1	
2	
3	
4	
5	CAMBRIDGE LICENSE COMMISSION
6	
7	Li cense Commi ssi on General Heari ng Deci si on Heari ng
8	in the
9	Michael J. Lombardi Building
10	Basement Conference Room 831 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge Massachusetts
11	Cambri dge, Massachusetts
12	10: 14 a.m.
13	Mi chael P. Gardner, Chai r
14	Chi ef Geral d R. Reardon, Fi re Department, Commi ssi oner Robert C. Haas,
15	Police Department
16	Elizabeth Y. Lint, Executive Director
17	
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19	REPORTERS, INC.
20	CAPTURI NG THE OFFI CLAL RECORD 617. 786. 7783/FACSI MLLE 617. 639. 0396
21	www. reportersi nc. com

	2
1	I NDEX
2	
3	Page
4	Policy: The Board of License
5	Commissioners will hold a policy hearing to create a new class of wine & malt
6	beverages as a restaurant license with 2:00 a.m. closing time. The license fee
7	will be \$2,475 for transferrable licenses and \$4,944 for city issued non-transferrable
8	l i censes
9	Disciplinary: Vijeta Corporation d/b/a
10	Prospect Liquors, Dhiru Patel, Manager
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

PROCEEDINGS

Disciplinary: Vijeta Corporation

ELIZABETH LINT: This is the License Commission general hearing, Tuesday, October 9, 2012, at 10:14 a.m. We are in the Michael J. Lombardi Building, 831 Mass. Ave., basement conference room.

Before you, the Commissioners, Chairman Michael Gardner and Chief Gerald Reardon.

The disciplinary matter, Vijeta Corporation doing business as Prospect Liquors, Dhiru Patel, Manager, holder of an all alcoholic beverages as a package store license at 1226 Cambridge Street due to an alleged violation at Mass. General Laws 138, Section 15 and the License Commission's disciplinary hearing decision on the January 10, 2012, setting the opening time of Prospect Liquors at eleven a.m.

MICHAEL GARDNER: And just to clarify the agenda, Ms. Lint.

1 ELIZABETH LINT: Yes. 2 MI CHAEL GARDNER: We did have a 3 policy hearing on a new class of wine, malt, 4 and beverage licenses for two a.m. closing. 5 Is that now going to be heard later? 6 ELIZABETH LINT: Well, if 7 Commissioner Haas appears, because I know you 8 wanted all three commissioners present for 9 that matter. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Ri ght. 11 So because Commissioner Haas is not 12 here, essentially we will do the disciplinary 13 hearing first, and if the Commissioner is 14 able to join us before we've completed that, 15 then we can do the policy hearing as well. 16 However, I don't think I'm comfortable doing 17 the policy hearing without all three 18 commissioners. 19 So anybody who's here for the policy 20 hearing can decide whether or not they want

to stay on the uncertain circumstance as to

21

1	whether we'll hear it.
2	But the disciplinary hearing we're
3	prepared to hear now?
4	Okay. Are the parties here now?
5	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Present.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Come
7	forward and I'll just ask all of the people
8	at the front table to state and spell their
9	names for the record beginning with
10	Ms. Boyer.
11	ANDREA BOYER: Andrea Boyer,
12	B-o-y-e-r, Chief Licensing Investigator for
13	the City of Cambridge Licensing Commission.
14	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Gerald Van
15	Dam. I represent Prospect Liquors.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And would you
17	spell your last name, please?
18	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Capital
19	V-a-n Capital D-a-m. Gerald Van Dam. I have
20	a card if the Board would like that.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: PI ease.

1 And, sir. 2 DHIRU PATEL: Dhiru Patel, owner of 3 Prospect Liquors. 4 ELIZABETH LINT: I just have a minor 5 housekeeping issue. There's a balance on a 6 personal property tax bill for 2012, just a 7 small amount that the Treasurer's office has 8 sent over. 9 DHIRU PATEL: I'll do that today. 10 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Before we begin, 11 I'd like to confirm a procedural issue and so 12 I'll ask Ms. Lint or Ms. Boyer, Mr. Van Dam 13 to correct anything that I may say, but my 14 understanding or memory, Ms. Lint, is that we 15 held a disciplinary hearing concerning 16 Prospect Liquors, was it in January of 2012? 17 **ELIZABETH LINT:** Correct. 18 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And that hearing 19 had to do, as I recall, with allegations as to the selling of spirits to intoxicated 20 21 persons?

ELIZABETH LINT: That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MI CHAEL GARDNER: At that hearing there was, as I recall, a great deal of concern that early morning sales of very small bottles, sometimes referred to as nips, or other sales to persons who had the appearance of being either homeless or destitute or in difficult social circumstances was a regular and reoccurring part of the business of the store to the detriment of public safety; in particular school children traversing the area, and general neighborhood concerns. As a result of that hearing, as I recall, the License Commission imposed a 20-day suspension on the operation and also changed the opening hours to eleven a.m. and instructed that any so-called nips or very small quantity bottles be kept out of site.

ELI ZABETH LI NT:

MI CHAEL GARDNER:

That's right.

An appeal was

1 taken of that decision as I recall, and even 2 though there is no appeal to ABCC on the 3 opening time, the License Commission did not 4 insist on enforcement of that piece of the 5 decision at the time the appeal was pending. 6 Do I have that correct? 7 That's correct. ELI ZABETH LI NT: 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And the appeal on 9 the suspension was taken in the matter of 10 course, a decision was reached between the 11 Commission, as with you as its agent or the 12 City with you as its agent and the owner to 13 compromise the number of days of suspension 14 down to, as I recall, 14. Is that correct? 15 That's correct. ELI ZABETH LI NT: 16 MI CHAEL GARDNER: And to begin 17 enforcement of the eleven o'clock starting 18 time? 19 ELI ZABETH LI NT: Correct. 20 And in your MI CHAEL GARDNER: 21 negotiations were you negotiating directly

1	with Mr. Patel or with counsel or an agent to
2	hi m?
3	ELIZABETH LINT: Actually with both.
4	MICHAEL GARDNER: And was it Mr. Van
5	Dam who was
6	ELIZABETH LINT: No, it was attorney
7	Kennedy.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: And I just ask
9	then in your mind was it clear that the
10	eleven o'clock start time was part and parcel
11	of the understanding?
12	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes.
13	The eleven o'clock opening, if that was
14	a problem or a concern, would have had to
15	have been appealed to Superior Court. That
16	appeal was never filed.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: Essentially 30
18	days after a deci si on?
19	ELIZABETH LINT: 60 days.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: 60 days. So
21	sometime in March.

1	And that compromise was reached when?
2	ELIZABETH LINT: September 18th.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: 2012?
4	ELIZABETH LINT: Correct.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: And a notice to
6	Mr. Patel outlining the terms of that
7	agreement was sent on September 18, 2012?
8	ELIZABETH LINT: It was.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: We'll just take
10	official note of the September 18th document
11	as part of the record in the hearing.
12	And do you have a copy of that, Mr. Van
13	Dam?
14	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Yes, I do.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Before Ms. Boyer
16	begins I'll ask Mr. Patel through you,
17	Mr. Van Dam, are there any parts of the
18	summary that you dispute?
19	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Am I
20	correct we're addressing the sole issue of
21	the eleven o'clock opening at this hearing

1	right now?
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: I gave you an
3	outline of the history of the case as to my
4	understanding. I'm asking if there's
5	anything about that summary that you dispute?
6	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Not at
7	all.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
9	Ms. Boyer.
10	ANDREA BOYER: Thank you, sir.
11	Please be advised that I spoke with the
12	owner of Prospect Liquors, Mr. Patel,
13	regarding a disciplinary letter that was sent
14	to him on September 18, 2012. I sent you a
15	copy of that letter with your packet.
16	The reason for discussing the letter
17	was to be sure that he understood that the
18	days he was not to be open and that he may
19	not open until eleven a.m. Mr. Patel stated
20	that he needs to open for nine a.m. for
21	financial reasons. I informed him that time
	1

1	of opening was negotiated with the Executive
2	Director, and the License Commission Board
3	would have to change the hours that are
4	issued on the disciplinary letter.
5	I explained further that he must write
6	a letter to be put on the agenda to speak to
7	the License Commission Board and state his
8	fi nanci al i ssues.
9	l also told him the next available date
10	would be October 9, 2012. I reiterated that
11	until he had been heard by the Board he may
12	not open until eleven a.m., and the store
13	would be checked to make sure he was in
14	compliance.
15	The next day I did an inspection of
16	Prospect Li quors, which was September 27,
17	2012 and
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay, so just
19	ANDREA BOYER: I apologize.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: It's all right.
21	just to clarify the timeline here.

1	The conversation you had with Mr. Patel that
2	you just spoke of, I take it was by
3	tel ephone?
4	ANDREA BOYER: Yes, it was.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: And what was the
6	date of that conversation?
7	ANDREA BOYER: September 26th.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: September the
9	26th. So that would have been the Wednesday
10	following the week before Tuesday, September
11	18th notice?
12	ANDREA BOYER: Correct.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right, okay.
14	I'm sorry, go ahead.
15	ANDREA BOYER: So inspection of the
16	store of Prospect Liquors on September 27,
17	2012, at ten a.m. showed Prospect Liquors
18	opened and Mr. Patel selling to the
19	individuals. As I walking towards the
20	establishment, two men exited and placed
21	their bags which Prospect Liquors uses for

1	their sales into their grocery style carts
2	that were holding empty bottles for return.
3	When I opened the door to enter Prospect
4	Li quors, Mr. Patel was at the register
5	ringing an item for a customer, and there
6	were three additional customers waiting in
7	line.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And at
9	approximately what time was this?
10	GERALD REARDON: What time was this?
11	ANDREA BOYER: 10:05 a.m.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Go ahead.
13	ANDREA BOYER: That was the first
14	day, and so I came back and wrote that up to
15	give to the Executive Director.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Did you have any
17	conversation with him at that time?
18	ANDREA BOYER: Not at that time.
19	The next day I went and performed an
20	inspection on September 28th at approximately
21	9:55 a.m. The purpose of the inspection was

to monitor Prospect Liquors' opening hour of eleven a.m. based on previous disciplinary issue. I watched a person enter the package store and then I entered. I asked the owner, Mr. Patel, why he was opened and he asked if he could speak to me after the customer left. Once the customer left he started to explain his financial problems and he --

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Let me just interrupt you. Did the customer make a purchase?

ANDREA BOYER: Ci garettes.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.

ANDREA BOYER: Once the customers

I eft, he started to explain his financial

problems and that he must open. I started to

explain to him that I have to follow the

rules in the letter. When I heard voices in

the back storage room, I walked to the back

and two gentlemen were speaking, and there

was a small bottle of wine next to one of the

men. I asked if it was his, and he stated,
It's not mine. I said, Okay, and then went
back to Mr. Patel and asked why they were in
the back room. He said, he thinks one of
them needs to use the bathroom.

I went back to the storage room and asked again, Are you drinking back here? And the man replied, No. I took the bottle which was perspiring from recently being cold. The bottle was new.

MICHAEL GARDNER: So it had condensation on it?

ANDREA BOYER: Correct.

And asked for the man with the bottle cap that was in his hand which matched the bottle, I took the bottle to Mr. Patel and said, They are in the back. And he stated, I'm not going to lie to you, yes, but it is because he is the father of a previous officer and I am afraid.

I went to the back area again where the

1 two men were and told them that they had to 2 It is illegal to drink on premise. I eave. 3 Mr. Patel continued to explain to me 4 that he needs to be open due to financial 5 I told him that he cannot open until 6 eleven a.m. until he speaks with the License 7 Also I would be writing a Commission Board. 8 report of the man drinking in the back room, 9 and removed the wine bottle off premise as 10 evidence which is upstairs. And that was the 11 end of the investigation. 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. 13 When I wrote up the ANDREA BOYER: 14 report, I gave to the Executive Director who 15 put it on the agenda. 16 And that's your MI CHAEL GARDNER: 17 September 27, 2012, report to Ms. Lint? 18 ANDREA BOYER: Both of them were 19 given to her. 20 I'm sorry, both --MI CHAEL GARDNER: 21 the other one was -- oh, the September 27th

1	and 28th?
2	ANDREA BOYER: Correct.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you have those,
4	Mr. Van Dam?
5	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Yes, I do.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Mr. Patel.
7	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Through Mr. Van
9	Dam.
10	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Thank you.
11	State your name.
12	DHIRU PATEL: Dhiru Patel. Dhiru
13	Patel .
14	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Where do
15	you live, Mr. Patel?
16	DHIRU PATEL: Burlington,
17	Massachusetts.
18	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Who do you
19	live with?
20	DHIRU PATEL: Two kids and my wife.
21	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And your

two children. One in college?
DHIRU PATEL: Yeah. One in college,
and other one just finished the school, but I
had to pay his mortgage.
ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Fi ni shed
his what? Speak up.
DHIRU PATEL: He just finished his
college in New York.
ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And
MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you have one
child in college and one has just graduated?
DHIRU PATEL: Yes, just graduated.
ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And does
your wife work?
DHIRU PATEL: No. My wife is
ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Why not.
Why doesn't your wife work?
DHIRU PATEL: She has the health
problems so she cannot work.
ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And how
long have you been at Prospect Liquors in the

1	present location?
2	DHIRU PATEL: Seven years.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: I didn't hear
4	that.
5	DHIRU PATEL: Seven years.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seven?
7	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah.
8	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Mr. Patel,
9	this is not your first hearing before this
10	Board, is it?
11	DHI RU PATEL: Ri ght.
12	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Do you
13	understand the authority of the Board?
14	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Okay.
16	What is your understanding?
17	DHIRU PATEL: They have a right to
18	whatever, to close the store or whatever.
19	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: They have
20	a right to revoke your license; correct?
21	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Do you
2	understand that?
3	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
4	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Have I
5	impressed that upon you?
6	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
7	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Have you
8	they have the authority to put you out of
9	busi ness; correct?
10	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
11	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And you
12	have how much longer on your lease?
13	DHIRU PATEL: Three more years.
14	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Is your
15	rent up to date, Mr. Patel?
16	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
17	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Has it
18	always been basically up to date?
19	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
20	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And you
21	understood, did you not, the terms and

1	conditions laid out to you; correct?
2	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And by
4	violating that, as we spoke, do you
5	understand again they can cause this store to
6	be closed and you without a job?
7	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
8	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I would
9	like to be heard, but I have no further
10	questions of Mr. Patel at this particular
11	time.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So I have a couple
13	of questions for Mr. Patel.
14	What time did you open the store on
15	September the 18th?
16	DHIRU PATEL: September the 18th is
17	regular time. Is like around just before
18	ni ne o' cl ock.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
20	open the store on September 19th?
21	DHIRU PATEL: September 19th, just

1	after that is regular, eleven o'clock. Only
2	coupl e days.
3	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: He asked
4	you on a particular day what time did you
5	open?
6	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah. El even o' cl ock.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
8	open the store on September the 19th?
9	DHI RU PATEL: 19th?
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm sorry,
11	September 20th.
12	DHIRU PATEL: September 20th?
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you need a
14	cal endar?
15	DHIRU PATEL: No.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. September
17	the 20th was a Thursday. What time did you
18	open the store?
19	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah. El even o' cl ock.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
21	open the store at Friday, September 21st?

1	DHIRU PATEL: El even o' cl ock.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
3	open the store on Saturday, the 22nd?
4	DHIRU PATEL: September 22nd is a
5	Saturday. Every day at eleven o'clock except
6	for few days I just
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
8	open on you're closed on Sundays?
9	DHIRU PATEL: No, we open.
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You're open on
11	Sundays?
12	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
14	open on Sunday, the 23rd?
15	DHIRU PATEL: Twelve o'clock.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: I'm sorry?
17	DHIRU PATEL: Twelve o'clock.
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Twelve o'clock?
19	Is that your license to open at twelve
20	o' cl ock?
21	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
2	open the store on Monday, the 24th?
3	DHIRU PATEL: We open at eleven
4	o' cl ock.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: 24th el even
6	o' cl ock?
7	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
9	open the store on Tuesday, the 25th?
10	DHIRU PATEL: El even.
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: El even?
12	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: What time did you
14	open the store on Wednesday, the 26th?
15	DHIRU PATEL: 26th is eleven.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: That's Wednesday
17	the 26th?
18	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah.
19	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Do you remember
20	having a conversation with Ms. Boyer on that
21	day?

1	DHI RU PATEL: 26th?
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes. A telephone
3	conversati on.
4	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah. She
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: What do you
6	remember about that telephone conversation?
7	DHIRU PATEL: I had to write some
8	letter to Elizabeth.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, write a
10	letter to Elizabeth about what?
11	DHIRU PATEL: That letter says
12	eleven o'clock, but we had to go back to nine
13	o' cl ock.
14	MI CHAEL GARDNER: That you
15	understood you needed to write a letter to
16	Ms. Lint about that subject?
17	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: How did that come
19	up?
20	DHIRU PATEL: I wrote a letter to
21	her. My printer wasn't working so I just

1	hand it and give it to her.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you handwrote a
3	letter to Ms. Lint?
4	So a copy of this is already in the
5	file?
6	ELIZABETH LINT: That's the file
7	copy.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: So this is a
9	handwritten letter dated September the 26th,
10	2012, from you with the Prospect Liquors as
11	the return address. And the first page of
12	the letter describes some personal
13	circumstances and some financial
14	ci rcumstances. Paragraph ci rcl ed and
15	labelled No. 3 described some other family
16	circumstances in the beginning, and then
17	describes some your accounting of the
18	conditions around the store, including when
19	school buses come by and leave. And it
20	concludes there is no problem with any
21	homeless people in the morning. Please let

1 me open my store on regular time. It would 2 be a great help to pay my bill in this bad 3 I would greatly appreciate your help. 4 Thanks. 5 And the subject of the letter initially 6 was requesting a change back to the opening 7 hours of Prospect from eleven a.m. to nine 8 And the opening sentence is that you a.m. 9 requested to let the store be open at nine 10 As you're closing the store two days a.m. 11 every week, it's difficult to maintain your 12 account and run your daily life. 13 So, why --14 DHIRU PATEL: After that letter --15 MICHAEL GARDNER: I just want to 16 understand why you wrote the letter. 17 DHIRU PATEL: After that letter I 18 was impressing that it would be okay to open, 19 but I opened just one half hour. At 9:20 I 20 opened on 27th. 28th. 21 So on the 27th you MI CHAEL GARDNER:

1	opened at 9: 20?
2	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah, after 9:15.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: And what time did
4	you open on the 28th?
5	DHIRU PATEL: Approximately the same
6	time.
7	MI CHAEL GARDNER: At 9: 20?
8	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: And what time did
10	you open on the 29th?
11	DHIRU PATEL: And then I started
12	opening at eleven o'clock.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And have you
14	opened at eleven o'clock every day since then
15	except for the days you may have been on
16	suspensi on?
17	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah. After that
18	letter I just was impression that it would be
19	okay to
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: So your testimony
21	is that the only two times you opened before

1	eleven o'clock just happened to be the two
2	times that Ms. Boyer checked?
3	DHIRU PATEL: I don't know.
4	MI CHAEL GARDNER: I didn't hear your
5	answer.
6	DHIRU PATEL: I have no idea
7	what I don't know about that.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, Ms. Boyer
9	checked on the 27th and 28th; right?
10	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah. I don't
11	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You were open
12	DHIRU PATEL: I don't
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: before el even
14	on those days, but you're telling us you
15	never opened before eleven prior to that or
16	after that; those were the only two days?
17	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
18	I think I didn't see her on the 27th.
19	Only one time she came on the 28th.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: She talked to you
21	once on the 28th?

1	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: But on the 26th
3	when she talked to you, you knew the License
4	Commission said your opening time was eleven
5	a.m., didn't you?
6	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: And yet on the
8	27th you opened at 9:20?
9	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah. Because I
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: And why did you do
11	that?
12	DHIRU PATEL: I wrote that letter I
13	was under the impression that they would do
14	that. It was my mistake. I apologize for
15	that.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you wrote a
17	letter requesting that we make the change;
18	ri ght?
19	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
20	MICHAEL GARDNER: And you thought by
21	writing the letter that was it, that

1	magically that the change had happened?
2	DHI RU PATEL: No.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So what did you
4	think on the 27th?
5	DHIRU PATEL: It was my mistake that
6	I I had a too much problem of financial
7	problem, and I thought they will I talked
8	to Elizabeth about that, my financial
9	problem, so I thought
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: When did you talk
11	to Elizabeth?
12	DHIRU PATEL: I talked to her
13	before, and I wrote that letter so I thought
14	she might read my financial problem in that
15	letter.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you talked to
17	Elizabeth while you were negotiating the
18	settlement of the suspension prior to
19	September 18th; is that the conversation
20	you're talking to her about?
21	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: You didn't talk to
2	her after the September 18th Letter; yes or
3	no?
4	DHIRU PATEL: I think I talked to
5	her, but I wrote the letter so
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, okay.
7	DHIRU PATEL: I talked to her
8	MI CHAEL GARDNER: In your
9	conversations with Ms. Lint, did she make it
10	clear to you that the starting time was
11	el even
12	DHIRU PATEL: No, she just said
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: and that it
14	couldn't be changed without a vote of the
15	Commi ssi on?
16	DHIRU PATEL: I don't recall that,
17	but I was she was telling me that okay, we
18	will see what we can do, you know. So I was
19	thi nki ng i t's okay.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So Ms. Lint told
21	you as far as you understand, we'll see what

1	we can do?
2	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah, ri ght.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And you thought
4	that that was permission to open at nine?
5	DHIRU PATEL: Not really, no.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, what did you
7	think? This is your license.
8	DHIRU PATEL: I know.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: This is a city
10	license that's been granted to you. We're
11	trying to understand what you understand.
12	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And how honest and
14	truthful you are.
15	DHIRU PATEL: I know. I apologize
16	for that. I opened one, one and half hour I
17	opened early. It was my mistake.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: Then why did you
19	do that?
20	DHIRU PATEL: My financial problem
21	is so bad that I cannot even pay my

daughter's college fees. She got a letter to rent the apartment. And my wife is in a bad situation and she's cry all the time and we don't have no money at all. My account is the -- in the minus. I'm financially in a bad situation. There's no way that I can close two days a week that I can make it up, because \$4,000 or \$8,000, I have a difficult time to get it from anywhere. There's no way that I can make it. So I'm financially very hardship. Terri bl y hardship. And I was over here and talking to Elizabeth that I'm really in a very bad shape, that I don't have no money at all. So, I was worried that how I can come up with this, you know?

I apologize for what I made a mistake, but just give me one chance that I will follow all of your rules and regulations and whatever, just let me stay there to come up with crisis. Because I didn't even tell my wife that I close. I just said few days

19 20

21

17

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

closed, because she cry everyday and she will have a heart attack. She has a health problem. So I just have to tell her that everything will be okay, no problem, and just let it go.

My daughter cries. She said, daddy, if you won't pay that money, I will be out of college. So what are you going to do? So I went to my friend and I borrow some money and pay the fee and then just keep her in the college. So it's very difficult time for me to close the store. I really apologize, apologize for the mistake, I did it, but I will follow all your rules and regulations through my attorney. He will watch all documents and everything and maybe he will help me out what I supposed to do, what I don't supposed to do. If I have made any mistake, he will correct it.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Tell me about the people in the back room.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DHIRU PATEL: Actually, this is the true story. That one guy he usually come once in a while. He drinks non-alcoholic It's Walter Souza, the previous owner And non-alcoholic beer is allowed brother. to drink. And I talked to ABCC someone, and they say it's okay. So he comes once in a When he go to bank, he stop by and he while. drinks non-alcoholic. And the other guy, his friend, he comes, too, but he come (inaudible) he drinks only Sprite, you know? Sometimes he buy small bottle of wine, but he take it home. He don't drink it there. I don't allow nobody to drink in my store. Only this non-alcoholic person, Walter's brother. On that day, he said I got to go to the bathroom. He went to the bathroom, and I told Andrea that he went to the bathroom. And I used -- I heard the sound that he flush the toilet. So I thought he went to the bathroom, but when I talked to him, and he

1 said I changed my mind and I drink that small 2 Instead of home, I drink it at the wi ne. 3 That's what he said. Because I store. 4 didn't know that. And then Andrea came, I 5 was at register and I -- they're talking 6 their language. They talking in the back 7 room, and one went to the bathroom. 8 thought he went to the bathroom, otherwise I 9 could have stopped him. And the thing is 10 that when he bought it, purchase, I told him 11 use the brown bag, but he said no, I put it 12 in the pocket. He was wearing jacket. So 13 sometimes when he has a jacket, he said 14 forget about brown bag, I'll take it in my 15 So he take it home, he don't drink pocket. 16 it until he got home. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So you knew he 18 bought the wine that day? 19 DHI RU PATEL: Yeah. But I thought 20 he would take it home. So he put it in the 21 jacket, but when he used the bathroom, so he

21

went to the bathroom, so he change his mind and he drink it. So I don't know about that until Andrea found it that he drink it. then he said, I'm sorry that I don't supposed to drink that, because I told everyone that nobody drink in the back room. Nobody. Only this guy, Walter's brother sometimes he come and drink non-alcoholic. But this is the first time this guy drink in the back room.

So, this really MI CHAEL GARDNER:

No. I -- honest to God, I didn't that know he gonna drink in the back room. I thought use the bathroom, that's why he went there. And then he must be talking to the other guy. That's when Andrea came, I think they are talking or one went to the bathroom, that's what I say to

How I ong had they MI CHAEL GARDNER: been there before Ms. Boyer came?

1	DHIRU PATEL: Just a maybe three,
2	four minutes. Just, they ran inside.
3	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You understand
4	it's your responsibility to police the back
5	room to make sure nobody's drinking, don't
6	you?
7	DHIRU PATEL: I know. I don't allow
8	nobody, only the this is Walter's brother.
9	He drink no alcoholic in there. Because I
10	know no alcoholic beer is like a root beer,
11	people can drink it. That's what I found the
12	information, otherwise I could have stopped
13	him, too.
14	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you're in
15	control of the back room.
16	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: You decide who
18	goes back there.
19	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Chi ef Reardon?
21	DHIRU PATEL: I'm just asking you,

please, only one chance.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

GERALD REARDON: Mr. Patel, the last time you were here in January, when you got finished testifying, I was very uneasy about even your ability to run the store based upon Nothing is ever your fault. your questions. You dispute everything that's in hard You seem to be in denial. evi dence. understand the financial. I assume you paid a lot for this license, and it's probably very difficult to make a living at it. The problem you're doing here is you're doing yourself a disservice, because if you have no liquor license, you'll have no money and you'll still have a lease payment. But you continue to push the edge. I don't think you take responsibility for anything that's happening there. Again, I'm not unsympathetic. But for you to come in here and say that the only two times you were open early was the two times you got caught. The

16

17

18

19

20

21

only time that the person in the back room ever drank was the time you got caught. doesn't make a lot of sense to a rational person. And you tell us the last time when you left here that I can't tell if someone's impaired. You said I won't sell to street We said you can't discriminate to people. street people. You can't sell to impaired people. And your answer was I don't have a breathalyzer, how am I supposed to tell? That's not a very good answer for a package A package store owner has to be store owner. able to turn around and tell the patrons that come in there, and tell and train your help that you can't sell to people who appear to be impaired. You can't profile them, and you can't -- it doesn't mean they have to have a breathalyzer, but there's very common sense items for people who seem to be impaired that you, as a package store owner, should be trained highly more than the average person

1 to understand this. And I think 2 unfortunately your financial problem is 3 making you do very, very, very bad decisions 4 based upon trying to make sales. 5 DHIRU PATEL: I have one thing to 6 say. ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: 7 Just... 8 GERALD REARDON: It's very hard for 9 me to sit here and give you yet another 10 You have not been forthcoming with chance. 11 this, in my opinion, since the day you've 12 walked in here, and you've been in here 13 several times. 14 DHIRU PATEL: I stop all that bad 15 homeless people used to come. 16 GERALD REARDON: And, again, you 17 can't discriminate against someone who is 18 But we're talking about people who homel ess. 19 seem to be impaired. Let's understand that 20 we're not discriminating against any class of 21 people, but anyone that comes in. If someone

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

came in in a three piece suit and seemed to be unsteady on their feet and glassy-eyed and so forth, you would also not serve them, so I want to make that clear.

DHIRU PATEL: No, no, we don't sell We don't sell to any intoxicated them. No. people, never. As they walk in, if they are not able to walk through the door, we don't sell them. The last person was caught, he was a physically disabled person and he didn't drink any more and that's, I can bet my life on that. He never drink anymore and he just couldn't find any ride. When he needed a ride, he came there. And the way he talked, it looked like he was drunk but physically, he was physically disabled and he explained the Board that.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you understand that you gave up your right to make that claim that you were innocent to selling to intoxicated persons once you made

1	the settlement with Ms. Lint? You understand
2	that, don't you?
3	DHIRU PATEL: I don't have a no
4	choi ce.
5	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you had the
6	choice of continuing to appeal.
7	DHIRU PATEL: No, but he died. He
8	died. So we have no witness. My lawyer
9	sai d
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you didn't
11	have any witnesses here either because nobody
12	came forward.
13	What have you done about
14	DHIRU PATEL: My lawyer said
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. What
16	have you done about hiding the nips?
17	DHIRU PATEL: It says out of sight.
18	That means
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: What have you done
20	about that?
21	DHIRU PATEL: I moved from that

1	my attorney came and I moved that.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: When did you do
3	that?
4	DHI RU PATEL: Yesterday. Because
5	out of sight means, as I know that this one,
6	we be able to watch. But no homeless people
7	buy the nips that they can see. They just
8	buy some other stuff that leaves the nips
9	is out of they can see it. The other
10	people buy. Some regular people buy. The
11	homeless people, they don't buy the nips.
12	It's expensive ones.
13	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Are the
14	nips now out of sight?
15	DHIRU PATEL: So, out of sight means
16	you shouldn't be able to see it, right?
17	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Did you
18	remove them from the cash register area?
19	DHIRU PATEL: Yeah, on the cash
20	regi ster.
21	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Next to

1	the cash register and the shelves behind to
2	the register?
3	DHIRU PATEL: Itselfis
4	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Did you
5	remove those?
6	DHIRU PATEL: No, because on the
7	shelf, nobody can reach there. Only they can
8	see it.
9	GERALD REARDON: That's called out
10	of sight, Mr. Patel.
11	DHIRU PATEL: Everybody, everybody
12	all liquor store have just like this setup
13	behind the register.
14	GERALD REARDON: Every other store
15	is not in the same predicament as you with
16	us, right now, Mr. Patel.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: And you made the
18	change that Counsel Van Dam was speaking of
19	yesterday?
20	DHI RU PATEL: Yeah.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So of the three

1 conditions of the disciplinary settlement of 2 September the 18th, the suspension days, it's 3 the eleven o'clock closing, and was having 4 the nips out of sight. 5 DHI RU PATEL: Yeah. 6 You didn't comply MI CHAEL GARDNER: 7 with two of those --8 DHIRU PATEL: I have one --9 MI CHAEL GARDNER: -- until after 10 Ms. Boyer --11 DHIRU PATEL: I have one question. 12 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: This for 13 them to ask you questions. 14 MI CHAEL GARDNER: You were out of 15 compliance for at least two days. You're 16 telling us no other days were you out of 17 compliance on the eleven o'clock opening, 18 just the two days Ms. Boyer happened to be 19 And you're also saying you didn't 20 comply with the third component of the 21 Commission's decision until yesterday; right?

1 DHIRU PATEL: Um, the nips, right? 2 Yes, after --MI CHAEL GARDNER: 3 right, after your counsel got involved. 4 DHIRU PATEL: I interpret it out of 5 sight means you shouldn't be able to see 6 it --7 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: You mean 8 to reach it. 9 DHIRU PATEL: But when the customer 10 comes, they can see all the things. 11 MI CHAEL GARDNER: But you didn't 12 move them until yesterday. 13 DHIRU PATEL: I -- but --14 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Ri ght? 15 DHIRU PATEL: Yeah, because one 16 thing I'm just telling you that how you can 17 move out of sight means there's quite a few 18 nips on the shelf since long time, if I have 19 to move that or hide that, it is very 20 difficult to because nobody reach there, and 21 out of sight, to make it out of sight I have

1 to put something because how I can sell it? 2 That was the question that someone asked, 3 okay, I need such and such nips, but if they 4 cannot --5 MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, you can sell 6 it if they ask for it. 7 DHI RU PATEL: They cannot see it. 8 Some regular people, if they cannot see it, 9 then they cannot figure it out okay, I need 10 that. 11 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So essentially you 12 disagreed with the License Commission's 13 decision to put them out of sight and you 14 chose to ignore that decision; isn't that the 15 what we should understand what happened? 16 Because that would make it too hard for you 17 to sell them. Have I said that correctly? 18 No, I couldn't. DHI RU PATEL: 19 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Please answer the 20 The question is you disagreed with questi on. 21 the Commission's decision that you keep the

1 nips out of sight so you ignored that, and 2 the reason you ignored it is because that 3 would make it too hard to sell them? Isn't 4 that correct? 5 DHIRU PATEL: It was very difficult 6 for me to hide all the nips to make it 7 pertain or something. 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So it was too hard 9 to comply with the Commission decision so you 10 ignored it? 11 DHI RU PATEL: So I --12 MICHAEL GARDNER: And you ignored it 13 to this day in the sense that you've moved 14 them but they're still in plain sight; is 15 that correct? 16 DHI RU PATEL: Yes. 17 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay, thanks. 18 Mr. Van Dam, what else would you like 19 to say? And I in particular ask you to 20 address the issue of any reasons why we 21 shouldn't revoke this license.

1	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Thank you.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And anything else
3	you want to have Mr. Patel add.
4	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Is there a
5	sign up now open at eleven?
6	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
7	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: You heard
8	the Chairman ask or I'm sorry, the Chief
9	use the term in denial. Do you know what
10	that means?
11	DHIRU PATEL: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: What does
13	that mean?
14	DHIRU PATEL: I didn't agree with
15	it.
16	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Di dn' t
17	what?
18	DHIRU PATEL: I didn't agree with
19	i t.
20	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: No, denial
21	okay, you didn't agree.

1 Do you understand now --2 DHIRU PATEL: Yes. 3 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Okay. 4 If I may, Mr. Chairman. 5 I've spent the better part of the last 6 week awake and while trying to go to sleep, 7 trying to advance a defense for this Cambridge businessman. I almost went to the 8 9 library. I came up with maybe three that I 10 would not be so foolish to suggest, only to 11 mention. I thought maybe he doesn't 12 understand English. That's not the case. 13 I thought he's incapable of digesting 14 rules and regulations. 15 I even thought that maybe he doesn't 16 know how to tell time, but none of these --17 any of these would insult everyone's 18 intelligence. 19 Having said that, I look at this 20 hearing as a hearing with regard to 21 disposition. What should happen?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I feel that as of yesterday after speaking to Mr. Patel, and I went to his premises, that based on my explanation of the ramifications of what can happen here that he's done, he's out of business, and not only will he suffer, but his family will suffer.

What I'm asking is that, that's like giving him as the term goes, the death penalty. And although you've heard the testimony, I don't think, even though he's been given other chances, that that is at this point in time respectfully over punishment. I believe that he finally gets He suggested, he asked me if I would it. monitor it, at that time I didn't respond. However, perhaps at Mr. Patel's expense the city could have someone oversee his business operation to make sure that any other violation, any future violation would certainly put the coffin on this man's ability to make a living. I said to

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Mr. Patel yesterday, I said, you have two children and when they were growing up, did they ever need to be punished? Did they ever need to be reprimanded? Whether it's -well, in my day it was go to your room. I guess in this generation I never understood this term, you give children time out is the expression now. Did you reprimand your children, tell them they can't watch TV? Tell them they have to go to bed early. them they can't go to the movies on Saturday. He said, yes, I did -- and I know -- he has two very well adjusted and smart children. And he said yes, I did. That's how they turned out so well.

I said what would you do if you imposed a punishment and then you found out a day or two later that they completely ignored you?

He said, replied, I would try to make them understand that that's not how society is run. And I tried to explain to him that this

1 Board is the parent and he's the child. 2 3 4 5 6 rul es. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 thi ng. 15 16 17 18 19 man out of business. Thank you. 20

21

And I think that maybe, hopefully, for once he understands that when a child -- parent imposes a punishment on a child, he has no choice or she has no choice but to follow the But you don't, respectfully, take that child, even on the second time or the third time, and throw that child to the wolves, throw them out into the street. Perhaps there's always an opportunity -- and you don't disown them. And you don't necessarily disown a local businessman that understands now you have to do the right And I respectfully suggest that any punishment imposed -- and I understand it has to be more than the last one. And keep in mind that he now gets it and don't put this I guess I'd have a GERALD REARDON:

follow-up question, Counselor. If an additional suspension was placed on your client, I mean, I would assume that the financial hardship would even be greater. And it seems as though that the misjudgments being perpetrated or being driven by the financial issues, I guess, I'd like you to probably kind of address the fact that with the further financial hardship, you think your client would still get it, so to speak? He hasn't, he hasn't so far.

ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I did
speak to him about that, and I told him that
he is going to have to seek monetary
replacement, so to speak. Perhaps his
children will have to get a job during this
time of suspension. Perhaps -- he owns his
home. Perhaps he would have to try to get an
equity I oan or another mortgage on his home
because I ife doesn't stop during the
suspension. His expenses go on. The

landlord has to be paid. But -- and if he -he would have to seek these alternatives.
But to respectfully suspend or take away his
license on the theory that if you don't, he
will not be able to financially exist and
he'll continue to repeat this, that's his
problem. And I made him aware of this, that
just because he has financial problems, is no
reason to disobey this Board. He finally
gets it.

GERALD REARDON: Thank you.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Ms. Lint, I'm

I ooking at the notice letter of October 22nd and I'm just trying to count the prior disciplinary interventions of the Board.

There was a 20-day license suspension since modified to 14; a three-day license suspension; a 10-day license suspension; a warning from '08; and a reprimand from '06.

So I have five prior disciplinary interventions as summarized in your last

1	paragraph.
2	ELIZABETH LINT: That's correct.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right.
4	ELIZABETH LINT: And I would not
5	to make it appear worse, but the three-day
6	and the 10-day were both in May of 2009.
7	They were within a week of each other I
8	bel i eve.
9	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: That was,
10	that in '09 that I was the attorney, I
11	believe that was the one I represented
12	Mr. Patel.
13	ELIZABETH LINT: After the hearing.
14	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: After the
15	hearing. And one of the conditions in terms
16	of getting it, I remember, he had to get the
17	ID check for selling to minors. And he did
18	do that.
19	Do you still have that?
20	DHIRU PATEL: Yes, I have it.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So, I guess,

21

Mr. Van Dam, I'm not persuaded by the parent/child analogy. We're a regulator of certain businesses that the Commonwealth has deemed should be regulated because of their impact on public safety and presumed at least potential dangerousness and being subject to We regulate individuals and abuse. businesses and corporations, partnerships or sole proprietors who've made a decision that they want to make an economic investment in a certain kind of industry, and are supposed to understand the terms and limitations of that industry. And that's the basis of our There is no kinship or duty of rel ati onshi p. familial or sanguine loyalty as I understand it.

ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I was -the main thrust of my example was to try to
show you that he now understands.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, I am certainly not persuaded of that. I can't

1 imagine our having been clearer in January of 2 this year as to what our expectations were. 3 In particular, the Police Commissioner's very 4 clear admonitions about the social 5 disrupti veness and dangerousness of the way 6 he was conducting his business. I'm pretty 7 persuaded that he should not be in this 8 business, and I'm trying to find a way in 9 which it's possible for him to leave the 10 business without suffering the death penalty 11 of total revocation of the license. 12 relatively new to the Commission. I'm 13 putting out to you to, my fellow board 14 member, to Ms. Lint, just that the general 15 concept that I think I'm interested in 16 finding a way to stop Mr. Patel from 17 operating this business and give him the 18 chance to see if he can sell the license to a 19 reputable party that we can of course have 20 our own regulatory obligations for in terms 21 of approving the sale. I don't know if that

1 in fact is feasible or even legal. 2 not legal, I don't want to do it. But I'm 3 wondering whether a suspension through 4 December 31st of this year with the 5 expectation that Mr. Patel will use that time 6 to try to sell the license, and if he was 7 unsuccessful in that, the Commission would 8 decide at that point whether or not to grant 9 an extension of the license into January of 10 I don't know if that's either 2013. 11 logistically or legally something that we 12 could do. And I'll -- but I would put it out 13 there as an idea as a way for Mr. Patel to 14 sal vage some economic value here. 15 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: May 1? 16 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, please. I've 17 not made a motion, I've expressed an idea. 18 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Would you 19 consider postponing a decision and continuing 20 the hearing for purpose of disposition so 21 that I can impress upon him and tell him to

2

begin in due diligence in selling the business?

3 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, that would mean he'd essentially be able to continue to 4 5 operate now with essentially no penalty for 6 about as flagrant a set of violations as in 7 my limited experience, I can recall. 8 don't know if this is a significant part of 9 your business, Mr. Van Dam, but I would 10 venture based on your comments about the 11 difficulty of coming up with a viable 12 defense, that you would agree that this is 13 about as flagrant a violation of a 14 Commission's clear order as one can imagine.

ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: By

postponing a decision as a practical matter,

he -- any appeal to the ABCC, as you know,

would stay whatever decision you make. So is

there really any down side to, again,

postponing the decision so we can get into -
MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, I guess if

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	we were to do anything besides revoke, I'm
2	not sure the votes to revoke are there, but
3	if we were to do anything besides revoke, I
4	would assume we would do it with the
5	understanding that that was an appropriate
6	settlement, and we would not be facing an
7	ABCC
8	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: That's
9	correct.
10	MI CHAEL GARDNER: appeal.
11	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: That's
12	correct.
13	MICHAEL GARDNER: So my question is
14	under what terms we give him the opportunity
15	to try to sell the license while he's not in
16	operati on.
17	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Well, he's
18	not in operation.
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: You essentially
20	said he should get a suspension of more than
21	14 days.

Yes, I'm 1 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: 2 not --3 So a suspension is MI CHAEL GARDNER: sort of in the wind. What I'm upping the 4 5 ante to say is he's got to leave the 6 business, but I'm prepared to find a way so 7 that he can convert the license into 8 something of economic value. And I'm hearing 9 you say that presents too many problems for 10 you. 11 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Well, no. 12 A suspension for even more than 14 days, if 13 reasonably spread out in time, would not 14 cause -- as a practical matter, again, get 15 him through the Christmas season and holiday 16 season, the Thanksgi ving. 17 MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, if he owns a 18 home free and clear in Burlington. 19 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I don't 20 know if it's free and clear. I don't know. 21 Well, you know, as MI CHAEL GARDNER:

you indicated, though, there may or may not be other financial means available, but that -- it sort of isn't our problem, you know.

In fact, part of the problem here is that he's undercapitalized to run this business and is essentially having to violate our rules to try and meet his monthly obligations, that's an indication the license does -- should not be continued to be held by him.

DHIRU PATEL: No, I'm not qualified to get approval at all. And I don't get even any of the mortgages, too, because I have quite a few debt from my son's loan, my daughter's loan. And I won't be able -- I'm the only one is working person in the full family. So, there is no other way that I will survive for any other source. I don't have a no source at all. Completely zero. If, please, just give me one chance, you know. I just pray to you, please, give me

1 This is my heartiest request to one chance. 2 you one last time. Please, just for my wife 3 and daughter that I will have a really hard 4 time to explain this mess to both of them. 5 You know, they will collapse. It will be a 6 big disaster for me. I will be gone for the 7 Give me just the one last chance. 8 This is my last situation. If I have any 9 anything that you find, then I will live by 10 myself. I will leave without, I will give 11 you the license and bring it myself, but just 12 give me one chance, please. One chance, 13 please. I just wish to -- because I'm going 14 to have a terrible time. I won't be able to 15 give this message to my wife. You know? I will have to call 9-1-1 or whatever it is. 16 17 She's very depressed. She cry everyday. I 18 talk to Elizabeth Lint about that, that how 19 can I explain to her. It's very difficult. 20 She going to have a heart attack. 21 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM:

1 Understanding it's not your issue. 2 Understanding, you know, it's not Cambridge's 3 It's not Cambridge's problem. i ssue. 4 suggest to him that perhaps his wife would 5 even come to the hearing today. And when he 6 told me that it might cause her to become 7 more sick and have a heart attack. And then 8 I waited and I suggested that she not come. 9 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, certainly, 10 Mr. Patel, if you're having difficulty in 11 figuring out how you explain the board's 12 actions to your wife, I'm sorry that you 13 didn't --14 DHI RU PATEL: Wait --15 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Please. I'm 16 sorry, that you didn't consider that before 17 you decided to ignore the Board's actions in 18 late September. 19 I realize that I have not given any 20 members of the public the opportunity to be 21 heard on this matter before we make a

1 disposition of it. I would ask if there are 2 any members of the public who would like to 3 be heard? 4 (No Response.) 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, your 6 thoughts, Chi ef? 7 DHIRU PATEL: Just give me one 8 chance, please. 9 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Okay. 10 It's -- you GERALD REARDON: 11 probably have the worst record in my going on 12 13 years here of any license. This is not 13 your first time, this is not your second 14 time, it's your sixth or seventh time. I 15 don't understand how you equate this in your 16 own mind that bending the rules and getting 17 caught all the time somehow makes it right. 18 You have a track record of never following 19 what we've suggested. I mean, the idea of 20 issuing punishment to someone is to send the 21 message to change the way they're doing

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

business and make them understand that they have to follow the rules, and you've been here a number of times. And most of the time you come here, again, I understand your financial hardship and I think that's probably, in my estimation, 99 percent of your problem here, is that you're, you know, as the Chairman says, you're out well capitalized and you're taking chances all the time to try to make ends meet. And it appears as though that you've taken that trek now for probably seven to ten years, and you haven't changed time after time after time. So our job here is as regulatory agents is to do the right thing by the general public and we can't be persuaded by personal, financial i ssues.

Having said that, I would be willing to come up with something that we can deal with in terms of solving the issue here. We cannot not act. We have other licensees out

there. We have a progressive type discipline. We've gone far beyond this. You told us the last time that if you got this chance, it would change and we're back here again in seven months, give or take.

I would be willing to entertain something that we can somehow deal with your license issue to give you the opportunity to sever yourself from the business without total financial hardship. I don't really know at this time what that answer is, but I'm willing to listen to that.

DHIRU PATEL: Please give me one chance.

ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: PI ease.

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Ms. Lint, do you think there's any way that strikes you as something that is consistent with our authority here to accomplish the end of giving Mr. Patel the opportunity to try to find a suitable buyer for the license in a

1 reasonable amount of time? 2 ELIZABETH LINT: And while he's open 3 or closed? 4 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, either way. 5 ELIZABETH LINT: Because it's my 6 thoughts if he's going to be open for any 7 length of time whatsoever, that he absolutely 8 would have to have retraining with 9 Mr. Connolly without a doubt. And it's clear 10 that he doesn't really get the rules. 11 Looking for a time? 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, I'm -- I 13 mean, you know, one -- this may not work, but 14 you know, one possibility is to take a vote 15 to revoke the license, which I certainly 16 think is justified. But to delay the 17 implementation of that until some date in the future, you know, December 15th or something 18 19 like that to see if he can find a buyer. 20 know, whether -- then allowing the store to 21 continue to be open according to the terms of

1 the September 18th Letter, I suppose is 2 mostly a matter of whether or not that 3 assists the Commission in its ultimate goal, 4 potential ultimate goal of trying to make 5 sure as the fire chief said, Mr. Patel is 6 able to sever himself from the business. 7 ELIZABETH LINT: Yes. 8 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Does that sound 9 like something that is potentially doable? 10 I don't see why ELIZABETH LINT: 11 not. 12 MI CHAEL GARDNER: So. Mr. Van Dam. 13 we normally don't negotiate in public but I 14 think this is an unusual situation in which I 15 think we've got the votes to revoke the 16 license. And you might want to take a couple 17 of minutes to confer with your client, but I 18 would be interested in should we take a vote 19 to revoke, delay the implementation date of 20 the revocation until --21 GERALD REARDON: Can I suggest maybe

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

January 15th to get passed the holidays and the season?

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Some date in the future? January 15th. During the meantime the licensee may remain open pursuant to the terms of the September 18th Letter, including the suspension days to be held, including the requirement for an eleven o'clock opening. Including the requirement that the nips be Further understanding that out of sight. should there be any future violations discovered with the clear understanding that this place will be monitored. The revocation will be imposed immediately.

Under terms like that are you prepared, you can confer to advise your client or reach an understanding that such a decision would be deemed final after any appeal period I apsed.

ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: And I don't know the answer to this, maybe Ms. Lint

20

21

1 I have to concern myself with the does. 2 issue of his right to appeal. My question 3 then would become when would the appeal 4 period start to run on the implementation? 5 ELI ZABETH LI NT: 6 MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, yes. ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: 7 That's important, thanks. That's important. 8 9 can't put myself in a position where I don't 10 -- you're going to revoke and then you can't 11 ask me to respectfully not to appeal. 12 Well, we don't MI CHAEL GARDNER: 13 really have an understanding. We don't have 14 an understanding then, because all you have 15 to do is make de minimus efforts to try to 16 sell. And come January 15th say we appeal. 17 So, okay. Why don't you take five minutes 18 with your client. 19 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Just so I 20 understand without getting -- all right. You

are asking -- I'm sorry, you are asking me to

21

1	advise him to waive almost my right to
2	appeal; is that right?
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: I'm asking you
4	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Just so I
5	understand that.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, right.
7	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Okay. As
8	a lawyer I need to know that.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: I think that's
10	I don't see any reason not to take immediate
11	action unless we have reached an unless
12	we've reached an understanding.
13	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I
14	understand and respect what you're saying,
15	but by the same token from a lawyer's point
16	of view, it's you're, again, you're asking
17	me to waive a right of appeal that he does
18	have. And I don't know within myself whether
19	I can do that no matter how generous you want
20	to be. And I believe you do want to be very
21	generous

1	MICHAEL GARDNER: Well, then the
2	al ternati ve
3	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: but I
4	can't put myselfin a position where I'm
5	going to be in trouble.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: Right. Then isn't
7	the alternative for us to act today, and your
8	decision as to whether or not your chances on
9	appeal are such that that's the risk you want
10	to take I suppose.
11	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: It's not
12	even a question of risk. It's a question
13	of can we have a moment?
14	MI CHAEL GARDNER: PI ease.
15	So it's approximately 11:25. We'll
16	take a five minute recess.
17	(A short recess was taken.)
18	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So by my watch
19	it's approximately 11:30 on the morning of
20	October the 9th. We'll reconvene the
21	disciplinary hearing after a brief recess.

1 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Yes, thank 2 you. 3 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Mr. Van Dam, 4 you've had an opportunity to consult with 5 your client? 6 ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Yes, I 7 have and appreciate the opportunity. And 8 respectfully we would ask the Board to -- the 9 Chairman to make a decision. 10 MICHAEL GARDNER: So, I'll make the 11 motion that Mr. Patel's license to operate 12 the package liquor store be revoked as of 13 January 15, 2013. During that time period 14 between now and then he be allowed to operate 15 his store consistent with the terms of the 16 September 18th letter from Ms. Lint and the 17 -- any other conditions which may have been 18 put as a result of the January 10, 2012 19 heari ng. 20 That the purpose for the delay 21 revocation of license is to give Mr. Patel

17

18

19

20

21

the opportunity to seek a suitable buyer for the license given the financial hardships he's faced. But that the reason for revocation is based on his long and troubled disciplinary history and his direct and intentional violation of the Commission order less than 24 hours after he was reminded by the City's Chief Investigator of his obligations and I think were complete in the record, that his simple decision that he did not agree to follow those rules because of his own private interests, and within days of negotiating settlement with the Commission chose to ignore the Commission's rules.

Is there a second?

GERALD REARDON: Second.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been made and seconded, would simply comment that my understanding that I would put on the record is that the Commission's view is that any appeal rights to this order should pass

1	would begin as of today as the effective date
2	of order is today.
3	Do you have any comments on that,
4	Mr. Van Dam?
5	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: No. I
6	have more of a question. I assume well,
7	you'll render a written opinion and give to
8	and she'll give it to us?
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, right.
10	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Right.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: I guess my
12	question to you is do you understand and
13	agree that the time period for the appeal
14	begins with today or the day you get the
15	official notice of the Commission action?
16	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I believe
17	that's the case, yes. Regardless as a
18	practical matter, I am going to appeal within
19	the time frame starting today.
20	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay.
21	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: I'm not

1	going to take a chance that I'm wrong.
2	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. Okay.
3	Motion having been made and seconded,
4	all those in favor signify by saying "Aye."
5	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
7	None opposed.
8	Mr. Patel, I hope you are successful in
9	being able to transition out of a business
10	which I believe we are satisfied you don't
11	have any business being in. Good luck to you
12	with that.
13	Mr. Van Dam, thank you for your
14	assi stance.
15	ATTORNEY GERALD VAN DAM: Thank you.
16	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Ms. Boyer, thank
17	you for your diligence. Please, Ms. Boyer,
18	make sure that the continued operation of the
19	store is closely monitored.
20	ANDREA BOYER: Yes, I will.
21	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.

1 Any other business before the 2 Commission at this time? 3 Well, we can do the ELI ZABETH LI NT: 4 policy hearing. 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: All right. 6 Commissioner Haas having joined the 7 audience but not having participated in this disciplinary matter. We did have one other 8 9 item on the agenda to create a new class of 10 wine and malt beverages. And we did have one 11 member of the public who may have been 12 interested in commenting. We gave all 13 members of the public the opportunity to 14 decide whether or not they wished to stay to 15 see if we would have the three Commissioners 16 here to consider this matter. By the emptiness of the room it appears that no one 17 18 chose to stay, but I think if the other two 19 Commissioners are so inclined, we can go 20 ahead with that public hearing now. 21 Unless, Ms. Lint, you think somehow

that the notice we've given is defective here. ELIZABETH LINT: I don't think it was defective. In fact, a phone call was made to one person who was extremely interested and they're not here. MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. ELI ZABETH LI NT:

of License Commissioners will hold a policy hearing to create a new class of wine and malt beverages as a restaurant license with two a.m. closing time. The license fee will be \$2,475 for transferable licenses, and \$4,944 for city-issued non-transferable licenses.

MICHAEL GARDNER: And as I recall from the general conversation we had about this matter, perhaps at our last hearing or at least in the past, those dollar values are arrived at as some sort of a ratio or proportionality to a one a.m. license?

1	ELIZABETH LINT: Correct.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: So they're
3	proportionally somewhat higher given the
4	extended hours of operation?
5	ELIZABETH LINT: Correct.
6	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And this arises
7	because we did have an applicant earlier this
8	year who requested a two a.m. beer and wine
9	license which was approved on a two to one
10	vote.
11	ELIZABETH LINT: Correct.
12	MI CHAEL GARDNER: And
13	ELIZABETH LINT: Let me just
14	GERALD REARDON: It was Tasty
15	Burger.
16	ROBERT HAAS: What are you looking
17	for?
18	ELIZABETH LINT: Tasty Burger.
19	ROBERT HAAS: It was a two to one
20	vote.
21	ELIZABETH LINT: It was determined

1	after the fact that it was just an oversight,
2	that it was a category we never had.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: We've never made
4	that provision before.
5	ELIZABETH LINT: Right.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: And as I recall
7	last time, we talked a little bit about the
8	number of beer and wine licenses there are.
9	As I recall about 40% of the total licenses?
10	ELIZABETH LINT: I think so.
11	MICHAEL GARDNER: The other 60
12	percent being all alcohol, and those licenses
13	having a variety of closings between one and
14	two.
15	ELIZABETH LINT: Correct. Actually,
16	probably between twelve and two.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: Between twelve and
18	two.
19	So, are there any members of the public
20	who would like to be heard on this matter?
21	(No Response.)
	1

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, I 2 guess it's appropriate to open for general 3 discussion of the Commission. 4 ROBERT HAAS: So I know we asked 5 this question once before, and I guess -- I'm 6 just trying to figure out why we made a 7 differentiation between beer and wine versus 8 all alcohol license in terms of establishing 9 two separate hours, given hours. 10 ELIZABETH LINT: And I don't have an 11 answer for that. It's just been this way. 12 don't know that there was any --13 GERALD REARDON: Going back in my 14 memory, I don't think anyone has ever asked 15 for a two a.m. I think it was an oversight 16 when we went to the all alcohol to two 17 o'clock, there hadn't been a beer and wine 18 looking for it. 19 ROBERT HAAS: I'm just trying to 20 figure out what the rationale is for even 21 making that differentiation change to begin

with. I mean it just doesn't seem --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes, so, I mean, you know, one possibility is that sort of beer of wine license is thought of as somehow more, I don't know, casual or not as rigorous as if you go all alcohol, then you know, you're talking about an establishment that may have different characteristics or expectations then with an all alcohol And the idea that, you know, kind of one o'clock was when we expected those operations to shut down, there may have been relatively few two a.m. licenses in the past. My sense of it is the Commission and the City have gotten more comfortable with the later hour over the passed number of years, and so there's both it didn't come up and it was that the kind of institutions, businesses that had a beer and wine in fact either would be closed or didn't need to be selling after one a.m.

1	GERALD REARDON: I believe I was
2	here for this vote for the two a.m.
3	ELIZABETH LINT: I was not.
4	GERALD REARDON: And I'm trying to
5	remember the whole but I believe it was
6	it never came up because it was the full
7	alcohol license. I don't think it was
8	denied. I don't remember the conversation
9	about it.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: Is this Tasty
11	Burger?
12	GERALD REARDON: No, no. This was
13	years ago when we finally went from one a.m.
14	to two a.m. It was quite a while ago I
15	bel i eve.
16	ROBERT HAAS: Does it predate the
17	time we issued no value, non-transferable
18	licenses?
19	GERALD REARDON: It may be.
20	ELIZABETH LINT: Pre-1986.
21	GERALD REARDON: Yes. My thoughts

1 on this is they are the non-transferable 2 ones? 3 ELI ZABETH LI NT: The cap Yes. policy went into effect. 4 5 GERALD REARDON: No, the cap policy 6 wasn't also the two a.m. one? 7 No, it didn't. ELI ZABETH LI NT: 8 That cap policy preceded me by years. 9 Ri ght. ROBERT HAAS: 10 My thoughts on this GERALD REARDON: 11 is that the fact that we have a license 12 category does not mean that anyone, just 13 because we have a license available, doesn't 14 mean cart blanch, people can get it. 15 case we went over, we did three different 16 hearings, I think, because of -- not for 17 alcohol, but rebid on the Tasty Burger and we 18 did the vote predicated on the information, 19 and I think it was an oversight. And I don't 20 want people coming in asking for a two a.m. 21 all alcohol license because they think it's

2

3

5

67

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the only category they're being advised to get when they certainly don't need an all I mean, certainly the City and the Council have changed in terms of marketing, and two a.m. seem to be a little more the norm now than some of the others, and it doesn't mean in terms of my personal vote l don't have a history on votes. I try to take everything on a case by case basis depending on where it is, neighborhood, type of settings, public hearing, and people. don't think this is going to cause an issue of a slew of people think they can go from one to two. But I do think it's a category we should have rather than have new people coming in simply asking for all alcohol when they don't need to only because we don't have a category open.

ROBERT HAAS: I don't think we have any situations, though, where somebody has an all alcohol license and then serving all

1	alcohol just so they can get that extra hour
2	period that I'm aware of. I mean, I'm
3	assuming anybody who has an all alcohol
4	license is serving beyond beer and malt, and
5	they're looking the beer and malt as a
6	vehicle to get to two o'clock.
7	What's the price difference between
8	what you're proposing and what a
9	non-transferable, no value all alcohol
10	license is, is it different or the same?
11	ELIZABETH LINT: All alcohol is much
12	more expense.
13	ROBERT HAAS: Much mor expensive?
14	ELIZABETH LINT: Oh, yes.
15	An all alcohol and two a.m. would be
16	in the, I think it's 6600. And a value
17	license would be 3300 l think that's
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: So, I was the vote
19	against a two a.m. license for the applicant
20	that I guess puts us in a situation today
21	because I felt as well, what I understood

21

the general practice of the Commission to have been is to give new applicants a chance to prove themselves at one a.m. before we move directly to two. And as I recall, the fire chief said that he votes things on a case-by-case basis and he didn't recognize or value that policy. I am very troubled by a case-by-case approach in terms of giving both the Board and the general public and potential licensees any quidance over our actions, and I am concerned that essentially that licensee didn't want to start with one a.m. because it was, as I recall, inconvenient to their marketing plan. That having been said, however, if it's the view of the Commission that a two a.m. license is appropriate from one brand new vendor, it seems to me a very difficult for us to now to rationalize as to any subsequent applicants, particularly any we've got a reasonable track record in the city or at least in the area

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

concerns about voting two a.m. for a brand new applicant at the time. But if that's the case, I think, you know, if we are going to move to have a two a.m. classification, we need to do it with the understanding that any vendors who think that would fit within their business plan, will need to have I think clearer and justifiable reasons why they would not be denied. So I think that this is -- we'll regard this as an important change to our understanding of what operations in the city or at least in an area like Harvard Square will be going forward.

where this license is to operate. So I think

that -- and that was one of my principal

GERALD REARDON: I guess I'd like to say first people coming in asking for a one a.m. when they kind of know they're going to try to come back in for a two a.m., I don't think is really good for the public. I think it undermines some of our principles that

people come in with the one a.m. and maybe some people would not be conducive to it, and now they get in the door and they want to come back for another hearing and try to get a two a.m. I think we would be better off dealing with these people straight out if that's where they intend on wind up being so that the neighbors and the people adjacent to that are well aware and what the intentions are, not that they're necessarily going to get it.

The case-by-case basis issue is predicated it has to be in a certain area. I mean, there's a lot of factors that are conducive to doing this. Many of the places are not going to have a two a.m. beer and wine only license. I think you have to have the right setting, the right venue to make it worthwhile because most people (inaudible). I don't necessarily think it's going to be a huge license that people are going to be

seeking. I could be wrong. But again, it has to be -- all the factors have to contribute whether you find that it's favorable to issue a license with a number of factors before you make a decision.

ROBERT HAAS: So I just want to make a couple of statements:

One, you know, my view quite honestly is if you're bound by a one o'clock class access for beer and wine, in my view I would pole the licensees at that time. I don't think we can just supersede our rules. If these are accepted for the rules, I think the fact that we don't change this policy that we're going to -- I don't think our votes going to withstand clear oversight of the rules.

Second, I agree with the Chair. I
think if we're going to take a policy
statement like this, I think we should have
some clear guidelines, and the criteria we're

4

5

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

going to use when we make these kind of assessments in terms of moving forward, I think simply to not do that, the first rule is not fair to licensees not understanding what our expectations are. One of the things that we're starting to see quite often, which troubles me, is this notion that people are getting a license, a CV license, and then six months later or less they're coming back to get an alcohol license. I think to your point, it means getting their foot in the door and, you know, when we ask the question we're going to get a polite oh, we're not thinking about it six months later and somebody is sitting here saying I need an all al cohol li cense.

GERALD REARDON: Right. And what I don't want to do is set up the expectation that that's how you have to do it at the License Commission, go in and get this and then you go back for a second bite. I think

1 the neighbors, the people are looking for an 2 establishment's ultimate goal is clearly 3 because that may change their whole outlook 4 on whether or not they want to accept it. 5 ROBERT HAAS: But I think prior to 6 contemplating and making a rule change, 7 although we don't really have an 8 understanding why there is a differentiation, 9 I think we need to be clear about what our 10 criteria is going to be so it helps us how to 11 inform our decisions on how we're going 12 forward with these kinds of changes looking 13 for a license for beer and wine. 14 ELI ZABETH LI NT: Well, I think, 15 though, the bottom line in any license that 16 you issue it's public need and public good. 17 And that's always the standard. It's always 18 the criteria. 19 ROBERT HAAS: Right. 20 So it's whether or ELI ZABETH LI NT: 21 not there's a need for that type of license

in that area at that hour.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ROBERT HAAS: But I think the fire chief raises a point. I mean, having a place that's embedded inside of a residential neighborhood compared to a Harvard Square are two different places, which I think has to be part of our consideration. If in fact you're going to allow a beer and wine license that's going to be open until two o'clock in the And it's clearly inside a morni ng. residential neighborhood or surrounded by residential homes is a very different decision if we're in the middle of a commercial district where many of the establishments are staying up to two, three, four or clock in the morning, not necessarily serving alcohol, but are open for business but are attracting people in the area. think, you know, I think we've got to start to make some finer distinctions what does the criteria look at when we look at public good

and public need. Those are nice --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ELI ZABETH LI NT:

But that's exactly

it.

ROBERT HAAS: And that's the thing that's troubling, because I think to the Chair's point that we've become somewhat -- I don't think we're being consistent a lot of the times, you know, and especially when the Board may change its composition on things like that going forward. I think we need to make it very clear with the people making the application, this is what the Board means by public good and public need. And these are the criteria. We're going to take into consideration, which we've never really done before, you know, to some degree. I mean, we've had this conversation over and over I've been on this Board for five agai n. years, we've had this conversation, and the criteria continues to change. Again, what's public good, public need? So I think, you

21

1 know, this might be a time to be a lot more 2 helpful about establishing what are the 3 ingredients when we talk about public good and public need. 4 5 MI CHAEL GARDNER: Well, it's my 6 understanding of the -- if it was an informal 7 policy in the past of not granting two a.m.'s 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 the moving to two. 17 ELI ZABETH LI NT: 18 19 believe that's a written rule. 20

21

to brand new applicants, at least the articulated rationale was to let an applicant prove themselves first with respect to how they were operating at a -- with an earlier closing time, and then only if in fact we were satisfied that they would if they were following the rules in operating in a responsible manner would we have considered I believe that's a written rule. I have to check on that, but I MI CHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Which, you know, we didn't file in the case of the Tasty

Burger.

Well, I'm not sure, Ms. Lint, if you think there's any benefit in asking the staff to do some more drafting or thinking about criteria to flesh out public good and public need. I think I got it right.

ELIZABETH LINT: Public need, public good, that's what's in the statute.

MICHAEL GARDNER: Yes, I mean certainly both in -- all three of us have alluded to the fact that in the particular case in point here, we're talking about a heavily commercial area, certainly because Cambridge is so geographically small. We've got, you know, residences nearby as we certainly know from some of our discussions about businesses in Harvard Square within the last year, but I think it's -- to the Commissioner's point, a decision about something sort of solidly in the square compared to something surrounded by more

1	residential areas is certainly a criteria,
2	criterion rather written or not, typically
3	keep in mind.
4	Other than that, I'm not sure,
5	Commissioner, you feel there's a need or a
6	value to deferring action on this until there
7	can be some more thinking or writing about
8	how to exercise the discretion or are you
9	prepared to go forward?
10	ROBERT HAAS: No, I think there's
11	two points here. I think, one, if in fact
12	it's a written rule, that we in fact allow
13	new ventures coming into the city, that we
14	require them to stay open only to one o'clock
15	to see if they're capable of running a
16	business. What is open, do we know?
17	ELIZABETH LINT: I don't know.
18	ROBERT HAAS: It's a while yet,
19	ri ght?
20	ELIZABETH LINT: I believe so.
21	ROBERT HAAS: So in any event, my

1	view is that we need to put them on notice
2	first of all, that that is a written rule of
3	the Commission.
4	ELIZABETH LINT: I'm going to check
5	it.
6	GERALD REARDON: I don't believe
7	it's a written rule.
8	ELIZABETH LINT: I think it's
9	pol i cy.
10	GERALD REARDON: It's Chairman's
11	personal preference.
12	ROBERT HAAS: Well, in the meantime
13	if we don't take action today, I don't think
14	you can allow Tasty Burger going forward to
15	stay open until two o'clock until we take
16	official action.
17	ELI ZABETH LI NT: Oh, absol utel y.
18	And they have been so notified. My only
19	concern was with writing something specific
20	and then once again you get boxed into
21	something and then certain things fall

1 outside of that box. 2 ROBERT HAAS: Ri ght. 3 ELIZABETH LINT: You may have a 4 neighborhood that would really like something 5 like a later hour establishment, and from 6 time to time that does happen when people 7 come in and support where we might think that 8 it's not an appropriate area and they think 9 that it's terrific. So, if you have it 10 written, then you're kind of stuck. 11 ROBERT HAAS: Yes. 12 ELIZABETH LINT: Or we get into that 13 situation where we're making all those 14 excepti ons. 15 GERALD REARDON: That's what I was 16 trying to say earlier. There's a number of 17 criteria, where it's located, type of 18 ownership, track record, community support, 19 what type of venue they have going.

places have pool tables and things and venues

that people occupy as opposed to someone just

20

21

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

sitting at a bar. You know, all those things contribute to whether or not you believe that's going to be something that's valuable to issue. It's not necessarily a cart blanche because someone has the opportunity.

ROBERT HAAS: I get that. I mean, I

think, you know, we're constantly being reminded to avoid exceptions to our rules. mean every time we have an applicant coming in and somebody's done their research, you identify certain places where we set this rule, this is the policy, and we remain and they list a whole series of them and they basically deviate. And granted the policy decisions to some degree give you some flexibility, but two are inconsistent how we impose those policies. I think that gets us into quagmires and it's a little bit of a dilemma every time now somebody coming before us and saying all right, what's the difference between this establishment and

1 these four other ones that you basically 2 ignored that policy decision about? 3 ELIZABETH LINT: Yes. 4 MI CHAEL GARDNER: PI easure of the 5 Commission? 6 ROBERT HAAS: I'd like to take a 7 stab at trying to put some criteria around 8 public good and public need that this body 9 can think about with respect to -- I 10 understand there are exceptions, making sure 11 we build those exceptions into it. And also 12 I'm still -- I'd like to figure out when did 13 we make this decision between the one o'clock 14 for beer and wine versus an all alcohol? I 15 don't know if we have the ability to do that. 16 ELIZABETH LINT: I don't think we 17 have the ability to -- I can discuss it with 18 She may have a recollection of her 25 19 years here, with you. 20 ROBERT HAAS: Let's try that. 21 ELIZABETH LINT: But I'm not sure

1	that I know I asked her and my memory's a
2	little fuzzy.
3	GERALD REARDON: I mean, over the
4	years there's been very few people to come in
5	to even look for a beer and wine. Again, it
6	has to be a specific type venue. It's not
7	something that the, that most conducive.
8	Most of them need full alcohol for two a.m.
9	because of the setting and the type of
10	business they do. To the best of my
11	knowledge, this is the first one that come
12	up.
13	ELIZABETH LINT: This is the first
14	one I think.
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: We get plenty of
16	beer and wine applicants for particular kind
17	of businesses, you know, it's often more
18	casual pizza food.
19	ELIZABETH LINT: Or a neighborhood
20	type of yes.
21	MICHAEL GARDNER: So as I understand

1	it, Commissioner, you would like us to defer
2	any action on this to give the staff and
3	ourselves the opportunity to sort of flesh
4	out our thinking more, is that
5	ELIZABETH LINT: That would be me
6	ROBERT HAAS: That would be my
7	moti on.
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: Okay. Well, in
9	terms of the lateness of the hour, I'll
10	second the motion.
11	Any further discussion?
12	GERALD REARDON: Can we turn around
13	and vote to approve it with conditions
14	with conditions that will be applied?
15	ROBERT HAAS: I'm not sure what
16	you' re aski ng.
17	GERALD REARDON: Well, we can vote
18	to approve the change to have a two a.m.
19	category and we will issue conditions.
20	ROBERT HAAS: I still want to get at
21	the fundamental question if Ellen recalls it,

1 that would be great. I want to understand 2 what the rational e was. 3 GERALD REARDON: I don't see what 4 that rational e has to do with anything. 5 ROBERT HAAS: It may or may not. I 6 don't know yet until I know. 7 GERALD REARDON: I'm pretty sure I 8 was here for that vote, and I just don't 9 think it's ever gone. This is the first one 10 that I can recall that's even come up. 11 ROBERT HAAS: And I would say, too, 12 probably the first time it's come up because 13 people weren't aware of the fact that they 14 couldn't apply beyond one o'clock for beer 15 and wine. 16 ELI ZABETH LI NT: Maybe. 17 ROBERT HAAS: Not because they have 18 this burning desire to have a two o'clock 19 l i cense. I think they didn't understand what 20 the regulations were. This is the first time 21 as I said, it's come up. It got by us so....

1	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Prior to taking a
2	vote, are there any members of the public who
3	would like to be heard on this matter?
4	(No Response.)
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Seeing none, any
6	other comments before we proceed to a vote?
7	(No Response.)
8	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in favor
9	of deferring action to give the staff and
10	Commission an opportunity for further
11	reflection and thinking about the criteria to
12	be applied in applying such a rule, all those
13	in favor signify by saying "Aye."
14	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
16	And those opposed?
17	GERALD REARDON: No.
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion carries two
19	to one.
20	Just to give you the opportunity,
21	Chief, if you want to put into the record the

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

reasons for your -- what I take it is a belief we should just go forward today if you choose to.

GERALD REARDON: Well, I think I enumerated a number of times. I think it's an oversight. I was here for the original We haven't had anyone asking for this. one. And I don't wish to have establishments coming in trying to go for two a.m. license and asking for beer and wine. If they're asking for all alcohol and when in fact they only have beer and wine. I don't believe there are that many beer and wine establishments that will be looking for this type of venue. I think it would have to be specific as I said earlier, the type of venue that they're running whether they have entertainment at that time, type of business, the location, whether or not that area supports it, so I don't think this is a quantum leap. I think it was just an

1	oversight several years ago, and it hasn't
2	had the need. We now have since voted on the
3	applicant who has moved forward and that
4	applicant probably is predicating on our
5	vote, and I think it's an oversight that we
6	should correct now and that we wish to add
7	amendments to that as we go forward, I think
8	we're still free to do so.
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Thank you.
10	One other matter we might take up now
11	is the issue of the November 6th hearing.
12	ELIZABETH LINT: One second. What
13	do you want to put this on for the decision
14	hearing in November?
15	MICHAEL GARDNER: I really don't
16	think I well, I can't do it at ten o'clock
17	that day. I could do it later on the 1st.
18	ROBERT HAAS: So what day is that?
19	MICHAEL GARDNER: November 1st is a
20	Thursday is the date we've got for a decision
21	hearing because we've got a License

1	Commission hearing on the 23rd of October.
2	So that's the next Thursday that whether
3	there was enough time for notices and
4	consi derati on.
5	Did I say that right?
6	ELIZABETH LINT: Yes, you did.
7	MICHAEL GARDNER: I mean, I don't
8	know, could we do November the 1st at 11:30
9	or noon?
10	ROBERT HAAS: Noon would be better
11	for me.
12	ELIZABETH LINT: My cal endar's
13	upstai rs.
14	GERALD REARDON: I would have be to
15	be closer to one or 1:30.
16	MICHAEL GARDNER: Later is okay with
17	me.
18	GERALD REARDON: Two, okay. Is that
19	ri ght?
20	ELIZABETH LINT: I'll find out when
21	I go upstairs. I usually bring it with me.

1 MI CHAEL GARDNER: We'll at least 2 tentatively have the goal of having this 3 matter considered at the November 1st hearing 4 which will be scheduled for the afternoon if 5 possible. And then if you're going to change 6 it, you'll have to put the notice out anyway. 7 ELIZABETH LINT: Yes. 8 MICHAEL GARDNER: And then we have a 9 problem with November the 6th? 10 Yes, it's Election ELIZABETH LINT: 11 Day. 12 MICHAEL GARDNER: I -- so the 13 options include a hearing on the 13th 14 potentially, cancelling and then just going 15 with the 20th. I've got a personnel 16 department commitment on the 13th in Boston 17 that will not end until six p.m. So I could 18 start at seven on the 13th or, you know, I 19 could do the 8th which is the Thursday. 20 ROBERT HAAS: What's your agenda 21 look like for the meeting afterwards? Is it

1	al ready booked?
2	ELIZABETH LINT: October 23rd?
3	ROBERT HAAS: No, the one after
4	Election Day?
5	ELIZABETH LINT: We haven't we
6	haven't started on that yet.
7	ROBERT HAAS: No, I'm saying what's
8	the meeting after the Election Day meeting?
9	MI CHAEL GARDNER: The 20th.
10	ROBERT HAAS: You said okay.
11	ELIZABETH LINT: No, sorry.
12	November.
13	ROBERT HAAS: And I thought you
14	meant before the meeting. So what's that
15	look you haven't started that one yet?
16	ELIZABETH LINT: Right.
17	ROBERT HAAS: You can control to
18	what the agenda is going to look like on the
19	20th.
20	ELIZABETH LINT: To a degree unless
21	they' re license applicants.

1	ROBERT HAAS: So you haven't done
2	anything for Election Day yet either as far
3	as scheduling?
4	ELI ZABETH LI NT: No.
5	MI CHAEL GARDNER: No.
6	ELIZABETH LINT: We just did the
7	23rd.
8	ROBERT HAAS: Mr. Chairman, you're
9	saying you're available on the 8th?
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: The 8th or later
11	on the 13th.
12	GERALD REARDON: This is November?
13	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Yes.
14	ELIZABETH LINT: Or we could just
15	have one here if it's the Board's pleasure,
16	on the 20th.
17	MICHAEL GARDNER: One hearing in the
18	month. To skip the first week of November
19	and put everything on the 20th.
20	GERALD REARDON: Is it a real heavy
21	schedule or you don't know?

1	ELIZABETH LINT: We don't know yet.
2	Potentially it could be.
3	MICHAEL GARDNER: If the Police
4	Commissioner is available on the 8th, six
5	p.m., I'm available then.
6	Chi ef?
7	GERALD REARDON: I've got a late
8	meeting in the afternoon, but I probably
9	could be here. I might be late.
10	MICHAEL GARDNER: So I'll make the
11	motion that the hearing currently scheduled
12	for six p.m. on November 6th be moved to six
13	p.m. on November 8th.
14	ROBERT HAAS: Second.
15	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Further
16	di scussi on?
17	(No Response.)
18	MICHAEL GARDNER: All those in favor
19	si gni fy by sayi ng "Aye."
20	Aye.
21	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.

1	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
2	MI CHAEL GARDNER: None opposed. So
3	we'll move that hearing and take care of the
4	noti ces.
5	ELIZABETH LINT: Absolutely.
6	MICHAEL GARDNER: Is there any other
7	business that we have to do now?
8	ELIZABETH LINT: I don't think so.
9	MICHAEL GARDNER: All right. So
10	I'll make a motion to adjourn at about 12:10.
11	ROBERT HAAS: Second.
12	MICHAEL GARDNER: Motion having been
13	made and seconded, non-debatable. All those
14	in favor signify by saying "Aye:
15	ROBERT HAAS: Aye.
16	GERALD REARDON: Aye.
17	MI CHAEL GARDNER: Aye.
18	So we'll close at ten after noon on the
19	9th and reconvene at six p.m. tonight.
20	(Whereupon, at 12:10 p.m., the
21	Li cense Commi ssi on Adj ourned.)

1	ERRATA SHEET AND SIGNATURE INSTRUCTIONS
2	
3	The original of the Errata Sheet has
4	been delivered to License Commission.
5	When the Errata Sheet has been
6	completed and signed, a copy thereof should
7	be delivered to the License Commission and
8	the ORIGINAL delivered to the License
9	Commission, to whom the original transcript
10	was delivered.
11	
12	I NSTRUCTI ONS
13	After reading this volume of the transcript, indicate any corrections or
14	changes and the reasons therefor on the
15	Errata Sheet supplied to you and sign it. DO NOT make marks or notations on the transcript volume itself.
16	vorume itseri.
17	REPLACE THIS PAGE OF THE TRANSCRIPT WITH THE
18	COMPLETED AND SIGNED ERRATA SHEET WHEN
19	RECEI VED.
20	
21	

1 2	ATTACH TO LICENSE COMMISSION GENERAL HEARING DATE: 10/9/2012 REP: CAZ
3	ERRATA SHEET
4	INSTRUCTIONS: After reading the transcript,
5	note any changes or corrections and the reason therefor on this sheet. DO NOT make
6	any marks or notations on the transcript volume itself. Sign and date this errata
7	sheet (before a Notary Public, if required). Refer to Page 119 of the transcript for Errata Sheet distribution instructions.
8	PAGE LINE
9	CHANGE:
10	CHANGE:
11	CHANGE:
12	CHANGE:
13	CHANGE:
14	CHANGE:
15	CHANGE:
16	CHANGE:
17	REASON: CHANGE: REASON:
18	I have read the foregoing transcript,
19	and except for any corrections or changes noted above, I hereby subscribe to the
20	transcript as an accurate record of the statements made.
21	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS BRI STOL, SS.
4	I, Catherine Lawson Zelinski, a
5	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter, the undersi gned Notary Public, certi fy that:
6	I am not related to any of the parties
7	in this matter by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
8	this matter.
9	I further certify that the testimony hereinbefore set forth is a true and accurate
10	transcription of my stenographic notes to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 26th day of October 2012.
12	my hand this zoth day of october zotz.
13	
14	Catherine L. Zelinski Notary Public
15	Certi fi ed Shorthand Reporter Li cense No. 147703
16	My Commission Expires:
17	April 23, 2015
18	
19	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE CAME BY ANY MEANS LINES LINES.
20	OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE
21	CERTI FYI NG REPORTER.