conditions and sign-offs from the appropriate

1	buildings, inspectional, fire and health.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Is there a
3	second to that motion?
4	CHRISTOPHER BURKE: I will second
5	that motion.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
7	favor?
8	(Aye.)
9	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
11	(No Response.)
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Good I uck.
13	GIFT JAJA: Thank you very much.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	ELIZABETH LINT: And then we have
2	ratifications that have already been
3	approved. All the paperwork was in order.
4	Medallion #70 which was a stock
5	transfer.
6	Medallion #141 and #202 were refinance.
7	Medallion #44, #56, #212, #169, #179,
8	sale of stock.
9	Medallion #199 and #237 sale of stock.
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Just for my
11	edification, I'm sorry, when it's a sale of
12	stock, does that mean essentially the
13	medallion's being transferred from one entity
14	to the other?
15	ELIZABETH LINT: From Katherine's
16	Cab to well, Katherine's Cab, Carol's Cab
17	to George Fiorenza and Joe Silva.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: And all the
19	financing is what it sounds like?
20	ELIZABETH LINT: Exactly.
21	MICHAEL GARDNER: And what's a stock

1	transfer?
2	ELIZABETH LINT: One was from it was
3	either from a father to son or a husband to
4	wife.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Thank you.
6	ELIZABETH LINT: I would just ask
7	that they be accepted.
8	GERALD REARDON: Motion to be
9	accepted as written?
10	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Seconded.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
12	favor?
13	(Aye.)
14	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Opposed?
16	(No Response.)
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
18	Is there any other business before the
19	Commi ssi on?
20	ELIZABETH LINT: I have nothing
21	further.

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: The next scheduled
2	meeting of the Commission is the 4th?
3	ELIZABETH LINT: The 4th of
4	November.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Motion to adjourn
6	the meeting?
7	CHRI STOPHER BURKE: Motion.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Second?
9	GERALD REARDON: Second.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in
11	favor?
12	(Aye.)
13	(Gardner, Burke, Reardon.)
14	
15	(Whereupon, at 8:30 p.m., the
16	meeting adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter, the undersi gned Notary Public, certi fy that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
8	this matter.
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of November 2010.
12	my hand this let day of hevenber 2010.
13	Cothonino I Zolinoki
14	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public
15	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter Li cense No. 147703
16	My Commission Expires:
17	Apri I 23, 2015
18	THE EODEOGLAG OFFIT FLOATION OF THE
19	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS LINESS LINDER THE
20	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE
21	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.